#### NTP TECHNICAL REPORT

ON THE

# TOXICITY STUDIES OF CASTOR OIL IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F<sub>1</sub> MICE (DOSED FEED STUDIES)

Richard Irwin, Ph.D., Study Scientist NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM P.O. Box 12233 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

March 1992

NTP TOX 12 NIH Publication No. 92-3131

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Public Health Service
National Institutes of Health

#### NOTE TO THE READER

The National Toxicology Program (NTP) is made up of four charter agencies of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS): the National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health; the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health; the National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR), Food and Drug Administration; and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control. In July, 1981, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Testing Program, NCI, was transferred to the NIEHS. The NTP coordinates the relevant programs, staff, and resources from the Public Health Service agencies relating to basic and applied research and to biological assay development and validation.

The NTP develops, evaluates, and disseminates scientific information about potentially toxic and hazardous chemicals. This knowledge is used for protecting the health of the American people and for the primary prevention of disease.

The studies described in this toxicity study report were performed under the direction of the NIEHS and were conducted in compliance with NTP chemical health and safety requirements and must meet or exceed all applicable federal, state, and local health and safety regulations. Animal care and use were in accordance with the Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Animals.

These studies are designed and conducted to characterize and evaluate the toxicologic potential of selected chemicals in laboratory animals (usually two species, rats and mice). Chemicals selected for NTP toxicology studies are chosen primarily on the bases of human exposure, level of production, and chemical structure. Selection per se is not an indicator of a chemical's toxic potential. Single copies of this report are available without charge while supplies last from the NTP Public Information Office, NIEHS, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (919-541-3991).

### **TOXICITY STUDIES**

of

#### **CASTOR OIL**

(CAS No.: 8001-79-4)

## IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F<sub>1</sub> MICE

(DOSED FEED STUDIES)

Richard Irwin, Ph.D. (Study Scientist)

## NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM P.O. Box 12233 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

**March 1992** 

NTP TOX 12 NIH PUBLICATION 92-3131

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Public Health Service
National Institutes of Health

#### **CONTRIBUTORS**

The NTP report on the toxicity studies of castor oil is based on 13-week studies that began in April, 1988, and were completed in July, 1988, at Microbiological Associates, Inc., Bethesda, MD.

# National Toxicology Program (Evaluated Experiment, Interpreted Results, and Reported Findings)

Richard Irwin, Ph.D., Study Scientist

John Bucher, Ph.D.

Michael Elwell, D.V.M., Ph.D.

C. W. Jameson, Ph.D.

H.B. Matthews, Ph.D.

Morrow Thompson, D.V.M., Ph.D.

Errol Zeiger, Ph.D.

# NTP Pathology Review (Evaluated Slides)

Sondra Grumbein, D.V.M., Ph.D., Chairperson, PAI Michael Elwell, D.V.M., Ph.D., NTP

#### Principal Contributors at Microbiological Associates, Inc.

L. Tom Mulligan, Ph.D., Study Director Robert L. Morrissey, D.V.M., Pathologist Harry J. Paulin, M.S., Chemist Gregory S. Travlos, D.V.M., Clinical Pathology Martin L. Wenk, Ph.D., Study Toxicologist

# Principal Contributor at Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. (Provided Pathology Quality Assessment)

William F. MacKenzie, D.V.M.

# Principal Contributors at Experimental Health Research and Testing, Inc. (Sperm Morphology and Vaginal Cytology Evaluation)

Dushant K. Gulati, Ph.D. Teresa Cocanougher, B.A. Susan Russell, B.A.

# Analytical Sciences, Inc. (Statistical Analysis of Reproductive Studies)

Steven Seilkop, M.S. Janet Teague, M.S.

#### **Principal Contributors at NTP for Report Preparation**

Jane Lambert, B.S. Diane Overstreet, B.S. Kristine Witt, M.S. (Oak Ridge Associated Universities)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTE	RIBUTORS	2
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	3
ABSTR	ACT	5
PEER F	REVIEW PANEL	7
SUMM	ARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS	8
I.	INTRODUCTION	9
II.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	10
	Procurement and Characterization of Castor Oil Procurement and Characterization of Formulated Diets  13-Week Study Design. Statistical Methods Quality Asssurance	10 11 13
III.	RESULTS	15
	F344/N Rats B6C3F <sub>1</sub> Mice Genetic Toxicity	19
IV.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	23
V.	REFERENCES	24
TABLE	ES	
Table 1	Results of Analysis of Formulated Diets in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil	11
Table 2	Summary of Experimental Design and Materials and Methods in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil	14
Table 3	Survival, and Average Food and Compound Consumption of F344/N Rats in the 13- Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil	15
Table 4	Selected Hematological Data for F344/N Rats in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil	16
Table 5	Selected Clinical Chemistry Data from F344/N Rats in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil	18
Table 6	Selected Organ Weights of Male F344/N Rats in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil	19

Table 7	Average Food and Compound Consumption of B6C3F <sub>1</sub> Mice in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil	20
Table 8	Selected Organ Weights of B6C3F <sub>1</sub> Mice in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil	20
FIGURES		
Figure 1	Body Weights of F344/N Rats Exposed to Castor Oil by Dosed Feed for 13 Weeks	17
Figure 2	Body Weights of B6C3F <sub>1</sub> Mice Exposed to Castor Oil by Dosed Feed for 13 Weeks	21
APPENDICES		
Appendix A	Results of Reproductive System Analyses in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil	A-1
Appendix B	Results of Genetic Toxicity Analyses	B-1

## **CASTOR OIL**

O OH
$$|| \\
H_2 COCCH_2 (CH_2)_5 CH_2 CH=CHCH_2 CHCH_2 (CH_2)_4 CH_3 \\
| \\
H C R^*
\\
| \\
H_2 C R^*$$

\* R indicates an ester of one of the fatty acids listed above.

**CAS Number:** 8001-79-4

**Composition:** Triglyceride of fatty acids. Fatty acid composition is approximately 87% ricinoleic, 7% oleic, 3% linoleic, 2% palmitic, 1% stearic, and trace amounts of dihydroxystearic.

**Synonyms:** Ricinus Oil, oil of Palma Christi, tangantangan oil, phorboyl, Neoloid.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Castor oil is a natural oil derived from the seeds of the castor bean, Ricinus communis. It is comprised largely of triglycerides with a high ricinolin content. Toxicity studies with castor oil were performed by incorporating the material at concentrations as high as 10% in diets given to F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice of both sexes for 13 weeks. Genetic toxicity studies also were performed and were negative for mutation induction in *Salmonella typhimurium*, for induction of sister chromatid exchanges or chromosomal aberrations in Chinese hamster ovary cells, and for induction of micronuclei in the peripheral blood erythrocytes of mice evaluated at the end of the 13-week studies.

Exposure to castor oil at dietary concentrations as high as 10% in 13-week studies did not affect survival or body weight gains of rats or mice (10 per sex and dose). There were no biologically significant effects noted in hematologic analyses in rats. Mild increases in total bile acids and in serum alkaline phosphatase were noted at various times during the studies in rats receiving the higher dietary concentrations of castor oil. Liver weights were increased in male rats receiving the 10% dietary concentration and in male and female mice receiving diets containing 5% or 10% castor oil. However, there were no histopathologic lesions associated with these liver changes, nor were there any compound-related morphologic changes in any organ in rats or mice. No significant changes were noted in a screening for male reproductive endpoints, including sperm count and motility, and no changes were observed in the length of estrous cycles of rats or mice given diets containing castor oil. Thus, no significant adverse effects of castor oil administration were noted in these studies.

#### PEER REVIEW PANEL

The members of the Peer Review Panel who evaluated the draft report on the toxicity studies of castor oil on November 20, 1990, are listed below. Panel members serve as independent scientists, not as representatives of any institution, company, or governmental agency. In this capacity, panel members act to determine if the design and conditions of the NTP studies were appropriate and to ensure that the toxicity study report presents the experimental results and conclusions fully and clearly.

# NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM'S BOARD OF SCIENTIFIC COUNSELORS TECHNICAL REPORTS REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE

Robert A. Scala, Ph.D., Chair Medicine and Environmental Health Dept. Research and Environmental Health Division Exxon Corp. East Millstone, NJ

Ellen K. Silbergeld, Ph.D. University of Maryland Medical School Baltimore, MD Jay I. Goodman, Ph.D.
Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI

Daniel S. Longnecker, M.D. Department of Pathology Dartmouth Medical School Hanover, NH

#### AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE PANEL OF EXPERTS

John Ashby, Ph.D. Central Toxicology Laboratory Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC Alderley Park, England

Gary P. Carlson, Ph.D.
Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology
Purdue University
West Lafayette, IN

Harold Davis, D.V.M., Ph.D. School of Aerospace Medicine Brooks Air Force Base, TX

Robert H. Garman, D.V.M. Consultants in Veterinary Pathology Murrysville, PA

Lois Swirsky Gold, Ph.D. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, CA David W. Hayden, D.V.M., Ph.D. Department of Veterinary Pathobiology College of Veterinary Medicine University of Minnesota St. Paul, MN

Curtis D. Klaasen, Ph.D. Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology University of Kansas Medical Center Kansas City, KS

Barbara McKnight, Ph.D. Department of Biostatistics University of Washington Seattle, WA

Lauren Zeise, Ph.D. California Department of Health Services Berkeley, CA

## SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE TOXICOLOGY STUDIES OF CASTOR OIL

On November 20, 1990, the draft toxicity study report on castor oil received public review by the Technical Reports Review Subcommittee and associated Panel of Experts of the National Toxicology Program's Board of Scientific Counselors. The review meeting was held at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Dr. Richard Irwin, NIEHS, reviewed the design and findings of the castor oil studies. The panel had no comments other than those concerning editorial matters, and Dr. Scala accepted the report on behalf of the panel.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Castor oil (ricinus oil, phorboyl, tangantangan oil) is a natural oil derived from the seeds of the castor bean by cold pressing (for medicinal use) or hot pressing (for industrial purposes). Chemically, castor oil is a triglyceride characterized by a high content of ricinolein (a glyceride of 12-hydroxy-9-octadecenoic acid). It has the approximate fatty acid composition of ricinoleic acid (87%), oleic acid (7%), linoleic acid (3%), palmitic acid (2%), stearic acid (1%), with trace amounts of dihydroxystearic acid (Windholz, 1976).

Well-known medicinally as a cathartic, castor oil has had a wide variety of industrial applications: as a drying oil for paints, varnishes, plastics, and resins; in the manufacture of fatty acids; and as a lubricating oil. It also is an ingredient in numerous cosmetics.

Castor oil is considered minimally toxic when administered orally to humans; the estimated lethal oral dose is 1-2 pints of undiluted oil (Gosselin *et al.*, 1976). Several instances of sensitization to castor oil in cosmetics have been reported, including an allergic reaction to a make-up remover (Brandle *et al.*, 1983), and contact dermatitis caused by use of a lipstick containing castor oil (Sai, 1983). Hypersensitivity reactions such as angioedema, rhinitis, asthma, and scarlatiniform rashes have been reported in factory workers involved in the extraction of castor oil (Blacow, 1972; Juhlin, 1983; Mitchell, 1979), or in association with ingesting it (McGuire *et al.*, 1983).

Relatively few studies of castor oil toxicity have been conducted with experimental animals, and no studies were located concerning its absorption, distribution, metabolism, or excretion. Daily application of 0.5 ml of castor oil to the skin of adult female albino rabbits produced mild irritant reactions, including slight erythema and edema, acanthosis and disorganization of the basal layer, and slight inflammation of the dermis (Rantuccio *et al.*, 1981). Subcutaneous injection of 0.1 ml of castor oil in adult C57Bl/6 mice, daily for 4 weeks, was associated with the presence of electron dense lipid inclusions in parenchymal cells of the zona fasciculata of the adrenal gland (Migally, 1979). Gavage administration of 1 ml/kg to rhesus monkeys, daily for 4 days, caused mild morphological changes in the small intestine, characterized by lipid droplets along the mucosal epithelium and in the underlying lamina propria (Diener and Sparano, 1968). This was considered a possible indication that castor oil had reduced lipid metabolism in the intestinal epithelium.

Because of widespread human exposure, large annual production and use, and the lack of studies characterizing the effect of exposures of moderate duration, the subchronic toxicity of castor oil was evaluated by administering diet formulations to F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice for 13 weeks.

#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Procurement and Characterization of Castor Oil

USP AA grade castor oil was obtained in one lot (#L-5G30-01) from Cas Chemical, Inc. (Bayonne, NJ). Purity and identity analyses were conducted by Midwest Research Institute (MRI) (Kansas City, MO). MRI reports on the analyses performed in support of the castor oil studies are on file at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (Research Triangle Park, NC).

The study material was identified as castor oil by infrared, ultraviolet/visible and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. All spectra were consistent with the structure and composition of castor oil. The study material was analyzed by Karl Fischer water analysis, thin-layer and high performance liquid chromatography, and a battery of U.S. Pharmacopeia (USP) standard analyses for castor oil. Cumulative data indicated a purity consistent with the USP specifications and the reported composition for castor oil.

The stability of the study material during the toxicology studies was monitored by determination of peroxide content and by high performance liquid chromatography. No deterioration of the castor oil study material was observed over the course of the studies.

#### Preparation and Characterization of Formulated Diets

Formulated diets were prepared by blending the appropriate amount of castor oil with a small quantity of feed to prepare a premix. The premix then was layered between the required amount of feed in a twin-shell blender and blended for 15 minutes to achieve a uniform mix. The homogeneity of castor oil in feed at 10% (100 mg/g) was determined by gravimetric analysis, and blends at 0.5% (5 mg/g) were determined by HPLC analysis. These concentrations of chemical in feed were found to be homogeneously distributed by this mixing procedure. The stability of the 0.5% dose level was determined using HPLC; it was found to be stable for at least 21 days when stored in the dark at 5°C and for 3 days when stored open to air and light in a rodent cage. During the studies, formulated diets were stored for no longer than 3 weeks at 5°C; feed hoppers in the animal cages were changed twice weekly.

Periodic analysis of the castor oil-formulated diets was conducted by HPLC at the study and analytical chemistry laboratories. Three complete sets of formulated diet mixtures were analyzed by either the study laboratory or the analytical laboratory during the 13-week studies. All but a single sample were within specifications (±10% of the target concentration) (Table 1). A single low-dose mixture which did not meet specifications was remixed and found to be within specifications before it was given to the animals. The results of the analyses for all dose mixtures given to the animals ranged from 97% to 106% of the target concentrations. A single referee analysis conducted by the analytical laboratory agreed with the results obtained by the study laboratory.

TABLE 1 Results of Analysis of Formulated Diets in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil

Target Concentration (% w/w)	Determined Concentration (% w/w) (a)	
0.62	$0.62 \pm 0.06$	
1.25	$1.26 \pm 0.09$	
2.50	$2.64 \pm 0.09$	
5.00	$4.91 \pm 0.05$	
10.00	$9.67 \pm 0.54$	

<sup>(</sup>a) Mean ± standard deviation for three determinations; for each determination, all samples were analyzed in duplicate.

#### 13-Week Study Design

#### **Source and Specifications of Study Animals**

Male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice were obtained from Simonsen Laboratories (Gilroy, CA) and were quarantined and acclimated to laboratory conditions for 14 days prior to study start. Near the end of the quarantine period, 5 randomly selected rats and mice were killed and examined grossly for disease and the presence of ecto and endo parasites; none were found. On day 13 of the quarantine period, the remaining animals were stratified by weight and randomized into dosed and control groups.

#### **Experimental Design**

Rats were housed 5 per cage; mice were housed individually. Polycarbonate cages lined with heat-treated hardwood chips and covered with polyester filter sheets were used for both species; the cages were stored on stainless steel racks equipped with an automatic watering system. Temperature in the animal room was maintained within 68-76°F; relative humidity ranged from 42% to 72%. Incoming air was filtered to remove particulates, and a flow rate was maintained to ensure complete exchange at least 10 times per hour. A controlled light cycle of 12 hours of daylight and 12 hours of darkness was maintained. Control feed or diet formulations of castor oil were available *ad libitum*; feeders were changed twice per week throughout the study.

The core study was conducted with groups of 10 rats and 10 mice per sex, each group receiving diets containing 0, 0.62%, 1.25%, 2.5%, 5.0% or 10% castor oil, continuously for 13 weeks. Ten additional rats/sex were included at each dose level for evaluation of hematological and clinical chemistry parameters. At days 5 and 21, these animals were anesthetized with CO<sub>2</sub>, and blood was collected from the orbital sinus. These animals were killed following the blood collection on day 21. Blood samples for hematology and clinical chemistry also were collected from core-study rats at study termination.

