National Cancer Institute CARCINOGENESIS Technical Report Series No. 152 1979



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health



BIOASSAY OF ETHYL TELLURAC FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20205

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

NIH Publication No. 79-1708

ع

BIOASSAY OF ETHYL TELLURAC FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health

This report presents the results of the bioassay of FOREWORD: ethyl tellurac conducted for the Carcinogenesis Testing Program, and National Division of Cancer Cause Prevention, Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, This is one of a series of experiments designed to Maryland. determine whether selected chemicals have the capacity to produce cancer in animals. A negative result, in which the test animals do not have a greater incidence of cancer than control animals, does not necessarily mean that the test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of circumstances. A positive result demonstrates that the test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicates that exposure to the chemical is a potential risk to man. The actual determination of the risk to man from chemicals that are carcinogenic in animals requires a wider analysis.

CONTRIBUTORS: This bioassay of ethyl tellurac was conducted by the NCI Frederick Cancer Research Center (FCRC) (1), Frederick, Maryland, operated for NCI (2) by Litton Bionetics, Inc.

The manager of the bioassay at FCRC was Dr. B. Ulland, the toxicologist was Dr. E. Gordon, and Drs. R. Cardy and D. Creasia compiled the data. Ms. S. Toms was responsible for management of data, Mr. D. Cameron for management of histopathology, Mr. L. Callahan for management of the computer branch, and Mr. R. Cypher for management of the facilities. Mr. A. Butler performed the computer services. Necropsies were performed by Drs. B. Ulland, R. Schueler, R. Ball, and R. Cardy. Histopathologic evaluations were performed by Drs. J. F. Hardisty (3) and C. E. Gilmore (3), and the diagnoses included in this report represent their interpretation.

Animal pathology tables and survival tables were compiled at EG&G Mason Research Institute (4). The statistical analyses were performed by Dr. J. R. Joiner (5) and Ms. P. L. Yong (5), using methods selected for the bioassay program by Dr. J. J. Gart (6).

The chemicals used in this bioassay were analyzed at FCRC (1) by Dr. W. Zielinsky. The chemical narrative and analyses were reviewed and approved by Dr. W. Lijinsky (1).

This report was prepared at Tracor Jitco (5) under the direction of NCI. Those responsible for the report at Tracor Jitco were Dr. C. R. Angel, Acting Director of the Bioassay Program; Dr. S. S. Olin, Deputy Director for Science; Dr. J. F. Robens, toxicologist; Dr. R. L. Schueler, pathologist; Dr. G. L. Miller, Ms. L. A Owen, Ms. M. S. King, and Mr. W. D. Reichardt, bioscience writers; and Dr. E. W. Gunberg, technical editor, assisted by Ms. Y. E. Presley.

1. 1.

The following scientists at NCI were responsible for evaluating the bioassay, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings: Dr. Kenneth C. Chu, Dr. Cipriano Cueto, Jr., Dr. J. Fielding Douglas, Dr. Richard A. Griesemer, Dr. Thomas E. Hamm, Dr. William V. Hartwell, Dr. Morton H. Levitt, Dr. Harry A. Milman, Dr. Thomas W. Orme, Dr. A. R. Patel, Dr. Sherman F. Stinson, Dr. Jerrold M. Ward, and Dr. Carrie E. Whitmire.

- Frederick Cancer Research Center, P.O. Box B, Frederick, Maryland.
- (2) Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- (3) Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc., P.O. Box 474, Herndon, Virginia.
- (4) EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- (5) Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- (6) Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Biometry Branch, Field Studies and Statistics, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

SUMMARY

A bioassay of technical-grade ethyl tellurac for possible carcinogenicity was conducted by administering the preparation in feed to F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice.

Groups of 50 rats of each sex were administered ethyl tellurac at one of two doses, either 300 or 600 ppm for the males and either 150 or 300 ppm for the females, for 105 weeks. Matched controls consisted of 20 untreated rats of each sex. All surviving rats were killed at 105 weeks.

Groups of 50 mice of each sex were administered ethyl tellurac at one of two doses, initially either 2,500 or 5,000 ppm. Due to signs of toxicity in the dosed animals, these doses were reduced to 500 and 2,000 ppm, respectively, starting at week 41 for the males and at week 38 for the females. The reduced doses were maintained for 66 weeks for the males; for the females, the reduced doses were raised after 3 weeks to 2,000 and 5,000 ppm, respectively, and maintained at these levels for 66 weeks. The time-weighted average doses for the males were either 1,255 or 3,132 ppm; for the females, either 2,132 or 4,915 ppm. Matched controls consisted of 20 untreated mice of each sex. All surviving mice were killed at 106 weeks.

Mean body weights of the dosed groups of rats or mice were lower than those of corresponding controls throughout most or all of the bioassay. No other clinical signs in the rats or mice were clearly related to administration of the test chemical. Survival of the rats and the mice was not affected by the chemical, and sufficient numbers of all groups were at risk for the development of lateappearing tumors.

In the male rats, mesotheliomas occurred at incidences that were dose related (P = 0.012); in direct comparisons, the incidences of the tumors in the individual dosed groups were not significantly higher than that in the control group (controls 0/20, low-dose 2/49, high-dose 8/50). However, the historical-control data at this laboratory indicate an incidence of 12/416 (2.9%) in male F344 rats compared with 8/50 (16%) in the male high-dose group in this study.

In the female rats, no tumors occurred at incidences that were related to administration of the test chemical.

In both male and female mice, adenomas of the lacrimal (harderian) gland of the eye occurred in the dosed groups, but not in the corresponding controls (males: controls 0/17, low-dose 16/46,

high-dose 10/49; females: controls 0/20, low-dose 6/50, high-dose 5/49). The incidences in the dosed groups were not high enough to show statistically significant dose-related trends. However, in direct comparisons of dosed and control groups of male mice, the incidence was statistically significant in the low-dose males (P = 0.003). In female mice, direct comparisons of dosed and control groups indicated that the incidence of this tumor was not statistically significant.

It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay, ethyl tellurac was not carcinogenic for F344 rats or B6C3F1 mice of either sex. The incidence of mesotheliomas in dosed male rats and the incidence of adenomas of the lacrimal (harderian) gland of the eye in dosed mice of either sex provided evidence which was suggestive but under the conditions of the bioassay insufficient to establish the carcinogenicity of ethyl tellurac in these animals.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

٠

| | | Page |
|------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
| 11. | Materials and Methods | 3 |
| | A. Chemical B. Dietary Preparation C. Animals D. Animal Maintenance E. Subchronic Studies F. Chronic Studies G. Clinical and Pathologic Examinations H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses | 3 4 5 7 8 11 12 |
| 111. | Results - Rats | 19 |
| | A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats) B. Survival (Rats) C. Pathology (Rats) D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats) | 19 19 22 24 |
| IV. | Results - Mice | 27 |
| | A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice) B. Survival (Mice) C. Pathology (Mice) D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice) | 27 27 30 32 |
| v. | Discussion | 35 |
| VI. | Bibliography | 39 |

APPENDIXES

•

| Appendix A | Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 41 |
|------------|---|----|
| Table Al | Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 43 |
| Table A2 | Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 47 |

| Appendix B | Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 51 |
|------------|--|----|
| Table Bl | Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 53 |
| Table B2 | Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 57 |
| Appendix C | Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 61 |
| Table Cl | Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 63 |
| Table C2 | Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 69 |
| Appendix D | Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 75 |
| Table Dl | Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 77 |
| Table D2 | Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 80 |
| Appendix E | Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac | 83 |
| Table El | Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 85 |
| Table E2 | Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 93 |

.

Page

| Appendix F | Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 97 |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table Fl | Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 99 |
| Table F2 | Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 104 |

TABLES

| Table | 1 | 5 | Tellurac | • | in | 9 |
|-------|---|---|---------------|---|--------|----|
| Table | 2 | | Tellurac e | • | | 10 |

FIGURES

| Figure | 1 | Growth Curves for Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 20 |
|--------|---|---|----|
| Figure | 2 | Survival Curves for Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 21 |
| Figure | 3 | Growth Curves for Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 28 |
| Figure | 4 | Survival Curves for Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet | 29 |

х

I. INTRODUCTION

Ethyl tellurac (CAS 20941-65-5 and 30145-38-1; NCI C02857) is the common name for tellurium diethyldithiocarbamate. It is used in rubber processing where it functions to accelerate the rate of vulcanization or formation of sulfur bridges between rubber polymers that produces modulus or rigidity in the finished product





(LeBras, 1957).

Ethyl tellurac belongs to a class of rubber accelerators that are extremely fast-acting and have been termed "ultra-accelerators" (Harris and Trivette, 1964). In addition to shortening the curing time, the ultra-accelerators are active at low temperatures and can be used, for example, in rubber cements (Shaver, 1965). While the mechanism by which ethyl tellurac catalyzes vulcanization is not completely understood, it seems probable that tellurium, a group VI relative of sulfur, replaces it in the crosslink so that the linkage now resembles S-Te-S, instead of S-S-S (Ambelang, 1964). Tellurium is more capable of absorbing

the thermal energy generated by vulcanization, and prevents these linkages from undergoing reversion, or breakdown, which normally occurs if curing proceeds too far (Dunkel et al., 1959).

Natural rubber, styrene-butadiene-rubber, nitrile and butyl rubber (Del Gatto, 1968) and ethylene-propylene-diene rubber are compounded with ethyl tellurac in a ratio of 1 to 2 parts accelerator per 100 parts rubber (Winspear, 1958). Some thiazole accelerators are used in conjunction with ethyl tellurac (Del Gatto, 1968).

Production data are not reported for ethyl tellurac alone, although the class of which it is a member, the dithiocarbamates, accounted for 15% of the acyclic rubber-processing chemicals that were sold in 1976 (United States International Trade Commission, 1977).

Ethyl tellurac was tested by Innes et al. (1969) in a large-scale screen of industrial compounds for carcinogenic activity. Since the results of this preliminary bioassay in mice suggested but did not clearly associate the incidence of lung tumors with administration of the test chemical, ethyl tellurac was selected for further testing in the Carcinogenesis Testing Program.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Chemical

Ethyl tellurac (tellurium diethyldithiocarbamate; $C_{20}H_{40}N_4S_8Te$) was obtained as technical-grade, nonformulated material from R. T. Vanderbilt Co. The material is a fine, dark-yellow powder. Atomic absorption spectrometric analysis showed the presence of 18.3% tellurium in the test material (manufacturer's specification: 17.5 to 19.5% tellurium). This value was slightly higher than the theoretical level of tellurium in ethyl tellurac (17.7%). The high purity of the test material was validated by elemental analysis (experimental: 32.7% carbon, 5.7% hydrogen, 7.7% nitrogen; theoretical: 33.3% carbon, 5.6% hydrogen, 7.8% nitrogen). Thin-layer chromatography of the test material on silylated silica showed only a single spot, with no impurities. The material had a melting point of 115°C (literature: 108 to 118°C) and an infrared spectrum consistent with the chemical structure for ethyl tellurac. Mass spectral analysis showed the absence of a molecular ion, and a base peak at 60 m/e. Atomic absorption analysis also showed the presence of 0.004% selenium, 0.03% lead, 0.05% zinc, and 0.02% sodium.

B. Dietary Preparation

Appropriate mixtures of dosed feed were prepared fresh every 1 to 1-1/2 weeks in 6 to 12-kg batches. A known weight of the chemical was first mixed with an equal weight of autoclaved Wayne[®] Sterilizable Lab Meal with 4% fat (Allied Mills, Inc., Chicago, Ill.), using a mortar and pestle. The mixing was continued with second and third additions of feed, and final mixing was performed with the remaining quantity of feed for a minimum of 15 minutes in a Patterson-Kelly twin-shell blender. The diets were routinely stored at 5[°]C until used.

C. Animals

Male and female F344 (Fischer) rats and B6C3F1 mice were obtained as 4-week-old weanlings, all within 3 days of the same age, from the NCI Frederick Cancer Research Center animal farm (Frederick, Md.). The animals were housed within the test facility for 2 weeks and then were assigned four rats to a cage and five mice to a cage on a weight basis for each cage of animals of a given species and sex. Male rats used in the chronic study weighed 90 to 105 g; the female rats, 80 to 95 g; the male mice, 18 to 22 g; and the female mice, 17 to 21 g. Individual animals were identified by ear punch.

