National Cancer Institute CARCINOGENESIS **Technical Report Series** No. 116 1978 **BIOASSAY OF p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE** FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY CAS No. 20265-97-8 NCI-CG-TR-116 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE **Public Health Service** National Institutes of Health

ı

## BIOASSAY OF

## p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

## FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-1371

### REPORT ON THE BIOASSAY OF p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

CARCINOGENESIS TESTING PROGRAM DIVISION OF CANCER CAUSE AND PREVENTION NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE, NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

FOREWORD: This report presents the results of the bioassay of p-anisidine hydrochloride conducted for the Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. This is one of a series of experiments designed to determine whether selected chemicals have the capacity to produce cancer in animals. Negative results, in which the test animals do not have a significantly greater incidence of cancer than control animals, do not necessarily mean the test chemical is not a carcinogen because the experiments are conducted under a limited set of circumstances. Positive results demonstrate that the test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicate a potential risk to man. The actual determination of the risk to man from animal carcinogens requires a wider analysis.

<u>CONTRIBUTORS</u>: This bioassay of p-anisidine hydrochloride was conducted by Mason Research Institute, Worcester, Massachusetts, initially under direct contract to the NCI and currently under a subcontract to Tracor Jitco, Inc., prime contractor for the NCI Carcinogenesis Testing Program.

The experimental design was determined by the NCI Project Officers, Dr. J. H. Weisburger (1,2) and Dr. E. K. Weisburger (1). The principal investigators for the contract were Dr. E. Smith (3) and Dr. A. Handler (3). Animal treatment and observation were supervised by Mr. G. Wade (3) and Ms. E. Zepp (3). Chemical analysis was performed by Midwest Research Institute (4) and the analytical results were reviewed by Dr. N. Zimmerman (5).

Histopathologic examinations were performed by Dr. A. Russfield (3), Dr. R. L. Schueler (6) (as a consultant), and Dr. D. S. Wyand (3) at the Mason Research Institute, and the diagnoses included in this report represent the interpretation of these pathologists. Histopathology findings and reports were reviewed by Dr. R. L. Schueler (6).

Compilation of individual animal survival, pathology, and summary tables was performed by EG&G Mason Research Institute (7); the statistical analysis was performed by Mr. W. W. Belew (5), using methods selected for the Carcinogenesis Testing Program by Dr. J. J. Gart (8).

This report was prepared at METREK, a Division of The MITRE Corporation (5) under the direction of the NCI. Those responsible for this report at METREK are the project coordinator, Dr. L. W. Thomas (5), task leader Dr. M. R. Kornreich (5), senior biologist Ms. P. Walker (5), biochemist Mr. S. C. Drill (6), and technical editor Ms. P. A. Miller (5). The final report was reviewed by members of the participating organizations.

The following other scientists at the National Cancer Institute were responsible for evaluating the bioassay experiment, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings: Dr. K. C. Chu (1), Dr. C. Cueto, Jr. (1), Dr. J. F. Douglas (1), Dr. D. G. Goodman (1), Dr. R. A. Griesemer (1), Dr. H. A. Milman (1), Dr. T. W. Orme (1), Dr. R. A. Squire (1,9), Dr. J. M. Ward (1), and Dr. C. E. Whitmire (1).

- 1. Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- 2. Now with the Naylor Dana Institute for Disease Prevention, American Health Foundation, Hammon House Road, Valhalla, New York.
- 3. Mason Research Institute, 57 Union Street, Worcester, Massachusetts.
- Midwest Research Institute, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri.
- 5. The MITRE Corporation, METREK Division, 1820 Dolley Madison Boulevard, McLean, Virginia.
- 6. Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- 7. EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- 8. Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Biometry Branch, Field Studies and Statistics Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

9. Now with the Division of Comparative Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Traylor Building, Baltimore, Maryland.

.

#### SUMMARY

A bioassay for possible carcinogenicity of p-anisidine hydrochloride was conducted using Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. p-Anisidine hydrochloride was administered in the feed, at either of two concentrations, to groups of 55 male and 55 female animals of each species. Fifty-five animals of each sex and species were placed on test as controls. The high and low dietary concentrations of p-anisidine hydrochloride were, respectively, 0.6 and 0.3 percent for rats and 1.0 and 0.5 percent for mice. The compound was administered in the diet for 103 weeks, follwed by an observation period of 2 to 3 weeks for rats and 2 weeks for mice.

There were no significant positive associations for either species between the concentration of p-anisidine hydrochloride administered and mortality. In addition, adequate numbers of animals in all groups survived sufficiently long to be at risk from late-developing tumors.

In male rats there were significant associations between compound administration and the incidences of both squamous-cell carcinomas of the skin and alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas. None of the Fisher exact comparisons, however, supported these findings. When those males having adenomas NOS or carcinomas NOS of the preputial gland were combined and the resulting incidences statistically analyzed, the only test providing a significant result was the Fisher exact comparison of the low dose to the control. There were no significant positive associations between the administration of p-anisidine HCl and the incidence of any tumor in mice of either sex.

Although, under the conditions of this bioassay, there appeared to be an association between chemical administration and the increased incidence of preputial gland tumors in low dose male rats, the evidence was insufficient to establish the carcinogenicity of p-anisidine hydrochloride in Fischer 344 rats. The compound was not carcinogenic in B6C3F1 mice.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

				142
I.	INT	RODUCT	ION	1
II.	MAT	ERIALS	AND METHODS	3
	D. E. F. G.	Anima Anima Select Exper Clinic	ry Preparation	3 6 7 9 10 13 15
III.	CHR	ONIC TI	ESTING RESULTS: RATS	20
	C.	Survi Pathol		20 20 23 24
IV.	CHR	ONIC TI	ESTING RESULTS: MICE	36
		Surviv Pathol		36 36 39 39
V.	DIS	CUSSIO	N	46
VI.	BIB	LIOGRAI	рну	48
APPEN	DIX	A	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	A-1
APPEN	DIX	В	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE TREATED WITH P-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	B-1
APPEN	DIX	С	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS TREATED WITH P-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	C-1
APPEN	DIX	D	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	D-1

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure Number		Page
1	CHEMICAL STRUCTURE OF p-ANISIDINE HYDRO- CHLORIDE	4
2	GROWTH CURVES FOR p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE CHRONIC STUDY RATS	21
3	SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF p-ANISIDINE HYDRO- CHLORIDE CHRONIC STUDY RATS	22
4	GROWTH CURVES FOR p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE CHRONIC STUDY MICE	37
5	SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF p-ANISIDINE HYDRO- CHLORIDE CHRONIC STUDY MICE	38

# LIST OF TABLES

Table Number		Page
1	DESIGN SUMMARY FOR FISCHER 344 RATS p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE FEEDING EXPERIMENT	11
2	DESIGN SUMMARY FOR B6C3F1 MICEp-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE FEEDING EXPERIMENT	12
3	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	25
4	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH P-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	30
5	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	40
6	ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH P-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	43

# LIST OF TABLES (Concluded)

Table Number		Page
A1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDRO- CHLORIDE	A-3
A2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	A-8
B1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDRO- CHLORIDE	B-3
B2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	B-7
Cl	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH p-ANISI- DINE HYDROCHLORIDE	C-3
C2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH p-ANI- SIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	C-8
D1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH p-ANISI- DINE HYDROCHLORIDE	D-3
D2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH p-ANI- SIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	D-7

### I. INTRODUCTION

p-Anisidine HCl (NCI No. CO3758), the hydrochloride salt of an aromatic dye intermediate, was selected for bioassay by the National Cancer Institute because of the increased bladder cancer incidence noted among workers in the dye manufacturing industry (Wynder et al., 1963; Anthony and Thomas, 1970). Aromatic amines are one of several classes of chemicals thought to contribute to this high cancer risk (Clayson and Garner, 1976).

The Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) Ninth Collective Index (1977) name for this compound is 4-methoxy-benzenamine HCl.<sup>\*</sup> It is also known as p-aminoanisole HCl and 4-methoxyaniline HCl.

p-Anisidine is used as an intermediate for the production of C.I. (Colour Index) Azoic Coupling Components 11 and 13, C.I. Vat Red 29 (also called C.I. Pigment Red 190), C.I. Disperse Orange 15, Diazo Brilliant Scarlet ROD extra, Diazo Brilliant Scarlet BG extra, and Benzo Fast Scarlet 4FB (Society of Dyers and Colourists, 1956).

The hydrochloride salt of p-anisidine is not produced commercially (U.S. International Trade Commission [USITC], 1977); however, p-anisidine is produced in commercial quantities (in excess of 1000 pounds or \$1000 in value annually) as are C.I. Azoic Coupling Components 11 and 13 and C.I. Vat Red 29 (USITC, 1977).

The potential for exposure to p-anisidine and p-anisidine HCl is greatest for workers in the dye and chemical industries.

The CAS registry number is 20265-97-8.

p-Anisidine displays considerable acute and chronic systemic toxicity upon ingestion, inhalation, or skin absorption, and is a moderate local irritant (Sax, 1975).

#### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### A. Chemicals

p-Anisidine hydrochloride (Figure 1) was purchased in two lots from Pfaltz and Bauer Chemical Company and chemical analysis was performed by Midwest Research Institute, Kansas City, Missouri.

The first lot was used during the subchronic test and for the first 20 months of the chronic bioassay; the second lot was used in the final phase of the bioassay. The experimentally determined melting point range of 215° to 220°C was in general agreement with the range reported in the literature (216° to 218°C) (Dornow et al., 1957). Elemental analysis approximated that expected for  $C_7 H_{10}$  NOC1, the molecular formula of p-anisidine hydrochloride. Thin-layer chromatography was performed utilizing two solvent systems (benzene: 1,4-dioxane; and ethyl acetate:ammonium hydroxide). Each plate indicated one nonmotile impurity. Vapor-phase chromatography revealed one homogeneous peak. Titration of the amine function with perchloric acid provided results close to those expected on a theoretical basis. This does not, however, preclude the possibility of other amine compounds being present. Infrared analysis was consistent with the structure of the compound.

A second batch of p-anisidine hydrochloride was purchased about two years later from the same supplier. The experimentally determined range in the melting point of 180° to 222°C suggested that this compound contained impurities; however, thin-layer chromatography



FIGURE 1 CHEMICAL STRUCTURE OF p-ANISIDINE (HYDROCHLORIDE)

utilizing the same solvent systems used previously only revealed one spot. In addition, elemental analysis agreed with that expected on a theoretical basis as did amine group titration. Vapor-phase chromatography also revealed only one homogeneous peak and infrared analysis was consistent with the structure of the compound.

The  $\lambda_{max}$  and  $\epsilon$  values reported by Sadtler Research Laboratories (Genero, 1977) for p-anisidine and p-anisidine hydrochloride and the values reported by Midwest Research Institute for the two batches of the compound purchased for this bioassay are indicated below. All analyses were performed using the same solvent systems:

Sadtler <u>p-anisidine</u>		Sadtler p-anisidine HCl		Midwest Batch l		Midwest Batch 2	
$\lambda_{max}$	E	$\lambda_{max}$	E	$\lambda_{max}$	E	$^{\lambda}$ max	¢
		222.5	9570			223	8000
234	15573					236	30
		274.5	1630	274	1570	275	1570
		281	1400	281	1410	281	1390
299	4763		<b>**</b>	299.5	314	300	3100

The absence of the 222.5 nm peak from batch 1 is difficult to explain. The 236 and 300 nm peaks in batch 2 and the 299.5 nm peak in batch 1 suggest the presence of the free base in addition to the hydrochloride; however, the absence of a peak approximating 234 nm in batch 1 is anomalous with this suggestion. The noted discrepancies indicate that both batches may have contained impurities; however, no quantitative estimation of purity was made.

Throughout this report the term p-anisidine HCl is used to represent these materials.

## B. Dietary Preparation

The basal laboratory diet for both treated and control animals was Wayne Lab-Blox<sup>®</sup> (Allied Mills, Inc., Chicago, Illinois). p-Anisidine HCl was administered to the treated animals as a component of the diet. The chemical was removed from its container and proper amounts were ground with a mortar and pestle and then mixed with an aliquot of the ground feed. Once visual homogeneity was attained, the mixture was placed in a 6 kg capacity Patterson-Kelley twin-shell stainless steel V-blender with the remainder of the meal. After 20 minutes of blending, the mixtures were placed in double plastic bags and stored in the dark at 4°C. Mixtures were prepared once weekly and the unused portions discarded 2 weeks after formulation.

## C. Animals

Two animal species, rats and mice, were used in the carcinogenicity bioassay. Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice were obtained through contracts of the Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute. Rats and mice were supplied by the Frederick Cancer Research Center, Frederick, Maryland. Treated and control animals for both species were received in separate shipments. Upon arrival, a sample of animals was examined for parasites and other signs of disease. The remaining animals were quarantined by species for 2 weeks prior to initiation of test. The animals were assigned to groups and

distributed among cages so that average body weight per cage was approximately equal for a given sex and species.

## D. Animal Maintenance

All animals were housed by species in rooms having a temperature range of 23° to 34°C. Incoming air was filtered through Tri-Dek<sup>®</sup> 15/40 denier Dacron<sup>®</sup> filters (Tri-Dim Filter Corp., Hawthorne, New Jersey) providing six changes of room air per hour. Fluorescent lighting was provided on a 12-hour-daily cycle.

