NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM Technical Report Series No. 316



# TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS

### **STUDIES OF**

### DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

### (1-CHLORO-2-METHYLPROPENE)

(CAS NO. 513-37-1)

### IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(GAVAGE STUDIES)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

#### NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM

The National Toxicology Program (NTP), established in 1978, develops and evaluates scientific information about potentially toxic and hazardous chemicals. This knowledge can be used for protecting the health of the American people and for the primary prevention of disease. By bringing together the relevant programs, staff, and resources from the U.S. Public Health Service, DHHS, the National Toxicology Program has centralized and strengthened activities relating to toxicology research, testing and test development/validation efforts, and the dissemination of toxicological information to the public and scientific communities and to the research and regulatory agencies.

The NTP is made up of four charter DHHS agencies: the National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health; the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health; the National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR), Food and Drug Administration; and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control. In July 1981, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Testing Program, NCI, was transferred to the NIEHS.

### NTP TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE

## TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (1-CHLORO-2-METHYLPROPENE)

### (CAS NO. 513-37-1)

### IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(GAVAGE STUDIES)



NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM P.O. Box 12233 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

August 1986

**NTP TR 316** 

NIH Publication No. 86-2572

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

#### NOTE TO THE READER

These studies are designed and conducted to characterize and evaluate the toxicologic potential, including carcinogenic activity, of selected chemicals in laboratory animals (usually two species, rats and mice). Chemicals selected for testing in the NTP Carcinogenesis Program are chosen primarily on the bases of human exposure, level of production, and chemical structure. Selection per se is not an indicator of a chemical's carcinogenic potential. Negative results, in which the test animals do not have a greater incidence of cancer than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans. The determination of the risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in animals requires a wider analysis which extends beyond the purview of this study.

Five categories of interpretative conclusions were adopted for use in June 1983 in the Technical Reports series to specifically emphasize consistency and the concept of actual evidence of carcinogenicity. For each definitive study result (male rats, female rats, male mice, female mice), one of the following quintet will be selected to describe the findings. These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to either potency or mechanism.

- Clear Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of malignant neoplasms, studies that exhibit a substantially increased incidence of benign neoplasms, or studies that exhibit an increased incidence of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms where each increases with dose.
- Some Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of benign neoplasms, studies that exhibit marginal increases in neoplasms of several organs/tissues, or studies that exhibit a slight increase in uncommon malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Equivocal Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related marginal increase of neoplasms.
- No Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing no chemically related increases in malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Inadequate Study of Carcinogenicity demonstrates that because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations, the studies cannot be interpreted as valid for showing either the presence or absence of a carcinogenic effect.

Additionally, the following concepts (as patterned from the International Agency for Research on Cancer Monographs) have been adopted by the NTP to give further clarification of these issues:

The term *chemical carcinogenesis* generally means the induction by chemicals of neoplasms not usually observed, the earlier induction by chemicals of neoplasms that are commonly observed, or the induction by chemicals of more neoplasms than are generally found. Different mechanisms may be involved in these situations. Etymologically, the term *carcinogenesis* means induction of cancer, that is, of malignant neoplasms; however, the commonly accepted meaning is the induction of various types of neoplasms or of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms. In the Technical Reports, the words *tumor* and *neoplasm* are used interchangeably.

This study was initiated by the National Cancer Institute's Carcinogenesis Bioassay Program, now part of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Toxicology Program. The studies described in this Technical Report have been conducted in compliance with NTP chemical health and safety requirements and must meet or exceed all applicable Federal, state, and local health and safety regulations. Animal care and use were in accordance with the U.S. Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Animals. All NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies are subjected to a data audit before being presented for peer review.

Although every effort is made to prepare the Technical Reports as accurately as possible, mistakes may occur. Readers are requested to identify any mistakes so that corrective action may be taken. Further, anyone who is aware of related ongoing or published studies not mentioned in this report is encouraged to make this information known to the NTP. Comments and questions about the National Toxicology Program Technical Reports on Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies should be directed to Dr. J.E. Huff, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (919-541-3780).

These NTP Technical Reports are available for sale from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-487-4650). Single copies of this Technical Report are available without charge (and while supplies last) from the NTP Public Information Office, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

#### CONTENTS

PAG	Е
ABSTRACT	11
CONTRIBUTORS	14
PEER REVIEW PANEL	15
SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS	16
I. INTRODUCTION	17
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS	
PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE	
PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DIMETITIZATION OF DIMETITIZATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DOSE MIXTURES	
SINGLE-ADMINISTRATION STUDIES	
FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES	
THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES	
TWO-YEAR STUDIES	
STUDY DESIGN	
SOURCE AND SPECIFICATIONS OF ANIMALS	
ANIMAL MAINTENANCE	28
CLINICAL EXAMINATIONS AND PATHOLOGY	28
STATISTICAL METHODS	29
III. RESULTS	31
RATS	32
SINGLE-ADMINISTRATION STUDIES	32
FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES	32
THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES	34
TWO-YEAR STUDIES	35
BODY WEIGHTS AND CLINICAL SIGNS	35
SURVIVAL	38
PATHOLOGY AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RESULTS	38
місе	48
SINGLE-ADMINISTRATION STUDIES	<b>48</b>
FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES	48
THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES	50
TWO-YEAR STUDIES	51
BODY WEIGHTS AND CLINICAL SIGNS	51
SURVIVAL	54
PATHOLOGY AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RESULTS	54

#### **CONTENTS** (Continued)

		PAGE
IV.	DISCU	USSION AND CONCLUSIONS
v.	REFE	RENCES
		TABLES
TABL	E 1	IDENTITY AND SOURCE OF LOTS USED IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF
		DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABL	E 2	IDENTITY AND CONCENTRATION OF MAJOR IMPURITIES IN
		DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLI	E 3	PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES
		OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABL	E 4	SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR
		GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLI	E 5	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE GAVAGE
		STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLI	E 6	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE SINGLE-
		ADMINISTRATION GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLI	E 7	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY
		GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLI	E 8	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK
		GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLI	E 9	INCIDENCES OF COMPOUND-RELATED LESIONS IN RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-
		WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLI	E 10	MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
		STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLI	E 11	SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF
		DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLI	E 1 <b>2</b>	NUMBER OF RATS WITH LESIONS OF THE NASAL CAVITY IN THE TWO-YEAR
		GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLI	E 13	ANALYSIS OF NASAL CAVITY TUMORS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
		STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE ,
TABLE	E 14	INCIDENCE OF RATS WITH TUMOR INVASION TO THE BRAIN FROM THE
		NASAL CAVITY IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF
		DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

#### **TABLES** (Continued)

TABLE 15	ANALYSIS OF ORAL CAVITY TUMORS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE43
TABLE 16	ANALYSIS OF ESOPHAGEAL LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE44
TABLE 17	ANALYSIS OF FORESTOMACH LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE45
TABLE 18	ANALYSIS OF THYROID GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE 19	ANALYSIS OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE 20	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE SINGLE-
	ADMINISTRATION GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE48
TABLE 21	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY
	GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE 22	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK
	GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE 23	INCIDENCES OF LESIONS IN MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES
	OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE 24	MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE 25	SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF
	DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE 26	ANALYSIS OF FORESTOMACH TUMORS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE 27	ANALYSIS OF PREPUTIAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE 28	ANALYSIS OF HARDERIAN GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE 29	NUMBER OF MICE WITH LESIONS OF THE LIVER IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE ,
TABLE 30	ANALYSIS OF LUNG TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

PAGE

#### **FIGURES**

PAGE

FIGURE	1	GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS ADMINISTERED DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
		IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	2	KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS ADMINISTERED
		DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	3	GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
		IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	4	KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED
		DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	5	INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
		(LOT NO. 062537)
FIGURE	6	NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
		(LOT NO. 062537)
FIGURE	7	INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
		(LOT NO. KD090967)
FIGURE	8	NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
		(LOT NO. KD090967)
FIGURE	9	INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
		(LOT NO. 1103BH)
<b>FIGURE</b>	10	NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
		(LOT NO. 1103BH)
FIGURE 1	11	RECONSTRUCTED ION CURRENT CHROMATOGRAM OF DIMETHYLVINYL
		CHLORIDE (LOT NO. 062537)
FIGURE 1	12	RECONSTRUCTED ION CURRENT CHROMATOGRAM OF DIMETHYLVINYL
		CHLORIDE (LOT NO. 1103BH)

6

#### APPENDIXES

	PAGE
APPENDIX A	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE A1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE A2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE A3	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE A4	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
APPENDIX B	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE B1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE B2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE B3	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE B4	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
APPENDIX C	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS IN
	THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE113
TABLE C1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE
	RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE114
TABLE C2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE
	RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE 120
APPENDIX D	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE IN
	THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE D1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE
	MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE 128
TABLE D2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE
	MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE 134

#### **APPENDIXES** (Continued)

PAGE

APPENDIX E	ANALYSES OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE E1	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE142
TABLE E2	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE E3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE E4	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
APPENDIX F	HISTORICAL INCIDENCES OF TUMORS IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE
	ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE161
TABLE F1	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF NASAL CAVITY TUMORS IN F344/N RATS
	ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE162
TABLE F2	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ESOPHAGEAL AND ORAL CAVITY TUMORS
	IN F344/N RATS ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE
TABLE F3	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF STOMACH TUMORS IN F344/N RATS
	ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE
TABLE F4	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE
	F344/N RATS ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE
TABLE F5	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS
	IN FEMALE F344/N RATS ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE166
TABLE F6	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF STOMACH TUMORS IN B6C3F1 MICE
	ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE
TABLE F7	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PREPUTIAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE
	B6C3F <sub>1</sub> MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE
TABLE F8	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN
	FEMALE B6C3F <sub>1</sub> MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE
TABLE F9	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HARDERIAN GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE
	B6C3F <sub>1</sub> MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE
APPENDIX G	GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE G1	MUTAGENICITY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM . 172

#### **APPENDIXES** (Continued)

TABLE G2	MUTAGENICITY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN L5178Y/TK <sup>+/-</sup> MOUSE
	LYMPHOMA CELLS IN THE ABSENCE OF S9
TABLE G3	INDUCTION OF SISTER-CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER
	OVARY CELLS BY DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE G4	INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER
	OVARY CELLS BY DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE G5	INDUCTION OF SEX-LINKED RECESSIVE LETHAL MUTATIONS IN
	DROSOPHILA BY DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE G6	INDUCTION OF RECIPROCAL TRANSLOCATIONS IN DROSOPHILA
	BY DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
APPENDIX H	CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE H1	IDENTITY AND CONCENTRATION OF IMPURITIES OBSERVED IN
	DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
APPENDIX I	PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DOSE MIXTURES
APPENDIX J	METHODS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES
APPENDIX K	RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES
TABLE K1	RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
TABLE K2	RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR
	GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
APPENDIX L	SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM
APPENDIX M	INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN
	NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
TABLE M1	INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
TABLE M2	VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION226
TABLE M3	NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
TABLE M4	CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
APPENDIX N	RESULTS OF NTP STUDIES OF THE METABOLISM AND ELIMINATION OF
	DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN RATS AND MICE
TABLE N1	ELIMINATION OF 14C-DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE-DERIVED
	RADIOACTIVITY BY VARIOUS ROUTES

PAGE

#### **APPENDIXES** (Continued)

	PAGE
TABLE N2	TISSUE DISTRIBUTION OF 14C-DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE-DERIVED
	RADIOACTIVITY
TABLE N3	SPECTRAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MAJOR DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
	URINARY METABOLITE
APPENDIX O	SUMMARY OF NTP STUDIES OF THE IMMUNOTOXICITY OF
	DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN MICE
TABLE O1	<b>RESULTS OF IMMUNOTOXICOLOGIC PROBE STUDIES WITH</b>
	DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
APPENDIX P	DATA AUDIT SUMMARY



#### DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

CAS No. 513-37-1 (1-Chloro-2-methylpropene) C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>Cl Molecular weight 90.55

#### ABSTRACT

Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of dimethylvinyl chloride (96%-98% pure), a structural analog of vinyl chloride monomer, a known human carcinogen, were conducted by administering dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage to groups of 50 male and 50 female F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice at doses of 0, 100, or 200 mg/kg body weight 5 days per week for 102 or 103 weeks. The selection of these doses was based on results of 13-week studies, which included depression of body weight at doses of 500 mg/kg or above in rats as well as histopathologic changes in intestinal epithelium, bone marrow, hepatocytes, and the testes at doses of 250 mg/kg and above; doses in mice were selected on the basis of histopathologic changes in lymphopoietic cells, liver, pancreatic islets, ovary, testis, and spleen, with changes being most prominent at doses of 500 mg/kg and above.

In the 2-year studies, body weights of rats and mice given 100 mg/kg were comparable to those of the vehicle controls except for the last few weeks in mice when body weights were markedly lower than those for the vehicle controls. At 200 mg/kg, the mean body weights of rats and mice were progressively decreased relative to those of vehicle controls, with the significant departure from vehicle controls occurring somewhat earlier in males than in females. Survival of vehicle control rats and mice was comparable to historical values; however, survival of dosed male and female rats was significantly lower than that of vehicle controls, with the incidence of mortality being more severe at the high dose than at the low dose. There were no survivors in the high dose group of male rats after week 85 or in the high dose group of female rats after week 97. Survival was significantly lower among dosed male and female mice compared with vehicle controls. In the absence of toxicologic findings that would explain the early deaths, it is assumed that the high incidence of tumors and chemical-related toxicity contributed to the decreased survival of dosed rats and mice.

In rats, the severity and incidence of nonneoplastic lesions were minimal; these lesions included necrosis of the duodenum and epithelial hyperplasia at the sites of tumor formation--the nasal cavity, esophagus, and forestomach. In mice, the severity of nonneoplastic lesions was also minimal; the lesions included necrosis of the liver, bone marrow granulocytic hyperplasia, and inflammation of the nasal cavity (small number, females only).

Several types of neoplastic lesions occurred with significantly increased incidences in dosed animals as shown in the following table. Among rats, these lesions included malignant epithelial tumors of the nasal cavity and squamous cell tumors of the oral cavity, esophagus, and forestomach in males and females. The increased number of fibroadenomas of the mammary gland in female rats may have been related to dimethylvinyl chloride administration. The lack of a clear dose-response relationship for certain tumors in rats is considered to be related to the increased number of early deaths observed in the high dose groups.

		Male			Female	
	Vehicle	Low	High	Vehicle	Low	High
	Control	Dose	Dose	Control	Dose	Dose
RATS						
Nasal cavity						
Carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma,						
or adenocarcinoma	0/47	23/46	28/32	0/50	16/49	35/41
Oral cavity						
Squamous cell carcinoma	0/50	5/50	2/50	0/50	2/50	1/50
Squamous cell papilloma	0/50	0/50	2/50	0/50	0/50	4/50
Esophagus	0.00	0,00	2.00	0.00	0,00	
Squamous cell carcinoma	0/50	4/50	1/49	0/49	3/50	1/49
Squamous cell papilloma	0/50	2/50	3/49	0/49	0/50	0/49
Forestomach	0,00	2,00	0/ 10	0, 10	0,00	0/40
Squamous cell carcinoma	0/49	7/50	0/50	0/50	5/50	1/49
Squamous cell papilloma	0/49	7/50	0/50	1/50	4/50	1/49
Mammary gland	0, 10		0,00			
Fibroadenoma	2/50	2/50	0/50	10/50	18/50	5/50
MICE (a)						
Forestomach						
Squamous cell carcinoma	0/48	42/47	35/44	0/50	40/47	36/43
Squamous cell papilloma	1/48	3/47	8/44	0/50	1/47	3/43
Preputial gland						
Squamous cell carcinoma	1/48	3/47	16/44			
Harderian gland						
Papillary adenoma	2/48	3/47	3/44	0/50	3/47	5/43
Lung		0.11	0,11	0,00	0.1.	0, 10
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma						
or carcinoma	6/48	9/47	8/44	3/50	1/46	7/43

#### INCIDENCES OF NEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

(a) Mice that died before week 3 are excluded.

Among dosed mice, there were significantly increased incidences of squamous cell carcinomas of the forestomach (both sexes), squamous cell papillomas of the forestomach (males), and squamous cell carcinomas of the preputial gland (males). The increased incidence of papillary adenomas of the harderian gland and alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas in female mice may have been related to administration of dimethylvinyl chloride.

Limited metabolism studies of  $^{14}$ C-labeled dimethylvinyl chloride were conducted in male F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice. Single doses of 150 mg/kg were administered to rats for 1, 2, or 4 consecutive days. About 25% of the administered doses was exhaled as carbon dioxide; this amount was independent of the number of doses administered. Another 25%-35% of the administered dose was exhaled; 96% of this was parent material. Approximately 35% and 6% were excreted in the urine and feces, respectively. The elimination half-life of radioactive label was 3-4 days for the liver and kidney, the two organs containing the greatest amounts of the administered dose. In mice, a much smaller fraction of the dose was exhaled and a larger proportion was excreted in urine compared with rats.

Dimethylvinyl chloride was not mutagenic in four strains of Salmonella typhimurium with or without metabolic activation, but it was mutagenic in the mouse lymphoma L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> assay in the absence of metabolic activation. Sister-chromatid exchanges were induced in Chinese hamster ovary cells with and without metabolic activation, but there was no increase in chromosomal aberrations. When fed to Drosophila, dimethylvinyl chloride induced significant increases in the frequencies of both sex-linked recessive lethal mutations and reciprocal translocations.

Studies of the immunotoxicity of dimethylvinyl chloride were conducted in which female  $B6C3F_1$  mice received 14 daily oral doses of 0, 50, 100, 200, or 400 mg dimethylvinyl chloride per kilogram body weight. Compound-related increases in susceptibility to bacterial infection and decreases in macrophage cytostasis were observed at all doses. At the highest dose, the decreased resistance to bacterial and viral challenge could be related to alterations in specific immune function. However, the increased mortality in rats and mice in the 2-year studies was not relatable to infectious processes.

An audit of the experimental data was conducted for these 2-year toxicology and carcinogenesis studies on dimethylvinyl chloride. No data discrepancies were found that influenced the final interpretations.

Under the conditions of these 2-year gavage studies, there was *clear evidence of carcinogenicity*<sup>\*</sup> of dimethylvinyl chloride for both sexes of F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice. This was based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the nasal cavity, oral cavity, esophagus, and forestomach of male and female F344/N rats. B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice showed increased incidences of squamous cell neoplasms of the forestomach in males and females and squamous cell carcinomas of the preputial gland in males.

<sup>\*</sup>Categories of evidence of carcinogenicity are defined in the Note to the Reader on page 2. The public discussion regarding the interpretative conclusions is summarized on page 16.

#### **CONTRIBUTORS**

The NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Dimethylvinyl Chloride is based on the 13-week studies that began in August 1978 and ended in November 1978 and on the 2year studies that began in June 1980 (rats) or March 1981 (mice) and ended in June 1982 (rats) or March 1983 (mice) at Litton Bionetics, Inc.

#### National Toxicology Program (Evaluated Experiment, Interpreted Results, and Reported Findings)

B.A. Schwetz, D.V.M., Ph.D., Chemical Manager

J. Bishop, Ph.D. Gary A. Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D. L.T. Burka, Ph.D. Joseph K. Haseman, Ph.D. James Huff, Ph.D. C.W. Jameson, Ph.D. M.I. Luster, Ph.D. E.E. McConnell, D.V.M. G.N. Rao, D.V.M., Ph.D.

#### NTP Pathology Working Group (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report on Mice on 11/20/84)

Frank Voelker, D.V.M. (Chair) Pathology Associates, Inc. Gary A. Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Michael Elwell, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Krishna Murthy, D.V.M. EG&G Mason Research Institute Marilyn Wolfe, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Katsuhiko Yoshitomi, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP)

#### NTP Pathology Working Group (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report on Rats on 5/5/83)

S. Eustis, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Chair) (NTP) G. Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) P. Hildebrandt, D.V.M. (Tracor Jitco, Inc.) W. Macklin, D.V.M., Ph.D. Burroughs Wellcome H. Solleveld, D.V.M. (NTP)

#### Principal Contributors at Litton Bionetics Inc. (Conducted Studies and Evaluated Tissues)

A. Manus, D.V.M., Principal Investigator

R. Montali, D.V.M., Pathologist

Principal Contributors at Experimental Pathology Laboratories (Provided Pathology Quality Assurance)

Melvin Hamlin, D.V.M.

J. Gauchat, Pathology Coordinator

#### Principal Contributors at Carltech Associates, Inc. (Contractor for Technical Report Preparation)

William D. Theriault, Ph.D. Project Manager Abigail C. Jacobs, Ph.D. Senior Scientist John Warner, M.S. Chemist/Statistician

#### PEER REVIEW PANEL

The members of the Peer Review Panel who evaluated the draft Technical Report on dimethylvinyl chloride on December 9, 1985, are listed below. Panel members serve as independent scientists, not as representatives of any institution, company, or governmental agency. In this capacity, Panel members have five major responsibilities: (a) to ascertain that all relevant literature data have been adequately cited and interpreted, (b) to determine if the design and conditions of the NTP studies were appropriate, (c) to ensure that the Technical Report presents the experimental results and conclusions fully and clearly, (d) to judge the significance of the experimental results by scientific criteria, and (e) to assess the evaluation of the evidence of carcinogenicity and other observed toxic responses.

#### National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors Technical Reports Review Subcommittee

Jerry B. Hook, Ph.D. (Chair) Vice President, Preclinical Research and Development Smith Kline & French Laboratories, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Frederica Perera, Dr. P.H. (Principal Reviewer) Division of Environmental Sciences School of Public Health Columbia University New York, New York James Swenberg, D.V.M., Ph.D. Head, Department of Biochemical Toxicology and Pathobiology Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

#### Ad Hoc Subcommittee Panel of Experts

John J. Crowley, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Division of Public Health Science The Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center Seattle, Washington

Kim Hooper, Ph.D. Hazard Evaluation System and Information Services Department of Health Services State of California, Berkeley, California

Thomas C. Jones, D.V.M. Professor, Comparative Pathology New England Regional Primate Research Center Harvard Medical School Southborough, Massachusetts

Richard J. Kociba, D.V.M., Ph.D. Dow Chemical USA Midland, Michigan

David Kotelchuck, Ph.D.\* Environmental Health Science Program Hunter School of Health Sciences New York, New York

\*Unable to attend

Franklin E. Mirer, Ph.D. Director, Health and Safety Department International Union, United Auto Workers, Detroit, Michigan

I.F.H. Purchase, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Central Toxicology Laboratory Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC Alderley Park, England

Robert A. Scala, Ph.D. Senior Scientific Advisor, Medicine and Environmental Health Department Research and Environmental Health Division, Exxon Corporation East Millstone, New Jersey

Steven R. Tannenbaum, Ph.D. Professor, Department of Nutrition and Food Science Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts

Bruce W. Turnbull, Ph.D. Professor and Associate Director College of Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

#### SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

On December 9, 1985, the draft Technical Report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of dimethylvinyl chloride received peer review by the National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors' Technical Reports Review Subcommittee and associated Panel of Experts. The review meeting was held in the Conference Center, Building 101, South Campus, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Dr. B. Schwetz, NTP Chemical Manager, introduced the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of dimethylvinyl chloride by reviewing the experimental designs, results, and proposed conclusions (clear evidence of carcinogenicity for both sexes of rats and mice).

Dr. Crowley, a principal reviewer for the draft Technical Report, agreed with the conclusions as written. He asked for clarification or more definition of the relationship between tumors and early mortality in the dose groups vs. a relationship with compound-induced toxicity.

As a second principal reviewer, Dr. Perera agreed with the conclusions. Her principal criticisms had to do with presentation and discussion in the text of tumor incidence data displayed in the tables. She cited a number of examples and noted especially instances where there was little comment about increased tumor incidences in low dose groups. Dr. Schwetz responded that for some tumors, especially those with increases in the low dose groups, there was little or no mention in the text because of only marginally increased incidence, the lack of a high dose effect, or the primarily benign nature of the tumors. Dr. Perera noted that although harderian gland tumors were significantly increased in incidence and with a positive trend in female mice, this was considered to be an "uncertain relationship" to chemical administration. She questioned this interpretation.

As a third principal reviewer, Dr. Purchase also agreed with the conclusions. He thought there was very good evidence that early mortality was due to malignant neoplasms, noting that virtually all animals dying after about 60-70 weeks had malignant neoplasms. Dr. Schwetz replied that most of the dosed rats dying without nasal tumors died earlier than those with such tumors, citing this as an example of why the causes of death were probably a combination of cancer and dimethylvinyl chloride toxicity. Dr. Purchase said the argument that dimethylvinyl chloride acts directly on the nasal mucosa was weaker than the one suggesting that the nasal lesions were a result of systemic absorption and gave reasons in support of an indirect effect. Dr. Scala said the lack of kidney or bladder tumors in mice also spoke to the tumorigenicity occurring through systemic rather than local effects.

In other discussion, Dr. Scala commented on the introduction of information on chemical metabolism and immunotoxicology studies for the first time in the discussion without prior presentation of data in the results section.

Dr. Crowley moved that the Technical Report on dimethylvinyl chloride with the conclusions as written for rats and mice of both sexes, clear evidence of carcinogenicity, be accepted. Dr. Hooper seconded the motion, and it was approved unanimously with 11 affirmative votes.

### I. INTRODUCTION



#### **DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE**

CAS No. 513-37-1

(1-Chloro-2-methylpropene)

C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>Cl Molecular weight 90.55

Dimethylvinyl chloride is a clear colorless liquid, which, because of its volatility and flammability at room temperature, is a significant fire hazard. It has a boiling point of 68.1° C (155° F) and a density at 20° C of 0.919 g/ml (Merck, 1976). Dimethylvinyl chloride is a byproduct in the production of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene by the chlorination of isobutene. It is not known to be produced intentionally in the United States for other than laboratory purposes. This chemical was nominated for toxicologic studies because of its reported presence in ambient air in the Baltimore area (personal communication from H. Kraybill to NCI Chemical Selection Working Group, 1976) and was selected for toxicologic characterization because of its structural similarity to the known animal and human carcinogen, vinyl chloride monomer.

No threshold limit value is identified for dimethylvinyl chloride, and no recently published toxicologic data have been found. Silverman and Abreu (1938) reported that dimethylvinyl chloride was mildly irritating to the eyes and mucous membranes of humans and mice and had anesthetic properties in mice. In "barbitalized" rabbits administered dimethylvinyl chloride by inhalation, these authors reported a moderate rise in blood pressure that rapidly returned to normal after exposure ceased. The increase in blood pressure was considered to be a reflex result of inhalation of a respiratory tract irritant.

Vinyl chloride monomer is a well-recognized human carcinogen and in laboratory animals is a carcinogen in multiple organs, producing a variety of tumors in all species in which it has been studied and in animals of both sexes and of different ages by different routes of administration (Maltoni et al., 1984). The potency of vinyl chloride monomer as a carcinogen and its close structural similarity to vinyl chloride monomer suggested that dimethylvinyl chloride might also be an animal carcinogen.

No information was found in the literature regarding the disposition of dimethylvinyl chloride in animals.

Dimethylvinyl chloride was not mutagenic in strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, or TA1537 of Salmonella typhimurium in the presence or absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat or male Syrian hamster liver S9 when tested according to the preincubation protocol (Appendix G, Table G1). However, dimethylvinyl chloride was mutagenic in the mouse lymphoma L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> assay in the absence of S9 (Table G2); it was not tested in the presence of S9. The compound induced sisterchromatid exchanges in Chinese hamster ovary cells in the presence or absence of Aroclor 1254induced male Sprague-Dawley rat liver S9 (Table G3); it did not induce chromosomal aberrations in these cells (Table G4). Dimethylvinyl chloride induced a significant increase in the frequency of both sex-linked recessive lethal mutations and reciprocal translocations in the germ cells of Drosophila when fed to males at a dose of 12,750 ppm in 5% sucrose. Dimethylvinyl chloride induced greater absolute and relative increases in the frequency of reciprocal translocations than in the frequency of sex-linked recessive lethal mutations (Table G5). No information was found in the literature on the genetic toxicology of dimethylvinyl chloride.

3-Chloro-2-methylpropene recently has been reported to be a carcinogen when given by gavage to rats and mice (NTP, 1986). The lots of this

chemical which were evaluated for carcinogenicity contained as much as 5% dimethylvinyl chloride. Dose-related increases in the incidences of forestomach basal cell hyperplasia were observed in rats and mice of each sex. Forestomach squamous cell carcinomas were observed in male rats dosed with 150 mg/kg per day and in male and female mice dosed with 75 or 150 mg/kg per day. The potential role of dimethylvinyl chloride in the observed carcinogenicity in the 3-chloro-2-methylpropene studies was not established. Dimethylvinyl chloride was selected for study to characterize and evaluate its toxicologic potential, including carcinogenic activity, because of its structural similarity to the potent human and animal carcinogen, vinyl chloride monomer. Since available inhalation facilities were limited and because 3-chloro-2-methylpropene was studied by the oral route, dimethylvinyl chloride was administered orally by gavage.

#### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DOSE MIXTURES SINGLE-ADMINISTRATION STUDIES FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES TWO-YEAR STUDIES Study Design Source and Specifications of Animals Animal Maintenance Clinical Examinations and Pathology

**Statistical Methods** 

#### PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

Dimethylvinyl chloride (1-chloro-2-methylpropene) was obtained from the Aldrich Chemical Company in three lots (Table 1). Purity, identity, and stability analyses were conducted at Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, Missouri) (Appendix H). All three lots were identified as dimethylvinyl chloride by spectroscopy. The infrared, ultraviolet/visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were consistent with the structure of dimethylvinyl chloride and with available literature references. The purity for all three lots ranged from 96% for lot nos. 062537 and KD090967 to 98% for lot no. 1103BH based on elemental analysis, water analysis, acid titration, and gas chromatography. No epichlorohydrin was detected in any of the lots. The identity and concentration of major impurities observed in these lots of dimethylvinyl chloride are presented in Table 2.

The bulk chemical was stable when stored for 2 weeks at temperatures ranging from  $-20^{\circ}$  to  $25^{\circ}$  C (Appendix H). Several portions of the chemical were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$  C as reference samples, and the remainder was stored at room temperature. Periodic reanalysis of the study and reference samples by infrared spectroscopy and gas chromatography indicated that a decrease in the purity (approximately 1.7%) of the study material and a corresponding increase in the concentration and/or number of impurities in the reference and bulk chemical occurred in lot no. 062537 after storage for 3 years at the study laboratory. This lot was immediately replaced with lot nos. KD090967 and 1103BH.

Periodic reanalysis of these lots indicated no decomposition of dimethylvinyl chloride for the remainder of the studies (Appendix H).

Single- Administration Studies	Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
Lot Numbers	······································		
062537	062537	062537	062537, KD090967, 1103BH
Date of Initial Use of E	ach Lot		
	Rats5/10/78; mice5/8/78	8/31/78	Rats062537: 6/20/80-1/8/81; KD090967: 1/8/81-3/4/81; 1103BH: 3/4/81-6/11/82; mice1103BH: 3/20/81-3/1/83
<b>Supplier</b> Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI)	Same as single- administration studies	Same as single- administration studies	Same as single- administration studies

TABLE 1. IDENTITY AND SOURCE OF LOTS USED IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL<br/>CHLORIDE

	Concentration (percent) in			
Impurity	Lot No. 062537	Lot no. KD090967	Lot No. 1103BH	
Acetone	(a) 0.12	(b) 0.20	(c) ND	
Acrylonitrile	ND	ND	0.14	
t-Butanol	0.16	ND	ND	
t-Butyl chloride	0.91	ND	0.10	
Chloroform	< 0.1	ND	(d)	
3-Chloro-2-methylpropene	0.68	2.48	1.18	
1,2-Dichloro-2-methylpropane	1.04	ND	0.1	
2,2,4-Trimethyl-3-hydroxypentanal	0.92	ND	ND	

### TABLE 2. IDENTITY AND CONCENTRATION OF MAJOR IMPURITIES IN DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

(a) An impurity, tentatively identified as methylpropene from a comparison of its mass spectrum with a literature reference, was observed to coelute with the acetone impurity. The combined concentration of these two impurities is estimated to be 0.12%.

(b) Percent of major peak area; not quantitated against a standard.

(c) Not detected at a level  $\geq 0.1\%$ 

(d) The concentration of chloroform could not be determined because of its low concentration and coelution with *t*-butyl chloride. When quantitated against a *t*-butyl chloride standard, the combined concentration of these two impurities was estimated to be 0.1%.

#### PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DOSE MIXTURES

Accurately measured amounts of dimethylvinyl chloride were mixed with corn oil to yield the desired concentrations (Table 3). Dose mixtures of dimethylvinyl chloride (6%, w/v) in corn oil were stable when stored at room temperature for 7 days (Appendix I). An additional stability study conducted at the study laboratory indicated that the dose formulations were stable for up to 21 days at 25° C. Dimethylvinyl chloride and corn oil mixtures were stored at 25° C for no longer than 21 days. The study laboratory experienced difficulty in preparing the dose mixtures of

dimethylvinyl chloride. Periodic analysis for dimethylvinyl chloride in the corn oil vehicle was performed by the study laboratory and analytical chemistry laboratory to determine if the dose mixtures contained the correct concentrations of study material (Appendix J). Because 58/72 mixtures analyzed were within  $\pm$  10% of the target concentration, it is estimated that the dose mixtures were prepared within specifications 81% of the time (Table 4; Appendix K, Table K1). Of the 14 dose formulations determined to be out of specification, 9 were found to be within  $\pm$  20% of the target concentrations and the remaining 5 samples were greater than 20% above the target concentrations.

Single- Administration Studies	Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
Preparation			
The appropriate amount of dimethylvinyl chloride was added to a graduated cylinder, brought to volume with corn oil, and mixed by inversion	Same as the single- administration studies	Same as the single- administration studies	Same as the single- administration studies
Maximum Storage Time			
Not applicable	Dosing solution prepared daily except for 5/13/78, 5/14/78, 5/20/78, and 5/21/78 when solutions prepared the preceding Friday were used	Dosing solutions prepared daily	21 d
Storage Conditions Not applicable	Room temperature	Room temperature	Room temperature

### TABLE 3. PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

### TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Target Concentration (mg/ml)			
	10	20	20	40
Mean (mg/ml)	10.5	21.2	21.1	41.1
Standard deviation	1.13	1.43	2.26	4.09
Coefficient of variation (percent)	10.8	6.7	10.7	10.0
Range (mg/ml)	8.8-13.2	19.0-23.9	18.6-28.7	34.5-54.1
Number of samples	16	14	21	21

#### SINGLE-ADMINISTRATION STUDIES

Male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice were obtained from Harlan Industries and observed for 2 weeks before the studies began. Groups of five male and five female unfasted rats were administered a single dose of 10, 31.6, 100, 316, 1,000, 3,160, or 10,000 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage. Groups of five unfasted mice of each sex were administered 100, 316, 1,000, 3,160, or 10,000 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride. Groups of five mice of each sex were administered 31.6, 100, 316, 1,000, or 3,160 mg/kg; these mice were fasted 4 hours before dosing. All animals were observed 4 hours after dosing and then daily for 14 days; they were killed on day 15. A necropsy was performed on all animals that died before the end of the studies and on some animals that lived to the end of the studies. Details of animal maintenance are given in Table 5.

#### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Male and female F344/N rats and  $B6C3F_1$  mice were obtained from Harlan Industries and held for 3 weeks (rats) or 4 weeks (mice) before the studies began. (Although water was available during the quarantine period, quarantined mice appeared to be dehydrated. They recovered

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
5 males and 5 females of each species	10 males and 10 females of each species	50 males and 50 females of each species
Rats0, 500, 750, 1,250, 1,750, or 2,500 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage; mice0, 250, 500, 1,000, 1,500, or 2,000 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage; dose vol3.33 ml/kg	0, 63, 125, 250, 500, or 750 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage; dose vol3.33 ml/kg	Rats0, 100, or 200 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage; dose vol5 ml/kg; mice0, 100, or 200 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage; dose vol10 ml/kg
Rats5/10/78; mice5/8/78	8/31/78	Rats6/20/80; mice3/20/81
Rats5/23/78; mice5/21/78	Rats11/28/78; mice11/24/78	Rats6/11/82; mice3/1/83
14 consecutive d	5 d/wk for 13 wk	5 d/wk for 103 wk (rats) or 102 wk (mice)
oservation Observed 1 × d: weighed	Observed 2 X d	Observed 2 $\times$ d; palpated 1 $\times$ 4 w
on d 1 and 15	clinical observations 1 × wk; weighed 1 × wk	weighed $1 \times wk$ for 13 wk, $1 \times 4$ wk thereafter
xamination Necropsy performed	Necronsy and histologic	Necropsy and histologic
on all animals	examination performed on all animals; the following tissues were examined: gross lesions and tissue masses, mandibular or mesenteric lymph node, salivary gland, sternebrae, femur, or vertebrae including marrow, thyroid gland, parathyroids,	examination performed on all animals; the following tissues were examined: gross lesions and tissue masses, blood smear, mandibular and mesenteric lympl nodes, salivary gland, sternum including marrow, thyroid gland, parathyroids, colon, liver, urinary bladder, prostate/
	Studies 5 males and 5 females of each species Rats0, 500, 750, 1,250, 1,750, or 2,500 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage; mice0, 250, 500, 1,000, 1,500, or 2,000 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage; dose vol3.33 ml/kg Rats5/10/78; mice5/8/78 Rats5/23/78; mice5/21/78 14 consecutive d servation Observed 1 × d; weighed on d 1 and 15 Stamination Necropsy performed	StudiesStudiesStudies0 males and 10 females of each species0 males and 5 females of each species10 males and 10 females of each speciesRats-0, 500, 750, 1,250, 1,750, or 2,500 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage; mice-0, 250, 500, 1,000, 1,000, 1,000, 2,000 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage; dose vol-3.33 ml/kgRats-5/10/78; mice-5/8/788/31/78Rats-5/23/78; mice-5/21/788/31/78Rats-5/23/78; mice-5/21/78Rats-11/28/78; mice-11/24/7814 consecutive d5 d/wk for 13 wkservation on d 1 and 15Observed 2 × d; clinical observations 1 × wk; weighed 1 × wkxamination n all animalsNecropsy and histologic examination performed on all animals; the following tissues were examined: gross lesions and tissue masses, mandibular or mesenteric lymph node, salivary gland, sternebrae, femur, or vertebrae including marrow,

### TABLE 5. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE GAVAGE STUDIESOF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

TABLE 5.	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES
	OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

Single- Administration Studies	Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
Necropsy and Histologic E	xamination (Continued)		
		ovaries/uterus, spinal cord (if neurologic signs present), lungs and main- stem bronchi, heart, esophagus, stomach, brain, thymus, trachea, pancreas, spleen, kidneys, adrenal glands, urinary bladder, pituitary gland, eyes (if grossly abnormal), mam- mary gland, skin, and gallbladder (mice)	mainstem bronchi, skin, gallbladder (mice), cecum, thigh muscle, costochondral junction (rib), larynx, nasal cavity, heart, esophagus, stomach, brain, thymus, trachea, pancreas, spleen, kidneys, adrenal glands, pituitary gland, spinal cord, eyes, mammary gland, duodenum, ileum, sciatic nerve, rectum, and jejunum
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL	MAINTENANCE		
Strain and Species F344/N rats; B6C3F <sub>1</sub> mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F <sub>1</sub> mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F <sub>1</sub> mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F1 mice
Animal Source Harlan Industries (Indianapolis, IN)	Same as single- admistration studies	Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)	Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Kingston, NY)
Study Laboratory Litton Bionetics, Inc.	Litton Bionetics, Inc.	Litton Bionetics, Inc.	Litton Bionetics, Inc.
Method of Animal Identific	eation Ear punch	Ear notches	Ratsear tag; miceear punch, toe clip
Time Held Before Study 2 wk	Rats3 wk; mice4 wk	2 wk	Rats2.5 wk; mice2 wk
Age When Placed on Study			Rats7 wk; mice8 wk
Age When Killed			111 wk
Necropsy Dates	Rats5/24/78; mice5/22/78	Rats11/29/78; mice11/27/78	Rats6/21/82-6/22/82; mice3/9/83-3/10/83
Method of Animal Distribu	tion	Animals assigned to cages and groups according to tables of random numbers	Assigned to groups according to tables of random number
Feed Purina Lab Chow <sup>®</sup> (Ralston Purina, St. Louis, MO); available ad libitum	Same as single- administration studies	Same as single-administration studies	NIH 07 Rat and Mouse Ration (Zeigler Bros., Gardners, PA); available ad libitum
Bedding Ab-sorb-dri	Same as single- administration studies	Ab-sorb-dri hardwood chips	Ab-sorb-dri hardwood chips (Lab Products, Inc.) 3/20/81-9/23/81; Sani-chips hardwood chips (P.J. Murphy Forest Products, Corp., Rochelle Park, NJ) 9/23/81-end of studies

Single- Administration Studies	Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
Water Acidified water in	Same as single-	Tap water in bottles	Same as 13-wk studies
bottles, available ad libitum	administration studies	acidified to pH 2.5 with HCl for bacterial control; available ad libitum	Same as to we studies
Cages			
Polycarbonate	Same as single- administration studies	Polycarbonate (Lab Products, Inc.)	Polycarbonate (Lab Products, Inc., Garfield, NJ, and Rochelle Park, NJ, and Hazleton Systems, Aberdeen, MD)
Cage Filters			Nonwoven polyester sheets (Snow Filtration Co., Cincinnati, OH)
Cage Rotation None	None		None
Animals per Cage 5	5	5	5
Other Chemicals on Study	y in the Same Room 3-Chloro-2- methylpropene	None	None
Animal Room Environme	nt		
		Temp74° ± 2° F; humgenerally maintained between 30% and 70%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 15 room air changes/h	Tempgenerally 72°-76° F, range: 70°-81° F; hum30%-70%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 12-15 room air changes/h

### TABLE 5. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

before the studies began.) Groups of five male and five female rats were administered 0, 500, 750, 1,250, 1,750, or 2,500 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage for 14 consecutive days. Groups of five male and five female mice were administered 0, 250, 500, 1,000, 1,500, or 2,000 mg/kg on the same schedule.

Animals were housed five per cage and received water (acidified to pH 2.5 with hydrochloric acid) and feed ad libitum. Further details of animal maintenance are presented in Table 5. The rats and mice were observed daily and were weighed on days 1 and 15. A necropsy was performed on all animals.

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Thirteen-week studies were conducted to evaluate the cumulative toxic effects of dimethylvinyl chloride and to determine the doses to be used in the 2-year studies.

Male and female F344/N rats and  $B6C3F_1$  mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, observed for 14 days, and then assigned to cages according to a table of random numbers. The cages were then assigned to dosed and vehicle control groups according to a table of random numbers.

Groups of 10 rats and 10 mice of each sex were administered 0, 63, 125, 250, 500, or 750 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage, 5 days per week for 13 weeks. Animals were checked twice per day; moribund animals were killed. Further experimental details are summarized in Table 5. At the end of the 13-week studies, survivors were killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals except those excessively autolyzed or cannibalized. Tissues and groups examined are listed in Table 5.

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

#### Study Design

Groups of 50 male and 50 female rats and groups of 50 male and 50 female mice were administered 0, 100, or 200 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil by gavage, 5 days per week for 103 weeks (rats) or 102 weeks (mice).

#### Source and Specifications of Animals

The male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 (C57BL/6N, female,  $\times$  C3H/HeN MTV<sup>-</sup>, male) mice used in these studies were produced under strict barrier conditions at Charles River Breeding Laboratories under a contract to the Carcinogenesis Program. Breeding stock for the foundation colonies at the production facility originated at the National Institutes of Health Repository. Animals shipped for study were progeny of defined microflora-associated parents that were transferred from isolators to barriermaintained rooms. Rats were shipped to the study laboratory at 4-5 weeks of age and mice, at 5-6 weeks of age. The animals were guarantined at the study laboratory for 2.5 weeks (rats) or 2 weeks (mice). Thereafter, a complete necropsy was performed on five animals of each sex and species to assess their health status. The rats were placed on study at 7 weeks of age and the mice, at 8 weeks of age. The health of the animals was monitored during the course of the studies according to the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix L).

A quality control skin grafting program has been in effect since early 1978 to monitor the genetic integrity of the inbred mice used to produce the hybrid  $B6C3F_1$  study animal. In mid-1981, data were obtained that showed incompatibility between the NIH C3H reference colony and the C3H colony from a Program supplier. In August 1981, inbred parental lines of mice were further tested for genetic integrity via isozyme and protein electrophoresis profiles that demonstrate phenotype expressions of known genetic loci. The C57BL/6 mice were homogeneous at all loci tested. Eighty-five percent of the C3H mice monitored were variant at one to three loci, indicating some heterogeneity in the C3H line from this supplier. Nevertheless, the genome of this line is more homogeneous than that of randomly bred stocks.

Male mice from the C3H colony and female mice from the C57BL/6 colony were used as parents for the hybrid B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice used in these studies. The influence of the potential genetic nonuniformity in the hybrid mice on these results is not known, but results of the studies are not affected because concurrent controls were included in each study.

#### Animal Maintenance

Animals were housed five per cage. Feed and water were available ad libitum. Further details of animal maintenance are given in Table 5.

#### **Clinical Examinations and Pathology**

All animals were observed twice daily, and clinical signs were recorded once per week. Body weights by cage were recorded once per week for the first 13 weeks of the studies and once per month thereafter. Mean body weights were calculated for each group. Animals found moribund and those surviving to the end of the studies were humanely killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals including those found dead, unless they were excessively autolyzed or cannibalized, missexed, or found missing. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and is not necessarily equal to the number of animals that were placed on study.

During necropsy, all organs and tissues were examined for grossly visible lesions. Tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Tissues examined microscopically are listed in Table 5.

When the pathology evaluation was completed, the slides, paraffin blocks, and residual wet tissues were sent to the NTP Archives for inventory, slide/block match, and wet tissue audit. The slides, individual animal data records, and pathology tables were sent to an independent quality assessment laboratory. The individual animal records and tables were compared for accuracy, slides and tissue counts were verified, and histotechnique was evaluated. All tumor diagnoses, all target tissues, and all tissues from a randomly selected 10% of the animals were evaluated by a quality assessment pathologist. The quality assessment report and slides were submitted to the Pathology Working Group (PWG) Chairperson, who reviewed all target tissues and those about which there was a disagreement between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists.

Representative slides selected by the Chairperson were reviewed by the PWG, which includes the laboratory pathologist, without knowledge of previously rendered diagnoses. When the consensus diagnosis of the PWG differed from that of the laboratory pathologist, the laboratory pathologist was asked to reconsider the original diagnosis. This procedure has been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and Boorman et al. (1985). The final diagnoses represent a consensus of contractor pathologists and the NTP Pathology Working Group. For subsequent analysis of pathology data, the diagnosed lesions for each tissue type are combined according to the guidelines of McConnell et al. (1986).

Slides/tissues are generally not evaluated in a blind fashion (i.e., without knowledge of dose group) unless the lesions in question are subtle or unless there is an inconsistent diagnosis of lesions by the laboratory pathologist. Nonneoplastic lesions are not examined routinely by the quality assessment pathologist or PWG unless they are considered part of the toxic effect of the chemical.

#### **Statistical Methods**

Data Recording: Data on this experiment were recorded in the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969).

Survival Analyses: The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and is presented in the form of graphs. Animals were censored from the survival analyses at the time they were found dead of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) life table test for a dose-related trend. When significant survival differences were detected, additional analyses utilizing these procedures were carried out to determine the week at which significant differences in the survival curves were first observed. All reported P values for the survival analysis are two-sided.

Calculation of Incidence: The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions is given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site to the number of animals in which that site was examined. In most instances, the denominators include only those animals for which the site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions (e.g., skin or mammary tumors) prior to histologic sampling, or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the number of animals on which a necropsy was performed.

Analysis of Tumor Incidence: Three statistical methods were used to analyze tumor incidence data. The two that adjust for intercurrent mortality employ the classical method for combining contingency tables developed by Mantel and Haenszel (1959). Tests of significance included pairwise comparisons of high dose and low dose groups with vehicle controls and tests for overall dose-response trends.

For studies in which compound administration has little effect on survival, the results of the three alternative analyses will generally be similar. When differing results are obtained by the three methods, the final interpretation of the data will depend on the extent to which the tumor under consideration is regarded as being the cause of death. Continuity-corrected tests are used in the analysis of tumor incidence, and reported P values are one-sided. Twenty-one mice that died before the 3rd week of the studies (two vehicle control, three low dose, and six high dose males; three low dose and seven high dose females) have been excluded from the statistical analyses of tumor incidence.

Life Table Analyses -- The first method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals dying before the end of the study were "fatal"; i.e., they either directly or indirectly caused the death of the animal. According to this approach, the proportions of tumorbearing animals in the dosed and vehicle control groups were compared at each point in time at which an animal died with a tumor of interest. The denominators of these proportions were the total number of animals at risk in each group. These results, including the data from animals killed at the end of the study, were then combined by the Mantel-Haenszel method to obtain an overall P value. This method of adjusting for intercurrent mortality is the life table method of Cox (1972) and of Tarone (1975). The underlying variable considered by this analysis is time to death due to tumor. If the tumor is rapidly lethal, then time to death due to tumor closely approximates time to tumor onset. In this case, the life table test also provides a comparison of the time-specific tumor incidences.

Incidental Tumor Analyses--The second method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals that died before the end of the studies were "incidental"; i.e., they were merely observed at necropsy in animals dying of an unrelated cause. According to this approach, the proportions of tumor-bearing animals in dosed and vehicle control groups were compared in each of five time intervals: weeks 0-52, weeks 53-78, weeks 79-92, week 93 to the week before the terminal-kill period, and the terminal-kill period. The denominators of these proportions were the number of animals on which a necropsy was actually performed during the time interval. The individual time interval comparisons were then combined by the previously described method to obtain a single overall result. (See Haseman, 1984, for the computational details of both methods.)

Unadjusted Analyses--Primarily, survival-adjusted methods are used to evaluate tumor incidence. In addition, the results of the Fisher exact test for pairwise comparisons and the Cochran-Armitage linear trend test (Armitage, 1971; Gart et al., 1979) are given in the appendix containing the analyses of primary tumor incidence. These two tests are based on the overall proportion of tumor-bearing animals and do not adjust for survival differences.

Historical Control Data: Although the concurrent control group is always the first and most appropriate control group used for evaluation, there are certain instances in which historical control data can be helpful in the overall assessment of tumor incidence. Consequently, control tumor incidences from the NTP historical control data base (Haseman et al., 1984) are included for those tumors appearing to show compound-related effects.

#### **III. RESULTS**

#### RATS

#### SINGLE-ADMINISTRATION STUDIES

#### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

#### MICE

#### SINGLE-ADMINISTRATION STUDIES

#### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

#### SINGLE-ADMINISTRATION STUDIES

All rats that received 10,000 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride and 1/5 female rats that received 3,160 mg/kg died before the end of the studies (Table 6). Compound-related clinical signs included weakness, discharge from the eyes, disorientation, staggering gait, inactivity, emaciation, hunched back, urine stains, and difficulty in breathing.

#### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

All rats that received 1,250, 1,750, or 2,500 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride died before the end of the studies (Table 7). Two of the five deaths in the group of males administered 1,750 mg/kg were not compound related. The final mean body weight of males that received 750 mg/kg was 79% that of the vehicle controls, and the final mean body weight of females that received 750 mg/kg was 70% that of the vehicle controls. Compound-related signs included emaciation, urine stains, weakness, inactivity, hunched back, rough fur coat, discharge from eyes and nose, and stains around the anus.

TABLE	6.	SURVIVAL AND	<b>MEAN BODY</b>	WEIGHTS	S OF RATS	IN THE	SINGLE-
	A	DMINISTRATION	GAVAGE STU	UDIES OF	DIMETHY	LVINYL	CHLORIDE

Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial Mean Body Weight (b) (grams)	
ALE			
10	5/5	127	
31.6	5/5	126	
100	5/5	126	
316	5/5	125	
1,000	5/5	127	
(c) 3,160	5/5	134	
(c) 10,000	(d) 0/5	132	
MALE (e)			
10	5/5	99	
31.6	5/5	98	
100	5/5	97	
316	5/5	98	
1,000	5/5	97	
(c) 3,160	(f) 4/5	113	
(c) 10,000	(g) 0/5	114	

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Final body weights not recorded

(c) Groups administered 3,160 or 10,000 mg/kg were started 4 days after the other groups.

(d) Day of death: 1, 3, 3, 4, 4

(e)  $\rm LD_{50}$  value estimated at 4,465 mg/kg (95% confidence interval 2,843-7,012 mg/kg) by the Spearman-Karber method.

(f) Day of death: 8

(g) Day of death: 1, 1, 1, 1, 3

		Mea	n Body Weights	<b>Final Weight Relative</b>	
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial	Final	Change (b)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)
IALE					<u></u>
0	5/5	124	167	+ 43	
500	(c) <b>4</b> /5	125	158	+ 33	94.6
750	5/5	123	132	+ 9	79.0
1,250	(d) 0/5	124	(e)	(e)	(e)
1,750	(f) 0/5	127	(e)	(e)	(e)
2,500	(g) 0/5	124	(e)	(e)	(e)
EMALE					
0	5/5	100	122	+ 22	
500	5/5	99	117	+ 18	95.9
750	(h) 2/5	99	85	- 14	69.7
1,250	(i) 0/5	100	(e)	(e)	(e)
1,750	(i) 0/5	99	(e)	(e)	(e)
2,500	(k) 0/5	99	(e)	(e)	(e)

#### TABLE 7. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group
(b) Mean body weight change of the survivors
(c) Day of death: 2 (judged accidental)
(d) Day of death: 8, 8, 9, 9, 10
(e) No data are reported due to the 100% mortality in this group.
(f) Day of death: 1 (accidental), 6, 8 (not compound related), 8, 8
(g) Day of death: 3, 4, 4, 5, 5
(h) Day of death: 10, 10, 13
(i) Day of death: 5, 5, 7, 8, 8
(j) Day of death: 6, 6, 7, 7, 7
(k) Day of death: 2, 3, 4, 4, 5

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Two of 10 male rats that received 750 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride died before the end of the study (Table 8). The deaths of two female rats were not considered to be compound related. The final mean body weights were 25% and 37% lower than that of the vehicle controls for males and 9% and 23% lower for females that received 500 or 750 mg/kg.

Compound-related clinical signs in the 500 and 750 mg/kg groups included rough hair, inactivity, and perianal wetness. Compound-related histopathologic changes were observed in the liver, intestine, bone marrow, and testis (Table 9). The necrosis in the intestine involved

mainly the crypts of either the duodenum or jejunum; the observed incidence at each location depended on the portion of the upper small intestine present on the slide. The changes were distributed focally and included minimal to mild involvement of cells at the base of the crypts. The crypts showed varying stages of degeneration with pyknosis and karyorrhexis, and the surrounding crypt cells often appeared swollen. Similar changes occurred in the colonic mucosa but at a lower incidence. Bone marrow hypoplasia was characterized by a minimal to moderate decrease in marrow cellular elements, and the erythropoietic cells appeared to be most affected. The vacuolization of hepatocytes was generally mild and had a periportal distribution.

