National CARCINO Technical No. 53 1978	
	BIOASSAY OF 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY
	CAS No. 121-66-4
	NCI-CG-TR-53
	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

# BIOASSAY OF

2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE

# FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-1359

# BIOASSAY OF 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

## Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health

FOREWORD: This report presents the results of the bioassay of 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole conducted for the Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, This is one of a series of experiments designed to Maryland. determine whether selected environmental chemicals have the capacity to produce cancer in animals. Negative results, in which the test animals do not have a greater incidence of cancer than control animals, do not necessarily mean that the test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of circumstances. Positive results demonstrate that the test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicate that exposure to the chemical is a potential risk to man. The actual determination of the risk to man from animal carcinogens requires a wider analysis.

<u>CONTRIBUTORS</u>: This bioassay of 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole was conducted by The Dow Chemical Company, Indianapolis, Indiana, initially under direct contract to NCI and currently under a subcontract to Tracor Jitco, Inc., prime contractor for the NCI Carcinogenesis Testing Program.

The experimental design and doses were determined by Dr. E. K. Weisburger<sup>1</sup>. Dr. C. G. Gerbig<sup>2</sup> supervised the preparation of the diets and was responsible for animal care. Histopathologic examinations were performed by Dr. J. L. Emerson<sup>2</sup>,<sup>3</sup>, the principal investigator, and the diagnoses included in this report represent his interpretation. Dr. Emerson also prepared a preliminary draft of sections of this report. Animal pathology tables and survival tables were compiled at EG&G Mason Research Institute<sup>4</sup>. The statistical analyses were performed by Dr. J. R. Joiner<sup>5</sup>, using methods selected for the bioassay program by Dr. J. J. Gart<sup>6</sup>. Chemicals used in this bioassay were analyzed under the direction of Dr. E. Murrill<sup>7</sup>, and the analytical results were reviewed by Dr. S. S. Olin<sup>5</sup>. The structural formula was supplied by NCI<sup>1</sup>.

This report was prepared at Tracor Jitco<sup>5</sup> under the direction of NCI. Those responsible for the report at Tracor Jitco were Dr. Marshall Steinberg, Director of the Bioassay Program; Dr. L. A. Campbell, Deputy Director for Science; Drs. J. F. Robens and C. H. Williams, toxicologists; Dr. R. L. Schueler, pathologist; Dr. G. L. Miller, Ms. L. A. Waitz, and Mr. W. D. Reichardt, bioscience writers; and Dr. E. W. Gunberg, technical editor, assisted by Ms. Y. E. Presley.

The statistical analysis was reviewed by members of the Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section of NCI<sup>6</sup>: Dr. John J. Gart, Mr. Jun-mo Nam, Dr. Hugh M. Pettigrew, and Dr. Robert E. Tarone.

The following other scientists at NCI<sup>1</sup> were responsible for evaluating the bioassay experiment, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings: Dr. Kenneth C. Chu, Dr. Cipriano Cueto, Jr., Dr. J. Fielding Douglas, Dr. Dawn G. Goodman, Dr. Richard A. Griesemer, Dr. Harry A. Milman, Dr. Thomas W. Orme, Dr. Robert A. Squire<sup>8</sup>, and Dr. Jerrold M. Ward.

<sup>1</sup>Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

<sup>2</sup>The Dow Chemical Company, P.O. Box 68511, Indianapolis, Indiana.

<sup>3</sup>Now with Abbott Laboratories, D-469 AP9, North Chicago, Illinois. <sup>4</sup>EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.

<sup>5</sup>Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.

- <sup>6</sup>Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Biometry Branch, Field Studies and Statistics, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- <sup>7</sup>Midwest Research Institute, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri.

<sup>8</sup>Now with the Division of Comparative Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Traylor Building, Baltimore, Maryland.

#### SUMMARY

A bioassay of 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole for possible carcinogenicity was conducted by administering the test chemical in feed to Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice.

Groups of 50 rats and 50 mice of each sex were fed 2-amino-5nitrothiazole at one of the following doses, either 300 or 600 ppm for rats, and either 50 or 100 ppm for mice. The rats were dosed for 110 weeks, followed by 1 week of observation; the mice were dosed for 104 weeks. Matched controls consisted of 50 untreated rats and 50 untreated mice of each sex. All surviving rats were killed at week 111, all surviving mice at week 104.

The mean body weights of the groups of rats and mice fed 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole in the diet were slightly lower than those of the controls throughout most of the period of administration. No other clinical signs related to administration of the chemical were noted. There was a dose-related trend in mortality only in the male rats; however, sufficient numbers of rats were at risk in all groups for development of late-appearing tumors.

In male rats, there was a significant dose-related trend (P =0.044) in the incidences of malignant lymphomas, lymphocytic leukemias, or undifferentiated leukemias, although the results of direct comparisons of incidences in each of the dosed groups with those in the controls were not significant. There was also a significant dose-related trend in the incidence of granulocytic leukemia in the male rats (P = 0.014) and a significantly increased incidence of this tumor (P = 0.023) in the high-dose group (matched controls 2/50, low-dose 4/50, high-dose 9/49). When the incidences of all neoplasms of the hematopoietic system (lymphomas and all leukemias) were combined, greater significance was attained for both the dose-related trend (P = 0.001) and the direct comparison (P = 0.002) of the incidence of the high-dose group with that in the matched controls (controls 13/50, low-dose 19/50, high-dose 28/49). The reliability of the incidence of hematopoietic tumors in the male controls was supported by that for male controls observed in a similar bioassay of another test

chemical at the same laboratory (13/50). The incidences of the combined hematopoietic tumors in the dosed female rats were not significant when compared with the incidence in the matched controls.

In female rats, there was a significant dose-related trend in the incidence of chromophobe adenomas of the pituitary (P = 0.016) and a higher incidence (P = 0.021) in the high-dose group than in the matched controls (controls 19/45, low-dose 29/47, high-dose 29/44). The incidence of this lesion in dosed male rats was much lower than that in dosed females, and the dose-related trend (P =0.048) was only marginally significant (controls 3/46, low-dose 3/45, high-dose 8/43). The incidences of chromophobe adenomas of the pituitary which were observed in control groups of rats used in a similar bioassay of another test chemical at the same laboratory were 13/49 (27%) for the males and 26/50 (52%) for the females. Because of the variability in incidences of the tumor among different control groups, the occurrence of chromophobe adenomas of the pituitary in the dosed female rats cannot be clearly associated with the administration of 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole.

Also in female rats, there was a higher incidence of endometrial stromal polyps of the uterus in the low-dose group (P = 0.023) than in the matched controls (controls 2/50, low-dose 9/49, high-dose 3/50). Since, however, only three high-dose animals had this tumor, the occurrence of uterine tumors in the low-dose group cannot be clearly associated with administration of the test chemical.

In the mice, no neoplasms were observed at a statistically significant incidence in the dosed groups when compared with the controls.

It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay, the occurrence of tumors of the hematopoietic system, i.e., lymphoma and granulocytic leukemia, in dosed male Fischer 344 rats was associated with administration of 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole. 2-Amino-5-nitrothiazole was not carcinogenic in female Fischer 344 rats or in male or female B6C3F1 mice.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
I.	Intro	duction	1
II.	Mater	ials and Methods	3
	A.	Chemical	3
	Β.	Dietary Preparation	4
	С.	Animals	4
	D.	Animal Maintenance	5
	E•	Subchronic Studies	7
	F.	Designs of Chronic Studies	8
	G.	Clinical and Pathologic Examinations	8
	Н.	Data Recording and Statistical Analyses	11
III.	Resul	lts – Rats	17
	Α.	Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)	17
	В.	Survival (Rats)	17
	C.	Pathology (Rats)	20
	D.	Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)	23
IV.	Resu	lts - Mice	27
	Α.	Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)	27
	Β.	Survival (Mice)	27
	C.	Pathology (Mice)	30
	D.	Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)	32
V.	Disc	ussion	35
VI.	Bibl	iography	39
		APPENDIXES	
Арре	endix A	A Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	41
Τá	able A	l Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	43

Table A2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female	
	Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	47

/

Appendix B	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	51
Table Bl	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	53
Table B2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	57
Appendix C	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	61
Table Cl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	63
Table C2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	71
Appendix D	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	79
Table Dl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	81
Table D2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	88
Appendix E	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	95
Table El	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	97
Table E2	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	e 105
Appendix F	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	111
Table Fl	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	113

Page

, 1

Table F2	Analyses	of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female	
	Mice Fed	2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	119

# TABLES

Table	1	Design of 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole Chronic Feeding Studies in Rats	9
Table	2	Design of 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole Chronic Feeding Studies in Mice	10
		FIGURES	

Figure	1	Growth Curves for Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	18
Figure	2	Survival Curves for Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	19
Figure	3	Growth Curves for Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	28
Figure	4	Survival Curves for Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet	29

# Page

.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

2-Amino-5-nitrothiazole (CAS 121-66-4; NCI CO3065) is an antiprotozoal drug for animals which is now used in the form of the acetyl derivative to control histomoniasis (blackhead) in turkeys. The use of acetyl-2-amino-5-nitrothiazole in animal feed and the allowable residues in food products from treated animals (0.1 ppm) are regulated by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA, 1976). Nitrothiazole compounds are structurally related to the nitrofurans, and derivatives of both compounds have chemotherapeutic uses. The nitrothiazoles have shown schistosomicidal, anthelmintic, and amoebicidal activity (Rollo, 1975), whereas the nitrofurans are primarily antibacterial agents al., 1969; Fingl, (Morris et 1975). Some nitrofurans (4-substituted 2-hydrazinothiazoles) have shown carcinogenic activity in rats, causing primarily mammary gland tumors (Cohen et al., 1975).

2-Amino-5-nitrothiazole was selected for testing for carcinogenicity in the bioassay program because of its structural relationship to the carcinogenic nitrofurans.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Chemical

# 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE



2-Amino-5-nitrothiazole was obtained from Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, New York, in a single batch (Lot No. 672-1) which was used during all phases of the studies. This batch was  $99.0 \pm$ 0.5% pure as determined by polarographic analysis.

Elemental analysis (C, H, N, S) agreed with theoretical values for  $C_3H_3N_3O_2S$ , the molecular formula for 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole. High-pressure liquid chromatography (uv detector) showed one impurity which accounted for 0.9% of the total peak area. Nuclear magnetic resonance and infrared spectra were consistent with reference spectra for the structure of 2-amino-5nitrothiazole.

Analyses performed after completion of the bioassay showed no detectable change in the purity of the test chemical.

#### B. Dietary Preparation

Diets containing 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole were prepared by blending a 10% premix with sufficient finely ground Wayne<sup>®</sup> Lab Blox animal meal (Allied Mills, Inc., Chicago, Ill.) for 20 minutes in a 20-kg Patterson-Kelly Twin Shell Blender to obtain the appropriate concentration. Dietary preparations were stored in plastic-lined fiber drums at approximately 4°C for no longer than 14-17 days.

The stability of 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole in feed over a 14-day interval at 4°C was confirmed by analysis at Midwest Research Institute using the standard method of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (Horwitz, 1970) for the assay of 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole in feed. The concentrations of 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole in selected batches of prepared diets were checked during the chronic study, using the same analytical method.

#### C. Animals

Rats and mice of each sex, obtained through contracts of the Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute, were

used in these bioassays. The rats were of the Fischer 344 strain obtained from A. R. Schmidt/Sprague-Dawley, Madison, Wisconsin, and the mice were B6C3Fl hybrids obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Wilmington, Massachusetts. On arrival at the laboratory, all animals were quarantined (rats for 7 days, mice for 14 days) and were then assigned to control or dosed groups. Rats were earmarked and mice were toe-clipped to allow individual identification.

#### D. Animal Maintenance

All animals were housed in temperature- and humidity-controlled rooms. The temperature was maintained at 21-26°C, and the relative humidity was maintained at 45-55%. The room air was changed 15 times per hour. Illumination was provided by fluorescent light for 14 hours per day. Food and deionized chlorinated well water were supplied ad libitum.

Rats in the chronic study were housed individually, first in suspended cages made of stainless-steel wire mesh (Ford Fence Co., Indianapolis, Ind.), and at week 45 in suspended filtered polycarbonate cages (Maryland Plastics, Federalsburg, Md.) equipped with an automatic watering system and lined with autoclaved Absorb-Dri<sup>®</sup> bedding (Lab Products, Inc., Garfield, N. J.). The cages were changed, washed, and sanitized at 82°C twice per

week. The feeders were changed, washed, and sterilized once per week, and the cage filters were changed every 2 weeks.

Mice were housed five per cage in filtered prebedded cages made of disposable polypropylene (Lab Products, Inc., Garfield, N.J.). The cages were changed twice per week and the used cages were incinerated. Feeders, water bottles, and cage lids were also changed twice per week, and cage filters were changed once per week. Feeders and sipper tubes were washed and sterilized prior to use. Water bottles and cage lids were sanitized at 82°C.

Rats and mice were housed in separate rooms. The animal racks were rotated once per week, but the cages were kept in fixed positions on the racks. The rats fed 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole were housed in the same room as rats fed the positive control, N-2-fluorenylacetamide (CAS 53-96-3) and rats that received 3-nitropropionic acid (CAS 504-88-1) by gavage. The mice fed 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole were housed in the same room as mice fed N,N'-dicyclohexylthiourea (CAS 1212-29-9), proflavine hydrochloride (CAS 952-23-8), 1,3-dichloro-5,5-dimethylhydantoin (CAS 118-52-5), or N-2-fluorenylacetamide, and mice receiving 3-nitropropionic acid by gavage. Untreated controls were housed in the same room with respective dosed animals.

#### E. Subchronic Studies

Subchronic feeding studies were conducted to estimate the maximum tolerated doses of 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole, on the basis of which low and high concentrations (hereinafter referred to as "low doses" and "high doses") were determined for administration in the chronic studies. In the subchronic studies, 2-amino-5nitrothiazole was added to the animal feed in concentrations ranging from 375 to 4,000 ppm for rats and from 30 to 500 ppm for mice. The chemical was provided in feed to dosed groups of five male and five female animals of each species for 6 weeks, and the animals were given basal diets for the last 2 weeks of the study.

In male rats, mean body weight gain was 92% of that of the matched controls at 750 ppm, 75% at 1,500 ppm, 53% at 3,000 ppm, and 43% at 4,000 ppm. In females, mean body weight gain was 93% of that of the matched controls at 750 ppm, 81% at 1,500 ppm, 53% at 3,000 ppm, and 43% at 4,000 ppm. No deaths occurred among rats, and the only gross pathologic changes were slightly enlarged thyroids in rats tested at the two highest doses. The low and high doses for the chronic studies using rats were set at 300 and 600 ppm.

