National Cancer Institute CARCINOGENESIS Technical Report Series No. 11 1977

BIOASSAY OF TRISODIUM ETHYLENEDIAMINETETRAACETATE TRIHYDRATE (EDTA) FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

CAS No. 150-38-9

NCI-CG-TR-11

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health



BIOASSAY OF

TRISODIUM ETHYLENEDIAMINETETRAACETATE TRIHYDRATE

FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogen Bioassay and Program Resources Branch Carcinogenesis Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 77-811

BIOASSAY OF

TRISODIUM ETHYLENEDIAMINETETRAACETATE TRIHYDRATE

For Possible Carcinogenicity

Carcinogenesis Program

Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention

National Cancer Institute

National Institute of Health

<u>CONTRIBUTORS</u>: This report presents the results of the bioassay of trisodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate trihydrate (Na₃EDTA·3H₂O) for possible carcinogenicity, conducted by the Carcinogen Bioassay and Program Resources Branch, Carcinogenesis Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute (NCI), Bethesda, Maryland. The bioassay was conducted at Litton Bionetics, Inc., Kensington, Maryland, initially under direct contract to the NCI and currently under a subcontract to Tracor Jitco, Inc., the prime contractor for the NCI carcinogen bioassay program.

The experimental design was determined, and the doses were selected, by Drs. E. K. Weisburger¹ and J. H. Weisburger^{1,2}. Animal treatment and observations were supervised by Drs. B. M. Ulland^{3,4} and F. M. Garner³, with the technical assistance of Mr. J. D. Farmer³, Ms. H. I. Ruckenbrad³, Mr. D. J. Howard³, and Mr. H. D. Thornett³.

Histopathology was performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. by Drs. A. de Paoli and J. Wosu for rats and by Dr. A. de Paoli for mice, under the supervision of Dr. F. M. Garner, and the diagnoses included in this report represent their interpretation. Pathologists at NCI and Tracor Jitco, Inc. have reviewed the pathology report and concur with the overall pathologic evaluation of the study.

iii

Compilation of tables of individual animal survival and tables of neoplasms and of nonneoplastic lesions was performed by EG&G Mason Research Institute⁶. Statistical analyses were performed by Dr. J. R. Joiner⁵, using methods selected for the bioassay program by Dr. J. J. Gart⁷.

This report was prepared at Tracor Jitco under the direction of NCI. Those responsible for the report at Tracor Jitco were Dr. J. F. Robens⁵, toxicologist; Dr. S. S. Olin⁵, chemist; Ms. L. A. Waitz⁵, bioscience writer; and Dr. E. W. Gunberg⁵, technical editor. The final report was reviewed by members of the participating organizations¹, 3, 5.

¹Carcinogenesis Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

²Now with the Naylor Dana Institute for Disease Prevention, American Health Foundation, Hammond House Road, Valhalla, New York.

- ³Litton Bionetics, Inc., 5516 Nicholson Lane, Kensington, Maryland.
- ⁴Now with Hazleton Laboratories, Inc., 9200 Leesburg Turnpike, Vienna, Virginia.

⁵Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.

⁶EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.

⁷Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Field Studies and Statistics, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

iv

SUMMARY

A bioassay of the chelating agent, trisodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate trihydrate $(Na_3EDTA\cdot 3H_20)$, for possible carcinogenicity was conducted by administering the test material in feed to Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. The chemical was administered to 50 males and 50 females of each species at low and high concentrations, 3,750 and 7,500 ppm, for 103 weeks. Matched-control groups were composed of 20 males and 20 females of each species.

No compound-related signs of clinical toxicity were noted. Although a variety of tumors occurred among test and control animals of both species, no tumors were related to treatment. Since survival was satisfactory and showed no consistent variation among test and control groups, the absence of treatmentrelated tumors could not be attributed to early mortality.

v

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Materials and Methods	3
	 A. Chemical B. Dietary Preparation C. Animals D. Animal Maintenance E. Subchronic Studies F. Design of Chronic Studies G. Clinical and Pathologic Examinations H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses 	3 4 5 6 7 9 10
III.	Results - Rats	13
	 A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats) B. Survival (Rats) C. Pathology (Rats) D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats) 	13 13 16 17
IV.	Results - Mice	29
	 A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice) B. Survival (Mice) C. Pathology (Mice) D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice) 	29 29 32 33
V.	Discussion	43
VI.	Bibliography	47
	APPENDIXES	
Appendix .	A Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Rats Fed EDTA in the Diet	51
Table Al	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats Fed EDTA in the Diet	53
Table A2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats Fed EDTA in the Diet	57

vii

-

Appendix B	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Mice Fed EDTA in the Diet	61
Table Bl	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice Fed EDTA in the Diet	63
Table B2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Fed EDTA in the Diet	66
Appendix C	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Rats Fed EDTA in the Diet	69
Table Cl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Fed EDTA in the Diet	71
Table C2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats Fed EDTA in the Diet	75
Appendix D	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Mice Fed EDTA in the Diet	79
Table Dl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Fed EDTA in the Diet	81
Table D2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Fed EDTA in the Diet	85
	Tables	
Table l	Design of Chronic Study of EDTA	8
Table 2	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites in Male Rats Fed EDTA in the Diet	18
Table 3	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites in Female Rats	

viii

Fed EDTA in the Diet.....

Page

Page

Table 5	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary	
	Tumors at Specific Sites in Female Mice	
	Fed EDTA in the Diet	38

<u>Figures</u>

Figure l	Growth Curves for Rats Fed EDTA in the Diet	14
Figure 2	Survival Curves for Rats Fed EDTA in the Diet	15
Figure 3	Growth Curves for Mice Fed EDTA in the Diet	30
Figure 4	Survival Curves for Mice Fed EDTA in the Diet	31

ix

Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) is a synthetic aminopolycarboxylic acid chelating agent. It has been used extensively as a food additive to sequester trace metals that catalyze the oxidation of oils, vitamins, and unsaturated fats and cause rancidity, flavor changes, and discoloration (Furia, 1975). Permissible levels of calcium disodium EDTA in food range from 25 800 ppm (Food and Drug Administration, 1974), and to an acceptable daily intake of 2.5 mg/kg has been established (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, 1974). EDTA has also been used to control the interactions of trace metals in formulations of liquid soaps, cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals, in metal working, in pulp and paper processing, in rubber and polymer chemistry, and in textile processing and dyeing (Dow Calcium disodium EDTA has been used Chemical Company, 1974). primarily in the treatment of metal poisoning, although toxicity to the renal proximal tubules necessitates precautions with this therapy (Foreman et al., 1956).

EDTA was selected for testing because of structural and functional similarity to another chelating agent, namely, nitrilotriacetic acid trisodium salt ($Na_3NTA \cdot H_20$), which also was tested in this program.

A. Chemical

The trisodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate trihydrate (Na₃EDTA·3H₂0) used in this study was supplied by Pfaltz & Bauer, The chemical is hereinafter referred to Inc., Flushing, N. Y. Its molecular weight is 410.84, of which 70% is as EDTA. ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. Identity of the material was verified by infrared and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. The NMR analysis showed that the compound was in the trihydrate form. The sodium content as determined by flame emission spectrophotometry was 17.1%, compared to a theoretical content of 16.8% for the trisodium salt trihydrate. Thin-layer chromatography in two solvent systems produced a single spot as visualized by iodine vapor, methyl red, and phenol red indicator and amine-specific ferric chloride - potassium ferricyanide spray.

B. Dietary Preparation

A 6-kilogram batch of dosed feed was prepared in a hooded twin-shell blender two times a week for mice and three times a week for rats. Preparations and chemicals were refrigerated at 4°C until they were used.

Analyses were performed, using FDA methods (Food and Drug Administration, 1965) to determine the efficiency of the mixing procedure and the stability of the test chemical in feed. Samples were taken from the bottom and two wings of the blender, and analyses were performed in triplicate; the error is reported as the standard deviation. Recoveries were found to be 90.3 \pm 1.4% of the theoretical value at 7,500 ppm EDTA and 90.4 \pm 3.4% of the theoretical value at 3,750 ppm. Analyses performed on samples from the same batches after 12 days of storage at ambient temperature gave 95.5 \pm 2.7% of the theoretical value at 7,500 ppm and 92.1 \pm 5.7% at 3.750 ppm. It was concluded from these results that the preparations contained reasonably accurate concentrations of EDTA and were mixed homogeneously, and that the chemical was stable in feed for at least a week. When concentrations of EDTA were rechecked near termination of the chronic study, the accuracy of mixing and the homogeneity of the mixtures was reconfirmed.

