National Cancer Institute CARCINOGENESIS Technical Report Series No. 198 NTP No. 80-12 1980

# BIOASSAY OF A MIXTURE OF 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8-HEXACHLORODIBENZO-p-DIOXIN AND 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9-HEXACHLORODIBENZO-p-DIOXIN (Gavage) FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY CAS No. 57653-85-7 CAS No. 19408-74-3 NCI-CG-TR-198 NTP-80-12

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health



ı

BIOASSAY OF

A MIXTURE OF

1,2,3,6,7,8- and 1,2,3,7,8,9-

HEXACHLORODIBENZO-p-DIOXINS

FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

(Gavage Study)

Carcinogenesis Testing Program National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20205 and National Toxicology Program Research Triangle Park Box 12233 North Carolina 27709

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

DHHS Publication No. (NIH) 80-1754 August 1980

(

#### BIOASSAY OF A MIXTURE OF 1,2,3,6,7,8- and 1,2,3,7,8,9-HEXACHLORODIBENZO-p-DIOXINS FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY (Gavage Study)

Carcinogenesis Testing Program National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health and National Toxicology Program Research Triangle Park

#### FOREWORD

This report presents the results of the bioassay of a mixture of 1,2,3,6,7,8- and 1,2,3,7,8,9-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins conducted for the Carcinogenesis Testing Program, National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Toxicology Program (NTP). This is one of a series of experiments designed to determine whether selected chemicals have the capacity to produce cancer Negative results, in which the test animals do not have a in animals. greater incidence of cancer than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of circumstances. Positive results demonstrate that a test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicate that exposure to the chemical is a potential risk to man. The actual determination of the risk to man from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in animals requires a wider analysis.

#### CONTRIBUTORS

This bioassay was conducted at the Illinois Institute of Technology Research Institute (IITRI), Chicago, Illinois, initially under direct contract to NCI and later under a subcontract to Tracor Jitco, Inc., Rockville, Maryland, prime contractor for the NCI Carcinogenesis Testing Program.

The project director was Mr. A. Shefner (1). Dr. M. E. King (1) was the principal investigator for this study, and Dr. P. Holmes (1) assembled the data. Doses of the test chemical were selected by Dr. O. G. Fitzhugh (2,3). Mr. T. Kruckeberg (1) and Mr. K. Kaltenborn (1) were in charge of animal care.

Necropsies were performed by Dr. A. R. Roesler (1). Histopathologic evaluations were performed by Dr. W. R. Richter (1). The pathology report and selected slides were evaluated by the NCI Pathology Working Group as described in Ward et al. (1978).

Animal pathology tables and survival tables were compiled at EG&G Mason Research Institute (4). Statistical analyses were performed by Dr. J. R. Joiner (3) and Ms. S. Vatsan (3) using methods selected for the bioassay program by Dr. J. J. Gart (5). Chemicals used in this bioassay were synthesized and analyzed under the direction of Dr. A. Gray (1), with the assistance of Mr. S. Cepa (1) and Mr. V. DaPinto (1). Further chemical analyses were conducted at Midwest Research Institute (6). The results of the chemical analytical work were reviewed by Dr. S. S. Olin (3).

This report was prepared at Tracor Jitco (3) under the direction of Dr. C. R. Angel, Director of the Bioassay Program; Dr. S. S. Olin, Deputy Director for Science; Dr. R. L. Schueler, pathologist; Dr. D. J. Beach, reports manager; Dr. A. C. Jacobs, bioscience writer; and Dr. W. D. Theriault and Ms. M. Glasser, technical editors.

The following scientists at NCI (7) were responsible for evaluating the bioassay experiment, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings: Dr. Kenneth C. Chu, Jr., Dr. Michael P. Dieter, Dr. J. Fielding Douglas, Dr. Richard A. Griesemer, Dr. Charles K. Grieshaber, Dr. Thomas E. Hamm, Dr. William V. Hartwell, Dr. Y. Jack Lee, Dr. Harry Mahar, Dr. Harry A. Milman, Dr. Thomas W. Orme, Dr. Marcelina B. Powers, Dr. Sherman F. Stinson, Dr. Jerrold M. Ward, and Dr. Carrie E. Whitmire.

- (1) IIT Research Institute, 10 West 35th Street, Chicago, Illinois.
- (2) Now at 4208 Dresden Street, Kensington, Maryland.
- (3) Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- (4) EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- (5) Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Biometry Branch, Field Studies and Statistics, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- (6) Midwest Research Institute, 425 Volker Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri.
- (7) Carcinogenesis Testing Program, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland/National Toxicology Program, Research Triangle Park, Box 12233, North Carolina.

#### SUMMARY

A bioassay of a mixture of 1,2,3,6,7,8- and 1,2,3,7,8,9-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins (HCDD) for possible carcinogenicity was conducted by administering the test material by gavage to Osborne-Mendel rats and B6C3F1 mice for 104 weeks.

Fifty rats and 50 mice of each sex were administered HCDD suspended in a vehicle of 9:1 corn oil-acetone 2 days per week for 104 weeks at doses of 1.25, 2.5, or 5  $\mu$ g/kg/wk for rats and male mice and 2.5, 5, or 10  $\mu$ g/kg/wk for female mice. Seventy-five rats and 75 mice of each sex served as vehicle controls. In addition, one untreated control group containing 25 rats and 25 mice of each sex was present in the HCDD treatment room, and one untreated control group containing 25 rats and 25 mice of each sex was present in the vehicle-control room. All surviving animals were killed at 105 to 108 weeks.

In rats, a dose-related depression in mean body weight gain became evident in the males after week 68 of the bioassay and in the females after week 33. In mice, the mean body weight gain in the dosed groups was comparable with that of the vehicle-control groups. No other toxic clinical signs were reported in either the rats or the mice. Administration of HCDD had no adverse effect on the survival of either species.

In male rats, hepatocellular carcinomas or neoplastic nodules occurred at low incidences that were dose related (P=0.003). In a direct comparison, the incidence of these tumors in the high-dose group was higher (P=0.022) than that in the corresponding vehicle-control group, but the Bonferroni requirement of P=0.017 for the multiple comparison of three dosed groups with a control group was not met.

In female rats, hepatocellular carcinomas, adenomas, or neoplastic nodules occurred at incidences that were dose related (P less than 0.001), and in direct comparisons the incidences of these tumors in the mid- and high-dosed groups were significantly higher (P=0.006 and P less than 0.001, respectively) than those in the corresponding vehicle-control group.

In male mice, hepatocellular carcinomas or adenomas occurred at incidences that were dose related (P=0.001), and in a direct comparison the incidence of these tumors in the high-dose group was significantly higher (P=0.001) than that in the corresponding vehicle-control group.

In female mice, hepatocellular carcinomas or adenomas occurred at incidences that were dose related (P=0.002), and the incidence of these tumors in the high-dose group was significantly higher (P=0.004) than that in the corresponding vehicle-control group.

Complex nonneoplastic toxic liver lesions were seen in all dosed groups of rats and mice. Compound-associated hyperplastic lesions of the lung were also found in both male and female rats.

Under the conditions of this bioassay, HCDD administered by gavage was carcinogenic, causing increased the incidences of hepatocellular carcinomas or neoplastic nodules in female Osborne-Mendel rats and inducing hepatocellular carcinomas and adenomas in male and female B6C3F1 mice. HCDD was not demonstrated to be carcinogenic for male rats.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Page

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Materials and Methods	3
	<ul> <li>A. Chemical</li> <li>B. Dosage Preparation</li> <li>C. Animals</li> <li>D. Animal Maintenance</li> <li>E. Acute Studies</li> <li>F. Subchronic Studies</li> <li>G. Chronic Studies</li> <li>H. Clinical Examinations and Pathology</li> <li>I. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 8 12 12
	<pre>Results - Rats</pre>	15 15 18 20
IV.	Results - Mice	27 27 27 30 32
V.	Discussion	39
VI.	Conclusions	41
VII.	Bibliography	43

#### APPENDIXES

Appendix A	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage	47
Table Al	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control Groups)	49

### Page

Table A2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats Administered HCDD by	50
	Gavage (Control and Dosed Groups)	53
Table A3	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control Groups)	57
Table A4	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control and Dosed Groups)	61
Appendix B	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage	65
Table Bl	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control Groups)	67
Table B2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control and Dosed Groups)	71
Table B3	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control Groups)	75
Table B4	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control and Dosed Groups)	79
Appendix C	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage	85
Table Cl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control Groups)	87
Table C2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control and Dosed Groups)	97
Table C3	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control Groups)	106

Page

11

Table C4	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control and Dosed Groups)	114
Appendix D	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage	123
Table Dl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control Groups)	125
Table D2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control and Dosed Groups)	132
Table D3	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control Groups)	140
Table D4	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (Control and Dosed Groups)	146
Appendix E	Preparation of HCDD	153
Appendix F	Analysis of HCDD	157
Appendix G	Quarterly Analyses of Stock Solutions	169
	TABLES	
Table l	Doses and Mortality in Rats and Mice Administered a Single Dose of HCDD by Gavage followed by 9 Weeks Observation	7
Table 2	Doses and Mean Body Weights of Rats and Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage for the Subchronic Study	9
Table 3	Design for Chronic HCDD Gavage Studies in Rats	10
Table 4	Design for Chronic HCDD Gavage Studies	

in Mice .....

## Page

Table 5	Incidences of Neoplastic Nodules, Hepatocellular Carcinomas, and Toxic Hepatic Lesions in Rats	19
Table 6	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage	22
Table 7	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage	25
Table 8	Incidences of Hepatocelluar Carcinomas and Adenomas in Mice	31
Table 9	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage	33
Table 10	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage	36
	FIGURES	
Figure l	Growth Curves for Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage	16
Figure 2	Survival Curves for Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage	17
Figure 3	Growth Curves for Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage	28
Figure 4	Survival Curves for Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage	29

.

#### I. INTRODUCTION





1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8-HCDD CAS 57653-85-7

1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9-HCDD CAS 19408-74-3

Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins (HCDD) (NCI C03703) are formed during the manufacture of certain chlorophenols. They have been found in trichlorophenol (Woolson et al., 1972), tetrachlorophenol (Woolson et al., 1972; Firestone et al., 1972), and pentachlorophenol (Woolson et al., 1972; Firestone et al., 1972) and in the chlorophenol-derived herbicides, 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) and 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) (Woolson et al., 1972). From 1967 to 1970, the concentration of HCDD in commercial pentachlorophenol ranged from 0.03 to 38 ppm (Firestone et al., 1972). Since then, HCDD levels in pentachlorophenol have been less than 1 ppm (Blaser et al., 1976).

HCDD was first identified in 1967. It was called the "chick edema factor" following research into the cause of a disease that killed millions of chickens in the eastern and midwestern United States (Firestone, 1973). The disease was characterized by a buildup of fluid in the pericardial sac and abdominal cavity or at subcutaneous sites. Liver damage was also seen in these animals. The chick embryo later became the animal test system used to detect HCDD or other dioxins in commercial fatty acids (Firestone, 1978).

Using x-ray crystallography, Cantrell et al. (1969) identified 1,2,3,7,8,9-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (one of the isomers used in the

present study) as one of the toxic components in fats that had been used in animal feeds. The presence of HCDD in animal feeds was traced to impurities in the pentachlorophenol that had been used in the preservation of animal hides. The fats from these hides, which contained HCDD residues, had subsequently been processed and used in animal feeds (Firestone, 1973).

Schwetz et al. (1973) found that a single oral dose of  $100 \ \mu g/kg$  HCDD was lethal to male Sprague-Dawley rats. Pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats treated with oral doses of  $100 \ \mu g/kg/day$  for 10 consecutive days had severe weight losses and gross evidence of liver changes. Doses of 10 or  $100 \ \mu g/kg/day$  of HCDD were fetotoxic, and a dose of  $100 \ \mu g/kg$  was teratogenic.

HCDD was assigned for testing with a series of the chlorodibenzo-pdioxins in the early 1970's after TCDD, a contaminant in 2,4,5-T, was found to be a potent teratogen (Courtney et al., 1970; Sparschu et al., 1971). Preliminary toxicological analyses showed the dioxins to be among the most toxic substances known. Long-term animal bioassays were initiated for all of the dioxins identified at that time because these compounds had been released into the environment along with the herbicides and microbicides they contaminated. A mixture of two HCDD isomers was used in the present study (1,2,3,6,7,8-HCDD and 1,2,3,7,8,9-HCDD). A chronic dermal bioassay of the same batch of HCDD isomers used in the present study was conducted concurrently (NCI, 1980).

#### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### A. Chemical

HCDD (Lot No. IIT 102) was synthesized at the Chemistry Division of IIT Research Institute (IITRI), Chicago, Illinois (Appendix E). The white crystalline solid used for the subchronic and chronic gavage studies was approximately 98.6% hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and consisted of a 1:2 mixture of the 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8 - (CAS 57653-85-7) and the 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9-(CAS 19408-74-3) isomers -- 31% and 67% of total HCDD, respectively.

After separation and purification, the isomers were identified by comparing x-ray powder patterns with theoretical calculations and with the reported x-ray data for the 1,2,3,7,8,9 - isomer (Cantrell et al., 1969). The melting point, gas-liquid chromatography, proton magnetic resonance, and mass spectrometry were also used to characterize the two isomers (Gray et al., 1975). The mixture of the two HCDD isomers used in the present study was similar to the HCDD synthesized by an alternate route (Kende and DeCamp, 1975).

The following impurities were identified by vapor-phase chromatography and mass spectrometry: bromopentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, less than 0.004%; dichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, 0.004%; trichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, 0.004%; tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, 0.07%-0.09%; and pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (at least two isomers), 0.04%. No octachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin was found in HCDD by either vapor-phase chromatography or mass spectrometry (Appendix F). Results from subsequent mass spectrometry measurements of fractions separated by vapor-phase chromatography indicated that HCDD used in these tests contained 0.09% (+0.03%) tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin.

HCDD was stored in brown glass vials at room temperature in an unlighted glove-box hood and was exposed to light only when samples were removed at 3-month intervals for preparation of stock suspensions in acetone.

#### B. Dosage Preparation

HCDD is insoluble in corn oil and in most other solvents but is partially soluble in acetone. Therefore, HCDD was administered as a suspension in a 9:1 corn oil-acetone solution. Fresh stock suspensions in acetone (Mallinkrodt, Inc., St. Louis, Mo.) containing  $100 \mu$  g/ml were prepared every 3 months, and working suspensions were prepared every 2 weeks from the stock suspensions. The stock suspensions in acetone were shaken well, suitable aliquots were added to corn oil (Tek-Lad Laboratories, Madison, Wis.), and additional acetone was added to give concentrations of the test chemical of 0.125 to 5.0  $\mu$ g/ml in 9:1 corn oil-acetone. The working suspensions of HCDD were administered at volumes of 0.05 ml/100 g body weight to rats and 0.05 ml/10 g to mice.

The suspensions of HCDD in either acetone alone or in the corn oilacetone vehicle were kept in brown glass bottles with Teflon-lined caps. The bottles were sealed with tape, triple-bagged in plastic, and stored at  $4^{\circ}$ C at all times, except when samples were removed for administration to the rats and mice.

Concentrations of HCDD in the stock suspensions in acetone were determined by analyzing samples when the stock suspensions were freshly prepared and at the end of the 3-month periods of use. The mean concentration of 16 samples containing a theoretical level of  $100 \mu$  g/ml was  $109.3+19.2 \mu$ g/ml.

Concentrations of HCDD in suspensions prepared in the corn oil-acetone vehicle could not be determined by the methods used due to difficulty in quantitative chromatographic separation of the chemical from components in the corn oil.

#### C. <u>Animals</u>

Osborne-Mendel rats and B6C3F1 mice, obtained from the Charles River Breeding Laboratory, Inc., Wilmington, Massachusetts, were used in acute, subchronic, and chronic studies. The animals used in the chronic studies were approximately 4 weeks old when received and were acclimated in the laboratory for 2 weeks before the start of the bioassay. Animals with no visible signs of disease were earmarked for individual identification and assigned to dosed or control groups according to a table of random numbers.

Because of animal supply limitations, five shipments of rats and three shipments of mice were used over a 7-week period. The animals from each shipment were evenly distributed among all test and control groups. All animals were the same age when placed on test and were dosed or observed for the same period of time, regardless of shipment date.

#### D. Animal Maintenance

Rats and mice were housed in rooms with the temperature maintained at  $20^{\circ}$  to  $22^{\circ}$ C, and the relative humidity was 45% to 55%. Negative air pressure in the animal rooms relative to the hallways was maintained with 15 changes of room air per hour. The exhaust system included a series of HEPA filters through which all air from the animal rooms and hoods was passed before being released from the facility. Fluorescent lighting was provided 12 hours each day.

Rats were housed 3 per cage and mice 10 per cage in polystyrene cages (Maryland Plastics, Federalsburg, Md.) covered with a special tight-fitting polystyrene lid adapted to hold two metal filter housings and a water bottle. The filter housings contained FG 50 filters, one of which was left open to the room atmosphere while the other was attached to a hose that led to a pipe running the length of the shelf on the rack. Pipes on each of four shelves of the rack led to a large vertical pipe at the end of the rack. The large pipe was connected by flexible hose to the HEPA-filtered exhaust system. This arrangement provided a constant flow of air that was filtered both as it entered and as it left the cages.

Because of the possible toxicity of the test chemical for laboratory personnel, the cages (including lids) housing the groups of animals dosed with HCDD were used only once and were discarded every week. The used cages and lids were triple-sealed in plastic bags and incinerated, as was all waste material from the animal rooms and the hoods. The glass water bottles and stainless steel sipper tubes from the used cages were rinsed in the same rooms, using the organic solvent chlorothene, N.U. (Central Solvents,

Chicago, Ill.) to dilute out any dioxin present, and were then sanitized at 82°C in an automatic washer. (Clorothene N.U. is the trademark for a formulation of 1,1,1-trichloroethane with an inhibitor.) The polycarbonate cages in the room housing the vehicle-control groups of animals were recycled three times, and the water bottles and sipper tubes used in these rooms were not rinsed in chlorothene before washing. After 4 weeks of use, the cages housing the control animals were also incinerated. Disposable clothing was worn by all personnel and, after use, it was incinerated by the procedure used for cages and other waste material.

Animals were provided with fresh Absorb-Dri<sup>®</sup> hardwood chip bedding (Lab Products, Inc., Garfield, N.J.) once a week. They were fed Wayne<sup>®</sup> Lab Blox (Allied Mills, Inc., Chicago, Ill.) in pellet form and were provided with fresh food when their cages were changed. Tap water was provided <u>ad</u> <u>libitum</u>. Clean water bottles were provided once a week, and the bottles were refilled once a week.

For the chronic study, dosed groups of rats and mice were housed in one room, and vehicle-control groups were housed in a separate room. An untreated-control group, serving as the room environmental-control group, was housed in each room.

#### E. Acute Studies

Groups of four male and four female 9-week-old Osborne-Mendel rats and 10-week-old B6C3F1 mice were administered single doses of 0.5 to 10 mg/kg body weight of test chemical by gavage and were observed for 9 weeks. The test chemical was composed of 96.8% HCDD isomers, 2.0% pentachloro isomers, and 1.2% heptachloro isomers. The rates of mortality, given in Table 1, indicate an approximate oral  $LD_{50}$  of 1.8 mg/kg for male rats, 0.8 mg/kg for female rats, 0.75 mg/kg for male mice, and 0.5 mg/kg for female mice.

#### F. Subchronic Studies

The amounts of test chemical to be used in the chronic studies were determined by administering HCDD in corn oil-acetone by gavage to groups of 10 male and 10 female 6-week-old rats mice once per week for 13 weeks.

Dose		e-Mendel ts	B6C3F1 Mice		
(mg/kg)	Management and a second s	Females	Males	Females	
)	4/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	
	3/4	4/4	4/4	4/4	
2.5	3/4	4/4	4/4	3/4	
	1/4	4/4	4/4	3/4	
0.5	2/4	0/4	0/4	3/4	

Table 1. Doses and Mortality in Rats and Mice Administered a Single Dose of HCDD by Gavage Followed By 9 Weeks Observation

(a) Number of animals dying/Number of animals in group.

The animals were weighed every week for the first 7 or 8 weeks and every 2 weeks thereafter and were observed daily for deaths. The doses administered and the mean body weights of the dosed groups relative to the control groups at week 10 are given in Table 2. Dose-related decrements in weight gain among rats were more marked in the males than in the females. All dosed groups of mice had lower weight gains than did their controls, but the effects were not clearly dose related.

At the end of the study, necropsies and histologic examinations of tissues were performed on 9 male rats administered 5  $\mu$ g/kg, 10 female rats administered 10  $\mu$ g/kg, and 10 male and 9 female mice administered 10 $\mu$ g/kg. Fewer rats and mice in other dosed groups underwent these procedures.

In the rats, 4/9 males administered 5  $\mu$ g/kg and 5/10 females administered 10  $\mu$ g/kg exhibited threshold to moderate hepatotoxicity. Splenic hyperplasia occurred in about half of the male rats given 50 or 100  $\mu$ g/kg and in about half of the females that were examined at each dose. Cortical atrophy of the thymus was observed in 1 of 2 male rats and 1 of 2 female rats administered 50  $\mu$ g/kg and in 1 of 10 females administered 10  $\mu$ g/kg.

In mice, significant histopathologic changes of the liver were observed in 2/2 males and 2/2 females administered 50  $\mu$ g/kg; among animals administered 10  $\mu$ g/kg, threshold to moderate changes were observed in 5/10 males and threshold changes alone in 2/2 females. No changes were observed in males administered 1.25 $\mu$ g/kg or in females administered either 1.25 or 2.5  $\mu$ g/kg.

Low, mid, and high doses selected for male and female rats and male mice in the chronic study were 1.25, 2.5, and  $5 \mu g/kg/wk$ , respectively; doses of HCDD selected for female mice were 2.5, 5, and 10  $\mu g/kg/wk$ .

#### G. Chronic Studies

The test groups, doses administered, and durations of the chronic gavage studies in rats and mice are shown in Tables 3 and 4. Animals dosed with HCDD were housed in one room with untreated control group No. 2. Three vehicle control groups were housed in a second room with untreated control group No. 1. The vehicle control groups of each sex and species were shared

Doses (µg/kg/wk)	<u>Mean Weight at Week l</u> Male	0 as Percent of Control(b) Female
RATS		
0(c)	100	100
2.5	93	94
5	82	87
10	80	92
50	75	90
100	79	83
MICE		
0(c)	100	100
1.25	83	87
2.5	84	84
5	89	88
10	85	82
50	81	81

Table 2.	Doses and Mean Body Weights of Rats and Mice Administered HCDD by
	Gavage Once per Week for 13 weeks for the Subchronic
	Study (a)

(a) All survived except one male that received 2.5  $\mu g/kg.$ 

(b) Data obtained at week 10 were used because data at week 14 were incomplete.

(c) Vehicle controls received volumes of corn oil-acetone equal to the volumes of the test suspension administered.

In	itial		HCDD	Time	on Study
	• of	Deem	Dose(b)	Dosed	Observed
Fest Group Anim	als(a)	Room	(µg/kg/wk)	(weeks)	(weeks)
Males					
Untreated-Control No. 1	25	1C9	0		106
Untreated-Control No. 2	25	1B3	0		106
Untreated-Control No. 3(c	) 25	1 <b>A</b> 6	0		106
Vehicle-Controls(d,e,f)	75	1 C <b>9</b>	0		105
Low-Dose	50	1B3	1.25	104	2
Mid-Dose	50	1B3	2.5	104	3
High-Dose	50	1B3	5	104	3
Females					
Untreated-Control No. 1	25	109	0		106
Untreated-Control No. 2	25	1B3	0		106
Untreated-Control No. 3(c	) 25	1 <b>A</b> 6	0		106
Vehicle-Control(d,e,f)	75	1 C9	0		105
Low-Dose	50	1B3	1.25	104	3
Mid-Dose	50	1B3	2.5	104	3
High-Dose	50	1B3	5	104	3

Table 3. Design for Chronic HCDD Gavage Studies in Rats

- (a) Rats from five shipments covering a 7-week period were evenly distributed among untreated controls, vehicle controls, and dosed groups. All animals were dosed or observed for the same period of time, regardless of the starting date.
- (b) HCDD was administered 2 days per week as a suspension in 9:1 corn oil-acetone at a volume of 0.05 m1/10 g body weight.
- (c) Untreated-control No. 3 was an environmental control for the room in which studies on TCDD were being carried out.
- (d) Vehicle controls received volumes of corn oil-acetone equal to the volumes of test suspension administered.
- (e) Three groups of 25 vehicle controls were all in the same room and all started at the same age. These are identified in Appendixes A, B, C, and D.
- (f) Vehicle-controls were shared with a gavage study on TCDD carried out in a different room.

