NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM Technical Report Series No. 356



# TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE (CAS NO. 54-31-9)

## IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(FEED STUDIES)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

#### NTP TECHNICAL REPORT

#### ON THE

## TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

#### (CAS NO. 54-31-9)

### IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(FEED STUDIES)

John R. Bucher, Ph.D., Study Scientist

NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM P.O. Box 12233 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

May 1989

NTP TR 356

NIH Publication No. 89-2811

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

#### CONTENTS

#### PAGE

ABST	RACT	3
EXPL	ANATION OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY	7
CONT	RIBUTORS	8
PEER	REVIEW PANEL	9
SUMM	MARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS	10
I.	INTRODUCTION	13
II.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	1 <b>9</b>
III.	RESULTS	35
	RATS	
	MICE	
	GENETIC TOXICOLOGY	59
IV.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	65
v.	REFERENCES	71

#### APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	79
APPENDIX B	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY         OF FUROSEMIDE	105
APPENDIX C	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	127
APPENDIX D	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	149
APPENDIX E	SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM	173
APPENDIX F	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE	177
APPENDIX G	INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	183
APPENDIX H	AUDIT SUMMARY	189



#### FUROSEMIDE

#### CAS No. 54-31-9

 $C_{12}H_{11}CIN_2O_5S$ 

Molecular weight 330.8

Synonyms: 5-(aminosulfonyl)-4-chloro-2-[(2-furanylmethyl)amino]benzoic acid; frusemide; fursemide Trade Names: Aisemide, Aluzine, Beronald, Desdemin, Diural, Dryptal, Errolon, Frusemin,

Fulsix, Fuluvamide, Furosemide "Mita," Katlex, Lasilix, Lasix, Lowpstron, Rosemide, Transit, Urosemide

#### ABSTRACT

Furosemide is a diuretic used in human and veterinary medicine. Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies were conducted by feeding diets containing furosemide (99% pure, USP grade) to groups of F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice of each sex for 14 days, 13 weeks, or 2 years. Genetic toxicology studies were conducted in *Salmonella typhimurium*, mouse L5178Y lymphoma cells, and Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells.

Fourteen-Day and Thirteen-Week Studies: Dietary concentrations of furosemide used in the 14-day studies for rats and mice ranged up to 46,000 ppm. Two of five male and 3/5 female rats that received 46,000 ppm furosemide died before the end of the studies. Rats that received 15,300 or 46,000 ppm lost weight over the course of the studies. The final mean body weights of rats that received 1,700 or 5,100 ppm were 12% or 23% lower than that of controls for males and 8% or 16% lower for females. Nephrosis was dose related in rats. All five male and 1/5 female mice that received 46,000 ppm furosemide died before the end of the 14-day studies. Male mice that received 15,300 ppm and female mice that received 46,000 ppm lost weight. The final mean body weights of male mice that received 1,700 or 5,100 ppm were 16% or 14% lower than that of controls. The final mean body weights of male mice that received 15,300 ppm was 13% lower than that of controls. Slight dilatation of the renal cortical tubules and/or nephrosis were dose related in mice.

Dietary concentrations of furosemide used in the 13-week studies were 0 and 625-10,000 ppm for male rats and 0 and 938-15,000 ppm for female rats and male mice. Concentrations for female mice were 0 and 1,250-20,000 ppm. None of the rats died before the end of the studies. The final mean body weights of male rats that received 2,500, 5,000, or 10,000 ppm furosemide were 11%, 22%, or 44% lower than that of controls. The final mean body weights of female rats that received 3,750, 7,500, or 15,000 ppm were 18%, 26%, or 35% lower than that of controls. Minimal-to-mild nephrosis occurred in the two highest dose groups of male and female rats. Mineralization of minimal to mild severity was observed at the renal corticomedullary junction in dosed male rats receiving 625 ppm or more; the severity and incidence of the mineralization increased with increased dose. No compound-related deaths occurred in mice. The final mean body weights of male mice that received 3,750, 7,500, or 15,000 were 12%, 22%, or 17% lower than that of controls. Final mean body weights of dosed and control female mice were comparable. Compound-related lesions in mice included minimal-to-mild nephrosis.

Because of the lower body weights and the kidney lesions in the 13-week studies, doses selected for the 2-year studies were 0, 350, or 700 ppm furosemide in the diet for groups of 50 F344/N rats of each sex. Groups of 50 B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice of each sex were fed diets containing 0, 700, or 1,400 ppm furosemide for 104 weeks.

Body Weight and Survival in the Two-Year Studies: Mean body weights of dosed and control rats were comparable throughout the studies. No significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of rats of either sex (final survival--male: control, 17/50; low dose, 17/50; high dose, 20/50; female: 35/50; 31/50; 34/50). The final survival of all groups of male rats was low, reflecting the large number of moribund animals killed after week 91. Survival at week 90 was 35/50, 28/50, and 34/50. Mean body weights of high dose male mice were up to 17% lower than those of controls, and mean body weights of low dose male mice were about 5%-10% lower than those of controls after week 31. Mean body weights of high dose female mice were up to 22% lower than those of controls. Mean body weights of low dose female mice were 5%-13% lower than those of controls after week 82. The survival of the high dose group of female mice was significantly lower than that of the controls after week 99 (final survival--male: 31/50; 24/50; 26/50; female: 36/50; 29/50; 18/50). Feed consumption by dosed rats was similar to that by controls. The estimated average amount of furosemide consumed per day was approximately 14-16 or 29-31 mg/kg for low dose or high dose rats. Feed consumption by dosed mice was approximately 5%-7% greater than that by controls. The average amount of furosemide consumed per day was approximately 91-99 or 191-214 mg/kg for low dose or high dose mice.

Nonneoplastic and Neoplastic Effects in the Two-Year Studies: Nephropathy occurred at similar incidences in all groups of rats, but the severity was greater in dosed male rats. Tubular cell hyperplasia was observed in 4/50 control, 2/50 low dose, and 4/50 high dose male rats. Tubular cell adenomas of the kidney occurred in 1/50 control, 3/50 low dose, and 1/50 high dose male rats. Tubular cell adenomas of adenocarcinomas were seen in a fourth low dose male rat and in a second high dose male rat (adenomas or adenocarcinomas, combined: control, 1/50; low dose, 4/50; high dose, 2/50). The historical incidence of renal tubular cell adenomas or adenocarcinomas (combined) in untreated male F344/N rats is 9/1,928 (0.5%), and the highest incidence observed in controls is 3/50.

Malignant meningiomas of the brain occurred in 3/50 low dose male rats; none was observed in other groups. The historical incidence of meningiomas in untreated male F344/N rats is 2/1,928 (0.1%).

C-Cell adenomas of the thyroid gland in female rats occurred with a positive trend; the incidence in the high dose group was not statistically greater than that in the controls (4/50; 6/50; 11/50). A C-cell carcinoma occurred in another low dose female rat. The incidence of adenomas of the anterior pituitary gland in low dose male rats was marginally greater than that in controls (4/50; 11/50; 8/50). Neither of these marginal increases was considered to be chemically related.

Malignant mixed tumors (adenocarcinoma, type C) of the mammary gland occurred in dosed female mice (0/50; 1/50; 5/48). One mammary gland acinar cell carcinoma occurred in a second low dose female mouse. The historical incidence of all malignant mammary gland neoplasms in untreated female  $B6C3F_1$  mice is 40/2,040 (2%).

Compound-related nonneoplastic lesions of the kidney in mice included nephropathy and dilatation of the renal pelvis for males and females and tubular cysts, suppurative inflammation, and epithelial hyperplasia of the renal pelvis for males. Kidney lesions may have contributed to the low survival of high dose female mice.

Mucosal epithelial hyperplasia and submucosal chronic focal inflammation of the urinary bladder were observed at increased incidences in dosed male mice. Suppurative inflammation of the prostate

was observed at an increased incidence in high dose male mice. Fighting may have contributed to urogenital lesions in male mice. Suppurative inflammation of the ovary or uterus was observed at an increased incidence in high dose female mice. Hematopoiesis was observed at increased incidences in the spleen and liver of dosed male and high dose female mice and in the adrenal cortex of high dose female mice.

Genetic Toxicology: Furosemide was not mutagenic in S. typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, or TA1537 when tested with or without exogenous metabolic activation. In the mouse lymphoma assay for trifluorothymidine (Tft) resistance, furosemide produced an equivocal response in the absence of metabolic activation and a positive response in the presence of activation. Furosemide induced sister chromatid exchanges and chromosomal aberrations in CHO cells in both the presence and absence of exogenous metabolic activation.

Audit: The data, documents, and pathology materials from the 2-year studies of furosemide have been audited. The audit findings show that the conduct of the studies is documented adequately and support the data and results given in this Technical Report.

Conclusions: Under the conditions of these 2-year studies, there was equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity<sup>\*</sup> of furosemide for male F344/N rats, as shown by marginal increases in uncommon tubular cell neoplasms of the kidney and meningiomas of the brain. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity of furosemide for female F344/N rats fed diets containing 350 or 700 ppm furosemide for 2 years. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity for male B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice fed diets containing 700 or 1,400 ppm furosemide for 2 years. There was some evidence of carcinogenic activity of furosemide for female mice, as shown by an increase in malignant tumors of the mammary gland.

Nephropathy was more severe in the kidney of male rats and of male and female mice fed diets containing furosemide than in controls.

<sup>\*</sup>Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 7.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on pages 10-11.

#### SUMMARY OF THE TWO-YEAR FEED AND GENETIC TOXICOLOGY STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

Male F344/N Rats	Female F344/N Rats	Male B6C3F <sub>1</sub> Mice	Female B6C3F <sub>1</sub> Mice	
<b>Dietary concentration</b> 0, 350, or 700 ppm furosemide	0, 350, or 700 ppm furosemide	0, 700, or 1,400 ppm furosemide	0, 700, or 1,400 ppm furosemide	
Body weights in the 2-year st Dosed and controls similar	udy Dosed and controls similar	Dosed lower than controls	Dosed lower than controls	
Survival rates in the 2-year s 17/50; 17/50; 20/50	<b>tudy</b> 35/50; 31/50; 34/50	31/50; 24/50; 26/50	36/50; 29/50; 18/50	
Nonneoplastic effects Increased severity of nephropathy	None	Nephropathy	Nephropathy	
<b>Neoplastic effects</b> Renal tubular cell neoplasms (1/50; 4/50; 2/50); meningiomas of the brain (0/50; 3/50; 0/50)	None	None	Malignant tumors of the mammary gland (0/50; 2/50; 5/48)	
Level of evidence of carcinog Equivocal evidence	<b>enic activity</b> No evidence	No evidence	Some evidence	
Genetic toxicology assays Salmonella	Mouse L5178Y/TK <sup>+/-</sup>	СН	O cells in vitro	
<u>(gene mutation)</u> Negative with and without S9	(Tft resistance) Equivocal without S9; positive with S9	SCE Positive with a without S9	and Positive with and without S9	

#### EXPLANATION OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY

The National Toxicology Program describes the results of individual experiments on a chemical agent and notes the strength of the evidence for conclusions regarding each study. Negative results, in which the study animals do not have a greater incidence of neoplasia than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a chemical is carcinogenic for laboratory animals under the conditions of the study and indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans. Other organizations, such as the International Agency for Research on Cancer, assign a strength of evidence for conclusions based on an examination of all available evidence including: animal studies such as those conducted by the NTP, epidemiologic studies, and estimates of exposure. Thus, the actual determination of risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in laboratory animals tory animals requires a wider analysis that extends beyond the purview of these studies.

Five categories of evidence of carcinogenic activity are used in the Technical Report series to summarize the strength of the evidence observed in each experiment: two categories for positive results ("Clear Evidence" and "Some Evidence"); one category for uncertain findings ("Equivocal Evidence"); one category for no observable effects ("No Evidence"); and one category for experiments that because of major flaws cannot be evaluated ("Inadequate Study"). These categories of interpretative conclusions were first adopted in June 1983 and then revised in March 1986 for use in the Technical Reports series to incorporate more specifically the concept of actual weight of evidence of carcinogenic activity. For each separate experiment (male rats, female rats, male mice, female mice), one of the following quintet is selected to describe the findings. These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to either potency or mechanism.

- Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a dose-related (i) increase of malignant neoplasms, (ii) increase of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms, or (iii) marked increase of benign neoplasms if there is an indication from this or other studies of the ability of such tumors to progress to malignancy.
- Some Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of neoplasms (malignant, benign, or combined) in which the strength of the response is less than that required for clear evidence.
- Equivocal Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a marginal increase of neoplasms that may be chemically related.
- No Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing no chemically related increases in malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Inadequate Study of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations cannot be interpreted as valid for showing either the presence or absence of carcinogenic activity.

When a conclusion statement for a particular experiment is selected, consideration must be given to key factors that would extend the actual boundary of an individual category of evidence. This should allow for incorporation of scientific experience and current understanding of long-term carcinogenesis studies in laboratory animals, especially for those evaluations that may be on the borderline between two adjacent levels. These considerations should include:

- The adequacy of the experimental design and conduct;
- Occurrence of common versus uncommon neoplasia;
- Progression (or lack thereof) from benign to malignant neoplasia as well as from preneoplastic to neoplastic lesions;
- Some benign neoplasms have the capacity to regress but others (of the same morphologic type) progress. At present, it is impossible to identify the difference. Therefore, where progression is known to be a possibility, the most prudent course is to assume that benign neoplasms of those types have the potential to become malignant;
- Combining benign and malignant tumor incidences known or thought to represent stages of progression in the same organ or tissue;
- Latency in tumor induction;
- Multiplicity in site-specific neoplasia;
- Metastases;
- Supporting information from proliferative lesions (hyperplasia) in the same site of neoplasia or in other experiments (same lesion in another sex or species);
- The presence or absence of dose relationships;
- The statistical significance of the observed tumor increase:
- The concurrent control tumor incidence as well as the historical control rate and variability for a specific neoplasm;
- Survival-adjusted analyses and false positive or false negative concerns;
- Structure-activity correlations; and
- In some cases, genetic toxicology.

#### CONTRIBUTORS

The NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Furosemide is based on 13-week studies that began in May 1980 and ended in August 1980 and on 2-year studies that began in May 1981 and ended in May 1983 at SRI International (Menlo Park, CA).

#### National Toxicology Program (Evaluated Experiment, Interpreted Results, and Reported Findings)

John R. Bucher, Ph.D., Study Scientist

Scot L. Eustis, D.V.M., Ph.D. Joseph K. Haseman, Ph.D. James Huff, Ph.D.

#### (Discipline Leaders and Principal Contributors)

Jack Bishop, Ph.D. Douglas W. Bristol, Ph.D. R. Chhabra, Ph.D. C.W. Jameson, Ph.D. E.E. McConnell, D.V.M. G.N. Rao, D.V.M., Ph.D. B.A. Schwetz, D.V.M., Ph.D. M. Vernon, Ph.D. Douglas Walters, Ph.D.

#### NTP Pathology Working Group (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report for Rats on 6/11/87)

Luke Brennecke, D.V.M. (Chair) (Pathology Associates, Inc.) Roger Brown, D.V.M., M.S. (Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc.) Scot Eustis, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Micheal Jokinen, D.V.M. (NTP) A.W. Macklin, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Burroughs Wellcome Laboratories) James MacLachlan, B.V.Sc., Ph.D. North Carolina State University Margarita McDonald, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP)

#### (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report for Mice on 6/10/87)

Robert Sauer, V.M.D. (Chair) (PATHCO, Inc.)
Ken Ayers, D.V.M. (Burroughs Wellcome Laboratories)
Michael Elwell, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP)
Micheal Jokinen, D.V.M. (NTP) Charlotte Keenan, V.M.D. (E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co., Inc.) Margarita McDonald, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Linda Uraih, D.V.M. (NTP)

#### Principal Contributors at SRI International (Conducted Studies and Evaluated Tissues)

W. Davis, Jr., M.S. T. Jorgenson, M.S. J. Meierhenry, D.V.M. J. Reid, Ph.D. R. Spanggard, Ph.D.

Principal Contributors at Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. (Provided Pathology Quality Assurance)

J. Gauchat

D. Banas, D.V.M.

#### Principal Contributors at Carltech Associates, Inc. (Contractor for Technical Report Preparation)

William D. Theriault, Ph.D. Abigail C. Jacobs, Ph.D. John Warner, M.S. Naomi Levy, B.A.

R. Brown, D.V.M.

#### PEER REVIEW PANEL

The members of the Peer Review Panel who evaluated the draft Technical Report on furosemide on April 19, 1988, are listed below. Panel members serve as independent scientists, not as representatives of any institution, company, or governmental agency. In this capacity, Panel members have five major responsibilities: (a) to ascertain that all relevant literature data have been adequately cited and interpreted, (b) to determine if the design and conditions of the NTP studies were appropriate, (c) to ensure that the Technical Report presents the experimental results and conclusions fully and clearly, (d) to judge the significance of the experimental results by scientific criteria, and (e) to assess the evaluation of the evidence of carcinogenicity and other observed toxic responses.

#### National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors Technical Reports Review Subcommittee

Robert A. Scala, Ph.D. (Chair) Senior Scientific Advisor, Medicine and Environmental Health Department Research and Environmental Health Division, Exxon Corporation East Millstone, NJ

Michael A. Gallo, Ph.D.

Associate Professor, Director of Toxicology Department of Environmental and Community Medicine, UMDNJ - Rutgers Medical School Piscataway, NJ Frederica Perera, Dr. P.H.\* Division of Environmental Sciences School of Public Health Columbia University New York, NY

#### Ad Hoc Subcommittee Panel of Experts

- John Ashby, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC Central Toxicology Laboratory Alderley Park, England
- Charles C. Capen, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, Ohio State University Columbus, OH
- Vernon M. Chinchilli, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Department of Biostatistics Medical College of Virginia Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, VA

Kim Hooper, Ph.D. Hazard Evaluation System and Information Services Department of Health Services State of California Berkeley, CA

Donald H. Hughes, Ph.D. Scientific Coordinator, Regulatory Services Division, The Procter and Gamble Company Cincinnati, OH William Lijinsky, Ph.D. Director, Chemical Carcinogenesis Frederick Cancer Research Facility Frederick, MD

Franklin E. Mirer, Ph.D.\* Director, Health and Safety Department International Union, United Auto Workers, Detroit, MI

James A. Popp, D.V.M., Ph.D. Head, Department of Experimental Pathology and Toxicology Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology Research Triangle Park, NC

Andrew Sivak, Ph.D. Vice President, Biomedical Science Arthur D. Little, Inc. Cambridge, MA

<sup>\*</sup>Unable to attend

#### SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

On April 19, 1988, the draft Technical Report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of furosemide received public review by the National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors' Technical Reports Review Subcommittee and associated Panel of Experts. The review meeting was held at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), Research Triangle Park, NC.

Dr. J.R. Bucher, NIEHS, began the discussion by reviewing the experimental design, results, and proposed conclusions (equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity for male and female rats, no evidence of carcinogenic activity for male mice, some evidence of carcinogenic activity for female mice). Nephropathy was more severe in the kidney of male rats and of male and female mice fed diets containing furosemide than in controls.

Dr. Ashby, a principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions for male and female mice. Because of poor survival in all groups, he suggested that the conclusions for male rats be changed to inadequate study of carcinogenic activity. Dr. Bucher commented that survival was adequate in all groups at week 93, indicating that the majority of rats were at risk for developing tumors throughout most of the 2-year studies. Two of the three meningiomas occurred before 1 year, and kidney tumors are not known to increase dramatically late in 2-year studies. Dr. Ashby noted that furosemide was genotoxic and that there has been a report that it is a germ cell mutagen. He suggested in vivo bone marrow studies be conducted to confirm or refute this observation. Dr. Bucher said that furosemide would be considered for bone marrow studies. The link with other furans such as furfural, recently reported to be carcinogenic, might be explored.

Dr. Chinchilli, the second principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions for female rats and male and female mice. For male rats, he proposed that a statistical test incorporating historical control data be used to evaluate the two rare tumors, tubular cell neoplasms of the kidney and meningiomas of the brain, on which the conclusion of equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity was based. Dr. Bucher said such tests would be added to the Report [see page 66]. Dr. J. Haseman, NIEHS, added that although the NTP generally does not use historical data in a formal testing mode, he had fewer reservations with rare tumors. With the meningiomas and kidney tumors, the differences relative to the Program-wide historical control incidences were significant at the P=0.001 level.

Dr. Capen, the third principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions for male rats and male and female mice. He thought that the conclusion for female rats should be changed to no evidence of carcinogenic activity. Dr. Bucher said that the level of evidence chosen was based on the dose-related increases in thyroid gland C-cell adenomas, with the high dose incidence double the historical incidence, together with a statistically significant trend.

There was some discussion on the poor survival in rats and the possible impact on interpretation of carcinogenesis findings that would be borderline between two categories of evidence. Dr. J. Huff, NIEHS, said that over the last few years, there has been a trend across all laboratories toward decreased survival for male F344/N rats. Dr. E. McConnell, NIEHS, explained that this could be due, in part, to an increased emphasis on tissue accountability, leading to early kill, especially after week 91, to prevent losses due to autolysis or cannibalism. Dr. Huff added that more attention was being given to humane killing of moribund animals and pointed out that considerable numbers of control and dosed male rats were removed early in the studies [see Table 11, page 41]. Dr. Popp stated that the question of inadequacy of survival was causing more concern than necessary. Dr. Ashby proposed that this humane aggressive kill policy be discussed in the text when there is poor survival [see page 41].

Dr. Ashby moved that the conclusion for female rats be changed to no evidence of carcinogenic activity, based on the great variability of thyroid gland C-cell tumors in other studies, no increases in hyperplasia, and lack of effects on the thyroid gland in the other three study groups. Dr. Lijinsky seconded the motion, which was approved by six votes (Drs. Ashby, Capen, Gallo, Hughes, Lijinsky, and Sivak) to three (Drs. Chinchilli, Hooper, and Popp). Dr. Ashby moved that the conclusion for male rats be changed to inadequate study of carcinogenic activity, based primarily on the poor survival. The motion failed for lack of a second. Dr. Ashby then moved that the conclusion for male rats be accepted as written, equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity. Dr. Hooper seconded the motion, which was approved unanimously with nine votes. Dr. Ashby moved that the conclusion for male mice, no evidence of carcinogenic activity, be accepted as written. Dr. Popp seconded the motion, which was approved unanimously with nine votes. Dr. Ashby moved that the conclusion for female mice, some evidence of carcinogenic activity, be accepted as written. Dr. Popp seconded the motion, which was approved unanimously with nine votes. Dr. Ashby moved that the conclusion for female mice, some evidence of carcinogenic activity, be accepted as written. Dr. Hooper seconded the motion, which was approved unanimously with nine votes. Dr. Ashby moved that the conclusion for female mice, some evidence of carcinogenic activity, be accepted as written. Dr. Hooper seconded the motion, which was approved unanimously with nine votes.

## Further Pathology Findings on the Kidney of Male Rats (presented to the Peer Review Panel October 1988)

The NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of furosemide in F344/N rats and  $B6C3F_1$  mice underwent peer review and were approved by the Panel on April 19, 1988. An important portion of the discussion focused on the marginally increased incidences in renal tubular cell neoplasms and the poor survival in male rats. The proposed conclusion for male rats, equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity, was approved unanimously by the Panel with nine votes.

Dr. J.R. Bucher, NIEHS, reported in October 1988 that the furosemide studies were subsequently chosen as one of several studies in which the kidney in male rats would be reevaluated by a more extensive sampling procedure to determine if current NTP procedures give an accurate assessment of the "true" incidences of kidney tubular cell tumors. Furosemide was chosen because of apparently increased incidences in kidney tumors in dosed groups without a dose response.

Dr. Bucher described additional pathology procedures and noted that the numbers of additional kidney sections reviewed were 300 for controls, 301 for low dose, and 299 for high dose. The incidences of tubular cell neoplasms originally reported were: control, 1/50; low dose, 4/50; high dose, 2/50. Of the seven neoplasms, five were adenomas, with one adenocarcinoma in the low dose group and one in the high dose group. The results of the additional tissue review were: control, 2; low dose, 1; high dose, 4 (all adenomas). When the diagnoses were combined, the incidences of tubular cell neoplasms of the kidney were: 3/50; 5/50; 6/50. Dr. Bucher stated that the presence of malignant tumors in dosed animals and the marginally increased incidences in combined tumors in a target organ for furosemide action still constituted equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity. The Panel concurred. Information from the additional studies have been added to the Results in the Technical Report [see Tables 14 and 15].

Dr. S. Eustis, NIEHS, noted that the additional results will not be part of the historical control data base. Further, these reevaluations will not be a routine or common event but rather will be considered for studies with marginally increased incidences in rare tumors to aid in interpretation of the lesions. Dr. J. Huff, NIEHS, commented that in most cases, such additional reevaluations would be performed before the studies are brought to the Panel for review.

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

### I. INTRODUCTION

Physical Properties, Production, and Use Absorption, Distribution, and Metabolism Pharmacology Toxicity Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity Genetic Toxicology Study Rationale



#### FUROSEMIDE

CAS No. 54-31-9

 $C_{12}H_{11}ClN_2O_5S$ 

Molecular weight 330.8

Synonyms: 5-(aminosulfonyl)-4-chloro-2-[(2-furanylmethyl)amino]benzoic acid; frusemide; fursemide

Trade Names: Aisemide, Aluzine, Beronald, Desdemin, Diural, Dryptal, Errolon, Frusemin, Fulsix, Fuluvamide, Furosemide "Mita," Katlex, Lasilix, Lasix, Lowpstron, Rosemide, Transit, Urosemide

#### Physical Properties, Production, and Use

Furosemide is a crystalline material with a melting point of 206° C. It is slightly soluble in water and chloroform but completely soluble in acetone, methanol, dimethylformamide, and aqueous solutions above pH 8 (Merck, 1983).

Specific production data for furosemide are not available, but the number of prescriptions for furosemide in the United States increased from 16 million in 1973 to 23 million in 1981 (Whelton, 1986). The oral form of Lasix alone was the eighth most frequently prescribed drug in the United States in 1985 (Pharmacy Times, 1986). Furosemide is a potent, short-acting sulfonamide diuretic chemically similar to the thiazides, and it is used in a variety of situations ranging from the control of hypertension to the reduction of edema of cardiac, hepatic, or renal origin (Gilman et al., 1985). It is particularly useful in the management of acute pulmonary edema and may be used in premature infants to promote the diuresis that usually follows birth (Green et al., 1983). Therapeutic doses in humans range from 40 to 200 mg/day (Gilman et al., 1985), and 600 mg per day is the maximum recommended dose (AMA Drug Evaluations, 1983). If given intravenously to adult humans, a 40-mg dose of furosemide results in a peak plasma concentration of about 10 µg/ml (Chennavasin et al., 1979). In Sprague Dawley rats, intravenous doses of 0.5-1.5 mg/kg will result in effective diuretic concentrations of about 1-25 µg/ml in plasma (Smith and Benet, 1979).

#### Absorption, Distribution, and Metabolism

In general, the absorption, distribution, and metabolism of furosemide appear to be similar in humans and most laboratory animals. Absorption from the gastrointestinal tract is usually rapid but incomplete. About 65% of the dose is absorbed after oral administration in humans (Beermann, 1984) compared with about 50% in dogs (Yakatan et al., 1979). In one study with male Sprague Dawley rats, the bioavailability of oral furosemide was estimated to be only 30%, but 61% of the dose disappeared from the gastrointestinal tract. The authors speculated that metabolic conversion occurred in the stomach wall, and they demonstrated formation of unidentified metabolites after incubation of furosemide with a 9,000  $\times$  g supernatant fraction of washed stomach homogenates. This apparent metabolism was greater per gram of tissue in the stomach than in the small intestine, large intestine, or liver (Lee and Chiou, 1983).

Once in the bloodstream, 90%-99% of the furosemide binds avidly to albumin, with the extent of binding inversely related to the concentration of furosemide (Hammarlund and Paalzow, 1982). This extensive protein binding leads to a low apparent volume of distribution and suggests that little of the drug is available for diffusion into tissues. Furosemide clearance can be higher than expected in patients with nephrotic syndrome because of increased glomerular filtration of furosemide bound to serum proteins (Smith et al., 1985). The pharmacokinetics of disappearance of furosemide from the blood are described best by two- or three-compartment open models. With a two-compartment model, the initial fast phase of elimination in humans has a  $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$  of 10-15 minutes, followed by a slower phase with a  $t_{\frac{1}{2}}$  of 47-70 minutes (Beermann, 1984). In rats, generally similar pharmacokinetic data best fit a three-compartment model, with dosedependent variations apparently due to differences in plasma protein binding (Hammarlund and Paalzow, 1982).

Approximately one-half of the total clearance of furosemide from the blood of humans is accounted for by renal excretion of unchanged drug; perhaps as much as 25% undergoes glucuronidation prior to urinary excretion, and the remainder is cleared by undetermined means (Branch, 1983). In rats, about 4% of intravenously administered furosemide can be recovered from the gut (Lee and Chiou, 1983); in humans, clearance through the gastrointestinal tract has been described as contributing only minimally to furosemide disappearance (Valentine et al., 1986). In contrast, biliary excretion of furosemide has been reported to be as high as 30% of doses of 50-100 mg/kg given to male Swiss mice (Spitznagle et al., 1977). Renal excretion includes a small amount of free drug filtered at the glomerulus and a major fraction secreted through the organic anion secretory mechanism in the proximal tubule. Glucuronidation of furosemide appears to take place in the kidney because removal of the liver does not affect clearance of furosemide in dogs (Branch, 1983). Andreasen et al. (1983) found that in healthy young men (average age, 27 years), about 14% of an 80-mg dose of furosemide was recovered from the urine as the glucuronide conjugate in 24 hours. In older men (average age. 64 years), the glucuronide accounted for only 7% of the dose. The ontogeny of rat liver UDP-glucuronyltransferase towards furosemide from late gestation through 22 days of age was described by Rachmel and Hazelton (1986). Activity on day 18 of gestation was 26%; at birth, 48%; and on day 22 postpartum, 250% of the adult rat liver UDP-glucuronyl transferase activity.

#### Pharmacology

The primary pharmacologic action of furosemide accounting for its diuretic effect is inhibition of the reabsorption of chloride ions in the thick ascending limb of the loop of Henle. Primarily through this action, a practical maximum of 20%-25% inhibition of chloride ion reabsorption (and concomitantly, sodium ion reabsorption) can be achieved (Williamson, 1977). With compensating fluid and electrolyte replacement, sodium ion loss can rise to nearly 70% of the filtered load (Middendorf and Grantham, 1985). When diuretics are not used, more than 99% of the filtered sodium ions is reabsorbed by the kidney (Valtin, 1973).

Furosemide is active only on the luminal side of the tubule, and it appears to be actively secreted from plasma to its site of action by one or more of the organic anion transport mechanisms (Hook and Williamson, 1965; Sandstrom, 1986; Bidiville and Roch-Ramel, 1986). Once inside the tubule, furosemide apparently binds to and inhibits the Na<sup>+</sup>-2Cl<sup>-</sup>-K<sup>+</sup> cotransport system (Schlatter et al., 1983; Feig, 1986), an activity that has been tentatively linked to the Tamm-Horsfall protein, a glycoprotein localized in the cell membrane of the thick ascending limb of the loop of Henle (Greven, 1983).

In addition to its potent natriuretic action, furosemide has several other renal and nonrenal actions. Hemodynamic effects include an increase in renal blood flow (Hook et al., 1966) and decreases in mesenteric (Gaffney et al., 1978), hepatic (Gaffney et al., 1979), and splenic (Gaffney and Williamson, 1979) blood flow. The increase in renal blood flow can be antagonized by indomethacin and other prostaglandin synthetase inhibitors (Williamson et al., 1975a,b; Gerber, 1983). It is known that prostaglandins  $E_2$ ,  $I_2$ , and  $D_2$  are direct renal vasodilators and that prostaglandins  $E_2$  and  $I_2$  are associated with renin release (Whorton et al., 1980; Datar et al., 1987), which is part of the autoregulatory response that acts to limit the effectiveness of the diuretic. (Renin is an enzyme released from the cells of the afferent arteriole of the glomerulus

which promotes production of angiotensin I and, ultimately, of angiotensin II. Angiotensin II promotes secretion of aldosterone from the adrenal cortex, which in turn acts to increase renal tubular absorption of sodium ions [Melby, 1986].) The decreases in blood flow to the extrarenal organ systems are largely a result of volume depletion brought on by diuresis and are compounded by the increased plasma levels of angiotensin II, a vasoconstrictor, and of antidiuretic hormone that, in addition to increasing the permeability to water of the renal collecting duct, has a direct vasoconstrictive effect on mesenteric blood circulation (Schmitt et al., 1981). An additional indomethacin-sensitive action of furosemide is to decrease the left atrial pressure, an action that is independent of its diuretic effect but that may account in part for its efficacy in the treatment of hypertension. This action is apparently secondary to the release of vasoactive substances from the kidney, since it is not seen in anephric animals (Bourland et al., 1977).

Furosemide may also affect sodium ion resorption in the proximal tubule (Christensen et al., 1986), the distal tubule (Velazquez and Wright, 1986), and the collecting duct (Wilson et al., 1983). The evidence for direct effects of furosemide on these renal structures and on the various sodium ion and potassium ion pumps is less well established than that for its action on the ascending limb of the loop of Henle. The involvement of prostaglandins in the natriuretic action of furosemide in the different segments of the kidney is an area of active investigation (Gerber, 1983; Kirchner et al., 1986).

Furosemide at high concentrations has been shown to inhibit rat kidney glycolysis and, in particular, the enzyme glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Yoshida and Metcoff, 1970), but this inhibition does not appear to be involved in or account for the inhibition of ion transport seen at pharmacologically relevant doses (Bowman et al., 1973).

The consequences of furosemide therapy on fluid and electrolyte balance include increased excretion of sodium, chloride. potassium, calcium, and bicarbonate ions and of water. Continued use leads to a systemic alkalosis, resulting from reduced extracellular volume not completely offset by the increase in bicarbonate excretion (Williamson, 1977; Bushinsky et al., 1986). Hydrogen ion excretion has been reported to be lowered (Williamson, 1977) or enhanced (Hropot et al., 1985) by furosemide. An additional effect, apparently resulting from the reduced extracellular volume, is a reduction in excretion of uric acid (Iwaki and Yonetani, 1984).

#### Toxicity

In clinical studies, the incidence of adverse reactions in patients receiving furosemide has been estimated at about 6% (Tuzel, 1981). Most common were impaired hearing and vertigo, followed by rashes, pruritus, hives and sweating, muscle weakness, hypotension, and cramps. Allergic reactions have been reported to result in chronic aortitis (Sommers et al., 1984) and interstitial nephritis (Jennings et al., 1986; Magil, 1983). Severe diuresis can lead to dehydration and electrolyte imbalance (Council on Drugs, 1967). Potassium loss can be life threatening to patients in cardiac failure receiving diuretic therapy with furosemide (Lawson et al., 1982). Attempts to produce potassium loss from the myocardium of rats fed diets containing 1,500 ppm furosemide for 4 weeks were not successful, although a pronounced reduction of potassium and magnesium ion concentration in plasma and bone was observed (Borchgrevink et al., 1987). Calcium excretion remains elevated during long-term furosemide therapy in humans (Yu et al., 1981) and has resulted in several reports of renal calcification in infants (Hufnagle et al., 1982). Secondary hyperparathyroidism with bone demineralization has also been linked to long-term furosemide therapy in infants (Venkataraman et al., 1983). Attempts to recreate this effect in newborn rats resulted in a somewhat different effect. Subcutaneous doses of furosemide (5 or 15 mg/kg per day) were given to Sprague Dawley rat pups from day 4 to day 28 after birth (Koo et al., 1986). Increased urinary calcium and magnesium excretion was observed, and the total concentration of calcium and magnesium in bone was lower; the growth of the pups was inhibited in a dose-dependent manner, and bone mineral content was appropriate for the smaller bone mass.

Temporary hearing loss has been linked to blood concentrations of furosemide higher than 85-90 µg/ml (Rybak, 1985). This has been related to reduced endolymph potassium concentrations and a reduced action potential amplitude in the eighth (vestibulocochlear) cranial nerve. The stria vascularis is a portion of the outer wall of the cochlear duct and is active in endolymph production. It has been proposed that furosemide inhibits certain enzymes in this area, including carbonic anhydrase, Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup> ATPase, and adenylate cyclase (Brown et al., 1985). In in vitro studies, glucose oxidation by the cochlea was inhibited by furosemide, and this inhibition was attributed to the specific inhibition of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase. The I<sub>50</sub> for inhibition of glucose oxidation was 1 µM. Similar inhibitory effects were seen in companion studies with kidney and liver, so the relevance of glucose oxidation inhibition by furosemide to the ototoxic effects of furosemide is unclear (Tachibana et al., 1985).

Some patients receiving prolonged furosemide therapy develop abnormal glucose tolerance (Jung and Mookerjee, 1976). This apparently is not due to impaired pancreatic function secondary to reduced blood flow from volume depletion (Holland and Williamson, 1984), nor is insulin secretion decreased in response to elevated blood glucose (Senft et al., 1966). Carrier-mediated glucose transport in erythrocytes is inhibited by furosemide at high concentrations, but the significance of this finding for the apparent glucose intolerance in humans is unclear (Jung and Mookerjee, 1976).

The oral  $LD_{50}$  value for furosemide for 60-dayold Charles River CD<sup>®</sup> rats is approximately 2,700 mg/kg (NIOSH, 1987). Furosemide at doses of 400 mg/kg given to male Swiss albino mice by intraperitoneal injection produced massive necrosis in both the midzonal and centrilobular areas of the liver, damage that was prevented by prior administration of cytochrome P450 enzyme inhibitors (Mitchell et al., 1974). Covalent binding of furosemide to mouse liver proteins has been shown, and it was enhanced by administration of an inhibitor of epoxide hydrase, suggesting an arene oxide intermediate involving the furan ring (Wirth et al., 1976). Furosemide at 0.5 or 1.0 mM is toxic to isolated mouse hepatocytes (Massey et al., 1987). Cellular sulfhydryl groups appear to be involved in protecting liver cells from furosemide toxicity at high concentrations. Furosemide is not known to be hepatotoxic in humans at normal therapeutic doses, but in vitro studies have shown that human liver microsomes are capable of converting furosemide to metabolites that bind irreversibly to microsomal proteins (Dybing, 1977a).

#### **Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity**

Furosemide crosses the placental barrier in humans (Beermann et al., 1978). Although no adequate epidemiologic studies of pregnant women have been conducted, furosemide is not recommended for use during pregnancy (PDR, 1986). Administration of furosemide to pregnant CRCD rats on days 6 through 17 of gestation resulted in increased resorption rates and decreased live fetal weights at doses of 300 or 600 mg/kg; these doses also resulted in maternal deaths. There was no evidence of a teratogenic effect, but wavy ribs were noted in all dosed groups. In studies with other diuretics, skeletal malformations were shown to result from the diuretic effect on the mother (Robertson et al., 1981).

#### **Genetic Toxicology**

Furosemide demonstrated no mutagenic activity when tested in the presence or absence of exogenous metabolic activation in a variety of Salmonella typhimurium strains (Minnich et al., 1976; Dybing, 1977b; Ishidate and Yoshikawa, 1980; Zeiger et al., 1987). In contrast to the negative results obtained in bacterial mutagenicity tests, tests for chromosomal effects have yielded some evidence of activity. When cultured Chinese hamster lung cells were exposed to furosemide at 0.5 and 2.0 mg/ml and harvested 24 hours later, chromosomal aberrations were reported to occur in 5% and 14% of the cells, respectively (Ishidate et al., 1978; Matsuoka et al., 1979); 11% of the cells treated with 2.0 mg/ml furosemide exhibited chromosomal breaks. A significant increase in chromosomal aberrations was reported in human lymphocytes exposed in vitro at 0.2-0.8 mg/ml furosemide for 24-72 hours; at the highest dose tested in the 24-hour protocol, 49% of the cells observed were classified as abnormal (Jameela et al., 1979). Chromosomal translocations, polyploidy, and pairing abnormalities were observed in the meiotic cells of C3H/HE male mice 1-5 weeks after a single injection of 0.0078-1.25 mg/kg furosemide (Subramanyam and Jameela, 1977).

#### **Study Rationale**

Furosemide was nominated for study by the Food and Drug Administration, Bureau of Drugs, based on a review of long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity data available on antihypertensive agents. There are no adequate epidemiologic studies that have examined the use of furosemide and the occurrence of cancer in humans. The feed route of exposure was chosen for these studies to mimic the oral route of administration of the drug in humans. Another diuretic, hydrochlorothiazide, has also been studied by the NTP by the feed route, and the results of these evaluations are presented in Technical Report No. 357 (NTP, 1989a).

### **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FUROSEMIDE PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMULATED DIETS FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES TWO-YEAR STUDIES Study Design Source and Specifications of Animals Animal Maintenance Clinical Examinations and Pathology Statistical Methods

**GENETIC TOXICOLOGY** 

#### PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FUROSEMIDE

Furosemide was obtained from Hoechst-Roussel Pharmaceuticals in three lots (Table 1). Purity and identity analyses were conducted at Midwest Research Institute (MRI) (Kansas City, MO). MRI reports on analyses performed in support of the furosemide studies are on file at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

The identity of each lot was confirmed by spectroscopic analysis. The infrared (see representative Figure 1) and ultraviolet/visible spectra agreed with the literature spectra (Salim et al., 1968; Isolation and Identification of Drugs. 1969). The nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (see representative Figure 2) were consistent with that expected for the structure of furosemide. Purity was determined by elemental analyses, Karl Fischer water analysis, titration of the carboxylic acid group, thin-layer chromatography on silica gel plates with a mobile phase of either toluene:p-dioxane:isopropanol:ammonium hydroxide (20:30:30:15) (system 1) or methylethylketone:glacial acetic acid (98:2) (system 2) and visualization by ultraviolet light with a 1% p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde spray, and high-performance liquid chromatography on a  $\mu$ Bondapak C<sub>18</sub> column with a mobile phase of aqueous 2% acetic acid:2% acetic acid in methanol and ultraviolet detection at 280 nm. Results of elemental analyses of all lots were in agreement with the theoretical values.

Lot no. Y-3285 (USP grade) was obtained as a colorless powder with a melting point of 212.2° C. Cumulative data indicated that this

lot contained 0.27% water and was approximately 99% pure. Titration of the carboxylic acid group with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide and with 0.1 N t-butylammonium hydroxide indicated purities of 99.2% and 99.5%, respectively. Thin-layer chromatography by system 1 indicated two trace impurity spots; no impurities were detected by system 2. High-performance liquid chromatography with a 50:50 solvent ratio at a flow rate of 1 ml/minute indicated four impurity peaks with a cumulative area 0.19% of the major peak; two impurity peaks with a total relative area of 0.12% were detected with a 60:40 solvent ratio at a flow rate of 2 ml/minute.

Lot no. H052880 was obtained as a white, microcrystalline powder. Cumulative data indicated that this lot contained 0.07% water and was approximately 99% pure. Titration with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide and with 0.1 N t-butylammonium hydroxide indicated purities of 98.8% and 99.2%, respectively. No impurities were detected by thin-layer chromatography with either system. High-performance liquid chromatography with a 68:32 solvent ratio at a flow rate of 1 ml/minute or with a 66:34 solvent ratio at a flow rate of 2 ml/minute detected no impurities with peak areas greater than or equal to 0.1% of the major peak area.

Lot no. Y-4050 was obtained as a white, microcrystalline solid. Cumulative data indicated that this lot was approximately 99% pure and contained less than 0.06% water. Titration with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide and with 0.1 N *t*-butylammonium hydroxide indicated purities of 99.7% and 99.6%, respectively. No impurities were detected by thin-layer chromatographic

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies	
Lot Numbers			
Y-3285	Y-3285; H052880	Y-4050	
Date of Initial Use 7/11/79	Y-32855/20/80; H0528807/80	5/1/81	
Su <b>pplier</b> Hoechst-Roussel Pharmaceuticals Somerville, NJ)	Hoechst-Roussel Pharmaceuticals (Somerville, NJ)	Hoechst-Roussel Pharmaceuticals (Somerville, NJ)	

#### TABLE 1. IDENTITY AND SOURCE OF FUROSEMIDE USED IN THE FEED STUDIES



FIGURE 1. INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF FUROSEMIDE (LOT NO. Y-4050)



ppm (8)

system 1. The second thin-layer chromatographic system detected a trace impurity. Highperformance liquid chromatography with a 55:45 solvent ratio at a flow rate of 1 or 2 ml/ minute detected no impurities with peak areas greater than or equal to 0.1% of the major peak area.

Stability studies performed by the high-performance liquid chromatographic system described above with a 50:50 solvent ratio at a flow rate of 2 ml/minute indicated that furosemide was stable as a bulk chemical when kept for 2 weeks in the dark at temperatures up to 60° C. Further confirmation of the stability of the bulk chemical during the toxicity studies (storage at room temperature) was obtained by titration with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide, and high-performance liquid chromatography on a Waters Radial Pak A column with a mobile phase of aqueous 1% acetic acid:methanol with 1% acetic acid (40:60) at a flow rate of 0.4-2 ml/minute and ultraviolet detection at 280 nm. Results of these analyses indicated that no degradation of the stored chemical occurred during the studies. The identity of the chemical at the study laboratory was confirmed by infrared spectroscopy.

#### PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMULATED DIETS

Formulated diets were prepared by adding a dry premix of furosemide and feed to the appropriate amount of feed (Table 2). The mixture then was blended in a V-blender for 15 minutes. A study to determine the homogeneity of a 1,500-g, 10,000-ppm formulated diet mixture indicated less than 1% deviation in the concentration of samples taken from three locations in the blender. Stability studies showed that a blended diet containing 700 ppm furosemide when stored in the dark at 5° C exhibited losses of 2%, 7%, 9%, or 11% after 7, 14, 21, or 24 days; losses were approximately 13% when this formulated diet was stored under simulated animal room conditions for 3 or 7 days.

