NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM Technical Report Series No. 224



#### NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM

ŝ,

The National Toxicology Program (NTP), established in 1978, develops and evaluates scientific information about potentially toxic and hazardous chemicals. This knowledge can be used for protecting the health of the American people and for the primary prevention of chemically induced disease. By bringing together the relevant programs, staff, and resources from the U.S. Public Health Service, DHHS, the National Toxicology Program has centralized and strengthened activities relating to toxicology research, testing and test development/validation efforts, and the dissemination of toxicological information to the public and scientific communities and to the research and regulatory agencies.

The NTP is comprised of four charter DHHS agencies: the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health; the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health; the National Center for Toxicological Research, Food and Drug Administration; and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control. In June 1981, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Testing Program, NCI, was transferred to the NIEHS. NTP Technical Report

on the

CARCINOGENESIS BIOASSAY

 $\mathbf{of}$ 

TARA GUM

(CAS No. 39300-88-4)

in F344 RATS AND B6C3F<sub>1</sub> MICE

(FEED STUDY)



NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM Research Triangle Park Box 12233 North Carolina 27709 and Bethesda, Maryland 20205

March 1982

NTP-80-78 NIH Publication No. 82-1780

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

#### NOTE TO THE READER

This is one in a series of experiments designed to determine whether selected chemicals produce cancer in animals. Chemicals selected for testing in the NTP carcinogenesis bioassay program are chosen primarily on the bases of human exposure, level of production, and chemical structure. Selection per se is not an indicator of a chemical's carcinogenic Negative results, in which the test animals do not have a potential. greater incidence of cancer than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicate that exposure to the chemical is a potential hazard to humans. The determination of the risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in animals requires a wider analysis which extends beyond the purview of this study.

This study was initiated by the National Cancer Institute's Carcinogenesis Testing Program, now part of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Toxicology Program.

These NTP Technical Reports are available for sale from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-487-4650).

Comments and questions about the National Toxicology Program Technical Reports on Carcinogenesis Bioassays should be directed to the National Toxicology Program, located at Room A-306, Landow Building, Bethesda, MD 20205 (301-496-1152) or at Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (919-541-3991).

Although every effort is made to prepare the Technical Reports as accurately as possible, mistakes may occur. Readers are requested to communicate any mistakes to the Deputy Director, NTP (P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709), so that corrective action may be taken. Further, anyone who is aware of related ongoing or published studies not mentioned in this report is encouraged to make this information known to the NTP.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Abstract		vii
Contribu	itors	ix
Peer-Rev	view Panel and Comments	xi
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Materials and Methods	3
Α.	Chemical	3
в.	Dietary Preparation	3
с.	Animals	5
D.	Animal Maintenance	5
Ε.	Single-Dose Toxicity and 14-Day Repeated-Dose Study	6
F.	Subchronic Studies	6
G.	Chronic Studies	11
н.	Clinical Examinations and Pathology	11
I.	Data Recording and Statistical Analyses	13
III.	Results - Rats	17
Α.	Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)	17
В.	Survival (Rats)	17
с.	Pathology (Rats)	21
D.	Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)	21
IV.	Results - Mice	33
Α.	Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)	33
в.	Survival (Mice)	33
с.	Pathology (Mice)	33
D.	Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)	37
۷.	Discussion	47
VI.	Conclusions	48
VII.	Bibliography	49

## TABLES

.

Table l	Source and Descriptions of Materials Used for Animal Maintenance 4
Table 2	Dosage, Survival, and Mean Body Weights of Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum for 14 days
Table 3	Dosage, Survival, and Mean Body Weights of Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum for 14 days
Table 4	Dosage, Survival, and Mean Body Weights of Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum for 13 weeks
Table 5	Dosage, Survival, and Mean Body Weights of Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum for 13 weeks
Table 6	Experimental Design of Chronic Feeding Studies with Tara Gum in Rats and Mice
Table 7	Mean Body Weight Change (Relative to Controls) of Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum
Table 8	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum 23
Table 9	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum
Table 10	Mean Body Weight Change (Relative to Controls) of Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum
Table ll	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum
Table 12	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum

.

## FIGURES

Page

Figure	1	Growth Curves for Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum
Figure	2	Survival Curves for Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum
Figure	3	Growth Curves for Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum
Figure	4	Survival Curves for Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum
Figure	5	Infrared Absorption Spectrum of Tara Gum (Pellet)
Figure	6	Infrared Absorption Spectrum of Tara Gum (Thin Film) 100

## APPENDIXES

Appendix A	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum	53
Table Al	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum	55
Table A2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum	59
Appendix B	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum	63
Table Bl	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum	65
Table B2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum	69
Appendix C	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum	73
Table Cl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum	75

## Page

Table C2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic
	Lesions in Female Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum
Appendix D	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum 85
Table Dl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum
Table D2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum
Appendix E	Analysis of Tara Gum (Lot No. 897) Midwest Research Institute
Appendix F	Feed Consumption by Rats and Mice in the Chronic Study
Table Fl	Feed Consumption by Male Rats Receiving Tara Gum
Table F2	Feed Consumption by Female Rats Receiving Tara Gum
Table F3	Feed Consumption by Male Mice Receiving Tara Gum
Table F4	Feed Consumption by Female Mice Receiving Tara Gum

#### ABSTRACT

A carcinogenesis bioassay of tara gum, a potential stabilizer for cosmetics and foods, was conducted by feeding diets containing 25,000 or 50,000 ppm of the test substance to 50 F344 rats and 50 B6C3F1 mice of either sex for 103 weeks. Groups of 50 untreated rats and mice of either sex served as controls.

In the chronic bioassay, mean body weights of dosed and control rats of either sex were comparable over the course of the study. Feed consumption by low- and high-dose male rats was 92% and 95% that of the controls, and feed consumption by low- and high-dose female rats was 87% and 79% that of the controls. Mean body weights of high-dose mice of either sex were lower than those of controls; feed consumption by dosed mice was comparable with that of controls. Although the rats and mice might have been able to tolerate higher doses, 50,000 ppm (5%) is the recommended maximum concentration of a test substance mixed in feed, according to the guidelines of the Bioassay Program.

No tumors were observed in increased incidences that were considered to be related to administration of tara gum to either species. Interstitialcell tumors of the testis in male rats were observed in a statistically significant ( $P \le 0.003$  for trend and group comparisons) positive relationship (40/48 controls; 46/46 low dose; 48/48 high dose); because these tumors are present in almost all aged F344 male rats and because of the marginal statistical significance when time-adjusted analyses are applied, these increases are not regarded as being related to tara gum administration.

A significant (P < 0.05) negative trend was observed in the proportion of male rats with pancreatic islet cell adenoma (5/45 controls, 1/44 low dose, 0/45 high dose), of female mice with alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas (7/50, 2/49, 2/50), and of female mice with hepatocellular adenomas (9/49, 4/49, 1/50).

Under the conditions of this bioassay, tara gum was not carcinogenic for F344 rats or B6C3F1 mice of either sex.

• ,

#### CONTRIBUTORS

The bioassay of tara gum was conducted at EG&G Mason Research Institute, Worcester, Massachusetts, under a subcontract to Tracor Jitco, Inc., the prime contractor for the NCI/NTP Bioassay Program. The prechronic study was started in November, 1976 and finished in April, 1977; the chronic study was begun in October, 1977 and completed in October, 1979.

The bioassay was conducted under the direction of Drs. H. Lilja (1) and E. Massaro (1,2), principal investigators. Doses of the test chemical were selected by Drs. J. Robens (3,4), C. Cueto (5), and R. Fogleman (3). The program manager was Ms. R. Monson (1). Ms. A. Good (1) supervised the technicians in charge of animal care, and Ms. E. Zepp (1) supervised the preparation of the feed mixtures and collected samples of the diets for analysis. Ms. D. Bouthot (1) kept all daily records of the test. Dr. A.S.K. Murthy (1), pathologist, directed the necropsies and performed the histopathologic evaluations. The pathology report and selected slides were evaluated by the NCI Pathology Working Group as described by Ward et al. (1978). The diagnoses represent a consensus of contracting pathologists and the NCI Pathology Working Group, with final approval by the NCI Pathology Working Group.

Animal pathology tables and survival tables were compiled at EG&G Mason Research Institute, Rockville, Maryland (6). The statistical analyses were performed by Dr. J. R. Joiner (3) and Mr. J. Warner (3), using methods selected for the bioassay program by Dr. J. J. Gart (7).

This report was prepared at Tracor Jitco (3) and reviewed by NTP. Those responsible for the report at Tracor Jitco were Dr. C. Cueto, (5), Director of the Bioassay Program; Dr. S. S. Olin, Associate Director; Dr. M. A. Stedham, pathologist; Dr. J. Tomaszewski, chemist; Dr. W. D. Theriault, reports manager; Dr. A. C. Jacobs, bioscience writer; and Ms. C. E. Dean, technical assistant.

The following scientists at NTP (8) were responsible for evaluating the bioassay experiment, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings: Dr. J. Fielding Douglas, Dr. Charles K. Grieshaber (chemical manager), Dr. Joseph Haseman, Dr. James Huff, Dr. C. W. Jameson, Dr. Ernest E. McConnell, Dr. John A. Moore, Dr. Sherman F. Stinson, Dr. R. Tennant, and Dr. Jerrold M. Ward.

<sup>(1)</sup> EG&G Mason Research Institute, 57 Union Street, Worcester, Massachusetts 06108.

<sup>(2)</sup> Now with Pennsylvania State University, 226 Fenske Laboratory, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802.

- (3) Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland 20852.
- (4) Now with Bureau of Veterinary Medicine, Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.
- (5) Now with Clement Associates, 1010 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20007.
- (6) EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland 20852.
- (7) Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Biometry Branch, Field Studies and Statistics, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20205.
- (8) National Toxicology Program, Research Triangle Park, Box 12233, North Carolina 27709, and Bethesda, Maryland 20205.

#### PEER-REVIEW PANEL AND COMMENTS

On February 18, 1981, this carcinogenesis bioassay report on tara gum underwent peer review and was approved by the National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors' Technical Report Review Subcommittee and associated Panel of Experts at an open meeting held in Building 31C, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

> National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors Technical Report Review Subcommittee

> > Margaret Hitchcock, Ph.D. (Chairperson) (Principal Reviewer) Pharmacology/Toxicology John B. Pierce Foundation Laboratory New Haven, Connecticut

Curtis Harper, Ph.D. Associate Professor of Pharmacology University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina Alice Whittemore, Ph.D\* Biostatistics Stanford University School of Medicine Palo Alto, California

Subcommittee Panel of Experts

Svend Nielsen, D.V.M., Ph.D. Professor of Pathology The University of Connecticut Storrs, Connecticut

Norman Breslow, Ph.D\* Biostatistics University of Washington Seattle, Washington

Joseph Highland, Ph.D Toxicology Environmental Defense Fund Washington, D.C.