#### Clinical Examinations, Supplemental Studies, and Pathology

At the study termination, all core-study animals were euthanized by CO<sub>2</sub> anesthesia, and complete necropsies were performed. Complete histopathology examinations were conducted on all rats and mice from the control and 10% dose groups. Livers were examined from male rats in all other dose groups; histologic sections of gross lesions were examined from all rats. Organ weights were determined to the nearest milligram for the liver, right kidney, right testicle, heart, thymus, and lungs. All tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Details of pathology procedures are outlined in Table 2.

On days 5 and 21, and at sacrifice, blood samples were collected from the orbital sinus of non-fasted animals under CO<sub>2</sub> anesthesia. Hematology parameters were determined on a Baker 9000 automated hematology analyzer (J.T. Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ). Analyses included red blood cell (RBC) count, red blood cell morphologic assessment, hematocrit (HCT), hemoglobin concentration (HGB), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), white blood cell count (WBC), white blood cell differential count, reticulocyte count (absolute), and platelet counts (absolute). Clinical chemistry assays, performed with a Centrifichem 600 (J.T. Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ) using commercially available kits and standard methods developed for this instrument, included alkaline phosphatase activity (ALP), albumin (ALB), urea nitrogen (UN), creatinine (CREA), alanine aminotransferase activity (ALT), total bile acids (TBA), sorbitol dehydrogenase activity (SDH), total protein (TP), and creatinine kinase (CK).

Upon completion of the histologic evaluation by the laboratory pathologist, the slides, paraffin blocks, and residual wet tissues were sent to the NTP Archives for inventory, slide/block match, and wet tissue audit. The slides, individual animal data records, and pathology tables were sent to an independent pathology laboratory where quality assessment was performed; the results were reviewed and evaluated by the NTP.

#### Reproductive Toxicity Screen

To screen for potential reproductive toxicity, sperm motility and morphology were evaluated at necropsy, and vaginal cytology was evaluated on core-study animals during the week just preceding necropsy, following published procedures (Morrissey *et al.*, 1988). For the 12 days prior to termination, females were subject to vaginal lavage with saline. The aspirated cells were air-dried onto slides, stained with Toluidine Blue O, and coverslipped. The relative preponderance of leukocytes, nucleated epithelial cells, and large squamous epithelial cells were used to identify the stages of the estrual cycle.

Sperm motility was evaluated at necropsy as follows: The left epididymis was removed and quickly weighed; the cauda epididymis was removed at the junction of the vas deferens and the corpus epididymis, then weighed. A small cut was made in the distal cauda epididymis. The sperm that were removed from the epididymis were dispersed and the number of moving and non-moving sperm were counted in 5 fields of 30 sperm or less on each slide. After

sperm sampling for motility evaluation, the cauda was placed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and gently chopped with a razor blade. The solution was mixed gently and heat-fixed at 65°C. Sperm density was then determined using a hemocytometer.

The left testis was frozen and stored. After thawing, testicular spermatid head count was determined by removing the tunica albuginea and homogenizing the testis in PBS containing 10% DMSO. Homogenization spermatid nuclei were enumerated using a hemocytometer; the data were expressed as spermatid heads per total testis and per gram of testis.

#### **Statistical Methods**

Body weight and organ weight data were statistically analyzed within each sex by one-way Analysis of Variance tests, followed by Dunnett's t-test if pair-wise comparisons were indicated (p < 0.05)(Dunnett, 1955).

#### **Quality Assurance**

The studies of castor oil were performed in compliance with FDA Good Laboratory Practices Regulations (21 CFR 58). All laboratory methods and procedures were conducted in accordance with the appropriate Quality Assurance Programs of Microbiological Associates, Inc., or Pathology Associates, Inc. The operations of the Quality Assurance Units were monitored by the NTP.

#### TABLE 2 Summary of Experimental Design and Materials and Methods in the 13-Week Dosed Feed Studies of Castor Oil

**Date of Studies** 

April - July, 1988

**Animal Source** 

Simonsen Laboratories, Gilroy, CA

**Chemical Source** 

Cas Chemical, Inc.

**Study Laboratory** 

Microbiological Associates, Inc., Bethesda, MD

**Size of Study Groups** 

10 males and 10 females of each species. Rats were housed 5 per cage, and mice were individually caged.

Concentrations

0, 0.62, 1.25, 2.5, 5.0, and 10.0% in feed

Type and Frequency of Observation

Observed 2 X d, weighed initially and 1 x wk thereafter.

Strain and Species

F344/N rats; B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice

**Method of Animal Distribution** 

Animals weight-randomized into groups by sex, assigned to cages, and cages assigned to dose

groups.

Diet

NIH 07; available ad libitum

**Animal Room Environment** 

Temp--68-76°F; relative humidity--42-72%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 10 room air changes/h

**Time Held Before Study** 

Rats -- 14 d, mice -- 15 d

Age When Placed on Study

6 wk

Age When Killed

19 wk

#### **Necropsy and Histologic Examinations**

The following tissues were routinely processed for preparation of histologic sections and microscopic examination: adrenal glands, brain, cecum, colon, duodenum, epididymis/seminal vesicles/prostate/testes or ovaries/uterus, esophagus, eyes (if grossly abnormal), femur (including marrow), heart, ileum, jejunum, kidneys, liver, lungs and mainstem bronchi, mammary gland, mandibular and mesenteric lymph nodes, nasal cavity and turbinates, pancreas, parathyroid glands, pituitary gland, preputial or clitoral glands, rectum, salivary glands, skin, spinal cord and sciatic nerve (if neurologic signs present), spleen, forestomach and glandular stomach, thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, urinary bladder, zymbal glands, and all gross lesions and tissue masses including regional lymph nodes. A complete histopathologic examination was conducted on all rats and mice from the control and 10% dose groups. Liver was examined from male rats in all other dose groups, and histologic sections of gross lesions were examined from all rats.

#### III. RESULTS

#### F344/N Rats

Exposure to castor oil in the diet at concentrations up to 10% had no effect on survival of F344/N rats. No significant differences in average food consumption among each sex were observed, although food consumption of male and female rats receiving diets containing 10% castor oil was slightly lower than that of controls (Table 3).

Group mean body weights of rats receiving diets containing castor oil did not differ significantly from controls (Figure 1). Mean body weights of exposed female rats were slightly lower than the mean body weights of controls but the differences were not dose-related.

TABLE 3 Survival and Average Food and Compound Consumption of F344/N Rats in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil

Dose	_	Mean Body Weight (grams)		Final Weight Relative to	Feed	Compound	
(% in feed)	Survival (a)	Initial	Final	Change	Controls (%)	Consumption (b)	Consumption (c)
MALE							
0	10/10	132	364	233		65	0
0.62	10/10	130	346	216	95.0	65	404
1.25	10/10	126	359	233	98.6	65	809
2.5	10/10	131	356	226	97.8	63	1583
5.0	10/10	131	351	220	96.4	61	3067
10.0	10/10	129	353	224	97.0	58	5835
FEMALE							
0	10/10	108	208	100		64	0
0.62	10/10	108	202	95	97.1	65	401
1.25	10/10	107	205	97	98.6	64	797
2.5	10/10	109	202	93	97.1	63	1569
5.0	10/10	110	206	96	99.0	61	3045
10.0	10/10	108	197	89	94.7	57	5725

<sup>(</sup>a) Number surviving/number initially in group.

Hematological effects of the castor oil diets among male rats included a slight decrease in MCHC at day 21 in those receiving the 10% diet; a statistically significant decrease in MCV among the 10% group; a decrease in MCH among the 5% and 10% groups; and an increase in platelets among the 1.25%, 5%, and 10% groups. The only change observed among female rats was a statistically significant decrease in reticulocyte counts at day 5 in groups receiving the 0.62% or 10% diets (Table 4). None of these changes was considered biologically significant.

A treatment- and dose-related increase in the activity of serum alkaline phosphatase was observed in male and female rats at days 5 and 21, and at study termination. Total bile acids

<sup>(</sup>b) Average grams food consumed per kg body weight per day.

<sup>(</sup>c) Average mg compound consumed per kg body weight per day.

were increased among males receiving the higher dietary levels at days 5 and 21 but were not increased at study termination. Other minor changes included increases in albumin observed at study termination in males receiving 5% diets and at day 5 in females receiving 10% diets, and an increase in urea nitrogen at study termination in males that received 0.62% diets and a decrease at day 5 in females that received castor oil at 10% in the diet (Table 5).

