National Cancer Institute CARCINOGENESIS Technical Report Series No. 58 1978



NCI-CG-TR-58

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health



BIOASSAY OF

THIO-TEPA

FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-1308

BIOASSAY OF THIO-TEPA FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health

FOREWORD: This report presents the results of the bioassay of thio-TEPA conducted for the Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, This is one of a series of experiments designed to Maryland. determine whether selected chemicals have the capacity to produce Negative results, in which the test animals cancer in animals. do not have a greater incidence of cancer than control animals, do not necessarily mean that the test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of circumstances. Positive results demonstrate that the test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicate that exposure to the chemical is a potential risk to man. The actual determination of the risk to man from animal carcinogens requires a wider analysis.

<u>CONTRIBUTORS</u>: This bioassay of thio-TEPA was conducted by Southern Research Institute, Birmingham, Alabama, initially under direct contract to NCI and currently under a subcontract to Tracor Jitco, Inc., prime contractor for the NCI Carcinogenesis Testing Program.

The experimental design and doses were determined by Drs. D. P. Griswold¹, J. D. Prejean¹, E. K. Weisburger², and J. H. Weisburger²,³. Ms. J. Belzer¹ and Mr. I. Brown¹ were responsible for the care of the laboratory animals and administration of the test chemical. Data management and retrieval were performed by Ms. C. A. Dominick¹. Histopathologic examinations were performed by Drs. S. D. Kosanke¹ and J. C. Peckham¹, and the diagnoses included in this report represent their interpretation.

iii

Animal pathology tables and survival tables were compiled at EG&G Mason Research Institute⁴. The statistical analyses were performed by Dr. J. R. Joiner⁵ and Ms. P. L. Yong⁵, using methods selected for the bioassay program by Dr. J. J. Gart⁶. Chemicals used in this bioassay were analyzed under the direction of Dr. E. Murrill⁷, and the analytical results were reviewed by Dr. S. S. Olin⁵. The chemical structure was supplied by NCI.

This report was prepared at Tracor Jitco⁵ under the direction of NCI. Those responsible for the report at Tracor Jitco were Dr. L. A. Campbell, Director of the Bioassay Program; Dr. S. S. Olin, Deputy Director for Science; Dr. J. F. Robens, toxicologist; Dr. R. L. Schueler, pathologist; Dr. G. L. Miller, Ms. L. A. Waitz, and Mr. W. D. Reichardt, bioscience writers; and Dr. E. W. Gunberg, technical editor, assisted by Ms. Y. E. Presley.

The following other scientists at NCI were responsible for evaluating the bioassay experiment, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings: Dr. Kenneth C. Chu, Dr. Cipriano Cueto, Jr., Dr. J. Fielding Douglas, Dr. Dawn G. Goodman, Dr. Richard A. Griesemer, Dr. Harry A. Milman, Dr. Thomas W. Orme, Dr. Robert A. Squire⁸, Dr. Jerrold M. Ward, and Dr. Carrie E. Whitmire.

- ¹Southern Research Institute, 2000 Ninth Avenue South, Birmingham, Alabama.
- ²Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- ³Now with the Naylor Dana Institute for Disease Prevention, American Health Foundation, Hammond House Road, Valhalla, New York.
- ⁴EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- ⁵Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.

⁶Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Biometry Branch, Field Studies and Statistics, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

⁷Midwest Research Institute, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri.

⁸Now with the Division of Comparative Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Traylor Building, Baltimore, Maryland.

. `

SUMMARY

A bioassay of thio-TEPA for possible carcinogenicity was conducted by administering the test chemical by intraperitoneal injection to Sprague-Dawley rats and B6C3F1 mice.

Groups of 31-39 rats of each sex were administered thio-TEPA in phosphate-buffered saline at one of three doses, either 0.7, 1.4, or 2.8 mg/kg body weight, three times per week for a maximum of 52 weeks, then observed for additional periods of time. The on study (administration maximum time of chemical and observation) was 86 weeks. The groups at the low dose were started 69 weeks after those at the mid and high doses, because of high mortalities observed in the groups at the higher doses. Matched controls consisted of groups of 10 untreated rats and 10 vehicle-control rats of each sex. Pooled-control groups also were used. Surviving control rats were killed at 82-87 weeks; surviving dosed rats were killed at 81 or 82 weeks.

Groups of 35 mice of each sex were administered thio-TEPA at one of two doses, either 1.15 or 2.3 mg/kg body weight, three times per week for a maximum of 52 weeks, then observed for a maximum additional period of 34 weeks. Matched controls consisted of groups of 15 untreated mice and 15 vehicle-control mice of each sex. Pooled controls also were used. Surviving control and dosed mice were killed at 86 or 87 weeks.

Thio-TEPA was toxic to both rats and mice, causing decreased mean body weight gains and early deaths in the mid- and high-dose rats and in the high-dose mice. Because of the early deaths, statistical analyses were based only on time-adjusted incidences of tumors. Since all high-dose male and female rats had died by 21 weeks, microscopic evaluation of tissues was performed only on the low- and mid-dose animals.

In rats, the incidence of combined neoplasms of the hematopoietic system (lymphoma, lymphocytic leukemia, or granulocytic leukemia) was significant in the males in both the low-dose (P = 0.020) and mid-dose (P = 0.001) groups, using pooled controls (pooled

controls 0/29, low-dose 6/34; pooled controls 0/30, mid-dose 6/16).

Squamous-cell carcinoma of the skin or ear canal occurred at a significant incidence in the male rats in both the low-dose (P = 0.009) and mid-dose (P = 0.023) groups, using pooled controls (pooled controls 0/29, low-dose 7/33; pooled controls 0/30, mid-dose 3/13) and in the mid-dose females (P < 0.001), using pooled controls (pooled controls 0/28, mid-dose 8/21); in addition, two low-dose females had such tumors, with none occurring in the corresponding low-dose controls.

The incidence of adenocarcinoma of the uterus was significant in the mid-dose female rats (P = 0.001), using pooled controls (pooled controls 0/28, mid-dose 7/21); in addition, two low-dose females had adenocarcinoma of the uterus, with no such tumor occurring in the corresponding low-dose controls.

In rats, neuroepitheliomas (neuroblastomas) or nasal carcinomas occurred in three low-dose males, two low-dose females, and two mid-dose females. Although these are not statistically significant incidences, these tumors did not occur among control animals and no such tumors have occurred in 380 Sprague-Dawley control rats of each sex in other bioassays at the same laboratory. Thus, they may be associated with administration of the chemical.

In the high-dose groups of both male and female mice, but not in the low-dose groups, the incidences of lymphoma or lymphocytic leukemia were significantly higher (P < 0.001) for each sex than those of either the vehicle or pooled controls (males: vehicle controls 1/8, pooled controls 1/18, low-dose 2/24, high-dose 26/28; females: vehicle controls 0/14, pooled controls 0/29, low-dose 5/26, high-dose 32/32).

In the low-dose male mice squamous-cell carcinoma was found in the skin of seven animals, in the preputial glands of six animals, and in the ear canal of two animals. A carcinoma of the preputial gland was also found in a high-dose male. When the incidences of the tumors at the different sites were combined, the incidence in the low-dose group was statistically significant using either the vehicle (P = 0.004) or the pooled (P < 0.001) controls (vehicle controls 0/8, pooled controls 0/18, low-dose 14/24, high-dose 1/2).

It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay, thio-TEPA was carcinogenic in both Sprague-Dawley rats and B6C3F1 mice. In the rats, the chemical induced squamous-cell carcinoma of the skin or ear canal in both males and females, and hematopoietic neoplasms in the males; in the mice, it induced lymphoma or lymphocytic leukemia in both sexes and squamous-cell carcinoma in the skin and associated glands of males.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | Page | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
| I. | Intr | oduction | • 1 | |
| 11. | Mate | rials and Methods | • 3 | |
| | A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. | Chemical Dosage Preparation Animals Animal Maintenance Subchronic Studies Designs of Chronic Studies Clinical and Pathologic Examinations Data Recording and Statistical Analyses | 4 4 5 8 9 14 | |
| III. | Resu | lts - Rats | | |
| | A. B. C. D. | Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats) Survival (Rats) Pathology (Rats) Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats) | • 21 • 26 | |
| IV. | Resu | lts - Mice | • 37 | |
| | A. B. C. D. | Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice) Survıval (Mice) Pathology (Mice) Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice) | • 37 • 40 | |
| v. | Disc | ussion | • 45 | |
| VI. | Bibl | iography | • 49 | |
| APPENDIXES | | | | |
| Appendix A Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections | | | | |

| | of Thio-TEPA 51 |
|----------|---|
| Table Al | Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms |
| | in Male Rats Given Intraperitoneal |
| | Injections of Thio-TEPA (Control Groups) 53 |

Table A2 Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA (Treated Groups)... 56 Table A3 Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA (Control Groups)... 60 Table A4 Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA (Treated Groups)... 63 Appendix B Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Mice Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA..... 67 Table Bl Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA..... 69 Table B2 Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA..... 72 Appendix C Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA..... 75 Table Cl Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA (Control Groups)... 77 Table C2 Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA (Treated Groups)... 80 Table C3 Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions of Female Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA (Control Groups)... 84 Table C4 Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic

Lesions of Female Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA (Treated Groups)... 87

Page

Appendix D Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Mice Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA..... 91 Table Dl Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Given Intraperitoneal Table D2 Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Given Intraperitoneal Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Appendix E Tumors in Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA..... 99 Table El Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Low-Dose Male Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA....101 Table E2 Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Mid-Dose Male Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA....107 Table E3 Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Low-Dose Female Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA....110 Table E4 Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Mid-Dose Female Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA....116 Table E5 Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Low-Dose Male Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA.....121 Table E6 Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Mid-Dose Male Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of

Page

| Table E7 | Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Low-Dose Female Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA130 |
|------------|---|
| Table Ł8 | Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Mid-Dose Female Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA136 |
| Appendix F | Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Mice Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA143 |
| Table Fl | Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA145 |
| Table F2 | Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA154 |
| Table F3 | Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA158 |
| Table F4 | Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA164 |
| | TABLES |
| Table l | Design of Chronic Studies of Thio-TEPA in Rats 10 |
| Table 2 | Design of Chronic Studies of Thio-TEPA in Mice 12 |
| | FIGURES |
| Figure l | Growth Curves for Male Rats Treated with Thio-TEPA 22 |
| Figure 2 | Growth Curves for Female Rats Treated with Thio-TEPA 23 |

| Figure 3 | Survival Curves for Male Rats Treated with Thio-TEPA | 24 |
|----------|--|----|
| Figure 4 | Survival Curves for Female Rats Treated with Thio-TEPA | 25 |
| Figure 5 | Growth Curves for Mice Treated with Thio-TEPA | 38 |
| Figure 6 | Survival Curves for Mice Treated with Thio-TEPA | 39 |

Page

I. INTRODUCTION

Thio-TEPA (CAS 52-24-4; NSC 6396; NCI CO1649) is an ethyleneimine alkylating agent that was introduced in 1953 for clinical use in cancer chemotherapy. Following oxidative desulfurization of thio-TEPA, the three ethylenimine groups that the chemical contains become activated to ethylenimonium ions (Montgomery and Struck, 1973; Lederle Laboratories, 1977). The presence of several such groups raises the possibility that the drug will bind to more than one site on the DNA molecule and will have increased cytotoxic effects (Calabresi and Parks, 1975).

At one time thio-TEPA was an important therapeutic drug in the management of ovarian carcinoma (Calabresi and Welch, 1962). It has been used effectively in the treatment of Hodgkins disease, bronchogenic carcinoma, bladder cancer, retinoblastoma, and breast cancer and for the control of pleural, pericardial, and (Wheeler, 1973; Carter peritoneal neoplastic effusions and Slavik, 1974; Calabresi and Parks, 1975). In the pulmonary tumor response test in strain A mice (Shimkin et al., 1966), thio-TEPA showed weak activity. On the basis of these results, the drug was retested in a lifetime carcinogen bioassay. This drug is one of a series of anticancer agents that were selected for testing because they may be administered chronically in humans.

·

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Chemical



Thio-TEPA

Thio-TEPA is the common name for tris(l-aziridinyl)phosphine sulfide, manufactured by Lederle Laboratories, Pearl River, New York. Lederle Lot No. D9878, which conformed to USP specifications, was used during the chronic study. The purity of this lot was $98.0 \pm 1.0\%$ as determined by titration of the aziridine groups with tetrabutylammonium iodide and perchloric acid. Elemental analysis for sulfur was slightly high (18.2 + 0.6% vs. 17.0% theoretical), but may have been affected by carbon, hydrogen, phosphorus; analysis of nitrogen, and phosphorus verified the chemical composition of this product. The melting point was $52.0-52.5^{\circ}$ C and was comparable to that of 51.5°C reported elsewhere (U.S. Patent, 1954). Thin-layer

chromatography showed one trace impurity which remained at the origin. Vapor phase chromatography gave one homogeneous peak. The infrared and nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were consistent with the spectra given in the literature for this compound.

B. Dosage Preparation

Solutions of thio-TEPA in phosphate-buffered saline were prepared on the day that they were used by blending for 20 seconds in a 10-ml Potter-Elvehjem tissue grinder with a Teflon pestle; unused solutions of the drug were discarded. Concentrations of thio-TEPA ranged from 0.028% to 0.112% for the chronic studies using rats and from 0.012% to 0.023% for the chronic studies using mice. Volumes of solutions used for injection were 0.25 ml/100 g body weight for the rats and 1.0 ml/100 g body weight for the mice.

C. Animals

For the subchronic studies, male Sprague-Dawley rats and male Swiss mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Wilmington, Massachusetts. On arrival at the laboratory, they were 30 days of age. The rats were quarantined for 5 days and the mice for 20 days prior to being placed on study.

In the chronic studies, Sprague-Dawley rats and B6C3F1 mice of each sex were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories under a contract with the Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute. Male rats were received at 29 days of age, female rats at 36 days of age, and male and female mice at 32 days of age. All animals were quarantined for periods of 6 days for rats and 10 days for mice. After these periods, animals with no visible signs of disease were assigned to control or dosed groups and earmarked for individual identification. Additional groups of male and female Sprague-Dawley rats for the chronic study were received at 30 days of age from Charles River Breeding Laboratories and quarantined for 28 days weeks prior to being placed on study.

D. Animal Maintenance

All animals were housed in temperature- and humidity-controlled rooms. The temperature range was 20-24°C, and the relative humidity was maintained at 40-60%. The air was changed 15 times per hour and passed through both intake and exhaust fiberglass roughing filters. In addition to natural light, illumination was provided by fluorescent light for 9 hours per day. Wayne[®] Lab Blox animal meal (Allied Mills, Inc., Chicago, Ill.) and water were supplied daily and were available <u>ad libitum</u>.

Rats were housed five per cage and mice seven per cage in solidbottom stainless steel cages (Hahn Roofing and Sheet Metal Co., Birmingham, Ala.). Rat cages were provided with Iso-Dri[®] hardwood chip bedding (Carworth, Edison, N.J.); mouse cages were provided with Sterolit[®] clay bedding (Englehard Mineral and Chemical Co., New York, N.Y.). For initial studies with rats, cage tops were covered with disposable filter bonnets beginning at week 24; for later studies with rats, cage tops were covered with filter bonnets for the entire test. Filter bonnets were not used for the mouse cages. Bedding was replaced once per week; cages, water bottles, and feeders were sanitized at 82°C once per week; and racks were cleaned once per week.

