NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM Technical Report Series No. 377

No. 377

ALTH & HUA



Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

#### FOREWORD

The National Toxicology Program (NTP) is made up of four charter agencies of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS): the National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health; the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health; the National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR), Food and Drug Administration; and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control. In July 1981, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Testing Program, NCI, was transferred to the NIEHS. The NTP coordinates the relevant programs, staff, and resources from these Public Health Service agencies relating to basic and applied research and to biological assay development and validation.

The NTP develops, evaluates, and disseminates scientific information about potentially toxic and hazardous chemicals. This knowledge is used for protecting the health of the American people and for the primary prevention of disease.

The studies described in this Technical Report were performed under the direction of the NIEHS and were conducted in compliance with NTP chemical health and safety requirements and must meet or exceed all applicable Federal, state, and local health and safety regulations. Animal care and use were in accordance with the Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Animals. All NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies are subjected to a comprehensive audit before being presented for public review. This Technical Report has been reviewed and approved by the NTP Board of Scientific Counselors' Peer Review Panel in public session; the interpretations described herein represent the official scientific position of the NTP.

These studies are designed and conducted to characterize and evaluate the toxicologic potential, including carcinogenic activity, of selected chemicals in laboratory animals (usually two species, rats and mice). Chemicals selected for NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies are chosen primarily on the bases of human exposure, level of production, and chemical structure. Selection per se is not an indicator of a chemical's carcinogenic potential.

These NTP Technical Reports are available for sale from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-487-4650). Single copies of this Technical Report are available without charge while supplies last from the NTP Public Information Office, NIEHS, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (919-541-3991).

## NTP TECHNICAL REPORT

## ON THE

# **TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS**

# STUDIES OF CS2

(94% o-CHLOROBENZALMALONONITRILE, CAS NO. 2698-41-1)

## IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

## (INHALATION STUDIES)

Kamal Abdo, Ph.D., Study Scientist

NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM P.O. Box 12233 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

March 1990

**NTP TR 377** 

NIH Publication No. 90-2832

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

#### CONTENTS

ABST	`RACT	3
EXPL	ANATION OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY	6
CONT	TRIBUTORS	7
PEER	R REVIEW PANEL	8
SUMM	MARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS	9
I.	INTRODUCTION	11
II.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	17
III.	RESULTS	25
	RATS	
	MICE	37
	GENETIC TOXICOLOGY	46
IV.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	47
V.	REFERENCES	51

#### APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION	
	STUDY OF CS2	57
APPENDIX B	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION	
	STUDY OF CS2	89
APPENDIX C	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION	
	STUDY OF CS2	121
APPENDIX D	SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION	
	STUDY OF CS2	143
APPENDIX E	SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM	171
APPENDIX F	INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN	
	NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	175
APPENDIX G	CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION, GENERATION, AND MONITORING OF	
	CHAMBER CONCENTRATIONS OF CS2 FOR THE TOXICOLOGY STUDIES	179
APPENDIX H	GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF CS2	195
APPENDIX I	ORGAN WEIGHTS OF RATS AND MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK	
	INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2	205
APPENDIX J	AUDIT SUMMARY	209



#### **o**-CHLOROBENZALMALONONITRILE (CS)

C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>5</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub> Molecular weight 188.6

CS2 is 94% o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile (CAS No. 2698-41-1) formulated in a mixture of 5% Cab-O-Sil® colloidal silica and 1% hexamethyldisilizane (CAS No. 999-97-3).

#### ABSTRACT

CS2 (94% o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile [CS]; 5% Cab-O-Sil<sup>®</sup> colloidal silica; 1% hexamethyldisilizane), an eye and respiratory irritant, is used as an aerosol to control riots. Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies were conducted by exposing groups of F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice of each sex for 6 hours per day, 5 days per week for 2 weeks, 13 weeks, or 2 years, to a CS2 aerosol. Genetic toxicology studies with CS2 were conducted in *Salmonella typhimurium*, mouse lymphoma cells, and Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells.

Fourteen-Day Studies: At exposure concentrations of 0, 1, 3, 10, 30, or 100 mg/m<sup>3</sup> CS2, all rats exposed to 30 or 100 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and all mice exposed to 10, 30, or 100 mg/m<sup>3</sup> died before the end of the studies. Compound-related clinical signs observed included erythema, blepharospasm, listlessness, nasal discharge, and mouth breathing.

Thirteen-Week Studies: At exposure concentrations of 0, 0.4, 0.75, 1.5, 3, or 6 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, 1/10 male rats exposed to 6 mg/m<sup>3</sup> died before the end of the studies. Final mean body weights of rats exposed to 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> or more were 17%-44% lower than that of controls for males and 10%-24% lower for females. The absolute and relative thymus weights were reduced for exposed male and female rats, particularly at 6 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Compound-related lesions of the nasal passage in rats included focal erosion with regenerative hyperplasia and squamous metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium and suppurative inflammation. Acute inflammation and hyperplasia of the respiratory epithelium were seen in the larynx and trachea of some exposed rats.

All mice exposed to 6 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and 1/10 males and 1/10 females exposed to 3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> died before the end of the studies. Final mean body weights of mice exposed to 3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> were 13% lower than that of controls for males and 9% lower for females. Compound-related lesions of the nasal passage in mice included squamous metaplasia of the nasal respiratory epithelium and inflammation.

Based on these results, CS2 exposure concentrations for the 2-year studies were 0, 0.075, 0.25, or 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for 6 hours per day, 5 days per week for 105 weeks for groups of 50 rats of each sex. Groups of 50 mice of each sex were exposed to 0, 0.75, or 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> on the same schedule.

Body Weights and Survival in the Two-Year Studies: Final mean body weights of rats exposed to 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> were 7%-11% lower than those of controls. Final mean body weights of mice exposed to CS2 were lower than those of controls (male: 5% and 9%; female: 10% and 17%). No compound-related

clinical signs were observed. No significant differences in survival were seen for any group of rats or mice of either sex.

Nonneoplastic and Neoplastic Effects in the Two-Year Studies: Compound-related nonneoplastic lesions occurred in the nasal passage of exposed rats and mice. In exposed rats, hyperplasia and squamous metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium and degeneration of the olfactory epithelium with ciliated columnar and/or squamous metaplasia were observed. Focal chronic inflammation and proliferation of the periosteum of the turbinate bones were increased slightly in rats at the top exposure concentration. Suppurative inflammation with hyperplasia and squamous metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium occurred in exposed mice.

There were no compound-related increased incidences of neoplasms in rats or mice exposed to CS2. In exposed female mice, there were pronounced decreases in the incidences of adenomas of the pituitary pars distalis (control, 13/47;  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , 5/46;  $1.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , 1/46) and decreased incidences of malignant lymphomas (21/50; 12/50; 8/50).

*Genetic Toxicology:* The responses in Salmonella gene mutation tests with CS2 were equivocal in one laboratory for strain TA100 in the absence of exogenous metabolic activation (S9) and equivocal in another laboratory for TA97 with S9; in all other strains tested, CS2 was clearly negative with or without S9. CS2 induced trifluorothymidine resistance in mouse L5178Y/TK lymphoma cells in the absence of S9; it was not tested with S9. CS2 induced both sister chromatid exchanges and chromosomal aberrations in CHO cells with and without S9.

Conclusions: Under the conditions of these inhalation studies, there was no evidence of carcinogenic activity<sup>\*</sup> of CS2 for male or female F344/N rats exposed to 0.075, 0.25, or 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> in air for up to 2 years. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity for male or female B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice exposed to 0.75 or 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> in air for up to 2 years. Concentration-related decreases in the incidences of pituitary gland adenomas and lymphomas were observed in female mice.

Exposure to CS2 caused degeneration and squamous metaplasia of the olfactory epithelium, hyperplasia and metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium, and proliferation of the periosteum of the nasal passage of rats. In mice, exposure to this compound caused suppurative inflammation and hyperplasia and squamous metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium of the nasal passage.

<sup>\*</sup>Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 6.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 9.

SUMMARY OF THE	TWO-YEAR	INHALATION	STUDIES	OF CS2
----------------	----------	------------	---------	--------

Male F344/N Rats	Female F344/N Rats	Male B6C3F <sub>1</sub> Mice	Female B6C3F <sub>1</sub> Mice
<b>Exposure concentrations</b> 0, 0.075, 0.25, or $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$ CS2, 6 h/d, 5 d/wk	0, 0.075, 0.25, or 0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup> CS2, 6 h/d, 5 d/wk	0, 0.75, or 1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup> CS2, 6 h/d, 5 d/wk	0, 0.75, or 1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup> CS2, 6 h/d, 5 d/wk
Body weights in the 2-year s Highest exposure group lower than controls	t <b>udy</b> Highest exposure group lower than controls	Exposed groups lower than controls	Exposed groups lower than controls
<b>Survival rates in the 2-year</b> 26/50; 17/50; 21/50; 26/50	study 20/50; 24/50; 29/50; 27/50	38/50; 42/50; 40/50	33/50; 40/50; 40/50
Nonneoplastic effects Nasal passage: degeneration and squamous metaplasia of the olfactory epithelium; hyperplasia and metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium; proliferation of the periosteum in the nasal turbinate	Nasal passage: degeneration and squamous metaplasia of the olfactory epithelium; hyperpla- sia and metaplasia of the respi- ratory epithelium; proliferation of the periosteum in the nasal turbinate	Nasal passage: suppurative inflammation; hyperplasia and squamous metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium	Nasal passage: suppura- tive inflammation; hyper- plasia and squamous metaplasia of the res- piratory epithelium
<b>Neoplastic effects</b> None	None	None	None
Level of evidence of carcino No evidence	<b>genic activity</b> No evidence	No evidence	No evidence
Other considerations None	None	None	Reduced incidences of pituitary pars distalis adenomas (13/47; 5/46; 1/46) and lymphomas (21/50; 12/50; 8/50)

#### EXPLANATION OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY

The National Toxicology Program describes the results of individual experiments on a chemical agent and notes the strength of the evidence for conclusions regarding each study. Negative results, in which the study animals do not have a greater incidence of neoplasia than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a chemical is carcinogenic for laboratory animals under the conditions of the study and indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans. Other organizations, such as the International Agency for Research on Cancer, assign a strength of evidence for conclusions based on an examination of all available evidence including: animal studies such as those conducted by the NTP, epidemiologic studies, and estimates of exposure. Thus, the actual determination of risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in laboratory tory animals requires a wider analysis that extends beyond the purview of these studies.

Five categories of evidence of carcinogenic activity are used in the Technical Report series to summarize the strength of the evidence observed in each experiment: two categories for positive results ("Clear Evidence" and "Some Evidence"); one category for uncertain findings ("Equivocal Evidence"); one category for no observable effects ("No Evidence"); and one category for experiments that because of major flaws cannot be evaluated ("Inadequate Study"). These categories of interpretative conclusions were first adopted in June 1983 and then revised in March 1986 for use in the Technical Reports series to incorporate more specifically the concept of actual weight of evidence of carcinogenic activity. For each separate experiment (male rats, female rats, male mice, female mice), one of the following quintet is selected to describe the findings. These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to either potency or mechanism.

- Clear Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a dose-related (i) increase of malignant neoplasms, (ii) increase of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms, or (iii) marked increase of benign neoplasms if there is an indication from this or other studies of the ability of such tumors to progress to malignancy.
- Some Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of neoplasms (malignant, benign, or combined) in which the strength of the response is less than that required for clear evidence.
- Equivocal Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a marginal increase of neoplasms that may be chemically related.
- No Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing no chemically related increases in malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Inadequate Study of Carcinogenic Activity is demonstrated by studies that because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations cannot be interpreted as valid for showing either the presence or absence of carcinogenic activity.

When a conclusion statement for a particular experiment is selected, consideration must be given to key factors that would extend the actual boundary of an individual category of evidence. This should allow for incorporation of scientific experience and current understanding of long-term carcinogenesis studies in laboratory animals, especially for those evaluations that may be on the borderline between two adjacent levels. These considerations should include:

- The adequacy of the experimental design and conduct;
- Occurrence of common versus uncommon neoplasia;
- Progression (or lack thereof) from benign to malignant neoplasia as well as from preneoplastic to neoplastic lesions;
- Some benign neoplasms have the capacity to regress but others (of the same morphologic type) progress. At present, it is impossible to identify the difference. Therefore, where progression is known to be a possibility, the most prudent course is to assume that benign neoplasms of those types have the potential to become malignant;
- Combining benign and malignant tumor incidences known or thought to represent stages of progression in the same organ or tissue;
- Latency in tumor induction;
- Multiplicity in site-specific neoplasia;
- Metastases;
- Supporting information from proliferative lesions (hyperplasia) in the same site of neoplasia or in other experiments (same lesion in another sex or species);
- The presence or absence of dose relationships;
- The statistical significance of the observed tumor increase;
- The concurrent control tumor incidence as well as the historical control rate and variability for a specific neoplasm;
- Survival-adjusted analyses and false positive or false negative concerns;
- Structure-activity correlations; and
- In some cases, genetic toxicology.

#### CONTRIBUTORS

The NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of CS2 is based on 13-week studies that began in February 1982 and ended in May 1982 and on 2-year studies that began in December 1982 and ended in January 1985 at Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories (Richland, WA).

#### National Toxicology Program (Evaluated Experiment, Interpreted Results, and Reported Findings)

Kamal Abdo, Ph.D., Study Scientist

Scot L. Eustis, D.V.M., Ph.D. Joseph K. Haseman, Ph.D.

Micheal Jokinen, D.V.M.

## (Discipline Leaders and Principal Contributors)

Charles Alden, Ph.D. Jack Bishop, Ph.D. G.A. Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D. Douglas W. Bristol, Ph.D. Thomas J. Goehl, Ph.D. R. Griesemer, D.V.M., Ph.D. G.N. Rao, D.V.M., Ph.D. J. Roycroft, Ph.D. Douglas Walters, Ph.D.

#### NTP Pathology Working Group (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report for Rats on 4/15/88)

Frank Voelker, D.V.M., D.A.C.V.P. (Chair) Pathology Associates, Inc.
Micheal Jokinen, D.V.M. (NTP)
A.W. Macklin, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Burroughs Wellcome Laboratories)
James Majka, D.V.M. (Merck, Sharp & Dohme Research Laboratories) Margarita McDonald, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Rodney Miller, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories) Katsuhiko Yoshitomi, D.V.M., Ph.D. Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc.

#### (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report for Mice on 3/3/88)

L. Brennecke, D.V.M. (Chair) Pathology Associates, Inc.
R. Cattley, V.D.M., Ph.D. (North Carolina State University)
Michael Elwell, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP)
Bradley F. Hamilton, D.V.M., Ph.D. Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. Takanori Harada, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Micheal Jokinen, D.V.M. (NTP) Margarita McDonald, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Roger A. Renne, D.V.M. (Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories)

Principal Contributors at Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories (Conducted Studies and Evaluated Tissues)

H.A. Ragan, D.V.M. W.J. Clarke, D.V.M., Ph.D. Rodney Miller, D.V.M., Ph.D. F.G. Burton, Ph.D. Roger A. Renne, D.V.M.

Principal Contributors at Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. (Provided Pathology Quality Assurance)

Katsuhiko Yoshitomi, D.V.M., Ph.D.

Bradley F. Hamilton, D.V.M., Ph.D.

Principal Contributors at Carltech Associates, Inc. (Contractor for Technical Report Preparation)

William D. Theriault, Ph.D. Abigail C. Jacobs, Ph.D. John Warner, M.S. Naomi Levy, B.A.

#### PEER REVIEW PANEL

The members of the Peer Review Panel who evaluated the draft Technical Report on CS2 on November 20, 1989, are listed below. Panel members serve as independent scientists, not as representatives of any institution, company, or governmental agency. In this capacity, Panel members have five major responsibilities: (a) to ascertain that all relevant literature data have been adequately cited and interpreted, (b) to determine if the design and conditions of the NTP studies were appropriate, (c) to ensure that the Technical Report presents the experimental results and conclusions fully and clearly, (d) to judge the significance of the experimental results by scientific criteria, and (e) to assess the evaluation of the evidence of carcinogenicity and other observed toxic responses.

#### National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors Technical Reports Review Subcommittee

Robert A. Scala, Ph.D. (Chair) Senior Scientific Advisor, Medicine and Environmental Health Department Research and Environmental Health Division, Exxon Corporation East Millstone, NJ

Daniel S. Longnecker, M.D. Professor, Department of Pathology Dartmouth Medical School Hanover, NH Ellen K. Silbergeld, Ph.D. Senior Scientist Environmental Defense Fund Washington, DC

#### Ad Hoc Subcommittee Panel of Experts

John Ashby, Ph.D. Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC Central Toxicology Laboratory Alderley Park, England

Gary P. Carlson, Ph.D. Professor of Toxicology, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN

Harold Davis, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) School of Aerospace Medicine Brooks Air Force Base San Antonio, TX

Robert H. Garman, D.V.M. Consultants in Veterinary Pathology Murrysville, PA

Lois Swirsky Gold, Ph.D. University of California Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Berkeley, CA David W. Hayden, D.V.M., Ph.D. Professor, Department of Veterinary Pathobiology College of Veterinary Medicine University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN

Curtis D. Klaassen, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Professor, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology University of Kansas Medical Center Kansas City, KS

Barbara McKnight, Ph.D. Associate Professor Department of Biostatistics University of Washington Seattle, WA

Lauren Zeise, Ph.D. California Department of Health Services/RCHAS Berkeley, CA

## SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF CS2

On November 20, 1989, the draft Technical Report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of CS2 received public review by the National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors' Technical Reports Review Subcommittee and associated Panel of Experts. The review meeting was held at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC.

In the absence of Dr. K. Abdo, Dr. R. Melnick, NIEHS, began the discussion by reviewing the experimental design, results, and proposed conclusions (no evidence of carcinogenic activity for male or female rats, no evidence of carcinogenic activity for male or female mice).

Dr. Klaassen, a principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions. He commented on the concentrationrelated decreased incidences of adenomas of the pituitary gland and of lymphomas in female mice and wondered if these decreases could be related to decreases in weight gain and longer life span. Dr. Melnick said that there was a suggestion that the decreased incidences of lymphomas could be related to body weight differences between exposed animals and controls. Dr. Klaassen noted the similarity of the nonneoplastic toxic changes in the respiratory epithelium of the nasal passages to those seen with formaldehyde and thought that a comparison of the respective toxicities would be of interest, especially in view of the differences in carcinogenicity. Dr. S. Eustis, NIEHS, commented that the most prominent analogous lesion was squamous metaplasia, which was extensive in the formaldehyde studies but was focal and limited in extent in the CS2 studies. However, without actual quantitative data obtained from morphometry or cell turnover studies, more than descriptive comparisons would be difficult.

Dr. Davis, the second principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions. He asked why a low dose in mice more comparable to the lowest exposure concentration in rats was not used. Dr. Melnick reported that in rats there seemed to be a greater chemical-related effect on body weights, as well as on lesions within the respiratory tract, than in mice in short-term studies. Since a no-effect level (NOEL) was not achieved in rats in short-term studies, a lower concentration was used in the 2-year studies in an attempt to reach a NOEL. A much lower concentration in the 2-year studies in mice was not necessary because a NOEL had effectively been achieved in the short-term studies.

There was some discussion about the renal tubular cell adenomas seen in two female rats in the mid exposure concentration group. Dr. Eustis explained that the neoplasms were not considered to be related to exposure to CS2 because neoplasms were not seen in either the low or high exposure groups and because there was no supporting hyperplasia.

Dr. Klaassen moved that the Technical Report on *o*-chlorobenzalmalononitrile be accepted with the conclusions as written for male and female rats and mice, no evidence of carcinogenic activity. Dr. Davis seconded the motion, which was accepted unanimously.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Chemical and Physical Properties Production and Use Human Exposure and Health Effects Toxicity in Humans Toxicity in Animals Carcinogenicity Absorption and Metabolism Genotoxicity Study Rationale



#### o-CHLOROBENZALMALONONITRILE (CS)

 $C_{10}H_5ClN_2$  Molecular weight 188.6

CS2 is 94% o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile (CAS No. 2698-41-1) formulated in a mixture of 5% Cab-O-Sil® colloidal silica and 1% hexamethyldisilizane (CAS No. 999-97-3).

o-Chlorobenzalmalononitrile aerosol (CS2), a mixture of 94% o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile (CS), 1% hexamethyldisilizane [((CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NH], and 5% Cab-O-Sil<sup>®</sup> (colloidal silica), is used in riot control. The active component (CS) is a potent lacrimator and sternutator. It is a condensation product of chlorobenzaldehyde with malononitrile (Corson and Stoughton, 1928).

#### **Chemical and Physical Properties**

CS is a white, crystalline solid with an odor similar to that of pepper (ACGIH, 1980). It has a melting point of 94° C and a boiling point of 310°-315° C. It is sparingly soluble in water ( $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  M), with a half-life of 14 minutes at pH 7.4 and 25° C. The vapor pressure of the solid at 20° C is  $3.4 \times 10^{-5}$  mm mercury (Ballantyne and Swanston, 1978). Hydrolysis of CS produces o-chlorobenzaldehyde and malononitrile. Hexamethyldisilizane is a colorless, water-soluble liquid with a boiling point of 123°-125° C, which is added to deactivate the Cab-O-Sil<sup>®</sup> and slow the hydrolysis of CS in the environment. Cab-O-Sil<sup>®</sup> is an inert dust used as a carrier for CS.

#### Production and Use

No production data are available. The irritant properties of CS (Corson and Stoughton, 1928), together with its moderate degree of toxicity (Punte et al., 1962), have led to its use as a riot control agent (ACS, 1976). Aerosol concentrations of 4 mg/m<sup>3</sup> will disperse the majority of rioters within 1 minute, and 10 mg/m<sup>3</sup> will deter trained troops (Upshall, 1973).

#### Human Exposure and Health Effects

Although the number of humans exposed to this chemical has not been determined, human exposure does occur through its use as a riot control agent and during manufacture. The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists adopted a threshold limit value/timeweighted average of 0.4 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (ACGIH, 1988).

#### Toxicity in Humans

CS is a peripheral sensory irritant. Typical symptoms of exposure to aerosols of this chemical include eye irritation, excess lacrimation, blepharospasm, burning sensations in the nose and throat, excess salivation, constricting sensations in the chest, sneezing and coughing, and stinging or burning sensations on the exposed skin (Ballantyne, 1977). Men exposed to 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> of CS in air developed headaches within 90 minutes. Concentrations of 4.3-6.7 mg/m<sup>3</sup> were intolerable unless the increase in exposure had been gradual (Punte et al., 1963). Concentrations in excess of 14 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for 1 hour under simulated tropical conditions produced extreme irritation, erythema, and vesication of the skin of volunteers. The cutaneous effects observed were a function of climatic conditions, race, and skin characteristics (Weigand, 1969). Volunteers exposed to dry CS for 1 hour developed mild irritation within 30 minutes (this disappeared after removal of the CS) and faint erythema, which faded over 1-2 days; moistened CS gave a somewhat greater response than did dry CS (Holland and White, 1972).

### **Toxicity in Animals**

Ballantyne and Swanston (1978) reported the  $LD_{50}$  and  $LCt_{50}$  (median lethal toxicity) values for rats and mice given CS by various routes of administration (Table 1).

CS is equally toxic when given by the intravenous or intraperitoneal routes and less toxic when given orally or by inhalation. The high toxicity of CS when given by the intraperitoneal or intravenous routes is due to its rapid metabolism, which leads to high levels of cyanide and thiocvanate in the urine (Jones and Israel, 1970; Cucinell et al., 1971). There is evidence for the endogenous release of cvanide in rats exposed to air containing CS at high concentrations (21,000 mg-min/m<sup>3</sup>) (Frankenberg and Sorbo, 1973). Animals that died within 48 hours after inhalation exposure showed extreme congestion, marked congestion of the alveolar capillaries and intrapulmonary veins, interpulmonary and intrapulmonary hemorrhage, and excess secretions in the bronchioles and intrapulmonary bronchi (Himsworth, 1971). Male rats and mice exposed to CS at concentrations at or below 30 ug/liter for 1 hour per day did not show any harmful effects. The most frequent histologic finding observed at higher doses in mice was an increase in the incidence of laryngitis and tracheitis (Marrs et al., 1983).

### Carcinogenicity

*Human:* No studies were found which indicate whether CS is carcinogenic to humans.

Animal: Sprague Dawley rats and A/J mice exposed to 21 mg/m<sup>3</sup> CS for 2.5-25 minutes per day for 20 days (followed by histopathologic examinations at 6, 12, 18, or 24 months) did not show any compound-related increases in neoplasm incidences (McNamara et al., 1973). Rats and mice exposed at concentrations as high as 300  $\mu$ g/liter for 1 hour per day, 5 days per week for 120 exposure days followed by a 60-day observation period, did not show dose-related increases in neoplasm incidences at any site (Marrs et al., 1983). In these studies, the exposure period was not sufficiently long nor were the exposure concentrations high enough to determine the carcinogenic potential of CS.

Degenerative changes in the thyroid follicular epithelium of the cellular material and hypertrophy of adrenal cortical and medullary epithelial cells were seen in female albino rats (strain not specified) given intraperitoneal injections of 10 or 20 mg CS/kg body weight per day for 10 days (Chowdhury et al., 1978a,b). These changes were attributed to stress resulting from the irritant properties of CS. Cytochemical examination of the adrenal glands revealed a significant increase in periodic acid-Schiff (PAS), sudanophilic, and alkaline phosphatase reactions in the medullary epithelial cells (Chowdhury et al., 1979). The increase in the PAS reaction was attributed to a stress-related inhibition of lysosomal enzymes and an accumulation of glucose-6-phosphate leading to increased glycogen synthesis. The increases in lipids (sudanophilic reaction) and alkaline phosphatase were attributed to a possible increased synthesis of corticosteroids under stress.

Route	Species	Sex	LD <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg)	$\frac{LCt_{50}}{(mg \times min / m^3)}$
Intravenous	Rat Mouse	Female Male	28 48	
Intraperitoneal	Rat	Male	48	
Oral	Rat Rat	Male Female	1,366 1,284	
Inhalation	Rat Mouse	Male Male		88,480 50,010

TABLE 1. LD <sub>50</sub> AND LCt <sub>50</sub> VALUES FOR RATS AND MICE GIVEN CS BY VARIOUS	ROUTES OF
ADMINISTRATION	

The humoral immune response to sheep erythrocytes was suppressed in Swiss albino mice given CS in olive oil by intraperitoneal injection (8 or 16 mg/kg per day for 10 days) (Nagarkatti et al., 1981). Additionally, blood corticosterone levels were increased only in mice receiving the highest dose of CS and were more than twice those in controls. CS was found to inhibit cytochrome oxidase, pyruvate dehydrogenase, succinate dehydrogenase, lactate dehydrogenase, malate dehydrogenase, and glutamate dehydrogenase in the brain and liver of rats given an intraperitoneal injection of 10 or 20 mg/kg CS per day for 10 days (Dube, 1980). The inhibition of cytochrome oxidase was probably due to a reaction with cyanide produced during the metabolism of CS in the liver.

### Absorption and Metabolism

In early studies with CS, 2-chlorohippuric acid was identified as the major urinary metabolite in the rat (Cucinell et al., 1971). In later studies, it was shown that CS can be absorbed from the respiratory tract, as indicated by the presence of two additional metabolites in the blood, 2-chlorobenzyl malononitrile and 2-chlorobenzaldehyde (Leadbeater, 1973; Leadbeater et al., 1973). Absorption of CS from the respiratory tract of rats was demonstrated by the increased urinary excretion of thiocyanate after exposure at high concentrations (3.5 g/m<sup>3</sup> for 6 minutes) of an aerosol of this compound (Frankenberg and Sorbo, 1973). The fate of <sup>3</sup>H-ring-labeled, <sup>14</sup>C-cyanidelabeled, and  $({}^{14}C = C)$  side chain-labeled CS was studied in Porton rats given intraperitoneal or gavage doses ranging from 0.08 to 159 µmol/kg (Brewster et al., 1987). In most cases, the largest proportion (44%-100%) of the dose was eliminated in the urine. The major urinary metabolites identified were 2-chlorohippuric acid, 1-O-(2-chlorobenzyl)glucuronic acid, 2-chlorobenzyl cysteine, and 2-chlorobenzoic acid. Minor metabolites identified included 2-chlorobenzyl alcohol and 2-chlorophenyl-2-cyanopropionate. Urinary cvanate levels for rats at doses of 80 µmol/kg were two to five times higher than those for controls. Urinary thiocyanate levels were increased with the increase in dose. These trends are similar to those observed with malononitrile (a hydrolysis product of CS). The proposed pathways for the metabolism of CS in rats are shown in Figure 1.

## Genotoxicity

CS was found to bind to nuclear proteins but not to DNA in rats. In a study in which Sprague Dawley rats were administered an intraperitoneal injection of 13 mg/kg of CS with a 14Clabel at the benzylic carbon, very little radioactivity was found in liver DNA 8 or 75 hours after the animals were dosed (von Daeniken et al., 1981). However, a considerable amount of radioactivity was observed in nuclear proteins isolated from liver and kidney at these times. The binding to protein may have occurred between the carbons at the double bond in CS and the sulfhydryl groups of proteins. Additionally, the binding could have occurred between o-chlorobenzaldehyde (a hydrolysis product) and the amino groups of proteins.

Results of bacterial mutagenicity assays with CS were generally negative (Rietveld et al., 1983; Wild et al., 1983), although there have been reports of equivocal to weakly positive responses observed in Salmonella strain TA100 in the absence of S9 activation (von Daeniken et al., 1981; Zeiger et al., 1987) and in TA97 with S9 (Zeiger et al., 1987). Administration of CS in feed did not result in an increase in sex-linked recessive lethal mutations in germ cells of male Drosophila (Wild et al., 1983). In mammalian cell cultures, positive results were reported for gene mutation induction in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells (McGregor et al., 1988), and cytogenetic tests conducted by the National Toxicology Program in Chinese hamster ovary cells were positive for induction of sister chromatid exchanges and chromosomal aberrations in the presence and absence of S9 (Tables H3 and H4). However, Wild et al. (1983) reported no increase in micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes in





the bone marrow of mice administered CS either by intraperitoneal injection or orally.

Limited mutagenicity data are available on several of the metabolites of CS; o-chlorobenzaldehdye, malononitrile, 2-chlorobenzoic acid, and 2-chlorobenzyl alcohol all were negative for induction of gene mutations in Salmonella (Nestmann et al., 1980; Sayler et al., 1982; Rietveld et al., 1983; Riggin et al., 1983; Zeiger et al., 1988).

#### **Study Rationale**

o-Chlorobenzalmalononitrile (CS) was nominated by the National Cancer Institute for evaluation of its carcinogenic potential because of its use as a riot control agent and because of lack of adequate testing. The inhalation route of exposure was chosen because human exposure to this chemical occurs through its use as an aerosol during riot control.

## **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF CS2 GENERATION AND MONITORING OF CHAMBER

## CONCENTRATIONS

Generation System Concentration Monitoring Chamber Concentrations Chamber Atmosphere Characterization

FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

## THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

## **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

Study Design Source and Specifications of Animals Animal Maintenance Clinical Examinations and Pathology Statistical Methods

#### PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF CS2

CS2, a formulated mixture of 94% o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile, 1% hexamethyldisilizane, and 5% Cab-O-Sil<sup>®</sup> colloidal silica, was obtained in one lot (lot no. APG-55-MD) from Aberdeen Proving Ground (Aberdeen, MD). Purity and identity analyses were conducted at Midwest Research Institute (Kansas City, MO) (Appendix G).

The study chemical was identified as *o*-chlorobenzalmalononitrile by infrared, ultraviolet/visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. The *o*-chlorobenzalmalononitrile content of the CS2 formulation was found to be approximately 94%, as determined by elemental analysis, thin-layer chromatography, and gas chromatography. Elemental analysis also established the presence of 5% silica. No hexamethyldisilizane was detected.

Stability studies based on *o*-chlorobenzalmalononitrile (CS) content indicated that the chemical was stable after storage in the dark for 2 weeks at up to  $60^{\circ}$  C. The purity and identity of CS were confirmed throughout the studies by gas chromatography and by infrared spectroscopy.

### GENERATION AND MONITORING OF CHAMBER CONCENTRATIONS

#### **Generation System**

The CS2 aerosol was generated from the powder with a dual-brush dust feed mechanism (Table G1). Aerosol was then passed through a krypton-83 deionizer into a distribution line. Aerosol pumps for each chamber (Hazleton 2000<sup>®</sup>, Lab Products, Inc.) pulled a fraction of the aerosol from the distribution line and into the chamber after dilution with HEPA-filtered air.

The exposure atmosphere comprised four phases (o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile particles, o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile vapor, o-chlorobenzaldehyde [a degradation product] vapor, and colloidal silica particles). The proportion of the various phases differed at different chamber concentrations of CS2.

### **Concentration Monitoring**

A RAM-S forward light-scattering monitor determined aerosol concentrations in each chamber approximately once per hour during the 2year studies. The output of the monitor was used as an indication of the stability of the total aerosol concentration and for necessary concentration adjustments during the exposure period. Calibration of the RAM-S to determine chamber atmospheric o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile and ochlorobenzaldehyde (referred to from this point as total organics) was accomplished by collecting samples in a bubbler containing chloroform, followed by gas chromatographic analysis. The relationship of total aerosol and total organics is complex and is described in detail in Appendix G.

#### **Chamber Concentrations**

During the 14-day and 13-week studies, only the aerosolized o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile was collected on the filter grab samples for gas chromatographic analysis, and the resultant data were used to define the chamber concentrations. The target aerosol concentrations for the 2-year studies were chosen based on data from the 14day and 13-week studies. However, since the RAM-S monitor will only detect particulate ochlorobenzalmalononitrile and silica particles, the actual concentration of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile, as well as the degradation product ochlorobenzaldehyde, in the chambers will be much higher than that indicated by the RAM-S monitor. It was subsequently determined that the target aerosol concentrations of 0.075, 0.25, 0.75, and  $1.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$  corresponded to actual total chamber organic concentrations of 0.15, 0.56, 1.9, and 2.7 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively.

The percentage of total organics that was o-chlorobenzaldehyde was found to be related to the chamber concentration as well as to the animal species in the chambers. With mice present, the average percentage of o-chlorobenzaldehyde in the 1.9 and 2.7 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (total organics) chambers were 9% and 10%, respectively. With rats in the chambers, the average percentage of o-chlorobenzaldehyde in the 0.15, 0.56, and 1.9 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (total organics) chambers were 31%, 25%, and 21%, respectively. For comparison, the bulk chemical contained less than 0.05% o-chlorobenzaldehyde. The control limits for the RAM-S readings were set at  $\pm 15\%$  of the target concentrations. Although the RAM-S readings were held to within these limits, the total organics concentrations occasionally drifted. Two causes of the drift were traced to the initial use of new containers of CS2 and the periodic cleaning of the generator, both of which could have resulted in changes in particle size which would affect RAM-S response. Weekly mean exposure concentrations (total organics) for the 2-year studies are presented in Figures G6 through G10. A summary of the chamber concentrations is presented in Table G2.

### **Chamber Atmosphere Characterization**

The results of several studies demonstrated that decomposition of the study material in the exposure chambers was due to hydrolysis of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile vapor. To determine the extent and source of degradation of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile within the chamber, chamber air samples during the short-term studies were taken (1) from a chamber containing animals, (2) from a chamber from which the animals had been removed but the dirty catch pans were left in place, and (3) from a clean chamber. Based on the analysis of bubbler samples, the degree of degradation was related to the amount of water vapor as well as feces and urine in the catch pans. In all cases, the major product detected by gas chromatography was o-chlorobenzaldehyde, the expected hydrolysis product of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile.

Further characterization of the chamber atmosphere components was performed during the 2year studies. This analysis demonstrated that the chambers having the lowest target concentration had the highest ratio of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile vapor to total o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile present and the largest fraction of o-chlorobenzaldehyde. o-Chlorobenzaldehyde concentration was affected by animal loading (the mouse chamber produced less o-chlorobenzaldehyde than the rat chamber having the same target concentration), the presence of urine and feces, and the presence of excess water vapor. The uniformity of aerosol chamber concentrations was checked with the RAM-S aerosol monitor at approximately 3-month intervals throughout the studies. As could be expected in studies with a complex atmosphere, the uniformity of chamber concentrations did not meet NTP specifications of  $\pm 5\%$  relative standard deviation. The between-port variability was erratic, ranging from 2.7% to 35% relative standard deviation.

#### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories and were observed for 21 days before exposure began. The studies were conducted in two parts to allow for more efficient operation of the system that generated chamber concentrations of CS2. Separate controls were included in each part of the studies. Groups of five rats and five mice of each sex were exposed to air containing CS2 at target concentrations of 0, 1, 10, or 100 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (first 14-day studies) or 0, 3, or 30 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (second 14-day studies), 6 hours per day for 10 days of exposure over 14 days. Rats and mice were observed three times per day and were weighed before exposure, at week 1, and at necropsy. A necropsy was performed on all animals. Histopathologic examinations were performed on selected rats and mice exposed at concentrations up to 30 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Further details are presented in Table 2.

### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Thirteen-week studies were conducted to evaluate the cumulative toxic effects of repeated exposure to CS2 and to determine the concentrations to be used in the 2-year studies.

Male and female F344/N rats and  $B6C3F_1$  mice were obtained from the Frederick Cancer Research Facility. Animals were observed for 20 days, distributed to weight classes, and assigned to groups according to tables of random numbers. Feed was available ad libitum during nonexposure periods; water was available at all times. Further experimental details are summarized in Table 2.

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN		
Size of Study Groups 5 males and 5 females of each species	10 males and 10 females of each species	50 males and 50 females of each species
	0, 0.4, 0.75, 1.5, 3, or 6 mg/m <sup>3</sup> CS2 by inhalation	Rats0, 0.075, 0.25, or 0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup> CS2 by inhalation; mice0, 0.75, or 1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
<b>Date of First Exposure</b> First studies7/15/81; second studies9/30/81	2/9/82-2/11/82	Rats12/22/82; mice12/29/82
Date of Last Exposure First studies7/28/81; second studies10/13/81	5/11/82-5/13/82	Rats12/28/84; mice1/4/85
<b>Duration of Exposure</b> 6 h/d for 10 exposures over 14 d	6 h/d, 5 d/wk for 66 exposures	6 h/d, 5 d/wk for 105 wk
	Observed 3 $\times$ d; weighed initially and then 1 $\times$ wk	Observed 2 $\times$ d; weighed 1 $\times$ wk for 12 wk and then 1 $\times$ mo
	ns Necropsy performed on all animals; the following tissues examined for all control and high dose animals, 3 mg/m <sup>3</sup> mice, and all animals dying before the end of the studies: adrenal glands, bone marrow, brain, colon, costochondral junction (rats), duodenum, epididymis/prostate/testes or ovaries/uterus, esophagus, gallbladder (mice), heart, ileum, jejunum, kidneys, larynx, liver, lungs and bronchi, mam- mary gland, mandibular lymph nodes, nasal passage, pancreas, parathyroid glands, pituitary gland, preputial gland, salivary glands, skin, spleen, stomach (rats), thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, urinary bladder, and Zymbal gland. Tis- sues examined for 0.4, 0.75, 1.5, and 3 mg/m <sup>3</sup> rats include: adrenal glands, bone marrow, costochondral junction, epididy- mis/testes, esophagus, kidneys, mammary gland, nasal passage, pancreas, parathy- roid glands, preputial gland, salivary glands, skin, spleen, stomach, thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, and Zymbal gland. Nasal passage examined for all lower dose mice. Organ weights obtained at necropsy	rats; kidneys, lungs, nasal passage, and

# TABLE 2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE INHALATIONSTUDIES OF CS2

#### ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINTENANCE

 Strain and Species

 F344/N rats; B6C3F1 mice
 F344/N rats; B6C3F1 mice

F344/N rats; B6C3F1 mice

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINTEN	NANCE (Continued)	······
Animal Source Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)	Frederick Cancer Research Facility (Frederick, MD)	Frederick Cancer Research Facility (Frederick, MD)
<b>Study Laboratory</b> Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories	Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories	Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories
Method of Animal Identification Ear tags and cage numbers	Ear tags	Ear tags and cage numbers
Time Held Before Study 21 d	20 d .	Rats21 d; mice13 d
Age When Placed on Study First studies: rats9-10 wk; mice 10-11 wk; second studies: rats9 wk; mice10 wk	8 wk	Rats8-9 wk; mice8 wk
<b>Age When Killed</b> First studies: rats11-12 wk; mice 12-13 wk; second studies: rats11 wk; mice12 wk	21 wk	Rats115-116 wk; mice115 wk
Necropsy Dates First studies7/29/81; second studies10/14/81	5/12/82-5/14/82	Rats1/7/85-1/10/85; mice1/14/85-1/18/85
<b>Method of Animal Distribution</b> Assigned to groups by a table of random numbers	Distributed to weight classes and then assigned to groups by tables of random numbers	Same as 13-wk studies
Feed NIH 07 Rat and Mouse Ration (Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA); available ad libitum during nonexposure periods	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies
<b>Water</b> Automatic watering system (Edstrom Industries, Waterford, WI); available ad libitum	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies
<b>Chambers</b> Stainless steel (Hazleton Systems, Inc., Aberdeen, MD)	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies
Animals per Cage 1	1	1
Other Chemicals on Study in the Sa None	m <b>e Room</b> None	None
<b>Chamber Environment</b> Temp71°-73° F; hum41%-64% (short periods to 70%); fluorescent light 12 h/d; 10 air changes/h	Temp67°-77° F; hum38%-85%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 10 air changes/h	Temp67°-81° F; hum31%-84%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 20 air changes/h

# TABLE 2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE INHALATIONSTUDIES OF CS2 (Continued)

Groups of 10 rats and 10 mice of each sex were exposed to air containing CS2 at target concentrations of 0, 0.4, 0.75, 1.5, 3, or 6 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, 6 hours per day, 5 days per week for 66 exposures. Animals were observed three times per day; moribund animals were killed. Due to the persistence of CS2 particles, the chambers remained closed during nonexposure periods. Individual animal weights were recorded once per week.

At the end of the 13-week studies, survivors were killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals. Tissues and groups examined are listed in Table 2.

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

### Study Design

Groups of 50 rats of each sex were exposed to CS2 at target concentrations of 0, 0.075, 0.25, or 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, 6 hours per day, 5 days a week for 105 weeks. Groups of 50 mice of each sex were exposed to 0, 0.75, or 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> on the same schedule.

#### Source and Specifications of Animals

The male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 (C57BL/6N, female  $\times$  C3H/HeN MTV<sup>-</sup>, male) mice used in these studies were produced under strict barrier conditions at Frederick Cancer Research Facility. Breeding stock for the foundation colonies at the production facility originated at the National Institutes of Health Repository. Animals shipped for study were progeny of defined microflora-associated parents that were transferred from isolators to barrier-maintained rooms. Rats were shipped to the study laboratory at 5-6 weeks of age and mice at 6 weeks of age. Rats were quarantined at the study laboratory for 3 weeks and mice for 2 weeks. Thereafter, a complete necropsy was performed on five animals of each sex and species to assess their health status. The rodents were placed on study at 8-9 weeks of age. The health of the animals was monitored during the course of the studies according to the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix E).

## Animal Maintenance

Rats and mice were housed individually. Feed was removed during exposure; otherwise feed and water were available ad libitum. Cages were rotated to different levels once per week during these studies. Further details of animal maintenance are given in Table 2. Ammonia levels in the chambers in the morning varied between 2 and 56 ppm for rats and up to 8 ppm for mice.

## Clinical Examinations and Pathology

All animals were observed two times per day. Body weights were recorded once per week for the first 12 weeks of the study and once per month thereafter. Mean body weights were calculated for each group. Animals found moribund and those surviving to the end of the studies were humanely killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals, including those found dead.

During necropsy, all organs and tissues were examined for grossly visible lesions. Tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Histopathologic examination of tissues was performed according to an "inverse pyramid" design (McConnell, 1983a,b). That is, complete histopathologic examinations (Table 2) were performed on all high dose and control animals and on lower dose animals dying before the end of the study. In addition, histopathologic examinations were performed on all grossly visible lesions in all dose groups. Potential target organs for chemically related neoplastic and nonneoplastic effects were identified from the short-term studies, the literature, or were determined by examination of the pathology data; these target organs/tissues in the lower dose groups were examined histopathologically. Potential target organs/tissues examined in lower dose groups in these studies were: male rats--adrenal gland, liver, lung, nasal passage, preputial gland, spleen, thyroid gland; female rats--bronchial lymph nodes, liver, lung, mammary gland, nasal passage, ovary, spleen; male mice--kidney, lung, nasal passage, stomach; female mice--nasal passage, pituitary gland, stomach, and thyroid gland.

When the pathology evaluation was completed by the laboratory pathologist and the pathology data entered into the Toxicology Data Management System, the slides, paraffin blocks, and residual formalin-fixed tissues were sent to the NTP Archives. The slides, blocks, and residual wet tissues were audited for accuracy of labeling and animal identification and for thoroughness of tissue trimming. The slides, individual animal necropsy records, and pathology tables were sent to an independent pathology quality assessment laboratory. The individual animal records and pathology tables were compared for accuracy, slides and tissue counts were verified, and histotechnique was evaluated. All tissues with a tumor diagnosis, all potential target tissues, and all tissues from a randomly selected 10% of the animals were re-evaluated microscopically by a quality assessment pathologist. Potential target organs for rats were the nasal passage, lung, and thyroid gland for males and the nasal passage and lung for females. Potential target organs for mice were the nasal passage and lung for males and the nasal passage, lung, and pituitary gland for females. Nonneoplastic lesions were evaluated for accuracy and consistency of diagnosis only in the potential target organs and in the randomly selected 10% of animals.

The quality assessment report and slides were submitted to a Pathology Working Group (PWG) Chairperson, who reviewed microscopically all potential target tissues and any other tissues for which there was a disagreement in diagnosis between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists. Representative examples of potential chemical-related nonneoplastic lesions and neoplasms and examples of disagreements in diagnosis between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists were shown to the PWG. The PWG included the laboratory pathologist, the quality assessment pathologist, and other pathologists experienced in rodent toxicology, who examined the tissues without knowledge of dose group or previously rendered diagnoses. When the consensus diagnosis of the PWG differed from that of the laboratory pathologist, the diagnosis was changed to reflect the opinion of the PWG. This procedure has been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and Boorman et al. (1985). The final pathology data represent a consensus of contractor pathologists and the NTP Pathology Working Group. For subsequent analysis of pathology data, the diagnosed lesions for each tissue type are combined according to the guidelines of McConnell et al. (1986).

### **Statistical Methods**

Survival Analyses: The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and is presented in the form of graphs. Animals were censored from the survival analyses at the time they were found to be dead from other than natural causes; animals dying from natural causes were not censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) life table test for a dose-related trend. When significant survival differences were detected, additional analyses using these procedures were carried out to determine the time point at which significant differences in the survival curves were first detected. All reported P values for the survival analysis are two-sided.

Calculation of Incidence: The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions is given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site to the number of animals in which that site was examined. In most instances, the denominators include only those animals for which the site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions (e.g., skin or mammary tumors) prior to histologic sampling, or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the number of animals on which a necropsy was performed.

Analysis of Tumor Incidence: The majority of tumors in this study were considered to be incidental to the cause of death or not rapidly lethal. Thus, the primary statistical method used was a logistic regression analysis, which assumed that the diagnosed tumors were discovered as the result of death from an unrelated cause and thus did not affect the risk of death. In this approach, tumor prevalence was modeled as a logistic function of chemical exposure and time. Both linear and quadratic terms in time were incorporated initially, and the quadratic term was eliminated if it did not significantly enhance the fit of the model. The dosed and control groups were compared on the basis of the likelihood score test for the regression coefficient of dose. This method of adjusting for intercurrent mortality is the prevalence analysis of Dinse and Lagakos (1983), further described and illustrated by Dinse and Haseman (1986). When tumors are incidental, this comparison of the time-specific tumor prevalences also provides a comparison of the time-specific tumor incidences (McKnight and Crowley, 1984).

In addition to logistic regression, alternative methods of statistical analysis were used, and the results of these tests are summarized in the appendixes. These include the life table test (Cox, 1972; Tarone, 1975), appropriate for rapidly lethal tumors, and the Fisher exact test and the Cochran-Armitage trend test (Armitage, 1971; Gart et al., 1979), procedures based on the overall proportion of tumor-bearing animals.

Tests of significance include pairwise comparisons of each dosed group with controls and a test for an overall dose-response trend. Continuitycorrected tests were used in the analysis of tumor incidence, and reported P values are onesided. The procedures described above also were used to evaluate selected nonneoplastic lesions. (For further discussion of these statistical methods, see Haseman, 1984.)

Analysis of Continuous Variables: The statistical analysis of organ weight data was carried out by using the nonparametric multiple comparison procedures of Dunn (1964) or Shirley (1977) to assess the significance of pairwise comparisons between dosed and control groups. Jonckheere's test (Jonckheere, 1954) was used to evaluate the significance of dose-response trends and to determine whether Dunn's and Shirley's test was more appropriate for pairwise comparisons.

Historical Control Data: Although the concurrent control group is always the first and most appropriate control group used for evaluation, there are certain instances in which historical control data can be helpful in the overall assessment of tumor incidence. Consequently, control tumor incidences from the NTP historical control data base (Haseman et al., 1984, 1985) are included for those tumors appearing to show compound-related effects.

## **III. RESULTS**

## RATS

# FIRST FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES SECOND FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES TWO-YEAR STUDIES Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

## MICE

## FIRST FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

## SECOND FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

## THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

## **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

## Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

## **GENETIC TOXICOLOGY**

## FIRST FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

All rats exposed to 100 mg/m<sup>3</sup> CS2 died before the end of the studies (Table 3). Male rats exposed to 10 mg/m<sup>3</sup> lost weight, whereas those exposed to 1 mg/m<sup>3</sup> gained notably more than did controls (rats were fasted before the final body weights were recorded). Erythema, particularly of the ears and feet, and spasm of the orbicularis muscles with closure of the eyelids (blepharospasm) were observed in rats of all exposed groups. At exposure concentrations of 10 and 100 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, the rats were listless and exhibited nasal discharge and mouth breathing. Excessive lacrimation (dacryorrhea) occurred in rats at 100 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

### SECOND FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

In the second 14-day studies, all rats exposed to  $30 \text{ mg/m}^3$  died (Table 4), and those exposed to  $3 \text{ mg/m}^3$  lost weight. Erythema and blepharospasm were seen in rats of both exposure groups, but nasal discharge, dacryorrhea, and mouth breathing were seen only at  $30 \text{ mg/m}^3$ .

### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

One of 10 male rats exposed to 6 mg/m<sup>3</sup> CS2 died before the end of the studies (Table 5). Final mean body weights of rats exposed to 1.5, 3, or 6 mg/m<sup>3</sup> were 17%, 24%, or 44% lower than that of controls for males and 10%, 16%, or 24% lower for females. During exposure, the rats maintained partial or complete closure of their eyelids. Erythema of the extremities, which persisted overnight during the nonexposure period, occurred in rats exposed to 6 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. The absolute and relative thymus weights of exposed rats were reduced, particularly at 6 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (Table II).

Compound-related lesions occurred in the nasal passage, larynx, and trachea (Table 6). Lesions

in the nasal passage were primarily in the anterior region and were often on the naso- and maxilloturbinates; the lesions were more frequent and/or more severe at the higher concentrations. Focal erosions with regenerative hyperplasia and focal squamous metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium were observed. The mucosa and submucosa contained an infiltrate of neutrophils, and in the more severely affected rats, an inflammatory exudate was present in the lumen (empyema). Proliferation of the periosteum and new bone formation (hyperostosis) were associated with the inflammation in the nasal turbinates. Inflammation and hyperplasia of the respiratory epithelium of the larynx and trachea were seen in a few animals at the higher concentrations, but they were minimal in severity compared with those in the nasal passage. Minimal focal squamous metaplasia also occurred in the larynx of a few exposed rats.

Dose Selection Rationale: Because of decreased body weight gain and deaths observed at higher concentrations, exposure concentrations selected for rats for the 2-year studies were 0.075, 0.25, and 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, 6 hours per day, 5 days per week. Even though the exposure at highest concentration selected (0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) resulted in nasal lesions, their severity was minimal and they were not considered to be life threatening.

## **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

## Body Weights and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of male rats exposed to  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$  were 5%-12% lower than those of controls after week 8 (Table 7 and Figure 2). Mean body weights of female rats exposed to  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$  were 5%-10% lower than those of controls from weeks 9 to 31 and 11%-15% lower thereafter. No compound-related clinical signs were observed.

#### TABLE 3. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE FIRST FOURTEEN-DAY **INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2**

		Mean	<b>Final Weight</b>		
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	Relative to Controls (percent)
IALE					
0	5/5	$170 \pm 5$	$181 \pm 7$	$+11 \pm 7$	
1	5/5	$170 \pm 2$	$211 \pm 5$	$+41 \pm 5$	117
10	5/5	$171 \pm 4$	$151 \pm 13$	$-20 \pm 13$	83
100	(d) 0/5	$171 \pm 4$	(e)	(e)	(e)
EMALE					
0	5/5	$134 \pm 3$	$141 \pm 4$	$+7 \pm 5$	
1	5/5	$131 \pm 2$	$148 \pm 5$	$+17 \pm 4$	105
10	5/5	$129 \pm 4$	$135 \pm 6$	$+6 \pm 3$	96
100	(f) 0/5	$131 \pm 4$	(e)	(e)	(e)

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Initial group mean body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change of the group  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (d) Day of death: 4,4,4,7,8

(e) No data are reported due to 100% mortality in this group. (f) Day of death: 4,4,4,410

# TABLE 4. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE SECOND FOURTEEN-DAYINHALATION STUDIES OF CS2

		Mea	<b>Final Weight</b>		
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	Relative to Controls (percent)
MALE					
0	5/5	$165 \pm 3$	$189 \pm 6$	$+24 \pm 3$	
3	5/5	$184 \pm 5$	(d) $182 \pm 5$	$-5 \pm 5$	96
30	(e)0/5	$172 \pm 6$	( <b>f</b> )	( <b>f</b> )	( <b>f</b> )
FEMALE					
0	5/5	$134 \pm 3$	149 ± 3	$+15 \pm 1$	
3	5/5	$135 \pm 2$	$134 \pm 1$	$-1 \pm 1$	90
30	(g) 0/5	$126 \pm 5$	( <b>f</b> )	( <b>f</b> )	( <b>f</b> )

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group
(b) Initial group mean body weight ± standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change of the group  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) One final body weight not taken; body weight change based on remaining four animals.
(e) Day of death: 8,9,10,12,12

(f) No data are reported due to 100% mortality in this group.

(g) Day of death: 9,10,11,11,15

		Mean	Final Weight			
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Survival (a)	Survival Initial (b) Final Chan		Change (c)	Relative to Controls (percent)	
IALE			<u></u>			
0	10/10	$186 \pm 3$	$347 \pm 8$	$+161 \pm 8$		
0.4	10/10	$185 \pm 4$	$339 \pm 6$	$+154 \pm 6$	98	
0.75	10/10	$182 \pm 4$	$332 \pm 5$	$+150 \pm 6$	96	
1.5	10/10	$185 \pm 4$	$288 \pm 5$	$+103 \pm 7$	83	
3	10/10	$184 \pm 4$	$264 \pm 5$	$+80 \pm 5$	76	
6	(d) <b>9/10</b>	$183 \pm 3$	$194 \pm 11$	$+12 \pm 9$	56	
FEMALE						
0	10/10	$142 \pm 3$	$202 \pm 4$	$+60 \pm 2$		
0.4	10/10	$143 \pm 3$	$205 \pm 5$	$+62 \pm 4$	101	
0.75	10/10	$141 \pm 3$	$197 \pm 4$	$+56 \pm 2$	98	
1.5	10/10	$141 \pm 3$	$182 \pm 5$	$+41 \pm 3$	90	
3	10/10	$143 \pm 3$	$170 \pm 4$	$+27 \pm 3$	84	
6	10/10	$142 \pm 2$	$154 \pm 6$	$+12 \pm 5$	76	

# TABLE 5. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK INHALATIONSTUDIES OF CS2

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group
(b) Initial group mean body weight ± standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on animals surviving to the end of the study.