Rats were housed five per cage by sex. During quarantine and for the first 11 months of study rats were kept in galvanized-steel wire-mesh cages (Fenco Cage Products, Boston, Massachusetts) suspended over newspapers. Newspapers were replaced daily, and cages and racks washed weekly. For the remainder of the study, rats were housed in suspended polycarbonate cages (Lab Products, Inc., Garfield, New Jersey) equipped with disposable nonwoven fiber filter sheets. Clean bedding and cages were provided twice weekly. Animals in polycarbonate cages were provided with Aspen hardwood chip bedding (American Excelsior Company, Baltimore, Maryland). Stainless steel cage racks were cleaned once every 2 weeks, and disposable filters were replaced at that time.

Mice were housed five per cage by sex in polycarbonate shoe box type cages. Cages were fitted with perforated stainless steel lids (Lab Products, Inc.). Nonwoven fiber filter bonnets were used over cage lids. Clean cages, lids, and bedding (Aspen bedding) were

provided twice per week. Reusable filter bonnets and pipe racks were sanitized every 2 weeks throughout the study.

Tap water was available for both species from 250 ml water bottles equipped with rubber stoppers and stainless steel sipper tubes. Bottles were replaced twice weekly and, for rats only, water was supplied as needed between changes. Food and water were available ad libitum.

Wayne Lab-Blox<sup>®</sup> meal was dispensed in Alpine<sup>®</sup> aluminum feed cups (Curtin Matheson Scientific, Inc., Woburn, Massachusetts) equipped with stainless steel baffles to rats while in wire-mesh caging, and to mice for the first 2 months of study. For the remainder of the study, meal was supplied from stainless steel gangstyle hoppers (Scientific Cages, Inc., Bryan, Texas). During the 2-year period of compound administration, animals were fed meal containing the appropriate concentrations of p-anisidine HCl. Control animals had untreated meal available. Food hoppers were changed on the same schedule as were cages. Food was replenished daily in Alpine<sup>®</sup> feed cups.

p-Anisidine HCl-dosed rats were housed in a room with other rats receiving diets containing<sup>\*</sup> 1,5-naphthalenediamine (2243-62-1); N-(1-naphthy1) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride (1465-25-4); 2-chlorop-phenylenediamine sulfate (61702-44-1); and aniline hydrochloride (142-04-1). Control rats were in a room with other rats receiving

CAS registry numbers are given in parentheses.

diets containing tris (2,3-dibromopropyl) phosphate (126-72-7) and o-anisidine hydrochloride (134-29-0).

All mice were in a room with other mice receiving diets containing o-anisidine hydrochloride (134-29-0); 4-chloro-o-phenylenediamine (95-83-0); cupferron (134-20-6); 2,5-dithiobiurea (142-46-1); and fenaminosulf (140-56-7).

## E. Selection of Initial Concentrations

In order to establish the maximum tolerated concentrations of p-anisidine HCl for administration to treated animals in the chronic studies, subchronic toxicity studies were conducted with both rats and mice. Animals of each species were distributed among five groups, each consisting of five males and five females. p-Anisidine HCl was incorporated into the laboratory diet and supplied <u>ad libitum</u> to four of the five rat groups and four of the five mouse groups in concentrations of 0.1, 0.3, 1.0, and 3.0 percent. The sixth group of each species served as a control, receiving only the basal laboratory diet. The dosed dietary preparations were administered for 8 weeks.

The highest concentration causing no deaths, no compound-related gross abnormalities, and no mean group body weight depression in excess of 15 percent relative to controls during the 8-week subchronic test was selected as the high concentration utilized for the rat and mouse chronic bioassays.

One female rat receiving a concentration of 0.1 percent and all rats receiving concentrations of 3.0 percent died. Rats tested

at 1.0 percent were reported to have deep purple to black spleens in all cases. All rats receiving 0.3 percent appeared normal. A dietary concentration of 0.3 percent produced mean body weight depressions of 6.0 and 1.0 percent in male and female rats, respectively. A dietary concentration of 1.0 percent produced mean body weight depressions of 21.0 and 13.0 percent in male and female rats, respectively. The initial high concentration chosen for administration to rats in the chronic study was 0.6 percent.

One female mouse died at a concentration of 3.0 percent. Black spleens were noted in all mice receiving 3.0 percent. A dietary concentration of 1.0 percent produced mean body weight depressions of 13.0 and 5.0 percent in male and female mice, respectively. A dietary concentration of 3.0 percent produced mean body weight depressions of 38.0 and 29.0 percent in male and female mice, respectively. The initial high concentration utilized for administration to mice in the chronic study was 1.0 percent.

### F. Experimental Design

The experimental design parameters for the chronic study (species, sex, group size, concentrations administered, and duration of treated and untreated observation periods) are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

All rats were approximately 6 weeks old at the time the test was initiated. The initial concentrations of p-anisidine HCl in diets were 0.6 and 0.3 percent, respectively. Throughout this report the

# TABLE 1

# DESIGN SUMMARY FOR FISCHER 344 RATS p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE FEEDING EXPERIMENT

	INITIAL GROUP SIZE	p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE CONCENTRATION (PERCENT)	OBSERVAT TREATED (WEEKS)	ION PERIOD UNTREATED (WEEKS)
MALE				
CONTROL	55	0	0	106
LOW DOSE	55	0.3 0	103	2
HIGH DOSE	55	0.6 0	103	3
FEMALE				
CONTROL	55	0	0	107
LOW DOSE	55	0.3 0	103	3
HIGH DOSE	55	0.6 0	103	3

# TABLE 2

## DESIGN SUMMARY FOR B6C3F1 MICE P-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE FEEDING EXPERIMENT

	INITIAL GROUP SIZE	P-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE CONCENTRATION (PERCENT)	OBSERVAT TREATED (WEEKS)	ION PERIOD UNTREATED (WEEKS)
MALE				
CONTROL	55	0	0	105
LOW DOSE	55	0.5 0	103	2
HIGH DOSE	55	1.0 0	103	2
FEMALE				<u></u>
CONTROL	55	0	0	105
LOW DOSE	55	0.5 0	103	2
HIGH DOSE	55	1.0 0	103	2

rats receiving the former concentration are referred to as the high dose groups and those receiving the latter concentration are referred to as the low dose groups. The treated rats were supplied with dosed feed for a total of 103 weeks, followed by a 2- to 3-week observation period.

All mice were approximately 6 weeks old at the time the test was initiated. The initial concentrations of p-anisidine HCl in diets were 1.0 and 0.5 percent, respectively. Throughout this report the mice receiving the former concentration are referred to as the high dose groups and those receiving the latter concentration are referred to as the low dose groups. The treated mice were supplied with dosed feed for a total of 103 weeks, followed by a 2-week observation period to detect any delayed toxicity.

### G. Clinical and Histopathologic Examinations

Animals were weighed immediately prior to initiation of the experiment. Body weights were recorded twice weekly for the first 12 weeks of the study and at monthly intervals thereafter. From the first day, all animals were inspected twice daily for mortality. Food consumption, for two cages from each group, was monitored for seven consecutive days once a month for the first nine months of the bioassay and for three consecutive days each month thereafter. The presence of tissue masses and lesions was determined by monthly observation and palpation of each animal.

A necropsy was performed on each animal regardless of whether it died, was killed when moribund, or was sacrificed at the end of the

bioassay. The animals were euthanized by carbon dioxide inhalation, and were immediately necropsied. The histopathologic examination consisted of gross and microscopic examination of major tissues, organs, and gross lesions taken from sacrificed animals and, whenever possible, from animals found dead.

Tissues were preserved in 10 percent buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin prior to microscopic examination. An occasional section was subjected to special staining techniques for more definitive diagnosis.

Slides were prepared from the following tissues: skin, subcutaneous tissue, lungs and bronchi, trachea, bone marrow, spleen, lymph nodes, thymus, eye, heart, salivary gland, liver, gallbladder (mice), pancreas, esophagus, stomach, small intestine, large intestine, kidney, urinary bladder, pituitary, adrenal, thyroid, parathyroid, testis, prostate, brain, uterus, mammary gland, and ovary.

A few tissues were not examined for some animals, particularly for those that died early. Also, some animals were missing, cannibalized, or judged to be in such an advanced state of autolysis as to preclude histopathologic interpretation. Thus, the number of animals for which particular organs, tissues, or lesions were examined microscopically varies and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on experiment in each group.

### H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Pertinent data on this experiment have been recorded in an automatic data processing system, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Data tables were generated for verification of data transcription and for statistical review.

These data were analyzed using the statistical techniques described in this section. Those analyses of the experimental results that bear on the possibility of carcinogenicity are discussed in the statistical narrative sections.

Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report in the form of graphs. Animals were statistically censored as of the time that they died of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not statistically censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) when testing two groups for equality and used Tarone's (1975) extensions of Cox's methods when testing a dose-related trend. One-tailed P-values have been reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is only reported when its two-tailed P-value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions has been given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site was examined (denominator). In most instances, the denominators included only those animals for which that site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions prior to histologic sampling (e.g., skin or mammary tumors), or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical developed a significantly higher proportion of tumors than did the control animals. As a part of these analyses, the one-tailed Fisher exact test (Cox, 1970, pp. 48-52) was used to compare the tumor incidence of a control group to that of a group of treated animals at each dose level. When results for a number of treated groups, k, are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction to ensure an overall significance level of 0.05 may be made. The Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966, pp. 6-10) requires that the P-value for any comparison be less than or equal to 0.05/k. In cases where this correction was used, it is discussed in the narrative section. It is not, however, presented in the tables, where the Fisher exact P-values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971, pp. 362-365), was also used

when appropriate. Under the assumption of a linear trend, this test determined if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the one-tailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend was a positive dose relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

A time-adjusted analysis was applied when numerous early deaths resulted from causes that were not associated with the formation of tumors. In this analysis, deaths that occurred before the first tumor was observed were excluded by basing the statistical tests on animals that survived at least 52 weeks, unless a tumor was found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor was found, comparisons were based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was found. Once this reduced set of data was obtained, the standard procedures for analyses of the incidence of tumors (Fisher exact tests, Cochran-Armitage tests, etc.) were followed.

When appropriate, life-table methods were used to analyze the incidence of tumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without an observed tumor were computed as in Saffiotti et al. (1972). The week during which animals died naturally or were sacrificed was entered as the time point of tumor observation. Cox's methods of comparing these curves were used for two groups; Tarone's extension to testing for linear trend was used for three groups. The statistical tests for

the incidence of tumors which used life-table methods were one-tailed and, unless otherwise noted, in the direction of a positive dose relationship. Significant departures from linearity (P < 0.05, twotailed test) were also noted.

The approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the relative risk of each dosed group compared to its control was calculated from the exact interval on the odds ratio (Gart, 1971). The relative risk is defined as  $p_t/p_c$  where  $p_t$  is the true binomial probability of the incidence of a specific type of tumor in a treated group of animals and  $p_c$  is the true probability of the spontaneous incidence of the same type of tumor in a control group. The hypothesis of equality between the true proportion of a specific tumor in a treated group and the proportion in a control group corresponds to a relative risk of unity. Values in excess of unity represent the condition of a larger proportion in the treated group than in the control.

The lower and upper limits of the confidence interval of the relative risk have been included in the tables of statistical analyses. The interpretation of the limits is that in approximately 95 percent of a large number of identical experiments, the true ratio of the risk in a treated group of animals to that in a control group would be within the interval calculated from the experiment. When the lower limit of the confidence interval is greater than one, it can be inferred that a statistically significant result (a P < 0.025 one-tailed test when the control incidence is not zero, P < 0.050

when the control incidence is zero) has occurred. When the lower limit is less than unity but the upper limit is greater than unity, the lower limit indicates the absence of a significant result while the upper limit indicates that there is a theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by the test chemical which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

### **III. CHRONIC TESTING RESULTS: RATS**

### A. Body Weights and Clinical Observations

Mean body weight depression was apparent in all treated rat groups when compared to their control groups. Mean body weight depression in female high and low dose groups was more apparent after week 34 (Figure 2). White or yellow discoloration of the eye was recorded for 1 high dose male, 2 high dose females, 2 low dose males, 2 low dose females, 2 control males, and 1 control female. Red exudate around the eyes developed in 10 high dose females, 15 low dose males, and 17 low dose females. Swelling was observed in the eye region of 2 control females, the head of 2 control males, and the scrotum of 1 control male. Subcutaneous masses developed in 1 high dose male, 5 high dose females, 3 low dose males, 6 low dose females, 3 control males, and 18 control females. Cutaneous lesions and/or masses were recorded in 3 high dose males, 2 high dose females, 8 low dose males, 1 low dose female, 7 control males, and 3 control females. Two controls showed rectal prolapse. Jaundice was recorded for 1 control male. Emaciation was observed in 1 low dose female and 2 control females. Alopecia was reported in 30 high dose males, 14 low dose females, and 9 control females. No other clinical abnormalities were noted.

### B. Survival

The estimated probabilities of survival for male and female rats in the control and p-anisidine HCl-dosed groups are shown in Figure 3.