No effects on growth were observed in male mice. One male at 140 ppm died. In female mice, mean body weight gain was unaffected

at 30 ppm. Mean body weight gain was 82% of that of the controls at 60 ppm, 96% at 140 ppm, 61% at 260 ppm, and 57% at 500 ppm. Hydronephrosis was found in a total of seven mice of both sexes among all groups, and pyelonephritis in one mouse. The low and high doses for the chronic studies using mice were set at 50 and 100 ppm.

### F. Designs of Chronic Studies

The designs of the chronic studies are shown in tables 1 and 2.

#### G. Clinical and Pathologic Examinations

All animals were observed twice daily for signs of toxicity and weighed every 14 days during the first 3 months and every 28 days thereafter. Clinical observations were recorded once per week. Animals that were moribund at the time of the daily examinations were killed and necropsied; however, some moribund animals were isolated from their cage-mates for a few days prior to being killed.

The pathologic evaluation consisted of gross and microscopic examination of major tissues, major organs, and all gross lesions from killed animals and animals found dead. The following tissues were microscopically examined: skin, lungs and bronchi, trachea, bone marrow, spleen, lymph nodes, thymus, heart,

Sex and	Initial	2-Amino-5- Nitrothiazole	Time o	on Study <sup>c</sup>
Test <u>Group</u>	No. of <u>Animals<sup>a</sup></u>	in Diet <sup>b</sup> (ppm)	Dosed (weeks)	Observed (weeks)
Male				
Matched-Control	50	0		111
Low-Dose	50	300	110	1
High-Dose	50	600	110	1
Female				
Matched-Control	50	0		111
Low-Dose	50	300	110	1
High-Dose	50	600	110	1

# Table 1. Design of 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole Chronic Feeding Studies in Rats

 $^{a}$ All animals were 50 days of age when placed on study.

<sup>b</sup>Diets containing 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole were administered 7 days per week.

<sup>C</sup>All animals were started on study on the same day.

Sex and	Initial	2-Amino-5- Nitrothiazole	Time	on Study <sup>C</sup>
Test <u>Group</u>	No. of <u>Animals</u> a	in Diet <sup>b</sup> (ppm)	Dosed (weeks)	Observed (weeks)
<u>Male</u>				
Matched-Control Low-Dose High-Dose	50 50 50	0 50 100	104 104	104
Female				
Matched-Control Low-Dose High-Dose	50 50 50	0 50 100	104 104	104

# Table 2. Design of 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole Chronic FeedingStudies in Mice

<sup>a</sup>All animals were 53 days of age when placed on study.

<sup>b</sup>Diets containing 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole were administered 7 days per week.

 $^{\rm C}{\rm All}$  animals were started on study on the same day.

salivary gland, liver, gallbladder (mice), pancreas, esophagus, stomach, small intestine, large intestine, colon, kidney, urinary bladder, pituitary, adrenal, thyroid, parathyroid, mammary gland, testis or ovary, prostate or uterus, brain, and eyes. Peripheral blood smears were prepared from each animal whenever possible. Occasionally, additional tissues were also examined microscopically. The different tissues were preserved in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Special staining techniques were utilized when indicated for more definitive diagnosis.

A few tissues from some animals were not examined, particularly from those animals that died early. Also, some animals were cannibalized or judged to be in such an advanced state of autolysis as to preclude histopathologic evaluation. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies, and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on study in each group.

#### H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Pertinent data on this experiment have been recorded in an automatic data processing system, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descrip-

11 .

tive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Data tables were generated for verification of data transcription and for statistical review.

These data were analyzed using the statistical techniques described in this section. Those analyses of the experimental results that bear on the possibility of carcinogenicity are discussed in the statistical narrative sections.

Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report in the form of graphs. Animals were statistically censored as of the time that they died of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not statistically censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) extensions of Cox's methods for testing for a dose-related trend. One-tailed P values have been reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is only reported when its two-tailed P value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions has been

given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site is examined (denominator). In most instances, the denominators included only those animals for which that site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions prior to histologic sampling (e.g., skin or mammary tumors), or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical developed a significantly higher proportion of tumors than did the control As a part of these analyses, the one-tailed Fisher animals. exact test (Cox, 1970) was used to compare the tumor incidence of a control group with that of a group of dosed animals at each dose level. When results for a number of dosed groups (k) are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction to ensure an overall significance level of 0.05 may be made. The Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966) requires that the P value for any comparison be less than or equal to 0.05/k. Tn cases where this correction was used, it is discussed in the narrative section. It is not, however, presented in the tables, where the Fisher exact P values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971), was also used. Under the assumption of a linear trend, this test determines if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the onetailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend is a positive dose relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

A time-adjusted analysis was applied when numerous early deaths resulted from causes that were not associated with the formation of tumors. In this analysis, deaths that occurred before the first tumor was observed were excluded by basing the statistical tests on animals that survived at least 52 weeks, unless a tumor was found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor was found, comparisons were based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was found. Once this reduced set of data was obtained, the standard procedures for analyses of the incidence of tumors (Fisher exact tests, Cochran-Armitage tests, etc.) were followed.

When appropriate, life-table methods were used to analyze the incidence of tumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without an observed tumor were computed as in Saffiotti et al. (1972).

The week during which an animal died naturally or was sacrificed was entered as the time point of tumor observation. Cox's methods of comparing these curves were used for two groups; Tarone's extension to testing for linear trend was used for three groups. The statistical tests for the incidence of tumors which used life-table methods were one-tailed and, unless otherwise noted, in the direction of a positive dose relationship. Significant departures from linearity (P < 0.05, two-tailed test) were also noted.

The approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the relative risk of each dosed group compared with its control was calculated from the exact interval on the odds ratio (Gart, 1971). The relative risk is defined as  $p_t/p_c$  where  $p_t$  is the true binomial probability of the incidence of a specific type of tumor in a dosed group of animals and  $p_c$  is the true probability of the spontaneous incidence of the same type of tumor in a control group. The hypothesis of equality between the true proportion of a specific tumor in a dosed group and the proportion in a control group corresponds to a relative risk of unity. Values in excess of unity represent the condition of a larger proportion in the dosed group than in the control.

The lower and upper limits of the confidence interval of the relative risk have been included in the tables of statistical

The interpretation of the limits is that in approxianalyses. mately 95% of a large number of identical experiments, the true ratio of the risk in a dosed group of animals to that in a control group would be within the interval calculated from the When the lower limit of the confidence interval is experiment. greater than one, it can be inferred that a statistically significant result (P < 0.025 one-tailed test when the control incidence is not zero, P < 0.050 when the control incidence is zero) has occurred. When the lower limit is less than unity, but the upper limit is greater than unity, the lower limit indicates the absence of a significant result while the upper limit indicates that there is a theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by the test chemical, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

#### III. <u>RESULTS - RATS</u>

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)

Mean body weights of rats of each sex were slightly less than weights of the controls in a dose-related manner (figure 1). Fluctuations in the growth curve may be due to mortality; as the size of a group diminishes, the mean body weight may be subject to variation.

Early during the second year of the study, approximately 75% of the rats developed acute swellings of the cervical salivary glands. The clinical appearance was consistent with that of sialodacryoadenitis. Control animals as well as dosed animals developed this condition, which lasted for approximately 2 weeks. The animals ate less feed, developed rough coats, and in some cases, lost weight. Unilateral cataracts were observed at the end of the first year and through the second year in both control and dosed animals.

#### B. <u>Survival (Rats)</u>

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival for male and female rats fed 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole in the diet at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the matched controls, are shown in figure 2.



Figure 1. Growth Curves for Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet



Figure 2. Survival Curves for Rats Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet

In male rats, there was a dose-related positive trend (P = 0.042) in mortality; however, 27/50 (54%) of the high-dose males lived at least 2 years. There was no dose-related trend in mortality in the female rats, and over 65% of all the female rats (35/50 [70%] high-dose, 33/50 [66%] low-dose, 33/50 [66%] matched controls) lived to the end of the study. Sufficient numbers of rats of each sex were at risk for the development of lateappearing tumors.

## C. <u>Pathology</u> (Rats)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A, tables Al and A2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C, tables Cl and C2.

A variety of neoplasms were observed in both the control and dosed groups, each of which has been previously encountered as a spontaneous lesion in the rat. Some types of neoplasms occurred only in rats of dosed groups, or with a greater frequency in dosed groups when compared with controls; the converse was also true.

The incidences of undifferentiated and lymphocytic types of malignant lymphoma, leukemia, and granulocytic leukemia of the spleen or multiple organs increased in the dosed male groups. This trend was not as evident in the females. The incidences of lymphoma and leukemia were as follows:

<u>Males</u>	Matched Control	Low Dose	High <u>Dose</u>
Number of animals with tis examined microscopically		50	49
Malignant Lymphoma, Undifferentiated	5* (10%)	8 (16%)	10 (20%)
Malignant Lymphoma, Lymphocytic	4 (8%)	4 (8%)	8 (16%)
Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic	0	1 (2%)	0
Malignant Lymphoma, NOS, (not otherwise specifie	d) 0	1 (2%)	0
Lymphocytic Leukemia	4 (8%)	4 (8%)	6 (12%)
Granulocytic Leukemia	2 (4%)	4 (8%)	9 (18%)
Total number of animals w Lymphoma or Leukemia	ith 13 (26%)	19 (38%)	28 (57%)
Females		`	
Number of animals with ti examined microscopicall		50	50
Malignant Lymphoma, Undifferentiated	4 (8%)	10 (20%)	7 (14%)
Malignant Lymphoma, Lymphocytic	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Lymphocytic Leukemia	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
Granulocytic Leukemia	2 (4%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
Total number of animals w Lymphoma or Leukemia	ith 7 (14%)	14 (28%)	10 (20%)

\*Includes three animals with undifferentiated leukemia.

The undifferentiated malignant lymphoma was considered to be the same as that described by Moloney et al. (1970). Many of the high-dose animals died or were killed in moribund condition because of the leukemia.

The nonneoplastic lesions consisted of degenerative, proliferative, and inflammatory changes that are commonly observed in aging rats (Sass et al., 1975). These conditions occurred in a random fashion and did not appear to be related to administration of the test chemical.

Focal myocarditis ranging from acute to chronic occurred in 8/48 (17%) control males, 22/49 (45%) low-dose males, 21/48 (43%) high-dose males; 3/48 (6%) control females, 11/47 (23%) low-dose females, and 16/49 (33%) high-dose females. Although the incidence was greater in dosed groups than in controls, it was not considered to be related to administration of the test chemical, since it is a common finding in aged rats.

The incidence of endometrial stromal polyps of the uterus was higher in the low-dose females than in the control and high-dose females (controls 2/50 [4%], low-dose 9/49 [18%], high-dose 3/50 [6%]). However, this benign proliferative lesion was not associated with an increased incidence of malignant tumors in the uterus.
Suppurative inflammation of the preputial glands of male and female rats was observed in all groups. A low incidence of adenoma of the preputial gland was present in all groups.

The increased incidence of pituitary angiectasis in dosed female rats was associated with an increased incidence of chromophobe adenoma of the pituitary gland.

There was a dose-related increase in the incidence of hematopoietic neoplasms in male rats. The incidence of the undifferentiated type of malignant lymphoma was lower than that previously reported for this strain (Turusov, 1973), but the onset was earlier.

In the judgment of the pathologist, 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole administered to Fischer 344 rats was carcinogenic for males, but not the females, under the conditions of this study.

#### D. <u>Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)</u>

Tables El and E2 in Appendix E contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals in one group and with an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group.

In male rats, the results of the Cochran-Armitage test for positive dose-related trend in the combined incidence of malignant

lymphoma, lymphocytic leukemia, or undifferentiated leukemia are significant (P = 0.044), but the results of the Fisher exact test are not. The results of the Cochran-Armitage test for the incidence of granulocytic leukemia are significant (P = 0.014), and the results of the Fisher exact test show that the incidence in the high-dose group is significantly higher (P = 0.023) than that in the controls. In the analyses of the incidence of any type of leukemia or lymphoma, the results of the Cochran-Armitage test are significant (P = 0.001), and the results of the Fisher exact test show a higher incidence of these tumors in the high-dose group (P = 0.002) than in the matched controls. The statistical conclusion is that the occurrence of neoplasms of the hematopoietic system in male rats is associated with 2-amino-5nitrothiazole at the doses used in this study. There were two groups of controls at this laboratory. The group matched with 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole had an incidence of 13/50 (26%) hematopoietic tumors and the other group had 14/50 (28%).

In female rats, the results of the Cochran-Armitage test for positive dose-related trend in proportions for chromophobe adenoma of the pituitary are significant (P = 0.016), and the results of the Fisher exact test show significantly greater incidences of this tumor in the high-dose group (P = 0.021) than in the matched controls. The results of the Fisher exact

comparison of the incidences in the low-dose and control animals show a P value of 0.048, which is above the 0.025 level required when multiple comparison is considered. The high incidence seen in the matched controls (19/45, 42%) indicates a high spontaneous rate of this type of tumor in these animals. The incidence of this tumor in the second female control group at this laboratory was 26/50 (52%). In male rats, the results of the Cochran-Armitage test for the incidence of this tumor indicates a probability level of 0.048, but the results of the Fisher exact test are not significant.

In the analyses of endometrial stromal polyp of the uterus in female rats, although the results of the Cochran-Armitage test for positive dose-related trend in incidences are not significant at the 0.05 level, there is a significant departure from linear trend (P = 0.009), due to the greater incidence of this tumor in the low-dose group (9/49) than in the high-dose group (3/50). The results of the Fisher exact test show a significantly higher incidence of this tumor in the low-dose group than in the matched controls (P = 0.023), but the incidence in the high-dose group is not significant.

In male rats, the incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma of the lung and interstitial-cell tumor of the testis were higher in the control group than in the dosed groups. This may have

occurred because the dosed animals did not live as long as the control animals.

#### IV. RESULTS - MICE

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)

Mean body weights of the dosed male mice were slightly lower than those of the corresponding controls in a dose-related manner throughout the study. Toward the end of the study mean body weights of the female mice at both doses were lower than those of the corresponding controls (figure 3). Fluctuations in a growth curve may be due to mortality; as the size of a group diminishes, the mean body weight may be subject to variation.

