

NTP TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF

ISOEUGENOL (CAS NO. 97-54-1) IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE (GAVAGE STUDIES)

NTP TR 551

SEPTEMBER 2010

NTP TECHNICAL REPORT

ON THE

# **TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS**

# **STUDIES OF ISOEUGENOL**

(CAS NO. 97-54-1)

# IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(GAVAGE STUDIES)



September 2010

**NTP TR 551** 

NIH Publication No. 10-5892

National Institutes of Health Public Health Service U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### FOREWORD

The National Toxicology Program (NTP) is an interagency program within the Public Health Service (PHS) of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and is headquartered at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (NIEHS/NIH). Three agencies contribute resources to the program: NIEHS/NIH, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (NIOSH/CDC), and the National Center for Toxicological Research of the Food and Drug Administration (NCTR/FDA). Established in 1978, the NTP is charged with coordinating toxicological testing activities, strengthening the science base in toxicology, developing and validating improved testing methods, and providing information about potentially toxic substances to health regulatory and research agencies, scientific and medical communities, and the public.

The Technical Report series began in 1976 with carcinogenesis studies conducted by the National Cancer Institute. In 1981, this bioassay program was transferred to the NTP. The studies described in the Technical Report series are designed and conducted to characterize and evaluate the toxicologic potential, including carcinogenic activity, of selected substances in laboratory animals (usually two species, rats and mice). Substances selected for NTP toxicity and carcinogenicity studies are chosen primarily on the basis of human exposure, level of production, and chemical structure. The interpretive conclusions presented in NTP Technical Reports are based only on the results of these NTP studies. Extrapolation of these results to other species, including characterization of hazards and risks to humans, requires analyses beyond the intent of these reports. Selection *per se* is not an indicator of a substance's carcinogenic potential.

The NTP conducts its studies in compliance with its laboratory health and safety guidelines and FDA Good Laboratory Practice Regulations and must meet or exceed all applicable federal, state, and local health and safety regulations. Animal care and use are in accordance with the Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Animals. Studies are subjected to retrospective quality assurance audits before being presented for public review.

NTP Technical Reports are indexed in the NIH/NLM PubMed database and are available free of charge electronically on the NTP website (*http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov*) or in hardcopy upon request from the NTP Central Data Management group at *cdm@niehs.nih.gov* or (919) 541-3419.

NTP TECHNICAL REPORT

ON THE

# **TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS**

# **STUDIES OF ISOEUGENOL**

(CAS NO. 97-54-1)

# IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(GAVAGE STUDIES)



September 2010

**NTP TR 551** 

NIH Publication No. 10-5892

National Institutes of Health Public Health Service U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# CONTRIBUTORS

#### **National Toxicology Program**

Evaluated and interpreted results and reported findings

D.W. Bristol, Ph.D., Study Scientist J.C. Peckham, D.V.M., M.S., Ph.D., Study Pathologist Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. J.R. Bucher, Ph.D. L.T. Burka, Ph.D. R.S. Chhabra, Ph.D. P.M. Foster, Ph.D. R.A. Herbert, D.V.M., Ph.D. M.J. Hooth, Ph.D. A.P. King-Herbert, D.V.M. G.E. Kissling, Ph.D. D.E. Malarkey, D.V.M., Ph.D. J.H. Roycroft, Ph.D. J.M. Sanders, Ph.D. C.S. Smith, Ph.D. G.S. Travlos, D.V.M. M.K. Vallant, B.S., M.T. N.J. Walker, Ph.D. K.L. Witt, M.S.

#### **Battelle Columbus Operations**

Conducted studies and evaluated pathology findings

M.R. Hejtmancik, Ph.D., Principal Investigator S.L. Grumbein, D.V.M., Ph.D. M.J. Ryan, D.V.M., Ph.D. J.D. Toft, II, D.V.M., M.S.

#### **Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc.**

Provided pathology review

M.H. Hamlin, II, D.V.M., Principal Investigator K.J. Cimon, D.V.M., M.S. J.C. Peckham, D.V.M., M.S., Ph.D. G.A. Willson, D.V.M., Ph.D.

#### **Dynamac Corporation**

Prepared quality assurance audits

S. Brecher, Ph.D., Principal Investigator S. Iyer, B.S. V.S. Tharakan, D.V.M.

#### **NTP Pathology Working Group**

Evaluated slides and contributed to pathology report on rats (March 14, 2006)

G.D. Hill, D.V.M., Ph.D., Coordinator ILS, Inc.
M.F. Cesta, D.V.M. ILS, Inc.
K.J. Cimon, D.V.M., M.S.

Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. S.A. Elmore, D.V.M., M.S.

National Toxicology Program G.P. Flake, M.D.

National Toxicology Program

R.A. Herbert, D.V.M., Ph.D. National Toxicology Program

D.E. Malarkey, D.V.M., Ph.D. National Toxicology Program

J.B. Nold, D.V.M., Ph.D. GlaxoSmithKline

J.C. Peckham, D.V.M., M.S., Ph.D. Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc.

A.W. Suttie, B.V.Sc., Ph.D. ILS, Inc.

L.M. Wancket, B.S., Observer University of Illinois

Evaluated slides and contributed to pathology report on mice (March 21, 2006)

M.F. Cesta, D.V.M., Coordinator ILS, Inc.

S.A. Elmore, D.V.M., M.S. National Toxicology Program

G.P. Flake, M.D. National Toxicology Program

R.A. Herbert, D.V.M., Ph.D. National Toxicology Program

G.D. Hill, D.V.M., Ph.D. ILS, Inc.

A.W. Suttie, B.V.Sc., Ph.D. ILS, Inc.

G.A. Willson, D.V.M., Ph.D. Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc.

L.M. Wancket, B.S., Observer University of Illinois

### Constella Group, Inc.

Provided statistical analyses

P.W. Crockett, Ph.D., Principal Investigator L.J. Betz, M.S. K.P. McGowan, M.B.A.

### **Biotechnical Services, Inc.**

Prepared Technical Report

S.R. Gunnels, M.A., Principal Investigator L.M. Harper, B.S. P.C. Rathman, B.S.E. D.C. Serbus, Ph.D. G.E. Simmons, M.A.

# CONTENTS

ABSTRACT		7
EXPLANATION	N OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY	12
TECHNICAL R	REPORTS REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE	13
SUMMARY OF	TECHNICAL REPORTS REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE COMMENTS	14
INTRODUCTIO	DN	15
MATERIALS A	ND METHODS	25
RESULTS		35
DISCUSSION A	AND CONCLUSIONS	59
REFERENCES		63
Appendix A	Summary of Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	71
Appendix <b>B</b>	Summary of Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	87
Appendix C	Summary of Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	99
Appendix D	Summary of Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	111
Appendix E	Genetic Toxicology	123
Appendix F	Clinical Pathology Results	131
Appendix G	Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios	139
Appendix H	Chemical Characterization and Dose Formulation Studies	143
Appendix I	Ingredients, Nutrient Composition, and Contaminant Levels in NIH-2000 Rat and Mouse Ration	155
Appendix J	Sentinel Animal Program	159
Appendix K	Special Study	163
Appendix L	Single-Dose Toxicokinetic Studies in F344/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice	167

#### **SUMMARY**

#### Background

Isoeugenol is a fragrant oil found in many plants including clove, nutmeg, sandalwood, dill seed, gardenia and petunia. It is used in cleaning products, perfumes, and foods and also as an anesthetic in fisheries. We studied the effects of isoeugenol on male and female rats and mice to identify potential toxic or cancer-related hazards.