Frank Mirer, Ph.D. United Auto Workers International Union Detroit, Michigan Sheldon Murphy, Ph.D. University of Texas Medical School Houston, Texas

Bernard Schwetz, Ph.D. Toxicology Research Laboratory Dow Chemical U.S.A. Midland, Michigan

Roy Shore, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Statistics New York University Medical Center New York, New York

Gary Williams, M.D. Chief of Experimental Pathology American Health Foundation Valhalla, New York

\*Unable to attend February 18, 1981, meeting

Dr. Richard Waritz, Manager of Toxicology, Hercules Incorporated, made a public statement in which he expressed concern about the wording of the conclusion in the report, which said, "no evidence was found that tara gum was clearly carcinogenic for F344 rats or B6C3F1 mice of either sex." He said the use of "clearly" was apparently based on an increased incidence of interstitial-cell tumors in test rats over controls. However, when life table analysis was done to correct for early mortality of controls, there were shown to be no significant differences in the incidence of this tumor between test rats and controls. He requested that the summary and conclusion be reworded to properly reflect the non-carcinogenicity of tara gum in this bioassay.

Dr. Shore, as a prinicipal reviewer for the report on the bioassay of tara gum, agreed with the conclusion of the report except that the word "clearly" should be deleted as requested by Dr. Waritz in view of the lack of significance shown by life table analysis for the incidence of interstitial-cell tumors in rats. As to other effects, he noted an apparent excess of mineralization of the testes in male rats, and, in the subchronic study, a decreased number of mature spermatozoa in high-dose rats.

As a second principal reviewer, Dr. Hitchcock commented on the uncertainty as to the actual concentration of the test compound in the diet since quantitative methodology was lacking to enable stability testing. Regardless, she considered the study to be valid under the test conditions. Dr. Mirer requested that the life table analysis on the interstitial-cell tumors be included in the text. Dr. Haseman, National Toxicology Program, stated that in the final report, discussion of the interstitial-cell tumor analyses will give greater emphasis to procedures that take into account the early mortality in control animals. (NTP considers that time-adjusted tests are preferable to life table analyses for interstitial-cell tumors, since these lesions are generally regarded as "incidental tumors" which are not considered to be life-threatening.)

Dr. Shore moved that the report on the bioassay of tara gum be accepted with minor revision of the conclusion and summary. Dr. Hitchcock seconded the motion and the report was approved unanimously by the peer review panel.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Tara gum (CAS No. 39300-88-4) is the milled endosperm of the leguminous plant Caesalpinia spinosa that is native to Peru (Anderson, 1949).

Structurally, tara gum is a galactomannan polymer consisting of a main chain of  $\beta$ -D-mannopyranose units with side chains of  $\alpha$ -D-galactopyranose attached by (1-6) linkages approximately every third unit (WHO, 1975). The ratio of mannose to galactose in tara gum is intermediate between that of locust bean gum and guar gum (Anderson, 1949).

Because of its special properties as a long-flowing, cold-water-soluble gum, tara gum was considered in the early to mid 1970's as an inexpensive substitute for locust bean gum and guar gum, which are used as thickeners for water-soluble dyes, binders, and stabilizers in ice cream or cosmetic lotions (Habersberger, 1973; Dea and Finney, 1978). Tara gum has not been approved for use in foods in the United States (U.S. Bureau of Foods, 1979), but it was used in some cosmetic lotions from 1973 to 1978. By 1979, tara gum was no longer economically competitive with locust bean gum or guar gum. Consequently, tara gum is no longer being imported into the United States (Dycol Chemical Co., 1979).

In a 90-day feeding study in groups of 10 rats of either sex, the relative weights of the thyroid and of the cecum were increased in animals fed diets containing 20,000 or 50,000 ppm tara gum. An increase in the relative weight of the kidneys of male rats fed 50,000 ppm was also observed (Til et al., 1975). No other references on the toxicity of tara gum are known, and no data on its mutagenicity have been found.

Tara gum was tested by the Bioassay Program because the use of tara gum in food was being considered and because tara gum had not been tested for potential carcinogenicity in lifetime bioassays (WHO, 1975).

, . **a** 

#### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### A. Chemical

Tara gum was obtained as one batch (Lot No. 897) from Dycol Chemicals, Inc. (Bridgewater, NJ).

Analysis of the chemical was performed at Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, MO). The entire batch was first homogenized in a Day<sup>®</sup> blender for 1 hour, and hydrolyzed samples were titrated by periodate oxidation using a modification of the USP assay for mannitol. The results indicated a purity of 86.2% as compared with dextrose. The water content, determined by Karl Fischer titration, was 12.4%. Thin-layer chromatography of the hydrolysis products in one system indicated that both mannose and galactose were produced as expected, but a third major component was never identified. Analyses in other thin-layer chromatography systems showed the presence of only mannose and galactose (Appendix E).

The infrared spectrum of the chemical was analyzed on a regular basis throughout the bioassay and showed no change.

#### B. Dietary Preparation

Test diets were prepared by mixing tara gum with an aliquot of powdered Wayne Lab Blox<sup>®</sup> animal feed (Table 1) with a mortar and pestle and then placing the mixture and the rest of the feed in a Patterson-Kelly<sup>®</sup> twin-shell V-blender and mixing for 10 minutes. Test diets were sealed in labelled plastic bags and stored at 4<sup>°</sup>C for no longer than 14 days.

Due to the similarity of tara gum and some components of the feed, the available quantitative analytical methods could not be used for chronic dosed-feed analyses of tara gum levels. Therefore, the stability of the compound in feed could not be routinely determined, and formulated diets were not analyzed for concentrations of tara gum during the study.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Item	Description	Source
Animal Feed	Wayne Lab Blox <sup>®</sup> (meal)	Allied Mills (Chicago, IL)
Feed Hoppers	Stainless steel, gang style	Scientific Cages, Inc. (Bryan, TX)
Cages	Polycarbonate	Lab Products, Inc. (Rochelle Park, NJ)
Filter Sheets	Disposable, nonwoven fiber	Lab Products, Inc. (Rochelle Park, NJ)
Bedding	Hardwood chips: Aspen <sup>R</sup> bed	American Excelsior (Baltimore, MD)
	Beta <sup>®</sup> Chips	Agway Corp. (Syracuse, NY)

Table 1. Source and Descriptions of Materials Used for Animal Maintenance

Historically, levels of a test substance in feed mixtures from this laboratory have been within 10% of the prescribed concentrations.

#### C. Animals

For the subchronic studies, 4-5 week-old B6C3F1 mice and F344 rats were obtained from the Frederick Cancer Research Center. Animals were held for 7 days before the study began. Animals were distributed to the various test groups by sex and species so that the average body weight for each cage was approximately equal.

For the chronic study, 4-week-old F344 rats and 5-week-old B6C3F1 mice were obtained from the Harlan Industries, Inc., (Indianapolis, IN) and observed for the presence of parasites and other diseases for 14 days. The animals were assigned to individual cages according to a table of random numbers and the cages were randomly assigned to test groups.

#### D. Animal Maintenance

Rats and mice were housed five per cage in suspended polycarbonate cages equipped with disposable nonwoven fiber filter sheets (Table 1). Hardwood chip bedding and cages were changed twice weekly, and cage racks were changed every 2 weeks. Water was supplied by an Edstrom automatic watering system, and Wayne Lab Blox<sup>®</sup> meal in stainless-steel, gang-style hoppers was available ad libitum.

The temperature in the animal rooms was  $19^{\circ}-30^{\circ}C$  (average 23.6°C); relative humidity was not controlled. Incoming air was filtered through Tri-Dek 15/40 denier Dacron filters, with 10 to 12 changes of room air per hour. Fluorescent lighting was provided 12 hours per day.

#### E. Single-Dose-Toxicity and 14-Day Repeated-Dose Study

Single-dose toxicity and 14-day repeated-dose feed studies were conducted with F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice to determine the concentrations of tara gum to be used in the subchronic studies.

In the single-dose toxicity test, groups of five males and five females of each species were administered a single-dose of the test substance (0.63 g/kg body weight) in distilled water by gavage. On day 15, all animals were killed and necropsied. No compound-related effects were observed.

In the repeated-dose study, groups of five males and five females of each species were fed diets containing 0, 6,300, 12,500, 25,000, 50,000, or 100,000 ppm tara gum in feed for 2 weeks (Tables 2 and 3). On day 15, the animals were fed control diets. All animals were observed daily throughout the study and were killed and necropsied on day 16.

No deaths occurred among the rats. Mean body weight gain compared with the controls was depressed 12% or more among males receiving 50,000 or 100,000 ppm and 8% among females receiving 100,000 ppm. No other compoundrelated effects were noted during clinical observations or gross necropsy.

No deaths occurred among the mice. Mean body weight gain was depressed by more than 20% among dosed male mice. Male mice fed diets containing 100,000 ppm tara gum gained no weight. Mean body weight gain was depressed by 50% in females receiving 50,000 ppm. No other compound-related effects were noted during clinic observations or gross necropsy.

#### F. Subchronic Studies

In subchronic studies conducted to determine the concentrations of tara gum to be used in the chronic studies, groups of 10 rats and 10 mice of each sex were fed diets containing 0, 3,100, 6,300, 12,500, 25,000, or 50,000 ppm for 13 weeks (Tables 4 and 5). Mortality checks were made twice daily, and

Dose (ppm)	Survival (a)	Mean Bo Initial	ody Weights Final	(grams) Change	Weight Change Relative to Controls (b) (Percent)
MALE					
0	5/5	87.0	157.0	+70.0	
6,300	5/5	86.6	159.4	+72.8	+4.0
12,500	5/5	86.8	158.8	+72.0	+2.9
25,000	5/5	86.8	152.2	+65.4	-6.6
50,000	5/5	86.4	147.8	+61.4	-12.3
100,000	5/5	87.0	144.0	+57.0	-18.6
FEMALE					
0	5/5	71.8	111.2	+39.4	
6,300	5/5	71.6	110.2	+38.6	-2.0
12,500	5/5	71.4	114.4	+43.0	+9.1
25,000	5/5	72.0	112.2	+40.2	+2.0
50,000	5/5	72.0	112.7	+40.7	+3.3
100,000	5/5	71.6	107.8	+36.2	-8.1

## Table 2. Dosage, Survival, and Mean Body Weights of Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum for 14 Days

(a) Number surviving/number per group

(b) Weight Change Relative to Controls =

Weight Change (Dosed Group) - Weight Change (Control Group) X 100 Weight Change (Control Group)

Dose	Survival Mean Body Weights (grams)		(grams)	Weight Chang Relative to Controls (b)	
(ppm)	(a)	Initial	Final	Change	(Percent)
MALE	<u></u>		- <u></u>		an a
0	5/5	20.6	23.4	+2.8	
6,300	5/5	20.6	22.4	+1.8	-36
12,500	5/5	20.4	22.6	+2.2	-21
25,000	5/5	20.6	21.4	+0.8	-71
50,000	5/5	20.6	22.0	+1.4	-50
100,000	5/5	20.8	20.8	0	-100
FEMALE					
0	5/5	18.0	18.4	+0.4	
6,300	5/5	17.8	19.0	+1.2	+200
12,500	5/5	17.8	18.6	+0.8	+100
25,000	5/5	17.8	18.8	+1.0	+150
50,000	5/5	18.0	18.2	+0.2	-50
100,000	5/5	17.6	18.2	+0.6	+50