During the first year of the study, the dosed mice were generally comparable to the controls in appearance and behavior. Focal alopecia, focal dermatitis, and small palpable nodules in the perineal area associated with fighting were observed in increasing numbers of male mice, beginning at week 34.

#### B. Survival (Mice)

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival for male and female mice fed 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole in the diet at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the matched controls, are shown in figure 4.

In male mice, the results of the Tarone test for dose-related trend in mortality are not significant; at least 66% of the



Figure 3. Growth Curves for Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet



Figure 4. Survival Curves for Mice Fed 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole in the Diet

animals (33/50 [66%] high-dose, 37/50 [74%] low-dose, 38/50 [76%] matched controls) lived to the end of the study. In the male high-dose group, two animals were reported missing. There is no positive dose-related trend in mortality in the female mice, and at least 70% of every female group (41/50 [82%] high-dose, 38/50 [76%] low-dose, 35/50 [70%] matched controls) lived to the end of the study. Sufficient numbers of mice of each sex were at risk for development of late-appearing tumors.

### C. Pathology (Mice)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B, tables Bl and B2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D, tables Dl and D2.

A variety of neoplasms were observed in both the control and dosed groups, each of which has been encountered previously as a spontaneous lesion in the mouse.

The incidences of hepatocellular carcinoma, adenoma, and hyperplasia were as follows:

Males	Matched <u>Control</u>	Low Dose	High <u>Dose</u>
Number of animals with tiss examined microscopically	ue 49	50	48
Hepatocellular Carcinoma	16 (33%)	11 (22%)	11 (23%)
Hepatocellular Adenoma	4 (8%)	6 (12%)	4 (8%)
Hyperplasia, Nodular or Hyperplastic Nodule	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Females			
Number of animals with tiss examined microscopically	ue 49	50	50
Hepatocellular Carcinoma	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	4 (8%)
Hepatocellular Adenoma	1 (2%)	4 (8%)	1 (2%)
Hyperplasia, Nodular	0 (0%)	1 (2%)	0 (0%)

The incidence of proliferative hepatocellular lesions was greater in males than in females, but there was no indication that these lesions were related to administration of the test chemical.

Other lesions that occurred among dosed and control groups were also considered to be spontaneous. Some types of neoplasms occurred only in mice of dosed groups, or with a greater frequency in dosed groups when compared with controls; the converse was also true.

Several chronic inflammatory, degenerative, and proliferative conditions were observed in all groups. These conditions occurred in a random fashion and were considered to be of common occurrence, spontaneous, and not related to administration of the test chemical.

Based on the histologic examination, there was no evidence for the carcinogenicity of 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole in B6C3F1 mice under the conditions of this bioassay.

#### D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)

Tables F1 and F2 in Appendix F contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals of one group and with an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group.

The results of the Cochran-Armitage test for positive doserelated trend in the incidence of alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma of the lung in female mice (P = 0.048) and the incidence of combined alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma and carcinoma of the lung in female mice (P = 0.034) are significant. However, the results of the Fisher exact test are not significant for these tumors.

In female mice, the incidences of hematopoietic tumors in the dosed groups are lower than that in the control group. These

significant trends in the negative direction cannot be explained by low survival in the dosed groups, since the survivals of the dosed and control groups of female mice are comparable.

In each of the 95% confidence intervals for relative risk, shown in the tables, the value of one is included; this indicates the absence of significant positive results. It should also be noted that each of the intervals has an upper limit greater than one, indicating the theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

#### V. DISCUSSION

The mean body weights of the groups of rats and mice administered 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole in this bioassay were slightly lower than those of the controls throughout most of the period of administration. No clinical signs related to administration of the test chemical were noted. There was a dose-related trend in mortality only in the male rats; however, sufficient numbers of rats and mice were at risk in all groups for development of late-appearing tumors.

In male rats, there was a significant dose-related trend (P = 0.044) in the incidences of malignant lymphomas, lymphocytic leukemias, or undifferentiated leukemias, although the results of direct comparisons of incidences in each of the dosed groups with those in the controls were not significant. There was also a significant dose-related trend in the incidence of granulocytic leukemia in the male rats (P = 0.014) and a significantly increased incidence of this tumor (P = 0.023) in the high-dose group (matched controls 2/50, low-dose 4/50, high-dose 9/49). When the incidences of all neoplasms of the hematopoietic system (lymphomas and all leukemias) were combined, greater significance was attained for both the dose-related trend (P = 0.001) and the direct comparison (P = 0.002) of the incidence in the high-dose group with that in the matched controls (controls 13/50, low-dose

19/50, high-dose 28/49). The reliability of the incidence of hematopoietic tumors in the male controls was supported by that for male controls observed in a similar bioassay of another test chemical at the same laboratory (13/50). The incidences of the combined hematopoietic tumors in the dosed female rats were not significant when compared with the incidence in the matched controls.

In female rats, there was a significant dose-related trend in the incidence of chromophobe adenomas of the pituitary (P = 0.016) and a higher incidence (P = 0.021) in the high-dose group than in the matched controls (controls 19/45, low-dose 29/47, high-dose 29/44). The incidence of this lesion in dosed male rats was much lower than that in dosed females, and the dose-related trend (P =0.048) was only marginally significant (controls 3/46, low-dose 3/45, high-dose 8/43). The incidences of chromophobe adenomas of the pituitary which were observed in control groups of rats used in a similar bioassay of another test chemical at the same laboratory were 13/49 (27%) for the males and 26/50 (52%) for the females. Because of this variability in incidences of the tumor among different control groups, the occurrence of chromophobe adenomas of the pituitary in the dosed female rats cannot be clearly associated with the administration of 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole.

Also in female rats, there was a higher incidence of endometrial stromal polyps of the uterus in the low-dose group (P = 0.023) than in the matched controls (controls 2/50, low-dose 9/49, high-dose 3/50). Since, however, only three high-dose animals had this tumor, the occurrence of uterine tumors in the low-dose group cannot be clearly associated with administration of the test chemical.

In previous work, Cohen et al. (1975) administered 2-amino-5nitrothiazole in the diet to Sprague-Dawley rats at 1,000 ppm for 46 weeks. Tumors of the mammary gland, kidney, pelvis, and lungs resulted, but the incidences were low. No increased incidences of tumors in these specific organs were observed in the present bioassay.

In the mice, no neoplasms were observed at a statistically significant incidence in the dosed groups when compared with the controls.

It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay, the occurrence of tumors of the hematopoietic system, i.e., lymphoma and granulocytic leukemia, in dosed male Fischer 344 rats was associated with administration of 2-amino-5-nitrothiozole. 2-Amino-5-nitrothiazole was not carcinogenic in female Fischer 344 rats or in male or female B6C3F1 mice.

#### VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1971, pp. 362-365.
- Berenblum, I., ed., <u>Carcinogenicity Testing: A Report of the</u> <u>Panel on Carcinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission</u> <u>of UICC</u>, Vol. 2, International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.
- Cohen, S. M., Erturk, E., Von Esch, A. M., Crovetti, A. J., and Bryan, G. T., Carcinogenicity of 5-nitrofurans and related compounds with amino-heterocyclic substitutes. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 54(4):841-850, 1975.
- Cox, D. R., Regression models and life tables. J. R. Statist. Soc. B 34(2):187-220, 1972.
- Cox, D. R., <u>Analysis of Binary Data</u>, Methuen & Co., Ltd., London, 1970, pp. 48-52.
- Fingl, E., Laxatives and cathartics. In: <u>The Pharmacological</u> <u>Basis of Therapeutics</u>, Goodman, L. S. and Gilman, A., eds., <u>Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1975</u>, pp. 976-986.
- Food and Drug Administration, <u>Code of Federal Regulations</u>, <u>21.</u> <u>Food and Drugs</u> (special edition of the <u>Federal Register</u>), Office of the Federal Register, Washington, D.C., 1976, section 556.20.
- Cart, J. J., The comparison of proportions: a review of significance tests, confidence limits and adjustments for stratification. <u>Rev. Int. Statist.</u> <u>Inst.</u> <u>39</u>(2):148-169, 1971.
- Horwitz, W., ed., Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 11th ed., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C., 1970, p. 729.
- Kaplan, E. L. and Meier, P., Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J. Am. Statist. Assoc. 53:457-481, 1958.

- Linhart, M. S., Cooper, J. A., Martin, R. L., Page, N. P., and Peters, J. A., Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. <u>Comp.</u> <u>and Biomed. Res.</u> 7:230-248, 1974.
- Miller, R. G., Jr., <u>Simultaneous Statistical Inference</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966, pp. 6-10.
- Moloney, W. C., Boschetti, A. E., and King, V. P., Spontaneous leukemia in Fischer rats. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 30:41-43, 1970.
- Morris, J. E., Price, J. M., Lalich, J. J., and Stein, R. J., The carcinogenic activity of some 5-nitrofuran derivatives in the rat. <u>Cancer Res. 29</u>:2145-2156, 1969.
- Rollo, I. M., Drugs used in the chemotherapy of helminthrasis. In: <u>The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics</u>, Goodman, L. S. and Gilman, A., eds., Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1975, pp. 1018-1044.
- Saffiotti, U., Montesano, R., Sellakumar, A. R., Cefis, F., and Kaufman, D. G., Respiratory tract carcinogenesis in hamsters induced by different numbers of administrations of benzo(a) pyrene and ferric oxide. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 32:1073-1081, 1972.
- Sass, B., Rabstein, L. S., Madison, R., Nims, R. M., Peters, R. L., and Kelloff, G. J., Incidence of spontaneous neoplasms in F344 rats throughout the natural life-span. J. <u>Natl.</u> <u>Cancer Inst. 54</u>(6):1449-1453, 1975.
- Tarone, R. E., Tests for trend in life table analysis. <u>Biometrika</u> 62(3):679-682, 1975.
- Turusov, V. S., ed., <u>Pathology of Tumors in Laboratory Animals,</u> <u>Vol. 1, Tumors of the Rat</u>, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Geneva, 1973.

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

# TABLE A1.

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE	
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50	50	49	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50	50	49	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
*SKIN	(50)	(50)	(49)	
SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA	1 (2%)		1 (2%)	
TRICHOEPITHELIOMA SEBACEOUS ADENOMA	1 (2%)	1 (2%)		
SEBACEOUS ADENORA		((2/))		
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(59)	(50)	(49)	
FIBROMA	1 (2%)	1 (2%)		
FIBROSARCOMA LIPOMA	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
RESPIRATCRY SYSTEM				
#LUNG	(50)	(50)	(43)	
ALVECLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA	3 (6%)			
C-CEIL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC	1 (2%)			
HEMATOPOIPTIC SYSTEM				
*MUITIPLF ORGANS	(51)	(5 0)	(49)	
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE	<u>)</u> 1 (2%)	7 (14%)	9 (18%	
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE UNDIFFERENTIATED LEUKEMIN	4 (8%)	4 (8%)	8 (16%)	
UNDIFFERENTIATED LEUKEMIA Lymphocytic leukemia	2 (4%) 4 (8%)		6 (12%)	
GPANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	2 (4%)	4 (8%) 4 (8%)		
#SPLEEN	(49)	(47)	(49)	
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFEF-TYPF MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPF	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	
UNDIFFERENTIATED LEUKEMIA	1 (2%)	(20)	, (2.8)	
*LYMPH NODE	(41)	(41)	(42)	
FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINGNA. METAS	<b>V</b> · · · <b>/</b>	1 (2%)	(	

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE FXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*THYMUS MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS	(37)	(41) 1 (2%)	(31)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART	(48)	(49)	(48)
ANITSCHKOW-CELL SARCOMA	1 (2%)		
DIGFSTIVF SYSTEM			
*FALATE	(50)	(50)	(49)
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINONA	1 (2%)		
*TONGUE SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
#LIVER	(49)	(49)	(49)
NEOPLASTIC NODULE Hepatocellular carcinoma		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
UPINARY SYSTEM NONE			
ENDCCRINE SYSTEM			**********
ENDCCHINE SYSTEM #FITUITARY	(46)	(45)	(43)
	(46) 3 (7%)	(45) 3 (7%)	
#FITUITARY	(46) 3 (7%) (49)	3 (7%) (47)	
<pre>#FITUITARY CHROMOFHOBE ADENOMA #ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA</pre>	3 (7%) (49)	3 (7%)	8 (191
<pre>#FITUITARY CHROMOFHOBE ADENOMA #ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA</pre>	3 (7%) (49) 1 (2%)	3 (7%) (47) 1 (2%)	8 (199 (48)
CHROMOFHOBE ADENOMA #ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA	3 (7%) (49)	3 (7%) (47)	ໍ 8໌ (191
<pre>#FITUITARY CHROMOFHOBE ADENOMA #ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA</pre>	.3 (7%) (49) 1 (2%) 4 (8%)	3 (7%) (47) 1 (2%)	8 (199 (48)
<pre>#FITUITARY CHROMOFHOBE ADENOMA #ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT #THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA</pre>	3 (7%) (49) 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 1 (2%) (46)	3 (7%) (47) 1 (2%) 4 (9%) (48)	8 (199 (48) 1 (2%) (46) 1 (2%)
<pre>#FITUITARY CHROMOFHOBE ADENOMA #ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT #THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA</pre>	3 (7%) (49) 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 1 (2%) (46) 1 (2%)	3 (7%) (47) 1 (2%) 4 (9%) (48) 3 (6%)	8 (199 (48) 1 (2%) (46) 1 (2%) 3 (7%)
<pre>#FITUITARY CHROMOFHOBE ADENOMA #ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT #THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA</pre>	3 (7%) (49) 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 1 (2%) (46)	3 (7%) (47) 1 (2%) 4 (9%) (48)	8 (199 (48) 1 (2%)

# TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(49) 4 (8%)	(44) 4 (9%)	(45) 3 (7%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND ADFNOMA, NOS FIBROMA	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 4 (8%)
FIBROADENOMA *PRFPUTIAL GLAND	1 (2%) (50) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) (59)	4 (877) (49)
CARCINOMA, NOS ADENOMA, NOS	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#TESTIS INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR	(50) 48 (96%)	(50) 48 (96%)	(49) 41 (84%
* SCROTUM FIBROSARCOMA	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
NFFVCUS SYSTEM			
#MIDBRAIN ASTROCYTOMA	(50) 1 (2%)	(51)	(49)
SPECJAL SENSE ORGANS			
*EAP CANAL SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)
MUSCULOSKEIETAL SYSTEM			
*SKULL OSTEOMA	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
BOLY CAVITIES			
*AEDOMINAL CAVITY MESOTHELIOMA, MALIGNANT	(50) -	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
*PERITONFUM MESOTHFLIONA_NOS	(50) 1_(2%)	(50)	(49)

# TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*TUNICA VAGINALIS MESOTHELIONA, NOS MESOTHELIONA, MALIGNANT	(50)	(5.1) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
LL CTHER SYSTEMS			
*MUITIPLE ORGANS FIBROUS HISTIOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT MESOTHELIOMA, MALIGNANT		(50)	(49)
NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATH@ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	50 15 5	50 16 8	50 15 14
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED Terminal sacrifice Animal missing	30	26	21
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			
UMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* Totai primary tumors	49 99	48 105	46 107
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	48 72	48 73	42 66
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	23 26	26 30	31 41
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	1 1	1 1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT	1	1	
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	1	2	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- FRIMARY OR METASTATIC			

# TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# TABLE A2.

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 50 50	50 50 50	50 50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN SEBACEOUS ADENOMA	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
*SUBCUT TISSUE SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA SEBACEOUS ADENOMA SEBACEOUS ADENOCARCINOMA FIBROMA	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) .1 (2%) 1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
<pre>#LUNG SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA C-CELL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA, METASTATIC LIPOSARCOMA, METASTATIC</pre>	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIPFER-TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA		1 (2%)	(50) 6 (12% 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#SPLEEN PHEOCHROMOCYTONA, METASTATIC MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA</pre>	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
<pre>#LYMPH NODE C-CELL CARCINGMA_ METASTATIC</pre>	(44)	(39)	(34)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
TIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
NONE			
JRINARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
ENCOCRINE SYSTEM			
*PITUITARY	(45)	(47)	(44)
CARCINOMA,NOS Chromophobe adenoma	19 (42%)	1 (2%) 29 (62%)	29 (669
*ADRENAL	(49)	(49)	(50)
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT	3 (6%)	1 (2%)	
*THYROID	(50)	(47)	(48)
FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA Follicular-cell carcinoma	1 (2%)	· .	1 (2%)
C-CELL ADENOMA C-CELL CARCINOMA	3 (6%). 2 (4%)	4 (9%) 3 (6%)	3 (6%) 5 (10%)
*PARATHYROID	(37)	(34)	(30)
ADENOMA, NOS		1 (3%)	1 (3%)
<pre>#PANCREATIC ISLETS     ISLET-CELL ADENOMA</pre>	(49) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(48) 1 (2%)
ISLEI-CELL ADERONA		2 (4%)	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND	(50)	(50)	(50)
ADENOMA, NOS ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	1 (2%)	3 (6%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
PAPILLARY ADENOCARCINOMA FIBROADENOMA	12 (24%)	12 (24%)	2 (4%) 14 (28%

# TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*PREPUTIAL GLAND	(50)	(50)	(50)
CARCINOMA,NOS ADENOMA, NOS	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%
#UTERUS	(50)	(49)	(50)
LEIONYOMA FINDOMETRIAL STROMAL POLYP	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%) 9 (18%)	3 (6%
#OVARY	(50)	(49)	(48)
GRANULOSA-CELL TUMOR SERTOLI-CELL TUMOR	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
IERVOUS SYSTEM			
<pre>#BRAIN/MENINGES SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA</pre>	(49)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%
#ERAIN CARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
* E Y F	(50)	(50)	(50)
SARCOMA, NOS		1 (2%)	
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BOEY CAVITIES			
NONE			
IL OTHER SYSTEMS			
LUMBOSACRAL REGION LIPOSARCOMA	1		

# TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50_	50
NATURAL DEATHO	4	7	8
MORIBUND SACRIFICE Scheduled sacrifice	13	10	7
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED			
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	33	33	35
ANIMAL MISSING	•••	•••	•••
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			
UNOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	40	44	44
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	59	86	78
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	35	40	38
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	45	62	56
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	11	21	19
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	14	23	22
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#	3	2	1
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	3	4	2
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT		1	
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		<b>'</b> 1	
IOIND UNCONININ IONUNG		•	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-			
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE	CONDARY TUM	IORS	
SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS			ADJACENT ORG

# TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

# TABLE B1.

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

	CONT	ROL	LOW DOS	E	HIGH DO	SE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS MISSING Animals necropsied	49		50		2 48	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	49		50		48	
INTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM						
*SKIN	(49)		(50)		(48)	
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC SEBACEOUS ADENOMA				(2%) (4%)		
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(49)		(50)		(48)	
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC FIBROMA				(2%) (2%)		
FIBROSARCOMA	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
<pre>#FSPIRATORY SYSTEM #LUNG ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS, MFTASTATIC HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC FIBRCSARCOMA, METASTATIC</pre>	3 10	(6%) (2C%) (8%) (2%)	2 10 2 1	1241		
TENATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*MUITIPLE ORGANS	(49)		(50)	(4.9.5)	(48)	<i></i>
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE Malig.lymphoma, Histiocymic Type	4	(8%)		(10%) (2%)	2	(4%)
GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA						(6%)
MONOCYTIC LEUKEMIA Granulocytic Sarcoma	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
*SPLEEN	(46)		(43)		(46)	
HEMANGIOMA						(2%)
HEMANGIOSARCOMA MALIG.LYMPHONA. HISTIOCYTIC. TYPE.	4	(9%) /2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

## TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#MESENTERIC L. NODE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPF	(40) 1 (3%)	(33) 1 (3%)	(29)
*LIVER GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	(49) 1 (2%)	(51)	(48)
*SMALL INTESTINE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPF	(47)	(44) 1 (2%)	(45)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER HEPATOCEILULAR ADENOMA HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC HEMANGIOMA HEMANGIOSARCOMA ANGIOSARCOMA	(49) 4 (8%) 16 (33%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(50) 6 (12%) 11 (22%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(48) 4 (8%) 11 (23% 3 (6%) 2 (4%)
#PANCREAS Cortical Carcinoma, Metastatic	(48)	(46) 1 (2%)	(45)
RINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	(48)	(47) 1 (2%)	(48)
NDØCRINF SYSTEM			
#ADRENAL CORTICAL CARCINOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA	(46) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(46)
#THYROID Follicular-Cell Adenoma	(43)	(39) 1 (3%)	(40)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(48)	(46)	(45)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*TESTIS INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR	(47) 1 (2%)	(48)	(46)
FRVCUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*HARDERIAN GLAND	(49)	(50)	(48)
PAPILLARY ADENOMA PAPILLARY CYSTADENOMA, NOS	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
OTY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY CORTICAL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC	(49) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )	(50)	(48)
LL CTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50
NATURAL DEATHƏ Moribund sacrifice	10 2	12 1	13 2
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	-	,	2
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED Terminal sacrifice	• 38	37	33
		÷ ·	2

## TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

CONTROL LOW DOSE HIGH DOSE \_\_\_\_\_ TUMOR SUMMARY , 32 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS\* 39 34 54 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 45 53 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 15 18 15 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 17 17 23 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 25 24 31 TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS 37 30 28 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# 4 5 1 9 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 6 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS \* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS # SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN 

# TABLE B2.

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
50	50	50
50	50	50
50	50	50
(50)	(50)	(50)
	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
(47)	(48)	(49)
<b>•</b> • • • •		1 (2%)
2 (4%)		7 (14% 1 (2%)
	2 (4,2)	
(50)	(50)	(50)
		6 (12%)
6 (12%)		1 (2%)
2 (45%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
1 (2%)		(2%)
(47)	(49)	(49)
		4 (8%)
	2 (4%)	
(38)	(39)	(35)
	1 (3%)	
(38)	(39)	(35)
	1 (3%)	1 (3%)
1 (3%)		
(47)	(48)	(49)
	50 50 50 (50) (47) 2 (4%) (11 (22%) 6 (12%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) (47) (38) (38) 1 (3%) (47)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#SMALL INTESTINE Malig.lymphoma, lymphocytic type	(48)	(47) 1 (2%)	(50)
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE		(22)	1 (2%)
#KIDNEY	(49)	(50)	(50)
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE			1 (2%)
#THYNUS	(38)	(43)	(41)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS GRANULOCYTIC SARCOMA		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART	(49)	(50)	(50)
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CA, METASTA		1 (2%)	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER	(49)	(50)	(50)
HEPATOCELLULAR ADENONA Hepatocellular carcinoma	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	4 (8%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%)
HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINONA HEMANGIONA	(2%)	1 (2%)	4 (8%)
HEMANGIOSARCOMA	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#DUODENUM	(48)	(47)	(50)
ADENONATOUS POLYP, NOS			1 (2%)
URINARY SYSTEM			
NONB			
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY	(43)	(42)	(43)
CHROMOPHOBE ADENONA	2 (5%)	6 (14%)	-6 (14%
#THYROID	(40)	(44)	(43)
FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENONA			2 (5%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND	(50)	(50)	(50)
ADENOCARCINONA, NOS			<u> </u>

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

. .
	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
FIBROADENONA			1 (2%
#UTERUS	(47)	(49)	(50)
SARCOMA, NOS			1 (2%
LEIOMYOSARCONA	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	
ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL POLYP		1 (2%)	
HEMANGIONA		1 (2%)	
#OVARY	(39)	(47)	(46)
LUTFOMA	• •	ໍ້ 1 (2%)	
GRANULOSA-CELL TUMOR	1 (3%)		
TERATOMA, BENIGN		1 (2%)	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND PAPILLARY CYSTADENOMA, NOS	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			;
*SKELETAL MUSCLE HEMANGIOSARCOMA	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
BOEY CAVITIES			
NONE			
	************		
ALL CTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			مه ماد این و « بای و » بای می میزاند. بای دید می می
NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EX		<b>NT</b> () 1 1 1 1	
		WIE ALLY	

#### TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY 50 50 50 6 NATURAL DEATHƏ 14 9 6 HORIBUND SACRIFICE 1 3 3 3 SCHEDULED SACRIFICE 35 38 41 ANIMAL SACRIFICE 35 38 41 INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UNOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 26 31 28 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS* 26 31 40 45 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 31 40 45 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 5 17 19 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH HALIGNANT TUMORS 23 19 21 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH HALIGNANT TUMORS 25 23 26 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TUMORS 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PENIGN OR MALIGNANT 10HORS 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PENIGN OR MALIGNANT 10HORS 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PENIGN OR MALIGNANT 10HORS 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PENIGN OR MALIGNANT 10HORS 1		CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY 50 50 50 6 NATURAL DEATHƏ 14 9 6 HORIBUND SACRIFICE 1 3 3 3 SCHEDULED SACRIFICE 35 38 41 ANIMAL SACRIFICE 35 38 41 ANIMAL SACRIFICE 35 38 41 INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UNOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 26 31 28 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS* 31 40 45 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 3 16 16 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 5 17 19 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 23 19 21 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 25 23 26 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TUMORS 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 10HORS 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- FRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- FRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS				
NATURAL DEATH#1496NORIBUND SACRIFICE133SCHEDULED SACRIFICE133ACCIDENTALLY KILLEDTERMINAL SACRIFICE353841ANIMAL MISSING353841INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS353841UNOR SUMMARY100083128TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*263128TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS314045TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS31616TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS51719TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS231921TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS23262326TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGMANT111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PENMARY OR METASTATIC11	NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
NORIBUND SACRIFICE133SCHEDULED SACRIFICEACCIDENTALLY KILLED353841ACCIDENTALLY KILLEDTERMINAL SACRIFICE353841ANIHAL MISSINGINCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIHALS353841UNOR SUMMARYTOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*263128TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*26314045TOTAL ANIHALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS3161616TOTAL ANIHALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS5171919TOTAL ANIHALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS23192121TOTAL ANIHALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS25232626TOTAL ANIHALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS1111TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- DENIGN OR MALIGNANT111TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS1111TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS1111TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS1111TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS11TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS11TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS11TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS1TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS1TOTAL ANIHALS WITH TUMORS1TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS1TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMO	ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY		50	50
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UNOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 26 31 28 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 31 40 45 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 3 16 16 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 3 16 16 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 5 17 19 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 23 19 21 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 23 25 23 26 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 1 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 2 1 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 1	NATURAL DEATHO			
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UNOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 26 31 28 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 31 40 45 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 3 16 16 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 3 16 16 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 5 17 19 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 23 19 21 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 23 25 23 26 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 1 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		1	3	3
TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING353841INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALSUNOR SUMMARYTOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*263128TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS314045TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS31616TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS31616TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS231921TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS252326TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS11TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS11TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS11TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS1TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS1TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIM- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS				
ANIMAL MISSING INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UNOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUNORS* 26 31 28 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 31 40 45 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 31 6 16 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 3 16 16 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 5 17 19 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 23 19 21 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 23 25 23 26 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 1 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 12 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS				
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UNOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUNORS* 26 31 28 TOTAL PRIMARY TUNORS 31 40 45 TOTAL PRIMARY TUNORS 3 16 16 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUNORS 3 16 16 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 5 17 19 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 23 19 21 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 23 25 23 26 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 1 1 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 12 11 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		35	38	41
UNOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 26 31 28 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 31 40 45 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 3 16 16 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 5 17 19 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 5 23 23 26 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 23 25 23 26 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 1 1 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 1 1 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 1 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 1 TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS 1	ANIMAL MISSING			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*263128TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS314045TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS31616TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS31616TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS51719TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS231921TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS252326TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*111TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS111TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS111TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS111TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS11TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS11	INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			
TOTAL PRIMARY TUNORS314045TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUNORS31616TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS51719TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS231921TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS252326TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#111TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS11TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS11TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS11TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS1	UNOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL PRIMARY TUNORS314045TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUNORS31616TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS51719TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS231921TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS252326TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#111TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS111TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS11TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS11TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS11TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS1	TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUNORS*	26	31	28
TOTAL BENIGN TUHORS51719TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUHORS231921TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUHORS252326TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUHORS*111TOTAL SECONDARY TUHORS121TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUHORS121TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUHORS111BENIGN OR MALIGNANT111TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUHORS11TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUHORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUHORS1			40	45
TOTAL BENIGN TUHORS51719TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUHORS231921TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUHORS252326TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUHORS*111TOTAL SECONDARY TUHORS121TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUHORS121TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUHORS111BENIGN OR MALIGNANT111TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUHORS11TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUHORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUHORS1	TOTAL ANTMALS WITH BENIGN TUNORS	3	16	16
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS252326TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#111TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS211TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT11TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS11TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS1				
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS252326TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#111TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS211TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT11TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS11TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS1	TOTLI SUTMIT UTTU MITTONINA AUMOR	23	10	21
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#     1     1       TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS     2     1       TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT     1     1       TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS     1     1       TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS     1     1       TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS     1     1       TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS     1				
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS21TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT11TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS1TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS1	IVIAL MALIGNANI IVENAS	23	23	20
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 1 TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS	<b>)</b>	1	1
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 1 TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS		2	1
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 1 TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	TOTAL ANTHALS WITH TUNORS UNCERTAIN-			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS 1 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		-		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		.1		
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC Total Uncertain Tumors		•		
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		•		
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS	TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
	PRINARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE	CONDARY TUR	IORS	

#### TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET .

.