TABLE 4 Selected Hematological Data for F344/N Rats in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil (a)


	Dose (% in Feed)								
Analysis	0	0.62	1.25	2.5	5.0	10.0			
MALE									
Mean Corpuscular Volume (µm <sup>3)</sup>									
Day 21	62.1 ± 1.5	$62.8 \pm 1.8$	$62.5 \pm 1.3$	63.4 ± 1.5	$63.6 \pm 1.2$	63.9 ± 1.5			
Day 90	$54.2 \pm 0.8$	$53.5 \pm 0.6$	$53.8 \pm 0.4$	$54.1 \pm 0.7$	53.6 ±1.0	$52.7 \pm 0.9^*$			
Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin (pg)									
Day 21	$21.6 \pm 0.6$	$21.5 \pm 0.7$	$21.2 \pm 0.5$	$21.7 \pm 0.6$	$21.9 \pm 0.6$	$21.5 \pm 0.7$			
Day 90	$18.1 \pm 0.4$	$17.8 \pm 0.4$	$18.0 \pm 0.3$	$18.0 \pm 0.5$	$17.7 \pm 0.3^*$	17.6 ± 0.2*			
Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concent	ration (%)								
Day 21	$34.7 \pm 0.7$	$34.3 \pm 0.3$	$34.0 \pm 0.6$	$34.2 \pm 0.8$	$34.5 \pm 0.8$	$33.7 \pm 0.6^*$			
Day 90	$33.4 \pm 0.9$	$33.4 \pm 0.6$	$33.5 \pm 0.6$	33.2 ± 1.1	$32.9 \pm 0.7$	$33.5 \pm 0.7$			
Platelet Count (x 10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )									
Day 21	721 ± 50	$730 \pm 49$	$735 \pm 43$	734 ± 44	719 ± 73	702 ± 41			
Day 90	$557 \pm 27$	572 ± 36	599 ± 45*	593 ± 30	$612 \pm 34^*$	$632 \pm 2^*$			
Day 50	001 121	072 ± 00	000 ± 40	000 ± 00	012 ± 04	002 ± 2			
FEMALE									
Reticulocytes (x 10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )									
Day 5	$413 \pm 93$	296 ± 111*	$360 \pm 77$	$424 \pm 95$	$328 \pm 91$	205 ± 52*			

<sup>(</sup>a) Results presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Absolute liver weights and the liver-to-body-weight ratio were increased in male rats that received diets containing 10% castor oil. Heart-to-body-weight ratios were increased in groups of male rats receiving 0.62%, 2.5%, and 10% diets; however, absolute heart weights were not increased, and the differences in body weight ratios were small and not considered treatment related (Table 6). Using light microscopy, it was determined there were no morphologic changes associated with the slight differences in organ weights between groups.

In male rats, there was a slight decrease in epididymal weight (6-7%) which occurred in the middle- and high-dose groups, but this was not dose-related. There were no effects on any other male rat reproductive endpoint, or on any female rat reproductive endpoint. Although there was some variation in epididymal weights, their small magnitude and the absence of changes in other endpoints suggested that there was little or no evidence of any reproductive toxicity associated with castor oil exposure (Appendix A). Histopathologic examination revealed an absence of compound-related lesions in any organ or tissue of rats exposed to castor oil in the diet.

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significantly different from control group using ANOVA and Dunnett's test (p < 0.05).






Figure 1 Body Weights of F344/N Rats Exposed to Castor Oil by Dosed Feed For 13 Weeks

TABLE 5 Selected Clinical Chemistry Data for F344/N Rats in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil (a)

			Dose (	% in Feed)		
Analysis	0	0.62	1.25	2.5	5.0	10.0
MALE						
Total Bile Acids (µMol/L)						
Day 5	10 ± 1	16 ± 8	18 ± 7*	11 ± 5	$20 \pm 7^*$	18 ± 10*
Day 21	7 ± 1	11 ± 4	$9 \pm 4$	6 ± 1	13 ± 6 *	13 ± 7*
Day 90	$10 \pm 3$	$6 \pm 2$	$6 \pm 3$	$8 \pm 3$	9 ± 3	11 ± 7
Alkaline Phosphatase Activity (IU/L)						
Day 5	$524 \pm 30$	$548 \pm 54$	$577 \pm 51$	$610 \pm 54*$	710 ± 65*	795 ± 120*
Day 21	$434 \pm 38$	$442 \pm 44$	$465 \pm 36$	$495 \pm 40$	455 ± 113	$539 \pm 54*$
Day 90	$200 \pm 25$	$243 \pm 26^*$	$232 \pm 15$	256 ± 32*	$265 \pm 35^*$	298 ± 36*
Albumin (g/dL)						
Day 5	$4.3 \pm 0.1$	$4.3 \pm 0.1$	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$4.3 \pm 0.1$	$4.3 \pm 0.2$	$4.4 \pm 0.2$
Day 21	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$4.4 \pm 0.2$	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$4.5 \pm 0.1$
Day 90	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$4.3 \pm 0.1$	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$4.5 \pm 0.1^*$	$4.5 \pm 0.1^*$
Urea Nitrogen (mg/dL)						
Day 5	$18.2 \pm 2.3$	$16.9 \pm 1.8$	$18.0 \pm 1.8$	16.1 ± 2.8	$16.6 \pm 2.0$	$15.8 \pm 1.6$
Day 21	18.6 ± 1.8	$18.3 \pm 1.9$	$17.6 \pm 2.1$	$17.3 \pm 1.7$	$18.0 \pm 1.7$	$16.4 \pm 2.1$
Day 90	$19.8 \pm 1.2$	22.0 ± 1.9*	18.8 ± 1.1	$20.8 \pm 2.1$	19.4 ± 1.2	18.5 ± 1.5
FEMALE						
Total Bile Acids (µMol/L)						
Day 5	11 ± 4	$16 \pm 9$	19 ± 14	$12 \pm 5$	$13 \pm 5$	$14 \pm 3$
Day 21	$14 \pm 6$	15 ± 10	16 ± 12	$15 \pm 8$	17 ± 8	$15 \pm 8$
Day 90	22 ± 19	25 ± 15	22 ± 14	22 ± 14	17 ± 8	27 ± 11
Alkaline Phosphatase Activity (IU/L)						
Day 5	$444 \pm 35$	$449 \pm 61$	$485 \pm 32$	515 ± 54*	574 ± 47*	616 ± 51*
Day 21	$348 \pm 25$	$328 \pm 36$	$342 \pm 30$	$360 \pm 22$	388 ± 27*	420 ± 31*
Day 90	184 ± 19	191 ± 23	221 ± 30*	$214 \pm 27$	253 ± 35*	268 ± 25*
Albumin (g/dl)						
Day 5 T	$4.3 \pm 0.2$	$4.3 \pm 0.2$	$4.4 \pm 0.2$	$4.4 \pm 0.2$	$4.4 \pm 0.2$	4.5 ± 0.1 *
Day 21	$4.5 \pm 0.1$	$4.6 \pm 0.1$	$4.5 \pm 0.2$	$4.5 \pm 0.1$	$4.6 \pm 0.2$	$4.7 \pm 0.2$
Day 90	$4.9 \pm 0.2$	$5.0 \pm 0.1$	$4.9 \pm 0.2$	$4.9 \pm 0.4$	$4.9 \pm 0.2$	$5.0 \pm 0.2$
Urea Nitrogen(mg/dL)						
Day 5	$17.8 \pm 1.5$	$17.9 \pm 1.3$	$18.7 \pm 1.4$	$17.4 \pm 2.7$	$16.7 \pm 0.6$	$14.8 \pm 2.2^*$
Day 21	$18.2 \pm 1.8$	$17.7 \pm 1.9$	$17.4 \pm 2.2$	$17.3 \pm 1.3$	$16.6 \pm 1.6$	$16.4 \pm 1.8$
Day 90	19.1 ± 1.1	$20.5 \pm 1.9$	$20.0 \pm 2.0$	$20.3 \pm 2.5$	$19.0 \pm 1.4$	$18.9 \pm 1.2$

<sup>(</sup>a) Results presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

Statistically significantly different from control group using ANOVA and Dunnett's test (p<0.05).