The rats and mice were housed in separate rooms. Control animals were housed with respective dosed animals. Animals administered thio-TEPA were maintained in the same rooms as animals of the same species being administered the following chemicals:

RATS

Gavage Studies

cholesterol (p-(bis(2-chloroethyl)amino)phenyl)acetate
 (phenesterin) (CAS 3546-10-9)
estradiol bis((p-(bis(2-chloroethyl)amino)phenyl)acetate)
 (estradiol mustard) (CAS 22966-79-6)

Intraperitoneal Injection Studies

4'-(9-acridinylamino)methansulfon-m-aniside monohydrochloride (MAAM) (NSC 141549)

```
acronycine (CAS 7008-42-6)
5-azacytidine (CAS 320-67-2)
beta-2'-deoxy-6-thioguanosine monohydrate (beta-TGdR)
  (CAS 789-61-7)
1,4-butanediol dimethanesulfonate (busulfan) (CAS 55-98-1)
emetine dihydrochloride tetrahydrate (CAS 316-42-7)
3,3'-iminobis-l-propanol dimethanesulfonate (ester)
  hydrochloride [IPD] (CAS 3458-22-8)
(+)-4,4'-(l-methyl-1,2-ethanediyl)bis-2,6-piperazinedione
  (ICRF-159) (CAS 21416-87-5)
N, 3-bis(2-chloroethyl)tetrahydro-2H-1,3,2-oxazaphosphorin-2-
  amine-2-oxide (isophosphamide) (CAS 3778-73-2)
N-(2-chloroethyl)-N-(1-methyl-2-phenoxyethyl)benzylamine
  hydrochloride (phenoxybenzamine) (CAS 63-92-3)
N-(1-methylethyl)-4-((2-methylhydrazino)methyl)benzamide
  monohydrochloride (procarbazine) (CAS 366-70-1)
2,4,6-tris(dimethylamino)-s-triazine (CAS 645-05-6)
adriamycin (CAS 23214-92-8)
```

MICE

Feed Studies

```
4-acetyl-N-((cyclohexylamino)carbonyl)benzenesulfonamide
  (acetohexamide) (CAS 968-81-0)
anthranilic acid (CAS 118-92-3)
1-buty1-3-(p-toly1sulfony1)urea (tolbutamide) (CAS 64-77-7)
4-chloro-N-((propylamino)carbonyl)benzenesulfonamide
  (chlorpropamide) (CAS 94-20-2)
5-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-ethyl-2,4-pyrimidinediamine
  (pyrimethamine) (CAS 58-14-0)
2,6-diamino-3-(phenylazo)pyridine hydrochloride
  (phenazopyridine hydrochloride) (CAS 136-40-3)
L-tryptophan (CAS 73-22-3)
N-9H-fluoren-2-ylacetamide (CAS 53-96-3)
N-(p-toluenesulfonyl)-N'-hexamethyleniminourea
  (tolazamide) (CAS 1156-19-0)
1-phenethylbiguanide hydrochloride (phenformin) (CAS 114-86-3)
pyrazinecarboxamide (pyrazinamide) (CAS 98-96-4)
4,4'-sulfonyldianiline (dapsone) (CAS 80-08-0)
4,4'-thiodianiline (CAS 139-65-1)
ethionamide (CAS 536-33-4)
```

Gavage Studies

```
cholesterol (p-(bis(2-chloroethyl)amino)phenyl)acetate
  (phenesterin) (CAS 3546-10-9)
```

```
estradiol bis((p-(bis(2-chloroethyl)amino)phenyl)acetate)
  (estradiol mustard) (CAS 22966-79-6)
```

Intraperitoneal Injection Studies

```
4'-(9-acridinylamino)methansulfon-m-aniside monohydrochloride
  (MAAM) (NSC 141549)
acronycine (CAS 7008-42-6)
5-azacytidine (CAS 320-67-2)
beta-2'-deoxy-6-thioguanosine monohydrate (beta-TGdR)
  (CAS 789-61-7)
1,4-butanediol dimethanesulfonate (busulfan) (CAS 55-98-1)
emetine dihydrochloride tetrahydrate (CAS 316-42-7)
3,3'-iminobis-l-propanol dimethanesulfonate (ester)
  hydrochloride [IPD] (CAS 3458-22-8)
(+)-4,4'-(1-methy1-1,2-ethanediy1)bis-2,6-piperazinedione
  (ICRF-159) (CAS 21416-87-5)
N, 3-bis(2-chloroethyl)tetrahydro-2H-1, 3, 2-oxazaphosphorin-2-
  amine-2-oxide (isophosphamide) (CAS 3778-73-2)
N-(2-chloroethyl)-N-(1-methyl-2-phenoxyethyl)benzylamine
  hydrochloride (phenoxybenzamine) (CAS 63-92-3)
N-(1-methylethyl)-4-((2-methylhydrazino)methyl)benzamide
  monohydrochloride (procarbazine) (CAS 366-70-1)
```

E. <u>Subchronic Studies</u>

Subchronic studies were conducted using male Sprague-Dawley rats and male Swiss mice to estimate the maximum tolerated doses of thio-TEPA, on the basis of which two concentrations (hereinafter referred to as "low doses" and "high doses") were determined for administration in the chronic studies. Rats were administered the drug at doses of 0.14, 0.35, 0.7, 1.4, or 2.8 mg/kg, and mice at doses of 0.23, 0.58, 1.15, 2.3, or 4.6 mg/kg. Dosed animals were injected intraperitoneally with thio-TEPA three times per week for 45 days and then observed for an additional 45 days. Five animals of each species were administered the chemical at each dose, 10 animals of each species were maintained as vehicle controls, and 10 animals of each species were maintained as untreated controls.

There were no deaths in the rats at any dose tested. Mean body weight gains at 45 days in animals at 0.14, 0.35, or 0.7 mg/kg were unaffected in comparison with those of the vehicle controls; at 1.4 mg/kg the mean body weights were 79% of those of the controls, and at 2.8 mg/kg they were 70% of those of the controls. After 90 days, mean body weight gains were comparable to those of the controls in all groups except those at 1.4 mg/kg and 2.8 mg/kg, where they were approximately 85% of those of the controls. The vehicle controls gained slightly less weight than the untreated controls. The low and high doses for the chronic studies using rats were set at 1.4 and 2.8 mg/kg.

In mice, 3/5 animals died at 4.6 mg/kg and 1/5 died at 0.58 mg/kg. At 45 days, mean body weight gains in dosed animals were not greatly affected, except for slight losses in the group at 4.6 mg/kg. The low and high doses for the chronic studies using mice were set at 1.15 and 2.3 mg/kg.

F. Designs of the Chronic Studies

The designs of the chronic studies are shown in tables 1 and 2.

| Sex and | Initial | Thio-TEPA | Time o | on Study |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| Test | No. of | Dose ^b | Dosed | Observed |
| Group | <u>Animals</u> ^a | (mg/kg) | (weeks) | (weeks) |
| Male | | | | |
| Low-Dose | | | | |
| Untreated-Control ^c | 10 | 0 | | 83 |
| Low-Dose | | | | |
| Vehicle-Control ^C | 10 | 0q | 52 | 30 |
| Low-Dose ^C | 39 | 0.7 | 52 | 30 |
| Mid- and High-Dose | | | | |
| Untreated-Control | 10 | 0 | | 87 |
| Mid- and High-Dose | | | | |
| Vehicle-Control | 10 | 0q | 34e | 52 |
| Mid-Dose | 35 | 1.4 | 34e | 44£ |
| High-Dose | 35 | 2.8 | 19 8 | |
| Female | | | | |
| Low-Dose | | | | |
| Untreated-Control ^c | 10 | 0 | | 82 |
| Low-Dose Vehicle-Control ^C | 10 | 0q | 5.0 | 20 |
| | | - | 52 | 30 |
| Low-Dose ^C | 31 | 0.7 | 52 | 30 |
| Mid- and High-Dose | | | | |
| Untreated-Control | 10 | 0 | | 87 |
| Mid- and High-Dose | | - | | |
| Vehicle-Control | 10 | 0q | 34e | 53 |
| Mid-Dose | 35 | 1.4 | 34e | 47 |
| High-Dose | 35 | 2.8 | 218 | |

Table 1. Design of Chronic Studies of Thio-TEPA in Rats

^aAges of rats when placed on study: mid- and high-dose males, 35 days; mid- and high-dose females, 42 days; low-dose males and females, 58 days.

^bThio-TEPA was administered intraperitoneally in phosphatebuffered saline three times per week at a volume of 0.25 ml/100 g body weight; doses were based on individual weights. Table 1. Design of Chronic Studies of Thio-TEPA in Rats

(continued)

^CBecause of deaths in the high- and mid-dose groups, new dosed and control groups were started 69 weeks after the start of the original study.

^dVehicle controls received phosphate-buffered saline at the same volume as the dosed animals.

^eAdministration of the chemical to mid-dose males and females and to mid- and high-dose vehicle controls terminated at week 34, due to toxicity in the dosed groups.

^fObservation of mid-dose males terminated at week 78, due to death of all animals.

^gAdministration of the chemical to high-dose males and females terminated at times indicated, due to death of all animals.

| Sex and | Initial Thio-TEPA | | Time on Study | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Test | No. of | Dose ^b | Dosed | Observed |
| Group | <u>Animals</u> ^a | (mg/kg) | (weeks) | (weeks) |
| <u>Male</u> | | | | |
| Untreated-Control | 15 | 0 | | 87 |
| Vehicle-Control | 15 | 0c | 52 | 35 |
| Low-Dose | 35 | 1.15 | 52 | 34 |
| High-Dose | 35 | 2.3 | 52 | 4d |
| Female | | | | |
| Untreated-Control | 15 | 0 | | 87 |
| Vehicle-Control | 15 | 0c | 52 | 35 |
| Low-Dose | 35 | 1.15 | 52 | 34 |
| High-Dose | 35 | 2.3 | 43 ^e | |

Table 2. Design of Chronic Studies of Thio-TEPA in Mice

^aAll animals were 42 days of age when placed on study.

^bThio-TEPA was administered intraperitoneally in phosphatebuffered saline three times per week at a volume of 1.0 ml/100 g body weight based on the mean weight of the animals in each cage.

^CVehicle controls received only phosphate-buffered saline solution, at the same volume as dosed mice.

^dObservation of high-dose males terminated at week 56, due to death of all animals.

^eAdministration of the chemical to high-dose females terminated at week 43, due to death of all animals.

Since the numbers of rats and mice in the control groups were small, pooled-control groups also were used for statistical comparisons. Pooled-control groups for the low-dose rats consisted of vehicle-control groups of 10 animals of each sex from the bioassay of thio-TEPA, combined with corresponding vehicle-control groups of 10 animals of each sex from similar bioassays of beta-2'-deoxy-6-thioguanosine monohydrate (β -TGDR) and 3,3'-iminobis-l-propanol dimethanesulfonate (ester) [IPD]; pooled-control groups for the mid-dose rats consisted of vehiclecontrol groups of 10 animals of each sex from the bioassay of thio-TEPA, combined with corresponding vehicle-control groups of 10 animals of each sex from similar bioassays of procarbazine and IPD. The total number of animals was 30 in both the low-dose pooled-control group and the mid-dose pooled-control group. For the mice, pooled-control groups consisted of vehicle-control groups of 15 animals of each sex from the bioassay of thio-TEPA, combined with corresponding vehicle-control groups of 15 animals of each sex from a similar bioassay of IPD, to give a total of 30 The bioassays of the chemicals other than animals per group. thio-TEPA were also conducted at Southern Research Institute and were started no more than 3 months apart from those for thio-TEPA. The vehicle-control groups of rats and mice used in the pooled-control groups were of the same strain, obtained from the same supplier, and examined by the same pathologists as in the

respective dosed groups; further, the same vehicle was used for the different vehicle-control groups.

G. Clinical and Pathologic Examinations

All animals were observed twice daily for signs of toxicity, and animals that were moribund were killed and necropsied, except for those dying prior to day 100, due, presumably, to toxicity of the test chemical. Rats (mid- and high-dose) and mice were weighed individually each week for 8 weeks and every 2 weeks thereafter; low-dose rats were weighed once every 2 weeks for the period of administration of the chemical and once per month thereafter. Palpation for masses was carried out at each weighing.

The pathologic evaluation consisted of gross and microscopic examination of major tissues, major organs, and all gross lesions from killed animals and from animals found dead. The following tissues were examined microscopically: skin, muscle, lungs and bronchi, trachea, bone and bone marrow, spleen, lymph nodes, thymus, heart, salivary gland, liver, gallbladder and bile duct (mice), pancreas, esophagus, stomach, small intestine, large intestine, kidney, urinary bladder, pituitary, adrenal, thyroid, parathyroid, mammary gland, prostate or uterus, testis or ovary, brain, and sensory organs. Peripheral blood smears were prepared from each animal whenever possible. Occasionally, additional

tissues were also examined microscopically. The different tissues were preserved in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Special staining techniques were utilized when indicated for more definitive diagnosis.

A few tissues from some animals were not examined, particularly from those animals that died early. Also, some animals were missing, cannibalized, or judged to be in such an advanced state of autolysis as to preclude histopathologic evaluation. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies, and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on study in each group.

H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Pertinent data on this experiment have been recorded in an automatic data processing system, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Data

tables were generated for verification of data transcription and for statistical review.

These data were analyzed using the statistical techniques described in this section. Those analyses of the experimental results that bear on the possibility of carcinogenicity are discussed in the statistical narrative sections.

Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report in the form of graphs. Animals were statistically censored as of the time that they died of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not statistically censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) extensions of Cox's methods for testing for a dose-related trend. One-tailed P values have been reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is only reported when its two-tailed P value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions has been given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site is examined (denominator). In most instances,

the denominators included only those animals for which that site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions prior to histologic sampling (e.g., skin or mammary tumors), or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical developed a significantly higher proportion of tumors than did the control As a part of these analyses, the one-tailed Fisher animals. exact test (Cox, 1970) was used to compare the tumor incidence of a control group with that of a group of dosed animals at each dose level. When results for a number of dosed groups (k) are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction to ensure an overall significance level of 0.05 may be made. The Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966) requires that the P value for any comparison be less than or equal to 0.05/k. In cases where this correction was used, it is discussed in the narrative section. It is not, however, presented in the tables, where the Fisher exact P values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971), was also used. Under the assumption of a linear trend, this test determines if the slope

of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the onetailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend is a positive dose relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

A time-adjusted analysis was applied when numerous early deaths resulted from causes that were not associated with the formation of tumors. In this analysis, deaths that occurred before the first tumor was observed were excluded by basing the statistical tests on animals that survived at least 52 weeks, unless a tumor was found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor was found, comparisons were based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was found. Once this reduced set of data was obtained, the standard procedures for analyses of the incidence of tumors (Fisher exact tests, Cochran-Armitage tests, etc.) were followed.

When appropriate, life-table methods were used to analyze the incidence of tumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without an observed tumor were computed as in Saffiotti et al. (1972). The week during which an animal died naturally or was sacrificed was entered as the time point of tumor observation. Cox's methods of comparing these curves were used for two groups;
Tarone's extension to testing for linear trend was used for three groups. The statistical tests for the incidence of tumors which used life-table methods were one-tailed and, unless otherwise noted, in the direction of a positive dose relationship. Significant departures from linearity (P < 0.05, two-tailed test) were also noted.

The approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the relative risk of each dosed group compared with its control was calculated from the exact interval on the odds ratio (Gart, 1971). The relative risk is defined as p_t/p_c where p_t is the true binomial probability of the incidence of a specific type of tumor in a dosed group of animals and p_c is the true probability of the spontaneous incidence of the same type of tumor in a control group. The hypothesis of equality between the true proportion of a specific tumor in a dosed group and the proportion in a control group corresponds to a relative risk of unity. Values in excess of unity represent the condition of a larger proportion in the dosed group than in the control.

The lower and upper limits of the confidence interval of the relative risk have been included in the tables of statistical analyses. The interpretation of the limits is that in approximately 95% of a large number of identical experiments, the true ratio of the risk in a dosed group of animals to that in a

control group would be within the interval calculated from the experiment. When the lower limit of the confidence interval is greater than one, it can be inferred that a statistically significant result (P < 0.025 one-tailed test when the control incidence is not zero, P < 0.050 when the control incidence is zero) has occurred. When the lower limit is less than unity, but the upper limit is greater than unity, the lower limit indicates the absence of a significant result while the upper limit indicates is a theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by the test chemical, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

III. <u>RESULTS - RATS</u>

A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)

Mean body weights of all dosed male rats, particularly those of the mid- and high-dose groups, were depressed throughout the study, when compared with either matched or vehicle controls; those of the dosed females were less markedly depressed (figures 1 and 2). Fluctuations in the growth curve may be due to mortality; as the size of a group diminishes, the mean body weight may be subject to wide variation.