In the 13-week studies, formulated diets were stored in the dark at  $5^{\circ}$  C for no longer than 2 weeks. The formulated diets were analyzed two times over the course of the 13-week studies. The method consisted of extraction with acetone, a methanol dilution step, and addition of propiophenone as an internal standard. Analysis was

TABLE 2. PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF<br/>FUROSEMIDE

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	<b>Two-Year Studies</b>
Preparation Weighed chemical was mixed with equal weight of feed with spatula in glass beaker. Volume was doubled with more feed and the mixture was stirred; this procedure was repeated until approximately one-third of meal was mixed. Final mixing was in an 8-qt twin-shell blender with the premix layered between equal portions of feed for 5 min with the intensifier bar on and 10 min with the intensifier bar off	Same as 14-d studies; yellow fluores- cent light used during preparation	Weighed amount of chemical and ap- proximately 30 g of feed ground in a mortar and then handmixed with a spatula with one-third total feed re- quired; premix and remaining feed mixed in an 8-qt, 16-qt, or 1-ft <sup>3</sup> twin- shell V-blender. Yellow fluorescent light used during mixing procedure
Maximum Storage Time 1 wk	Same as 14-d studies	24 d
Storage Conditions 4° C or 5° C in the dark	5°C in the dark	5° C in the dark

performed by high-performance liquid chromatography with a Waters RCM-100 C<sub>18</sub> column and with a mobile phase of 1% (v/v) aqueous acetic acid:1% (v/v) acetic acid in methanol (55:45). The formulated diets were tested for homogeneity at the highest and lowest dietary concentrations (Table 3). All concentrations of furosemide in feed were within  $\pm 10\%$  of the target concentrations.

In the 2-year studies, formulated diets were stored in the dark at  $5^{\circ}$  C for up to 24 days. Analyses for furosemide in feed mixtures were conducted by the study and analytical chemistry laboratories to confirm that the desired concentrations were administered to the animals. During the initial part of the studies, the study laboratory used two methods of analysis: the high-performance liquid chromatographic method previously described for analyzing formulated feed samples, and a spectrophotometric method at 273 nm with an acetonitrile extraction. However, a major problem with dose analysis was observed at the start of the 2-year studies; of 56 formulated diets analyzed, 41 differed from the target concentrations by more than  $\pm 10\%$ . Studies conducted at the analytical chemistry and study laboratories indicated that extraction of furosemide from the feed and stability of furosemide in the extracting solvent were the source of the problem. Methanolic extracts of the formulated diets were found to deteriorate rapidly, with a loss of approximately 17% after storage for 2 days at 5° C in the dark. From May 1982 to the end of the studies, the study laboratory used an extraction with 0.1 N potassium hydroxide in methanol followed by high-performance liquid chromatographic quantitation. This procedure provided satisfactory results.

TABLE 3. RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

		<u>'urosemide in Feed (ppm)</u>	Determined as a
Date Mixed	Target	Determined	Percent of Target
05/23/80	625	614	98.2
	938	968	103.2
	1,250	1,262	101.0
	1,875	1,863	99.4
	2,500	2,412	96.5
	3,750	3,516	93.8
	5,000	4,913	98.3
	7,500	7,358	98.1
	10,000	9,634	96.3
	15,000	15,663	104.4
	20,000	20,333	101.7
06/12/80	625	(a) <b>520</b>	(b) 83.2
(mogeneity study)	625	(c) <b>514</b>	(b) 82.2
	625	(d) 576	92.2
	20,000	(a) 20,734	103.7
	20,000	(c) 21,852	109.3
	20,000	(d) 20,858	104.3
07/17/80	1,250	1,164	93.0
	1,875	1,731	92.3
	2,500	2,325	93.0
	3,750	3,719	99.2
	5,000	5,086	101.7
	7,500	7,301	97.3
	10,000	10,197	102.0
	15,000	15,657	104.4
	20,000	21,070	105.4

(a) Sample taken from top right of blender

(b) Out of specifications

(c) Sample taken from top left of blender

(d) Sample taken from bottom of blender

During the 2-year studies, the formulated diets were analyzed at approximately 8-week intervals, but after December 16, 1982, every eighth blend was analyzed. All mixtures were formulated within  $\pm 10\%$  of the target concentrations after the basic methanol extraction procedure was used beginning in May 1982 (Table 4). Referee analyses were periodically performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory. Agreement was found between laboratories after the analytical methods were modified (Table 5).

TABLE 4.	<b>RESULTS OF</b>	ANALYSIS O	F FORMUL	ATED	DIETS	IN THE	E TWO-YEAR	FEED	STUDIES	OF
			FUI	ROSEN	IIDE					

	Concentration of Furosemide in Feed for Target Concentration (ppm) (a)				
Date Mixed	350	700	1,400		
05/12/82	320	653	1,310		
06/09/82	321	654	1,292		
08/04/82	360	702	1,360		
09/29/82	318	658	1,430		
11/24/82	336	708	1,400		
01/05/83	347	697	1,390		
02/16/83	352	675	1,340		
03/30/83	(b) 330	672	(b) <b>1,347</b>		
lean (ppm)	336	677	1,359		
tandard deviation	16.0	22.3	46.4		
oefficient of variation (percent)	4.8	3.3	3.4		
ange (ppm)	318-360	653-708	1,292-1,430		
lumber of samples	8	8	8		

(a) Results of duplicate analysis unless otherwise specified; analytical procedures used before 5/12/82 gave low results and are excluded from this table.

(b) Results of five analyses

## TABLE 5. RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

		Determined Cor	centration (ppm)
Date Mixed	Target Concentration (ppm)	Study Laboratory (a)	Referee Laboratory (b)
08/04/82	350	360	324
02/16/83	1,400	1,340	1,440

(a) Results of duplicate analysis

(b) Results of triplicate analysis

#### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Male and female F344/N rats and  $B6C3F_1$  mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories and held for 20 days before the studies began. Rats were 7-8 weeks old when placed on study, and mice were 7-9 weeks old. Groups of five rats and five mice of each sex were fed diets containing 0, 570, 1,700, 5,100, 15,300, or 46,000 ppm furosemide for 14 consecutive days. The rats and mice were observed two times per day and weighed on days 0, 7, and 14. A necropsy was performed on all animals. Details of animal maintenance are presented in Table 6.

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Thirteen-week studies were conducted to evaluate the cumulative toxic effects of repeated exposure to furosemide and to determine the concentrations to be used in the 2-year studies.

Six-week-old male and female F344/N rats and  $B6C3F_1$  mice were obtained from Harlan Industries, observed for 13 or 14 days, distributed to weight classes, and then assigned to dosed or control groups according to a table of random numbers.

Groups of 9 or 10 male rats were given diets containing 0, 625, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, or 10,000 ppm furosemide for 13 weeks. Groups of 10 female rats and 10 male mice were given diets containing 0, 938, 1,875, 3,750, 7,500, or 15,000 ppm on the same schedule, and groups of 10 female mice were fed diets containing 0, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, 10,000, or 20,000 ppm. Control diets consisted of NIH 07 Rat and Mouse Ration. Formulated or control diets and water were available ad libitum.

Animals were observed one time per day; moribund animals were killed. Feed consumption was measured one time per week by cage. Individual animal weights were recorded one time per week. At the end of the 13-week studies, survivors were killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals except those excessively autolyzed or cannibalized. Tissues and groups examined are listed in Table 6.

#### TWO-YEAR STUDIES

#### **Study Design**

Groups of 50 rats of each sex were administered diets containing 0, 350, or 700 ppm furosemide for 103 weeks (rats) or 104 weeks (mice). Groups of 50 mice of each sex were administered diets containing 0, 700, or 1,400 ppm furosemide.

#### Source and Specifications of Animals

The male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 (C57BL/6N, female  $\times$  C3H/HeN MTV<sup>-</sup>, male) mice used in these studies were produced under strict barrier conditions at Charles River Breeding Laboratories under a contract to the Carcinogenesis Program. Breeding stock for the foundation colonies at the production facility originated at the National Institutes of Health Repository. Animals shipped for study were progeny of defined microflora-associated parents that were transferred from isolators to barriermaintained rooms. Rats were shipped to the study laboratory at 5 weeks of age and mice at 6 weeks of age. The rats were quarantined at the study facility for 15 days and the mice for 18 days. Thereafter, a complete necropsy was performed on five animals of each sex and species to assess their health status. The rats were placed on study at 7 weeks of age and the mice at 8 weeks of age. The health of the animals was monitored during the course of the studies according to the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix E).

A quality control skin grafting program has been in effect since early 1978 to monitor the genetic integrity of the inbred mice used to produce the hybrid  $B6C3F_1$  study animal. In mid-1981, data were obtained that showed incompatibility between the NIH C3H reference colony and the C3H colony from a Program supplier. In August 1981, inbred parental lines of mice were further tested for genetic integrity via isozyme and protein electrophoresis profiles that demonstrate phenotype expressions of known genetic loci.

The C57BL/6N mice were homogeneous at all loci tested. Eighty-five percent of the C3H mice

## TABLE 6. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies		
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN		<u> </u>		
Size of Study Groups 5 males and 5 females of each species	10 males and 10 females of each species (9 male rats in the 1,250- and 10,000- ppm groups)	50 males and 50 females of each species		
<b>Doses</b> 0, 570, 1,700, 5,100, 15,300, or 46,000 ppm furosemide in feed	Ratsmale: 0, 625, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, or 10,000 ppm furosemide in feed; female: 0, 938, 1,875, 3,750, 7,500, or 15,000 ppm; micemale: 0, 938, 1,875, 3,750, 7,500, or 15,000 ppm; female: 0, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, 10,000, or 20,000 ppm	Rats0, 350, or 700 ppm furosemide in feed; mice0, 700, or 1,400 ppm n		
Date of First Dose 7/11/79	Rats5/21/80; mice5/20/80	Rats5/1/81; mice5/4/81		
Date of Last Dose 7/24/79	Rats8/20/80; mice8/19/80	Rats350-ppm groups, 4/26/83; 700-ppm groups, 4/22/83; mice700-ppm groups, 5/10/83; 1,400-ppm groups, 5/5/83		
D <b>uration of Dosing</b> 14 consecutive d	13 wk	Rats103 wk; mice104 wk		
Type and Frequency of Observat Observed $2 \times d$ ; weighed initially and $1 \times wk$ thereafter	tion Observed $1 \times d$ for deaths and $1 \times wk$ for clinical signs; weighed initially and $1 \times wk$ thereafter; feed consumption measured $1 \times wk$	Observed 2 $\times$ d; weighed initially, 1 $\times$ wk for 13 wk, and then 1 $\times$ mo; feed consumption measured 1 $\times$ mo		
Necropsy and Histologic Examin Necropsy performed on all animals; histologic exams performed on kidney and liver of all animals	Necropsy performed on all animals; the following tissues examined histologi- cally for control and high dose groups: adrenal glands, brain, colon, esophagus, eyes (if grossly abnormal), femur or ster- nebrae or vertebrae including marrow, gallbladder (mice), gross lesions and tissue masses with regional lymph nodes, heart, kidneys, liver, lungs and mainstem bronchi, mammary gland, mandibular or mesenteric lymph nodes, pancreas, parathyroids, pituitary gland, prostate/testes or ovaries/uterus, sali- vary glands, skin, small intestine, spinal cord (if neurologic signs present), spleen,	mandibular and mesenteric lymph nodes, nasal cavity and turbinates, pancreas, para thyroids, pituitary gland, preputial or clitor gland, rectum, salivary glands, skin, spinal cord, spleen, sternebrae and vertebrae in- cluding marrow, stomach, thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, and urinary bladder. Tissue examined in the low dose groups include brain, gross lesions, kidneys, pituitary glan and thyroid gland for male rats; clitoral		

## TABLE 6. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF<br/>FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINTE	NANCE	
Strain and Species F344/N rats; B6C3F <sub>1</sub> mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F1 mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F $_1$ mice
Animal Source Charles River Breeding Laboratories Portage, MI)	Harlan Industries (Indianapolis, IN)	Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)
Study Laboratory SRI International	SRI International	SRI International
Method of Animal Identification Ear clip	Earclip	Ear punch
<b>Fime Held Before Study</b> 20 d	<b>Rats14</b> d; mice13 d	Rats15 d; mice18 d
Age When Placed on Study Rats7-8 wk; mice7-9 wk	8 wk	Rats7 wk; mice8 wk
A <b>ge When Killed</b> Rats9-10 wk; mice9-11 wk	21 wk	Rats111-113 wk; mice113-115 wk
Necropsy Dates 7/26/79	Rats8/21/80-8/22/80; mice8/20/80-8/21/80	Rats4/29/83-5/10/83; mice5/11/83-5/20/83
Method of Animal Distribution Distributed to weight classes and then assigned to groups according to a table of random numbers	Distributed to weight classes and then assigned to cages by one table of random numbers and to groups by another table of random numbers	Same as 13-wk studies
Feed Rodent Laboratory Chow 5001® Ralston Purina Co., St. Louis, MO); available ad libitum	NIH 07 Rat and Mouse Ration (Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA); available ad libitum	Same as 13-wk studies
B <b>edding</b> Hardwood chips (Pressed Wood, Inc.)	Absorb-Dri (Lab Products, Inc., Maywood, NY)	Same as 13-wk studies
Water Automatic watering system (Systems Engineering, Napa, CA); deionized water sterilized by ultraviolet radiation; available ad libitum	Automatic watering system (Systems Engineering, Napa, CA); deionized and filtered water sterilized by ultraviolet radiation; available ad libitum	Same as 13-wk studies
C <b>ages</b> Polycarbonate (Lab Products, Inc., Rochelle Park, NJ)	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies
Ca <b>ge Filters</b> Nonwoven polyester fiber (Lab Products, Rochelle Park, NJ, or Research Equipment Co., Bryon, TX)	Filter sheets (Lab Products, Inc., Rochelle Park, NJ)	Nonwoven fiber filters (Snow Filtration, Cincinnati, OH)
Animals per Cage	5	5

### TABLE 6. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies					
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINTENANCE (Continued)							
Other Chemicals on Study in the None	Same Room None	None					
Animal Room Environment Temp64°-79° F; hum45%-55%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 12-15 room air changes/h	Temp74°-78° F; hum46%-76%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 13 room air changes/h	Temp67°-80° F ; hum19%-88%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 11-17 room air changes/h					

monitored were variant at one to three loci, indicating some heterogeneity in the C3H line from this supplier. Nevertheless, the genome of this line is more homogeneous than that of randomly bred stocks.

Male mice from the C3H colony and female mice from the C57BL/6N colony were used as parents for the hybrid  $B6C3F_1$  mice used in these studies. The influence of the potential genetic nonuniformity in the hybrid mice on these results is not known, but results of the studies are not affected because concurrent controls were included in each study.

#### **Animal Maintenance**

Rats and mice were housed five per cage. Feed and water were available ad libitum. Cages were not rotated during the studies. Further details on animal maintenance are given in Table 6.

#### **Clinical Examinations and Pathology**

All animals were observed two times per day, and clinical signs were recorded at least once per month. Body weights were recorded once per week for the first 13 weeks of the study and once per month thereafter. Mean body weights were calculated for each group. Animals found moribund and those surviving to the end of the studies were humanely killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals including those found dead, unless they were excessively autolyzed or cannibalized, missexed, or missing. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and is not necessarily equal to the number of animals that were placed on study.

During necropsy, all organs and tissues were examined for grossly visible lesions. Tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Histopathologic examination of tissues was performed according to an "inverse pyramid" design (McConnell, 1983a,b). That is, complete histopathologic examinations (Table 6) were performed on all high dose and control animals and on low dose animals dving before the end of the study. In addition, histopathologic examinations were performed on all grossly visible lesions in all dose groups. Potential target organs for chemically related neoplastic and nonneoplastic effects were identified from the short-term studies or the literature and were determined by examination of the pathology data; these target organs/tissues in the lower dose group were examined histopathologically. If mortality in the highest dose group exceeded that in the control group by 15%, complete histopathologic examinations were performed on all animals in the second highest dose group in addition to those in the high dose group.

When the pathology evaluation was completed, the slides, paraffin blocks, and residual wet tissues were sent to the NTP Archives for inventory, slide/block match, and wet tissue audit. The slides, individual animal data records, and pathology tables were sent to an independent quality assessment laboratory. The individual animal records and tables were compared for accuracy, slides and tissue counts were verified, and histotechnique was evaluated. All tumor

### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

diagnoses, all target tissues, and all tissues from a randomly selected 10% of the animals were evaluated by a quality assessment pathologist. The quality assessment report and slides were submitted to the Pathology Working Group (PWG) Chairperson, who reviewed all target tissues and those about which there was a disagreement between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists.

Representative slides selected by the Chairperson were reviewed by the PWG without knowledge of previously rendered diagnoses. When the consensus diagnosis of the PWG differed from that of the laboratory pathologist, the laboratory pathologist was asked to reconsider the original diagnosis. This procedure has been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and Boorman et al. (1985). The final diagnoses represent a consensus of contractor pathologists and the NTP Pathology Working Group. For subsequent analysis of pathology data, the diagnosed lesions for each tissue type are combined according to the guidelines of McConnell et al. (1986).

Slides/tissues are generally not evaluated in a blind fashion (i.e., without knowledge of dose group) unless the lesions in question are subtle or unless there is an inconsistent diagnosis of lesions by the laboratory pathologist. Nonneoplastic lesions are not examined routinely by the quality assessment pathologist or PWG unless they are considered part of the toxic effect of the chemical.

#### **Statistical Methods**

Data Recording: Data on this experiment were recorded in the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, survival, body weight, and individual pathology results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969).

Survival Analyses: The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and is presented in the form of graphs. Animals were censored from the survival analyses at the time they were found to be missing or dead from other than natural causes; animals dying from natural causes were not censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) life table test for a doserelated trend. When significant survival differences were detected, additional analyses using these procedures were carried out to determine the time point at which significant differences in the survival curves were first detected. All reported P values for the survival analysis are two-sided.

Calculation of Incidence: The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions is given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site to the number of animals in which that site was examined. In most instances, the denominators include only those animals for which the site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions (e.g., skin or mammary tumors) prior to histologic sampling, or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the number of animals on which a necropsy was performed.

Analysis of Tumor Incidence: Three statistical methods are used to analyze tumor incidence data: life table tests, incidental tumor analysis, and Fisher exact/Cochran-Armitage trend analvses. Tests of significance include pairwise comparisons of high dose and low dose groups with controls and tests for overall dose-response trends. For studies in which administration of the study compound has little effect on survival, the results of the three alternative analyses will generally be similar. When differing results are obtained by the three methods, the final interpretation of the data will depend on the extent to which the tumor under consideration is regarded as being the cause of death. Continuity-corrected tests are used in the analysis of tumor incidence, and reported P values are one-sided. The procedures described below also were used to evaluate selected nonneoplastic lesions.

Life Table Analyses--The first method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals dying before the end of the study were "fatal"; i.e., they either directly or indirectly caused the death of the animal. According to this approach, the proportions of tumorbearing animals in the dosed and control groups were compared at each point in time at which an animal died with a tumor of interest. The denominators of these proportions were the total number of animals at risk in each group. These results, including the data from animals killed at the end of the study, were then combined by the Mantel-Haenszel method (1959) to obtain an overall P value. This method of adjusting for intercurrent mortality is the life table method of Cox (1972) and of Tarone (1975). The underlying variable considered by this analysis is time to death due to tumor. If the tumor is rapidly lethal, then time to death due to tumor closely approximates time to tumor onset. In this case, the life table test also provides a comparison of the time-specific tumor incidences.

Incidental Tumor Analyses--The second method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals that died before the end of the study were "incidental"; i.e., they were merely observed at necropsy in animals dying of an unrelated cause. According to this approach, the proportions of tumor-bearing animals in dosed and control groups were compared in each of five time intervals: weeks 0-52, weeks 53-78, weeks 79-92, week 93 to the week before the terminal-kill period, and the terminal-kill period. The denominators of these proportions were the number of animals actually examined for tumors during the time interval. The individual time interval comparisons were then combined by the previously described method to obtain a single overall result. (See Haseman, 1984, for the computational details of both methods.) A method for the analysis of incidental tumors based on logistic regression (Dinse and Lagakos, 1983) was also employed as a supplemental test in some instances. This method has the advantage of not requiring time intervals in the statistical evaluation.

Fisher Exact/Cochran-Armitage Trend Analyses--In addition to survival-adjusted methods, the results of the Fisher exact test for pairwise comparisons and the Cochran-Armitage linear trend test (Armitage, 1971; Gart et al., 1979) are given in the appendixes containing the analyses of tumor incidence. These two tests are based on the overall proportion of tumor-bearing animals and do not adjust for survival differences.

Historical Control Data: Although the concurrent control group is always the first and most appropriate control group used for evaluation, there are certain instances in which historical control data can be helpful in the overall assessment of tumor incidence. Consequently, control tumor incidences from the NTP historical control data base (Haseman et al., 1984, 1985) are included for those tumors appearing to show compound-related effects.

#### GENETIC TOXICOLOGY

Salmonella Protocol: Testing was performed as reported by Ames et al. (1975) with modifications listed below and described in greater detail by Mortelmans et al. (1986) and Zeiger et al. (1987). Chemicals were sent to the laboratories as coded aliquots from Radian Corporation (Austin, Texas). The study chemical was incubated with the Salmonella typhimurium tester strains (TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537) either in buffer or S9 mix (metabolic activation enzymes and cofactors from Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat or Syrian hamster liver) for 20 minutes at 37° C before the addition of soft agar supplemented with L-histidine and D-biotin and subsequent plating on minimal glucose agar plates. Incubation was continued for an additional 48 hours.

Chemicals were tested in a series (four strains used) or in a hierarchy (initial testing in TA98 and TA100; if results were negative, then the chemical was tested further in additional strains). If all results were negative, the chemical was retested in all strains with a different concentration of S9.

Each test consisted of triplicate plates of concurrent positive and negative controls and of at least five doses of the study chemical. The high dose was limited by toxicity or solubility but did not exceed 10 mg/plate. All negative assays were repeated, and all positive assays were repeated under the conditions that elicited the positive response.

A positive response was defined as a reproducible, dose-related increase in histidine-independent (revertant) colonies in any one strain/activation combination. An equivocal response was defined as an increase in revertants which was not dose related, not reproducible, or of insufficient magnitude to support a determination of mutagenicity. A response was considered negative when no increase in revertant colonies was observed after chemical treatment.

Mouse Lymphoma Protocol: The experimental protocol is presented in detail by Myhr et al. (1985) and follows the basic format of Clive et al. (1979). All study chemicals were supplied as coded aliquots from Radian Corporation (Austin, Texas). The highest dose of the study compound was determined by solubility or toxicity and did not exceed 5 mg/ml. Mouse lymphoma L5178Y cells were maintained at 37° C as suspension cultures in Fischer's medium supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 110 µg/ml sodium pyruvate, 0.05% pluronic F68, antibiotics, and heat-inactivated horse serum; normal cycling time was about 10 hours. To reduce the number of spontaneously occurring trifluorothymidine (Tft)-resistant cells, subcultures were exposed once to medium containing thymidine, hypoxanthine, methotrexate, and glycine for 1 day, to thymidine, hypoxanthine, and glycine for 1 day, and to normal medium for 3-5 days. For cloning, horse serum content was increased and Noble agar was added. Freshly prepared S9 metabolic activation factors were obtained from the liver of either Aroclor 1254-induced or noninduced male F344 rats.

All doses within an experiment, including concurrent positive and solvent controls, were replicated. Treated cultures contained  $6 \times 10^6$  cells in 10 ml of medium. This volume included the S9 fraction in those experiments performed with metabolic activation. Incubation with the study chemical continued for 4 hours, after which time the medium plus chemical was removed and the cells were resuspended in 20 ml of fresh medium and incubated for an additional 2 days to express the mutant phenotype. Cell density was monitored so that log phase growth was maintained. After the 48-hour expression period,  $3 \times 10^6$ cells were plated in medium and soft agar supplemented with Tft for selection of Tft-resistant cells (TK<sup>+/+</sup>), and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium and soft agar to determine cloning efficiency. Plates were incubated at 37°C under 5% carbon dioxide for 10-12 days. All data were evaluated statistically for both trend and peak response. Both responses had to be significant (P<0.05) for a chemical to be considered capable of inducing Tft resistance; a single significant response led to an "equivocal" conclusion, and the absence of both a trend and a peak response resulted in a "negative" call.

Minimum criteria for accepting an experiment as valid and a detailed description of the statistical analysis and data evaluation are presented in Myhr et al. (1985). This assay was initially performed without S9; if a clearly positive response was not obtained, the experiment was repeated with induced S9.

Chinese Hamster Ovary Cytogenetics Assays: Testing was performed as reported by Galloway et al. (1985, 1987) and is described briefly below. Chemicals were sent to the laboratories as coded aliquots from Radian Corporation (Austin, Texas). Chemicals were tested in cultured Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells for induction of sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs) and chromosomal aberrations both in the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat liver S9 and cofactor mix. Cultures were handled under gold lights to prevent photolysis of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU)-substituted DNA. Each test consisted of concurrent solvent and positive controls and of at least three doses of the study chemical; the high dose was limited by toxicity or solubility but did not exceed 5 mg/ml.

In the SCE test without S9, CHO cells were incubated for 26 hours with the study chemical in McCoy's 5A medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum,  $\iota$ -glutamine (2 mM), and antibiotics. BrdU was added 2 hours after culture initiation. After 26 hours, the medium containing the study chemical was removed and replaced with fresh medium plus BrdU and colcemid, and incubation was continued for 2 more hours. Cells were then harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained with Hoechst 33258 and Giemsa. In the SCE test with S9, cells were incubated with the chemical, serum-free medium, and S9 for 2 hours. The medium was then removed and replaced with medium containing BrdU and no study chemical; incubation proceeded for an additional 26 hours, with colcemid present for the final 2 hours. Harvesting and staining were the same as for cells treated without S9.

In the chromosomal aberration test without S9, cells were incubated in McCoy's 5A medium with the study chemical for 8 hours; colcemid was added, and incubation was continued for 2 hours. The cells were then harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained with Giemsa. For the chromosomal aberration test with S9, cells were treated with the study chemical and S9 for 2 hours, after which the treatment medium was removed and the cells were incubated for 10 hours in fresh medium, with colcemid present for the final 2 hours. Cells were harvested in the same manner as for the treatment without S9.

For the SCE test, if significant chemical-induced cell cycle delay was seen, incubation time was lengthened to ensure a sufficient number of scorable cells. The harvest time for the chromosomal aberration test was based on the cell cycle information obtained in the SCE test; if cell cycle delay was anticipated, the incubation period was extended approximately 5 hours. Cells were selected for scoring on the basis of good morphology and completeness of karyotype  $(21 \pm 2$  chromosomes). All slides were scored blind, and those from a single test were read by the same person. For the SCE test, 50 seconddivision metaphase cells were usually scored for frequency of SCEs per cell from each dose; 100 (more recently, 200) first-division metaphase cells were scored at each dose for the chromosomal aberration test. Classes of aberrations included simple (breaks and terminal deletions), complex (rearrangements and translocations), and other (pulverized cells, despiralized chromosomes, and cells containing 10 or more aberrations).

Statistical analyses were conducted on both the slopes of the dose-response curves and the individual dose points. An SCE frequency 20% above the concurrent solvent control value was chosen as a statistically conservative positive response. The probability of this level of difference occurring by chance at one dose point is less than 0.01; the probability for such a chance occurrence at two dose points is less than 0.001. Chromosomal aberration data are presented as percentage of cells with aberrations. As with SCEs, both the dose-response curve and individual dose points were statistically analyzed. A statistically significant (P < 0.003) trend test or a significantly increased dose point (P < 0.05) was sufficient to indicate a chemical effect.

Furosemide, NTP TR 356
### **III. RESULTS**

### RATS

### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

Body Weights, Feed Consumption, and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

### MICE

### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

Body Weights, Feed Consumption, and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

### **GENETIC TOXICOLOGY**

### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Two of five males and 3/5 females that received 46,000 ppm furosemide died before the end of the studies (Table 7). Rats that received 15,300 or 46,000 ppm lost weight over the course of the studies. The final mean body weights of rats that received 1,700 or 5,100 ppm were 12% or 23% lower than that of controls for males and 8% or 16% lower for females. Rough fur, hunched backs, and depression were observed from day 5 to the end of the studies for rats that received 15,300 or 46,000 ppm. A dark exudate from the nose was detected after day 6 for males and after day 8 for females in the 46,000-ppm groups. Minimal-to-mild nephrosis was found in all rats that received 15,300 or 46,000 ppm and in one male that received 5,100 ppm. Microscopically, the lesion was subcapsular or cortical and was characterized by tubular cell regeneration; mineralization was also present at the corticomedullary junction.

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

None of the rats died before the end of the studies (Table 8). The final mean body weights of male rats that received 2,500, 5,000, or 10,000 ppm furosemide were 11%, 22%, or 44% lower than that of controls. The final mean body weights of females that received 3,750, 7,500, or 15,000 ppm were 18%, 26%, or 35% lower than that of controls. Feed consumption by male rats that received 10,000 ppm was lower than that by controls. The liver weight to body weight ratios for males that received 1,250 ppm or more furosemide and females that received 15,000 ppm were significantly greater than those for controls (Table 9). The fur was rough for males that received 10,000 ppm and for females that received 7,500 or 15,000 ppm. Diuresis was observed from week 4 to week 13 for all dosed groups. The severity of the diuresis appeared to increase with increased dose. A compound-related, minimal-to-moderate nephrosis occurred

TABLE 7. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

		Mean I	Body Weights	(grams)	Final Weight
Concentration (ppm)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	Relative to Controls (percent)
MALE			·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>
0	5/5	$150 \pm 3$	$219 \pm 3$	$+69 \pm 2$	
570	4/4	$144 \pm 3$	$204 \pm 4$	$+60 \pm 2$	93
1,700	5/5	$137 \pm 6$	$192 \pm 7$	$+55 \pm 2$	88
5,100	5/5	$147 \pm 3$	$169 \pm 3$	$+22 \pm 2$	77
15,300	5/5	$137 \pm 2$	$100 \pm 2$	$-37 \pm 3$	46
46,000	(d) 3/5	$142 \pm 4$	86 ± 4	$-59 \pm 3$	39
FEMALE					
0	5/5	$128 \pm 5$	$153 \pm 5$	$+25 \pm 1$	
570	5/5	(e)	$154 \pm 2$	(e)	101
1,700	5/5	$126 \pm 5$	$141 \pm 4$	$+15 \pm 2$	92
5,100	5/5	$121 \pm 3$	$129 \pm 2$	$+8 \pm 2$	84
15,300	5/5	$123 \pm 4$	$92 \pm 2$	$-31 \pm 3$	60
46,000	(f) 2/5	$121 \pm 3$	83 ± 4	$-46 \pm 1$	54

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Initial group mean body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on animals surviving to the end of the study.

(c) Mean weight change of the survivors  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) Day of death: 8,11

(e) Initial body weight data not available

(f) Day of death: 7,11,13

<b>a</b>	<b>a i i</b> ( )		Body Weigh		Final Weight		l Con-
Concentration (ppm)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	Relative to Controls (percent)		tion (d) Week 13
MALE						<u></u>	<u> </u>
0	10/10	118 ± 3	$347 \pm 5$	$+229 \pm 6$		17.3	20. <b>9</b>
625	10/10	$114 \pm 4$	$340 \pm 4$	$+226 \pm 6$	98	14.6	19.6
1,250	9/9	$115 \pm 3$	$337 \pm 5$	$+222 \pm 6$	97	17.3	19.1
2,500	10/10	$115 \pm 3$	$310 \pm 7$	$+195 \pm 8$	89	17.1	18.3
5,000	10/10	$114 \pm 3$	$272 \pm 5$	$+158 \pm 5$	78	16.3	17.7
10,000	9/9	$117 \pm 3$	$194 \pm 3$	$+77 \pm 5$	56	16.5	12.5
FEMALE							
0	10/10	98 ± 2	195 ± 3	$+97 \pm 4$		12.0	10.9
938	10/10	$97 \pm 3$	$195 \pm 3$	$+98 \pm 4$	100	11.7	11.8
1,875	10/10	$97 \pm 2$	$184 \pm 4$	$+87 \pm 3$	94	11.3	11.6
3,750	10/10	$98 \pm 2$	$159 \pm 3$	$+61 \pm 4$	82	11.5	11.4
7,500	10/10	98 ± 3	$144 \pm 3$	$+46 \pm 2$	74	12.1	13.3
15,000	10/10	$89 \pm 4$	$126 \pm 2$	$+37 \pm 3$	65	13.4	15.9

# TABLE 8. SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF RATS IN THETHIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Initial group mean body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change of the group  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (d) Grams per animal per day; not corrected for scatter.

#### TABLE 9. ANALYSIS OF LIVER WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE (a)

Concentration (ppm)	No. of Animals Weighed	Necropsy Body Weight (grams)	Liver Weight (mg)	Liver Weight/ Body Weight (mg/g
MALE	<u></u>		<u></u>	
0	(b) 10	$326 \pm 5.1$	$10.333 \pm 269$	$31.5 \pm 0.6$
625	10	$321 \pm 3.8$	$11.471 \pm 514$	$35.7 \pm 1.4$
1,250	9	$328 \pm 6.3$	$12.632 \pm 966$	$(c) 38.4 \pm 2.5$
2,500	10	$307 \pm 8.4$	$12.068 \pm 765$	(c) $38.9 \pm 1.6$
5,000	10	(d) $264 \pm 7.3$	$11.039 \pm 581$	(d) $41.7 \pm 1.8$
10,000	9	(d) $194 \pm 5.1$	$9,367 \pm 520$	(d) $48.0 \pm 1.6$
FEMALE				
0	10	$193 \pm 2.9$	$7.050 \pm 656$	$36.3 \pm 3.0$
938	10	$187 \pm 2.6$	$6.042 \pm 298$	$32.4 \pm 1.6$
1,875	10	$183 \pm 3.5$	$7.144 \pm 352$	$39.0 \pm 1.6$
3,750	10	(d) $154 \pm 2.6$	$6,412 \pm 349$	$41.5 \pm 1.9$
7,500	10	(d) $141 \pm 4.4$	$6,225 \pm 592$	$43.4 \pm 3.0$
15,000	10	$(d) 119 \pm 2.8$	$5,943 \pm 366$	(d) $49.5 \pm 2.0$

(a) Mean  $\pm$  standard error; P values vs. the controls by Dunnett's test (Dunnett, 1955).

(b) One liver weight not recorded; ratio is based on nine animals.

(c) P<0.05 (d) P<0.01

at the two highest doses in male and female rats. This lesion consisted of tubular cell degeneration and regeneration with tubular dilatation. In some rats, minimal interstitial fibrosis and mononuclear cell inflammation were associated with the areas of regeneration. Nephrosis was present in 10/10 or 9/10 males that received 5,000 or 10,000 ppm and in 9/10 or 10/10 females that received 7,500 or 15,000 ppm. Mineralization at the renal corticomedullary junction was observed in all male rat groups receiving 625 ppm or more. The severity (minimal-to-mild) of the mineralization increased with increased dose. Mineralization was observed in all males that received 2,500, 5,000, or 10,000 ppm, in 8/10 that received 1,250 ppm, and in 1/10 that received 625 ppm.

Dose Selection Rationale: Because of kidney lesions observed in all dose groups above 625 ppm, dietary concentrations of furosemide selected for rats for the 2-year studies were 350 and 700 ppm.

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

# Body Weights, Feed Consumption, and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of dosed and control rats were comparable throughout the studies (Table 10 and Figure 3). The average daily feed consumption per rat by low dose or high dose rats was 100% or 101% that by controls for males and 102% or 101% for females (Tables F1 and F2). The estimated average amount of furosemide consumed per day was approximately 14 or 29 mg/kg for low dose or high dose male rats and 16 or 31 mg/kg for low dose or high dose female rats. No compound-related clinical signs were observed, and there was no clear evidence of diuresis (such as wet bedding) in dosed groups.

Weeks		ntrol		350 ppm			700 ppm	
on Study	Av. Wt. (grams)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of controls)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of controls)	No. of Survivors
MALE								
0	139	50	139	100	50	138	99	50
1	179	50	178	99	50	174	97 97	50 50
2 3	217 243	50 50	216 245	100 101	50 50	211 240	99	50 50
4	266	50	269	101	50	264	99	50
5	285	50	287	101	50	282	99	50
6	301	50	302	100	50	298 312	99 99	50 50
7 8	315 330	50 50	318 330	101 100	50 50	312	99	50
ş	340	50	342	101	50	338	99	50
10	349	50	351	101	50	345	99	50
11	360	50	364	101	50	359	100	50 50
12 13	368 376	50 50	373 381	101 101	50 50	367 374	100 99	50
16	393	50	402	102	50	394	100	50
20	413	50	421	102	50	411	100	50
24	433	50	444	103	50	433	100	50
28 32	446 463	50 50	455 472	102 102	50 50	445 461	100 100	50 50
37	472	50	485	103	50	473	100	50
42	490	50	501	102	50	490	100	50
46	490	50	502	102	50	490	100	50
50 54	495 502	49 49	509 516	103 103	48 48	499 504	101 100	49 49
58	503	49	516	103	48	504	100	49
62	506	48	516	102	48	500	99	48
67	499	48	510	102	44	496	99	47
71	499	46	512	103	43	491	98	46
75 79	500 496	44 42	513 505	103 102	43 42	492 488	98 98	44 43
83	498	42	496	102	42	480	98 97	43
90	485	35	487	100	28	471	97	34
93	475	31	486	102	24	463	97	32
98	449	26	467	104	19	454	101 97	27
103 FEMALE	443	17	462	104	(a) 16	430	97	21
			117	101	50	114	08	50
0 1	116 136	50 50	117 135	101 99	50 50	114 133	98 98	50 50
2	153	50	150	98	50	147	96	50
3	164	50	162	99	50	160	98	50
4	173	50	172	99	50	170	98	50
5 6	182 189	50 50	181 188	99 99	50 50	179 185	98 98	50 50
7	193	50	193	100	50	191	99	50
8	199	50	199	100	50	197	99	50
9	203	50	203	100	50	201	99	50
10	206	50	204	99	50 50	202 210	98 100	50 50
11 12	211 214	50 50	211 214	100 100	50	210	99	50
13	216	50	215	100	50	214	99	50
16	224	50	223	100	50	221	99	50
20 24	229	50	228	100	49 49	225 235	98 99	50 50
24	237 241	50 50	236 242	100 100	49	235 241	100	50
32	250	50	252	101	49	251	100	50
37	257	50	258	100	49	258	100	50
42	269	50	272	101	49	271	101	50 50
46 50	269 279	50 50	271 282	101 101	49 49	269 280	100 100	50 50
54	294	50	294	100	49	294	100	50
58	305	50	304	100	49	307	101	50
62 67	317	50	317	100	49	318	100	50
67 71	327 339	50 50	325 336	99 99	47 47	331 338	101 100	50 50
75	339 341	48	336 341	100	46	341	100	50 50
79	349	43	344	99	46	344	99	48
83	358	40	352	98	46	349	97	46
90	369	39	362	98	43 43	359 358	97 97	42 42
93 98	3 <b>69</b> 371	38 37	362 364	98 98	43 37	358	97 98	42

### TABLE 10. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

(a) One of 17 survivors at week 104 was not weighed.



FIGURE 3. GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING FUROSEMIDE FOR TWO YEARS

#### Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female rats fed diets containing furosemide at the concentrations used in these studies and for controls are shown in Table 11 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 4. No significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of either sex. The number of male rats in all groups which were killed in a moribund condition compared with the number of those that died naturally was very high in this study.

# Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the significant or noteworthy changes in the incidences of rats with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the brain, kidney, parathyroids, anterior pituitary gland, thyroid gland, and clitoral gland.

Summaries of the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions, individual animal tumor diagnoses, statistical analyses of primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in at least one animal group, and historical control incidences for the neoplasms mentioned in this section are presented in Appendixes A and B for male and female rats, respectively.

### TABLE 11. SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
MALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural deaths Moribund kills Animals surviving until study termination	5 (b) 28 17	5 (b) 28 17	5 25 20
Survival P values (b)	0.720	0.623	0.76 <b>9</b>
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	504
Natural deaths Aoribund kills Animals surviving until study termination	5 (b) 10 35	5 14 31	3 (d) 13 34
urvival P values (b)	1.000	0.634	0.999

(a) Terminal-kill period: weeks 104-106

(b) Three moribund animals were killed after the start of the study termination period; for statistical purposes, these animals have been pooled with those killed at termination.

(c) The result of the life table trend test is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the controls are in the dosed columns.

(d) One moribund animal was killed after the start of the study termination period; for statistical purposes, this animal has been pooled with those killed at termination.



FIGURE 4. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING FUROSEMIDE FOR TWO YEARS

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

Brain: Meningiomas were considered to be the cause of death in three low dose male rats that died during weeks 47, 48, and 97 (Table 12). The historical incidence of meningiomas in untreated male F344/N rats is 2/1,928 (0.1%) which is significantly different from the incidence observed in the low dose group (P<0.001 by the Fisher exact test), and no more than one meningioma has been seen in any comparable historical control group. Hyperplasia of the meninges was seen in three other low dose male rats, but these lesions were not morphologically similar (in cell type) to the meningiomas.

The meningiomas in two rats were similar. They were located in the dorsal caudal region of the cerebellum, were greater than 5 mm in diameter, and had extensively invaded and

replaced much of the caudal part of the cerebellum. They consisted of solid sheets and intersecting bundles of cells with fusiform hyperchromatic nuclei and scant cytoplasm. The tumors were highly cellular, and necrotic cells and cells in mitosis were relatively frequent. The histologic appearance and location were suggestive of an extremely rare tumor, the medulloblastoma. but lacked the pseudorosettes characteristic of neuroblast differentiation. The meningioma in the third low dose male rat was a more typical meningioma. It was located in the region of the olfactory lobes and was about 4 mm in diameter. It consisted of solid sheets of epithelioid cells with a moderate amount of amphophilic cytoplasm and round vesicular nuclei containing one or two nucleoli. Small foci of mineralization were scattered throughout the tumor.

TABLE 12. ANALYSIS OF MENINGIOMAS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OFFUROSEMIDE (a,b)

	Control	350 ppm (c)	700 ppm (c)
)verall Rates	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	8.4%	0.0%
Ferminal Rates	0/17 (0%)	0/17 (0%)	0/20 (0%)
Neek of First Observation		47	
life Table Tests	P = 0.636	P = 0.107	(d)
ncidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.625	P = 0.095	(d)

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods) and Table A3 (footnotes).

(b) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 2/1,928 (0.1%  $\pm$  0.5%)

(c) The estimated dose in milligrams per kilograms per day is given in Section III (Body Weights, Feed Consumption, and Clinical Signs) and in Appendix F.

(d) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the 700-ppm and control groups.

Kidney: Cysts and epithelial hyperplasia of the renal pelvis were observed at increased incidences in high dose male rats (cysts: control, 6/50; low dose, 7/50; high dose, 12/50; epithelial hyperplasia: 17/50; 15/50; 27/50). Tubular cell hyperplasia was observed in 4/50 control, 2/50 low dose, and 4/50 high dose male rats. Tubular cell adenomas were seen in 1/50 control, 3/50 low dose, and 1/50 high dose male rats (Table 13). A tubular cell adenocarcinoma was seen in one additional low dose male rat and in another high dose male rat. The highest previously observed untreated control group incidence of tubular cell adenomas or adenocarcinomas (combined) is 3/50.

Nephropathy occurred at similar incidences in all groups of male rats, but the average severity of this lesion was greater in high dose rats than in controls (Table 15). The incidence and severity of nephropathy were not increased in dosed female rats. Nephropathy is a common spontaneous disease characterized by degeneration and regeneration of tubular epithelial cells, tubular dilatation and atrophy, granular and hyaline casts in tubular lumens, thickening of tubular basement membrane, interstitial fibrosis, and glomerulosclerosis.

Note: After review and approval of this Technical Report by the Peer Review Panel (April 19, 1988), furosemide was selected as one of several chemicals for which the kidney in male rats would receive additional evaluation by a more extensive sampling procedure to determine if the standard sampling procedures were giving an accurate assessment of the incidences of tubular cell tumors in the kidney.

The standard sampling method for microscopic examination involves single longitudinal sections taken from the center of the left and right kidney, plus additional sections of any grossly visible tumors. The additional pathology procedure involved embedding the remaining half of each kidney which had been retained as part of the wet tissues, step-sectioning the embedded tissue every 1 mm, and examining the resulting three or four sections. The numbers of additional kidney sections reviewed were 300 for the control group, 301 for the low dose group, and 299 for the the high dose group.

The results of the additional tissue review after duplicate diagnoses from the original review were eliminated are shown in Table 14. The composite results from Tables 13 and 14 are shown in Table 15.

It was the decision of the NTP staff that these new findings did not measurably change the level of evidence or affect the interpretation of the tubular cell tumors in the kidney as stated in this Report. The Peer Review Panel concurred with this decision after these new data were presented at a public meeting held on October 3, 1988.

*Parathyroids:* The incidence of hyperplasia of the parathyroids was increased in high dose male rats (control, 8/48; low dose, 8/46; high dose, 15/47). This effect is secondary to the nephropathy, and the incidences are in rough agreement with the average grade of severity in the dosed groups (Table 16).

Anterior Pituitary Gland: The incidence of adenomas in low dose male rats was significantly greater than that in controls (Table 17). The incidences in both dosed groups and in the controls were lower than the mean historical control incidence for these lesions, and anterior pituitary tumors were not considered related to furosemide administration.

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
Hyperplasia			- <u></u>
Overall Rates	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates	5.9%	17.6%	5.0%
Terminal Rates	1/17 (6%)	3/17 (18%)	1/20 (5%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	104
Life Table Tests	P = 0.550N	P = 0.300	P = 0.727 N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.550N	P = 0.300	P = 0.727 N
Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (a)			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates	5.9%	20.1%	7.3%
Terminal Rates	1/17 (6%)	3/17 (18%)	1/20 (5%)
Week of First Observation	104	86	84
Life Table Tests	P = 0.467	P = 0.171	P = 0.543
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.477	P = 0.217	P = 0.561

# TABLE 13. ANALYSIS OF RENAL TUBULAR CELL LESIONS (ORIGINAL SECTIONS) IN MALE RATS IN<br/>THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 9/1,928 (0.5%  $\pm$  1%)

# TABLE 14. RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF RENAL TUBULAR CELL LESIONS (ADDITIONAL SECTIONS) IN<br/>MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
Hyperplasia			
Minimal	1/50	2/50	0/50
Mild	1/50	1/50	4/50
Moderate	0/50	0/50	1/50
Oncocytic	0/50	0/50	4/50
Adenoma	2/50	1/50	4/50

## TABLE 15. RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF RENAL TUBULAR CELL LESIONS (COMPOSITE DATA) IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
Hyperplasia	6/50	5/50	10/50
Adenoma	3/50	4/50	5/50
Adenocarcinoma	0/50	1/50	1/50
Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates	17.6%	25.8%	22.6%
Terminal Rates	3/17 (18%)	4/17 (24%)	3/20 (15%)
Week of First Observation	104	86	84
Life Table Tests	P = 0.257	P = 0.344	P = 0.301
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.250	P = 0.398	P = 0.303

## TABLE 16. SEVERITY OF NEPHROPATHY IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OFFUROSEMIDE (a)

Grade	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
Minimal: 1	1	2	1
Mild: 2	28	24	10
Moderate: 3	14	20	29
Marked: 4	5	3	9
Average grade	$2.5 \pm 0.1$	$2.5 \pm 0.1$	$(b,c) 2.9 \pm 0.1$

(a) The number of animals with the indicated grade of severity is given in the control and dosed group columns.(b) One high dose rat with nephropathy was not graded.

