NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM Technical Report Series No. 291



# **TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS**

# STUDIES OF

# **ISOPHORONE**

(CAS NO. 78-59-1)

### IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(GAVAGE STUDIES)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

#### NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM

The National Toxicology Program (NTP), established in 1978, develops and evaluates scientific information about potentially toxic and hazardous chemicals. This knowledge can be used for protecting the health of the American people and for the primary prevention of disease. By bringing together the relevant programs, staff, and resources from the U.S. Public Health Service, DHHS, the National Toxicology Program has centralized and strengthened activities relating to toxicology research, testing and test development/validation efforts, and the dissemination of toxicological information to the public and scientific communities and to the research and regulatory agencies.

The NTP is made up of four charter DHHS agencies: the National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health; the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health; the National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR), Food and Drug Administration; and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control. In July 1981, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Testing Program, NCI, was transferred to the NIEHS.

# NTP TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE

# TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS

## STUDIES OF

# **ISOPHORONE**

### (CAS NO. 78-59-1)

### IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

### (GAVAGE STUDIES)



### NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM P.O. Box 12233 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

January 1986

#### NTP TR 291

NIH Publication No. 86-2547

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

#### NOTE TO THE READER

These studies are designed and conducted to characterize and evaluate the toxicologic potential, including carcinogenic activity, of selected chemicals in laboratory animals (usually two species, rats and mice). Chemicals selected for testing in the NTP Carcinogenesis Program are chosen primarily on the bases of human exposure, level of production, and chemical structure. Selection per se is not an indicator of a chemical's carcinogenic potential. Negative results, in which the test animals do not have a greater incidence of cancer than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans. The determination of the risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in animals requires a wider analysis which extends beyond the purview of this study.

Five categories of interpretative conclusions were adopted for use in June 1983 in the Technical Reports series to specifically emphasize consistency and the concept of actual evidence of carcinogenicity. For each definitive study result (male rats, female rats, male mice, female mice), one of the following quintet will be selected to describe the findings. These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to either potency or mechanism.

- Clear Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of malignant neoplasms, studies that exhibit a substantially increased incidence of benign neoplasms, or studies that exhibit an increased incidence of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms where each increases with dose.
- Some Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of benign neoplasms, studies that exhibit marginal increases in neoplasms of several organs/tissues, or studies that exhibit a slight increase in uncommon malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Equivocal Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related marginal increase of neoplasms.
- No Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing no chemically related increases in malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Inadequate Study of Carcinogenicity demonstrates that because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations, the studies cannot be interpreted as valid for showing either the presence or absence of a carcinogenic effect.

Additionally, the following concepts (as patterned from the International Agency for Research on Cancer Monographs) have been adopted by the NTP to give further clarification of these issues:

The term *chemical carcinogenesis* generally means the induction by chemicals of neoplasms not usually observed, the earlier induction by chemicals of neoplasms that are commonly observed, or the induction by chemicals of more neoplasms than are generally found. Different mechanisms may be involved in these situations. Etymologically, the term *carcinogenesis* means induction of cancer, that is, of malignant neoplasms; however, the commonly accepted meaning is the induction of various types of neoplasms or of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms. In the Technical Reports, the words *tumor* and *neoplasm* are used interchangeably.

This study was initiated by the National Cancer Institute's Carcinogenesis Bioassay Program, now part of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Toxicology Program. The studies described in this Technical Report have been conducted in compliance with NTP chemical health and safety requirements and must meet or exceed all applicable Federal, state, and local health and safety regulations. All NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies are subjected to a data audit before being presented for peer review.

Although every effort is made to prepare the Technical Reports as accurately as possible, mistakes may occur. Readers are requested to identify any mistakes so that corrective action may be taken. Further, anyone who is aware of related ongoing or published studies not mentioned in this report is encouraged to make this information known to the NTP. Comments and questions about the National Toxicology Program Technical Reports on Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies should be directed to Dr. J.E. Huff, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (919-541-3780).

These NTP Technical Reports are available for sale from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-487-4650). Single copies of this Technical Report are available without charge (and while supplies last) from the NTP Public Information Office, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

	PAGE
ABST	'RACT
CONT	TRIBUTORS
PEER	REVIEW PANEL
SUM	MARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS14
I.	INTRODUCTION
п.	MATERIALS AND METHODS
	PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ISOPHORONE
	PREPARATION OF DOSE MIXTURES23
	SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES24
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	STUDY DESIGN
	SOURCE AND SPECIFICATIONS OF ANIMALS24
	ANIMAL MAINTENANCE
	CLINICAL EXAMINATIONS AND PATHOLOGY27
	STATISTICAL METHODS
Ш.	RESULTS
	RATS
	SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	BODY WEIGHTS AND CLINICAL SIGNS
	SURVIVAL
	PATHOLOGY AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RESULTS
	MICE
	SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	BODY WEIGHTS AND CLINICAL SIGNS
	SURVIVAL
	PATHOLOGY AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RESULTS
IV.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS
v.	REFERENCES

### CONTENTS

### TABLES

PAGE

TABLE 1	PROPERTIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 2	IDENTITY AND SOURCE OF LOTS USED IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 3	PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 4	SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 5	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 6	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE SIXTEEN-DAY GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 7	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 8	MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 9	SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE34
TABLE 10	NUMBER OF RATS WITH RENAL LESIONS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 11	ANALYSIS OF PREPUTIAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 12	ANALYSIS OF PANCREATIC ACINAR CELL LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 13	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE SIXTEEN-DAY GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 14	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

### **TABLES** (Continued)

	PAGE
TABLE 15	MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 16	SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE43
TABLE 17	ANALYSIS OF LIVER TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 18	ANALYSIS OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 19	ANALYSIS OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 20	ANALYSIS OF LUNG LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE 21	ANALYSIS OF PITUITARY GLAND LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

### FIGURES

PAGE

FIGURE	1	GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS ADMINISTERED ISOPHORONE IN CORN OIL BY
		GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	2	
		IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	3	GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED ISOPHORONE IN CORN OIL BY
HOURD	Ŭ	GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS
		GAVAGE FOR TWO TEARS
FIGURE	4	KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED ISOPHORONE
		IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	5	INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF ISOPHORONE (LOT NO. 1204)
FIGURE	6	NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF ISOPHORONE
	÷	(LOT NO. 1204)
FIGURE	7	INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF ISOPHORONE
		(LOT NO. L052281)
	_	NUCLEAR NAME AND AND ADDREED IN AD ISADUADANE
FIGURE	8	NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF ISOPHORONE
		(LOT NO. L052281)
FIGURE	9	MASS SPECTRUM OF THE MAJOR COMPONENT OF ISOPHORONE
1100102	Ū	(LOT NO. L052281)
		(LUI NO. L002201/
FIGURE	10	MASS SPECTRUM OF A 2.5% IMPURITY OF ISOPHORONE (LOT NO. L052281) 166

6

#### APPENDIXES

	PAGE
APPENDIX A	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE A1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE A2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE A3	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE A4	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
APPENDIX B	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE B1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE B2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE B3	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE B4	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
APPENDIX C	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS IN
	THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE C1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE
	RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE C2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE
	RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
APPENDIX D	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE IN
	THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE D1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE
	MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

### **APPENDIXES** (Continued)

	PAGE
TABLE D2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE
	MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE120
APPENDIX E	ANALYSES OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE127
TABLE E1	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE128
TABLE E2	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE132
TABLE E3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE134
TABLE E4	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE
APPENDIX F	HISTORICAL INCIDENCES OF TUMORS IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE
	ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE141
TABLE F1	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PREPUTIAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE
	F344/N RATS ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE142
TABLE F2	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PANCREATIC TUMORS IN MALE F344/N
	RATS ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE142
TABLE F3	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF KIDNEY TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS
	ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE
TABLE F4	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE
	B6C3F <sub>1</sub> MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE143
TABLE F5	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN
	MALE B6C3F <sub>1</sub> MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE
TABLE F6	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN
	MALE B6C3F <sub>1</sub> MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE
TABLE F7	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN MALE
	B6C3F <sub>1</sub> MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE145
TABLE F8	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE
	B6C3F <sub>1</sub> MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE

### **APPENDIXES** (Continued)

APPENDIX G	CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF ISOPHORONE147
APPENDIX H	PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DOSE MIXTURES
APPENDIX I	METHODS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES
APPENDIX J	RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES
TABLE J1	RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE J2	RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES
	OF ISOPHORONE
APPENDIX K	SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM
TABLE K1	MURINE VIRUS ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE
APPENDIX L	GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF ISOPHORONE
TABLE L1	MUTAGENICITY OF ISOPHORONE IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM
TABLE L2	MUTAGENICITY OF ISOPHORONE IN L5178Y/TK+'- MOUSE LYMPHOMA
	CELLS IN THE ABSENCE OF S9
TABLE L3	INDUCTION OF SISTER-CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER
	OVARY CELLS BY ISOPHORONE
TABLE L4	INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER
	OVARY CELLS BY ISOPHORONE
APPENDIX M	INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS
	OF THE NIH 07 DIET
TABLE M1	INGREDIENTS OF THE NIH 07 DIET
TABLE M2	VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN THE NIH 07 DIET
TABLE M3	NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF THE NIH 07 DIET
TABLE M4	CONTAMINANT LEVELS OF THE NIH 07 DIET
APPENDIX N	DATA AUDIT SUMMARY



#### ISOPHORONE

#### (3,5,5-TRIMETHYL-2-CYCLOHEXEN-1-ONE)

#### CAS NO. 78-59-1

#### C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O Molecular weight 138.2

#### ABSTRACT

Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of isophorone (greater than 94% pure), a widely used solvent and chemical intermediate, were conducted by administering 0, 250, or 500 mg isophorone/kg body weight per day by gavage in corn oil to groups of 50 F344/N rats and 50 B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice of each sex, 5 days per week for 103 weeks. Doses selected for the 2-year studies were based on 16-day studies in which rats and mice of each sex received doses of 0-2,000 mg/kg per day and on 13-week studies in which rats and mice of each sex received doses ranging from 0 to 1,000 mg/kg per day by gavage in corn oil. No chemically related gross or histopathologic effects were observed in the 16-day or 13week studies, but 1/5 high dose male rats, 4/5 high dose female rats, and all high dose male and female mice died during the 16-day studies. During the 13-week studies, 1/10 high dose female rats and 3/10 high dose female mice died. The high dose for the 2-year studies was set at 500 mg/kg per day for each sex of rats and mice, based mainly on the deaths in the 13-week studies.

Throughout the 2-year study, the mean body weights of the high dose male rats averaged 5% lower than those of the vehicle controls. During the second year, the mean body weights of the female high dose rats averaged 8% lower than those of the vehicle controls, and the high dose female mice averaged 5% lower. The survival of high dose male rats was significantly lower than that of the vehicle controls after week 96 (final survival: vehicle control, 33/50; low dose, 33/50; high dose, 14/50). The survival of dosed female rats was poor (30/50; 23/50; 20/50), due in part to 20 gavage-related accidental deaths of dosed animals. The survival of male mice was also low (16/50; 16/50; 19/50), but there was a significant trend toward increased survival of dosed female mice relative to that of the vehicle controls (26/50; 35/50; 34/50).

Dosed male rats showed a variety of proliferative lesions of the kidney (tubular cell hyperplasia: 0/50; 1/50; 4/50; tubular cell adenoma: 0/50; 0/50; 2/50; tubular cell adenocarcinoma: 0/50; 3/50; 1/50; epithelial hyperplasia of the renal pelvis: 0/50; 5/50; 5/50). Dosed male rats also exhibited increased mineralization of the medullary collecting ducts (1/50; 31/50; 20/50), and low dose male rats showed a more severe nephropathy than is commonly seen in aging F344/N rats. Carcinomas of the preputial gland were increased in high dose male rats (0/50; 0/50; 5/50). With the exception of a moderate increase in nephropathy (21/50; 39/50; 32/50), female rats did not show chemically related increased increased increases of neoplastic lesions.

In high dose male mice, isophorone exposure was associated with increased incidences of hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas (18/48; 18/50; 29/50) and of mesenchymal tumors of the integumentary system (fibroma, fibrosarcoma, neurofibrosarcoma, or sarcoma: 6/48; 8/50; 14/50). An increased incidence of lymphomas or leukemias was noted in low dose male mice (8/48; 18/50; 5/50). Coagulative necrosis (3/48; 10/50; 11/50) and hepatocytomegaly (23/48; 39/50; 37/50) were observed more frequently in the livers of dosed male mice than in vehicle controls. No compound-related neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions associated with isophorone exposure were seen in female mice.

Isophorone was not mutagenic in strains TA100, TA1535, TA1537, or TA98 of Salmonella typhimurium in the presence or absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat or male Syrian hamster liver S9. Isophorone was weakly mutagenic in the mouse L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> assay in the absence of S9; it was not tested in the presence of S9. Isophorone induced sister-chromatid exchanges in the absence of S9 in Chinese hamster ovary cells; it did not induce sister-chromatid exchanges in the presence of Aroclor 1254-induced male rat liver S9, and it did not induce chromosomal aberrations in Chinese hamster ovary cells in the presence of S9.

An audit of the experimental data was conducted for the 2-year toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of isophorone. No data discrepancies were found that influenced the final interpretations.

Under the conditions of these 2-year gavage studies, there was some evidence of carcinogenicity\* of isophorone in male F344/N rats as shown by the occurrence of renal tubular cell adenomas and adenocarcinomas in animals given 250 or 500 mg/kg per day; carcinomas of the preputial gland were also observed at increased incidence in male rats given 500 mg/kg. There was no evidence of carcinogenicity in female F344/N rats given 250 or 500 mg/kg per day. For male B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice, there was equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity of isophorone as shown by an increased incidence of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas (combined) and of mesenchymal tumors in the integumentary system in animals given 500 mg/kg per day and by an increase in malignant lymphomas in animals given 250 mg/kg per day. There was no evidence of carcinogenicity of isophorone in female B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice given 250 or 500 mg/kg per day.

<sup>\*</sup>Categories of evidence of carcinogenicity are defined in the Note to the Reader on page 2.

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

### CONTRIBUTORS

The NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Isophorone is based on the 13-week studies that began in May 1979 and ended in August 1979 and on the 2-year studies that began in January 1980 and ended in January 1982 at Papanicolaou Cancer Research Institute.

> National Toxicology Program (Evaluated Experiment, Interpreted Results, and Reported Findings)

> > John R. Bucher, Ph.D., Chemical Manager

Gary A. Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D. David M. DeMarini, Ph.D. Joseph K. Haseman, Ph.D. James Huff, Ph.D. C.W. Jameson, Ph.D. William Kluwe, Ph.D. E.E. McConnell, D.V.M. G.N. Rao, D.V.M., Ph.D. B.A. Schwetz, D.V.M., Ph.D. Raymond W. Tennant, Ph.D.

#### NTP Pathology Working Group (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report on 2/17/83)

H. Solleveld, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) (Chair) G. Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) B. Gupta, B.V.Sc., D.V.M. (NTP) S. Eustis, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) K. Morgan, D.V.M. Chemical Industry Institute of Technology M. Wolfe, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP)

#### Principal Contributors at Papanicolaou Cancer Research Institute (Conducted Studies and Evaluated Tissues)

Norman Altman, D.V.M. Principal Investigator Fred Bock, Ph.D. Principal Investigator Fahzal Ahmed, Ph.D. Chemist John W. Sagartz, D.V.M. Pathologist

Principal Contributors at Experimental Pathology Laboratory (Provided Pathology Quality Assurance)

J. Hardisty, D.V.M.

Principal Contributors at Carltech Associates, Inc. (Contractor for Technical Report Preparation)

12

William D. Theriault, Ph.D. Project Manager Abigail C. Jacobs, Ph.D. Senior Scientist John Warner, M.S. Chemist/Statistician

#### PEER REVIEW PANEL

The members of the Peer Review Panel who evaluated the draft Technical Report on November 2, 1984, are listed below. Panel members serve as independent scientists, not as representatives of any institution, company, or governmental agency. In this capacity, Panel members have five major responsibilities: (a) to ascertain that all relevant literature data have been adequately cited and interpreted, (b) to determine if the design and conditions of the NTP studies were appropriate, (c) to ensure that the Technical Report presents the experimental results and conclusions fully and clearly, (d) to judge the significance of the experimental results by scientific criteria, and (e) to assess the evaluation of the evidence of carcinogenicity and other observed toxic responses.

#### National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors Technical Reports Review Subcommittee

Jerry B. Hook, Ph.D. (Chair) Vice President, Preclinical Research and Development Smith Kline & French Laboratories Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Curtis Harper, Ph.D. Associate Professor of Pharmacology School of Medicine University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina James Swenberg, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Chief of Pathology Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

#### Ad Hoc Subcommittee Panel of Experts

Louis S. Beliczky, M.S., M.P.H. Wickcliffe, Ohio

Devra L. Davis, Ph.D.\* Board on Toxicology and Environmental Health Hazards National Academy of Sciences Washington, D.C.

Seymour L. Friess, Ph.D. Arlington, Virginia

Thomas C. Jones, D.V.M. Professor, Comparative Pathology New England Regional Primate Research Center Harvard Medical School Southborough, Massachusetts

Richard J. Kociba, D.V. M., Ph.D. Dow Chemical USA Midland, Michigan

David Kotelchuck, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Director, Environmental Health Sciences Program Hunter School of Health Sciences New York, New York Tom Slaga, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Science Park, Research Division University of Texas System Cancer Center Smithville, Texas

Steven R. Tannenbaum, Ph.D.\* Professor, Department of Nutrition and Food Science Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts

Bruce W. Turnbull, Ph.D. Professor and Associate Director College of Engineering Cornell University Ithaca, New York

John R. Van Ryzin, Ph.D. Division of Biostatistics School of Public Health Columbia University New York, New York

<sup>\*</sup>Unable to attend meeting

### SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

On November 2, 1984, the draft Technical Report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of isophorone received peer review by the National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors' Technical Reports Review Subcommittee and associated Panel of Experts. The review meeting began at 9:00 a.m. in the Conference Center, Building 101, South Campus, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Dr. Swenberg, a principal reviewer, did not agree with the conclusions for male rats because the increased incidence of kidney tumors was not dose related, and he indicated that this response was typical in animals exposed to chemicals that cause nephrotoxicity and thus probably represents a secondary response. He suggested equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity, and Dr. Kociba agreed. More discussion of the observed nephrotoxicity would be useful. Regarding the preputial gland tumors, Dr. Swenberg said the variation in historical incidence made these lesions also equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity. Dr. J. Bucher, NTP, responded that the designation of some evidence of carcinogenicity for male rats was based on incidences of the uncommon neoplasms of the kidney and not on a perceived mechanism. Further, the incidence of nephropathy was high in vehicle control animals, but no neoplasms were observed. Dr. Swenberg agreed to some evidence of carcinogenicity but asked that the discussion section include a historical evaluation of renal tumors observed in other studies that also showed nephrotoxicity. [This evaluation is underway; see p. 50.]

As a second principal reviewer, Dr. Slaga agreed with the conclusion in male rats but felt the significant increase in mesenchymal tumors in the integumentary system called for a finding of some evidence of carcinogenicity rather than equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity in male mice. He noted that human exposure to isophorone usually occurs via the inhalation or dermal route and the use of one or both of those routes in these studies would have been desirable.

As a third principal reviewer, Dr. Kotelchuck agreed with the conclusions. He commented on the number of apparent gavage errors that resulted in the accidental killing of almost 10% of the test animals. Dr. Friess asked if there were guidelines for how much gavage error is permitted. Dr. E. McConnell, NTP, said that gavage error must be placed in the context of total accidental deaths and that 2% or lower is acceptable whereas 10% or greater is unacceptable. Dr. J. Huff, NTP, reminded the Panel that a 104-week gavage study using two species, both sexes, and four dose groups (vehicle control and three dose groups) requires 800 gavages to be done per day or 416,000 gavages over the course of the studies; thus, mistakes can occur. The NTP requires practical evidence of gavage proficiency before contract award.

Further discussion by the Panel members suggested agreement with the NTP selection of equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity for the various neoplasms cited as increased in male mice. Dr. Swenberg moved that the Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Isophorone be accepted with the conclusions as stated and revisions discussed. Mr. Beliczky seconded the motion, and the report was approved unanimously by the Peer Review Panel.

# I. INTRODUCTION

.



#### ISOPHORONE

#### (3,5,5-TRIMETHYL-2-CYCLOHEXEN-1-ONE)

#### CAS NO. 78-59-1

#### C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O Molecular weight 138.2

Isophorone (3,5,5-trimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one) is a colorless liquid with an odor resembling peppermint. Some properties of isophorone are given in Table 1.

Isophorone is manufactured commercially by passing acetone over calcium oxide, hydroxide, or carbide at 350° C or by heating acetone at 200°-250° C under pressure. Both processes generate a mixture of isophorone and a large number of byproducts including mesitylene, mesityl oxide, phorone, and xylitone isomers. Isophorone is distilled from the mixture and is available commercially at a purity of 96%-98% (USEPA, 1980).

*Production*: Since only two companies manufacture isophorone, production figures are not published by the U.S. Tariff Commission. However, estimates of production have been made from available data on the consumption of acetone for isophorone manufacture. Assuming a 90% yield and a consumption of 35 million pounds of acetone (Blackford, 1975) in the manufacture of isophorone, the estimated production of isophorone in 1973 was 25 million pounds. More recent figures are not available.

Uses: Isophorone is used as a solvent or cosolvent for polyvinyl and nitrocellulose resins, lacquers, finishes, pesticides, herbicides, and a variety of fats, oils, and gums (Sittig, 1980). It is used primarily as a solvent for vinylic resins applied by roller coating (Blackford, 1975). Isophorone is also a chemical intermediate in the manufacture of 3,5-xylenol, 3,3,5-trimethylcyclohexanol, and certain plant growth retardants (Haruta et al., 1974). Isophorone has recently been patented for use as a woodpecker repellent for utility poles (Reese, 1984).

#### TABLE 1. PROPERTIES OF ISOPHORONE (a)

Empirical formula	$C_9H_{14}O$	Molecular weight	138.21
Freezing point	-8.1°C	Boiling point (760 mm Hg)	215.2° (
Specific gravity (20/20° C)	0.9229 g/ml	Refractive index $n_{p}(20^{\circ} C)$	1.4781
Vapor pressure (25°C)	0.44 mm Hg	Air saturation	0.06%
Commercial purity (weight percent)	96%-98%	Water solubility	
Impurities:		(weight percent at 20° C)	1.2
β-isophorone	2%-4%		
Mesitylene (1,3,5-trimethylbenzene)	Trace		
Mesityl oxide (2-methyl-2-pentene-4-one)	Trace		
Phorone (2,6-dimethyl-2,5-heptadien-4-one)	Trace		
Isoxylitones	Trace		
Water	Trace		

(a) USEPA, 1979; Union Carbide, 1975; NIOSH, 1978

Environmental Occurrence and Human Exposure: Trace quantities (less than 0.01 ppb) of isophorone have been found in the Delaware River near a Philadelphia industrial area (Sheldon and Hites, 1978), and isophorone has been detected in the waste water from a tire manufacturing plant (Jungclaus et al., 1976) and in effluents from latex and chemical plants (Shackelford and Keith, 1976). Isophorone was found at concentrations of 1.5-2.9 µg/liter in finished drinking water in the New Orleans area and was also identified in Cincinnati drinking water at a concentration of 0.02 µg/liter. The highest concentration of isophorone found in a nationwide survey of finished drinking water was 9.5 µg/liter; using this figure, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) estimated the maximum daily intake of isophorone from ingestion of water and fish/shellfish taken from contaminated waters at 21.8 µg per day (USEPA, 1980).

Using existing toxicity data, the EPA has set an acceptable ambient water quality criteria level of 5.2 mg/liter (USEPA, 1980). In aqueous solutions, isophorone is converted by sunlight into three different tricyclic diketodimers (Jennings, 1965). The significance of this reaction in reducing the concentration of isophorone in surface water is unknown. Isophorone is degraded by microorganisms in both domestic waste water and in synthetic saltwater (Price, 1974).

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) estimates that 1,507,000 workers are occupationally exposed to isophorone in the United States, principally through dermal contact and inhalation of vapors (NIOSH, 1978). The breathing zone of workers in a screen printing plant was shown to contain isophorone at time-weighted-average concentrations of 8.3-23 ppm (Samimi, 1982). These concentrations are within the range of concentrations found to cause irritation of mucosal membranes (USEPA, 1980).

In a sensory threshold study, Silverman et al. (1946) exposed humans to the vapors of several industrial solvents including isophorone. Twelve subjects exposed to vapors for 15-minute periods reported that exposure to isophorone at 23 ppm produced irritation of the eyes, nose, and throat and that isophorone was the most irritating of all the ketonic solvents tested. The highest tolerable level for an 8-hour exposure was judged to be 10 ppm. In a study by Union Carbide (1963), 1-minute exposures of humans to isophorone at 200 ppm were found intolerable, as were 4-minute exposures at 40 ppm. Isophorone did not cause allergic sensitization in the 10 volunteers in the Union Carbide study. Besides irritation of the eyes, nose, and throat, other symptoms produced by inhaled isophorone included nausea, headache, dizziness, faintness, inebriation, and a feeling of suffocation. Isophorone also has a narcotic action common to ketones (Smyth and Seaton, 1940).

The current 8-hour time-weighted-average threshold limit value established by the American Conference of Governmental and Industrial Hygienists for isophorone is 5 ppm in the workplace air (ACGIH, 1983). The current U.S. Federal standard is 25 ppm, but NIOSH recommends a permissible exposure limit of 4 ppm for a 40-hour workweek (Sittig, 1980).

The degree of absorption of isophorone by humans through dermal contact has not been determined; however, toxicity in animals has resulted from dermal exposures (Union Carbide, 1975). Isophorone is a primary skin irritant, and application to the eyes of rabbits caused opacity of the cornea, inflammation of the eyelids and conjunctiva, and a purulent discharge (Truhaut et al., 1972).

Absorption, Distribution, and Metabolism: No information was found on the absorption or distribution of isophorone by any route of administration, but Dutertre-Catella et al. (1978) investigated the metabolism of isophorone in New Zealand rabbits and Wistar rats receiving a single dose of 1 g/kg body weight by gavage in olive oil. Metabolites included 5,5-dimethyl-2cyclohexen-1-one-3-carboxylic acid, thought to arise by methyloxidation; isophorol (3,5,5-trimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ol), found as the glucuronide conjugate and formed by reduction of the ketone; and dihydroisophorone (3,5,5-trimethylcyclohexanone) resulting from the hydrogenation of the cyclohexene double bond.

Isophorone is lipid soluble and would therefore be expected to accumulate to some degree in fat. Concentrations of isophorone in bluegill sunfish have been found to be seven times greater than those in ambient water (Ray and Trieff, 1980).

*Effects in Animals*: The oral  $LD_{50}$  value for isophorone in rats and mice is approximately 2 g/kg (Smyth et al., 1970; Union Carbide, 1975). The dermal  $LD_{50}$  value after placement of a covered dose of isophorone on the skin of rabbits for 24 hours is 1.39 g/kg (Union Carbide, 1975).

Inhalation of air saturated with isophorone (approximately 580 ppm) for 8 hours caused the death of 1/6 rats (Union Carbide, 1975). Smyth and Seaton (1940) reported deaths of rats exposed to isophorone for 4 hours at a purported concentration of 1,840 ppm but not at lower concentrations. In these same studies, guinea pigs were found to survive an 8-hour exposure to air saturated with isophorone. Rats that died from inhalation of isophorone showed petechial and massive hemorrhage of the lungs, congestion of the stomach and liver, excess peritoneal fluid, a pale brownish color of the kidneys, and orangetinted spleens. In animals killed 14 days after the exposure, rats showed frequent and more severe pathologic effects than did guinea pigs. Secretions, red cell leakage, and desquamated epithelial cells were frequently seen in alveoli and bronchioles of the lungs. Dilation of Bowman's capsule and general congestion were noted in kidneys along with cloudy swelling. dilation, granular detritis, and hyaline casts in the convoluted tubules; however, deaths were attributed to paralysis of the respiratory center by the narcotic action common to ketones.

The isophorone used by Smyth and Seaton (1940) was not pure and apparently contained several highly volatile components that may have contributed to the observed toxicity (Patty, 1963). This same applies to the repeated-exposure inhalation studies performed by Smyth et al. (1942) in which male Wistar rats and male and female guinea pigs were exposed to isophorone at concentrations from 25 to 500 ppm, 8 hours per day, 5 days per week, for 6 weeks. In these studies, about half of the guinea pigs exposed to isophorone at 500 ppm died before the 30th exposure, but none died from inhalation at 100 ppm or lower. Similarly, no rats died from exposure to isophorone at concentrations of 50 ppm or lower. Both species showed poor growth when exposed at 100 ppm or greater, and animals exposed at 500 ppm excreted albumin in their urine.

The principal pathologic findings in the repeated-exposure study (Smyth et al., 1942) were similar to those observed after 4- and 8-hour exposure by inhalation (Smyth and Seaton, 1940). Deaths appeared to result from a combination of kidney and lung injury in both species, and lesions were dose related. Kidneys were congested, with dilation of Bowman's capsule, granular secretions in the convoluted tubules, and cloudy swelling; toxic regeneration or necrosis of the tubular epithelium also was observed. Lungs were congested and showed red blood cells and increased secretions in the bronchioles and alveoli and desquamation of bronchiolar epithelium.

Ninety-day feeding studies were performed with isophorone in rats and dogs in 1972 by Parkin (USEPA, 1980). In the rat study, 20 weanling male and female CFE albino rats were fed isophorone in the diet at 0, 750, 1,500, or 3,000 ppm for 90 days. No compound-related deaths occurred during the study, and no effects on body weights or food consumption were noted. Similarly, no abnormalities were observed in hematologic or clinical chemistry determinations or in urinalyses. No pathologic lesions were observed by either gross or microscopic examination. In the dog study, four male and four female beagles were given isophorone for 90 days at doses of 0, 35, 75, or 150 mg/kg body weight per day in gelatin capsules. As in the rat study, isophorone administration was found to have no effect on mortality, weight gain, clinical chemical results, or results of urinalysis; and it did not cause gross or microscopic changes in any of the 28 selected tissues (USEPA, 1980).

Teratogenicity and Reproductive Effects: No information was found on the teratogenic or reproductive effects of exposure of mammals to isophorone, but an early life-stage toxicity test with the sheepshead minnow was reported (Ward et al., 1981). The hatching success of sheepshead minnows was markedly reduced when they were exposed to isophorone at a concentration of 287 mg/liter; over a 28-day exposure period, mortality of exposed juveniles was 100% compared with 4% in the controls. Exposure at 156 mg/liter did not decrease hatching success or increase mortality, but growth was severely stunted. Two abnormal fish were observed--a two-headed embryo in the 100 embryos exposed at 18 mg/liter and a one-eyed fish in the 40 mg/liter group.

Mutagenicity: No information was found in the literature regarding the genetic toxicity of isophorone; however, the NTP has tested this compound in several genetic toxicity assays. Isophorone was tested for mutagenicity in the Salmonella/microsome assay and in the mouse lymphoma L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> assay (Appendix L, Tables L1 and L2). Isophorone was not mutagenic in strains TA100, TA1535, TA1537, or TA98 of Salmonella typhimurium in the presence or absence of Aroclor 1254-induced Sprague-Dawley male rat or male Syrian hamster liver S9. Isophorone was weakly mutagenic in the mouse lymphoma L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> assay in the absence of S9; it was not tested in the presence of S9. Isophorone was also found to induce sister-chromatid exchanges in Chinese hamster ovary cells in the absence of S9, but this effect was eliminated in the presence of Aroclor 1254-induced male rat liver S9 (Appendix L,

Table L3). In addition, isophorone did not induce chromosomal aberrations in the presence or absence of S9 in Chinese hamster ovary cells (Appendix L, Table L4).

*Carcinogenicity*: No animal or epidemiologic studies of the carcinogenic potential of isophorone were found in the literature.

Study Rationale: Isophorone was nominated for carcinogenicity and toxicity evaluation after the EPA reviewed chemicals found in drinking water. Isophorone was selected based on its presence in municipal water supplies, its potential for industrial exposure, and the lack of adequate epidemiologic or animal toxicity or carcinogenicity studies. The oral route of administration was chosen to mimic human exposure in drinking water; however, isophorone was administered by gavage in corn oil because the chemical was insoluble in water at the concentrations required to deliver the desired doses. It might have been possible to perform these studies using isophorone-dosed feed (the stability of the chemical in feed has not been determined by the NTP). Based on occupational exposures, administration of isophorone via dermal or inhalation exposures would also have been appropriate.

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ISOPHORONE PREPARATION OF DOSE MIXTURES SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES TWO-YEAR STUDIES Study Design Source and Specifications of Animals Animal Maintenance Clinical Examinations and Pathology Statistical Methods

#### PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ISOPHORONE

Isophorone was obtained from the Leidy Chemical Corporation (Danbury, CT) in two lots (Table 2). Purity and identity analyses were conducted at Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, MO) (Appendix G).

The identity of isophorone was confirmed by infrared, ultraviolet/visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance analyses. All spectroscopic data were in agreement with the literature or consistent with those expected for isophorone.

Cumulative analytical data indicated that lot no. 1204 was 97% pure and lot no. L052281 was 94% pure. Karl Fischer analyses indicated that lot no. 1204 contained 0.3% water and lot no. L052281 contained 1.4% water. Fourteen impurities constituting 2.8% of the total material (1 with an area of 1.9% that of the major peak) were detected in lot no. 1204 by gas chromatography. The 1.9% impurity could not be positively identified, but the fragmentation pattern obtained by mass spectroscopy suggested it was an isomer of isophorone. Ten impurities were detected in lot no. L052281 by one gas chromatographic system, and 8 impurities (1 with an area of 2.5% that of the major peak) were detected in lot no. L052281 in a second gas chromatographic system. Gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy indicated that the 2.5% impurity had a molecular ion (m/z=152) which suggested an isophorone-type structure with an added methylene group. This impurity is probably the 3-ethyl-5,5-dimethyl- or the 2,3,5,5-tetramethyl- homolog of isophorone. These homologs could form during the synthesis of isophorone by the condensation of two molecules of acetone with methyl ethyl ketone, a common impurity in acetone.

Lot no. L052281 was similar in purity to lot no. 1204, although the water content was higher. The gas chromatographic profiles for the two lots were similar, but the total relative impurity area was slightly greater for lot no. L052281, and the areas of some of the individual impurities varied significantly from lot no. 1204.

The isophorone test material was stored at 4° C in the dark. Results of periodic reanalysis of the bulk chemical by gas chromatography and comparison with a reference sample of isophorone stored at  $-20^{\circ}$  C indicated no notable change in isophorone throughout the studies.

	Sixteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
Lot Numbers Used	1204	1204	1204 for the first 6 months, L052281 for the remainder of the studies
Supplier	Leidy Chem Corp., Manufacturer: Union Carbide (Danbury, CT)	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies
Date of Initial Use of Each Lot	N/A	N/A	8/03/81

#### TABLE 2. IDENTITY AND SOURCE OF LOTS USED IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

#### **PREPARATION OF DOSE MIXTURES**

Appropriate amounts of isophorone and corn oil were mixed to give the desired concentrations (Table 3 and Appendix H). Methods and results of periodic analyses of formulated isophorone/ corn oil mixtures at the testing laboratory and of referee analyses at the analytical chemistry laboratory are given in Appendixes I and J. Because 70/73 mixtures analyzed had isophorone concentrations within 10% of target concentrations, it is estimated that dose mixtures were prepared within specifications more than 95% of the time (Table 4). Isophorone in corn oil was found to be stable for 7 days at room temperature. Formulated isophorone/corn oil mixtures were stored at  $2^{\circ}-8^{\circ}$  C for no longer than 7 days except for the first 26 days of the 2-year studies when the formulated mixture was held for 2 weeks.

# TABLE 3. PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OFISOPHORONE

	Sixteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
Preparation	Isophorone was added to corn oil in a graduated cylinder. Dose mixtures were prepared by further diluting this stock solution with corn oil to the appropriate concentrations.	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies
Maximum Storage Time	1 wk	1 wk	2 wk until 2/26/80; then 1 wk
Storage Conditions	2°-8° C	2°-8° C	2°-8° C

# TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

	Target Concentration (percent)			
	2.50	5.00	10.00	
Mean (percent)	2.59	5.09	9.86	
Standard deviation	0.129	0.227	0.616	
Coefficient of variation (percent)	5.0	4.5	6.2	
Range (percent)	2.38-2.94	4.72-5.88	8.36-10.59	
Number of samples	19	35	19	

#### SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Male and female F344/N rats and  $B6C3F_1$  mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories and held for 18 days before the study began. Groups of five rats and five mice of each sex were administered 0, 125, 250, 500, 1,000, or 2,000 mg/kg isophorone in corn oil by gavage, 5 days per week for 2 weeks (a total of 12 doses). Animals were housed five per cage and received water and feed ad libitum. Details of animal maintenance are presented in Table 5. The animals were observed twice daily and weighed on days 0 and 16.

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Thirteen-week studies were conducted to evaluate the cumulative toxic effects of repeated administration of isophorone and to determine the doses to be used in the 2-year studies. Fourweek-old male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice were obtained from Harlan Industries, observed for 18 days, and then assigned to test groups according to two tables of random numbers. Groups of 10 rats and 10 mice of each sex were administered 0, 62.5, 125, 250, 500, or 1,000 mg/kg isophorone in corn oil, 5 days per week for 13 weeks. Rats were housed 5 per cage, and mice were housed 10 per cage. Feed and water were available ad libitum. Further experimental details are summarized in Table 5. Animals were checked twice daily; moribund animals were killed. Individual animal weights were recorded weekly. At the end of the 13-week studies, survivors were killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals except those excessively autolyzed or cannibalized. Tissues and groups examined are listed in Table 5.

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

#### Study Design

Groups of 50 rats and 50 mice of each sex were administered 0, 250, or 500 mg/kg isophorone in corn oil by gavage, 5 days per week for 103 weeks.

#### Source and Specifications of Animals

The male and female F344/N rats and  $B6C3F_1$  $(C57BL/6N, female, \times C3H/HeN MTV^{-}, male)$ mice used in this study were produced under strict barrier conditions at Charles River Breeding Laboratories under a contract to the Carcinogenesis Program. Breeding starts for the foundation colony at the production facility originated at the National Institutes of Health Repository. Animals shipped for testing were progeny of defined microflora-associated parents that were transferred from isolators to barrier-maintained rooms. Animals were shipped to the testing laboratory at 4-6 weeks of age. The animals were guarantined at the testing facility for 15 days. Thereafter, a complete necropsy was performed on five animals of each sex and species to assess their health status. The rodents were placed on study at 6-8 weeks of age. The health of the animals was monitored during the course of the study according to the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix K).

A quality control skin grafting program has been in effect since early 1978 to monitor the genetic integrity of the inbred mice used to produce the hybrid  $B6C3F_1$  test animal. In mid-1981, data were obtained that showed incompatibility between the NIH C3H reference colony and the C3H colony from a Program supplier. In August 1981, inbred parental lines of mice were further tested for genetic integrity via isozyme and protein electrophoretograms that demonstrate phenotype expressions of known genetic loci.

The C57BL/6 mice were homogeneous at all loci tested. Eighty-five percent of the C3H mice monitored were variant at one to three loci, indicating some heterogeneity in the C3H line from this supplier. Nevertheless, the genome of this line is more homogeneous than that of randomly bred stocks.

Male mice from the C3H colony and female mice from the C57BL/6 colony were used as parents for the hybrid  $B6C3F_1$  mice used in these studies. The influence of the potential genetic

	Sixteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN			
Testing Laboratory	Papanicolaou Cancer Research Institute	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies
Size of Test Groups	5 males and 5 females of each species	10 males and 10 females of each species	50 males and 50 females of each species
Doses	0, 125, 250, 500, 1,000, or 2,000 mg/kg isophorone in corn oil by gavage; dose vol: rats1 ml; mice0.5 ml	0, 62.5, 125, 250, 500, or 1,000 mg/kg isophorone in corn oil by gavage; dose vol: rats1 ml; mice0.5 ml	0, 250, or 500 mg/kg isophorone in corn oil by gavage; dose vol: rats5 ml/kg; mice10 ml/kg
Date of First Dose	2/19/79	5/7/79	1/31/80
Date of Last Dose	3/6/79	8/3/79	Rats1/22/82; mice1/20/82
Duration of Dosing	5 d/wk for 2 wk (12 doses over 16 d)	5 d/wk for 13 wk	5 d/wk for 103 wk
Type and Frequency of Observation	Observed 2 $ imes$ d; weighed on d 0 and 16	Observed 2 $ imes$ d; weighed 1 imes wk for 13 wk	Observed 2 $\times$ d; weighed 1 $\times$ wk for 13 wk, 1 $\times$ mo thereafter
Necropsy and Histologic Examination	Necropsy performed on all animals; tissues examined: skin, mammary gland, man- dibular lymph node, salivary gland, thigh muscle, sciatic nerve, vertebrae, femur (mice), costochondral junction (rib), thymus, larynx, lungs and bronchi, heart, thyroid gland, parathyroids, esophagus, stomach, duodenum, jejunum, eyes, ileum, colon, cecum, rec- tum, mesenteric lymph node, liver, gallbladder (mice), pan- creas, spleen, kidney, adrenal glands, urinary bladder, seminal vesicles/prostate/ testes or ovaries/uterus, nasal cavity, brain, pituitary gland, spinal cord. Histopathologic examination performed on the following 10 animals: 2,000 mg/kg3 male rats, 1 female rat; 1,000 mg/kg2 female rats, 2 male mice, and 2 female mice; tissues examined micro- scopically are the same as those listed under 13-wk studies	Necropsy performed on all animals; histopathologic exam performed on the following tissues of vehicle control and high dose ani- mals: skin, mammary gland, sciatic nerve, sali- vary gland, mandibular lymph node, thymus, heart, lungs, trachea, thyroid gland, para- thyroids, esophagus, stomach, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, colon, rectum, mesenteric lymph node, pancreas, spleen, liver, gallbladder (mice), kidneys, adrenal glands, urinary bladder, seminal vesicles, prostate/testes or ovaries/uterus, brain, pituitary gland, bone marrow, spinal cord, and nasal cavity	Necropsy performed on all animals; the following tissues of all animals were microscopically examined: gross lesions and tissue masses, skin, mammary gland, thymus, heart, lungs and bronchi, trachea, thyroid gland, parathyroids, esophagus, stomach, colon, small intestine, mesenteric lymph node, pancreas, spleen, liver, gallbladder (mice), kidneys, adrenal glands, urinary bladder, prostate/testes or ovaries/uterus, brain, pituitary gland, eyes (if grossly abnormal), thoracic vertebrae, including bone marrow and spinal cord

# TABLE 5. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

	Sixteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies	
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINTENANCE				
Strain and Species	F344/N rats; B6C3F <sub>1</sub> mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F <sub>1</sub> mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F <sub>1</sub> mice	
Animal Source	Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)	Harlan Industries (Indianapolis, IN)	Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)	
Time Held Before Test	18 d	18 d	15 d	
Age When Placed on Study	Rats47-54 d; mice47-61 d	8 wk	Rats6-7 wk; mice6-8 wk	
Age When Killed	Rats9-10 wk; mice 9-11 wk	21 wk	Rats111-112 wk; mice110-113 wk	
Necropsy Dates	3/7/79	8/6/79-8/8/79	Rats2/2-2/4/82 ; mice1/28/82, 1/29/82, 2/1/82	
Method of Animal Distribution	According to weight class; then assigned to cages according to a table of random numbers; cages then assigned to groups according to another table of random numbers	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies	
Animal Identification	Ear tag, toe clip, and injection of india ink into the footpad on all animals for a 3-digit identification number	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies	
Feed	Purina Lab Chow⊕(Ralston Purina, St. Louis, MO); available ad libitum	Same as 16-d studies	NIH 07 pellets (Ziegler Bros, Inc. Gardners, PA); available ad libitum	
Bedding	Semi-chip hardwood (Pine- wood Products Co.,Miami, FL)	Same as 16-d studies	Beta Chip hardwood (Northeastern Products Corp., Warrensburg, NY)	
Water	Automatic watering system (Edstrom Industries, Water- ford, WI); available ad libitum	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies	
Cages	Polycarbonate Lab Products (Rochelle Park, NJ)	Same as 16-d studies	Polycarbonate (Lab Products Garfield, NJ, or Hanford Metal Products, Aberdeen, MD)	
Animal Room Environment	Temp23°- 24° C (excursions in temp not reported); humiditynot monitored; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 18-20 room air changes/h	Temp23° - 24° C (excur- sions in temp not report- ed); humiditynot moni- tored; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 18-20 room air changes/h	Temp21° - 27° C (1 morning, temp was 32° C, but it was 26° C by noon); average 23° C; humidity29% - 74% average 56%; fluorescent light 12 h/c 10-15 room air changes/h	
Cage Filters	Cerex spun nylon (Monsanto, St. Louis, MO)	Same as 16-d studies	Same as 16-d studies	
Animals per Cage	5	Rats5; mice10	5	

# TABLE 5. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

nonuniformity in the hybrid mice on these results is not known, but the results of the studies are not affected because concurrent controls were included in the study.

#### **Animal Maintenance**

Rats and mice were housed five per cage in polycarbonate cages. Feed and water were available ad libitum. Further details of animal maintenance are given in Table 5.

### **Clinical Examinations and Pathology**

All animals were observed twice daily, and clinical signs were recorded once per week. Body weights by cage were recorded once per week for the first 13 weeks of the study and once per month thereafter. Mean body weights were calculated for each group. Moribund animals were killed, as were animals that survived to the end of the study. A necropsy was performed on all animals, including those found dead unless they were excessively autolyzed or cannibalized. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and is not necessarily equal to the number of animals that were placed on study in each group.

Examinations for grossly visible lesions were performed on major tissues or organs. Tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Tissues examined microscopically are listed in Table 5.

When the pathology examination was completed, the slides, individual animal data records, and summary tables were sent to an independent quality assurance laboratory. Individual animal records and tables were compared for accuracy, slides and tissue counts were verified, and histotechnique was evaluated. All tumor diagnoses, all target tissues (male rats-kidney, adrenal glands, pancreas, thyroid gland; female rats--kidney, adrenal glands, pancreas; male mice--liver; female mice--none), and all tissues from a randomly selected 10% of the animals were evaluated by a quality assurance pathologist. Slides of all target tissues and those about which the original and quality assurance pathologists disagreed were submitted to the Chairperson of the Pathology Working Group (PWG) for evaluation. Representative coded slides selected by the Chairperson were reviewed by PWG pathologists, who reached a consensus and compared their findings with the original and quality assurance diagnoses. When diagnostic differences were found, the PWG sent the appropriate slides and comments to the original pathologist for review. This procedure has been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and Boorman et al. (1985). The final diagnoses represent a consensus of contractor pathologists and the NTP Pathology Working Group. For subsequent evaluations, the diagnosed lesions for each tissue type are combined according to the guidelines of McConnell et al. (1985).

### **Statistical Methods**

Data Recording: Data on this experiment were recorded in the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969).

Survival Analyses: The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and is presented in the form of graphs. Animals were censored from the survival analyses at the time they were found dead of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) life table test for a dose-related trend. All reported P values for the survival analysis are two-sided.

Calculation of Incidence: The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions is given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site to the number of animals in which that site was examined. In most instances, the denominators include only those animals for which the site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions (e.g., skin or mammary tumors) prior to histologic sampling, or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the number of animals on which a necropsy was performed.

Analysis of Tumor Incidence: Three statistical methods are used to analyze tumor incidence data. The two that adjust for intercurrent mortality employ the classical method for combining contingency tables developed by Mantel and Haenszel (1959). Tests of significance included pairwise comparisons of high dose and low dose groups with vehicle controls and tests for overall dose-response trends.

For studies in which compound administration has little effect on survival, the results of the three alternative analyses will generally be similar. When differing results are obtained by the three methods, the final interpretation of the data will depend on the extent to which the tumor under consideration is regarded as being the cause of death. All reported P values for tumor analyses are one-sided.

Life Table Analyses--The first method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals dying before the end of the study were "fatal"; i.e., they either directly or indirectly caused the death of the animal. According to this approach, the proportions of tumor-bearing animals in the dosed and vehicle control groups were compared at each point in time at which an animal died with a tumor of interest. The denominators of these proportions were the total number of animals at risk in each group. These results, including the data from animals killed at the end of the study, were then combined by the Mantel-Haenszel method to obtain an overall P value. This method of adjusting for intercurrent mortality is the life table method of Cox (1972) and of Tarone (1975). The underlying variable considered by this analysis is time to death due to tumor. If the tumor is rapidly lethal, then time to death due to

tumor closely approximates time to tumor onset. In this case, the life table test also provides a comparison of the time-specific tumor incidences.

Incidental Tumor Analyses--The second method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals that died before the end of the study were "incidental"; i.e., they were merely observed at necropsy in animals dying of an unrelated cause. According to this approach, the proportions of tumor-bearing animals in dosed and vehicle control groups were compared in each of four time intervals: weeks 0-52, weeks 53-85, week 86 to the week before the terminal-kill period, and the terminal-kill period. The denominators of these proportions were the number of animals on which a necropsy was actually performed during the time interval. The individual time interval comparisons were then combined by the previously described method to obtain a single overall result. (See Haseman, 1984, for the computational details of both methods.)