### Methods

We deposited solutions containing isoeugenol in corn oil directly into the stomach through a tube to groups of 50 male and female rats and mice for two years. Exposed animals received either 75, 150, or 300 milligrams of isoeugenol per kilogram of body weight. Control animals received corn oil with no chemical added by the same method. At the end of the study tissues from more than 40 sites were examined for every animal.

#### Results

There were increased rates of liver cancer (hepatocellular adenoma and hepatocellular carcinoma) in male mice exposed to isoeugenol. Two male rats given 300 mg/kg isoeugenol developed rare neoplasms of the thyroid gland and two others developed rare mammary gland carcinomas. There was an increased rate of histiocytic sarcomas in female mice exposed to isoeugenol. Atrophy, metaplasia, or degeneration of the olfactory epithelium of the nose was seen in all groups of male and female rats and mice exposed to isoeugenol.

#### Conclusions

We conclude that isoeugenol caused liver cancer in male mice. The occurrence of rare thyroid and mammary gland tumors in male rats and increased incidences of histiocytic sarcomas in female mice may have been associated with exposure to isoeugenol. Exposure to isoeugenol caused a variety of lesions of the olfactory epithelium of the nose in rats and mice.

# ABSTRACT



#### **ISOEUGENOL**

(7:1 ratio of *trans:cis* isomers)

CAS No. 97-54-1

Molecular Formula: C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 164.22

Synonyms: 1-(4'-Hydroxy-3'-methoxyphenyl)propene; 4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-1-propenylbenzene; 1-(3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenyl)-1-propene; 2-methoxy-4-prop-1-enylphenol (IUPAC); phenol, 2-methoxy-4-propenyl (8CI); phenol, 2-methoxy-4-(1-propenyl) (9CI); 4-propenylguaiacol

Isoeugenol is one of several structurally similar phenylpropenoid compounds produced by plants. It has been extracted from calamus, savory, basil, ylang-ylang, clove, tuberose, jonguil, nutmeg, tobacco, sandalwood, dill seed, mace, gardenia, petunia, and other flowers. Isoeugenol can also be produced by isomerization of eugenol, which occurs naturally in clove, pimento, bay leaf, and cinnamon. As a fragrance with a spicy, carnation-like odor, isoeugenol is incorporated into numerous household and personal hygiene products, including perfumes, cream lotions, soaps, and detergents. As a flavoring agent, isoeugenol is added to nonalcoholic drinks, baked foods, and chewing gums. Isoeugenol was nominated by the National Cancer Institute and was selected for carcinogenicity testing because of widespread human exposure through its use as a flavoring and fragrance agent and because of its structural similarity to phenylpropenoids such as safrole, isosafrole, eugenol, methyleugenol, estragole, and anethole, most of which are known rodent carcinogens. Male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice were administered isoeugenol (99% or greater pure) in corn oil by gavage for 3 months or 2 years. Genetic toxicity tests were conducted in Salmonella typhimurium, Escherichia coli, cultured

Chinese hamster ovary cells, and mouse peripheral blood erythrocytes.

## **3-MONTH STUDY IN RATS**

Groups of 10 male and 10 female rats were exposed to isoeugenol in corn oil by gavage at doses of 0, 37.5, 75, 150. 300, or 600 mg/kg, 5 days per week for 14 weeks. All rats survived to the end of the study except one 600 mg/kg male and one 37.5 mg/kg female that were killed in dosing accidents. Mean body weights of all exposed groups of males were significantly less than that of the vehicle control group; however, only the decrease for the 600 mg/kg group exceeded 10% and was considered related to isoeugenol exposure. Liver weights were significantly increased in 300 and 600 mg/kg females. The incidences of minimal atrophy of the olfactory epithelium of the nose were significantly increased in 150 mg/kg or greater males and in 300 or 600 mg/kg females. The incidence of atrophy of olfactory nerve bundles was significantly increased in 600 mg/kg females. Minimal to mild periportal hepatocellular cytoplasmic alteration occurred in all 300 or 600 mg/kg females.

### **3-MONTH STUDY IN MICE**

Groups of 10 male and 10 female mice were exposed to isoeugenol in corn oil by gavage at doses of 0, 37.5, 75, 150, 300, or 600 mg/kg, 5 days per week for 14 weeks. All mice survived to the end of the study. The mean body weight of 600 mg/kg males was significantly less (12%) than that of the vehicle controls. Liver weights of 300 and 600 mg/kg males were significantly greater than those of the vehicle controls. Minimal to moderate atrophy of olfactory epithelial tissue and nerve bundles was observed in 600 mg/kg males and females.

## **2-YEAR STUDY IN RATS**

Groups of 50 male and 50 female rats were exposed to isoeugenol in corn oil by gavage at doses of 0, 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg, 5 days per week for 105 weeks. Survival rates of exposed male and female rats were similar to those of vehicle controls. Mean body weights of 300 mg/kg male rats were 9% greater than the vehicle controls at the end of the study. The general lack of toxicity and nonneoplastic lesions indicates that rats might have been able to tolerate higher doses.

Two male rats in the 300 mg/kg group had rare benign or malignant thymomas, while two other males in this group had rare mammary gland carcinomas. Low incidences of minimal atrophy and minimal to mild respiratory metaplasia of the olfactory epithelium were increased in 150 mg/kg males and 300 mg/kg males and females. Similar incidences of minimal to mild olfactory epithelial degeneration in 300 mg/kg males were also increased. Incidences of keratoacanthoma of the skin were decreased in 150 and 300 mg/kg males.

# **2-YEAR STUDY IN MICE**

Groups of 50 male and 50 female mice were exposed to isoeugenol in corn oil by gavage at doses of 0, 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg, 5 days per week for 104 (females) or 105 (males) weeks. Survival of 300 mg/kg males was significantly decreased compared to the vehicle controls. Mean body weights of 300 mg/kg male and female groups were less than those of vehicle controls at the end of the study, 10% and 15% less, respectively.

In all groups of exposed males, the incidences of hepatocellular adenoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma (combined) were significantly greater than those in the vehicle control group; incidences of multiple hepatocellular adenoma were also significantly increased. Incidences of clear cell focus were significantly increased in 75 and 150 mg/kg male mice.

There was a significant positive trend in the incidences of histiocytic sarcoma in females, and this neoplasm occurred in multiple tissues.

Incidences of respiratory metaplasia in olfactory epithelium in all exposed groups and of atrophy and hyaline droplet accumulation in all exposed groups except 75 mg/kg females were significantly greater than those in corresponding vehicle control groups. Incidences of minimal to marked hyperplasia of Bowman's gland were increased significantly in all exposed groups. Incidences of minimal to mild necrosis of renal papilla and mild to moderate necrosis of renal tubules were increased significantly in 300 mg/kg females. Incidences of forestomach squamous hyperplasia, inflammation, and ulceration (males only) increased with exposure and were significant in the 300 mg/kg groups. The incidence of glandular stomach ulcers was low but significantly increased in the 300 mg/kg groups.

# **GENETIC TOXICOLOGY**

Isoeugenol was not mutagenic in two independent assays in bacteria (*S. typhimurium* and *E. coli*) conducted with and without exogenous metabolic activation (S9 liver enzymes). Neither did it induce chromosomal aberrations in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells, with or without S9 activation. Frequencies of micronucleated erythrocytes were not increased in peripheral blood of male mice exposed to isoeugenol by gavage for 3 months; however, an increasing trend and a threefold increase in the 600 mg/kg group indicate a positive result for this test in female mice.