TABLE 6. Selected Organ Weights of Male F344/N Rats in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil (a)

	Dose (% in Feed)								
Organ	0	0.62	1.25	2.5	5.0	10.0			
Body weight	364.4 ± 20.3	345.9 ± 13.6	359.2 ± 15.4	356.4 ± 17.2	351.0 ± 19.2	352.9 ± 15.1			
Heart									
Absolute	$0.99 \pm 0.07$	$1.04 \pm 0.05$	$1.03 \pm 0.07$	$1.03 \pm 0.08$	$1.00 \pm 0.07$	$1.027 \pm 0.05$			
Relative (b)	2.72	3.01	2.87	2.89	2.85	2.91			
Liver									
Absolute	12.54 ± 1.15	$12.37 \pm 0.74$	12.81 ± 1.2	$12.98 \pm 0.88$	$12.49 \pm 1.02$	14.31 ± 0.86			
Relative (b)	34.41	35.76	35.66	36.42	35.58	40.55			

<sup>(</sup>a) Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean ± standard deviation).

#### B6C3F<sub>1</sub> Mice

Exposure to castor oil in the diet at concentrations up to 10% had no effect on survival of male or female  $B6C3F_1$  mice. There were no statistically significant differences in average food consumption among groups of a given sex and species, although food consumption by female mice receiving diets containing 10% castor oil was slightly lower than controls (Table 7).

Mean body weights of exposed male mice generally were lower than controls, while mean body weights of exposed females generally were higher. There were no obvious indications that these differences were related to dietary concentrations of castor oil, except that mean body weights of male mice receiving the 10% castor oil diet were consistently lower than those of control mice from week 3 through the end of the study (Figure 2).

Liver weights were increased in male and female mice that received diets containing 5% or 10% castor oil. Kidney weights were increased in female mice that received 5% or 10% diets (Table 8). Using light microscopy, it was determined that there were no morphologic changes associated with the slight differences between groups in organ weights. Histopathologic examination revealed an absence of compound-related lesions in any organs or tissues of mice exposed to castor oil in the diet.

Castor oil exposure produced no adverse effects on any male (testes weight, epididymal sperm motility, density, or testicular spermatid head count) or female (estrual cycle length, or time spent in each phase of the cycle) reproductive parameter among mice. The low value for sperm motility in control mice was attributed to poor preparative technique (Appendix A).

<sup>(</sup>b) Changes in relative organ weights were not analyzed statistically.

 <sup>\*</sup> Statistically significant difference from control group using ANOVA and Dunnett's test (p≤0.05).

TABLE 7 Average Food and Compound Consumption of B6C3F<sub>1</sub> Mice in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil

Dose		Mean	Body Weight (	grams)	Final Weight Relative to	Feed	Compound
(% in feed)	Survival (a)	Initial	Final	Change	Controls (%)	Consumption (b)	Consumption (c)
MALE							
0	10/10	22.7	33.7	11.0		146	0
0.62	10/10	22.9	32.7	9.8	97.0	148	917
1.25	10/10	23.0	32.9	9.9	97.6	162	2022
2.5	10/10	22.6	33.8	11.2	101.0	152	3800
5.0	10/10	22.7	32.6	9.9	96.7	157	7823
10.0	10/10	22.7	30.5	7.8	90.5	150	15017
FEMALE							
0	10/10	17.5	26.6	9.1		184	0
0.62	10/10	17.7	28.3	10.6	106.4	186	1153
1.25	9/10 (d)	17.7	29.3	11.6	110.2	183	2282
2.5	10/10	17.2	28.5	11.3	107.1	200	5009
5.0	10/10	17.4	28.0	10.6	105.3	193	9627
10.0	10/10	17.5	28.6	11.1	107.5	168	16786

<sup>(</sup>a) Number surviving/number initially in group.

TABLE 8 Selected Organ Weights of B6C3F<sub>1</sub> Mice in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil (a)

	Dose (% in Feed)									
Organ	0	0.62	1.25	2.5	5.0	10.0				
MALE										
Body weight	$33.7 \pm 3.8$	$32.7 \pm 2.5$	$32.9 \pm 2.6$	$33.8 \pm 3.3$	$32.6 \pm 2.7$	$30.5 \pm 2.7$				
Liver										
Absolute	$1.59 \pm 0.17$	$1.53 \pm 0.15$	$1.64 \pm 0.11$	$1.73 \pm 0.14$	1.81 ± 0.21*	1.85 ± 0.18*				
Relative(b)	47.18	46.79	49.85	51.18	55.52	60.66				
FEMALE										
Body weight	$26.6 \pm 2.4$	$28.3 \pm 2.2$	$29.3 \pm 3.1$	$28.5 \pm 2.5$	$28.0 \pm 1.9$	$28.6 \pm 1.3$				
Kidney										
Absolute	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	$0.22 \pm 0.01$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$0.23 \pm 0.02^*$	$0.23 \pm 0.02^*$				
Relative (b)	7.52	7.77	7.17	7.37	8.21	8.04				
Liver										
Absolute	$1.28 \pm 0.11$	$1.39 \pm 0.14$	$1.47 \pm 0.15$	$1.42 \pm 0.14$	1.57 ± 0.20*	1.71 ± 0.09*				
Relative (b)	48.12	49.12	50.17	49.82	56.07	59.79				

<sup>(</sup>a) Organ weights and body weights are given in grams; organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean ± standard deviation).


<sup>(</sup>b) Average grams food consumed per kg body weight per day.

<sup>(</sup>c) Average mg compound consumed per kg body weight per day.

<sup>(</sup>d) Not a treatment-related death.

<sup>(</sup>b) Changes in relative organ weights were not analyzed statistically.

Statistically significant difference from control group using ANOVA and Dunnett's test (p≤0.05).






FIGURE 2 Body Weights of B6C3F1 MIce Exposed to Castor Oil by Dosed Feed For 13 Weeks

#### **Genetic Toxicity**

In genetic toxicity studies, castor oil (100-10,000  $\mu$ g/plate) was not mutagenic in *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA100, TA1535, TA97, or TA98 when tested with a preincubation protocol in the presence and the absence of exogenous metabolic activation (S9) (Zeiger *et al.*, 1988) (Appendix B). Castor oil did not induce sister-chromatid exchanges or chromosome aberrations in Chinese hamster ovary cells treated with concentrations up to 5000  $\mu$ g/ml with and without S9 (Appendix B). No induction of micronuclei was observed in peripheral blood erythrocytes of male and female B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice sampled at the termination of the 13-week study (Appendix B).

#### IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The cathartic action of orally ingested castor oil traditionally has been attributed to irritant or stimulatory effects of ricinoleic acid on the gastrointestinal smooth muscle; the ricinoleic acid is liberated in the small intestine by the action of pancreatic lipase (Stewart and Bass, 1976). As a purgative, castor oil is ingested as a bolus. Since this would lead to higher concentrations of ricinoleic acid in the gastrointestinal tract than would occur with dietary exposure, it is not surprising that in the present study there were no indications of loose or wet feces.

Exposure to diets containing up to 10% castor oil was associated with only minimal indications of toxicity in F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice. Absolute liver weights and liver-to-body-weight ratios increased in male rats and in both sexes of mice receiving diets that contained the higher concentrations of castor oil. These increases, however, were not accompanied by corresponding histopathologic lesions or alterations in clinical chemical endpoints that would indicate hepatotoxicity. Since castor oil is composed of triacylglycerols, the increased liver weights could be a reflection of elevated metabolic activity associated with increased lipid absorption, rather than a toxic response. This conclusion is consistent with the observed increases of total bile acids in serum of male rats and of alkaline phosphatase activity in the serum of both sexes of rats. Bile acids and alkaline phosphatase (intestinal form) are both involved with intestinal absorption and metabolism of lipids, and the serum concentrations are normally increased in association with ingestion of a lipid-rich diet (Young *et al.*, 1981; Loeb and Quimbey, 1989).

In general, food consumption by groups receiving castor oil diets was comparable to food consumption of controls, with only a slight reduction being observed in the groups receiving the 10% diets. In spite of the elevated intake of dietary lipid, body weights of most dosage groups that received castor oil were not markedly different from body weights of control animals.

Ricinoleic acid, the major fatty acid present in castor oil, has a variety of effects on the gastrointestinal tract, including inhibition of water and electrolyte absorption (Donowitz, 1979), stimulation of water secretion into the intestinal lumen (Ammon and Phillips, 1974), and depression of small bowel contractile activity (Ammon *et al.*, 1974). Moreover, absorption of ricinoleic acid occurs incompletely; substantial quantities remain in the gastrointestinal tract after oral administration (Stewart and Bass, 1976). Since diet palatability was not affected by the presence of castor oil, the poor absorption of ricinoleic acid and its potential to reduce absorption of other fatty acids could be responsible for the absence of more substantial body weight gains by rats and mice consuming castor oil-containing diets.