Unadjusted Analyses--Primarily, survival-adjusted methods are used to evaluate tumor incidence. In addition, the results of the Fisher exact test for pairwise comparisons and the Cochran-Armitage linear trend test (Armitage, 1971; Gart et al., 1979) are given in the appendix containing the analyses of primary tumor incidence. These two tests are based on the overall proportion of tumor-bearing animals and do not adjust for survival differences.

Historical Control Data: Although the concurrent control group is always the first and most appropriate control group used for decisionmaking, there are certain instances in which historical control data can be helpful in the overall evaluation of tumor incidence. Consequently, control tumor incidences from the NTP historical control data base (Haseman et al., 1984) are included for those tumors in these studies appearing to show compound-related effects.

### **III. RESULTS**

RATS

SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

**TWO-YEAR STUDIES** 

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

MICE

SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

**TWO-YEAR STUDIES** 

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

#### SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Four of five females and one of five males that received 2,000 mg/kg died before the end of the studies (Table 6). Final mean body weights relative to those of the vehicle controls were 13.9% and 6.7% lower for male and female rats that received 1,000 mg/kg and 25.2% and 11.4% lower for surviving male and female rats that received 2,000 mg/kg. All dosed rats were lethargic after dosing. No compound-related effects were observed at gross necropsy. No lesions were noted upon microscopic examination of the tissues from six selected rats from the two highest dose groups. Because deaths were observed in the 2,000 mg/kg groups, the high dose selected for the 13-week studies was 1,000 mg/kg.

TABLE 6. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE SIXTEEN-DAY GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

		Mean	Body Weights	<b>Final Weight Relative</b>	
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial	Final	Change (b)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)
MALE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e en anno en in a chiù		
0	5/5	148	230	+82	
125	5/5	142	224	+ 82	97.4
250	5/5	138	220	+82	95.7
500	5/5	148	219	+71	95.2
1,000	5/5	139	198	+ 59	86.1
2,000	(c) <b>4</b> /5	136	172	+36	74.8
FEMALE					
0	5/5	111	149	+38	
125	5/5	98	154	+ 56	103.4
250	5/5	112	153	+41	102.7
500	5/5	110	152	+42	102.0
1,000	5/5	110	139	+29	93.3
2,000	(d) 1/5	111	132	+21	88.6

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Mean body weight change of the survivors

(c) Day of death: 2

(d) Day of death: 2,2,3,3

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

One female rat that received 1,000 mg/kg died (Table 7). Final mean body weights for rats were not clearly related to dose. Rats that received 1,000 mg/kg were sluggish and lethargic after dosing. No compound-related gross or microscopic pathologic effects were observed. The kidneys of the high dose and vehicle control male and female rats were reviewed because of the reported nephrotoxicity of this compound; toxic changes were not found in the present studies.

Recuts and special stains on the kidneys of the

high dose and vehicle control male rats were done to verify that subtle changes had not been missed in the original evaluation.

Dose Selection Rationale: Doses selected for rats for the 2-year studies were 250 and 500 mg/kg isophorone, to be administered in corn oil by gavage, 5 days per week for 103 weeks. The high dose of 500 mg/kg was based on the perceived potential of isophorone to produce cumulative toxicity during the 2-year studies. (Deaths were observed in the 2,000 mg/kg dose groups in the 16-day studies.)

# TABLE 7. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

		Mean Be	Final Weight Relativ		
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)
MALE					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0	10/10	$107 \pm 3$	$274 \pm 4$	$+167 \pm 6$	
62.5	10/10	$103 \pm 3$	$263 \pm 9$	$+160 \pm 8$	96.0
125	10/10	$105 \pm 3$	$290 \pm 9$	$+185 \pm 9$	105.8
250	10/10	$110 \pm 3$	$288 \pm 9$	$+178 \pm 6$	105.1
500	10/10	$101 \pm 2$	$274 \pm 11$	$+173 \pm 10$	100.0
1,000	10/10	$108 \pm 3$	$260 \pm 7$	$+152 \pm 7$	94.9
FEMALE					
0	10/10	$93 \pm 2$	$174 \pm 5$	$+81 \pm 5$	<u></u>
62.5	10/10	$90 \pm 2$	$174 \pm 6$	$+84 \pm 5$	100.0
125	10/10	$87 \pm 2$	$174 \pm 6$	$+87 \pm 5$	100.0
250	10/10	$86 \pm 2$	$168 \pm 5$	$+82 \pm 4$	96.6
500	10/10	$85 \pm 2$	$160 \pm 5$	$+75 \pm 5$	92.0
1,000	(d) 9/10	$92 \pm 3$	$172 \pm 4$	$+82 \pm 4$	98.9

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Initial group body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on those animals surviving to the end of the study.

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) Week of death: 5

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

#### **Body Weights and Clinical Signs**

Mean body weights of high dose male rats were approximately 5% lower than those of the vehicle controls after week 1 (Table 8 and Figure 1). Mean body weights of high dose female rats averaged about 8% lower than those of the vehicle controls after week 43. Deprivation of food or water and scale malfunction were discounted as causes for the markedly lower weights of high dose males at week 51 and the high dose females at weeks 47 and 51. No compound-related clinical signs were observed.

 TABLE 8. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE

 STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

Weeks		Control	250 mg/kg			500 mg/kg		
on Study	Av. Wt. (grams)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of veh controls)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of veh controls)	No. of Survivors
ALE			<u></u>					
0	119	50	113	95	50	121	102	50
ĭ	119 161	50	140	87	50	145	90	50
2	182	50	174	96	50	172	95 ·	50
3	205	50	200	98	50	186	91	50
4 5	238	50	232	97	50	223	94	49
5	249	50	243	98 100	50	234	94	49 49
6 7	246 267 279	50 50	246 260	97	49 49	234 252	95 94	49
Å	279	50	276	99	49	268	96	48
8 9	295	50	288	98	49	280	95	48
10	302	50	293	97	48	286	95	47
11	307	50	308	100	48	296	96	46
12 13 17 22 26 30 34 38	316	50	315	100	48	303	96	46
13	332 334	50 50	328 352	99 105	48 48	319 330	96 99	46 46
22	363	50	342	94	48	340	94	44
26	363 383	50	378	99	48	367	96	44 44 44
30	380	49	390	103	47	378	99	44
34	401	49	411	102	47	385	96	44
38	417	49	409	98 101	46	399	96 95	44
43 47	434 432	49 49	439 441	101 102	46 46	411 414	95 96	44 44
47 51	434	49	444	102	46	396	91	44
55	452	49	459	102	46	425	94	44
60	454 447 453	49	455	100	46	434	96	42
64	447	49	456	102	46	431	96	41
68	453	49	452	100	46	437	96	38
72 76	458	47 47	460	100	44 43	435	95 94	38 37
81	465 468	46	462 462	99 99	43	439 450	96	34
85	463	45	452	98	41	444	96	34
89	460	41	464	101	40	442	96	33 27
93	441	36	458	104	38	416	94	27
98	435	36	452	104	34	414	95	21
101 105	430 424	34 33	443 426	103 100	33 33	400 394	93 93	16 13
	424	00	420	100	55	004	50	10
EMALE								*0
0	101 126	50 50	100 119	99 94	50 50	100 118	99 94	50
2	136	50	134	99	50	133	98	50 50
1 2 3	144	<b>5</b> 0	142	99	50	140	97	50
4	155	50	156	101	50	156	101	49
5	163 166	50	164	101	49	161	99	49
5 6 7	166	50	167	101	49	164	99	48
Ŕ	173 178	50 50	$172 \\ 178$	99 100	48 48	172 177	99 99	48 47
8 9	181	50	180	99	40	178	98	47
10	186	50	183	98	47	183	98	46
11	189	50	189	100	47	186	98	46
12	193 198	50	191	99 99	47 47	189 193	98 97	46 46
13 17 22 30 34 38 43 43 47 55	205	50 49	19 <del>6</del> 206	100	47 47	193	97 97	46 45
22	213	49	208	98	47	208	98	39
26	213 221 224 232 234 246	49	221	100	46	214	97	39 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 35
30	224	49	223	100	46	218	97	36
34	232	49	230 228	99 97	46 46	223 225	96 96	36
43	234 248	48 48	228 240	97 98	46	225	96	36
47	247	48 47 47	238	98 96 98	46	223	90	36
51	247 250	47	245	98	46	220	88	35
	259	47	252	97	46	241	93	35
60	263	46	251	95	45	244	93	35
60 64 68 72 76	263 271	46	263	100 99 99	42 42	244 247 246 252 263 259	94 91	34
79	2/1	46	267	99	42	248	90	34
76	280 291	46 46	277 282	99	41 40	204	90 90	34
81	291	42	285	98 98	38	259	89	34
	293	41	290	99	38	264	90	33
85	297	39	298	100	38	274	92	32
85 89			007	105	37	273	96	29
85 89 93	284	39	297	100				~~
85 89 93	284	39 34	292	105	27	266	96 95	25
85 89	284 279 278 282	39 34 32 30		105 105 106 106	37 27 24 22	264 274 273 266 267 263	95 96 93	34 34 34 34 33 32 29 25 23 20



FIGURE 1. GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS ADMINISTERED ISOPHORONE IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

#### Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of the survival of male and female rats administered isophorone at the doses used in these studies and those of the vehicle controls are shown in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 2. The survival of the high dose group of male rats was significantly lower than that of the vehicle control group after week 96 (Table 9). Gavage errors accounted for all of the 36 accidental deaths of male and female rats. Deaths related to gavage error increased with dose in females.

# Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes significant or noteworthy

changes in the incidences of rats with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the kidney, preputial gland, lung, adrenal gland, pancreas, and pituitary gland. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A (Tables A1 and A2); Appendix A (Tables A3 and A4) also gives the survival and tumor status for individual male and female rats. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C (Tables C1 and C2). Appendix E (Tables E1 and E2) contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes). Historical incidences of tumors in corn oil vehicle control animals are listed in Appendix F.

#### TABLE 9. SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
MALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	13	12	30
Accidentally killed	4	5	6
Killed at termination	33	33	14
Survival P values (c)	<0.001	0.917	< 0.001
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	19	21	16
Accidentally killed	1	6	14
Killed at termination	30	23	20
Survival P values (c)	0.748	0.537	0.886

(a) Terminal kill period: week 105

(b) Includes moribund animals that were killed

(c) The vehicle control column contains results of the life table trend test; the columns for dosed groups contain the life table pairwise comparisons with the vehicle controls.


FIGURE 2. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS ADMINISTERED ISOPHORONE IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Kidney: Tubular cell hyperplasia was noted in one low dose and four high dose male rats (Table 10). Tubular cell lesions were termed hyperplastic when they were confined to one tubule but showed dilation and proliferation of the epithelial cell laver. The cells varied in size and showed nuclear pleomorphism. If more than one adjacent tubule was involved, the lesion was termed an adenoma. These lesions were generally well demarcated from the surrounding parenchyma, and tubular formation was still distinct. A lesion was termed an adenocarcinoma if evidence of infiltrative growth, cellular and nuclear pleomorphism, and indistinct tubular formation was present. Tubular cell adenomas and adenocarcinomas were observed in dosed male rats, and incidences were significantly increased from that in the vehicle controls

(Appendix E, Table E1). No kidney tumors were observed in female rats.

Tubular cell mineralization was increased in dosed male rats but not in dosed female rats. This lesion was characterized by basophilic aggregates of mineral most often found in the medullary collecting ducts and occurred coincidentally with lesions of chronic nephropathy. The incidence of nephropathy was moderately increased in dosed female rats, and although the incidence of nephropathy was similar in dosed and vehicle control male rats, the severity was greater in low dose males. Hyperplasia of the renal pelvis was observed in five low dose and five high dose male rats but in no vehicle controls. Renal calculi were not observed in any group of male rats.

TABLE 10.	NUMBER	OF RATS WITH	RENAL	LESIONS	IN THE	<b>TWO-YEAR</b>	GAVAGE	STUDIES OF
			18	SOPHORO	NE			

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
MALE			
Number of rats examined	50	50	50
Fubular cell hyperplasia	0	1	4
Fubular cell adenoma	0	0	2
Fubular cell adenocarcinoma	0	3	1
<b>Fubular cell ade</b> noma or adenocarcinoma (c	ombined)(a)		
Overall rates	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted rates	0.0%	9.1%	12.0%
Terminal rates	0/33 (0%)	3/33 (9%)	1/14 (7%)
Life table tests	P = 0.014	P = 0.120	P = 0.025
Incidental tumor tests	P=0.034	P = 0.120	P = 0.073
Epithelial hyperplasia of the renal pelvis	0	5	5
Subule mineralization	1	31	20
Vephropathy	49	47	46
FEMALE			
Number of rats examined	50	50	50
Fubular cell hyperplasia	0	0	1
Epithelial hyperplasia of the renal pelvis	0	õ	1
Subule mineralization	10	4	2
Vephropathy	21	39	32

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies of tubular cell adenoma or adenocarcinoma (combined): 4/1,091, 0.4%

*Preputial Gland*: The incidence of carcinomas in male rats occurred with a significant positive trend, and the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls (Table 11). These lesions were noted on gross necropsy and generally were greater than 1 cm in diameter. Microscopically, the cells had abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm with large anaplastic nuclei, grew in solid sheets or formed acini, and invaded adjacent adipose tissue.

Lung: Chronic interstitial pneumonia or chronic bronchopneumonia was observed in all groups of

rats (male: 10/50, 20%; 8/50, 16%; 10/50, 20%; female: 12/50, 24%; 8/50, 16%; 8/50, 16%).

Adrenal Cortex: Fatty metamorphosis was observed at an increased incidence in dosed male rats but not in dosed female rats (male: 7/50, 14%; 21/50, 42%; 26/50, 52%; female: 13/50, 26%; 8/50, 16%; 5/50, 10%). The term "fatty metamorphosis" was used to indicate lesions in which adrenal cortical cells contained cytoplasmic vacuoles. Small vacuoles often contained eosinophilic fibrillar material. This lesion was most frequently seen in the zona fasciculata.

### TABLE 11. ANALYSIS OF PREPUTIAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (a)

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
arcinoma (b)			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%
Terminal Rates	0/33 (0%)	0/33(0%)	1/14(7%)
Life Table Tests	P = 0.002	(c)	P = 0.012
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.019	(c)	P = 0.068

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes).

(b) Historical incidence of adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in NTP studies: 38/1,094, 3%.

(c) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the 250 mg/kg and vehicle control groups.

Pancreas: The incidences of hyperplasia were similar in dosed and vehicle control groups. Results of statistical analysis of the incidence of male rats with hyperplasia or adenomas (combined) were similar to those of male rats with adenomas. Acinar cell adenomas occurred in male rats with a significant positive trend by the life table test. The incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls only by the life table test (Table 12). Anterior Pituitary: Focal hyperplasia was observed at increased incidences in dosed female rats but not in dosed male rats (male: 8/48, 17%; 11/49, 22%; 8/47, 17%; female: 3/49, 6%; 6/48, 13%; 13/47, 28%). However, the incidence of adenomas occurred with a negative trend in female rats; the incidences were similar in dosed and vehicle control males (male: 10/48, 21%; 12/49, 41%; 8/47, 17%; female: 21/49, 43%; 17/48, 35%; 12/47, 25%).

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg	
MALE			,	+ <u></u>
Hyperplasia				
Overall Rates	15/50 (30%)	17/50 (34%)	12/50 (24%)	
Adenoma (a)				
Overall Rates	4/50 (8%)	9/50 (18%)	6/50 (12%)	
Adjusted Rates	12.1%	26.3%	34.6%	
Terminal Rates	4/33 (12%)	8/33 (24%)	4/14 (29%)	
Life Table Tests	P = 0.027	P = 0.114	P = 0.045	
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.059	P = 0.102	P = 0.086	
Adenoma or Hyperplasia				
Overall Rates	15/50 (30%)	20/50 (18%)	13/50 (24%)	
Adjusted Rates	43.9%	57.1%	61.7%	
Terminal Rates	14/33 (42%)	18/33 (55%)	7/14 (50%)	
Life Table Tests	P = 0.026	P = 0.184	P = 0.046	
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.109	P = 0.148	P=0.169	
FEMALE				
Hyperplasia				
Overall Rates	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)	
Adenoma				
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	
Adenoma or Hyperplasia				
Overall Rates	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)	

TABLE 12.	ANALYSIS OF PANCREATIC ACINAR CELL LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 35/1,076, 3.3%  $\pm$  7.2%

### SIXTEEN-DAY STUDIES

All mice administered 2,000 mg/kg isophorone died before the end of the studies (Table 13). Final mean body weights relative to those of the controls were 7.8% lower for males that received 1,000 mg/kg and 7.3%-9.3% lower for females that received 250, 500, or 1,000 mg/kg. Male

mice lost weight during week 1, probably as a consequence of fighting. Male and female mice that received 1,000 mg/kg staggered after dosing. No compound-related effects were observed at gross necropsy, nor were lesions noted in tissues examined microscopically from two male and two female mice from the 1,000 mg/kg dose group.

TABLE 13.	SURVIVAL	AND	MEAN	BODY	WEIGHTS	OF	MICE IN	THE	SIXTEEN-DAY	GAVAGE
				STUD	IES OF IS	OPH	IORONE			

		Mean	<b>Body Weights</b>	Final Weight Relative	
Dose Surviv (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial	Final	Change (b)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)
MALE					
0	5/5	25.3	30.6	+ 5.3	
125	5/5	25.8	33.3	+7.5	108.8
250	5/5	23.3	30.7	+7.4	100.3
500	5/5	24.1	30.0	+ 5.9	98.0
1,000	5/5	22.9	28.2	+5.3	92.2
2,000	0/5	19.0	(c)	(c)	(c)
FEMALE					
0	5/5	17.4	24.7	+7.3	
125	5/5	18.9	24.4	+5.5	98.8
250	5/5	19.3	22.7	+3.4	91.9
500	5/5	18.7	22. <del>9</del>	+4.2	92.7
1,000	5/5	18.0	22.4	+4.4	90.7
2,000	0/5	18.7	(c)	(c)	(c)

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Mean body weight change of the survivors

(c) No data are reported due to the 100% mortality in this group.

### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Three of 10 females that received 1,000 mg/kg died before the end of the studies (Table 14). Final mean body weights for mice of each sex were not dose related. No compound-related gross or microscopic pathologic effects were observed. The kidneys of high dose and vehicle control male and female mice were reviewed on two separate occasions to confirm a lack of evidence of nephrotoxicity. Dose Selection Rationale: Doses selected for mice for the 2-year studies were 250 and 500 mg/kg isophorone, to be administered in corn oil by gavage, 5 days per week for 103 weeks. The high dose of 500 mg/kg was chosen for female mice because deaths were observed in females given 1,000 mg/kg in the 13-week studies. The high dose of 500 mg/kg was also chosen for male mice based on a perceived potential for cumulative toxicity during the 2-year study. (Deaths were observed in the 2,000 mg/kg dose group in the 16-day study.)

## TABLE 14. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGESTUDIES OF IOSPHORONE

		Mean Bo	Final Weight Relative		
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)
MALE	·····				
0	10/10	$25.1 \pm 0.2$	$35.4 \pm 0.7$	$+10.3 \pm 0.8$	
62	(d) 9/10	$25.5 \pm 0.6$	$34.3 \pm 0.8$	$+ 8.6 \pm 0.8$	96.9
125	9/10	$24.7 \pm 0.7$	$31.4 \pm 1.0$	$+ 6.2 \pm 0.9$	88.7
250	10/10	$25.1 \pm 0.7$	$32.3 \pm 1.1$	$+ 7.2 \pm 1.6$	91.2
500	10/10	$26.6 \pm 0.4$	$31.3 \pm 0.5$	$+ 4.7 \pm 0.5$	88.4
1,000	(e) 9/10	$27.1 \pm 0.4$	$32.1 \pm 1.0$	$+ 5.0 \pm 1.0$	90.7
FEMALE					
0	9/10	$19.3 \pm 0.3$	$24.4 \pm 0.3$	$+ 5.1 \pm 0.4$	
62	10/10	$19.5 \pm 0.2$	$24.3 \pm 0.4$	$+ 4.8 \pm 0.4$	99.6
125	10/10	$19.5 \pm 0.3$	$24.7 \pm 0.7$	$+ 5.2 \pm 0.5$	101.2
250	10/10	$19.5 \pm 0.3$	$23.9 \pm 0.6$	$+ 4.4 \pm 0.5$	98.0
500	10/10	$19.5 \pm 0.2$	$24.4 \pm 0.6$	$+4.9\pm0.5$	100.0
1,000	(f) 7/10	$18.9 \pm 0.4$	$24.0 \pm 0.3$	$+ 5.5 \pm 0.4$	98.4

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Initial group body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on those animals surviving to the end of the study.

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) One animal was found to be missing during week 6.

(e) Week of death: 1 (gavage accident)

(f) Week of death: 8,11,13 (deaths considered compound related)

### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

### Body Weights and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of dosed and vehicle control male mice were comparable throughout most of the study (Table 15 and Figure 3). The mean body weights of high dose and vehicle control female mice were comparable for the 1st year of the study. During the 2nd year of the study, mean body weights of high dose female mice averaged about 5% lower than those of the vehicle controls. No compound-related clinical signs were observed.

 TABLE 15. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE

 STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

Weeks Vehicle Co			250 mg/kg			500 mg/kg		
on Study	Av. Wt. (grams)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of veh controls)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of veh controls)	No. of Survivors
IALE		<u> </u>	. <u></u>		<u></u>			
0	26.6	50	26.5	100	50	26.8	101	50
1	28.0 28.9	50 50	27.6 29.2	99 101	50 50	27.2 28.4	97 98	50 50
3	28.6	47	28.1	98	50	29.9	105	50
2 3 4 5 6 7	30.5	45	30.5	100	50	30.4	100	50
5	31.4	44	31.2	99	50	31.3	100	48
67	32.8 33.3	44 44	32.4 32.0	99 96	50 50	32.1 33.0	98 99	48 48
8	33.4	44	32.5	97	50	33.3	100	48
9	34.4	44	33.4	97	50	33.8	98	48 48 48
10	35.8	44	34.1	95 95	50 50	34.8 34.8	97 96	48 47
11 12	36.1 36.6	44 44	34.3 35.5	95 97	50	34.8	96	47
13	37.3	44	36.3	97	50	35.4	95	47
13 17 22	40.3	44	39.9	99	50	38.7 39.7	.96	46
22	38.6 38.5	44 44	39.9 39.6	103 103	50 50	39.7 39.2	103 102	44 44
รีอั	42.9	43	42.0	98	49	41.9 42.2	98	43 42
34	42.6	41	414	97	49	42.2	99	42
38	44.3 45.2	40 39	42.2 45.1	95 100	49 47	43.9 44.7	99 99	42 42
47	46.5	39	44.7	96	46	45.6	98	42
26 30 38 43 47 51 55 60 64 68 72	45.5	39	44.5	98	45	46.2	102	42 42 42 42 42 42
33 80	45.9 45.9	38 37	47.3 44.5	103 97	45 43	46.8 46.0	102 100	42
64	45.9	37	45.3	99	43	46.3	101	42
68	46.0	37	45.6	99	43	46.2	100	42
72	44.4	34	45.2	102 99	43 42	46.2	104 99	38 36
76 81	45.5 45.1	32 29	45.1 45.0	100	38	45.2 44.7	99	34
85	44.4	27	43.5	98	38	44.1	99	31
89	42.7	24	42.2	99	37	43.4	102	31 27 26
93	43.3	21 19	40.4 39.2	93 94	33 24	41.0 39.3	95 94	26
89 93 98 101	41.7 41.0	19	38.4	94 94	20	37.6	92	23 23
104	39.7	15	38.4 38.0	96	16	38.8	98	19
EMALE								
0	20.3	50	24.3	120	50	20.4	100	50
1	19.9 20.5	50 50	22.8 21.3	115 104	50 50	23.3 21.3	117 104	50 50
3	22.5	50	22.2	99	50	21.7	96	49 46
4	23.3	50	22.9	98	48	22.4	96	46
5	23.8 24.1	50 50	23.3 23.7	98 98	48 48	23.0 22.6	97 94	46 45 45
7	23.7	50	23.7 23.8	100	48	22.6 23.6	100	45
8	24.1	50	24.3	101	48	23.8	99	45
9	24.6 25.5	50 50	24.6 24.9	100 98	48 47	24.0 25.1	98 98	45 45 45 45
11	25.6	50	24.9	97	47	24.6	96	45
12	25.7	50 50	25.6 25.4	100	47	24.8	96	45 39
13	26.3 29.3	50 50	25.4	97 97	47 47	25.2 28.0	96 96	39 39
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 11 12 26 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34	29.4	50	28.4 30.1 29.7	102	47 47 47	29.2	99	39 39
26	29.4 27.0	50 50 50	29.7	110	47	29.2 28.4	105	39
30	31.8	50	33.5 32.4	105 101	47 47	30.9 31.4	97 98	39 39 39
34	32.2 34.6	50	34.3	99	47	33.7	97	39
43	36,1	50	37.6	104	47	33.7 35.7	99	39 39 39 39 39
47 51	37.6 36.5	50 50	39.1 38.1	104 104	47 46	37.8 36.1	101	39
55	38.9	50	38.2	98	46	37.6	99 97	39
60	38.9	50	38.9	100	46	37.6 37.0 37.9	95	39 37
64 68 72 76	40.0 41.3	50 50	39.7 41.1	99 100	48 46	40.0	95 97	37
72	41.3	50	41.1 41.7	101	48 45 45 45	40.2 41.8	97 97	37
76	41.3 43.2 44.5	50	42.8	99 98 102	45	41.8	97	37
81 85	44.5	48	43.5	98	45	42.1 40.4	95 94	37
80 89	42.8 43.4	45 40	43.7 43.4	102	44 44 42	41.7	96	37
93	41.9	34	42.6	102	42	40.4	96	37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 35
98	40.5	29	41.5	102	39	38.4	95	35
101 104	41.0 40.2	28 26	40.2 40.2	98 100	37 33	38.7 37.2	94 93	34 34



FIGURE 3. GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED ISOPHORONE IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

### Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival of male and female mice administered isophorone at the doses used in these studies and those of the vehicle controls are shown in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 4. There was a significant (P < 0.05) trend toward improved survival in dosed female mice relative to that of vehicle controls (Table 16). No other significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of either sex.

The survival of male mice was adversely affected by fighting, which was considered a contributory cause of most natural deaths of dosed and vehicle control male mice during the study. Of the 14 deaths listed as accidental, 9 were due to gavage error and 1 animal drowned during a water nozzle failure. No cause was reported for the other four accidental deaths; these and some of the deaths in high dose female mice before week 15, recorded as "natural," may also have resulted from gavage accidents. However, no definite evidence, such as a record of oil in the lungs or a tear in the esophagus, exists to document this classification.

## Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes significant or noteworthy changes in the incidences of mice with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the liver, integumentary system, hematopoietic system, forestomach, kidney, lung, reproductive system, and pituitary gland. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B (Tables B1 and B2); Appendix B (Tables B3 and B4) also gives the survival and tumor status for individual male and female mice. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D (Tables D1 and D2). Appendix E (Tables E3 and E4) contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes). Historical incidences of tumors in corn oil vehicle control animals are listed in Appendix F.

#### TABLE 16. SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
IALE (a)			
nimals initially in study	50	50	50
Ionaccidental deaths before termination (b)	28	34	29
Accidentally killed	5	0	2
nimals missing	1	0	0
Cilled at termination	13	13	18
Died during termination period	3	3	1
urvival P values (c)	0.699	0.844	0.780
EMALE (a)			
nimals initially in study	50	50	50
Jonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	23	14	11
ccidentally killed	1	1	5
Cilled at termination	24	33	34
lied during termination period	2	2	0
urvival P values (c)	0.045	0.086	0.077

(a) Terminal kill period: weeks 104-105

(b) Includes moribund animals that were killed

(c) The vehicle control column contains results of the life table trend test; the columns for dosed groups contain the life table pairwise comparisons with the vehicle controls.



FIGURE 4. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED ISOPHORONE IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

Liver: Coagulative necrosis and hepatocytomegaly were observed at increased incidences in dosed male mice but at decreased incidences in dosed female mice (coagulative necrosis--male: 3/48, 6%; 10/50, 20%; 11/50, 22%; female: 6/50, 12%; 3/50, 6%; 2/50, 4%; hepatocytomegaly-male: 23/48, 48%; 39/50, 78%; 37/50, 74%; female: 32/50, 64%; 21/50, 42%; 9/50, 18%). The incidence of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in male mice occurred with a significant positive trend by the incidental tumor test, and the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls (Table 17). The incidences of hepatocellular adenomas or hepatocellular carcinomas (combined) in dosed female mice were not significantly different from that in the vehicle controls (4/50, 8%; 6/50, 12%; 8/50, 16%).

Microscopically, hepatocellular adenomas appeared as sharply demarcated, expanding masses of hyperchromatic cells arranged in cords and sheets. Hepatocellular carcinomas had more anaplastic hepatocytes forming irregular cords or trabeculae.

TABLE 17. ANALYSIS OF LIVER TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (a)

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
Hepatocellular Adenoma(b)			
Overall Rates	6/48 (13%)	7/50 (14%)	13/50 (26%)
Adjusted Rates	28.5%	43.7%	52.5%
Terminal Rates	3/16 (19%)	7/16 (44%)	8/19 (42%)
Life Table Tests	P=0.085	P = 0.541	P = 0.138
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.063	P = 0.551	P=0.098
Hepatocellular Carcinoma(c)			
Overall Rates	14/48 (29%)	13/50 (26%)	22/50 (44%)
Adjusted Rates	45.1%	52.0%	71.9%
Terminal Rates	2/16 (13%)	6/16 (38%)	11/19 (58%)
Life Table Tests	P = 0.177	P = 0.290 N	P = 0.237
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.073	P = 0.354N	P = 0.094
Hepatocellular Adenoma or Car	cinoma (d)		
Overall Rates	18/48 (38%)	18/50 (36%)	29/50 (58%)
Adjusted Rates	58.5%	76.0%	90.3%
Terminal Rates	5/16 (31%)	11/16 (69%)	16/19 (84%)
Life Table Tests	P = 0.100	P = 0.358N	P = 0.150
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.027	P = 0.420N	P = 0.036

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes).

(b) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 132/1,034, 12.8%  $\pm$  6.5%

(c) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 218/1,034, 21.1%  $\pm$  7.6%

(d) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 335/1,034, 32.4%  $\pm$  9.4%

Integumentary System: The incidences of mice with fibromas, sarcomas, fibrosarcomas, or neurofibrosarcomas (combined) were observed with a significant positive trend; the incidence in the high dose male group was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls (Table 18). A sarcoma was observed in one low dose female mouse, and a fibrosarcoma was observed in one high dose female mouse. Hematopoietic System: The incidence of lymphomas or lymphomas or leukemia (combined) in low dose male mice was significantly greater than the incidence of lymphomas or lymphomas or leukemia (combined) in the vehicle controls by the Fisher exact test; the incidence of lymphomas in high dose male mice was similar to that in the vehicle controls (Table 19). The incidence of lymphomas or leukemia (combined) in dosed female mice was not significantly different from that in the vehicle controls.

## TABLE 18. ANALYSIS OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
Fibroma, Sarcoma, Fibrosarcom	a, or Neurofibrosarcoma (a)		
Overall Rates	6/48 (13%)	8/50 (14%)	14/50 (28%)
Adjusted Rates	24.6%	31.3%	45.2%
Terminal Rates	2/16(13%)	3/16 (19%)	5/19 (26%)
Life Table Tests	P = 0.073	P = 0.548	P = 0.108
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.034	P = 0.452	P = 0.050

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 70/1,040, 7%  $\pm$  7%

## TABLE 19. ANALYSIS OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
ymphoma, All Malignant(a)	<u></u>		
Overall Rates	7/48 (15%)	18/50 (36%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates	35.4%	62.5%	18.2%
Terminal Rates	4/16 (25%)	7/16 (44%)	2/19 (11%)
Life Table Tests	P = 0.206N	P = 0.046	P = 0.272N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.253N	P = 0.067	P = 0.320N
mphoma or Leukemia			
Overall Rates	8/48 (17%)	18/50 (36%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates	37.8%	62.5%	18.2%
Terminal Rates	4/16 (25%)	7/16 (44%)	2/19 (11%)
Life Table Tests	P = 0.146N	P = 0.081	P = 0.187 N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.176N	P = 0.124	P = 0.223N

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 126/1,040, 12%  $\pm$  5%

Forestomach: Hyperkeratosis was observed at increased incidences in dosed male and high dose female mice (male: 0/47; 5/49, 10%; 4/49, 8%; female: 1/50, 2%; 0/50; 5/49, 10%).

Kidney: Chronic focal inflammation was observed at increased incidences in dosed male mice (male: 7/48, 15%; 18/50, 36%; 21/50, 42%; female: 17/50, 34%; 11/50, 22%; 16/50, 32%). The incidences of nephropathy in dosed mice of each sex were lower than those in the vehicle controls (male: 16/48, 33%; 15/50, 30%; 9/50, 18%; female: 13/50, 26%; 8/50, 16%; 2/50, 4%).

Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas in male mice occurred with a significant negative trend (Table 20). The incidence of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in low dose male mice was significantly lower than that in the vehicle controls.

TABLE 20.	ANALYSIS OF LUN	IG LESIONS IN MALE MI OF ISOPHOR		R GAVAGE STUDY
		······································	<u></u>	

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
Alveolar Epithelium Hyperplasia	······································	<u> </u>	
Overall Rates	0/47 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma (a	L)		
Overall Rates	6/47 (13%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates	25.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates	2/16 (13%)	0/16(0%)	0/19 (0%)
Life Table Tests	$P \approx 0.001  \text{N}$	P = 0.009 N	P = 0.011 N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.001 N	P = 0.007 N	P = 0.013N
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma	( <b>b</b> )		
Overall Rates	2/47 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma o	r Carcinoma (c)		
Overall Rates	7/47 (15%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates	31.0%	2.6%	12.5%
Terminal Rates	3/16 (19%)	0/16 (0%)	1/19 (5%)
Life Table Tests	P = 0.059 N	P = 0.018N	P = 0.104N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.074N	P = 0.020 N	P = 0.126N

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 98/1,032, 9.5%  $\pm$  4.6%

(b) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 58/1,032, 5.6%  $\pm$  4.1%

(c) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 154/1,032, 14.9%  $\pm$  5.8%

Reproductive System: Acute inflammation or suppurative inflammation of the uterus or ovary or chronic ovarian abscess was observed in 14 vehicle control, 14 low dose, and 9 high dose female mice. These lesions were present in 7/15 vehicle control, 1/9 low dose, and 0/3 high dose female mice that died between week 89 and the terminal kill. No specific tests for Klebsiella were performed, although Klebsiella have been isolated from similar lesions in other NTP studies. *Pituitary Gland*: Focal hyperplasia occurred at increased incidences in dosed female mice (Table 21). Adenomas and adenomas or adenocarcinomas (combined) in female mice occurred with a significant negative trend. The incidence of adenomas or adenocarcinomas in high dose female mice was significantly lower than that in the vehicle controls.

TABLE 21.	ANALYSIS OF PITUITARY GLAND LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg		
Hyperplasia		<u> </u>	ан <u>, ,, ,</u> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Overall Rates	5/47 (11%)	7/41 (17%)	13/44 (30%)		
Adenoma (a)					
Overall Rates	11/47 (23%)	10/41 (24%)	4/44 (9%)		
Adjusted Rates	42.1%	31.3%	12.1%		
Terminal Rates	10/25 (40%)	10/32 (31%)	4/33 (12%)		
Life Table Tests	P = 0.006N	P = 0.245N	P = 0.009 N		
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.009N	P = 0.299N	P = 0.015N		
Adenocarcinoma (b)					
Overall Rates	5/47 (11%)	3/41 (7%)	1/44 (2%)		
Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (c)					
Overall Rates	16/47 (34%)	13/41 (32%)	4/44 (9%)		
Adjusted Rates	59.1%	40.6%	12.1%		
Terminal Rates	14/25 (56%)	13/32 (41%)	4/33 (12%)		
Life Table Tests	P<0.001N	P = 0.083 N	P<0.001N		
Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001N	P = 0.138N	P<0.001N		

(a) Historical incidence of all types of pituitary gland adenoma in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 113/905, 12.5%  $\pm$  6.1% (b) Historical incidence of all types of pituitary gland carcinoma in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 10/905, 1.1%  $\pm$  2.4% (c) Historical incidence of all types of pituitary gland adenoma or carcinoma in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 123/905, 13.6%  $\pm$  6.9% **IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS** 

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

Two-year toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of isophorone were conducted on groups of 50 F344/N rats and 50 B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice of each sex. Doses of 0, 250, or 500 mg/kg body weight per day were administered by gavage in corn oil to males and females of both species. The doses were selected based on 16-day studies in which rats and mice of each sex received doses of 0-2,000 mg/kg per day and on 13-week studies in which doses of 0-1,000 mg/kg per day were administered.

Despite the overall low survival of dosed and vehicle control male mice and dosed female rats. the NTP considers the present 2-year studies an acceptable assessment of the chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity of isophorone. Fighting apparently contributed to the low survival of the group-housed male mice, and low survival reduces the power of the study to detect changes in the tumor incidences; however, since fighting occurred in all groups, nearly equal numbers of vehicle control and high dose male mice remained at risk for development of neoplastic and nonneoplastic lesions throughout the study. The lower survival of dosed female rats was due in part to a greater incidence of gavage accidents in the dosed animals. Although 14 gavage-related deaths occurred in the high dose female rats, survival remained above 50% through week 98. The survival of high dose male rats was lower than that of the vehicle control and low dose animals after week 96. The reduced survival is most likely a chemically related effect; however, it probably had a minimal impact even on the incidence of late-developing neoplasms because the steep decline in survival occurred late in the study. In contrast, the survival of dosed female mice was notably greater than that of the vehicle controls. Of the 25 vehicle control female mice that died of natural causes before or during the terminal kill, 15 had at least one type of neoplasm, but no one cause could be identified to account for the accelerated mortality of this group after week 87.

The high dose of 500 mg/kg isophorone appeared appropriate for male rats and female mice. Although the survival of high dose male rats was significantly lower than that of the vehicle controls, the decline in survival occurred late in the study. The dose of 500 mg/kg did not cause neoplastic or significant nonneoplastic lesions in female mice, whereas a twofold greater dose caused deaths in the 13-week studies, and there was a small (5%) decrease in body weights of the high dose animals after week 55 of the study. Male mice and female rats might have tolerated a slightly higher dose; however, a marginal increase in neoplastic lesions was observed in the male mice, and a fourfold higher dose caused deaths of both male mice and female rats in the 16-day studies.

There were no chemically related clinical signs in either rats or mice during the 2-year studies. Certain organs or organ systems, however, showed histopathologic changes in response to isophorone exposure in both rats and mice.

The kidneys of isophorone-dosed male rats had increased incidences of proliferative lesions. Three low dose and one high dose male rats were found to have tubular cell adenocarcinomas; two adenomas were observed in high dose male rats. These incidences are low, but they are statistically significant relative to matched vehicle controls. In addition, kidney neoplasms of any type are rarely observed in corn oil vehicle control F344/N rats. A direct comparison of the rates observed in this study with the overall historical control rate of tubular cell tumors in male rats (4/1,091, 0.4%; Appendix F, Table F3) indicates that both the low dose and the high dose effects are statistically significant (P < 0.005) by the Fisher exact test. Further support for the biologic significance of these proliferative lesions was provided by the presence of tubular cell hyperplasia in one low dose and four high dose male rats but not in vehicle controls. Thus, tubular cell hyperplasia, adenoma, or adenocarcinoma occurred in 0/50 vehicle control, 4/50 low dose, and 7/50 high dose male rats. A second type of proliferative lesion, epithelial hyperplasia of the renal pelvis, was seen in five low dose and five high dose male rats but not in vehicle controls. Kidney neoplasms have occasionally been noted in other NTP studies in which chemical nephrosis was present. The possible relationship between nephrosis and kidney neoplasia is currently under study by the NTP. Isophorone does not appear to be a potent nephrotoxicant; there was minimal nephrotoxicity in male rats in the 2-year studies and none in the 13-week studies.

Isophorone exposure resulted in mineralization of the renal tubules in male rats (vehicle control, 1/50; low dose, 31/50; high dose, 20/50). This lesion was frequently observed in the medullary collecting ducts and was coincident with chronic nephropathy. The overall incidence of nephropathy was similar in dosed and vehicle control male rats, but the severity of this lesion appeared most prominently in the low dose group. This suggests that nephropathy was probably not the cause of the increased late mortality of the high dose male rats. Isophorone may also have increased the incidence of nephropathy in female rats (vehicle control, 21/50; low dose, 39/50; high dose, 32/50); no increase in kidney lesions was observed in mice of either sex.

Preputial gland carcinomas were observed in five high dose male rats. The absence of this neoplasm in vehicle controls or in the low dose group and the low historical incidence (12/1,094), 1%) in corn oil vehicle controls in previous NTP 2-year studies suggest that this effect may be chemically related. No preputial gland tumors were observed in male mice, but two clitoral gland adenomas were seen in low dose female rats, providing further evidence for the association of isophorone exposure with this type of neoplasm. However, the prepuce and clitoris are among those tissues examined microscopically only when a neoplasm is visible to the prosector. Therefore, although the neoplasms observed in this study were rather large, the actual incidence of all types of proliferative lesions of the prepuce or clitoris is not known, since only seven animals were sampled for histopathologic examination (five high dose male rats and two low dose female rats). The diagnosis or the actual occurrence of preputial tumors has been sporadic in vehicle controls in previous NTP studies. The number of preputial gland adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in corn oil vehicle controls in previous studies has ranged from zero to seven; five were observed in the corn oil vehicle controls in the one previous comparable study performed at this laboratory (Appendix F, Table F1). These factors make it difficult to relate with certainty the occurrence of preputial gland carcinomas with exposure to isophorone. Nonetheless, this finding should not be discounted.

Isophorone exposure was associated with a marginal increase in the incidence of neoplastic lesions of the liver and the integumentary and lymphoreticular systems of male mice. Nonneoplastic lesions were also observed in the liver and adrenal cortex of dosed male mice.

The incidence of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas (combined) was greater in the high dose male mice than that in the vehicle controls (vehicle control, 18/48; low dose, 18/50; high dose, 29/50). Although the incidence in vehicle controls was similar to the historical average for adenomas and carcinomas in vehicle controls in previous NTP corn oil studies (32.4%), the incidence in the high dose group was nearly double this and also exceeded the greatest incidence ever observed in vehicle controls in previous NTP studies (Appendix F, Table F7). Isophorone-exposed male mice also had an increased incidence of heptocytomegaly and coagulative necrosis of the liver. Acute and/or chronic inflammation of the liver was also noted in 11 of the high dose male mice but in only 1 vehicle control. However, there was no evidence of chemically related nonneoplastic or neoplastic liver lesions in female mice, and hepatocytomegaly was observed less frequently in the dosed female animals (vehicle control, 32/50; low dose, 21/50; high dose, 9/50).

The incidence of mesenchymal tumors of the integumentary system was also significantly elevated in high dose male mice compared with that of vehicle controls by trend analyses and pairwise comparison (fibroma, fibrosarcoma, neurofibrosarcoma, or sarcoma: vehicle control, 6/48; low dose, 8/50; high dose, 14/50). The incidence of these neoplasms in high dose male mice exceeded the mean incidence in historical controls by over fivefold (Appendix F, Table F4) and is therefore regarded as a chemically related effect.

Lymphoreticular neoplasms were found at a greater incidence in low dose male mice (18/50) than in vehicle controls (8/48) or high dose males (5/50). The low incidence in high dose males argues against a chemically related effect, but the incidence in the vehicle controls is similar to

that seen in the vehicle controls in previous 2year studies, and the incidence in the low dose group exceeds the upper range of observed lymphomas or leukemias (combined) in historical controls (Table 19; Appendix F, Table F6). Thus, there is equivocal evidence that exposure to isophorone causes lymphoreticular neoplasms in male mice. No increase in lymphoma or leukemia was observed in dosed female mice or in rats of either sex.

The incidence of fatty metamorphosis of the adrenal cortex was related to isophorone exposure in male rats (vehicle control, 7/50; low dose, 21/50; high dose, 26/50). Whether this change has any biologic significance remains to be established.

Pancreatic acinar cell tumors were found in both dosed and vehicle control male rats (vehicle control, 4/50; low dose, 9/50; high dose, 6/50). These tumors are rarely observed in controls in feed studies (0.5%), but they occasionally appear with a greater incidence in studies that employ corn oil as a gavage vehicle (3.3%; Appendix F, Table F2) (Boorman and Eustis, 1984). The borderline increased incidence of acinar cell tumors in the dosed animals in the present study suggests that there may be an effect of isophorone exposure (P=0.059, incidental tumor test), but any effect may be largely obscured by the higher than usual background rate demonstrated by the vehicle control group.

Focal hyperplasia of the anterior pituitary was observed at increased incidence in dosed female rats and mice but not in males; however, the incidence of pituitary adenoma showed a negative trend in the female rats and mice. Therefore, the overall incidence of proliferative lesions of the anterior pituitary was not affected by isophorone exposure.

Pulmonary congestion and hemorrhage were frequently noted in male and female rats in both dosed groups and in vehicle controls, but pulmonary alveolar emphysema was observed at a greater incidence in dosed male and female rats than in vehicle controls. Since single and repeated inhalation exposures to isophorone have been shown to irritate the lung (Smyth et al., 1942), the development of emphysematous changes could conceivably occur after long-term exposure to isophorone through aspiration of the chemical during gavage. However, in the present study, the emphysematous changes were determined to be an artifact of hyperinflation of the lung during fixation; thus, no pulmonary lesions were attributed to isophorone exposure.

The current study is the only assessment in rodents of the potential for carcinogenic or other chronic toxic effects of exposure to isophorone. A comparison of the results of these 2-year gavage studies with those of earlier single- or repeatedexposure inhalation studies that employed impure isophorone (Smyth and Seaton, 1940; Smyth et al., 1942) is of limited value because of the uncertainty of the agent responsible for the reported toxication in the studies reported by Smyth and coworkers. A more appropriate comparison can be made with the 90-day feeding studies of Parkin (USEPA, 1980). In those studies, no adverse effects were noted after exposure of weanling CFE albino rats at up to approximately 350 mg/kg per day or exposure of beagles at up to 150 mg/kg per day. These results are in agreement with the absence of significant findings in the lower dose groups in the present 16-day and 13-week studies with both rats and mice.

Although negative in the Salmonella/microsome assay with or without activation with S9, isophorone was found to be a weak direct-acting mutagen in the mouse lymphoma assay. Isophorone also induced sister-chromatid exchanges in Chinese hamster ovary cells in the absence of S9; however, this effect was not observed in the presence of S9. As an alpha-, betaunsaturated ketone, isophorone should tend to undergo nucleophilic addition to its carbon-carbon double bond, and therefore it may behave as a direct-acting alkylating agent. However, it is not possible to ascribe any particular toxic or carcinogenic activity of isophorone to the parent compound without further characterization and study of its metabolites.

**Conclusions:** Under the conditions of these 2year gavage studies, there was some evidence of carcinogenicity\* of isophorone in male F344/N rats as shown by the occurrence of renal tubular cell adenomas and adenocarcinomas in animals given 250 or 500 mg/kg per day; carcinomas of the preputial gland were also observed at increased incidence in male rats given 500 mg/kg. There was no evidence of carcinogenicity in female F344/N rats given 250 or 500 mg/kg per day. For male B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice, there was equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity of isophorone as shown by an increased incidence of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas (combined) and of mesenchymal tumors in the integumentary system in animals given 500 mg/kg per day and by an increase in malignant lymphomas in animals given 250 mg/kg per day. There was no evidence of carcinogenicity of isophorone in female B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice given 250 or 500 mg/kg per day.

<sup>\*</sup>Categories of evidence of carcinogenicity are defined in the Note to the Reader on page 2.

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

## **V. REFERENCES**

1. American Conference of Governmental and Industrial Hygienists, Inc. (ACGIH) (1983) Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents in the Work Environment with Intended Changes for 1983-84. ACGIH, Cincinnati, OH, p. 23.

2. Armitage, P. (1971) Statistical Methods in Medical Research. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 362-365.

3. Berenblum, I., Ed. (1969) Carcinogenicity Testing: A Report of the Panel on Carcinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission of UICC, Vol. 2. Geneva: International Union Against Cancer.

4. Blackford, J. (1975) Acetone. Chemical Economics Handbook. Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, CA.

5. Boorman, G.; Eustis, S. (1984) Proliferative lesions of the exocrine pancreas in male F344/N rats. Environ. Health Perspect. 56:213-217.

6. Boorman, G.; Montgomery, C., Jr.; Hardisty, J.; Eustis, S.; Wolfe, M.; McConnell, E. (1985) Quality assurance in pathology for rodent toxicology and carcinogenicity tests. Milman, H.; Weisburger, E., Eds.: Handbook of Carcinogen Testing. Park Ridge, NJ: Noyes Publications (in press).

7. Clive, D.; Johnson, K.; Spector, J.; Batson, A.; Brown, M. (1979) Validation and characterization of the L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> mouse lymphoma mutagen assay system. Mutat. Res. 59:61-108.