Init	ial		HCDD	Time o	n Study
Sex and No. Test Group Anima		Room	Dose(b) (µg/kg/wk)	Dosed (weeks)	Observed (weeks)
	13(4)		(µ6/ K6/ WK/	(weekb)	(#CCRD)
Males					
Untreated-Control No. 1	25	1C9	0	0	107
Untreated-Control No. 2	25	1B3	0	0	107
Untreated-Control No. 3(c)	25	1 A 6	0	0	107
Vehicle-Controls(d,e,f)	75	1C9	0	0	105
Low-Dose	50	1B3	1.25	104	4
Mid-Dose	50	1B3	2.5	104	3
High-Dose	50	1B3	5	104	4
Females					
Untreated-Control No. 1	25	1C9	0		108
Untreated-Control No. 2	25	1 <b>B</b> 3	0		108
Untreated-Control No. 3(c)	25	1 <b>A</b> 6	0		108
Vehicle-Control(d,e,f)	75	1 <b>C9</b>	0		106
Low-Dose	50	1 <b>B</b> 3	2.5	104	4
Mid-Dose	50	1B3	5.0	104	4
High-Dose	50	1B3	10	104	3

Table 4. Design for Chronic HCDD Gavage Studies in Mice

- (a) Mice from three shipments covering a 7-week period were evenly distributed among the untreated controls, vehicle controls, and dosed groups. All groups were dosed or observed for the same period of time, regardless of starting date.
- (b) HCDD was administered 2 days per week as a suspension in 9:1 corn oil-acetone at a volume of 0.05 ml/10 g body weight.
- (c) Untreated-control No. 3 was an environmental control for the room in which studies on TCDD were being carried out.
- (d) Vehicle controls received volumes of corn oil-acetone equal to the volumes of test suspension administered.
- (e) Three groups of 25 vehicle controls were all in the same room and all started at the same age. They are identified in Appendixes A, B, C, and D.
- (f) Vehicle-controls were shared with a gavage study on TCDD carried out in a different room.

with a study of TCDD which was housed in a third room with untreated control group No. 3. For statistical analysis, the three vehicle control groups of each sex and species are treated as single groups of 75 animals.

#### H. Clinical Examinations and Pathology

Animals were observed twice daily for clinical signs and mortality. Body weights were recorded every 2 weeks for the first 12 weeks and every month thereafter. Moribund animals and those that survived to the end of the study were killed using sodium pentobarbital and necropsied.

Gross and microscopic examinations were performed on major tissues, major organs, and all gross lesions from killed animals and from animals found dead. Tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The following tissues were taken at necropsy: skin, mandibular lymph node, salivary gland, mammary gland, bone marrow, thymus, larynx, trachea, lungs and bronchi, heart, thyroid, parathyroid, esophagus, stomach, duodenum, colon, liver, gall bladder (mice), pancreas, spleen, kidney, adrenal, urinary bladder, ovary, testis, uterus, prostate, gonads, nasal cavity, brain, pituitary, spinal cord, skeletal muscle, sciatic nerve, and all tissue masses.

Necropsies were also performed on all animals found dead, unless precluded in whole or in part by autolysis or cannibalization. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on study in each group.

#### I. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Data on this experiment have been recorded in the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report in the form of graphs. Animals were statistically censored as of the time that they died of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not statistically censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival were performed using the method of Cox (1972) to compare each dosed group with the control group for equality and Tarone's (1975) extensions of Cox's methods to test for an overall dose-related trend. One-tailed P values have been reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is reported only when its two-tailed P value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions has been given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site is examined (denominator). In most instances, the denominators included only those animals for which that site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions (e.g., skin or mammary tumors) before histologic sampling or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical developed а significantly higher proportion of tumors than did the control animals. As a part of these analyses, the one-tailed Fisher exact test (Cox, 1970) was used to compare the tumor incidence of a control group with that of a group of dosed animals at each dose level. When results for a number of dosed groups are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction may be made to ensure an overall significance level of 0.05. The Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966) requires that the P value for any comparison be less than or equal to 0.017 (0.05/3). When this correction was used, it is discussed in the narrative section. It is not presented in the tables, where the Fisher exact P values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971), was also used. When the trend is assumed to be linear, this test determines if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the one-tailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend is a positive relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

Life-table methods were used to analyze the incidence of tumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without an observed tumor were computed as in Saffiotti et al. (1972). The week during which an animal died naturally or was killed was entered as the time point of tumor observation. Cox's methods of comparing these curves were used for two groups; Tarone's extension to testing for linear trend was used for three groups. The statistical tests for the incidence of tumors were one-tailed when life-table methods were used and, unless otherwise noted, were in the direction of a positive dose relationship. Significant departures from linearity were also noted (P less than 0.05, two-tailed test).

The approximate 95% confidence interval for the relative risk of each dosed group compared with its control was calculated from the exact interval on the odds ratio (Gart, 1971). The lower and upper limits of this confidence interval have been included in the tables of statistical analyses. The interpretation of the limits is that, in approximately 95% of a large number of identical experiments, the true ratio of the risk in a dosed group of animals to that in a control group would be within the interval calculated from the experiment. When the lower limit of the confidence interval is greater than one, it can be inferred that a statistically significant result has occurred (P less than 0.025 one-tailed test when the control incidence is not zero, P less than 0.050 when the control incidence is zero). When the lower limit is less than unity but the upper limit is greater than unity, the lower limit indicates the absence of a significant result while the upper limit indicates that there is a theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by the test chemical, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)

Mean body weights of the dosed groups of male rats during the first 68 weeks of the bioassay and of the dosed groups of females during the first 33 weeks were essentially the same as those of corresponding vehicle-control groups; thereafter, weight gains of mid- and high-dosed groups were depressed, and the depressions in weight were dose related (Figure 1). No other significant clinical signs were reported.

#### B. Survival (Rats)

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female rats administered HCDD by gavage at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the pooled vehicle controls and of the pooled untreated controls, are shown by the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 2. The pooled vehicle-control group was formed by combining all three vehicle-control groups. The two untreated groups that were either in the vehicle-control room or in the HCDD-dosed group room were pooled into one group. The untreated control groups served as environmental controls, and survival in these groups was not significantly different from that in the other groups. The result of the Tarone test does not show a decrease in survival in male rats.

In male rats, 19/50 (38%) of the high-dose group, 19/50 (38%) of the mid-dose group, 18/50 (36%) of the low-dose animals, 29/75 (39%) of the pooled vehicle-control group, and 24/50 (48%) of the pooled untreated control group lived to the end of the study. In female rats, 37/50 (74%) of the high-dose group, 36/50 (72%) of the mid-dose group, 36/50 (72%) of the low-dose group, 39/75 (52%) of the combined vehicle-control group, and 33/50 (66%) of the pooled untreated control group lived to the end of the study. Sufficient numbers of rats in control and dosed groups of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.



Figure 1. Growth Curves for Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage



Figure 2. Survival Curves for Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage

#### C. Pathology (Rats)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A, Tables Al to A4; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C, Tables Cl to C4.

A variety of neoplasms were seen in dosed and control rats and, except for those of the liver, the tumors were not related to chemical administration.

Hepatic neoplasms were found in both dosed and control animals. As shown in Table 5, increased incidences of neoplastic nodules and hepatocellular carcinomas were dose related in female rats and toxic hepatic lesions were dose related in both sexes.

The neoplastic nodules were composed of hypertrophic hepatocytes with eosinophilic cytoplasm forming a solid pattern. These nodules compressed adjacent liver tissue and distorted or interrupted the normal lobular pattern of the liver. They were usually multiple in the liver. The carcinomas were composed of hepatocytes forming trabecular patterns. All four female rats with hepatocellular carcinomas also had neoplastic nodules. Metastases were not found. All liver tumors occurred in livers with toxic lesions.

The complex nonneoplastic liver lesions seen in dosed rats were recorded as "toxic hepatitis." The severity of these lesions was dose related. The lesions were not inflammatory and included degenerative hepatocyte changes (lipidosis, cytomegaly, etc.), eosinophilic foci of cellular alteration, mild fibrosis, and bile duct hyperplasia.

In addition to the hepatic lesions, a large number of degenerative, proliferative, and inflammatory changes were present in animals of the dosed and control groups. For the most part, these nonneoplastic lesions are commonly seen in aged rats and, except for those of the liver and lung, could not be related to chemical administration. Hyperplastic lung lesions, recorded as adenomatous hyperplasia, were seen in 1/147 untreated and vehicle-control males, 9/49 low-dose males, 13/49 mid-dose males, 23/47 high-dose males, 0/150 untreated and vehicle-control females, 24/50 low-dose females, 21/49 mid-dose females, and 21/49 high-dose females. The hyperplastic lesions were characterized by hypertrophy and hyperplasia of

Tumor	Vehicle Control		Low Dose	Mid Dose	High Dose
MALE				****	<u></u>
Number of Tissues Examined	(74)	(75)	(48)	(50)	(48)
Hepatocellular Carcinoma	0	0	0	0	1
Neoplastic Nodule	0	2	0	1	3
Toxic Hepatitis	0	0	28	35	34
FEMALE					
Number of Tissues Examined	(75)	(73)	(50)	(50)	(50)
Hepatocellular Carcinoma	0	0	0	0	4
Neoplastic Nodule	5	1	10	12	30
Toxic Hepatitis	0	0	33	37	44

# Table 5.Incidences of Neoplastic Nodules, HepatocellularCarcinomas, and Toxic Hepatic Lesions In RatsAdministered HHCD by Gavage

epithelial cells in terminal bronchioles and adjacent alveoli. Pigmentfilled macrophages were frequently present in affected alveoli. Most of the lesions were focal, present in small numbers, and classified as trace to mild in severity.

The histopathologic examination provided evidence that HCDD was carcinogenic in female Osborne-Mendel rats, inducing neoplastic nodules and hepatocellular carcinomas under conditions of this bioassay. HCDD also induced toxic nonneoplastic liver and lung lesions in male and female rats.

#### D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)

Tables 6 and 7 contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals of one group and at an incidence of at least 5% in one or more groups. The untreated-control groups are not included in the statistical analyses tables; however, data on the untreated-control groups are presented in the appendixes.

The result of the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in the incidence of male rats with either neoplastic nodules or hepatocellular carcinomas of the liver is significant (P=0.003). The Fisher exact comparison of the incidences of these tumors in the high-dose and vehicle-control groups indicates a P value of 0.022, which is above the 0.017 level required for significance when an overall significance level of P=0.05 is required. Evidence associating administration of HCDD with liver tumors is not conclusive in male rats. Untreated-control group Number 2 of male rats had an incidence of 2/25 (8%) neoplastic nodules, which is the same proportion observed in the high-dose group when the incidence of neoplastic nodules and hepatocellular carcinomas are combined.

A significantly larger number of liver tumors was observed in female mid- and high-dose rats (P=0.006 and P less than 0.001, respectively) than in the vehicle controls. An increased incidence in the low-dose group compared with the vehicle-control group was observed, but the significance level of P=0.026 is above the P=0.017 required by the Bonferroni inequality when three dosed groups are compared with a single control group and an overall significance level of P=0.05 was chosen. The Cochran-Armitage test

indicates a significant positive linear trend (P less than 0.001) in the development of these tumors in relation to the administration of the chemical.

Life table analysis, based upon the time when liver tumors were observed in female rats, indicates a significantly shortened time to observation in the high-dose group (P less than 0.001) compared with the vehicle control. The historical incidence of untreated female Osborne-Mendel rats with these liver tumors is 8/470 (1.7%) compared with 5/25 (7%) in the vehicle controls on this study.

In male rats, the Fisher exact comparison of the incidences of follicular-cell adenomas of the thyroid in low-dose and vehicle-control groups shows a P value of 0.044. This value is above the 0.017 level required for significance when the Bonferroni inequality criterion is used for multiple comparison. The incidences in the mid- and high-dose groups are not significant when compared with that of the vehicle-control group, and the result of the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in incidence is not significant. The incidence of this tumor in the untreated-control groups was 6/74 (8%), a rate that is larger than the 3/49 (6%) seen in the high-dose group.

Significant trends in the negative direction are observed in the incidences of pheochromocytomas of the adrenal (P=0.022) and fibroadenomas of the mammary gland in the male rats (P=0.036).

In summary of the statistical analysis, the incidence of liver tumors in female rats is related to the administration of HCDD.

Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose	Hígh Dose
Integumentary System: Fibroma of the Subcutaneous Tissue (b)	3/75 (4)	3/50 (6)	3/50 (6)	4/49 (8)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		1,500	1.500	2.041
Lower Limit		0.208	0.208	0.359
Upper Limit		10.741	10.741	13.333
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	76	83	104	90
Integumentary System:				
Fibrosarcoma of the Subcutaneous Tissue (b)	9/75 (12)	3/50 (6)	1/50 (2)	4/49 (8)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	P=0.040(N)	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		0.500	0,167	0.680
Lower Limit		0.090	0.004	0.160
Upper Limit		1.883	1.142	2.280
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	65	83	87	77
Circulatory System: Hemangioma/				
Hemangiosarcoma (b)	7/75 (9)	2/50 (4)	0/50 (0)	3/49 (6)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	P=0.025(N)	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		0.429	0.000	0.656
Lower Limit		0.045	0.000	0.114
Upper Limit		2.132	0.775	2.709
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	75	87		83
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule or	······································			
Hepatocellular Carcinoma (b)	0/74 (0)	0/49 (0)	1/50 (2)	4/48 (8)
P Value (c,d)	P=0.003		N.S.	P=0.022
Relative Risk (e)			Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit			0.079	1.417
Upper Limit			Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			104	106

Table 6. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage (a)

Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose	High Dose
Pituitary: Chromophobe				
Adenoma or Adenoma, NOS(b)	2/61 (3)	1/42 (2)	1/43 (2)	4/41 (10)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N. S.
Relative Risk (e)		0.726	0.709	2.976
Lower Limit		0.013	0.012	0.447
Upper Limit		13.436	13.134	31.517
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	81	108	100	91
Adrenal: Cortical Adenoma (b)	6/72 (8)	2/47 (4)	4/47 (9)	4/47 (9)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		0.511	1.021	1.021
Lower Limit		0.052	0.222	0.222
Upper Limit		2.702	4.049	4.049
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	92	83	81	57
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma (b)	5/72 (7)	1/47 (2)	0/47 (0)	0/47 (0)
P Value (c,d)	P=0.022(N)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		0.306	0.000	0.000
Lower Limit		0.077	0.000	0.000
Upper Limit		2.609	1.215	1.215
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	104	108		
Thyroid: Follicular-cell				
Adenoma (b)	1/69 (1)	5/49 (10)	4/47 (9)	3/49 (6)
P Value (c,d)	N. S.	P=0.044	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		7.041	5.872	4.224
Lower Limit		0.821	0.604	0.350
Upper Limit		325.699	282.686	217.085
Jeeks to First Observed Tumor	104	105	81	105

Table 6. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage (a)

(continued)

Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose	High Dose
Thyroid: C-cell Adenoma (b)	2/69 (3)	2/49 (4)	3/47 (6)	3/49 (6)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.408 0.105 18.787	2.202 0.261 25.436	2.112 0.251 24.431
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	81	108	104	107
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma (b)	5/75 (7)	3/50 (6)	1/50 (2)	0/49 (0)
P Value (c,d)	P=0.036(N)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.900 0.145 4.391	0.300 0.006 2.562	0.000 0.000 1.216
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	93	88	111	

Table 6. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage (a)

				• •
C	con	tin	ued	)

(a) Dosed groups received 1.25, 2.5, or  $5 \mu g/kg/wk$ .

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

(c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the vehiclecontrol group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

(d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the control group.

(e) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the vehicle-control group.
Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose	High Dose
Integumentary System: Fibroma				
of the Subcutaneous Tissue (b)	4/75 (5)	2/50 (4)	3/50 (6)	0/50 (0)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		0.750	1.125	0.000
Lower Limit		0.070	0.171	0.000
Upper Limit		5.001	6.340	1.622
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	80	94	94	
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule,	·····		·····	
Hepatocellular Carcinoma(b)	E/7E (7)	10/50 (00)	10/50 /0/>	20/50 //0)
	5/75 (7)	10/50 (20)	12/50 (24)	30/50 (60)
P Value (c,d)	P is less	P=0.026	P=0.006	P is less
	than 0.001			than 0.001
		2 000	2 ( 02	0.000
Relative Risk (e) Lower Limit		3.000 0.995	3.600 1.263	9.000 3.830
Upper Limit		10.486	12.188	26,359
opper Dimit		101400	120200	201355
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	92	91	95	72
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma (b)	5/66 (8)	2/45 (4)	3/47 (6)	5/45 (11)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		0.587	0.843	1.467
Lower Limit		0.058	0.136	0.356
Upper Limit		3.391	4.093	5.982
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	92	105	95	72
Adrenal: Cortical Adenoma (b)	11/73 (15)	3/48 (6)	9/50 (18)	8/50 (16)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		0.415	1.194	1.062
Lower Limit		0.077	0.470	0.397
Upper Limit		1.468	2.916	2.672
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	77	101	94	104

Table 7. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage(a)

\_\_\_\_

Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose	High Dose	
Thyroid: C-cell Adenoma (b)	7/73 (10)	4/48 (8)	4/48 (8)	3/49 (6)	
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.869 0.195 3.208	0.869 0.195 3.208	0.638 0.111 2.636	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	104	102	104	
Thyroid: Follicular-cell Carcinoma or Adenoma (b)	5/73 (7)	3/48 (6)	0/48 (0)	1/49 (2)	
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.912 0.147 4.443	0.000 0.000 1.207	0.298 0.006 2.542	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	92	105			
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma (b)	27/75 (36)	13/50 (26)	16/50 (32)	12/50 (24)	
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.722 0.379 1.291	0.889 0.499 1.512	0.667 0.340 1.217	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	53	81	85	76	

Table 7. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Administered HCDD by Gavage (a) (continued)

(a) Dosed groups received 1.25, 2.5, or  $5 \,\mu g/kg/wk$ .

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
(c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the vehicle-control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

(d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the control group.

(e) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the vehicle-control group.

### IV. RESULTS - MICE

### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)

Mean body weights of dosed groups of male mice were similar to those of the corresponding vehicle-control group throughout the bioassay (Figure 3). Mean body weights of the dosed females were similar to those of corresponding vehicle-controls. No other clinical signs were reported.

### B. Survival (Mice)

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female mice administered HCDD by gavage at the doses of this bioassay, together with those estimates of the pooled vehicle controls and of the combined untreated controls, are shown by the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 4. The two untreated control groups that were in either the vehicle-control room or the room housing the HCDD-dosed group were pooled into one group. The three vehicle-control groups were pooled into one vehicle-control group. Although included in the graphs, survivals of the untreated-control groups are not included in the statistical analysis of survival. The result of the Tarone test for dose-related trend in mortality is not significant in either sex. The results of the Cox test comparing the survival between each of the dosed groups with their respective pooled vehicle-control group are also not significant, thus indicating comparable survival among all groups in either sex.

In male mice, 23/50 (46%) of the high-dose group, 26/50 (52%) of the mid-dose group, 29/50 (58%) of the low-dose animals, 38/75 (51%) of the pooled vehicle-control group, and 32/50 (64%) of the pooled untreated control group lived to the end of the study. In females, 36/50 (72%) of the high-dose animals, 33/50 (66%) of the mid-dose animals, 31/50 (62%) of the low-dose group, 58/75 (77%) of the pooled vehicle-control group, and 36/50 (72%) of the pooled untreated control



Figure 3. Growth Curves for Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage



Figure 4. Survival Curves for Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage

group animals lived to the end of the study. Sufficient numbers of mice in control and dosed groups of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.

### C. Pathology (Mice)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B, Tables Bl to B4; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D, Tables Dl to D4.

A variety of tumors occurred in both the control and dosed groups. Except for tumors of the liver, the incidences of the individual tumor types are not unusual.

An increased incidence of hepatocellular tumors occurred in dosed male and female mice. The number of hepatocellular carcinomas and adenomas found control groups are presented in Table 8. in the dosed and The hepatocellular adenomas, consisting of cells with uniform cell type and devoid of lobular architecture, compressed the surrounding normal liver. The individual tumor cells were similar in appearance to normal liver cells, although there was some variation in cytoplasmic staining and in cell size The hepatocellular carcinomas displayed greater from surrounding cells. deviation from normal in cellular characteristics and growth patterns and, because of their histologic appearance, they were judged to have the capacity for progressive growth, invasion, and metastasis. The cells in these tumors were pleomorphic, varied in staining characteristics, displayed increased mitosis, and were disorganized in their growth, appearing in sheets or cords of multiple cell layers and occasionally separated into cords by wide vascular channels (trabecular). A few tumors in control and dosed mice metastasized to the lungs.

In addition to neoplastic lesions, a large number of degenerative, proliferative, and inflammatory changes were present in animals of the dosed and control groups. For the most part, these nonneoplastic lesions are commonly seen in aged mice. However, degenerative, inflammatory, and hypertrophic changes were induced by the compound in the livers of male and female mice. These lesions were more severe in the male mice.

30

Tumor	Vehicle Control	Untreated Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose	High Dose
MALE				. <u></u>	
Number of Tissues Examined	(73)	(75)	(50)	(48)	(48)
Hepatocellular Carcinoma	8	12	9	5	9
Hepatocellular Adenoma	7	15	5	9	15
FEMALE					
Number of Tissues Examined	(73)	(74)	(48)	(47)	(47)
Hepatocellular Carcinoma	1	0	0	2	2
Hepatocellular Adenoma	2	2	4	4	9

## Table 8. Incidences of Hepatocellular Carcinomas and Adenomas in Mice Administered HCCD by Gawage

Toxic hepatitis, the severity of which was dose-related, consisted of degenerative hepatocytic changes and/or necrosis associated with mild fibrosis and infiltration. Cellular hypertrophy (cytomegaly) involved focal increase in cell size of groups of hepatocytes usually in the centrilobular area. Intranuclear inclusions were seen in some cells, and oval cell (bile ductular or biliary) hyperplasia, not recorded in the tables, was seen in high-dose mice. Macrophages containing pigment were noted in dosed male mice. The degenerative changes included cloudy swelling and lipidosis.

The histopathologic examination indicates that under conditions of this bioassay HCDD was carcinogenic in male and female B6C3F1 mice, inducing hepatocellular neoplasms. Toxic nonneoplastic lesions were seen in the livers of dosed male and female mice.

### D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)

Tables 9 and 10 contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals of one group and at an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group. The untreated-control groups are not included in the statistical analyses tables because the test conditions of the vehicle-control groups resemble more closely those of the dosed groups. The untreated controls were intended only as environmental controls and indicated no differences in survival from the other groups. The three vehicle control groups that were combined were comparable in tumor incidence.

In male mice, the result of the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in incidence of hepatocellular carcinomas or adenomas is significant (P=0.001). The Fisher exact test shows that the incidence of these tumors in the high-dose group is significantly higher (P=0.001) than that in the vehicle-control group.

In female mice, the result of the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend of hepatocellular carcinomas or adenomas is significant (P=0.002), and the direct comparison of the high-dose with the vehicle-control group indicates a significant increase (P=0.004) in the incidence of this tumor.

These statistical results indicate that the incidences of liver tumors in male mice and female mice are associated with the administration of HCDD.

Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose	High Dose
Integumentary System: Fibroma of the Subcutaneous Tissue (b)	1/73 (1)	4/50 (8)	1/50 (2)	2/48 (4)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		5.840 0.599 281.464	1.460 0.019 112.322	3.042 0.162 175.643
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	90	108	75	97
Integumentary System: Fibrosarcoma (b)	8/73 (11)	1/50 (2)	6/50 (12)	6/48 (13)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.183 0.004 1.293	1.095 0.331 3.358	1.141 0.345 3.490
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	87	91	78	81
Circulatory System: Hemangioma/Hemangiosarcoma (b)	1/73 (1)	1/50 (2)	2/50 (4)	4/48 (8)
P Value (c,d)	P=0.033	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.460 0.019 112.341	2.920 0.156 168.786	6.083 0.624 292.954
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	96	67	100	85
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma or Adenoma (b)	10/71 (14)	11/50 (22)	10/50 (20)	7/48 (15)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N. S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.562 0.650 3.762	1.420 0.571 3.494	1.035 0.357 2.782
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	88	94	75	77

Table 9. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (a)

Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose	High Dose
Hematopoietic System:			<u></u>	
All Lymphomas (b)	8/73 (11)	7/50 (14)	4/50 (8)	8/48 (17)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N. S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		1.278	0.730	1.521
Lower Limit		0.419	0.168	0.531
Upper Limit		3.753	2.556	4.307
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	71	83	94	86
Liver: Hepatocelluar Adenoma (b)	7/73(10)	5/50(10)	9/49(18)	15/48(31)
P Value (c), (d)	P=0.001	N.S.	N. S.	P=0.003
Relative Risk (Matched Control) (e)		1.043	1.915	3.259
Lower Limit		0.274	0.678	1.357
Upper Limit		3.581	5.622	8.648
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	88	94	75	80
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma (b)	8/73 (11)	9/50 (18)	E (40, (10)	
Carcinoma (b)	0//J (II)	9/30 (18)	5/49 (10)	9/48 (19)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		1.642	0.931	1.711
Lower Limit		0.602	0.252	0.627
Upper Limit		4.535	3.016	4.710
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	86	67	91	94
Liver: Hepatocellular	······································		<u></u>	<u> </u>
Carcinoma (b)	8/73 (11)	9/50 (18)	5/49 (10)	9/48 (19)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		1.642	0.931	1.711
Lower Limit		0.602	0.252	0.627
Upper Limit		4.535	3.016	4.710
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	86	67	91	94

Table 9. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (a)

Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose	High Dose
Liver: Hepatocellular				
Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	15/73 (21)	14/50 (28)	14/49 (29)	24/48 (50)
P Value (c,d)	P=0.001	N.S.	N.S.	P=0.001
Relative Risk (e)		1.363	1.390	2.433
Lower Limit		0.667	0.682	1.376
Upper Limit		2.728	2.779	4.327
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	86	67	91	80

Table 9. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (a)

(a) Dosed groups received 1.25, 2.5, or 5  $\mu$ g/kg/wk. (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

(c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the vehiclecontrol group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

(d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the control group. (e) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the

vehicle-control group.

Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose	High Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	2/74 (3)	2/49 (4)	5/48 (10)	1/48 (2)
Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	2/14 (3)	2/49 (4)	5/48 (10)	1/40 (2)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
<b>Relative Risk</b> (e)		1.510	3.854	0.771
Lower Limit		0.112	0.659	0.013
Upper Limit		20.157	39.017	14.334
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	99	105	105	99
Hematopoietic System:				
All Lymphomas (b)	18/74 (24)	10/49 (20)	5/49 (10)	15/49 (31
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	P=0.039(N)	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend (f)	P=0.048			-
Relative Risk (e)		0.839	0.420	1.259
Lower Limit		0.376	0.129	1.259
Upper Limit		1.737	1.080	2.362
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	76	85	61	77
All Sites: Hemangioma or Hemangiosarcoma (b)	2/74 (3)	4/49 (8)	3/49 (6)	0/49 (0)
nemangiosarcoma (b)	2/14 (3)	4/49 (8)	3/49 (0)	0/49 (0)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		3.020	2.265	0.000
Lower Limit		0.450	0.268	0.000
Upper Limit		32.239	26.213	5.108
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	104	101	109	
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma (b)	2/73 (3)	4/48 (8)	4/47 (9)	9/47 (19
P Value (c), (d)	P=0.002	N.S.	N.S.	P=0.003
Relative Risk (e)		3.042	3.106	6.989
Lower Limit		0.453	0.463	1.527
Upper Limit		32.446	33.108	63.779
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	104	93	106	104

Table 10. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (a)

Topography: Morphology	Vehicle Control	Low Dose	Mid Dose	High Dose
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma (b)	1/73 (1)	0/48 (0)	2/47 (4)	2/47 (4)
P Value (c), (d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		0.000	3.106	3.106
Lower Limit		0.000	0.166	0.166
Upper Limit		28.344	179.333	179.333
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	91		104	104
Liver: Hepatocellular			· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Adenoma Carcinoma or (b)	3/73 (4)	4/48 (8)	6/47 (13)	10/47 (21)
P Value (c,d)	P=0.002	n.s.	N.S.	P=0.004
Relative Risk (e)		2.028	3.106	5.177
Lower Limit		0.357	0.697	1.416
Upper Limit		13.234	18.287	27.743
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	91	108	104	104
Pituitary: Chromophobe				
Adenoma (b)	1/62 (2)	2/41 (5)	0/40 (0)	0/41 (0)
P Value (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (e)		3.024	0.000	0.000
Lower Limit		0.162	0.000	0.000
Upper Limit		173,984	28.776	28.089
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	108	107		

Table 10. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Administered HCDD by Gavage (a) (continued)

(a) Dosed groups received 2.5, 5, or  $10 \ \mu g/kg/wk$ . (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent). (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the vehiclecontrol group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.

(d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in the control group.

(e) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the vehicle-control group.

(f) The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.

### V. DISCUSSION

In rats, a dose-related decrement in mean body weight gain became evident in mid- and high-dose males after week 68 of the bioassay and in females after week 33. In mice, weight gain in the dosed groups was comparable with that of the vehicle-control groups throughout the bioassay. No other clinical signs were reported for either rats or mice. Administration of HCDD had no adverse effect on the survival of rats or mice of either sex.

In male rats, hepatocellular carcinomas or neoplastic nodules occurred at incidences that were dose related (P=0.003), and in a direct comparison the incidence in the high-dose group was higher (P=0.022) than that in the corresponding vehicle-control group. The level of significance of P=0.022for the direct comparison did not meet the Bonferroni requirement of P=0.017for the multiple comparison of three dosed groups with a control group.

In female rats, hepatocellular carcinomas, adenomas, or neoplastic nodules occurred at incidences that were dose related (P less than 0.001), and in direct comparisons the incidences in the mid- and high-dose groups were significantly higher (P=0.006 and P less than 0.001, respectively) than the incidence in the corresponding vehicle-control group.

In male mice, hepatocellular carcinomas or adenomas occurred at incidences that were dose related (P=0.001), and in a direct comparison the incidence of these tumors in the high-dose group was significantly higher (P=0.001) than that in the corresponding vehicle-control group.

In the female mice, hepatocellular carcinomas or adenomas occurred at incidences that were dose related (P=0.002). The Fisher exact test shows that the incidence of these tumors in the high-dose group is significantly higher (P=0.004) than that in the vehicle-control group.

Hepatotoxic effects in the subchronic study were the determining factors in the selection of dose levels for the chronic study. Although some liver damage was expected at the highest dose in the chronic study, complex nonneoplastic liver lesions were seen in 60% to 80% of all dosed groups of rats. These hepatotoxic lesions are similar to those reported by McConnell

39

et al. (1978) in subchronic studies in mice and guinea pigs. Compoundassociated hyperplastic lesions of the lungs were also found in both male and female rats, and HCDD was also hepatotoxic for B6C3F1 mice.

Although much has been published about the structurally related 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), the literature on HCDD is limited and not all of the references specify which isomer was used. Biological effects of HCDD appear to parallel, qualitatively, the biological effects of TCDD. Toxicity appears to be partly correlated with the degree of chlorination at the 2,3,7, or 8 positions (McConnell and Moore, 1976). The isomers of HCDD used in the present study (1,2,3,6,7,8 and 1,2,3,7,8,9) are both chlorinated at those four lateral ring positions. Crystalline 1,2,3,7,8,9-HCDD has specifically been shown to cause chick edema (Cantrell et al., 1969).

Studies of aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase enzyme induction indicate that TCDD is the most potent inducer; 1,2,3,4,7,8-HCDD was more effective than 1,2,3,7,8,9-HCDD, which in turn was more effective than 1,2,3,6,7,8-HCDD; but 1,2,4,5,7,9-HCDD had no effect (Bradlaw et al., 1975). 1,2,3,7,8,9-HCDD was 20% as effective as TCDD in inducing aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase (Poland et al., 1976).

The relative individual contributions of the two HCDD isomers to the carcinogenic effects observed in the present study are not known. The possible contribution of the 1.38% pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin impurities is also unknown.

### VI. CONCLUSIONS

Under the conditions of this bioassay, HCDD administered by gavage was carcinogenic, increasing the incidences of hepatocellular carcinomas or neoplastic nodules in female Osborne-Mendel rats and inducing hepatocellular carcinomas or adenomas in male and female B6C3F1 mice. HCDD was not demonstrated to be carcinogenic for male rats.

### VII. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1971, pp. 362-365.

Berenblum, I., ed., <u>Carcinogenicity Testing</u>: <u>A</u> <u>Report of the Panel of</u> <u>Carcinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission of UICC</u>, <u>Vol. 2</u>, International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.

Blaser, W. W., Bredeweg, R. A., Shadoff, L. A., and Stehl, R. H., Determination of chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins in pentachlorophenol by gas chromatography - mass spectrometry. <u>Anal. Chem. 48(7)</u>:984-986, 1976.

Bradlaw, J. A., Garthoff, L. H., Graff, D. M., and Hurley, N. E., Detection of chlorinated dioxins: induction of aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase activity in rat hepatoma cell culture. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 33:166, 1975.

Cantrell, J. S., Webb, N. C., and Mabis, A. J., The identification and crystal structure of a hydropericardium-producing factor: 1,2,3,7,8,9-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. Acta Cryst. B25: 150-151, 1969.

Courtney, K. D., Gaylor, D. W., Hogan, M. D., Falk, H. L., Bates, R. R., and Mitchell, I., Teratogenic evaluation of 2,4,5-T. <u>Science</u> <u>168</u>:864-866, 1970.

Cox, D. R., <u>Analysis of Binary Data</u>, Methuen & Co., Ltd., London, 1970, pp. 48-52.

Cox, D. R., Regression models and life tables. <u>J. R. Statist. Soc.</u> B34:187-220, 1972.

Firestone, D., Etiology of chick edema disease. <u>Environ</u>. <u>Health</u> <u>Perspect</u>. <u>5</u>:59-66, 1973.

Firestone, D., The 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-para-dioxin problem: a review. <u>Ecol. Bull. 27</u>:39-52, 1978.

Firestone, D., Ress, J., Brown, N. L., Barron, R. P., and Damico, J. N., Determination of polychlorodibenzo-p-dioxins and related compounds in commercial chlorophenols. <u>J. Assoc. Official Analyt. Chem. 55</u>:85-92, 1972.

Gart, J. J., The comparison of proportions: a review of significance tests, confidence limits and adjustments for stratification. <u>Rev. Int.Stat. Inst.</u> <u>39</u>:148-169, 1971.

Gray, A. P., Cepa, S. P., and Cantrell, J. S, Intervention of the Smiles rearrangement in syntheses of dibenzo-p-dioxins. 1,2,3,6,7,8- and 1,2,3,7,8, 9-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin(HCDD). Tetrahedron Letters, 33:2873-2876, 1975. Kaplan, E. L. and Meier, P., Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 53:457-481, 1958.

Kende, A. S., and DeCamp, M. R., Smiles rearrangements in the synthesis of hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins. Tetrahedron Letters 33:2877-2880, 1975.

Linhart, M. S., Cooper, J. A., Martin, R. L., Page, N. P., and Peters, J. A., Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. <u>Comp. and Biomed. Res.</u> 7:230-248, 1974.

McConnell, E. E. and Moore, J. A., The comparative toxicity of chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin isomers in mice and guinea pigs. <u>Toxicol</u>. <u>Appl</u>. <u>Pharmacol</u>. 37:146, 1976.

McConnell, E., Moore, J., Haseman, J., and Harris, M., The comparative toxicity of chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins in mice and guinea pigs. <u>Toxicol</u>. <u>Appl. Pharmacol</u>. <u>44</u>:335-356, 1978.

Miller, R. G., Jr., <u>Simultaneous</u> <u>Statistical</u> <u>Inference</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966, pp. 6-10.

NCI, National Cancer Institute, <u>Bioassay of a Mixture of 1,2,3,6,7,8-</u> and 1,2,3,7,8,9-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-Dioxins for <u>Possible Carcinogenicity (Dermal Study)</u>, <u>TR 202</u>, U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., 1980.

Poland, A., Glover, E., and Kende, A., Stereospecific, high affinity binding of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin by hepatic cytosol. J. <u>Biol</u>. <u>Chem</u>. 251:4936-4946, 1976.

Saffiotti, U., Montesano, R., Sellakumar, A. R., Cefis, F., and Kaufman, D. G., Respiratory tract carcinogenesis in hamsters induced by different numbers of administrations of benzo(a)pyrene and ferric oxide. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 32:1073-1081, 1972.

Schwetz, B. A., Norris, J. M., Sparschu, G. L., Rowe, V. K., Gehring, P. J., Emerson, J. L., and Gerbig, C. G., Toxicology of chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins. Environ. Health Perspect. <u>5</u>:87-99, 1973.

Sparschu, G. L., Dunn, F. L., and Rowe, V. K., Study of the teratogenicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in the rat. <u>Food Cosmet. Toxicol.</u> 9:405-412, 1971.

Tarone, R. E., Tests for trend in life table analysis. <u>Biometrika</u> 62:679-682, 1975.

Ward, J. M., Goodman, D. G., Griesemer, R. A., Hardisty, J. F., Schueler, R. L., Squire, R. A., and Strandberg, J. D., Quality assurance for pathology in rodent carcinogenesis tests. J. Environ. Path. Toxicol. 2:371-378, 1978.

Woolson, E. A., Thomas, R. F., and Ensor, P. D. J., Survey of polychlorodibenzo-p-dioxin content in selected pesticides. J. Agr. Food Chem. 20(2):351-354, 1972.

### APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE

.

## TABLE A1.

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL GROUPS)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25 25	25 25 25
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					
*SKIN Keratoacanthoma Fibroma	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 2 (8%)	(25)	(25)	(25)
*SUBCUT TISSUE SARCOMA, NOS FIBRCIA FIBROSARCOMA FIBROUS HISTIOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT LIPOMA NEUROBLASTOMA	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 2 (8%)	(25) 2 (8%) 4 (16%) 1 (4%)	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 5 (20%) 1 (4%)	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
#LUNG Squamous CELL CARCINOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA MIXED TUMOR, METASIATIC	(25)	(25)	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
NEUROBLASTOMA, METASTATIC					1 (4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Malignant Lymphoma, nos Malig Lymphoma, histiocytic type	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
#SPLEEN FIBROMA FIBROSARCOMA FIBROSARCOMA, INVASIVE	(25)	(23)	(25)	(23)	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2			
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE					1 (4%)
#LYMPH NODE Malignant Lymphoma, Nos	(20)	(17) 1 (6%)	(18)		(22)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM					
*SUBCUT TISSUE Hemangioma	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
#SPLEEN Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma	(25)	(23) 2 (9%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)		(24) 2 (8%)
*LYMPH NODE Hemangiosarcoma	(20)	(17)		1 (57)	(22)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
#LIVER NEOPLASTIC NODULE	(25)	(25) 2 (8%)	(25)	(25)	(25)
		(24)	(23) 1 (4%)	(25)	
RINARY SYSTEM					
#KIDNEY MIXED TUMOR, BENIGN MIXED TUMOR, MALIGNANT	(25) 1 (4%) 3 (12%)	(25)			
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM					
<pre>#PITUITARY CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA NEUROFIBROSARCOMA</pre>	(24) 1 (4%)	(21) 2 (10%)	(21)	(20) 1 (5%)	(22) 1 (5%)
#ADRENAL Cortical Adenoma Pheochromocytoma	(25) 2 (8%)	(25) 3 (12%) 1 (4%)	(24) 3 (13%)	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(24) 1 (4%) 3 (13%)
#THYRGID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA	(25) 4 (16%)	(25) 2 (8%)	(24)	(23)	(24)

## A1. MALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

	UNTREATED Control No. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	
FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA C-Cell Adenoma C-Cell Carcinoma		1 (4%) 3 (12%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	2 (9%)	
<pre>#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA</pre>		(24) 2 (8%)	(23)	(24) 1 (4%)	(23) 1 (4%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM					
*MAMMARY GLAND Adenocarcinoma, nos Fibroadenoma	(25) 2 (8%)	(25) 1 (4%) 3 (12%)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 4 (16%)
*PREPUTIAL GLAND Adenoca/squamous metaplasia	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
#PROSTATE Hibernoma	(25)	(24) 1 (4%)	(22)	(24)	(25)
#TESTIS INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24)	(24)	(25)
ERVOUS SYSTEM					
#BRAIN Neoplasm, nos, malignant Meningioma	(25)	(25)			(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS					
NONE					
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
NONE					
ODY CAVITIES					
	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)

## A1. MALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	UNTREATED Control no. 1	UNTREATED Control NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 3
*MESENTERY FIBRDSARCOMA	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
LL OTHER SYSTEMS					
FIBROSARCOMA		(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY					
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIHAL MISSING	25 7 5 6 1 6	25 9 3 12 1	25 6 8 9 2	25 6 9 4 3 3	25 4 9 9 1 2
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS					
UMOR SUMMARY					
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	16 22	20 35	12 16	14 20	16 25
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	10 15	16 24	89	7 9	12 19
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	6 <sub>7</sub>	9 9	6 7	9 11	6
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	# 1 t		1 2		1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Benign or malionant Total Uncertain Tumors	-	22			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	_				
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT S SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS	ECONDARY TUMOR	S	ACENT ODCAN		

## A1. MALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

### TABLE A2.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS)

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSI
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY Animals necropsied Animals examined histopathologically	25 25 25	50 50 50	50 50 50	50 49 49
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
*SKIN Papilloma, Nos	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(49)
*SUBCUT TISSUE Carcinoma,nos Basal-Cell Tumor Keratoacanthoma Fibroma	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 3 (6%)	(49)
FIBROSARCOMA LIPOMA	3 (12%)	3 (6%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	4 (8%) 1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
<pre>#LUNG SQUAMDUS CELL CARCINOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIDLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIDLAR CARCINOMA FIBROSARCOMA, METASTATIC</pre>	(25)	(49) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
<pre>*MULTIPLE ORGANS Malig.lymphoma, lymphocytic type ErythRocytic leukemia</pre>	(25)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
*SUBCUT TISSUE Hemangiosarcoma	(25) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)
#SPLEEN HEMANGIOMA	(25)	(50)	(49)	(47) <u>1 (2%)</u>

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HEMANGIOSARCOMA		2 (4%)		1 (2%)
#ENDOCARDIUM FIBROSARCOMA, METASTATIC			(50)	(49) 1 (2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
#SALIVARY GLAND FIBROADENOMA	(25)	(47)	(47)	(47) 1 (2%)
#LIVER NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA	(24)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)
LIPOMA		1 (2%)		
#PANCREAS Adenoma, nos	(23)	(48)	(47) 1 (2%)	(48)
#GASTRIC SEROSA FIBROSARCOMA	(25)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)
URINARY SYSTEM				
#KIDNEY MIXED TUMOR, BENIGN MIXED TUMOR, MALIGNANT	(25)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#KIDNEY/PELVIS TRANSITIONAL-CELL CARCINOMA	(25)		(47)	(49) 1 (2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
#PITUITARY	(19)	(42)	(43)	(41)
ADENOMA, NOS Chromophobe adenoma	I	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 3 (7%)
#ADRENAL Cortical Adenoma Pheochromocytoma	(24) 4 (17%) 1 (4%)	(47) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(47) 4 (9%)	(47) 4 (9%)
#ADRENAL/CAPSULE TRANSITIONAL-CELL CARCINOMA, INV	(24)	(47)	(47)	(47)

## A2. MALE RATS (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

I I

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSI
LIPOMA				1 (2%)
#THYROID Adenoma, nos Follicular-cell adenoma	(22)	(49) 5 (10%)	(47) 1 (2%) 4 (9%) 3 (6%)	(49) 3 (6%)
C-CELL ADENOMA		2 (4%)	3 (6%)	3 (6%)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(23)	(48) 2 (4%)	(47) 2 (4%)	(48)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
*MAMMARY GLAND Adenoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Fibroadenocarcinoma	(25) 1 (4%)	(50) 3 (6%)	1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#TESTIS INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR, MALIGNA</pre>	(25)	1 (2%)	(50)	1 (2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
#BRAIN EPENDYMOMA		(49)	(47) 1 (2%)	(48)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
NONE				
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
NONE				
BODY CAVITIES				
*TUNICA VAGINALIS MESOTHELIOMA, NOS	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(49)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS INTERSTITIAL-CELL_TUMOR, METASTA		(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

# A2. MALE RATS (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
FIBROMA	·			1 (2%)
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY				
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE ACCIDENTALLY KILLED	25  8 5 7	50 18 14 17	50 23 8 15	50 20 11 17
TERMINAL SACRIFICE Animal Missing	4	1	4	2
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS				
UMOR SUMMARY				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* Total primary tumors	10 12	22 34	22 3 1	25 40
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	8 8	20 26	16 24	18 25
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS Total malignant tumors	4 4	777	6	9 12
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS Total Secondary Tumors	*			3 4
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Benign or malignant Total Uncertain Tumors	-	1 1	1	3 3
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Primary or metastatic Total uncertain tumors	-			
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT S Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors			DJACENT ORGAN	

## A2. MALE RATS (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

•

## TABLE A3.

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL GROUPS)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1		UNTREATED Control No. 3	VEHICLE Control No. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY Animals necropsied Animals examined histopathologically	25 25 25	25 25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					
*SKIN Lipoma	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
¥SUBCUT TISSUE FIBROMA LIPOMA HIBERNOMA FIBROADENOMA	1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)	(25) 3 (12%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
<pre>#LUNG ALVEOLAR∕BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR∕BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA</pre>	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(24)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
#SPLEEN FIBROMA	(24)	(24)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
<pre>#MANDIBULAR L. NODE     squamous cell carcinoma, metasta</pre>	(23)	(21) 1 (5%)	(21)	(19)	(21)
*RENAL LYMPH NODE Sarcoma, Nos	(23)	(21) 1 (5%)	(21)	(19)	(21)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Hemangiosarcoma	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	CONTROL NO. 2	CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
#SPLEEN HEMANGIOMA	(24)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	I				
<pre>#LIVER ISLET-CELL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC NEOPLASTIC NODULE</pre>	(24)	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25) 2 (8%)	(25) 1 (4%)
#COLON Adenoma, nos	(25) 1 (4%)		(24)		
URINARY SYSTEM					
#KIDNEY Mixed Tumor, Benign Mixed Tumor, Malignant	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24)		(25)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM					
<pre>#PITUITARY ADENOMA, NOS Chromophobe Adenoma</pre>	(24) 5 (21%)	(23) 2 (9%)		(22) 1 (5%)	(22) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)
#ADRENAL Cortical Adenoma Pheochromocytoma Ganglioneuroma	(25) 4 (16%) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25) 6 (24%) 1 (4%)	(24) 5 (21%)	(25) 3 (12%)
<pre>#THYROID ADENOMA, NOS</pre>	(25)	(24)	(24)	(25)	(24)
FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA C-CELL ADENOMA	3 (12%)			1 (4%) 4 (16%)	2 (8%) 1 (4%)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA ISLET-CELL CARCINOMA	(24)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(23)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM					
*MAMMARY GLAND Adenocarcinoma, Nos	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)

## TABLE A3. FEMALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
HIBERNOMA FIBROADENOMA	1 (4%) 8 (32%)	9 (36%)	5 (20%)	12 (48%)	9 (36%)
#UTERUS LEIOMYOMA LEIOMYQSARCOMA	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(23) 2 (9%)	(24) 1 (4%)	(21)
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM ADENOCA/SQUAMOUS METAPLASIA	(25)	(25)	(23) 1 (4%)	(24)	(21)
NERVOUS SYSTEM					
NONE					
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS					
*EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND Squamous cell carcinoma	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
NONE					
BODY CAVITIES					
NONE					
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS					
*MULTIPLE DRGANS Adenoca/squamous metaplasia, met	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY					
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	25 3 7 11 4	25 4 3 16 2	25 3 8 12 2	25 4 8 8 5	25 4 7 12 2
a INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS					

## TABLE A3. FEMALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED Control no. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE Control no. 1	VEHICLE Control no.	
UMOR SUMMARY						
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* Total primary tumors	19 29	14 21	17 26	21 33	16 24	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS Total Benign Tumors	18 27	1 1 17	15 22	19 26	15 20	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS Total Malignant Tumors	2 2	3 3	4 4	5 5	3 3	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS Total Secondary Tumors	5#	22	1 1			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Benign or Malignant Total Uncertain Tumors	i –	1		22	1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	-					

## TABLE A3. FEMALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)
### TABLE A4.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSI
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY Animals necropsied Animals examined histopathologically	25 25 25	50 50 50	50 50 50	50 50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
*SUBCUT TISSUE Sarcoma, Nos	(25)	(50)	(50)	(50)
FIBRONA FIBROSARCOMA		2 (4%) 2 (4%)	3 (6%)	1 (2%)
LIPOSARCOMA LEIOMYOMA	1 (4%)		1 (2%)	
RHABDONYOSARCOMA FIBROADENOMA		1 (2%)		1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
#LUNG ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC Alveolar/bronchiolar ademoma	(25)	(50)	(49)	(50) 1 (2x) 1 (2x)
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA Sarcoma, NOS, Metastatic	1 (4%) 1 (4%)			1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
#LIVER MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(25)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
*MESENTERY Malig.lymphoma, histiocytic type	(25)	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
*SUBCUT TISSUE Hemangiosarcoma	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
#SPLEEN HFMANGIOMA	(25)	(50)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50)

	VEHICLE Control no. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#HEART FIBROSARCOMA RHABDOMYOSARCOMA	(25)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
#LIVER ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC BILE DUCT ADENOMA NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA SARCOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	1 (4%)	10 (20%)	12 (24%)	1 (27)
URINARY SYSTEM				
#KIDNEY Adenocarcinoma, Nos, Invasive Mixed Tumor, Benign	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
#KIDNEY/PELVIS TRANSITIONAL-CELL CARCINOMA	(25)	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
#URINARY BLADDER TRANSITIONAL-CELL CARCINOMA	(22)	(47) 1 (2%)	(49)	(45)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
#PITUITARY Adenoma, nos Chromophobe adenoma			(47) 3 (6%)	1 (2%)
#ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA	(24) 3 (13%) 2 (8%)	(48) 3 (6%)	(50) 9 (18%)	(50) 8 (16%)
#THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA C-CELL ADENOMA	(24) 1 (4%) 3 (13%)	3 (6%)	(48) 4 (8%)	1 (2%)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(24)	(49)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50)

#### TABLE A4, FEMALE RATS (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	VEHICLE Control no. 3		MID DOSE	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
*MAMMARY GLAND Adenoma, nos	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS Fibroadenoma	6 (24%)	13 (26%)	1 (2%) 16 (32%)	1 (2%) 12 (24%
#UTERUS ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	(24) 1 (4%)	(47)	(49)	(47)
LEIOMYOMA	1 (4%)		2 (4%)	1 (2%)
#OVARY Thecoma	(23)	(47)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)
GRANULOSA-CELL TUMOR Sertoli-cell tumor		1 (2%) 1 (2%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
NONE				
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
NONE				
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
NONE .				
BODY CAVITIES				
NONE				
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS SARCOMA, NOS	(25)	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) <u>1</u> (2%)

# TABLE A4. FEMALE RATS (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS) NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY				
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHA MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	25 4 11 1 1	50 8 5 30 1 6	50 8 6 26 10	50 9 4 30 7
D INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS				
TUMOR SUMMARY				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	17 25	36 50	33 59	4 1 78
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	13	29 34	29 43	27 37
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS Total malignant tumors	4 4	5 5	4	10 11
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	# 2 2		1	3 3
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Benign or Malignant Total Uncertain Tumors	- 2 2	10 11	12 12	30 30
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Primary or metastatic Total Uncertain Tumors	-			
* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT S # SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS			DJACENT ORGAN	

# TABLE A4. FEMALE RATS (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS) NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

APPENDIX B

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE

.