TABLE 8.	SURVIVAL AND	MEAN BODY	WEIGHTS OF	RATS IN T	HE THIRTEEN-WEEK	GAVAGE
		STUDIES C	)F DIMETHYL	VINYL CHL	LORIDE	

Dose (mg/kg)		Mea	n Body Weights	Final Weight Relative	
	Survival (a)	Initial	Final	Change (b)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)
IALE	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				, <u>ta</u> , izt, introduction <u>in serv</u>
0	10/10	108	244	+ 136	
63	10/10	111	253	+ 142	103.7
125	10/10	114	261	+ 147	107.0
250	10/10	110	244	+ 134	100.0
500	10/10	109	184	+ 75	75.4
750	(c) 8/10	100	153	+ 53	62.7
EMALE					
0	10/10	91	158	+ 67	
63	(d) 9/10	90	160	+ 70	101.3
125	10/10	90	165	+ 75	104.4
250	10/10	95	165	+ 70	104.4
500	(d) 9/10	88	144	+ 56	91.1
750	10/10	87	122	+ 35	77.2

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Mean body weight change of the survivors

(c) Week of death: 3, 10

(d) Death judged accidental
			Dose (n	ng/kg)		
Site/Lesion	0	63	125	250	500	750
MALE					······	
Liver						
Periportal vacuolar change Necrosis, centrilobular	0/10	0/10	0/10	2/10	7/10	4/10
or focal	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	3/10
Jejunum/duodenum Focal necrosis, crypts	0/6	0/10	0/9	2/10	7/10	3/5
Colon Focal necrosis	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	1/10	2/10
Bone marrow Hypoplasia	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	4/10	3/10
Testis Hypoplasia of seminiferous tubules and reduction of spermatozoa in testicle and epididymis	0/10	(a)				7/10
FEMALE						
Liver Periportal vacuolar change	0/10	0/9	0/10	2/10	7/9	7/10
Necrosis, centrilobular or focal	0/10	0/9	0/10	0/10	0/9	0/10
Jejunum/duodenum Focal necrosis, crypts	0/7	0/9	0/10	1/10	4/9	7/7
Colon Focal necrosis	0/9	0/9	0/10	0/10	0/9	2/10
Bone marrow Hypoplasia	0/10	0/8	0/10	2/9	2/9	7/10

#### TABLE 9. INCIDENCES OF COMPOUND-RELATED LESIONS IN RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

(a) No data available

Dose Selection Rationale: Based on histopathologic changes at doses of 250 mg/kg or more and significant effects on body weight at 500 mg/kg or more, doses selected for rats for the 2-year studies were 100 and 200 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride administered in corn oil by gavage, 5 days per week.

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

#### **Body Weights and Clinical Signs**

Throughout most of the study, mean body weights of dosed male rats were notably lower

than those of the vehicle controls (Table 10 and Figure 1). After week 40, the mean body weights of the high dose male rats were over 10% lower than those of the vehicle controls. Mean body weights of high dose female rats were lower than those of the vehicle controls throughout most of the study. After week 56, mean body weights of low dose female rats were greater than those of the vehicle controls. During the second year of the studies, many dosed animals, particularly in the high dose group, had crusts around the nose and mouth. Some dosed animals had swelling of the nose and, occasionally, tilted heads.

Weeks on Study	Av. Wt.	<u>e Control</u> No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	100 mg/kg Wt. (percent of veh. controls)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams) o	200 mg/kg Wt. (percent f veh. controls	No. of s) Survivors
MALE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······					
0	150	50	152	101	50	148	99	50
1	178	50	180	101	50	178	100	50
2	207	50	206	100	50	206	100	50
3	233	50	230	99	50	228	98	50
4	253	50	250	99	50	245	97	50
5 6	270 282	50 50	267 278	99 99	50 50	264 268	98 95	50 50
7	293	50	290	99	50	277	95	50
8	303	50	298	98	50	284	94	50
9	309	50	306	99	50	294	95	50
10	310	50	307	99	50	295	95	50
11 12	314 322	50 50	308 314	98 98	50 50	296 301	94 93	50 50
13	320	50	302	94	50	292	93 91	50
20	356	50	345	97	50	330	93	50
24	376	50	360	96	50	344	91	50
28	396	50	378	95	50 50	362	91	50
32 36	415 427	50 50	397 409	96 96	50 49	379 388	91 91	50 50
40	429	50	409	96	49	378	88	50
44	432	49	412	95	49	360	83	48
48	449	48	433	96	49	377	84	46
52 56	465 478	47 47	444 456	95	48	396 405	85 85	42 37
56 60	478	47	456 462	95 96	48 47	405	80 84	37
64	491	46	472	96	47	405	82	25
68	487	45	473	97	47	394	81	14
72	490	45	478	98	45	383	78	9
76 80	495 502	45 45	477	96 95	45 40	400 361	81 72	4 2
84	499	45	479 472	95 95	39	326	65	1
88	492	45	457	93	35	010		-
92	488	45	449	92	27			
96	486	43	442	91	20			
100 104	485 478	41 38	460 417	95 87	13 8			
EMALE			•••		,			
0	117	50	110	102	50	117	100	50
1	131	50 50	119 132	102	50 50	130	99	50
2	145	50	143	99	50	142	98	50
3	155	50	154	99	50	152	98	50
4	165	50	162	98	50	160	97	50
5 6	172	50	171	99 99	50 50	169 172	98 98	50 50
7	176 181	50 50	175 181	99 100	50	172	98 98	50
8	186	50	181	101	50	183	98	50
9	188	50	188	100	50	185	98	50
10	190	50	189	99	50	185	97	50
11 12	191 196	50 50	188 193	98 98	50 50	186 189	97 96	50 50
13	196	50	195	99	50	191	97	50
16	205	50	204	100	50	195	95	50
20	210	50	207	99	50	201	96	48
24 28	216 223	50 50	212 216	98 97	50 50	206 211	95 95	48 48
28 32	223	50	216 225	98	50	217	95 94	48
36	236	50	230	97	50	223	94	48
40	243	50	241	99	50	224	92	48
44	249	50	246	99	50	225	90	47
48 52	252 253	50 50	251 254	100 100	50 50	229 232	91 92	47 46
52 56	253 260	50 50	254 264	102	50 50	232	92 90	46
60	263	50	271	102 103	50	240	91	39
64	272	49	281	103	49	242	91 89	37
68	271	49	285	105	48	243	90	33
72 76	279 286	49	293	105 105	48	242 243	87 85	21
80	286 296	48 47	299 308	105	47 45	243 252	85	7
84	295	46	312	106	39	239	81	10 7 4
88	294	46	306	104	36	234	80	2 1
92	296 300	45 45	309 310	104 103	33 28	246 227	83 76	1
06		43		163	20	421	(0	
96 100	303	43	320	106	14			•

# TABLE 10. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIESOF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE



FIGURE 1. GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS ADMINISTERED DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

#### Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female rats administered dimethylvinyl chloride at the doses used in these studies and for vehicle controls are shown in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 2. The survival of the dosed groups of males (low dose after week 88, high dose after week 55) and females (low dose after week 85, high dose after week 55) was significantly lower than that of the vehicle controls based on life table comparisons (Table 11). The survival of both the male and female high dose groups was significantly lower than that of the low dose groups (P < 0.001).

# Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the significant or noteworthy changes in the incidences of rats with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the nasal cavity, brain, oral cavity, esophagus, forestomach, thyroid gland, duodenum, and mammary gland. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A (Tables A1 and

A2); Appendix A (Tables A3 and A4) also gives the survival and tumor status for individual male and female rats. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C (Tables C1 and C2). Appendix E (Tables E1 and E2) contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes). The statistical analyses and interpretation of tumor incidence data for rats were complicated by the marked reduction in survival in dosed male and female rats when compared with that of the vehicle controls. No vehicle control animals were killed when dosed animals were dying. Consequently, the incidental tumor test has reduced sensitivity, since there is little overlapping of survival in the dosed and vehicle control groups. Hence, the incidental tumor test was not given primary emphasis, although for completeness the results of this test are given in Appendix E. Historical incidences of tumors in corn oil vehicle control animals are listed in Appendix F.

TABLE 11.	SURVIVAL C	F RATS IN	N THE TWO-YEAR	GAVAGE STU	UDIES OF	DIMETHYLVINYL
			CHLO	RIDE		

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
MALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	12	41	48
Accidentally killed	0	0	2
Killed at termination	38	7	0
Died during termination period	0	2	0
Survival P values (c)	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	6	39	50
Accidentally killed	1	0	0
Killed at termination	41	11	0
Died during termination period	2	0	0
Survival P values (c)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

(a) Terminal-kill period: week 104

(b) Includes animals killed in a moribund condition

(c) The result of the life table trend test is in the vehicle control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the vehicle controls are in the dosed columns.



FIGURE 2. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS ADMINISTERED DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Nasal Cavity: Epithelial hyperplasia and squamous metaplasia were seen at low incidences in dosed male and female rats, but the incidences were greater than those of the vehicle controls (Table 12).

Malignant epithelial neoplasms of the nasal cavity occurred with significantly increased incidences in dosed male and female rats; nasal cavity neoplasms were not observed in vehicle control rats (Table 13). Malignant epithelial neoplasms were combined on the premise that they all originated from the epithelial linings of the nasal cavity/adnexal structures and because of the difficulty in defining the exact epithelium of origin due to the expansile nature of the neoplasms. These tumors included carcinomas, not otherwise specified (NOS), squamous cell carcinomas, and adenocarcinomas, NOS. These neoplasms originated most often from the respiratory epithelium of the nasal septum and turbinates, epithelium of the submucosal glands, or the stratified squamous epithelium of the nasolacrimal duct. Most were poorly differentiated and contained morphologic components that included interconnecting cords of keratinized and nonkeratinized stratified squamous epithelium, cuboidal or columnar cells arranged in poorly defined tubulo-alveolar patterns, and solid clusters or sheets of pleomorphic cells. Other malignant tumors observed were two carcinosarcomas and

a rhabdomyosarcoma. The malignant neoplasms were highly aggressive and often invaded or metastasized to surrounding structures including the maxillary and cranial bones, brain, and regional lymph nodes.

Carcinomas invasive to the brain from the nasal cavity were observed in at least 52% of the high dose male rats and 50% of the high dose female rats (Table 14). According to the protocols of the studies, the nasal cavity was not one of the tissues requiring examination, and it was not saved for animals that died before week 80. The nasal cavity was recognized as an affected site after invasive carcinomas to the brain were found in some animals that died early in the studies. Several rats without diagnoses of primary carcinoma of the nasal cavity had invasive carcinomas to the brain. Of these, five male and three female rats did not have nasal sections taken. A carcinoma invasive to the brain was observed in one male and one female rat from the high dose groups, but nasal sections taken from these animals did not contain tumors. These invasive carcinomas, however, were histologically similar to those nasal carcinomas that invaded the brains of other rats in these studies and were considered to have originated in the nasal cavity. The only primary brain tumors in these studies were astrocytomas observed in 1/50 vehicle control male rat and 2/50 low dose female rats.

		Male			<u>Female</u>	
	C	ose (mg/kg)			Dose (mg/kg)	
Lesion	0	100	200	0	100	200
Number of rats examined						
grossly	50	50	50	50	50	50
Number of nasal cavities						
examined microscopically	47	46	32	50	49	41
Hyperplasia, epithelial or atypical	0	4	0	0	3	2
Squamous metaplasia	0	2	2	1	2	4
Adenoma, NOS	0	1	0	0	1	0
Squamous cell papilloma	0	0	1	0	0	0
Squamous cell carcinoma	0	3	0	0	2	2
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	0	8	4	0	3	6
Carcinoma, NOS	0	12	24	0	11	28
Carcinosarcoma	0	1	0	0	0	1
Rhabdomyosarcoma	0	0	0	0	0	1

TABLE 12. NUMBER OF RATS WITH LESIONS OF THE NASAL CAVITY IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
IALE			<u> </u>
denoma			
Overall Rates	0/47 (0%)	(b) 1/46 (2%)	0/32 (0%)
Squamous Cell Papilloma Overall Rates	0/47 (0%)	0/46 (0%)	(c) 1/32 (3%)
Overall Rates	0/4/(0%)	0/40 (0%)	(C) 1/32 (3%)
quamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/47 (0%)	3/46 (7%)	0/32 (0%)
denocarcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/47 (0%)	8/46 (17%)	4/32 (13%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	46.8%	100.0%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	3/9 (33%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	(0,0)	79	45
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.028		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.003	P = 0.024
arcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/47 (0%)	12/46 (26%)	24/32 (75%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	36.7%	93.5%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		70	58
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test		P<0.001	P<0.001
arcinosarcoma			
Overall Rates	0/47 (0%)	1/46 (2%)	0/32 (0%)
Inlignant Enithelial Tymore (Cancing	Sauamous Call Carain	oma on Adamaansi	nome) (d)
Ialignant Epithelial Tumors (Carcinoma Overall Rates	, squamous Cen Carcin 0/47 (0%)	23/46 (50%)	28/32 (88%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	71.8%	100%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	3/9 (33%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	0/30 (070)	3/9 (33%) 70	45
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	45 P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001 P<0.001	r < 0.001	r < 0.001
Fisher Exact Test	r < 0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
EMALE			
denoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/49 (2%)	0/41 (0%)
quamous Cell Carcinoma	0/60/00/	9/40 (471)	9/41 (201)
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	2/49 (4%)	2/41 (5%)
arcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	11/49 (22%)	28/41 (68%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	44.3%	100.0%
Terminal Rates	0/43 (0%)	3/11 (27%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		72	55
		The second	D =0.001
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Life Table Tests Cochran-Armitage Trend Test Fisher Exact Test	P<0.001 P<0.001	P<0.001 P<0.001	P<0.001 P<0.001

# TABLE 13. ANALYSIS OF NASAL CAVITY TUMORS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF<br/>DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (a)

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
FEMALE (Continued)			······································
Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	3/49 (6%)	6/41 (15%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	20.1%	40.0%
Terminal Rates	0/43 (0%)	1/11 (9%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		98	67
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.011	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.005		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.117	P=0.007
Carcinosarcoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/49 (0%)	1/41 (2%)
lhabdomyosarcoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/49 (0%)	1/41 (2%)
Malignant Epithelial Tumors (Carcino)	na, Squamous Cell Carcino	ma, or Adenocarcin	ioma) (e)
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	16/49 (33%)	35/41 (85%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	61.6%	100.0%
Terminal Rates	0/43 (0%)	4/11 (36%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		72	55
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test		P<0.001	P<0.001

## TABLE 13. ANALYSIS OF NASAL CAVITY TUMORS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes).

(b) The animal with the adenoma also had an adenocarcinoma.

(c) The animal with the squamous cell papilloma also had a carcinoma.

(d) No nasal cavity tumors have been observed in 350 corn oil vehicle control male rats at the study laboratory; historical incidence in NTP studies (mean): 1/1,100 (0.1%).

(e) No nasal cavity tumors have been observed in 350 corn oil vehicle control female rats at the study laboratory; historical incidence in NTP studies: 0/1,100.

## TABLE 14. INCIDENCE OF RATS WITH TUMOR INVASION TO THE BRAIN FROM THE NASAL CAVITY IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
MALE		······································	·····
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	0/50	8/50 (16%)	26/50 (52%)
Adenocarcinoma, invasive	0/50	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
FEMALE			
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	0/50	7/50 (14%)	25/50 (50%)
Adenocarcinoma, invasive	0/50	2/50 (4%)	5/50 (10%)
Rhabdomyosarcoma, invasive	0/50	0/50	1/50 (2%)
Carcinosarcoma, invasive	0/50	0/50	1/50 (2%)

Oral Cavity (Mouth, Palate, Lip, Tongue, Oral Mucosa, or Gum): Squamous cell papillomas and squamous cell papillomas or carcinomas (combined) in female rats occurred with significant positive trends (Table 15). The incidences of squamous cell carcinomas in low dose male rats and squamous cell papillomas or carcinomas (combined) in high dose female rats were significantly greater than those in the vehicle controls.

TABLE 15.	ANALYSIS OF ORAL CAVITY TUMORS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES
	OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
<b>I</b> ALE			ato <u>an - 1998 - 270 - 1998 - 1998 - 199</u>
Squamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	21.9%	100.0%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	1/9(11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		71	41
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.007	P=0.030
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.238		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.028	P = 0.247
Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)
Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	21.9%	100.0%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		71	41
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.007	P=0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.070		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.028	P = 0.059
EMALE			
Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	0.0%	21.9%
Terminal Rates	0/43 (0%)	0/11 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation			65
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	(b)	P = 0.004
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P=0.015		
Fisher Exact Test		(b)	P = 0.059
quamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)
quamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	8.1%	41.4%
Terminal Rates	0/43 (0%)	0/11 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		95	65
Life Table Tests	P<0 001	P = 0.126	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.016		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.247	P = 0.028

(a) No oral cavity tumors have been observed in 350 corn oil vehicle control male rats at the study laboratory; historical incidence in NTP studies (mean): 2/1,110 (0.2%).

(b) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the 100 mg/kg and vehicle control groups.

(c) No oral cavity tumors have been observed in 350 corn oil vehicle control female rats at the study laboratory; historical incidence in NTP studies (mean): 3/1,100 (0.3%).

*Esophagus:* The incidences of epithelial hyperplasia were increased in dosed rats (Table 16). The incidence of squamous cell papillomas or carcinomas (combined) in low dose male rats was significantly greater than that in the vehicle control group.

### TABLE 16. ANALYSIS OF ESOPHAGEAL LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
MALE		······································	
Epithelial Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	6/50 (12%)	4/49 (8%)
Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	3/49 (6%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	16.7%	20.8%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		99	61
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.043	P = 0.011
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.079	* - VIV *V	1
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.247	P=0.117
Squamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	28.1%	4.3%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	2/9 (22%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	0.00(0,0)	90	66
Life Table Tests	P = 0.001	P = 0.004	P = 0.366
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.383	1 -0.004	1 -0.000
Fisher Exact Test	r=0.000	P = 0.059	P = 0.495
Fisher Exact Test		r = 0.009	r 0.455
Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinom			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	6/50 (12%)	4/49 (8%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	42.2%	24.3%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	3/9 (33%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		90	61
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P=0.004
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P=0.076		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.013	P=0.056
FEMALE			
Epithelial Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	0/49 (0%)	7/50 (14%)	4/49 (8%)
Squamous Cell Carcinoma(b)			
Overall Rates	0/49 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	16.4%	12.5%
Terminal Rates	0/42 (0%)	1/11 (9%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		95	79
Life Table Tests	P=0.002	P = 0.024	P=0.157
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P=0.378		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.125	P = 0.500

(a) One squamous cell carcinoma has been observed in 1,037 (0.1%) male corn oil vehicle control rats in NTP studies. (b) No esophageal tumors have been observed in 1,036 female corn oil vehicle controls in NTP studies. *Forestomach:* Epithelial hyperplasia was observed at increased incidences in dosed rats (Table 17). This lesion was considered to be preneoplastic and was characterized by a thickening of the epithelium, down-growth of basal cells, and cellular atypia consisting of a loss of cell polarity, increased basophilic staining of the cytoplasm, and pleomorphism.

The incidences of squamous cell papillomas and squamous cell carcinomas in low dose male rats,

squamous cell carcinomas in low dose female rats, and squamous cell papillomas or carcinomas (combined) in low dose male and low dose female rats were significantly greater than those in the vehicle controls (Table 17). The squamous cell papillomas were exophytic papilliferous growths consisting of keratinized, stratified squamous epithelium overlaying thin connective tissue cords. The carcinomas consisted of cords and nests of stratified squamous epithelium which invaded adjacent tissues.

## TABLE 17. ANALYSIS OF FORESTOMACH LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
MALE			
Epithelial Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	0/49 (0%)	24/50 (48%)	19/50 (38%)
Squamous Cell Papilloma(a)			
Overall Rates	0/49 (0%)	7/50 (14%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	52.2%	0.0%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	4/9 (44%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		90	
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	(b)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.585N		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.007	(b)
iquamous Cell Carcinoma(c)			
Overall Rates	0/49 (0%)	7/50 (14%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	30.4%	0.0%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		82	
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	(b)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.585N		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.007	(b)
quamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinom	a		
Overall Rates	0/49 (0%)	14/50 (28%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	70.1%	0.0%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	5/9 (56%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		82	
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	(b)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.556N		
Fisher Exact Test		P<0.001	(b)

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
FEMALE			
Epithelial Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	29/50 (58%)	24/49 (49%)
Squamous Cell Papilloma(d)			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates	2.0%	25.5%	25.0%
Terminal Rates	0/43 (0%)	2/11 (18%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	74	98	87
Life Table Tests	P = 0.005	P = 0.027	P = 0.356
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P=0.593		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.181	P = 0.748
Squamous Cell Carcinoma (e)			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	22.2%	2.7%
Terminal Rates	0/43 (0%)	1/11 (9%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		90	64
Life Table Tests	P=0.002	P = 0.004	P = 0.444
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.391		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.028	P=0.495
Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinon	na		
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	9/50 (18%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates	2.0%	43.6%	27.0%
Terminal Rates	0/43 (0%)	3/11 (27%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	74	90	64
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P = 0.142
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.415		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.008	P = 0.492

### TABLE 17. ANALYSIS OF FORESTOMACH LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

(a) Historical incidence at study laboratory (mean): 0/350; historical incidence of squamous cell papillomas of the stomach in NTP studies: 4/1,091 (0.4%)

(b) No P values are reported because no tumors were observed in the 200 mg/kg and vehicle control groups.

(c) Historical incidence at study laboratory: 0/350; historical incidence of squamous cell carcinomas of the stomach in NTP studies: 0/1,091

(d) Historical incidence at study laboratory (mean): 1/349 (0.3%); historical incidence of squamous cell papillomas of the stomach in NTP studies: 4/1,096 (0.4%)

(e) Historical incidence at study laboratory: 0/349; historical incidence in NTP studies: 0/1,096

Thyroid Gland: The incidences of follicular cell hyperplasia in dosed and vehicle control rats of each sex were similar (male: vehicle control, 1/50, 2%; low dose, 1/48, 2%; high dose, 0/47; female: vehicle control, 0/49; low dose, 1/49, 2%; high dose, 1/47, 2%). The incidences of follicular cell carcinomas and follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in low dose female rats were significantly greater than those in the vehicle controls by life table analysis but not by the Fisher exact test (Table 18). In male rats, the incidences of follicular cell adenomas were as follows: vehicle control, 1/50 (2%); low dose, 2/48 (4%); high dose, 0/47. Duodenal Mucosa: Necrosis was observed at increased incidences in dosed male and female rats (male: vehicle control, 0/45; low dose, 2/49, 4%; high dose, 6/48, 13%; female: vehicle control, 0/47; low dose, 1/50, 2%; high dose, 8/47, 17%).

Mammary Gland: The incidence of fibroadenomas in low dose female rats was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls by the life table test but not by the Fisher exact test (Table 19). Early deaths among female rats in the high dose group may account for the lower incidence of these tumors for this group when compared with the low dose and vehicle control groups.

TABLE 18.	ANALYSIS OF THYROID GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE
	STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Follicular Cell Carcinoma (a)	······································	······································	1999 - Inn - Ann - 1994
Overall Rates	1/49 (2%)	5/49 (10%)	0/47 (0%)
Adjusted Rates	2.4%	34.7%	0.0%
Terminal Rates	1/42 (2%)	3/10 (30%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	95	
Life Table Tests	P = 0.003	P = 0.002	(b)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.415N		,
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.102	P = 0.510N
Follicular Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	1/49 (2%)	5/49 (10%)	1/47 (2%)
Adjusted Rates	2.4%	34.7%	2.9%
Terminal Rates	1/42 (2%)	3/10 (30%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	95	67
Life Table Tests	P = 0.001	P = 0.002	P = 0.427
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.576		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.102	P = 0.742

(a) Historical incidence at study laboratory (mean  $\pm$  SD): 3/342 (0.9%  $\pm$  1%); historical incidence in NTP studies: 5/1,076 (0.5%  $\pm$  0.9%)

(b) No P value could be determined because all 200 mg/kg animals died before the first tumor was observed in the vehicle control group.

# TABLE 19. ANALYSIS OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Fibroadenoma (a)			
Overall Rates	10/50 (20%)	18/50 (36%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates	23.3%	71.6%	100.0%
Terminal Rates	10/43 (23%)	6/11 (55%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	84	74
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.139N		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.059	P=0.131N
Adenocarcinoma (b)			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates	2.0%	19.2%	0.0%
Terminal Rates	0/43 (0%)	1/11 (9%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	74	94	
Life Table Tests	P = 0.112	P = 0.055	P = 0.735N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.390N		
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.181	P = 0.500N

(a) Historical incidence of all benign mammary gland tumors at study laboratory (mean  $\pm$  SD): 86/350 (25%  $\pm$  9%); historical incidence in NTP studies: 280/1,100 (26%  $\pm$  8%)

(b) Historical incidence of all malignant mammary gland tumors at study laboratory (mean  $\pm$  SD): 4/350 (1%  $\pm$  2%); historical incidence in NTP studies: 17/1,100 (2%  $\pm$  2%)

#### SINGLE-ADMINISTRATION STUDIES

Unfasted: All mice that received 3,160 or 10,000 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride died by day 5 (Table 20). Compound-related clinical signs included weakness, staggering gait, prostration, shallow breathing, diarrhea, and distended stomach.

Fasted: All mice that received 3,160 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride died by day 2 (Table 20). Compound-related clinical signs included distended stomach, inactivity, prostration, and incoordination.

#### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

All mice that received 1,500 or 2,000 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride and 4/5 males and 5/5 females that received 1,000 mg/kg died before the end of the studies (Table 21). Compoundrelated signs included inactivity, staggering gait, rough fur coat, labored/shallow breathing, weakness, hunched back, prostration, and opaque eyes.

### TABLE 20. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE SINGLE-ADMINISTRATION GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Male			Femal	е
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial Mean Body Weights (b) (grams)	Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial Mean Body Weights (b) (grams)
Infasted			····		
100	5/5	20	100	5/5	19
316	5/5	22	316	5/5	19
1,000	5/5	21	1,000	5/5	19
3,160	(c) 0/5	21	3,160	(c) 0/5	19
10,000	(d) 0/5	22	10,000	(d) 0/5	19
'asted (e)					
31.6	5/5	21	31.6	5/5	19
100	5/5	21	100	5/5	19
316	5/5	20	316	5/5	18
1,000	5/5	21	1,000	5/5	18
3,160	(f) 0/5	21	3,160	(f) 0/5	18

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Final body weights not recorded

(c) Day of death: all 5

(d) Day of death: 1, 1, 2, 2, 2

(e) Mice were denied food 4 hours before gavage.

(f) Day of death: all 2

		Mea	n Body Weights	Final Weight Relative	
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial	Final	Change (b)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)
MALE		<u></u>			
0	5/5	22	23	+1	
250	(c) <b>4/5</b>	22	25	+3	108.7
500	5/5	23	24	+1	104.3
1,000	(d) 1/5	22	16	-6	69.6
1,500	(e) 0/5	22	( <b>f</b> )	(f)	( <b>f</b> )
2,000	(g) 0/5	23	(f)	(f)	(f)
FEMALE					
0	5/5	18	19	+1	
250	5/5	18	18	0	94.7
500	5/5	19	20	+1	105.3
1.000	(h) 0/5	18	( <b>f</b> )	( <b>f</b> )	(f)
1,500	(g) 0/5	18	(f)	(f)	(f)
2,000	(g) 0/5	18	( <b>f</b> )	( <b>f</b> )	(f)

# TABLE 21. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY GAVAGESTUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group
(b) Mean body weight change of the survivors
(c) Death not compound related
(d) Day of death: 3, 4, 4, 5
(e) Day of death: 2, 2, 2, 2, 3
(f) No data are reported due to the 100% mortality in this group.
(g) Day of death: all 2
(h) Day of death: 4, 4, 4, 12

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

The vehicle control male mice received 750 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride once during week 10; as a result, four died (Table 22). All male and 9/10 female mice that received 750 mg/kg also died before the end of the studies. Clinical signs observed in the 750 mg/kg groups included decreased activity and prostration. At week 12, mean body weights relative to those of the vehicle controls were 7% lower for male mice that received 500 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride and 4.5% lower for female mice that received 750 mg/kg.

Necrosis of lymphopoietic cells (leading to atrophy of lymphoid tissue) was observed in the

thymus, lymph nodes, and spleen (Table 23). Compound-related necrotic and/or degenerative changes also occurred in the pancreatic islets, liver, ovary, and testis. The severity of the lesions was greater in males than in females.

Dose Selection Rationale: Based on the pattern of histopathologic, necrotic, and degenerative changes at all doses (but most prominent at doses of 500 mg/kg and above), the doses originally selected for mice for the 2-year studies were 200 and 400 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride, administered in corn oil by gavage, 5 days per week. Because of the large number of deaths in the 400 mg/kg groups, the studies were restarted at doses of 100 and 200 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride.

 TABLE 22. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE

 STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

		Mea	n Body Weights	<b>Final Weight Relative</b>		
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival (a)	Initial	Final	Change (b)	to Vehicle Controls (percent)	
IALE						
0	(c) 5/10	27	29	+2		
63	10/10	25	29	+4	100.0	
125	10/10	26	30	+4	103.4	
250	10/10	26	30	+4	103.4	
500	10/10	26	27	+1	93.1	
750	(d) 0/10	26	(e)	(e)	(e)	
EMALE						
0	10/10	17	22	+5		
63	10/10	19	24	+5	109.1	
125	10/10	20	23	+3	104.5	
250	10/10	19	24	+5	109.1	
500	10/10	20	23	+3	104.5	
750	(f) 1/10	20	21	+1	95.5	

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Mean body weight change of the survivors

(c) Four deaths resulted from an accidental dosing with 750 mg/kg dimethylvinyl chloride of all vehicle control mice during week 10.

(d) Week of death: 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 7, 11

(e) No data reported due to the 100% mortality in this group.

(f) Week of death: 1, 3, 3, 3, 5, 8, 9, 9, 12

			D	ose (mg/kg)			
Site/Lesion	0 (a)	0 (b)	63	125	250	500	750
MALE	<u></u>	<u></u>	<del>~, ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::</del>		<u></u>		
Liver							
Necrosis	0/10	1/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	4/10
Vacuolization	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	2/10	1/10
Pancreatic islets							
Necrosis	0/10	5/10	1/10	0/10	0/10	3/10	8/10
Atrophy	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	6/10
Testis							
Spermatogenic hypoplasia	0/10	1/9	0/10	1/10	0/10	3/10	2/10
Bone marrow		-					
Hypoplasia	0/8	3/10	0/10	0/9	0/10	0/10	10/10
Mandibular lymph node	0,0	0, 20	0,20	0.0	0,10	V/ 2 V	- 0, 10
Necrosis of lymphocytes	0/7	7/7	1/5	3/7	1/10	2/7	5/7
Lymphoid atrophy	0/7	0/7	0/5	0/7	0/10	0/7	5/7
Mesenteric lymph node	., I		570	5/1	0,10	0,1	0/1
Necrosis of lymphocytes	0/1	0/0	0/10	1/8	0/10	5/6	1/1
Thymus	0/2	0.0	0,20	1.0	0,10	0,0	1/1
Necrosis	0/4	8/8	0/10	0/10	0/10	7/7	4/5
Lymphoid atrophy	0/4	3/8	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/7	2/5
Spleen	0/1	0,0	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/1	2/0
Necrosis of lymphocytes	0/9	8/9	0/10	0/10	0/10	8/10	5/9
FEMALE							
Liver							
Necrosis	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	1/10
Vacuolization	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	1/10	7/10
Pancreatic islets							
Necrosis	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	1/10	<b>4</b> /10
Atrophy	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	3/10
Ovary							
Atrophy	0/9	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/9	0/10	5/8
Necrosis of granulosa cells	0/9	9/10	5/10	7/10	6/9	8/10	5/8
Bone marrow							
Hypoplasia	0/10	0/9	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	6/10
Mandibular lymph node							
Necrosis of lymphocytes	0/10	6/9	1/5	1/5	1/8	5/8	2/6
Lymphoid atrophy	0/10	0/9	0/5	0/5	0/8	0/8	1/6
Mesenteric lymph node							
Necrosis of lymphocytes	0/0	0/2	0/1	0/0	0/0	1/3	2/6
Thymus	-		-				
Necrosis	0/5	9/10	0/10	0/9	0/10	10/10	4/5
Lymphoid atrophy	0/5	0/10	0/10	0/9	0/10	0/10	1/5
Spleen					•• = •		270
Necrosis of lymphocytes	0/9	9/10	0/10	4/10	3/10	8/9	8/10

## TABLE 23. INCIDENCES OF LESIONS IN MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

(a) Controls from another study at the same laboratory (for comparison only)

(b) Male controls from present study (received dimethylvinyl chloride, 750 mg/kg, once during week 10)

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

#### Body Weights and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of high dose male mice were 6%-24% lower than those of the vehicle controls after week 4 (Table 24 and Figure 3). The mean body weights of the low dose male mice were 2%- 8% lower than those of the vehicle controls between weeks 2 and 76 and 9%-16% lower thereafter. Mean body weights of high dose female mice were at least 5% lower than those of the vehicle controls after week 44. Mean body weights of low dose female mice were 4%-11% lower than those of the vehicle controls after week 88.

Weeks	Av. Wt.	e Control No. of	Av. Wt.	100 mg/kg Wt. (percent	No. of	Av. Wt.	200 mg/kg Wt. (percent	No. of
Study	(grams)	Survivors	(grams)	of veh. controls)	Survivors	(grams) (	of veh. controls	) Survivors
MALE							······	
0	23 5	50	23 0	98	50	23 1	98	50
1	24 3	50	24 4	100	50	24 5	101 97	49
2 3	26 1 26 9	48 48	25 1 25 9	96 96	50 47	25 4 26 0	97	49 44
4	29 3	48	27 1	92	47	27 3	93	44
5	29 3	48	28 0	96	47	27 5	94	44
6	298	48	28 7	96	47	28 0	94	44
7 8	30 0 31 3	48 48	28 9 29 9	96 96	47 47	28 1 28 9	94 92	44 44
ğ	321	48	30 4	95	47	29 5	92	44
10	32 4	48	30 9	95	47	29 9	92	44
11	33 2	48	31 3	94	47	30 2	91	44
12	33 6	48	32 5	97	47	31 1	93	44
13 16	33 5 34 9	48 48	32 2 34 2	96 98	47 47	30 9 32 8	92 94	44 44
20	366	48	34 2 35 2	96	47	32 8	94 92	44
24	37 2	48	35 8	96	47	33 7	91	44
28	38 1	48	37 3	98	47	35 0	92	44
32	378	48	36 9	98	47	35 0	93	44
36 40	39 0 38 7	48 48	37 2 36 7	95 95	47 47	35 7 35 3	92 91	44 44
44	394	46	37 1	95	47	35 8	91	44
48	40 0	46	38 0	95	47	36 0	90	44
52	40 4	46	38 6	96	46	36 3	90	44
56	40 4	46	38 7	96	46	36 5	90	40
60	407	45	39 5	97	46	37 2	91 90	39
64 68	418 431	45 45	39 9 40 8	95 95	45 45	378 379	50 88	37 34
72	43 1	45	406	94	44	38 5	89	31
76	43 5	44	39 7	91	42	37 3	86	28
80	44 3	44	40 2	91	37	36 8	83	20
84	42 8	44	39 3	92	32	37 0	86	11
88	43 9	43	38 4	87	28	35 6	81	10 6
92 96	44 1 42 9	42 41	371 371	84 86	20 15	33 7 37 0	76 86	6 2
100	41 6	38	36 5	88	10	35 5	85	2
EMALE								
0	18 3	50	18 4	101	50	18 1	99	50
1	189	50	198	105	50	198	105	49
2 3	197 203	50 50	20 3 20 8	103 102	50 47	204 210	104 103	49 43
4	203	50	20 8	102	47	22 4	103	43
5	21 3	50	22 4	105	47	22 3	105	43
6	22 0	50	23 0	105	47	22 7	103	43
7	22 2	50	22 5	101	47	22 7	102	43
8 9	22 8 22 7	50 50	23 2 23 5	102	46 46	23 0 23 3	101 103	43 43
10	22 7	50	23 5 23 7	10 <b>4</b> 103	46	23 3 23 6	103	43 43
11	23 8	50	24 1	101	46	24 3	102	43
12	23 9	50	24 7	103	46	24 4	102	43
13	24 3	50	24 5	101	46	24 0	99	43
16 20	24 6 25 8	50 50	25 5 26 1	104 101	46 46	25 1 25 3	102 98	43 43
20	25 8	50	26 6	101	46	25 3 25 3	98	43
28	27 5	50	27 7	101	46	26 4	96	43
32	27 5	50	27 3	99	46	26 0	95	43
36	28 1	50	278	99	45	26 9	96	43
40 44	275 283	50 50	275 281	100 99	45 45	26 6 26 8	97 95	42 42
48	28 3	50 50	28 1 28 8	100	43	268	95	42
52	29 4	49	29 2	99	43	274	93	42
56	29 8	49	29 2	98	41	277	93	40
60	30 3	49	29 9	99	39	28 3	93	37
64	313	49	306	98	38	29 3	94	37
68 72	32 4 33 1	49 48	30 9 32 2	95 97	38 34	30 1 30 6	93 92	36 34
	33 1 32 9	48 48	32 2 32 0	97	34 33	30 6	92 92	34 28
76	33 5	47	31 9	95	28	31 3	93	23
76 80		47	31 8	97	24	31 0	94	20
80 84	32 9							
80 84 88	33 7	46	30 8	91	22	29 9	89	17
80 84					22 13 9	29 9 31 0 29 7	89 90 87	17 10 7

# **TABLE 24.** MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIESOF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE



FIGURE 3. GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

#### Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female mice administered dimethylvinyl chloride at the doses used in these studies and for vehicle controls are shown in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 4. The survival of the dosed groups of both males (low dose after week 83, high dose after week 67) and females (low dose after week 58, high dose after week 54) was significantly lower than that of the vehicle control groups (Table 25).

# Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the significant or noteworthy changes in the incidences of mice with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the forestomach, preputial gland, harderian gland, liver, bone marrow, spleen, thyroid gland, testis, nasal cavity, and lung. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B (Tables B1 and B2); Appendix B (Tables B3

and B4) also gives the survival and tumor status for individual male and female mice. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D (Tables D1 and D2). Appendix E (Tables E3 and E4) contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes). The statistical analyses and interpretation of tumor incidence data for mice were complicated by the marked reduction in survival in dosed male and female mice when compared with that of the vehicle controls. No vehicle control animals were killed when dosed animals were dying. Consequently, the incidental tumor test has reduced sensitivity, since there is little overlapping of survival in dosed and vehicle control groups. Hence, the incidental tumor test was not given primary emphasis, although for completeness the results of this test are presented in Appendix E. Historical incidences of tumors in corn oil vehicle control animals are listed in Appendix F.

 TABLE 25. SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL

 CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
MALE (a)		<u>ng tang i</u> i i ang	
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	12	42	48
Killed at termination	38	8	1
Died during termination period	0	0	1
Survival P values (c)	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	9	43	46
Accidentally killed	0	1	1
Killed at termination	41	5	2
Died during termination period	0	1	1
Survival P values (c)	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

(a) Terminal-kill period: week 103

(b) Includes animals killed in a moribund condition

(c) The result of the life table trend test is in the vehicle control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the vehicle controls are in the dosed columns.



FIGURE 4. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE ADMINISTERED DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN CORN OIL BY GAVAGE FOR TWO YEARS

Dimethylvinyl Chloride, NTP TR 316

Forestomach: Papillomas in males and carcinomas in males and females occurred with significant positive trends; the incidences of papillomas in high dose males and carcinomas in dosed males and females were significantly greater than those in the vehicle controls (Table 26).

TABLE 26.	ANALYSIS OF FORESTOMACH TUMORS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES
	OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (a)

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
<b>I</b> ALE	·		<u>,                                  </u>
Squamous Cell Papilloma(b)			
Overall Rates	1/48 (2%)	3/47 (6%)	8/44 (18%)
Adjusted Rates	2.6%	19.6%	25.8%
Terminal Rates	1/38 (3%)	1/8 (13%)	0/2 (0%)
Week of First Observation	103	78	54
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.054	P = 0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.005	2 01001	- 0.001
Fisher Exact Test		P=0.301	P = 0.011
quamous Cell Carcinoma(c)			
Overall Rates	0/48 (0%)	42/47 (89%)	35/44 (90%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	95.3%	100.0%
Terminal Rates	0/38 (0%)	6/8 (75%)	2/2 (100%)
Week of First Observation		50	53
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001	1 (0.001	1 10.001
Fisher Exact Test	1 40.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
quamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	1/48 (2%)	49/47 (010)	41 /44 (0901)
Adjusted Rates	2.6%	43/47 (91%) 97.7%	41/44 (93%) 100.0%
Terminal Rates	2.6% 1/38 (3%)		
Week of First Observation		7/8 (88%)	2/2 (100%)
	103	50 D < 0 001	53 D < 0.001
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test Fisher Exact Test	P<0.001	D <0.001	D <0.001
Fisher Exact Test		P<0.001	P<0.001
EMALE			
quamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/47 (2%)	3/43 (7%)
Squamous Cell Carcinoma(d)			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	40/47 (85%)	36/43 (84%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	97.5%	97.0%
Terminal Rates	0/41 (0%)	5/6 (83%)	2/3 (67%)
Week of First Observation		35	54
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test		P<0.001	P<0.001
quamous Cell Papilloma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	40/47 (85%)	38/43 (88%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	97.5%	100.0%
Terminal Rates	0/41 (0%)	5/6 (83%)	3/3 (100%)
Week of First Observation		35	54
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001		

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes).
(b) Historical incidence of stomach papillomas or squamous cell papillomas at study laboratory (mean): 6/343 (2%); historical incidence in NTP studies: 9/1,070 (1%)
(c) Historical incidence of stomach squamous cell carcinomas at study laboratory (mean): 1/343 (0.3%); historical incidence

in NTP studies: 5/1,070 (0.5%)

(d) No stomach tumors have been observed in 343 corn oil vehicle control female mice at the study laboratory; no stomach squamous cell carcinomas have been observed in corn oil vehicle controls in NTP studies.

*Preputial Gland:* Squamous cell carcinomas in male mice occurred with a significant positive trend, and the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls (Table 27).

Harderian Gland: Papillary adenomas in female mice occurred with a significant positive trend, and the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls (Table 28).

Liver: Focal necrosis or necrosis, NOS, was observed at increased incidences in dosed male and dosed female mice. An increased number of hemangiomas and hemangiosarcomas was observed in low dose and high dose male mice compared with that in the vehicle controls (Table 29).

### TABLE 27. ANALYSIS OF PREPUTIAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Squamous Cell Carcinoma (a)			
Overall Rates	1/48 (2%)	3/47 (6%)	16/44 (36%)
Adjusted Rates	2.6%	21.4%	82.5%
Terminal Rates	1/38 (3%)	1/8 (13%)	1/2 (50%)
Week of First Observation	103	81	64
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.044	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.301	P<0.001

(a) No preputial gland tumors have been reported in 350 corn oil vehicle control male mice at the study laboratory; one adenoma was observed in 1,097 male corn oil vehicle control mice in NTP studies.

### TABLE 28. ANALYSIS OF HARDERIAN GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
apillary Adenoma (a)	un <sub>een</sub> - <b>e</b> ne une <sub>e</sub> anno ae- anno ae	······································	
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	3/47 (6%)	5/43 (12%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	27.6%	49.4%
Terminal Rates	0/41 (0%)	1/6(17%)	1/3 (33%)
Week of First Observation	•	80	82
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P≈0.005	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.014		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.110	P = 0.019

(a) Historical incidence at study laboratory (mean  $\pm$  SD): 4/349 (1%  $\pm$  2%); historical incidence in NTP studies: 20/1,096 (2%  $\pm$  2%)

Lesion	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
MALE			
Number of mice examined Number of livers examined	50 49	50 50	50 49
Necrosis, NOS or focal	2	15	8
Angiectasis	0	2	1
Iemangioma	0	1	1
Iemangiosarcoma	0	1	3
FEMALE			
Number of mice examined	50	50	50
lumber of livers examined	50	50	48
Vecrosis, focal	1	4	7
Angiectasis	ō	1	Ó
Iemangioma	ŏ	ō	Õ
Hemangiosarcoma	Ō	Ő	Ō

### TABLE 29. NUMBER OF MICE WITH LESIONS OF THE LIVER IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

Bone Marrow: Granulocytic hyperplasia was observed at increased incidences in dosed male and dosed female mice (male: vehicle control, 1/48, 2%; low dose, 13/50, 26%; high dose, 9/49, 18%; female: vehicle control, 2/50, 4%; low dose, 12/50, 24%; high dose, 14/48, 29%).

Spleen: Hematopoiesis was observed at increased incidences in dosed male and dosed female mice (male: vehicle control, 1/49, 2%; low dose, 13/50, 26%; high dose, 16/49, 33%; female: vehicle control, 3/50, 6%; low dose, 12/49, 24%; high dose, 12/47, 26%).

Thyroid Gland: Follicular cysts were observed at an increased incidence in low dose male mice (vehicle control, 1/49, 2%; low dose, 10/48, 21%; high dose, 2/45, 4%). A follicular cell adenoma was observed in one high dose male mouse, and a follicular cell carcinoma was observed in one vehicle control male mouse. Testis: Atrophy was observed at an increased incidence in high dose male mice (vehicle control, 0/49; low dose, 1/50, 2%; high dose, 9/49, 18%).

Nasal Cavity: Suppurative inflammation was observed at an increased incidence in high dose female mice (vehicle control, 1/50, 2%; low dose, 3/50, 6%; high dose, 5/50, 10%).

Lung: Acute bronchopneumonia was observed at an increased incidence in high dose female mice (vehicle control, 0/50; low dose, 2/49, 4%; high dose, 6/48, 13%). Alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas and adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in female mice occurred with significant positive trends; the incidence of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the vehicle controls by life table analysis (Table 30).

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma (a)			
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	1/46 (2%)	6/43 (14%)
Adjusted Rates	4.9%	4.2%	46.3%
Terminal Rates	2/41 (5%)	0/6 (0%)	1/3 (33%)
Week of First Observation	103	86	59
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.556	P = 0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P = 0.048		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.532N	P=0.091
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	0/46 (0%)	1/43 (2%)
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Car	cinoma (b)		
Overall Rates	3/50 (6%)	1/46 (2%)	7/43 (16%)
Adjusted Rates	7.0%	4.2%	73.2%
Terminal Rates	2/41 (5%)	0/6 (0%)	2/3 (67%)
Week of First Observation	93	86	59
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.657	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test	P=0.059		
Fisher Exact Test		P = 0.341N	P = 0.104

# TABLE 30. ANALYSIS OF LUNG TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OFDIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

(a) Historical incidence at study laboratory (mean  $\pm$  SD): 15/347 (4%  $\pm$  3%); historical incidence in NTP studies: 45/1,087 (4%  $\pm$  3%)

(b) Historical incidence at study laboratory (mean  $\pm$  SD): 20/347 (6%  $\pm$  4%); historical incidence in NTP studies: 57/1,087 (5%  $\pm$  3%)

### **IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Dimethylvinyl chloride was studied for toxicity, including carcinogenicity, in male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice by administering the chemical in corn oil by gavage at doses of 0, 63, 125, 250, 500, or 750 mg/kg body weight, 5 days per week, for 13 weeks and at doses of 0, 100, or 200 mg/kg per day, 5 days per week, for 102 or 103 weeks.

The 13-week studies accurately identified the sites that were affected in the 2-year studies, except for the nasal cavity of rats. The histopathologic examination revealed noteworthy pathologic alterations in the intestinal epithelium, hepatocytes, and bone marrow. Changes observed in the testis were probably secondary effects of toxicity. Mortality at 750 mg/kg per day was substantially greater in mice than in rats, but the effect on body weight was less in mice than in rats.

Compared with the histopathologic alterations in rats, those in mice in the 13-week studies presented a more diffuse pattern of toxicity; these alterations included necrosis of the lymphopoietic cells, liver, pancreatic islets, ovary, and spleen. The effects on the ovary in female mice were observed at all doses in the 13-week study, and the effect on the spleen was seen in female mice at doses of 125 mg/kg and above. The testis of mice, like that of rats, may have been a secondary site of toxicity.

Because most of the adverse effects in mice occurred at 500 mg/kg and above in the 13-week studies, doses for the 2-year studies in mice were initially set at 200 and 400 mg/kg per day. After 5 months, however, the studies in mice were terminated because of the large number of deaths in the 400 mg/kg group; the studies were restarted with doses of 100 and 200 mg/kg per day. Thus, the 13-week studies identified the sites that would be affected in the 2-year studies, but the high dose initially set for the 2-year studies in mice exceeded the maximum tolerated dose. In fact, dose levels of 100 and 200 mg/kg per day caused significant decreases in body weight gain and survival in rats and mice of each sex. However, clinical observations as well as the gross and microscopic pathologic examination did not clearly identify causes of early mortality. In the absence of toxicologic findings that would

explain the early mortality, it is assumed that the high incidence of tumors and other chemicalrelated toxicity contributed to the decreased survival of dosed rats and mice.

In the 2-year studies, malignant epithelial tumors of the nasal cavity and squamous cell carcinomas of the oral cavity, esophagus, and forestomach occurred at significantly dose-related increased incidences in male and female rats, and the incidence of fibroadenomas of the mammary gland was significantly increased in low dose female rats. The marginal increase in the incidence of mammary gland lesions and the lack of a high-dose effect make their relationship to dimethylvinyl chloride administration uncertain. Squamous cell carcinomas of the forestomach were observed at significantly increased incidences in dosed male and female mice, and the incidence of squamous cell carcinomas of the preputial gland was significantly increased in high dose males. Because of the nature and incidence of the tumors of the harderian gland and lung in female mice, their relationship to administration of dimethylvinyl chloride was considered uncertain.

Invasion and metastasis from primary tumor sites were observed in both rats and mice. For example, the malignant neoplasms of the nasal cavity of rats spread to surrounding structures including the maxillary and cranial bones, brain, and regional lymph nodes. In mice, tumors of the lymph nodes were found secondary to the high incidence of forestomach tumors.

Although both dimethylvinyl chloride and vinyl chloride are carcinogenic in laboratory animals, the types of tumors produced by vinyl chloride administration, particularly in rats, are more varied than those observed in the current studies of dimethylvinyl chloride. The following tumors have been related to exposure of rats to vinyl chloride at various concentrations: angiosarcomas of the liver, carcinomas of the Zymbal gland, nephroblastomas, adenocarcinomas of the mammary gland, papillomas and acanthomas of the forestomach, extrahepatic angiosarcomas, and hepatomas. These lesions have been generally found in rodents exposed by inhalation at concentrations greater than 10 ppm and by ingestion at 0.3 mg/kg for 2 years or more (Maltoni

et al., 1984). Although the spectrum of tumors observed has been broad even at low concentrations of vinyl chloride, the lesions observed in the present dimethylvinyl chloride studies are confined more to the squamous cells of tissues directly exposed. Although dimethylvinyl chloride was carcinogenic at both doses, the doses of vinyl chloride reported to be carcinogenic are considerably lower than those in the current studies. However, the available data are insufficient for comparison of relative carcinogenicity at lower dose levels.

Vinyl chloride is metabolized primarily in the liver by microsomal enzymes. Evidence suggests that the toxicity of vinyl chloride is due to its enzymatic oxidation to reactive polar metabolites. Bartsch and Montesano (1975) report two possible schemes, one involving alcohol dehydrogenase and the other mixed function oxidase. Chloroethylene oxide, which is thought to be formed by the mixed function oxidase system, may be the primary microsomal metabolite capable of alkylating cellular macromolecules (Laib and Bolt, 1977). The data of Hathaway (1977) suggest that vinyl chloride metabolites (chloroacetaldehyde, formed in either metabolic system) may interact with some purine and pyrimidine residues of DNA; this may explain the carcinogenicity of vinyl chloride. The predominant pathway for vinyl chloride metabolism probably depends on dose level (Hefner et al., 1975).

Knowledge of the metabolism of dimethylvinyl chloride is sparse compared with the considerable amount of data on the metabolism of vinyl chloride. However, some information on distribution, elimination, and metabolism has been provided by limited NTP studies in male F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice (Appendix N). Single doses of 150 mg/kg <sup>14</sup>C-labeled dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil were administered by gavage to rats for 1, 2, or 4 consecutive days. The exhalation of labeled carbon dioxide and volatiles and the distribution of radioactivity in tissues were measured at various intervals after the last dose. Animals were killed 24 hours after the last dose was administered to that group. Twenty-six percent of the total dose to rats was exhaled as carbon dioxide and 35% as volatile material (96% of which was the parent material)

(Table N1). Although mice eliminated 26% of the dose as expired carbon dioxide, only 5% was exhaled as dimethylvinyl chloride. Most of the retained radioactivity from dimethylvinyl chloride, like that from vinyl chloride (Watanabe et al., 1976a,b; Bolt et al., 1976), was found in the liver and kidney (Table N2). The profile of metabolites of dimethylvinyl chloride was independent of the number of days the chemical was administered. It is not possible with the available data to determine if vinyl chloride and dimethylvinyl chloride share common metabolic pathways; however, the data available from NTP indicate that metabolism occurs in large part at the methyl groups. It was determined from nuclear magnetic resonance and mass spectral data (Table N3) that the major urinary metabolite in both rats and mice was 2-amino-6-methyl-4-thia-5-heptene-1,7-dioic acid. This metabolite probably arises by oxidation of one of the methyl groups of dimethylvinyl chloride to a carboxylic acid followed by formal displacement of the chloride by glutathione and subsequent degradation of the glutathione moiety. Thus, the metabolism of dimethylvinyl chloride involves the formation of metabolites not available from vinyl chloride.

The apparent correspondence between tumor type and the route of elimination of dimethylvinyl chloride is noteworthy. The very high incidence of nasal cavity tumors in these gavage studies suggests that some "active" agent is exhaled. According to the metabolism data, dimethylvinyl chloride accounted for nearly all of the exhaled radioactivity; thus, dimethylvinyl chloride may be metabolized to an active toxin and remain localized in the nasal cavity. Studies in mice indicate considerably less exhalation of non-carbon-dioxide radioactivity than was observed in the rat and a corresponding increase in the amount of radioactivity excreted in the urine. This finding was consistent with the lack of nasal cavity tumors in mice versus the significantly increased incidence in rats.

The role of dimethylvinyl chloride (as a 5% contaminant) in the carcinogenicity of 3-chloro-2methylpropene (NTP, 1986) cannot be established from the present studies of dimethylvinyl chloride. As a 5% contaminant, the oral dose of dimethylvinyl chloride received by rats and mice in the studies of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene was 3-10 mg/kg per day. These doses are considerably lower than the doses used in the present studies of dimethylvinyl chloride. However, no apparent no-observed-effect level was found in the current 2-year studies of dimethylvinyl chloride, so this chemical might be carcinogenic at doses lower than those administered.

The profile of toxicity and carcinogenicity in the 3-chloro-2-methylpropene studies (NTP, 1986) is somewhat different from that in the current studies of dimethylvinyl chloride. Although stomach tumors were observed in both studies, rats and mice in the 3-chloro-2-methylpropene studies had a very high incidence of basal cell hyperplasia, a high incidence of squamous cell papillomas, and a relatively low incidence of squamous cell carcinomas. In the dimethylvinyl chloride studies, the incidences of hyperplasia or squamous cell papillomas were considerably lower compared with the greatly increased incidence of carcinomas; in rats, there were slightly more carcinomas than papillomas in the oral cavity, esophagus, and stomach. Carcinomas of the nasal cavity were not reported in the studies of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene, but there was a high incidence of these tumors in rats administered dimethylvinyl chloride. Although the kidney may have been an affected organ in the studies of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene, it was not affected in the study of dimethylvinyl chloride. Thus, the toxic effects of these two chemicals do not overlap sufficiently to allow the toxicity of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene to be attributed solely to the presence of dimethylvinyl chloride as a 5% contaminant. Any contribution of the dimethylvinyl chloride contaminant to the toxicity of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene is uncertain.