C. Animals

Inbred Fischer 344 rats were received from A. R. Schmidt, Madison, Wisconsin, and hybrid B6C3F1 mice from the Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Wilmington, Massachusetts, at 28 days of age. These laboratories were under contract to the Division of Cancer Treatment, NCI, to provide animals used for testing. On

arrival at the laboratory, the animals were quarantined for 14 days to acclimate them to the laboratory environment and to allow observation of their physical condition. Animals were considered acceptable for testing if they had no clinical signs of disease and were within a weight range of 19-22 g for mice and 85-110 g for rats at the end of the quarantine period. Animals were weighed individually and segregated into equal weight groups. Cage assignments were then made by choosing one animal from each such group, so that the total animal weight was the same in each cage.

D. Animal Maintenance

During the chronic study rats were housed four per cage, and mice, five per cage in solid polycarbonate cages suspended on moveable racks. All cages were lined with heat-treated hardwood chip bedding and covered with filter paper over a wire mesh screen. Clean cages and fresh bedding were provided twice a week. Water bottles were sanitized and refilled with acidulated water (pH 2.5) twice a week. Test diets were prepared with Wayne[®] Lab Blox Meal (Allied Mills, Inc.) that was also used as feed for the control animals. Feed was available <u>ad libitum</u> and replaced three times a week. Air in the animal rooms was changed 15 times per hour and exhausted through HEPA filters. Animal rooms were negatively pressurized with respect to the clean hall

and positively pressurized with respect to the dirty hall. The room air was maintained at $21-25^{\circ}$ C and 45-55% humidity. Rooms were illuminated by fluorescent lighting for 8 hours a day.

Rats and mice were housed in separate rooms. Matched controls were housed with the respective test animals. Animals treated with EDTA were maintained in rooms with animals of the same species being treated with other chemicals as follows:

Rats

iodomethanesulfonic acid, sodium salt
3-hydroxy-(3 alpha, 5 beta)cholan-24-oic acid
3,3-dimethyl-2-oxethanone

Mice

hydroxytriphenylstannane 4,4'-diisocyanato-3,3'-dimethoxy-1,1'-biphenyl N-(aminocarbonyl)-2-bromo-2-ethylbutanamide N,N'-diethylthiourea mono(2,2-dimethylhydrazine)butanedioic acid iodomethanesulfonic acid, sodium salt 2,5-cyclohexadiene-1,4-dione, dioxime 3-hydroxy-(3 alpha, 5 beta)cholan-24-oic acid 4-amino-2-nitrophenol

E. Subchronic Studies

Feeding studies were conducted to estimate the maximum tolerated doses in order to determine the high and low concentrations (hereinafter referred to as "high doses" and "low doses") to be administered in the chronic studies. The low doses given in the chronic studies were 1/2 of the high doses. In the subchronic

studies EDTA was added to the animal feed at five dietary concentrations: 4,640, 6,800, 10,000, 14,700, and 21,600 ppm. The compound was provided in feed to experimental groups of five male and five female animals of each species for 7 weeks, followed by a 1-week period of observation.

During these studies soft stools, a sign of compound-related toxicity (Foreman, 1953; Yang, 1964), were noted at 10,000 ppm and above in male rats and at 14,700 ppm and above in female rats. After 8 weeks, body weights of the treated rats at all doses were comparable to the weights of the matched controls. Gross pathologic examinations showed no signs of organ toxicity in rats. In mice, depression of weight was noted in males at 21,600 ppm and in females at 6,800 ppm and above. One male receiving 21,600 ppm died. There were no pathologic changes in any animals detected at necropsy. The low and high doses for both species were set at 3,750 and 7,500 ppm.

F. Design of Chronic Studies

The design of the chronic studies, including both test and matched-control groups, is shown in table 1.

Species, Sex	Initial	EDTA	Time or	n Study
and Treatment	No. of	in Diet	Treated	Untreated
Group	Animals	<u>(ppm)</u>	<u>(weeks)</u>	(weeks)
RATS				
Male				
Matched-Control	20	0	0	104
Low-Dose	50	3,750	103	1
High-Dose	50	7,500	103	1
Female				
Matched-Control	20	0	0	104
Low-Dose	50	3,750	103	1
High-Dose	50	7,500	103	1
MICE				
Male				
Matched-Control	20	0	0	104
Low-Dose	50	3,750	103	1
High-Dose	50	7,500	103	1
Female				
Matched-Control	20	0	0	104
Low-Dose	50	3,750	103	104
High-Dose	50	7,500	103	1
5	-	·		

All animals were observed twice daily for signs of toxicity, weighed at regular intervals, and palpated for masses at each weighing. Those animals appearing moribund at the time of clinical examination were killed and necropsied.

The pathologic evaluation consisted of gross and microscopic examination of all major tissues, organs, or gross lesions taken from killed animals and, when feasible, from animals found dead. The following tissues and organs were routinely subjected to microscopic examination: skin, lymph nodes, mammary gland, salivary gland, bone marrow, trachea, lungs and bronchi, heart, thyroids, parathyroids, esophagus, stomach, small intestine, large intestine, liver, gallbladder, pancreas, spleen, kidneys, adrenals, urinary bladder, prostate or uterus, testis or ovary, brain, and pituitary. Occasionally additional tissues were also examined microscopically. The different tissues were preserved in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. An occasional section was subjected to special staining techniques for more definitive diagnosis.

A few tissues were not examined for some animals, particularly for those that died early. Also, some animals were missing, cannibalized, or judged to be in such an advanced state of

autolysis as to preclude histopathologic interpretation. Thus, the number of animals for which particular organs, tissues, or lesions were examined microscopically varies and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on experiment in each group.

H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Pertinent data on this experiment have been recorded in an automatic data processing system, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, animal weight, and individual pathologic results as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Data tables were generated for verification of data transcription and for statistical review.

Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and presented in this report in the form of graphs. Deaths due to accident or scheduled deaths are treated as censored observations and all other deaths are uncensored. Statistical tests of differences in survival between groups are compared using the method of Cox (1972) for two groups and an extension of this method by Tarone (1975) for more than two groups.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions is given as the proportion of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals examined pathologically at that site (denominator). For the organs and tissues in which most of the lesions appeared, the denominators included only those animals for which such sites were examined histologically. For tissues that required gross observation for detection of lesions (e.g., skin or mammary tumors), for lesions that appeared at several sites (e.g., lymphomas), or for tissues that were examined histologically only when lesions were detected grossly, the denominators consisted of the numbers of animals necropsied.

Statistical analysis of the incidence of tumors was made using the Fisher exact test (Cox, 1970) to compare a control group to a group of treated animals at each dose. In addition, the Armitage and Cochran test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971), was used. This test, assuming a linear trend, determines if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero, at the 0.05 level of significance. The method also calculates the level of probability of a departure from linear trend.

A conservative adjustment, the Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966), was used for simultaneous comparison of several treated

groups with a control group. For the comparison of results obtained with k different test doses with those for a control, this correction requires a level of significance less than or equal to 0.05/k for the overall comparison to be significant at the 0.05 level. This adjustment was not made in the tables where the Fisher exact test results are shown but is discussed in the analysis when appropriate.

As an additional analysis, the exact 95% confidence interval for the odds ratio (Gart, 1970) between each of the treated groups and its control was calculated. The odds ratio is $p_t(1-p_c)/p_c$ $(1-p_t)$ where p_t is the true binomial probability of tumor in a treated animal and p_c is the true spontaneous tumor probability in the controls. The hypothesis of equality between the true proportion of a specific tumor in a treated group and that in a control is expressed by an odds ratio of 1 (one). Values in excess of 1 (one) represent the condition of a larger proportion in the treated group than in the control. The confidence interval entries in the statistical tables of this report represent the conversion of each odds ratio to the difference in probabilities, p_t-p_c , where $p_t-p_c = 0$ implies an odds ratio of 1 (one).

III. <u>RESULTS - RATS</u>

A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)

Average body weights of treated male and female rats were comparable to those of the matched controls throughout the study (figure 1). No significant signs were observed among test animals during the first year of the study. In the 6 months preceding termination of the test, corneal opacities, ascites, and urine stains, occurred in both treatment and control groups.

B. Survival (Rats)

Curves showing the probability of survival of treated and control rats are shown in figure 2. The male rats exhibited a negative dose-related trend in survival with the probability level of P =0.103; the treated and control groups of male rats can thus be considered as comparable to each other in survival. The female rats also exhibited a negative dose-related trend in survival, but in this case the effect was statisically significant (P =0.029).



Figure 1. Growth Curves for Rats Fed EDTA in the Diet



Figure 2. Survival Curves for Rats Fed EDTA in the Diet

C. Pathology (Rats)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are tabulated in Appendix A, tables Al and A2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are tabulated in Appendix C, tables Cl and C2. The incidence of neoplasms was high in the reproductive and endocrine systems and lower in the hematopoietic, respiratory, integumentary, and digestive systems. No neoplasms were observed in the nervous, musculoskeletal, or urinary systems or in organs of special sense.

