National Cancer Institute CARCINOGENESIS Technical Report Series NO. 123 1979

# BIOASSAY OF 2,7-DICHLORODIBENZO-p-DIOXIN (DCDD) FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

CAS No. 33857-26-0

NCI-CG-TR-123

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health



## BIOASSAY OF 2,7-DICHLORODIBENZO-p-DIOXIN (DCDD) FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 79-1378

,

#### BIOASSAY OF 2,7-DICHLORODIBENZO-p-DIOXIN (DCDD) FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

# Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health

FOREWORD: This report presents the results of the bioassay of 2,7-dichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (DCDD) conducted for the Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. This is one of a series of experiments designed to determine whether selected chemicals have the capacity to produce cancer in animals. A negative result, in which the test animals do not have a greater incidence of cancer than control animals, does not necessarily mean that the test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of circumstances. A positive result demonstrates that the test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicates that exposure to the chemical is a potential risk to man. The actual determination of the risk to man from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in animals requires a wider analysis.

CONTRIBUTORS: This bioassay of 2,7-dichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (DCDD) was conducted by the Illinois Institute of Technology Research Institute (IITRI) (1), Chicago, Illinois, initially under direct contract to NCI (2) and currently under a subcontract to Tracor Jitco., Inc. (3), Rockville, Maryland, prime contractor for the NCI Carcinogenesis Testing Program.

The project director was Mr. A. Shefner (1). Dr. M. E. King (1) was the principal investigator for this study, and Dr. P. Holmes (1) assembled the data. Doses of the test chemical were selected by Dr. King, Mr. Shefner, and Dr. R. R. Bates (2,3). Mr. T. Kruckeberg (1) and Mr. K. Kaltenborn (1) were in charge of animal care. Histopathologic examinations were performed by Dr. A. R. Roesler (1). Tumor diagnoses were reviewed by Dr. R. L. Schueler (4), who also prepared the interpretive pathology summary included in this report.

Animal pathology tables and survival tables were compiled at EG&G Mason Research Institute (5). The statistical analyses were performed by Dr. J. R. Joiner (4) and Ms. P. L. Yong (4), using methods selected for the bioassay program by Dr. J. J. Gart (6). Chemicals used in this bioassay were synthesized and analyzed under the direction of Dr. A. Gray (1), with the assistance of Mr. S. Cepa (1) and Mr. V. DePinto (1). Further analyses were conducted under the direction of Dr. E. Murrill (7). The results of the analytical work were reviewed by Dr. S. S. Olin (4).

This report was prepared at Tracor Jitco under the direction of Dr. L. A. Campbell, Director of the Bioassay Program; Dr. S. S. Olin, Deputy Director for Science; Dr. J. F. Robens, toxicologist; Dr. R. L. Schueler, pathologist; Dr. G. L. Miller, Ms. L. A. Waitz, and Mr. W. D. Reichardt, bioscience writers; and Dr. E. W. Gunberg, technical editor, assisted by Ms. Y. E. Presley and Ms. P. J. Graboske.

The following scientists at NCI were responsible for evaluating the bioassay experiment, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings: Dr. Kenneth C. Chu, Dr. Cipriano Cueto, Jr., Dr. J. Fielding Douglas, Dr. Richard A. Griesemer, Dr. Thomas E. Hamm, Dr. William V. Hartwell, Dr. Morton H. Levitt, Dr. Harry A. Milman, Dr. Thomas W. Orme, Dr. A. R. Patel, Dr. Sherman F. Stinson, Dr. Jerrold M. Ward, and Dr. Carrie E. Whitmire.

- (1) IIT Research Institute, 10 West 35th Street, Chicago, Illinois.
- (2) Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- (3) Now with the National Institutes of Environment Health Sciences, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.
- (4) Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- (5) EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.

- (6) Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Biometry Branch, Field Studies and Statistics, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- (7) Midwest Research Institute, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri.

#### SUMMARY

A bioassay of 2,7-dichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (DCDD) for possible carcinogenicity was conducted by administering the test chemical in feed to Osborne-Mendel rats and B6C3F1 mice.

Groups of 35 rats of each sex were administered DCDD at one of two doses, either 5,000 or 10,000 ppm, for 110 weeks. Groups of 50 mice of each sex were administered these same doses for 90 weeks. Controls consisted of 35 untreated rats of each sex and 50 untreated mice of each sex. All surviving male rats were killed at 110 to 112 weeks, all surviving female rats at 110 to 117 weeks, all surviving male mice at 92 to 101 weeks, and all surviving female mice at 91 to 98 weeks.

Mean body weights of most of the dosed groups of rats and mice were lower than those of corresponding controls both when placed on study and for much of the study period; however, survival of any group was not significantly affected by administration of the test chemical. Sufficient numbers of dosed and control rats and mice of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.

No tumors were induced in male or female rats or female mice at incidences that were significantly higher in the dosed groups than in the corresponding control groups. Both low- and high-dose rats had toxic hepatic lesions characterized by centrilobular fatty metamorphosis and/or necrosis.

In the male mice, hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas occurred at incidences that were dose related (P = 0.008), and, in direct comparisons, were higher in the low-dose group (P = 0.008) and the high-dose group (P = 0.010) than in the control group (controls 8/49, low-dose 20/50, high-dose 17/42). However, the historical incidence of this lesion in control male B6C3F1 mice at this laboratory does not permit a clear association of the lesion with the administration of the test compound. There were also significant increases in the incidence of combinations of leukemias and lymphomas and of hemangiosarcomas and hemangiomas in the low-dose male mice, but these findings were not supported by the high-dose animals. It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay, DCDD was not carcinogenic for Osborne-Mendel rats of either sex or for female B6C3F1 mice. The marginal increased incidences of combinations of leukemias and lymphomas, of hemangiosarcomas and hemangiomas, and of hepatocellular carcinomas and adenomas in male B3C3F1 mice are, however, considered as suggestive of a carcinogenic effect of 2,7-dichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in these animals.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>P</u> 4	age
1.	Introduction	1
11.	Materials and Methods	3
	<ul> <li>A. Chemical</li> <li>B. Dietary Preparation</li> <li>C. Animals</li> <li>D. Animal Maintenance</li> <li>E. Chronic Studies</li> <li>F. Clinical and Pathologic Examinations</li> <li>G. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 9 10
111.	Results - Rats	17
	<ul> <li>A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)</li> <li>B. Survival (Rats)</li> <li>C. Pathology (Rats)</li> <li>D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)</li> </ul>	17 17 21 21
IV.	Results - Mice	23
	<ul> <li>A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)</li> <li>B. Survival (Mice)</li> <li>C. Pathology (Mice)</li> <li>D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)</li> </ul>	23 23 27 28
v.	Discussion	31
VI.	Bibliography	35

# APPENDIXES

Appendix A	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet	37
Table Al	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet	39
Table A2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet	43

# Page

Appendix B	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet	47
Table Bl	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet	49
Table B2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet	52
Appendix C	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet	55
Table Cl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet	57
Table C2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet	63
Appendix D	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet	69
Table Dl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet	71
Table D2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet	74
Appendix E	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet	79
Table El	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet	81
Table E2	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet	87
Appendix F	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet	93

# Page

Table Fl	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet	95
Table F2	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet	101
	TABLES	
Table l	DCDD Chronic Feeding Studies in Rats	7
Table 2	DCDD Chronic Feeding Studies in Mice	8
	FIGURES	
Figure l	Growth Curves for Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet	18
Figure 2	Survival Curves for Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet	19
Figure 3	Growth Curves for Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet	24
Figure 4	Survival Curves for Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet	26

.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

2,7-Dichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (CAS 33857-26-0; NCI CO3667), referred to in this report as DCDD, is a chlorinated dibenzodioxin. Chlorinated dibenzodioxins have been found as by-products in the manufacture of pentachlorophenol and in the herbicide 2,4,5-tri-





chlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) and its esters. Pentachlorophenol is a microbicidal agent that is used as a wood preservative, for slime control in herbicide formulations, and in the manufacture of paper pulp; 2,4,5-T has been used as a herbicide on national forests, rangelands, pastures, in the agricultural industry, and as a component of Agent Orange, a wartime defoliant (Stecher, 1968; EPA, 1971; Crossland and Shea, 1973).

As a result of these applications, certain dibenzodioxins have been dispersed in the environment where they are slowly degraded. Laboratory experiments to test photodegradation indicate that 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzodioxin is degraded to 2,3,7-trichlorodibenzodioxin and DCDD; photodegradation occurs in organic solvents, but not in aqueous suspensions or on wet or dry soil (Crosby et al., 1971; Kearney et al., 1972).

In acute oral toxicity studies, doses of 1 to 2 g DCDD/kg did not kill female rats (International Agency for Research on Cancer, 1977). Except for this information and that in a preliminary report of the present bioassay (King et al., 1973), no other data are available on the toxicity of DCDD. Studies on the acute and subacute toxicities of the 2,3,7,8-tetrachloro-, hexachloro-, and octachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin analogs of DCDD have shown that the 2,3,7,8-tetrachloro analog (TCDD) is the most toxic, having an acute LD<sub>50</sub> of 0.022 mg/kg in Sherman rats (Schwetz et al., 1973). The principal target organs of TCDD in rats, guinea pigs, and mice are the liver and thymus (International Agency for Research on Cancer 1977), and evidence has been presented for the induction of carcinomas of the ear duct, kidney, and liver by TCDD administered in the diet to Sprague-Dawley rats (Van Miller and Allen, 1977).

DCDD was selected for the Carcinogenesis Testing Program as one of a series of chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins because some of these compounds, due to their dispersion and persistence in the environment, may have entered the food chain, causing long-term human exposure.

#### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### A. Chemical

The batch of DCDD used for this bioassay was synthesized by the Chemistry Division of IITRI. It was prepared by heating sodium 2,4-dichlorophenoxide with copper catalyst in bis(2-ethoxyethyl)ether at 180°C (Aniline, 1973).