8. Cox, D. (1972) Regression models and life tables. J. R. Stat. Soc. B34: 187-220.

9. Dutertre-Catella, H.; Nguyen, P.; Dang, Q.; Truhaut, R. (1978) Metabolic transformation of the 3,5,5-trimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one (isophorone). Toxicol. Eur. Res. 1:209-216.

10. Eight Peak Index of Mass Spectra, First edition, Vol. I (1970) Mass Spectrometry Data Centre, AWRE, Aldermaston, RG7PR, United Kingdom, Spectrum No. W0093, p. 375.

11. Gart, J.; Chu, K.; Tarone, R. (1979) Statistical issues in interpretation of chronic bioassay tests for carcinogenicity. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 62(4):957-974. 12. Goto, K.; Maeda, S; Kano, Y.; Sugimura, T. (1978) Factors involved in differential Giemsastaining of sister chromatids. Chromosoma 66:351-359.

13. Haruta, H.; Yagi, H.; Iwata, T.; Tamura, S. (1974) New plant growth retardants. II. Syntheses and plant growth retardant activities of quaternary ammonium compounds derived from a-ionone and isophorone. Agric. Biol. Chem. 38:417-422.

14. Haseman, J. (1984) Statistical issues in the design, analysis and interpretation of animal carcinogenicity studies. Environ. Health Perspect. 58:385-392.

15. Haseman J.; Huff, J.; Boorman, G. (1984) Use of historical control data in carcinogenicity studies in rodents. Toxicol. Pathol. 12:126-135.

16. Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T.; Mortelmans, K.; Speck, W.; Zeiger, E. (1983) Salmonella mutagenicity test results for 250 chemicals. Environ. Mutagen. Suppl. 1:3-142.

17. Jennings, P. (1965) Photochemistry of isophorone. 1. Diss. Abstr. 26:698.

18. Jungclaus, G.; Games, L.; Hites, R. (1976) Identification of trace organic compounds in tire manufacturing plant wastes. Anal. Chem. 48:1894-1896.

19. Kaplan, E.; Meier, P. (1958) Nonparametric estimation of incomplete observations. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 53:457-481.

20. Linhart, M.; Cooper, J.; Martin, R.; Page, N.; Peters, J. (1974) Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. Comp. Biomed. Res. 7:230-248.

21. Mantel, N.; Haenszel, W. (1959) Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 22:719-748.

22. Maronpot, R.; Boorman, G. (1982) Interpretation of rodent hepatocellular proliferative alterations and hepatocellular tumors in chemical safety assessment. Toxicol. Pathol. 10:71-80.

23. McConnell, E.; Solleveld, H.; Swenberg, J.; Boorman, G. (1985) Guidelines for combining neoplasms for evaluation of rodent carcinogenesis studies. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (in press). 24. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1976) Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassays in Small Rodents. NCI Carcinogenesis Technical Report Series No. 1.

25. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) (1978) Criteria for a recommended standard....Occupational exposure to ketones. Publication No. 78-173, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, NIOSH.

26. National Institutes of Health (NIH), NIH Specification NIH-11-133f, November 1, 1978.

27. Patty, F.A., Ed. (1963) Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, 2nd ed., Vol. 2. New York: Interscience Publishers, pp. 1722-1724, 1763-1765.

28. Perry, P.; Wolff, S. (1974) New Giemsa method for the differential staining of sister chromatids. Nature (London) 251:156-158.

29. Price, K.; Waggy, G.; Conway, R. (1974) Brine shrimp bioassay and BOD of petrochemicals. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 46:63-77.

30. Ray, S.; Trieff, N. (1980) Bioaccumulation of anthropogenic toxins in the ecosystem. Trieff, N., Ed.: Environment and Health. Ann Arbor, MI: Ann Arbor Science Pub., Inc., pp. 93-120.

31. Reese, K. (1984) How to repel woodpeckers. Chem. Eng. News 62:132.

32. Sadtler Standard Spectra, Sadtler Research Laboratories, Philadelphia, PA, IR No. 122 (Midget ed.); UV No. 44; NMR No. 7311 M.

33. Samimi, B. (1982) Exposure to isophorone and other organic solvents in a screen plant. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 43:43-48.

34. Shackelford, W.; Keith, L. (1976) Frequency of organic compounds identified in water. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 600/4-76-062. Athens, GA, p. 626.

35. Sheldon, L.; Hites, R. (1978) Organic compounds in the Delaware River. Environ. Sci. Technol. 12:1188-1194.

36. Silverman, L.; Schulte, H.; First, M. (1946) Further studies on sensory response to certain industrial solvent vapors. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 28:262-266. 37. Sittig, M., Ed. (1980) Priority Toxic Pollutants Health Impact and Allowable Limits. Park Ridge, NJ: Noyes Data Corp., pp. 255-259.

38. Smyth, H.; Seaton, J. (1940) Acute response of guinea pigs and rats to inhalation of the vapors of isophorone. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 22:477-483.

39. Smyth, H., Jr.; Seaton, J.; Fischer, L. (1942) Response of guinea pigs and rats to repeated inhalation of vapor of mesityl oxide and isophorone. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 24:46-50.

40. Smyth, H., Jr.; Weil, C.; West, J.; Carpenter, C. (1970) An exploration of joint toxic action. II. Equitoxic versus equivolume mixtures. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 17:498-503.

41. Stahl, E., Ed. (1969) Thin Layer Chromatography. New York: Springer-Verlag. p. 871.

42. Tarone, R. (1975) Tests for trend in life table analysis. Biometrika 62:679-682.

43. Truhaut, R.; Dutertre-Catella, H.; Nguyen, P. (1972) Study of the toxicity of an industrial solvent, isophorone. Irritating capacity with regard to the skin and the mucosae. J. Eur. Toxicol. 5:31-37.

44. Union Carbide Corporation (1963) Toxicology Studies--Isophorone Summary Data Sheet. New York: Ind. Med. Toxicol. Dept.

45. Union Carbide Corporation (1975) Ketones Technical Booklet (F-41971A). New York: Chemical Plastics Division.

46. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (1979).

47. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (1980) Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Isophorone. EPA 440/5-80-056.

48. Ward, G.; Parrish, P.; Rigby, R. (1981) Early life stage toxicity tests with a saltwater fish: Effects of eight chemicals on survival, growth, and development of sheepshead minnows (*Cyprino*don variegatus). J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 8:225-240.

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

.

### **APPENDIX** A

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

	CONTRO	L(VEH)	LOW	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	Y 50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*SKIN SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA	(50)		(50)		(50) 1	(2%)
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA			1	(2%)		
LIPOMA	1	(2%)				
NEUROFIBROMA	(50)			(2%)	(50)	
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(50)	(00)	(50)	(1997)	(50)	(99)
FIBROMA FIBROSARCOMA	4	(8%)		(12%) (2%)		(2%) (2%)
LIPOMA	1	(2%)	1	(2 %)	1	(2.0)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			<u> </u>			
#LUNG	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA		(8%)		(4%)		
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA				(2%)		
TUBULAR CELL ADENOCARCINOMA, ME			1	(2%)		
C-CELL CARCINOMA, METASTATIC	1	(2%)			-	
OSTEOSARCOMA, UNC PRIM OR META					1	(2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(50)	(100)	(50)	(000)	(50)	(100)
LEUKEMIA, MONONUCLEAR CELL	6	(12%)	10	(20%)	8	(16%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM NONE						
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					Ÿ	
*TONGUE	(50)		(50)		(50)	
SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA	(40)			(2%)		(4%)
#SALIVARY GLAND FIBROSARCOMA, INVASIVE	(48)		(49)	(2%)	(49)	
•	(50)			(270)	(50)	
#LIVER	(00)		(50)			
#LIVER NEOPLASTIC NODULE	4	(8%)	(50) 9	(18%)		(4%)
#LIVER NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA		(8%) (2%)	4	(18%)		(4%)
NEOPLASTIC NODULE			4	(18%)		(4%)
NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA	1 (50)		9 (50)	(18%) (18%)	2 (50) 6	(4%) (12%)
NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA #PANCREAS ACINAR CELLADENOMA #FORESTOMACH	1 (50) 4 (50)	(2%) (8%)	9 (50)		2 (50)	
NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA #PANCREAS ACINAR CELLADENOMA #FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA	1 (50) 4 (50) 1	(2%)	9 (50) 9 (50)		2 (50) 6 (50)	
NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA #PANCREAS ACINAR CELLADENOMA #FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA #DUODENUM	1 (50) 4 (50)	(2%) (8%)	9 (50) 9		2 (50) 6 (50) (50)	(12%)
NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA #PANCREAS ACINAR CELLADENOMA #FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA #DUODENUM LEIOMYOMA	1 (50) 4 (50) 1 (50)	(2%) (8%)	9 (50) 9 (50) (50)		2 (50) 6 (50) (50) 1	
NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA #PANCREAS ACINAR CELLADENOMA #FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA #DUODENUM	1 (50) 4 (50) 1 (50) (50)	(2%) (8%)	9 (50) 9 (50)		2 (50) 6 (50) (50)	(12%)
NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA #PANCREAS ACINAR CELLADENOMA #FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA #DUODENUM LEIOMYOMA #JEJUNUM ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	1 (50) 4 (50) 1 (50) (50)	(2%) (8%) (2%)	9 (50) 9 (50) (50)		2 (50) 6 (50) (50) 1	(12%)
NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA #PANCREAS ACINAR CELLADENOMA #FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA #DUODENUM LEIOMYOMA #JEJUNUM ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	1 (50) 4 (50) 1 (50) (50) 1	(2%) (8%) (2%)	9 (50) 9 (50) (50) (50)		2 (50) 6 (50) (50) 1 (50)	(12%)
NEOPLASTIC NODULE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA #PANCREAS ACINAR CELLADENOMA #FORESTOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA #DUODENUM LEIOMYOMA #JEJUNUM ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	1 (50) 4 (50) 1 (50) (50)	(2%) (8%) (2%)	9 (50) 9 (50) (50)		2 (50) 6 (50) (50) 1 (50) (50)	(12%)

### TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

	CONTRO	)L (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH DOSE				
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM									
<b>#PITUITARY INTERMEDIA</b>	(48)		(49)		(47)				
ADENOMA, NOS	,			(2%)	(/				
<b>#ANTERIOR PITUITARY</b>	(48)		(49)	(= / • /	(47)				
ADENOMA, NOS		(21%)		(24%)		(17%)			
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS		(2%)		(2%)		(2%)			
#ADRENAL	(50)	(2,0)	(50)	(2,0)	(50)	(2,0)			
CORTICAL ADENOMA		(2%)		(4%)		(2%)			
CORTICAL CARCINOMA		(2%)	4	(4,0)	-	(270)			
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(50)	(270)	(50)		(50)				
OSTEOSARCOMA, UNC PRIM OR META	(00)		(00)			(2%)			
	(50)		(50)			(270)			
#ADRENAL MEDULLA		(000)	(50)	(000)	(50)	(000)			
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA	16	(32%)		(26%)	15	(30%)			
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT				(2%)					
#THYROID	(49)		(50)		(49)				
FOLLCULAR CELL ADENOMA	-	(07)	-		2	(4%)			
FOLLCULAR CELL CARCINOMA		(2%)		(2%)	-				
C-CELL ADENOMA		(12%)		(10%)	2	(4%)			
C-CELL CARCINOMA		(4%)		(2%)					
<b>#PANCREATIC ISLETS</b>	(50)		(50)		(50)				
ISLET CELL ADENOMA	5	(10%)	5	(10%)	4	(8%)			
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM									
*MAMMARY GLAND	(50)		(50)		(50)				
FIBROADENOMA	(00)			(2%)	(00)				
*PREPUTIAL GLAND	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(50)				
CARCINOMA, NOS	(00)		(00)			(10%)			
*SEMINAL VESICLE	(50)		(50)		(50)	(10%)			
MESOTHELIOMA, NOS		(2%)	(50)		(50)				
#TESTIS	(48)	(270)	(50)		(50)				
INTERSTITIAL CELL TUMOR		(000)	(50)	(000)	(	(TCA)			
INTERSTITIAL CELL TOMOR	40	(90%)		(82%)	30	(76%)			
NERVOUS SYSTEM									
#BRAIN	(50)		(50)		(50)				
GRANULAR CELL TUMOR, NOS			1	(2%)					
ASTROCYTOMA			1	(2%)	1	(2%)			
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS									
*ZYMBAL GLAND	(50)		(50)		(50)				
SEBACEOUS ADENOCARCINOMA	( /	(2%)							
		~~~~							
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM									
*PELVIC BONES	(50)	(90)	(50)		(50)				
OSTEOSARCOMA	1	(2%)							
BODY CAVITIES									
*MESENTERY	(50)		(50)		(50)				
				(2%)					
MESOTHELIOMA, INVASIVE			-	1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A					
MESOTHELIOMA, INVASIVE *TUNICA VAGINALIS	(50)		(50)		(50)				
MESOTHELIOMA, INVASIVE *TUNICA VAGINALIS MESOTHELIOMA, NOS	(50) 3	(6%)	(50)		(50) 2	(4%)			

## TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	CONTROL (	VEH) LOW DOSI	E HIGH DOS
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(50)	(50)	(50)
MESOTHELIOMA, NOS	1 (29	<b>%)</b> 1 (2%)	)
MESOTHELIOMA, MALIGNANT			1 (2%
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50
NATURAL DEATH	5	5	11
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	8	7	19
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	33	33	14
DOSING ACCIDENT	3	3	3
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED, NOS	1	2	3
TUMORSUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS	5** 47	45	39
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	120	132	107
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	45	44	39
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	96	99	83
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUM	ORS 12	20	16
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	15	22	18
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUM	ORS## 1	3	
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	1	3	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTA	AIN		
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT	8	10	3
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	9	11	4
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTA	AIN		
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC			1
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			2

## TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED \*\* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY ## SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

### TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

C		L(VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH DOS			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50			
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50			
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	Y 50		50		50			
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM		<u> </u>		# · · · · # · · · · ·				
*SKIN	(50)		(50)		(50)			
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA				(2%)				
KERATOACANTHOMA			1	(2%)		(2%)		
FIBROMA					1	(2%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM								
*NARES	(50)		(50)		(50)			
SARCOMA, NOS						(2%)		
#LUNG	(50)	(99)	(50)		(50)			
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA		(2%) (2%)						
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS, METASTATIC ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA	Ţ	(2%)	1	(2%)				
SARCOMA, NOS, METASTATIC			I	(270)	1	(2%)		
SARCOMA, NOS, METASTATIC						(270)		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM								
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(50)		(50)		(50)			
MALIG. LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	•	(10%)	_	(10~)		(2%)		
LEUKEMIA, MONONUCLEAR CELL	9	(18%)	5	(10%)	5	(10%)		
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM								
#SPLEEN	(50)		(50)		(50)			
HEMANGIOSARCOMA					1	(2%)		
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			·····					
#LIVER	(50)		(50)		(50)			
NEOPLASTIC NODULE		(6%)		(2%)		(2%)		
#PANCREAS	(50)		(50)		(50)			
ACINAR CELL ADENOMA		(2%)	(50)			(2%)		
#ESOPHAGUS	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)			
SARCOMA, NOS #FORESTOMACH	(50)		(50)	(2%)	(50)			
SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA	(00)		(00)			(4%)		
URINARY SYSTEM NONE					<u> </u>			
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM								
<b>#ANTERIOR PITUITARY</b>	(49)		(48)		(47)			
ADENOMA, NOS		(43%)		(35%)	12	(26%)		
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS		(8%)		(4%)				
#ADRENAL	(50)	(00)	(50)	(00)	(50)	(40)		
CORTICAL ADENOMA		(8%)		(6%)		(4%)		
#ADRENAL CORTEX ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	(50)		(50)	(2%)	(50)			

	CONTROL (VEH	I) LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
NDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)					
<b>#ADRENAL MEDULLA</b>	(50)	(50)		(50)	
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA	6 (12%)	3	(6%)	6	(12%)
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT		1	(2%)		
#THYROID	(50)	(50)		(48)	
C-CELL ADENOMA			(2%)		(2%)
<b>#PANCREATIC ISLETS</b>	(50)	(50)		(50)	
ISLET CELL ADENOMA	1 (2%)	2	(4%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM		·····			
*MAMMARY GLAND	(50)	(50)		(50)	
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	1 (2%)			1	(2%)
FIBROADENOMA	7 (14%)	8	(16%)	4	(8%)
*CLITORAL GLAND	(50)	(50)		(50)	
ADENOMA, NOS		2	(4%)		
#UTERUS	(49)	(50)		(49)	
ADENOMA, NOS	2 (4%)				
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS				1	(2%)
ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL POLYP	10 (20%)	11	(22%)	5	(10%)
ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL SARCOMA	3 (6%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#OVARY	(49)	(50)		(49)	
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS, INVASIVE				1	(2%)
CYSTADENOMA, NOS				1	(2%)
GRANULOSA CELL TUMOR		1	(2%)		
JERVOUS SYSTEM		<u>,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>			
#BRAIN	(50)	(49)		(49)	
ASTROCYTOMA				1	(2%)
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS		<u></u>			
*ZYMBAL GLAND	(50)	(50)		(50)	
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA	1 (2%)				
AĎENOMA, NOS				1	(2%)
IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM NONE					
SODY CAVITIES NONE					

## TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

CONT	(VEH)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50
NATURAL DEATH	7	11	10
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	12	10	6
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE			
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	30	23	20
DOSING ACCIDENT	1	5	11
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED, NOS		1	3
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS##	73 34 52 14 18 2	63 28 49 11 12	50 25 37 12 12 2
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN	2		2 2
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT	3	2	1
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	3	2	1

## TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\*\* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS # NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY ## SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

ANIMAL NUMBER	2	8	2	0	g	្ត	g	0	0	0	0 1	0	0	0	0	0	q	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	2
	0	2	3	4	5	6	0	8	9	10	1	12	3	4	1 5	1	7	8	9 11	20	2	2 2	23	24	2 5 0
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	0	05	0	05	05	65	05	05	05	0 5	05	9 1	05	68	0 8 7	82	0	0 5	05	00	0 5	8	9 1	9
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+
Lipoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Lipoma	+ X	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	*x	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	*	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
C-cell carcinoma, metasstatic Trachea	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen	+ +	+++	+	+++	+++	++	++	+++	+	++	+++	++	++	+++	++	++++	Ŧ	++	++	++	+++	+	++	++	+
Lymph nodes Thymus	+-	+ -	+	+ -	+ -	+ -	+-	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+-	+ -	+ -	+	+	+	+-	+-	+	+	-
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver	++++	++	+++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+ + + X	+++	++	++	++	+++	-+	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +
Neoplastic nodule Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	х + N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N
Pancreas Acinar-cell adenoma Esophagus	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++	++	++	+ X +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	+	++++
Stomach Squamous ceil papilloma Small intestine	+	+ x +	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+++	+++	++	++	++	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	++
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Large intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	++	++	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	* x	* x	+	+	+	+	*	+	-	+	+	+	+
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma	+	+	+	¥ +	+	+	+	+	-+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cortical carcinoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid	X +	X +	x +	+	+	+	X +	+	X +	x +	+	X +	X +	+	+	+	+	+	X +	X +	+	+	+	+	+
Follicular-cell carcinoma C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma		x		x			x							x						x				x	
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet-cell adenoma	+	+	++	Ŧ	++	++	++	++	Ŧ	++	+ + X	++	+	+	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis	++++	+	N +	++++	+++	+++	+++	N +	N +	N +	+++	++	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	N +	+	N	N +	N +	++	+
Interstitial-cell tumor Prostate Seminal vesicle		× + + +		× + +	X + +	X + +	× + +	+++	X + + +	X + + +	X + + +		X + +	X + +	+	+	X + N	X + + +		X + + +	++	X + +	X + N	+	ñ
Mesothelioma, NOS NERVOUS SYSTEM																									_
Brain SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	<b>+</b>	+	_	+	+	_	-		_	-			_	_	_	-	-
Zymbal gland Sebaceous adenocarcinoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N
BODY CAVITIES Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	- N
Mesothelioma, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	x										x										x				x

# TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: VEHICLE CONTROL

 + : Tissue Examined Microscopically

 - : Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically

 X : Tumor Incidence

 N : Necropsy. No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination

 S : Animal Missered

No Tissue Information Submitted Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol Autolysis Animal Missing No Necropsy Performed

C A M B

### TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	026	0 2 7	028	029	030	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 7	038	0 3 9	040	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 4	0 4 5	046	0 4 7	048	0 4 9	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	105	0 8 8	0 9	1 0 5	0 8 8	1 0 5	0 7 0	1 0 5	1 0 5	105	0 9 1	0 2 9	105	100	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 8 7	1 0 5	105	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin	+	+	+	+	+	. +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Lipoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Lipoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 4 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma C-ceil carcinoma, metaastatic Trachea	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	* *	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	++	++	+++	++	50 4 1 49
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++-	+++-	++++	+++ -	+++	+++	+++-	+++	+++	+++ +	+++-	+++ -	+++ -	+++-	++++	++++	++++	+++ -	+++ -	+++-	+++	+++ -	+++ +	++++	+++	49 50 50 0
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver	 +	+++	+	++	++	+	++	+	++	++	++	+	++	Ŧ	++	+	++	+	+	+	++	+++	+	++	 + +	48 50
Neoplastic nodule Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+N			+ N	+ N		X + N	+ N	+ N	+ N	X + N	х +	+ N	Ň	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N		4 1 50 *50
Pancreas Acinar-cell adenoma Esophagus Stomach	+x + +	+++	++++	+ +++	+ ++	+x++	+ +++	+ ++	+ ++	+ X + +	+ ++	+ ++	++++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ . ++	50 4 50 50
Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Large intestine	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	1 50 1 49
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	50 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma. NOS Adenocarcinoma. NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma	+	+	+	+	-+	+	+	+	+	* * +	* *	* *	* *	++	+	+	+ + *	+	+	+	+	++	* *	+	+	48 10 1 50 1
Cortical carcinoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular-cell carcinoma	+	+	х +	+	x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	x +	X +	+	+	+	X +	+	X +	X +	+	+	1 16 49 1
C-ceil adenoma C-ceil carcinoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Isiet-ceil adenoma	+ + X	x +	+ +	<del>-</del> +	++	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	- + x	x ++	++	x +	- +	+ +	- +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ + x	++	++	+++	++	6 2 38 50 5
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Interstitial-cell tumor	N + X	++*	+ + * x	+++	+ + +	+ + * ×	+++	N + 2	++	+ + + *	++*	+ + + x	++++	+++	+ + x	++	++;	++*	+ *	N t	++	+ + + X	N t	N +	+++	*50 48 43
Prostate Seminal vesicle Mesothelioma, NOS	<b>x</b> + +	^+ +	^+ +	^ + +	Λ + +	^ + + +	^+ +	^++ +	+++	X + +	(++	~+ + X	++	++	^++	X + +	(+ + +	ę + +	^+ +	~ + +	~+ + +	^ <del>+</del> +	4 + +	4++	¢++	49 *50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Sebaceous adenocarcinoma	N	N	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	•50 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	*50 3
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, NOS Leukemia, mononuciear cell	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 6

• Animals Necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 1	002	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 5	006	0 0 7	008	009	010	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	020	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	0 7 2	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	070	1 0 5	105	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 1 0	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	097	0 9 5	0 9 9	0 9 7		1 0 5
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Neurofibroma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	*	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	* x	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+ X	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveoiar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveoiar/bronchiolar carcinoma	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tubular-cell adenocarcinoma, metas Traches	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	¥ +	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	++++-	+++ -	+++	++++	++++	+++ -	++++	+++	++++	+++1	+++-	+++-	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++-	+++	++++	+++ -	+++ -	+++-	+++	++++	+++++-
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
DICESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous ceil papilloma Salivary giand	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	- N +						
Fibrosarcoma, invasive Liver Neoplastic nodule	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+ x	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	X +	+
Bile duct Galibiadder & common bile duct Pancreas	+ N +	+ z +	+ Z +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ z +	+ z +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N + +	+ N + X	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +
Acinar-cell adenoma Esophagus Stomach Small intestine	++++	++++	X+++	++++	X + + + +	++++	++++	++++	X + + + +	+++	++++	X + + + +	X + + + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	X + + + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM							-	-			-														-
Kidney Tubular-cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	<b>*</b> .	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+ X@	 2)	+	+	+	+	*	+	* x	* x	+	+
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma	+	+	+ x	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ x
Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid Follicular-cell carcinoma C-cell adenoma	x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	* X	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
C-ceil carcinoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet-ceil adenoma	+ +	++	++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	+ +	-+	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	- +	+ + X	++	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	-+ *
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma	+	N	N	+	N	N	+	+	N	N	N	+	N	+	+	N	+	+	N	+	N	N	N	N	+
Testis Interstitial-ceil tumor Prostate	* *		* *		* * *			* *	* *	+ x +	++	+ x +		* *	* +	+ +			+ x +		+ x +	+ +	+ * +	+ + +	
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Granular-ceil tumor, NOS Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
BODY CAVITIES Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, malignant Mesontery	+ N	+ N		+ N	+ N	+ N			+ N	+ N		+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ + N						
Mesothelioma, invasive ALL OTHER SYSTEMS									х 													<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-
Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N		N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X		N	N	N X	N

# TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: LOW DOSE

+ : Tissue Examined Microscopically

 : Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically
 X : Tumor Incidence
 N : Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination
 S : Animal Missezed
 @ : Multiple Occurrence of Morphology

No Tissue Information Submitted
 C : Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol
 A : Autolysis
 M : Animal Missing
 B : No Necropsy Performed

## TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL	T of	Q	9	Q	g	0	9	0	Q	9	0	0	0	9	9	0	0	9	oj	9	9	oj	0	0	0	T
NUMBER	2 6	2 7	2 8	21 9	3	3 1	3	3 3	3	3 5	3 6	3  7	3	3 9	0	1	4	3	4	4	6	4	8	49	5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 01 5	028	105	1 0 5	006	1 0 5	1 0 5	080	1 0 5	0 9 1	088	034	105	1 0 5	094	1 0 5	079	0 7 5	1 0 5	TISSUES						
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+50
Squamous cell carcinoma Neurofibroma																										1
Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 6 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_ +	50
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Tubular-cell adenocarcinoma, metas Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	х +	x +	+	+	+	+	2 1 1 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow		+	+	+		-	+	+		+	+	+		+	+		+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+		50
Spieen Lymph nodes	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+++	+++	÷	++++	÷	+++	+++	÷	÷	÷	+++	÷	++	÷	÷	++++	50 50
Thymus	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	6
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ņ	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Fibrosarcoma, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	* +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 49 1
Liver Neoplastic nodule	x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	* x	*	+	+	+	*	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	50 9
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	50 •50
Pancreas Acinar-cell adenoma	x x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	50 9
Esophagus Stomach	+	+++	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+	‡	++	+ +	+++	++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	+++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	50 50
Small intestine Large intestine	++	++	+++	++++	++++	++	+++	++	+++	+++++	++++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+ +	++	+++	++	+++	+	+++	+ +	+++	50 50
URINARY SYSTEM																			-							
Kidney Tubular-cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	++	+	+	++	++	+	+ +	+	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	+	+	++	* +	++	+	* *	+	+	+ +	50 3 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	*	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	49 12
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal	<sub>+</sub>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	1 50
Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma		•	x	•	•	•	x	•	•	•	x	•	•	x		x	x	x	x	x	•	•	•			2
Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 50
Follicular-cell carcinoma C-cell adenoma C-cell acenoma							x		x																x	1 5 1
C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid	+	Ξ	+	+	Ξ	+++	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ī	+	44 50
Pancreatic islets Islet-cell adenoma			x				x x	Ť		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-	_	+	Ŧ	Ť	Ť	<u> </u>	-	-	-	-	-	_	5
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma	+	N	N	N	N	*	+	+	+	N	N	N	N	+	N	+	+	N	÷	N	+	N	N	+	N	*50 1
Testis Interstitial-cell tumor	x +	+	*	* *	+	+	+ x	+ x	* x	*	+	+	+ x	* X	+ *	+ x	+ *	+ x	+ *	+ x	* *	+ x	+	+	* x	50 41
Prostate	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+		+	+			+			÷			+		÷	+	+		50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Granular-cell tumor, NOS Astrocytoma									·				-	*		-							x		_	
BODY CAVITIES Tunica vaginalis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Mesothelioma, malignant Mesothery Mesothelioma, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	•50
Mesothelioma, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell								X		x				x					x							10
	<u> </u>							• •									• •								- 1	

• Animals Necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 3	0	005	0 0 6	0 0 7	0	0 0 9	0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	020	0 2 1	0 2 2	023	0 2 4	0 2 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 1	0 2 1	0 0 3	0 6 1	1 0 0	1 0 5	0 7 7	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 5 6	1 0 4	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 9 2	0 8 8	0 9 7	0 9	0 2 1	0 9 7	1 0 5	1 0 1	007
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin	•	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Squamous cell papilloma Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosa Fíbrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Osteosarcoma, unc prim or metas Trachea	+++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	+++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	** +	+++	++	++++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes	++++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++-	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++-	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++
Thymus CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	.	_		_	+	+	-	_		_	-	_	_		-	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	_	-	+
Heart DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	х		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule	‡	++	+ + x	+	++	++	++	+ + * X	++	++	+	++	+++	++	+	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas	+ X +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ z +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ z +	+ N +	+ N +	+ Z +	+ N +	+ N + +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N + N +	+ × + ×	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +
Acinar-cell adenoma Esophagus Stomach	X + + +	++	+	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	++	++	+++	X + +	++	+++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	+++
Small intestine Leiomyoma Large intestine	++	÷ +	+ x +	++	+ +	+ +	÷ +	++	+ +	+	÷ +	++	++	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tubular-cell adenoma Tubular-cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	, +	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	* x	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	*	-	+	+	+	+	-
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+
Pheochromocytoma Osteosarcoma, unc prim or metas	X +	-	X		<b>ـ</b>	<u>ـ</u>	<u>ـ</u>	<b>_</b>	<u>ـ</u>	<b>.</b>	X	X		+	×	+	+	+	×	_	+	X X	* *	х +	+
Thyroid Follicular-ceil adenoma C-ceil adenoma	x	x	Ŧ	x	•	•	•	+	Ţ			<i>*</i>		,				•	·		•	·			
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet-cell adenoma	+++	++	+ + X	++	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+ * X	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	÷	÷	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	++	++	N +	+	+	+	N +	++	N +	N +	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	N +	+	+++	+	+	++	+	N +	N +
Testis Interstitial-cell tumor Prostate	X + N	X	X +	* * + N	+	+	+	X +	¥ +	х	х	+X + N	¥	+	X +	X +	X +	× +	+	× +	+	X +	¥ +	¥ +	+
Preputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	Ń	Ń	N	N	+ N	N	N	N	N	+NX	N	N X	N	N	N	N	NX	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
BODY CAVITIES Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	, t	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N X		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N
								_				<del></del>													

### TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: HIGH DOSE

Tissus Examined Microscopically Required Tissus Not Examined Microscopically Tumor Incidence Necropay, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination Animal Missexed

+ : : X : : S :

No Tissue Information Submitted
 C : Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol
 A : Autolysis
 M : Animal Missing
 B : No Necropsy Performed
### TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 6	0 2 7	028	0 2 9	030	0 3 1	0 3 2	033	0 3 4	035	0 3 6	0 3 7	038	0 3 9	040	04	0 4 2	043	044	045	046	0 4 7	048	0 4 9	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 6	1 0 5	066	1 0 5	0 1 0	0 9 6	1 0 5	0 9 4	0 9 9	1 0 1	0 0 9	0 8 0	1 0 5	0 5 9	066	0 9	0 9 1	0 9	0 7 4	0 9	096	0 8 0	0 9 3	1 0 5	0 9 9	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM																							_		_	
Skin Squamous cell papilloma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	++	+	+ +	+	+	+	++	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	N N	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+	+	++	++	++	+ +	*50 1 *50 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Osteosarcoma, unc prim or metas Trachea	++	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +	++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	++	+++	+ +	++	++	++	++	++	++	+++	50 [ 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++-	+++ -	+++ -	+++-	++++	+++-	+++-	++++	+++ -	+++1	++++	+++ -	+++ -	+++-	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++-	+++ -	+++ -	++++-	++++-	++++	+++-	++++	50 50 48 8
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Liver	N + +	N ++	N ++	N ++	N ++	N ++	N + +	N ++	N ++	N ++	N +	N + +	N ++	N ++	N ++	N X + +	N +	N ++	N ++	и ++	N ++	N ++	N ++	N ++		*50 2 49 50
Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N		+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N		+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	2 50 •50
Pancreas Acinar-cell adenoma Esophagus	+	++	++	++	++	++	* * *	++	++	* *	++	++	+ x +	++	++	* *	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+++	+++	50 6 50
Stomach Small intestine Leiomyoma Large intestine	+ + +	+ + +	++ + +	++ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++ +	+++++	+++++	++++	++ +	++++++	50 50 1 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular-cell adenoma Tubular-cell adenocarcinoma	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2 1
Urinary bladder	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenai Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Osteosarcoma, unc prim or metas	+	* +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+ x + x + x	+	+ x + x	+	+	+	+	* *	+ + X	+	+ + X	+ + x	+	+	+ + X	* +	47 8 1 50 1 15 1
Thyroid Follicular-cell adenoma C-ceil adenoma Parathyroid	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 2 2 39
Pancreatic islets Islet-cell adenoma	÷	+	÷	÷ x	+	÷	, x	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	Ŧ	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ	÷	÷	÷	+	50 4
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Interstitial-cell tumor Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS	++ ++ X	+ + X + N	++	+ X +	N + + N	* *	+	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	* *	++	N + X + N	+	++	+	+	++x+N	+	+	* *	* *	* *	N + X + N	* -	+	*50 50 38 49 *50 5
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	50 1
BODY CAVITIES Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N		N X	N		N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N X		N X		N X		N	*50 1 8

\*Animals Necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 1	0 0 2	0	0 0 4	005	000	0 0 7	008	009	0 1 0	0	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5
WEEKSON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 9 8	0 9	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 9 8	0 7 9	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 4 5	1 0 5	1 0 3	1 0 5	0 8 1	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 8 2	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 8 8	1 0 5	1 0 0
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Traches	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++-	+++ ++	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++ -	++++	+++-	+++-	+++-	++++	+++ -	+++-	+++-	++++	++++	+++-	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++-	+++-	- +++ -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Acinar-cell adenoma Esophagus Stomach Small intestine	+++ +z+ +++	++ +Z+ +++	++x+z+ +++	++ +Z+ +++	++ +Z+ +++	++ +Z+ +++	++x+x+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +z+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++x+z+ +++	++ +2+ +++	++ +X+X+++
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM Kidney	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ -+
Urinary bladder	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	÷	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenai Cortical adenoma	+ + X	+	* *	- +	+	+ x + x + x	+	* *	+	* *	+ * +	+	* *	* *	+ x + x + x	+ +	+ x +	++	+ + +	+ x +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	* +	+++
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet-cell adenoma	++++	+ - +	+++	+ + + +	X + + +	+ + +	+++	+++	++++	+++	+ + +	+++	++++	X + + +	++++	X + + +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	X + + +	+++++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Uterus Adenoma, NOS	+ +	+ +	N +	+	+	N + X	+	+ X + +	+ x +	++	+	N +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+ X +	+ X +	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Endometrial stromal polyp Endometrial stromal sarcoma Ovary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	х +	+	х +	+	+	+	+	X +	х +	+	+	+	х +	+	+	х +	+	x +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Squamous cell carcinoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	- N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	м	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	- N

### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: VEHICLE CONTROL

 + : Tissue Examined Microscopically

 - : Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically

 X : Tumor Incidence

 N : Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination

 S : Animal Missexed

No Tissue Information Submitted
 C : Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol
 A Autolysis
 M : Animal Missing
 B : No Necropsy Performed

## TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 6	027	028	029	030	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 3 9	040	0 4 1	0 4 2	043	0 4 4	045	046	0 4 7	048	0 4 9	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0  5	0 9 6	1 0 5	0 3 5	0 97	1 0 5	0 7 8	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 1 7	0 8 6	096	1 0 5	0 5 6	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 7 9	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 3	1 0 5	TISSUES
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++-	+++-	++++	+ +++ +	+ ++++	++++	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	++++-	++++	+++-	++++-	++++-	++++-	+ + + + -	+ +++ -	+ ++++	++++-	+ +++ -	+ +++ -	+ + + + -	50 50 50 50 2
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DICESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Nooplastic nodule Bile duct Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas Acinar-cell adenoma Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++ +z+ ++++	++ +z+ ++++	++ +z+ +++	++ +z+ ++++	++++z+++++	++ +z+ ++++	++ +z+ ++++	++ +z+ ++++	++ +z+ ++++	++ +z+ ++++	1+ +z+ ++++	++ +Z+ +++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ +++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++Z+++++	++++z+++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +z+ ++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	+++ +z+ +++-	49 50 3 50 •50 1 50 50 50 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	++++	++++	++++	+	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	++++	+	+++	++++	+ +	• • •	+++	, + +	50 46
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Parathyroid Parcreatic islets Islet-cell adenoma	+ + + *	+ X+ +++	+x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + +++	+x + +++	+ + + +++	+ + +++	+X + +++	+x + +++	+XX+ +++	+ + +  +	+ + +-+	+ + +++	+x + x + - +	+ + +++	+x + +++	+ + +++	+x + +++	+ + +++	+x +x +++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + x+++	+ + +++	+ + +++		49 21 4 50 4 6 50 44 50 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Uterus Adenoma, NOS Endometrial stromal polyp Endometrial stromal sarcoma Ovary	+ X +	++++	N + +	* + +	+ + X +	+ + X +	++	+ X +	++++	++++	+++	+ + X	+ X +	+ +	++++	+++++	++++	N +	+	+ + X	+ +	++++	+ + x x +	+++	+ + X	*50 1 7 49 2 10 3 49
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- +	+	+	+	+	+ +	- +	49 50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Squamous cell carcinoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	+ : X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	- N	•50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear ceil	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N :	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	- N	*50

\* Animals Necropsied

ANIMAL	0	0	Ø	0	Ø	0	0	Ø	0	ŋ	0	9	9	0	q	0	<u> </u>	9	0	ğ	0	0	0	0	02
NUMBER	1	0 2	0 3	0 4	5	6	0 7	8	9	ó	i	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Ő	ĩ	2 2	2 3	4	5
WEEKSON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 1	1 0 5	0 9 6	1 0 5	0 7 9	0 9 8	1 0 3	1 0 5	068	105	1 0 5	105	096	1 0 5	007	022	0 9 7	0 9 2	1 0 5
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell carcinoma Keratoacanthoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea	++	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+ x +	++	- + +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++-	+++-	++++	+++-	+++-	++++-	+++-	+++	+++ -	+++-	+++-	+++ -	++++-	+++-	+++ -	+++-	+++-	+++ -	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++-	+++ -	- +++-
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++	+++++	+++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++++	+++	+++	++x+	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	++++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	- + + +
Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Sarcoma, NOS	×++	++Z++ -	+ + Z + -	- + + X	+N++ -	+ N + + -	+ X + + X +	+X++ +	++	- X++ Z	+××+	-N++ -	×++ ×	·N++	-X++ +	N++ 1	N++ +	N++	Ň++	N++ +	N++	N + + +	N++ +	·N++ +	N++++
Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++++	++++	++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+++++	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	+	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++	+ +	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+	++	+++	-   + +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	, x	+	+	* x	+	+	+	*	-	+ X X	+	* x	+	*	+	* x	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	*
Adrenal Adenocarcinoma, NOS Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Thyroid C-cell adenoma Parathyroid Pancrestic islets Islet-cell adenoma	+ + +	+ ++	+ ++	+ + +	++++	+ -+	+ -+	+ + +	+ ++	+ ++	+ -+	+ ++	+ ++	+ + +	+ -+	+ + +	+ + +	+ ++	+ -+	+ + +	+ + +	+ -+	+ + +	+x + +	++++++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma	+	+ x		N	+	+	+	+ x	+	* *	+	+ X	+	+ N	+	+ N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ N	- +
Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp	N +	N +	N +	+	+	м + Х	N + X	+	+	N +	+	+	* *	+	+	н + Х	N +	+	N +	+ x	+	+	+	+	+
Endometrial stromal sarcoma Ovary Granulosa-cell tumor	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

#### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: LOW DOSE

 + : Tissue Examined Microscopically

 - : Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically

 X : Tumor Incidence

 N : Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination

 S : Animal Missexed

No Tissue Information Submitted
 C : Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol
 A : Autolysis
 M : Animal Missing
 B : No Necropsy Performed

## TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 6	0 2 7	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 2	033	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 3 9	040	0 4 1	0 4 2	043	044	045	046	0 4 7	0 4 8	049	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 9 7	0 9 6	1 0 5	0 8 1	0 9 6	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 6 0	1 0 5	0 6 0	1 0 5	008	0 6 3	0 9	0 9 6	0 7 2	0 9 4	1 0 5	0 9 6	0 5 9	0 9 7	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell carcinoma Keratoacanthoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea	++++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	 +' +	50 1 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++	+++ =	+++ 1	+++ -	++++	+++-	+++ -	+++-	+++-	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ +	+++ 1	+++-	++++	+++ +	+++ -	+++ -	+++ =	+++	+++	+++1	++	+++	50 50 49 6
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Sarcoma, NOS Stomach Small intestine	++ +z++ ++	++ ++z++ ++	++ +Z++ ++	++ +Z++ ++	++ ++ ++ ++	++ ++ ++ ++	++ +z++ ++	++ +'Z++ ++	++ ++ ++ ++	++ +z++ ++	++ ++z++ ++	++ +2++ ++	++ +Z++ ++	++ +Z++ ++	++ +Z++ ++	++ ++z++ ++	++ ++z++ ++	++ +Z++ ++	++ +z++ ++	++ +z++ ++	++ +z++ ++	++ +z++ ++	++ +z++ ++	++ +z++ ++	++ ++Z++ ++	50 50 1 50 *50 50 50 50 50 50
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+	++++	+++	+	+++	+++	+  + +	+++	+++	++++	+++	+	+ + +	+++	+++	+ + +	+  ++	+ + +	+ ++	+ ++	+++	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ - + + +	50  50 47
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenai Adenocarcinoma, NOS Cortical adenoma	* * + x	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	* * +	+	* *	+	* + x	+	+ X +	+	- +	* +	+	+	* *	* *	+ + x	+	++	48 17 2 50 1 3
Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid C-cell adenoma Pancreatic isleta Islet-cell adenoma	+ - + X	+ + +	+ +++	X + ++	+ ++	+ + +	+	+ ++	x + + +	^ + + + X	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ -+	+ + +	+ ++	+ -+	+ + +	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	3 1 50 1 40 50 2
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Endometrial stromal sarcoma Ovary Granulosa-cell tumor	+ X N X + +	+ N + +	+ z + +	+ N + +	+ N + +	+ x + x			+ x+ Xx+	+ N +X +	+ N + +		+	+ N +X +	+ N + +	+ z + +	+ N + X +	+ XX+ +	+ N +X +	+ N + +	+ xx + +	+ x + +	+ N +	ท ท +		*50 8 *50 2 50 11 1 50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	м	*50 5

Animals Necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 1	0 0 2	003	004	005	0 0 6	0 0 7	008	0 9	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 1	022	023	0 2 4	0 2 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	0 8 5	0 2 1	1 0 5	0 6 3	0 2 1	1 0 5	0 9 3	0 2 1	1 0 5	1 0 2	1 0 5	023	0 9 0	105	1 0 5	1 0 5	026	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 9 5	0 0 3	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Keratoacanthoma Fibroma	+	+ X	÷	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Traches Nasal cavity Sarcoma, NOS	+ +N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + X	+ + + X	+ + * N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + * * N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+x++x	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + × ×
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Hemangiosarcoma Lymph nodes Thymus	++++-	++++-	++ -+	++ ++ +	++ ++	++ ++	++++=	++ + -	++ ++	++ + -	++x+-	++++-	++ ++	++++	++ + -	++ +-	++ ++	++ ++	++ + =	++ ++	++++	+++++	++ + + -	++ ++	++ +-
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule	+++	+++++	++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Acinar-ceil adenoma Esophagus	Ň + +	т т т т т	Ň + +	Ň + +	́ + +	́ + + +	N + +	+ + 2	х + +	Ň + +	+ +	+ + +	N + +	́ + +	N + X +	N + +	Ň + +	N + +	Ň + +	й + +	Ň + +	+ + +	Н Н Н Н Н	Ň + +	+ +Z
Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	÷ + +	+ + -	+ ++	++++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+++	+ + +	+ X + +	++++	+ ++	+++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++	+ ++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+ -	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma	* * *	+ * +	+	* *	+ +	+ +	*x +	+ +	++	+x +	+ x +	++	++	** *	++	+ x + *	++	+ +	+	++	* *	+	+ + ×	+ *	+++
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid C-ceil adenoma Parathyroid	+	-	+	+ +	.+ +	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	÷ +	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Uterus Adenocarcinoma, NOS Endometrial stromal polyp	+ X	x +	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	x + x	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+
Endometrial stromal sarcoma Ovary Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive Cystadenoma, NOS	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+ X	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbai giand Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig. lymphoma, histiccytic type Leukemia, mononuclear ceil	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N

### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: HIGH DOSE

+ : Tissue Examined Microscopically
 - : Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically
 X : Tumor Incidence
 N : Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination
 S : Animal Missexed

No Tissue Information Submitted
 C : Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol
 A : Autolysis
 M : Animal Missing
 B : No Necropsy Performed

### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 6	0 2 7	0 2 8	029	030	0 3 1	0 3 2	033	034	035	0 3 6	0 3 7	038	0 3 9	040	04	0 4 2	0 4 3	044	045	046	0 4 7	048	049	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON Study	0 9 3	1 0 2	0 5 1	1 0 5	102	095	98	022	009	098	0 2 1	1 0 5	0 2 1	1 0 5	105	020	0 8 5	0 9	0 1 7	99	1 0 5	0 0 7	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 0 5	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Keratoacanthoma Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	. +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Trachea Nassi cavity Sarcoma, NOS	+ + N	+ + * N	+ + X+	+ + X	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ +N	+ + N	+ +×	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + * N	+ + N	+ * N	+ + * N	+ + N	+ + * N	+: + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ +x	+ + x	50 1 50 *50 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Hemangiosarcoma Lymph nodes Thymus	++++	++++-	++ +1	++ +1	++ +	++ + -	++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ ++	++ -=	++ +-	++ ++	++ +-	++++-	++ + =	++++=	++ ++	+++-	++++-	++ ++	++ + -	++ + -	++ ++	++++-	++ + =	++ ++	50 50 1 48 16
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas Acinar-cell adenoma	++ +z+ +	++ +2+ +	++++2++	++ +2+ +	++++z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +x+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +x+ +	++ +x+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++ +z+ +	++x+x+	+++×++	50 50 1 50 •50 50 1
Esophagua Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	++++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	+ + + +	++X++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+ + + +	++++	+ + +	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+ + +	+	+++	++++	+ + + -	50 50 2 50 48
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++++	+ +	+	+++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	+ +	+	50 47
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenai Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid C-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+ + + + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + + + + + +	+x+ x+ +	+ + + + +		+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + -	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + x + +	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	- * * +	+x+ + + +	++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++	- + -	47 12 50 2 6 48 1 38
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibrosdenoma	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+ x	N	+	N	+	+	*	+	+	*50 1 4
Uterus Adenocarcinoma, NOS Endometriai stromai polyp Endometriai stromai sarcoma Ovary Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive Cystadenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ X +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	*x *x *x	+	+ +	+	+	++	+ +	+	+ X +	+	-	49 1 5 1 49 1
NERVOUSNYTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	49 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig. lymphoma, histiocytic type Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	м	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 5

\*Animais Necropsied

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

### **APPENDIX B**

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

(	CONTRO	DL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS MISSING	1					
ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	48 7 48		50 50		50 50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					<u></u>	
*SKIN	(48)		(50)		(50)	
BASAL CELL TUMOR			1	(2%)		
FIBROMA	2	(4%)		(2%)		
NEUROFIBROSARCOMA				(2%)		
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(48)		(50)		(50)	
SARCOMA, NOS						(2%)
FIBROMA	•	(00)		(4%)		(6%)
FIBROSARCOMA LEIOMYOSARCOMA	ა	(6%)		(8%) (2%)	10	(20%)
OSTEOSARCOMA				(2%) (2%)		
NEUROFIBROSARCOMA	1	(2%)	•	(2,6)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	- <u></u>					
#LUNG	(47)		(50)		(50)	
HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, METAS	Г 2	(4%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA	-	(13%)				
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA FIBROSARCOMA, METASTATIC	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		(6%) (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						· - · ·
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(48)		(50)		(50)	
MALIG. LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPI	E 7	(15%)		(14%)		(2%)
MALIG. LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE				(18%)	4	(8%)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE			1	(2%)		
LEUKEMIA, NOS		(2%)	(50)		(47)	
#SPLEEN MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE	(44)		(50)	(2%)	(47)	
#INGUINAL LYMPH NODE	(41)		(50)	(270)	(48)	
FIBROSARCOMA, METASTATIC	<b>x</b> ,	(2%)	(007		(40)	
#SMALL INTESTINE	(45)	(270)	(48)		(44)	
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE	(10)			(2%)	()	
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	<u> </u>	<u>,,, _, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		. <u></u>		
#SPLEEN	(44)	(90)	(50)	(90)	(47)	
HEMANGIOSARCOMA #MESENTERIC LYMPH NODE	(41)	(2%)	(50)	(2%)	(48)	
HEMANGIOSARCOMA, METASTATIC		(2%)	(50)		(40)	
#HEART	(47)	(270)	(50)		(50)	
HEMANGIOSARCOMA, METASTATIC		(2%)			(00)	
#LIVER	(48)		(50)		(50)	
HEMANGIOSARCOMA, METASTATIC			1	(2%)		
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#LIVER	(48)	(0~)	(50)		(50)	
BILE DUCT CARCINOMA		(2%)	-	(140)	10	(960)
HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA		(13%) (29%)		(14%) (26%)		(26%) (44%)
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA, METASTATIC	14	(2370)		(20%)	44	(++++70)
HEPATOBLASTOMA			1		1	(2%)
#PANCREAS	(46)		(50)		(49)	
#TANCILLAD	(40)		(00)			(2%)

#### TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

	CONTROL (VEH)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)		<u>,,,, ,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	
#FORESTOMACH	(47)	(49)	(40)
PAPILLOMA, NOS	(47)	(49)	(49) 2 (4%)
SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILLOMA		1 (2%)	2 (4.70)
SQUAMOUS CELL PAPILEOMA SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
		(40)	(AA)
#ILEUM	(45)	(48)	(44)
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS *RECTUM	1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	(50)	(50)	(50)
ADENCERCINOMA, NOS		1 (2%)	
JRINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY	(48)	(50)	(50)
TUBULAR CELL ADENOCARCINOMA	•		1 (2%)
#KIDNEY/CAPSULE	(48)	(50)	(50)
FIBROSARCOMA, METASTATIC	· - <del>-</del> ·	·/	1 (2%)
·			
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#ANTERIOR PITUITARY	(38)	(43)	(45)
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	1 (3%)		
#ADRENAL	(46)	(49)	(47)
CORTICAL ADENOMA	3 (7%)	2 (4%)	
#ADRENAL/CAPSULE	(46)	(49)	(47)
FIBROSARCOMA, METASTATIC			1 (2%)
#ADRENAL MEDULLA	(46)	(49)	(47)
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA	3 (7%)	5 (10%)	2 (4%)
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
#THYROID	(41)	(47)	(48)
FOLLCULAR CELL ADENOMA	4 (10%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)
<b>#PANCREATIC ISLETS</b>	(46)	(50)	(49)
ISLET CELL ADENOMA	2 (4%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
#PROSTATE	(47)	(49)	(49)
OSTEOSARCOMA, INVASIVE	()	1 (2%)	,
*SEMINAL VESICLE	(48)	(50)	(50)
OSTEOSARCOMA, INVASIVE	(10)	1 (2%)	(00)
#TESTIS	(48)	(50)	(50)
INTERSTITIAL CELL TUMOR	(10)	1 (2%)	(00)
NERVOUS SYSTEM NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*HARDERIAN GLAND	(48)	(50)	(50)
ADENOMA, NOS		1 (2%)	2 (4%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM NONE		alaya a sana ana anis di Alayanan na di Alayan na ana ana ang sana ta	

# TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	CONTROL (VEH)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
BODY CAVITIES			
*PELVIS	(48)	(50)	(50)
OSTEOSARCOMA		1 (2%)	
*PLEURA	(48)	(50)	(50)
BILE DUCT CARCINOMA, METASTATI	C 1 (2%)		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(48)	(50)	(50)
ACINAR CELL CARCINOMA, METASTA			1 (2%)
SARCOMA, NOS, UNC PRIM OR META	1 (2%)		
MESOTHELIOMA, MALIGNANT		1 (2%)	
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50
NATURAL DEATH	15	17	19
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	16	20	11
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	13	13	18
DOSING ACCIDENT	2		2
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED, NDA	3		
ANIMAL MISSING	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TUMORSUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMOR		40	40
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	61	67	68
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS		14	20
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	26	22	24
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUN		35	33
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	34	45	44
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUR		6 7	4
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	6	7	6
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCER' BENIGN OR MALIGNANT	I AIN		
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCER	TAIN		
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC	1		
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	1		

## TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\*\* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS # NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY ## SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

(	CONTRO	L (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	ζ 50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*SKIN	(50)	(07)	(50)		(50)	
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
SARCOMA, NOS *SUBCUT TISSUE	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
FIBROSARCOMA	(00)		(00)			(2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#LUNG	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA	( <del>-</del> - )	(6%)		(2%)	. ,	(4%)
SARCOMA, NOS, METASTATIC	•			(2%)	-	
MESOTHELIOMA, METASTATIC					1	(2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(50)		(50)		(50)	
MALIG. LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYP		(18%)		(18%)		(22%)
MALIG. LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	9	(18%)		(20%)		(6%)
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, MIXED TYPE		(4%)		(6%)	-	(6%)
#SPLEEN	(50)	(00)	(50)		(50)	
MALIG. LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE #MESENTERIC LYMPH NODE	(47)	(2%)	(49)		(43)	
MALIG. LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYP				(2%)		(2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM		<u></u>	<u></u>			
#SPLEEN	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMANGIOSARCOMA		(2%)				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				·		
#LIVER	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA		(4%)		(8%)		(12%)
HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA		(4%)		(4%)		(4%)
#PANCREAS	(50)		(50)		(49)	(00)
ACINAR CELLADENOMA #FORESTOMACH	(50)		(50)		(49)	(2%)
PAPILLOMA, NOS		(2%)	(00)			(2%)
URINARY SYSTEM NONE	<u></u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#PITUITARY INTERMEDIA	(47)		(41)		(44)	
ADENOMA, NOS	(=)		()			(2%)
#ANTERIOR PITUITARY	(47)		(41)		(44)	
ADENOMA, NOS		(23%)	10	(24%)	4	(9%)
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS		(11%)	3	(7%)		(2%)
#ADRENAL	(48)		(50)		(50)	
CORTICAL ADENOMA		(2%)	/#A			(2%)
#ADRENAL/CAPSULE	(48)	(90)	(50)		(50)	
ADENOMA, NOS #ADRENAL MEDULLA	(48)	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA	(40)			(6%)		(2%)
THEOTHOMOUTIOMA			J	(0,0)	1	

#### TABLE B2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

	CONTRO	L(VEH)	LOWI	OOSE	HIGH	DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#THYROID	(49)		(49)		(46)	
FOLLCULAR CELL ADENOMA		(4%)		(4%)		
FOLLCULAR CELL CARCINOMA	-	(2%)	2	(4%)		
C-CELL CARCINOMA	1	(2%)				
<b>#PANCREATIC ISLETS</b>	(50)		(50)		(49)	
ISLET CELL ADENOMA					1	(2%)
ISLET CELL CARCINOMA	i	(2%)	1	(2%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*MAMMARY GLAND	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS				(2%)		
#UTERUS	(50)		(49)		(50)	
SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA		(2%)	-	(4.0.0)		
ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL POLYP		(6%)		(10%)	, <b></b>	
#OVARY	(49)		(45)	(17)	(47)	
CYSTADENOMA, NOS				(4%)		
TERATOMA, BENIGN			1	(2%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#BRAIN	(50)		(49)		(50)	
ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS, INVASIVE	1	(2%)				
*SPINAL CORD	(50)		(50)		(50)	
OSTEOSARCOMA				(2%)	(20)	
*SPINAL GANGLION	(50)		(50)		(50)	(00)
NEURILEMOMA, MALIGNANT					1	(2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*HARDERIAN GLAND	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ADENOMA, NOS	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM NONE			<u>114 87 717</u>			
BODY CAVITIES		*****	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
*PLEURA	(50)		(50)		(50)	
MESOTHELIOMA, MALIGNANT					1	(2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS						
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(50)		(50)		(50)	
OSTEOSARCOMA, METASTATIC			1	(2%)		

### TABLE B2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

CON	TROL (VEH)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			······································
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	50	50
NATURAL DEATH	16	7	9
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	9	9	2
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	24	33	34
DOSING ACCIDENT	1	1	4
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED, NOS			1
TUMOR SUMMARY	······································		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS**	16	41	28
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	60	65	43
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	17	23	17
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	26	31	19
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	26	29	20
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	34	34	24
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS##	<b>⊭ 1</b>	2	1
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	1	2	1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN			
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN			
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			

### TABLE B2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

\*\* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS # NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY ## SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

#### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: VEHICLE CONTROL

ANIMAL Number	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 3	004	005	006	0 0 7	008	009	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 7	018	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 3 2	074	1 0 5	0 8 8	0 6 9	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 7 5	1 0 5	105	0 8 4	1 0 4	0 5 7	0 7 9	0 9 4	0 9 6	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 7 6	068	0 9	0 8 0	1 0 0	1 0 4	1 0 5
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Fibroma Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma Neurofibrosarcoma	N N	+ *	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ x +	+ +	+++	++	+ + X	+ +	++	+++	+ + x	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ * *	++	++	+++
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	++	* * +	+ x x +	++	++	* *	+	+ X +	+	+	++	+	++	+ X +	++	+	+	++	++	++	+	+ x +	+++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Hemangiosarcoma Lymph nodes Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic Thymus	+	++++-	++++-	- + +	++++-	++x+ + x -	++++-	++++-	++++-	++++-	++++-	++++-	++++-	++++-	+++++	+++++	++++-	++++-	++++-	++++-	++++-	++ + + x -	++++-	++++-	++ + -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Bile duct carcinoma Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell carcinoma	-+ +++-+	++ xx+++++	++ +++++	++X X+++++	++ x+++++	++ X+++++	++ X+++++	++ X+N+++	++ ++++	++ +++++	++ x+z+++	++ x +++++	++ x+z ++	++ X+++++	++ +++++	++ z+++	++ +++++	++ ++++	++ +2+++	++ +++++	++ x+++++	++ +++++	++ +Z+++	++ x +++++	++   ++++
Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Large intestine	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ X +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	- + +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenai Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma	+ -	- + +	++++	+ +	++++	+++	+ + *	- + +	+++	+++	+ + +	++++	+ +	++++	++++	++++	++++	- + x +	+ + X +	+ +	+++	- +	+++++	+x++ + .	- + +
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet-cell adenoma	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	Ŧ	÷	-	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	* x	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testus Prostate	х + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Bile duct carcinoma, metastatic	N	N		N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Sarcoma, NOS, unc prim or meta Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Leukemia, NOS	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N X		N X	N		N X	N	N X	N	N		N X		- N

+ - X N S

No Tissue Information Submitted Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol Autolysus Animal Missing No Necropsy Performed

- Tissue Examined Microscopically Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically Tumor Incidence Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination Animal Missexed

С Ă M B

### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 6	027	028	029	0 8 0	0 3	03	033	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 7	038	039	040	04	042	043	04	042	046	047	04	04	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0	0	102	004	105	030	1 0 5	105	0	036	0 0 3	003	0 9 1	086	003	089	10	042	053	5	008	00	105	105	0	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	21	8	21	4	51		5	5	9(	6	3	3	1  	6		9	4	2	3(	4	34	3	5	5(	6 	*48
Skin Fibroma Subcutaneous tissue	+   +	+	+	+	+	M M	х +	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	N N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-48 -48
Fibrosarcoma Neurofibrosarcoma																										3
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	M	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	м	+	X +	+	+	_	A	X +	+	-	+	+	_	+	+	A	+	+	+	х +	6 2 43
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	м	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		47
Spleen Hemangiosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	M	+	+	+	+	+	Ä	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	Â	A	-	+	+	+	44 1 41
Lymph nodes Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic Thymus		-	-	-	-	м	- -	-	-	- -	-	A	-	+	-	-	-	_	+	•	A	_	-	-	-	1
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	┝┿	+	+	+	+	M	+	+	+	+	+		+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		47
Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic							_											-								1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Bile duct carcinoma	‡	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	M M	+ +	+ +	+ +	Ŧ		A A	+ +	++	Ŧ	+ +	+ +	<del>-</del>	+ +	+ +	A +	Ŧ	++	++	+ +	42 48 1
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct	x +	x +	X X +	+	x +	м	<b>т</b>			+	L.	A	X +	х +	+	Ŧ	+	<b>.</b>	<b>т</b>	•	-		<u>т</u>	<b>ـ</b>	+	6 14 48
Gailbladder & common bile duct Pancreas	N +	+++	+++	++++	++++	M M	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	A A	+ +	Ň +		N +	+ +	Ň +	Ň +	Ň	+++	+++	+++	+++	N +	*48 46 44
Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell carcinoma	+	+	++	+ +	+ +	M M	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	A A	+++	+++	+	+++	+ +	÷	++	Å	A +	÷	+++	+ +	+ + X	47
Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Large intestine	+++	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	M M	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ +	A A	+ -	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	A A	++	++	+ +	+ +	++	45 1 46
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	++	+++	+++		M M	+++	+++	+++	+++		A A	+++	+++	+	++	++	++	++	+ A	+++	+++	+++	+++	- ++	48 45
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary	+	+	+	+	+	M	+	+	+	+	_		+	+		+	+	+	-	A	<b>A</b>	+	+	+	-	38
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	M	+	+	+	+	+	A	+ x	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	A	+	+	* x	+	+	1 46 3
Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid	+	X +	+	+	+	м	+	+	х +	+	+	A	+	+	_	+	+	_	+	A	A	-	+	+	+	3 1 41
Follicular-cell adenoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets	-+	- +	Ŧ	Ŧ		M M	+++	++	+ +	~ +	- +	A A	X + +	+++	-+	× ÷	-+	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	A A	A +	÷	X + +	+ +	++++	4 23 46
Isiet-cell adenoma REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			X																						_	2
Mammary gland Testis Prostate	N + -				N + +				N + +			A A A		N + +			N + +			N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	*48 48 47
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	м	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Bile duct carcinoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	м	N	N	N	N	N	A	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*48
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	м	N	N	N	N	N	A	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		*48
Sarcoma, NOS, unc prim or meta Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Leukemia, NOS			x																						x	1 7 1

Animals Necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 5	006	0 0 7	0	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	020	0 2 1	0 2 2	023	0 2 4	0 2 5
WEEKSON Study	0 9 1	1 0 4	0 9 2	0 9 3	1 0 4	1 0 1	1 0 4	0 8 8	0 9 7	1 0 4	0 2 7	0 5 7	1 0 4	1 0 4	0 5 7	1 0 4	0 9 8	1 0 4	1 0 4	080	095	1 0 2	099	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Basal-ceil tumor Fibroma	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	-+
Neurofibrosarcoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	*	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+
Leiomyosarcoma Osteosarcoma									X								x								
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	* *	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	* *	+	+	+ X +	++	+	+	++	++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen	++++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	+	+++	+	- ‡
Hemangtosarcoma Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Thymus	+	+ -	+ -	++	+ -	+ -	+-	+ -	+ -	+-	+ -	+-	+ -	+ -	+ -	• + +	++	++	+ -	++	+ -	+ -	++	+ -	x + -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- +
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver	++++	+++	+++	+++	++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++		+++	++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	- +
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Pheochromocytoma, metastatic Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic	x	X		x	x		x	x					XX	x		x x	x	X	X			x		x	
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas	++++++	++++	+ N +	+++	++++	++++	++++	+ N +	++++	++++	+ N +	+ N +	++++	+++	+ N +	+ N +	+++	+++	++++	+ N +	+ N +	++++	++++	++++	‡
Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma	+++	++	+ +	++	+ +	+++	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	++	++	++	++	+ + X	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	+
Small intestine Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	-	+	+	+
Large intestine Rectum Adenocarcinoma, NOS	* N	+ N	n N	н М	* N	'n	* N	+ N	н М	+ N	* N	* N	N N	n N	n N	n N	n N	+ N	n N	n N	+ N	н М	n N	+ N	Ň
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+	+++	+++	++	- +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Cortical adenoma	+++	++	++++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	-	+++	+++	Ŧ	+ + * X	++	+	+++	++	+++	Ŧ	++	+++	+++	++	+++	- +
Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant				x										x				x				x			
Thyroid Follicular-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	-	+	+	- -	-	+	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	+		+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis	N +	N +	++++	- N +																					
Interstitial-ceil tumor Prostate Osteosarcoma, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Seminal vesicle Osteosarcoma, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	-   N
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Osteosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	- N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant Malig. lymphoma, histiocytic type Malig. lymphoma, histiocytic type	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N X	N
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type																							X		_

#### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: LOW DOSE

+ - × N S

Tissue Examined Microscopically Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically Tumor Incidence Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination Animal Missexed

No Tissue Information Submitted Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol Autolysis Animal Missing No Necropsy Performed

C A M

В

#### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 6	0 2 7	028	029	030	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 3 9	040	041	042	043	044	045	040	0 4 7	048	049	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	07	104	073	0 9 5	100	0 7 8	1 0 4	99	0 9 7	0 7 9	102	0 4 6	0 9 7	104	095	041	0 9 3	042	0 9	048	104	104	0 9 3	1 0 2	0 9 5	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skun Basai-cell tumor	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	*50 1
Fibroma Neurofibrosarcoma Subcutaneous tussue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma Letomyosarcoma Osteosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	N	+	1 *50 2 4 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	++	++	+	* * +	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	50 3 1 48
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Hemangiosarcoma Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	+ +	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	‡	++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	++	+++	+++	++	++	++	50 50 1