D. Animal Maintenance

The animals were housed in polycarbonate cages (Lab Products Inc., Garfield, N. J.), $19 \times 10-1/2 \times 8$ inches for the rats and $11-1/2 \times 10^{-1}$ $7-1/2 \times 5$ inches for the mice. The cages were suspended from aluminum racks (Scientific Cages, Inc., Bryan, Tex.) and were covered by nonwoven polyester-fiber 12-mil-thick filter paper (Hoeltge, Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio). The bedding used was Absorb-dri[®] hardwood chips (Northeastern Products. Inc.. The feed supplied was presterilized Wayne® Warrenburg, N. Y). Sterilizable Lab Meal, provided ad libitum in suspended stainless steel hoppers and replenished as required, at least three times per week. Water, acidified to pH 2.5, was supplied ad libitum from glass bottles. Sipper tubes (Lab Products, Inc.) suspended through the tops of the cages.

The contaminated bedding was disposed of through an enclosed vacuum line that led to a holding tank from which the bedding was fed periodically into an incinerator. The cages were sanitized at 82 to 88°C in a tunnel-type cagewasher (Industrial Washing Machine Corp., Mataway, N. J.) twice per week, using the detergents, Clout[®] (Pharmacal Research Laboratories, Greenwich, Conn.) or Oxford D'Chlor (Oxford Chemicals, Atlanta, Ga.). The feed hoppers were sanitized twice per month in the same equipment. The glass

bottles and sipper tubes were sanitized at 82 to 88°C in a tunnel-type bottle washer (Consolidated Equipment Supply Co., Mercersburg, Pa.) three times per week, using a Calgen Commercial Division detergent (St. Louis, Mo.). The racks for the cages were sanitized at or above 82°C in a rack washer (Consolidated Equipment Co.) once per month, using the Calgen Commercial Division detergent, and the filter paper was changed at the same time.

The air in the animal rooms was maintained at 22 to 24°C and 45 to 55% relative humidity. Fresh air was passed through a filter of 65% efficiency and a bag filter of 95% efficiency at the intake and through a "Z"-type roughing filter of 30% efficiency and a bag system of 90 to 95% efficiency at the exhaust (American Air Filters, Louisville, Ky.; Mine Safety Appliances, Pittsburgh, Pa.) and was not recirculated. Room air was changed 15 times per hour. The air pressure was maintained negative to a clean hallway and positive to a return hallway. Fluorescent lighting was provided automatically on a 12-hour-per-day cycle.

Rats administered ethyl tellurac and their corresponding controls were housed in the same room as rats on feeding studies of the following chemicals:

(CAS 97-77-8) ethyl tuads (CAS 19010-66-3) lead dimethyldithiocarbamate

Mice administered ethyl tellurac and their corresponding controls were housed in the same room as mice on feeding studies of the following chemicals:

(CAS 103-33-3) azobenzene (CAS 72-56-0) p,p'-ethyl-DDD (CAS 298-00-0) methyl parathion (CAS 85-44-9) phthalic anhydride (CAS 51-03-6) piperonyl butoxide (CAS 88-06-2) 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (CAS 128-66-5) C. I. vat yellow 4

E. Subchronic Studies

Subchronic feeding studies were conducted to estimate the maximum tolerated doses (MTD's) of ethyl tellurac, on the basis of which two concentrations (referred to in this report as "low" and "high" doses) were selected for administration in the chronic studies. Groups of five rats and of five mice of each sex were fed diets containing ethyl tellurac at one of several doses, and groups of five controls of each species and sex were administered basal diet only for 7 weeks, rollowed by 1 week of additional observation. Each animal was weighed twice per week. At the end of the subchronic studies, all animals were killed using CO₂ and necropsied.

Based on these studies, the low and high doses for chronic studies using male rats were set at 300 and 600 ppm; for female rats, at 150 and 300 ppm. For chronic studies using mice, the low and high doses were set at 2,500 and 5,000 ppm for both males and females.

F. Chronic Studies

The test groups, doses administered, and durations of the chronic feeding studies are shown in tables 1 and 2.

Due to excessive depression of weight in both dosed male and dosed female mice, the doses were reduced starting at week 41 for the males and at week 38 for the females. For the males, the lowered doses were retained for the remainder of the administration of the chemical; for the females, they were increased after 3 weeks, as indicated.

| Sex and Test Group | Initial No. of <u>Animals (a)</u> | Ethyl Tellurac in Diet (b) (ppm) | Time on Study (weeks) |
|--------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Male | | | |
| Matched-Control | 20 | 0 | 105 |
| Low-Dose | 50 | 300 | 105 |
| High-Dose | 50 | 600 | 105 |
| Female | | | |
| Matched-Control | -20 | 0 | 105 |
| Low-Dose | 50 | 150 | 105 |
| High-Dose | 50 | 300 | 105 |
| | | | |

Table 1. Ethyl Tellurac Chronic Feeding Studies in Rats

(a) All animals were 6 weeks of age when placed on study.

(b) Test and control diets were provided <u>ad libitum</u> 7 days per week.

| <u> </u> | | | | ····· |
|--------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Sex and Test Group | Initial No. of <u>Animals (a)</u> | Ethyl Tellurac in Diet (b) (ppm) | Time on Study (weeks) | Time-Weighted Average Dose (c) (ppm) |
| Male | | | | |
| Matched-Control | 20 | 0 | 106 | |
| Low-Dose | 50 | 2,500 500 | 40 66 | 1,255 |
| High-Dose | 50 | 5,000 2,000 | 40 66 | 3,132 |
| Female | | | | • |
| Matched-Control | 20 | 0 | 106 | |
| Low-Dose | 50 | 2,500 500 2,000 | 37 3 66 | 2,132 |
| High-Dose | 50 | 5,000 2,000 5,000 | 37 3 66 | 4,915 |

Table 2. Ethyl Tellurac Chronic Feeding Studies in Mice

(a) All animals were 6 weeks of age when placed on study.

(b) Test and control diets were provided ad libitum 7 days per week.

(c) Time weighted average dose = $\sum (\text{dose in ppm x no. of weeks at dose})$ $\sum (\text{no. of weeks receiving each dose})$

G. Clinical and Pathologic Examinations

All animals were observed twice daily. Observations for sick, tumor-bearing, and moribund animals were recorded daily. Clinical examination and palpation for masses were performed each month, and the animals were weighed at least once per month. Moribund animals and those that survived to the end of the bioassay were killed using CO, and necropsied.

The pathologic evaluation consisted of gross and microscopic examination of major tissues, major organs, and all gross lesions. The tissues were preserved in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The following tissues were examined microscopically: skin, lungs and bronchi, trachea, bone marrow (femur), spleen, lymph nodes (mesenteric and submandibular), thymus, heart, salivary glands (parotid, sublingual, submaxillary), liver, pancreas, and esophagus, stomach (glandular and nonglandular), small and large intestine, kidney, urinary bladder, pituitary, adrenal, thyroid, parathyroid, testis, prostate, mammary gland, uterus, ovary, brain (cerebrum and cerebellum), and all tissue masses. Peripheral blood smears also were made for all animals, whenever possible.

Necropsies were also performed on all animals found dead, unless precluded in whole or in part by autolysis or cannibalization. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on study in each group.

H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Pertinent data on this experiment have been recorded in an automatic data processing system, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended bv the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Data tables were generated for verification of data transcription and for statistical review.

These data were analyzed using the appropriate statistical techniques described in this section. Those analyses of the experimental results that bear on the possibility of carcinogenicity are discussed in the statistical narrative section.

Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report in the form of graphs. Animals were statistically censored as of the time that they died of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not statistically censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) extensions of Cox's methods for testing for a dose-related trend. One-tailed P values have been reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is only reported when its two-tailed P value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions has been given the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site is examined (denominator). In most instances, the denominators included only those animals for which that site examined histologically. However, when macroscopic was examination was required to detect lesions prior to histologic sampling (e.g., skin or mammary tumors), or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical developed a significantly higher proportion of tumors than did the control As a part of these analyses, the one-tailed Fisher animals. exact test (Cox, 1970) was used to compare the tumor incidence of a control group with that of a group of dosed animals at each dose level. When results for a number of dosed groups (k) are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction to ensure an overall significance level of 0.05 may be made. The Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966) requires that the P value for any comparison be less than or equal to 0.05/k. In cases where this correction was used, it is discussed in the narrative section. It is not, however, presented in the tables, where the Fisher exact P values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971), was also used. Under the assumption of a linear trend, this test determines if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the onetailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend is a positive dose relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

A time-adjusted analysis was applied when numerous early deaths resulted from causes that were not associated with the formation of tumors. In this analysis, deaths that occurred before the first tumor was observed were excluded by basing the statistical tests on animals that survived at least 52 weeks, unless a tumor was found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor was found, comparisons were based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was found. Once this reduced set of data was obtained, the standard procedures for analyses of the incidence of tumors (Fisher exact tests, Cochran-Armitage tests, etc.) were followed.

When appropriate, life-table methods were used to analyze the incidence of tumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without an observed tumor were computed as in Saffiotti et al. (1972). The week during which an animal died naturally or was sacrificed was entered as the time point of tumor observation. Cox's methods of comparing these curves were used for two groups; Tarone's extension to testing for linear trend was used for three groups. The statistical tests for the incidence of tumors which used life-table methods were one-tailed and, unless otherwise noted, in the direction of a positive dose relationship.

Significant departures from linearity (P less than 0.05, twotailed test) were also noted.

The approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the relative risk of each dosed group compared with its control was calculated from the exact interval on the odds ratio (Gart, 1971). The relative risk is defined as p_t/p_c where p_t is the true binomial probability of the incidence of a specific type of tumor in a dosed group of animals and p_c is the true probability of the spontaneous incidence of the same type of tumor in a control group. The hypothesis of equality between the true proportion of a specific tumor in a dosed group and the proportion in a control group corresponds to a relative risk of unity. Values in excess of unity represent the condition of a larger proportion in the dosed group than in the control.

The lower and upper limits of the confidence interval of the relative risk have been included in the tables of statistical analyses. The interpretation of the limits is that in approximately 95% of a large number of identical experiments, the true ratio of the risk in a dosed group of animals to that in a control group would be within the interval calculated from the experiment. When the lower limit of the confidence interval is greater than one, it can be inferred that a statistically significant result (P less than 0.025 one-tailed test when the control incidence is not zero, P less than 0.050 when the control incidence is zero) has occurred. When the lower limit is less than unity, but the upper limit is greater than unity, the lower limit indicates the absence of a significant result while the upper limit indicates that there is a theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by the test chemical, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

III. RESULTS - RATS

A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)

Mean body weights of the dosed male and female rats were lower than those of corresponding matched controls throughout the bioassay (figure 1). In the males, the depression in weight was slightly greater in the high-dose group than in the low-dose group; in the females, the weights of the low- and high-dose groups were essentially the same. No other clinical signs were observed that were clearly related to administration of the test chemical.

B. Survival (Rats)

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival for male and female rats administered ethyl tellurac in the diet at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the matched controls, are shown in figure 2. In each sex, the result of the Tarone test for dose-related trend in mortality is not significant.



Figure 1. Growth Curves for Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet



Figure 2. Survival Curves for Rats Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet

In male rats, 26/50 (52%) of the high-dose group, 27/50 (54%) of the low-dose group, and 12/20 (60%) of the control group lived to the end of the bioassay. In females, 43/50 (86%) of the high-dose group, 38/52 (76%) of the low-dose group, and 15/20 (75%) of the control group lived to the end of the bioassay.

Sufficient numbers of rats of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.

C. Pathology (Rats)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A, tables Al and A2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C, tables Cl and C2.

With the exception of the mesotheliomas observed in the dosed male rats and the high incidence of malignant lymphoma in all groups, the neoplasms that were observed had similar incidences in control and dosed groups. The nature and incidence of these neoplasms are consistent with the occurrence of similar neoplasms in aged F344 rats.

Malignant mesotheliomas were present in 2/49 of the low-dose male

rats and 8/50 of the high-dose male rats. These neoplasms were nodular growths arising from the serous membranes linking the peritoneal cavity and scrotum. Microscopically, they were characterized as papillary projections consisting of a fibrous core covered by large, atypical, mesothelial cells.