#### TABLE C1.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY		ROL	LOW DOSE		HIGH DO	DSE
			50 50 50		50 49 49	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*SKIN CYST, NOS HYPERKERATOSIS		(2%) (4%)	(50)		(49)	
*SUBCUT TISSUE ULCER, NOS	(50)		(50) 1	(2%)	(49)	
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
*NASAL CAVITY INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(50) 1	(2%)	(50)		(49) 2	(4%)
#TRACHEA INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	1	(35%) (2%) (6%)	14 1	(30%) (2%) (2%)	1	(18%) (2%) (2%)
*LUNG/BRONCHUS BRONCHIECTASIS INFLAMMATION, FOCAL INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(50) 4	(8%)	1	(8%) (2%) (2%)		(2%) (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	8	(16%)	19	(38%)		(42%
<pre>#LUNG ATFLFCTASIS CONGESTION, NOS HEMORRHAGE BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, NOS INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, FOCAL</pre>	2	(2%) (4%)	1 1	(4%) (2%) (2%)	(48)	(2%)

	CONT	ROL	LOW DO	SE	HIGH D	OSE
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL						(2%)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE			1	(2%)	1	(2/0)
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE				(2%)		
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE BRONCHOPNEUMONIA ACUTE SUPPURATI	1	1081	•	(27)	1	1281
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE		(24%)	5	(10%)		(2%)
FIBROSIS			5	(10%)	Z	(4%)
NECROSIS, FOCAL		(2%) (2%)				
PIGMENTATION, NOS		(2%)			1	(28)
HEMOSIDEROSIS		(2%)			1	(2%)
ALVEOLAR MACROPHAGES			2	11.01	2	11.01
	5	(10%)		(4%)	2	(4%)
HYPERPLASIA, ADENOMATOUS			1	(2%)		(2.00)
HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM					1	(2%)
LUNG/ALVEOLI	(50)		(50)		(48)	
CONGESTION, NOS	1	(2%)				
EDEMA, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)				
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		(8%)	1	(2%)		
HYPOPLASIA, NOS				(2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC		(8%)		(16%)	11	(23%
HYPERPLASIA, ERYTHROID		(2%)		(,,,,,		(237
HYPERPLASIA, GRANULOCYTIC		(2/2)	3	(6%)	7	(15%
FRYTHROPOIESIS				(2%)	. ,	(15%
SPLEEN	(49)		(47)		(49)	
RUPTURE	( ,			(2%)	()	
CONGESTION, NOS	1	(2%)		(4%)	1	(2%)
FIBROSIS		(2%)	-	( ) / /	·	(=,
NECROSIS, FOCAL	•	()	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
HEMOSIDEROSIS	23	(47%)		(66%)		(37%
ATROPHY, NOS		(2%)	•	(,		(
LEUKEMOID REACTION		(2%)				
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUN CELL		<b>(</b> = <b>)</b>			2	(4%)
HEMATOPOIESIS	25	(51%)	31	(66%)		(37%
ERYTHROPOIESIS		·- ···		(4%)		(4%)
GRANULOPOIESIS	1	(2%)		(2%)		(10%
LYNPH NODE	(41)		(41)	4	(42)	
HENOSIDEROSIS		(2%)			(·-/	
MESENTERIC L. NODE	(41)		(4 1)		(42)	

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*THYMUS	(37)	(41)	(31)
LYMPHANGIEC TASIS		1 (2%)	
HEMOSIDEROSIS		2 (5%)	1 (3%)
ANGIECTASIS		1 (2%)	
TIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
*HEART	(48)	(49)	(48)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL		1 (2%)	
*HEART/ATRIUM	(48)	(49)	(48)
THROMBOSIS, NOS	. ,	1 (2%)	
#MYOCARDIUM	(48)	(49)	(48)
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL	2 (4%)	2 (4%)	
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL		1 (2%)	2 (4%)
ABSCESS, NOS	1 (2%)	4 (5.77)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	(	1 (2%)	
FIBROSIS FIBROSIS BOON	4 (8%)	1 (2%)	10 / 200
FIBROSIS, FOCAL SCAR	1 (2%)	16 (33%) 1 (2%)	18 (385
DEGENERATION, NOS	6 (13%)	1 (2%)	
NECROSIS, FOCAL	0 (15%)	(2%)	1 (2%)
# ENDOCARDIUM	(48)	(49)	(48)
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL	2 (4%)		ζ, γ
*PULMONARY ARTERY	(50)	(50)	(49)
MEDIAL CALCIFICATION	• •	1 (2%)	
CALCIFICATION, FOCAL			2 (4%)
#HEPATIC SINUSOID	(49)	(49)	(49)
CONGESTION, NOS			1 (2%)
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER	(49)	(49)	(49)
CONGESTION, NOS	1 (2%)		
HEMORRHAGE	•		1 (2%)
CIRRHOSIS, NOS		1 (2%)	
DEGENERATION, CYSTIC		1 (2%)	
NECROSIS, NOS	1 (2%)		· · · · ·
NECROSIS, FOCAL		1 (2%)	1_(2%)

<b>TABLE C1. MALE RATS:</b>	NONNEOPLASTIC	LESIONS (CONTINUE	D)

. <b>.</b>	CONTROL	L LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	1 (2%)		•
PIGMENTATION, NOS		1 (2	
FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE		2 (4	<b>%)</b> 2 (4%)
PHAGOCYTIC CELL	1 (2%)	)	
ANGIECTASIS			3 (6%)
HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC			1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL			1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		1 (2	:%)
HEMATOPOIESIS	4 (8%)		
ERYTHROPOIESIS		1 (2	:%)
#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR	(49)	(49)	(49)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	2 (4%)	) 2 (4	<b>%) 3 (6%)</b>
PIGMENTATION, NOS	1 (2%)	)	
<b>#LIVER/HEPATOCYTES</b>	(49)	(49)	(49)
DEGENERATION, NOS	•		1 (2%)
*BILE DUCT	(50)	(50)	(49)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	• •	1 (2	:%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1 (2%)	) 2 (4	<b>%)</b> 2 (4%)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	18 (36	<b>%</b> ) 26 (5	2%) 28 (57%)
*PANCREAS	(49)	(44)	(45)
EDEMA, NOS	1 (2%)		
PERIARTERITIS	1 (2%)	)	
*PANCREATIC DUCT	(49)	(44)	(45)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	2 (4%)	) 5 (1	1%) 3 (7%)
#STONACH	(49)	(50)	(47)
ULCER, NOS	1 (2%	)	1 (2%)
ULCER, FOCAL	1 (2%	) 3 (6	5%)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE			1 (2%)
EROSION	1 (2%	) 1 (2	2%)
#GASTRIC / NUCOSA	(49)	(50)	(47)
EROSION		1 (2	2%)
#CARDIAC STONACH	(49)	(50)	(47)
ULCER, FOCAL			2 (4%)
*PEYERS PATCH	(49)	(49)	(43)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	5 (10	\$) 4 (8	3%) 4 (9%)
#ILEUM	(49)	(4 9)	(43)
MUCOCELE	1_(2%	1	

	CONT	ROL	LOW DOSE		HIGH DOSE	
*COLON NEMATODIASIS	(32) 3	(9%)	(33) 3	(9%)	(31) 1	(3%)
RINARY SYSTEM.						
#KIDNEY	(50)		(49)		(49)	1
CAST, NOS		(2%)	(,		· · · ·	
CONGESTION, NOS		(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL		(2%)	8	(16%)	2	(4%)
ABSCESS, NOS	1	(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	8	(16%)	6	(1.2%)	5	(10%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	26	(52%)	16	(33%)	18	(37%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
GLOMERULOSCLEROSIS, NOS PIGMENTATION, NOS	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
#KIDNEY/CORTEX	(50)		(0.9)		(49)	
INFARCT, FOCAL	(30)			(2%)		(2%)
PIGMENTATION, NOS				(10%)		(4%)
*KIDNEY/TUBULE	(50)		. (49)		(49)	I
CAST, NOS		(2%)			2	(4%)
DEGENERATION, HYALINE			1	(2%)		
PIGMENTATION, NOS	. 3	(6%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
#CONVOLUTED TUBULES	(50)	·	(49)		(49)	
PIGMENTATION, NOS	(*)		2	(4%)		(4%)
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION				(2%)		
#U.BLADDER/SUBMUCOSA	(47)		(42)		(43)	
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%) 				
NEOCRINE SYSTEM						
*PITUITARY	(46)		(45)		(43)	ŀ
CYST, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
MULTIPLE CYSTS				(2%)		
CONGESTION, NOS		1000	1	(2%)		
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)			1	121
HEMORRHAGIC CYST Hyperplasia, nos	1	(2%)			•	(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	1	(27)			1	(2%)
ANGIECTASIS	2	(4%)	2	(4%)		(2%)

		CONTROL		SE	HIGH DOSE	
*****						
#ADRENAL ANGIECTASIS	(49) 1	(2%)	(47) 1	(2%)	(48)	
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(49)		(47)		(48)	
HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR	1	(2%)	• •			
#ADRENAL MEDULLA	(49)		(47)		(48)	
HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR	2	(4%)	-			
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	_			(2%)	•	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	1	(2%)	4	(9%)	2	(4%)
#THYROID	(46)		(48)		(46)	
CYSTIC FOLLICLES				(2%)	4	(9%)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR			1	(2%)		() .
NECROSIS, NOS	~ ~ ~		20			(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL Hyperplasia, Pollicular-Cell	23	(50%)	29	(60%)		(63%) (4%)
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*NAMNARY GLAND	(50)	•	(50)		(49)	
GALACTOCELE	(30)		(54)			(2%)
						• •
*FENIS	(50)		(50)		(49)	
PROLAPSE					1	(2%)
*PREPUTIAL GLAND	(50)		(50)		(49)	
ULCER, NOS		•	1	(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	2	(4%)				
#PROSTATE	(44)		(42)		(42)	
INFLAMMATION, DIFFUSE					1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	2	(5%)				
#TESTIS	(50)				(49)	
NECROSIS, NOS				(2%)		
CALCIFICATION, DYSTROPHIC	• •			(2%)		
ATROPHY, NOS		(64%)	19	(38%)		(63%)
ATROPHY, FOCAL		(14%)		(38%)		(8%) (10%
ASPERMATOGENESIS Hyperplasia, interstitial cell		(8%) (2%)	2	(4%)		(8%)
HIPARPERSIN, INIERSIIIIAE CEEE	,	(28)			•	(077)
*TESTIS/TUBULE	(50)		(50)		(49)	

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE		
CALCIFICATION, FOCAL		2 (4%)	2 (4%)		
*EPIDIDYMIS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE		(50)	(49) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )		
NERVOUS SYSTEM					
*NEURON CYTOPLASHIC VACUOLIZATION	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )		
<pre>#BRAIN/MENINGES THROMBOSIS, NOS</pre>	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(49)		
*ERAIN HEMORRHAGE GLIOSIS DEGENERATION, NOS	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)		
<pre># BRAIN STEM HEMORRHAGE NECROSIS, NOS</pre>	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(49) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )		
<pre>#MIDBRAIN NECROSIS, NOS MALACIA</pre>	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)	(49)		
*SPINAL CORD NECROSIS, NOS NECROSIS, FOCAL	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)		
*SCIATIC NERVE Degeneration, myelin	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS					
*BYE DEGENERATION, NOS CATARACT	(50) 1 (2%) 13 (26%)	(50) 5 (10 <b>%</b> )			
*EYE/CORNEA INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL	(50) 1 (2 <b>%)</b>	(50)	(49)		
*LENS CAPSULE DEGENERATION, NOS	(50)	(50)	(49) 1_(2 <b>5</b> )		

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
CALCIFICATION, NOS	1 (2%)		
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
*SKELETAL MUSCLE Atrophy, Nos	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (29
BODY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY NECROSIS, FAT	(50) 1 (2%)	_ (50)	(49)
*PERITONEUM EFFUSION, NOS	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
*PERITONEAL CAVITY RETENTION FLUID	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
*PLEURA HYDROTHORAX	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(49)
*MESENTERY Steatitis Necrosis, Pat	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
ADIPOSE TISSUE INFLAMMATION, FOCAL	1		
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY			1

•

#### TABLE C2.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

	CONTR	ROL	LOW DOSE		HIGH DOS	SE
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
NIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*SKIN	(50)		(50)		(50)	
NECROSIS, FOCAL	1	(2%)				
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#TPACHEA	(49)		(57)		(49)	
INFLAMMATION, NOS	17	(35%)	26	(52%)	14	(29%
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV			1	(2%)		
NECROSIS, NOS						(4%)
METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS						(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
*LUNG/BRONCHUS			(50)		(50)	
BRONCHIECTASIS		(4%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS	1	(2%)			4	1.201
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		15 1. 11 .	25	15000		(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	27	(54%)	25	(50%)	31	<b>(</b> 62%
*LUNG	(50)		(51)		(50)	
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, NOS	1	(2%)			1	1271
INFLAMMATION, NOS						(2%)
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL	-	(10%)	2	(6%)	2	(4%)
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	5	(10%)	3	(1076)	1	(2%)
PERIVASCULAR CUFFING	2	(4%)			,	(2/0)
HEMOSIDEROSIS	2	( 7/0 )	1	(2%)		
ALVEOLAR MACROPHAGES	2	(4%)		(2%)	2	(4%)
HYPEFPLASIA, LYMPHOID		(2%)		(2%)	-	()
#LUNG/ALVEOLI	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS		(2%)	1		1	(2%)
FDEMA, NOS		• •	1			