Lymph nodes Thymus	++	+	+ -	+++	+	+ -	+-	+	+ -	÷ -	+ -	+	+ -	+++	+++	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+ -	+ -	+	+	50 12
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Pheochromocytoma, metastatic Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic	+++	++ + x	+++	+++	+++	+ + x	+ + x	+ +	+++	++++	+ + x	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ + x	49 50 7 13 1
Bile duct Gailbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ z + + I	+ 2 + + +	++++	++++		+ N + + +	++++	+ 2 + + +	+ N + + +	+ z + + +	++++	++++	+ + + z + + + z +	+ 2 + + +	+++++	++++	50 *50 50 50 49
Squamous ceil papilloma Small intestine Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Large intestine Rectum Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+ + N	+ + N	+ N	+ +x	+ +N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ 7	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ ++x	+ + N	+ +z	z+ +	4 + X	4 + X	+ + N	+ N	+ + N	48 1 47 •50 1
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	÷	+	++	++	++	+++	++	+ +	++	++	+	+++	+ +	++++	++	+++	++++	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++	++++	50 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Adrenai Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	‡ x	++	Ŧ	+ + x	++	Ŧ	++ *	+++	+++	Ŧ	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	++	+++	+++++	43 49 2 5
Pheochromocytoma, mailgnant Thyroid Follicular-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ -	* -	+ +	+ +	-	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ -	+ 	+ ~	+	+ +	1 47 1 22
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Interstitial-cell tumor Prostate	м + +	N + +	N + +	ы + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	×+ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	м + +	N + +	N + +			N + +		N + +	+++++	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +		*50 50 1 49
Osteosarcoma, invasive Seminal vesicle Osteosarcoma, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x + x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Osteosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant Malig lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N X	N	N X	N		N X	N	N	N	N	N	N		N X		N X		N X		N X	N		N X		*50 1 7 9 1

\* Animals Necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 3	004	005	0 0 6	0 0 7	008	009	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	020	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	104	104	0 7 3	0 8 9	0 7 3	0 8 1	0 8 7	104	0 8 3	0 8 5	0 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	0 8 6	1 0 1	0 9 7	0 6 9	1 0 4	104	0 9 7	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	0 7 1	0 3 3
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tasue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+ X	+	+	+	+ x	+	+ x	+	N	+ x	N	+ x	+	*	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	N
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/broncholar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Trachea HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+ ++ -	+ +++ -	+ +++ =	+++-	+ +++ -	++++	+++-	+++-	+ +++ -	+++-	+ ++++	+ +++ -	++++-	+++-	+++-	+ + + + + +	++++-	+ +++ -	+ +++ =	+++-	++++-	++++-	+ + + -	++++-	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatoceilular adenoma Hepatoceilular carcinoma	+ +	+ + x	++++	+ + x	+++	+ + x	+++	+ + x	+ + x x	+++	+++	+ + + x	+ + x	+++	+ + x	+ + x x	+ * x	++++	+ + x x	+ + x x x	+ + x	+ + x	+ + x	÷	
Hepatoblastoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Acinar cell carcinoma Esophagus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +	+ N + +	+++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ X + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +	+++ +	+++ +	+++ +	+++ +	+++ +	+ z + +	+++X+	+++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +	+x+ +	X + N + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +
Stomach Papilloma, NOS Smail intestine Large intestine	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + -	+++	+ + +	+++	÷ -+	++++	+ ++	+ + + +	+++	++++	++++	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ ++	+  -
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Adrenai Pheochromocytoma	‡ +	++	+	+++	+ +	++	+ +	+++	Ŧ	+++	+ -	++	+++	+++	+++	+ + * X	+++	+++	++++	++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	- +
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+ +	+ X +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ x +	+ -	+ -	+ -	× + -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ +	+ 	+ -	-	+ -						
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	++++	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_ ' + '
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Acinar-cell carcinoma, metastatic Mailig lymphoma, lymphocytic type Mailig lymphoma, histocytic type	N	N	x	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N

#### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE **TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: HIGH DOSE**

Tissue Examined Microscopically Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically Tumor Incidence

+ - x N S Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination Animal Missexed No Tissue Information Submitted Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol Autolysis

C A M B

Animal Missing No Necropsy Performed

### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL Number	0 2 6	0 2 7	028	0 2 9	030	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	034	0 3 5	036	0 3 7	0 3 8	039	040	04	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 4	045	046	0 4 7	0 4 8	049	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 2	096	1 0 4	0 7 7	0 8 7	0 7 2	1 0 4	1 0 4	0 7 8	1 0 2	1 0 4	086	1 0 4	104	020	0 2 0	0 1 1	004	1 0 4	1 0 3	1 0 4	0 7 2	0 1 6	0 2 9	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous issue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Fibroma Fibroma	+	+	+ x	+ x	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+ x	+	+	*50 1 3 10
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Trachea	+	++	+ x+	+++	+ x x +	+++	+++	+	+	++	+	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	+	+	+ x +	++	+	+	+	50 2 3 1 47
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++-	+++ -	+++ =	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	++++	++-+	+ - + +	+++ -	+++-	+++-	+++-	+++-	+ - + +	+++	50 47 48 6
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hepatoblastoma	+ + X X	+ + X	+++	+ + x x	÷ x	+++	+++	++++	+ + x	+ + x	+ + x	+ + x	÷ x		+ + x	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ + x	+ + X	+ + x	+ +	+++	++	48 50 13 22 1
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Acinar cell carcinoma Esophagus Stomach	+++ ++	+N+ ++	+++ ++	+++ ++	+z+ ++	+++ ++	+++ ++	+++ ++	+++ ++	+++ ++	N+++	+++ ++	+z+ + +	+++ ++	+ N +	+++ *+	+++ ++	++- +-	+++ ++	+++ ++	+++ ++	+++ ++	+++ ++	+++ ++	+z+ +z+	50 +50 49 1 50 49
Papilloma, NOS Small intestine Large intestine	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+-	• + +	+ +	+++	• + +	+ +	• + +	+++	+ +	Ŧ	++	+ -	- +	+ +	• + +	• + +	+ +	+	-+	2 44 45
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular-cell adenocarcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Urinary bladder	++	++	++	++	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	- + +	50 1 1 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenai Pheochromocytoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	- +	+ + X	++	+++	+ -	++.	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	- +	+ -	 + +	45 47 2 1
Thyroid Follicular-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ +	+ 	+ +	+ +	+ -	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	-	+ -	48 2 20
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N ++ +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N ++ +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N ++ +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	- N + -	*50 50 49
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	- N	*50 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Acinar-cell carcinoma, metastatic Malig, lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig, lymphoma, histocytic type	N	N	N	N	N		N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N X	N	N	- N	*50 1 1 4

\*Animals Necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 1	002	003	004	005	006	0 0 7	008	009	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	014	0 1 5	016	0 1 7	018	0 1 9	020	0 2 1	022	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	105	101	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 8 9	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 9 1	105	098	093	089	089	104	077	1 0 5	0 9 1	1 0 5	0 9 6
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Traches	+ +	++	** *	++	+++	++	++	+x +	+++	+++	++	++	++	+++	+++	++	+	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	** *	++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Hemanguosarcoma Malig. lymphoma, histocytic type	+ +	++	+	+++	++	+++	++	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+ + x	++
Lymph nodes Thymus	+ -	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	++	+	+	-	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	++	++	++	++x	+	++	++	++x	++	<del>.</del>	++	++	++	+	+++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
Bile duct Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	+N+++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ 2 + + + +	+ 2 + + +	++++	+N+++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++
Papilloma, NOS Small intestine Large intestine	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	Ŧ	+ +	+++	+ +	++	++	++	++	++	+++	<b>+</b> +	+++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++	+	++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	 + +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+ X	+	+	*	+	+	+	*	+	+	*	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenai Adenoma, NOS Cortical adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	х +	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Thyroid Follicular-cell adenoma Follicular-cell carcinoma C-cell carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	÷ X	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ v	+	+
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet-cell carcinoma	- +	++	++	Ŧ	+ +	++	++	++	+++	Ŧ	+	+ +	Ŧ	++	+ + X	+++	++	Ŧ	+	+	+	++	- +	Ŧ	÷
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Uterus Squamous cell carcinoma	++	+++	++	+ + X	++	N +	+++	++	+++	N +	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	N +	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	X +	÷	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	X +	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organa, NOS Walig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig. lymphoma, histocytic type Walignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X		N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N X

### TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: VEHICLE CONTROL

+

- Tissue Examined Microscopically Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically Tumor Incidence Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination Animal Missexed XNS

No Tissue Information Submitted
C : Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol
A : Autolysis
M : Animal Missing
B : No Necropsy Performed

TABLE B4.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF	FEMALE MICE:	VEHICLE
		CONTR	OL (Continued)	)		

ANIMAL NUMBER	202	027	020	029	030	0 3 1	0 3 2	033	034	035	0 3 6	0 3 7	038	0 3 9	040	0 4 1	0 4 2	043	4	045	046	0 4 7	0 4 8	049	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKSON STUDY	9	086	1 0 5	1 0 2	0 8 9	0 9 6	1 0 5	094	1 0 5	0 7 9	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 8 9	0 9 5	083	084	1 0 5	1 0 5	086	0 8 7	1 0 4	082	105	1 0 5	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	·																								-	
Skin Squamous cell carcinoma	*	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea	+	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+	+++	+	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	50 3 50
REMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Hemangiosarcoma Malig. lymphoma, histiocytic type Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++ ++	++++	+++++	++x++	++++	++ ++	++ ++	++ +1	++ + +	++ ++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++ +=	++ + -	++ ++	++	++	++ +1	++ +1	++ ++	++++	50 50 1 1 47 12
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver	+	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	Ŧ	+++	+	++	++	++	 ++ +	47 50
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	+	+++	++	+ N	+ N	++	++	+ N	++	++	++++	++	+++	+ N	+ N	+++	+ N	++	X + +	++	+ N	++	+++	X + +	+ -	2 2 50 *50
Pancreas Esophagus Stomach		++++	+ + +	+++	+ + +	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	++++	+++;	++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+ + +	50 50 50
Papilloma, NOS Small intestine Large intestine	+	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	× + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	++	+ +	+ +	+ + :	1 48 48
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+	++	+	+++	+++	++	+ +	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++++	++	+	50 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	* X	+	+	*	*	+	+	+	-	+	*	+	+	*	+	*	+	47 11
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal Adenoma, NOS Cortical adenoma	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	-	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	X +	5 48 1
Thyroid Follicular-cell adenoma Follicular-cell carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 2 1
C-ceil carcinoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Íslet-ceil carcinoma	+	+	+ +	+	÷	++	+ +	+++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+++	÷	+ +	÷	- +	<del>-</del> +	Ŧ	÷	+	 +	- +	+ +	+ +	1 26 50 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Uterus Squamous cell carcinoma	+	+++	+++	++++	++	++++	N +	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	*50 50 1
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	3 49
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig. lymphoma, hustocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	*50 9 9 2

\* Animals Necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 1	0 0 2	0	004	005	0 0 6	0 0 7	008	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	020	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	0 1 0	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 4	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 8 1	0 0 4	1 0 5	0 0 3	1 0 5	0 9 7	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 4 8	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 9 7	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Alveolar/bronchuolar adenoma Sarcoma, NOS, metastatuc Traches	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Thymus	+++	+ + + + +	+++ -	++++-	++++-	+++ -	+++ -	++++++	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ -	++	+++ +	+++ -	+++ -	+++ +	+++ -	+++ +	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ ~	- +++ -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DICESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma	+++	+++	++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ + X	+++	++	Ŧ	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	- + +
Hepatoceilular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Smail intestine Large intestine	++++++	+++++1+	++++++	++++++	+++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++++	+++++++	+++++++	+ 2 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++++	+++++	++++++	++++++	+ 2 + + + + 1	+++++++	++++++	+++++	+++++++	X+++++++	X++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	+	++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenai Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Folicular-ceil adenoma Folicular-ceil carcinoma Parathyroid Pancreatic isleta Islet-ceil carcinoma	+ +x+ -+	+ + + -+	+ + + + +	* * + + +	- + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	* * + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	- +++ -++ -++ ++ ++ -+	+x +x+x ++	- + + +	+ x + + x +	+ +x+ -+	+ + + + + + + +	+ + + + + +	- + + +	+ + + + + + + + +	- + +	- + + -+	+ + + +	- + + +	+x + +  +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	+ + +	++++	+++++	+++++	++	++++	++	+ + + × +	++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++	+ + -	++++	+ -	N + +	N + +	++++	+++++	N + +	N + +	++++	N + -	N + +	א + +	-+ + +
Cystadenoma, NOS Teratoma, benign VERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Spinal cord	+++	x +	++	+++	++	++	++	++++	++	++	++	++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	++	+++	++	++	++	++	+	x - + +
Osteosarcoma SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	- N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Osteosarcoma, metastatic Maing lymphoma, lymphocytic type Maing lymphoma, histiocytic type Maingnant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N		N X	N	N	N		N X						N		N X	N	N

#### TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: LOW DOSE

÷

Tissue Examined Microscopically Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically Tumor Incidence Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination Animal Missexed

-X N S

C A M B

No Tussue Information Submitted Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol Autolyms Animai Missing No Necropsy Performed

### TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	026	0 21 7	028	020	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 2	033	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 7	038	0 3 9	040	0 4 1	0 4 2	043	044	0 4 5	046	047	048	049	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEERS ON STUDY	0 9 5	1 0 5	105	1 0 5	1 0 1	104	1 0 5	105	1 0 5	1 0 1	0 8 9	105	105	1 0 5	105	1 0 5	100	069	105	095	1 0 5	1 0 5	0 98	105	1 0 5	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Traches	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	50 1 1 48
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Thymus	+++	+++ -	+++ +	+++ -	+++ +	+++ +	+++ -	+++ +	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++×-	+++ +++ +	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	50 50 49 1 12
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver	++++	+++	++++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	-+ *	+++	+++	+ + X	++	++	+++	+	+++	+++	++	+	++	++	++	+	48 50
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++++++	+++++++	+++++++	+++++ +	+2+++++	+++++++	X +++++++	++++++	++++++	X +++++++	+z++++	++++++	X +++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+z++++	+++++++	++++++	+z++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++++	4 2 50 50 50 50 50 48 48 46
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+	++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	++	- +	50 48
ENDÖCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenai Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular-cell adenoma Follicular-cell carcinoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet-cell carcinoma	+ + + + +	+ + + -+	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+x + + -+	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + ++	+ x+ + -+	+x + + -+	+ + + -+	- + + + -+	+ + ++	+ x+ + -+	+ + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + -+	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + -+	+ + + + + + +	+x + + x + + x + + x + + + x + + + x + + + x + + + x + + + x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + -+	+x + + -+	+ + + ++	- + + + + * * *	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + +	41 10 3 50 3 49 2 2 19 50 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Cystadenoma, NOS Teratoma, benign	+ + + +	+ + + X +	++++	++++	++++	N + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	++++	N + +	++++	+ + +	+ + + X + X	+ + X +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	*50 1 49 5 45 2 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Spinal cord Osteosarcoma	+	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	++	+ + *	+++	+++	+++	++	++	++	+	49 *50 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 3
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Osteosarcoma, metastatic Malig. lymphoma, histiocytic type Malig. lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type		N	_	N		_	_	N	N		N	N	N		N		_					N		N	-	*50 1 9 10 3

\* Animals Necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0	0 0 2	003	004	005	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 8	009	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	015	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	020	0 2 1	0 2 2	023	0 2 4	0 2 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 9 7	104	090	104	104	0 9	104	104	104	104	1	104	1	0 6	1 0 4	104	0	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1	104	104	104	104
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tasue Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- *
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Megothelioma, metastatic	x x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Trachea	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++1 1	+++ -	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ 1	++++++	+++ -	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++-	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++-+	+++ -	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++ -	+++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM		+		•	-	-	•	+	-	-			-		-	<u> </u>			_		+	•		-	-
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	<u> </u>					-				F	-	F	F	r											_
Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + x	+ +	+ + x	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	Ŧ	÷	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++ + x	+ +	+ +
Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Acinar-cell adenoma	+	++	++	N +	++	N +	++	++	+ +	+++	+ +	++	+ + x	N +	+++	+ +	++	++	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	++	+++
Esophagus Esophagus Papilloma, NOS	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
Small intestine Large intestine	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	<b>+</b> -	+ +	++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	++	+++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	+++	++	+	+++	++	++++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	- ++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	-	+	+	+	+ x	÷	+	+	+
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X X +	+	+	+
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Parathyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	x x	+	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	+	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Jterus	+	+	+++	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	+++	+++	++	+	++	+	N +	++	+	++	+	- + +
Dvary	+	÷	+	÷	÷	+	-	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Spinal cord Neurilemoma, malignant	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	++++	+++
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Tarderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N

#### TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE: HIGH DOSE

BODY CAVITIES Pleura Mesothelioma, malignant ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig: Jymphoma, Jymphocytic type Malig: Jymphoma, insticcytic type Malignant Jymphoma, mixed type х х x x x

÷

Tissue Examined Microscopically Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically

- -XNS Tumor Incidence Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination Anımal Missexed

.

- No lissue information Submitted
   No lissue information Submitted
   No Recopsy, No Histology Due To Protocol
   A: Autolysus
   M: Animal Missing
   B: No Necropsy Performed

No Tissue Information Submitted

# TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 6	0 2 7	028	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 2	033	0 3 4	035	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 8	039	040	0 4 1	042	043	044	045	046	0 4 7	048	049	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 2	0 0 5	0 0 3	0 1 2	02	1 0 4	1 0 4	0 1 2	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	003	104	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	0 1 2	0 0 3	0 1 2	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	0 6 3	1 0 4	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	· +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Mesothelioma, metastatic Trachea	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	++	+++	50 2 1 48
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Thymus	+++-++	++++++	+++ +	+++ +	++-+	+++ -	++++ +	+++ +	++++ +	+++ +	+++	+++ -	++ + +	+++ -	+++ +++ +	+++x-	++++-	++++-	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ -	+++ +	+++ -	++	+++ -	50 50 43 1 20
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Sailvary gland Liver Hepatoceilular adenoma	+++	+++	++	÷	+	++++	+++	++	++ + x	++	+ + x	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+++	Ŧ	÷	+++	++	++	++*	45 50 6 2
Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Acinar-cell adenoma	+ + + +	+ z +	+ x +	+ x +	+++	+++	X + + +	+ x +	++++	+++	+ + + +	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	X + + +	+ N +	++-	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ + + +	2 50 •50 49 1 48
Esophagus Stomach Papilloma, NOS Small intestine Large intestine	+++++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	-+ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	+++++	+++++	+ - ++	-+-+	++ ++	+ + X + +	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	48 49 1 49 49
CRINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+	+++	+++	+	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	++	+++	+++	+++	- + +	50 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	-		*	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	44 5 1
Adrenal Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1 46
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+	++	++	++	+	++	+	+	÷	++	+ +	+ +	+	÷	÷	++	- +	- +	-	- +	+ +	÷	++	÷	++++	19 49 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Uterus Ovary	++++	N + +	+++	+++	N + -	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+ + +	N + -	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+++	+++	+++	*50 50 47
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Spinal cord Neurilemoma, malignant	r t	+ N	+ XX	+ N	+ N	+ й	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N :	+ N	+ N :	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	n N	+ N	+ N	50 *50 1						
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Mesotheiioma, malignant	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N I	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig, lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig, lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N I X	N	N I	N	N	N	N	N	z	*50 11 3 3

• Animals Necropsied

•

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

### **APPENDIX C**

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

C	ONTRO	DL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*SKIN	(50)		(50)	(07)	(50)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(2%) (2%)	1	(2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, BASAL CELL HYPERKERATOSIS		(2%) (2%)	1	(2%)		
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(50)	
HEMORRHAGE	(00)		(00)			(2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL						(2%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC					1	(2%)
GRANULOMA, FOREIGN BODY					3	(6%)
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#TRACHEA	(49)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE		(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE	1	(2%)			1	(90)
#LUNG	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2%)
VEGETABLE FOREIGN BODY	(30)			(2%)	(50)	
EMPHYSEMA, ALVEOLAR	(a) 1	(2%)		(8%)	(a) 12	(24%)
CONGESTION, NOS		(12%)		(12%)		(30%)
EDEMA, NOS		(2%)		(4%)		(4%)
HEMORRHAGE	22	(44%)	10	(20%)	5	(10%)
<b>BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, ACUTE</b>				(2%)		(2%)
PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC		(18%)	8	(16%)	10	(20%)
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, CHRONIC		(2%)				
HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM HISTIOCYTOSIS		(2%) (22%)	2	(4%)	5	(10%)
1EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
<b>#BONE MARROW</b>	(49)		(50)		(50)	
HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC						(2%)
#SPLEEN	(50)		(50)		(50)	
GRANULOMA, NOS		(2%)				
FIBROSIS, FOCAL		(2%) (2%)				
NECROSIS, FOCAL INFARCT, HEALED		(2%)				
PIGMENTATION, NOS		(72%)	28	(56%)	33	(66%)
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL		(	1	(2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID				(2%)		(4%)
HEMATOPOIESIS		(66%)		(60%)		(64%)
#SPLENIC CAPSULE	(50)		(50)	(994)	(50)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL FIBROSIS, FOCAL				(2%) (2%)		
#SPLENIC FOLLICLES	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ATROPHY, DIFFUSE	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
#MANDIBULAR LYMPH NODE	(50)		(50)		(48)	
CYST, NOS				(2%)		
#MESENTERIC LYMPH NODE	(50)		(50)		(48)	
CONGESTION, NOS			1	(2%)		(6%)
		(0.01)	-			
EDEMA, NOS HEMOSIDEROSIS	1	(2%)		(2%) (2%)		(6%) (2%)

### TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THETWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

(a) The NTP has reexamined these tissues and determined that emphysematous changes were related to hyperinflation of the lungs during fixation and did not result from isophorone exposure.

	CONTRO	OL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)						
#THYMUS	(0)		(6)		(8)	
CONGESTION, NOS			1	(17%)		
HEMORRHAGE				<u></u>	1	(13%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
<b>*THORACIC CAVITY</b>	(50)		(50)		(50)	
PERIARTERITIS	(50)		(50)			(2%)
#HEART THROMBOSIS, NOS	(50)	(2%)	(50)		(50)	(901)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(86%)	41	(82%)		(2%) (78%)
#HEART/ATRIUM	(50)	(80%)	(50)	(02%)	(50)	(10%)
THROMBUS, ORGANIZED	(00)			(2%)		(2%)
#ENDOCARDIUM	(50)		(50)		(50)	~~~~
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	(00)		(00)			(2%)
*MESENTERIC ARTERY	(50)		(50)		(50)	~~ / • •
THROMBOSIS, NOS			(20)			(2%)
PERIARTERITIS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	_	
*MESENTERY	(50)		(50)		(50)	
PERIARTERITIS					2	(4%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*TONGUE	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL				( <b>2%</b> )		(2%)
#SALIVARY GLAND	(48)		(49)		(49)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(2%)	-	(6%)		(4%)
#LIVER	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CONGENITAL MALFORMATION, NOS	2	(4%)	2	(4%)		(2%)
CYST, NOS	-	(100)	•	(40)		(2%)
CONGESTION, NOS		(10%) (2%)	Z	(4%)		(6%)
GRANULOMA, NOS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE		(2%)	5	(10%)		(4%) (14%)
INFARCT, ACUTE		(2%)	5	(10%)	(	(1470)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY		(18%)	2	(4%)	5	(10%)
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION		(6%)		(8%)		(18%)
BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE		(2%)	-	(0.07)	-	(
FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE	41	(82%)	35	(70%)	22	(44%)
CLEAR CELL CHANGE	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
HEPATOCYTOMEGALY			2	(4%)		(4%)
ANGIECTASIS						(2%)
#LIVER/PERIPORTAL	(50)		(50)	(00)	(50)	
INFLAMMATION, MULTIFOCAL	~-	(840)	-	(6%)		(00~
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	27	(54%)	24	(48%)		(30%)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY #BILE DUCT	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2%)
#BILE DUCT MULTILOCULAR CYST	(00)			(2%)	(50)	
FIBROSIS, FOCAL	1	(2%)	T	(2,0)		
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(92%)	44	(88%)	41	(82%)
#PANCREAS	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE		(4%)				(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC				(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL ATROPHY, NOS	18	(36%)	22	(44%)		(30%) (2%)
#PANCREATIC ACINUS	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ATROPHY, NOS	(00)			(6%)		(10%)
ATROPHY, FOCAL	1	(2%)	5			(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		(4%)				(4%)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(26%)	17	(34%)		(20%)
#ESOPHAGUS	(50)		(50)		(50)	
DILATATION, NOS						(2%)
HEMORRHAGE	9	(4%)	1	(2%)		

### TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	CONTRO	OL (VEH)	LOWE	OSE	HIGH	DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#GLANDULAR STOMACH	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE				(2%)	<b>x</b>	
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFIL	TRA			(6%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC		(2%)	•	(0,0)		
DEGENERATION, NOS	1	(2/0)	1	(2%)		
	15	(30%)		(18%)	20	(56%)
DEGENERATION, CYSTIC		(30%)		(18%)		(00%)
#FORESTOMACH	(50)	( <b>a</b> ~)	(50)	(00)	(50)	(0.00)
ULCER, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(8%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL						(2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE						(2%)
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC						(6%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL					1	(2%)
EROSION				(2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	2	(4%)		(2%)		
HYPERKERATOSIS	7	(14%)		(2%)		(10%)
#DUODENUM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ULCER, ACUTE					1	(2%)
#COLON	(49)		(50)		(50)	
CYST, NOS		(2%)	. ,			
PARASITISM		(6%)	14	(28%)	8	(16%)
#CECUM	(49)	/	(50)		(50)	/
HEMATOMA, NOS	(40)			(2%)		
JRINARY SYSTEM						
#KIDNEY	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HYDRONEPHROSIS			2	(4%)		
CONGESTION, NOS	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	. 3	(6%)
NEPHROPATHY	49	(98%)	47	(94%)	46	(92%)
PIGMENTATION, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, TUBULAR CELL			1	(2%)	4	(8%)
#KIDNEY/CORTEX	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CYST, NOS		(4%)	(,			(2%)
MULTIPLE CYSTS	-	(10)				(2%)
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)			-	(2,0)
#KIDNEY/TUBULE	(50)	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
MINERALIZATION	·/	(2%)		(62%)		(40%)
PIGMENTATION, NOS	39	(78%)		(78%) (2%)	21	(54%)
REGENERATION, NOS	(EA)			(2%)	(50)	
#KIDNEY/PELVIS	(50)		(50)	(6%)	(50)	(10%)
HEMORRHAGE						
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	(40)			(10%)		(10%)
#URINARY BLADDER	(49)		(49)	(90)	(48)	
CALCULUS, GROSS OBSERVATION ONL		(90)		(2%)	•	(10)
CALCULUS, MICROSCOPIC EXAMINATION	UN 1	(2%)	2	(4%)		(4%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL				(0~)	1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE				(2%)		
*URETHRA	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CALCULUS, MICROSCOPIC EXAMINATION	ON 6	(12%)	6	(12%)		(14%)
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC					1	(2%)
NDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#ANTERIOR PITUITARY	(48)		(49)		(47)	
EMBRYONAL DUCT CYST						(2%)
CYST, NOS	1	(2%)		(4%)		(2%)
0101,1100	•	(101)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
MULTIPLE CYSTS	2	(4%)	1	(2, n)		
MULTIPLE CYSTS	2	(4%)		(2%)	-	
		(4%)			-	

### TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

.

	CONTRO	DL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#ANTERIOR PITUITARY (Continued)	(48)		(49)		(47)	
GRANULOMA, NOS	(40)			(2%)	(47)	
CHOLESTEROL DEPOSIT	1	(2%)	1	(270)		
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(2%) (17%)	11	(22%)	٥	(17%)
ANGIECTASIS		(2%)	11	(2270)	0	(1 ( 70)
		(270)	(FO)		(50)	
#ADRENAL	(50)	(0.4.01.)	(50)	(000)	(50)	(
ANGIECTASIS		(64%)		(60%)		(54%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ACCESSORY STRUCTURE				(4%)		
CYST, NOS			1	(2%)		
CONGESTION, NOS	1	(2%)				
HEMORRHAGE					1	(2%)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	7	(14%)	21	(42%)	26	(52%)
PIGMENTATION, NOS			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	8	(16%)		(30%)		(12%)
#ADRENAL MEDULLA	(50)		(50)		(50)	(22,0)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(00)			(2%)		(4%)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	Q	(18%)		(20%)		(14%)
#THYROID	(49)	(10,0)	(50)	(20%)	(49)	(14.0)
EMBRYONAL DUCT CYST		(6%)		(90)		(8%)
				(8%)		
FOLLICULAR CYST, NOS	2	(4%)	3	(6%)		(6%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL					1	(2%)
PIGMENTATION, NOS		(12%)		(16%)		
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL	5	(10%)	8	(16%)	11	(22%)
HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR CELL	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
<b>#THYROID FOLLICLE</b>	(49)		(50)		(49)	
MULTIPLE CYSTS	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	5	(10%)
<b>#PANCREATIC ISLETS</b>	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL			(/			(2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
	(50)		(50)		(50)	
*MAMMARY GLAND		(00)	(50)		(50)	
CYSTIC DUCTS		(2%)		(00)		(0 ~ )
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC		(6%)		(2%)		(8%)
*PREPUCE	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ULCER, ACUTE						(2%)
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC					1	(2%)
	(50)		(50)		(50)	
*PREPUTIAL GLAND					2	(4%)
*PREPUTIAL GLAND ABSCESS, CHRONIC						
ABSCESS, CHRONIC	(49)		(50)		(49)	
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE	(49)	(2%)	(50) 1	(2%)	(49)	
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL		(2%)	1	(2%) (2%)	(49)	
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE	1		1	(2%)		(8%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC	1 12	(24%)	1 1 7	(2%) (14%)	4	(8%) (6%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	1 12		1 1 7 2	(2%) (14%) (4%)	4	(8%) (6%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1 12	(24%)	1 1 7 2 1	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%)	4 3	(6%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	1 12 2	(24%)	1 1 7 2 1 2	(2%) (14%) (4%)	4 3 1	
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL *SEMINAL VESICLE	1 12	(24%)	1 1 7 2 1 2 (50)	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%) (4%)	4 3	(6%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL *SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE	1 12 2 (50)	(24%) (4%)	1 1 7 2 1 2 (50) 1	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%) (4%) (2%)	4 3 (50)	(6%) (2%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL *SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC	1 12 2 (50) 11	(24%) (4%) (22%)	1 1 7 2 1 2 (50) 1 6	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%) (4%) (2%) (12%)	4 3 (50) 6	(6%) (2%) (12%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL *SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE	1 12 2 (50) 11	(24%) (4%)	1 1 7 2 (50) 1 6 3	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%) (4%) (2%) (12%) (6%)	4 3 (50) 6	(6%) (2%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL *SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC	1 12 2 (50) 11	(24%) (4%) (22%)	1 1 7 2 (50) 1 6 3	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%) (4%) (2%) (12%)	4 3 (50) 6	(6%) (2%) (12%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL *SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	1 12 2 (50) 11	(24%) (4%) (22%)	1 1 7 2 (50) 1 6 3 1	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%) (4%) (2%) (12%) (6%)	4 3 (50) 6 4	(6%) (2%) (12%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL *SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL ATROPHY, DIFFUSE	1 12 2 (50) 11	(24%) (4%) (22%)	1 1 7 2 (50) 1 6 3 1	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%) (4%) (2%) (12%) (6%) (2%)	4 3 (50) 6 4 3	(6%) (2%) (12%) (8%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL *SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL ATROPHY, DIFFUSE HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL METAPLASIA, NOS	1 12 2 (50) 11	(24%) (4%) (22%)	1 1 7 2 (50) 1 6 3 1 2	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%) (4%) (2%) (12%) (6%) (2%)	4 3 (50) 6 4 3	(6%) (2%) (12%) (8%) (6%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL *SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL ATROPHY, DIFFUSE HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL METAPLASIA, NOS #TESTIS	1 12 2 (50) 11 3	(24%) (4%) (22%)	1 1 7 2 (50) 1 6 3 1	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%) (4%) (2%) (12%) (6%) (2%)	4 3 (50) 6 4 3 1 (50)	<ul> <li>(6%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(12%)</li> <li>(8%)</li> <li>(6%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> </ul>
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL *SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL ATROPHY, DIFFUSE HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL METAPLASIA, NOS #TESTIS DEGENERATION, NOS	1 12 2 (50) 11 3 (48)	(24%) (4%) (22%) (6%)	1 1 7 2 (50) 1 6 3 1 2	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%) (4%) (2%) (12%) (6%) (2%)	4 3 (50) 6 4 3 1 (50)	(6%) (2%) (12%) (8%) (6%)
ABSCESS, CHRONIC #PROSTATE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL *SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL ATROPHY, DIFFUSE HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL METAPLASIA, NOS #TESTIS	1 12 2 (50) 11 3 (48)	(24%) (4%) (22%)	1 1 7 2 (50) 1 6 3 1 2 (50)	(2%) (14%) (4%) (2%) (4%) (2%) (12%) (6%) (2%)	4 3 (50) 6 4 3 1 (50) 1	<ul> <li>(6%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(12%)</li> <li>(8%)</li> <li>(6%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> </ul>

### TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	CONTRO	L(VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSI
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>	
#TESTIS/TUBULE	(48)		(50)		(50)	
MINERALIZATION	6	(13%)	8	(16%)	1	(2%)
DEGENERATION, NOS	35	(73%)	35	(70%)	30	(60%
ATROPHY, DIFFUSE	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
*SCROTUM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
STEATITIS			2	(4%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#CEREBRAL VENTRICLE	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE	(00)			(2%)	(30)	
#BRAIN	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS	(00)			(2%)		(4%)
HEMORRHAGE	9	(4%)		(4%)		(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(2%)	2	(=170)	1	(2 10)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL INFARCT, FOCAL	1	(270)			1	(2%)
INFARCT, ACUTE	9	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(270)
ATROPHY, PRESSURE		(4%)		(2%)		
*SPINAL CORD	(50)		(50)	(470)	(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS		(68%)		(60%)	,	(54%
HEMORRHAGE	04	(00%)	30			(4%)
INFARCT, ACUTE			1	(2%)	2	( = 10 )
		<u></u>		(470)		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS NONE						
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM NONE				<u>.</u> ,		
BODY CAVITIES				<u> </u>		
*MEDIASTINUM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE	(00)		( = - /	(4%)		(2%)
HEMATOMA, ORGANIZED			2			(2%)
STEATITIS	1	(2%)			•	( 20 / 00 )
*PERICARDIUM	(50)	(2/0)	(50)		(50)	
	(00)			(90%)	(00)	
STEATITIS			1	(2%)	1	(00)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	/FA\		180			(2%)
*EPICARDIUM	(50)		(50)		(50)	(00)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL			(20)			(2%)
*MESENTERY	(50)	(00)	(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE		(2%)			1	(90)
STEATITIS	4	(8%)			1	(2%)

# TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

# TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	CONTROL (VEH)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(50)	(50)	(50)
CONGESTION, NOS			4 (8%)
HEMORRHAGE		1 (2%)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	4 (8%)	5 (10%)	4 (8%)
PIGMENTATION, NOS		1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
ADIPOSE TISSUE			
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL			1

SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY NONE

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

CC	)NTRO	L (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50	<u>.</u>	50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*SKIN	(50)		(50)		(50)	
EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST			1	(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL					1	(2%)
HYPERKERATOSIS			2	(4%)		
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC					1	(2%)
GRANULOMA, FOREIGN BODY					1	(2%)
GRANULOMA, PYOGENIC	1	(2%)				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				<u> </u>		
*LARYNX	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
#TRACHEA	(50)		(50)	,	(50)	
HEMORRHAGE	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
<b>#PERITRACHEAL TISSUE</b>	(50)		(50)	(= ///	(50)	
HEMORRHAGE				(2%)		
#LUNG/BRONCHIOLE	(50)		(50)	(2)())	(50)	
HEMORRHAGE		(2%)		(2%)		(2%)
FOREIGN MATERIAL, NOS	-	(2%)	-		-	,
#LUNG	(50)	(2,0)	(50)		(50)	
EMPHYSEMA, ALVEOLAR		(4%)		(8%)		(24%)
CONGESTION, NOS		(20%)		(24%)		(20%)
EDEMA, NOS	10	(20,0)		(6%)		(4%)
HEMORRHAGE	10	(20%)	-	(34%)		(20%)
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, ACUTE		(4%)	÷ •	(04/0)	10	(20,0)
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC		(2%)				
PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC		(20%)	7	(14%)	6	(12%)
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, CHRONIC		(4%)		(2%)		(4%)
FOREIGN MATERIAL, NOS	4	(4/0)		(4%)	-	(4,0)
HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM	9	(4%)	4		1	(2%)
HISTIOCYTOSIS	_	(26%)	5	(10%)		(10%)
#LUNG/ALVEOLI	(50)	(20,0)	(50)		(50)	(
SCLEROSIS	(00)			(2%)		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
#SPLEEN	(50)		(50)		(50)	
FIBROSIS, FOCAL		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
NECROSIS, FOCAL		(2%)				
INFARCT, NOS		(2%)				
PIGMENTATION, NOS		(78%)	45	(90%)	42	(84%)
METAPLASIA, OSSEOUS		(2%)	-0			,
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL	•		1	(2%)		
				(4%)	1	(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID						
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID HEMATOPOIESIS	38	(76%)				
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID HEMATOPOIESIS #SPLENIC FOLLICLES	38 (50)	(76%)		(68%)		(72%)

### TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

(a) The NTP has reexamined these tissues and determined that emphysematus changes were related to hyperinflation of the lungs during fixation and did not result from isophorone exposure.
	CONTRO	DL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
#MESENTERIC LYMPH NODE	(50)		(49)		(48)	
CONGESTION, NOS		(2%)	()			(4%)
EDEMA, NOS		(2%)	1	(2%)		(2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL		(2%)				(=,
PIGMENTATION, NOS		(2%)				
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL		(4%)			1	(2%)
#MESENTERIC LYMPH NODE	(50)		(49)		(48)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
MASTOCYTOSIS			1	(2%)		(2%)
#LIVER	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMATOPOIESIS		(2%)				
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(50)		(50)		(50)	
LYMPHOCYTOSIS		(2%)	(			
#THYMUS	(2)		(6)		(16)	
CONGESTION, NOS	(-)			(17%)	(1-2)	
ZIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#HEART	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(76%)	41	(82%)	29	(58%)
*MESENTERIC ARTERY	(50)	(70%)	(50)	(0270)	(50)	(00%)
PERIARTERITIS	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
*PULMONARY VEIN	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE NECROTIZIN		(2%)	(30)		(30)	
*PORTAL VEIN	(50)	(270)	(50)		(50)	
DILATATION, NOS	(50)			(2%)	(50)	
DILATATION, NOS				(270)		
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#SALIVARY GLAND	(49)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL			1	(2%)		
ATROPHY, FOCAL					1	(2%)
#LIVER	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CONGENITAL MALFORMATION, NOS	3	(6%)	1	(2%)		
		(0~~)				
CYST, NOS	1	(2%)	_			
CYST, NOS CONGESTION, NOS		(2%) (2%)		(6%)	2	(4%)
				(6%)		(4%) (2%)
CONGESTION, NOS	1			(6%)		
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	1	(2%)		(6%)		
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS	1	(2%) (2%)	3	(6%) ( <b>2%</b> )		
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS	1 1 5	(2%) (2%)	3		1	
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE	1 1 5 1	(2%) (2%) (10%) (2%)	3 1 3 1	(2%) (6%) (2%)	1	(2%) (2%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE	1 1 5 1	(2%) (2%) (10%) (2%)	3 1 3 1	(2%) (6%)	1	(2%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE	1 1 5 1 6	(2%) (2%) (10%)	3 1 3 1	(2%) (6%) (2%)	1	(2%) (2%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	1 1 5 1 6 1	(2%) (2%) (10%) (2%) (12%)	3 1 3 1 1	(2%) (6%) (2%)	1	(2%) (2%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION	1 1 5 1 6 1 8	(2%) (2%) (10%) (2%) (12%) (2%)	3 1 3 1 1 5	(2%) (6%) (2%) (2%)	1 1 1	(2%) (2%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE	1 1 5 1 6 1 8 42	(2%) (2%) (10%) (2%) (12%) (2%) (16%)	3 1 3 1 1 5	(2%) (6%) (2%) (2%) (10%)	1 1 1 22	(2%) (2%) (2%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE	1 5 1 6 1 8 42 2	(2%) (2%) (10%) (2%) (12%) (2%) (16%) (84%)	3 1 3 1 1 5 35	(2%) (6%) (2%) (2%) (10%)	1 1 1 22 1	(2%) (2%) (2%) (44%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE CLEAR CELL CHANGE HEPATOCYTOMEGALY	1 5 1 6 1 8 42 2 1	(2%) (10%) (2%) (12%) (2%) (16%) (84%) (4%) (2%)	3 1 3 1 1 5 35	(2%) (6%) (2%) (2%) (10%) (70%)	1 1 1 22 1	(2%) (2%) (2%) (44%) (2%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE CLEAR CELL CHANGE HEPATOCYTOMEGALY HYPERTROPHY, NOS	1 1 5 1 6 1 8 8 42 2 2 1 2	(2%) (2%) (10%) (2%) (12%) (2%) (16%) (84%) (4%) (2%) (4%)	3 1 3 1 1 5 35	(2%) (6%) (2%) (2%) (10%) (70%)	1 1 1 22 1	(2%) (2%) (2%) (44%) (2%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE CLEAR CELL CHANGE HEPATOCYTOMEGALY HYPERTROPHY, NOS HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL	1 1 5 1 6 1 8 42 2 2 1 2 1 2	(2%) (2%) (10%) (2%) (12%) (2%) (16%) (84%) (4%) (2%) (4%) (2%)	3 1 3 1 1 5 35 2	(2%) (6%) (2%) (2%) (10%) (70%) (4%)	1 1 1 22 1	(2%) (2%) (2%) (44%) (2%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE CLEAR CELL CHANGE HEPATOCYTOMEGALY HYPERTROPHY, NOS HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL ANGIECTASIS	1 1 5 1 6 1 8 42 2 2 1 2 1 2	(2%) (2%) (10%) (2%) (12%) (2%) (16%) (84%) (4%) (2%) (4%)	3 1 3 1 1 5 35 2	(2%) (6%) (2%) (2%) (10%) (70%)	1 1 1 22 1 5	(2%) (2%) (2%) (44%) (2%) (10%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE CLEAR CELL CHANGE HEPATOCYTOMEGALY HYPERTROPHY, NOS HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL ANGIECTASIS REGENERATION, NOS	1 1 5 1 6 1 8 42 2 1 2 1 1 1	<ul> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(10%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(12%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(16%)</li> <li>(84%)</li> <li>(4%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(4%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> </ul>	3 1 3 1 1 5 35 2 1	(2%) (6%) (2%) (2%) (10%) (70%) (4%)	1 1 1 22 1 5	(2%) (2%) (2%) (44%) (2%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE CLEAR CELL CHANGE HEPATOCYTOMEGALY HYPERTROPHY, NOS HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL ANGIECTASIS REGENERATION, NOS #LIVER/PERIPORTAL	1 1 5 1 6 1 8 42 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 5 0)	(2%) (10%) (2%) (12%) (2%) (16%) (84%) (4%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%)	3 1 3 1 1 5 35 2	(2%) (6%) (2%) (2%) (10%) (70%) (4%)	1 1 1 22 1 5	(2%) (2%) (2%) (44%) (2%) (10%)
CONGESTION, NOS ABSCESS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULOMA, NOS PELIOSIS HEPATIS NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE INFARCT, ACUTE METAMORPHOSIS FATTY CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE CLEAR CELL CHANGE HEPATOCYTOMEGALY HYPERTROPHY, NOS HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL ANGIECTASIS REGENERATION, NOS	1 1 5 1 6 1 8 42 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 (50) 1	<ul> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(10%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(12%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(16%)</li> <li>(84%)</li> <li>(4%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(4%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> </ul>	3 1 3 1 1 5 35 2 1 (50)	(2%) (6%) (2%) (2%) (10%) (70%) (4%)	1 1 22 1 5 (50)	(2%) (2%) (2%) (44%) (2%) (10%)

	CONTROL (VEH)		LOW DOSE		HIGH DOSE	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)		<u> </u>	······			
#BILE DUCT	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(80%)		(74%)		(68%)
#PANCREAS	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CYSTIC DUCTS	(00)		(30)			(2%)
HEMORRHAGE			1	(2%)	1	(2,0)
	99	(4404)			0	(1000)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	22	(44%)		(40%)	9	(18%)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL	(20)			(2%)	(50)	
<b>#PANCREATIC ACINUS</b>	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ATROPHY, NOS	3	(6%)		(10%)	3	(6%)
ATROPHY, FOCAL				(2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(8%)		(8%)		(6%)
#ESOPHAGUS	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(8%)
<b>#GLANDULAR STOMACH</b>	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)				
EROSION			2	(4%)		
DEGENERATION, NOS			1	(2%)		
DEGENERATION, CYSTIC	27	(54%)	19	(38%)	23	(46%)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL		,		(2%)	-	
#FORESTOMACH	(50)		(50)	(= /• /	(50)	
ULCER, ACUTE	(00)			(2%)	(,	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL			-	(2,0)	1	(2%)
ULCER, CHRONIC	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	-	(2,0)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(2%)		(2%)		
HYPERKERATOSIS	1	(270)	-	(10%)		
#COLON	(50)		(50)		(40)	
	(50)				(48)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE PARASITISM	E	(100)		(2%)	c	(1900)
		(10%)		(4%)		(13%)
JRINARY SYSTEM						
#KIDNEY	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HYDRONEPHROSIS	1	(2%)				
CYST, NOS					1	(2%)
CONGESTION, NOS	1	(2%)	4	(8%)		(8%)
HEMORRHAGE	-	(2.07)		(2%)		(2%)
NEPHROPATHY	21	(42%)		(78%)		(64%)
INFARCT, NOS	21	(44 /0)		(2%)		(04.20)
PIGMENTATION, NOS				(2%)		
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION			1	(270)	1	(2%)
						(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, TUBULAR CELL	(50)		(50)			(270)
#PERIRENAL TISSUE	(50)	(90)	(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE		(2%)			(EA)	
#KIDNEY/TUBULE	(50)	(000)	(50)	(00)	(50)	(40)
MINERALIZATION	10	(20%)		(8%)	2	(4%)
CYST, NOS			1	(2%)		
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY		(2%)				
PIGMENTATION, NOS	39	(78%)		(74%)		(56%)
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#KIDNEY/PELVIS	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CALCULUS, MICROSCOPIC EXAMINATIO	N 1	(2%)				
HEMORRHAGE		(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	-		_			(2%)
#URINARY BLADDER	(46)		(47)		(47)	
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL		(2%)				
#URINARY BLADDER/SEROSA	(46)	. =	(47)		(47)	
		(2%)	(		(	
#URINARY BLADDENSEROSA INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(2%)	(47)		(+±/)	

	<u> </u>		<u>_</u>		<u></u>		
	CONTRO	DL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE	
NDOCRINE SYSTEM							
#ANTERIOR PITUITARY	(49)		(48)		(47)		
EMBRYONAL DUCT CYST		(2%)	,		(,		
CYST, NOS		(4%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	
MULTIPLE CYSTS		(47%)		(21%)		(17%)	
CONGESTION, NOS	20	(11,0)		(2%)	0	(11/0)	
HEMORRHAGE				(2%)	1	(2%)	
PIGMENTATION, NOS			-	(270)		(2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	•	(69)	<i>c</i>	(1901)			
		(6%)	0	(13%)		(28%)	
ANGIECTASIS		(2%)				(2%)	
#ADRENAL	(50)		(50)		(50)		
MINERALIZATION				(2%)			
ANGIECTASIS	32	(64%)	41	(82%)	32	(64%)	
#ADRENAL/CAPSULE	(50)		(50)		(50)		
FIBROSIS, MULTIFOCAL	1	(2%)					
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(50)		(50)		(50)		
CONGESTION, NOS	1	(2%)	. ,				
NECROSIS, FOCAL		(4%)					
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY		(26%)	8	(16%)	5	(10%)	
PIGMENTATION, NOS		(2%)	•			(2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(30%)	8	(16%)		(12%)	
#ADRENAL MEDULLA	(50)		(50)	(10,2)	(50)	(12,0)	
MINERALIZATION		(2%)	(00)		(50)		
				(00)	0	(100)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(12%)		(8%)		(12%)	
#THYROID	(50)		(50)		(48)		
EMBRYONAL DUCT CYST	2	(4%)		(2%)			
FOLLICULAR CYST, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)			
HEMORRHAGE			1	(2%)			
PIGMENTATION, NOS			1	(2%)			
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL	11	(22%)	16	(32%)	11	(23%)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR CELL					1	(2%)	
#THYROID FOLLICLE	(50)		(50)		(48)		
MULTIPLE CYSTS		(2%)		(2%)		(2%)	
#PARATHYROID	(44)	(2 /0/	(40)	(2,0)	(38)		
	(44)		(40)			(50)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	(50)		(50)			(5%)	
<b>#PANCREATIC ISLETS</b>	(50)		(50)		(50)	( <b>a a</b> )	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL					1	(2%)	
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM							
*MAMMARY GLAND	(50)		(50)		(50)		
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC	12	(24%)	16	(32%)	10	(20%)	
*CLITORAL GLAND	(50)		(50)		(50)		
ABSCESS, CHRONIC				(2%)			
#UTERUS	(49)		(50)		(49)		
HYDROMETRA		(16%)		(10%)		(6%)	
CONGESTION, NOS	-		-			(2%)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL	3	(6%)	2	(4%)		(10%)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	0		2	/ . /		(2%)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE			1	(2%)	1		
	•	(90)	1	(470)			
FIBROSIS, FOCAL		(2%)				(90)	
METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS		(2%)				(2%)	
#CERVIX UTERI	(49)		(50)		(49)		
POLYP		(2%)					
	(49)		(50)		(49)		
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM	(/						
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM CYST, NOS		(2%)					
	1	(2%) (2%)					

	CONTRO	L (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#OVARY/PAROVARIAN	(49)		(50)		(49)	
HEMORRHAGE			(,			(2%)
STEATITIS	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	_	(=,
#OVARY	(49)	(2.0)	(50)	(,	(49)	
PAROVARIAN CYST		(4%)				(4%)
CONGESTION, NOS			2	(4%)		<b>,</b> ,
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#BRAIN/MENINGES	(50)		(49)		(49)	
CONGESTION, NOS			(10)			(2%)
#CEREBRAL VENTRICLE	(50)		(49)		(49)	(_ /• /
HEMORRHAGE	(00)		(43)		, . ,	(2%)
#BRAIN	(50)		(49)		(49)	(2,0)
#DRAIN HYDROCEPHALUS, INTERNAL	(00)			(2%)	(43)	
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)		(2%)		
INFARCT, ACUTE	1	(270)		(0%)		
ATROPHY, PRESSURE	•	(60)		(2%) (4%)	1	(2%(
NERVOUS SYSTEM (Continued)	3	(6%)	Z	(++70)	1	(270)
	(20)		(20)		(20)	
*SPINAL CORD	(50)	(090)	(50)	(CAR)	(50)	(0 4 / /
CONGESTION, NOS	31	(62%)		(64%)	17	(34%)
HEMORRHAGE			2	(4%)		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
<b>*EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND</b>	(50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL					1	(2%)
ATROPHY, FOCAL					1	(2%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM NONE						
BODY CAVITIES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · ·		
<b>*THORACIC CAVITY</b>	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE			1	(2%)		
*MEDIASTINUM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE					6	(12%)
STEATITIS			1	(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE			-		1	(2%)
*PLEURA	(50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL		(2%)				
*PERICARDIUM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
STEATITIS	(20)		(			(4%)
*EPICARDIUM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
*MESENTERY	(50)	(	(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE	(	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
STEATITIS	1	(2/0)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
SIEAIIIS			1	(470)	1	(470)

	CONTROL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS		<del></del>			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(50)	(50)		(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS	1 (2%)	3	(6%)	13	(26%)
HEMORRHAGE				2	(4%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	1 (2%)	1	(2%)	9	(18%)
ADIPOSE TISSUE	- (-///	-		-	
HEMORRHAGE				1	

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

112

#### APPENDIX D

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

C	CONTRO	DL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50	1001101 - 110000 - 1001 - 11 - 11 - 170	50	
ANIMALS MISSING	1					
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	48		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	48		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					<u> </u>	
*SKIN	(48)		(50)		(50)	
EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST				(2%)		
ULCER, NOS			1	(2%)		(2%)
ULCER, ACUTE					1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC	•	(07)		(2%)		(4.97)
ULCER, CHRONIC		(2%) (2%)		(8%)	2	(4%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL GRANULATION, TISSUE		(2%)		(4%) (4%)		
PARASITISM		(2%)		(4%) (14%)	7	(14%)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL		(2%)	,	(1470)	'	(14%)
HYPERKERATOSIS		(2%) (27%)	19	(26%)	15	(30%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(48)		(50)		(50)	(00%)
HEMORRHAGE		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC		(2%)				
GRANULATION, TISSUE	•	(2,0)	2	(4%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
*TRACHEAL LUMEN	(48)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE		(2%)		(2%)		
#TRACHEA	(43)		(48)	-	(47)	
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)				(4%)
#LUNG	(47)		(50)		(50)	
EMPHYSEMA, ALVEOLAR	1	(2%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)
CONGESTION, NOS	20	(43%)	21	(42%)	22	(44%)
EDEMA, NOS	4	(9%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
HEMORRHAGE	13	(28%)	16	(32%)	10	(20%)
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL			2	(4%)		
ABSCESS, NOS	1	(2%)				
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC		(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC		(2%)				
PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC		(9%)	-	(18%)		(4%)
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, CHRONIC	2	(4%)	2	(4%)		(6%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL				(07)		(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM HISTIOCYTOSIS	5	(11%)	1	(2%)		(2%) (6%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				········	£	
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(48)		(50)		(50)	
LEUKEMOID REACTION	(40)		(00)			(2%)
#BONE MARROW	(47)		(50)		(50)	
NECROSIS, FOCAL	(41)			(4%)	(00)	
HYPERPLASIA, GRANULOCYTIC	15	(32%)		(30%)	18	(36%)
#SPLEEN	(44)		(50)		(47)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE				(2%)		
PIGMENTATION, NOS	12	(27%)	27	(54%)	24	(51%)
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL				(2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	4	(9%)		(8%)	3	(6%)
HEMATOPOIESIS	32	(73%)		(76%)		(81%)
					(47)	
#SPLENIC CAPSULE FIBROSIS, FOCAL	(44)		(50)		(47)	

#### TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

	CONTRO	DL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)	<u></u>	<u></u>		<u> </u>		
#SPLENIC FOLLICLES	(44)		(50)		(47)	
NECROSIS. DIFFUSE	·/			(2%)		(2%)
#MANDIBULAR LYMPH NODE	(41)		(50)	(=)	(48)	(=)
PIGMENTATION, NOS	(			(2%)	(	
ERYTHROPHAGOCYTOSIS			-	(=,,,,	1	(2%)
#MESENTERIC LYMPH NODE	(41)		(50)		(48)	(2/0)
CYST, NOS	(41)		(00)		· · · · ·	(2%)
CONGESTION, NOS	19	(29%)	19	(26%)		(31%)
EDEMA, NOS	12	(29%)		(20%)	15	(01/0)
HEMORRHAGE				(6%)		
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL				(2%)	-	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE	_	(0.27)		(6%)		(10%)
PIGMENTATION, NOS	1	(2%)		(4%)	1	(2%)
CYTOMEGALY			1	(2%)		
HISTIOCYTOSIS						(2%)
PLASMACYTOSIS						(2%)
ERYTHROPHAGOCYTOSIS		(10%)		(14%)	3	(6%)
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL	3	(7%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	6	(15%)	6	(12%)	4	(8%)
HEMATOPOIESIS	1	(2%)				
#LIVER	(48)		(50)		(50)	
HEMATOPOIESIS		(4%)		(2%)		(4%)
#KIDNEY	(48)	(-1,0)	(50)	(2 /0)	(50)	(1,0)
LYMPHOCYTOSIS		(2%)		(2%)		(2%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(46)	(2%)	(49)	(270)	(47)	(410)
	(40)			(90)	(47)	
LYMPHOCYTOSIS	( <b>F</b> )			(2%)	(6)	
#THYMUS	(5)		(12)		(6)	
EMBRYONAL DUCT CYST		(20%)			0	(000)
CONGESTION, NOS	1	(20%)				(33%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE					1	(17%)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#HEART	(47)		(50)		(50)	
THROMBUS, ORGANIZED				(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC				(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	13	(28%)		(26%)	14	(28%)
	10	(2070)	15	(20 %)		
ENDOCARDIOSIS		(90)			1	(2%)
CYTOMEGALY TDUL MONADY ADDEDN		(2%)			150	
*PULMONARY ARTERY	(48)		(50)		(50)	(00)
THROMBUS, ORGANIZED						(2%)
*PULMONARY VEIN	(48)		(50)	(00)	(50)	
THROMBOSIS, NOS				(2%)		
*MESENTERY	(48)		(50)		(50)	
PERIARTERITIS	1	(2%)				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#SALIVARY GLAND	(42)		(49)		(48)	
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL				(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, MULTIFOCAL				(2%)	1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	17	(40%)		(24%)	-	(27%)
#LIVER	(48)	( •• /• /	(50)	<u></u>	(50)	,
CYST, NOS	(40)			(2%)	(00)	
CONGESTION, NOS	A	(8%)		(12%)	6	(12%)
	4	(070)		·		(12%) (8%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL			1	(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC	-	(00)	•	(40)		(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(2%)		(4%)		(8%)
NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE	3	(6%)	10	(20%)		(20%)
NECROSIS, CASEOUS					1	(2%)

## TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

C	ONTRO	OL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#LIVER (Continued)	(48)		(50)		(50)	
INFARCT, NOS					1	(2%)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
PIGMENTATION, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE		(4%)		(8%)		(12%)
HEPATOCYTOMEGALY		(48%)		(78%)		(74%)
REGENERATION, NOS	20			(2%)	0.	(1 - 70)
#LIVER/CENTRILOBULAR	(48)		(50)	(2,2)	(50)	
NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE	(40)		(00)			(2%)
HYPERTROPHY, NOS	(40)		(50)			(2%)
#LIVER/PERIPORTAL	(48)		(50)	(00)	(50)	(401)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL				(2%)		(4%)
#PANCREAS	(46)		(50)		(49)	
CYSTIC DUCTS	1	(2%)				
CONGESTION, NOS						(2%)
HEMORRHAGE					2	(4%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL				(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	7	(15%)	5	(10%)	4	(8%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
ATROPHY, FOCAL			1	(2%)		
ATROPHY, DIFFUSE					1	(2%)
<b>#PANCREATIC DUCT</b>	(46)		(50)		(49)	(+ / • /
MULTIPLE CYSTS	(40)		(00)			(2%)
#PANCREATIC ACINUS	(46)		(50)		(49)	(4,0)
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION		(11%)	• •	(2%)		(8%)
					*	(070)
ATROPHY, FOCAL		(2%)		(2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(2%)		(2%)	(50)	
*ESOPHAGEAL LUMEN	(48)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE				(2%)		(2%)
#ESOPHAGUS	(44)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE		(2%)				
<b>#GLANDULAR STOMACH</b>	(47)		(49)		(49)	
MINERALIZATION			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
CYST, NOS	2	(4%)			2	(4%)
ULCER, ACUTE	1	(2%)				
ULCER, CHRONIC	1	(2%)				
EROSION		(,	1	(2%)		
#FORESTOMACH	(47)		(49)	( ·- /	(49)	
CYST, NOS	(=)			(2%)	(10)	
INFLAMMATION, NOS				(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, FOCAL				(2%)		
ULCER, ACUTE			1		1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL						(2%)
			1	(2%)		(8%)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL				(10%)		(8%)
HYPERKERATOSIS	(42)			(10%)	(44)	(070)
#DUODENUM	(45)		(48)	$(9\alpha)$	(44)	
HEMORRHAGE			1	(2%)		
RINARY SYSTEM						
#KIDNEY	(48)		(50)		(50)	
HYDRONEPHROSIS		(2%)		(2%)	(	
CYST, NOS	•		-		1	(2%)
CONGESTION, NOS	9	(4%)	9	(4%)		(4%)
HEMORRHAGE		(2%)		(2%)	2	
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTRA		~ /0 /		(2%)		
		(2%)	1	(2/0)		
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	1	4701	1	(2%)		
PYELONEPHRITIS, ACUTE/CHRONIC	0	(10)	1	(470)		
PYELONEPHRITIS, CHRONIC	2	(4%)				

## TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

(	CONTRO	OL (VEH)	LOW DOSE		HIGH DOS		
JRINARY SYSTEM (Continued)	*******			<u></u>			
#KIDNEY							
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	7	(15%)	19	(36%)	91	(42%	
NEPHROPATHY		(33%)		(30%)		(18%	
INFARCT, FOCAL			10	(30%)		(2%)	
	1	(2%)					
INFARCT, HEALED				(07)	1	(2%)	
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY				(2%)			
METAPLASIA, OSSEOUS				(2%)		(2%)	
#KIDNEY/CORTEX	(48)		(50)		(50)		
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL		(2%)					
NECROSIS, FOCAL		(2%)					
NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE		(2%)					
#KIDNEY/TUBULE	(48)		(50)		(50)		
MINERALIZATION	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	19	(40%)	25	(50%)	21	(42%	
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	3	(6%)	
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	1	(2%)					
<b>#URINARY BLADDER</b>	(45)		(48)		(49)		
CALCULUS, MICROSCOPIC EXAMINATION		(2%)					
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL		(2%)					
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE		(4%)	U	$(\mathbf{O}, \mathbf{V})$	-	(2,0)	
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL	2	(470)	9	(4%)	1	(2%)	
*URETHRA	(48)		(50)	(470)	(50)	(270)	
		(100)		(100)		1400.	
CALCULUS, MICROSCOPIC EXAMINATION		(10%)		(10%)		(4%)	
NDOCRINE SYSTEM							
<b>#PITUITARY</b>	(38)		(43)		(45)		
EMBRYONAL DUCT CYST		(5%)		(2%)	1	(2%)	
CYST, NOS	_	(0.07)		<b>、</b> = · · · ·		(4%)	
CONGESTION, NOS						(2%)	
	1	(3%)			•	(	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE		(370)	(43)		(45)		
#ANTERIOR PITUITARY	(38)	(0.00)	(43)		(40)		
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		(3%)		(F.M.)			
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(5%)		(5%)			
#ADRENAL	(46)		(49)		(47)		
CONGESTION, NOS				(2%)			
ANGIECTASIS	9	(20%)	8	(16%)	13	(28%)	
#ADRENAL/CAPSULE	(46)		(49)		(47)		
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	32	(70%)	40	(82%)	37	(79%)	
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(46)		(49)		(47)		
HYPERTROPHY, FOCAL					1	(2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	8	(17%)	1	(2%)	7	(15%)	
#ADRENAL MEDULLA	(46)	(	(49)		(47)		