FIGURE 2 GROWTH CURVES FOR p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE CHRONIC STUDY RATS



FIGURE 3 SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE CHRONIC STUDY RATS
For both male and female rats, the Tarone test for association between dosage and mortality was not significant.

For males, adequate numbers of rats were at risk from latedeveloping tumors, as 82 percent (45/55) of the high dose, 78 percent (43/55) of the low dose, and 71 percent (39/55) of the control rats survived on test until the termination of the study.

For females, with 91 percent (50/55) of the high dose, 78 percent (43/55) of the low dose, and 65 percent (36/55) of the control rats alive on test until the termination of the study, survival was also adequate.

#### C. Pathology

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A (Tables Al and A2); findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C (Tables Cl and C2).

A variety of neoplasms occurred both in the control and in the compound-treated groups. A few neoplasms occurred only in treated rats, but their numbers were too small to demonstrate convincing carcinogenicity. These included three transitional-cell neoplasms of the urinary bladder in both sexes, two neoplasms of intestinal smooth muscle in males, two gliomas of the brain in females, and preputial gland tumors (i.e., adenomas or carcinomas) in males (1/54, 8/54, and 3/55 of the control, low dose, and high dose groups, respectively).

In addition to the neoplastic lesions, a number of degenerative and inflammatory changes were found in both treated and control rats.

The only nonneoplastic lesions which appeared to be compound-related occurred in high dose females. These rats exhibited a high incidence of brown pigmentation in the reticuloendothelial cells of the spleen and in the tubular epithelium of the kidney; these changes were diagnosed as hemosiderosis and cholemic nephrosis, respectively.

Based upon this histopathologic examination, p-anisidine HCl was not carcinogenic in Fischer 344 rats under the conditions of this bioassay; however, the increase in preputial gland tumors may have been associated with the administration of the compound.

#### D. Statistical Analyses of Results

The results of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence in rats are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. The analysis is included for every type of malignant tumor in either sex where at least two such tumors were observed in at least one of the control or p-anisidine HCl-dosed groups and where such tumors were observed in at least 5 percent of the group.

In male rats the incidences of squamous-cell carcinomas of the skin and of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas were increased in the high dose treated group. In both cases the Cochran-Armitage test for association between compound administration and tumor incidence yielded a significant value (P = 0.039). These results, however, were not supported by significant Fisher exact tests.

For male rats the combined incidence of adenomas NOS or carcinomas NOS of the preputial gland was increased in both treated groups.

## TABLE 3

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Skin and Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma <sup>b</sup>	4/54(0.07)	0/54(0.00)	2/55(0.04)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup>	<b></b>	0.000	0.491
Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.000 1.081	0.046 3.272
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106		106
Skin: Squamous-Cell Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	0/54(0.00)	0/54(0.00)	3/55(0.05)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.039	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.589 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			97
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	0/54(0.00)	0/54(0.00)	3/55(0.05)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.039	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup>			Infinite
Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.589 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			106

# ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE<sup>a</sup>

#### LOW HIGH **TOPOGRAPHY: MORPHOLOGY** CONTROL DOSE DOSE Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Malignant Lymphomab 12/54(0.33) 1/54(0.02)1/55(0.02)P Values<sup>C</sup> P < 0.001(N)P < 0.001(N)P < 0.001(N)Departure from Linear Trend<sup>e</sup> P = 0.004\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ Relative Risk (Control)<sup>d</sup> 0.056 0.055 Lower Limit 0.001 0.001 Upper Limit 0.330 0.324 ----Weeks to First Observed Tumor 92 84 105 Liver: Neoplastic Nodule or Hepatocellular Carcinoma<sup>b</sup> 0/54(0.00)4/55(0.07) 3/54(0.06)P Values<sup>C</sup> N.S. N.S. N.S. Relative Risk (Control)<sup>d</sup> Infinite Infinite Lower Limit 0.600 0.908 Upper Limit Infinite Infinite Weeks to First Chserved Tumor 70 106 -Pituitary: Adenoma NOS, Chromophobe Adenoma, Acidophil Adenoma, or Basophil Adenomab 5/48(0.10)7/49(0.14) 8/50(0.16) P Values<sup>C</sup> N.S. N.S. N.S. Relative Risk (Control)<sup>d</sup> 1.536 1.371 Lower Limit 0.403 C.479 5.119 5.571 Upper Limit -----98 Weeks to First Observed Tumor 90 105

#### TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

# TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma or		——————————————————————————————————————	
Pheochromocytoma, Malignant <sup>b</sup>	14/54(0.26)	10/54(0.19)	6/54(0.11)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.032(N)	N.S.	P = 0.041(N)
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup>	an- an- an-	0.714	0.429
Lower Limit		0.312	0.146
Upper Limit		1.571	1.090
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	73	70	106
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma or C-Cell			
Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	3/53(0.06)	2/49(0.04)	4/50(0.08)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup>		0.721	1.413
Lower Limit	440 PP- 490	0.062	0.251
Upper Limit		6.024	9.211
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106	105	106
Pancreatic Islets: Islet Cell-Adenoma		,, <u></u> ,,	<u>,</u>
or Islet-Cell Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	2/53(0.04)	4/52(0.08)	2/51(0.04)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup>		2.038	1.039
Lower Limit		0.306	0.078
Upper Limit		21.762	13.862
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106	105	106

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma	1/54(0.02)	3/54(0.06)	0/55(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		3.000 0.250 154.510	0.000 0.000 18.349
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106	105	<u></u>
Preputial Gland: Adenoma NOS or Carcinoma NOS <sup>b</sup>	1/54(0.02)	8/54(0.15)	3/55(0.05)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	P = 0.016	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend <sup>e</sup>	P = 0.011		
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		8.000 1.131 347.530	2.945 0.246 151.741
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106	92	93
Testis: Interstitial-Cell Tumor <sup>b</sup>	53/54(0.98)	45/54(0.83)	47/55(0.85)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.026(N)	P = 0.008(N)	P = 0.017(N)
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.849 0.816 0.972	0.871 0.837 0.991
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	73	83	89

28

# TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

#### TABLE 3 (CONCLUDED)

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHCLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Body Cavities: Mesothelioma NOS or Mesothelioma, Malignant <sup>b</sup>	2/54(0.04)	0/54(0.00)	3/55(0.05)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup>		0.000	1.473
Lower Limit		0.000	0.176
Upper Limit	* * *	3.387	17.071
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	87		98

<sup>a</sup>Treated groups received doses of 0.3 or 0.6 percent in feed.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

<sup>C</sup>The probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test is given beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. The probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of a treated group with the control group is given beneath the incidence of tumors in the treated group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. For both Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests a regative designation (N) indicates a lower incidence in the treated group(s) than in the control group.

 $^{d}$  The 95% confidence interval on the relative risk of the treated group to the control group.

<sup>e</sup>The probability level of the test for departure from linear trend is given beneath the control group when P < 0.05.

## TABLE 4

# ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN FEMALE RATS TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE<sup>a</sup>

		LOW	HIGH
TOPOGRAPHY: MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	DOSE	DOSE
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or			
Malignant Lymphoma <sup>b</sup>	9/54(0.17)	3/55(0.05)	2/55(0.04)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.012(N)	N.S.	P = 0.024(N)
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup>		0.327	0.218
Lower Limit		0.060	0.024
Upper Limit		1.230	0.993
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	91	91	105
Salivary Gland: Adenoma NOS <sup>b</sup>	3/52(0.06)	0/53(0.00)	0/54(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.035(N)	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup>		0.000	0.000
Lower Limit	a	0.000	0.000
Upper Limit		1.634	1.604
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107		
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule or Hepato-			Ţĸĸ <u>ĸĸĸŧĸĸŧġġġġġ</u> ĸĸŧ <u>ĸĬŎ</u> ŢĸĸĸĸĬĬŎŎĸĸŔĸŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎ
cellular Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	1/53(0.02)	1/55(0.02)	3/55(0.05)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup>	910 Q2; 92.	0.964	2.891
Lower Limit		0.013	0.241
Upper Limit	4- 4- C	74.304	148.956
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	105	106

TABLE 4 (CONTINUED)

		LOW	HIGH
TOPOGRAPHY: MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	DOSE	DOSE
Pituitary: Carcinoma NOS or Chromophobe Carcinoma	4/48(0.08)	2/51(0.04)	0/54(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.028(N)	N.S.	P = 0.046(N)
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.471 0.044 3.123	0.000 0.000 0.960
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	89	92	
Pituitary: Adenoma NOS, Chromophobe Adenoma, Acidophil Adenoma, Basophil Adenoma, Carcinoma NOS, or Chromophobe Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	21/48(0.44)	19/51(0.37)	19/54(0.35)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 	0.852 0.503 1.442	0.804 0.442 1.312
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	74	92	94
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma <sup>b</sup>	3/53(0.06)	2/55(0.04)	2/54(0.04)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 	0.642 0.055 5.387	0.654 0.057 5.484
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	105	106

		LOW	HIGH
TOPOGRAPHY: MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	DOSE	DOSE
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma or C-Cell Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	4/49(0.08)	5/46(0.11)	4/55(0.07)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.332 0.305 6.316	0.891 0.175 4.544
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	105	106
Mammary Gland: Adenoma NOS or Adeno- carcinoma NOS <sup>b</sup>	3/54(0.06)	1/55(0.02)	2/55(0.04)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.327 0.006 3.925	0.655 0.056 5.490
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	101	105	50
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma <sup>b</sup>	16/54(0.30)	4/55(0.07)	4/55(0.07)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.001(N)	P = 0.002(N)	P = 0.002(N)
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		C.245 O.064 O.702	0.245 0.064 0.702
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	99	96	94

# TABLE 4 (CONTINUED)

TABLE 4 (CONCLUDED)

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma, Adenoma NOS, or Adenocarcinoma <sup>b</sup>	19/54(0.35)	5/55(0.09)	6/55(0.11)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.001(N)	P = 0.001(N)	P = 0.002(N)
Departure from Linear Trend <sup>e</sup>	P = 0.028		
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.258 0.082 0.655	0.310 0.110 0.736
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	99	96	50
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp <sup>b</sup>	16/52(0.31)	11/53(0.21)	14/55(0.25)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.675 0.315 1.393	0.827 0.418 1.621
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	68	101	106

<sup>a</sup>Treated groups received doses of 0.3 or 0.6 percent in feed.

ω ω

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

<sup>C</sup>The probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test is given beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. The probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of a treated group with the control group is given beneath the incidence of tumors in the treated group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. For both Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests a negative designation (N) indicates a lower incidence in the treated group(s) than in the control group.

 $^{d}$  The 95% confidence interval on the relative risk of the treated group to the control group.

<sup>e</sup>The probability level of the test for departure from linear trend is given beneath the control group when P < 0.05.

The Fisher exact test for the low dose group showed a significant (P = 0.016) increase in these tumors compared to the control. In historical data collected by this laboratory for the NCI Carcinogenesis Testing Program, 3/250 (1 percent) of the untreated male rats had one of these tumors. Making the assumption of a binomial distribution with a 3/250 probability of spontaneous incidence, the probability of observing 8 or more rats with such tumors out of 54 males (as in the low dose group) was P < 0.001, a significant result. The high dose Fisher exact comparison and the Cochran-Armitage test, however, were not significant.

A number of possible negative associations between compound administration and tumor incidence were observed. For both sexes negative associations were observed from both the Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests for the combined incidence of leukemia and malignant lymphoma. For females the incidence of mammary gland fibroadenomas also showed a possible negative association with dosage. In males the apparent negative association between dosage and the incidence of interstitial-cell tumors of the testis was noted. The significance of these results were doubtful, however, due to the variability of this tumor (Cockrell and Garner, 1976).

The Cochran-Armitage test indicated significant negative associations between dose and the incidences of pituitary neoplasms and of adenomas of the salivary gland in females and of adrenal

pheochromocytomas in males. For these cases, however, the Fisher exact tests were not significant under the Bonferroni criterion.

To provide additional insight into the possible carcinogenicity of this compound, 95 percent confidence intervals on the relative risk have been estimated and entered in the tables based upon the observed tumor incidence rates. In many of the intervals shown in Tables 3 and 4, the value one is included; this indicates the absence of statistically significant results. It should be noted that many of the confidence intervals have an upper limit greater than one, indicating the theoretical possibility of tumor induction in rats by p-anisidine HCl that could not be established under the conditions of this test. It should also be noted that for those sites with an upper limit less than one there is a statistically significant decrease in tumor incidence in the dosed group as compared to the control.

#### IV. CHRONIC TESTING RESULTS: MICE

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Observations

Mean body weight depression was apparent in all treated mouse groups when compared to their control groups (Figure 4). Alopecia was reported in 25 high dose males, 24 high dose females, 1 low dose male, 3 low dose females, 5 control males, and 3 control females. Cutaneous lesions were reported in 1 high dose female and 1 control male. Distention of the urogenital area was noted in 1 control male, and blood in the urogenital region was observed in 2 other control males. One control female displayed a distended abdomen. An open sore on the leg of 1 low dose female was detected. Edema of the eye region was observed in 1 high dose male and 1 high dose female. No other clinical abnormalities were observed.