(c) P < 0.01 vs. the controls

## TABLE 17. ANALYSIS OF PROLIFERATIVE ANTERIOR PITUITARY GLAND LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
Focal Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	14/50 (28%)	20/50 (40%)	22/50 (44%)
Adenoma (a)			
Overall Rates	4/50 (8%)	11/50 (22%)	8/50 (16%)
Adjusted Rates	14.7%	41.6%	23.9%
Terminal Rates	1/17 (6%)	5/17 (29%)	2/20 (10%)
Week of First Observation	78	67	74
Life Table Tests	P = 0.229	P = 0.039	P = 0.221
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.173	P = 0.044	P = 0.166

(a) Historical incidence of adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 459/1,830 (25%  $\pm$  10%)

Thyroid Gland: C-Cell adenomas in female rats occurred with a significant positive trend; the incidence in the high dose group was not significantly greater than that in the controls by the incidental tumor or logistic regression tests (Table 18). A C-cell carcinoma occurred in one other low dose female rat. C-Cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) were seen in 7/50 control, 3/50 low dose, and 6/50 high dose male rats. Logistic regression analysis was used as a supplemental statistical test because of the differing results given for high dose female rats by the life table and incidental tumor tests.

Clitoral Gland: Hyperplasia was observed at increased incidences in dosed female rats (control, 1/48; low dose, 5/45; high dose, 6/49). The incidences of adenomas in dosed female rats were not significantly greater than that in controls (6/48; 10/45; 9/49).

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
Hyperplasia	·····		
Overall Rates	29/50 (58%)	24/50 (48%)	26/50 (52%)
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	4/50 (8%)	6/50 (12%)	11/50 (22%)
Adjusted Rates	11.0%	19.4%	29.2%
Terminal Rates	3/35 (9%)	6/31 (19%)	8/34 (24%)
Week of First Observation	98	104	88
Life Table Tests	P=0.030	P = 0.302	P = 0.048
Logistic Regression Tests	P=0.035	P = 0.368	P = 0.055
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.042	P = 0.373	P = 0.090
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
Adenoma or Carcinoma (a)			
Overall Rates	4/50 (8%)	7/50 (14%)	11/50 (22%)
Adjusted Rates	11.0%	22.6%	29.2%
Terminal Rates	3/35 (9%)	7/31 (23%)	8/34 (24%)
Week of First Observation	98	104	88
Life Table Tests	P=0.032	P = 0.200	P = 0.048
Logistic Regression Tests	P=0.038	P = 0.255	P = 0.055
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.044	P = 0.254	P = 0.090

# TABLE 18. ANALYSIS OF THYROID GLAND C-CELL LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARFEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 218/1,938 (11%  $\pm$  7%)

### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

All males and 1/5 females that received 46,000 ppm furosemide died before the end of the studies (Table 19). Male mice that received 15,300 ppm and female mice that received 46,000 ppm lost weight over the course of the studies. The final mean body weights of male mice that received 1,700 or 5,100 ppm were 16% or 14% lower than that of controls. The final mean body weight of females that received 15,300 was 13% lower than that of controls. Rough fur, a

hunched appearance, depression, and weakness were observed for all mice that received 46,000 ppm. These effects appeared later in the study for females than for males. Minimal-to-mild nephrosis was observed in all mice that received 46,000 ppm, in 5/5 males and 1/5 females that received 15,300 ppm, and in 1/5 males that received 5,100 ppm. Microscopically, this lesion was a subcapsular or cortical tubular cell regeneration; tubular dilatation and casts and mineralization at the corticomedullary junction were also present.

 TABLE 19. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY FEED

 STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

		Mean B	ody Weights (	Final Weight	
Concentration (ppm)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	Relative to Controls (percent)
MALE					
0	5/5	$24.8\pm0.4$	$29.6 \pm 0.7$	$+4.8 \pm 0.5$	
570	5/5	$24.4 \pm 0.7$	$28.8 \pm 0.4$	$+4.4 \pm 0.4$	97.3
1,700	4/4	$22.0 \pm 0.4$	$24.8 \pm 0.5$	$+2.8 \pm 0.3$	83.8
5,100	5/5	$24.4 \pm 1.2$	$25.4 \pm 0.8$	$+1.0 \pm 0.5$	85.8
15,300	5/5	$24.2 \pm 0.6$	$22.8 \pm 0.7$	$-1.4 \pm 0.4$	77.0
46,000	(d) 0/5	$25.6 \pm 0.8$	(e)	(e)	(e)
FEMALE					
0	5/5	$19.2 \pm 0.4$	$22.4 \pm 0.4$	$+3.2 \pm 0.2$	
570	5/5	$19.2 \pm 0.2$	$21.2 \pm 0.6$	$+2.0 \pm 0.5$	94.6
1,700	5/5	$18.6 \pm 0.2$	$20.4 \pm 0.2$	$+1.8 \pm 0.4$	91.1
5,100	5/5	$18.8 \pm 0.6$	$21.0 \pm 0.3$	$+2.2 \pm 0.4$	93.8
15,300	5/5	$18.4 \pm 0.4$	$19.4 \pm 0.5$	$+1.0 \pm 0.3$	86.6
46,000	(f) <b>4/5</b>	$19.4 \pm 0.2$	$14.5 \pm 0.5$	$-4.7 \pm 0.8$	64.7

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Initial group mean body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on animals surviving to the end of the study.

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) Day of death: 7,7,8,8,8

(e) No data are reported due to 100% mortality in this group.

(f) Day of death: 14

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

No compound-related deaths occurred (Table 20). The final mean body weights of males that received 3,750, 7,500, or 15,000 were 12%, 22%, or 17% lower than that of controls. Final mean body weights of dosed and control female mice were comparable. Feed consumption by dosed groups was lower than that by controls during the first week of the study and somewhat higher thereafter. The liver weight to body weight ratio was significantly increased for male mice that received 15,000 ppm and for females that received 5,000, 10,000, or 20,000 ppm (Table 21). Nephrosis was observed in 3/10 females that received 20,000 ppm, 2/10 males that received 15,000 ppm, and 1/10 males that received 7,500 ppm. Proteinaceous tubular casts were observed in the renal medulla of 10/10 females that received 20,000 ppm, 8/10 that received 10,000 ppm, 3/10 that received 5,000 ppm, and 1/10 that received 2,500 ppm. These tubular casts were observed in 9/10 males in the 3,750-, 7,500-, and 15,000-ppm groups.

Dose Selection Rationale: Because of lower body weight gain in males and kidney lesions in both males and females at higher doses, dietary concentrations of furosemide selected for mice for the 2-year studies were 700 and 1,400 ppm.

TABLE 20. SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

Concentration	Survival (a)	Mean B	ody Weights (	grams)	Final Weight Relative to Controls		l Con- tion (d)
(ppm)		Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	(percent)	Week 7	Week 13
MALE	······································						
0	(e) 9/10	$23.0 \pm 0.8$	$29.9 \pm 1.0$	$+6.8 \pm 1.1$		3.5	3.8
938	10/10	$23.1 \pm 0.7$	$28.7 \pm 0.7$	$+5.6 \pm 0.8$	96.0	4.1	4.1
1,875	10/10	$22.4 \pm 0.9$	$28.1 \pm 0.7$	$+5.7 \pm 0.9$	94.0	4.1	4.1
3,750	10/10	$22.5 \pm 0.6$	$26.3 \pm 0.4$	$+3.8 \pm 0.6$	88.0	4.0	4.0
7,500	10/10	$23.0 \pm 0.7$	$23.3 \pm 0.5$	$+0.3 \pm 1.0$	77.9	3.7	4.0
15,000	(f) 9/10	$23.5 \pm 0.8$	$24.8\pm0.4$	$+1.3 \pm 1.0$	82.9	3.8	5.0
FEMALE							
0	10/10	$17.8 \pm 0.4$	$22.7 \pm 0.3$	$+4.9 \pm 0.3$	1	3.4	3.5
1,250	(g) 8/10	$17.9 \pm 0.7$	$23.9 \pm 0.8$	$+5.9 \pm 0.4$	105.3	3.5	4.0
2,500	10/10	$17.5 \pm 0.5$	$22.0 \pm 0.3$	$+4.5 \pm 0.3$	96.9	3.7	3.5
5,000	10/10	$17.7 \pm 0.4$	$23.4 \pm 0.4$	$+5.7 \pm 0.2$	103.1	3.3	3.8
10,000	10/10	$17.6 \pm 0.4$	$22.0 \pm 0.3$	$+4.4 \pm 0.2$	96.9	3.8	4.0
20,000	10/10	$17.6 \pm 0.5$	$22.7 \pm 0.4$	$+5.1 \pm 0.3$		3.4	4.7

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Initial group mean body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on animals surviving to the end of the study.

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) Grams per animal per day; not corrected for scatter.

(e) Week of death: 13

(f) One mouse escaped during week 1.

(g) Accidental deaths

Concentration (ppm)	No. of Animals Weighed	Necropsy Body Weight (grams)	Liver Weight (mg)	Liver Weight/ Body Weight (mg/g)
MALE				
0	9	$26.7 \pm 0.9$	$1.049 \pm 25$	$39.6 \pm 1.2$
938	10	$25.1 \pm 0.7$	$1.074 \pm 76$	$42.5 \pm 2.3$
1,875	10	$24.0 \pm 0.5$	$1.021 \pm 70$	$42.3 \pm 2.4$
3,750	10	(b) $22.9 \pm 0.4$	$872 \pm 55$	$37.9 \pm 2.0$
7,500	10	(c) $22.3 \pm 1.2$	996 ± 97	$44.0 \pm 2.3$
15,000	9	(c) $22.4 \pm 1.0$	$1,187 \pm 112$	(c) $52.0 \pm 2.7$
FEMALE				
0	10	$20.5 \pm 0.3$	$857 \pm 22$	$41.8 \pm 0.9$
1,250	8	$21.4 \pm 0.7$	$950 \pm 52$	$44.4 \pm 1.9$
2,500	10	$19.1 \pm 0.3$	$798 \pm 36$	$41.8 \pm 1.8$
5,000	10	$23.2 \pm 1.3$	(b) $1,252 \pm 113$	(c) $53.1 \pm 2.0$
10,000	10	$23.0 \pm 1.4$	(c) $1.278 \pm 151$	(c) $53.9 \pm 3.5$
20,000	10	$20.7 \pm 0.9$	$1.178 \pm 83$	(c) 56.4 $\pm$ 1.6

## TABLE 21. ANALYSIS OF LIVER WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF<br/>FUROSEMIDE (a)

(a) Mean  $\pm$  standard error; P values vs. the controls by Dunnett's test (Dunnett, 1955).

(b) P<0.05

#### (c) P < 0.01

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

#### Body Weights, Feed Consumption, and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of high dose male mice were generally 5%-9% lower than those of controls before week 23 and 11%-17% lower thereafter (Table 22 and Figure 5). Mean body weights of low dose male mice were generally 5%-10% lower than those of controls after week 31. Mean body weights of high dose female mice were 11%-22% lower than those of controls after week 61, and those of low dose female mice were 5%-13% lower from week 82 to the end of the studies. The average daily feed consumption by low dose or high dose male mice was 107% or 105% that by controls and by low dose or high dose female mice, 105% or 107% that by controls (Tables F3 and F4). The average amount of furosemide consumed per day was approximately 90 or 190 mg/kg for low dose or high dose male mice and 100 or 215 mg/kg for low dose or high dose female mice. Excessively wet bedding, presumably resulting from diuresis, was considered to be compound related. Fighting was apparent among males in all groups. Penile and preputial lesions found in many animals during the study may have contributed to the incidences of urogenital infection and inflammation.

Weeks		ntrol	A an AVA	700 ppm	N	A	1,400 ppm	
on Study	Av. Wt. (grams)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of controls)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of controls)	No. of Survivors
IALE								
0	23.6	50	23.3	99	50	23.2	98	50
1	22.8	50	23.2	102	50	23.2	102	50
2	26.0	50	25.0	96	50	24.5	94	50
3 4	27.3 27.7	50 50	26.0 25.9	95 94	50 50	25.9 25.3	95 91	50 50
5	28.5	50	26.8	94	50	26.8	94	50
6	30.0	50	29.5	98	50	27.8	93	50
7	30.2	50	29.4	97	50	28.5	94	50
8	30.9	50	29.8	96	50	29.4	95	50
9	30.0	50	28.9	96	50	27.4	91	50
10	31.5	50	30.4	97	50	30.0	95 93	50 50
11 12	32.9 32.4	49 49	31.0 31.4	94 97	50 50	30.6 30.6	93	50
13	32.7	49	31.1	95	50	30.6	94	50
15	33.4	49	32.5	97	50	32.5	97	50
19	34.7	48	33.8	97	50	32.5	94	50
23	35.8	48	33.9	95	50	32.7	91	50
27	37.3	48	36.4	98	49	33.3	89	50
31	38.8	48	36.5	94	49	34.0	88	50
36 41	38.7 40.6	48 48	36.9 38.5	95 95	49 48	34.4 35.9	89 88	49 48
45	39.7	48	37.1	93	48	34.4	87	48
49	40.6	48	38.7	95	48	35.4	87	48
53	40.9	48	38.7	95	48	34.6	85	48
57	40.8	48	37.8	93	48	34.2	84	48
61	40.3	48	36.9	92	45	34.4	85	46
66	41.3	48	38.6	93	45	34.7	84	46
70 74	40.6 40.6	47 47	38.4 38.5	95 95	43 43	34.6 34.9	85 86	45 41
78	39.8	46	38.8	97	43	34,8	87	40
82	41.3	45	38.3	93	40	34.8	84	38
89	39,8	39	37.5	94	37	33.2	83	34
92	40.7	37	38.5	95	34	34.5	85	32
97	39.9	34	36.1	90	26	33.1	83	30
101	38.4	33	36.3	95	26	32.2	84	29
104 FEMALE	36.7	31	34.6	94	24	31.0	84	26
0	18.9	50 50	18.6	98 97	50	18.4 18.6	97 95	50 50
1 2	19.6 20.4	50 50	19.1 19.9	97 98	50 50	18.6	95 98	50
3	20.4	50	21.2	98 97	50	21.1	97	50
4	21.8	50	21.6	99	50	21.5	99	50
5	22.5	50	22.4	100	50	22.1	98	50
6	23.5	50	23.2	99	50	22.8	97	50
7	23.6	50	23.8	101	50	23.6	100	50
8 9	24.1 23.6	50 50	24.0 22.7	100 98	50 50	24.1 23.2	100 98	50 50
10	23.8 24,4	50	24.4	100	50	24.5	100	50
11	25.0	50	24.8	99	50	24.9	100	50
12	25.3	50	25.2	100	50	25.4	100	50
13	26.2	50	25.4	97	50	25.9	99	50
15	26.4	50 50	26.5	100	50	26.6	101 98	50 50
19 23	27.6 28.6	50 50	27.4 27.9	99 98	50 50	27.1 27.8	98 97	50
23 27	29.6	50	29.1	98	50	27.8 28.1 29.5	95	50
31	31.0	50	30.3	98	50	29.5	95	50
36	32.5	50	30.9	95	50	30.7	94	50
41	33.7	50	33.0	98	49	32.4	96	50
45	34.6	50	33.3	96	49	32.1	93	50 50
49 53	36.1 36.3	50 50	35.4 36.2	98 100	49 49	33.7 34.3	93 94	50
57	36.6	50	36.3	99	49	34.6	95	50
61	36.8	50	36.0	98	49	34.6 34.2	93	50 50
66	39.1	48	37.5	96	48	34.8	89	50
70	39.6	47	37.9	96	47	34.7	88	50
74	39.6	47	38.6	97	46	35.2	89	48 46
78	40.0	45	38.2	96	45	35.1 35.3	88	46
82	40.5	44	38.4	95	43 39	35.3 33.4	87 82	<b>44</b> 41
89 92	40.7 42.6	43 40	38.4 38.7	<b>94</b> 91	39 37	33.4 33.6	82 79	41 38
97	42.6	39	36.9	89	34	33.0	80	29
101	41.7	37	36.9	88	32	32.5	78	23
104	39.9	36	34.8	87	29	31.4	79	19

### TABLE 22. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE



FIGURE 5. GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING FUROSEMIDE FOR TWO YEARS

### Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female mice fed diets containing furosemide at the concentrations used in these studies and for controls are shown in Table 23 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 6. The survival of the high dose group of female mice was significantly lower than that of the controls after week 99.

# Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the significant or note-

worthy changes in the incidences of mice with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the mammary gland, hematopoietic system, urinary bladder, kidney, thyroid gland, liver, forestomach, lung, brain, ovary, uterus, and prostate.

Summaries of the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions, individual animal tumor diagnoses, statistical analyses of primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in at least one animal group, and historical control incidences for the neoplasms mentioned in this section are presented in Appendixes C and D for male and female mice, respectively.

TABLE 23. SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm
MALE (a)			<u></u>
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural deaths	(b) 7	11	8
Moribund kills	12	15	16
Animals surviving until study termination	31	24	26
Survival P values (c)	0.302	0.206	0.333
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural deaths	(d) 4	9	19
Moribund kills	(e) 10	12	(e) 12
Accidentally killed	0	0	1
Animals surviving until study termination	36	29	18
Survival P values (c)	0.003	0.229	0.003

(a) Terminal-kill period: weeks 105-107

(b) One animal died after the start of the study termination period; for statistical purposes, this animal has been pooled with those killed at termination.

(c) The result of the life table trend test is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the controls are in the dosed columns.

(d) Three animals died after the start of the study termination period; for statistical purposes, these animals have been pooled with those killed at termination.

(e) One moribund animal was killed after the start of the study termination period; for statistical purposes, this animal has been pooled with those killed at termination.



FIGURE 6. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING FUROSEMIDE FOR TWO YEARS

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

Mammary Gland: Malignant mixed tumors (adenocarcinoma, type C) and one acinar cell tumor occurred in seven dosed female mice (Table 24). These tumors occurred with a positive trend, and the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the controls by the logistic regression and life table tests. Logistic regression was used as a supplemental test in this instance because the incidental tumor test appeared to be overly affected by differences in survival in certain time intervals preselected for analysis.

Malignant mixed tumors (adenocarcinoma, type C) are the most common mammary gland tumor in  $B6C3F_1$  mice. These tumors comprise small glandular structures consisting of an inner layer of cuboidal epithelial cells and surrounded by an outer layer of myoepithelial cells. A small-to-moderate amount of collagenous stroma is present. These tumors typically show little evidence of invasion at the margin, but some metastasize to the lungs. The tumors observed in this study did not differ from the spontaneous lesion; all neoplasms were well circumscribed, and none metastasized.

Hematopoietic System: Lymphomas in female mice occurred with a significant positive trend by life table analysis; the incidence in the high dose group was greater than that in the controls (Table 25). Hematopoiesis was observed at increased incidences in the spleen and liver of dosed male and high dose female mice and in the adrenal cortex of high dose female mice (Table 26). Hematopoiesis was characterized primarily by the accumulation of immature cells of the granulocytic series in the red pulp of the spleen and sinusoids of the liver and adrenal gland. This is a response to increased demand for leukocytes, as a result primarily of inflammatory processes in organs of the urogenital tract and elsewhere.

Urinary Bladder: Mucosal epithelial hyperplasia and submucosal chronic focal inflammation were observed at increased incidences in dosed male mice (Table 26).

TABLE 24.	ANALYSIS OF MALIGNANT MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (a, b)

	Control	700 ppm (c)	1,400 ppm (c)
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	5/48 (10%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	6.1%	18.6%
Terminal Rates	0/36 (0%)	0/29(0%)	1/18(6%)
Week of First Observation		99	72
Life Table Tests	P=0.005	P = 0.212	P = 0.011
Logistic Regression Tests	P = 0.014	P = 0.226	P = 0.032
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.102	P = 0.397	P = 0.090

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods) and Table D3 (footnotes).

(b) Historical incidence of malignant mixed tumors or adenocarcinomas (combined) in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 40/2,040 (2%  $\pm$  2%). In this study, all tumors, except an acinar cell tumor in one low dose mouse, were diagnosed as malignant mixed tumors.

(c) The estimated dose in milligrams per kilograms per day is given in Section III (Body Weights, Feed Consumption, and Clinical Signs) and in Appendix F.

## TABLE 25. ANALYSIS OF MALIGNANT LYMPHOMAS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (a)

	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm
Overall Rates	16/50 (32%)	13/50 (26%)	20/48 (42%)
Adjusted Rates	39.5%	33.8%	64.7%
Terminal Rates	12/36 (33%)	6/29 (21%)	9/18 (50%)
Week of First Observation	63	81	78
Life Table Tests	P = 0.019	P = 0.525N	P = 0.013
Logistic Regression Tests	P = 0.171	P = 0.345 N	P = 0.188
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.254	P = 0.275 N	P = 0.232

(a) Historical incidence of lymphomas or leukemia (combined) in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 636/2,040 (31%  $\pm$  13%)

# TABLE 26. INCIDENCES OF MICE WITH SELECTED NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

	Male			Female		
Site/Lesion	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm
Urinary bladder					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Mucosal epithelial hyperplasia Submucosal chronic focal	1/48	7/50	8/50	0/50	0/47	0/44
inflammation	16/48	21/50	31/50	23/50	25/47	19/44
Spleen Hematopoiesis	15/49	22/50	27/50	8/50	14/49	23/47
Hematopolesis	10/49	22/50	21/50	8/50	14/49	23/47
Liver Hematopoiesis	4/49	9/50	8/50	4/50	5/49	14/47
Adrenal cortex Hematopoiesis	0/48	1/49	0/50	2/49	1/49	8/47
Ovary or uterus Suppurative inflammation				3/50	4/47	14/47
Prostate Suppurative inflammation	2/48	4/50	9/50			

*Kidney:* The incidences of nephropathy were increased in dosed male and female mice (Table 27), and the nephropathy was subjectively judged to be more severe in dosed mice (Table 28). Nephropathy is a spontaneous disease of aging mice which is characterized by glomerulo-sclerosis, tubular degeneration and atrophy,

regeneration of tubular epithelium, and interstitial fibrosis. The incidences of tubular cysts and suppurative inflammation were also increased in male mice. Variable degrees of dilatation of the kidney pelvis (hydronephrosis) occurred primarily in dosed male and female mice.

TABLE 27. INCIDENCES OF MICE WITH SELECTED NONNEOPLASTIC RENAL LESIONS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

		Male			Female	
Site/Lesion	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm	Control		1,400 ppm
Kidney						
Cysts	2/50	3/50	8/50	0/50	0/49	1/47
Suppurative inflammation	0/50	11/50	14/50	0/50	0/49	1/47
Nephropathy	24/50	44/50	49/50	11/50	46/49	47/47
Kidney/pelvis						
Dilatation	1/50	13/50	42/50	1/50	3/49	17/47
Epithelial hyperplasia	0/50	5/50	3/50	0/50	0/49	1/47

# TABLE 28. SEVERITY OF NEPHROPATHY IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE (a)

	Male			Female			
Grade	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm	
Minimal: 1	18	16	0	6	3	0	
Mild: 2	3	21	6	2	38	8	
Moderate: 3	2	5	21	1	4	33	
Marked: 4	1	2	22	2	1	6	
Total number of animals with nephropathy	24	44	49	11	46	47	
Average grade	$1.4 \pm 0.2$	(b) $1.8 \pm 0.1$	(c) $3.3 \pm 0.1$	$1.9 \pm 0.4$	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	(c) $3.0 \pm 0.1$	

(a) The number of animals with the indicated grade of severity is given in the control and dosed group columns.

(b) P < 0.05 vs. the controls

(c) P < 0.01 vs. the controls

*Thyroid Gland*: The incidence of follicular cell adenomas in low dose female mice was significantly greater than that in controls; the incidences of follicular cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in dosed female mice were not significantly greater than that in controls (Table 29).

*Liver:* Hepatocellular carcinomas in male mice occurred with a significant positive trend (control, 6/49; low dose, 8/50; high dose, 12/50; P=0.049). The incidences of hepatocellular carcinomas and adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in dosed male mice were not significantly greater than those in the controls (hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas, combined: 15/49; 16/50; 20/50).

Forestomach: Epithelial hyperplasia was observed at an increased incidence in low dose female mice (male: control, 2/46; low dose, 4/22; high dose, 1/49; female: 1/47; 8/46; 1/46). Lung: Congestion was seen at an increased incidence in dosed male mice (male: control, 6/50; low dose, 13/28; high dose, 11/50; female: 6/50; 3/47; 6/47). Interstitial inflammation was observed at an increased incidence in high dose female mice (male: 5/50; 4/28; 2/50; female: 1/50; 1/47; 6/47).

*Brain:* Mineralization was observed at increased incidences in dosed female mice (male: control, 27/47; low dose, 20/50; high dose, 19/50; female: 13/48; 22/46; 34/47).

Ovary or Uterus: Suppurative inflammation was observed at an increased incidence in high dose female mice (Table 26).

*Prostate:* Suppurative inflammation was observed at an increased incidence in high dose male mice (Table 26).

TABLE 29.	ANALYSIS OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE II	N THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	

	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm
Hyperplasia	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	7/47 (15%)	5/47 (11%)
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	4/47 (9%)	3/47 (6%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	11.2%	13.6%
Terminal Rates	0/36 (0%)	2/29 (7%)	2/18(11%)
Week of First Observation		74	94
Life Table Tests	P = 0.051	P = 0.050	P = 0.050
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.074	P = 0.046	P=0.093
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	0/47 (0%)	1/47 (2%)
Adenoma or Carcinoma (a)			
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	4/47 (9%)	3/47 (6%)
Adjusted Rates	5.6%	11.2%	13.6%
Terminal Rates	2/36 (6%)	2/29 (7%)	2/18 (11%)
Week of First Observation	105	74	94
Life Table Tests	P = 0.214	P = 0.269	P = 0.253
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.273	P = 0.263	P = 0.366

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 49/1,937 (3%  $\pm$  3%)

Furosemide was not mutagenic in Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, or TA1537 when tested at doses up to 10 mg/plate in both the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat or Syrian hamster liver S9 (Table 30). Furosemide gave an equivocal response in the mouse lymphoma assay in the absence of S9 as indicated by the marginal increase in trifluorothymidine (Tft) resistance at the highest dose tested in trial one and an even smaller induction of Tft resistance in trial two at the second highest dose only (Table 31). In the presence of Aroclor 1254-induced male F344 rat liver S9, furosemide exposure resulted in an increase in Tft-resistant cells at the highest dose tested and with associated toxicity in each of two trials; overall, furosemide was judged to be positive in the mouse lymphoma assay. When tested for cytogenetic effects in Chinese hamster ovary cell cultures, furosemide induced sister chromatid exchanges and chromosomal aberrations in both the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat liver S9 (Tables 32 and 33).

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Trial 1 $166 \pm 9.3$ $164 \pm 3.8$ $175 \pm 17.3$ $162 \pm 18.4$ $161 \pm 15.3$ $148 \pm 22.4$ Negative $1,468 \pm 37.6$ $7 \pm 2.1$ $4 \pm 0.7$	$\begin{array}{r} 9 \text{ (rat)} \\ \hline \text{Trial 2} \\ \hline \\ 111 \pm 9.4 \\ 99 \pm 9.8 \\ 130 \pm 5.5 \\ 115 \pm 9.8 \\ 130 \pm 4.8 \\ 120 \pm 15.1 \\ \hline \\ \text{Negative} \\ 1,275 \pm 19.7 \\ 20 \pm 4.9 \end{array}$
TA100       0       114 ± 33.1       96 ± 1.5       171 ± 9.1       114 ± 3.2         TA100       89 ± 9.8       83 ± 7.1       147 ± 13.6       111 ± 5.2         333       103 ± 1.2       84 ± 0.9       125 ± 8.0       89 ± 4.5         1,000       107 ± 8.7       93 ± 1.2       144 ± 12.1       106 ± 7.4         3,333       90 ± 14.3       89 ± 5.6       138 ± 11.3       130 ± 6.9         10,000       86 ± 4.4       70 ± 2.6       155 ± 17.7       137 ± 11.5         Trial summary       Negative       Negative       Negative       Negative         Positive       control(c)       368 ± 14.2       808 ± 1.7       1,361 ± 61.0       1,874 ± 145.9         TA1535       0       5 ± 1.7       10 ± 0.7       7 ± 2.9       12 ± 2.6	$166 \pm 9.3 \\ 164 \pm 3.8 \\ 175 \pm 17.3 \\ 162 \pm 18.4 \\ 161 \pm 15.3 \\ 148 \pm 22.4 \\ \text{Negative} \\ 1,468 \pm 37.6 \\ 7 \pm 2.1 \\ 4 \pm 0.7 \\ 1,4 \pm 0.7 \\$	$111 \pm 9.4 \\ 99 \pm 9.8 \\ 130 \pm 5.5 \\ 115 \pm 9.8 \\ 130 \pm 4.8 \\ 120 \pm 15.1 \\ Negative \\ 1,275 \pm 19.7 \\$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$164 \pm 3.8 \\ 175 \pm 17.3 \\ 162 \pm 18.4 \\ 161 \pm 15.3 \\ 148 \pm 22.4 \\ \text{Negative} \\ 1,468 \pm 37.6 \\ 7 \pm 2.1 \\ 4 \pm 0.7 \\ 1,4 \pm 0.7 \\$	$\begin{array}{r} 99 \pm \ 9.8 \\ 130 \pm \ 5.5 \\ 115 \pm \ 9.8 \\ 130 \pm \ 4.8 \\ 120 \pm \ 15.1 \\ \end{array}$ Negative $1,275 \pm \ 19.7$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$175 \pm 17.3 \\ 162 \pm 18.4 \\ 161 \pm 15.3 \\ 148 \pm 22.4 \\ \text{Negative} \\ 1,468 \pm 37.6 \\ 7 \pm 2.1 \\ 4 \pm 0.7 \\ 1,4 \pm 0.7 \\$	$130 \pm 5.5$ $115 \pm 9.8$ $130 \pm 4.8$ $120 \pm 15.1$ Negative $1,275 \pm 19.7$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$162 \pm 18.4 \\ 161 \pm 15.3 \\ 148 \pm 22.4 \\ \text{Negative} \\ 1,468 \pm 37.6 \\ 7 \pm 2.1 \\ 4 \pm 0.7 \\ \end{array}$	$115 \pm 9.8$ $130 \pm 4.8$ $120 \pm 15.1$ Negative $1,275 \pm 19.7$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$161 \pm 15.3 \\ 148 \pm 22.4 \\ \text{Negative} \\ 1,468 \pm 37.6 \\ 7 \pm 2.1 \\ 4 \pm 0.7 \\ \end{array}$	$130 \pm 4.8$ $120 \pm 15.1$ Negative $1,275 \pm 19.7$
10,000 $86 \pm 4.4$ $70 \pm 2.6$ $155 \pm 17.7$ $137 \pm 11.5$ Trial summary Positive control (c)Negative $368 \pm 14.2$ Negative $808 \pm 1.7$ Negative $1,361 \pm 61.0$ Negative $1,874 \pm 145.9$ TA15350 $5 \pm 1.7$ $10 \pm 0.7$ $7 \pm 2.9$ $12 \pm 2.6$	$148 \pm 22.4$ Negative $1,468 \pm 37.6$ $7 \pm 2.1$ $4 \pm 0.7$	$120 \pm 15.1$ Negative $1,275 \pm 19.7$
Trial summary Positive control (c)Negative 368 $\pm$ 14.2Negative 808 $\pm$ 1.7Negative 1,361 $\pm$ 61.0Negative 1,874 $\pm$ 145.9TA153505 $\pm$ 1.710 $\pm$ 0.77 $\pm$ 2.912 $\pm$ 2.6	Negative 1,468 ± 37.6 7 ± 2.1 4 ± 0.7	Negative 1,275 ± 19.7
Positive control (c) $368 \pm 14.2$ $808 \pm 1.7$ $1,361 \pm 61.0$ $1,874 \pm 145.9$ TA15350 $5 \pm 1.7$ $10 \pm 0.7$ $7 \pm 2.9$ $12 \pm 2.6$	$1,468 \pm 37.6$ $7 \pm 2.1$ $4 \pm 0.7$	1,275 ± 19.7
control (c) $368 \pm 14.2$ $808 \pm 1.7$ $1,361 \pm 61.0$ $1,874 \pm 145.9$ TA15350 $5 \pm 1.7$ $10 \pm 0.7$ $7 \pm 2.9$ $12 \pm 2.6$	$7 \pm 2.1 \\ 4 \pm 0.7$	
	$4 \pm 0.7$	20 ± 4.9
	$4 \pm 0.7$	
100 7 $\pm$ 1.2 11 $\pm$ 1.3 9 $\pm$ 0.6 10 $\pm$ 3.2		$16 \pm 2.6$
333 4 ± 1.8 12 ± 1.5 6 ± 0.6 13 ± 1.2	$4 \pm 0.3$	$16 \pm 2.2$
1,000 $3 \pm 0.9$ $7 \pm 1.2$ $4 \pm 0.6$ $17 \pm 1.2$	$11 \pm 1.2$	$14 \pm 1.9$
3,333 4 ± 1.5 15 ± 2.2 9 ± 1.2 14 ± 1.5	$10 \pm 1.2$	$23 \pm 2.9$
10,000 $2 \pm 1.0$ $7 \pm 0.9$ $6 \pm 1.9$ $11 \pm 2.4$	$3 \pm 0.7$	$19 \pm 3.1$
Trial summary Negative Negative Negative Negative Positive	Negative	Negative
control (c) $83 \pm 13.5$ $881 \pm 45.1$ $146 \pm 9.3$ $202 \pm 26.3$	$129 \pm 3.5$	$149 \pm 11.3$
TA1537       0       5 ± 1.5       11 ± 3.5       6 ± 2.3       12 ± 2.0	$9 \pm 0.6$	$12 \pm 1.0$
$100$ $3 \pm 0.6$ $7 \pm 0.3$ $5 \pm 1.2$ $12 \pm 1.8$	$3 \pm 0.7$	$18 \pm 1.2$
$333   2 \pm 0.7   8 \pm 0.7   8 \pm 1.7   10 \pm 1.7$	$2 \pm 0.6$	$14 \pm 1.7$
$1,000$ $3 \pm 2.2$ $12 \pm 2.6$ $7 \pm 1.3$ $16 \pm 1.8$	$8 \pm 2.5$	$13 \pm 3.4$
3,333 4 ± 0.3 11 ± 2.5 4 ± 0.7 14 ± 0.9	$4 \pm 0.7$	$18 \pm 1.5$
10,000 $3 \pm 1.2$ $11 \pm 1.9$ $5 \pm 1.0$ $13 \pm 2.2$	$2 \pm 0.3$	$15 \pm 1.5$
Trial summary Negative Negative Negative Negative Positive	Negative	Negative
control(c) $164 \pm 16.7$ $157 \pm 8.1$ $134 \pm 3.9$ $176 \pm 8.7$	$121 \pm 19.5$	$100 \pm 15.0$
TA98       0       9 ± 1.9       24 ± 2.8       16 ± 2.1       25 ± 1.2	$11 \pm 1.8$	28 ± 0.9
$100 9 \pm 1.3 23 \pm 1.5 12 \pm 1.5 22 \pm 2.4$	$13 \pm 2.3$	$30 \pm 0.6$
333 10 ± 1.9 24 ± 1.7 14 ± 2.3 28 ± 3.3	9 ± 0.9	$25 \pm 1.5$
1,000 10 $\pm$ 1.2 19 $\pm$ 4.7 14 $\pm$ 2.9 27 $\pm$ 2.7	$13 \pm 1.3$	$29 \pm 2.2$
3,333 9 ± 1.2 24 ± 3.0 11 ± 2.0 20 ± 2.7	$13 \pm 2.6$	$37 \pm 3.1$
10,000 Toxic Toxic $11 \pm 3.0$ $22 \pm 2.6$	$12 \pm 1.5$	$31 \pm 2.3$
Trial summary Negative Negative Negative Negative Positive	Negative	Negative
control (c) $181 \pm 3.7$ $368 \pm 63.1$ $1,016 \pm 47.4$ $1,881 \pm 288.8$	$866 \pm 15.3$	$862 \pm 16.7$

#### TABLE 30. MUTAGENICITY OF FUROSEMIDE IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM (a)

(a) Study performed at Case Western Reserve University. The detailed protocol is presented by Zeiger et al. (1987). Cells and study compound or solvent (dimethyl sulfoxide) were incubated in the absence of exogenous metabolic activation (-S9) or with Aroclor 1254-induced S9 from male Syrian hamster liver or male Sprague Dawley rat liver. High dose was limited by toxicity or solubility but did not exceed 10 mg/plate; 0 µg/plate dose is the solvent control.

(b) Revertants are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error from three plates.

(c) Positive control; 2-aminoanthracene was used on all strains in the presence of S9. In the absence of metabolic activation, 4-nitro-o-phenylenediamine was used with TA98, sodium azide was used with TA100 and TA1535, and 9-aminoacridine was used with TA1537.

Compound	Concentration (µl/ml)	Cloning Efficiency (percent)	Relative Total Growth (percent)	Tft-Resistant Cells	Mutant Fraction (c)	
S9	- <u> </u>				<u></u>	
Trial 1						
Dimethyl sulfoxide (d)		$103.8 \pm 4.0$	$100.0 \pm 3.9$	119.5 ± 13.1	$38.8 \pm 4.0$	
Furosemide	125 (e,f) 250 (f) 500 (g) 750 1,000 1,500	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 85.0 \pm & 0.6 \\ 93.5 \pm & 10.5 \\ 89.0 \pm & 6.0 \\ 98 \\ 82.0 \pm & 2.3 \\ & \text{Lethal} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$78.0 \pm 2.1 \\97.5 \pm 18.5 \\128.0 \pm 11.0 \\120 \\174.7 \pm 27.0 \\\cdots$	$30.7 \pm 0.9 34.5 \pm 2.9 48.5 \pm 7.9 41 (h) 71.0 \pm 10.1$	
Methyl methanesulfonate	e (f) 5	$89.0 \pm 2.0$	$81.5 \pm 7.5$	335.0 ± 17.0	(h) 126.0 $\pm$ 9.0	
Trial 2						
Dimethyl sulfoxide		$113.7 \pm 2.0$	$100.0 \pm 5.3$	92.0 ± 9.5	$26.7 \pm 2.6$	
Furosemide	62.5 125 (e) 250 500 750 (g) 1,000 1,500	$\begin{array}{rrrrr} 113.0 \pm 2.1 \\ 100.3 \pm 2.2 \\ 88.7 \pm 5.8 \\ 105.3 \pm 4.9 \\ 102.7 \pm 3.9 \\ 94 \\ Lethal \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{c} 99.3 \pm 13.0 \\ 90.7 \pm 9.9 \\ 103.0 \pm 5.6 \\ 138.3 \pm 13.6 \\ 97.0 \pm 9.3 \\ 96 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
Methyl methanesulfonate	e 5	$64.0 \pm 4.7$	$38.3 \pm 4.2$	707.3 ± 44.4	(h) $377.3 \pm 53.3$	
S9 (i)						
Trial 1						
Dimethyl sulfoxide (f)		$88.5 \pm 3.5$	$100.0 \pm 1.0$	$112.5 \pm 16.5$	$42.5 \pm 4.4$	
Furosemide	(e) 250 (f) 500 (g) 750 (f) 1,000 (j) 1,500 1,800	$\begin{array}{rrr} 94.0 \pm & 4.5 \\ 76.5 \pm & 13.5 \\ 88 \\ 89.5 \pm & 12.5 \\ 60 \\ & Lethal \end{array}$	$94.0 \pm 0.6 77.0 \pm 3.0 92 65.5 \pm 10.5 10$	$106.7 \pm 12.298.0 \pm 6.083128.0 \pm 5.0330$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
Methylcholanthrene	(f) 2.5	43.5 ± 9.5	$13.5 \pm 0.5$	$772.5 \pm 93.5$	(h) $606.5 \pm 65.8$	
Trial 2						
Dimethyl sulfoxide (d)		93.0 ± 4.9	$100.0 \pm 5.0$	81.8 ± 2.3	$29.5 \pm 0.9$	
Furosemide	(e) 400 (f) 600 800 1,000 1,200 (k) 1,500	$\begin{array}{rrrrr} 94.7 \pm & 6.4 \\ 68.0 \pm & 18.0 \\ 92.3 \pm & 4.7 \\ 102.0 \pm & 3.0 \\ 96.0 \pm & 3.5 \\ 63.5 \pm & 9.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 73.7 \pm & 5.0 \\ 65.5 \pm & 8.5 \\ 71.0 \pm & 14.6 \\ 74.7 \pm & 10.1 \\ 93.7 \pm & 13.4 \\ 441.5 \pm & 11.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
Methylcholanthrene	2.5	$68.3 \pm 8.9$	$34.7 \pm 2.7$	809.7 ± 77.9	(h) $398.3 \pm 11$ .	

# TABLE 31. INDUCTION OF TRIFLUOROTHYMIDINE RESISTANCE IN MOUSE L5178Y LYMPHOMACELLS BY FUROSEMIDE (a,b)

# TABLE 31. INDUCTION OF TRIFLUOROTHYMIDINE RESISTANCE IN MOUSE L5178Y LYMPHOMA<br/>CELLS BY FUROSEMIDEMUTAGENICITY OF FUROSEMIDE IN MOUSE L5178Y LYMPHOMA CELLS<br/>(Continued)

(a) Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. The experimental protocol is presented in detail by Myhr et al. (1985) and follows the basic format of Clive et al. (1979). The highest dose of study compound is determined by solubility or toxicity and may not exceed 5 mg/ml. All doses are tested in triplicate unless otherwise specified; the average for the three tests is presented in the table. Cells ( $6 \times 10^{5}$ /ml) were treated for 4 hours at 37° C in medium, washed, resuspended in medium, and incubated for 48 hours at 37° C. After expression,  $3 \times 10^{6}$  cells were plated in medium and soft agar supplemented with trifluorothymidine (Tft) for selection of Tft-resistant cells, and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium and soft agar to determine the cloning efficiency.

(b) Mean  $\pm$  standard error of replicate trials for approximately  $1 \times 10^6$  cells each. All data are evaluated statistically for both trend and peak response (P<0.05 for at least one of the three highest dose sets). Both responses must be significantly (P<0.05) positive for a chemical to be considered capable of inducing Tft resistance. If only one of these responses is significant, the call is "equivocal"; the absence of both trend and peak response results in a "negative" call.

(c) Mutant fraction (frequency) is a ratio of the Tft-resistant cells to the cloning efficiency, divided by 3 (to arrive at MF per  $1 \times 10^6$  cells treated); MF = mutant fraction.

(d) Data presented are the average of four tests.

(e) Acidic pH shift at this and all higher doses

(f) Data presented are the average of two tests.

(g) Data presented are for one test.

(h) Significant positive response; occurs when the relative mutant fraction (average MF of treated culture/average MF of solvent control) is greater than or equal to 1.6.

(i) Tests conducted with metabolic activation were performed as described in (a) except that S9, prepared from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced F344 rats, was added at the same time as the study chemical and/or solvent.

(j) Data presented are for one test. The dose in two tests was lethal.

(k) Data presented are the average of two tests. The dose in one test was lethal.

	Dose (µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Chromo- somes	No. of SCEs	SCEs/ Chromo- some	SCEs/ Cell	Hours in BrdU	Relative SCEs/Cell (percent) (b)
- S9 (c)								
Trial 1Summary: Weal	<b>kly</b> positive							
<b>Dimethyl</b> sulfoxide		50	1,049	398	0.38	8.0	25.7	
Furosemide	50 166.7 500 1,700	50 50 50 0	1,047 1,050 1,050 0	445 446 565	0.43 0.42 0.54	8.9 8.9 11.3	25.7 25.7 25.7	111.3
Mitomycin C	0.001 0.01	50 5	1,049 105	590 186	0.56 1.77	$\begin{array}{c} 11.8\\ 37.2 \end{array}$	25.7 25.7	
Trial 2Summary: Positi	ive							
Dimethyl sulfoxide		25	524	175	0.33	7.0	26.1	
Furosemide	502.5 750 1,000	25 25 25	524 523 524	219 263 365	0.42 0.50 0.70	8.8 10.5 14.6	26.1 26.1 26.1	150.0
Mitomycin C	0.001 0.01	25 5	523 105	287 200	0.55 1.90	11.5 40.0	26.1 26.1	
+ S9 (d)Summary: Positiv	e							
Dimethyl sulfoxide		50	1,050	369	0.35	7.4	25.7	
Furosemide	500 1,700 5,000	50 50 50	1,050 1,049 1,049	413 454 562	0. <b>39</b> 0.43 0.54	8.3 9.1 11.2	25.7 25.7 25.7	123.0
Cyclophosphamide	0.4 2	50 5	1,050 105	566 206	0.54 1.96	11.3 41.2	25.7 25.7	

## TABLE 32. INDUCTION OF SISTER-CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY FUROSEMIDE (a)

(a) Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc.; SCE = sister chromatid exchange; BrdU = bromodeoxyuridine. A detailed description of the SCE protocol is presented by Galloway et al. (1985, 1987). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent as described in (c) and (d) below and cultured for sufficient time to reach second metaphase division. Cells were then collected by mitotic shake off, fixed, air dried, and stained.

(b) SCEs/cell of culture exposed to study chemical relative to those of culture exposed to solvent

(c) In the absence of S9, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Then BrdU was added, and incubation was continued for 24 hours. Cells were washed, fresh medium containing BrdU and colcemid was added, and incubation was continued for 2-3 hours.

(d) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, and medium containing BrdU was added. Cells were incubated for a further 26 hours, with colcemid present for the final 2-3 hours. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

.