• 4

### TABLE B1.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE Administered MCDD by Gavage (control eroups)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE Control NO. 1	VENICLE Control no. 2
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY Animals necropsied Animals examined histopathologically	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					
*SKIN Fibroma	(25) 2 (8%)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
*SUBCUT TISSUE SARCOMA, NOS FIBROMA FIBROSARCOMA	(25) 1 (4%) 4 (16%)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%) 2 (8%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 3 (12%)	(25)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
#LUNG HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIDLAR CARCINOMA NEPHROBLASIOMA, METASIATIC	(25) 4 (16%)	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25) 2 (8%) 2 (8%)	(23) 1 (4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE MALIG LYMPHOMA, HIYMPHOCYTIC TYPE MALIG LYMPHOMA, HISTOCYTIC TYPE MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE		(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 3 (12%) 2 (8%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 3 (12%)
#SPLEEN MALIG LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(25)	(23)	(25) 2 (8%)	(24) 1 (4%)	(21)
#LYMPH NODE FIBROSARCOMA	(19) 1 (5%)	(15)	(21)	(16)	(16)
#BRACHIAL LYMPH NODE FIBROSARCOMA, METASTATIC	(19)	(15)	(21)	(16)	(16)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1			VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
*MESENTERY Malig.lymphoma, Histiocytic type	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM					
*SPINAL CORD Hemangioma	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
#SPLEEN Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma	(25) 2 (8%)	(23) 2 (9%) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24)	(21)
#LIVER Hemangiosarcoma	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)
#TESTIS Hemangioma	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(24)	(24)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
#SALIVARY GLAND FIBROSARCOMA	(25)	(23)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
<pre>%LIVER HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA </pre>	(25) 5 (20%) 3 (12%)	(25) 4 (16%) 4 (16%)	(25) 6 (24%) 5 (20%)	(25) 3 (12%) 3 (12%)	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)
URINARY SYSTEM					
#KIDNEY Adenoma, nos Nephroblastoma	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM					
#ADRENAL Pheochromocytoma	(24)	(22)	(25)	(24) 1 (4%)	(21)
#THYROID Adenoma, Nos	(25)	(24)	(20)	(24)	(23)

# TABLE B1. MALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1		UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO.
<pre>#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA</pre>	(24)	(22)	(23)	(25)	(21) 1 (5X)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM					
*PREPUTIAL GLAND Adenuma, nos	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 2 (8%)
<pre>#TESTIS INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR</pre>	(25)	(25)	(25)	(24)	(24) 1 (4X)
RERVOUS SYSTEM					
NONE					
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS					,
<pre>*HARDERIAN GLAND ADENOMA, NOS</pre>			(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
NONE					
BODY CAVITIES					
NONE					
LL OTHER SYSTEMS					
<pre>MULTIPLE ORGANS Sarcoma, Nos, Metastatic Osteosarcoma</pre>	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)

N

# TABLE B1. MALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

9

I	TABLE B1.	MALE MICE	(CONTROL	GROUPS):	NEOPLASMS	(CONTINUED)
			100111105	uno 0/ 0/.		

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY					
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY Natural Deatha	25	25 8	25 8	25 11	25 10
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	6	0	2	1	5
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	8	10	10	5	8
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	7	7	5	8	2
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS					
UMOR SUMMARY					
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* Total primary tumors	20 28	17 20	21 26	16 19	11 13
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS Total benign tumors	14 17	7 7	11 11	6 8	6 7
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS Total Malignant Tumors	10 11	10 13	14 15	11 11	6 6
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS Total Secondary Tumors	# 1 1	3 3	2 2		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Benign or malignant Total Uncertain Tumors	-				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Primary or metastatic Total uncertain tumors	-				

### TABLE B2.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS MISSING	25 1	50	50	50
ANIMALS MISSING ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	23	50 50	50 50	48 48
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
*SKIN Fibrosarcoma	(23)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48)
*SUBCUT TISSUE Alveolar/bronchiolar ca, metasta	(23)	(50)	(50)	(48) 1 (2%)
SARCOMA, NOS Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	4 (17%)	4 (8%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%) 5 (10%)	2 (4%) 6 (13%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
#LUNG	(23)	(50)	(50)	(48)
<pre>#LUNG HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA</pre>	4 (17%) 1 (4%)	9 (18%) 2 (4%)	(50) 3 (6%) 9 (18%) 1 (2%)	5 (10%) 2 (4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE	(23)	(50)		(48) 1 (2%)
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE Malig.lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type		1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	5 (10%) 1 (2%)
#SPLEEN HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST	(21)	(48)	(49)	(47)
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
#MESENTERIC L. NODE Malig.lymphoma, lymphocytic type	(16)	(34)	(28)	(30)

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOS
#SMALL INTESTINE Malig.lymphoma, histiocytic type	(21) 1 (5%)	(44)	(46)	(39)
<pre>*MESENTERY Malig.lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig.lymphoma, histiocytic type</pre>	(23)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(48) 1 (2%)
<pre>#THYMUS Hepatocellular carcinoma, metast Alveolar/Bronchiolar ca, metasta</pre>	(11)	(35)	(28) 1 (4%)	(31)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma	(23)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)
#SPLEEN Hemangioma	(21) 1 (5%)	(48)	(49) 1 (2%)	(47) 2 (4X)
#HEART/VENTRICLE Hemangioma	(23)	(49)	(49)	(48) 1 (2%)
#LIVER Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma	(23)	1 (2%)	(49)	(48) 1 (2X)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
#LIVER Hepatocellular Adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Infiltrating duct carcinoma	(23) 2 (9%) 4 (17%)	(50) 5 (10%) 9 (18%)	(49) 9 (18%) 5 (10%) 1 (2%)	(48) 15 (31%) 9 (19%)
#PANCREAS Infiltrating Duct Carcinoma	(23)	(48)	(46) 1 (2%)	(40)
URINARY SYSTEM				
#KIDNEY HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST	(23)	(50)	(49)	(48)

### TABLE B2. MALE MICE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3		MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CA, METASTA			1 (2%)	
#URINARY BLADDER Papilloma, NOS	(22)	(47) 1 (2%)	(43)	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
#PITUITARY Chromophobe Adenoma	(17)	(38)	(28)	(30) 1 (3%)
#ADRENAL ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CA, METASTA	(23)	(48)	(47) 1 (2%)	(43)
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA FIBROSARCOMA	1 (4%)		1 (2%)	
#THYROID ADENOMA, NOS	(22) 1 (5%)	(46) 2 (4%)	(47) 1 (2%)	(42)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
ADENOCADOTHOMA NOC		(44)	1 / 24/2	(46)
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
NONE				
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
NONE				
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
*STERNUM OSTEOSARCOMA		(50)		1 (2%)
BODY CAVITIES				
NONE				

#### TABLE B2. MALE MICE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS ): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

VENICLE Introl No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOS
(23) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(48) 1 (2%)
		1	
25 7 2 5	50 14 7 25	50 18 6 17	50 19 7 16 1
10 1	4	9	7
13 - 23	33 44	29 45	38 55
6 9	19 21	16 21	22 28
12 14	18 23	20 24	21 27
	1 1	4 8	4 5
	25 7 2 5 10 1 13 23 6 9 12	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{DNTMOL NO. 3} & \textbf{LOW DOSE} \\ (23) & (50) \\ 1 & (4%) \\ \hline \\ 25 & 50 \\ 7 & 14 \\ 2 & 7 \\ 5 & 25 \\ 10 & 4 \\ 1 \\ \hline \\ 1 & 4 \\ \hline \\ 1 & 4 \\ \hline \\ 1 & 4 \\ \hline \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 \\ \hline \\ 1 & 23 \\ 1 & 14 \\ \hline \\ 1 & 23 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

# TABLE B2. MALE MICE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

74

•

### TABLE B3.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL GROUPS)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED Control No. 3		VEHICLE Control No. 2
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY Animals necropsied Animals examined histopathologically	25 25 25	25 24 24 24	25 25 25	25 24 24	25 25 25
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					
*SUBCUT TISSUE BASAL-CELL CARCINOMA FIBROMA FIBROSARCOMA	(25) 1 (4%) 2 (8%)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24)	(25)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
<pre>#LUNG ADENDCARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIDLAR ADENOMA THYMOMA, METASTATIC FIBROSARCOMA, METASTATIC OSTEOSARCOMA, METASTATIC</pre>	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)	(23) 2 (9%)	(24) 2 (8%) 2 (8%)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE	(25) 1 (4%) 7 (28%)	(24) 2 (8%) 2 (8%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 6 (24%)
#LYMPH NODE Malig.lymphoma, histiocytic type	(21)	(17)	(21)	(17) 1 (6%)	(21)
#CERVICAL LYMPH NODE Adenocarcinoma, nos	(21)	(17)	(21) 1 (5%)	(17)	(21)
#RETROPHARYNGEAL LYMP FIBROSARCOMA, METASTATIC	(21)	(17)	(21)	(17)	(21)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3		VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3
#MESENTERIC L. NODE Malig.lymphoma, lymphocytic type	(21) 1 (5%)	(17)	(21)	(17)	(21)
#JEJUNUM Malignant Lymphoma, mixed type	(22)	(19)	(23)	(24) 1 (4%)	(23)
#THYMUS THYMOMA, MALIGNANT	(19)	(18)	(13)	(20)	(20) 1 (5%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM					
*SUBCUT TISSUE Hemangioma	(25)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25)
#SPLEEN Hemangioma	(24) 1 (4%)	(24)	(24)	(24)	(25)
#UTERUS Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma	(23)	(23) 1 (4%)	(24)	(24) 1 (4%)	(23)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
#LIVER HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA THYMOMA, METASTATIC	(25)	(24) 2 (8%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
JRINARY SYSTEM					
#KIDNEY THYMOMA, METASTATIC			(25)		(25) 1 (4%)
Endocrine system					
<pre>#PITUITARY         CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA</pre>	(21)	(22) 1 (5%)	(16) 1 (6%)	(18)	(22)
#ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA	(24)	(23)	(24) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)

# TABLE B3. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

x

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE Control No. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
#THYROID Adenoma, Nos	(22)	(21)	(24) 1 (4%)	(23)	(21)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM					
*MAMMARY GLAND Adenoma, Nos Fibroadenoma	(25)	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)
#UTERUS Lipoma Leiomyoma	(23) 1 (4%)	(23)	(24)	(24) 1 (4%)	(23) 1 (4%)
#CERVIX UTERI Leiomyoma	(23)	(23)	(24) 1 (4%)	(24)	(23)
#OVARY Cystadenoma, Nos Lipoma	(23)	(19)	(22) 1 (5%)	(22) 1 (5%)	(19) 1 (5%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM None					
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS None					
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
*VERTEBRA OSTEOSARCOMA	1 (4%)	(24)			(25)
BODY CAVITIES					
NONE					
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	(25)	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25)

# TABLE B3. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3		VEHICLE Control No. 2
FIBROSARCOMA				1 (4%)	
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY					
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ Moribund Sacrifice Scheduled Sacrifice Accidentally Killed Terminal Sacrifice	25 6 1 6 12	25 5 2 13 5	25 6 3 13 3	25 4 3 4 14	25 4 2 14 5
ANIMAL MISSING @ Includes autolyzed animals					
TUMOR SUMMARY					
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS× Total primary tumors	15 17	18 12	1 1 16	9 11	13 16
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS Total Benign Tumors	4 4	6 <sub>7</sub>	6 8	5 5	4 6
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS Total Malignant Tumors	13 13	5 5	6 8	6	10 10
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS Total Secondary Tumors	# 1 1		2 2	1 2	24
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Benign or malignant Total uncertain tumors	-				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Primary or metastatic Total Uncertain Tumors	-				
* PRIMARY TUMORS ALL TUMORS EXCEPT S # Secondary Tumors metastatic tumors	ECONDARY TUMOR OR TUMORS INV	S Asive into an ad	JACENT ORGAN		

# TABLE B3. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

### TABLE B4.

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE ADMINISTERED HCDO BY GAVAGE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSI
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	25 25 25 25	50 49 49	50 49 48	50 49 49
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
*SUBCUT TISSUE ADENOMA, NOS SARCOMA, NOS FIBROMA FIBROSARCOMA FIBROUS HISTIOCYTOMA OSTEOSARCOMA	(25)		(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
#LUNG NEOPLASM, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS, Metastatic Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma		(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)		(48) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE GRANULOCYTIC SARCOMA	(25) 1 (4%) 3 (12%) 2 (8%)	(49) 4 (8%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(49) 3 (6%) 2 (4%)	(49) 3 (6%) 7 (14%) 1 (2%)
#SPLEEN MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(23)	(48)	(47)	(46) 1 (2%)
#LYMPH NODE Malig.lymphoma, lymphocytic type	(22)	(42) 1 (2%)	(36)	(30) 1 (3%)
<pre>#PYLORIC LYMPH NODE MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE</pre>	(22)	(42)	(36)	(30)

		LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOS
URINARY SYSTEM				
<pre>#KIDNEY/CAPSULE    SARCOMA, NOS, INVASIVE</pre>	(24)		(48)	1 (2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
#PITUITARY Adenoma, Nos	(22)	(41)	(40)	(41)
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS Chromophobe Adenoma	1 (5%)	2 (5%)	1 (3%)	
#ADRENAL Cortical Adenoma Pheochromocytoma	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(46)	(46)	(47)
#ADRENAL/CAPSULE Sarcoma, Nos, Invasive	(24)	(46)	(46)	(47) 1 (2%)
#THYROID Adenoma, Nos	(25)	(44)	(40) 1 (3%)	(42)
PAPILLARY ADENOMA		1 (2%)		1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
*MAMMARY GLAND Adenoma, nos	(25)	(49)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)
#UTERUS FIBROMA	(25)	(45)	(45)	(45)
LEIOMYOMA LEIOMYOSARCOMA		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
#OVARY Mucinous cystadenoma	(23)	(46)	(40)	(36)
LUTEOMA Granulosa-cell tumor			1 (3%)	1 (3%)

### TABLE B4. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

\_\_\_\_\_

### NONE

		LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOS
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
*HARDERIAN GLAND ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	(25) 1 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)	(49)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
NONE				
BODY CAVITIES				
NONE				~~
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Sarcoma, Nos	(25)	(49)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)
THORAX Sarcoma, Nos			1	
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY				
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHA	25 4	50 13	50 16	50 11
MORIBUND SACRIFICE Scheduled sacrifice	16	6 16	1 22	3 27
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	5	15	11	9
a INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS				

### TABLE B4. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#MESENTERIC L. NODE Malig.lymphoma, histiocytic type	(22)	(42)	(36)	(30) 1 (3%)
#LIVER MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE	(24)	(48)	(47)	(47) 1 (2%)
*MESENTERY MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(25)	(49)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)
<pre>#THYMUS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE</pre>	(21)	(32) 1 (3%)	(32)	(30)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Hemangiosarcoma	(25)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)	(49)
*SUBCUT TISSUE Hemangioma	(25)	(49) 2 (4%)	(49)	(49)
#SPLEEN HEMANGIOMA	(23)	(48)	(47) 3 (6%)	(46)
#OVARY HEMANGIOMA	(23)	(46) 1 (2%)	(40)	(36)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
#SALIVARY GLAND FIBROSARCOMA	(25)	(47) 1 (2%)	(47)	(44)
#LIVER Hepatocellular Adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	(24)	(48) 4 (8%)	(47) 4 (9%) 2 (4%)	(47) 9 (19%) 2 (4%)
#HEPÁTIC CAPSULE Sarcoma, nos, invasive	(24)	(48)	(47)	(47) 1 (2%)
#PANCREAS Adenoma, nos	(23) 1 (4%)	(47)	(46)	(44)
#COLON LEIOMYOMA	(25)	(47)	(44)	(43)

# TABLE 84. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
JMOR SUMMARY				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	14	24	22	28
Total primary tumors	15 .	30	29	38
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	8	14	12	13
Total Benign Tumors	8	16	16	14
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	777	13	12	21
Total malignant tumors		13	12	23
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#	) 1	1		1
Total Secondary Tumors	1	1		3
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- Benign or Malignant Total Uncertain Tumors		1	1 1	1 1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS				

### TABLE B4. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

.

APPENDIX C

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE

### TABLE C1.

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY Animals necropsied Animals examined histopathologically	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					
*SKIN Abscess, NOS Granuloma, NOS Hyperkeratosis	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
*SUBCUT TISSUE Hemorrhagic cyst Necrosis, Nos	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
*NASAL CAVITY Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, acute suppurative Inflammation, chronic focal	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25) 3 (12%)	(25)
*LARYNX Inflammation, Chronic Inflammation, Chronic Focal	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
*TRACHEA Inflammation, Chronic Focal	(25) 2 (8%)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(23) 1 (4%)
<pre>#LUNG/BRONCHIDLE LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR ABSCESS, NOS GRANULOMA, FOREIGN BODY</pre>	(25)	(25)	(25) 7 (28%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
#LUNG ATELECTASIS	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
CONGESTION, NOS EDEMA, NOS	4 (16%) 1 (4%)	8 (32%) 2 (8%)	1 (4%)	7 (28%)	5 (20%)

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL GROUPS)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE	1 (4%)		2 (8%) 1 (4%) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)		
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC GRANULOMA, NOS	16 (64%)	22 (88%)	6 (24%)	20 (80%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	15 (60%)
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL GRANULOMATOU Alveolar Macrophages Hyperplasia, adenomatous	1 (4%)	2 (8%) 1 (4%)		1 (4%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)
LUNG/ALVEOLI COLLAPSE	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
CALCIFICATION, NOS Calcification, focal	2 (8%)				1 (4%)
MATOPOIETIC SYSTEM					
MAMITARY GLAND Adenosis	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
BONE MARROW Metamorphosis Fatty Fibrous Osteodystrophy Hypoplasia, Nos	(24)	(24) 1 (4%)	(23) 3 (13%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(24)	(23)
ATROPHY, NOS Myelofibrosis	4 (17%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)		1 (4%) 2 (9%)
SPLEEN Congestion, nos Henorrhage	(25) 2 (8%)	(23) 5 (22%)	(25)	(23) 4 (17%)	(24) 3 (13%) 1 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Hemosiderosis Atrophy, Nos	4 (16%)	1 (4%) 3 (13%)	1 (4%) 3 (12%)	3 (13%)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID Hematopoiesis Erythropoiesis	5 (20%)	5 (22%)	1 (4%) 6 (24%) 5 (20%)	10 (43%)	8 (33%)
SPLENIC RED PULP Atrophy, Nos	(25)	(23)	(25) 1 (4%)	(23)	(24)
LYMPH NODE _CONGESTION, NOS	(20)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(22)

.