A contaminant in one of the lots of dimethylvinyl chloride used in the 2-year studies, acrylonitrile, is also a potent animal carcinogen (IARC, 1979). However, the dose of acrylonitrile given unintentionally in these studies was very low, and the profile of tumors seen in the present studies is not consistent with that for acrylonitrile. Thus, it is unlikely that acrylonitrile played a significant role in the carcinogenicity of dimethylvinyl chloride observed in these studies.

The effects of dimethylvinyl chloride on the immune system have been studied (Appendix O). In a preliminary study,  $B6C3F_1$  mice demonstrated altered immune competence without evidence of gross toxicity (Litton, 1981). The altered immunologic parameters consisted of thymic atrophy, leukopenia, diminished responsiveness of splenic T-cells to mitogens, and decreased resistance to challenge with syngeneic PYB6 tumor cells. A more comprehensive study of immune function and host resistance was thus conducted with the NTP Comprehensive Screening Panel (Luster et al., 1982; Luster et al., in preparation). Female B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice received daily doses of 0, 50, 100, 200, or 400 mg/kg of dimethylvinyl chloride for 14 days. The specific cell-mediated immune function and the resistance to viral and bacterial challenge were significantly reduced at the highest dose; this dose did not alter body weight but did produce hepatomegaly, splenic and thymic atrophy, and leukopenia. The immune functions suppressed by 400 mg/kg per day consisted of lymphocyte responsiveness to T-cell mitogens, lymphocyte responsiveness to allogeneic lymphocytes (mixed lymphocyte culture), and delayed hypersensitivity response. There was also a slight suppression of natural killer cell activity. Antibody responses were not consistently altered. Host resistance to A2/Taiwan influenza virus challenge. Listeria monocytogenes, and both type 1 and type 2 herpes was decreased. Resistance to B16 tumor cell challenge and Streptococcus was not affected.

The responses of mice to dimethylvinyl chloride were biphasic in that many of the parameters suppressed at the high dose were slightly enhanced at one or more of the three lower dose levels. These parameters included lymphocyte response to mitogens, delayed hypersensitivity response, several measures of macrophage activity, thymic weight, and peripheral leukocyte counts. Biphasic patterns of immunologic responses are not uncommon and probably reflect secondary effects or additional toxicologic mechanisms coming into play as the dose level of an immunotoxin is increased. In the present immunotoxicity study, for example, some effects at the high dose might be attributed to hepatotoxicity, since hepatomegaly was seen only at the higher doses. In the NTP 13-week studies in mice, liver necrosis was observed at 750 mg/kg but not at doses of 500 mg/kg or below.

Thus, dimethylvinyl chloride affected the immune system of mice administered doses that bracketed those used in the 2-year studies, including increased susceptibility to bacterial infection. At the highest dose, 400 mg/kg per day, decreased resistance to bacterial and viral challenge could be related to alterations in specific immune functions. However, early deaths in the 2-year studies were not relatable to infectious processes.

The relationship between the genetic toxicity of dimethylvinyl chloride and its carcinogenicity is unclear. Studies regarding genetic toxicity have been performed (Appendix G). In tests with four strains of Salmonella typhimurium, there was no evidence of a mutagenic effect in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Similarly, no chromosomal aberrations were found in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells in the presence or absence or metabolic activation. However, the volatility of the compound may be responsible for the negative results. Positive effects were observed in other systems: Dimethylvinyl chloride was mutagenic in the mouse lymphoma assay in the absence of S9, induced sister-chromatid exchanges in CHO cells in both the presence and absence of metabolic activation, and exhibited highly significant effects in the tests for reciprocal translocations as well as sex-linked recessive lethal mutations in Drosophila. In the tests with Drosophila, dimethylvinyl chloride was found to be toxic even when physical contact was prevented. This suggests that the vapors per se may have been responsible for some of the exposure that produced the positive responses. Bartsch and Montesano (1975) reported induction of mutations in Salmonella after gaseous exposure to vinyl chloride both in the presence and absence of metabolic activation. Gaseous

exposure to dimethylvinyl chloride might prove mutagenic to Salmonella and perhaps to CHO cells as well.

Induction of tumors in directly exposed tissues and the chemical structure of dimethylvinyl chloride are features consistent with direct mutagenic and carcinogenic activity. However, studies by Eder et al. (1980), using S9 supernatants from livers of animals induced with a variety of different agents, demonstrated that the mutagenic activity of allyl chloride in Salmonella is reduced, rather than enhanced, by metabolic activation; Neudecker et al. (1980) found that mono-methylation of an allyl chloride enhanced mutagenic activity in Salmonella in the absence of S9. These results suggest that direct mutagenic activity by these compounds is accomplished through reactions other than an enzymatic epoxidation mechanism, as has been proposed for the structural analog and potent carcinogen vinyl chloride monomer.

The experimental and tabulated data in the NTP Technical Report on dimethylvinyl chloride were examined to determine the study laboratory's compliance with Good Laboratory Practice requirements. As summarized in Appendix P, the audit revealed no major problems with the conduct of the studies or with collection and documentation of the experimental data. No discrepancies were found that influenced the final interpretation of the results of these studies.

Conclusions: Under the conditions of these 2year gavage studies, there was *clear evidence of carcinogenicity*<sup>\*</sup> of dimethylvinyl chloride for both sexes of F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice. This was based on increased incidences of neoplasms of the nasal cavity, oral cavity, esophagus, and forestomach of male and female F344/N rats. B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice showed increased incidences of squamous cell neoplasms of the forestomach in males and females and squamous cell carcinomas of the preputial gland in males.

<sup>\*</sup>Categories of evidence of carcinogenicity are defined in the Note to the Reader on page 2. The public discussion regarding the interpretative conclusions is summarized on page 16.

### **V. REFERENCES**

### **V. REFERENCES**

1. Abrahamson, S.; Lewis, E. (1971) The detection of mutations in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Hollaender, A., Ed.: Chemical Mutagens: Principles and Methods for Their Detection, Vol. 2. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 461-487.

2. Aldrich Library of Infrared Spectra (1975) 2nd ed. Pouchert, C., Ed. Milwaukee, WI: Aldrich Chemical Co., p. 55B.

3. Aldrich Library of NMR Spectra (1974) Vol. 1. Pouchert, C.; Campbell, J., Eds. Milwaukee, WI: Aldrich Chemical Co., p. 70D.

4. Armitage, P. (1971) Statistical Methods in Medical Research. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 362-365.

5. Bartsch, H.; Montesano, R. (1975) Mutagenic and carcinogenic effects of vinyl chloride. Mutat. Res. 32:93-114.

6. Berenblum, I., Ed. (1969) Carcinogenicity Testing: A Report of the Panel on Carcinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission of UICC, Vol. 2. Geneva: International Union Against Cancer.

7. Bolt, H.; Kappus, H.; Buchter, A.; Bolt, W. (1976) Disposition of  $(1,2,-^{14}C)$  vinyl chloride in the rat. Arch. Toxicol. 35:153-162.

8. Boorman, G.; Montgomery, C., Jr.; Hardisty, J.; Eustis, S.; Wolfe, M.; McConnell, E. (1985) Quality assurance in pathology for rodent toxicology and carcinogenicity tests. Milman, H.; Weisburger, E., Eds.: Handbook of Carcinogen Testing. Park Ridge, NJ: Noyes Publications, pp. 345-357.

9. Clive, D.; Johnson, K.; Spector, J.; Batson, A.; Brown, M. (1979) Validation and characterization of the L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> mouse lymphoma mutagen assay system. Mutat. Res. 59:61-108.

10. Cox, D. (1972) Regression models and life tables. J. R. Stat. Soc. B34:187-220.

11. Eder, E.; Neudecker, T.; Lutz, D.; Henschler, D. (1980) Mutagenic potential of allyl and allylic compounds. Biochem. Pharmacol. 29:993-998.

12. Eight Peak Index of Mass Spectra (1970) Vol. 1, 1st ed. Mass Spectrometry Data Centre, United Kingdom, p. 5, Spectrum A0533, p. 26, Spectrum X0239.

13. EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Data Base (1978) Vol. 1. U.S. Department of Commerce, p. 6.

14. Galloway, S.; Bloom, A.; Resnick, M.; Margolin, B.; Nakamura, F.; Archer, P.; Zeiger, E. (1985) Development of a standard protocol for in vitro cytogenetic testing with Chinese hamster ovary cells: Comparison of results for 22 compounds in two laboratories. Environ. Mutagen. 7:1-51.

15. Gart, J.; Chu, K.; Tarone, R. (1979) Statistical issues in interpretation of chronic bioassay tests for carcinogenicity. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 62:957-974.

16. Haseman, J. (1984) Statistical issues in the design, analysis and interpretation of animal carcinogenicity studies. Environ. Health Perspect. 58:385-392.

17. Haseman, J.; Huff, J.; Boorman, G. (1984) Use of historical control data in carcinogenicity studies in rodents. Toxicol. Pathol. 12:126-135.

18. Hathaway, R. (1977) Comparative mammalian metabolism of vinyl chloride and vinylidine chloride in relation to oncogenic potential. Environ. Health Perspect. 21:55-59.

19. Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T.; Mortelmans, K.; Speck, W.; Zeiger, E. (1983) Salmonella mutagenicity test results for 250 chemicals. Environ. Mutagen. (Suppl. 1) 5:3-142.

20. Hefner, R., Jr.; Watanabe, P.; Gehring, P. (1975) Preliminary studies of the fate of inhaled vinyl chloride monomer in rats. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 246:135-148.

21. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) (1979) Some Monomers, Plastics and Synthetic Elastomers, and Acrolein. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans, Vol. 19. Lyon, France: IARC. 22. Kaplan, E.; Meier, P. (1958) Nonparametric estimation of incomplete observations. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 53:457-481.

23. Kastenbaum, M.; Bowman, K. (1970) Tables for determining the statistical significance of mutation frequencies. Mutat. Res. 9:527-549.

24. Laib, R.; Bolt, H. (1977) Alkylation of RNA by vinyl chloride metabolites in vitro and in vivo: Formation of 1-N<sup>6</sup>-ethenoadenosine. Toxicology 8:185-195.

25. Linhart, M.; Cooper, J.; Martin, R.; Page, N.; Peters, J. (1974) Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. Comp. Biomed. Res. 7:230-248.

26. Litton Bionetics, Inc. (1981) Special Immunology Study with Dimethylvinyl Chloride in  $B6C3F_1$  Mice. Subcontract No. 75-31-106002 to Tracor Jitco, Inc.

27. Luster, M.; Dean, J.; Moore, J. (1982) Immunotoxicology. Hayes, A., Ed.: Principles and Methods of Toxicology. New York: Raven Press, p. 561.

28. Luster, M.; Munson, A.; Thomas, P.; Holsapple, M.; Fenters, J.; White, K.; Lauer, L.; Dean, J. Development of a battery of tests to assess chemical-induced immunotoxicity (in preparation).

29. Maltoni, C.; Lefemine, G.; Ciliberti, A.; Cotti, J.; Carretti, D. (1984) Experimental research on vinyl chloride carcinogenesis. Maltoni, C.; Mehlman, M., Eds.: Archives of Research on Industrial Carcinogenesis, Vol. II. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Scientific Publishers, Inc., pp. 21-27, 44-45, 52-53, 90-91, 101-106.

30. Mantel, N.; Haenszel, W. (1959) Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 22:719-748.

31. Margolin, B.; Collings, B.; Mason, J. (1983) Statistical analysis and sample-size determinations for mutagenicity experiments with binomial responses. Environ. Mutag. 5:705-716. 32. Maronpot, R.; Boorman, G. (1982) Interpretation of rodent hepatocellular proliferative alterations and hepatocellular tumors in chemical safety assessment. Toxicol. Pathol. 10:71-80.

33. McConnell, E.; Solleveld, H.; Swenberg, J.; Boorman, G. (1986) Guidelines for combining neoplasms for evaluation of rodent carcinogenesis. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. (in press).

34. Merck Index (1976) 9th ed. Windholz, M., Ed. Rahway, NJ: Merck and Company, Inc., p. 273.

35. Merck Index (1983) 10th ed. Windholz, M., Ed. Rahway, NJ: Merck and Company, Inc.

36. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1976) Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassay in Small Rodents. NCI Carcinogenesis Technical Report Series No. 1. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health.

37. National Institutes of Health (NIH) (1978) NIH Specification, NIH-11-133f, November 1.

38. National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1986) Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of 3-Chloro-2-methylpropene in F344/N Rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> Mice. NTP TR 300 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health (in press).

39. Neudecker, T.; Lutz, D.; Eder, E.; Henschler, D. (1980) Structure-activity relationship in halogen and alkyl substituted allyl and allylic compounds: Correlation of alkylating and mutagenic properties. Biochem. Pharmacol. 29:2611-2617.

40. Sadtler Standard Spectra, Sadtler Research Laboratories, Philadelphia, PA. IR no. 54863, NMR no. 27030M.

41. Silverman, M.; Abreu, B. (1938) The toxic and anesthetic properties of certain mono-chlorpropenes. Univ. Calif. Berkeley Publ. Pharmacol. 1(10):119-128.

42. Tarone, R. (1975) Tests for trend in life table analysis. Biometrika 62:679-682. 43. Watanabe, P.; McGowan, G.; Gehring, P. (1976a) Fate of (14C) vinyl chloride after single oral administration in rats. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 36:339-352.

44. Watanabe, P.; McGowan, E.; Madrid, E.; Gehring, P. (1976b) Fate of (14C) vinyl chloride following inhalation exposure in rats. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 37:49-59.
#### **APPENDIX** A

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

#### TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

VEH	ICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	<u></u>	50	· · · · ·		
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma	4	(8%)	2	(4%)		
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic				(2%)		
Basal cell tumor		(2%)		(2%)		
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS			-		1	(2%)
Fibroma		(8%)	2	(4%)		
Lipoma		(2%)	~	(4.00)		
Neurofibroma	2	(4%)	2	(4%)		
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Nasal cavity	(47)		(46)		(32)	
Carcinoma, NOS			12	(26%)		(75%)
Squamous cell papilloma					1	(2%)
Squamous cell carcinoma				(7%)		
Adenoma, NOS				(2%)		
Adenocarcinoma, NOS				(17%)	4	(13%)
Carcinosarcoma	(50)			(2%)	(50)	
#Lung	(50)		(50)	(40)	(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	0	(40)		(4%) (2%)	1	(2%)
Adenocarcinoma/squamous metaplasia	4	(4%)		(2%) (2%)	1	(270)
Pheochromocytoma, metastatic	1	(2%)	1	(270)		
		(270)				
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	( <b>5 A</b> )		(= • )		(50)	
*Multiple organs	(50)	(00)	(50)	(1901)	(50)	(90)
Leukemia, mononuclear cell		(6%)		(12%)		(2%)
#Spleen Fibroma	(50)		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
	(50)		(46)	(270)	(45)	
#Mandıbular lymph node Carcınoma, NOS, metastatıc	(50)		(40)			(2%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic						(2%) (2%)
#Mediastinal lymph node	(50)		(46)		(45)	(270)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic		(2%)	(40)		(40)	
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)	(2,0)	(46)		(45)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	(00)			(2%)	(,	
IRCULATORY SYSTEM None		<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*Palate	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma			(00)			(2%)
Squamous cell carcinoma			1	(2%)	1	(= //)
*Lip	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma				(2%)	(00)	
*Tongue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
			/			(2%)
Squamous cell papilloma					-	
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma			3	(6%)	2	(4%)
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma #Liver	(50)		3 (50)	(6%)	2 (50)	(4%)
Squamous cell carcinoma	(50)		(50)	(6%) (2%)		(4%)

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						<u></u>
#Pancreas	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Acinar cell adenoma		(16%)		(14%)	(	
Mixed tumor, benign		(2%)		<b>x</b> ====;		
#Esophagus	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Squamous cell papilloma				(4%)		(6%)
Squamous cell carcinoma				(8%)		(2%)
#Forestomach	(49)		(50)	(0.07)	(50)	()
Squamous cell papilloma	(-0)			(14%)	(00)	
Squamous cell carcinoma				(14%)		
#lleum	(45)		(49)	(1 2 / 0 /	(48)	
Adenomatous polyp, NOS		(2%)	(10)		(10)	
JRINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Tubular cell adenoma		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Tubular cell adenocarcinoma	*	,	1	(2%)		
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(50)	(= ,0)	(50)	
Transitional cell carcinoma	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
		······				
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(50)		(47)	
Chromophobe adenoma	17	(34%)		(16%)	1	(2%)
Chromophobe carcinoma				(2%)		
#Adrenal	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cortical adenoma		(2%)				
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Pheochromocytoma		(22%)		(12%)	3	(6%)
Pheochromocytoma, malignant	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
Ganglioneuroma						(2%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(48)		(47)	
Follicular cell adenoma	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
C-cell adenoma	3	(6%)	1	(2%)		
C-cell carcinoma	2	(4%)	2	(4%)		
#Pancreatic islets	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Islet cell adenoma	5	(10%)	1	(2%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS		(2%)	()		()	
Fibroadenoma		(4%)	2	(4%)		
*Penis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma				(2%)	/	
*Prepuce	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma				(2%)	/	
Keratoacanthoma			-		1	(2%)
*Preputial gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS	(50)			(2%)	(00)	
Squamous cell carcinoma	1	(2%)	-			
Adenoma, NOS		(4%)				
#Prostate	(50)	/ - /	(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS		(4%)	(00)		(00)	
			(50)		(50)	
#Testis	(49)		(007			

### TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIGH	I DOSE
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	(,			(16%)		(52%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive				(6%)	-	(6%)
Astrocytoma	1	(2%)	Ť	(0.0)	-	(017)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*Eye/conjunctiva	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma	()				1	(2%)
*Zymbal gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
Squamous cell carcinoma			1	(2%)		
Adenoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Bone	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive			1	(2%)		
*Maxilla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive			1	(2%)		
*Mandible	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	,			(2%)	(,	
*Muscle of head	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive		(2%)	(11)		(	
BODY CAVITIES						
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, invasiv	/e 1	(2%)				
*Epicardium	(50)	<b>、</b> — · · · <b>,</b>	(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, invasiv		(2%)	· /			
*Tunica vaginalis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mesothelioma, NOS	(24)			(2%)	()	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mesothelioma, NOS		(4%)	*/			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY						
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Natural death	7		12		18	
Moribund sacrifice	5		31		30	
Terminal sacrifice	38		7			
Dosing accident	50		•		1	
Accidentally killed, NOS					1	

#### TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

	<b>VEHICLE CONTROL</b>	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
TUMORSUMMARY	······································		<u></u>
Total animals with primary tumors**	45	48	31
Total primary tumors	124	147	54
Total animals with benign tumors	44	45	15
Total benign tumors	108	87	18
Total animals with malignant tumors	12	38	30
Total malignant tumors	13	59	35
Total animals with secondary tumors##	3	16	29
Total secondary tumors	5	19	31
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
benign or malignant	3	1	1
Total uncertain tumors	3	1	1

#### TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
\*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

VEr	HICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Keratoacanthoma					1	(2%)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS		(4%)				
Fibroma	1	(2%)				
Neurilemoma, malignant			1	(2%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Nasal cavity	(50)		(49)		(41)	
Carcinoma, NOS	/			(22%)		(68%)
Squamous cell carcinoma				(4%)		(5%)
Adenoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
Adenocarcinoma, NOS			3	(6%)	6	(15%)
Rhabdomyosarcoma					1	(2%)
Carcinosarcoma					1	(2%)
#Trachea	(48)		(49)		(47)	,
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	(/		,	(2%)	()	
#Lung	(50)		(50)	(=,=,	(49)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic		(2%)	(++)		,	
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	-	(= //)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma			_	(4%)	-	(=)
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	1	(2%)	-	(1))		
Sarcoma, NOS, unclear primary or metastatic		(2%)				
Endometrial stromal sarcoma, metastatic	-	( )	1	(2%)		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type				(2%)		
Lymphocytic leukemia				(2%)		
Leukemia, mononuclear cell	5	(10%)		(14%)	1	(2%)
#Mandibular lymph node	(45)		(46)		(49)	,
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic				(4%)	()	(8%)
				(2%)	•	

#### TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

OW DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
·····		
50)	(50)	
	3	(6%)
50)	(50)	
	1	(2%)
1 (2%)		
50)	(50)	
1 (2%)		
50)	(50)	
	1	(2%)
50)	(49)	
3 (6%)	1	(2%)
50)	(49)	
4 (8%)	1	(2%)
5 (10%)	1	(2%)
1 (2%)		
1 (2%)		
9)	(48)	
1 (2%)		
<b>i</b> 0)	(49)	
•	(10)	
0	(10)	
8)	(49)	
1 (2%)		(40)
12 (25%)	2	(4%)
4 (8%) 50)	(49)	
0)	(49)	
0)	(49)	
2 (4%)	(43)	
2 (4 <i>%)</i> 9)	(47)	
3)		(2%)
5 (10%)	1	(270)
		(2%)
4 (0%)	1	(270)
0)	(49)	
	(40)	
•	4 (8%) 0) 1 (2%)	0) (48)

### TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

VEH	ICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM		<u>.</u>				<u></u>
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)		(8%)		
Fibroadenoma	10	(20%)	18	(36%)	5	(10%)
*Clitoral gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Adenoma, NOS	2	(4%)				
#Uterus	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Endometrial stromal polyp	8	(16%)	11	(22%)	2	(4%)
Endometrial stromal sarcoma				(2%)		
#Uterus/endometrium	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Adenoma, NOS				(2%)		
#Ovary	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Granulosa cell tumor			1	(2%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Chromophobe carcinoma, invasive	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
#Brain	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	(00)			(14%)		(50%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive				(4%)		(10%)
Rhabdomyosarcoma, invasive			~	(4,0)	-	(2%)
Carcinosarcoma, invasive						(2%)
Astrocytoma			2	(4%)	-	(=,,,)
*Optic nerve	(50)		(50)	(1.0)	(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
SPECIAL SENSE ODGANG			-,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye	(50)		(50)		(50)	
	(00)		• •	(00)	(00)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	(50)			(2%)	(EO)	
*Eyelid Basal cell tumor	(50)		(50)	(00)	(50)	
	(50)			(2%)	(50)	
*External ear	(50)	(00)	(50)		(50)	
Neurilemoma		(2%)	(50)		(50)	
*Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	(50)			(2%)		(4%)
Carcinoma, NOS			1	(270)		(4:70)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Mandible	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive			1	(2%)		
BODY CAVITIES						
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, invasive				(2%)	(00)	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
Carcinoma, NOS, inclastatic Carcinoma, NOS, unclear primary or metastatic	1	(2%)	•			
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	i	\- /V/				

#### TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	5	7	11
Moribund sacrifice	3	32	39
Terminal sacrifice	41	11	
Dosing accident	1		
TUMOR SUMMARY		48 7 - 19 9 - 1 49 9 - 1 49 9 - 1 49 9 7 - 1 49 7 7 - 1 9 - 1 9 - 1 49 7 - 1 49 7 - 1 49 7 - 1 49 7 - 1 49 7 -	
Total animals with primary tumors**	33	47	38
Total primary tumors	63	117	62
Total animals with benign tumors	29	35	13
Total benign tumors	45	59	18
Total animals with malignant tumors	14	40	38
Total malignant tumors	16	57	44
Total animals with secondary tumors##	4	16	33
Total secondary tumors	4	20	38
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
benign or malignant		1	
Total uncertain tumors		1	
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
primary or metastatic	2		
Total uncertain tumors	2		

#### TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 \*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

STUDY OF D								LU	1211	UE:			110				1 1	10)L	•						
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 9	0 3 5	0 0 6	0 1 1	0 2 5	0 3 2	0 0 5	0 2 8	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 4 4	0 4 9	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6
WEEKS ON STUDY	042	0 4 7	0 4 8	0 5 7	0 6 4	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 7	1 0 2	1 0: 3	1 0 3	104	104	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0	104	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM																						-,		-,	
Skin Squamous cell papilloma Basal cell tumor	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	*	۲	+	+	+	x+	+	+	+
Sease tell clubby Subcitance us tissue Fibroma Lipoma Neurofibroma	N	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	۲	+	+	+	+	*	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Pheochromocytoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nasal Cavity	-	-	÷	<u> </u>	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	÷	+++++	++++
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic Thymus	+	+	+	+	+	+	× +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	4	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver	+++	++	+++	+++	+	++++	++	+++	++	+++	+	+	++	+	+	+	+++	+ +	++	++	+	+++	+ +	+++	+++
Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas Acnar cell adenoma	+ N + +	+ + +	+ N +	+ z +	+ N +	+ Z +	+ N +	+ X +	+ N + K	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ X +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ X +	+ N + +
Mixed tumor, benign Esophagus Stomach Small intestine	++	+ -	++	+ + +	++-	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	++++	+++	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +
Adenomatous polyp, NOS Large intestine	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	х +	+	+	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma Urinary bladder Urinary bladder	+++	+	++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+	+ +	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Chromophobe adenoma Adrenal Contral adenoma Pheochromocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	X +	+ X	X +	X + X	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+ X	X +	¥ +
Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	X +	÷	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid	_	+	_	-	-	+	X +	+		_	x_	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	х +	+	X -	+	+	+	+
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary giand Adenoma, NOS	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	N	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fibroadenoma Testis Intershtial cell tumor	-	+	+	+	+	*	*	+	*	+ X	*	*	*	*	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	*	*	<b>*</b>	*	<b>*</b>	<b>*</b>	*	<b>X</b> +	*
Prostate Adenome, NOS Preputal/chtoral gland		+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N									
Squamons cell carcinoma Adenoma, NOS		N	N	N	14	N	14		14	14	14	X	N	14				N	N			N			14
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscie Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Mediastinum	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Alveolarbronchiolar carcinoma, invasive Pericardium Alveolarbronchiolar carcinoma, invasive	м	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
LL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, NOS Leukema, mononuclear ceil	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

## TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE: VEHICLE CONTROL

								(L	,on	1111	ueu	.,														
ANIMAL NUMBER	0	0 1 8	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 6	0 2 7	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	0 5 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	104	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TOTAL TISSUES TUMORS									
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM									. <u></u>																	
Skin Squamous cell papilloma	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Basal cell tumor Subcutaneous tissue	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+50
Fibroma Lipoma Neurofibroma						x		x			X									x						4 1 2
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Pheochromocytoma, metastatic					x				X																	2
Trachea Nasal Cavity	÷	+	+	++	÷	+	+	+	++	÷	++	÷	+	++	++++	÷	+	+	+	++	+	++	+	+	++	50 47
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	4	+	+	+	+	49
Spleen Lymph nodes	++	++	+	+++	++++	+++	+++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	++	+++	4	++++	+++	+++	++++	50
Aiveolar/bronchiolar carcin, metast Thymus	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	~	+	+	+	+	+	÷	-	+	-	+	40
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	F	+	+	+	+	50
Liver Neoplastic nodule	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	۲	+	+	+	+	50 1
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	N N	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	, N	N,	, N	N,	n,	, N	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	ň,	N N	Ň	n N	N,	Ň	N,	•50 •50
Pancreas Annar cell adenoma Mixed tumor, benign	x x	+	+	+	× x	+	+	+	+	*	*	+	+	+	*	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	50 8 1
Esophagus Stomach	+	+++	+	+++	+++	+	+	+	+	+++	++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+++	+++	++++	50 49
Small intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	-	+	+	45
Large intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	±	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Tubular cell adenoma Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Chromophobe adenoma Adrenal	X	X +	+	×	+	+	+	×	×	+	+	+	X +	+	+	X.	+	X	+	X.	•	X +	+	+	+	17
Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma				x						x					x		X	x	x	x					x	11
Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	2 50
Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma	ļ																									1 3
C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	X +	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	~	+	+	+	35
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	{ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 5
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	N	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Adenoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Testis		*		+	+	Ŧ	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	¥.	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	X +	1 2 49
Interstitial cell tumor Prostate	X	Į	Ť	-	ž	ž	Ť	ž	ž	ž	ž	x +	x +	x +	×	ž	X	Ť	÷	x	x	x,	÷	Ť	x	40
Adenoma, NOS Preputiai/clitoral gland	N	T N	N	N	T N	T N	T N	N	N	N	N		X	X			N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		2 •50
Squamous cell carcinoma Adenoma, NOS			••	x	••	••	••	••	••	x		••	••	••	••	••	••		••	••	••		•••	•••		
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbai gland Carmnoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	+	N	N	N	N	N	*50 2
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Cartinoms, NOS, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	м	N	N	N	И	N	N	*50
BODY CAVITIES Mediastinum	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcin, invasive Pericardium	N				N	N	N	N		N						N		N	N					N		*50
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcin, invasive																			_							i
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS	N	N	Ņ	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 2
Mesothelioma, NOS			x																			x				3

#### TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

TABLE A3.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE	
	STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE: LOW DOSE	

ANIMAL NUMBER	4		3	19	12	0	2	28	29	1	2	4 1	15	4	3	13	0 5	0	2	0 3 5	4	4 6	0 3 9	3 7	5 0
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 3 3	0 5 2	0 5 6	0 7 0	0 7 1	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 8 2	0 8 4	0 8 6	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 3
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Squamous cell papillome Squamous cell carrnome, metastatic Basal cell tumor ubcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	•	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +
Neurofibroma																_									
ungs and bronch: Squamous ceil carrinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carrinoma Adenoca/squamous metaplasia	+	• •	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*
rachea Isal cavity Cartinoma, NOS Squamous cell cartinoma Adenoma, NOS	-	-	-	* x	+	* *	+	+ * X	++	+	+ * X	* * X	+	+	+	++	++	+ + X	+	+	÷	-	+	+ * X	++ x
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Carcinosarcoma										x				X							x				
EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM							_																		-
one marrow pieen Fibroma	+	+	++	++	+	++	+	++	++++	+	+	+++	++	++	+	+	+	*	÷	++	+++	++	+	++++	+++
ymph nodes Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic hymus	+	+	-+	+	+ +	+ +	+ -	+++	+ +	++	* *	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	-+	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ -	++	+
RCULATORY SYSTEM		+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	 +	+	+	+	+	+		+
IGESTIVE SYSTEM		•• • • •														* 									
ral cavity Squamous cell carcinoma alivary gland	N +	N +	N -	N +	N X +	N +	N +	м +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N X +	א +	N +	N +	N +	N X +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +
ver Squamous cell carrinoma, invanye		+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷.	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ
ile duct allbladder & common bile duct ancreas	+ X + X +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ Z +	+ N +	+ z +	+ N + +	+ N +	+ 7 +	+ N +	* * *	+ z +	+ Z +	+ N +	+ N +	+ M +	+ N +	+ z +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +
Acinar cell adenoma iophagus Squamous cell papilloma Ionamene cell papilloma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	X +	X +	X +	+	+	+	+
quamous cell carcinoma pmach quamous cell papilloma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x + x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Iguamous cell carcinoma nall intestise irgs intestise	+	++	++	++	‡	<b>+</b> +	‡	‡	‡	+	î÷ +	+	<b>*</b>	++	+ +	<b>+</b> +	+ +	+ +	+	<b>+</b> +	+ -	+ +	++	<b>+</b> +	X + + +
UNARY SYSTEM		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+
l'ubular cell adanocaromoma dney/pelvis l'ransitional cell carcinoma	+	X +	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
rizary bladder NDOCRINE SYSTEM		+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Chromophobe adenoma Chromophobe tarcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	*	*	+
drenal Pheochromocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pheochromocytoma, malignant ayroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ţ
C-cell carcinoma arathyroid ancreatic islets	Ŧ	+ +	Ŧ	‡	÷	‡	‡	Ŧ	Ŧ	‡	<del>-</del>	‡	 +	<b>+</b>	<del>-</del> +	<b>;</b>	‡	‡	<b>;</b>	<b>+</b>	<b>+</b> +	;	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	+ +
slet cell adenoma EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM		<u> </u>	,													M									
ammary gland Fibroadenoma setis	+	++	++	++	N +	+	++	+			+ +	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	¥.	++++	+	+	++	+	++
interstitiai cell tamor rostate piis	Ň	+ N	* N	н М	+ N	M + N	ň.	+	X + N	+ x + N	X + N	* N	X + N	X + N	+	X + N	X + N	X + N	N + N	N + N	X + N	X + N	X + N	N + N	X + N
Squamous cell carcinoma oputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ERVOUS SYSTEM rain Carvinoma, NOS, isvasive Mesocarvinoma, NOS, isvasive		+	+	*	+	ż	+	*	+	+	+	ż	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	†x	+
ECIAL SENSE ORGANS																									
mbal gland arcinoma, NOS gramona coli carcinoma denoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	ż	N	N	N	N	+ X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+
arcinoma, NOS, invasive iquamous cell carcinoma, invasive denocarcinoma, NOS, invasive														x				X	x						
DY CAVITIES Inica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+
LL OTHER SYSTEMS ultiple organs, NOS	 M	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Leukemis, mononnciear cell	14	14	14	74	**	7.4	14	74	X	74	74	7.4	74	74	24	74	*4	14	.,		**	X	7.4	**	24

ANIMAL NUMBER	0	0 4 5	0 2 1	0 3 6	0 4 7	0 0 3	0 1 7	0 4 2	0 2 5	0 0 2	0 2 4	0 3 2	0 1 6	0 2 0	0 4 3	0 4 8	0 0 1	0 0 7	009	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 8	0 3 1	0 3 3	0 3 4	TOTAL
weeks on Study	0 9 4	0 9	995	9 5	96	9 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 8	9 9	0 9 9	100	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	104	104	104	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Basal cell tumor	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+ x	+	+	+	*50 2 1 1
Subrutaneous tissue Fibroma Neurofibroma	x	*	+	+	+	+ X	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 2 2
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchuolar carcinoma Adenoca/squamous metaplasia	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ż	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	50 2 1
Trachea Nessi cavity Carcnoma, NOS Squamous cell carcnoma Adanoma, NOS	+	+ *	+ + X	+ +	* *	+ + X	+ +	+ + <del>x</del>	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ + X	+ +	+ +	++	<b>*</b> +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	Ŧ	49 46 12 3
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Carcinosarcoma										x		_	X				X				X		X		X	8
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spiese Fibroma	+	+	++	++	+ +	+++	<b>;</b>	+++	++	++	++x	+++	++	+	+++	++	++	++	++	+	+++	+ +	+	<b>+</b> +	<b>+</b> +	50 50 1
Lymph nodes Squamous cell carrinoma, metastatic Thymus	+	+	+ -	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46 1 41
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Oral cavity Squamous cell carvinoma Salivary gland Liver	N + +	N + +	N ++ +	N ++ +	N + +	м ++	N - +	N <b>X</b> + +	м ++ +	N ++ +	N + +	и ++	N + +	N + +	N + +	м + +	N + +	N + +	м ++	N + +	N X + +	м + +	м + +	м + +	N ++ +	*50 5 48 50
Squamous cell cartinoma, invasive Bule duct Gallbiadder & common bule duct Pancreas	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ M +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ X +	+ N +	+ X +	+ N +	+ M +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	1 50 •50 50
Acinar cell adenoma Esophagus Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma	+	+	+	X +	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	X +	+	ż	X +	+ x	+	+	+ X	+	+	50 7 50 2 4
Stomach Squamous cell papilloms Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine	+	* *	++	+	+	+	+	++	+ X +	+	+ #	++	+	±	+	++	+	* *	+	÷ x+	+	* *	** *	* *	+	50 7 7 49
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM Kulney	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 50
Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Kudnay/pelvis Transitional cell carcinoma Urnaary bladder	+	++	+	+++	+++	+ +	+	+++	+++	+ +	+ x +	+ +	+++	+++	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+	+	+++	+ 	1 50 1 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Chromophobe adenoma Chromophobe carcinoma	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷ x	+	+	÷.	+	+	50 8
Chromophobe carcinoma Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	X + X	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	*	+	*	+ X	+	1 50 6 1
Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma C-cell agronoma	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	*	48 2 1 2
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	‡	Ŧ	ŧ	ŧ	Ŧ	‡	+	ŧ	ŧ	Ŧ	+ *	ŧ	ŧ	Ŧ	‡	‡	Ŧ	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	++	Ŧ	‡	36 50 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Testis	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	N +	+	+	+++	+	+	+ ±	+ *	+ +	+	+ *	+	+x + x	+	+ +	+ ±	+	+ +	4	+ +	+ +	*50 2 50
Interstitial cell tumor Prostate Pense Squamous cell carcinema	X + N	<b>N</b>	X + N	X + N	N + N	X +N	X + N	ň	X + N	X + N	N + N	X + N	X + N	M + N	Ň	X + N	+N K	X + N	N + N		ň	M + N	X +NX	M + N	<b>X</b> + N	41 50 *50 2
Proputal/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS NEEVOUS SYSTEM	NX	N 	N 	N 	N 	N	N	N	N 	N 	N 	N 	N 	N	N 	N 	N 	N	N	N 	N	N 	N	N	N 	*50
Brain Carvinoma, NOS, invanye Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invanye	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	¥	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	×	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	50 8 3
SPECIAL SENSE OBLANS Zymbel gland Cardinoma, NOS Squamous cell cardinoma Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	+ X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Boas Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 1 1
BODY CAVITIES Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, monoquelear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 6

#### TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 3 6	0 4 8	0 4 2	0 2 2	0 1 7	0 1 9	0 4 0	0 4 9	0 2 9	0 2 6	0 1 0	0 4 3	0 4 5	0 4 4	0 5 0	0 0 2	0 9	0 0 3	0 1 4	0 0 1	0 1 3	0 2 4	0 3 4	0 3 7	0 3 8
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 4 1	0 4 3	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 9	0 4 9	0 5 0	0 5 1	0 5 2	0 5 4	0 5 5	0 5 5	0 5 5	0 5 6	0 5 8	0 5 9	0 5 9	0 6 0	0 6 0	0 6 1	0 6 2	0 6 2	0 6 2	0 6 2	0 6 3
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea Nasal cavity Carcinoma, NOS Squamous cell papilioma Adenocarcinoma, NOS	++	+ + -	+ + + x	++	+ + +	+ + -	+ + -	++-	++-	+ + -	++-	+ + -	+ 	+ + -	+ ++ * X	+ + -	++-	++	+ + X	+ + X	+ + +	+ + -	+ + + +	+ + -	+ + * X
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bose marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Thymus	-+++++	+++x +	+++	+++ +	++++++++	+++ -	-++ +	+++ +	+++	+++	+++++++	++++	++	+++++	++-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++	+++++++	+++++++++	+++++++	+++	+++++++	+ + + +	++++	+++-++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland	N X +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	ч	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N	N	N	N	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N	N	N +	N +
Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Gallbladder & commen bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	+ +N++	+ +N++	+ + X + +	+ + Z + +	+ +N++	+ +N++	+ + 2 + +	+ + X + +	+ + Z + +	+ +N++	+ + X + +	+ + X + +	+ + 12 + +	+ + X + +	+ + Z + +	·+ +N++	+ + 2 + +	+ + X + +	+ + X + +	+ + 2 + +	+ + 2 + +	·+ +X++	·+ +X++	·+ +X++	+ + N + +
Squamous ceil papilloma Squamous ceil carcinoma Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++++	• +++	++++	• +++	• +++	+ -++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	• +++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ -++	++++	+++	++++	+++	• ++	+ + +	× + + + +	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	+ + +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidaey Urnary bladder	+	+	++	++	+ +	+	++	++	<b>*</b>	+	++	+++	+ +	+++	<b>‡</b>	+ +	+++	+	+++	+++	+	+	+ +	++	++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutery Chromophobe adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	÷	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	 x	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adresal Pheochromocytoma Ganglioneuroma Thyroid Parathyroid	+	+ +++	+ + -	+++	++-	+ ++	+ ++	++++	++++	+ x x + -	++-	+ ++	+	+ + +	++++	++++	+ +-	++++	++++	++++	+++	+ ++	+	++-	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis	++	+	+++	+++	N +	+++	N +	N +	N +	+++	N +	++++	+++++	++++	+++	++++	N +	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	+	+++	+ +
Interstitial cell tumor Prostate Penis Keratoacanthoma	n N	н М	ň	* N	<b>*</b>	<b>й</b>	Ň	+ N	* N	n N	n N	+ N	ň	ň	ň	n N	+ N	n N	* N	, N	* N	* N	ň	* N	* N
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	*	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+ X	*x	+	*	*	*	+	+	*	+ X
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Eye appendages Squamous cell carcinome	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

### TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE: HIGH DOSE

+: Tissue examined microscopically -: Required tissue not examined microscopically X: Tumor incidence N: Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination S: Animal missexed

: No tissue information submitted C: Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B: No necropey performed

									On			.,														
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 5	0 3 9	0 0 8	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 2 3	0 2 7	0 3 3	0 2 1	0 1 5	0 4 1	0 0 7	0 4 6	0 3 1	0 1 6	020	0 2 8	0 3 0	0 0 5	0 1 8	0 0 4	0 3 2	0 4 7	0 3 5	0 0 6	TOTAL:
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 5	0 6 5	0 6	0 6 6	0 6 6	0 6 6	0 6 6	0 6 6	0 6 7	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 2	0 7 2	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 4	0 7 8	0 7 8	0 8 3	0 8 5	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea Nasal cavity Carcinoma, NOS Squamous cell papilloma	+ + + X X	+ ++x	+ ++x	+ + -	+ + + * X	+ + *	+ + + <del>x</del>	+ + + <del>*</del> *	+ + + <del>x</del>	+ + * *	+ + *	++++	+ + + + <del>x</del>	+ + +	+ + * X	+ + + <del>x</del>	+ + + <del>*</del> *	+ + + + <b>x</b>	+x - +x	+ + + <del>x</del>	+ + + <del>x</del>	<b>→</b> + +	+ + + <del>*</del> *	+ + + * *	+ + +	50 1 48 32 24 1
Adenocarcinoma, NOS HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM														<b>X</b>	<u> </u>							x			x	
Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Thymus	++++	++++	++++++	+++ -	++++ -	++++++++	++++++++	++++	+++ -	+++++++++	++++++++	+++ -	+++++++++	+++++++++	+++ +	++++++++	+++++++	+++++++++	++++	+++++++	++-++-++	+++ +	+++++++	++++++	+++ + X +	48 50 45 1 1 31
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carrinoma Salivary gland	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N X +	N +	N +	N +	N X +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N X +	*50 2 2 49
Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Gellbladder & common bile duct	+ + N	+ +N	+ + N	+ +N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ +N	+ + N	+ + N	+ +N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ +N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+	50 1 50 *50
Pancreas Esophagus Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Stomach Small intestine	++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ X++	++++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++	++ ++	++x ++	++x +-	++ ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	50 49 3 1 50 48
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	÷	Ŧ	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+	Ŧ	+	÷	+	+	50
Kidney Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	++	+	++	++	+	++	+	++	++	++	50 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Chromophobe adenoma Adrenal Pheochromocytoma	+++	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + x	47 1 50 3
Ganglioneuroma Thyroid Parathyroid	+	+	+ +	-	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	<u>+</u>	-	+ +	+ +	<u>+</u>	+++	+++	+ -	1 47 34
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Interstitusi cell tumor	++	++	+++	++++	N + X	+	++**	++	+	++	+	+++	+	+	++	N + X	+ + <b>x</b>	+++	N +	++	+	++ *	++++	+++	+ *	*50 50 6
Prostate Penis Keratoacanthoma	N N	* N	м М	н М	* N	н М	+ N	ч Ч	+ N	ч Ч	м Ч	ч Ч	n N	+ N	+ N	+ N	н М	+ N	+ N X	+ N	N N	n N	* N	n N	+ N	50 •50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	+ x	*	*	+	*	*	+	*	*	*	*	+	ż	+ X	+	*	*	ż	+	*	+ x	+ X	+	*	+ X	50 26 3
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Eye appendages Squamous cell carnnoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leuksmin, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1

#### TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 1	0 1 9	020	027	0 2 2	0 3 7	0 4 6	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 9	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 3	0 7 4	0 7 7	0 8 4	0 9 1	0 9 7	0 9 9	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Sarcoma, NOS, unclear prim or metastatic	+	+ x x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Trachea Nasal Cavity	‡	++	+ +	+++++	+ +	+++	++	++++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	++	+++	+	+ +	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++-	++-++-++	++++-	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++-	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++-	++++	+++++	++++	+ + - +	+ + + + +	++++-	++-++-+	+ + + + + +	+ + + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Bile duct Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach	+++X+++	+++2+++	+++2+++	+++2+++	+++2+++	+++2+++	+++N+++	+++X+++	+++N+++	+++2+++	+++2+++	+++X+++	+++X+++	+++X+++	+++×+++	+++X+++	+++2+++	+++2+++	+++2+++	+++X+++	+++2+++	+     + + + +	+++2+++	+++2+++	+++2+++
Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	+	X + +	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	- +	++	++	- +	+ +	++	++	+ +	++	+++	+ -	+ +	++	- +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Urinary bladder	++++	+++	++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	++	++	++	+ +	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Chromophobe adenoma Chromophobe carcinoma Adrenal Cortical adenoma Cortical carcinoma	++	* * +	+	+	+	+	* *	* +	+	+	* +	+ + X	+	++	* *	+	+	+	+ *	+	+	+	* *	+	+ x + x
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	4	+	+	+	+	+	+
Folheular ceil carcinoma C-ceil adenoma C-ceil carcinoma Parathyroid	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	X	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	4	+	X +	+	+	+	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	+	±	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	4	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenocarrinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland Carrinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	И	N	N	N	N	N	N	X N	N	N	N	X N	N	N	N	N	X N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	X N
Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+ x +	+	++	+	+ X +	+	* *	+	+	+	+++
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Ear Neurilemoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Carcinoma, NOS, unclear primary or metastatic Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Laukamia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N X		N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N

### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE: VEHICLE CONTROL

								• -																		
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 2 6	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 8	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 4	0 4 5	0 4 7	0 4 8	0 4 9	0 5 0	TOTAL
weeks on Study	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	104	2 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 2 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Sarcoma, NOS, unc primary or metas	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1
Trachea Nasal Cavity	+	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	48 50								
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	++	- ++ +	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++-	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++-	++++	49 50 45 42
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Bile duct Galibiadder & common bile duct Pancreas	+++2+	++++x+	++++2+	+ + + + × +	+++*2+	++++2+	+++z+	++++2+	+ + + + 2 +	++++2+	++++2+	+++2+	++++2+	+++×+	++++2+	++++2+	++++2+	++++++	++++2+	++++	++++2+	++++2+	++++2+	++++2+	++++2+	49 49 49 *50 50
Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Smail intestine Large intestine	+++++	++ ++	+++++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	++ ++	-+ ++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++ ++	+++++	49 50 1 47 49
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Urinary bladder	* *	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 1 50								
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Chromophobe adenoma Chromophobe carcinoma Adrenal	+	+	* *	+	* *	* *	+	+	+	* *	* *	++	+	+	* *	* *	+	* *	* *	+	++	++	+	+	++	50 16 1 50
Cortical adenoma Cortical carcinoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell carcinoma	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	2 1 1 49
C-cell adenoma C cell carcinoma Parathyroid	-	x -	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	X +	+	_	-	-	+		+		+	+	+	-	3 4 38
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	N	+	N	+	+	N	+	+	*50
Fibroadenoma Preputal/citoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	N X	Ň	X N	X N	N	N	N	N	X N	N	N	N	X N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	n M	N	N	N	N	10 *50 1 2
Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	++++	<b>* x</b> +	+ X +	++	** +	++	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ H +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 8 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Ear Neurilemoma	N	N	÷ x	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Carcinome, NOS, une primary or met Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N		N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1 5

### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

GAVAGE S	TUDY	01	D	IM	ET	HY	LV	IN	YL	C	HL	OR	ID	E:	LO	W	DC	SE		-			-		
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 6	0 1 2	0 4 3	0 4 2	4	02	0 1 7	0 3 9	0 2 5	0 3 4	0 4 9	0 0 8	0 0 7	0 2 0	0 4 1	0 3 0	004	40	0 4 8	0 0 6	0 1 8	0 2 9	0 1 1	0 2 2	0 3 8
WEEKS ON Study	0 6 2	6 8	0 7 2	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 6	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 9	0 9 1	0 9 4	0 9 4	9 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 6
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Neurlemoma, malignant	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATURY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchu Alveolar/bronchular sdenoma Alveolar/bronchular carrunoma Endometrus stromal sacroma, metastatuc Traches Squamous cell carrunoma, lavastve	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+
Nasal cavity Cartinoma, NOS Squamous cell cartinoma Adesoma, NOS Adesoma, NOS	-	+	ż	+	+	+	+	+	ż	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	Ť	+	*	+	+ x	+	+
HEMATOPOLITIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spiese Lymph nodes Carensona, NOB, metastatic Squamous cell carensoms, metastatic Thymus		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++x +	+++ x+	-+++++	+++ +	++++++++	++++	++++	+++ +	++	+++ +		* + + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	* * *	+ + + +	+++	++++ +	+ + + +	+++++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIAVE SYSTEM							·		-					·											

#### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR

Thymus	-	• +	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart		• +	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell carcinoma		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	NX	N	N	N	N	N
Salivary gland Laver	+	: +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	:	:	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	++
Bile duct Gellbladder & common bile duct	, N	· +	+ N	- Ĥ	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	÷	, N	•	+ N	, N	+ N	+ N	+ N	- ÷	, N	÷	- ÷	- ÷	+ N	+	- ÷	+ N
Pancreas Esophagus		· +	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	Ť	Ť	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	Ť	÷	Ë
Squamous cell carcinoma Stomach		• •	+	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	÷	÷			Ţ				X			Ī	÷	Ŧ
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Sarcoma, NOS Carcindoarcoma		•			•						·		·	•	•	x	•		,	T	•	x	+	Ť	-
Small interime	+	• +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Large intestine Adexomatous polyp, NOS	*	• +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidaey Urnary bladder			++	+	;	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM														·								·			
Pituitary Adeaoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Chromophobe adenoma Chromophobe carcinoma								X	x			X	X								X	X	Ŧ		
Adrenal Pheochromocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	±	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+
Thyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	±	+	+	+	±	+
Foliscular cell carcinoma C-cell adenoma														x						x				x	
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets	-	+	+	Ŧ	:	Ŧ	:	+	:	7	Ŧ	1	Ŧ	+	ī	÷	+	±	Ŧ	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	÷
Islet cell adenoma		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	•	•			•	•	•	x	·	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	-  -		N													-								+	
Adenocartnoma, NOS Fibroadenoma		*	14	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	•	Ť	Ť	Ť	Ŧ	T	x	Ť	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ň	Ť	Ť	Ţ	Ŧ	Ţ	x	*
Uterus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	÷	+	Ŧ	+	+
Adenoma, NOS Endometriai stromal polyp Endometriai stromal sarroma						X	X					x	x						X					x	x
Ovary Granulosa cell tumor	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM	- N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Brain		+	+		-		-	1		-			1				+	+	+	+	+				-
Cartinoma, NOS, invasive		Ŧ	ž	*	Ť	•	Ť	Ŧ	Ĭ	*	Ť	Ŧ	Ť	Ť	Ť		*	Ť	Ĭ	۲	ž	*	Ť	Ŧ	Ŧ
Chromophobe carcinoma, uzvasive Astrocytoma					x									x											
SPECIAL SENSE ORIANS Eye		+	+	N	+	+	N	+	+	N	N	N	+	N	+	N	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	N	+
Eye Squamous cell carcinoma, investve	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Eye appendages Basal cell tomer	•	•	•	•	•			•		•		•					-			•					
Zymbal gland Carenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	ż	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MUSCULOBRELETAL SYSTEM		_							·····						· · · ·									_	
Bone Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
BODY CAVITIES																									
Mediastinum Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatur												x													
Cartinoma, NOS, metastatic Maignant lymphoma, mined type Lymphorytic ieukama Leukema, mononuciear cell										x		X	x				x								x

								(C	/on	un	uę	1)														
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 3 2	0 1 0	0 1 4	0 3 1	009	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 2 1	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 0 3	0 2 7	0 2 3	0 0 1	0	0 1 3	0 1 9	0 2 4	0 2 8	0 3 3	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 5 0	
weeks on Study	9 7	0 9	98	0 9 8	99	100	0	100	100	100	100	1 0 1	102	1 0 3	104	104	1 0 4	104	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1	104	1 0 4	TOTAL TISSUE TUMOF
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous fissue Neurilemoma, mairgnant	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Aiveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Aiveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Endometriai stromai sarcoma, me	Ť	+	+	x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2 2 1
Trachea Squamous ceil carcinoma, invasive Vasai cavity Carcinoma, NOS Squamous ceil carcinoma	+ *	+ +	+ *	+ +	+ *	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	** * ×	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ *	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ *	+ +	+ +	49 1 49 11 2
Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS TEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM		x							_					x				x								1 3
dons marrow piesn -ymph nodes Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic	+	++++	+ + + +	+++	+++	-++ +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++-	+ + +	+++	+ + + +	+++	+ + + +	++++	+++	+ + +	+ + + <del>x</del>	* * *	+ + + + +	+ + + +	48 50 46 2
Squamous cell carcinoms, metastic Thymus INCULATORY SYSTEM	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	41
feart DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Irai cavity Squamous cell carcinoma Alivery giand Jest bile duct Jailbladder & common bile duct	N +++N	N +++N	N + + + N	N +++N	N +++N	Z # + + * Z	N +++N	N +++N	Z +++Z	N +++N	N +++N	X +++X	N +++N	N +++N	N +++N	N +++N	N +++N	N +++N	N +++N	N + + + N	*50 2 50 50 50 *50					
ancruss sophagus Squamous cell carcinoma Komach Squamous cell papilloma	‡   +	++ + *	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	* + +	+ + +	++ +	+ + X +	+ + +	++++	++ +	* + +	++++	++ +x	* + +	+ + +	+ + +	++ + #	+ + <b>H</b> +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	*50 50 50 3 50 4
Squamous cell carcinoma Sarcoma, NOS Carcinosarcoma mail intestino arge intestino Adenomatous polyp, NOS	:	÷ +	+ +	X +++	++**	<u>+</u>	X ++	<b>;</b>	;	X + +	÷	X + +	++	+ +	+ +	X +++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	÷	+ +	5 1 50 49 1
RINARY SYSTEM Idney Innary bladder	+	;	++	;	+	;	;	+	+	;	+	+ +	++	<u>+</u>	;	;	;	+	+	+	;	+	+	:	;	50 48
NDOCEINE SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<b>48</b> 1
Chromophobe adanoma Chromophobe carcinoma direnal Pheochromocytoma	+	x + x -	+	X +	¥ +	+	* +	× +	*	* +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* +	¥ +	+	+	+	12 4 50 2
hyrond Follieular cell carvinoma C-cell adenoma arathyroid 'ancreatic uleta Lisiet cell adenoma		X + +	<b>x</b> +	~ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	Ŧ	X + +	- -	* *	- +	¥ + + +	+ +	+ +	× + +	- +	+ +	+ +	i ÷	49 5 4 34 50 1
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Iammary gland Adenocarcunome, NOS Fibroadeno ma	+	+ x	+	+ X	+ x	+	+	+ x	i	+	+	+	+ X	+	+ X	+ x x	+ x	+	+	+ x	+ x	+	+	+ X	+	*50 4 18
l'arus Adenoma, NOS Endometrial stromal polyp Endometrial stromal sarcoma vary Granulose cell tumor	+	+	+ X +	+	++	+	+	+	+ +	+ ±	+ X +	+ X +	+	+ +	* +	+ +	* +	+ x +	+ +	+ x +	+	+	+ +	+	* *	50 1 11 1 50
ERVOUS SYSTEM orves Carcinoma, NOS, inverve	N	N	NX	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	1 *50 1
reus Carennoma, NOS, invasive Adanocarennoma, NOS, invasive Chromophobe careinoma, invasive Astrocytoma		+ X	İ	+	i	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	×	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ I	+	+	+	50 7 2 1 2
PECIAL SENSE CHELANS	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	N	+	+	ŧ		N	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+		N	*50 1
ye appendages Basal cell tumor ymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N N	n N	n N		n H N	N N	N N	N N	N N			N N	N N	n N	N N	N N	N N	n N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N		*50 1 *50 1
USCULOBRELITAL SYSTEM Dae Squamous cell carenome, invanve	+	+	+	+	+	ż	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	•50 1
ODY CAVITIES Iediastinum Alveolarbroachiolar ca, invesive	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	•50 1
LL OTHER SYSTEMS ultiple organa, NOS Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N		N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1
Lymphorytic leukemia Leukemia, monosuciear cell	x	X				x								x												17

#### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)

																	-								
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 7	48	0 2 9	40	0 2 0	0 3 5	0 2 8	0 1 7	0 1 3	0 4 1	0 8	0 3 4	0 3 7	26	000	0 4 3	0 3 2	0 4 5	0 0 7	0 1 2	02	0 2 1	0 2 5	0 3 6	0 0 1
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 7	0 1 7	4	0 5 1	0 5 5	0 5 5	0 5 6	0 3 7	0 5 8	0 5 8	059	0 6 0	0 6 2	0 6 4	0 6 5	0 6 6	0 6 7	0 6 8	0 6 9	0 6 9	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 1
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Keratoscanthoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Traches Nassi cavity Carcinoma, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma Adenocarcinoma, NOS Rhabdom yosarcoma Carcinosarcoma	+ -	<u>+</u>	+ -	<u>+</u>	+ + X	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>	+ + X	Ξ	++**	+ -	+ + x	+ + X	++x	++x	+ + x	+ + <del>x</del>	+ + X	+ + x	- + x	++	+ + x	+ + X	+ * x
REMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bose marrow Spiesa Lymph nodes Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +	+++ -	+++ +	+++ +	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +++	+++++++++	+++**	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Squamous cell carenoma Salvary gland Liver Bile duct Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	++2+	+++2++	+ + + N + +	+++2++	+++2++	+++×++	+++2++	+++×++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++	+++2++
Squamous cell carcinoma Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+ X+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	++	+
Large intestine URINART SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	-	++++	+	+ + +	+++	++++	+++	+++	+	+	++++	+++++	+	+	+	++++	+++++	+	++++	+++++	++++	+	+++++	++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Chromophobe adenoma Adrenai	+ +	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	-+	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+	++	+++	+++	++	++	++	+++	+++	+++
Thyroid Folicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+ -	-	+	+	++	+	+	++	+	-	+	+	+	+	++	+	* *	+	++	+	-	+	+	++	++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	+	-	++	++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+++	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	+++	++	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	* *
NERVOUS SYSTEM Nervea Carcinoma, NOS, invanive Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invanive Adencerninoma, NOS, invanive Ehabdemyesarcoma, invanive	N +	N +	N + X	N +	N + X	N +	N + X	N + X	N + X	N +	N + X	N +	N + X	N + X	N +	N + X	N + X	N + X	N + X	N +	N + X	N + X	N + X	N + N	N + X
Carcinosiroma, invasive SPECIAL SENSE OBLANS Zymbel gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	÷ x	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	<b>*</b>	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukamia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE: HIGH DOSE

+: Tissue examined microscopically -: Required tissue not examined microscopically X: Tumor incidence N: Necropy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination S: Animal missened

<sup>:</sup> No tissue information submitted C: Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B: No necropsy performed

												-														
ANIMAL NUMBER	0	0 1 1	49	0 1 6	0 1 8	0 1 9	005	0 2 3	0 3 1	4	0 1 5	039	42	0 3 8	0 1 0	022	0 3 3	006	050	09	024	004	0	046	0 4 7	TOTAL.
weeks on Study	0 7 1	0 7 1	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 2	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 5	0 7 6	0 7 6	0 7 6	0 7 9	0 8 0	0 8 3	0 8 4	D B 7	0 8 7	0 9 0	0 9 7	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	•50
Keratoscanthoma RESPIRATORY SYSTEM																	X									1
Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	÷	+	<b>49</b> 1
Trachea Nasal cavity Carcinoma, NOS	+	+ + X	+ + X	- + X	+ + X	++	+ + X	+ * x	++	+++	+ + <b>x</b>	+ *	+ + X	++	+ + x	++	+	++++	+++	+ * x	+ + x	+ + X	++	+ *	+ * X	47 41 28
Squamous cell carcinoma Adenocarcinoma, NOS Rhabdomyosarrooma Carcinosarcoma						X				x			x	x		x	X		x					x		2 6 1 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Spieen Lymph nodes	‡	+	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	++	+++	+++	+++	÷	+++	+++	++	++++	++++	49 49
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Thymus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	× -	+	+	+	+	¥ +	-	*	+	+	+	45
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	NX	N	N	NX	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	•50
Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland Liver	+	÷	÷	±	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	X +	+	+	+ +	1 49 50
Bile duct Gailbladder & common bile duct	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	, N	+ N	+ N	, N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	, N	+ N	+ N	+ N	50							
Pancreas Esophagus	I ÷	++	÷	÷	++	++	÷	++	÷	÷	++	++	++	÷	++	+	++	÷	+	÷	+	÷	++++	+	+	50 50 *50 48 49 1
Squamous cell carcinoma Stomach	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	× +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma																						X				1
Small intestine Large intestine	1	+++	÷	÷	+	÷	+	++	+	++	+	++	++	+	ŧ	++	÷	÷	+	÷	++	÷	+	+	+	47
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+	++	+	++	+	+	+	++	+++	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	+	+	++	+	+	+++	‡	49 47
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Chromophobe adenoma Adrenal	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	2 49
Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
C-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 36
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	*	*50
Uterns Endometrial stromal polyp	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	÷	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	49
Ovary	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
NERVOUS SYSTEM Nerves Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	*	+	+	÷	+	*	÷	+	+	*	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	<b>+</b>	A + X	+	*	+	50 25
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive Rhabdomyoarcoma, invasive Carcinosarcoma, invasive		-	-		-		-	-		x	-		X	+ X	-		X		X		-					5 1 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemis, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1

#### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

#### **APPENDIX B**

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

#### TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

VE	HICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	<u></u>
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	7 50		50		49	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma			1	(2%)		
Squamous cell carcinoma					1	(2%)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosarcoma	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic			16	(32%)	11	(22%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic					1	(2%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma		(6%)		(12%)		(8%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	3	(6%)	4	(8%)	5	(10%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, undiffer type	<b>x</b> = - <b>y</b>				1	(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	_	,		(4%)	-	,
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	3	(6%)		(4%)	3	(6%)
Granulocytic leukemia	-		_	(2%)	·	,
#Spleen	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive			()		,	(4%)
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	1	(2%)			-	,
#Lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	
Malignant lymphoma, NOS					1	(3%)
#Thoracic lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic			6	(16%)	2	(6%)
#Bronchial lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar ca, metastatic				(3%)		
#Mediastinal lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic			3	(8%)	5	(14%)
#Hepatic lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive				(5%)		
#Pancreatic lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive				(3%)		
#Mesenteric lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	( <b>*</b> ** )
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive						(3%)
#Duodenum	(46)	(9.01)	(43)		(47)	
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
#Thymus	(39)		(29)	(1.40())	(30)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic			4	(14%)		

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Spleen	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Hemangiosarcoma	,	(2%)	(00)		(10)	
#Mesenteric lymph node	(48)	(,	(37)		(35)	
Hemangioma			1	(3%)		
*Pulmonary artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic					1	(2%)
*Mesenteric artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic			1	(2%)		
#Liver	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Hemangioma			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hemangiosarcoma			1	(2%)		(6%)
*Preputial gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangioma	1	(2%)				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Salivary gland	(46)		(45)		(48)	
Fibrosarcoma, invasive		(2%)				
#Liver	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive				(4%)	5	(10%)
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic				(2%)		
Hepatocellular adenoma	-	(16%)		(14%)		(16%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	3	(6%)	6	(12%)	7	(14%)
Liposarcoma		(2%)				
#Pancreas	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive						(2%)
#Pancreatic duct	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive						(2%)
#Forestomach	(49)	(0.4)	(50)	(0.00)	(49)	(100)
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma	1	(2%)		(6%) (84%)		(16%) (71%)
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney/glomerulus	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	(40)			(4%)	(40)	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	<u></u>					<u></u>
#Adrenal	(49)		(49)		(49)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastat			()			(2%)
#Adrenal/capsule	(49)		(49)		(49)	
Adenoma, NOS	2	(4%)				
#Adrenal medulla	(49)		(49)		(49)	
Pheochromocytoma		(4%)		(2%)		
#Thyroid	(49)		(48)		(45)	
Follicular cell adenoma					1	(2%)
Follicular cell carcinoma		(2%)				
#Pancreatic islets	(49)		(50)	(0~)	(49)	
Islet cell adenoma			1	(2%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Preputial gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma		(2%)		(6%)		(32%)
#Testis	(49)	(0.01)	(50)		(49)	
Interstitial cell tumor	1	(2%)				

## TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

#### TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
NERVOUS SYSTEM None			· <u>·</u>			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Papillary adenoma	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	3	(6%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Mandıble	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Ameloblastoma					1	(2%)
BODY CAVITIES						
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic					1	(2%)
*Pleura	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic			5	(10%)		(2%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, invasive						(2%)
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)	(1~)	(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive			2	(4%)		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive			21	(42%)		(28%)
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	_				1	(2%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastat	ic 2	(4%)				
Diaphragm Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive					1	
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY		and a second				
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Natural death	5		15		17	
Moribund sacrifice	7		27		32	
Terminal sacrifice	38		8		1	
<b>TUMOR SUMMARY</b>						
Total animals with primary tumors**	26		47		43	
Total primary tumors	38		87		99	
Total animals with benign tumors	14		21		21	
Total benign tumors	20		24		26	
Total animals with malignant tumors	15		45		42	
Total malignant tumors	18		63		73	
Total animals with secondary tumors##	3		31		32	
Total secondary tumors	3		67		50	

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination, all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically
 \*\* Primary tumors all tumors except secondary tumors
 # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

## Secondary tumors metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY 50 50 50 50 ANIMALS NECROPSIED 50 50 50 ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY 50 50 49 INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM (50) (50) (50) (50) Keratoscenthoma (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) Fibrosarcoma (50) (49) (48) Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic (50) (49) (48) Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic (12%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Adveolachronchiolar adenoma 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Adveolachronchiolar adenoma 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (50) (50) Malignant lymphoma, histocytic type 4 (8%) 1 (2%) (49) (47) Squamous cell carcinoma, invesive (50) (42) (38) Squamous cell carcinoma, invesive (50) (42) (43) (42) Malignant lymphona, mixed type (1 (2%) (1 (3%) (42) Malignant lymphoma, invesive (50) (42) (43) (42) Malignant lymphoma, invesive (50) (40) (47) Hemangionan (50) (49) (47) Hemangionan (50) (49) (47) Hemangionan (50) (49) (47) Hemangionan (50) (49) (47) Hemangionan (50) (40) (50) (50) (40) Squamous cell carcinoma, invesive (50) (50) (40) Malignant lymphoma, invesive (50) (50) (50) (50) Malignant lymphoma, invesive (50) (50) (5	VE	HICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ANIMALS NECROPSIED       50       50       50       50         ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY       50       60       49         INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (50)         "Skin       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)         "Subcitaneous tissue       (50)       (50)       (60)       (60)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       11 (2%)       12 (2%)       12 (2%)         Alveolar/bronchiolar actionma       1 (2%)       12 (2%)       12 (2%)         Alveolar/bronchiolar actionma       1 (2%)       12 (2%)       4 (3%)         Alveolar/bronchiolar actionma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       4 (3%)         HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)         "Multiple organs       (50)       (49)       (4)       (4)         Squamous cell acrinoma, invesive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Squamous cell acrinoma, invasive       50       (49)       (4)         Squamous cell acrinoma, nestastaic       50       (49)       (4)         Squamous cell acrinoma, metastatic       50       (2%)       <	ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)         *Skin       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)         *Subcitaneous tissue       (50)       (50)       (50)       (49)       (45)         *Subcitaneous cell carcinoma, metastatic       11 (2%)       12 (2%)       12 (2%)         Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       12 (2%)         Alveolar/bronchiolar acerinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)         *Multiple organs       (50)       (50)       (49)       (4'7)         Squamous cell carcinoma, investype       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Splenic capsule       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Splenic capsule       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Splenic capsule       (50)       (42)       (38)       Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5	ANIMALS NECROPSIED			50		50	
*Stin         (50)         (50)         (50)         (50)           *Subcutaneous tissue         (50)         (50)         (50)         (50)           *Subcutaneous tissue         (50)         (50)         (50)         (50)           *Subcutaneous tissue         (50)         (50)         (50)         (50)           RESPIRATORY SYSTEM         #Lung         (50)         (49)         (48)           *Auveolar/bronchiolar adenoma         2 (4%)         1 (2%)         6 (13)           Adveolar/bronchiolar adenoma         1 (2%)         1 (2%)         1 (2%)           Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic         1 (2%)         1 (2%)         1 (2%)           HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM         (50)         (50)         (50)         (50)           Malignant lymphoma, intreditype         1 (2%)         1 (2%)         4 (8%)           Malignant lymphoma, mixed type         1 (2%)         1 (2%)         1 (2%)           #Splenic acquule         (50)         (49)         (47)           Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive         (50)         (49)         (47)           Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic         5 (12%)         4 (11%)           Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic         5 (12%)         (38) </td <td>ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL</td> <td>Y 50</td> <td></td> <td>50</td> <td></td> <td>49</td> <td></td>	ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	Y 50		50		49	
Kerstoncenthoma       100       11       (2%)         *Subcitaneous tissue       (50)       (50)       (50)         Fibrosarcoma       2       (4%)       (48)         #Lung       (50)       (49)       (48)         #Lung       (50)       (40)       (48)         Adveolar/bronchiolar adenoma       2       (4%)       1       (2%)         Adveolar/bronchiolar adenoma       1       (2%)       1       (2%)         Adveolar/bronchiolar adenoma       1       (2%)       1       (2%)         Adveolar/bronchiolar adenoma       1       (2%)       1       (2%)         #EMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM       **       *       4       (8%)       4       (8%)         #EMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM       *       *       4       (8%)       1       (2%)       4       (8%)         #EMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM       *       (4%)       1       (2%)       4       (8%)         #EMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM       *       (4%)       1       (2%)       4       (8%)         Malignant lymphoma, instactype       1       (2%)       1       (2%)       1       (2%)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       (50)							
*Subcutaneous tissue (50) (50) (50) Pibrosarcoma (50) (60) (60) RESPIRATORY SYSTEM #Lung (50) (49) (48) Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic (11 (22%) 12 (256) Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma (1 (2%) 1 (2%) (1 (2%) (1 (2%)) Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic (1 (2%) (1 (2%) (1 (2%)) (1 (2%)) Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic (1 (2%) (1 (2%) (1 (2%)) (1 (2%)) (1 (2%)) (1 (2%)) HEMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM *Multiple organs (50) (50) (50) (50) Malignant bymphoma, histocytic type (1 (2%) (1 (2%)) (1 (2%)) (1 (2%)) (1 (2%)) (4 (3%)) Malignant bymphoma, mixed type (1 (2%)) (1 (2%)) (4 (3%)) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive (50) (49) (47) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive (50) (49) (47) Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic (50) (42) (38) Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic (1 (2%)) (43) (42) (38) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive (1 (2%)) (43) (42) (38) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive (1 (2%)) (43) (42) (38) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive (50) (42) (43) (42) (43) Malignant lymphone, invasive (50) (40) (41) (34) (27) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive (50) (40) (41) (34) (27) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive (50) (42) (43) (42) (44) #Iberon (50) (44) (47) (46) #Iberon (50) (47) (46) #Iberon (50) (47) (46) Hermangioma (1 (2%)) (47) Hermangioma (1 (2%)) (47) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive (50) (50) (50) (48) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive (50) (50) (50) (48) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive (50) (50) (50) (50) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasi		(50)		(50)			(0.4)
Fibrosarcoma       2 (4%)         RESPIRATORY SYSTEM       (50)       (49)       (48)         #Lung       11 (22%)       12 (256)       6 (139)         Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       6 (139)         Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       6 (139)         Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM       **Multiple organs       (50)       (50)       (50)       4 (8%)         *Multiple organs       inphoma, intestictype       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)       4 (8%)         #Splenc       (50)       (50)       (49)       (47)       5 (12%)       1 (2%)		(50)		(50)			(2%)
#Lang       (50)       (49)       (48)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       11 (22%)       12 (25%)         Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma       2 (4%)       1 (2%)         Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Multiple organs       (50)       (50)       (50)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (119)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (119)         #Bronchial lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       1 (3%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (3%)         #Liver       (		(50)			(4%)	(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       11 (22%)       12 (25%)         Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       6 (139)         Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       6 (139)         Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM       *       1 (2%)       4 (9%)         *Multiple organs       (50)       (50)       (50)         Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       4 (9%)         Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       4 (9%)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       4 (9%)         #Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)       38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       4 (19)         #Thoracic tymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)       39)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)       4 (19%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)       38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (3%)       41(3%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (60	RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						·····
Aiveolar/bronchiolar adenoma       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       6 (13%)         Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (50)       (40)         *Multiple organs       (50)       (50)       (40)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       (50)       (42)       (38)         *Fonchial lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       4 (11%)       (41)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       (50)       (42)       (38)         *Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       4 (11%)         *Bolenic cinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         *Mutiph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       4 (3%)         *Bolenic cinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         *Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (3%)         *Liver       (50)       (43)		(50)		(49)		(48)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         *Multiple organs       (50)       (50)       (50)         Malignant lymphoma, jymphocytic type       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)         Malignant lymphoma, histocytic type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       4 (8%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)         #Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (49)       (47)       (48)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       5 (12%)       4 (11%)       (2%)         #Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)       4 (3%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       1 (3%)       (42)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (43)       (42)       (48)         #Joignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (3%)       (4							
Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)         HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM       *Multiple organs       (50)       (50)         *Multiple organs       (50)       (50)       (50)         Malignant lymphoma, histocytic type       2 (4%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       4 (8%)       1 (2%)         #Spleen       carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       4 (8%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Splenic capsule       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mediastinal lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       (43)       (42)         #Multignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       (38)       (41)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       50       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       (41)       (34)       (2				1	(2%)	-	
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM         *Multiple organs       (50)       (50)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       2 (4%)       1 (2%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       4 (8%)       1 (2%)         #Spleen       (50)       (49)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         *Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         *Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (11%)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (11%)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (11%)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       4 (48)         #Liver       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       4 (48)         #Liver       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       4 (2%)         #Liver <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>(2%)</td> <td>1</td> <td>(296)</td> <td>1</td> <td>(2%)</td>		1	(2%)	1	(296)	1	(2%)
*Multiple organs       (50)       (50)       (50)         Malignant lymphoma, histocytic type       2 (4%)       4 (8%)         Malignant lymphoma, histocytic type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       4 (8%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)         #Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Splenic capsule       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (11%         #Benchial lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       (48)         #Liver       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       (48)         #Liver       (50)       (42)       (38)         Splein       (50)       (40)       (47)         Maligna	Adenosquanious carcinonia, inclastatic		<u></u>		(270)		
Malignant lymphoma, hymphocytic type       2 (4%)       4 (8%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       4 (8%)       1 (2%)         #Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (119)         #Bronchial lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (3%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (3%)         #Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       4 (48)         #Liver       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)						/24	
Malignant lymphoma, histicocytic type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       4 (8%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Splenic capsule       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (11%         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       4 (3%)         #Liver       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       4 (3%)         #Liver       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1 (2%)       4	Malignant lymphone lymphosystic type			(50)			(9.06)
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       4 (8%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)         #Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Spleen       (50)       (42)       (38)       1 (2%)       4 (11%)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (11%)       4 (11%)         #Bronchial lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)       38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       38)       38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (3%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (3%)       4(11%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (3%)       4(2)         Malignant lymphoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       1 (3%)       4(2)         Walignant lymphoma, metast				1	(994)		
#Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Splenic capsule       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)       1 (2%)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (11%)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mesenteric lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       (48)       (48)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1 (2%)       (43)       (42)         #Jaignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1 (2%)       (47)       (46)         Hemangioarcoma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       (47)					,		
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (11%)         #Bronchial lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (3%)       (42)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1 (2%)       1 (3%)       (42)         #Alignant lymphoma, metastatic       1 (3%)       (47)       (46)         #Spleen       (50)       (41)       (34)       (27)         Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)		-	(0,4)		(2,0)	-	(0,0)
#Splenic capsule       (50)       (49)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)         #Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (11%)         #Bronchial lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mesenteric lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (3%)         #Liver       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       (41)       (34)       (27)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1 (2%)       (47)       (46)         #Large intestine       (50)       (49)       (47)       (46)         Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Large intestine       (50) </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>(2%)</td> <td>(,</td> <td></td>				1	(2%)	(,	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)         #Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (11%)         #Bronchial lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       (38)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       2 (5%)         #Mesenteric lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       2 (5%)         #Liver       (50)       (42)       (38)         #Jejunum       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       4       441)         #Joignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       4       441)         #Jejunum       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1 (2%)       4       441)         #Thymus       (41)       (34)       (27)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (3%)       1 (2%)         URCULATORY SYSTEM       #Large intestine       (50)       (47) <td< td=""><td></td><td>1</td><td>(2%)</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>(2%)</td></td<>		1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Thoracic lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       4 (11%)         #Bronchial lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       (38)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)       2 (5%)         #Mesenteric lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       2 (5%)         #Liver       (50)       (42)       (38)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       1 (3%)         #Jejunum       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1 (2%)       4(1)       (34)       (27)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (3%)       (47)       4(6)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Large intestine       (50)       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangioma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         #Liver       (50) </td <td></td> <td>(50)</td> <td></td> <td>(49)</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td>		(50)		(49)		•	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic         5 (12%)         4 (11%           #Bronchial lymph node         (50)         (42)         (38)           Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic         1 (2%)         (38)           #Mediastinal lymph node         (50)         (42)         (38)           Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic         5 (12%)         2 (5%)           #Mesenteric lymph node         (50)         (42)         (38)           Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic         5 (12%)         2 (5%)           #Liver         (50)         (42)         (38)           Malignant lymphoma, invasive         1 (2%)         1 (3%)           #Jejnum         (50)         (43)         (42)           Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type         1 (2%)         (38)           #Thymus         (41)         (34)         (27)           Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic         1 (3%)         (47)           Hemangiosarcoma         1 (2%)         1 (2%)           #Large intestine         (50)         (47)         (46)           Hemangioma         1 (2%)         1 (2%)         1 (2%)           #Liver         (50)         (50)         (50)         (48)           Squamous cell carci							(2%)
#Bronchial lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1       (2%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5       (12%)       2       (5%)         #Mesenteric lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5       (12%)       2       (5%)         #Mesenteric lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1       (2%)       1       (3%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (43)       (42)       (48)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1       (2%)       #       #         #Jejunum       (50)       (41)       (34)       (27)       #         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1       (2%)       #       #       #       (41)       (34)       (27)       #         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1       (3%)       (47)       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #       #		(50)		· /	(100)	<b>(</b> ,	/ • • • • ·
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (2%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (3%)         #Liver       (50)       (43)       (42)         #Jejunum       (50)       (50)       (48)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       4(1)       (34)       (27)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1 (2%)       1 (3%)       (27)         #Thymus       (41)       (34)       (27)       (46)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (3%)       (47)       (46)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)       (2%)         #Large intestine       (50)       (50)       (48)       (2%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)       (2%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)       (2%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)         Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50) <td></td> <td>(50)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(12%)</td> <td></td> <td>(11%)</td>		(50)			(12%)		(11%)
#Mediastinal lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5       (12%)       2       (5%)         #Mesenteric lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)       2       (5%)         *Liver       (50)       (42)       (38)       2       (5%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (42)       (38)         #Jejunum       (50)       (50)       (43)       (42)         #Jejunum       (50)       (43)       (42)       (43)         Malignant lymphoma, nixed type       1       (2%)       4       (27)         #Jejunum       (50)       (41)       (34)       (27)       (46)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1       (2%)       4       (47)         #Thymus       (41)       (34)       (27)       (46)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1       (3%)       (47)       (46)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)       1       (2%)         #Large intestine       (50)       (50)       (50)       (48)       (2%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (50)       (48%)		(50)		• •	(00)	(38)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       5 (12%)       2 (5%)         #Mesenteric lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (3%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       (43)       (42)         #Jejunum       (50)       (43)       (42)       (48)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       (43)       (42)         #Thymus       (50)       (43)       (42)       (43)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (3%)       (27)       (38)         CIRCULATORY SYSTEM       (41)       (34)       (27)         #Large intestine       (50)       (49)       (47)         Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)       (46)       (46)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangioma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       (2%)         Malignant sell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Malignant sell carcinoma, invasive       (50)       (50)       (50)         Malignant sell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Malign		(50)			(2%)	(39)	
# Mesenteric lymph node       (50)       (42)       (38)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1       (2%)       1       (3%)         # Liver       (50)       (50)       (43)       (42)       (48)         # Jejunum       (50)       (43)       (42)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1       (2%)       (43)       (42)       (41)       (34)       (27)         # Thymus       (41)       (34)       (27)       (3%)       (47)       (46)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1       (3%)       (47)       (46)       (47)         Hemangiosarcoma       1       (2%)       1       (2%)       (46)       (46)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)       (48)       (2%)         OIGESTIVE SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (48)       (2%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)       (48%)       (48%)       (48%)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1       (2%)       1       (2%)       (2%)         Hepatocellular adenoma       4       (8%)       4       (8%)       4       (8%) </td <td></td> <td>(00)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(1996)</td> <td> ,</td> <td>(5%)</td>		(00)			(1996)	,	(5%)
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (3%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1 (2%)       (43)       (42)         #Jejunum       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1 (2%)       (41)       (34)       (27)         #Thymus       (41)       (34)       (27)       (47)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (3%)       (47)       (46)         Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)       (47)       (46)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangioma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         DIGESTIVE SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (48)       4 (8%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)       1 (2%)         Phepatocellular adenoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       4 (8%)       4 (8%)         *Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)		(50)			(14,0)	_	
#Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Malignant lymphoma, mixed type       1       (2%)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1       (2%)       (43)       (42)         #Thymus       (50)       (41)       (34)       (27)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1       (3%)       (27)         URCULATORY SYSTEM       (50)       (49)       (47)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangiosarcoma       1       (2%)       (2%)         #Large intestine       (50)       (50)       (48)         DIGESTIVE SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (48)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1       (2%)         Hepatocellular adenoma       4       (8%)       4       (8%)         *Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1       (2%)       1       (2%)		(00)			(2%)		(3%)
#Jejunum       (50)       (43)       (42)         Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1 (2%)       (34)       (27)         #Thymus       (41)       (34)       (27)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (3%)       (47)         URCULATORY SYSTEM       (47)       (46)         #Spleen       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)       (47)       (46)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangioma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         ØIGESTIVE SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (48)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Gaumous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Hepatocellular adenoma       4 (8%)       4 (8%)       4 (8%)         *Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)						(48)	
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type       1 (2%)         #Thymus       (41)       (34)       (27)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (3%)       (27)         CIRCULATORY SYSTEM       1 (3%)       (47)         #Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)       (46)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangioma       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       (48)         ØIGESTIVE SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (48)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Hepatocellular adenoma       4 (8%)       4 (8%)       4 (8%)         *Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)			(2%)				
#Thymus       (41)       (34)       (27)         Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (3%)       (47)         #Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)       (46)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangioma       1 (2%)       (46)       1 (2%)         DIGESTIVE SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (48)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Hepatocellular adenoma       4 (8%)       4 (8%)       4 (8%)         *Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)       (50)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)			(0~)	(43)		(42)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic       1 (3%)         CIRCULATORY SYSTEM       (47)         #Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangioma       1 (2%)       (46)       1 (2%)         DIGESTIVE SYSTEM       (50)       (50)       (48)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Hepatocellular adenoma       4 (8%)       4 (8%)       4 (8%)         *Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)       1 (2%)			(2%)	(94)		(97)	
#Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangioma       1 (2%)         DIGESTIVE SYSTEM       1 (2%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Hepatocellular adenoma       4 (8%)       4 (8%)       4 (8%)         *Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)	•	(41)		1	(3%)	(27)	
#Spleen       (50)       (49)       (47)         Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangioma       1 (2%)         DIGESTIVE SYSTEM       1 (2%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Hepatocellular adenoma       4 (8%)       4 (8%)       4 (8%)         *Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)	NDCIII ATADV SVSTEM						
Hemangiosarcoma       1 (2%)         #Large intestine       (50)       (47)       (46)         Hemangioma       1 (2%)         DIGESTIVE SYSTEM       1 (2%)         #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)         Hepatocellular adenoma       4 (8%)       4 (8%)       4 (8%)         *Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)		(50)		(40)		(17)	
#Large intestine Hemangioma       (50)       (47)       (46) 1 (2%)         DIGESTIVE SYSTEM #Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Hepatocellular adenoma       4 (8%)       4 (8%)       4 (8%)         *Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1 (2%)       1 (2%)		(00)			(2%)	(47)	
Hemangioma         1 (2%)           DIGESTIVE SYSTEM         (50)         (50)         (48)           *Liver         (50)         (50)         (48)           Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive         1 (2%)         1 (2%)           Hepatocellular adenoma         4 (8%)         4 (8%)         4 (8%)           *Gallbladder         (50)         (50)         (50)           Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive         1 (2%)         1 (2%)		(50)			~~ · · · ·	(46)	
#Liver       (50)       (50)       (48)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1       (2%)         Hepatocellular adenoma       4       (8%)       4       (8%)         *Gallbladder       (50)       (50)       (50)         Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive       1       (2%)       1       (2%)				,			(2%)
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive1 (2%)Hepatocellular adenoma4 (8%)4 (8%)*Gallbladder(50)(50)Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive1 (2%)1 (2%)							
Hepatocellular adenoma         4 (8%)         4 (8%)         4 (8%)           *Gallbladder         (50)         (50)         (50)           Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive         1 (2%)         1 (2%)         1 (2%)	# =-	(50)		(50)			
*Gallbladder         (50)         (50)         (50)           Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive         1 (2%)         1 (2%)         1 (2%)		_			(0~)		
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive 1 (2%) 1 (2%)			(8%)		(8%)		(8%)
		(50)			(90)		(90)
	Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive #Pancreas	(EA)			(470)		(270)
#Pancreas (50) (49) (47) Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive 2 (4%)		(00)		(49)			(19)

#### TABLE B2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)	·····		<u> </u>		-,- <u>-</u>	
#Esophagus	(50)		(49)		(47)	
Squamous cell carcinoma			1	(2%)		
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Carcinoma, NOS						(2%)
Squamous cell papilloma				(2%)		(6%)
Squamous cell carcinoma				(80%)	36	(75%)
Adenosquamous carcinoma			1	(2%)		
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive			1	(2%)		
#Kidney/capsule	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive					1	(2%)
#Kidney/glomerulus	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic			<b>_</b>		1	(2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Anterior pituitary	(49)		(49)		(47)	
Chromophobe adenoma		(31%)		(4%)		
#Adrenal/capsule	(49)		(50)		(47)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive			<b>x</b> ,	(2%)		(2%)
#Adrenal medulla	(49)		(50)		(47)	
Pheochromocytoma		(2%)	/			
Pheochromocytoma, malignant					1	(2%)
#Periadrenal tissue	(49)		(50)		(47)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive					2	(4%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(43)		(45)	
Follicular cell adenoma	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS		(2%)	, ,	(4%)		
#Uterus	(50)	(=)	(50)	(=)	(46)	
Neurilemoma, malignant	,	(2%)	(++)			
#Ovary	(49)		(49)		(47)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic			,	(2%)		
Granulosa cell tumor					1	(2%)
Teratoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM None						
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Papillary adenoma	•		3	(6%)	5	(10%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Skull	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Osteoma	1	(2%)				
*Abdominal muscle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive						(2%)

#### TABLE B2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIGI	H DOSE
BODY CAVITIES			···· <u>····</u> ····························		
*Mediastinum	(50)	(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic		3	(6%)	1	(2%)
*Pleura	(50)	(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic		5	(10%)	9	(18%)
Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic		1	(2%)		
*Mesentery	(50)	(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive				1	(2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS					
*Multiple organs	(50)	(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	~/				(2%)
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive		27	(54%)	23	(46%)
Adenosquamous carcinoma, invasive			(2%)		(
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY	·				
Animals initially in study	50	50		50	
Natural death	4	13		14	
Moribund sacrifice	5	31		33	
Terminal sacrifice	41	5		2	
Accidentally killed, nda	••	1		-	
Accidentally killed, NOS		-		1	
TUMORSUMMARY					
Total animals with primary tumors**	32	44		43	
Total primary tumors	37	61		71	
Total animals with benign tumors	23	10		17	
Total benign tumors	23	11		21	
Total animals with malignant tumors	13	42		43	
Total malignant tumors	13	49		49	
Total animals with secondary tumors##	10	33		32	
Total secondary tumors		67		65	
Total animals with tumors uncertain				50	
benign or malignant		1		1	
Total uncertain tumors		1		1	

#### TABLE B2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. \*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

		- 91	51	- 21	- 21	FT												- 21							
ANIMAL NUMBER	5 3 2	5 4 3	5 4 1	5 3 1	5 3 3	5 3 6	5 3 4	5 3 8	5 1 7	5 1 4	5 5 0	5 4 6	5 0 1	5 0 2	5 0 3	5 0 4	5 0 5	5 0 6	5 0 7	5 0 8	5 0 9	5 1 0	5 1 1	5 1 2	5 1 3
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 0 1	0 0 1	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 5 8	0 7 6	0 8 4	0 8 9	0 9 6	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 3												
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Aiveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Aiveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	A A	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+ x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Hemangiosarcoma Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	^ ^	++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	++++	+ +	+++	+++	++++	++++	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+++	++++	+ +	+++
Lymph nodes Thymus	Å	1	+	+++	+++	++	+++	++	++	+++	+	+	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	++	+ +	+ -	++	++	+ +	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Fibrosarroma, invasive Liver Hepatocellular adenoma	A	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ + x	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	* *						
Hepatocellular carcinoma Liposarcoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach	A N A A A	++++	+ + + + +	+ 2 + + +	+ + + + +	++++	X +++++	++++	X +++++	+++++	+ 2 + + +	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + + +	++++	++++	X+N+++	++++	++++	++++
Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Large intestine	A	- +	-	+ +	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ x +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	x + +	+ +	+ +						
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	Å	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	++	+++	++	+++	+ +	++	+++	++	++	++	++++	+++	++	+++	++	+	+	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenai Adenoma, NOS Pheochromocytoma	<b>^</b>	+++	+	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+	+++	+ + x	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++
Fulrenlar cell careinoma Folleular cell careinoma Parathyroid	A	+	+ -	+ +	+ 	+ _	+ -	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ -	+ 	+ +	* *	+ -	+ +	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ _	+ 	+ 
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Interstitual cell tumor	N A	N +	N + X	N +	+																				
Prostata Preputial/chtoral gland Squamous cell carcinoma Hemangioma	Ň	+ N	+ N	н М	ň	n N	+ N	ň	+ N X	+ N	ň N	ň	* N	n N	н М	n N	+ N	Ň	и +	н М	n N	+ N	+ N	н И	+ N
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	•	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardenan gland Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic Malie, lymphoma, lymphocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type															X									x	

### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE: VEHICLE CONTROL

Tissue examined microscopically Required tissue not examined microscopically Tumor incidence Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination Animal missexed

+: - X: N S:

No tissue information submitted Necropsy, no histology due to protocol Autolysis Animal missing No necropsy performed

С А. М. В

ANIMAL NUMBER	5 1 5	5 1 6	5 1 8	5 1 9	5 2 0	5 2 1	5 2 2	5 2 3	5 2 4	5 2 5	5 2 6	5 2 7	5 2 8	5 2 9	5 3 0	5 3 5	5 3 7	5 3 9	5 4 0	5 4 2	5 4 4	5 4 5	5 4 7	5 4 8	5 4 9	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	TOTAL. TISSUES TUMORS														
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 2
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchn Alveolar/bronchnolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchnolar carcinoma	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	÷	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	49 3 3
Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spisen Hemanguosarcoma	+ +	+ + X	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	48 49 1						
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Thymus	+ +	+	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ 	1 48 39											
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Fibrosarcoma, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46
Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Liposarcoma	+	+	Ť	+	+	×	+	+	+	+	+	+	×	+ x	+	+	+	*	*	+	*	*	+	+	+	49 8 3 1
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	+++++	+++	++	+	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	++	+	++	+	++	+++	+	++	++	+	+	+++	++	++	++++	+++	49 *50 49
Pancreas Esophagus	+++++	++++	+	++	+	++++	+++	+	++++	+	+++	+++	++++	+++	++	++	+++	++++	+	+	+	+	++	+++	+	49
Stomach	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	49
Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+; +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 46 1 48
Large intestine			-		_	<i>•</i>			+	· ·		<u> </u>	-	÷	-	-		-	-				<u> </u>			••
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	++++	++	+ +	+ +	<b>+</b> +	++++	++	<b>+</b> +	++	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	++++	49 49						
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Adeeoma, NOS	++++	+++	+ + x	+++	++++	* +	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+ +	÷	+++	+ * *	+++	++	++++	++	+++	+ +	48 49 2
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	2 49
Follicular cell carcinoma Parathyroid	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+		-	~	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	22
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Interstitial cell tumor	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	*50 49														
Prostate Prostate Squamous cell carcinoma Hemangioma	+ ท	+ N	н М	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	н М	+ N X	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ М	48 •50 1 1						
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Alveolar/bronchiolar carcin, metasta Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 2 1 3

#### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

STUDY O																									
ANIMAL NUMBER	5 4 2	5 4 4	5 4 9	5 3 0	5 3 8	5 3 9	5 1 5	5 2 9	5 1 3	5 3 3	5 4 0	5 4 3	5 3 7	5 2 0	5 5 0	5 3 6	5 0 4	5 1 4	5 2 3	5 2 7	5 0 2	5 0 7	5 1 9	5 0 5	5 0 8
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 0 2	0 0 2	0 0 2	0 5 0	0 6 2	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 3	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 7 9	0 7 9	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 9 0	0 9 0
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	-	<u> </u>																							
Skin Squamous cell papilloma Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+ *	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	++++	+	+	* *	+ X +	* *	* *	+ X +	* x x	* *	+	+	* *	+	+	+ X +	* *	+	+ X +	+	+	+	* *	* * * *	* x
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic	++-	++-	++	++	+ + + x	+++	+ + +	++	+ + + X	+ + +	++++	+++++	+ + -	++++	+ + + X	+ +	++++	++	++	+ + X	+ + -	++++++	+ + +	+ + + X	++++
Hemanguoma Thymus Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	+	-	-	+	+	*	-	* X	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Blood vessels Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Saivary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Hepatocellular adenoma	++++	+	++++	+ +	++++	+ +	++++	- +	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + x	+ + x	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ * x x	++++	+ + X	+ +	++++	+++	++++
Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemangnoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ N +	+2+	++++	++++	++++	+ Z +	+2+	++++	+++	+++	+ N + +	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	X + + + +	++++++	++++	++++	+ Z +	++++
Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine Large intestine	+++++	-+ -+	++	++ x++	++++	++ X++	++ X++	++ X++	++XX++	++ x -+	+ + X + +	++ X++	++ X++	+ + + + + +	++ X++	++ X++	++ X++	++ X++	++ X++	++ X++	+ + X++	++ X++	++ X++	++ + X++	++ X++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Urinary bladder	+++	++	+++	++	+ +	++	++	+ +	+	++	+++	++	++	++	++	++	+ +	++	++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+ x +	++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Parathyroid Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adanoma	++++++	+++	++ ++ +-+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++ + +-+	++ +-+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++ ++++	++ ++ ++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++-++-+++-+++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ + +-+ +	++ +++	+++++	++ +++	++++++	++++++	++++-+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	 + + + +	+ - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + -	+++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Tests Prostate Preputal/clitoral gland Squamous cell carcusoma	N + + N	N++N	X + + X	N + + N	X++X	N + + N	N++N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N++NX	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	N + + N	Z++Z	N + + N	N + - N	N + + N
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Mesentery Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N			N N	N X N				N N	N N	N N	N N	N N		N N	N N	N N X	N X N	N X N	N N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Malig: lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig: lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X

### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE: LOW DOSE

								• -			ueu															
ANIMAL NUMBER	5 2 6	5 4 5	5 4 8	5 0 3	5 4 1	5 0 1	5 1 6	5 4 7	5 3 4	5 2 2	5 1 2	5 1 1	5 0 9	5 1 0	5 3 5	5 4 6	5 3 1	506	5 1 7	5 1 8	5 2 1	5 2 4	5 2 5	5 2 8	5 3 2	
WEEKS ON STUDY	990	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 1	9 3	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 6	9 7	0 9 9	100	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	103	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	TOTAL TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	-															. <u>.</u>		· ·				- <u></u>				
Skin Squamous cell papilloma Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma	++++	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	*50 1 *50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Squamous ceil carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	* x	+	*	*	+	+ x	*	+	*	+	+	+	+ X X	+ X	+	+	+	50 16 6 4
Trachea	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spisen Lymph nodes Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcin, metastatic Hemangioma	++++++	++	++	+ + + x	++++	++++	+ + +	+ + + + X	+ + + X	++++	+++ + x	+++++	++++	+ + + X	+ + + + X	++++	+++ + x x	++++	+ + + X	++++	+++++	+ + +	++++	++++	+ + +	50 50 37 3 9 1
Thymus Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	29 4
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Blood vessels Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N X	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	* N	+ N	* N	* N	+ N	* N	* N	+ N	+ N	+ N	50 *50 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Hepatocellular adenoma	+	+++	+++	++++	++++	+ + x	++++	+++	+ + x	+++	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	+ +	+ +	+	+ + X	+++	+++	+ + X	+ +	+++	+ + X	45 50 2 1 7
Hepatoceilular carcinoma Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	+ N	+	x +	+	+	X + N	<b>†</b>	+	X X +	+	+ N	+	t	+	+	+	++++	X X + N	+	<b>X</b>	ŧ	t	X +	+	++	6 1 50 *50
Pancreas Esophagus Stomach	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	2+++	+++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	v + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++	++++	50 49 50
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine Large intestine	x +	X + +	- +	X + +	x + +	x + +	X + +	× + +	XX++	X + +	x -+	X + +	X + +	X + +	x	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	x + +	+ +	X + +	×++	x + +	3 42 43 48
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Urinary bladder	++++	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	**	+++	+++	+	+++	+	+++	++	+	+	+++	+	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	50 2 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal	+++	+++	+++	 +	++++	+	+++	++	++++	+++	++		++++	+	++++	++	+++	+	+	+++	 	+	+	+	+++	46 49
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Isist cell adenoma	X + - +	++	+ + + x	+ + +	+ - +	+ - +	+ + + + +	+ - +	+ - +	++++	+++	+ + +	+-+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+-+	+++	+ + + +	+-+	++++	1 48 25 50 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testus Prostate	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + + +	N + +	++++	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	*50 50 49
Proputal/cistoral gland Squamous cell carcinoma	й	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ń	Ň	Ń	Ň	Ň	Ň	N X	Ń	Ň	Ń	Ń	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	*50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardertan gland Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	NX	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 3
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	NX	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	NX	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Mesentery Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	Ñ	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	ñ	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Malig lymphoma, liymphocytic type Malig lymphoma, histocytic type	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	NX	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	NX	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	*50 21 1 2
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Granulocytic leukemia									X															X		2 1

### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: LOW DOSE (Continued)

													***												
ANIMAL NUMBER	5 1 5	52	52	5 2 9	5 3 0	5 4 0	5 1 3	5 1 2	5 3 4	5 4 8	5 1 8	516	5 0 6	5 0 5	5 0 3	5 2 2	5 3 2	5 3 5	5 2 1	5 2 0	5 0 9	5 4 6	5 1 1	5 2 5	5 4 7
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 0 1	02	0			0 0 2	0 5 3	0 5 4	0 5 5	0 5 5	0 6 0	0 6 2	0 6 4	0 6 7	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 6 9	0 7 0	0 7 2	0 7 4	0 7 5	0 7 5	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 7
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	-																	-							
Skin Squamous cell carcinoma	+	• •	+ 1	• +	• +	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	۲	+	+	+	+	*	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Hepatocallular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	•	- 4	• +	+	٨	+	+	*	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+ X	+	*	+	*	*
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	4	+ +	• +	+	A	+	х +	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Lymph nodes	-  ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		• •	· +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	A A A	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+ + x	+++++	++++	+++	+++	1	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Malignant lymphoma, NOS Thymus	+	-1		• +	+	A	+	+	x -	x -	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	x -	+	-	x -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Blood vessels Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	+ N	t N	- +   N	+ N	+ N	A N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N X	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	-	+	• +	+	+	Å	+++	+++	+++	++++	+ + x	++++	+++	÷	++++	+ + X	++	+ * x x	+ + X	++	++++	+ +		+++	+++
Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas	++++++	+ + +	+ N + N + +	+ N + N +	++++	A N A	+++	+ N +	+ + +	+ N +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ N +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	X + N +	+ N +	+ + +	+ N +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + + +	+ + +
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	• •	++	+++++	Å	++ *	++x +	++ + X	++ x	++++	+++++	+ + <b>x</b>	+ + + X	+ + <b>x</b> +	++ + X+	++ + X+	++ *	++x	++ + x+	+ + + X +	++x	+ + X X +	+ + X	+ + + X +
Large intestine	÷	÷	+	+	÷	Ä	÷	÷	-	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	‡	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++	Å	+++	++++	+ +	+	+	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	+++	++++	+ +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+ +	Å	++	+ + * X	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +
Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+ -	+	· +	+	+	A	++	+	++	-	++	++	+ -	++	++	++	+ -	+ +	++	+ -	++	+ +	+ -	++	+ -
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis	-	N +	- +	N +	N +	N A	N +	N +	N +	N +	+++	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	++++	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +
Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland Squamous cell carcinoma	N +	+ N	+ N	+ N	ň	A N	+ N	ň	+ N	ň	+ N	+ N	+ N X	+ N	ň	+ N	н М	н М	n N	n N	n N	+ N X	n N	n N	Ň
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	-   +	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Ameloblastoma	-   +	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	N	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, invasive																							N		
Mediastinum Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	14	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N K
Maig lymphoma, undiffer type Maig lymphoma, lymphocytic type Maignant lymphoma, mixed type Displaragm, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive															x					x			X	X	
	. I																								

### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGESTUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE: HIGH DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	5 1 7	52	5	5	52	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	52	5 0	53	5	5 1	5	5	53	5 4	1
WEEKS ON STUDY	7	3	3	2	4	7) 0  8	9	B	3	0 0 8	0	4  0  8	4 0 8	1  0  8	2) 0 8	6) 0 8	7  0  9	이	8	7) 0 9	91 01 91	5  0  9	1  0  9	9  1  0	4	TOTAL. TISSUES TUMORS
	é	9	9	õ	ŏ	0	õ	1	1]	1	2	2	3	3	8	9	ő	1	ĩ	3	3	3	4	3	š	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	*	x x	*	+	+	+	* x x	+	+	* x x	+	49 11 1 4
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	X +	+	-	+	+	X +	X +	+	+	+	+	+	4	+	+	+	5 46
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	++	+ +	+++	+++	++	+ +	++	++	++	4	+++	++	+++	49 49 2
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Lymph nodes Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+ X	ж +	+	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+-	*	+	+	35 1 7
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Malignant lymphoma, NOS Thymus	<b>x</b>	-	+	-	~	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+		-	-	+	1 30
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Blood vessels Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	4 N	+ N	+ N	+ N	* N	+ N	, N	+ N	н М	+ N	*N	+ N	+ N	* N	+ N	+ N	ň	* N	+ N	+ N	+ N	ň	+ N	, N	* N	49 *50 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+	+	++++	++++	+	+	+++	+ +	48 49
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemangioma		X		-				x	x	•	XXX		X	x	x		x		X X	x		x	x	x		5 8 7 1
Hemangtosarcoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+ N +	X + + +	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	X + N	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+ N	++++	3 49 *50 49
Factors Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Esophagus Stomach	++++	+ + +	<b>X</b> + +	<b>x</b> + +	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+ +	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ +	2 49 49
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine Large intestine	+ +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	¥ + +	X X + +	X + + +	X + + +	X + +	X + + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	K + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	8 35 47 48
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	+++	+	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+++	+ +	;	+ +	+++	+++	+	+++	++	+++	‡ +	‡ +	+ +	+ +	++++	49 47
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Adrenal Alveolar/bronchiolar carcin, metastatic	+ +	- +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	<b>+</b>	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	<del>-</del> +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	47 49 1
Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	-	++	-	++	++	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ -	+ -	+ -	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	++	+ -	++	+ +	++	+ -	+ +	+ x +	45 1 27
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Tests Prostate Preputal/clitoral gland Squamous cell carcinoma	+ + N K	+ + N K	+ + N M	+ + N	+ + N K	+ + + N	+ + N X	+ + N X	+ + N X	+ + N X	+ + N	+ + N X	+ + N X	+ + N	+ + N	+ + + N	+ + N X	+ + N X	+ + + N	+ + N X	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N K	+ + N	49 48 *50 16
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	*50
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Ameloblastoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	N	N	+	+	+	N	N	N	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcin, invasive Mediastinum Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	X N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	1 *50 I
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Malig lymphoma, undiffer type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	*50 14 1
Malig: lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Diaphragm, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive				x																					x	1 3 1

### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

					_	-	_				_														
ANIMAL NUMBER	5 4 9	5 1 6	5 1 0	5 0 4	5 4 5	5 4 2	5 4 8	5 2 6	5 2 0	5 0 1	5 0 2	5 0 3	5 0 5	5 0 6	5 0 7	508	5 0 9	5 1 1	5 1 2	5 1 3	5 1 4	5 1 5	5 1 7	5 1 8	5 1 9
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 5 2	0 7 1	0 8 0	0 8 6	0 8 9	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 8	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Alveolar/bronchtolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchtolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+ x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Thymus	++++++	+ + + +	++++-	+ + +	++++-	+++-	• ++ ++ ++	+ + + +	• ++ ++ ++	• ++ ++ ++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	++++-	+++++	++ +++	• • • • •	+ + + +	• + + + + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + + +	++ ++ ++	+ + + +	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+ * x	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+ +	++++
Mangian typhone, mixed type Bie duct Gallbladder & common bie duct Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ +	++++++ +	+N++++ +	++++++ +	++++++ +	++++++ +	+z+++ +	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ +	++++++ +	+++++ +	+2++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++ +	++++++ +	++++++ +	++++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	++++	+	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++	+++	++++	++	<u>+</u>	++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Chromophobe adenoma Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroud Follneular cell adenoma Parathyroid	++++	++++++	+ - + +	+ + + -	+++-	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	++++++	++++++	+x+x+ +	+++++	++++++	+x+ + +	++++++	++++-	+x+++	+ + + * *	+ + + + -	+x+ + +	++++++	+x+ + -	++++++	+++++	+x+++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Uterus Neurilemoma, malignant Ovary	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++	+++++	++++	++++++	+x++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+++	++++	+++	+++++	++++	++++	++++++	+++++	++++++
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	 +	+	 +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	 +	+	 +	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	- +
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteoma	+	+	+	+	+	N	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig. lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N

# TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE: VEHICLE CONTROL

+: Tissue examined microscopically -- Required tissue not examined microscopically X: Tumor incidence N: Necropy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination S: Animal missezed

- . No tissue information submitted C: Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B: No necropsy performed
| ANIMAL<br>NUMBER   | 5<br>2<br>1      | 5<br>2<br>2 | 5<br>2<br>3    | 5<br>2<br>4 | 5<br>2<br>5 | 5<br>2<br>7      | 5<br>2<br>8      | 5<br>2<br>9 | 5<br>3<br>0       | 5<br>3<br>1           | 5<br>3<br>2 | 5<br>3<br>3    | 5<br>3<br>4 | 5<br>3<br>5                | 5<br>3<br>6      | 5<br>3<br>7 | 5<br>3<br>8        | 5<br>3<br>9           | 5<br>4<br>0      | 5<br>4<br>1      | 5<br>4<br>3       | 5<br>1<br>1           | 5<br>4<br>6 | 5<br>4<br>7           | 5<br>5<br>0                     | -   |
|--|------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| WEEKS ON<br>STUDY  | 1<br>0<br>3      | 1<br>0<br>3 | 1<br>0<br>3    | 1<br>0<br>3 | 1<br>0<br>3 | 1<br>0<br>3      | 1<br>0<br>3      | 1<br>0<br>3 | 1<br>0<br>3       | 1<br>0<br>3           | 1<br>0<br>3 | 1<br>0<br>3    | 1<br>0<br>3 | 1<br>0<br>3                | 1<br>0<br>3      | 1<br>0<br>3 | 1<br>0<br>3        | 1<br>0<br>3           | 1<br>0<br>3      | 1<br>0<br>3      | 1<br>0<br>3       | 1<br>0<br>3           | 1<br>0<br>3 | 1<br>0<br>3           | 1<br>0<br>3                     | TOTAL<br>TISSUES<br>TUMORS                        |
| RESPIRATORY SYSTEM<br>Lungs and bronchi<br>Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma<br>Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma<br>Trachea   | +                | +           | +              | +           | +           | +                | +                | +           | +                 | +                     | +           | +              | +           | +                          | +                | +           | +                  | +                     | +                | +                | +                 | ++                    | +           | ++                    | +                               | 50<br>2<br>1<br>49                                |
| HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM<br>Bone marrow<br>Spieen<br>Malignant lymphoma, mixed type<br>Lymph nodes<br>Thymus   | +<br>+<br>+<br>+ | +++-        | ++<br>++<br>++ | ++ ++       | ++++-       | +<br>+<br>+<br>+ | +<br>+<br>+<br>+ | +++-        | + +<br>+ +<br>+ + | ++<br>++<br>++        | +++++       | ++<br>++<br>++ | ++++++      | ++x++                      | +<br>+<br>+<br>+ | ++++-       | +++++              | ++<br>++<br>++        | +<br>+<br>+<br>+ | +<br>+<br>+<br>+ | + +<br>+ +<br>+ + | ++<br>++<br>++        | +++++       | +<br>+<br>+<br>+<br>+ | +<br>+<br>+<br>+                | 50<br>50<br>1<br>50<br>41                         |
| CIRCULATORY SYSTEM<br>Heart  | +                | +           | +              | +           | +           | +                | +                | +           | +                 | +                     | +           | +              | +           | +                          | +                | +           | +                  | +                     | +                | +                | +                 | +                     | +           | +                     | +                               | 50  |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM<br>Salvary gland<br>Liver<br>Hepatocellular adenoma   | ++++             | +++         | +              | +++         | ++          | +<br>+           | +                | ++          | +++               | ++                    | +++         | +++            | +<br>+      | ++                         | +<br>*<br>x      | + + + X     | +++                | +<br>+                | +++              | +++              | +<br>+<br>X       | +<br>+                | ++          | +++                   | +<br>+                          | 49<br>50<br>4                                     |
| Malgnant lymphoma, mixed type<br>Bile duct<br>Gallbladder & common bile duct<br>Pancreas<br>Esophagus<br>Stomach<br>Small intestine<br>Malig lymphoma, lymphocytic type<br>Large intestine | X+++++ +         | +++++       | +++++          | +++++ +     | ++++++ +    | +++++            | +++++ 4          | +++++ 4     | +++++ 4           | +++++                 | +++++ 4     | ++++++         | +++++       | ++++++ +                   | +++++            | +++++ +     | +++++              | +++++                 | +++++ 4          | +++++            | +++++ 4           | +++++                 | +++++ +     | +++++ 4               | +++++ 4                         | 1<br>50<br>*50<br>50<br>50<br>50<br>50<br>1<br>50 |
| URINARY SYSTEM<br>Kidney<br>Urinary bladder  | ++++             | <br>+       | +              | +<br>+      | •<br>+<br>+ | +<br>+           | +++              | <br>+<br>+  | +<br>+            | +++                   | +++         | +++            | +++         | ++++                       | +++              | +++         | ++                 | <br>+<br>+            | ++               | ++               | +++               | +++                   | ++          | ++                    | ++++                            | 50<br>49  |
| ENDOCRINE SYSTEM<br>Priutary<br>Chromophobe adenoma<br>Adrenal<br>Pheochromocytoma<br>Thyroid<br>Follicular cell adenoma<br>Parathyroid  | ++++             | -<br>+<br>+ | ++++-          | +++++       | ++++++      | + x + + -        | ++++++           | ++++-       | +X + + +          | *<br>*<br>+<br>+<br>- | ++++        | ++++-          | ++++-       | +<br>+<br>+<br>+<br>+<br>- | +++++            | + + + -     | +<br>X +<br>+<br>+ | +<br>+<br>+<br>+<br>+ | +<br>+<br>+<br>+ | ++++++           | +<br>+<br>+       | +<br>+<br>+<br>+<br>+ | + + + +     | +<br>+<br>+<br>+      | +<br>+<br>+<br>+<br>+<br>+<br>+ | 49<br>15<br>49<br>1<br>50<br>1<br>30              |
| REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM<br>Mammary gland<br>Adanocarcinoma, NOS<br>Uterus<br>Neurilemoma, malignant<br>Ovary   | +<br>+<br>+      | +<br>+<br>+ | +<br>+<br>+    | +<br>+<br>+ | +++++       | +++++            | +++++            | + + +       | +<br>+<br>+       | +<br>+<br>+           | ++++++      | +<br>+<br>+    | +<br>+<br>+ | +++++                      | +<br>+<br>+      | +++++       | ++++++             | ++++++                | +++++            | +<br>+<br>+      | +<br>+<br>*<br>*  | ++++++                | +<br>+<br>+ | +<br>+<br>+           | +<br>+<br>+                     | *50<br>1<br>50<br>1<br>49                         |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM<br>Brain  | +                | +           | +              | +           | +           | +                | +                | +           | +                 | +                     | +           | +              | +           | +                          | +                | +           | +                  | +                     | +                | +                | +                 | +                     | +           | +                     | +                               | 50  |
| MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM<br>Bone<br>Osteoma  | N                | N           | N              | N           | N           | N                | N                | N           | N                 | N                     | N           | N              | N           | N                          | N                | N           | N                  | N                     | N                | N                | N                 | N                     | N           | N                     | N                               | *50   |
| ALL OTHER SYSTEMS<br>Multiple organs, NOS<br>Malig lymphoma, lymphocytic type<br>Malig ant lymphoma, mixed type<br>Malignant lymphoma, mixed type  | N                | N           | N              | N<br>X      | N           | N                | N                | N           | N<br>X            | N                     | N<br>X      | N              | N           | N                          | N                | N           | N<br>X             | N                     | N                | N                | N                 | N                     | N           | N                     | N                               | *50<br>2<br>1<br>4                                |

### TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: VEHICLE CONTROL (Continued)

\* Animals necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	5 1 8	5 1 9	5 2 0	5 3 4	5 3 5	5 0 2	5 1 4	5 3 0	5 4 6	5 0 6	5 3 2	5 0 4	5 0 8	5 4 3	5 1 5	5 3 1	5 2 4	5 0 5	5 2 5	5 0 1	5 3 6	5 2 7	5 3 9	5 0 9	5 4 1
weeks on Study	02	02	02	0 0 8	0 3 5	0 4 6	0 4 8	0 5 5	0 5 5	0 5 9	0 6 0	0 6 4	0 6 8	0 6 8	0 7 0	0 7 1	0 7 3	0 7 6	0 7 6	0 7 8	0 7 8	0 8 0	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 1
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Squamous cell carrisoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	*	ţ	+	<b>*</b>	* x	+	+	* *	+
Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic Traches	+	-	+	+		+	+	-	÷	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	-   +	+	+++	+ +	+ -	+++	++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	÷	+ +	+++	+ +	+ + x	+++	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++
Hemangiosarcoma Lymph nodes Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+		+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Thymus Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	X -	+	X +	+ X	X -	-	X +	X	+	+	-	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	-										<u> </u>														<u> </u>
Heart DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	-   +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Salivary gland Liver	‡	Ŧ	‡	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	<del>-</del> +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- ±	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ ±	++	+ +
Hepatocelluiar adenoma Bile duct Calibidad & common bule duct	+ N	+ N	+	+	+	+ N	+ N	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	<b>X</b> +	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+
Gallbladder & common bile duct Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Pancreas Escoharus	+	+	+	+	+ -	N +	N +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+ +
Esophagus Squamous cell carcinoma Stomach		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Adenosquamous carcinoma			•	•	x	·	•	x	x	x	x	•	x	x	X	x	x	·	x	x	x	•	x	x	x
Small intestine Large intestine	<b>-</b>	- +	+ +	+ +	<del>-</del> +	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +
URINARY SYSTEM Kudasy Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Urinary bladder	++++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	++	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Primtary	-   +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Chromophobe adenoma Adrenal	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Thyroid Parathyroid	1	1	+	+_	х —	+ +	+ +	Ξ	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	Ξ	+ 	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ 	+	+ +	+ -	+ +	<u>+</u>	+ +	<b>+</b> +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	-   +	N	+	+	+	+	+	*	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	÷	+	+
Varus Ovary Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Teratoma, NOS	+	+ +	<b>+</b> +	<b>+</b> +	+ +	+ + x	+ +	4 + +	<u>+</u>	<b>+</b> +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	‡	<b>+</b> +	‡	* + +	‡	+ +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardenan gland Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N
Mediastiaum Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ñ	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Adenoquamous carcinoma, invasive Malig, lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N	NK	N X	N X	N	N X	N

## TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE: LOW DOSE

TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	<b>OF FEMALE</b>	MICE: LOW DOSE
		(Continued	i)	

								(C	on	tin	ued	IJ														
ANIMAL NUMBER	5 1 8	5 4 8	5 1 0	5 2 9	5 4 4	5 2 2	5 5 0	5 1 2	5 1 3	5 3 7	5 4 0	5 2 1	5 0 3	5 0 7	5 1 1	5 4 9	5 2 8	5 2 8	5 3 3	5 1 7	5 2 3	5 3 8	5 4 2	5 4 5	5 4 7	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 8 2	0 8 6	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	TOTAL. TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	, x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 2
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Squamous cell carrinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Adenosquamous carrinoma, metastatic Trachea	+ x -	+ x -	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	-	+	+	+	+	* *	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 11 1 1 43
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spisen Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Hemangiosarcoma Lymph nodes Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	+ + + + X	+ + +	+ + +	++	+++++	+ + +	+++	++++	+ + +	+++ +	+ + + x	+++++	++ ++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	++ + *	+ + + X +	+ + + x	+ + +	50 49 1 1 42 1 11
Thymus Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	=	_	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	÷	+	34
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepstocellular adenoma Bile duct	++++	+++++	+++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++	+++++	++++	++++	+++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+ + X +	+ + x +	++++	++++	+++	+++++	47 50 4 50
Galibiader & common bile duct Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Pancreas Exophagus Squamous cell carcinoma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ X + +	Ń + +	÷ + +	÷ + +	+ + +	+ + +	;+ +++	+ + +	+ + +	++	+ + +	.+ ++	+ + +	÷ + +	+ + +	÷ + +	N +++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	N + +	+++	+ + +	*50 1 49 49
Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Adenosquamous carcinoma	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ x	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	x + x	+ X	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	1 50 1 40 1
Small intestine Large intestine	++	+++	++++	+	++++	+++	++++	+++	-	+	++	+++	++	+++++	+	+++	+++	+++	+++++	+++	++	++	+	+++	++++	43 47
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Urinary bladder	+++	++	++	++	+	++	+	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	++	+x +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	50 1 47
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Chromophobe adenoma Adrenai	++++	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+++	+	+++	+	+	+ x +	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+	- +	+	++++	+	+	49 2 50
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Thyroid Parathyroid	=	Ξ	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	<u>+</u>	+ +	+	+ +	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	<u>+</u>	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	1 43 30
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Utarus	++++	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N +	+	+	++	+	++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 2 50
Ovary Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Teratoma, NOS	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	*	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	50 49 1 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	*50
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 5 1
Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic Mediastinum Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 3
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Adenosquamous carcinoma, invasive Malig, lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	NX	N X	N	NX	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	*50 27 1 1 1						
				-																						

\* Animals necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	526	5 1 1	5 1 3	5 1 4	5 1 5	5 1 6	5 4 9	5 1 2	5 0 2	5 3 3	5 0 6	5 1 9	5 3 0	5 0 5	5 0 8	5 3 2	5 4 6	5 4 3	5 0 4	5 0 9	5 3 6	5 4 8	5 2 8	5 3 5	5 2 1
weeks on Study	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 2	0 0 2	0 0 2	0 0 2	0 0 2	0 4 0	0 5 4	0 5 5	0 5 9	0 5 9	0 6 0	0 6 6	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 5	0 7 5	0 7 5	0 7 5	0 7 8	0 7 8	0 7 9
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Keratoscanthoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	N	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Squamous cell carcinoms, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoms Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	A	A	+	* *	*	+ X +	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+ x x +	+	+	* *	+	+ X +	+ X +	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	A	A A	++++	+++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+	+	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	-	+	-	+	+	•	A	+	+	+	+	+ x	+ X	-	-	-	-	+ X	r X	+	+	+	+	+ X +	-+
Thymus CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	 +	+	+	- +	A 	A 	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- +	+	+	 +	r 	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	++	+++	- +	+ +	+++	A A	A A	++	++++	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	+ +	++++	++++	+++	 +	++	-+	+++	+++	+++	+ + X
Répatocellular adenoma Bila duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	N N	+ N	* N	ň	+ N	A N	A N	+ +	+++	++++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	н М	+ +	++ *	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +
Pańcreas Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Esophagus Stomach Carcinoma, NOS	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	- + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	A A A	A A A	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+x + +
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine Large intestine Hemangioma	:	-	- +	- +	+ +	A A	A A	+ +	X + +	X X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X ++	X +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + -	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + +	X + + +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Primiary Adrenal Squamous cell carcinoms, invasive	+	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	A A	A A	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	++
Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid Folicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+++	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ 	A A	A A	+ -	-	-	+ -	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ 	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Uterus Ovary Granulosa cell tumor	+++++	++++	+ - -	+ - +	+ + +	N A A	N A A	N + +	++++	N + +	+ + +	N + +	+ + +	+ + +	N + +	N + +	++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	N + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Squamous cell carcinome, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Mediastinum Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Mesentery	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N N N	N X N X N	N N N	N X N N	N X N N	N N N	N X N N		N N N		N X N N	N N N	N X N N	N N N			N N N
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	и	N	N	N	N	N	N	N				N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Malig lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type								x	X	x	X	X	x	x	x	X	X	x	X		X		X	x	ļ

## TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE: HIGH DOSE

## TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

									on		acu	.,														
ANIMAL NUMBER	5 3 1	5 4 5	5 0 3	5 1 8	5 2 4	5 0 1	5 3 4	5 3 9	5 0 7	525	5 3 7	5 1 0	5 4 4	5 2 2	5 4 1	5 4 2	5 4 0	5 2 3	5 1 7	5 3 8	5 2 7	5 4 7	5 2 0	5 2 9	5 5 0	TOTAT
weeks on Study	0 8 0	0 8 0	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 3	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 2	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 9	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	TOTAL. TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Keratoacanthoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	*50
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	* *	+	+	* *	+	+ x +	* *	+	+	+	+	* *	* *	+	+	+ x +	+ X +	48 12 6 1 43
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive	+++++	+++	+++	++++	+ +	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	+ + x	++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+	++++	48 47 1
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	X +	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	÷	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	1 38 1 6
Thymus CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+  +	+  +	+	+	+	-	+		+	++		+	+	+		+	+		-	+		+	- 	48
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver	+++++	+++	++	++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	- +	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++++	+++	++	+++	44 48
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Hepatocellular adenoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + + +	+ N	X + N	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ N	+ +	х + х м	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	<b>‡</b>	<b>+</b>	+ +	1 4 48 *50
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Pancreas Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Esophagus Stomach	++++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ x +	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	++	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	1 47 2 47 48
Carchoma, NOS Squamous cell papilloms Squamous cell carchoma Small intestine Large intestine Hemangioma	▼ ★ +	+ + +	* * *	+ + +	- X + +	₩ ₩ +	* * +	+ +	* * +		* * +	• * +	T X + +	× + +	* *	▼ ★ +	* * +	• • •	▼ ★ +	· X++X		↓ ↓	<b>x</b> +++	- X + +	× -+	1 36 42 46
URINARY SYSTEM Kidaey Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Urnary biedder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	ــــــ ۲	+	* *	 + +	48 1 1 41
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal	++++	++	+ +	+ +	+ + +	+++		++		+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+++	++++	+ +	++++	+++	+++	+	+++	++	+ +	47
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	÷x.	+	X +	+	+	+	*	X +	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	3 1 45 1
Parathyroid REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Uterus	++++	+	+	+	- +	+ +	- + +	+  + +	+++	++	+ + + +	+	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+	+	 +	+	+	+	+	+	++++	+	27 *50 46
Ovary Granulosa cell tumor NERVOUS SYSTEM	÷	+	+	+	+	+	÷	×	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	47
Brain SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardenan gland	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ 	+ N	+ N	+ N	48													
Papillary adenoma MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle		N	X		X N		X N				N		<u> </u>					X					<u> </u>	X		*50
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive BODY CAVITIES Pleura	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		*50
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Mediaatinum Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Mesentery	N N	N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N	N	N N	N	N N	N N	N N	N N		N	x	N	N N	N N	X N	9 *50 1 *50
Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	X 	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Squamous cell carcinoma, invasive Malig lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig lymphoma, instrocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	x	x	X	x			x	x							X X		x	x	x		x		x		x	1 23 4 1 4

\* Animals necropsied

### **APPENDIX C**

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

V	EHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIGH	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALI	LY 50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)	-	(50)		(50)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst		(2%)				
Inflammation, acute focal	-	(4%)				
Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%) (2%)				
Hyperplasia, NOS *Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	(270)	(50)		(50)	
Abscess, NOS	(00)			(2%)	(50)	
Inflammation, pyogranulomatous				(2%)		
	······					
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM #Nasal cavity	(47)		(46)		(32)	
Congestion, NOS	(47)		(40)			(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative	15	(32%)	15	(33%)		(13%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic		(2%)	10	(00/0)		(10/0)
Infection, fungal		(2%)	1	(2%)		
Atypia, NOS	-			(2%)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial			4	(9%)		
Metaplasia, squamous			2	(4%)	2	(6%)
#Trachea	(50)		(49)		(48)	
Inflammation, acute				(2%)		
#Lung/bronchus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative			_		1	(2%)
Metaplasia, squamous			1	(2%)		
Metaplasia, osseous		(2%)	<i>(</i> <b>70</b> )			
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	(401)
Aspiration, NOS						(4%)
Vegetable foreign body Emphysema, NOS						(2%) (2%)
Congestion, NOS	3	(6%)	3	(6%)		(14%)
Edema, NOS		(2%)	U	(0,2)		(2%)
Hemorrhage	-	(2,0)	2	(4%)	-	(2,0)
Inflammation, interstitial			-	(2.00)	2	(4%)
Pneumonia, aspiration						(2%)
Bronchopneumonia, acute			2	(4%)		
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute focal				(2%)		(6%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic		(2%)		(2%)		(2%)
Pneumonia, chronic murine	1	(2%)		(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal	~	(4.01)	2	(4%)		
Inflammation, granulomatous		( <b>4%</b> )	0	(4%)	0	(4%)
Hyperplasia, adenomatous Histiocytosis		(2%) (4%)		(4%) (4%)		(4%) (4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						. <u> </u>
#Bone marrow	(49)		(50)		(48)	
Necrosis, NOS	(47)			(2%)	(40)	
Hypoplasia, NOS			4		1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(2%)	-	~ ~ / • /
#Spleen	(50)		(50)	~~ /*/	(50)	
Congestion, NOS				(2%)	(00)	
Fibrosis	1	(2%)	-			
I IDIOSIS						
Infarct, acute			1	(2%)		
				(2%) (10%)	3	(6%)

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Mandibular lymph node	(50)		(46)		(45)	
Cyst, NOS		(2%)	(40)		(40)	
Hemorrhage	•	(2,0)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, active chronic			1	(2%)	•	(270)
Plasmacytosis	1	(2%)		(2%)		
#Mediastinal lymph node	(50)		(46)	(2,0)	(45)	
Hemorrhage	(00)		(10)			(2%)
#Pancreatic lymph node	(50)		(46)		(45)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	(•••)			(2%)	(,	
#Renal lymph node	(50)		(46)		(45)	
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis			2	(4%)		
#Thymus	(40)		(41)		(31)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(3%)				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Spleen	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombus, organized		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
#Lung	(50)	$(2\pi)$	(50)		(50)	
Perivasculitis	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
#Heart/atrium	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(49)	
Thrombosis, NOS	(00)		(00)			(2%)
#Myocardium	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	()					(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	27	(54%)	33	(66%)	29	(59%)
Inflammation, chronic focal					3	(6%)
Fibrosis, focal	4	(8%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Degeneration, NOS	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Calcification, focal			2	(4%)		
*Mesenteric artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombus, organized	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
*Pulmonary vein	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Urinary bladder/serosa	(49)		(48)		(48)	
Perivasculitis		(2%)				
#Adrenal	(50)		(50)	.0.7.	(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*Oral cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial			(00)			(2%)
*Palate	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial				(2%)	(23)	
*Tongue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative						(2%)
*Periodontal tissues	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Abscess, NOS					1	(2%)
#Salıvary gland	(50)		(48)		(49)	
Dilatation/ducts				(4%)		
Inflammation, active chronic				(2%)		
Inflammation, acute/chronic	2	(4%)		(2%)		(2%)
Atrophy, NOS			3	(6%)		(2%)
Metaplasia, squamous					1	(2%)

	VEHIC	LE CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
IGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hernia, NOS	(					(2%)
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)		(6%) (6%)		(2%) (4%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic		(2%)	3	(0%)	Z	(4.%)
Cholangiofibrosis	1	(270)			1	(2%)
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)			1	(270)
Metamorphosis, fatty		(20%)	4	(8%)	9	(4%)
Basophilic cyto change		(4%)		(2%)		(2%)
Ground glass cyto change	-		•	(=,~)		(2%)
Eosinophilic cyto change			1	(2%)		(4%)
Clear cell change	1	(2%)				
Cytologic alteration, NOS				(4%)		
Angiectasis	1	(2%)	3	(6%)		
#Hepatic capsule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS					1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute		(2%)				
#Liver/centrilobular	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS					1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute				(2%)		
Degeneration, NOS				(4%)		(4%)
Necrosis, NOS		(2%)		(2%)		(6%)
Metamorphosis, fatty	1	(2%)	4	(8%)		(2%)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	(20)		(50)			(2%)
#Liver/periportal	(50)		(50)	(0.01)	(50)	(00)
Metamorphosis, fatty #Bile duct	(50)			(2%)		(2%)
Cyst, NOS	(50)		(50)	(4%)	(50)	
Congestion, NOS				(2%)		
Hyperplasia, NOS	43	(86%)		(58%)	26	(52%)
#Pancreatic acinus	(50)		(50)	(30%)	(50)	(02%)
Atrophy, NOS	· · ·	(28%)		(16%)		(6%)
Hyperplasia, NOS		(10%)		(8%)	Ŭ	(0,0)
#Pancreas/interstitial tissue	(50)	(10%)	(50)	(0,0)	(50)	
Inflammation, acute		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic		(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic		(=,	1	(2%)		
#Peripancreatic tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Necrosis, fat			1	(2%)		
#Esophagus	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Inflammation, acute focal						(2%)
Perforation, inflammatory						(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial			6	(12%)	4	()
Hyperkeratosis	(10)					(2%)
#Stomach	(49)	(0~)	(50)		(50)	
Erosion	1	(2%)				
Calcification, NOS				(2%)	(50)	
#Gastric mucosa	(49)		(50)		(50)	(90)
Necrosis, NOS #Gastric serosa	(49)		(50)			(2%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic	(49)		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
#Forestomach	(49)		(50)	(410)	(50)	
Cyst, NOS	(40)			(2%)	(00)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst				(2%)		
Ulcer, NOS				(4%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute				(4%)		(4%)
Ulcer, acute				(2%)	-	·
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)		(8%)	7	(14%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial			24	(48%)		(38%)
Hyperkeratosis			1	(2%)		(2%)
#Small intestine/mucosa	(45)		(49)		(48)	
Metaplasia, osseous	1	(2%)				

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)	····		<u></u>			
#Duodenum	(45)		(49)		(48)	
Diverticulum	(10)		(10)		,	(2%)
#Duodenal mucosa	(45)		(49)		(48)	
Necrosis, NOS				(4%)	6	(13%)
#Large intestine	(49)		(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic		(2%)	(10)		(50)	
#Colon Parasitism	(49)	(2%)	(49)		(50)	
#Colonic mucosa	(49)		(49)		(50)	
Dilatation, NOS	(40)		(43)			(2%)
#Colonic crypt of Lieberkuhn	(49)		(49)		(50)	
Necrosis, NOS	(10)			(2%)		(2%)
JRINARY SYSTEM	······	······				
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Pyelonephritis, acute/chronic	(00)		(00)			(2%)
Nephropathy	27	(54%)	36	(72%)		(66%)
Nephrosis, NOS		(2%)		(4%)	50	
#Kidney/interstitial tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
#Kidney/cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Calcification, focal						(2%)
#Kidney/glomerulus	(50)		(50)		(50)	<b>.</b>
Nephropathy						(2%)
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation, NOS				(0.0)	2	(4%)
Abscess, NOS Necrosis, focal			1	(2%)	1	(001)
Calcification, NOS			1	(2%)	I	(2%)
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative	(00)		(00)			(2%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic			1	(2%)	*	(1,0)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	1	(2%)	-			
#Urinary bladder	(49)		(48)		(48)	
Cast, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Ulcer, NOS						(2%)
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)		(2%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic						(6%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial					1	(2%)
NDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(50)		(47)	
Dilatation/sinus	•	(0.01)	~	(40)		(2%)
Cyst, NOS Congestion, NOS	3	(6%)		(4%) (2%)	2	(4%)
Hemosiderosis				(2%) (2%)		
Hyperplasia, focal				(2%)		
Hyperplasia, chromophobe cell	5	(10%)		(4%)	3	(6%)
Angiectasis	v			(2%)	•	,
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)	,	(50)	
Hemorrhage	(50)		()			(2%)
Degeneration, lipoid	2	(4%)	3	(6%)		
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	1	(2%)		(4%)		
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Calcification, focal				(2%)		
Hyperplasia, focal	3	(6%)	4	(8%)		

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)				<u></u>		
#Thyroid	(50)		(48)		(47)	
Ultimobranchial cyst		(2%)		(2%)		(4%)
Follicular cyst, NOS		(8%)		(2%)	-	( - / • /
Inflammation, chronic focal	-			(2%)		
Hyperplasia, C-cell	4	(8%)		(4%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, follicular cell		(2%)		(2%)	-	,
#Thyroid follicle	(50)		(48)	(	(47)	
Hyperplasia, cystic			1	(2%)		(2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM		<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation/ducts		(10%)		(20%)		
Galactocele				(2%)		
*Mammary lobule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial						(2%)
*Prepuce	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, granulomatous focal				(2%)		
*Preputial gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute		(2%)				
Abscess, NOS		(8%)		(2%)		
#Prostate	(50)		(50)	(00)	(50)	
Hemorrhage		(90)		(2%)		(10)
Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)		(4%) (4%)	Z	(4%)
Inflammation, acute focal				(4%)		
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)	1	(270)		
Inflammation, chronic		(2%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic suppurative		(4%)	1	(2%)	•	(470)
Inclusion, nuclear		(2%)	•	(2,10)		
Hyperplasia, focal		(20%)	13	(26%)	7	(14%)
Metaplasia, squamous	10	(20 %)		(6%)		(2%)
#Testis	(49)		(50)	(0,0)	(50)	
Inflammation, chronic suppurative	(10)			(2%)	(00)	
Granuloma, spermatic				(2%)		
Necrosis, fat				(2%)		
Infarct, acute				(2%)		
Calcification, focal	1	(2%)		(2%)		
Atrophy, NOS		(8%)		(4%)	5	(10%)
Hyperplasia, interstitial cell	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	13	(26%)
#Testis/tubule	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Calcification, focal				(4%)		(4%)
*Epididymis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic suppurative	1	(2%)				
ERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic						(2%)
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)		(6%)	1	(2%)
Hematoma, NOS			1	(2%)		(00)
Granuloma, foreign body				(00)	1	(2%)
Demyelinization #Pons	(50)			(2%)	(50)	
#Pons Compression, NOS	(50)		(50)	(2%)	(00)	
*Optic nerve	(50)		(50)	(470)	(50)	
Degeneration, NOS	(00)			(994)	(50)	
Degeneration, NOS			1	(2%)		

,	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIGH	H DOSE
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*Eye	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic				(2%)		
Cataract		(72%)		(54%)		(8%)
*Eye/sclera	(50)	(0.4)	(50)		(50)	(0
Calcification, focal		(8%)		(4%)		(2%)
*Eye/cornea	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	(4%)
Inflammation, acute				(2%)	2	(41%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic *Eye/retina	(50)		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
Atrophy, NOS	,	(70%)	· - ·	(52%)		(12%)
Auophy, 105		(10 <i>7</i> 0)		(5270)		(1270)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Bone	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrous osteodystrophy						(2%)
*Mandible	(50)		(50)	(00)	(50)	
Abscess, NOS				(2%)		
BODY CAVITIES						
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Vegetable foreign body					1	(2%)
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute fibrinous						(2%)
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Necrosis, fat		(2%)				
*Peritoneum	(50)	(90)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute *Pleura	(50)	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic		(2%)	(00)		(50)	
*Pericardium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute fibrinous	(00)		(00)			(2%)
*Epicardium	(50)		(50)		(50)	,
Inflammation, acute/chronic		(2%)				
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute		(2%)				
Inflammation, granulomatous focal		(2%)				
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Calcification, focal	,			(2%)		
Diaphragm			-			
Inflammation, acute/chronic			1			
Adipose tissue						
Inflammation, chronic					1	
Inflammation, granulomatous	1				1	
Inflammation, granulomatous focal			1			
Inflammation, calcified granulomatous	1					
Infarct, NOS			1			

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

VE	HICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	Y 50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u> </u>				
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst					1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)		
Abscess, NOS		(2%)				
Inflammation, pyogranulomatous		(2%)				
Hyperplasia, basal cell	1	(2%)		_		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Nasal cavity	(50)		(49)		(41)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(12%)		(24%)	• •	(5%)
Inflammation, acute				(2%)		
Inflammation, acute necrotizing				(2%)		
Inflammation, acute/chronic	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, atypical						(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial				(6%)		(2%)
Metaplasia, squamous	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		(10%)
Dysplasia, epithelial						(2%)
*Nasal turbinate	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst				(2%)		
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(49)	(0.21)
Aspiration, NOS	•	(07)	•	(07)		(2%)
Congestion, NOS	3	(6%)		(6%)	2	(4%)
Hemorrhage Bronchopneumonia, acute				(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute			Z	(4%)		(2%) (2%)
Inflammation, acute focal						(2%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic					_	(4%)
Pneumonia, chronic murine						(2%)
Inflammation, chronic						(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(4%)
Inflammation, granulomatous		(2%)		(2%)		(2%)
Granuloma, NOS		(10%)				
Cholesterol deposit		(4%)				
Hyperplasia, adenomatous	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Histiocytosis	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
#Bone marrow	(49)		(48)		(50)	
Myelofibrosis		(2%)		(2%)		
Myelosclerosis			1	(2%)		
Hypoplasia, erythroid	/					(2%)
#Spleen	(50)		(50)	(9.0)	(49)	
Accessory structure		(00)		(2%)	~	(10)
Hemosiderosis		(2%)		(2%)		( <b>4%</b> )
Hematopoiesis		(4%)		(14%)		(2%)
#Lymph node	(45)	(90)	(46)		(49)	
Plasmacytosis #Mondibular lymph node		(2%)	(40)		(40)	
#Mandibular lymph node	(45)	(90)	(46)		(49)	(4%)
Cyst, NOS Inflammation, acute	T	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(1270)
Histiocytosis			1	(470)	1	(2%)
Plasmacytosis			1	(2%)	r	(11,10)
			1	N - 191		

### TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN<br/>THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	VEHIC	LE CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)	···			<u></u>		
#Mediastinal lymph node	(45)	1	(46)		(49)	
Inflammation, acute	(10)			(2%)	(10)	
Plasmacytosis				(2%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid				(2%)		
#Mesenteric lymph node	(45)		(46)	(1,0)	(49)	
Hemosiderosis	(10)		(10)			(2%)
#Liver	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis	(,			(2%)		(2%)
#Peyers patch	(47)		(50)	(=,0)	(47)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(,			(2%)	(1)	
#Adrenal	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(49)	
Hematopoiesis	(00)		(00)		· /	(2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congenital vascular malformation						(2%)
#Hepatic lymph node	(45)		(46)		(49)	
Lymphangiectasis	• •			(2%)		
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Thrombosis, NOS	(20)			(2%)	()	
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Endocarditis, bacterial	(00)			(2%)	(10)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)		(6%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	-	(=,,,,	•	(2.12)	-	(2%)
#Myocardium	(50)		(50)		(49)	(+)
Inflammation, acute/chronic			1	(2%)		(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	10	(20%)	20	(40%)	13	(27%)
Inflammation, chronic focal						(2%)
Fibrosis						(2%)
Calcification, focal			1	(2%)	-	
#Endocardium	(50)		(50)	(=)	(49)	
Hemosiderosis	(00)		(00)			(2%)
*Pulmonary artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2,0)
Calcification, NOS		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
*Hepatic vein	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
#Liver	(49)		(50)	(2,0)	(50)	
Thrombus, organized	(40)			(2%)	(00)	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*Palate	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, granulomatous			1	(2%)		
*Tongue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, atypical						(2%)
#Salivary gland	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic				(2%)		
#Parotid gland	(49)		(50)	-	(49)	
Metaplasia, squamous	,			(2%)		
#Liver	(49)		(50)	-	(50)	
Hernia, NOS		(2%)		(10%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic focal			2	(4%)		
Inflammation, granulomatous		(4%)				
Granuloma, NOS		(14%)				
	1	(2%)				
Fibrosis, focal			1	(2%)		
Fibrosis, focal Cholangiofibrosis			+	(= )		
			•	(2,0)	1	(2%)
Cholangiofibrosis Necrosis, NOS	3	(6%)		(6%)		
Cholangiofibrosis	3	(6%)			1	(2%) (2%) (2%)

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Liver (Continued)	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Eosinophilic cyto change	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Clear cell change					1	(2%)
Angiectasis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Portal tract	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate		(6%)				
#Liver/centrilobular	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)				(2%)
Degeneration, NOS		(4.00)	•	(1.00)		(4%)
Necrosis, NOS	2	(4%)		(4%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, hemorrhagic	1	(90)	1	(2%)		
Metamorphosis, fatty		(2%)	(50)		(50)	
#Liver/periportal	(49)		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate				(2%)	9	(10)
Metamorphosis, fatty	(49)		(50)	(270)	(50)	(4%)
#Liver/hepatocytes Congestion, NOS	(49)		(50)		,	(2%)
#Bile duct	(49)		(50)		(50)	(470)
Cyst, NOS	(43)			(2%)	(00)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	90	(41%)		(28%)	19	(24%)
#Pancreatic acinus	(50)	(41,20)	(50)	(20 %)	(48)	(24.0)
Atrophy, NOS		(16%)		(20%)		(8%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	•	(20,0)		(2%)	-	(0,0)
#Esophagus	(49)		(50)	(2)	(49)	
Hyperplasia, atypical	,		•		1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial			7	(14%)		(8%)
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst				(4%)	1	(2%)
Ulcer, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute focal			2	(4%)		
Inflammation, acute/chronic			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial			29	(58%)	24	(49%)
#Small intestine	(47)		(50)		(47)	
Inflammation, acute necrotizing			1	(2%)		
#Peyer's patch	(47)		(50)		(47)	
Hyperplasia, NOS		(2%)				
#Duodenal mucosa	(47)		(50)		(47)	
Necrosis, NOS			1	(2%)		(15%)
Necrosis, focal					1	(2%)
RINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)	(07)	(50)	(0.07)	(49)	
Hydronephrosis		(2%)	1	(2%)		
Pyelonephritis, acute/chronic		(2%)	0	(169)	-	(100)
Nephropathy Nephropia NOS	3	(6%)		(16%) (2%)	5	(10%)
Nephrosis, NOS #Kidney/cortex	(50)		(50)	(2%)	(49)	
Cyst, NOS	(00)			(2%)	(33)	
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(50)	(2.6)	(49)	
Dilatation, NOS	(00)			(6%)	(	
Cyst, NOS				(4%)		
Calcification, focal				(4%)		
Pigmentation, NOS				(2%)		
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Calcification, NOS		(2%)				
Calcification, focal		(2%)			1	(2%)
#Urinary bladder	(50)		(46)		(47)	
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)		

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM		·				· <u></u>
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(48)		(49)	
Cyst, NOS		(16%)		(25%)		(6%)
Hemorrhagic cyst	1	(2%)				
Hemosiderosis		• • • •			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
Hyperplasia, chromophobe cell		(2%)		(6%)	3	(6%)
Angiectasis		(2%)		(10%)	-	
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Degeneration, lipoid	3	(6%)		(6%)	2	(4%)
Infarct, acute		<b>x</b> = · · · <b>y</b>	1	(2%)		
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
#Adrenal medulla	(50)	. ,	(50)		(49)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, focal	2	(4%)		(4%)		
#Thyroid	(49)	/	(49)		(47)	
Ultimobranchial cyst	(20)		(30)			(9%)
Follicular cyst, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(2%)
Hyperplasia, C-cell		(12%)		(12%)		(4%)
Hyperplasia, follicular cell	Ū	(22,0)		(2%)		(2%)
#Pancreatic islets	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(48)	(4 /0)
Hyperplasia, NOS	(00)			(2%)	(40)	
		····	•	(2,0)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation/ducts		(28%)		(38%)		(2%)
Galactocele		(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)				
*Mammary lobule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	8	(16%)	11	(22%)		(2%)
*Clitoral gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, epithelial	1	(2%)				
#Uterus	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Hydrometra	2	(4%)				
Abscess, NOS		,	1	(2%)		
#Cervix uteri	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Cyst, NOS		(2%)	·/			
#Uterus/endometrium	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Cyst, NOS				(4%)		(4%)
Inflammation, acute focal				(2%)	_	
Hyperplasia, cystic				(4%)		
#Ovary/parovarian	(50)		(50)	· - · • /	(49)	
Inflammation, granulomatous	(00)			(2%)		(8%)
			*			(
Inflammation, pyogranulomatous Necrosis, fat			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Ovary	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Cyst, NOS		(10%)		(10%)		(6%)
VERVOUS SYSTEM	(50)				180	
#Brain	(50)		(50)	(00)	(50)	(00)
Compression, NOS				(2%)	1	(2%)
Spongiosis				(2%)	-	
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		(2%)
Infarct, NOS					1	(2%)
Calcification, focal	1	(2%)				

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS					<u></u>	<u></u>
*Eye	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)		(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute				(2%)		(6%)
Inflammation, chronic			_	()		(2%)
Cataract	23	(46%)	28	(56%)		(24%)
*Eye/sclera	(50)		(50)	(	(50)	()
Calcification, focal		(4%)		(2%)	,	
*Eye/cornea	(50)	(=)	(50)	(=,,	(50)	
Hematoma, NOS	(00)		(00)			(2%)
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)	-	(2/0)
Inflammation, acute/chronic				(2%)	1	(2%)
Calcification, NOS				(2%)	•	(2,0)
Calcification, focal				(2%)		
Vascularization			*	(2,0)	1	(2%)
Metaplasia, squamous						(2%)
*Eye/retina	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Atrophy, NOS		(48%)		(62%)		(38%)
*Eye/lacrimal gland	(50)	. 10 /07	(50)		(50)	
Porphyrin	(00)		(00)		• • •	(2%)
*Nasolacrimal duct	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2.10)
Inflammation, suppurative	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
Hyperkeratosis				(2%)		
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
Hyperplasia, NOS				(2%)		
Metaplasia, squamous				(2%)		
				(2,0)		
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Skeletal muscle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic			1	(2%)		
*Orbicularis oculi muscle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Calcification, focal			1	(2%)		
BODY CAVITIES	(20)		(EA)		(50)	
*Mediastinum	(50)	(90)	(50)		(50)	
Vegetable foreign body Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute Inflammation, acute fibrinous	1	(2%)		(2%) (2%)		
Inflammation, acute for mous	1	(470)	1	4701	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, mesothelial						(2%) (2%)
*Pleura	(50)		(50)		(50)	(4 10)
Inflammation, acute fibrinous	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
Abscess, NOS				(2%) (2%)		
Inflammation, chronic				(2%)		
Inflammation, pyogranulomatous	(20)			(2%)	(EO)	
*Pericardium	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	
Abscess, NOS				(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic	·= - ·			(2%)		
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)	(0~)	(50)	
Inflammation, granulomatous			1	(2%)	-	
Necrosis, fat					1	(2%)

	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
Adipose tissue			
Inflammation, granulomatous		1	
Inflammation, granulomatous focal	3	1	
Necrosis, focal	1		
Necrosis, fat	1		

Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

### **APPENDIX D**

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

### TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THETWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

v	EHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICAL	LY 50		50		49	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst	1	(2%)				
Ulcer, NOS		(2%)				
Inflammation, acute		(2%)				
Inflammation, granulomatous		(4%)	(50)		(20)	
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	(00)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, calcified granulomatous	1	(2%)				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
*Nasal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(4%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Abscess, NOS		(2%)	-			
#Trachea	(48)		(46)		(46)	
Metaplasia, squamous				(2%)		
#Lung	(49)		(50)		(49)	(00)
Atelectasis				(00)	1	(2%)
Congestion, NOS	9	(10)		(2%)		(00)
Hemorrhage Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate		(4%) (2%)		(4%) (2%)	4	(8%)
Pneumonia, aspiration	1	(270)	1	(270)	1	(2%)
Bronchopneumonia, acute			9	(4%)		(2%)
Inflammation, acute focal				(2%)		(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	3	(6%)		(2%)	-	(1/0)
Pigmentation, NOS	Ū	(0,0)		(2%)		
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)	-	(=)		
Hyperplasia, adenomatous		(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Leukemoid reaction	<b>x</b> <i>y</i>	(4%)	• •	(18%)	4	(8%)
#Bone marrow	(48)		(50)		(49)	
Hypoplasia, NOS			3	(6%)	4	(8%)
Hyperplasia, granulocytic	1	(2%)	13	(26%)		(18%)
Hyperplasia, neutrophilic						(2%)
#Spleen	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Amyloidosis		( <b>a</b> - 1 - 1		(2%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	4	(8%)	2	(4%)		(2%)
Hematopoiesis		(2%)		(26%)		(33%)
#Splenic follicles	(49)		(50)		(49)	(
Atrophy, NOS	(40)		(07)		-	(4%)
#Lymph node Hematopoiesis	(48)		(37)		(35)	(3%)
#Mandibular lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	(370)
Inflammation, acute/chronic	(40)		(07)			(3%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(3%)	1	
#Thoracic lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	
Plasmacytosis	()		(2.7			(3%)
#Mediastinal lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	
Inflammation, acute			. ,		1	(3%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic						(3%)
Plasmacytosis			1	(3%)	1	(3%)
<b>#Pancreatic lymph node</b>	(48)		(37)		(35)	
Plasmacytosis	· /			(3%)		

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Mesenteric lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	
Congestion, NOS			(01)			(3%)
Hemorrhage	10	(21%)	4	(11%)		(6%)
Inflammation, acute		(2%)		(5%)	-	(3%)
Multinucleate giant cell	-			(3%)	-	(0,0)
Angiectasis	1	(2%)		(3%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(2%)	-	(0,0)		
Hematopoiesis		(2%)	4	(11%)	2	(6%)
#Renal lymph node	(48)		(37)	(11/2)	(35)	(0.0)
Plasmacytosis	(-0)			(3%)	(00)	
#Inguinal lymph node	(48)		(37)	(0.10)	(35)	
Hemorrhage		(2%)	(01)		(00)	
*Nasal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mastocytosis	,	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
#Lung	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Lung Leukemoid reaction	(49)			(2%)	(43)	
#Liver	(49)		(50)	(470)	(49)	
	(49)		(50)			(4%)
Hematopoiesis	(40)		(50)			(4%)
#Kidney	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Mastocytosis		(2%)			(10)	
#Adrenal/capsule	(49)		(49)	(0.00)	(49)	
Hematopoiesis	(***			(2%)		
#Thymus	(39)		(29)		(30)	
Cholesterol deposit					1	(3%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(3%)				
#Thymic lymphocytes	(39)		(29)		(30)	
Necrosis, NOS			1	(3%)	3	(10%)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM						
	(48)		(37)		(35)	
#Lymph node			(37)		(33)	
Thrombosis, NOS		(2%)				
Perivasculitis		(2%)			(05)	
#Mesenteric lymph node	(48)		(37)		(35)	(0.77)
Lymphangiectasis			•	(0		(3%)
Thrombosis, NOS				(8%)		(3%)
#Lung	(49)		(50)	(	(49)	
Thrombus, organized				(2%)		
#Heart	(49)		(50)	(07)	(49)	
Thrombosis, NOS				(2%)		
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)		(0.4)
Inflammation, acute necrotizing					1	(2%)
Periarteritis	2	(4%)				
Calcification, focal				(4%)		(2%)
#Heart/atrium	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Thrombosis, NOS	2	(4%)				
#Liver	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Perivasculitis				(2%)		
#Hepatic sinusoid	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Dilatation, NOS						(2%)
Thrombosis, NOS	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		(2%)
#Pancreas	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Thrombosis, NOS	- /					(2%)
#Kidney	(49)		(50)		(49)	
	·-•/		/			(2%)

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
IGESTIVE SYSTEM					····	<del></del>
*Oral cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	· · · ·	(6%)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic		(2%)				
*Tooth	(50)	(270)	(50)		(50)	
Abscess, NOS	(,	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
*Pulp of tooth	(50)	(2.10)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
#Liver	(49)		(50)	(2,10)	(49)	
Inflammation, acute fibrinous	(40)		(00)			(2%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic						(2%)
Necrosis, NOS						(2%)
Necrosis, focal	9	(4%)	14	(28%)		(12%)
Infarct, NOS	-	(2%)	14	(20,0)	v	(12.0)
Infarct, healed		(2%)				
Metamorphosis, fatty		(6%)	1	(2%)	5	(10%)
Cytologic alteration, NOS	•	(0.07)	-	(=,		(2%)
Angiectasis			2	(4%)		(2%)
#Hepatic capsule	(49)		(50)	()	(49)	<b>L</b> = - <b>1</b> - <b>1</b>
Inflammation, suppurative	(10)		(00)		()	(2%)
#Liver/centrilobular	(49)		(50)		(49)	(=)
Congestion, NOS		(2%)	(00)		(10)	
Necrosis, NOS	-	(2,0)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization			-	(2,0)		(2%)
#Liver/hepatocytes	(49)		(50)		(49)	(1,0)
Multinucleate giant cell		(2%)	(00)			(4%)
Hypertrophy, focal	•	(2,0)	1	(2%)	-	(1,0)
*Gallbladder	(50)		(50)	(=,0)	(50)	
Inflammation, acute	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
#Pancreas	(49)		(50)	(=,;;)	(49)	
Inflammation, acute fibrinous				(2%)	(	
Abscess, NOS				(2%)		
Inflammation, acute/chronic			_	(/	1	(2%)
<b>#</b> Pancreatic acinus	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Atrophy, NOS	· ·	(10%)		(6%)		(8%)
<b>#Pancreas/interstitial tissue</b>	(49)	(	(50)	(=,	(49)	(****
Inflammation, acute	(-•)		(00)		· · · /	(2%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic						(2%)
*Esophageal lumen	(50)		(50)		(50)	(= /0/
Inflammation, acute			(00)		( )	(2%)
#Esophagus muscularis propria	(49)		(49)		(49)	(2,0)
Degeneration, NOS					1	(2%)
#Stomach	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Ulcer, acute					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, atypical	1	(2%)				
#Gastric muscularis	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Degeneration, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Forestomach	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Inflammation, active chronic		(4%)				
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
Adhesion, NOS			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial	2	(4%)		(10%)	3	(6%)
Hyperkeratosis				(2%)		
#Peyer's patch	(46)		(43)		(47)	
Hyperplasia, NOS		(2%)				
#Large intestine	(46)		(48)		(48)	
Edema, NOS						(2%)
#Colon	(46)	(00)	(48)		(48)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
JRINARY SYSTEM		······································				<u></u>
#Kidney	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Hydronephrosis	(,			(2%)		(2%)
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate	6	(12%)		(8%)		(2%)
Pyelonephritis, acute		(2%)				
Nephrosis, NOS		. ,			1	(2%)
Amyloidosis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Calcification, focal			3	(6%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, tubular cell				(		(2%)
Metaplasia, osseous	1	(2%)				,
#Kidney/capsule	(49)	(=,	(50)		(49)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	(,		(00)			(4%)
#Kidney/cortex	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Hemorrhage	, ,	(2%)	(00)		(40)	
Scar		(2%)				
#Kidney/tubule		(210)	(50)		(40)	
	(49)			(99)	(49)	(2%)
Dilatation, NOS			1	(2%)		(2%) (2%)
Necrosis, NOS Regeneration, NOS		(20)			1	(270)
		(2%)	(50)		(40)	
#Kidney/pelvis	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	/ FAL		(			(2%)
*Ureter	(50)		(50)		(50)	(00)
Inflammation, acute focal						(2%)
#Urinary bladder	(49)		(50)		(47)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate		(2%)				
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute fibrinous					1	(2%)
#Urinary bladder/serosa	(49)		(50)		(47)	
Calcification, focal	1	(2%)				
<ul> <li>#Anterior pituitary Cyst, NOS</li> <li>#Adrenal/capsule Inflammation, acute</li> <li>Inflammation, acute/chronic</li> <li>Inflammation, chronic</li> <li>Hyperplasia, NOS</li> <li>#Adrenal cortex</li> <li>Hypertrophy, focal</li> <li>Hyperplasia, focal</li> <li>#Adrenal medulla</li> <li>Hyperplasia, focal</li> <li>#Periadrenal tissue</li> <li>Inflammation, acute</li> <li>Inflammation, acute</li> <li>Inflammation, chronic</li> <li>#Thyroid</li> <li>Follicular cyst, NOS</li> <li>Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate</li> </ul>	(49) 2 (49) 2 (49) 1 (49) (49) 1	(4%) (4%) (4%) (4%) (2%) (2%)	1 (49) (49) (49) 1 1 (48) 10	(2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (21%)	2 (49) (49) (49) (49) (45)	(2%) (4%) (2%) (4%)
Amyloidosis		(90)	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, follicular cell	1	(2%)				
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Prepuce	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Abscess, NOS				(2%)		
*Preputial gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS				(2%)		
Cystic ducts		(2%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Abassa MAS	9	(4%)				
Abscess, NOS Inflammation, acute/chronic	4	(470)		(2%)		

	VEHIC	LE CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)				<u> </u>		
#Prostate	(48)		(49)		(48)	
Inflammation, acute		(2%)		(2%)		(2%)
Inflammation, acute fibrinous	-	(2.07)	-	(=)		(2%)
Granuloma, NOS						(2%)
*Seminal vesicle	(50)		(50)		(50)	(= ,• ,
Hypertrophy, NOS	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
#Testis	(49)		(50)	(= / )	(49)	
Necrosis, fat		(2%)	(2-2)		(	
Calcification, focal		(16%)	6	(12%)	2	(4%)
Atrophy, NOS	-			(2%)		(18%)
Hyperplasia, interstitial cell	1	(2%)	-	(2.17)	•	(
#Testis/tubule	(49)	(2,0)	(50)		(49)	
Calcification, focal	(10)		(00)			(2%)
*Epididymis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Inflammation, acute fibrinous		(2.0)			1	(2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain	(49)		(50)		(49)	
Hemorrhage	(43)			(4%)		(2%)
Calcification, focal	23	(47%)		(46%)		(43%)
				( <b>40</b> %)		(40 %)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*Eye	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cataract	1	(2%)				
*Eye/cornea	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
Metaplasia, squamous	1	(2%)				
*Eyelid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Edema, NOS	1	(2%)				
*Eye/lacrimal gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, granulomatous	1	(2%)				
*Nasolacrimal duct	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial					1	(2%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Maxilla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Abscess, NOS		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
*Mandible	(50)	(= 10)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic suppurative	(00)		(00)			(2%)
*Skeletal muscle	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2,0)
Inflammation, chronic suppurative	(00)		(00)			(2%)
BODY CAVITIES		<b>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </b>				
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage			(00)			(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative						(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative						(276) (4%)
*Peritoneum	(50)		(50)			(4170)
	(00)		(50)		(50)	(90)
Inflammation, acute/chronic	(20)		(20)			(2%)
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)		(50)	(04)
Inflammation, acute/chronic						(2%)
Necrosis, fat					1	(2%)

	VEHICLE CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS		······································	
*Multiple organs Calcification, focal	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
Adipose tissue		1 (270)	
Inflammation, acute necrotizing		1	
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
No lesion reported	2		
Auto/necropsy/histo perf	$\overline{1}$		
Auto/necropsy/no histo			1

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

v	<b>EHICLE</b>	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICAL	LY 50		50		49	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	•••••					
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperkeratosis			1	(2%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
*Nasal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(2%)		(6%)	5	(10%)
Infection, fungal		(2%)		(2%)	(40)	
#Lung	(50)	(2%)	(49)		(48)	
Aspiration, NOS Congestion, NOS	1	(470)			1	(2%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	9	(4%)		(2%) (2%)
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate		(2%)	_	(4%)	I	(2.10)
Inflammation, interstitial	I	(~ <i>n</i> )	4	(*/0)	1	(2%)
Pneumonia, aspiration			1	(2%)		(4%)
Bronchopneumonia, acute				(4%)		(13%)
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	_	(,		(2%)
Inflammation, granulomatous	-	,				(2%)
Hyperplasia, adenomatous					1	(2%)
#Lung/alveoli	(50)		(49)		(48)	
Histiocytosis					1	(2%)
Leukemoid reaction #Bone marrow Hypoplasia, NOS Hyperplasia, granulocytic	(50)	(4%) (4%)	(50) 3	(20%) (6%) (24%)	(48) 4	(8%) (8%) (29%)
#Spleen	(50)	(1,0)	(49)	(21,0)	(47)	(20 %)
Necrosis, focal		(2%)	(10)			(2%)
Infarct, NOS	_					(2%)
Amyloidosis					1	(2%)
Depletion, lymphoid		(2%)	_			
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	-	(10%)		(10%)		(4%)
Hematopoiesis		(6%)		(24%)		(26%)
#Splenic capsule	(50)		(49)		(47)	(2%)
Inflammation, acute #Lymph node	(50)		(42)		(38)	(470)
Angiectasis		(2%)	(442)		(00)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(2%)				
#Mandibular lymph node	(50)	(- <b>1</b> 0)	(42)		(38)	
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell			· ·-·			(3%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)				
#Thoracic lymph node	(50)		(42)		(38)	
Cholesterol deposit				(2%)		
Hematopoiesis				(2%)	(00)	
#Mediastinal lymph node	(50)		(42)	(90)	(38)	
Plasmacytosis Hyperplasia, lymphoid				(2%) (2%)		
#Hepatic lymph node	(50)		(42)	(470)	(38)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(2%)	(442)		(00)	
#Pancreatic lymph node	(50)	(- 10)	(42)		(38)	
Infarct, NOS	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
Russell body				(2%)		
Plasmacytosis				(2%)		

### TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THETWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIGI	h dose
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)	<u></u>		<u></u>			
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)		(42)		(38)	
Congestion, NOS	()				1	(3%)
Hemorrhage			5	(12%)	1	(3%)
Hematoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute			2	(5%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)			1	(3%)
Hematopoiesis			1	(2%)		
#Lung	(50)		(49)		(48)	
Leukemoid reaction						(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Hematopoiesis		(2%)		(6%)		(6%)
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Plasmacytosis				(2%)	( <b>-</b> -)	
#Thymus	(41)	(0~)	(34)		(27)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				(191)
Cholesterol deposit	-				1	(4%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(5%)	/ <b>A</b> •			
#Thymic lymphocytes	(41)		(34)		(27)	(7.0)
Necrosis, NOS			2	(6%)	Z	(7%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Mediastinal lymph node	(50)		(42)		(38)	
Lymphangiectasis	1	(2%)				
#Pancreatic lymph node	(50)		(42)		(38)	
Thrombosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Lung	(50)		(49)		(48)	
Thrombosis, NOS					1	(2%)
Perivasculitis			1	(2%)		
#Heart	(50)		(49)		(48)	
Thrombosis, NOS	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic			1	(2%)		
Calcification, focal	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
*Blood vessel	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Embolus, septic						(2%)
*Artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Calcification, NOS						(2%)
*Renal vein	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS	/					(2%)
*Superior mesentric vein	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS				(2%)	(	
#Liver	(50)		(50)	(0.4)	(48)	(
Thrombosis, NOS				(2%)		(6%)
#Hepatic sinusoid	(50)		(50)	(0~)	(48)	
Thrombosis, NOS				(2%)		
#Pancreas	(50)		(49)		(47)	
Thrombosis, NOS				(2%)		
#Ovary	(49)		(49)		(47)	(00)
Thrombosis, NOS				(2%)	-	(6%)
#Adrenal	(49)	(0.00)	(50)		(47)	
Thrombosis, NOS	1	(2%)				

### TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
IGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Cyst, NOS	(00)		(00)		· /	(2%)
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)			-	(2,0)
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate		(6%)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)	•	(2,0)		
Inflammation, acute necrotizing	-	(2%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, granulomatous focal	-					(2%)
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)	4	(8%)		(15%)
Infarct, NOS		(2%)		(4%)		(2%)
Metamorphosis, fatty		(4%)	-	(4,0)	•	(2,0)
Angiectasis	-	(1,0)	1	(2%)		
#Portal tract	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(48)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate		(2%)		(2%)	(40)	
#Liver/centrilobular	(50)	(2,0)	(50)	(2,0)	(48)	
Degeneration, NOS	(00)		(,	(2%)	(10)	
#Liver/hepatocytes	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(48)	
Degeneration, NOS		(2%)	(00)		(10)	
*Gallbladder	(50)	(1,0)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
#Bile duct	(50)	(2,0)	(50)		(48)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	(00)			(4%)	(10)	
#Pancreas	(50)		(49)	(1)	(47)	
Cystic ducts		(2%)	(10)		(41)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	-	(2,0)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, granulomatous focal			•		1	(2%)
#Pancreatic acinus	(50)		(49)		(47)	(4,0)
Atrophy, NOS		(6%)	()	(2%)	,	(6%)
Hyperplasia, focal		(0.0)	-	(2.0)		(2%)
#Pancreas/interstitial tissue	(50)		(49)		(47)	
Inflammation, acute		(2%)	<u> </u>		()	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	-				1	(2%)
#Peripancreatic tissue	(50)		(49)		(47)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	(00)		(		,	(2%)
#Stomach	(50)		(50)		(48)	(= ); )
Ulcer, acute	(00)		(00)		,	(2%)
#Gastric mucosa	(50)		(50)		(48)	(= /• /
Cyst, NOS		(2%)	(00)		(10)	
#Forestomach	(50)	(2,70)	(50)		(48)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic		(2%)	(00)		(40)	
Inflammation, chronic		(2%)				
Adhesion, NOS	-	(= ,0)	1	(2%)		
Atypia, NOS				(2%)		
Russell body				(2%)		
Hyperplasia, atypical			-	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	3	(6%)		(2%)		(4%)
Hyperkeratosis	Ŭ		•			(2%)
#Small intestinal crypt of Lieberkuhn	(50)		(43)		(42)	
Abscess, NOS	/	(2%)	(		()	
#Duodenal mucosa	(50)		(43)		(42)	
Necrosis, NOS	(00)		,			(2%)

### TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
JRINARY SYSTEM		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u>,<del>.</del></u>
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Hydronephrosis		(2%)		(4%)		(4%)
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate		(10%)		(4%)	_	( = /
Pyelonephritis, acute	÷	(2017)		(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic				(2%)	-	
Glomerulonephritis, chronic				(2%)		
Inflammation, granulomatous focal					1	(2%)
Nephrosis, NOS	1	(2%)				,
Infarct, NOS			1	(2%)		
Infarct, healed				(2%)		
Calcification, focal				(2%)	2	(4%)
Metaplasia, osseous	2	(4%)	-	(2.0)	-	(10)
#Renal papilla	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Necrosis, focal	(00)		(00)			(2%)
#Kidney/glomerulus	(50)		(50)		(48)	(2 /0)
Amyloidosis		(2%)	(00)			(2%)
#Kidney/tubule	(50)	(2,0)	(50)		(48)	(210)
Pigmentation, NOS	/	(2%)	(00)		(40)	
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	1	(470)			T	(2%)
	•	(90)	1	(2%)	1	(270)
Atrophy, focal		(2%)		(270)	/445	
#Urinary bladder	(49)	(00)	(47)	(40)	(41)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate	4	(8%)	Z	(4%)		
NDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Anterior pituitary	(49)		(49)		(47)	
Cyst, NOS		(2%)		(2%)		
Congestion, NOS		(2%)	-	(,		
Hyperplasia, NOS		(14%)	2	(4%)		
Hyperplasia, focal		(2%)	-	(1,0)		
Angiectasis		(2%)				
#Adrenal	(49)	(270)	(50)		(47)	
Necrosis, NOS	(43)		1,	(6%)		(9%)
#Adrenal/capsule	(49)		(50)	(0%)	(47)	(9%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic	(40)			(2%)		(2%)
Inflammation, chronic				(2%)	1	(470)
		(90)	1	(270)		
Hyperplasia, NOS		(2%)	(50)		(47)	
#Adrenal cortex	(49)		(50)		(47)	
Congestion, NOS		(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic		(2%)				
Degeneration, lipoid		(4%)				
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	1	(2%)			_	
Cytologic alteration, NOS				(2%)		(11%)
#Periadrenal tissue	(49)		(50)		(47)	
Steatitis						(2%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(43)		(45)	
Ultimobranchial cyst						(2%)
Follicular cyst, NOS		(2%)		(9%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, follicular cell		(2%)		(5%)		
#Parathyroid	(30)		(30)		(27)	
Cyst, NOS					1	(4%)
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
		(10%)		(10%)		(8%)
Dilatation/ducts	5	(10%)		(10%)	4	(070)
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate				(2%)		
Inflammation, active chronic			1	(2%)	-	(0.0)
Hyperplasia, NOS		(2%)				(2%)
					(60)	
*Clitoral gland Dilatation/ducts	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2%)

## TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

	VEHICLE	CONTROL	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Uterus	(50)		(50)		(46)	
Hydrometra	2	(4%)	3	(6%)		
Inflammation, suppurative					1	(2%)
Necrosis, fat	1	(2%)				
Decidual alteration, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Uterus/endometrium	(50)		(50)		(46)	
Cyst, NOS		(8%)		(16%)		(17%)
Hyperplasia, cystic		(80%)		(48%)		(26%)
#Endometrial stroma	(50)		(50)		(46)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Fallopian tube	(50)		(50)		(46)	
Hyperplasia, NOS					1	(2%)
#Ovary/parovarian	(49)		(49)		(47)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate	1	(2%)				
#Ovary	(49)		(49)		(47)	
Cyst, NOS		(43%)		(33%)		(17%)
Hemorrhage				(2%)		(11%)
Hemorrhagic cyst						(2%)
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute/chronic			3	(6%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal			1	(2%)		
Necrosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
Calcification, focal	1	(2%)				
Melanin		(2%)				
Hyperplasia, stromal	-	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Angiectasis		(2%)		(2%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM		*****				
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Inflammation, chronic	(00)		·/	(2%)	(10)	
#Brain	(50)		(50)	(=)	(48)	
Hemorrhage	(/			(6%)	()	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate	1	(2%)				
Abscess, NOS	-		1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Cholesterol deposit	1	(2%)	-		-	
Calcification, focal		(56%)	24	(48%)	15	(31%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS None		, <del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>				
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM		·				
*Maxilla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic suppurative					,	(2%)
Fibrous osteodystrophy	1	(2%)			-	
*Sternum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrous osteodystrophy	43	(86%)		(42%)		(24%)
Osteosclerosis		(2%)				

### TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

	VEHICLE CONTI	ROL LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
BODY CAVITIES			
*Mediastinum	(50)	(50)	(50)
Vegetable foreign body			1 (2%)
Inflammation, suppurative			1 (2%)
*Pleura	(50)	(50)	(50)
Inflammation, suppurative			1 (2%)
*Parietal pleura	(50)	(50)	(50)
Inflammation, chronic	1 (2%)		
*Mesentery	(50)	(50)	(50)
Inflammation, acute necrotizing	1 (2%)		
Inflammation, acute/chronic		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*Multiple organs	(50)	(50)	(50)
Bacterial septicemia	1 (2%)		
Adipose tissue			
Inflammation, chronic	1		

### TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

### **APPENDIX E**

# ANALYSES OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

141

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10.5%	15.6%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/38 (11%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	97	0,0
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.410	P = 0.410	(e)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.574	P = 0.574	(e)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.037N	1 -0.014	(0)
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.00111	P = 0.339N	P=0.059N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.9%	8.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/38 (8%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	94	94	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.555	P = 0.555	(e)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.407 N	P = 0.407 N	(e)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.037 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.339N	P = 0.059N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Neuro	fibroma		
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	4/50 (8%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.1%	17.2%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/38 (13%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	94	94	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.269	P = 0.269	(e)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.482N	P = 0.482N	(e)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.014N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.370N	P=0.013N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Neurofib	roma, or Sarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.1%	17.2%	7.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/38 (13%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	94	94	70
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.080	P = 0.269	P = 0.268
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.551 N	P = 0.482N	P = 0.982
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.042N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	0,03411	P=0.370N	P=0.056N
Nasal Cavity: Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/47 (0%)	12/46 (26%)	(f) 24/32 (75%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	36.7%	93.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		70	58
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.004	P = 0.071	P==0.235
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.001	P<0.001
asal Cavity: Squamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/47 (0%)	3/46 (7%)	0/32 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	15.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0 (0%)
Week of First Observation		93	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.027	P = 0.027	(e)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.324	P = 0.324	(e)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.541		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.117	(e)

## TABLE E1. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDYOF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE
	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Nasal Cavity: Carcinoma or Squamous C	ell Carcinoma	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Overall Rates (a)	0/47 (0%)	15/46 (33%)	24/32 (75%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	46.3%	93.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	0,00 (0,0)	70	58
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.001	P = 0.021	P = 0.235
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001	1 = 0.021	1 = 0:200
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 < 0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Nasal Cavity: Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/47 (0%)	(g) 8/46 (17%)	4/32 (13%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	46.8%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	3/9 (33%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	0.00 (0.27	79	45
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	45 P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.005	P = 0.003	P = 0.572
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.028	<b>B-0.002</b>	D-0.094
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.003	P=0.024
Nasal Cavity: Adenocarcinoma, Carcinon			00/00/000
Overall Rates (a)	0/47 (0%)	23/46 (50%)	28/32 (88%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	71.8%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	3/9 (33%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		70	45
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P = 0.117
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.001	P<0.001
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell	Leukemia		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	6/50 (12%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.6%	31.8%	9.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/38 (5%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	102	78	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	P = 0.011	P = 0.222
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.612N	P = 0.408	P = 0.982
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.274N	1 -0.400	1 - 0.002
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.2/111	P = 0.243	P=0.309N
Congue: Squamous Cell Carcinoma	0/50 (04)	2/60 (60)	2/50 (4%)
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	7.5%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		71	41
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.006	P = 0.100	P = 0.030
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.527	P = 0.748	P = 0.718
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.202		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.121	P = 0.247
Congue: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Ca			A #A / A ~ S
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	7.5%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		71	41
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P=0.100	P=0.005
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.449	P = 0.748	P = 0.661
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.101		

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Oral Cavity: Squamous Cell Carcinoma	·	······································	₩
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	21.9%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		71	41
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.007	P = 0.030
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.209	P = 0.133	P = 0.718
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.238	1 = 0.155	1 -0.110
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.200	P = 0.028	P = 0.247
Dral Cavity: Squamous Cell Papilloma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	21.9%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	0/30 (0%)		
	D ~0 001	71 R=0.007	41 R=0.001
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.007	P = 0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.153	P = 0.133	P = 0.614
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.070	<b>B</b> 0.000	B 4450
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.028	P = 0.059
Sophagus: Squamous Cell Papilloma	0/50 (004)	9/50 / 404	2/10 (604)
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	3/49 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	16.7%	20.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		99	61
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.043	P = 0.011
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.111	P = 0.162	P=0.829
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.079		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.247	P=0.117
Sophagus: Squamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	28.1%	4.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	2/9 (22%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		90	66
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.001	P = 0.004	P=0.366
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.054	P = 0.025	P = 0.981
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.383		-
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.059	P = 0.495
Sophagus: Squamous Cell Papilloma or (	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	6/50 (12%)	4/49 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	42.2%	24.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	3/9 (33%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		90	61
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P=0.004
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.009	P = 0.003	P = 0.763
	P = 0.009 P = 0.076	1 - 0.000	1 - 0.100
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.070	P=0.013	P=0.056
'orestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma			
	0/40 (0%)	7/50 (1494)	0/50 (0%)
Overall Rates (a)	0/49 (0%)	7/50 (14%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	52.2%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	4/9 (44%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		90	
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	(h)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.001	P<0.001	( <b>h</b> )
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.585N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.007	(h)

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/49 (0%)	7/50 (14%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	30.4%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		82	
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	(h)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.219	P=0.086	(h)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.585N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.007	( <b>h</b> )
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma o	or Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	0/49 (0%)	14/50 (28%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	70.1%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	5/9 (56%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		82	
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	(h)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	(h)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.556N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.001	(h)
Pancreas: Acinar Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	8/50 (16%)	7/50 (14%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	20.4%	30.5%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	7/38 (18%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	97	89	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.076	P=0.076	(e)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.310N	P=0.440N	(e)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.006N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500N	P = 0.003N
Pituitary Gland: Chromophobe Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	17/50 (34%)	8/50 (16%)	1/47 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	40.3%	35.9%	3.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	13/38 (34%)	2/9 (22%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	95	77	60
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.178	P=0.313	P = 0.434
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.026N	P = 0.068N	P=0.979
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.032N	P<0.001N
Pituitary Gland: Chromophobe Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	17/50 (34%)	9/50 (18%)	1/47 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	40.3%	39.1%	3.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	13/38 (34%)	2/9 (22%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	95 D=0.110	77	60 D - 0 404
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.113	P = 0.209	P = 0.434
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.038N	P = 0.097N	P=0.979
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P<0.001N	P=0.055N	P<0.001N
Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates (a)	11/50 (994)	6/50 (1994)	3/50 (69)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11/50 (22%) 27.4%	6/50 (12%)	3/50 (6%) 100.0%
•		42.8%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c) Weak of First Observation	9/38 (24%) 97	3/9 (33%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	97	94 P=0.145	54 P=0.005
Life Table Tests (d)			
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.003 P = 0.200		
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.003 P = 0.290 P = 0.014N	P = 0.605	P = 0.003 P = 0.910

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma or I	Malignant Pheochromocvt	oma	
Overall Rates (a)	13/50 (26%)	7/50 (14%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	32.4%	52.3%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	11/38 (29%)	4/9 (44%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	97	94	54
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	P = 0.101	P = 0.005
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.219	P = 0.493	P = 0.910
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.219 P = 0.004N	r = 0.495	F = 0.310
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.00414	P = 0.105N	P = 0.006 N
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	1/48 (2%)	0/47 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.4%	2.6%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/38 (5%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	95	84	0,0
	P = 0.632N	P = 0.642N	(a)
Life Table Tests (d)			(e) (a)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.181N	P = 0.204N	(e)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.067 N	<b>D</b>	B 0 10037
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.324N	P = 0.133N
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma or Carc			
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	3/48 (6%)	0/47 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.2%	18.8%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/38 (8%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	95	84	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.373	P = 0.366	(e)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.323N	P = 0.357N	(e)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.027 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.381 N	P = 0.033N
Pancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.7%	6.3%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/38 (11%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	102	99	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.586N	P = 0.586N	(e)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.386 N P = 0.236 N	P = 0.586 N P = 0.236 N	(e) (e)
		r - 0.23011	(e)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.011N	D-0 109M	D-0 099N
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.102N	P = 0.028N
Mammary Gland: Adenoma or Fibroade		9/60 (177)	0/50 (07)
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.9%	13.7%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/38 (8%)	1/9 (11%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	90	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.369	P = 0.369	(e)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.681	P = 0.637	(e)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.082N		_
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500N	P = 0.121N
estis: Interstitial Cell Tumor			
Overall Rates (a)	40/49 (82%)	41/50 (82%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	90.9%	100.0%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	34/38 (89%)	9/9 (100%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	94	77	66
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.390N	P = 0.188	P = 0.712
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.584	

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Preputial Gland: Adenoma, Carcinoma	, or Squamous Cell Carcin	oma	······
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.6%	4.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/38 (5%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	103	94	
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.717	P = 0.717	(e)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.349N	P=0.349N	(e)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.060 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.309 N	P=0.121N
ymbal Gland: Adenoma, Carcinoma,	or Squamous Cell Carcinon	12	
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.4%	9.9%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	0/9 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	48	79	
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.527	P = 0.346	P = 0.508N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.075N	P = 0.334N	P = 0.282N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.202N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.247N

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

(e) No P value could be determined because all animals in the 200 mg/kg group died before the first tumor was observed in the vehicle control group.

(f) A squamous cell papilloma was also observed in one of these animals.

(g) An adenoma was also observed in one of these animals.

(h) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the 200 mg/kg and vehicle control groups.

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Sarco	ma		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/43 (5%)	0/11 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	84		
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.287N	P = 0.307 N	P=0.915N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.084N	P = 0.125N	P = 0.252N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.037 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.121 N	P = 0.121N
lasal Cavity: Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	11/49 (22%)	28/41 (68%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	44.3%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	3/11 (27%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		72	55
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.004	P = 0.005
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.001	P<0.001
asal Cavity: Carcinoma or Squamous (			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	13/49 (27%)	29/41 (71%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	49.9%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	3/11 (27%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		72	55
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P=0.003	P = 0.003
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Nasal Cavity: Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/49 (6%)	6/41 (15%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	20.1%	40.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	1/11 (9%)	40.0 <i>i</i> /0
Week of First Observation	0/40 (070)	98	67
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.011	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.057	P = 0.202	P = 0.423
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.005	1 = 0.202	1 -0.420
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r - 0.000	P=0.117	P=0.007
Vasal Cavity: Adenoma or Adenocarcing	<b>2 m</b> a		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	4/49 (8%)	6/41 (15%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	23.0%	40.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	1/11 (9%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		96	67
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.004	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.058	P=0.185	P=0.423
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.006		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.056	P = 0.007
asal Cavity: Adenocarcinoma, Carcinoi	na, or Squamous Cell Ca	rcinoma	
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	16/49 (33%)	35/41 (85%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	61.6%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	4/11 (36%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		72	55
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.001	P<0.001

# TABLE E2. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDYOF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Nasal Cavity: Adenoma, Adenocarcinon	na. Carcinoma, or Squamo	us Cell Carcinoma	<u></u>
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	17/49 (35%)	35/41 (85%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	62.9%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	4/11 (36%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	0/43 (0 %)	72	55
Life Table Tests (d)	D < 0.001	-	P<0.001
	P<0.001	P<0.001	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma o	r Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	18.6%	25.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)		0/0
Week of First Observation	0/43 (0%)	1/11 (9%)	
	D -0.001	88	87
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.011	P = 0.061
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.195	P = 0.178	P = 0.748
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.383		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.059	P=0.495
ematopoietic System: Mononuclear Ce			
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	7/50 (14%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.0%	25.6%	12.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/43 (7%)	0/11 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	91	84	79
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.021	P = 0.059	P = 0.223
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.090N	P=0.149N	P=0.296N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.107N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.380	P = 0.102N
lematopoietic System: Leukemia			
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	8/50 (16%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.0%	28.7%	12.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/43 (7%)	0/11 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation			
	91 D: 0.010	84	79 D. 0.000
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.010	P = 0.030	P = 0.223
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.097N	P = 0.172N	P = 0.296N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.114N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.277	P = 0.102N
Dral Mucosa: Squamous Cell Papilloma		<b></b>	
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	0.0%	19.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	0/11 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation			73
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	(e)	P = 0.007
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.409	(e)	P = 0.738
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.037		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		(e)	P = 0.121
ral Cavity: Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	0.0%	21.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	0/11 (0%)	0/0
	U/40 (U70)	0/11(0%)	
Week of First Observation	D <0.001	(-)	65 D-0.004
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	(e)	P=0.004
	P = 0.336	(e)	P = 0.661
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)		(0)	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.015	(e)	P = 0.059

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Oral Cavity: Squamous Cell Papilloma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	8.1%	41.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	0/11 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		95	65
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.126	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.164	P = 0.808	P = 0.491
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.016		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.247	P = 0.028
sophagus: Squamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/49 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	16.4%	12.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/42 (0%)	1/11 (9%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	0, 12 (0,10)	95	79
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	P = 0.024	P = 0.157
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.097	P = 0.024 P = 0.205	P = 0.748
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.097 P = 0.378	1 -0.200	1 - 0.140
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.070	P = 0.125	P = 0.500
orestomachi Sauamana Call Danillana			
orestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (99)	4/50 (80)	1/40 (900)
	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.0%	25.5%	25.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	2/11 (18%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	74	98	87
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.005	P = 0.027	P = 0.356
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.436	P = 0.224	P = 0.340N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.593		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.181	P = 0.747
orestomach: Squamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	5/50 (10%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	22.2%	2.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	1/11 (9%)	0/0
Week of First Observation		90	64
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	P = 0.004	P = 0.444
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.348	P = 0.163	P=0.947
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.391		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.028	P = 0.495
orestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	9/50 (18%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.0%	43.6%	27.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	3/11 (27%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	74	90	64
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P = 0.142
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.233	P = 0.044	P = 0.409N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.415		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.410	P = 0.008	P = 0.492
ituitary Gland: Chromophobe Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	16/50 (32%)	12/48 (25%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	35.4%	41.8%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)			0/0
Week of First Observation	14/43 (33%) 74	1/11 (9%)	0/0 7 <b>4</b>
	74 R - 0.010	83 B = 0.001	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.010	P = 0.061	P = 0.050
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.040N	P = 0.134N	P=0.489N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P<0.001N	D 00000	D -0.00131
		P = 0.294N	P<0.001N

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or Chromop	hobe Adenoma		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Overall Rates (a)	16/50 (32%)	13/48 (27%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	35.4%	43.4%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	14/43 (33%)	1/11 (9%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	74	83	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.007	P = 0.040	P = 0.050
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.007 P = 0.034N	P = 0.040 P = 0.157N	P = 0.030 P = 0.489N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)		P=0.157N	F 0.4031
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P<0.001N	P = 0.378N	P<0.001N
ituitary Gland: Chromophobe Carcing		4/49 (0/2)	0/40 (00)
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	4/48 (8%)	0/49 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.3%	20.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/43 (2%)	1/11 (9%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	96	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.024	P = 0.021	(f)
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.394	P = 0.314	( <b>f</b> )
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.398N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.168	P = 0.505N
ituitary Gland: Chromophobe Adenon	1a or Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	17/50 (34%)	16/48 (33%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	37.7%	54.0%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	15/43 (35%)	2/11 (18%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	74	83	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P=0.006	P = 0.050
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.083N	P = 0.280N	P = 0.489N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001N	1 - 0.20011	
Fisher Exact Test (d)	F<0.001N	P=0.557N	P<0.001N
'ituitary Gland: Adenoma, Chromopho		hobe Carcinoma	
Overall Rates (a)	17/50 (34%)	17/48 (35%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	37.7%	55.2%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	15/43 (35%)	2/11 (18%)	0/0
		83	74
Week of First Observation	14		
Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d)	74 P<0.001	P = 0.004	P = 0.050
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.004 P = 0.311N	P = 0.050 P = 0.489N
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001 P=0.072N	P=0.004 P=0.311N	P=0.050 P=0.489N
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001		
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P<0.001 P=0.072N P<0.001N	P=0.311N	P=0.489N
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C	P<0.001 P=0.072N P<0.001N arcinoma	P=0.311N P=0.526	P=0.489N P<0.001N
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a)	P<0.001 P=0.072N P<0.001N arcinoma 3/50 (6%)	P=0.311N P=0.526 0/50 (0%)	P == 0.489N P < 0.001N 0/49 (0%)
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Adrenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b)	P<0.001 P=0.072N P<0.001N arcinoma 3/50 (6%) 7.0%	P=0.311N P=0.526 0/50 (0%) 0.0%	P = 0.489N P < 0.001N 0/49 (0%) 0.0%
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) <b>drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C</b> Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	P<0.001 P=0.072N P<0.001N arcinoma 3/50 (6%) 7.0% 3/43 (7%)	P=0.311N P=0.526 0/50 (0%)	P == 0.489N P < 0.001N 0/49 (0%)
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation	$P < 0.001$ $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $\frac{3/50}{6\%}$ $7.0\%$ $3/43 (7\%)$ $104$	P=0.311N P=0.526 0/50(0%) 0.0% 0/11(0%)	P = 0.489N P<0.001N 0/49 (0%) 0.0% 0/0
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d)	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$	P=0.311N P=0.526 0/50 (0%) 0.0% 0/11 (0%) P=0.436N	P=0.489N P<0.001N 0/49 (0%) 0.0% 0/0 (f)
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$	P=0.311N P=0.526 0/50(0%) 0.0% 0/11(0%)	P = 0.489N P<0.001N 0/49 (0%) 0.0% 0/0
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$	P=0.311N P=0.526 0/50 (0%) 0.0% 0/11 (0%) P=0.436N P=0.436N	P = 0.489N P < 0.001N 0/49 (0%) 0.0% 0/0 (f) (f)
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$	P=0.311N P=0.526 0/50 (0%) 0.0% 0/11 (0%) P=0.436N	P=0.489N P<0.001N 0/49 (0%) 0.0% 0/0 (f)
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.038N$	P=0.311N P=0.526 0/50 (0%) 0.0% 0/11 (0%) P=0.436N P=0.436N	P = 0.489N P < 0.001N 0/49 (0%) 0.0% 0/0 (f) (f)
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.038N$	P=0.311N P=0.526 0/50 (0%) 0.0% 0/11 (0%) P=0.436N P=0.436N	P = 0.489N P < 0.001N 0/49 (0%) 0.0% 0/0 (f) (f)
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Adrenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Chyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Carcinor	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.038N$ ma	P=0.311N P=0.526 0/50 (0%) 0.0% 0/11 (0%) P=0.436N P=0.436N P=0.121N	P = 0.489N P<0.001N 0/49 (0%) 0.0% 0/0 (f) (f) P=0.125N
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) hyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Carcinon Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b)	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.038N$ ma $1/49 (2%)$ $2.4%$	P = 0.311N $P = 0.526$ $0/50 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/11 (0%)$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.121N$ $5/49 (10%)$ $34.7%$	P = 0.489N $P < 0.001N$ $0/49 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/0$ (f) (f) (f) P = 0.125N $0/47 (0\%)$ $0.0\%$
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Adrenal Giand: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) 'hyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Carcinon Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.038N$ ma $1/49 (2%)$ $2.4%$ $1/42 (2%)$	P = 0.311N $P = 0.526$ $0/50 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/11 (0%)$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.121N$ $5/49 (10%)$ $34.7%$ $3/10 (30%)$	P = 0.489N P < 0.001N 0/49 (0%) 0.0% 0/0 (f) (f) (f) P = 0.125N 0/47 (0%)
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Adrenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) 'hyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Carcinon Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.038N$ ma $1/49 (2%)$ $2.4%$ $1/42 (2%)$ $104$	P = 0.311N $P = 0.526$ $0/50 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/11 (0%)$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.121N$ $5/49 (10%)$ $34.7%$ $3/10 (30%)$ $95$	P = 0.489N $P < 0.001N$ $0/49 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/0$ (f) (f) (f) P = 0.125N $0/47 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/0$
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) hyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Carcinon Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d)	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.038N$ ma $1/49 (2%)$ $2.4%$ $1/42 (2%)$ $104$ $P = 0.003$	P = 0.311N $P = 0.526$ $0/50 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/11 (0%)$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.121N$ $5/49 (10%)$ $34.7%$ $3/10 (30%)$ $95$ $P = 0.002$	P = 0.489N $P < 0.001N$ $0/49 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/0$ (f) (f) $P = 0.125N$ $0/47 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/0$ (f)
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) drenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma or C Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) hyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Carcinon Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation	P < 0.001 $P = 0.072N$ $P < 0.001N$ Farcinoma $3/50 (6%)$ $7.0%$ $3/43 (7%)$ $104$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.038N$ ma $1/49 (2%)$ $2.4%$ $1/42 (2%)$ $104$	P = 0.311N $P = 0.526$ $0/50 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/11 (0%)$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.436N$ $P = 0.121N$ $5/49 (10%)$ $34.7%$ $3/10 (30%)$ $95$	P = 0.489N $P < 0.001N$ $0/49 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/0$ (f) (f) (f) P = 0.125N $0/47 (0%)$ $0.0%$ $0/0$

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Fhyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma	or Carcinoma	<u> </u>	
Overall Rates (a)	1/49 (2%)	5/49 (10%)	1/47 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.4%	34.7%	2.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/42 (2%)	3/10 (30%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	95	67
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.001	P = 0.002	P = 0.427
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.001 P = 0.027	P = 0.002 P = 0.013	P = 0.944
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.576	1 = 0.013	1 -0.544
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1-0.070	P = 0.102	P = 0.742
hyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/49 (6%)	4/49 (8%)	1/47 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.1%	18.2%	14.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/42 (7%)	0/10 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	88	80
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.014	P = 0.089	P = 0.135
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.639	P = 0.640N	P = 0.748
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.267 N	D 0 500	m 0.004M
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P=0.324N
'hyroid Gland: C-Cell Carcinoma	A (A O (Q O))	0(40 (07))	0(47 (07))
Overall Rates (a)	4/49 (8%)	0/49 (0%)	0/47 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/42 (7%)	0/10 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	74	-	D 0 50531
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.212N	P = 0.249N	P = 0.735N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.019N	P = 0.198N	P = 0.056N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.016N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.059 N	P = 0.064N
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma or Carc	inoma		
Overall Rates (a)	6/49 (12%)	4/49 (8%)	1/47 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	13.7%	18.2%	14.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/42 (12%)	0/10 (0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	74	88	80
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.123	P = 0.310	P = 0.401
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.138N	P = 0.270N	P=0.342N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.047N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.370 N	P = 0.062N
fammary Gland: Fibroadenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	10/50 (20%)	18/50 (36%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	23.3%	71.6%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	10/43 (23%)	6/11 (55%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	10/43 (23%)	84	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.025	P = 0.015	P = 0.175
		F=0.010	r-0.170
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.139N	P=0.059	P = 0.131N
lammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (90)	A/EO (00)	0/50 (0%)
	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.0%	19.2%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/43 (0%)	1/11 (9%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	74	94	
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.112	P = 0.055	P = 0.735N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.350N	P = 0.540	P = 0.051 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.390N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.181	P = 0.500N

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma or Add	enocarcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	11/50 (22%)	21/50 (42%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	24.8%	74.9%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	10/43 (23%)	6/11 (55%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	74	84	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.119	P = 0.034	P = 0.579
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.101 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.026	P=0.086N
Clitoral Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/43 (7%)	0/11(0%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104		
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.436N	P = 0.436N	( <b>f</b> )
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.436N	P=0.436N	(f)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.037 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.121 N	P = 0.121 N
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp			
Overall Rates (a)	8/50 (16%)	11/50 (22%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	18.6%	41.4%	8.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	8/43 (19%)	2/11 (18%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	81	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.004	P=0.091
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.539N	P = 0.376	P = 0.835
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.061 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.306	P=0.049N
Iterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp or S			
Overall Rates (a)	8/50 (16%)	12/50 (24%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	18.6%	43.5%	8.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	8/43 (19%)	2/11 (18%)	0/0
Week of First Observation	104	81	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.002	P=0.091
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.547 N	P = 0.356	P = 0.835
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.065N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.227	P=0.049N

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

(e) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the 200 mg/kg and vehicle control groups. (f) No P value could be determined because all 200 mg/kg animals died before the first tumor was observed in the vehicle control group.

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/48 (6%)	6/47 (13%)	4/44 (9%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.9%	33.5%	63.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/38 (8%)	2/8 (25%)	1/2 (50%)
Week of First Observation	103	73	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.013	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.145	P = 0.013 P = 0.128	P = 0.100
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.145 P = 0.377	F=0.128	F = 0.100
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r=0.377	P=0.232	P=0.451
ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/48 (6%)	4/47 (9%)	5/44 (11%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.5%	22.9%	28.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/38 (5%)	1/8 (13%)	0/2 (0%)
Week of First Observation	96	62	54
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	P = 0.100	P = 0.004
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.466	P = 0.481	P = 0.671N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.247	1 -0.401	1 - 0.01111
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.241	P=0.488	P = 0.309
ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	6/48 (13%)	9/47 (19%)	8/44 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.2%	41.3%	70.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/38 (13%)	2/8 (25%)	1/2 (50%)
Week of First Observation	96	62	54
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.008	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.292	P = 0.008 P = 0.254	P = 0.234
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.232 P = 0.272	1-0.204	1 - 0.404
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r -0.272	P = 0.272	P = 0.320
lematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	ome Mixed Tome		
Overall Rates (a)		9/17 (10)	21AA (70L)
	5/48 (10%) 12.9%	2/47 (4%)	3/44 (7%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	13.2%	17.6%	53.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/38 (13%)	1/8 (13%)	1/2 (50%)
Week of First Observation	103	94	68 D. 0.077
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.023	P = 0.432	P = 0.055
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.194	P = 0.618	P = 0.272
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.312N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.226N	P = 0.407 N
lematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All M		F 1417 / 4 4 74 1	014 4 / 4 AM
Overall Rates (a)	6/48 (13%)	5/47 (11%)	6/44 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.1%	27.5%	58.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/38 (13%)	1/8 (13%)	1/2 (50%)
Week of First Observation	76	84	68
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	P = 0.126	P=0.015
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.452	P = 0.634N	P = 0.609
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.501		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.515N	P = 0.557
ematopoletic System: Lymphoma or Le			
Overall Rates (a)	6/48 (13%)	6/47 (13%)	6/44 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.1%	29.3%	58.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/38 (13%)	1/8 (13%)	1/2 (50%)
Week of First Observation	76	79	68
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.003	P = 0.076	P=0.015
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.524	P = 0.656	P=0.609
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.498		

# TABLE E3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDYOF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Circulatory System: Hemangiosarcoma	<u></u>		
Overall Rates (a)	1/48 (2%)	1/47 (2%)	3/44 (7%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.6%	12.5%	12.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/38 (3%)	1/8 (13%)	0/2 (0%)
Week of First Observation	103	103	69
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.009	P = 0.387	P = 0.050
		P = 0.387 P = 0.387	P = 0.686
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.110	P = 0.387	P = 0.080
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.179	D 0 7 4 7	D 0.070
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.747	P = 0.276
Circulatory System: Hemangioma or Hem			
Overall Rates (a)	2/48 (4%)	3/47 (6%)	4/44 (9%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.9%	24.7%	17.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/38 (3%)	1/8 (13%)	0/2 (0%)
Week of First Observation	96	87	69
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.002	P = 0.088	P = 0.018
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.335	P = 0.622	P = 0.663 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.228		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.490	P=0.298
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	8/48 (17%)	7/47 (15%)	8/44 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	20.4%	37.3%	75.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	7/38 (18%)	2/8 (25%)	1/2 (50%)
Week of First Observation	99	80	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.047	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.136	P = 0.566	P = 0.267
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.482	1 - 0.000	
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.402	P = 0.518N	P = 0.532
Liven Hanatasellular Carsinama			
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma	0(40(000)	C(47 (107))	<b>E</b> (4.4.(1.00))
Overall Rates (a)	3/48 (6%)	6/47 (13%)	7/44 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.1%	46.2%	59.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/38 (3%)	3/8 (38%)	0/2 (0%)
Week of First Observation	84	90	60
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.008	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.075	P = 0.251	P = 0.681
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.099		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.232	P=0.125
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcin	oma		
Overall Rates (a)	11/48 (23%)	12/47 (26%)	13/44 (30%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	26.5%	71.3%	88.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	8/38 (21%)	5/8 (63%)	1/2 (50%)
Week of First Observation	84	80	60
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.002	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.039	P = 0.309	P = 0.342
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.039 P = 0.273	1 -0.000	1 - 0.044
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.275	P = 0.477	P=0.313
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma	140/07	0.000	0/44 (10%)
Overall Rates (a)	1/48 (2%)	3/47 (6%)	8/44 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.6%	19.6%	25.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/38 (3%)	1/8 (13%)	0/2 (0%)
Week of First Observation	103	78	54
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.054	P = 0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.102	P = 0.201	P = 0.388
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.005		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.301	P = 0.011

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Carcinom	a		
Overall Rates (a)	0/48 (0%)	42/47 (89%)	35/44 (90%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	95.3%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/38 (0%)	6/8 (75%)	2/2 (100%)
Week of First Observation		50	53
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.001	P<0.001
orestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma	a or Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/48 (2%)	43/47 (91%)	41/44 (93%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.6%	97.7%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/38 (3%)	7/8 (88%)	2/2 (100%)
Week of First Observation	103	50	53
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.001	P<0.001
Preputial Gland: Squamous Cell Carcin			
Overall Rates (a)	1/48 (2%)	3/47 (6%)	16/44 (36%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.6%	21.4%	82.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/38 (3%)	1/8(13%)	1/2 (50%)
Week of First Observation	103	81	64
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.044	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.254	P = 0.012
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.301	P<0.001
Iarderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/48 (4%)	3/47 (6%)	3/44 (7%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.3%	23.3%	61.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/38 (5%)	1/8 (13%)	1/2 (50%)
Week of First Observation	103	93	83
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.060	P = 0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.023	P = 0.292	P = 0.084
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.372		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.490	P = 0.458

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site (b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	1/46 (2%)	6/43 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.9%	4.2%	46.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/41 (5%)	0/6 (0%)	1/3 (33%)
Week of First Observation	103	86	59
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.556	P = 0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.034	P = 0.754N	P = 0.165
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.048		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.532N	P=0.091
ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	1/46 (2%)	7/43 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.0%	4.2%	73.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/41 (5%)	0/6 (0%)	2/3 (67%)
Week of First Observation	93	86	59
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.657	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.027	P = 0.385N	P = 0.126
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.059		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.341 N	P=0.104
ematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	0/47 (0%)	4/43 (9%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6.8%	0.0%	46.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/41 (5%)	0/6 (0%)	1/3 (33%)
Week of First Observation	71		40
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.015	P = 0.400N	P = 0.019
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.129	P = 0.097N	P = 0.331
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.343		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.133N	P=0.415
lematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	oma, Mixed Type		
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	1/47 (2%)	5/43 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	14.6%	16.7%	28.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/41 (15%)	1/6 (17%)	0/3 (0%)
Week of First Observation	103	103	66
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.008	P = 0.684	P=0.013
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.149	P = 0.684	P = 0.500
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.515N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.066N	P = 0.607 N
ematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All M			
Overall Rates (a)	10/50 (20%)	2/47 (4%)	10/43 (23%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	23.5%	18.6%	64.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	9/41 (22%)	1/6 (17%)	1/3 (33%)
Week of First Observation	71	48	40
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.613N	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.034	P = 0.315N	P = 0.230
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.432	B 0.01031	D 0 440
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.018N	P = 0.448
ver: Hepatocellular Adenoma	4150 (07)		4/40 (000)
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	4/47 (9%)	4/43 (9%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.8%	37.5%	37.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/41 (10%)	2/6 (33%)	0/3 (0%)
	1/1/2	73	83
Week of First Observation	103		
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.004	P = 0.030	P = 0.008
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.004 P = 0.171		
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.004	P = 0.030	P = 0.008

# TABLE E4. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDYOF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	1/47 (2%)	3/43 (7%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	5.0%	36.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/41 (0%)	0/6 (0%)	1/3 (33%)
Week of First Observation	0.41(0.0)	90	55
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.006	P = 0.338	P = 0.019
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.048	P = 0.826	P = 0.090
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.046	1 -0.020	1 - 0.000
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.040	P = 0.485	P=0.095
prestomach: Squamous Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	40/47 (85%)	36/43 (84%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	97.5%	97.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/41 (0%)	5/6 (83%)	2/3 (67%)
Week of First Observation		35	54
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001 P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001 P<0.001	1 20.001	1 20.001
Fisher Exact Test (d)	F < 0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
orestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	40/47 (85%)	38/43 (88%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	97.5%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/41 (0%)	5/6 (83%)	3/3 (100%)
Week of First Observation		35	54
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001 P<0.001	P<0.001 P<0.001	P<0.001
		r < 0.001	1 -0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
orestomach: Carcinoma, Squamous Cell (	Carcinoma, or Adenoso	uamous Carcinoma	
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	41/47 (87%)	37/43 (86%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	97.6%	97.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/41 (0%)	5/6 (83%)	2/3 (67%)
Week of First Observation	0,11 (0,2)	35	54
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
		r < 0.001	1 20.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001	D<0.001	D~0.001
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.001	P<0.001
prestomach: Carcinoma, Adenosquamous Overall Rates (a)	Carcinoma, or Squamo 0/50 (0%)	ous Cell Papilloma ( 41/47 (87%)	or Carcinoma 39/43 (91%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	97.6%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/41 (0%)	5/6 (83%)	3/3 (100%)
Week of First Observation	V/41 (U70)	35	54
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	35 P<0.001	54 P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001 P<0.001	P<0.001 P<0.001	P<0.001 P<0.001
		r<0.001	r < 0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
ituitary Gland: Chromophobe Adenoma			
	15/40 (919)	2/46 (4%)	0/19 (00)
Overall Rates (a)	15/49 (31%) 27.59		0/42 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	37.5%	9.9%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	15/40 (38%)	0/5 (0%)	0/3 (0%)
Week of First Observation	103	59	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.133N	P = 0.551 N	P = 0.249N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.040N	P = 0.259N	P = 0.249N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P<0.001N	P<0.001N	P<0.001N

	Vehicle Control	100 mg/kg	200 mg/kg
Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/47 (6%)	5/43 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	27.6%	49.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/41 (0%)	1/6(17%)	1/3 (33%)
Week of First Observation		80	82
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.005	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.009	P=0.097	P = 0.055
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.014		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.110	P = 0.019

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the vehicle control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the vehicle controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

160

#### **APPENDIX F**

# HISTORICAL INCIDENCES OF TUMORS IN F344/N RATS

#### AND $B6C3F_1$ MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL

#### **BY GAVAGE**

# **TABLE F1.** HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF NASAL CAVITY TUMORS IN F344/N RATS ADMINISTERED<br/>CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

	No. of Animals Examined	No. of Tumors	Site	Diagnosis
Incidence at Litton Bi	onetics, Inc.			
No nasal cavity tumors of	bserved in 350 male or 3	50 female vehicle contro	l animals.	
<b>Overall Historical Inci</b>	idence			
Male				
	1,100	1 1	Nares Nose, NOS	Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma
TOTAL		2 (0.2%)		
Female				
	1,100	0		

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks. The greatest observed incidence of nasal cavity tumors in any male vehicle control group was 2/50 in the chlorodibromomethane study.

# TABLE F2. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ESOPHAGEAL AND ORAL CAVITY TUMORS IN F344/N RATSADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

Esophagus	No. of Animals <u>Examined</u>	<u>No. of Tumors</u>	Diagnosis	
Historical Incidence at Li	tton Bionetics, Inc	2.		
No esophageal tumors have b	een observed in 350	male and 350 female v	ehicle control animals.	
Overall Historical Inciden	ice			
Male				
	1,037	1	Squamous cell carcin	oma
TOTAL		1 (0.1%)		
Female				
	1,036	0		
Oral Cavity	No. of Animals <u>Examined</u>	No. of Tumors	Site	Diagnosis
Historical Incidence at Li	tton Bionetics, Inc			
No oral cavity tumors have be	en observed in 350 r	nale or 350 female vehi	icle controls.	
Male				
	1,100	1 1	Soft palate Tongue	Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell papilloma
TOTAL		2 (0.2%)		
Female				
	1,100	1 1 1	Soft palate Tongue Dorsum of tongue	Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell papilloma
TOTAL		3 (0.3%)		

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks. No male vehicle control group had more than one oral cavity or esophageal tumor. The greatest observed incidence in any female vehicle control group was 2/50.

# TABLE F3. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF STOMACH TUMORS IN F344/N RATS ADMINISTERED CORNOIL BY GAVAGE (a)

	No. of Animals Examined	No. of Tumors	Site	Diagnosis
Incidence at Litton	Bionetics, Inc.			
Male				
No stomach tumors ha	ve been observed in 350 m	nale vehicle control anir	nals.	
Female				
	349	(b) 1	Stomach, NOS	Squamous cell papilloma
<b>Overall Historical Ir</b>	ncidence			
Male				
	1,091	3 1	Forestomach Cardiac stomach	Squamous cell papilloma
mom + 1		-	Cardiac stomach	Squamous cell papilloma
TOTAL		4 (0.4%)		
Female				
	1,096	2	Stomach, NOS	Squamous cell papilloma
		1 1	Gastric mucosa Forestomach	Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell papilloma
TOTAL		4 (0.4%)		

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks. No more than one tumor was observed in any vehicle control group. (b) Observed in the 2,4-toluene diisocyanate study (NTP TR 251)

	Incidence in Vehicle Controls		
Study	All Benign (b)	All Malignant (c)	
fistorical Incidence at Litton Bionet	ics, Inc.		
Diallylphthalate	12/50	1/50	
Dimethyl morpholinophosphoramidate	11/50	0/50	
ris(2-ethylhexyl)phosphate	11/50	1/50	
Dimethyl hydrogen phosphite	9/50	0/50	
I-Chloro-2-methylpropene	19/50	2/50	
-Vinylcyclohexene	7/50	0/50	
2,4-Toluene diisocyanate	17/50	0/50	
TOTAL	86/350 (24.6%)	4/350 (1.1%)	
SD (d)	8.54%	1.57%	
lange (e)			
High	19/50	2/50	
Low	7/50	0/50	
<b>Dverall Historical Incidence</b>			
TOTAL	280/1,100 (25.5%)	17/1,100 (1.5%)	
SD (d)	8.08%	1.50%	
lange (e)			
High	19/50	2/50	
Low	7/50	0/50	

#### TABLE F4. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Includes diagnoses of fibroadenoma, adenoma, papillary adenoma, cystadenoma, and papillary cystadenoma
(c) Includes diagnoses of adenocarcinoma and papillary cystadenocarcinoma
(d) Standard deviation
(e) Range and SD are reported for groups of 35 or more animals.

	<b>Incidence in Vehicle Controls</b>		
Study	Adenoma (b)	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
Historical Incidence at Litton Bioneti	cs, Inc.	navnan, 48 k. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	
Diallylphthalate	0/48	0/48	0/48
Dimethyl morpholinophosphoramidate	0/50	0/50	0/50
Tris(2-ethylhexyl)phosphate	1/46	1/46	2/46
Dimethyl hydrogen phosphite	1/49	0/49	1/49
3-Chloro-2-methylpropene	0/50	1/50	1/50
4-Vinylcyclohexene	0/49	0/49	0/49
2,4-Toluene diisocyanate	0/50	1/50	1/50
TOTAL	2/342 (0.6%)	3/342 (0.9%)	5/342 (1.5%)
SD (c)	1.03%	1.10%	1.62%
Range (d)			
High	1/46	1/46	2/46
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50
Overall Historical Incidence			
TOTAL	10/1,076 (0.9%)	5/1,076 (0.5%)	15/1,076 (1.4%)
SD (c)	1.65%	0.88%	1.74%
Range (d)			
High	3/48	1/46	3/48
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50

# TABLE F5. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN FEMALEF344/N RATS ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Includes cystadenoma and papillary cystadenoma of the thyroid gland follicle and thyroid gland follicular cell adenoma and papillary adenoma (c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

	No. of Animals Examined	No. of Tumors	Diagnosis
Incidence at Litton Bionetics, Inc.			
Male			
Dimethyl hydrogen phosphite	50	1	Squamous cell carcinoma
2,4-Toluene diisocyanate	48	1	Papilloma, NOS
Diallylphthalate	49	0	
Dimethyl morpholinophosphoramidate	50	0	
Fris(2-ethylhexyl)phosphate	50	1	Squamous cell papilloma
3-Chloro-2-methylpropene	49	2	Squamous cell papilloma
I-Vinylcyclohexene	47	2	Squamous cell papilloma
FOTAL	343	7 (2.0%)	
Female			
No stomach tumors have been observed in 3	343 vehicle control anima	ıls.	
Overall Historical Incidence			
Overall Historical Incidence Male			
	1.070	1	Panilloma NOS
	1,070	1	Papilloma, NOS Squamous cell papilloma
	1,070	1 8 5	Papilloma, NOS Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcínoma
	1,070	8	Squamous cell papilloma
<b>Male</b> Fotal	1,070	8 5	Squamous cell papilloma
Male		8 5 (b) 14 (1.3%)	Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma
<b>Male</b> Fotal	1,070 1,073	8 5 (b) 14 (1.3%) 3	Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcínoma Squamous cell papilloma
<b>Male</b> Fotal		8 5 (b) 14 (1.3%)	Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma

# TABLE F6. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF STOMACH TUMORS IN B6C3F<sub>1</sub> MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Greatest incidence observed in any vehicle control group: 2/46
(c) Greatest incidence observed in any vehicle control group: 2/50

#### TABLE F7. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PREPUTIAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE B6C3F1 MICEADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

	No. of Animals Examined	No. of Tumors	Diagnosis
Incidence at Litton Bionetics, Inc.			
No preputial gland tumors have been obs	erved in 350 male vehicle cont	rol animals.	
<b>Overall Historical Incidence</b>			
	1.097	1 (0.1%)	Adenoma, NOS

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

#### TABLE F8. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

		Incidence in Vehicle	
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
Historical Incidence at Litton Bionet	lics, Inc.		
Dimethyl hydrogen phosphite	2/50	2/50	4/50
2,4-Toluene diisocyanate	0/49	0/49	0/49
Diallylphthalate	1/50	0/50	1/50
Dimethyl morpholinophosphoramidate	2/50	2/50	4/50
Tris(2-ethylhexyl)phosphate	2/48	0/48	2/48
3-Chloro-2-methylpropene	3/50	0/50	3/50
4-Vinylcyclohexene	5/50	1/50	6/50
TOTAL	15/347 (4.3%)	5/347 (1.4%)	20/347 (5.8%)
SD(b)	3.15%	1.90%	4.06%
Range (c)			
High	5/50	2/50	6/50
Low	0/49	0/50	0/49
Overall Historical Incidence			
TOTAL	45/1,087 (4.1%)	12/1,087 (1.1%)	57/1,087 (5.2%)
SD (b)	2.88%	1.60%	3.47%
Range (c)			
High	5/50	2/50	6/50
Low	0/50	0/50	0/49

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Standard deviation
(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

	Incidence in Vehicle Controls				
Study	Adenoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Historical Incidence at Litton Bionet	ics, Inc.				
Dimethyl hydrogen phosphite	0/50	0/50			
2,4-Toluene diisocyanate	1/50	1/50			
Diallylphthalate	2/50	2/50			
Dimethyl morpholinophosphoramidate	0/50	0/50			
Tris(2-ethylhexyl)phosphate	0/49	0/49			
3-Chloro-2-methylpropene	1/50	1/50			
4-Vinylcyclohexene	0/50	0/50			
TOTAL	(b) <b>4/349</b> (1.1%)	4/349 (1.1%)			
SD (c)	1.57%	1.57%			
Range (d)					
High	2/50	2/50			
Low	0/50	0/50			
Overall Historical Incidence					
TOTAL	(e) 20/1,096 (1.8%)	21/1,096 (1.9%)			
SD (c)	2.38%	2.51%			
Range (d)	5/50	5/50			
High Low	0/50	0/50			
LUW	0/80	0/00			

#### TABLE F9. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HARDERIAN GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE B6C3F<sub>1</sub> MICE ADMINISTERED CORN OIL BY GAVAGE (a)

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Includes one papillary adenoma
(c) Standard deviation
(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(e) Includes one papillary adenoma and one papillary cystadenoma

.

#### **APPENDIX G**

#### GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

			Revertants/plate (a,b)	
Strain	Dose (µg/plate)	- S9	+ S9 (rat)	+ S9 (hamster)
TA100	0	$150 \pm 10.3$ $133 \pm 2.3$	189 ± 3.0	183 ± 2.8
	33	$133 \pm 2.3$		
	100	$121 \pm 9.4$	$192 \pm 13.6$	$186 \pm 0.7$
	333	$115 \pm 11.3$	$180 \pm 8.3$	$152 \pm 12.9$
	1,000	$142 \pm 4.5$	$195 \pm 3.5$	$155 \pm 12.0$
	3,333	$187 \pm 5.5$	$180 \pm 2.0$	$156 \pm 7.8$
	10,000		$190 \pm 1.2$	$172 \pm 4.0$
TA1535	0	$11 \pm 2.1$	$12 \pm 2.0$	13 ± 1.9
	100	$12 \pm 1.0$	$13 \pm 1.2$	$13 \pm 3.2$
	333	$12 \pm 3.0$	$9 \pm 2.3$	$11 \pm 0.3$
	1,000	$20 \pm 1.2$	$11 \pm 3.1$	$15 \pm 1.2$
	3,333	$21 \pm 2.9$	$11 \pm 0.9$	$14 \pm 1.2$
	10,000	$5 \pm 4.7$	$13 \pm 2.8$	$15 \pm 1.0$
TA1537	0	7 ± 0.9	$15 \pm 0.3$	$6 \pm 1.5$
	100	$6 \pm 1.8$	$12 \pm 1.8$	$6 \pm 1.5 \\ 8 \pm 2.2$
	333	$9 \pm 1.2$	$10 \pm 0.3$	$9 \pm 3.2$
	1,000	$11 \pm 0.9$	$7 \pm 1.8$	$11 \pm 3.2$
	3,333	$10 \pm 0.3$	$8 \pm 1.3$	$8 \pm 1.2$
	10,000	9 ± 1.9	$10 \pm 1.5$	$14 \pm 0.9$
ГА98	0	19 ± 0.3	<b>29</b> ± 2.1	$20 \pm 0.7$
	100	$16 \pm 0.3$	$26 \pm 1.2$	$21 \pm 4.4$
	333	$17 \pm 1.2$	$23 \pm 1.5$	$28 \pm 2.6$
	1,000	$14 \pm 0.6$	$27 \pm 1.5$	$22 \pm 4.4$
	3,333	$21 \pm 2.5$	$29 \pm 2.9$	$26 \pm 2.3$
	10,000	$19 \pm 5.2$	$29 \pm 2.5$ 29 ± 2.7	$20 \pm 2.3$ $20 \pm 3.6$

#### TABLE G1. MUTAGENICITY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM

(a) The S9 fractions were prepared from the livers of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rats and male Syrian hamsters. Cells and study compound or solvent (water) were incubated for 20 minutes at 37° C in the presence of either S9 or buffer. After the addition of soft agar, the contents of each tube were poured onto minimal medium, and the plates were incubated at 37° C for 48 hours (Haworth et al., 1983). The experiment was performed twice, each in triplicate; because the results were similar, data from only one experiment are shown.

(b) Revertants are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error.

Compound	Dose (µg/ml)	Total Mutant Clones	Cloning Efficiency (percent)	Relative Total Growth (percent)	Mutation Frequency (mutants/10 <sup>6</sup> clonable cells)
Absolute ethand	oi (1%)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		43	90.7	81	16
		56	116.2	101	16
		70	94.3	120	25
		69	102.0	97	23
Methyl methane	esulfonate				
•	5	437	82.7	61.2	176
		450	89.7	66.3	167
		480	79.5	75.0	201
Dimethylvinyl o	hloride				
	0.1	55	84.8	68.6	22
		41	85.0	80.5	16
		68	92.0	74.1	25
	0.2	76	71.0	61.5	36
		64	85.8	64.0	25
		48	66.5	37.9	24
	0.4	131	75.7	28.9	58
		192	77.7	32.9	82
		185	108.8	11.3	57
	0.6	396	54.5	7.7	242
	0.0	417	79.8	9.9	174
		373	106.5	14.2	117

#### TABLE G2. MUTAGENICITY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> MOUSE LYMPHOMA CELLS IN THE ABSENCE OF S9 (a)

(a) Experiments were performed twice, and all doses were tested in triplicate, except the solvent control (100% ethanol) which was tested in quadruplicate. Because the results were similar, data from only one experiment are shown. The protocol was basically that of Clive et al. (1979). Cells ( $6 \times 10^{5}$ /ml) were treated for 4 hours at 37° C in medium, washed, resuspended in medium, and incubated for 48 hours at 37° C. After expression,  $3 \times 10^{6}$  cells were plated in medium supplemented with trifluorothymidine for selection of cells that were mutant at the thymidine kinase (TK) locus, and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium to determine the percentage of viable cells.

#### TABLE G3. INDUCTION OF SISTER-CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (a)

- 5	9 (b)	<b>+ S9</b> (c)		
Dose (µg/ml)	SCE/Cell (d)	Dose (µg/ml)	SCE/Cell (d)	
DMSO		DMSO		
(10 µl)	6.98	(10 µl)	8.78	
Dimethylvinyl chloride	•			
100	9.60	250	8.14	
250	11.40	500	9.34	
500	14.20	750	11.88	
Mitomycin C		Cyclophosphamide		
0.05	20.10	1.5	30.66	
		2.0	37.36	

#### (a) SCE = sister chromatid exchange

(b) In the absence of S9, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent at 37° C; 2 hours after initiation of treatment, 10  $\mu$ M BrdU was added, and incubation was continued for an additional 22-24 hours. Cells were washed, fresh medium containing BrdU (10  $\mu$ M) and colcemid (0.1  $\mu$ g/ml) was added, and incubation was continued for 2-3 hours (Galloway et al., 1985).