There was clinical evidence of respiratory disease in the highand mid-dose groups of rats and their untreated and vehicle controls. To control the respiratory disease, these animals received oxytetracycline in drinking water at a dose of 0.6 mg/ml during weeks 24-30 and 0.3 mg/ml during weeks 30-35. No other signs of toxicity were reported for the rats.

B. Survival (Rats)

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival for the male and female rats administered thio-TEPA at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the controls, are shown in figures 3 and 4.

For each sex, the results of the Tarone test were significant



Figure 1. Growth Curves for Male Rats Treated with Thio-TEPA



Figure 2. Growth Curves for Female Rats Treated with Thio-TEPA



Figure 3. Survival Curves for Male Rats Treated with Thio-TEPA



Figure 4. Survival Curves for Female Rats Treated with Thio-TEPA

(P < 0.001), indicating that the administration of thio-TEPA decreased survival in a dose-related manner. At the high dose, all animals were dead at week 19 (males) or week 21 (females). Survival at the mid dose was also low, with all males dead by week 78, and with only 3/35 (8.6%) females surviving to termination, while 6/39 (15.4%) low-dose males and 13/31 (42%) low-dose females survived to the end of the study. Among the male vehicle controls, 8/10 (80%) of the mid- and high-dose controls and 10/10 (100%) of the low-dose vehicle controls survived to week 82; corresponding values for females were 7/10 (70%) and 9/10 (90%). Even using time-adjusted analyses, eliminating those rats dying before week 52 on study, in some dosed groups, insufficient numbers of animals were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.

C. <u>Pathology (Rats)</u>

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A, tables Al-A4; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C, tables Cl-C4.

A variety of neoplasms were observed in the untreated controls, vehicle controls (phosphate-buffered saline), and dosed groups. Some types of neoplasms occurred only, or with a greater frequency, in rats of dosed groups as compared with controls.

Most of these lesions, however, are not uncommon in this strain of rat independent of the administration of any chemical. The incidence of several tumors was higher in the dosed groups. These tumors involved the skin, connective tissues, ear canal, nasal cavity and brain, hematopoietic tissues, lymphoreticular tissues, and uterus. The incidences of these tumors were as follows:

| MALES | Combined ^a Untreated <u>Controls</u> | Combined ^a Vehicle <u>Controls</u> | Low Dose | Mid <u>Dose</u> |
|---------------------------|---|---|-------------|--------------------|
| Number of rats necropsied | (19) | (20) | (37) | (30) |
| Integument | | | | |
| Squamous-cell papilloma | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Squamous-cell carcinoma | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| Trichoepithelioma | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Basal-cell carcinoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sarcoma, NOS ^b | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Fibroma | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Fibrosarcoma | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

^aMid- and high-dose control group and low-dose group control were combined. ^bNot otherwise specified

| | Combined Untreated <u>Controls</u> | Combined Vehicle <u>Controls</u> | Low Dose | Mid <u>Dose</u> |
|---|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Number of rats necropsied | (19) | (20) | (37) | (30) |
| Ear Canal | | | | |
| Squamous-cell papilloma Squamous-cell carcinoma | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 3 | 0 1 |
| Nasal Cavity | | | | |
| Carcinoma, NOS | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Hematopoietic and Lymphoreticular Tissues | | | | |
| Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Leukemia, lymphocytic Leukemia, granulocytic | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 1 3 2 | 0 5 1 |
| <u>Brain</u> ^C | (18) | (20) | (36) | (28) |
| Sarcoma, NOS Astrocytoma Neuroepithelioma (Neuroblast | 0 0 oma) 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 1 2 | 1 0 0 |
| Other Sites | (19) | (20) | (37) | (30) |
| Sarcoma, NOS | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| FEMALES | | | | |
| Number of rats necropsied | (20) | (20) | (30) | (33) |
| Integument | | | | |
| Squamous-cell papilloma Squamous-cell carcinoma Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Fibrosarcoma | 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 1 1 1 | 1 3 0 0 0 |
| •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | | | _ | |

^CNumber of rats with tissue examined microscopically

| | Combined Untreated Controls | Combined Vehicle <u>Controls</u> | Low Dose | Mid <u>Dose</u> |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|-------------|--------------------|
| Number of rats necropsied | (20) | (20) | (30) | (33) |
| Ear Canal | | | | |
| Squamous-cell papilloma Squamous-cell carcinoma | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 2 | 1 5 |
| Nasal Cavity | | | | |
| Carcinoma, NOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| <u>Brain</u> ^c | (20) | (19) | (30) | (32) |
| Neuroepithelioma (Neuroblast | :oma) O | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Sarcoma, NOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| <u>Uterus</u> ^C | (20) | (20) | (30) | (32) |
| Adenocarcinoma, NOS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| Squamous-cell carcinoma | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sarcoma, NOS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Stromal polyp | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| Other Sites ^C | (20) | (20) | (30) | (33) |
| Sarcoma, NOS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

^CNumber of rats with tissue examined microscopically

The skin tumors were differentiated epithelial tumors with the majority being keratinizing squamous-cell carcinomas located in various regions of the head and neck. The squamous-cell tumors varied from benign acanthotic, hyperkeratotic, and papillary growths (papillomas) to less differentiated carcinomas that invaded the dermis. These latter tumors contained nests of squamous cells forming whorls of keratin and cords or small groups of darkly staining anaplastic epithelial cells. The predominant cells were stratified and polygonal-shaped, had ample eosinophilic cytoplasm, and had large vesicular nuclei with prominent nucleoli. Two tumors appeared to arise from the basal cells of hair follicles, sebaceous glands, or other adnexal structures. The basal-cell tumors were composed of closely packed, small polygonal cells with scanty cytoplasm and darkly staining purple or blue round to oval nuclei. The cells had a tendency to form palisades. Various degrees of keratinization and hair-follicle formation were present. These tumors were classified as a trichoepithelioma, and a basal-cell carcinoma.

Several subcutaneous connective tissue tumors were observed in both dosed and untreated groups. These were spindle-cell tumors that varied from well-differentiated fibromas with extensive collagenous formation to less differentiated fibrosarcomas with less collagen and poorly differentiated sarcomas (sarcomas, NOS) with little or no collagen and irregular cystic or vascular spaces. The sarcomas occurred frequently around the head and neck as well as other subcutaneous and visceral sites.

Squamous-cell tumors, both benign and malignant, were observed in the ear canals (Zymbal's Gland). These tumors were similar in morphology to the squamous-cell tumors observed in the skin.

Ten tumors involved the brain and nasal cavity. Seven of the tumors were types which occur very rarely in untreated Sprague-Dawley rats. These tumors were neuroepitheliomas (neuroblastomas) and nasal carcinomas, NOS.

Lymphocytic leukemias that involved multiple organs including various lymph nodes, spleen, liver, lungs, ovaries, uterus, kidneys, and bone marrow were the primary hematopoietic tumors seen. A smaller number of animals had granulocytic leukemias.

Uterine adenocarcinomas were characterized by neoplastic epithelial cells having large vesicular nuclei, prominent eosinophilic nucleoli, and ample cytoplasm that were arranged The glands were usually separated by fibrovascular into glands. The neoplastic glandular tissue arose in the endometrium stroma. and both projected into the uterine lumen and infiltrated the underlying muscle layers. Frequently the neoplastic cells penetrated the serosal surface of the uterus with transplantation on the mesentery throughout the abdominal cavity. Pulmonary metastases were also frequent. One low-dose female had a cervical uterine squamous-cell carcinoma. A few animals had poorly differentiated spindle-cell uterine sarcomas (sarcomas, NOS) and endometrial stromal polyps.

In addition to the neoplastic lesions, a number of degenerative,

proliferative, and inflammatory changes were encountered also in animals of the dosed and control groups (Appendix C). Most of these nonneoplastic lesions are commonly seen in aged Sprague-Dawley rats.

None of the high-dose male or female rats were processed for microscopic evaluation because of the short life spans, and thereby, the lack of time for tumor development. These deaths which occurred at less than 150 days were assumed to be the result of chemical toxicity. Many mid-dose males had reduced life spans that were associated with moderate to severe suppurative bronchopneumonia and bone-marrow atrophy. The lower incidence of tumors in this group may have resulted in part from the reduced life span. The female groups had fewer early deaths associated with bone-marrow atrophy and bronchopneumonias. The effects in the females of reduced life spans from toxicity were Chronic and interstitial nephritis not considered important. occurred frequently, but did not appear to be chemical related.

Administration of thio-TEPA resulted in an increase of epithelial tumors in the skin, ear canal, nasal cavity, and uterus; of hematopoietic tumors, primarily lymphocytic leukemias; of spindle-cell sarcomas in the subcutis and various other locations; and of neuroepithelial brain tumois.

Based on the histopathologic examination, thio-TEPA given intraperitoneally to Sprague-Dawley rats at doses of 0.7 and 1.4 mg/kg appeared to be carcinogenic under the conditions of this bioassay. The dose of 2.8 mg/kg proved to be too toxic for an evaluation of carcinogenic activity.

D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)

Tables El-E8 in Appendix E contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals in one group and with an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group. Analyses of the incidences in the high-dose groups are not included in the tables, because, as a result of the low survival, animals in these groups were not examined histopathologically. The untreated controls also are not included in the tables and in the analyses because the test conditions of the vehicle controls more closely resemble those of the dosed rats. Since the low-dose and mid-dose vehicle controls were started at different dates, the low-dose and mid-dose groups are analyzed separately with their respective controls. Due to the low survivals of animals in the dosed groups, time-adjusted analyses were performed, eliminating animals that died before week 52 on study, unless a tumor was found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor was found, comparisons were based exclusively on animals that survived at

least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was found. The statistical narrative in the following paragraphs is based on time-adjusted data only.

In rats of each sex, when the vehicle controls are used, there is no significant positive result in either the low-dose or mid-dose groups when the Bonferroni probability value of 0.025 is used as a significance level.

In male rats, when the pooled controls are used, a significant incidence of squamous-cell carcinoma in either the skin or ear canal is observed in both the low-dose and mid-dose groups (P =0.009, low-dose; P = 0.023, mid-dose). In female rats, a significant incidence of squamous-cell carcinoma in either the skin or ear canal is observed in the mid-dose group (P < 0.001); however, the incidence was not significant in the low-dose group. While the vehicle-control groups were too small for effective analyses, the significant incidence of this tumor observed in both male and female rats, using pooled controls, suggests a dose association with the administration of thio-TEPA.

Leukemias were reported at a significant incidence (P = 0.001) in mid-dose male rats when compared with the pooled controls. When the incidences of leukemia or lymphoma are combined for analyses, a significant incidence (P = 0.020) is present in the low-dose

male group when compared with that in the pooled controls. The combination of leukemia or lymphoma did not appear at a significant incidence in the female groups. These results are based on the use of the pooled controls and appeared in significant incidences in males only; therefore, while suggestive of a dose relationship, the statistical evidence is not as clear as for squamous-cell carcinoma.

In female rats, adenocarcinoma was seen in a significant incidence in the mammary gland (P = 0.006) and in the uterus (P = 0.001) when the incidences in the mid-dose group were compared with those in the pooled controls. The incidence of mammary adenocarcinoma in the low-dose group also was higher than that in the pooled controls (P = 0.033), while the incidence of uterine carcinoma in the low-dose group was not significant. These data suggest a positive association between the administration of the test chemical and the formation of these tumors.

Significant results in the negative direction occurred in the incidences of pituitary tumors in the low-dose and mid-dose groups of female rats, and in the low-dose group of male rats.

In summary, the results of the statistical tests show that the incidences of squamous-cell carcinoma in the skin or ear canal in each sex, hematopoietic tumors in male rats, adenocarcinoma of

the mammary gland in female rats, and adenocarcinoma of the uterus in female rats are associated with the administration of thio-TEPA.

IV. <u>RESULTS - MICE</u>

A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)

Mean body weights of low-dose male and female mice were only slightly lower than those of the controls throughout much of the study (figure 5). Those of the high-dose animals, particularly those of the females, were lower throughout their period of survival. Fluctuations in the growth curve may be due to mortality; as the size of a group diminishes, the mean body weight may be subject to wide variation. No other signs of toxicity were recorded.

B. Survival (Mice)

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival for male and female mice administered thio-TEPA at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the controls, are shown in figure 6.

For each sex, the Tarone test result is significant (P < 0.001), indicating that thio-TEPA decreased survival in a dose-related manner. At the high-dose, none of the males survived past week 56 and none of the females past week 43. At the low-dose, 15/35 (44%) of the males and 17/35 (49%) of the females survived to termination of the study. Survival was 7/15 (47%) among vehicle-



Figure 5. Growth Curves for Mice Treated with Thio-TEPA



Figure 6. Survival Curves for Mice Treated with Thio-TEPA

control males and 12/15 (80%) among vehicle-control females in the bioassay. Statistical analyses were time-adjusted, eliminating those mice dying before week 52; however, in some groups, insufficient numbers of mice were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.

C. Pathology (Mice)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B, tables B1 and B2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D, tables D1 and D2.

Excluding the lymphoreticular and epithelial tumors, the neoplasms listed in Appendix B occurred with approximately equal, or greater, frequency in the control mice than in the dosed mice, or occurred in insufficient numbers for accurate evaluation. These lesions are not uncommon in the B6C3F1 strain of mouse independent of the administration of any chemical.

Administration of thio-TEPA increased the frequency of skin tumors and lymphoreticular tumors. The distribution of these tumors was as follows:

| MALE | Vehicle <u>Control</u> | Untreated <u>Control</u> | Low Dose | High Dose |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Number of Mice Necropsied | (14) | (15) | (30) | (34) |
| <u>Skin</u> (including preputial gla and subcutaneous tissues) | nds | | | |
| Squamous-cell or adnexal carcinoma Basal-cell carcinoma Carcinoma, NOS | 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 | 14 0 1 | 1 0 0 |
| Multiple Organs, Lymphoreticular | | | | |
| Malignant lymphoma Leukemia, lymphocytic Leukemia, granulocytic | 0 1 0 | 1 0 0 | 2 0 1 | 16 10 0 |
| FEMALE | | | | |
| Number of Mice Necropsied | (15) | (15) | (30) | (32) |
| Multiple Organs, Lymphoreticular | | | | |
| Malignant lymphoma Leukemia, lymphocytic | 0 0 | 1 0 | 2 3 | 20 12 |

The morphology of the skin tumors was similar to that described previously for the rats. The majority of the squamous-cell tumors were malignant, occurred in the groin or pelvic area, and were associated with preputial glands. Two squamous-cell carcinomas metastasized to the lungs. One mouse had a poorly differentiated spindle-cell carcinoma.

The malignant lymphomas were classified as lymphocytic, histiocytic, or mixed types and were usually solid tumors involving multiple organs including the spleen, lymph nodes, and liver.

In addition to the malignant lymphomas, many female mice had lymphocytic leukemias. These leukemias were composed of neoplastic lymphocytes similar in appearance to those seen in the malignant lymphomas, but they had a more generalized distribution with sinusoidal involvement of the liver. One mouse had a granulocytic leukemia that was composed of well-differentiated neutrophils.

In addition to the neoplastic lesions, a number of degenerative, proliferative, and inflammatory changes were encountered also in animals of the dosed and control groups (Appendix D). These nonneoplastic lesions are commonly seen in aged B6C3F1 mice. The high-dose male and female mice had reduced life spans which were associated with the high incidence of lymphoreticular neoplasms.

Based on the histopathologic examination, thio-TEPA given intraperitoneally to B6C3F1 mice was carcinogenic under conditions of this bioassay. The tumors induced were malignant lymphomas and lymphocytic leukemias in males and females and squamous-cell carcinomas of the skin in males.

D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)

Tables Fl-F4 in Appendix F contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals in one group and with an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group. The untreated controls are not included in the tables and analyses, because the test conditions of the vehicle controls more closely resemble those of the dosed mice. Due to the low survival of the high-dose mice, timeadjusted analyses were performed, eliminating animals that died before week 52 on study, unless a tumor was found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor was found, comparisons were based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was found. The statistical narrative in the following paragraphs is based on time-adjusted data only.