#### B. Survival

The estimated probabilities of survival for male and female mice in the control and p-anisidine HCl-dosed groups are shown in Figure 5. For both male and female mice, the Tarone test for association between dosage and mortality was not significant.

Adequate numbers of male mice were at risk from late-developing tumors, as 91 percent (50/55) of the high dose, 87 percent (48/55) of the low dose, and 80 percent (44/55) of the control mice survived on test until the termination of the study.

For female mice, with 78 percent (43/55) of the high dose, 76 percent (42/55) of the low dose, and 80 percent (44/55) of the control



FIGURE 4 GROWTH CURVES FOR p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE CHRONIC STUDY MICE



FIGURE 5 SURVIVAL COMPARISONS OF p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE CHRONIC STUDY MICE

mice alive on test until the termination of the study, survival was also adequate.

#### C. Pathology

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B (Tables Bl and B2); findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D (Tables Dl and D2).

A variety of neoplasms occurred with approximately equal frequency in the compound-treated and control mice. Occasionally, as shown in the summary tables, neoplasms occurred only in the compoundtreated mice or with an increased frequency in treated groups when compared with the controls. The nature and incidence of these neoplasms were similar to spontaneously occurring neoplasms in B6C3F1 mice.

There were no nonneoplastic lesions that could be attributed to compound administration. Degenerative, inflammatory and hyperplastic lesions, frequently observed in aging B6C3F1 mice, were noted among treated and control groups. Occasional lesions were found to be more frequent in treated mice; however, the incidences were within the limits of those observed in historical controls.

Based upon this histopathologic examination, p-anisidine HCl was not carcinogenic to B6C3Fl mice under the conditions of this bioassay.

#### D. Statistical Analyses of Results

The results of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence in mice are summarized in Tables 5 and 6. The analysis is included for

### TABLE 5

# ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH P-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE<sup>a</sup>

TOPOGRAPHY: MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	6/54(0.11)	3/54(0.06)	7/54(0.13)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.500 0.085 2.211	1.167 0.359 3.934
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	105	105
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	12/54(0.22)	8/54(0.15)	17/54(0.31)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.667 0.257 1.626	1.417 0.709 2.922
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	79	105	92
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Malignant Lymphoma <sup>b</sup>	4/55(0.07)	3/54(0.06)	4/55(0.07)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.764 0.117 4.302	1.000 0.196 5.110
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	94	105

TABLE	5 (	CONTINUED)	
-------	-----	------------	--

TOPOGRAPHY: MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma	24/54(0.44)	14/54(0.26)	17/54(0.31)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	P = 0.035(N)	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit	 	0.583 0.318 1.037	0.708 0.409 1.206
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	53	93	91
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Hepatocellular Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	28/54(0.52)	22/54(0.41)	23/54(0.43)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.786 0.501 1.226	0.821 0.529 1.271
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	53	93	91
Adrenal: Cortical Adenoma or Adenoma NOS <sup>b</sup>	6/50(0.12)	0/52(0.00)	0/53(0.00)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.002(N)	P = 0.012(N)	P = 0.011(N)
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.000 0.000 0.002	0.000 0.000 0.591
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105		

		LOW	HIGH
COPOGRAPHY:MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	DOSE	DOSE
Harderian Gland: Adenoma NOS, Papillary Adenoma, Cystadenoma NOS, or Papillary			
Cystadenoma NOS <sup>b</sup>	1/55(0.02)	2/54(0.04)	3/55(0.05)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup>		2.037	3.000
Lower Limit		0.109	0.250
Upper Limit		117.954	154.535
leeks to First Observed Tumor	105	105	105

TABLE 5 (CONCLUDED)

<sup>a</sup>Treated groups received doses of 0.5 or 1.0 percent in feed.

42

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

<sup>C</sup>The probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test is given beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. The probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of a treated group with the control group is given beneath the incidence of tumors in the treated group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. For both Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests a negative designation (N) indicates a lower incidence in the treated group(s) than in the control group.

<sup>d</sup>The 95% confidence interval on the relative risk of the treated group to the control group.

# TABLE 6

TOPOGRAPHY: MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	4/55(0.07)	5/54(0.09)	3/50(0.06)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.273 0.290 6.093	0.825 0.126 4.633
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	102	105
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Malignant Lymphoma <sup>b</sup>	18/55(0.33)	10/54(0.19)	12/50(0.24)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.566 0.258 1.167	0.733 0.360 1.437
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	86	75	69
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	7/54(0.13)	5/53(0.09)	1/50(0.02)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	P = 0.033(N)	N.S.	P = 0.038(N)
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.728 0.194 2.492	0.154 0.003 1.138
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	101	105	105

# ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS AT SPECIFIC SITES IN FEMALE MICE TREATED WITH P-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE<sup>a</sup>

TOPOGRAPHY : MORPHOLOGY	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Hepatocellular Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	11/54(0.20)	10/53(0.19)	6/50(0.12)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.926 0.385 2.195	0.589 0.193 1.599
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	59	105	105
Pituitary: Adenoma NOS, Chromophobe Adenoma, or Basophil Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	3/42(0.07)	3/48(0.06)	2/38(0.05)
P Values <sup>C</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) <sup>d</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.875 0.124 6.218	0.737 0.064 6.076
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	105	105

#### TABLE 6 (CONCLUDED)

<sup>a</sup>Treated groups received doses of 0.5 or 1.0 percent in feed.

44

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

<sup>C</sup>The probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test is given beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. The probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of a treated group with the control group is given beneath the incidence of tumors in the treated group when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. For both Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests a negative designation (N) indicates a lower incidence in the treated group(s) than in the control group.

 $^{\rm d}$  The 95% confidence interval on the relative risk of the treated group to the control group.

every type of malignant tumor in either sex where at least two such tumors were observed in at least one of the control or p-anisidine HCl-dosed groups and where such tumors were observed in at least 5 percent of the group.

None of the statistical tests for any site in mice of either sex indicated a significant positive association between the administration of p-anisidine HCl and tumor incidence. Thus, at the dose levels used in this experiment there was no evidence that p-anisidine HCl was a carcinogen in B6C3Fl mice.

In male mice the possibility of a negative association between dose and the incidence of adrenal capsule adenomas NOS was observed.

For females the Cochran-Armitage test indicated a significant negative association between dose and the incidence of hepatocellular carcinomas. The Fisher exact tests, however, were not significant under the Bonferroni criterion.

To provide additional insight into the possible carcinogenicity of this compound, 95 percent confidence intervals on the relative risk have been estimated and entered in the tables based upon the observed tumor incidence rates. In many of the intervals shown in Tables 5 and 6, the value one is included; this indicates the absence of statistically significant results. It should also be noted that many of the confidence intervals have an upper limit greater than one, indicating the theoretical possibility of tumor induction in mice by p-anisidine HCl that could not be established under the conditions of this test.

#### V. DISCUSSION

There were no significant positive associations for either species between the concentrations of p-anisidine HCl administered and mortality. Adequate numbers of animals in all groups survived sufficiently long to be at risk from late-developing tumors. Mean body weight depression was apparent in treated animals of both species when compared to the corresponding controls, indicating that the concentrations administered may have approximated the maximum tolerated dosages.

In male rats there were significant associations between compound administration and the incidences of both squamous-cell carcinomas of the skin and alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas. None of the Fisher exact comparisons, however, supported these findings. When those males having adenomas NOS or carcinomas NOS of the preputial gland were combined and the resulting incidences statistically analyzed, the only test providing a significant result was the Fisher exact comparison of the low dose (8/54 [15 percent]) to the control (1/54 [2 percent]). Neither the Cochran-Armitage test nor the high dose to control Fisher exact test supported this finding. It was considered that insufficient evidence was provided by the study to establish a compound-related effect.

There were negative associations between compound administration and tumor incidence in rats (e.g., a combination of leukemia and

malignant lymphoma in rats of both sexes and mammary fibroadenoma in female rats).

There were no significant positive associations between the administration of p-anisidine HCl and the incidence of any tumor in mice of either sex.

Although, under the conditions of this bioassay, there appeared to be an association between chemical administration and the increased incidence of preputial gland tumors in low dose male rats, the evidence was insufficient to establish the carcinogenicity of p-anisidine HCl in Fischer 344 rats. The compound was not carcinogenic in B6C3F1 mice.

#### VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anthony, H.M. and G.M. Thomas, "Tumors of the Urinary Bladder: An Analysis of the Occupations of 1,030 Patients in Leeds, England." Journal of the National Cancer Institute 45:879-895, 1970.
- Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>, Chapter 14. J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1971.
- Berenblum, I., editor, <u>Carcinogenicity Testing</u>. International Union Against Cancer, Technical Report Series, Vol. 2. International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.
- Chemical Abstracts Service. <u>The Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS)</u> <u>Ninth Collective Index</u>, Volumes 76-85, 1972-1976. American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1977.
- Clayson, D.B. and R.C. Garner, "Carcinogenic Aromatic Amines and Related Compounds." Chapter 8 in <u>Carcinogenic Aromatic Amines</u>, C.E. Searle, editor. American Chemical Society Monograph 173, Washington, D.C., 1976.
- Cockrell, B.Y. and F.M. Garner, "Interstitial-Cell Tumors of the Testis in Rats." Comparative Pathology Bulletin 8:2-4, 1976.
- Cox, D.R., <u>Analysis of Binary Data</u>, Chapters 4 and 5. Methuen and Co., Ltd., London, 1970.
- Cox, D.R., "Regression Models and Life-Tables." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series "B" 34:187-220, 1972.
- Dornow, A., K.J. Fust, and H.D. Jordan. <u>Berichte der Deutschen Chem</u>ischen Gesellschaft 90:2124-2137, 1957.
- Gart, J.J., "The Comparison of Proportions: A Review of Significance Tests, Confidence Limits, and Adjustments for Stratification." International Statistical Institute Review 39:148-169, 1971.
- Genero, A., UV consultant for Sadtler Research Laboratories, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Personal communication, July 1977.
- Kaplan, E.L., and P. Meier, "Nonparametric Estimation from Incomplete Observations." Journal of the American Statistical Association 53:457-481, 1958.

- Linhart, M.S., J.A. Cooper, R.L. Martin, N.P. Page, and J.A. Peters, "Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System." <u>Computers and Biomedical</u> Research 7:230-248, 1974.
- Miller, R.G., <u>Simultaneous Statistical Inference</u>. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966.
- Saffiotti, U., R. Montesano, A.R. Sellakumar, F. Cefis, and D.G. Kaufman, "Respiratory Tract Carcinogenesis in Hamsters Induced by Different Numbers of Administration of Benzo (a) Pyrene and Ferric Oxide." Cancer Research 32:1073-1079, 1972.
- Sax, N.I., "General Chemicals." <u>Dangerous Properties of Industrial</u> <u>Materials</u>, N.I. Sax, editor. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, 1975.
- Society of Dyers and Colourists, <u>Colour Index</u>, 2nd edition, Volume 3. Yorkshire, England, 1956.
- Tarone, R.E., "Tests for Trend in Life-Table Analysis." <u>Biometrika</u> 62:679-682, 1975.
- U.S. International Trade Commission, <u>Synthetic Organic Chemicals</u>, <u>United States Production and Sales, 1975</u>. USITC Publication 804, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1977.
- Wynder, E.L., J. Onderdonk, and N. Mantel, "An Epidemiological Investigation of Cancer of the Bladder." Cancer 16:1388-1407, 1963.

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

# TABLE AI SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	CONTFOL (UNTF) 01-0360	LOW DOSE 01-0385	HIGH DOSE 91-0390
NIMALS INITTALLY IN STUDY NIMALS NPCPOPSIED NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY**	55 54 54	55 54 54	55 55 55
NT EGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILIOMA SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA	(54) 2 (4%)	(54) 1 (2%)	(55) 3 (5%)
FIBRCMA *SUBCUT TISSUE FIBROMA FIBRCSARCOMA	2 (4%) (54) 2 (4%)	(54) 2 (4%)	(55) 2 (4%)
PESPTPATORY SYSTPM #LUNG HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINONA, METAST	(ca)	(54)	(55) 1 (2%)
ALVBOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA PHEOCHROMCCYTOMA, METASTATIC SARCCMA, NOS, UNC PRIM OR META FIBROSARCCMA, METASTATIC		1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 3 (5%)
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Malignant Lymphoma, Nos Undifferentiated leukemia	(54) 1 (2%) 13 (24%)	(54)	(55)
NYELCHONOCYTIC LEUKEMIA LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	4 (7%)		1 (2%)
#SPLEEN MALIG.LYMPHONA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(54)	(54) 1 (2%)	(55)
<pre>#MANDIBULAR L. MODE SOUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA</pre>	(53)	(*1)	(49) <u>1 (2%)</u>

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED \*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

.