(c) In the presence of S9, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Then cells were washed, and medium containing 10 µM BrdU was added. Cells were incubated for a further 26 hours, with colcemid (0.1 µg/ml) present for the final 2-3 hours. S9 was from the livers of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats (Galloway et al., 1985).

(d) Cells were collected by mitotic shake-off, treated for 3 minutes with potassium chloride (75 mM), washed twice with fixative, and dropped onto slides and air-dried (Galloway et al., 1985).

#### TABLE G4. INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (a)

	- <b>S9</b> (b)	+ <b>S9</b> (c)				
Dose (µg/ml)	Abs/100 Cells (percent cells w/abs)	Dose (µg/ml)	Abs/100 Cells (percent cells w/abs)			
DMSO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DMSO				
10 µl)	1(1)	(10 µl)	0(0)			
Dimethylvinyl chlo	ride	Dimethylvinyl chl	oride			
50	0(0)	50	0(0)			
100	1(1)	160	0(0)			
250	0(0)	500	1(1)			
500	2 (2)	1,600	1(1)			
Mitomycin C		Cyclophosphamide	•			
0.25	21 (20)	50	34 (25)			

(a) Abs = aberrations

(b) In the absence of S9, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 8-10 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, and fresh medium containing colcemid (0.1 µg/ml) was added. After a further 2-3 hours of incubation, cells were harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained in 6% Giemsa (Galloway et al., 1985).

(c) In the presence of Š9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, fresh medium was added, and incubation was continued for 8-10 hours. Colcemid (0.1 µg/ml) was added for the last 2-3 hours of incubation; then cells were harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained in 6% Giemsa. S9 was from the livers of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rats (Galloway et al., 1985).

#### TABLE G5. INDUCTION OF SEX-LINKED RECESSIVE LETHAL MUTATIONS IN DROSOPHILA BY DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

Route of	Dose		No. of Lethals/No. of X Chromosomes Tested (a)			
Exposure	(ppm)	Mating 1	Mating 2	Mating 3	Total (percent)	
Feeding	0	3/2,922	6/2,916	5/2,570	14/8,408 (0.17)	
Ŭ	12,750	7/3,128	16/2,927	10/2,249	33/8,304 (0.40)	

(a) The sex-linked recessive lethal assay was performed essentially as described by Abrahamson and Lewis (1971). Exposure by feeding was done by allowing 24-hour-old Canton-S males to feed for 3 days on a solution of the study chemical dissolved in 5% sucrose. Exposed males were mated to three *Basc* females for 3 days and given fresh females at 2-day intervals to produce three broods of 3, 2, and 2 days, after which the parents were discarded. F<sub>1</sub> heterozygous females were crossed to their siblings and placed in individual vials. F<sub>1</sub> daughters from the same parental males were kept together to identify clusters. After 17 days, presumptive lethal mutations were identified as vials containing no wild-type males; these were retested. The treated group was found to be significantly different from the controls at the 1% level (Margolin et al., 1983).

#### TABLE G6. INDUCTION OF RECIPROCAL TRANSLOCATIONS IN DROSOPHILA BY DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (a)

Route of	Dose		Storages (Translocations/Tests)			Total Bts) No. of		Total No. of Trans-	Percent Trans-	
Exposure	(ppm)	1	2	3	4	5	6	Tests	locations lo	locations
Feeding Historical	12,750	8/873	6/821	8/698	3/253	1/30	0/0	2,675	26	0.9720
control	0	0/6,187	0/6,085	0/6,635	1/6,381	0/4,738	0/250	30,276	1	0.0033

(a) The reciprocal translocation assay was performed essentially as described by Abrahamson and Lewis (1971). Males were fed for 3 days; then each male was mated to a harem of bw;st;p<sup>p</sup> females for 3 days. Females were subsequently discarded, and each male was transferred to a fresh harem for 4 days. This mating regimen allowed sampling of the spermatid population, the most sensitive stage of germ cell maturation, as indicated by the sex-linked recessive lethal mutation data (Table G5). Males were then discarded. The females were transferred to fresh medium every 3-4 days to produce a total of five cultures, and then they were discarded. In this manner, successive cultures sample sperm were stored for increasing lengths of time. Individual  $F_1$ males were backcrossed to bw;st;p<sup>p</sup> females, and the  $F_2$  were screened for pseudolinkage. This procedure allows the recovery of translocations involving the Y, second, or third chromosomes in any combination. Presumptive translocations were retested. The treated group was found to be significantly different from the controls at the 1% level (Kastenbaum and Bowman, 1970).

175

#### **APPENDIX H**

#### **CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF**

#### **DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE**

			Determined	T Stamateura Maluaa
A. L	ot no	. 062537	<u>Determined</u>	<u>Literature Values</u>
1.	Ph	ysical properties		
	<b>a.</b>	Boiling point:	62° ± 1(δ)°C at 729 torr (visual, micro boiling point) 72.5°-75°C (Dupont 900 DTA)	68.1°C (Merck, 1976)
	b.	Index of refraction:	$n_D^{20:}$ 1.4212 ± 0.004(8)	n <sup>20</sup> : 1.4221 <sup>D</sup> (Merck, 1976)
	c.	Density:	$d_{22}^{24.5:}$ 0.9188 ± 0.0007(8)	d <sup>20</sup> : 0.9186 g/ml <sup>4</sup> (Merck, 1976)
	d.	Appearance:	Clear, pale orange liquid	
2.	Sp	ectral data		
	a.	Infrared		
		Instrument:	Beckman IR-12	
		Cell:	0.054 cm liquid cell with sodium chloride windows	
		Results:	See Figure 5	No literature referen found. Spectrum consistent with commercial spectrum from manufacturer (Aldrich, 1975) and with structure.
	b.	Ultraviolet/visible		
		Instrument:	Cary 118	
		Solvent:	Hexane (1%)	
		Results:	No absorbance between 800 and 350 nm. No maximum between 350 and 212 nm but a gradual increase in absorbance and slight inflections toward the solvent cutoff at 212 nm.	No literature referen found.


FIGURE 5. INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (LOT NO. 062537)

## APPENDIX H. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION

	Determined		<u>Literature Values</u>		
c.	Nuclear magnetic reson	etic resonance			
	Instrument:	Varian HA-100			
	Solvent:	Neat, tetramethylsilane added			
	Assignments:	See Figure 6	No literature spectrum found. Sample spectrum consistent with spectrum from manufacturer (Aldrich, 1974) and with structure.		
	Chemical shift (8):	a d, 1.67 ppm b d, 1.70 ppm c m, 5.63 ppm d d, 1.17 ppm e 1.52 ppm f 1.57 ppm g 3.46 ppm h 3.56 ppm i 3.85 ppm (Peaks d through i are impurities. Peal shift with one of the resonant frequenci methylpropene, but this tentative assig	es for 3-chloro-2-		
	Coupling constants:	$J_{ac} = 1.5 \text{ Hz}$ $J_{bc} = 1.5 \text{ Hz}$ J = 3  Hz			
	Integration ratios:				
W٤	ter analysis (Karl Fische	<b>r):</b> $0.029\% \pm 0.002(\delta)\%$			
Tit	Titration for acidic components: 210 $\pm$ 10(8) ppm (assumed to be hydrochloric acid)				

5. Elemental analysis

3. 4.

Element	С	Н	Cl
Theory	53.06	7.79	39.15
Determined	52.83	7.84	38.95
	53.05	7.98	39.13



# FIGURE 6. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (LOT NO. 062537)

#### 6. Gas chromatography

Instrument: Tracor MT 220 Detector: Flame ionization Inlet temperature: 200° C Detector temperature: 270° C Sample injected: 6 μl neat, diluted to 1% and 0.5% in *o*-dichlorobenzene to quantitate the major peak and check for overloading

#### System 1

Column: 20% SP2100/0.1% Carbowax 1500 on 100/120 Supelcoport, 1.8  $\times$  4 mm ID, glass

Oven temperature program: 50° C for 5 min, then to 170° C at 10° C/min

**Results:** A major peak and 36 impurities were observed. The six largest impurities had areas of 1%, 0.9%, 0.9%, 0.3%, 0.1%, and 0.1% that of the major peak. The areas of the other 30 impurities totaled < 0.5% of the major peak area.

<u>Peak No.</u>	Retention <u>Time (min)</u>	Retention Time Relative to <u>Major Peak</u>	Area (percent of <u>major peak)</u>
1	0.6	0.3	0.10
2	1.2	0.5	0.10
3	1.5	0.6	0.30
4	1.8	0.8	1.00
5	2.4	1.0	100
6	4.7	1.9	0.01
7	4.9	2.0	0.02
8	5.3	2.2	0.02
9	5.9	2.5	0.03
10	6.6	2.7	0.90
11	7.6	3.1	< 0.01
12	8.5	3.5	< 0.01
13	8.7	3.6	0.04
14	9.3	3.9	< 0.01
15	9.5	4.0	< 0.01
16	9.8	4.1	< 0.01
17	10.0	4.2	0.90
18	10.3	4.3	0.01
19	10.6	4.4	0.01
20	11.0	4.5	0.01
21	11.2	4.6	< 0.01
22	11.8	4.9	0.04
23	12.3	5.1	0.04
24	12.5	5.2	< 0.01
25	12.9	5.3	0.01
26	13.4	5.5	0.01
27	13.6	5.6	0.03
28	14.1	5.8	< 0.01
29	14.3	5.9	0.01
30	14.9	6.2	< 0.01
31	15.0	6.2	0.01
32	15.3	6.3	0.01
33	15.5	6.4	< 0.01
34	15.7	6.5	< 0.01
35	16.2	6.7	< 0.01
36	16.3	6.8	0.05
37	16.8	7.0	< 0.01

#### System 2

Column: 10% Carbowax 20M-TPA on 80/100 Chromosorb W( AW), 1.8 m  $\times$  4 mm, ID

Oven temperature program: 50° C for 5 min, then 50° to 200° C at 10° C/min

**Results:** A major peak and 34 impurities were observed. The areas of six of these impurities are 0.8%, 0.6%, 0.5%, 0.2%, 0.1%, and 0.1% that of the major peak. The areas of the other 28 impurities total less than 0.3% of the major peak area.

Under these conditions 3-chloro-2-methylpropene had a retention time of 1.6 minutes. It is possible that peak no. 4 is 3-chloro-2-methylpropene, but this was not confirmed.

<u>Peak No.</u>	Retention <u>Time (min)</u>	Retention Time Relative to <u>Major Peak</u>	Area (percent of <u>major peak)</u>
1	0.3	0.3	0.10
2	0.5	0.5	0.80
3	1.1	1.0	100
4	1.5	1.4	0.20
5	2.8	2.5	0.01
6 7	3.4	3.1	0.50
7	4.4	4.0	0.60
8	7.7	6.9 <sub>Ղ</sub>	0.10
9	8.3	7.5 <sup>3</sup>	0.10
10	9.3	8.4	< 0.01
11	9.6	8.7	< 0.01
12	9.8	8.8	0.02
13	10.2	9.2	< 0.01
14	10.5	9.4	0.04
15	10.6	9.6	< 0.01
16	11.0	9.9	< 0.01
17	11.3	10.2	< 0.01
18	11.5	10.4	< 0.01
19	11.9	10.7	0.01
20	12.1	10.9	< 0.01
21	12.4	11.1	0.01
22	12.6	11.3	0.04
23	13.0	11.7	0.01
24	13.4	12.0	< 0.01
25	13.6	12.2	< 0.01
26	13.8	12.5	0.05
27	14.1	12.7	< 0.01
28	14.3	12.8	< 0.01
29	14.6	13.1	0.01
30	14.9	13.5	< 0.01
31	15.1	13.6	< 0.01
32	15.3	13.8	< 0.01
33	15.5	14.0	< 0.01
34	15.7	14.1	< 0.01
35	16.1	14.5	< 0.01

7. Conclusions: The results of the elemental analysis agreed with the theoretical values. Gas chromatography with one system indicated 36 impurities. The six largest impurities had areas 1%, 0.9%, 0.9%, 0.3%, 0.1%, and 0.1% of the area of the major peak. The areas of the other 30 impurities totaled less than 0.5% of the major peak. A second system indicated 34 impurities. The six largest impurities had areas 0.8%, 0.6%, 0.5%, 0.2%, 0.1%, and 0.1% of the area of the major peak. The areas of the other 28 impurities totaled less than 0.3% of the major peak. The areas of the other 28 impurities totaled less than 0.3% of the major peak. Titration for acidic components indicated 210  $\pm$  10 ppm acidity (assumed to be hydrochloric acid). The infrared and nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were consistent with the structure, but six impurity peaks were observed in the nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum.

### **APPENDIX H. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

			Determined	Literature Values	
B.	B. Lot No. KD090967		o. KD090967		
	1.	Pl	ysical properties		
		Aj	ppearance:	Clear, pale yellow liquid	
	2.	Sp	ectral data		
		a.	Infrared		
			Instrument:	Perkin-Elmer 283	
			Cell:	Thin film between silver chloride windows	
			Results:	See Figure 7	Consistent with structure and literature reference (Aldrich, 1975)
		b.	Ultraviolet/visible		
			Instrument:	Cary 219	
	Solvent:		Solvent:	Hexane	
Results:		Results:	No absorbance maxima were observed in the visible region (800-350 nm) with a 1% solution. There was a gradual increase in absorbance in the ultraviolet region (350-200 nm), but no resolved maxima were observed.	No literature reference found. Spectrum consistent with structure.	
		c.	Nuclear magnetic reson	ance	
			Instrument:	Varian EM-360A	
		Solvent:	Neat liquid containing tetramethylsilane as internal standard		
			Assignments:	See Figure 8	Consistent with structure and literature reference (Aldrich, 1974)

185





186

### FIGURE 8. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (LOT NO. KD090967)





### **APPENDIX H. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

Chemical shift (δ):	a b	m, m,	1.72 5.70
	c d	-	ty, 2.01 ty, 3.91
Integration ratios:	a b c d	6.08 0.93 0.04 0.03	impurities

- 3. Water analysis (Karl Fischer):  $0.005\% \pm 0.002(\delta)\%$
- 4. Titration of acidic components: Neat dimethylvinyl chloride (4 ml) was added to 25 ml of 95% ethanol (previously neutralized to the phenolphthalein endpoint). As the titration vessel headspace was purged with nitrogen gas, the sample was titrated with 0.01 N sodium hydroxide to the phenolphthalein endpoint.

 $419 \pm 18$  ppm (as hydrochloric acid)

#### 5. Elemental analysis

Element	С	Н	Cl
Theory (T)	53.06	7.79	39.15
Determined (D)	53.10 53.15	7.88 7.81	39.03 39.13
Percent D/T	100.12	100.71	99.82

#### 6. Gas chromatography

#### a. Impurity detection

Instrument: Varian 3700 Detector: Flame ionization Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 70 ml/min

#### System 1

Column: 20% SP2100/0.1% Carbowax 1500 on 100/120 Supelcoport, 1.8 m × 4 mm ID, glass Inlet temperature: 200° C Detector temperature: 270° C Oven temperature program: 50° C for 5 min, then 50° to 170° C at 10° C/min Sample injected: Neat liquid (5 µl) and 1% and 0.5% solutions in o-dichlorobenzene to quantitate impurities and check detector linearity **Results:** A major peak and 14 impurities with relative areas greater than 0.01% of the major peak area were observed. The two largest impurities had areas 0.16% and 0.11% of the major peak area. The remaining 12 impurities had a cumulative area of 0.29% relative to the major peak. Two additional impurities were observed eluting after the major peak with individual areas less than 0.01% of the major peak area.

<u>Peak No.</u>	Retention <u>Time (min)</u>	Retention Time Relative to <u>Major Peak</u>	Area (percent of <u>major peak)</u>
1	1.0	0.34	0.16
$\overline{2}$	1.8	0.62	0.03
3	2.3	0.79	0.04
4	2.9	1.00	100
5	4.1	1.41	0.01
6	4.9	1.69	0.03
7	6.8	2.34	0.01
8	11.3	3.90	0.02
9	14.8	5.10	0.02
10	16.3	5.52	
11	16.6	5.72 }	0.05
12	16.9	5.83	
13	18.6	6.41	0.12
14	20.8	7.17	0.06
15	24.1-24.2	8.31-8.34	0.01

#### System 2

Column: 10% Carbowax 20M-TPA on 80/100 Chromosorb, 1.8 m  $\times$  4 mm ID, glass

Inlet temperature: 200° C

Detector temperature: 250°C

**Oven temperature program:**  $50^{\circ}$  C for 5 min, then  $50^{\circ}$  C to  $200^{\circ}$  C at  $10^{\circ}$  C/min **Sample injected:** Neat liquid (4 µl) and 1% and 0.5% solutions in o-dichlorobenzene to quantitate impurities and check detector linearity

**Results:** A major peak and nine impurities with areas greater than 0.01% relative to the major peak area were observed. The three largest impurities had areas 0.10%, 0.12%, and 2.88% of the major peak area. The largest impurity was subsequently identified as 3-chloro-2-methylpropene (Section I.B.6.b.). The remaining six impurities had a cumulative area of 0.31% relative to the major peak. Three additional impurities, one before and two after the major peak, were observed with individual areas less than 0.01% of the major peak area.

### **APPENDIX H. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

<u>Peak No.</u>	Retention <u>Time (min)</u>	Retention Time Relative to <u>Major Peak</u>	Area (percent of <u>major peak)</u>
1	1.25	1.00	100
2	1.90	1.52	2.88
3	3.09	2.47	0.10
4	5.10	4.08	0.04
5	9.90	7.92	0.02
6	11.5	9.20	0.10
7	12.2	9.80	0.03
8	13.0	10.4	0.12
9	14.4-14.7	11.5-11.8	0.07
10	15.7	12.6	0.06

#### System 3

Column: 80/100 Carbopack C/0.1% SP1000, 1.8 m × 4 mm ID, glass Inlet temperature: 180° C

**Detector temperature:** 250° C

**Oven temperature program:**  $50^{\circ}$  C for 5 min, then  $50^{\circ}$  C to  $250^{\circ}$  C at  $10^{\circ}$  C/min **Sample injected:** Neat liquid (3 µl) and 1% and 0.5% solutions in methylene chloride to quantitate impurities and check detector linearity

**Results:** A major peak and 11 impurities, 5 eluting before and 6 eluting after the major peak were observed. The largest impurity (identified as 3-chloro-2methylpropene) was 2.3% of the major peak area. The cumulative area of the remaining nine impurities was 0.60% of the major peak area. Three additional impurities were observed, one before and two after the major peak, with individual areas less than 0.01% of the major peak area.

Analysis of lot no. 062537 by the system described above indicated a markedly different chromatographic profile than that reported for lot no. KD090967. A major peak and 14 impurities were observed, 7 eluting before and 7 eluting after the major peak. Three of the largest impurities had areas 0.87%, 0.78%, and 0.89% of the major peak area. The peak with a retention time corresponding to 3-chloro-2-methylpropene, observed in lot no. KD090967, had an area 0.50% relative to the major peak area in lot no. 062537. The cumulative area of the impurities in lot no. 062537 was 4.13% as compared with 2.9% observed for lot no. KD090967.

<u>Peak No.</u>	<b>Retention</b> <u>Time (min)</u>	Retention Time Relative to <u>Major Peak</u>	Area (percent of <u>major peak)</u>
Lot no. KD0909	67		
(a) i	1.9	0.17	0.20
(a) 2	7.1	0.65	0.03
(a) 3	8.0	0.73	0.20
(a) <b>4</b>	8.8	(b) 0.81	2.30
(a) 5	9.3	0.85	0.06
6	10.9	1.00	100
7	12.2	1.12	0.02
8	14.5	1.33	0.01
9	15.7-16.1	1.44-1.48	0.02
10	17.9	1.64	0.01
11	24.2	2.22	0.02
12	26.2	2.40	0.03
Lot no. 062537			
(a) 1	1.9	0.17 נ	0.23
(a) 2	2.2	0.20 5	0.23
(a) 3	4.3	0.39	0.29
(a) 4	5.2	0.48	0.87
(a) 5	8.0	0.73	0.09
(a)6	8.9	(b) 0.82	0.50
(a)7	9.2	0.84	0.01
8	10.9	1.00	100
9	11.6	1.07	0.02
10	12.2	1.12	0.78
11	19.0	1.74	0.38
12	19.2	1.77	0.89
13	20.5	1.88	0.03
14	21.6	1.98	0.01
15	23.2	2.13	0.03

(a) Column overloading occurred on several peaks eluting before the major peak with both lots of dimethylvinyl chloride. Those peaks eluting before the major peak were quantitated from injections of 1% dimethylvinyl chloride in o-dichlorobenzene. Impurity peaks eluting after the major peak were quantitated from injections of the neat sample.

(b) 3-Chloro-2-methylpropene

#### b. Identification and quantitation of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene

#### Identification by gas chromatography/electron impact mass spectrometry

Instrument: Varian MAT CH4B mass spectrometer interfaced via a singlestage glass jet separator to a Varian 3700 gas chromatograph. Data processed by an Incos 2300 data system. Column: Carbopack C/0.1% SP1000, 1.8 m  $\times$  2 mm ID, glass Oven temperature program: 50° C for 5 min, then 50° to 210° C at 10° C/min Inlet temperature: 180°C Transfer temperature: 240°C Separator temperature: 230°C Electron energy: 70 eV Trap current: 40 µA Accelerator voltage: 3,000 V **Resolution:** 1000 Scan range: 16-220 amu Scan times (sec): Up: 1.75 **Top: 0.10** Down: 0.75 Bottom: 0.40

**Results:** Reconstructed ion chromatogram--One major peak and two impurity peaks were observed.

<u>Peak No.</u>	Retention <u>Time (min)</u>	Retention Time Relative to <u>Major Peak</u>
1	1.20	0.12
2	7.05	0.72
3	9.85	1.0

Peak no. 1 spectrum--A spectrum obtained for peak no. 1 was consistent with the expected fragmentation and with a literature spectrum of acetone (Eight Peak Index, 1970).

Spectrum Obt	ained from Peak No. 1 Relative Abundance	<u>Literature Spectrum of Acetone</u> Relative Abundance		
<u>m/e</u>	(percent of m/e 43)	<u>m/e</u>	(percent of m/e 43)	
43	100	43	100	
58	47	58	33	
44	23	15	20	
42	6	42	6	
29	5	28	5	
27	4	27	4	
38	3	26	3	
39	3	29	3	
36	3			

Peak no. 2 spectrum--A spectrum obtained from peak no. 2 was consistent with the expected fragmentation pattern and with a literature spectrum of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene (Eight Peak Index, 1970).

Spectrum Obtained from Peak No. 2		Literature Spectrum of 3-Chloro-2-methylpropene		
<u>m/e</u>	<b>Relative Abundance</b> (percent of m/e 55)	<u>m/e</u>	Relative Abundance (percent of m/e 55)	
55	100	55	100	
39	69	39	69	
. 90	49	27	38	
41	48	90	33	
29	41	29	31	
54	35	54	28	
27	33	53	23	
53	19	41	23	
44	16			
36	15			
70	15			
<del>9</del> 2	15			
75	13			
38	11			
	·····		وخذوه مجرول ويشته مسجو ويبيانة معتدوه ويريب خبيسه ويوي والنجه مرويي ويتجرب ويور عبور والمروي المروي ا	

Peak no. 3 spectrum--A spectrum obtained from peak no. 3, the major peak in the reconstructed ion chromatogram, was consistent with the fragmentation pattern expected of dimethylvinyl chloride.

Spectrum Obtained from Peak No. 3		
<u>m/e</u>	<b>Relative Abundance</b> (percent of m/e 55)	
55	100	
90	66	
39	50	
53	26	
54	23	
27	22	
92	21	
29	20	
75	19	
41	18	

c. Quantitation by gas chromatography: 3-chloro-2-methylpropene in lot no. KD090967 was quantitated against standards of dimethylvinyl chloride

Instrument: Varian 3700 Detector: Flame ionization Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 70 ml/min Column: 80/100 Carbopack C/0.1% SP1000, 1.8 m × 4 mm ID, glass Inlet temperature: 180° C Detector temperature: 250° C Oven temperature program: 50° C for 5 min, then 50° C to 250° C at 10° C/min Sample injected: Neat liquid (3 µl) and 1% and 0.5% solutions in methylene chloride to quantitate impurities and check detector linearity

**Results:** 2.48%  $\pm$  0.08 ( $\delta$ )% (v/v) 3-chloro-2-methylpropene

The peak identified as acetone in the mass spectrometry section was not quantitated. The relative area of this impurity was 0.20% of the major peak area as obtained with the same gas chromatographic system.

7. Conclusions: The results of the elemental analysis for carbon, hydrogen, and chlorine agreed with theoretical values. Water content by Karl Fischer titration was 0.005%  $\pm$  $0.002(\delta)$ %. Neutralization of acidic components (assumed to be hydrochloric acid) indicated 419  $\pm$  18( $\delta$ ) ppm. One gas chromatographic system (20% SP2100/0.1% Carbowax 1500) resolved a major peak and 14 impurities, the largest impurity having an area of 0.16% of the major peak area. The remaining 13 impurities totaled 0.40% relative to the major peak. A second gas chromatographic system (10% Carbowax 20M-TPA) resolved a major peak and nine impurities, all eluting after the major peak. The largest impurity (2.88%) was identified as 3-chloro-2-methylpropene with standards and spiking solutions. The combined area of the remaining eight impurities was 0.54% of the major peak area. A third gas chromatographic system (80/100 Carbopack C/0.1% SP1000) resolved a major peak and 11 impurities, the largest of which had an area of 2.30% of the major peak area. The remaining 10 impurities had a combined area of 0.60% of the major peak area. When lot no. 062537 was analyzed on this system, a major peak and 14 impurities were observed. Three of the larger impurities had areas of 0.87%, 0.78%, and 0.89% of the major peak.

The impurity with a retention time corresponding to the 2.30% impurity in lot no. KD090967 was at a concentration of 0.5% in lot no. 062537. The cumulative area of all impurities in lot no. 062537 was 4.13%, as compared with 2.9% in lot no. KD090967. Two impurities and the major component were identified by gas chromatography (80/100 Carbopack C/0.1% SP1000)/mass spectrometry. The first impurity, acetone, was not quantitated against standards, as its relative area was only 0.20% of the major peak area, was quantitated against standards and found to be present in the sample at a concentration of 2.48%  $\pm$  0.08% (v/v). The infrared, ultraviolet/visible, nuclear magnetic resonance, and mass spectral data were consistent with the structure of dimethylvinyl chloride.

### APPENDIX H. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION

		Determined	<u>Literature Values</u>
C. Lot	tno. 1103BH		
1.	Physical properties		
	Appearance:	Clear, amber liquid	
2.	Spectral data		
	a. Infrared		
	Instrument:	Perkin-Elmer 283	
	Cell:	Thin film between silver chloride windows	
	Results:	See Figure 9	Consistent with structure and literature reference (Aldrich, 1975)
	b. Ultraviolet/visible		
	Instrument:	Cary 219	
	Solvent:	Hexane	
	Results:	There was no absorbance observed in the visible region (800-350 nm) with a 1% solution in hexane.	No literature reference found. Spectrum consistent with structure.
		$\lambda_{\max}$ (nm) $\varepsilon$	
		275 ~3.94	
•	c. Nuclear magnetic resor	lance	
	Instrument:	Varian 360-A	

Solvent:	Neat liquid containing tetramethylsilane as internal standard	
Assignments:	See Figure 10	Co

Consistent with structure and literature reference (Aldrich, 1974)









-----<u>≻++≯</u> END OF SWALP \*

Chemical shift (δ):	a	m, 1.74
	b	m, 5.71
	с	impurity, 0.65
	d	impurity, 1.56
	е	impurity, 2.03
	f	impurity, 2.78
	g	impurity, 3.94
Integration ratios:	а	6.14
_	b	0.86
	c-g	impurities

- 3. Water analysis (Karl Fischer):  $\leq 0.01\%$
- 4. Titration of acidic components: The sample was diluted with 95% ethanol that had been pretitrated to the phenolphthalein endpoint. Titration was performed under nitrogen with 0.01 N sodium hydroxide, back to the phenolphthalein endpoint.

844  $\pm$  16( $\delta$ ) ppm (as hydrochloric acid)

#### 5. Elemental analysis

Element	С	н	Cl
Theory (T)	53.06	7.79	39.15
Determined (D)	53.15 52.91	7.43 7.75	39.37 39.47
Percent D/T	99.94	97.43	100.7

#### 6. Gas chromatography

#### a. Impurity detection

Instrument: Varian 3700 Detector: Flame ionization Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 70 ml/min

System 1

Column: 80/100 Carbopack C/0.1% SP1000 Inlet temperature: 180° C Detector temperature: 250° C Oven temperature program: 50° C for 5 min, then 50° C to 210° C at 10° C/min Sample injected: Neat liquid (3 µl), and 1% and 0.5% solutions of dimethylvinyl chloride in o-dichlorobenzene to quantitate impurities and check detector linearity **Results:** A major peak and nine impurities were observed, six eluting before and three eluting after the major peak. Two of the largest impurities had areas of 0.30% and 0.76% of the major peak area. The largest impurity (0.76%) was identified as 3-chloro-2-methylpropene. The combined area of the remaining seven impurities was 0.65% of the major peak area. Two additional impurities were observed, one before and one after the major peak, with individual areas less than 0.01% of the major peak area.

Deel Ne	Retention	Retention Time Relative to	Area (percent of
<u>Peak No.</u>	<u>Time (min)</u>	<u>Major Peak</u>	<u>major peak)</u>
1 2	1.9 2.2	$^{0.17}_{0.20}$ }	0.42
3	5.2	0.47	0.09
4	<b>6.8-7.6</b>	0.61-0.68	0.02
5	8.0	0.72	0.30
6	8.8	0.79	0.76
7	11.1	1.00	100
8	11.6	1.05	0.03
9	12.1	1.09	0.08
10	14.8-15.2	1.33-1.37	0.01

#### System 2

Column: 10% Carbowax 20M-TPA on 80/100 Chromosorb W(AW), 1.8 m  $\times$  4 mm ID, glass

Inlet temperature: 200° C

Detector temperature: 270° C

**Oven temperature program:**  $50^{\circ}$  C for 5 min, then  $50^{\circ}$  C to  $200^{\circ}$  C at  $10^{\circ}$  C/min **Sample injected:** Neat liquid (4 µl) and 1% and 0.5% solutions of dimethylvinyl chloride in o-dichlorobenzene to quantitate impurities and check detector linearity

**Results:** A major peak and eight impurities were observed, one before and seven after the major peak. The relative areas of the two larger impurities were 0.72% and 0.32%. The impurity with a retention time of 1.81 minutes (peak no. 3) was identified as 3-chloro-2-methylpropene (Section I.B.6.b.) The remaining six impurities had a combined area of 0.40% of the major peak. One impurity with a relative area less than 0.01% was observed eluting before the major peak.

<u>Peak No.</u>	Retention <u>Time (min)</u>	Retention Time Relative to <u>Major Peak</u>	Area (percent of <u>major peak)</u>
1	0.63	0.53	0.10
2	1.18	1.00	100
3	1.81	1.53	0.72
4	2.83	2.40	0.32
5	3.74	3.17	0.15
6	4.80	4.07	0.06
7	9.61	8.13	0.05
8	10.39	8.80	0.02
9	15.20	12.87	0.02

**b.** Quantitation of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene: 3-Chloro-2-methylpropene (retention time 8.8 minutes) was identified by retention time and quantitated against standards with the system described in Section I.C.6.a.

The concentration of this impurity was determined to be 0.75%  $\pm$  0.02( $\delta$ )%.

7. Conclusions: Cumulative data indicated a purity of approximately 98%. The results of the elemental analysis for carbon, hydrogen, and chlorine agreed with the theoretical values. Water content by Karl Fischer titration was equal to or less than 0.01%. Titration indicated  $844 \pm 16(8)$  ppm acidic components, calculated as hydrochloric acid. One gas chromatographic system resolved a major peak and nine impurity peaks. The two largest peaks had areas of 0.30% and 0.76% relative to that of the major peak. The combined relative area for the remaining impurities was 0.65%. A second gas chromatographic system resolved a major peak and eight impurity peaks. The two largest peaks had relative areas of 0.72% and 0.32%; the combined relative area of the other peaks was 0.40%. The concentration of 3-chloro-2-methylpropene was found to be 0.75%  $\pm 0.02(8)$ % quantitated by gas chromatography.

#### D. Identification and quantitation of impurities in dimethylvinyl chloride

The purpose of this work was to identify and quantitate impurities, including epichlorohydrin, in two samples of dimethylvinyl chloride (lot nos. 062537 and 1103BH).

The two samples of dimethylvinyl chloride were analyzed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. The impurities were quantitated by gas chromatography with flame ionization detection. The identity and concentration of impurities with concentrations greater than or equal to 0.1% (w/w) are reported.

#### 1. Analytical methods

a. Identification by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry

#### Gas chromatography

Instrument: Varian 3700 Column: 1% SP1000 on 60/80 Carbopack B, 2.4 m  $\times$  2 mm ID, glass Injector temperature: 200° C Carrier Gas: Helium, 30 ml/min Oven temperature program: 40° C for 4 min, then 220° C at 10° C/min Volume Injected: 1 µl Samples injected: dimethylvinyl chloride (lot nos. 062537 and 1103BH) neat liquid and 10% (v/v) solutions in methanol; available impurity standards (0.4% v/v) in methanol

#### Mass spectrometry

Instrument: Finnigan MAT CH4-B (interfaced to the gas chromatograph with a single-stage glass jet separator) Temperatures: Transfer line: 250° C Helium separator: 250° C Ion source: 220° C Electron energy: 70 eV Trap current: 40µA Accelerating voltage: 3,000 V Resolution: 400 Scan range: 10 to 300 amu Scan rate: 3 sec/scan Scan times (sec): Up - 1.75, Top - 0.05, Down - 0.70, Bottom - 0.50 Data system: Incos 2400

#### b. Quantitation by gas chromatography/flame ionization detection

The gas chromatographic system described in Section I.D.1.a was used for impurity quantitation with the following changes:

Detector: Flame ionization Detector temperature:  $250^{\circ}$  C Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 30 ml/min Volume injected: ~  $1.5 \,\mu$ l Solutions injected: 5% (v/v) solutions of dimethylvinyl chloride (lot nos. 062537 and 1103BH) in methanol, standards, in methanol, at concentrations bracketing those observed for impurities and containing *n*-butanol internal standard

#### c. Epichlorohydrin analysis by gas chromatography/flame ionization detection

The following gas chromatography/flame ionization detection system was developed to confirm the results of gas chromatographic/mass spectrometric analysis of epichlorohydrin.

The gas chromatographic system described above (Section I.D.2) was used with the following changes.

Column: 20% SP2401/0.1% Carbowax 1500 on 100/120 Supelcoport, 1.8 m × 4 mm ID, glass Column temperature program: 40°C, isothermal

**Carrier gas:** Nitrogen, 70 ml/min

Volume injected:  $\sim 2.5 \,\mu l$ 

**Solutions injected:** 10% (v/v) solutions of dimethylvinyl chloride (lot nos. 062537 and 1103BH) in methanol; 0.005% (v/v) solutions of epichlorohydrin in methanol

#### 2. Results

#### a. Dimethylvinyl chloride: lot no. 062537

The reconstructed ion current (RIC) and gas chromatograms obtained for this lot indicated that the sample contained numerous ( $\geq 19$ ) impurities (Figure 11). The six impurity peaks estimated to be present at concentrations greater than or equal to 0.1% (w/w) were identified.

The identification and quantitation of these six impurity peaks, as well as analyses for epichlorohydrin, are discussed below.

#### Impurity peak no. 1

Peak no. 1 in the RIC was composed of two coeluting impurities: acetone and methylpropene. The identity of acetone was confirmed by comparison of mass spectral and retention time data with an authentic standard and a reference spectrum (EPA/NIH, 1978). The coeluting impurity, methylpropene, was tentatively identified by comparing its mass spectrum to a literature reference (EPA/NIH, 1978).

When quantitated against an acetone standard, the combined concentration of these two impurities was estimated at  $0.12\% \pm 0.01(s)\%$  (w/w).

#### Impurity peak no. 2: t-butanol (2-methyl-2-propanol)

Impurity peak no. 2 was identified as t-butanol on the basis of mass spectral and retention time coincidence to an authentic standard and a literature reference (EPA/NIH, 1978). When quantitated against a t-butanol standard, this impurity was determined to be present at a concentration of  $0.16\% \pm 0.01(s)\%$  (w/w).

#### Impurity peak no. 3: t-butyl chloride (2-chloro-2-methylpropane)

Impurity peak no. 3 was identified as t-butyl chloride (2-chloro-2-methylpropane). The identity of this impurity was confirmed by comparison of the mass spectral and retention time coincidence with an authentic standard and a reference spectrum (EPA/NIH, 1978). When quantitated against a t-butyl chloride standard, this impurity was determined to be present at a concentration of 0.91  $\pm$  0.02(s)% (w/w).

An impurity, tentatively identified as chloroform from a comparison of its mass spectrum and a reference spectrum (EPA/NIH, 1978), was observed to coelute with the *t*-butyl chloride impurity. This impurity was estimated to be present at a concentration of less than 0.1% (w/w), on the basis of gas chromatographic/mass spectrometric data.



FIGURE 11. RECONSTRUCTED ION CURRENT CHROMATOGRAM OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (LOT NO. 062537)



FIGURE 12. RECONSTRUCTED ION CURRENT CHROMATOGRAM OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (LOT NO. 1103BH)

#### Impurity peak no. 4: 3-chloro-2-methylpropene

Impurity peak no. 4 was identified as 3-chloro-2-methylpropene. The identity of this impurity was confirmed by comparison of the mass spectral and retention time data with that of a concurrently analyzed standard and a reference spectrum (EPA/NIH, 1978). Quantitation of this impurity against a 3-chloro-2-methylpropene standard indicated that 3-chloro-2-methylpropene was present in the sample at a concentration of  $0.68\% \pm 0.01(s)\%$  (w/w).

#### Impurity peak no. 5: 1,2-dichloro-2-methylpropane

The retention time as mass spectrum of impurity no. 5 was consistent with that observed for a concurrently analyzed 1,2-dichloro-2-methylpropane standard. The reference spectrum (EPA/NIH, 1978) did not match either the impurity spectrum or a spectrum of the standard. However, infrared and nuclear magnetic resonance spectra for the standard were consistent with the structure of 1,2-dichloro-2-methylpropane and agreed with literature spectra (Sadtler Standard Spectra) for this compound.

Diagnostic ions in the spectrum of the impurity and standard were as follows:

	Intensity (percent of base peak)		
<u>m/z</u>	Impurity	Standard	
126 (M <sup>+</sup> )	(not obs	served)	
111 (M <sup>+</sup> -CH <sub>3</sub> )	2.4	2.3	
91 (M <sup>+</sup> -Cl)	8.8	8.5	
79	31.3	30.3	
77 (M <sup>+</sup> -CH <sub>2</sub> Cl)	100	100	
55	17.2	18.6	
49	5.0	5.2	
41	25.4	27.3	
39	12.6	13.7	

On the basis of quantitation against a 1,2-dichloro-2-methylpropane standard, this impurity was determined to be present at a concentration of  $1.04\% \pm 0.08(s)\%$  (w/w). The presence of this impurity in the sample can be rationalized as arising from the addition of hydrochloric acid (present as a precursor during synthesis or as a trace impurity) to the double bond of dimethylvinyl chloride.

#### Impurity peak no. 6: 2,2,4-trimethyl-3-hydroxypentanal

The mass spectrum of impurity no. 6 was consistent with 2,2,4-trimethyl-3-hydroxypentanal, an aldol condensation product of isobutyraldehyde. The presence of this impurity can be rationalized from the probable synthetic route for dimethylvinyl chloride (Merck, 1983) (e.g., reaction of isobutyraldehyde with hydrochloric acid).



#### 2,2,4-TRIMETHYL-3-HYDROXYPENTANAL

No standard was found for comparative analysis. No literature reference spectrum was found.

Diagnostic ions in the spectrum for this impurity were as follows:

<u>m/z</u>	Intensity (percent of base peak)
144 (M) <sup>+</sup>	(not observed)
143 (M-H) <sup>+</sup>	1.5
129 (M-CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>+</sup>	1.0
$111 (M-CH_3-H_2O)^+$	0.5
$101 (M-C_3H_7)^+$	100
$86 (M-C_3H_7-CH_3)^+$	4.8
$73(C_4H_9O)^+$	60.5
$71 (C_4 H_7 O)^+$	12.8
$56 (M-C_4H_9O-CH_2O)^+$	49.6
$55 (M-C_4H_9O-H_2O)^+$	74.9

This impurity was quantitated at  $0.92\% \pm 0.03(s)\%$  (w/w) against a 3-chloro-2methylpropene standard by gas chromatography/flame ionization detection.

#### **Epichlorohydrin determination**

Epichlorohydrin was not observed in the gas chromatographic/mass spectrometry analysis of lot no. 062537. Due to the presence of numerous impurities, the detection limit for the chromatographic/mass spectrometric analysis was 0.05% (w/w). When the dimethylvinyl chloride was analyzed by gas chromatography/flame ionization detection by the instrument parameters described in Section II.D.3., the detection limit for epichlorohydrin in dimethylvinyl chloride, lot no. 062537 was 0.03% (w/w).

Based on these results, lot no. 062537 does not contain epichlorohydrin at a concentration of greater than or equal to 0.03% (w/w).

#### b. Dimethylvinyl chloride: lot no. 1103BH

The reconstructed ion current (Figure 12) and gas chromatograms obtained from analysis of this lot indicated that the sample contained numerous ( $\geq 12$ ) impurities. Four of these impurity peaks were determined to be present at concentrations greater than or equal to 0.1% (w/w). The identification and quantitation of these four impurity peaks, as well as analysis for epichlorohydrin, are discussed below.

#### Impurity peak no. 1: acrylonitrile

The retention time and mass spectrum of peak no. 1 were consistent with those of a concurrently analyzed acrylonitrile standard. The mass spectra of the impurity and the standard agreed with a reference spectrum (EPA/NIH, 1978). This impurity was quantitated at  $0.14\% \pm 0.01(s)\%$  (w/w) against an acrylonitrile standard.

#### Impurity peak no. 2: t-butyl chloride (2-chloro-2-methylpropane)

The retention time and mass spectrum of peak no. 2 were consistent with those of a concurrently analyzed t-butyl chloride standards and with a reference spectrum (EPA/NIH, 1978). A coeluting impurity, tentatively identified as chloroform, was also observed. Chloroform was also tentatively detected in lot no. 062537. The cumulative concentration of the t-butyl chloride and chloroform impurities was quantitated at  $0.10\% \pm 0.00(s)\%$  (w/w) against a t-butyl chloride standard.

#### Impurity peak no. 3: 3-chloro-2-methylpropene

The retention time and mass spectrum of peak no. 3 were consistent with those observed for a concurrently analyzed 3-chloro-2-methylpropene standard. The mass spectra of the impurity and the standard were consistent with a reference spectrum (EPA/NIH, 1978) for 3-chloro-2-methylpropene. Quantitation of this impurity versus a 3-chloro-2-methylpropene standard indicated it was present in the sample at a concentration of  $1.18\% \pm 0.02(s)\%$  (w/w).

#### Impurity peak no. 4: 1,2-dichloro-2-methylpropane

The retention time and mass spectrum of peak no. 4 were consistent with those observed for a concurrently analyzed 1,2-dichloro-2-methylpropane standard. As discussed above for lot no. 062537, the EPA/NIH literature spectrum did not match that of the impurity or the spectrum of the standard. The fragmentation observed for this impurity agreed with that observed for the 1,2-dichloro-2-methylpropane standard and the impurity observed in lot no. 062537. This impurity was quantitated at  $0.10\% \pm 0.01(s)\%$  (w/w) versus a 1,2-dichloro-2-methylpropane standard.

#### **Epichlorohydrin determination**

No evidence for the presence of epichlorohydrin was observed in this sample of dimethylvinyl chloride. Due to the presence of numerous impurities, the detection limit for epichlorohydrin was 0.02% (w/w) by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Similar analyses could not be performed with gas chromatography/flame ionization detection (Section II.D.3) because of the presence of impurities coeluting with epichlorohydrin.

Based on these results, lot no. 1103BH did not contain epichlorohydrin at levels greater than or equal to 0.02% (w/w).

#### 3. Summary of results

Analysis of lot no. 062537 indicated six impurity peaks with concentrations greater than 0.1% of the total weight. These six peaks represent eight compounds. Five of these impurities were identified by comparison of the retention times and mass spectra with authentic standards. These impurities were acetone, t-butanol, t-butyl chloride, 3-chloro-2-methylpropene, and 1,2-dichloro-2-methylpropane. Tentative identification of three additional impurities was based on mass spectral interpretation and consideration of the route of synthesis. These impurities were chloroform, methylpropene, and 2,2,4-trimethyl-3-hydroxypentanal, an aldol condensation product of isobutyraldehyde.

Analysis of lot no. 1103BH indicated four impurity peaks with concentrations greater than 0.1% of the total weight. These four peaks represent five compounds. Four of these impurities were identified by comparison of the retention times and mass spectra with authentic standards. These impurities were acrylonitrile, *t*-butyl chloride, 3-chloro-2-methylpropene, and 1,2-dichloro-2-methylpropane. The fifth impurity, tentatively identified as chloroform, coeluted with *t*-butyl chloride.

The results of the identification and quantitation of impurities in these lots of dimethylvinyl chloride are presented in Table H1.

Epichlorohydrin was not detected in either lot of dimethylvinyl chloride. The estimated detection limits for epichlorohydrin were: 0.03%, w/w (gas chromatography/flame ionization detection) in lot no. 062537; and 0.02%, w/w (gas chromatography/mass spectrometry) in lot no. 1103BH.

Peak No.	Impurity	Concentration (percent, w/w)
no. 062537 (b)		
1	Acetone Methylpropene	(c) $0.12 \pm 0.01$ (s
2	t-Butanol	$0.16 \pm 0.01$ (s
3	<i>t</i> -Butyl chloride Chloroform	$0.91 \pm 0.02$ (s
4	3-Chloro-2-methylpropene	$0.68 \pm 0.01$ (s
5	1,2-Dichloro-2-methylpropane	$1.04 \pm 0.08$ (s
6	2,2,4-Trimethyl-3- hydroxypentanal	(d) $0.92 \pm 0.03$ (s
t no. 1103BH (e)		
1	Acrylonitrile	$0.14 \pm 0.01$ (s)
2	t-Butyl chloride Chloroform	$0.10 \pm 0.00$ (s)
3	3-Chloro-2-methylpropene	$1.18 \pm 0.02$ (s)
4	1,2-Dichloro-2-methylpropane	$0.10 \pm 0.01$ (s)

## TABLE H1. IDENTITY AND CONCENTRATION OF IMPURITIES OBSERVED IN DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (a)

(a) Detected at concentrations greater than 0.1% (w/w)
(b) Figure 11
(c) Quantitated by comparison to an acetone standard
(d) Quantitated by comparison to a 3-chloro-2-methylpropene standard
(e) Figure 12

## II. Stability of Dimethylvinyl Chloride Lot No. 062537 Performed by the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory

- A. Sample storage: Samples were stored for 2 weeks at  $-20^{\circ}$ , 5°, 25°, or  $60^{\circ}$  C.
- B. Analytical method: Gas chromatography

Instrument: Bendix 2500 Detector: Flame ionization Column: 20% SP2100/0.1% Carbowax 1500 on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport, 4 mm × 1.8 m, glass Inlet temperature: 100° C Detector temperature: 28.5° C Oven temperature: 30° C, isothermal Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 40 ml/min Retention time of major component: 2.4 min

C. Results: Six impurities were detected and quantified at the detection level used for this study. The areas of the impurity peaks were identical within the error of the analysis in the  $-20^{\circ}$ , 5°, and 25° C samples. The results for the 60° C samples indicated lower areas for impurities with retention times from 0.34 to 1.37 minutes as compared with the major peak and larger areas for those impurities with retention times greater than three times the major peak. This difference in the 60° C samples was probably due to the volatility of these components (boiling point of the major component, 62° C).

Storage Temperature	Area of the Major Peak <u>Relative to the – 20° C Sample</u>
– 20° C	100% ± 4%
5° C	$100\% \pm 4\%$
25° C	$100\% \pm 4\%$
60° C	<b>99%</b> ± 4%

**D.** Conclusion: Dimethylvinyl chloride is stable as the bulk chemical when stored for 2 weeks at temperatures up to 25° C. At 60° C, the major component and some impurities are probably volatilized from the container.

#### III. Stability Study of Dimethylvinyl Chloride at the Study Laboratory

A. Storage conditions: Bulk, 4°C Reference, -20°C or less

#### **B.** Analytical methods

#### 1. Gas chromatography

Instrument: Hewlett Packard 5880 or 5840 with 7672A liquid sampler Detector: Flame ionization Inlet temperature: 200°-270° C Detector temperature: 200°-270° C Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 20 or 40 ml/min Column: 20% SP2100/0.1% Carbowax 1500 on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport, 1.8 m × 2 mm ID, silanized glass Oven temperature: 50° C for 5 min; 50°-200° C at 10° C/min; 200° C for 0, 5, or 10 min Sample injection: 1 or 3 µl neat liquid; 1.0% and 0.5% dimethylvinyl chloride in odichlorobenzene to quantitate the major peak and to check for column and/or detector overloading

#### 2. Infrared spectroscopy

Instrument: Perkin Elmer Model 398, 457, or 283B Cell: Neat liquid between potassium bromide or sodium chloride plates

#### C. Results

		Percent Purity				Number of Impurities			
Date of		Bulk		Reference		Bulk		Reference	
Analysis	Lot No.	Neat	1% Solution	Neat	1% Solution	Neat	1% Solution	Neat	1% Solution
10/07/78 (a)	062537	96.3		95.8		14		14	
10/07/78		99.1		99.2		13		15	
06/13/78 (a)				95.7				8	
07/09/79		94.0		94.3		11		12	
12/18/79 (b)					96.6				3
05/08/80 (b)			96.8		96.4		4		3
09/09/80 (b)			96.7		96.7		7		7
01/09/81		89.7	94.8	95.6	97.0	53	10	43	5
01/09/81	KD090967	98.9	99.6			39	5		
03/05/81	1103BH	99.0	99.7			14	2		
07/08/81		98.5	<b>99</b> .5	98.8	99.6	25		19	
10/06/81		98.4	99.5	97.9	99.5	28		28	
02/24/82		98.4	99.8	98.4	99.8	19		21	
06/11/82		98.9	99.5	98.5	99.4	14		26	
10/25/82		98.8	99.3	98.4	99.4	13		22	
02/25/83		98.1	99.5	98.8	99.5	37		17	

#### 1. Gas chromatography

(a) Column used: 10% Carbowax 20M-TPA Supelcoport W(AW), 1.8 m  $\times$  2 mm ID, glass

(b) Values for 1% solution alone reported due to overloading of analytical column during analysis of neat material

2. Infrared spectroscopy: All bulk spectra were comparable to the reference spectra and with the spectra supplied by the analytical chemistry laboratory.

### **APPENDIX I**

### **PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION**

### **OF DOSE MIXTURES**

211

## I. Room Temperature Stability Study Conducted at the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory (Lot no. 062537)

- A. Sample preparation and storage: Two milliliters of corn oil was transferred into an 8.5-ml septum vial, which was then sealed and weighed. Approximately 120 mg of dimethylvinyl chloride was then injected with a microliter syringe, and the vial was reweighed. The sample was agitated on a vortex mixer for 30 seconds and then stored at room temperature in the dark for the appropriate period of time. Solutions (6.0% w/v) of dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil were prepared in duplicate for storage at 0, 5, 6, and 7 days.
- **B.** Sample extraction and analysis: At the end of each storage period, the appropriate samples were extracted with 2 ml of absolute methanol that was injected into the vials with a 2-ml syringe. The two-phase mixtures were thoroughly agitated on a vortex mixer for 1 minute and placed in an ultrasonic vibratory bath for 1 minute. Aliquots for analysis were removed directly from the upper (methanol) layer of each sample by microliter syringe and analyzed by the gas chromatographic system described below.

Instrument: Bendix 2500 Column: 20% SP2100/0.1% Carbowax 1500 on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport, 4 m × 1.8 mm, glass Detection: Flame ionization Inlet temperature: 100° C Oven temperature: 30° C, isothermal Detector temperature: 28.5° C Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 40 ml/min Retention time: 2.4 min

C. Results

Average Percent Chemical Found in <u>Chemical/Vehicle Mixture (a, b)</u>			
$6.0 \pm 0.5$			
$4.8 \pm 0.4$			
$5.5 \pm 0.4$			
$5.4\pm0.4$			

(a) Corrected for a spiked recovery of 83.0%  $\pm$  9.0%.

(b) Target concentration of chemical in corn oil,  $6.04\% \pm 0.04\%$ .

**D. Conclusion:** Dimethylvinyl chloride mixed with corn oil at the 6.0% concentration is stable, within the error limits of this study, when stored in the dark at room temperature (25° C) for 7 days.

## II. Stability Study of Dimethylvinyl Chloride in Corn Oil Conducted by the Study Laboratory

A. Methods: Solutions of dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil were prepared at concentrations of 20 mg/ml and 40 mg/ml and stored at 25° C. Samples were analyzed 5, 20, and 44 days after preparation. The analytical procedure was the same as that described in Appendix J, Section I.

#### **B.** Results

Storage Time (days)	Target	Actual	Percent of	
	Concentration	Concentration	Target	
	(mg/ml)	(a)	Concentration	
5	20	20.4	102.0	
20	20	23.8	119.0	
44	20	18.5	92.5	
5	40	40.6	101.5	
20	40	44.5	111.3	
44	40	40.0	100.0	

(a) Results of duplicate analysis

C. Conclusion: Solutions of dimethylvinyl chloride in corn oil at concentrations of 20 and 40 mg/ml were stable after 44 days' storage at 25° C.
### **APPENDIX J**

### METHODS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES

#### I. Study Laboratory

#### A. Procedure:

- 1. **Preparation of standard solutions:** An internal standard solution (ISTD) of 1% (v/v) *t*-butanol in methanol was prepared. A stock dimethylvinyl chloride standard solution was prepared by weighing approximately 2.50 g (1.25 g after 3/81) of dimethylvinyl chloride into a 25-ml volumetric flask, brought to final volume with undosed corn oil.
- 2. Preparation of calibration standards: A set of six calibration standards was prepared by adding 100, 200, 400, 600, 800, and 1,000 µl of the stock dimethylvinyl chloride standard solution to six 50-ml capacity centrifuge tubes. The volume of corn oil in each tube was brought to final volume of 1.00 ml with undosed corn oil.
- **3. Preparation of gavage samples:** After they were thoroughly shaken, 1.00-ml (SMI pipettor) aliquots of each gavage sample were added to centrifuge tubes in duplicate.
- 4. Extraction of samples: Added to each centrifuge tube was 5.00 ml of ISTD solution. The tubes were then sealed and shaken in a shaker box for 10 minutes at 1,500 rpm. An aliquot of each methanol extract was placed in crimp cap sampler vials for gas chromatographic analysis.

#### B. Gas chromatographic analysis

Instrument: HP-5880A with 7672 ALS Column: 100/120 mesh Chromosorb 102 on a 1.8 m × 2mm ID, silanized glass Detection: Flame ionization Detector temperature: 275°C Injector temperature: 225°C Column Temperature: 150°C, isothermal Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 40 ml/min

#### II. Analytical Chemistry Laboratory

- A. Preparation of spiked corn oil standards: Two standard solutions of dimethylvinyl chloride in methanol were prepared independently. The solutions were diluted with methanol to make four additional standards. Aliquots (20 ml) of the six standard solutions were pipetted into individual 35-ml septum vials containing 2 g of undosed corn oil to make spiked corn oil standards bracketing the specified concentration range of the referee sample. One 35-ml septum vial containing 2 g of undosed corn oil was treated with 20 ml of methanol for use as a blank. After the vials were sealed with Teflon®-lined septa, the spiked corn oil and the corn oil blank were used in the analysis procedure described below.
- **B.** Preparation of referee sample: Three portions (~ 2 g each) of the referee corn oil sample were transferred to individually tared 35-ml septum vials and weighed to the nearest 0.001 g. Methanol (20 ml) was pipetted into each vial; then the vials were sealed and analyzed immediately by the procedure described below.