## CONCLUSIONS

Under the conditions of these 2-year gavage studies, there was *equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity*\* of isoeugenol in male F344/N rats based on increased incidences of rarely occurring thymoma and mammary gland carcinoma. There was *no evidence of carcinogenic activ*-

*ity* of isoeugenol in female F344/N rats administered 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg. There was *clear evidence of carcinogenic activity* of isoeugenol in male B6C3F1 mice based on increased incidences of hepatocellular adenoma, hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma (combined). There was *equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity* of iso-eugenol in female B6C3F1 mice based on increased incidences of histiocytic sarcoma.

Exposure to isoeugenol resulted in nonneoplastic lesions of the nose in male and female rats; of the nose, forestomach, and glandular stomach in male and female mice; and of the kidney in female mice.

<sup>\*</sup> Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 12. A summary of the Technical Reports Review Subcommittee comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 14.

	Male F344/N Rats	Female F344/N Rats	Male B6C3F1 Mice	Female B6C3F1 Mice
Doses in corn oil by gavage	0, 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg	0, 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg	0, 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg	0, 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg
Body weights	300 mg/kg group 9% greater than vehicle control group	Exposed groups similar to vehicle control group	300 mg/kg group 10% less than vehicle control group	300 mg/kg group 15% less than vehicle control group
Survival rates	35/50, 34/50, 33/50, 30/50	33/50, 35/50, 34/50, 31/50	39/50, 38/50, 36/50, 27/50	34/49, 39/50, 38/50, 33/50
Nonneoplastic effects	<u>Nose</u> : olfactory epithelium, atrophy (1/50, 5/48, 9/49, 13/49); olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, respiratory (4/50, 6/48, 10/49, 15/49); olfactory epithelium, degeneration (1/50, 0/48, 2/49, 6/49)	<u>Nose</u> : olfactory epithelium, atrophy (0/50, 0/49, 0/49, 4/49); olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, respiratory (5/50, 5/49, 9/49, 12/49)	<u>Nose</u> : olfactory epithelium, atrophy (5/50, 13/50, 36/50, 41/50); olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, respiratory (4/50, 31/50, 47/50, 49/50); olfactory epithelium, degeneration (1/50, 1/50, 7/50, 6/50); olfactory epithelium, accumulation, hyaline droplet (0/50, 6/50, 26/50, 19/50); glands, hyperplasia (3/50, 34/50, 49/50, 48/50) <u>Forestomach</u> : hyperplasia, squamous (7/50, 8/49, 8/50, 14/49); inflammation (5/50, 8/49, 9/50, 14/49); ulcer (1/50, 4/49, 4/50, 9/49) <u>Glandular stomach</u> : ulcer (0/50, 1/49, 4/49, 5/44)	Nose:         olfactory epithelium atrophy (3/48, 8/50, 36/50, 43/50); olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, respiratory (6/48, 37/50, 49/50, 50/50); olfactory epithelium, accumulation, hyaline droplet (0/48, 4/50, 18/50, 12/50); glands, hyperplasia (6/48, 38/50, 49/50, 49/50)           Forestomach:         hyperplasia, squamous (2/48, 8/50, 5/49 8/50); inflammation (2/48, 8/50, 5/49, 8/50)           Glandular stomach:         ulcer (0/46, 1/48, 1/47, 7/48)           Kidney:         papilla, necrosis (including bilateral) (0/47, 1/50, 1/49, 18/49); renal tubule, necrosis (0/47, 1/50 0/49, 6/49)
Neoplastic effects	None	None	Liver: hepatocellular adenoma (24/50, 35/50, 37/50, 33/50); hepatocellular carcinoma (8/50, 18/50, 19/50, 18/50); hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma (28/50, 43/50, 43/50, 43/50)	None
Equivocal findings	<u>Thymus</u> : thymoma, benign or malignant (0/47, 0/43, 0/49, 2/48) <u>Mammary gland</u> :	None	None	<u>All organs</u> : histiocytic sarcoma (0/49, 1/50, 1/50, 4/50)
	carcinoma (0/50, 0/50, 0/50, 2/50)			
Level of evidence of carcinogenic activity	Equivocal evidence	No evidence	Clear evidence	Equivocal evidence

Summary of the 2-Year Carcinogenesis and Genetic Toxicology Studies of Isoeugenol

	Male F344/N Rats	Female F344/N Rats	Male B6C3F1 Mice	Female B6C3F1 Mice
Genetic toxicology Salmonella typhimurium gene mutations:		Negative in strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537 and in <i>Escherichia coli</i> strain WP2 uvra/pKM101with and without S9		
<b>Chromosomal aberrations</b> Cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells <i>in vitro</i> :		Negative with and without S9		
Micronucleated of Mouse peripheral		Negative in males; positive in females		

# Summary of the 2-Year Carcinogenesis and Genetic Toxicology Studies of Isoeugenol

### EXPLANATION OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE OF CARCINOGENIC ACTIVITY

The National Toxicology Program describes the results of individual experiments on a chemical agent and notes the strength of the evidence for conclusions regarding each study. Negative results, in which the study animals do not have a greater incidence of neoplasia than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a chemical is carcinogenic for laboratory animals under the conditions of the study and indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans. Other organizations, such as the International Agency for Research on Cancer, assign a strength of evidence for conclusions based on an examination of all available evidence, including animal studies such as those conducted by the NTP, epidemiologic studies, and estimates of exposure. Thus, the actual determination of risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in laboratory animals requires a wider analysis that extends beyond the purview of these studies.

Five categories of evidence of carcinogenic activity are used in the Technical Report series to summarize the strength of the evidence observed in each experiment: two categories for positive results (clear evidence and some evidence); one category for uncertain findings (equivocal evidence); one category for no observable effects (no evidence); and one category for experiments that cannot be evaluated because of major flaws (inadequate study). These categories of interpretative conclusions were first adopted in June 1983 and then revised in March 1986 for use in the Technical Report series to incorporate more specifically the concept of actual weight of evidence of carcinogenic activity. For each separate experiment (male rats, female rats, male mice, female mice), one of the following five categories is selected to describe the findings. These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to potency or mechanism.

- Clear evidence of carcinogenic activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a dose-related (i) increase of malignant neoplasms, (ii) increase of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms, or (iii) marked increase of benign
- neoplasms if there is an indication from this or other studies of the ability of such tumors to progress to malignancy.Some evidence of carcinogenic activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemical-related increased incidence
- of neoplasms (malignant, benign, or combined) in which the strength of the response is less than that required for clear evidence.Equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a marginal increase of neoplasms
- that may be chemical related.
- No evidence of carcinogenic activity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing no chemical-related increases in malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Inadequate study of carcinogenic activity is demonstrated by studies that, because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations, cannot be interpreted as valid for showing either the presence or absence of carcinogenic activity.

For studies showing multiple chemical-related neoplastic effects that if considered individually would be assigned to different levels of evidence categories, the following convention has been adopted to convey completely the study results. In a study with clear evidence of carcinogenic activity at some tissue sites, other responses that alone might be deemed some evidence are indicated as "were also related" to chemical exposure. In studies with clear or some evidence of carcinogenic activity, other responses that alone might be termed equivocal evidence are indicated as "may have been" related to chemical exposure.