In male mice, the results of the Cochran-Armitage test for doserelated trend in the incidence of squamous-cell carcinoma at all sites are significant (P = 0.018), and the Fisher exact test shows that the incidence in the low-dose group is significantly higher (P = 0.004) than that in the matched controls. There were only two animals at risk in the high-dose group, and the squamous-cell carcinoma occurred in one of these two animals. This tumor was not present in significant incidences in female

mice; however, the statistical analysis suggests a positive dose association in male mice.

The results of the Cochran-Armitage test for positive doserelated trend in the combined incidence of lymphoma or leukemia in both male and female mice are significant (P < 0.001). In the female high-dose group, the incidence is 32/32 (100%) and in the male high-dose group it is 26/28 (93%). The results of the Fisher exact show that the incidences in the high-dose groups are significantly higher (P < 0.001) than those in either type of control groups. The statistical conclusion is that the incidences of these hematopoietic tumors in mice are dose associated.

In summary, the results of the statistical tests show that the incidences of squamous-cell carcinoma at all sites in male mice and of the hematopoietic tumors in each sex are associated with the administration of thio-TEPA.

V. DISCUSSION

Under the conditions of this bioassay, thio-TEPA was toxic to both rats and mice, as evidenced by reduced mean body weights and by high mortality. Mean body weights of high-, mid-, and lowdose male rats, high- and mid-dose female rats, and high-dose male and female mice were all markedly lower than those of corresponding controls. All high-dose male rats died by week 19, all high-dose females by week 21, all mid-dose males by week 78, 91% of the mid-dose females by termination week 81, and 85% of the low-dose males and 51% of the low-dose females by termination week 82. Histopathologic evaluation of tissues was performed only on the low- and mid-dose groups of rats, since all high-dose males and females died before the occurrence of tumors could be expected. All of the high-dose male mice died by week 56, all of the high-dose females by week 43, and 77% of the low-dose males and 51% of the low-dose females by termination week 86. Because of this high mortality, time-adjusted analyses were performed on incidences of tumors in each species and each sex.

In rats, the incidence of combined neoplasms of the hematopoietic system (lymphoma, lymphocytic leukemia, or granulocytic leukemia) was significant in the males in both the low-dose (P = 0.020) and mid-dose (P = 0.001) groups, using pooled controls (pooled

controls 0/29, low-dose 6/34; pooled controls 0/30, mid-dose 6/16).

Squamous-cell carcinoma of the skin or ear canal occurred at a significant incidence in the male rats in both the low-dose (P = 0.009) and mid-dose (P = 0.023) groups, using pooled controls (pooled controls 0/29, low-dose 7/33; pooled controls 0/30, mid-dose 3/13) and in the mid-dose females (P < 0.001), using pooled controls (pooled controls 0/28, mid-dose 8/21); in addition, two low-dose females had such tumors, with none occurring in the corresponding low-dose controls.

The incidence of adenocarcinoma of the uterus was significant in the mid-dose female rats (P = 0.001), using pooled controls (pooled controls 0/28, mid-dose 7/21); in addition, two low-dose females had adenocarcinoma of the uterus, with no such tumor occurring in the corresponding low-dose controls. Adenocarcinoma of the mammary gland occurred at a significant incidence in the mid-dose female rats (P = 0.006), using pooled controls (pooled controls 1/28, mid-dose 8/24). In addition, seven low-dose females had these tumors, but they were not significant, since one of the low-dose pooled-control females had the tumor; and 3/10 low-dose untreated-control females had the tumor. Thus, the occurrence of mammary adenocarcinoma in the female rats cannot be clearly related to administration of the test chemical.

Also in rats, neuroepitheliomas or nasal carcinomas occurred in three low-dose males, two low-dose females, and two mid-dose females. Although these are not statistically significant incidences, these tumors did not occur among control animals and no such tumors have occurred in 380 or more Sprague-Dawley control rats of each sex in other bioassays at the same laboratory. Thus, they may be associated with administration of the chemical.

In the high-dose groups of both male and female mice, but not in the low-dose groups, the incidences of lymphoma or lymphocytic leukemia were significantly higher (P < 0.001) for each sex than those of either the vehicle or pooled controls (males: vehicle controls 1/8, pooled controls 1/18, low-dose 2/24, high-dose 26/28; females: vehicle controls 0/14, pooled controls 0/29, low-dose 5/26, high-dose 32/32). These tumors were observed even though all of the high-dose males died as early as week 56 and all of the high-dose females as early as week 43. In addition, granulocytic leukemia occurred in one low-dose male mouse.

In the low-dose male mice, squamous-cell carcinoma was found in the skin of seven animals, in the preputial glands of six animals, and in the ear canal of two animals. A carcinoma of the preputial gland was also found in a high-dose male. When the incidences of the tumors at the different sites were combined,

the incidence in the low-dose group, but not in the high-dose group was statistically significant using either the vehicle (P = 0.004) or the pooled (P < 0.001) controls (vehicle controls 0/8, pooled controls 0/18, low-dose 14/24, high-dose 1/2).

In previous tests of thio-TEPA for carcinogenicity, Schmähl and Osswald (1970) observed a variety of tumors in male rats of the BR 46 strain that had been injected intravenously with 1 mg/kg body weight weekly for 52 weeks. Shimkin et al. (1966), using a pulmonary tumor test system, reported the induction of lung tumors in A/J strain mice given intraperitoneal injections of thio-TEPA three times per week for 4 weeks and observed for 39 weeks after the first injection. Stoner et al. (1973), using the same test system, reported the induction of lung tumors in mice of the A/He strain given total dosages of the chemical of 4.7 or 9.4 mg/kg by intraperitoneal injection three times per week for 4 weeks and observed 24 weeks after the first injection.

It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay, thio-TEPA was carcinogenic in both Sprague-Dawley rats and B6C3F1 mice. In the rats, the chemical induced squamous-cell carcinoma of the skin or ear canal in both males and females, and hematopoietic neoplasms in the males; in the mice, it induced lymphoma or lymphocytic leukemia in both sexes and squamous-cell carcinoma in the skin and associated glands of males.

VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1971, pp. 362-365.
- Berenblum, I., ed., <u>Carcinogenicity Testing</u>, <u>A Report on the</u> <u>Panel on Carcinogeniity of the Cancer Research Commission of</u> <u>the UICC</u>, Vol. 2, International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.
- Calabresi, P. and Parks, R. E., Jr., Alkylating agents, antimetabolites, hormones, and other antiproliferative agents. In: <u>The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics</u>, Goodman, L. S., and Gilman, A., eds., Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1975, pp. 1254-1259 and 1265-1266.
- Calabresi, P. and Welch, A. D., Chemotherapy in neoplasms. <u>Ann.</u> <u>Rev. Med. 13</u>:147-202, 1962.
- Carter, S. K. and Slavik, M., Chemotherapy of cancer. <u>Ann Rev.</u> <u>Pharmacol. 14</u>:157, 1974.
- Cox, D. R., Regression models and life tables. J. R. Statist. Soc. B 34 (2):187-220, 1972.
- Cox, D. R., <u>Analysis of Binary Data</u>, Methuen & Co., Ltd., London, 1970, pp. 48-52.
- Gart, J. J., The comparison of proportions: a review of significance tests, confidence limits and adjustments for stratification. <u>Rev. Int. Statist. Inst.</u> 39:148-169, 1971.
- Kaplan, E. L. and Meier, P., Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. <u>J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.</u> <u>53</u>:457-481, 1958.
- Lederle Laboratories. <u>Physicians' Desk Reference</u>, Baker, C. E., Jr., Medical Economics Co., Oradell, N. J., 1977, pp. 903 and 906.
- Linhart, M. S., Cooper, J., Martin, R. L., Page, N., and Peters, J., Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. <u>Comp. and Biomed.</u> <u>Res.</u> 7:230-248, 1974.

- Miller, R. G., Jr., <u>Simultaneous</u> <u>Statistical</u> <u>Inference</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966, pp. 6-10.
- Montgomery, J. A. and Struck, R. J., The relationship of the metabolism of anticancer agents to their activity. <u>Progress</u> <u>in Drug Research 17</u>: 363-364, 1973.
- Saffiotti, U., Montesano, R., Sellakumar, A. R., Cefis, F., and Kaufman, D. G., Respiratory tract carcinogenesis in hamsters induced by different numbers of administrations of benzo(a) pyrene and ferric oxide. <u>Cancer Res.</u> <u>32</u>:1073-1081, 1972.
- Schmähl, V. D. and Osswald, H., Experimentelle Untersuchungen uber carcinogene Wirkungen von Krebs-Chemotherapeutica und Immunosuppressiva. <u>Arzneimittel-Forschung</u> <u>20</u> (10):1461-1470, 1970.
- Shimkin, M. B., Weisburger, J. H., Weisburger, E. K., Guboreff, N., and Suntzeff, V., Bioassay of 29 alkylating chemicals by the pulmonary-tumor response in strain A mice. <u>J. Natl.</u> <u>Cancer Inst.</u> <u>36</u>:915-935, 1966.
- Stoner, G. D., Shimkin, M. B., Kniazeff, A. J., Weisburger, J. H., Weisburger, E. K., and Gori, G. B., Test for carcinogenicity of food additives and chemotherapeutic agents by the pulmonary tumors response in strain A mice. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 33: 3069-3085, 1973.
- Tarone, R. E., Tests for trend in life table analysis. <u>Biometrika</u> 62(3):679-682, 1975.
- U.S. Patent 2,670,347 (February 23, 1954).
- Wheeler, G. P., Alkylating agents. In: <u>Cancer</u> <u>Medicine</u>, Holland, J. F., and Frei, E., III, eds., Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia, 1973, pp. 791-805.

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA

TABLE A1.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA (CONTROL GROUPS)

| | MID AND HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | MID AND HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL | LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL |
|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| ANIMALS NECROPSIED | 10 | 9 9 | 10 | 10 |
| ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICA | | y | 10 | 10 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| *SKIN | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA FIBROMA | | | 1 (10%) | 1 (10 |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| FIBROMA | | | 1 (10%) | |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| | | | | |
| NON E | | | | |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| | | | | |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| URINARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | * ~~~~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | | | |
| | (10) | (7) | (10) | (9) |
| *FITUITARY | | | | |

| TABLE A1. MALE RATS (CONTROL | GROUPS): | : NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED) | |
|------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|--|

| | MID AND HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | MID AND HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL | LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| CHROMOPHOBE CARCINOMA | | | | 1 (11% |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| *NAMMARY GLAND FIBROADENOMA | 2 (20%) | (9) | (10) 1 (10 %) | (10) |
| ERVOUS SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| PECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| ODY CAVITIES | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| LL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY | | | | |
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ Moribund Sacrifice Scheduled Sacrifice | 10 2 | 10 2 | 10 1 1 | 10 |
| ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 |
| INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS | و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و | | | |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED
| | | UNTREATED | MID AND HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL | |
|---|----------|-----------|---|--------|
| TUMOR SUMMARY | | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS ⁴ Total Primary Tumors | * 2 2 | 1 1 | 3 3 | 5 5 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS | 2 2 | 1 1 | 3 3 | 4 4 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMO) TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS | RS | | | 1 1 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMOR TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS | RS# | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAD Benign or Malignant Total Uncertain Tumors | C N- | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAN FRIMARY OR METASTATIC Total Uncertain Tumors | [N- | | | |
| PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMOR | | | 11 C 2 M C 2 C 1 M | |

TABLE A1. MALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

SECONDARY TUHORS: METASTATIC TUHORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

TABLE A2.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA (TREATED GROUPS)

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOS |
|--|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 39 | 35 | 35 |
| NIMALS NECROPSIED NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 37 37 | 30 29 | 32 0 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | |
| *SKIN | (37) | (30) | (32) |
| SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA | 1 (3%) | | . , |
| SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA Basal-cell carcinoma | 5 (14%) | 3 (10%) 1 (3%) | |
| TRICHOEPITHELIOMA | 1 (3%) | ואכן ו | |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE | (37) | (30) | (32) |
| SARCOMA, NOS | 5 (14%) | | |
| FIBROMA FIBROSARCOMA | 2 (5%) 1 (3%) | | |
| *NASAL CAVITY CARCINOMA,NOS *LUNG SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA | (37) 1 (3%) (36) | (30) (29) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | (32) |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | |
| *MULTIPLE ORGANS | (37) | (30) | (32) |
| *HULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPF LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA | 1 (3%) 3 (8%) | 5 (17%) | |
| GRANULOCYTIC LEUKENIA | 2 (5%) | 1 (3%) | |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | |

56

| TABLE A2. MALE RATS | (TREATED GROUPS |): NEOPLASMS (| (CONTINUED) |
|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | |

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| *LIVER CARCINCMA,NOS | (37) | | |
| JRINABY SYSTEM | | | |
| #KIDNEY HAMARTCMA | (37) 1 (3%) | (29) | |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | |
| *PITUITAFY CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA | (28) 1 (4%) | (24) 1 (4%) | |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| *MAMMARY GLAND ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS | (37) 1 (3%) | | (32) |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM | | | |
| <pre>#EPAIN SARCOMA, NOS ASTRUCYTOMA NEUROBLASTOMA OLFACTORY NEUROPLASTOMA</pre> | (36) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | (28) 1 (4%) | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | |
| *EAR CANAL SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA | 1 (3%) | (30) 1 (3%) | (32) |
| NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع | |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|
| | | | |
| ODY CAVITIES | | | |
| *MEDIASTINUM | (37) | (30) | (32) |
| SARCOMA, NOS | 1 (3%) | | |
| *ABDOMINAL CAVITY | (37) | (30) | (32) |
| SARCOMA, NOS | | 1 (3%) | • • |
| *MESENTERY | (37) | (30) | (32) |
| SARCOMA, NOS | 2 (5%) | | • -• |
| SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METAST | 'A | 1 (3%) | |
| SQUAROUS CELL CARCINORA, HEIASI | Α | 1 (5%) | |
| CRANIAL CAVITY SARCOMA, NOS | 1 | | |
| | · | | |
| NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY | | | |
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 39 | 35 | 35 |
| NATURAL DEATHƏ | 7 | 13 | 26 |
| MORIBUND SACRIFICE | 26 | 22 | 9 |
| SCHEDULED SACRIFICE | | | |
| | | | |
| ACCIDENTALLY KILLED | | | |
| ACCIDENTALLY KILLED Terminal sacrificf Animal missing | 6 | | |

TABLE A2. MALE RATS (TREATED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A2. MALE RATS (TREATED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|
| TUMOR SUMMARY | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS | 27 36 | 14 16 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS | 6 7 | 2 2 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS | 26 29 | 12 14 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS | | 2 2 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- Frimary or metastatic Total uncertain tumors | | | |
| * PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE * SECONDARY TUMORS - METASTATIC TUMORS | | | |

SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

TABLE A3.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA (CONTROL GROUPS)

| | MID AND HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | MID AND HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL | LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL |
|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| ANIMALS NECROPSIED | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICAI | LY 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE FIBROMA | (10) 1 (10%) | | (10) | |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM None | | | | |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| URINARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | | |
| # PITUITARY | | (10) | | (8) |
| CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA | 5 (50%) | 6 (60%) | 2 (20%) | 1 (13 |

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A3. FEMALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

| | MID AND HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | MID AND HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL | LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| CHROMOPHOBE CARCINOMA | | 1 (10%) | | |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| *MAMMARY GLAND | (10) | (10) 3 (30%) | (10) | (10) |
| ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS FIBROADENOMA | 2 (20%) | 3 (30%) 6 (60%) | 1 (10 %) 5 (50 %) | 2 (20) |
| IERVOUS SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| ODY CAVITIES | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | | |
| NO N E | | | | |
| NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY | | | | |
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ | 10 2 | 10 | 10 | 10 1 |
| MORIBUND SACRIFICE Scheduled Sacrifice | - | 2 | | · |
| ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICF ANIMAL MISSING | 8 | 1 7 | 10 | 9 |
| INCLUDES_AUTOLYZED_ANIMALS | | | | |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| TABLE A3. FEMALE RATS (CONTR |)L GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|

| | MID AND HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | | LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| UHOR SUMMARY | | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRINARY TUNORS TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS | * 7 8 | 9 16 | 5 8 | 3 3 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS | 7 8 | 9 12 | 5 7 | 3 3 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMON TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS | RS | 4 | 1 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMOS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS | ₹S# | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAD BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | [N- | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAD FRIMABY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | [N- | | | |
| PRINARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMOR | | | DIACENT ORGAN | |