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (d) Week of death: 1

Site/Lesion	Control	0.4 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	3 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	6 mg/m
MALE					<u></u>	
Nasal mucosa						
Inflammation (c)	0	*4	**8	**10	**10	**9
Erosion	0	0	2	**7	**7	*5
Epithelial hyperplasia (c)	Ó	**9	**10	**10	**10	**10
Squamous metaplasia (c)	Ō	**9	**10	**10	**10	**10
Nasal passage				-•		
Empyema	0	0	1	1	*5	**6
Nasolacrimal duct	0	Ŭ	-	•	Ũ	0
Inflammation	0	0	1	*4	*4	*4
Epithelial hyperplasia	0	**6	**10	**9	**9	2
Epithelial squamous metaplasia	0	**6	**8	*5	**9	4**6
Nasal turbinates	v	0	0	.0		0
Hyperostosis	0	2	*5	**10	**10	**9
Frachea	U	4	.9	10	**10	. 9
Inflammation	0	1	0		1	0
Epithelial hyperplasia	0	1	0	1 *5	1	0
Larynx	0	0	0	*5	3	1
•	0	0	•	•		
Inflammation	0	0	0	2	3	1
Epithelial hyperplasia	0	0	0	2	*5	**6
Epithelial squamous metaplasia	0	0	0	0	0	$^{2}$
FEMALE						
Nasal mucosa						
Inflammation (c)	0	3	**8	**9	**10	**10
Erosion	0	0	0	1	**7	**8
Epithelial hyperplasia (c)	1	**9	**10	**10	**10	**10
Squamous metaplasia (c)	0	**8	**10	**10	**10	**10
Nasal passage						
Empyema	0	0	1	0	3	**7
Nasolacrimal duct				-	-	
Inflammation	0	0	0	3	*4	1
Epithelial hyperplasia	Õ	$\tilde{2}$	3 3	**7	*5	**10
Epithelial squamous metaplasia	õ	$\overline{2}$	3	*5	*4	3
Nasal turbinates		-	~	č	-	0
Hyperostosis	0	0	2	**8	**10	**10
Trachea	v	v	-	0		10
Inflammation	0	0	0	0	*4	0
Epithelial hyperplasia	2	ő	ŏ	õ	3	5
Larvnx	-	v	•	v	0	Ŭ
Inflammation	0	0	0	0	1	3
Epithelial hyperplasia	0 0	ŏ	0	1	1	**6
Epithelial squamous metaplasia	0	0 0	0	0	0	2

# TABLE 6. NUMBERS OF RATS WITH SELECTED LESIONS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK INHALATIONSTUDIES OF CS2 (a,b)

(a) Ten animals were examined in each group.
(b) Incidences represent the consensus of the study pathologist and quality assessment pathologist.
(c) The severity of the lesion was dose dependent.
\*P<0.05 vs. controls</li>
\*\*P<0.01 vs. controls</li>

Weeks	Chamber Control		0.075 mg/m <sup>3</sup>			0.25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>			0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>		
on Study	Av. Wt. (grams)	No. of Survivors		Wt. (percent of ch. controls)	No. of Survivors		Wt. (percent of ch. controls)	No. of Survivors		Wt. (percent of ch. controls)	
MALE							<u> </u>			• • • • • • • •	
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 18 226 31 35 40 44 83 57 61 65 69 777 83 89 910 11 12 18 226 31 5 6 9 10 11 12 18 226 31 5 6 9 10 11 12 18 226 31 5 6 9 10 11 12 18 226 31 5 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 18 15 15 16 16 10 11 12 18 15 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 17 18 18 15 15 16 16 16 17 17 18 18 15 15 16 16 17 17 18 18 15 17 18 18 15 17 18 18 15 17 18 18 15 17 18 18 15 17 18 18 15 17 18 18 18 19 10 11 12 18 18 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 10 11 12 18 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	$\begin{array}{c} 179\\ 217\\ 232\\ 250\\ 262\\ 277\\ 291\\ 310\\ 329\\ 335\\ 345\\ 381\\ 391\\ 400\\ 419\\ 430\\ 438\\ 443\\ 453\\ 453\\ 453\\ 458\\ 466\\ 471\\ 478\\ 489\\ 485\\ 466\\ 471\\ 478\\ 483\\ 489\\ 485\\ 468\\ 447\\ 441\\ \end{array}$	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	$\begin{array}{c} 180\\ 220\\ 248\\ 263\\ 297\\ 303\\ 313\\ 324\\ 328\\ 340\\ 349\\ 379\\ 381\\ 389\\ 430\\ 429\\ 432\\ 437\\ 446\\ 460\\ 463\\ 468\\ 473\\ 480\\ 482\\ 483\\ 487\\ 484\\ 472\\ 469\\ 448\\ 436\end{array}$	101 107 105 106 106 102 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	$\begin{array}{c} 177\\ 213\\ 235\\ 254\\ 268\\ 274\\ 284\\ 295\\ 304\\ 314\\ 321\\ 332\\ 339\\ 364\\ 339\\ 364\\ 419\\ 426\\ 435\\ 443\\ 454\\ 457\\ 466\\ 466\\ 466\\ 466\\ 466\\ 466\\ 468\\ 469\\ 458\\ 449\\ 435\\ 449\\ 435\\ 426\\ \end{array}$	99 98 101 102 102 99 98 98 98 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 97 97 98 98 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	50         50	$\begin{array}{c} 179\\ 211\\ 236\\ 250\\ 255\\ 267\\ 277\\ 292\\ 296\\ 299\\ 309\\ 315\\ 322\\ 347\\ 355\\ 365\\ 381\\ 390\\ 401\\ 401\\ 399\\ 407\\ 417\\ 421\\ 418\\ 425\\ 431\\ 437\\ 433\\ 430\\ 430\\ 430\\ 423\\ 410\\ \end{array}$	100 97 102 100 95 95 93 94 94 93 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5
fean for w 1-12 18-48 53-104	eeks 289 419 470	·	297 413 470	102.8 98.6 100.0		286 411 455	99.0 98.1 96.8		277 381 426	95.8 90.9 90.6	
EMAL	.E										
$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 112 \\ 18 \\ 22 \\ 6 \\ 31 \\ 35 \\ 40 \\ 44 \\ 48 \\ 53 \\ 57 \\ 61 \\ 65 \\ 69 \\ 77 \\ 83 \\ 66 \\ 91 \\ 96 \\ 90 \\ 100 \\ 104 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 135\\ 151\\ 160\\ 163\\ 168\\ 175\\ 180\\ 185\\ 189\\ 194\\ 199\\ 205\\ 224\\ 230\\ 238\\ 251\\ 257\\ 267\\ 273\\ 286\\ 299\\ 306\\ 311\\ 315\\ 325\\ 331\\ 337\\ 342\\ 346\\ 346\\ 339\\ 334\\ 328 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 131\\ 152\\ 161\\ 166\\ 170\\ 174\\ 177\\ 181\\ 186\\ 192\\ 216\\ 227\\ 235\\ 250\\ 260\\ 263\\ 276\\ 291\\ 296\\ 303\\ 310\\ 320\\ 321\\ 332\\ 332\\ 332\\ 332\\ 332\\ 332\\ 333\\ 330\\ 330$	97 101 107 104 104 99 98 98 98 98 99 98 97 96 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	$\begin{array}{c} 134\\ 153\\ 159\\ 169\\ 170\\ 172\\ 176\\ 181\\ 186\\ 191\\ 192\\ 199\\ 200\\ 216\\ 223\\ 229\\ 241\\ 253\\ 261\\ 265\\ 276\\ 293\\ 301\\ 306\\ 314\\ 321\\ 326\\ 328\\ 333\\ 335\\ 345\\ 347\\ 343\\ 347\\ \end{array}$	99 101 105 106 102 101 101 101 101 101 101 99 98 96 96 96 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 99 97 97 97 97 97 100 102 103 106	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 135\\ 149\\ 157\\ 164\\ 166\\ 170\\ 177\\ 179\\ 182\\ 206\\ 211\\ 216\\ 225\\ 230\\ 236\\ 233\\ 251\\ 266\\ 272\\ 278\\ 284\\ 289\\ 296\\ 298\\ 302\\ 296\\ 301\\ 290\\ 293\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100\\ 99\\ 104\\ 103\\ 101\\ 99\\ 97\\ 98\\ 95\\ 95\\ 94\\ 95\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92\\ 92$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$
lean for we 1-12 18-48 53-104	eeks 177 253 328		177 247 321	100.0 97.6 97.9		179 246 326	101.1 97.2 99.4		172 226 287	97.2 89.3 87.5	

# TABLE 7. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATIONSTUDIES OF CS2



FIGURE 2. GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS EXPOSED TO CS2 BY INHALATION FOR TWO YEARS

#### Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female rats exposed to CS2 at the concentrations used in these studies and for controls are shown in Table 8 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 3. No significant differences in survival were seen between any groups of either sex.

# Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the statistically significant

or biologically noteworthy changes in the incidences of rats with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the nasal passage, lung, thyroid gland, kidney, and testis.

Summaries of the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions, individual animal tumor diagnoses, statistical analyses of primary neoplasms that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in at least one animal group, and historical control incidences for the neoplasms mentioned in this section are presented in Appendixes A and B for male and female rats, respectively.

	Chamber Control	0.075 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
MALE (a)				
Animals initially in study	50	50	50	50
Natural deaths Moribund kills Animals surviving to study termination Mean survival (days)	5 19 26 682	6 27 17 688	8 21 21 676	4 20 26 701
Survival P values (b)	0.396	0.247	0.509	0.909
FEMALE (a)				
Animals initially in study	50	50	50	50
Natural deaths Moribund kills Animals surviving to study termination Mean survival (days)	7 23 20 676	5 21 24 682	9 12 29 690	4 19 27 691
Survival P values (b)	0.327	0.482	0.128	0.265

#### TABLE 8. SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2

(a) First day of termination period: 749

(b) The result of the life table trend test is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the controls are in the dosed columns.



FIGURE 3. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS EXPOSED TO CS2 BY INHALATION FOR TWO YEARS
Nasal Passage: The principal toxic lesions associated with inhalation exposure of rats to CS2 were present in the tissues of the nasal passage (Table 9). The respiratory epithelium, particularly that on the nasal septum and the free margins of the naso- and maxilloturbinates, and the olfactory epithelium lining the dorsal meatus and tips of the ethmoid turbinates were affected. Hyperplasia and focal squamous metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium occurred at increased incidences in rats exposed to 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> CS2. Hyperplasia was characterized by increased thickness and slight folding of the respiratory epithelium with increased numbers of goblet cells (Figure 4). Squamous metaplasia consisted of several layers of well-differentiated squamous cells replacing the pseudostratified columnar epithelium (Figure 5). Degeneration with ciliated columnar and/or squamous metaplasia of the

olfactory epithelium also occurred at increased incidences at the top concentration. The degeneration was characterized by the loss of olfactory sensory cells and atrophy of the submucosal nerve bundles. Focally, there was replacement of the olfactory epithelium by ciliated columnar cells (metaplasia) or by several layers of squamous cells (squamous metaplasia). Many of the columnar epithelial cells contained a large eosinophilic intracytoplasmic droplet (Figure 6). Downgrowth of the columnar epithelium into the Bowman's glands was associated with these lesions. Inflammation, characterized by focal accumulations of mononuclear inflammatory cells in the submucosa, and proliferation of the periosteum of the turbinate bones also occurred at increased incidences in rats at the top concentration.

TABLE 9.	NUMBERS OF RATS WITH SELECTED LESIONS OF THE RESPIRATORY TRACT IN THE
	TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2

		Male	(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )			Female (	(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	
Site/Lesion	0	0.075	0.25	0.75	0	0.075	0.25	0.75
Vasal passage	<del></del>							·
Number examined	50	50	49	50	49	49	49	50
Squamous metaplasia	0	0	1	*6	0	0	0	3
Inflammation	28	19	24	**48	37	21	24	**48
Olfactory epithelium								
Degeneration	1	4	3	**27	0	0	1	**23
Metaplasia	2	4	**11	**19	3	1	1	**18
Respiratory epithelium								
Hyperplasia	12	11	12	**48	3	3	6	**46
Metaplasia	4	5	6	**44	0	2	*5	**49
Periosteum		-	-					
Proliferation	(a) 3	(b) 1	(c) 0	**(a)15	(a) 0	(c) 0	(d) 0	**18
Adenoma	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Adenocarcinoma	0	Ō	Ō	1	Ō	0	0	0
Squamous cell carcinoma	0	0	0	(e) 1	0	0	0	0
Jung								
Number examined	50	49	50	50	49	50	50	50
Chronic focal inflammation Alveolus Histocytic cellular	13	11	14	9	16	7	24	**32
infiltration	3	9	6	8	6	4	5	**20
Alveolar/bronchiolar	v		v		v	*	0	
adenoma	4	2	1	0	2	0	1	0
Alveolar/bronchiolar	Ŧ	4	•	v	2	v	•	v
carcinoma	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0

(a) Fifty animals examined microscopically.

(b) Thirty-three animals examined microscopically.

(c) Twenty-nine animals examined microscopically.

(d) Twenty-one animals examined microscopically.

(e) Occurred in same animal having an adenocarcinoma

\*P<0.05 vs. controls

\*\*P<0.01 vs. controls



Figure 4. Hyperplasia of the respiratory epithelium in the nasal passage of a male F344/N rat exposed to 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> CS2 by inhalation for 2 years. The pseudostratified columnar epithelium is thickened and folded, and there are clusters of goblet cells with abundant clear cytoplasm.

Figure 5. Squamous metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium in the nasal passage of a male F344/N rat exposed to 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> CS2 by inhalation for 2 years. Compare with Figure III-3. The pseudostratified columnar epithelium has been replaced by multiple layers of stratified squamous epithelial cells.



Figure 6. Degeneration of the olfactory epithelium in the nasal passage of a male F344/N rat exposed to 0.75  $mg/m^3$  CS2 by inhalation for 2 years. There has been a loss of the olfactory sensory cells, leaving only the columnar supporting cells of the olfactory epithelium. Many of the supporting cells contain a large intracytoplasmic droplet.

The adenocarcinoma and the squamous cell carcinoma that occurred in the nasal passage of a single male rat at  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$  and the adenoma in the  $0.075 \text{ mg/m}^3$  female rat were not considered to be caused by exposure to CS2.

Lung: Chronic inflammation and histiocytic cellular infiltrates occurred in male and female rats of all exposure groups, including controls, and the incidences of these lesions were increased in females exposed to  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$  (see Table 9). The chronic inflammation was generally minimal in severity and affected only a few scattered terminal bronchioles, alveolar ducts, and the adjacent alveoli in the histologic sections. It was characterized by small numbers of mononuclear cells and occasional neutrophils in the interstitium around the terminal bronchioles and alveolar macrophages in the alveolar lumina. The histiocytic cellular infiltrates were small, focal accumulations of alveolar macrophages in alveolar lumina in more distal portions of the lung, usually near the pleura.

Since the histologic appearance of the lesions in exposed rats was similar to that in controls, the lesions are not considered to be caused by the inhalation of CS2 or of the particles of colloidal

silica that might be present in the aerosol. The chronic inflammation may be related to subclinical infection with rat coronavirus/sialodacryoadenitis virus (RCV/SDA), since positive serologic titers to RCV/SDA were observed in sentinel animals at the various time points sampled. RCV has been shown to replicate in the airways of the lungs and cause inflammatory lesions in the centriacinar regions (terminal bronchioles and alveolar ducts). The reason for the increased incidences of these lesions in female rats at the top concentration has not been determined. However, inhalation of CS2 may have compromised local immune mechanisms and allowed for greater frequency of viral replication and higher incidences of lesions in female rats exposed to  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$ .

Thyroid Gland: The incidences of C-cell adenomas in male rats exposed to  $0.075 \text{ mg/m}^3$  and of C-cell adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in male rats exposed to 0.075 or  $0.25 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , but not to  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , were significantly greater than those in controls (Table 10). Since incidences of these neoplasms did not increase in a dose-related fashion and since the marginal incidences in all groups are within the historical control range, the increases in the incidences of

TABLE 10. THYROID GLAND C-CELL LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATIONSTUDY OF CS2 (a)

	Chamber Control	$0.075 mg/m^3$	0.25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Hyperplasia				
Overall Rates	8/48 (17%)	5/49 (10%)	10/46 (22%)	9/48(19%)
Adenoma				
Overall Rates	2/48(4%)	9/49 (18%)	7/46(15%)	6/48 (13%)
Terminal Rates	2/26 (8%)	2/17 (12%)	2/20 (10%)	2/26 (8%)
Day of First Observation	749	702	571	577
Logistic Regression Tests	P = 0.450	P = 0.019	P = 0.071	P = 0.139
Carcinoma				
Overall Rates	0/48 (0%)	1/49 (2%)	2/46 (4%)	0/48 (0%)
Adenoma or Carcinoma (b)				
Overall Rates	2/48 (4%)	10/49 (20%)	9/46 (20%)	6/48 (13%)
Terminal Rates	2/26 (8%)	2/17 (12%)	2/20 (10%)	2/26 (8%)
Day of First Observation	749	702	571	577
Logistic Regression Tests	P = 0.521	P = 0.010	P = 0.023	P = 0.139

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table A3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence in chamber controls at study laboratory (mean  $\pm$  SD): 26/330 (8%  $\pm$  4%); historical incidence in untreated controls in NTP studies: 205/1,576 (13%  $\pm$  7%)

these neoplasms are not considered to be related to exposure to CS2 aerosol. There was no increased incidence of C-cell neoplasms in any group of exposed female rats compared with that in controls.

Kidney: Renal tubular cell adenomas were seen in two female rats exposed to  $0.25 \text{ mg/m}^3$ . The historical incidence of renal tubular cell neoplasms in chamber control female F344/N rats is 1/347 (0.3%), and the highest observed incidence is 1/50. The historical incidence of renal tubular cell neoplasms in untreated control female F344/N rats is 2/1,639 (0.1%), and the highest observed incidence is 1/50. The incidences of renal tubular cell hyperplasia in the current study were: control, 3/49; 0.075 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, 2/37; 0.25 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, 1/30; 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, 1/50. Because the renal tubular cell neoplasms were restricted to the 0.25 mg/m<sup>3</sup> exposure group and did not involve the low or high exposure groups, they were not considered to be related to exposure to CS2.

Testis: A marginally significant increase in the incidence of interstitial cell adenomas occurred in the high dose male group compared with that in controls (control, 31/50;  $0.075 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , 38/47;  $0.25 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , 36/50;  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , 41/50). The incidence in controls is well below the average historical incidence for untreated controls in NTP studies. The marginal increase was not considered to be chemically related.

#### FIRST FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

All mice exposed to 10 or 100 mg/m<sup>3</sup> died before the end of the studies (Table 11). Final mean body weights of mice exposed to 1 mg/m<sup>3</sup> were greater than those of controls. Erythema, blepharospasm, and listlessness were observed in all exposed groups, but dacryorrhea and nasal discharge were only seen at 10 and 100 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### SECOND FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

All mice exposed to  $30 \text{ mg/m}^3$  died before the end of the studies (Table 12), and final mean body weights of mice exposed to  $3 \text{ mg/m}^3$  were 8% lower than those of controls. Erythema, blepharospasm, and listlessness were observed in all exposed groups. Dacryorrhea and nasal discharge were seen at  $30 \text{ mg/m}^3$ .

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

All mice exposed to  $6 \text{ mg/m}^3$  and 1/10 males and 1/10 females exposed to  $3 \text{ mg/m}^3$  died in the

second week of the studies (Table 13). Dehvdration due to a malfunction in the automatic watering system caused the deaths of four mice exposed to 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Final mean body weights of mice exposed to 3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> were 13% lower than that of controls for males and 9% lower for females. Clinical signs included closed or partially closed eyes during exposure in all groups of mice through week 6 and during weeks 12 and 13 in mice exposed to 3 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Increases in organ weight to body weight ratios were a consequence of marked lower body weights (Table I2). Compound-related lesions occurred in the nasal passage of mice exposed to 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> or more and included focal inflammation and squamous metaplasia, primarily in the nasal turbinates, and inflammation in the vomeronasal organ (Table 14).

Dose Selection Rationale: Because of body weight gain depression and deaths observed at higher concentrations, exposure levels selected for mice for the 2-year studies were 0.75 and 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, 6 hours per day, 5 days per week.

		Mear	Final Weight		
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	Relative to Controls (percent)
MALE			·		
0	5/5	$25.8 \pm 0.2$	$22.6 \pm 1.2$	$-3.2 \pm 1.1$	
1	5/5	$26.0 \pm 0.7$	$29.2 \pm 1.2$	$+3.2 \pm 0.7$	129
10	(d) 0/5	$27.0\pm0.4$	(e)	(e)	(e)
100	(f) 0/5	$26.2 \pm 0.4$	(e)	(e)	(e)
FEMALE					
0	5/5	$20.8 \pm 0.4$	$21.8 \pm 1.9$	$+1.0 \pm 1.7$	
1	5/5	$20.2 \pm 0.6$	$23.4 \pm 0.8$	$+3.2 \pm 0.5$	107
10	(g) 0/5	$21.2 \pm 0.6$	(e)	(e)	(e)
100	(h) 0/5	$20.8 \pm 0.7$	(e)	(e)	(e)

 TABLE 11. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE FIRST FOURTEEN-DAY INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Initial group mean body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change of the group  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) Day of death: 7,7,7,7,8

(e) No data are reported due to 100% mortality in this group.

(f) Day of death: 4,4,4,4,5

(g) Day of death: 7,8,8,9,10

(h) Day of death: 4,5,5,5,7

		Mean	<b>Final Weight</b>		
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	Relative to Controls (percent)
IALE				······································	- <u></u>
0	5/5	$21.4 \pm 0.4$	$21.2 \pm 1.0$	$-0.2 \pm 0.8$	
3	5/5	$23.2 \pm 1.3$	$19.6 \pm 0.4$	$-3.6 \pm 1.2$	92
30	(d) 0/5	$22.4 \pm 0.7$	(e)	(e)	(e)
EMALE					
0	5/5	$18.6 \pm 0.4$	$19.2 \pm 0.4$	$+0.6 \pm 0.5$	
3	5/5	$17.0 \pm 1.0$	$17.6 \pm 0.5$	$+0.6 \pm 1.4$	91
30	(f) 0/5	$18.0 \pm 0.5$	(e)	(e)	(e)

### TABLE 12. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE SECOND FOURTEEN-DAY INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Initial group mean body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change of the group  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) Day of death: 7,7,7,7,8

(e) No data are reported due to 100% mortality in this group.

(f) Day of death: 7,7,7,8,8

### TABLE 13. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2

		Mea	Final Weight		
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	Relative to Controls (percent)
MALE			<u> </u>		
0	10/10	$24.1 \pm 0.6$	$31.9 \pm 0.4$	$+7.8 \pm 0.9$	
0.4	10/10	$23.8 \pm 0.4$	$29.6 \pm 0.7$	$+5.8 \pm 0.4$	93
0.75	(d) 8/10	$23.5 \pm 0.3$	$29.6 \pm 0.8$	$+6.1 \pm 0.8$	93
1.5	10/10	$24.0 \pm 0.4$	$29.6 \pm 0.5$	$+5.6 \pm 0.6$	93
3	(e) 9/10	$23.9 \pm 0.4$	$27.7 \pm 0.4$	$+3.9 \pm 0.6$	87
6	(e) 0/10	$23.9 \pm 0.3$	( <b>f</b> )	( <b>f</b> )	( <b>f</b> )
FEMALE					
0	10/10	$18.4 \pm 0.3$	$26.4 \pm 0.4$	$+8.0 \pm 0.4$	
0.4	10/10	$17.9 \pm 0.3$	$25.2 \pm 0.5$	$+7.3 \pm 0.5$	95
0.75	(d) 8/10	$17.9 \pm 0.3$	$24.9 \pm 0.4$	$+6.9 \pm 0.4$	94
1.5	10/10	$18.0 \pm 0.3$	$24.8 \pm 0.5$	$+6.8 \pm 0.5$	94
	(e) 9/10	$18.1 \pm 0.4$	$24.0 \pm 0.4$	$+5.9 \pm 0.7$	91
3 6	(e) 0/10	$18.5 \pm 0.3$	(f)	(f)	(f)

(a) Number surviving/number initially in the group

(b) Initial group mean body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Subsequent calculations are based on animals surviving to the end of the study.

(c) Mean body weight change of the survivors  $\pm$  standard error of the mean.

(d) Deaths were due to a malfunction in the automatic watering system.

(e) Week of death: all 2

(f) No data are reported due to 100% mortality in this group.

Site/Lesion	Control	0.4 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	3 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	6 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
MALE					<u></u>	
Nasal turbinates						
Inflammation	0	0	(b) 0	2	**7	*(b) 5
Squamous metaplasia	0	0	(b) 0	*4	**8	0
Vomeronasal organ						
Inflammation	0	0	(b) 0	1	*5	**(b) 8
FEMALE						
Nasal turbinates						
Inflammation	0	0	0	0	*4	3
Squamous metaplasia	0	0	0	1	*5	0
Vomeronasal organ	-	-	-	-		
Inflammation	1	0	0	1	4	**7

## TABLE 14. NUMBERS OF MICE WITH SELECTED LESIONS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2 (a)

(a) Ten animals were examined unless otherwise noted.

(b) Nine animals were examined.

\*P<0.05 vs. controls

**\*\***P<0.01 vs. controls

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

#### Body Weights and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of male mice exposed to  $1.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$  were generally 9%-15% lower than those of controls after week 25; mean body weights of male mice exposed to  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$  were 8%-13%

lower than those of controls during weeks 39-90 (Table 15 and Figure 7). Mean body weights of female mice exposed to  $1.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$  were 11%-20% lower than those of controls after week 21; mean body weights of female mice exposed to  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$  were 8%-15% lower than those of controls after week 39. No compound-related clinical signs were observed.

Weeks	Chambe	er Control		0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>			1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	
on Study	Av. Wt. (grams)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of chamber controls)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of chamber controls)	No. of Survivors
MALE								<u></u>
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 17\\ 21\\ 225\\ 30\\ 34\\ 39\\ 43\\ 47\\ 52\\ 56\\ 60\\ 64\\ 68\\ 72\\ 76\\ 62\\ 82\\ 85\\ 90\\ 95\\ 99\\ 99\\ 103\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.5\\ 27.1\\ 28.3\\ 29.1\\ 28.8\\ 30.2\\ 31.2\\ 31.2\\ 29.9\\ 31.5\\ 31.5\\ 31.5\\ 31.5\\ 31.5\\ 35.4\\ 35.5\\ 36.0\\ 37.8\\ 35.5\\ 38.5\\ 39.8\\ 40.9\\ 41.3\\ 41.4\\ 41.9\\ 42.0\\ 41.3\\ 41.4\\ 41.9\\ 42.0\\ 41.5\\ 41.9\\ 43.0\\ 40.4\\ 40.3\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 49\\ 49\\ 49\\ 49\\ 49\\ 49\\ 49\\ 49\\ 49\\ 49$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.6\\ 26.5\\ 27.8\\ 28.8\\ 28.5\\ 30.1\\ 30.0\\ 31.1\\ 30.5\\ 29.7\\ 30.0\\ 33.2\\ 32.8\\ 34.6\\ 34.2\\ 35.6\\ 34.2\\ 35.6\\ 34.2\\ 35.6\\ 34.2\\ 35.7\\ 36.5\\ 37.0\\ 37.2\\ 36.3\\ 37.6\\ 38.3\\ 37.6\\ 38.1\\ 38.6\\ 38.0\\ 38.2\\ \end{array}$	$100 \\ 98 \\ 98 \\ 99 \\ 99 \\ 99 \\ 98 \\ 100 \\ 100 \\ 96 \\ 100 \\ 102 \\ 94 \\ 95 \\ 103 \\ 96 \\ 94 \\ 95 \\ 92 \\ 96 \\ 90 \\ 92 \\ 89 \\ 91 \\ 91 \\ 91 \\ 91 \\ 91 \\ 90 \\ 94 \\ 94 \\ 94 \\ 94 \\ 95 \\ 88 \\ 80 \\ 81 \\ 81 \\ 81 \\ 82 \\ 82 \\ 83 \\ 83 \\ 83 \\ 84 \\ 85 \\ 85 \\ 85 \\ 85 \\ 85 \\ 85 \\ 85$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.5\\ 25.8\\ 26.9\\ 27.4\\ 28.6\\ 28.5\\ 28.7\\ 29.6\\ 29.2\\ 30.3\\ 31.4\\ 32.8\\ 32.0\\ 33.0\\ 32.8\\ 33.0\\ 35.5\\ 34.8\\ 35.5\\ 35.3\\ 36.1\\ 35.3\\ 36.1\\ 36.3\\ 36.7\\ 36.7\\ 36.3\\ 36.7\\$	100 95 95 94 99 94 95 92 90 95 96 96 95 95 90 93 91 88 87 88 87 88 89 85 87 88 87 88 89 85 87 86 87 90 88 85 87 90 93	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$
lean for week 1.12 17-52 56-103			29.5 34.1 37.4	98.0 93.2 90.6		28.6 33.1 36.2	95.0 90.4 87.7	
FEMALE								
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 21\\ 20\\ 30\\ 43\\ 39\\ 43\\ 72\\ 60\\ 68\\ 72\\ 6\\ 82\\ 80\\ 99\\ 99\\ 103\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18.7\\ 21.6\\ 23.1\\ 23.8\\ 24.9\\ 25.6\\ 27.0\\ 26.5\\ 27.0\\ 26.5\\ 27.2\\ 27.4\\ 30.6\\ 31.8\\ 33.4\\ 35.4\\ 35.4\\ 35.6\\ 37.5\\ 37.5\\ 37.5\\ 37.5\\ 37.5\\ 37.5\\ 37.5\\ 37.5\\ 37.5\\ 37.5\\ 38.0\\ 39.9\\ 39.6\\ 39.2\\ 38.4\\ 38.2\\ \end{array}$	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	$\begin{array}{c} 18.8\\ 21.3\\ 22.3\\ 23.8\\ 24.1\\ 24.7\\ 24.4\\ 25.2\\ 25.4\\ 25.3\\ 25.0\\ 26.8\\ 28.6\\ 29.5\\ 29.2\\ 32.0\\ 30.7\\ 31.6\\ 31.1\\ 32.9\\ 32.3\\ 31.6\\ 34.3\\ 34.5\\ 34.5\\ 34.5\\ 34.5\\ 34.4\\ 34.6\\ 34.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 101\\ 99\\ 97\\ 102\\ 102\\ 101\\ 99\\ 96\\ 98\\ 99\\ 94\\ 99\\ 94\\ 99\\ 98\\ 92\\ 96\\ 93\\ 96\\ 93\\ 96\\ 92\\ 96\\ 92\\ 96\\ 87\\ 90\\ 96\\ 88\\ 88\\ 86\\ 88\\ 86\\ 88\\ 86\\ 88\\ 86\\ 88\\ 86\\ 88\\ 86\\ 88\\ 86\\ 88\\ 86\\ 88\\ 86\\ 88\\ 86\\ 88\\ 86\\ 90\\ 91\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90\\ 90$	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	$\begin{array}{c} 18.8\\ 19.9\\ 21.6\\ 22.8\\ 23.1\\ 23.3\\ 22.6\\ 25.6\\ 25.6\\ 25.6\\ 25.6\\ 25.7\\ 29.2\\ 26.7\\ 27.1\\ 27.0\\ 27.8\\ 28.6\\ 30.9\\ 29.2\\ 28.7\\ 31.0\\ 30.1\\ 30.1\\ 30.5\\ 31.7\\ 31.6\\ 31.4\\ 31.9\\ 32.1\\ 31.7\\ 31.6\\ 31.7\\ 31.6\end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{r}       101 \\       92 \\       94 \\       97 \\       97 \\       94 \\       89 \\       91 \\       95 \\       97 \\       94 \\       107 \\       91 \\       88 \\       87 \\       86 \\       87 \\       88 \\       81 \\       81 \\       81 \\       83 \\       80 \\       81 \\       81 \\       83$	$\begin{array}{c} 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\$
Mean for week 1-12 17-52 56-103	s 25.1 33.0 38.5		24.6 30.4 34.0	98.0 92.1 88.3		$24.0 \\ 28.6 \\ 31.4$	95.6 86.7 81.6	

# TABLE 15. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATIONSTUDIES OF CS2



FIGURE 7. GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE EXPOSED TO CS2 BY INHALATION FOR TWO YEARS

### Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female mice exposed to CS2 at the concentrations used in these studies and for controls are shown in Table 16 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 8. No significant differences in survival were seen between any groups of either sex.

# Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the statistically significant

or biologically noteworthy changes in the incidences of mice with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the nasal passage, pituitary gland, and hematopoietic system.

Summaries of the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions, individual animal tumor diagnoses, statistical analyses of primary neoplasms that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in at least one animal group, and historical control incidences for the neoplasms mentioned in this section are presented in Appendixes C and D for male and female mice, respectively.

	Chamber Control	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
MALE (a).			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural deaths Moribund kills Animals surviving to study termination Mean survival (days)	7 5 38 705	4 42 721	5 5 40 708
Survival P values (b)	0.746	0.475	0.837
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural deaths Moribund kills Animals surviving to study termination Mean survival (days)	9 8 33 703	5 5 40 717	7 3 40 727
Survival P values (b)	0.109	0.157	0.147

#### TABLE 16. SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2

(a) First day of termination period: male--750; female--749

(b) The result of the life table trend test is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the controls are in the dosed columns.



FIGURE 8. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE EXPOSED TO CS2 BY INHALATION FOR TWO YEARS

Nasal Passage: Nonneoplastic lesions associated with inhalation exposure to CS2 were present in mice (Table 17). The respiratory epithelium, particularly along the septum and the free margins and tips of the turbinates, was the main site affected. Minimal or mild suppurative inflammation (Figure 9) was present in the anterior and middle portions of the nasal passage and was characterized by focal accumulations of neutrophils, sometimes admixed with mucus, within the submucosal glands or nasal lumen. Small numbers of neutrophils and mononuclear cells were present in the submucosa. Focal hyperplasia and/or squamous metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium were seen, usually in areas of inflammation. The hyperplastic epithelium was thickened and contained increased numbers of goblet cells. Squamous metaplasia consisted of replacement of the pseudostratified columnar (respiratory) epithelium by stratified squamous cells.

*Pituitary Gland:* Adenomas of the pituitary gland pars distalis were markedly decreased in exposed female mice (Table 18). The decrease was significant by the trend test, and the incidences at both exposure concentrations were significantly lower than that in the controls. Furthermore, the incidences of hyperplasia in the exposed groups were decreased relative to controls.

In contrast to the pars distalis, rare adenomas of the pars intermedia were seen in three female mice exposed to 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>; none was observed in animals exposed to  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$  or in controls. Each neoplasm was a discrete mass of large cells with oval nuclei arranged in small packets separated by a delicate vascular stroma. The historical incidence of neoplasms of the pars intermedia in chamber controls is 1/370(0.3%); the historical incidence in untreated controls is 3/1,528 (0.2%), and the highest observed incidence is 1/43. Examination of the pathology findings from 33 recent studies which have undergone the National Toxicology Program pathology peer review process, but which have not been incorporated into the historical control data base, revealed that adenomas of the pars intermedia occurred in control female mice in 10 studies. In 2 of the 10 studies, two neoplasms of the pars intermedia were seen in each group of control females. These findings suggest that pars intermedia adenomas are more common in recent studies than historical control values would indicate.

Hematopoietic System: Lymphomas in female mice occurred with a significant negative trend; the incidences in the exposed groups were significantly lower than that in the controls (Table 19).

 TABLE 17. NUMBERS OF MICE WITH NASAL LESIONS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDIES

 OF CS2

	Male $(mg/m^3)$			Female (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		
Site/Lesion	0	0.75	1.5	0	0.75	1.5
Number examined	50	47	50	50	49	49
Nasal passage						
Suppurative inflammation	3	**16	**23	8	9	*18
Respiratory epithelium						
Hyperplasia	1	*8	**12	0	4	**7
Squamous metaplasia	<b>2</b>	**12	**24	1	6	**17

\*P<0.05 vs. controls

\*\*P<0.01 vs. controls



Figure 9. Suppurative inflammation and hyperplasia of the respiratory epithelium in the nasal passage of a male  $B6C3F_1$  mouse exposed to 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> CS2 by inhalation for 2 years. The respiratory epithelium is mildly and irregularly thickened, and there is a diffuse infiltrate of small numbers of neutrophils within the epithelial layer.

### TABLE 18. PITUITARY PARS DISTALIS LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (a)

	Chamber Control	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Hyperplasia			<u></u>
Overall Rates	16/47 (34%)	8/46 (17%)	7/46 (15%)
Adenoma (b)			
Overall Rates	13/47 (28%)	5/46 (11%)	1/46(2%)
Terminal Rates	10/33 (30%)	4/38 (11%)	1/40 (3%)
Day of First Observation	465	736	749
Logistic Regression Tests	P<0.001N	P = 0.034N	P<0.001N

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table D3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence of adenomas or carcinomas (combined) of the anterior pituitary gland in chamber controls at study laboratory (mean  $\pm$  SD): 74/370 (20%  $\pm$  14%); historical incidence in untreated controls in NTP studies: 256/1,528 (17%  $\pm$  11%)

### TABLE 19. HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARINHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (a)

	Chamber Control	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Lymphoma (b)	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Overall Rates	21/50 (42%)	12/50(24%)	8/50 (16%)
Terminal Rates	16/33 (48%)	6/40 (15%)	5/40 (13%)
Day of First Observation	452	640	694
Life Table Tests	P<0.001N	P = 0.018N	P = 0.001 N
Logistic Regression Tests	P = 0.002N	P = 0.037 N	P = 0.003 N

(a) For a complete explanation of the entries in this table, see Table D3 (footnotes); the statistical analyses used are discussed in Section II (Statistical Methods).

(b) Historical incidence of lymphomas or leukemia (combined) in chamber controls at study laboratory (mean  $\pm$  SD): 84/398 (21%  $\pm$  6%); historical incidence in untreated controls in NTP studies: 537/1,689 (32%  $\pm$  12%)

### **GENETIC TOXICOLOGY**

CS2 was tested for induction of gene mutations in a total of five strains of Salmonella typhimurium in two different laboratories using a preincubation protocol with and without Aroclor 1254induced male Sprague Dawley rat or Syrian hamster liver S9 (Zeiger et al., 1987; Table H1). In one laboratory, an equivocal response was noted in strain TA97, but only in the presence of 30% hamster liver S9; in the other four strains tested (TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537), no mutagenic response was observed with or without S9 (10% or 30%). In the other laboratory, an equivocal response occurred with strain TA100 in the absence of S9 only; CS2 was clearly negative for gene mutation induction in all other strains tested in this laboratory (TA98, TA1535, and TA1537) with or without S9. CS2 induced trifluorothymidine resistance in mouse L5178Y/TK lymphoma cells at the highest nonlethal dose tested  $(2.5 \,\mu\text{g/ml})$  in each of two trials conducted in the absence of S9: it was not tested with S9 (McGregor et al., 1988; Table H2). In cytogenetic tests with Chinese hamster ovary cells, CS2 induced both sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs) and chromosomal aberrations with and without Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat liver S9 (Tables H3 and H4). For both the SCE and the aberration tests, a delayed harvest protocol was used to offset CS2-induced cell cycle delay at each of the dose levels at which a positive response was demonstrated. The experimental procedures and results are presented in Appendix H.

### **IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Short-Term Studies Two-Year Studies Conclusions CS2 (a mixture of 94% o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile [CS], 5% Cab-O-Sil®, and 1% hexamethyldisilizane) was nominated by the National Cancer Institute for evaluation of its carcinogenic potential because of its use as a riot control agent and because of a lack of adequate testing. The inhalation route of exposure was chosen because human exposure to this chemical occurs through its use as an aerosol during riot control.

### Short-Term Studies

The 14-day and 13-week inhalation studies of CS2 show that mice are more sensitive to the lethal effects of the compound than are rats. In the 14-day studies, all rats exposed to CS2 at concentrations of 30 or 100 mg/m<sup>3</sup> died, whereas all mice exposed to 10 mg/m<sup>3</sup> or more died. In addition, all mice exposed to 6 mg/m<sup>3</sup> CS2 died in the 13-week studies; only one male rat died at this concentration. This finding is similar to that of Ballantyne and Swanston (1978), who reported that the LCt<sub>50</sub> (median lethal toxicity) of CS for male mice was less than that for male rats.

The cause of deaths in rats and mice in these short-term studies is unknown. In the animals that died in the 13-week studies, there were no histopathologic lesions that would account for their deaths. Although accumulated serous or purulent exudate in the nasal passage could obstruct breathing, the lesions identified in the nasal passage of rats and mice were not considered to be directly lethal. It has been suggested that the lethal effects of CS given at high doses by intraperitoneal or intravenous injection are due to the rapid metabolism and release of cyanide and thiocyanate, which are found in the urine.

The clinical signs observed in rats and mice are similar to those reported in humans exposed to CS2. The irritant properties of the aerosol were evident by the excessive lacrimation, spasm and closure of the eyelids, nasal discharge, attempts at mouth breathing, and erythema of the extremities. To some extent, closure of the eyelids and mouth breathing may have been attempts to reduce exposure to more sensitive sites, such as eyes and the nasal passage.

In the 13-week studies, body weights were generally lower in exposed animals than in controls. The observed increases in the organ weight to body weight ratios of the brain, heart, kidney, lung, and testis of rats and mice were a consequence of lower body weights.

Lesions caused by the inhalation of CS2 for 13 weeks were observed in the upper respiratory tract, primarily in tissues of the nasal passage, but not in the lung. The nasal passage is exposed to the highest concentration of inhaled gases, aerosols, or particles and is a frequent site of degenerative lesions in inhalation studies. The location of lesions in the nasal passage is due to regional variation in deposition of the material and/or to regional susceptibility and is influenced by physical and chemical features of the material, airflow patterns, and mucus flow. The squamous epithelium that lines the nasal vestibule and the floor of the ventral meatus is the most resistant of the nasal epithelia to compound-related effects; the respiratory epithelium that covers the septum and naso- and maxilloturbinates, the olfactory epithelium of the ethmoid turbinates, and the dorsal wall of the nasal passage are more commonly affected.

The lesions observed in the nasal passage of rats and mice exposed to CS2 for 13 weeks are similar to those seen with a wide variety of irritant compounds that are inhaled, including formaldehyde and methyl isocyanate (Swenberg et al., 1983; Jiang et al., 1986; Boorman et al., 1987). The erosion of the respiratory epithelium observed in rats is an indication of cell death and loss, and the hyperplasia is interpreted as a regenerative or reparative response. Squamous metaplasia is an alteration in cellular differentiation that often accompanies prolonged injury to the respiratory epithelium.

In general, the nasal passage of mice was less severely affected than that of rats. Lesions were observed in all rats exposed at concentrations as low as  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$  but not in any mice exposed to  $0.75 \text{ mg/m}^3$ . Furthermore, overt evidence of cell necrosis (erosion of the respiratory epithelium) was seen in rats but not in mice. This difference in susceptibility is possibly due to physiologic differences in the responses of rats and mice rather than to differences in tissue susceptibility to CS2. Mice have been shown to be able to reduce their minute volume (respiratory rate  $\times$  tidal

volume) by 75% in response to the inhalation of sensory irritants (formaldehyde, for example), whereas rats reduced their minute volume by only 45% (Barrow et al., 1983). Thus, at the same concentration of compound in inhaled air, the nasal passage of rats may actually be exposed to a higher "dose" than that of mice. For rats in the current 13-week studies, the lowest concentration of CS2 at which compound-related lesions were seen  $(0.4 \text{ mg/m}^3)$  is equal to the threshold limit value established by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH, 1988).

In other studies (Marrs et al., 1983), the authors concluded that CS was not harmful to male mice, rats, or guinea pigs exposed to up to  $30 \text{ mg/m}^3$ for 1 hour per day for 120 days. However, nasal tissue was not examined in those studies, and the animals were histologically evaluated after a 6-month recovery period, by which time the lesions may have healed.

Changes in the adrenal gland and the thyroid gland were noted in other studies in which rats were given daily intraperitoneal injections of up to 20 mg CS/kg body weight for 10 days (Chowdhury et al., 1978a,b). In 13-week inhalation studies conducted by the National Toxicology Program, no changes were found in the adrenal gland or the thyroid gland of rats or mice. This discrepancy between studies may be related to the different routes of administration used. Additionally, Chowdhury et al. used CS, a formulation that is different from CS2.

### **Two-Year Studies**

No significant difference in survival was observed among rats exposed 6 hours per day, 5 days per week for up to 2 years to CS2 aerosol concentrations of 0, 0.075, 0.25, or 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> or among mice exposed similarly to the aerosol at concentrations of 0, 0.75, or 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Growth of rats exposed to 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and of mice exposed to 0.75 or 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> was depressed relative to that of chamber controls (see Figures 2 and 7).

Nonneoplastic lesions associated with the exposure of rats and mice to CS2 for up to 2 years were present only in the nasal passage. The

lesions in the respiratory epithelium were similar to those seen in the 13-week studies but varied in character, due to the duration of the injury. Hyperplasia of the respiratory epithelium in the 2-year studies consisted of increased height of the epithelial cells, increased numbers of goblet cells, and slight folding of the epithelium, due to its greater cellularity. In contrast, that in the 13-week studies appeared to be a focal regenerative response to necrosis of the epithelium and was characterized by increased numbers of less differentiated cells. The squamous metaplasia in the 2-year studies consisted of moderately differentiated to well-differentiated squamous cells with some keratinization, whereas in the short-term studies, the cells were not well differentiated. In rats, degenerative lesions of the olfactory epithelium were seen in the 2-year studies but not in the 13-week studies.

In the 13-week studies, compound-induced lesions of the nasal passage were seen in rats at all concentrations. Since a no-effect level was not reached, the 2-year rat studies included three concentration levels in order to determine whether prolonged exposure at concentrations producing these lesions was a prerequisite for the development of neoplasia in the nasal passage. A single male rat exposed to 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> developed an adenocarcinoma, which may have originated in the glands of the nasal passage, and a squamous cell carcinoma, which apparently arose in the vomeronasal organ. A female rat exposed at the lowest concentration developed an adenoma of the respiratory epithelium. Since only one male rat and one female rat developed neoplasms in the nasal passage, these lesions are not considered to be related to the administration of CS2. Thus, the hypothesis regarding the lesions seen in the short-term studies was not tested.

None of the neoplasms seen in male or female rats was considered to be related to exposure to CS2. Although the incidences of thyroid gland C-cell neoplasms were marginally increased in low and mid exposure groups of male rats, there was no dose response and the incidences were within the historical control range. Two rare renal tubular cell adenomas were seen in female rats exposed to  $0.25 \text{ mg/m}^3$ , but none occurred at the top concentration, and there was no supporting evidence of hyperplasia.

There were no compound-related increases in the incidences of neoplasms in male or female mice. In female mice, there was a pronounced concentration-related decrease in the incidence of adenomas of the pars distalis. Reductions in body weight occurred in female mice exposed to CS2, but whether the weight reduction was associated with the decreased incidence of neoplasms of the pars distalis is unknown. Lifetime dietary restriction which led to reductions in body weight resulted in significantly decreased incidences of pituitary neoplasms in female Swiss mice (Tucker, 1979). Additionally, many workers have found an association between decreased body weight and decreased incidences of various neoplasms in rats (Tannenbaum, 1940, 1942; Ross and Bras, 1971; Rao et al., 1987).

Although there was a decreased incidence of adenomas of the pars distalis, three rare adenomas of the pars intermedia occurred in female mice at the top concentration. The pars intermedia and pars distalis are closely related anatomically and embryologically. Both are part of the adenohypophysis and originate from Rathke's pouch, a diverticulum of the ectodermal epithelium of the primitive oral cavity. The functions of the distalis and intermedia are similar; both produce polypeptide hormones that affect remote organs. Thus, it is difficult to attribute both the decrease in the incidences of adenomas of the pars distalis and the increase in neoplasms of the pars intermedia to exposure to CS2. Furthermore, chemical induction of neoplasms of the pars intermedia has not been reported in the literature. Adenomas of the pars distalis can be induced by chemicals or procedures that suppress thyroid gland function or by the administration of estrogenic compounds, and the induction of pituitary gland neoplasms by these methods apparently is the result of sustained hormonal imbalance (Carlton and Gries, 1983). Hyperplasia of the affected cells usually precedes the development of adenomas. In the 2-year studies of CS2, hyperplasia of the pars intermedia was not observed. Thus, it was concluded that the occurrence of the three adenomas of the pars intermedia was unrelated to exposure to CS2.

Malignant lymphomas also occurred with a concentration-related negative trend in female mice. The incidence in groups of females exposed to the chemical was significantly lower than that in controls. Whether the decrease in the incidences of these neoplasms was related to body weight depression of the exposed animals is not known.

### Conclusions

Under the conditions of these inhalation studies, there was no evidence of carcinogenic activity\* of CS2 for male or female F344/N rats exposed to 0.075, 0.25, or 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> in air for up to 2 years. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity for male or female B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice exposed to 0.75 or 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> in air for up to 2 years. Concentration-related decreases in the incidences of pituitary gland adenomas and lymphomas were observed in female mice.

Exposure to CS2 caused degeneration and squamous metaplasia of the olfactory epithelium, hyperplasia and metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium, and proliferation of the periosteum of the nasal passage of rats. In mice, exposure to this compound caused suppurative inflammation and hyperplasia and squamous metaplasia of the respiratory epithelium of the nasal passage.

<sup>\*</sup>Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 6.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 9.

### **V. REFERENCES**

CS2, NTP TR 377

1. American Chemical Society (ACS) (1976) Chemical Carcinogens. ACS Monograph No. 173. Washington, DC: ACS, p. 151.

2. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) (1980) Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values, 4th ed. Cincinnati: ACGIH, p. 85.

3. American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) (1988) Threshold Limit Values and Biological Indices for 1987-1988. Cincinnati: ACGIH.

4. Ames, B.N.; McCann, J.; Yamasaki, E. (1975) Methods for detecting carcinogens and mutagens with the Salmonella/mammalian-microsome mutagenicity test. Mutat. Res. 31:347-364.

5. Armitage, P. (1971) Statistical Methods in Medical Research. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 362-365.

6. Ballantyne, B. (1977) Biomedical and health aspects of the use of chemicals in civil disturbances. Scot, R.B.; Frazer, J., Eds.: Medical Annual 1977. Bristol, UK: Wright and Sons, p. 7.

7. Ballantyne, B.; Swanston, D.W. (1978) The comparative acute mammalian toxicity of 1-chloroacetophenone (CN) and 2-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile (CS). Arch. Toxicol. 40:75-95.

8. Barrow, C.S.; Steinhagen, W.H.; Chang, J.C.F. (1983) Formaldehyde sensory irritation. Gibson, J.E., Ed.: Formaldehyde Toxicity. New York: Hemisphere Publishing Corporation.

9. Boorman, G.A.; Montgomery, C.A., Jr.; Eustis, S.L.; Wolfe, M.J.; McConnell, E.E.; Hardisty, J.F. (1985) Quality assurance in pathology for rodent carcinogenicity studies. Milman, H.; Weisburger, E., Eds.: Handbook of Carcinogen Testing. Park Ridge, NJ: Noyes Publications, pp. 345-357.

10. Boorman, G.A.; Brown, R.; Gupta, B.N.; Uraih, L.C.; Bucher, J.R. (1987) Pathologic changes following acute methyl isocyanate inhalation and recovery in B6C3F1 mice. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 87:446-457. 11. Brewster, K.; Harrison, J.M.; Leadbeater, L.; Newman, J.; Upshall, D.G. (1987) The fate of 2chlorobenzylidene malononitrile (CS) in rats. Xenobiotica 17:911-924.

12. Carlton, W.W.; Gries, C.L. (1983) Adenoma and carcinoma, pars distalis, rat. Jones, T.C.; Mohr, U., Eds.: Endocrine System. New York: Springer-Verlag.

13. Chowdhury, A.R.; Deshmukh, M.B.; Raghuveeran, C.D.; Nashikkar, A.B.; Chatterjee, A.K. (1978a) Histological changes in thyroid of rat under the acute exposure of O-chloro-benzylidene malononitrile. Experientia 34:1327.

14. Chowdhury, A.R.; Deshmukh, M.B.; Nashikkar, A.B.; Raghuveeran, C.D.; Chatterjee, A.K. (1978b) Cellular changes of adrenal under the acute stress of o-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile (CS). Experientia 34:494-495.

15. Chowdhury, A.R.; Chatterjee, A.K.; Raghuveeran, C.D. (1979) Cytochemical changes of adrenal under the acute exposure of o-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile (CS). Mikroskopie 35: 183-189.

16. Clive, D.; Johnson, K.O.; Spector, J.F.S.; Batson, A.G.; Brown, M.M.M. (1979) Validation and characterization of the  $L5178Y/TK^{+/-}$ mouse lymphoma mutagen assay system. Mutat. Res. 59:61-108.

17. Corson, B.; Stoughton, R. (1928) Reactions of alpha, beta-unsaturated dinitriles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 50:2825-2837.

18. Cox, D.R. (1972) Regression models and life tables. J. R. Stat. Soc. B34:187-220.

19. Cucinell, S.A.; Swentzel, K.C.; Biskop, R.; Snodgrass, H.; Lovre, S.; Stark, W.; Feinsilver, L.; Vocci, F. (1971) Biochemical reaction and metabolic fate of riot control agents. Fed. Proc. 30:86-91.

20. Dinse, G.E.; Haseman, J.K. (1986) Logistic regression analysis of incidental-tumor data from animal carcinogenicity experiments. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 6:44-52.

21. Dinse, G.E.; Lagakos, S.W. (1983) Regression analysis of tumour prevalence data. J. R. Stat. Soc. C32:236-248.

22. Dube, S.N. (1980) Effect of o-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile (CS) on tissue glycolysis & oxidation. Indian J. Exp. Biol. 18:80-82.

23. Dunn, O.J. (1964) Multiple comparisons using rank sums. Technometrics 6:241-252.

24. Frankenberg, L.; Sorbo B. (1973) Formation of cyanide from o-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile and its toxicological significance. Arch. Toxicol. 31:99-108.

25. Galloway, S.M.; Bloom, A.D.; Resnick, M.; Margolin, B.H.; Nakamura, F.; Archer, P.; Zeiger, E. (1985) Development of a standard protocol for in vitro cytogenetic testing with Chinese hamster ovary cells: Comparison of results for 22 compounds in two laboratories. Environ. Mutagen. 7:1-51.

26. Galloway, S.M.; Armstrong, M.J.; Reuben, C.; Colman, S.; Brown, B.; Cannon, C.; Bloom, A.D.; Nakamura, F.; Ahmed, M.; Duk, S.; Rimpo, J.; Margolin, B.H.; Resnick, M.A.; Anderson, B.; Zeiger, E. (1987) Chromosome aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in Chinese hamster ovary cells: Evaluations of 108 chemicals. Environ. Molec. Mutagen. 10(Suppl. 10):1-175.

27. Gart, J.J.; Chu, K.C.; Tarone, R.E. (1979) Statistical issues in interpretation of chronic bioassay tests for carcinogenicity. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 62:957-974.

28. Haseman, J.K. (1984) Statistical issues in the design, analysis and interpretation of animal carcinogenicity studies. Environ. Health Perspect. 58:385-392.

29. Haseman, J.K.; Huff, J.; Boorman, G.A. (1984) Use of historical control data in carcinogenicity studies in rodents. Toxicol. Pathol. 12: 126-135. 30. Haseman, J.K.; Huff, J.; Rao, G.N.; Arnold, J.; Boorman, G.A.; McConnell, E.E. (1985) Neoplasms observed in untreated and corn oil gavage control groups of F344/N rats and (C57BL/6N  $\times$  C3H/HeN)F<sub>1</sub> (B6C3F<sub>1</sub>) mice. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 75:975-984.

31. Himsworth, H. (1971) Report of the Enquiry into the Medical and Toxicological Aspects of CS. Part II. Enquiry into Toxicological Aspects of CS and Its Use in Civil Purposes. Cmnd. 4775, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London.

32. Holland, P.; White, R.G. (1972) The cutaneous reactions produced by o-chlorobenzylidenemalononitrile and alpha-chloroacetophenone when applied directly to the skin of human subjects. Br. J. Dermatol. 86:150-154.

33. Jiang, X.; Morgan, K.T.; Beauchamp, R.O., Jr. (1986) Histopathology of acute and subacute nasal toxicity. Barrow, C.S., Ed.: Toxicology of the Nasal Passages. New York: Hemisphere Publishing Corporation.

34. Jonckheere, A. (1954) A distribution-free ksample test against ordered alternatives. Biometrika 41:133-145.

35. Jones, G.R.N.; Israel, M.S. (1970) Mechanism of toxicity of injected CS gas. Nature 228: 315-317.

36. Kaplan, E.L.; Meier, P. (1958) Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 53:457-481.

37. Leadbeater, L. (1973) The absorption of ortho-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile (CS) by the respiratory tract. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 25:101-110.

38. Leadbeater, L.; Sainsbury, G.L.; Uttley, D. (1973) o-Chlorobenzyl malononitrile. A metabolite formed from o-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile (CS). Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 25:111-116. 39. Maronpot, R.R.; Boorman, G.A. (1982) Interpretation of rodent hepatocellular proliferative alterations and hepatocellular tumors in chemical safety assessment. Toxicol. Pathol. 10:71-80.

40. Marrs, T.C.; Colgrave, H.F.; Cross, N.L.; Gazzard, M.F.; Brown, R.F.R. (1983) A repeated dose study of the toxicity of inhaled 2-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile (CS) aerosol in three species of laboratory animal. Arch. Toxicol. 52:183-198.

41. McConnell, E.E. (1983a) Pathology requirements for rodent two-year studies. I. A review of current procedures. Toxicol. Pathol. 11:60-64.

42. McConnell, E.E. (1983b) Pathology requirements for rodent two-year studies. II. Alternative approaches. Toxicol. Pathol. 11:65-76.

43. McConnell, E.E.; Solleveld, H.A.; Swenberg, J.A.; Boorman, G.A. (1986) Guidelines for combining neoplasms for evaluation of rodent carcinogenesis studies. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 76: 283-289.

44. McGregor, D.B.; Brown, A.; Cattanach, P.; Edwards, I.; McBride, D.; Caspary, W.J. (1988) Responses of the L5178Ytk<sup>+</sup>/tk<sup>-</sup> mouse lymphoma cell forward mutation assay: II. 18 coded chemcials. Environ. Molec. Mutagen. 11:91-118.

45. McKnight, B.; Crowley, J. (1984) Tests for differences in tumor incidence based on animal carcinogenesis experiments. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 79:639-648.

46. McNamara, B.P.; Renne, R.A.; Rozmiarek, H.; Ford, D.F.; Owens, E.J. (1973) CS: A Study of Carcinogenicity. Iss. No. AD 770:365/5GA. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service.

47. Myhr, B.; Bowers, L.; Caspary, W.J. (1985) Assays for the induction of gene mutations at the thymidine kinase locus in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells in culture. Prog. Mutat. Res. 5:555-568.

48. Nagarkatti, M.; Nagarkatti, P.S.; Raghuveeran, C.D. (1981) Short-term toxicity studies of o-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile on humoral immunity in mice. Toxicol. Lett. 8:73-76. 49. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1976) Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassay in Small Rodents. NCI Technical Report No. 1. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD. 65 p.

50. National Institutes of Health (NIH) (1978) Open Formula Rat and Mouse Ration (NIH-07). Specification NIH-11-1335. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD.

51. Nestmann, E.R.; Lee, E.G.-H.; Matula, T.I.; Douglas, G.R.; Mueller, J.C. (1980) Mutagenicity of constituents identified in pulp and paper mill effluents using the *Salmonella*/mammalian-microsome assay. Mutat. Res. 79:203-212.

52. Punte, C.L.; Weimer, J.T.; Ballard, T.A.; Wilding, J.L. (1962) Toxicologic studies on ochlorobenzylidene malononitrile. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 4:656-662.

53. Punte, C.L.; Owens, J.E.; Gutentag, P.J. (1963) Exposure to o-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile: Controlled human exposures. Arch. Environ. Health 6:366-374.

54. Rao, G.N.; Piegorsch, W.W.; Haseman, J.K. (1987) Influence of body weight on the incidence of spontaneous tumors in rats and mice of longterm studies. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 45:252-260.