		Trial 1					Trial 2		
Dose (µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Abs	Abs/ Cell	Percent Cells with Abs	Dose (µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Abs	Abs/ Cell	Percent Cells with Abs
S9 (b) Harves	t time: 10	).0 hours			-S9 (b) Harvest	time: 12.	1 hours		
Dimethyl sulf	oxide				Dimethyl sulfo	xide			
·	200	3	0.02	1.5	Ū.	200	3	0.02	1.5
Furosemide					Furosemide				
1,257	200	4	0.02	2.0	1,263	200	8	0.04	4.0
(c) 3,750	200	11	0.06	5.5	1,885	200	10	0.05	5.0
(c) 5,000	150	17	0.11	8.0	2,513	200	$\frac{10}{21}$	0.11	10.5
(0) 0,000	100	1,	0.11	0.0	3,141	0	41	0.11	10.0
Summary: Po	sitive				Summary: Pos	itive			
Mitomycin C					Mitomycin C				
0.25	200	20	0.10	9.5	0.25	200	59	0.30	23.5
0.75	200	13	0.10	48.0	0.25	25	26	1.04	64.0
S9 (d) Harves	t time: 12	2.0 hours							
Dimethyl sulf	oxide								
<b>,</b>	200	5	0.03	2.0					
Furosemide									
2,500	200	10	0.05	5.0					
3,750	200	33	0.17	15.5					
5,000	200	35	0.18	15.5					
Summary: Po	sitive								
Cyclophosphe	ımide								
7.5	200	22	0.11	10.5					
37.5	25	32	1.28	56.0					

# TABLE 33. INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS<br/>BY FUROSEMIDE (a)

(a) Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc.; Abs = aberrations. A detailed presentation of the technique for detecting chromosomal aberrations is found in Galloway et al. (1985, 1987). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent as indicated in (b) and (d). Cells were arrested in first metaphase by addition of colcemid and harvested by mitotic shake off, fixed, and stained in 6% Giemsa.

(b) In the absence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 8-10 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, and fresh medium containing colcemid was added for an additional 2-3 hours followed by harvest.

(c) Precipitate at this and all higher doses

(d) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, medium was added, and incubation was continued for 8-10 hours. Colcemid was added for the last 2-3 hours of incubation before harvest. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

## **IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Furosemide administered in feed was evaluated in 14-day, 13-week, and 2-year studies in rats and mice. The chemical exhibited rather low toxicity in short-term studies, with deaths observed only at the highest dose of 46,000 ppm in the 14-day studies. There was concern during the design of these studies that electrolyte imbalances resulting from the diuretic action of furosemide would be so severe as to preclude the use of doses sufficiently high to reveal organspecific pathologic effects. This was not the case because chemically related kidney effects were seen in rats and mice of each sex at doses that did not substantially influence body weight gain. With the exception of parathyroid hyperplasia secondary to nephrotoxicity, no effects of furosemide on other organs were observed in the short-term studies.

Urine production was not monitored in these studies, but wet bedding was observed in rats after week 4 of the 13-week studies and appeared to be related to dose. No other clinical signs could be related specifically to administration of the chemical, as observations of hunched backs, depression, and nasal exudate were recorded only for animals in groups in which death occurred or for which weight gain was severely depressed.

In the 14-day studies, rats consuming feed containing 15,000 ppm or higher furosemide lost weight, and furosemide at concentrations as low as 1,700 ppm in feed resulted in substantial reductions in weight gain. Kidney lesions in rats included mineralization at the corticomedullary junction and slight nephrosis characterized by tubular cell regeneration. Generally similar dose effects on weight gain were seen for mice and rats. Nephrosis was also the primary toxic effect in mice. The lesion was characterized as tubular cell regeneration accompanied by tubular dilatation and proteinaceous casts.

In the 13-week studies, a dose-related nephrosis occurred in rats which consisted of tubular cell degeneration and regeneration; interstitial fibrosis and mononuclear cell inflammation were present at the higher doses. Mineralization at the corticomedullary junction was also dose related in male rats. In mice, nephrosis characterized by tubular cell regeneration occurred only in a few mice at the higher doses, but evidence of less severe injury, proteinaceous casts in the tubules of the renal medulla, was present at lower concentrations and appeared to be dose related.

The top concentrations selected for the 2-year studies in rats and mice were lower than those concentrations that gave evidence of kidney lesions in the 13-week studies. The selected top concentrations, 700 ppm for rats and 1,400 ppm for mice, were also lower than those that resulted in clear effects on weight gain in the short-term studies. Liver weight to body weight ratios were increased for rats and mice in the higher dose groups in the 13-week studies. However, there were no microscopic changes in the liver of these animals, and body weights of higher dose rats and mice were substantially different from those of controls, so these findings did not influence dose selection.

In the 2-year studies in rats, administration of furosemide did not affect body weight or feed consumption. No chemical-related clinical signs or convincing evidence of diuresis were observed. However, survival of all groups of male rats was low (control, 17/50; low dose, 17/50; high dose, 20/50). In a summary of 2-year National Toxicology Program (NTP) studies evaluated up to 1985, average survival of F344/N male rats was 66% in untreated control groups (Haseman et al., 1985). More recent compilations have indicated a decline in survival to approximately 52% in seven more recent studies (NTP, unpublished). However, the 34% survival seen in control and low dose groups and the 40% survival in the high dose group in the current study are still significantly lower than that observed in contemporary studies. Serologic analysis and clinical signs did not suggest the presence of infection. An aggressive moribund kill program was in effect at the study laboratory, and this may, at least in part, account for the lower than usual survival of male rats. Survival of female rats was not affected by administration of furosemide and was similar to that usually observed in 2-year studies.

The kidney of rats was affected by furosemide in the 2-year studies, but this was evident only as an increase in the severity of lesions and was indistinguishable from the nephropathy commonly found in aging F344/N rats. In males, cortical cyst formation and epithelial hyperplasia of the renal pelvis were more severe in high dose animals, and tubular cell tumors appeared to be marginally increased in dosed rats. Tubular cell adenomas were seen in three control, four low dose, and five high dose male rats (composite data, see Table 15). Tubular cell adenocarcinomas occurred in one low and one high dose male rat. The original incidence of renal tubular cell adenomas or adenocarcinomas (combined) in low dose rats (4/50) (appropriate for comparison with historial control incidences) is greater than has been observed previously in any single untreated control group (3/50) and is significantly greater than the mean historical control rate of 0.5% (P<0.001). However, the marginal overall increase in the incidences of tubular cell hyperplasia (control, 6/50; low dose, 5/50; high dose, 10/50) and of tubular cell neoplasms do not support an unequivocal association of these lesions with furosemide administration.

Malignant meningiomas were found in the brain of three low dose male rats. These tumors are extremely rare with only two observed previously in approximately 2,000 control male rats. Malignant meningiomas occur in humans at a frequency that increases linearly with age (the average annual age-adjusted incidence per 100,000 persons is 0.13) (Velema and Percy, 1987). All three tumors in the current study were found in animals that died early; two of the tumors occurred quite early, at weeks 47 and 48, and in cage mates. Although this cluster of rare tumors is highly unusual and is suggestive of an exogenous cause, the absence of tumors in the high dose group makes it difficult to relate these tumors to furosemide administration.

In female rats, C-cell adenomas of the thyroid gland occurred with a positive trend, but C-cell hyperplasia was not increased. C-Cell neoplasms are relatively common in F344/N rats and occur at a mean incidence of 11%; the highest observed incidence in untreated control females is 38% (Table B4). In the current study, the incidences of 4/50 in control, 6/50 in low dose, and 11/50 in high dose female rats represent a marginal increase in a variable and common tumor. This increase was not attributed to furosemide administration.

The dietary concentrations for mice in the 2-year studies were twice those for rats, but the doses in milligrams per kilogram body weight were approximately six times higher. The average daily doses consumed by rats were approximately 15 and 30 mg/kg compared with approximately 100 or 200 mg/kg consumed by mice. The usual therapeutic dose for humans is 3 mg/kg per day, and the maximal dose is about 10 mg/kg per day.

Administration of furosemide at the higher doses in mice resulted in observable diuresis, necessitating more frequent changing of bedding than usual. Body weights were also notably lower in low and high dose mice of each sex than in controls. Lower body weights may be related to diuresis, since estimated feed consumption by dosed mice differed from that by controls by 10% or less. The mice in these studies were group housed; fighting, which is common in male  $B6C3F_1$  mice, resulted in frequent penile and preputial lesions and probably contributed to the observed incidences of urinary bladder and prostate inflammation in males. Survival of high dose female mice was low (18/50), but survival of other groups was typical for 2-year studies and did not appear to be affected by furosemide administration.

As in rats, the kidney was the major target organ in mice. Both the incidence and severity of nephropathy were increased in dosed mice. There was also a chemically related increase in the incidence of hydronephrosis in both sexes, a condition that may have resulted from an impediment in the urine flow lower in the urinary tract. The increased severity of nephropathy may have been the cause of the lower survival in high dose females late in the study.

Garthoff et al. (1982) studied kidney lesions in beagle dogs given furosemide or muzolimine (another "loop" diuretic) with and without electrolyte replacement. In 13-week studies, characteristic kidney lesions were observed after the animals received pharmacologically active doses of both drugs (0.6-9.6 mg/kg per day of furosemide). These lesions included dilated renal tubules with partially flattened epithelia.

Desquamated epithelia were observed in some tubules in the cortex, as was an interstitial infiltration with round cells. The cortex contained fibrotic areas with atrophic nephrons, and subcapsular cysts were observed. In similar studies of 13 weeks' duration with electrolyte replacement in feed and in 52-week studies with electrolyte replacement in drinking water, these lesions were reduced in intensity. These data suggest that certain of the kidney lesions observed in the current studies could be associated with electrolyte depletion rather than with a direct toxic action of furosemide. Electrolyte replacement was considered during the design of the current studies and was not judged necessary, based on the findings from the 14-day studies.

In the current 2-year studies, extramedullary hematopoiesis was diagnosed in the spleen, liver, and adrenal cortex of mice and consisted primarily of a granulocyte response. The hematopoiesis appeared to be related to increases in inflammation of the prostate, ovary, urinary bladder, and kidney in dosed animals.

Female mice receiving diets containing furosemide showed an increase in malignant mixed tumors (adenocarcinoma, type C) of the mammary gland. One acinar cell carcinoma was also observed in a low dose female mouse. Adenocarcinoma, type C, is the most frequently observed spontaneous mammary gland tumor in untreated  $B6C3F_1$  mice (historical incidence of malignant mixed tumors or adenocarcinomas, combined, 2%, range, up to 8%; Table D4a), but none was seen in controls in this study. These tumors are not generally considered to be fatal, but their presence can lead to a moribund animal being killed before the end of the study.

A substantial difference occurred in the P values for the life table test and the incidental tumor test for the mammary gland tumors in female mice (see Table 24). The high P value for the incidental tumor test was due in part to the fact that the mammary gland tumors in three of the five high dose mice were given little weight by this procedure, since they were observed during a time interval (weeks 93-104) when few control animals died. The tumor incidences in this time interval were 0/4 and 3/19 for control and high dose groups, respectively. Under similar circumstances in the past, the NTP has used the logistic regression trend test as an additional assessment. This statistical test is appropriate for nonfatal tumors and is less influenced by differences in survival than is the incidental tumor test. By logistic regression analysis, the positive trend for mixed malignant tumors was significant, and the incidence in high dose female mice was significantly greater than that in the controls. The incidences increased with dose, and the incidence for high dose females was about fivefold the mean historical incidence. This increase was seen despite the fact that six of the seven tumors occurred in animals killed or dying after week 97, a period when survival was significantly lower in dosed mice than in controls.

Additional information that supports the statistical significance of this finding involves comparisons of the observed incidences with the historical incidences of malignant mammary gland neoplasms in groups of female control mice at the laboratory that performed these studies. Two other feed studies (hydrochlorothiazide, NTP, 1989a, and diphenhydramine hydrochloride, NTP, 1989b) have been completed at this laboratory. The results from these studies are not incorporated into the NTP historical data base compilation of April 1987, which is presented in Table D4a. In these studies, the incidences of malignant mammary neoplasms in control female mice were 0/49 (hydrochlorothiazide) and 2/50 (diphenylhydramine hydrochloride). If the combined incidence from these two studies is used as an estimate of the specific laboratory historical control incidence for malignant mammary neoplasms (2/99) and if the incidences observed in the dosed female mice in the furosemide study are compared with this incidence, then both the dose response trend (control, 2/99; low dose, 2/50; high dose, 5/50) and the increased incidence in the high dose group are statistically significant (P<0.05). Based on these considerations, it is concluded that the increased incidence of malignant mammary gland neoplasms in female mice is associated with furosemide administration.

Other chemicals that have been found to cause increased incidences of mammary neoplasms in female mice in NTP and National Cancer Institute studies include benzene (NTP, 1986), reserpine (NCI, 1982), 1,2-dibromoethane (NTP, 1982), 1,2-dichloroethane (NCI, 1978a), and sulfallate (NCI, 1978b). It should be noted that the  $B6C3F_1$  mice used in these studies do not carry known murine mammary tumor viruses.

Several neoplasms occurred at statistically significant incidences but were not considered biologically significant or related to furosemide administration. These included malignant lymphomas and follicular cell adenomas of the thyroid gland in female mice and hepatocellular carcinomas in male mice. The combined incidences of adenomas and carcinomas of the liver or thyroid gland were not increased in dosed mice, and the marginal increase in malignant lymphomas was not dose related.

Studies with Salmonella indicate that furosemide does not induce reverse gene mutations in bacteria; however, furosemide is clearly clastogenic in in vitro studies, and limited evidence suggests similar actions in in vivo studies. There are three reports in the literature of cytogenetic effects induced by furosemide in cultured mammalian cells and one report of aberrations induced in the germ cells of mice. Two of the in vitro studies were performed by the same laboratory with Chinese hamster fibroblasts (Ishidate et al., 1978; Matsuoka et al., 1979). A summary of the data from these studies was presented by Ishidate (1984) which lists, in addition to several equivocal responses obtained both in the absence and presence of S9, one positive response resulting primarily from induction of chromosomal breaks in 11% and 9% of cells from cultures treated with 2.0 mg/ml furosemide for 24 and 48 hours, respectively. The third study, with human lymphocytes, reported induction of abnormal metaphases in up to 49% of cells, including exchanges in approximately 3% of the cells. These results are supported by those from NTPsponsored tests in which increases in both SCEs

and chromosomal aberrations were induced by furosemide in the presence and absence of S9. The in vivo study reported induction of translocations by furosemide detected as multivalents observed in meiotic cells at the first metaphase division. This one demonstration of clastogenicity in vivo, however, is less convincing than the in vitro data. In this study, predominantly univalent chromosomes were observed during weeks 1-5 after treatment, and a major increase in translocations was observed only in the first week after treatment. Further, the observation of approximately 2% translocations in controls is unusually high.

The experimental and tabulated data for the NTP Technical Report on furosemide were examined for accuracy, consistency, completeness, and compliance with Good Laboratory Practice regulations. As summarized in Appendix H, the audit revealed no major problems with the conduct of the studies or with collection and documentation of the experimental data. No discrepancies were found that influenced the final interpretation of the results of these studies.

Under the conditions of these 2-year studies, there was equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity\* of furosemide for male F344/N rats, as shown by marginal increases in uncommon tubular cell neoplasms of the kidney and meningiomas of the brain. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity of furosemide for female F344/N rats fed diets containing 350 or 700 ppm furosemide for 2 years. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity for male B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice fed diets containing 700 or 1,400 ppm furosemide for 2 years. There was some evidence of carcinogenic activity of furosemide for female mice, as shown by an increase in malignant tumors of the mammary gland.

Nephropathy was more severe in the kidney of male rats and of male and female mice fed diets containing furosemide than in controls.

<sup>\*</sup>Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 7.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on pages 10-11.

Furosemide, NTP TR 356
**V. REFERENCES** 

1. AMA Drug Evaluations (1983) 5th ed. Philadelphia: American Medical Association, pp. 755-757.

2. Ames, B.N.; McCann, J.; Yamasaki, E. (1975) Methods for detecting carcinogens and mutagens with the Salmonella/mammalian-microsome mutagenicity test. Mutat. Res. 31:347-364.

3. Andreasen, F.; Hansen, U.; Husted, S.E.; Jansen, J.A. (1983) The pharmacokinetics of frusemide are influenced by age. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 16:391-397.

4. Armitage, P. (1971) Statistical Methods in Medical Research. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 362-365.

5. Beermann, B. (1984) Aspects on pharmacokinetics of some diuretics. Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 54(Suppl. 1):17-29.

6. Beermann, B.; Groschinsky-Grind, M.; Fahraeus, L.; Lindstrom, B. (1978) Placental transfer of furosemide. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 24:560-562.

7. Berenblum, I., Ed. (1969) Carcinogenicity Testing: A Report of the Panel on Carcinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission of UICC, Vol. 2. Geneva: International Union Against Cancer.

8. Bidiville, J.; Roch-Ramel, F. (1986) Competition of organic anions for furosemide and *p*-aminohippurate secretion in the rabbit. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 237:636-643.

9. Boorman, G.A.; Montgomery, C.A., Jr.; Eustis, S.L.; Wolfe, M.J.; McConnell, E.E.; Hardisty, J.F. (1985) Quality assurance in pathology for rodent carcinogenicity studies. Milman, H.; Weisburger, E., Eds.: Handbook of Carcinogen Testing. Park Ridge, NJ: Noyes Publications, pp. 345-357.

10. Borchgrevink, P.C.; Holten, T.; Jynge, P. (1987) Tissue electrolyte changes induced by high doses of diuretics in rats. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 60:77-80.

11. Bourland, W.A.; Day, D.K.; Williamson, H.E. (1977) The role of the kidney in the early nondiuretic action of furosemide to reduce elevated left atrial pressure in the hypervolemic dog. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 202:221-229.

12. Bowman, R.H.; Dolgin, J.; Coulson, R. (1973) Furosemide, ethacrynic acid, and iodoacetate on function and metabolism in perfused rat kidney. Am. J. Physiol. 224:416-424.

13. Branch, R.A. (1983) Role of binding in distribution of furosemide: Where is nonrenal clearance? Fed. Proc. 42:1699-1702.

14. Brown, R.D.; Henley, C.M.; Penny, J.E.; Kupetz, S. (1985) Link between functional and morphological changes in the inner ear--Functional changes produced by ototoxic agents and their interactions. Arch. Toxicol. Suppl. 8:240-250.

15. Bushinsky, D.A.; Favus, M.J.; Langman, C.B.; Coe, F.L. (1986) Mechanism of chronic hypercalciuria with furosemide: Increased calcium absorption. Am. J. Physiol. 251:F17-F24.

16. Chennavasin, P.; Seiwell, R.; Brater, D.C.; Liang, W.M.M. (1979) Pharmacodynamic analysis of the furosemide-probenecid interaction in man. Kidney Int. 16:187-195.

17. Christensen, S.; Steiness, E.; Christensen, H. (1986) Tubular sites of furosemide natriuresis in volume-replaced and volume-depleted conscious rats. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 239:211-218.

18. Clive, D.; Johnson, K.O.; Spector, J.F.S.; Batson, A.G.; Brown, M.M.M. (1979) Validation and characterization of the  $L5178Y/TK^{+/-}$  mouse lymphoma mutagen assay system. Mutat. Res. 59:61-108.

19. Council on Drugs (1967) Evaluation of a new oral diuretic agent. Furosemide (Lasix). J. Am. Med. Assoc. 200:171-172.

20. Cox, D.R. (1972) Regression models and life tables. J. R. Stat. Soc. B34:187-220.

21. Datar, S.; McCauley, F.A.; Wilson, T.W. (1987) Effect of cyclooxygenase and thromboxane synthetase inhibition on furosemidestimulated plasma renin activity. Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 65:80-83.

22. Dinse, G.E.; Lagakos, S.W. (1983) Regression analysis of tumour prevalence data. J. R. Stat. Soc. C32:236-248.

23. Dunnett, C.W. (1955) A multiple comparison procedure for comparing several treatments with a control. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 50:1096-1122.

24. Dybing, E. (1977a) Activation of a-methyldopa, paracetamol and furosemide by human liver microsomes. Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 41:89-93.

25. Dybing, E. (1977b) Methyldopa binding to cells in culture. Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 40:161-168.

26. Feig, P.U. (1986) Cellular mechanism of action of loop diuretics: Implications for drug effectiveness and adverse effects. Am. J. Cardiol. 57:14A-19A.

27. Gaffney, G.R.; Williamson, H.E. (1979) Effect of furosemide on canine splenic arterial blood flow. Res. Commun. Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol. 23:627-630.

28. Gaffney, G.R.; Day, D.K.; Williamson, H.E. (1978) Effect of furosemide on mesenteric blood flow in the dog. Res. Commun. Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol. 22:605-608.

29. Gaffney, G.R.; Betzer, L.K.; Mow, M.T.; Williamson, H.E. (1979) Decrease in hepatic blood flow during furosemide-induced diuresis. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. 239:155-160.

30. Galloway, S.M.; Bloom, A.D.; Resnick, M.; Margolin, B.H.; Nakamura, F.; Archer, P.; Zeiger, E. (1985) Development of a standard protocol for in vitro cytogenetic testing with Chinese hamster ovary cells: Comparison of results for 22 compounds in two laboratories. Environ. Mutagen. 7:1-51. 31. Galloway, S.M.; Armstrong, M.J.; Reuben, C.; Colman, S.; Brown, B.; Cannon, C.; Bloom, A.D.; Nakamura, F.; Ahmed, M.; Duk, S.; Rimpo, J.; Margolin, B.H.; Resnick, M.A.; Anderson, B.; Zeiger, E. (1987) Chromosome aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in Chinese hamster ovary cells: Evaluations of 108 chemicals. Environ. Molec. Mutagen. 10(Suppl. 10):1-175.

32. Gart, J.J.; Chu, K.C.; Tarone, R.E. (1979) Statistical issues in interpretation of chronic bioassay tests for carcinogenicity. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 62:957-974.

33. Garthoff, B.; Hoffmann, K.; Luckhaus, G.; Thurau, K. (1982) Adequate substitution with electrolytes in toxicological testing of "loop" diuretics in the dog. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 65:191-202.

34. Gerber, J.G. (1983) Role of prostaglandins in the hemodynamic and tubular effects of furosemide. Fed. Proc. 42:1707-1710.

35. Gilman, A.G.; Goodman, L.; Rall, T.W.; Murad, F., Eds. (1985) *Goodman and Gilman's* The Pharmacologic Basis of Therapeutics, 7th ed. New York: Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., pp. 896-900.

36. Green, T.P.; Thompson, T.R.; Johnson, D.E.; Lock, J.E. (1983) Diuresis and pulmonary function in premature infants with respiratory distress syndrome. J. Pediatr. 103:618-623.

37. Greven, J. (1983) Studies on the renal receptors of loop diuretics. Clin. Exp. Hypertens. A5:193-208.

38. Hammarlund, M.M.; Paalzow, L.K. (1982) Dose-dependent pharmacokinetics of furosemide in the rat. Biopharm. Drug Dispos. 3:345-359.

39. Haseman, J.K. (1984) Statistical issues in the design, analysis and interpretation of animal carcinogenicity studies. Environ. Health Perspect. 58:385-392.

40. Haseman, J.K.; Huff, J.; Boorman, G.A. (1984) Use of historical control data in carcinogenicity studies in rodents. Toxicol. Pathol. 12:126-135.

### V. REFERENCES

41. Haseman, J.K.; Huff, J.; Rao, G.N.; Arnold, J.; Boorman, G.A.; McConnell, E.E. (1985) Neoplasms observed in untreated and corn oil gavage control groups of F344/N rats and (C57BL/6N  $\times$  C3H/HeN)F<sub>1</sub> (B6C3F<sub>1</sub>) mice. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 75:975-984.

42. Holland, S.D.; Williamson, H.E. (1984) Acute effects of high ceiling diuretics on pancreatic blood flow and function. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 229:440-446.

43. Hook, J.B.; Williamson, H.E. (1965) Influence of probenecid and alterations in acid-base balance of the saluretic activity of furosemide. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 149:404-408.

44. Hook, J.B.; Blatt, A.H.; Brody, M.J.; Williamson, H.E. (1966) Effects of several salureticdiuretic agents on renal hemodynamics. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 154:667-673.

45. Hropot, M.; Fowler, N.; Karlmark, B.; Giebisch, G. (1985) Tubular action of diuretics: Distal effects on electrolyte transport and acidification. Kidney Int. 28:477-489.

46. Hufnagle, K.G.; Khan, S.N.; Penn, D.; Cacciarelli, A.; Williams, P. (1982) Renal calcifications: A complication of long-term furosemide therapy in preterm infants. Pediatrics 70:360-363.

47. Ishidate, M., Jr., Ed. (1984) Chromosomal Aberration Tests in Vitro. Tokyo: National Institute of Hygienic Sciences.

48. Ishidate, M., Jr.; Yoshikawa, K. (1980) Chromosome aberration tests with Chinese hamster cells in vitro with and without metabolic activation--A comparative study on mutagens and carcinogens. Further Studies in the Assessment of Toxic Actions. Arch. Toxicol. Suppl. 4, pp. 41-44.

49. Ishidate, M., Jr.; Hayashi, M.; Sawada, M.; Matsuoka, A.; Yoshikawa, K.; Ono, M.; Nakadate, M. (1978) Cytotoxicity test on medical drugs--Chromosome aberration tests with Chinese hamster cells in vitro. Eisei Shikenjo Hokoku 96:55-61. 50. Isolation and Identification of Drugs (1969) Clarke, E.G.C., Ed. London: The Pharmaceutical Press, p. 741.

51. Iwaki, K.; Yonetani, Y. (1984) Decreased renal excretion of uric acid following diuretic administration in rats. Jpn. J. Pharmacol. 34:389-396.

52. Jameela; Subramanyam, S.; Sadasivan, G. (1979) Clastogenic effects of frusemide on human leukocytes in culture. Mutat. Res. 66:69-74.

53. Jennings, M.; Shortland, J.R.; Maddocks, J.L. (1986) Interstitial nephritis associated with frusemide. J. R. Soc. Med. 79:239-240.

54. Jung, C.Y.; Mookerjee, B.K. (1976) Inhibitory effect of furosemide on glucose transport. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 87:960-966.

55. Kaplan, E.L.; Meier, P. (1958) Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 53:457-481.

56. Kirchner, K.A.; Martin, C.J.; Bower, J.D. (1986) Prostaglandin  $E_2$  but not  $I_2$  restores furosemide response in indomethacin-treated rats. Am. J. Physiol. 250:F980-F985.

57. Koo, W.W.K.; Guan, Z.-P.; Tsang, R.C.; Laskarzewski, P.; Neumann, V. (1986) Growth failure and decreased bone mineral of newborn rats with chronic furosemide therapy. Pediatr. Res. 20:74-78.

58. Lawson, D.H.; O'Connor, P.C.; Jick, H. (1982) Drug attributed alterations in potassium handling in congestive cardiac failure. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 23:21-25.

59. Lee, M.G.; Chiou, W.L. (1983) Evaluation of potential causes for the incomplete bioavailability of furosemide: Gastric first-pass metabolism. J. Pharmacokinet. Biopharm. 11:623-640.

60. Linhart, M.S.; Cooper, J.; Martin, R.L.; Page, N.; Peters, J. (1974) Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System. Comput. Biomed. Res. 7:230-248.

61. Magil, A.B. (1983) Drug-induced acute interstitial nephritis with granulomas. Hum. Pathol. 14:36-41.

62. Mantel, N.; Haenszel, W. (1959) Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 22:719-748.

63. Maronpot, R.R.; Boorman, G.A. (1982) Interpretation of rodent hepatocellular proliferative alterations and hepatocellular tumors in chemical safety assessment. Toxicol. Pathol. 10:71-80.

64. Massey, T.E.; Walker, R.M.; McElligott, T.F.; Racz, W.J. (1987) Furosemide toxicity in isolated mouse hepatocyte suspensions. Toxicology 43:149-160.

65. Matsuoka, A.; Hayashi, M.; Ishidate, M., Jr. (1979) Chromosomal aberration tests on 29 chemicals combined with S9 mix in vitro. Mutat. Res. 66:277-290.

66. McConnell, E.E. (1983a) Pathology requirements for rodent two-year studies. I. A review of current procedures. Toxicol. Pathol. 11:60-64.

67. McConnell, E.E. (1983b) Pathology requirements for rodent two-year studies. II. Alternative approaches. Toxicol. Pathol. 11:65-76.

68. McConnell, E.E.; Solleveld, H.A.; Swenberg, J.A.; Boorman, G.A. (1986) Guidelines for combining neoplasms for evaluation of rodent carcinogenesis studies. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 76:283-289.

69. Melby, J.C. (1986) The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone complex. Am. J. Med. 81(Suppl. 4C):8-12.

70. The Merck Index (1983) 10th ed. Rahway, NJ: Merck & Co., Inc., p. 615.

71. Middendorf, D.; Grantham, J. (1985) Profound pharmacologic inhibition of renal tubule sodium and water reabsorption in rats. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 106:455-460. 72. Minnich, V.; Smith, M.E.; Thompson, D.; Kornfeld, S. (1976) Detection of mutagenic activity in human urine using mutant strains of Salmonella typhimurium. Cancer (Philadelphia) 38:1253-1258.

73. Mitchell, J.R.; Potter, W.Z.; Hinson, J.A.; Jollow, D.J. (1974) Hepatic necrosis caused by furosemide. Nature 251:508-511.

74. Mortelmans, K.; Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T.; Speck, W.; Tainer, B.; Zeiger, E. (1986) Salmonella mutagenicity tests. II. Results from the testing of 270 chemicals. Environ. Mutagen. 8(Suppl. 7):1-119.

75. Myhr, B.; Bowers, L.; Caspary, W.J. (1985) Assays for the induction of gene mutations at the thymidine kinase locus in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells in culture. Prog. Mutat. Res. 5:555-568.

76. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1976) Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassay in Small Rodents. NCI Technical Report No. 1. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD. 65 p.

77. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1978a) Bioassay of 1,2-Dichloroethane for Possible Carcinogenicity. NCI Technical Report No. 55. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD. 64 p.

78. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1978b) Bioassay of Sulfallate for Possible Carcinogenicity. NCI Technical Report No. 115. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD. 62 p.

79. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1982) Bioassay of Reserpine for Possible Carcinogenicity. NCI Technical Report No. 193. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD. 107 p. 80. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) (1987) Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health.

81. National Institutes of Health (NIH) (1978) Open Formula Rat and Mouse Ration (NIH-07). Specification NIH-11-1335. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD.

82. National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1982) Carcinogenesis Bioassay of 1,2-Dibromoethane in F344 Rats and  $B6C3F_1$  Mice. NTP Technical Report No. 210. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD. 163 p.

83. National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1986) Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Benzene in F344 Rats and  $B6C3F_1$  Mice. NTP Technical Report No. 289. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD. 277 p.

84. National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1989a) Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Hydrochlorothiazide in F344/N Rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> Mice. NTP Technical Report No. 357. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health (in preparation).

85. National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1989b) Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Diphenhydramine Hydrochloride in F344/N Rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> Mice. NTP Technical Report No. 355. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health (in preparation).

86. Pharmacy Times (1986) Top 200 drugs of 1985. April, pp. 25-33.

87. Physicians' Desk Reference (PDR) (1986) Oradell, NJ: Medical Economics Co., p. 940. 88. Rachmel, A.; Hazelton, G.A. (1986) The inducibility and ontogeny of rat liver UDP-glucuronyltransferase toward furosemide. Biochem. Pharmacol. 35:3777-3782.

89. Robertson, R.T.; Minsker, D.H.; Bokelman, D.L.; Durand, G.; Conquet, P. (1981) Potassium loss as a causative factor for skeletal malformations in rats produced by indacrinone: A new investigational loop diuretic. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 60:142-150.

90. Rybak, L.P. (1985) Furosemide ototoxicity: Clinical and experimental aspects. Laryngoscope 95:1-14.

91. Salim, E.F.; Haussler, A.; Vaughan, J.B. (1968) Qualitative and quantitative tests for furosemide. J. Pharm. Sci. 57:640-641.

92. Sandstrom, P.-E. (1986) Probenecid potentiates the hyperglycaemic effect but reduces the diuretic effect of frusemide in mice. Br. J. Pharmacol. 89:307-312.

93. Schlatter, E.; Greger, R.; Weidtke, C. (1983) Effect of "high ceiling" diuretics on active salt transport in the cortical thick ascending limb of Henle's loop of rabbit kidney. Correlation of chemical structure and inhibitory potency. Pflugers Arch. 396:210-217.

94. Schmitt, S.L.; Taylor, K.; Schmidt, R.; Van Orden, D.; Williamson, H.E. (1981) The role of volume depletion, antidiuretic hormone and angiotensin II in the furosemide-induced decrease in mesenteric conductance in the dog. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 219:407-414.

95. Senft, G.; Losert, W.; Schultz, G.; Sitt, R.; Bartelheimer, H.K. (1966) Ursachen der Storungen im Kohlenhydratstoffwechsel unter dem Einfluss Sulfonamidierter Diuretica. Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmakol. Exp. Pathol. 255:369-382.

96. Smith, D.E.; Benet, L.Z. (1979) Relationship between urinary excretion rate, steady-state plasma levels and diuretic response of furosemide in the rat. Pharmacology 19:301-306. 97. Smith, D.E.; Hyneck, M.L.; Berardi, R.R.; Port, F.K. (1985) Urinary protein binding, kinetics, and dynamics of furosemide in nephrotic patients. J. Pharm. Sci. 74:603-607.

98. Sommers, S.C.; Higgins, T.E.; Kimelblatt, B.J. (1984) Chronic aortitis following furosemide therapy. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 108:293-294.

99. Spitznagle, L.A.; Wirth, P.J.; Boobis, S.W.; Thorgeirsson, S.S.; Nelson, W.L. (1977) The role of biliary excretion in the hepatotoxicity of furosemide in the mouse. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 39:283-294.

100. Subramanyam, S.; Jameela (1977) Studies on cytological effects of frusemide on meiotic cells of male mice. Indian J. Med. Res. 66:104-113.

101. Tachibana, M.; Kida, H.; Mizukoshi, O. (1985) The effect of furosemide on glucose oxidation of the cochlea and other tissues. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol. 242:35-42.

102. Tarone, R.E. (1975) Tests for trend in life table analysis. Biometrika 62:679-682.

103. Tuzel, I.H. (1981) Comparison of adverse reactions to bumetanide and furosemide. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 21:615-619.

104. Valentine, J.F.; Brater, D.C.; Krejs, G.J. (1986) Clearance of furosemide by the gastrointestinal tract. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 236:177-180.

105. Valtin, H. (1973) Renal function: Mechanisms preserving fluid and solute balance. Health. Boston: Little, Brown, and Co., p. 253.

106. Velazquez, H.; Wright, F. (1986) Effects of diuretic drugs on NaCl and K transport by rat renal distal tubule. Am. J. Physiol. 250:F1013-F1023.

107. Velema, J.P.; Percy, C.L. (1987) Age curves of central nervous system tumor incidence in adults: Variation of shape by histologic type. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 79:623-629. 108. Venkataraman, P.S.; Han, B.K.; Tsang, R.C.; Daugherty, C.C. (1983) Secondary hyperparathyroidism and bone disease in infants receiving long-term furosemide therapy. Am. J. Dis. Child. 137:1157-1161.

109. Whelton, A. (1986) An overview of national patterns and preferences in diuretic selection. Am. J. Cardiol. 57:2A-5A.

110. Whorton, A.R.; Lazar, J.D.; Smigel, M.D.; Oates, J.A. (1980) Prostaglandin-mediated renin release from renal cortical slices. Samuelsson, B.; Ramwell, P.W.; Paoletti, R., Eds.: Advances in Prostaglandin and Thromboxane Research, Vol. 7. New York: Raven Press, pp. 1123-1129.

111. Williamson, H.E. (1977) Furosemide and ethacrynic acid. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 17:663-672.

112. Williamson, H.E.; Bourland, W.A.; Marchand, G.R. (1975a) Inhibition of furosemide induced increase in renal blood flow by indomethacin. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 148:164-165.

113. Williamson, H.E.; Bourland, W.A.; Marchand, G.R.; Farley, D.B.; Van Orden, D.E. (1975b) Furosemide induced release of prostaglandin E to increase renal blood flow. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 150:104-106.

114. Wilson, D.R.; Honrath, U.; Sonnenberg, H. (1983) Furosemide action on collecting ducts: Effect of prostaglandin synthesis inhibition. Am. J. Physiol. 244:F666-F673.

115. Wirth, P.J.; Bettis, C.J.; Nelson, W.L. (1976) Microsomal metabolism of furosemide. Evidence for the nature of the reactive intermediate involved in covalent binding. Mol. Pharmacol. 12:759-768.

116. Yakatan, G.J.; Maness, D.D.; Scholler, J.; Johnston, J.T.; Novick, W.J., Jr.; Doluisio, J.T. (1979) Plasma and tissue levels of furosemide in dogs and monkeys following single and multiple oral doses. Res. Commun. Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol. 24:465-482.

### V. REFERENCES

117. Yoshida, T.; Metcoff, J. (1970) Inhibition by furosemide of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase step in rat kidney. Proc. 4th Ann. Meeting, Am. Soc. Nephrol., Washington, DC, p. 88.

118. Yu, T.-F.; Berger, L.; Sarkozi, L.; Kaung, C. (1981) Effects of diuretics on urate and calcium excretion. Arch. Intern. Med. 141:915-919.

119. Zeiger, E.; Anderson, B.; Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T.; Mortelmans, K.; Speck, W. (1987) Salmonella mutagenicity tests. III. Results from the testing of 255 chemicals. Environ. Mutagen. 9(Suppl. 9):1-110.

### APPENDIX A

# SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

		PAGE
TABLE A1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO- YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	81
TABLE A2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	84
TABLE A3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	90
TABLE A4a	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF BRAIN MENINGIOMAS IN MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT	94
TABLE A4b	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF RENAL TUBULAR CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT	94
TABLE A4c	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ANTERIOR PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT	95
TABLE A5	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	96

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

### TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALI	LY 50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma			2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Squamous cell carcinoma					1	(2%)
Basal cell tumor	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		(4%)
Keratoacanthoma	( <b>F a</b> )					(4%)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	( <b>1</b> ~)	(50)		(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS		(4%)		(4%)		(4%)
Fibroma	3	(6%)		(10%)	1	(2%)
Lipoma			1	(2%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)		(41)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic			1	(2%)		
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma		(4%)		(2%)	1	(2%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(2%)
Pheochromocytoma, metastatic						(2%)
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic						(2%)
Osteosarcoma, metastatic			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						-
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, NOS			12 - 7			(2%)
Leukemia, mononuclear cell	23	(46%)	21	(42%)		(40%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM None						
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*Palate	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma			1	(2%)		
Squamous cell carcinoma		(2%)				
*Tongue	(50)		(50)	(07)	(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma	(20)			(2%)		(2%)
#Duodenum Adenocarcinoma, NOS	(50) 1	(2%)	(34)		(50)	
URINARY SYSTEM				<u> </u>		<u> </u>
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Tubular cell adenoma		(2%)		(6%)		(2%)
Tubular cell adenocarcinoma	1	(4 10)		(2%)		(2%)
#Urinary bladder/mucosa	(50)		(34)	(2,10)	(46)	
Transitional cell papilloma		(2%)	(04)		(40)	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(50)		(50)	
" P		(8%)		(22%)		(16%)
Adenoma, NOS						
Adenoma, NOS #Adrenal medulla			(36)	(== /•/		. ,
	(50)	(34%)	(36)	(17%)	(49)	(33%)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Follicular cell adenoma		(2%)	(,			(2%)
Follicular cell carcinoma	-	(= / • /				(2%)
C-cell adenoma	6	(12%)	3	(6%)		(12%)
C-cell carcinoma		(2%)	Ŭ		Ŭ	(12,0)
#Pancreatic islets	(49)	(270)	(34)		(50)	
Islet cell adenoma		(2%)		(3%)	(00)	
Islet cell carcinoma		(2%)		(3%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM		<u></u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
Adenoma, NOS			1		1	(2%)
Fibroadenoma	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		(2%)
#Preputial gland	(48)		(12)	( = 10 )	(47)	(= /0)
Carcinoma, NOS	,	(2%)	()			(2%)
Adenoma, NOS		(4%)	3	(25%)		(4%)
#Testis	(50)	·-···	(50)		(49)	( = / • /
Interstitial cell tumor		(94%)		(86%)		(86%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			<u> </u>			
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Meningioma	(00)			(6%)	(00)	
#Brain	(50)		(50)	(0,0)	(50)	
Granular cell tumor, benign			()			(2%)
Astrocytoma	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	-	(_ / <del>*</del> /
*Cranial nerve	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Neurilemoma	()		(,			(2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS		<u> </u>			<u></u>	
*Zymbal gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS	(		· · · ·	(2%)		(2%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM		······				••
*Skull	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Osteoma				(2%)		
*Vertebra	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Chordoma			1	(2%)		
BODY CAVITIES		·				
*Thoracic cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Osteosarcoma						(2%)
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mesothelioma, NOS		(2%)		(4%)	2	(4%)
*Tunica vaginalis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mesothelioma, NOS		(10%)		(8%)	2	(4%)

ALL OTHER SYSTEMS

None

	Untreated Control	Low Dose	High Dose
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			<u></u>
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	5	5	5
Moribund sacrifice	31	31	25
Terminal sacrifice	14	14	20
TUMOR SUMMARY	······································		<u> </u>
Total animals with primary tumors**	49	49	49
Total primary tumors	127	124	124
Total animals with benign tumors	48	46	47
Total benign tumors	88	85	89
Total animals with malignant tumors	29	31	29
Total malignant tumors	33	33	31
Total animals with secondary tumors##		2	3
Total secondary tumors		2	3
Total animals with tumors uncertain			-
benign or malignant	5	4	3
Total uncertain tumors	6	6	4

Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

### TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF FUROSEMIDE: UNTREATED CONTROL

ANIMAL NUMBER		0 2 7	0 1 4	0 1 9	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 4 5	0 1 7	0 2 8	0 2 1	0 2 3	0 3 0	0 4 3	0 1 6	0 4 6	0 0 2	0 2 4	0 0 9	0 1 5	0 2 6	0 4 7	0 3 1	0 0 1	0 0 6	0 2 2	0 3 8
WEEKS ON STUDY		0 5 0	0 5 5	0 6 9	0 6 9	0 7 2	0 7 4	0 7 8	0 7 8	0 8 2	0 8 2	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 7
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				· · ·														· ·	.,							
Skin		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Basal cell tumor Subcutaneous tissue		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	X +	+	+
Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma				,	•		•	x			•			•	x		Ċ				,			'	x	
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma																			x							
Trachea		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nasal cavity	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM									· · ·																	
Bone marrow Spieen		++	+++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+++	++	++	++	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	++	++	++
Lymph nodes		+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷
Thymus		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity	[		N	N	N	N	N	N	м	N	N	NT	N	 N			M	NT	M		NT		N			
Squamous cell carcinoma	'		74		14	14	14	14	N	Ν	N	N X	14	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N
Salivary gland Liver		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bile duct		+ +	+++	++++	+++	++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	+	+++	+++	++++	+	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+++
Pancreas		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	-	÷	÷	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+
Esophagus Stomach		+ +	+++	++++	++	+++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	++	++	+	++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+	+	+++	+++	++	+	+	+++++
Small intestine		÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Large intestine		+	x	+	-	+	+		+	L	+	1														
-		Τ.	т	т	T	_	Ŧ		Ŧ	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	÷	+	Ŧ	÷	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney		+	+	4	-		+	1	+			+		+			4	+	1				1			·
Tubular cell adenoma		Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	T	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	T	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	Ŧ
Urinary bladder Transitional cell papilloma	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM																										
Pituitary		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenoma, NOS Adrenal		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+
Pheochromocytoma	}	·		·		·				·			·				Ċ	•	,	* X	,		x	x		x
Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid	.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Follicular cell adenoma																									x	
C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma	1											X			Х											
Parathyroid	.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	1.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Islet cell carcinoma																	•									
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	<b> </b>																					••••				
Mammary gland	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fibroadenoma Testis	Ι.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1	+	+	Ŧ	т	L.
Interstitial cell tumor		•		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	х	x	x	х	Х	Х	Ŧ	x	х	x	* x	* x
Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland		+	+++	+++	+	++++	+++	++++	+++	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS			r	т		Ŧ	т	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	÷	Ŧ
NERVOUS SYSTEM							-																			
Brain Astrocytoma	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
BODY CAVITIES	[																									
Peritoneum Mesothelioma, NOS	ľ	Ν.	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	N
Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	4	F	+	*	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	л + Х	* X	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS												_			~				···							
Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	1	N	N	N	N	N	N			N	Ν	Ņ	Ņ	Ν		Ν	N			N	N	Ν		Ν	Ν
Neusemia, mononuclear Cell							x		х	x	x		х	х		х			X	х	х	х		x		
	······				_			_			_															

+: Tissue examined microscopically

 Required tissue not examined microscopically
 Tumor incidence
 Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 S. Animal missexed

: No tissue information submitted C: Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B: No necropsy performed

## TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: UNTREATED CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 7	0 1 0	0 1 2	0 2 0	0 3 2	0 3 5	0 4 2	0 4 9	0 1 1	0 1 8	0 2 5	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 8	0 1 3	0 2 9	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 4	0 4 8	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 2	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	TOTAL. TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM																										
Skin Basal cell tumor Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma NOS Fibroma	++	+	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ * X	+ +	+	+ + X	+	+ +	+	+ X +	*50 2 *50 2 3
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carvinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2 1
Trachea Nasal cavity	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	50 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen	++++	+++	 + +	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	 + +	++	++++	50 50
Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	÷	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	50 49
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	 +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	 +	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity	 N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 50
Liver Bile duct	++++	++	+ +	++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++	+++	+ +	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+ +	50 50
Pancreas Esophagus	+++	+ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	+++++	++++	+ +	++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	++	+ +	+++	+ +	++++	++++	++++	+++	++	+ +	49 50
Stomach Small intestine	+++++	+++	++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+	+ +	50 50
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Large intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	۲	+	1 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	50 1
Urinary bladder Transitional cell papilloma	+	*	+	t	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	۴	+	50 1
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	50 4
Adrenal Pheochromocytoma	+	+	+	*	*	*	+	* x	*	*	+	*	*	*	+	+	*	+	*	*	+	+	*	÷	+	50 17
Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	1 50 1
C cell adenoma C cell carcinoma		X	x			X							X							x						6 1
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma Islet cell carcinoma	++	+ +	+	+	+ +	+	++	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	48 49 1 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Testis Interstitual cell tumor	x +	*	* X	*	* X	* x	*	* x	*	*	* x	*	* X	* X	*	* X	*	* x	*	*	*	* x	*	*	*	50 47
Prostate Preputal/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 48 1 2
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
BODY CAVITIES	N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Peritoneum Mesothelioma, NOS Tunica vagnalis Mesothelioma, NOS	+	+	N +	+	N +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 *50 5
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononucleai cell	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	*50 23