Interstitial-cell tumors of the testes were observed in nearly all male rats in each feeding group. This high incidence of interstitial-cell tumors in both treated and control animals reflects this commonly occurring age-related lesion in the male Fischer 344 rat.

In females the distribution of neoplasms in the reproductive system among control and treated rats was random, the tumors occurred mainly in the uterus. The majority of these were endometrial stromal polyps. However, one adenocarcinoma and one leiomyosarcoma occurred in high-dose animals. An ovarian cystadenoma was detected in a single low-dose rat.

A variety of endocrine tumors were found, some types occurring only in treated animals. However, these tumors occurred in low

numbers and have frequently been seen in untreated animals in other studies. Therefore, they are probably unrelated to treatment.

A number of tumors occurred in other organ systems of both sexes, controls as well as treated animals. In some instances the incidence of tumors in the controls exceeded that of the treated animals. With the possible exception of endocrine tumors in the males, no clear association between the incidence of tumors, treatment, or sex could be established.

Inflammatory and degenerative changes were observed in about the same frequency in all groups. These lesions appeared to be related to age and not to the administration of the chemical.

In the judgment of the pathologist, the nature, incidence, and severity of the lesions observed in this study provide no clear evidence of carcinogenic effect in rats.

D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)

Tables 2 and 3 list those tumors that occurred in more than 5% of the rats in a given treated group or appeared in a larger proportion in the treated group when compared to the matched controls. There was no other control group whose environment, period of test, and pathologic diagnosis were comparable to the

Specific Sites	iet ^a
umors at	in the D
Primary 1	dium Salt
Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites	in Male Rats Fed EDTA Trisodium Salt in the Diet ^a
Analyse	in Mal
Table 2.	÷

Tonooranku. Moraholoou	Matched Control	Low Doce	High Doco
TOPOSTAPILY. THAT PROTOSY	CONTRACT	Duac	260/
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia, Malignant Lymphoma and Lymphocytic Leukemia ^b	3/20 (0.15)	4/50 (0.08)	4/50 (0.08)
ף Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.25,0.08)	(-0.25,0.08)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	76	104	102
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma ^b	2/20 (0.10)	5/49 (0.10)	4/50 (0.08)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d	3	(-0.19,0.12)	(-0.19.0.09)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	104	104	67

	M - L - L	T	
Topography: Morphology	matched Control	Low Dose	нıgn Dose
Thyroid: C-cell Adenoma ^b	0/17 (0.00)	6/35 (0.17)	3/38 (0.08)
P Values ^c	N.S.	P = 0.080	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.07,1.00)	(-0.09,1.00)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	1	104	104
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma ^b	0/18 (0.00)	3/47 (0.06)	5/44 (0.11)
P Values ^c	P = 0.089	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.09,1.00)	(-0.09,1.00)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	ł	88	104

Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites in Male Rats Fed EDTA Trisodium Salt in the Diet^a

Table 2.

(continued)			
Τοποστασhv: Μοτυμο]οσν	Matched Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma and Carcinoma ^b	1/18 (0.06)	2/50 (0.04)	3/49 (0.06)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.14,0.06)	(-0.15,0.08)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	104	95	67
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma and Neoplastic Nodule ^b	0/20 (0.00)	1/48 (0.02)	1/50 (0.02)
P Values ^c	N • S•	N.S.	N.S.
95 % Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.05,1.00)	(-0.05,1.00)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	ł	104	104
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		104	

Table 2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites

In Male Kats	IN MALE KAUS FED EVIA IFISODIUM SAIL IN LNE VIEL	t in the Dieta	
(continued)			
in the manager of the first structure of the first representation of the representation of the management of the structure of the	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Testis: Interstitial-cell Tumor ^b	19/20 (0.95)	43/50 (0.86)	44/50 (0.88)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.14,0.13)	(-0.14,0.13)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	88	85	95
^a Treated groups received average doses	average doses of 3,750 and 7,500 ppm in feed.	in feed.	
^b Number of tumor-bearing animals/numbe	animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).	t site (proportion).	
^C Beneath the proportions for the matched-control group are the probability levels (P values) for the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when P is below 0.10; otherwise, N.S. (not significant) is indicated. Beneath the proportions for the treated groups are the P values for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of the treated groups with the matched-control group when P is below 0.05; otherwise, N.S. is indicated.	or the matched-control group are the probability levels (P values) test for dose-related trend in proportions when P is below 0.10; ifficant) is indicated. or the treated groups are the P values for the Fisher exact (condiarison of the treated groups with the matched-control group when P N.S. is indicated.	e probability levels (ortions when P is belo ues for the Fisher exa he matched-control gro	? values) v 0.10; tt (condi- ip when P

^d95% confidence interval of the difference in proportions of treated group and matched-control group.

1

Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites in Male Rats Fed EDTA Trisodium Salt in the Diet^a Table 2.

Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Leukemia and Lymphocytic Leukemia ^b	1/20 (0.05)	8/50 (0.16)	0/50 (0.00)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.11,0.18)	(-1.00,0.02)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	104	80	1
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma ^b	1/20 (0.05)	1/49 0.02)	3/48 (0.06)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.10,0.04)	(-0.14,0.08)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	98	104	104
بلوجين من فقة المتحدة ، في من الله عن الن الن عن المتحدة من الن عن المحدة من الن عن المحدة المح			

Table 3. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites

(continued)			
Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Thyroid: C-cell Adenoma ^b	0/11 (0.00)	0/36 (0.00)	1/37 (0.03)
P Values ^C	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d			(-0.08,1.00)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	}	2	104
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma ^b	6/19 (0.32)	10/48 (0.21)	11/50 (0.22)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.37,0.13)	(-0.38,0.12)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	95	104	104

Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites in Female Rats Fed EDTA Trisodium Salt in the Diet^a Table 3.

Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites in Female Rats Fed EDTA Trisodium Salt in the Diet^a Table 3.

(continued)

(continued)			
	Matched	Low	High
городгариу: потриотоду	CONCROT	vose	1056
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma ^b	0/20 (0.00)	3/48 (0.06)	2/48 (0.04)
P Values ^c	M.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.08,1.00)	(-0.07,1.00)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	ł	104	104
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule ^b	0/20 (0.00)	1/48 (0.02)	0/48 (0.00)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.05,1.00)	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	1	104	1

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Contro1	Dose	Dose
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp ^b	5/20 (0.25)	6/50 (0.12)	7/50 (0.14)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.35,0.07)	(-0.34,0.09)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	104	96	85
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma ^b	4/20 (0.20)	3/50 (0.06)	3/50 (0.06)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.29,0.04)	(-0.29,0.04)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	85	96	97

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites in Female Rats Fed EDTA Trisodium Salt in the Diet^a Table 3.
Table 3. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites in Female Rats Fed EDTA Trisodium Salt in the Dieta(continued)CBeneath the proportions for the matched-control group are the probability levels (P values) for the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when P is below 0.10; otherwise, N.S. (not significant) is indicated.Beneath the proportions for the treated groups are the P values for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of the treated groups with the matched-control group when P is below 0.05; otherwise, N.S. is indicated.	^d 95% confidence interval of the difference in proportions of treated group and matched-control group.		
---	--	--	--

matched-control group used in this analysis, so no pooledcontrol group was used.

No tumor appeared in a statistically significant (P < 0.05) positive trend in either sex. The Cox test for positive trend (unadjusted for time of appearance) has a probability level of P = 0.089 for chromophobe adenoma of the pituitary, and the Fisher exact test for the comparison of C-cell adenomas of the thyroid of the low-dose and untreated males had a probability level of P = 0.08. Except for these two results, all statistical tests showed probability levels higher than 0.10.

As an additional statistical test, the 95% confidence interval of each group was calculated and entered in the tables. The implication of this interval is that in 95/100 (95%) of a large number of similar experiments, the true difference between the tumor rate for the treated group of animals and the rate for the control group would be inside the interval calculated from the experiment. In each of the intervals shown in the tables, zero is included; this indicates the negative aspects of the results. It should also be noted that each of the intervals has a positive endpoint, indicating the theoretical possibility of tumor induction by EDTA, which was not detected under the conditions of this test.

,

A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)

In male mice only the high-dose group showed throughout most of the test period a decrease in average body weight compared to the controls (figure 3). In female mice average body weights of the treatment groups were depressed in a dose-related manner during the test period, although the effect was small (figure 3). Few clinical signs of toxicity appeared in mice during the test. Ataxia occurred in a low-dose male at 8 months, and ascites was noted in mice of both sexes during the second year of the study.