55. Rietveld, E.C.; Delbressine, L.P.C.; Waegemaekers, T.H.J.M.; Seutter-Berlage, F. (1983) 2-Chlorobenzylmercapturic acid, a metabolite of the riot control agent 2-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile (CS) in the rat. Arch. Toxicol. 54:139-144.

56. Riggin, R.M.; Margard, W.L.; Kinzer, G.W. (1983) Characterization of impurities in commercial lots of sodium saccharin produced by the Sherwin-Williams process. II. Mutagenicity. Food Chem. Toxicol. 21:11-17.

57. Ross, M.H.; Bras, G. (1971) Lasting influence of early caloric restriction on prevalence of neoplasms in the rat. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 47:1095-1113. 58. Sadtler Standard Spectra. IR No. 46317; UV No. 24222; NMR No. 19105 M. Philadelphia: Sadtler Research Laboratories.

59. Sayler, G.S.; Reid, M.C.; Perkins, B.K.; Pagni, R.M.; Smith, R.L.; Rao, T.K.; Epler, J.L.; Morrison, W.D.; DuFrain, R. (1982) Evaluation of the mutagenic potential of bacterial polychlorinated biphenyls biodegradation products. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 11:577-581.

60. Shirley, E. (1977) A non-parametric equivalent of Williams' test for contrasting increasing dose levels of a treatment. Biometrics 33:386-389.

61. Swenberg, J.A.; Gross, E.A.; Martin, J.; Popp, J.A. (1983) Mechanisms of formaldehyde toxicity. Gibson, J.E., Ed.: Formaldehyde Toxicity. New York: Hemisphere Publishing Corporation.

62. Tannenbaum, A. (1940) The initiation and growth of tumors. I. Effects of underfeeding. Am. J. Cancer 38:335-350.

63. Tannenbaum, A. (1942) The genesis and growth of tumors: Effects of calorie restriction per se. Cancer Res. 2:460-467.

64. Tarone, R.E. (1975) Tests for trend in life table analysis. Biometrika 62:679-682.

65. Tucker, M.J. (1979) The effect of long-term food restriction on tumors in rodents. Int. J. Cancer 23:803-807.

66. Upshall, D.G. (1973) Effect of o-chlorobenzylidene malononitrile (CS) and the stress of inhalation upon rat and rabbit development. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 24:45-59.

67. von Daeniken, A.; Friederich, U.; Lutz, W.K.; Schlatter, C. (1981) Tests for mutagenicity in Salmonella and covalent binding to DNA and protein in the rat of the riot control agent ochlorobenzylidene malononitrile (CS). Arch. Toxicol. 49:15-27.

68. Weigand, D.A. (1969) Cutaneous reaction to the riot control agent CS. Mil. Med. 134:437.

69. Wild, D.; Eckhardt, K.; Harnasch, D.; King, M.-T. (1983) Genotoxicity study of CS (orthochlorobenzylidenemalononitrile) in *Salmonella*, *Drosophila*, and mice: Failure to detect mutagenic effects. Arch. Toxicol. 54:167-170.

70. Zeiger, E.; Anderson, B.; Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T.; Mortelmans, K.; Speck, W. (1987) Salmonella mutagenicity tests: III. Results from the testing of 255 chemicals. Environ. Mutagen. 9(Suppl. 9):1-110.

71. Zeiger, E.; Anderson, B.; Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T.; Mortelmans, K. (1988) Salmonella mutagenicity tests: IV. Results from the testing of 300 chemicals. Environ. Molec. Mutagen. 11(Suppl. 12):1-158.

### **APPENDIX A**

# SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2

		PAGE
TABLE A1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	59
TABLE A2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	62
TABLE A3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	78
TABLE A4a	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND C-CELL NEOPLASMS IN MALE F344/N RATS	82
TABLE A4b	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TESTICULAR INTERSTITIAL CELL NEOPLASMS IN MALE F344/N RATS	83
TABLE A5	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	84

CS2, NTP TR 377

	Chamber	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
DISPOSITION SUMMARY						<u></u>		
Animals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
Early deaths								
Moribund	19		<b>27</b>		21		20	
Dead	5		6		8		4	
Survivors								
Terminal sacrifice Animals examined microscopically	26 50		17 50		21 50		26 50	
LIMENTARY SYSTEM								
Intestine large, cecum	(44)		(26)		(21)		(44)	
Intestine large, colon	(48)		(32)		(24)		(46)	
Intestine small, duodenum	(50)		(30)		(23)		(46)	
Intestine small, ileum	(42)		(22)		(18)		(43)	
Intestine small, jejunum	(41)		(18)		(12)		(39)	
Liver	(50)	(1~)	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hepatocellular carcinoma	2	(4%)	<u> </u>	(10)				
Hepatocellular adenoma Neoplastic nodule	0	(101)		(4%)			•	(10)
Mesentery	(5)	(4%)	(3)	(2%)	(9)			(4%)
Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney	(5)		(3)		(2)		(4)	(25%)
Pancreas	(50)		(33)		(26)		(48)	(20%)
Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney	(00)		(00)		(20)			(2%)
Pharynx	(1)		(1)		(2)		(4)	(2,0)
Palate, adenoma		(100%)	(-)			(50%)	(-)	
Palate, papilloma		,				(50%)		
Salivary glands	(49)		(33)		(27)		(49)	
Stomach, forestomach	(50)		(33)		(28)		(50)	
Stomach, glandular	(50)		(32)		(28)		(49)	
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM					_			
Heart	(50)		(35)		(30)		(50)	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM								
Adrenal gland, cortex	(50)		(50)		(48)		(49)	
Adenoma	1	(2%)				(2%)		(2%)
Adrenal gland, medulla	(42)		(46)		(47)		(38)	
Pheochromocytoma malignant	3	(7%)			4	(9%)	3	(8%)
Pheochromocytoma complex	1	(2%)						
Pheochromocytoma benign		(38%)	12	(26%)	10	(21%)	12	(32%)
Bilateral, pheochromocytoma malignan		(2%)						
Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign		(5%)		(11%)		(6%)		(3%)
Islets, pancreatic	(50)	(0~)	(36)	(1.1.00)	(27)	(20)	(48)	
Adenoma	1	(2%)		(14%)		(7%)	2	(4%)
Carcinoma Porethursid alon d				(3%)		(7%)	/ 4 4 >	
Parathyroid gland	(42)	(501)	(32)	(00)	(27)		(41)	
Adenoma Pituitary gland		(5%)		(3%)	(40)		(47)	
Pars distalis, adenoma	(47)	(590)	(43)	(590)	(40)	(620-)	(47)	(590)
Pars distalis, adenoma Pars distalis, carcinoma		(53%) (2%)		(58%) (7%)		(63%) (5%)		(53%)
Thyroid gland	(48)	(270)	(49)	(7%)	( <b>46</b> )	(5%)	(48)	(4%)
Bilateral, C-cell, adenoma	(40)			(2%)	(40)		(40)	
C-cell, adenoma	9	(4%)		(16%)	7	(15%)	а	(13%)
C-cell, carcinoma	2			(10%) (2%)		(4%)	0	(1070)
Follicular cell, adenoma				(2%)		(2%)	1	(2%)
Follicular cell, carcinoma				(2%)	-		-	( <del>~</del> / <del>/</del> /

## TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARINHALATION STUDY OF CS2

	Chamber	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
ENERAL BODY SYSTEM	<u></u>	<u></u>						
Tissue, NOS	(1)							
Lipoma		(100%)						
	-							
ENITAL SYSTEM								
Preputial gland	(50)		(48)		(46)		(50)	
Ådenoma	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
Carcinoma			1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Prostate	(50)		(34)		(27)		(50)	
Seminal vesicle	(7)		(3)		(6)		(8)	
Testes	(50)		(47)		(50)		(50)	
Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma	22	(44%)	26	(55%)	26	(52%)		(60%)
Interstitial cell, adenoma	9	(18%)	12	(26%)	10	(20%)	11	(22%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	<b>_</b>			<u>.</u>				
Bone marrow	(50)		(33)		(26)		(49)	
Lymph node	(50)		(35)		(30)		(49)	
Lymph node, bronchial	(50)		(29)		(25)		(47)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney	(00)		(20)		(20)			(2%)
Carcinoma, metastatic, thyroid gland			1	(3%)			-	
Lymph node, mandibular	(47)		(27)		(28)		(46)	
Spleen	(50)		(49)		(49)		(50)	
Thymus	(39)		(26)		(25)		(39)	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	···· <u>.</u>	<u></u>						
Mammary gland	(21)		(10)		(12)		(24)	
Fibroadenoma		(5%)	(10)		(12)		• •	(4%)
Skin	(49)	,	(32)		(30)		(50)	
Basal cell carcinoma		(2%)	(02)		(00)		(00)	
Keratoacanthoma	1	(270)	1	(3%)	3	(10%)	3	(6%)
Papilloma squamous			•	(0,0)		(3%)		
Trichoepithelioma	1	(2%)			-			
Sebaceous gland, adenoma	1				1	(3%)		
Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma	1	(2%)	1	(3%)	-	(0,0)	3	(6%)
Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma, multiple		(2%) (2%)	1				5	
							<u></u>	
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	(FO)		(33)		(29)		(50)	
Bone	(50)		(33)		(29)			(2%)
Osteosarcoma Skeletal muscle					(1)		(1)	(210)
					(1)			(100%)
Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney								(100 /0)
NERVOUS SYSTEM								
Brain	(50)		(33)		(30)		(50)	
Astrocytoma, NOS		(2%)		(3%)			-	
Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland	i 1	(2%)	2		1	(3%)	1	(2%)
Carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal gland			1	(3%)				(00)
Oligodendroglioma malignant Meninges, carcinoma, metastatic, Zym								(2%)
	h - 1 - 1						1	(2%)

# TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARINHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

Cha	mber	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM								
Lung	(50)		(49)		(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	4	(8%)		(4%)		(2%)	()	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma			2	(4%)				
Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney							1	(2%)
Carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal gland							1	(2%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver	1	(2%)						
Pheochromocytoma malignant, metastatic,								
adrenal gland				- · ·			1	(2%)
Squamous cell carcinoma		(2%)		(2%)				
Nose	(50)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Submucosa, adenocarcinoma								(2%)
Vomeronasal organ, squamous cell carcinoma	3						1	(2%)
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM				<u></u> _		·····	·	
Eye	(48)		(6)		(4)		(49)	
Harderian gland	(7)						(1)	
Adenocarcinoma							1	(100%)
Zymbal gland	(2)		(1)				(1)	
Carcinoma	2	(100%)	1	(100%)			1	(100%)
URINARY SYSTEM								
Kidney	(50)		(44)		(39)		(50)	
Renal tubule, adenoma		(2%)	,	(2%)		(3%)	(00)	
Renal tubule, carcinoma	-	(= ///	•	(4,0)	-	(0,0)	1	(2%)
Urinary bladder	(49)		(31)		(27)		(49)	(_ / 2 /
SYSTEMIC LESIONS								
	*(50)		*(50)		*(50)		*(50)	
Leukemia mononuclear		(58%)		(70%)		(60%)		(56%)
Lymphoma malignant		(2%)			00		20	
Lymphoma malignant histiocytic	-	(2%)						
Mesothelioma benign	-		1	(2%)				
Mesothelioma malignant	1	(2%)		(4%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
TUMOR SUMMARY		<u></u>			·······			
Total animals with primary neoplasms **	50		49		50		50	
Total primary neoplasms	141		156		140		143	
Total animals with benign neoplasms	46		45		47		48	
Total benign neoplasms	96		107		96		101	
Total animals with malignant neoplasms	33		42		37		37	
Total malignant neoplasms	44		48		44		42	
Total animals with secondary neoplasms ***	2		4		1		5	
Total secondary neoplasms	2		4		1		14	
Total animals with neoplasms								
uncertain benign or malignant	1		1					
Total uncertain neoplasms	1							

# TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. \*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors \*\*\* Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

DAYS ON STUDY	4 3 8	4 6 3	5 0 8	5 1 5	5 2 9	529	5 5 3	5 6 7	5 6 9	57	5 7	585	6 1 2	630	6 5 5	6 6 6	6 7 4	6 7 4	6 9 9	7 0 2	702	732	739	7 4 7	7 4 9
CARCASS		3	-4-	-4-	4	5	- 	-4-	1	-0	-1	2	3	1	4		-					- 0	3	2	-0-
ID	4 2 1	8 1	1	7	6 1	0 1	6 1	3 1	8	1 1	1 1	4 1	4 1	4 1	0 1	1 5 1	9 1	$\frac{2}{1}$	1 3 1	0 1	0 1	7 1	5 1	$\frac{2}{1}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM		· · · · ·											_												
Esophagus ntestine large	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	++++	+	+	+	+	+	+
ntestine large, cecum	++++	+	++	+	Å	+	+	+	A A	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	Ť	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+++	++	+	++	Å	ľ,
ntestine large, colon	+	+	+	÷	Ä	÷	+	÷	Ă	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	+
ntestine large, rectum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	Α	+
ntestine small ntestine small, duodenum	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	+	+	++++	+	+	+	++	+++	++	+	+	+	+++
ntestine small, ileum	+	+	ī	+	Ā	+	Ă	Ă	Ă	+	+	+	÷	Ť	+	+	Ŧ	÷	+	+	+	Ă	+	Ă	+
ntestine small, jejunum	+	+	A	+	Α	+	Α	A	А	+	+	+	A	+	À	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	ï	÷	A	+
iver	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hepatocellular carcinoma Neoplastic nodule			X																						х
fesentery					+																	+			Λ
ancreas	i +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+
harynx																									
Palate, adenoma alivary glands		1.			А																,	,			
tomach	+	+	+	+	- A	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++
itomach, forestomach	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+
tomach, glandular	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM																~									
llood vessel												+										+			
leart	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NDOCRINE SYSTEM												-													
drenal gland	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
drenal gland, cortex	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenoma drenal gland, medulla	1	-	М	М	+	М	ь.				1.	i.	м		т										
Pheochromocytoma malignant		т.	TAT	747	+	741	-1-	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	TAT	Ŧ	1	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	-
Pheochromocytoma complex									Х																
Pheochromocytoma benign												х						Х		х		х		х	
Bilateral, pheochromocytoma malignant Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign																			х						
slets, pancreatic	+	+	+	+-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	^ +	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenoma																						•		x	
arathyroid gland	M	+	+	М	+	I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	М	м
Adenoma 'ituitary gland	1	+	+	+	A	+		+	Ŧ	м	-	X +	ــ	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Pars distalis, adenoma	x +	x	Ŧ	x	~	x	Ŧ	x	* x	IAT	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	x	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	*	x	x	Ŧ	x	x
Pars distalis, carcinoma						-									х										
hyroid gland C-cell, adenoma	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENERAL BODY SYSTEM															·		··								
issue, NOS													÷												
Lipoma													Х												
ENITAL SYSTEM										·			_												
pididymis	+	I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	4	+	÷
reputial gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
		X			+		+	1	+	L.	X	L	-		+		+		+						
		*	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
rostate	·																								
Adenoma rostate eminal vesicle estes	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Prostate eminal vesicle	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	$\overset{+}{\mathbf{x}}$	+	+	+ X	$\overset{+}{\mathbf{x}}$	*	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	*	$\overset{+}{\mathbf{x}}$	*	+ X	*	+	*

## TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARINHALATION STUDY OF CS2: CHAMBER CONTROL

+: Tissue examined microscopically : Not examined -: Present but not examined microscopically 1: Insufficient tissue

M: Missing A: Autolysis precludes examination X: Incidence of listed morphology

												-/														
DAYS ON STUDY	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	749	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	1
<b>A</b> + <b>D A</b> + <b>A</b>									_	-			Ŭ	-	Ū				Ũ	Ŭ	v		Ŭ	2	ĩ	TOTAL:
CARCASS ID	0 3 1	0 4 1	0 5 1	0 6 1		$     \frac{1}{2}     1 $	$\frac{1}{7}$	1 9 1	$\frac{2}{3}$	2 5 1	$     \frac{2}{6}     1 $	2 7 1	2 8 1	2 9 1	3 0 1	3 1 1	$\frac{3}{2}$	3 3 1	3 6 1	3 7 1	3 9 1	4 4 1	4 5 1	4 8 1	4 9 1	TISSUES
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM								<b>.</b>																		-
Esophagus	+	+	+	· +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Intestine large	+	+	+	+	_ <del>+</del>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, colon	+	+++++	+	+	1	+	+	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	44
Intestine large, rectum	ļ Ŧ	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+++	++	++	+	+	, M	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+++	48 45
Intestine small	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	50
Intestine small, duodenum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Intestine small, ileum Intestine small, jejunum	+	+++	++++	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	++	+++	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	42
Liver	1	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	41 50
Hepatocellular carcinoma Neoplastic nodule Mesentery	x		,							x		1	F	,	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	+	Ŧ	Ŧ		Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	22
Pancreas	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	يد.	+	5 50
Pharynx													•					,		,	r.		÷	· · ·	,	1
Palate, adenoma	1.																						X			1
Salivary glands Stomach	1 ±	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Stomach, forestomach	1 +	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	++	+	++	++	+	+++	+++	+++	+	++++	++	50 50
Stomach, glandular	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	50
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM						. <u> </u>																				
Blood vessel Heart	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	3 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM																										
Adrenal gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Adrenal gland, cortex	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	50
Adenoma									х																	1
Adrenal gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma malignant	M	x x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	42
Pheochromocytoma complex	1	л																					х	х		3
Pheochromocytoma benign	1	х	х		Х	Х		х	Х			х		х		х							х	х		16
Bilateral, pheochromocytoma malignant																	Х									1
Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign Islets, pancreatic		+																				X				2
Adenoma	1	Ŧ	-1"	+	Ŧ	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
Parathyroid gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	42
Adenoma								х																		2
Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma	+	x +	* X	+	+	М	+	x+	x <sup>+</sup>	x x	x+	x x	+	+	x x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
Pars distalis, carcinoma		л	л					л	л	л	л	A			х	X			х	х		Х	x			25 1
Thyroid gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
C-cell, adenoma													*										x			2
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM						···		_~							_							·				
Tissue, NOS Lipoma																										1 1
GENITAL SYSTEM																										
Epididymis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	48
Preputial gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	. +	50
Adenoma Prostate	+	+	÷.	+	+	<u>т</u>	· 上	_	4	4	<u>ـ</u>	ـ	-	÷							X					3
Seminal vesicle		÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	+	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	50 7
Testes	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma Interstitial cell, adenoma	x		x	X	х	x	X	x				x	X	X	X		X	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	22 9
	2									-				_												1

# TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: CHAMBER CONTROL (Continued)

## TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: CHAMBER CONTROL (Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	4 3 8	4 6 3	5 0 8	5 1 5	5 2 9	5 2 9	5 5 3	5 6 7	5 6 9	5 7 1	5 7 1	5 8 5	6 1 2	6 3 0	6 5 5	6 6 6	6 7 4	6 7 4	6 9 9	7 0 2	7 0 2	7 3 2	7 3 9	7 4 7	7 4 9
CARCASS ID	4 2 1	3 8 1	4 1 1	4 7 1	4 6 1	5 0 1	1 6 1	4 3 1	1 8 1	0 1 1	1 1 1	2 4 1	3 4 1	1 4 1	4 0 1	$\frac{1}{5}$	0 9 1	2 1 1	1 3 1	1 0 1	2 0 1	0 7 1	3 5 1	2 2 1	0 2 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	+ + + + + M	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + + + M	++++++	+ + + M + +	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + M	++++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+ + + + + M	+++++	+ + + + M	+ + + + M + M	++++++	++++++	+++++ M	+++++	+++++
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Skin Basai cell carcinoma Trichoepithelioma Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma, multiple	 +	++	M +	M +	M +	M +	м +	M +	++	M +	++	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	+ +	++	+ +	++	+++	+ +	M +
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma, NOS Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland Spinal cord	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver Squamous cell carcinoma		+++	+ + X	++++	A +	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++	++	+++	++	++	+++
Nose Trachea	++	+	+ +	++	++	+ +	++	+ +	1 1	+ +	+	++	++	++	++	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+	++	+ +	+ +
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderian gland Zymbal gland Carcinoma	-	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+		м +	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	+++	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Renal tubule, adenoma Urinary bladder	+	+	+++	+	+++	++	++	++	+ A	++	++	++	+	++	+++	++	++	+ +	+	+++	++	++	++	+++	+ +
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear Lymphoma malignant Lymphoma malignant histiocytic Mesothelioma malignant	+	+	*	+	*x	*	* x	* x	+	*	+	+	+	*	*	* x	*	* x	x x	+	+	* x	+	* x	+

# TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: CHAMBER CONTROL (Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	TOTAL:
CARCASS ID	0 3 1	0 4 1	0 5 1	0 6 1	0 8 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 7 1	1 9 1	2 3 1	$\frac{2}{5}$ 1	2 6 1	2 7 1	2 8 1	2 9 1	3 0 1	3 1 1	3 2 1	3 3 1	3 6 1	3 7 1	3 9 1	4 4 1	4 5 1	4 8 1	4 9 1	TISSUES TUMORS
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	++++++	+++++++	+ + + + + + M	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+++++++	+ + + + + M	+ + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + M	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + M	++++++	+++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	50 50 50 47 50 39
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Skin Basal cell carcinoma Trichoepithelioma Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma, multiple	+++	м + Х	+ +	М +	+ +	M +	M +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	M +	+ +	M +	M +	M M	M +	+ +	+ + X	M +	M + X	M +	M +	+	+ +	+ + X	21 1 49 1 1 1 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma, NOS Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland Spinal cord	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic,	++++	+ + X	++	++++	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	49 50 4
liver Squamous cell carcinoma Nose Trachea	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	X + +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	1 1 50 49
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderian gland Zymbal gland Carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+++	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48 7 2 2
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Renal tubule, adenoma Urinary bladder	+++	++	+	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	+ X +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	50 1 49
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear Lymphoma malignant Lymphoma malignant histiocytic Mesothelioma malignant	+ x	+	* x	* X	x x	* x	+	+	* X	+	+	* x	+ X	+	+	* X	* x	*	* x	+	+	*	* X	* x	*	50 29 1 1 1
TABLE A2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMA	AL TUMOR PATHOL	OGY OF MALI	RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR																						
-----------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------------------																						
	I	NHALATION STUDY	( OF CS2: 0.078	i mg/m <sup>3</sup>																						

DAYS ON STUDY	4 3 1	4 8 5	5 5 3	5 6 0	5 7 1	5 7 1	5 8 6	5 9 8	6 3 1	6 3 9	6 4 8	6 5 5	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 7 4	6 7 8	6 8 7	6 8 7	6 9 0	7 0 2		7 0 2	7 1 1
CARCASS ID		1 4 4 1	1 1 4 1	1 0 1 1	1 2 8 1	1 4 0 1	1 0 4 1	1 0 9 1	1 0 3 1	1 0 6 1	1 2 3 1	1 4 2 1	1 1 3 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       2 \\       7 \\       1     \end{array} $	1 3 4 1	1 4 7 1	$\frac{1}{2}$ 4 1	$     \frac{1}{2}     \frac{2}{1}   $	1 2 9 1	1 3 7 1	1 5 0 1	1 1 7 1		1 2 5 1	1 2 6 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine large Intestine large, cocum Intestine large, cocum Intestine large, cocum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, jejunum Intestine small, jejunum Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Neoplastic nordule Mesentery Pancreas Pharynz Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach Stomach, glandular Tooth	+ + M + + + + M A + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ <b>A</b> + <b>M</b> ++ <b>AA</b> + + ++++	++++++++++ + ++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ <b>A</b> ++++ <b>AA</b> + + ++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++M+++++ + ++++	+++++++++ + +++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++ <b>A</b> + + ++++	++A+AAAAA+ + ++++A	+++++++AM+ + +++++	++I++IIII + + +++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++ II + + ++++	+ A A A A + + A A + + + + + + + + + + +
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenai gland, cortex Adrenai gland, cortex Adrenai gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma benign Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign Islets, pancreatic Adenoma Carcinoma Parathyroid gland Adenoma Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Thyroid gland Bilateral, C-cell, adenoma C-cell, adenoma C-cell, adenoma C-cell, adenoma C-cell, adenoma Follicular cell, adenoma Follicular cell, adenoma Follicular cell, adenoma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ + + X +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++ + M + +	++++ + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ + + X + + + X + +	+++ + + +	+++ + + + X +	++++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ +	++++ +++ X +	+++++ +++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++ + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + X + + + X + + X	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + X A	+++ + + +	++++ ++ + + X +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + X + X + X + X + X + X + X + X +	+ + + M + + + + X	+ + + + + + M + X + X	++ M ++ ++ +
GENTTAL SYSTEM Epididymis Preputial gland Adenoma Carrinoma Prostate Seminal vesicle Testes Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma Interstitial cell, adenoma	+++++++	M + + +	++ + +	+ + + + X	+ + + + X	+ + + X + + X	++ + + X	+ + + + X	+++++++	++ + +	+ + + + X	+ + + +	+ + + X	++ + + +	+ + + + x	+ + + + x	+ + + + X	++ + X	+ + + + X	+++ + X	++ + +	++++ ++ X	+ + + + X	+ M + + + X	+ + + + + X

TABLE A2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF	MALE	RATS:	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
				(Continued	l)				-

DAVO																										<b>.</b>
DAYS ON STUDY	1	2	2	3	$\frac{7}{3}$	3	7 4	7 4	$\frac{7}{5}$	7 5	$\frac{7}{5}$	7 5	7 5	$\frac{7}{5}$	7 5	$5^{7}$	7 5	7 5	$\frac{7}{5}$	7 5 2	7 5	7 5 2	$\frac{7}{5}$	7 5	$\frac{7}{5}$	
	4	4	8	0	<b>2</b>	7	1	4	2	2	2	2	2	<b>2</b>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	$\tilde{2}$	2	2	TOTAL:
CARCASS	1	1	1 3	1	1	1 3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	TISSUES
ID	1	4 3	2	5	3 0	3 5	4 5	3 9	$^{0}_{2}$	0 5	0 7	0 8	1 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 6	$\frac{1}{8}$	1 9	$^{2}_{0}$	3 3	3 6	3 8	4 1	4 6	4 8	4 9	TUMORS
	1	ĩ	ī	1	ĺ	ĩ	ĩ	ĭ	ī	1	i	ĭ	ĩ	ĩ	ĩ	ĭ	ĭ	ĭ	ĭ	ĭ	ĩ	î	ĩ	ĭ	ĭ	
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM																									·	
Esophagus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+																		33
Intestine large Intestine large, cecum	+++	+++	++	++++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ I	+											+							33
Intestine large, colon	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	÷											+ M							26 32
Intestine large, rectum Intestine small	++++	+++	+++	+++	+	+	+	+											+							30
Intestine small, duodenum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+											+ +							32 30
Intestine small, ileum	+	+	I	+	A	+	+	+											+							22
Intestine small, jejunum Liver	++++	++	A +	+	+++	++	A +	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1	+	+	Ŧ	+	-	+	+	4	18 50
Hepatocellular adenoma	1					x				x		i.	,	,	,	,	,	ì	1	1	,	,	T			2
Neoplastic nodule Mesentery														+								X +				1 3
Pancreas	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+						+								+				33
Pharynx Seliyam glanda						,																				1
Salivary glands Stomach	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++	++	++																		33 33
Stomach, forestomach	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	÷																		33
Stomach, glandular Tooth	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+																		32 1
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+			+												+			35
																			_		_	_	T			30
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland	+	-			+	-		-			+															50
Adrenal gland, cortex	+++++	+	+ +	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++++	+	+	+	++++	+ + +	÷	+	+	++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	50
Adrenal gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma benign	x +	+	× X	+	+	+	* X	+	* X	+	* X	+	М	x x	+	М	+	+	* X	+	+	$\mathbf{x}^+$	+ +	+ + X	+	46
Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign	~		л	х			л	х	л		л	х		A					х	х		х		x		12 5
Islets, pancreatic Adenoma	+	+	x+	+	+	+	+	+				-			* X		+	+								36
Carcinoma			л												X		х	X								5
Parathyroid gland	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+												+						32
Adenoma Pituitary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+		4	<u>ـ</u> ـ	±			X +	+				+	43
Pars distalis, adenoma	x	X		x		x		x	x		x	x	x			x	x			x	x				x	25
Pars distalis, carcinoma Thyroid gland					X																					3
Bilateral, C-cell, adenoma	1	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x +	+	+	49 1
C-cell, adenoma	X	Х		Х		77	х	Х						Х												8
C-cell, carcinoma Follicular cell, adenoma						х																	х			1
Follicular cell, carcinoma																	Х						4			1
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM		-																								
None	1																									1
GENITAL SYSTEM										- <u></u>																
Epididymis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+																		$32 \\ 48$
Preputial gland Adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	*	48 2
Carcinoma	1																		A						л	
Prostate Seminal vesicle	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+																	34
	1			-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		4	+		+		+	+	+	+	÷	+ +	3 47
Testes	+	+																								
	+ X	x+	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		X	* X	$\mathbf{x}^+$	X	,		+ X		x	x	x	x	x	x	26 12

DAYS ON STUDY	4 3 1	4 8 5	5 5 3	5 6 0	5 7 1	5 7 1	5 8 6	5 9 8	6 3 1	6 3 9	6 4 8	6 5 5	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 7 4	6 7 8	6 8 7	6 8 7	6 9 0	7 0 2	7 0 2	7 0 2	7 1 1
CARCASS ID		1 4 4 1	1 1 4 1	1 0 1 1	$\frac{1}{2}$ 8 1	1 4 0 1	1 0 4 1	1 0 9 1	1 0 3 1	1 0 6 1	1 2 3 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       4 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	1 1 3 1	$\frac{1}{2}$ 7 1	1 3 4 1	1 4 7 1	$\frac{1}{2}$ 4 1		1 2 9 1	1 3 7 1	1 5 0 1	1 1 7 1	$\frac{1}{2}$ 1 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       2 \\       5 \\       1     \end{array} $	1 2 6 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Carcinoma, metastatic, thyroid gland Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	+ + M + + M	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + I	+ + + + M	++++++	+ + + I + +	+ + + + + M	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + M + M	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + M + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + <b>A</b> +	+ + M + + + +	+ + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + M	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + M + +	+ + M + + +
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Skin Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma	M +	++++	+ +	M +	M +	+	M + X	+ +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M + X	+++	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	+ +	+ +	M +	M +	M +	M +
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma, NOS Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland Carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal gland	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	*	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Squamous ceil carcinoma Squamous ceil carcinoma Nose Trachea	++++++	+++++	+++++	A + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+ + X + +	+++++	+ + +	++ ++ ++	+++++	A A + A	++++	+++++	+ + + 1	+++++	+++++	+++++	A + +
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Zymbal gland Carcinoma		+ + X											+												
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Renal tubule, adenoma Urinary bladder		+ +	+++	++	++	+ +	+ +	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ A	++	+ I	+ +	++	++	++	++
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear Mesothelioma benign Mesothelioma malignant	+	+	* x	+ X	* X	* X	+ X	+ X	+ X	* X	+	+	* X	* X	x x	* X	* X	+	+	* X	x x	, x	*	x <sup>+</sup>	* X

# TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 0.075 mg/m³(Continued)

												·														
DAYS ON STUDY	7 1 4	7 2 4	7 2 8	7 3 0	$     \frac{7}{3}     2 $	7 3 7	7 4 1	7 4 4	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	$7 \\ 5 \\ 2$	${}^{7}_{5}_{2}$		7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2		TOTAL:
CARCASS ID	1 1 0 1	1 4 3 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       3 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	1 1 5 1	1 3 0 1	1 3 5 1	1 4 5 1	1 3 9 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       0 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	1 0 5 1	1 0 7 1	1 0 8 1	1 1 1 1		1 1 6 1	1 1 8 1	1 1 9 1		1 3 3 1	1 3 6 1	1 3 8 1	1 4 1 1	1 4 6 1	1 4 8 1	1 4 9 1	TISSUES
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Carcinoma, metastatic, thyroid gland Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + M + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + 1 + + +	+ + + + + M	+++X++++	+++++++	+++ ++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	33 35 29 1 27 49 26
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Skin Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma	M +	+++	M +	+++	M M	++++	+++	M +																		
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+											-							33
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma, NOS Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland Carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal gland	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+																		33 1 2 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Squamous cell carcinoma Nose Trachea	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+ + X + +	++++++	++++++	+++++++	++++++	++++++	++	+ X +	++	++	+ +	+ X +	++	++	++	+ +	+	++	+ X +	+	++	++	+	30 49 2 2 1 50 29
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Zymbal gland Carcinoma			+								+					+									+	6 1 1
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Renal tubule, adenoma Urinary bladder	++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+		+	+	+	+		ı	+		+	+	+	+	-	* X		44 1 31
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear Mesothelioma benign Mesothelioma malignant	+	+ X	* X	+	*	+	* X	*	*x	+	+ X	+ X X	+	+	* X	* X	* X	+	* X	x <sup>+</sup>	* x	+	* x	* X	* X	50 35 1 2

## TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 0.075 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

## TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2: $0.25 \text{ mg/m}^3$

DAYS ON STUDY	3 8 9	4 3 9	4 5 2	5 2 9	5 2 9	5 3 6	$\frac{5}{7}$ 1	5 7 7	5 8 8	5 9 2	5 9 2	5 9 9	6 2 0	6 4 1	6 6 0	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 7 4	6 8 7	6 8 7	6 9 8	7 0 2	7 0 2	7 0 2
CARCASS ID	0	$2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1$	2 2 7 1	2 3 1 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       3 \\       1 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 3 9 1	2 5 0 1	2 0 1 1	2 1 7 1	2 0 7 1	2 4 8 1	2 1 3 1	2 3 0 1	$2 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 1$	$2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1$	2 0 6 1	2 2 5 1	2 3 7 1	2 3 4 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       2 \\       1 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 4 6 1	$2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 1$	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       0 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 0 3 1	2 4 3 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine large, cocum Intestine large, cocum Intestine large, cocum Intestine small, coconserved Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, jejunum Liver Mesentery Pancreas Pharynx Palate, adenoma Palate, papilloma Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach Stomach, forestomach	+AMAA++AA+ M ++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++MA++++ ++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++I+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ + + + + A AAAA	++++ + ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ A A A M A A A A + + + + + +	++++M+++_I_I++++++++	M++++++++ + ++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++I++I++ + ++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ A A A M + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + A + A + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++ <b>AA</b> + + ++++	+++++ <b>M</b> + <sub>I</sub> I++ + ++++
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Blood vessel Heart	 +	+	A 	+	+	+	+	+	A 	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	 +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, medulla	+ + +	+ + +	+ A +	+ + M	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	A A A	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + I	+ + +	+ + +
Pheochromocytoma malignant Pheochromocytoma benign Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign Islets, pancreatic Adenoma Carcinoma	м	+	X A	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	X +	+	+	X +	+	X +	+	X +	+	X +	X + X	÷	+	X +
Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Pars distalis, carcinoma	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	A A	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ +	м + Х	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	X + X	+ +
Thyroid gland C-ceil, adenoma C-ceil, carcinoma Follicular ceil, adenoma	A	+	М	+	+	+	* X	+	A	* X	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+ x	+	* X
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None	 										•		-												
GENITAL SYSTEM Epididymis Prenis Preputial gland Adenoma Carcinoma Prostate Seminal vesicle	+ + +	M + +	M A A	+ + +	I + +	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ A A	+ + +	+ + X +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+	+ + +	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +
Seminal vesicle Testes Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma Interstitial cell, adenoma	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+ X	+	+ X	+	* X	+ X	+	* X	* X	+	* x	+ X	+ X	+	+ + X	* X	* x	+ + X	*

								(C	011		ucc	.,														
DAYS ON STUDY	7 1 4	7 3 0	7 3 6	7 4 5	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	$7 \\ 5 \\ 1$	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	TOTAL:
CARCASS ID	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       0 \\       5 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 4 7 1	2 1 9 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       3 \\       6 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 0 4 1	$2 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 1$	2 0 9 1	2 1 1 1		2 1 4 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       1 \\       5 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 1 6 1	2 1 8 1	2 2 0 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       2 \\       6 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 2 8 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       2 \\       9 \\       1     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       3 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 3 5 1	2 3 8 1	2 4 0 1	2 4 1 1	2 4 2 1	2 4 5 1	2 4 9 1	TISSUES
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, jejunum Intestine small, jejunum Intestine small, jejunum Liver Mesentery Pharynx Palate, adenoma Palate, adenoma Palate, papilloma Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach Stomach, glandular Tongue	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	I + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ A A A A + + A A + + + + + + + + + + +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+ + + + + +	28 24 24 20 27 23 18 12 49 2 2 6 2 1 1 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 1
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Blood vessel Heart	+	+	+	+++												<u> </u>			+		+					
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma banign Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign Islets, pancreatic Adenoma Carcinoma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + X +	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + + X	+++++	+ + + x	+ + + X X	++++++	+ + X	+ + + X	+ + + X	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + +	++++	+++++	+ + X + X	++++++	+ + + X	+ + +	$ \begin{array}{c}     49 \\     48 \\     1 \\     47 \\     4 \\     10 \\     3 \\     27 \\     2 \\     2 \end{array} $
Parathyroid gland Prathyroid gland Ptruitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Pars distalis, carcinoma Thyroid gland C-cell, adenoma C-cell, carcinoma Folicular cell, adenoma GENERAL BODY SYSTEM	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ x x x	* + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	* * +	+	+	+	+ X +	+	* +	+ x +	+	÷ X	+ X +	+ X +	* X	+ + + X	+ X + X	+	+	+ X +	+	+ X +	+ X +	27 40 25 2 46 7 2 1
GENITAL SYSTEM Epididymis Preputial gland Adenoma Carcinoma Prostate Seminal vesicle Testes Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma Interstitial cell, adenoma	+ + +	+++++	+ + + X	++++++	+ X + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	M + X	+ + X	+ + X	+	+ + X	+ + X	+ X + + X	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X	26 1 46 2 1 27 6 50 26 10

## TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 0.25 mg/m³ (Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	3 8 9	4 3 9	4 5 2	5 2 9	5 2 9	5 3 6	5 7 1	5 7 7	5 8 8	5 9 2	5 9 2	5 9 9	6 2 0	6 4 1	6 6 0	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 7 4	6 8 7	6 8 7	6 9 8	7 0 2	7 0 2	7 0 2
CARCASS ID		2 2 2 1	2 2 7 1	2 3 1 1	2 3 3 1	2 3 9 1	2 5 0 1	2 0 1 1	2 1 7 1	2 0 7 1	2 4 8 1	2 1 3 1	2 3 0 1	2 4 4 1	2 2 3 1	2 0 6 1	2 2 5 1	2 3 7 1	2 3 4 1	2 2 1 1	2 4 6 1	2 2 4 1	2 0 2 1	2 0 3 1	2 4 3 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	A A M A A M	+ + + M + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++++++	+ + + M + + + + +	A + A + + A	++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	A + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + +	+++++++++	++++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary giand Skin Keratoacanthoma Papilloma squamous Sebaceous gland, adenoma	++++	м +	M A	M +	М +	+++	+ +	M +	М +	++++	М +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	M +	M +	м +	м + х	+++	M +	+ +	++++	M +
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Skeletal muscle	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Nose Trachea	A + + +	++ ++ ++	A + A A	++++++	+ + + +	++++++	++++++	++ ++ ++	A + + A	 + + + + +	+++++	++++++	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+++++++	+ + X + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + + +	A + + A	++++++	+ + + +	++++++	++++
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye																+							+		+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidnøy Renal tubule, adenoma Urinary bladder	+++	+++	+ A	+ +	+++	++	+++	+ +	A A	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	++	+ +	+++	+++	+++
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear Mesothelioma malignant	+ X	+	+	+	* x	+ X	* x	* X	* X	+	+	* x	*	* x	* X	* X	*	*	* x	+	+	*	* X	÷	x

#### TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 0.25 mg/m³(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	7 1 4	7 3 0	7 3 6	7 4 5	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	TOTAL:
CARCASS ID	2 0 5 1	2 4 7 1	2 1 9 1	2 3 6 1	2 0 4 1	2 0 8 1	2 0 9 1	2 1 1 1		2 1 4 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       1 \\       5 \\       1     \end{array}   $	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       1 \\       6 \\       1     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       1 \\       8 \\       1     \end{array}   $	$2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1$	2 2 6 1	$2 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 1$	2 2 9 1	$2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1$	2 3 5 1	2 3 8 1	2 4 0 1	2 4 1 1		$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       4 \\       5 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 4 9 1	TUMORS
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spieen Thymus	+ + + + + M	++++++	+ + + + + + + M	+++++++	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	M +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	26 30 25 28 49 25
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Skin Keratoacanthoma Papilloma squamous Sebaceous gland, adenoma	M +	M +	M +	м +							x x			x x												12 30 3 1 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Skeletal muscie	+	+	+	+																						29 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland	+	+	+	+															*							30 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Nose Trachea	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	++++++	+++++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	++	+++	25 50 1 49 26
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye		+			-						·															4
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Renal tubule, adenoma Urinary bladder	++	+++	+++	+ X +		+	+	+		+					+		+	+	+	+		+	<u> </u>		+	39 1 27
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear Mesothelioma malignant	+ X	+	* X	* X	*	+	* X X	*	* X	*	*	*	*	+	+	*	+ X	+	* x	+	+	+	* x	+	+	50 30 3

## TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 0.25 mg/m³ (Continued)

## TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2: 0.75 $\rm mg/m^3$

DAYS ON STUDY	4 7 3	5 2 2	5 7 1	5 7 7	5 8 1	6 0 6		6 2 5	6 2 5	6 3 8	6 4 1	6 5 5	6 6 0	6 6 2	6 6 7	6 7 4	6 9 4	6 9 4	7 1 4	7 1 4	$     \begin{array}{c}       7 \\       3 \\       2     \end{array} $	7 3 3	7 3 9	7 4 2	7 5 0
CARCASS ID	3 4 0 1	3 4 2 1	3 0 8 1	3 4 5 1	3 3 7 1	3 2 3 1	3 4 3 1	3 2 1 1	3 4 9 1	3 1 9 1	$\frac{3}{7}$	3 2 5 1	3 0 3 1	3 1 4 1	3 1 7 1	3 0 5 1	3 0 1 1	3 3 1 1	3 0 4 1	3 1 6 1	3 3 9 1	3 3 8 1	3 4 1 1	3 2 9 1	3 0 2 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM						_																			
Esophagus Intestine large	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ A	+++	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	++	++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++
Intestine large, cecum	+	+	+	+	+	À	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	Α	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	А	+	A	+
Intestine large, colon Intestine large, rectum	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+	+	+++	+++	+++	+	+	++++	+ +	A +	+++	A A	+++	+++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+ м	+++	+++	+++	A +	+
Intestine small	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ă	+	Α	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	÷	Ă	+
Intestine small, duodenum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ą	+	A	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+++	+	+	М	++++	A	+
Intestine small, ileum Intestine small, jejunum	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	A +	+ A	I +	+ A	A A	+ +	+++	+++	++	+ +	A A	++	A A	+++	++	, M	I A	Å	+ +	+++	+ A	, M	A A	+
Liver	+	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+
Neoplastic nodule Mesentery	1				X	+																+			
Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney Mesothelioma malignant, metastatic, testes																						x	Ŧ		
Pancreas	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	А	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+
Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney Mesothelioma malignant, metastatic, testes						x																x			
Pharynx																					+	+			+
Salivary glands Stomach	+	+++	+++	+	+	+ +	+	++++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++++	A +	+ +	+	++	+	+	+++	+	++++	+++	+++	++++
Stomach, forestomach	+++	+	+	+ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	.+ + +	+	+	÷	+
Stomach, glandular	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+
Tongue																					+				
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM																									
Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM																									
Adrenal gland	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++++	+++	+	+++	+ A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adrenal gland, cortex Adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adrenal gland, medulla	+	+	+	+	+	М	М	М	М	+	М	+	I	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	M	М	+	+	+
Pheochromocytoma malignant Pheochromocytoma benign	x		х							х				x	x		х						X	х	
Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign	<b>^</b>		A											A	Λ		л						л	A.	
Islets, pancreatic Adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Parathyroid gland	м	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pituitary gland	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	* X	+ + X	+ A	* X	* X	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+
Pars distalis, adenoma Pars distalis, carcinoma							X	X		X		х	X		х	х			х			х	х		x
Thyroid gland	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	Α	+
C-cell, adenoma Follicular cell, adenoma				х						х			X										х		
,	1																								
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None																									
GENITAL SYSTEM											· · ·														
Epididymis Penis	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	М	٣	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+
Penis Preputial gland	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenoma		•-								x															
Carcinoma Prostate	+	X +	<b>.</b>	<u>н</u>	<u>ـ</u>	Ŧ	4	4	4	+	+		Ŧ		Ŧ	÷	4	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Seminal vesicle	1 +		Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ		7	+	- <b>7</b> *	7'	+	-	Ŧ	- T
Testes	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma Interstitial cell, adenoma	x	х	x	х	х	х	х	х			х			х			х	x	х	х	x	x	х	X	X
•	1			-			-	-																	

## TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 0.75 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

			_																							
DAYS ON STUDY	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	TOTAL:
CARCASS ID	3 0 6 1	3 0 7 1	3 0 9 1	3 1 0 1	3 1 1 1	3 1 2 1	3 1 3 1	3 1 5 1	3 1 8 1	3 2 0 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       3 \\       2 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	3 2 4 1	3 2 6 1	3 2 8 1	3 3 0 1	3 3 2 1	3 3 3 1	3 3 4 1	3 5 1	3 3 6 1	3 4 4 1	3 4 6 1	3 4 7 1	3 4 8 1	3 5 0 1	TISSUES TUMORS
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine iarge, cecum Intestine iarge, colon Intestine iarge, rectum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, iduudenum Intestine small, jejunum Liver Neoplastic nodule Masentery Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney	M + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	M++++++++	+++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++ X	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++X+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++M++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	48 49 44 46 45 47 46 43 39 50 2 4 1
Mesothelioma malignant, metastatic, testes Pancreas Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney Mesothelioma malignant, metastatic,	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	48 1
testes Pharynx Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach Stomach, glandular Tongue	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	.+ + + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	1 49 50 50 49 1
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma malignant Pheochromocytoma benign Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign Isiets, pancreatic Adrenoma Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adrenoma Pars distalis, adrenoma Thyroid gland C-ceil, adronma Follicular cell, adenoma GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None	+ + M + + + X	+ + + + X + + X + + X + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + +	+ + X + + + X + +	+++ ++ *+ X +	++ + + M+ +	+ + + M + X + X +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + M + + + X +	+ + + + + X + + M +	+ + + + X + + + + X	+ + + + + + + + X + +	+ + + + + + + + + X + +	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + M + X + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + X + + + +	+ + + + + + + X + X	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + M + X + +	50 49 1 38 3 12 1 48 2 41 47 25 2 48 6 1
GENITAL SYSTEM Epididymis Penis Preputial gland Adenoma Carcinoma Prostate Seminal vesicle Testes Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma Interstitial cell, adenoma	+ + + + <b>*</b> *	+ + + x	+ + + x	+ + + X	+ + + X	+ + + + + X	+ + + X	+ + + x	+ + + x	I + + x	I + + x	+ + x + x	+ + + x	+ + + x	+ + + + *	+ + + + + + +	+ + + X	+ + + x	I + + X	+ + + X	++++++	+ + + X	+ + X + X	I + + X	+ + + +	42 1 50 3 1 50 8 50 30 11

,

#### TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 0.75 mg/m³(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	4 7 3	5 2 2	5 7 1	5 7 7	5 8 1	6 0 6	6 1 2	6 2 5	6 2 5	6 3 8	6 4 1	6 5 5	6 6 0	6 6 2	6 6 7	6 7 4	6 9 4	6 9 4	7 1 4	7 1 4	7 3 2	7 3 3	7 3 9	7 4 2	7 5 0
CARCASS ID	3 4 0 1	3 4 2 1	3 0 8 1	3 4 5 1	3 3 7 1	3 2 3 1	3 4 3 1	3 2 1 1	3 4 9 1	3 1 9 1	3 2 7 1	3 2 5 1	3 0 3 1	3 1 4 1	3 1 7 1	3 0 5 1	3 0 1 1	3 3 1 1	3 0 4 1	3 1 6 1	3 3 9 1	3 3 8 1	3 4 1 1	3 2 9 1	3 0 2 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney Mesothelioma malignant, metastatic,	++++++	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+++++	++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	++++	A A A	++++	+ + +	++++	+++++	+ + M	+ + +	++++	+ + X	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +
testes Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Mesothelioma malignant, metastatic, testes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	x + + x +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + M	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	I + +	A + A	+++++	+ + +	+ + M	+ + +	+++++	+ + M	+ + M	+ + M	+ + M	+ + +	+
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Skin Keratoacanthoma	M +	+ +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	+ + X	M +	M +	M +	M +	+ +	м +	+ +	M +	M +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	M +	++
Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteosarcoma Skeletal muscle	+ x	+	+	+	X +	+	× +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	++	+	+	+
Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland Oligodendroglioma malignant Meninges, carcinoma, metastatic,	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	× +	+	+ x	+
Zymbal gland RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney Carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal gland	++++	+++++	+ +	++++	+++	++++	+ +	x + + x	+++	++++	+++	++++++	++++	A +	+++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++++	M + X	+++	+++	+++
Mesothelioma malignant, metastatic, testes Pheochromocytoma malignant, metastatic, adrenal gland Nose Submucosa, adenocarcinoma Vomeronasal organ, squamous cell	+	÷	÷	÷	+	x +	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
carcinoma Trachea SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	м	+	+	+
Eye Harderian gland Adenocarcinoma Zymbal gland Carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Renal tubule, carcinoma Urinary bladder	++++++	+ +	++	++	++	+ +	+++	++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	+++	+ A	+++	++	+++	+ +	++	+++	+ +	* * +	+ +	++	+++
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear Mesothelioma malignant	+	+	+	* X	* X	+ X	* X	+	*	+	* X	+	+	* x	*	* x	*	* X	+	*	* X	+	+	+	+

## TABLE A2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: 0.75 mg/m³ (Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	TOTAL:
CARCASS ID	3 0 6 1	3 0 7 1	3 0 9 1	3 1 0 1	3 1 1 1	3 1 2 1	3 1 3 1	3 1 5 1	3 1 8 1	3 2 0 1	3 2 2 1	3 2 4 1	3 2 6 1	3 2 8 1	3 3 0 1	3 3 2 1	3 3 3 1	3 3 4 1	3 3 5 1	3 6 1	3 4 4 1	3 4 6 1	3 4 7 1	3 4 8 1	3 5 0 1	TISSUES
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney Mesothelioma malignant, metastatic,	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + M	+ + +	49 49 47 1
testes Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Mesothelioma malignant, metastatic.	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	I Ŧ	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	М +	1 46 50
testes Thymus	+	÷	М	+	+	+	+	М	М	+	+	+	м	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 39
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Skin Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma	++++	+	+ +	M +	<b>x</b> +	+ +	M +	M +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ + X	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	+	+ +	+ +	I + X	++	+ +	24 1 50 3 3
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteosarcoma Skeletal muscle Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	. +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary giand Oligodendroglioma malignant Meninges, carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal giand	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Jung Carcinoma, metastatic, kidney Carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal gland Mesothelioma malignant, metastatic, testes	+++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	++++	+ +	++++	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+ +	48 50 1 1 1
Pheochromocytoma malignant, metastatic, adrenal gland Nose Submucosa, adenocarcinoma	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+ x	÷	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	1 50 1
Vomeronasal organ, squamous cell carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 47
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eyee farderian gland Adenocarcinoma Yymbal gland Carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+	49 1 1 1 1
U <b>RINARY SYSTEM</b> Kidney Renal tubule, carcinoma Urinary bladder	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+	+++	+++	++	+++	++++	+++	+++	50 1 49
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear Mesothelioma malignant	* X	+	* X	+ X	+ X	* X	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	* X	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	* X	+	+ X	+	* X	+	+	50 28 1

	Chamber Control	0.075 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m
Adrenal Medulla: Benign Pheochro	mocvtoma		<u></u>	
Overall Rates (a)	18/42 (43%)	17/46 (37%)	13/47 (28%)	13/38 (34%
Adjusted Rates (b)	60.6%	67.3%	43.3%	39.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	12/23 (52%)	8/15 (53%)	6/21 (29%)	6/23 (26%)
Day of First Observation	585	586	599	473
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.092N	P = 0.257	P = 0.296N	P = 0.193N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.218N	P = 0.483N	P = 0.124N	P = 0.241 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.308N			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.364N	P = 0.100 N	P = 0.287 N
Adrenal Medulla: Malignant Pheoc	hromocytoma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/42 (10%)	0/46 (0%)	4/47 (9%)	3/38 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	17.4%	0.0%	14.3%	10.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/23 (17%)	0/15 (0%)	2/21 (10%)	2/23 (9%)
Day of First Observation	749		452	638
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.554	P = 0.125N	P = 0.602	P = 0.490N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.426	P = 0.125N	P = 0.596N	P = 0.520N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.403			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.048N	P = 0.578N	P = 0.557 N
Adrenal Medulla: Benign, Complex	, or Malignant Pheoch	romocytoma		
Overall Rates (a)	20/42 (48%)	17/46 (37%)	16/47 (34%)	15/38 (39%
Adjusted Rates (b)	65.0%	67.3%	49.8%	44.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	13/23 (57%)	8/15 (53%)	7/21 (33%)	7/23 (30%)
Day of First Observation	569	586	452	473
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.126N	P = 0.392	P = 0.385N	P = 0.196N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.329N	P = 0.278N	P = 0.161N	P = 0.267 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.412N	1 -0.21011	1 -0.10110	1 - 0.20111
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.41211	P = 0.213N	P = 0.139N	P = 0.306 N
Preputial Gland: Adenoma				
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	2/48 (4%)	2/46 (4%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.1%	11.8%	10.0%	9.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/26 (4%)	2/17 (12%)	2/20 (10%)	2/26 (8%)
Day of First Observation	463	749	749	638
		-	P = 0.568N	P = 0.637 N
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.588	P = 0.603N P = 0.524N		P = 0.637 N P = 0.606
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.532	P = 0.534N	P = 0.538N	F - 0.000
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.527	P = 0.520N	P = 0.540N	P = 0.661 N
Preputial Gland: Adenoma or Carc	inomo			
Overall Rates (a)		2/10 (60)	2/16 (79-)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3/50 (6%) 8.1%	3/48 (6%)	3/46 (7%) 12,2%	4/50(8%)
Terminal Rates (c)		13.7%	2/20 (10%)	2/26 (8%)
Day of First Observation	1/26 (4%)	2/17 (12%) 571	2/20 (10%) 592	522
Life Table Tests (d)	463 D - 0 490	571 P=0.581	P = 0.608	P = 0.528
	P = 0.490 P = 0.380	P = 0.581 P = 0.620	P = 0.608 P = 0.624	P = 0.328 P = 0.388
Logistic Regression Tests (d) Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)		r = 0.020	r = 0.024	r - 0.300
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.423	P = 0.641	P=0.621	P = 0.500
Pancreatic Islets: Adenoma				
	1 (50 (90)	S 506 (140)	(a m) 9/97 (70)	9/10 (10)
Overall Rates (a)		f) 5/36 (14%)	(e,g) 2/27 (7%)	$\frac{2}{48}(4\%)$
Adjusted Rates (b)	3.7%			7.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/26 (0%)			2/26 (8%)
Day of First Observation	747			749
Life Table Test (d)				P = 0.496
Logistic Regression Test (d)				P = 0.501 P = 0.485
Fisher Exact Test (d)				

## TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATIONSTUDY OF CS2

	Chamber Control	0.075 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule or Hepato	cellular Adenoma		<u> </u>	
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	0/49 (0%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.7%	16.2%	0.0%	5.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/26 (8%)	2/17 (12%)	0/21 (0%)	1/26 (4%)
Day of First Observation	749		0/21 (0%)	581
		737	D-0 SecN	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.480N	P=0.318	P = 0.286N	P = 0.679N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.516N	P=0.367	P = 0.286N	P = 0.682N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.549N	D 0 800	D 0.050N	D 0 001 M
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.253N	P = 0.691 N
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule, Hepatocel	lular Adenoma, or H	epatocellular Car	cinoma	
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)	0/49 (0%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	13.4%	16.2%	0.0%	5.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/26 (12%)	2/17 (12%)	0/21 (0%)	1/26 (4%)
Day of First Observation	508	737		581
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.252N	P = 0.623	P = 0.086N	P = 0.324N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.289N	P = 0.500N	P = 0.066N	P = 0.350N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.302N	1 -0.00011	1 = 0.00011	1 -0.00011
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.0021	P = 0.500 N	P = 0.061 N	P = 0.339N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenon				
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	2/49 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.4%	10.0%	2.9%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/26 (15%)	1/17 (6%)	0/21 (0%)	0/26 (0%)
Day of First Observation	749	728	667	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.044 N	P = 0.528N	P = 0.232N	P = 0.061 N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.045N	P = 0.455N	P = 0.200N	P = 0.061N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.052N	1 -0.40014	1 = 0.20014	1 -0.00110
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.0021	P = 0.349N	P = 0.181N	P = 0.059 N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenon				
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	4/49 (8%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.4%	17.8%	2.9%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/26 (15%)	2/17 (12%)	0/21 (0%)	0/26 (0%)
Day of First Observation	749	667	667	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.024N	P = 0.453	P = 0.232N	P = 0.061 N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.024 N	P = 0.589	P = 0.200N	P = 0.061 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.029N	1 -0.000	1 - 0.20011	1 -0.00110
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.02514	P = 0.631	P = 0.181N	P = 0.059 N
		1 01001		
Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Aden				~~//- /
Overall Rates (a)	25/47 (53%)	25/43 (58%)	25/40 (63%)	25/47 (53%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	67.4%	94.2%	89.2%	69.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	14/25 (56%)	9/10 (90%)	10/12 (83%)	15/25 (60%)
Day of First Observation	438	485	389	612
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.181 N	P=0.050	P = 0.085	P = 0.525N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.382N	P=0.375	P = 0.252	P = 0.547 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.447N		• • • • • •	- 0.01,11
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.44711	P=0.398	P = 0.256	P = 0.582N
		- 0.000		
Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Carci			A // A . =	
Overall Rates (a)	1/47 (2%)	3/43 (7%)	2/40 (5%)	2/47 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.8%	9.6%	16.7%	8.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/25(0%)	0/10 (0%)	2/12 (17%)	2/25 (8%)
Day of First Observation	655	553	749	749
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.590N	P = 0.297	P = 0.335	P = 0.509
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.608N	P = 0.277	P = 0.390	P = 0.503
	P = 0.603	1-0.211	1 - 0.000	1 -0.011
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.003	D-0.975	D-0 400	
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.275	P = 0.439	P = 0.500

#### TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

•

	Chamber Control	0.075 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Aden	oma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	26/47 (55%)	28/43 (65%)	27/40 (68%)	27/47 (57%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	68.3%	94.7%	100.0%	75.9%
			12/12 (100%)	17/25 (68%)
Terminal Rates (c)	14/25 (56%)	9/10 (90%)		
Day of First Observation	438	485	389	612
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.170N	P = 0.029	P = 0.049	P = 0.546
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.375N	P = 0.218	P = 0.160	P = 0.544
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.453N			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.232	P = 0.174	P = 0.500
kin: Keratoacanthoma				
Overall Rates (h)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	2.3%	12.1%	9.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/26 (0%)	0/17 (0%)	2/21 (10%)	2/26 (8%)
Day of First Observation	0/20 (0 %)	586	667	638
	D 0145			
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.145	P = 0.529	P = 0.100	P = 0.130
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.113	P = 0.461	P=0.111	P = 0.128
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.107			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.121	P = 0.121
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma				
Overall Rates (h)	2/50 (4%)	1/50(2%)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.7%	2.6%	0.0%	7.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/26 (8%)	0/17 (0%)	0/21(0%)	0/26 (0%)
Day of First Observation	749	667	0,21(0,0)	581
			D-0.996N	P = 0.537
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.306	P = 0.585N	P = 0.286N	
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.248	P = 0.506N	P = 0.286N	P = 0.486
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.256	P = 0.500 N	P = 0.247 N	P = 0.500
Festis: Interstitial Cell Adenoma				
	01 (50 (090))	00/4m (010/)	96/E0 (790)	41/50 (82%
Overall Rates (a)	31/50 (62%)	38/47 (81%)	36/50 (72%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	83.2%	97.0%	97.1%	93.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	20/26 (77%)	13/14 (93%)	20/21 (95%)	23/26 (88%
Day of First Observation	508	560	529	473
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.465	P = 0.004	P = 0.063	P = 0.096
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.137	P = 0.033	P = 0.150	P = 0.041
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.069			
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 01000	P = 0.033	P = 0.198	P = 0.022
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma				
Overall Rates (a)	2/48 (4%)	9/49 (18%)	7/46 (15%)	6/48 (13%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.7%	35.5%	22.8%	17.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/26 (8%)	2/17 (12%)	2/20 (10%)	2/26 (8%)
				577
Day of First Observation	749	702	571	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.543	P = 0.009	P = 0.060	P = 0.157
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.450	P = 0.019	P = 0.071	P = 0.139
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.428			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.028	P = 0.070	P = 0.134
Fhyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma or	Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/48(4%)	10/49 (20%)	9/46 (20%)	6/48 (13%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.7%	38.7%	28.0%	17.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/26 (8%)	2/17 (12%)	2/20 (10%)	2/26 (8%)
Day of First Observation	749	702	571	577
				-
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.520N	P = 0.005	P = 0.021	P = 0.157
	12-11 591	P = 0.010	P = 0.023	P = 0.139
Logistic Regression Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.521 P = 0.496	F = 0.010	1 -0.020	1 -0.100

#### TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

	Chamber Control	0.075 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclea	r Leukemia			
Overall Rates (h)	29/50 (58%)	35/50 (70%)	30/50 (60%)	28/50 (56%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	71.3%	86.0%	73.4%	72.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	15/26 (58%)	12/17 (71%)	11/21 (52%)	16/26 (62%)
Day of First Observation	508	553	389	577
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.134N	P = 0.054	P = 0.311	P = 0.440N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.215N	P = 0.155	P = 0.493	P = 0.453N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.242N			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.149	P = 0.500	P = 0.500 N
All Sites: Mesothelioma				
Overall Rates (h)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3.8%	12.8%	11.5%	2.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/26 (4%)	1/17 (6%)	2/21 (10%)	0/26 (0%)
Day of First Observation	749	690	536	606
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.360 N	P = 0.216	P = 0.252	P = 0.742N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.407 N	P = 0.288	P = 0.300	P = 0.756
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.414N			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.309	P = 0.309	P = 0.753N
All Sites: Benign Tumors				
Overall Rates (h)	<b>46/50 (92%</b> )	45/50 (90%)	47/50 (94%)	48/50 (96%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	98.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	26/26 (100%)	17/17 (100%)	21/21 (100%)	25/26 (96%)
Day of First Observation	438	485	389	473
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.319N	P = 0.124	P = 0.197	P = 0.536
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.272	P = 0.405N	P = 0.478	P = 0.412
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.200			D 0.000
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500N	P = 0.500	P = 0.339
All Sites: Malignant Tumors	00/00 (000)	10(50(010))		
Overall Rates (h)	33/50 (66%)	42/50 (84%)	37/50 (74%)	37/50 (74%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	79.6%	95.2%	81.5%	81.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	18/26 (69%) 508	15/17 (88%) 485	13/21 (62%)	18/26 (69%) 473
Day of First Observation Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.282N	P = 0.014	389 P=0.165	P = 0.400
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.282 N P = 0.535	P = 0.014 P = 0.035	P = 0.165 P = 0.254	P = 0.400 P = 0.264
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.535 P = 0.532	F = 0.035	F = 0.254	F = 0.204
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.002	P = 0.032	P = 0.257	P = 0.257
All Sites: All Tumors				
Overall Rates (h)	50/50 (100%)	49/50 (98%)	50/50 (100%)	50/50 (100%
Adjusted Rates (b)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	26/26 (100%)	17/17 (100%)	21/21(100%)	26/26 (100%
Day of First Observation	438	485	389	473
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.215N	P = 0.151	P = 0.254	P = 0.454N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.797	P = 0.282N	P = 5.000	P = 5.000
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.576			. 0.000
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500 N	P = 1.000 N	P = 1.000 N

#### TABLE A3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined microscopically at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence in animals killed at the end of the study

(e) Incomplete sampling of tissues

(f) A carcinoma was observed in an additional animal.