# Table 3. Dosage, Survival, and Mean Body Weights of Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum for 14 Days

Weight Change (Control Group) nange (Dose

Dose	Survival	Mean B	ody Weight	s (grams)	Weight Change Relative to Controls (b)
(ppm)	(a)	Initial	Final	Change	(Percent)
MALE					
0	10/10	96.2	316.7	+220.5	
3,100	10/10	95.9	322.1	+226.2	+2.6
6,300	10/10	96.1	310.9	+214.8	-2.6
12,500	10/10	97.0	309.8	+212.8	-3.5
25,000	10/10	96.5	316.5	+220.0	-0.2
50,000	10/10	96.6	308.6	+212.0	-3.9
FEMALE					
0	10/10	85.9	201.4	+115.5	
3,100	10/10	85.7	211.8	+126.1	+9.2
6,300	10/10	86.1	205.5	+119.4	+3.4
12,500	10/10	85.7	207.2	+121.5	+5.2
25,000	10/10	85.3	206.0	+120.7	+4.5
50,000	10/10	86.0	203.4	+117.4	+1.7

#### Table 4. Dosage, Survival, and Mean Body Weights of Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum for 13 Weeks

(a) Number surviving/number per group

(b) Weight Change Relative to Controls =

Weight Change (Dosed Group) - Weight Change (Control Group) X 100 Weight Change (Control Group)

Dose	Survival	Mean Bo	ody Weight:	s (grams)	Weight Change Relative to Controls (b)
(ppm)	(a)	Initial	Final	Change	(Percent)
MALE					n na san da tanàn ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an
0	10/10	19.7	34.1	+14.4	
3,100	10/10	18.7	35.4	+16.7	+16.0
6,300	10/10	19.5	33.9	+14.4	0
12,500	10/10	19.4	34.1	+14.7	+2.1
25,000	10/10	19.5	36.0	+16.5	+14.6
50,000	10/10	19.8	33.0	+13.2	-8.3
FEMALE					
0	10/10	15.5	27.9	+12.4	
3,100	10/10	15.4	25.5	+10.1	-18.5
6,300	10/10	15.2	26.9	+11.7	-5.7
12,500	10/10	15.4	26.1	+10.7	-13.7
25,000	10/10	16.2	25.7	+9.5	-23.4
50,000	9/9	15.9	25.8	+9.9	-20.2

Table 5.	Dosage, Survival,	and Mean Body Weights of Mice Fed Diets	
	Containing Tara Gu	um for 13 Weeks	

(a) Number surviving/number per group
(b) Weight Change Relative to Controls = <u>Weight Change (Dosed Group) - Weight Change (Control Group)</u> X 100 Weight Change (Control Group)

animals were weighed weekly. At the end of the 91-day study, all animals were killed. All animals were subjected to a complete gross necropsy. Histopathologic examination was carried out on tissues (Section H) from all animals in the control and highest dose groups.

<u>Rats</u>: No deaths occurred among the rats. During histopathologic examination, fewer mature spermatozoa were found in the testes of 4/10 male rats receiving 50,000 ppm tara gum than in the controls. No other compoundrelated effects were observed. Doses of tara gum selected for rats for the chronic study were 25,000 and 50,000 ppm. The maximal dose recommended for chronic feeding studies is 50,000 ppm (NCI, 1976).

<u>Mice</u>: None of the mice died and no compound-related effects were detected. Doses of tara gum selected for mice for the chronic study were 25,000 and 50,000 ppm.

#### G. Chronic Studies

The experimental design, including the test groups, doses, and durations of the chronic studies, is presented in Table 6.

#### H. Clinical Examinations and Pathology

Animals were observed twice daily for morbidity and mortality and were weighed monthly. Animals that were moribund and those that survived to the end of the study were killed with carbon dioxide and necropsied.

The mean body weight of each dosed or control group was calculated as

#### total weight of all animals in the group number of animals in the group.

Feed consumption was measured per cage. The average feed consumption per animal was calculated as

total feed consumption measured for all cages in the group number of surviving animals in the group.

	Initial		Weeks on S		
Test Group	No. of Animals	Tara Gum (ppm)	Dosed(a)	Not Dosed	
Male Rats					
Control(b)	50	0	0	107	
Low-Dose	50	25,000	103	3	
High-Dose	50	50,000	103	2	
Female Rats					
Control(b)	50	0	0	106	
Low-Dose	50	25,000	103	3	
High-Dose	50	50,000	. 103	3	
Male Mice					
Control(b)	50	0	0	105	
Low-Dose	50	25,000	103	2	
High-Dose	50	50,000	103	2	
Female Mice					
Control(b)	50	0	0	105	
Low-Dose	50	25,000	103	2	
High-Dose	50	50,000	103	2	

#### Table 6. Experimental Design of Chronic Feeding Studies with Tara Gum in Rats and Mice

(a) The start dates were October 13, 1977, for rats and November 11, 1977, for mice. The kill dates were October 26, 1979, for rats and November 18, 1979, for mice.

(b) Control and dosed groups were of the same strain, sex, and age range and were from the same source and shipment. All animals of the same species shared the same room, and all aspects of animal care and maintenance were similar. Animals were randomized to dosed and control groups as described in section II.c. Gross and microscopic examinations were performed on major tissues and on all gross lesions from killed animals and from animals found dead unless precluded in whole or in part by autolysis or cannibalization. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on study in each group. Tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The following tissues were examined microscopically: skin, lungs and bronchi, trachea, bone and bone marrow, spleen, lymph nodes, heart, salivary gland, liver, pancreas, stomach, small intestine, large intestine, kidneys, urinary bladder, pituitary, adrenal, thyroid, parathyroid, mammary gland, prostate and seminal vesicles or uterus, testis or ovary, brain, thymus, larynx, and esophagus.

#### I. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Data on this experiment were recorded in the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969).

Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report in the form of graphs. Animals were statistically censored as of the time they died of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not statistically censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) extension of Cox's methods for testing for a dose-related trend. One-tailed P values have been reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is reported only when its two-tailed P value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions has been given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific

anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site is examined (denominator). In most instances, the denominators included only those animals for which that site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions prior to histologic sampling (e.g., skin or mammary tumors) or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analysis of tumor incidence is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical developed a significantly higher proportion of tumors than did the control animals. As part of these analyses, the one-tailed Fisher exact test (Cox, 1970) was used to compare the tumor incidence of a control group with that of a group of dosed animals at each level. When results for two dosed groups are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction to ensure an overall significance level of 0.05 is made. The Bonferroni inequality criterion (Miller, 1966) requires that the P value for any comparison be less than or equal to 0.025. When this correction was used, it is discussed in the narrative section. It is not presented in the tables, where the Fisher exact P values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971), was also used. Under the assumption of a linear trend, this test determines if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the one-tailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend is a positive dose relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

A time-adjusted analysis was applied. In this analysis, early deaths were excluded by basing the statistical tests on animals that survived until the appearance of the first tumor. Once this reduced set of data was obtained, the standard procedures for analyses of the incidence of tumors (Fisher exact tests, Cochran-Armitage tests, etc.) were followed.

Life table methods were used to analyze the incidence of tumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without an observed tumor were computed as in Saffiotti et al. (1972). The week during which an animal died naturally or was killed was entered as the time point of tumor observation. The methods of Cox and of Tarone were used for the statistical tests of the groups. The statistical tests were one-tailed.

•

#### III. RESULTS - RATS

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)

Mean body weights of dosed and control rats of either sex were comparable throughout the study (Figure 1 and Table 7). Clinical signs of dosed and control groups were comparable.

Feed consumption by low- and high-dose female rats was 87% and 79%, respectively, that of the controls. Feed consumption by low- and high-dose male rats was 92% and 95% that of the controls (Appendix F).

#### B. Survival (Rats)

Estimates of the probabilities of survival of male and female rats fed diets containing tara gum at the concentrations of this bioassay, together with those of the control group, are shown by the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 2. No significant differences in survival were observed among dosed or control males or among dosed or control females.

In male rats, 33/50 (66%) of the controls, 33/50 (66%) of the low-dose, and 37/50 (74%) of the high-dose group lived to the end of the study at 105-107 weeks. In female rats, 36/50 (72%) of the controls, 40/50 (80%) of the low-dose, and 35/50 (70%) of the high-dose group lived to the end of the study at 106 weeks.



,



	Cumulative				Weight Change	
		Mean Body Weight Change (grams)			Relative to Controls (%) (b)	
	Week No.					
<u> </u>		Control	Low Dose	High Dose	Low Dose	High Dos
Male						
rats	0	120(Ъ)	119(Ъ)	132(b)		
	24	236	235	238	0	+ 1
	44	257	260	251	+ 1	- 2
	64	296	296	290	0	- 2
	83	300	292	281	- 3	- 6
	104	276	275	264	0	- 4
Female						
rats	0	95(Ъ)	95(Ъ)	98(Ъ)		
	24	121	117	113	- 3	- 7
	44	148	143	135	- 3	- 9
	64	189	188	187	- 1	- 1
	83	219	217	205	- 1	- 6
	104	214	227	209	+ 6	- 2

## Table 7. Mean Body Weight Change (Relative to Controls) of Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum

(a) Weight Change Relative to Controls =

Weight Change (Dosed Group) - Weight Change (Control Group) x 100 Weight Change (Control Group)

(b) Initial weight

· •



Figure 2. Survival Curves for Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum

#### C. Pathology (Rats)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A, Tables Al and A2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C, Tables Cl and C2.

A variety of neoplasms occurred in both control and dosed rats. A hemangiopericytoma (an unusual tumor) had metastasized to the lung in a control female rat. None of the neoplasms appeared to be related to the feeding of tara gum.

Many nonneoplastic lesions were found in control and dosed rats, but none were considered to be compound related.

#### D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)

Tables 8 and 9 contain the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that satisfied both of the following criteria: (1) the tumor incidence was at least 5% in one of the three experimental groups and (2) the tumors occurred in at least two animals from one group.

Interstitial-cell tumors of the testis in male rats were observed in a statistically significant positive relation in the dosed groups compared with the control group (40/48, 83% in the controls; 46/46, 100% in the lowdose; and 48/48, 100% in the high-dose). The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend was statistically significant in the positive direction (P=0.001). The Fisher exact test between the control group and either of the dosed groups was significant (P=0.003 in the high-dose and P=0.003 in the low-dose groups). However, when time-adjusted analyses were applied to these data, the significance of the high-dose and low-dose effects was reduced (P=0.024 and P=0.026, respectively). There is a high spontaneous in-cidence of this tumor in F344 rats. For example, in all other chronic NCI bioassays initiated at this laboratory since 1977, the control incidence of interstitial-cell tumors of the testis in F344 male rats has been 84% (244/290), with a range of 72%-96%.

Islet-cell adenomas of the pancreatic islets in male rats were observed in decreased incidence in the dosed groups compared with the control group (5/45, 11% in the controls; 1/44, 2% in the low-dose; and 0/45, 0% in the high-dose). The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend was statistically significant in the negative direction (P=0.011). The Fisher exact test between the high-dose group and the control group indicated a value of P=0.028. This value is above the value of P=0.025 required by the Bonferroni inequality criterion for an overall significance of P=0.05 when two dosed groups are compared with a common control group. In female rats, this tumor was not observed in a statistically significant proportion.