There was a high incidence of tumors of the hematopoietic system in both control and dosed rats as shown in the following tabulation:

| | Males | | | Females | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|--|
| | | Low | High | | Low | High | |
| | Control | Dose | Dose | Control | Dose | Dose | |
| Number of Animals | | | | | | | |
| Necropsied | 20 | 49 | 50 | 20 | 50 | 50 | |
| Malignant Lymphoma | 7 (35%) | 27 (55%) | 24 (48%) | 4 (20%) | 14 (28%) | 15 (30%) | |
| Erythrocytic Leukemia | | | | | 1 (2%) | | |

The most frequent hematopoietic neoplasms encountered were malignant lymphomas. Most of these neoplasms were composed of undifferentiated lymphoreticular cells and involved several organs; spleen and liver were the organs most frequently involved, followed by the lung, lymph nodes, and thymus. Malignant lymphomas (also called mononuclear-cell or monocytic leukemia) frequently occur as in aging F344 rats; there appears to be little difference between the low- and high-dose groups in the incidences of the malignant lymphomas in this bioassay.

Several inflammatory, degenerative, and proliferative lesions commonly seen in aged F344 rats occurred with approximately equal frequency in dosed and control animals.

Based on the histopathologic examination, an increase in the number of malignant mesotheliomas in male F344 rats may be associated with the administration of ethyl tellurac under the conditions of this bioassay.

D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)

Tables El and E2 in Appendix E contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals of one group and at an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group.

Four mesotheliomas of the body cavities were observed in the male high-dose group, but none in the low-dose group or the controls. The result of the Cochran-Armitage test for positive dose-related trend is significant (P = 0.041), but those of the Fisher exact test are not significant. The results of the statistical tests on the incidence of mesothelioma of the tunica vaginalis are not significant. When mesotheliomas of all sites are considered
together, the result of the Cochran-Armitage test is significant (P = 0.012) but those of the Fisher exact test remain not significant. The historical-control data at this laboratory indicate an incidence of 12/416 (2.9%) in male F344 rats, compared with 8/50 (16%) in the male high-dose groups of this study.

In females, the result of the Cochran-Armitage test for the incidence of adenomas of the pituitary is not significant. An indicated departure from linear trend is observed, because the incidence in the low-dose group is greater than that in the high-dose group. The Fisher exact test shows that the incidence in the low-dose group is significantly higher (P = 0.012) than that in the control group, but this significance is not confirmed by the incidence in the high-dose group.

In male rats, the results of the Cochran-Armitage test and Fisher exact test for the incidence of lymphoma are not significant. When the life-table method is applied, the result of the Tarone test is also not significant. The historical records of this laboratory show an incidence of lymphoma and leukemia of 91/416 (22%) among untreated F344 male rats compared with 24/50 (48%) in the high-dose groups of male rats in this study.

Significant results in the negative direction are observed in the incidence of cortical adenomas or carcinomas of the adrenal and the incidence of islet-cell adenomas in male rats, in which the incidences in the control group exceed those in the dosed groups.

In summary, the occurrence of mesotheliomas in male rats may be associated with the administration of ethyl tellurac.

IV. RESULTS - MICE

A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)

Mean body weights of the dosed male and female mice were much lower than those of corresponding matched controls throughout most of the bioassay (figure 3). The depression in weight was slightly greater in the high-dose groups than in the low-dose groups. Tissue masses were observed in dosed females at higher incidences than in corresponding controls, but no other clinical signs in either the males or females were related to administration of the test chemical.

B. Survival (Mice)

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival for male and female mice administered ethyl tellurac in the diet at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the matched controls, are shown in figure 4. In each sex, the result of the Tarone test for dose-related trend in mortality is not significant.



Figure 3. Growth Curves for Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet



Figure 4. Survival Curves for Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet

In male mice, 36/50 (72%) of the high-dose group, 37/50 (74%) of the low-dose group, and 16/20 (80%) of the control group lived to the end of the bioassay. In females, 37/50 (74%) of the high-dose group, 34/50 (68%) of the low-dose group, and 14/20 (70%) of the control group lived to the end of the bioassay.

Sufficient numbers of mice of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.

C. Pathology (Mice)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B, tables Bl and B2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D, tables Dl and D2.

The majority of neoplasms observed in dosed mice were observed in incidences similar to those of the controls except for tumors of the lacrimal (harderian) gland in male and female mice, tumors of the lung in male mice, and tumors of the liver in female mice.

Adenomas of the lacrimal (harderian) gland were noted grossly as masses adjacent to the eyes; the glands were not examined microscopically unless such a mass was observed grossly. None were found in the control mice but several were present in dosed animals (males: low-dose 16/46 or 35%, high-dose 10/49 or 20%; females: low-dose 6/50 or 12%, high-dose 5/49 or 10%). The adenomas of the lacrimal gland consisted of clearly defined areas of proliferating epithelial cells arranged in fronds supported by thin fibrous trabeculae and without evidence of invasion.

Hepatocellular carcinomas were observed more frequently in dosed females than in female controls.

| | Controls | Low Dose | High_Dose |
|--------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Male | 8/17 (47%) | 15/46 (33%) | 16/49 (33%) |
| Female | 1/19 (5%) | 7/49 (14%) | 10/48 (21%) |

Metastases of the tumor to lung and/or lymph nodes occurred in one control male and in two males in the dosed groups.

Alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas were observed in increased incidences in dosed male mice (controls 0/17, low-dose 16/46, high-dose 11/46). The carcinomas were distinguished from the adenomas by their hyperchromatic staining, increased number of mitoses, and solid growth.

In addition to the neoplastic lesions, degenerative, proliferative,

and inflammatory lesions occurred that were similar in number and kind to those naturally occurring lesions found in aged B6C3F1 mice.

Based on the histopathologic examinations, an increased incidence of adenoma of the lacrimal (harderian) gland in both male and female B6C3F1 mice, and neoplasms of the lung in male mice and of the liver in female mice may be associated with the administration of ethyl tellurac under the conditions of this bioassay.

D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)

Tables Fl and F2 in Appendix F contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals of one group and at an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group.

In male mice, the results of the Fisher exact test applied to the incidence of animals with alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas are significant (P = 0.003 and P = 0.022, for the low-dose and high-dose, respectively). The result of the Cochran-Armitage test is not significant, but a departure from linear trend is indicated, because the incidence in the low-dose is higher than that in the high-dose group. The incidence in the control group (0/17) may,

however, have been unusually low, since the incidence of these tumors in historical controls at this laboratory is 78/422 (18%). Thus, the occurrence of the alveolar/bronchiolar carcinomas or adenomas in the dosed male mice cannot clearly be related to administration of the test chemical.

The result of the Cochran-Armitage test for the incidence of adenomas of the lacrimal (harderian) gland of the eye in male mice is not significant. An indicated departure from linear trend is observed, because the incidence in the low-dose group is greater than that in the high-dose group. The Fisher exact comparisons of the incidences in the low- and high-dose groups with that of the control group show P values of 0.003 and 0.039, respectively. The the 0.025 level for significance latter is above when the Bonferroni inequality criterion is used for multiple comparison. The historical-control data at this laboratory, however, indicate an incidence of 10/422 (2.4%) in male B6C3Fl mice, compared with 10/49 (20%) in the high-dose male group in this study.

In females, the incidence of adenomas of the lacrimal (harderian) gland is 0/20 in the control group, 6/50 (12%) in the low-dose group, and 5/49 (10%) in the high-dose group. The results of the Cochran-Armitage test and of the Fisher exact test are not significant. The historical-control data at this laboratory

indicate an incidence of 2/440 (0.5%) in female B6C3F1 mice. Using this rate of tumors as a parameter (Fears et al., 1977) and assuming a binomial distribution, the probability level of the occurrence of 5 or more such tumors out of a possible 49 animals is less than 0.001.

In summary, the incidence of adenoma of the lacrimal (harderian) gland of the eye in mice of each sex is associated with the administration of ethyl tellurac.

V. DISCUSSION

weights of both mice administered Mean body rats and technical-grade ethyl tellurac were lower than those of controls under the conditions of the bioassay, and the depressions were greater in the mice than in the rats. No other clinical signs were observed that clearly were related to administration of the test chemical. Survivals of the rats and mice were unaffected by the ethyl tellurac and were 76% or greater in all groups at week 90 of the bioassay; thus, sufficient numbers of dosed and control rats and mice of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.

In the male rats, mesotheliomas were observed on the peritoneal surfaces of multiple abdominal organs and on the tunica vaginalis. These tumors occurred at incidences that were dose related (P = 0.012); in direct comparisons, the incidences of the tumors in the individual dosed groups were not significantly higher than that in the control group (controls 0/20, low-dose 2/49, high-dose 8/50). However, the historical-control data at this laboratory indicate an incidence of 12/416 (2.9%) in male F344 rats compared with 8/50 (16%) in the male high-dose groups of this study.

No tumors occurred in female rats that could clearly be associated with administration of the test chemical.

In the male mice, alveolar/bronchiolar carcinomas or adenomas occurred in the dosed groups, but not in the control group (controls 0/17; low-dose 16/46, or 35%; high-dose 11/46, or 25%). In direct comparisons of dosed and control groups, the incidences were statistically significant in both the low-dose (P = 0.003) and the high-dose (P = 0.022) groups. The incidence in the control group may, however, have been unusually low, since the incidence of these tumors in historical-control male mice at this laboratory is 78/422 (18%). Thus, the occurrence of the alveolar/bronchiolar carcinomas or adenomas in the dosed male mice cannot be clearly related to administration of the test chemical.

In both the male and female mice, adenomas of the lacrimal (harderian) gland of the eye occurred in the dosed groups, but in the corresponding controls not (males: controls 0/17. low-dose 16/46, high-dose 10/49; females: controls 0/20. low-dose 6/50, high-dose 5/49). The incidences in the dosed groups were not high enough to show statistically significant dose-related trends. However, in direct comparisons of dosed and control groups of male mice the incidence was statistically

significant in the low-dose males (P = 0.003) and the historicalcontrol data at this laboratory indicate an incidence of 10/422 (2.4%) in male B6C3F1 mice, compared with 16/46 (35%) in the low-dose and 10/49 (20%) in the high-dose male groups in this study. In female mice, direct comparisons of dosed and control groups indicated that the incidences of adenomas of the lacrimal (harderian) gland were not statistically significant.

In other tests for tumorigenicity, it was reported that when the chemical was administered at 46.4 mg/kg by stomach tube for 4 weeks, then in the diet at 149 ppm for 18 months, to each of two different strains of hybrid mice (C57BL/6 X C3H/Anf and C57BL/6 X AKR), an elevated incidence of lung tumors in 7/36 dosed animals as compared with 12/172 in controls (P = 0.02) was observed in the second strain (Innes et al., 1969). The data were considered as inconclusive.

It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay, ethyl tellurac was not carcinogenic for F344 rats or B6C3F1 mice of either sex. The incidence of mesotheliomas in dosed male rats and the incidence of adenomas of the lacrimal (harderian) gland of the eye in dosed mice of either sex provided evidence which was suggestive but under the conditions of the bioassay insufficient to establish the carcinogenicity of ethyl tellurac in these animals.

VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ambelang, J. C., Curatives for general-purpose elastomers. In: <u>Vulcanization of Elastomers - Principles</u> and <u>Practice of</u> <u>Vulcanization of Commercial Rubbers</u>, Alliger, G. and Sjothun, I. J., eds., Reinhold Publishing Corp., New York, 1964, pp. 139-140.

Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods</u> in <u>Medical Research</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1971, pp. 362-365.

Berenblum, I., ed., <u>Carcinogenicity Testing</u>: <u>A</u> <u>Report of the</u> <u>Panel of Carcinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission of</u> <u>UICC</u>, Vol. 2, International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.

Cox, D. R., Regression models and life tables. <u>J. R. Statist.</u> Soc. B34:187-220, 1972.

Cox, D. R. <u>Analysis of Binary Data</u>, Methuen & Co., Ltd., London, 1970, pp. 48-52.

Del Gatto, J. V., Accelerators. In: <u>Rubber</u> <u>World</u>: <u>Materials</u> and <u>Compounding Ingredients</u> for <u>Rubber</u>, <u>Bill</u> <u>Publications</u>, New York, 1968, p. 52.

Dunkel, W. L., Neu, R. F., and Zapp, R. L., Butyl rubber. In: Introduction to Rubber Technology, Morton, M., ed., Reinhold Publishing Corp., New York, 1959, pp. 321-322.