When a conclusion statement for a particular experiment is selected, consideration must be given to key factors that would extend the actual boundary of an individual category of evidence. Such consideration should allow for incorporation of scientific experience and current understanding of long-term carcinogenesis studies in laboratory animals, especially for those evaluations that may be on the borderline between two adjacent levels. These considerations should include:

- · adequacy of the experimental design and conduct;
- occurrence of common versus uncommon neoplasia;
- progression (or lack thereof) from benign to malignant neoplasia as well as from preneoplastic to neoplastic lesions;
- some benign neoplasms have the capacity to regress but others (of the same morphologic type) progress. At present, it is impossible to
  identify the difference. Therefore, where progression is known to be a possibility, the most prudent course is to assume that benign
  neoplasms of those types have the potential to become malignant;
- combining benign and malignant tumor incidence known or thought to represent stages of progression in the same organ or tissue;
- latency in tumor induction;
- · multiplicity in site-specific neoplasia;
- · metastases;
- supporting information from proliferative lesions (hyperplasia) in the same site of neoplasia or in other experiments (same lesion in another sex or species);
- presence or absence of dose relationships;
- · statistical significance of the observed tumor increase;
- concurrent control tumor incidence as well as the historical control rate and variability for a specific neoplasm;
- · survival-adjusted analyses and false positive or false negative concerns;
- · structure-activity correlations; and
- · in some cases, genetic toxicology.

### NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM BOARD OF SCIENTIFIC COUNSELORS TECHNICAL REPORTS REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE

The members of the Technical Reports Review Subcommittee who evaluated the draft NTP Technical Report on isoeugenol on February 28, 2008, are listed below. Subcommittee members serve as independent scientists, not as representatives of any institution, company, or governmental agency. In this capacity, subcommittee members have five major responsibilities in reviewing the NTP studies:

- to ascertain that all relevant literature data have been adequately cited and interpreted,
- to determine if the design and conditions of the NTP studies were appropriate,
- to ensure that the Technical Report presents the experimental results and conclusions fully and clearly,
- to judge the significance of the experimental results by scientific criteria, and
- to assess the evaluation of the evidence of carcinogenic activity and other observed toxic responses.

Nancy Kerkvliet, Ph.D., Chairperson Department of Environmental and Molecular Toxicology Oregon State University Corvallis, OR Christonhar Bradfield, Ph.D., Bringing Bayingura

Christopher Bradfield, Ph.D., Principal Reviewer McArdle Laboratory for Cancer Research University of Wisconsin Madison, WI

Tracie E. Bunton, D.V.M., Ph.D. Toxicology Consultant Eicarte LLC Mechanicsburg, PA

Russell C. Cattley, V.M.D., Ph.D. Amgen Thousand Oaks, CA

Kenny S. Crump, Ph.D., Principal Reviewer ENVIRON International Corporation Monroe, LA Jon Mirsalis, Ph.D. SRI International Menlo Park, CA

Raymond F. Novak, Ph.D. Institute of Environmental Health Sciences Wayne State University Detroit, MI

Michael V. Pino, D.V.M., Ph.D., Principal Reviewer Drug Safety Evaluation Sanofi-aventis Bridgewater, NJ

Keith Soper, Ph.D. Merck Research Laboratories West Point, PA

#### SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL REPORTS REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE COMMENTS

On February 28, 2008, the draft Technical Report on the carcinogenesis studies of isoeugenol received public review by the National Toxicology Program's Board of Scientific Counselors' Technical Reports Review Subcommittee. The review meeting was held at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC.

Dr. D.W. Bristol, NIEHS, introduced the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of isoeugenol by describing its natural occurrence in plants, its uses in fragrances and spices, the structures of the related chemicals in the phenylpropenoid family, the design of the short- and long-term NTP studies, the survival, body weights, nonneoplastic lesions observed in the studies, the occurrence of neoplasms in the long-term study, and an overall comparison of the results of the NTP studies of estragole, methyleugenol, and isoeugenol. The proposed conclusions were equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity of isoeugenol in male F344/N rats, no evidence of carcinogenic activity of isoeugenol in female F344/N rats administered 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg, clear evidence of carcinogenic activity of isoeugenol in male B6C3F1 mice, and equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity of isoeugenol in female B6C3F1 mice. Exposure to isoeugenol resulted in nonneoplastic lesions of the nose in male and female rats and the nose, forestomach, and glandular stomach in male and female mice.

Dr. Crump, the first principal reviewer, felt the study was well conducted, and he agreed with the proposed conclusions.

Dr. Pino, the second principal reviewer, suggested that body weight be included as part of the dose-setting rationale and asked for clarification of whether organ weight effects were primary or secondary. He thought the kidney effects were worth highlighting in the summary.

Dr. Bradfield, the third principal reviewer, agreed with the proposed conclusions.

Dr. Mirsalis suggested that the statistical significance of the micronucleus tests was sometimes misleading, given a low value for the control measure compared with historical controls.

Dr. T. Goodrich, representing AQUI-S New Zealand, Ltd., contrasted the gas chromatography procedures used in the NTP study and by the manufacturer and suggested that the material used in the NTP study had exceeded its shelf life.

Dr. M. Toneby from Scan Aqua, the European representative of AQUI-S, presented results of a mouse micronucleus test showing no effect from isoeugenol and suggested that, considering other tests as well, isoeugenol was not genotoxic.

Dr. Bristol replied that a variety of other chemical assays that were also performed on the test material showed no significant polymerization of the test material and that some of Dr. Goodrich's information referred to material used in a much earlier NTP study.

Dr. Crump moved, and Dr. Soper seconded, to accept the proposed conclusions as written, with the inclusion of the nonneoplastic kidney lesions. The motion was carried with seven yes votes and one no vote (Dr. Pino).

# **INTRODUCTION**



#### **ISOEUGENOL**

(7:1 ratio of *trans:cis* isomers)

CAS No. 97-54-1

Molecular Formula: C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 164.22

Synonyms: 1-(4'-Hydroxy-3'-methoxyphenyl)propene; 4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-1-propenylbenzene; 1-(3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenyl)-1-propene; 2-methoxy-4-prop-1-enylphenol (IUPAC); phenol, 2-methoxy-4-propenyl (8CI); phenol, 2-methoxy-4-(1-propenyl) (9CI); 4-propenylguaiacol

## **CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES**

Isoeugenol belongs to a group of plant-derived volatile compounds, the phenylpropenes, that are biosynthesized from phenylalanine (Pichersky *et al.*, 2006; Koeduka *et al.*, 2008) (Figure 1). At room temperature, it is an oily, yellow liquid with a sweet, spicy, floral odor (Buckley, 2007). Isoeugenol has a boiling point of 266° C, a melting point of  $-10^{\circ}$  C, and a specific gravity of 1.080 at 25° C; it is slightly soluble in water and miscible in ethanol and ether (*Merck*, 1989).

Structurally, phenylpropenoid compounds all have a phenyl group that is attached to an olefinic propenyl group (Figure 1). They are alike in that the phenyl group bears one or more hydroxy, methoxy, or methylenedioxy substituents and is attached to a propene group. The structural feature that partitions them into sets of functionally different analogs, the allylic and propenylic benzenes, is the position that the phenyl ring occupies on the straight-chain, 3-carbon propenyl group. In allylbenzene compounds like eugenol, methyleugenol, estragole, safrole, and myristicin (Figure 1), the phenyl ring [Ph] is attached to the saturated methylene carbon of the propenyl group [Ph-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH=CH<sub>2</sub>]; alternatively this structure can also be represented as a benzyl group [Ph-CH<sub>2</sub>-] attached to ethene [-CH=CH<sub>2</sub>]. In contrast, in propenylbenzene compounds, such as isoeugenol, anethole, isosafrole, and asarone (Figure 1), the phenyl ring is attached to the terminal methylene carbon of the propenyl double bond [Ph-CH=CH-CH<sub>3</sub>]. In propenylbenzenes, the phenyl and propenyl groups form a conjugated system, like that in  $\beta$ -methylstyrene. Propenylbenzenes are sometimes referred to as isoallylbenzenes.