Life table tests, using the week during which an animal died naturally or was killed, did not materially alter the results reported in Tables 8 and 9.

The conclusion based on statistical analysis is that there is no site at which an increase in tumor incidence could be associated with the administration of the chemical.
Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose	
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma (b)	2/50(4)	3/50(6)	3/50(6)	
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.500 0.180 17.329	1.500 0.180 17.329	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	106	105	
Hematopoietic System: Myelomonocytic Leukemia (b) P Values (c),(d)	14/50(28) N.S.	10/50(20) N.S.	14/50(28) N.S.	
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.714 0.315 1.558	1.000 0.496 2.018	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	66	91	85	
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia (b)	14/50(28)	11/50(22)	16/50(32)	
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.786 0.359 1.674	1.143 0.589 2.243	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	66	87	83	

Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma or Leukemia (b)	15/50(30)	11/50(22)	16/50(32)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.733 0.340 1.532	1.067 0.558 2.050
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	66	87	83
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule (b)	1/49(2)	2/50(4)	3/50(6)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit . Upper Limit		1.960 0.106 113.312	2.940 0.246 151.180
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	106	105
Pituitary: Adenoma, NOS (b)	13/43(30)	9/47(19)	11/45(24)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.633 0.268 1.435	0.809 0.371 1.736
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	66	101	98

(Continued)

(Continued)			
Topography: Morphology	Control	Lo <b>w</b> Dose	High Dose
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma (b)	9/48(19)	15/48(31)	11/49(22)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.667 0.761 3.881	1.197 0.497 2.971
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	80	85
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma (b)	3/45(7)	2/44(5)	4/44(9)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.682 0.059 5.663	1.364 0.245 8.822
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	101	105
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	4/45(9)	2/44(5)	4/44(9)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.511 0.048 3.371	1.023 0.203 5.160
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	101	105

Table 8. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum (a)

•

.

(Continued)			
Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Pancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Adenoma (b)	5/45(11)	1/44(2)	0/45(0)
P Values (c),(d)	P=0.011(N)	N.S.	P=0.028(N)
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.205 0.004 1.727	0.000 0.000 0.790
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	95	91	
Preputial Gland: Adenoma, NOS (b)	6/50(12)	1/50(2)	2/50(4)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.167 0.004 1.302	0.333 0.034 1.758
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	106	105
Preputial Gland: Adenoma, NOS or Carcinoma, NOS (b)	6/50(12)	2/50(4)	2/50(4)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.333 0.034 1.758	0.333 0.034 1.758
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	107	106	105

•

Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Testis: Interstitial-Cell Tumor (b)	40/48(83)	46/46(100)	48/48(100)
P Values (c),(d)	P=0.001	P=0.003	P=0.003
Departure from Linear Trend (f)	P=0.041		
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.200 1.050 1.200	1.200 1.053 1.200
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	85	80	75

(Continued)

(a) Dosed groups received doses of 25,000 or 50,000 ppm in the diet.

- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative trend (N) indicated a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.
- (e) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.
- (f) The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.

Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose	
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar			0 (50 ( ( )	
Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	1/49(2)	2/50(4)	3/50(6)	
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (Control) (e)		1,960	2.940	
Lower Limit		0.106	0.246	
Upper Limit		113.312	151.180	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106	106	106	
Hematopoietic System:		<u> </u>		
Myelomonocytic Leukemia (b)	6/50(12)	9/50(18)	7/50(14)	
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (Control) (e)		1.500	1.167	
Lower Limit		0.517	0.361	
Upper Limit		4.749	3.911	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	89	92	80	
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule (b)	2/49(4)	0/50(0)	1/49(2)	
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (Control) (e)		0.000	0.500	
Lower Limit		0.000	0.009	
Upper Limit		3.313	9.284	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	97		103	

ð

		Low	High	
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose	
Pituitary: Adenoma, NOS (b)	28/46(61)	29/44(66)	31/47(66)	
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.083 0.766 1.517	1.084 0.773 1.514	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	89	91	79	
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma (b)	2/49(4)	4/47(9)	0/50(0)	
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		2.085 0.315 22.172	0.000 0.000 3.313	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106	106		
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma (b)	3/46(7)	4/48(8)	3/47(6)	
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.278 0.229 8.300	0.979 0.138 6.958	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106	106	106	

(Continued)			
Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	4/46(9)	6/48(13)	4/47(9)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.438 0.366 6.514	0.979 0.193 4.955
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106	106	106
Pancreatic Islets: Islet-Cell Adenoma (b)	3/45(7)	0/47(0)	2/46(4)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.000 0.000 1.588	0.652 0.057 5.426
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106		106
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma (b)	13/50(26)	21/50(42)	13/50(26)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.615 0.876 3.079	1.000 0.477 2.098
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	93	99	94

(Continued)	·		
Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Mammary Gland: Adenoma NOS, or Adenocarcinoma, NOS(b)	1/50(2)	1/50(2)	3/50(6)
P Values (c), (d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.000 0.013 76.970 1	3.000 0.251 54.270
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106	106	102
Clitoral Gland: Adenoma, NOS or Carcinoma, NOS (b)	3/50(6)	2/50(4)	2/50(4)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.667 0.058 5.570	0.667 0.058 5.570
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	106	105	105
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp (b)	6/47(13)	9/48(19)	7/49(14)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.469 0.509 4.631	1.119 0.348 3.742
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	73	106	105

.

(Continued)

- (a) Dosed groups received doses of 25,000 or 50,000 ppm in the diet.
- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative trend (N) indicated a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.
- (e) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

.

#### IV. RESULTS - MICE

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)

Mean body weights of high-dose mice of either sex were lower than those of the controls from week 16 to the end of the study (Figure 3 and Table 10). Clinical signs of dosed and control mice were comparable. Feed consumption by dosed and control mice was comparable: low- and high-dose males, 100% and 102% of the controls; low- and high-dose females, 92% and 98% of controls (Appendix F).

#### B. Survival (Mice)

Estimates of the probabilities of survival of male and female mice fed diets containing tara gum at the concentrations of this bioassay, together with those of the control group, are shown by the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 4. No significant differences in survival were observed between any of the groups of either sex.

In male mice, 42/50 (84%) of the controls, 39/50 (78%) of the low-dose, and 43/50 (86%) of the high-dose group lived to the end of the study at 105 weeks. In female mice, 33/50 (66%) of the controls, 36/50 (72%) of the lowdose, and 39/50, (78%) of the high-dose group lived to the end of the study at 105 weeks.

#### C. Pathology (Mice)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summararized in Appendix B, Tables Bl and B2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D, Tables Dl and D2.

33





		Mean B	Cumulative Mean Body Weight Change (grams)			Change ve to ls (a)
	Week No.	Control	Low Dose	High Dose	Low Dose	High Dose
 Male						
mice	0	22(Ъ)	22(b)	23(Ъ)		
	24	16	16	14	0	-13
	44	20	21	18	+ 5	-10
	64	24	24	19	0	-20
	84	20	20	19	0	- 5
	104	20	20	15	0	-25
Female						
mice	0	18(b)	18(b)	18(b)		
	24	14	14	12	0	-14
	44	20	19	17	- 5	-15
	64	29	27	24	- 7	-17
	84	27	25	23	- 7	-15
	104	23	26	21	+11	- 9

Table	10.	Mean	Body	Weight	Change	(Relative	to	Controls)	of	Mice	Fed	Diets
		Conta:	ining	Tara Gu	ım							

(a) Weight Change Relative to Controls =

Weight Change (Dosed Group) - Weight Change (Control Group) x 100 Weight Change (Control Group)

(b) Initial weight

.



Figure 4. Survival Curves for Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum

A variety of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions occurred in both control and dosed mice. None of the lesions appeared to be related to administration of tara gum.

#### D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)

Tables 11 and 12 contain the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that satisfied both of the following criteria: (1) the tumor incidence was at least 5% in one of the three experimental groups and (2) the tumor occurred in at least two animals from one group.

Alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas in female mice were observed in decreased incidence in the dosed groups (8/50, 16% in the controls; 2/49, 4% in the low-dose; and 3/50, 6% in the high-dose). The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend was not statistically significant. The Fisher exact test between the low-dose group and the controls was significant (P=0.049). This value is above the value of P=0.025 required by the Bonferroni inequality criterion for an overall significance of P=0.05 when two dosed groups are compared with a common control. In male mice, this tumor was not observed in a statistically significant proportion.

Hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas in female mice were observed in a negative relation (10/49, 20% in the controls; 6/49, 12% in the low-dose; and 3/50, 6% in the high-dose). The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend was statistically significant in the negative direction (P=0.023). The Fisher exact test between the high-dose group and the control group was significant (P=0.033). This value is above the P=0.025 probability level required for an overall significance rate of P=0.05 when multiple comparisons are made. No significant incidence was observed in the low-dose group; how-ever, this tumor occurred in decreased incidence in the low-dose compared with the control group. In male mice, this tumor was not observed in statistically significant proportions.

Neither time-adjusted tests nor life table analyses materially changed the results reported in Tables 11 and 12.

37

The conclusion based on the statistical analysis of data is that there was no site at which an increase in tumor incidence could be associated with the administration of the chemical.

		-	
Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar	0/50/10)	11/50/00)	
Adenoma (b)	9/50(18)	11/50(22)	10/49(20)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e)		1.222	1.134
Lower Limit		0.506	0.454
Upper Limit		3.041	2.877
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	104	105
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar			
Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	10/50(20)	11/50(22)	12/49(24)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e)		1.100	1.224
Lower Limit		0.467	0.536
Upper Limit		2.624	2.863
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	104 .	105
Hematopoietic System:	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<del></del>	
Malignant Lymphoma, NOS (b)	5/50(10)	5/50(10)	6/50(12)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e)		1.000	1.200
Lower Limit		0.245	0.326
Upper Limit		4.082	4.660
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	97	82	93

(Continued)			
Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Hematopoietic System: All Malignant Lymphoma (b)	6/50(12)	6/50(12)	6/50(12)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.000 0.287 3.489	1.000 0.287 3.489
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	97	82	93
Circulatory System: Hemangioma (b)	3/50(6)	3/50(6)	3/50(6)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.000 0.140 7.133	1.000 0.140 7.133
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	99	105
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma (b)	8/50(16)	4/50(8)	4/50(8)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.500 0.117 1.737	0.500 0.117 1.737
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	101	84

(Continued)

•

76

(Continued)			
Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma (b)	9/50(18)	8/50(16)	14/50(28)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.889 0.325 2.382	1.556 0.694 3.688
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	90	99	79
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	17/50(34)	12/50(24)	18/50(36)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.706 0.346 1.397	1.059 0.587 1.916
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	90	99	79
Harderian Gland: Adenoma, NOS (b)	4/50(8)	2/50(4)	3/50(6)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.500 0.047 3.318	0.750 0.115 4.206
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	105	105

#### (Continued)

- (a) Dosed groups received doses of 25,000 or 50,000 ppm in the diet.
- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative trend (N) indicated a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.
- (e) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma (b)	7/50(14)	2/49(4)	2/50(4)
P Values (c),(d)	P=0.043(N)	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.292 0.031 1.439	0.286 0.030 1.411
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	105	105
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	8/50(16)	2/49(4)	3/50(6)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	P=0.049(N	) N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.255 0.027 1.198	0.375 0.067 1.460
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	105	105
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, NOS (b)	16/50(32)	8/49(16)	13/50(26)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.510 0.209 1.139	0.813 0.404 1.603
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	70	96	79

(Continued)			
Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma (b)	16/50(32)	9/49(18)	13/50(26)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.574 0.248 1.239	0.813 0.404 1.603
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	70	96	79
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma (b)	9/49(18)	4/49(8)	1/50(2)
P Values (c),(d)	P=0.005(N)	N.S.	P=0.007(N)
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.444 0.107 1.476	0.109 0.003 0.740
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	104	105	105
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)	10/49(20)	6/49(12)	3/50(6)
P Values (c),(d)	P=0.023(N)	N.S.	P=0.033(N)
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.600 0.194 1.673	0.294 0.055 1.061
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	104	105	104

(Uontrided)			
Topography: Morphology	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Pituitary: Adenoma, NOS (b)	11/41(27)	10/36(28)	8/39(21)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		1.035 0.447 2.349	0.299
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	101	96	105
Adrenal: Adenoma, NOS (b)	1/46(2)	1/48(2)	3/48(6)
P Values (c),(d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (Control) (e) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.958 0.012 73.689	
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	103	105	104

Table 12. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Fed Diets Containing Tara Gum (a)

(Continued)

- (a) Dosed groups received doses of 25,000 or 50,000 ppm in the diet.
- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative trend (N) indicated a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.
- (e) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

.