TABLE A4.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS **GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA (TREATED GROUPS)**

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOSI |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 31 | 35 | 35 |
| NIMALS NECROPSIED | 30 | 33 | 34 |
| ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 30 | 33 | 0 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | |
| *SKIN | (3Q) | (33) | (34) |
| SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA | | 1 (3%) | |
| SQJAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA | | 3 (9%) | |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE | (30) | (33) | (34) |
| SARCOMA, NOS | 1 (3%) | | |
| FIBROMA | 1 (3%) | | |
| FIBROSARCOMA | 1 (3%) | | |
| *NASAL CAVITY CARCINOMA,NOS | (30) | (33) 1 (3%) | (34) |
| # LUNG | (30) | (33) | |
| ADENOCARCINONA, NOS, METASTATIC | 2 (7%) | | |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | |
| *MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE | (30) | (33) 1 (3%) | (34) |
| | 1 (3%) | 1 (3%) | |
| LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA | 1 (3,4) | | |
| LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA #MANDIBULAR L. NODE | (28) | (1) | |
| LYMPHOCYTIC LEÜKEMIA Granulocytic leukemia | | (1) | |
| LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA #MANDIBULAR L. NODE | (28) 1 (4%) (30) | (1) (33) 1 (3%) | |

یے اور برد بروجور اندا ہو، خبر طر مند من حد کہ کہ بندارہ خبر اندا ست

NONE

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A4. FEMALE RATS (TREATED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

| (24) 1 (4 %) | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (30) 1 (3%) | (33) | |
| | | |
| (29) 4 (14%) | (32) | |
| | | |
| (30) | (33) | (34) |
| 7 (22%) | | |
| 8 (27%) | 4 (12%) | |
| (30) | (32) | |
| 2 (7%) | 7 (22%) | |
| 2 (7%) 4 (13%) | 1 / 342 \ | |
| (30) | | |
| 1 (3%) | | |
| (30) | (32) | |
| | 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | |
| | (29) 4 (14%) (30) 7 (23%) 8 (27%) (30) 2 (7%) 2 (7%) 4 (13%) (30) 1 (3%) | $ \begin{array}{c} (29) \\ 4 \\ (14\%) \end{array} (32) \\ (30) \\ 7 \\ (23\%) \\ 8 \\ (27\%) \\ 4 \\ (12\%) \\ (30) \\ 2 \\ (7\%) \\ 4 \\ (12\%) \\ (30) \\ (32) \\ 2 \\ (7\%) \\ 4 \\ (13\%) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (30) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (30) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (30) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (30) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (31) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (33) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (33) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (35) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (35) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (35) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (35) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (35) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (35) \\ (32) \\ 1 \\ (3\%) \\ (35) \\ ($ |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

. .

| TABLE A4. FEMALE RATS (TREATED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED) |
|---|
| |

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | |
| *EAR CANAL | (30) | (33) | (34) |
| SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA | 2 (7%) | 1 (3%) | |
| NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | |
| *ABDOMINAL CAVITY ADENOCARCINONA, NOS, METASTATIC | (30) 1 (3%) | (33) 1 (3%) | (34) |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | |
| *MULTIPLE ORGANS | (30) | (33) | (34) |
| ADENOCARCINONA, NOS ADENOCARCINONA, NOS, METASTATIC | | 1 (3%) 4 (12%) | |
| ANIMAL DISFOSITION SUMMARY | | | |
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 31 | 35 | 35 |
| NATURAL DEATHO | 9 9 | 10 | 15 |
| MORIBUND SACRIFICE Scheduled sacrifice | У | 22 | 20 |
| ACCIDENTALLY KILLED | | | |
| TERMINAL SACRIFICE Animal Missing | 13 | 3 | |
| @ INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS | | | |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A4. FEMALE RATS (TREATED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | | | |
| TUNOR SUMMARY | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUNORS* | 26 | 26 | |
| TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS | 39 | 43 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS | 14 | 9 | |
| TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS | 18 | 11 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS | 5 17 | 22 | |
| TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS | 21 | 32 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS | # 3 | 5 | |
| TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS | 3 | 5 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN | i - | | |
| BENIGN OR MALIGNANT | | | |
| TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN | I - | | |
| PRIMARY OR METASTATIC | | | |
| TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | | |
| * PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT S | ECONDARY TUM | ORS | |
| # SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS | OR TUMORS I | NVASIVE INTO AN A | DJACENT ORGAN |

* SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

.

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA

TABLE B1.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE **GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA**

| | | UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|--------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 15 | 15 | a 34 | 35 |
| NNIMALS NECROPSIED | 14 | 15 | 30 | 34 |
| ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 14 | 15 | 30 | 33 |
| NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| *SKIN | (14) | (15) | (30) | (34) |
| CARCINCMA, NOS | | | 1 (3%) | |
| SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA BASAL-CELL CARCINOMA | 1 (7%) | | 7 (23%) | |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE | (14) | (15) | (30) | (34) |
| SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA SARCOMA, NOS | | | 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | |
| RESPIRATOFY SYSTEM | | | | |
| #LUNG | (14) | (15) | (30) | |
| SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma | 1 (7%) | 4 (27%) | 1 (3%) 5 (17%) | 1 (3%) |
| EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | | |
| *MULTIPLE ORGANS | (14) | (15) | (30) | (34) |
| MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS | | | | 2 (6%) |
| MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE | | | 1 (3%) | 14 (41% |
| MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE | | | 1 (3%) | |
| LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA | 1 (7%) | | | 10 (29%) |
| GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA | | | 1 (3%) | |
| #MESENTEKIC L. NODE | (2) | (5) | (1) | (15) |
| MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS | | 1 (20%) | | |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| #MYOCARDIUM HEMANGIOMA | (14) | (15) | (30) 1 (3%) | (32) |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

@NOTE: 35 ANIMALS WERE INITIALLY IN STUDY BUT ONE WAS FOUND TO BE A FEMALE IN A MALE GROUP AND 'WAS DELETED.

TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

| | VEHICLE CONTROL | UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|--|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| #LIVER HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA | (14) 1 (7%) 1 (7%) | (15) 2 (13%) | (30) 3 (10%) 1 (3%) | (33) |
| URINARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| BNDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| *PREPUTIAL GLAND SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA | (14) | (15) | (30) 6 (20%) | (34) 1 (3 % |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | | |
| *EAR CANAL SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA | (14) | | (30) 1 (3%) 2 (7%) | (34) |
| NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | | |
| NON E | | | | |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | | |
| NON 8 | | | | |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | | |
| NONE | منه بله منه بين 40 من منه 14 من منه 15 من الله من 15 من الله المراقع الله المراقع الله | | **** | |

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

| | | UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE | |
|---|---------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY | | | | |
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATH@ Moribund Sacrifice Scheduled Sacrifice | 15 7 | 15 2 | 35 13 6 | 35 13 22 |
| ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING ANIMAL DELETED (WRONG SEX) | 1 7 | 13 | 15 1 | |
| INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS | | | | |
| UMOR SUMMARY | | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH FRIMARY TUNORS* Total primary tumors | 4 5 | 6 7 | 19 32 | 27 27 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS | 2 2 | 5 6 | 8 10 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS | 3 3 | 1 1 | 18 22 | 27 27 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS | # | | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | - | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN FRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | * | | | |
| PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT S SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS | | | N. N. C. C. M. C. C. M. | |

TABLE B2.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE **GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA**

| | CONTROL | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | |
|--|----------|----------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NIMALS MISSING | 15 | 15 | 35 1 | 35 2 |
| NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 15 15 | 15 15 | 30 30 | 32 32 |
| NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| *SKIN SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA | | (15) | (30) 1 (3%) | (32) |
| ESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| <pre>#LUNG ADENOCARCINOMA, NGS, METASTATIC ALVEOLAR/BRCNCHIOLAR ADENOMA</pre> | (15) | (15) | (29) 1 (3%) 5 (17%) | (32) |
| ENATOPOLETIC SYSTEM | | | | |
| <pre>*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS</pre> | (15) | (15) | (30) | 1 (35) |
| MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPF LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA | | 1 (7%) | | 19 (59) 12 (38) |
| IRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| NO N E | | | | |
| IGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| | | (15) | 2 1751 | (32) |
| BRINARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| NON 2 | | | | |

| | | UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|-------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | | |
| #ADRENAL CORTICAL CARCINOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA | (15) | | (28) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) | (32) |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| *MAMMARY GLAND ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS | (15) | (15) | (30) 1 (3 %) | (32) |
| #OVARY CARCINOMA, NOS | (15) | (15) | (29) 3 (10%) | (31) |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | | |
| *EAR CANAL SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA | (15) | (15) | 1 (3%) | (32) |
| IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |

TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

| | CONTROL | UNTREATED CONTROL | | |
|--|--------------|----------------------|----------|----------|
| NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY | | | | |
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 15 | 15 | 35 | 35 |
| NATURAL DEATH@ | 2 | 1 | 11 | 16 |
| MORIBUND SACRIFICE | 1 | | 4 | 17 |
| SCHEDULED SACRIFICE | | | | |
| ACCIDENTALLY KILLED | | | 2 | |
| TERMINAL SACFIFICE | 12 | 14 | 17 | |
| ANIMAL MISSING | | | 1 | 2 |
| INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS | | | | |
| UNOR SUMMARY | | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH FRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS | | 1 1 | 17 20 | 32 32 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUNORS TOTAL BENIGN TUNORS | | | 10 10 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUBCRS | | 1 | 9 | 32 |
| TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS | | ` 1 | 10 | 32 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS | * | | 1 | |
| TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS | - | | 1 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN | - | | | |
| BENIGN OR MALIGNANT Total Uncertain Tumors | | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUNORS UNCERTAIN | - | | | |
| FRIMARY OR METASTATIC Total Uncertain Tumors | | | | |
| PRINARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS BACEPT S | ECONDARY TUM | ORS | | |

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA

.

TABLE C1.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS **GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA (CONTROL GROUPS)**

| | MID AND HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | MID AND HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL | LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICAL | 10 Ly 10 | 9 9 | 10 10 | 10 10 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| *SKIN ULCER, CHRONIC | | •(9) | (10) | (10) 1 (10%) |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| #TRACHEA | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE/CHRONIC | 1 (10%) | | 1 (10%) | |
| INPLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURAT | IV | 1 (11%) | | 1 (10%) |
| <pre>\$LUNG/BRONCHUS BRONCHIECTASIS</pre> | (10) | (9) 1 (11%) | (10) | (10) |
| <pre>\$LUNG/BRONCHIOLE HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID</pre> | (10) 1 (10%) | (9) | (10) 1 (10 %) | (10) |
| #LUNG BRONCHOPNEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE | (10) | (9) | (10) 1 (10%) | (10) |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | | |
| <pre>#BONE MARROW ATROPHY, NOS</pre> | (10) 2 (20%) | (9) 4 (44%) | (10) 5 (50%) | (10) 7 (70%) |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| NON E | | | | ************* |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| #LIVER HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR | (10) | (9) 1 (11 5) | (10) | (10) |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | MID AND HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | MID AND HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL | LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| *BILE DUCT HYPERPLASIA, NOS | (10) 1 (10%) | (9) | (10) | (10) |
| JRINARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| <pre>\$KIDNEY INPLAUMATION, INTERSTITIAL INPLAUMATION, SUPPURATIVE</pre> | (10) 6 (60%) 1 (10%) | (9) | (10) 4 (40%) | (10) |
| INPLAMMATION, CHRONIC | | 6 (67 %) | | 8 (80%) |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| *MAMMARY GLAND CYST, NOS | (10) | (9) | (10) | (10) 1 (10%) |
| *PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATI | (10) V | (9) 1 (11%) | (10) | (10) 1 (10%) |
| <pre>#TESTIS ATROPHY, NOS</pre> | (9) | (9) 1 (11%) | (10) | (10) |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |

TABLE C1. MALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C1. MALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

| | MID AND HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | MID AND HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL | LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY | | | | |
| NO LESION REPORTED No necropsy performed | | 2 1 | 2 | |
| * NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE F * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED | EXAMINED MICROSCOPI | CALLY | | |

TABLE C2.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA (TREATED GROUPS)

| | LOW DO | SE | MID D | OSE | HIGH DOSI |
|--|----------|--------|----------|-------|-----------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 39 | | 35 | | 35 |
| ANIMALS NECROPSIED Animals Examined Histopathologically | 37 37 | | 30 29 | | 32 0 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | | | |
| *SKIN | (37) | | (30) | | (32) |
| EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST | 2 | (5%) | | | |
| DERNAL INCLUSION CYST | - | | 1 | (3%) | |
| INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE | | (3%) | | | |
| ABSCESS, CHRONIC | | (3%) | | | |
| HYPERKERATOSIS KERATIN-PEARL FORMATION | | (3%) | 1 | (3%) | |
| ASKAIIN-PEAKL FORMATION | · | (3%) | | (38) | |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | | | |
| #TR ACH EA | (37) | | (28) | | |
| INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC | 2 | (5%) | | | |
| #LUNG/BRONCHIOLE | (36) | | (29) | | |
| HYPERPLASIA, PLASMA CELL | | | 2 | (7%) | |
| #LUNG | (36) | | (29) | | |
| HEMORRHAGE | | (3%) | · / | | |
| INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE | 1 | (3%) | | | |
| BRONCHOPNEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE | | (6%) | | (34%) | |
| ERONCHOPNEUMONIA CHRONIC SUPPURA | . 3 | (8%) | | (17%) | |
| ABSCESS, CHRONIC | | | | (3%) | |
| METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS | 1 | (3%) | | (7%) | |
| HYPERPLASIA, PLASMA CELL | | | | (3%) | |
| HEMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM | | | | | |
| BONE MARROW | (35) | (4 9%) | (29) | | |
| ATROPHY, NOS | 17 | (4 9%) | 10 | (34%) | |
| #SPLEEN INFLAMMATION, NECROTIZING | (37) | (3%) | (28) | | |

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| ATROPHY, NOS | 1 (3%) | | |
| ANGIECTASIS | 1 (3%) | | |
| HEMATOPOIESIS | 4 (11%) | 1 (4%) | |
| #MANDIBULAR L. NODE | (31) | (6) | |
| CONGESTION, NOS | 1 (3%) | | |
| HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL | 1 (3%) | | |
| #MESENTERIC L. NODE | (31) | (6) | |
| CONGESTION, NOS | 1 (3%) | | |
| INFLAMMATION, GRANULOMATOUS | 1 (3%) | | |
| CYTOMEGALY | 1 (3%) | | |
| IRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| #MYOCARDIUM | (37) | (28) | |
| INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL | 1 (3%) | | |
| CALCIFICATION, METASTATIC | 1 (3%) | | |
| IGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| #LIVER | (37) | (29) | |
| HEMORRHAGE | 1 (3%) | | |
| ANGIECTASIS | | 1 (3%) | |
| #PANCREAS | (37) | (29) | |
| NECROSIS, FAT | 1 (3%) | | |
| #ESOPHAGUS | (36) | (27) | |
| ULCER, NOS | 1 (3%) | | |
| INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE | 1 (3%) | | |
| #GASTRIC NUCOSA | (37) | (29) | |
| CALCIFICATION, MEIASTATIC | 1 (3%) | (- ·) | |
| #COLON | (36) | (29) | |
| HEMORRHAGE | 1 (3%) | | |
| #CECUM | (36) | (29) | |
| THROMBOSIS, NOS | | 1 (3%) | |
| JRINARY SYSTEM | | | |
| #KIDNEY | (37) | | |
| CALCULUS, NOS | | 1 (3%) | |