NECROSIS, FOCAL	(40)		(/			(2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	6	(13%)	14	(29%)		(15%)	
	0	(1370)	14	(25 N)		(2%)	
ANGIECTASIS	/44>		(47)		(48)	(470)	
#THYROID	(41)	(59)	(47)			(AGL)	
EMBRYONAL DUCT CYST		(5%)	^	(67)		( <b>4%</b> )	
FOLLICULAR CYST, NOS	4	(10%)	3	(6%)		(6%)	
GRANULOMA, NOS	~	(5.00)	~	(107)	1	(2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL		(5%)		(13%)		( <b>n</b> ~ )	
HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR CELL		(2%)		(6%)		(2%)	
#THYROID FOLLICLE	(41)		(47)		(48)		
MULTIPLE CYSTS		(12%)		(11%)		(6%)	
<b>#PANCREATIC ISLETS</b>	(46)		(50)		(49)		
	7	(15%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL							
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			(50)		(50)		
	(48)		(50)	(2%)	(50)		

	CONTRO	OL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)		·····				
#PROSTATE	(47)		(49)		(49)	
HEMORRHAGE	(41)			(2%)		(2%)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	3	(6%)		(2%) (4%)	T	(270)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL	Ū	(070)	4	(4/0)	1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL			1	(2%)		(2%)
*SEMINAL VESICLE	(48)		(50)	(270)		
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE				(90)	(50)	
	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(00)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL						(2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE		(0~)				(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(2%)				(6%)
#TESTIS	(48)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	1	(2%)				
OLIGOSPERMIA	2	(4%)			1	(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, INTERSTITIAL CELL	13	(27%)	12	(24%)	11	(22%)
#TESTIS/TUBULE	(48)		(50)		(50)	
MINERALIZATION		(4%)		(6%)		(2%)
DEGENERATION, NOS		(10%)		(6%)		(4%)
#TESTIS/INTERSTITIAL	(48)		(50)		(50)	· - /• /
MINERALIZATION	(40)			(2%)	(00)	
*EPIDIDYMIS	(48)		(50)	(2,0)	(50)	
GRANULOMA, SPERMATIC	(40)		(00)			(2%)
*VAS DEFERENS	(48)		(50)		(50)	(270)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE	(40)			$(9\alpha)$	(50)	
INF LAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFF USE		······································	1	(2%)		
VERVOUS SYSTEM						
#BRAIN	(46)		(49)		(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS	3	(7%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)
HEMORRHAGE		(7%)		(10%)		(8%)
CORPORA AMYLACEA		(52%)		(57%)		(44%)
*SPINAL CORD	(48)	(02 /0)	(50)	(01,10)	(50)	(4470)
CONGESTION, NOS		(17%)	1	(2%)		(8%)
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*EYE/CORNEA	(40)		(50)		(50)	
	(48)		(50)		(50)	(00)
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC						(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE	(10)		(50)			(2%)
*EYE/LACRIMAL GLAND	(48)		(50)		(50)	
ATROPHY, FOCAL					1	(2%)
IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*VERTEBRA	(48)		(50)		(50)	
HERNIATED NUCLEUS PULPOSUS	(		,	(2%)	,	(2%)
*SKELETAL MUSCLE	(48)		(50)		(50)	• •
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL			(22)			(2%)
*MUSCLE OF NECK	(48)		(50)		(50)	· - · • /
FOREIGN BODY, NOS		(2%)			(00)	
ABSCESS, NOS		(2%)				
ODY CAVITIES				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
					/=A	
*THORACIC CAVITY	(48)	(07)	(50)		(50)	
VEGETABLE FOREIGN BODY		(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE		(2%)				
	4400		(50)		(50)	
*MEDIASTINUM	(48)		(00)			
*MEDIASTINUM HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
*MEDIASTINUM		(2%)	(50)			(2%)

# TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

C	CONTRO	L(VEH)	LOWI	OSE	HIGH	DOSE
BODY CAVITIES (Continued)	·····				<u></u>	
*EPICARDIUM	(48)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL	1	(2%)				
*MESENTERY	(48)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE			1	(2%)		
HEMATOMA, ORGANIZED			-	(2%)		
STEATITIS			1	(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	1	(2%)				
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS *MULTIPLE ORGANS CONGESTION, NOS HEMORRHAGE LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL AMYLOIDOSIS	1 RA 1 2	(6%) (2%) (2%) (4%) (2%)		(8%) (8%)	4	(6%) (6%) (8%) (2%)
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY						
ANIMAL MISSING/NO NECROPSY	1					
AUTO/NECROPSY/HISTO PERF	2					
AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY	1					

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

CO	ONTRO	DL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*SKIN	(50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE					1	(2%)
ULCER, CHRONIC				(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	_	(4%)		(2%)	2	(4%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE		(2%)		(4%)		
PARASITISM		(8%)	4	(8%)	2	(4%)
ALOPECIA		(2%)	00	( 4 4 07 )	10	(0.4.07
HYPERKERATOSIS		(54%)		(44%)		(24%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(50)		(50)		(50)	
EDEMA, NOS	1	(2%)			•	(90)
HEMORRHAGE			•	(90%)	1	(2%)
ABSCESS, NOS	·····		1 	(2%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#TRACHEA	(50)		(48)		(48)	
MULTIPLE CYSTS			1	(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE		(6%)				
#LUNG/BRONCHIOLE	(50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL		(2%)				
#LUNG	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS	- +	(30%)		(14%)		(34%)
EDEMA, NOS		(6%)		(2%)		(4%)
HEMORRHAGE		(30%)	21	(42%)	15	(30%)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTRA	. 1	(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL			1	(2%)		
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, ACUTE		(4%)				
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL	1	(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE	1	(2%)				
PNEUMONIA INTERSTITIAL CHRONIC	7	(14%)	8	(16%)	4	(8%)
BRONCHOPNEUMONIA, CHRONIC			1	(2%)		
INFECTION, PROTOZOAN			1	(2%)		
FOREIGN MATERIAL, NOS					1	(2%)
HEMATOIDIN	1	(2%)				
HYPERPLASIA, ALVEOLAR EPITHELIUM				(2%)		
HISTIOCYTOSIS	3	(6%)	10	(20%)	3	(6%)
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
<b>#BONE MARROW</b>	(50)		(50)		(50)	
PIGMENTATION, NOS						(8%)
MYELOFIBROSIS						(4%)
HYPERPLASIA, GRANULOCYTIC		(44%)		(38%)		(32%)
#SPLEEN	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS			1	(2%)		
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)	-			
HEMATOMA, NOS		(07)	1	(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE		(2%)				
	1	(2%)		(00)		
NECROSIS, DIFFUSE			1	(2%)		(000)
NECROSIS, DIFFÚSE NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE		1600	0.**	(700)		
NECROSIS, DIFFÚSE NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE PIGMENTATION, NOS	30	(60%)	35	(70%)		
NECROSIS, DIFFÚSE NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE PIGMENTATION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL					1	(2%)
NECROSIS, DIFFÚSE NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE PIGMENTATION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	4	(8%)	8	(16%)	1 9	(2%) (18%)
NECROSIS, DIFFÚSE NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE PIGMENTATION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL	4		8		1 9	(68%) (2%) (18%) (76%)

## TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THETWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

	CONTRO	L(VEH)	LOWI	DOSE		HIGH	DOSE
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)				- <u></u>			
#MANDIBULAR LYMPH NODE PIGMENTATION, NOS	(47)			(2%)	and a second of	(43)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	1	(2%)	<b>.</b>	(4,0)			
#MESENTERIC LYMPH NODE	(47)	(2,0)	(49)			(43)	
CONGESTION, NOS		(4%)		(6%)			(2%)
EDEMA, NOS	-			(2%)			(
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	1	(2%)	-				
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE		(4%)					
ANGIECTASIS	-					່ 1	(2%)
HISTIOCYTOSIS						1	(2%)
ERYTHROPHAGOCYTOSIS			1	(2%)			
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL			2	(4%)			
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	2	(4%)	5	(10%)		3	(7%)
#LIVER	(50)		(50)			(50)	
HEMATOPOIESIS		(14%)		(6%)			(28%)
#KIDNEY	(50)		(50)			(50)	
LYMPHOCYTOSIS		(2%)				(0.0	
#THYMUS	(12)		(12)			(20)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE					4	1	(5%)
NECROSIS, DIFFUSE	····		1	(8%)			
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM							
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(50)		(50)			(50)	
PERIARTERITIS		(2%)	2.				
#HEART	(50)		(50)			(50)	
MINERALIZATION			1	(2%)	÷.,		
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	10	(20%)	7	(14%)		10	(20%)
ENDOCARDIOSIS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)			
NECROSIS, FOCAL	1	(2%)					
#HEART/ATRIUM	(50)		(50)			(50)	
THROMBUS, ORGANIZED				(4%)			
#CARDIAC VALVE	(50)		(50)			(50)	
ENDOCARDIOSIS				(2%)			
#ADRENAL	(48)		(50)			(50)	
THROMBOSIS, NOS						1	(2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			· .	- 17 17			
#SALIVARY GLAND	(47)		(48)	;		(45)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(32%)		(25%)			(13%)
#LIVER	(50)		(50)			(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		2	(4%)
INFLAMMATION, MULTIFOCAL				(2%)			
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL	4	(8%)	1	(2%)		1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE	2	(4%)					
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC							(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(8%)	6	(12%)		4	(8%)
NECROSIS, FOCAL		(2%)				•	
NECROSIS, COAGULATIVE		(12%)		(6%)			(4%)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY		(2%)		(6%)			(4%)
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION		(12%)		( <b>8%</b> )			(10%)
FOCAL CELLULAR CHANGE		(7%)		(6%)			(8%) (18%)
HEPATOCYTOMEGALY	32	(64%)		(42%)		э	(10%)
REGENERATION, NOS	150			(2%)		(50)	
#LIVER/PERIPORTAL	(50)		(50)	(2%)		(30)	
ΙΝΕΊ ΔΜΜΔΤΙΩΝΙ ΟΠΡΩΝΙΟ ΕΩΟΛΙ			1	4 10 1			
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	1	(2%)					
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL METAMORPHOSIS FATTY *GALLBLADDER	1 (50)	(2%)	(50)			(50)	

	CONTRO	L (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)	······································		<u></u>	····		
#PANCREAS	(50)		(50)		(49)	
CYSTIC DUCTS		(2%)		(2%)		(4%)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	•	(2.707	-	(2.0)		(2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL	1	(2%)			•	(2,0)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC	-	(4%)				
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(20%)	8	(16%)	12	(24%)
NECROSIS, FOCAL	10	(20,0)	0	(10,0)		(2%)
ATROPHY, FOCAL	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	-	(2,0)
#PANCREATIC ACINUS	(50)	(470)	(50)	(2,0)	(49)	
CYTOPLASMIC CHANGE, NOS		(2%)	(00)			
		(14%)	9	(18%)		
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION	(	(1470)		(10%) (2%)		
ATROPHY, FOCAL		(0.07)	1	(2%)	0	(10)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(2%)	(50)			(4%)
#ESOPHAGUS	(50)	(0.7)	(50)		(48)	
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)			-	100
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL						(2%)
#GLANDULAR STOMACH	(50)		(50)	.0.0	(49)	
CYST, NOS			1	(2%)		(0.01)
ULCER, NOS					1	(2%)
EROSION	1	(2%)				
DEGENERATION, CYSTIC				(2%)		
#FORESTOMACH	(50)		(50)		(49)	
ULCER, ACUTE			1	(2%)		(2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL						(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
HYPERKERATOSIS	1	(2%)			5	(10%)
#DUODENUM	(48)		(48)		(49)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE					1	(2%)
RINARY SYSTEM						
#KIDNEY	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CYST, NOS	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
CONGESTION, NOS				(4%)	4	(8%)
HEMORRHAGE			-	(1/0)		(4%)
PYELONEPHRITIS, ACUTE			1	(2%)	-	(1,0)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL	9	(4%)	1	(2/0)		
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC	2	(470)	1	(2%)		
		(90)	L	(2.70)		
PYELONEPHRITIS, CHRONIC		(2%)		(990)	10	(32%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(34%)		(22%) (16%)		(32%)
NEPHROPATHY	13	(26%)	-	(16%) (2%)	2	(++70)
INFARCT, HEALED	/EO\		(50)	(270)	(50)	
#KIDNEY/CORTEX	(50)	(204)	(50)		(50)	
METAPLASIA, OSSEOUS		(2%)	(50)		(50)	
#KIDNEY/GLOMERULUS	(50)		(00)			(2%)
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION	(20)		(50)		(50)	(270)
#KIDNEY/TUBULE	(50)			(10)		(2%)
CYST, NOS			Z	(4%)		(2%) (6%)
MULTIPLE CYSTS		(90)			3	(070)
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	1	(2%)		(901)		
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION				(2%)	1801	
#KIDNEY/PELVIS	(50)		(50)		(50)	100
HEMORRHAGE						(2%)
<b>#URINARY BLADDER</b>	(48)		(48)		(48)	
INFLAMMATION, MULTIFOCAL			1	(2%)		
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL		(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	6	(13%)	4	(8%)	5	(10%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE	1	(2%)				
HYPERPLASIA, EPITHELIAL						(4%)

	CONTRO	OL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
<b>#ANTERIOR PITUITARY</b>	(47)		(41)		(44)	
CYST, NOS					1	(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS					1	(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	5	(11%)	7	(17%)	12	(27%)
ANGIECTASIS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#ADRENAL	(48)	•	(50)		(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS		(2%)			4	(8%)
INFLAMMATIÓN, CHRONIC FOCAL	1	(2%)				
AMYLOID,		(2%)			1	(2%)
ANGIECTÁSIS		(17%)	13	(26%)		(34%)
#ADRENAL/CAPSULE	(48)		(50)	. ,	(50)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	• •	(96%)	• •	(86%)		(88%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX	(48)	(00,0)	(50)		(50)	(00.0)
CYST, NOS	(40)		• •	(2%)	(00)	
DEGENERATION, NOS	1	(2%)	•	(1,0)		
NECROSIS, FOCAL	1	(2,10)	1	(2%)		
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY				(2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	c	(13%)		(10%)	7	(14%)
		(13%)		(10%)		(1470)
#ADRENAL MEDULLA	(48)		(50)		(50)	(90)
CONGESTION, NOS	4	(00)	0	(60)		(2%)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		(8%)		(6%)		(2%)
#THYROID	(49)	(10)	(49)	(90)	(46)	(2%)
EMBRYONAL DUCT CYST		(4%)		(2%)		
FOLLICULAR CYST, NOS	σ	(10%)	1	(2%)		(15%)
HEMORRHAGE		(0.0)			1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(2%)	0	(100)		
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL		(8%)		(16%)		
HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR CELL		(6%)		(6%)		(4%)
#THYROID FOLLICLE	(49)		(49)		(46)	
MULTIPLE CYSTS		(18%)		(12%)		(20%)
<b>#PANCREATIC ISLETS</b>	(50)		(50)	_	(49)	
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	3	(6%)	1	(2%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*MAMMARY GLAND	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC	4	(8%)		(4%)		(2%)
#UTERUS	(50)		(49)		(50)	
HYDROMETRA	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
CONGESTION, NOS			1	(2%)		
HEMORRHAGE			5	(10%)	2	(4%)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	2	(4%)				
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL	8	(16%)	14	(29%)		(18%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE		(4%)			2	(4%)
INFLAMMATION ACTIVE CHRONIC		(2%)				
METAPLASIA, SQUAMOUS	-		1	(2%)		
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM	(50)		(49)		(50)	
HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC		(84%)		(98%)		(80%)
#FALLOPIAN TUBE	(50)	····	(49)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE		(2%)	(		(00)	
#OVARY/PAROVARIAN	(49)	<u></u>	(45)		(47)	
//	(**)			(2%)		
MULTILOCULAR CYST						
MULTILOCULAR CYST STEATITIS	1	(2%)		(2%)		

	CONTRO	DL (VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#OVARY	(49)		(45)		(47)	
CYST, NOS	(10)			(2%)		(2%)
FOLLICULAR CYST, NOS	7	(14%)		(4%)		(4%)
PAROVARIAN CYST		(14,0)		(4%)		(11%)
HEMORRHAGIC CYST	1	(2%)	4	(4270)	5	(1170)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE		(6%)				
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE		(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL		(2%)				
ABSCESS, CHRONIC		(2%)			(17)	
#MESOVARIUM	(49)		(45)		(47)	(0~)
ABSCESS, NOS						(2%)
#OVARY/FOLLICLE	(49)		(45)		(47)	
MULTIPLE CYSTS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#BRAIN/MENINGES	(50)		(49)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		(2%)	(43)		(00)	
#BRAIN	(50)	(270)	(49)		(50)	
		(100)		(10)	• •	
CONGESTION, NOS	5	(10%)		(4%)	2	(4%)
HEMORRHAGE				(2%)		
STATUS SPONGIOSUS				(2%)		
CORPORA AMYLACEA	17	(34%)	21	(43%)	12	(24%)
ATROPHY, PRESSURE	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
*SPINAL CORD	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS	8	(16%)			2	(4%)
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	1	(2%)				
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			(20)			
*EYE	(50)		(50)		(50)	
RETINOPATHY				(2%)		
CATARACT				(2%)		
*EYE/CORNEA	(50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE			2	(4%)		
*EYE/CRYSTALLINE LENS	(50)		(50)		(50)	
CATARACT			1	(2%)		
*HARDERIAN GLAND	(50)		(50)		(50)	
ATROPHY, FOCAL		(2%)			()	
IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*VERTEBRA	(50)		(50)		(50)	
	(50)		(00)			(90)
HERNIATED NUCLEUS PULPOSUS					1	(2%)
ODY CAVITIES						
<b>*THORACIC CAVITY</b>	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE				(2%)	. ,	
*MEDIASTINUM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE		(2%)			,	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE DIFFUSE	-				1	(2%)
*PERITONEUM	(50)		(50)		(50)	,
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
	(50)		(50)		(50)	(4 /0)
*PERICARDIUM		( 407 )	(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE		(4%)				
*EPICARDIUM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL						(2%) (4%)

	CONTRO	L(VEH)	LOWI	DOSE	HIGH	DOSE
BODY CAVITIES (Continued)			. <u></u>			
*MESENTERY	(50)		(50)		(50)	
HEMORRHAGE	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
STEATITIS			4	(8%)	1	(2%)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	1	(2%)				
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC DIFFUSE	1	(2%)				
ABSCESS, CHRONIC	1	(2%)				
NECROSIS, FAT	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
*MULTIPLE ORGANS CONGESTION, NOS LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILT INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, ACUTE FOCAL INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL AMYLOIDOSIS ADIPOSE TISSUE NECROSIS, EAT	1 1 2 9	(6%) (2%) (2%) (4%) (18%) (2%)		(6%) (26%)	(50) 1 5 3	(2%) (10%) (6%)
NECROSIS, FAT BROAD LIGAMENT					1	
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE/CHRONIC	1					

SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY NONE

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

#### APPENDIX E

# ANALYSES OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

#### TABLE E1. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

Adjusted Rates (b)       10.4%       18.2%       7.         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33.(6%)       6/33.(18%)       11.         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.468N       P=0.365       P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.376N       P=0.320       P         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.169N       P=0.370       P         Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma       Overall Rates (a)       4/50.(8%)       7/50.(14%)       2/2         Adjusted Rates (b)       10.4%       20.2%       9.       11.       Terminal Rates (c)       2/33.(6%)       11/1         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.506       P=0.260       P       0.468N       P=0.262       P         Integumentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma       Overall Rates (a)       4/50.(8%)       7/50.(14%)       1/1         Adjusted Rates (a)       4/50.(8%)       7/30.(14%)       1/1       Adjusted Rates (a)       4/50.(8%)       7/30.(14%)       1/1         Adjusted Rates (a)       4/50.(8%)       7/30.(14%)       1/1       Adjusted Rates (a)       4/50.(8%)       7/30.(14%)       1/1         Adjusted Rates (a)       4/50.(8%)       7/30.(14%)       1/1       Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.262       P	600 mg/kg
Overall Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         6/50 (12%)         L           Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         18.2%         7           Terminal Rates (c)         2/33 (6%)         6/33 (18%)         JJ           Life Table Tests (d)         P = 0.468 N         P = 0.365         P           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P = 0.376 N         P = 0.370         P           Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma         P = 0.376 N         P = 0.370         P           Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma         0verail Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         7/50 (14%)         2/           Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         20.3%         9,         P           Tiff Table Tests (d)         P = 0.566         P = 0.260         P           Itife Table Tests (d)         P = 0.566         P = 0.262         P           Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d)         P = 0.458 N         P = 0.262         P           Infegumentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma         0verail Rates (c)         2/33 (6%)         7/33 (21%)         1/           Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         21.2%         7.         Terminal Rates (c)         2/33 (6%)         7/33 (21%)         1/           Itife Table Tests (d)         P = 0.416 N	
Adjusted Rates (b)10.4 %18.2 %7Terminal Rates (c)2/33 (6%)6/33 (18%)10Life Table Tests (d)P = 0.468NP = 0.3665PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P = 0.376NP = 0.320PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P = 0.169NP = 0.370PSubcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a)4/50 (8%)7/50 (14%)2/2Adjusted Rates (b)10.4%20.2%9Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P = 0.366P = 0.260PIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P = 0.458NP = 0.260PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P = 0.237NFisher Exact TestP = 0.262Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P = 0.2383 (6%)7/50 (14%)1//Adjusted Rates (a)10.4%21.3%7/Terminal Rates (a)4/50 (8%)7/50 (14%)1//Adjusted Rates (b)10.4%21.3%7/Terminal Rates (a)10.4%21.3%1//Adjusted Rates (b)10.4%21.3%1//Adjusted Rates (b)10.4%21.3%1//Adjusted Rates (b)10.4%21.3%1//Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P = 0.178NP = 0.262PIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P = 0.178NP = 0.262PIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P = 0.46NP = 0.221PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P = 0.178NP = 0.262Fisher Exact TestP = 0.178NP = 0.262P <td>/50 (2%)</td>	/50 (2%)
Terminal Rates (c)       2/3 (6%)       6/3 (18%)       1)         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.468N       P = 0.320       P         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.376N       P = 0.320       P         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.376N       P = 0.320       P         Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma       P = 0.376       P         Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma       Overall Rates (a)       4/50 (8%)       7/50 (14%)       2/         Adjusted Rates (b)       10.44%       20.2%       9       P         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.566       P = 0.260       P         Itife Table Tests (d)       P = 0.458N       P = 0.260       P         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.261       P         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.262       P         Integumentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma       0       7/50 (14%)       1/         Adjusted Rates (b)       10.4%       21.2%       7.         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       7/33 (21%)       1/         Itfe Table Tests (d)       P = 0.178       P       0.262       P         Integumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma       0/50 (16%)<	.1%
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.468N$ $P = 0.365$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.376N$ $P = 0.320$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.169N$ $P = 0.370$ $P$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma Overall Rates (a) $10.4\%$ $20.2\%$ $9$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $20.2\%$ $9$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33(6\%)$ $6/33(18\%)$ $1/1$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.506$ $P = 0.260$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458N$ $P = 0.202$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.297N$ $P$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.297N$ $P$ Integumentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma Overall Rates (a) $10.4\%$ $21.2\%$ $7.50(14\%)$ $1/1$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $21.2\%$ $7.50(14\%)$ $1/1$ Adjusted Rates (a) $4.50(6\%)$ $7.50(14\%)$ $1/1$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $21.2\%$ $7.50(14\%)$ $1/1$ Adjusted Rates (a) $P = 0.416N$ $P = 0.221$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.178N$ $P = 0.221$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.178N$ $P = 0.222$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.178N$ $P = 0.262$ $P$ Integumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma Overall Rates (a) $10.4\%$ $22.3\%$ $6.50(16\%)$ $2/2$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $22.3\%$ $0.11$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.040N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ Inditates (a) $6.50(12\%)$ $10/50(2\%)$ $9/4$ Adjusted Rates (	$(1.1)^{1.1}$
	$P = 0.401 \mathrm{N}$
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.169N$ $P = 0.370$ $P$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or FibrosarcomaOverail Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $7/50$ (14%) $2/2$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $20.2\%$ $9/2$ Adjusted Rates (c) $2/3$ (6%) $6/33$ (18%) $11/2$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.506$ $P = 0.260$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458N$ $P = 0.202$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.297N$ $P = 0.262$ $P$ Integumentary System: Fibroma or NeurofibromaOverall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $7/50$ (14%) $11/2$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $21.2\%$ $7/23$ (21%) $11/2$ Adjusted Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $7/33$ (21%) $11/2$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.508N$ $P = 0.256$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.178N$ $P = 0.262$ $P$ Intigumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma $Overail Rates (a)$ $4/50$ (8%) $8/50$ (16%)Overail Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $7/33$ (21%) $11/2$ If at Bates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $2/50$ (4%) $0/2$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9/2$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $7/33$ (21%) $11/2$ If Table Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P$ $10.4\%$ Indeta Tumor Tes	
Fisher Exact Test       P = 0.370       P         Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma       Overall Rates (a)       4/50 (8%)       7/50 (14%)       2/2         Adjusted Rates (b)       10.4%       20.2%       9.         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       6/33 (18%)       1/1         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.566       P = 0.260       P         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.297N       P       7         Fisher Exact Test       P = 0.262       P         Ideamentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma       0verall Rates (a)       4/50 (8%)       7/50 (14%)       1/1         Adjusted Rates (b)       10.4%       21.2%       7       7         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       7/33 (21%)       1/1         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.416N       P = 0.258       P         Picidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.416N       P = 0.262       P         Regumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma       0verall Rates (a)       4/50 (8%)       7/33 (21%)       1/1         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.478N       P = 0.178N       P       0.163       P         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       7/33 (21%)       1/1       Life Table Te	P = 0.265 N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma Overall Rates (a) 4/50 (8%) 7/50 (14%) 2/ Adjusted Rates (b) 10.4% 20.2% 9/ Terminal Rates (c) 2/33 (6%) 6/33 (18%) 1/ Life Table Tests (d) P=0.506 P=0.260 P Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.458N P=0.202 P Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.458N P=0.202 P Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.297N P=0.202 P Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.297N P=0.262 P Integumentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma Overall Rates (a) 4/50 (8%) 7/50 (14%) 1/ Adjusted Rates (b) 10.4% 21.2% 7/ Terminal Rates (c) 2/33 (6%) 7/33 (21%) 1/ Life Table Tests (d) P=0.508N P=0.258 P Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.178N P=0.262 P Integumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma Overall Rates (c) 2/33 (6%) 7/33 (21%) 1/ Life Table Tests (d) P=0.461N P=0.178 P Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.471 P=0.178 P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.471 P=0.178 P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.494N P=0.133 P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.494N P=0.133 P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.303N P=0.178 P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.303N P=0.335N P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.115N P=0.335N P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.017N P=0.335N P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.161N P=0.303N P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.161N P=0.303N P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.161N P=0.300N P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.061N P=0.000N P/ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.061N P=0.000N P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.061N P=0.000N P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.061N P=0.000N P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.077 P=0.217 P/ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.077 P=0.217 P/ Incidental Tu	-0191N
Overall Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         7/50 (14%)         22           Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         20.2%         92           Terminal Rates (c)         2/33 (6%)         6//33 (18%)         1//           Life Table Tests (d)         P = 0.506         P = 0.260         P           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P = 0.458N         P = 0.202         P           Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P = 0.458N         P = 0.262         P           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P = 0.237N         P = 0.262         P           Indigumentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma         Overall Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         7/50 (14%)         1//           Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         21.2%         7         Terminal Rates (c)         2/33 (6%)         7/33 (21%)         1/           Life Table Tests (d)         P = 0.416N         P = 0.262         P         Indigunentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma         Overall Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         8/50 (16%)         2/         4/33 (6%)         7/33 (21%)         1/           Life Table Tests (d)         P = 0.416N         P = 0.262         P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P = 0.471         P = 0.178         P         1/2         4/50 (6%) <td< td=""><td><math>P = 0.181 \mathrm{N}</math></td></td<>	$P = 0.181 \mathrm{N}$
Adjusted Rates (b)       10.4%       20.2%       9,         Terminal Rates (c)       233 (6%)       673 (18%)       11/         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.506       P = 0.260       P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.458N       P = 0.202       P         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.297N       P       P         Fisher Exact Test       P = 0.262       P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.238       P       P         Overall Rates (a)       4/50 (8%)       7/50 (14%)       1/         Adjusted Rates (b)       10.4%       21.2%       7         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       7/33 (21%)       1/         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.508N       P = 0.262       P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.178N       P = 0.262       P         Regumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma       0       0/50 (16%)       2//         Adjusted Rates (b)       10.4%       23.2%       9       9         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.471       P = 0.178       P         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       7/33 (21%)       1//         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.494N<	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	/50 (4%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.506$ $P = 0.260$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458N$ $P = 0.202$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.297N$ $P = 0.262$ $P$ <b>risgumentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma</b> $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $7/50 (14\%)$ $1/1$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $21.2\%$ $7.7$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $7/33 (21\%)$ $1/1$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.508N$ $P = 0.258$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.416N$ $P = 0.221$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.178N$ $P = 0.262$ $P$ <b>risgumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma</b> $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $8/50 (16\%)$ $2/2$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9.7$ $9.7$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P = 0.178$ Valueted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.178$ $P = 0.178$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.178$ $P = 0.178$ <b>risher Exact Test</b> $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ <b>ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma</b> $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $9.355N$ $P = 0.335N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/30 (12\%)$ $3/30 (9\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $9.1\%$ $0.4\%$ <	.6%
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458N$ $P = 0.202$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.297N$ $P = 0.262$ $P$ Integumentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma $P = 0.262$ $P$ Overall Rates (a)4/50 (8%)7/50 (14%) $1/$ Adjusted Rates (b)10.4%21.2% $7/$ Terminal Rates (c)2/33 (6%)7/33 (21%) $1/$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.508N$ $P = 0.258$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.416N$ $P = 0.221$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.178N$ $P = 0.262$ $P$ Integumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $8/50 (16\%)$ Overall Rates (a)4/50 (8%) $7/33 (21\%)$ $1/$ Adjusted Rates (b)10.4%22.2% $9.$ Terminal Rates (c)2/33 (6%) $7/33 (21\%)$ $1/$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.133$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Te	/14(7%)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.297N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.262$ $P$ ntegumentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma         0           Overall Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         7/50 (14%)         1//           Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         21.2%         7.           Terminal Rates (c)         2/33 (6%)         7/33 (21%)         1//           Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.508N$ $P = 0.228$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.416N$ $P = 0.221$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.178N$ $P = 0.262$ $P$ ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ 4/50 (8%) $8/50$ (16%) $2/$ Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         23.2% $9.$ $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P.$ $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.178$ $P.$ $10.4\%$ $0.4\%$ $0.4\%$ Adjusted Rates (b)         12.1% $6.1\%$ $0.4\%$	P = 0.600 N
Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.262$ $P$ ntegumentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma $Verall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $7/50 (14\%)$ $1/1$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $21.2\%$ $7.$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $7/33 (21\%)$ $1/1$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.508N$ $P = 0.258$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.416N$ $P = 0.221$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.178N$ $P = 0.262$ $P$ Integumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $8/50 (16\%)$ $2/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9.$ $P$ Incidental Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $7/33 (21\%)$ $1/7$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.178$ $P_1$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.178$ $P_2$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $6.1\%$ $0.7$ If Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$	2 = 0.375 N
Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.262$ $P$ ntegumentary System: Fibroma or Neurofibroma $Verall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $7/50 (14\%)$ $1/1$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $21.2\%$ $7.$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $7/33 (21\%)$ $1/1$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.508N$ $P = 0.258$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.416N$ $P = 0.221$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.178N$ $P = 0.262$ $P$ Integumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $8/50 (16\%)$ $2/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9.$ $P$ Incidental Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $7/33 (21\%)$ $1/7$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.178$ $P_1$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.178$ $P_2$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $6.1\%$ $0.7$ If Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$	
Overall Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         7/50 (14%)         1/           Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         21.2%         7/           Terminal Rates (c)         2/33 (6%)         7/33 (21%)         1/           Life Table Tests (d)         P=0.508N         P=0.258         P           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.416N         P=0.221         P           Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P=0.178N         P=0.262         P           Incidental Tumor Tests (a)         4/50 (8%)         8/50 (16%)         2/           Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         23.2%         9.           Terminal Rates (c)         2/33 (6%)         7/33 (21%)         1//           Life Table Tests (d)         P=0.471         P=0.178         P:           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.494N         P=0.178         P:           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.494N         P=0.178         P:           ug: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma         0/20 (8%)         2/50 (4%)         0//           Adjusted Rates (b)         12.1%         6.1%         0//           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.115N         P=0.335N         P:           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.115N	= 0.339 N
Overall Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         7/50 (14%)         1/           Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         21.2%         7.           Terminal Rates (c)         2/33 (6%)         7/33 (21%)         1/           Life Table Tests (d)         P=0.508N         P=0.258         P           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.416N         P=0.221         P           Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P=0.178N         P=0.262         P           Terminal Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         8/50 (16%)         2/           Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         23.2%         9.           Adjusted Rates (b)         10.4%         23.2%         9.           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.471         P=0.178         P:           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.494N         P=0.133         P:           Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P=0.178         P:         P:           ug: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma         0/50 (8%)         2/50 (4%)         0//           Adjusted Rates (b)         12.1%         6.1%         0//           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.115N         P=0.335N         P:           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.115N         P=	
Adjusted Rates (b)       10.4%       21.2%       7,         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33.6%)       7/3.3(21%)       1/         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.508N       P = 0.258       P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.416N       P = 0.221       P         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.178N       P = 0.262       P         Fisher Exact Test       P = 0.262       P       P         ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma       Overall Rates (a)       4/50 (8%)       8/50 (16%)       2/         Adjusted Rates (b)       10.4%       22.2%       9       P       Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       7/3 (21%)       1/         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.471       P = 0.178       P       P       Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.471       P = 0.178       P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.494N       P = 0.178       P       P       Incidental Rates (a)       4/50 (8%)       2/50 (4%)       0/         Adjusted Rates (b)       12.1%       6.1%       0/       Incidental Rates (a)       4/50 (8%)       2/50 (4%)       0/         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.115N       P = 0.335N       P:       Incidental Rates (a)	/50 (2%)
Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $7/33 (21\%)$ $1/1$ Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.508N       P = 0.258       P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.416N       P = 0.221       P         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.178N       P = 0.262       P         Fisher Exact Test       P = 0.262       P         ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma       0verall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $8/50 (16\%)$ $2/4$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ 9.       7       7       1/1       P = 0.178       P       9.         Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $7/33 (21\%)$ 1/4       Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.471       P = 0.178       P       9.         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.494N       P = 0.133       P       9.       0.       0.       0.       0.       1/4       Adjusted Rates (b)       0.0       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.       0.	.1%
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.508N$ $P = 0.258$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.416N$ $P = 0.221$ $P$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.178N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.262$ $P$ Integumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $8/50$ (16%) $2/$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $7/33$ (21%) $1/$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.133$ $P$ : Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.178$ $P$ ang: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $2/50$ (4%) $0/$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $6.1\%$ $0.1$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33$ (12%) $2/33$ (6%) $0/$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ : Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ : Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ : Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ : Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ : Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ : Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ : Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ : Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P$ : Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P$ : Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ : Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.0161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.0161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P$ incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.207$ $P$ incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P$ incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P$ incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P$ incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P$	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.416N$ $P = 0.221$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.178N$ $P = 0.262$ $P$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.262$ $P$ ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $8/50 (16\%)$ $2/$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9.$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $7/33 (21\%)$ $1/$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P^{-}$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.178$ $P^{-}$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P^{-} = 0.178$ $P^{-}$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.133$ $P^{-} = 0.178$ $P^{-}$ ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma $0/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $6.1\%$ $0.1$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P^{-}$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P^{-}$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P^{-}$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P^{-}$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P^{-}$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P^{-}$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P^{-}$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P $	(14(7%))
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.178N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.262$ $P$ Integumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma $P = 0.262$ $P$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50(8\%)$ $8/50(16\%)$ $2/2$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9/2$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33(6\%)$ $7/33(21\%)$ $1/2$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.133$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.178$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma $O/433(12\%)$ $2/50(4\%)$ $O/7$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50(8\%)$ $2/50(4\%)$ $O/7$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$	= 0.401 N
Fisher Exact Test $P=0.262$ $P=0.262$ ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma         Overall Rates (a) $4/50(8\%)$ $8/50(16\%)$ $2/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9?$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33(6\%)$ $7/33(21\%)$ $1/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.471$ $P=0.178$ $P=0.178$ Pincidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.494N$ $P=0.133$ $P=0.178$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.303N$ $P=0.178$ $P=0.178$ ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma $0/250(4\%)$ $0/7$ $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (a) $4/33(12\%)$ $2/33(6\%)$ $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $6.1\%$ $0/7$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.115N$ $P=0.335N$ $P=0.335N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.115N$ $P=0.335N$ $P=0.335N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.037N$ $P=0.335N$ $P=0.335N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.161N$ $P=0.335N$ $P=0.335N$ $P=0.0067$ Ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma $0/200(8\%$	= 0.265 N
ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Neurofibroma, or Fibrosarcoma         Overall Rates (a) $4/50(8\%)$ $8/50(16\%)$ $2/$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9.$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33(6\%)$ $7/33(21\%)$ $1/$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P^2$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.178$ $P^2$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.178$ $P^2$ cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.178$ $P^2$ cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.178$ $P^2$ cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.133$ $0/4$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $6.1\%$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (c) $4/33(12\%)$ $2/33(6\%)$ $0/4$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P^2$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P^2$ start Test $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.004P$ Fisher Exact Test <td>=0.181N</td>	=0.181N
Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $8/50 (16\%)$ $2/$ Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9.$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/3 (6\%)$ $7/3 (21\%)$ $1/$ Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.471       P = 0.178       P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.494N       P = 0.133       P         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.303N       Fisher Exact Test       P = 0.178       P         .ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma       0verall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/$ Adjusted Rates (b)       12.1% $6.1\%$ $0/$ Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.115N       P = 0.335N       P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.115N       P = 0.335N       P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.115N       P = 0.335N       P         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.115N       P = 0.335N       P         .ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma $0/$ $0/$ $0/$ $0/$ $0/$ .dife Table Tests (d)       P = 0.161N       P = 0.500N       P = 0.600N       P = 0.600N       P = 0.600N       P = 0.500N       P = 0.500N       P = 0.600N	-0.10111
Adjusted Rates (b) $10.4\%$ $23.2\%$ $9.$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $7/33$ (21%) $1/1$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P^2$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.133$ $P^2$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.133$ $P^2$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.178$ $P^2$ .ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.178$ $P^2$ .ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma $0/433(12\%)$ $2/50(4\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (a) $4/50(8\%)$ $2/50(4\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $6.1\%$ $0/4$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33(12\%)$ $2/33(6\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (b) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P^2$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P^2$ .ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma $0/43(12\%)$ $3/50(6\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (a) $4/50(8\%)$ $3/50(6\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (b)	/50 (4%)
Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $7/33 (21\%)$ $1/1$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.133$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.178$ $P$ Jung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (b)       12.1% $6.1\%$ $0.01$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33 (12\%)$ $2/33 (6\%)$ $0/4$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P$ $P$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339N$ $P$ $P$ Adjusted Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (b)       12.1% $9.1\%$ $0.1$ Terminal Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$	
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.471$ $P = 0.178$ $P = 1.178$ $P = 1.178$ $P = 0.133$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.133$ $P = 0.178$ $P = 0.1151$ $P = 0.3351$ $P = 0.2351$ $P = 0.3391$ $P = 0.1151$ $P = 0.3391$ $P = 0.118$ $P = 0.3391$ $P = 0.118$ $P = 0.1618$ $P = 0.5001$ $P = 0.11618$ $P = 0.5001$ $P = $	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.494N$ $P = 0.133$ $P = 0.133$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ $P = 0.178$ $P = 0.178$ $P = 0.178$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.178$ Jung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/4$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ Jung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (a) $12.1\%$ $9.1\%$ $0.16\%$ $0.16\%$ $0.16\%$ $0.1\%$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ <	(14(7%))
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.303N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.178$ $P = 0.178$ Jung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/4$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $6.1\%$ $0/4$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33 (12\%)$ $2/33 (6\%)$ $0/4$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.339N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.1017N$ $P = 0.3339N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.333($	= 0.600 N
Fisher Exact Test $P=0.178$ $P=0.118$ $P=0.118$ $P=0.178$ $P=0.118$ $P=0.338$ $P=0.116$ $P=0.335N$ $P=0.278$ $P=0.278$ $P=0.339N$ $P=0.278$ $P=0.339N$ $P=0.277$ $P=0.339N$ $P=0.277$ $P=0.500N$	=0.375N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma         Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $6.1\%$ $0.1\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33 (12\%)$ $2/33 (6\%)$ $0/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ Jung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $9.1\%$ $0.01$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/33 (9\%)$ $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $9.1\%$ $0.01$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/33 (9\%)$ $0/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ <	0.00031
Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/4$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $6.1\%$ $0/4$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33 (12\%)$ $2/33 (6\%)$ $0/4$ Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.115N       P = 0.335N       P =         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.115N       P = 0.335N       P =         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.037N       P = 0.339N       P =         Fisher Exact Test       P = 0.339N       P =       P =         ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma $P = 0.339N$ $P$	=0.339N
Adjusted Rates (b)       12.1%       6.1%       0.0         Terminal Rates (c)       4/33 (12%)       2/33 (6%)       0/         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.115N       P=0.335N       P:         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.115N       P=0.335N       P:         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.037N       P=0.339N       P:         Fisher Exact Test       P=0.037N       P=0.339N       P:         overall Rates (a)       4/50 (8%)       3/50 (6%)       0/         Adjusted Rates (b)       12.1%       9.1%       0/         Terminal Rates (c)       4/33 (12%)       3/33 (9%)       0/         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.161N       P=0.500N       P:         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.049N       P=0.500N       P:         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.049N       P=0.500N       P:         Fisher Exact Test       P=0.0049N       P=0.500N       P:         Icematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia       Overall Rates (a)       6/50 (12%)       10/50 (20%)       8/         Adjusted Rates (b)       15.4%       24.8%       3/5         Terminal Rates (c)       1/33 (3%)       4/33 (12%)       3/         Life Table	
Terminal Rates (c) $4/33(12\%)$ $2/33(6\%)$ $0/$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ .ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50(8\%)$ $3/50(6\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50(8\%)$ $3/50(6\%)$ $0/$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $9.1\%$ $0/$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33(12\%)$ $3/33(9\%)$ $0/$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Itematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia $Overall Rates (a)$ $6/50(12\%)$ $10/50(20\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $6/50(12\%)$ $10/50(20\%)$ $8/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $15.4\%$ $24.8\%$ $357$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/33(3\%)$ $4/33(12\%)$ $3/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.0077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 1.068$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.068$	/50 (0%)
Terminal Rates (c) $4/33(12\%)$ $2/33(6\%)$ $0/$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50(8\%)$ $3/50(6\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50(8\%)$ $3/50(6\%)$ $0/$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $9.1\%$ $0/$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33(12\%)$ $3/33(9\%)$ $0/$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Itematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia $Overall Rates (a)$ $6/50(12\%)$ $10/50(20\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $6/50(12\%)$ $10/50(20\%)$ $8/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $15.4\%$ $24.8\%$ $357$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/33(3\%)$ $4/33(12\%)$ $3/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.0077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 1.068$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.068$	.0%
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ .ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $9.1\%$ $0/7$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/33 (9\%)$ $0/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Iematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia $Overall Rates (a)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $6/50 (12\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ $8/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $15.4\%$ $24.8\%$ $357$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/33 (3\%)$ $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 0.068$	/14 (0%)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115N$ $P = 0.335N$ $P = 0.335N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $9.1\%$ $0/7$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/33 (9\%)$ $0/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Iematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia $0/70 (20\%)$ $8/7$ Overall Rates (a) $6/50 (12\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ $8/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $15.4\%$ $24.8\%$ $35$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/33 (3\%)$ $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 0.068$	=0.217N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.037N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.339N$ Jung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma $Verall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $12.1\%$ $9.1\%$ Other Terminal Rates (c) $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/33 (9\%)$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ P = 0.500N $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ P = 0.500N $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.049N$ Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell LeukemiaOverall Rates (a) $6/50 (12\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $15.4\%$ $24.8\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/33 (3\%)$ $4/33 (12\%)$ Jife Table Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$	= 0.217 N
Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ $D = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$	0.21111
Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $3/50$ (6%) $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $9.1\%$ $0.1\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33$ (12%) $3/33$ (9%) $0/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.60N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Iematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia $Overall Rates (a)$ $6/50$ (12%) $10/50$ (20%) $8/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $15.4\%$ $24.8\%$ $35$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/33$ (3%) $4/33$ (12%) $3/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 0.068$	=0.059N
Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $3/50$ (6%) $0/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $12.1\%$ $9.1\%$ $0.1\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $4/33$ (12%) $3/33$ (9%) $0/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$	
Adjusted Rates (b)       12.1%       9.1%       0.0         Terminal Rates (c)       4/33 (12%)       3/33 (9%)       0/         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.161N       P = 0.500N       P =         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.161N       P = 0.500N       P =         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.049N       P = 0.500N       P =         Fisher Exact Test       P = 0.500N       P =       P =         Iematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia       0verall Rates (a)       6/50 (12%)       10/50 (20%)       8//         Adjusted Rates (b)       15.4%       24.8%       35         Terminal Rates (c)       1/33 (3%)       4/33 (12%)       3//         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.077       P = 0.217       P =         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.504       P = 0.068       P =	(EQ (QQ))
Terminal Rates (c) $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/33 (9\%)$ $0/$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.049N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Iematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Iematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia $D = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Iematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia $D = 0.500N$ $D = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Iematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia $D = 0.500N$ $D = 0.500N$ $P = 0.207N$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$ </td <td>(50(0%)</td>	(50(0%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.161N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$ $P$	.0%
	(14(0%)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.049N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Iematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia $0/50 (12\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ $8/7$ Adjusted Rates (a) $6/50 (12\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ $8/7$ Adjusted Rates (b) $15.4\%$ $24.8\%$ $35$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/33 (3\%)$ $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.068$	= 0.217 N
Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.007N$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 0.008N$ $P = 0.0$	= 0.217 N
Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ $P = 0.500N$ Iematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Leukemia $0$ $10/50 (20\%)$ $8/6$ Overall Rates (a) $6/50 (12\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ $8/6$ Adjusted Rates (b) $15.4\%$ $24.8\%$ $35$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/33 (3\%)$ $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 0.068$	
Overall Rates (a) $6/50 (12\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ $8/8$ Adjusted Rates (b) $15.4\%$ $24.8\%$ $35$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/33 (3\%)$ $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/2$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 0.068$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.068$	=0.059N
Overall Rates (a) $6/50 (12\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ $8/8$ Adjusted Rates (b) $15.4\%$ $24.8\%$ $35$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/33 (3\%)$ $4/33 (12\%)$ $3/7$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 0.068$	
Adjusted Rates (b) $15.4\%$ $24.8\%$ $35$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/33(3\%)$ $4/33(12\%)$ $3/2$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 0.068$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.068$	(50 (16%)
Terminal Rates (c) $1/33(3\%)$ $4/33(12\%)$ $3/2$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 1.000$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 1.000$	5.3%
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.077$ $P = 0.217$ $P = 1.217$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.068$	(14(21%))
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.504$ $P = 0.068$ $P = 0.068$	= 0.092
	= 0.092 = 0.508
	- 0.000
	=0.387

#### TABLE E1. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule			······
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	9/50 (18%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.6%	25.3%	12.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/33 (9%)	7/33 (21%)	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.402		1/14(7%)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)		P = 0.119	P = 0.635
	P = 0.544N	P = 0.085	P = 0.521 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.309 N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.117	P = 0.339 N
liver: Neoplastic Nodule or Hepatocellu	lar Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	9/50 (18%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	14.6%	25.3%	12.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/33 (12%)	7/33 (21%)	1/14 (7%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.512		
		P = 0.194	P = 0.607 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.438N	P = 0.148	P = 0.420 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.209 N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.194	P = 0.218N
ancreas: Acinar Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	9/50 (18%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.1%	26.3%	34.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/33 (12%)	8/33 (24%)	4/14 (29%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.027	P=0.114	P = 0.045
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.059	P = 0.102	P = 0.086
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.326	D = 0.117	B-0.270
FISHER EXACT LEST		P = 0.117	P = 0.370
idney: Tubular Cell Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	9.1%	7.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/33 (0%)	3/33 (9%)	1/14 (7%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.155	P = 0.120	P = 0.329
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)			
	P = 0.155	P = 0.120	P = 0.329
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.378	D-0191	B-0 500
risher Exact Test		P = 0.121	P = 0.500
lidney: Tubular Cell Adenoma or Adeno	carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	9.1%	12.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/33 (0%)	3/33 (9%)	1/14 (7%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.014	P = 0.120	P = 0.025
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)			
	P = 0.034	P = 0.120	P=0.073
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P=0.101	P = 0.121	P = 0.121
			*
ituitary: Adenoma	10/49 (910)	19/40 (947)	0/47 (170)
Overall Rates (a)	10/48 (21%)	12/49 (24%)	8/47 (17%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	28.0%	32.0%	36.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	8/33 (24%)	8/33 (24%)	3/14 (21%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.195	P = 0.406	P = 0.228
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.532N	P = 0.341	P=0.589
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.372N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.426	P = 0.416N
ituitary: Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma			
	11/48 (02~)	10/40 (0777)	0/47 /177
Overall Rates (a)	11/48 (23%)	13/49 (27%)	8/47 (17%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	30. <del>9</del> %	34.8%	36.3%
	9/33 (27%)	9/33 (27%)	3/14 (21%)
Terminal Rates (c)			
Terminal Rates (c) Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.239	P = 0.409	P = 0.282
			P = 0.282
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.239	P=0.409 P=0.345	

# TABLE E1. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma	<u></u>	· ····	
Overall Rates (a)	16/50 (32%)	13/50 (26%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	42,9%	36.9%	65.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	12/33 (36%)	11/33 (33%)	7/14 (50%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.040	P = 0.342N	P = 0.033