B. Survival (Mice)

Curves for the probability of survival of treated and control mice are shown in figure 4. There was no statistically significant difference in survival between the different groups, and this finding applied to both sexes. In male mice, 5/50 (10%) of the low-dose group, 2/50 (4%) of the high-dose group, and 1/20 (5%) of the matched controls were accidentally killed or missing at 26 weeks or before and are, therefore, censored at the time of death in the survival curve. While there was a higher rate of mortality in the treated male groups than in the control males,



Figure 3. Growth Curves for Mice Fed EDTA in the Diet



Figure 4. Survival Curves for Mice Fed EDTA in the Diet

the highest rate was observed in the low-dose group, and therefore the Tarone test for positive trend was not significant.

No low-dose female mice died accidentally (compared to the five low-dose males); however, 1/20 (5%) of the matched-control group and 3/50 (6%) of the high-dose group were lost in this way during the first 22 weeks of study and were censored from the survival curve. The Tarone test for dose-related trend in the female mice resulted in a nonsignificant probability.

C. Pathology (Mice)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are tabulated in Appendix B, tables Bl and B2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are tabulated in Appendix D, tables Dl and D2.

A variety of neoplasms was observed in both treated and control animals. Each type observed has been encountered previously as a spontaneous lesion in the mouse. However, the incidence of neoplasms in all groups was high in the hematopoietic, endocrine, digestive, and respiratory systems. The incidence of neoplasms in other systems was variable.

With the exception of a splenic hemangioma in a control female and a low-dose male, all of the tumors of the hematopoietic system were malignant lymphomas or leukemias.

The distribution of endocrine tumors varied little between treated and control mice; the bulk of such tumors were of the pituitary gland, affecting 12 females and 2 males.

The incidence of hepatic neoplasms was considerably higher in males than in females. The males appeared to have a significant number of hepatocellular adenomas. However, the incidence of these neoplasms in the low-dose (9/44 [20%]) and high-dose (6/47 [13%]) male groups was approximately the same as in the male controls (2/19 [11%]).

Primary neoplasms of the respiratory system were observed in both treated and control groups and were most common in the high-dose males. Even though the greatest incidence of pulmonary neoplasms were found in the high-dose male mice (control 2/18 [11%], low-dose 8/44 [18%], and high-dose 12/45 [26%]), the frequent spontaneous occurrence of neoplasms in the lungs of mice suggest that the distribution observed in this study is probably not related to treatment.

In the judgment of the pathologist, the nature, incidence, and severity of the lesions observed in this study provide no clear evidence of carcinogenic effect of EDTA in mice.

D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)

Tables 4 and 5 list those tumors which occurred in more than 5%

of the mice in a given treated group or appeared in a larger proportion in the treated group when compared to the matched There was no other control group available whose controls. environment, period of test, and pathologic diagnosis were comparable to the matched-control group used in this analysis, so no pooled-control group was used. Tumors of the hematopoietic system, lung, and liver were observed in over 10% of the matched-control males, while tumors of the hematopoietic system and pituitary gland were seen in over 10% of the matched-control Although this relatively high incidence in the matched females. controls may have concealed statistical evidence of carcinogenicity, it should be noted (1) that there were no significant differences in incidence among different treated groups and (2) that these tumors have appeared spontaneously in matched-control groups in other studies.

The only tumor system with a positive dose-related probability level less than 0.10 was alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma or adenoma of the lung (P = 0.096). The Fisher exact test had a probability level of over 0.10 in all comparisons between treated and control groups. There is, therefore, no statistical evidence of carcinogenicity of EDTA at the concentrations administered.

As an additional statistical test, the 95% confidence interval of each group was calculated and entered in the tables. The

Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Low Dose	Hígh Dose
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma ^b	2/20 (0.10)	7/46 (0.15)	7/48 (0.15)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.18,0.19)	(-0.19,0.16)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	16	73	87
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma and Carcinoma ^b	2/18 (0.11)	8/44 (0.18)	12/45 (0.27)
P Values ^c	P = 0.096	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.18,0.20)	(-0.12,0.28)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	66	96

(continued)	وموادعات والمحاولة المحاولة والمحاولة والمحاولة والمحاولة والمحاولة والمحاولة والمحاولة والمحاولة والمحاولة		
Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma ^b	1/13 (0.08)	0/19 (0.00)	1/26 (0.04)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-1.00,0.05)	(-0.15,0.07)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	}	105
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma and Carcinoma ^b	3/19 (0.16)	10/44 (0.23)	10/47 (0.21)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.20,0.23)	(-0.21,0.22)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	103	84	105

Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites in Male Mice Fed EDTA Trisodium Salt in the Diet^a Table 4.

in Male Mice	in Male Mice Fed EDTA Trisodium Salt in the Diet ^a	in the Diet ^a	
(continued)			
	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Thyroid: Follicular-cell Adenoma and Carcinoma ^b	0/10 (0.00)	1/29 (0.03)	1/33 (0.03)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.09,1.00)	(-0.09,1.00)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	1	104	105
	average doses of 3,750 and 7,500 ppm in feed. animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion). for the matched-control group are the probability levels (P values) test for dose-related trend in proportions when P is below 0.10; mificant) is indicated. for the treated groups are the P values for the Fisher exact (condi- parison of the treated groups with the matched-control group when P , N.S. is indicated.	and 7,500 ppm in feed. Is examined at site (proportion). group are the probability levels (trend in proportions when P is belov are the P values for the Fisher exac groups with the matched-control grou	s (P values) elow 0.10; exact (condi- group when P
CONTIGENCE INCELVAL OF LUE UTILETE	טו נוופ טוודפופווכפ זון אנסאטרנוסוא טו נרפשרפט אנטטף שוט ששונטפט-נטחנוטו	נפמרפט אנטטף מווט ווומרכו	TOJNICO-DAU

Table 4. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites

37

group.

Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma ^b	5/19 (0.26)	11/49 (0.23)	12/47 (0.26)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.30,0.19)	(-0.29,0.21)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	85	66	93
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma ^b	0/19 (0.00)	3/47 (0.06)	4/45 (0.09)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.09,1.00)	(-0.09,1.00)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	1	105	102

Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites in Female Mice Fed EDTA Trisodium Salt in the Diet^a Table 5.

(continued)	Matched	1 045	Иźch
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma ^b	2/12 (0.17)	6/34 (0.18)	4/29 (0.14)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.31,0.19)	(-0.32,0.16)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	105	105
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma and Carcinoma ^b	0/19 (0.00)	1/46 (0.02)	1/47 (0.02)
P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.05,1.00)	(-0.05,1.00)
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	1	105	105
والمحافظ والمحاف			

Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors at Specific Sites in Female Mice Fed EDTA Trisodium Salt in the Diet^a Table 5.

Topography:MorphologyMatchedLowHighThyroid:Follicular-cell Adenoma1/12 (0.08)3/33 (0.09)1/34 (0.03)Thyroid:Follicular-cell Adenoma1/12 (0.08)3/33 (0.09)1/34 (0.03)P ValuesCN.S.N.S.N.S.N.S.N.S.95% Confidence Intervald1/12 (0.08)3/33 (0.09)1/34 (0.05)Weeks to First Observed Tumor10599105Treated groups received average doses of 3,750 and 7,500 ppm in feed.99105Phumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).56neath the proportions for the matched-control group are the probability levels (P values) for the contrands est for dose-related trend in proportions when P is below 0.10;Beneath the proportions for the treated groups are the P values for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of the treated groups are the P values for the Fisher exact (conditional) test for the comparison of the treated groups are the P values for the relation 0.10;do5% confidence interval of the difference in proportions of treated group when P is below 0.05; otherwise, N.S. is indicated.	(continued)			
Follicular-cell Adenoma1/12 (0.08)3/33 (0.09)cinomabN.S.N.S.N.S.dence IntervaldN.S.N.S.N.S.first Observed Tumor1059999groups received average doses of 3,750 and 7,500 ppm in feed.9999f tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).9990the proportions for the matched-control group are the probability levels (P v. Cochran-Atmitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when P is below 090the proportions for the treated groups are the P values for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of the treated groups with the matched-control group in the proportions for the treated groups with the matched-control group in the matched-control group in the proportions for the treated groups are the P values for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of the treated groups with the matched-control group in the fisher exact in 0.05; otherwise, N.S. is indicated.	Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Low Dose	High Dose
N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. N.S. (-0.23,0.12) dence Intervald (-0.23,0.12) First Observed Tumor 105 99 groups received average doses of 3,750 and 7,500 ppm in feed. f tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion). the proportions for the matched-control group are the probability levels (P v Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in proportions when P is below 0 e, N.S. (not significant) is indicated. the proportions for the treated groups are the P values for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of the treated groups with the matched-control group 0.05; otherwise, N.S. is indicated. idence interval of the difference in proportions of treated group and matched		1/12 (0.08)	3/33 (0.09)	1/34 (0.03)
Tumor 105 99 99 99 99 99 105 105 90 ppm in feed. 99 average doses of 3,750 and 7,500 ppm in feed. animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion). for the matched-control group are the probability levels (P v e test for dose-related trend in proportions when P is below 0 gnificant) is indicated. for the treated groups are the P values for the Fisher exact mparison of the treated groups with the matched-control group of the difference in proportions of treated group and matched for the difference in proportions of treated group and matched for the difference in proportions of treated group and matched for the difference in proportions of the difference in proportions of treated group and matched	P Values ^c	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
L I 0.60 E 0	95% Confidence Interval ^d		(-0.23,0.12)	(-0.16,0.05)
1 0 60 8 0	Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	66	105
0 60 8 60		s of 3,750 and 7,500 ppm	in feed.	
000 80		er of animals examined at	site (proportion).	
	000 80	ched-control group are the se-related trend in propo- indicated. ted groups are the P valu- the treated groups with the idicated.	e probability levels (Fortions when P is belownes for the Fisher exacted matched-control groups and the matched ma	<pre>values) v 0.10; t (condi- ip when P</pre>
		rence in proportions of t	treated group and match	led-control