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE FXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
* BLOOD	(50)	(50)	(50)
ANEMIA, NOS	• •	1 (2%)	. ,
#BONE MARROW	(50)	(49)	(50)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	. ,
MYELOFIBROSIS		1 (2%)	
HEMATOPOIETIC TISSUE DISORDER		1 (2%)	•
HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC	3 (6%)	7 (14%)	5 (10%)
HYPERPLASIA, GRANULOCYTIC	2 (4%)		
#SPLEFN	(50)	(50)	(50)
CONGESTION, NOS	1 (2%)	()	()
NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE	(2)		1 (2%)
HEMOSIDEROSIS	34 (68%)	34 (68%)	39 (78%)
ATROPHY, NOS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
LEUKEMOID REACTION	1 (2%)	. ,	• • •
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
HEMATOPOIESIS	40 (80%)	39 (78%)	35 (70%)
ERYTHROPOIESIS		2 (4%)	1 (2%)
GRANULOPOIESIS		. ,	1 (2%)
#LYMPH NODE	(44)	(39)	(34)
HEMOSIDEROSIS	1 (2%)		
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE	(44)	(39)	(34)
LYMPHANGIECTASIS		(0-)	1 (3%)
#CERVICAL LYMPH NODE	(44)	(39)	(34)
CONGESTION, NOS	1 (2%)	(33)	(37)
HEMOSIDEROSIS	1 (2%)		
#THYMUS	(39)	(37)	(36)
PERIARTERITIS	(0))	(0.)	1 (3%)
HEMOSIDEROSIS	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	4 (11%)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART	(48)	(47)	(49)
PERIARTERITIS	(40)	1 (2%)	(4,5) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
#HEART/ATRIUM	(48)	(47)	(49)
THROMBOSIS, NOS	(,)	1 (2%)	(17)

	CONT	ROL	LOW DOS	E	HIGH DO	SE
#MYOCARDIUM	(48)		(47)		(49)	
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL			6	(13%)		(2%) (10%)
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL FIBROSIS	1	(2%)	0	(13/4)	5	(10%
FIBROSIS, FOCAL		(4%)	5	(11%)	12	<b>(</b> 24%
*PULMONARY ARTERY CALCIPICATION, FOCAL	(50)		(50) 1	(2%)	(50)	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*TONGUE	(50)		(5)		(50)	
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	,				1	(2%)
HYPERKERATOSIS					1	(2%)
#LIVER	(49)		(49)		(49)	
INFLAMMATION, NOS				(2%)		
FIBROSIS						(2%)
NODULE					1	(2%)
ADHESION, NOS				(2%)		
NECROSIS, FOCAL			1	(2%)		(2.7)
NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE	0		2	(4.7.41)		(2%)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	9	(18%)		(18%) (27)	4	(8%)
PIGMENTATION, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE ANGIECTASIS	з	(6%)	1	(2%)		(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL	5	(0%)		(2%)	-	(0,0)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID				(2%)		
HEMATOPOIESIS	1	(2%)		(4%)	1	(2%)
ERYTHROPOIESIS	1	(2%)		• •		
#LIVEP/CENTRILOBULAR	(49)		(4 3)		(49)	
NECROSIS, FOCAL	1	(2%)				
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	2	(4%)	2	(4%)		
#LIVER/PFRIPORTAL	(49)		(49)		(49)	
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY		(2%)			2	(4%)
#LIVER/HEPATOCYTES	(49)		(49)		(49)	
NECROSIS, FOCAL			1	(2%)		
*BILE DUCT	. (50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL				(6%)		
HYPFPPLASIA, NOS				(4%)		

.

#### TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	CONT	ROL	LOW DOS	SE	HIGH DO	DSE
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(30%)	16	(32%)	. 19	(38%
<pre>#PANCREAS LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR</pre>	(49) 1	(2%)	(50)		(48)	
ADHESION, NOS			1	(2%)		
<pre>#PANCREATIC DUCT HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL</pre>	(49) 5	(10%)	(50) 9	(18%)	(48) 7	(15%
#STOMACH ULCER, NOS	(50)	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
ULCER, FOCAL FROSION NECROSIS, FOCAL		(2~)			1	(2%) (2%) (2%)
*CARDIAC STOMACH	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ULCER, NOS ULCER, FOCAL	. 1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
*PEYERS PATCH Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(49) 4	(8%)	(48) 10	(21%)	(48) 3	(6%)
#COLON NEMATODIASIS	(35)	(14%)	(40) 6	(15%)	(28)	(14%
RINARY SYSTEM						
*KIDNEY	(49)				(50)	
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC		(2%) (4%)		(2%) (2%)	1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(24%)		(10%)	3	(6%)
NEPHROSIS, NOS Calcification, focal	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
PIGMENTATION, NOS	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	•	(2,4)
*KIDNEY/CORTEX	(49)		(50)		(50)	
CYST, NOS PIGMENTATION, NOS	17	(35%)		(2%) (56%)		(2%) (72%)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		(2%)	20	(30%)		(72,0)
*KIDNEY/TUBULE	(49)		(50)		(50)	
CAST, NOS PIGMENTATION, NOS	2	(4%)		(2%) (10%)		
#CONVOLUTED TUBULES CAST, NOS	(49)	(2%)	(50)		(50)	

	CONT	TROL	LOW DO	SE	HIGH DO	DSE
HYALINE MENBRANE	 1	(2%)				
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	•	()	1	(2%)		
PIGHENTATION, NOS			3	(6%)	2	(4%)
#KIDNEY/PELVIS	(49)		(50)		(50)	
CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
<b>#URINARY BLADDER</b>	(35)		(43)		(44)	
CALCULUS, NOS		(3%)				
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC		(3%)				
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	1	(3%)				
NCCCFINE SYSTEM						
#PITUITARY	(45)		(47)		(44)	
CYST, NOS	1	(2%)				
HEMORRHAGE	2	(4%)			2	(5%)
HEMORRHAGIC CYST		(4%)	1	(2%)		
HEMOSIDEROSIS	1	(2%)		(4%)	2	(5%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	3	(7%)		(4%)		
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(2%)		(4%)		
ANGIECTASIS	3	(7%)	22	(47%)	23	(52%
#ADRENAL	(49)		(49)		(50)	
DEGENERATION, NOS		(2%)				1268
ANGIECTASIS	3	(6%)	10	(20%)	18	(36%
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(49)		(49)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
NECROSIS, FOCAL			1	(2%)		
#ADRENAL MEDULLA	(49)		(49)		(50)	
CYST, NOS Hyperplasia, focal			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#THYROID	(50)		(47)		(48)	
CYSTIC FOLLICLES		(2%)	(···)			(8%)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	,	• - · •				(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL	39	(78%)	33	(70%)		(75%
HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR-CFLL			2	(4%)	2	(4%)
EFFCDUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*MAMMARY GLAND	(50)		(50)		(50)	
GALACTOCELE	5	(10%)	8	(16%)	6	(12%

GALACTOCELE 5 [108] 5 [128] # NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CON	TROL	LOW DOSE		HIGH DO	DSE
HYPERPLASIA, NOS				(2%)	*****	
METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS					1	(2%)
ADENOSIS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
*PREPUTIAL GLAND	(50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLANNATION, SUPPURATIVE	7	(14%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
ABSCESS, NOS					1	(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
*VAGINA	(50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE			1	(2%)		
UTFRUS	(50)		(49)		(50)	
HYDRONETRA			1	(2%)		
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR			1	(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE			1	(2%)		
NECROSIS, NOS			1	(2%)		
PIGMENTATION, NOS				(2%)		
UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM	(50)		(49)		(50)	
CYST, NOS		(2%)		(2%)	• •	(8%)
HENORRHAGE		(2%)		<b>, ,</b>		•=•••
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL		•	. 1	(2%)		
ULCER, FOCAL	1	(2%)		• •		
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR		(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE		(16%)	6	(12%)	3	(6%)
INFLAMMATION, VESICULAR				(2%)		(
HYPERPLASIA, NOS					1	(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL			1	(2%)		• •
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC	2	(4%)		(2%)	1	(2%)
OVARY/OVIDUCT	(50)		(49)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, NOS						(10%
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL						(2%)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPORATIVE	5	(10%)	7	(14%)	1	(2%)
OVARY	(50)		(4 9)		(48)	
CYST, NOS	9	(18%)		(14%)	11	(23%
FOLLICULAR CYST, NOS		·		(4%)		
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE			·1	(2%)		
RVOUS SYSTEM						
BRAIN	(49)		(4 9)		(49)	
NECROSIS, NOS					· 1′	(2%)

· ·	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
NECROSIS, FOCAL			1 (2%)
MALACIA	1 (2%)		
*CEREBELLUM	(49)	(49)	(49)
NECROSIS, FOCAL			1 (2%)
*SPINAL CORD	(50)	(50)	(50)
HEMORRHAGE	1 (2%)		
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*EYE	(50)	(50) 16 (32%)	(51)
CATARACT	11 (22%)	16 (32%)	21 (42
*EYE/CORNEA	(50)	(51)	(50)
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL			1 (2%)
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
*SKELETAL MUSCLE	(50)	(50)	(50)
ATROPHY, NOS	1 (2%)		
BOLY CAVITIES			
* ME SENTERY	(50)	(50)	(50)
FIBROSIS			1 (2%)
NECROSIS, FOCAL Necrosis, pat			1 (2%) 1 (2%)
CALCIPICATION, POCAL			1 (2%
LL CTHER SYSTEMS			
DIAPHRAGM			
HERNIA, NOS	1	2	2
ADIPOSE TISSUE			
INPLAMMATION, NOS			4
OHENTUM		•	
NECROSIS, FAT		1	
PECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NONE			
NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXA	MINED MICROSCOP	ICALLY	

APPENDIX D

.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

#### TABLE D1.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE		
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50 2		
NIMAIS MISSING NIMAIS NECROPSIED	49	50	48		
NIMALS FXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	49	50	48		
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					
*SKIN	(49)	(50)	(48)		
CYST, NOS	1 (2%)		1 (7)		
ULCER, NOS			1 (2%) 1 (2%)		
ULCER, FOCAL INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE		2 (4%)	1 (2.%)		
INFLAMMATION, VESICULAR		1 (2%)			
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC		1 (2%)			
NECROSIS, NOS			1 (2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		1 (2%)			
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM #Lung/bronchus	(49)	(49)	(48)		
METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS	1 (2%)	• /			
HYPEPPLASIA, LYMPHOID	11 (22%)	4 (8%)			
#LUNG	(49)	(49)	(48)		
CONGESTION, NOS	1 (2%)		1 (2%)		
EDEMA, NOS			1 (2%)		
HEMORRHAGE	1 (2%)	1 (2777)			
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE Alveolar macrophages		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, ADENOMATOUS	1 (2%)	1 (24)	1 (2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(2%)	1 (2%)	(2.0)		
EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM					
* 21.000	(1.9)	(50)	(118)		
*BLCOD ANEMIA, NOS	(49)	(50) 1 (2%) ·	(48)		
#BONE MARROW	(46)	(44)	(4 <sup>A</sup> )		
HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC		• •			

	TROL	LOW DO		HIGH D	
2	(4%)	2	(5%)		
(46)		(48)		(46)	
1	(2%)	• •		• •	
				1	(2%)
				1	(2%)
1	(2%)				
				1	(2%)
_ ·					
	• •	28	(58%)	28	(61%)
	• •				
I	(2%)				
(40)		(33)		(29)	
		1	(3%)		
		1	(3%)		
1	(3%)				
(40)		(33)		(29)	
		2	(6%)	. ,	
(40)		(33)		(29)	
(,		(00)		• •	(3%)
(0)		(22)		(29)	
		(33)		(2)	
		2	(6%)	1	(3%)
( 35)		(2.0)		(21)	
(33)		(20)	/	• •	(3%)
(49)		(49)			
				1	(2%)
(49)		(49)		(48)	
(-7)		(-7)		• •	(2%)
					()
(49)		(50)		(48)	
	(49) (49) (49)	$ \begin{array}{c} (46) \\ 1 (2\%) \\ 1 (2\%) \\ 2 (4\%) \\ 24 (52\%) \\ 2 (4\%) \\ 1 (2\%) \\ (40) \\ (40) \\ (40) \\ (40) \\ (40) \\ (40) \\ (40) \\ (49) \\ (49) \\ (49) \\ (49) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{pmatrix} 46 \\ 1 & (2\%) \\ 1 & (2\%) \\ 1 & (2\%) \\ 1 & (2\%) \\ 1 & (2\%) \\ 1 & (2\%) \\ 2 & (4\%) \\ 24 & (52\%) \\ 2 & (4\%) \\ 1 & (2\%) \\ (40) & (33) \\ 1 & (3\%) \\ (40) & (33) \\ 1 & (3\%) \\ (40) & (33) \\ 2 & (6\%) \\ (40) & (33) \\ 1 & (3\%) \\ (40) & (33) \\ 2 & (6\%) \\ (40) & (33) \\ 1 & (3\%) \\ 3 & (8\%) & 2 & (6\%) \\ (35) & (20) \\ (49) & (49) \\ (4$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

	CONT	ROL	LOW DOS	E	HIGH DO	SE
IGESTIVE SYSTEM						
SALIVARY GLAND FIBROSIS	(43)		(47)	(2%)	(44)	I
F16F0313			ł	(28)		
*LIVER	(49)		(50)		(48)	
CYST, NOS					1	(2%)
CONGESTION, NOS		1000	1	(2%)		
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)	4	1011		
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR				(2%) (2%)		
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE FIBROSIS, FOCAL	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
DEGENERATION, HYALINE	•	(20)			1	(2%
NECROSIS, FOCAL						(2%
AMYLOIDOSIS						(2%
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	4	(8%)	1	(2%)		(6%
PIGMENTATION, NOS			1	(2%)		
FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE					1	(2%
HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR			1	(2%)	1	(2%
HYPERPLASTIC NODULE	1	(2%)				
ANGIECTASIS	1	(2%)		(2%)		
LEUKEMOID REACTION			1	(2%)	1	(2%
HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC	1	(2%)			•	
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL					2	(4%
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		(2%)				
HEMATOPOIESIS	1	(2%)				
HEPATIC CAPSULE	(49)		(50)		(48)	
HEMATOMA, NOS	<u> </u>	(2%)				
LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR	(49)		(50)		(48)	
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	3	(2%)				(2%
LIVER/PERIPORTAL	(49)		(50)		(48)	
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	(47)			(2%)	(40)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	1	(2%)		•••		
	(40)		(5.0)		(4.9)	
LIVER/HEPATOCYTES DEGENERATION, NOS	(49)		· (50)		(48) 1	(2%
NECROSIS, NOS			· 1	1251	•	(2/
NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE				(2%)		
BILE DUCT	(110)		/E ^ \		100	
CYST, NOS	(49)		(50)		(48) 2	
INFLAMMATION, NOS			2	(48)	2	(47