The identity of the chemical was confirmed by mass spectrometry and by comparison of gas chromatographic retention time with that of an authentic sample obtained from Dr. David Firestone of the Food and Drug Administration. Three impurities with peak areas 3 to 6% of the major peak were detected, all with longer retention times. One of the impurities was identified by mass spectrometry as a trichlorodibenzodioxin. No tetrachlorodibenzodioxin was detected by mass spectrometry.

## B. Dietary Preparation

Test diets were prepared by incorporating a known quantity of DCDD into a 2-week supply of powdered Wayne<sup>®</sup> Sterilizable Lab

Blox animal feed (Allied Mills, Inc., Chicago, Ill.). Diets were mixed in a Patterson-Kelly twin-shell blender for approximately 1 hour, and were stored in sealed plastic containers at room temperature for no more than 2 weeks.

Analyses were performed in two individual batches of test diet several months after preparation. Ninety percent of the expected concentration was found at the 10,000 ppm level and 110% of the expected concentration at 5,000 ppm.

#### C. Animals

Osborne-Mendel rats and B6C3Fl mice of each sex, obtained through a contract with the Division of Cancer Treatment, NCI, were used in the chronic study. All animals were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Wilmington, Massachusetts. Rats and mice were received at the laboratory at approximately 4 weeks of age. They were placed in quarantine for 1 week. Those animals with no visible signs of disease were earmarked and assigned to dosed or control groups.

## D. Animal Maintenance

The rats and mice were housed in rooms maintained at 22 to 23°C; the relative humidity ranged from 40 to 50%. Fluorescent lighting was provided for 12 hours each day. Air in the animal rooms was changed 15 to 20 times per hour and exchanged through fiberglass filters (Air Filter Equipment Corp., Chicago, Ill.).

Control and dosed rats and mice were housed in groups of 4 and 10, respectively, in suspended polypropylene cages (Maryland Plastics, Federalsburg, Maryland), which were covered with a wire mesh screen and a polyester filter (Research Equipment Co., Inc., Bryan, Tex.). The bedding used in the cages was Absorb-dri<sup>®</sup> hardwood chips (Lab Products, Inc., Garfield, N. J.). Tap water was made available <u>ad libitum</u> in glass water bottles with sipper tubes and was replenished twice per week. The control animals were fed Wayne<sup>®</sup> Lab Blox animal meal (Allied Mills, Inc.), and the dosed animals received the same diets, to which was added the test chemical. The diets were made available <u>ad libitum</u> and were replenished as necessary, but at least once per week.

The cages, cage lids, and water bottles were sanitized weekly at 82°C; the feed hoppers, every 2 weeks at the same temperature. The detergent used was liquid Spearhead<sup>®</sup> (Economics Laboratory, Inc., St. Paul, Minn.). The dishwasher used was a flight-type conveyor belt washer (G. S. Blakeslee & Co., Chicago, Ill.). The bedding was replaced each week. The racks were washed once per month in a Metalwash Rack Washer (Metalwash Machinery Corp., Elizabeth, N. J.)

The racks were rotated in the test rooms once per month. The rats and the mice were housed in separate rooms. The untreated controls were housed in the same room with the dosed animals. The animals fed DCDD were in the same room with the animals administered the following test compounds:

#### Drinking Water Studies

(CAS 123-91-1) 1,4-dioxane

#### Feed Studies

(CAS 3268-87-9) 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzodioxin (CAS 262-12-4) dibenzo-p-dioxin (UDD)

## E. Chronic Studies

The test groups, doses administered, and durations of the chronic studies are shown in tables 1 and 2. Dosed and control male rats originally placed on study died, due to a failure of the air-conditioning system. The male groups in this report were placed on study 1 year later than the female rats and male and

Sex and	Initial	DCDD	Time	on Study
Test	No. of	in Diet	Dosed	Observed
Group	<u>Animals (a)</u>	(ppm)	(weeks)	(weeks)
Male				
Control (b)	35	0		110
Low-Dose	35	5,000	110	1-2
High-Dose	35	10,000	110	1
Female				
Control (b)	35	0		116-117
Low-Dose	35	5,000	110	
High-Dose	35	10,000	110	

# Table 1. DCDD Chronic Feeding Studies in Rats

(a) Rats were 5 weeks of age when placed on study.

(b) Male controls were started 4 weeks earlier than the dosed male groups; female controls were started 17 weeks earlier than the dosed female groups.

Sex and Test	Initial No. of	DCDD in Diet	<u>Time c</u> Dosed	n Study Observed
<u>Group</u> Male	<u>Animals (a)</u>	<u>(ppm)</u>	(weeks)	(weeks)
	50	^	00	0.0
Control (b)	50	0	90	2–3
Low-Dose	50	5,000	90	10-11
High-Dose	50	10,000	90	9
Female				
Control (b)	50	0	90	1-2
Low-Dose	50	5,000	90	2-3
High-Dose	50	10,000	90	8
Low-Dose	50	5,000	90	2-3

# Table 2. DCDD Chronic Feeding Studies in Mice

(a) Mice were 5 weeks of age when placed on study.

(b) Controls were placed on study 16 weeks before the dosed groups.

female mice. The doses were set at 5,000 and 10,000 ppm for both species, based on the maximum dose levels permitted by NCI protocols for administration of materials presumed to be nontoxic. A subchronic study was not conducted. In an acute oral  $LD_{50}$  study, DCDD in doses of 1 to 2 g/kg did not kill female rats (IARC, 1977).

#### F. Clinical and Pathologic Examinations

All animals were observed twice daily. Body weights were measured monthly. Moribund animals and animals that survived to the end of the bioassay were killed using sodium pentobarbital and necropsied.

The tissues taken at necropsy included: skin, lymph node (mandibular and mesenteric), salivary gland, mammary gland, bone marrow, thymus, larynx, trachea, lungs and bronchi, heart, thyroid, parathyroids, esophagus, stomach, duodenum, colon, liver, gall bladder (mice), pancreas, spleen, kidney, adrenal, gonads, nasal cavity, brain, pituitary, spinal cord, skeletal muscle, sciatic nerve, and tissue masses. The tissues were preserved in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. All tissues

were examined microscopically by the pathologist, except for some tissues that were lost during necropsy or histologic processing.

Necropsies were also performed on all animals found dead, unless precluded in whole or in part by autolysis or cannibalization. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on study in each group.

#### G. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Pertinent data on this experiment have been recorded in an automatic data processing system, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Data tables were generated for verification of data transcription and for statistical review.

These data were analyzed using the appropriate statistical

techniques described in this section. Those analyses of the experimental results that bear on the possibility of carcinogenicity are discussed in the statistical narrative sections.

Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report in the form of graphs. Animals were statistically censored as of the time that they died of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not statistically censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) extensions of Cox's methods for testing for a dose-related trend. One-tailed P values have been reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is only reported when its two-tailed P value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions has been given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site is examined (denominator). In most instances, the denominators included only those animals for which that site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic

examination was required to detect lesions prior to histologic sampling (e.g., skin or mammary tumors), or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical developed a significantly higher proportion of tumors than did the control animals. As a part of these analyses, the one-tailed Fisher exact test (Cox, 1970) was used to compare the tumor incidence of a control group with that of a group of dosed animals at each dose level. When results for a number of dosed groups (k) are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction to ensure an overall significance level of 0.05 may be made. The Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966) requires that the P value for any comparison be less than or equal to 0.05/k. In cases where this correction was used, it is discussed in the narrative section. It is not, however, presented in the tables, where the Fisher exact P values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971), was also used. Under the assumption of a linear trend, this test determines if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the

one-tailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend is a positive dose relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

A time-adjusted analysis was applied when numerous early deaths resulted from causes that were not associated with the formation of tumors. In this analysis, deaths that occurred before the first tumor was observed were excluded by basing the statistical tests on animals that survived at least 52 weeks, unless a tumor was found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor was found, comparisons were based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was found. Once this reduced set of data was obtained, the standard procedures for analyses of the incidence of tumors (Fisher exact tests, Cochran-Armitage tests, etc.) were followed.

When appropriate, life-table methods were used to analyze the incidence of tumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without an observed tumor were computed as in Saffiotti et al. (1972). The week during which an animal died naturally or was sacrificed was entered as the time point of tumor observation. Cox's methods of comparing these curves were used for two groups;

Tarone's extension to testing for linear trend was used for three groups. The statistical tests for the incidence of tumors which used life-table methods were one-tailed and, unless otherwise noted, in the direction of a positive dose relationship. Significant departures from linearity (P less than 0.05, two-tailed test) were also noted.

The approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the relative risk of each dosed group compared with its control was calculated from the exact interval on the odds ratio (Gart, 1971). The relative risk is defined as  $p_t/p_c$  where  $p_t$  is the true binomial probability of the incidence of a specific type of tumor in a dosed group of animals and  $p_c$  is the true probability of the spontaneous incidence of the same type of tumor in a control group. The hypothesis of equality between the true proportion of a specific tumor in a dosed group and the proportion in a control group corresponds to a relative risk of unity. Values in excess of unity represent the condition of a larger proportion in the dosed group than in the control.

The lower and upper limits of the confidence interval of the relative risk have been included in the tables of statistical analyses. The interpretation of the limits is that in approximately 95% of a large number of identical experiments, the

true ratio of the risk in a dosed group of animals to that in a control group would be within the interval calculated from the experiment. When the lower limit of the confidence interval is greater than one, it can be inferred that a statistically significant result (P less than 0.025 one-tailed test when the control incidence is not zero, P less than 0.050 when the control incidence is zero) has occurred. When the lower limit is less than unity, but the upper limit is greater than unity, the lower limit indicates the absence of a significant result while the upper limit indicates that there is a theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by the test chemical, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

#### III. RESULTS - RATS

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)

Among male rats the mean body weights of both dosed groups were lower than those of the corresponding controls at the time the animals were placed on study and throughout the test period. After week 50, mean body weights of dosed groups of female rats were also lower than those of controls and were dose related (figure 1). Fluctuation in the growth curves may be due to mortality; as the size of a group diminishes, the mean body weight may be subject to variation. No clinical signs other than those of lowered body weights were reported.