.

. .

·

#### V. DISCUSSION

In the subchronic study, a decreased number of mature spermatozoa were observed in 4/10 male rats fed 50,000 ppm of the test chemical compared with 0/10 in controls. No other compound-related effects were observed in either rats or mice. Since measurement of relative organ weights was not a specified part of the subchronic study, previous findings of increased relative weights of the thyroid, cecum, and kidney in rats fed diets containing 50,000 ppm tara gum for 90 days (Til et al., 1975) were not confirmed in the current chronic study.

Mean body weights of dosed and control rats of either sex were comparable throughout the 2-year study. Mean body weights of high-dose mice of either sex were slightly lower than those of the controls from week 16 to the end of the study. The slight decrement in weight gain occurred in a dose-related fashion in both male and female mice. Feed consumption by dosed male rats and mice of either sex was similar to that of control animals. Low- and high-dose female rats ate 87% and 79% that of the controls.

Interstitial tumors of the testes occurred at incidences significantly higher in dosed male rats than those in the controls; however, F344 rats have a high spontaneous incidence rate of this tumor. Because of the variable historical incidence of this tumor in control male F344 rats and the marginal statistical significance when time-adjusted analyses are applied, the association between the increased incidence of this tumor and administration of tara gum is not established.

No other tumors were observed in either species at increased incidences that could be related to the oral administration of tara gum.

A significant negative trend in the incidence of pancreatic islet cell adenomas was observed in male rats. Likewise, significant negative trends were found in the proportions of female mice with alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas and with hepatocellular adenomas.

47

Two other galactomannan, legume-derived gums (guar gum, NTP, 1982a; locust bean gum, NTP 1982b) were tested at the laboratory used in the present study. Besides these, two additional gums have been tested recently by the NTP bioassay program (agar, NTP, 1982c; gum arabic, NTP, 1982d). Each of the four gums was added to the diet (2.5% and 5.0%) and fed for 104 weeks to F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice of both sexes. Under these test conditions all were considered to be not carcinogenic.

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS

Under the conditions of this bioassay, tara gum was not carcinogenic for F344 rats or B6C3F1 mice of either sex.

#### VII. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Anderson, E., Endosperm mucilages of legumes: occurrence of composition. Indust. Eng. Chem. 41:2887-2890, 1949.

Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1971, pp. 362-365.

Berenblum, I., ed., <u>Carcinogenicity</u> <u>Testing</u>: <u>A Report of the Panel on Car-</u> <u>cinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission of UICC</u>, <u>Vol. 2</u>, International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1960.

Cox, D. R., Analysis of Binary Data, Methuen & Co., Ltd., London, 1970, pp. 48-52.

Cox, D. R. Regression models and life tables. J. R. Stat. Soc. <u>B34</u>:187-220, 1972.

Dea, I. and Finney, D., Stabilized ice cream. Netherlands Patent Appl. 77/ 10,960, April 1978.

Dycol Chemical Company, Personal communication from Dycol Chemical Co. to Tracor-Jitco, 1979.

Gart, J. J., The comparison of proportions: a review of significance tests, confidence limits and adjustments for stratification. <u>Rev. Int. Stat. Int.</u> 39:148-169, 1971.

Habersberger, F., Algin salt/mannogalactan gum-containing aqueous cosmetic lotion. U.S. Patent No. 3764707, 1973.

Kaplan, E. L. and Meier, P., Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J. Amer. Stat. Assoc. <u>5</u>3:457-481, 1958.

49

Linhart, M. S., Cooper, J. A., Martin, R. L., Page, N. P., and Peters, J. A., Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. <u>Comp. Biomed. Res.</u> 7:230-248, 1974.

Miller, R. G., Jr., <u>Simultaneous</u> <u>Statistical</u> <u>Inference</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966, pp. 6-10.

NCI, National Cancer Institute, <u>Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassay in Small</u> <u>Rodents</u>. DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 76-801, Carcinogenesis Testing Program, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, 1976.

NTP, National Toxicology Program, <u>NTP Technical Report on the Carcinogenesis</u> <u>Bioassay of Guar Gum</u>, NTP TR 229, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 1982a.

NTP, National Toxicology Program, <u>NTP Technical Report on the Carcinogenesis</u> <u>Bioassay of Locust Bean Gum</u>, NTP TR 221, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 1982b.

NTP, National Toxicology Program, <u>NTP Technical Report on the Carcinogenesis</u> <u>Bioassay of Agar</u>, NTP TR 230, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 1982c.

NTP, National Toxicology Program, <u>NTP Technical Report on the Carcinogenesis</u> <u>Bioassay of Gum Arabic</u>, NTP TR 227, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 1982d.

50

Saffiotti, U., Montesano, R., Sellakumar, A. R., Cefis, F., and Kaufman, D. G., Respiratory tract carcinogenesis in hamsters induced by different numbers of administrations of benzo(a)pyrene and ferric oxide. <u>Cancer Res. 32</u>:1073-1081, 1972.

Tarone, R. E., Tests for trend in life table analysis. <u>Biometrika</u> 62:679-682, 1975.

Til, H., Spanjers, M., and de Groot, A., Sub-chronic toxicity study with tara gum in rats; cited in WHO Food Additives Series 8:45, 1975.

U.S. Bureau of Foods, Personal Communication to Tracor-Jitco, 1979.

USP, <u>The Pharmacopeia of the United States of America</u>, 18th Ed., Mack Printing Company, Easton, Pennsylvania, 1970, pp. 378-379.

Ward, J. M., Goodman, D. G., Griesemer, R. A. Hardisty, J. F., Scheuler, R. L., Squire, R. A., and Strandberg, J. D., Quality assurance for pathology in rodent carcinogenesis tests. J. Environ. Path. Toxicol. <u>2</u>:371-378, 1978.

WHO, Toxicological evaluation of some food colours, thickening agents, and certain other substances, World Health Organization, Food Additives Series 8:44-46, 1975.

i

.

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING TARA GUM

53

,

### TABLE A1.

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING TARA GUM

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 50 50	50 50 50	50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA SQUAMOUS CELL CA. INSITU SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA BASAL-CELL CARCINOMA SEBACEOUS ADENOMA SARCOMA, NOS	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE SARCOMA, NOS FIBROMA	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA CORTICAL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC OSTEOSARCOMA, METASTATIC	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50) 3 (6%)	(50) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS LEUKEMIA,NOS MYELOMONOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	(50) 13 (26%)	(50) 1 (2%) 10 (20%)	(50) 2 (4%) 14 (28%)
*HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM NEOPLASM, NOS	(50)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%)
#SPLEEN Malignant Lymphoma, Nos	(47) 1 (2%)	(49)	(48)
#LYMPH NODE CORTICAL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC	(47)	(48)	(46)

.

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#LIVER MYELOMONOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	(49)	(50)	(50)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM *SUBCUT TISSUE ANGIOSARCOMA	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER NEOPLASTIC NODULE MESOTHELIOMA, METASTATIC	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 3 (6%)
URINARY SYSTEM			
TURILAP-CELL ADENOMA	(50)		1 (2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY ADENOMA, NOS	(43) 13 (30%)	(47) 9 (19%)	(45) 11 (24%
#ADRENAL Cortical carcinoma	(48)	(48) 15 (31%)	(49)
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA	9 (19%)	15 (31%)	11 (22%
#THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENOMA	(45)	(44)	(44)
C-CELL ADENOMA C-CELL CARCINOMA	3 (7%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 2 (5%)	4 (9%)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(45) 5 (11%)	(44) 1 (2%)	(45)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND FIBROADENOMA	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) <u>1 (2%)</u>	(50)

#### TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED) ------

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*PREPUTIAL GLAND CARCINOMA,NOS	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
ADENOMA, NOS	6 (12%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
#TESTIS INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR	(48) 40 (83%)	(46) 46 (100%)	(48) 48 (100%
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
#BRAIN Astrocytoma	(50) 1 (2%)	(48)	(50) 1 (2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
*BONE OSTEOSARCOMA	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
*SKULL OSTEOMA	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
BODY CAVITIES			
*TUNICA VAGINALIS MESOTHELIOMA, NOS MESOTHELIOMA, MALIGNANT	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
SITE UNKNOWN Neoplasm, nos	1		

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	50 11 6	50 14 3	50 11 2
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	33	33	37
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			
UMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	45 110	49 103	49 110
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	45 82	47 84	49 83
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	22 25	14 14	2 1 22
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	2 3		1 1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- Benign or Malignant Total Uncertain Tumors	3 3	5 5	5 5
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS			DIACENT OPGA

## TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)
### TABLE A2.

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 50	50 50 50 50	50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
*SUBCUT TISSUE NEOPLASM, NOS	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
SARCOMA, NOS FIBROMA OSTEOSARCOMA, INVASIVE	2 (4%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG CARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	(49)	(50)	(50)
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA	1 (2%)		1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Myelomonocytic leukemia	(50) 6 (12%)	(50) 9 (18%)	(50) 6 (12%)
*HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM NEOPLASM, NOS	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
#LIVER MYELOMONOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	(49)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)
#THYMUS THYMOMA	(35)	(41)	(32)

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS FED DIETS **CONTAINING TARA GUM**

TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
*PLEURAL CAVITY Hemangiopericytoma, malignant	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
#LUNG HEMANGIOPERICYTOMA, METASTATIC	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER NEOPLASTIC NODULE	(49) 2 (4%)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)
#STOMACH Squamous cell papilloma	(48) 2 (4%)	(47)	(49)
URINARY SYSTEM			
#URINARY BLADDER TRANSITIONAL-CELL CARCINOMA		(46)	1 (2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY NEOPLASM, NOS ADENOMA, NOS	(46) 1 (2%) 28 (61%)	(44) 1 (2%) 29 (66%)	(47) 2 (4%) 31 (66%)
#ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA	(49) 2 (4%)	(47) 1 (2%) 4 (9%)	(50) 1 (2%)
#THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA C-CELL ADENOMA C-CELL TUMOR C-CELL CARCINOMA	(46) 3 (7%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(48) 4 (8%) 2 (4%)	(47) 1 (2%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)
#PARATHYROID Adenoma, Nos	(23)	(19) 1 (5%)	(19)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(45) 3 (7%)	(47)	(46) 2 (4%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

		HIGH DOSE
(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)
13 (26%)	21 (42%)	1 (2%) 13 (26%)
(50)	(50)	(50)
2 (4%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%)
(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
(47)	(48)	(49)
6 (13%)	1 (2%) 9 (19%)	1 (2%) 7 (14%)
(47)	(48) 1 (2%)	(49)
	1 (2%)	(50)
(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
	13 (26%) (50) 2 (4%) (50) (47) 6 (13%) (47) (50)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

#### TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

NONE

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
MESOTHELIOMA, NOS	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	50 11 3	50 8 2	50 11 4
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	36	40	35
a INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			
TUMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	40 78	48 95	47 85
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	35 64	43 76	40 65
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS Total Malignant Tumors	9 9	16 16	15 15
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	2 3	1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- Benign or malignant Total uncertain tumors	4 5	23	5 5
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE # SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS			JACENT ORGAN

\_\_\_\_\_

# TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

---

.

### APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING TARA GUM

63

### TABLE B1.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING TARA GUM

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 50 50	50 50 50	50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SUBCUT TISSUE SARCOMA, NOS	(50)		(50) 1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG	(50)	(50)	(49)
#LUNG HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA	2 (4%) 9 (18%) 1 (2%)	11 (22%)	10 (20%) 2 (4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Malignant Lymphoma, NOS Malig.lymphoma, Histiocytic Type	(50) 4 (8%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 4 (8%)
#SPLEEN Malignant Lymphoma, Nos	(49) 1 (2%)	(49) 2 (4%)	(48) 1 (2%)
#LYMPH NODE Malignant Lymphoma, Nos	(45)	(50) 1 (2%)	
#LIVER MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#SPLEEN Hemangioma Angidsarcoma	·(49) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)	(48)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
\* 'NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

65

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#LYMPH NODE Hemangioma Angiosarcoma	(45) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50) 3 (6%)	(43) 3 (7%)
#LIVER ANGIOSARCOMA	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#SALIVARY GLAND HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST	(49)	(47)	(48) 1 (2%)
#LIVER HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA	(50) 8 (16%) 9 (18%)	(50) 4 (8%) 8 (16%)	(50) 4 (8%) 14 (28%)
#DUODENUM ADENOMATOUS POLYP, NOS	(46)	(49)	(47)
NONE ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY ADENOMA, NOS	(42) 2 (5%)	(43)	(39) 1 (3%)
#ADRENAL ADENOMA, NOS CORTICAL ADENOMA	(47)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)
#THYROID Follicular-cell adenoma	(47)	(46)	(48) 1 (2%)
<pre>#PANCREATIC ISLETS     ISLET-CELL ADENOMA</pre>	(47) 1 (2%)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			

٢

#### TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*HARDERIAN GLAND Adenoma, Nos	(50) 4 (8%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 3 (6%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ Moribund sacrifice Scheduled sacrifice	50 7 1	50 9 2	50 7
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	42	39	43
A INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			

# TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
TUMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* Total primary tumors	31 47	28 43	36 48
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS Total Benign Tumors	22 30	19 25	23 25
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS Total malignant tumors	16 17	15 18	19 23
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# Total secondary tumors	2 2	2 2	t 1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- Benign or malignant Total uncertain tumors			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE # SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS			DJACENT ORGAN

# TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

## TABLE B2.

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS MISSING	50	50	50
ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 50	49 49	50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SUBCUT TISSUE Squamous Cell Carcinoma	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(50)
MALIGNANT MELANOMA SARCOMA, NOS OSTEOSARCOMA		2 (4%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG CARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	(50)	(49)	(50)
HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAST ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA OSTEOSARCOMA, METASTATIC	1 (2%) 7 (14%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS Malignant Lymphoma, Nos	(50) 15 (30%)	(49) 7 (14%)	(50) 12 (24%)
<pre>*HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM     NEOPLASM, NOS</pre>	(50)	(49) 2 (4%)	(50)
#SPLEEN Malignant Lymphoma, Nos	(50)	(45)	(50) 1 (2%)
#LYMPH NODE Malignant Lymphoma, Nos	(46)	(45) 1 (2%)	(46)
#LIVER Malig.lymphoma, histiocytic type	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING TARA GUM

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#PEYER'S PATCH Malignant Lymphoma, Nos	(46) 1 (2%)	(42)	(49)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	•		
#SPLEEN Angiosarcoma	(50)	(45) 1 (2%)	(50)
#LIVER HEMANGIOMA ANGIOSARCOMA	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)	(50)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#SALIVARY GLAND Carcinoma, nos, invasive	(46)	(46)	(50) 1 (2%)
#LIVER Hepatocellular Adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	(49) 9 (18%) 1 (2%)	(49) 4 (8%) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)
#STOMACH Squamous cell papilloma	(48)	(45)	1 (2%)
URINARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY Adenoma, nos	(41) 11 (27%)	(36) 10 (28%)	(39) 8 (21%)
#ADRENAL Adenoma, Nos Pheochromocytoma	(46) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48) 3 (6%)
#THYROID Follicular-cell Adenoma	(48) 1 (2%)	(42)	(47)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(46)	(42)	(47)

### TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND Adenocarcinoma, nos	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(50)
#UTERUS NEOPLASM, NOS	(49)	(46) 1 (2%)	(46)
SARCOMA, NOS Endometrial stromal sarcoma	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (22)	
#OVARY GRANULOSA-CELL TUMOR		(36)	(44) 1 (2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*HARDERIAN GLAND CARCINOMA,NOS	(50)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)
ADENOMA, NOS	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS OSTEOSARCOMA, METASTATIC	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(50)
LEG OSTEOSARCOMA	1		<u> </u>

#### TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	50 12 5	50 12 1	50 11
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED Terminal sacrifice Animal missing	33	36 1	39
INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			
UMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* Total primary tumors	34 56	26 36	26 37
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS Total Benign Tumors	24 32	14 18	14 16
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS Total malignant tumors	21 24	13	19 20
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS Total secondary tumors	# 2 2	1 1	1 2
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Benign or malignant Total uncertain tumors	-	33	1 1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN Primary or metastatic Total uncertain tumors	-		
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT S Secondary Tumors: Metastatic tumors			ADJACENT ORGA

# TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

APPENDIX C

•

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING TARA GUM

### TABLE C1.

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 50 50	50 50 50	50 50 50
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, BASAL CELL HYPERKERATOSIS ACANTHOSIS	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE Heriorrhage	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG/BRONCHUS INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, FOCAL	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
#LUNG HEMORRHAGE INFLAMMATION, NOS PNEUMONIA, CHRONIC MURINE	(50) 2 (4%) 4 (8%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50)
REACTION, FOREIGN BODY HYPERPLASIA, ADENOMATOUS HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM	1 (2%) 1 (2%)		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
#BONE MARROW FIBROSIS Hypoplasia, Nos	(48)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)
#SPLEEN INFARCT, NOS	(47)	(49) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING TARA GUM

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HEMATOPOIESIS	11 (23%)	4 (8%)	
#LYMPH NODE Hemorrhagic Cyst Inflammation, Nos Abscess, Nos	(47)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(46)
#LIVER HEMATOPOIESIS	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART Thrombosis, Nos Thrombus, Mural	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)
INFLAMMATION, NOS PERIARTERITIS PERIVASCULITIS	1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#MYOCARDIUM Degeneration, Nos	(50) 30 (60%)	(49) 25 (51%)	(50) 23 (46%)
*PANCREATIC ARTERY PERIVASCULITIS	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER DILATATION, NOS FIBROSIS DEGENERATION, CYSTIC	(49)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)
NECROSIS, NOS NECROSIS, FOCAL NECROSIS, FAT	7 (14%)	5 (10%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)
INFARCT, NOS METAMORPHOSIS FATTY BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE CLEAR-CELL CHANGE	1 (2%) 7 (14%) 14 (29%) 8 (16%)	7 (14%) 7 (14%) 14 (28%) 1 (2%)	4 (8%) 13 (26%) 12 (24%)
#BILE DUCT Hyperplasia, nos	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
<pre>#PANCREATIC ACINUS     ATROPHY, NOS</pre>	(45) 2 (4%)	(44) <u>4</u> (9%)	(45) 2 (4%)

# TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#STOMACH INFLAMMATION, NOS ULCER, NOS	(48)	(48) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(48)
HYPERPLASIA, BASAL CELL Hyperkeratosis acanthosis	5 (10%) 1 (2%) 5 (10%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%)
#GASTRIC MUCOSA Hyperplasia, focal	(48)	(48)	(48) 1 (2%)
#GASTRIC SUBMUCOSA REACTION, FOREIGN BODY	(48)	(48)	(48) 1 (2%)
#FORESTOMACH NECROSIS, NOS	(48)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48)
#PEYER'S PATCH Hyperplasia, Nos	(45)	(44)	(43) 1 (2%)
RINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY MINERALIZATION INFLAMMATION, NOS	(50)	(50)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)
NEPHROPATHY	41 (82%)	41 (82%)	41 (82%)
#KIDNEY/TUBULE NECROSIS, NOS	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
#KIDNEY/PELVIS HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	(50)	(50)	(50) 2 (4%)
#URINARY BLADDER INFLAMMATION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	(43)	(47)	(45) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*PROSTATIC URETHRA INFLAMMATION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
NDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY Dilatation, Nos	(43)	(47) 1 (2%)	(45) 1 (2%)

# TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

.

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#ADRENAL DILATATION, NOS CALCIFICATION, NOS	(48) 1 (2%)	(48)	(49) 1 (2%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX METAMORPHOSIS FATTY HYPERTROPHY, NOS HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL	(48) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)
#ADRENAL MEDULLA Hyperplasia, nos	(48) 11 (23%)	(48) 4 (8%)	(49) 3 (6%)
#THYROID HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR-CELL	(45) 2 (4%)	(44) 2 (5%) 1 (2%)	(44) 3 (7%)
<pre>#PANCREATIC ISLETS HYPERPLASIA, NOS</pre>	(45)	(44)	(45) 1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND GALACTOCELE	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
*PREPUTIAL GLAND Inflammation, NOS Necrosis, Nos	(50) 4 (8%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50)
#PROSTATE Inflammation, Nos	(42) 1 (2%)	(45) 3 (7%)	(41)
#TESTIS MINERALIZATION ATROPHY, NOS HYPERPLASIA, INTERSTITIAL CELL	(48) 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 3 (6%)	(46) 9 (20%) 3 (7%)	(48) 9 (19%) 1 (2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
<pre>*HARDERIAN GLAND HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL</pre>	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)

# TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

. .