(g) Carcinomas were observed in two additional animals.

(h) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined grossly at the site

<sup>(</sup>d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group than in controls is indicated by (N).

		Incidence in Controls									
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma								
Historical Incidence for Cha	mber Controls at Battelle F	acific Northwest La	iboratories								
Propylene oxide	1/44	0/44	1/44								
Methyl methacrylate	2/50	2/50	4/50								
Propylene	2/45	2/45	4/45								
1,2-Epoxybutane	4/49	0/49	4/49								
Dichloromethane	1/49	1/49	2/49								
Tetrachloroethylene	3/47	4/47	7/47								
Bromoethane	4/46	0/46	4/46								
TOTAL	17/330 (5.2%)	9/330 (2.7%)	26/330 (7.9%)								
SD(b)	2.68%	3.18%	4.02%								
Range (c)											
High	4/46	4/47	7/47								
Low	1/49	0/49	1/44								
Overall Historical Incidence	for Untreated Controls in I	NTP Studies									
TOTAL	155/1,576 (9.8%)	51/1,576 (3.2%)	205/1,576 (13.0%)								
SD (b)	5.94%	3.70%	6.55%								
Range (c)											
High	11/49	6/49	15/50								
Low	0/49	0/50	1/50								

## TABLE A4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND C-CELL NEOPLASMS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

(a) Data as of March 1, 1989, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

#### TABLE A4b. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TESTICULAR INTERSTITIAL CELL NEOPLASMS IN MALE F344/N RATS (a)

Study	Incidence of Interstitial Cell Tumors in Controls	
Historical Incidence for Chamber	Controls at Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories	
Propylene oxide	29/49	
Methyl methacrylate	35/50	
Propylene	37/50	
1,2-Epoxybutane	39/50	
Dichloromethane	39/50	
Tetrachloroethylene	35/50	
Bromoethane	42/48	
TOTAL	256/347 (73.8%)	
<b>SD</b> (b)	8.81%	
Range (c)		
High	42/48	
Low	29/49	
Overall Historical Incidence for U	intreated Controls in NTP Studies	
TOTAL	1,401/1,582 (88.6%)	
SD(b)	7.33%	
Range (c)		
High	49/49	
Low	32/50	

(a) Data as of March 1, 1989, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Standard deviation
(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

	Chamber	Control	0.075	mg/m³	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	i mg/m <sup>3</sup>
SPOSITION SUMMARY								
nimals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
arly deaths			•••				•••	
Ioribund	19		27		21		20	
Dead	5		6		8		4	
urvivors								
Ferminal sacrifice	26		17		21		26	
nimals examined microscopically	50		50		50		50	
IMENTARY SYSTEM	<u></u>	<u> </u>						
Esophagus	(49)		(33)		(28)		(48)	
Inflammation, suppurative	(40)		(00)			(4%)	(10)	
Intestine large, cecum	(44)		(26)		(21)	(1,0)	(44)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	(40)		(21)		()	
Inflammation, suppurative	2	(5%)						
Parasite metazoan		(9%)			1	(5%)	6	(14%)
Intestine large, colon	(48)	,	(32)		(24)		(46)	·/
Parasite metazoan	· · ·	(13%)		(22%)		(13%)		(17%)
Intestine large, rectum	(45)		(30)	,	(20)		(45)	
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)	,					
Parasite metazoan	3	(7%)	2	(7%)			4	(9%)
Ulcer	1	(2%)						
Intestine small, ileum	(42)		(22)		(18)		(43)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	9	(21%)					4	(9%)
Parasite metazoan	1	(2%)						
Intestine small, jejunum	(41)		(18)		(12)		(39)	
Parasite metazoan							1	(3%)
Liver	(50)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Angiectasis	3	(6%)		(10%)		(16%)	3	(6%)
Basophilic focus	17	(34%)	7	,	10	(20%)		(46%)
Clear cell focus	9	(18%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)		(8%)
Congestion							1	(2%)
Degeneration	3	(6%)				(4%)		
Degeneration, cystic	1	(2%)		(14%)		(14%)		(6%)
Degeneration, fatty	16	(32%)	24	(48%)	11	(22%)		(20%)
Eosinophilic focus		(0~)		(0~)	-	(100)		(2%)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	1		1	(2%)		(10%)		(10%)
Hepatodiaphragmatic nodule	7	(14%)		(10%)		(14%)	9	(18%)
Hyperplasia	14	(990)		(2%)		(4%)	0	(100)
Inflammation, granulomatous, focal		( <b>28%</b> )		(26%)		(16%)		(16%)
Leukocytosis Necrosis	5	(10%) (16%)		(2%) (20%)		(2%) (22%)		(2%) (14%)
Thrombus	8 1		10	(20%)	11	(2270)	(	1 1 701
Bile duct, hyperplasia		(80%)	39	(64%)	31	(63%)	31	(62%)
Mesentery	40 (5)		(3)		(2)		(4)	(0470)
Hemorrhage	(3)		(0)			(50%)	(12)	
Fat, inflammation, chronic	2	(40%)	1	(33%)		(50%)	2	(50%)
Fat, necrosis	5			(67%)		(50%)	2	
Pancreas	(50)		(33)		(26)	(00.0)	(48)	
Hemorrhage		(2%)	()		(==)		(	
Thrombus	-				1	(4%)		
Acinus, atrophy	22	(44%)	10	(30%)		(35%)	15	(31%)
Acinus, necrosis								(2%)
Pharynx	(1)		(1)		(2)		(4)	
Palate, cyst								(25%)
Palate, developmental malformation								(50%)
Palate, inflammation					1	(50%)	_	
Palate, inflammation, chronic			1	(100%)	_		1	(25%)
Salivary glands	(49)		(33)		(27)		(49)	·/
Inflammation, suppurative		(24%)		(18%)		(19%)		(27%)
Duct, hyperplasia		(31%)		(48%)		(33%)		(33%)

## TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THETWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2

	Chamber	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
LIMENTARY SYSTEM (Continued)								
Stomach, forestomach	(50)		(33)		(28)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(26%)		(27%)		(11%)		(6%)
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)		,	1		1	(2%)
Mineralization						(4%)		(=,
Ulcer	12	(24%)	7	(21%)	2	(7%)	4	(8%)
Epithelium, hyperplasia	13	(26%)	11	(33%)	4	(14%)	4	(8%)
Stomach, glandular	(50)		(32)		(28)		(49)	
Ectopic tissue					1	(4%)		
Hemorrhage			1	(3%)			2	(4%)
Infiltration cellular, eosinophilic	1	(2%)						
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	5	(16%)	1	(4%)		
Inflammation, suppurative	8	(16%)	4	(13%)			1	(2%)
Mineralization	4	(8%)			1	(4%)	1	(2%)
Pigmentation, hemosiderin			3	(9%)	1	(4%)		
Ulcer	8	(16%)	9	(28%)	2	(7%)	3	(6%)
Tooth			(1)					
Inflammation, chronic			1	(100%)				
ARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM								<u></u>
Blood vessel	(3)				(1)			
Mineralization		(100%)				(100%)		
Heart	(50)	(100,00)	(35)		(30)	(	(50)	
Cardiomyopathy		(94%)		(97%)		(100%)		(96%)
Mineralization		(2%)	• •	(0,1,0)		(3%)		(00/0/
Atrium, congestion	•	(2,0)	1	(3%)		(3%)		
Atrium, thrombus	3	(6%)		(3%)		(17%)	3	(6%)
Ventricle, thrombus	Ŭ		-			(3%)	5	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u> </u>		
NDOCRINE SYSTEM	(50)		(50)		(10)		(10)	
Adrenal gland, cortex	(50)	(1.100)	(50)	(50%)	(48)	(	(49)	
Degeneration, fatty		(44%)		(52%)		(44%)		(49%)
Focal cellular change		(6%)		(2%)		(4%)		(4%)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation		(12%)		(10%)		(8%)		(33%)
Hyperplasia		(8%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Hypertrophy		(2%)		(901)				
Necrosis		(2%)	1	(2%)	( 477)		(00)	
Adrenal gland, medulla	(42)		(46)		(47)		(38)	(3%)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	1 5	(260)	10	(41%)	10	(40%)		
Hyperplasia Necrosis		(36%) (2%)	19	(4170)		(40%) (2%)	L í	(45%)
Islets, pancreatic	(50)	(270)	(36)		(27)	(470)	(48)	
Hyperplasia		(6%)		(3%)	(41)			(6%)
Parathyroid gland	(42)		(32)		(27)		(41)	(070)
Hyperplasia		(5%)				(11%)		(70)
Thrombus	2	(070)	4	(13%)			3	(7%)
Pituitary gland	(47)		(43)		(40)	(4%)	(47)	
	(47)			(90)	(40)		(47)	
Pars distalis, angiectasis	1	(90)		(2%)	0	(90)	1	(90/)
Pars distalis, cyst		(2%)	L	(2%)		(8%) (3%)		(2%)
Pars distalis, hemorrhage		(2%)	٣	(100)		(3%)		(2%)
Pars distalis, hyperplasia		(26%)	5	(12%)	9	(23%)	12	(26%)
Pars distalis, inflammation, suppurative	ə 1	(2%)						(07)
Pars intermedia, hyperplasia								(2%)
Thyroid gland	(48)		(49)		(46)		(48)	
C-cell, hyperplasia		(17%)		(10%)		(22%)	9	(19%)
Follicular cell, hyperplasia	1	(2%)	4	(2%)	1	(2%)		

#### TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

GENERAL BODY SYSTEM

None

C	Chamber	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	6 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
ENITAL SYSTEM								
Penis					(1)		(1)	
Inflammation, suppurative					(1)			(100%)
Preputial gland	(50)		(48)		(46)		(50)	(100%)
Cyst		(4%)	(40)			(2%)	(00)	
Hyperplasia	4	(470)			-	(270)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, squamous								
Inflammation, suppurative	9	(18%)	8	(17%)	14	(30%)		(20%)
Prostate	(50)		(34)	(11/0)	(27)	(00%)	(50)	(20,0)
Hyperplasia		(12%)		(3%)		(4%)		(20%)
Inflammation, suppurative		(46%)		(62%)		(52%)		(36%)
Seminal vesicle	(7)	(10,00)	(3)		(6)	(==,	(8)	(00/07/
Dilatation	(1)			(67%)		(33%)		(13%)
Inflammation, suppurative	6	(86%)		(33%)		(33%)		(75%)
Testes	(50)		(47)		(50)	,	(50)	
Atrophy	14	(28%)	14	(30%)	17	(34%)	14	(28%)
Necrosis	1	(2%)						
Interstitial cell, hyperplasia	6	(12%)	10	(21%)	11	(22%)	6	(12%)
Perivascular, inflammation	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	6	(12%)
Tunic, hyperplasia			1	(2%)				
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM		<u></u>					<u> </u>	
Bone marrow	(50)		(33)		(26)		(49)	
Depletion	1	(2%)						
Hyperplasia, neutrophil	1	(2%)						
Myelofibrosis	2	(4%)	4	(12%)	2	(8%)	2	(4%)
Lymph node	(50)		(35)		(30)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	1	(2%)						
Mediastinal, congestion				(3%)				
Mesenteric, angiectasis			1	(3%)				
Mesenteric, inflammation, granulomatous			1	(3%)				
Mesenteric, pigmentation, hemosiderin		(2%)						
Renal, congestion		(2%)						
Renal, hyperplasia	2	(4%)						
Lymph node, bronchial	(50)		(2 <b>9</b> )		(25)		(47)	
Hyperplasia	5	(10%)			1	(4%)	8	(17%)
Inflammation, granulomatous, focal	1	(2%)					1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative					1	(4%)		
Pigmentation, hemosiderin		(2%)						
Lymph node, mandibular	(47)		(27)		(28)		(46)	
Fibrosis	<u>.</u>		~	(000 )		(4%)		(00~)
Hyperplasia	21	(45%)	6	(22%)	1	(4%)		(39%)
Inflammation, granulomatous, focal	(50)		(10)		(40)			(4%)
Spleen	(50)	(00)	(49)		(49)		(50)	
Ectopic tissue		(2%) (2%)	~	(100)	~	(1.40%)	10	(000)
Fibrosis		(6%)		(12%)		(14%)		(20%)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	1	(2%)		(2%)		(2%)		(14%)
Inflammation, granulomatous			1	(2%)	Ĩ	(2%)		(2%)
Metaplasia, osseous	•	(00)		(99)		(00)		(2%)
Necrosis Bizmantation homosidovin	3	(6%)		(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Pigmentation, hemosiderin Thrombus			1	(2%)			1	(2%)
						<u> </u>		
NIEGUMENTARY SYSTEM								
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland	(91)		(10)		(19)		(94)	
Mammary gland	(21)		(10)		(12)	(17%)	(24)	(33%)
	7	(33%) (2 <b>9%</b> )	6	(60%) (10%)	2	(17%) (50%)	8	(33%) (33%)

#### TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

Cha	mber	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	i mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.78	5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM (Continued)								
Skin	(49)		(32)		(30)		(50)	
Atrophy	(40)		(02)		(00)			(2%)
Cyst epithelial inclusion					1	(3%)	-	(2/0)
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)			-	(0 /0)		
Ulcer		(2%)						
Epidermis, hyperplasia	1	(270)	1	(3%)				
Subcutaneous tissue, fibrosis			1	(3%)	1	(3%)		
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	·							
Bone	(50)		(33)		(29)		(50)	
Fibrous osteodystrophy		(10%)		(6%)		(3%)		(4%)
Periosteum, proliferation		(6%)		(3%)	1	(0 %)		(30%)
	J	(0%)	1	(370)			15	(30%)
ERVOUS SYSTEM	(=		(0.5)					
Brain	(50)	(4.00)	(33)	(00)	(30)	(107)	(50)	(0.0.)
Hemorrhage	2	(4%)	2	(6%)	4	(13%)		(6%)
Hydrocephalus		(0.7)					1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)						
Necrosis		(0~)	1	(3%)				
Meninges, pigmentation, hemosiderin Meninges, thrombus	1	(2%)					1	(2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM								<u></u>
Larynx	(49)		(30)		(25)		(48)	
Inflammation	(43)		(30)			(4%)	(40)	
Inflammation, suppurative	00	(450)	10	(000)				(100)
Metaplasia, squamous	22	(45%)	19	(63%)		(52%)		(46%)
		(90)				(4%)	3	(6%)
Epithelium, hyperplasia		(2%)	(10)			(4%)	(50)	
Lung	(50)	(00)	(49)	(10)	(50)	(197)	(50)	(100)
Congestion	1	(2%)	z	(4%)		(4%)	5	(10%)
Edema	•	(0.00)			1	(2%)	_	
Hemorrhage	3	(6%)		(2%)	-	(6%)		(10%)
Inflammation, chronic, focal		(26%)	11	(22%)	14	(28%)	9	(18%)
Inflammation, granulomatous, focal		(2%)						
Mineralization	2	(4%)				(2%)		
Pigmentation, hemosiderin	_					(2%)		
Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia		(10%)		(10%)		(8%)	7	(14%)
Alveolus, infiltration cellular, histiocytic	3	(6%)	9	(18%)	6	(12%)	8	(16%)
Peribronchial, infiltration cellular,								
mononuclear cell	1	(2%)						
Perivascular, infiltration cellular,							_	
mononuclear cell		(36%)		(24%)		(38%)		(48%)
Nose	(50)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hemorrhage							1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, adenomatous				(2%)				· • -
Inflammation		(56%)		(38%)		(49%)		(96%)
Inflammation, suppurative		(92%)		(90%)		(94%)		(94%)
Thrombus		(22%)		(20%)		(18%)		(2%)
Nasolacrimal duct, inflammation, suppurativ				(28%)		(29%)		(26%)
Olfactory epithelium, degeneration		(2%)		(8%)		(6%)		(54%)
Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia		(4%)	4	(8%)		(20%)		(26%)
Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, squamous						(2%)		(12%)
Respiratory epithelium, hyperplasia		(24%)		(22%)		(24%)		(96%)
Respiratory epithelium, metaplasia, squamo	us 4	(8%)	5	(10%)	6	(12%)		(88%)
Submucosa, hyperplasia							3	(6%)
Vomeronasal organ, inflammation, suppurat				(14%)				(16%)

## TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THETWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

Ch	amber	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	i mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM (Continued)			. <u>.</u>					
Trachea	(49)		(29)		(26)		(47)	
Inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)	3	(10%)	2	(8%)	5	(11%)
Epithelium, hyperplasia	1	(2%)						
PECIAL SENSES SYSTEM		- <u> </u>		<u></u>				
Eye	(48)		(6)		(4)		(49)	
Inflammation, chronic							1	(2%)
Synechia					1	(25%)	2	(4%)
Anterior chamber, inflammation, suppurati	ve 3	(6%)	1	(17%)			3	(6%)
Cornea, degeneration	1	(2%)					2	(4%)
Cornea, inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)	3	(50%)			4	(8%)
Cornea, mineralization	2	(4%)					2	(4%)
Lens, degeneration	3	(6%)	3	(50%)			2	(4%)
Lids, inflammation, suppurative	-	(2%)						
Retina, degeneration		(4%)	3	(50%)			2	(4%)
Harderian gland	(7)						(1)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(71%)						
Metaplasia, squamous		(14%)						
Acinus, hyperplasia	1	(14%)						
RINARY SYSTEM								
Kidney	(50)		(44)		(39)		(50)	
Cyst	(00)		()		,	(5%)	(00)	
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	1	(2%)			-	(0.07)		
Hemorrhage					1	(3%)		
Hydronephrosis			1	(2%)				
Infarct			1	(2%)				
Inflammation, suppurative			2	(5%)	2	(5%)	2	(4%)
Mineralization	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(3%)		
Nephropathy	50	(100%)		(98%)	39	(100%)	50	(100%)
Pigmentation, hemosiderin			1	(2%)				
Papilla, necrosis					1	(3%)		
Pelvis, epithelium, hyperplasia		(2%)		(5%)				(2%)
Renal tubule, hyperplasia	_	(2%)	-	(14%)		(3%)		(6%)
Urinary bladder	(49)		(31)		(27)		(49)	
Calculus gross observation						(4%)		
Calculus micro observation only					1	x = · · · ·		
Hemorrhage				· • - · ·	2	(7%)		
Inflammation		(00)		(3%)		(150)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative		(2%)	-	(10%)		(15%)	~	1400
Transitional epithelium, hyperplasia	1	(2%)	2	(6%)	1	(4%)	2	(4%)

## TABLE A5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

#### **APPENDIX B**

## SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2

		PAGE
TABLE B1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	91
TABLE B2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	94
TABLE B3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	110
TABLE B4	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF KIDNEY TUBULAR CELL NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS	114
TABLE B5	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	115

C	Chamber	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
DISPOSITION SUMMARY								
Animals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
Early deaths			00		00		00	
Dead	7		5		9		4	
Moribund	23		21		12		19	
Survivors	10		41		14		10	
Terminal sacrifice	20		24		29		27	
Animals examined microscopically	50		50		50		50	
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM							·····	
Intestine large, cecum	(40)		(20)		(10)		(46)	
Intestine large, colon	(45)		(20)		(10)		(40)	
Intestine large, rectum	(40)		(23)		(14)		(43)	
Adenoma	,		(20)		(**)			(2%)
Intestine small, ileum	(31)		(18)		(4)		(40)	~= /*/
Leiomyosarcoma		(3%)	(		·-/			
Liver	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hepatocellular carcinoma				(2%)			(00)	
Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal glan	d			(2%)				
Neoplastic nodule		(6%)		(=,				
Mesentery	(4)		(4)		(2)			
Pancreas	(49)		(25)		(19)		(50)	
Salivary glands	(49)		(25)		(19)		(50)	
Stomach, forestomach	(48)		(33)		(21)		(50)	
Papilloma squamous	1	(2%)						
Stomach, glandular	(49)		(31)		(20)		(50)	
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM				··				
Heart	(49)		(28)		(21)		(50)	
Sarcoma, metastatic, skin				(4%)	(,		(00)	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM								
Adrenal gland, cortex	(49)		(25)		(23)		(48)	
Adenoma		(4%)	(20)			(9%)	(40)	
Carcinoma	~	. = . = ,	1	(4%)	-			
Adrenal gland, medulla	(37)		(21)		(18)		(44)	
Pheochromocytoma benign		(14%)		(10%)		(17%)	. ,	(14%)
Bilateral, pheochromocytoma malignant	Ŭ	. = = ,		(5%)	5	(= • / • /	5	
Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign				(5%)				
Islets, pancreatic	(48)		(24)		(20)		(49)	
Adenoma	/		/			(5%)	/	
Carcinoma	1	(2%)				(5%)		
Parathyroid gland	(40)	-	(22)		(18)		(43)	
Pituitary gland	(48)		(44)		(42)		(49)	
Pars distalis, adenoma		(58%)		(59%)		(76%)		(65%)
Pars distalis, carcinoma		(4%)		(7%)	-	(5%)		(6%)
Thyroid gland	(48)		(26)		(18)		(50)	
Bilateral, C-cell, adenoma					- *			(2%)
C-cell, adenoma	4	(8%)	2	(8%)				(4%)
C-cell, carcinoma		(2%)		(4%)				
Follicular cell, adenoma			1	(4%)				
Follicular cell, carcinoma							1	(2%)

## TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARINHALATION STUDY OF CS2

GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None

С	hamber	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
JENITAL SYSTEM	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Clitoral gland	(48)		(25)		(21)		(48)	
Adenoma	4	(8%)	6	(24%)	1	(5%)	4	(8%)
Duct, carcinoma	1	(2%)						
Ovary	(49)		(49)		(50)		(50)	
Granulosa cell tumor malignant					1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Granulosa cell tumor benign	1	(2%)						
Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal gland				(2%)				
Uterus	(49)		(31)		(23)		(50)	
Deciduoma benign		(2%)	_		-	(222)	•	(100)
Polyp stromal		(8%)	7	(23%)	5	(22%)	8	(16%)
Polyp stromal, multiple	1	(2%)						
EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			<u></u>					
Bone marrow	(49)		(26)		(19)		(49)	
Lymph node	(49)		(48)		(49)		(50)	
Mediastinal, carcinoma, metastatic, thyro	id							
gland			1	(2%)				
Lymph node, bronchial	(47)		(47)		(47)		(46)	
Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal glan				(2%)				
Lymph node, mandibular	(45)		(25)		(19)		(47)	
Fibrous histiocytoma, metastatic, skin				(4%)				
Spleen	(49)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal gland				(2%)	(00)			
Thymus	(44)		(23)		(20)		(47)	
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM								
Mammary gland	(48)		(50)		(49)		(49)	
Adenocarcinoma	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
Adenoma			1	(2%)				
Fibroadenoma	15	(31%)	11	(22%)	13	(27%)	14	(29%)
Fibroadenoma, multiple		(2%)					3	(6%)
Skin	(50)		(33)		(30)		(50)	
Basal cell adenoma						(3%)		
Basal cell carcinoma						(3%)		(0~)
Keratoacanthoma						(3%)	1	(2%)
Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma				(001)	1	(3%)		
Subcutaneous tissue, fibrosarcoma				(3%)				
Subcutaneous tissue, fibrous histiocytoma			1	(3%)				
IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM								
Bone	(50)		(29)		(21)		(50)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal gland							1	(2%)
ERVOUS SYSTEM		····=						
Brain	(49)		(28)		(21)		(50)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland	2	(4%)		(11%)		(10%)		(6%)
Glioma, NOS	-	/ - /	5	(/*/		(5%)	5	

## TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

C	hamber	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	( <b>49</b> ) 2	(4%)	(50)			(2%) (4%)	(50)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, adrenal gland Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal gland Nose Adenoma	l (49)		1 (49)	(2%) (2%) (2%)	(49)	(4,0)	(50)	
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Zymbal gland Carcinoma	(48)		(5) (1) 1	(100%)	(3)		(49) (2) 2	(100%)
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal gland	( <b>49</b> )		(37) 1	(3%)	(30)		(50)	
Renal tubule, adenoma Urinary bladder	(47)		(24)		2 (20)	(7%)	(48)	
SYSTEMIC LESIONS	*(50)		*(50)		*(50)	<u></u>	*(50)	
Multiple organs Leukemia monocytic	*(50)	(40%)	*(50)	(40.00)	*(50)	(10%)		(2%)
Leukemia mononuclear Lymphoma malignant histiocytic	24	(48%)	24	(48%)		(42%) (2%)	33	(66%)
TUMOR SUMMARY								
Total animals with primary neoplasms ** Total primary neoplasms	47 104		47 94		47 94		50 113	
Total animals with benign neoplasms	41		35		40		43	
Total benign neoplasms	73		58		63		72	
Total animals with malignant neoplasms	28		32		29		37	
Total malignant neoplasms Total animals with secondary neoplasms ***	31 2		36 8		30 2		41 4	
Total secondary neoplasms Total animals with neoplasms-	2		13		$\frac{2}{2}$		4	
uncertain benign or malignant Total uncertain neoplasms					1 1			

#### TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 \*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 \*\*\* Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

DAYS ON STUDY	4 6 5	4 8 7	5 0 7	5 4 3	5 6 1	5 6 3	5 6 7	5 7 6	5 8 3	5 8 8	5 8 9	6 0 1	6 0 6	6 1 6	6 4 1	6 4 1	6 5 2	6 6 6	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 6 8	6 7 9	6 8 1	6 9 4	7 0 2
CARCASS ID	0 9 9 1	0 6 9 1	0 6 3 1	0 6 8 1	0 6 1 1	0 8 3 1	0 6 4 1	0 9 0 1	0 7 7 1	0 9 5 1	0 7 0 1	0 9 8 1	0 5 3 1	0 5 2 1	0 5 8 1	0 8 4 1	0 6 7 1	0 7 1 1	0 6 2 1	0 9 2 1	0 7 3 1	0 9 3 1	1 0 0 1	0 7 2 1	0 5 7 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine small, com Intestine small, lieum Intestine small, lieum Leiomyosarcoma Intestine small, jejunum Liver Neoplastic nodule Mesentery Pancreas Pharyux Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach Stomach, forestomach Papilloma squamous Stomach, glandular	M+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++ I I I I + + +++ +	+++++++ A ++ + +++ X +	M+++++++ ++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++AA++++AA+++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + A I + + I I + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + A A + + + + A A + + + + + + + + + +	++++++ I A+ + +++ +	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ I + I + X + +++ +	++A++++A ++ + ++++ +	+++++ I I I I ++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + A + + + A A A A + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ <b>A</b> ++++ <b>A</b> ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++	++++++ I I + + +++ +	++++++A ++ + +++ +	++1+++++ 1+ + ++++ +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Tongue CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland, cortex Adenoma Adrenal gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma benign Isiets, pancreatic Carcinoma Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Pars distalis, carcinoma Thyroid gland C-cell, adenoma C-cell, carcinoma	+ + + X + X + M + I	+ + + + + + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + +	+++++++X++	+ + M + + +	++ M + M + X +	++ M ++ +++++	A A A A M A A	++XM + ++X +	+ + I + + + + X +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ + + ++ <b>X</b> +	+ + + + + M +	+ + M + + + X	++ + ++ +	++ ++ ++ ++ +	+++ ++ + M +X	+ + M + M + +	++ + + + +	+ + I + + X + + + +	++++ +++X+	++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ +X + +X +	+ + I + I * X +
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None																									
GENITAL SYSTEM Clitoral gland Adenoma Duct, carcinoma Ovary Granulosa cell tumor benign Uterus Deciduoma benign Polyp stromal Polyp stromal, multiple	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	++++	÷ + +	+ + + X	A A A	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ +	+++	+ + +	+++	+ + +	M + +	+ + +	++++	+++	+ + X	+ + +	++++	+ + + X	++++

#### TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARINHALATION STUDY OF CS2: CHAMBER CONTROL

+: Tissue examined microscopically : Not examined -: Present but not examined microscopically I: Insufficient tissue

M: Missing A: Autolysis precludes examination X: Incidence of listed morphology

#### TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: CHAMBER CONTROL (Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	7 1 4	7 1 4	7 1 8	$\frac{7}{2}$	7 4 5	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	TOTAL:								
CARCASS ID	0 8 2 1	0 8 9 1	0 6 0 1	0 7 4 1	0 5 4 1	0 5 1 1	0 5 5 1	0 5 6 1	0 5 9 1	0 6 5 1	0 6 6 1	0 7 5 1	0 7 6 1	0 7 8 1	0 7 9 1	0 8 0 1	0 8 1 1	0 8 5 1	0 8 6 1	0 8 7 1	0 8 8 1	0 9 1 1	0 9 4 1	0 9 6 1	0 9 7 1	TISSUES
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, coion Intestine large, coion Intestine large, cecum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, ileum Lintestine small, ileum Leiomyosarcoma Intestine small, jejunum Liver Neoplastic nodule Mesentery Pancreas Pharynx Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach Papilloma squamous Stomach, glandular	+++ I ++++ I +++ +++ +++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++M+++I A++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++X++ + +++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	47 49 40 45 45 46 43 31 1 37 49 3 49 3 49 49 49 48 1 49
Tongue CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart		+	+	+	+	+	+		+	 +	+	+	+	+	+	+			 +	+	+	+	+	+		49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenai gland, cortex Adenoma Adrenai gland, cortex Adenoma Adrenai gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma benign Islets, pancreatic Carcinoma Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Pars distalis, carcinoma Thyroid gland C-ceil, adenoma C-cell, carcinoma	+ + + + + + X +	+ + X + + + X +	+ + M + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++ + M ++X +	+++ +X+++X +	++++++++ ++++X++	++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ M ++ X +	+ + + + M + X +	++ + + + + + + X +	++++++++	+++ +X+++X +	+++ + + M+X +	+++++ +++X++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ +++X+	++++ +++ +++	+++++X+X	+++++ +++X+X	++++ +++X +	++ ++ ++ ++	+++ + ++X +	49 49 2 37 5 48 1 40 48 28 28 2 48 4 1
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None GENITAL SYSTEM Clitorai gland Adenoma Duct, carcinoma Ovary Granulosa cell tumor benign Uterus Deciduoma benign Polyp stromal Polyp stromal, multiple	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + X	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + X	+ + +	+ + + X	+ + X +	+ + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	48 4 1 49 1 49 1 4 4 1 4 1

TABLE B2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF	FEMALE	RATS:	CHAMBER CONTROL
			(Continued	l)			

DAYS ON STUDY	4 6 5	4 8 7	5 0 7	5 4 3	5 6 1	5 6 3	5 6 7	5 7 6	5 8 3	5 8 8	5 8 9	6 0 1	6 0 6	6 1 6	6 4 1	6 4 1	6 5 2	6 6 6	6 6 7	6 6 7	6 6 8	6 7 9	6 8 1	6 9 4	7 0 2
CARCASS ID	0 9 9 1	0 6 9 1	0 6 3 1	0 6 8 1	0 6 1 1	0 8 3 1	0 6 4 1	0 9 0 1	0 7 7 1	0 9 5 1	0 7 0 1	0 9 8 1	0 5 3 1	0 5 2 1	0 5 8 1	0 8 4 1	0 6 7 1	0 7 1 1	0 6 2 1	0 9 2 1	0 7 3 1	0 9 3 1	1 0 0 1	0 7 2 1	0 5 7 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spieen Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + * M	+ + I + + + + +	++++++	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + + M	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	A A M A A A	+++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + M +	+ + + + + M	+ + + + + +	+++++	+++++	+ + + + + M	+ + + + + M + + + +	+ + + + + + + +
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma Fibroadenoma Fibroadenoma, multiple	+	+	+	+ X	+ x	M	+	+	A	+	+	+	+ X	+	+ X	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+
Skin MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+ + +	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland Granular cell tumor benign	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Nose	++++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++++	A A A	+++++	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +
Trachea SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Ear Eye Harderian gland Lacrimal gland	+	+	+ + +	+ + + + +	+ A	+	+ +	+	A A	+	+	+	+	+  + +	+	+ +	+	+ +	+  + +	+ + +	+	+	+	+	+ + +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+ +	A A	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+ м	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear	+	* X	+	+	+	* X	* X	+	+	+	+	* X	* X	+	+ X	* x	+ X	+	* X	* x	+	* x	+	+	*

TABLE B2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL	TUMOR PATHOLOG	Y OF FEMALE	E RATS:	CHAMBER CONTROL
		(Continue	ed)		

DAYS ON STUDY	7 1 4	7 1 4	7 1 8	7 2 4	7 4 5	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	TOTAL:
CARCASS ID		0 8 9 1	0 6 0 1	0 7 4 1	0 5 4 1	0 5 1 1	0 5 5 1	0 5 6 1	0 5 9 1	0 6 5 1	0 6 6 1	0 7 5 1	0 7 6 1	0 7 8 1	0 7 9 1	0 8 0 1	0 8 1 1	0 8 5 1	0 8 6 1	0 8 7 1	0 8 8 1	0 9 1 1	0 9 4 1	0 9 6 1	0 9 7 1	TISSUES
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	+ + + + + + M	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + +	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + M + + +	+ + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + +	++++++	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++++++	+ + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	49 49 47 45 49 44
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma Fibroadenoma Fibroadenoma, muitiple Skin	+ X +	++	+ X +	+	+	+ X +	+ X +	+	+	+	+ X +	* x +	+ X +	+	+ X +	+	+	+	++	+++	+ X +	+	+	+ X +	++	48 1 15 1 50
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland Granular cell tumor benign	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	49 2 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Nose Trachea	++++++	+ + + +	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + A	+ + +	+ + X + + + +	+ + X + +	+ + + +	+++++++	++++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	++++	++++++	+ + + +	++++++	++++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	49 49 2 49 49 48
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Ear Eye Harderian gland Lacrimal gland	+	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+ +	1 48 4 3
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+ +	+ M	+++	++++	++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	49 47
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear	+	* X	* X	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+ X	+	+	* X	+ X	+ X	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	50 24

## TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2: 0.075 $\rm mg/m^3$

DAYS ON STUDY	4 3 8	4 4 5	4 6 6	5 0 8	5 1 9	5 2 7	5 6 8	5 7 1	5 7 1	5 8 4	6 0 1	6 0 2	6 0 2	6 1 2	6 6 6	6 8 7	6 9 0	6 9 4	7 0 2	7 1 4	7 1 5	7 1 7		7 2 9	7 3 0
CARCASS ID	1 6 6 1	1 5 7 1	1 8 6 1	1 8 4 1	$\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{2}{1}$	1 7 0 1	1 6 3 1	1 7 1 1	1 8 8 1	1 9 4 1	1 6 5 1	1 7 9 1	1 8 7 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       5 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $		1 8 3 1	1 8 5 1	1 5 3 1	1 7 3 1	1 8 9 1	1 6 1 1		1 6 4 1	1 9 0 1	1 5 5 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine small, cuodenum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, lieum Intestine small, lieum Intestine small, jejunum Liver Hepatocellular carcinoma Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal gland Mesentery Pancreas	M A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ A A A A + + + A A + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + I + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ A A A A A A A A A + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ I A + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + M + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + A A + + + + A + + A + + A + + A + + A A + + A + + A + A + A + A + A A + A	++++M+++++ +
Salivary glands Stomach Stomach, forestomach Stomach, glandular Tongue	A + + A	· + + + +	M + + +	++++	+ + + +	- + + + +	++++	++++	· + + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	· + + + +	++++	+ + +	· + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	· + + +
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart Sarcoma, metastatic, skin	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland, Cortex Carcinoma Adrenal gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma benign Bilateral, pheochromocytoma malignant	A A A	+ + +	+ + M	+ + +	+ + I	+ + I	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + * X	+ + +	+ + M	+ + + X	+ + I	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+ M + X	A A A	+ + +
Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign Islets, pancreatic Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Pars distalis, carcinoma Thyroid gland Ç-ceil, adenoma	A A A	++++++	+ + +	+ + + X +	+ I X A	+ M + +	+ + +	+ M + X + X +	+ + +	+ + + X +	+ + X A	+ + +	+ M + +	+ + + X +	+ + +	+ M +	+ + + X +	+ + + X +	+ + X +	+ + +	X + + + + X +	M + + X +	+ + + X	A + + A	+ + + X +
C-cell, carcinoma Follicular cell, adenoma GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None																									
GENITAL SYSTEM Clitoral gland Adenoma Ovary Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal gland Uterus Polyp stromal Vagina	M A +	+ + +	+ X + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + X	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X	M + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ X + +	M + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X	+ + X +	+ + +	+ + +

									UII.		ueu	.,														
DAYS ON STUDY	7 3 7	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	TOTAL:									
CARCASS ID	1 9 8 1	1 5 1 1	1 5 4 1	1 5 6 1	1 5 8 1	1 5 9 1	1 6 0 1	1 6 7 1	1 6 8 1	1 6 9 1	$1 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ 1$	1 7 5 1	1 7 6 1	1 7 7 1	1 7 8 1	1 8 0 1	1 8 1 1	1 9 1 1		1 9 3 1	1 9 5 1	1 9 6 1	1 9 7 1	1 9 9 1	2 0 0 1	TISSUES TUMORS
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine large Intestine large, cocum Intestine large, cocum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, ieum Intestine small, jejunum Liver Hepatocellular carcinoma Neoplasm, NOS, meta., adrenal gland Messentery Pancreas Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach Stomach, glandular Tongue	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+	+	+	+ X	+	+ + +	+ +++	÷	+	+ ++++	++&++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	÷	+	+ ++++	+	+	+	+	++	+ ++++	+	+ ++++	$\begin{array}{c} 26\\ 24\\ 20\\ 20\\ 23\\ 25\\ 24\\ 18\\ 13\\ 50\\ 1\\ 1\\ 4\\ 4\\ 25\\ 25\\ 33\\ 33\\ 31\\ 1\\ 1\end{array}$
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart Sarcoma, metastatic, skin	+		+									+														28
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland, cortex Carcinoma Adrenal gland, cortex Carcinoma Adrenal gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma benign Bilateral, pheochromocytoma malignant Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign Isiets, pancreatic Parathyroid gland Ptututary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Pars distalis, carcinoma Thyroid gland C-cell, adenoma C-cell, carcinoma Follicular cell, adenoma GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None	+ + + + X +	+	* X		+ X	+ X	+	*X	<b>*</b>		+ X	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	* X	+		+ + X + + X +	+ + X + X X	+ X	* x			+ X	+ X	+ x + x	* * * x	26 25 1 21 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 4 4 22 44 26 3 26 2 2 1 1
GENITAL SYSTEM Clitoral gland Adenoma Ovary Neoplasm, NOS, meta., adrenal gland Uterus Polyp stromal Vagina	+ + +	+ + + X	+	÷	+ X +	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+ + X	M + +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+	25 6 49 1 31 7 1

## TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 0.075 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

					(0	on		Jeu	.,																
DAYS ON STUDY	4 3 8	4 4 5	4 6 6	5 0 8	5 1 9	5 2 7	5 6 8	5 7 1	5 7 1	5 8 4	6 0 1	6 0 2	6 0 2	6 1 2	6 6	6 8 7	6 9 0	6 9 4	7 0 2	7 1 4	7 1 5	7 1 7	7 2 0	7 2 9	7 3 0
CARCASS ID	1 6 6 1	1 5 7 1	1 8 6 1	1 8 4 1	$\frac{1}{7}$ 2 1	1 7 0 1	1 6 3 1	1 7 1 1	1 8 8 1	1 9 4 1	1 6 5 1	1 7 9 1	1 8 7 1		1 6 2 1	1 8 3 1	1 8 5 1	1 5 3 1	1 7 3 1	1 8 9 1	1 6 1 1	$\frac{1}{8}$ 2 1	1 6 4 1	1 9 0 1	1 5 5 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Mediastinal, carcinoma, metastatic,	AA	+++	++++	+ +	+ +	+ + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++						
thyroid gland Lymph node, bronchial Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal gland Lymph node, mandibular	M A	+ +	+ M	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ X M	+ +	м +
Fibrous histiocytoma, metastatic, skin Spleen Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal gland Thymus	+ M	+ +	+ +	+ M	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	Х + М	+ +	+ +	+ X +	+ +	+ M
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma Adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fibroadenoma Skin Subcutaneous tissue, fibrosarcoma Subcutaneous tissue, fibrous	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X M	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+ X	* X	+	+	+	+
histiocytoma MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	^ +	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Carcinoma, metastatic, adrenal gland	- A +	+++	+ +	+ +	A +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	++++	++++	+ +	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	++++	+++++	++++	+ + +	++++	+ +	+++	A +	+++
Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal gland Nose Adenoma Trachea	A	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	* X +	+ +	х + +	+ I	+ +
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderian gland	-											+				+		+							+
Lacrimal gland Zymbal gland Carcinoma					+									* X						+					
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Neoplasm, NOS, metastatic, adrenal gland Urnary bladder	+ A	+++	+ M	+ M	+++	+ +	+++	+	++	++	+++	+++	+ +	++	+++	+ +	++	++	+	++	+	++	+ X +	+	+++
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear	- + x	+ X	+	+	+	*	+	*	*	+	+	*	*	+	+	*	+	*	+	+	*	x <sup>+</sup>	+	*	*

## TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 0.075 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

## TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 0.075 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	7 3 7	7 5 2	$\frac{7}{2}$	$\frac{7}{5}$	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	${}^{7}_{5}_{2}$	$7 \\ 5 \\ 2$	${}^{7}_{2}$	${}^{7}_{2}$	${}^{7}_{2}$	$\frac{7}{5}$ 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	$\frac{7}{5}$	${}^{7}_{2}$	$7 \\ 5 \\ 2$	7 5 2	TOTAL.						
CARCASS ID	1 9 8 1	1 5 1 1	1 5 4 1	1 5 6 1	1 5 8 1	1 5 9 1	1 6 0 1	1 6 7 1	1 6 8 1	1 6 9 1	1 7 4 1	1 7 5 1	1 7 6 1	1 7 7 1	1 7 8 1	1 8 0 1	1 8 1 1	1 9 1 1	1 9 2 1	1 9 3 1	1 9 5 1	1 9 6 1	1 9 7 1	1 9 9 1	2 0 0 1	TISSUES TUMORS
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Mediastinal, carcinoma, metastatic,	+++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	м	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	26 48
hypotagiand Lymph node, bronchial Neoplasm, NOS, meta., adrenal gland Lymph node, mandibular	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	м	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Х +	$\begin{array}{c}1\\47\\1\\25\end{array}$
Fibrous histocytoma, metastatic, skin Spleen Neoplasm, NOS, meta, adrenal gland Thymus	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	1 50 1 23
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma Adenoma	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	 x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2 1
Ribroadenoma Skin Subcutaneous tissue, fibrosarcoma Subcutaneous tissue, fibrous	+	X +	X +		л		X +		x			X +				X +			X +			X +			X +	11 33 1
histiceytoma MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	+		<u> </u>									+			+										+	29
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland	+											+		<u>-</u>									+ x			28
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung	 + +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	24 50
Carcinoma, metastatic, adrenal gland Neoplasm, NOS, meta., adrenal gland Nose Adenoma Trachea	+++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	х +	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 1 49 1 25
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderan gland Lacrmal gland Zymbai gland				+						+							+									5 1 3 1
Carcinoma URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Neoplasm, NOS, meta , adrenal gland	+	+						+			+	+	+	+			+		+	+	<u>-</u> -		+		+	1 37 1
Unnary bladder SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear	+ + X	+	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	24 50 24
# TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2: $0.25 \text{ mg/m}^3$

DAYS ON STUDY	3 7 0	4 5 7	4 6 5	5 3 6	5 4 6	5 4 9	5 6 3	5 7 1	5 9 1	6 0 6	6 1 7	6 3 1	6 3 9	6 5 8	6 8 0	6 9 0	6 9 1	7 0 9	$\frac{7}{3}$	7 3 9	7 4 4	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1
CARCASS ID	2 8 3 1	2 6 3 1	2 8 4 1	2 5 8 1	2 6 5 1	2 8 5 1	2 9 9 1	2 7 8 1	2 9 2 1	2 8 0 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       5 \\       1 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 8 7 1	2 8 6 1	2 6 8 1	2 5 9 1	2 5 4 1	2 7 5 1	2 7 9 1	2 8 2 1	2 9 8 1	2 6 0 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       5 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 5 3 1	2 5 5 1	2 5 6 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, colon Intestine large, colon Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, jejunum Liver Mesentery Pancreas Pharynz Salvary glands Stomach, forestomach	M A M A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ A A A A A A A A A A + + + + + + + + +	+++++ + M++ + M++	+ A A A A A A A A A A A + + M + +	+++++AI+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++M++ I + + +++	++ I ++++ I A + + +++	++++ I I I + ++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++A++++AI+ + +++	+ A A A A A A A A A + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++AA+AAAA+ + ++++	+ A A A A + + + A A A + + + + + + + + +	++A+A+++ + ++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ I ++++ I A + + +++	++ <b>A</b> ++++ II I + + ++++	+	+	÷	+
Stomach, glandular CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart	A +	+	+	+	A +	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+ 	+	+	+ 	+	+	+  +	+	+				
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma benign Islets, pancreatic Adenoma Carcinoma Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, carcinoma Thyroid gland	A A A A + A A	+ + I + M + X +	* * * * * * * *	+ + + + + + + X +	+ + + + + + * *	+ + M + + + + X +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + M + X +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + M + M + X +	+ + + X + + + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++ ++X+++++	+ + + + + + + + + X +	+ + M A + + X A	++X+++XA	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ + + ++ <b>X</b> +	++ + + ++ <b>X</b> +			* x	+ X
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None								·																	_
GENITAL SYSTEM Chioral gland Adenoma Ovary Granulosa cell tumor malignant Uterus Polyp stromal Vagina	M + +	+ + +	+ + X	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X	+ + +	+ + *	+ + + +	++++	+ + +	+ + X	+	+	+	+

											ueu	.,														
DAYS ÓN STUDY	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	TOTAL.
CARCASS ID	2 5 7 1	2 6 1 1	2 6 2 1	2 6 4 1		2 6 7 1	2 6 9 1	2 7 0 1	2 7 1 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       7 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       7 \\       3 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 7 4 1	2 7 6 1	2 7 7 1	2 8 1 1	$     \frac{2}{8}     \frac{3}{1} $	2 8 9 1	2 9 0 1	2 9 1 1	2 9 3 1	2 9 4 1	2 9 5 1	2 9 6 1	2 9 7 1	3 0 0 1	TISSUES
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, colon Intestine large, colon Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, loudenum Intestine small, jejunum Intestine small, jejunum Liver Mesentery Pancreas Pharynx Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach Stomach, glandular	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+	+	+ + +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 16\\ 10\\ 15\\ 15\\ 15\\ 15\\ 4\\ 7\\ 50\\ 2\\ 19\\ 1\\ 19\\ 22\\ 21\\ 20\\ \end{array}$
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart										~~~								_								21
ENDÖCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland Adrenal gland, cortex Adenoma Adrenal gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma benign Islets, pancreatic Adenoma Carcinoma Parathyrood gland Pituitary gland Para distalis, adenoma	+ + + *	+ X	+ X	* x		+ x	+ X	+ X	+ + X + X + X + X		+ x	* *	+ X	+ x	+ x	+ X	+ X	+ x	+ X	+ X	+	+ x	++++			23 23 2 18 3 20 1 1 18 42 32
Pars distalis, carcinoma Thyroid gland GENERAL BODY SYSTEM																										18
None GENITAL SYSTEM							<u> </u>	_			·															-
Chtoral gland Adenoma Ovary Granulosa cell tumor malignant Uterus Polyp stromal Vagina	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+ X +	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	* X	+	+	+	21 1 50 1 23 5 1

# TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 0.25 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	3 7 0	4 5 7	4 6 5	5 3 6	5 4 6	5 4 9	5 6 3	5 7 1	5 9 1	6 0 6	6 1 7	6 3 1	6 3 9	6 5 8	6 8 0	6 9 0	6 9 1	7 0 9	$7 \\ 3 \\ 2$	7 3 9	7 4 4	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1
CARCASS ID		$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       6 \\       3 \\       1     \end{array} $	$2 \\ 8 \\ 4 \\ 1$	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       5 \\       8 \\       1     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       6 \\       5 \\       1     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       8 \\       5 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 9 9 1	2 7 8 1	2 9 2 1	2 8 0 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       5 \\       1 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 8 7 1	2 8 6 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       6 \\       8 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 5 9 1	2 5 4 1	2 7 5 1	2 7 9 1		2 9 8 1	2 6 0 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       5 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 5 3 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       5 \\       5 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 5 6 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchiał Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	A + + + A M	+ + + M + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + M + +	A + + M + + + +	+ + + + M + +	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + M + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++++++	+ + +
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma Fibroadenoma Skin Basal cell adenoma Basal cell adenoma Basal cell acrinoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma	++	++	+	+ X +	+	++	+ X +	+++	++	+	++	++	++	+	+ + X	++	+ X +	+	+ X +	++	++	+	М	+	+
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+				
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland Glioma, NOS	+ X	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	t	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Nose Trachea	A + A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	A + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + +	++++++	++++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	A + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++	+	++	++
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Lacrimal gland			+				+						, +					+			+				
URINARY SYSTEM Kudney Renal tubule, adenoma Urinary bladder	A +	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	++	+++	+++	++	+ +	++	+++	++	+++	+++	+ M	++	+ +	++			+	
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear Lymphoma malignant histiocytic	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	* X	* X	+ X	+	+	+	+	* X	* x	*	+	* X	+	* x	* X	+	+	+

### TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 0.25 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

									••••			.,														
DAYS ON STUDY CARCASS ID	7 5 1 2 5 7 1	7 5 1 2 6 1 1	7 5 1 2 6 2 1	7 5 1 2 6 4 1	7 5 1 2 6 6	7 5 1 2 6 7	7 5 1 2 6 9	7 5 1 7 0 1	7 5 1 7 1 1	7 5 1 7 2 1	7 5 1 7 3 1	7 5 1 2 7 4 1	7 5 1 2 7 6 1	7 5 1 2 7 7 1	7 5 1 2 8 1 1	7 5 1 2 8 8 1	7 5 1 2 8 9 1	7 5 1 2 9 0 1	7 5 1 2 9 1 1	7 5 1 2 9 3 1	7 5 1 2 9 4 1	7 5 1 2 9 5 1	7 5 1 2 9 6 1	7 5 1 2 9 7 1	7 5 1 3 0 0 1	TOTAL TISSUES TUMORS
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	++++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	M M +	- + + +	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	- + + +	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+ + +	19 49 47 19 49 20
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary giand Adenocarcinoma Fibroadenoma Skin Basai cell adenoma Basai cell carcinoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma	+ X + X	+ X	+ + X	+ X +	+	+	+	+ X +	+ X	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+ X +	+	+ X + X	+	+ X +	+	+	+ X +	+	49 1 13 30 1 1 1 1 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland Ghoma, NOS		. <u>.</u>																								21 21 21 2 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Nose Trachea	+++++	++	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	+ +	+ X +	++	++	++	+ X +	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+ X +	++	++	18 50 1 2 49 18
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Lacrimal gland	+		+	+					+				-										+			3 7
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Renal tubule, adenoma Urinary bladder		* X	+				+		+			+		+			* X					+		+		30 2 20
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia mononuclear Lymphoma malignant histiocytic	+ X	÷	* x	* X	* X	+	+	* x	* x	+	+	+	* X	+	* X	* X	+	* X	+	* X	+ X	+	+	+	+	50 21 1

### TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 0.25 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

TABLE B2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL TU	UMOR PATI	HOLOGY	OF FEMALE	RATS IN	THE TWO-YEAR
		INHA	ALATION ST	TUDY OF	CS2: 0.75 mg/	/m <sup>3</sup>	