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.248	P = 0.428N	P = 0.257
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.456N	1 -0.42010	1 - 0.201
Fisher Exact Test	1 -0.40011	P = 0.330N	P = 0.500 N
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma or Pheochro	omocytoma. Malignan	t	
Overall Rates (a)	16/50 (32%)	14/50 (28%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	42.9%	39.7%	65.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	12/33 (36%)	12/33 (36%)	7/14 (50%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.036	P = 0.425N	P = 0.033
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.231	P = 0.518N	P = 0.257
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.457N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.414N	P = 0.500 N
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	6/49 (12%)	5/50 (10%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	17.3%	15.2%	12.3%
Terminal Rates (c)			
Life Table Tests (d)	5/33 (15%) P-0 404N	5/33 (15%) R-0 500N	1/14 (7%) P=0 480N
	P = 0.404N P = 0.214N	P = 0.500N	P = 0.480N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.314N P = 0.106N	P = 0.526N	P = 0.341 N
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.486N	P = 0.134N
fhyroid: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	8/49 (16%)	6/50 (12%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	23.2%	18.2%	12.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	7/33 (21%)	6/33 (18%)	1/14 (7%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.243N	P = 0.385N	P = 0.316N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.181 N	P = 0.407 N	P = 0.209 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.037 N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.371 N	P = 0.046N
Pancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.2%	15.2%	28.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/33 (15%)	5/33 (15%)	4/14 (29%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.232	P = 0.633	P = 0.256
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.232	P = 0.633	P = 0.256
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.432N		1 - 01200
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.630	P = 0.500 N
festis: Interstitial Cell Tumor			
Overall Rates (a)	43/48 (90%)	41/50 (82%)	38/50 (76%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	97.7%	97.6%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	32/33 (97%)	32/33 (97%)	14/14 (100%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.442N	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.363	P = 0.517N	P = 0.456
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.051N	1 -0.01/14	1 - 0.400
Fisher Exact Test	1 -0.001N	P=0.218N	P = 0.065 N
Preputial Gland: Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/33 (0%)	0/33 (0%)	1/14 (7%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	(e)	P = 0.012
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.019	(e)	P = 0.068
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.006	( - )	D 0.000
Fisher Exact Test		(e)	P = 0.028

#### TABLE E1. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
	·····		<sup></sup> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.1%	3.0%	12.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/33 (9%)	1/33 (3%)	1/14 (7%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.527	P = 0.304N	P = 0.515
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.606N	P = 0.304N	P = 0.623
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.399N		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.309N	P=0.500N
All Sites: Mesothelioma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.1%	5.3%	15.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/33 (12%)	1/33 (3%)	1/14 (7%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.444	P = 0.346N	P=0.418
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.537N	P = 0.321 N	P=0.580
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.417N		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.339N	P = 0.500N

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidence at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact test compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

(e) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the 250 mg/kg and vehicle control groups.

#### TABLE E2. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDYOF ISOPHORONE

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear C	ell Leukemia	<u></u>	<u></u>
Overall Rates (a)	9/50 (18%)	5/50 (10%)	5/50(10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	25.1%	15.6%	21.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/30 (17%)	1/23 (4%)	3/20 (15%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.369N	P = 0.335N	P = 0.446N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)		P = 0.355 N P = 0.160 N	P = 0.353N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.253N P = 0.146N	P=0.100W	r - 0.3531
Fisher Exact Test	F = 0.1461	D-0.104N	D-0104N
risner Exact lest		P = 0.194N	P = 0.194N
iver: Neoplastic Nodule			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.0%	4.3%	5.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/30(7%)	1/23 (4%)	1/20 (5%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.312N	P = 0.384N	P = 0.441 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.291 N	P = 0.349N	P = 0.410N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.202N		-
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.309N	P = 0.309 N
'ituitary: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	21/49 (43%)	17/48 (35%)	12/47 (26%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	61.3%	61.9%	43.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	17/30 (57%)	13/23 (57%)	6/20 (30%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.322N	P = 0.524	P = 0.338N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)		P = 0.324 P = 0.474N	
	P = 0.226N	P = 0.474N	P = 0.264N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.047 N	P = 0.294N	P = 0.058N
'ituitary: Adencarcinoma	4/40/00	0/40 (40)	0/47 (07)
Overall Rates (a)	4/49 (8%)	2/48 (4%)	0/47 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.3%	8.7%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/30 (7%)	2/23 (9%)	0/20 (0%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.080 N	P = 0.435N	P = 0.119N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.062N	P = 0.366 N	P = 0.090 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.040 N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.349N	P = 0.064 N
ituitary: Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	24/49 (49%)	18/48 (38%)	12/47 (26%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	66.0%	65.8%	43.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	18/30 (60%)	14/23 (61%)	6/20 (30%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.163N	P = 0.506N	P = 0.181N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.083N	P = 0.300 N P = 0.297 N	P = 0.102N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.083 N P = 0.012 N	1 - 0.2011N	1 - 0.1021
Fisher Exact Test	1 -0.01211	P = 0.175N	P = 0.015 N
drenal: Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	3/50 (6%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)		3/30 (8%) 13.0%	25.9%
	17.3%		
Terminal Rates (c)	3/30 (10%)	3/23 (13%)	4/20 (20%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.321	P = 0.382N	P = 0.359
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.374	P = 0.281 N	P = 0.431
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.566		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.244N	P = 0.620N
drenal: Pheochromocytoma or Pheoch	romocytoma, Malignani	5	
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	4/50 (8%)	6/50(12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	17.3%	15.9%	25.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/30 (10%)	3/23 (13%)	4/20 (20%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.315	P = 0.532N	P = 0.359
			P = 0.431
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.380	P = 0.393 N	r 0.401
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.380 P = 0.564	P=0.393N	F = 0.431

#### TABLE E2. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
Adrenal Cortex: Cortical Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	13.3%	13.0%	8.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/30 (13%)	3/23 (13%)	1/20 (5%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.448N	P = 0.646N	P = 0.525N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.427N	P = 0.646N	P = 0.493N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.264N	r = 0.04014	F = 0.43314
Fisher Exact Test	1 -0.2041	P = 0.500 N	P = 0.339 N
Adrenal Cortex: Cortical Adenoma or	Adenocarcinoma, NOS		
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	13.3%	15.5%	8.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/30 (13%)	3/23 (13%)	1/20(5%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.470N	P = 0.505	P = 0.525 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.426N	P = 0.534	P = 0.493N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.274N	1 -0.001	1 - 0.40011
Fisher Exact Test	1 - 0.21411	P=0.643	P = 0.339N
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	7/50 (14%)	8/50 (16%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	18.7%	29.1%	15.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/30 (10%)	5/23 (22%)	2/20(10%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.463N	P = 0.333	P = 0.470N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.406N	P = 0.501	P = 0.483N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.226N	1 -0.001	F = 0.40514
Fisher Exact Test	1 = 0.22014	P = 0.500	P = 0.263N
Fisher Exact Test		F=0.000	P = 0.203 N
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp			
Overall Rates (a)	10/49 (20%)	11/50 (22%)	5/49(10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	27.6%	36.9%	23.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/29 (17%)	6/23 (26%)	4/20 (20%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.352N	P = 0.313	P = 0.339 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.281 N	P = 0.522	P = 0.298N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.116N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.521	P = 0.131 N
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Sarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/49 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.2%	2.4%	5.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/29 (3%)	0/23 (0%)	1/20 (5%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.290 N	P = 0.349N	P = 0.420N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.257 N	P = 0.248N	P = 0.356N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.201 N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.301 N	P = 0.309N

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidence at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact test compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

#### TABLE E3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma		<u></u>	<u></u>
Overall Rates (c)	0/48 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	0.0%	12.5%	15.8%
Terminal Rates (e)	0/16 (0%)	2/16 (13%)	3/19 (16%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.107	P = 0.236	P = 0.149
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.107	P = 0.236	P = 0.149
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.087	1 - 0,200	
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.258	P = 0.129
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma			
Overall Rates (c)	3/48 (6%)	4/50 (8%)	10/50 (20%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	10.6%	15.9%	31.3%
Terminal Rates (e)	0/16 (0%)	1/16 (6%)	2/19 (11%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.044	P = 0.618	P = 0.086
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.019	P = 0.530	P = 0.036
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.023	A = 0.000	0.000
Fisher Exact Test	1 - 0.040	P = 0.523	P = 0.042
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fib	rosarcoma		
Overall Rates (c)	3/48 (6%)	6/50 (12%)	13/50 (26%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	10.6%	27.1%	43.4%
Terminal Rates (e)	0/16 (0%)	3/16(19%)	5/19 (26%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.012	P = 0.340	P = 0.025
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.0012 P = 0.005	P = 0.340 P = 0.261	P = 0.009
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.004	1 = 0.201	1 = 0.005
Fisher Exact Test	1 - 0.004	P = 0.264	P=0.008
Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibro	sarcoma, or Neurofibro	sarcoma	
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	4/48 (8%) 13.8% 0/16 (0%) P=0.056 P=0.023	sarcoma 4/50 (8%) 15.9% 1/16 (6%) P = 0.509N P = 0.638	11/50 (22%) 33.5% 2/19 (11%) P = 0.108 P = 0.043
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	4/48 (8%) 13.8% 0/16 (0%) P=0.056	4/50 (8%) 15.9% 1/16 (6%) P=0.509N P=0.638	33.5% 2/19(11%) P=0.108 P=0.043
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	4/48 (8%) 13.8% 0/16 (0%) P=0.056 P=0.023 P=0.030	4/50 (8%) 15.9% 1/16 (6%) P=0.509N P=0.638 P=0.619N	33.5% 2/19 (11%) P=0.108
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco	4/48 (8%) 13.8% 0/16 (0%) P=0.056 P=0.023 P=0.030 ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N	4/50 (8%) 15.9% 1/16 (6%) P = 0.509N P = 0.638 P = 0.619N	33.5% 2/19(11%) P=0.108 P=0.043 P=0.054
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c)	4/48 (8%) 13.8% 0/16 (0%) P=0.056 P=0.023 P=0.030 ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%)	4/50 (8%) 15.9% 1/16 (6%) P = 0.509N P = 0.638 P = 0.619N feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%)	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d)	4/48 (8%) 13.8% 0/16 (0%) P = 0.056 P = 0.023 P = 0.030 ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) 13.8%	4/50 (8%) 15.9% 1/16 (6%) P = 0.509N P = 0.638 P = 0.619N eurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) 27.1%	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e)	4/48 (8%) 13.8% 0/16 (0%) P = 0.056 P = 0.023 P = 0.030 ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) 13.8% 0/16 (0%)	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma $6/50 (12%)$ $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d)	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N $4/48 (8%)$ $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma $6/50 (12%)$ $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d)	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N $4/48 (8%)$ $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ $3/50 (6%)$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test ntegumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d)	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ $3/50 (6%)$ $15.8%$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test ntegumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (c)	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$ $2/16 (13%)$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ $3/50 (6%)$ $15.8%$ $3/19 (16%)$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test ntegumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d)	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.549$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ $3/50 (6%)$ $15.8%$ $3/19 (16%)$ $P = 0.581$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test ntegumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$ $2/16 (13%)$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ $3/50 (6%)$ $15.8%$ $3/19 (16%)$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Integumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d)	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.549$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ $3/50 (6%)$ $15.8%$ $3/19 (16%)$ $P = 0.581$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Integumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Terminal Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.048$ $P = 0.431$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.549$ $P = 0.562$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ $3/50 (6%)$ $15.8%$ $3/19 (16%)$ $P = 0.581$ $P = 0.581$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Integumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.431$ brosarcoma	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ eurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.549$ $P = 0.562$ $P = 0.520$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ $3/50 (6%)$ $15.8%$ $3/19 (16%)$ $P = 0.581$ $P = 0.520$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Integumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Integumentary System: Fibroma or Fi Overall Rates (c)	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.431$ brosarcoma 5/48 (10%)	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.549$ $P = 0.562$ $P = 0.520$ $7/50 (14%)$	33.5% 2/19 (11%) P = 0.108 P = 0.043 P = 0.054 14/50 (28%) 45.2% 5/19 (26%) P = 0.036 P = 0.011 P = 0.011 3/50 (6%) 15.8% 3/19 (16%) P = 0.581 P = 0.520 13/50 (26%)
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Entegumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Entegumentary System: Fibroma Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Entegumentary System: Fibroma or Fi Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d)	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.431$ brosarcoma 5/48 (10%) $21.8%$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ eurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.549$ $P = 0.562$ $P = 0.520$ $7/50 (14%)$ $29.1%$	33.5% 2/19 (11%) P = 0.108 P = 0.043 P = 0.054 14/50 (28%) 45.2% 5/19 (26%) P = 0.036 P = 0.011 P = 0.011 3/50 (6%) 15.8% 3/19 (16%) P = 0.581 P = 0.581 P = 0.520 13/50 (26%) 43.4%
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Integumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Integumentary System: Fibroma or Fi Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e)	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.431$ (brosarcoma 5/48 (10%) $21.8%$ $2/16 (13%)$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ eurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.549$ $P = 0.562$ $P = 0.520$ $7/50 (14%)$ $29.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ $3/50 (6%)$ $15.8%$ $3/19 (16%)$ $P = 0.581$ $P = 0.581$ $P = 0.581$ $P = 0.520$ $13/50 (26%)$ $43.4%$ $5/19 (26%)$
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Integumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Integumentary System: Fibroma or Fi Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Fisher Exact Test	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.495$ $P = 0.495$ $P = 0.495$ $P = 0.431$ (brosarcoma 5/48 (10%) $21.8%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.057$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ feurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.549$ $P = 0.562$ $P = 0.520$ $7/50 (14%)$ $29.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.506$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ $3/50 (6%)$ $15.8%$ $3/19 (16%)$ $P = 0.581$ $P = 0.580$ $P = 0.090$
Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarco Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Integumentary System: Fibroma Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test Integumentary System: Fibroma or Fi Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (c) Fisher Exact Test	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.056$ $P = 0.023$ $P = 0.030$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or N 4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.016$ $P = 0.006$ $P = 0.488$ $P = 0.431$ (brosarcoma 5/48 (10%) $21.8%$ $2/16 (13%)$	4/50 (8%) $15.9%$ $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.509N$ $P = 0.638$ $P = 0.619N$ eurofibrosarcoma 6/50 (12%) $27.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$ $P = 0.492$ $P = 0.358$ $P = 0.397$ $3/50 (6%)$ $14.9%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.549$ $P = 0.562$ $P = 0.520$ $7/50 (14%)$ $29.1%$ $3/16 (19%)$	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.036$ $P = 0.011$ $P = 0.011$ $3/50 (6%)$ $15.8%$ $3/19 (16%)$ $P = 0.581$ $P = 0.581$ $P = 0.581$ $P = 0.520$ $13/50 (26%)$ $43.4%$ $5/19 (26%)$

## TABLE E3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

ntegumentary System: Sarcoma, Fibros Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Sarcon Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	4/48 (8%) 13.8% 0/16 (0%) P = 0.063 P = 0.025 P = 0.033	5/50 (10%) 18.5% 1/16 (6%) P = 0.610N P = 0.537 P = 0.526	14/50 (28%) 45.2% 5/19 (26%) P=0.108
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test <b>ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Sarcon</b> Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	4/48 (8%) $13.8%$ $0/16 (0%)$ $P = 0.063$ $P = 0.025$ $P = 0.033$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or $6/48 (13%)$ $24.6%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.073$ $P = 0.034$	5/50 (10%) 18.5% 1/16 (6%) P = 0.610N P = 0.537 P = 0.526 Neurofibrosarcoma 8/50 (16%) 31.3% 3/16 (19%) P = 0.548	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ a $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.108$
Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test <b>ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Sarcon</b> Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	13.8% 0/16 (0%) P = 0.063 P = 0.025 P = 0.033 ma, Fibrosarcoma, or 6/48 (13%) 24.6% 2/16 (13%) P = 0.073 P = 0.034	18.5% $1/16 (6%)$ $P = 0.610N$ $P = 0.537$ $P = 0.526$ Neurofibrosarcoma 8/50 (16%) 31.3% 3/16 (19%) P = 0.548	33.5% $2/19 (11%)$ $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ a $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.108$
Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test <b>ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Sarcon</b> Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	0/16 (0%) $P = 0.063$ $P = 0.025$ $P = 0.033$ na, Fibrosarcoma, or 6/48 (13%) $24.6%$ $2/16 (13%)$ $P = 0.073$ $P = 0.034$	1/16 (6%) $P = 0.610N$ $P = 0.537$ $P = 0.526$ Neurofibrosarcoma 8/50 (16%) 31.3% 3/16 (19%) P = 0.548	2/19 (11%) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.043$ $P = 0.054$ a $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.108$
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test <b>ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Sarcon</b> Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.063 $P = 0.025$ $P = 0.033$ ma, Fibrosarcoma, or 6/48 (13%) 24.6% 2/16 (13%) P = 0.073 P = 0.034	P = 0.610N $P = 0.537$ $P = 0.526$ Neurofibrosarcoma 8/50 (16%) 31.3% 3/16 (19%) P = 0.548	P = 0.108 P = 0.043 P = 0.054 a 14/50 (28%) 45.2% 5/19 (26%) P = 0.108
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Sarcon Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.025 P = 0.033 ma, Fibrosarcoma, or 6/48 (13%) 24.6% 2/16 (13%) P = 0.073 P = 0.034	P = 0.537 $P = 0.526$ Neurofibrosarcoma 8/50 (16%) 31.3% 3/16 (19%) P = 0.548	P = 0.043 $P = 0.054$ a $14/50 (28%)$ $45.2%$ $5/19 (26%)$ $P = 0.108$
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Sarcon Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.033 na, Fibrosarcoma, or 6/48 (13%) 24.6% 2/16 (13%) P = 0.073 P = 0.034	P = 0.526 Neurofibrosarcoma 8/50 (16%) 31.3% 3/16 (19%) P = 0.548	P = 0.054 a 14/50 (28%) 45.2% 5/19 (26%) P = 0.108
Fisher Exact Test <b>ntegumentary System: Fibroma, Sarcon</b> Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	na, Fibrosarcoma, or 6/48 (13%) 24.6% 2/16 (13%) P=0.073 P=0.034	Neurofibrosarcoma 8/50 (16%) 31.3% 3/16 (19%) P=0.548	a 14/50 (28%) 45.2% 5/19 (26%) P = 0.108
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	6/48 (13%) 24.6% 2/16 (13%) P=0.073 P=0.034	8/50 (16%) 31.3% 3/16 (19%) P=0.548	14/50 (28%) 45.2% 5/19 (26%) P=0.108
Overall Rates (c) Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	6/48 (13%) 24.6% 2/16 (13%) P=0.073 P=0.034	8/50 (16%) 31.3% 3/16 (19%) P=0.548	14/50 (28%) 45.2% 5/19 (26%) P=0.108
Adjusted Rates (d) Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	24.6% 2/16 (13%) P = 0.073 P = 0.034	31.3% 3/16(19%) P=0.548	45.2% 5/19 (26%) P = 0.108
Terminal Rates (e) Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	2/16 (13%) P = 0.073 P = 0.034	3/16 (19%) P=0.548	5/19(26%) P=0.108
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.073 P = 0.034	P = 0.548	P = 0.108
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.034		-
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test		1 -0.402	P = 0.050
Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.033		1 = 0.000
		P = 0.419	P = 0.048
ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma	6147 (1901)	0/50 (00)	
Overall Rates (a)	6/47(13%)	0/50(0%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	25.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/16(13%)	0/16(0%)	0/19(0%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.001 N	P = 0.009N	P = 0.011N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.001 N	P = 0.007 N	P = 0.013N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.002N	P = 0.011N	P = 0.011N
ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma	01477 / 401	1/50 (90)	D.(F.D. (C.C.)
Overall Rates (a)	2/47 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.9%	2.6%	12.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/16(6%)	0/16 (0%)	1/19 (5%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.466	P = 0.449N	P = 0.578
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.409	P = 0.624 N	P = 0.523
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.423	$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{O} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{N}$	D0 520
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.477 N	P = 0.530
ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or			
Overall Rates (a)	7/47 (15%)	1/50(2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	31.0%	2.6%	12.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/16 (19%)	0/16 (0%)	1/19 (5%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.059N	P = 0.018N	P = 0.104 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.074N	P = 0.020 N	P = 0.126N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.075N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.024 N	P = 0.134N
lematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	noma, Lymphocytic Ty	ype	
Overall Rates (a)	7/48 (15%)	7/50 (14%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	35.4%	25.5%	2.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/16 (25%)	1/16 (6%)	0/19(0%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.017N	P = 0.466N	P = 0.019 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.019N	P = 0.335N	P = 0.025 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.028N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.581 N	P = 0.026 N
lematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	noma. Histioevtie Typ	e	
Overall Rates (a)	0/48 (0%)	9/50 (18%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	39.4%	16.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/16 (0%)	5/16 (31%)	2/19(11%)
Life Table Tests (d)		P = 0.006	P = 0.087
	P = 0.164 P = 0.122		
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.132 P = 0.118	P = 0.008	P = 0.077
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.118	P = 0.002	P = 0.064

## TABLE E3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
	·····		
Iematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All			
Overall Rates (a)	7/48 (15%)	18/50 (36%)	5/50(10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	35.4%	62.5%	18.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/16(25%)	7/16 (44%)	2/19(11%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.206 N	P = 0.046	P = 0.272N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.253 N	P = 0.067	P = 0.320 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.316N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.013	$P = 0.351 \mathrm{N}$
lematopoietic System: Lymphoma or I	eukemia		
Overall Rates (a)	8/48 (17%)	18/50 (36%)	5/50(10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	37.8%	62.5%	18.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/16 (25%)	7/16 (44%)	2/19(11%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.146N	P = 0.081	P = 0.187 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.176N	P = 0.124	P = 0.223N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.234N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.026	P = 0.250 N
iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	6/48 (13%)	7/50 (14%)	13/50 (26%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	28.5%	43.8%	52.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	28.5% 3/16 (19%)	43.8% 7/16 (44%)	8/19 (42%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.085	P = 0.541	P = 0.138
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.063	P = 0.551	P = 0.098
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.051	P = 0.532	P = 0.075
		1 = 0.002	
liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma	14/49 (90/7)	19/50 (960)	22/50 (44%)
Overall Rates (a)	14/48 (29%)	13/50 (26%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	45.1%	52.0%	71.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/16(13%)	6/16 (38%)	11/19 (58%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.177	P = 0.290 N	P = 0.237
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.073	P = 0.354N	P = 0.094
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.071		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.450 N	P = 0.094
iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Car	cinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	18/48 (38%)	18/50 (36%)	29/50 (58%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	58.5%	76.0%	90.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/16 (31%)	11/16 (69%)	16/19 (84%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.100	P = 0.358N	P = 0.150
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.027	P = 0.3381 P = 0.420 N	P = 0.036
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.027 P = 0.025	1 -0.44011	1 - 0.000
Fisher Exact Test	1 - 0,020	P = 0.522N	P=0.033
drenal: Cortical Adenoma Overall Rates (a)	3/46 (7%)	2/49 (4%)	0/47 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	16.5%	9.9%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/16 (13%)	1/16 (6%)	0/19(0%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.057N	P = 0.425N	P = 0.093 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.058N	P = 0.385N	P = 0.098 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.077 N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.470N	P = 0.117 N
drenal: Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/46 (7%)	5/49(10%)	2/47 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.7%	25.0%	8.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/16 (6%)	3/16 (19%)	0/19(0%)
ierminal nates (c)			
	P = 0.330 N	P = 0.415	P = 0.414N
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.330N P = 0.405N	P = 0.415 P = 0.288	P = 0.414N P = 0.502N
	P = 0.330N P = 0.405N P = 0.409N	P = 0.415 P = 0.288	P = 0.414N P = 0.502N

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

#### TABLE E3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg	
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma or Pheo	chromocytoma, Maligna	nt		
Overall Rates (a)	4/46 (9%)	6/49(12%)	2/47 (4%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	16.3%	27.1%	8.4%	
Terminal Rates (c)	1/16(6%)	3/16 (19%)	0/19(0%)	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.209 N	P = 0.471	P = 0.258N	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.268 N	P = 0.386	P = 0.331 N	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.277 N			
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.411	P = 0.328 N	
'hyroid: Follicular Cell Adenoma				
Overall Rates (a)	4/41 (10%)	1/47(2%)	2/48 (4%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	20,0%	6.3%	8.0%	
Terminal Rates (c)	2/16(13%)	1/16(6%)	1/19 (5%)	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.185N	P = 0.127 N	P = 0.269 N	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.203 N	P = 0.109 N	P = 0.294N	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.186N			
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.141 N	P = 0.266N	

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidence at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact test compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

#### TABLE E4. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE

ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar AdenomaOverall Rates(a) $3/50(6\%)$ $1/50(2\%)$ Adjusted Rates(b) $11.5\%$ $2.9\%$ Terminal Rates(c) $3/26(12\%)$ $1/35(3\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.301N$ $P=0.205N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.399N$ $P=0.309N$ Pisher Exact Test $P=0.399N$ $P=0.309N$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Lymphocytic Type $Overall Rates(a)$ $9/50(18\%)$ Overall Rates(a) $9/50(18\%)$ $10/50(20\%)$ Adjusted Rates(b) $27.4\%$ $25.2\%$ Terminal Rates(c) $5/26(19\%)$ $6/35(17\%)$ Life Table Tests(d) $P=0.449$ $P=0.473N$ Incidental Tumor Tests(d) $P=0.268$ $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic Type $0/50(20\%$ Overall Rates(a) $10/50(20\%)$ $10/50(20\%)$ Adjusted Rates(b) $31.7\%$ $25.6\%$ Terminal Rates(c) $5/26(19\%)$ $7/35(20\%)$ Life Table Tests(d) $P=0.036N$ $P=0.576N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test(d) $P=0.036N$ $P=0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type $Overall Rates(a)$ $2/50(4\%)$ Adjusted Rates(b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ Terminal Rates(c) $1/26(4\%)$ $3/35(9\%)$ Life Table Tests(d) $P=0.420$ $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type $Overall Rates(a)$ $2/50(4\%)$ Adjusted Rates(b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ Terminal Rates(c) $1/26(4\%)$ $3/$	g 500 mg/kg
Adjusted Rates (b)11.5%2.9%Terminal Rates (c) $3/26(12\%)$ $1/35(3\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)P=0.301NP=0.205NCochran Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.414NP=0.205NFisher Exact TestP=0.399N <b>Pematopoletic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Lymphocytic Type</b> Overall Rates (a)9/50(18%)10/50(20%Adjusted Rates (b)27.4%25.2%Terminal Rates (c)5/26(19%)6/35(17%)Life Table Tests (d)P=0.115P=0.366Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.268Fisher Exact TestPisher Exact TestP=0.030N10/50(20%)Matusted Rates (b)31.7%25.6%Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.013NP=0.337NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.013NP=0.337NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.036NP=0.576NCochran Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.036NP=0.576NCochran Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.036NP=0.576NCochran Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.036NS/50 (6%)Adjusted Rates (b)5.8%8.6%Terminal Rates (c)1/26 (4%)3/35 (9%)Life Table Tests (d)P=0.431P=0.508Penanopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed TypeOverall Rates (a)Adjusted Rates (b)5.8%8.6%Terminal Rates (c)1/26 (4%)3/35 (9%)Life Table Tests (d)P=0.431P=0.500ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All MalignantOverall Rates (a)Adjusted Rates (b) <td>2/50 (4%)</td>	2/50 (4%)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.301N$ $P = 0.205N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.414N$ $P = 0.205N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.399N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.399N$ Penatopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Lymphocytic Type Overall Rates (a) $9/50(18\%)$ $10/50(20\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $27.4\%$ $25.2\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26(19\%)$ $6/35(17\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.449$ $P = 0.473N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.449$ $P = 0.473N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.268$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiccytic Type Overail Rates (a) $10/50(20\%)$ $10/50(20\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ $25.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26(19\%)$ $7/35(20\%)$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26(19\%)$ $7/35(20\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ $25.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26(19\%)$ $7/35(20\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ $25.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26(19\%)$ $7/35(20\%)$ Hisher Exact Test $P = 0.036N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.036N$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.3\%$ $8.6\%$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.431$ $P = 0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.431$ $P = 0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant Overail Rates (a) $21/50(42\%)$ $23/50(46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26(42\%)$ $10/35(46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26(4\%)$ $3/35(9\%)$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.337$ Nordental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.473$ Next: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma Overail Rates (a) $4/50(8\%)$ $4/50($	5.6%
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.314N$ $P = 0.205N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.399N$ $P = 0.399N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.399N$ ematopoletic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Lymphocytic Type $Overall Rates(a)$ $9/50(18\%)$ $10/50(20\%)$ Adjusted Rates(b) $27.4\%$ $25.2\%$ Terminal Rates(c) $5/26(19\%)$ $6/32(7\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.449$ $P = 0.473N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.268$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.268$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.0500$ ematopoletic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic TypeOverall Rates (a) $10/50(20\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.067N$ $P = 0.576N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.036N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.598$ ematopoletic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed TypeOverall Rates (a) $2/50(4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ Source Rates (c) $1/26(4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.128$ P = 0.500ematopoletic System: Lymphoma, All MalignantOverall Rates (a) $21/50(4\%)$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.412$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.338$ Dordent Rates (c) $11/26(42\%)$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.348$ <	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.399N$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.399N$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.399N$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Lymphocytic Type $Overall Rates (a)$ $27.4\%$ $25.2\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26$ (19%) $6/35$ (17%) $Life Table Tests (d)$ $P=0.449$ $P=0.309N$ $P=0.473N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.474N$ $P=0.0649$ $P=0.473N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.115$ $P=0.366$ $Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)$ $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic TypeOverall Rates (a) $10/50$ ( $20\%$ ) $10/50$ ( $20\%$ ) $10/50$ ( $20\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ $25.6\%$ $P=0.337N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.036N$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.036N$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.036N$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed TypeOverail Rates (a) $2/50$ ( $4\%$ ) $3/50$ ( $6\%$ ) $3/50$ ( $6\%$ )Adjusted Rates (b) $5.3\%$ $6.6\%$ $P=0.431$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26$ ( $4\%$ ) $3/50$ ( $6\%$ ) $Adjusted Rates (b)$ $5.1\%$ $F=0.020N$ $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All MalignantOverall Rates (a) $2/50$ ( $4\%$ ) $10/26$ ( $42\%$ ) $16/35$ ( $46\%$ $11/26$ ( $42\%$ ) $16/35$ ( $46\%$ $11/26$ ( $42\%$ ) $16/35$ ( $46\%$ $11/26$ (	
Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.309N$ ematopoletic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Lymphocytic TypeOverall Rates (a) $9/50(18\%)$ $10/50(20\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $27.4\%$ $25.2\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26(19\%)$ $6/35(17\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.449$ $P = 0.473N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115$ $P = 0.366$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.268$ $P = 0.0268$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.006$ $10/50(20\%)$ ematopoletic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic Type $0\sqrt{52}6(19\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $10/50(20\%)$ $10/50(20\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ $25.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26(19\%)$ $7/35(20\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.036N$ $P = 0.576N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.036N$ $P = 0.576N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.598$ $P = 0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type $Overall Rates (a)$ $3/50(6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26(42\%)$ $23/50(46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.1\%$ $9 = 0.330$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.420$ Perisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ Prisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26(42\%)$ $23/50(46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.7\%$ $5.4\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Ife Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323$	N $P = 0.538N$
ematopoletic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Lymphocytic TypeOverall Rates (a)9/50 (18%)10/50 (20%Adjusted Rates (b)27.4%25.2%Terminal Rates (c)5/26 (19%)6/35 (17%)Life Table Tests (d)P=0.449P=0.473NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.115P=0.366Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.268FFisher Exact TestP=0.500ematopoletic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic TypeOverall Rates (a)10/50 (20%)10/50 (20%Adjusted Rates (b)31.7%25.6%Terminal Rates (c)5/26 (19%)7/35 (20%)Life Table Tests (d)P=0.013NP=0.337NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.0667NP=0.576NCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.036NFisher Exact TestPoverall Rates (a)2/50 (4%)3/50 (6%)Adjusted Rates (b)5.8%8.6%Adjusted Rates (b)5.8%8.6%Adjusted Rates (b)5.8%8.6%Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.412Fisher Exact TestP=0.500ematopoletic System: Lymphoma, All MalignantOverall Rates (a)Overall Rates (a)2/150 (42%)23/50 (46%Adjusted Rates (b)57.1%54.4%Terminal Rates (c)11/26 (42%)16/35 (46%Life Table Tests (d)P=0.102NP=0.323NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.458P=0.347Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.107P=0.323NFisher Exact TestP=	
Overall Rates (a)9/50 (18%)10/50 (20% 27.4%Adjusted Rates (b)27.4%25.2%Terminal Rates (c)5/26 (19%)6/35 (17%)Life Table Tests (d)P=0.449P=0.473NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.115P=0.366Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.268P=0.500ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic Type0verall Rates (a)10/50 (20%)Adjusted Rates (b)31.7%25.6%Adjusted Rates (c)5/26 (19%)7/35 (20%)Life Table Tests (d)P=0.013NP=0.337NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.036NP=0.576NCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.036NP=0.576NFisher Exact TestP=0.5988.6%ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type0verall Rates (a)2/50 (4%)Adjusted Rates (b)5.8%8.6%Algusted Rates (c)1/26 (4%)3/35 (9%)Life Table Tests (d)P=0.431P=0.500ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant0verall Rates (a)21/50 (42%)Overall Rates (a)21/50 (42%)23/50 (46%Life Table Tests (d)P=0.102NP=0.323NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.020NP=0.323NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.102NP=0.323NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.102NP=0.323NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.102NP=0.323NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.102NP=0.323NVerall Rates (a)21/50 (4%)3/35 (9%)	N $P = 0.500 N$
Adjusted Rates (b) $27.4\%$ $25.2\%$ (17%)Terminal Rates (c) $5/26 (19\%)$ $6/35 (17\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.449$ $P=0.473$ NIncidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.115$ $P=0.366$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.268$ $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic Type $Overall Rates (a)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $10/50 (20\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ $25.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26 (19\%)$ $7/35 (20\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.033$ N $P=0.576$ NCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.036$ N $P=0.576$ NCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.043$ N $P=0.576$ NCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.43$ $P=0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.412$ $P=0.500$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.412$ $P=0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.123$ N $P=0.323$ NIncidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.412$ $P=0.324$ Verail Rates (a) $21/50$ ( $42\%$ ) $16/35$ ( $46\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26$ ( $42\%$ ) $16/35$ ( $46\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Tochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.306$ N	
Terminal Rates (c) $5/26 (19\%)$ $6/35 (17\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)P=0.449P=0.473NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.115P=0.366Fisher Exact TestP=0.268Fisher Exact TestP=0.500ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic Type0verall Rates (a)0.40justed Rates (b)31.7%25.6%Terminal Rates (c) $5/26 (19\%)$ $7/35 (20\%)$ 1.11 Cidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.013NP=0.337NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.036NP=0.598ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type0verall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/55 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)P=0.491P=0.600Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.491P=0.600Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.412P=0.500Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.412P=0.500Pematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All MalignantOverall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Adjusted Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)P=0.020NP=0.323NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.360NP=0.323NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.478P=0.347Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.102NP=0.323NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.102NP=0.323NOverall Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Cochran Armitage	<b>%</b> ) 12/50(24%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.449$ $P=0.473N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.115$ $P=0.366$ Cachran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.268$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic TypeOverall Rates (a) $10/50 (20\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ 25.66 %Terminal Rates (c) $5/26 (19\%)$ 7/35 (20%)Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.013N$ $P=0.337N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.067N$ $P=0.576N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.036N$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed TypeOverall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ S.66 %Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ Pelo.500ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All MalignantOverall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.420Pendental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.428P=0.3370Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.458P=0.347Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.306NFisher Exact TestVerall Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)P=0.420Verall Rates (c) $1/26 (42\%)$ $10/20 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$	35.3%
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115$ $P = 0.366$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.268$ $P = 0.500$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.268$ $P = 0.500$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic Type $0 \sqrt{50} (20\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ $25.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26 (19\%)$ $7/35 (20\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.013N$ $P = 0.337N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.067N$ $P = 0.576N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.036N$ $P = 0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type $0 \sqrt{20} (4\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.491$ $P = 0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.491$ $P = 0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.348$ $P = 0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.412$ $P = 0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $O \sqrt{23}/50 (46\%)$ $Adjusted Rates (b)$ Overall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11/26 (42\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.326N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ <	) 12/34 (35%)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.115$ $P = 0.366$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.268$ $P = 0.500$ Fisher Exact Test $D = 0.268$ $P = 0.500$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic Type $D = 0.020\%$ $D = 0.02\%$ Overall Rates (a) $10/50$ ( $20\%$ ) $10/50$ ( $20\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ $25.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26$ ( $19\%$ ) $7/35$ ( $20\%$ )Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.037N$ $P = 0.337N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.036N$ $P = 0.576N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.036N$ $P = 0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type $O = 0.598$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50$ ( $4\%$ ) $3/50$ ( $6\%$ )Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26$ ( $4\%$ ) $3/35$ ( $9\%$ )Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.491$ $P = 0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.348$ $P = 0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.412$ $P = 0.530$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.12N$ $P = 0.530$ Matter Bates (a) $21/50$ ( $42\%$ ) $23/50$ ( $46\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.71\%$ $54.4\%$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) <t< td=""><td>N <math>P = 0.516</math></td></t<>	N $P = 0.516$
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.268$ $P = 0.500$ <b>Fisher Exact Test</b> $P = 0.0500$ <b>ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic Type</b> Overall Rates (a) $10/50$ ( $20\%$ )Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ 25.6%Terminal Rates (c) $5/26$ ( $19\%$ )Trintial Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.013N$ P = 0.037NIncidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.067N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.038N$ <b>ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type</b> Overall Rates (a) $2/50$ ( $4\%$ )Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ Adjusted Rates (c) $1/26$ ( $4\%$ )J/55 ( $9\%$ )Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.491$ P = 0.600Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.348$ P = 0.530Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.412$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.491$ P = 0.500 <b>ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant</b> Overall Rates (a) $21/50$ ( $42\%$ )Overall Rates (a) $21/50$ ( $42\%$ )Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26$ ( $42\%$ )Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.306N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.323$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ P = 0.420 $23/50$ ( $4\%$ )Adjusted Rates (a) $2/50$ ( $4\%$ )Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.167$	P = 0.267
Fisher Exact Test $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Histiocytic Type Overall Rates (a) $10/50 (20\%)$ $10/50 (20\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ $25.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26 (19\%)$ $7/35 (20\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.013N$ $P=0.337N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.036N$ $P=0.576N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.036N$ $P=0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type $Overall Rates (a)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/55 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.348$ $P=0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.491$ $P=0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.491$ $P=0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.412$ $P=0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.412$ $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $Overall Rates (a)$ $2/50 (42\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.102N$ $P=0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.306N$ $P=0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.306N$ $P=0.326N$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.306N$ $11/26 (42\%)$ Were: Hepatocellular Adenoma $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.102N$ $P=0.420$ Were: Hepatocellular Adenoma	
Overall Rates (a)       10/50 (20%)       10/50 (20%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       31.7%       25.6%         Terminal Rates (c)       5/26 (19%)       7/35 (20%)         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.013N       P=0.337N         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.067N       P=0.576N         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.036N       Fisher Exact Test         Particle Tests (a)       2/50 (4%)       3/50 (6%)         Adjusted Rates (a)       2/50 (4%)       3/50 (6%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       5.8%       8.6%         Terminal Rates (c)       1/26 (4%)       3/35 (9%)         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.491       P=0.600         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.412       P=0.500         Fisher Exact Test       P=0.500       23/50 (46%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       57.1%       54.4%         Terminal Rates (c)       11/26 (42%)       16/35 (46%         Adjusted Rates (b)       57.1%       54.4%         Terminal Rates (c)       11/26 (42%)       16/35 (46%)         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.306N       P=0.323N         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.306N       Fisher Exact Test         Verall Rates (a)       2/50	P = 0.312
Overall Rates (a)       10/50 (20%)       10/50 (20%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       31.7%       25.6%         Terminal Rates (c)       5/26 (19%)       7/35 (20%)         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.013N       P=0.337N         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.067N       P=0.576N         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.036N       Fisher Exact Test         Particle Tests (a)       2/50 (4%)       3/50 (6%)         Adjusted Rates (a)       2/50 (4%)       3/50 (6%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       5.8%       8.6%         Terminal Rates (c)       1/26 (4%)       3/35 (9%)         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.491       P=0.600         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.412       P=0.500         Fisher Exact Test       P=0.500       23/50 (46%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       57.1%       54.4%         Terminal Rates (c)       11/26 (42%)       16/35 (46%         Adjusted Rates (b)       57.1%       54.4%         Terminal Rates (c)       11/26 (42%)       16/35 (46%)         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.306N       P=0.323N         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.306N       Fisher Exact Test         Verall Rates (a)       2/50	
Adjusted Rates (b) $31.7\%$ $25.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $5/26 (19\%)$ $7/35 (20\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.013N       P=0.337N         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.067N       P=0.576N         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.036N       P=0.598         ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type       0verall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ $8.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.491       P=0.600       Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.412       Fisher Exact Test       P=0.500         ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant       Overall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.102N       P=0.323N       Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.326N         Fisher Exact Test       V=0.020N       P=0.323N       Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.306N       Fisher Exact Test       P=0.420         iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma       0/250 (4\%) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ $3$	
Terminal Rates (c) $5/26 (19\%)$ $7/35 (20\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.013N$ $P = 0.337N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.067N$ $P = 0.576N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.036N$ $P = 0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed TypeOverall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ $8.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ $1.6600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.348$ $P = 0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.412$ $P = 0.500$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $Overall Rates (a)$ $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.326$ $P = 0.339$ Overall Rates (a)<	
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.013N$ $P = 0.337N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.067N$ $P = 0.576N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.036N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type $0 Verall Rates (a)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.491$ $P = 0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.412$ $P = 0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.412$ $P = 0.500$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Viver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $0 Verall Rates (a)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.038$ $P = 0.339$ Verall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ <	8.8%
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.067N$ $P=0.576N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.036N$ $P=0.598$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type $Overall Rates (a)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ Overail Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.491$ $P=0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.491$ $P=0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.412$ $P=0.500$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.500$ $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $Overall Rates (a)$ $21/50 (42\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.102N$ $P=0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.306N$ $P=0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.306N$ $P=0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.108$ $P=0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.099$ $P=0.339$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.099$ $P=0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.256$ $P=0.561$	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.036N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed TypeOverall Rates (a)Overall Rates (a) $2/50$ (4%)Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26$ (4%)Jift Table Tests (d) $P = 0.491$ P=0.600Incidental Tumor Tests (d)Doctaran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.491$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.530$ cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.412$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $Overall Rates (a)$ Overall Rates (a) $21/50$ (42%)Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ Staff Terminal Rates (c) $11/26$ (42%)Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.306N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.420$ Siver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $P = 0.167$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50$ (4%)Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ P = 0.478 $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ P = 0.478 $P = 0.339$ Siver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $P = 0.339$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $6/50$ (12%)Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $6/50$ (12%)Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c)	
Fisher Exact Test $P=0.598$ ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed Type Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.491$ $P=0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.348$ $P=0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.412$ $P=0.500$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $Overall Rates (a)$ $21/50 (42\%)$ Overall Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.102N$ $P=0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.306N$ $P=0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.306N$ $P=0.420$ Ver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.167$ $P=0.420$ Ver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $P=0.099$ $P=0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.099$ $P=0.339$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.099$ $P=0.339$ Ver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ <t< td=""><td>N <math>P = 0.124N</math></td></t<>	N $P = 0.124N$
ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma, Mixed TypeOverall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.491$ $P=0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.348$ $P=0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.412$ $P=0.500$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.500$ $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $Overall Rates (a)$ $21/50 (42\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.102N$ $P=0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.306N$ $P=0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.306N$ $P=0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.306N$ $P=0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $0/4\%$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.167$ $P=0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.108$ $P=0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.099$ $P=0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.339$ $P=0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (12\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.256$ $P=$	
Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ Arminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.491$ $P=0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.348$ $P=0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.412$ $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All MalignantOverall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.102N$ $P=0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.458$ $P=0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.306N$ $P=0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.466N$ $P=0.420$ Iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $0verall Rates (a)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.108$ $P=0.478$ Decidant Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.009$ $P=0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.009$ $P=0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.339$ Ever: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.256$ $P=0$	P = 0.036 N
Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ Arminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.491$ $P=0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.348$ $P=0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.412$ $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All MalignantOverall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.102N$ $P=0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.458$ $P=0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.306N$ $P=0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.466N$ $P=0.420$ Iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $0verall Rates (a)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.108$ $P=0.478$ Decidant Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.009$ $P=0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.009$ $P=0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.339$ Ever: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.256$ $P=0$	
Adjusted Rates (b) $5.8\%$ $8.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26$ (4%) $3/35$ (9%)Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.491$ $P = 0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.348$ $P = 0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.412$ $P = 0.500$ <b>Fisher Exact Test</b> $P = 0.500$ <b>ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant</b> $0$ verall Rates (a) $21/50$ ( $42\%$ )Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26$ ( $42\%$ ) $16/35$ ( $46\%$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $0$ verall Rates (a) $2/50$ ( $4\%$ ) $4/50$ ( $8\%$ )Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26$ ( $4\%$ ) $3/35$ ( $9\%$ )Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $O$ verall Rates (a) $4/50$ ( $8\%$ )Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ ( $8\%$ ) $6/50$ ( $12\%$ )Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26$ ( $12\%$ ) $5/35$ ( $14\%$ )Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = $	3/50 (6%)
Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.491$ $P = 0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.348$ $P = 0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.412$ $P = 0.500$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $P = 0.500$ Overall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $Q/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.399$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$ <td>8.4%</td>	8.4%
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.491$ $P = 0.600$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.348$ $P = 0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.412$ $P = 0.500$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All MalignantOverall Rates (a) $21/50$ ( $42\%$ ) $23/50$ ( $46\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26$ ( $42\%$ ) $16/35$ ( $46\%$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $0verall Rates (a)$ $2/50$ ( $4\%$ ) $3/35$ ( $9\%$ )Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50$ ( $8\%$ )Overall Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26$ ( $12\%$ ) $5/35$ ( $14\%$ )Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.348$ $P = 0.530$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.412$ $P = 0.500$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $P = 0.500$ Overall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $0$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $O$ $O$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	2/34 (6%)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.412$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $21/50 (42\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.102N$ P=0.323NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.458 $P=0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.306N$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $2/50 (4\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.167$ P=0.478Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.108 $P=0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.099$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or CarcinomaOverall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ Gothran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P=0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or CarcinomaOverall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ Gothran-Armitage (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ Incidental CarcinomaOverall Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ Solution (a) $P=0.256$ P=0.561	P=0.557
Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.500$ ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Siver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (44\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ $F = $	P = 0.355
ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ $735 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	