In conclusion, castor oil was found not to be mutagenic or clastogenic in several *in vitro* genetic toxicity assays, and administration of diets containing up to 10% castor oil was not associated with toxicity to any specific organ, organ system, or tissue in this study.

#### V. REFERENCES

Ammon, H.V., and Phillips, S.F. (1974) Inhibition of ileal water absorption by intraluminal fatty acids: Influence of chain length, hydroxylation and conjugation of fatty acids. *J. Clin. Invest.* **53**, 205-210.

Ammon, H.V., Thomas. P.J., and Phillips, S.F. (1974) Effects of oleic and ricinoleic acids on net jejunal water and electrolyte movement. *J. Clin. Invest.* **53**, 374-379.

Blacow, N.W. (1972) Martindale, The Extra Pharmacopeia, 26th Edition. London: The Pharmaceutical Press, p.1256.

Brandle, I., Boujnah-Khouadja, A., and Foussereau, J. (1983) Allergy to Castor Oil. Contact Dermatitis 9, 424-425.

Diener, R.M. and Sparano, B.M. (1968) Effects of various treatments on the histochemical profile of the gastrointestinal mucosa in rhesus monkeys. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **13**, 412-419.

Donowitz, M. (1979) Current concepts of laxative action: Mechanisms by which laxatives increased stool water. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.* **1**, 77-84.

Dunnett, W. (1955) A multiple comparison procedure for comparing several treatments with a control. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **50**, 1095-1121.

Gosselin, R.E., Hodge, H.C., Smith, R.P., and Gleason, M.N. (eds.) (1976) *Clinical Toxicology of Commercial Products*, 4th Edition. Baltimore: The Williams & Wilkins Co., p. 152.

Juhlin, L. (1983) Gastrointestinal drugs, in: de Weck, A.L., and Bundgaard, H. (eds.), *Allergic Reactions to Drugs*. New York: Springer, pp. 629-637.

Loeb, W.F., and Quimby, F.W. (eds.) (1989) *The Clinical Chemistry of Laboratory Animals*. New York: Permagon Press, pp.251-252.

McGuire, T., Rothenberg, M.B., and Tyler, D.C. (1983) Profound shock following intervention for chronic untreated stool retention. *Clin. Pediatrics* **23**, 459-461.

Migally, N. (1979) Effect of castor oil and benzoyl benzoate used as a vehicle for antiandrogens on the adrenal cortex. *Arch. Androl.* **2**, 365-369.

Mitchell, J. (1979) Botanical Dermatology. Vancouver: Greengrass, p. 296.

Morrissey, R.E., Schwetz, B.A., Lamb, J.C., IV, Ross, M.C., Teague, J.L, and Morris, R.W. (1988) Evaluation of rodent sperm, vaginal cytology, and reproductive organ weight data from National Toxicology Program 13-week studies. *Fundam. Appl. Toxicol.* **11**, 343-358.

Rantuccio, F., Sinidsi, D., Scardigno, A., and Coviello, C. (1981) Histological changes in rabbits after application of medicaments and cosmetic bases. II. *Contact Dermatitis* **7**, 94-97.

Sai, S. (1983) Lipstick dermatitis caused by castor oil. *Contact Dermatitis* 9, 75.

Stewart, J.J., and Bass, P. (1976) Effects of ricinoleic acid and oleic acids on the digestive contractile activity of the canine small and large bowel. *Gastroenterol.* **70**, 371-376.

Windholz, M. (ed.) (1976) The Merck Index, 9th Edition. Rahway, NJ: Merck & Co., Inc., p. 242.

Young, G.B., Friedman, S., Yedlin, S.T., and Alpers, D.H. (1981) Effects of fat feeding on intestinal alkaline phosphatase activity in tissue and serum. *Am. J. Physiol.* **241**, G461-468.

Zeiger, E., Anderson, B., Haworth, S., Lawlor, T., and Mortelmans, K. (1988) Salmonella mutagenicity tests. IV. Results from the testing of 300 chemicals. *Environ. Molec. Mutagen.* **11** (Suppl 12), 1-158.

## APPENDIX A

# Results of Reproductive System Analyses in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil

TABLE A1	Reproductive System Data for F344/N Rats in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil
TABLE A2	Reproductive System Data for B6C3F <sub>1</sub> Mice in the 13-Week Feed Studies of Castor Oil

TABLE A1 Reproductive System Data for F344/N Rats in the 13-Week Feed **Studies of Castor Oil** 

	Percent in Feed						
	0	2.5	5	10			
MALE (a)							
Left caudal weight (mg)	$151 \pm 3$	$153 \pm 7$	145 ± 4	$153 \pm 5$			
Left epididymal weight (mg)	502 ± 11	498 ± 10	464 ± 10 *	$476 \pm 7$			
Left testis (mg)	1,539 ± 21	1,550 ± 31	$1,463 \pm 53$	$1,492 \pm 29$			
Sperm count (x106)/gram testis	$72.8 \pm 0.5$	$65.9 \pm 0.5$	71.7 ± .5	$77.5 \pm 0.7$			
Sperm motility (percent)	$73.6 \pm 2.3$	$65.9 \pm 5.6$	72.1 ± 4.1	$69.8 \pm 2.3$			
FEMALE (b)							
Estrous stage (percent)							
Proestrus	12.5	14.2	15.8	16.7			
Estrous	28.3	32.5	25.8	25.8			
Metestrous	18.3	19.2	18.3	19.2			
Diestrous	40.8	34.2	39.2	38.3			
Not clear or no cells observed	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0			
Cycle Length (days)	$5.0 \pm 0.0$	$5.1 \pm 0.1$	$5.2 \pm 0.1$	$5.1 \pm 0.1$			

 <sup>(</sup>a) Mean ± standard error for groups of 10 animals; no significant difference vs. the controls by Dunn's test (Dunn, 1964).
 (b) Mean for groups of 10 animals unless otherwise specified

TABLE A1 Reproductive System Data for B6C3F<sub>1</sub> Mice in the 13-Week Feed **Studies of Castor Oil** 

<u> </u>	Percent in Feed						
	0	2.5	5	10			
MALE (a)							
Left caudal weight (mg)	15 ± 1	13 ± 1	16 ± 1	16 ± 1			
Left epididymal weight (mg)	45 ± 1	$46 \pm 2$	46 ± 2	44 ± 1			
Left testis (mg)	121 ± 3	$120 \pm 3$	121 ± 2	119 ± 2			
Sperm count (x10 <sup>6</sup> )/gram testis	$179.2 \pm 0.9$	$162.4 \pm 0.9$	170.1 ± 1.0	$158.3 \pm 1.0$			
Sperm motility (percent)	$39.2 \pm 10.0$	$53.7 \pm 8.2$	$45.4 \pm 8.0$	$52.2 \pm 8.2$			
FEMALE (b)							
Estrous stage (percent)							
Proestrus	12.5	14.2	15.8	16.7			
Estrous	28.3	32.5	25.8	25.8			
Metestrous	18.3	19.2	18.3	19.2			
Diestrous	40.8	34.2	39.2	38.3			
Not clear or no cells observed	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0			
Cycle Length (days)	$5.0 \pm 0.0$	5.1 ± 0.1	5.2 ± 0.1	5.1 ± 0.1			

 <sup>(</sup>a) Mean ± standard error for groups of 10 animals; no significant difference vs. the controls by Dunn's test (Dunn, 1964).
 (b) Mean for groups of 10 animals unless other wise specified

Significantly different from control groups by Shirley's test (Shirley, 1977); p < 0.05.