The double bond of propenylbenzenes is asymmetric, so analogs exist in both Z- and E- (*cis*- and *trans*-, respectively) positional isomer forms. In both nature and commerce, isoeugenol is usually a mixture of Z- and E-isomers in the approximate ratio of 1:7, but the pure Eisomer is also available commercially. Unique names, structure representations, and other identifiers for each isoeugenol isomer and the corresponding mixture of isomers are presented in Table 1.



FIGURE 1 Phenylpropenoid Compounds Related to Isoeugenol

# TABLE 1Forms of Isoeugenol (PubChem, 2006)





Isomeric or Canonical SMILES: C\C=C/C1=CC(=C(C=C1)O)OC

<sup>a</sup> International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry

<sup>b</sup> Simplified Molecular Input Line Entry Specification

# PRODUCTION, USE, AND HUMAN EXPOSURE

Isoeugenol is a fragrant essential oil found in many different plants. It has been extracted, admixed with eugenol and other plant volatiles, from calamus, savory, basil, ylang-ylang, clove, tuberose, jonguil, nutmeg, tobacco, sandalwood, dill seed, mace, gardenia, petunia, and other flowers (Wynder and Hoffmann, 1967; Opdyke, 1975; Demole et al., 1976; Hattori et al., 1978). The relative amounts of isoeugenol and eugenol found in plants vary widely. Recent studies show that, although both are produced by reductive deacylation of a common precursor, coniferyl acetate, their biosynthesis is governed by NADPH-dependent synthase enzymes that represent distinct protein lineages (Koeduka et al., 2006, 2008; Dexter et al., 2007). The structures of these enzymes have been determined, and binding-interaction studies indicate that the conversion of coniferyl acetate to eugenol and isoeugenol proceeds by a two-step pathway in which a guinone-methide is formed and then reduced by NADPH-derived hydride (Louie et al., 2007; Koeduka et al., 2008).

Isoeugenol is produced commercially by isomerization of eugenol (Figure 1), which occurs in oils isolated from clove, pimento, bay leaf, and cinnamon (Remington's, 1980). The synthetic conversion involves heating eugenol with caustic potash (Hawley's, 2001), with group VIII metals or their compounds, such as rhodium chloride (Cerveny et al., 1987), or with more environmentally friendly MgAl hydrotalcite (Kishore and Kannan, 2002). In 1983, approximately 21,000 pounds were produced, based on information from five producers. Imported isoeugenol ranged from 12,000 to 122,000 pounds according to four importers (USEPA, 1990). In 1992, the United States imported 330 metric tons (approximately 728,000 pounds) of eugenol/iso-eugenol (Chemical Economics Handbook, 1996). The total European usage of isoeugenol was estimated to be 26,000 kg/year, of which 60% was for household laundry and cleaning products, including laundry detergents, laundry pretreatment products, fabric softeners, hard-surface cleaners, hand dishwashing products, and toilet cleaners (HERA, 2005). In the future, isoeugenol use may increase as a renewable feedstock for the environmentally friendly production of other aromatic flavorings and fragrances. It was recently converted to vanilla in 81% yield and without over-oxidation to vanillic acid or other by-products by Escherichia coli cells that had been genetically engineered to overexpress

isoeugenol monooxygenase isolated from *Pseudomonas putida* IE27 (Yamada *et al.*, 2008). Isoeugenol has been identified in pulp and paper mill effluents (Nestmann and Lee, 1983).

The sweet, spicy floral fragrance of isoeugenol leads to its use in perfumes, cosmetics, personal hygiene products, household cleaning agents, and foods. Tasting like anise or licorice, isoeugenol is added to nonalcoholic drinks, baked foods, candy, and chewing gums. The concentration of isoeugenol in some edible products is approximately 4 ppm for beverages, 4 to 10 ppm for foods, and 0.3 to 1,000 ppm for gums (Opdyke, 1975). Recently, isoeugenol has been used as the active ingredient in AQUI-S $^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}$ , a "zero withdrawal anesthetic" used in the culture and management of finfish and shellfish. AOUI-S<sup>®</sup> is approved for use in Australia, Chile, the Faeroe Islands, Korea, and New Zealand (Schnick, 1999, 2006). When used as an anesthetic, AQUI-S<sup>®</sup> is reported to prevent struggling and thereby maintain muscle quality during the "rested harvesting" of king salmon. Although measurable levels of isoeugenol are detected, they have no effect on levels of other volatile organic compounds that have been identified for possible use as markers of salmon freshness (Wierda et al., 2006).

Human exposure to isoeugenol occurs as a result of its presence in the workplace and through a variety of consumer products. Between 1981 and 1983, the estimated number of workers exposed to isoeugenol was 35,000 (NIOSH, 1990). The estimated daily per capita intake of isoeugenol is approximately 120 µg in Europe and 40 µg in the United States, while the estimated daily per capita intake of isoeugenyl methyl ether is approximately 130 µg in Europe and the United States (WHO, 2004). Information for the latter chemical is included here because O-demethylation readily converts it to isoeugenol (Solheim and Scheline, 1976). As a fragrance, isoeugenol is incorporated into numerous household cleaning agents and personal hygiene products, including perfumes, cream lotions, soaps, and detergents (Opdyke, 1975).

### **Regulatory Status**

Isoeugenol is Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS) by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in foods as a synthetic flavoring substance and adjuvant (21 CFR, § 172.515). There is no permissible exposure limit, threshold limit value, or recommended exposure limit for isoeugenol, but it is to be used in the minimum quantity to produce the intended effect. It may be used alone or in combination with other GRAS substances, which include isoeugenol esters (formate, acetate, and phenylacetate) and ethers (methyl, ethyl, and benzyl), that may be readily metabolized to the parent isoeugenol. The FDA Center for Veterinary Medicine granted four designations for aquacultural uses of isoeugenol under the Minor Use and Minor Species Animal Health Act (FDA, 2006). The National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) registry number for isoeugenol is SL7875000. There is no additional Occupational Safety and Health Administration, American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, or NIOSH regulatory information for isoeugenol (ACGIH, 2005).

# Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, and Excretion

### **Experimental** Animals

Much of the considerable effort directed toward identifying the metabolism of allylbenzene and propenylbenzene analogs has been reviewed by Scheline (1991). The allylbenzene analogs estragole, methyleugenol, and safrole are carcinogens in both rats and mice (Long et al., 1963; Hagan et al., 1965, 1967; Boberg et al., 1983; Miller et al., 1983; Wiseman et al., 1987; Miller, 1994a). Accordingly, their metabolism has been studied at length. The cytochrome P450 system catalyzes the metabolism of allylbenzene analogs by three competing pathways: hydroxylation at the methylene carbon of the allyl group to form the corresponding 1-hydroxy-allylbenzene; oxidation of the allylic double bond to form the corresponding 2,3-oxide; and O-dealkylation of a phenyl methoxy or methylenedioxy group. The hydroxylation pathway, thought to be the first step in activating allylbenzene analogs towards carcinogenesis, is catalyzed by CYP2E1 and probably CYP2C6 isozymes (Jeurissen et al., 2004, 2006, 2007). Sulfonation of the 1-hydroxy metabolite produces an electrophilic sulfonate ester, which reacts with various cellular nucleophiles (i.e., base sites in DNA, RNA, or proteins) to form covalently bound adducts, leading ultimately to carcinogenesis (Boberg et al., 1983; Miller, 1994a; Gardner et al., 1997). A fourth pathway exists for phenylpropenoid compounds like eugenol and isoeugenol that have a free phenolic group because they may be conjugated and excreted without first undergoing phase I metabolism.