## B. Survival (Rats)

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival for male and female rats administered DCDD in the diet at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the controls, are shown in figure 2. In male rats, the dosed groups were started on study 4 weeks after the control group, and in females, the dosed groups were started 17 weeks after the



Figure 1. Growth Curves for Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet



Figure 2. Survival Curves for Rats Administered DCDD in the Diet

controls; however, the Tarone test for dose-related trend in mortality is applied as if the three groups of each sex were started at the same time. The control and high-dose male rats were terminated at 110 weeks while the low-dose males were terminated at 112 weeks. In female rats, the differences in time on study were more pronounced; the controls were terminated at 116 to 117 weeks, whereas the dosed groups were terminated at 110 weeks. All animals were censored at 110 weeks in the application of the Cox and Tarone tests. The results of the Tarone tests are not significant in either sex, although substantial mortality occurred in the high-dose group from weeks 36 to 40 compared with The results of the Cox test comparing the the other groups. mortality of the control group with that of each dosed group also are not significant in either sex.

In male rats, 29/35 (83%) of the high-dose animals, 26/35 (74%) of the low-dose animals, and 30/35 (86%) of the controls were still alive at week 78 on study. In females, 28/35 (80%) of the high-dose animals, 30/35 (86%) of the low-dose animals, and 33/35 (94%) of the controls were still alive at week 78 on study. Sufficient numbers of dosed and control rats of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.

## C. Pathology (Rats)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A, tables Al and A2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C, tables Cl and C2.

A variety of tumors were observed in both the control and dosed rats, each of which has been previously seen in untreated aging Osborne-Mendel rats.

Both low- and high-dose rats had toxic hepatic lesions characterized by centribolular fatty metamorphosis (33-48%) and/or necrosis (6-20%). Other nonneoplastic lesions were of the types usually seen in aged Osborne-Mendel rats.

Based on the histopathologic examination, DCDD was not carcinogenic in Osborne-Mendel rats of each sex under the conditions of this bioassay.

### D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)

Tables El and E2 in Appendix E contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals of one group and at an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group. In male rats, the control group was started on study 4 weeks before the dosed groups, and in females, the control group was started 17 weeks before the dosed groups; however, the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in the incidence of tumors is applied as if the three groups of each sex were started at the same time.

The results of the Cochran-Armitage test and those of the Fisher exact test are not significant in the positive direction in either sex. Several significant results in the negative direction are observed in each sex; in females, this may be due to the earlier termination of the dosed groups compared with the control group.

In all of the intervals shown in the tables, the value of one or less than one is included; this indicates the absence of significant positive results. It should also be noted that most of the intervals have upper limits greater than one, indicating the theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by DCDD, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.
#### **IV.** RESULTS - MICE

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)

Among both male and female mice the mean body weights of both dosed groups were lower than those of the corresponding controls at the time the animals were placed on study. During the study, mean body weights of dosed female mice were lower than those of corresponding controls and were essentially the same in the lowand high-dose groups (figure 3). Mean body weights of the male mice were unaffected by administration of the test chemical. Some fluctuation in the growth curves may be due to mortality; as the size of a group diminishes, the mean body weight may be subject to variation. No clinical signs other than those of lowered body weights in the dosed females were reported.

## B. Survival (Mice)

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival for male and female mice administered DCDD in the diet at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the



Figure 3. Growth Curves for Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet

controls, are shown in figure 4. In each sex, the control group was started on study 16 weeks before the dosed groups; however, the Tarone test for dose-related trend in mortality is applied as if the three groups of each sex were started at the same time. There are substantial differences in the time on study for both male and female groups. Control males were terminated at 92 to 93 weeks, while dosed males were terminated at 99 to 101 weeks. Control and low-dose females were terminated at 91 to 93 weeks, whereas the high-dose females were terminated at week 98. The Cox and Tarone tests are applied only to the first 91 weeks on study. The results of the Tarone test are not significant in male mice but indicate a probability trend of P less than 0.001 in female mice.

In male mice, 38/50 (76%) of the high-dose animals, 36/50 (72%) of the low-dose animals, and 48/50 (96%) of the controls lived to the end of the study. In females, 28/50 (56%) of the high-dose animals, 46/50 (92%) of the low-dose animals, and 45/50 (90%) of the controls lived to the end of the study. Sufficient numbers of dosed and control mice of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.



Figure 4. Survival Curves for Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet

#### C. Pathology (Mice)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B, tables Bl and B2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D, tables Dl and D2.

A variety of tumors were observed in both the control and dosed mice, each of which has been previously seen in untreated aging B6C3F1 mice.

The incidence of hepatocellular carcinomas in male mice was 4/49 (8%) in the controls, 5/50 (10%) in the low-dose group, and 5/42 (12%) in the high-dose group; the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas was 4/49 (8%) in the controls, 15/50 (30%) in the low-dose group, and 12/42 (29%) in the high-dose group. In contrast, the female mice administered DCDD in the diet did not respond with an increased incidence of either hepatocellular neoplasm. Both male and female mice, however, showed increased incidences of focal necrosis of the liver in the dosed groups.

Based on the pathology examination, exposure to DCDD may have been associated with an increased incidence of liver tumors in male B6C3F1 mice under the conditions of this bioassay.

#### D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)

Tables Fl and F2 in Appendix F contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals of one group and at an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group.

In each sex, the control group was started on study 16 weeks after the dosed groups; however, the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in the incidence of tumors is applied as if the three groups of each sex were started at the same time.

In male mice, the result of the Cochran-Armitage test for the incidence of animals with either hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas is significant (P = 0.008). The Fisher exact comparisons of the incidence in the control group with those in the low- and high-dose groups show P values of 0.008 and 0.010, respectively. In the current historical records at this laboratory the incidence of such liver tumors in control groups is 32/125 (26%), with individual group incidences of 8/49 (16%), 9/25(<math>36%), and two groups with 7/25 (28%), compared with 17/42 (40%) in the high-dose group and 20/50 (40%) in the low-dose group of this study.

The result of the Fisher exact test comparing the incidence of animals with either lymphoma or leukemia of the hematopoietic system in the low-dose male mice with that of the control group is significant (P = 0.006), but a significant incidence is not indicated in the high-dose group. The result of the Cochran-Armitage test for this incidence of tumors is not significant.

In the incidence of male mice with either hemangiosarcomas or hemangiomas of all sites, the Fisher exact comparison of the incidences in the low-dose and control groups shows a P value of 0.028, which is above the 0.025 level required for significance when the Bonferroni inequality criterion is used for multiple comparison. The high-dose group does not have a significant incidence. The result of the Cochran-Armitage test for this incidence of tumors is not significant.

In female mice, the results of the Cochran-Armitage test and those of the Fisher exact test for tumor incidence at any site are not significant. A significant trend in the negative direction is observed in the incidence of squamous-cell papillomas of the stomach in male mice.

In some of the intervals shown in the tables, the value of one or less than one is included; this indicates the absence of significant positive results. It should also be noted that each of the intervals (except that for the incidence of squamous-cell papilloma of the stomach in male mice) has an upper limit greater than one, indicating the theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by DCDD, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

#### V. DISCUSSION

The mean body weights of the dosed male rats and male and female mice were lower than those of the corresponding controls at the time the animals were placed on study. While the mean body weights of the dosed male rats remained lower than those of their corresponding controls throughout the study, the mean body weights of the dosed female rats were lower than their corresponding controls only after week 50. Mean body weights of the dosed female, but not the male, mice were lowered throughout the study. The survival in any of the dosed groups, however, was significantly affected by administration of the test not chemical. In the mice the tests for difference in survival were applied only to the first 91 weeks on study since control males were terminated at 92 to 93 weeks, while dosed males were terminated at 99 to 101 weeks. Control and low-dose females were terminated at 91 to 93 weeks, whereas the high-dose females were terminated at week 98.

No tumors were induced in male or female rats or female mice at incidences that were significantly higher in the dosed groups than in the corresponding control groups.

In the male mice, hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas occurred at incidences that in direct comparisons were higher in the low-dose group (P = 0.008) and the high-dose group (P = 0.010) than in the control group (controls 8/49, low-dose 20/50, high-dose 17/42). In recent findings at this laboratory the incidence of such liver tumors in control groups is 32/125 (26%), with individual group incidences of 8/49 (16%), 9/25 (36%), and two groups with 7/25 (28%), compared with 17/42 (40%) in the high-dose group and 20/50 (40%) in the low-dose group in this study. The occurrence of increased incidences of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas in the dosed groups of male mice cannot, therefore, be clearly related to the administration of the test chemical.

Leukemias or lymphomas occurred in the male mice at incidences that were significant (P = 0.006) in a direct comparison of the low-dose and control groups. Similarly, hemangiosarcomas or hemangiomas at all sites occurred in male mice at incidences that were significant (P = 0.028) in a direct comparison of the low-dose and control groups. However, the incidences of these hematopoietic tumors or vascular tumors did not show dose-related trends and were not higher in high-dose groups than in corresponding control groups. Thus, the occurrence of these

tumors in the dosed groups of male mice cannot be clearly related to administration of the test chemical.

Unlike 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, which has been reported to be highly toxic when tested in Sherman rats (Schwetz et al., 1973) and to be carcinogenic in Sprague-Dawley rats (Van Miller et al., 1977), DCDD was observed in the present bioassay to have a relatively low toxicity for Osborne-Mendel rats and B6C3F1 mice. The necrosis observed in both rats and mice and the fatty metamorphosis observed in the rats administered DCDD is similar, however, to the liver damage observed in rats and mice administered TCDD (International Agency for Research on Cancer, 1977).