TABLE C2. MALE RATS (TREATED GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC CALCIPICATION, METASTATIC | 26 (70%) 1 (3%) | 7 (24%) | |
| NDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | |
| #ADRENAL ANGIECTASIS | (37) 1 (3%) | (29) | |
| BPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| CTESTIS ATROPHY, NOS | .(36) 15 (42%) | (27) | |
| IRRVOUS SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | |
| *BYE HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE | (37) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | (30) | (32) |
| *EYE/CORNEA ULCER, CHRONIC | (37) 1 (3%) | (30) | (32) |
| *BYE/CONJUNCTIVA INFLAMMATION, CHBONIC SUPPURATIV | (37) | (30) 1 (3 %) | (32) |
| *HIDDLE EAR INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV | (37) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | (30) | (32) |
| IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | |
| *PERITONEUM | (37) | (30) | (32) |

TABLE C2. MALE RATS (TREATED GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED NICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| TABLE C2. MALE RATS (TREATED | GROUPS): NONNEOPLAS | TIC LESIONS (CONTINUED) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|-----------------|----------|-----------|
| *PLEURA INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE | (37) 1 (3%) | (30) | (32) |
| *MESENTERY NECROSIS, FAT | (37) 1 (3%) | (30) | (32) |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | |
| ADIPOSE TISSUE INFLAMMATION, GRANULOMATOUS | 1 | | |
| SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY | | | |
| NO LESION REFORTED Necropsy perf/no histo performed No necropsy performed | 1 | 1 1 | 31 |
| AUTO/NECROPSY/NO HISTO AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY | 1 | 5 | 1 3 |
| NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMI NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED | INED MICROSCOPI | CALLY | |

TABLE C3.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS **GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA (CONTROL GROUPS)**

| | MID AND HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | CONTROL | CONTROL | LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL |
|------------------------------------|---|---------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 10 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| ANIMALS NECROPSIED | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICAL | LY 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| #TRACHEA | (10) | (10) | (10) | (10) |
| INFLAMMATION, NOS | 1 (10%) | () | () | () |
| INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC | | | | 1 (10%) |
| #LUNG | (10) | (10) | (10) | (10) |
| PNEUMONIA, LIPID | | 1 (10%) | | •••• |
| PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC | | 1 (10%) | | |
| BRONCHOPNEUMONIA CHRONIC SUPPU | R A | 1 (10%) | | |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | | |
| #BONE MARROW | (10) | (10) | (10) | (9) |
| ATROPHY, NOS | 4 (40%) | 7 (70%) | (10) 7 (70%) | 7 (78%) |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| #LIVER/PERIPORTAL | (10) | (10) | (10) | (10) |
| FIBROSIS | 1 (10%) | | | |
| #PANCREATIC ACINUS | (10) | (10) | (10) | (10) |
| ATROPHY, NOS | 1 (10%) | | | |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | MID AND HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | MID AND HIGH DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL | LOW DOSE VEHICLE CONTROL |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| URINARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| #KIDNEY | (10) | (10) | (10) | (10) |
| INFLAMMATICN, INTERSTITIAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC GLOMERULONEPHRITIS, CHRONIC | 1 (10%) | 3 (30%) | 1 (10%) | 1 (10% |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | | |
| #ADRENAL ANGIECTASIS | (10) 2 (20%) | (10) 1 (10%) | (10) 1 (10%) | (10) 3 (30%) |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| *MAMMARY GLAND | (10) | (10) | (10) | (10) |
| CYST, NOS HEMORRHAGIC CYST | 2 (20%) | 1 (10%) 1 (10%) | 5 (50%) | 1 (10%) |
| #UTERUS INFLANMATION, SUPPURATIVE | (10) | (10) | (10) 1 (10%) | (10) |
| <pre>#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE</pre> | (10) 5 (50%) | (10) 1 (10%) | (10) | (10) |
| #OVARY | (10) | (10) | (9) | (10) |
| CYST, NOS INFLAMMATICN, HEMORRHAGIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV | 1 | 1 (10%) 1 (10%) 1 (10%) | | 6 (60%) |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM | | | | |
| HYDROCEPHALUS, NCS | (10) | | 1 (10%) | (9) |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |

TABLE C3. FEMALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED NICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSISD

| TABLE C3. FEMALE RATS | (CONTROL GRO | UPS): NONNEOPL | ASTIC LESIONS | (CONTINUED) |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| | | | | |

| | MID AND HIGH DOSE UNTREATED CONTROL | | DOSE VEHICLE | VEHICLE |
|--|---|--------|--------------|-----------------|
| BODY CAVITIES | | | | |
| *BESENTERY STEATITIS | (10) | (10) | (10) | (10) 1 (10%) |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | | |
| ADIPOSE TISSUE INFLAMMATION, FOCAL GRANUL | ONATOU | 1 | | |
| SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY | | | | |
| NO LESION REPORTED | 1 | | 2 | |
| NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSU NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED | | ICALLY | | |

TABLE C4.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA (TREATED GROUPS)

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOS |
|---|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 31 | 35 | 35 |
| NIMALS NECROPSIED | 30 | 33 | 34 |
| ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 30 | 33 | 0 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | |
| *SKIN | (30) | (33) | (34) |
| INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE | | 1 (3%) | |
| HYPERKERATOSIS | | 1 (3%) | |
| PARAKERATOSIS | | 1 (3%) | |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| #TRACHEA | (30) | (33) | |
| INFLAMMATICN, NOS | | 2 (6%) | |
| LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC | 3 (10%) | 1 (3%) | |
| INFLAMATION, CARONIC | 5 (10%) | | |
| #LUNG/BRONCHIOLE | (30) | (33) | |
| METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS | | 1 (3%) | |
| #LUNG | (30) | (33) | |
| EDENA, NOS | 1 (3%) | | |
| HEMORRHAGE BRONCHOPNEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE | 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | 4 (12%) | |
| ABSCESS, NOS | 1 (24) | 2 (6%) | |
| BRONCHOPNEUMONIA CHRONIC SUPPURA | 1 (3%) | 4 (12%) | |
| INFLAMMATION, GRANULOMATOUS | 3 (10%) | · · | |
| CYTONEGALY | 2 (7%) | | |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | |
| #BONB MARROW | (29) | (33) | |
| ATROPHY, NOS | 13 (45%) | 8 (24%) | |
| #SPLEEN HEMORRHAGE | (30) 1 (3 %) | (33) | |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY • NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | |
|--|--|-------------------------|------|
| ANGIECTASIS | 1 (3%) | | |
| HENATOPOIESIS | 5 (17%) | 7 (21%) | |
| #MESENTERIC L. NODE CONGESTIOL, NOS | (28) 2 (7%) | (1) | |
| IRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| IGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| <pre>\$LIVER HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC NECROTIZIN INFLAMMATION, GRANULOMATOUS INFLAMMATION, NECRO GRAN NECROSIS, FOCAL CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION HEPATOCYTONEGALY HEMATOPOIESIS</pre> | (30) 2 (7%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 2 (7%) 1 (3%) | (33) | |
| <pre>#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR NECROSIS, NOS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE</pre> | (30) 1 (3%) | (33) 1 (3%) | |
| #PANCREAS ATROPHY, NOS | (30) | (33) 1 (3%) | |
| COLON ULCER, NOS | (29) 1 (3%) | (30) | |
| *RECTUN HEMATONA, NOS | (30) | (33) 1 (3 %) | (34) |
| RINARY SYSTEM | | | |
| <pre>#KIDNEY HYDRONEPHROSIS INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL</pre> | (30) 1 (3%) | (33) 1 (3%) | |
| GLOMERULONEPHRITIS, MEMBRANOUS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC | 10 (33%) | 1 (3%) | |

TABLE C4. FEMALE RATS (TREATED GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | LOW DOSE | MID DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|--|---------------------------|-----------|
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | |
| <pre>#ADRENAL ANGIECTASIS</pre> | (30) 11 (37%) | (33) 4 (12%) | |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| *MAMMARY GLAND CYST, NOS INFLAMMATION, VESICULAR | (30) 13 (43%) | (33) 3 (9%) 1 (3%) | (34) |
| *VAGINA EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV | (30) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | (33) | (34) |
| <pre>#UTERUS HEMORRHAGE ANGIECTASIS NETAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS</pre> | (30) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | (32) | |
| #UTERUS/ENDOMPTRIUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE ULCER, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC | (30) 4 (13%) 1 (3%) 6 (20%) 2 (7%) | (32) 4 (13%) 2 (6%) | |
| OVARY CYST, NOS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV | (30) 14 (47%) 1 (3%) | (32) 1 (3%) | |
| NBRVOUS SYSTEM | | | |
| NON E | | | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | |
| *EAR CANAL KERATIN-PEARL FORMATION | (30) | (33) 1 (3%) | (34) |
| NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | |

TABLE C4. FEMALE RATS (TREATED GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

* NUMBER CF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C4. FEMALE RATS (TREATED GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

MID DOSE HIGH DOSE LOW DOSE BODY CAVITIES NONE ALL OTHER SYSTEMS NONE SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY NO LESION REPORTED NECROFSY PERF/NO HISTO PERFORMED AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY 1 1 34 2 1 PD1 I 2 I ------* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED
APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA

r

TABLE D1.

| | VEHICLE CONTROL | UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 15 14 | 15 15 15 | a 34 30 30 | 35 34 33 |
| ANIMALS EXAMINED RESTORATIOLOGICALLY | | 15 | | |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| *SKIN EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST | (14) | (15) | (30) 1 (3%) | (34) |
| INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV | 1 (7%) | | 1 (3%) | |
| *SUECUT TISSUE EDEMA, NOS | (14) | (15) | (30) | (34) 2 (6%) |
| INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS | | 1 (7%) | 1 (3%) | 2 (08) |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| #LUNG | (14) | (15) | (30) | (33) |
| INFLAMMATICN, INTERSTITIAL BRONCHOPMEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE PERIARTERITIS | | 1 (7%) | 1 (3%) | 1 (3%) |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | | |
| BONE MARROW | (13) | (14) | (29) | (32) |
| ATROPHY, NOS Hyperplasia, granulocytic | | | 1 (3%) | 4 (13%) |
| #SPLEEN | (14) | (15) | (30) | (31) |
| HYPERPLASIA, GRANULOCYTIC HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID | 4 175 | 0 14 77 | 2 (7%) | 1 (3%) |
| HEMATOPOIESIS | 1 (7%) | 2 (13%) | 18 (60%) | 10 (32%) |
| <pre>#MESENTERIC L. NODE CONGESTION, NOS</pre> | (2) | (5) 4 (80%) | (1) | (15) |
| HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID | 1 (50%) | | | |

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE **GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA**

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

WAS DELETED.

| | VEHICLE CONTROL | UNTREÀTED CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| <pre>#MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC</pre> | (14) | (15) | (30) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | (32) |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| <pre>\$LIVER MINERALIZATION CONGESTION, NOS NECROSIS, NOS</pre> | (14) | (15) 1 (7%) | (30) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | (33) |
| NECROSIS, FOCAL Hyperplasia, Nodular | | 3 (20%) | 1 (3%) | 1 (3%) |
| <pre>#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR NECROSIS, NOS</pre> | (14) | (15) | (30) 1 (3%) | (3 ?) |
| <pre>#LIVER/PEFIPORTAL FIBROSIS</pre> | (14) | (15) | (30) 1 (3 %) | (33) |
| URINARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| <pre>#KIDNEY HYDRONEPHROSIS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE PYELONEPHRITIS SUPPURATIVE</pre> | (14) 1 (7%) | (15) | (30) 1 (3%) | (33) 1 (3 %) |
| INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID | 1 (774) | | 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | |
| <pre>#URINARY BLADDER INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE/CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV</pre> | (14) 1 (7%) 1 (7%) | (15) | (30) | (33) |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | | |
| <pre>#ADRENAL ANGIECTASIS</pre> | (14) | (15) | (30) 1 (3%) | (33) |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| <pre>#PROSTATEINFLAMMATIONSUPPURATIVE</pre> | (14) | (15) | (30) 2(7 %) | (33) <u>1 (3%)</u> |

TABLE D1. MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | UNTREATED CONTROL | | |
|---|---------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| ABSCESS, NOS | | | 1 (3%) | |
| TESTIS Atrophy, Nos | (14) | (15) | (30) | (33) 1 (3 % |
| <pre>#TUNICA ALBUGINEA NINERALIZATION</pre> | (14) | (15) | (30) 1 (3%) | (33) |
| IBRVOUS SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | | |
| KERATIN-PEARL FORMATION | | (15) | 1 (3%) | (34) |
| NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | | |
| HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID | | (15) | 1 (3%) | |
| SPECIAL NORPHOLOGY SUMMARY | | | | |
| NO LESION REPORTED | 7 | 5 | 6 | 1 |
| NECROPSY PERF/NO HISTO PERFORMED Autolysis/No Necropsy | 1 | | 4 | 1 |

TABLE D1. MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH HISSEE

TABLE D2.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE **GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA**

| | VEHICLE CONTROL | UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NIMALS MISSING | 15 | 15 | 35 1 | 35 2 |
| NIMALS NECROPSIED NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 15 15 | 15 15 | 30 30 | 32 32 |
| NTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM | | • | | |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE EDEMA, NOS | | (15) | | (32) 5 (16 |
| BSPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | | |
| #LUNG/BRONCHIOLE HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID | (15) 1 (7%) | (15) 1 (7%) | (29) 1 (3%) | (32) |
| <pre>#LUNG INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM</pre> | (15) | (15) | (29) 2 (7%) 1 (3%) | (32) |
| BNATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | | |
| BONE MARROW Atrophy, Nos | (15) 1 (7%) | (15) | (29) 4 (14 %) | (32) |
| <pre>\$</pre> | (15) | (15) | (30) 1 (3%) | (32) |
| HEMATOPOIESIS | 3 (20%) | | 5 (17%) | 2 (6% |
| #MESENTERIC L. NODE HYPERPLASIA, GRANULOCYTIC | (2) 1 (50%) | (1) | (4) | (24) |
| HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (50%) | | 1 (25%) 1 (25%) | |
| <pre>#INGUINAL LYMPH NODE CONGESTION, NOS ATROPHY, NOS</pre> | (2) 1 (50%) 1 (50%) | (1) | (4) | (24) |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | ------- - | |
| #MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL | (15) | (15) | (29) 1 (3 %) | (32) |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | VEHICLE | UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| <pre>#LIVER FIBROSIS, DIFFUSE NECROSIS, FOCAL INFARCT, NOS HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR ANGIECTASIS</pre> | (15) | (15) 1 (7%) 1 (7%) | (30) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | (32) |
| HEMATOPOIESIS | | | 1 (3%) | |
| <pre>#PANCREAS ATROPHY, NOS</pre> | (15) 1 (7%) | (15) | (28) | (32) |
| JRINARY SYSTEM | | | | |
| <pre>#KIDNEY HYDRONEPHROSIS INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL</pre> | (15) 1 (7%) 1 (7%) | (15) | (30) | (32) |
| AMYLOIDOSIS Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (7%) | | 1 (3%) | |
| #URINARY BLADDER INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV | | (15) | (29) | (32) |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| BPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | | |
| #UTERUS EDEMA, NOS | (15) 1 (7%) | (15) | (29) | (31) |
| #UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV | (15) | (15) 1 (7%) | (29) | (31) |
| HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC | 10 (67%) | 10 (67%) | | |
| #OVARY CYST, NOS Hemorrhage Atrophy, Nos | (15) | (15) | (29) 2 (7%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) | (31) 1 (3) |

TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

NONE

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

| | VEHICLE CONTROL | UNTREATED CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | | |
| *EAR CANAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV | (15) | (15) | (30) 1 (3%) | (32) |
| NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | * * * * * * * * * * * * * |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | | |
| | (15) 1 (7%) | (15) | (30) | (32) |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | | |
| <pre>*MULTIPLE ORGANS PERIARTERITIS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID</pre> | (15) | (15) 1 (7 %) | (30) 1 (3 %) | (32) |
| SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY | | | | |
| NO LESION REPORTED ANIMAL MISSING/NO NECROPSY AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERF | 4 | 3 | 6 1 1 | 2 |
| AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY | | | 4 | 1 |

APPENDIX E

.