Fears, T. H., Tarone, R. E., and Chu, K. C., False-positive and false-negative rates for carcinogenicity. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 37:1941-1945, 1977.

Gart, J. J., The comparison of proportions: A review of significance tests, confidence limits and adjustments for stratification., Rev. Int. Stat. Inst. 39:148-169, 1971.

Harris, J. O. and Trivette, C. D., Jr., Acceleration of vulcanization. In: <u>Vulcanization of Elastomers - Principles and</u> <u>Practice of Vulcanization of Commercial Rubbers</u>, Alliger, G. and Sjothun, I. J., eds., Reinhold Publishing Corp., New York, 1964, p. 185.

Innes, J. R. M., Ulland, B. M., Valerio, M. G., Petrucelli, L.,

Fishbein, L., Hart, E. R., Pallotta, A. J., Bates, R. R., Falk, H. L., Gart, J. J., Klein, M., Mitchell, I., and Peters, J., Bioassay of pesticides and industrial chemicals for tumorigenicity in mice: a preliminary note. <u>J. Natl Cancer Inst.</u> 42(6): 1101-1106, 1969.

Kaplan, E. L. and Meier, P., Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. <u>J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 53</u>:457-481, 1958.

Le Bras, J., Vulcanization. In: <u>Rubber - Fundamentals of Its</u> Science and <u>Technology</u>, Chemical Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1957, pp. 164-171.

Linhart, M. S., Cooper, J. A., Martin, R. L., Page, N. P., and Peters, J. A., Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. Comp. and Biomed. Res. 7:230-248, 1974.

Miller, R. G., Jr., Simultaneous Statistical Inference, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966, pp. 6-10.

Saffiotti, U., Montesano, R., Sellakumar, A. R., Cefis, F., and Kaufman, D. G., Respiratory tract carcinogenesis in hamsters induced by different numbers of administrations of benzo(a) pyrene and ferric oxide. Cancer Res. 32:1073-1081, 1972.

Shaver, F. W., Rubber chemicals. In: <u>Kirk-Orhmer</u> <u>Encyclopedia</u> of <u>Chemical</u> <u>Technology</u>, <u>Vol.</u> <u>17</u>, Standen, A., ed., <u>Interscience</u> Publishers, New York, 1965, pp. 509-518.

Tarone, R. E., Tests for trend in life table analysis. Biometrika 62:679-682, 1975.

United States International Trade Commission, Rubber-processing chemicals. In: <u>Synthetic</u> <u>Organic</u> <u>Chemicals</u> - <u>United</u> <u>States</u> <u>Production</u> <u>and</u> <u>Sales</u>, <u>1976</u>, <u>USITC</u> Publication 833, <u>United</u> <u>States</u> <u>International</u> <u>Trade</u> Commission, Washington, D.C., 1977, pp. 191 and 193.

Winspear, G. G., ed., Dithiocarbamate accelerators. <u>The</u> <u>Vanderbilt Rubber Handbook</u>, R. T. Vanderbilt Co., Inc., New York, 1958, p. 180. APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

TABLE A1.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS MISSING | 20 | 50 1 | 50 |
| ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 20 20 | 49 49 | 50 50 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | |
| *SKIN SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA SEBACEOUS ADENOMA | (20) 1 (5%) | (49) 1 (2%) | (50) 1 (2 %) |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE Souamous cell carcinoma | (20) | (49) | (50) |
| FIBROMA LIPOMA | 1 (5%) | 3 (6%) | 2 (4%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%) |
| OSTEOSARCOMA | 1 (5%) | | |
| RESPIRATOPY SYSTEM *LUNG HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA | (20) 1 (5%) | (49) 1 (2 %) | (48) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) |
| FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA, METAS OSTEOSARCOMA, METASTATIC | 1 (5%) | 1 (2%) | |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | |
| *MULTIPLE ORGANS Malignant lymphoma, nos Malig.lymphoma, undiffer-type | (20) 6 (30%) | 1 (2%) | 4 (8%) |
| *SPIEEN MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFF3R-TYPE | (19) 1 (5%) | (49) | (48) |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | · | | |
| <u>NONE</u> | | | |
| NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMI NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED | INED MICROSCO | PICALLY | |

| TABLE A1. MALE | RATS: NEOPLASMS | (CONTINUED) |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------|

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| #LIVER | (19) | (49) | (47) |
| NEOPLASTIC NODULE | | 1 (2%) | • • |
| HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA | 1 (5%) | 1 (2%) | |
| #STOMACH | (18) | (49) | (47) |
| FIBROSARCOMA | 1 (6%) | | |
| #SMALL INTESTINE | (17) | (49) | (47) |
| LEIOMYOSARCOMA | | 1 (2%) | |
| *COLON | (17) | (49) | (46) |
| ADENOMATOUS POLYP, NOS | | 1 (2%) | • • |
| LEIONYOSARCOMA | | 1 (2%) | |
| URINARY SYSTEM | | | |
| #KIDNEY | (20) | (49) | (48) |
| HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST | | 1 (2%) | |
| #KIDNEY/CORTEX | (20) | (49) | (48) |
| ADENOMA, NOS | | | 1 (2%) |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | |
| #PITUITARY | (19) | (45) | (45) |
| ADENOMA, NOS | 7 (37%) | 9 (23%) | 7 (16% |
| #ADRENAL | (20) | (48) | (48) |
| CORTICAL ADENOMA | 2 (10%) | | |
| CORTICAL CARCINOMA | 1 (5%) | | |
| PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA | 1 (5%) | 1 (2%) | 3 (6%) |
| #THYROID | (20) | (49) | (47) |
| FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA | | 1 (2%) | 1 (2%) |
| FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA | | 3 (6%) | 2 (4%) |
| C-CELL ADENOMA C-CELL CARCINOMA | 1 (5%) | 4 (8%) | 1 (2%) |
| C CLLL CARCINORA | 1 (3,0) | | 1 (2%) |
| #PANCREATIC ISLETS | (16) | (48) | (48) |
| ISLET-CELL ADENOMA | 3 (19%) | <u> </u> | 1 (2%) |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| ISLET-CELL CARCINOMA | | 1 (2%) | |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| *MAMMARY GLAND ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS FIBROSARCOMA FIBROADENOMA | (20) 1 (5%) | (49) 1 (2系) 2 (4系) | (50) |
| #TESTIS INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR | (20) 16 (83%) | (48) 40 (83%) | (49) 43 (88% |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM | | | |
| #BRAIN/MENINGES MENINGIONA | (20) | (49) | (48) 1 (2%) |
| #BRAIN ASTROCYTOMA | (20) 1 (5%) | (49) | (48) 1 (2%) |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | |
| *ZYMBAL'S GLAND SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA | (20) 1 (5 %) | (49) 1 (2 %) | (50) |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | |
| *SKELETAL MUSCLE SARCOMA, NOS | (20) | (49) | (50) 1 (2%) |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | |
| *BODY CAVITIES MESOTHELIOMA, NOS | (20) | (49) | (50) 4 (8%) |
| *TUNICA VAGINALIS MESOTHELIOMA, NOS | (20) | (49) 2 (4 %) | (50) 4 (8 %) |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | |
| NONE | | | |

TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY ANTMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY 20 53 50 NATURAL DEATHƏ 8 17 21 MORIBUND SACRIFICE 5 3 SCHEDULED SACRIFICE 12 27 26 ANIMAL MISSING 1 1 INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 20 48 47 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH DENIGN TUMORS 19 43 44 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 19 43 44 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 16 37 33 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 16 37 33 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 12 2 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 22 2 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 33 8 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TUMORS 33 8 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- FRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- FRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|
| NATURAL DEATH##81721MORIBUND SACRIFICE53SCHEDULED SACRIFICE53ACCIDENTALLY KILLED122726ANIMAL MISSING11INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALSUNOR SUMMARYTOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*204847TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS46104104TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS194344TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS194344TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS133228TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS388TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS388TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC38 | NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY | | | |
| MOR IBUND SACRIFICE53SCHEDULED SACRIFICE3ACCIDENTALLY KILLED7TREMINAL SACRIFICE12ANIMAL MISSING1INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALSUNOR SUMMARYTOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*2046104104TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS194344TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS194344TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS1330646337TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS133132222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS338TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-BENIGN OR MALIGNANT338TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-PRIMARY OR METASTATIC | ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 20 | 50 | 50 |
| SCHEDULED SACRIFICE ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE122726ANIMAL MISSING1INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALSUNOR SUMMARYTOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*204847TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS46104104TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS194344TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS194344TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS133228TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS338TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS388TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS388TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS388TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS44TOTAL ANIMALS W | NATURAL DEATHD | 8 | 17 | 21 |
| ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UNOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 20 48 47 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 20 48 47 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 46 104 104 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 19 43 44 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 19 43 44 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 19 43 44 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 11 32 28 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 13 32 28 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 16 37 33 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS 2 2 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 2 2 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 2 2 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 3 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 4 TOTAL ANIMALS | MORIBUND SACRIFICE | | 5 | 3 |
| TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING122726INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALSUMOR SUMMARYTOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*204847TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS46104104TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS46104104TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS194344TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS306463TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS133228TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS388TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS388TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS388TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | | | |
| ANIMAL MISSING 1 INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 20 48 47 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 20 48 47 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 46 104 104 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 19 43 44 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 30 54 63 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 13 32 28 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 13 32 28 TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS 16 37 33 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 2 2 2 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 2 2 2 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 2 2 3 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 3 8 TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS 3 8 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 3 8 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC | | | | |
| INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS UMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 20 48 47 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 46 104 104 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 19 43 44 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 30 64 63 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 13 32 28 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 13 32 28 TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS 16 37 33 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 2 2 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 2 2 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 2 2 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 3 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 3 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 3 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 3 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC | | 12 | | 26 |
| UMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* 20 48 47 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 46 104 104 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 19 43 44 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 30 64 63 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 13 32 28 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 16 37 33 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS* 2 2 TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS 2 2 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 3 TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS 3 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 000 CERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 3 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 000 CERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 3 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 000 CERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT 38 8 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS 000 CERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC | ANIMAL MISSING | | 1 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*204847TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS46104104TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS194344TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS194344TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS306463TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS133228TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS222TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL ONCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC8 | INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS | | | |
| TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS46104104TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS194344TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS306463TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS133228TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS222TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS338TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS338TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS388TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS388TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC38 | UNOR SUMMARY | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS194344TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS306463TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS133228TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS22TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS22TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS22TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC8 | TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* | 20 | 48 | 47 |
| TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS306463TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS133228TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*22TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*22TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS22TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC3 | TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS | 46 | 104 | 104 |
| TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS306463TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS133228TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*22TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*22TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS22TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC3 | MONTE SUTURE STATE SPUTCH MULLOS | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUNORS133228TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*22TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS22TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS22TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC8 | | • - | | |
| TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*222TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS222TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC3 | TUTAL BENIGN TUHORS | 30 | 04 | 60 |
| TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS163733TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS*222TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS222TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS222TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC38 | TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUNORS | 13 | 32 | 28 |
| TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS22TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC3 | TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS | 16 | 37 | |
| TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS22TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC3 | | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC9 | | | | |
| BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC9 | TUTAL SECUNDARI TUMORS | 2 | 2 | |
| BENIGN OR MALIGNANT38TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC9 | TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- | | | |
| TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS38TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC8 | | | 3 | 8 |
| PRIMARY OR METASTATIC | | | 3 | |
| PRIMARY OR METASTATIC | | | | |
| | | | | |
| TUTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | | | |
| | TUTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | | |

TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

TABLE A2.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

| | CONTR | OL | LOW DOSE | | HIGH DOS | E |
|---|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 20 20 20 | | 50 50 50 | | 50 50 50 | |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | | | | |
| *SKIN SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA KERATOACANTHOMA | (20) | | (50) | (2%) | (50) 1 | (2%) |
| FIBROSARCOMA | | | | (4%) | | |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE LIPOSARCOMA | (20) | | (50) | | (50) 1 | (2%) |
| RESFIRATORY SYSTEM | | | | | | |
| #LUNG SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC C-CELL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC | 1 | (5%) (10%) | | (2%) (2%) | (50) 3 | (6%) |
| TEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | | | | |
| *MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE ERYTHROCYTIC LEUKEMIA | | (20%) | 2 12 | (4系) (24系) (2系) | (50) 2 13 | (4%) (26% |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE | (20) | | (50) | | (50) 1 | (2%) |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | | | | |
| <u>NONB</u> | | | | | | |