It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay DCDD was not carcinogenic for Osborne-Mendel rats of either sex or for female B6C3F1 mice. The marginal increased incidences of combinations of leukemias and lymphomas, of hemangiosarcomas and hemangiomas, and of hepatocellular carcinomas and adenomas in male B6C3F1 mice is considered as suggestive of a carcinogenic effect of 2,7-dichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in these animals.

#### VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aniline, O., Preparation of chlorodibenzo-p-dioxins for toxicological evaluation. In: <u>Chlorodioxins</u> - <u>Origin</u> and <u>Fate</u>, <u>Advances</u> in <u>Chemistry</u> Series 120, Blair, E., ed., <u>American</u> <u>Chemical Society</u>, Washington, D.C., 1973, pp. 126-135.

Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1971, pp. 362-365.

Berenblum. I., ed., <u>Carcinogenicity</u> <u>Testing</u>: <u>A</u> <u>Report</u> <u>of</u> <u>the</u> <u>Panel</u> <u>of</u> <u>Carcinogenicity</u> <u>of</u> <u>the</u> <u>Cancer</u> <u>Research</u> <u>Commission</u> <u>of</u> <u>the</u> <u>UICC</u>, Vol. 2. International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.

Cox, D. R., Regression models and life tables. J. R. Statist. Soc. B:187-220, 1972.

Cox, D. R., <u>Analysis of Binary Data</u>, Methuen and Co., Ltd., London, 1970, pp. 48-52.

Crosby, D. G., Wong, A. S., Plimmer, J. R., and Woolson, E. A., Photodecomposition of chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins. <u>Science</u> <u>173</u>: 748-749, 1971.

Crossland, J. and Shea, K. P., The hazards of impurities, Environment 15 (5):35-38, 1973.

Environmental Protection Agency, <u>EPA</u> <u>Compendium of Registered</u> <u>Pesticides</u>, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C., 1971, pp. 1-T-28, 1-D-29.1, 1-D-29.7.

Gart, J. J., The comparison of proportions: a review of significance tests, confidence limits and adjustments for stratification. Rev. Int. Stat. Inst. 39:148-169, 1971.

International Agency for Research on Cancer, Chlorinated dibenzodioxins. In: <u>IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic</u> <u>Risk of Chemicals to Man</u>: <u>Some Fumigants, the Herbicides 2,4-D</u> and 2,4,5-T, <u>Chlorinated Dibenzodioxins and Miscellaneous Indus-</u> <u>trial Chemicals, Vol. 15</u>, <u>IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of</u> the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Man, Lyon, France, 1977, pp. 41-101.

Kaplan, E. L. and Meier, P., Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 53:457-481, 1958.

.

Kearney, P. C., Woolson, E. A., and Ellington, C. P., Jr., Persistence and metabolism of chlorodioxins in soils. <u>Environ</u>. Sci. & Tech. 6 (12):1017-1019, 1972.

King, M. E., Shefner, A. M., and Bates, R. R., Carcinogenesis bioassay of chlorinated dibenzodioxins and related chemicals. In: <u>Environmental Health Perspectives</u>, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, N.C. 1973, pp. 163-170.

Linhart, M. S., Cooper, J. A., Martin, R. L., Page, N. P., and Peters, J. A., Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. <u>J. Comp.</u> Biomed. Res. 7:230-248, 1974.

Miller, R. G., Jr., <u>Simultaneous Statistical Inference</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966, pp. 6-10.

Saffiotti, U., Monțesano, R., Sellakumar, A. R., Cefis, F., and Kaufman, D. G., Respiratory tract carcinogenesis in hamsters induced by different numbers of administrations of benzo(a) pyrene and ferric oxide. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 32:1073-1081, 1972.

Schwetz, B. A., Norris, J. M., Sparschu, G. L., Rowe, V. K., Gehring, P. J., Emerson, J. L., and Gerbig, C. G., Toxicology of chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins. In: <u>Environmental Health Perspec-</u> tives, National Institute of Environmental Health Science, Research Triangle Park, N.C., 1973, pp. 87-99.

Stecher, P. G., ed., The Merck Index, Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, N.J., 1968, p. 792.

Tarone, R. E., Tests for trend in life table analysis. <u>Biometrika</u> 62 (3):679-682, 1975.

Van Miller, J. P. and Allen, J. R., Chronic toxicity of 2,3,7,8tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in rats. Fed. Proc. 36(3): 396, 1977.

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET .

-

## TABLE A1.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
	35	35	35
NIMALS NECROPSIED NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	34 33	35 34	34 33
NTEGUMENTAPY SYSTEM			
*SUBCUI TISSUE	(34)	(35)	(34)
FI EROMA FI EROS AF COMA	3 (9%)	1 (3%)	
LIFOMA	1 (3%)		
ESFIRATORY SYSTEM	(20)	(24)	(22)
*LUNG ALVECLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA	(30)	(34) 1 (3%)	(33)
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINCMA	1 (3%)		• • • •
CORTICAL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC			1 (3
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
# BR AIN	(31)	(34)	(31)
MALIGNANT RETICULOSIS		1 (3%)	
* MULTIFLE ORGANS	(34)	(35)	(34)
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE		1 (3%)	
#SPLEEN	(31)	(34)	(32)
SARCCMA, NOS HEMANGIOSARCOMA	1 (3%)	2 (6%)	
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NC NE			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
	(31)	(34)	(33)
HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA	1 (3%)		

TABLE A1. MALE	RATS: NEOPLASMS (	(CONTINUED)
----------------	-------------------	-------------

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA			1 (3%
#STOMACH LEICMYOSARCOMA	(31)	(34)	(33) 1 (3%
URINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY LIPCSAFCOMA MIXED TUMOR, MALIGNANT	(31) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(34)	(33)
ENDOCFINE SYSTEM			
*PITUITAPY ADENCMA, NOS CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA	(16) 2 (13%) 1 (6%)	(22)	(17) 1 (6%
*ADRENAI COFTICAL ADENOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA PHECCHFOMOCYTOMA	(31) 7 (23%) 6 (19%)	(34) 4 (12%) 1 (3%) 2 (6%)	(32) 2 (6% 1 (3%
*THYRCIC FAFILLAFY ADENOCARCINOMA FOILICULAR-CELL ADENOMA FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA C-CELL ADENOMA	(29) 2 (7%) 1 (3%) 3 (10%)	(34) 1 (3%) 3 (9%)	(32) 1 (3%
*PARATHYROID ADENCMA, NOS	(25) 2 (8%)	(24)	(26)
* FANC FFATIC ISLETS ISIFI-CELL ADENOMA	(24) 1 (4%)	(33) 1 (3%)	(29)
REPPODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
NONE			
NERVCUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NCNE			

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOS
MUSCULOSKEIETAL SYSTEM			
NON E		****	
BODY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY FIBFCSAFCOMA, METASTATIC	(34)	(35) 1 (3%)	(34)
*TUNICA VAGINALIS MESCTHELIOMA, NOS	(34) 2 (6%)	(35)	(34)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
ADIPOSE TISSUE LIFCMA	1		
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMAIS INITIALLY IN STUDY	35	35	35
NATUFAL DEATHD	20	20	26
MOFIBUND SACRIFICE		3	1
**SCHEDUIED SACRIFICE	1	1	
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TEFMINAL SACRIFICE	14	11	8
ANIMAL MISSING	14	* *	U
INCLUCES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			

#### TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

Animals are in fact early terminal sacrifices, but appear as scheduled sacrifices due to system interpretation.

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
UNOR SUMMARY				
ICTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	21	14	6	
TOTAL FRIMARY TUMORS	37	18		7
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	17	10	3	
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	30	11		ŝ
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	5	7	4	
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	5	7		4
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#		1	1	
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS		1		1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-				
BENIGN OF MALIGNANT	2			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	2			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-				
FRIMARY OF METASTATIC				
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS				
PPIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SEC	CNDARY TUMO	R5		
SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS O	R TUMORS INV	ASIVE INTO	AN ADJACENT	ORG

## TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

## TABLE A2.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

.

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
	35	35	35
ANIMALS NECFOPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	35 31	33 33	33 30
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN	(35)	(33)	(33)
FIBROMA LEIONYOSARCOMA, METASTATIC		1 (3%)	1 (3%)
*SUBCUI TISSUE	(35)	(33)	(33)
FIEROMA FIBROS AR COMA	1 (3%) 1 (3%)		
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
*NASAL CAVITY SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA	<b>(</b> 35)	(33)	(33) 1 (3%)
EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*SFLERN HEMANGIOMA	(30)	(33)	(29) 1 (3%)
CIRCULAICPY SYSTEM			
NONE			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#FANCFEAS IEICMYOSARCONA, INVASIVE	(29)	(32)	(26) 1 (4%)
#STOMACH PAPIILOMA, NOS	(31)	(33)	(27) <u>1 (4%</u> )

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
LEICMYCSARCOMA			1 (4%)
URINARY SYSTEM			
*KIDNEY FIBFCSAFCOMA, METASTATIC	(31) 1 (3%)	(33)	(30)
ENLOCRINE SYSTEM			
*PITUITARY CHFCMOPHOBE ADENOMA	(18) 4 (22%)	(25) 3 (12%)	(20) 7 (35%)
#ADRENAL Cortical Adenoma	(30) 11 (37%)	(33) 7 (21%)	(29) 9 (31%)
#THYFOID FOLLICULAR-CELL CAPCINOMA C-CELL ADENOMA CYSTADENOMA, NOS	(28) 4 (14%)	(32) 2 (6%) 1 (3%)	(25) 2 (8%)
#THYPCIT FOLLICLE CYSTADENOMA, NOS	(28) 2 (7%)	(32)	(25)
#FANCREATIC'ISLETS ISIFT-CELL ADENOMA ISIFT-CFLL CAPCINOMA	(29) 1 (3%)	(32) 1 (3%)	(26)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND ADENCMA, NOS ADENCCARCINOMA, NOS FIEFOMA FIEFOADENOMA	(35) 3 (9%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 13 (37%)	(33) 5 (15%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 7 (21%)	(33) 4 (12%)
#UTERUS ADENCCARCINOMA, NOS PAPIILAFY CYSTADENOMA, NOS LEICMYOSARCOMA	(30) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(32)	(30)
#OVARY <u>GPANULOSA-CELL TUMOF</u>	(26)	(31) 2 (6%)	(26)

## TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TUEULAR ADENOMA			
		1 (370)	
ERVOUS SYSTEM			
NCNE			
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*HARDERIAN GLAND ADENCCARCINOMA, NOS	(35) 1 (3%)	(33)	(33)
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
ODY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL WALL FIBROSARCOMA	(35) 1 (3%)	(33)	(33)
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
NIMAL CISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	35	35	35
NATURAL DEATHƏ Morieund sacrifice	14	10	14 1
**SCHELULED SACRIFICE	4		1
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	17	25	19
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			

#### TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

Animals are in fact early terminal sacrifices, but appear as scheduled sacrifices due to system interpretation.