Although the carcinogenic activity and metabolism of isoeugenol and its propenylbenzene analogs are less well characterized, similarly three pathways have been identified for their phase I metabolism:  $\omega$ -hydroxylation of the terminal methyl group to form the corresponding 3-hydroxy-1-phenylpropene (note that the 3-hydroxy metabolite of isoeugenol is naturally occurring coniferyl alcohol), oxidation of the propenyl double bond to form the corresponding 1,2-oxide, and *O*-dealkylation (Scheline, 1991). Additionally, like allylbenzenes, propenylbenzenes that have a free phenolic group may form glucuronide or sulfonate conjugates and be excreted without undergoing phase I metabolism.

The importance of oxide formation and subsequent metabolism in phenylpropenoid toxicity is not entirely clear. Studies show that allylbenzenes form 2,3-oxide metabolites (Borchert et al., 1973; Stillwell et al., 1974; Solheim and Scheline, 1976; Delaforge et al., 1980), while propenylbenzenes such as isoeugenol, isosafrole, anethole, and asarone form 1,2-oxide metabolites (Klungsøyr and Scheline, 1982; Sangster et al., 1984; Wiseman et al., 1987; Luo and Guenthner, 1996). Synthetic anethole oxide and trans-asarone oxide are both mutagenic in Salmonella strains and carcinogenic in mice (Kim et al., 1999). Some reports indicate that only small amounts of 1,2-oxides are formed in rodents (Solheim and Scheline, 1976; Newberne et al., 1999; Badger et al., 2002). However, recent studies indicate that microbes readily convert isoeugenol to vanilla in up to 71% yield (Yamada et al., 2008) by an epoxide/epoxide-diol pathway (Zhang et al., 2006; Hua et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2007).

Although isoeugenol is detoxified by phase II conjugation of its free phenolic group, direct single-electron oxidation is a fifth pathway that results in formation of the quinone-methide metabolite (Thompson et al., 1993, 1998; Bertrand et al., 1997; Burkey et al., 2000; Badger et al., 2002). The formation of quinone or quinonemethide metabolites is thought to be responsible for skin sensitization caused by both isoeugenol and eugenol (Thompson et al., 1993, 1998; Bertrand et al., 1997; Burkey et al., 2000) and could be responsible for other toxic responses. The formation of a quinone-methide metabolite is further supported by recent studies, which indicate that the biosynthesis of eugenol and isoeugenol proceeds by NADPH-dependent reduction of their quinone-methide, formed from coniferyl acetate (Louie et al., 2007; Koeduka et al., 2008). Note that eugenol, isoeugenol, and coniferyl alcohol form the same quinone-methide and that presence of a phenolic hydroxyl group *para* to the propenyl group is essential for its formation.

The uptake and excretion of isoeugenol is both thorough and rapid. Following a single oral dose of <sup>14</sup>C-isoeugenol to male F344 rats (156 mg/kg; 50  $\mu$ Ci/kg), more than 85% was absorbed in 72 hours (Badger et al., 2002). Excretion was mainly in the urine as sulfate or glucuronide conjugates. Approximately 10% was excreted in the feces (likely unabsorbed), and less than 0.1% was recovered as CO<sub>2</sub> or expired organics. No parent isoeugenol was detected in the blood at Following intravenous administration, any time. isoeugenol disappeared rapidly with a  $t_{1/2}$  of 12 minutes and a  $Cl_s$  of 1.91 L/minute per kg. Excretion of the intravenous dose was similar to that following oral administration. The total amount of radioactivity remaining in the animal was less than 0.25% after 72 hours by either route of exposure. These results demonstrate that isoeugenol is rapidly metabolized and excreted in urine as phase II conjugates.

Metabolism studies of isosafrole, another propenylbenzene analog, in Wistar rats indicated that 89% of the gavage dose was excreted as 10 different urinary metabolites in 72 hours, with most being excreted in the first 24 hours (Klungsøyr and Scheline, 1982). O-demethylenation to 3,4-dihydroxyisosafrole was the most prominent pathway (92% of the urinary metabolites were demethylenated), but minor amounts of the corresponding 3-hydroxy and epoxide-diol metabolites were also detected. While 1.3% of the dose was recovered as 3-hydroxyisosafrole, no 1-hydroxysafrole was detected. The 3-hydroxy metabolite is rapidly converted to its 1-hydroxy isomer by strong acid in vitro (Peele and Oswald, 1977), but this has been observed to occur in vivo only in trace amounts or not at all, thus minimizing formation of the 1-sulfate ester conjugate, the putative ultimate carcinogen formed from allylbenzenes.

The predominant paths for metabolic activation of propenylbenzenes involve side-chain oxidation of the double bond to form the corresponding 1',2'-oxide and hydroxylation of the terminal methyl group to form the corresponding 3'-hydroxypropenylbenzene. These primary products are further metabolized, setting up competition between activation and detoxification pathways. Isosafrole metabolism was studied in Wistar rats, where 89% of the dose was excreted as urinary metabolites in 72 hours (Klungsøyr and Scheline, 1982).

O-Demethylation to 1,2-dihydroxy-4-(1'-propenyl) benzene was the most prominent reaction (92% of the urinary metabolites were demethylenated), but hydroxylation of the terminal methyl group and epoxide-diol formation also occurred in minor amounts. Although isoeugenol oxide itself was not detected, approximately 2% of the dose was recovered as its reduced 1,2-dihydrodiol metabolite. Only 1.3% of the dose was recovered as 3-hydroxyisosafrole and no 1-hydroxysafrole was detected. The 3-hydroxy metabolite can be converted to its 1-hydroxy isomer in vitro using harsh conditions (Peele and Oswald, 1977), but this isomerization has been observed in vivo only in trace amounts. Thus it is unlikely that propenylbenzenes form more than trace amounts, if any, of the 1-sulfoxy conjugate, the putative ultimate carcinogen formed from allylbenzenes. The fact that isoeugenol is formed in high yield when eugenol is heated with a catalyst (Cerveny et al., 1987; Hawley's, 2001) indicates that the conjugated phenyl double bond system of propenylbenzenes is considerably more stable thermodynamically than the isolated phenyl group and double bond of allylbenzenes. Accordingly, 1- to 3-hydroxyl isomerization is unlikely unless facilitated enzymatically. In fact, the 3-hydroxy metabolite of isoeugenol is coniferyl alcohol, the stable, natural precursor for the biosynthesis of isoeugenol, eugenol, and many lignan compounds (Koeduka et al., 2006, 2008; Dexter et al., 2007).

Metabolism studies have been conducted with structural analogs of isoeugenol (Figure 1). Early studies showed that allylbenzene analogs are metabolized to allyl epoxides (Borchert et al., 1973; Stillwell et al., 1974; Delaforge, 1976; Solheim and Scheline, 1976) and phenols (Solheim and Scheline, 1976). Allylbenzenes have been found to induce cytochrome P450 enzyme systems (Ioannides et al., 1981; Gardner et al., 1997), and 1-hydroxylated metabolites are thought to play a role in the production of tumors. Miller et al. (1983) showed that the 1'-hydroxy metabolites of the allylbenzenes estragole, methyleugenol, safrole, and 1-allyl-4methoxynaphthalene were hepatocarcinogenic to mice; however, 1-hydroxyallylbenzene and the 1-hydroxy metabolite of elemicin were inactive in the same assays. They also showed that the 3-hydroxy metabolite of the propenylbenzene anethole was also inactive. A variety of studies conducted over a 30-year period, primarily by E.C. and J.A. Miller and associates, provide strong evidence in support of their theory that metabolism of phenylpropenoid compounds, particularly the allylbenzene analogs, results in the formation of electrophilic

intermediates that are attacked by cellular nucleophiles, i.e. base sites present in proteins, DNA, RNA, etc. (Miller, 1994a,b). The precise reaction mechanism that produces covalently bound adducts is not known, but for at least one case, Smith *et al.* (1986) present evidence that the nucleophilic substitution is bimolecular.