DAYS ON STUDY	4 7 6	5 0 5	5 2 2	5 3 6	5. 7 8	5 8 4	5 9 9	6 0 6	6 2 4	6 3 3	6 3 3	6 3 4	6 3 9	6 4 1	6 4 8	6 6 0	6 6 0	6 6 2	6 6 7	6 9 4	7 0 2	7 1 6	7 1 9	7 5 0	7 5 0
CARCASS ID	3 7 4 1	3 8 1 1	3 7 8 1	3 7 9 1	3 7 3 1	3 6 3 1	3 9 3 1	3 5 1 1	3 9 0 1	3 7 5 1	4 0 0 1	3 7 0 1	3 6 8 1	3 8 6 1	3 8 9 1	3 9 1 1	3 9 9 1	3 6 9 1	3 5 8 1	3 5 3 1	3 5 5 1	3 7 6 1	3 8 3 1	3 5 2 1	3 5 4 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, colon Intestine large, rectum Adenoma Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, ieum Intestine small, jejunum Liver Pancreas Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach Stomach, forestomach	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ + M++++++++	+++++ ++ I A ++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++M ++A+++++++	++++M ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++A++ ++A+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++A+ ++AA++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++A+A ++AA++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++A++ ++AA++++++	+++++ AIAA++++++	+++++ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ +++ <sub>1</sub> ++++++	++++M +u++++++++	+++++ +++	++AA+ ++AA++++++	++++M ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++M ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, medulla Pheochromocytoma benign Isists, pancreatic Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Pars distalis, carcinoma Thyroid gland Bilateral, C-cell, adenoma C-cell, adenoma Follicular cell, carcinoma	+ + M + M + + + +	++++ +M+x +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ I +++ +	++M +++ ++ X+	++++++X +	+++ + M+X +	+++ +++X +	+++ +++ <b>x</b> +	+++ I +++ + + + + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +++X +	+++ ++++X +	+++ +++ +	A A + M + +	++++ +++X +	A A A + + A +	+++X+++X +	+++ M++ X+	+++ +++ +	+++X+++X +	++++ +++X +	+++ +++ +	+++X+++X +	+++ +++ <b>X</b> +
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None																									
GENITAL SYSTEM Clitoral gland Adenoma Ovary Granulosa cell tumor malignant Uterus Polyp stromal	+++++	+ + +	+ + + X	+ + +	+ + + X	+ + +	+ + + X	M + +	M + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + *	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + X	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	* + +

# TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 0.75 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	
CARCASS ID	3 5 6 1	3 5 7 1	3 5 9 1	3 6 0 1	3 6 1 1	3 6 2 1	3 6 4 1	3 6 5 1	3 6 6 1	3 6 7 1	3 7 1 1	3 7 2 1	3 7 7 1	3 8 0 1	3 8 2 1	3 8 4 1	3 8 5 1	3 8 7 1	3 8 8 1	3 9 2 1	3 9 4 1	3 9 5 1	3 9 6 1	3 9 7 1	3 9 8 1	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Adenoma Intestine small, gectum Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, lieum Intestine small, jeun Intestine small, jeunum Liver Pancreas	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ ++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ ++++++	+ + + + + + <b>X</b> + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ ++++++	+++++ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ ++++++	+++++ +++++++	+++++ +++++	+++++ ++++++	+++++ ++++++	****	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ ++++++	+++M+ ++++++	++++M ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ ++++++	+++++ +++++	+++++ ++++++	50 50 46 47 43 1 49 46 40 38 50 50
Salivary glands Stomach Stomach, forestomach Stomach, glandular CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+ + + + +	++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	50 50 50 50
Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenai gland, cortex Adrenai gland, cortex Pheochromocytoma benign Isiets, pancreatic Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Pars distalis, carcínoma Thyroid gland Bilateral, C-cell, adenoma C-cell, adenoma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++ +++ X +	++++ + M+X +	++++ +++ X + X	++++ ++++ *	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++ +++ +	+++ +++ +	+++X+++X +	+++X+++X +	+++ +++ + +++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++ +++ <b>X</b> +	+++X+++X +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++ +++ <b>X</b> +	++++ +++ X + X	+ + + + + + + + X +	+++ +++ *	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +++ X +	+ + + + + + + + X	48 48 44 6 49 43 49 32 3 50 1 2
Follicular cell, carcinoma GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None GENITAL SYSTEM				X																						
Clitoral gland Adenoma Ovary Granulosa cell tumor malignant Uterus Polyp stromal	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + *	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + *	+ + *	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	48 4 50 1 50 8

DAYS ON STUDY	4 7 6	5 0 5	5 2 2	5 3 6	5 7 8	5 8 4	5 9 9	6 0 6	6 2 4	6 3 3	6 3 3	6 3 4	6 3 9	6 4 1	6 4 8	6 6 0	6 6 0	6 6 2	6 6 7	6 9 4	7 0 2	7 1 6	7 1 9	7 5 0	7 5 0
CARCASS ID	3 7 4 1	3 8 1 1	3 7 8 1	3 7 9 1	3 7 3 1	3 6 3 1	3 9 3 1	3 5 1 1	3 9 0 1	3 7 5 1	4 0 0 1	3 7 0 1	3 6 8 1	3 8 6 1	3 8 9 1	3 9 1 1	3 9 9 1	3 6 9 1	3 5 8 1	3 5 3 1	3 5 5 1	3 7 6 1	3 8 3 1	3 5 2 1	3 5 4 1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	+ + M + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + M	++++++	+ + + + + +	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	++++++	++++++	+ + + + + + +	+ + M + + + + +	+ + + + M + + +	++++++	A + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + + + + M	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	++++++	+++++++	+ + + M+ +	+ + + M + + +
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Fibroadenoma, multiple Skin Keratoacanthoma	+ x +	+	* * +	м +	+	* +	+	* * +	* *	* * +	+	+	+	+	+	++	* * +	* *	* * +	+	++	+ *	+	* * +	+
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Nose Trachea	++++++	+++++	+ + + +	++++++	++++++	++++++	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+ + + I	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	++++	+ + + A	++++	++++++
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderian gland Lacrimal gland Zymbal gland Carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ + X	+	+	+	A +	+	+	+	+	+ *	+	+	+	+ +	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+ M	++++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+ М	+ + +	+++	+ +	+++	+++++
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia monocytic Leukemia mononuclear	+	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ x	+ X	+ X	+	+ x	+	+ x	+	+

### TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 0.75 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

### TABLE B2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: 0.75 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY CARCASS ID	7 5 0 3 5 6	7 5 0 3 5 7	7 5 0 3 5 9	7 5 0 3 6 0	7 5 0 3 6 1	7 5 0 3 6 2	7 5 0 3 6 4	7 5 0 3 6 5	7 5 0 3 6 6	7 5 0 3 6 7	7 5 0 3 7 1	7 5 0 3 7 2	7 5 0 3 7 7	7 5 0 3 8 0	7 5 0 3 8 2	7 5 0 3 8 4	7 5 0 3 8 5	7 5 0 3 8 7	7 5 0 3 8 8	7 5 0 3 9 2	7 5 0 3 9 4	7 5 0 3 9 5	7 5 0 3 9 6	7 5 0 3 9 7	7 5 0 3 9 8	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spieen Thymus	1 +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	i ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	i ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	1 + + + + + + + + + + +	i + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	1 +++++++	1 + + M + + + + +	1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	i ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 + + + + + + M	1 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	i ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 + + + + + + + + + + + +	1 + + M + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	49 50 46 47 50 47
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma Fibroadenoma, multiple Skin Keratoacanthoma	+ X +	+	+	+	+ X +	+ X +	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+ X +	++	+	+ X +	+	++	+	+	+ X +	++	49 14 3 50 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, metastatic, pituitary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 3
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Nose Trachea	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	++++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+ ++ ++++	+ + + +	+++++++	+ + + +	+++++	++++++	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	50 50 50 48
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderian gland Lacrimal gland Zymbal gland Carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	49 1 7 2 2
U <b>RINARY SYSTEM</b> Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ + +	++++	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 48
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Leukemia monocytic Leukemia mononuclear	+	+ X	+ X	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	* X X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+	+	50 1 33

	Chamber Control	0.075 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Adrenal Medulla: Pheochromocytoma				
Overall Rates (a)		) 3/21 (14%)	(b) 3/18 (17%)	6/44 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	19.4%	, 0, 11 (1 - 10)		20.1%
Terminal Rates (e)	2/19 (11%)			4/27 (15%)
Day of First Observation	465			662
Life Table Test (f)				P = 0.586N
Logistic Regression Test (f)				P = 0.628
Fisher Exact Test (f)				P = 0.623
Clitoral Gland: Adenoma				
Overall Rates (a)	4/48 (8%) (b	) 6/25 (24%)	(b) 1/21 (5%)	4/48 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	14.4%			14.8%
Terminal Rates (e)	2/20 (10%)			4/27 (15%)
Day of First Observation	588			749
Life Table Test (f)				P = 0.503 N
Logistic Regression Test (f)				P = 0.612N
Fisher Exact Test (f)				P = 0.643 N
Clitoral Gland: Adenoma or Carcinom	a			
Overall Rates (a)	5/48 (10%) (b	) 6/25 (24%)	(b) 1/21 (5%)	4/48 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	19.1%			14.8%
Terminal Rates (e)	3/20 (15%)			4/27 (15%)
Day of First Observation	588			749
Life Table Test (f)				P = 0.345N
Logistic Regression Test (f)				P = 0.455N
Fisher Exact Test (f)				P = 0.500N
liver: Neoplastic Nodule				
Overall Rates (a)	3/49 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (e)	0/20 (0%)	0/24(0%)	0/29 (0%)	0/27 (0%)
Day of First Observation	589	D 0 0001	B A AAFNI	D 0 10 (N
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.127N	P = 0.099N	P = 0.095N	P = 0.104N
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.136N	P = 0.117N	P = 0.121 N	P = 0.124N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f)	P = 0.132N	D 01151	E 011CN	D -0.117N
Fisher Exact Test (f)		P = 0.117 N	P = 0.117 N	P = 0.117 N
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule or Hepatoce Overall Rates (a)	llular Carcinoma 3/49 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	9.7%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (e)	0/20(0%)	$\frac{4.2}{1}$	0/29 (0%)	0.0% 0/27(0%)
Day of First Observation	589	749	0/23 (0 %)	0/21(0/0)
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.087 N	P = 0.256N	P = 0.095 N	P = 0.104 N
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.096N	P = 0.297N	P = 0.121 N	P = 0.124N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f)	P = 0.096N	0.40111	1 -0.14111	1 - 0.14410
Fisher Exact Test (f)	1 - 0.00010	P = 0.301 N	P = 0.117 N	P = 0.117 N
ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma	or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/49 (4%)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	10.0%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%
	2/20 (10%)	0/24 (0%)	3/29 (10%)	0/27 (0%)
				0.21(0.0)
Terminal Rates (e)	749		/49	
Terminal Rates (e) Day of First Observation	749 P=0.234N	P = 0.198N	749 P=0.669	P = 0.174N
Terminal Rates (e) Day of First Observation Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.234N	P = 0.198N P = 0.198N	P = 0.669	
Terminal Rates (e) Day of First Observation		P=0.198N P=0.198N		P = 0.174N P = 0.174N

### TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 $\,$

### TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

	Chamber Control	0.075 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma	<u> </u>			
Overall Rates (g)	16/50 (32%)	11/50 (22%)	13/50 (26%)	17/50 (34%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	51.0%	40.9%	39.8%	44.0%
Terminal Rates (e)	7/20 (35%)	9/24 (38%)	10/29 (34%)	8/27 (30%)
Day of First Observation	543	601	563	476
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.441	P = 0.100 N	P = 0.106N	P = 0.437N
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.280	P = 0.153N	P = 0.262N	P = 0.489
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f)	P = 0.250	1 - 0.10011	1 = 0.20211	1 - 0.400
Fisher Exact Test (f)	1 - 0.200	P = 0.184N	P = 0.330N	P=0.500
Mammary Gland: Adenoma or Fibr	oadenoma			
Overall Rates (g)	16/50 (32%)	12/50 (24%)	13/50 (26%)	17/50 (34%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	51.0%	44.8%	39.8%	44.0%
Terminal Rates (e)	7/20 (35%)	10/24 (42%)	10/29 (34%)	8/27 (30%)
Day of First Observation	543	601	563	476
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.485	P = 0.141N	P = 0.106N	P = 0.437N
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.319	P = 0.212N	P = 0.262N	P = 0.489
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f) Fisher Exact Test (f)	P = 0.286	P = 0.252N	P = 0.330 N	P = 0.500
	J			
Mammary Gland: Adenoma, Fibroa				
Overall Rates (g)	17/50 (34%)	13/50 (26%)	14/50 (28%)	17/50 (34%
Adjusted Rates (d)	54.7%	46.3%	41.1%	44.0%
Terminal Rates (e)	8/20 (40%)	10/24 (42%)	10/29 (34%)	8/27 (30%)
Day of First Observation	543	601	536	476
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.515N	P = 0.141 N	P = 0.106N	P = 0.355N
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.414	P = 0.214N	P = 0.277 N	P = 0.580
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f)	P = 0.380			
Fisher Exact Test (f)		P = 0.257 N	P = 0.333N	P = 0.583N
Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Aden	oma			
Overall Rates (a)	28/48 (58%)	26/44 (59%)	32/42 (76%)	32/49 (65%
Adjusted Rates (d)	83.7%	84.9%	93.4%	83.5%
Terminal Rates (e)	15/20 (75%)	15/19 (74%)	20/22 (91%)	21/27 (78%
Day of First Observation	507	519	457	505
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.375N	P = 0.385N	P = 0.539	P = 0.396N
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.384	P = 0.576	P = 0.068	P = 0.401
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f)	P = 0.384 P = 0.285	1 - 0.010	r - 0.000	r -= 0,401
Fisher Exact Test (f)	r=0.200	P=0.555	P=0.058	P = 0.309
Pituitony Cland/Page Distalias Causi	nama			
Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Carc		9/44 (70)	0/40 (EM)	9/40 (00)
Overall Rates (a)	2/48 (4%)	3/44 (7%)	2/42 (5%)	3/49 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	7.4%	10.0%	4.7%	7.5%
Terminal Rates (e)	1/20 (5%)	1/19 (5%)	0/22 (0%)	0/27 (0%)
Day of First Observation	589	508	549	578
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.544	P = 0.511	P = 0.671 N	P = 0.555
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.462	P = 0.461	P = 0.633	P = 0.470
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f)	P = 0.516	D 0 175		<b>_</b> • - ·
Fisher Exact Test (f)		P = 0.458	P = 0.640	P = 0.510
Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Aden				
Overall Rates (a)	30/48 (63%)	29/44 (66%)	34/42 (81%)	35/49 (71%
Adjusted Rates (d)	87.3%	89.3%	93.7%	84.7%
Terminal Rates (e)	16/20 (80%)	16/19 (84%)	20/22 (91%)	21/27 (78%
Day of First Observation	507	508	457	505
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.404N	P = 0.452N	P = 0.546N	P = 0.446N
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.314	P = 0.458	P = 0.051	P = 0.302
				· ····································
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f)	P = 0.239			

	Chamber Contro	l 0.075 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma	<u></u>			
Overall Rates (a)	4/48 (8%)	(b) 2/26 (8%)	(b) 0/18 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	15.2%		(2)	11.1%
Terminal Rates (e)	2/20 (10%)			3/27 (11%)
Day of First Observation	641			749
Life Table Test (f)				P = 0.385N
Logistic Regression Test (f)				P = 0.441 N
Fisher Exact Test (f)				P = 0.477 N
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma or	Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	5/48 (10%)	(b) 3/26 (12%)	(b) 0/18 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	19.9%			11.1%
Terminal Rates (e)	3/20 (15%)			3/27 (11%)
Day of First Observation	641			749
Life Table Test (f)				P = 0.241 N
Logistic Regression Test (f)				P = 0.292N
Fisher Exact Test (f)				P = 0.335N
Uterus: Stromal Polyp				
Overall Rates (g)	5/50 (10%)	7/50 (14%)	5/50 (10%)	8/50 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	19.2%	23.5%	13.8%	21.5%
Terminal Rates (e)	2/20 (10%)	4/24 (17%)	1/29 (3%)	3/27 (11%)
Day of First Observation	576	568	465 D 479N	522 D - 0.000
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.378	P = 0.482	P = 0.472N	P = 0.398
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.270	P = 0.395	P = 0.630	P = 0.258
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f) Fisher Exact Test (f)	P = 0.278	D-0.990	P=0.630	P = 0.277
Fisher Exact Test(I)		P = 0.380	P=0.030	F = 0.277
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclea		04/50/40/00	01/50 (40%)	00 (50 (000)
Overall Rates (g)	24/50 (48%)	24/50 (48%)	21/50 (42%)	33/50 (66%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	67.5%	61.3%	54.3%	79.8%
Terminal Rates (e)	10/20 (50%)	10/24 (42%)	12/29 (41%)	19/27 (70%)
Day of First Observation	487	438	563 D	522
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.157	P = 0.368N	P = 0.099N	P = 0.330
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.026	P = 0.578N	P = 0.306N	P = 0.065
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f)	P = 0.023	D-0 570N	D-0.244N	D-0.052
Fisher Exact Test (f)		P = 0.579N	P = 0.344N	P = 0.053
All Sites: Benign Tumors	41/50 (89%)	95 (EQ (700)	40/E0 (900)	ADIED IOPM
Overall Rates (g)	41/50 (82%)	35/50 (70%)	40/50 (80%)	43/50 (86%
Adjusted Rates (d)	97.5% 10/20 (95%)	84.8% 19/24 (75%)	86.8%	97.6% 26/27 (96%
Terminal Rates (e)	19/20 (95%) 465	18/24 (75%) 466	23/29 (79%) 457	26/27 (96%) 476
Day of First Observation Life Table Tests (f)	465 R = 0.470 N	P = 0.062N	P = 0.063N	P = 0.208N
	P = 0.470N P = 0.165	P = 0.062N P = 0.105N	P = 0.063 N P = 0.455 N	P = 0.208 R P = 0.474
Logistic Regression Tests (f) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f)	P = 0.165 P = 0.128	F - 0.1001	r - 0.4001	1 - 0.4474
Fisher Exact Test (f)	1 -0.120	P = 0.121 N	P = 0.500 N	P = 0.393
All Sites: Malignant Tumors				
Overall Rates (g)	28/50 (56%)	32/50 (64%)	29/50 (58%)	37/50 (74%
Adjusted Rates (d)	75.5%	72.2%	69.9%	83.8%
Terminal Rates (e)	12/20 (60%)	12/24 (50%)	17/29 (59%)	20/27 (74%
Day of First Observation	487	438	536	522
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.309	P = 0.554	P = 0.212N	P = 0.379
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.055	P = 0.272	P = 0.537	P = 0.057
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f)	P = 0.050			
Fisher Exact Test (f)		P = 0.270	P = 0.500	P = 0.046

### TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATIONSTUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

### TABLE B3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

	Chamber Control	0.075 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
All Sites: All Tumors				
Overall Rates (g)	47/50 (94%)	47/50 (94%)	47/50 (94%)	50/50 (100%)
Adjusted Rates (d)	100.0%	94.0%	94.0%	100.0%
Terminal Rates (e)	20/20 (100%)	21/24 (88%)	26/29 (90%)	27/27 (100%)
Day of First Observation	465	438	370	476
Life Table Tests (f)	P = 0.339N	P = 0.254 N	P = 0.078N	P = 0.245N
Logistic Regression Tests (f)	P = 0.073	P = 0.653	P = 0.648	P = 0.133
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (f)	P = 0.082			
Fisher Exact Test (f)		P = 0.661 N	P = 0.661N	P = 0.121

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined microscopically at the site

(b) Incomplete sampling of tissues

(c) A malignant pheochromocytoma was observed in an additional animal.

(d) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(e) Observed tumor incidence in animals killed at the end of the study

(f) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group than in controls is indicated by (N).

(g) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined grossly at the site

#### TABLE B4. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF KIDNEY TUBULAR CELL NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS (a)

Study	Incidence of Adenomas or Adenocarcinomas in Controls
Historical Incidence for Chamber	Controls at Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories
Propylene oxide	(b) 1/50
Methyl methacrylate	0/50
Propylene	0/47
1,2-Epoxybutane	0/50
Dichloromethane	0/50
Tetrachloroethylene	0/50
Bromoethane	0/50
TOTAL	(b) 1/347 (0.3%)
SD(c)	0.76%
Range (d)	
High	1/50
Low	0/50
Overall Historical Incidence for	Untreated Controls in NTP Studies
TOTAL	(e) 2/1,639 (0.1%)
SD(c)	0.49%
Range (d)	
High	1/50
Low	0/50

(a) Data as of March 1, 1989, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Tubular cell adenocarcinoma
(c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(e) Includes one tubular cell adenoma and one adenocarcinoma, NOS

	Chamber (	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
SPOSITION SUMMARY						1		
nimals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
arly deaths	•••		00		00		00	
Dead	7		5		9		4	
Moribund	23		21		12		19	
urvivors			~-				10	
Ferminal sacrifice	20		24		29		27	
nimals examined microscopically	50		50		50		50	
LIMENTARY SYSTEM								
Intestine large, cecum	(40)		(20)		(10)		(46)	
Inflammation, suppurative	(20)			(5%)			( •••)	
Parasite metazoan	4	(10%)		(5%)	1	(10%)	6	(13%)
Intestine large, colon	(45)	/	(20)		(15)	(=0.0)	(47)	
Parasite metazoan		(4%)		(5%)		(13%)		(11%)
Intestine large, rectum	(47)	, ,	(23)		(14)		(43)	
Parasite metazoan		(2%)		(4%)	/			(7%)
Intestine small, duodenum	(43)		(24)		(15)		(46)	
Ulcer	·/		·/			(7%)		(2%)
Intestine small, ileum	(31)		(18)		(4)		(40)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid		(3%)			· •/			(8%)
Parasite metazoan	-	,						(3%)
Intestine small, jejunum	(37)		(13)		(7)		(38)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(01)		(10)		(1)			(3%)
Liver	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Angiectasis		(10%)		(12%)		(12%)		(14%)
Basophilic focus		(51%)	18	(36%)		(48%)		(44%)
Clear cell focus		(4%)	2	(4%)		(40/0)		(2%)
Congestion		(2%)	-	(4/4)	1	(2%)		(2%)
Degeneration, fatty		(37%)	19	(38%)		(16%)		(22%)
Eosinophilic focus	•••		10	(00%)	0	(10,0)		(4%)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	7	(14%)	5	(10%)	9	(18%)		(2%)
Hemorrhage	•	(/0)	1		Ũ	(10/0)	-	
Hepatodiaphragmatic nodule	6	(12%)	2	(4%)	8	(16%)	11	(22%)
Hyperplasia	•	(22.0)		(6%)	0	(10,0)	••	(22,0)
Inflammation, granulomatous, focal	23	(47%)		(46%)	26	(52%)	28	(56%)
Leukocytosis	2			(2%)	~0			(2%)
Necrosis		(20%)		(26%)	9	(18%)		(18%)
Pigmentation, bile	10	,				(2%)		
Thrombus			1	(2%)	-		1	(2%)
Bile duct, hyperplasia	8	(16%)	10	(20%)	6	(12%)		(16%)
Mesentery	(4)		(4)		(2)		2	
Fat, hemorrhage	1	(25%)						
Fat, inflammation, chronic		(75%)		(75%)	2	(100%)		
Fat, necrosis		(75%)		(75%)	2	(100%)		
Pancreas	(49)		(25)		(19)		(50)	
Acinus, atrophy		(22%)		(12%)		(26%)		(20%)
Acinus, fibrosis			1	(4%)				
Acinus, focal cellular change				(4%)				
Acinus, inflammation							1	(2%)
Pharynx	(1)				(1)			
Palate, developmental malformation	. – ,					(100%)		
Palate, inflammation	1	(100%)			-	,		
Salivary glands	(49)		(25)		(19)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic		(4%)	/		()		()	
Inflammation, suppurative		(33%)	1	(4%)	3	(16%)	13	(26%)
Duct, hyperplasia		(45%)	8			(37%)		(50%)

	Chamber (	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	i mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	i mg/m <sup>3</sup>
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM (Continued)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Stomach, forestomach	(48)		(33)		(21)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	3	(6%)	9	(27%)	1	(5%)	4	(8%)
Inflammation, suppurative	4	(8%)	2	(6%)	1	(5%)	1	(2%)
Ulcer	5	(10%)	10	(30%)	2	(10%)	5	(10%)
Epithelium, hyperplasia	10	(21%)	10	(30%)	2	(10%)	7	(14%)
Stomach, glandular	(49)		(31)		(20)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	2	(4%)			1	(5%)	1	
Inflammation, chronic	5	(10%)		(13%)			1	< - · · · ·
Inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)		(3%)		(5%)	-	(2%)
Pigmentation, hemosiderin		(2%)		(3%)	1			(2%)
Ulcer	9	(18%)	4	(13%)	3	(15%)		(6%)
Epithelium, hyperplasia		(2%)					1	(2%)
Tongue	(1)		(1)	(100~~)				
Epithelium, hyperplasia			1	(100%)				
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM								
Heart	(49)		(28)		(21)		(50)	
Cardiomyopathy	42	(86%)	21	(75%)	16	(76%)	49	(98%)
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(4%)				
Mineralization			1	(4%)				
Necrosis				(4%)				
Atrium, congestion			2	(7%)				
Atrium, inflammation							1	(2%)
Atrium, thrombus	3	(6%)	1	(4%)	1	(5%)		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Adrenal gland	(49)		(26)		(23)		(48)	
Ectopic tissue	(20)		(		(20)			(2%)
Adrenal gland, cortex	(49)		(25)		(23)		(48)	
Degeneration, fatty	· · · ·	(53%)		(48%)		(30%)		(60%)
Focal cellular change	6	(12%)		(8%)	•			(17%)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation		(20%)		(16%)	3	(13%)		(21%)
Hyperplasia		(14%)			-	(13%)		(8%)
Necrosis	3		1	(4%)	1	(4%)		
Thrombus					1			
Adrenal gland, medulla	(37)		(21)		(18)		(44)	
Hyperplasia	10	(27%)	2	(10%)	7	(39%)	8	(18%)
Thrombus					1	(6%)		
Islets, pancreatic	(48)		(24)		(20)		(49)	
Hyperplasia	1	(2%)						
Parathyroid gland	(40)		(22)		(18)		(43)	
Hyperplasia		(8%)		(9%)				(2%)
Pituitary gland	(48)		(44)		(42)		(49)	
Degeneration, cystic				(7%)	1	(2%)		
Pars distalis, angiectasis		(6%)	2	(5%)				(2%)
Pars distalis, cyst	3	(6%)					1	(2%)
Pars distalis, hemorrhage				(5%)				
Pars distalis, hyperplasia		(21%)		(14%)		(14%)		(14%)
Thyroid gland	(48)		(26)		(18)		(50)	
C-cell, hyperplasia	10	(21%)	4	(15%)			4	(8%)

GENERAL BODY SYSTEM

None

	Chamber (	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	i mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
ENITAL SYSTEM			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		······		
Clitoral gland	(48)		(25)		(21)		(48)	
Cyst	, ,		/		,			(4%)
Hyperplasia	1	(2%)			1	(5%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, suppurative	11	(23%)	1	(4%)		(14%)		(15%)
Duct, hyperplasia							1	(2%)
Ovary	(49)		(49)		(50)		(50)	
Atrophy	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)
Cyst	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	6	(12%)
Hyperplasia							1	(2%)
Proliferation			1	(2%)				
Uterus	(49)		(31)		(23)		(50)	
Dilatation	2	(4%)					2	(4%)
Hemorrhage					1	(4%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, cystic	1	(2%)					1	(2%)
Submucosa, hyperplasia			1	(3%)				
Vagina			(1)		(1)			
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(100%)	1	(100%)		
EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM								
Bone marrow	(49)		(26)		(19)		(49)	
Depletion			( /					(2%)
Hyperplasia, neutrophíl							2	(4%)
Inflammation, granulomatous, focal	1	(2%)						
Myelofibrosis	3	(6%)	1	(4%)	1	(5%)	2	(4%)
Lymph node	(49)		(48)		(49)		(50)	
Mediastinal, inflammation, granulom	atous, focal 1	(2%)						
Mesenteric, inflammation, granuloma	tous, focal		1	(2%)				
Lymph node, bronchial	(47)		(47)	. ,	(47)		(46)	
Congestion	1	(2%)	()				,	
Hematopoietic cell proliferation		(2%)						
Hyperplasia		(9%)			3	(6%)	10	(22%)
Inflammation, granulomatous, focal			1	(2%)	-	(2%)	- •	(
Lymph node, mandibular	(45)		(25)		(19)	(_,,,,,	(47)	
Congestion					(			(2%)
Hyperplasia	15	(33%)	3	(12%)	2	(11%)		(40%)
Inflammation, granulomatous, focal		(2%)	2	• ,	-			( ,• ,
Necrosis	-	<u> </u>			1	(5%)		
Spleen	(49)		(50)		(49)	,	(50)	
Developmental malformation	( = = )	(2%)	(,		()		(00)	
Fibrosis		(10%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	5	(10%)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	-	(12%)		(8%)	7			(4%)
Hemorrhage	-		-	/		(6%)		(2%)
Inflammation, granulomatous	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		/		(4%)
Necrosis		(4%)	-		1	(2%)	-	、 <b>-</b> <i>·</i> <b>·</b> <i>· ·</i>
Pigmentation, hemosiderin		(2%)	3	(6%)	-			
Thrombus			2	,	3	(6%)		
Capsule, inflammation, suppurative			1	(2%)	5	,		
Capsule, thrombus	1	(2%)	-					
Thymus	(44)		(23)		(20)		(47)	
Degeneration, cystic			,					(4%)
Inflammation, chronic					1	(5%)	-	

C	Chamber (	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM								
Mammary gland	(48)		(50)		(49)		(49)	
Galactocele	,	(4%)		(6%)	(40)			(2%)
Hyperplasia	6	(13%)		(18%)	10	(20%)		(22%)
Skin	(50)	(	(33)	(	(30)	(	(50)	(,
Acanthosis	(			(3%)	(		(	
Cyst epithelial inclusion					1	(3%)		
Inflammation, suppurative						(3%)		
Necrosis						(3%)		
Ulcer			2	(6%)				
Epidermis, hyperplasia							1	(2%)
Subcutaneous tissue, inflammation, chron	nic		2	(6%)				
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM								
Bone	(50)		(29)		(21)		(50)	
Fibrous osteodystrophy	• •	(6%)		(3%)				
Osteopetrosis		(8%)		(7%)	1	(5%)	6	(12%)
Periosteum, proliferation							18	(36%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM								
Brain	(49)		(28)		(21)		(50)	
Hemorrhage		(12%)	4	(14%)	3	(14%)	3	(6%)
Hydrocephalus	3	(6%)	1	(4%)			1	(2%)
Necrosis							1	(2%)
Meninges, inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)						
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM								
Larynx	(49)		(24)		(18)		(50)	
Inflammation						(11%)		
Inflammation, suppurative		(45%)		(42%)	6	(33%)		(44%)
Metaplasia, squamous	1	(2%)	1	(4%)				(4%)
Epithelium, hyperplasia								(2%)
Lung	(49)		(50)		(50)	(10~)	(50)	(0~)
Congestion	2	(4%)	4	(8%)		(10%)	3	(6%)
Edema					-	(2%)	•	(1 0 2 )
Hemorrhage	3	(6%)		(2%)	3	(6%)	8	(16%)
Infiltration cellular, mixed cell	3	(6%)		(2%)	-	(4%)	00	(CAR)
Inflammation, chronic, focal	16	(33%)	7	(14%)	24	(48%)		(64%)
Inflammation, granulomatous, focal			1	( <b>9</b> 01-)				(4%) (2%)
Inflammation, suppurative		(Q0L)		(2%) (8%)	1	(2%)		(2%) (10%)
Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia Alveolus, infiltration cellular, histiocytic		(8%) (12%)		(8%) (8%)		(2%)		(40%)
Mediastinum, inflammation, chronic Perivascular, infiltration cellular,		(12%) (2%)	4	(070)	0	(1070)	20	(4070)
	19	(37%)	10	(20%)	91	(42%)	93	(46%)
mononiiclear cell	(49)		(49)	(4070)	(49)		(50)	(
mononuclear cell Nose				(43%)		(69%)		(96%)
Nose		176961				(88%)		(94%)
Nose Inflammation	37	(76%) (94%)	30	(6196)				(v × /v /
Nose Inflammation Inflammation, suppurative	37 46	(94%)		(61%) (10%)		(6%)		
Nose Inflammation Inflammation, suppurative Thrombus	37 46 8	(94%) (16%)		(61%) (10%)		(6%)		
Nose Inflammation Inflammation, suppurative Thrombus Nares, inflammation, chronic	37 46 8 1	(94%) (16%) (2%)	5	(10%)	3		7	(14%)
Nose Inflammation Inflammation, suppurative Thrombus Nares, inflammation, chronic Nasolacrimal duct, inflammation, suppur	37 46 8 1	(94%) (16%)	5		3 11	(22%)		(14%) (46%)
Nose Inflammation Inflammation, suppurative Thrombus Nares, inflammation, chronic Nasolacrimal duct, inflammation, suppur Olfactory epithelium, degeneration	37 46 8 1 rative 14	(94%) (16%) (2%) (29%)	5 13	(10%) (27%)	3 11 1	(22%) (2%)	23	(46%)
Nose Inflammation Inflammation, suppurative Thrombus Nares, inflammation, chronic Nasolacrimal duct, inflammation, suppur Olfactory epithelium, degeneration Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia	37 46 8 1 rative 14 3	(94%) (16%) (2%)	5 13	(10%)	3 11 1	(22%)	23 15	( <b>4</b> 6%) (30%)
Nose Inflammation Inflammation, suppurative Thrombus Nares, inflammation, chronic Nasolacrimal duct, inflammation, suppur Olfactory epithelium, degeneration Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, squam	37 46 8 1 rative 14 3 ous	(94%) (16%) (2%) (29%) (6%)	5 13 1	(10%) (27%) (2%)	3 11 1 1	(22%) (2%) (2%)	23 15 3	(46%) (30%) (6%)
Nose Inflammation Inflammation, suppurative Thrombus Nares, inflammation, chronic Nasolacrimal duct, inflammation, suppur Olfactory epithelium, degeneration Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, squam Respiratory epithelium, hyperplasia	37 46 8 1 rative 14 3 ous 3	(94%) (16%) (2%) (29%)	5 13 1 3	<ul> <li>(10%)</li> <li>(27%)</li> <li>(2%)</li> <li>(6%)</li> </ul>	3 11 1 1 6	(22%) (2%) (2%) (12%)	23 15 3 46	(46%) (30%) (6%) (92%)
Nose Inflammation Inflammation, suppurative Thrombus Nares, inflammation, chronic Nasolacrimal duct, inflammation, suppur Olfactory epithelium, degeneration Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, squam	37 46 8 1 rative 14 3 ous 3	(94%) (16%) (2%) (29%) (6%)	5 13 1 3	(10%) (27%) (2%)	3 11 1 1 6	(22%) (2%) (2%)	23 15 3 46 49	(46%) (30%) (6%)

Chan	ıber (	Control	0.075	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.25	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM (Continued) Trachea Inflammation, suppurative Epithelium, hyperplasia	(48) 3	(6%)	(25) 2	(8%)	(18) 2	(11%)		(6%) (2%)
PECIAL SENSES SYSTEM					• •			
Ear	(1)							
Inflammation, suppurative		(100%)						
Eye	(48)	(100 %)	(5)		(3)		(49)	
Svnechia	(40)			(40%)	(0)			(6%)
Anterior chamber, inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)	4				0	(0,0)
Cornea, hyperplasia	•	(2,0)					1	(2%)
Cornea, inflammation, suppurative					1	(33%)		(6%)
Lens, degeneration	5	(10%)	3	(60%)	-	(33%)	-	(10%)
Lens, mineralization	•	(-0,0)	•	(00/0)	-	(00.00)		(4%)
Retina, degeneration	4	(8%)	3	(60%)	1	(33%)		(12%)
Harderian gland	(4)	(=)	(1)	(,			(1)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(100%)						
Metaplasia, squamous			1	(100%)			1	(100%)
Lacrimal gland	(3)		(3)		(7)		(7)	
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(33%)	1	(33%)				
Acinus, atrophy	3	(100%)	3	(100%)	7	(100%)	7	(100%)
RINARY SYSTEM								<u>,,,,,</u>
Kidney	(49)		(37)		(30)		(50)	
Cyst					1	(3%)		
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	1	(2%)	1	(3%)				
Inflammation, suppurative						(3%)		
Mineralization		(2%)			-	(3%)		
Nephropathy	45	(92%)		(92%)	2 <b>9</b>	(97%)	48	(96%)
Artery, hyperplasia				(3%)				
Capsule, inflammation	~	(0~)	-	(3%)		.0		(0.07.)
Renal tubule, hyperplasia	-	(6%)	_	(5%)		(3%)	-	(2%)
Urinary bladder	(47)	(10)	(24)		(20)		(48)	
Hemorrhage	2	(4%)				(50)		
Inflammation, suppurative					1	(5%)		(2%)
Transitional epithelium, hyperplasia Vein, ectasia								
v ein, ectasia							1	(2%)

#### **APPENDIX C**

# SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2

		PAGE
TABLE C1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	123
TABLE C2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	126
TABLE C3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	136
TABLE C4	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	139

CS2, NTP TR 377

	Chambe	er Control	0.75 1	ng/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	g/m <sup>3</sup>
DISPOSITION SUMMARY						
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Early deaths						
Dead	7		4		5	
Moribund	5		4		5	
Survivors						
Terminal sacrifice	38		42		40	
Animals examined microscopically	50		50		50	
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM						
Gallbladder	(39)		(5)		(40)	
Intestine small, duodenum	(44)		(5)		(44)	
Polyp adenomatous			1	(20%)		
Intestine small, ileum	(43)		(3)		(44)	
Intestine small, jejunum	(44)		(2)		(47)	
Adenocarcinoma		(2%)				
Liver	(49)		(19)		(50)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, lung		(2%)				
Hemangioma	1	(2%)				(90)
Hemangioma, multiple						(2%)
Hemangiosarcoma, multiple	11	(990)	-	(900)		(2%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma Hapatocellular carcinoma multiple		(22%) (6%)		(26%)		(10%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple Hepatocellular adenoma		(6%) (6%)		(11%) (42%)		(6%) (10%)
Hepatocellular adenoma, multiple		(0%)	0	(======================================	5	(10%)
Histiocytic sarcoma		(2%)				
Mesentery	r	(2.0)	(1)		(1)	
Sarcoma, metastatic, stomach			(*)			(100%)
Pancreas	(49)		(7)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic, spleen			. /		1	(2%)
Salivary glands	(49)		(7)		(50)	
Stomach, forestomach	(47)		(45)		(49)	
Papilloma squamous						(2%)
Sarcoma						(2%)
Squamous cell carcinoma						(2%)
Stomach, glandular	(49)		(49)		(48)	(0.07.)
Sarcoma, metastatic, stomach					1	(2%)
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM						
Heart	(50)		(8)		(50)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, lung		(2%)				
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, live	r 1	(2%)				
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
Adrenal gland, cortex	(49)		(7)		(49)	
Adenoma	· /					(2%)
Adrenal gland, medulla	(49)		(7)		(49)	
Pituitary gland	(46)		(7)		(46)	
Pars distalis, adenoma				(14%)		
	(48)		(7)		(50)	
Thyroid gland Follicular cell, adenoma		(2%)				(2%)

# TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARINHALATION STUDY OF CS2

	Chambe	r Control	0.75 n	ng/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	g/m <sup>3</sup>
GENITAL SYSTEM					<u> </u>	
Epididymis	(46)		(7)		(49)	
Prostate	(48)		(7)		(49)	
Seminal vesicle	(47)		(9) (7)		(49) (50)	
Testes Interstitial cell, adenoma	( <b>48</b> ) 1	(2%)	(1)		(00)	
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM		<u></u>		·····		
Bone marrow	(49)		(7)		(50)	
Lymph node	(48)		(9)	(110)	(47)	
Mediastinal, adenocarcinoma, metastatic, lui			(5)	(11%)	(43)	
Lymph node, bronchial Carcinoma, metastatic, lung	(47)	(4%)	(0)		(40)	
Lymph node, mandibular	$(42)^{2}$	(*/0)	(4)		(35)	
Spleen	(42)		(8)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma						(4%)
Thymus	(38)		(5)		(43)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, lung	1	(3%)				
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin	(50)		(13)		(50)	
	(00)					
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	(49)		(8)		(50)	
Cranium, adenocarcinoma, metastatic, lung	()			(13%)	(,	
Rib, carcinoma, metastatic, lung			1	(13%)		
Skeletal muscle	(2)					
Diaphragm, carcinoma, metastatic, lung	1	(50%)				
NERVOUS SYSTEM None						
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					(50)	
Lung	(49)		(49)	(90)	(50)	
Adenocarcinoma Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	7	(14%)		(2%) (14%)	9	(18%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma		(10%)		(2%)		(4%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, multiple		(4%)	-	,		
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver	4	(8%)		(4%)		(2%)
Nose	(50)		(47)		(50)	
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM						
Harderian gland	(6)	(1000)	(2)	(1000)	(2)	
Adenoma	6	(100%)	2	(100%)	Z	(100%)
URINARY SYSTEM			(40)		(50)	
Kidney	(49)		(49)	(2%)	(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, lung Carcinoma, metastatic, liver			1	(210)	1	(2%)
Carcinoma, metastatic, liver	1	(2%)				\ <b>\</b>
Urinary bladder	(48)		(7)		(49)	

# TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

	Chamber Control	l 0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
SYSTEMIC LESIONS		<u> </u>	
Multiple organs	*(50)	*(50)	*(50)
Histiocytic sarcoma	1 (2%)		
Lymphoma malignant mixed	1 (2%)	3 (6%)	1 (2%)
Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell	l 2 (4%)		1 (2%)
TUMOR SUMMARY Total animals with primary neoplasms ** Total primary neoplasms Total animals with benign neoplasms Total benign neoplasms Total animals with malignant neoplasms Total malignant neoplasms	31 46 18 20 22 26 6	24 31 17 19 11 12 3	30 37 17 20 15 17 3

# TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 \*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 \*\*\* Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

TABLE C2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL TUMO	R PATHOLOGY	OF MALE	MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
		INHALATION S	TUDY OF CS2:	CHAMBER	t CONTROL

DAYS ON STUDY	0 4 4	1 9 7	4 4 1	4 8 4	6 1 0	6 6 6	6 8 6	6 9 4	7 0 8	7 2 1	7 3 8	7 3 8	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0
CARCASS ID	2 7 1	3 7 1	0 9 1	2 8 1	2 1 1	4 3 1	3 4 1	3 2 1	0 3 1	2 5 1	0 4 1	$2 \\ 3 \\ 1$	0 1 1	0 2 1	0 5 1	0 6 1	0 7 1	0 8 1	1 0 1	1 1 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 3 1	1 4 1	1 5 1	1 6 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Gellbladder Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine small, esophague Intestine small, loudenum Intestine small, loudenum Intestine small, jejunum Adenocarcinoma Liver Carcinoma, metastatic, lung Hemangioma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	A A A A A A A A A A A A	+ A + M + + A A A A +	+ <b>A</b> + <b>M</b> + + + + + + +	+ M + + A + + M + A + X	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + X	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ A + + + A + A A A A + X	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	++++++++ + X	M M + + + + + + + A A + + X	+ A + + + + + + + A A + + + X X	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ M + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + X	+++++M++++ +	+++++++++ + X	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + X	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + X	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++ + X	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++ + X
Hepatocellular adenoma, multiple Histocytic sarcoma Pancreas Salivary glands Stomach Stomach, forestomach Stomach, glandular	A A A A	+++++	+ + + + + M +	+ + + + + + + +	++++	+ + + + +	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+ + + + +	+++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+ + + + +	++++	X + + + + + + +	++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + +
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart Carcinoma, metastatic, lung Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, medulla Isiets, pancreatic Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Thyroid gland Folicular cell, adenoma	A A A A M A A	+ + + + M + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + M + + +	+ + + + + M + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + MA M	+++++++	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + M + +	+++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + + M + + +	+ + + + + M +	+ + + + M + +	++++++	++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM Tissue, NOS	+																								
GENNTAL SYSTEM Epididymis Penis Preputial gland Prostate Seminal vesicle Testes Interstitial cell, adenoma	A A A A	+ + + + + +	++++++	+++++	+ ++++	+ + + +	+ + + + A	+ + + + + + + +	+ + A M +	+ +++	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lympin node Lympin node, bronchial Carcinoma, metastatic, lung Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus Carcinoma, metastatic, lung	A M M A A M	+ + + + M + M	+ M M M + +	+ + + + + M	+ + + + + M	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +++	+++ +++	++++ +++M	+++++++	+ + + M + +	+ + + + + + + + + X	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +++	+ + + + M + + +	+ + + + + + M	+ + + + + M	++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + M	+ + + + M + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + M	+++++++
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Skin	A +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	М +	M +	M +	<b>M</b> +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	M +	м +

+: Tissue examined microscopically : Not examined -: Present but not examined microscopically I: Insufficient tissue

M: Missing A: Autolysis precludes examination X: Incidence of listed morphology

### TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: CHAMBER CONTROL (Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	75	7 5	7 5	7 5	75	7 5	75	7 5	7 5	75	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	75	7 5	7 5	7 5	75	75	7 5	7 5	75	75	75	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	TOTAL:
CARCASS ID	17	1 8	1 9	2	$\frac{2}{2}$	2 4	26	2 9	3	3	3	3 5	3 6	3 8	3 9	4	4	4 2	4	4 5	4 6	4 7	4 8	4 9	5 0	TISSUES
12	i	ĩ	ĭ	ĩ	ĩ	1	1	ĩ	1	î	1	ĩ	1	ĩ	ĭ	ĩ	î	ĩ	ī	ĩ	ĩ	i	ĩ	ĭ	1	1 O MORO
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM										•															·····	
Esophagus	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Gallbladder Intestine large	+++++	+++	++	++	++	+	+	+++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	++++	A +	++	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	++++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	39 48
Intestine large, cecum	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	++	+++	+	+	+	+	÷.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Intestine large, colon	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	46
Intestine large, rectum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
Intestine small Intestine small, duodenum	+++++	+ +	+++	+++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	+++	++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++	+++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++	+++	+++	+ +	46
Intestine small, ileum	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ă	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	43
Intestine small, jejunum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	44
Adenocarcinoma Liver																										1
Carcinoma, metastatic, lung	j +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 1
Hemangioma																										i
Hepatocellular carcinoma																			х						х	11
Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple	٩r																									3
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular adenoma, multiple	х														х		х				X					3
Histiocytic sarcoma																	л									1
Pancreas	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Salivary glands	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Stomach Stomach, forestomach	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	++	+++	++	++	+ +	+ +	++	++	++	++	++	++++	+++	++	+++	++	++	+ +	49 47
Stomach, glandular	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
CARDIOVACOULAD OVCMON																										
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart	1 +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	±	+	+	+	÷	-	-	+	-	ъ		<b>т</b>	+	50
Carcinoma, metastatic, lung	'				,	•					,						r		Ŧ	1	1		1			1
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic,																										
liver																										1
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM								_							~~~~~									•		
Adrenal gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, medulla	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Islets, pancreatic	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+	++	++	++	++	+++	+++++	+	++	++	++	+	++	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	+ +	49 49
Parathyroid gland	+	+	M	+	Μ	м	+	М	+	÷	+	÷	+	М	+	+	+	M	Ń	М	м	M	М	M	M	20
Pituitary gland	I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46
Thyroid gland Follicular cell, adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	48 1
																									л	1
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM Tissue, NOS																										1
GENITAL SYSTEM																										·
Epididymis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	М	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46
Penis Proputiol gland																										5
Preputial gland Prostate	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ъ	+	+ +	48
Seminal vesicle	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	, M	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	48
Testes	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	48
Interstitial cell, adenoma	-																Х									1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM																			· · - ·							
Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Carcinoma, metastatic, lung	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47 2
Lymph node, mandibular	+	+	+	÷	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	42
Spleen	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	49
Thymus Carcinoma, metastatic, lung	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	М	+	М	+	+	+	38 1
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland	м	м	м	м	м	м	М	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	м	М	м	м	м	м	М	м	м	м	
Skin	+	+	+	+	+	+	141	+	141	+	14	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ 747	+	111	50
	1																		-							

TABLE C2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL	TUMOR PATHOLO	Y OF MAL	MICE:	CHAMBER CO	NTROL
		(Contin	ied)			

DAYS ON STUDY	0 4 4	1 9 7	4 4 1	4 8 4	6 1 0	6 6 8	6 8 6	6 9 4	7 0 8	7 2 1	7 3 8	7 3 8	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0								
CARCASS ID	2 7 1	3 7 1	0 9 1	2 8 1	$\begin{array}{c}2\\1\\1\end{array}$	4 3 1	3 4 1	3 2 1	0 3 1	2 5 1	0 4 1	$2 \\ 3 \\ 1$	0 1 1	0 2 1	0 5 1	0 6 1	0 7 1	0 8 1	1 0 1	1 1 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 3 1	1 4 1	1 5 1	1 6 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Skeletal muscle Diaphragm, carcinoma, metastatic, lung	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X		+	+	+	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Aiveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, multiple	AAA	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ + X	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ + X	++	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + x	+ + X	+++
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver Nose Trachea	+ A	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	++	+ +	X + +	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderian gland Adenoma	M	A	I	A	+ + X	+	A	+	A + X	+	I	+	I	+ + X	+	+	+	÷	+	+	I	+	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Carcinoma, metastatic, lung Urinary bladder	A	++	+	++	+ +	+++	+++	+	+ A	+++	+++	+ x +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+++
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Histiocytic sarcoma Lymphoma malignant mixed	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+
Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell type								X																	

TABLE C2.	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL	TUMOR PATHOLOGY	<b>OF MALE MICE:</b>	CHAMBER CONTROL
		(Continued	l)	

DAYS ON STUDY	7 5 0	TOTAL:																								
CARCASS ID	1 7 1	1 8 1	1 9 1	2 0 1	2 2 1	2 4 1	2 6 1	2 9 1	3 0 1	3 1 1	3 3 1	3 5 1	3 6 1	3 8 1	3 9 1	4 0 1	4 1 1	4 2 1	4 4 1	4 5 1	4 6 1	4 7 1	4 8 1	4 9 1	5 0 1	TISSUES
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Skeletal muscle Diaphragm, carcinoma, metastatic, lung	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 2 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, multiple Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver	+ + X	+ +	+++	++	+++	+ + X	+ + X	+++	+++++	+++	+ + X	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ + X	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+ + X	+ + X	+++	+++	49 49 7 5 2
Nose Trachea	+ +	4 50 49																								
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderian gland Adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+	÷	+	+	+ + X	+	41 6 6
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Carcinoma, metastatic, lung Urinary bladder	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	+	+	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	++	+	+++	++	++++	49 1 48
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Histiceytic sarcoma Lymphoma malignant mixed Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	50 1 1
cell type															X											2

# TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARINHALATION STUDY OF CS2:0.75 mg/m³

DAYS ON	13	5	5	5	5	6	6	7	-7-	-7	-7-	-7-	-7-	7	7	7	-7-	7	-7-	7	7	7	7	7	-7-
STUDY	3 7 5	1 4	5 2 8	6 3	8	0 9	6 5 8	3 8	5 3	5 3	5 3	5 3													
CARCASS ID	1 4 2 1	1 4 8 1	1 3 1 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       1 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	1 4 5 1	1 0 5 1	1 0 4 1	$1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1$	1 0 1 1	1 0 3 1	1 0 6 1	1 0 7 1	1 0 8 1	1 0 9 1	1 1 0 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 3 1	1 1 4 1	1 1 5 1	1 1 6 1	1 1 7 1	1 1 8 1	1 9 1	1 2 0 1	1 2 1 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Gallbladder Intestine large Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine small, duodenum Polyp adenomatous Intestine small, jieum Intestine small, jieum	A A A A A A A A A A A A A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + A A A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ A A A A A A A A A	+ + + + A + M + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ A + + + + + A A A																	
Liver Hepatocellular carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple Hepatocellular adenoma Mesentery Pancreas Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach	A A A A A A	+ [ + + +	X + + + + + +	+ + + + + M	A + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	M + + + + + + +	A + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	A + X + + + + +	++	++	+ I	+++	+ X + +	+++	+ X + +	++++	++++	+ X +	+ M	++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+ X + +
Stomach, glandular CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart	— A		+	+ +	+ + +	+  +	+  +	+  +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenai gland, cortex Adrenai gland, cortex Adrenai gland, medulla Islets, pancreatic Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Thyroid gland	A A A A M M A A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	++++	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + M + +	++++ + M+X+																	
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM Tissue, NOS								+																	
GENITAL SYSTEM Epididymis Penis Preputial gland Prostate Seminal vesicle Testes	A	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + + +	+ ++++	+ + + +			<u> </u>				+	+								+	
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Mediastinal, adenocarcinoma, metastatic, lung Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	A A A A M M	+ M + M	+	++ ++++	 + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + M++	++ + + M+ M+M	++ ++															_		
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Skin		I M			M +	M +	+	M +								+									
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Cranium, adenocarcinoma, metastatic, iung Rib, carcinoma, metastatic, lung	+	· +	+ X X	+	+	+	+	+																	
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+																	
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Adenocarcinoma Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic,	AA		+ + X	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
liver Nose Trachea	A		+ +	I +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Harderian gland Adenoma	_																						+ x		
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, lung Urinary bladder	A		+ X +	+++	+ A	+++	++	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Lymphoma malignant mixed		+	+	x x	×	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

# TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: 0.75 mg/m³(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	7 5 3	TOTAL:
CARCASS ID			1 2 4 1	1 2 5 1	1 2 6 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       2 \\       7 \\       1     \end{array} $	1 2 8 1	1 2 9 1	1 3 0 1	1 3 2 1	1 3 3 1	1 3 4 1	1 3 5 1	1 3 6 1	$\frac{1}{3}$ 7	1 3 8 1	1 3 9 1	1 4 0 1	1 4 1 1	1 4 3 1	1 4 4 1	1 4 6 1	1 4 7 1	1 4 9 1	1 5 0 1	TISSUES TUMORS
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Gallbiadder Intestine large Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine small, duodenum Polyp adenomatous Intestine small, jejunum Intestine small, jejunum Liver Hepatocellular carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Mesentery Pancreas Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach Stomach, glandular	+++	++++	++++	+ + +	++++	++++	+++	+ X X + + +	++++	++++	++++	+ X +++++	+ X + + + +	++++	+ + X + + + +	+++	+ +++	+++	+ X +++++	+++	+ X +++++	+ M +	+++	+ X ++++	+ X + + +	7 5 6 5 5 5 5 5 1 3 2 19 5 2 8 1 7 7 49 49 49 49
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart																										8
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland, cortax Adrenal gland, cortax Adrenal gland, medulla Islets, pancreatic Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Thyroid gland		<u>.                                    </u>												,,												7 7 7 6 1 7 1 7
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM Tissue, NOS																										1
GENITAL SYSTEM Epididymis Preputial gland Prostate Seminal vesicle Testes											+											+			+	7 1 5 7 9 7
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Mediastinal, adenocarcinoma, metastatic, lung Lymph node, mondinal Lymph node, mandibular Spleen		<u> </u>														++	-						+++	•		7 9 1 5 4 8 5
Thymus INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Skin		+									+			<u></u>			+					+				13
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Cranium, adenocarcinoma, metastatic, lung Rib, carcinoma, metastatic, lung		. <u> </u>																								8 1 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain																										7
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Adenocarcinoma Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver	+	+	+ X	+ X	+	+ X	+ X	+	÷	+	+	+	+ X	+	+ X	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+ X	+	+ X	+	7 49 1 7 1
Nose Trachea	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\begin{array}{c}2\\47\\7\end{array}$
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Harderian gland Adenoma																							+ x			22
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, lung Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	49 1 7
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Lymphoma malignant mixed	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	50 3

# TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2: 1.5 $\rm mg/m^3$

														-	_			- 14					-		
DAYS ON STUDY	0	3	5	5 4	5 6	5 7	6 0	6 9	7	7	7	7	5	5	7	7	7	5	7 5	7	7	7	5	7 5	5
0.021	3	ž	$\tilde{2}$	6	š	2	ŏ	4	ī	4	$\frac{5}{1}$	5 1	ĭ	1	5 1	5 1	5 1	5 1	ĭ	5 1	5 1	$\frac{5}{1}$	ĭ	ĭ	ĭ
	2	2	- 2	2	2	5	2	5	2	- 0	2		9	0	2	9		2	2	- 2	2	~	2		2
CARCASS	4	ŝ	2	ã	$\overline{2}$	3	ā	ĩ	$\tilde{2}$	ĩ	õ	õ	õ	õ	õ	õ	õ	õ	õ	ĩ	ī	ĩ	ī	ĩ	ī
ID	3	3	3 1	1	5	7 1	2	0 1	2	2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	3	4	5	6	7
	1	1	T	1	1	T	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM																									
Esophagus Gallbladder	++++	++	Å	+ A	, м	Å	Å	, M	+++	, M	+	+++	_м	++++	+	+	, м	++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	++
Intestine large	1 +	+	÷	Â,	+	- +	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷
Intestine large, cecum	M	м	А	+	+	+	A	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +
Intestine large, colon Intestine large, rectum	+++	+++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	A M	A +	+++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+ +	+
Intestine small	+	÷	Á	Á	÷	+	Å	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+
Intestine small, duodenum	+	+	A	A	+	A	Α	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Intestine small, ileum Intestine small, jejunum	++++	++++	A A	A A	+++	A +	A A	A +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	A +	++	++	++	+++	+ +	+++	++	++	+ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	++	+++	+
Liver	+	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	+	÷
Hemangioma, multiple											х														ł
Hemangiosarcoma, multiple Hepatocellular carcinoma					x					X				x								x			
Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple					л	х		х						A								A			
Hepatocellular adenoma							х											х							
Mesentery Sarcoma, metastatic, stomach			•																						
Pancreas	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+
Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic, spleen	1									х															
Salivary glands Stomach	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	++	+ + +	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Stomach, forestomach		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++	Ŧ	+	+++	+++	++++	+	+	+	÷	+	M	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+
Papilloma squamous																									
Sarcoma Squamous cell carcinoma																									1
Stomach, glandular	+	+	+	+	+	+	А	+	I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ '
Sarcoma, metastatic, stomach																									
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM													,												
Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM																									
Adrenal gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adrenal gland, cortex	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷
Adenoma								+																	
Adrenal gland, medulla Islets, pancreatic	17	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	++	+++	+	÷	+	+	+ +
Parathyroid gland	M	м	М	+	M	M	÷	+	м	M	.+	+	+	М	+	+	M	+	М	М	М	М	+	M	+
Pituitary gland Thyroid gland	M +	+	+++	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+++	+	+	+++	+++
Follicular cell, adenoma	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
																		_							
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None																									
110118	ĺ																								
GENITAL SYSTEM																									
Epididymis Penis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Preputial gland	+								+			+										т			
Prostate	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Seminal vesicle Testes	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	++++	+	+	A +	+++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+	+++++	+	++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++++
		т	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	T	Ŧ	٣	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	т	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	т	Ŧ	T	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	۴.
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM														···-											
Bone marrow Lymph node	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	+++	, M	++	++++	++	++++	+ +	+++	+ M	++	+++	++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++
Lymph node, bronchial	+	+	M	+	M	+	+	+	M		+	M	+	+	+	+	+	+	, M		+	++	+	+	+
Lymph node, mandibular	+	+	+	+	М	М	÷	М	+	++++	+	М	+	+	М	÷	÷	М	+	+ +	М	+	÷	+	+
Spleen Hemangiosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Thymus	м	+	+	+	М	÷	+	М	М	* +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
-				·						· · · ·	· ·				· · · ·			· ·				·		· · ·	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland	м		м	м	м	м	м	М	м	м	м	M	м	м	м	м	м	м	3.6	м	м	м	м	м	м
Skin	- MI +	м +	M +	м +	M +	191	111	111	171	M +	м +	м +	+	M +	M +	M +	M +	+	M +	M +	M +	м +	м +	M +	+
	!												·					·			·				

## TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (Continued)

	-		-	_				-	-	-				-						-						······································
DAYS ON STUDY	5	5	5	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	5	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	5	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	7 5	
51051	ĩ	1	ĩ	1	ĩ	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	í	1	1	1	ĩ	1	ĩ	1	1	1	1	
			-	_	-	-		-	-	-		Ē.,	-	-	-	-	-		-	-			-	-	-	TOTAL:
01 B01 69	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	TISSUES
CARCASS ID	1 8	1 9	2 0	$^{2}_{1}$	$\frac{2}{4}$	$^{2}_{6}$	$\frac{2}{7}$	$^{2}_{8}$	2 9	3 0	3 1	3 4	3 5	3 6	3 8	3 9	4 0	4 2	4 4	4 5	4 6	<b>4</b> 7	4 8	4 9	5 0	TUMORS
10	1	1	1	1	1	1	í	1	1	ĩ	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ĩ	ĩ	1	1	í	ĩ	1	1	
			_	-	_		_	_	_		-	_	-	_	-	-	-		_	_	_		-	_	_	
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM																										
Esophagus Gallbladder	1 +	+	+++	++	Å	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	++	++	+++	+	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	+	+	++	++	+++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	50 40
Intestine large	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	÷	+	+	- +	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	50
Intestine large, cecum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46
Intestine large, colon	+	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+	+	++++	+	+	48
Intestine large, rectum Intestine small	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+	+ +	M +	+ +	++	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	+++	++	++	+++	+	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+++	+++	48 47
Intestine small, duodenum	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	÷	м́	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	44
Intestine small, ileum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	44
Intestine small, jejunum Liver	++++	++	+	+++	++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+	+++	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+++	+	+	+++++	47
Hemangioma, multiple	+	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	÷	Ŧ	+	+	÷	÷	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	÷	Ŧ	50 1
Hemangiosarcoma, multiple																										1
Hepatocellular carcinoma							х																х			5
Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple Hepatocellular adenoma	1	X																		х		х			х	3 5
Mesenterv																				л		<b>A</b>		+	л	
Sarcoma, metastatic, stomach																								x		1
Pancreas	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	50
Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic, spleen Salivary glands	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	L.	+	-	<u>ь</u>	+	+	+	-	+	1 50
Stomach	+	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	+		+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+	+	50
Stomach, forestomach	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ + X	+++	+ +	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	49
Papilloma squamous											х															1
Sarcoma Squamous cell carcinoma	x																							х		
Stomach, glandular	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Sarcoma, metastatic, stomach																								x		1
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM																										
Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
						·											÷									
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM																										
Adrenal gland Adrenal gland, cortex	I	+	+	+	+	+	+++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	49 49
Adenoma	1	+	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	x	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	-	49
Adrenai gland, medulla	I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Islets, pancreatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Parathyroid gland Bituitary gland	++++	M M	M +	M +	+++	++	M +	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	M +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	M +	M +	++	++	M +	M +	М +	М +	M +	++	+ I	+++	+ +	24 46
Pituitary gland Thyroid gland	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Follicular cell, adenoma																			X							1 1
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM															~											
None																										
		_																								
GENITAL SYSTEM																										
Epididymis Penis	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 1
Preputial gland	1	+																								4
Prostate	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Seminal vesicle Testes	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	49
1 29129	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	÷	50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				•••••																						
Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial	+	+++	++	+++	++	+	++	++	+++	++++	M M	+++	++	+	+++	+ M	+++	++++	+	+	+	+++	+++	+	+ +	47 43
Lymph node, bronchiai Lymph node, mandibular	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	++	++	+ M	, M	++	, M	+	M	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++	, M	1M1 +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++	++	, M	, M	+++	, M	43
Spleen	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Hemangiosarcoma	1														х	.,										2
Thymus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	М	+	+	М	+	+	+	М	+	+	43
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM																										
Mammary gland	М	М	М		М	М	М	М	М	М	м	М	М	М			М		М	М		М	М	М	М	
Skin	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
	I																									I

### TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: 1.5 mg/m³ (Continued)

								_			_		_			_							_		
DAYS ON STUDY	0 9 3	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{5}{1}$	5 4 6	5 6 3	5     7     2	6 0 0	6 9 4	7 1 1	7 1 4	7 5 1	$\frac{7}{5}$	7 5 1	$7 \\ 5 \\ 1$	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1						
CARCASS ID	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       4 \\       3 \\       1     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       3 \\       1     \end{array}   $	$2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 1$	$2 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       2 \\       5 \\       1     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       3 \\       7 \\       1     \end{array} $		2 1 0 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       2 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       1 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       0 \\       1 \\       1     \end{array} $	$2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1$	2 0 3 1	2 0 4 1	2 0 5 1	2 0 6 1	2 0 7 1	2 0 8 1	2 0 9 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       1 \\       1 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 1 3 1	2 1 4 1	2 1 5 1	2 1 6 1	$     \frac{2}{1}     7     1   $
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic,	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	++++	+ + X	+++	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++
liver Nose Trachea	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderian gland Adenoma	1	+	A	A	+	A	A	+	+	A	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Carcinoma, metastatic, liver Urinary bladder	+	+++	+++	+	+++	+ X +	+ A	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++++++	+ +	++	+ +	+++
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Lymphoma malignant mixed Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell type	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

## TABLE C2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: 1.5 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	7 5 1	TOTAL:
CARCASS ID	2 1 8 1	2 1 9 1	2 2 0 1	2 2 1 1	2 2 4 1	2 2 6 1	2 2 7 1	2 2 8 1	2 2 9 1	2 3 0 1	2 3 1 1	2 3 4 1	2 3 5 1	2 3 6 1	2 3 8 1	2 3 9 1	2 4 0 1	2 4 2 1	2 4 4 1	2 4 5 1	2 4 6 1	2 4 7 1	2 4 8 1	2 4 9 1	2 5 0 1	TISSUES TUMORS
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alvoolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic,	+ +	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++++	+ + X	+++	+ + x	+++	+ + X	++++	+ + X	++	+++	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ + X	+ + X X	+++	+ +	50 50 9 2
liver Nose Trachea	++	+ +	++	+ +	1 50 50																					
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderian gland Adenoma	+	+	I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	43 2 2
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Carcinoma, metastatic, liver Urinary bladder	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++	+++	+ +	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	50 1 49
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Lymphoma malignant mixed Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell type	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1

	Chamber Control	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Harderian Gland: Adenoma		<u> </u>	
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	2/50 (4%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	14.6%	4.8%	5.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/38 (11%)	2/42 (5%)	2/40 (5%)
Day of First Observation	610	750	750
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.074N	P = 0.116N	P = 0.129N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.080N	P = 0.129N	P = 0.134N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.080 N	P=0.134N	P=0.134N
iver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
Overall Rates (e)	4/49 (8%)	(f) 8/19 (42%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10.5%		12.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/38 (11%)		4/40 (10%)
Day of First Observation	750		600
Life Table Test (d)			P = 0.525
Logistic Regression Test (d)			P = 0.501
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.513
iver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (e)	14/49 (29%)	(f) 7/19 (37%)	8/50 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	30.9%		18.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	7/38 (18%)		5/40 (13%)
Day of First Observation Life Table Test (d)	484		563 P=0.122N
Logistic Regression Test (d)			P = 0.122N P = 0.100N
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.103N
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (e)	18/49 (37%)	(f) 14/19 (74%)	13/50 (26%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	39.8%		29.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	11/38 (29%)		9/40 (23%)
Day of First Observation	484		563
Life Table Test (d)			P = 0.192N
Logistic Regression Test (d)			P = 0.175N P = 0.175N
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.175N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma	7/49 (1 40)	7/40 (140)	0/50 (194)
Overall Rates (e) Adjusted Rates (b)	7/ <b>49</b> (14%)	7/ <b>49</b> (14%) 16.7%	9/50 (18%) 22.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	17.0% 5/38 (13%)	16.7% 7/42 (17%)	22.5% 9/40 (23%)
Day of First Observation	666	750	750
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.371	P = 0.549N	P = 0.427
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.330	P = 0.601 N	P = 0.386
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.355		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.613N	P = 0.410
ung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (e)	7/49 (14%)	1/49 (2%)	2/50 (4%)
	17 00	2.4%	5.0%
Adjusted Rates (b)	17.9%		
Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	6/38 (16%)	1/42 (2%)	2/40 (5%)
Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Day of First Observation	6/38 (16%) 738	750	750
Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Day of First Observation Life Table Tests (d)	6/38 (16%) 738 P=0.030N	750 P=0.024N	750 P = 0.072N
Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Day of First Observation	6/38 (16%) 738	750	750

## TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 $\,$

	Chamber Control	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or (	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (e)	14/49 (29%)	9/49 (18%)	10/50 (20%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	33.8%	20.7%	25.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	11/38 (29%)	8/42 (19%)	10/40 (25%)
Day of First Observation	666	528	750
Life Table Tests (d)		P = 0.125N	P = 0.208N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.168N P = 0.199N	P = 0.125N P = 0.160N	P = 0.208 N P = 0.246 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)		P = 0.1601	F=0.2401
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.184N	P = 0.170N	P = 0.224N
Circulatory System: Hemangioma or Hem	angiosarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%) (	(f,g) 0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.6%	0.0%	7.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/38 (3%)	0/42 (0%)	2/40 (5%)
Day of First Observation	750	0, 12 (0,00)	714
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.183	P = 0.480N	P = 0.321
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.173	P = 0.480N	P = 0.302
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.176	1 -0.40014	1 - 0.002
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.170	D-0 500N	P = 0.309
		P = 0.500 N	r - 0.009
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All M			
Overall Rates (a)		(f,g) 3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.5%	6.5%	4.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/38(5%)	1/42 (2%)	1/40 (3%)
Day of First Observation	694	563	382
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.403N	P = 0.635N	P = 0.484N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.427 N	P = 0.640	P = 0.507 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.412N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.661N	P = 0.500 N
All Sites: Benign Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	18/50 (36%)	17/50 (34%)	17/50 (34%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	42.4%	38.6%	41.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	14/38 (37%)	15/42 (36%)	16/40 (40%)
Day of First Observation	610	658	600
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.403N	P = 0.387N	P = 0.445N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.465N	P = 0.471 N	P=0.505N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.458N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500 N	P = 0.500 N
All Sites: Malignant Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	22/50 (44%)	11/50 (22%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	47.8%	23.7%	33.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	14/38 (37%)	7/42 (17%)	10/40 (25%)
Day of First Observation	484	528	382
Life Table Tests (d)			
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.087N P = 0.082N	P = 0.016N P = 0.016N	P = 0.114N P = 0.106N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.082N	F - 0.010M	r -0.1001
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.082N	P = 0.016N	P = 0.107 N
		1 -0.01014	1 -0.10114
All Sites: All Tumors			
Overall Rates (a)	31/50 (62%)	24/50 (48%)	30/50 (60%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	66.0%	51.0%	65.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	22/38 (58%)	19/42 (45%)	24/40 (60%)
Terminar Mates (C)		528	382
Day of First Observation	484	020	004
Day of First Observation Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.397 N	P = 0.081 N	P = 0.426N
Day of First Observation			

### TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

۲. ب
#### TABLE C3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined grossly at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group than in controls is indicated by (N).

(e) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined microscopically at the site

(f) Incomplete sampling of tissues

<sup>(</sup>c) Observed tumor incidence in animals killed at the end of the study

<sup>(</sup>g) Nineteen livers, eight spleens, and nine lymph nodes were examined microscopically.

	Chambe	r Control	0.75 r	ng/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	g/m <sup>3</sup>
DISPOSITION SUMMARY						
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Early deaths	00		•••			
Dead	7		4		5	
Moribund	5		4		5	
Survivors	v		-		Ū	
Terminal sacrifice	38		42		40	
Animals examined microscopically	50		50		50	
LIMENTARY SYSTEM						
Gallbladder	(39)		(5)		(40)	
Infarct	1	(3%)				
Necrosis		(3%)				
Intestine large, rectum	(47)	(- ···,	(5)		(48)	
Hemorrhage	, .	(2%)	(			
Intestine small, duodenum	(44)		(5)		(44)	
Developmental malformation	(/		(2)			(2%)
Hyperplasia						(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative						(2%)
Intestine small, ileum	(43)		(3)		(44)	()
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	<b>x</b> ·	(2%)	(0)		()	
Liver	(49)	(2,0)	(19)		(50)	
Angiectasis	( )	(2%)	(10)			(2%)
Clear cell focus		(4%)			1	(2 10)
	2	(470)			1	(2%)
Eosinophilic focus		(10)		(EC)	1	(270)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	2	(4%)	I	(5%)	•	(901)
Hepatodiaphragmatic nodule	0	(10)	0	(100)		(2%)
Hyperplasia		( <b>4%</b> )	3	(16%)		(4%)
Infarct		(4%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, granulomatous	1	(2%)				(00)
Inflammation, suppurative						(2%)
Leukocytosis		(2%)				(2%)
Necrosis	2	(4%)				(2%)
Mesentery			(1)		(1)	
Artery, thrombus				(100%)		
Pancreas	(49)		(7)		(50)	
Acinar cell, hypertrophy	1	(2%)				
Stomach, forestomach	(47)		(45)		(49)	
Acanthosis		(4%)				
Inflammation, suppurative	-				1	(2%)
Stomach, glandular	(49)		(49)		(48)	,
Erosion		(4%)		(2%)	(13)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(2%)		(2%)	1	(2%)
Epithelium, hyperplasia	1	\		(2%)		(2%)
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM	/ # A.		(0)		(20)	
Heart	(50)	(0~)	(8)		(50)	
Cardiomyopathy	1	(2%)				(0~)
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	······································	····				
Adrenal gland	(49)		(7)		(49)	
Capsule, hyperplasia		(84%)		(57%)		(69%)
Adrenal gland, cortex	(49)		(7)		(49)	
Cyst		(2%)				
Cytomegaly		(20%)			6	(12%)
Hyperplasia		(2%)			v	· / • /

#### TABLE C4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2

	Chambe	r Control	0.75 r	ng/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	g/m <sup>3</sup>
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)	••••••					
Adrenal gland, medulla	(49)		(7)		(49)	
Karyomegaly						(2%)
Vacuolization cytoplasmic					1	(2%)
Parathyroid gland	(20)		(1)		(24)	
Cyst						(4%)
Pituitary gland	(46)		(7)		(46)	
Cyst					1	(2%)
Thyroid gland	(48)		(7)		(50)	
Cyst					1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative					1	(2%)
Follicular cell, hyperplasia	4	(8%)	2	(29%)	6	(12%)
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None						
GENITAL SYSTEM						
Epididymis	(46)		(7)		(49)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(2%)	(1)		(10)	
Penis	(5)	(,	(1)		(1)	
Concretion		(40%)	~=/		(-)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(80%)	1	(100%)	1	(100%)
Necrosis	-			(100%)	-	
Preputial gland	(4)		(5)	•	(4)	
Cyst		(50%)		(60%)		(25%)
Hyperplasia			1	(20%)		
Inflammation, chronic	1	(25%)	1	(20%)		
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(25%)	3	(60%)	3	(75%)
Prostate	(48)		(7)		(49)	
Inflammation, suppurative	4	(8%)	1	(14%)	2	(4%)
Seminal vesicle	(47)		(9)		(49)	
Dilatation	1	(2%)	1	(11%)		(4%)
Inflammation, suppurative	3	(6%)	1	(11%)	2	(4%)
Testes	(48)		(7)		(50)	
Atrophy	9	(19%)	2	(29%)	5	(10%)
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(14%)		
Mineralization	2	(4%)				
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
Bone marrow	(49)		(7)		(50)	
Hyperplasia			1	(14%)		
Myelofibrosis	2	(4%)				
Lymph node	(48)		(9)		(47)	
Inguinal, hematopoietic cell proliferation		(2%)				
Mesenteric, angiectasis	1	(2%)				
Mesenteric, hematopoietic cell proliferation				(22%)		
Spleen	(49)		(8)		(50)	
Atrophy	$^{2}$	(4%)				
Fibrosis	1	(2%)				
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	3	(6%)	2	(25%)		(12%)
Thrombus					1	(2%)
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
Skin	(50)		(13)		(50)	
Acanthosis		(2%)			1	(2%)
Hemorrhage						(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative		(8%)		(23%)		(12%)
Ulcer	2	(4%)	1	(8%)	1	(2%)

## TABLE C4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

C	Chambe	er Control	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	ng/m³
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
Skeletal muscle	(2)					
Hemorrhage		(50%)				
NERVOUS SYSTEM					·	
Brain	(49)		(7)		(50)	
Mineralization		(35%)		(14%)		(32%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
Larynx	(49)		(7)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative		(2%)			(,	
Lung	(49)		(49)		(50)	
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Inflammation, granulomatous	1	(2%)				
Leukocytosis		(4%)		(2%)		(4%)
Alveolus, hyperplasia		(12%)		(6%)		(4%)
Alveolus, infiltration cellular, histiocytic		(4%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)
Alveolus, inflammation, suppurative		(2%)				
Nose	(50)	(07)	(47)	(00)	(50)	
Foreign body Inflammation, suppurative		(2%)		(2%)	0.0	ACON
Nasolacrimal duct, inflammation, suppurative		(6%)		(34%)	23	(46%)
Olfactory epithelium, atrophy	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Respiratory epithelium, hyperplasia	1	(2%)	8	(17%)		(2%)
Respiratory epithelium, metaplasia, squamous		(4%)		(26%)		(48%)
Trachea	(49)	(1,0)	(7)	(20,0)	(50)	(10,0)
Inflammation, suppurative	()		(.,			(2%)
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Eye	(41)				(43)	
Cornea, inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)				
Retina, vacuolization cytoplasmic	3	(7%)			5	(12%)
URINARY SYSTEM						
Kidney	(49)		(49)		(50)	
Cyst Infiltration cellular, polymorphonuclear,						(8%)
diffuse Inflammation, suppurative		(90)		(90)		(2%)
Mineralization	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(2%) (4%)
Nephropathy, chronic	42	(88%)	40	(82%)		(4%) (94%)
Artery, inflammation	40	(30 %)	<b>₩</b> U	(02/0)		(34%) (2%)
Pelvis, inflammation, suppurative	4	(8%)	2	(4%)		(2%)
Urinary bladder	(48)	( <b>v</b> / <b>v</b> /	(7)		(49)	
Dilatation				(14%)		
Hemorrhage			-		1	(2%)
Hyperplasia	3	(6%)	2	(29%)		(6%)
Inflammation, suppurative		(10%)		(29%)		(6%)
Metaplasia, squamous		(2%)				
Ulcer	1	(2%)			1	(2%)

### TABLE C4. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

CS2, NTP TR 377

#### APPENDIX D

## SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2

		PAGE
TABLE D1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	145
TABLE D2	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	148
TABLE D3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	160
TABLE D4a	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ANTERIOR PITUITARY GLAND NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	164
TABLE D4b	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTERMEDIA PITUITARY GLAND NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE	165
TABLE D4c	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE $\mathrm{B6C3F}_1$ MICE	166
TABLE D5	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2	167

CS2, NTP TR 377

	Chambe	er Control	0.75 1	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	g/m <sup>3</sup>
DISPOSITION SUMMARY						
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Early deaths						
Dead	9		5		7	
Moribund	8		5		3	
Survivors						
Terminal sacrifice	33		40		40	
Animals examined microscopically	50		50		50	
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM				····		
Esophagus	(50)		(10)		(47)	
Gallbladder	(42)		(5)		(40)	
Intestine large, cecum	(44)		(7)		(43)	
Leiomyosarcoma				(14%)		
Intestine large, colon	(46)		(9)		(44)	
Intestine large, rectum	(47)		(9)		(45)	
Intestine small, duodenum	(43)		(7)		(44)	
Intestine small, ileum	(44)		(9)		(44)	
Intestine small, jejunum	(43)		(9)		(43)	
Liver	(50)		(15)		(49)	
Hepatocellular carcinoma	7	(14%)		(7%)		(8%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple		(0.0)		(7%)		(4%)
Hepatocellular adenoma		(8%)		(13%)	3	(6%)
Mesentery Pancreas	(4)		(1)		(10)	
Salivary glands	(50)		(11)		(49)	
Stomach, forestomach	(50)		(10) (48)		(49)	
Papilloma squamous	(48)	(4%)		(8%)	(47)	
Squamous cell carcinoma		(2%)	4	(070)		
Stomach, glandular	(49)		(48)		(47)	
Adenoma	(40)		(40)			(2%)
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM	<u> </u>				·····	
Heart	(50)		(10)		(49)	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
Adrenal gland	(50)		(10)		(49)	
Osteosarcoma, metastatic, bone	1	(2%)				
Adrenal gland, cortex	(50)		(10)		(49)	
Adrenal gland, medulla	(49)		(10)		(48)	
Pheochromocytoma benign		(4%)				
Islets, pancreatic	(50)		(9)		(48)	
Pituitary gland	(47)		(46)	(***	(46)	
Pars distalis, adenoma	13	(28%)	5	(11%)		(2%)
Pars intermedia, adenoma						(6%)
Thyroid gland	(49)		(49)	(10)	(49)	(10)
Follicular cell, adenoma	2	(4%)		( <b>4%</b> )	2	(4%)
Follicular cell, adenoma, multiple			1	(2%)		

#### TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2

GENERAL BODY SYSTEM

None

	Chambe	er Control	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	ıg∕m³
GENITAL SYSTEM						
Ovary	(50)		(20)		(49)	
Adenoma		(2%)	(=0)			
Hemangioma		(_ / / /	1	(5%)		
Teratoma	1	(2%)	-	(0.07)	1	(2%)
Teratoma malignant	-	(2,0)	1	(5%)	-	(=)
Uterus	(49)		(15)	(• /• /	(48)	
Hemangioma	• •	(2%)		(7%)		(2%)
Hemangiosarcoma		(2%)	1	(1,0)	1	(2,0)
Histiocytic sarcoma	1	(2)0)			1	(2%)
Leiomyoma	1	(2%)			1	(2,70)
Polyp, adenoid		· · · · ·				
		(2%)		(70)		
Polyp stromal	Z	(4%)		(7%)		
Sarcoma stromal			1	(7%)	745	
Vagina					(1)	(100~
Polyp					1	(100%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM		······	······································	<u></u>		
Bone marrow	(50)		(10)		(49)	
Lymph node					(49)	
	(50)		(15)	(70)	(40)	
Teratoma, NOS, metastatic, ovary		(00)	1	(7%)		
Pancreatic, sarcoma	1	(2%)				
Lymph node, bronchial	(48)		(11)		(46)	
Lymph node, mandibular	(44)		(11)		(38)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, skin		(2%)				
Spleen	(50)		(19)		(49)	
Sarcoma		(2%)				
Thymus	(46)		(10)		(46)	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
Mammary gland	(45)		(10)		(47)	
Adenocarcinoma		(7%)		(10%)	(111)	
Skin		(170)		(10%)	(40)	
	(50)	(90)	(17)		(49)	
Fibrosarcoma		(2%)				
Hemangiosarcoma		(2%)				
Papilloma		(2%)				
Sarcoma		(2%)				
Squamous cell carcinoma	1	(2%)				
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM					····	
Bone	(50)		(12)		(49)	
Cranium, osteosarcoma	(00)			(8%)		(2%)
Vertebra, osteosarcoma	1	(90)	1	(070)	1	(470)
skeletal musele		(2%)				
Skeletal muscle	(1)					
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
Brain	(50)		(11)		(49)	
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	(		(10)		(47)	
Larynx	(50)		(10)		(47)	
Lung	(50)		(17)		(49)	( <b>a</b> <del>m</del> )
Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, harderian glar		(4%)			1	(2%)
Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, mammary gla		(2%)				
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma		(8%)	2	(12%)		(4%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma		(2%)				(2%)

### TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

#### TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

	Chambe	er Control	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	ng/m <sup>3</sup>
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				·		
Lung (Continued)	(50)		(17)		(49)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, liver	2	(4%)				
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic, skin	1	(2%)				
Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic, uterus	1	(2%)				
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic, skin	1	(2%)				
Bronchus, alveolus, adenoma			1	(6%)		
Mediastinum, hemangioma			1	(6%)		
Nose	(50)		(49)		(49)	
Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, harderian glan	id 1	(2%)				
Trachea	(50)		(10)		(48)	
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM						
Harderian gland	(4)		(2)		(1)	
Adenocarcinoma		(50%)	(2)			(100%)
Adenoma		(50%)	2	(100%)	-	(100,0)
				······.		
URINARY SYSTEM						
Kidney	(49)		(13)		(49)	
Osteosarcoma, metastatic, bone	1	(2%)				
Urinary bladder	(48)		(8)		(47)	
SYSTEMIC LESIONS		<u></u>	11 <b>1</b>			
Multiple organs	*(50)		*(50)		*(50)	
Histiocytic sarcoma	(,		(,		(,	(2%)
Lymphoma malignant	2	(4%)			-	(= / • /
Lymphoma malignant histiocytic		(4%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Lymphoma malignant mixed		(12%)	-	(18%)		(8%)
Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell		(22%)	-	(2%)		(6%)
TUMOR SUMMARY						
Total animals with primary neoplasms **	46		27		27	
Total primary neoplasms	40 80		43		33	
Total animals with benign neoplasms	23		43 17		13	
Total benign neoplasms	23 37		23		13	
Total animals with malignant neoplasms	37		23 17		15	
Total malignant neoplasms	35 43		20		18	
Total animals with secondary neoplasms ***	43				18	
i voai ammais with secondary neoplasins	12		1		1	

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 \*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 \*\*\* Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

## TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARINHALATION STUDY OF CS2: CHAMBER CONTROL

DAYS ON STUDY	2 6 4	3 8 2	4 5 2	4 6 5	5 5 3	6 1 6	6 2 6	6 6 9	6 8 0	6 9 3	6 9 4	7 1 0	7 1 0	7 1 6	7 2 4	7 2 8	7 4 1	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0
CARCASS ID	0 9 4 1	0 9 2 1	0 8 2 1	0 9 6 1	0 8 7 1	0 9 1 1	0 9 8 1	0 6 4 1	0 7 3 1	0 7 2 1	0 9 0 1	0 5 5 1	0 6 9 1	0 5 4 1	0 9 7 1	0 6 1 1	0 8 1 1	0 5 1 1	0 5 2 1	0 5 3 1	0 5 6 1	0 5 7 1	0 5 8 1	0 5 9 1	0 6 0 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Esophagus Gallbladder Intestine large	A +	+++	+++	++++	Å A	Å A	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Å +	+++	Å +	+ +	Å +	+++	+ +	Å +	Å +	+++	+++	+++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+++
Intestine large, cecum	M	М	+	+	Α	Α	+	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	Α	÷	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+
Intestine large, colon Intestine large, rectum	+++	A +	++	+++	A A	A A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	A +	+++	++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+ M	+++
Intestine small	+ A	+	+++	+++	A A	A A	+ +	+ +	Α	+++	Α	+	A	++++	+	++++	Å	+	+++	+++	+	+	++++	+++	+ + +
Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, ileum	+	+++	+	+	A	Α	+	+	A A	+ +	A A	+ +	Ă A	+	+ +	+ +	A A	+ +	+	+	+ +	++++	+	+	+
Intestine small, jejunum Liver	+++	+++++	++++	++++	A +	A +	+ +	+++	A +	+ +	A +	++++	A +	+ +	+++	A +	A +	+	+++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++++	+++
Hepatocellular carcinoma					•	·	x	,		'			*	x		x				x				•	
Hepatocellular adenoma Mesentery																+	X		+				X +		
Pancreas Selivary glands	+	+++	++	+	+++	+ +	++	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+++	+	÷	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +
Salivary glands Stomach	++++++	+	+	+ +	Α	+	+	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+++	++	++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	++	+ +	+	++	+
Stomach, forestomach Papilloma squamous	+	+	+	М	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Squamous cell carcinoma																									
Stomach, glandular	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	·														·····	·····									
Adrenal gland Osteosarcoma, metastatic, bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, medulia	+	+ M	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pheochromocytoma benign																		,		,			1	,	
Islets, pancreatic Parathyroid gland	, m	, M	, M	, м	+ M	, M	++	+++	, м	, M	, M	+++	, M	++	, M	, M	, м	+ M	+ м	+ M	+ M	++	+ M	+ м	, м
Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma	+	1	М	*	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	I	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Thyroid gland Follicular cell, adenoma	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None	·													<b></b>											
GENITAL SYSTEM	.																								
Ovary Adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ v	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Teratoma																									
Uterus Hemangioma	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hemangiosarcoma Leiomyoma											X														
Polyp, adenoid																									
Polyp stromal							х																		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow		+	+	+		±	+	-	<u>ب</u> د	<u> </u>	<u>ــ</u>	-				.د	-	+	1	+	+	4	4	1	
Lymph node	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+
Pancreatic, sarcoma Lymph node, bronchial	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	м	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lymph node, mandibular Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic,	M	М	+	+	+	+	M	+	+	M	M	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	÷	+	÷	÷	+	+
skin Spleen	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sarcoma		•											'	'	T		τ.	·-	r.	r		1.			
Thymus	+	М	М	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	м	+		+		<u>ــ</u>	4	м	ـــ		м	لم	_ل						м		 		<u>т</u>	
Adenocarcinoma	+	TAT	Ŧ	Ŧ	x	Ŧ	x	Ŧ	141	Ŧ	Ŧ	TAT	x	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	IAT	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т
Skin	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
								••																	
Fibrosarcoma Hemangiosarcoma																									
Fibrosarcoma		x													x										

+: Tissue examined microscopically : Not examined -: Present but not examined microscopically I: Insufficient tissue

M: Missing A: Autolysis precludes examination X: Incidence of listed morphology

TABLE D2.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLO	Y OF	FEMALE MICE:	CHAMBER CONTROL
				(Contin	ied)		

DAYS ON STUDY	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	TOTAL:
CARCASS ID	0 6 2 1	0 6 3 1	0 6 5 1	0 6 6 1	0 6 7 1	0 6 8 1	0 7 0 1	0 7 1 1	0 7 4 1	0 7 5 1	0 7 6 1	0 7 7 1	0 7 8 1	0 7 9 1	0 8 0 1	0 8 3 1	0 8 4 1	0 8 5 1	0 8 6 1	0 8 8 1	0 8 9 1	0 9 3 1	0 9 5 1	0 9 9 1	1 0 0 1	TISSUES TUMORS
LIMENTARY SYSTEM	-																									
Csophagus Fallbladder	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++++	+++	+++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++	50 42
ntestine large	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	42 48
ntestine large, cecum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	44
itestine large, colon itestine large, rectum	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+ +	+++	+++	+	+	++++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++++	46
itestine small	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+ +	44
itestine small, duodenum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++++	+	+	+	+	43
itestine small, ileum itestine small, jejunum	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	++	+ +	++	+++	+ +	+++++	+++	· + +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++++	++++	+ +	++	++	+++	+++	+ +	44
ver	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	50
Hepatocellular carcinoma Hepatocellular adenoma esentery			х		X	+				x											x					7 4 4
ancreas	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
alivary glands	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
omach omach, forestomach	+++++	++++	+	+	++++	+	+++	++++	++	+	+++	+	++++	+ + +	+	++++	++	+++	+++	+++	+	+++	+	+	+	49 48
Papilloma squamous	1	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	т	т		т	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	x	T	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	7	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	2
Squamous cell carcinoma comach, glandular	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X X +	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	1 49
ARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM eart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
NDOCRINE SYSTEM																										
irenal gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Osteosarcoma, metastatic, bone																						х				1
irenal giand, cortex irenal giand, medulla	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+++	50 49
Pheochromocytoma benign	- T		Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	x	x	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	45
lets, pancreatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ M		++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
arathyroid gland ituitary gland	+++++	M +	M +	м +	M +	M +	+	M +	+++	M +	M	M +	++	M	М	M +	м +	+++++	++	++	M	M +	+++	+++	M +	14 47
Pars distalis, adenoma	T	Ŧ	x	Ŧ	τ.	x	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	x	* X	Ŧ	Ŧ	*	* x	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	x	Ŧ	*	т	x	x	-	13
hyroid gland Follicular cell, adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	49 2
ENERAL BODY SYSTEM None														-,												-
ENITAL SYSTEM																	•••									•
vary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Adenoma															••											1
Teratoma terus	1 +	+	4	+	+	±	÷.		+	+	+	+	+	т.	X	+	Ŧ	1	+	<u>т</u>	+	+	4	1	-	1 49
Hemangioma	1 '		,	,	'			*			т		,	т		'	т	1	1	x x	'	1-	+	т	F	1
Hemangiosarcoma																										1
Leiomyoma Polyp, adenoid								х									X									
Polyp stromal		Х						a																		2
EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	1 +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
ymph node	++	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	50
Pancreatic, sarcoma	1.					• •								X												1
ymph node, bronchial ymph node, mandibular	++++	+	+++	+	+	м +	+	+	+	+	+	++	+ м	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	48 44
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic,	Ť	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	τ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	141	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	-1-1
skin																										1
oleen Serrome	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ¥	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Sarcoma 1ymus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X M	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 46
			·		·												,							a.,		
TEGUMENTARY SYSTEM																										
ammary gland Adenocarcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	M	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	45 3
a subsect of the state of the s	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
kin	1										,															1
Fibrosarcoma																					X					1 1
Fibrosarcoma Hemangiosarcoma																										1
kin Fibrosarcoma Hemangiosarcoma Papilloma Sarcoma																							x			

### TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: CHAMBER CONTROL (Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	2 6 4	3 8 2	4 5 2	4 6 5	5 5 3	6 1 6	6 2 6	6 6 9	6 8 0	6 9 3	6 9 4	7 1 0	7 1 0	7 1 6	7 2 4	7 2 8	7 4 1	7 5 0							
CARCASS ID	0 9 4 1	0 9 2 1	0 8 2 1	0 9 6 1	0 8 7 1	0 9 1 1	0 9 8 1	0 6 4 1	0 7 3 1	0 7 2 1	0 9 0 1	0 5 5 1	0 6 9 1	0 5 4 1	0 9 7 1	0 6 1 1	0 8 1 1	0 5 1 1	0 5 2 1	0 5 3 1	0 5 6 1	0 5 7 1	0 5 8 1	0 5 9 1	0 6 0 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Vertebra, osteosarcoma Skeletal muscle	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, harderian gland Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, mammary	+++	++++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ + x	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++
giand Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Carcinoma, metastatic, liver Fibrosarcoma, metastatic, skin Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic, uterus Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic,							x	x		x	x									x					
skin Nose Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, harderian	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
gland Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderian gland Adenocarcinoma Adenoma	A	+	+	+	A	A	I	÷	A + X	+	+	I	A	+	+	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Osteosarcoma, metastatic, bone	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	A	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Lymphoma malignant Lymphoma malignant histiocytic	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lýmphoma malignant mixed Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell type			x					x				X						х			x	x			x

#### TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: CHAMBER CONTROL (Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 0	7 5 .0	7 5 0	TOTAL:																	
CARCASS ID	0 6 2 1	0 6 3 1	0 6 5 1	0 6 6 1	0 6 7 1	0 6 8 1	0 7 0 1	0 7 1 1	0 7 4 1	0 7 5 1	0 7 6 1	0 7 7 1	0 7 8 1	0 7 9 1	0 8 0 1	0 8 3 1	0 8 4 1	0 8 5 1	0 8 6 1	0 8 8 1	0 8 9 1	0 9 3 1	0 9 5 1	0 9 9 1	1 0 0 1	TISSUES
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Vertebra, osteosarcoma Skeletal muscle	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	50 1 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, harderian giand Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, mammary	+++	+ +	++	++++	+ + X	++	+++	++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	50 50 2
gland Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Carcinoma, metastatic, liver Fibrosarcoma, metastatic, skin Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic, uterus Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic,			x					x				x		x			x									1 4 1 2 1 1
skin Nose Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, harderian	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 50
gland Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	• +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	1 50
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Eye Harderian gland Adenocarcinoma Adenoma	+	+	I	+	+ + X	+	I	I	+	+	+	+	I	I	+	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+ + x	+	I	I	+	33 4 2 2
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Osteosarcoma, metastatic, bone	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ¥	+	+	+	49 1
Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Lymphoma malignant Lymphoma malignant histiocytic Lymphoma malignant mixed	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+ x	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	50 2 2 6
Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell type								X				x			x	x					x			x	x	11

### TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2: 0.75 $\rm mg/m^3$

DAYS ON STUDY	0 5 3	2 6 8	6 4 0	6 5 9	7 0 1	7 0 1	7 1 0	7 1 0	7 3 0	7 3 6	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2
CARCASS ID	1 9 6 1	1 7 7 1	1 5 8 1	1 7 3 1	1 5 4 1	1 9 5 1	1 6 7 1	1 7 9 1	2 0 0 1	$\frac{1}{5}$ 2 1	1 5 1 1	1 5 3 1	1 5 5 1	1 5 6 1	1 5 7 1	1 5 9 1	1 6 0 1	1 6 1 1	1 6 2 1	1 6 3 1	1 6 4 1	1 6 5 1	1 6 6 1	1 6 8 1	1 6 9 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Gallbladder Intestine large Intestine large, cecum Leiomyosarcoma Intestine large, colon Intestine smail Intestine smail, duodenum Intestine smail, ieum Intestine smail, jejunum Liver Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple Hepatocellular carcinoma	+ M + M + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++M +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ A + A + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++ +++++++	+A++ +++A+++	+M++ +++++++++	+ + X	,					+AAA AAAAAA+						+ X		
Mesentery Pancreas Salivary glands Stomach forestomach Papilloma squamous Stomach, glandular Tooth	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+ + + + + <b>A</b>	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + X +	A A A A	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++ +	+ + + X +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + X +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+++++	4 + + +	+++++	+ + +
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+							+								
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, medulla Islets, pancreatic Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Thyroid gland Follicular ceil, adenoma, multiple	+ + + + + M +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + M + +	+ + + + + + + + + + +	A A A A A A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + M + + +	++++M+ +	++++++×+	+ x +	M +	++	++	++	++	+++++++	++	++	+++	++	++	+ +	+++	++
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None														-											
CENITAL SYSTEM Ovary Hemangioma Teratoma malignant Uterus Hemangioma Polyp stromal Sarcoma stromal	+ X +	+	+	+ + X	+ +	A A	++	+ +	+ +	* * +			+				+	+	+		+	_			
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Teratoma, NOS, metastatic, ovary Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	+ + X + + + + + +	++ ++++	++++++	+ + + + MM +	++ ++++	A A A M A A	++++++	++++++	++++++	++ ++ M					+		+++++++	+			-	_			+
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma Skin	M +	+ +	++	++	+ +	A A	++	+ +	++	+ +			+				++		+	+				+	

## TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 0.75 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	752	7 5 2	7 5 2	752	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	752	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	
CARCASS	1 7	1 7	17	1 7	1 7	1 7	1 7	1 8	1 8	1 8	1 8	1 8	1 8	1 8	1 8	1 8	1 8	1 9	1 9	1 9	1 9	1 9	1 9	1 9	1 9	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
CARCASS ID	0 1	i 1	2 1	4 1	5 1	6 1	8 1	0 1	1 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	3 1	4 1	5 1	6 1	7 1	8 1	9 1	0 1	1 1	2 1	3 1	4 1	7 1	8 1	9 1	
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Gallbladder Intestine large Intestine large, cecum Leiomyosarcoma Intestine large, colon Intestine large, colon Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, leum Intestine small, leum Intestine small, jejunum Liver Hepatocellular carcinoma Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple Hepatocellular carcinoma Mesentery Pancreas Salivary glands Stomach, forestomach Papilloma squamous Stomach, glandular	+++++++	+++++	+++++	+ I +	++ +	++ ++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +	++ +	+ X + + +	++ +	++ +	++++++	+ X ++ +	+ + X +	++++	++ +	++++	++++	++++	+ +++	++++	+ X + + +	++ +	++++	10 5 10 7 1 9 9 9 9 7 9 9 9 9 9 7 5 1 5 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 49 48 4 48
Tooth CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM																										1
Heart ENDOCRINE SYSTEM								_																		10
Adrenai giand Adrenai giand, cortex Adrenai giand, medulla Islets, pancreatic Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Para distalis, adenoma Thyroid gland Follicular cell, adenoma Follicular cell, adenoma, multiple	++	+ X +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ X + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	M +	+ +	+ +	+ X + X	+ + X	+ +	10 10 9 5 46 5 49 2 1
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM Node																										
GENITAL SYSTEM Ovary Hemangioma Teratoma malignant Uterus Hemangioma Polyp stromal Sarcoma stromal		+			+	+		+ + X		+ X	+		<b>i</b>	+		+		+			÷				+	20 1 15 1 1 1 1
HEMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Teratoma, NOS, metastatic, ovary Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus		+	+					+		++++++				+			+			+	+++++		+ + +			10 15 1 11 11 19 10
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma Skin								+				*					+					+				10 1 17

## TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 0.75 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	0 5 3	2 6 8	6 4 0	6 5 9	7 0 1	7 0 1	7 1 0	7 1 0	7 3 0	7 3 6	7 5 2														
CARCASS ID	1 9 6 1	1 7 7 1	1 5 8 1	1 7 3 1	1 5 4 1	1 9 5 1	1 6 7 1	1 7 9 1	2 0 0 1	1 5 2 1	1 5 1 1	1 5 3 1	1 5 5 1	1 5 6 1	1 5 7 1	1 5 9 1	1 6 0 1	1 6 1 1	1 6 2 1	1 6 3 1	1 6 4 1	1 6 5 1	1 6 6 1	1 6 8 1	1 6 9 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Cranium, osteosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+						* X	+								
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Spinal cord	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+						-	+								
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Bronchus, alveolus, adenoma Mediastinum, hemangioma Nose Trachea	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	,	+++++		,			+++++		++++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Harderian gland Adenoma																									
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		+	+ +	+ M	A A	++++	+ +	+++	++++							+								
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Lymphoma malignant histiocytic Lymphoma malignant mixed Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell type	+		- + X	+	+ X	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x x	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

#### TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 0.75 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	7 5 2	$\frac{7}{5}$	7 5 2	$\frac{7}{5}$	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 2		7 5 2	TOTAL:													
CARCASS ID	1 7 0 1	1 7 1 1	1 7 2 1	1 7 4 1	1 7 5 1	1 7 6 1	1 7 8 1	1 8 0 1	1 8 1 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       8 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	1 8 3 1	1 8 4 1	1 8 5 1	1 8 6 1	1 8 7 1	1 8 8 1	1 8 9 1	1 9 0 1	1 9 1 1	1 9 2 1	1 9 3 1	1 9 4 1	1 9 7 1	1 9 8 1	1 9 9 1	TISSUES TUMORS
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Cranium, osteosarcoma			- <u></u>																							12 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Spinal cord																							_			11 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Bronchus, alveolus, adenoma Mediastinum, hemangioma Nose Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+ x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+ X +	10 17 2 1 1 49 10
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Harderian gland Adenoma														+ x			* X									2 2
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder										+								+					+			13 8
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Lymphoma malignant histiocytic Lymphoma malignant mixed Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell type	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+ X	+	+	50 3 9 1

### TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARINHALATION STUDY OF CS2: 1.5 mg/m³

DAYS ON STUDY	2 1 6	5 4 4	6 1 6	6 9 4	6 9 8	7 1 0	7 1 0	7 1 0	7 3 7	7 4 6	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9
CARCASS ID	2 7 0 1	2 9 0 1	2 8 5 1	2 6 1 1	2 8 8 1	2 5 2 1	2 5 3 1	2 6 0 1	2 7 8 1	2 6 5 1	2 5 1 1	2 5 4 1	2 5 5 1	2 5 6 1	2 5 7 1	2 5 8 1	2 5 9 1	2 6 2 1	2 6 3 1	2 6 4 1	2 6 6 1	2 6 7 1	2 6 8 1	2 6 9 1	2 7 1 1
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM Esophagus Galibladder Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, cecum Intestine large, colon Intestine small, colon Intestine small, duodenum Intestine small, jeum Intestine small, j	+ A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	+ A A A A A A A A A + + + A A	+ A A A A A A A A A + + + + + +	+A+++++AA+ X++++	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ <b>A</b> +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ A A A A A A A A A + + + + + +	+++M+++M+++ ++++	+M++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++X ++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++X ++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++++ X++++
Stomach, glandular Adenoma CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM	A	Â	+	+	A	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Heart ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Adrenal gland, ortex Adrenal gland, medulla Islets, pancreatic Parathyroid gland Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma Pars intermedia, adenoma Thyroid gland Follicular cell, adenoma	+ + + + + + + + M A +	+ ++ ++ ++ ++	+ ++++ M +	+ +++++ +	A A A A M A A	+ + + + MM + +	+ ++++ M I +	+ + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ ++++++ +	+ ++++ M+ +	+ ++++M+ +	+ ++++M+ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +++++ +	+ +++++ +	+ +++++ +	+ +++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +++++ +	+ + + + + + +	+ +++++ +X	+ ++++M+ +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM None GENITAL SYSTEM																									
Clitoral gland Ovary Teratoma Uterus Hemangioma Histiocytic sarcoma Vagina Polyp	+	+ +	+ x +	+ +	A A	+ +	+ + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ + *	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Lymph node Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular Spleen Thymus	+ + + M M	+	+ + + + M + +	++++++	A A M A M	+ + + + M + + +	++++++	+ + + + + + +	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+ + + + + + + +	++++++	+++++++	+++++	++++++	+ + + + M + +	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + + M + + M
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Mammary gland Skin	+++	++	++++	++++	M A	+ +	+ +	+ +	M +	++	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++++	++++

## TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 1.5 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

					_										_											
DAYS ON STUDY	74	7	7	74	4	7	4	4	7	74	7	4	4	7	7	4	7	74	7	74	7 4	7	4	4	4	
	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	
		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	-3	TOTAL: TISSUES
CARCASS	7	7	7	$\overline{7}$	7	7	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8 7	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	Ō	TUMORS
ID	2	3 1	4 1	5 1	6 1	7 1	9 1	0	1 1	2	3	<b>4</b> 1	6 1	7 1	9 1	1 1	$^{2}_{1}$	3 1	4	5 1	6	7 1	8 1	9 1	0	
	1	1		1	1	*	1	T	Ţ	T	1	Ţ	Ŧ	1	1	Ŧ	T	1	Ŧ	1	1	1	1	T	1	
ALIMENTARY SYSTEM																								-		
Esophagus Gallbladder	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+	M +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	M +	++++	+	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+ A	+++++	+	+++	+++	++++	47 40
Intestine large	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	-	+	+	+	+	+	45
Intestine large, cecum	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	43
Intestine large, colon Intestine large, rectum	+++++	+++	++	++++	+++	++	+	+	+	M	+	+++	+	+	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	44 45
Intestine small	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+++	+++	++	++	++++	+++	++++	++	+	+	+	+++	+++	+	++++	+++	++++	45
Intestine small, duodenum	i +	+	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	+	44
Intestine small, ileum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	44
Intestine small, jejunum Liver	+	+	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+	++++	+	+	++++	+++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+	43 49
Hepatocellular carcinoma	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	т	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	+	Ŧ	x	x	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	49
Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple												х					х									2
Hepatocellular adenoma Pancreas	Ι.	+	X		i																					3
Fancreas Salivary glands	++	++	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	49 49
Stomach	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	÷	47
Stomach, forestomach	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
Stomach, glandular Adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x+	+	+	47
																							A			1
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM																				•						
Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM																										· ····
Adrenal gland	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Adrenal gland, cortex Adrenal gland, medulla	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	++++	+ M	+++	+++	+++	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	49
Islets, pancreatic	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++	+++	+	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+	+	+++	+ +	++	+++	+	+	+ +	48 48
Parathyroid gland	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	+	М	+	÷	÷	M	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	Ń	+	Ń	+	+	36
Pituitary gland Pars distalis, adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46
Pars intermedia, adenoma																х					х				х	1 3
Thyroid gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Follicular cell, adenoma																х										2
GENERAL BODY SYSTEM																										
None																										
GENITAL SYSTEM																										
Clitoral gland																										1
Ovary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Teratoma Uterus																										1
Hemangioma	+	+	+	+		+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Histiocytic sarcoma								л			х															1
Vagina																										1
Polyp																										1
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM																										
Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Lymph node	+++	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Lymph node, bronchial Lymph node, mandibular	+	+++	++	++	++	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	M +	++	+++	+ M	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	M M	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	, M	+ M	+++++	, M	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ M	46 38
Spleen	++++	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	÷	+ 141	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Thymus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	М	+	+	+	46
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM																										·
Mammary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	м	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
Skin	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	49
	L .																									

DAYS ON STUDY	2 1 6	5 4 4	6 1 6	6 9 4	6 9 8	7 1 0	7 1 0	7 1 0	7 3 7	7 4 6	7 4 9														
CARCASS ID	2 7 0 1	2 9 0 1	2 8 5 1	2 6 1 1	2 8 8 1	$     \begin{array}{c}       2 \\       5 \\       2 \\       1     \end{array} $	2 5 3 1	2 6 0 1	2 7 8 1	2 6 5 1	2 5 1 1	2 5 4 1	2 5 5 1	2 5 6 1	2 5 7 1	2 5 8 1	2 5 9 1	2 6 2 1	2 6 3 1	2 6 4 1	2 6 6 1	2 6 7 1	2 6 8 1	2 6 9 1	2 7 1 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Cranium, osteosarcoma	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, harderian gland	++++	A +	+++	+ + +	A A	+++	+ +	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	M +
giano Aiveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Aiveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Nose Trachea	+++	+ A	+++	+++	A A	+ +	++++	+++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+++++	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	X + +	++	++	++	+ +
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Ear Eye Harderian gland Adenocarcinoma	A	A	+	A	I	I	+	I	A	A	+	+	+	+	I	+	I		+	+	+	+	+	+	I
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+ M	+ +	++++	+ +	A A	+++	++++	++++	+ A	+++	++++	+++	++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	++++
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Histiocytic sarcoma Lymphoma malignant histiocytic	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lymphoma malignant mixed Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell type				x				x		x			x						x					x	

## TABLE D2. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 1.5 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

DAYS ON STUDY	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	7 4 9	TOTAL:									
CARCASS ID	2 7 2 1	2 7 3 1	2 7 4 1	2 7 5 1	2 7 6 1	2 7 7 1	2 7 9 1	2 8 0 1	2 8 1 1	2 8 2 1	2 8 3 1	2 8 4 1	2 8 6 1	2 8 7 1	2 8 9 1	2 9 1 1	2 9 2 1	2 9 3 1	2 9 4 1	2 9 5 1	2 - 9 6 1	2 9 7 1	2 9 8 1	2 9 9 1	3 0 0 1	TISSUES TUMORS
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Cranium, osteosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Larynx Lung Adenocarcinoma, metastatic, harderian	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	++++	++++	++++	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+	+ +	+++	++++	+ +	++++	++++	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	;+ +	47 49
gland Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Nose Trachea	++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	++++	++++	++	++++	+++++	X + +	++++	++++	+++	++++	++	+ +	+ +	1 2 1 49 48
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM Ear Eye Harderian gland Adenocarcinoma	+	+	+	+	++++	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 38 1 1
U <b>RINARY SYSTEM</b> Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	++	+++	++	+ +	+++	++	+++	++	+ +	+++	++	+ +	++++	+++	++++	++	+++	++++	++++	+ +	++++	++	++++	49 47
SYSTEMIC LESIONS Multiple organs Histicocytic sarcoma Lymphoma malignant histicocytic Lymphoma malignant mixed Lymphoma malignant undifferentiated cell type	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 1 1 4 3

## **TABLE D2.** INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: 1.5 mg/m³<br/>(Continued)

	Chamber Control	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
Harderian Gland: Adenoma or Adenocarcinom			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.3%	5.0%	2.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/33 (9%)	2/40 (5%)	1/40 (3%)
Day of First Observation	680	749 D-0.961 N	749 P=0.133N
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.081N	P = 0.261N	P = 0.135 N P = 0.156 N
Logistic Regression Tests (d)	P = 0.098N	P = 0.301 N	F=0.13014
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.118N	P=0.339N	P = 0.181N
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
Overall Rates (e)	4/50 (8%)	(f) 2/15(13%)	3/49 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.8%		7.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/33 (9%)		2/40 (5%)
Day of First Observation	741		694
Life Table Test (d)			P = 0.400N
Logistic Regression Test (d)			P = 0.454N
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.511N
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma	7/50 (14/2)	(f) 2/15 (13%)	6/49 (12%)
Overall Rates (e)	7/50 (14%)	(1) 2/15(13%)	15.0%
Adjusted Rates (b)	18.2% 3/33 (9%)		6/40 (15%)
Terminal Rates (c) Day of First Observation	626		749
Life Table Test (d)	020		P = 0.373N
Logistic Regression Test (d)			P = 0.468N
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.516N
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (e)	11/50 (22%)	(f) $4/15(27\%)$	9/49 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	28.5%		21.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/33 (18%)		8/40 (20%) 694
Day of First Observation	626		P = 0.253N
Life Table Test (d)			P = 0.349N
Logistic Regression Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.421N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (e)	4/50 (8%)	(f,g) 3/17 (18%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.1%	(1,8) 0(1) (10/0)	5.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/33 (12%)		2/40 (5%)
Day of First Observation	749		749
Life Table Test (d)			P = 0.251 N
Logistic Regression Test (d)			P = 0.251N
Fisher Exact Test (d)			P = 0.349N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carci		(C.) 0/17/10/20	2/40 (67%)
Overall Rates (e)	5/50 (10%)	(f,g) 3/17 (18%)	3/49 (6%) 7 5%
Adjusted Rates (b)	14.3%		7.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/33 (12%)		3/40 (7%) 749
Day of First Observation	693		P = 0.261N
Life Table Test (d)			P = 0.201N P = 0.301N
Logistic Regression Test (d)			P = 0.369N
Fisher Exact Test (d)			L = 0.90AIN

# TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2

Mammary Cland: Adenocarcinoma         3/50 (6%)         1/50 (2%)         0/50 (0%)           Overall Rates (a)         6.8%         2.5%         0.0%           Terminal Rates (b)         6.8%         2.5%         0.0%           Day of First Observation         553         749         1.10           Life Table Tests (d)         P=0.051N         P=0.270N         P=0.110N           Logistic Regression Tests (d)         P=0.060N         P=0.309N         P=0.142N           Cohran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P=0.060N         P=0.309N         P=0.121N           Pitister Exact Test (d)         P=0.060N         P=0.309N         P=0.121N           Pitister Exact Test (d)         P=0.061N         P=0.309N         P=0.121N           Pitister Exact Test (d)         P=0.061N         P=0.018N         P<0.001N           Logistic Regression Tests (d)         P<0.001N         P=0.018N         P<0.001N           Cohran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P<0.001N         P=0.018N         P<0.001N           Cohran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P<0.001N         P=0.018N         P<0.001N           Cohran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P<0.028         749         749           Cohran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P=0.048         (h)         P=0.057 </th <th></th> <th>Chamber Control</th> <th>0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup></th> <th>1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup></th>		Chamber Control	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma			
Adjusted Rates (b)       6.8%       2.5%       0.0%         Terminal Rates (c)       0.033 (0%)       1/40 (3%)       0/40 (0%)         Day of First Observation       553       749       P=0.110N         Life Table Fests (d)       P=0.061N       P=0.309N       P=0.112N         Cohran Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.060N       P=0.309N       P=0.121N         Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma       00%       Qerail Rates (h)       35.3%       12.7%       2.5%         Overail Rates (c)       10/33 (30%)       5/46 (11%)       1/46 (2%)       Adjusted Rates (h)       2.5%       1/40 (3%)         Day of First Observation       465       736       749       1/40 (3%)       1/40 (3%)         Day of First Observation       465       736       749       1/40 (3%)       1/40 (3%)         Day of First Observation       465       736       749       1/40 (3%)       1/46 (2%) <td></td> <td>3/50 (6%)</td> <td>1/50 (2%)</td> <td>0/50 (0%)</td>		3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	······································			
				0.10(0.0)
Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.067N$ $P=0.309N$ $P=0.142N$ Cochran -Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.060N$ $P=0.309N$ $P=0.121N$ Pituitary Cland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma $V$ $V$ $V$ Overall Rates (c) $13/47$ (28%) $5/46$ (11%) $1/46$ (2%)           Adjusted Rates (c) $13/47$ (28%) $4/63$ (11%) $1/46$ (2%)           Day of First Observation $465$ $736$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P<0.001N$ $P=0.034N$ $P<0.001N$ Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d) $P<0.001N$ $P=0.036N$ $P<0.001N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P<0.001N$ $P=0.036N$ $P<0.001N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P<0.001N$ $P=0.036N$ $P<0.001N$ Particitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma $0/47$ (0%) $0/46$ (0%) $3/46$ (7%)           Day of First Observation $748$ $748$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.048$ (h) $P=0.157$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $745$ $75\%$ $76\%$ Overall Rates (				P = 0.110N
Cohran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P=0.060N         P=0.309N         P=0.121N           Pituitary Cland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma         0verall Rates (a)         13/47 (25%)         5/46 (11%)         1/46 (2%)           Adjusted Rates (b)         35.3%         12.7%         2.5%           Terminal Rates (c)         10/33 (30%)         4/38 (11%)         1/40 (3%)           Day of First Observation         465         736         749           Life Table Test (d)         P<0.001N				
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.309N$ $P = 0.121N$ Pituitary Giand/Pars Distalis: Adenoma       0       0verail Rates (c)       13/47 (28%)       5/46 (11%)       1/46 (2%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       35.3%       12.7%       2.5%         Terminal Rates (c)       10/33 (30%)       4/38 (11%)       1/40 (3%)         Day of First Observation       465       736       749         Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.034N$ $P < 0.001N$ Cohran Armitage Trend Test (d) $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.036N$ $P < 0.001N$ Pisher Exact Test (d) $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.036N$ $P < 0.001N$ Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma $0/47 (0\%)$ $0/46 (0\%)$ $3/46 (72\%)$ Overail Rates (c) $0.33 (0\%)$ $0/38 (0\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ Day of First Observation $Table Tests (d)$ $P = 0.048$ (h) $P = 0.157$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.048$ (h) $P = 0.157$ Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.036$ (h) $P = 0.157$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Overail Rates (a)       <			1 -0.00011	
Overall Rates (e)         13/47 (28%)         5/46 (11%)         1/46 (2%)           Adjusted Rates (b)         35.3%         12.7%         2.5%           Terminal Rates (c)         10/33 (30%)         4/38 (11%)         1/40 (3%)           Day of First Observation         465         736         749           Life Table Tests (d)         P <0.001N		1 -0.00010	P = 0.309N	P = 0.121 N
Overall Rates (e)         13/47 (28%)         5/46 (11%)         1/46 (2%)           Adjusted Rates (b)         35.3%         12.7%         2.5%           Terminal Rates (c)         10/33 (30%)         4/38 (11%)         1/40 (3%)           Day of First Observation         465         736         749           Cohran - Armitage Trend Test (d)         P<0.001N	Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma			
Adjusted Rates (b) $35,3\%$ $12.7\%$ $2.5\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $10/33$ (30%) $438$ (11%) $1/40$ (3%)         Day of First Observation $465$ $736$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.034N$ $P < 0.001N$ Degistic Regression Tests (d) $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.034N$ $P < 0.001N$ Pisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.048N$ $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.036N$ $P < 0.001N$ Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma $0/47$ (0%) $0/46$ (0%) $3/46$ (7%) $Adjusted Rates (b)$ $0.0\%$ $0.3\%$ $0.36N$ $7.5\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $0.033$ (0%) $0.036$ (0%) $3/40$ (7%) $7.49$ $7.5\%$		13/47 (28%)	5/46 (11%)	1/46 (2%)
Terminal Rates (c)         10/33 (30%)         4/38 (11%)         1/40 (3%)           Day of First Observation         465         736         749           Life Table Tests (d)         P <0.001N				
Day of First Observation         465         736         749           Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.018N$ $P < 0.001N$ Copistic Regression Tests (d) $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.036N$ $P < 0.001N$ Pisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.036N$ $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.036N$ $P < 0.001N$ Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma $P = 0.036N$ $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.036N$ $P < 0.001N$ Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma $0.0\%$ $0.0\%$ $0.0\%$ $3.46$ (7.5%)           Adjusted Rates (b) $0.0\%$ $0.0\%$ $0.0\%$ $7.5\%$ Day of First Observation         749         116         Table Tests (d) $P = 0.048$ (h) $P = 0.157$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.036$ (h) $P = 0.157$ $Cochran - Armitage Trend Test (d)         P = 0.036 0.0\% $				
Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.038N$ $P < 0.001N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.034N$ $P < 0.001N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P < 0.001N$ $P = 0.036N$ $P < 0.001N$ Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma       0/47 (0%)       0/46 (0%)       3/46 72%)         Adjusted Rates (e)       0/47 (0%)       0/46 (0%)       3/46 72%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       0.0%       0.0%       7.5%         Terminal Rates (c)       0/33 (0%)       0/38 (0%)       3/40 (7%)         Day of First Observation       749       749       749         Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.048$ (h) $P = 0.157$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.036$ (h) $P = 0.157$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma       Overall Rates (a)       0.19 × 500 (0%)       0/40 (0%)         Day of First Observation       749       701       749       711         Lafistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.169N$ $P = 0.427$ $P = 0.197N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.222N$ $P = 0.338$ $P = 0.197N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.233$ $P = 0.197N$ </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Logistic Regression Tests (d)         P<0.001N         P<0.034N         P<0.001N           Fisher Exact Test (d)         P<0.001N				
$\begin{array}{c c} Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) \\ Fisher Exact Test (d) \\ \hline P = 0.036N \\ P = 0.036N \\ P = 0.036N \\ P = 0.001N \\ \hline P = 0.036N \\ P = 0.001N \\ \hline P = 0.036N \\ \hline P = 0.001N \\ \hline P = 0.036N \\ \hline P = 0.001 \\ \hline P = 0.036N \\ \hline P = 0.001 \\ \hline P = 0.036 \\ \hline P = 0.036 \\ \hline P = 0.048 \\ (h) \\ P = 0.157 \\ \hline P = 0.048 \\ (h) \\ P = 0.157 \\ \hline P = 0.048 \\ (h) \\ P = 0.157 \\ \hline C = 0.036 \\ \hline P = 0.048 \\ (h) \\ P = 0.157 \\ \hline C = 0.036 \\ \hline P = 0.048 \\ (h) \\ P = 0.157 \\ \hline C = 0.036 \\ \hline P = 0.157 \\ \hline C = 0.157 \\ \hline F = 0.157 \\ \hline C = 0.157 \\ \hline C = 0.157 \\ \hline F = 0.157 \\ \hline F = 0.157 \\ \hline C = 0.157 \\ \hline C = 0.157 \\ \hline F = 0.157 \\ \hline F = 0.157 \\ \hline C = 0.157 \\ \hline C = 0.157 \\ \hline F = 0.157$				
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.036N$ $P < 0.001N$ Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma $Overall Rates (e)$ $0/47 (0\%)$ $0/46 (0\%)$ $3/46 (72\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $0.0\%$ $0.0\%$ $7.5\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $0.033 (0\%)$ $0/38 (0\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ Day of First Observation       Terminal Rates (c) $0.038$ (h) $P = 0.157$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.036$ (h) $P = 0.157$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.036$ (h) $P = 0.157$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma $(1) 2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Overall Rates (a)       (i) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $701$ $0.0\%$ $0.0\%$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.169N$ $P = 0.427$ $P = 0.197N$ $D_{0}$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.222N$ $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.247N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.2333$ $P = 0.197N$ $D_{0}$ $D_{0}$ Overall Rates (e) $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td>			,	
Overall Rates (e) $0/47 (0\%)$ $0/46 (0\%)$ $3/46 (72\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $0.0\%$ $0.0\%$ $7.5\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/33 (0\%)$ $0/38 (0\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ Day of First Observation       749         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.048       (h)       P = 0.157         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P = 0.036       (h)       P = 0.157         Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.036       (h)       P = 0.157         Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma       (h)       P = 0.157         Overall Rates (a)       (i) 2/50 (4%)       4/50 (8%)       0/50 (0%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       6.1%       9.5%       0.0%         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       3/40 (7%)       0/40 (0%)         Day of First Observation       749       701       790.1871         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.190N       P = 0.427       P = 0.197N         Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.222N       P = 0.339       P = 0.247N         Fisher Exact Test (d)       P = 0.222N       P = 0.339       P = 0.247N         Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.339       P = 0.247N         Cochran Armitage Trend T			P = 0.036N	P<0.001N
Overall Rates (e) $0/47 (0\%)$ $0/46 (0\%)$ $3/46 (72\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $0.0\%$ $0.0\%$ $7.5\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/33 (0\%)$ $0/38 (0\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ Day of First Observation       749         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.048       (h)       P = 0.157         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P = 0.036       (h)       P = 0.157         Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.036       (h)       P = 0.157         Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma       (h)       P = 0.157         Overall Rates (a)       (i) 2/50 (4%)       4/50 (8%)       0/50 (0%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       6.1%       9.5%       0.0%         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       3/40 (7%)       0/40 (0%)         Day of First Observation       749       701       790.1871         Life Table Tests (d)       P = 0.190N       P = 0.427       P = 0.197N         Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.222N       P = 0.339       P = 0.247N         Fisher Exact Test (d)       P = 0.222N       P = 0.339       P = 0.247N         Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)       P = 0.339       P = 0.247N         Cochran Armitage Trend T	Pituitary Gland/Pars Distalis: Adenoma			
Adjusted Rates (b) $0.0\%$ $0.0\%$ $7.5\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $0/33 (0\%)$ $0/38 (0\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ Day of First Observation       749         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.048       (h)       P=0.157         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.048       (h)       P=0.157         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.036       (h)       P=0.157         Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma       (i) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a)       (i) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b)       6.1%       9.5% $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation       749       701       1         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.169N       P=0.427       P=0.197N         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.222N       P=0.339       P=0.247N         Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma       Overall Rates (e) $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b)       6.1%       7.5%       5.0%       1         Day of First Observation <t< td=""><td></td><td>0/47 (0%)</td><td>0/46 (0%)</td><td>3/46 72%)</td></t<>		0/47 (0%)	0/46 (0%)	3/46 72%)
Terminal Rates (c) $0/33 (0\%)$ $0/38 (0\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ Day of First Observation       749         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.048       (h)       P=0.157         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.048       (h)       P=0.157         Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma       (h)       P=0.157         Overall Rates (a)       (i) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b)       6.1%       9.5%       0.0%         Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (8\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation       749       701       749         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.169N       P=0.427       P=0.197N         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.190N       P=0.383       P=0.197N         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.222N       Fisher Exact Test (d)       P=0.339       P=0.247N         Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma       0/33 (6%) $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b)       6.1%       7.5%       5.0%       5.0%         Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ $5\%$ <		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Day of First Observation       749         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.048       (h)       P=0.157         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.036       (h)       P=0.157         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.036       (h)       P=0.157         Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma       (i)       2/50 (4%)       4/50 (8%)       0/50 (0%)         Adjusted Rates (a)       6.1%       9.5%       0.0%         Terminal Rates (a)       6.1%       9.5%       0.0%         Day of First Observation       749       701       701         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.169N       P=0.427       P=0.197N         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.190N       P=0.383       P=0.197N         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.222N       P=0.339       P=0.247N         Fisher Exact Test (d)       P=0.222N       P=0.339       P=0.247N         Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma       P=0.339       P=0.247N         Overall Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       3/40 (7%)       2/49 (4%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       6.1%       7.5%       5.0%         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       3/49 (6%)       2/49 (4%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       6.	_ • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.048       (h)       P=0.157         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.048       (h)       P=0.157         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.036       (h)       P=0.157         Fisher Exact Test (d)       (h)       P=0.157         Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma       (h)       P=0.157         Overall Rates (a)       (i) 2/50 (4%)       4/50 (8%)       0/50 (0%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       6.1%       9.5%       0.0%         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       3/40 (7%)       0/40 (0%)         Day of First Observation       749       701       701         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.190N       P=0.383       P=0.197N         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.222N       P=0.339       P=0.247N         Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma       0       2/49 (4%)       3/49 (6%)       2/49 (4%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       6.1%       7.5%       5.0%       749         Day of First Observation       749       749       749         Overall Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       3/40 (7%)       2/40 (5%)         Day of First Observation       749       749       749         Life Tabl				• •
Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.048       (h)       P=0.157         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.036       (h)       P=0.157         Fisher Exact Test (d)       (h)       P=0.157         Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma       (i)       2/50 (4%)       4/50 (8%)       0/50 (0%)         Adjusted Rates (a)       (i)       2/50 (4%)       4/50 (8%)       0/60 (0%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       6.1%       9.5%       0.0%         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       3/40 (7%)       0/40 (0%)         Day of First Observation       749       701       701         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.190N       P=0.427       P=0.197N         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.222N       P=0.339       P=0.247N         Fisher Exact Test (d)       P=0.329       P=0.247N       P=0.339       P=0.247N         Pryroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma       P=0.339       P=0.247N       P=0.339       P=0.247N         Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma       7.5%       5.0%       5.0%       Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       3/49 (6%)       2/49 (4%)       3/49 (6%)       2/49 (4%)       Adjusted Rates (b)       E.8       P=0.624N       P=0.624N       P=0.624N		P=0.048	(h)	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.036$ Fisher Exact Test (d)         (h) $P=0.157$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma         (i) $2/50$ (8%) $0/50$ (0%)           Adjusted Rates (a)         (i) $2/50$ (4%) $4/50$ (8%) $0/50$ (0%)           Adjusted Rates (b)         6.1%         9.5%         0.0%           Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $3/40$ (7%) $0/40$ (0%)           Day of First Observation         749         701         -           Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.169N$ $P=0.4277$ $P=0.197N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.190N$ $P=0.383$ $P=0.197N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.222N$ $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma $Overall Rates (e)$ $2/49$ (4%) $3/49$ (6%) $2/49$ (4%)           Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.594$ $P=0.500$ <				
Fisher Exact Test (d)       (h) $P=0.157$ Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma       (i) $2/50$ (4%) $4/50$ (8%) $0/50$ (0%)         Adjusted Rates (a)       (i) $2/50$ (4%) $4/50$ (8%) $0/50$ (0%)         Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $9.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $3/40$ (7%) $0/40$ (0%)         Day of First Observation $749$ $701$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.199N$ $P=0.427$ $P=0.197N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.222N$ $P=0.383$ $P=0.197N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.222N$ $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Overall Rates (e) $2/49$ (4%) $3/49$ (6%) $2/49$ (4%)         Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $3/40$ (7%) $2/40$ (5%)         Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.594$			(/	- 0.207
Overall Rates (a)(i) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $9.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $701$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.169N$ $P=0.427$ $P=0.197N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.190N$ $P=0.383$ $P=0.197N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.222N$ $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.222N$ $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Corran Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Overall Rates (e) $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.594$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal Polyp $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Overall Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Corranal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1/40 (0\%)$		1 -0.000	(h)	P = 0.157
Overall Rates (a)(i) $2/50 (4\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $9.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $701$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.169N$ $P=0.427$ $P=0.197N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.190N$ $P=0.383$ $P=0.197N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.222N$ $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Overall Rates (e) $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.594$ $F$ $F$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.594$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal PolypOverall Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1/40 (0\%)$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.250N$ $P=0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (	Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma			
Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $9.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $701$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.169N$ $P=0.427$ $P=0.197N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.190N$ $P=0.383$ $P=0.197N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.222N$ $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.222N$ $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma $0$ $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Overall Rates (e) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.594$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.691N$ Viterus: Stromal Polyp $0$ $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1140 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Digistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.045N$ $P=0.250N$ $P=0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.060N$ $P=0.300N$ $P=0.122N$		) 2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)	0/50 (0%)
Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation749701Life Table Tests (d)P=0.169NP=0.427P=0.197NLogistic Regression Tests (d)P=0.190NP=0.383P=0.197NCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.222NP=0.339P=0.247NFisher Exact Test (d)P=0.339P=0.247NThyroid Gland: Follicular Cell AdenomaOverall Rates (e) $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation749749749Life Table Tests (d)P=0.513NP=0.588P=0.624NLogistic Regression Tests (d)P=0.513NP=0.588P=0.624NCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.594P=0.500P=0.691NFisher Exact Test (d)P=0.594P=0.500P=0.691NUterus: Stromal PolypOverall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Adjusted Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d)P=0.045NDay of First Observation $626$ $749$ Logistic Regression Tests (d)P=0.059NDay of First Observation $626$ $749$ Logistic Regression Tests (d)P=0.059NDay of First Observation				
Day of First Observation749701Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.169N$ $P = 0.427$ $P = 0.197N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.190N$ $P = 0.383$ $P = 0.197N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.222N$ $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.247N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.222N$ $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.247N$ <b>Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma</b> Overall Rates (e) $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.594$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal PolypOverall Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $P = 0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.045N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$	•			
Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.169N$ $P=0.427$ $P=0.197N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.190N$ $P=0.383$ $P=0.197N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.222N$ $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.222N$ $P=0.339$ $P=0.247N$ Coverall Rates (e) $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.594$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal PolypOverall Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.045N$ $P=0.222N$ Dotom $P=0.0247N$ $P=0.247N$ Dist (for $P=0.025N$ $P=0.247N$ $P=0.247N$ $P=0.247N$ $P=0.247N$ $P=0.247N$ $P=0.24N$ $P=0.513N$ $P=0.624N$ $P$				0, 10 (0,0)
Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.190N$ $P = 0.383$ $P = 0.197N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.222N$ $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.247N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.222N$ $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.247N$ <b>Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma</b> $Overall Rates (e)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.594$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.691N$ <b>Uterus: Stromal Polyp</b> $S.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1.140 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1.122N$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.045N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$				P = 0.197N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.222N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.247N$ <b>Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma</b> $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.594$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.594$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal Polyp $Overall Rates (a)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0$				
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.339$ $P = 0.247N$ Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma         Overall Rates (e) $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.594$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.691N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.594$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal Polyp $0/50 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P =$			1 - 0.000	1 = 0:15/11
Overall Rates (e) $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.594$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal PolypOverall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1/40 (2\%)$ $P = 0.098N$ Lief Table Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$		1 -0.22214	P=0.339	P = 0.247N
Overall Rates (e) $2/49 (4\%)$ $3/49 (6\%)$ $2/49 (4\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.594$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal PolypOverall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.045N$ $P = 0.250N$ $P = 0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$	Thyroid Glandy Falliaular Call Adapama			
Adjusted Rates (b) $6.1\%$ $7.5\%$ $5.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $3/40$ (7%) $2/40$ (5%)Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.594$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal Polyp $0/50$ (6%) $1/50$ (2%) $0/50$ (0%)Adjusted Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $1/50$ (2%) $0/50$ (0%)Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1/40$ (3%) $0/40$ (0%)Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ $1.69250N$ $P=0.098N$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.250N$ $P=0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.300N$ $P=0.122N$		2/49 (496)	3/49 (6%)	2/19 (19)
Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $3/40 (7\%)$ $2/40 (5\%)$ Day of First Observation $749$ $749$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.594$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.691N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.594$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal PolypOverall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.250N$ $P=0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.300N$ $P=0.122N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.060N$ $P=0.060N$ $P=0.122N$		,		
Day of First Observation749749749Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.513N$ $P=0.588$ $P=0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.594$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.691N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.594$ $P=0.500$ $P=0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal PolypOverall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $1/50$ (2%) $0/50$ (0%)Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $1/40$ (3%) $0/40$ (0%)Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.045N$ $P=0.250N$ $P=0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.300N$ $P=0.122N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.060N$ $P=0.060N$ $P=0.000N$	_ •			
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.594$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.594$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal PolypOverall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $1/50$ (2%) $0/50$ (0%)Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $1/40$ (3%) $0/40$ (0%)Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.045N$ $P = 0.250N$ $P = 0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.060N$ $P = 0.060N$ $P = 0.060N$				
Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.513N$ $P = 0.588$ $P = 0.624N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.594$ $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.691N$ Viterus: Stromal Polyp $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.691N$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $1/50$ (2%) $0/50$ (0%)         Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $1/40$ (3%) $0/40$ (0%)         Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.045N$ $P = 0.250N$ $P = 0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.060N$ $P = 0.060N$ $P = 0.060N$				
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.594$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.500$ $P=0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal Polyp $P=0.500$ $P=0.691N$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $1/50$ (2%) $0/50$ (0%)         Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $1/40$ (3%) $0/40$ (0%)         Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.045N$ $P=0.250N$ $P=0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.300N$ $P=0.122N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.060N$ $P=0.060N$ $P=0.0000$				
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.500$ $P = 0.691N$ Uterus: Stromal PolypOverall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33 (6\%)$ $1/40 (3\%)$ $0/40 (0\%)$ Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.045N$ $P = 0.250N$ $P = 0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.060N$ $P = 0.060N$ $P = 0.0000$			1 -0.000	1 -0.02411
Overall Rates (a)         3/50 (6%)         1/50 (2%)         0/50 (0%)           Adjusted Rates (b)         8.2%         2.5%         0.0%           Terminal Rates (c)         2/33 (6%)         1/40 (3%)         0/40 (0%)           Day of First Observation         626         749           Life Table Tests (d)         P=0.045N         P=0.250N         P=0.098N           Logistic Regression Tests (d)         P=0.059N         P=0.300N         P=0.122N           Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P=0.060N         P=0.060N         P=0.000		1 - 0.034	P = 0.500	P = 0.691 N
Overall Rates (a)       3/50 (6%)       1/50 (2%)       0/50 (0%)         Adjusted Rates (b)       8.2%       2.5%       0.0%         Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       1/40 (3%)       0/40 (0%)         Day of First Observation       626       749         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.045N       P=0.250N       P=0.098N         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.059N       P=0.300N       P=0.122N         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.060N       P=0.060N       P=0.122N	(Iterus: Stromal Polyn			
Adjusted Rates (b) $8.2\%$ $2.5\%$ $0.0\%$ Terminal Rates (c) $2/33$ (6%) $1/40$ (3%) $0/40$ (0%)Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.045N$ $P = 0.250N$ $P = 0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.060N$ $P = 0.060N$ $P = 0.0000$		3/50 (6%)	1/50 (90%)	0/50 (0%)
Terminal Rates (c)       2/33 (6%)       1/40 (3%)       0/40 (0%)         Day of First Observation       626       749         Life Table Tests (d)       P=0.045N       P=0.250N       P=0.098N         Logistic Regression Tests (d)       P=0.059N       P=0.300N       P=0.122N         Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)       P=0.060N       P=0.060N       P=0.122N				
Day of First Observation $626$ $749$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.045N$ $P = 0.250N$ $P = 0.098N$ Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.060N$ $P = 0.060N$ $P = 0.060N$	5			
Life Table Tests (d)         P=0.045N         P=0.250N         P=0.098N           Logistic Regression Tests (d)         P=0.059N         P=0.300N         P=0.122N           Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)         P=0.060N         P=0.060N         P=0.122N				0/40(0%)
Logistic Regression Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.300N$ $P = 0.122N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.060N$ $P = 0.060N$				$\mathbf{D} = 0.000 \mathbf{N}$
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.060N				
			P = 0.300 N	P = 0.122N
P = 0.309N P = 0.121N		P = 0.060 N	D A ACON	D 0 10131
	risher Exact Test (d)		F=0.30AN	P = 0.121 N

### TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATIONSTUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

Adjusted Rates (b)3.Terminal Rates (c)1/Day of First Observation74Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)PFisher Exact Test (d)PCirculatory System: Hemangioma or Hemangiosar3/Overall Rates (e)3/Adjusted Rates (b)8.Terminal Rates (c)2/Day of First Observation65Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	0% (33 (3%) 49 = 0.548N = 0.598N = 0.610		3%) 368 312 309 6%)	1/50 (2%) 2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749 P = 0.718N P = 0.718N P = 0.753N 1/50 (2%) 2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749 P = 0.244N
Overall Rates (a)1//Adjusted Rates (b)3.Terminal Rates (c)1//Day of First Observation74Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)PFisher Exact Test (d)SCirculatory System: Hemangioma or HemangiosarOverall Rates (e)3//Adjusted Rates (b)8.Terminal Rates (c)2//Day of First Observation65Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	0% (33 (3%) 49 = 0.548N = 0.598N = 0.610 (50 (6%) (f, (33 (6%) 94 = 0.184N = 0.227N	$\begin{array}{c} 6.9\% \\ 1/40 (\\ 659 \\ P=0. \\ P=0. \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} p=0. \\ f=0. \\ f=0. \\ f=0. \\ f=0. \\ p=0. \\ p=0. \\ \end{array}$	3%) 368 312 309 6%) 3%) 582N	2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749 P = 0.718N P = 0.718N P = 0.753N 1/50 (2%) 2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749
Adjusted Rates (b)3.Terminal Rates (c)1/Day of First Observation74Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)PFisher Exact Test (d)PCirculatory System: Hemangioma or Hemangiosar3/Overall Rates (e)3/Adjusted Rates (b)8.Terminal Rates (c)2/Day of First Observation65Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	0% (33 (3%) 49 = 0.548N = 0.598N = 0.610 (50 (6%) (f, (33 (6%) 94 = 0.184N = 0.227N	$\begin{array}{c} 6.9\% \\ 1/40 (\\ 659 \\ P=0. \\ P=0. \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} p=0. \\ f=0. \\ f=0. \\ f=0. \\ f=0. \\ p=0. \\ p=0. \\ \end{array}$	3%) 368 312 309 6%) 3%) 582N	2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749 P = 0.718N P = 0.718N P = 0.753N 1/50 (2%) 2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749
Terminal Rates (c)1/Day of First Observation74Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)PFisher Exact Test (d)PFirsher Exact Test (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)PFisher Exact Test (d)PCoursell Rates (e)3/Adjusted Rates (b)8.Terminal Rates (c)2/Day of First Observation65Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	/33 (3%) 49 = 0.548N = 0.598N = 0.610 /50 (6%) (f, /33 (6%) 94 = 0.184N = 0.227N	1/40 ( 659 P=0. P=0. j) 3/50 ( 6.9% 1/40 ( 659 P=0. P=0.	368 312 309 6%) 3%) 582N	1/40 (3%) 749 P = 0.718N P = 0.718N P = 0.753N 1/50 (2%) 2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749
Day of First Observation74Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)PFisher Exact Test (d)PFisher Exact Test (d)PCoverall Rates (e)3/Adjusted Rates (b)8.Terminal Rates (c)2/Day of First Observation66Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	49 = 0.548N = 0.598N = 0.610 '50 (6%) (f, '33 (6%) 94 = 0.184N = 0.227N	659 P=0. P=0. j) 3/50 ( 6.9% 1/40 ( 659 P=0. P=0.	368 312 309 6%) 3%) 582N	749 P = 0.718N P = 0.718N P = 0.753N 1/50 (2%) 2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749
Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)PFisher Exact Test (d)PFirculatory System: Hemangioma or HemangiosarOverall Rates (e)Overall Rates (e)3/Adjusted Rates (b)8.Terminal Rates (c)2/Day of First Observation66Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	= 0.548N = 0.598N = 0.610 'coma (50 (6%) (f, 4% '33 (6%) 94 = 0.184N = 0.227N	P = 0. $P = 0.$ $P = 0.$ $j) 3/50 ($ $6.9%$ $1/40 ($ $659$ $P = 0.$ $P = 0.$	312 309 6%) 3%) 582N	P = 0.718N $P = 0.718N$ $P = 0.753N$ $1/50 (2%)$ $2.5%$ $1/40 (3%)$ $749$
Logistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)PFisher Exact Test (d)Fisher Exact Test (d)Firculatory System: Hemangioma or HemangiosarOverall Rates (e)Adjusted Rates (b)8.Terminal Rates (c)Day of First ObservationLife Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	= 0.598N = 0.610 'coma (50 (6%) (f, 33 (6%) 94 = 0.184N = 0.227N	P = 0. $P = 0.$ $j) 3/50 ($ $6.9%$ $1/40 ($ $659$ $P = 0.$ $P = 0.$	312 309 6%) 3%) 582N	$P = 0.718N$ $P = 0.753N$ $\frac{1}{50} (2\%)$ $2.5\%$ $\frac{1}{40} (3\%)$ $749$
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)ParticulatoryFisher Exact Test (d)ParticulatorySystem: Hemangioma or HemangiosarOverall Rates (e)Overall Rates (e)3/Adjusted Rates (b)8.Terminal Rates (c)2/Day of First Observation62Life Table Tests (d)ParticulatoryLogistic Regression Tests (d)ParticulatoryCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)Particulatory	= 0.610 'coma (50 (6%) (f, 4% (33 (6%) 94 = 0.184N = 0.227N	P = 0. (j) 3/50 ( 6.9% 1/40 ( 659 P=0. P=0.	309 6%) 3%) 582N	P=0.753N 1/50 (2%) 2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749
Fisher Exact Test (d)Great Colspan="2">Great Colspan="2"Great Colspan="2"Great Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Great Colspan="2"Great Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Great Colspan="2"Great Colspan="2"Great Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"First Colspan="2"Privation Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"<	rcoma /50 (6%) (f, /33 (6%) 94 = 0.184N = 0.227N	j) $3/50($ 6.9% 1/40( 659 P=0. P=0.	6%) 3%) 582N	1/50 (2%) 2.5% 1/40 (3%) 7 <b>49</b>
Overall Rates (e)3/Adjusted Rates (b)8.Terminal Rates (c)2/Day of First Observation65Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	$\sqrt{50} (6\%)$ (f, 4% $\sqrt{33} (6\%)$ 94 = 0.184N = 0.227N	6.9% 1/40 ( 659 P=0. P=0.	3%) 582N	2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749
Overall Rates (e)3/Adjusted Rates (b)8.Terminal Rates (c)2/Day of First Observation65Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	$\sqrt{50} (6\%)$ (f, 4% $\sqrt{33} (6\%)$ 94 = 0.184N = 0.227N	6.9% 1/40 ( 659 P=0. P=0.	3%) 582N	2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749
Adjusted Rates (b)8.Terminal Rates (c)2/Day of First Observation69Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	.4% /33 (6%) 94 =0.184N =0.227N	6.9% 1/40 ( 659 P=0. P=0.	3%) 582N	2.5% 1/40 (3%) 749
Terminal Rates (c)2/Day of First Observation65Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	/33 (6%) 94 =0.184N =0.227N	1/40 ( 659 P=0. P=0.	(3%) 582N	1/40 (3%) 749
Day of First Observation65Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	94 =0.184N =0.227N	$659 \\ P=0. \\ P=0.$	582N	749
Life Table Tests (d)PLogistic Regression Tests (d)PCochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P	=0.184N =0.227N	P=0. $P=0.$		
Logistic Regression Tests (d) P Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P	=0.227N	$\mathbf{P}=0.$		P = U. 744 (M
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P			.004IN	
Jochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P	=0.238N	P=0.		P = 0.277 N
		r≠0.	COIN	D-0.900N
Fisher Exact Test (d)			661 N	P = 0.309N
lematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Malignant	1/20/10/20 /0			0/50/14/00
		j) 12/50		8/50 (16%)
	4.4%	26.0%	-	18.3%
	6/33 (48%)		(15%)	5/40 (13%)
	52	640		694
	<0.001N	P=0.	.018N	P = 0.001 N
Logistic Regression Tests (d) P	= 0.002N	P=0.	.037N	P = 0.003 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P	= 0.003 N			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.	.044N	P = 0.004 N
All Sites: Benign Tumors				
	3/50 (46%)	17/50	(34%)	13/50 (26%)
	0.0%	39.39		30.5%
	8/33 (55%)		(35%)	11/40 (28%)
	65	659		616
	=0.005N		.047N	P = 0.007N
	= 0.003N		.107N	P = 0.007 N P = 0.018 N
		$\mathbf{r} = 0$	.1071	F=0.018N
	= 0.023 N	<b>D</b> 0	1 = 131	D 0.00037
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0	.154N	P = 0.030N
Il Sites: Malignant Tumors				
Overall Rates (a) 35	5/50 (70%)		)(34%)	16/50 (32%)
	4.2%	36.09		37.0%
	1/33 (64%)		)(25%)	13/40 (33%)
	82	53		694
	<0.001N		.001N	P<0.001N
	<0.001N	P<0.	.001N	P<0.001N
	<0.001N			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P<0.	.001 N	P<0.001N
Il Sites: All Tumors				
Overall Rates (a) 46	6/50 (92%)	27/50	) (54%)	27/50 (54%)
	3.9%	56.29		61.1%
	0/33 (91%)		)(48%)	23/40 (58%)
	82	53		616
	<0.001N		.001N	P<0.001N
	<0.001N		.001N	P<0.001N
	<0.001N	0.		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	~0.00111	P-0	.001N	P<0.001N

#### TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

#### TABLE D3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined grossly at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(e) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined microscopically at the site

(f) Incomplete sampling of tissues

(i) A squamous cell carcinoma was observed in one of the animals bearing a squamous cell papilloma.

(j) Fifteen livers, 19 spleens, and 15 lymph nodes were examined.

<sup>(</sup>c) Observed tumor incidence in animals killed at the end of the study

<sup>(</sup>d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The logistic regression test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group than in controls is indicated by (N).

<sup>(</sup>g) Includes one alveolus bronchus adenoma

<sup>(</sup>h) No P value is presented because no tumors were observed in the control and 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> groups.

		Incidence in Co	ntrols
Study	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
listorical Incidence for Ch	amber Controls at Battelle P	acific Northwest La	boratories
Propylene oxíde	8/46	1/46	9/46
Methyl methacrylate	12/49	0/49	12/49
Propylene	(b) 13/41	0/41	13/41
1,2-Epoxybutane	19/47	3/47	22/47
Dichloromethane	4/46	0/46	4/46
Ethylene oxide	4/48	1/48	5/48
Bromoethane	2/48	0/48	2/48
<b>Fetrachlor</b> oethylene	2/45	5/45	7/45
TOTAL	(b) 64/370 (17.3%)	10/370 (2.7%)	(b) 7 <b>4/</b> 370 (20.0%)
SD (c)	13.55%	4.04%	13.97%
Range (d)			
High	19/47	5/45	22/47
Low	2/48	0/49	2/48
Overall Historical Incidenc	e for Untreated Controls in I	NTP Studies	
TOTAL	(e) <b>244/1,528</b> (16.0%)	(f) 12/1,528 (0.8%)	(e,f) 256/1,528 (16.8%)
SD (c)	10.80%	1.42%	11.09%
Range (d)			
High	18/49	3/50	19/49
Low	0/48	0/50	0/48

#### TABLE D4a. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ANTERIOR PITUITARY GLAND NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE B6C3F1 MICE (a)

(a) Data as of March 1, 1989, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Includes 11 chromophobe adenomas

(c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals. (e) Includes four chromophobe adenomas

(f) Includes three adenocarcinomas, NOS

## TABLE D4b. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF INTERMEDIA PITUITARY GLAND NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE B6C3F1 MICE (a)

Study	Incidence of Adenomas in Controls					
Historical Incidence for Chamber Controls at Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories						
Propylene oxide	0/46					
Methyl methacrylate	1/49					
Propylene	0/41					
1,2-Epoxybutane	0/47					
Dichloromethane	0/46					
Ethylene oxide	0/48					
Bromoethane	0/48					
Tetrachloroethylene	0/45					
TOTAL	1/370 (0.3%)					
SD (b)	0.72%					
Range (c)						
High	1/49					
Low	0/48					
<b>Overall Historical Incidence for Untre</b>	eated Controls in NTP Studies					
TOTAL	3/1,528 (0.2%)					
SD (b)	0.64%					
Range (c)						
High	1/43					
Low	0/50					

(a) Data as of March 1, 1989, for studies of at least 104 weeks; no malignant tumors have been observed.
(b) Standard deviation
(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

	Incidence in Controls			
Study	Lymphoma	Lymphoma or Leukemia		
torical Incidence for Chamb	er Controls at Battelle Pacific Nor	thwest Laboratories		
pylene oxide	12/50	12/50		
thyl methacrylate	8/50	8/50		
oylene	16/50	16/50		
Epoxybutane	13/50	13/50		
hloromethane	7/50	7/50		
ylene oxide	9/49	9/49		
moethane	11/50	11/50		
chloroethylene	8/49	8/49		
OTAL	84/398 (21.1%)	84/398 (21.1%)		
D (b)	6.08%	6.08%		
ge (c)				
High	16/50	16/50		
ow	7/50	7/50		
rall Historical Incidence for	Untreated Controls in NTP Studie	es		
TOTAL	523/1,689(31.0%)	537/1,689(31.8%)		
SD (b)	12.73%	12.20%		
ge (c)				
ligh	37/50	38/50		
	5/50	6/50		

#### TABLE D4c. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE $\rm B6C3F_1$ MICE (a)

(a) Data as of March 1, 1989, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Standard deviation
(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

	Chambe	er Control	0.75 1	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	g/m <sup>3</sup>
DISPOSITION SUMMARY						
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Early deaths			00			
Dead	9		5		7	
Moribund	8		5		3	
Survivors	Ū		0		U	
Terminal sacrifice	33		40		40	
Animals examined microscopically	50		50		40 50	
	50		50		50	
LIMENTARY SYSTEM						
Gallbladder	(42)		(5)		(40)	
Inflammation, suppurative	(42)		(0)			(3%)
Intestine small, ileum	(44)		(9)		(44)	(0 /0)
			(9)		(44)	
Amyloid deposition		(2%)				
Liver	(50)		(15)		(49)	
Angiectasis					1	(2%)
Basophilic focus	1	(2%)				
Clear cell focus					1	(2%)
Eosinophilic focus	1	(2%)			-	
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	1	(0, 0)			1	(2%)
Honotodianhangerationalula		(90)			1	(470)
Hepatodiaphragmatic nodule		(2%)				(00)
Leukocytosis		(4%)				(2%)
Necrosis	3	(6%)	1	(7%)		(2%)
Vacuolization cytoplasmic					1	(2%)
Mesentery	(4)		(1)			
Fibrosis		(25%)				
Inflammation, chronic	-		1	(100%)		
Necrosis	9	(50%)		(100%)		
Pancreas		(00%)		(100%)	(10)	
	(50)	(00)	(11)		(49)	
Atrophy	1	(2%)		(0~~)		
Developmental malformation				(9%)		
Inflammation, chronic			1	(9%)		
Duct, dilatation		(2%)				
Stomach, forestomach	(48)		(48)		(47)	
Acanthosis				(6%)	. –	
Cyst				(2%)		
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)				
Ulcer	1		•	(2%)		
	(10)			(270)	/ <b>* *</b> *	
Stomach, glandular	(49)		(48)	(1.27)	(47)	(0.0)
Erosion			2	(4%)		(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative		(2%)				(2%)
Epithelium, hyperplasia	2	(4%)			3	(6%)
Tooth			(1)			
Developmental malformation				(100%)		
CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM						
Heart	(50)		(10)		(49)	
Cardiomyopathy		(2%)	(		(	
Hemorrhage		(4%)				
	2	(-= /0)				(90)
Inflammation, suppurative						(2%)
Artery, inflammation					1	(2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	·····					
	100		(10)		(10)	
Adrenal gland Capsule, hyperplasia	(50)		(10)		(49)	
Conquite https://www.		(98%)		(90%)		(98%)

### TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2

	Chambe	er Control	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	g∕m³
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)				•		
Adrenal gland, cortex	(50)		(10)		(49)	
Angiectasis		(2%)	(10)		• • • •	(2%)
Cyst	-	(4 /0)				(2%)
Cytomegaly	4	(8%)				(6%)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation						(2%)
Hemorrhage						(2%)
Vacuolization cytoplasmic						(2%)
Adrenal gland, medulla	(49)		(10)		(48)	$(\Delta \mathcal{H})$
Inflammation, suppurative	(43)		(10)			(2%)
Karyomegaly	1	(2%)			1	(470)
		(270)	(5)		(00)	
Parathyroid gland	(14)		(5)		(36)	(00)
Cyst			(10)			(3%)
Pituitary gland	(47)		(46)		(46)	
Angiectasis		(13%)				
Cyst		(2%)		(2%)		
Pars distalis, hyperplasia		(34%)		(17%)		(15%)
Thyroid gland	(49)		(49)		(49)	
Inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
C-cell, hyperplasia	1	(2%)				
Follicular cell, hyperplasia		(35%)	6	(12%)	5	(10%)
Follicular cell, hyperplasia, multiple				(2%)	-	
GENITAL SYSTEM Clitoral gland					(1)	
Inflammation, suppurative					. ,	(100%)
Ovary	(50)		(20)		(49)	(100/0)
Angiectasis			(20)			(2%)
Cyst	15	(30%)	10	(50%)		(20%)
Cyst, multiple		(2%)		(5%)	-•	(=0 /0)
Hemorrhage	-	(2,0)	-	(0,0)	4	(8%)
Mineralization						(2%)
Thrombus	1	(2%)			-	(270)
Germinal epithelium, hyperplasia		(6%)			ი	(4%)
Uterus	(49)		(15)		(48)	( = /0)
Angiectasis		(2%)	(10)		(40)	
Dilatation		(4%)	0	(13%)	0	(17%)
Hemorrhage		(4%) (2%)		(13%) (7%)		(17%)
	1	(2.10)	1	(10)	-	(6%)
Inflammation supportive						(070)
Inflammation, suppurative Thrombus			1	(796)	3	
Thrombus	30	(61%)		(7%) (33%)		(1804)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia	30	(61%)		(7%) (33%)	23	( <b>4</b> 8%) (2%)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous	30	(61%)		<b>X</b> · · · · ·	23	(48%) (2%)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	<u> </u>		5	<b>X</b> · · · · ·	23 1	
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	(50)		(10)	(33%)	23 1 (49)	(2%)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Myelofibrosis	(50)		(10)	<b>X</b> · · · · ·	23 1 (49) 42	(2%)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Myelofibrosis Myelofibrosis Myeloid cell, hyperplasia	(50) 36	(72%)	(10)	(33%)	23 1 (49) 42 2	(2%)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Myelofibrosis Myeloid cell, hyperplasia Lymph node	(50)	(72%)	(10) 5 (15)	(33%)	23 1 (49) 42	(2%)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Myelofibrosis Myeloid cell, hyperplasia Lymph node Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(50) 36 (50)	(72%)	(10) 5 (15) 1	(33%) (50%) (7%)	23 1 (49) 42 2	(2%)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Myelofibrosis Myeloid cell, hyperplasia Lymph node Hyperplasia, lymphoid Mesenteric, hematopoietic cell proliferation	(50) 36 (50)	(72%)	(10) 5 (15) 1	(33%)	23 1 (49) 42 2	(2%)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Myelofibrosis Myeloid cell, hyperplasia Lymph node Hyperplasia, lymphoid Mesenteric, hematopoietic cell proliferation Pancreatic, angiectasis	(50) 36 (50)	(72%)	(10) 5 (15) 1	(33%) (50%) (7%)	23 1 (49) 42 2 (48)	(2%)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Myelofibrosis Myeloid cell, hyperplasia Lymph node Hyperplasia, lymphoid Mesenteric, hematopoietic cell proliferation Pancreatic, angiectasis Pancreatic, inflammation, suppurative	(50) 36 (50)	(72%)	(10) 5 (15) 1	(33%) (50%) (7%)	23 1 (49) 42 2 (48) 1	(2%) (86%) (4%)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Myelofibrosis Myeloid cell, hyperplasia Lymph node Hyperplasia, lymphoid Mesenteric, hematopoietic cell proliferation Pancreatic, angiectasis Pancreatic, inflammation, suppurative Lymph node, bronchial	(50) 36 (50)	(72%)	(10) 5 (15) 1	(33%) (50%) (7%)	23 1 (49) 42 2 (48) 1	(2%) (86%) (4%) (2%)
Thrombus Endometrium, hyperplasia Endometrium, metaplasia, squamous HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Myelofibrosis Myeloid cell, hyperplasia Lymph node Hyperplasia, lymphoid Mesenteric, hematopoietic cell proliferation Pancreatic, angiectasis Pancreatic, inflammation, suppurative	(50) 36 (50) n (48)	(72%)	(10) 5 (15) 1 1	(33%) (50%) (7%)	23 1 (49) 42 2 (48) 1 1 (46)	(2%) (86%) (4%) (2%)

## TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THETWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

	Chambe	er Control	0.75	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	g/m <sup>3</sup>
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)	·				<u> </u>	
Lymph node, mandibular	(44)		(11)		(38)	
Cyst						(3%)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	5	(11%)			4	(11%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid					1	(3%)
Inflammation, suppurative					1	(3%)
Spleen	(50)		(19)		(49)	
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	9	(18%)	7	(37%)	10	(20%)
Infarct	2	(4%)				
Necrosis					1	(2%)
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
Mammary gland	(45)		(10)		(47)	
Cyst	• •	(2%)	(		()	
Skin	(50)		(17)		(49)	
Acanthosis						(4%)
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative					1	(2%)
Ulcer					2	(4%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None						
NERVOUS SYSTEM	···· ··· ···					
Brain	(50)		(11)		(49)	
Compression		(10%)	()			(2%)
Hemorrhage		(4%)				(4%)
Mineralization		(40%)	5	(45%)		(35%)
Necrosis	1	(2%)				
Vacuolization cytoplasmic	1	(2%)				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM		<u></u>				
Larynx	(50)		(10)		(47)	
Artery, inflammation	(00)		(10)			(2%)
Lung	(50)		(17)		(49)	()
Congestion	,		<b>x</b> ,			(4%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				(4%)
Leukocytosis		(8%)				(8%)
Alveolus, hyperplasia	3	(6%)	1	(6%)		(2%)
Alveolus, infiltration cellular, histiocytic		(10%)	2	(12%)		(10%)
Alveolus, inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)				(4%)
Artery, mineralization		( <b>a</b> - / )			1	(2%)
Pleura, fibrosis		(2%)				
Nose	(50)		(49)		(49)	
Exudate, serous		(2.4)	1	(2%)		
Foreign body		(2%)		(97)		
Hemorrhage		(4%)	1	(2%)	-	(100)
Inflammation, acute		(2%)	^	(100)		( <b>4%</b> )
Inflammation, suppurative		(16%)		(18%)	18	(37%)
Nasolacrimal duct, inflammation, suppurativ	/e 7	(14%)	2	(4%)	•	(901)
Olfactory epithelium, atrophy Respiratory epithelium, hyporplasia				(9.0/-)		(2%)
Respiratory epithelium, hyperplasia Respiratory epithelium, metaplasia, squamor	1	(2%)		(8%) (12%)		(14%)
Trachea	us (50)	(470)		(1270)		(35%)
Hemorrhage		(2%)	(10)		(48)	
					1	(906)
Inflammation, suppurative		(2%)			1	(2%)

#### TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

	Chamber	r Control	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 m	ng/m <sup>3</sup>
SPECIAL SENSES SYSTEM		····			
Eye	(33)			(38)	
Atrophy				1	(3%)
Hemorrhage				1	(3%)
Cornea, inflammation, suppurative	1	(3%)			
Lens, cataract				1	(3%)
Retina, vacuolization cytoplasmic				1	(3%)
JRINARY SYSTEM					
Kidney	(49)		(13)	(49)	
Developmental malformation				1	(2%)
Infiltration cellular, lymphocytic	1	(2%)			
Mineralization		(2%)		1	(2%)
Nephropathy, chronic		(84%)	7 (54%)	47	(96%)

## TABLE D5. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THETWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDY OF CS2 (Continued)

#### **APPENDIX E**

#### SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

PAGE
TABLE E1 MURINE ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2 173

#### Methods

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program are produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect study results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the study rooms. These animals are untreated, and these animals and the study animals are both subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals come from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Fifteen  $B6C3F_1$  mice and 15 F344/N rats of each sex were selected at the time of randomization and allocation of the animals to the various study groups. Five animals of each designated sentinel group were killed at 6, 12, and 18 months on study. Data from animals surviving 24 months were collected from 5/50 randomly selected control animals of each sex and species. The blood from each animal was collected and clotted, and the serum was separated. The serum was cooled on ice and shipped to Microbiological Associates' Comprehensive Animal Diagnostic Service for determination of the antibody titers. The following tests were performed:

	Hemagglutination <u>Inhibition</u>	Complement <u>Fixation</u>	<u>ELISA</u>
Mice	PVM (pneumonia virus of mice) (a) Reo 3 (reovirus type 3) (a) GDVII (Theiler's encephalomyelitis virus) (c) Poly (polyoma virus) MVM (minute virus of mice) Ectro (infectious ectromelia) (a) Sendai (a)	LCM (lymphocytic chorio- meningitis virus) <u>IFA</u>	MHV (mouse hepatitis virus) PVM (b) Sendai (b) Ectro (b) GDVII (d) M. Ad. (b) Reo 3 (b) M. arth. (Mycoplasma arthritidis) (b) M. pul. (Mycoplasma
		EDIM (epizootic diarrhea of infant mice) (b)	pulmonis) (e)
Rats	PVM (a) KRV (Kilham rat virus) H-1 (Toolan's H-1 virus) Sendai (a)		RCV/SDA (rat corona- virus/sialodacryoaden- itis virus) Sendai (b) <i>M. arth.</i> (b) <i>M. pul.</i> (e) PVM (b)
Resul	ts		

Results are presented in Table E1.

<sup>(</sup>a) Test performed at 6, 12, and 18 months only

<sup>(</sup>b) Test performed at 24 months only

<sup>(</sup>c) Test performed at 6 and 12 months only

<sup>(</sup>d) Test performed at 18 and 24 months only

<sup>(</sup>e) Test performed at 6 and 24 months only

Interval (mont	Number of hs) Animals	Positive Serologic Reaction for
RATS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	10/10 10/10 9/10	PVM M. pul. (b) RCV/SDA
12	10/10 10/10	PVM RCV/SDA
18	9/9 8/9	PVM RCV/SDA
24	10/10 9/10	PVM RCV/SDA
MICE		
1	(c) 1	None
6	7/9	PVM
12	4/9	PVM
18	2/9	PVM
21-22	(d)	
24	10/10 1/10	PVM MHV (e)

#### TABLE E1. MURINE ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEARINHALATION STUDIES OF CS2 (a)

(a) Blood samples were taken from sentinel animals at 6, 12, and 18 months after the start of dosing and from the control animals just before they were killed; samples were sent to Microbiological Associates, Inc. (Bethesda, MD) for determination of antibody titers.

(b) Further evaluation of this assay indicated that it was not specific for *M. pulmonis*, and these results were considered to be false positive.

(c) No antibody titers were observed for the sentinel mouse tested. The mouse was killed to investigate an abnormality in mouse hair coats.

(d) No MHV antibodies were observed for the five moribund mice tested.

(e) Probable false positive
**CS2, NTP TR 377** 

### **APPENDIX F**

# INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

### Pellet Diet: November 1982 to November 1984

(Manufactured by Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA)

		PAGE
TABLE F1	INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	176
TABLE F2	VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	176
TABLE F3	NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	177
TABLE F4	CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION	178

Ingredients (b)	Percent by Weight
Ground #2 yellow shelled corn	24.50
Ground hard winter wheat	23.00
Soybean meal (49% protein)	12.00
Fish meal (60% protein)	10.00
Wheatmiddlings	10.00
Dried skim milk	5.00
Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17% protein)	4.00
Corn gluten meal (60% protein)	3.00
oy oil	2.50
Dried brewer's yeast	2.00
Dry molasses	1.50
Dicalcium phosphate	1.25
Fround limestone	0.50
alt	0.50
remixes (vitamin and mineral)	0.25

#### TABLE F1. INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

(a) NCI, 1976; NIH, 1978

(b) Ingredients ground to pass through a U.S. Standard Screen No. 16 before being mixed

	Amount	Source				
Vitamins						
Α	5,500,000 IU	Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate				
D <sub>3</sub>	4,600,000 IU	D-activated animal sterol				
K <sub>3</sub>	2.8 g	Menadione				
d-a-Tocopheryl acetate	20,000 IŬ					
Choline	560.0 g	Choline chloride				
Folic acid	2.2 g					
Niacin	30.0 g					
d-Pantothenic acid	18.0 g	d-Calcium pantothenate				
Riboflavin	3.4 g	-				
Thiamine	10.0 g	Thiamine mononitrate				
B <sub>12</sub>	4,000 µg					
Pyridoxine	1.7 g	Pyridoxine hydrochloride				
Biotin	140.0 mg	d-Biotin				
Minerals						
Iron	120.0 g	Iron sulfate				
Manganese	60.0 g	Manganous oxide				
Zinc	16.0 g	Zincoxide				
Copper	4.0 g	Copper sulfate				
Iodine	1.4 g	Calcium iodate				
Cobalt	0.4 g	Cobalt carbonate				

#### TABLE F2. VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

(a) Per ton (2,000 lb) of finished product

### TABLE F3. NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Nutrients	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	Number of Samples	
Protein (percent by weight)	$22.90 \pm 0.98$	22.1-24.9	13	
Crude fat (percent by weight)	$5.32 \pm 0.61$	4.4-6.5	13	
Crude fiber (percent by weight)	$3.50 \pm 0.68$	2.8-5.6	13	
Ash (percent by weight)	$6.62 \pm 0.30$	6.3-7.2	13	
Amino Acids (percent of total di	et)			
Arginine	$1.320 \pm 0.072$	1.310-1.390	5	
Cystine	$0.319 \pm 0.088$	0.218-0.400	5	
Glycine	$1.146 \pm 0.063$	1.060-1.210	5	
Histidine	$0.571 \pm 0.026$	0.531-0.603	5	
Isoleucine	$0.914 \pm 0.030$	0.881-0.944	5	
Leucine	$1.946 \pm 0.056$	1.850-1.990	5	
Lysine	$1.280 \pm 0.067$	1.200-1.370	5	
Methionine	$0.436 \pm 0.165$	0.306-0.699	5	
Phenylalanine	$0.938 \pm 0.158$	0.665-1.050	5	
Threonine	$0.855 \pm 0.035$	0.824-0.898	5	
Tryptophan	$0.277 \pm 0.221$	0.156-0.671	5	
Tyrosine	$0.618 \pm 0.086$	0.564-0.769	5	
Valine	$1.108 \pm 0.043$	1.050-1.170	5	
Essential Fatty Acids (percent o	f total diet)			
Linoleic	$2.290 \pm 0.313$	1.830-2.520	5	
Linolenic	$0.258 \pm 0.040$	0.210-0.308	5	
Vitamins				
Vitamin A (IU/kg)	$12,523 \pm 4,549$	3,600-24,000	13	
Vitamin D (IU/kg)	$4,450 \pm 1,382$	3,000-6,300	4	
a-Tocopherol (ppm)	$43.58 \pm 6.92$	31.1-48.0	5	
Thiamine (ppm)	$18.54 \pm 3.28$	13.0-24.0	13	
Riboflavin (ppm)	$7.6 \pm 0.85$	6.10-8.20	5	
Niacin (ppm)	97.8 ± 31.68	65.0-150.0	5	
Pantothenic acid (ppm)	$30.06 \pm 4.31$	23.0-34.0	5	
Pyridoxine (ppm)	$7.68 \pm 1.31$	5.60-8.80	5	
Folic acid (ppm)	$2.62 \pm 0.89$	1.80-3.70	5	
Biotin (ppm)	$0.254 \pm 0.053$	0.19-0.32	5	
Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb)	$24.21 \pm 12.66$	10.6-38.0	5	
Choline (ppm)	$3,122 \pm 416.8$	2,400-3,430	5	
Minerals				
Calcium (percent)	$1.30 \pm 0.12$	1.140-1.540	13	
Phosphorus (percent)	$0.97 \pm 0.05$	0.910-1.100	13	
Potassium (percent)	$0.900 \pm 0.098$	0.772-0.971	3	
Chloride (percent)	$0.513 \pm 0.114$	0.380-0.635	5	
Sodium (percent)	$0.323 \pm 0.043$	0.258-0.371	5	
Magnesium (percent)	$0.167 \pm 0.012$	0.151-0.181	5	
Sulfur (percent)	$0.304 \pm 0.064$	0.268-0.420	5	
Iron (ppm)	$410.3 \pm 94.04$	262.0-523.0	5	
Manganese (ppm)	$90.29 \pm 7.15$	81.70-99.40	5 5 5	
Zinc (ppm)	$52.78 \pm 4.94$	46.10-58.20	5	
Copper (ppm)	$10.72 \pm 2.76$	8.09-15.39	5	
Iodine (ppm)	$2.95 \pm 1.05$	1.52-3.82	4	
Chromium (ppm)	$1.85 \pm 0.25$	1.44-2.09	5	
Cobalt (ppm)	$0.681 \pm 0.14$	0.490-0.780	4	

TABLE F4.	CONTAMINANT	LEVELS IN	NIH 07 RAT	AND MOUSE	RATION

Contaminants	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	Number of Samples		
Arsenic (ppm)	$0.54 \pm 0.18$	0.17-0.74	13		
Cadmium (ppm) (a)	< 0.10		13		
Lead (ppm)	$0.60 \pm 0.26$	0.33-1.27	13		
Mercury (ppm) (a)	< 0.05		13		
Selenium (ppm)	$0.32 \pm 0.08$	0.13-0.41	13		
Aflatoxins (ppb) (a)	<5.0		13		
Nitrate nitrogen (ppm) (b)	$9.07 \pm 4.77$	0.10-19.0	13		
Nitrite nitrogen (ppm) (b)	$1.08 \pm 1.90$	0.10-7.20	13		
BHA (ppm) (c)	$3.39 \pm 4.17$	2.00-17.0	13		
BHT (ppm) (c)	$2.69 \pm 3.01$	1.00-12.0	13		
Aerobic plate count (CFU/g) (d)	$52,192 \pm 42,836$	7,100-130,000	13		
Coliform (MPN/g) (e)	$14.23 \pm 17.31$	<3.00-43.0	13		
E. coli (MPN/g)	<3.00		13		
Total nitrosamines (ppb) (f)	$6.42 \pm 7.70$	1.85-30.90	13		
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (f)	$5.38 \pm 7.74$	0.95-30.00	13		
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine (ppb) (f)	$1.04 \pm 0.24$	0.90-1.70	13		
Pesticides (ppm)					
a-BHC (a,g)	< 0.01		13		
$\beta$ -BHC (a)	< 0.02		13		
γ-BHC (a)	< 0.01		13		
δ-BHC (a)	< 0.01		13		
Heptachlor (a)	< 0.01		13		
Aldrin (a)	< 0.01		13		
Heptachlor epoxide (a)	< 0.01		13		
DDE (a)	< 0.01		13		
DDD(a)	< 0.01		13		
DDT(a)	< 0.01		13		
HCB(a)	< 0.01		13		
Mirex (a)	< 0.01		13		
Methoxychlor (a)	< 0.05		13		
Dieldrin (a)	< 0.01		13		
Endrin (a)	< 0.01		13		
Telodrin (a)	< 0.01		13		
Chlordane (a)	< 0.05		13		
Toxaphene (a)	< 0.1		13		
Estimated PCBs (a)	< 0.2		13		
Ronnel (a)	< 0.01		13		
Ethion (a)	< 0.02		13		
Trithion (a)	< 0.05		13		
Diazinon (a)	< 0.1		13		
Methyl parathion	< 0.02		13		
Ethyl parathion (a)	< 0.02		13		
Malathion (h)	$0.09 \pm 0.06$	0.05-0.25	13		
Endosulfan I (a)	< 0.01		13		
Endosulfan II (a)	< 0.01		13		
Endosulfan sulfate (a)	< 0.03		13		

(a) All values were less than the detection limit, given in the table as the mean.

(a) All values were less than the detection limit, given in the
(b) Source of contamination: alfalfa, grains, and fish meal
(c) Source of contamination: soy oil and fish meal
(d) CFU = colony-forming unit
(e) MPN = most probable number
(f) All values were corrected for percent recovery.
(g) BHC = hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride
(h) Six lots contained more than 0.05 ppm.