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
UMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	26	22	16
TOTAL PPIMARY TUMORS	47	32	27
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMERS	24	19	15
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	42	26	22
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	5	4	5
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	5	4	5
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#	1		2
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	1		2
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-			
BENIGN OF MALIGNANT		2	
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMOPS		2	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-			
FRIMARY OR METASTATIC			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SEC	CNDARY TUMOR	S	
SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS O	R TUMORS INV	ASIVE INTO AN A	DJACENT ORGA

# TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

.

## TABLE B1.

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
50 50 49	50 50 50 50	50 45 45
(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(45) 1 (2%)
(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)	(45)
(49) 8 (16%)	(49) 2 (4%) 3 (6%)	(44) 5 (11%
(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(45) 2 (4系) 1 (2系)
(48)	(48) 1 (2%) 4 (8%)	(43) 1 (2%)
(1)	(42) 1 (2%)	(36)
	50 50 49 (50) 1 (2%) (50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (49) 8 (16%) (50) (50)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICPOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBEF OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED) CONTROL LOW DOSE HIGH DOSE ------DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (49) 4 (8%) 4 (8%) (50) 15 (30%) 5 (10%) 1 (2%) (42) 12 (29%) 5 (12%) #LIVEF HEFATOCELLULAR ADENOMA HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA HEMANGIOSARCOMA 1 (2%) HEMANGIOSARCOMA, METASTATIC (49) 1 (2%) #BILE DUCT (50) (42) BILE DUCT CARCINOMA (49) 5 (10%) #STCMACH (47) (43) SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILIONA #CCLON (36) (37) 1 (3%) ADENCCARCINOMA, NOS -----URINARY SYSTEM NONE ----ENDCORINE SYSTEM #THYROID (39) (43) (43) PAPILLARY ADENOMA 1 (2%) PAPILLARY CYSTADENCMA, NOS 1 (3%) -----REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM NONE NERVOUS SYSTEM NONE 

SFECIAL SENSE ORGANS

\*HARDERIAN GLAND (50) (50) 1 (2%) PAFIILAFY ADENOMA \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \_\_\_\_\_ ------ -MUSCULCSKELETAL SYSTEM

(45)

NONE

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE	
BOLY CAVITIES				
NO NE				
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
NCNE				
ANIMAL CISPOSITION SUMMARY				
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50	
NATUFAL DEATHƏ Morieund Sacrifice Schfduled Sacrifice	2	5 9	11 1	
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACHIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	48	36	38	
<pre>@ INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS</pre>				
TUNCE SUMMARY				
TCTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	19 26	30 40	25 27	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	17 20	19 19	17 18	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	5 6	17 21	9 9	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SFCONDARY TUMORS# TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS			1 2	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- Benign or Malignant Total Uncertain Tumors				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- FRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS				
* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE # SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS			ADJACENT ORGAN	

## TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

#### TABLE B2.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50
ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS FXAMINED HISTOFATHOLOGICALLY	50 50	49 49	48 48
		47 	40
NIEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
* SKIN	(50)	(49)	(48)
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA			1 (2%)
FIERCSARCOMA FIEROUS HISTIOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT			1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HEMANGIOMA			1 (2%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(50)	(49)	(48)
FIEROSARCOMA	1 (2%)		
#LUNG ALVECLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA 	3 (6%)	(47) 2 (4%)	(47)
*MUITIFIF ORGANS	(50)	(49)	(48)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS	4 (8%)	1 (2%)	1 () 1
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	2 (4%)	7 (14%)	1 (2%) 3 (6%)
LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA Granulocytic leukemia		2 (4%) 1 (2%)	•
#SPLEEN	(50)	(48)	(46)
HE MANGIOSA ECOMA			1 (2%)
#LYMPH NODE	(5)	(37)	(37)
HEMANGIOSARCOMA, METASTATIC	1 (20%)		
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

#### TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

CONTROL LOW DOSE HIGH DOSE \_\_\_\_\_ DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (48) 1 (2%) #LIVER (50) (47) HEFATOCFLLULAR CARCINONA (48) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) #SICHACH (48) (39) FAPIILOMA, NOS 1 (3%) PAPILLCMATOSIS SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILIOMA 1 (2%) \_\_\_\_\_ URINARY SYSTEM #URINARY BLADDER (2) 2 (100%) (36) (34) PAPIILCMATOSIS **LNDOCRINE SYSTEM #PARATHYRCID** (20) (25) (29) ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS 1 (3%) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ REPFODUCTIVE SYSTEM (50) (49) **\*VAGINA** (48) 1 (2%) **HEMANGIOSARCOMA** (49) (46) #UTERUS (45) 1 (2%) LEICMYCMA (20) (43)
1 (2%) (41) # CVA RY LUTEOMA NERVOUS SYSTEM NCNE SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS NONE MUSCULOSKEIFTAL SYSTEM <u>NCNE</u> # NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
BODY CAVITIES			
* PERITONEUM Lymphangioma	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(48)
*ABEOMINAL VISCERA NEOPIASH, NOS	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)	(48)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE		*****	
ANIMAL CISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50
NATURAL DEATHD	5	4	10
MORIEUND SACRIFICF			12
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE			
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE	45	46	28
ANIMAL MISSING	43	40	20
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			
EUNCE SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	14 15	18 19	9 12
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	7	6	3
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	<b>'</b> 7	6	3
TOTAL PNIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	8 8	12 12	8 9
IOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	o	12	7
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#	1		
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	1		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-			
BENIGN OF MALIGNANT		1	
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- FFIMAFY OR METASTATIC			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
TOTAL UNCLAIMED TOHORD			
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS FXCEPT SE	CONDARY TUMOR	S	
SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS		ACTUR THEO AN AN	DINCENT OPC

## TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

## TABLE C1.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	35	35	35
ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	34 33	35 34	34 33
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN	(34)	(35)	(34)
SEEACEOUS CYST		1 (3%)	
*SUBCUI TISSUE	(34)	(35)	(34)
GRANULOMA, NOS	1 (3%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
*NASAL CAVITY	(34)	(35)	(34)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC		7 (20%)	10 (29%
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV		3 (9%)	
*NASAL TURBINATE	(34)	(35)	(34)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	5 (15%)		
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	2 (6%)		
*TRACHEA	(30)	(34)	(33)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE	1 (3%)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	/ (23%)	10 (29%)	•
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	2 (7%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)
#LUNG/BRONCHIOLE	(30)	(34)	(33)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL			1 (3%)
# L U NG	(30)	(34)	(33)
CONGESTION, NOS	1 (3%)		1 (3%)
EDEMA, NOS ERONCHOPNEUMONIA, NOS	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE	8 (27%)	22 (65%)	21 (64%
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC			1 (3%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HENATOFCIETIC SYSTEM			
#BONE MARROW	(31)	(34)	(31)
ATRCFHY, NOS Hyperplasia, hematopoletic	4 (13%)	1 (3%)	
#SPLEEN	(31)	(34)	(32)
INFAFCT HEMORRHAGIC	2 (104)		1 (3%)
HEMOSIDFROSIS Atpophy, Nos	3 (10%)		3 (9%) 2 (6%)
CEPLETION		2 (6%)	3 (9%)
LYMPHOID DEPLETION			2 (6%)
HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC		4 (12%)	3 (9%)
HYPERPIASIA, ERYTHROID HEMATOPOIESIS	3 (10%)	1 (3%) 1 (3%)	
<b>*</b> SPLENIC FOLLICLES	(31)	(34)	(32)
ATFCFHY, NOS	1 (3%)		, ,
*LYMPH NOCE	(22)	(22)	(28)
INFLAMMATION, CHPONIC		1 (5%)	
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE	(22)	(22)	(28)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	5 (23%)		
*CERVICAL LYMPH NODE	(22)	(22)	(28)
INFIAMMATION, CHRONIC Hypepplasia, nos		1 (5%)	2 (7%)
#BRCNCHIAL LYMPH NODE	(22)	(22)	(28)
HENCRRHAGE	1 (5%)	()	()
# TH YM U S	(3)	(17)	(25)
ATRCPHY, NOS	3 (100%)		
CIRCULAICRY SYSTEM			
# MYOCA FDIUM	(30)	(34)	(33)
INFIAMMATION, CHRONIC	4 (13%)		1 (3%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIPPUSE		4 (12%) 1 (3%)	1 (3%)
#ENDOCAEDIUM	(30)	(34)	(33)
DEGENERATION, MUCOID		1 (3%)	1 (3%)

## TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED
	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
* AORT A MINER ALIZATION	(34)	(35) 1 (3%)	(34) 2 (6%)
*PULMONARY ARTERY CALCIFICATION, DYSTROPHIC	(34) 1 (3%)	(35)	(34)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
<pre>#LIVER CYST, NOS CONGESTION, CHRONIC PASSIVE ABSCESS, NOS CIRRHOSIS, CARDIAC MET AMORPHOSIS FATTY FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE HYPERPLASIA, NOS ANGIECTASIS</pre>	(31) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 2 (6%) 5 (16%) 1 (3%)	(34) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(33) 1 (3%)
*LIVEP/CENTRILOBULAR CONGESTION, NOS NECROSIS, NOS METAMOPPHOSIS FATTY	(31)	(34) 1 (3%) 2 (6%) 13 (38%)	(33) 1 (3%) 2 (6%) 16 (48%)
#BILE EUCT Cyst, Nos Hyperplasia, Nos	(31) 8 (26%)	(34)	(33) 2 (6%)
# PANC REAS FEFIARTERI TI S	(24) 1 (4%)	(33) 4 (12%)	(29) 1 (3%)
#FANCFEATIC ACINUS Atrcfhy, Nos Atrophy, Focal	(24)	(33) 1 (3%)	(29) 2 ( <b>7</b> %)
#STCMACH DIVERTICULUM INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC ACANTHOSIS	(31)	(34) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(33) 1 (3%)
#GASTRIC SUBMUCOSA MINERALIZATION	(31)	(34) 1 (3%)	(33)
*ANUS <u>INFLAMMATION, NFCROTIZING</u>	(34)	(35) 1 (3%)	(34)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBEF OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
URINARY SYSTEM			
# KI DN EY	(31)	(34)	(33)
CASI, NOS			1 (3%)
HYDFONEPHROSIS		1 (3%)	
PYELONEPHRITIS, NOS			1 (3%)
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL		2 (6%)	5 (15%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	23 (74%)		1 (3%)
PYEIONEPHRITIS, CHRONIC	1 (3%)		
NEPHROPATHY		21 (62%)	2 (6%)
#KIDNEY/TUBULE	(31)	(34)	(33)
CILATATION, NOS		1 (3%)	2 (6%)
CAST, NOS		1 (3%)	2 (6%)
HEMORR HAGE			1 (3%)
#KIDNEY/FELVIS	(31)	(34)	(33)
HENCFRHAGE	()		1 (3%)
HYPERPLASIA, ÉPITHELIAL			3 (9%)
#URINARY BLADDER	(28)	(32)	(27)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(20)	(52)	1 (4%)
IN FLAMMATION, CHRONIC	2 (7%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	- (***)	1 (3%)	1 (4%)
HYPEPPLASIA, EPITHELIAL			1 (4%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY	(16)	(22)	(17)
CYST, NOS	2 (13%)	()	
#ADRENAL	(31)	(34)	(32)
CONGESTION, NOS	• • •	1 (3%)	·/
LIPOIDOSIS		2 (6%)	3 (9%)
HYPERPIASIA, FOCAL	1 (3%)		1 (3%)
ANGIECTASIS	1 (3%)		
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(31)	(34)	(32)
LIFCIDOSIS	11 (35%)	• -	
#IHYRCID	(29)	(34)	(32)
CYST, NOS	• • •	• • •	1 (3%)
GOITER COLLOID			1 (3%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE FXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL		HIGH DOSE
HYFEFPLASIA, FOCAL			1 (3%)
#FARATHYPOID Hyperplasia, Nos	(25) 4 (16%)	(24) 1 (4%)	(26)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*FENIS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	(34)	(35) 1 (3%)	(34)
#FROSTATE INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	(29) 2 (7%) 4 (14%)	(31) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(27) 1 (4%)
*SEMINAL VESICLE DILATATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, SUPPUPATIVE ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV ATROPHY, NOS	(34) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(35) 1 (3%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(34) 1 (3%)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL *TESTIS ABSCESS, NOS	(32) 1 (3%)	(33)	1 (3%) (33)
PERIARTERITIS ATPCPHY, NOS ATROPHY, FOCAL ASPERMATOGENESIS	2 (6%) 9 (28%) 1 (3%)	9 (27%)	10 (3C%) 1 (3%)
#IESTIS/TUBULE MINEFALIZATION	(32)	(33) 1 (3%)	(33) 1 (3%)
*SFERMATOGENIC EPITHE ATPCFHY, NOS	(32)	(33)	(33) 1 (3%)
*FFIDICYMIS GRANULOMA, SPERMATIC	(34)	(35) 1 (3%)	(34)
*MUSCULAPIS OF VAS DE MINEFALIZATION	(34)	(35) 1 (3%)	(34)

NERVOUS SYSTEM

NONE\_\_\_\_

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\_\_\_\_

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*ZYMBAL'S GLAND HYPFFPIASIA, CYSTIC	(34)	(35) 1 (3%)	(34)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
*JOINT OF WRIST INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(34)	(35)	(34) 1 (3%)
BODY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY FEFIAFIFRITIS	(34)	(35)	(34) 1 (3%)
* MESENTFPY PERIARTERITIS	(34) 1 (3%)	(35)	(34)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NCNE			
SPECIAI MOPPHOLOGY SUMMAKY			
AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERF AUTC/NECROPSY/NC HISTO	1	1	1
AUTCLYSIS/NO NECROPSY	1	·	i

# TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

## TABLE C2.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOFATHOLOGICALLY	35 35 31	35 33 33	35 33 30
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN	(35)	(33)	(33)
ULCER, NOS HYPERKERATOSIS		1 (3%)	3 (9%)
ACANTHOSIS			3 (9%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(35)	(33)	(33)
GFANULOMA, FOREIGN BODY	<u>1 (3%)</u>		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
*NASAL CAVITY	(35)	(33)	(33)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE		1 (3%)	
INFIAMMATION, HEMORRHAGIC Inflammation, chronic		1 (3%) 1 (3%)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE		2 (6%)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV		1 (3%)	1 (3%)
INFLAMMATION PROLIFERATIVE		3 (9%)	1 (3%)
*NASAL TURBINATE	(35)	(33)	(33)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	1 (3%)		
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE	1 (3%)		
#TRACHEA	(29)	(33)	(29)
INFLAMMATION, NOS	5 (17%)	1 (7)	
INFIAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFIAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	. (3,7,7	9 (27%)	1 (3%)
INFLAMMATION PROLIFERATIVE		3 (9%)	6 (21)
# LUNG	(30)	(33)	(28)
CONGESTION, NOS	2 (7%)		
EFONCHOPNEUMONIA, NOS		3 (9%)	3 (11

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE FXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE ABSCESS, NOS PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC GRANULOMA, NOS	1 (3%) 6 (20%) 1 (3%)	1 (3%) 1 (3%) 14 (42%)	2 (7%) 10 (36%) 1 (4%)
HEMATOPCIETIC SYSTEM			
# EONE MARROW HYFERPIASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC	(31) 4 (13%)	(32)	(26)
#SFLEEN INFIAMMATION, ACUTE INFIAMMATION, CHRONIC	(30) 4 (13%) 1 (3%)	(33)	(29)
HEMOSIDEROSIS ATROPHY, NOS DEPLETION	2 (7%) 1 (3%)	5 (15%)	2 (7%)
LYMPHOID DEPLETION HEMATOPOIESIS	6 (20%)	3 (9%) 3 (9%)	2 (7%) 3 (10%)
#MANDIEULAR L. NODE HEMCFRHAGIC CYST INFIAMMATION, ACUTE PLASMA-CELL INFILTRATE HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 3 (12%) 5 (20%)	(25)	(25)
*CERVICAL LYMPH NODE INFIAMMATION, CHRONIC HYPERPIASIA, LYMPHOID	(25)	(25) 3 (12%) 1 (4%)	(25)
#MESENTFRIC L. NODE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
#THYMUS CYSI, NOS ATROPHY, NOS	(9) 2 (22%) 9 (100%)	(1)	
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART CALCIFICATION, DYSTROPHIC	(31) 1 (3%)	(29)	(30)
#MYOCAFDIUM FIBFOSIS, FOCAL	(31)	(29) <u> </u>	(30)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#ENDOCAFDIUM INFLAMMATION WITH FIBROSIS DEGENEPATION, MUCOID	(31)	(29) 1 (3%)	(30) 1 (3%)
*AORTA MEDIAL CALCIFICATION	(35)	(33) 1 (3%)	(33)
*MESENTERIC ARTERY THROMBOSIS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(35) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(33)	(33)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
*LIVER CONGESTION, NOS	(31) 1 (3%)	(33)	(30)
CIPRHOSIS, NOS NECROSIS, NOS NECROSIS, FOCAL METAMOPFHOSIS FATTY LIPCIDOSIS	1 (3%) 1 (3%) 2 (6%)	1 (3%) 1 (3%) 6 (18%)	1 (3%) 10 (33%
HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR HYPERPLASIA, NOS HEMATOPOIESIS	7 (23%) 1 (3%)	2 (6%)	1 (3%) 1 (3%)
<pre>#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR NECFOSIS, NOS METAMORPHOSIS FATTY</pre>	(31) 1 (3%)	(33) 2 (6%) 13 (39%)	(30) 6 (20% 10 (33%
#BILE DUCT DILATATION, NOS	(31) 1 (3%)	(33)	(30)
CYST, NOS Inflammation, Chronic Hyperplasia, Nos	1 (3%) 13 (42%)	2 (6%)	1 (3%)
#PANCREAS INFLAMMATION WITH FIBROSIS PERIARTERITIS	(29) 1 (3%)	(32)	(26) 2 (8%)
*PANCREATIC DUCT Hyperplasia, nos	(29) 3 (10%)	(32)	(26)
#FANCFFATIC ACINUS ATPOFHY, NOS	(29)	(32) <u> </u>	(26) <u>1 (4%)</u>