implication of this interval is that in 95/100 (95%) of a large number of similar experiments, the true difference between the tumor rate for the treated group of animals and the rate for the control group would be inside the interval calculated from the experiment. In each of the intervals shown in the tables, zero is included; this indicates the negative aspects of the results. It should also be noted that each of the intervals has a positive endpoint, indicating the theoretical possibility of tumor induction by EDTA, which was not detected under the conditions of this test.

.

EDTA and its salts are poorly absorbed following oral administration, due to dissociation and subsequent precipitation caused by gastric pH (Foreman et al., 1953). EDTA salts have shown relatively little toxicity in feeding studies, perhaps because of the poor absorption. Toxicity varies according to the specific salt: disodium EDTA is more toxic than either calcium disodium EDTA or lead disodium EDTA (Reuber and Schmieler, 1962), and chromium EDTA is less toxic than calcium EDTA (Ahrens and Aronson, 1971).

Early in its clinical use, EDTA showed potential nephrotoxicity, specifically tubular hydropic degeneration, following parenteral administration (Foreman et al., 1956). However, Doolan et al. (1967) questioned the relative hazards of this effect clinically and reported that in rats tubular vacuolization was not accompanied by significant elevation of serum creatinine or urea nitrogen. The cause of the renal vacuolization is not known. It may be a reflection of the induction of pinocytosis by Na₃EDTA·3H₂O (Schwartz et al., 1970) or of the presence of a foreign nonmetabolizable substance (Doolan et al., 1967). In studies of younger rats, 4 weeks of age, Reuber (1967) reported

that hydropic change of the parenchymal cells of the liver rather than of the kidney was predominant.

The chronic toxicity of NagEDTA·3H20 used in this bioassay has not been previously investigated. However, in two feeding studies in rats, using concentrations of Na₂EDTA as high as 5% of diet the (50,000 (mqq over а two-year period. no treatment-related effects were found on gross or histopathologic examination of organs or tissues (Yang and Chan, 1964); toxic manifestations were limited to diarrhea in animals receiving the highest dose of EDTA, reduced weight gain in some of the treated groups, and increased blood coagulation in one of the studies but not the other. The absence of adverse effects following oral administration was also shown for rats receiving 1,000, 2,500, and 5,000 ppm CaNa2EDTA·II20 in feed for two years (Oser, 1963).

In the present bioassay there was no evidence of carcinogenicity of EDTA at the concentrations administered, and no tumors or lesions of the kidney or other organs were related to the treatment. Survival of all groups of animals of both species was good; thus, the lack of appearance of treatment-related tumors could not be attributed to early mortality. It should be noted that the confidence intervals for all tumor sites include a value; possibility positive this indicates that the of tumorigenicity is not theoretically precluded. However, under

the conditions of this study, using concentrations of 3,500 ppm and 7,500 ppm in feed, $Na_3EDTA\cdot 3H_20$ was not demonstrated to be carcinogenic in rats or mice.

- Ahrens, F. A. and Aronson, A. L. A comparative study of the toxic effects of calcium and chromium chelates of ethylenediaminetetraacetate in the dog. <u>Toxicol. Appl.</u> Pharmacol. 18:10-25, 1971.
- Armitage, P. <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1971, p. 135.
- Berenblum, I., ed. <u>Carcinogenicity</u> <u>Testing</u>, UICC Technical Report Series, Vol. 2. International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.
- Cox, D. R, <u>Analysis of Binary Data</u>, Methuen, London, 1970, pp. 61-65.
- Cox, D. R. Regression models and life tables. <u>J. Roy. Statist.</u> Soc. B 34:187-220, 1972.
- Doolan, P. D., Schwartz, S. L., Hayes, J. R., Mullen, J. C. and Cummings, N. B. An evaluation of the nephrotoxicity of ethylenediaminetetraacetate and diethylenetriaminepentaacetate in the rat. <u>Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.</u> <u>10</u>:481-500, 1967.
- Dow Chemical Company, <u>Keys to Chelation with Versene</u> <u>Chelating</u> Agents. Dow Chemical Co., Midland, Michigan, 1974.
- Food and Drug Administration, U.S.D.H.E.W. Food Additives Analytical Manual, Washington, D.C, 1965.
- Food and Drug Administration, U.S.D.H.E.W. Calcium disodium EDTA. <u>Code of Federal Regulations</u>, 21 CFR 10.1 Sec. 121.1017, 1974.
- Foreman, H., Vier, M. and Magee, M. The metabolism of C¹⁴-labeled ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid in the rat. J. Biol. Chem. 203:10451053, 1953.
- Foreman, H., Finnegan, C. and Lushbaugh, C. C. Nephrotoxic hazard from uncontrolled edathamil calcium-disodium therapy. J.A.M.A. 160:1042-1046, 1956.

- Furia, R. E., ed. <u>Handbook of Food Additives</u>, 2nd ed. CRC Press, Cleveland, Ohio, 1975.
- Gart, J. J. Point and interval estimation of the common odds ratio in the combination of 2 x 2 tables with fixed marginals. Biometrika 57:471-475, 1970.
- Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. <u>Toxicological</u> <u>Evaluation of Certain Food Additives with a Review of</u> <u>General Principles and of Specifications.</u> FAO Nutrition <u>Meeting Report Series 53</u>. World Health Organization, Rome, 1974.
- Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. <u>Toxicological</u> <u>Evaluation of Some Food Additives Including Anticaking</u> <u>Agents, Antimicrobials, Antioxidants, Emulsifiers, and</u> <u>Thickening Agents.</u> FAO Nutrition Meeting Report Series 53A. World Health Organization, Rome, 1974.
- Kaplan, E. L. and Meier, P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J. Amer. Statist. Assn. 53:457-481, 1958.
- Linhart, M. S., Cooper, J. A., Martin, R. L., Page, N. P. and Peters, J. A. Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. J. Comp. Biomed. Res. 7:230-248, 1974.
- Miller, R. G., Jr. <u>Simultaneous</u> <u>Statistical</u> <u>Inference</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- Oser, B. L., Oser, M. and Spencer, H. C. Safety evaluation studies of calcium EDTA. <u>Toxicol.</u> <u>Appl.</u> <u>Pharmacol.</u> 5:142-162, 1963.
- Reuber, M. D. Hepatic lesions in young rats given calcium disodium edetate. <u>Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.</u> 11:321-326, 1967.
- Reuber, M. D. and Schmieler, G. C. Edetate kidney lesions in rats. Arch. Environ. Health 5:430-436, 1962.
- Schwartz, S. L., Johnson, C. B. and Doolan, P. D. Study of the mechanism of renal vacuologenesis induced in the rat by ethylenediaminetetraacetate: Comparison of the cellular activities of calcium and chromium chelates. <u>Molec.</u> Pharmacol. 6:54-60, 1970.

- Tarone, R. E. Tests for trend in life table analysis. Biometrika 62:679-682, 1975.
- Yang, S. S. and Chan, M. S. Summaries of toxicological data: Toxicology of EDTA. <u>Food Cosmet. Toxicol.</u> 2:763-767, 1964.