### Humans

Isoeugenol is absorbed into the systemic circulation after dermal application or ingestion. Application of 10 mM of <sup>14</sup>C-isoeugenol to human cadaver skin using various vehicles (ethanol:water, propylene glycol, liquid paraffin, lotions, white petrolatum, or macrogol ointment) resulted in penetration values ranging from 0.29% to 4% (water-based vehicles) and 0.05% to 11% (lotions and ointments) (Jimbo *et al.*, 1983).

## Τοχιζιτγ

### **Experimental** Animals

Isoeugenol is slightly toxic following acute exposure. Oral  $LD_{50}$  values range from 1,290 to 1,880 mg/kg for rats and 1,130 to 1,780 mg/kg for guinea pigs (Jenner *et al.*, 1964). Exposure of male and female Osborne-Mendel rats to 10,000 ppm isoeugenol in the diet (equivalent to 500 mg/kg) for 16 weeks had no effect on body weight, food intake, hematology, or organ weights; gross and microscopic examinations revealed no treatment-related lesions (Hagan *et al.*, 1967).

Isoeugenol causes dermal toxicity, which is exhibited primarily as irritation. Application of 0.1 g of isoeugenol per day for 3 days to the clipped skin of guinea pigs or albino rabbits resulted in a dose-related irritation response (Itoh, 1982). When 50 mg of isoeugenol was applied as a patch to the shaved skin of guinea pigs for 48 hours every 2 weeks followed by treatment with isoeugenol at concentrations of 0.1% or 1% for 48 hours, sensitization was observed in 40% and 80% of the 0.1% and 1% animals, respectively (Itoh, 1982). However, 0.05 g of isoeugenol applied to a patch for 48 hours to miniature pigs did not result in dermal toxicity (Motoyoshi *et al.*, 1979).

Both eugenol and isoeugenol are potent skin sensitizers in the mouse local lymph node assay, although isoeugenol is stronger (Loveless *et al.*, 1996). Studies in mice led Bertrand *et al.* (1997) to suggest that the two chemicals form reactive quinone-methide haptens by different mechanistic pathways. The cytotoxic effect of palkylphenols and estragole have been linked to the formation of quinone-methide intermediates (Thompson et al., 1993, 1998). Eugenol may sensitize via primary demethylation followed by oxidation of the resulting pyrocatechol to produce an o-quinone metabolite and its tautomeric p-quinone-methide, while isoeugenol sensitization is consistent with direct oxidation to its p-quinone-methide without first undergoing demethylation. However, Thompson et al. (1993, 1998) have shown that eugenol can be oxidized directly to its quinone-methide metabolite. By analogy, isoeugenol, which also has a free phenolic hydroxyl group, can undergo a similar direct oxidation to form the identical quinone-methide. The difference in sensitizing strength may reflect the position of the propenyl double bond. The double bond of isoeugenol, being conjugated with the phenyl ring, may be oxidized faster or more completely to the quinone-methide.

Isoeugenol has been reported to induce UDP-glucuronide transferase activity in rats and guinea pigs (Boutin *et al.*, 1985), inhibit the growth of ascites sarcoma BP 8 cells and oxidative metabolism of hamster brown fat cells (Curvall *et al.*, 1984), and scavenge superoxide anions generated by the xanthine-xanthine oxidase system (Rajakumar and Rao, 1993).

#### Humans

Dermal exposure to isoeugenol may produce moderate irritation and contact dermatitis (Thompson et al., 1983). Concern has grown in recent years and parallels the growing incidence of human allergic contact dermatitis from exposure to isoeugenol in cosmetics and cleaning agents (de Groot and Frosch, 1997; Buckley et al., 2000; White et al., 2007). This led the International Fragrance Association to recommend that the level for safe use of isoeugenol in consumer products be reduced from 0.2% to 0.02% (White et al., 1999). Subsequently, the European Commission passed the 7th Amendment to the Cosmetics Directive (2003), which lists 26 allergenic fragrance chemicals, including isoeugenol and eugenol, that must be labeled on detergent packaging if added above a concentration of 0.01% weight/weight. This requirement alerts users to the presence of ingredients that may cause an allergic reaction and applies to detergents that are made for washing dishes, crockery, pots, pans, and kitchen utensils by hand. In spite of these interventions, the incidence of isoeugenol contact allergy increased during the 5-year period from 2001 to 2005

(White *et al.*, 2007). A recent study (Rastogi and Johansen, 2008) indicates that substantial amounts of isoeugenyl acetate are now present in some perfumed products, apparently to decrease the amount of isoeugenol needed to provide a desired fragrance; however, this substitution does not allay concern about isoeugenol exposure because skin may readily metabolize the acetate ester to isoeugenol, perhaps exerting concomitant contact allergy in sensitive individuals.

# **Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity**

A multigenerational reproductive toxicity study of isoeugenol has been performed (Layton et al., 2001; NTP, 2002). Groups of 20 adult male and female Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed to 0, 70, 230, or 700 mg isoeugenol/kg body weight per day by gavage in corn oil. The F<sub>0</sub> cohabitation period began on study day 8; subsequently, mating pairs produced three litters  $(F_{1a}, F_{1b}, and F_{1c})$ . Animals from the  $F_{1c}$  litters were first exposed to isoeugenol on postnatal day 21. On postnatal days 71 to 91, F1c animals were assigned to mating pairs and produced three litters (F<sub>2a</sub>, F<sub>2b</sub>, and F<sub>2c</sub>). The study evaluated body weight, feed consumption, clinical signs, number and weight of pups, anogenital distance, sperm parameters, vaginal cytology, organ weights, gross pathology, and microscopic pathology. Treatment-related general toxicity included mean body weight decreases in respective  $F_0$  and  $F_1$ generations of 230 and 700 mg/kg males (3% and 18%) and 700 mg/kg females (4% and 12%), while forestomach hyperkeratosis and hyperplasia increased in all exposed groups of  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  rats. Exposure to isoeugenol at 700 mg/kg per day resulted in mild reproductive toxicity, as evidenced by a decrease in the number of F<sub>1</sub> male pups per litter and decreases in F<sub>2</sub> male and female pup weights.

In an NTP developmental toxicity study (NTP, 1998; George *et al.*, 2001), timed-pregnant  $CD^{\textcircled{R}}$  outbred albino Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed to doses of 250, 500, or 1,000 mg/kg of isoeugenol by gavage in corn oil on gestational days 6 through 19; controls received 5 mL/kg corn oil. Isoeugenol exposure did not affect prenatal mortality (resorption or late fetal death). Average fetal body weight per litter was decreased by 7% (male) or 9% (female) in the 1,000 mg/kg group on gestation day 20. The incidence of unossified sternebra in fetuses from the 1,000 mg/kg group was increased; no other statistically

significant fetal abnormalities were observed. Based on reduced body weight and gestational weight gain, 250 mg/kg per day was the lowest-observed-adverseeffect level (LOAEL) for maternal toxicity. Due to intrauterine growth retardation and mildly delayed skeletal ossification, the developmental toxicity LOAEL was 1,000 mg/kg per day.