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|-----------|-------------------------|------------------|
| JIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| JIGESIIVE SISIER | | | |
| #LIVER Neoplastic Nodule | (20) | (49) | (50) 1 (2%) |
| CORTICAL CARCINOMA, NETASTATIC | | 1 (2%) | |
| <pre>#LARGE INTESTINE ADENOMATOUS POLYP, NOS</pre> | (20) | (49) | (50) 1 (2%) |
| LEIOMYOSARCOMA | 1 (5%) | | |
| JRINARY SYSTEM | | | |
| #URINARY BLADDER TRANSITIONAL-CELL CARCINOMA | (19) | (46) 1 (2 %) | (49) |
| | | | |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | |
| *PITOITARY | (20) | (47) | (49) |
| ADENOMA, NOS | 2 (10%) | 19 (40%) | 9 (18%) |
| # ADR EN AL | (19) | (49) | (50) |
| COFTICAL ADENOMA | | 4 (07) | 1 (2%) |
| CORTICAL CARCINOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA | 1 (5%) | 1 (2%) 1 (2%) | 2 (4%) |
| FILECENKOMOCIIONA | (34) | 1 (24) | 2 (4/) |
| #THYROID | (20) | (48) | (48) |
| FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA | | 2 (4%) | |
| FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA C-CELL ADENOMA | 2 (15.47) | 1 (2%) | 7 /150 |
| C-CELL CARCINOMA | 3 (15%) | 3 (6%) 1 (2%) | 7 (15%) |
| #PANCREATIC ISLETS | (20) | (48) | (50) |
| ISLET-CELL ADENOMA | 1 (5%) | 1 (2%) | |
| EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| *MANMARY GLAND | (20) | (50) | (50) |
| ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS PAPILLARY CYSTADENOCARCINOMA,NOS | | 1 (2%) | 1 (2%) 1 (2%) |
| FIBRONA FIBROADENONA | 2 (45.0) | 0 (468) | 1 (2%) 9 (18% |

TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| #UTERUS ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL POLYP ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL SARCOMA | (20) 4 (20 %) | (48) 3 (6 %) | (49) 7 (14% 1 (2%) |
| #OVARY PAPILLARY CYSTADENOCARCINOMA, NOS | (20) | (47) | (49) 1 (2%) |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | |
| *ZYMBAL'S GLAND SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA | (20) 1 (5%) | (50) | (50) |
| USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | |
| * BONE OSTEOSA RCOMA | | (50) | (50) 1 (2%) |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | |
| NONE | | | ********** |
| NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY | | | |
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE | 20 3 2 | 50 10 2 | 50 3 4 |
| ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TEPMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING | 15 | 38 | 43 |
| INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS | | | |

TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|--------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | | |
| TUMOR SUMMARY | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* | 15 | 40 | 39 |
| TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS | 22 | 60 | 64 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS | 12 | 27 | 30 |
| TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS | 16 | 38 | 40 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS | 6 | 20 | 22 |
| TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS | 6 | 22 | 23 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# | 1 | 2 | |
| TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS | 1 | 3 | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- | | | |
| BENIGN OR MALIGNANT | | | 1 |
| TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | | 1 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUNORS UNCERTAIN- | | | |
| PRIMARY OR METASTATIC | | | |
| TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | | |
| PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SEC | CONDARY TUNC | RS | |
| # SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS C | OR TUMORS IN | VASIVE INTO AN | ADJACENT OR |

TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

TABLE B1.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS MISSING | 20 | 50 2 | 50 |
| ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 17 17 | 46 46 | 49 49 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE FIBROSARCOMA HEMANGIOMA | (17) | (46) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) | (49) |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| #LUNG HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA | (17) 1 (6%) | (46) 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 14 (30%) | (46) 2 (4%) 11 (24%) |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | |
| *MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS | (17) 1 (6%) | (46) 1 (2%) | (49) 1 (2%) |
| #SPLEEN HEMANGIOSARCOMA MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS | (14) 1 (7%) | (44) | (46) 1 (2%) 3 (7%) |
| #MESENTERIC L. NODE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE | (17) 1 (6%) | (43) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) | (43) |
| #PANCREAS MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS | (17) | (46) 1 (2%) | (46) |
| #SMALL INTESTINE MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS | (17) | (46) 1 (2%) | (49) |
| *MESENTERY MALIG.LYMPHOMAHISTIOCYTIC_TYPE | (17) | (46) <u>1_(2%)</u> | (49) |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| #HEART HEMANGIOSARCOMA | (17) | (46) 1 (2%) | (46) |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| #LIVER HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA HEMANGIOSARCOMA | (17) 8 (47%) | (46) 15 (33%) | (49) 16 (33% 1 (2%) |
| *PANCREAS HEMANGIOMA | (17) | (46) | (46) 1 (2 %) |
| *ESOPHAGUS SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA | (17) | (46) 1 (2%) | (45) |
| #SMALL INTESTINE ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS | (17) | (46) 4 (9%) | (49) |
| JRINARY SYSTEM | | | |
| <pre>#KIDNEY CORTICAL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC</pre> | (17) | (46) | (49) 1 (2%) |
| ENCOCRINE SYSTEM | | | |
| #ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA | (17) | (45) 1 (2%) | (47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) |
| <pre>#THYROID ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA</pre> | (17) | (45) 1 (2%) | (44) 1 (2%) |
| <pre>#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL CARCINOMA</pre> | (17) | (46) | (46) 1 (2%) |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| *PROSTATE CARCINOMA_NOS | (16) | (44) | (48) 1 (2%) |

TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

| | | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|------|------------------|-------------------------|
| NERVOUS SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | |
| *EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND ADENOMA, NOS | (17) | (46) 16 (35%) | (49) 10 (20 % |
| USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | |
| *MULTIPLE ORGANS HEMANGIOSARCOMA | (17) | (46) | (49) 1 (2%) |
| NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY | | | |
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE | 20 4 | 50 11 | 50 14 |
| ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING | 16 | 37 2 | 36 |
| | | | |

TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

| TABLE B1 | . MALE MICE: | NEOPLASMS | (CONTINUED) |
|----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| | | | |

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| | | | |
| UMOR SUMMARY | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* | 10 | 39 | 35 |
| TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS | 10 | 63 | 50 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS | | 18 | 12 |
| TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS | | 21 | 12 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS | 10 | 30 | 33 |
| TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS | 10 | 42 | 38 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# | 1 | 2 2 | 3 |
| TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- | | | |
| BENIGN OR MALIGNANT | | | |
| TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- | | | |
| PRIMARY OR METASTATIC | | | |
| TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | | |
| PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SEC | | | |
| SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS O | R TUMORS IN | VASIVE INTO AN | ADJACENT ORG |

TABLE B2.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 20 | 50 | 50 1 |
| ANIMALS MISSING ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 20 19 | 50 49 | 49 48 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE FIBROSARCOMA LIPOMA HEMANGIOMA HEMANGIOSARCOMA NEUROFIBROSARCOMA | (20) | (50) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) | (49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| #TRACHEA PAPILLOMA, NOS | (19) | (46) 1 (2%) | (45) |
| #LUNG AIVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA OSTEOSARCOMA, METASTATIC | (19) 1 (5系) 2 (11系) 1 (5系) | (49) 4 (8兆) 5 (10兆) | (48) 6 (13%) 6 (13%) |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | |
| *MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE | (20) 4 (20%) | (50) 4 (8%) 3 (6%) | (49) 3 (6%) |
| *SPLEEN HEMANGIOMA HEMANGIOSARCOMA MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS | (19) | (48) 2 (4%) | (48) 3 (6%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) |
| #MANDIBULAR L. NODE MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS | (18) | (43) | (46) 2 (4%) |
| #MESENTERIC L. NODE MALIGNANT_LYMPHOMANOS | (18) | (43) <u>2 (5%)</u> | (46) <u>1_(2%)</u> |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBEP OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

| TABLE B2. FEMAL | E MICE: NEOPLASMS | (CONTINUED) |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | | |

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|----------------|---------------------------|---|
| #LIVER MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS | (19) | (49) | (48) 1 (2%) |
| #SMALL INTESTINE MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS | (19) | (48) 1 (2 %) | (45) |
| #KIDNEY MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS | (19) | (49) 1 (2%) | (48) 1 (2%) |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| #HEART HEMANGIOSARCOMA | (19) | (49) 1 (2%) | (48) |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| #LIVER CARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA HEMANGIOSARCOMA | (19) 1 (5%) | (49) 7 (14%) 1 (2系) | (48) 1 (2 %) 10 (21 % |
| JRINARY SYSTEM | | | |
| #KIDNEY TUBULAR~CELL ADENOCARCINOMA | (19) | (49) 1 (2 %) | (48) 2 (4%) |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | |
| #ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA | (19) | (46) 3 (7%) | (46) 4 (9 %) |
| *THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA | (18) | (46) | (44) 1 (2%) |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| #UTERUS LEIOMYOSARCOMA | (19) | (48) 1 (2 %) | (46) |
| *OVARY <u>GRANULOSA-CELL_TUMOR</u> | (19) | (46) <u>1 (2%)</u> | (43) <u>2 (5%)</u> |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|---|-----------------|----------------|
| NERVOUS SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | |
| *EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND ADENOMA, NOS | (20) | (50) 6 (12%) | (49) 5 (10% |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | |
| *PUBIS OSTEOSARCOMA | (20) 1 (5%) | (50) | (49) |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | |
| *ABDOMINAL CAVITY NEOPLASM, NOS | (20) | (50) 1 (2%) | (49) |
| *PERITONEUM MESOTHELIONA, NOS | (20) | (50) 1 (2%) | (49) |
| *ABDOMINAL VISCERA NEUROFIBROSARCOMA, METASTATIC | (20) | (50) | (49) 1 (2%) |
| ALL CTHER SYSTEMS | | | |
| THORAX ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CA, METASTA | | | 1 |
| ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY | | | |
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE | 20 6 | 50 16 | 50 12 |
| ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING | 14 | 34 | 37 1 |
| INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS | المراجع التي التي التي التي بران الله الإليان التي التي التي التي ا | | |

TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|---------|----------|---------------|
| | | | |
| TUMOR SUMMARY | | | |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMOFS | 8 9 | 36 53 | 35 51 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS | 1 1 | 14 17 | 17 19 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS | 7 8 | 28 33 | 22 30 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS | 1 1 | | 3 3 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | 3 3 | 2 2 |
| TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS | | | |
| * PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SEC # SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS O | | | DJACENT ORGAN |

TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)
APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

×

TABLE C1.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

| | | LOW DOSE | |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 20 | 50 | 50 |
| ANIMALS MISSING ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 20 20 | 1 49 49 | 50 50 |
| INTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM | | | |
| *SKIN EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST | (20) 2 (13%) | (49) | (50) |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE Abscess, Nos | (20) | (49) | (50) 1 (2%) |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| *NASAL TURBINATE INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE | (20) | (49) | (50) 1 (2%) |
| <pre>#LUNG HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID</pre> | (20) 3 (15%) | (49) 4 (8%) 1 (2%) | (48) 7 (15%) |
| #ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM FOAM-CELL | (20) | (49) 3 (6%) | (48) 2 (4%) |
| HEMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM | | | |
| #SPLEEN | (19) | (49) | (48) |
| EMBOLUS, SEPTIC INFARCT, NOS HEMOSIDEROSIS | 1 (5%) | 1 (2%) 3 (5%) | 1 (2%) 1 (2%) |
| LYMPHOID DEPLETION Hyperplasia, lymphoid Hematopoiesis | 1 (5%) 1 (5%) | 3 (6%) 12 (24%) | |
| #MANDIBULAR L. NODE LYMPHANGIECTASIS HYPERPLASIA, LYNPHOID | (20) 1 (5%) | (49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) | (48) 3 (6%) |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

.