TABLE C1. MALE R	ATS: NONNEOPL	ASTIC LESIONS	(CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
*FASCIA INFLAMMATION, NOS	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
BODY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY MINERALIZATION NECROSIS, FAT	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
OMENTUM			
MINERALIZATION NECROSIS, FAT	5	1 6	2
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERF	2 1	1	
<pre># NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EX * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED</pre>	AMINED MICROSCOPI	CALLY	

### TABLE C2.

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING TARA GUM

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 50 50	50 50 50	50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG INFLAMMATION, NOS REACTION, FOREIGN BODY HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%) 2 (4%)	(50)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
#SPLEEN MINERALIZATION NECROSIS, NOS FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE MASTOCYTOSIS HEMATOPOIESIS	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 17 (36%)	(50) 1 (2%) 24 (48%)	(48) 7 (15%)
#LYMPH NODE PLASMACYTOSIS HEMATOPOIESIS	(44)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
#LIVER MASTOCYTOSIS HEMATOPOIESIS	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)	(49)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART INFLAMMATION, NOS	(48) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
PERIVASCULITIS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#MYOCARDIUM Degeneration, Nos	(48) 10 (21%)	(50) 9 (18%)	(50) 5 (10%)
#ENDOCARDIUM Inflammation, nos	(48)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
#CARDIAC VALVE INFLAMMATION, NOS	(48)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
*PANCREATIC ARTERY PERIVASCULITIS	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER INFLAMMATION, NOS FIBROSIS NECROSIS, FOCAL METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CALCIFICATION, FOCAL BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE	6 (12%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 5 (10%) 5 (10%) 32 (64%) 6 (12%)	4 (8%)
#PANCREATIC ACINUS ATROPHY, NOS HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL	(45) 1 (2%)	(47) 1 (2%)	(46) 1 (2%)
#STOMACH NECROSIS, NOS Hyperplasia, Basal Cell Hyperkeratosis Acanthosis	(48) 1 (2%) 5 (10%) 5 (10%)	(47) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%)	(49)
	(48)		1 1 0 4 1
URINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY MINERALIZATION HYDRONEPHROSIS		(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 6 (12%) 1 (2%)

## TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

\_\_\_\_\_

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL NEPHROPATHY	2 (4%) 32 (65%)	1 (2%) 32 (64%)	24 (49%)
#KIDNEY/PELVIS HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)	(49)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY DILATATION, NOS	(46) 2 (4%)	(44) 1 (2%)	(47) 3 (6%)
#ADRENAL DILATATION, NOS HEMORRHAGE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(47)	(50)
#ADRENAL CORTEX Hypertrophy, Focal	(49) 3 (6%)	(47)	(50)
#ADRENAL MEDULLA Hyperplasia, Nos	(49)	(47) 2 (4%)	(50)
#THYROID HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL	(46) 5 (11%)	(48) 6 (13%)	(47) 6 (13%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND GALACTOCELE INFLAMMATION, NOS Hyperplasia, Nos	(50) 8 (16%) 1 (2%)	(50) 8 (16%)	(50) 11 (22%) 1 (2%)
*CLITORAL GLAND Inflammation, nos Metaplasia, squamous	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)
#UTERUS HYDROMETRA INFLAMMATION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, ADENOMATOUS	(47) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%)
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(47)	(48)	(49) 1 (2%)

### TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#OVARY MINERALIZATION	(47) 1 (2%)	(48)	(48)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
OMENTUM NECROSIS, FAT		2	
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERF	1	1	

## TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

### APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING TARA GUM

•

.

.

### TABLE D1.

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 50 50	50 50 50	50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN Inflammation, nos Hyperkeratosis	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE Abscess, nos Fibrosis Necrosis, nos	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG/BRONCHUS INFLAMMATION, NOS	(50) 14 (28%)	(50) 16 (32%)	(49) 19 (39%)
#LUNG MINERALIZATION	(50) 3 (6%)	(50)	(49) 2 (4%)
HEMORRHAGE Inflammation, NOS Inflammation, Focal	1 (2%) 15 (30%)	1 (2%) 18 (36%) 1 (2%)	17 (35%) 3 (6%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
#SPLEEN HEMATOPOIESIS	(49) 13 (27%)	(49) 10 (20%)	(48) 3 (6%)
#LYMPH NODE Hemorrhagic cyst Abscess, nos Hematopoiesis	(45)	(50) 5 (10%)	(43) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 10 (23%)
#LUMBAR LYMPH NODE HEMORRHAGE	(45)	(50)	(43)

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING TARA GUM

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

٠

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#LIVER HEMATOPOIESIS	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 3 (6%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART MINERALIZATION ENDOCARDITIS, BACTERIAL	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)
#MYOCARDIUM Degeneration, Nos	(50)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)
#STOMACH PERIVASCULITIS	(48)	(47) 1 (2%)	(47)
#KIDNEY PERIVASCULITIS	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER ABSCESS, NOS FIBROSIS NECROSIS, FOCAL NECROSIS, ISCHEMIC METANORPHOSIS FATTY CLEAR-CELL CHANGE	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%) 3 (6%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*GALLBLADDER MINERALIZATION INFLAMMATION, NOS NECROSIS, NOS POLYP	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#PANCREATIC ACINUS HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL	(47)	(49) 1 (2%)	(47)
#STOMACH INFLAMMATION, NOS NECROSIS, NOS HYPERPLASIA, BASAL CELL	(48) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(47) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)

### TABLE D1, MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

		LOW DOSE	
ACANTHOSIS		2 (4%)	
#GASTRIC MUCOSA Hyperplasia, focal	(48)	(47) 1 (2%)	(47)
#PEYER'S PATCH HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(46)	(49) 1 (2%)	(47)
URINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY MINERALIZATION	(50) 17 (34%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%)
PYELONEPHRITIS, NOS INFLAMMATION, NOS NEPHROPATHY	1 (2%) 3 (6%)	3 (6%) 2 (4%)	6 (12%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#ADRENAL Hyperplasia, nos	(47) 11 (23%)	(50) 6 (12%)	(48) 5 (10%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX Hypertrophy, focal	(47) 2 (4%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(48) 1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*PREPUTIAL GLAND INFLAMMATION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(50) 4 (8%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)
<pre>#TESTIS MINERALIZATION ATROPHY, NOS</pre>	(50)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
IERVOUS SYSTEM			
#BRAIN GLIOSIS NECROSIS, FOCAL	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

### TABLE D1. MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*HARDERIAN GLAND INFLAMMATION, NOS	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
BODY CAVITIES			
*MESENTERY INFLAMMATION, FOCAL GRANULOMATOU	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED Auto/necropsy/histo perf	3 2	7	3
<pre># NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAM: * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED</pre>	INED MICROSCOPI	CALLY	

## TABLE D1. MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

.

### TABLE D2.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING TARA GUM

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS MISSING	50	50	50
ANIMALS HISSING ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 50	49 49 49	50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SUBCUT TISSUE INFLAMMATION, NOS	(50) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG/BRONCHUS Inflammation, nos	(50) 13 (26%)	(49) 17 (35%)	(50) 12 (24%)
#LUNG	(50)	(49)	(50)
MINERALIZATION INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, FOCAL	1 (2%) 15 (30%) 2 (4%)	18 (37%) 2 (4%)	14 (28%) 2 (4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
#BONE MARROW FIBROSIS HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC	(46)	(44) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(43)
#SPLEEN FIBROSIS NECROSIS, NOS	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(45)	(50)
HÝPERPLASIA, NOS HEMATOPOIESIS	25 (50%)	1 (2%) 18 (40%)	19 (38%)
#LYMPH NODE HENORRHAGE	(46)	(45)	(46)
ABSCESS, NOS HEMATOPOIESIS		2 (4%)	1 (2%) 4 (9%)
#LIVER HEMATOPOIESIS	(49) 7 (14%)	(49) 5 (10%)	(50) 8 (16%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

. •

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#ADRENAL HEMATOPOIESIS		(48)	(48) 1 (2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART MINERALIZATION	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(50)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER NECROSIS, FOCAL NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY EOSINOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE CLEAR-CELL CHANGE	(49) 4 (8%) 4 (8%) 1 (2%)	(49) 3 (6%) 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%) 2 (4%)
#PANCREAS NECROSIS, NOS	(46)	(42)	(47) 1 (2%)
#PANCREATIC ACINUS Atrophy, nos Hypertrophy, focal	(46)	(42) 1 (2%)	(47) 1 (2%)
#STOMACH DIVERTICULUM INFLAMMATION, NOS NECROSIS, NOS HYPERPLASIA, BASAL CELL HYPERKERATOSIS ACANTHOSIS		(45) 5 (11%) 4 (9%) 4 (9%) 16 (36%) 7 (16%)	(49) 1 (2%) 8 (16%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 16 (33%) 7 (14%)
#GASTRIC MUCOSA Hyperplasia, focal	(48)	(45)	(49) 1 (2%)
URINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY MINERALIZATION GLOMERULONEPHRITIS, NOS PYELONEPHRITIS, NOS	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50)

.

.

## TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS FIBROSIS NEPHROPATHY GLOMERULOSCLEROSIS, NOS NECROSIS, NOS NECROSIS, MEDULLARY	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	
#KIDNEY/TUBULE DEGENERATION, NOS	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(50)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY DILATATION, NOS HEMORRHAGE	(41) 1 (2%)	(36) 1 (3%)	(39) 1 (3%)
#ADRENAL MINERALIZATION HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(46) 24 (52%)	(48) 10 (21%)	(48) 1 (2%) 12 (25%)
#THYROID Follicular Cyst, nos	(48)	(42) 1 (2%)	(47)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS Hyperplasia, NOS	(46)	(42)	(47) 1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
#UTERUS Hydrometra Inflammation, nos	(49) 1 (2%) 7 (14%)	(46) 3 (7%) 5 (11%)	(46) 5 (11%) 4 (9%)
#UTERUS∕ENDOMETRIUM HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC	(49) 2 (4%) 22 (45%)	(46) 23 (50%)	(46) 25 (54%)
#OVARY	(43)	(36)	(44)
MINERALIZATION CYST, NOS Abscess, NOS Fibrosis Degeneration, Cystic	1 (2%) 8 (19%) 1 (2%)	1 (3%) 4 (11%)	5 (11%) 1 (2%)

### TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

•---

CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
1 (2%)		
(50)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)
(50)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50) 4 (8%) 1 (2%)
(50) 1 (2%)	(49)	(50)
1	3 1	1
	1 (2%) (50) (50) (50) (50) 1 (2%)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 (2\%) \\ (50) (49) \\ 1 (2\%) \\ (50) (49) \\ 1 (2\%) \\ (50) (49) \\ 1 (2\%) \\ (50) (49) \\ 1 (2\%) \\ 1 (3) \\ 1 3 \end{array} $

# TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)
# APPENDIX E

Analysis of Tara Gum

(Lot No. 897)

Midwest Research Institute

.

• .