49

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS

IN RATS FED EDTA IN THE DIET

TABLE A1

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS FED EDTA IN THE DIET

			HIGH DOSE
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	50	50
NIMALS NECROPSIED	20	50	50
NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20	50	50
ITEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
SKIN	(20)	(50)	(50)
SEBACEOUS ADENOMA		1 (2%)	
KERATOACANTHOMA	1 (5%)		
SUBCUT TISSUE	(20)	(50)	(50)
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA		1 (2%)	
	1 (5%)	3 (6%)	
FIBROSARCOMA FIBROADENOMA		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC NONCHROMAFFIN PARAGANGLIOMA, MET FIBROSARCOMA		(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 3 (6%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
MATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
MULTIPLE ORGANS	(20)	(50)	(50)
LEUKEMIA, NOS	(20) 3 (15%)	3 (6%)	4 (8%)
LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA		1 (2%)	
ERCULATORY SYSTEM			
HEART ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR_CARCINOMA	(18)	(48) 1 (2%)	(49)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER	(20)	(48)	(50)
HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA Neoplastic Nodule		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#SMALL INTESTINE LEIONYOSARCOMA	(20)	(50)	(49) 2 (4 %)
RINARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
NDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY	(18)	(47)	(44)
CHROMOPHOBE ADENONA		3 (6%)	5 (11%
ADRENAL	(20)	(49)	(50)
CORTICAL ADENOMA Cortical carcinoma	1 (5%)		1 (2%) 1 (2%)
NONCHROMAPFIN PARAGANGLIOMA, MAL			1 (2%)
PHEOCHRONOCYTONA	2 (10%)	5 (10%)	4 (8%)
#THYROID	(17)	(35)	(38)
FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENONA			1 (3%)
C-CELL ADENOMA		6 (17%)	3 (8%)
#PARATHYROID	(12)	(24)	(21)
ADENONA, NOS		1 (4%)	
*PANCPEATIC ISLETS	(19)	(47)	(48)
ISLET-CELL ADENONA		3 (6%)	2 (4%)
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
TESTIS	(20)	(50)	(50)
INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR	19 (95%)	43 (86%)	44 (88%)
ERVOUS SYSTEM			
CEREBRUM <u>ASTROCYTONA</u>	(20)	(50)	(50)

.

TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A1 MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOS
#BRAIN Glioma,	(20)	(50)	(50) 2 (4 %
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BODY CAVITIES			
*BODY CAVITIES Mesotheliona,	(20)	(50)	(50) 2 (4%)
*PLEURA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA,	(20)	(50) 1 (2 %)	(50)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
THORACIC CAVITY PIBROSARCOMA		1	
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	50	50
NATURAL DEATHD	1	6	1
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	4	4	4
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE			
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE	15	40	45
ANIMAL MISSING			
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			

TABLE A1 MALE	RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)	

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
TUMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	20 28	48 77	47 78
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS Total benign tumors	19 25	47 67	45 64
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	3 3	7 10	11 11
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	*	1 2	2 2
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	-		3 3
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	-		
* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT S # SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC FUMORS		SIVE INTO AN A	DJACENT ORGAN

TABLE A2

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS FED EDTA IN THE DIET

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSF	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	50	50
ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20 20	50 50	50 50
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(20)	(50)	(50)
SUUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA Fibroadenoma	<u>1</u> (5%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
* L A R Y N X	(20)	(50)	(50)
SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA		1 (2%)	
#LUNG	(20)	(48)	(48)
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA		3 (6%)	2 (4%)
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(20)	(50)	(50)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, Leukemia, ngs	1 (5%)	2 (4%) 4 (8%)	
LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA		2 (4%)	
#LYMPH NODE	(20)	(46)	(47)
MALIGNANT LYMPHONA,	、 ,	1 (2%)	• •
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
Incolatori Sisten			
NONE			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER	(20)	(49)	(49)
NEOPLASTIC NODULE	,	1 (2%)	

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A2 FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)		HIGH DOSE
ACHAII INTESTINE	(20)	(50)	(50)
JRINARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA	(19) 6 (32%)	(48) 10 (21%)	(50) 11 (22%)
#ADRENAL PHFOCHROMOCYTOMA	(20) 1 (5%)	(49) 1 (2%)	(48) 3 (6 %)
#THYKOID FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA C-CELL ADENOMA	(11)	(36)	(37) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*NAMMARY GLAND ADENGMA, NOS INTRADUCTAL PAPILLOMA	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
INTRADUCTAL CARCINONA FIBROADENOMA		3 (6%)	1 (2%) 3 (6%)
#UTERUS ADENOCARCINOMA,	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
LEIONYOSARCONA Endometrial stromal polyp	5 (25%)	6 (12%)	1 (2%) 7 (14%)
#OVARY CYSTADENOMA,	(18)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
#CEREBRUM GLIONA,	(19) 1 (5%)	(50)	(50)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*PAR LOBULE <u>NEUROFIBROBA</u>	(20)	(50)	(50)

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

TABLE A2 FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)		
HUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
PODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIHALS INITIALLY IN STUDY Natural deathg	20 2	50 2	50 1
MORIBUND SACRIFICE Scheduled Sacrifice	3	4	2
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE Animal Missing	15	44	47
@ INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			
TUMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	14	28	27
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	20	38	35
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	13 17	22 27	27 31
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	23	9 10	15 14
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	*		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN BENIGN OR MALIGNANT	-	1	
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Primary or metastatic Total uncertain tumors	-		
 PRINARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT S SECUNDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS 		SIVE INTO AN A	DJACENT ORGAN

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS

IN MICE FED EDTA IN THE DIET
TABLE B1

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE FED EDTA IN THE DIET

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20		 50
NIMALS MISSING		4	2
NIMALS NECROPSIED	20	46	48
NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20	45	48
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN	(20)	(46)	(48)
NUUROPIBROMA			1 (2%).
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG	(18)	(44)	(45)
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA	(18) 2 (11 %)	(44) 5 (11%)	11 (24%
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA		3 (7%)	1 (2%)
EMATUPOIETIC SYSTEM			
NULTIPLE ORGANS	(20)	(46)	(48)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA,		3 (7%)	5 (10%)
MALIGNANT LYMPHONA, HISTIOCYTIC LEUKEMIA,NOS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
*NEDIASTINUM	(20)	(46)	(48)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA,			1 (2%)
MALIGNANT LYMPHONA, LYMPHOCYTIC	1 (5%)		
HSPLEEN HEMANGTONA	(18)	(39) 1 (3 %)	(42)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA,	1 (6%)	1 (3%)	1 (2%)
MESENTERIC L. NODE	(18)	(38)	(42)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA,		1 (3%)	
SMALL INTESTINE	(19)	(41)	(47)
MALIGNANT LYMPHONA,		1 (2%)	
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY + NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TABLE B1 MALE MICE:	NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA	(19) 2 (11%) 1 (5%)	(44) 9 (20%) 1 (2%)	(47) 7 (15% 3 (6%)
*ANUS SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINONA	(20)	(46)	(48) 1 (2%)
JRINARY SYSTEM			
*KIDNEY TUBULAR-CELL ADENOCARCINOMA	(19) 1 (5%)	(43)	(46)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
<pre>#PITUITARY CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA</pre>	(13) 1 (8%)	(19)	(26) 1 (4 %)
<pre>#THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENONA</pre>	(10)	(29) 1 (3%)	(33) 1 (3%)
*PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(19)	(38) 1 (3%)	(44)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*TESTIS INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR	(19) 1 (5 %)	(42)	(44) 1 (2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			

TABLE B1 MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE	
BODY CAVITIES				
NONE				
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
NONE				
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY				
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	50	50	
NATURAL DEATHƏ Moribund sacrifice	2	10 1	6 1	
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE Accidentally killed	1	1		
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	17	34	41	
ANIMAL MISSING		4	2	
@ INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS				
TUNOR SUMMARY				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* Total primary tumors	9 10	24 28	27 35	
			-	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUNORS Total Benign Tunors	5	15 17	18 22	
	-			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMOR: TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	S 4 4	11 11	13 13	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMOR	5#			
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	2.			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUNORS UNCERTAIN	N -			
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT Total Uncertain Tumors				
	AT.			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN PRIMARY OF METASTATIC	n -			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS				
* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT				
# SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMOR	S OR TUMORS INVA:	SIVE INTO AN A	DJACENT ORGAN	

TABLE B2

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE FED EDTA IN THE DIET

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20 1	50	50 3
NIMALS NECROPSIED	19	49	47
NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	19	48	47
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG	(19)	(47)	(45)
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA		3 (6%)	
ENATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*NULTIPLE ORGANS	(19)	(49)	(47)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic	5 (26%)	9 (18%) 1 (2%)	9 (19%)
LEUKEMIA, NOS	1 (5%)		1 (2%)
#SPLEEN	(19)	(46)	(46)
HEMANGIOMA Malignant lymphoma,	1 (5%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
#LIVER	(19)	(46)	(47)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA,			1 (2%)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER Hepatocellular Adenoma	(19)	(46) 1 (2≸)	(47) 1 (2%)
¢CECUM	(19)	(43)	(46)
	1.(5%)	(-3)	(