\* Animals necropsied

#### TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE: LOW DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 9	0 1 7	0 0 4	0 0 2	0 4 5	0 0 9	0 3 9	0 3 4	0 1 2	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 0 1	0 0 3	0 1 8	0 4 3	0 4 7	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 2 7	0 3 5	0 2 3	0 4 1	0 2 1	005	0 1 1
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 4 7	0 4 8	0 6 5	0 6 6	0 6 6	0 6 7	0 6 7	0 7 7	0 7 9	0 8 1	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 4	0 8 6	0 8 6	0 8 6	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 1
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Basai cell tumor Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Lipoma	+	++	+	+	+ + X	++	+	+++	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+ x +	+	+	+	++	+ +
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Osteosarcoma, metastatic Trachea Nasal cavity	++	+	* *	+	+++	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ X +	++	+ X +	+	+	+++	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++-	++++++	+++++	+++	+++++	+++++	++++-	++++++	++++	+++++	- + + +	- + + + +	+++++	+++	+++++	- + + + +	- + + + +	++++++	++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	N +++++++	N ++++++++	X ++++++++	N ++++++++	Z +++++++	N ++++++++	N ++++++++	N ++++++++	N ++++++++	Z ++++++++	<b>N</b> +++++++++	<b>N</b> ++++++++	N +++++++	N ++++++++	Z +++++++	N ++++++++	N ++++++++	N ++++++++	NX + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N +++++++	N +++++++	N ++++++++	N ++++++++	<b>N</b> ++++++++	N +++++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	+ X +	++	+	+	+	+++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid C-cell adenoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma Islet cell carcinoma	+ + + +	+++++++	+ + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + X	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ x + x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++++	+ + X + + +	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Carcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	N	+	+	+	N	+	+	N	+	+
Fibroadenoma Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS	+ + -	+ + -	+ + -	+ + -	+ x + -	+ + -	+ + -	* + -	+ + -	+ x + -	+ × + -	+ x + -	+ x + -	* * -	+ + -	+ x + -	+ + -	+ x + ~	+ x + -	+ x +	+ X + -	+ X + -	+ x + -	+ X + -	+ X + -
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma Meningioma	+ X	+ X	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ń	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bons Osteoma Chordoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Mesothelioma, NOS Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	N +	N +	N +	N X + X	N +	N + X	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N X + X	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X		N X			N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N X

## TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)

									011																	
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 6	0 2 5	0 2 9	0 4 0	0 4 4	0 4 8	0 4 6	0 3 0	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 4 9	0 0 6	0 1 0	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 2 0	0 2 2	0 2 4	0 2 8	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3 6	0 4 2	0 5 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 9 2	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 7	1 0 1	1 0 2	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	N	N	+	N	N	N	+	N	N	N	+	N	N	+	N	N	*50
Squamous cell papilloma Basal cell tumor Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Lipoma	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	N	N	N X	+	N X	N X	N	X +	N X	N	N	X + X X	N	N	+	N	N	2 1 *50 2 5 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Ostoosarcoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+			_	+	+ X	+		+	+	+	_		-	-	41 1 1 1 1
Trachea Nasal cavity	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	-	+	+ -	-	-	-	_	+ -	_	_	+	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+	-	42 8
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	++++	+ + + +	++++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++-	++++++	-+	- + + +	- + +	- - + +	- + -	+-	- + +	- + -	- + + -		-+++	- ++++		- - + +	- + -	-+++	- -+ +	33 44 44 34
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-			-	-	-		_	+	-	+			+	-	38
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Stomach Small intestine	N +++++++	<b>X</b> ++++++++	<b>N</b> ++++++++	N +++++++	N ++++++++	N +++++++	N +++++++	Nx + + + + + + +	N -+++++	N -+++	N - + + - +	N -+++	N 	N	N - + + - +	N 	N + +	N - + +	N ++++-+	N 1++1+1	N - + +	N -++-+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	X -++-+	N ++++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	N -++	*50 2 36 46 46 34 45 33 34
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM Kidney	<del> </del> 	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			 								- 			+	- - +		_  +	34 
Tubular cell adenoma Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urnnary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* ~	-	_	x _		-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	х +	-	3 1 34
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid C-cell adenoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma Islet cell carcinoma	+ + X + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + X + + +	+ + + +	+ + + <b>X</b> + +	+ + + + + +	+ X + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + X + X + -	+ - + X + X + X	+ + + +	+ - + -	+ + -	+ + +	+ - + + -	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + -	+ - + -	+ -+ +	++++	+ + +	* + +	+ + + +	+ + +	50 11 36 6 50 3 46 34 1 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Carcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	N	N	N	N	+ X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	+ X	*	N	*50 1 2
Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate Preputal/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS	+ X + -	+ + + x	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ - +	+ x + +	+ - -	* -	+ - -	+ X + X	+ X + X	+ X + -	+ _ _	+ - -	* -	+ X -	* - -	+ - -	+ X +	+ + + -	+ -	50 43 35 12 3
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma Meningioma	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 3
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteoma Chordoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Mesothelioma, NOS Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N + X	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	*50 2 *50 4
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	*50 21

\* Animals necropsied

# TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF FUROSEMIDE: HIGH DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 3	0 4 4	0 1 2	0 0 4	0 3 8	0 2 7	0 3 3	0 1 6	0 4 7	0 1 8	0 2 3	0 4 8	0 2 1	0 0 5	0 0 9	0 2 0	0 2 8	0 3 0	0 0 7	0 1 5	0 0 6	0 3 2	0 3 5	0 4 9	0 1 3
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 4 8	0 5 6	0 6 5	0 6 8	0 7 1	0 7 4	0 7 7	0 8 0	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 9	1 0 0
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Basal cell tumor	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+
Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	*	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Pheochromocytoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	* X	+	+	+
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Osteosarcoma, metastatic Trachea Nasal cavity	++++	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	 + + + + +	+++++		++++	+++++	 + + + + + +	++++++	++++	+++++	++++-	+ + + +	+++++	++++	++++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	 +	 +	 +	+		+	+	 +	+	 +	 +	+		 +	, 		 +	+	 +		+		+		 +
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Liver Bile duct	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ +	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+ +	+ + +	++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	A + + +	+++	+++	+ +	+++-	++++	+ + +	++++++
Pancreas Esophagus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++	+++	++++	+ + +	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++++	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+ + +	++++	+
Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + -	+ + +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tubular cell adenoma Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	_	-
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	* x	* X	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	* x	+
Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	* X	+ X	~	+	*	+
Thyroid Foilicular cell adenoma Foilicular cell carcinoma C-cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+
Parathyroid	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenoma, NOS Fibroadenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate	+	+ +	+	+	* *	+	* *	+ x +	* *	x +	* *	+	* X	* x	* *	* *	* x	* *	* *	* X	* *	* *	* *	-	* *
Preputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	_	+	÷	+	+	÷	* x	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	-	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+ X	+	+	~	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Nerves Neurilemoma	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Brain Granular cell tumor, benign	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Pleura	N	Ņ	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Osteosarcoma Peritoneum Mesothelioma, NOS Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	N +	X N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N X + X	N +	N N	N +
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N

# TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 9	0 4 6	0 2 6	0 4 3	0 0 8	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 1 0	0	0 1 4	0 1 7	0 1 9	0 2 2	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 3 1	0 3 4	0 3 6	0  3  7	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 5	0 5 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 1	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4		1 0 4	1 0 4	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Basal cell tumor Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	<b>X</b> +	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	X X +	+	+	х +	+	+	+	+	2 1 2 *50 2 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Pheochromocytoma, metastatic Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Osteosarcoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	50 1 1 1 1 1 50
Nasal cavity	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+ + +	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	50 50 50 47
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland	N _	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	*50 1 49
Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + + -	++++	+ + + +	++++	+ + + + +	++++	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	++++	+ + + + +	++++	+ + + + -	++++	++++	+++++	+ + + + -	+ + + + -	++++	+++++	50 50 50 50
Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+ + +	+++	+ + +	+ + ~	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++	++++	+++	+ + +	++++	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	50 50 48
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular celi adenoma Tubular celi adenocarcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1
Urinary bladder ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary	+	+	+		+	++	+		++	+	+	+	+	+  +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	46  50
Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant	+	+	* x	+	* x	x + x	* x	+	* x	+	* x	+	+	+	* x	+	X +	+	* x	+	* x	+	* x	* x	+ x	8 49 16
Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 50 1 1
C-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	*	× +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	6 47
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenoma, NOS Fibroadenoma	+	*	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 1
Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+ + + +	+ X + +	+ + +	* * +	+ + + +	+ + + + X	+ X + +	+ + + +	* * +	+ + + +	* * +	+ + + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ + + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ + + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	49 42 48 47 1 2
NERVOUS SYSTEM Nerves Neurilemoma	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		*50
Brain Granular cell tumor, benign	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Pleura Osteosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Mesothelioma, NOS Mesothelioma, NOS	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N + X	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N X +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	*50 2 *50 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, NOS Leukemia, mononuciear cell		N X	N X	N	N	N X	N	N X		N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N X		N	N X	N X	N	*50 1 20

• Animals necropsied

### TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
	noma	<u> </u>	
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	8.7%	10.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/17 (0%)	1/17 (6%)	1/20 (5%)
Week of First Observation	0/11 (0 %)	86	87
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.105	P = 0.230	P = 0.139
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.088	P = 0.230 P = 0.314	P = 0.139 P = 0.127
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.082	1 -0.514	F = 0.127
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.082	P = 0.247	P=0.121
subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (69)	E/E0 (100)	1/50 (90)
	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.6%	26.9%	2.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/17 (6%)	4/17 (24%)	0/20 (0%)
Week of First Observation	88	96	88
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.231N	P = 0.306	P = 0.289N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	$\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{0.244N}$	P = 0.291	P = 0.281 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.264N		_
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.357	P = 0.309N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Sarcor	na		
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	6/50 (12%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	19.0%	28.4%	8.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/17 (12%)	4/17 (24%)	0/20 (0%)
Week of First Observation	78	66	88
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.271N	P=0.449	P = 0.335N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.300N	P = 0.422	P = 0.344N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.303N	1 - 0.744	1 - 0.04411
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r - 0.00014	P=0.500	P = 0.357N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)		9/11 (50)	9/50 (AGL)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3/50 (6%) 14.4%	2/41 (5%) 14.7%	2/50 (4%) 8 2%
			8.3%
Terminal Rates (c) Weak of First Observation	2/17 (12%)	1/8 (13%)	1/20 (5%)
Week of First Observation	93 D - 0.007N	84 D.:: 0.040	97 D-0.450N
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.367N	P = 0.640	P = 0.459N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.397 N	P = 0.664	P = 0.508N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.409 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.594N	P = 0.500 N
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cel	l Leukemia		
Overall Rates (a)	23/50 (46%)	21/50 (42%)	20/50 (40%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	64.9%	59.8%	61.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	7/17 (41%)	6/17 (35%)	9/20 (45%)
Week of First Observation	74	77	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.260N	P = 0.538	P = 0.280N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.266N	P = 0.268N	P = 0.337N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.307N		1 0100111
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.00 (1)	P = 0.420 N	P=0.343N
Kidnow Tubulan Call Adapama			
Kidney: Tubular Cell Adenoma	1/50 (07)	9/50 (00)	1/50 (071)
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.9%	17.6%	5.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/17 (6%)	3/17 (18%)	1/20 (5%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.550N	P = 0.300	P = 0.727 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.550N	P = 0.300	P = 0.727 N
		- 0.000	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.610	P = 0.309	P=0.753

### TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF<br/>FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
Kidney: Tubular Cell Adenoma or Adenoc:	arcinoma		······································
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.9%	20.1%	7.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/17 (6%)	3/17 (18%)	1/20 (5%)
Week of First Observation	104	86	84
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.467	P = 0.171	P = 0.543
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.477	P = 0.217	P = 0.561
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.406	1 -0.217	1 = 0:001
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.400	P=0.181	P=0.500
Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	11/50 (22%)	8/50 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	14.7%	41.6%	23.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/17 (6%)	5/17 (29%)	2/20 (10%)
Week of First Observation	78		
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.229	67 P=0.039	74 P=0.221
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.173	P = 0.044	P = 0.166
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.166	D = 0.047	D 0150
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.045	P = 0.178
Adrenal Gland Medulla: Pheochromocyton Overall Rates (a)			10/40 (00%)
÷·•···································	17/50 (34%)	(e) 6/36 (17%)	16/49 (33%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	66.5%		59.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	9/17 (53%)		10/20 (50%)
Week of First Observation	93		80
Life Table Test (d)			P = 0.375N
Incidental Tumor Test (d)			P = 0.545N
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.528N
Adrenal Gland Medulia: Pheochromocyton			
Overall Rates (a)	18/50 (36%)	(e) 6/36 (17%)	17/49 (35%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	70.6%		61.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	10/17 (59%)		10/20 (50%)
Week of First Observation	93		80
Life Table Test (d)			P = 0.370 N
Incidental Tumor Test (d)			P = 0.555N
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.530N
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	3/50 (6%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	23.5%	15.8%	21.5%
	2/17 (12%)	2/17 (12%) 97	3/20 (15%)
Week of First Observation	84 D-0 507N	97 B-0.206N	77
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.507 N	P = 0.306N	P = 0.560N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.539N	P = 0.295N	P = 0.568N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.566	<b>D</b>	D 0 000
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.243N	P = 0.620
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcin			
Overall Rates (a)	7/50 (14%)	3/50 (6%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	26.8%	15.8%	21.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/17 (12%)	2/17 (12%)	3/20 (15%)
Week of First Observation	84	97	77
	D 0 00017	P = 0.222N	P = 0.450N
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.390N	$\Gamma = 0.2221$	1 -0.40014
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.390 N P = 0.425 N	P = 0.2221 P = 0.232N	P = 0.465N

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
Preputial Gland: Adenoma		********	
Overall Rates (a)	2/48 (4%)	(e) 3/12 (25%)	2/47 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10.4%		8.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/17 (6%)		1/20 (5%)
Week of First Observation	103		96
Life Table Test (d)	100		P = 0.661 N
Incidental Tumor Test (d)			P = 0.658
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P=0.683
Preputial Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Överall Rates (a)	3/48 (6%)	(e) 3/12 (25%)	3/47 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	16.0%		10.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/17 (12%)		1/20 (5%)
Week of First Observation	103		77
Life Table Test (d)			P = 0.621 N
Incidental Tumor Test (d)			P = 0.643
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.651
Testis: Interstitial Cell Tumor			
Overall Rates (a)	47/50 (94%)	43/50 (86%)	42/49 (86%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	17/17 (100%)	17/17 (100%)	20/20 (100%)
Week of First Observation	69	66	71
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.141N	P = 0.522	P = 0.153N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.053N	P = 0.194N	P = 0.070 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.127N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.159N	P = 0.151N
Brain: Meningioma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	8.4%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/17 (0%)	0/17 (0%)	0/20 (0%)
Week of First Observation		47	,
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.636	P = 0.107	( <b>f</b> )
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.625	P = 0.095	(f)
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.640		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.121	( <b>f</b> )
All Sites: Mesothelioma			
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.0%	12.6%	13.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/17 (0%)	1/17 (6%)	2/20 (10%)
Week of First Observation	69	66	97
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.282N	P = 0.577 N	P = 0.344N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.278N	P = 0.350N	P = 0.334N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.290N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500N	P = 0.358N
All Sites: Benign Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	48/50 (96%)	46/50 (92%)	47/50 (94%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	17/17 (100%)	17/17 (100%)	20/20 (100%)
Week of First Observation	69	66	48
	P = 0.306N	P = 0.405	P = 0.329N
Life Table Tests (d)			
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.330N	P = 0.442N	P = 0.439 N
		P = 0.442N	

## TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

#### TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF **FUROSEMIDE** (Continued)

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm	
All Sites: Malignant Tumors				
Overall Rates (a)	29/50 (58%)	31/50 (62%)	29/50 (58%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	75.0%	76.1%	69.8%	
Terminal Rates (c)	9/17 (53%)	9/17 (53%)	9/20 (45%)	
Week of First Observation	55	47	56	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.428N	P = 0.290	P = 0.461 N	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.528N	P = 0.548N	P = 0.555	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.541			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.419	P = 0.580	
All Sites: All Tumors				
Overall Rates (a)	49/50 (98%)	49/50 (98%)	49/50 (98%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Terminal Rates (c)	17/17 (100%)	17/17 (100%)	20/20 (100%)	
Week of First Observation	55	47	48	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.357 N	P = 0.311	P = 0.382N	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.616N	P = 0.706	P = 0.743N	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.640			
Fisher Exact Test (d)	- 51010	P = 0.752	P = 0.752	

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site
(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tu-mors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

(e) Incomplete sampling of tissues

(f) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the 700-ppm and control groups.

#### TABLE A4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF BRAIN MENINGIOMAS IN MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

	Incidence in Controls							
No 2-year studies by SRI International are included in the historical data base.								
<b>Overall Historical Incidence</b>								
TOTAL SD (b)	2/1,928 (0.1%) 0.45%							
	0.4570							
Range (c) High	1/49							
Low	0/50							

(a) Data as of April 29, 1987, for studies of at least 104 weeks (b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

#### TABLE A4b. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF RENAL TUBULAR CELL TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS **RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)**

	Incidence in Controls						
No 2-year studies by SRI International are included in the historical data base.							
<b>Overall Historical Incidence</b>							
TOTAL SD (c)	(b) 9/1,928 (0.5%) 1.17%						
Range (d) High Low	(e) 3/50 0/50						

(a) Data as of April 29, 1987, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Includes one adenoma, NOS, six tubular cell adenomas, one tubular adenocarcinoma, and one tubular cell adenocarcinoma (c) Standard deviation
(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

(e) Second highest: 1/50

### TABLE A4c. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ANTERIOR PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

		Incidence in Controls					
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma				
No 2-year studies by	SRI International are included in the	historical data base.					
Overall Historical	Incidence						
TOTAL SD (d)	(b) 417/1,830 (22.8%) 10.75%	(c) <b>42/1,830</b> (2.3%) 2.85%	(b,c) 459/1,830 (25.1%) 10.32%				
Range (e) High	<b>A</b> /// <b>A</b>	- /	05/40				
	24/46	5/45	25/46				

(a) Data as of April 29, 1987, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Includes 32 chromophobe adenomas and 1 acidophil adenoma

(c) Includes of the one phobe adenomias and a adenomia adenomia
(c) Includes seven chromophobe carcinomas and one adenocarcinoma, NOS
(d) Standard deviation
(e) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

	Untreate	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALI			50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				·		
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute focal		(22)		(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%)	1	(2%)		(0.27)
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	(2%)		(6%)		(2%)
Epidermal inclusion cyst		(2%)	(50)	(6%)	(50)	(6%)
Edema, NOS	1	(270)		(2%)	3	(0%)
Abscess, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2,0)		
Inflammation, chronic focal	-		1	(2%)		
SESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Nasal cavity	(50)		(8)		(49)	
Foreign body, NOS		(2%)		(13%)	·	
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)				
Edema, NOS		(2%)				
Hemorrhage		(4%)				(8%)
Inflammation, acute focal		(20%)	1	(13%)		(12%)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%)		( <b>1 - - - -</b> )		(2%)
Infection, fungal		(4%)		(13%)		(4%)
*Tracheal lumen	(50)	(07)	(50)		(50)	
Foreign body, NOS		(2%)				
Inflammation, acute		(2%)	(40)		(50)	
#Tracheal submucosa Cyst, NOS	(50)	(2%)	(42)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(270)	9	(5%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, actual local	5	(10%)		(2%)	1	(2,70)
#Lung/bronchiole	(50)	(10,0)	(41)	(2,0)	(50)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial		(2%)	(44)		(00)	
#Lung	(50)	(=,	(41)		(50)	
Foreign body, NOS		(2%)			(***)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)				
Congestion, NOS		(8%)	9	(22%)	3	(6%)
Edema, NOS		(2%)	_		_	
Hemorrhage	7	(14%)		(12%)		(12%)
Inflammation, interstitial Inflammation, acute focal	n	(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, acute local		(4%) (10%)			1	(2%)
Crystals, NOS		(6%)	9	(5%)	1	(2 70)
Pigmentation, NOS		(2%)		(5%)		
Alveolar macrophages		(24%)		(5%)	6	(12%)
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium		(6%)		(2%)		(4%)
Metaplasia, osseous		(2%)				(4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis						(2%)
#Bone marrow	(50)		(33)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS				(0.27)	2	(4%)
				1-201.1		
Atrophy, focal				(3%)	-	(10)
	0	(4%)		(3%)		(4%) (4%)

	Untreated Control		Low Dose		High	Dose	
MATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)			<u></u>	<u></u>			
#Spleen	(50)		(44)		(50)		
Congestion, NOS		(4%)	• •	(16%)		(6%)	
Fibrosis, focal	-	(,		(2%)	•	(0,0)	
Adhesion, NOS			-	(=)	1	(2%)	
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)			1		
Atrophy, focal	8	(16%)	12	(27%)	4	(8%)	
Atrophy, diffuse	1	(2%)				,	
Hematopoiesis	10	(20%)	6	(14%)	16	(32%)	
#Lymph node	(50)		(44)		(50)		
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)					
#Mandibular lymph node	(50)		(44)		(50)		
Cyst, NOS	7	(14%)		(9%)	3	(6%)	
Congestion, NOS	2			(2%)	1	(2%)	
Hemorrhage	4	(8%)	2	(5%)			
Pigmentation, NOS	1						
Hyperplasia, plasma cell		(6%)		(7%)		(8%)	
#Thoracic lymph node	(50)		(44)		(50)		
Cyst, NOS		(2%)					
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)	3	(7%)	_	(4%)	
Hyperplasia, NOS					1	(2%)	
Angiectasis			1	(2%)			
Hyperplasia, plasma cell						(2%)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(20)					(2%)	
#Mediastinal lymph node	(50)	(0.2)	(44)		(50)		
Pigmentation, NOS #Abdominal lymph node		(2%)	(44)		(50)		
Cyst, NOS	(50)			(2%)	(50)		
#Hepatic lymph node	(50)		(44)	(270)	(50)		
Hemorrhage	(00)		(4848)			(2%)	
#Pancreatic lymph node	(50)		(44)		(50)	(2%)	
Edema, NOS				(2%)	(00)		
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	•	(2 %)			
#Lumbar lymph node	(50)	(2.0)	(44)		(50)		
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	(			(2%)	(00)		
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)		(44)		(50)		
Edema, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	
Hemorrhage	5	(10%)	4	(9%)	1	(2%)	
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)				•	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)	1	(2%)			
#Renal lymph node	(50)		(44)		(50)		
Cyst, NOS			1	(2%)			
Congestion, NOS			1	(2%)			
Edema, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
Hemorrhage		(6%)					
Pigmentation, NOS		(2%)		(2%)		(6%)	
#Sacral lymph node	(50)		(44)		(50)		
Cyst, NOS	2	(4%)					
#Liver	(50)	(07)	(46)		(50)	(0 ~ )	
Hematopoiesis		(6%)	/ <b>n</b> ••			(8%)	
#Peyer's patch	(50)		(34)		(50)		
Hematopoiesis	/FAL			(3%)			
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(36)		(49)		
Hematopoiesis	/#A\			(3%)			
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(36)		(49)		
Hematopoiesis	(10)			(3%)			
#Thymus Hemorrhage	(49)		(34)		(47)	(90)	
Involution, NOS		(2%) (57%)		(12%)		(2%)	
11401UUUUI, 1400	28	(3170)	48	(82%)		(7 <b>4%)</b> (4%)	

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
IRCULATORY SYSTEM						
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Periarteritis			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
*Adipose tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Periarteritis		(2%)				
#Nasal cavity	(50)		(8)		(49)	
Thrombosis, NOS	(					(2%)
#Heart	(50)		(38)	(0.27)	(50)	
Mineralization	•	(19)	1	(3%)		(0.01)
Hemorrhage		(4%)	04	(80%)		(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(96%)	34	(89%)	47	(94%)
Fibrosis, focal		(2%) (2%)				
Degeneration, NOS	1	(270)	•	(90)		
Necrosis, focal Metaplasia, osseous	1	(2%)	T	(3%)		
#Heart/atrium	(50)	(270)	(38)		(50)	
Mineralization		(6%)		(3%)	(00)	
Dilatation, NOS		(2%)	1	(0 %)		
Thrombosis, NOS		(14%)	5	(13%)	3	(6%)
Hemorrhage		(2%)	v	(10,0)	0	(0,0)
Inflammation, chronic focal	-	(2,0)			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial						(2%)
#Heart/ventricle	(50)		(38)		(50)	(=)
Thrombosis, NOS	1	(2%)			,	
*Artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Periarteritis					1	(2%)
*Aorta	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization					1	(2%)
Perivasculitis			1	(2%)		
*Aortic arch	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage						(2%)
*Pulmonary artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization		(74%)	34	(68%)	30	(60%)
Thrombosis, NOS	1	(2%)		(07)		(0~)
Hypertrophy, NOS	(10)			(2%)		(2%)
#Pancreas Periarteritis	(49) 1	(2%)	(34)		(50) 2	(4%)
				·	<u></u>	
IGESTIVE SYSTEM *Palate	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial		(8%)	(00)			(8%)
#Salivary gland	(50)	(070)	(36)		(49)	(0%)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(4%)		(6%)		(6%)
Basophilic cyto change		(2%)		(8%)		(4%)
Atrophy, focal		(2%)		(3%)	-	~ - / • /
Hyperplasia, intraductal		(8%)	-		5	(10%)
#Liver	(50)		(46)		(50)	
Abnormal curvature	(30)			(2%)		(2%)
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)				
Congestion, NOS		(2%)		(4%)	1	(2%)
Hemorrhage		(6%)		(7%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	7	(14%)		(15%)		(12%)
Peliosis hepatis		(34%)		(26%)		(28%)
Necrosis, focal		(10%)	8	(17%)	3	(6%)
Pigmentation, NOS		(4%)				
		(2%)				
Eosinophilic cyto change	1	(2%)			-	(0 ~ )
Hyperplasia, focal	L					
Hyperplasia, focal Angiectasis			(10)			(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal Angiectasis #Liver/hepatocytes	(50)		(46)	(19)	(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal Angiectasis	(50)	(4%)	2	(4%) (2%)	(50)	(2%) (2%)

	Untreat	Untreated Control		Low Dose		Dose
GESTIVE SYSTEM		······				<u> </u>
#Liver/hepatocytes (Continued)	(50)		(46)		(50)	
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	(	(30%)		(24%)		(14%)
Basophilic cyto change		(38%)		(24%)		(36%)
Eosinophilic cyto change		(2%)		(2%)	10	(00%)
Clear cell change		(26%)	•	(2,0)	10	(20%)
#Bile duct	(50)	(20%)	(46)		(50)	(20%)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%)	(10)		(00)	
Hyperplasia, focal		(98%)	41	(89%)	46	(92%)
#Pancreas	(49)		(34)	(00,0)	(50)	(04/0)
Edema, NOS	()		(0 -)			(2%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)			-	(=)
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic focal		(16%)	6	(18%)	11	(22%)
Pigmentation, NOS	-	(		(6%)		(6%)
#Pancreatic acinus	(49)		(34)	(2,	(50)	(,
Necrosis, focal		(2%)	()		(00)	
Basophilic cyto change		(2%)			1	(2%)
Atrophy, focal		(47%)	20	(59%)		(60%)
Hyperplasia, focal	20	(/•/	20			(2%)
*Esophageal lumen	(50)		(50)		(50)	(20)
Inflammation, acute	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
#Esophagus	(50)		(45)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic focal	(- · · /	(2%)	(40)		(00)	
#Glandular stomach	(50)	(1/0)	(33)		(50)	
Mineralization	(00)			(3%)		(4%)
Edema, NOS				(3%)	4	(4,0)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	-	(0,2)		
Ulcer, NOS		(4%)	9	(6%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)	4	(0,0)	1	(270)
			9	(6%)	4	(900)
Inflammation, chronic focal Fibrosis	4	(8%)			1	(2%)
				(12%)		
Degeneration, cystic		(00)	T	(3%)		
Necrosis, focal		(2%)	6	(100)	00	(140)
Atrophy, focal		(38%)	-	(18%)		(44%)
#Forestomach	(50)	(40)	(33)	(00)	(50)	(00)
Edema, NOS		(4%)		(6%)		(2%)
Ulcer, NOS		(4%)	-	(15%)		(2%)
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(2%)		(3%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal				(6%)		
Necrosis, focal		(0.0.21)		(3%)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial		(38%)		(67%)		(42%)
#Peyer's patch	(50)	(0~)	(34)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS		(2%)	(0.4)		(50)	
#Duodenum	(50)	(90)	(34)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(2%)	(0.4)		180	
#Duodenal mucosa	(50)		(34)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	/FAN			(3%)	(20)	
#Duodenal submucosa	(50)	(90)	(34)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%)	( <b>A</b> A)		180	
#Jejunum	(50)	(00)	(34)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(2%)	( <b>A</b> ) -			
#Ileum	(50)	(0~)	(34)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(2%)	( <b>A</b> A)		(10)	
#Colon	(50)		(34)		(48)	
Dilatation, NOS				(3%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal				(3%)		
Erosion				(3%)		
Parasitism		(8%)		(9%)		(17%)
#Cecum	(50)		(34)		(48)	
Dilatation, NOS				(3%)		
Impaction, fecal			1	(3%)		

	Untreat	Untreated Control		Dose	High	n Dose	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM							
#Cecum (Continued)	(50)		(34)		(48)		
Congestion, NOS	(00)			(3%)	(10)		
Parasitism	1	(2%)	_	(2.0.)			
*Rectum	(50)	(=,	(50)		(50)		
Parasitism		(6%)	(,			(4%)	
Polypoid hyperplasia	-	(117)	1	(2%)	_	,,	
RINARY SYSTEM							
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Mineralization		(4%)		(2%)	••	(2%)	
Cyst, NOS		(12%)		(14%)		(24%)	
Congestion, NOS		(2%)		(6%)		(4%)	
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)	v			(4,2)	
Nephropathy		(96%)	40	(98%)	50	(100%)	
Necrosis, focal	40			(4%)		(200 //)	
Pigmentation, NOS	25	(50%)		(56%)	23	(46%)	
Hyperplasia, tubular cell		(8%)		(4%)		(8%)	
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(50)	\= <i>i=1</i>	(50)	(	
Dilatation, NOS		(4%)		(6%)		(2%)	
Inflammation, suppurative	-			(2%)		(2%)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial	17	(34%)		(30%)		(54%)	
#Urinary bladder/mucosa	(50)		(34)	(00/0)	(46)		
Inflammation, acute focal	(00)		(01)			(2%)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial			1	(3%)		(2%)	
#Urinary bladder/submucosa	(50)		(34)	(0,2)	(46)		
Inflammation, acute focal	(00)		(04)			(2%)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(3%)	•	(4 10)	
Inflammation, chronic focal				(6%)	9	(4%)	
#Urinary bladder/muscularis	(50)		(34)	(0%)	(46)	(4170)	
Hemorrhage	(50)			(6%)	(40)		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			(50)		(20)		
#Pituitary intermedia	(50)	(100)	(50)	(0 ~)	(50)		
Cyst, NOS	9	(18%)	4	(8%)		(12%)	
Hyperplasia, focal	(50)		(50)			(4%)	
#Anterior pituitary	(50)	(100)	(50)	(0~)	(50)	(0~)	
Cyst, NOS		(12%)	4	(8%)	4	(8%)	
Hemorrhage		(2%)					
Necrosis, focal		(2%)	-	(40)			
Pigmentation, NOS	2	(4%)		( <b>4%</b> )			
Atrophy, diffuse		(994)		(2%) (40%)	00	(4401)	
Hyperplasia, focal		(28%) (2%)	20	(40%)	22	(44%)	
Angiectasis #Pituitary postoriar		(2%)	(50)		(20)		
#Pituitary posterior	(50)				(50)	(60)	
Gliosis #Advenal contex	(20)			(2%)		(6%)	
#Adrenal cortex	(50)	(10)	(36)		(49)	(90)	
Cyst, NOS Congestion, NOS		(4%)		$(2\alpha)$		(2%)	
	ο	(10%)		(3%) (2%)	1	(2%)	
Hemorrhage	0	(1906)		(3%) (29%)	14	(000)	
Degeneration, NOS		(12%)	8	(22%)	11	(22%)	
Necrosis, focal		(2%) (9%)					
Pigmentation, NOS		(2%)					
Focal cellular change		(2%)					
Atrophy, diffuse		(2%)	-	1.000		(00~~)	
Hyperplasia, focal	16	(32%)	6	(17%)		(29%)	
Angiectasis	/=-\		/***			(4%)	
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(36)		(49)	(0	
Cyst, NOS Congestion, NOS				(3%) (3%)	1	(2%)	
			1	(90.)			

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM					<u></u>	
#Adrenal medulla (Continued)	(50)		(36)		(49)	
Degeneration, NOS		(2%)	(00)			(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS		(26%)	5	(14%)		(18%)
Hyperplasia, focal	10	(20%)		(3%)	Ū	(10%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)	(0,0)	(50)	
Cyst, NOS		(2%)		(2%)		(8%)
Follicular cyst, NOS		(2%)		(2%)		(2%)
Hyperplasia, C-cell		(36%)		(20%)		(24%)
#Parathyroid	(48)	(00/0)	(46)	(20.0)	(47)	(2470)
Hyperplasia, NOS		(17%)		(17%)		(32%)
#Pancreatic islets	(49)	(1170)	(34)	(1770)	(50)	(32%)
Hyperplasia, focal		(4%)		(6%)	(50)	
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	<u></u>			<u> </u>	<u></u>	
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Pigmentation, NOS		(4%)	(00)		(00)	
Hyperplasia, cystic		(30%)	13	(26%)	14	(28%)
*Penis	(50)	(00/0)	(50)	(	(50)	(20,0)
Ulcer, NOS	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
#Preputial gland	(48)		(12)		(47)	
Cyst, NOS	. ,	(8%)	(12)			(4%)
Inflammation, suppurative		(10%)				(4%) (4%)
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)			2	(370)
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(21/0)			4	(9%)
Atrophy, NOS	АА	(92%)	7	(58%)		(3%)
Hyperplasia, NOS		(10%)		(17%)		(11%)
#Prostate	(50)		(35)	(11/0)	(48)	(11/0)
Inflammation, suppurative		(6%)		(23%)		(8%)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(4%)		(23%)		(6%)
Corpora amylacea		(4%) (2%)	ა	(0.0)	3	(0%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial		(2%) (12%)	4	(11%)	9	(19%)
*Seminal vesicle		(1470)		(1170)		(1370)
	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	(001)
Dilatation, NOS				(2%)	1	(2%)
Spermatocele		(00)	1	(2%)		
Edema, NOS	1	(2%)		(07)		
Inflammation, suppurative	-	(00)	1			
Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%)		(2%)	<b>.</b> .	(00~
Atrophy, NOS		(28%)		(20%)		(28%)
#Testis	(50)		(50)	(10~)	(49)	
Mineralization		(12%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)
Spermatocele	1	(2%)	-	(0~)		
Hemorrhage		(0~)	1	(2%)		
Necrosis, focal		(2%)				
Necrosis, fat		(2%)	<b>.</b> -	(	<b>-</b> -	
Atrophy, focal		(70%)		(76%)		(73%)
Atrophy, diffuse		(28%)		(18%)		(22%)
Hyperplasia, interstitial cell		(18%)		(34%)		(33%)
#Testis/tubule	(50)		(50)	( <b>a</b> - ( )	(49)	
Atrophy, diffuse				(2%)		
*Epididymis	(50)		(50)	( <b>0</b> + 1)	(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative				(2%)		
Degeneration, NOS Hyperplasia, epithelial		(28%) (2%)	6	(12%)		(26%) (2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
	<b>▲</b>					

	Untreat	ed Control	Low Dose		High	Dose
NERVOUS SYSTEM (Continued)			•			
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization		(2%)	(00)			(2%)
Hydrocephalus, NOS		(2%)	5	(10%)		(6%)
Congestion, NOS		(2%)	0	(10,0)	Ŭ	(0,0)
Hemorrhage		(4%)	5	(10%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, focal		(4%)	Ŭ	(10,0)	-	(270)
Pigmentation, NOS	-	(4,0)			1	(2%)
*Spinal cord	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS	<u></u>					
*Eye	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Phthisis bulbi	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
*Eye/anterior chamber	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage		(2%)	(00)			
Inflammation, acute		(2%)				
*Eve/sclera	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	· · · ·	(6%)	,	(10%)		(8%)
*Eye/cornea	(50)	(3,0)	(50)	(10/0)	(50)	
Inflammation, acute		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Inflammation, acute focal	1				9	(4%)
Vascularization						(2%)
*Eye/retina	(50)		(50)		(50)	(470)
Hemorrhage		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%)				
Atrophy, focal		(6%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Atrophy, local Atrophy, diffuse		(12%)		(4%)	1	
*Eye/crystalline lens	(50)	(14/0)	(50)	-= /V/	(50)	
Degeneration, NOS		(16%)	·/	(6%)		(2%)
*Eye/lacrimal gland	(50)	(1070)	(50)		(50)	(270)
Pigmentation, NOS		(2%)	(00)		(50)	
*Nasolacrimal duct		(470)	(50)		(50)	
	(50)	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Hemorrhage					1	(90)
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)	(50)			(2%)
*Harderian gland	(50)			(10)	(50)	
Inflammation, chronic focal Pigmontation NOS			2	(4%)	•	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS	(FO)		(ED)			(270)
*Zymbal gland	(50)		(50)	(99)	(50)	
Cyst, NOS			1	(2%)		
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	·····					
*Bone	(50)		(50)		(50)	(0.01)
Cyst, NOS		(07)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)			^	(40)
Necrosis, focal		(2%)		(00)		(4%)
Fibrous osteodystrophy		(8%)		(2%)		(10%)
*Muscle of perineum	(50)		(50)		(50)	(90)
Hemorrhage		<u> </u>			1	(2%)
BODY CAVITIES						
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage		(2%)				(4%)
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic focal						(2%)
Necrosis, fat		(16%)		(8%)		(12%)
*Pleura	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)		(2%)		

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

	Untreated Control	Low Dose	High Dose
BODY CAVITIES (Continued)	······································	( <b># A</b> )	(70)
*Mesentery	(50)	(50)	(50)
Inflammation, chronic Pigmentation, NOS	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS *Multiple organs Mineralization Tail Necrosis, focal Necrosis, diffuse Adipose tissue Mineralization	(50) 5 (10%) 1 1	(50) 2 (4%) 1	(50) 5 (10%)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
 ‡ Multiple occurrence of morphology; tissue is counted only once.

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

### **APPENDIX B**

# SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

PAGE SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-TABLE B1 YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE 107 TABLE B2 INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE 110 ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED TABLE B3 STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE 116 HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND C-CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N **TABLE B4** RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT 119 TABLE B5 SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS 120 IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

Furosemide, NTP TR 356
TABLE B1.	SUMMARY OF	THE INCIDENCE	E OF NEOP	LASMS IN	FEMALE	RATS IN	THE TWO-YEAR	R
		FEED	STUDY OF	FUROSEM	IDE			

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	50	<u> </u>	50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	Y 50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrous histiocytoma		(2%)				
*Skin	(50)		(50)	(	(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma Keratoacanthoma				(4%) (2%)		
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
Fibroma	2	(4%)		(2%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)		(27)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	2	(4%)		(4%)		(8%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM		. <u> </u>				
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Leukemia, mononuclear cell		(16%)		(28%)		(20%)
#Spleen	(50)		(50)	(90)	(49)	
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic #Mandibular lymph node	(50)		(32)	(2%)	(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic		(2%)	(02)		(00)	
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Heart	(50)		(20)		(50)	
Neurilemoma	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*Tongue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma		(2%)	(10)			
#Forestomach Squamous cell papilloma	(50)		(18)		(50) 1	(2%)
URINARY SYSTEM None				<u></u>		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM					·····	
#Anterior pituitary	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS					1	(2%)
Adenoma, NOS		(35%)		(18%)		(38%)
#Adrenal	(50)	(97)	(22)		(50)	
Cortical carcinoma #Adrenal cortex	(50)	(2%)	(22)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS		(2%)	(44)		(00)	
#Adrenal medulla	(50)	~~~~	(22)		(50)	
Pheochromocytoma		(8%)				(6%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Follicular cell adenoma		(2%)	-	(107)		(2%)
C-cell adenoma	4	(8%)		(12%)	11	(22%)
C-cell carcinoma			1 (17)	(2%)	(50)	
#Pancreatic islets	(50)					

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
Adenoma, NOS		(2%)				(2%)
Fibroadenoma		(42%)		(50%)		(42%)
#Clitoral gland	(48)		(45)		(49)	
Adenoma, NOS		(13%)		(22%)		(18%)
*Vagina	(50)		(50)	(07)	(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS	(10)			(2%)	(40)	
#Uterus	(49)	(07.0)	(28)	(100)	(49)	(000)
Endometrial stromal polyp		(27%)		(46%)		(33%)
#Uterus/endometrium	(49)		(28)		(49)	(901)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	(49)		(00)			(2%)
#Ovary	(48)	(90)	(22)		(49)	
Cystadenoma, NOS Granulosa cell tumor		(2%) (2%)				
Granulosa cell carcinoma	1	(270)			9	(4%)
Sertoli cell tumor	1	(2%)			4	(470)
Serion cen tumor	1	(270)				
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain	(50)		(20)		(49)	
Astrocytoma	1	(2%)	1	(5%)		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS None						
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None	<u> </u>				<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
BODY CAVITIES						
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS					1	(2%)
·						
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS None						
				· · · · ·		
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY						
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Natural death	5		5		3	
Moribund sacrifice	13		14		14 33	
Terminal sacrifice	32		31		33	

#### TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

#### TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARFEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreated Control	Low Dose	High Dose
rumor summary	······		
Total animals with primary tumors**	47	45	46
Total primary tumors	90	89	105
Total animals with benign tumors	45	40	43
Total benign tumors	76	66	88
Total animals with malignant tumors	12	22	12
Total malignant tumors	13	23	17
Total animals with secondary tumors##	1	1	
Total secondary tumors	1	1	
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
benign or malignant	1		
Total uncertain tumors	1		

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 \*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
 ## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

### TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF FUROSEMIDE: UNTREATED CONTROL

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 7	0 5 0	0 0 3	$0 \\ 1 \\ 2$	0 1 5	0 1 9	0 2 4	0 3 1	0 4 5	0 3 4	0 2 7	0 4 3	0 1 8	0 3 2	0 3 5	0 2 9	0 3 6	0 4 1	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 8
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 9	0 8 0	0 8 2	0 8 6	0 9 1	0 9 8	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea	+++	+	+++	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	+ +	+	+ +	++	+	+ +	++	++	+	+	++++
Nasal cavity HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	+	+	+		+	+ 	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+ 	+	+  +	+
Spisen Lymph nodes Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	-++	+ +	, + + +	.+ + +	+ + +	+ + X +	.+ + +	+ +	; + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ +	.+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Neurilemoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N X +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N _	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N _	N +	N -	N +
Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +
Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+   +   +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + ,+	++++++
<b>URINARY SYSTEM</b> Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ + +	+ +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Adenoma, NOS	+++	+ X +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	* *	+ +	* *	- +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	* *	* *	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	* * +	+ +
Cortical carcinoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma	x +	+	+	+	÷	х +	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
C-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	-	+	+	X +	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Uterus	* *	+ +	X + +	+ +	Х + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	 +	+ +	+	х - +	+ +	+ +	+ +	x + +	+ +	+ ::	X + +	+ x +	+ +	X + X +	+ -	X + +	+ +
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Cystadenoma, NOS Granulosa cell tumor Sertoli cell tumor	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	x + x	+	X +	+	+ X	+	+	-	+	X +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Fibrous histiocytoma Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N X/	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

Tissue examined microscopically
 Required tissue not examined microscopically
 Tumor incidence
 Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 Animal missexed

: No tissue information submitted C: Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B: No necropsy performed

TABLE B2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF FEMAL	E RATS:	UNTREATED (	CONTROL
				(Continued	l)			

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 5	0 2 6	0 2 8	0 3 0	0 3 3	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 2	0 4 4	0 4 6	0 4 8	0 4 9	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 2
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea Nasal cavity	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	* * *	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + +	+++++	++++++	50 2 50 48
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Sarroma, NOS, metastatic Thymus	+++++	++++++++	++++ +++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++ +++	++++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	++++ +++	+++ ++++	++++ ++	++ ++ +	+++ +++	+++ +++	++++++++	+++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++++	+ + + +	50 50 50 1 48
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Neurilemoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	50 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell carcinoma Salivary gland Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	N ++++++++	Z +++++++	Z +++++++	N ++++++++	X +++++++++	Z ++++++++	Z ++++++++	N ++++++++	N +++++++	N ++++++++	Z ++++++++	N ++++++++	N ++++++++	Z ++++++++	N ++++++++	Z ++++++++	<b>N</b> ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	*50 1 47 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50								
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	+++++	+ +	++++	++++	+++	+ +	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+ +	+	++++	++++	50 47
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adranal Adenoma, NOS Cortical carcinoma Pheochromocytoma	+ X +	* *	+ X +	+ +	+ x +	+ +	++	* *	+ X +	+ +	* *	+ +	* *	+ x +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ + x	+ +	* * +	* * *	+ +	+ +	+++	49 17 50 1 1 4
Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+ X +	++	+	++	+ X +	++	+	+ X +	+	+ +	50 1 4 46
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	*50 2 1
Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Uterus	+	x + +	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +	× + +	+	X + +	X + +	+ +	x + +	x + +	X + +	X + +	+ x +	X + X +	+ +	+	× + +	X X + X +	X + +	X + +	+	21 48 6 49
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Cystadenoma, NOS Granulosa cell tumor Sertoli cell tumor	-	+	+	X +	+	X +	X +	+	X +	+	+	X +	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x +	* x	+	+	+	X +	13 48 1 1 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Fibrous histicoytoma Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 8