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA

• .

| Topography: Morphology | Pooled Control | Low-Dose Vehicle Control | Low |
|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------|
| ropography. Morphorogy | CONCLUT | CONTEIDI | Dose |
| Skin: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 5/37 (14) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.045** |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.044 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| opper binte | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.381 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| | | | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | خلته نورو معرف می مربق است را است و مربق میران می از مان است و بین است است و است است است است است است است است ا | 48 8 | 53 |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, NUS ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 5/37 (14) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.045 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.044 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| | | | |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.381 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| | | | |
| Jeeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 61 |

| | | Low-Dose | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: | | | |
| Sarcoma or Fibrosarcoma ^b | 1/30 (3) | 0/10 (0) | 6/37 (16) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | 4.865 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.642 |
| Upper Limit | | | 216.767 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.484 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 61 |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 2/37 (5) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.244 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.088 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 74 |

| (continued) | | Low-Dose | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: | | | |
| Granulocytic Leukemia ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 2/37 (5) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.244 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.088 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 55 |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma | | | |
| or Lymphocytic Leukemia ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 4/37 (11) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.767 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.279 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 37 |

| | | Low-Dose | |
|--|-----------|----------|-------------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: <u>Morphology</u> | Control | Control | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: | | | |
| Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 6/37 (16) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.023 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.325 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.484 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 37 |
| Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma ^b | 7/26 (27) | 3/9 (33) | 1/28 (4) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.038*(N) |
| | | | P = 0.019 * * (N) |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | 0.133 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.003 |
| Upper Limit | | | 0.931 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | 0.107 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.002 |
| Upper Limit | | | 1.186 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 82 | 78 |

| (continued) | | Low-Dose | |
|---|----------|----------------|-------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | <u>Control</u> | Dose |
| Ear Canal: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/37 (8) |
| P Values ^c ,d | | | N.S. |
| | | | · · . |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.497 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.181 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 74 |
| Skin and Ear Canal: | | | |
| Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 7/37 (19) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.011** |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.608 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.587 |
| DAAAF DYWYA | | | Infinite |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |

| | | Low-Dose | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose | |
| Mesentery: Sarcoma, NOS ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 2/37 (5) | |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.244 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.088 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 66 | |

^aTreated group received dose of 0.7 mg/kg.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

^cBeneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooled-control group (**) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

 d A negative value (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

^eThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the specified control group.

| Topography: Morphology | Pooled Control | Mid-Dose Vehicle Control | Mid Dose |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Skin: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/30 (10) |
| • | | | |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.614 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.223 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 44 |
| Hematopoietic System: | | | |
| Lymphocytic Leukemia ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 5/30 (17) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.026** |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.291 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.471 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 40 |

| (continued) | | | |
|---|----------|----------|---------------|
| | 01-1 | Mid-Dose | <i>)(4)</i> |
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Mid |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: All Leukemia ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 6/30 (20) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.012 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.638 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.598 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Ubserved Tumor | | | 40 |
| Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma ^b | 2/29 (7) | 0/10 (0) | 1/24 (4) |
| P Valuesc,d | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | 0.604 |
| Lowe Limit | | | 0.011 |
| Upper Limit | | | 10.830 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.024 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 54 |

| | | Mid-Dose | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Mid |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Skin and Ear Canal: | | | |
| Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/30 (10) |
| P Values ^c ,d | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.614 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.223 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 44 |

^aTreated group received dose of 1.4 mg/kg.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

^CBeneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooled-control group (**) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

 d_A negative value (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

^eThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the specified control group.

| | | Low-Dose | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, NOS, or Fibrosarcoma ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 2/30 (7) |
| P Values ^c ,d | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.301 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.109 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 79 |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b | 1/30 (3) | 0/10 (0) | 2/30 (7) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | 2.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.110 |
| Upper Limit | | | 113.910 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.109 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| | | | |

| (continued) | | Low-Dose | _ |
|---|----------------|----------|-----------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose |
| Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma ^b | 14/28 (50) | 1/8 (13) | 4/29 (14) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.004 * (N) |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | 0.276 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.078 |
| Upper Limit | | | 0.752 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | 1.103 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.142 |
| Upper Limit | | | 52.317 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 82 | 75 |
| Ear Canal: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 2/30 (7) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.301 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.109 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 74 |

| (continued) | | Low-Dose | |
|---|----------|----------|-------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Topography inorphorogy | | Joneroz | <u></u> |
| Brain: Olfactory Neuroblastoma ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 2/30 (7) |
| P Values ^c ,d | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.301 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.109 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 59 |
| Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma, NOS ^b | 1/30 (3) | 0/10 (0) | 7/30 (23) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.026** |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | 7.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.987 |
| Upper Limit | | | 302.176 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.726 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 37 |

| (continued) | | Low-Dose | |
|--|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: <u>Morphology</u> | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose |
| Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma ^b | 6/30 (20) | 2/10 (20) | 8/30 (27) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | 1,333 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.464 |
| Upper Limit | | | 4.085 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | 1.333 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.348 |
| Upper Limit | | | 11.664 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 82 | 66 |
| Uterus: Adenocarcinoma, NOS ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 2/30 (7) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.301 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.109 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | ~~ | | 70 |

| | | Low-Dose | |
|--|----------------|----------|-----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose |
| Uterus: Sarcoma, NOS ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 2/30 (7) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.301 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.109 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 82 |
| Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp ^b | 1/30 (3) | 0/10 (0) | 4/30 (13) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | 4.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.428 |
| Upper Limit | | | 189.625 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.345 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 63 |

(continued)

^aTreated group received dose of 0.7 mg/kg.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

^cBeneath the incidence of tumors in a treated groups is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooled-control group (**) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

 d A negative value (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

^eThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the specified control group.

| | | Mid-Dose | |
|--|----------|--|---|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Mid |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Skin: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^b | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/33 (9) |
| P Vaiues ^c ,d | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.522 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.203 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 64 |
| | | ويجرب والمحالي البراجي المحاربين التحجيب التحقيقات المحالي المحالين فتراك المحالي والتركي المحاد | |
| | | | |
| | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/33 (9) |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/33 (9) N.S. |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b P Values ^c , ^d | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b P Values ^{c,d} Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | N.S. Infinite |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b P Values ^{c,d} Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e Lower Limit | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | N.S. |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b P Values ^{c,d} Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | N.S. Infinite 0.522 |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b P Values ^{c,d} Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | N.S. Infinite 0.522 |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b P Values ^{c,d} Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | N.S. Infinite 0.522 Infinite |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b P Values ^{c,d} Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e Lower Limit Upper Limit Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | N.S. Infinite 0.522 Infinite Infinite |

| (continued) | | Mid-Dose | |
|--|-----------|----------------|-------------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Mid |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | <u>Control</u> | Dose |
| Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma ^b | 8/28 (29) | 2/10 (20) | 0/32 (0) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.001 * * (N) |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 0.374 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 1.028 |
| Weeks to First Ubserved Tumor | | 87 | |
| Mammary Gland: Adenoma, NOS ^b | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/33 (9) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.522 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.203 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 43 |

| | Pooled | Mid-Dose Vehicle | Mid |
|---|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose |
| Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma, NOS ^b | 1/28 (4) | 1/10 (10) | 8/33 (24) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.025** |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | 6.788 |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.004 |
| Upper Limit | | | 289.508 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | 2.424 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.409 |
| Upper Limit | | | 103.495 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 40 |
| Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma ^b | 10/28 (36) | 5/10 (50) | 4/33 (12) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | | | P = 0.020*(N) |
| | | | P = 0.030 * * (N) |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | 0.339 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.088 |
| Upper Limit | | | 1.036 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | 0.242 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.071 |
| Upper Limit | | | 0.948 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | 56 |

| | | Mid-Dose | |
|---|----------|----------|--------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Mid |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Uterus: Adenocarcinoma, NOS ^b | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 7/32 (22) |
| P Values ^c ,d | | | P = 0.009** |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.745 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.680 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | ND 20 | 50 |
| Ear Canal: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^b | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 5/33 (15) |
| P Values ^c ,d | | | P == 0.040** |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.097 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.427 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 71 |

| (continued) | | Mid-Dose | |
|--|----------|----------|---------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Mid |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Skin and Ear Canal: | | | |
| Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^b | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 8/33 (24) |
| P Values ^c ,d | | | P = 0.005 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.991 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^e | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.776 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 64 |

^aTreated group received dose of 1.4 mg/kg.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

^CBeneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooled-control group (**) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

 ^{d}A negative value (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

^eThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the specified control group.

| Topography: Morphology | Pooled <u>Control</u> | Low-Dose Vehicle <u>Control</u> | Low Dose |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Skin: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^C | 0/29 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 5/33 (15) |
| P Valuesd,e | | | P = 0.036** |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f Lower Limit Upper Limit | | | Infinite 1.134 Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f Lower Limit Upper Limit | | | Infinite 0.427 Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 53 |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, NOS ^C | 0/29 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 5/33 (15) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | P = 0.036 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f Lower Limit Upper Limit | | | Infinite 1.134 Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f Lower Limit Upper Limit | | | Infinite 0.427 Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 61 |

| | | Low-Dose | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma | | | |
| or Fibrosarcoma ^C | 1/30 (3) | 0/10 (0) | 6/33 (18) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 5.455 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.722 |
| Upper Limit | | | 241.878 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinte |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.543 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 61 |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma ^C | 0/29 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 2/33 (6) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.265 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.099 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 74 |

| | | Low-Dose | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: | | | |
| Granulocytic Leukemia ^C | 0/29 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 2/34 (6) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.257 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.096 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 55 |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma or | | | |
| Lymphocytic Leukemia ^b | 0/29 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 4/34 (12) |
| P Valuesd,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.088 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.304 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 37 |

| | | Low-Dose | |
|--|-----------|----------|-------------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b | 0/29 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 6/34 (18) |
| P Valuesd,e | | | P = 0.020 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.397 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.527 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 37 |
| Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma ^C | 7/25 (28) | 3/9 (33) | 1/27 (4) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | P = 0.041 * (N) |
| | | | P = 0.019 * * (N) |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 0.132 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.003 |
| Upper Limit | | | 0.925 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | 0.111 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.003 |
| Upper Limit | | | 1.227 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 82 | 78 |

| | | Low-Dose | |
|--|----------|----------|-------------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Ear Canal: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^c | 0/29 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/33 (9) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.540 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.203 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 74 |
| Skin or Ear Canal: | | | |
| Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^C | 0/29 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 7/33 (21) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | P = 0.009 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.748 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f Lower Limit | | | 0.659 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f Lower Limit Upper Limit | | | 0.659 Infinite |

(continued)

| | | Low-Dose | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | <u>Control</u> | Dose |
| Mesentery: Sarcoma, NOS ^C | 0/29 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 2/33 (6) |
| P Values ^{d,e} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.265 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.099 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | - | 66 |

^aIreated group received dose of 0.7 mg/kg.

ⁿNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 37 weeks of the study.

^CNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 52 weeks of the study.

^dBeneath the incidence of tumors in a treated goup is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooled-control group (**) when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

^eA negative value (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

¹The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the control group.
| | | Mid-Dose | |
|---|----------------|----------|---------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Mid |
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: | | | |
| Lymphocytic Leukemia ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 5/16 (31) |
| P Values ^e ,f | | | P = 0.003 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control)8 | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 2.453 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control)8 | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.892 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 40 |
| Hematopoietic System: All Leukemia ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 6/16 (38) |
| P Values ^e ,f | | | P = 0.035* |
| | | | P = 0.001 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control)8 | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 3.123 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control)8 | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.135 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| | | | |

| Table E6. | Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors |
|-------------|--|
| in Mid-Dose | e Male Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA ^a |

(continued)

| (continued) | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Topography: Morphology | Pooled Control | Mid-Dose Vehicle Control | Mid Dose |
| Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenomad | 2/29 (7) | 0/10 (0) | 1/6 (17) |
| rituitary. Chromophobe Adenoma- | 2/29 (7) | 0/10 (0) | 1/0 (1/) |
| P Values ^{e,f} | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control)g | | | 2.417 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.042 |
| Upper Limit | | | 34.031 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^g | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.096 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 54 |
| Skin or Ear Canal: | | | |
| Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^c | 0/30 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/13 (23) |
| P Values ^e ,f | | | P = 0.023 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^g | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.435 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^g | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.521 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 44 |

(continued)

^aTreated group received dose of 1.4 mg/kg.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 40 weeks of the study.

^CNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 44 weeks of the study.

dNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 52 weeks of the study.

^eBeneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooled-control group (**) when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

 f_A negative value (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

gThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the control group.

| | | Low-Dose | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, NOS, | | | |
| or Fibrosarcoma ^C | 0/28 (0) | 0/9 (0) | 2/29 (7) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.292 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.103 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 79 |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia | | | |
| or Lymphoma ^C | 1/28 (4) | 0/9 (0) | 2/29 (7) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 1.931 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.107 |
| Upper Limit | | | 109.859 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.103 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| | | | |

| (continued) | | Low-Dose | |
|---|------------|----------|-------------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma ^C | 14/28 (50) | 1/8 (13) | 4/28 (14) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | P = 0.005 * * (N) |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 0.286 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.087 |
| Upper Limit | | | 0.777 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | 1.143 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.147 |
| Upper Limit | | | 54.086 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 82 | 75 |
| Ear Canal: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^C | 0/28 (0) | 0/9 (0) | 2/29 (7) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.292 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.103 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 74 |

| Table E7. | Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors |
|-------------|--|
| in Low-Dose | Female Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA ^a |

| | | Low-Dose | |
|---|----------------|----------|------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose |
| Brain: Olfactory Neuroblastoma ^c | 0/28 (0) | 0/9 (0) | 2/29 (7) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.292 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.103 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 59 |
| Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma, NOS ^b | 1/28 (4) | 0/9 (0) | 7/30 (23) |
| P Valuesd,e | | | P = 0.033* |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 6.533 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.926 |
| Upper Limit | | | 282.066 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.663 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 37 |

-

| (continued) | | Low-Dose | |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma ^C | 6/28 (21) | 2/9 (22) | 8/29 (28) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 1.287 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.452 |
| Upper Limit | | | 3.917 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | 1.241 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.335 |
| Upper Limit | | | 10.781 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 82 | 66 |
| Uterus: Adenocarcinoma, NOS ^C | 0/28 (0) | 0/9 (0) | 2/29 (7) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.292 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.103 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 70 |

133

1.5

| (continued) | | Low-Dose | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Uterus: Sarcoma, NOS ^C | 0/28 (0) | 0/9 (0) | 2/29 (7) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.292 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.103 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | |
| Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp ^C | 1/28 (4) | 0/9 (0) | 4/29 (14) |
| P Values ^d ,e | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 3.862 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.416 |
| Upper Limit | | | 182.833 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.326 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 63 |

(continued)

^aTreated group received dose of 0.7 mg/kg.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 37 weeks of the study.

^cNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 52 weeks of the study.

^dBeneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooled-control group (**) when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

^eA negative value (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

^fThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the control group.

| | Pooled | Mid-Dose Vehicle | Mid |
|--|----------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose |
| Skin: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^f | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/21 (14) |
| P Valuesg,h | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ⁱ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.824 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ⁱ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.320 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 64 |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphocytic | | | |
| Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/22 (14) |
| P Valuesg,h | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ⁱ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.786 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ⁱ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.305 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 25 |

| | | Mid-Dose | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Mid |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma ^f | 8/28 (29) | 2/10 (20) | 0/20 (0) |
| P Valuesg,h | | | P = 0.008 * * (N) |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ⁱ | | | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 0.583 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ¹ | | | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 1.609 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | |
| Mammary Gland: Adenoma ^d | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 3/22 (14) |
| P Values8, ^h | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ⁱ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.786 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ⁱ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0,305 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 43 |

| | | Mid-Dose | |
|---|------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Mid |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma, NOS ^C | 1/28 (4) | 1/10 (10) | 8/24 (33) |
| P Values8, ^h | | | P = 0.006** |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ⁱ | | | 9.333 |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.398 |
| Upper Limit | | | 388.857 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ⁱ | | | 3.333 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.567 |
| Upper Limit | | | 139.059 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | 40 |
| Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma ^f | 10/28 (36) | 5/10 (50) | 4/21 (19) |
| P Valuesg, ^h | | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ¹ | | | 0.533 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.141 |
| Upper Limit | | | 1.555 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ⁱ | | | 0.381 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.113 |
| Upper Limit | | | 1.434 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | 56 |

| Table E8. | Time-adjusted Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors |
|-------------|--|
| in Mid-Dose | Female Rats Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA ^a |

| | | Mid-Dose | |
|---|----------|----------|---------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Mid |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Uterus: Adenocarcinoma, NOS ^e | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 7/21 (33) |
| P Valuesg,h | | | P = 0.044* |
| | | | P = 0.001 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ⁱ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 2.684 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ⁱ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.044 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 50 |
| Ear Canal: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^f | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 5/21 (24) |
| Y Values ^{g,h} | | | P = 0.011 * * |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ⁱ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.737 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ⁱ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.676 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 71 |

(continued)

| | | Mid-Dose | |
|--|----------|----------|-------------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Mid |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose |
| Skin and Ear Canal: | | | |
| Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^f | 0/28 (0) | 0/10 (0) | 8/21 (38) |
| P Valuesg,h | | | P = 0.026* |
| | | | P < 0.001** |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ¹ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 3.164 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ¹ | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.230 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 64 |

^aTreated group received dose of 1.4 mg/kg.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 25 weeks of the study.

^CNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 40 weeks of the study.

^dNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 43 weeks of the study.

(continued)

141

^eNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 50 weeks of the study.

fNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 52 weeks of the study.

Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooled-control group (**) when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

^hA negative value (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

ⁱThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the control group.

·

APPENDIX F

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MICE GIVEN INTRAPERITONEAL INJECTIONS OF THIO-TEPA

| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| Copography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Skin: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^b | 0/28 (0) | 0/14 (0) | 7/30 (23) | 0/34 (0) |
| Y Values ^{c,d} | N.S. | N.S. | P = 0.007** | N.S. |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | P < 0.001 | P < 0.001 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.863 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.978 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 67 | |

t

145

Table Fl. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Given Intraperitoneal Injections of Thio-TEPA^a

| (continued) | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| <u>Topography: Morphology</u> | Control | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar | | | | |
| Adenoma ^b | 1/28 (4) | 1/14 (7) | 5/30 (17) | 0/33 (0) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | P = 0.007 | P = 0.043 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 4.667 | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.571 | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 212.161 | 15.686 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | Ē | | 2.333 | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.306 | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 106.152 | 7.858 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | 83 | |

| (continued) | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------------------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma or Lymphocytic Leukemia ^b | 1/28 (4) | 1/14 (7) | 2/30 (7) | 26/34 (76) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | P < 0.001 | P < 0.001 | N.S. | P < 0.001* P < 0.001** |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | P = 0.001 | P = 0.003 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 1.867 | 21.412 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.103 | 4.109 |
| Upper Limit | | | 106.333 | 770.826 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control)f | | | 0.933 | 10.706 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.055 | 2.187 |
| Upper Limit | | | 53.204 | 385.659 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 78 | 61 | 26 |

| Table Fl. | Analyses of the Incidence of Prima | ry Tumors in |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Male Mice | Given Intraperitoneal Injections of | Thio-TEPA ^a |

| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|--|----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: | | | | |
| Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b | 1/28 (4) | 1/14 (7) | 3/30 (10) | 26/34 (76) |
| P Valuesc,d | P < 0.001 | P < 0.001 | N.S. | P < 0.001* |
| | | | | P < 0.001* |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | P = 0.003 | P = 0.006 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 2.800 | 21.412 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.242 | 4.109 |
| Upper Limit | | | 141.729 | 770.721 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | 1.400 | 10.706 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.129 | 2.187 |
| Upper Limit | | | 70.914 | 385.659 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 78 | 61 | 26 |

| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|--|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Liver: Hepatocellular | | | | |
| Adenoma or Carcinoma ^b | 1/28 (4) | 1/14 (7) | 4/30 (13) | 0/33 (0) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | P = 0.023 | | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 3.733 | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.401 | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 177.009 | 15.686 |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | 1.867 | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.215 | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 88.558 | 7.858 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | 67 | |

| (continued) | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Ear Canal: Squamous-cell | | | | |
| Carcinoma ^b | 0/28 (0) | 0/14 (0) | 2/30 (7) | 0/34 (0) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | P = 0.040 | | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.282 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.147 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 83 | |

| (continued) | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----------|---------------|----------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Preputial Gland: Squamous-cell | | | | |
| Carcinoma ^b | 0/28 (0) | 0/14 (0) | 6/30 (20) | 1/34 (3) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | N.S. | N.S. | P = 0.014 * * | N.S. |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | P = 0.002 | P = 0.007 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.534 | 0.045 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.805 | 0.023 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 72 | 56 |

| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|--|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|----------|
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose | Dose |
| All Sites: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^b | 0/28 (0) | 0/14 (0) | 14/30 (47) | 1/34 (3) |
| P Valuesc,d | N.S. | N.S. | P < 0.001** P = 0.001* | N.S. |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | P < 0.001 | P < 0.001 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 4.211 | 0.045 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control)f | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 2.209 | 0.023 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 67 | 56 |

(continued)

^aTreated groups received doses of 1.15 or 2.3 mg/kg.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

^cBeneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooledcontrol group (**) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

^dA negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

^eThe probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

^fThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the specified control group.

| Table F | 2. | Analyse | es of | the | Incide | ence of | Primar | y Tumors in |
|----------|------|---------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|------------------------|
| Female M | fice | Given] | Intra | perit | oneal | Inject: | ions of | Thio-TEPA ^a |

| Topography: Morphology | Pooled <u>Control</u> | Vehicle <u>Control</u> | Low Dose | High Dose |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar | | | | |
| Adenoma ^b | 0/30 (0) | 0/15 (0) | 5/29 (17) | 0/32 (0) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | N.S. | N.S. | P = 0.024 * * | N.S. |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | $\mathbf{P} = 0.001$ | P = 0.005 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.336 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.698 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 86 | |

| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|--|----------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphocyt | ic | | | |
| Leukemia or Lymphoma ^b | 1/30 (3) | 0/15 (0) | 5/30 (17) | 32/32 (100) |
| P Valuesc,d | P < 0.001 | P < 0.001 | N.S. | P < 0.001* |
| | | | | P < 0.001** |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | P = 0.001 | P = 0.038 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | 5.000 | 30.030 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.609 | 8.208 |
| Upper Limit | | | 227.307 | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.675 | 6.468 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 31 | 26 |

| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Liver: Hepatocellular | | | | |
| Adenomab | 0/30 (0) | 0/15 (0) | 2/30 (7) | 0/32 (0) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | P = 0.040 | | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.301 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.157 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 86 | |
| Ovary: Carcinoma, NOS ^b | 0/29 (0) | 0/15 (0) | 3/29 (10) | 0/31 (0) |
| P Values ^{c,d} | N.S. | ·N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^e | P = 0.011 | P = 0.030 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^f | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.615 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Relative Risk (Vehicle Control) ^f | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.332 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First 9' served Tumor | | | 78 | |

(continued)

^aTreated groups received doses of 1.15 or 2.3 mg/kg.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

^CBeneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooledcontrol group (**) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

 d_A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

157

eThe probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

^fThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the specified control group.

| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|--|----------|----------|---------------|---------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Skin: Squamous-cell Carcinoma ^d | 0/18 (0) | 0/8 (0) | 7/24 (29) | 0/2 (0) |
| P Values ^{e,f} | N.S. | N.S. | P = 0.013 * * | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.544 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control) ^h | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.754 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 67 | |
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma ^d | 1/18 (6) | 1/8 (13) | 5/24 (21) | 0/2 (0) |
| P Values ^{e,f} | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | 3.750 | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.479 | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 168.323 | 93.466 |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control)h | | | 1.667 | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.246 | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 74.916 | 41.780 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | 83 | |

| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|--|----------------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma | | | | |
| or Lymphocytic Leukemia ^b | 1/18 (6) | 1/8 (13) | 2/24 (8) | 26/28 (93) |
| P Valuese,f | P < 0.001 | P < 0.001 | N.S. | P < 0.001* |
| | | | | P < 0.001** |
| Departure from Linear Trendg | P < 0.001 | P = 0.002 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | 1.500 | 16.714 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.086 | 3.601 |
| Upper Limit | | | 84.637 | 358.473 |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control) ^h | | | 0.667 | 7.429 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.043 | 1.771 |
| Upper Limit | | | 37.663 | 159.766 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 78 | 61 | 26 |

| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: Leukemia | | | | |
| or Lymphoma ^b | 1/18 (6) | 1/8 (13) | 3/24 (13) | 26/28 (93) |
| P Values ^{e,f} | P < 0.001 | P < 0.001 | N.S. | P < 0.001* |
| | | | | P < 0.001** |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^g | P = 0.003 | P = 0.004 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | 2.250 | 16.714 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.202 | 3.601 |
| Upper Limit | | | 112.716 | 358.473 |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control) ^h | | | 1.000 | 7.429 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.103 | 1.771 |
| Upper Limit | | | 50.152 | 159.766 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 78 | 61 | 26 |

| | | • | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------|------------|--------|------------|----|----------|--------|
| Table F3. | Time-adjuste | d Analyses | of the | Incidence | of | Primary | Tumors |
| in Ma | le Mice Given | Intraperit | oneal | Injections | of | Thio-TEE | Aa |

| (continued) | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | <u>Control</u> | Dose | Dose |
| Liver: Hepatocellular | | | | |
| Adenoma or Carcinoma ^d | 1/18 (6) | 1/8 (13) | 4/24 (17) | 0/2 (0) |
| P Values ^{e,f} | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | 3.000 | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.336 | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 140.603 | 93.466 |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control) ^h | | | 1.333 | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.173 | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | | 62.559 | 41.780 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | 67 | - |
| Ear Canal: Squamous-cell | | | | |
| Carcinomad | 0/18 (0) | 0/8 (0) | 2/24 (8) | 0/2 (0) |
| P Values ^{e,f} | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.232 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control) ^h | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.113 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 83 | |

| (continued) | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
|--|----------------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Preputial Gland: Squamous-cell | | | | |
| Carcinomad | 0/18 (0) | 0/8 (0) | 6/24 (25) | 1/2 (50) |
| P Values ^{e,f} | P = 0.014 | N.S. | P = 0.026** | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.271 | 1.487 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control) ^h | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.620 | 0.232 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 72 | 56 |
| All Sites: Squamous-cell | | | | |
| Carcinomad | 0/18 (0) | 0/8 (0) | 14/24 (58) | 1/2 (50) |
| P Values ^{e,f} | P = 0.001 | P = 0.018 | P = 0.004* | N.S. |
| | | | P < 0.001 ** | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 3.513 | 0.487 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control) ^h | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.709 | 0.232 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 67 | 56 |

(continued)

^aTreated groups received doses of 1.15 or 2.3 mg/kg.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 26 weeks of the study.

^CNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 32 weeks of the study.

^dNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 52 weeks of the study.

^eBeneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooledcontrol group (**) when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

 f_A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

 $g_{\text{The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.}$

^hThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the control group.

| Topography: Morphology | Pooled <u>Control</u> | Vehicle Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
|---|---|--------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar | | | | |
| Adenomad | 0/29 (0) | 0/14 (0) | 5/24 (21) | 0/0 (-) |
| P Valuese,f | P = 0.034 | N.S. | P = 0.015 * * | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.567 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control)h | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.795 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | na da dagon agon agon agon anos antos antos agon agon agon agon agon agon agon agon | | 86 | 400-400 |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma o | r | | | |
| Lymphocytic Leukemia ^b | 0/29 (0) | 0/14 (0) | 5/26 (19) | 32/32 (100) |
| P Values ^{e,f} | P < 0.001 | P < 0.001 | P = 0.019** | P < 0.001* P < 0.001** |
| Departure from Linear Trend ^g | P = 0.006 | P = 0.011 | | |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 1.445 | 12.195 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control)h | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.733 | 6.060 |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 31 | 26 |

| (continued) | | | | |
|--|--|----------|-----------|---------|
| m | Pooled | Vehicle | Low | High |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma ^d | 0/29 (0) | 0/14 (0) | 2/25 (8) | 0/0 (-) |
| P Valuese,f | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.350 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control) ^h | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.177 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | والمحافظة والمراقبة والمحاور والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة | | 86 | |
| Ovary: Carcinoma, NOS ^d | 0/28 (0) | 0/14 (0) | 3/24 (13) | 0/0 (-) |
| P Valuese,f | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (Pooled Control) ^h | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.720 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Relative Risk (Matched Control) ^h | | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | | 0.377 | |
| Upper Limit | | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Ubserved Tumor | | | 78 | |

(continued)

^aTreated groups received doses of 1.15 or 2.3 mg/kg.

^bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 26 weeks of the study.

^CNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) wich survived at least 31 weeks of the study.

^dNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent) which survived at least 52 weeks of the study.

^eBeneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the vehicle-control group (*) or with the pooledcontrol group (**) when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

^fA negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

SThe probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

^hThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the control group.

Review of the Bioassay of Thio-TEPA* for Carcinogenicity by the Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens

April 26, 1978

The Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens was established in May, 1976, in compliance with DHEW Committee Regulations and the Provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of the Clearinghouse is to advise the Director of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) on its bioassay program to identify and to evaluate chemical carcinogens in the environment to which humans may be The members of the Clearinghouse have been drawn exposed. from academia, industry, organized labor, public interest groups, State health officials, and quasi-public health and research organizations. Members have been selected on the basis of their experience in carcinogenesis or related fields and, collectively, provide expertise in chemistry, biochemistry, biostatistics, toxicology, pathology, and epidemiology. Representatives of various Governmental agencies participate as ad hoc members. The Data Evaluation/ Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse is charged with the responsibility of providing a peer review of reports prepared on NCI-sponsored bioassays of chemicals studied for carcinogenicity. It is in this context that the below critique is given on the bioassay of Thio-TEPA for carcinogenicity.

The primary reviewer said that, under the conditions of test, Thio-TEPA induced squamous-cell carcinomas of the skin and ear canal in both sexes of treated rats and hematopoetic neoplasms in the males. Lymphomas or lymphocytic leukemia were induced in both sexes of mice and squamous-cell carcinomas in the skin and associated glands of males. After a brief description of the experimental design and conditions of test, he noted the following points in his critique: the poor survival among the high dose treated animals resulting from excessive toxicity; the higher percentage of bone marrow atrophy reported in control animals than in treated ones; the high mortality among control animals necessitated the use of pooled controls for statistical analysis; the large number of other chemicals tested in the same room and at the same time; and the negative trend for pituitary tumors in treated animals as compared to controls. Despite the experimental shortcomings, the primary reviewer said that the conclusion on the carcinogenicity of Thio-TEPA was still valid. He added that Thio-TEPA would appear to pose a carcinogenic risk to man.

The secondary reviewer agreed with the primary reviewer's critique of the bioassay of Thio-TEPA.

It was moved that the report on the bioassay of Thio-TEPA be accepted as written. The motion was seconded and approved unanimously.

Members present were:

Michael Shimkin (Acting Chairman), University of California at San Diego Joseph Highland, Environmental Defense Fund George Roush, Jr., Monsanto Company Louise Strong, University of Texas Health Sciences Center John Weisburger, American Health Foundation

^{*} Subsequent to this review, changes may have been made in the bioassay report either as a result of the review or other reasons. Thus, certain comments and criticisms reflected in the review may no longer be appropriate.

DHEW Publication (NIH) No. 78-1308