#### TABLE A1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 01-0360	LOW DOSE 01-0385	HIGH DOSE 01-0390
#THYMUS THYMOMA	(47)	(42) 2 (5%)	(44)
IFCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONB			
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVEP NEOPLASTIC NODULE	(54)	(54) 3 (6%)	(55) 3 (5%)
HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA HEMANGIOMA		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#STONACH SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA	(53)	(54) 2 (4%)	(55)
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA BASAL-CELL CARCINOMA	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#JEJUNUM LETOMYONA	(*2)	(53) 1 (2%)	(55)
TILBUM LEIOMYOSARCOMA	(52)	(53) 1 (2%)	(55)
PINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY HAMARTOMA +	(53)	(54) 1 (2%)	(55)
#UPIMARY BLADD3P TPANSTTIONAL-CELL PAPILLCMA	(51)	(52)	(55) 1 (2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
PITUTTARY ADENOMA, NOS	(48)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)
CHROMOPHOBE ADENCMA ACIDOPHIL ADENOMA	4 (9%) 1 (2%)	5 (12%)	3 (6%)
BASOPHIL ADENOMA			5 (10%

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIPD

.

+ THIS IS CONSIDERED TO BE A BENIGN FORM OF THE MALIGNANT MIXED TUMOR OF THE KIDNEY AND CON-SISTS OF PROLIFERATIVE LIPOCYTES, TUBULAR STRUCTURES, FIBROBLASTS, AND VASCULAR SPACES IN VARYING PROPORTIONS.

#### TABLE A1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 01-0360		HIGH DOSE 01-0390
BASOPHIL CARCINONA			1 (2%)
FADRENAL	(54)	(54)	(54)
CORTICAL ADENONA	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
PHEOCHROMOCYTONA	12 (22%)		6 (11%)
PHEOCHRONOCYTONA, MALIGNANT	12 (22%) 2 (4%)	6 (11%)	· · ·
THYPOID	(53)	(49)	(50)
FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA			1 (2%)
FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINONA		1 (2%)	• •
C-CEIL ADENCHA	3 (611)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
C-CELL CARCINONA		1 (2%)	2 (4%)
PAPILLARY CYSTADENOMA, NOS		1 (2%)	
PANCREATIC ISLETS	(53)	(52)	(51)
ISLET-CELL ADENONA	1 (2%)	3 (6%)	2 (4%)
ISIET-CELL CARCINCHA	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
EPPO DUCTIVE SISTEM MAMMARY GLAND INTRADUCTAL PAPILLOMA PIBROADENOMA	(54) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(54) 3 (6%)	(55)
PREPUTIAL GLAND	(54)	(54)	(55)
CARCINONA.NOS	1 (2%)	6 (11%)	1 (25)
ADENCHA, NOS	• (2%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%)
PROSTATE	(52)	(50)	(53)
ADENOHA, NOS		1 (2%)	
TESTIS	(54)	(54)	(55)
INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR	53 (98%)	45 (83%)	47 (85%)
HEMANGIONA			1 (2%)
RVOUS SISTEN			
BRAIN	(54)	(53)	(55)
CERUMINOUS CARCINOMA, METASTATIC	1 (2%)		
ECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
EXE	(54)	(54)	(55)
SOUA MOUS CELL CARCINONA	1 (2 4)	. – .	· ·

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICAILY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

· .

#### TABLE A1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 01-0360	LOW DOSE 01-0385	HIGH DOSE 01-0390
*BAR	(54)	(54)	(55)
CEPUMINOUS CARCINOMA	1 (2%)		• •
*EAR CANAL		(54)	(55)
CERUMINOUS CARCINOMA	1 (24)		
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BCDY CAVITIES			
* EODY CAVITIES	(54)	(54)	(55)
MESOTHELICNA, NOS MESOTHELICMA, MALIGNANT	2 (4%)		1 (2%) 2 (4%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANTHALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	55	55	55
NATURAL DEATHD	6	6	6
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	10	5	4
SCHEDULED SACRIPICE Accidentally killed			
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	39	43	45
ANIMAL MISSING	37	43	40

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

#### TABLE A1 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
	01-0360	01-0385	01-0390
IOP SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	54	50	50
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	112	100	93
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENTGN TUPCRS	53	49	49
TOTAL BENIGN TUPORS	83	76	77
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMOPS	26	18	11
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	27	20	12
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS	: 1	2	2
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	1	2	2
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-			
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT Total uncertain tumors	2 2	3	4 4
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-			
PFIMARY OR METASTATIC Total Uncertain Tumors	•	1 1	

\* SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

.

.

TABLE A2
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS
TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	CONTFOL (UNTR) 02-0360	LOW DOSE 02-0385	HIGH DOSE 02-0390
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY INIMALS NPCROPSIED INIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY *	55 54 * 54	55 55 55 55	55 55 55
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			<i>,</i>
*SKIN SQUANOUS CELL PAPILLOMA SQUANOUS CELL CAPCINOMA	(54) 2 (4%) 2 (4%)	(55) 2 (4%)	(55)
*SUBCUT TISSUE FIBROMA JIPOMA	( <sup>5</sup> 4) 1 (2\$)	(55)	(55) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
LUNG ALVEOLAP/EPONCHIOLAR ADENORA OSTEOSARCOMA	(53) 1 (2%)	(55) 1 (2%)	(55) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
EM ATO PO IFTIC SYSTEM			
*NULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG.LYMPHONA, HTSTIOCYTIC TYPE UNDIFFERENTIATED LEUKEMIA MYELCHONOCYTIC LEUKEMIA LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	(54) 8 (15%) 1 (2%)	(55) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(55) 2 (4%)
#SPLEEN NFUROPIBROSARCOMA, UNC PFIMOR M	(52) 1 (2%)	(54)	(55)
#MEDIASTINAL L.NODE UNCIPPEPENTIATED CARCJNOMA METAS	(51) 1 (2%)	(51)	(54)
#MPSENTERIC L. NODE UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA METAS	(*1) 1 (2%)	(51)	(54)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE FXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBEP ∩F ANIMALS NECROPSIED \*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS
#### TABLE A2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0360	LOW DOSE 02-0385	HIGH DOSE 02-0390
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEAFT	(53)	(54)	(55)
NEUROFIBROSARCOMA NEUROFIBROSARCOMA, UNC PRIM OR M	1 (2 %)		1 (2%)
CIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#SALIVARY GLAND	(52)	(53)	(54)
ADENOMA, NOS	3 (6%)		
#LIVER	(53)	(55)	(55)
NEOPLASTIC NODULE	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA NEURCFIBRCSARCOMA, UNC PFIM OR M	1 (2 %)		1 (2%)
#STOMACH	(51)	(55)	(55)
SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILIONA	1 (24)	1 (2%)	. ,
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA Adenocarcincha, Nos	1 (2%)		
UPINARY SYSTEM #URINARY BLADDER TRANSITIONAL-CELL CARCINOMA	(49)	(51) 2 (4%)	(54)
ENCOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUIT ARY	(48)	(51)	(54)
CARCINOMA, NOS	3 (6%)	·	
ADENCHA, NOS Chromophobe Adenoma	1 (2%) 15 (31%)	17 (33%)	18 (33%)
CHROMOPHOBE CARCINOMA	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	10 (33%)
ACIDOPHTL ADENOMA Basophil Adenoma	1 (2%)		1 (2%)
			. (27)
#ADRENAL	(53)	(55)	(54)
CORTICAL ADENOMA Pheochromccytoma	1 (2%) 3 (6%)	2 (4%) 2 (4%)	2 (4%)
ANGICLIPOMA	1 (2%)	2 (47)	2 (4%)
#THYROID	(49)	(46)	(55)
UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA	1 (2%)		

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

#### TABLE A2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0360	LOW DOSE 02-0385	HIGH DOSE 02-0390
C-CEIL ADENCMA	1 (2%)	4 (9%)	4 (7%)
C-CELL CARCINOMA	3 (6*)	1 (2%)	
<pre>#PANCREATIC ISIETS ISLFT-CELL ADFNOMA</pre>	(52) 1 (2%)	(47)	(5ª)
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*HAMMARY GLAND	(54)	(55)	(55)
ADENOMA, NOS	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
ADENCCARCINCMA, NOS	2 (4*)		1 (2%)
PAPILLARY ADENOCARCINCHA		1 (2%)	
INTRADUCTAL PAPILLONA		1 (2%)	
FIBROSAPCOMA	44 13.00	1 (2%)	6 ( <b>1</b>
PIBPCADENCMA	16 (30%)	4 (7%)	4 (7%)
*CLITORAL GLAND	(=4)	(55)	(55)
CARCINOMA, NOS	2 (4%)	N 3 31	(33)
ADENCHA, NOS	- , , ,	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#UTEPUS	(52)	(53)	(55)
ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL POLYP	16 (31%)	11 (21%)	14 (25%)
ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL SARCOMA	(2.1.1)	()	1 (2%)
#UTEPUS/ENDOMETRIUM	(52)	(53)	(55)
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	(	2 (4%)	
#O VA BY	(53)	(48)	(55)
GRANULOSA-CELL TUMOR	1 (2*)		(,
TUBULAR ADENOMA	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	
ER VOUS SYSTEM			
#BFAIN	(52)	(55)	(55)
CAPCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	2 (4%)	1 -1	(55)
CHPONOPHOBE CAPCINONA, METASTATI			
GLIOMA, NOS		2 (4%)	
PECTAL SENSE OPGANS			
*878	(54)	(55)	(55)
SQUAMOUS CELL CAPCINOMA	2 (4%)	• •	• •

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICAILY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

#### TABLE A2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTP) 02-0360	LOW DOSE 02-0385	HIGH DOSE 02-0390
MIXED TUMCR, MALIGNANT		1 (2%)	***********
*EAR CANAL	(54)	(55)	(55)
SOUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA	· ,	· /	1 (2%)
CERUMINOUS CARCINCMA	1 (2%)		
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
ECDY CAVITIES			
*AEDOMINAL WALL	(54)	(55)	(55)
HEMANGIOMA			1 (2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*MOLTIPLE ORGANS	(=4)	(55)	(55)
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	1 (2%)		
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	55	55	55
NATURAL DEATHO	6	5	1
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	13	7	4
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE			
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED			
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	36	43	50
ANIMAL MISSING			

# NUMBER OF ANTMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSTED

#### TABLE A2 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LON DOSE	HIGH DOSE
	02-0360	02-0385	02-0390
NOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TURCES*	52	38	42
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	100	64	58
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TURCRS	45	29	36
TOTAL BENIGN TURORS	67	46	48
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMOPS	24	14	8
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMOPS	28	17	8
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDAPY TUMORS TOTAL SPCONDARY TUMORS	# 5 6		
TOTAL ANIMAIS WITH TUMOPS DUCERTAIN BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCEPTAIN TUMOPS	- 2 2	1	2 2
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCEPTAIN PFIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL INCERTAIN TUMORS	- 1 3		_

A-12

# APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE TREATED WITH P-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

# TABLE B1 SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	CONTROL (UNTR) 05-0360	LOW DOSE 05-0395	HIGH DOSE 05-0400
ANIMALS INTTIALLY IN STUDY Animals Missing	55	55 1	55
ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED RISTOPATHCLOGICALLY*	55 * 55	54 54	55 55 55
INTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN SQUAMOUS CEIL PAPILLOMA	(55) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )	(54)	(55)
*SUBCUT TISSUE PTBROMA FIBROSARCCMA	(55) 2 (4*) 1 (2*)	(54)	(55)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#IUNG HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINONA, METAST ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVECLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CAPCINOMA		(54) 2 (4%) 5 (9%) 3 (6%)	(54) 10 (19%) 7 (13%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*HULTIPLE ORGANS MALIGWANT LYMPHONA, NOS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIPPER-TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE MALIGWANT LYMPHOMA, MIXEC TYPP	(55) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(54) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(55) 2 (45) 2 (45)
GRANULOCYTIC SARCOMA	÷ (4x)		2 (4%)
#SPTBEN HBMANGIOMA	(51) 1 (2%)	(54)	(54)
*MESENTERIC L. NODE HEPATOCELIULAR CARCINOMA, METAST	(48) 1 (27)	(51)	(52)
#JEJUNUM NALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE	(50) <u>1 (2%)</u>	( 5 3)	(54)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\*\* EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

TABLE B1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTPOL (UNTR) 05-0360		HIGH DOSE 05-0400
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
ALIVER HEPATOCEILULAR ADENOMA HPPATOCEILULAR CAPCINOMA ANGICSAPCOMA	(54) 9 (7%) 24 (44%)	(54) 8 (15%) 14 (26%)	(54) 7 (13%) 17 (31%) 1 (2%)
#STOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA	(51)	(53) 2 (4%)	(51)
IRINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY/PPLVIS TPANSITIONAL-CELL PAPILLOMA	(54) 1 (2%)	(54)	(54)
NEOCRINF SYSTEM			
#ADPENAL Cortical Adenoma	(50) 1 (2%)	(52)	(53)
#ADRENAI/CAPSUL ADENOMA, NOS	(50) 5 (10%)	( 52)	(53)
*THYPOID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA	(48)	(48) 1 (2%)	(46)
*PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(49) 2 (4 <b>4</b> )	( 52)	(52)
PEPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
NONE			
VERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUP EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE B1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTPOL (UNTR) 05-0360	LOW DOSE 05-0395	HIGH DOSE 05-0400
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*HARDERIAN GLAND	(55)	(54)	(55)
ADENOMA, NOS		1 (2%)	
PAPILLARY ADENOMA			1 (2%)
CYSTADENOMA, NOS	1 (2록)		
PAPILLARY CYSTADENOMA, NOS		1 (2%)	2 (4%)
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
ECDY CAVITIES			
SCOT CAVITIES			
NONE			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMAPY			
BRIMATC THEOTATE TO CONNY	55	55	<b>~ 5</b>
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHO	55 Q	226	, r u
MOPIBUND SACRIFICE	2	1	1
COLEDOND OUCDELICT	4		
SCHEDUTED SACRIFICE			
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE ACCIDENTALLY KILLED			
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE Accidentally killed Terminal sacrifice	<b>4</b> 4	47	50

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

#### TABLE B1 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 05-0360	LOW DOSE 05-0395	
FUNOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TURCES*	43	29	36
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	59	38	51
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN THMCRS	22	14	19
TOTAL BENIGN THMORS	24	18	20
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	29	19	26
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	35	20	31
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#	4	2	
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	5	2	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMOPS UNCEPTAIN- BENIGN OF MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCEPTAIN TUMOPS			
TCTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCEPTAIN- PEIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCEPTAIN TUMORS			

TABLE B2	
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE	
TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE	

.