# TABLE C1. MALE RATS (CONTROL GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
EDEMA, NOS Inflammation, Chronic Hyperplasia, Lymphoid		1 (6%)		1 (5%) 1 (5%) 2. (11%)	1 (5%)
#SUBMANDIBULAR L.NODE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(20)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(22) 1 (5%)
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE Congestion, Nos	(20)	(17) 1 (6%)	(18)	(19)	(22)
*CERVICAL LYMPH NODE Hyperplasia, Nos	(20)	(17)	(18) 8 (44%)	(19) ~	(22)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE Hemorrhage Inflammation, Chronic	(20)	(17)	(18) 1 (6%) 1 (6%)	(19)	(22)
#RENAL LYMPH NODE HEMORRHAGE Pigmentation, Nos Lymphoid depletion	(20) 1 (5%) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(22)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS Hyperplasia, hematopoietic	1 (5%)			1 (5%)	
#PANCREAS Hematopoiesis	(23)	(24)	(23)	(24)	(23) 1 (4%)
#COLON Hyperplasia, Lymphoid	(24)	(24)	(23) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24)
#ADRENAL CORTEX HEMATOPOIESIS	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24)	(24)	(24)
#THYMUS BRANCHIAL CYST Inflammation, Chronic	(9)	(8)	(15)	(17)	(15) 1 (7%) 1 (7%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM					
#HEART Calcification, Nos	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(24)	(25)
#HEART/ATRIUM Thrombus, organized	(25)	(25)	(24)	(24)	(25)

	CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED Control NO. 2	CONTROL NO. 3	CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
THROMBUS, MURAL	1 (4%)				
#MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATION, FOCAL		(25)	(24) 1 (4%)	(24)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHPONIC FOCAL CALCIFICATION, NOS CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	2 (8%) 13 (52%) 1 (4%)	6 (24%) 8 (32%)	3 (13%)	1 (4%) 9 (38%) 1 (4%)	3 (12%) 10 (40%)
*BLOOD VESSEL Medial calcification	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 2 (8%)
*ARTERY Medial calcification	(25) 4 (16%)	(25) 2 (8%)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
*AORTA Medial calcification	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
*PULMONARY ARTERY MEDIAL CALCIFICATION	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
#PANCREAS PERIARTERITIS	(23) 1 (4%)	(24)	(23) 1 (4%)	(24)	(23)
#TESTIS PERIARTERITIS	(25) 7 (28%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(24) 1 (4%)	(24) 2 (8%)	(25) 2 (8%)
IGESTIVE SYSTEM					
#SALIVARY GLAND Inflammation, Chronic Focal Atrophy, Focal	(22)	(24)	(22) 1 (5%)	(24)	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
#LIVER	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
TRAUMATIC ABNORMALITY Congestion, nos Hemorrhage		2 (8%)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	2 (8%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS Lynphocytic inflammatory infilt Cirrhosis, billary	R		1 (4%)		1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
DEGENERATION, NOS Cloudy Swelling Degeneration, Hydropic	1 (4%)	3 (12%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)
NECROSIS, NOS		2 (8%)			2 (8%)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

-

	CONTROL NO. 1			VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
NECROSIS, FOCAL NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY LIPOIDOSIS	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)		1 (4%)
	2 (8%)	2 (8%)	2 (8%)	4 (16%)	5 (20%)
	2 (8%)	4 (16%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)
CYTOLOGIC DECENERATION Hypertrophy, focal Angiectasis	1 (4%)	2 (8%)		2 (8%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)
#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR CONGESTION, NOS	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
DEGENERATION, HYDROPIC NECROSIS, NOS	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	2 (8%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)		
NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE Metamorphosis fatty Lipoidosis	6 (24%)	3 (12%)	1 (4%) 3 (12%)	5 (20%)	3 (12%)
#LIVER/PERIPORTAL FIBROSIS	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
#LIVER/HEPATOCYTES CLOUDY SWELLING	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY Hypertrophy, focal	3 (12%) 1 (4%)				1 (147
#BILE DUCT INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	13 (52%)	7 (28%)	8 (32%)	11 (44%)	9 (36%)
PANCREAS Congestion, Nos	(23)	(24)	(23)	(24)	(23)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL Atrophy, Nos Atrophy, Focal	2 (9%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	3 (13%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	
#PANCREATIC ACINUS Hyperplasia, focal	(23)	(24) 1 (4%)	(23)	(24)	(23)
#STOMACH MINERALIZATION	(24)	(24)	(25) 2 (8%)	(23)	(24)
ULCER, FOCAL Inflammation, necrotizing Inflammation, chronic Inflammation, chronic focal	1 (4%)		1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)		1 (4%)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED Control No. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE Control No. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
GRANULATION, TISSUE NECROSIS, FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	1 (4%)		1 (4%)		1 (4%)
<pre>#GASTRIC MUCOSA DILATATION, NOS CALCIFICATION, NOS CALCIFICATION, FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, FOTAL HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL</pre>	(24) 4 (17%)	(24) 4 (17%)	4 (16%) 2 (8%)	(23) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(24) 3 (13%) 1 (4%)
#GASTRIC SUBMUCOSA Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr	(24)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)	(23)	(24)
#STOMACH WALL Calcification, Nos	(24) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)	(23)	(24)
#SMALL INTESTINE Congestion, NOS Inflammation, acute Inflammation, chronic PostMortem Change	(23) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(24)	(23) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24)
#INTESTINAL VILLUS Congenital Abnormal Fusion	(23)	(24) 1 (4%)	(23)	(25)	(24)
#DUODENUM Inflammation, acute	(23)	(24)	(23)	(25)	(24)
#COLON LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL NEMATODIASIS		(24) 1 (4%)	(23)	(25) 1 (4%) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)	(24) 1 (4%) 2 (8%)
CALCIEICATION, EDCAL			(23)	1 (67)	(24)
URINARY SYSTEM					
#KIDNEY PYELONEPHRITIS, NOS	(25)	(25)	(25)	(24)	(25)
PYELONEPHRITIS, ACUTE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	24 (96%)	25 (100%)	1 (4%) 23 (92%)	21 (88%)	23 (92%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

L

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2			VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
GLOMERULONEPHRITIS, CHRONIC			1 (4%)		
<pre>#KIDNEY/PELVIS Mineralization Inflammation, Nos Inflammation, Chronic Underlate Editeita</pre>	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25) 2 (8%) 2 (8%)	(25) 3 (12%) 1 (4%)	(24) 2 (8%)	(25) 4 (16X)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS	5 (20%)	10 (40%)	10 (40%) 1 (4%)	8 (33%)	7 (28%)
#URINARY BLADDER	(24)	(24)	(24)	(24)	(24)
EDEMA, NOS Inflammation, Chronic	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	2 (8%)			2 (8X)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL Hyperplasia, epithelial	2 (8%)	4 (17%)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%) 3 (13%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM					
<pre>#PITUITARY MULTIPLE CYSTS</pre>	(24)	(21)	(21)	(20)	(22) 1 (5X)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, CHROMOPHOBE~CELL ANGIECTASIS	1 (4%)	1 (5%)	2 (10X) 1 (5X)	1 (5%)	1 (52)
#ADRENAL CONGESTION, NOS	(25)	(25)	(24)	(24)	(24)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY LIPOIDOSIS ANGIECTASIS		1 (42)	1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%)	
#ADRENAL CORTEX Ectopia	(25)	(25)	(24)	(24)	(24)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL Metamorphosis Fatty Lipoidosis	5 (20%)	7 (28%)	3 (13%) 6 (25%)	4 (17X)	1 (4%) 7 (29%)
HÉMOSIDEROSIS Hyperplasia, nodular Hyperplasia, nos Angiectasis		1 (4%) 1 (4%)	2 (8%)	2 (8X) 1 (4X) 1 (4X)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)
#THYROID	(25)	(25)	(24)	(23)	(24)
ATROPHY, PRESSURE Hyperplasia, C-Cell Hyperplasia, foll <b>icular-cell</b>	2 (8%)		1 (4%) 1 (4%) 2 (8%)	1 (4X)	1 (4X)
#PARATHYROID Hyperplasia, NOS	(21)	(22)	(22)	(13) 2 (15X)	(15)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3		VEHICLE Control No. 2
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM					
*MAMMARY GLAND Galactocele Cyst, Nos	(25)	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		1 (4%)	1 (4%)		1 (4%)
*BULBOURETHRAL GLAND Retention of content	(25)	(25)	(25) 2 (8%)	(25)	(25)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV Hyperplasia, epithelial	1 (4%)		1 (4%)		
#PROSTATE Retention of content	(25)	(24)	(22) 6 (27%)	(24)	(25)
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL Inflammation, suppurative	1 (4%) 2 (8%)	1 (4%)		1 (4%)	1 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE Inflammation, acute suppurative Inflammation, chronic	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (5%) 1 (5%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%) 3 (12%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV		1 (4%) 4 (17%)	1 (5%)	3 (13%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC Hyperplasia, Nos Hyperplasia, Focal			2 (9%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)
#PROSTATIC GLAND Inflammation, chronic focal	(25)	(24)	(22)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25)
*SEMINAL VESICLE Retention of content	(25)	(25)	(25) 8 (32%)	(25)	(25)
ÎNFLAMMATION, RECROTIZING Hyperplasia, nos Hyperplasia, epithelial	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)		1 (4%)
#PERIPROSTATIC TISSUE Inflammation, NOS	(25)	(24)	(22)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)
#TESTIS Degeneration. NOS Atrophy, NOS Atrophy, Focal	(25) 11 (44%)	(25) 12 (48%)	(24) 4 (17%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(24) 10 (42%)	(25) 9 (36%)
#TESTIS/TUBULE Degeneration, Nos	(25)	(25)	(24)	(24)	(25)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1		UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VENICLE CONTROL NO. 1
*EPIDIDYMIS Spermatocele Abscess, Nos Inflammation, granulomatous	(25) 1 (4%)		(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, GRANDLONATODS FIBROSIS NECROSIS, FOCAL ASPERMATOGENESIS	1 (4%)		1 (4%)	1 (4%)	
NERVOUS SYSTEM					
#BRAIN GLIOSIS	(25)	(25)	(23)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
#BRAIN STEM GLIOSIS			(23)		(25) 1 (4%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS					
*EYE Inflammation, chronic	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
INFLAMMATION, NOS		(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE Inflammation, chronic	2 (8%) 1 (4%)				
*EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr		(25)			(25)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
*COSTOCHONDRAL SYNCHO Hyperostosis		(25) 1 (4%)	(25)		
BODY CAVITIES					
*EPICARDIUM Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr		(25)	1 (67)		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS NECROSIS, NOS	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)

# NUTBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

	UNTREA Control		VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMM	1ARY			
NONE			 	
	H TISSUE EXAMINED MICRO	SCOPICALLY		
#### TABLE C2.

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLDGICALLY	25 25 25 25	50 50 50	50 50 50	50 49 49
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
*SKIN EDEMA, NOS ULCER, CHRONIC GRANULATION, TISSUE FIBROSIS HYPERKERATOSIS ACANTHOSIS	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE ULCER, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC GRANULATION, TISSUE FIBROSIS NECROSIS, NOS	(25)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM		***********		
*NASAL CAVITY Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, acute necrotizing Inflammation, chronic	(25)	(50)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	1 (4%)	3 (6%) 1 (2%)		
*LARYNX INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
<pre>#TRACHEA INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE</pre>	(25)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Inflammation, chronic focal		2 (4%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	3 (6%)
#LUNG/BRONCHUS Inflammation, Nos	(25) 1 (4%)	(49)	(50)	(49)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL Hyperplasia, adenomatous		6 (12%)	7 (14%)	1 (2%) 14 (29%)
#LUNG/BRONCHIOLE INFLAMMATION, MULTIFOCAL	(25) 1 (4%)	(49)	(50)	(49)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL Hyperplasia, adenomatous		3 (6%)	1 (2%) 6 (12%)	9 (18%)
#LUNG	(25)	(49)	(50)	(49)
ATELECTASIS Congestion, Nos	5 (20%)	7 (16%)	1 (2%) 6 (12%)	8 (16%)
EDEMA, NOS	1 (4%)	7 (14%) 3 (6%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%)
HEMORRHAGE	(44)	1 (2%)	E (4/47)	E (147)
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, FOCAL		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA SUPPURATIVE	1 (4%)		2 (4%)	1 (2%)
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, ACUTE				1 (2%)
ABSCESS, NOS	1 (4%)			
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC	14 (56%)	33 (67%)	30 (60%)	37 (76%)
PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC	1 (4%)	1 (2%)		
GRANULOMA, NOS		1 (2%)		
NECROSIS, FOCAL			2 (4%)	
CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	1 (4%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	<b>•</b> • • • • •
	2 (8%)	3 (6%)	3 (6%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%)
HYPERPLASIA, ADENOMATOUS Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium			1 (2%)	3 (6%)
#LUNG/ALVEOLI	(25)	(49)	(50)	(49)
CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	1 (4%)	3 (6%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
#BONE MARROW	(25)	(48)	(47)	(48)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY			1 (2%)	
ATROPHY, NOS	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (2%) 4 (8%)	1 (2%) 5 (11%)	1 (2%)
MYELOFIBROSIS Aplasia, hematopoietic	1 (4%)	1 (2%)	5 (114)	
#SPLEEN	(25)	(50)	(49)	(47)
CONGESTION, NOS	2 (8%)	6 (12%)	7 (14%)	1 (2%)

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC NECROSIS, FOCAL INFARCT, NOS	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
HEMOSIDEROSIS HEMATOPOIESIS	3 (12%) 6 (24%)	1 (2%) 9 (18%)	3 (6%) 11 (22%)	2 (4%) 8 (17%)
#LYMPH NODE Congestion, Nos	(22)	(37)	(41)	(38)
EDEMA, NOS Inflammation, chronic	1 (5%)	1 (3%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	
DEGENERATION, LIPOID CHOLESTEROL DEPOSIT				1 (3%) 1 (3%)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID			1 (2%)	1 (34)
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE Congestion, nos Inflammation, nos	(22) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)	(37)	(41)	(38)
ABSCESS, NOS Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1 (5%)	1 (3%) 1 (3%)	2 (5%)	1 (3%)
#RENAL LYMPH NODE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(22) 1 (5%)	(37)	(41)	(38)
#LIVER HEMATOPOIESIS	(24)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48) 2 (4%)
#COLONIC SUBMUCOSA Hyperplasia, lymphgid	(25) 1 (4%)	(48)	(48)	(47)
#ADRENAL HEMATOPOIESIS	(24)	(47)	(47) 1 (2%)	(47)
#THYMUS BRANCHIAL CYST	(15)	(19)	(15)	(31)
CONGESTION, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	1 (7%)			2 (6%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
#LYMPH NODE Lymphangiectasis	(22)	(37) 1 (3%)	(41) 1 (2%)	(38)
#HEART CALCIFICATION, NOS	(25)	(50) <u>4 (8%)</u>	(50) <u> </u>	(49)

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3		MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
CALCIFICATION, FOCAL			1 (2%)	
#HEART/ATRIUM Thrombus, mural	(25)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
#MYOCARDIUM Inflammation, Multifocal	(25)	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, MOLTIFOCAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	4 (16%)	7 (14%)	4 (8%)	6 (12%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL Degeneration, NOS	10 (40%)	20 (40%)	21 (42%) 1 (2%)	21 (43%)
CALCIFICATION, NOS Calcification, focal	2 (8%)			1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*BLOOD VESSEL Medial calcification	(25) 2 (8%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(49)
*ARTERY Medial calcification	(25) 3 (12%)	(50) 8 (16%)	(50) 7 (14%)	(49) 5 (10%)
*AORTA Medial calcification	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(49)
#PANCREAS PERIARTERITIS	(23)	(48)	(47) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)
#TESTIS PERIARTERITIS	(25) 8 (32%)	(49) 9 (18%)	(50) 10 (20%)	(48) 8 (17%)
#THYMUS PERIARTERITIS	(15)	(19)	(15) 1 (7%)	(31)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
#SALIVARY GLAND	(25)	(47)	(47)	(47)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL Atrophy, nos	1 (4%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)		
#LIVER	(24)	(49)	(50)	(48)
CONGESTION, NOS Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr Fibrosis	1 (4%) 2 (8%)		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	
CIRRHOSIS, BILIARY HEPATITIS, TOXIC CLOUDY SWELLING	3 (13%)	28 (57%) <u>1 (2%)</u>	35 (70%)	1 (2%) 34 (71%)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
NECROSIS, NOS		1 (2%)		1 (2%)
NECROSIS, FOCAL Metamorphosis fatty Cytoplasmic Vacuolization Cytoplogic Degeneration	1 (4%) 4 (17%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	9 (18%)	2 (4%)	4 (8%) 1 (2%)
HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL ANGIECTASIS	, (4%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
HEPATIC LOBULE Metamorphosis fatty	(24)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)
#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR Necrosis, Nos	(24)	(49)	(50)	(48)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	7 (29%)	10 (20%)	9 (18%)	7 (15%)
LIVER/HEPATOCYTES CLOUDY SWELLING	(24)	(49)	(50)	(48)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY Hypertrophy, focal	1 (447	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	3 (6%)
BILE DUCT Inflammation, Focal	(24)	(49)	(50)	(48)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS Hyperplasia, focal	8 (33%)	1 (2%) 3 (6%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
PANCREAS	(23)	(48)	(47)	(48)
ABSCESS, NOS Inflammation, chronic focal granulation, tissue		2 (4%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 3 (6%)	4 (8%)
ATROPHY, NOS Atrophy, Focal	1 (4%) 2 (9%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)	4 (8%) 2 (4%)
	(25)	(50)	(49)	(49)
ULCER, NOS Calcification, Nos Hyperplasia, epithelial		1 (2%) 5 (10%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
HYPERKERATOSIS				1 (2%)
GASTRIC MUCOSA Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr	(25) 1 (4%)	(50)	(49)	(49)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL Erosion	1 (4%)			1 (2%)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL Degeneration, nos Calcification, nos	1 (4%) 7 (28%)	6 (12%)	1 (2%) 9 (18%)	5 (10%)

1

## C2. MALE RATS (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL HYPERKERATOSIS ACANTHOSIS METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#GASTRIC SUBMUCOSA Inflammation, Chronic	(25) 1 (4%)	(50)	(49)	(49)
#GASTRIC SEROSA Calcification, NOS	(25) 1 (4%)	(50)	(49)	(49)
#LARGE INTESTINE NEMATODIASIS	(25)	(48)	(48)	(47)
#COLON INFLAMMATION, FOCAL Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr Inflammation, chronic Inflammation, chronic focal Nematodiasis		(48) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(47) 4 (9%) 1 (2%) 4 (9%)
*ANUS STEATITIS			(50) 1 (2%)	
URINARY SYSTEM				
PYELONEPHRITIS, NOS Inflammation, Chronic Nephropathy	(25) 22 (88%) 1 (4%)	1 (2%)	(47) 41 (87%)	
#KIDNEY/MEDULLA Calculus, nos	(25)	(49) 1 (2%)	(47)	(49)
#KIDNEY/PELVIS MINERALIZATION INFLAMMATION, NOS Hyperplasia, epithelial	(25) 1 (4%) 6 (24%)	(49)	(47) 2 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#URINARY BLADDER INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	(24)	(47) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%)	(46) 1 <u>(2%)</u>	(47)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
*PITUITARY MULTIPLE CYSTS	(19)	(42)	(43)	(41)
CONGESTION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, CHROMOPHOBE-CELL	1 (5%) 1 (5%)			1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#ADRENAL Hemorrhagic Cyst Degeneration, lipoid	(24)	(47)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(47) 1 (2%)
NECROSIS, NOS Metamorphosis Fatty		1 (2%)		1 (2%)
ANGIECTASIS		3 (6%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX Congestion, Nos	(24)	(47)	(47)	(47)
HEMORRHAGIC CYST			1 (2%)	(24)
DEGENERATION, LIPOID Metamorphosis fatty	7 (29%)	1 (2%) 8 (17%)	12 (26%)	12 (26%)
LIPOIDOSIS Hyperplasia, nodular Hyperplasia, nos	1 (4%) 2 (8%)	2 (4%)		5 (11%) 2 (4%)
#THYROID CYSTIC FOLLICLES	(22)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)	(49) 2 (4%)
FOLLICULAR CYST, NOS Hyperplasia, focal		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL	1 (5%)		2 (4%)	
#PARATHYROID Hyperplasia, Nos	(20) 9 (45%)	(39) 18 (46%)	(38) 11 (29%)	(37) 12 (32%)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS Hyperplasia, Focal	(23)	(48)	(47)	(48) 1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
*MAMMARY GLAND Hyperplasia, nos	(25) 1 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(49)
#PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, FOCAL INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(25)	(47)	(48)	(47) 1 (2%) 

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE		3 (6%)		1 (2%)
ABSCESS, NOS Inflammation, Chronic Inflammation, Chronic Focal Inflammation, Chronic Suppurativ	1 (4%) 4 (16%)	5 (11%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	3 (6%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%) 3 (6%) 4 (9%) 2 (4%)
*SEMINAL VESICLE Inflammation, suppurative Abscess, nos	(25)	(50)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(49)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Inflammation, chronic suppurativ Hyperplasia, nos		2 (4%)		1 (2%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#TESTIS    DEGENERATION, NOS    ATROPHY, NOS    ASPERMATOGENESIS</pre>	(25) 14 (56%)	(49) 22 (45%)	(50) 22 (44%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%)	(48) 17 (35%)
<pre>#TESTIS/TUBULE     DEGENERATION, NOS</pre>	(25)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)
*EPIDIDYMIS Degeneration, Nos	(25)	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)
*VAS DEFERENS Cyst, Nos Hyperplasia, Nos	(25) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)
*MUSCULARIS OF VAS DE CALCIFICATION, NOS		(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
#BRAIN Hydrocephalus, nos gliosis	(25)	(49) 1 (2%)	(47) 1 (2%)	(48)
#BASAL GANGLIA Calcification, focal	(25) 1 (4%)	-	(47)	(48)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
*EYE/CORNEA Inflammation, Nos	(25)	(50)	(50) 2 (4%)	(49)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

,

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE	
	1 (4%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)		
*EYE/RETINA Inflammation, chronic	(25)	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)	
*EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr	(25) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)	
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
NONE					
BODY CAVITIES					
*MEDIASTINUM Inflammation, Chronic	(25) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(49)	
*PLEURA INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(25) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(49)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUIF	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Congestion, nos	(25) 1 (4%)		(50)	(49)	
HEMORRHAGE			2 (4%)		
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY					
AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY				1	
# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAM * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED					

#### TABLE C3.

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY Animals necropsied Animals examined histopathologically	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					
*SKIN ULCER, NOS Inflammation, acute necrotizing Inflammation, chronic Acanthosis	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
*NASAL CAVITY Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, acute suppurative Inflammation. Chronic focal	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
*LARYNX Inflammation, Chronic focal Reaction, foreign Body	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25) 2 (8%)	(25) 1 (4%)
<pre>#TRACHEA INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL</pre>	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(22) 2 (9%)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)
#LUNG Congestion, Nos Congestion, Acute Edema, Nos Hemorrhage	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24) 1 (4%)
HEMUKHAGE Inflaimation, Interstitial Bronchopneumonia suppurative Abscess, Nos Pneumonia, Chronic Murine Inflammation, Granulomatous	15 (60%)	17 (68%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%) 15 (60%)	1 (4%) 23 (92%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%) 19 (79%)
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL GRANULOMATOU Granuloma, foreign body		1 (4%)			1 (4%)

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL GROUPS)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE Control No. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
CRYSTALS, NOS Alveolar Macrophages				3 (12%)	1 (4%)
#ALVEOLAR WALL Calcification, Focal	(25)	(25)		(25) 1 (4%)	(24)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM					
*MAMMARY GLAND Adenosis	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
#BONE MARROW	(24) 2 (8%)	(24)	(21)	(25)	(23)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY Fibrous osteodystrophy Myelofibrosis	5 (21%)	1 (4%)	1 (5%)		
#SPLEEN Congestion, Nos Edema, Nos	(24) 1 (4%)	(24) 9 (38%)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
HEMORRHAGE Inflammation, Chronic Focal		1 (4%)		•	1 (4%)
INFARCT, NOS Hemosiderosis Hypoplasia, Nos		3 (13%)	1 (4%)	6 (24%)	4 (16%)
ATROPHY, NOS Hematopoiesis Erythropoiesis	1 (4%) 4 (17%) 1 (4%)	8 (33%)	9 (36%) 1 (4%)	11 (44%)	6 (24%)
#SPLENIC RED PULP Atrophy, Nos	(24) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)	(25)	(25)
#LYMPH NODE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(23)	(21)	(21)	(19) 1 (5%)	(21)
#SUBMANDIBULAR L.NODE Congestion, nos	(23) 1 (4%)	(21)	(21)	(19)	(21)
#CERVICAL LYMPH NODE Hyperplasia, Nos	(23)	(21)	(21) 1 (5%)	(19)	(21)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE Hyperplasia, Nos	(23)	(21)	(21)	(19)	(21) 1 (5%)
#LIVER HEMATOPOIESIS	(24)	(24)	(25)	(25) 5 (20%)	(25) 1 (4%)

	UNTREATED Control No. 1		UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
#THYMUS ECTOPIA	(6)	(14)	(19)	(19)	(18) 2 (11%)
RRANCHTAL CYST			1 (5%)		
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM					
#HEART LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25)	(25)
ENDOCARDITIS, VERRUCOUS			1 (4%)	1 (44)	
ENDOCARDIOSIS	1 (4%)				1 (4%)
#MYOCARDIUM Inflammation, Acute/Chronic	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25)	(25)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC Inflammation, Chronic Inflammation, Chronic Focal Inflammation Proliferative		7 (28%)	3 (13%) 1 (4%)	5 (20%)	1 (4%) 4 (16%)
#ENDOCARDIUM FIBROSIS	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
*ARTERY Medial Calcification	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)
*CORONARY ARTERY Inflammation, acute necrotizing	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
#PANCREAS PERIARTERITIS	(24)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(23)
#UTERUS Thrombosis, Nos	(25)	(25)	(23)	(24) 1 (4%)	(21)
#ADRENAL HEMANGIOMATOSIS	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)		(25)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
#SALIVARY GLAND Inflammation, chronic focal Atrophy, focal	(24) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)	(23) 2 (9%)	(22)
#LIVER TRAUMATIC ABNORMALITY	(24)	(24)	(25)	(25)	(25)

	UNTREATED Control No. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
CONGESTION, NOS Hemorrhage Lynphocytic inflammatory infiltr			1 (4%)		2 (8%) 1 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, MULTIFOCAL Inflammation, chronic focal Fibrosis		1 (4%) 1 (4%)		1 (4%) 1 (4%)	
CLOUDY SWELLING Degeneration, hydropic		1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	2 (8%)
NECROSIS, NOS NECROSIS, FOCAL NECROSIS, CENTRAL			1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY Lipoidosis	1 (4%) 2 (8%)	4 (17%)	5 (20%)	5 (20%)	4 (16%)
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION Cytologic degeneration Hypertrophy, Nos	2 (8%) 3 (13%) 1 (4%)	5 (21%)		1 (4%)	
HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL Angiectasis	3 (13%)	2 (8%) 1 (4%)		2 (8%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%)
#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR CONGESTION, NOS	(24)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC Degeneration, nos Necrosis, nos	1 (4%)			1 (4%) 1 (4%)	
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY Lipoidosis	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)
#LIVER∕HEPATOCYTES CLOUDY SWELLING	(24)	(24)	(25) 2 (8%)	(25)	(25)
DEGENERATION, HYDROPIC Metamorphosis fatty		1 (4%)		1 (4%) 1 (4%)	2 (8%)
#BILE DUCT DILATATION, NOS	(24)	(24)	(25)	(25)	(25)
INFLAMMATION, NOS Hyperplasia, nos Hyperplasia, focal	1 (4%) 9 (38%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%) 12 (50%)	8 (32%) 2 (8%)	7 (28%)	14 (56%)
#PANCREAS FIBROSIS, DIFFUSE ATROPHY, FOCAL	(24)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(23)
#STOMACH INFLAMMATION, ACUTE HYPERKERATOSIS	(25)	(24)	(25)	(24)	(24) 1 (4%)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
ACANTHOSIS				1 (4%)	
#GASTRIC MUCOSA Calcification, NOS Metaplasia, squamous	(25) 1 (4%)	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24) 1 (4%)	(24)
#GASTRIC SUBMUCOSA Fibrosis	(25)	(24),	(25)	(24) 1 (4%)	(24)
<pre>#SMALL INTESTINE Congestion, Nos Edema, Nos</pre>	(25)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE Inflammation, acute necrotizing	1 (4%)		1 (4%)		
<pre>#INTESTINAL VILLUS CONGENITAL ABNORMAL FUSION</pre>	(25) 1 (4%)	(23)	(23)	(23)	(24)
*COLON LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(24) 3 (13%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL NEMATODIASIS	1 (4%)			1 (4%)	2 (8%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
JRINARY SYSTEM					
#KIDNEY CAST, NOS Hydronephrosis	(25)	(25)	(24)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
PYELONEPHRITIS, NOS PYELONEPHRITIS, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC NECROSIS, FOCAL CALCIFICATION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	16 (64%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	11 (44%) 1 (4%)	16 (67%)	7 (28%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%) 8 (32%)
#KIDNEY/TUBULE MINERALIZATION	(25) 2 (8%)	(25)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 2 (8%)
<pre>#KIDNEY/PELVIS Mineralization Inflammation, chronic</pre>	(25) 14 (56%)	(25) 15 (60%) 1 (4%)	(24) 13 (54%)	(25) 20 (80%) 1 (4%)	(25) 18 (72%)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	12 (48%)	10 (40%)	11 (46*;)	15 (60%)	14 (56%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