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| *MESENTERIC L. NODE LYMPHANGIECTASIS | (20) 1 (5%) | (49) | (48) |
| NECROSIS, FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID | | 1 (2%) 1 (2%) | |
| #RENAL LYMPH NODE HEMOSIDEROSIS | (20) | (49) | (48) 1 (2% |
| IRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| #HFART | (19) | (49) | (48) |
| ENDOCARDITIS, BACTERIAL PERIARTERITIS ENDOCARDIOSIS | | 1 (2%) | 1 (29 1 (29 |
| #HEART/ATRIUM THROMBOSIS, NOS | (19) | (49) 2 (4系) | (48) 4 (89 |
| #MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, FOCAL | (19) 1 (5%) | (49) | (48) |
| FIBROSIS, FOCAL | 2 (11%) | | 1 (29 1 (29 |
| *PULMONARY ARTERY MEDIAL CALCIFICATION | (20) 1 (5%) | (49) | (50) |
| *MESENTERIC ARTERY ARTERIOSCLEROSIS, NOS | (20) | (49) 1 (2 ≴) | (50) 1 (2% |
| *PORTAL VEIN THROMBOSIS, NOS | (20) | (49) | (50) 1 (23 |
| IGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| #LIVER | (19) | (49) | (47) |
| HEMORRHAGIC CYST Inflammation, suppurative Fibrosis | | 1 (2%) 2 (4%) | 1 (2%) |
| NECROSIS, FOCAL Metamorphosis fatty | 1 (5%) | 9 (18%) 1 (2%) | 3 (61 1 (21 |
| CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION HEPATOCYTONEGALY | • • | 5 (10%) | 2 (4% |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | | HIGH D | DSE |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|--------|------|
| HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL | 2 (11%) | 3 (6 | %) | | |
| ANGIECTASIS | | o () | . | 1 | (2%) |
| HEMATOPOIESIS Nodular regeneration | | 2 (4 | \$) | 1 | (2%) |
| NODULAR REGENERATION | | | | 1 | (2%) |
| #PORTAL TRACT | (19) | (49) | | (47) | |
| FIBROSIS | | | | 1 | (2%) |
| LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR | (19) | (49) | | (47) | |
| NECROSIS, NOS | (13) | (4) | | • • | (9%) |
| NECROSIS, DIFFUSE | | | 1 | | (2%) |
| CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION | | 3 (6 | ¥) | | (9%) |
| #LIVER/PERIPORTAL | (19) | (49) | | (47) | |
| FIBROSIS | (13) | 2 (4 | | | (6%) |
| CYTOPLASNIC VACUOLIZATION | | 2 (4 | | - | |
| #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES | (19) | (49) | | (47) | |
| NECROSIS, FOCAL | (12) | 1 (2 | 5) | | (9%) |
| CYTOPLASNIC VACUOLIZATION | | • • | • | | (2%) |
| BILE DUCT | (20) | (49) | | (50) | |
| INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC | (20) | 1 (2 | 3) | () | |
| HYPERPLASIA, NOS | 14 (70%) | | | 33 | (66) |
| PANCREATIC ACINUS | (16) | (48) | | (48) | |
| ATROPHY, NOS | 2 (13%) | | %) | • • | (6%) |
| ATROPHY, FOCAL | 3 (19%) | 15 (3 | 1%) | 4 | (8%) |
| STONACH | (18) | (49) | | (47) | |
| ULCER, NOS | 1 (6%) | 1 (2 | | | |
| ULCER, ACUTE | 1 (6%) | 1 (2 | %) | | |
| INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL | | | | 1 | (2%) |
| SMALL INTESTINE | (17) | (49) | | (47) | |
| CYST, NOS | | 1 (2 | ¥.) | • • | |
| LARGE INTESTINE | (17) | (49) | | (46) | |
| NEMATODIASIS | | 3 (6 | %) | | |
| RINARY SYSTEM | | | | | |
| *KIDNEY | (20) | (49) | | (48) | |
| HYDRONEPHROSIS | • • • | 1_(2 | <u>81</u> | 1 | (2%) |

•

| | CON | ITROL | LOW D | DSE | HIGH D | OSE |
|--|------|-------|-----------|---------------|--------|------------------------|
| EMBOLUS, SEPTIC | | | | | 1 | (2%) |
| PYELONEPHRITIS, ACUTE | | | | (4%) | | |
| INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC | 15 | (75%) | 23 | (47%) | 23 | (48% |
| *KIDNEY/CORTEX | (20) | | (49) | | (48) | |
| INFARCT, FOCAL | | | 1 | (2%) | | |
| #KIDNEY/GLOMERULUS | (20) | | (49) | | (48) | |
| THROMBOSIS, NOS | | | 1 | (2%) | | |
| *KIDNEY/TUBULE | (20) | | (49) | | (48) | |
| CYST, NOS | | | | | 1 | (2%) |
| PIGMENTATION, NOS | | | | | 1 | (2%) |
| HENOSIDEROSIS | | | | | | (4%) |
| #URINARY BLADDER | (17) | | (47) | | (46) | |
| CALCULUS, NOS | | (6%) | • • | | ••• | |
| CAST, NOS | | | | | 5 | (11%) |
| HEMORRHAGIC CYST | | | 1 | (2%) | 1 | (2%) |
| CYST, NOS HEMORRHAGE | | | | | 1 | (2%) (2%) |
| #ADRENAL NECROSIS, FOCAL | (20) | | (48) 1 | (2%) | (48) | |
| #ADRENAL CORTEX | (20) | | (48) | | (48) | |
| EMBOLUS, SEPTIC | (20) | | (+ •) | | | (2%) |
| LIPOIDOSIS | 1 | (5%) | 2 | (4X) | | \ _ !- <i>}</i> |
| HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL | | (5%) | 1 | (2%) | | |
| #THYROID | (20) | | (49) | | (47) | |
| CYST, NOS | | | - | | 4 | (9%) |
| CYSTIC POLLICLES | • | | | (6%) (0%¶) | - | |
| HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL Hyperplasia, follicular-cell | 8 | (40%) | 12 | (24%) | | (15%) (4%) |
| #THYROID FOLLICLE | (20) | | (49) | | (47) | |
| | | | () | | | |
| HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR-CELL #THYROID FOLLICLE HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC | (20) | | (49) | | | 2 (47) |
| EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | (49) | | (50) | |
| *MAMMARY GLAND | (20) | | | | | |

.

| | CONTROL | LOW DO | SE | HIGH DO |)SE |
|--|---------|--------|--------------|---------|-------------|
| HYPERPLASIA, NOS | | 3 | (6%) | 4 | |
| *PREPUTIAL GLAND | (20) | (49) | | (50) | |
| ABSCESS, NOS | 1 (5%) | | (2%) | (, | |
| #PROSTATE | (17) | (48) | | (47) | |
| CAST, NOS | | 1 | (2%) | | |
| INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE | 7 (41%) | 5 | (10%) | | (4% |
| INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC | | 3 | (6%) | | (4% (2% |
| *TESTIS | (20) | (48) | | (49) | |
| INFARCT, NOS | 1 (5%) | • / | | ()) | |
| ATROPHY, NOS | 1 (5%) | 3 | (6%) | 1 | (2% |
| A SPERMATOGENESI S | • • | 1 | (2%) | | • |
| HYPERPLASIA, INTERSTITIAL CELL | 1 (5%) | | (2%) | 2 | (4% |
| #TESTIS/TUBULE | (20) | (48) | | (49) | |
| ATROPHY, DISUSE | | 1 | (2%) | | |
| *EPIDIDYMIS | (20) | (49) | | (50) | |
| RETENTION OF CONTENT | | | | 1 | (27 |
| STEATITIS | | 1 | (2%) | | |
| ERVOUS SYSTEM | | | | | |
| #BRAIN | (20) | (49) | | (48) | |
| MINERALIZATION | | | | | (4% |
| EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST | | | | | (2) |
| HEMORRHAGE | | | (8%) (8%) | 3 | (6 % |
| GLIOSIS | | | (2%) (2%) | | |
| INFARCT, NOS | | | (2%) | | |
| PECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | | | |
| NONE | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | | | |
| NONE | | | | | |
| ODY CAVITIES | | | | | |
| * MESENTERY | (20) | (49) | | (50) | |
| STEATITIS | | 1. | (28) | | |

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|--------------------|----------|----------------|
| PERIARTERITIS | | | 2 (4%) |
| *TUNICA VAGINALIS STEATITIS | (20) 1 (5%) | (49) | (50) 1 (2%) |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | |
| *MULTIPLE ORGANS | (20) | (49) | (50) |
| AMYLOIDOSIS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID | | 2 (4%) | 1 (2%) |
| SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY | | | |
| ANIMAL MISSING/NO NECROPSY AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERF | | 1 | 1 |
| NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE I NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED | EXAMINED MICROSCOP | ICALLY | |

TABLE C2.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS **ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET**

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 20 | 50 | 50 |
| ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 20 20 | 50 50 | 50 50 |
| NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| ESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| #LUNG/BRONCHUS INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE | (20) | (49) 1 (2%) | (50) |
| #LUNG INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC | (20) | (49) | (50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) |
| HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID | 1 (5%) | 3 (6%) | 5 (10%) |
| #ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM FOAM-CELL | (20) | (49) 3 (6≰) | (50) 1 (2 %) |
| EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | |
| *BLOOD LEUKOCYTOSIS, NOS | (20) 1 (5%) | (50) | (50) |
| #BONE MARROW Fibrosis | (20) | (49) 2 (4%) | (50) |
| * SPLEEN HEMOSIDEROSIS | (20) 5 (25%) | (49) 3 (6%) | (50) 1 (2 %) |
| HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID HEMATOPOIESIS | - 3 (15%) | 1 (2%) 9 (18%) | 6 (12%) |
| #MANDIBULAR L. NODE Lymphangiectasis | (20) | (49) | (50) |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC | | | 1 (2%) |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| #MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL | (20) 1 (5%) | (50) 1 (2%) | (50) 3 (6%) |
| *CARDIAC VALVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC | (20) | (50) | (50) 1 (2 %) |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| #LIVER HEMORRHAGE GRANULOMA, NOS | (20) | (49) 1 (2%) | (50) 1 (2%) |
| CHOLANGIOFIBROSIS NECROSIS, FOCAL METAMORPHOSIS FATTY | 1 (5%) | 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) | 2 (4%) |
| HEPATOCYTONEGALY Hyperplasia, focal Hematopoiesis | 2 (10%) 10 (50%) 1 (5%) | 8 (16%) 23 (47%) | 10 (20%) 35 (70%) |
| REGENERATIVE NODULE #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR | (20) | 1 (2%) (49) | (50) |
| CYTOPLASNIC VACUOLIZATION | (20) | 4 (8 %) | (50) 2 (4%) |
| #LIVER/PERIPORTAL FIBROSIS | (20) | (49) 1 (2%) | (50) |
| *BILE DUCT INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, FOCAL | (20) | (50) | (50) 4 (8%) 1 (2%) |
| INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS | 2 1150 | 6 (12%) | 16 (32%) 1 (2%) |
| *PANCREAS | 3 (15%) (20) | 10 (20%) (48) | 10 (20%) (50) |
| PERIARTERITIS Necrosis, pat | 1 (5%) | 1 (2%) | |
| <pre>#PANCREATIC ACINUS ATROPHY, NOS</pre> | (20) | (48) 1 (2 %) | (50) 1 (2 %) |
| ATROPHY, FOCAL | 1_(58) | 7 (15%) | 10 (20%) |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| #STOMACH CYST, NOS | (20) | (49) 1 (2 %) | (50) |
| #LARGE INTESTINE | (20) | (49) | (50) |
| NEMATODIASIS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID | 1 (5%) | 1 (2%) | 1 (2%) 2 (4%) |
| IRINARY SYSTEM | | | |
| <pre>#KIDNEY HYDRONEPHROSIS PYELONEPHRITIS, ACUTE</pre> | (20) | (49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) | (50) |
| INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC NEPHROSIS, CHOLEMIC | 5 (25%) | 12 (24%) 1 (2%) | 11 (22%) |
| <pre>#KIDNEY/TUBULE MINEPALIZATION</pre> | (20) 1 (5%) | (49) 1 (2 %) | (50) |
| PIGNENTATION, NOS REGENERATION, NOS | 1 (5%) | | 2 (4%) |
| #URINARY BLADDER INFLAMMATION, NOS | • (19) | (46) 1 (2 %) | (49) |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | |
| #PITUITARY | (20) | (47) | (49) |
| CYST, NOS Angiectasis | 1 (5%) 2 (10%) | 5 (11%) 1 (2%) | 4 (8%) 2 (4%) |
| #ADRENAL LIPOIDOSIS | (19) | (49) | (50) 2 (4%) |
| #ADRENAL CORTEX ATROPHY, DIFFUSE Hyperplasia, Nodular | (19) 1 (5%) | (49) 1 (2 %) | (50) |
| HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, DIFFUSE | | 2 (4%) | 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) |
| #ADRENAL MEDULLA Angiectasis | (19) 1 (5%) | (49) | (50) |
| #THYROID <u>GRANULONA, NOS</u> | (20) | (48) | (48) <u>1 (2%)</u> |