	CONTFOL (UNIB) 06-0360	LOW DOSE 06-0395	HIGH DOSE 06-0400
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	55	55	55
NIMALS HISSING		1	1
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	55	54	50
NIMALS BXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	¥¥ 55	54	50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(55)	(54)	(50)
BASAL-CELL CARCINOMA	• •	2 (4%)	• •
HENA NGIOMA	1 (2¶)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG	(55)	(54)	(50)
CARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC		· ·	1 (2%)
HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINONA, METAST	2 (4%)		. ,
ALVECLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENONA	3 (5 %)	3 (6%)	2 (4%)
ALVFOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA	1 (24)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
IFN ATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE OPGANS	(55)	(54)	(50)
MALIGNANT LYMPHCMA, NOS	1 (2%)		1 (2%)
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPF	• •	1 (2%)	
MALIG. LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE	2 (4 %)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
MALIG. LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPF		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE	6 (11%)	2 (4%)	4 (8%)
LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKENIA	4 (7%)	· ·	
GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	• • •	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
*SPLEEN	(53)	(53)	(49)
NEOPLASH, NOS		1 (2%)	
HEMANGIOSARCOMA	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXEE TYPE	1 (2*)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE	(47)	(49)	(40)
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE			1 (3%)
MALIG. LYMPHONA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPP			1 (3%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED \*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

.

#### TABLE B2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 06-0360	LOW DOSE 06-0395	BIGH DOSE 06-0400
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE	2 (4%)		
\$LIVER	(54)	(53)	(50)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS Malig.lymphona, lymphocytic type Malig.lymphoma, histiocytic type	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	
<pre>#PRYFRS PATCH MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTTC TYPE</pre>	(52)	(52) 1 (2%)	(49)
#ТНУМUS ПУМОМА	(35) 1 (3*)	(38)	(37)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HFART HEMANGTOMA	(55) 1 (2%)	(53)	(49)
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
*LIVER	(54)	(53)	(50)
HEPATOCELLULAP ADENOMA HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA HEMANGIOMA	4 (7%) 7 (13%) 1 (2%)	5 (9%) 5 (9%)	5 (10%) 1 (2%)
#STOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILIONA	(53) 2 (4 <b>%</b> )	(52)	(48)
#DUODENUM CARCINOMA,NOS	(52)	(52)	(49) 1 (2%)
PINARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
NDCCRINF SYSTEM			
*PITUITARY	(42)	(48)	(38)
ADENOMA, NOS Chromophobe Adenema	2 (5%)	3 (6%)	2 (5%)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

#### TABLE B2 (CONTINUED)

.

	CONTROL (UNTR) QE-0360	LOW DOSE 06-0395	HIGH DOSH 06-0400
#ADRENAL PHEOCHROMOCYTONA	(50) 1 (27)	(53)	(45)
THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA	(48) 1 (2%)	(46) 2 (4%)	(38) 1 (3%)
PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENCMA	(49)	(52)	(47) 1 (2%)
EPROFUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND ACINAR-CELL CARCINOMA FIBROADBNOMA	(55) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(54)	(50)
#UTERUS NEOPLASH, NOS, MALIGNANT ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL POLYP	(54) 1 (23)	(5 <sup>n</sup> ) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)
#OVARY PAPILLARY CYSTADENOMA, NOS PAPILLARY CYSTADENOCARCINOMA,NOS HEMANGIOSARCOMA	(50)	(51) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)
IR VOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
PECIAL SENSE CRGANS			
*HARDERIAN GLAND PAPILLAPY ADENOMA PAPILLARY CYSTADENOMA, NCS	(55)	(54) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
US CULOS KFIFTAL SYSTEM			
NCNE			
CDY CAVITIES			
*BODY CAVITIES MESOTHELIONA, NOS	(55) <u>1 (2*)</u>	(54)	(50)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE B2 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTPOL (UNTR) 06-0360	LOW DOSE 06-0395	HIGH DOSE 06-0400
ABDOMINAI CAVITY GANGLIONBUPOMA	(55)	(54) 1 (2%)	(50)
L OTHER SYSTEMS			
NULTIPIE OPGANS NEOPLASM, NOS	(55)		(50)
IMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	55	55	55
NATURAL DEATHO	7	10	10
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	4	1	1
SCHEDULED SACPIFICE			
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACPIFICE	44	1 42	43
ANTMAL MISSING	44	42	43
NOR SUMMARY			
NOR SUMMARY Fotal Antmals with primary turces* Total primary tumors	34 50	33 40	24 30
FOTAL ANTMALS WITH PRIMARY TURCES*			
TOTAL ANTMAIS WITH PRIMAPY TUMOPS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMOPS TOTAL BENIGN TUMOPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMOPS	50 15 19 527	40 16 17 20	30 10 14 15
TOTAL ANTMALS WITH PRIMAPY TURCPS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	50 15 19	40 16 17	30 10 14
TOTAL ANTMAIS WITH PRIMAPY TUMOPS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMOPS TOTAL BENIGN TUMOPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMOPS	50 15 19 5 27 30	40 16 17 20	30 10 14 15
TOTAL ANTMALS WITH PRIMAPY TURCES* TOTAL ANTMALS WITH BENIGN TURCES TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TURCES TOTAL BENIGN TUROES TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TURCES TOTAL MALIGNANT TUNOES	50 15 19 5 27 30 5 2 7 30	40 16 17 20	30 10 14 15 15 15
YOTAL ANTMALS WITH PRIMAPY TURCES* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS YOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUNCES TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUNCES TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS YOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN SERIGN OR MALIGNANT	50 15 19 527 30 5 27 30 5 2 2	40 16 17 20 22 1	30 10 14 15 15 1 1 1
TOTAL ANTMAIS WITH PRIMAPY TUMCPS* TOTAL ANIMAIS WITH BENIGN TUMCPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMCPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMCPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDAPY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDAPY TUMORS	50 15 19 5 27 30 5 2 2 2	40 16 17 20 22	30 10 14 15 15 15 1 1
TOTAL ANTMAIS WITH PRIMAPY TUMCPS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMCPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMCPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN BEMIGN OR MALIGNANT	50 15 19 527 30 5*27 30 5*2 2 1- 1	40 16 17 20 22 1	30 10 14 15 15 1 1 1
TOTAL ANTMALS WITH PRIMAPY TURCES* TOTAL ANTMALS WITH BENIGN TURCES TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TURCES TOTAL BENIGN TUROES TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TURCES TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUROES TOTAL SECONDARY TUROES TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUROES UNCERTAIN BERIGN OF MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUROES	50 15 19 527 30 5*27 30 5*2 2 1- 1	40 16 17 20 22 1	30 10 14 15 15 1 1 1
TOTAL ANTMAIS WITH PRIMAPY TUMOPS TOTAL ANTMAIS WITH BENIGN TUMOPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMOPS TOTAL ANIMAIS WITH MALIGNANT TUMOPS TOTAL ANIMAIS WITH MALIGNANT TUMOPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDAPY TUMOPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMOPS UNCERTAIN BEMIGN OF MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMOPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMOPS UNCERTAIN	50 15 19 527 30 5*27 30 5*2 2 1- 1	40 16 17 20 22 1	30 10 14 15 15 1 1 1
TOTAL ANTMALS WITH PRIMAPY TUMCPS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS FOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMCPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMCPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMCPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDAPY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDAPY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN BEWIGN OF MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN PETMARY OF METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	50 15 19 5 27 30 5 2 2 1 - 1 1 - 1	40 16 17 20 22 1	30 10 14 15 15 1 1 1
TOTAL ANTMAIS WITH PRIMAPY TUMCPS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMCPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMCPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMCPS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN PETAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN	50 15 19 5 27 30 5 2 2 1 1 1 1 5 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	40 16 17 20 22 1	30 10 14 15 15 1 1 1

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

APPENDIX C

TABLE C1
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS
TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	CONTRCL (UNTR) 01-0360		HIGH DOST 01-0390
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	55	55	55
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	54	54	55
ANIMALS FRAMIMED HISTOPATHOLOGICAL	L¥ ** 54	54	55
INTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN	(54)	(54)	(55)
EPIDERNAL INCLUSION CYST			2 (4%)
ULCER, NOS		1 (2%)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC			1 (2%)
POLYP, INFLAMMATORY			1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#I UNG/BRONCHUS	(54)	(54)	(55)
BRONCHIECTASIS	1 (2%)	4 (7%)	11 (20)
ABSCESS, NOS		2 (4%)	2 (4%)
#L UNG	(54)	(54)	(55)
ERON CHOPNEUMONIA, NOS	2 (4%)	2 (4%)	3 (5%)
ABSCESS, NOS		3 (6%)	1 (2%)
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE	2 (4 *)	14 (26%)	4 (7%)
GRANULCMA, FOREIGN BODY			1 (2%)
#LUNG/ALVEOLI	(54)	(54)	(55)
CALCIFICATION, NOS		1 (2%)	
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
#BONE MARROW	( 52)	(54)	(55)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL	1 (2*)		
HYPEPPIASIA, NOS	7 (13%)		
HYPEPPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC			1 (2%)
#SPLEEN	(*4)	(54)	(55)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL	1 (2%)		

.

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\*\* EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

#### TABLE C1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 01-0360	LOW DOSE 01-0385	BIGH DOSE 01-0390
ATROPHY, NOS HEMATOPOIESIS	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)
ANANDIBULAR L. NODE INPLAMMATION, CHRONIC INPLAMMATION, GRANULONATOUS HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(53)	(51) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
MEDIASTINAL L.NODE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(53)	(51)	(49) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)
#LUMBAR LYMPH NODE TNPLAMMATION, CHRONIC HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(53)	(51) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#RENAL LYMPH NODE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC HEMOSIDEROSIS HYPEPPLASIA, NOS</pre>	(53)	(51) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#AXILLAPY LYMPH NODE INFLAMMATION, CHFONIC	(53)	(51)	(49) 1 (2%)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART THROMBUS, MURAL PERIAPTERITIS	(54) 1 (23) 1 (23)	(53) 2 (4%)	(55) 1 (2%)
#MYOCARDIUM INPLAMMATION, FOCAL	(54) 1 (2%)	(53)	(55)
DEGENERATION, NOS *CPLIAC ARTERY THROMBOSIS, NOS	16 (30%) (54) 1 (2%)	14 (26 <b>%</b> ) (54)	12 (22 <b>%</b> ) (55)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER CONGESTION, CHRONIC PASSIVE CHOLANGIOFIBROSIS NECROSIS, FOCAL	(54) 9 (17%) 1 (2%)	(54) 4 (7%)	(55) 3 (5%) 2 (4%)