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE B2 FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
URINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY TUBULAR-CELL ADENOCARCINOMA	(19) 1 (5 %)	(47)	(47)
#URINARY BLADDER HEMANGIOMA	(17) 1 (6%)	(38)	(4 2)
ENDOCKINE SYSTEM			
*PITUITARY CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA	(12) 2 (17%)	(34) 6 (18%)	(29) 4 (14 %)
#ADRENAL Cortical Adenoma	(19)	(41) 1 {2%)	(44)
#THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA	(12) 1 (8%)	(33) 3 (9%)	(34) 1 (3%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
#OVARY CYSTADENONA,	(16)	(42) 1 (2%)	(43)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
#BRAIN MENINGIOMA	(18)	(47) 1 (2 %)	(46)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
NUNBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXA NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED	ANINED MICROSCOPIC	LLY	

NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

-

TABLE B2 FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	50	50
NATURAL DEATHD	2	7	6
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	3	3	4
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE			
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED			
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	14	40	37
ANIMAL MISSING	1		3
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	12 13 4	24 27 14	23 23 10
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	4	15	10
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	9	12	13
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	9	12	13
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS			
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	*		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-	-		
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-	-		
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT S	ECONDARY TUMORS		
LATURAL LOHOUS, ADD LOHOUS EXCLUS			

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC

LESIONS IN RATS FED EDTA IN THE DIET

TABLE C1

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS FED EDTA IN THE DIET

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOS
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	50	50
NIMALS NECROPSIED	20	50	50
NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20	50	50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN	(20)	(50)	(50)
EPIDERNAL INCLUSION CYST Fibrosis		1 (2%)	1 (2%
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM		*******	
		(5.8)	
#LUNG	(18)	(50)	(49)
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL	2 (11%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE		2 (4%)	1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, ADENONATOUS Hyperplasia, Alveolar epithelium		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
IENATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
#BONE MARROW	(20)	(46)	(46)
HYPERPLASIA, GRANULOCYTIC			1 (2%)
#SPLEEN	(20)	(48)	(49)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL		1 (2%)	
PIBROSIS, DIPPUSE	1 (5%)	1 () 1	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		1 (2%)	
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE	(19)	(45)	(46)
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC			1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, PLASMA CELL		11 (O 11)	2 (4%)
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL	1 (5%)	4 (9%)	2 (4%)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE	(19)	(45)	(46)
ATROPHY,			1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, PLASMA CELL			1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, PETICULUM_CELL	2 (115)	6 /1351	4 (9%)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C1 MALE RATS:	NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

W DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
σ)	(6)	
		(17%)
48)	(49)	
1 (2%)	1	(2%)
48)	(49)	
10 (21%)	1 18	(2%) (37%)
48)		(2%)
4 49 83		(2%)
4 (8%) 5 (10%)		(4%) (2%)
47)	(48)	
1 (2%)	1	(2%)
1 (2%)	1	(2%)
17)	(48)	
1 (2%) 1 (2%)		(4%) (2%)
50)	(49)	
1 (2%)		
50)	(49)	
50)	(49)	
1 (2%)		
50)	(49)	
3 (6%)		(2%) (4%)
18)		

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C1 MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*COLUN FIBROSIS, DIFFUSE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(16) 1 (6%)	(48) 4 (8 %)	(45)
RINARY SYSTEM			
*KIDNEY	(20)	(49)	(50)
PYELONEPHRITIS, INFLAMMATION CHBONIC NEPHROPATHY	11 (55%)	1 (2%) 24 (49%) 2 (4%)	31 (62%) 1 (2%)
#URINARY BLADDER INFLAMMATICN,	(15)	(41) 1 (2%)	(36)
NDOCKINE SYSTEM			
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(20)	(49)	(50)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY LIPOIDOSIS		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	4 (8%)
#ADRENAL MEDULLA Hypefplasia, nodular	(20)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)
#THYROID Hyperplasia, C-Cell	(17)	(35)	(38) 1 (3 %)
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*PREPUTIAL GLAND ABSCESS,	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
#PROSTATE	(17)	(45)	(48)
INFLAMMATICN, Hyperplasia, epithelial	1 (6%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%)
ERVOUS SYSTEM			
CEREBRUM Hemorrhagic cyst	(20)	(50) 1 (2 %)	(50)
#BRAIN HEMORRHAGE	(20)	(50)	(50)

TABLE C1 MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOS
NECROSIS,		1 (2%)	
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
ODY CAVITIES			
*MESGNTERY NECROSIS, FAT	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*HULTIPLE ORGANS LEUKENOID REACTION	(20)	(50)	(50) 2 (4 %)
PECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED			2

TABLE C2

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS FED EDTA IN THE DIET

CONTROL (UNTR) 20 20 Y 20	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
20		
20		50
v 10	50	50
1 20	50	50
(19)	(50)	(46)
		1 (2%)
(20)	(48)	(48)
1 (5%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
	6 (13%)	4 (8%)
		1 (2%)
(19)	(50)	(49)
	A (7.4)	1 (2%)
1 (5%)	1 (2%)	
(20)	(46)	(47)
•••	. ,	1 (2%)
(20)	(46)	(47)
· ·	• •	1 (2%)
		1 (2%)
	1 (2%)	6 (13%
1 (5%)		3 (6%)
(20)	(46)	(47)
	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
1 1581		8 (17% 1_(2%)
	(19) (20) 1 (5%) 3 (15%) H (19) 1 (5%) (20) (20) 1 (5%)	(19) (50) $(20) (48) (2 (4 %))$ $(15 %) (5 (4 %))$ $(15 %) (5 (4 %))$ $(19) (5 (5 (4 %)))$ $(19) (5 (5 (4 %)))$ $(10) (10) (10) (10)$ $(10) (10) (10) (10)$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(4 (4 %))$ $(20) (4 (4 %))$ $(4$

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C2 FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART PLRIARTERITIS	(19)	(46) 1 (2 %)	(44)
#MYOCARDIUM FIBPOSIS	(19) 2 (11%)	(46) 4 (9%)	(44) 6 (14%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER METAMOBPHOSIS FATTY BASOPHILIC CYTOPLASM ALTERATION	(20) 2 (10%)	(49) 1 (2%) 13 (27%)	(49) 1 (2%) 15 (31%)
<pre>#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR NECROSIS,</pre>	(20) 1 (5%)	(49)	(49)
*BILE DUCT Hyperplasia,	(20)	(50) 1 (2 %)	(50) 1 (2 %)
<pre>#PANCREAS FIBROSIS, FOCAL ATROPHY, FOCAL</pre>	(18) 1 (6%)	(48) ⁽ 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
<pre>#PANCREATIC DUCT DILATATION,</pre>	(18)	(48)	(49) 1 (2 %)
<pre>#PANCREATIC ACINUS PIBROSIS, FOCAL ATROPHY, ATROPHY, FOCAL</pre>	(18)	(48) 2 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*SMALL INTESTINE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(20)	(50) 1 (2 %)	(50)
<pre>#ILEUM HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID</pre>	(20)	(50) 2 (4 %)	(50) 1 (2%)
#LARGE INTESTINE NEMATODIASIS	(19) 7 (37%)	(46)	(48)
COLON NEMATODIASIS	(19)	(46)	(48)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C2 FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
URINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY	(20)	(50)	(48)
HYDRONEPHROSIS		ົ່1 (2%)	• •
INFLAMMATICN, INTERSTITIAL		1 (2%)	
INFLAMMATICN CHRONIC	2 (10%)	5 (10%)	1 (2%)
INFLAMMATICN, GRANULOMATOUS		1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, TUBULAR CELL	1 (5%)		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY	(19)	(48)	(50)
CYST,		4 (8%)	1 (2%)
NULTIPLE CYSTS		1 (2%)	
HEMORRHAGIC CYST		1 (2%)	4 (8%)
ANGIECTASIS	1 (5%)		
# ADRENAL	(20)	(49)	(48)
CYST.	(20)	1 (2%)	(10)
HEMORRHAGE	1 (5%)	(2/4)	
HEMORRHAGIC CYST	,	1 (2%)	
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	1 (5%)	(2/)	
LIPOIDOSIS		1 (2%)	
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(20)	(49)	(48)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	()	• • •	1 (2%)
LIPOIDOSIS	1 (5%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA,	(0,0)	1 (2%)	(
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		1 (2%)	
#THYLOID	(11)	(36)	(37)
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL	1 (9%)	1 (3%)	
#PANCREATIC ISLETS	(18)	(48)	(49)
HYPERPLASIA,			1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
#UTERUS	(20)	(50)	(50)
CYST,			1 (2%)
PYOMETRA	1 (5%)		
FIBROSIS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
*CERVIX UTERI	(20)	(50)	(50)
CYST.			• •

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C2 FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSF
ABSCESS,		1 (2%)	
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM	(20)	(50)	(50)
INFLAMMATICN,	3 (15%)	3 (6%)	3 (65)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE		4 (8%)	3 (6%) 1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, VESICULAR		3 (6%)	1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#OVARY/OVIDUCT	(20)	(50)	(50)
INFLAMMATICN,	1 (5%)	1 (2%)	
#OVARY	(18)	(49)	(47)
CYST,		3 (6%)	5 (11%)
FIBROSIS			1 (2%)
PIGMENTATICN,			1 (2%)
IERVOUS SYSTEM			
#BRAIN	(19)	(50)	(50)
HYDROCEPHALUS,	1 (5%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
PERIVASCULAR CUFFING			1 (2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS NONE			
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
NONE			
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			

APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC

LESIONS IN MICE FED EDTA IN THE DIET

.