٠

TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL | 8 (40%) | 10 (21%) | 31 (65% |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| *MAMMARY GLAND | (20) | (50) | (50) |
| GALACTOCELE Hyperplasia, nos | 2 (10%) | 1 (2%) | 1 (2%) |
| #UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM | (20) | (48) | (49) |
| CYST, NOS Hyperplasia, cystic | 1 (5%) | 1 (2%) 3 (6%) | 1 (2%) 1 (2%) |
| #OVARY/PAROVARIAN HEMATOMA, NOS | (20) | (48) | (49) 1 (2%) |
| #OVARY CYSTIC FOLLICLES | (20) 1 (5%) | (47) 5 (11%) | (49) 2 (4%) |
| VERVOUS SYSTEM | | | ^ |
| #BRAIN/MENINGES | (20) | (50) | (50) |
| THROMBOSIS, NOS. | 1 (5%) | | |
| #BRAIN MINERALIZATION | (20) | (50) | (50) 1 (2%) |
| HYDROCEPHALUS, NOS HEMORRHAGE | 1 (5%) 1 (5%) | 2 (4%) | 2 (4%) |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | |
| *EYE CATARACT | (20) | (50) 1 (2%) | (50) |
| *EYE/CRYSTALLINE LENS CATARACT | (20) 1 (5 %) | (50) 1 (2%) | (50) 2 (4 %) |
| USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | |
| * MESENTERY | (20) | (50) | (50) |
| STEATITIS | <u> </u> | \~ •/ | |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPI
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| + + + + + + + + | | | |
|--|---------------|------------------|-----------|
| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
| GRANULATION, TISSUE PERIARTERITIS | | 1 (2%) 2 (4%) | |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | |
| OMENTUM STEATITIS | 1 | | |
| SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY | | | |
| NO LESION REPORTED Auto/necropsy/histo perf | 1 | 1 | |
| <pre># NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMIN * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED</pre> | ED MICROSCOPI | CALLY | |

•

APPENDIX D

•

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

75

,

TABLE D1.

R.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|---------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS MISSING | 20 | 50 2 | 50 |
| ANIMALS NECROPSIED | 17 | 46 | 49 |
| ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | 17 | 46 | 49 |
| INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | |
| *SKIN Cyst, Nos | (17) | (46) | (49) 1 (2 %) |
| *SUBCUT TISSUE ABSCESS, NOS | (17) | (46) | (49) 2 (4%) |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| #TRACHEA H ematopoiesis | (17) | (46) 1 (2%) | (45) |
| #LUNG HEMORRHAGE INPLAMMATION, NOS PIGMENTATION, NOS | (17) | (46) | (46) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM | | | |
| #BONE MARROW Hypoplasia, Hematopoietic | (17) | (46) 1 (2≸) | (49) |
| *SPLEEN | (14) | (44) | (46) |
| HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID | 2 (318) | 1 (2%) | 10 (000 |
| HEMATOPOIESIS | 3 (21%) | 7 (16%) | 10 (22%) |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| NON E | | | |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| #LIVER INFLAMMATION, FOCAL | (17) | (46) <u>1 (28)</u> | (49) |

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| NECROSIS, FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR ANGIECTASIS HEMATOPOIESIS | 1 (6%) | 1 (2%) 2 (4%) | 1 (2% 4 (8% 1 (2%) |
| <pre>#PANCREAS CYSTIC DUCTS ATROPHY, NOS</pre> | (17) | (46) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) | (46) |
| *STOMACH INFLAMMATION, FOCAL | (17) | (45) | (49) 2 (4% |
| #SMALL INTESTINE POLYP | (17) | (46) 1 (2%) | (49) |
| JRINARY SYSTEM | | | |
| *KIDNEY Hydronephrosis Inflammation, interstitial | (17) 1 (6%) | (46) 2 (4%) | (49) |
| *KIDNEY/CORTEX CYST, NOS | (17) | (46) 1 (2 %) | (49) |
| INDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | |
| *PITUITARY CYST, NOS | (15) | (39) | (38) 1 (3% |
| #THYROID CYSTIC FOLLICLES | (17) | (45) | (44) 1 (2% |
| <pre>#PANCREATIC ISLETS HYPERPLASIA, NOS</pre> | (17) 2 (12%) | (46) | (46) 1 (2%) |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| *PREPUTIAL GLAND CYST, NOS | (17) 1 (6%) | (46) | (49) |
| *SEMINAL VESICLE CAST, NOS | (17) | (46) 1 (2%) | (49) |

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| *TESTIS ATROPHY, NOS | (16) 2 (13%) | (46) 4 (9%) | (48) 2 (4%) | |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM | | | | |
| #BRAIN MINERALIZATION | (17) 7 (41%) | (46) 22 (48%) | (46) 21 (46%) | |
| SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| | | | | |
| BODY CAVITIES | | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS | | | | |
| NON E | | | | |
| SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY | | | | |
| NO LESION REPORTED | 4 | 1 | 4 | |
| ANIMAL MISSING/NO NECROPSY Auto/necropsy/histo perf Autolysis/no necropsy | 3 | 2 2 | 1 1 | |
| NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXA NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED | MINED MICROSCOPI | CALLY | | |

•

TABLE D1. MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

.

.

TABLE D2.

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|---|---------|------------------|-----------|
| ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY | 20 | 50 | 50 |
| NNIMALS MISSING NIMALS NECROPSIED | 20 | 50 | 1 49 |
| NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY | | <u>49</u> | 48 |
| NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| ESPIRATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| #LUNG/BRONCHUS | (19) | (49) | (48) |
| HYPERPLASIA, NOS | | 3 (6%) | |
| HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL | | 1 (2%) | |
| #LUNG | (19) | (49) | (48) |
| INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, FOCAL | | 1 (2%) 1 (2%) | |
| <pre>#BONE MARROW HYPOPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC</pre> | (19) | (48) 1 (2≭) | (46) |
| #SPLEEN | (19) | (48) | (48) |
| ANGIECTASIS Hyperplasia, lymphoid | 1 (5%) | 2 (4%) | |
| HEMATOPOIESIS | 7 (37%) | | 9 (19% |
| *THYMUS | (19) | (46) | (46) |
| HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID | | | 1 (2%) |
| IRCULATORY SYSTEM | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| *LIVER CYST, NOS | (19) | (49) | (48) |

* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

| | CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| INFLAMMATION, FOCAL | 1 (5 %) | | |
| NECROSIS, FOCAL INPARCT, HEALED | | 2 (4%) | 1 (2% |
| HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR | 1 (5%) | 3 (616) | 4 (8% |
| ANGIECTASIS | 1 (5%) | 1 (2%) | 3 (6% |
| #PANCREAS | (19) | (48) | (42) |
| CYSTIC DUCTS | 1 (5%) | | 1 (2% |
| ATROPHY, NOS | | 1 (2%) | 2 (5% |
| #STOMACH | (19) | (48) | (47) |
| INFLAMMATION, NOS | 1 (5%) | | |
| INFLAMMATION, FOCAL | 1 (5%) | | |
| #SMALL INTESTINE | (19) | (48) | (45) |
| POLYP, INFLAMMATORY | | | 1 (2% |
| RINARY SYSTEM | | | |
| #KIDNEY | (19) 2 (11%) | (49) | (48) |
| PERIVASCULAR CUFFING | 2 (11%) | 2 (4%) | 1 (2% |
| #KIDNEY/CORTEX | (19) | (49) | (48) |
| CYST, NOS | | | 1 (2% |
| NDOCRINE SYSTEM | | | |
| #PITUITARY | (16) | (43) | (38) |
| CYST, NOS | • • | 1 (2%) | • • |
| #ADRENAL CORTEX | (19) | (46) | (46) |
| HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR | () | (10) | 1 (2% |
| #THYROID | (18) | (46) | (44) |
| CYSTIC POLLICLES | (10) | 2 (4%) | 2 (5% |
| ATROPHY, NOS | | | 1 (2%) |
| EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM | | | |
| #UTERUS | (19) | (48) | (46) |
| POLYP, INFLAMMATORY | 1 (5%) | | |
| #UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM CIST, NOS | (19) | (48) <u>8 (175)</u> | (46) <u>3_(78</u> |

TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

| CONTROL | LOW DOSE | HIGH DOSE |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| (19) 3 (16%) | (46) 6 (13%) | (43) 11 (26%) |
| | | |
| (19) 4 (21%) | (48) 24 (50%) 2 (4%) | (48) 25 (52%) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| **** | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1 | 1 | 1 1 1 |
| | (19) 3 (16%) (19) 4 (21%) | $ \begin{array}{c} (19) & (46) \\ 3 & (16\%) & 6 & (13\%) \\ (19) & (48) \\ 4 & (21\%) & 24 & (50\%) \\ 2 & (4\%) \\ \end{array} $ |

TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

APPENDIX E

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

| | Matched | Low | High |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Integumentary System: Fibroma of the | | | |
| Subcutaneous Tissue (b) | 1/20 (5) | 3/49 (6) | 3/50 (6) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 1.224 | 1.200 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.108 | 0.106 |
| Upper Limit | | 62.958 | 61.724 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 105 | 95 | 102 |
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar | | | |
| Carcinoma or Adenoma (b) | 0/20 (0) | 1/49 (2) | 3/48 (6) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) . | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | 0.023 | 0.261 |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 105 | 105 |

85

.

| | Matched | Low | High |
|------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Copography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma (b) | 7/20 (35) | 27/49 (55) | 24/50 (48) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 1.574 | 1.371 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.835 | 0.713 |
| Upper Limit | | 3.604 | 3.217 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 98 | 82 | 62 |
| Pituitary: Adenoma, NOS (b) | 7/19 (37) | 9/45 (20) | 7/45 (16) |
| P Values (c,d) | N. S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 0.543 | 0.422 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.222 | 0.155 |
| Upper Limit | | 1.500 | 1.241 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 101 | 95 | 101 |

| | Matched | Low | High |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Adrenal: Cortical | | | |
| Carcinoma or Adenoma (b) | 3/20 (15) | 0/48 (0) | 0/48 (0) |
| P Values (c,d) | P = 0.005 (N) | P = 0.023 (N) | P = 0.023 (N) |
| Departure from Linear Trend (e) | P = 0.016 | | |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Upper Limit | | 0.686 | 0.686 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 75 | | |
| Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma (b) | 1/20 (5) | 1/48 (2) | 3/48 (6) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 0.417 | 1.250 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.006 | 0.110 |
| Upper Limit | | 32.058 | 64.251 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 105 | 105 | 84 |

| | Matched | Low | High |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Thyroid: Follicular-cell | | | |
| Carcinoma (b) | 0/20 (0) | 3/49 (6) | 2/47 (4) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | 0.255 | 0.131 |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | 105 |
| Thyroid: Follicular-cell Carcinoma | | | |
| or Adenoma (b) | 0/20 (0) | 4/49 (8) | 3/47 (6) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | 0.394 | 0.266 |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | 105 |

| | Matched | Low | High |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|---------------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Thyroid: C-cell | | | |
| Carcinoma or Adenoma (b) | 1/20 (5) | 4/49 (8) | 1/47 (2) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 1.633 | 0.426 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.179 | 0.006 |
| Upper Limit | | 78.704 | 32.720 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 105 | 105 | 101 |
| Pancreatic Islets: Islet-cell | ан аналагаан алаан арынуу дуу байтан тараатын байлан түүнүн үүү байтан алаан байлан арынуу түүүнүү байтан алаан | | |
| Adenoma (b) | 3/16 (19) | 2/48 (4) | 1/48 (2) |
| P Values (c,d) | P = 0.027 (N) | N.S. | P = 0.045 (N) |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 0.222 | 0.111 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.021 | 0.002 |
| Upper Limit | | 1.808 | 1.296 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 105 | 105 | 103 |

| | Matched | Low | High |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Testis: Interstitial-cell | | | |
| Tumor (b) | 16/20 (80) | 40/48 (83) | 43/49 (88) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 1.042 | 1.097 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.830 | 0.880 |
| Upper Limit | | 1.432 | 1.457 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 76 | 82 | 62 |
| Body Cavities: Mesothelioma, NOS (b) | 0/20 (0) | 0/49 (0) | 4/50 (8) |
| P Values (c,d) | P = 0.041 | | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | يستة جند | 0.386 |
| Upper Limit | | · | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | | 96 |