	CONT	ROL	LOW DO	SE	HIGH D	OSE
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL						(2%
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	1	(28)	1	1011	2	(4%
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE Hyperplasia, nos		(2%) (8%)		(2%) (6%)		
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	4	(0%)		(2%)	1	(2%
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL			•	(2/2)		(2%
*PANCREAS	(48)		(46)		(45)	
CYSTIC DUCTS	• •	(2%)	(40)		(45)	
FDEMA, NOS	•	(=//)			1	(2%
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL						(2%
FIBROSIS	1	(2%)				•
NECROSIS, NOS		(2%)				
*PANCREATIC DUCT	(48)		(46)		(45)	
CYST, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	1	(2%)				
*SMALL INTESTINE	(47)		(44)		(45)	
INFLAMMATION, NOS	• • •		. ,			(2%
NECROSIS, NOS					1	(2%
*PEYERS PATCH	(47)		(44)		(45)	
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		(2%)			• •	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		(4%)	2	(5%)	4	(9%
#COLON	(22)		(36)		(35)	
INFLAMMATION, NOS					1	(3%
NEMATODIASIS	4	(18%)	5	(14%)	2	(6%
RINARY SYSTEM						
*KIDNEY	(48)		(47)		(48)	
PYELONEPHRITIS, NOS	• •			(2%)	. ,	
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	2	(4%)		-		
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL		(2%)		(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE			1	(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	1	(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE	•	1000			. 1	(2%)
FIBROSIS	1	(2%)	1	(25)		
PERIARTERITIS Infarct, nos				(2%) (2%)		
AMYLOIDOSIS	•		1	(~~)	1	(2%
CYTOFLASMIC VACUOLIZATION						(2%
HYPERPLASIA, NODULAB			1	(2%)	•	

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
#KIDNEY/CORTEX	(48)	(47)	(48)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL	• •	1 (2%)	
INFARCT, NOS	1 (2%)		
*KIDNEY/TUBULE	(48)	(47)	(48)
DEGENERATION, HYALINE		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#URINARY BLADDER	(47)	(49)	(44)
CALCULUS, NOS			1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC			1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL			1 (2%)
PERIARTERITIS		1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL			1 (2%)
NDOCRINE SYSTEM	·		
#PITUITARY	(31)	(45)	(36)
CYST, NOS			2 (6%)
#ADRENAL/CAPSULE	(46)	(49)	(46)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	28 (61%)	35 (71%)	34 (74%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(46)	(49)	(46)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	2 (4%)		
#ADRENAL MEDULLA	(46)	(49)	(46)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS			1 (2%)
#THYROID	(43)	(39)	(40)
CYSTIC FOLLICLES	1 (2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR-CELL	2 (5%)	2 (5%)	
<b>#PANCREATIC ISLETS</b>	(48)	(46)	(45)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	2 (4%)		
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*PREPUTIAL GLAND	(49)	(50)	(48)
DILATATION, NOS		1 (2%)	
CYST, NOS	<b>.</b> . <b>.</b> .		4 (8%)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	2_(42)		الله هو جاد الله جار الله محيود الله مي حد عيد

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

TABLE D1.	MALE MICE:	NONNEOPLASTI	<b>C LESIONS</b>	(CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
	***********		
*PROSTATE	(39)	(33)	(35)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE		1 (3%)	1 (3%
*SEMINAL VESICLE	(49)	(50)	(48)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE			1 (2%
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV			1 (2%
#TESTIS	(47)	(48)	(46)
ATROPHY, NOS		1 (2%)	3 (7%
ATROPHY, FOCAL Aspermatogenesis	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
*EPIDIDYMIS	(49)	(50)	(48)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	1 (25)	3 (6%)	1 (2%
CALCIFICATION, SUPPORATIVE	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
NONE SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
	(49)	(50)	(48) 1 (2 <b>%</b>
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *EYF PUS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(49)		• •
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *EYF PUS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE DEGENERATION, NOS	(49)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *EYF PUS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(49)		1 (2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *EYF PUS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE DEGENERATION, NOS CATARACT PHTHISIS BULBI		1 (2%) 2 (4%)	1 (2% 1 (2%
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *EYF PUS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE DEGENERATION, NOS CATARACT	(49) (49)	1 (2%)	1 (2% 1 (2%
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *EYF PUS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE DEGENERATION, NOS CATARACT PHTHISIS BULBI *EYE/CORNEA		1 (2%) 2 (4%)	1 (2% 1 (2% 1 (2% (48)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *EYF PUS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE DEGENERATION, NOS CATARACT PHTHISIS BULBI *EYE/CORNEA INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL SUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	(49)	1 (2%) 2 (4%) (50)	1 (2% 1 (2% 1 (2% (48) 1 (2%
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *EYF PUS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE DEGENERATION, NOS CATARACT PHTHISIS BULBI *EYE/CORNEA INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL SUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM		1 (2%) 2 (4%)	1 (2% 1 (2% 1 (2% (48)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *EYF PUS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE DEGENERATION, NOS CATARACT PHTHISIS BULBI *EYE/CORNEA INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM *SKELETAL MUSCLE	(49)	1 (2%) 2 (4%) (50)	(48) (48) (48)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *EYF PUS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE DEGENERATION, NOS CATARACT PHTHISIS BULBI *EYE/CORNEA INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM *SKELETAL MUSCLE DEGENERATION, NOS	(49)	1 (2%) 2 (4%) (50)	(48) (48) (48)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

(49)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) (50)	1 (2%)
(49)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
(49)	(50)	
		(48)
	1 (2%)	4 (07
1 (2%)		1 (2%)
(49)	(50)	(48)
		1 (2%)
(49)	(50)	(48)
2 (4%)		
	-	
2		
, 		
	1	
		2
1		
MINED MICROSCO	PICALLY	
	(49) (49) 2 (4%) 2 1	(49) (50) (49) (50) 2 (4%) 2 1

87

#### TABLE D2.

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50
NIMALS NECROPSIED	50	50	50
NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50	50	50
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN	(50)	(50)	(50)
ULCER, NOS Hyperkeratosis	1 (2%)		1 (2%)
*SUBCOT TISSUE	(50)	(59)	(50)
FDEMA, NOS INFLAMMATION, FOCAL GRANULOMATOU		1 (2%)	1 (2%
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM		,	
*NASAL CAVITY	(50)	(50)	(50)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		1 (2%)	
#LUNG/BRONCHUS	(47)	(48)	(49)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	18 (38%)	1 (2%)	3 (6*
#LUNG	(47)	(48)	(49)
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL	1 (2%)		3 (69
ALVEOLAR MACROPHAGES Hypefplasia, lymphoid	1 (2%)		5 (6)
#LUNG/ALVEOLI	(47)	(48)	(49)
CONGESTION, NOS			1 (2%
IFMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
#BONE MARROW	(46) 3 (7%)	(49)	(50)
HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC Hyperplasia, granulocytic	3 (7%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%
#SPLEEN THROMBOSIS, NOS	(47)	(49)	(49)

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

•	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HEMOSIDEROSIS		2 (4%)	
ANGIECTASIS		2 (4%)	
LEUKEMOID REACTION	1 (2%)	· ,	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	6 (13%)	5 (10%)	13 (27%
HEMATOPOIESIS	19 (40%)	35 (71%)	23 (479
MYELOPOIESIS	1 (2%)		
#LYMPH NODE	(38)	(39)	(35)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	1 (3%)		
#MESENTERIC L. NODE	(38)	(39)	(35)
INFLAMMATION, GRANULOMATOUS	1 (3%)		
#THYMUS	(38)	(43)	(41)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	1 (3%)	1 (2%)	
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
*HEART/ATRIUM	(49)	(50)	(50)
MELANIN		1 (2%)	
#MYOCARDIUM	(49)	(50)	(50)
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL		1 (2%)	<b>,</b> - , ,
#CARDIAC VALVE	(49)	(50)	(50)
MELANIN	()	()	1 (2%)
*UTERINE ARTERY	(50)	(50)	(50)
THROMBOSIS, NOS	1 (2%)	()	()
#HEPATIC SINUSOID	(49)	(50)	(50)
CONGESTION, NOS		<b>x</b> == <i>y</i>	1 (2%)
		.,,	
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER	(49)	(50)	(50)
THROMBOSIS, NOS		1 (2%)	
PELIOSIS HEPATIS Degeneration, hyaline		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
NECROSIS, FOCAL	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	2 (4%)	• (2.4)	4 (8%)
HEMOSIDEROSIS	- ()	1 (2%)	
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION		• • •	1 (2%)
FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE			1 (2%)

1

#### TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

TABLE D2.	. FEMALE MICE: NO	NNEOPLASTIC LES	IONS (CONTINUED)

e	CONTROL	LOW DOS	E HIG	+ DOSE
HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR ANGIECTASIS Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	1 (2%)	1	(2%) (2%) (2%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID HEMATOPOIESIS	2 (4%) 3 (6%)	3	(6%)	1 (2%)
<pre>#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR NECROSIS, FOCAL</pre>	(49)	(50) 1	(2%)	50)
*LIVER/HEPATOCYTES NECROSIS, NOS	(49)	(50)		50) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
NECROSIS, FOCAL	1 (2%)	1	(2%)	2 (4%)
*BILE DUCT CYST, NOS HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(50)	(50)		50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#PANCREAS HEMATOPOIESIS	(44)	(50) 1	(2%)	49)
*PANCREATIC DUCT DISTENTION CYST, NOS HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(44)	2	(2%) (4%) (2%)	49) 1 (2%)
#PEYERS PATCH Hyperplasia, Lymphoid	(48)	(47) 3.	(6%)	50) 5 (10%
#DUODENUM INFLAMMATION, NOS	(48)	(47)	•	50) 1 (2%)
*COLON NEMATODIASIS	(36)	(40) 1	(3%)	46) 2 (4 <b>%</b> )
RINARY SYSTEM			5	
#KIDNFY GLOMERULONEPHRITIS, NOS	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)		50)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	1 (2%) 10 (20%		(2%)	3 (6%)
*KIDNEY/CORTEX SCAR DEGENERATION, HYALINE	(49) 1 (2%) <u>1 (2%)</u>	(50)		50)

TABLE D2	. FEMALE	MICE: NO	NNEOPLAST	IC LESIONS (	CONTINUED)
----------	----------	----------	-----------	--------------	------------

TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*KIDNEY/TUBULE	(49)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
DEGENERATION, HYALINE		( <b>7 A</b> )	
*CONVOLUTED TUBULES FIGMENTATION, NOS	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
#URINARY BLADDER PERIARTERITIS	(30)	(44) 1 (2%)	(40)
NDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY	(43)	(42)	(43)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		1 (2%)	·
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
ANGIECTASIS	•	1 (2%)	2 (5%)
#ADRENAL	(48)	(49)	(50)
INFLAMMATION, NOS	(40)	1 (2%)	
#ADRENAL/CAPSULE	(48)	(49)	(50)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	43 (90%)	45 (92%)	45 (90%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(48)	(49)	(50)
HENORRHAGE			1 (2%)
CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION			2 (4%)
<b>#THYROID</b>	(40)	(44)	(43)
CYSTIC FOLLICLES	1 (3%)	· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR-CELL	6 (15%)	7 (16%)	8 (19%)
<b>#PARATHYROID</b>	(16)	(18)	(8)
CYST, NOS			1 (13%)
MELANIN			1 (13%)
REFRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	• •		
*MAMMARY GLAND	(50)	(50)	(50)
METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS	(30)	(20)	ז' (2 <b>%</b> )
# UTERUS	(47)	(49)	(50)
HYDROMETRA		• •	1 (2%)
HEMORRHAGE			1 (2%)
PERIARTERITIS		1_(25)	

(47) 3 (6%) 1 (2%) 19 (40%) (47) 1 (2%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%) (47) (39) 4 (10%)	(4 9) 2 (4%) 27 (55%) (4 9) (4 9) (4 9) (4 9) (4 7) 10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%) 37 (74% (50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (46) 7 (15% 4 (9%)
3 (6%) 1 (2%) 19 (40%) (47) 1 (2%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%) (47) (47) (39) 4 (10%)	2 (4%) 27 (55%) (49) (49) (49) (49) (47) 10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%) 37 (74% (50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (46) 7 (15%
1 (2%) 19 (40%) (47) 1 (2%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%) (47) (39) 4 (10%)	27 (55%) (49) (49) (47) 10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	37 (74% (50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (46) 7 (15%
1 (2%) 19 (40%) (47) 1 (2%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%) (47) (39) 4 (10%)	(49) (49) 10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	37 (74% (50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (46) 7 (15%
19 (40%) (47) 1 (2%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%) (47) (39) 4 (10%)	(49) (49) 10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50) (50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (46) 7 (15%)
(47) (39) 4 (10%)	(49) 10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (46) 7 (15%
(47) (39) 4 (10%)	(49) 10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (46) 7 (15%
3 (6%) 1 (2%) (47) (39) 4 (10%)	(47) 10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) (46) 7 (15%
1 (2%) (47) (39) 4 (10%)	(47) 10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) (46) 7 (15%
(39) 4 (10%)	(47) 10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) (46) 7 (15%
(39) 4 (10%)	(47) 10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) (46) 7 (15%
4 (10%)	10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) (46) 7 (15%
4 (10%)	10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	7 (15%
4 (10%)	10 (21%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	7 (15%
	3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	
	2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	4 (9%)
_	1 (2%)	4 (9%)
_	• •	
	1 / 24/1	
	1 (2%)	
1 (3%)		
	1 (2%)	
• . •		
1 (5%)	1 (74)	
	1 (23)	
(39)	(47)	(46)
	1 (2%)	
(47)	(49)	(50)
		1 (2%)
(47)	(49)	(50)
	1 (2%)	
(47)	(49)	(50)
	****	1 (2%)
(50)	(50)	(50)
	1 (3%) 1 (3%) (39) (47) (47) (47) (47) (50)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 & (3\%) \\ 1 & (3\%) \\ 1 & (3\%) \\ (39) & (47) \\ 1 & (2\%) \\ (47) & (49) \\ (47) & (49) \\ 1 & (2\%) \\ (47) & (49) \\ 1 & (2\%) \\ (47) & (49) \\ \end{array} $