# TABLE C1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 01-0360	LOW DOSE 01-0385	HIGH DOSE 01-0390
NECROSIS, FAT	1 (2¶)		
BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
CLEAP-CELL CHANGE	1 (2¶)	2 (4%)	
BILE DUCT	(54)	(54)	(55)
TNPLAMMATION, NOS	1 (2%)		
PANCREAS	(53)	(52)	(51)
DILATATION/DUCTS	1 (2%)		
PERIARTERITIS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
ATFOPHY, POCAL		1 (2%)	2 (4%)
STONACH	(53)	(54)	(55)
ULCER, NOS	2 (44)		
BROSION	1 (24)	1 (2%)	
PERIARTERITIS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, BASAL CELL	14 (26%)	10 (19%)	24 (44%)
GASTRIC HUCOSA	(53)	(54)	(55)
CALCIFICATION, NOS		1 (2%)	• •
RINARY SYSTEM			
RIDNEY CYST, NOS NEPHROSIS, NOS NEPHPOSIS, CHOLEMIC	(53) 26 (49%) 2 (4%)	(54) 1 (2%) 33 (61%) 1 (2%)	
KIDNEY CIST, NOS NEPHPOSIS, NOS NEPHPOSIS, CHOLEMIC CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	26 (49%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%) 33 (61%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 20 (36%) 2 (4%)
KIDNEY CYST, NOS NEPHPOSIS, NOS NEPHPOSIS, CHOLEMIC CALCIFICATION, POCAL KKIDNEY/TUBULE	26 (49%) 2 (4%) (53)	1 (2%) 33 (61%)	1 (2%) 20 (36%)
KIDNEY CIST, NOS NEPHPOSIS, NOS NEPHPOSIS, CHOLEMIC CALCIFICATION, POCAL	26 (49%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%) 33 (61%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 20 (36%) 2 (4%)
KIDNEY CYST, NOS NEPHPOSIS, NOS NEPHPOSIS, CHOLEMIC CALCIFICATION, POCAL KIDNEY/TUBULE NECROSIS, NOS PEGEFERATION, NCS	26 (49%) 2 (4%) (53) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 33 (61%) 1 (2%) (54) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 20 (36%) 2 (4%)
KIDNEY CYST, NOS NEPHPOSIS, NOS NEPHPOSIS, CHOLEMIC CALCIFICATION, POCAL KIDNEY/TUBULE NECPOSIS, NOS PEGEFEPATION, NCS	26 (49%) 2 (4%) (53)	1 (2%) 33 (61%) 1 (2%) (54)	1 (2%) 20 (36%) 2 (4%) (55)
KIDNEY CYST, NOS NEPHPOSIS, NOS NEPHPOSIS, CHOLEMIC CALCIPICATION, POCAL KIDNEY/TUBULE NECPOSIS, NOS PEGEPEPATION, NCS KIDPEY/PELVIS HYPEPPLASIA, FPITHPLIAI	26 (49%) 2 (4%) (53) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 33 (61%) 1 (2%) (54) 1 (2%) (54)	1 (2%) 2n (36%) 2 (4%) (55)
KIDNEY CYST, NOS NEPHPOSIS, NOS NEPHPOSIS, CHOLEMIC CALCIFICATION, POCAL KIDNEY/TUBULE NECFOSIS, NOS PEGEPEPATION, NCS KIDMEY/PELVIS HYPEPPLASIA, FPITHPLIAI	26 (49%) 2 (4%) (53) 1 (2%) (53)	1 (2%) 33 (61%) 1 (2%) (54) 1 (2%) (54) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 20 (36%) 2 (4%) (55)
KIDNEY CYST, NOS NEPHPOSIS, NOS NEPHPOSIS, CHOLEMIC CALCIFICATION, POCAL KIDNEY/TUBULE NECPOSIS, NOS PEGEFEPATION, NCS KIDNEY/PELVIS HYPEPPLASIA, FPITHPLIAI	26 (49%) 2 (4%) (53) 1 (2%) (53)	1 (2%) 33 (61%) 1 (2%) (54) 1 (2%) (54) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 20 (36%) 2 (4%) (55) (55)
KIDNEY CYST, NOS NEPHPOSIS, NOS NEPHPOSIS, CHOLEMIC CALCIFICATION, FOCAL KRIDNEY/TUBULE NECFOSIS, NOS PEGEFEPATION, NCS KKIDNEY/PELVIS HYPEPPLASIA, FPITHFLIAI FURINARY ELADDER CALCULUS, NOS	26 (49%) 2 (4%) (53) 1 (2%) (53)	1 (2%) 33 (61%) 1 (2%) (54) 1 (2%) (54) 1 (2%)	(55) (55) (25) (2) (36%) (4%) (55) (55) (2%)
KIDNEY CYST, NOS NEPHPOSIS, NOS NEPHPOSIS, CHOLEMIC CALCIFICATION, POCAL KIDNEY/TUBULE NECROSIS, NOS PEGEFERATION, NCS KIDNEY/PELVIS HYPEPPLASIA, FPITHPLIAI KURINARY ELADDER CALCULUS, NOS HYPEPPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	26 (49%) 2 (4%) (53) 1 (2%) (53)	1 (2%) 33 (61%) 1 (2%) (54) 1 (2%) (54) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 20 (36%) 2 (4%) (55) (55) 1 (2%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

٠

#### TABLE C1 (CONTINUED)

.

	CONTROL (UNTR) 01-0360	LOW DOSE 01-0385	HIGH DOSE 01-0390
HYPERPIASIA, FOCAL Hyperplasia, basophilic	1 (2%) 2 (4♥)	1 (2%)	
*PITUITARY/BASOPHIL NODULP	(4P)	(49) 3 (5 <b>%</b> )	(50) 3 (6 <b>%</b> )
#ADRENAL	(54)	(54)	(54)
CIST, NOS Hemofrhage Hipepplasia, nodulaf	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#ADPENAL CORTEX Hyperplasta, Nos	(54) 1 (2%)	(54)	(54)
#ADRENAL MEDUILA Hypppplasia, Nos	(54)	(54) 1 (2%)	(54) 1 (2%)
<pre>#THYROID HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL</pre>	(53)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)
*PANCREATIC ISLETS Hyperplasia, Nos	(53) 1 (2%)	(52)	(51) 2 (4%)
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*NAMNARY GLAND GALACT OC ELE	(54)	(54)	(55) 1 (2%)
*PPEPUTIAL GLAND ABSCFSS, NOS INPLAMMATICN, CHRONIC	(54) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(5ª)	(55)
#PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	(52)	( 50)	(53) 1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACOTE AND CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	1 (2*)	1 (2%)	(28)
*TESTIS PERIAPTER IT IS	(54)	(54) 1 (2%)	(55)
ATROFHY, NOS HYPEPPLASIA, INTERSTITIAL CFLL		6 (11%)	3 (5%) 1 (2%)
*SCPOTUM NECROSIS, PAT	(54)	(54)	(55)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

#### TABLE C1 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 01-0360	LOW DOSE 01-0385	HIGH DOSE 01-0390
EFVOUS SYSTEM			
#CERFBRAL VENTRICLE HEMORRHAGE	<del>(</del> 54) 1 (2≭)	(53)	(55)
#BRAIN HEMORRHAGE	(54) 1 (2%)	(53)	(55) 2 (4 <b>%</b> )
PECTAL SENSP ORGANS			
*EYE HFMORPHAGE	(54) 1 (2%)	(54)	(55)
*EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND INFLAMMATION, NOS	(54) 1 (2男)	(54)	(55)
US CULOS KELPTAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
ODY CAVITITS			
*ABDCMINAL CAVITY NECPOSIS, PAT CALCIPICATION, NOS	(54) 9 (17%)	(54) 6 (11%) 1 (2%)	(55) 6 (11¶)
LL OTHEP SYSTEMS			
NONE			
PECIAL MCREHCLOGY SUMMARY			

TABLE C2
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS
TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	CONTROL (UNIR) 02-0360	LOW DOSE 02-0385	HIGH DOSE 02-0390
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	55	55	55
VIMALS NECROPSIED	54	55	55
INALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	** 54	55	55
TEGUNENTARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
ESFIRATORY SYSTEM			
LUNG/BPONCHUS	(53)	(55)	(55)
PRONCHIECTASIS	(	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
ABSCESS, NOS		2 (4%)	1 (2%)
#LUNG	(53)	(55)	(55)
ERONCHOPNEUMONIA, NOS		1 (2%)	
ABSCESS, NOS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE	3 (6 %)	9 (16%)	8 (15%)
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL GRANULCHATON			1 (2%)
MFTAPLASIA, NOS	1 (2 *)		1 (2%)
FN ATOPOIFTIC SYSTEM			
#BONE MARROW	(53)	(53)	(55)
HYPOPLASIA, NOS		1 (2%)	
HISTIOCITCSIS	1 (2%)		1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, ERYTHROID			1 (2%)
SPLEEN	(52)	(54)	(55)
INFARCT, NOS		1 (2%)	
HEMOSIDERCSIS		1 (2%)	41 (75%)
HEMATOPOIESIS	1 (2 *)		1 (2%)
UNBAR LYMPH NODE	(~1)	(51)	(54)
INFLAMMATION, CHPONIC	1 (2%)		
PENAL LYMPH NODE	(51)	(51)	(54)
INFLAMMATION, CHPONIC	1 (2%)		· ·

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\*\* EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

# TABLE C2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0360	LOW DOSE 02-0385	HIGH DOSE 02-0390
#AXILLAFY LYMPH NODE HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(51)	(51) 1 (2%)	(54)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM	•		
#NYO CAP DIUM	(53)	(54)	(55)
DEGENERATION, NOS	<u> </u>	3 (6%)	4 (7%)
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER	(53)	(55)	(55)
CONGESTION, PASSIVE	· - ·	1 (2%)	<b>、 ,</b>
CHOL ANGTO FIBROSIS	2 (4%)		
NECROSIS, FOCAL			1 (2%)
NETA MOPPHCSIS PATTY	6 (11%)		
CALCIFICATION, FOCAL BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE	10 (105)	1 (2%)	2 (11)
POCAL CELLULAR CHANGE	10 (19%)	3 (5%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%)
CLEAR-CELL CHANGE		1 (2%)	(24)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		1 (2%)	
*BILE DUCT	(54)	(55)	(55)
DILATATION, NOS		1 (2%)	()
#PANCRE AS	(52)	(47)	(54)
ATROPHY, NOS	(	1 (2%)	(2.)
ATROPHY, FOCAL	1 (2*)	4 (9%)	3 (6%)
#STOHACH	(51)	(55)	(55)
ULCER, NOS	1 (2%)		• •
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE		1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, BASAL CELL	11 (22%)	9 (16%)	14 (25%)
RINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY	(52)	(55)	(55)
WEPHROSIS, NOS	2 (4*)	2 (4%)	
NEPHROSIS, CHOLEMIC	1 (21)		31 (56%)
GLOMERULOSCLEROSIS, NOS	1 (2%)	5 (05)	10 (000)
CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	5 (10%)	5 (9%)	12 (22%)
#UFINARY BLADDER	(49)	(51)	(54)
HYPEPPLAS TA, EPITHELIAL	• •	3 (6%)	

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUS EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMERR OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE C2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0360	LOW DOSE 02-0385	HIGH DOSE 02-0390
NDOCRINE SYSTEM			
*PITUITARY	(48)	(51)	(54)
CYST, NOS Hyperplasia, Nos	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
#ADRENAL COPTEX	(53)	(55)	(54)
NODULE		1 (2%)	
HYPERPIASIA, NODULAR Hyperpiasia, Nos	1 (2*)	4 (7%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%)
*ADRENAL MEDUILA	(53)	(55)	(54)
NECROSIS, NOS	1 (2%)	(20)	(54)
*THYROID	(49)	(46)	(55)
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL		3 (7%)	2 (4%)
*PARAIHYROID	(15)	(23)	(27)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1 (ግኝ)		
*PANCREATIC ISLETS	(52)	(47)	(54)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1 (2%)		
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*HAMMARY GLAND	(54)	(55)	(55)
GALACTOCELE	6 (11%)	1 (2%)	
#UTRRUS	(52)	(53)	(55)
HYDROMFTPA Thrombosis, Nos	2 (4%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
PYOMETRA		2 (4%)	
ABSCESS, NOS		1 (2%)	
#CERVIX UTERI	(52)	(53)	(55)
POLYP, INFLAMMATORY	1 (2%)		
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM	(52)	(53)	(55)
INPLAMMATION, ACUTE Hyperplasia, nos		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#OVARY/OVIDUCT	(52)	(53)	(55)
ABSCESS, NOS	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	(22)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSHE FXAMINED "TOPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE C2 (CONCLUDED)

·	CONTROL (UNTR) 02-0369	LOW DOSE 02-0385	HIGH DOSE 02-0390
OVARI CIST, NOS	(53)	(48) 2 (48)	(55)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	
ER VOUS SYSTEM			
#BRAIN HIDROCEPHALUS, NOS	(52)	(55) 1 (2%)	(55)
#CEREBELLUM HEMOPRHAGE	(~2)	( 55)	(55) 1 (2%)
*SPINAL CORD HEMORRHAGE	(54)	(55) 1 (2%)	(55)
PECIAL SPNSE ORGANS			
*EYE PHTHISIS BULBI	(54)	(55) 1 (2%)	(55) 2 (4%)
USCULOS KELFTAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
CDY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY NECROSIS, PAT	(54) 6 (11%)	(55) 2 (4%)	(55) 4 (7%)
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
PECIAL FOREHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED Autolysis/No Necropsy	1	3	

C-II

. . .

APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

TABLE D1
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE
TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	CONTFOL (UNIR) 05-0360	LOW DOSE 05-0395	HIGH 05-0	
AWIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	55	55	55	
ANIMALS MISSING ANTMALS NECROPSIED	55	1 54	55	
ANIMALS RECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHCLOGICALLY *		54	55	
INTEGUNENTAPY SÝSTEN				
*SKTN	(55)	(54)	(55)	
EPIDEPMAL INCLUSION CYST	1 (2%)			
PCLYP, INFLAMMATORY	1 (27)			
PESPTRATORY SYSTEM				
#L UNG	(54)	(54)	(54)	
HYPEREMIA				(2%)
HYPEPPIASIA, ADENCMATOUS Leukemoid reaction				(2%)
				(2%)
FRATOPOLETIC SYSTEN #SPLEEN	, (51)	(54)	(54)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(51)	2 (4%)	(54)	
HEMATOPOIESIS	2 (4%)	12 (22%)	5	(9%)
#MANDIBUIAR L. NODE	(48)	(51)	(52)	
INFLAMMATION, GRANULOMATOUS	(40)	1 (2%)	(52)	
#MESENTERIC L. NODE	(48)	(51)	(52)	
CONGESTION, NOS	6 (13%)			
INFLAMMATION, GRANULOMATCUS		1 (2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1 (2¶)			
HISTICCYTOSIS	1 (2*)			(2%)
ERYTHRCCYTOSIS		1 (2%)	1	(2%)
PIASMACYTOSIS		1 (2%)	-	
HYPE PPLASIA, LYMPHOID		5 (19%)		(4%)
HEMATOPOIESIS		6 (12%)	8	(15%)
#RENAL LYMPH NODE	(48)	(51)	(52)	
INFLAMMATION, NOS		1 (2%)	,,	

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSTED \*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

#### TABLE D1 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTP) 05-0360	LOW DOSE 05-0395	HIGH DOSE 05-9400
HYPERFLASIA, LYMPHOID			1 (2%)
#THYNUS	(39)	(34)	(27)
CYST, NOS Atrophy, Nos		1 (3%)	1 (4%)
CIPCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LTVER	(54)	(54)	(54)
INFLAMMATION, NFCROTIZING		4 (08)	1 (2%)
INPLAMMATION, ACUTE INPLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC		1 (2%)	3 (6%)
INFLAMMATION, CHPONIC			3 (6%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		1 (2%)	. ()
INFLAMMATION, PYOGRANULOMATOUS		1 (2%)	
NECROSIS, FOCAL			1 (2%)
BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE			1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS Myelcpoiesis	1 (2 %)		1 (2%)
HELCPOILSIS			1 (27)
#LIVEP/HEPATOCYTES	(54)	(54)	(54)
POLYPOID HYPERPLASIA	<b>、</b>		1 (2%)
*BILE DUCT	(55)	(54) 1 (2%)	(55)
HYPEPPLASIA, NOS		1 (25)	3 (5%)
#PANCREAS	(49)	(52)	(52)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC		, ,	1 (2%)
PERIAPTSFITIS			1 (2%)
ATROPHY, NOS	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	6 (12%)
#STOMACH	(51)	(53)	(51)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	1 (2*)	( )	( ) ( )
EPCSION		3 (F%)	1 (2%)
ATYPIA, MOS	1 (2 %)		
HYPEPKERATOSIS		3 (6%)	3 (6%)
ACANTHOSIS		3 (6%)	3 (6%)
#PEYERS PATCH	(50)	(53)	(54)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	<b>C</b> 1	1 (2%)	( · · · )

# NUMBER OF ANTMAIS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSEED

# TABLE D1 (CONTINUED)

$(48) (52) \\ 1 (28) \\ (54) (54) \\ (54) \\ 1 (28) \\ 1 (28) \\ (54) $
\$) 1 (2%) \$) 1 (2%) (54) (54) 1 (2%) (53) (54)
\$) 1 (2%) \$) 1 (2%) (54) (54) 1 (2%) (53) (54)
1 (2%) 1 (2%) (54) 1 (2%) (54) (54) (54) (54)
1 (2%) (54) (54) 1 (2%) (53) (54)
1 (2%) (53) (54)
宪) 天)
(48) (46) 2 (4%)
(52) (52) 4%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%
<b>(</b> ≦4) (55) ▼)
(52) (50) \$)
(54) (54)
2

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

#### TABLE D1 (CONCLUDED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 05-0360	LOW DOSE 05-0395	HIGH DOSE 05-0400
EPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*EYE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	(55) 1 (2¶)	(54)	(55)
CATARACT BAND KERATOPATHY	1 (2*)		1 (2%)
USCUIOSKEIETAL SYSTEM			
*SKELETAL MUSCLE PARASITISM	(55)	( <u>5</u> 4)	(55) 1 (2%)
ODY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY NECPOSIS, PAT	(55) 5 (9 <b>≭</b> )	(54)	(55)
IL OTHEP SYSTEMS			
ADIPOSE TISSUE INFARCT, NOS		1	
OMENTIM HEMATOMA, NCS	· 1		
PECIAL MCPEHCLOGY SUMMAPY			
NO LESION FEFORTED	9	13	8
ANIMAI MISSING/NO NECROPSY AUTO/NECPOPSY/HISTO PERP	1	1 1	

TABLE D2
SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE
TREATED WITH p-ANISIDINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	CONTFOL (UNTR) 06-0360	LOW DOSE 06-0395	HIGH DOSE 06-0400
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS MISSING	55	55 1	55 1
ANIMALS NECTOPSTED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHCLCGICALLY	55 ** 55	54 54	50 50
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*5 KIN	(55)	(54)	(59)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFIAMMATORY INFILTR ULCER, ACUTE		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
PESPIPATOPY SYSTEM			
#L UNG	(55)	(54)	(50)
ATELECTASIS Hypeppiasia, adencmatous Histiocytosis	1 (2%)		1 (2%) 1 (2%)
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
RONE MARPON	(52)	(54)	(50)
IN PLAMMATION, NOS Myei cfibpcsis	31 (60%)	1 (2%) 35 (65%)	30 (60%)
HYPEPPLASIA, HEMATOPOLETIC	1 (2%)	(אירס) ככ	1 (2%)
*SPLEEN	(=3)	(53)	(40)
NYELOFIBROSIS Hyppfplasia, lymphoid		1 (2%) 6 (11%)	2 (4%)
HEMATOPOIESIS	1 (2%)	13 (25%)	22 (4%)
#MANDIBULAP L. NODE	(47)	(49)	(40)
HYPEPPLASTA, LYMPHOID		1 (2%)	1 (3*)
#MESENTRRIC L. MODE	(47)	(49)	(40)
THROMBOSIS, NOS CONGESTION, NOS	1 (25)	1 (2%)	1 (3%)
HYPEPPLASIA, NOS	2 (4%)		
PLASMACYTOSIS		1 (2%)	

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED
 \*\*EXCLUDES PARTIALLY AUTOLYZED ANIMALS

# TABLE D2 (CONTINUED)

•

	CONTROL (UNTR) 06-0360	LOW DOSE 06-0395	HIGH DOSE 06-0400
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID HPMATOPOIESIS		5 (19%)	2 (5%) 3 (8%)
THYNUS ATROPHY, NOS	(35)	(38) 1 (3%)	(37)
IRCULATOPY SYSTEM			
#HBART P PRJ ARTER IT IS	(55) 1 (2*)	( 53)	(4°)
IGFSTIVE SYSTEM			
<pre>#LIVEP IN PLAMMATION, NECROTIZING IN PLAMMATION, CHRONIC POCAL NECROSIS, FOCAL IN PARCT, NOS BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE HEMATOPOIESIS</pre>	(54)	(53) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#LIVER/HEPATOCYTES CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION</pre>	(54)	(53)	(5 <sup>1</sup> ) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
*GALLBLADDEP CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION	(55)	(54)	(50) 1 (2%)
*PANCREAS DILATATION/DUCTS INFLAMMATION, ACUTE NECECTIZING INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC	(49) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(52) 1 (2%)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
DEGENERATION, HYALINE ATROPHY, NOS	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%) 6 (13%)
*STOMACH MINFRALIZATION ULCER, NOS	(53) 1 (2¶)	(52) 1 (2%)	(48)
INPLANMATION, POCAL EROSION Hypepplasia, epithelial	1 (2%) 2 (4%)		1 (2%)
HYPEPKERA TOSIS ACANTHOSIS		2 (4%) 2 (4%)	3 (6%) <u>3 (6%)</u>

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

#### TABLE D2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 06-0360	LOW DOSE 06-0395	HIGH DOSE 06-0400
RINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY	(55)	(53)	(50)
PYELONEPHRITIS, CHRONIC NEPHROPATHY	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
KIDNEY/TUBULE Degeneration, nos	(55)	(53)	(5 <sup>0</sup> ) 2 (4%)
URINARY BLADDEP INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(59) 1 (2%)	(51)	(48)
DOCPINE SYSTEM			· · ·
PITUITAPY Hyderplasia, focal	(42)	(48) 2 (4 <b>%</b> )	(38) 2 (5%)
ADRENAI CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION	(50)	(53) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )	(45)
THYROID POLLICULAR CYST, NOS	(48)	(46)	(38) 1 (3%)
PANCREATIC TSLETS Hyperplasia, Nos	(89) 3 (5%)	(52)	(47)
PRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
UTERUS DILATATION, NOS	(~4)	(50) 2 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%)
HYDECHETER THROMBOSIS, NOS	3 (6%)	1 (2%)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE NECROTIZING DEGENERATION, HYALINE			1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIESIS		1 (2%)	
UIERUS/ENDOMETRIUM Hypepplasta, Cystic	(54) 15 (28%)	(50) 24 (48%)	(49) 26 (53%)
CYST, NOS	(50) 7 (14%)	(51) 4 (8%)	(49) 7 (14%)

\* NUMERP OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMERP OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

#### TABLE D2 (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL (UNTR) 06-0360	LOW DOSE 06-0395	HIGH DOSE 06-0400
HEMORRHAGIC CYST Abscess, Nos	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)	3 (6%)
EP VOUS SYSTEM			
*BPAIN/MENINGES INPLAMMATION, NOS	(55) 1 (27)	(53)	(48)
#BRAIN HYDROCEPHALUS, NOS PERIVA SCULITIS	(55) 2 (4%)	(53) 1 (2%)	(48)
PFCIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
	(55) 7 (13%)	(54)	(50)
CDY CAVITIRS *ABDCMINAL CAVITY NECROSIS, PAT		(54)	(50)
*HESENTERY CYST, NOS	(55) 1 (2%)	( 5 4)	(50)
L OTHER SYSTEMS			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS PERIARTSPITIS	(55) 1 (23)	( = 4)	(50)
ACIPOSE TISSUE NECROSIS, PAT			. 1
PFCIAL MCREHCLOGY SUMMAPY			
NO LESION REPORTED	1	3	

TABLE D2 (CONCLUDED)

_ <b></b>	CONTPOL (UNTR) 06-0360	LOW DOSE 06-0395	HIGH DOSE 06-0400
ANIMAL MISSIPG/NO NECROPSY AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PEPF AUTOLYSIS/NC NECROPSY		1	1 1 4
NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINATION OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED	INED MICPOSCOPIC	ALI.Y	

Review of the Bioassay of *p*-Anisidine Hydrochloride\* for Carcinogenicity by the Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens

April 26, 1978

The Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens was established in May, 1976, in compliance with DHEW Committee Regulations and the Provisions of the Federal Advisory The purpose of the Clearinghouse is to Committee Act. advise the Director of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) on its bioassay program to identify and to evaluate chemical carcinogens in the environment to which humans may be exposed. The members of the Clearinghouse have been drawn from academia, industry, organized labor, public interest groups, State health officials, and quasi-public health and research organizations. Members have been selected on the basis of their experience in carcinogenesis or related fields and, collectively, provide expertise in chemistry, biochemistry, biostatistics, toxicology, pathology, and epidemiology. Representatives of various Governmental agencies participate The Data Evaluation/ Risk Assessment as ad hoc members. Subgroup of the Clearinghouse is charged with the responsibility of providing a peer review of reports prepared on NCIsponsored bioassays of chemicals studied for carcinogenicity. It is in this context that the below critique is given on the bioassay of p-Anisidine Hydrochloride for carcinogenicity.

The primary reviewer noted the increase in preputial gland tumors in low (8/54) and high (3/55) dose treated male rats and in historic controls (3/250) from the test laboratories. Based on these findings, he questioned the statement in the report that "the evidence was insufficient to establish the carcinogenicity of *p*-Anisidine Hydrochloride" in rats. He suggested that the slides from the high dose treated aniamsl be reexamined to determine if the incidence of preputial gland tumors was higher than reported.

The secondary reviewer pointed out negative associations in which there were fewer tumors in treated animals than in controls. He questioned the need for a statement regarding the lower and upper confidence limits of the bioassay. A Program staff member explained that it was placed in reports to indicate that a compound cannot be proven to be unequivocally negative under the conditions of test. A Program staff pathologist commented that tumors of the preputial gland are usually detected grossly, rather than by microscopic examination, and that slides of the preputial gland are not routinely prepared on every animal. A Subgroup member observed that there may be justification for combining the tumors from the low and high dose treated groups. He added that the biological significance of the tumors must be considered along with the statistical significance.

A motion was made that the report on the bioassay of p-Anisidine Hydrochloride be accepted with the provisos that: 1) the treated male rats would be reevaluated to determine if there were unreported preputial gland tumors and 2) the report would be reconsidered by the Subgroup if additional tumors are found. The motion was seconded and approved unanimously.

#### Members present were:

Michael Shimkin (Acting Chairman), University of California at San Diego Joseph Highland, Environmental Defense Fund George Roush, Jr., Monsanto Company Louise Strong, University of Texas Health Sciences Center John Weisburger, American Health Foundation

\* Subsequent to this review, changes may have been made in the bioassay report either as a result of the review or other reasons. Thus, certain comments and criticisms reflected in the review may no longer be appropriate.

✿U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1978-260~899/3191

ı

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-1371

. .