Overall Rates (a) $21/50 (42\%)$ $23/50 (46\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.333$ $P = 0.333$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $O$ $O$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%$	P = 0.500
Adjusted Rates (b) $57.1\%$ $54.4\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $11/26$ ( $42\%$ ) $16/35$ ( $46\%$ )         Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $0verall Rates (a)$ $2/50$ ( $4\%$ ) $4/50$ ( $8\%$ )         Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26$ ( $4\%$ ) $3/35$ ( $9\%$ )         Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50$ ( $8\%$ ) $6/50$ ( $12\%$ )         Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ $5/35$ ( $14\%$ ) $Life$ Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	
Terminal Rates (c) $11/26 (42\%)$ $16/35 (46\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma       Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	%) 18/50 (36%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.320N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ $G/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	51.4%
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.102N$ $P = 0.323N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.320N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ $G/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	6) 17/34 (50%)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.458$ $P = 0.347$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.306N$ $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $0$ verall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ $O$ verall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.306N$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma $0$ verall Rates (a) $Adjusted Rates (a)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $Adjusted Rates (b)$ $7.3\%$ $Terminal Rates (c)$ $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or CarcinomaOverall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $Adjusted Rates (b)$ $14.7\%$ $Terminal Rates (c)$ $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	P = 0.530
Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.420$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma       0verall Rates (a)       2/50 (4%)       4/50 (8%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       7.3%       11%         Terminal Rates (c)       1/26 (4%)       3/35 (9%)         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.167       P = 0.478         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.108       P = 0.431         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.099       Fisher Exact Test         iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma       0verall Rates (a)       4/50 (8%)         Overall Rates (a)       14.7%       16.6%         Terminal Rates (c)       3/26 (12%)       5/35 (14%)         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.256       P = 0.561	1 - 0,000
Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)         P=0.167         P=0.478           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.108         P=0.431           Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P=0.099         Fisher Exact Test           Wer: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma         Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)         P=0.256         P=0.561	P = 0.341 N
Overall Rates (a) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $7.3\%$ $11\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)         P=0.167         P=0.478           Incidental Tumor Tests (d)         P=0.108         P=0.431           Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P=0.099         Fisher Exact Test           Wer: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma         Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)         P=0.256         P=0.561	
Adjusted Rates (b)       7.3%       11%         Terminal Rates (c)       1/26 (4%)       3/35 (9%)         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.167       P=0.478         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P=0.108       P=0.431         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.099       Fisher Exact Test         Wer: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma       0verall Rates (a)       4/50 (8%)       6/50 (12%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       14.7%       16.6%       Terminal Rates (c)       3/26 (12%)       5/35 (14%)         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.256       P=0.561       14.7%       16.6%	6/50 (12%)
Terminal Rates (c) $1/26 (4\%)$ $3/35 (9\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.167       P = 0.478         Incidental Tumor Tests (d)       P = 0.108       P = 0.431         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.099       P = 0.339         Fisher Exact Test       P = 0.339         Adjusted Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b)       14.7%       16.6%         Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.256       P = 0.561	17.6%
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.167$ $P = 0.478$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.108$ $P = 0.431$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ $P = 0.339$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or CarcinomaOverall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	6/34 (18%) B=0.221
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.099$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or CarcinomaOverall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ P = 0.561	P = 0.231
Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.339$ iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma         6/50 (12%)           Overall Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         6/50 (12%)           Adjusted Rates (b)         14.7%         16.6%           Terminal Rates (c)         3/26 (12%)         5/35 (14%)           Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	P=0.139
iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or CarcinomaOverall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $6/50 (12\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	<b>.</b>
Overall Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         6/50 (12%)           Adjusted Rates (b)         14.7%         16.6%           Terminal Rates (c)         3/26 (12%)         5/35 (14%)           Life Table Tests (d)         P = 0.256         P = 0.561	P = 0.134
Overall Rates (a)         4/50 (8%)         6/50 (12%)           Adjusted Rates (b)         14.7%         16.6%           Terminal Rates (c)         3/26 (12%)         5/35 (14%)           Life Table Tests (d)         P = 0.256         P = 0.561	
Adjusted Rates (b) $14.7\%$ $16.6\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	) 8/50 (16%)
Terminal Rates (c) $3/26 (12\%)$ $5/35 (14\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	23.5%
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.256$ $P = 0.561$	
	P = 0.325
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.191$ $P = 0.524$	P = 0.323 P = 0.231
	r – 0.201
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.141$ Fisher Exact Test $P = 0.370$	P = 0.178

#### TABLE E4. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY<br/>OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

	Vehicle Control	250 mg/kg	500 mg/kg
Pituitary: Adenoma	<u>a a.e.</u>		······································
Overall Rates (a)	11/47 (23%)	10/41 (24%)	4/44 (9%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	42.1%	31.3%	12.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	10/25 (40%)	10/32 (31%)	4/33 (12%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.006N	P = 0.245N	P = 0.009N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)			
	P = 0.009N P = 0.056N	P = 0.299 N	P = 0.015N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.056N	P = 0.555	P = 0.058N
Pituitary: Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	5/47 (11%)	3/41 (7%)	1/44 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	19.1%	9.4%	3.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	$\frac{19.1\%}{4/25(16\%)}$	3/32 (9%)	1/33 (3%)
Life Table Tests (d)			
	P = 0.032N	P = 0.228N	P = 0.053N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.054N	P = 0.302 N	P = 0.093 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.085 N	D 0 1007	
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.436N	P = 0.117N
ituitary: Adenoma or Adenocarcinom			
Overall Rates (a)	16/47 (34%)	13/41 (32%)	4/44 (9%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	59.1%	40.6%	12.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	14/25 (56%)	13/32 (41%)	4/33 (12%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001 N	P = 0.083 N	P<0.001N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001N	P = 0.138N	P<0.001N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.005 N	******	
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.499N	P = 0.004 N
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/48 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	8.0%	2.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/26(0%)	2/35 (6%)	1/34 (3%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.454	P=0.184	P = 0.554
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.310	P = 0.112	P = 0.554
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.391		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.129	P = 0.510
Thyroid: Follicular Cell Adenoma or C	arcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	3/49 (6%)	4/49 (8%)	0/46 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.5%	11.4%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/26 (12%)	4/35 (11%)	0/34 (0%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.063 N	P = 0.652N	P = 0.077N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.063N	P = 0.652N	P = 0.077N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.131N	a — VIVV411	0.01111
Fisher Exact Test	I - 0,10111	P = 0.500	P = 0.133N
Jterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	5/49 (10%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.5%	14.3%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)			
	3/26(12%)	5/35(14%)	0/34(0%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.070N	P = 0.527 P = 0.527	P = 0.077 N P = 0.077 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.070N	P = 0.527	P = 0.077 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test	P = 0.134N	P = 0.346	P = 0.121 N
fandanian Clands Adamana			
larderian Gland: Adenoma	0/50/10	DIED LOOK	1/50/02
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.9%	8.6%	2.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/26 (4%)	3/35 (9%)	1/34 (3%)
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.328 N	P = 0.603	P = 0.463 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.379 N	P = 0.530	P = 0.539 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.399N		P = 0.500 N
		P = 0.500	

#### TABLE E4. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOPHORONE (Continued)

<sup>(</sup>a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

<sup>(</sup>b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidence at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

<sup>(</sup>c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

<sup>(</sup>d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact test compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

#### APPENDIX F

# HISTORICAL INCIDENCES OF TUMORS IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE

#### TABLE F1. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PREPUTIAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

	Number of <u>Animals Examined</u>	Number of <u>Tumors</u>	Diagnosis
Trichloroethylene	50	4(8%) 1(2%)	Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS
TOTAL		5(10%)	
Overall Historical Incidence			
	1,094	19 12 2 5	Adenoma, NOS Carcinoma, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma Adenocarcinoma, NOS
TOTAL		38 (3.5%)	
Range Low High		0/50 7/50	

#### Incidence at Papanicolaou Cancer Research Institute

(a) Data as of March 16, 1983, for NTP carcinogenesis studies of at least 104 weeks

#### TABLE F2. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PANCREATIC TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

	Incidence in Vehicle Controls		
Study	Acinar Cell Adenoma	Acinar Cell Carcinoma	
istorical Incidence at Papanico	laou Cancer Research Institute		
Trichloroethylene	0/47	0/47	
verall Historical Incidence (b)			
TOTAL	35/1,076 (3.3%)	2/1,076 (0.2%)	
SD(b)	7.18%	0.59%	
ange (c)			
High	14/50	1/49	
Low	0/50	0/50	

(a) Data as of March 16, 1983, for NTP carcinogenesis studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
#### TABLE F3. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF KIDNEY TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

Overall Historical Incidence (b)						
Number of <u>Animals Examined</u>	Number of <u>Tumors</u>	Diagnosis	Site			
1,091	1 2 2	Transitional cell papilloma Adenocarcinoma, NOS Tubular cell carcinoma	Kidney, NOS Kidney, NOS Kidney, NOS			
TOTAL	1 (<0.1%) 4 (0.4%)	Transitional cell tumors Tubular cell tumors				

#### Historical Incidence at Papanicolaou Cancer Research Institute 0/48

Trichloroethylene

(a) Data as of March 16, 1983, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) No more than one kidney neoplasm was observed in any group.

#### TABLE F4. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE B6C3F1 MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

			<u>idence in Vehicle Control</u>	
Study	Fibroma Y	Fibrosarcoma	Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma	Fibroma, Sarcoma, Fibro- sarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma
Historical I	incidence at Pap	anicolaou Cance	r Research Institute	
Trichloro	ethylene			
	0/49	0/49	0/49	0/49
Overall His	storical Inciden	ce		
TOTAL	16/1.040 (1.5%)	28/1.040 (2.7%)	54/1,040 (5.2%)	70/1,040 (6.7%)
SD(b)	2.44%	4.03%	5.14%	6.56%
Range (c)				
High	4/50	8/48	9/48	11/50
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50	0/50

(a) Data as of March 16, 1983, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation
(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

## TABLE F5. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN MALE $\rm B6C3F_1$ MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

	Incidence in Vehicle Controls		
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
orical Incidence at Pa	panicolaou Cancer	Research Institute	
Trichloroethylene	4/49	3/49	7/49
erall Historical Incide	nce		
TOTAL	98/1,032 (9.5%)	58/1,032(5.6%)(b)	154/1,032 (14.9%) (b)
SD (c)	4.60%	4.05%	5.82%
ge (d)	10/50	7/50	10/50
High Low	10/50 0/47	7/50 0/50	13/50 2/50

(a) Data as of March 16, 1983, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Includes one adenocarcinoma, unclear primary or metastatic

(c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

## TABLE F6. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN MALE B6C3F1 MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

	In	Incidence in Vehicle Controls		
Study	Lymphoma	Leukemia	Lymphoma or Leukemia	
rical Incidence at	Papanicolaou Cancer R	lesearch Institute		
Trichloroethylene	11/50	0/50	11/50	
erall Historical Incid	ence			
TOTAL SD(b)	126/1,040 (12.1%) 5.13%	6/1,040 (0.6%) 2.30%	132/1,040 (12.7%) 5.89%	
nge (c)				
High	11/50	5/48	13/48	

(a) Data as of March 16, 1983, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

## TABLE F7. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN MALE $\rm B6C3F_1$ MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

Adenoma		
	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
panicolaou Cancer Re	esearch Institute	
3/48	8/48	11/48
nce		
132/1,034(12.8%) 6.45%	218/1,034(21.1%) 7.57%	335/1,034(32.4%) 9.35%
13/50 0/50	18/50 4/48	25/50 7/50
	3/48 nce 132/1,034 (12.8%) 6.45% 13/50	nce 132/1,034 (12.8%) 6.45% 13/50 18/50

(a) Data as of March 16, 1983, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

## TABLE F8. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE B6C3F<sub>1</sub> MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

	Incidence in Vehicle Controls		
Study	All Adenoma (b)	All Carcinoma (c)	All Adenoma or Carcinoma
istorical Incidence at I	Papanicolaou Cancer Re	esearch Institute	
Trichloroethylene	3/27	0/27	3/27
overall Historical Incid	lence		
TOTAL SD (d)	113/905 (12.5%) 6.07%	10/905(1.1%) 2.42%	123/905 (13.6%) 6.93%
lange(e) High Low	11/43 2/44	4/47 0/48	14/49 2/44

(a) Data as of March 16, 1983, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Includes adenoma, NOS, and seven chromophobe adenomas. No adenomas of other descriptions were diagnosed.

(c) Includes carcinoma, NOS, and one acidophil carcinoma. No other malignant tumors were diagnosed.

(d) Standard deviation (e) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

## APPENDIX G

## CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF

### ISOPHORONE

#### I. Identity and Purity Determinations of Isophorone Performed by the Analytical **Chemistry Laboratory**

A. Lot no. 1204

1.	1. Physical properties		Determined	<u>Literature Values</u>
	a.	Boiling point:	215°-216° C (visual, micro, Büchi mp/bp apparatus) Endotherm from 216°-220° C (Dupont 900 DTA)	215° C (Patty, 1963)
	b.	Density:		1
			$d_{22}^{22}$ : 0.9199 ±0.004 (s)	d <sup>20.</sup> 0.9229 (Patty, 1963)
	c.	Appearance:	Clear, colorless liquid	
2.	Sp	ectral data		
	a.	Infrared		
		Instrument:	Beckman IR-12	
		Cell:	Thin film between silver	chloride plates
		Results:	See Figure 5	Consistent with literature spectrum (Sadtler Standard Spectra)
	b.	Ultraviolet/visible		

#### b. Ultraviolet/visible

Instrument:	Cary 118			
Solvent: Methanol		Cyclohexane		
Results:	$\lambda_{max}$	$\epsilon_{\rm max} \times 10^{-3}$	$\lambda_{max}$	$\epsilon_{max} \times 10^{-3}$
	307 236	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0576 \pm 0.0001 \\ 12.8912 \pm 0.1000 \end{array}$	335 226	0.0332 14.4570

(Calculated from literature spectrum: Sadtler Standard Spectra)



#### FIGURE 5. INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF ISOPHORONE (LOT NO. 1204)

149

. •

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

## **APPENDIX G. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

c.	Nuclear magnetic resonance	Determined	<u>Literature Values</u>
	Instrument:	Varian EM-360-A	
	Solvent:	Deuterated chloroform with internal tetra- methylsilane standard	
	Assignments:	See Figure 6	Consistent with literature spectrum (Sadtler Standard Spectra). On literature spectrum done in carbon tetrachloride, the (c) protons are partially resolved into two peaks.
	Chemical shift (δ): b c d	·, ····	
	Integration ratios: a	6.12	

	с	s, 2.15 ppm (bro and unresolved
	d	m, 5.84 ppm
Integration ratios:	a	6.12
	b	2.71
	с	4.16
	d	1.00
		1.00

- 3. Water analysis (Karl Fischer):  $0.28\% \pm 0.01$  ( $\delta$ )%
- 4. Elemental analysis

Element	С	Н	
Theory	78.21	10.21	
Determined	78.59	10.43	
	78.30	10.48	

# FIGURE 6. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF ISOPHORONE (LOT NO. 1204)





#### 5. Chromatographic analyses

#### a. Thin-layer chromatography

**Plates:** Silica Gel 60, F-254, 0.25 mm layer **Ref. standard:** Ninhydrin, 10 µg (1 µg of a 10 µg/µl solution in methanol) **Amount spotted:** 100 and 300 µg (10 and 30 µl of a 10 µg/µl solution of isophorone in methanol) and 1 µl of neat liquid. Chromatography was run in unsaturated tanks.

**Visualization:** Ultraviolet light (254 nm) and spray of 0.4% 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine in 2N hydrochloric acid (Stahl, 1969)

System 1: Hexanes: ethyl acetate (75:25)

Spot Intensity	$\mathbf{R_{f}}$	$\mathbf{R}_{st}$
Slight trace	0.89	13.75
Slight trace	0.79	12.15
Minor	0.73	11.31
Slight trace	0.67	10.26
Major	0.47	7.26
Trace	0.20	3.14

System 2: Chloroform (100%)

Spot Intensity	$\mathbf{R_{f}}$	R <sub>st</sub>
Slight trace	0.92	16.67
Trace	0.48	8.64
Trace	0.38	6.96
Major	0.27	4.96
Slight trace	0.08	1.47

b. Gas chromatography

Instrument: Varian 3700 Detector: Flame ionization Inlet temperature: 200°C Carrier gas: Nitrogen Carrier flow rate: 70 ml/min

#### System 1

Column: 10% SP-2100 on 100/120 Supelcoport, 1.8 m  $\times$  4 mm ID, glass Detector temperature: 270° C

**Oven temperature program:** 50° C for 5 min, 50-250° C at 10° C/min **Sample injected:** Neat liquid (4 µl) and 4 µl 1.0% and 0.5% isophorone in chloroform to quantitate the major peak and check for detector overload

**Results:** Major peak and 14 impurities, 1 before and 13 after the major peak. The peak before the major peak had an area of 1.9% of the major peak area. The combined area of all 13 impurity peaks after the major peak was 0.86% that of the major peak.

Peak No.	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time Relative to Major peak	Area (percent of major peak)
1	12.0	0.90	1.9
2	13.3	1.00	100.
3	14.7	1.11	0.03
4	14.9	1.12	0.10
5	15.3	1.15	0.06
6	15.4	1.16 Unresolved	0.05
7	15.6	1.17 – group of	0.21
8	15.9	1.19 peaks	0.12
9	16.0	1.20	0.05
10	16.2	1.22	0.03
11	17.0	1.28	0.04
12	17.8	1.34	0.13
13	18.5	1.39	0.01
14	18.8	1.41	0.01
15	19,3	1.45	0.02

#### System 2

**Column:** 10% Carbowax 20M-TPA on 80/100 Chromosorb W(AW),  $1.8 \text{ m} \times 4 \text{ mm}$  ID, glass

**Detector temperature: 250°C** 

**Oven temperature program:**  $60^{\circ}$  C for 5 min,  $60^{\circ}$ -200° C at  $10^{\circ}$  C/min **Sample injected:** Neat liquid (4 µl) and 4 µl of 1.0% and 0.5% isophorone in chloroform to quantitate the major peak and check for detector overload **Results:** Major peak and seven impurities, three before and four after the major peak. One impurity before the major peak had an area of 1.5% of the major peak area. Three other impurities after the major peak had areas of 0.23% (two unresolved peaks) and 0.52% of the major peak area. The remaining three impurities had areas totaling 0.07% that of the major peak.

Peak No.	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time Relative to Major peak	Area (percent of major peak)
1	12.4	0.82	1.5
2	13.7	0.01	
3	13.9	0.91 Unres	olved 0.23
4	15.0	1.00	100.00
5	16.4	1.09	0.52
6	18.0	1.20 J. Unrese	
7	18.2	1.21 Unres	olved 0.06
8	24.1	1.60	0.01

## 6. Identification of a 1.9% impurity (gas chromatography, system 1, peak 1) by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry

#### a. System

**Instrument:** Varian MAT 311-A mass spectrometer interfaced via a Watson-Biemann helium separator to a Varian 2700 gas chromatograph. Data processed by a Varian 620/i computer.

Chromatographic column: 10% SP-2100 on 100/120 Supelcoport;  $1.8 \text{ m} \times 2 \text{ mm}$  ID, glass

Carrier gas: Helium, 30 ml/min

**Oven temperature program:** 5 min at 50° C, then 50°-250° C at 10° C/min **Inlet temperature:** 200° C

Transfer temperature: 285°C

**Electron energy:** 70 ev

Sample injected: 2 µl of a 200 ng/µl solution of isophorone in chloroform

#### b. Chromatographic results by ion current detection

Peak No.	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time Relative to Isophorone
1	14.2	0.92
2	15.4	1.00

Fragmentation Pattern of Peak No. 1		Literature Spectrum of Isophorone (Eight Peak Index	
<u>m/e</u>	Percent of Base Peak	<u>m/e</u>	Percent of Base Peak
82	100	82	100
138	26	39	28
69	20	138	17
54	13	27	17
83	13	41	13
55	12	54	13
81	9	53	9
91	6	29	7

#### c. Fragmentation pattern of the impurity peak (peak no. 1 above)

Peak no. 1 could not be positively identified by comparison with literature spectra; however, the type of fragmentation obtained indicates that it is probably an isomer of isophorone.

7. Conclusions: Results of elemental analysis for carbon and hydrogen were in agreement with the theoretical values. Karl Fischer analysis indicated  $0.28\% \pm 0.01(s)\%$  water. Thin-layer chromatography by one system indicated three slight trace impurities, one trace impurity, and one minor impurity. A second thin-layer system indicated two slight trace impurities and two trace impurities. Gas chromatography with a 10% SP-2100 column indicated a major peak and 14 impurities, one before and 13 after the major peak. The peak before the major peak had an area of 1.9% of the major peak area and could be an isomer of isophorone. The remaining 13 impurities had peak areas totaling 0.86% of the major peak. A second gas chromatography system (10% Carbowax 20M-TPA) indicated seven impurities, three before and four after the major peak. One peak before the major peak had an area of 1.5% of the major peak area. Two unresolved peaks before the major peak had a combined area of 0.23% of the major peak, and one peak after the major peak had a relative area of 0.52%. The other three impurities had a combined relative area of 0.07%. The infrared and nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were consistent with the structure of isophorone. The ultraviolet/visible spectrum was consistent with the structure, but differed from the literature spectrum somewhat in  $\lambda_{max}$  and  $\varepsilon_{max}$ . The literature spectrum was run in a different solvent.

### **APPENDIX G. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

B.	Lo	ot no	o. L05228	1				
	1.	Ph	ysical a	ppearance:	Clear, y	ellow, nonviscous liquid		
	2.	Sp	ectral da	ta	Determ	nined	<u>Literature</u>	Values
		a.	Infrared	i				
			Instrum	ent:	Perkin-	Elmer 283		
			Cell:		Thin fil chloride	m between silver e plates		
			Results:		See Fig	ure 7	Consistent v literature s (Sadtler Sta Spectra)	pectrum
		b.	Ultravio	let/visible				
			Instrum	ent:	Cary 21	9		
			Solvent		Methan	ol	Cyclohe	exane
					from 80 but an i	orbance maxima 0 to 350 nm, ncrease in ince toward 350 nm ed.		
			Results		λ <sub>max</sub> 10 <sup>-3</sup>	$\epsilon_{max} \times 10^{-3}$	$\lambda_{max}$	ε <sub>max</sub> ×
					308 235	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0516 \pm 0.0002(\text{s}) \\ 12.7 \pm 0.2(\text{s}) \end{array}$	335 226	0.0332 14.4
							(Calculated literature s Sadtler Star	pectrum:

Sadtler Standa Spectra)



FIGURE 7. INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF ISOPHORONE (LOT NO. L052281)

	uclear magnetic esonance	<u>Determined</u>	<u>Literature Values</u>
Iı	nstrument:	Varian EM-360-A	
S	olvent:	Deuterated chloroform with tetramethylsilane internal standard	
А	ssignments:	See Figure 8	Consistent with literature spectrum (Sadtler Standard Spectra). On literature spectrum done in carbon tetrachloride, the (c) protons are partially resolved into two peaks.
С	hemical shift (δ):	<ul> <li>a s, 1.03 ppm</li> <li>b s, 1.93 ppm</li> <li>c s, 2.17 ppm</li> <li>d m, 5.82 ppm</li> <li>e impurity, 1.20 ppm</li> <li>f impurity, 3.35 ppm</li> </ul>	
Ir	ntegration ratios:	a 6.03 b 3.00 c 3.98 d 1.00 e impurity, 0.26 f impurity, 0.26	

4. Elemental analysis

С	Н
78.21	10.21
78.61 78.49	10.44 10.55
	78.21

#### FIGURE 8. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF ISOPHORONE (LOT NO. 052281)

1







в

#### 5. Chromatographic analysis

#### a. Thin-layer chromatography

**Plates:** Silica Gel 60, F-254, 0.25 mm layer **Ref. standard:** Ninhydrin, 10 µg (1 µg of a 10 µg/µl solution in methanol) **Amount spotted:** 100 and 300 µg (10 and 30 µl of a 10 µg/µl solution of isophorone in methanol) and 1 µl of neat liquid. Chromatography was run in unsaturated tanks.

**Visualization:** Ultraviolet light (254 nm) and spray of 0.4% 2,4dinitrophenylhydrazine in 2N hydrochloric acid (Stahl, 1969)

System 1: Hexanes: ethyl acetate (75:25)

Spot Intensity	$\mathbf{R_{f}}$	$\mathbf{R_{st}}$	
Major	0.67	3.94	
Minor	0.37	2.18	
Slight trace	0.33	1.94	
Slight trace	0.25	1.47	
Slight trace	0.06	0.35	
Slight trace	0.01	0.06	
Reference	0.17		

System 2: Chloroform (100%)

Spot Intensity	$\mathbf{R_{f}}$	$\mathbf{R_{st}}$	
Minor	0.51	10.20	
Major	0.36	7.20	
Minor	0.08	1.60	
Slight trace	0.02	0.40	
Reference	0.05		

b. Gas Chromatography

Instrument: Varian 3700 Detector: Flame ionization Inlet temperature: 200°C Carrier gas: Nitrogen Carrier flow rate: 70 ml/min

#### System 1

Column: 10% SP-2100 on 100/120 Supelcoport, 1.8 m × 4 mm ID, glass Detector temperature: 270° C

**Oven temperature program:** 50° C for 5 min, then 50°-250° C at 10° C/min **Sample injected:** Neat liquid (4 µl) and 1.0% and 0.5% solutions of isophorone in methylene chloride to quantitate the major peak and check for detector overload

**Results:** Major peak and 10 impurities, 1 before and 9 after the major peak. Peaks 3 through 9 were only partially resolved. The impurity before the major peak had an area of 0.46% relative to the major peak area. The nine impurities following the major peak had a combined relative area of 1.54%.

Peak No.	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time Relative to Major peak	Area (percent of major peak)
1	12.4	0.89	0.46
2	13.9	1.00	100
3	15.1	1.08	0.25
4	15.2	1.09 Unresolved	0.20
5	15.4	1.11	0.11
6	15.8	1.13 - Unresolve	d 0.14
7	15.9	1.14	0.14
8	16.1	1.16	0.04
9	16.6	1.19	0.58
10	17.9	1.29	0.09
11	18.4	1.32	0.33

#### System 2

**Column:** 10% Carbowax 20M-TPA on 80/100 Chromosorb W(AW),  $1.8 \text{ m} \times 4 \text{ mm}$  ID, glass

**Detector temperature:** 250°C

**Oven temperature program:** 60°C for 5 min, then 60°-200°C at 10°C/min **Sample injected:** Neat liquid (4 µl) and 1.0% and 0.5% solutions of isophorone in methylene chloride to quantitate the major peak and check for detector overload **Results:** Major peak and eight impurities, four before and four after the major peak. Peak no. 1, which occurred before the major peak and had a relative area of 0.45%, was actually a group of unresolved impurities. Peak no. 6, which was observed after the major peak, had an area of 2.5% relative to the major peak area. The remaining six impurities had a combined relative area of 1.32%.

Peak No.	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time Relative to Major peak	Area (percent of major peak)
1 Group of unresolved impurities	11.7-13.0	0.79-0.88	0.45
<sup>2</sup> / <sub>3</sub> Unresolved	13.7	0.91 0.92	0.18
4 5 6 7 8Unresolved	14.3 14.8 16.0 17.8 18.2	0.97 1.00 1.08	0.06 100 2.5
9	23.6	1.20 1.23	0.28
		1.59	0.33

- 6. Identification of major component and a 2.5% impurity (gas chromatography, system 2, peak 6) by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry
  - a. Experimental conditions

Instrument: Finnigan 4000 mass spectrometer interfaced via a single stage glass jet separator to a Finnigan 9610 gas chromatograph. Data handled by an Incos 2300 data system. Gas chromatographic column: 10% Carbowax 20M-TPA on 80/100 Chromosorb W(AW); 1.8 m × 2 mm ID; glass Carrier gas: Helium

Carrier gas flow rate: 25 ml/min Column oven temperature program: 135°C for 3 min, then 135°-155°C at 5° C/min Heated zone temperatures

Inlet: 150° Separator: 230°C Transfer: 275°C Ion source: 270°C

Electron energy: 70 eV Electron multiplier voltage: -1,750 V Pre-amplifier sensitivity: 10<sup>-7</sup> Emission current: 200 μA Resolution: 1,000 Scan range: 38 to 475 amu Scan times (sec): Up--2.90; Top--0.00; Down--0.00; Bottom--0.10 Sample injected: 2 μl of a 0.2% (v/v) solution of isophorone in hexanes

#### b. Results

#### **Reconstructed ion chromatogram**

The reconstructed ion chromatogram indicated that the major component eluted in 5.2 minutes and the impurity in 7.0 minutes on this system.

#### Spectra obtained

#### Major component (Figure 9)

The spectrum obtained from the major component is given below. Ions with abundances >5% of the base peak abundance are listed.

m/z	Relative Abundance (percent of m/z 82)	
82	100	
73	21	
138	18	
54	16	
43	9	
53	7	
55	7	
83	6	
95	6	
67	5	

Spectrum Obtained from the Major Component

This spectrum is consistent with the fragmentation expected of isophorone. A fairly abundant molecular ion  $(m/z \ 138)$  was seen. The base peak in the spectrum  $(m/z \ 82)$  was provided by expulsion of 2-methyl-propene from the molecular ion via a Retro-Diels-Alder fragmentation mechanism. High mass range peaks, representing loss of a methyl group  $(m/z \ 123)$ , carbon monoxide  $(m/z \ 110)$ , and the combination of a methyl group and carbon monoxide  $(m/z \ 95)$  were observed. The ion at  $m/z \ 54$  is thought to have arisen through loss of carbon monoxide from the base peak.





FIGURE 9. MASS SPECTRUM OF THE MAJOR COMPONENT OF ISOPHORONE (LOT NO. L052281)

#### Impurity (Figure 10)

A spectrum obtained from the impurity peak is given below. Ions with abundances >5% of the base peak abundance are listed.

m/z	Relative Abundance (percent of m/z 68)
68	100
96	64
39	54
40	35
41	23
152M+	20
69	8
109	7
55	6
53	5
67	5

Spectrum Obtained from the Im	opurity
-------------------------------	---------

The molecular ion obtained (m/z 152) suggests an isophorone type structure with an added methylene group or replacement of a ring H by a methyl group.

Isophorone is synthesized by condensation of three molecules of acetone. In order to insert an extra methylene group into the molecule, condensation of two molecules of acetone and a four-carbon ketone or aldehyde is necessary. Condensation of two molecules of acetone, and one molecule of methylethyl ketone, a likely impurity in acetone, could give 3,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one, 2,3,5,5-tetramethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one or 3-ethyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one. The spectrum is not consistent with the fragmentation expected of 3,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one; however, it is consistent with the fragmentation expected of either 3-ethyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one or 2,3,5,5-tetramethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one.

The fragmentation pattern for the impurity parallels that obtained for isophorone itself, i.e., loss of 2-methyl-propene (m/z 96), a methyl group (m/z 137), carbon monoxide (m/z 124), and a methyl group and carbon monoxide (m/z 109). The base peak in the impurity profile is, however, m/z 68, corresponding to loss of 84 from the molecular ion. The parallel peak in the isophorone spectrum is the m/z 54, a major fragmentation peak but not the base peak. Both the tetramethyl or ethyl dimethyl isomeric structures could theoretically fragment to give the m/z 68 base peak, the tetramethyl isomer by loss of 2-methylpropene and carbon monoxide, and the ethyldimethyl isomer by expulsion of ethylene and 2-methylpropene. The ethylene loss could take place through a sixmembered ring transition state with hydrogen transfer to the carbonyl oxygen atom.

The spectrum obtained is consistent with the fragmentation expected of either 3ethyl-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexene-1-one or 2,3,5,5-tetramethyl-2-cyclohexene-1one.



FIGURE 10. MASS SPECTRUM OF A 2.5% IMPURITY OF ISOPHORONE (LOT NO. L052281)

166

7. Conclusions: The result of the elemental analysis for hydrogen was in agreement with the theoretical value; the analysis for carbon was slightly high. Karl Fischer analysis indicated  $1.38\% \pm 0.01(s)\%$  water. Thin-layer chromatography by one system indicated a major spot with one minor and four slight trace impurities. A second thin-layer chromatographic system indicated a major spot with two minor impurities and a slight trace impurity. Gas chromatography with a 10% SP-2100 column indicated a major peak and 10 impurities, 1 before and 9 after the major peak, with a combined relative area of 2.00%. A second gas chromatographic system with a 10% Carbowax 20M-TPA column indicated a major peak and eight impurities, four before and four after the major peak. One impurity, observed after the major peak, had an area of 2.5% relative to the major peak area; the remaining seven impurities had a combined relative area of 1.77%. The infrared, ultraviolet/visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were consistent with the structure of isophorone and with the spectra obtained for lot no. 1204.

Lot no. L052281 was similar in purity to lot no. 1204 although the water content was higher. The basic gas chromatographic profiles for the two lots were similar, but the total relative impurity area was slightly greater for lot no. L052281 and the areas of some of the individual impurities varied significantly from those for lot no. 1204.

#### II. Test Chemical Stability Study of Lot No. 1204 Performed by the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory

- A. Sample storage: Samples of isophorone were stored at 20, 5, 25 and 60°C in glass tubes with Teflon-lined lids for two weeks.
- B. Analytical method: Gas chromatography

Instrument: Varian 3700 with auto-injector Detector: Flame ionization Column: 10% Carbowax 20M-TPA on 80/100 Chromosorb W(AW), 1.8 m × 4 mm ID, glass Inlet temperature: 200°C Detector temperature: 350°C Carrier gas: Nitrogen Carrier flow rate: 70 ml/min Oven temperature program: 160°C isothermal Samples injected Solutions of isophorone (0.5%) from each storage temperature in chloroform containing 0.4% pentadecane internal standard Retention times: Pentadecane--1.8 min; Isophorone--2.8 min

The concentration of compound in the sample peaks was obtained by comparison of the peak areas of the standard of known concentration to the sample using a previously determined relative response ratio for compound and standard. Sample concentrations were then normalized to the  $-20^{\circ}$ C storage sample concentration.

#### C. Results

Isophorone (percent of -20° sample)		
$100.0 \pm 0.4$		
$99.9\pm0.4$		
$99.6 \pm 0.4$		
$89.0\pm0.4$		

Note: There is a small peak approximately 1.5% of the sample peak which decreases in size in the  $60^{\circ}$ C sample (retention time 1.6 min) and a peak in the  $60^{\circ}$ C sample not present in the other storage temperatures (retention time 3.9 min) with an area of about 1.0% of the major peak.

**D.** Conclusion: Isophorone is stable as the bulk chemical at temperatures up to 25° C. Between 25° and 60° C, some decomposition is evident.

#### III. Test Chemical Stability Studies Performed by the Testing Laboratory

- A. Storage conditions: 0°-8°C
- **B.** Analytical methods
  - 1. Gas-liquid chromatography

Analyses performed on 12/12/79, 5/23/80, 7/24/80, 12/1/80, 3/11/81, 6/23/81, 8/7/81, 10/7/81 and 2/24/82

Instrument: Varian 3700 with CDS-111 integrator system Column: 3% OV-17 on 80/100 Supelcoport Detector: Flame ionization Detector temperature: 170°C Injector temperature: 140°C Oven temperature program: 105°C isothermal Carrier gas: Nitrogen Sample size: 1-2 µl neat liquid

Analyses performed on 3/13/81, 6/18/81 and 8/5/81

Instrument: Varian 3700 with CDS-111 data system Column: 10% Carbowax 20 M on 80/100 Chromosorb WAW Detector: Flame ionization Detector temperature: 250° C Injector temperature: 200° C Oven temperature program: 60° C for 5 min, then 60° to 180° C at 10° C/min Carrier gas: Nitrogen Sample size: 1-3 µl neat liquid

#### Analyses performed on 10/1/81 and 2/19/82

Same as **b.**, above, except:

Column: 10% Carbowax 20 M-TPA on 30/100 Chromosorb WAW

### APPENDIX G. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION

#### Results

Date	Percent Purity Lot No.	Bulk	Reference
12/12/79	1204	96.9	95.3
05/23/80		97.4	97.4
07/24/80		98.0	97.9
12/01/80		95.5	95.7
03/11/81		96.2	96.3
03/13/81		97.4	97.0
06/18/81		96.5	96.6
06/23/81		96.1	96.2
08/05/81	L052281 92.6	92.5	92.5
08/07/81	93.9	94.1	94.1
10/01/81		92.8	93.0
10/07/81		93. <del>9</del>	94.3
02/19/82		93.2	93.3
02/24/82		94.0	94.2

2. Ultraviolet/visible spectroscopy (Lot no. 1204 analysis performed on 2/11/81)

Instrument: Zeiss DMP 21 Recording Spectrophotometer Concentration: 21.7 mM (0.3 g%) and 0.108 mM (1.5 mg%) Solvent: Methanol Spectrum consistent with that obtained by the analytical chemistry laboratory (Midwest Research Institute).

**D.** Conclusion: No notable degradation occurred during the studies.

## APPENDIX H

# PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DOSE MIXTURES

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

#### I. Studies Conducted at the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory

- A. Sample preparation and storage: A  $1.0939 \pm 0.0001$ -g sample of isophorone was weighed into a 50-ml volumetric flask and diluted to volume with corn oil, mixing frequently during the addition. Total weight of the mixture was 46.1845 g, making the isophorone concentration 21.9 mg/ml (2.19% w/v) or 23.7 mg/g (2.37% w/w). From this freshly prepared solution, 10 approximately 1.51-g aliquots were weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg into separate 60-ml septum vials and immediately sealed (vial seals were Microsep F-138 gas chromatography septa with Teflon® film facing, from Canton Biomedical Products, Inc.). Duplicate vials were set aside for analysis at 0, 1, 3, 4, and 7 days.
- **B.** Sample extraction and analysis: Storage samples were extracted by pipetting 20 ml of reagent grade anhydrous methanol into each septum vial, shaking vigorously by hand for 30 seconds and then sonicating in an ultrasonic bath for an additional 30 seconds. About 10 ml of each corn oil suspension was transferred to 12-ml centrifuge tubes and clarified by centrifuging for 5 minutes. Exactly 3 ml of the clear, upper methanolic extract layer was pipetted into 8.5-ml septum vials and mixed with exactly 3 ml of internal standard solutions, prepared by dissolving 0.1508 g of *n*-decyl alcohol in methanol and diluting to 50 ml. After internal standard was added, each vial was sealed and mixed thoroughly, and the isophorone content was determined by the gas chromatographic system described below.

Instrument: Bendix 2500 gas chromatograph with Heath recorder Column: 3% OV-17 on 80/100 mesh Supelcoport, 1.8 m × 2 mm ID, glass, silanized Detection: Flame ionization Temperatures: Inlet, 175°C Oven, 90°C Detector, 250°C Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 30 ml/min Volume injected: 4 μl Retention times: Test chemical, 3.0 min Reference standard, 6.5 min

**C.** Quality control protocols: Analyses were carried out by making duplicate injections of duplicate extractions on all sample and recovery determinations. Results were related to an internal standard incorporated in each extract. Recovery studies were conducted with test material at the same concentrations as samples. Gas chromatographic linearity was determined with standard solutions of isophorone in methanol at 0.71, 0.89, and 1.06 mg/ml concentrations and with *n*-decyl alcohol as internal standard at levels of 1.21, 1.51, and 1.81 mg/ml.

#### D. Results

	Average Percent (w/w) Chemical Found in		
<u>Storage Time (days)</u>	<u>Chemical/Vehicle Mixture (a,b)</u>		
0	(c) $2.37 \pm 0.03$		
1	$2.37 \pm 0.03$		
3	$2.36 \pm 0.03$		
4	$2.35 \pm 0.03$		
7	$2.34 \pm 0.03$		

(a) Corrected for zero-time recovery yield of 95%  $\pm$  1%.

(b) Target concentration of chemical in corn oil, 2.3685%  $\pm$  0.0002% (w/w)

(c) The error values in this table are average deviations obtained in the analytical measurements of the test solutions.

**E.** Conclusion: Isophorone is stable when dissolved in corn oil at a dose level of 2.37% and stored at room temperature for 7 days.

#### II. Preparation of Dose Mixtures at the Testing Laboratory

**Procedure:** Dose solutions were prepared in a ground glass-stoppered graduated cylinder by mixing the appropriate weight of isophorone, determined from the specific gravity of 0.923, with sufficient corn oil to make the desired volume of solution. The solutions were mixed for 2-3 minutes, producing a clear, homogeneous solution. Low dose solutions were prepared by diluting the high dose preparation. Dosing solutions were prepared every 2 weeks during the first 4 weeks of the 2-year studies and weekly thereafter.

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

174

### **APPENDIX I**

### METHODS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

#### I. Testing Laboratory Procedure

All chemical/vehicle analyses were performed by gas chromatography.

- A. 5/13/80 through 9/2/80: A sample weighing 1.5 g was added to a vial. Twenty milliliters of reagent grade anhydrous methanol was added to the sample. The contents were shaken vigorously by hand for 30 seconds, followed by sonication in an ultrasonic bath for an additional 30 seconds. Approximately 10 ml of the suspension was transferred to a 12-ml centrifuge tube and clarified by centrifugation for 5 minutes. Exactly 3 ml of the clear upper methanolic layer was pipetted into a septum vial and mixed with 3 ml of internal standard solution, prepared by dissolving 0.1508 g of n-decyl alcohol in 50 ml of methanol.
- **B.** 9/12/80 through 5/7/81: The samples were extracted by adding 2-25 ml of extracting solution (1.5 mg/ml *n*-decyl alcohol in methanol). The contents were mixed by hand for 30 seconds and sonicated for 30 seconds. Ten milliliters were transferred to a centrifuge tube and clarified by centrifugation for 5 minutes. The supernatant was transferred to serum vials and sealed with a Teflon<sup>®</sup> septum.
- C. 6/1/81 through 1/6/82: The procedure was identical as described in B. except that the mixture was shaken for 30 minutes on an Eberbach<sup>®</sup> shaker and then centrifuged.

Instrument: Varian 3700 gas chromatograph with a CDS III Data System Column: 3% OV-17 on 80/100 Supelcoport Detector: Flame ionization Detector temperature: 250°C Injector temperature: 180°C Oven temperature program: 90°C, isothermal Carrier gas: Nitrogen

II. Analytical Chemistry Laboratory Procedure

Immediately before sampling for analysis, the referee corn oil sample and the undosed corn oil were allowed to equilibrate to room temperature and were homogenized by mixing on a vortex mixer.

- A. Preparation of standard spiked corn oil: Two standard solutions of isophorone were prepared independently in methanol at concentrations of 5.10 and 4.08 mg/ml. These solutions were diluted with methanol to make four additional standards at concentrations of 2.55, 2.04, 1.28, and 1.02 mg/ml. Aliquots (20 ml) of the six standard solutions were pipetted into individual 35-ml septum vials containing 2 g of undosed corn oil to make spiked corn oil standards bracketing the specified dose range of the referee sample. One 35-ml septum vial containing 2 g of undosed corn oil was treated with 20 ml of methanol for use as a blank. After the vials were sealed with Teflon<sup>®</sup>-lined septa, the spiked corn oils and the corn oil blank were used in the analysis procedure described below.
- **B.** Preparation of referee sample: Three portions (~2 g each) of the referee corn oil sample were transferred to individually tared 35-ml septum vials and weighed to the nearest 0.001 g. Methanol (20 ml) was pipetted into each vial; the vials were sealed and the samples analyzed immediately by the following procedure.

C. Analysis: Vials containing the samples, standards, and the blank were agitated for 10 seconds on a vortex mixer and then shaken for 15 minutes at maximum stroke on a Burrell, Model 75, Wrist-Action<sup>®</sup> shaker. After the extraction mixtures were centrifuged for 3 minutes, a 5-ml aliquot of the methanol layer from each vial was combined with 5 ml of internal standard solution (*n*-decyl alcohol in methanol, 3 mg/ml). The solutions were thoroughly mixed, and the isophorone content of each solution was determined by the gas chromatography system below.

Instrument: Varian 3700 Gas Chromatograph with Autosampler and Varian CDS 111-C integrator Column: 3% OV-17 on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport, 1.8 m × 2 mm ID, glass, silanized Detection: Flame ionization Detector temperature: 250°C Inlet temperature: 200°C Temperature program: 100°C, isothermal Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 30 ml/min Volume of solution injected: 3 µl Retention times Isophorone: 3.8 - 5.8 min n-Decyl alcohol internal standard: 6.0 - 9.5 min

**D.** Quality assurance measures: The referee corn oil sample was analyzed in triplicate, and the control corn oil sample was analyzed once. Individually spiked portions of control corn oil (six concentrations bracketing the specified dose range of the referee sample) were prepared from two independently weighed standards and were used for obtaining standard data. Triplicate injections of each standard and sample were introduced into the gas chromatograph in a randomized order. All determinations were related to an internal standard incorporated into the sample solutions.

The total amount of isophorone in the referee corn oil samples was computed from the linear regression equation obtained from the standard data, relating the ratio obtained by dividing the peak area of each spiked corn oil sample by the peak area of the internal standard, to the amount of chemical in the respective spiked corn oil sample.

Isophorone, NTP TR 291
## **APPENDIX J**

## **RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES**

	Concentration	on (a) of Isophoro get Concentration	ne in Corn Oil
Date Mixed	2.50	5.00	10.00
1/31/80		4.97	9.05
2/14/80		5.06	(b) 8.36
3/6/80	2.52	5.41	
3/13/80		5.00	9.25
3/20/80	2.61	4.89	
3/20/80		5.16	
3/27/80	(b) 2.94	5.12	
4/2/80	,	4.80	10.18
4/10/80			10.57
4/16/80	2.38	(b) <b>5.88</b>	
4/16/80	2.00	5.21	
5/1/80	2.44	V.41	10.10
5/14/80	2. <b>***</b>	4.86	10.10
5/14/80		5.29	
6/5/80	2.43	4.85	
	2.43	5.23	
6/13/80		5.37	
6/13/80		5.15	9.78
7/3/80	9.66	5.15	9.10
8/13/80	2.66	4.05	
8/28/80	2.52	4.95	10 50
9/4/80	2.50		10.59
9/4/80	2.53		
10/2/80	2.56	5.18	9.55
10/9/80		5.48	10.29
12/4/80	2.61	5.06	10.59
12/4/80		5.03	
1/7/81		5.06	10.59
2/3/81	2.66	5.34	10.31
3/3/81		4.95	9.06
3/31/81	2.71	4.95	
4/28/81		5.01	9.62
5/26/81	2.67	5.15	
6/23/81		5.01	9.78
7/20/81	2.58	4.95	
8/18/81		4.72	10.10
9/14/81	2.72	5.10	
10/13/81		5.25	9.71
11/10/81	2.60	4.90	
12/8/81	2.07	4.81	9.79
1/5/82	2.48	5.15	
1/0/04	4.40	0.10	
an (percent)	2.59	5.09	9.86
indard deviation	0.129	0.227	0.616
efficient of variation (percent)	5.0	4.5	6.2
nge (percent)	2.38-2.94	4.72-5.88	8.36-10.59
mber of samples	19	35	19

## TABLE J1. RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

.

(a) Results of duplicate analysis(b) More than 10% different from target concentration

			ncentration (a)	
Date Mixed	Lot Number	Target Concentration (percent)	Testing Laboratory	Referee Laboratory
3/27/80	1204	5.0	5.12	5.14
10/2/80	1204	10.0	9.55	9.78
3/31/81	1204	2.5	2.71	2.42
8/18/81	L052281	5.0	4.72	5.06
11/10/81	L052281	2.5	2.61	2.52
1/5/82	L052281	2.5	2.48	2.50

## TABLE J2. RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE

(a) Results of duplicate analysis

## APPENDIX K

## SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

### I. Methods

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program were produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect test results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via viral serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the test rooms. These animals were untreated, and these animals and the test animals were both subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals came from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Fifteen B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice and 15 F344/N rats of each sex were selected at the time of randomization and allocation of the animals to the various study groups. Five animals of each designated sentinel group were killed at 6, 12, and 18 months on study. Data from rats surviving 24 months were collected from 5/50 randomly selected control animals of each sex. The blood from each animal was collected and clotted, and the serum was separated. The serum was cooled on ice and shipped to Microbiological Associates' Comprehensive Animal Diagnostic Service for determination of the viral antibody titers. The following tests were performed:

	Hemagglutination <u>Inhibition</u>	Complement <u>Fixation</u>	ELISA
Mice	PVM (pneumonia virus of mice) Reo 3 (reovirus type 3) GDVII (Theiler's encephalomyelitis virus) Poly (polyoma virus) MVM (minute virus of mice) Ectro (infectious ectromelia) Sendai (12, 18 mo)	M.Ad. (mouse adenovirus) LCM (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus) Sendai (6 mo) MHV (6,12 mo)	MHV (mouse hepatitis virus) (18 mo)
Rats	PVM KRV (Kilham rat virus) H-1 (Toolan's H-1 virus) Sendai (12, 18, 24 mo)	RCV (rat coronavirus) Sendai (6 mo)	
II. Res	ults		

Results are presented in Table K1.

Interval (months)	Number of Animals	Positive Serologic Reaction for
ATS		······································
6	10/10	RCV
12	8/9	RCV
18	10/10	RCV
24	2/10	RCV
ICE		
6		None positive
12	•••	None positive
18	1/8	MHV
24	Not sampled	Not sampled

### TABLE K1. MURINE VIRUS ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF ISOPHORONE (a)

(a) Blood samples were taken from sentinel animals at 6, 12, and 18 months after the start of dosing and from the vehicle control rats just before they were killed; samples were sent to Microbiological Associates, Inc. (Bethesda, MD) for the Animal Disease Screening Program.

### APPENDIX L

## GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF ISOPHORONE

### I. Mutagenicity

**Results:** Isophorone was not mutagenic in strains TA100, TA1535, TA1537, or TA98 of Salmonella in the presence or absence of Aroclor 1254-induced rat or hamster liver S9 (Table L1).

Isophorone was mutagenic in the mouse lymphoma L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> assay in the absence of S9 (Table L2).

### **II. Cytogenetic Effects**

**Results:** Isophorone induced sister-chromatid exchanges (SCE's) in the absence of Aroclor 1254induced rat liver S9 in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells (Table L3); it did not induce SCE's in the presence of S9 (Table L3), and it did not induce chromosomal aberrations in CHO cells in the presence or absence of S9 (Table L4).

	Dose		Revertants/plate (a)	
Strain	(µg/plate)	- \$9	+ <b>S9</b> (rat)	+ S9 (hamster)
TA100	0	82 ± 4.7	92 ± 7.5	79 ± 2.2
	100	74 ± 6.6	$90 \pm 2.2$	88 ± 8.1
	333	88 ± 7.4	85 ± 9.4	$117 \pm 2.9$
	1,000	Toxic	$82 \pm 4.2$	$99 \pm 4.8$
	3,333		68 ± 20.2	$65 \pm 3.3$
	10,000		$48 \pm 7.2$	$80 \pm 9.5$
TA1535	0	6 ± 2.5	7 ± 0.9	$7 \pm 1.2$
	33		$5 \pm 1.0$	
	100	4 ± 0.9	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 6 & \pm & 1.0 \\ 6 & \pm & 1.2 \end{array}$
	333	$2 \pm 0.6$	9 ± 2.8	$6 \pm 1.2$
	1,000	Toxic	5 ± 0.3	$4 \pm 1.5$
	3,333		$5 \pm 1.2$	$6 \pm 1.2$
	10,000			Toxic
TA1537	0	$2 \pm 0.3$	$3 \pm 1.5 \\ 5 \pm 1.5$	$\begin{array}{rrrrr} 4 & \pm & 0.7 \\ 4 & \pm & 1.2 \end{array}$
	33		$5 \pm 1.5$	$4 \pm 1.2$
	100	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	5 ± 1.0	5 ± 0.0
	333		$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
	1,000	4 ± 0.7	3 ± 0.7	$6 \pm 2.0$
	3,333	Toxic	4 ± 0.9	4 ± 0.3
TA98	0	$10 \pm 2.0$	$11 \pm 2.9$	17 ± 1.0
	33	•••	$15 \pm 0.9$	$13 \pm 2.1$
	100	$12 \pm 2.3$	$17 \pm 1.3$	$13 \pm 2.3$
	333	9 ± 0.9	$14 \pm 0.9$	$15 \pm 0.9$
	1,000	Toxic	$17 \pm 2.0$	$12 \pm 2.6$
	3,333		$16 \pm 1.8$	$15 \pm 0.9$

#### TABLE L1. MUTAGENICITY OF ISOPHORONE IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM

(a) The S9 fractions were prepared from the livers of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rats and male Syrian hamsters. Cells and test compound or solvent (water) were incubated for 20 minutes at 37° C in the presence of either S9 or buffer. After the addition of soft agar, the contents of each tube were poured onto minimal medium, and the plates were incubated at 37° C for 48 hours (Haworth et al., 1983). The analysis was performed twice, each in triplicate; because the results were similar, data from only one experiment are shown.

Compound	Dose (µg/ml)	Total Mutant Clones	Cloning Efficiency (percent)	Relative Total Growth (percent)	Mutation Frequency (mutants/10 <sup>6</sup> clonable cells)(a)
 DMSO (1%)	<u></u>	79	52.7	6.8	50
		74	46.3	6.6	53
		80	50.3	6.9	53
		65	40.2	6.9	54
Ethyl methane-					
sulfonate	15	128	15.2	22.3	281
		69	12.2	13.4	189
Isophorone					
	400	139	74.2	118.3	62
		79	55.5	112.4	47
	600	175	77.2	74.8	76
		161	72.5	92.4	74
	800	188	68.2	62.8	92
		152	58.0	50.5	87
	1,000	328	74,3	18.9	147
	-,	307	61.3	26.7	167
	1,200	344	41.8	7.2	274
	-,=	322	59.7	14.3	180

## TABLE L2. MUTAGENICITY OF ISOPHORONE IN L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> MOUSE LYMPHOMA CELLS IN THE ABSENCE OF S9

(a) Experiments were performed twice, and all doses were tested in duplicate, except the solvent control (DMSO), which was tested in quintuplicate. Because the results were similar, data from only one experiment are shown. The protocol was basically that of Clive et al. (1979). Cells ( $6 \times 10^{5}$ /ml) were treated for 4 hours at 37° C in medium, washed, resuspended in medium, and incubated for 48 hours at 37° C. After expression,  $3 \times 10^{6}$  cells were plated in medium supplemented with trifluorothymidine for selection of cells that were mutant at the thymidine kinase (TK) locus, and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium to determine the percentage of viable cells.

## TABLE L3. INDUCTION OF SISTER-CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY ISOPHORONE

- S9 (a	)	+ <b>S9</b> (b)		
Dose (µg/ml)	SCE/Cell	Dose (µg/ml)	SCE/Cell	
DMSO (10 µl)	9.12	DMSO (10 µl)	8.82	
Isophorone		Isophorone		
250	9.58	160	9.26	
500	11.20	500	9.10	
750	12.64	1,000	9.22	
1,000	13.24			
Mitomycin C		Cyclophosphamide		
0.001	26.04	0.3	12.48	
0.01	74.90	2.0	34.00	

(a) In the absence of S9, CHO cells were incubated with test compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Then BrdU was added, and incubation continued for 27-35 hours. Cells were washed, fresh medium containing BrdU (10  $\mu$ M) and colcemid (0.1  $\mu$ g/ml) was added, and incubation was continued for 2-3 hours. Cells were then collected by mitotic shake-off, treated for 3 minutes with potassium chloride (75 mM), washed twice with fixative, and dropped onto slides and air-dried. Staining was by a modified technique (after Perry and Wolff, 1974; Goto et al., 1978).

(b) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with test compound or solvent for 2 hours at  $37^{\circ}$  C. Then cells were washed, and medium containing 10 µM BrdU was added. Cells were incubated for a further 26 hours, with colcemid (0.1 µg/ml) present for the final 2-3 hours. S9 was from the livers of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rats.

-	- <b>S9</b> (a)	+ <b>S9</b> ( <b>b</b> )		
Dose (µg/ml)	Abs/100 Cells (percent cells w/abs)	Dose (µg/ml)	Abs/100 Cells (percent cells w/abs)	
DMSO (10 µl)	2 (2)	DMSO (10 µl)	0 (0)	
Isophorone		Isophorone		
250	5 (5)	750	0 (0)	
500	3 (3)	1,000	1 (1)	
1,000	3 (3)	1,250	1 (1)	
1,600	3 (3)	1,500	2 (2)	
Mitomycin C		Cyclophosphamide		
0.25	41 (35)	15	60 (43)	
1.00	92 (50)	50	162 (74)	

## TABLE L4. INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY ISOPHORONE

(a) In the absence of S9, CHO cells were incubated with test compound or solvent for 8-10 hours at  $37^{\circ}$  C. Cells were then washed, and fresh medium containing colcemid (0.1 µg/ml) was added. After a further 2-3 hours of incubation, cells were harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained in 6% Giemsa.

(b) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with test compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37°C. Cells were then washed, medium was added, and incubation continued for 8-10 hours. Colcemid (0.1 µg/ml) was added for the last 2-3 hours of incubation; then cells were harvested and fixed as above. S9 was from the livers of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rats.

### APPENDIX M

# INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS OF THE NIH 07 DIET

Pelleted Diet: December 1979 to January 1982 (Manufactured by Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA)

### TABLE M1. INGREDIENTS OF THE NIH 07 DIET (a)

Ingredients (b)	Percent by Weight		
Ground #2 yellow shelled corn	24.50		
Ground hard winter wheat	23.00		
Soybean meal (49% protein)	12.00		
Fish meal (60% protein)	10.00		
Wheat middlings	10.00		
Dried skim milk	5.00		
Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17% protein)	4.00		
Corn gluten meal (60% protein)	3.00		
Soy oil	2.50		
Brewer's dried yeast	2.00		
Dry molasses	1.50		
Dicalcium phosphate	1.25		
Ground limestone	0.50		
Salt	0.50		
Premixes (vitamin and mineral)	0.25		

(a) NIH, 1978; NCI, 1976
(b) Ingredients should be ground to pass through a U.S. Standard Screen No. 16 before being mixed.

\_

	Amount	Source	
Vitamins			
А	5,500,000 IU	Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate	
$D_3$	4,600,000 IU	D activated animal sterol	
d-A-tocopheryl acetate	20,000 IU		
Riboflavin	3.4 g		
Thiamine	10.0 g	Thiamine mononitrate	
Niacin	30.0 g		
d-Pantothenic acid	18.0 g	d-Calcium pantothenate	
Folic acid	2.2 g		
Pyridoxine	1.7 g	Pyridoxine hydrochloride	
B <sub>12</sub>	4,000 µg		
Biotin	140.0 mg	d-Biotin	
K <sub>3</sub>	2.8 g	Menadione activity	
Choline	560.0 g	Choline chloride	
Minerals			
Iron	120.0	Iron sulfate	
Manganese	60.0	Manganous oxide	
Zinc	16.0	Zinc oxide	
Copper	4.0	Copper sulfate	
Iodine	1.4	Calcium iodate	
Cobalt	0.4	Cobalt carbonate	

### TABLE M2. VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN THE NIH 07 DIET(a)

(a) Per ton (2,000 lb) of finished product

#### TABLE M3. NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF THE NIH 07 DIET(a)

			·
Nutrient	Mean	Range	Number of Samples
Crude protein (percent by weight)	$24.29 \pm 0.81$	22.7-26.1	24
Crude fat (percent by weight)	$4.81 \pm 0.38$	4.1-5.5	24
Crude fiber (percent by weight)	$3.31 \pm 0.50$	1.4-4.3	24
Ash (percent by weight)	$6.76 \pm 0.44$	5.83-7.43	24
ssential Amino Acids (percent of to	tal diet)		
Arginine	1.260	1.21-1.31	2
Cystine	0.395	0.39-0.40	2
Glycine	1.175	1.15-1.20	2
Histidine	0.553	0.530-0.576	2
Isoleucine	0.908	0.881-0.934	2
Leucine	1.905	1.85-1.96	2
Lysine	1.250	1.20-1.30	2
Methionine	0.310	0.306-0.314	2
Phenylalanine	0.967	0.960-0.974	2
Threonine	0.834	0.840-0.827	2
Tryptophan	0.175	0.171-0.178	$\overline{2}$
Tyrosine	0.587	0.566-0.607	$\frac{1}{2}$
Valine	1.085	1.05-1.12	$\frac{1}{2}$
ssential Fatty Acids (percent of tota	al diet)		
Linoleic	2.37		1
Linolenic	0.308		1
Arachidonic	0.008		1
itamins (b)			
Vitamin A (IU/kg)	$10,192 \pm 2,534$	6,700-17,000	24
Vitamin D (IU/kg)	6,300		1
A-tocopherol (ppm)	37.6	31.1-44.0	2
Thiamine (ppm)	$16.2 \pm 4.5$	7.4-27.0	24
Riboflavin (ppm)	6.9	6.1-7.4	2
Niacin (ppm)	75	65-85	2
Pantothenic acid (ppm)	30.2	29.8-30.5	2
Pyridoxine (ppm)	7.2	5.6-8.8	2
Folic acid (ppm)	2.1	1.8-2.4	2
Biotin (ppm)	0.24	0.21-0.27	2
Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb)	12.8	10.6-15.0	2
Choline (ppm)	3,315	3,200-3,430	2
linerals			
Calcium (percent)	$1.34 \pm 0.20$	0.81-1.69	24
Phosphorous (percent)	$1.01 \pm 0.08$	0.82-1.10	24
Potassium (percent)	0.809	0.772-0.846	2
Chloride (percent)	0.557	0.479-0.635	2
Sodium (percent)	0.304	0.258-0.349	2
Magnesium (percent)	0.172	0.166-0.177	2
Sulfur (percent)	0.278	0.270-0.285	2
Iron (ppm)	418	409-426	2
Manganese (ppm)	90.8	86.0-95.5	2
Zinc (ppm)	55.1	54.2-56.0	2
Copper (ppm)	12.68	9.65-15.70	2
Iodine (ppm)	2.58	1.52-3.64	2
Chromium (ppm)	1.86	1.79-1.93	2
Cobalt (ppm)	0.57	0.49-0.65	$\overline{2}$

(a) One or two batches of feed analyzed for nutrients reported in this table were manufactured in January and/or April 1983.
(b) One batch (7/22/81) not analyzed for thiamine

### TABLE M4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS OF THE NIH 07 DIET

Contaminant	Mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation	Range	Number of Samples
Arsenic (ppm)	$0.39 \pm 0.23$	<0.05-1.06	24
Cadmium (ppm)(a)	$0.11 \pm 0.07$	< 0.05-0.40	24
Lead (ppm)	$0.91 \pm 0.51$	0.50-2.65	24
Mercury (ppm) (b)	0.05	0100 2100	01
Selenium (ppm)	$0.29 \pm 0.09$	0.10-0.52	24
Aflatoxins(ppb)(b,c)	<10		24
Nitrate nitrogen (ppm) (d,e)	$7.00 \pm 3.70$	< 0.1-13.0	24
Nitrite nitrogen (ppm) (d,e)	$1.45 \pm 1.02$	< 0.1-4.0	24
BHA (ppm) (f,g)	$3.83 \pm 3.88$	< 0.2-13.0	24
BHT (ppm) (f)	$2.97 \pm 1.74$	0.8-7.6	24
Aerobic plate count (CFU/g) (h)	$48,786 \pm 32,701$	5,500-120,000	22
Aerobic plate count (CFU/g) (i)	$70,970 \pm 81,410$	5,500-320,000	24
Coliform (MPN/g) (j)	$39 \pm 57$	<3-240	20
Coliform (MPN/g)(k)	$270 \pm 580$	<3-2,400	24
E. coli (MPN/g) (Ì)	<3	,	24
Total nitrosamines (ppb) (m,n)	$7.63 \pm 6.67$	2.2-24.5	21
Total nitrosamines (ppb) (m,o)	$29.77 \pm 64.59$	2.2-273	24
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (m,n)	$5.81 \pm 6.30$	1.1-20.0	21
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (m,o)	$27.79 \pm 64.31$	1.1-272	24
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine (ppb)	$1.44 \pm 0.89$	0.5-3.5	24
Pesticides (ppm)			
Alpha BHC (b,p)	< 0.01		24
Beta BHC (b)	< 0.02		24
Gamma BHC-lindane (b)	< 0.01		24
Delta BHC (b)	< 0.01		24
Heptachlor (b)	< 0.01		24
Aldrin(b)	< 0.01		24
Heptachlor epoxide (b)	< 0.01		24
DDE(b,q)	< 0.01		24
DDD (b)	< 0.01		24
DDT (b)	< 0.01		24
HCB (b)	< 0.01		24
Mirex (b)	< 0.01		24
Methoxychlor (b,q)	< 0.05	0.09 (8/26/81)	24
Dieldrin (b)	<0.01		24
Endrin (b)	< 0.01		24
Telodrin (b)	< 0.01		24
Chlordane (b)	< 0.05		24
Toxaphene (b)	<0.1		24
Estimated PCB's (b)	< 0.2		24
Ronnel (b)	< 0.01		24
Ethion (b)	< 0.02		24
Trithion (b)	< 0.05		24
Diazinon (b,q)	< 0.1	0.02 (4/27/81)	24
Methyl parathion (b)	< 0.02		24
Ethyl parathion (b)	< 0.02		24
Malathion (r)	$0.10 \pm 0.07$	<0.05-0.27	24
Endosulfan I (b)	< 0.01		24
Endosulfan II (b)	< 0.01		24
Endosulfan sulfate (b)	< 0.03		24

#### TABLE M4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS OF THE NIH 07 DIET (Continued)

(b) All values were less than the detection limit, given in the table as the mean.

(c) Detection limit reduced from 10 ppb to 5 ppb after 7/81

(d) Source of contamination: Alfalfa, grains, and fish meal

(e) Two batches contained less than 0.1 ppm.

(f) Source of contamination: Soy oil and fish meal

(g) Six batches contained less than 0.5 ppm.

(h) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude two extreme values (300,000 and 320,000) obtained in batches produced on

12/21/79 and 2/26/80. CFU = colony-forming units.

(i) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the two extreme values given in footnote h.

(j) Excludes four very high values in the range 1,100-2,400 obtained in batches produced on 2/4/80, 2/26/80, 5/29/80 and 12/16/80

(k) Includes the high values listed in footnote j

(1) All values were less than 3 MPN/g. MPN = most probable number.

(m) All values were corrected for percent recovery.

(n) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude three very high values in the range of 115-280 ppb in batches produced on 1/26/81, 2/23/81, and 4/27/81.

(o) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the very high values given in footnote n.

(p) BHC = hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride

(q) One observation was above the detection limit. The value and the date it was obtained are listed under the range.

(r) Nine batches contained more than 0.05 ppm.

<sup>(</sup>a) Three batches contained more than 0.1 ppm.

Isophorone, NTP TR 291

196

## APPENDIX N

### DATA AUDIT SUMMARY

The experimental data and tables of the draft NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Isophorone in F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice were audited for completeness, consistency, and accuracy and for procedures consistent with Good Laboratory Practice requirements. The 2-year studies on isophorone were initiated at Papanicolaou Cancer Research Institute in January 1980 and completed in February 1982. The studies were started before the October 1981 NTP requirement for full compliance with good Laboratory Practices regulations. The data audit was conducted by the Dynamac Corporation in May/June of 1984. Audit team members were Dr. R. Schueler, Dr. F. Garner, Dr. K. Whitkin, Ms. C. Sexsmith, Mr. C. Dippel, Mr. J. Konz, and Mr. J. Plautz.

The full report of the audit of these studies is on file at the National Toxicology Program, NIEHS. The audit consisted of a review of the records for the in-life portion of the studies, including clinical observations and body weight data for 10% of the animals, and all of the environmental and mortality records; a review of all chemistry data, including chemical characterization, bulk chemical analysis, and characterization of dose mixtures; and a review of pathology data. All Individual Animal Pathology Data Records for rats and mice were reviewed for correlation of gross lesions and microscopic diagnoses. Ten percent of wet tissues were reviewed for animal identification and untrimmed lesions, and a complete slide/block match for both sexes of rats and mice was performed on the high dose and control groups.

The review of the toxicology data found minor discrepancies in the documentation of the randomization procedure and in clinical observations. A review of the available chemistry data found no discrepancies. Review of the pathology data found that positive animal identification was not possible because foot markings were not required to be retained with the wet tissues. No observations were made that would suggest that animal identification was a problem at any point in the studies. Wet tissue bags were missing for three mice (vehicle control males #31 and #37; high dose female #3), but all rat tissues were present. Discrepancies in gross and microscopic correlations of lesions were distributed as follows: rats, vehicle control male (2), low dose male (7), high dose male (3), vehicle control female (1), low dose female (4), high dose female (6); mice, vehicle control male (2), low dose male (7), high dose male (3), vehicle control female (1), low dose female (4), high dose female (6). These findings were determined to have no impact on the final interpretation of the studies and were therefore not pursued. However, three untrimmed liver lesions were noted in female vehicle control rats; these lesions were examined and the results incorporated in this Technical Report.

In conclusion, no discrepancies found during the audit which were not corrected before the completion of this report were considered of sufficient importance to influence the interpretation of the studies.

☆U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1986-931 292 21324