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
*SKELETAL MUSCLE	(50)	(50)	(50)
HEMORRHAGE		1 (2%)	
INFLAMMATION, NOS		1 (2%)	
DEGENERATION, NOS		1 (2%)	
BODY CAVITIES			
*PERITONEUM	(50)	(50)	(50)
CYST, NOS	1 (2%)		
HEMORRHAGE		1 (2%)	
*PLEURA	(50)	(50)	(50)
HYDROTHORAX	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
*MESENTERY	(50)	(50)	(50)
STEATITIS			1 (2%
FIBROSIS			1 (2%
NECROSIS, FOCAL			1 (2%
NECROSIS, FAT			2 (4%
ALL CTHER SYSTEMS			
*NULTIPLE ORGANS	(50)	(50)	(50)
CONGESTION, NOS		1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		1 (2%)	
ADIPOSE TISSUE			
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL		1	
NECROSIS, FAT		1	

AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERF 1 \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE FXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED
APPENDIX E

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar			
Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	3/50 (6)	0/50 (0)	0/48 (0)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.039 (11)	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.000	0.000
Lower Limit		0.000	0.000
Upper Limit		1.663	1.730
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	102	~~	
Hematopoietic System: Malignant			
Lymphoma, Lymphocytic Leukemia,			
or Undifferentiated Leukemia <sup>b</sup>	11/50 (22)	15/50 (30)	19/49 (39)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.044	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.364	1.763
Lower Limit		0.653	0.897
Upper Limit		2.943	3.629
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	96	85	64

	Matched	Low	High
<u> Topography: Morphology</u>	Control	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System: Granulocytic			
Leukemia <sup>b</sup>	2/50 (4)	4/50 (8)	9/49 (18)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.014	N.S.	P = 0.023
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		2.000	4.592
Lower Limit		0.301	1.015
Upper Limit		21.316	41.883
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	90	. 68	97
Hematopoietic System: All Lymphoma			
or Leukemia <sup>b</sup>	13/50 (26)	19/50 (38)	28/49 (57)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.001	N.S.	P = 0.002
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.462	2.198
Lower Limit		0.773	1.269
Upper Limit		2.839	3.929

•

(continued)			
	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	3/46 (7)	3/45 (7)	8/43 (19)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.048	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.022	2.853
Lower Limit		0.143	0.738
Upper Limit		7.254	15.707
Weeks to First Ubserved Tumor	111	105	77
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma <sup>b</sup>	4/49 (8)	4/47 (9)	1/48 (2)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.043	0.255
Lower Limit		0.207	0.005
Upper Limit		5.284	2.457
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	88	85	111

66

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Thyroid: Follicular-cell			
Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	1/46 (2)	3/48 (6)	3/46 (7)
P Valuesc.d	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
R <b>elative Risk (Matc</b> hed Control) <sup>f</sup>		2.875	3.000
Lower Limit		0.241	0.252
Upper Limit		147.682	153.954
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	111	101	106
Thyroid: Follicular-cell Adenoma			
or Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	1/46 (2)	3/48 (6)	4/46 (9)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		2.875	4.000
Lower Limit		0.241	0.414
Upper Limit		147.682	192.454
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	111	101	106

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Thyroid: C-cell Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	3/46 (7)	7/48 (15)	4/46 (9)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	`N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		2.236	1.333
Lower Limit		0.549	0.238
Upper Limit		12.700	8.645
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	111	109	85
Thyroid: C-cell Adenoma or			
Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	4/46 (9)	7/48 (15)	5/46 (11)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.677	1.250
Lower Limit		0.459	0.286
Upper Limit		7.336	5.923
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	111	109	85

101

.

(continued)	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Pancreatic Islets: Islet-cell			
Adenomab	4/49 (8)	4/44 (9)	3/45 (7)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.114	0.817
Lower Limit		0.220	0.126
Upper Limit		5.626	4.558
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	88	111	107
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma <sup>b</sup>	1/50 (2)	1/50 (2)	4/49 (8)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.000	4.082
Lower Limit		0.013	0.422
Upper Limit		76.970	196.666
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	111	111	102

(continued)			)11L
Topography: Morphology	Matched <u>Control</u>	Low Dose	High <u>Dose</u>
Testis: Interstitial-cell			
Tumor <sup>b</sup>	48/50 (96)	48/50 (96)	41/49 (84)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.020 (N)	N.S.	P = 0.043 (N)
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.000	0.872
Lower Limit		0.931	0.806
Upper Limit		1.074	1.016
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	84	68	85
All Sites: Mesothelioma <sup>b</sup>	2/50 (4)	3/50 (6)	0/49 (0)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.500	0.000
Lower Limit		0.180	0.000
Upper Limit		17.329	3.448
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	92	

(continued)

aDosed groups received 300 or 600 ppm.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

<sup>c</sup>Beneath the incidence of tumors in the matched-control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

<sup>d</sup>A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the matched-control group.

<sup>e</sup>The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

<sup>f</sup>The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the matchedcontrol group.

	Matched	Low	High
Copography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System: Malignant			
Lymphoma or Lymphocytic Leukemia <sup>b</sup>	5/50 (10)	12/50 (24)	9/50 (18)
? Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		2.400	1.800
Lower Limit		0.857	0.586
Upper Limit		8.071	6.377
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	98	47	69
dematopoietic System: All Lymphoma			
or Leukemia <sup>b</sup>	7/50 (14)	14/50 (28)	10/50 (20)
? Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		2.000	1.429
Lower Limit		0.832	0.535
Upper Limit		5.348	4.071
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	98	47	69

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	19/45 (42)	29/47 (62)	29/44 (66)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.016	P = 0.048	P = 0.021
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.461	1.561
Lower Limit		0.944	1.015
Upper Limit		2.273	2.380
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	90	94	70
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma <sup>b</sup>	3/49 (6)	0/49 (0)	0/50 (0)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.036 (N)	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.000	0.000
Lower Limit		0.000	0.000
Upper Limit		1.662	1.629
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	~~	

(continued)			
	Hatched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Thyroid: C-cell Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	2/50 (4)	3/47 (6)	5/48 (10)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.596	2.604
Lower Limit		0.191	0.451
Upper Limit		18.399	26.304
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	111	99	111
Thyroid: C-cell Adenoma or			
Carcinomab	5/50 (10)	5/47 (11)	8/48 (17)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.064	1.667
Lower Linit		0.261	0.520
Upper Limit		4.329	6.036
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	111	99	106

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma, NOS <sup>b</sup>	1/50 (2)	3/50 (6)	1/50 (2)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N•S•	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		3.000	1.000
Lower Limit		0.250	0.013
Upper Limit		154.270	76.970
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	98	111	111
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma <sup>b</sup>	12/50 (24)	12/50 (24)	14/50 (28)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.000	1.167
Lower Limit		0.458	0.558
Upper Limit		2.192	2.477
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	94	90	107

	Matched	Low	High
Copography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal			
Polypb	2/50 (4)	9/49 (18)	3/50 (6)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	P = 0.023	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend <sup>e</sup>	P = 0.009		
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		4.592	1.500
Lower Limit		1.018	0.181
Upper Limit		41.883	17.329
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	111	63	111

109

<sup>a</sup>Dosed groups received 300 or 600 ppm.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

<sup>C</sup>Beneath the incidence of tumors in the matched-control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05, otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher Exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

#### (continued)

 $^{d}$ A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the matched-control group.

<sup>e</sup>The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

<sup>f</sup>The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the matched-control group.

APPENDIX F

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MICE FED 2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE IN THE DIET

.

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma <sup>b</sup>	2/49 (4)	2/50 (4)	3/48 (6)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.980	1.531
Lower Limit		0.074	0.183
Upper Limit		13.058	17.665
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	77	99	79
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	10/49 (20)	10/49 (20)	11/48 (23)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.000	1.123
Lower Limit		0.412	0.479
Upper Limit		2.430	2.666
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	81	82	64

(continued)	,		
	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar			
Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	4/49 (8)	2/49 (4)	1/48 (2)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.500	0.255
Lower Limit		0.047	0.005
Upper Limit		3.315	2.457
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	100	100	80
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
or Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	14/49 (29)	12/49 (24)	12/48 (25)
r Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.857	0.875
Lower Limit		0.406	0.414
Upper Limit		1.784	1.820
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	81	82	64

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System:			
Granulocytic Leukemia <sup>b</sup>	1/49 (2)	0/50 (0)	3/48 (6)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.000	3,063
Lower Limit		0.000	0.257
Upper Limit		18.285	157.336
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	88	•	104
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma <sup>b</sup>	6/49 (12)	8/50 (16)	2/48 (4)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.307	0.340
Lower Limit		0.430	0.035
Upper Limit		4.243	1.791
Weeks to First Ubserved Tumor	87	81	100

(continued)			
	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System: All Neoplasms <sup>b</sup>	8/49 (16)	8/50 (16)	7/48 (15)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.980	0.893
Lower Limit		0.349	0.299
Upper Limit		2.757	2.594
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	87	81	78
All Sites: Hemangiosarcoma <sup>b</sup>	5/49 (10)	4/50 (8)	3/48 (6)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.784	0.613
Lower Limit		0.165	0.101
Upper Limit		3.426	2.963
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	81	92	82

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	16/49 (33)	11/50 (22)	11/48 (23)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.674	0.702
Lower Limit		0.317	0.330
Upper Limit		1.381	1.437
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	94	99	70
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
or Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	20/49 (41)	16/50 (32)	15/48 (31)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.784	0.766
Lower Limit		0.436	0.418
Upper Limit		1.392	1.376
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	94	99	70

<sup>a</sup>Dosed groups received 50 or 100 ppm.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

(continued)

<sup>C</sup>Beneath the incidence of tumors in the matched-control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05, otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher Exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

<sup>d</sup>A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the matched-control group.

<sup>e</sup>The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

<sup>f</sup>The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the matched-control group.

	Matched	Low	High
Copography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	2/47 (4)	2/48 (4)	7/49 (14)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.048	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		0.979	3.357
Lower Limit		0.074	0.682
Upper Limit		13.027	31.811
leeks to First Observed Tumor	100	100	101
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
or Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	2/47 (4)	4/48 (8)	8/49 (16)
? Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.034	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.958	3.837
Lower Limit		0.296	0.820
Upper Limit		20.832	35.590
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	100	96	101

(continued)	Matched	Low	Uiab
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	High <u>Dose</u>
Hematopoietic System: Halignant Lymphoma, Undifferentiated Leukemia, or Lymphocytic Leukemia <sup>b</sup>	20/50 (40)	12/50 (24)	11/50 (22)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.030(N)	N.S.	P = 0.041(N)
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.600 0.303 1.141	0.550 0.269 1.069
Weeks to First Ubserved Tumor	75	94	76
Hematopoietic System: All Neoplasms <sup>b</sup>	21/50 (42)	12/50 (24)	12/50 (24)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	P = 0.032(N)	P = 0.044(N)	P = 0.044(N)
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.571 0.291 1.074	0.571 0.291 1.074
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	75	94	76

(continued			
	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
All Sites: Hemangiosarcoma <sup>b</sup>	1/50 (2)	4/50 (8)	4/50 (8)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	N•S•	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		4.000	4.000
Lower Limit		0.412	0.412
Upper Limit		192.807	192,807
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	100	72	65
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	1/49 (2)	2/50 (4)	4/50 (8)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		1.960	3.920
Lower Limit		0.105	0.405
Upper Limit		113.312	188.989
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	100	91	101

(continued)	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
or Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	2/49 (4)	6/50 (12)	5/50 (10)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		2.940	2.450
Lower Limit		0.555	0.424
Upper Limit		28.662	24.778
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	100	91	101
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	2/43 (5)	6/42 (14)	6/43 (14)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup>		3.071	3.000
Lower Limit		0.589	0.574
Upper Limit		29.705	29.042
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	100	98	72

<sup>a</sup>Dosed groups received 50 or 100 ppm.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

(continued)

<sup>c</sup>Beneath the incidence of tumors in the matched-control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05, otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher Exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

 $d_A$  negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the matched-control group.

eThe probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

<sup>f</sup>The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the matched-control group.

.

.

·

Review of the Bioassay of 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole for Carcinogenicity by the Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens

March 6, 1978

The Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens was established in May, 1976, in compliance with DHEW Committee Regulations and the Provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of the Clearinghouse is to advise the Director of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) on its bioassay program to identify and to evaluate chemical carcinogens in the environment to which humans may be The members of the Clearinghouse have been drawn exposed. from academia, industry, organized labor, public interest groups, State health officials, and quasi-public health and research organizations. Members have been selected on the basis of their experience in carcinogenesis or related fields and, collectively, provide expertise in chemistry, biochemistry, biostatistics, toxicology, pathology, and epidemiology. Representatives of various Governmental agencies participate as ad hoc members. The Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse is charged with the responsibility of providing a peer review of reports prepared on NCI-sponsored bioassays of chemicals studied for carcinogenicity. It is in this context that the below critique is given on the bioassay of 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole for carcinogenicity.

The primary reviewer for the report on the bioassay of 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole agreed with the conclusion that the compound was associated with granulocytic leukemia in treated male rats. It was not carcinogenic in female rats or either sex of mice, under the conditions of test. After a brief description of the experimental design and conditions of test, he noted the negative dose-related trend with respect to hematopoetic tumors in treated female mice. He pointed out increases in a number of tumors observed in treated animals, although none were clearly associated with the administration of 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole.

The secondary reviewer observed that granulocytic leukemia was not sex linked. Therefore, it was unusual to find it in one sex and not the other. He suggested that the observed incidence might be within a normal statistical variation. Another Subgroup member said that leukemia might be expected to occur with greater frequency among females as a result of a hormonal influence. It was noted by a Subgroup member that the "real-life significance may be quite minimal" with respect to the carcinogenicity of 2-Amino-5-Nitrothiazole.

A motion was made that the report be accepted as written. The motion was seconded and approved unanimously. A second motion was passed unanimously that the record show that the results were unusual with respect to the induction of granulocytic leukemias in only one sex of treated rats.

#### Members present were:

Gerald N. Wogan (Chairman), Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Arnold Brown, Mayo Clinic
Lawrence Garfinkel, American Cancer Society
E. Cuyler Hammond, American Cancer Society
Joseph Highland, Environmental Defense Fund
Henry Pitot, University of Wisconsin Medical Center
George Roush, Jr., Monsanto Company
Sheldon Samuels, Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO
Michael Shimkin, University of California at San Diego
John Weisburger, American Health Foundation
Sidney Wolfe, Health Research Group

\* Subsequent to this review, changes may have been made in the bioassay report either as a result of the review or other reasons. Thus, certain comments and criticisms reflected in the review may no longer be appropriate.

126

#U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE:1978 260-899/3106 1-3

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-1359