# APPENDIX B

## **Results of Genetic Toxicity Analyses**

Table B1	Mutagenicity of Castor Oil in Salmonella typhimurium	B-2
Table B2	Induction of Sister-Chromatid Exchanges in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells by Castor Oil	B-2
Table B3	Induction of Chromosomal Aberrations in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells by Castor Oil	B-3
Table B4	Frequency of Micronuclei in Peripheral Blood Erythrocytes of B6C3F <sub>1</sub> Mice Exposed to Castor Oil in	
	Dosed Feed for 13 Weeks	B-5

**TABLE B1** Mutagenicity of Castor Oil in Salmonella typhimurium (a)

						_	
Strain	Dose	(-) \$		Hamster		Rat S	
	(µg/plate)	Trial 1	Trial 2	10%	30%	10%	30%
TA100	0	110 ± 8.7	92 ± 10.3	131 ± 14.4	89 ± 7.2	126 ± 5.9	86 ± 3.1
	100	$130 \pm 14.6$	$91 \pm 4.2$	$142 \pm 3.5$	$116 \pm 7.0$	$159 \pm 4.4$	$84 \pm 9.9$
	333	$127 \pm 13.5$	$98 \pm 6.9$	143 ± 11.9	$91 \pm 5.2$	122 ± 14.8	$89 \pm 1.7$
	1000	127 ± 10.7	81 ± 8.7	$154 \pm 1.5$	$94 \pm 3.5$	139 ± 17.8	88 ± 10.
	3333	109 ± 12.8	$98 \pm 7.3$	139 ± 11.7	$86 \pm 6.9$	132 ± 13.3	$84 \pm 4.4$
	10000	101 ± 5.4	$83 \pm 6.6$	132 ± 13.1	$93 \pm 3.0$	$154 \pm 3.9$	86 ± 15.3
rial Summa	ary	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
Positive	control (c)	461 ± 44.5	$437 \pm 5.5$	1245 ± 92.4	555 ± 16.3	614 ± 39.7	524 ± 30.6
TA1535	0	21 ± 2.4	$25 \pm 4.7$	$8 \pm 0.3$	10 ± 1.5	$7 \pm 0.6$	10 ± 1.9
	100	$24 \pm 1.5$	$20 \pm 2.5$	$9 \pm 0.3$	$12 \pm 2.2$	11 ± 2.1	11 ± 1.2
	333	$25 \pm 2.4$	$19 \pm 4.2$	$12 \pm 0.7$	$10 \pm 2.8$	$8 \pm 0.3$	$9 \pm 2.4$
	1000	$23 \pm 1.0$	$24 \pm 3.3$	10 ± 1.2	11 ± 1.5	9 ± 1.5	$6 \pm 0.3$
	3333	$24 \pm 3.2$	$22 \pm 2.3$	$9 \pm 0.7$	$8 \pm 2.9$	$9 \pm 0.3$	$4 \pm 0.3$
	10000	22 ± 1.9	$25 \pm 3.5$	$8 \pm 0.7$	$8 \pm 2.0$	$8 \pm 0.7$	$12 \pm 0.9$
Trial Sumn	nary	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
Positive co	ntrol (c)	$433 \pm 6.1$	$435 \pm 9.3$	498 ± 12.2	$544 \pm 34.6$	$215 \pm 9.9$	167 ± 13.5
TA97	0	121 ± 3.2	102 ± 4.7	$162 \pm 2.3$	$139 \pm 3.5$	173 ± 11.7	180 ± 13.7
	100	$133 \pm 3.2$	$127 \pm 8.4$	$177 \pm 2.7$	159 ± 11.8	$180 \pm 9.5$	$189 \pm 9.4$
	333	$125 \pm 8.0$	131 ± 3.2	$173 \pm 13.9$	$163 \pm 6.4$	179 ± 11.3	191 ± 4.5
	1000	141 ± 6.1	137 ± 3.2	156 ± 10.8	172 ± 14.6	152 ± 8.5	171 ± 9.8
	3333	$157 \pm 6.9$	129 ± 6.8	179 ± 11.0	$150 \pm 8.7$	155 ± 10.4	$184 \pm 4.7$
	10000	140 ± 12.0	$134 \pm 6.1$	$183 \pm 6.4$	$140 \pm 7.0$	166 ± 8.4	169 ± 9.0
Trial Sumn	nary	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
Positive co	ntrol (c)	902 ± 44.4	1058 ± 81.4	1748 ± 53.5	1163 ± 22.3	1213 ± 19.9	1053 ± 37.0
TA98	0	15 ± 0.6	$14 \pm 2.3$	$30 \pm 0.6$	$25 \pm 2.3$	$26 \pm 2.9$	30 ± 1.2
	100	$12 \pm 1.0$	$12 \pm 0.3$	$28 \pm 2.2$	$26 \pm 3.2$	$30 \pm 1.9$	$27 \pm 1.2$
	333	$14 \pm 2.6$	11 ± 1.2	$27 \pm 2.3$	19 ± 1.5	$30 \pm 3.8$	$27 \pm 2.8$
	1000	$15 \pm 0.3$	$13 \pm 2.7$	$32 \pm 2.4$	$19 \pm 0.7$	$31 \pm 2.6$	$22 \pm 4.8$
	3333	14 ± 2.3	$15 \pm 0.9$	$31 \pm 3.5$	$17 \pm 2.0$	$27 \pm 3.2$	$29 \pm 0.6$
	10000	14 ± 1.2	$12 \pm 0.9$	$32 \pm 5.0$	22 ± 2.5	26 ± 6.6	$26 \pm 0.6$
Trial Sumn	nary	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
	ntrol (c)	872 ± 8.5	619 ± 41.0	959 ± 17.6	282 ± 30.2	333 ± 11.8	298 ± 13.2

<sup>(</sup>a) Study performed at SRI, International. The detailed protocol and these data are presented in Zeiger et al. (1988). Cells and study compound or solvent (dimethylsulfoxide) were incubated in the absence of exogenous metabolic activation (-S9) or with Aroclor 1254-induced S9 from male Syrian hamster liver or male Sprague Dawley rat liver. High dose was limited to 10 mg/plate; 0  $\mu$ g/plate dose is the solvent control. (b) Revertants are presented as mean  $\pm$  the standard error from 3 plates.

<sup>(</sup>c) Positive control; 2-aminoanthracene was used for all strains in the presence of S9. In the absence of metabolic activation, 4-nitro-o-phenylenediamine was tested on TA98, sodium azide was tested on TA100 and TA1535, and 9aminoacridine was tested on TA97.

TABLE B2 Induction of Sister-Chromatid Exchanges in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells by Castor Oil (a)