#### APPENDIX E

## Analysis of Tara Gum (Lot No. 897)

### Midwest Research Institute

### A. MELTING POINT

Determined

Literature Values

m.p.: 200<sup>o</sup>-285<sup>o</sup>, decomp. (visual capillary) Endotherm 277<sup>o</sup>-319<sup>o</sup> (Dupont 900 DTA)

No literature references found

B. <u>THIN-LAYER CHROMATOGRAPHY (of hydrolysis products after reaction</u> with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, neutralization with BaCO<sub>3</sub>, and filtration). Plates: Silica Gel G F-254 Ref. Standards: D-Galactose and D-Mannose

Amount Spotted: 20  $\mu$ g 60  $\mu$ g

System 2:

n-Butanol:Acetic Acid:

System 1:

Water (50:10:20)

- R<sub>f</sub>: 0.50 (major) (mannose) 0.40 (major) (galactose) 0.29 (major)
- R<sub>st</sub>: 1.00, 0.80, 0.58 (relative to mannose) 1.25, 1.00, 0.72 (relative to galactose)

Visualization: Egon Stahl

reagent 198 (KMnO4 in NaOH)

n-Butanol:Acetone:pH 4.0 buffer

(40:40:20)

- R<sub>f</sub>: 0.74 (major) (mannose) 0.70 (major) (galactose)
- R<sub>st</sub>: 1.00, 0.94 (relative to mannose) 1.06, 1.00 (relative to galactose)

#### C. WATER ANALYSIS

(Karl Fischer) 12.4 + 0.2 (**ð**)%

### D. TITRATION BY PERIODATE OXIDATION

Modification of USP Assay for Mannitol (USP XVIII, 1970)

Samples were dissolved in 25 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid and 150 ml water in 250-ml volumetric flasks and left at room temperature for 16 hours. The solutions were then boiled for 35 minutes on a hot plate. The flasks were cooled and diluted to volume with water. Aliquots (5 ml) were transferred to 125-ml Erlenmeyer flasks and 50.0 ml potassium periodate/ sulfuric acid solution was added. All samples and a blank were heated on a steam bath for 5 hours.

Results: 86.2  $\pm$  0.8 ( $\delta$ )% compared with dextrose. (It is assumed that each mole of monomer requires 5 moles of periodate.)

### E. SPECTRAL DATA

(1) Infrared

Instrument: Beckman IR-12

No literature spectrum found

(a) Cell: 1% potassium bromide pellet

Results: See Figure 5

(b) Cell: Thin film

Results: See Figure 6

(2) Ultraviolet/Visible

Instrument: Cary 118 No UV or visible absorbance detectable Concentration: 0.1 mg/ml Solvent: Water No literature reference found



Figure 5. Infrared Absorption Spectrum of Tara Gum (Pellet)

66



Figure 6. Infrared Absorption Spectrum of Tara Gum (Thin Film)

100

# APPENDIX F

Feed Consumption by Rats and Mice in the Chronic Study

WEEK	CONTROL	LOW		HIGH	
	GRAMS FEED/ DAY (a)	GRAMS FEED/ DAY (a)	LOW/ CONTROL (b)	GRAMS FEED/ DAY (a)	HIGH/ CONTROI (b)
	·····				
4	21.7	22.3	1.0	22.9	1.1
8	18.4	18.0	1.0	19.0	1.0
12	18.3	16.9	0.9	17.6	1.0
16	18.0	18.1	1.0	21.4	1.2
20	23.1	18.9	0.8	19.4	0.8
24	22.6	19.7	0.9	20.7	0.9
28	22.1	20.1	0.9	19.0	0.9
32	23.7	21.3	0.9	20.7	0.9
36	24.0	22.6	0.9	23.3	1.0
40	24.3	21.7	0.9	21.6	0.9
44	20.6	20.6	1.0	19.4	0.9
48	24.1	21.6	0.9	21.6	0.9
52	23.9	22.4	0.9	21.9	0.9
55	24.4	19.9	0.8	21.6	0.9
60	21.7	19.1	0.9	18.6	0.9
64	28.0	26.6	1.0	26.1	0.9
68	19.7	18.3	0.9	19.4	1.0
72	19.6	17.7	0.9	17.9	0.9
76	20.4	17.7	0.9	19.9	1.0
80	22.3	18.9	0.8	19.4	0.9
83	21.6	22.9	1.1	25.0	1.2
88	21.0	19.6	0.9	20.0	1.0
92		19.1	1.0	21.0	1.1
96	21.6	20.0	0.9	20.0	0.9
100	22.1	19.6	0.9	20.7	0.9
EAN	21.8	20.1	0.9	20.7	1.0
D (c)	2.4	2.2	0.1	2.0	0.1
v (d)	11.0	10.9	11.1	9.7	10.0

## Table Fl. Feed Consumption by Male Rats Receiving Tara Gum

(a) Feed consumed per animal per day (grams).

(b) Ratio of feed per day for the dosed group to the feed per day for the controls.

(c) Standard deviation.

(d) Coefficient of variation = (Standard Deviation / Mean) x 100

WEEK	CONTROL GRAMS FEED/ DAY (a)	LOW		HIGH	
		GRAMS FEED/ DAY (a)	LOW/ CONTROL (b)	GRAMS FEED/ DAY (a)	HIGH/ CONTROI (b)
4	20.1	15.9	0.8	15.9	0.8
8	17.4	13.7	0.8	12.7	0.7
12	17.9	12.6	0.7	11.7	0.7
16	22.6	16.4	0.7	11.9	0.5
20	23.0	17.4	0.8	16.0	0.7
24	20.9	17.4	0.8	16.0	0.8
28	22.4	17.6	0.8	14.9	0.7
32	19.7	15.6	0.8	13.7	0.7
36	19.1	17.9	0.9	18.6	1.0
40	18.6	17.7	1.0	15.7	<b>0,</b> 8
44	17.0	15.9	0.9	14.7	0.9
48	22.1	19.7	0.9	16.0	0.7
52	19.0	17.7	0.9	17.3	0.9
55	21.3	17.9	0.8	17.4	0.8
60	19.6	17.3	0.9	16.9	0.9
64	25.6	22.9	0.9	23.0	0.9
68	17.4	16.6	1.0	16.3	0.9
72	20.0	17.3	0.9	15.6	0.8
76	18.4	18.0	1.0	15.1	0.8
80	18.0	17.6	1.0	15.6	0.9
83	18.9	17.1	0.9	15.4	0.8
88	19.1	17.0	0.9	16.1	0.8
92	18.4	16.4	0.9	15.9	0.9
96	20.0	19.0	1.0	16.7	0.8
100	21.0	18.9	0.9	17.1	0.8
<b>IEAN</b>	19.9	17.3	0.9	15.8	0.8
SD (c)	2.1	1.9	0.1	2.2	0.1
CV (d)	10.6	11.0	11.0	13.9	12.5

Table F2. Feed Consumption by Female Rats Receiving Tara Gum

(a) Feed consumed per animal per day (grams).

(b) Ratio of feed per day for the dosed group to the feed per day for the controls.

(c) Standard deviation.

•

.

(d) Coefficient of variation = (Standard Deviation / Mean) x 100

. •

.

	CONTROL GRAMS FEED/ DAY (a)	LOW		HIGH	
WEEK		GRAMS FEED/ DAY (a)	LOW/ CONTROL (Ъ)	GRAMS FEED/ DAY_(a)	HIGH/ CONTROL (b)
	6.0	6.0	1.0	6.3	1.1
8	6.1	6.7	1.1	6.6	1.1
12	6.7	6.3	0.9	6.3	0.9
16	6.0	5.9	1.0	5.9	1.0
20	7.0	5.9	0.8	6.0	0.9
24	5.7	5.7	1.0	5.9	1.0
28	5.6	5.7	1.0	6.6	1.2
32	5.6	6.1	1.1	6.4	1.1
36	7.1	7.3	1.0	7.6	1.1
40	6.6	6.7	1.0	7.3	1.1
44	7.9	8.1	1.0	8.1	1.0
48	6.7	6.9	1.0	6.7	1.0
52	7.7	3.0	0.4	7.7	1.0
56	7.3	7.4	1.0	7.4	1.0
60	6.6	7.3	1.1	6.9	1.0
64	5.9	6.1	1.0	6.6	1.1
68	5.1	5.6	1.1	5.6	1.1
72	6.6	6.1	0.9	6.3	1.0
76	7.6	7.7	1.0	7.4	1.0
80	7.6	8.0	1.1	8.1	1.1
84	8.6	8.7	1.0	8.4	1.0
88	7.6	8.1	1.1	7.6	1.0
92	9.1	8.9	1.0	8.6	0.9
96	7.6	8.1	1.1	7.6	1.0
100	6.7	7.6	1.1	8.0	1.2
N	6.8	6.8	1.0	7.0	1.0
(c)	1.0	1.3	0.1	0.9	0.1
(d)	14.7	19.1	10.0	12.9	10.0

Table F3. Feed Consumption by Male Mice Receiving Tara Gum

(a) Feed consumed per animal per day (grams).

(b) Ratio of feed per day for the dosed group to the feed per day for the controls.

(d) Standard deviation.

(e) Coefficient of variation = (Standard Deviation / Mean) x 100

	Control	Low		High	
Week	GRAMS FEED/ DAY (a)	GRAMS FEED/ DAY (a)	LOW/ CONTROL (b)	GRAMS FEED/ DAY (a)	HIGH/ CONTROL (b)
NCCK	DAI (d)	DRI (a)		DAI (a)	(0)
4	7.1	7.9	1.1	7.9	1.1
8	8.1	7.6	0.9	8.1	1.0
12	9.7	8.1	0.8	8.0	0.8
16	8.7	6.9	0.8	9.4	1.1
20	7.9	7.0	0.9	8.3	1.1
24	7.7	7.0	0.9	7.6	1.0
28	7.7	7.0	0.9	11.9	1.5
32	7.4	6.7	0.9	9.3	1.3
36	9.1	9.0	1.0	9.3	1.0
40	8.7	8.1	0.9	9.0	1.0
44	10.3	8.9	0.9	9.4	0.9
48	9.0	8.0	0.9	8.6	1.0
52	10.4	8.6	0.8	9.1	0.9
56	9.9	9.1	0.9	9.7	1.0
60	7.9	9.0	1.1	7.3	0.9
64	9.1	7.6	0.8	8.1	0.9
68	5.1	5.1	1.0	5.0	1.0
72	8.1	7.4	0.9	7.9	1.0
76	9.0	8.9	1.0	8.6	1.0
80	9.3	8.4	0.9	9.0	1.0
84	11.1	9.9	0.9	9.6	0.9
88	9.4	9.0	1.0	6.7	0.7
92	9.9	9.7	1.0	9.6	1.0
96	9.3	9.1	1.0	9.6	1.0
100	8.7	8.7	1.0	9.3	1.1
N	8.8	8.1	0.9	8.6	1.0
(c)	1.3	1.1	0.1	1.3	0.2
(d)	14.8	13.6	11.1	15.1	20.0

Table F4. Feed Consumption by Female Mice Receiving Tara Gum

(a) Grams of feed consumed per animal per day.

(b) Ratio of feed per day for the dosed group to that for the controls.

(c) Standard deviation.

(d) (Standard Deviation/Mean) x 100.

•

NIH Publication No. 82-1780 March 1982