### APPENDIX G

# CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION, GENERATION, AND MONITORING OF CHAMBER CONCENTRATIONS OF CS2 FOR THE TOXICOLOGY STUDIES

		PAGE
TABLE G1	AEROSOL GENERATION SYSTEM IN THE INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2	183
TABLE G2	SUMMARY OF CHAMBER CONCENTRATIONS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2	188

### **APPENDIX G. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

#### **PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF CS2**

CS2, a formulated mixture of 94% o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile, 1% hexamethyldisilizane, and 5% Cab-O-Sil® colloidal silica, was obtained in one lot (lot no. APG-55-MD) from Aberdeen Proving Ground (Aberdeen, MD) in 8-pound paper bags with plastic liners in a metal barrel. Purity and identity analyses were conducted at Midwest Research Institute (MRI) (Kansas City, MO). MRI reports on analyses performed in support of the CS2 studies are on file at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

Three individual bags, selected randomly, were homogenized by manual rolling and kneading. Samples were removed from the three bags and analyzed for homogeneity by gas chromatography performed with a 3% Dexsil 400 column, with nitrogen as the carrier at 70 ml/minute, and with flame ionization detection (system 1). The same major peak and three unresolved impurities were detected for each of the samples.

The study chemical, a cream-colored, microcrystalline powder, was identified as CS2 by spectroscopic analyses. The infrared (Figure G1), ultraviolet/visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance (Figure G2) spectra were consistent with the literature spectra (Sadtler Standard Spectra). The methyl peaks expected in the nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum for hexamethyldisilizane were not observed; highly reactive hexamethyldisilizane may have been lost to the system through reaction with water or other reactive hydroxyls.

The purity of CS2 was determined by elemental analysis, thin-layer chromatography, and gas chromatography with two systems. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on 0.25-mm silica gel plates with two solvent systems: 100% toluene and hexanes:diethylether (70:30). Visualization was by visible and ultraviolet light (254 nm) and a potassium permanganate in dilute sodium hydroxide spray. Gas chromatographic analysis was performed with flame ionization detection and the same system as previously described for homogeneity analysis or with a 20% SP2100/0.1% Carbowax 1500 column (system 2).

The results of elemental analysis of lot no. APG-55-MD were high for carbon and were in agreement with the theoretical values for hydrogen, nitrogen, chlorine, and silicon. No impurities were detected by either thin-layer chromatographic system. Gas chromatographic system 1 indicated three unresolved impurities after the major peak, with combined areas of 0.09% relative to the major peak area. Gas chromatographic system 2 indicated two impurities, one before and one after the major peak, with a combined relative area of 0.08%.

Stability studies, performed by gas chromatography and with the same column as previously described for system 1 and with 0.5% nonadecane as the internal standard, indicated that CS2 was stable in the dark for at least 2 weeks at temperatures up to  $60^{\circ}$  C.

The purity and identity of CS2 were confirmed throughout the studies by gas chromatographic system 1 and by infrared spectroscopy.





182

### **GENERATION AND MONITORING OF CHAMBER CONCENTRATIONS**

### Generation System

The CS2 aerosol was generated within a small glove box and passed through a krypton-83 deionizer into a distribution line. The CS2 aerosol was generated from the original powder with a dual-brush dust feed mechanism (Table G1). The device (Figure G3) consisted of a cylindrical "main" hopper and a small delivery tube. The main hopper laid horizontally and contained a large randomly wound brush. This brush was rotated to keep the powder "fluidized." The delivery tube was perpendicular to the hopper and also in the horizontal plane. The two were connected by a hole at the bottom of the hopper. The delivery tube contained a spirally wound brush, which was rotated with a stepping motor to feed powder from the hole in the hopper to a point where the CS2 was aspirated into an airstream.

The CS2 dust distribution system is depicted schematically in Figure G4. Aerosol from the generator was diluted with HEPA-filtered room air and carried past each exposure chamber by a main duct that terminated with an absolute filter. Aerosol concentration in this main duct was controlled by adjusting the rotational speed of the generator feed brush or by changing the total airflow in the duct. Aerosol pumps for each chamber were used to pull a fraction of the aerosol from the main duct and to inject it into the exposure chamber, where further dilution air was added to achieve the desired concentration. The aerosol pumps, compressed-air-operated Venturi vacuum pumps with no moving parts, are designed to run maintenance free when pumping "dirty" atmospheres, such as the CS2-air mixture coming from the main duct. Pump flow rates were determined by the pressure of the compressed air driving them and were adjustable by pressure regulators located at the front of each exposure chamber.

Aerosol concentrations in the exposure chambers were controlled primarily by adjusting the aerosol pump rates. Secondary adjustments were made by changing the dilution airflow into the chamber.

Hazleton 2000<sup>®</sup> steel chambers available from Lab Products, Inc.. were used for the inhalation exposure. The chambers, with a total volume of 2.3 m<sup>3</sup>, have an active mixing volume of about 1.7 m<sup>3</sup>, the remainder being the nonmixing inlet and exhaust volumes.

#### **Concentration Monitoring**

Aerosol concentration was monitored continuously during the 14-day studies and periodically during the 13-week studies with a RAM-1 (GCA Corporation) forward light-scattering monitor (nephelometer). During the 2-year studies, a RAM-S forward light-scattering monitor determined aerosol concentrations approximately once per hour. The RAM-1 was calibrated by collecting filter grab samples

#### TABLE G1. AEROSOL GENERATION SYSTEM IN THE INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2

Fourteen-Day	Thirteen-Week	Two-Year		
Studies	Studies	Studies		
Powder was passed through a dual-brush dust feed generator. Agglomerates were broken up in an air jet disruptor. Elec- trostatic charge was neutralized by a ra- dioactive deionizer. The aerosol was mixed with dilution air and entered the exposure chambers.	injected into exposure chambers by aero- sol pumps with adjustable flow rates to	Similar to 14-d studies. The aerosol was diluted with HEPA-filtered room air. The aerosol was injected into exposure chambers by aerosol pumps with adjust- able flow rates.		



FIGURE G3. DUAL-BRUSH DUST FEED GENERATOR AND DUST DISPENSOR UNIT USED IN THE CS2 TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDIES



FIGURE G4. SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF THE SYSTEM USED TO GENERATE AND DELIVER CS2 PARTICLES IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDIES from each chamber and by determining the amount of aerosolized o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile by gas chromatographic analysis (3% silar 5 CP on gas chrom Q column) with flame ionization detection. During the 2-year studies, the RAM-S was calibrated twice per month by collecting samples in a bubbler containing chloroform with known amounts of internal standard hexachlorobenzene added and quantification of the o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile and the hydrolysis product o-chlorobenzaldehyde by gas chromatographic analysis with an electron-capture detector.

During the 14-day and 13-week studies, only the aerosolized o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile was collected on the filter grab samples and the resultant data used to calibrate the RAM-1. During the 2year studies, the RAM-S response was correlated with total o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile (aerosol and vapor) plus o-chlorobenzaldehyde concentrations. Since the relationship between the total aerosol and total organic components was relatively stable, the RAM-S monitor was calibrated to indicate total organic concentration in the chambers by correlation with the gas chromatographic analysis of the bubbler samples from the chambers. This relationship between the aerosol monitor readings and the bubbler sample analysis is discussed in detail below.

The study material in the atmosphere of the exposure chambers consisted of both organic and inorganic components. There were three organic components (o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile aerosol particles, o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile vapor, and o-chlorobenzaldehyde vapor) and one inorganic component (Cab-O-Sil<sup>®</sup> aerosol particles containing a molecular coating of hexamethyldisilizane). The aerosol monitor was able to detect only the solid airborne particles, o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile particles, and Cab-O-Sil<sup>®</sup> aerosol particles and could not respond to the o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile vapor or the o-chlorobenzaldehyde vapor. The bubblers, on the other hand, could detect all of the organic components (including the o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile aerosol particles) but could not detect the Cab-O-Sil<sup>®</sup> aerosol particles. Thus, it was necessary to develop a relationship between the aerosol monitor readings and the bubbler sample analysis.

This relationship is a complex one, consisting of two regions. For chamber concentrations of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile below the saturation concentration (approximately 0.35 mg/m<sup>3</sup> at 20° C), there will be no particles of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile, only vapor. Thus, in this region, there will be a theoretical relationship (Figure G5A) between the Cab-O-Sil<sup>®</sup> aerosol particles detected by the RAM-S and the o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile vapor detected by the bubbler.

As the o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile concentration in the chamber approaches the saturation vapor pressure, an unstable aerosol of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile particles will exist. The aerosol is unstable because the particles sublime until the saturation vapor concentration is achieved throughout the chamber volume. In this region, the RAM-S will detect both o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile particles and Cab-O-Sil® particles. The response of the RAM-S to the Cab-O-Sil® particles in this second region will be the same as in the first region. However, there will be an additional response of the RAM-S to the o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile particles. Figure G5B shows the theoretical RAM-S response to o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile particles only. Note that at the saturation concentration, where only vapor of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile is present, the RAM-S will indicate zero particle concentration; however, there will be considerable o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile present. The two curves are combined in Figure G5C to depict the relationship between the RAM-S and the total o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile concentration in both regions.

Consequently, the equation used to describe the relationship between the RAM-S reading and the total organic concentrations (o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile and o-chlorobenzaldehyde particles and vapor) depends on the region in which the chamber concentration lies. In region 1 (o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile concentration less than the saturation concentration), the relationship is expressed as



A. Response of RAM-S to Cab-O-Sil<sup>®</sup> aerosol (only vapor of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile present)



B. Response of RAM-S to aerosol (both vapor and particles of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile present)



C. Response of RAM-S to a combination of Cab-O-Sil<sup>®</sup> and o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile aerosol (both vapor and particles of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile present)

#### FIGURE G5. THEORETICAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE RAM-S READINGS AND THE TOTAL ORGANIC CONCENTRATION IN THE EXPOSURE CHAMBERS

### **APPENDIX G. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

 $Y_1 = B_1 X$ ,

where

 $Y_1 =$ total organic concentration,

and

#### X = RAM-S reading,

whereas in region 2 (o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile concentration greater than the saturation concentration), the relationship is expressed as

$$Y_2 = A_2 + B_2 X.$$

The 0.075 and 0.25 mg/m<sup>3</sup> target exposure concentrations fell in the region 1 curve, and the 0.75 and 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> exposure concentrations fell in the region 2 curve. Weekly mean exposure concentrations (total organics) for the 2-year studies are presented in Figures G6 through G10. A summary of the chamber concentrations is presented in Table G2.

Target Concentration Based on RAM Reading	Total Number of Readings	Mean Concentration of Total Organics (a) (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Rat Chambers		
0.075	2.835	$0.15 \pm 0.029$
0.25	2,861	$0.56 \pm 0.104$
0.75	2,851	$1.88 \pm 0.282$
Mouse Chambers		
0.75	2.848	$1.89 \pm 0.27$
1.5	2,848	$2.71 \pm 0.34$

## TABLE G2. SUMMARY OF CHAMBER CONCENTRATIONS IN THE TWO-YEAR INHALATION STUDIESOF CS2

(a) Total organics = CS2 + o-chlorobenzaldehyde; mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.



FIGURE G6. WEEKLY MEAN CONCENTRATION (AND STANDARD DEVIATION) OF o-CHLOROBENZALMALONONITRILE PLUS o-CHLOROBENZALDEHYDE IN THE 0.075 mg/m<sup>3</sup> RAT EXPOSURE CHAMBER FOR ENTIRE 105-WEEK STUDIES



FIGURE G7. WEEKLY MEAN CONCENTRATION (AND STANDARD DEVIATION) OF o-CHLOROBENZALMALONONITRILE PLUS o-CHLOROBENZALDEHYDE IN THE 0.25 mg/m<sup>3</sup> RAT EXPOSURE CHAMBER FOR ENTIRE 105-WEEK STUDIES



FIGURE G8. WEEKLY MEAN CONCENTRATION (AND STANDARD DEVIATION) OF o-CHLOROBENZALMALONONITRILE PLUS o-CHLOROBENZALDEHYDE IN THE 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> RAT EXPOSURE CHAMBER FOR ENTIRE 105-WEEK STUDIES



FIGURE G9. WEEKLY MEAN CONCENTRATION (AND STANDARD DEVIATION) OF o-CHLOROBENZALMALONONITRILE PLUS o-CHLOROBENZALDEHYDE IN THE 0.75 mg/m<sup>3</sup> MOUSE EXPOSURE CHAMBER FOR ENTIRE 105-WEEK STUDIES



FIGURE G10. WEEKLY MEAN CONCENTRATION (AND STANDARD DEVIATION) OF o-CHLOROBENZALMALONONITRILE PLUS o-CHLOROBENZALDEHYDE IN THE 1.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> MOUSE EXPOSURE CHAMBER FOR ENTIRE 105-WEEK STUDIES

### APPENDIX H

### GENETIC TOXICOLOGY

### OF CS2

		PAGE
TABLE H1	MUTAGENICITY OF CS2 IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM	199
TABLE H2	INDUCTION OF TRIFLUOROTHYMIDINE RESISTANCE IN MOUSE L5178Y LYMPHOMA CELLS BY CS2	202
TABLE H3	INDUCTION OF SISTER CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY CS2	203
TABLE H4	INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY CS2	204

### **METHODS**

Salmonella Protocol: Testing was performed as reported by Ames et al. (1975) with modifications listed below and described in greater detail by Zeiger et al. (1987). Chemicals were sent to each of two laboratories as coded aliquots from Radian Corporation (Austin, TX). The study chemical was incubated with the Salmonella typhimurium tester strains (TA97, TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537) either in buffer or S9 mix (metabolic activation enzymes and cofactors from Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat or Syrian hamster liver) for 20 minutes at 37° C before the addition of soft agar supplemented with L-histidine and D-biotin and subsequent plating on minimal glucose agar plates. Incubation was continued for an additional 48 hours.

At Microbiological Associates, Inc., CS2 was tested in strains TA97, TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537; all negative assays were repeated and retests with activation were performed with a different concentration of S9. At SRI International, CS2 was tested in strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537; all assays were replicated.

Each test consisted of triplicate plates of concurrent positive and negative controls and of at least five doses of the study chemical. The high dose was limited by toxicity or solubility but did not exceed 2 mg/plate.

A positive response was defined as a reproducible, dose-related increase in histidine-independent (revertant) colonies in any one strain/activation combination. An equivocal response was defined as an increase in revertants which was not dose related, not reproducible, or of insufficient magnitude to support a determination of mutagenicity. A response was considered negative when no increase in revertant colonies was observed after chemical treatment.

Mouse Lymphoma Protocol: The experimental protocol is presented in detail by McGregor et al. (1988) and follows the basic format of Clive et al. (1979). All study chemicals were supplied as coded aliquots from Radian Corporation (Austin, TX). The highest dose of the study compound was determined by solubility or toxicity and did not exceed 5 mg/ml. Mouse L5178Y/TK lymphoma cells were maintained at  $37^{\circ}$  C as suspension cultures in Fischer's medium supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 110 µg/ml sodium pyruvate, 0.05% pluronic F68, antibiotics, and heat-inactivated horse serum; normal cycling time was about 10 hours. To reduce the number of spontaneously occurring trifluorothymidine (Tft)-resistant cells, subcultures were exposed once to medium containing thymidine, hypoxanthine, methotrexate, and glycine for 1 day, to thymidine, hypoxanthine, and glycine for 1 day, and to normal medium for 3-5 days. For cloning, horse serum content was increased and Noble agar was added. Freshly prepared S9 metabolic activation factors were obtained from the liver of either Aroclor 1254-induced or noninduced male F344 rats.

All doses within an experiment, including concurrent positive and solvent controls, were replicated. Treated cultures contained  $6 \times 10^6$  cells in 10 ml of medium. This volume included the S9 fraction in those experiments performed with metabolic activation. Incubation with the study chemical continued for 4 hours, after which time the medium plus chemical was removed and the cells were resuspended in 20 ml of fresh medium and incubated for an additional 2 days to express the mutant phenotype. Cell density was monitored so that log phase growth was maintained. After the 48-hour expression period,  $3 \times 10^6$  cells were plated in medium and soft agar supplemented with Tft for selection of Tft-resistant cells (TK<sup>+/+</sup>), and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium and soft agar to determine cloning efficiency. Plates were incubated at 37° C under 5% carbon dioxide for 10-12 days. All data were evaluated statistically for both trend and peak response. Both responses had to be significant (P<0.05) for a chemical to be considered capable of inducing Tft resistance; a single significant response led to an "equivocal" conclusion, and the absence of both a trend and a peak response resulted in a "negative" call.

Minimum criteria for accepting an experiment as valid and a detailed description of the statistical analysis and data evaluation are presented in Myhr et al. (1985). This assay was initially performed without S9; if a clearly positive response was not obtained, the experiment was repeated with induced S9.

Chinese Hamster Ovary Cytogenetics Assays: Testing was performed as reported by Galloway et al. (1985, 1987) and is described briefly below. Chemicals were sent to the laboratories as coded aliquots from Radian Corporation (Austin, TX). Chemicals were tested in cultured Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells for induction of sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs) and chromosomal aberrations both in the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat liver S9 and cofactor mix. Cultures were handled under gold lights to prevent photolysis of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU)-substituted DNA. Each test consisted of concurrent solvent and positive controls and of at least three doses of the study chemical; the high dose was limited by toxicity or solubility but did not exceed 5 mg/ml.

In the SCE test without S9, CHO cells were incubated for 26 hours with the study chemical in McCoy's 5A medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, L-glutamine (2 mM), and antibiotics. BrdU was added 2 hours after culture initiation. After 26 hours, the medium containing the study chemical was removed and replaced with fresh medium plus BrdU and colcemid, and incubation was continued for 2 more hours. Cells were then harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained with Hoechst 33258 and Giemsa. In the SCE test with S9, cells were incubated with the chemical, serum-free medium, and S9 for 2 hours. The medium was then removed and replaced with medium containing BrdU and no study chemical; incubation proceeded for an additional 26 hours, with colcemid present for the final 2 hours. Harvesting and staining were the same as for cells treated without S9.

In the chromosomal aberration test without S9, cells were incubated in McCoy's 5A medium with the study chemical for 8 hours; colcemid was added, and incubation was continued for 2 hours. The cells were then harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained with Giemsa. For the chromosomal aberration test with S9, cells were treated with the study chemical and S9 for 2 hours, after which the treatment medium was removed and the cells were incubated for 10 hours in fresh medium, with colcemid present for the final 2 hours. Cells were harvested in the same manner as for the treatment without S9.

For the SCE test, if significant chemical-induced cell cycle delay was seen, incubation time was lengthened to ensure a sufficient number of scorable cells. The harvest time for the chromosomal aberration test was based on the cell cycle information obtained in the SCE test; if cell cycle delay was anticipated, the incubation period was extended approximately 5 hours.

Cells were selected for scoring on the basis of good morphology and completeness of karyotype  $(21 \pm 2 \text{ chromosomes})$ . All slides were scored blind, and those from a single test were read by the same person. For the SCE test, 50 second-division metaphase cells were usually scored for frequency of SCEs per cell from each dose; 100 first-division metaphase cells were scored at each dose for the chromosomal aberration test. Classes of aberrations included simple (breaks and terminal deletions), complex (rearrangements and translocations), and other (pulverized cells, despiralized chromosomes, and cells containing 10 or more aberrations).

Statistical analyses were conducted on both the slopes of the dose-response curves and the individual dose points. An SCE frequency 20% above the concurrent solvent control value was chosen as a statistically conservative positive response. The probability of this level of difference occurring by chance at one dose point is less than 0.01; the probability for such a chance occurrence at two dose points is less than 0.001. Chromosomal aberration data are presented as percentage of cells with aberrations. As with SCEs, both the dose-response curve and individual dose points were statistically

analyzed. A statistically significant (P < 0.003) trend test or a significantly increased dose point (P < 0.05) was sufficient to indicate a chemical effect.

### RESULTS

CS2 was tested for induction of gene mutations in a total of five strains of S. typhimurium in two different laboratories using a preincubation protocol with and without Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat or Syrian hamster liver S9 (Zeiger et al., 1987; Table H1). In one laboratory, an equivocal response was noted in strain TA97, but only in the presence of 30% hamster liver S9; in the other four strains tested (TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537), no mutagenic response was observed with or without S9 (10% or 30%). In the other laboratory, an equivocal response occurred with strain TA100 in the absence of S9 only; CS2 was clearly negative for gene mutation induction in all other strains tested in this laboratory (TA98, TA1535, and TA1537) with or without S9. CS2 induced Tft resistance in mouse L5178Y/TK lymphoma cells at the highest nonlethal dose tested (2.5  $\mu$ g/ml) in each of two trials conducted in the absence of S9; it was not tested with S9 (McGregor et al., 1988; Table H2). In cytogenetic tests with CHO cells, CS2 induced both SCEs and chromosomal aberration with and without Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat liver S9 (Tables H3 and H4). For both the SCE and the aberration tests, a delayed harvest protocol was used to offset CS2-induced cell cycle delay at each of the dose levels at which a positive response was demonstrated.

Strain	Dose (µg/plate)			Revertar	nts/Plate (b)		
Study p	erformed	at Microbiolog	ical Associates,	Inc.			
		-	- S9	+ \$9 (	hamster)	+ S9	(rat)
		Trial 1	Trial 2	+ 10%	+ 30%	+ 10%	+ 30%
TA100	0 3.3 10 33 100 333 1,000	$\begin{array}{rrrrr} 101 \pm & 4.9 \\ 105 \pm & 5.0 \\ 107 \pm & 4.4 \\ 113 \pm & 3.5 \\ 120 \pm & 3.5 \\ (c) 90 \pm & 0.9 \end{array}$	$89 \pm 7.3 \\ 80 \pm 4.8 \\ 76 \pm 2.6 \\ 85 \pm 8.4 \\ 100 \pm 9.0 \\ (c) 55 \pm 4.9 \\$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$115 \pm 1.8$  115 \pm 2.6 110 \pm 4.4 110 \pm 7.1 (c) 81 \pm 1.2	$93 \pm 7.4$  $89 \pm 7.0$ $76 \pm 2.3$ $79 \pm 7.8$ (c) 40 \pm 3.7	$104 \pm 4.3$ $125 \pm 9.2$ $115 \pm 6.5$ $118 \pm 2.0$ (c) 61 \pm 1.5
Trial sur Positive	2,000 mmary control(d)	 Negative 553 ± 24.3		(c) $19 \pm 1.5$ Negative $341 \pm 79.5$	(c) $56 \pm 3.2$ Negative $847 \pm 27.2$	(c) $4 \pm 0.7$ Negative $637 \pm 28.8$	(c) 44 ± 0.9 Negative 1,060 ± 5.9
TA1535		$21 \pm 1.3 \\ 16 \pm 1.5 \\ 18 \pm 3.1 \\ 19 \pm 4.5 \\ 20 \pm 1.8 \\ (c) 16 \pm 1.5 \\$	$20 \pm 1.5 \\ 21 \pm 3.8 \\ 23 \pm 2.0 \\ 17 \pm 1.7 \\ 20 \pm 5.0 \\ (c) 19 \pm 2.6 \\$	$9 \pm 0.7$ 	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \pm 0.9 \\                                    $	$9 \pm 2.3$ $-$ $11 \pm 2.3$ $14 \pm 1.0$ $10 \pm 1.2$ (c) $10 \pm 1.5$ (c) $3 \pm 1.5$	$14 \pm 1.0$ $$ $12 \pm 2.8$ $14 \pm 2.7$ $13 \pm 1.5$ $7 \pm 1.7$ $(c) 3 \pm 1.3$
Trial sur Positive	nmary control (d)	Negative 259 ± 3.5	Negative 221 ± 10.3	Negative 42 ± 1.8	Negative 88 ± 4.3	Negative 114 ± 4.0	Negative 169 ± 11.1
			- S9	+30% S	9 (hamster)	<u>+ 30%</u>	<u>S9 (rat)</u>
TA1537	0 3.3 10 33 100 333 1,000 2,000		± 1.0 ± 0.6 ± 0.9 ± 1.5	9 ± 	3.2 0.6 0.3 1.2	9 ± 	- - 0.6 - 1.8 - 2.1 - 0.9
Trial sur Positive	mmary control (d)		gative ± 2.9	Neg 139 ±	ative 9.7		gative = 6.1
		Trial 1	- S9 Trial 2	+ 10%	+ <u>S9 (hamster</u> + 30%	+ <b>30</b> %	
TA97	0 3.3 10 33 100 333 1,000 2,000	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$124 \pm 10.4$ $159 \pm 8.8$ $195 \pm 10.4$ $188 \pm 11.3$ (c) 25 \pm 10.5 (c) 0 \pm 0.0	$\begin{array}{r} 93 \pm 2.0 \\ 98 \pm 8.8 \\ 104 \pm 6.6 \\ 116 \pm 7.8 \\ 172 \pm 8.0 \\ 172 \pm 6.5 \\ \end{array}$	
Trial sur Positive	mmary control (d)	Negative $240 \pm 6$	Negative $341 \pm 64.1$	Negative 456 ± 62.8	Equivocal 806 ± 23.6	Weakly positiv 719 ± 96.4	e

#### TABLE H1. MUTAGENICITY OF CS2 IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM (a)

Strain	Dose (µg/plate)					Rev	vertan	its/Plate (b)				
Study	performed	at Micro	biolog	ical Assoc	iates,	Inc. (Con	tinueo	<b>i</b> )				
							+	<u>S9 (rat)</u>				
				10%		10%		30%		30%		30%
TA97	0		91	± 11.3	119	± 6.1	12	$5 \pm 10.4$	93	± 2.7	162	± 3.2
	3.3			$\pm 10.3$	103				113	± 4.8		± 6.1
	10		95		107				97			$\pm 12.5$
	33 100			$\pm 14.0$ $\pm 18.2$	111 111			$8 \pm 11.2$ $5 \pm 14.1$	89 144	$\pm 18.2 \\ \pm 6.8$	169 170	$\pm 12.3 \pm 8.4$
	333			$\pm 18.2$ $\pm 8.4$		$\pm 17.4$		$2 \pm 10.5$	174		168	
	500			_ •/-	(c)75		-			••	128	
	1,000						(c) 12					
	2,000						(c) (	$0 \pm 0.0$		••		
	ummary e control (d)			egative ± 87.6		egative ±101.8		legative 4 ± 19.1		uivocal ± 24.3		egative ± 24.8
			_	S9			+ 89 (	hamster)			+ 89	(rat)
		Trial	1	Tria	2		0%	+ 30%	6	+1	0%	+ 30%
<b>TA98</b>	0	24 ±	2.4	$12 \pm$	1.3	22 ±	0.6	34 ±	3.7	28 ±	3.2	$33 \pm 5.1$
	3.3 10	$22 \pm 22 $	1.5 2.6	$15 \pm 18 \pm $	$1.5 \\ 1.8$					-	-	
	33	$\frac{22}{27} \pm$	$2.0 \\ 2.0$	18 ± 19 ±	1.0	23 ±	3.6	49 ±	2.4	25 ±	2.6	$31 \pm 6.5$
	100	22 ±	3.0	17 ±	2.6	22 ±	2.7	39 ±	3.3	26 ±	1.0	$31 \pm 5.2$
	333	(c) $20 \pm$	3.1	(c) $10 \pm$	2.8	$17 \pm$	0.3	$39 \pm$	4.2	19 ±		$32 \pm 1.2$
	1,000 2,000					$(c) 10 \pm (c) 7 \pm$	1.8 0.9	(c) $21 \pm$ (c) $18 \pm$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.8 \\ 2.0 \end{array}$	$8 \pm$ (c) 2 ±		(c) $17 \pm 5.0$ (c) $15 \pm 0.9$
	ummary e control (d)	Nega 281 ±		Nega 154 ±		Nega 170 ±		Nega 153 ±			ative : 12.5	Negative 277 ± 5.8
Study	performed	at SRI I	nterna	itional								
			_	- 89		+ 1	10% S	9 (hamster)			+10%	<b>S9</b> (rat)
		Tria	1	Tria	12	Tria		Trial		Tria	l 1	Trial 2
TA100	0	105 ±	8.5	88 ±	0.6	$122 \pm$	10.1	91 ±	11.3	133 ±	1.0	$113 \pm 7.6$
	1	$139 \pm$	4.7	$138 \pm$	8.3		10.1		11.0	- 100		
	3	137 ±	3.2	$147 \pm$	9.5			$128 \pm$	7.8	-	-	$138 \pm 3.8$
	10 33	$144 \pm 140 \pm$	7.7	$135 \pm 148 \pm$	8.8 4.5	$109 \pm 113 \pm$	$\frac{3.0}{2.3}$	$\frac{121 \pm}{111 \pm}$	15.8 6.5	119 ± 130 ±	: 10.3 : 2.8	$121 \pm 5.9$ $106 \pm 6.9$
	100	$140 \pm 120 \pm$	3.2	$140 \pm 132 \pm$		$113 \pm 115 \pm$		$111 \pm 128 \pm$	8.1		: 12.8	$130 \pm 6.7$
	333					$105 \pm$	8.4	$127 \pm$	8.4	94 ±		$115 \pm 4.4$
	1,000					$(c)0 \pm$	0.0			$(c) 0 \pm$	: 0.0	
Trial su	ımmary	Equiv	vocal	Equiv	vocal	Nega	ative	Equiv	ocal	Neg	ative	Negative
Positiv	e control (d)	418 ±	10.1	$292 \pm$	25.8	$1,567 \pm$	87.1	$1,007 \pm$	48.7	717 <del>1</del>	159.7	$518 \pm 13.3$
TA153	<b>5</b> 0	29 ±	3.8	20 ±	4.4	12 ±	1.9	11 ±	2.4	9 ±	2.0	$9 \pm 1.7$
	1	34 ±	3.9	33 ±	0.7					-	-	
	3	$38 \pm 35 \pm $		$28 \pm 21 \pm 21 \pm 21$					1.5 2.4		-	$12 \pm 1.5 \\ 8 \pm 2.2$
	10 33	$35 \pm 32 \pm$		31 ± 29 ±		10 ± 14 ±			2.4	$13 \pm 13 \pm$		$     6 \pm 0.7 $
	100	30 ±		$\frac{20}{28} \pm$		$12 \pm$	1.9	8 ±	2.2	11 ±	3.0	$11 \pm 3.2$
	333					$11 \pm$		9 ±	2.4	<u>+</u> 8		$9 \pm 2.2$
	1,000					$(c) 0 \pm$	0.0			(c)0 ±	: 0.0	
-	ımmary	Nega		Nega			ative	Nega			ative	Negative
Positiv	e control (d)	561 ±	17.6	$342 \pm$	28.3	484 ±	13.0	$461 \pm$	24.5	181 ±	29.5	$169 \pm 11.6$

#### TABLE H1. MUTAGENICITY OF CS2 IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM (Continued)

				59		+1	0% S9	(hamster)			+10% S	9 (rat)	
		Trial		Tria	2	Trial		Trial		Trial	1	Tria	2
TA1537	0	6 ±	0. <b>9</b>	3 ±	0.3	11 ±	1.8	9 ±	0.0	11 ±	2.1	6 ±	3.0
	1	5 ±	0.9	7 ±	0.9								
	3	7 ±	2.4	4 ±	1.0			13 ±	0.3			9 ±	2.0
	10	5 ±	1.2	4 ±	1.2	10 ±	2.5	13 ±	0.3	6 ±	0.9	8 ±	1.5
	33	6 ±	0.6	6 ±	1.2	5 ±	1.5	10 ±	2.0	10 ±	2.4	8 ±	2.3
	100	5 ±	0.6	9 ±	1.5	12 ±	2.0	6 ±	1.2	7 ±	2.6	6 ±	1.0
	333					7 ±	0.9	7 ±	2.9	5 ±	0.6	9 ±	1.8
	1,000					(c)0±	0.0	••		$(c) 0 \pm$	0.0		
<b>Frial</b> sum	mary	Nega	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive
	control (d)	222 ±	69.7	124 ±	9.3	410 $\tilde{\pm}$	9.2	$162 \stackrel{\circ}{\pm}$	7.8	$115 \pm$	11.2	115 ±	12.4
ГА98	0	16 ±	2.3	15 ±	1.2	33 ±	4.3	31 ±	1.2	30 ±	0.9	30 ±	4.9
	1	18 ±	0. <del>9</del>	19 ±	3.2								
	3	14 ±	1.9	$21 \pm$	3.7			35 ±	1.5			36 ±	2.0
	10	22 ±	2.2	21 ±	3.3	37 ±	2.5	29 ±	5.6	33 ±	4.0	30 ±	2.1
	33	15 ±	1.5	19 ±	3.5	35 ±	3.8	34 ±	2.5	37 ±	2.6	$32 \pm$	4.0
	100	$17 \pm$	1.7	$23 \pm$	2.5	$32 \pm$	0.0	29 ±	4.4	37 ±	1.2	32 ±	2.7
	333					27 ±	5.0	32 ±	3.8	28 ±	1.5	26 ±	3.3
	1,000					(c)0±	0.0			$(c)0 \pm$	0.0		
Trial sum	mary	Nega	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive	Nega	tive
	control (d)	845 ±		637 ±		$1,440 \pm 1$		990 ±		$445 \pm$		389 Ť	

**Revertants/Plate** (b)

#### TABLE H1. MUTAGENICITY OF CS2 IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM (Continued)

(a) The detailed protocol is presented by Zeiger et al. (1987). Cells and study compound or solvent (dimethyl sulfoxide) were incubated in the absence of exogenous metabolic activation (-S9) or with Aroclor 1254-induced S9 from male Syrian hamster liver or male Sprague Dawley rat liver. High dose was limited by toxicity or solubility but did not exceed 10 mg/plate; 0 µg/plate dose is the solvent control.

(b) Revertants are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error from three plates.

(c) Slight toxicity

Strain

Dose

(d) Positive control; 2-aminoanthracene was used on all strains in the presence of S9. In the absence of metabolic activation, 4-nitro-o-phenylenediamine was used with TA98, sodium azide was used with TA100 and TA1535, and 9-aminoacridine was used with TA97 and TA1537.

Compound	Concentration (µg/ml)	Cloning Efficiency (percent)	Relative Total Growth (percent)	Tft-Resistant Cells	Mutant Fraction (c)
Frial 1			<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Dimethyl sulfoxide		$64.0 \pm 4.0$	$100.0 \pm 4.0$	$52.0 \pm 4.0$	$27.5 \pm 0.5$
CS2	0.3125 (d) 0.625 1.25 2.5 5	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 73.0 \pm & 1.0 \\ 68 \\ 71.5 \pm & 2.5 \\ 67.5 \pm & 2.5 \\ & Lethal \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 98.0 \pm & 8.0 \\ 94 \\ 61.5 \pm & 3.5 \\ 31.5 \pm & 0.5 \end{array}$	$58.0 \pm 4.0 \\ 174 \\ 81.5 \pm 10.5 \\ 151.5 \pm 1.5$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 26.5 \pm & 1.5 \\ 85 \\ 38.0 \pm & 6.0 \\ \text{(e) } 74.5 \pm & 3.5 \end{array}$
Ethyl methanesulfonate	250	$61.0 \pm 4.0$	$71.5 \pm 3.5$	$262.5 \pm 1.5$	(e) $145.0 \pm 9.0$
Frial 2					
Dimethyl sulfoxide (f)		$70.3 \pm 6.5$	$100.0 \pm 12.7$	$153.3 \pm 20.7$	$74.0 \pm 11.6$
CS2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3125 \\ 0.625 \\ 1.25 \\ 2.5 \\ 5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 72.5 \pm & 3.5 \\ 74.5 \pm & 10.5 \\ 73.0 \pm & 1.0 \\ 47.0 \pm & 1.0 \\ & Lethal \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 171.0 \pm 11.0 \\ 185.0 \pm 21.0 \\ 178.5 \pm 1.5 \\ 287.0 \pm 4.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 79.0 \pm & 1.0 \\ 82.5 \pm & 2.5 \\ 81.5 \pm & 0.5 \\ (e) 204.0 \pm & 9.0 \end{array}$
Ethyl methanesulfonate	250	$56.5 \pm 1.5$	$70.0 \pm 2.0$	$400.5 \pm 77.5$	(e)239.0 ± 52.0

#### TABLE H2. INDUCTION OF TRIFLUOROTHYMIDINE RESISTANCE IN MOUSE L5178Y LYMPHOMA CELLS BY CS2 (a.b)

(a) Study performed at Inveresk Research International. The experimental protocol and data are presented in detail by McGregor et al. (1988) and follows the basic format of Clive et al. (1979). The highest dose of study compound is determined by solubility or toxicity and may not exceed 5 mg/ml. All doses are tested in duplicate, unless otherwise specified; the average for the tests is presented in the table. Cells (6  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup>/ml) were treated for 4 hours at 37°C in medium, washed, resuspended in medium, and incubated for 48 hours at 37°C. After expression, 3  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells were plated in medium and soft agar supplemented with trifluorothymidine (Tft) for selection of Tft-resistant cells, and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium and soft agar to determine the cloning efficiency.

(b) Mean  $\pm$  standard error from replicate trials of approximately  $1 \times 10^6$  cells each. All data are evaluated statistically for both trend and peak response (P<0.05 for at least one of the three highest dose sets). Both responses must be significantly (P<0.05) positive for a chemical to be considered capable of inducing Tft resistance. If only one of these responses is significant, the call is "equivocal"; the absence of both trend and peak response results in a "negative" call. (c) Mutant fraction (frequency) is a ratio of the Tft-resistant cells to the cloning efficiency, divided by 3 (to arrive at MF per

 $1 \times 10^6$  cells treated); MF = mutant fraction.

(d) Data presented are the results of one test.

(e) Significant positive response; occurs when the relative mutant fraction (average MF of treated culture/average MF of solvent control) is greater than or equal to 1.6.

(f) Data presented are the results of four tests.

Compound	Dose (µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Chromo- somes	No. of SCEs	SCEs/ Chromo- some	SCEs/ Cell	Hours in BrdU	Relative SCEs. Chromosome (percent) (b)
- S9 (c)Summary: Positiv	'e							
Acetone		50	1,042	494	0.47	9.9	25.7	
CS2	6 7 8	50 50 50	1,046 1,048 1,036	640 730 757	0.61 0.69 0.73	12.8 14.6 15.1	(d) 32.0 (d) 32.0 (d) 32.0	*29.06 *46.93 *54.13
Mitomycin C	0.001 0.01	50 5	1,0 <b>4</b> 1 105	60 <b>4</b> 165	0.58 1.57	12.1 33.0	$25.7 \\ 25.7$	22.39 231.47
Trend test:	P<0.001							
• <b>S9</b> (e)								
Trial 1Summary: Wea	kly positive							
Acetone		50	1,044	464	0.44	9.3	25.5	
CS2	1 3.3 10	50 50 50	1,035 1,040 1,044	494 531 799	0.47 0.51 0.76	9.9 10.6 16.0	25.5 25.5 (d) 33.0	7.39 14.88 *72.20
Cyclophosphamide	2	5	105	161	1.53	32.2	25.5	245.00
Trend test:	P<0.001							
Trial 2Summary: Posi	tive							
Acetone		50	1,045	449	0.42	9.0	25.7	
CS2	10 12.5 15	50 50 50	1,042 1,040 1,043	605 729 730	0.58 0.70 0.69	12.1 14.6 14.6	(d) 32.0 (d) 32.0 (d) 32.0	*35.13 *63.14 *62.90
Cyclophosphamide	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3\\2\end{array}$	50 5	$\substack{1,035\\104}$	634 139	0.61 1.33	$\begin{array}{c} 12.7\\ 27.8\end{array}$	25.7 25.7	42.57 211.07
Trend test:	P<0.001							

## TABLE H3. INDUCTION OF SISTER CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLSBY CS2 (a)

(a) Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. SCE = sister chromatid exchange; BrdU = bromodeoxyuridine. A detailed description of the SCE protocol is presented by Galloway et al. (1985, 1987). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent (acetone) as described in (c) and (e) below and cultured for sufficient time to reach second metaphase division. Cells were then collected by mitotic shake-off, fixed, air dried, and stained.

(b) Percentage change in the value of SCEs/chromosome for exposed culture compared with that for solvent control culture. An increase of 20% or more was considered to be a significant response.

(c) In the absence of S9, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Then BrdU was added, and incubation was continued for 24 hours. Cells were washed, fresh medium containing BrdU and colcemid was added, and incubation was continued for 2-3 hours.

(d) Because some chemicals induce a delay in the cell division cycle, harvest times are occasionally extended to maximize the proportion of second division cells available for analysis.

(e) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, and medium containing BrdU was added. Cells were incubated for a further 26 hours, with colcemid present for the final 2-3 hours. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

\*P<0.05

			-S9 (b)						+ <b>S9</b> (c)		
	Dose µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Abs	Abs/ Cell	Percent Cells with Abs		Dose (µg/ml)	Total Cells	No. of Abs	Abs/ Cell	Percent Cells with Abs
arvest	time: 19	.0 hours (d	)			Harvest t	ime: 19.0	hours (d)			
Aceto	ne	100	3	0.03	2.0	Aceto	ne	100	3	0.03	3.0
CS2						CS2		10	40	4.00	*100.0

22.5

25

Cyclophosphamide

20

10

25

100

59

21

Summary: Positive

13

Trend test: P = 0.002

5.90

0.21

0.52

\*100.0

\*19.0

28.0

## TABLE H4. INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY CS2 (a)

(a) Study performed at Litton Bionetics, Inc. Abs = aberrations. A detailed presentation of the technique for detecting chromosomal aberrations is found in Galloway et al. (1985, 1987). Briefly, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent as indicated in (b) and (c). Cells were arrested in first metaphase by addition of colcemid and harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained in 6% Giemsa.

(b) In the absence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 8-10 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, and fresh medium containing colcemid was added for an additional 2-3 hours followed by harvest.

(c) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, medium was added, and incubation was continued for 8-10 hours. Colcemid was added for the last 2-3 hours of incubation before harvest. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rats.

(d) Because of significant chemical-induced cell cycle delay, incubation time prior to addition of colcemid was lengthened to provide sufficient metaphases at harvest.

\*P<0.05

7

9

10

Mitomycin C

0.065

100

25

50

100

40

9

Summary: Positive

26

26

Trend test: P<0.001

0.40

0.36

0.26

0.52

\*27.0

\*24.0

\*20.0

36.0

### **APPENDIX I**

# ORGAN WEIGHTS OF RATS AND MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2

PAGE

TABLE I1	ORGAN WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2	206
TABLE 12	ORGAN WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2	207

Organ	Control	0.4 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	3 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	6 mg/m <sup>3</sup>
MALE				<u></u>		<u></u>
Number weighed	10	10	10	10	10	9
Body weight (grams)	$353 \pm 7.8$	$345 \pm 6.7$	$*334 \pm 5.6$	**292 ± 5.5	$**262 \pm 5.5$	**188 ± 11.0
Brain						
Absolute	$1.948 \pm 30$	$1.950 \pm 25$	$1,917 \pm 27$	$1.911 \pm 15$	$**1.865 \pm 21$	**1,778 ± 24
Relative	$5.5 \pm 0.15$	$5.7 \pm 0.12$	$5.8 \pm 0.07$	**6.5 ± 0.09	**7.2 ± 0.17	**9.7 ± 0.58
Heart						
Absolute	$995 \pm 28$	$1,008 \pm 23$	$1,009 \pm 18$	$1,016 \pm 31$	$964 \pm 22$	**891 ± 20
Relative	$2.8 \pm 0.04$	$*2.9 \pm 0.04$	**3.0 ± 0.05	**3.5 ± 0.09	**3.7 ± 0.08	**4.8 ± 0.24
Kidney						
Absolute	$1,097 \pm 47$	$1,186 \pm 24$	$1,111 \pm 25$	$1.057 \pm 14$	$1,004 \pm 27$	$**856 \pm 31$
Relative	$3.1 \pm 0.09$	$*3.5 \pm 0.06$	$*3.3 \pm 0.04$	**3.6 ± 0.06	**3.8 ± 0.07	**4.6 ± 0.18
Liver						
Absolute	$14,950 \pm 810$	$16,320 \pm 250$	$15,250 \pm 430$	$13,770 \pm 470$	$**12.270 \pm 270$	**8,060 ± 720
Relative	$42.2 \pm 1.68$	$47.4 \pm 0.54$	$45.7 \pm 0.90$	$47.0 \pm 1.11$	$46.9 \pm 0.57$	$42.3 \pm 1.51$
Lung						
Absolute	$2,023 \pm 126$	$2.036 \pm 116$	$2.131 \pm 73$	$1.891 \pm 26$	$*1,722 \pm 54$	$**1.452 \pm 60$
Relative	$5.7 \pm 0.30$	$5.9 \pm 0.27$	$6.4 \pm 0.25$	$6.5 \pm 0.18$	$*6.6 \pm 0.21$	**7.8 ± 0.37
Right testis				0.0 = 0.10		
Absolute	$1.508 \pm 30$	$1.485 \pm 15$	$1.456 \pm 48$	$1.473 \pm 22$	**1.381 ± 23	**1.229 ± 85
Relative	$4.3 \pm 0.11$	$4.3 \pm 0.08$	$4.4 \pm 0.13$	$**5.0 \pm 0.12$	$**5.3 \pm 0.06$	$**6.5 \pm 0.29$
Thymus	1.0 2 0.11	1.0 - 0.00	1.1 2 0.10	0.0 - 0.12	0.0 2 0.00	0.0 = 0.20
Absolute	$391 \pm 12$	$382 \pm 25$	$*(b) 307 \pm 21$	$**278 \pm 18$	**269 ± 12	$**132 \pm 12$
Relative	$1.1 \pm 0.03$	$1.1 \pm 0.07$	(b) $0.9 \pm 0.07$	$1.0 \pm 0.05$	$1.0 \pm 0.04$	$**0.7 \pm 0.10$
FEMALE						
Number weighed	10	10	10	10	10	10
Body weight (grams)		$213 \pm 4.7$	$196 \pm 3.2$	*181 ± 5.1	$**170 \pm 3.8$	**156 ± 5.3
Brain						
Absolute	$1,843 \pm 20$	$1,808 \pm 15$	$1,817 \pm 13$	$1.803 \pm 17$	*1,766 ± 29	**1,751 ± 9
Relative	$1,843 \pm 20$ $9.3 \pm 0.16$	$1,808 \pm 15$ $8.5 \pm 0.17$	$9.3 \pm 0.14$	$1,803 \pm 17$ $10.0 \pm 0.24$	$*1,766 \pm 29$	$**11.3 \pm 0.35$
	$9.3 \pm 0.10$	8.5 I U.17	$9.3 \pm 0.14$	$10.0 \pm 0.24$	$10.4 \pm 0.24$	$-11.3 \pm 0.30$
Heart	$cod \pm 1d$	$c_{00} \pm 1\pi$	COD ± 17	00F ± 00	$coo \pm 1F$	701±01
Absolute	$684 \pm 14$	$689 \pm 17$	$682 \pm 17$	$685 \pm 22$	$683 \pm 15$	$731 \pm 21$ **4.7 ± 0.17
Relative	$3.4 \pm 0.04$	$3.2 \pm 0.04$	$3.5\pm0.08$	$*3.8 \pm 0.10$	$**4.0 \pm 0.10$	$4.7 \pm 0.17$
Kidney	CET + 90	$707 \pm 00$	005 ± 14	$a=0 \pm 10$	$c = 0 \pm 1 =$	$c\pi c \pm 10$
Absolute	$657 \pm 20$	$727 \pm 22$	$685 \pm 14$	$658 \pm 13$	$653 \pm 15$	$676 \pm 19$
Relative	$3.3 \pm 0.04$	$3.4 \pm 0.06$	$**3.5 \pm 0.04$	$**3.7 \pm 0.06$	$**3.9 \pm 0.06$	**4.4 ± 0.08
Liver	<b># 00# 1 :0</b> =	10.001 1.01-	-		0.040 1.04-	0 000 1 01-
Absolute	$7,937 \pm 435$	$10,004 \pm 342$	$7,567 \pm 183$	$7,755 \pm 224$	$6,949 \pm 210$	$6,792 \pm 305$
Relative	$39.7 \pm 1.58$	$**46.9 \pm 0.93$	$38.6 \pm 0.66$	$42.9 \pm 0.55$	$40.9 \pm 0.58$	$*43.4 \pm 0.75$
Lung		1 BOF 1 60		1 105 1 00	1 400 1 00	1 000 1 10
Absolute	$1,451 \pm 50$	$1,725 \pm 62$	$1,514 \pm 45$	$1,437 \pm 39$	$1,468 \pm 26$	$1,386 \pm 40$
Relative	$7.3 \pm 0.23$	$*8.1 \pm 0.15$	$7.7 \pm 0.20$	$*8.0 \pm 0.18$	$**8.7 \pm 0.14$	$**8.9 \pm 0.22$
Thymus		000 1 1 -			***	****
Absolute Relative	$332 \pm 12$ 1.7 ± 0.06	299 ± 18 *1.4 ± 0.07	$*271 \pm 20$ $*1.4 \pm 0.10$	$**250 \pm 15$ $*1.4 \pm 0.09$	$**244 \pm 15$ $*1.4 \pm 0.08$	$**180 \pm 15$ $**1.1 \pm 0.07$

#### TABLE I1. ORGAN WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2 (a)

(a) Mean ± standard error in milligrams (absolute) or milligrams per gram (relative) unless otherwise specified; P values vs. the controls by Dunn's test (Dunn, 1964) or Shirley's test (Shirley, 1977).
(b) Thymuses of nine animals were weighed.
\* P<0.05</li>
\*\* P<0.01</li>

Organ	Control	0.4 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.75 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$3 mg/m^3$
MALE					
Number weighed Body weight (grams)	$10 \\ 32.2 \pm 0.47$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 30.8\pm0.70\end{array}$	8 **29.9 ± 0.52	10 **29.3 ± 0.50	9 **26.9 ± 0.35
Brain					
Absolute	477 ± 7	$475 \pm 6$	484 ± 5	$470 \pm 4$	$461 \pm 7$
Relative	$14.8\pm0.31$	$15.5 \pm 0.34$	$**16.2 \pm 0.34$	$**16.1 \pm 0.24$	**17.2 ± 0.34
Heart					
Absolute	$187 \pm 11$	$207 \pm 10$	$220 \pm 13$	$*227 \pm 8$	$196 \pm 7$
Relative	$5.8\pm0.29$	$6.7 \pm 0.24$	$*7.4 \pm 0.53$	** $7.8 \pm 0.23$	$**7.3 \pm 0.28$
Kidney					
Absolute	$294 \pm 8$	$281 \pm 8$	$291 \pm 9$	$273 \pm 8$	** $247 \pm 7$
Relative	$9.1 \pm 0.22$	$9.1 \pm 0.17$	$9.8 \pm 0.35$	$9.3 \pm 0.20$	$9.2 \pm 0.24$
Liver					
Absolute	$1,790 \pm 46$	$1,937 \pm 52$	$1,741 \pm 55$	$1,908 \pm 52$	$**1,411 \pm 26$
Relative	$55.6 \pm 1.04$	$*62.9 \pm 1.02$	$58.5 \pm 2.43$	$**65.1 \pm 1.16$	$52.6 \pm 1.29$
Lung		001 1 1-		(00   07	
Absolute	$283 \pm 10$	$261 \pm 15$	$273 \pm 9$	$402 \pm 33$	(b) $250 \pm 8$
Relative	$8.8 \pm 0.24$	$8.5 \pm 0.40$	$9.2 \pm 0.43$	**13.7 $\pm$ 1.12	(b) $9.4 \pm 0.30$
Right testis	(.) 110	110 1 0	105 1 0	1	
Absolute	(c) $119 \pm 5$	$117 \pm 2$	$127 \pm 2$	(d) $114 \pm 2$	$117 \pm 3$
Relative	(c) $3.7 \pm 0.14$	$3.8 \pm 0.12$	**4.3 ± 0.10	$*(d) 3.9 \pm 0.09$	$**4.4 \pm 0.13$
Thymus					
Absolute	$34.7 \pm 2.26$	$40.6 \pm 2.63$	$45.5 \pm 4.01$	(d) $39.4 \pm 4.16$	$35.7 \pm 3.07$
Relative	$1.1 \pm 0.07$	$*1.3 \pm 0.09$	$**1.5 \pm 0.12$	$*(d) 1.4 \pm 0.16$	$1.3 \pm 0.11$
FEMALE					
Number weighed	10	10	8	10	9
Body weight (grams)	$26.5\pm0.48$	$26.9\pm0.43$	$25.9 \pm 0.35$	**24.7 ± 0.30	$**22.8 \pm 0.40$
Brain					
Absolute	$495 \pm 6$	$476 \pm 6$	488 ± 9	$**446 \pm 17$	**453 ± 4
Relative	$18.7 \pm 0.42$	$17.8 \pm 0.44$	$18.9 \pm 0.43$	$18.0 \pm 0.62$	$20.0 \pm 0.43$
Heart		1,10 - 0,11	10.0 - 0.10	10.0 - 0.02	20.0 - 0.40
Absolute	$152 \pm 6$	*182 ± 7	**200 ± 6	$165 \pm 6$	$153 \pm 6$
Relative	$5.7 \pm 0.22$	$**6.8 \pm 0.22$	$**7.7 \pm 0.24$	$**6.7 \pm 0.24$	$**6.8 \pm 0.29$
Kidney					0.0 - 0.40
Absolute	$194 \pm 6$	$189 \pm 5$	$184 \pm 4$	$186 \pm 7$	*179 ± 4
Relative	$7.3 \pm 0.15$	$7.0 \pm 0.15$	$7.1 \pm 0.17$	$7.5 \pm 0.24$	$*7.9 \pm 0.10$
Liver					
Absolute	$1,516 \pm 45$	$1,782 \pm 28$	$1,545 \pm 29$	$1,567 \pm 48$	$*1,314 \pm 34$
Relative	$57.2 \pm 1.09$	$**66.3 \pm 0.65$	$59.7 \pm 0.97$	$*63.5 \pm 1.90$	$57.7 \pm 1.15$
Lung					
Absolute	$253 \pm 7$	$289 \pm 18$	$279 \pm 6$	$343 \pm 32$	$249 \pm 9$
Relative	$9.6 \pm 0.32$	$10.7 \pm 0.62$	$*10.8 \pm 0.29$	$*13.9 \pm 1.33$	$*10.9 \pm 0.39$
Thymus					
Absolute	$45.4 \pm 3.96$	$42.2 \pm 4.45$	$55.3 \pm 3.82$	$43.0 \pm 2.62$	$40.6 \pm 3.19$
Relative	$1.7 \pm 0.14$	$1.6 \pm 0.17$	$2.1 \pm 0.16$	$1.7 \pm 0.11$	$1.8 \pm 0.15$

### TABLE 12. ORGAN WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK INHALATION STUDIES OF CS2 (a)

(a) Mean ± standard error in milligrams (absolute) or milligrams per gram (relative) unless otherwise specified; P values vs. the controls by Dunn's test (Dunn, 1964) or Shirley's test (Shirley, 1977).
(b) Lungs of eight animals were weighed.
(c) Organs of nine animals were weighed.
\* P<0.05</li>
\*\*P<0.01</li>

÷

### **APPENDIX J**

### AUDIT SUMMARY

### APPENDIX J. AUDIT SUMMARY

The pathology specimens, experimental data, study documents, and draft NTP Technical Report for the 2-year studies of o-chlorobenzalmalononitrile in rats and mice were audited for the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) at the National Toxicology Program (NTP) Archives. The audit included review of :

- (1) All records concerning animal receipt, quarantine, randomization, and disposition prior to the start of dosing.
- (2) All inlife records including protocol, correspondence, animal identification, animal husbandry, environmental conditions, dosing external masses, mortality, and serology.
- (3) Body weight and clinical observation data; all data were scanned before individual data for the random 10% sample in each study group were reviewed in detail.
- (4) All study chemical records.
- (5) All postmortem records for individual animals concerning date of death, disposition code, condition code, tissue accountability, correlation of masses or clinical signs recorded at or near the last inlife observation with gross observations and microscopic diagnoses, consistency of data entry on necropsy record forms, and correlation between gross observations and microscopic diagnoses.
- (6) Inventory for wet tissue bags from all animals and residual wet tissues from a random 20% sample of animals in each study group, plus other relevant cases, to evaluate the integrity of individual animal identity and the thoroughness of necropsy and trimming procedure performance.
- (7) Blocks and slides of tissues from a random 20% sample of animals from each study group, plus animals with less than complete or correct identification, to examine for proper inventory, labeling, matching of tissue sections, and preservation.
- (8) All microscopic diagnoses for a random 10% sample of animals, plus 100% of the changes in diagnoses made to preliminary pathology tables, to verify their incorporation into the final pathology tables.
- (9) The extent of correlation between the data, factual information, and procedures for the 2-year studies as presented in the draft Technical Report and the study records available at the NTP Archives.

Procedures and events for the exposure phase of the studies were documented adequately by records at the Archives. Review of the archival records indicated that protocol-specified procedures for animal care were followed adequately. Records that documented the generation, analysis, distribution, and delivery of doses to animals were complete and accurate. Recalculation of the group mean body weight values in the Technical Report showed that 31/32 for rats and 24/24 for mice were correct.

Data entries on necropsy forms were made appropriately. The thoroughness for observation of external potential masses for rats and mice combined was adequate inlife and good at necropsy (84% of the external masses noted at necropsy had an inlife correlate, and 92% of those noted inlife correlated with a necropsy observation). The date of death recorded at necropsy for each unscheduled-death animal had matching entries among the inlife records for 208/210 rats and 65/67 mice; the differences in date-of-death entires for 1 mid dose rat (carcass ID no. 221) and 1 low dose male mouse (carcass ID no. 421) were 4 weeks and 1 year, respectively, and the remaining 2 differences involved 1 day. The reason for animal removal recorded among the inlife records was in agreement with the disposition code recorded at necropsy for all but one rat and four mice. The condition code for each animal was consistent with the disposition code and gross observations assigned at necropsy.

An individual animal identifier (ear tag) was present and correct in the residual tissue bag for each of the 93 rats and 44 mice examined. A total of 4 untrimmed potential lesions were found in the wet tissues of 93 rats, and 3 were found in those of 44 mice examined. The correspondence between individual gross observations made at necropsy and microscopic diagnoses was excellent. Blocks and slides were present, and corresponding tissue sections matched each other properly. All post-Pathology Working Group changes in diagnoses had been incorporated into the final pathology tables. The P values and incidences of neoplasms given in the Technical Report were the same as those in the final pathology tables at the Archives.

This summary describes general audit findings and the extent to which the data and factual information presented in the Technical Report are supported by the records at the NTP Archives. Full details are presented in audit reports that are on file at the NIEHS.