90

(continued)

| | Matched | Low | High |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Funica Vaginalis: Mesothelioma, | | | |
| NOS (b) | 0/20 (0) | 2/49 (4) | 4/50 (8) |
| ? Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | 0.125 | 0.386 |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| leeks to First Observed Tumor | | 82 | 88 |
| ll Sites: Mesothelioma, NOS (b) | 0/20 (0) | 2/49 (4) | 8/50 (16) |
| ? Values (c,d) | P = 0.012 | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Upper Limit | | 0.125 | 0.952 |
| Lower Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 82 | 88 |

(continued)

- (a) Dosed groups received 300 or 600 ppm.
- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the control group.
- (e) The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.
- (f) The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

| Topography: Morphology | Matched Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma (b) | 2/20 (10) | 0/49 (0) | 3/50 (6) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Departure from Linear Trend (e) | P = 0.040 | | |
| Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit | | 0.000 0.000 1.372 | 0.600 0.076 6.860 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 105 | | 98 |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma or Leukemia (b) | 4/20 (20) | 15/50 (30) | 16/50 (32) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit | | 1.500 0.566 5.627 | 1.600 0.613 5.950 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 97 | 47 | 74 |

| | Matched | Low | High |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Topography: <u>Morphology</u> | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Pituitary: Adenoma, NOS (b) | 2/20 (10) | 19/47 (40) | 9/49 (18) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | P = 0.012 | N.S. |
| Departure from Linear Trend (e) | P = 0.003 | | |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 4.043 | 1.837 |
| Lower Limit | | 1.134 | 0.434 |
| Upper Limit | | 33.427 | 16.572 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 99 | 81 | 91 |
| Thyroid: Follicular-cell | | | |
| Carcinoma or Adenoma (b) | 0/20 (0) | 3/48 (6) | 0/48 (0) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | |
| Departure from Linear Trend (e) | P = 0.044 | | |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | 0.261 | |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 105 | |

| (continued) Fopography: Morphology | Matched Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | | |
| Carcinoma or Adenoma (b) | 3/20 (15) | 4/48 (8) | 7/48 (15) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 0.556 | 0.972 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.106 | 0.255 |
| Upper Limit | | 3.546 | 5.422 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 105 | 105 | 105 |
| fammary Gland: Fibroadenoma (b) | 3/20 (15) | 8/50 (16) | 9/50 (18) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | , | 1.067 | 1.200 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.295 | 0.346 |
| Upper Limit | | 5.813 | 6.408 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 80 | 92 | 104 |

| | Matched | Low | High |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Jterus: Endometrial Stromal | | | |
| Polyp (b) | 4/20 (20) | 3/48 (6) | 7/49 (14) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 0.313 | 0.714 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.051 | 0.211 |
| Upper Limit | | 1.708 | 3.052 |
| Veeks to First Observed Tumor | 80 | 105 | 105 |

(a) Dosed groups received 150 or 300 ppm.

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

(c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

(d) A negative (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the control group.

- (e) The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.
- (f) The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

96
APPENDIX F

ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MICE ADMINISTERED ETHYL TELLURAC IN THE DIET

97

-

| | Matched | Low | High |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Iopography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar | | | |
| Carcinoma (b) | 0/17 (0) | 14/46 (30) | 11/46 (24) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | P = 0.006 | P = 0.022 |
| Departure from Linear Trend (e) | P = 0.021 | | |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | 1.703 | 1.296 |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | 82 |
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar | | <u> </u> | |
| Carcinoma or Adenoma (b) | 0/17 (0) | 16/46 (35) | 11/46 (24) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | P = 0.003 | P = 0.022 |
| Departure from Linear Trend (e) | P = 0.007 | | |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | 1.976 | 1.296 |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 87 | 82 |

| | Matched | Low | High |
|------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma (b) | 1/17 (6) | 6/46 (13) | 4/49 (8) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 2.217 | 1.388 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.306 | 0.154 |
| Upper Limit | | 99.570 | 66.919 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 86 | 91 | 91 |
| All Sites: Hemangiosarcoma (b) | 1/17 (6) | 1/46 (4) | 3/49 (6) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 0.370 | 1.041 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.005 | 0.093 |
| Upper Limit | | 28.408 | 53.527 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 106 | 106 | 85 |

| | Matched | Low | High |
|---|----------------|------------|------------|
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Dose | Dose |
| All Sites: Hemangiosarcoma or Hemangioma (b) | 1/17 (6) | 2/46 (4) | 4/49 (8) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 0.739 | 1.388 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.042 | 0.154 |
| Upper Limit | | 42.678 | 66.919 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 106 | 106 | 85 |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma (b) | 8/17 (47) | 15/46 (33) | 16/49 (33) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 0.693 | 0.694 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.362 | 0.367 |
| Upper Limit | | 1.592 | 1.585 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 106 | 96 | 81 |

| | Matched | Low | High |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Small Intestine: Adenocarcinoma, | | | |
| NOS (b) | 0/17 (0) | 4/46 (9) | 0/49 (0) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | |
| Departure from Linear Trend (e) | P = 0.029 | | |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | 0.361 | |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 106 | |
| Eye/Lacrimal Gland: Adenoma, NOS (b) | 0/17 (0) | 16/46 (35) | 10/49 (20) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | P = 0.003 | P = 0.039 |
| Departure from Linear Trend (e) | P = 0.004 | | |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | 1.976 | 1.089 |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 106 | 106 |

(continued)

- (a) Dosed groups received time-weighted average doses of 1,255 or 3,132 ppm.
- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the control group.
- (e) The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.
- 103
- (f) The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

| | Matched | Low | High |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Dose | Dose |
| Integumentary System: Fibrosarcoma | | | |
| of the Subcutaneous Tissue (b) | 0/20 (0) | 3/50 (6) | 0/49 (0) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | |
| Lower Limit | | 0.250 | |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 101 | |
| Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar | | | |
| Carcinoma (b) | 2/19 (11) | 5/49 (10) | 6/48 (13) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 0.969 | 1.188 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.180 | 0.242 |
| Upper Limit | | 9.685 | 11.426 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 106 | 101 | 97 |

.

Table F2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet (a)

| | Matched | Low | High |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Dose | Dose |
| ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma | | | |
| or Adenoma (b) | 3/19 (16) | 9/49 (18) | 12/48 (25) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 1.163 | 1.583 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.338 | 0.502 |
| Upper Limit | | 6.195 | 8.066 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 106 | 95 | 97 |
| Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma (b) | 4/20 (20) | 11/50 (22) | 9/49 (18) |
| ? Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 1.100 | 0.918 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.384 | 0.300 |
| Upper Limit | | 4.321 | 3.730 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 83 | 82 | 75 |

| Topography: Morphology | Matched Control | Low Dose | High Dose |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| All Sites: Hemangioma (b) | 0/20 (0) | 1/50 (2) | 3/49 (6) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit | | Infinite 0.022 Infinite | Infinite 0.255 Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 100 | 94 |
| All Sites: Hemangiosarcoma (b) | 0/20 (0) | 4/50 (8) | 1/49 (2) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit | | Infinite 0.386 Infinite | Infinite 0.023 Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 103 | 93 |

*

106

Table F2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Administered Ethyl Tellurac in the Diet (a)

| | Matched | Low | High |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------------|
| Topography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Dose | Dose |
| All Sites: Hemangioma or | | | |
| Hemangios ar coma (b) | 0/20 (0) | 5/50 (10) | 4/49 (8) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | 0.525 | 0.394 |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 100 | 93 |
| Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma (b) | 1/19 (5) | 7/49 (14) | 10/48 (21) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | 2.714 | 3.958 |
| Lower Limit | | 0.393 | 0.639 |
| Upper Limit | | 119.544 | 167.483 |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | 106 | 86 | 62 |

| | Matched | Low | High |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| Copography: Morphology | <u>Control</u> | Dose | Dose |
| Adrenal: Cortical Adenoma (b) | 0/19 (0) | 3/46 (7) | 4/46 (9) |
| ? Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | 0.259 | 0.400 |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Veeks to First Observed Tumor | | 106 | 97 |
| Ovary: Granulosa-cell Tumor (b) | 0/19 (0) | 1/46 (2) | 2/43 (5) |
| ? Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | 0.023 | 0.136 |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Veeks to First Observed Tumor | | 106 | 106 |

| (continued) | , | | |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 99999999999999999999999999999999999999 | Matched | Low | High |
| Topography: Morphology | Control | Dose | Dose |
| Eye/Lacrimal Gland: Adenoma, NOS (b) | 0/20 (0) | 6/50 (12) | 5/49 (10) |
| P Values (c,d) | N.S. | N.S. | N.S. |
| Relative Risk (f) | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Lower Limit | | 0.667 | 0.536 |
| Upper Limit | | Infinite | Infinite |
| Weeks to First Observed Tumor | | 106 | 106 |
| | | | |

(a) Dosed groups received time-weighted average doses of 2,132 or 4,915 ppm.

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the control group.
- (e) The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.
- (f) The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

.

Review of the Bioassay of Ethyl Tellurac* for Carcinogenicity by the Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens

December 13, 1978

The Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens was established in May, 1976, in compliance with DHEW Committee Regulations and the Provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of the Clearinghouse is to advise the Director of the National Cancer Institute on the Institute's bioassay program to identify and evaluate chemical carcinogens in the environment to which humans may be exposed. The members of the Clearinghouse have been drawn from academia, industry, organized labor, public interest groups, and State health officials. Members have been selected on the basis of their experience in carcinogenesis or related fields and, collectively, provide expertise in chemistry, biochemistry, biostatistics, toxicology, pathology, and epidemiology. Representatives of various Governmental agencies participate as ad hoc members. The Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse is charged with the responsibility of providing a peer review of reports prepared on NCI-sponsored bioassays of chemicals studied for carcinogenicity. It is in this context that the below critique is given on the bioassay of Ethyl Tellurac.

The reviewer for the report on the bioassay of Ethyl Tellurac briefly described the experimental design, and said that the statistical analysis required the use of historic controls, because of the small matched control group size. A dose-related increase of mesotheliomas occurred in treated rats, although it was not statistically significant. The reviewer questioned if the finding was sufficient to call it "suggestive of carcinogenicity," as concluded in the report. An increase in the incidence of adenomas of the lacrimal gland was also found in treated mice, which was statistically significant when compared to historic controls. The reviewer opined that the findings were probably not adequate for basing a firm conclusion of carcinogenicity.

A discussion ensued in which there was general agreement that the evidence supported a conclusion that an effect was induced by Ethyl Tellurac. However, the effect was not sufficiently strong or of such a nature to conclude that the compound was carcinogenic. One Subgroup member emphasized that the major finding was benign tumors of the lacrimal gland in mice. Since the natural progression of this tumor type is unknown, he argued that it was not possible to draw any conclusion on the carcinogenicity of Ethyl Tellurac. He recommended that a revised conclusion not include the term "carcinogenic." It was agreed that the Program staff would revise the language in the report's conclusion so as to reflect the substance of the Subgroup's discussion. Clearinghouse Members Present:

Arnold L. Brown (Chairman), University of Wisconsin Medical School Joseph Highland, Environmental Defense Fund William Lijinsky, Frederick Cancer Research Center Henry Pitot, University of Wisconsin Medical Center Verne A. Ray, Pfizer Medical Research Laboratory Verald K. Rowe, Dow Chemical USA Michael Shimkin, University of California at San Diego Louise Strong, University of Texas Health Sciences Center Kenneth Wilcox, Michigan State Health Department

^{*} Subsequent to this review, changes may have been made in the bioassay report either as a result of the review or other reasons. Thus, certain comments and criticisms reflected in the review may no longer be appropriate.

NIH Publication No. 79-1708