\* Animals necropsied

### TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF FUROSEMIDE: LOW DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 6	0 0 1	0 1 4	0 4 4	0 3 3	0 1 5	0 3 1	0 3 5	0 1 8	0 3 2	0 2 7	0 3 9	0 0 3	0 5 0	0 0 7	0 3 6	0 1 0	0 0 8	0 4 9	0 0 2	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 9	0 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 9	0 6 5	0 6 5	0 7 4	0 8 4	0 8 6	0 8 8	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell carcinoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	++	N N	N N	+ X +	+	N N	N N
Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+	+	+	× +	+	x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		_		+	 -	
Nasal cavity HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	+	+	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+	+	+	+	+ -	+	+	+
Bone marrow Spleen Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Lymph nodes Thymus	++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + -	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + +	++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	~ + +	i + +	- + -	+	- + -	- + -	- + -	- + -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	-	-	_	_	-	_
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	_ + + - + +	- + + - + +	-++	- + + - +	- + + - +	- + +	-++	- ++ + + - -
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	   +   +	++++	+ +	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+	+	+	+	_	-	-	-
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Thyroid C-cell adenoma C-cell acerinoma Parathyroid	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+++++++	+ + +	+++++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ - + +	+ - +	* -	+ - + X -	+ -+ +	+ - X -	+ - x -
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Carcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Vagina	+ - N	+ X + N	+ + N	+ - N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + X N	+ + N	+ + N	+ X + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ X + N	+ - N	+ + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X - N	N +XN	N + X N	+ x + x + x N	+ X X + X N	+ X + N
Sărcoma, NOS Uterus Endometriai stromal polyp Ovary	+++	++	+++	+ x +	+++	+ x +	+ X +	+++	+ X +	+++	+++	+ X +	+ X +	+++	+++	+++	+++	- +	-	+ x	-	* *	+	-	* *
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N

TABLE B2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL TUMOR	PATHOLOGY OI	F FEMALE	<b>RATS: LOW DO</b>	SE
			(Continued)			

(Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 3	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 2 6	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 4	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell carcinoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS	N N			N N	* *	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	+	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	+	N N	N N	N N	+	N N	+	+ +	*50 2 1 *50 1
Fibroma RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea Nasal cavity	++	-+	- +	- +	-+	-+	-	- +	- +	- +	- +	- +	- +	+ +	+	-+	- +	+++	 +	<b>*</b> <b>*</b>	- +	-+	+	 +	+++	1 27 1 49 9
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spisen Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Lymph nodes Thymus	- + +			 + +		- + =		- + + +	- + -	 + 	  + ++			-  + + +	- -+ ++	- -+ ++	 + =	-  + +	-  + ++	- + +	-+x	 + 	- -+ ++	+	- - + -	17 50 1 32 25
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart		_	-	_	-			_	-	-			+	_	-	-	_	_	_	+			_	-		20
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Selivary gland Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+++ +	1++1111	1++1+11	-++-+	-++-+-++-++-+++-+++++-+++++++++++++++++	-++-+	1++11111	-++-+	1++1+11	-++-+	-+++++	-++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	-++-+	-++-+	-+++++	-++-+	-++++	-++-+	-++-+	-++-+	++ +	-++	1++1+11	1++1+111	++ +	18 50 50 17 48 18 17 19
U <b>RINARY SYSTEM</b> Kidney Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	38 17
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Thyroid C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid	+ + * *	+ x - + +	+ -+ +	+ -+ +	+ -+ +	+ X - + -	+ -+ -	+ - + +	+ -+ +	+ -+ X	+ -+ +	+ -+ +	+ -+ +	+ -+ +	+ + + -	+ x + +	+ -+ +	* - + -	+ - + +	+ -+ +	++	+  * X +	+ + + +	+ - + +	* * * * *	50 9 22 50 6 1 28
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Carcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Vagina Sarcoma, NOS Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary	+ X + N -	N + N -	+ x+ + x + n - +	+ x+xN - +	+ x+ N - +	N + N -	+ x+xn	N + N -	+ + + N -	+ x + x + x N	+ x + N + x -	+ + N + -	+ x + N -	+ x + N	+ x+ N -	N +XN 	+ x+ N	+ + x N + -	+ x + N + x -	+ x + N + -	+ X X + N + X -	+ x N + x -	N + N -	+ x + N x	+ + N - +	*50 3 25 45 10 *50 1 28 13 22
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	+	_	*	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	*50 14

\* Animals necropsied

### TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF FUROSEMIDE: HIGH DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 3	0 2 0	0 1 9	0 3 7	0 2 8	0 2 5	0 1 7	0 3 2	0 4 4	0 1 8	0 3 8	0 4 5	0 0 3	0 2 1	0 3 4	0 1 2	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 0
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 8 0	0 8 2	0 8 6	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 7	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 4	1 0 4							
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea Nasal cavity	+++++	++++	+ + +	+++++	+ X + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ x + + +	+ + + +	+++++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+++-	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+ - + +	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Neurilemoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ ++	+++++ ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ ++	+++++ ++	+++++ ++	+++++ ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ +++++ ++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+	++++	++	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carcinoma, NOS Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+ X + + +	+ X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ X + + +	+ X + + ++	+++++	+ X + + X + + X + +	+ + + +	+ X + + +	+ x + x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + +	+ X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ x + + +	+ + + * * * + +	+ + X+ + +	* * + + +	+ + + x + +	+ + + +	+ X + + +	+ + + x + +	+ + x + x + + x + +	+ X + + +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Carcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputia/Citoral gland Adenoma, NOS Utarus Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	+ +	+ +	<u>x</u> -	+ +	X + +	+ +	x + +	X + +	X + +	x + +	x + +	X + X +	X + +	X + X + +	+ +	+ +
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Granulosa cell carcinoma	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	Х +	+	-	+	+	Х +	*	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	X +	<b>X</b> +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Sarcoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 1	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 6	0 2 7	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 3	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	0 4 9	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 5	TISSUES																		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea Nasal cavity	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	* * + +	+ + +	+++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	50 4 50 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	++++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+ + + +	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + + +	+++++	++++	+++++	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	50 49 50 49
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Neurilemoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++ ++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	47 50 50 50 50 50 50 1 50 50
U <b>RINARY SYSTEM</b> Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	50 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C cell adenoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+ X + +	+ X + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ X + + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + X +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + - + X	+ + + +	+ X + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + X++	+ + + X + +	+ + + *	+ + + - +	+ X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + X + +	50 1 19 50 3 50 1 11 46 50 1 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Fibroadeanoma Preputial/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Uterus Adenocarcinoma, NOS Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Granulosa cell carcinoma	+ X + X + +	+ X + + X +	+ X + + X +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ X + X + X + X +	+ X + +	+ x + x + x + x +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + X +	+ X + X + X + X +	+ + + x +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X + X + X +	+ + + x +	+ X X + +	+ x + x + x +	+ + + x +	+ + + X X	+ X + + +	+ + + +	*50 2 1 21 49 9 49 1 16 49 2
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Sarcoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	*50 10

### TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

\* Animals necropsied

#### TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	(b) 1/27 (4%)	A/50 (90)
Adjusted Rates (c)	5.7%	(1) 1/2 ( (470)	4/50 (8%) 10.8%
Terminal Rates (d)	2/35 (6%)		3/34 (9%)
Week of First Observation	105		86
Life Table Test (e)	100		P = 0.337
Incidental Tumor Test (e)			P = 0.337 P = 0.340
Fisher Exact Test (e)			P=0.339
Iematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell	Leukemia		
Overall Rates (a)	8/50 (16%)	14/50 (28%)	10/50 (20%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	19.1%	31.7%	25.5%
Terminal Rates (d)	3/35 (9%)	2/31 (6%)	6/34 (18%)
Week of First Observation	77	65	86
Life Table Tests (e)	P = 0.386	P = 0.134	P = 0.425
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)	P = 0.552	P = 0.421	P = 0.553
Fisher Exact Test (e)	P = 0.357	P = 0.113	P = 0.397
Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	17/49 (35%)	9/50 (18%)	19/50 (38%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	44.0%	26.5%	40.5%
Terminal Rates (d)	14/35 (40%)	7/31 (23%)	7/34 (21%)
Week of First Observation	74	94	77
Life Table Tests (e)	P=0.391	P = 0.093N	P = 0.444
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)	P = 0.468	P = 0.071N	P = 0.582
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (e)	P = 0.397		•=
Fisher Exact Test (e)		P = 0.048N	P=0.447
Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or C			
Overall Rates (a)	17/49 (35%)	9/50 (18%)	20/50 (40%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	44.0%	26.5%	42.7%
Terminal Rates (d)	14/35 (40%)	7/31 (23%)	8/34 (24%)
Week of First Observation	74	94	77
Life Table Tests (e)	P = 0.318	P=0.093N	P=0.373
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)	P = 0.381	P = 0.071 N	P=0.495
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (e)	P = 0.317		
Fisher Exact Test (e)		P = 0.048N	P=0.368
Adrenal Gland Medulla: Pheochromocyte	ma		
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	(b) 0/22 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	10.4%		8.1%
Terminal Rates (d)	3/35 (9%)		2/34 (6%)
Week of First Observation	74		95
Life Table Test (e)			P = 0.503N
Incidental Tumor Test (e)			P = 0.528N
Fisher Exact Test (e)			P = 0.500N
Fhyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma		,	
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	6/50 (12%)	11/50 (22%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	11.0%	19.4%	29.2%
Terminal Rates (d)	3/35 (9%)	6/31 (19%)	8/34 (24%)
Week of First Observation	98	104	88
Life Table Tests (e)	P = 0.030	P = 0.302	P=0.048
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)	P = 0.042	P = 0.373	P = 0.090
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (e)	P = 0.031	- 0.010	1 - 0.000

#### TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcin		<u></u>	<u></u>
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	7/50 (14%)	11/50 (22%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	11.0%	22.6%	29.2%
Terminal Rates (d)	3/35 (9%)	7/31 (23%)	8/34 (24%)
Week of First Observation	98	104	88
Life Table Tests (e)	P = 0.032	P = 0.200	P = 0.048
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)	P = 0.044	P = 0.254	P = 0.090
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (e)	P = 0.033		
Fisher Exact Test (e)	1 01000	P = 0.262	P = 0.045
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	21/50 (42%)	25/50 (50%)	21/50 (42%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	54.6%	69.0%	54.9%
Terminal Rates (d)	18/35 (51%)	20/31 (65%)	17/34 (50%)
Week of First Observation	77	65	88
Life Table Tests (e)	P = 0.506	P = 0.138	P = 0.554
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)	P = 0.531	P = 0.172	P = 0.578
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (e)	P = 0.540		
Fisher Exact Test (e)		P = 0.274	P=0.580
Mammary Gland: Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	5.7%	9.7%	5.0%
Terminal Rates (d)	2/35 (6%)	3/31 (10%)	0/34 (0%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	88
Life Table Tests (e)	P = 0.589	P = 0.444	P = 0.687N
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)	P = 0.549N	P = 0.444	P = 0.599N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (e)	P = 0.594	1 - 0.444	1 -0.00010
Fisher Exact Test (e)	r = 0.054	P = 0.500	P=0.691
Mammary Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma	(ñ		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	8.6%	9.7%	7.8%
Terminal Rates (d)	3/35 (9%)	3/31 (10%)	1/34 (3%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	88
Life Table Tests (e)	P = 0.577	P = 0.607	P = 0.661
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)			
	P = 0.547N	P = 0.607	P = 0.586N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (e) Fisher Exact Test (e)	P = 0.583	P=0.661	P = 0.661
Clitoral Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	6/48 (13%)	10/45 (994)	0/40 (1900)
Adjusted Rates (c)	6/48 (13%) 16.0%	10/45 (22%) 32.6%	9/49 (18%) 25 4%
Terminal Rates (d)	5/35 (14%)	9/31 (31%)	25.4% 8/34 (24%)
Week of First Observation	5/35 (14%) 74	9/31 (31%) 94	8/34 (24%) 95
Life Table Tests (e)	P = 0.240	P = 0.117	P = 0.274
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)	P = 0.240 P = 0.224	P = 0.117 P = 0.111	P = 0.274 P = 0.251
	P = 0.224 P = 0.268	r - 0.111	r = 0.201
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (e)	P=0.208	B = 0.167	B-0 202
Fisher Exact Test (e)		P = 0.167	P = 0.303
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp	10/40 (077)	(1) 10/00 (10/1)	10/10 (00%)
Overall Rates (a)	13/49 (27%)	(b) 13/28 (46%)	16/49 (33%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	35.4%		42.6%
Terminal Rates (d)	11/34 (32%)		13/34 (38%)
Week of First Observation	77		80
Life Table Test (e)			P = 0.345
Incidental Tumor Test (e)			P = 0.362
Fisher Exact Test (e)			P = 0.329

#### TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Control	350 ppm	700 ppm
All Sites: Benign Tumors			· · ·
Overall Rates (a)	45/50 (90%)	40/50 (80%)	43/50 (86%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	97.8%	92.9%	89.5%
Terminal Rates (d)	34/35 (97%)	28/31 (90%)	29/34 (85%)
Week of First Observation	74	65	77
Life Table Tests (e)	P = 0.408N	P = 0.466N	P = 0.436N
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)	P = 0.346N	P = 0.266N	P = 0.363 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (e)	P = 0.336N		
Fisher Exact Test (e)		P = 0.132N	P = 0.380 N
All Sites: Malignant Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	12/50 (24%)	22/50 (44%)	12/50 (24%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	28.2%	49.3%	29.7%
Terminal Rates (d)	6/35 (17%)	9/31 (29%)	7/34 (21%)
Week of First Observation	77	65	86
Life Table Tests (e)	P = 0.524N	P = 0.040	P = 0.563 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)	P = 0.402N	P=0.083	P = 0.503N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (e)	P = 0.543		
Fisher Exact Test (e)		P = 0.028	P = 0.592
All Sites: All Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	47/50 (94%)	45/50 (90%)	46/50 (92%)
Adjusted Rates (c)	97.9%	93.7%	93.9%
Terminal Rates (d)	34/35 (97%)	28/31 (90%)	31/34 (91%)
Week of First Observation	74	65	77
Life Table Tests (e)	P = 0.472N	P=0.469	P = 0.501 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (e)	P = 0.426N	P = 0.591N	P = 0.489 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (e)	P = 0.427 N		
Fisher Exact Test (e)		P = 0.358N	P = 0.500N

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Incomplete sampling of tissues

(c) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(d) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(f) Except for one low dose animal with a carcinoma, a fibroadenoma was also present in each animal.

<sup>(</sup>e) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

## TABLE B4. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND C-CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

	Incidence in Controls					
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma			
No 2-year studies by SRI I	nternational are included in the histo	orical data base.				
Overall Historical Incid	lence					
TOTAL	155/1,938 (8.0%)	66/1,938 (3.4%)	218/1,938 (11.2%)			
SD (b)	7.21%	2.75%	7.20%			
Range (c)						
High	17/50	5/50	19/50			
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50			

(a) Data as of April 29, 1987, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Standard deviation
(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

### TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICA	ALLY 50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst	_			(2%)		
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)		(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal Crystals, NOS				(2%) (2%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	<u></u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
#Nasal cavity	(48)		(9)		(50)	
Foreign body, NOS		(2%)	()			
Hemorrhage		(6%)	1	(11%)	3	(6%)
Inflammation, acute focal		(4%)			5	(10%)
*Larynx	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage		(2%)				
#Tracheal submucosa	(50)		(49)		(50)	(401)
Inflammation, chronic focal #Lung/bronchus	(50)		(27)			(4%)
Foreign body, NOS		(2%)	(27)		(50)	
#Lung/bronchiole	(50)		(27)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial		(2%)	(41)			
#Lung	(50)	<b>(</b> ),	(27)		(50)	
Mineralization		(2%)				
Congestion, NOS	5	(10%)		(19%)	5	(10%)
Edema, NOS		(0.4)		(4%)		
Hemorrhage	-	(6%)		(15%)		(8%)
Inflammation, chronic focal Pigmentation, NOS	4	(8%)		(4%) (15%)	1	(2%)
Alveolar macrophages	30	(60%)		(11%)	28	(56%)
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium		(8%)	U			(10%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis				(4%)		
#Bone marrow	(50)	(04)	(17)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS Muclofibrosia		(2%)				
Myelofibrosis Hyperplasia, granulocytic		(4%) (2%)			0	(4%)
Hyperplasia, granulocytic Hyperplasia, reticulum cell		( <b>4%</b> )				(4%) (2%)
#Spleen	(50)		(50)		(49)	(2,0)
Congestion, NOS	(00)			(2%)		(2%)
Fibrosis	1	(2%)	-		-	
Necrosis, focal		(2%)		(2%)		
Infarct, NOS				(2%)		_
Atrophy, focal		(4%)	2	(4%)		(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(6%)		(0.0)		(2%)
Hematopoiesis		(16%)		(8%)		(18%)
#Mandibular lymph node Cyst, NOS	(50)	(6%)	(32)		(50)	(10-)
Hemorrhage		(2%)				(4%) (6%)
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2,10)				(0%) (2%)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	1	(2%)				(4%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(2%)			4	
ityperplasia, lympholu						
#Retropharyngeal lymph node Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(50)		(32)		(50)	(2%)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Thoracic lymph node	(50)		(32)		(50)	
Edema, NOS	1	(2%)				
Pigmentation, NOS			1	(3%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)				
#Bronchial lymph node	(50)		(32)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, plasma cell					1	(2%)
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)		(32)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS				(3%)	2	(4%)
Edema, NOS	3	(6%)		(9%)	4	(8%)
Hemorrhage	3	(6%)	1	(3%)		
Pigmentation, NOS		(2%)				
#Renal lymph node	(50)		(32)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS			1	(3%)		
Edema, NOS						(4%)
Pigmentation, NOS						(2%)
#Inguinal lymph node	(50)		(32)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS				(3%)		
Hyperplasia, plasma cell				(3%)		
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis		(2%)		(6%)		(6%)
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(22)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis				(5%)		
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(22)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid						(2%)
#Thymus	(48)		(25)		(49)	
Cyst, NOS					1	(2%)
Congestion, NOS		(2%)				
Hemorrhage		(2%)				(2%)
Involution, NOS		(77%)	17	(68%)	42	(86%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	1	(2%)				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Brain	(50)	(8.4)	(20)		(49)	
Periarteritis		(2%)				
*Mediastinum	(50)	(1.00)	(50)	(07)	(50)	
Periarteritis	2	(4%)		(2%)		
#Bone marrow	(50)	(07)	(17)		(50)	
Periarteritis		(2%)				
#Lung Thrombosis, NOS	(50)	(90)	(27)		(50)	
#Heart		(2%)	(90)		(50)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(50)	(10)	(20)		(50)	
Hemorrhage Inflammation, chronic focal		(4%) (88%)	14	(70%)	49	(86%)
Fibrosis	44	(0070)	14	(1070)		(2%)
Hypertrophy, focal						(2%) (2%)
#Heart/atrium	(50)		(20)		(50)	(470)
Thrombosis, NOS	(00)	(2%)		(5%)		(4%)
Fibrosis, focal	1	(270)	1	(070)		(4%) (2%)
*Pulmonary artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	(470)
Mineralization		(62%)		(28%)		(50%)
*Mesentery	(50)	(0470)	(50)	(4070)		(00%)
Periarteritis	(80)			(2%)	(50)	
A CITAL VOLTUN			1	(470)		

#### TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
GESTIVE SYSTEM				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
*Palate	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS				(2%)	(00)	
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(2%)	•	(2,0)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial		(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Salivary gland	(47)	(470)	(18)	(2,0)	(47)	(470)
Mineralization		(2%)	(10)		(=1)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(2,10)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	3	(6%)	1	(6%)		(2%)
Fibrosis, focal		(2%)	L	(0%)	3	(0%)
Focal cellular change		(6%)			2	(6%)
Atrophy, focal	J	(0,0)				
Hyperplasia, epithelial						(6%)
Hyperplasia, intraductal	4	(0,0%)				(2%)
#Liver		(9%)	(50)			(6%)
Abnormal curvature	(50)	(10)	(50)	(10)	(50)	(0 ~ )
		( <b>4%</b> )	2	(4%)		(8%)
Congestion, NOS Homorrhogo		(6%)				(4%)
Hemorrhage Hemorrhagia sust		(4%)			1	(2%)
Hemorrhagic cyst		(4%)				
Inflammation, chronic		(2%)		(100)		
Inflammation, chronic focal	31	(62%)		(40%)	27	(54%)
Inflammation, chronic diffuse		( <b>a a</b> )		(2%)	_	
Peliosis hepatis		(2%)		(2%)		(2%)
Necrosis, focal	2	(4%)		(16%)		(12%)
Pigmentation, NOS				(2%)	1	(2%)
Angiectasis	( <b>- -</b> )			(2%)		
#Liver/hepatocytes	(50)		(50)	( <b>* *</b> + + )	(50)	
Cytoplasmic vacuolization		(34%)		(30%)		(26%)
Basophilic cyto change		(74%)	32	(64%)	38	(76%)
Eosinophilic cyto change		(2%)	_			
Clear cell change		(16%)		(14%)		(12%)
#Bile duct	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Pigmentation, NOS					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	32	(64%)	26	(52%)	36	(72%)
#Pancreas	(50)		(17)		(50)	
Edema, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	7	(14%)	3	(18%)	6	(12%)
#Pancreatic acinus	(50)		(17)		(50)	
Focal cellular change					1	(2%)
Atrophy, focal	19	(38%)	6	(35%)	23	(46%)
Hyperplasia, focal					1	(2%)
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(18)		(50)	
Ulcer, NOS	3	(6%)	4	(22%)	7	(14%)
Inflammation, chronic focal			1	(6%)	2	(4%)
#Forestomach	(50)		(18)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS					1	(2%)
Edema, NOS			4	(22%)		(2%)
Ulcer, NOS	2	(4%)	5	(28%)		(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(4%)		(6%)		(2%)
Fibrosis						(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	31	(62%)	8	(44%)		(50%)
#Colon	(50)		(19)		(50)	
Hemorrhage		(2%)				
Parasitism		(20%)	2	(11%)	10	(20%)
#Cecum	(50)		(19)		(50)	
Mineralization	(			(5%)	(/	
Edema, NOS	1	(2%)		(5%)		
Hemorrhage		(2%)		(11%)		
*Rectum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Parasitism		(2%)	(			(8%)

## TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
JRINARY SYSTEM						. <u></u>
#Kidney	(50)		(38)		(50)	
Mineralization		(18%)		(21%)		(26%)
Cyst, NOS		(4%)	-			(4%)
Congestion, NOS		(2%)				(2%)
Hemorrhage			1	(3%)		
Nephropathy	47	(94%)		(95%)	49	(98%)
Pigmentation, NOS	12	(24%)	10	(26%)	18	(36%)
Hypertrophy, compensatory	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, tubular cell	1	(2%)				
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(38)		(50)	
Degeneration, NOS		(2%)				
Necrosis, focal	4	(8%)	2	(5%)	2	(4%)
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(38)		(50)	
Dilatation, NOS	3	(6%)			2	(4%)
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(3%)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial	2	(4%)			2	(4%)
*Ureter	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation, NOS				(2%)		
#Urinary bladder/submucosa	(47)		(17)		(49)	
Inflammation, chronic focal	2	(4%)				
CNDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Pituitary intermedia	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS		(6%)		(2%)		(8%)
Hyperplasia, focal						(2%)
Angiectasis			1	(2%)		
#Anterior pituitary	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	22	(45%)		(44%)	15	(30%)
Congestion, NOS			1	(2%)		
Hemorrhage					2	(4%)
Pigmentation, NOS						(4%)
Atrophy, NOS					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	19	(39%)	23	(46%)		(46%)
Angiectasis			1	(2%)		
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(22)		(50)	
Mineralization						(2%)
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(5%)		(8%)
Congestion, NOS		(10%)		(23%)		(2%)
Hemorrhage		(2%)				(4%)
Degeneration, NOS		(12%)	4	(18%)	8	(16%)
Necrosis, focal	_		_			(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(5%)		(2%)
Focal cellular change		(22%)		-		(22%)
Atrophy, diffuse						(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	24	(48%)	9	(41%)		(50%)
Angiectasis		(4%)		(5%)		(8%)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(22)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS		(10%)	/			(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal		(4%)				(2%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS		(2%)		(2%)		(2%)
Follicular cyst, NOS		(2%)		(2%)		(4%)
Hemorrhage		(2%)	_		_	
Hyperplasia, C-cell		(58%)	24	(48%)	26	(52%)
#Parathyroid	(46)		(28)		(46)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(4%)		(7%)
<b>#Pancreatic islets</b>	(50)		(17)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal		(2%)				

#### TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Galactocele	()		()			(4%)
Hyperplasia, cystic	40	(80%)	18	(36%)		(82%)
#Clitoral gland	(48)		(45)		(49)	
Cyst, NOS	3	(6%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative	6	(13%)	1	(2%)		(14%)
Inflammation, chronic	2	(4%)			3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic focal			2	(4%)		
Atrophy, NOS	43	(90%)	42	(93%)	48	(98%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)	5	(11%)	6	(12%)
#Uterus	(49)		(28)		(49)	
Hydrometra	17	(35%)	7	(25%)	11	(22%)
Cyst, NOS	4	(8%)	1	(4%)	3	(6%)
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)				
Hypoplasia, NOS			1	(4%)		
Atrophy, focal					1	(2%)
#Cervix uteri	(49)		(28)		(49)	
Dilatation, NOS					1	(2%)
Epidermal inclusion cyst	3	(6%)		(7%)	3	(6%)
Inflammation, suppurative	4	(8%)	2	(7%)	3	(6%)
#Uterus/endometrium	(49)		(28)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(4%)	1	(2%)
#Ovary	(48)		(22)		(49)	
Cyst, NOS	10	(21%)	6	(27%)	5	(10%)
Congestion, NOS	2	(4%)			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)				
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(20)		(49)	
Mineralization	··	(2%)	(/		()	
Congestion, NOS		(,	1	(5%)		
Hyperplasia, NOS				(	1	(2%)
#Brain	(50)		(20)		(49)	(,
Mineralization	,	(2%)	()		(	
Hydrocephalus, NOS	6	(12%)			8	(16%)
Congestion, NOS		(2%)			•	(-0/0/
Hemorrhage		(4%)	1	(5%)	4	(8%)
Gliosis		(2%)		(5%)	-	(0,0)
	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Optic nerve					(00)	
*Optic nerve Atrophy, NOS		(2%)	(00)			
Atrophy, NOS		(2%)				
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)			(50)	
Atrophy, NOS SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber		(2%)	(50)	(2%)	(50)	
Atrophy, NOS SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber Hemorrhage	(50)	(2%)	(50) 1	(2%)		
Atrophy, NOS SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber Hemorrhage *Eye/sclera	(50) (50)		(50) 1 (50)		(50) (50)	
Atrophy, NOS PECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber Hemorrhage *Eye/sclera Mineralization	1 (50) (50) 3	(2%)	(50) 1 (50) 2	(2%) (4%)	(50)	
Atrophy, NOS PECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber Hemorrhage *Eye/sclera Mineralization *Eye/cornea	1 (50) (50) 3 (50)	(6%)	(50) 1 (50)			
Atrophy, NOS PECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber Hemorrhage *Eye/sclera Mineralization *Eye/cornea Vascularization	1 (50) (50) 3 (50) 1		(50) 1 (50) 2 (50)		(50) (50)	
Atrophy, NOS PECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber Hemorrhage *Eye/sclera Mineralization *Eye/cornea Vascularization *Eye/retina	1 (50) (50) 3 (50) 1 (50)	(6%) (2%)	(50) 1 (50) 2 (50) (50)	(4%)	(50) (50) (50)	(10)
Atrophy, NOS SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber Hemorrhage *Eye/sclera Mineralization *Eye/cornea Vascularization *Eye/retina Atrophy, focal	1 (50) (50) 3 (50) 1 (50) 2	(6%) (2%) (4%)	(50) 1 (50) 2 (50) (50) 2	(4%) (4%)	(50) (50) (50) 2	(4%)
Atrophy, NOS PECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber Hemorrhage *Eye/sclera Mineralization *Eye/cornea Vascularization *Eye/retina Atrophy, focal Atrophy, diffuse	1 (50) (50) 3 (50) 1 (50) 2 2 2	(6%) (2%)	(50) 1 (50) 2 (50) (50) 2 2 2	(4%)	(50) (50) (50) 2 2 2	(4%) (4%)
Atrophy, NOS SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber Hemorrhage *Eye/sclera Mineralization *Eye/cornea Vascularization *Eye/retina Atrophy, focal Atrophy, diffuse *Eye/crystalline lens	1 (50) (50) 3 (50) 1 (50) 2 2 2 (50)	(6%) (2%) (4%) (4%)	(50) 1 (50) 2 (50) (50) 2	(4%) (4%)	(50) (50) (50) 2	
Atrophy, NOS SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber Hemorrhage *Eye/sclera Mineralization *Eye/cornea Vascularization *Eye/retina Atrophy, focal Atrophy, diffuse *Eye/crystalline lens Mineralization	1 (50) (50) 3 (50) 1 (50) 2 2 2 (50) 1	(6%) (2%) (4%) (4%) (2%)	(50) 1 (50) 2 (50) (50) 2 2 2 (50)	(4%) (4%) (4%)	(50) (50) (50) 2 2 (50)	(4%)
Atrophy, NOS SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Eye/anterior chamber Hemorrhage *Eye/sclera Mineralization *Eye/cornea Vascularization *Eye/retina Atrophy, focal Atrophy, diffuse *Eye/crystalline lens	1 (50) (50) 3 (50) 1 (50) 2 2 2 (50) 1	(6%) (2%) (4%) (4%)	(50) 1 (50) 2 (50) (50) 2 2 (50)	(4%) (4%)	(50) (50) (50) 2 2 (50)	

### TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THETWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS (Continued)			····· · ·			
*Nasolacrimal duct	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	()		(0.1)			(2%)
Inflammation, acute focal	3	(6%)			3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic focal			1	(2%)		
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Abscess, NOS	1	(=,				
Inflammation, chronic focal	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS					1	(2%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Bone	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrous osteodystrophy	s ,	(2%)	(		(30)	
Osteosclerosis		(12%)				
*Sternum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Osteosclerosis					1	(2%)
BODY CAVITIES						
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	(/	
*Abdominal cavity	(50)	·	(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal			1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Necrosis, fat	10	(20%)	4	(8%)	10	(20%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS	<u> </u>	· · · · ·				<b>- 1</b>
Tail						
Necrosis, diffuse			1			
······································			-			

#### TABLE B5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

#### **APPENDIX C**

# SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

		PAGE
TABLE C1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO- YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	129
TABLE C2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	132
TABLE C3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	138
TABLE C4	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN MALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT	141
TABLE C5	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	142

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

### TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

τ	Intreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	Y 50		50		50	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS		(22%)	<b>‡</b> 7	(14%)	5	(10%)
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic		(2%)				
Fibroma Lipoma	1	(2%)		(2%) (2%)		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	(EA)		(00)		/ <b>F</b> A	
#Lung Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic	(50)		(28)		(50)	(90-)
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	3	(6%)	0	(7%)		(2%) (6%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma		(4%)		(4%)		(2%)
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic		(2%)	-	( = <i>N</i> )	1	
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			<u> </u>			
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated type						(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type		(4%)		(2%)	1	
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type		(10%)		(12%)		(6%)
#Mesenteric lymph node	(48)		(41)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type		(2%)		(2%)		
#Peyer's patch	(46)	(90)	(23)	(10)	(49)	
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	1	(2%)	1	(4%)		
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	(					
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma #Spleen	(49)		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
#Spieen Hemangioma	(47)			(2%)	(50)	
#Heart	(50)		(23)	(4,0)	(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic	()		(_3)		<b>1</b> /	(2%)
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	1	(2%)			-	
#Liver	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangioma			1	(2%)		
Hemangiosarcoma	/= ^		1	(2%)		(4%)
*Mesentery Hemangioma	(50) 1	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	(48)		(00)		(40)	
<b>#Salivary gland</b> Sarcoma, NOS, invasive	(48)		(23)	(4%)	(49)	
#Liver	(49)		(50)	(*270)	(50)	
Hepatocellular adenoma		(18%)		(16%)		(20%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma		(12%)		(16%)		(24%)
Lipoma	•			(2%)		( / )
#Bile duct	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS						(2%)
#Forestomach	(46)		(22)		(49)	
Squamous cell papilloma						(2%)
#Jejunum	(46)		(23)		(49)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)				

	Untreated Control	Low Dose	High Dose
JRINARY SYSTEM			
#Kidney	(50)	(50)	(50)
Tubular cell adenoma			1 (2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
#Adrenal/capsule	(48)	(49)	(50)
Adenoma, NOS	1 (2%)		
#Adrenal medulla	(48)	(49)	(50)
Pheochromocytoma		2 (4%)	
#Thyroid	(48)	(50)	(50)
Follicular cell adenoma	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	
C-cell adenoma	1 (2%)	(22)	
#Pancreatic islets	(48)	(23)	(50)
Islet cell adenoma	2 (4%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
#Testis	(48)	(23)	(49)
Interstitial cell tumor	1 (2%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
#Brain	(47)	(50)	(50)
Meningioma		1 (2%)	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*Harderian gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Adenoma, NOS	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	4 (8%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None		<u> </u>	
BODY CAVITIES			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*Mediastinum	(50)	(50)	(50)
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	1 (2%)		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*Multiple organs	(50)	(50)	(50)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic	• •		1 (2%)
Histiocytic sarcoma		2 (4%)	2 (4%)
Tail			
Osteosarcoma	1		
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	8	11	8
Moribund sacrifice	12	15	16
Terminal sacrifice	30	24	26

#### TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

#### TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreated Control	Low Dose	High Dose
TUMOR SUMMARY			<u></u>
Total animals with primary tumors**	33	34	34
Total primary tumors	52	51	47
Total animals with benign tumors	20	15	17
Total benign tumors	22	20	19
Total animals with malignant tumors	24	28	25
Total malignant tumors	30	31	28
Total animals with secondary tumors##	2	1	3
Total secondary tumors	4	1	3

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. \*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

‡ Multiple occurrence of morphology; tissue is counted only once.

TABLE C2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL	<b>TUMOR PATHOLOG</b>	GY OF MALE MICE IN '	THE TWO-YEAR FEED
	STUDY	OF FUROSEMIDE:	UNTREATED CONTROL	4

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 6	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 0 2	0 3 5	0 4 0	0 2 4	0 2 2	0 2 8	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 9	0 3 3	0 2 7	0 4 3	0 0 8	0 1 7	0 1 1	0 0 1	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 7	0 1 0
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 1	0 1 9	0 7 0	0 7 4	0 7 9	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 7	0 9 7	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 5	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Fibroma	+	+	* x	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	x x	N	N	+ X	+	+	*	*	* X	+	*	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenome Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	•  +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+ X	*	+	* x	+	+ X	+	+
Trachea Nasal cavity	+	++	+ +	+ +	+++	++	++	++	++	++	+ +	+ -	++	+ -	-	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Thymus	·   ++ ++ +	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++++++	+++++	+++	+++++++	+ + + -	++++	++-++-++	++++	++++	-	+ + + -	++++	+ + +	++++	+++ -	+ + + +	++ ++ +	+++	++++	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	+++	+ +	++		++++	++++	+ + X	+++	++	+ +	+++	- +	+++	+ + X	-	+ +	+++	+++	++	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ +
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	+++++++	+ + + +	++++	.+ ++++	+ z + +	++++++	+ ++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	* + + + + +	й -	++++++	++++	++++	+++++	2+2++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++++
Stomach Small intestine Adencearcinoma, NOS Maligmant lymphoma, mixed type Large intestine	++	+	++++	++	++++	++	++++	++	++	+++	++++	-	+++	-	-	++	+++	+++	++	+	++	++++	++	++	++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++	+++		++	++++	- +	+ +	+ + +	++++	++++	+++	+ + +	++++	++++	++++	++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Adenoma, NOS	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	-	+ +	+	-	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	 +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +
Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islats	+++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+-+	++++	++++	++++	++++		++++	++++		++++	++++	+ x -+	++++	+ ~ +	+++	+  +	++++	++++	+
Islet cell adenoma REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM							<b>-</b> ,												_						
Mammary gland Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate	N + +	м + +	х + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + X +	N + +	м + +	м + +	N 	N + +	N + +	N -	N + +	N + +	+++++	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+		_	+	 +	+			 	+	+		+
SFECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
BODY CAVITIES Mediastinum Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Mesentery Hemangioma			N N			N N		N N		N N			Х	N N X	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N		N N	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Tail	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N
Osteosarcoma		_						x												_					

+: Tissue examined microscopically
 -: Required tissue not examined microscopically
 X: Tumor incidence
 N: Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 S: Animal missexed

: No tissue information submitted C: Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B: No necropsy performed

TABLE C2.	INDIVIDUAL A	NIMAL TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	<b>OF MALE</b>	MICE:	UNTREATED	CONTROL
			(Continued	)			

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 8	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 3	0 2 5	0 2 9	0 3 2	0 3 4	0 3 8	0 3 9	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 4	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	0 4 9	0 5 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 7	1 0 7	1 0 7	1 0 7	1 0 7	1 0 7	1 0 7	1 0 7	1 0 7	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*x	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 11 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 3 2 1 48
Nasal cavity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++++++	+ + + +	+++	+ + + +	+++ ++ *X+	+++	++++	49 49 48 1 22
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ + x	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	48 49 9 6
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach	++++++	+ + + + +	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	;+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	+ z + + +	++++	+++++	++++	+ z + + +	+++++	++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + + +	49 *50 48 49 46
Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Large intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ X +	+	* +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+	46 1 1 45
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	++++	++++	++++	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	++++	+++++	+ +	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+		++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	43 48
Adenoma, NOS Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	Х +	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	1 48 2 1
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	 +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+++	- + x	+ +	+ +	- +	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	38 48 2
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	*50 48
Interstitial cell tumor Prostate	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 48
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Mediastinum Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Mesentery Hemangioma	N N		N N	N N	N N	N N	N N				N N			N N			N N	N N	N N		N N			N N		*50 1 *50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Tail	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	*50 2 5
Osteosarcoma																										1

\* Animals necropsied

#### TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE: LOW DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 4	0 4 9	0 4 8	0 4 3	0 4 7	0 0 5	0 3 4	0 1 5	0 2 8	0 0 3	0 0 8	0 2 6	0 3 1	0 3 5	0 5 0	0 2 4	0 0 1	0 2 9	0 3 2	0 3 9	0 1 7	0 3 8	0 1 2	0 1 4	0 3 7
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 2 5	0 3 8	0 5 7	0 5 9	0 6 1	0 7 0	0 7 0	0 7 4	0 7 5	0 7 9	0 8 4	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 7	1 0 3
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Lipoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	N X	N	+	+	+	N X
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- +	+	+	+	+
Nasal cavity HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen	- + +	+ + + +	+++	- + +	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	- + +	- + +	++++	+++	++	+++	- + +	+++	+++	- + +	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++++
Hemangioma Lymph nodes Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Thymus	++	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	× + -	+ -	+ 	+ -	+ -	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ +	- +	-
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Sarcoma, NOS, invasive Liver	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	++	++	-+	- +	+++	++	+ +	- +
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Lipoma Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma							x				x		x	x		x	X	x		x	x		X		
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + +	++++++ +	++++++ +	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ Z + + + + +	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + + + + +	++++	+ N + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	<b>* + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +</b>	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++ +	++-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++-++	+ + + + + +	++++++	++++	++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	++++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	++++++	+ + + +	++++++	+ + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	- - + +	 + + +	+++++++	+++++	++ ++ ++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	 + +		 + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++++	+ + + + + +	++ + +	+ + +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate	N + +	N + +	+++++	N + +	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N - +	N +	N + +	N + +	+++++	N - +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Meningioma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Histiocytic sarcoma Hemangiosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type								x			x				x							x			

#### TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 6	0 0 2	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 3	0 1 8	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 5	0 2 7	0 3 0	0 3 3	0 3 6	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 4	0 4 5	0 4 6	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS						
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneoustissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Lipoma	@*	N	+	+	+	N	N	N	N	N X	N X X X	N X	+	N	N	N	N	N	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	*50 7 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	-	* x	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-		_	-	+	-	-	-	-	_	-	+ X	-	-	-	28 2 1
Trachea Nasal cavity	-	-	+	+ -	+	+	+	+	+ -	_	-	=	_	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+ -	45 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Hemangioma	+++++	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	50 50 1
Lymph nodes Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Thymus	+	* -	+ -	+ 	+ 	+ -	+	+ -	+ 	-	+ -	+	+ -	-	+ +	-	+	_	+ -	+ -	-	+	-	_	+ -	41 1 9
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	-	-	-	-				-		-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	+	-	-	-		-	-	-	23
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Sarcoma, NOS, invasive Liver	+ X +	-+	-+	 +	- +	 +	-+	- +	 +	- +	 +	- + X	- +	-+	-+	-+	 +	-+	+	-+	 +	 +	- +	-+	- +	23 1 50
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Lipoma Hemangioma	x		x	x			X	X				X			X	x							X			8 8 1 1 1
Hemangiosarcoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	++-++	+ N - +	+ z - +	++-+	++-+	+ 1 Z +	++-+	+ + -+	+ + - +	+ N	++	++	+ + -	++	++	++	++	+++-	+ + -	++	+ + 	++	* - -	++	+ + -	50 *50 23 32
Stomach Stall intestine Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Large intestine			-	+	-	-		-						-	-		~ ~ ~	-		-			- + X		-	22 23 1 21
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	++++	+ +	+ + +	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	50 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	++	+ +	++++	+++	++++	++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+ +	45 49 2
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	* -	+ +	+ -	X + +	+ -	+ +	+ 	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ 	+ -	+ -	+	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+	50 1 31
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis	N -	N	N	N	N	N _	N	N	N	N	N	N -	N	N	N	N	N	N	N +	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 23
Prostate NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ 	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+  +	50 
Meningioma				•																						1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Histiocytic sarcoma Hemangiosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	*50 2 1
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type								x							x			x								1 6

\* Animals necropsied

@ Multiple occurrence of morphology

#### TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF FUROSEMIDE: HIGH DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 6	0 3 0	0 2 5	0 2 1	0 2 3	0 3 1	0 3 5	0 0 1	0 3 4	0 2 2	0 1 3	0 1 8	0 4 3	0 2 7	0 3 7	0 0 5	0 1 0	0 3 9	0 1 4	0 0 2	0 3 3	0 2 8	0 4 1	0 4 5	0 0 3
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 3 4	0 3 8	0 5 8	0 6 1	0 6 9	0 7 2	0 7 2	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 6	0 8 1	0 8 1	0 8 4	0 8 8	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 6	0 9 9	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 5
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	*	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Hepatocellular carcnoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+ X	+	+	+	+ X	+	+ x +	+
Nasal cavity	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	++++-	+++-	+ + + +	++++	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + -	+ + + -	++++-	++++-	++++	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+ + + -	+ + + -	++++	+ + + -	+ + + -	++++	++++	+ + + -	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salıvary gland Lıver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcınoma	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ + X X	+ +	+++	- + x	+ +	+ + X
Hemangiosarcoma Bile duct Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	N + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++ +	+++++	++++	+++++ +	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++++ +	N + + + X +	+++++	N + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++	N+++	+++++	+++++	N+++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + + +
Large intestine	-	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	-	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma Urinary bladder	++++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+	++	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	+ +	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Thyroid Parathyroid	- + + +	++++-	++++++	++++-	++++-	+ + + -	++++-	+++++	+++++	++++	+++-	- + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++-	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	- + + +	++++-	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate	- N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardenan gland Adenoma, NOS	- <u>N</u>	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Adenocaronoma, NOS, metastatic Histiocytic sarcoma Malignant lymphoma, urndifferentiated type Malignant lymphoma, imphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N

TABLE C2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF	MALE	MICE:	HIGH I	DOSE
				(Continued	<b>i</b> )				

ANIMAL NUMBER 0 1 9 0 2 0 0 2 4 004 0 0 7 009 0 2 9 12 32 0 6 8 1 1 6 17 4 42 4 4 6 47 49 50 36 4 38 15 TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS WEEKS ON STUDY 1 0 5 0 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM \* Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS \*50 5 + + + + + ÷ + + + ≁ + + + + + + \* X Ν + + + + + + RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma 50 1 3 + Trachea Nasal cavity 50 49 ++ +++ + + +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ ++ + + + + +++ +++ +++ + +++ +++ +++ +++ ++ ++ +++ HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM 50 50 50 20 Bone marrow +++ +++-+++-+++-++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ +++-++++ ++++ +++-+++ ++++ + + + ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ +++ +++4 ++++ ++++ +++-++++ Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus CIRCULATORY SYSTEM 50 1 Heart Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metasta + + + ÷ + + + + ÷ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland + + X 49 50 10 22 50 \*50 50 49 1 49 48 + + + + X + + X ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemangiosarcoma X X X х х x X X + X + + Bile duct + + + bile duct Adenocarcinoma, NOS Galibiadder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach ŧ + + + + + + + +++ + + ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ +++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ +++ +++ Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Large intestine +++ ++ URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma Urinary bladder + + + + + + + + + 50 X 50 + ENDOCRINE SYSTEM 47 50 50 36 Pituitary Adrenal Thyroid Parathyroid +++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ +++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++++ ++ ; + + REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate \*50 49 50 N N N + + N N + + N + + + N + + N + + N + + N + + N + + N + + N + + N + + N + + N + + N + + Ν Ν N N Ν N Ν N +++ + + +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ +++ + NERVOUS SYSTEM + + 50 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + Brain + + + + SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardeman gland Adenoma, NOS N N N N N X X Ν N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N \*50 4 ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Histocytic sarcoma Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type NNNNN Ν **N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N** \*50 1 1 1 3 х X X хX х