C. Analysis procedure: Vials containing the samples, standards, and the blank were agitated for 10 seconds on a vortex mixer and then shaken at maximum stroke for 15 minutes on a Burrell Model 75 Wrist-Action<sup>®</sup> shaker. After the extraction mixtures were centrifuged for 3 minutes, a 5-ml aliquot of the methanol layer from each vial was combined with 5 ml of internal standard solution (heptane in methanol, 1.5 mg/ml). The solutions were thoroughly mixed; then the dimethylvinyl chloride content was determined by the gas chromatographic system described below.

Instrument: Varian 3700 gas chromatograph with autosampler and Varian CDS 111-C integrator Column: 20% SP-2100/0.1% Carbowax 1500 on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport, 1.8 m × 4 mm ID, glass, silanized Detection: Flame ionization Detector temperature: 150° or 200° C Inlet temperature: 100° or 150° C Oven temperature: 50° C isothermal Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 30 ml/min Volume of solution injected: 3 µl

The total amount of dimethylvinyl chloride in the referee corn oil samples was computed from the linear regression equations obtained from the standard data.

**D.** Quality assurance measures: The referee corn oil sample was analyzed in triplicate, and the undosed corn oil sample was analyzed once. Individually spiked portions of undosed corn oil (six concentrations bracketing the specified concentration range of the referee sample) were prepared from two independently weighed standards and were used for obtaining standard data. Triplicate injections of each standard and sample were made into the gas chromatograph in a randomized order. All determinations were related to an internal standard incorporated into the sample solutions.

### APPENDIX K

### **RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES**

	Concentrat	ion (a) of Dimeth for <u>Target Conc</u> e	ylvinyl Chloride	in Corn Oil	
Date Mixed	Mi	ce	Rats		
	10	20	20	40	
06/19/80			(b) 24.8	(b) 46.9	
06/26/80			21.1	40.4	
07/02/80			20.4	40.6	
08/14/80			20.4	39.6	
10/09/80			19.6	38.7	
12/04/80			(b,c) 23.3	(c) <b>39.4</b>	
12/10/80			(b,c) 28.7	(b,c) 54.1	
12/16/80			(c) 18.7	(c) 37.5	
			(c) 18.6	(d) 34.5	
12/23/80			(0) = 010	(e) 35.7	
12/29/80			20.7	38.3	
			21.3	39.8	
01/13/81			19.2	(d) 46.2	
01/10/01			21.4	42.5	
01/19/81			41.4	(e) 42.7	
01/29/81			21.6	41.5	
03/12/81	9.4	20.2	21.0	41.5	
03/26/81	9.4 10.5	20.2	20,6	41.9	
05/21/81	10.5	20.9	20.8	43.3	
	10.5	22.1 21.2		43.5	
07/16/81			20.8		
09/10/81	9.4	19.3	19.6	37.1	
11/05/81	10.8	20.6	21.1	40.2	
12/30/81	10.3	22.1	20.6	39.9	
02/25/82	(f) 19.4	(f) 41.2	(f) 10.7	(f) 21.0	
	(d,e) 11.6	(d,e) 23.6	(e) 22.5	(e) <b>43.6</b>	
03/03/82	(g) 11.7	(g) 22.1			
03/05/82	10.7				
04/22/82	(b) 8.8	20.6	19.5	39. <del>9</del>	
06/17/82	(d) 12.3	21.8			
06/21/82	(e) 9.7				
08/12/82	(d) 11.6	(d) 22.7			
08/17/82	(e) 9.5	(e) 19.4			
10/07/82	10.8	(d) 23.9			
10/13/82		(e) 22.0			
12/02/82	(d) 13.2	(d) 23.0			
12/09/82	(e) 10.3	(e) 10.3			
12/14/82	10.1				
12/23/82	9.4	19.0			
01/17/83	(h) 10.5	(e) 20.5			
01/27/83	9.9	20.0			
Mean (mg/ml)	10.5	21.2	21.1	41.1	
Standard deviation	1.13	1.43	2.26	4.09	
Coefficient of variation (percent)		6.7	10.7	10.0	
Range (mg/ml)	8.8-13.2	19.0-23.9	18.6-28.7	34.5-54.1	
Number of samples	16	14	21	21	

#### TABLE K1. RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEAR GAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE (a)

(a) The data presented are the mean of duplicate analysis.
(b) Out of specifications; used in the study.
(c) Used for 3 days only

(d) Out of specifications; not used in studies.
(e) Remix; not included in mean.

(f) Probable labeling error; not used in study or included in the mean. (g) Remix out of specifications; not used in study or included in the mean. (h) Remix for referee analysis; not used in studies.

		<b>Determined Concentration</b>		
Date Mixed	Target Concentration (mg/ml)	Study Laboratory (a)	Referee Laboratory (b)	
08/14/80	40	39.6	37.4	
03/12/81	10	9.4	9.4	
11/05/81	20	20.6	19.4	
06/21/82	10	9.7	9.9	
12/02/82	20	23.0	17.5	
01/17/83	10	10.5	9.7	

# TABLE K2. RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS OF DOSE MIXTURES IN THE TWO-YEARGAVAGE STUDIES OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE

(a) Results of duplicate analysis(b) Results of triplicate analysis

.

## APPENDIX L

### SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

#### I. Methods

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program are produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect study results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via viral serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the study rooms. These animals are untreated, and these animals and the study animals are both subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals come from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Fifteen  $B6C3F_1$  mice and 15 F344/N rats of each sex are selected at the time of randomization and allocation of the animals to the various study groups. Five animals of each designated sentinel group are killed at 6, 12, and 18 months on study. Data from animals surviving 24 months are collected from 5/50 randomly selected vehicle control animals of each sex and species. The blood from each animal is collected and clotted, and the serum is separated. The serum is cooled on ice and shipped to Microbiological Associates' Comprehensive Animal Diagnostic Service for determination of the viral antibody titers. The following tests are performed:

	Hemagglutination <u>Inhibition</u>	Complement <u>Fixation</u>	<u>ELISA</u>
Mice	PVM (pneumonia virus of mice) Reo 3 (reovirus type 3) GDVII (Theiler's encephalomyelitis virus) Poly (polyoma virus) MVM (minute virus of mice) Ectro (infectious ectromelia) Sendai	M.Ad. (mouse adenovirus) LCM (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus) Sendai MHV (mouse hepatitis virus)	MHV (mouse hepatitis virus) (12 mo restart)
Rats	PVM KRV (Kilham rat virus) H-1 (Toolan's H-1 virus)	RCV (rat coronavirus) Sendai	
II. Re	sults		

No positive serologic reactions were seen in rats or mice at any of the intervals tested.

### APPENDIX M

# INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Pelleted Diet: April 1980 to January 1983

(Manufactured by Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA)

225

Ingredients (b)	Percent by Weight
Ground #2 yellow shelled corn	24.50
Ground hard winter wheat	23.00
Soybean meal (49% protein)	12.00
Fish meal (60% protein)	10.00
Wheat middlings	10.00
Dried skim milk	5.00
Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17% protein)	4.00
Corn gluten meal (60% protein)	3.00
Soy oil	2.50
Brewer's dried yeast	2.00
Dry molasses	1.50
Dicalcium phosphate	1.25
Ground limestone	0.50
Salt	0.50
Premixes (vitamin and mineral)	0.25

#### TABLE M1. INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

(a) NIH, 1978; NCI, 1976

(b) Ingredients should be ground to pass through a U.S. Standard Screen No. 16 before being mixed.

	Amount	Source
Vitamins		
Α	5,500,000 IU	Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate
D <sub>3</sub>	4,600,000 IU	D-activated animal sterol
K <sub>3</sub>	2.8 g	Menadione activity
d-a-Tocopheryl acets		•
Choline	560.0 g	Choline chloride
Folic acid	2.2 g	
Niacin	30.0 g	
d-Pantothenic acid	18.0 g	d-Calcium pantothenate
Riboflavin	3.4 g	-
Thiamine	10.0 g	Thiamine mononitrate
B <sub>12</sub>	<b>4</b> ,000 μg	
Pyridoxine	1.7 g	Pyridoxine hydrochloride
Biotin	140.0 mg	d-Biotin
Minerals		
Iron	120.0 g	Iron sulfate
Manganese	60.0 g	Manganous oxide
Zinc	16.0 g	Zincoxide
Copper	4.0 g	Copper sulfate
Iodine	1.4 g	Calcium iodate
Cobalt	0.4 g	Cobalt carbonate

#### TABLE M2. VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

(a) Per ton (2,000 lb) of finished product

Crude fiber (percent by weight) $3.28 \pm 0.44$ $1.4.4.3$ $33$ Ash (percent by weight) $6.57 \pm 0.47$ $5.8.7.4$ $33$ Essential Amino Acids (percent of total diet) $32$ Arginine $1.260$ $1.21.1.31$ $2$ Cystine $0.395$ $0.39.0.40$ $2$ Glycine $1.175$ $1.15.1.20$ $2$ Isoleucine $0.908$ $0.881.0.934$ $2$ Leucine $1.905$ $1.85.1.96$ $2$ Lysine $1.250$ $1.20.1.30$ $2$ Methionine $0.310$ $0.366.0.914$ $2$ Thronine $0.834$ $0.827.0.840$ $2$ Tryptophan $0.175$ $0.171.0.178$ $2$ Tryptophan $0.087$ $0.6660.607$ $2$ Value $1.085$ $1.05-1.12$ $2$ Sesential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet) $1$ $1$ Linoleic $2.37$ $1$ $1$ Linoleic $2.37$ $1$ $1$ Choropherol (ppm) $37.6$	Nutrient	Mean	Range	No. of Samples	
Crude fibr (percent by weight)         4.94 $\pm$ 0.43         4.1-5.7         33           Such (bercenet by weight)         3.28 $\pm$ 0.44         1.4.4.3         33           Such (percent by weight)         3.28 $\pm$ 0.44         1.4.4.3         33           Such (percent by weight)         6.57 $\pm$ 0.47         5.8-7.4         33           Essential Amino Acids (percent of total diet)         2         39         2           Arginine         1.260         1.21-1.31         2         2           Cystine         0.395         0.39-0.40         2         2           Glycine         1.175         1.15-1.20         2         2           Histidine         0.553         0.530-0.576         2         2           Locine         1.905         1.85-1.96         2         2           Phenylalavine         0.967         0.960-0.974         2         2           Tyropina         0.175         0.171-0.178         2         2           Tyropina         0.587         0.566-0.607         2         2           Valine         1.085         1.06-1.12         2         2           Sesential Fatry Acids (percent of total diet)         1         1         1         2<	Crude protein (percent by weight)	23.95 ± 0.83	22.7-26.1	33	
Crude fiber (percent by weight) $3.28 \pm 0.44$ $1.4.4.3$ $33$ Ash (percent by weight) $6.57 \pm 0.47$ $5.8.7.4$ $33$ Essential Amino Acids (percent of total diet) $32$ Arginine $1.260$ $1.21.1.31$ $2$ Cystine $0.395$ $0.39.0.40$ $2$ Glycine $1.175$ $1.15.1.20$ $2$ Isoleucine $0.908$ $0.881.0.934$ $2$ Leucine $1.905$ $1.85.1.96$ $2$ Lysine $1.250$ $1.20.1.30$ $2$ Methionine $0.310$ $0.366.0.914$ $2$ Thronine $0.834$ $0.827.0.840$ $2$ Tryptophan $0.175$ $0.171.0.178$ $2$ Tryptophan $0.087$ $0.6660.607$ $2$ Value $1.085$ $1.05-1.12$ $2$ Sesential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet) $1$ $1$ Linoleic $2.37$ $1$ $1$ Linoleic $2.37$ $1$ $1$ Choropherol (ppm) $37.6$	Crude fat (percent by weight)	$4.94 \pm 0.43$	4.1-5.7	33	
Essential Amino Acids (percent of total diet)         Arginine       1.260       1.21-1.31       2         Cystine       0.395       0.39-0.40       2         Glycine       1.175       1.15-1.20       2         Histidine       0.553       0.530-0.576       2         Isoleucine       0.908       0.881-0.934       2         Leucine       1.905       1.85-1.96       2         Lysine       1.250       1.20-1.30       2         Methionine       0.310       0.306-0.974       2         Threonine       0.684       0.827-0.840       2         Tryptophan       0.175       0.171-0.178       2         Tyrosine       0.587       0.566-0.607       2         Valine       1.085       1.05-1.12       2         Essential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)       1       1         Linoleic       2.37       1       1         Inolenic       0.308       1       1         Arachidonic       0.008       1       1         /itamins       1       7.20-17,000       33       1         Vitamin A (IU/kg)       10,633 ± 2,948       7,200-17,000       33       1	Crude fiber (percent by weight)	$3.28 \pm 0.44$	1.4-4.3	33	
Arginine         1.260         1.21-1.31         2           Cystine         0.395         0.39-0.40         2           Glycine         1.175         1.15-1.20         2           Histidine         0.553         0.530-0.576         2           Isoleucine         0.908         0.881-0.934         2           Leucine         1.905         1.85-1.96         2           Lysine         1.250         1.20-1.30         2           Methionine         0.310         0.306-0.314         2           Phenylalanine         0.967         0.960-0.974         2           Tryropinan         0.175         0.171-0.178         2           Tyrosine         0.587         0.566-0.607         2           Valine         1.085         1.05-1.12         2           Sesential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)         1         1           Linolenic         0.308         1         1           Arachidonic         0.008         1         1           /tamin A (IU/kg)         10.633 ± 2.948         7,200-17,000         33           Vitamin A (IU/kg)         10.633 ± 2.948         7,200-17,000         33           Vitamin M (U/kg)	Ash (percent by weight)	$6.57 \pm 0.47$	5.8-7.4	33	
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} C_y^{\mbox{-}tine} & 0.395 & 0.39-0.40 & 2 \\ Glycine & 1.175 & 1.15-1.20 & 2 \\ Histidine & 0.553 & 0.530-0.576 & 2 \\ Isoleucine & 0.908 & 0.881-0.934 & 2 \\ Leucine & 1.905 & 1.851.96 & 2 \\ Lysine & 1.250 & 1.20-1.30 & 2 \\ Methionine & 0.310 & 0.306-0.314 & 2 \\ Phenylalanine & 0.967 & 0.960-0.974 & 2 \\ Threonine & 0.834 & 0.827-0.840 & 2 \\ Tryptophan & 0.175 & 0.171-0.178 & 2 \\ Tryptophan & 0.175 & 0.171-0.178 & 2 \\ Tyrosine & 0.6597 & 0.566-0.607 & 2 \\ Valine & 1.085 & 1.05-1.12 & 2 \\ \hline \\ Linoleic & 2.37 & 1 \\ Linoleic & 0.308 & 1 \\ Arachidonic & 0.008 & 1 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ ritamins & \\ \hline \\ ritamin (ppm) & 6.39 & 6.1.7.4 & 2 \\ Niacin (ppm) & 7.5 & 65.85 & 2 \\ Pantothenic acid (ppm) & 37.6 & 31.1-44.0 & 2 \\ \hline \\ This & 0.2 & 29.830.5 & 2 \\ Pantothenic acid (ppm) & 7.2 & 5.6-8.8 & 2 \\ Pantothenic acid (ppm) & 7.2 & 5.6-8.8 & 2 \\ Folic acid (ppm) & 7.2 & 5.6-8.8 & 2 \\ Folic acid (ppm) & 0.24 & 0.21-0.27 & 2 \\ \hline \\ Fiotani (ppm) & 0.24 & 0.21-0.27 & 2 \\ \hline \\ Choline (ppm) & 3.315 & 3.200-3,430 & 2 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Galic (percent) & 1.29 \pm 0.19 & 0.81-1.69 & 33 \\ Phesphorus (percent) & 0.99 \pm 0.07 & 0.82-1.1 & 33 \\ Potassium (percent) & 0.557 & 0.479-0.635 & 2 \\ \hline \\ Choline (ppm) & 3.315 & 3.200-3,430 & 2 \\ \hline \\$	Essential Amino Acids (percent of (	otal diet)			
Gycine       1.175       1.15.120       2         Histidine       0.553       0.530-0.576       2         Isoleucine       0.908       0.881-0.934       2         Leucine       1.905       1.85.1.96       2         Methionine       0.310       0.306-0.314       2         Phenylalanine       0.967       0.9660.0974       2         Threonine       0.834       0.827-0.840       2         Tyrosine       0.587       0.566-0.607       2         Valine       1.085       1.05-1.12       2         Sesential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)       1       1         Linoleic       2.37       1       1         Linoleic       0.308       1       1         Arachidonic       0.008       1       1         Vitamins       0.063 ± 2.948       7,200-17,000       33         Vitamino (IU/kg)       10,633 ± 2.948       7,200-17,000       33         Vitamin D (U/kg)       6,300       1       1         a-Tocopherol (ppm)       37.6       31.1-44.0       2         Riboflavin (ppm)       6.9       6.1-7.4       2       1         Niacin (ppm)       7.2	Arginine	1.260	1.21-1.31	2	
Histidine       0.553       0.63067.6       2         Isoleucine       0.908       0.881.0.934       2         Leucine       1.305       1.85-1.96       2         Lysine       1.250       1.20-1.30       2         Methionine       0.310       0.306-0.314       2         Phenylalanine       0.967       0.960-0.974       2         Threonine       0.634       0.827-0.840       2         Tyrosine       0.587       0.566-0.607       2         Tyrosine       0.587       0.566-0.607       2         Valine       1.085       1.05-1.12       2         Essential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)       1       1         Linoleic       2.37       1       1         Linoleic       0.308       1       1         Arachidonic       0.008       1       1         //tamin A (IU/kg)       10.633 ± 2.948       7,200-17,000       33         Vitamin M (IU/kg)       10.633 ± 2.948       7,200-17,000       32         Thisonine (ppm)       37.6       31.1-44.0       2         Thisonine (ppm)       6.9       6.1-7.4       2         Niacin (ppm)       7.2	Cystine	0.395	0.39-0.40		
Isolucine       0.908       0.881-0.934       2         Leucine       1.905       1.85-1.96       2         Lysine       1.250       1.20-1.30       2         Methionine       0.310       0.306-0.314       2         Phenylalanine       0.967       0.9600-0.974       2         Trypophan       0.175       0.171-0.178       2         Tyrosine       0.587       0.566-0.607       2         Valine       1.085       1.05-1.12       2         Essential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)       1       1       1         Linoleic       2.37       1       1         Linoleic       0.308       1       1         Arachidonic       0.008       1       1         Vitamin A (IU/kg)       10.633 ± 2.948       7,200-17,000       33         Vitamin D (U/kg)       6,300       1       1         a-Tocopherol (ppm)       37.6       31.1-44.0       2         Riboflavin (ppm)       6.9       6.1-7.4       2         Niacin (ppm)       7.2       5.6-8.5       2         Partothenic acid (ppm)       3.1       2.1       1.8-2.4       2         Biotin (ppm)			1.15-1.20		
Leucine         1.905         1.85.1.96         2           Lysine         1.250         1.20-1.30         2           Methionine         0.310         0.306-0.314         2           Phenylalanine         0.967         0.960-0.374         2           Threonine         0.834         0.827-0.840         2           Tyrosine         0.587         0.566-0.607         2           Tyrosine         0.587         0.566-0.607         2           Zssential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)         1         1         1           Linoleic         2.37         1         1           Linoleic         0.308         1         1           Arachidonic         0.008         1         1           /itamins         Vitamin A (IU/kg)         10,633 ± 2,948         7,200-17,000         33           Vitamin D (U/kg)         6,300         1         1           o.Tocopherol (ppm)         37.6         31.1-44.0         2           Thiarine (ppm)         16.2 ± 4.0         7.3-27.0         (b) 32           Riboflavin (ppm)         7.2         5.6-8.8         2           Partothenic acid (ppm)         3.0.2         29.8-30.5         2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Lysine       1.250       1.20.1.30       2         Methionine       0.310       0.306-0.314       2         Phenylalanine       0.967       0.960-0.974       2         Threonine       0.634       0.827-0.840       2         Tyrptophan       0.175       0.171-0.178       2         Tyrosine       0.6587       0.566-0.607       2         Valine       1.085       1.05-1.12       2         Essential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)       1       1         Linoleic       2.37       1       1         Linoleic       0.308       1       1         Arachidonic       0.008       1       1         /itamins       Vitamin $\Delta$ (IU/kg)       6,300       1       1         /itamine (ppm)       16.2 ± 4.0       7.3-27.0       (b)32         Riboflavin (ppm)       6.9       6.1-7.4       2         Niacin (ppm)       75       65-85       2         Pantothenic acid (ppm)       3.0.2       29.8-30.5       2         Pyridoxine (ppm)       2.1       1.8-2.4       2         Biotin (ppm)       0.24       0.21-0.27       2         Folic acid (ppm)       3.315 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Methonine         0.310         0.306-0.314         2           Phenylalanine         0.967         0.960-0.974         2           Threonine         0.634         0.827-0.840         2           Tyrosine         0.657         0.600-0.974         2           Valine         1.085         0.171-0.178         2           Valine         1.085         1.05-1.12         2           Essential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)         1         1         1           Linoleic         2.37         1         1           Linoleic         0.308         1         1           Arachidonic         0.008         1         1           Vitamins         Vitamin A (IU/kg)         10.633 ± 2.948         7,200-17,000         33           Vitamin D (U/kg)         6,300         1         1           -7coepherol (ppm)         37.6         31.1-44.0         2           Thiamine (ppm)         16.2 ± 4.0         7.3-27.0         (b) 32           Riboflavin (ppm)         7.2         5.6-8.8         2           Pyridoxine (ppm)         7.2         5.6-8.8         2           Pyridoxine (ppm)         2.1         1.8-2.4         2 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} Phenylalanine & 0.967 & 0.960-0.974 & 2 \\ Threenine & 0.834 & 0.827-0.840 & 2 \\ Tryptophan & 0.175 & 0.171-0.178 & 2 \\ Tyrosine & 0.587 & 0.566-0.607 & 2 \\ Valine & 1.085 & 1.05-1.12 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
Tyrosine Valine0.587 1.0850.566-0.607 1.022 2Valine1.0851.05-1.122Essential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)Linolenic2.37 0.3081Arachidonic0.3081Arachidonic0.0081/itaminsVitamin A (IU/kg)10,633 $\pm$ 2,948 6,3007,200-17,00033/itamins6,3001o.Tocopherol (ppm)37.631.1-44.02Thiamine (ppm)16.2 $\pm$ 4.07.3-27.0(b) 32Riboflavin (ppm)6.96.1-7.42Niacin (ppm)7.565-852Pantothenic acid (ppm)30.229.8-30.52Pyridoxine (ppm)7.25.6-8.82Polic acid (ppm)2.11.8-2.42Biotin (ppm)0.240.21-0.272Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb)12.810.6-15.02Choline (ppm)0.99 $\pm$ 0.070.82-1.133Phosphorus (percent)0.8090.772-0.8462Choiride (percent)0.5570.479-0.6352Sodium (percent)0.3040.258-0.3492Magnesium (percent)0.3040.258-0.3492Magnesium (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Magnesium (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Ziron (ppm)418409-426 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Value         1.085         1.05-1.12         2           Essential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)         I         I         I           Linoleic         0.308         1         I           Arachidonic         0.008         1         I           Vitamin A (IU/kg)         10,633 $\pm$ 2,948         7,200-17,000         33           Vitamin D (IU/kg)         6,300         1         1           a-Tocopherol (ppm)         37.6         31.1-44.0         2           Thiamine (ppm)         16.2 $\pm$ 4.0         7.3-27.0         (b)32           Riboflavin (ppm)         6.9         6.1-7.4         2           Niacin (ppm)         6.9         6.1-7.4         2           Niacin (ppm)         7.2         5.6-8.5         2           Partothenic acid (ppm)         30.2         29.8-30.5         2           Pyridoxine (ppm)         7.2         5.6-8.8         2           Folic acid (ppm)         2.1         1.8-2.4         2           Biotin (ppm)         0.24         0.21-0.27         2           Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb)         12.8         10.6-15.0         2           Choline (ppm)         0.89 $\pm$ 0.07         0.82-1.1         33     <					
Essential Fatty Acids (percent of total diet)         Linolenic       2.37       1         Linolenic       0.308       1         Arachidonic       0.008       1         /itamins       1       1         Vitamin A (IU/kg)       10,633 ± 2,948       7,200-17,000       33         Vitamin D (IU/kg)       6,300       1       1         a-Tocopherol (ppm)       37.6       31.1-44.0       2         Thiamine (ppm)       16.2 ± 4.0       7.3-27.0       (b) 32         Riboflavin (ppm)       6.9       6.1-7.4       2         Niacin (ppm)       75       65-85       2         Pantothenic acid (ppm)       7.2       5.6-8.8       2         Prolicacid (ppm)       2.1       1.8-2.4       2         Biotin (ppm)       0.24       0.21-0.27       2         Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb)       12.8       10.6-15.0       2         Choline (ppm)       3.315       3.200-3.430       2         Glinerals       0.557       0.479-0.635       2         Choline (percent)       0.557       0.479-0.635       2         Sodium (percent)       0.304       0.258-0.349       2         Magnesium (percent)<					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	vaiine	1.085	1.00-1.12	Z	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Essential Fatty Acids (percent of to	tal diet)			
Arachidonic $0.008$ 1VitaminsVitamin A (IU/kg) $10,633 \pm 2,948$ $7,200-17,000$ $33$ Vitamin D (IU/kg) $6,300$ 1 $a$ -Tocopherol (ppm) $37.6$ $31.1-44.0$ 2Thiamine (ppm) $16.2 \pm 4.0$ $7.3-27.0$ (b) $32$ Riboflavin (ppm) $6.9$ $6.1-7.4$ 2Niacin (ppm) $75$ $65.85$ 2Pantothenic acid (ppm) $7.2$ $5.6-8.8$ 2Pyridoxine (ppm) $7.2$ $5.6-8.8$ 2Folic acid (ppm) $2.1$ $1.8-2.4$ 2Biotin (ppm) $0.24$ $0.21-0.27$ 2Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb) $12.8$ $10.6-15.0$ 2Choline (ppm) $3,315$ $3,200-3,430$ 2Galcium (percent) $0.99 \pm 0.07$ $0.82-1.1$ $33$ Potassium (percent) $0.557$ $0.479-0.635$ $2$ Sodium (percent) $0.278$ $0.270-0.285$ $2$ Maganesium (percent) $0.172$ $0.166-0.177$ $2$ Sulfur (percent) $0.278$ $0.270-0.285$ $2$ Manganese (ppm) $418$ $409-426$ $2$ Manganese (ppm) $55.1$ $54.2-56.0$ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Vitamins       Cost       Z         Vitamin A (IU/kg)       10,633 $\pm$ 2,948       7,200-17,000       33         Vitamin D (IU/kg)       6,300       1         a-Tocopherol (ppm)       37.6       31.1-44.0       2         Thiamine (ppm)       16.2 $\pm$ 4.0       7.3-27.0       (b)32         Riboflavin (ppm)       6.9       6.1-7.4       2         Niacin (ppm)       75       65-85       2         Pantothenic acid (ppm)       30.2       29.8-30.5       2         Pyridoxine (ppm)       7.2       5.6-8.8       2         Prolic acid (ppm)       2.1       1.8-2.4       2         Biotin (ppm)       0.24       0.21-0.27       2         Vitamin B12 (ppb)       12.8       10.6-15.0       2         Choline (ppm)       3,315       3,200-3,430       2         Minerals       0.809       0.772-0.846       2         Chloride (percent)       0.557       0.479-0.635       2         Sodium (percent)       0.577       0.166-0.177       2         Sulfur (percent)       0.278       0.270-0.285       2         Sodium (percent)       0.278       0.270-0.285       2         Manganese (ppm					
Vitamin A (IU/kg)       10,633 ± 2,948       7,200-17,000       33         Vitamin D (IU/kg)       6,300       1         a-Tocopherol (ppm)       37.6       31.1-44.0       2         Thiamine (ppm)       16.2 ± 4.0       7.3-27.0       (b) 32         Riboflavin (ppm)       6.9       6.1-7.4       2         Niacin (ppm)       75       65-85       2         Pantothenic acid (ppm)       30.2       29.8-30.5       2         Pyridoxine (ppm)       7.2       5.6-8.8       2         Folic acid (ppm)       2.1       1.8-2.4       2         Biotin (ppm)       0.24       0.21-0.27       2         Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb)       12.8       10.6-15.0       2         Choline (ppm)       3,315       3,200-3,430       2         finerals	Arachidonic	0.008		1	
Vitamin D (IU/kg)6,3001a-Tocopherol (ppm)37.631.1-44.02Thiamine (ppm)16.2 ± 4.07.3-27.0(b) 32Riboflavin (ppm)6.96.1-7.42Niacin (ppm)7565-852Pantothenic acid (ppm)30.229.8-30.52Pyridoxine (ppm)7.25.6-8.82Folic acid (ppm)2.11.8-2.42Biotin (ppm)0.240.21-0.272Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb)12.810.6-15.02Choline (ppm)3,3153,200-3,4302IlneralsCalcium (percent)1.29 ± 0.190.81-1.6933Phosphorus (percent)0.99 ± 0.070.82-1.133Potassium (percent)0.5570.479-0.6352Cholide (percent)0.3040.258-0.3492Sodium (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Magnese (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702	litamins				
a-Tocopherol (ppm) $37.6$ $31.1-44.0$ 2Thiamine (ppm) $16.2 \pm 4.0$ $7.3-27.0$ (b) $32$ Riboflavin (ppm) $6.9$ $6.1-7.4$ 2Niacin (ppm) $75$ $65-85$ 2Pantothenic acid (ppm) $30.2$ $29.8.30.5$ 2Pyridoxine (ppm) $7.2$ $5.6-8.8$ 2Folic acid (ppm) $2.1$ $1.8-2.4$ 2Biotin (ppm) $0.24$ $0.21-0.27$ 2Vitamin $B_{12}$ (ppb) $12.8$ $10.6-15.0$ 2Choline (ppm) $3,315$ $3,200-3,430$ 2MineralsCalcium (percent) $1.29 \pm 0.19$ $0.81-1.69$ Galain (percent)0.577 $0.479-0.635$ Calcium (percent)0.5577 $0.479-0.635$ Calcium (percent)0.3040.258-0.3492Sodium (percent)0.3040.270-0.2852Naganesiam (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sodium (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Naganesiam (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sodium (percent)0.2780.270-0.285 <td colspan<="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td>7,200-17,000</td><td></td></td>	<td></td> <td></td> <td>7,200-17,000</td> <td></td>			7,200-17,000	
Thiamine (ppm)16.2 $\pm$ 4.07.3-27.0(b) 32Riboflavin (ppm)6.96.1-7.42Niacin (ppm)7565-852Pantothenic acid (ppm)30.229.8-30.52Pyridoxine (ppm)7.25.6-8.82Polic acid (ppm)2.11.8-2.42Biotin (ppm)0.240.21-0.272Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb)12.810.6-15.02Choline (ppm)3,3153,200-3,4302 <b>Calcium (percent)</b> 1.29 $\pm$ 0.190.81-1.6933Phosphorus (percent)0.99 $\pm$ 0.070.82-1.133Potassium (percent)0.8090.772-0.8462Chloride (percent)0.3040.258-0.3492Magnesium (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Magnese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702					
Riboflavin (ppm) $6.9$ $6.1-7.4$ $2$ Niacin (ppm) $75$ $65\cdot85$ $2$ Pantothenic acid (ppm) $30.2$ $29.8\cdot30.5$ $2$ Pyridoxine (ppm) $7.2$ $5.6\cdot8.8$ $2$ Polic acid (ppm) $2.1$ $1.8\cdot2.4$ $2$ Biotin (ppm) $0.24$ $0.21\cdot0.27$ $2$ Vitamin $B_{12}$ (ppb) $12.8$ $10.6\cdot15.0$ $2$ Choline (ppm) $3,315$ $3,200\cdot3,430$ $2$ <b>Calcium (percent)</b> $1.29 \pm 0.19$ $0.81\cdot1.69$ $33$ Phosphorus (percent) $0.99 \pm 0.07$ $0.82\cdot1.1$ $33$ Potassium (percent) $0.809$ $0.772\cdot0.846$ $2$ Chloride (percent) $0.304$ $0.258\cdot0.349$ $2$ Magnesium (percent) $0.172$ $0.166\cdot0.177$ $2$ Sulfur (percent) $0.278$ $0.270\cdot0.285$ $2$ Iron (ppm) $418$ $409\cdot426$ $2$ Manganese (ppm) $90.8$ $86.0\cdot95.5$ $2$ Zinc (ppm) $55.1$ $54.2\cdot56.0$ $2$ Copper (ppm) $12.68$ $9.65\cdot15.70$ $2$				_	
Niacin (ppm)7565-852Pantothenic acid (ppm) $30.2$ $29.8-30.5$ 2Pyridoxine (ppm) $7.2$ $5.6-8.8$ 2Folic acid (ppm) $2.1$ $1.8-2.4$ 2Biotin (ppm) $0.24$ $0.21-0.27$ 2Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb) $12.8$ $10.6-15.0$ 2Choline (ppm) $3,315$ $3,200-3,430$ 2Calcium (percent) $1.29 \pm 0.19$ $0.81-1.69$ $33$ Phosphorus (percent) $0.99 \pm 0.07$ $0.82-1.1$ $33$ Potassium (percent) $0.557$ $0.479-0.635$ 2Chloride (percent) $0.557$ $0.479-0.635$ 2Sodium (percent) $0.304$ $0.258-0.349$ 2Magnesium (percent) $0.278$ $0.270-0.285$ 2Iron (ppm) $418$ $409-426$ 2Manganese (ppm) $90.8$ $86.0-95.5$ 2Zinc (ppm) $55.1$ $54.2-56.0$ 2Copper (ppm) $12.68$ $9.65-15.70$ 2					
Pantothenic acid (ppm) $30.2$ $29.8-30.5$ $2$ Pyridoxine (ppm) $7.2$ $5.6-8.8$ $2$ Folic acid (ppm) $2.1$ $1.8-2.4$ $2$ Biotin (ppm) $0.24$ $0.21-0.27$ $2$ Vitamin $B_{12}$ (ppb) $12.8$ $10.6-15.0$ $2$ Choline (ppm) $3,315$ $3,200-3,430$ $2$ <b>Clacium (percent)</b> $1.29 \pm 0.19$ $0.81-1.69$ $33$ Phosphorus (percent) $0.99 \pm 0.07$ $0.82-1.1$ $33$ Potassium (percent) $0.99 \pm 0.07$ $0.82-1.1$ $33$ Potassium (percent) $0.99 \pm 0.07$ $0.82-1.1$ $33$ Potassium (percent) $0.557$ $0.479-0.635$ $2$ Chloride (percent) $0.278$ $0.270-0.285$ $2$ Magnesium (percent) $0.278$ $0.270-0.285$ $2$ Iron (ppm) $418$ $409-426$ $2$ Manganese (ppm) $90.8$ $86.0-95.5$ $2$ Zinc (ppm) $55.1$ $54.2-56.0$ $2$ Copper (ppm) $12.68$ $9.65-15.70$ <					
Pyridoxine (ppm)7.25.6-8.82Folic acid (ppm)2.11.8-2.42Biotin (ppm)0.240.21-0.272Vitamin $B_{12}$ (ppb)12.810.6-15.02Choline (ppm)3,3153,200-3,4302 <b>dinerals</b> Calcium (percent)1.29 $\pm$ 0.190.81-1.6933Phosphorus (percent)0.99 $\pm$ 0.070.82-1.133Potassium (percent)0.8090.772-0.8462Chloride (percent)0.5570.479-0.6352Sodium (percent)0.3040.258-0.3492Magnesium (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Manganese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702					
Folic acid (ppm)2.11.8-2.42Biotin (ppm)0.240.21-0.272Vitamin $B_{12}$ (ppb)12.810.6-15.02Choline (ppm)3,3153,200-3,4302dineralsCalcium (percent)1.29 $\pm$ 0.190.81-1.6933Phosphorus (percent)0.99 $\pm$ 0.070.82-1.133Potassium (percent)0.8090.772-0.8462Chloride (percent)0.5570.479-0.6352Sodium (percent)0.3040.258-0.3492Magnesium (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Manganese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702					
Biotin (ppm)0.240.21-0.272Vitamin $B_{12}$ (ppb)12.810.6-15.02Choline (ppm)3,3153,200-3,4302dineralsCalcium (percent)1.29 $\pm$ 0.190.81-1.6933Phosphorus (percent)0.99 $\pm$ 0.070.82-1.133Potassium (percent)0.8090.772-0.8462Chloride (percent)0.5570.479-0.6352Sodium (percent)0.3040.258-0.3492Magnesium (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Manganese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702					
Vitamin $B_{12}$ (ppb)12.810.6-15.02Choline (ppm)3,3153,200-3,4302dineralsCalcium (percent)1.29 $\pm$ 0.190.81-1.6933Phosphorus (percent)0.99 $\pm$ 0.070.82-1.133Potassium (percent)0.8090.772-0.8462Chloride (percent)0.5570.479-0.6352Sodium (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Manganese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702					
Choline (ppm)       3,315       3,200-3,430       2 <b>dinerals</b> 1.29 ± 0.19       0.81-1.69       33         Phosphorus (percent)       0.99 ± 0.07       0.82-1.1       33         Potassium (percent)       0.809       0.772-0.846       2         Chloride (percent)       0.557       0.479-0.635       2         Sodium (percent)       0.304       0.258-0.349       2         Magnesium (percent)       0.172       0.166-0.177       2         Sulfur (percent)       0.278       0.270-0.285       2         Iron (ppm)       418       409-426       2         Manganese (ppm)       90.8       86.0-95.5       2         Zinc (ppm)       55.1       54.2-56.0       2         Zinc (ppm)       12.68       9.65-15.70       2					
<b>Ainerals</b> Calcium (percent) $1.29 \pm 0.19$ $0.81 \cdot 1.69$ 33Phosphorus (percent) $0.99 \pm 0.07$ $0.82 \cdot 1.1$ 33Potassium (percent) $0.809$ $0.772 \cdot 0.846$ 2Chloride (percent) $0.557$ $0.479 \cdot 0.635$ 2Sodium (percent) $0.304$ $0.258 \cdot 0.349$ 2Magnesium (percent) $0.172$ $0.166 \cdot 0.177$ 2Sulfur (percent) $0.278$ $0.270 \cdot 0.285$ 2Iron (ppm) $418$ $409 \cdot 426$ 2Manganese (ppm) $90.8$ $86.0 \cdot 95.5$ 2Zinc (ppm) $55.1$ $54.2 \cdot 56.0$ 2Copper (ppm) $12.68$ $9.65 \cdot 15.70$ 2	Vitamin $B_{12}$ (ppb)				
$\begin{array}{c c} Calcium (percent) & 1.29 \pm 0.19 & 0.81 \cdot 1.69 & 33 \\ Phosphorus (percent) & 0.99 \pm 0.07 & 0.82 \cdot 1.1 & 33 \\ Potassium (percent) & 0.809 & 0.772 \cdot 0.846 & 2 \\ Chloride (percent) & 0.557 & 0.479 \cdot 0.635 & 2 \\ Sodium (percent) & 0.304 & 0.258 \cdot 0.349 & 2 \\ Magnesium (percent) & 0.172 & 0.166 \cdot 0.177 & 2 \\ Sulfur (percent) & 0.278 & 0.270 \cdot 0.285 & 2 \\ Iron (ppm) & 418 & 409 \cdot 426 & 2 \\ Manganese (ppm) & 90.8 & 86.0 \cdot 95.5 & 2 \\ Zinc (ppm) & 55.1 & 54.2 \cdot 56.0 & 2 \\ Copper (ppm) & 12.68 & 9.65 \cdot 15.70 & 2 \\ \end{array}$	Choline (ppm)	3,315	3,200-3,430	2	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	linerals				
Potassium (percent)0.8090.772-0.8462Chloride (percent)0.5570.479-0.6352Sodium (percent)0.3040.258-0.3492Magnesium (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Manganese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702					
Chloride (percent)0.5570.479-0.6352Sodium (percent)0.3040.258-0.3492Magnesium (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Manganese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702					
Sodium (percent)0.3040.258-0.3492Magnesium (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Manganese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702					
Magnesium (percent)0.1720.166-0.1772Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Manganese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702					
Sulfur (percent)0.2780.270-0.2852Iron (ppm)418409-4262Manganese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702				2	
Iron (ppm)418409-4262Manganese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702				2	
Manganese (ppm)90.886.0-95.52Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702					
Zinc (ppm)55.154.2-56.02Copper (ppm)12.689.65-15.702					
Copper (ppm) 12.68 9.65-15.70 2				2	
Copper (ppm) 12.68 9.65-15.70 2				2	
				2	
Iodine (ppm)         2.58         1.52-3.64         2           Observing (ppm)         1.80         1.70.1.02         2				Z	
Chromium (ppm)1.861.79-1.932Cobalt (ppm)0.570.49-0.652				Z	

#### TABLE M3. NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

(a) One or two batches of feed analyzed for nutrients reported in this table were manufactured in January and/or April 1983. (b) One batch (7/22/81) not analyzed for thiamine

#### TABLE M4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Contaminant	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	No. of Samples
Arsenic (ppm)	0.41 ± 0.20	< 0.05-1.06	33
Cadmium (ppm)	$0.41 \pm 0.20$ $0.11 \pm 0.06$	< 0.01-0.40	33
		0.42-2.75	33
ead (ppm)	$0.97 \pm 0.66$	0.42-2.75	33
fercury (ppm) (a)	< 0.05	0 10 0 59	00
elenium (ppm)	$0.29 \pm 0.08$	0.10-0.52	33
lflatoxins (ppb) (a,b)	<10	<5.0-<10.0	33
litrate nitrogen (ppm) (c)	$8.11 \pm 4.16$	<1.0-17.0	33
Nitrite nitrogen (ppm) (c)	$2.0 \pm 1.49$	<0.1-6.9	33
SHA (ppm) (d,e)	5.2 ± 4.88	<0.5-17.0	33
HT (ppm) (d)	$3.10 \pm 2.34$	<1.0-12.0	33
Aerobic plate count (CFU/g)	43,267 ± 31,305	4,900-120,000	33
coliform (MPN/g) (f)	$43.4 \pm 91.0$	<3-460	31
Coliform (MPN/g) (g)	$108.3 \pm 270.5$	<3-1,100	33
. coli (MPN/g) (h)	<3		33
Fotal nitrosamines (ppb) (i, j)	$5.66 \pm 5.23$	0.8-18.8	30
otal nitrosamines (ppb) (k, j)	$22.07 \pm 56.93$	0.8-279.5	33
V-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (l, j)	4.95 ± 5.10	0.8-16.0	30
V-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (m, j)	$21.2 \pm 32.0$	0.8-278	33
-Nitrosopyrrolidine (ppb) (n)	$1.30 \pm 0.73$	<0.5-3.5	31
esticides (ppm)			
a-BHC (2,0)	<0.01		33
β-BHC (a)	< 0.02		33
y-BHC-Lindane (a)	< 0.01		33
δ-BHC (a)	< 0.01		33
Heptachlor (a)	<0.01		33
Aldrin (a)	<0.01		33
Heptachlor epoxide (a)	<0.01		33
DDE (a)	< 0.01		33
DDD (a)	< 0.01		33
DDT (a)	< 0.01		33
HCB (a)	< 0.01		33
Mirex (a)	< 0.01		33
Methoxychlor (a,p)	< 0.05	0.09; 8/26/81	33
Dieldrin (a)	<0.01		33
Endrin (a)	<0.01		33
Telodrin (a)	< 0.01		33
Chlordane (a,q)	< 0.05		23
Toxaphene (a)	<0.1		33
Estimated PCB's (a)	<0.2		33
Ronnel (a)	< 0.01		33
Ethion (a)	<0.02		33
Trithion (a)	< 0.05		33
Diazinon (a.p)	<0.1	0.2; 4/27/81	33
Methyl parathion (a)	< 0.02		33
Ethyl parathion (a)	<0.02		33
Malathion (r)	$0.09 \pm 0.06$	<0.05-0.27	33
Endosulfan I (s)	<0.01		14
Endosulfan II (s)	<0.01		14
Endosulfan sulfate (s)	< 0.03		14

#### TABLE M4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (Continued)

- (a) All values were less than the detection limit, given in the table as the mean.
- (b) The detection limit was reduced from 10 ppb to 5 ppb after 7/81.
- (c) Source of contamination: Alfalfa, grains, and fish meal
- (d) Source of contamination: Soy oil and fish meal
- (e) Three batches contained more than 0.5 ppm.

(f) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude two very high values of 1,100 obtained for batches produced on 5/29/80 and 12/16/80.

(g) Includes the high values listed in footnote (f)

(h) All values were less than 3 MPN/g (MPN = most probable number).

(i) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude three very high values in the range of 117.6-279.5 ppb obtained for the batches produced on 1/26/81, 2/23/81, and on 4/27/81.

(j) All values were corrected for percent recovery.

(k) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the very high values given in footnote (i).

(1) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude three very high values in the range of 115.0-278.0 ppb for batches produced on 1/26/81, 2/23/81, and 4/27/81.

(m) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the very high values given in footnote (l).

(n) The values were not detectable in batches produced on 3/24/82 and 6/24/82.

- (o) BHC = hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride.
- (p) There was one observation above the detection limit; the value and date it was obtained are given under the range.
- (q) Ten batches (4/1/80-12/16/80) were not analyzed for chlordane.
- (r) Sixteen batches contained more than 0.05 ppm.

(s) Nineteen batches (4/1/80-11/25/81) were not analyzed for endosulfan I, endosulfan II, or endosulfan sulfate.

### **APPENDIX N**

# RESULTS OF NTP STUDIES OF THE METABOLISM AND ELIMINATION OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN RATS AND MICE

### TABLE N1. ELIMINATION OF <sup>14</sup>C-DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE-DERIVED RADIOACTIVITY BY VARIOUS ROUTES (a)

Route	Rats	Mice
Urine	(b) 35 ± 5	49 ± 9
Feces	$4.2 \pm 2.3$	$8.7 \pm 3.7$
Expired carbon dioxide	$26 \pm 1$	$26 \pm 1$
Expired dimethylvinyl chloride	$35 \pm 5$	$5.0 \pm 0.7$

(a) Male F344 rats (200-250 g) were given 150 mg/kg of <sup>14</sup>C-dimethylvinyl chloride (51  $\mu$ Ci/kg) by gavage in corn oil (5 ml) and placed in glass metabolism cages that allow separate collection of urine, feces, and expired air. Expired air was passed first through an ethanol-filled trap or an activated carbon trap to retain expired organic compounds and then through two consecutive ethanolamine traps to retain expired carbon dioxide. Elution of the activated carbon traps followed by high-pressure liquid chromatography of the eluate allowed verification that the expired <sup>14</sup>C-labeled organic compound was dimethylvinyl chloride. An aliquot of urine was counted without further processing; feces were oxidized in a tissue oxidizer and counted. Male B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice (25-30 g) were given 150 mg/kg of <sup>14</sup>C-dimethylvinyl chloride (150  $\mu$ Ci/kg) by gavage in corn oil (10 ml) and placed in similar glass metabolism cages as described above. Urine, feces, expired carbon dioxide, and expired dimethylvinyl chloride were assayed as described above.

(b) Values given are percentages of the administered dose eliminated by a given route in the 24 hours after administration of the compound. Values are the mean for three animals  $\pm$  SD.

#### TABLE N2. TISSUE DISTRIBUTION OF <sup>14</sup>C-DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE-DERIVED RADIOACTIVITY (a)

	<u>Tissue Concentration of Radioactivity (µmol/g tissue)</u> Rats				) Mice
	1D (b)	2D	4D	4D-4R	1D
Blood	(c) $0.11 \pm 0.01$	$0.19 \pm 0.03$	$0.26 \pm 0.03$	0.13 ± 0.01	
Liver	$0.45 \pm 0.04$	$0.80 \pm 0.08$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.61 \pm 0.19$	$0.43 \pm 0.09$
Kidney	$0.44 \pm 0.02$	$0.75 \pm 0.08$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.39 \pm 0.04$	$0.61 \pm 0.24$
Forestomach	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	$0.31 \pm 0.03$	$0.44 \pm 0.04$	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	$0.42 \pm 0.10$
Glandular stomach	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	$0.36 \pm 0.05$	$0.54 \pm 0.08$	$0.23 \pm 0.01$	$0.18 \pm 0.06$
Small intestine	$0.37 \pm 0.02$	$0.70 \pm 0.10$	$0.92 \pm 0.18$	$0.17 \pm 0.02$	
Large intestine	$0.39 \pm 0.02$	$0.59 \pm 0.05$	$0.85 \pm 0.20$	$0.21 \pm 0.04$	
Brain	$0.096 \pm 0.00$	$0.26 \pm 0.02$	$0.45 \pm 0.09$	$0.21 \pm 0.05$	
Testes	$0.10 \pm 0.01$	$0.22 \pm 0.01$	$0.35 \pm 0.11$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.06$
Lungs	$0.19 \pm 0.01$	$0.32 \pm 0.03$	$0.46 \pm 0.06$	$0.22 \pm 0.03$	$0.12 \pm 0.04$
Heart	$0.12 \pm 0.00$	$0.22 \pm 0.02$	$0.37 \pm 0.08$	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	$0.087 \pm 0.005$
Thymus	$0.39 \pm 0.06$	$0.82 \pm 0.03$	$0.98 \pm 0.09$	$0.30 \pm 0.06$	$0.35 \pm 0.09$

(a) Male F344 rats (200-250g) were given 150 mg/kg of <sup>14</sup>C-dimethylvinyl chloride (51  $\mu$ Ci/kg) by gavage in corn oil (5 ml) and placed in glass metabolism cages that allow separate collection of urine, feces, and expired air. Male B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice (25-30 g) were given 150 mg/kg of <sup>14</sup>C-dimethylvinyl chloride (150  $\mu$ Ci/kg) by gavage in corn oil (10 ml) and placed in glass metabolism cages as described above. The animals were killed 24 hours after the last dose and tissues collected. Samples of tissue were oxidized in a tissue oxidizer to determine radioactivity present.

(b) D = one daily administration of 150 mg/kg; R = 1 day of recovery, e.g., 4D-4R = four successive daily doses of 150 mg/kg followed by 4 days' recovery.

(c) Values given are the mean for three animals  $\pm$  SD.

Signal	Chemical Shift (ppm)	<u>Spectrum (a)</u> Appearance	Coupling Constant (Hz)
1	1.76	Singlet	
2	2.00	Singlet	
3	3.32	Doublet of doublets	15 and 7
4	3.41	Doublet of doublets	15 and 4.4
5	3.95	Doublet of doublets	7 and 4.4
6	7.46	Singlet	
	1	Mass Spectrum (b)	
	Parent ion (+ H	H <sup>+</sup> ) at m/e 206.05	52136
	Calculated for		18708

# TABLE N3. SPECTRAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MAJOR DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE URINARY METABOLITE

(a) Obtained in D<sub>2</sub>O solution using a General Electric QE-300 NMR spectrometer
 (b) Obtained using a V.G. Model ZAB-4F mass spectrometer in the fast atom bombardment mode

### **APPENDIX O**

# SUMMARY OF NTP STUDIES OF THE IMMUNOTOXICITY OF DIMETHYLVINYL CHLORIDE IN MICE

Assay System	Effects of Dim	ethylvinyl Chloride		stically ificant
. Host Resistance to:	Resistance to I Primary	nfectious Challenge <u>Secondary</u>	<u>Primary</u>	Secondary
Influenza A2/Taiwan B16-F10 tumor PYB6 tumor	Decreased No effect No effect	Decreased	Yes  	No
Listeria monocytogenes Streptococcus zooepidemicus	Decreased No effect	No effect No effect	Yes No	No
HSV-1 HSV-2	Decreased Decreased	No effect No effect	Yes Yes	No No
. Immune Function/Macrophage (M⊘):				
Delayed hypersensitivity	De	ecreased	Y	es
Mitogenic responses	-•	ecreased	Y	es
Mixed lymphocyte culture		effect		
MØ cytostasis	-•	ecreased creased	-	les les
MØ phagocytosis MØ pulmonary bactericidal activity		creased		es
Natural killer cell function		effect		lo
Anti-SRBC plaque-forming cell response:		011000	1	••
Day 4 post-SRBC (IgM)		creased	Y	es
Day 5 post-SRBC (IgM)	De	creased	Y	es
Day 5 post-SRBC (IgG)	No	effect	N	lo

## TABLE 01. RESULTS OF IMMUNOTOXICOLOGIC PROBE STUDIES WITH DIMETHYVINYL CHLORIDE (a)

(a) Data from these studies are included in report numbers IITRI 983 and 984 as part of an NTP contract (NO1-ES-5000) with Illinois Institute of Technology Research Institute, Chicago, Illinois.

### APPENDIX P

### DATA AUDIT SUMMARY

The experimental data and tables of the NTP Technical Report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of dimethylvinyl chloride in F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice were examined for completeness, consistency, and accuracy and for procedures consistent with Good Laboratory Practice requirements. The audit was conducted October 1-5, 1984, by Argus Research Laboratories, Inc., under contract to the NTP. The following personnel were involved in the audit: J. Goeke, Ph.D.; J. Hills, B.A.; A. Hoberman, Ph.D.; E. Feussner, V.D.M.; P. Ference, B.S.; D. Willett, B.S.; D. Copeland, D.V.M.; G. Knutsen, D.V.M., M.S.; C. Veigle, H.T. The 2-year studies in rats and mice were conducted between June 1980 and March 1983 at Litton Bionetics, Inc., Kensington, Maryland.

The full report of the audit is on file at NIEHS, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. The audit included, but was not limited to, a review of the records of the inlife portion of the studies for 10% of the animals; 100% of the clinical observation records for animals observed to have masses during the last 6 months of the studies; 100% of the records for study material administration; 10% of the dose calculations; 100% of all other chemistry data. All Individual Animal Data Records were examined for correspondence between necropsy observations and histologic findings. An audit of randomly selected (10%) wet tissue bags was conducted to verify animal identification and to examine residual tissues for untrimmed lesions. A complete slide/block match for both sexes of both species in the vehicle control and high dose groups was performed.

Examples of the most substantive discrepancies observed during the audit are as follows. Persistent clinical signs such as "ruptured eyeball" and "loss of tail-distal" were sometimes reported intermittently after the initial observation. Some masses that were adequately described at one observation time were not subsequently noted, and there was no notation that the mass was no longer identifiable or observed. The chemical dispensing log was incomplete regarding the source of dimethylvinyl chloride used to prepare dosing solutions between 12/16/80 and 12/24/80. Inconsistencies in gross/microscopic pathology comparisons were observed, and untrimmed lesions were found in stored wet tissues. For example, a papilloma of the forestomach in 1 rat and untrimmed lesions in 7/45 mice were observed--three fibrotic or atrophied seminal vesicles, one mesenteric nodule, one small liver focus, one forestomach area of papillomas (which was partially sampled), and one granulomatous penis. These lesions were found either through lack of correlation between a gross necropsy observation and a histopathologic description or during examination of wet tissue bags.

These discrepancies were either resolved or were not considered to influence the final interpretation of these studies. Thus, the data examined during this audit are considered adequate to support the conclusions presented in this Technical Report.