1. 1. 1. 1. ,

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. :
#URINARY BLADDER CALCULUS, NOS	(25)	(25)	(21)	(23)	(22)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	1 (147		1 (5%)		1 (5%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Inflammation, Chronic Focal		1 (4%)	1 (5%)	1 (4%)	
NDOCRINE SYSTEM					
CONGESTION, NOS	(24)		(23) 2 (9%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(22) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)	(22)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS Hyperplasia, Chromophobe-Cell Angiectasis	4 (17%)	4 (17%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	2 (9%)	2 (9%)
#ADRENAL	(25)	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25)
CONGESTION, NOS HEMORRHAGIC CYST METAMORPHOSIS FATTY LIPOIDOSIS	3 (12%)	2 (8%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	3 (12%)	2 (8%) 2 (8%)	3 (12%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
ANGIECTASIS	4 (16%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	
#ADRENAL CORTEX Congestion, Nos	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)		(25)
HEMORRHAGIC CYST Metamorphosis fatty Lipoidosis Pigmentation, nos	2 (8%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	3 (13%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)	3 (12%)
HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR Hyperplasia, focal	1 (4%)	3 (13%)		1 (4%) 1 (4%)	3 (12%)
ANGIECTASIS	1 (4%)		1 (4%)		
#ZONA RETICULARIS FIBROSIS Degeneration, nos Pignentation, nos Atrophy, nos	(25) 2 (8%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25)
#THYROID Inflammation, Nos	(25)	(24)	(24)	(25)	(24)
NECROSIS, FOCAL CALCIFICATION, NOS		1 (4%)			

1	UNTREATED Control No. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL	1 (4%)			3 (12%)	1 (4%)
*PARATHYROID Ectopia			(18)		
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1 (5%)	1 (6%)	1 (6%)	1 (6%)	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM					
*MAMMARY GLAND Dilatation/ducts	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
GALACTOCELE Hemorrhage			1 (4%)	2 (8%)	t (4%) 1 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE NECROTIZING Necrosis, Nos	1 (4%)			1 (4%)	1 (4%)
NECROSIS, FOCAL Hyperplasia, nos				1 (4%)	2 (8%)
#UTERUS DILATATION, NOS	(25)	(25)	(23)	(24)	(21)
HEMORRHAGE Hemorrhagic cyst		1 (4%)	1 (4%)		
INFLAMMATION, NOS Inflammation, suppurative	2 (8%)				1 (5%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Polyp, Inflammatory	1 (4%)	2 (8%)			1 (5%)
METAPLASIA, SQUAMDUS		2 (0.0)			3 (14%)
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM Inflammation, suppurative	(25)	(25)	(23)	(24)	(21)
INFLAMMATION, VESICULAR Abscess, Nos		0 ( ( 2.17)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	1 (5%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Inflammation, Chronic Suppurativ Inflammation Chronic Cystic	3 (12%)	2 (8%)	2 (9%)	2 (8%)	1 (5%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPORATIV INFLAMMATION CHRONIC CYSTIC FIBROSIS	1 (4%)	3 (12%)	1 (4%)	4 (17%) 1 (4%)	2 (10%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS Hyperplasia, focal	4 (16%)	1 (4%)		1 (4%)	
HYPERPLASIA, FUCAL Hyperplasia, cystic Metaplasia, squamous	1 (4%)	1 (41)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	
#UTERUS/MYOMETRIUM Hyperplasia, nos	(25)	(25)	(23)	(24)	(21) 1 (5%)
TOVARY CYST, NOS	(25) 1 (4 <sup>2</sup> )	(25)	(21)	(25)	(23)

UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
6 (24%) 15 (60%) 6 (26%)	7 (28%) 2 (8%)	2 (10%)	9 (36%) 1 (4%)	2 (9%)
(25)	(25) 1 (4%)			(23)
(24)	(23)	(25) 1 (4%)		
(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
(25) 4 (16%)	(25) 7 (28%) 3 (12%)	(25) 4 (16%)	(25) 5 (20%)	(25) 3 (12%)
1 (67)				
	6 (24%) 15 (60%) 6 (24%) 1 (4%) (25) (24) (25) (24) (25) 1 (4%) (25) 4 (16%) (25) 1 (4%)	$\begin{array}{c} 6 & (24\chi) \\ 15 & (60\chi) \\ 7 & (28\chi) \\ 1 & (4\chi) \\ 2 & (8\chi) \\ (25) \\ (25) \\ (24) \\ (25) \\ (25) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (4\chi) \\ (25) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (4\chi) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (4\chi) \\ (25) \\ 4 & (16\chi) \\ 7 & (28\chi) \\ 3 & (12\chi) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (4\chi) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (4\chi) \\ (25) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (25) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (25) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (25) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (25) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (25) \\ (25) $	$\begin{array}{c} 6 & (24\%) \\ 15 & (50\%) \\ 7 & (28\%) \\ 2 & (26\%) \\ 1 & (4\%) \\ 2 & (8\%) \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 & (10\%) \\ 2 & (10\%) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} (25) & (25) \\ 1 & (4\%) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} (24) & (23) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (4\%) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} (25) \\ 1 & (4\%) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} (25) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (4\%) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} (25) \\ (25) \\ 4 & (16\%) \\ 7 & (28\%) \\ 4 & (16\%) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} (25) \\ 1 & (4\%) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} (25) \\ (25) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (4\%) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} (25) \\ (25) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (4\%) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} (25) \\ (25) \\ (25) \\ 1 & (4\%) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

#### TABLE C4.

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	25 25 25	50 50 50	50 50 50	50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
*SKIN Edema, Nos Ulcer, Chronic	(25) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE NECROSIS, NOS	(25)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
*NASAL CAVITY Inflammation, Chronic Focal Inflammation, Chronic Suppurativ	(25) 2 (8%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)
*LARYNX INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	(25)	(50) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
#TRACHEA INFLAMMATION, FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	(24)	(49) 2 (4%)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#LUNG/BRONCHUS BRONCHIECTASIS HYPERPLASIA, ADENOMATOUS	(25)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 9 (18%)	(50)
#LUNG/BRONCHIOLE HYPERPLASIA, ADENOMATOUS	(25)	(50) 23 (46%)	(49) 13 (27%)	(50) 21 (42%)
#LUNG CONGESTION, NOS	(25) <u>3 (12%)</u>	(50) 4 (8%)	(49) 5 (10%)	(50) <u>6 (12%)</u>

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS)

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
EDEMA, NOS HEMORRHAGE		1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
ABSCESS, NOS PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC	1 (4%) 19 (76%)		46 (94%)	40 (80%) 1 (2%)
GRANULOMA, NOS Inflammation, focal granulomatou	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)		
NECROSIS, NOS NECROSIS, FOCAL CRYSTALS, NOS PIGMENTATION, NOS HEMOSIDEROSIS	1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (2%)		
FOAM-CELL	1 (44)	7 //*/\	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)
ALVEQLAR MACROPHAGES HYPERPLASIA, ADENOMATOUS HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS	1 (4%)		1 (2%)	2 (4%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
#SPLEEN Congestion, nos Inflammation, chronic	(25) 3 (12%)	(50) 9 (18%)	(50) 17 (34%) 1 (2%)	(50) 7 (14%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL Hemosiderosis Hematopoiesis	5 (20%) 7 (28%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%) 10 (20%)	8 (16%) 8 (16%)	5 (10%) 6 (12%)
#SPLENIC CAPSULE Hemorrhagic cyst	(25)	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
#LYMPH NODE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FIBROSIS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(19)	(44) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(39)	(39)
#SUBMANDIBULAR L.NODE CONGESTION, NOS	(19) 1 (5%)	(44)	(39)	(39)
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE Congestion, nos	(19)	(44)	(39)	(39)
NECROSIS, NOS Pigmentation, nos Hyperplasia, nos		1 (2%) 1 (2%)		1 (3%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

-

	VEHICLE Control no. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
<pre>#PANCREATIC L.NODE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC HEMOSIDEROSIS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID</pre>	(19)	(44) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(39)	(39)
#LIVER HEMATOPOIESIS	(25) 3 (12%)	(50) 9 (18%)	(50) 3 (6%)	(50) 2 (4%)
#COLON Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(24)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)	(50)
#ADRENAL HEMATOPOIESIS	(24) 1 (4%)	(48) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
#THYMUS ECTOPIA	(16) 1 (6%)	(33)	(29) 1 (3%)	(21)
COLLOID CYST CONGESTION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1 (6%)		1 (3%) 1 (3%)	
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
#HEART NECROSIS, FOCAL CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	(25)	(49)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#MYOCARDIUM Inflammation, multifocal	(25)	(49)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Inflammation, chronic focal	1 (4%) 3 (12%)	1 (2%) 5 (10%)	10 (20%)	1 (2%) 11 (22%)
#ENDOCARDIUM Inflammation, chronic	(25)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)
*ARTERY MEDIAL CALCIFICATION	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
*PULMONARY ARTERY Medial calcification	(25)	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
#PANCREAS PERIARTERITIS	(24)	(49)	(50)	(50)

DIGESTIVE SYSTEM #SALIVARY GLAND (24) (48) (47) LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR 1 (4%) INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL 1 (4%) FIBROSIS ATROPHY, NOS 1 (2%) #LIVER (25) (50) (50) HEMORRHAGE 1 (4%) 1 (2%) HEMORRHAGE 1 (4%) 33 (66%) 37 (74%) CLRHOSIS, BILIARY 1 (4%) 33 (66%) 37 (74%) CLRHOSIS, BILIARY 1 (4%) 33 (66%) 7 (14%) MECROSIS, NOS 2 (8%) NECROSIS, FOCAL 1 (4%) 1 (2%) HEMORRHOSIS FATTY 5 (20%) 3 (6%) 7 (14%) HEMORRHOSIS FATTY 5 (20%) 3 (6%) 7 (14%) HEMORRHOSIS FATTY 5 (20%) 3 (6%) 7 (14%) HEMORRHOSIS FATTY 5 (20%) 3 (6%) 7 (14%) HEMOSIDEROSIS 0 1 (4%) HETAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 1 (2%) HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL 1 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) MELECASIS, NOS 1 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.CENTRILOBULAR (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.CENTRILOBULAR (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.CENTRILOBULAR (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.CENTRILOBULAR (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.CENTRILOBULAR (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.CENTRILOBULAR (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.CENTRILOBULAR (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.CENTRILOBULAR (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.CENTRILOBULAR (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.CENTRILOBULAR (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.CENTRILOBULAR (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) #LIVER.PHEPATOCYTES (25) (50) (50) METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 1 (4%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%) HYPERTROPHY, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%)	
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR       1 (4%)         INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC       1 (4%)         INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL       1 (4%)         FIBROSIS       1 (4%)         ATROPHY, NOS       1 (4%)         ATROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)         #LIVER       (25)         MEMORRHAGE       1 (4%)         INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE       1 (4%)         CIRRNOSIS, BILIARY       1 (4%)         HEPATITIS, TOXIC       33 (66%)         CLOUDY SWELLING       6 (24%)         NECROSIS, NOS       2 (8%)         NECROSIS, FOCAL       1 (4%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)         CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION       1 (4%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (2%)         BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE       1 (4%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (2%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (2%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)         *LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (2%)	
ATROPHY, NOS       1 (2%)         ATROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER       (25)       (50)       (50)         HEMORRHAGE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         CIRHOSIS, BILIARY       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         HEPATITIS, TOXIC       33 (66%)       37 (74%)         CLOUDY SWELLING       6 (24%)       3 (6%)         NECROSIS, NOS       2 (8%)       3 (6%)         NECROSIS, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         HEMOSIDEROSIS       1 (4%)       2 (4%)       1 (2%)         CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         MARIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)	(45)
ATROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER       (25)       (50)       (50)         HEMORRHAGE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         CIRRHOSIS, BILITARY       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         HEPATITIS, TOXIC       33 (66%)       37 (74%)         CLOUDY SWELLING       6 (24%)       3 (66%)       37 (74%)         NECROSIS, NOS       2 (8%)       NECROSIS, FOCAL       1 (4%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)	1 (2%)
HEMORRHAGE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE       1 (4%)       33 (66%)       37 (74%)         CIRRHOSIS, BILIARY       1 (4%)       33 (66%)       37 (74%)         HEPATITIS, TOXIC       6 (24%)       3 (6%)       37 (74%)         CLOUDY SWELLING       6 (24%)       3 (6%)       37 (74%)         NECROSIS, NOS       2 (8%)       8 (6%)       7 (14%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         WECROSIS, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         WELIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSI	1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE       1 (4%)         CIRRHOSIS, BLIARY       1 (4%)         HEPATITIS, TOXIC       33 (66%)         CLOUDY SWELLING       6 (24%)         NECROSIS, NOS       2 (8%)         NECROSIS, FOCAL       1 (4%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         HEMOSIDEROSIS       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         GYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         WETATORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         WETATORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)	(50)
CIRRHOSIS, BILIARY       1 (4%)         HEPATITIS, TOXIC       33 (66%)         CLOUDY SWELLING       6 (24%)         NECROSIS, NOS       2 (8%)         NECROSIS, FOCAL       1 (4%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         HEMOSIDEROSIS       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         WELLIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)       1 (2%)	
HEPATITIS, TOXIC       33 (66%)       37 (74%)         CLOUDY SWELLING       6 (24%)       3 (6%)       37 (74%)         NECROSIS, NOS       2 (8%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         WELLVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)         HUVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)	1 (2%)
NECROSIS, NOS       2 (8%)         NECROSIS, FOCAL       1 (4%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       5 (20%)       3 (6%)       7 (14%)         HEMOSIDEROSIS       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         WEINDRPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)	44 (88%
HEMOSIDEROSIS       2 (4%)       1 (2%)         CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)	
HEMOSIDEROSIS       2 (4%)       1 (2%)         CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         BASDPHILIC CYTO CHANGE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)	
HEMOSIDEROSIS       2 (4%)       1 (2%)         CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (4%)       1 (2%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)	
BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE       1 (4%)         HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (2%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)         *LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)         NECROSIS, NOS       1 (4%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)         CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION       1 (2%)         *LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)         *LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)         HYPERTROPHY, NOS       1 (2%)	
HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL       1 (2%)       3 (6%)         ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)	1 (2%)
ANGIECTASIS       3 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METAHORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)	2 (4%)
#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR       (25)       (50)       (50)         DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METANORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (2%)       1 (2%)	2 (44)
DEGENERATION, NOS       1 (4%)         NECROSIS, NOS       1 (2%)         METAHORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)         CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION       6 (12%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)         METAHORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)         HLIVER/HEPATOCYTES       2 (4%)         METAHORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (2%)	
NECROSIS, NOS       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         METANDRPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)         HYPERTROPHY, NOS       1 (2%)	(50)
METAHORPHOSIS FATTY       1 (4%)       6 (12%)       1 (2%)         CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #LIVER/HEPATOCYTES       (25)       (50)       (50)         METAMORPHOSIS FATTY       2 (4%)       1 (2%)         HYPERTROPHY, NOS       1 (2%)       1 (2%)	
#LIVER/HEPATOCYTES         (25)         (50)         (50)           METAMORPHOSIS FATTY         2 (4%)         1 (2%)           HYPERTROPHY, NOS         1 (2%)	1 (2%)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 2 (4%) 1 (2%) HYPERTROPHY, NOS 1 (2%)	
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY 2 (4%) 1 (2%) HYPERTROPHY, NOS 1 (2%)	(50)
	()()
HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL 2 (4%) 2 (4%)	
	3 (6%)
#BILE DUCT (25) (50) (50)	(50)
INFLAMMATION, NOS 1 (2%)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS 8 (32%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%)
#PANCREAS (24) (49) (50)	(50)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC 1 (2%) INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ATROPHY, NOS Atrophy, focal		2 (4%) 3 (6%)	3 (6%) 3 (6%)	2 (4%)
#ESOPHAGUS HYPERKERATOSIS	(24)	(49)	(48)	(49) 1 (2%)
#STOMACH INFLAMMATION, FOCAL ULCER, FOCAL INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(25) 1 (4%)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)
#GASTRIC MUCOSA CALCIFICATION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL HYPERKERATOSIS ACANTHOSIS	(25)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
#GASTRIC SEROSA Hemorrhage	(25)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)
#DUODENUM Inflammation, Chronic Inflammation, focal granulomatol	(24) J	(48) 1 (2%)	(48)	(50) 1 (2%)
#COLON LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL NEMATODIASIS	4 (17%) 1 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 3 (6%)	(50)
URINARY SYSTEM				
#KIDNEY CALCULUS, NOS CONGESTION, NOS HEMORRHAGE	(25)	(50)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTE Inflammation, chronic Pyelonephritis, chronic Fibrosis	( 15 (60%)	1 (2%) 34 (68%)	40 (80%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	41 (82%)
GLOMERULOSCLEROSIS, NOS CALCIFICATION, NOS		4 (8%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#KIDNEY/CORTEX POLYCYSTIC KIDNEY	(25)	(50)	(50)	(50)

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#KIDNEY/MEDULLA CALCULUS, NOS	(25)	(50)	(50)	(50)
CALCIFICATION, FOCAL				1 (2%)
#KIDNEY/TUBULE MINERALIZATION HEMOSIDEROSIS	(25) 2 (8%)	(50)	(50)	(50) 2 (4%)
#KIDNEY/PELVIS Mineralization Inflammation, chronic	(25) 18 (72%) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(50)
CALCIFICATION, NOS	16 (64%)	2 (4%) 4 (8%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	2 (4%) 3 (6%)
#URINARY BLADDER EDEMA, NOS	(22)	(47) 1 (2%)	(49)	(45) 1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Hyperplasia, epithelial	1 (5%) 1 (5%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#U. BLADDER/MUCOSA METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS	(22)	(47) 1 (2%)	(49)	(45)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
<pre>#PITUITARY     CYST, NOS</pre>	(22) 1 (5%)	(45)	(47)	(45)
CONGESTION, NOS Hemorrhagic cyst		2 (4%) 1 (2%)		
CHOLESTEROL DEPOSIT Hyperplasia, Chromophobe-Cell	1 (5%) 1 (5%)	7 (16%)	2 (4%)	3 (7%)
#ADRENAL	(24)	(48)	(50)	(50)
CONGESTION, NOS Hemorrhagic cyst	6 (25%) 2 (8%)	2 (4%) 6 (13%)	2 (4%) 4 (8%)	1 (2%)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY LIPOIDOSIS HEMOSIDEROSIS	1 (4%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%)
ATROPHY, NOS			1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR Angiectasis	1 (4%) 3 (13%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	5 (10%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX HEMORRHAGIC CYST	(24)	(48)	(50)	(50)

#### TABLE C4. FEMALE RATS (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED) \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY ATROPHY, NOS Hyperplasia, Nodular Hyperplasic Nodule Hyperplasia, Nos	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	9 (19%) 4 (8%) 1 (2%)	5 (10%) 1 (2%) 7 (14%) 1 (2%)	11 (22%) 4 (8%) 4 (8%) 4 (8%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#THYROID Colloid Cyst Abscess, Nos Inflammation, Focal granulomatou</pre>	(24)	(48)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL #THYROID FOLLICLE Hyperplasia, epithelial	(24)	3 (6%) (48) 1 (2%)	2 (4%) (48)	1 (2%) (49)
*PANCREATIC ISLETS CLOUDY SWELLING DEGENERATION. HYDROPIC		(49)		(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
*MAMMARY GLAND Galactocele Necrosis, Nos Hyperplasia, Nos	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
*VAGINA Cytologic degeneration Hypertrophy, nos	(25)	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
NUTERUS Hemorrhage Inflammation, suppurative	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(47)	(49)	(47)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV FIBROSIS, FOCAL	3 (13%) 1 (4%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%)
NECROSIS, FOCAL Hemosiderosis Hyperplasia, epithelial Hyperplasia, focal	1 (4%) 1 (4%) 2 (8%)		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
POLYP Metaplasia, squamous		4 (9%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%)	
#CERVIX UTERI ACANTHOSIS	(24)	(47)	(49)	(47) 2 (4%)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(24)	(47)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, VESICULAR Inflammation, chronic Inflammation, chronic suppurativ	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	11 (23%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%) 7 (14%)	1 (2%) 3 (6%)
INFLAMMATION CHRONIC CYSTIC Fibrosis Scar	1 (4%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%)	3 (6%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS Hyperplasia, cystic	1 (4%)	1 (24)	3 (6%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)
METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#OVARY/OVIDUCT INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(24)	(47) 1 (2%)	(49)	(47)
#OVARY/PAROVARIAN Inflammation, focal granulomatou	(24)	(47)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)
#DVARY CYST, NDS Corpus Luteum Cyst	(23)	(47)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(47) 1,(2%)
HEMORRHAGIC CYST Abscess, nos Atrophy, nos	5 (22%)	1 (2%) 8 (17%)	1 (2%) 19 (39%)	18. (38%)
CORPUS LUTEUM		4 (9%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
#BASAL GANGLIA GLIOSIS NECROSIS, FOCAL CALCIFICATION, NOS	(25)	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#PONS HEMORRHAGE	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
*EYE Inflammation, chronic	(25)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
*EYE/CORNEA 	(25)	(50)	(50)	(50)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
SCAR	1 (4%)			
*EYE/CRYSTALLINE LENS DEGENERATION, NOS	(25) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(50)
*EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	(25) 2 (8%)	(50) 8 (16%) 1 (2%)	(50) 4 (8%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 3 (6%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
		(50)	4 ( 7 % )	(50)
BODY CAVITIES				
NONE				
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Congestion, nos	(25) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(50)
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY				
AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERF				

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

APPENDIX D

.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE

.