TABLE D1

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE FED EDTA IN THE DIET

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	50	50
NINALS MISSING		4	2
NIMALS NECROPSIED	20	46	48
NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20	45	48
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG	(18)	(44)	(45)
EDENA, DAEUNONIA CHRONIC MURINE		1 (2%)	5 (11%)
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE PERIARTERITIS	1 (6%)	2 (5%)	(אוו) כ
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	1 (0//)	1 (2%)	
LYMPHOCYTOSIS		· • • • •	1 (2%)
EMATGPOIETIC SYSTEM #BONE MARROW Hyperplasia, Henatopoietic	(19)	(36) 1 (3 %)	(45)
#SPLEEN	(18)	(39)	(42)
AMYLOIDOSIS		1 (3%)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID			1 (2%)
*SPLENIC CAPSULE	(18)	(39)	(42)
FIBROSIS	(10)	1337	1 (2%)
#LYMPH NODE HYPERPLASIA,	(18)	(38)	(42)
nirdarL8018,		2 (5%)	
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE	(18)	(38)	(42)
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL			1 (2%)
MESENTERIC L. NODE	(18)	(38)	(42)
HEMCREHAGE	(,	1 (3%)	()
INELAMMATION.	1 (6%)	•••	1 (2%)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL (UNTR)		
HYPERPLASIA, Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	1 (6%)	1 (3%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART MINERALIZATION	(19)	(43) 1 (2%)	(45)
<pre>#MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATICN, FOCAL FIBRUSIS, FOCAL</pre>	(19)	(43) 1 (2%)	(45) 1 (2%)
*PULMONARY ARTERY Hypertrophy,		(46)	(48) 1 (2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
<pre>#LIVER INPLANMATICN, POCAL LYMPHOCYTIC INPLAM INFILTRATE</pre>	(19) 1 (5%)	(44) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(47)
INFLAMMATION CHRONIC NECROSIS, METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	1 (5%)	2 (5%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL ANGIECTASIS LYMPHOCYTOSIS		1 (2%) 2 (5%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*GALLBLADDER INFLAMMATION, VESICULAR	(20)	(46)	(48) 1 (2%)
#PANCREAS Necrosis,	(19)	(38)	(44) 1 (2 %)
<pre>#PANCREATIC ACINUS ATROPHY, FCCAL</pre>	(19)	(38) 1 (3 %)	(44)
*STOMACH INFLANNATICN, INFLAMMATICN, FOCAL	(19) 1 (5%)	(41) 1 (2%)	(47)
#SMALL INTESTINE ABSCESS, AMYLOIDOSIS	(19)	(41)	(47) 1 (2 %)

TABLE D1 MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D1 MALE MICE:	NONNEOPLASTIC	LESIONS (CONTINUED)
IADLE DI MALL MICL	NONNEO EAONO	

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOS
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID			1 (2%
RINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY HYDRONEPHROSIS PYELONEPHRITIS,	(19)	(43) 1 (2%)	(46) 1 (2%
SCAR AMYLOIDOSIS METAPLASIA, OSSEOUS		1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
URINARY BLADDER INPLANMATION,	(19)	(38) 1 (3%)	(4 1)
NDOCRINE SYSTEM		·	
#ADRENAL AMYLOIDOSIS	(17)	(36) 1 (3 %)	(41)
#THYKOID HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR-CELL	(10)	(29) 2 (7%)	(33) 4 (12)
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
#PROSTATE INPLANMATION,	(19)	(39) 1 (3%)	(4 1)
ERVOUS SYSTEM			
BRAIN INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	(19)		(46) 1 (2 %)
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			

TABLE D1 MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
BODY CAVITIES			
*MEDIASTINUM THROMBOSIS,	(20)	(46) 1 (2 %)	(48)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION FEFORTED	7	13	14
ANIMAL MISSING/NO NECROPSY PERP		4	2
AUTOLYSIS/NECROPSY PERF/NO HISTO		1	

TABLE D2

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE FED EDTA IN THE DIET

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIAILY IN STUDY	20	50	50
NIMALS MISSING	1		3
NIMALS NECROPSIED INIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	19 19	49 48	47 47
NTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG	(19)	(47)	(45)
INFLAMMATICN, FOCAL INFLAMMATICN, INTERSTITIAL	1 (5%)		1 (2%)
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE	4 (21%)	14 (30%)	16 (36%
METAPLASIA, OSSEOUS		1 (2%)	
#SPLEEN FIBPOSIS INFARCT, AMYLOID,	(19)	(46)	(46) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#LYMPH NODE	(18)	(44)	(45)
INFLAMMATICN, Hyperplasia,		1 (2%) 2 (5%)	2 (4%)
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE Abscess,	(18)	(44)	(45) 1 (2%)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE	(18)	(44)	(45)
INFLAMMATICN, Hyperplasia, reticulum cell			1 (2%) 1 (2%)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART	(19)	(47) 1 (2 <u>8)</u>	(47)
MINERALIZATION			

TABLE D2 FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#MYOCARDIUM Inflammaticn,	(19)	(47) 1 (2%)	(47)
*PULLONARY ARTERY HYPERTROPHY,	(19) 1 (5%)	(49)	(47)
*SPLENIC ARTERY DILATATION,	(19)	(49)	(47) 1 (2 %)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER INFLAMMATICN, POCAL INFLAMMATICN CHRONIC HYPEKPLASIA, NODULAR	(19)	(46) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(47) 1 (2%)
#PANCREAS ATPOPHY,	(19)	(46) 1 (2≴)	(46)
#PANCREATIC ACINUS CYSTIC DUCIS	(19)	(46) 1 (2%)	(46)
#STOMACH INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE FOCAL	(19)	(46)	(46) 1 (2 %)
#GASIRIC SEROSA Inflammaticn chronic	(19)	(46) 1 (2 %)	(46)
#LARGE INTESTINE NEMATODIASIS	(19) 1 (5%)	(43)	(46)
URINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY HYDRONEPHROSIS LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAM INFILTRATE	(19)	(47) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(47)
ENDOCHINE SYSTEM			
#ADRENAL CORTEX LIPOIDOSIS	(19)	(41) 1 (2%)	(44)
#THYROID HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR	(12)	(33)	(34)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	• •	LOW DOSE	
HYPEKPLASIA, FOCAL Hyperplasia, follicular-cell	3 (25%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
#UTERUS Cyst,	(19)	(46) 1 (2%)	(46) 1 (2%)
<pre>#UTFRUS/ENDOMETRIUM CYST,</pre>	(19) 1 (5%)	(46) 8 (17%)	(46) 4 (9%)
#OVARY/OVIDUCT INFLAMMATICN, SUPPURATIVE Abscess,	(19)	(46) 1 (2%)	(46) 1 (2%)
*OVARY Cyst,	(16) 2 (13%)	(42) 4 (10%)	(43) 7 (16%
NONE SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS NONE			
NGNE SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS NONE			
NGNE SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS NONE			
NGNE SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS NONE MUSCHLOSKELETAL SYSTEM NONE			
NGNE SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS NONE MUSCHLOSKELETAL SYSTEM NONE	(19)	(49)	(47)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS NONE MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM NONE BODY CAVITIES *MESENTERY NECROSIS, FAT	(19)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)

TABLE D2 FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

TABLE D2 FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 22-2056	LOW DOSE 22-2054	H1GH DOSE 22-2052
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
		,	r
NU LESIÓN FEPORTED	3	6	2
ANIMAL MISSING/NO NECROPSY PERF	1		2
AUTOLYSIS/NECROPSY PERF/NO HISTO		1	
AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY PERFORMED		1	

* U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1977 241-161/3082

-

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 77-811

\$