Summary:   Negative   Negative		Dose (μg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Chromo- somes	No. of SCEs	SCEs/ Chromo some	SCEs/ Cell	Hrs in BrdU	Increase Over Control % (b)
Dimethylsulfoxide	-S9 (c)								
Castor oil  Castor oil  160 50 1049 394 0.37 7.9 26.0  Castor oil  160 50 1050 379 0.36 7.6 26.0 3.90 500 50 1049 429 0.40 8.6 26.0 8.88 1600 50 1050 422 0.40 8.6 26.0 7.00 5000 50 1050 430 0.40 8.6 26.0 9.03  Mitomycin-C (e)  0.0005 50 1048 509 0.48 10.2 26.0 29.31 0.0050 10 210 278 1.32 27.8 26.0 252.46  TREND (f): 1.78 PROBABILITY: 0.037  +\$9 (d)  Summary: Negative  Dimethylsulfoxide  50 1049 404 0.38 8.1 26.0  Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91	Summary	: Negative							
Castor oil    160   50   1050   379   0.36   7.6   26.0   -3.90     500   50   1049   429   0.40   8.6   26.0   8.88     1600   50   1050   422   0.40   8.4   26.0   7.00     5000   50   1050   430   0.40   8.6   26.0   9.03    Mitomycin-C (e)    0.0005   50   1048   509   0.48   10.2   26.0   29.31     0.0050   10   210   278   1.32   27.8   26.0   252.46      TREND (f):  1.78   1.78     PROBABILITY:  0.037     +\$9 (d)  Summary: Negative    Dimethylsulfoxide	Dimethylsulf	foxide							
160			50	1049	394	0.37	7.9	26.0	
500 50 1049 429 0.40 8.6 26.0 8.88 1600 50 1050 422 0.40 8.4 26.0 7.00 5000 50 1050 422 0.40 8.4 26.0 7.00 5000 50 1050 430 0.40 8.6 26.0 9.03  Mitomycin-C (e)	Castor oil	400	50	1050	070	0.00	7.0	20.0	2.22
1600   50   1050   422   0.40   8.4   26.0   7.00									
Mitomycin-C (e)  0.0005 50 1048 509 0.48 10.2 26.0 29.31 0.0050 10 210 278 1.32 27.8 26.0 252.46  TREND (f): 1.78 PROBABILITY: 0.037  +\$9 (d)  Summary: Negative  Dimethylsulfoxide  50 1049 404 0.38 8.1 26.0  Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244									
Mitomycin-C (e)  0.0005 50 1048 509 0.48 10.2 26.0 29.31 0.0050 10 210 278 1.32 27.8 26.0 252.46  TREND (f): 1.78 PROBABILITY: 0.037  +\$9 (d)  Summary: Negative  Dimethylsulfoxide  50 1049 404 0.38 8.1 26.0  Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  17REND (f): 0.244									
0.0005 50 1048 509 0.48 10.2 26.0 29.31 252.46  TREND (f): 1.78 PROBABILITY: 0.037  +\$9 (d)  Summary: Negative  Dimethylsulfoxide  50 1049 404 0.38 8.1 26.0  Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244		0000	00	1000	100	0.10	0.0	20.0	0.00
## 1.32	Mitomycin-C								
TREND (f): 1.78 PROBABILITY: 0.037  +S9 (d)  Summary: Negative  Dimethylsulfoxide  50 1049 404 0.38 8.1 26.0  Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  Cyclophosphamide (e)  TREND (f): 0.244									
PROBABILITY: 0.037  Summary: Negative  Dimethylsulfoxide  50 1049 404 0.38 8.1 26.0  Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244		0.0050	10	210	278	1.32	27.8	26.0	252.46
PROBABILITY: 0.037  Summary: Negative  Dimethylsulfoxide  50 1049 404 0.38 8.1 26.0  Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244					TREND (f):	1 78			
#\$9 (d)  Summary: Negative  Dimethylsulfoxide  50 1049 404 0.38 8.1 26.0  Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244									
Dimethylsulfoxide  50 1049 404 0.38 8.1 26.0  Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244	+S9 (d)				-				
Dimethylsulfoxide  50 1049 404 0.38 8.1 26.0  Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244	Summary	r: Negative							
Castor oil  Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244	_	_							
Castor oil  160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244	Dimethylsulf	foxide	<b>5</b> 0	1010	404	0.20	0.4	26.0	
160 50 1050 402 0.38 8.0 26.0 -0.59 500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244			50	1049	404	0.38	0.1	20.0	
500 50 1049 363 0.34 7.3 26.0 -10.15 1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244	Castor oil								
1600 50 1050 427 0.40 8.5 26.0 5.59 5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244		160	50	1050	402	0.38	8.0	26.0	-0.59
5000 50 1049 399 0.38 8.0 26.0 -1.24  Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244		500	50	1049	363	0.34	7.3	26.0	-10.15
Cyclophosphamide (e)  0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244		1600	50	1050	427	0.40	8.5	26.0	5.59
0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91 TREND (f): 0.244		5000	50	1049	399	0.38	8.0	26.0	-1.24
0.10 50 1049 531 0.50 10.6 26.0 31.44 0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91 TREND (f): 0.244	Cyclophosp	hamide (e)							
0.60 10 210 228 1.08 22.8 26.0 181.91  TREND (f): 0.244	Эусторнозр	` '	50	1049	531	0.50	10.6	26.0	31.44
TREND (f): 0.244									
		0.00					0	_0.0	
PROBABILITY: 0.403					TREND (f):	0.244			
					PROBABILITY:	0.403			

<sup>(</sup>a) Study performed at Environmental Health Research & Testing, Inc. SCE = sister-chromatid exchange; BrdU = bromodeoxyuridine. A detailed description of the SCE protocol is presented by Galloway et al. (1985, 1987). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent (dimethylsulfoxide) as described in (c) and (d) below and cultured for sufficient time to reach second metaphase division. Cells were then collected by mitotic shake-off, fixed, air-dried, and stained.

<sup>(</sup>b) SCEs/chromosome of culture exposed to study chemical relative to those of culture exposed to solvent.

<sup>(</sup>c) In the absence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 h at 37°C. Then BrdU was added and incubation was continued for 24 h. Cells were washed, fresh medium containing BrdU and colcemid was added, and incubation was continued for 3 h.

<sup>(</sup>d) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 h at 37°C. The cells were then washed, and medium containing BrdU without study compound was added. Cells were incubated for a further 26 h, with colcemid present for the final 2-3 h. S9 was from the livers of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

<sup>(</sup>e) Positive controls.

<sup>(</sup>f) Statistics performed on SCE/chromosome values.

**TABLE B3 Induction of Chromosomal Aberrations in Chinese Hamster** Ovary Cells by Castor Oil (a)

		-S9 (b)					+S9 (c)		
Dose (mg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Abs	Abs/ Cell	Percent Cells with Abs	Dose (mg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Abs	Abs/ Cell	Percent Cells with Abs
Harvest tim	e: 12.0 hou	ırs			Harvest time: 13	3.0 hours			
Dimethylsulf	oxide				Dimethylsulfoxide	е			
	200	2	0.01	1.0		200	3	0.02	1.5
Castor oil					Castor oil				
1600	200	1	0.01	0.5	1600	200	4	0.02	2.0
3000	200	2	0.01	1.0	3000	200	4	0.02	2.0
5000	200	1	0.01	0.5	5000	200	3	0.02	1.5
Summary: N	legative				Summary: Negat	ive			
Mitomycin-C	; (d)				Cyclophosphami	de (d)			
0.0625	200	48	0.24	15.5	2.50	200	44	0.22	16.0
0.2500	50	16	0.32	26.0	7.50	50	18	0.36	30.0
TRENI PROBABILIT	O(e): -0.344 Y: 0.634				TREN PROBABIL	ND(e): 0.01 .ITY: 0.49			

<sup>(</sup>a) Study performed at Environmental Health Research & Testing, Inc. Abs = aberrations. A detailed presentation of the technique for detecting chromosomal aberrations is found in Galloway *et al.* (1985, 1987). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent (dimethylsulfoxide) as indicated in (b) and (c). Cells were arrested in first metaphase by addition of colcemid and harvested by mitotic shake off, fixed, and stained in 6% Giemsa.

<sup>(</sup>b) In the absence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 10 h at 37°C. Cells were then washed and fresh medium containing colcemid was added for an additional 3 h followed by harvest.

<sup>(</sup>c) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 h at 37°C. Cells were then washed, medium without test compound was added, and incubation was continued for 10 h. Colcemid was added for the last 3 h of incubation before harvest. S9 was from the livers of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

<sup>(</sup>d) Positive controls.(e) Statistics performed on % cells with Abs.

TABLE B4 Frequency of Micronuclei in Peripheral Blood Erythrocytes of B6C3F<sub>1</sub> Mice Exposed to Castor Oil in Dosed Feed for 13 Weeks (a)

Percent in feed	% Normochromatic erythrocytes with micronuclei (b)	% Polychromatic erythrocytes with micronuclei (b)	Number of mice
Male mice:			
0	$0.11 \pm 0.02$	$1.20 \pm 0.08$	10
0.6	$0.13 \pm 0.02$	1.18 ± 0.10	10
1.3	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$1.16 \pm 0.09$	10
2.5	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	$1.24 \pm 0.10$	10
5.0	$0.09 \pm 0.02$	$1.40 \pm 0.11$	9
10.0	$0.09 \pm 0.01$	$1.21 \pm 0.08$	10
Female mice:			
0	$0.10 \pm 0.01$	$1.18 \pm 0.07$	10
0.6	$0.09 \pm 0.01$	1.21 ± 0.10	10
1.3	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	$1.11 \pm 0.08$	9
2.5	$0.09 \pm 0.02$	$1.11 \pm 0.08$	10
5.0	$0.09 \pm 0.01$	$1.49 \pm 0.18$	10
10.0	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$1.00 \pm 0.10$	10
Urethane (c)			
0.2%	$1.68 \pm 0.25$ (d)	1.71 ± 0.25 (d)	3

<sup>(</sup>a) Smears were prepared from peripheral blood samples obtained by cardiac puncture of dosed and control animals at the termination of the 13 week study. Slides were stained with Hoechst 33258/pyronin Y (MacGregor et al., 1983). At least 2000 PCE and 10000 NCE from each animal were scored for micronuclei. No significant elevation in the frequency of micronucleated erythrocytes was observed in either male or female mice administered castor oil in dosed feed.

<sup>(</sup>b) Values are Mean ± Standard Error of the Mean.

<sup>(</sup>c) Positive control: Male mice treated for 4 weeks with urethane in the drinking water (0.2%). These animals were not part of the 13-week study, but were added as a measure of quality control for the assay.

<sup>(</sup>d) Significantly different from control groups by Shirley's test (Shirley, 1977), p <0.05. (None noted)