#### TABLE D1.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL GROUPS)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY Animals necropsied Animals examined histopathologically	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25	25 25 25 25	25 25 25
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					
*SKIN Hyperplasia, Cystic	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
*SUBCUT TISSUE DILATATION, NOS Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr AbScess, Nos	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL GRANULOMATOU Necrosis, nos Calcification, focal	1 (4%)		1 (4%)	1 (4%)	
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
*NASAL CAVITY Inflammation, Chronic	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)
<pre>#TRACHEA INFLAMMATION, NOS</pre>	(24)	(23)	(22)	(25)	(24) 1 (4X)
<pre>#LUNG/BRONCHUS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC</pre>	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(23) 1 (4x)
*LUNG/BRONCHIOLE LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	(25) 4 (16%)	(25) 2 (8%)	(25) 2 (8%)	(25) 5 (20%)	(23) 4 (17%)
*LUNG · CDNGESTION, NOS Edema, NOS Hemorrhage	(25) 3 (12%) 1 (4%)	(25) 4 (16%) 2 (8%)	(25) 5 (20%) 1 (4%)	(25) 5 (20%)	(23) 4 (17X)
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, NOS Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr Preumonia, Aspiration			1 (4%)	1 (4%)	

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1				VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE Hyperplasia, adenomatous	2 (8%) 2 (8%)		1 (4%)	2 (8%)	
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM					
#BONE MARROW Myelofibrosis	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24)	(25)	(22)
#SPLEEN Congestion, nos Amyloidosis Hyperplasia, lymphoid Hematopoiesis	(25) 4 (16%)	(23)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 2 (8%)	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 3 (13%)	(21) 1 (5%) 2 (10%)
<pre>#LYMPH NODE Congestion, Nos Edema, Nos Hyperplasia, Nos Megakaryocytosis Hyperplasia, Lymphoid Hematopolesis</pre>	(19) 1 (5%) 2 (11%)	(15) 1 (7%) 1 (7%) 1 (7%)	(21) 1 (5%) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)	(16) 3 (19%) 1 (6%) 2 (13%) 1 (6%)	(16) 1 (6%)
#SUBMANDIBULAR L.NODE Congestion, Nos Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(19) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)	(15)	(21)	(16)	(16)
<pre>#PANCREATIC L.NODE CONGESTION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID HEMATOPOIESIS</pre>	(19) 1 (5%)	(15)	(21)	(16) 1 (6%) 1 (6%)	(16) 2 (13%)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE CYST. NOS Fibrosis Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(19) 2 (11%)	(15)	(21)	(16) 1 (6%) 1 (6%)	(16)
#RENAL LYMPH NODE Fibrosis Ryperplasia, lymphqid	(19) t (5%) t (5%)	(15)	(21)	(16) 1 (6%)	(16)
<pre>#INGUINAL LYMPH NODE Hyperplasia, lymphoid</pre>	(19) † (5%)	(15)	(21)	(16)	(16)
#LIVER HEMATOPOIESIS	(25)	(25)	(25) 3 (12%)	(25)	(25)

TABLE D1. MALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): I	NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Embolus, septic	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
#MYOCARDIUM Inflammation, Chronic Focal Inflammation, Chronic Diffuse	(25) 2 (8%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 4 (16%) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
*BLOOD VESSEL Perivasculitis	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
*MESENTERY PERIARTERITIS	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
#SALIVARY GLAND Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr inflammation, chronic focal	(25) 5 (20%) 1 (4%)	(23) 7 (30%)	(25) 5 (20%)	(25) 2 (8%)	(25) 3 (12%)
<pre>#LIVER CDAGESTION, NOS Hemorrhage Hemorrhagic cyst</pre>	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR Inflammation, diffuse	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)		1 (4%) 1 (4%)
FIBROSIS FIBROSIS, FOCAL CLOUDY SWELLING	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	
	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%)	3 (12%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY Cytologic degeneration	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	2 (8%)		1 (42)	1 (4%)
HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL Angiectasis		1 (4%)		1 (4%)	1 (4%)
<pre>#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR DEGENERATION, NO5 NECROSIS, NO5 METAMORPHOSIS FATTY HYPERTROPHY, NO5</pre>	(25)	(25) 1 (4%) 2 (8%) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

	UNTREATED Control No. 1	UNTREATED Control No. 2		VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
<pre>PANCREAS DILATATION/DUCTS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL ATROPHY, NOS</pre>	(24)	(22) 1 (5%) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)	(23) 1 (4%)	(25)	(21)
<pre>#ESOPHAGUS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC</pre>	(21)	(24)	(22)	(25) 1 (4%)	(19)
#STOMACH Inflammation, chronic	(25)	(22)	(25)	(24)	(22) 1 (5%)
#GASTRIC MUCOSA Hyperplasia, Nos	(25) 1 (4%)	(22)	(25)	(24)	(22) 1 (5%)
ACOLON Inflammation, chronic Inflammation, chronic focal	(25) 4 (16%)	(22) 4 (18%)	(24) 4 (17%)	(24) 1 (4%) 2 (8%)	(20) 1 (5%)
URINARY SYSTEM					
<pre>#KIDNEY CALCULUS, NDS CONGESTION, NOS PYELONEPHRITIS, NOS</pre>	(25)	(25) t (4%)	(25) 1 (4%) 3 (12%)	(25)	(25)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE PYELONEPHRITIS SUPPURATIVE		13 (52%)	8 (32%)	15 (60%) 1 (4%)	7 (28%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GLOMERULOSCLEROSIS, NOS	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (14)
#KIDNEY/TUBULE Calculus, nos Calcification, nos	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)
#URINARY BLADDER Calculus, Nos Congestion, Nos	(24)	(23)	(23)	(24) 1 (4%)	(23) 2 (9%) 1 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS Inflammation, focal	1 (4%)		1 (4%)		1 (44)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE Inflammation, acute suppurative		1 (4%)		1 (4%)	1 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	3 (13%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)

TABLE D1. MALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)	

	CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED Control No. 2	CONTROL NO. 3	CONTROL NO. 1	
		1 (4%)			1 (4%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM					
#ADRENAL Atrophy, Nos	(24)	(22)	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(21)
#ADRENAL CORTEX Hyperplasia, Nodular	(24)	(22)	(25)	(24) 1 (4%)	(21)
#THYROID CYSTIC FOLLICLES	(25)	(24)	(20) 1 (5%)	(24) 1 (4%)	(23)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	(24) 3 (13%)	(22) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)	(23)		(21)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM					
*PREPUTIAL GLAND DILATATION, NOS CYST, NOS	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)
ABSCESS, NOS Hyperplasia, Nos				1 (4%)	1 (4%)
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC Metaplasia, squamdus				1 (4%)	1 (4%)
#PROSTATE Inflammation, acute suppurative Inflammation, chronic	(22)	(23)	(24)	(22) 1 (5%)	(22) 2 (9%)
	1 (5%) 1 (5%)	2 (9%)	1 (4%)		1 (5%)
*SEMINAL VESICLE DILATATION. NOS	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
FIBROSIS NECROSIS, NOS		1 (4%) 1 (4%)		1 (14)	
#TESTIS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(25)	(25)	(25)	(24)	(24)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL Degeneration, Nos Calcification, Focal	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	

	CONTROL NO. 1	CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	CONTROL NO. 1	CONTROL NO. 2
ATROPHY, NOS			1 (4%)		
RETENTION OF CONTENT			(25)	1 (4%)	
NERVOUS SYSTEM					
#BRAIN Calcification, focal	(25)	(25)	(25) 2 (8%)	(25)	(25)
#CEREBRAL CORTEX Calcification, focal Metaplasia, osseous	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25)
	(25)		(25)	(25)	(25)
CALCIFICATION, NOS CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	11 (44%)	1 (4%) 9 (36%)	6 (24%)	9 (36%)	4 (16%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS					
*EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
NONE					
BODY CAVITIES					
*EPICARDIUM Inflammation, Chronic Focal	(25)	(25) 1 (4%)	(25)	(25)	(25)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)	(25)
CONGESTION, NOS Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr	3 (12%)	4 (16%)	1 (4%) 3 (12%)	3 (12%)	4 (16%)
SITE UNKNOWN HEMORRHAGIC CYST	<u></u>		1		

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VENICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
ADIPOSE TISSUE Inflammation, chronic Fibrosis	1				
PECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY					
AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERF				1	2
NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISS		CALLY			

\*

.

#### TABLE D2.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	25	50	50	50
ANIMALS MISSING ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	1 23 23	50 50	50 50	48 48
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
*SKIN Ulcer, focal Acanthosis	(23)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)
*SUBCUT TIŠSUE DERMAL INCLUSION CYST INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	(23)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)
GRANULATION, TISSUE FIBROSIS		1 (2%)		1 (2%) 1 (2%)
NECROSIS, NOS METAPLASIA, OSSEOUS		1 (2%)		1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
#TRACHEA Inflammation, chronic	(23)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48)	(39)
#LUNG/BRONCHUS Inflammation, chronic	(23) 1 (4%)	(50)	(50)	(48)
#LUNG/BRONCHIOLE LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	(23) 4 (17%)	(50) 8 (16%)	(50) 6 (12%)	(48) 4 (8%)
#LUNG ATELECTASIS	(23)	(50)	(50)	(48)
CONGESTION, NOS EDEMA, NOS HEMORRHAGE	7 (30%) 1 (4%)	6 (12%) 1 (2%)	10 (20%) 1 (2%)	12 (25%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR PNEUMONIA, ASPIRATION		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
ABSCESS, NOS PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	

۲
	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
FIBROSIS Alveolar Macrophages Hyperplasia, Adenomatous		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	2 (4%) 2 (4%)
#LUNG/ALVEOLI Hemorrhage	(23)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(48)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MyEloproliferative <b>disorder</b>	(23)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48)
#SPLEEN Congestion, Nos Fibrosis, Focal Amyloidosis	(21)	(48) 1 (2%)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIESIS	1 (5%)	3 (6%)	3 (6%)	× 5 (11%)
<pre>#LYMPH NODE Congestion, NOS Edema, Nos</pre>	(16) 2 (13%)	(34) 1 (3%)	(28)	(30) 2 (7%) 2 (7%)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR Inflammation, chronic Hyperplasia, Nos Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1 (6%) 3 (19%) 2 (13%) 2 (13%)	2 (6%)		
<pre>#TRACHEAL LYMPH NODE     HEMATOPOIESIS</pre>	(16)	(34) 1 (3%)	(28)	(30)
<pre>#PANCREATIC L.NODE Congestion; Nos Hematopoiesis</pre>	(16) 1 (6%) 1 (6%)	(34)	(28)	(30)
<pre>#MESENTERIC L. NODE CONGESTION, NOS EDEMA, NOS NECROSIS, NOS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID</pre>	(16) 1 (6%) 1 (6%)	(34) 2 (6%) 2 (6%) 1 (3%) 1 (3%)	(28) 2 (7%) 1 (4%)	(30)
#LIVER HEMATOPOIESIS	(23)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(48) 3 (6%)
*MESENTERY Hyperplasia, Lymphoid	(23)	(50)	(50)	(48)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

	VEHICLE Control no. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE	
#DUODENUM Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(21)	(44) 1 (2%)	(46)	(39)	
#ADRENAL HEMATOPOIESIS	(23)	(48) 1 (2%)	(47)	(43)	
IRCULATORY SYSTEM					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Embolus, septic	(23)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48)	
#HEART Abscess, Nos	(23)	(49)	(49)	(48) 1 (2%)	
#HEART/VENTRICLE Thrombus, mural	(23)	(49)	(49)	(48) 1 (2%)	
#MYOCARDIUM Inflammation, Suppurative Inflammation, Chronic Focal Inflammation, Chronic Diffuse	(23) 1 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)	(49) 5 (10%)	(48) 3 (6%)	
#AORTIC VALVE Thrombosis, Nos	(23)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)	(48)	
#PANCREAS PERIARTERITIS	(23)	(48)	(46) 1 (2%)	(40) 1 (3%)	
#PROSTATE PERIARTERITIS		(44)	(46) 1 (2%)	(46)	
IGESTIVE SYSTEM					
#SALIVARY GLAND EDEMA, NOS Lymphocyfic inflammatory infiltr Fibrosis Necrosis, focal	(22)	(46) 7 (15%)	(48) 5 (10%)	(44) 1 (2%) 6 (14% 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	
#LIVER CYST, NOS CONGESTION, NOS	(23)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)	(48) <u>1 (2%)</u>	

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HEMORRHAGIC CYST				1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, NOS		1 (2%)		
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	3 (13%)	4 (8%)	1 (2%)	3 (6%)
INFLAMMATION, MULTIFOCAL		1 (2%)		
ABSCESS, NOS				1 (2%)
INFLANMATION, CHRONIC	1 (4%)			2 ( ( ))
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL			4 4 5 4 3	2 (4%)
FIBROSIS	1 (4%)		1 (2%)	7 (15%)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL		1 (2%)		4 ( 04)
FIBROSIS, MULTIFOCAL				1 (2%)
CIRRHOSIS, NOS				1 (2%)
HEPATITIS, TOXIC	1 (4%)		7 (14%)	14 (29%)
DEGENERATION, NOS				1 (2%)
CLOUDY SWELLING		1 (2%)		1 (2%)
NECROSIS, NOS	1 (4%)	1 (2%)		1 (2%)
NECROSIS, FOCAL	1 (4%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	4 (8%)
AMYLOIDOSIS		1 (2%)	* * * * * *	
	1 (4%)	5 (10%)	3 (6%)	9 (19%)
CALCIFICATION, NOS	1 (4%)			
PIGMENTATION, NOS			1 (2%)	11 (23%)
HEMOSIDEROSIS			1 (2%)	
FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE Hypertrophy, Nos	1 (4%)	1 (2%)		
HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL	1 (4%)	( ( )*( )	<i></i>	( ( ) )
ANGIECTASIS		1 (2%)	4 (8%)	4 (8%)
ANGLECTASIS				1 (2%)
#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR	(23)	(50)	(49)	(48)
INFLAMMATION, NOS	(23)	(30)	1 (2%)	(40)
CLOUDY SWELLING			1 (2%)	
NECROSIS, NOS		2 (4%)	2 (4%)	7 (15%)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY		7 (14%)	2 (4%)	1 (1)/1/
HYPERTROPHY, NOS		2 (4%)	2 (4%)	3 (6%)
Internetinty nes		E (4/47	2 (147	5 (047
#LIVER/PERIPORTAL	(23)	(50)	(49)	(48)
FIBROSIS	(20)	2 (4%)		(10)
HI THER / KUREEER OCH	(07)	(50)		(( ) )
#LIVER/KUPFFER CELL	(23)	(50)	(49)	(48)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS			1 (2%)	
#BILE DUCT	(23)	(50)	(49)	(48)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS			1 (2%)	
#PANCREAS	(23)	(48)	(46)	(40)
HEMORRHAGIC CYST	.23/	1 (2%)	(+0)	( 10)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR		1 (2/07		1 (3%)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL Fibrosis Necrosis, Fat Atrophy, Focal	1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)		1 (3%)
#ESOPHAGUS Inflammation, Nos	(23)	(45)	(45)	(41) 1 (2%)
#SMALL INTESTINE Inflammation, Chronic	(21) 1 (5%)	(44)	(46)	(39)
#DUODENUM Inflammation, Chronic	(21)	(44) 1 (2%)	(46)	(39)
<pre>#COLON     INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL     Nematodiasis</pre>	(22) 5 (23%)	(49) 10 (20%) 1 (2%)	(45) 4 (9%)	(43) 7 (16%)
RINARY SYSTEM				
#KIDNEY	(23)	(50)	(49)	(48)
CAST, NOS Congestion, Nos		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 3 (6%)
PYELONEPHRITIS, NOS Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr	12 (52%)	1 (2%) 23 (46%)	24 (49%)	24 (50%
PYELONEPHRITIS SUPPURATIVE Inflammation, chronic focal Fibrosis, focal Infarct, nos Metaplasia, osseous	2 (9%)	4 (8%) 5 (10%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)	7 (15%
#KIDNEY/PELVIS DILATATION, NOS	(23)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(48)
HURINARY BLADDER	(22)	(47)	(43)	(46)
CALCULUS, NOS Edema, nos		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE Inflammation, acute suppurative		1 (2%)		
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC	1 (5%)	((2%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Inflammation, Chronic Focal	2 (9%)	4 (9%)		
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	3 (14%) 2 (9%)	1 (2%) 3 (6%)	3 (7%)	2 (4%) 2 (4%)

		LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
#ADRENAL CORTEX Hyperplasia, Nodular	(23) 2 (9%)	(48)	(47)	(43)
#ZONA RETICULARIS FIBROSIS PIGMENTATION, NOS	(23)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(47)	(43)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS Hyperplasia, Focal	(23)	1 (2%)	(46)	(40)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
*PREPUTIAL GLAND Inflammation, chronic Inflammation, chronic suppurativ Acanthosis	(23) 2 (9%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)	(48)
#PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV	(21) 2 (10%) 1 (5%)	(44) 1 (2%) 4 (9%) 2 (5%) 1 (2%)	(46) 1 (2%)	(46) 1 (2%)
*SEMINAL VESICLE DILATATION, NOS	(23)	(50)	(50)	(48) 1 (2%)
#TESTIS SPERMATOCELE HEMORRHAGE FIBROSIS	(22)	(50)	(49)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
DEGENERATION, NOS NECROSIS, CASEOUS CALCIFICATION, NOS CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	2 (9%)	3 (6%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)	7 (15%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*VAS DEFERENS SPERMATOCELE	(23)	(50) 1 (2%)		(48)
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
#BRAIN CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	(23)	(50)	(50)	(48)

	VEHICLE Control no. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#BASAL GANGLIA CALCIFICATION, FOCAL	(23) 8 (35%)	(50) 14 (28%)	(50) 10 (20%)	(48) 12 (25%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
*HARDERIAN GLAND INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(23) 1 (4%)		(50)	
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				
*SKULL HEALED FRACTURE	(23)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(48)
*RIB Abscess, Nos	(23)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(48)
*SKELETAL MUSCLE Inflammation, Chronic Focal	(23)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(48)
BODY CAVITIES				
*MEDIASTINUM Abscess, Nos Fibrosis Necrosis, Nos	(23)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)	(48)
*PLEURA INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(23)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(48)
ABSCESS, NOS FIBROSIS				1 (2%) 1 (2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS		•		
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Congestion, NOS	(23)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48) 2 (4%)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	3 (13%)	7 (14%)	6 (12%)	4 (8%)
SITE UNKNOWN Abscess, Nos Granulation, Tissue	1		1	

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSI
FIBROSIS			1	
CONNECTIVE TISSUE STEATITIS	1			
PECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY				
ANIMAL MISSING/NO NECROPSY Auto/Necropsy/Histo Perf	1		4	4
AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY	1		I	2
NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EX NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED	AMINED MICROSCOPI	CALLY		

#### TABLE D3.

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1		UNTREATED Control NO. 3	VEHICLE Control No. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	25 25 25	25 24 24 24	25 25 25	25 24 24	25 25 25 25
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					
*SKIN Inflammation, Chronic	(25)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)
*SUBCUT TISSUE STEATITIS NECROSIS, FAT	(25)	(24)	(25)	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					
*NASAL CAVITY Inflammation, Chronic Inflammation, Chronic Focal	(25)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)
#LUNG/BRONCHIOLE Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr	(25) 4 (16%)	(23) 4 (17%)	(24) 4 (17%)	(24) 4 (17%)	(25) 4 (16%)
#LUNG	(25)	(23)	(24)	(24)	(25)
ATELECTASIS CONGESIION, NOS Lymphocytic Inflammatory Infiltr Inflammation, Intersitial Preumonia Intersitial Chronic	2 (8%)	4 (17%)	2 (8%) 1 (4%) 2 (8%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%)
NECROSIS, NOS		1 (4%)		1 (4%)	
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM					
#BONE MARROW Inflammation with fibrosis Fibrous osteodystrophy	(25)	(24)	(23)	(24)	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
MYELOFIBROSIS	14 (56%)	19 (79%)	14 (61%)	28 (83%)	16 (67%

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL GROUPS)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
#SPLEEN HEMORRHAGIC CYST AMYLOIDOSIS	(24)	(24) 1 (4%)	(24)	(24)	(25)
HEMOSIDEROSIS Hyperplasia, lymphoid Hematopoiesis	2 (8%)	2 (8%) 1 (4%) 6 (25%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%) 1 (4%) 2 (8%)
#SPLENIC CAPSULE FIBROSIS	(24)	(24) 1 (4%)	(24)	(24)	(25)
#LYMPH NODE	(21)	(17)	(21)	(17)	(21)
EDEMA, NOS Hyperplasia, nos Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1 (5%)	1 (6%)	1 (5%) 2 (10%) 1 (5%)	1 (6%)	1 (5%)
#SUBMANDIBULAR L.NODE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(21)	(17) 1 (6%)	(21)	(17)	(21)
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(21)	(17)	(21)	(17)	(21) 1 (5%)
#LUMBAR LYMPH NODE Inflammation, chronic	(21)	(17) 1 (6%)	(21)	(17)	(21)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE Congestion, nos Edema, nos	(21) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)	(17)	(21)	(17)	(21)
#RENAL LYMPH NODE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(21) 1 (5%)	(17)	(21)	(17)	(21)
#LIVER HEMATOPOIESIS	(25) 1 (4%)	(24) 2 (8%)	(25)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25) 4 (16%)
<pre>#THYMUS HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID</pre>	(19)		(13)	(20) 1 (5%)	(20)
SIRCULATORY SYSTEM					
#MYOCARDIUM Inflammation, Chronic Focal	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25)
#KIDNEY PERIARTERITIS	(25)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24)	(25)

Ŋ,

TABLE D3. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control no. 2
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					
#SALIVARY GLAND Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr	(25)		(22) 3 (14%)	(22)	(25) 1 (4%)
<pre>\$LIVER LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATION, MULTIFOCAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC</pre>	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(24) 3 (13%)	(25) 2 (8%)	(24) 3 (13%)	(25)
ÎNFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL Necrosis, focal Metamorphosis fatty Cytologic Degeneration	1 (4%)		1 (4%) 1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)
ANGIECTASIS		1 (4%)		1 (4%)	
<pre>#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR DEGENERATION, NOS NECROSIS, NOS</pre>	(25)	(24)	(25)	(24) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(25)
#PANCREAS	(22)	(21)	(23)	(24)	(24)
CYSI, NOS Cystic ducts	1 (5%)		1 (4%)		1 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL Inflammation, Chronic	1 (5%) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)			1 (4%)	
FIBROSIS	1 (32)		1 (4%)	1 (44)	
NECROSIS, FAT Atrophy, Nos	2 (9%)		1 (4%) 2 (9%)	1 (4%)	
ATROPHY, FOCAL	1 (5%)				1 (4%)
#COLON	(21)	(21)	(23)	(23)	(25)
HEMORRHAGIC CYST Inflammation, Chronic Focal	3 (14%)	1 (5%) 3 (14%)	1 (4%)	3 (13%)	2 (8%)
URINARY SYSTEM					
#KIDNEY Hydronephrosis		(24)	(25)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)
CONGESTION, NOS Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr	1 (4%) 8 (32%)	11 (46%)	10 (40%)	13 (54%)	1 (4%) 8 (32%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	1 (4%)			1 (4%)	1 (4%)
GLOMERULONEPHRITIS, CHRONIC Inflammation, Chronic Focal Calcification, Focal	1 (4%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1		UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3		VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
ATROPHY, NOS	1 (4%)				
#KIDNEY/PELVIS DILATATION, NOS	(25)	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)
<pre>#URINARY BLADDER Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr inflammation, acute</pre>	(23)	(21)	(22)	(22)	(23) 1 (4%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL NECROSIS, FAT	7 (30%)	1 (5%) 6 (29%)	2 (9%)		3 (13%) 6 (26%)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL		2 (10%)			3 (13%) 2 (9%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM					
<pre>#PITUITARY CONGESTION, NOS Hyperplasia, NOS Hyperplasia, Chromophobe-Cell</pre>	(21)	(22)	(16) 2 (13%) 1 (6%) 1 (6%)	(18)	(22)
#ADRENAL Congestion, Nos	(24)	(23)	(24)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25) 2 (8%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX Hyperplasia, Nodular	(24)	(23)	(24)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25) 1 (4%)
#ZONA GLOMERULOSA Metaplasia, nos	(24)	(23)	(24)	(24)	(25) 2 (8%)
#THYROID Cyst, NDS	(22)	(21)	(24) 2 (8%)	(23)	(21)
CYSTIC FOLLICLES Inflammation, acute/chronic Hyperplasia, cystic			1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (5%) 1 (5%)
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR-CELL		1 (5%)			1 (5%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM					
*MAMMARY GLAND Galactocele Hyperplasia, Nos	(25) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(24) 1 (4%)	(25)	(24) 2 (8%)	(25)

	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 1	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 2
SUTERUS Dilatation, Nos Hemorrhagic Cyst Scar Polyp, Inflammatory	(23)	(23)	(24) 1 (4%)	(24) 1 (4%)	(23) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM Inflammation, vesicular Inflammation, chronic Inflammation chronic cystic Hyperplasia, nos	2 (9%)	(23) 2 (9%)	1 (4%)	(24) 1 (4%) 3 (13%)	2 (9%)
HYPERPLASIA, RUS Hyperplasia, Cystic	13 (57%)	15 (65%)	9 (38%)	18 (75%)	14 (61%)
#OVARY ATRESIA Hemorrhage Hemorrhagic Cyst		(19)		1 (5%)	(19) 1 (5%)
HEMDRRHAGIC CYST Atrophy, Nos Atrophy, Cystic	19 (83%)	18 (95%)	15 (68%)	18 (82%) 1 (5%)	17 (89%)
ERVOUS SYSTEM					
#BRAIN Gliosis Calcification, focal	(25)	(24)	(25)	(24) 2 (8%)	(24) 1 (4%)
<b>BASAL GANGLIA</b> Calcification, Focal	(25) 11 (44%)	(24) 8 (33%)	(25) 7 (28%)	(24) 9 (38%)	(24) 10 (42%)
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS					
¥EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND Hyperplasia, Nos	(25)	(24)	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)
*HARDERIAN GLAND Inflammation, vesicular	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)		
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					
NONE					