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*STOMACH CALCIFICATION, DYSTROPHIC	(31) 1 (3%)	(33)	(27)
#GASTFIC MUCOSA CALCIFICATION, NOS	(31)	(33) 1 (3 <b>%</b> )	(27)
#CECUM ULCER, NOS	(19)	(27)	(25) 1 (4 <b>%</b> )
URINARY SYSTEM			
*KIDNEY	(31)	(33)	(30)
MINEFALIZATION CAST, NOS CYST, NOS HEMOREHAGE	17 (55%)	9 (27%)	7 (23%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)
PYFIONEPHRITIS, NOS- Inflammation, interstitial Pyfionefhritis, acute	1 (3%) 1 (3%)	5 (15%)	9 (30%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC NEPHROPATHY	5 (16%)		1 (3%)
<pre># KI DN EY/MFDULLA MI N EFALIZATI ON</pre>	(31) 1 (3%)	(33)	(30)
<pre>#KIENEY/TUBULE EILATATION, NOS CAST, NOS</pre>	(31)	(33) 12 (36%) 3 (9%)	(30) 7 (23 <b>%</b> )
CYST, NOS	4 (13%)		
#URINAFY BLADDER INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, HEMORRHAGIC INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(29) 1 (3%)	(23)
ENDOCKINE SYSTEM			
*PITUITARY CYSI, NOS	(18) 3 (17%)	(25)	(20)
COIIOID CYST HEMORPHAGIC CYST		1 (4%) 1 (4%)	
#ADRENAI HEMCFRHAGIC_CYST	(30)	(33) 1 (3 <b>%</b> )	(29)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
LIFOIDOSIS ANGIECTASIS	. 8 (27%)	2 (6%)	
#ADŖENAL CORTEX LIPCIDOSIS HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(30) 9 (30%) 1 (3%)	(33)	(29)
*THYPCID CYSTIC FOLLICLES FOLLICULAR CYST, NOS HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL	(28) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 3 (11%)	(32)	(25)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM		ø	
*MAMMARY GIAND Absciss, Nos	(35)	(33)	(33) 1 (3%)
#UTERUS FYOMFTRA INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	(30) 2 (7%)	(32) 1 (3%)	(30) 1 (3%)
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM CYSI, NOS INFLAMMATION, ACUTE HYPERPIASIA, NOS	(30) 2 (7%) 2 (7%)	(32)	(30) 1 (3%)
#OVARY CYSTIC FOLLICLES FOLLICULAR CYST, NOS	(26) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(31) 1 (3%)	(26)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*EYE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE CATARACT	(35) 2 (6%) 1 (3%)	(33)	<b>(3</b> 3)
* EY E/CCRN EA IN FLAMMATION, ACUTE	(35) 1_(3%)	(33)	(33)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECPOPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*EYE/RETINA INFLAMMATION, NOS	(35) 21 (60%)	(33)	(33)
*EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE	(35) 1 (3%)	(33)	(33)
*HARDERIAN GLAND ABSCESS, NOS	(35) 1 (3%)	(33)	(33)
AUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
*SKELETAL MUSCLE GRANULOMA, FOFEIGN EODY	(35) 1 (3%)	(33)	(33)
BODY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL WALL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(35) 1 (3%)	(33)	(33)
*PERITCNEUM INFIAMMATION, CHRONIC	(35)	(33)	(33) 1 (3%)
*MESENTEFY PERIARTERITIS	(35)	(33)	(33) 1 (3%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
SPECIAL MOPPHOLOGY SUMMARY			

APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

## TABLE D1.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50	50	45
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOIOGICALLY	49	50	45
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
NONE			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
*LUNG	(49)	(49)	(44)
CONGESTION, NOS	4 (00)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL	1 (2%)		2 (5%)
HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	2 (34)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
* ELOOD CFILS LEUKCCYTOSIS, NOS	(50)	(50)	(45) 1 (2%)
#BONE MAFROW	(48)	(43)	(39)
HYPERPLASIA, GRANULOCYTIC	()	(,	1 (3%)
#SFLEEN	(48)	(48)	(43)
DEFIETION			2 (5%)
HYPEPPLASIA, LYMPHOID			2 (5%)
HEMATOPOIESIS			1 (2%)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE	(1)	(42)	(36)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		2 (5%)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		2 (5%)	5 (14%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
*AORTA	(50)	(50)	(45)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC			1 (2%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*CORONAFY ARTERY INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(50)	(50)	(45) 1 (2%)
IGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER NECFCSIS, FOCAL HYPEPPIASIA, NODULAR HEMATOPOIESIS	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)	(42) 2 (5%) 2 (5%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#FANCREAS ABSCESS, NOS</pre>	(42)	(48)	(40) 1 (3%)
#FEYERS PATCH HYPEFPIASIA, LYMPHOID	(5)	(45) 1 (2%)	(39) 2 (5%)
JRINARY SYSTEM			
*KIDNEY INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL PYEIONEPHRITIS SUPPURATIVE	(49)	(48) 1 (2%)	(42) 1 (2%)
<pre>#URINAFY BLADDER INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC</pre>		(47) 1 (2 <b>%)</b>	(37) 1 (3%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
NONE		***	
REFRCDUCTIVE SYSTEM			
* FREPUTIAL GLAND EILATATION, NOS	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(45)
CYST, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#TESTIS GRANULOMA, SPERMATIC ATROPHY, FOCAL	(49) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)	(44)
ERVOUS SYSTEM			
NCNE			

#### TABLE D1. MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(45)
(50)	(50)	(45)
	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
26	15	8 1
	(50)	(50) (50) 1 (2%)

# TABLE D1. MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

#### TABLE D2.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

50 49 49 49 (47)	50 48 48 (47)
49	48
(47)	
• •	(47)
• •	(47)
• •	(47)
• •	(47)
(4%)	
	1 (2%)
	1 (2%)
(2%)	1 (2%)
	(38)
-	(46)
( ) )	3 (7%)
4.4.2.17	3 (7%)
(12%)	5 (11%) 1 (2%)
1 (2%)	
(37)	(37)
(20%)	
(37)	(37)
1 (3%)	
2 (5%)	1 (3%) ) 3 (8%)
(5) 3 (60)	(1) %)1(100
	(12%) (48) (12%) (20%) (37) (37) (37) (37) (37) (37) (37) (37

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#SALIVARY GLAND INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(43) 1 (2%)	(38)
<pre>#LIVEF HEMATOMA, NOS INFLAMMATION, DIFFUSE HEPATITIS, TOXIC PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, FOCAL METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CALCIFICATION, FOCAL MEGALOCYTOSIS HYPERPLASIA, NOS</pre>	(50)	(48) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 10 (21%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HEM ATOPOIESIS		5 (10%)	5 (11%
<pre>#LIVER/HEPATOCYTES NECRCSIS, NOS</pre>	(50) 1 (2%)	(48)	(47)
#FANCEFAS DILATATION/DUCTS	(26) 1 (4%)	(45) 1 (2%)	(44) 1 (2%)
*STONACH INFIAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPEPPIASIA, FOCAL	(48)	(48) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(39)
<b>#FFYERS PATCH</b> HYFERPIASIA, LYMPHOID	(1)	(44) 2 (5%)	(36) 2 (6%)
IRINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY LYMFHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL	(50) 2 (4%)	(47)	(47) 6 (13%
#KIDNEY/GLOMERULUS AMYICIDOSIS	(50) 1 (2%)	(47)	(47)

<u>NONE</u>

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICLOSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIEC

.....

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
REPFODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*CLITCFIS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	(50)	(49)	(48) 1 (2%)
#UTERUS HYLECMETRA ATROPHY, NOS	(49) 4 (8%)	(46)	(45) 1 (2%)
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM HYFEFPIASIA, DIFFUSE	(49) 1 (2%)	(46)	(45)
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC	48 (98%)	20 (43%)	10 (22%
*OVARY CYSI, NOS	(20) 5 (25%)	(43)	(41)
FOILICULAR CYST, NOS ABSCFSS, NOS	5 (25%)	1 (2%) 2 (5%)	6 (15% 3 (7%)
IER VOUS SYSTEM			
NCNE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
USCULCSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BODY CAVITIES			
*PERITCNEUM INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	(50)	(49)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			

# 

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
SPECIAL MOPPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO IESION REPORTED Autc/neceopsy/histo perf	1	10	10 1
AUTCLYSIS/NO NECROPSY		1	2
* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED	MICROSCOPICA	LLY	

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\*

APPENDIX E

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

		Low	High
Iopography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Integumentary System: Fibroma of the Subcutaneous Tissue (b)	、3/34(9)	0/35 (0)	0/34 (0)
of the Subcutaneous fissue (b)		0733 (07	0/54 (0)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.036 (N)	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.000	0.000
Lower Limit		0.000	0.000
Upper Limit		1.594	1.639
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	96		
Spleen: Hemangiosarcoma (b)	0/31 (0)	2/34 (6)	0/32 (0)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (f)		Infinite	
Lower Limit		0.274	
Upper Limit		Infinite	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		112	

		Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Adrenal: Cortical Adenoma or			
Carcinoma (b)	7/31 (23)	5/34 (15)	3/32 (9)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.651	0.415
Lower Limit		0.182	0.076
Upper Limit		2.133	1.638
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	91	104	109
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma (b)	6/31 (19)	2/34 (6)	0/32 (0)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.006 (N)	N.S.	P = 0.011 (N)
Relative Risk (f)		0.304	0.000
Lower Limit		0.032	0.000
Upper Limit		1.554	0.593
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	86	104	

Table El.	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats
	Administered DCDD in the Diet (a)

# (continued)

Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Low Dose	High Dose
Thyroid: Follicular-cell Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	3/29 (10)	1/34 (3)	0/32 (0)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.048 (N)	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.284 0.006 3.321	0.000 0.000 1.480
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	97	77	
Thryoid: Papillary Adenocarcinoma, Follicular-cell Adenoma, or Follicular-cell Carcinoma (b)	3/29 (10)	1/34 (3)	1/32 (3)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.284 0.006 3.321	0.302 0.006 3.518
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	97	77	101

Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Thyroid: C-cell Adenoma (b)	3/29 (10)	3/34 (9)	0/32 (0)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.853 0.124 5.927	0.000 0.000 1.480
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	110	95	
Parathyroid: Adenoma, NOS (b)	2/25 (8)	0/24 (0)	0/26 (0)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.000 0.000 3.421	0.000 0.000 3.170
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	110		

(continued)

(continued)			
		Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Pituitary: Adenoma, NOS, or			
Chromophobe Adenoma (b)	3/16 (19)	0/22 (0)	1/17 (6)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.000	0.314
Lower Limit		0.000	0.006
Upper Limit		1.157	3.436
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	110		111
Tunica Vaginalis: Mesothelioma,			<u></u>
NOS (b)	2/34 (6)	0/35 (0)	0/34 (0)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.000	0.000
Lower Limit		0.000	0.000
Upper Limit		3.246	3.338
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	81		

#### (continued)

- (a) Dosed groups received 5,000 or 10,000 ppm.
- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.
- (e) The probability level for the departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.