\* Animals necropsied

#### TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Sarcoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Cung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation	11/50 (22%) $27.7%$ $5/31 (16%)$ $70$ $P = 0.132N$ $P = 0.081N$ $P = 0.063N$ $12/50 (24%)$ $29.7%$ $5/31 (16%)$ $70$ $P = 0.092N$ $P = 0.038N$ $P = 0.038N$ $3/50 (6%)$ $9.4%$ $2/31 (6%)$	7/50 (14%) 25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P = 0.385N P = 0.221N P = 0.218N 7/50 (14%) 25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P = 0.309N P = 0.140N P = 0.154N (e) 2/28 (7%)	5/50 (10%) 16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P = 0.164N P = 0.097N P = 0.086N 5/50 (10%) 16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P = 0.119N P = 0.061N P = 0.054N 3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Sarcoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Cung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	27.7% 5/31 (16%) 70 P = 0.132N P = 0.081N P = 0.063N 12/50 (24%) 29.7% 5/31 (16%) 70 P = 0.092N P = 0.092N P = 0.048N P = 0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.385N P=0.221N P=0.218N 7/50 (14%) 25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.164N P=0.097N P=0.086N 5/50 (10%) 16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Sarcoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Cung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	27.7% 5/31 (16%) 70 P = 0.132N P = 0.081N P = 0.063N 12/50 (24%) 29.7% 5/31 (16%) 70 P = 0.092N P = 0.092N P = 0.048N P = 0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.385N P=0.221N P=0.218N 7/50 (14%) 25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.164N P=0.097N P=0.086N 5/50 (10%) 16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Sarcoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Cung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	5/31 (16%) 70 P=0.132N P=0.081N P=0.063N 12/50 (24%) 29.7% 5/31 (16%) 70 P=0.092N P=0.048N P=0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.385N P=0.221N P=0.218N 7/50 (14%) 25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.164N P=0.097N P=0.086N 5/50 (10%) 16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Sarcoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	70 P=0.132N P=0.081N P=0.063N 12/50 (24%) 29.7% 5/31 (16%) 70 P=0.092N P=0.048N P=0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	95 P=0.385N P=0.221N P=0.218N 7/50 (14%) 25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	89 P=0.164N P=0.097N P=0.086N 5/50 (10%) 16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Sarcoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	P = 0.132N $P = 0.081N$ $P = 0.063N$ $12/50 (24%)$ $29.7%$ $5/31 (16%)$ $70$ $P = 0.092N$ $P = 0.048N$ $P = 0.038N$ $3/50 (6%)$ $9.4%$	P=0.385N P=0.221N P=0.218N 7/50 (14%) 25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	P=0.164N P=0.097N P=0.086N 5/50 (10%) 16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Sarcoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Cung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	P = 0.081N $P = 0.063N$ $12/50 (24%)$ $29.7%$ $5/31 (16%)$ $70$ $P = 0.092N$ $P = 0.048N$ $P = 0.038N$ $3/50 (6%)$ $9.4%$	P=0.221N P=0.218N 7/50 (14%) 25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	P=0.097N P=0.086N 5/50 (10%) 16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Sarcoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	P = 0.063N $12/50 (24%)$ $29.7%$ $5/31 (16%)$ $70$ $P = 0.092N$ $P = 0.048N$ $P = 0.038N$ $3/50 (6%)$ $9.4%$	P=0.218N 7/50 (14%) 25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	P=0.086N 5/50 (10%) 16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Fisher Exact Test (d) Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Sarcoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Cung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	12/50 (24%) 29.7% 5/31 (16%) 70 P=0.092N P=0.048N P=0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	7/50 (14%) 25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P = 0.309N P = 0.140N P = 0.154N	5/50 (10%) 16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) <b>Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma</b> Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	29.7% 5/31 (16%) 70 P=0.092N P=0.048N P=0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) <b>Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma</b> Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	29.7% 5/31 (16%) 70 P=0.092N P=0.048N P=0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) <b>Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma</b> Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	29.7% 5/31 (16%) 70 P=0.092N P=0.048N P=0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	25.6% 4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	16.5% 2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) <b>Cung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma</b> Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	5/31 (16%) 70 P=0.092N P=0.048N P=0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	4/24 (17%) 95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	2/26 (8%) 89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) <b>Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma</b> Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	70 P=0.092N P=0.048N P=0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	95 P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	89 P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Cung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	P=0.092N P=0.048N P=0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	P=0.309N P=0.140N P=0.154N	P=0.119N P=0.061N P=0.054N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) <b>Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma</b> Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	P=0.048N P=0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	P = 0.140N P = 0.154N	P = 0.061N P = 0.054N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d) Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	P=0.038N 3/50 (6%) 9.4%	P=0.154N	P=0.054N
Fisher Exact Test (d) <b>Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma</b> Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	3/50 (6%) 9.4%		
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	9.4%		
Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	9.4%	(e) 2/28 (7%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	9.4%	(e) 2/28 (7%)	3/50 (696)
Terminal Rates (c)			
	2/31 (6%)		9.6%
Week of First Observation			0/26 (0%)
	104		89
Life Table Test (d)			P = 0.598
Incidental Tumor Test (d)			P = 0.629
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.661
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Car	cinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	(e) 3/28 (11%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.2%		12.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/31 (10%)		0/26 (0%)
Week of First Observation	103		89
Life Table Test (d)			P = 0.582N
Incidental Tumor Test (d)			P = 0.555N
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.500 N
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymphoma Overall Rates (a)	a, Mixed Type 7/50 (14%)	8/50 (16%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	21.3%	25.4%	11.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/31 (19%)	$\frac{23.4\%}{4/24}$ (17%)	3/26 (12%)
Week of First Observation	88	4/24 (1770) 74	105
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.229N	P = 0.337	P = 0.233N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.225 N P = 0.185 N	P = 0.337 P = 0.405	P = 0.232N P = 0.232N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.185 N P = 0.141 N	1 - 0.400	1 -0.2021
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r -0.1411N	P = 0.500	P=0.159N
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malig	mont		
Overall Rates (a)	9/50 (18%)	9/50 (18%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	25.5%	29.1%	19.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/31 (19%)	5/24 (21%)	5/26 (19%)
Week of First Observation	88	5/24 (21%) 74	105
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.278N	P = 0.426	P = 0.298N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.278 N P = 0.223 N	P = 0.426 P = 0.555	P = 0.298 N P = 0.282 N
		F = 0.000	r = 0.2021
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.166N	P = 0.602	P = 0.194N

## TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF<br/>FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm
Circulatory System: Hemangioma or Her	nangiosarcoma		<u></u>
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.7%	12.3%	7.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/31 (0%)	1/24 (4%)	2/26 (8%)
Week of First Observation	93	91	105
Life Table Tests (d)		P = 0.153	P = 0.443
	P = 0.345		
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.358	P = 0.190	P = 0.459
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.406		-
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.181	P = 0.500
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	9/49 (18%)	8/50 (16%)	10/50 (20%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	29.0%	29.6%	29.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	9/31 (29%)	6/24 (25%)	5/26 (19%)
Week of First Observation	105	91	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.301	P = 0.495	P = 0.350
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.399	P = 0.533	P = 0.510
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.467	2 0.000	- 0.010
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.407	P = 0.482N	P = 0.520
risher Dader 1680 (u)		r - 0.40211	r <del>-</del> 0.320
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma	040 (10%)	0/50 (10%)	10/20 /04/25
Overall Rates (a)	6/49 (12%)	8/50 (16%)	12/50 (24%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	16.0%	22.6%	35.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/31 (10%)	2/24 (8%)	6/26 (23%)
Week of First Observation	74	70	81
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.049	P = 0.288	P = 0.060
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.069	P = 0.552	P = 0.085
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.079		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.403	P = 0.104
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carci	noma		
Overall Rates (a)	15/49 (31%)	16/50 (32%)	20/50 (40%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	43.0%	47.2%	53.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	12/31 (39%)	8/24 (33%)	10/26 (38%)
Week of First Observation	74	70	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.083	P = 0.262	P = 0.096
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.145	P = 0.476	P = 0.190
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.189		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.527	P = 0.222
Harderian Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3.2%	8.3%	13.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	2/24 (8%)	2/26 (8%)
Week of First Observation	105	105	90
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.091	P = 0.410	P = 0.140
	P = 0.091 P = 0.091		
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)		P = 0.410	P = 0.146
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.118	D 0 500	<b>D</b> 0 1 0 1
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.181
All Sites: Benign Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	20/50 (40%)	15/50 (30%)	17/50 (34%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	55.2%	50.3%	47.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	15/31 (48%)	10/24 (42%)	8/26 (31%)
Week of First Observation	87	74	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.530N	P = 0.501 N	P=0.571N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.388N	P = 0.361 N P = 0.261 N	P = 0.418N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)		F - 0.20111	1 -0.41014
	P = 0.300N	B-0.00137	D-0 940N
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.201 N	P = 0.340N

#### TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF **FUROSEMIDE** (Continued)

	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm
All Sites: Malignant Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	24/50 (48%)	28/50 (56%)	25/50 (50%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	54.7%	72.7%	67.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	12/31 (39%)	14/24 (58%)	14/26 (54%)
Week of First Observation	70	70	81
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.231	P = 0.104	P = 0.266
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.311	P = 0.284	P = 0.346
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.460		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.274	P = 0.500
All Sites: All Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	33/50 (66%)	34/50 (68%)	34/50 (68%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	74.5%	84.6%	84.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	20/31 (65%)	18/24 (75%)	20/26 (77%)
Week of First Observation	70	70	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.172	P = 0.146	P = 0.198
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.260	P = 0.422	P = 0.333
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.458		- 5.000
Fisher Exact Test (d)	2 = 0.400	P = 0.500	P = 0.500

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site
(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tu-mors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test re-gards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

(e) Incomplete sampling of tissues

# TABLE C4. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN MALE B6C3F<sub>1</sub> MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

		Incidence in Controls				
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma			
No 2-year studies by SRI 1	nternational are included in the hist	torical data base.				
Overall Historical Inci	dence					
TOTAL SD (b)	259/2,032 (12.7%) 7.21%	379/2,032 (18.7%) 6.50%	609/2,032 (30.0%) 7.59%			
Range (c) High	22/50	15/50	29/50			

(a) Data as of April 29, 1987, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Standard deviation
(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

## TABLE C5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose	
50		50		50		
LLY 50		50		50		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>					
		(50)		(50)		
2	(4%)		(	1	(2%)	
•	(90)			0	(10)	
		+ 4	(8%)	Z	(4%)	
1	(270)	1	(29)	1	(2%)	
(50)			(270)		(270)	
(50)		(50)			(4%)	
1	(2%)	4	(8%)		(4%) (4%)	
+		-			(4%) (2%)	
1	(2%)			-		
		3	(6%)	2	(4%)	
				-	(14%)	
		-	· · ·	·		
<u> </u>						
(47)		(1)		(49)		
4	(9%)				(4%)	
				1	(2%)	
				(50)		
6	(12%)	13	(46%)		(22%)	
					(4%)	
					(10%)	
	. ,	4	(14%)	2	(4%)	
1	(2%)				(1	
•	(100)	0	(110)		(4%)	
		3	(11%)	5	(10%)	
T	(270)	•	(10)			
2	(69)		• •	9	$(A\alpha)$	
					(4%) (8%)	
	(070)		(170)		(070)	
(50)		(50)		(50)		
	(2%)		(2%)	(00)		
		4	(a /v /			
	<u></u> ,	(50)		(50)		
	(24%)		(40%)		(38%)	
		(50)		(50)		
				1	(2%)	
					(2%)	
					(2%)	
				1	(2%)	
1	(2%)					
				1	(2%)	
				-	(0~)	
		00	(4.4.00)		(2%)	
			(44%)		(54%)	
(48)		(41)			(901)	
	(90)		(99)	1	(2%)	
1	(270)	1	(270)			
	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 1\\ (50)\\ 2\\ 1\\ (50)\\ 1\\ 1\\ (50)\\ 1\\ 1\\ (50)\\ 1\\ (47)\\ 2\\ 4\\ (50)\\ 6\\ 6\\ 6\\ 5\\ 1\\ 9\\ 1\\ 3\\ 4\\ (50)\\ 6\\ 6\\ 5\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ (49)\\ 12\\ (49)\\ 12\\ (49)\\ 12\\ (49)\\ 12\\ (48)\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 2\\ 15\\ (48)\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 2 & (4\%)\\ 1 & (2\%)\\ 1 & (2\%)\\ 1 & (2\%)\\ (50)\\ 1 & (2\%)\\ (50)\\ 1 & (2\%)\\ 2 & (4\%)\\ 1 & (2\%)\\ 2 & (4\%)\\ 1 & (2\%)\\ 2 & (4\%)\\ 1 & (2\%)\\ (50)\\ 6 & (12\%)\\ 6 & (12\%)\\ 6 & (12\%)\\ 6 & (12\%)\\ 5 & (10\%)\\ 1 & (2\%)\\ 9 & (18\%)\\ 1 & (2\%)\\ 9 & (18\%)\\ 1 & (2\%)\\ 3 & (6\%)\\ 4 & (8\%)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
-----------------------------	---------	------------	------	------------------	------	--------
EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
#Lymph node (Continued)	(48)		(41)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, plasma cell				(2%)		(6%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
#Mandibular lymph node	(48)		(41)		(50)	
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
#Thoracic lymph node	(48)		(41)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute focal					1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hematopoiesis	1	(2%)				
#Abdominal lymph node	(48)		(41)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, plasma cell				(2%)		
#Hepatic lymph node	(48)		(41)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid				(2%)	(,	
#Pancreatic lymph node	(48)		(41)	,	(50)	
Angiectasis						(2%)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid						(2%)
#Lumbar lymph node	(48)		(41)		(50)	, ,
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	()			(2%)		(2%)
#Mesenteric lymph node	(48)		(41)	(2.0)	(50)	(
Cyst, NOS	()			(2%)	(00)	
Congestion, NOS	3	(6%)		(12%)	5	(10%)
Edema, NOS	•		v	(12,0)		(2%)
Hemorrhage	9	(19%)	11	(27%)		(22%)
Hemorrhagic cyst		(2%)	••	(21 %)	**	
Inflammation, suppurative	•	(270)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute focal	9	(4%)	1	(2%)		(6%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	4	(4,0)		(2%)		(4%)
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)	1	(270)	4	(4270)
		• •	•	(990)		(1401)
Angiectasis	1	(15%)		(22%)	7	(14%)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	•	(10)		(2%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(4%)		(12%)		(4%)
Hematopoiesis		(19%)		(20%)		(18%)
#Renal lymph node	(48)		(41)	(20)	(50)	
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	(10)			(5%)		
#Sacral lymph node	(48)		(41)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid						(2%)
#Brachial lymph node	(48)		(41)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, plasma cell			1	<b>N</b> = 1 + 2		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid				(2%)		(2%)
#Inguinal lymph node	(48)		(41)	( <b>T M</b> )	(50)	
Edema, NOS				(5%)		
Histiocytosis		(0)		(2%)		(
Hyperplasia, plasma cell		(2%)		(12%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(2%)		(5%)	(20)	
#Lung	(50)		(28)		(50)	(19)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid						(4%)
Hematopoiesis			-			(2%)
#Liver	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Mastocytosis		(2%)	-	(100)	•	(10~)
Hematopoiesis		(8%)		(18%)		(16%)
#Peyer's patch	(46)		(23)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(2%)				(6%)
#Adrenal cortex	(48)		(49)	(0~)	(50)	
Hematopoiesis				(2%)		
#Thymus	(22)		(9)		(20)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(5%)				(10%)
Necrosis, focal						(5%)
Involution, NOS	4	(18%)	4	(44%)	4	(20%)

# TABLE C5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
IRCULATORY SYSTEM						
*Lymphatics/mammary gland Cyst, NOS	(50) 1	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
#Heart	(50)	(=,	(23)		(50)	
Mineralization	(,			(4%)	(	
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	28	(56%)		(26%)	29	(58%)
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)	3	(13%)	2	(4%)
#Endocardium	(50)		(23)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal				(4%)		
*Coronary artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hypertrophy, focal				(2%)		
*Pulmonary artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(2%)				
IGESTIVE SYSTEM		······				
*Palate	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic focal	(3•)		(			(2%)
*Tooth	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dysplasia, NOS		(2%)				
#Salivary gland	(48)		(23)		(49)	
Mineralization				(4%)	1	(2%)
Hemorrhage			-	(4%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal	14	(29%)	6	(26%)	8	(16%)
#Liver	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization				(4%)		
Cyst, NOS				(2%)		
Congestion, NOS		(0)	1	(2%)		
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	•	(90)		
Inflammation, suppurative	14	(200)		(2%)	1.4	(990)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(29%) (12%)		(22%) (12%)		(28%) (8%)
Necrosis, focal Infarct, NOS		(4%)		(12%) (2%)	*	(0%)
Pigmentation, NOS		(4%)		(4%)	1	(2%)
#Liver/hepatocytes	(49)	• •	(50)	(4,0)	(50)	(2,0)
Nuclear shape alteration		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Cytoplasmic vacuolization		(2%)				
Basophilic cyto change	-	(=,0)	1	(2%)		
Clear cell change	1	(2%)	-	(=,+,		
*Gallbladder	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)	••••			
Inflammation, chronic focal	4	(8%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Pancreas	(48)		(23)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS			1	(4%)		
Hemorrhage			-	(1~)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative		(00 %)		(4%)	. –	
Inflammation, chronic focal		(29%)		(17%)		(34%)
#Pancreatic acinus	(48)	(1~)	(23)		(50)	(07)
Basophilic cyto change	2	(4%)		(10)	1	(2%)
Eosinophilic cyto change		(00)		(4%)		
Atrophy, focal		(2%)		(4%)	180	
#Esophagus	(49)		(32)	(90)	(50)	
Hemorrhage		(90)	1	(3%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%)	(00)		(40)	
#Glandular stomach Cyst. NOS	(46)		(22)		(49)	
		(4%) (2%)		(59)		
Ulcer, NOS Inflammation, acute focal	_	(2%)	1	(5%)		
Inflammation, acute local Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%)				
Necrosis, focal	1	(270)	1	(5%)		
Atrophy, focal		(9%)	1			(8%)

# TABLE C5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
GESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Forestomach	(46)		(22)		(49)	
Ulcer, NOS	(			(5%)	(	
Inflammation, acute focal				(5%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(2%)		(5%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	2	(4%)	4	(18%)		(2%)
#Small intestine	(46)		(23)	• • •	(49)	
Congestion, NOS			1	(4%)	• •	
#Peyer's patch	(46)		(23)		(49)	
Necrosis, focal			1	(4%)	1	(2%)
#Large intestine	(45)		(21)		(48)	
Pigmentation, NOS			1	(5%)		
#Colon	(45)	•	(21)		(48)	
Parasitism	1	(2%)				
#Cecum	(45)		(21)		(48)	
Hemorrhage			1	(5%)		
RINARY SYSTEM		<u> </u>				
#Urinary bladder/cavity	(48)		(50)		(50)	
Calculus, gross observation only	(40)		(00)			(2%)
Dilatation, NOS			5	(10%)		(32%)
#Kidney	(50)		(50)	(10,2)	(50)	(02.0)
Mineralization	• •	(18%)	• •	(4%)		(14%)
Cyst, NOS		(4%)		(6%)		(16%)
Congestion, NOS		(2%)	-	(0,0)	•	(
Inflammation, suppurative	-	(2.07)	11	(22%)	14	(28%)
Abscess, NOS				(2%)	4	(8%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	20	(40%)		(34%)		(30%)
Fibrosis		. – – – – ,		(2%)		(4%)
Nephropathy	24	(48%)		(88%)		(98%)
Necrosis, focal			2	(4%)		(2%)
Infarct, NOS				(4%)		
Infarct, focal				(2%)	1	(2%)
Atrophy, diffuse				(2%)		(=,
Hyperplasia, tubular cell					2	(4%)
Metaplasia, osseous	1	(2%)			_	(,
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Degeneration, NOS		(4%)	(		1	(4%)
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(50)		(50)	,
Dilatation, NOS	1	(2%)		(26%)	· /	(84%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	-			(10%)		(6%)
*Ureter	(50)		(50)		(50)	• /
Inflammation, suppurative	,			(2%)		
Inflammation, acute focal					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal						(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial						(2%)
#Urinary bladder	(48)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic focal	()			(2%)	(23)	
#Urinary bladder/mucosa	(48)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)				(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial		(2%)	7	(14%)		(16%)
#Urinary bladder/submucosa	(48)		(50)		(50)	,
Edema, NOS	,,			(4%)	(2.3)	
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)		(2%)		
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)	-			
Inflammation, chronic focal		(33%)	21	(42%)	31	(62%)
*Urethra	(50)		(50)	· · · ·	(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative	,,			(4%)	(	
Hyperplasia, epithelial				(2%)		

# TABLE C5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
JDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Anterior pituitary	(43)		(45)		(47)	
Cyst, NOS	5	(12%)	3	(7%)	• •	(6%)
Hyperplasia, focal	6	(14%)	2	(4%)		(4%)
#Adrenal	(48)		(49)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS						(2%)
#Adrenal/capsule	(48)		(49)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal	41	(85%)	38	(78%)	38	(76%)
#Adrenal cortex	(48)		(49)		(50)	
Degeneration, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Necrosis, focal					1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, focal	12	(25%)	11	(22%)	7	(14%)
#Adrenal medulla	(48)		(49)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	6	(13%)	7	(14%)		(14%)
#Thyroid	(48)		(50)		(50)	. ,
Cyst, NOS	· - /		(			(2%)
Follicular cyst, NOS	14	(29%)	8	(16%)		(30%)
Inflammation, chronic focal				(4%)		(6%)
Necrosis, focal			-	(-)-)		(2%)
Hyperplasia, C-cell						(2%)
#Parathyroid	(38)		(31)		(36)	(2 /0)
Cyst, NOS		(11%)	(01)		(00)	
Crystals, NOS		(3%)				
Hyperplasia, NOS		(3%)			1	(3%)
#Pancreatic islets	(48)	(0,0)	(23)		(50)	(0%)
Eosinophilic cyto change		(2%)	(23)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal		(2%)				
Tiyper plasta, tocat	1	(270)				
CPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Penis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage		(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Inflammation, chronic focal		(4%)				
*Prepuce	(50)	(4,0)	(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS			(00)			(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
*Preputial gland	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
		(10)		(90)	(50)	
Cyst, NOS		(4%)	1	(2%)		(0 ~ )
Inflammation, suppurative		(6%)		(90)	4	(8%)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(6%)	1	(2%)	•	(00)
Atrophy, NOS		(2%)	(50)		3	(6%)
#Prostate	(48)		(50)		(50)	(00)
Cyst, NOS					1	
Hemorrhage	~	(40)		(00)		(6%)
Inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)	4	(8%)		(18%)
Inflammation, acute focal	-	(100)	-	(4.0~)		(4%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	9	(19%)	9	(18%)		(14%)
Adhesion, NOS		(				(2%)
		(6%)	3	(6%)		(8%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial					(50)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle	(50)		(50)	(1 <b>a</b> a)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle Dilatation, NOS	(50)	(10%)		(12%)	4	(8%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle Dilatation, NOS Congestion, NOS	(50) 5	(10%)		(12%)	4	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle Dilatation, NOS Congestion, NOS Inflammation, suppurative	(50) 5		6		4	
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle Dilatation, NOS Congestion, NOS Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, chronic focal	(50) 5	(10%)	6	(12%) (4%)	4 1 2	(2%) (4%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle Dilatation, NOS Congestion, NOS Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, chronic focal Atrophy, NOS	(50) 5 1	(10%) (2%)	6 2		4 1 2 2	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle Dilatation, NOS Congestion, NOS Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, chronic focal Atrophy, NOS #Testis	(50) 5 1 (48)	(10%) (2%)	6 2 (23)	(4%)	4 1 2 (49)	(2%) (4%) (4%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle Dilatation, NOS Congestion, NOS Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, chronic focal Atrophy, NOS	(50) 5 1 (48)	(10%) (2%)	6 2 (23) 1	(4%) (4%)	4 1 2 (49)	(2%) (4%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle Dilatation, NOS Congestion, NOS Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, chronic focal Atrophy, NOS #Testis	(50) 5 1 (48)	(10%) (2%)	6 2 (23) 1	(4%)	4 1 2 (49)	(2%) (4%) (4%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle Dilatation, NOS Congestion, NOS Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, chronic focal Atrophy, NOS #Testis Mineralization	(50) 5 1 (48) 5	(10%) (2%) (10%)	6 2 (23) 1	(4%) (4%)	4 1 2 (49) 2	(2%) (4%) (4%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle Dilatation, NOS Congestion, NOS Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, chronic focal Atrophy, NOS #Testis Mineralization Spermatocele	(50) 5 1 (48) 5	(10%) (2%)	6 2 (23) 1	(4%) (4%)	4 1 2 (49) 2	(2%) (4%) (4%) (4%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial *Seminal vesicle Dilatation, NOS Congestion, NOS Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, chronic focal Atrophy, NOS #Testis Mineralization Spermatocele Cyst, NOS	(50) 5 1 (48) 5	(10%) (2%) (10%)	6 2 (23) 1	(4%) (4%)	4 1 2 (49) 2	(2%) (4%) (4%) (4%)

# TABLE C5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	······				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
#Testis (Continued)	(48)		(23)		(49)	
Necrosis, focal	, .,	(2%)	(10)		(10)	
Atrophy, focal		(6%)				
Atrophy, diffuse		(4%)				
*Epididymis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Spermatocele	••••			(4%)	(	
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
VERVOUS SYSTEM	······································	···· <u>······</u> ······				
#Brain/meninges	(47)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS				(2%)	(,	
Hemorrhage			-	(=)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(2%)				(4%)
Pigmentation, NOS	-	*				(2%)
#Brain	(47)		(50)		(50)	<u>,                                    </u>
Mineralization		(57%)	1/	(40%)		(38%)
Hydrocephalus, NOS		(6%)		(4%)	-0	(00/0)
Congestion, NOS	v			(2%)		
Hemorrhage				(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(2%)	1	~- ~~ /	1	(= /0)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				<u></u>		
*Eve	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Phthisis bulbi	(0.07)		(00)			(2%)
*Eye/cornea	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2,0)
Inflammation, chronic focal	(00)			(2%)	(00)	
*Eye/retina	(50)		(50)	(= ,0)	(50)	
Atrophy, diffuse	(00)		(00)			(2%)
*Nasolacrimal duct	(50)		(50)		(50)	(270)
Inflammation, acute focal		(4%)	(00)		(00)	
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM		<u> </u>				
*Bone	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrous osteodystrophy					2	(4%)
*Skeletal muscle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)			-	
Inflammation, chronic focal					1	(2%)
BODY CAVITIES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage					2	(4%)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%)				
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hemorrhage						(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative		(4%)		(6%)		(8%)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(4%)	1	(2%)		(2%)
Necrosis, fat	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
*Pleural cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative				(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(2%)				
*Pericardium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic suppurative				(2%)		
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Angiectasis	(00)			(2%)	(00)	

# TABLE C5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

#### TABLE C5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreated Control	Low Dose	High Dose
BODY CAVITIES (Continued)			····
*Tunica vaginalis	(50)	(50)	(50)
Adhesion, NOS		1 (2%)	
Necrosis, fat			1 (2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*Multiple organs	(50)	(50)	(50)
Inflammation, suppurative		2 (4%)	2 (4%)
Tail			
Edema, NOS		1	
Knee			
Osteosclerosis		1	

Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
 Multiple occurrence of morphology in the same organ; tissue is counted only once.

#### **APPENDIX D**

# SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

PAGE TABLE D1 SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE 151 TABLE D2 INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE 154 TABLE D3 ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED 160 STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE **TABLE D4a** HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE B6C3F1 MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT 164 TABLE D4b HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN FEMALE B6C3F1 MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT 164 TABLE D4c HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN FEMALE B6C3F1 MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT 165 SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE TABLE D5 166

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

#### TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

U	ntreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	vv	50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		48	
NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY			49		48	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Sarcoma, NOS	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)		(47)		(47)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic			1	(2%)		
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic		(97)	0	(10)		(2%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma		(2%) (4%)	z	(4%)	3	(6%)
Acinar cell carcinoma, metastatic	2	(**70)	1	(2%)		
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic				(2%) (2%)	1	(2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)	(90)	(48)	
Malignant lymphoma, NOS			1	(2%)		(00)
Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated type Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	•	(60)	4	(00)		(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, nixed type		(6%) (26%)		(8%) (12%)		(4%) (33%)
#Spleen	(50)	(20%)	(49)	(1270)	(47)	(33%)
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	(00)		,	(2%)		(2%)
#Lymph node	(50)		(48)	(270)	(47)	(270)
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	(00)			(2%)	(41)	
#Thoracic lymph node	(50)		(48)	()	(47)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic			1	(2%)		
#Peyer's patch	(47)		(46)		(45)	
Malignant lymphoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Hemangiosarcoma	/= •					(2%)
#Spleen	(50)		(49)	(90)	(47)	
Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma			1	(2%) (2%)		
#Heart	(50)		(48)	(2%)	(47)	
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	(00)			(2%)	(47)	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(47)	
Hepatocellular adenoma		(10%)		(8%)		(2%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	1	(2%)	T.			(9%)
#Forestomach	(47)	(2,0)	(46)		(46)	
Squamous cell papilloma	,			(4%)	(	
Squamous cell carcinoma	1	(2%)	-	. = . = .		
#Duodenum	(47)		(46)		(45)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS		(2%)	(		(	
#Jejunum	(47)	-	(46)		(45)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS					1	(2%)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
JRINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(49)		(47)	
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic			1	(2%)	<b>x</b> = - <b>x</b>	
INDOCRINE SYSTEM	······			<u> </u>	<u></u>	
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(46)		(45)	
Carcinoma, NOS						(2%)
Adenoma, NOS		(20%)		(11%)		(16%)
#Adrenal	(49)		(49)		(47)	(0
Cortical adenoma	(40)		(40)			(2%)
#Adrenal/capsule Carcinoma, NOS	(49)		(49)		(47)	(901)
#Adrenal medulla	(49)		(49)		(47)	(2%)
Pheochromocytoma		(4%)		(4%)		(4%)
#Thyroid	(50)	(470)	(47)	(4,0)	(47)	(470)
Follicular cell adenoma	(00)			(9%)	• •	(6%)
Follicular cell carcinoma	2	(4%)	-			(2%)
#Pancreatic islets	(49)		(48)		(46)	
Islet cell adenoma					• •	(2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Acinar cell carcinoma	(00)			(2%)	(40)	
Mixed tumor, malignant				(2%)	5	(10%)
#Uterus	(50)		(47)		(47)	()
Endometrial stromal polyp	4	(8%)				
#Uterus/endometrium	(50)		(47)		(47)	
Carcinoma, NOS						(2%)
#Ovary	(50)	(07)	(47)		(47)	
Granulosa cell tumor Mixed tumor, benign		(2%) (2%)				
Teratoma, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
NERVOUS SYSTEM				- <u>-</u>		
#Brain	(48)		(46)		(47)	
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	(40)		(40)			(2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				*·*	<u></u>	
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Adenoma, NOS	4	(8%)		(4%)		(2%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	<u> </u>	·····			<del></del>	
*Bone	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Sarcoma, NOS					1	(2%)
*Rib	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Osteoma	1	(2%)				
BODY CAVITIES						
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Follicular cell carcinoma, metastatic					1	(2%)
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)	_	(48)	
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	( <b>1</b> )			(2%)		
*Pelvis Sarcoma, NOS	(50)		(50)		(48)	(2%)
					1	(796)

# TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

TABLE D1.	SUMMARY OF	THE INCIDENCE	$\mathbf{OF}$	NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
		FEED STUDY	OF	FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreated Control	Low Dose	High Dose
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS	<u> </u>		
*Multiple organs	(50)	(50)	(48)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic	1 (2%)		
Histiocytic sarcoma	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	7	9	19
Moribund sacrifice	11	12	13
Terminal sacrifice	32	29	17
Accidentally killed, NOS			1
TUMOR SUMMARY	<u> </u>		
Total animals with primary tumors**	34	35	39
Total primary tumors	54	44	57
Total animals with benign tumors	23	19	16
Total benign tumors	28	22	19
Total animals with malignant tumors	21	19	34
Total malignant tumors	25	21	38
Total animals with secondary tumors##	1	4	4
Total secondary tumors	1	8	4
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
benign or malignant	1	1	
Total uncertain tumors	1	1	

Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 \*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
 ## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

# TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE: UNTREATED CONTROL

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 1	0 1 6	0 0 3	0 0 8	0 4 7	0 5 0	0 2 3	0 1 9	0 2 1	0 3 9	0 3 4	0 4 4	0 3 0	0 4 0	0 3 5	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 2	0 1 3
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 3	0 6 5	0 6 8	0 7 4	0 7 4	0 7 9	0 8 3	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 7	0 9 8	1 0 0	1 0 2	1 0 5	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	N	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+
Trachea Nasal cavity	++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+ +	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++	++++-	+++-	++++++	-+++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++-	++++	+++-	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++-	++++	+++-	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	- +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	+++++	+ + + + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	++-+	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	+ ++ ++	++++	+++++
Stomach Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Large intestine	++++++	+++++	++++++	+++++		+++++	-	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	++++++	++++	+++++	++++++	++++++	+++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	* x	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell carcinoma	++++	+ +	+ +	+ x +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ x +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + x	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
Parathyroid	_	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp	N +	+ +	+ +	+ +	N +	+ +	N +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
Ovary Granulosa cell tumor Mixed tumor, benign	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	-	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	 +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Histiocytic sarcoma Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	x						x		x		x			x	x		x		x	x	x				

Tissue examined microscopically

 Required tissue not examined microscopically
 Tumor incidence
 Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 Animal missexed

: No tissue information submitted C: Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B: No necropsy performed

TABLE D2.	INDIVIDUAL .	ANIMAL TUMO	<b>R</b> PATHOLOGY	OF FEMALI	E MICE:	UNTREATED CONTROL	L
			(Continue	d)			

				- 01	ă.	0	0	<u> </u>		- 21	- 01-			- 61	ÓT	-01-			- AT	0		- 01	- 01	0		
ANIMAL NUMBER	14	1 5	1 7	18	2	22	24	2	26	2 7	28	2 9	3 1	32	3	3 6	3 7	38	4 3	<b>4</b> 1	4	4	4 6	4	4 9	TOTAL:
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 7	1 0 7	1 0 7	1 0 7	1 0 7	1 0 7	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 2
Trachea Nasal cavity	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	τ + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	4 + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen	++++	+++	++++	++++	+	+++	++++	++++	++++	+	+	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++	 + +	++++	+++	+++	49 50
Lymph nodes Thymus	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+	50 38
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	++	++++	+++	+ +	++++	++	+++	+ + x	++++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	49 50 5
Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gailbladder & common bile duct Pancreas	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ z +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	++++	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	1 50 *50 49
Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell carcinoma Small intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+	50 47 1 47
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Large intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	× +	+	+	+	~	1 47
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	++	+++	+++	++	++++	+++	+++	++++++	++++	++++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	50 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenai	+++	++	++	++	* *	++	+ X +	+++	+++	+ x +	+++	+++	++	++	++	+	+++	+ +	* *	+++	++	+ +	* *	+++	* *	50 10 49
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell carcinoma Parathyroid	+++	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	* *	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ 	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	2 50 2 37
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp	++++	+ +	++++	+ +	+++	+++	++++	+ +	++	+ + X	+ + x	+ +	+ +	+++	+ + x	+ +	++++	+++	++++	+ +	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	*50 50 4
Granulosa cell tumor Mixed tumor, benign	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metast. Histiocytic sarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 I 1
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type			x	x		x		x		x						x	X								_	3 13

\* Animals necropsied

# TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF FUROSEMIDE: LOW DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 8	0 5 0	0 2 7	0 2 3	0 1 0	0 1 3	0 3 1	0 0 8	0 4 0	0 4 6	0 1 8	0 4 7	0 2 6	0 0 9	0 2 9	0 0 3	0 1 6	0 1 9	0 4 1	0 0 1	0 2 0	0 0 2	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 6
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 3 7	0 6 6	0 6 8	0 7 1	0 7 4	0 8 1	0 8 1	0 8 6	0 8 6	0 8 6	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 3	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 2	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS		+	• +	N	+	N	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	* x	+	* x	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Acinar cell carcinoma, metastatic Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	-  +	+	× x	A	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X		+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+
Trachea Nasal cavity	+++	+	• +	A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+ +	+ +	++	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	++	-	++	++	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	+++	++	++	A A		++++	+ +	+++	++	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ + X	+ +	- +	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	++++	++++
Lymph nodes Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Thymus	+	+	* *	A	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	-  +	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver	-     + +	+	++	A A	+++	+++	++++	+++	- +	+	+ +	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	 +	+++	+++	++++	++	+++	 + +	++++
Hepatocellular adenoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	+ X + + +	++++	++++	A N A A	++++	++++	X + + + +	++++	++++	++	+ N + + +	X + + + + +	+ N + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	++++	+ N + -	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	++++
Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Malignant lymphoma, NOS Large intestine	-	+ + -	+ + +	A A A	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ - +	-	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	- + x -	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Urinary bladder	- + +	++	+++	A A	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+	+ X +	+	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Folicular cell adenoma	+++++	++++	+ + +	A A A	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	- + +	- + -	+ + *	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	- + -	+ + +	+ + X +	+ + X +	+ + +	 + + +	+ + + X	* * +
Parathyroid REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	-   +	+	+	A 			+	+	+ N	- N	+ N	+	+	+	+	+	+	- N		+	+	+		+	+
Mammary gland Acinar call carcinoma Mixed tumor, malignant Uterus Ovary	+ + X	• + +	+++	AAA	, + +	++++	, + +	, + +	++++	_	++++	, + +	++++	+ +	++++	+++	+ X + +	-	+++	+++	× + +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +
Teratoma, NOS NERVOUS SYSTEM	-										<u> </u>														
Brain SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	- + N	+ N	+ N X	A N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	- N	- N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	- N	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Histiocytic sarcoma Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	-   N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N X	N X	N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type						x			X			x	X		x								X		

TABLE D2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF	FEMALE	MICE:	LOW	DOSE
				(Continued	1)				

ANIMAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T
NUMBER	07	1	2	4	5	7	2 1	22	4	2 5	2 8	3 0	3 2	3 3	4	3 5	3 6	3 7	3) 8	3  9	4	3	4	4 5	4 9	TOTAL: TISSUES
WEEKS ON Study	1 0 8	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	1 0 6	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 3
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Acinar cell carcinoma, metastatic Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47 1 2 1 1
Trachea Nasal cavity	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++	+ +	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	47 47
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma	++++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	47 49 1 1
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 48 1 1
Thymus CIRCULATORY SYSTEM		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+		37
Heart Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	48 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma	++++	+ + x	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	+++	+ +	+ + x	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	46 49 4
Bile duct Gailbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++++	+++++	+++	+ + + +	+ + + + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	+ + +	49 *50 48 46
Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Malignant lymphoma, NOS Large intestine	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	46 2 46 1 45
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Urinary bladder	++++	++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	49 1 47
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	46
Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Pheochromocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Х +	X +	+	+	Х +	+	+	+	5 49 2
Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	++	* *	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ 	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ 	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	47 4 37
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Acinar cell carcinoma Mixed tumor, malignant	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 1
Uterus Ovary Teratoma, NOS	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	47 47 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adanoma, NOS Adanocarcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	*50 2 1
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Histiocytic sarcoma Malignant lymphoma, NOS		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	X				x							X													x	4 6

• Animals necropsied

# TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF FUROSEMIDE: HIGH DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 2	0 4 8	0 0 8	0 1 7	0 4 1	0 4 6	0 2 0	0 4 4	0 3 7	0 2 5	0 1 8	0 2 9	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 1 6	0 3 6	0 1 1	0 2 7	0 0 4	0 2 4	0 0 9	0 4 9	0 3 3	0 0 3	0 1 4
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 7 2	0 7 2	0 7 5	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 7 9	0 8 6	0 8 6	0 8 8	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 9	0 9 9
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Hemangiosarcoma		N	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Jungs and bronchi Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	-	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	+	A	+	+	+	+
Sarcom <b>a, NOS, m</b> etastatic rachea Jasal cavity	+	-	+ +	+ +	A A	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	A A	+ +	+ +	+ +	++
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM one marrow pleen Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	+++	-	++++	+ + +	A A	++++	++++	++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	+ + +	++	+++++	+ +	+++	+ +	A A	++++	+ +	+++	++
ymph nodes hymus	+	-	+ -	+ +	A A	+ +	+ -	+ +	+	+ -	+ +	+ 	+ -	+ -	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+~	+ -	A A	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
IRCULATORY SYSTEM		-	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+
IGESTIVE SYSTEM alivary gland iver	+++	-	++++	+++	A A	+ +	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	A A	++++	+ +	+++	+ +
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma ile duct allbladder & common bile duct	++	- N	X + N	++	A A	+++	++	++-	+	X + +	X + + +	++	++++	++	++	+++	++	X + N	++	++-	A A	++	++	++	++
ancreas sopha <b>gus</b> iomach mall intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		+ + + +	+ + + +	A A A A	+ - + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+ + +	+++++	++++	+++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	++	A A A A	++++	+++-	++++	+ + + +
Adenocarcinoma, NOS arge intestine	+	-	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	A	+	-	+	+
RINARY SYSTEM idney rinary bladder	+++	-	+ +	+ +	A A	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	A A	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +
NDOCRINE SYSTEM tuitary Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+	-	+	+	A	+	+	+	*	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+ X
irenal Carcinoma, NOS Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma	+	-	+	*	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+
Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma	+	-	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+
arathyroid ancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+++	-	+ +	+ +	A A	-+	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	A A	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM ammary gland Mixed tumor, malignant	+	* *	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	* x	+	+	+
terus Carcinoma, NOS vary	+	-	+ +	++	A A	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	A A	+ +	+ +	+	++
ERVOUS SYSTEM rain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	-	_	+	+	A	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS arderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	A	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	A	N	N	N	N
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM one Sarcoma, NOS		N	N	N	A	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	A	N	N	N	N
DDY CAVITIES diastiaum 'ollicular cell carcinoma, metastatic		N				N		N							N							N			
eritoneum Sarcoma, NOS	N	N	14	N	A	IN	N	14	N	N	IN	N	N	N	N X	14	N	14	11	IN	A	N	IN	IN	N
LL OTHER SYSTEMS ultiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated type Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	N	N	N		A	N X		N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N X			N	A		N		
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type				X			X						X					X	x			X		X	х

TABLE D2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF I	FEMALE	MICE:	HIGH	DOSE
			(Continued	I)				

														_						_						
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 3 2	0 2 1	0 3 0	0 3 4	0 3 8	0 3 5	0 4 2	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 7	0 1 0	0 1 3	0 1 5	0 1 9	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 6	0 2 8	0 3 1	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 3	0 4 5	0 4 7	0 5 0	momer
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 2	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	TOTAL TISSUES TUMORS													
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Hemangiosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*48 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47 1 3 1
Trachea Nasal cavity	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	47 47																		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
Spleen Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Thymus	++	+ + -	+ + +	+	+ + +	++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+	+ + +	+ + -	+ + -	+ + +	+ + +	+ + -	+ + -	+ + -	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ X + +	47 1 47 26
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+ + X	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+++	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	47 47 1
Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	++++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ N +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	4 47 *48 46						
Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+   +   +	+++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + X	++++	+ + +	+++++	++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+++	+++++	++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	++++	+ + +	46 46 45 1
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urnary bladder	+	+ + + +	+ + + +	+	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	++++	+++++	+ + +	++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + +	+  + +	+ + + +	+  + +	44 47 44
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carcinoma, NOS	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	45 1
Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Carcinoma, NOS Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma	+	+	+ X	+	+	Х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ¥	+	+	+	Х +	Х +	Х +	+	Х +	+ X	+	7 47 1 1 2
Folicular cell adenoma Folicular cell carcinoma Parathyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	X + +	+ +	+	+	+	+	* x x +	+	+ +	++	+	47 3 1 41
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	46 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Mixed tumor, malignant Uterus	+++	++	* *	+++	* *	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	* *	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	++	*48 5 47
Carcinoma, NOS Ovary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	1 47
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*48
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Sarcoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*48 1
BODY CAVITIES Mediastinum Follicular cell carcinoma, metastatic Peritoneum Sarcoma, NOS	N N		N N			N N			N N		N N									N N	N X N	N N	N N			*48 1 *48 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multuple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, undifferentiated Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X		N X	N X		N	N X	N	N X		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*48 1 2 16

\* Animals necropsied

	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm
Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma	······································	<u> </u>	
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	1/48 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.6%	9.5%	2.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/36 (0%)	1/29 (3%)	0/18 (0%)
Week of First Observation	100	102	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.459	P = 0.251	P = 0.718
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.345N	P = 0.476	P = 0.643N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.596		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.309	P = 0.742
ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	2/47 (4%)	3/47 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.8%	6.9%	11.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/36 (3%)	2/29 (7%)	1/18 (6%)
Week of First Observation	105	105	95
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.096	P = 0.424	P=0.168
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.227	P = 0.424	P = 0.415
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.204		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.477	P=0.285
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	2/47 (4%)	3/47 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.3%	6.9%	11.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/36 (8%)	2/29 (7%)	1/18 (6%)
Week of First Observation	105	105	95
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.335	P = 0.599N	P=0.410
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.538	P = 0.599N	P = 0.677
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.559	1 - 0.00011	1 - 0.071
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.003	P = 0.530N	P=0.631
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	ama Tamahaantia Tama		
			9/49 (40)
Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b)	3/50 (6%) 7.4%	4/50 (8%) 11.5%	2/48 (4%) 7.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/36 (6%)	2/29 (7%)	1/18 (6%)
Week of First Observation	63	86	79 79
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.571	P = 0.429	P = 0.680
		P = 0.429 P = 0.487	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.563N	F=0.407	P = 0.671 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.436N	P-0 500	D-0 FON
FISHER LACT LEST (0)		P = 0.500	P = 0.520N
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph		7/50 (1401)	17/40 (950)
Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b)	13/50 (26%)	7/50 (14%) 20.2%	17/48 (35%)
Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	33.1% 10/26 (28%)		58.7% 8/19 (AAG)
	10/36 (28%)	4/29 (14%) 81	8/18 (44%) 79
Week of First Observation	90 B-0.018	• -	78 R=0.014
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.018	P = 0.218N	P = 0.014
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.219	P = 0.094N	P = 0.240
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.175	P = 0.106N	P = 0.214
	<b>- - -</b>	- 0.10011	
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All M Overall Rates (a)	lalignant 16/50 (32%)	13/50 (26%)	20/48 (42%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	39.5%	33.8%	64.7%
Terminal Rates (c)		6/29 (21%)	
	12/36 (33%) 63	6/29 (21%) 81	9/18 (50%) 79
Week of First Observation			78 R=0.012
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.019	P = 0.525N	P = 0.013
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.254	P = 0.275N	P = 0.232
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.186		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.330N	P = 0.217

#### TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma	<u> </u>		······································
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	4/49 (8%)	1/47 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	13.9%	11.3%	5.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/36 (14%)	2/29 (7%)	1/18 (6%)
Week of First Observation	105	81	105
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.233N	P = 0.608N	P = 0.325N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.202N	P = 0.541N	P = 0.325N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.093N	1 - 0.04111	1 = 0.02011
Fisher Exact Test (d)	F = 0.09514	P=0.513N	P = 0.117N
.iver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	0/49 (0%)	4/47 (9%)
			• •
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.8%	0.0%	9.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/36 (3%)	0/29 (0%)	0/18 (0%)
Week of First Observation	105		75
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.064	P = 0.543N	P = 0.138
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.098	P = 0.543N	P = 0.217
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.073		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.505N	P = 0.162
iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcino			
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	4/49 (8%)	5/47 (11%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	16.7%	11.3%	14.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/36 (17%)	2/29 (7%)	1/18 (6%)
Week of First Observation	105	81	75
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.431	P=0.487N	P = 0.448
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.535	P = 0.420N	P = 0.554
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.474N	1 -0.42011	1 -0.004
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r -0.4/41	P=0.383N	P = 0.544N
Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	10/50 (20%)	5/46 (11%)	7/45 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	25.9%	16.3%	31.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	8/36 (22%)	4/29 (14%)	4/17 (24%)
Week of First Observation	90	97	90
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.413	P=0.240N	P=0.395
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.387N	P = 0.172N	P = 0.507 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.313N	s — vil ( 411	A - 0.00111
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.3131N	P = 0.171N	P = 0.385N
		r -0.1/11	L - 0.90014
Anterior Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or Ca		F140 /4 4 m	047407
Overall Rates (a)	10/50 (20%)	5/46 (11%)	8/45 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	25.9%	16.3%	33.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	8/36 (22%)	4/29 (14%)	4/17 (24%)
Week of First Observation	90	97	88
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.308	P = 0.240N	P = 0.299
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.493N	P = 0.172N	P = 0.601 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.425N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.171N	P=0.496N
Fhyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	4/47 (9%)	3/47 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	11.2%	13.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/36 (0%)	2/29 (7%)	2/18 (11%)
Week of First Observation		2/25 (170) 74	94
Life Table Tests (d)	D-0.051		-
	P = 0.051	P = 0.050	P = 0.050
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.074	P=0.046	P=0.093
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.106		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.051	P = 0.110

# TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

#### TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm
Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma	or Carcinoma		······
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	4/47 (9%)	3/47 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.6%	11.2%	13.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/36 (6%)	2/29 (7%)	2/18 (11%)
Week of First Observation	105	74	94
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.214	P = 0.269	P = 0.253
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.273	P = 0.263	P = 0.366
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.386		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.310	P = 0.470
fammary Gland: Mixed Tumor, Malignar			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	5/48 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	3.2%	18.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/36 (0%)	0/29 (0%)	1/18 (6%)
Week of First Observation		104	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.003	P = 0.470	P = 0.011
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.053	P = 0.638	P = 0.090
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.009		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r - 0.000	P=0.500	P=0.025
Mammary Gland: Mixed Tumor, Malignan	nt or Acinar Call Corri	nome	
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	5/48 (10%)
	0.0%		
Adjusted Rates (b)		6.1%	18.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/36 (0%)	0/29 (0%)	1/18 (6%)
Week of First Observation	D 0.00	99	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.005	P = 0.212	P=0.011
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.102	P = 0.397	P = 0.090
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.014	_	
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.247	P = 0.025
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	0/47 (0%)	0/47 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/36 (8%)	0/29 (0%)	0/18 (0%)
Week of First Observation	98		
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.039N	P = 0.092N	P = 0.165N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.013N	P = 0.065N	P = 0.067N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.017N	1 = 0.00011	1 -0.00114
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r - 0.01/11	P = 0.066N	P=0.066N
Harderian Gland: Adenoma Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	1/48 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10.3%	6.9%	
	2/36 (6%)	0.9% 2/29 (7%)	4.3% 0/19 (0%)
Terminal Rates (c) Weak of First Observation	• •	• •	0/18 (0%)
Week of First Observation	97 D. 0.07201	105	102
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.276N	P = 0.431N	P = 0.370N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.056N	P = 0.297 N	P = 0.035N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.126N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.339N	P = 0.194N
Harderian Gland: Adenoma or Adenocar			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)	1/48 (2%)
	10.3%	8.8%	4.3%
Adjusted Rates (b)		2/29 (7%)	0/18 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	2/36 (6%)		
Terminal Rates (c)	2/36 (6%) 97		
Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation	97	68	102
Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d)	97 P=0.288N	68 P=0.589N	102 P=0.370N
Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation	97	68	102

	Control	700 ppm	1,400 ppm
All Sites: Benign Tumors	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- <del></del>
Overall Rates (a)	23/50 (46%)	19/50 (38%)	16/48 (33%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	55.8%	51.6%	61.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	18/36 (50%)	12/29 (41%)	9/18 (50%)
Week of First Observation	74	74	90
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.311	P = 0.561 N	P = 0.303
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.175N	P = 0.306N	P = 0.235N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.118N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.272N	P = 0.141 N
All Sites: Malignant Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	21/50 (42%)	19/50 (38%)	34/48 (71%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	49.6%	44.2%	85.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	15/36 (42%)	6/29 (21%)	13/18 (72%)
Week of First Observation	63	68	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.498	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.027	P = 0.233N	P = 0.008
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.003		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.419N	P = 0.004
All Sites: All Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	34/50 (68%)	35/50 (70%)	39/48 (81%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	75.4%	75.8%	97.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	25/36 (69%)	18/29 (62%)	17/18 (94%)
Week of First Observation	63	37	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.189	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.116	P = 0.509N	P = 0.060
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.088		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.101

### TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

### TABLE D4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE $\rm B6C3F_1$ MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

		Incidence in Controls	1
	Malignant Mixed Tumor	Adenocarcinoma	Malignant Mixed Tumor or Adenocarcinoma
No 2-year studies by SRI I	nternational are included in the historic	al data base.	
Overall Historical Incid	lence		
TOTAL SD (b)	9/2,040 (0.4%) 1.33%	(d) 31/2,040 (1.5%) 1.85%	(d) 40/2,040 (2.0%) 2.34%
Range (c)			
High	3/48	3/49	4/48
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50

(a) Data as of April 29, 1987, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(d) Includes one papillary adenocarcinoma

#### TABLE D4b. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM TUMORS IN FEMALE B6C3F1 MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

	Incidence in Controls Lymphoma or Leukemia national are included in the historical data base. e				
verall Historical Inciden TOTAL SD (b)	Lymphoma	Lymphoma or Leukemia			
No 2-year studies by SRI Inter	rnational are included in the historical data ba	se.			
Overall Historical Inciden	ce				
	617/2,040 (30.2%)	636/2,040 (31.2%)			
SD(b)	13.32%	12.83%			
Range (c)					
High	37/50 5/50	38/50 6/50			

(a) Data as of April 29, 1987, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

# TABLE D4c. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN<br/>FEMALE B6C3F1 MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

		Incidence in Controls						
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma					
No 2-year studies by SR	I International are included in the histo	orical data base.	<u></u>					
Overall Historical Inc	cidence							
TOTAL SD (c)	(b) 41/1,937 (2.1%) 2.58%	8/1,937 (0.4%) 1.17%	(b) 49/1,937 (2.5%) 3.22%					
Range (d) High	4/48	3/48	7/48					
Low	0/50	0/50	0/50					

(a) Data as of April 29, 1987, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Includes 39 follicular cell adenomas, 2 cystadenomas, NOS, and 1 papillary cystadenoma, NOS
(c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

# TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

1	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
NIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		48	
NIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	Y 50		49		48	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM None	<u></u>					
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			<u> </u>			
#Nasal cavity	(50)		(47)		(47)	
Foreign body, NOS	•	(		(2%)		
Hemorrhage		(4%)		(2%)		(001)
Inflammation, acute focal	Z	(4%)	1	(2%)		(2%) (2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	(50)		(47)		(47)	(2%)
#Lung Mineralization		(2%)	(41)			(2%)
Congestion, NOS		(12%)	3	(6%)		(2%) (13%)
Edema, NOS	9			(2%)	Ū	(10 /0)
Hemorrhage	2	(4%)		(15%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, interstitial		(2%)		(2%)		(13%)
Inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)				(4%)
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic focal	-	(6%)	6	(13%)		(9%)
Alveolar macrophages		(2%)			2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)		
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(10%)		(8%)		(6%)
#Bone marrow	(49)		(47)	( <b>A A</b> ) )	(47)	
Pigmentation, NOS	1			(6%)		(000)
Hyperplasia, granulocytic		(8%)		(11%)		(23%)
#Spleen	(50)		(49)		(47)	(90)
Necrosis, focal Amyloidosis						(2%) (2%)
Angiectasis						(4%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	2	(-270)
Hematopoiesis		(16%)		(29%)	23	(49%)
#Lymph node	(50)		(48)		(47)	(20,0)
Pigmentation, NOS		(2%)			. ,	
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	-	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
#Mandibular lymph node	(50)		(48)		(47)	
Edema, NOS				(2%)		
Pigmentation, NOS			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	-	(4.00)				(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)				(2%)
Mastocytosis	/=0		(10)			(2%)
#Thoracic lymph node	(50)	(60)	(48)	(90)	(47)	(11%)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(6%) (8%)	1	(2%) (8%)		(11%) (2%)
	4 (50)	(8%)	4 (48)	(070)	(47)	(470)
#Abdominal lymph node Hyperplasia, plasma cell	(00)		(40)			(2%)
#Hepatic lymph node	(50)		(48)		(47)	(4,0)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	(00)		(40)			(2%)
#Pancreatic lymph node	(50)		(48)		(47)	~~ /• /
	(22)		,			(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal					-	(2,0)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)		······				
#Lumbar lymph node	(50)		(48)		(47)	
Pigmentation, NOS	()					(2%)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell					2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid					1	(2%)
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)		(48)		(47)	, .,
Cyst, NOS	·/		• • • •	(2%)		
Congestion, NOS	2	(4%)		(	2	(4%)
Edema, NOS		(2%)				(,
Hemorrhage		()	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, chronic focal					1	(2%)
Angiectasis	2	(4%)	9	(19%)		(13%)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell		(2)		(2%)		(2%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell						(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	6	(12%)	4	(8%)		(4%)
Hematopoiesis		(4%)	-			(9%)
#Renal lymph node	(50)		(48)		(47)	
Inflammation, suppurative			s – 27			(2%)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(6%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(=)		(2%)		(2%)
#Sacral lymph node	(50)		(48)	(	(47)	(=,
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	(		( )			(2%)
#Inguinal lymph node	(50)		(48)		(47)	(=,
Inflammation, suppurative	(***)		(- <b>-</b> ,		• •	(2%)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell						(9%)
#Lung	(50)		(47)		(47)	(,
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(4%)		(4%)	<b>x</b> = - <b>y</b>	
#Liver	(50)	,	(49)	. ,	(47)	
Hematopoiesis		(8%)	5	(10%)	• •	(30%)
#Pancreas	(49)		(48)		(46)	
Hematopoiesis						(2%)
#Peyer's patch	(47)		(46)		(45)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	,			(7%)		(2%)
#Kidney	(50)		(49)	,	(47)	(,
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(2%)	()		()	
Hematopoiesis	-	(			1	(2%)
#Adrenal cortex	(49)		(49)		(47)	()
Hematopoiesis		(4%)	• • •	(2%)	• •	(17%)
#Thymus	(38)		(37)	(=,,,,	(26)	(-1/0)
Cyst. NOS	• • •	(5%)		(3%)	(	
Involution, NOS		(18%)		(16%)	4	(15%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial			-	-		(4%)
Angiectasis			2	(5%)	-	• ,
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(3%)				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM #Heart	(50)		(48)		(47)	
#rieart Mineralization		(99)	(48)			(904-)
	1	(2%)				(2%)
Endocarditis, bacterial						(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative						(4%)
Inflammation, acute focal	~	(100)	<b>0</b> 4	(200)		(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(42%)		(50%)		(53%)
*Aortic arch	(50)		(50)		(48)	(10)
Mineralization						(4%)
Metaplasia, cartilaginous	/ <b>-</b> - ~		/ <b>-</b>			(2%)
*Vena cava	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Mineralization					2	(4%)

# TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreat	Untreated Control		Low Dose		Dose	
IGESTIVE SYSTEM							
#Salivary gland	(49)		(46)		(47)		
Inflammation, chronic focal		(35%)		(39%)		(23%)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial	1	(2%)					
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(47)		
Mineralization					1	(2%)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)					
Congestion, NOS				(2%)			
Hemorrhage		(0)(1)	1	(2%)			
Hemorrhagic cyst	1	(2%)				(901)	
Inflammation, suppurative Inflammation, acute focal	9	(4%)			1	(2%)	
Inflammation, chronic focal		(40%)	24	(49%)	19	(28%)	
Necrosis, focal		(6%)		(8%)		(6%)	
Infarct, NOS		(2%)	*	$(0, \mathbf{v})$	U	(0,0)	
Amyloidosis	-	(2,0)	1	(2%)			
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)	-	( <b>-</b> / <b>v</b> /			
#Liver/centrilobular	(50)	<u></u>	(49)		(47)		
Degeneration, NOS	(30)			(2%)	()		
#Liver/hepatocytes	(50)		(49)	/	(47)		
Cytoplasmic vacuolization		(10%)	• •	(4%)		(4%)	
Basophilic cyto change		(4%)		(2%)	-		
Eosinophilic cyto change	3	(6%)		. ,	1	(2%)	
Clear cell change	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
Atrophy, NOS					1	(2%)	
*Gallbladder	(50)		(50)		(48)		
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)					
Inflammation, chronic focal		(4%)		(4%)		(6%)	
#Bile duct	(50)		(49)		(47)		
Hyperplasia, focal	(10)		(10)			(2%)	
#Pancreas	(49)		(48)		(46)	(07)	
Inflammation, suppurative	1 5	(910)	17	(050)		(2%)	
Inflammation, chronic focal #Pancreatic acinus		(31%)		(35%)		(11%)	
Amyloidosis, focal	(49)		(48)		(46)	(2%)	
Basophilic cyto change						(4%)	
Atrophy, focal	2	(4%)			4	(4/0)	
#Esophagus	(50)	(4,0)	(46)		(46)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial	(00)			(2%)	(40)		
#Glandular stomach	(47)		(46)	(=,0)	(46)		
Mineralization		(2%)	()			(4%)	
Inflammation, acute focal		(2%)					
Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%)		(4%)		(4%)	
Atrophy, focal	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		(2%)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial						(2%)	
#Forestomach	(47)		(46)		(46)		
Cyst, NOS		(2%)	~	(19)			
Ulcer, NOS		(2%)		(4%)			
Inflammation, acute focal		(4%) (2%)		(2%)			
Inflammation, chronic focal Hyperplasia, epithelial		(2%) (2%)		(4%) (17%)	1	(2%)	
Hyperkeratosis	1	(470)		(17%) (2%)	1	(470)	
#Small intestine	(47)		(46)	(470)	(45)		
Amyloidosis	(47)			(9%)		(20%)	
						(20 10)	
RINARY SYSTEM	( <b>-</b> •				/		
#Urinary bladder/cavity	(50)		(47)	(10)	(44)	(90)	
Dilatation, NOS	(FA)			(4%)		(2%)	
#Kidney Mineralization	(50)		(49)	(2%)	(47)	(9%)	
			1	(470)			
Cyst, NOS					1	(2%)	

# TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreat	ed Control	Low	Dose	High	Dose
JRINARY SYSTEM						<u> </u>
#Kidney (Continued)	(50)		(49)		(47)	
Inflammation, chronic focal		(46%)		(31%)		(21%)
Nephropathy		(22%)	46	(94%)		(100%)
Glomerulosclerosis, NOS	1	(2%)		. ,		,
Necrosis, focal				(2%)	1	(2%)
Infarct, NOS	4	(8%)		(2%)	1	(2%)
Amyloidosis			3	(6%)		
Hyperplasia, tubular cell						(4%)
Metaplasia, osseous		(6%)		(2%)		(9%)
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(49)	(0.4)	(47)	
Degeneration, NOS	(50)			(8%)	(4.5%)	
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)	(00)	(49)	(07)	(47)	(000)
Dilatation, NOS	1	(2%)	3	(6%)		(36%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial #Urinary bladder/submucosa	(50)		(47)			(2%)
Hemorrhage	(50)		(47)	(2%)	(44)	
Inflammation, chronic focal	22	(46%)			10	(43%)
	20	(4070)		(53%)	19	(4070)
NDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Pituitary intermedia	(50)		(46)		(45)	
Hyperplasia, focal		(2%)		(2%)		
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(46)		(45)	
Cyst, NOS		(2%)	2	(4%)		(4%)
Congestion, NOS		(2%)			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal		(46%)		(46%)	18	(40%)
Angiectasis		(6%)		(2%)		
#Adrenal/capsule	(49)		(49)		(47)	
Cyst, NOS						(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal		(92%)		(86%)	45	(96%)
#Adrenal cortex	(49)		(49)		(47)	
Congestion, NOS		(4%)		(2%)		(4%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal		(2%)		(		
Degeneration, NOS		(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)				
Pigmentation, NOS						(2%)
Focal cellular change						(2%)
Atrophy, NOS	-					(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	7	(14%)				(4%)
Angiectasis	/					(2%)
#Adrenal medulla	(49)	(60)	(49)		(47)	(00)
Hyperplasia, NOS #Thypoid	3	(6%)	(47)			(6%)
#Thyroid Cyst, NOS	(50)		(47)		(47)	(994)
Follicular cyst, NOS	0	(18%)	0	(139)		(2%) (6%)
Inflammation, acute focal	9	(1070)	0	(13%)		(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	2	(12%)	9	(4%)		(2%)
Hyperplasia, follicular cell		(12%)		(15%)		(11%)
#Parathyroid	(37)		(37)	(10 %)	(41)	(11 10)
Cyst, NOS		(3%)	(07)		(=1)	
Inflammation, chronic focal		(3%)				
Hyperplasia, NOS	-				1	(2%)
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	/EAL		(20)		(40)	
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)	(000)	(48)	(0 E ~ )
Llow and a sign area to			10	(7096)	12	(25%)
Hyperplasia, cystic *Vaginal canal	(50)	(20%)	(50)	(20%)	(48)	(/-/

# TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreated Control		Low Dose		High	Dose	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)							
*Vagina	(50)		(50)		(48)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial		(2%)	(50)		(40)		
#Uterus	(50)	(270)	(47)		(47)		
Dilatation, NOS		(22%)		(28%)		(21%)	
Hydrometra		(2%)	10	(20.0)		(2%)	
Cyst, NOS		(2%)			1	(270)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(4%)			Q	(17%)	
Pigmentation, NOS	2	(470)			1		
Angiectasis	9	(4%)	1	(2%)		(4%)	
#Uterus/endometrium	(50)	(4,70)	(47)	(270)	(47)	(4270)	
Hyperplasia, NOS		(88%)		(83%)		(77%)	
Angiectasis		(2%)	39	(00%)	30	(1170)	
#Ovary	(50)	(270)	(47)		(47)		
#Ovary Mineralization		(2%)		(2%)	(**/)		
Cyst, NOS		(32%)		(38%)	10	(21%)	
Hemorrhage		(32%)	19	(0070)	10	(4170)	
Hemorrhagic cyst		(4%)	0	(6%)	9	(4%)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(4%)	-	(9%)		(21%)	
Abscess, NOS	4	(=170)		(3%)		(21%)	
Inflammation, chronic focal				(2%)		(2%)	
Pigmentation, NOS				(4%)	1	(2%)	
Angiectasis				(2%)	3	(6%)	
			•	(2 %)		(0,0)	
NERVOUS SYSTEM							
#Brain/meninges	(48)		(46)		(47)		
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(2%)			
Inflammation, chronic focal	4	(8%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)					
#Brain	(48)		(46)		(47)		
Mineralization	13	(27%)	22	(48%)	34	(72%)	
Hydrocephalus, NOS						(2%)	
Cyst, NOS			1	(2%)			
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)	
Inflammation, acute focal					1	(2%)	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			·				
*Eye/cornea	(50)		(50)		(48)		
Inflammation, chronic focal	·/	(2%)	(00)		(=0)		
*Eve/retina	(50)	<u> </u>	(50)		(48)		
Atrophy, diffuse		(2%)	(00)		(40)		
*Eye/crystalline lens	(50)	<u></u>	(50)		(48)		
Degeneration, NOS	1	(2%)	(00)		(40)		
*Nasolacrimal duct	(50)		(50)		(48)		
Hemorrhage	(00)			(4%)	(40)		
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(2%)	4	( = / • /	2	(4%)	
*Harderian gland	(50)	(=,v)	(50)		(48)	( = /0/	
Inflammation, chronic focal	(00)			(2%)	(40)		
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)	•	\_ ·• /			
MIIGOIII OCKEI ETAL SUCCEN						-	
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	(20)		(EA)		(40)		
*Bone	(50)		(50)		(48)		
Fibrous osteodystrophy		(76%)		(82%)		(85%)	
*Muscle of trunk	(50)		(50)		(48)		
Degeneration, NOS	1	(2%)					

# TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

	Untreated Control		Low Dose		High Dose	
BODY CAVITIES					<u> </u>	
*Thoracic cavity	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)				
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, suppurative					5	(10%)
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Cyst. NOS	1	(2%)				
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, suppurative	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	10	(21%)
Inflammation, chronic focal			3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, fat	5	(10%)	2	(4%)		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS	— <u></u>	<u> </u>				
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(2%)		
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY		<u></u>		<u></u>		
Auto/necropsy/no histo			1			
Autolysis/no necropsy			-		2	

### TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

### APPENDIX E

### SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

PAGE MURINE ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE TABLE E1 175

#### I. Methods

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program are produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect study results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the study rooms. These animals are untreated, and these animals and the study animals are both subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals come from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Fifteen  $B6C3F_1$  mice and 15 F344/N rats of each sex were selected at the time of randomization and allocation of the animals to the various study groups. Five animals of each designated sentinel group were killed at 6, 12, and 18 months on study. Data from animals surviving 24 months were collected from 5/50 randomly selected control animals of each sex and species. The blood from each animal was collected and clotted, and the serum was separated. The serum was cooled on ice and shipped to Microbiological Associates' Comprehensive Animal Diagnostic Service for determination of the antibody titers. The following tests were performed:

	Hemagglutination <u>Inhibition</u>	Complement <u>Fixation</u>	ELISA
Mice	PVM (pneumonia virus of mice) Reo 3 (reovirus type 3) GDVII (Theiler's encephalomyelitis virus) Poly (polyoma virus) MVM (minute virus of mice) Ectro (infectious ectromelia) Sendai (6,12,24 mo)	M. Ad. (mouse adenovirus) LCM (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus) MHV (6 mo) Sendai (18 mo)	MHV (mouse hepatitis virus) (12,18,24 mo) M. pul. (Mycoplasma pulmonis) (24 mo)
Rats	PVM KRV (Kilham rat virus) H-1 (Toolan's H-1 virus) Sendai (6,12,24 mo)	RCV (rat coronavirus) (6,12,18 mo) Sendai (18 mo)	RCV/SDA (sialodacryo- adenitis virus) (24 mo) M. pul. (24 mo)

#### II. Results

Results are presented in Table E1.

	Interval (months)	Number of Animals	Positive Serologic Reaction for
RATS	······································		
	6		None positive
	12		None positive
	18		None positive
	24	8/10	<i>M. pul.</i> (b)
MICE			
	6		None positive
	12		None positive
	18	1/10	MHV
	24	1/10	<i>M. pul.</i> (b)

#### TABLE E1. MURINE ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE (a)

(a) Blood samples were taken from sentinel animals at 6, 12, and 18 months after the start of dosing and from the control animals just before they were killed; samples were sent to Microbiological Associates (Bethesda, MD) for determination of antibody titers.

(b) Further evaluation of this assay indicated that it was not specific for *M. pulmonis*, and this result was considered to be a false positive.

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

### **APPENDIX F**

# FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF FUROSEMIDE

### OF FOROSEMIDE

TABLE F1	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	178
TABLE F2	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	179
TABLE F3	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	180
TABLE F4	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE	181

PAGE

### TABLE F1. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

	Control		Low Dose				High Dose				
Week	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Low/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body	High/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)	
2	20	217	19	216	1.0	31	19	211	1.0	63	
6	20	301	19	302	1.0	22	19	298	1.0	45	
11	19	360	19	364	1.0	18	18	359	0.9	35	
16	16	393	18	402	1.1	16	18	394	1.1	32	
20	17	413	17	421	1.0	14	17	411	1.0	29	
24	18	433	18	444	1.0	14	18	433	1.0	29	
28	17	446	17	455	1.0	13	18	445	1.1	28	
32	18	463	18	472	1.0	13	18	461	1.0	27	
37	18	472	19	485	1.1	14	18	473	1.0	27	
42	18	490	18	501	1.0	13	18	490	1.0	26	
46	17	490	17	502	1.0	12	18	490	1.1	26	
50	17	495	18	50 <b>9</b>	1.1	12	17	499	1.0	24	
54	18	502	18	516	1.0	12	18	504	1.0	25	
58	17	503	18	516	1.1	12	18	504	1.1	25	
62	17	506	17	516	1.0	12	18	500	1.1	25	
67	16	499	16	510	1.0	11	17	496	1.1	24	
71	16	499	16	512	1.0	11	16	491	1.0	23	
75	17	500	17	513	1.0	12	17	492	1.0	24	
79	17	496	16	505	0.9	11	17	488	1.0	24	
83	16	493	15	496	0.9	11	16	480	1.0	23	
90	16	485	16	487	1.0	11	17	471	1.1	25	
93	17	475	17	486	1.0	12	16	463	0.9	24	
98	16	449	17	467	1.1	13	17	454	1.1	26	
103	19	443	18	462	0.9	14	17	430	0.9	28	
Mean	17.4	451	17.4	461	1.0	14	17.5	447	1.0	29	
SD (d)	1.2		1.1		0.1	4	0.8		0.1	9	
CV (e)	6.9		6.3		10.0	28.6	4.6		10.0	31.0	

(a) Grams of feed removed from the feeder; not corrected for scatter.
(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(c) Estimated milligrams of furosemide consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(d) Standard deviation

(e) Coefficient of variation = (standard deviation/mean)  $\times$  100
# TABLE F2. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

.•	Co	ntrol	Low Dose			High Dose				
Week	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body	Low/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)		High/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)
2	14	153	13	150	0.9	30	13	147	0.9	62
6	13	189	13	188	1.0	24	13	185	1.0	49
11	12	211	12	211	1.0	20	12	210	1.0	40
16	11	224	11	223	1.0	17	11	221	1.0	35
20	10	229	11	228	1.1	17	11	225	1,1	34
24	11	237	11	236	1.0	16	11	235	1.0	33
28	11	241	11	242	1.0	16	12	241	1.1	35
32	11	250	11	252	1.0	15	11	251	1.0	31
37	11	257	11	258	1.0	15	11	258	1.0	30
42	11	269	12	272	1.1	15	12	271	1.1	31
46	11	269	11	271	1.0	14	11	269	1.0	29
50	11	279	12	282	1.1	15	12	280	1.1	30
54	12	294	13	294	1.1	15	13	294	1.1	31
58	12	305	12	304	1.0	14	13	307	1.1	30
62	12	317	13	317	1.1	14	13	318	1.1	29
67	12	327	12	325	1.0	13	12	331	1.0	25
71	12	339	13	336	1.1	14	12	338	1.0	25
75	12	341	13	341	1.1	13	13	341	1.1	27
79	12	349	12	344	1.0	12	12	344	1.0	24
83	13	358	13	352	1.0	13	13	349	1.0	26
90	13	369	13	362	1.0	13	13	359	1.0	25
93	13	369	13	362	1.0	13	12	358	0.9	23
98	13	371	12	364	0.9	12	12	364	0.9	23
103	14	365	14	369	1.0	13	13	350	0.9	26
Mean	12.0	288	12.2	287	1.0	16	12.1	285	1.0	31
SD (d)	1.0		0.9		0.1	4	0.8		0.1	9
CV (e)	8.3		7.4		10.0	25.0	6.6		10.0	29.0

(a) Grams of feed removed from the feeder; not corrected for scatter.

(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls(c) Estimated milligrams of furosemide consumed per day per kilogram of body weight

(d) Standard deviation

(e) Coefficient of variation = (standard deviation/mean)  $\times$  100

# TABLE F3. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

	Co	Control		Low Dose			High Dose			
Week	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)		Low/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)		High/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)
1	3.3	22.8	3.9	23.2	1.2	118	3.9	23.2	1.2	235
6	4.0	30.0	4.3	29.5	1.1	102	4.0	27.8	1.0	201
11	4.2	32.9	4.1	31.0	1.0	93	4.2	30.6	1.0	192
15	5.2	33.4	5.5	32.5	1.1	118	5.8	32.5	1.1	250
19	4.3	34.7	4.3	33.8	1.0	89	4.2	32.5	1.0	181
23	4.4	35.8	4.7	33. <del>9</del>	1.1	97	4.7	32.7	1.1	201
27	4.3	37.3	4.6	36.4	1.1	88	4.5	33.3	1.0	189
31	4.2	38.8	4.6	36.5	1.1	88	4.5	34.0	1.1	185
36	4.8	38.7	5.1	36.9	1.1	97	5.0	34.4	1.0	203
41	4.5	40.6	5.0	38.5	1.1	91	4.8	35.9	1.1	187
45	4.6	39.7	4.7	37.1	1.0	89	4.5	34.4	1.0	183
49	4.3	40.6	4.6	38.7	1.1	83	4.5	35.4	1.0	178
53	4.4	40.9	4.6	38.7	1.0	83	4.5	34.6	1.0	182
57	4.0	40.8	4.3	37.8	1.1	80	4.5	34.2	1.1	184
61	4.2	40.3	4.5	36.9	1.1	85	4.6	34.4	1.1	187
66	4.2	41.3	4.6	38.6	1.1	83	4.3	34.7	1.0	173
70	4.0	40.6	4.4	38.4	1.1	80	4.2	34.6	1.1	170
74	4.3	40.6	4.6	38.5	1.1	84	4.6	34.9	1.1	185
78	4.2	39.8	5.1	38.8	1.2	92	4.7	34.8	1.1	189
82	4.4	41.3	4.5	38.3	1.0	82	4.2	34.8	1.0	169
89	4.4	39.8	4.2	37.5	1.0	78	4.5	33.2	1.0	190
92	4.6	40.7	4.9	38.5	1.1	89	4.6	34.5	1.0	187
97	4.2	39.9	4.8	36.1	1.1	93	4.2	33.1	1.0	178
101	4.6	38.4	4.8	36.3	1.0	93	4.1	32.2	0.9	178
104	4.8	36.7	5.2	34.6	1.1	105	5.0	31.0	1.0	226
Mean	4.3	37.9	4.6	35.9	1.1	91	4.5	33.1	1.0	191
SD (d)	0.4		0.4		0.1	11	0.4		0.1	20
CV (e)	9.3		8.7		9.1	12.1	8.9		10.0	10.5

(a) Grams of feed removed from the feeder; not corrected for scatter.
(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(c) Estimated milligrams of furosemide consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(d) Standard deviation
(e) Coefficient of variation = (standard deviation/mean) × 100

	Co	<u>ntrol</u>	Low Dose			High Dose				
Vesk	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Low/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight	High/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)
1	3.7	19.6	3.6	19.1	1.0	132	3.7	18.6	1.0	278
6	3.6	23.5	3.7	23.2	1.0	112	3.7	22.8	1.0	227
11	3.7	25.0	3.8	24.8	1.0	107	3. <del>9</del>	24.9	1.1	219
15	4.9	26.4	5.1	26.5	1.0	135	5.2	26.6	1.1	274
19	4.3	<b>27.6</b>	3.7	27.4	0.9	95	<b>3.9</b>	27.1	0.9	201
23	4.6	28.6	4.5	27.9	1.0	113	4.7	27.8	1.0	237
27	4.3	29.6	4.6	29.1	1.1	111	4.4	28.1	1.0	219
31	4.3	31.0	4.5	30.3	1.0	104	3.9	29.5	0.9	185
36	4.9	32.5	4.9	30.9	1.0	111	5.1	30.7	1.0	233
41	4.6	33.7	5.0	33.0	1.1	106	4.5	32.4	1.0	194
45	4.6	34.6	4.5	33.3	1.0	95	4.4	32.1	1.0	192
49	4.5	36.1	4.6	35.4	1.0	91	4.7	33.7	1.0	195
53	4.2	36.3	4.4	36.2	1.0	85	4.5	34.3	1.1	184
57	4.1	36.6	4.3	36.3	1.0	83	4.5	34.6	1.1	182
61	4.3	36.8	4.3	36.0	1.0	84	4.5	34.2	1.0	184
66	4.4	39.1	4.6	37.5	1.0	86	4.5	34.8	1.0	181
70	4.2	39.6	4.3	37. <del>9</del>	1.0	7 <del>9</del>	4.2	34.7	1.0	169
74	4.6	39.6	4.8	38.6	1.0	87	4.9	35.2	1.1	195
78	4.4	40.0	4.7	38.2	1.1	86	5.0	35.1	1.1	199
82	4.5	40.5	4.6	38.4	1.0	84	4.5	35.3	1.0	178
89	4.5	40.7	5.1	38.4	1.1	93	<b>4.9</b>	33.4	1.1	205
92	5.0	42.6	5.2	38.7	1.0	94	5.2	33.6	1.0	217
97	4.5	41.5	4.9	36.9	1.1	93	5.7	33.0	1.3	242
101	5.0	41.7	5.1	36.9	1.0	97	6.4	32.5	1.3	276
104	5.1	39.9	5.3	34.8	1.0	107	6.1	31.4	1.2	272
Mean	4.4	34.5	4.6	33.0	1.0	99	4.7	31.1	1.1	214
SD (d)	0.4		0.5		0.1	15	0.7		0.1	34
CV (e)	9.1		10.9		10.0	15.2	14.9		9.1	15.9

#### TABLE F4. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF FUROSEMIDE

(a) Grams of feed removed from the feeder; not corrected for scatter.
(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(c) Estimated milligrams of furosemide consumed per day per kilogram of body weight

(d) Standard deviation

(e) Coefficient of variation = (standard deviation/mean)  $\times$  100

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

## APPENDIX G

# INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

### Meal Diet: April 1981 to April 1983

(Manufactured by Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA)

		PAGE
TABLE G1	INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	184
TABLE G2	VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	184
TABLE G3	NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	185
TABLE G4	CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	186

#### TABLE G1. INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

Ingredients (b)	Percent by Weight
Ground #2 yellow shelled corn	24.50
Ground hard winter wheat	23.00
Soybean meal (49% protein)	12.00
Fish meal (60% protein)	10.00
Wheat middlings	10.00
Dried skim milk	5.00
Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17% protein)	4.00
Corn gluten meal (60% protein)	3.00
Soy oil	2.50
Dried brewer's yeast	2.00
Dry molasses	1.50
Dicalcium phosphate	1.25
Ground limestone	0.50
Salt	0.50
Premixes (vitamin and mineral)	0.25

(a) NIH, 1978; NCI, 1976
(b) Ingredients ground to pass through a U.S. Standard Screen No. 16 before being mixed

	Amount	Source
Vitamins		
A	5,500,000 IU	Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate
D <sub>3</sub>	4,600,000 IU	D-activated animal sterol
К <sub>3</sub>	2.8 g	Menadione
d-a-Tocopheryl acetate	20,000 IŬ	
Choline	560.0 g	Choline chloride
Folic acid	2.2 g	
Niacin	30.0 g	
d-Pantothenic acid	18.0 g	d-Calcium pantothenate
Riboflavin	3.4 g	<b>r</b>
Thiamine	10.0 g	Thiamine mononitrate
B <sub>12</sub>	4,000 µg	
Pyridoxine	1.7 g	Pyridoxine hydrochloride
Biotin	140.0 mg	d-Biotin
Minerals		
Iron	120.0 g	Iron sulfate
Manganese	60.0 g	Manganous oxide
Zinc	16.0 g	Zinc oxide
Copper	4.0 g	Copper sulfate
Iodine	1.4 g	Calcium iodate
Cobalt	0.4 g	Cobalt carbonate

#### TABLE G2. VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

(a) Per ton (2,000 lb) of finished product

#### TABLE G3. NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

Nutrients	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	Number of Samples
Crude protein (percent by weight)	24.19 ± 1.07	22.4-26.3	25
Crude fat (percent by weight)	$5.02 \pm 0.47$	4.2-6.0	25
Crude fiber (percent by weight)	$3.37 \pm 0.37$	2.4-4.2	25
sh (percent by weight)	$3.37 \pm 0.37$ $6.54 \pm 0.26$	2.4-4.2 5.97-7.03	25
mino Acids (percent of total die			
Arginine	1.300	1.21-1.38	3
Cystine	0.340	0.23-0.40	3
Glycine	1.137	1.06-1.20	3
Histidine			3
	0.561	0.530-0.578	
Isoleucine	0.899	0.881-0.934	3
Leucine	1.930	1.85-1.98	3
Lysine	1.243	1.20-1.30	3
Methionine	0.329	0.306-0.368	3
Phenylalanine	0.991	0.960-1.04	3
Threonine	0.851	0.827-0.886	3
Tryptophan	0.187	0.171-0.211	3
Tyrosine	0.647	0.566-0.769	3
Valine	1.090	1.05-1.12	3
ssential Fatty Acids (percent of	total diet) (a)		
Linoleic	2.40	2.37-2.44	2
Linolenic	0.284	0.259-0.308	2
tamins (a)			
Vitamin A (IU/kg)	$11,936 \pm 2,547$	8,900-22,000	25
Vitamin D (IU/kg)	5,220	4,140-6,300	2
a-Tocopherol (ppm)	39.1	31.1-44.0	3
Thiamine (ppm)	$18.7 \pm 3.20$	14.0-26.0	(b) 24
Riboflavin (ppm)	7.3	6.1-8.1	3
Niacin (ppm)		-	
	82	65-97	3
Pantothenic acid (ppm)	30.2	23.0-30.5	3
Pyridoxine (ppm)	7.7	5.6-8.8	3
Folic acid (ppm)	2.5	1.8-3.4	3
Biotin (ppm)	0.27	0.21-0.32	3
Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb)	21.2	10.6-38.0	3
Choline (ppm)	3,337	3,200-3,430	3
linerals (a)			
Calcium (percent)	$1.22 \pm 0.10$	1.10-1.45	25
Phosphorus (percent)	$0.96 \pm 0.05$	0.84-1.10	25
Potassium (percent)	0.809	0.772-0.846	2
Chloride (percent)	0.581	0.479-0.635	3
Sodium (percent)	0.307	0.258-0.349	3
Magnesium (percent)	0.165	0.151-0.177	3
Sulfur (percent)	0.292	0.270-0.290	3
Iron (ppm)	420	409-431	3
Manganese (ppm)	420 87.7	81.7-95.5	3
			3
Zinc (ppm) Copper (ppm)	52.1	46.1-56.0	3
Copper (ppm)	11.15	8.09-15.70	3
	0.00		
Iodine (ppm)	2.66	1.52-3.64	3
	2.66 1.72 0.64	1.52-3.64 1.44-1.93 0.49-0.78	3 3 3

(a) Two or three batches of feed analyzed for nutrients reported in this table were manufactured in 1983 or 1984.
(b) One batch (7/22/81) not analyzed for thiamine

#### TABLE G4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Contaminants	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	Number of Samples
rsenic (ppm)	$0.45 \pm 0.11$	0.21-0.65	25
admium (ppm) (a)	<0.1		25
ead (ppm)	$0.95 \pm 0.78$	0.27-2.93	25
fercury (ppm) (a)	< 0.05		25
elenium (ppm)	$0.28 \pm 0.06$	0.16-0.40	25
flatoxins (ppb) (a,b)	<10	<5.0-<10.0	25
itrate nitrogen (ppm) (c)	$9.85 \pm 4.55$	0.6-19.0	25
itrite nitrogen (ppm) (c)	$1.92 \pm 1.28$	0.4-5.3	25
HA (ppm) (d)	$5.67 \pm 5.07$	1.5-20.0	25
HT (ppm) (d)	$3.35 \pm 2.55$	<1.0-13.0	25
erobic plate count (CFU/g) (e)	$121,420 \pm 94,844$	7,000-420,000	25
oliform (MPN/g) (f)	$965 \pm 991$	<3-2,400	25
. coli (MPN/g) (g)	$6.76 \pm 7.06$	<3-23	24
. coli (MPN/g) (h)	$12.64 \pm 29.46$	<3-150	25
otal nitrosamines (ppb) (i, j)	$4.40 \pm 3.16$	<1.2-12.9	24
otal nitrosamines (ppb) (i,k)	8.29 ± 19.41	1.2-100.3	25
-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (i,l)	$3.05 \pm 3.05$	0.6-12.0	24
-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (i,m)	$6.89 \pm 19.42$	0.6-99.0	25
Nitrosopyrrolidine (ppb)	$1.20 \pm 0.62$	<0.3-2.4	25
esticides (ppm)			
a-BHC (a,n)	< 0.01		25
β-BHC (a)	< 0.02		25
γ-BHC-Lindane (a)	< 0.01		25
δ-BHC (a)	< 0.01		25
Heptachlor (a)	< 0.01		25
Aldrin (a)	< 0.01		25
Heptachlor epoxide (a)	< 0.01		25
DDE (o)	< 0.01	0.05 (7/14/81)	25
DDD (a)	< 0.01		25
DDT (a)	< 0.01		25
HCB (a)	< 0.01		25
Mirex (a)	< 0.01		25
Methoxychlor (p)	< 0.05	0.13 (8/25/81); 0.6 (6/29/82)	25
Dieldrin (a)	< 0.01		25
Endrin (a)	< 0.01		25
Telodrin (a)	< 0.01		25
Chlordane (a)	< 0.05		25
Toxaphene (a)	<0.1		25
Estimated PCBs (a)	<0.2		25
Ronnel (a)	< 0.01		25
Ethion (a) Trithion (a)	< 0.02		25
Trithion (a) Diazinon (a)	< 0.05		25 25
Methyl parathion (a)	<0.1 <0.02		25 25
Ethyl parathion (a)	<0.02		25 25
Malathion (g)		~0.0F.0.95	25 25
Endosulfan I (a,r)	$0.08 \pm 0.05$	<0.05-0.25	25 17
Endosulfan II (a,r) Endosulfan II (a,r)	<0.01 <0.01		17

#### TABLE G4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (Continued)

(g) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude one high value of 150 obtained for the batch produced on 8/26/82.

(i) All values were corrected for percent recovery.

(j) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude one value of 100.3 obtained for the batch produced on 4/27/81.

(m) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the high value given in footnote (l).

(n) BHC = hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride

(o) One observation was above the detection limit. The value and the date it was obtained are listed under the range.

<sup>(</sup>a) All values were less than the detection limit, given in the table as the mean.

<sup>(</sup>b) The detection limit was reduced from 10 ppb to 5 ppb after 7/81.

<sup>(</sup>c) Source of contamination: alfalfa, grains, and fish meal

<sup>(</sup>d) Source of contamination: soy oil and fish meal

<sup>(</sup>e) CFU = colony-forming unit

<sup>(</sup>f) MPN = most probable number

<sup>(</sup>h) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the high value given in footnote (g).

<sup>(</sup>k) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the high value given in footnote (j).

<sup>(1)</sup> Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude one high value of 99.0 obtained for the batch produced on 4/27/81.

<sup>(</sup>p) Two observations were above the detection limit. The values and the dates they were obtained are given under the range. (q) Ten batches contained more than 0.05 ppm.

<sup>(</sup>r) Analysis for endosulfan I, endosulfan II, and endosulfan sulfate was started on 12/23/81.

/

## **APPENDIX H**

# AUDIT SUMMARY

Furosemide, NTP TR 356

## APPENDIX H. AUDIT SUMMARY

The pathology specimens, experimental data, study documents, and the October 1987 NTP Technical Report on the 2-year studies of furosemide in rats and mice were audited for the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) at the National Toxicology Program (NTP) Archives in December 1987 by Argus Research Laboratories. Complete reports are on file at the NIEHS. The audit included review of:

- (1) All records concerning animal receipt, quarantine, randomization, and disposition prior to study start.
- (2) All inlife records including protocol, correspondence, dosing, environmental conditions, masses, mortality, animal identification, and serology.
- (3) Body weight and clinical observation data for a random 10% sample of animals in each study group.
- (4) All chemistry records.
- (5) All postmortem records for individual animals concerning disposition codes, condition codes, tissue accountability, correlation of masses or clinical signs recorded at the last inlife observation with gross observations and microscopic diagnoses, and correlations between gross observations and microscopic diagnoses.
- (6) All wet tissue bags for inventory and wet tissues from a random 20% sample of animals from each study group plus other relevant cases to verify animal identity and to examine for untrimmed potential lesions.
- (7) Blocks and slides of tissues from a random 20% sample of animals from each study group to examine for proper match and inventory.
- (8) Correlation between original microscopic observations and tabulated pathology diagnoses for a random 10% sample of study animals to verify computer data entry.
- (9) Correlation between the data, results, and procedures for the 2-year studies presented in the Technical Report and the records available at the NTP Archives.

The audit showed that inlife procedures and events were documented by the archival records with minor exceptions. Dose mixtures were prepared and administered to animals properly except for two times when mixtures were prepared using half the feed required to prepare the 350-ppm mixtures and the records did not document what happened to the resulting 700-ppm mixtures. The feed consumption values presented in the Technical Report were verified by comparison with archival data for all but 5/9 values in male mice. Of the tissue masses noted among the inlife records, 143/154 in rats and 85/96 in mice were correlated with necropsy observations. The documentation for analysis of formulated diets throughout the studies was reviewed and found to be complete and accurate.

The audit of the pathology specimens showed that identifiers (punched ears) were present and correct for 48/68 rats and 27/67 mice examined. Review of residual lesions in the wet tissues for animals where the ears were absent or mutilated showed reasonable correspondence with the lesions described at necropsy; thus, the evidence suggests proper animal identification. The audit identified untrimmed potential lesions in nontarget organs for one rat and one mouse and revealed that the small intestine or colon had not been completely opened in most of the 68 rats and 67 mice examined.

Full details about these and other audit findings are presented in the audit report. In conclusion, the data and results presented in the draft Technical Report for the 2-year studies of furosemide are supported by the records at the NTP Archives.