West Virginia Chemical Spill: Zebrafish Photomotor Response Study August 2015 NTP Update

Synopsis

The National Toxicology Program (NTP)¹ conducted a photomotor response study in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) to evaluate the toxicity of 13 chemicals associated with the West Virginia Elk River chemical spill. This study evaluates the movement of zebrafish in response to light, also known as the photomotor response, in the presence and absence of chemicals associated with the spill. Changes in the photomotor response after chemical treatment reflect perturbations of behavior and neurological function, which are indicative of a potential neurotoxic effect.

In two identical and independent photomotor response studies, two chemicals resulted in altered zebrafish movement in response to light: (1) 4-methylcyclohexanemethanol (MCHM), the main component of the spilled liquid, and (2) cyclohexanemethanol, alpha,alpha,4-trimethyl, a chemical that is structurally similar to MCHM and was not present in the spilled liquid.

Zebrafish Developmental Toxicity Study

Background on Zebrafish

Zebrafish is a small, tropical, freshwater fish (*Danio rerio*) belonging to the minnow family that has been used extensively in biological research. It is useful for evaluating the effects of chemicals on developmental outcomes. It is a vertebrate, it has a short life cycle, and detailed information is available on its genetic code. Zebrafish also undergo many of the same morphological steps in embryonic development as humans, and similar genes control these steps.

The developing brains of zebrafish embryos are responsive to light. The embryos move more frequently when they are exposed to light, and this is called a "photomotor" response. If the photomotor response changes after chemical treatment, this is an indicator of neurotoxicity in the developing fish. In this study, zebrafish photomotor response was measured in the presence and absence of chemicals associated with the spill.

Chemicals Tested in the Study

Zebrafish embryos were collected after fertilization, and the chorion, or outer membrane surrounding the embryo, was removed. Six hours post fertilization (hpf), seven concentrations (2, 18, 35, 51, 67, 83, and $100 \,\mu\text{M}$) of the pure chemicals or the mixture (Table 1) were added to the liquid containing individual zebrafish embryos. The seven molar concentrations of 4-methylcyclohexanemethanol (MCHM) correspond approximately to 0.3, 2.3, 4.5, 6.5, 8.5, 10.6, and 12.8 ppm. At 24 hpf, zebrafish embryos were exposed to pulses of light, and their rate of movement was measured.

¹ NTP is a federal, interagency program whose goal is to safeguard the public by identifying substances in the environment that may affect human health. NTP is headquartered at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, which is part of the National Institutes of Health. For more information about NTP and its programs, visit http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/.

This update has been revised. Please see the July 2016 update: https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/research/areas/wvspill/zebrafish_update_july2016_508.pdf

Table 1. Elk River Spill Chemicals Tested in Zebrafish

CASRN*	Compound Name	Notes
34885-03-5	4-Methycyclohexanemethanol (MCHM)	а
NA	Crude 4-Methycyclohexanemethanol (Crude MCHM)	b
770-35-4	Propylene glycol phenyl ether (PPH)	а
94-60-0	Dimethyl 1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylate	а
51181-40-9	Methyl 4-methylcyclohexanecarboxylate (MMCHC)	а
98955-27-2	4-(Methoxymethyl)cyclohexanemethanol (MMCHM)	а
4331-54-8	4-Methylcyclohexanecarboxylic acid	а
2105-40-0	2-Methylcyclohexanemethanol (2MCHM)	a
105-08-8	1,4-Cyclohexanedimethanol	а
4169-04-4	Phenoxyisopropanol	С
114651-37-5	Cyclohexanemethanol, 4–[(ethenyloxy)methyl]–	
498-81-7	Cyclohexanemethanol, alpha, alpha, 4-trimethyl-	С
NA	DOWANOL™ DiPPh	d

^{*} CASRN = Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number. ^aMajor or minor constituent of the spilled liquid (a minor constituent is considered to be approximately 10% or less of the spilled material); ^bA commercial mixture containing >70% MCHM along with lesser amounts of five other chemicals; ^c Not a component of the spilled liquid, but included because the compound is structurally related to MCHM or PPH; ^dA proprietary commercial mixture of dipropylene glycol phenyl ether isomers.

Study Findings

Chemicals associated with the spill were evaluated in two identical and independent studies. Two chemicals altered zebrafish photomotor response in both studies. MCHM, the main component of the spilled liquid, had effects on the zebrafish photomotor response at doses as low as 35 μM or 4.5 ppm. A chemical that is structurally similar to MCHM and was not in the spilled liquid (cyclohexanemethanol, alpha,alpha,4-trimethy -) also altered the zebrafish photomotor response.

The finding that MCHM exposure caused neurotoxic effects in zebrafish is consistent with clinical signs of neurotoxicity observed in rats following oral exposure to doses of 400 mg/kg/day and higher in studies conducted by Eastman Chemical^{2,3} and NTP.⁴ In the rat studies, the dose levels where these effects were observed are more than 1000-fold higher than the estimated human exposure levels during the spill. Notably, outward signs of neurotoxicity were not observed in rats exposed to doses that are in the range of human exposure during the spill.⁵

² http://www.eastman.com/Literature_Center/Misc/Pure_Distilled_MCHM-28-Day_Oral_Feeding_Study.pdf

³ http://www.eastman.com/Literature_Center/<u>Misc/Pure_Distilled_MCHM-</u>

Acute Toxicity Battery Containing 5 Study Reports.pdf

4 http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/research/areas/wvspill/prenatal_wvupdate_dec2014_508.pdf

⁵ http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/research/areas/wvspill/micronucleus_update_508.pdf

This update has been revised. Please see the July 2016 update: https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/research/areas/wvspill/zebrafish_update_july2016_508.pdf

The finding that MCHM altered the photomotor response in zebrafish does not establish that the spilled liquid would be neurotoxic to adult or developing humans. Many factors determine whether similar effects might occur in humans, such as the amount and duration of exposure, whether the biological basis for the effect in zebrafish is the same as in humans, and differences in how the human body handles the chemical compared to zebrafish.

Next Steps

NTP studies to evaluate the toxicity of the spilled chemicals in developing zebrafish are complete. Revised: See July 2016 U will consider the findings reported here in any future, overall assessment of the spilled chemical