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

144

	UNTREATED Control No. 1	UNTREATED Control No. 2	UNTREATED CONTROL NO. 3	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 1	VEHICLE Control No. 2
BODY CAVITIES					
*PLEURA Inflammation, chronic focal	(25)	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25)
*PERICARDIUM Inflammation, Chronic	(25) 1 (4%)	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS					
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(25)	(24)	(25)	(24)	(25)
CONGESTION, NOS Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr Adhesion, fibrous	1 (4%) 11 (44%)	9 (38%) 1 (4%)	5 (20%)	8 (33%)	1 (4%) 8 (32%)
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY					
AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY		1		t	

#### TABLE D4.

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE ADMINISTERED HCDD BY GAVAGE (CONTROL AND DOSED GROUPS)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY Animals necropsied Animals examined histopathologically	25 25 25 25	50 49 49	50 49 48	50 49 49
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				
*SKIN Epidermal inclusion cyst Hyperplasia, nos	(25)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)	(49)
*SUBCUT TISSUE Inflammation, suppurative granulation, tissue Necrosis. Nos	(25)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				
#TRACHEA Hyperplasia, epithelial	(25)	(48)	(42)	(44) 1 (2%)
#LUNG/BRONCHIOLE Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr	(25) 1 (4%)	(49) 10 (20%)	(48) 4 (8%)	(48) 6 (13%)
#LUNG ATELECTASIS	(25)	(49)	(48)	(48)
CONGESTION, NOS EDEMA, NOS HEMORRHAGE	1 (4%)	4 (8%) 1 (2%)	7 (15%)	5 (10%)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC FIBROSIS, MULTIFOCAL		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HEMOSIDEROSIS Alveolar MacRophages Hyperplasia, Adenomatous	1 (4%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
#LUNG/ALVEOLI HEMORRHAGE	(25)	(49)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48)

	VEHICLE Control no. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS HEMATOPOIESIS	(25)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)
*MEDIASTINUM Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(25)	(49)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)
*MAMMARY GLAND Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(25)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)	(49)
#BONE MARROW Atrophy, nos Myelofibrosis	(23) 19 (83%)	(48) 1 (2%) 37 (77%)	(46) 1 (2%) 34 (74%)	(48) 1 (2%) 26 (54%)
#SPLEEN CONGESTION, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC NECROSIS, FOCAL HEMOSIDEROSIS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(23) 1 (4%) 1 (4%)	(48) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%)	(47) 1 (2%)	(46)
HEMATOPOIESIS	1 (4%)	4 (8%)	2 (4%)	5 (11%)
#LYMPH NODE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(22) 3 (14%)	(42)	(36)	(30)
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE Pigmentation, Nos Hyperplasia, Lymphoid	(22) 1 (5%)	(42)	(36) 1 (3%)	(30) 1 (3%)
#BRONCHIAL LYMPH NODE Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(22)	(42)	(36) 1 (3%)	(30)
#PYLORIC LYMPH NODE STEATITIS NECROSIS, FAT HISTIOCYTOSIS	(22)	(42) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(36)	(30)
#LUNG HEMATOPOIESIS	(25)	(49) 1 (2%)	(48)	(48)
#LIVER HEMATOPOIESIS	(24) 2 (8%)	(48) 4 (8%)	(47) 24 (51%)	(47) 20 (43%)
#SMALL INTESTINE HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(23)	(45)	(43)	(41)

	VEHICLE Control No. 3		MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#PEYER'S PATCH Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(23) 3 (13%)	(45)	(43)	(41)
#DUODENUM HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		(45)	(43) 2 (5%)	(41) 2 (5%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				
#LUNG PERIARTERITIS	(25)	(49)	(48) 2 (4%)	(48)
#HEART/VENTRICLE Thrombus, mural Infection, bacterial	(25)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(47)
#MYOCARDIUM Inflammation, Chronic Focal	(25)	(49)	(47) 3 (6%)	(47) 1 (2%)
#OVARY HEMANGIOMATOSIS	(23)	(46)	(40)	(36) 1 (3%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				
#SALIVARY GLAND Cyst, NDS	(25)	(47)	(47)	(44)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR Inflammation, chronic focal Atrophy, focal	1 (4%)	1 (2%) 3 (6%)	4 (9%)	2 (5%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#LIVER Cyst, NDS Congestion, NOS	(24)	(48)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(47) 1 (2%)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR Inflammation, multifocal	3 (13%)		2 (4%)	5 (11%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Inflammation, chronic focal Degeneration, nos Cloudy Swelling Degeneration, hydropic Necrosis, nos	1 (4%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
NECROSIS, NOS NECROSIS, FOCAL METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	2_(8%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
CYTOLOGIC DEGENERATION Hypertrophy, Nos Hypertrophy, Focal Hyperplasia, Nos			1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR NECROSIS, NOS METAMORPHOSIS FATTY</pre>	(24)	(48)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(47) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#LIVER/KUPFFER CELL Hyperplasia, NOS</pre>	(24)	(48)	(47) 1 (2%)	(47)
<pre>#LIVER/HEPATOCYTES HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL</pre>	(24)	(48)	(47) 1 (2%)	(47)
<pre>#PANCREAS DILATATION/DUCTS Cysiic Ducts Lymphocytic Inflammatory Infiltr</pre>	(23)	(47)	(46)	(44) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Inflammation, Chronic Focal Fibrosis Metamorphosis Fatty	1 (44)	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
ATROPHY, NOS	1 (4%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%)	3 (7%)	
*COLON Inflammation, Chronic Inflammation, Chronic Focal	(25) 1 (4%) 7 (28%)	• • • •	(44) 12 (27%)	(43) 1 (2X) 3 (7X)
IRINARY SYSTEM				
HYDRONEPHROSIS	(24)	(49)	(48)	(46) 1 (2%)
PYELONEPHRITIS, NOS Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr Inflammation, interstitial		24 (49%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 24 (50%) 1 (2%)	27 (59%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Inflammation, Chronic Focal Infarct, Nos	1 (4%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
#URINARY BLADDER EDEMA, NOS	(24)	(43)	(40)	(42)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

/

/

TABLE D4. FEMALE MICE (CONTROL AND	) DOSED GROUPS): NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS
(CONTINUED)	
-	

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULATION, TISSUE FIBROSIS HEMOSIDEROSIS	1 (4%) 9 (38%)	1 (2%) 2 (5%)	2 (5%) 8 (20%)	1 (2%) 3 (7%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	1 (4%)		1 (3%)	2 (5%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM				
#PITUITARY Congestion, Nos	(22)	(41) 2 (5%)	(40)	(41)
HEMORHAGIC CYST HEMOSIDEROSIS		1 (2%)	1 (347	1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS Hyperplasia, chromophobe-cell		2 (5%) 2 (5%)		2 (5%)
#ADRENAL HEMORRHAGE	(24)	(46)	(46)	(47)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR Atrophy, Nos			1 (24)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#ADRENAL/CAPSULE Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr	(24)	(46)	(46) 1 (2%)	(47) 1 (2%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	(24) 1 (4%)	(46) 1 (2%)	(46)	(47)
#THYROID Follicular Cyst, Nos	(25)	(44)	(40)	(42)
FIBROSIS Hyperplasia, focal		1 (2%)		1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM				
*MAMMARY GLAND Galactocele	(25)	(49) 4 (8%)	(49) 3 (6%)	(49) 1 (2%)
#UTERUS DILATATION, NOS CYST, NOS	(25)	(45)	(45) 1 (2%)	(45) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HEMORRHAGE HEMORRHAGIC CYST	1 (4%)	1 (2%)		

	VEHICLE Control No. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC Abscess, Chronic Granulation, Tissue				1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
FIBROSIS		1 (2%)		1 (24)
NECROSIS, NOS Metaplasia, squamous		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM	(25)	(45)	(45)	(45)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE Inflammation, vesicular Abscess, nos				
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	1 (4%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
ABSCESS, NUS Inflammation, Chronic Inflammation, Chronic Suppurativ Inflammation Chronic Cystic Hyperplasia, Nos	1 (4%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	6 (13%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%)
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC	20 (80%)	36 (80%)	24 (53%)	17 (38%)
#OVARY/PAROVARIAN Inflammation, chronic	(25)	(45)	(45)	(45) 1 (2%)
#DVARY Cyst, Nos	(23)	(46)	(40) 1 (3%) 6 (15%) 34 (85%)	(36)
MULTIPLE CYSTS HEMORRHAGIC CYST	2 (9%)	2 (4%)	6 (15%)	4 (11%
ATROPHY, NOS	22 (96%)	41 (89%)	34 (85%)	33 (92%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM				
#BRAIN Calcification, focal	(25)	(49) 2 (4%)	(47)	(48)
#BASAL GANGLIA Calcification, focal	(25) 6 (24%)	(49) 6 (12%)	(47) 12 (26%)	(48) 18 (38%)
	(25)	(49) 4 (8%)	(47)	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(25)		(49)	(49) 1 (2%)

NONE

**.** .

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

151

	VEHICLE CONTROL NO. 3	LOW DOSE	MID DOSE	HIGH DOS
ODY CAVITIES				
XPLEURA INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE NECROSIS, NOS	(25)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
LL OTHER SYSTEMS				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(25)	(49)	(49)	(49)
CONGESTION, NOS Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltr	12 (48%)	2 (4%) 8 (16%)	1 (2%) 8 (16%)	
SITE UNKNOWN Inflammation, Chronic Fibrosis			1	
PECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY				
NO LESION REPORTED		1	_	
AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERF Traumatic abnormality		1	2	1
AUTO/NECROPSY/NO HISTO Autolysis/No necropsy		1	1	1

١

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

APPENDIX E

PREPARATION OF HCDD

#### APPENDIX E

#### Preparation of HCDD

3,4,5-Trichlorophenol (Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, Wis.) was  $80^{\circ} - 90^{\circ} c$ in acetic acid product. brominated at glacial and the 2-bromo-3,4,5-trichlorophenol, was recrystallized from methanol-water or The potassium salt of 2-bromo-3,4,5-trichlorophenol was benzene-hexane. prepared by treating the phenol with potassium hydride in benzene. The dry potassium salt of 2-bromo-3,4,5-trichlorophenol was covered with a layer of dry potassium carbonate and heated under sublimation conditions at  $240^{\circ}-270^{\circ}C$  at < 1 mm pressure for 20 hours. The crude product contained hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins and bromohexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins (from the 2,6-dibromo-3,4,5-trichlorophenol condensation of impurity the in 2-bromo-3,4,5-trichlorophenol) and other minor impurities. The crude product was debrominated by hydrogenolysis with lithium aluminum hydride at 0°C to yield HCDD (Gray et al., 1975).

•

APPENDIX F

ANALYSIS OF HCDD

#### APPENDIX F

#### Analysis of HCDD

IIT Research Institute

A.	Vapor-Phase Chromatography	
	Column:	0V-1, 6 ft x 1/8 in
	Detector:	Flame Ionization
	Oven Temperature I:	150°-250°C at 12°/minute
	Results:	Two peaks occurred between 8 and 9 minutes retention time, representing 1.38% of the total peak area. Major peaks were at 9.5 minutes, comprising the remainder of the total peak area
	Conclusion:	(98.62%). The peaks comprising 1.38% were iden- tified as pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxins
		on the basis of relative retention
		times. The major peak was identified
		as hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, as de-
		scribed in the chemical section of
		this report and in the literature
		(Gray et al., 1975).

B. Vapor-Phase Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry

After completion of the bioassay, IITRI reanalyzed the HCDD. In these analyses, HCDD was found to contain approximately 0.4% pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and 0.07% tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, based on vapor-phase chromatographic areas (conditions unspecified). The identities of the impurities reportedly were confirmed by mass spectrometry. Detection limits were estimated at 0.01%.

#### Analysis of HCDD

#### Midwest Research Institute

#### A. Vapor-Phase Chromatography

#### 1. Electron Capture Detection

a. System 1:

Instrument: Bendix 2500
Column: 3% OV-1, 1.8m x 4 mm I.D.
Detector: Electron capture, <sup>63</sup> Ni
Oven Temperature: 160°C, isothermal
Compound Concentration: 0.032 mg/ml in benzene
Results: One peak with a retention time identical to that of an
authentic sample of tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. By
comparison of the area of this peak with that of a
weighed solution of tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, it
was calculated that the tetrachloro compound was
present at a concentration of 0.07%.

#### b. System 2

Instrument: Varian 1400
Column: 3% OV-1, 1.8 m x 4 mm I.D.
Detector: Electron capture, Sc<sup>3</sup>H<sub>3</sub>
Oven Temperature I: 160°C, isothermal
Compound Concentration: Saturated (2 mg/ml in benzene)
Results: Major peak not eluted in 45 minutes. Eight minor impurities detected.

Peak	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time (Relative to Tetrachloro- dibenzo-p-dioxin	Possible Identity	Percent by Weight
1	4.2	0.11	Unknown	-
2	7.9	0.20	Dichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	~ 0.004
3	9.5	0.24	Unknown	
4	11.7	0.29	Unknown	_
5	17.3	0.43	Trichlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	~ 0.004
6	25.6	0.64	Unknown	_
7	34.5	0.87	Unknown	-
8	39.8	1.00	Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	~ 0.01

Possible identities were assigned to peaks which had retention times identical to those for authentic samples of other chlorinated dibenzo-pdioxins. Percentage compositions by weight were calculated by comparison of the areas of the impurity peaks in the weighed sample to the area of the tentatively identified chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin in a weighed solution of similar concentration. No authensample of monochlorodibenzo-ptic dioxin was available. It is possible that the first peak is the monochloro compound.No percentage compositions were calculated for the unknown peaks because of the great variation in response of electron capture detectors to different compounds.

c. System 3:

Instrument:	Varian 1400
Column:	3% OV-1, 1.8 m x 4 mm I.D.
Detector:	Electron capture, $Sc^{3}H_{3}$
Oven Temperature II:	225°C, isothermal
Compound Concentration:	0.032 mg/ml in benzene
Results:	Major peak and three impurities.

Peak	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time (Relative to Hexachloro- dibenzo-p-dioxin)	Hex dib	a lative to achloro- enzo-p- xin)
1	4.0	0.25	Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	0.08
2	7.8	0.50	Unknown	_
3	8.6	0.54	Unknown	-
4	15.7	1.00	Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	1.00

Nothing else eluted in 50 minutes.

The first peak was again quantitated against an authentic sample of tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. No authentic sample of pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin was available. The two peaks with retention times intermediate between tetra- and hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin

đ.	System 4:		could be pentachloro compounds, but there was no way to verify this. Octa- chlorodibenzo-p-dioxin was not de- tected; the detection limit in this sample is less than 0.004%.
		or: emperature III: ad Concentration:	Bendix 2500 3% Dexsil 400, 1.8 m x 2 mm I.D. Electron capture, <sup>63</sup> Ni 275°C, isothermal 0.032 mg/ml in benzene Major peak and two impurities with longer retention times.
Peak	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time (Relative to Hexachloro- dibenzo-p-dioxi	n) Identity
1 2 3	2.1 3.5 4.8	1.0 1.7 2.3	Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin Unknown Unknown

Nothing else eluted in 20 minutes.

Under these same conditions octachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin had a retention time of 5.5 minutes and thus was not detected in the sample. This column separated two impurities with retention times intermediate between those of hexa - and octachlorodibenzo-pdioxin. Either of these impurities could have been heptachlorodibenzo-pdioxin, but no authentic sample was available.

#### 2. Flame Ionization Detection

a. System 1:

Instrument:	Tracor MT 220
Column:	Stainless steel capillary coated with
	OV-101, 50 ft. x 0.02 in. I.D.
Oven Temperature:	190°C, isothermal
Results:	Major peak and three impurities.

Peak	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time (Relative to that of Hexachloro- dibenzo-p-dioxin)	Area (Relative to that of Hexachloro- dibenzo-p-dioxin)
1	6.0	0.50	0.2
2	6.8	0.57	0.6
3	11.3	0.94	1.40
4	12.0	1.00	100

Peak No. 3 was a shoulder on the major peak and probably did not separate from the major peak on the packed columns used with the electron capture detectors. Peaks Nos. 1 and 2 were too large to be due to the chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (di-, tri-, and tetra-) observed and quantitated by electron capture, but it is possible that these are the unknown peaks detected by electron capture.

b. System 2:

Instrument:	Tracor MT 220
Column:	3% Dexsil 400, 1.8 m x 2 mm I.D.
Oven Temperature:	150° to 285°C at 10°C/min
Results:	Major peak and one impurity.

Peak	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time (Relative to that of Hexachloro- dibenzo-p-dioxin)	Area (Relative to that of Hexachloro- dibenzo-p-dioxin)	
1	9.6	0.85	0.2	
2	11.3	1.00	100	

c. System 3:

	Instrument: Column: Oven Temperature Results:	•:	bi-p-tolui 1.2 m x 2 m 235°C, isot	s(p-methoxyb dine (liq m I.D. chermal (indicating	penzylidine)-α,α uid crystal), the presence of
	Retention Time (min)	Retention (Relative of Larger	to that	Area (Relat to that of Larger Peal	
,	10.9 13.4	0.81	-	46 100	

## B. Mass Spectrometry

Peak

1 2

1. Vapor-Phase Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry

Instrument:	Varian MAT CH4B mass spectrometer interfaced via a Watson-Biemann helium
	separator to a Tracor MT 2000 MF gas
	chromatograph. Data processed by a Varian
	620/i computer.
Column:	3% OV-1, 1.8 m x 2 mm I.D.
Oven Temperature:	210°C, isothermal
Results:	Only one peak, that for the major
	component, was detected on the ion current
	monitor. Specific ion searches for other
	possible impurities indicated the presence
	of pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin and bromo-
	pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin; the searches
	gave no evidence for the presence of other
	chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins or tetrabro-
	<pre>momonochloro- or bromohexachlorodibenzo- p-dioxin.</pre>

Peak	Mass	<b>Relative</b> Intensities	Calculated Relative Intensities
Pentachlorodibenzo-p-	354	74	61
dioxin	356	100	100
	358	85	66
Bromopentachloro-	434	138	98
dibenzo-p-dioxin	436	100	100
-	438	51	53

Peak	Mass	Relative to	Relative Intensities of Parent Ion Cluster	Relative
Hexachlorodi-	28(N <sub>2</sub> )	100		
benzo-p-dioxin	262	5		
-	264	9		
	325	12		
	327	20		
	329	12		
	356	7		
	388	47	57	51
	390	82	100	100
	391	10	12	13
	392	72	88	82
	394	30	37	36 ·
	396	11	13	9

2. Direct Inlet Mass Spectrometry

Instrument:	Varian MAT CH4B mass spectrometer. Data were processed by a Varian 620/i computer.
Results:	computer. Mass spectrum consistent with the structure of the major component. Specific ion searches for the two most intense masses in the parent ion cluster of pentachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin were positive, but these masses also occur in the fragmenta- tion of hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, so the presence of pentachlorodibenzo- p-dioxin could be neither confirmed nor denied. Specific ion searches did not detect any of the other chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins or bromopentachloro-, tetrabromomono- chloro-, or bromohexachlorodibenzo- p-dioxins or 2-bromo-3,4,5-trichloro- phenol, the starting material in the synthesis of hexachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin. Peaks were detected with masses at 436, 485, 487, 492, 513, 515, 545, and 547-554, which could
	not be due to the major component. The origin of these peaks was not determined.

## C. Special Analyses

Subsequent to the analyses described in A and B above, the following special analyses were performed.

 Vapor-Phase Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry with Solid Injection

Instrument:	Varian MAT CH4B mass spectrometer
	interfaced via a Watson-Biemann
	helium separator to a Tracor MT 2000
	MF gas chromatograph. Data processed
	by a Varian 620/i computer.
Column:	3% Dexsil 400, 1.8 m x 4 mm I.D. on
	Chromosorb W (AW)
Oven Temperature:	300°C, isothermal
Inlet Temperature:	320°C
Helium Separator Temperature:	340°C

Sample Injection:	0.5 mg hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin was loaded into a solid sampler (Analabs) and injected directly onto the column.
Results:	Two minor peaks were detected on the ion current monitor before the major peak was eluted. Specific ion searches for the masses in the parent ion cluster indicated that the first minor peak was tetrachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin.

### TETRACHLORODIBENZO-p-DIOXIN

Mass	Relative Intensities	Calculated Relative Intensities
320	74	76
322	100	100
324	55	50

2. Vapor-Phase Chromatography with Electron Capture Detection: Quantitation of Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin

Instrument:	Varian Aerograph 1400
Detector:	Electron capture, Sc <sup>3</sup> H <sub>3</sub>
Column:	3% Dexsil 400 on Chromosorb W
	(AW) $1.8 \text{ m} \times 2 \text{ mm}$ I.D., glass
Inlet Temperature:	230°C
Detector Temperature:	270 <sup>o</sup> C
Oven Temperature:	220 <sup>0</sup> C
Concentration of Hexachloro-	
dibenzo-p-dioxin:	1.1 mg/ml in
-	benzene
Percent Tetrachlorodibenzo-	
p-dioxin:	0.09±0.03( <i>s</i> )%
-	

#### APPENDIX G

## QUARTERLY ANALYSES OF STOCK SOLUTIONS

۱.

#### APPENDIX G

#### Quarterly Analyses of HCDD Stock Solutions

Stock solutions of HCDD in acetone were analyzed at the beginning and at the end of each quarter by the IITRI Chemistry Division. The method of analysis consisted of adding an internal standard (pentachlorodibenzo-pdioxin, PCDD) to samples so that the internal standard concentration was approximately the same as that of the sample being analyzed. The solution containing sample and standard was then injected onto a Dexsil 300 column  $(2m \times 1/8 \text{ in.})$  at  $275^{\circ}\text{C}$  with a carrier/gas  $(N_2/\text{CH}_4)$  flow rate of 50 ml/minute in a gas chromatograph equipped with an electron capture detector. Quantitation was achieved by manually measuring the area under the resultant peaks with a planimeter and comparing with standard curves for the internal standard and test compound. The standard curve was represented by a third order polynomial equation fitting response to amounts.

The theoretical concentration for the stock solution was  $100 \ \mu g/ml$ . The actual concentration as measured by the above method varied from 78 to 108  $\mu g/ml$ . The mean was 109.3  $\mu g/ml$  and the coefficient of variation was 17.6% The corn oil:acetone working solutions of HCDD were not analyzed because efforts to develop a method that would quantitatively separate the dioxins from the corn oil were not successful.

-

Review of the Bioassay of 1,2,3,7,8,9-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (HCDD)\* (gavage) for Carcinogenicity by the Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens

#### February 15, 1980

The Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens was established in May, 1976, in compliance with DHEW Committee Regulations and the Provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of the Clearinghouse is to advise the Director of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) on its bioassay program to identify and to evaluate chemical carcinogens in the environment to which humans may be exposed. The members of the Clearinghouse have been drawn from academia, industry, organized labor, public interest groups, State health officials, and quasi-public health and research organizations. Members have been selected on the basis of their experience in carcinogenesis or related fields and, collectively, provide expertise in chemistry, biochemistry, biostatistics, toxicology, pathology, and epidemiology. Representatives of various Governmental agencies participate as ad hoc members. The Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse is charged with the responsibility of providing a peer review of reports prepared on NCI-sponsored bioassays of chemicals studied for carcinogenicity. It is in this context that the below critique is given on the bioassay of 1,2,3,7,8,9-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (HCDD) for carcinogenicity.

The primary reviewer for the report on the bioassay of HCDD by gavage said that the compound was a mixture of two isomers and belonged to the family of dioxins. After a brief description of the experimental conditions of test, the reviewer commented on the high incidence of toxic hepatitis exhibited by treated animals. Liver tumors were induced by HCDD in female rats and both sexes of mice. The reviewer said a shortcoming of the study was the contamination of HCDD with about 0.1 percent of TCDD, a known carcinogen. However, the contamination probably made little difference since it was the commercial material that was tested. He added that HCDD is probably carcinogenic by itself. The reviewer concluded that a substantial exposure to HCDD is likely to pose a risk to human beings.

The secondary reviewer questioned the statement in the report regarding the toxicity of HCDD. He said it is necessary to state more explicitly the parameters for toxicity. The primary reviewer moved that the report on the bioassay of HCDD by gavage be accepted as written. The motion was seconded and approved unanimously.

#### Members present were:

Arnold L. Brown (Chairman), University of Wisconsin Medical School David B. Clayson, Eppley Institute for Research in Cancer Joseph Highland, Environmental Defense Fund William Lijinsky, Federick Cancer Research Center Henry C. Pitot, University of Wisconsin Medical Center Verne A. Ray, Pfizer Medical Research Laboratory Louise Strong, University of Texas Health Sciences Center

<sup>\*</sup> Subsequent to this review, changes may have been made in the bioassay report either as a result of the review or other reasons. Thus, certain comments and criticisms reflected in the review may no longer be appropriate.

NIH Publication No. 80-1754 August 1980