98

(f) The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma (b)	4/18 (22)	3/25 (12)	7/20 (35)
? Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.540	1.575
Lower Limit		0.091	0.488
Upper Limit		2.825	6.098
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	116	110	103
drenal: Cortical Adenoma (b)	11/30 (37)	7/33 (21)	9/29 (31)
Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.579	0.846
Lower Limit		0.222	0.368
Upper Limit		1.415	1.894
Jeeks to First Observed Tumor	115	110	90

(continued)			
Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Thyroid: Follicular-cell Carcinoma (b)	0/28 (0)	2/32 (6)	2/25 (8)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		Infinite 0.264 Infinite	Infinite 0.339 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		110	108
Thyroid: C-cell Adenoma (b)	4/28 (14)	0/32 (0)	0/25 (0)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.015 (N)	P = 0.042 (N)	N.S.
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.000 0.000 0.925	0.000 0.000 1.171
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	115		

		T	IIh
Iopography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Topography: Morphology		Dose	DOSE
Thyroid or Thyroid Follicle:			
Cystadenoma, NOS (b)	2/28 (7)	1/32 (3)	0/25 (0)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.437	0.000
Lower Limit		0.008	0.000
Upper Limit		7.961	3.688
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	116	110	
Thyroid or Thyroid Follicle: Follicular-cell Carcinoma or			
Cystadenoma, NOS (b)	2/28 (7)	3/32 (9)	2/25 (8)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.312	1.120
Lower Limit		0.163	0.087
Upper Limit		14.810	14.392
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	116	110	106

		Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Mammary Gland: Adenoma, NOS (b)	3/35 (9)	5/33 (15)	0/33 (0)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.768	0.000
Lower Limit		0.375	0.000
Upper Limit		10.552	1.737
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	113	110	
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma (b)	13/35 (37)	7/33 (21)	4/33 (12)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.011 (N)	N.S.	P = 0.017 (N)
Relative Risk (f)		0.571	0.326
Lower Limit		0.222	0.087
Upper Limit		1.336	0.932
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	110	103

Table E2.	Analyses of the	Incidence of	E Primary	Tumors	in Female Rats
	Administ	ered DCDD in	the Diet	(a)	

(continued)

Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Low Dose	High Dose
Mammary Gland: Adenoma, NOS, or Fibroadenoma (b)	14/35 (40)	12/33 (36)	4/33 (12)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.009 (N)	N.S.	P = 0.009 (N)
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.909 0.455 1.783	0.303 0.082 0.849
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	110	103
Mammary Gland: Adenoma, NOS, Fibroadenoma, or Adenocarcinoma, NOS (b)	15/35 (43)	12/33 (36)	4/33 (12)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.005 (N)	N.S.	P = 0.005 (N)
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit		0.848 0.432 1.630	0.283 0.077 0.779
Upper Limit		10000	

	Low	High
Control	Dose	Dose
0/26 (0)	2/31 (6)	0/26 (0)
N.S.	N.S.	
	Infinite	
	0.254	
	Infinite	
	110	
	0/26 (0)	0/26 (0) 2/31 (6) N.S. N.S. Infinite 0.254 Infinite

(a) Dosed groups received 5,000 or 10,000 ppm.

(continued)

- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.
- (e) The probability level for the departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.
- (f) The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MICE ADMINISTERED DCDD IN THE DIET

APPENDIX F
Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma (b)	0/49 (0)	3/49 (6)	0/44 (0)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	
Departure from Linear Trend (e)	P = 0.016		
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		Infinite 0.602 Infinite	  
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		97	
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	8/49 (16)	5/49 (10)	5/44 (11)
P Values(c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.625 0.172 2.007	0.696 0.192 2.222
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	92	97	97

		Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System:			
Leukemia (b)	0/50 (0)	3/50 (6)	1/45 (2)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit		0.601	0.060
Upper Limit		Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		98	94
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma (b)	0/50 (0)	4/50 (8)	2/45 (4)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit		0.927	0.329
Upper Limit		Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		99	99

		Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia or Lymphoma (b)	0/50 (0)	7/50 (14)	3/45 (7)
P Values(c,d)	N.S.	P = 0.006	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend (e)	P = 0.016		
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		Infinite 1.941 Infinite	Infinite 0.669 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		98	94
All Sites: Hemangiosarcoma (b)	0/50 (0)	4/50 (8)	1/45 (2)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend (e)	P = 0.031		
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		Infinite 0.927 Infinite	Infinite 0.060 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		97	99

		Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
All Sites: Hemangiosarcoma or			
Hemangioma (b)	0/50 (0)	5/50 (10)	1/45 (2)
P Values(c,d)	N.S.	P = 0.028	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend (e)	P = 0.011		
Relative Risk (f)		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit		1.261	0.060
Upper Limit		Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		97	99
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma (b)	4/49 (8)	5/50 (10)	5/42 (12)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.225	1.458
Lower Limit		0.280	0.335
Upper Limit		5.833	6.884
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	93	99	99

86

.

		Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma or			
Adenoma (b)	8/49 (16)	20/50 (40)	17/42 (40)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.008	P = 0.008	P = 0.010
Relative Risk (f)		2.450	2.479
Lower Limit		1.156	1.138
Upper Limit		5.757	5.862
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	92	97	99
Stomach: Squamous-cell Papilloma (b)	5/49 (10)	0/47 (0)	0/43 (0)
P Values(c,d)	P = 0.008 (N)	P = 0.031 (N)	P = 0.039 (N)
Relative Risk (f)		0.000	0.000
Lower Limit		0.000	0.000
Upper Limit		0.825	0.900
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	92		

.

#### Table Fl. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Administered DCDD in the Diet (a)

66

(continued)

100

- (a) Dosed groups received 5,000 or 10,000 ppm.
- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

(c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

(d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.

(e) The probability level for the departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.

(f) The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar			
Adenoma (b)	3/50 (6)	2/47 (4)	0/47 (0)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.709	0.000
Lower Limit		0.061	0.000
Upper Limit		5.913	1.766
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	91	92	
Stomach: Papilloma, NOS,			
Papillomatosis, or Squamous-			
cell Papilloma (b)	1/48 (2)	3/48 (6)	1/39 (3)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		3.000	1.231
Lower Limit		0.252	0.016
Upper Limit		154.112	94.143
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	91	92	93

(continued)			
		Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System:			
Leukemia or Lymphoma (b)	6/50 (12)	11/49 (22)	4/48 (8)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend (e)	P = 0.044		
Relative Risk (f)		1.871	0.694
Lower Limit		0.692	0.153
Upper Limit		5.683	2.739
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	76	88	93

Table F2.	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mic	e
	Administered DCDD in the Diet (a)	

102

(a) Dosed groups received 5,000 or 10,000 ppm.

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.
- (e) The probability level for the departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.
- (f) The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

Review of the Bioassay of 2,7-Dichlorodibenzo-p-Dioxin (DCDD)\* for Carcinogenicity by the Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens

October 25, 1978

The Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens was established in May, 1976, in compliance with DHEW Committee Regulations and the Provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of the Clearinghouse is to advise the Director of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) on its bioassay program to identify and to evaluate chemical carcinogens in the environment to which humans may be exposed. The members of the Clearinghouse have been drawn from academia, industry, organized labor, public interest groups, and State health officials. Members have been selected on the basis of their experience in carcinogenesis or related fields and, collectively, provide expertise in chemistry, biochemistry, biostatistics, toxicology, pathology, and epidemiology. Representatives of various Governmental agencies participate as ad hoc members. The Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse is charged with the responsibility of providing a peer review of reports prepared on NCI-sponsored bioassays of chemicals studied for carcinogenicity. It is in this context that the below critique is given on the bioassay of 2,7-Dichlorodibenzo-p-Dioxin (DCDD) for carcinogenicity.

The reviewer for the report on the bioassay of DCDD said that, under the conditions of test, the compound was not carcinogenic in either sex of treated rats or female mice. An increased incidence of a variety of tumors, including hepatocellular neoplasms and leukemias, were observed among treated male mice. These findings led the Program staff to conclude that the evidence was suggestive of a carcinogenic effect in male mice. There was no objection to a recommendation that the report on the bioassay of DCDD be accepted as written.

#### Clearinghouse Members present:

Arnold L. Brown (Chairman), University of Wisconsin Medical School Joseph Highland, Environmental Defense Fund William Lijinsky, Frederick Cancer Research Center Henry Pitot, University of Wisconsin Medical Center Verne A. Ray, Pfizer Medical Research Laboratory Kenneth Wilcox, Michigan State Health Department

<sup>\*</sup> Subsequent to this review, changes may have been made in the bioassay report either as a result of the review or other reasons. Thus, certain comments and criticisms reflected in the review may no longer be appropriate.

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 79-1378