### CARCINOGENICITY

No studies were found in the literature regarding the chronic toxicity or carcinogenicity of isoeugenol in humans.

Isoeugenol shares structural features with two sets of phenylpropenoid analogs (Figure 1). The carcinogenicity of many of these compounds, their known metabolites, and their potentially active derivatives have been characterized in rodent bioassays.

Safrole, fed to male and female Osborne-Mendel rats at up to 5,000 ppm in feed for 2 years, induced significant increases in liver tumors (hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatocellular adenoma, hepatocholangiocarcinoma, and hepatocholangioma) (Long et al., 1963). Mice exposed to 464 mg/kg safrole by stomach tube from postnatal days 7 to 28 and then to 1,112 ppm safrole in feed developed liver neoplasms (Innes et al., 1969). Male B6C3F1 mice nursed by safrole-treated mothers also developed liver tumors (Vesselinovitch et al., 1979). Unlike safrole, isosafrole did not induce tumors at any site in Osborne-Mendel rats exposed to 5,000 ppm in feed for 2 years (Hagan et al., 1965). Using the same protocol, while dihydrosafrole did not produce liver tumors, it induced 75% incidences of benign and malignant esophageal tumors in rats (Hagan et al., 1965).

Miller *et al.* (1983) performed comprehensive sets of bioassays to characterize the carcinogenicity of phenylpropenoid compounds and their metabolites. When administered to CD-1 mice prior to weaning then evaluated for hepatic tumors about 1 year later, neither anethole nor eugenol exhibited carcinogenic activity at doses that induced incidences of 61% to 73% in male and female mice exposed to safrole and in male but not female mice exposed to estragole. Anethole elicited a weak response in male mice when the dose was doubled. The 2,3-oxides of safrole, estragole, and eugenol were all negative in this assay. Similar preweaning-exposure assays for the development of liver tumors in B6C3F1 male mice were positive for estragole, methyleugenol, and the 1-hydroxy metabolites of estragole, methyleugenol, and 2,3-dehydroestragole, but negative for anethole, 3-hydroxyanethole, elemicin, 1-hydroxyelemicin, myristicin, dill apiol, and parsley apiol. Female CD-1 mice developed hepatic tumors when exposed in the diet for 1 year to safrole, estragole, and 1-hydroxysafrole, but not to anethole or eugenol. CD-1 female mice developed benign epidermal papillomas and keratoacanthomas when exposed topically to the 2,3oxides of safrole, 1-hydroxysafrole, estragole, 1-hydroxyestragole, and eugenol.

Wiseman et al. (1987) extended the work of Miller et al. (1983) to determine relative hepatocarcinogenic potencies for allylbenzene and propenylbenzene analogs administered to B6C3F1 mice prior to weaning. Some conclusions of the authors follow: 1-hydroxyestragole injected intraperitoneally at 12 days of age approximately doubled the hepatomas per liver induced by the same dose administered at 1 day of age; the acetylenic compounds 1-hydroxy-2,3-dehydroestragole and 1hydroxy-2,3-dehydrosafrole induced five- and 10-fold more hepatomas per liver than the corresponding parent compounds; estragole derivatives were two- to three-fold more potent than safrole derivatives; 1-hydroxyelemicin and l-oxoestragole induced weak but statistically significant hepatocarcinogenic responses; 1-propenyl analogs anethole, 3'-hydroxy-trans-anethole, isosafrole, and trans-cinnamaldehyde did not induce hepatic tumors, but cis- and trans-asarone were active; pentachlorophenol, a sulfotransferase inhibitor, reduced the hepatocarcinogenic response of estragole but not that of cis- or transasarone, indicating likely activation of asarone by epoxidation.

Eugenol 0, 3,000 or 6,000 ppm in feed for 2 years induced a weak response of hepatic tumors in male and female B6C3F1 mice, but no tumors in F344/N rats (NTP, 1983). In contrast, administration of methyleugenol to F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice by gavage for 2 years induced liver neoplasms in both sexes of rats and mice, neuroendocrine tumors of the glandular stomach in rats and male mice, as well as kidney neoplasms, mesotheliomas, mammary gland fibroadenomas, and subcutaneous fibromas and fibrosarcomas in male rats (NTP, 2000). Methyleugenol increased the incidence of fundic mucosal atrophy, neuroendocrine hyperplasia, and benign and malignant neuroendocrine tumors of the glandular stomach (Johnson *et al.*, 2000; NTP, 2000). A possible explanation for this finding involves a cytotoxic loss of parietal cells, resulting in mucosal atrophy, decreased gastric secretion (hypochlorhydria), increased intragastric pH, and increased serum gastrin (hypergastrinemia). An increase in stomach pH leads to gastrin production. Thake *et al.* (1995) showed that long-term inhibition of gastric acid secretion induces enterochromaffin-like cell tumors. Thus, increased pH and gastrin secretion may stimulate hyperplasia of neuroendocrine cells, which may in turn ultimately lead to tumor formation. In light of the methyleugenol study results, intragastric pH and serum gastrin were measured during the subchronic isoeugenol rat study (Appendix K).

Most recently, carcinogenic activity of estragole has been observed in rats. Administration of 600 mg estragole/kg body weight in corn oil by gavage for 3 months induced hepatic cholangiocarcinomas and hepatocellular adenoma in male F344/N rats (NTP, 2010).

# **GENETIC TOXICITY**

The available mutagenicity data for isoeugenol were reviewed by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) (WHO, 2004); the test results provided little evidence for isoeugenol mutagenicity. Briefly, isoeugenol was not mutagenic in any of several tester strains of Salmonella typhimurium or Escherichia coli strain WP2, with or without liver S9 activation enzymes (Douglas et al., 1980; Florin et al., 1980; Sekizawa and Shibamoto, 1982; Mortelmans et al., 1986). However, positive results were reported for isoeugenol in the Bacillus subtilis DNA-repair test (Rec assay) conducted in the absence of S9 activation enzymes and using ethanol as the solvent (Sekizawa and Shibamoto, 1982); due to the variable growth rates observed in the B. subtilis tester strains in this Rec assay, the test results were judged to be inconclusive (WHO, 2004). Furthermore, negative results were reported in the B. subtilis Rec assay for isoeugenol when dimethyl sulfoxide was used as the solvent (Sekizawa and Shibamoto, 1982).

Isoeugenol did not induce chromatid breaks or sister chromatid exchanges in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells exposed to isoeugenol concentrations over a range of 1.6 to 16  $\mu$ g/mL in the absence of S9 (Sasaki *et al.*, 1989), but it was reported to induce sister chromatid

exchanges (indicators of DNA damage) in human lymphocytes treated with 82  $\mu$ g/mL isoeugenol *in vitro* (Jansson *et al.*, 1986).

No induction of unscheduled DNA synthesis was observed after treatment of cultured primary hepatocytes obtained from B6C3F1 mice or F344 rats with 164  $\mu$ g/mL isoeugenol (Burkey *et al.*, 2000).

# **STUDY RATIONALE**

Isoeugenol was nominated by the National Cancer Institute and was selected for carcinogenicity testing because of widespread human exposure through its use as a flavoring and fragrance agent and because of its structural similarity to phenylpropenoid compounds such as safrole, isosafrole, methyleugenol, estragole, and anethole, some of which are carcinogenic. The oral route of exposure was chosen because it is the major route of human exposure, and gavage was chosen after preliminary studies showed that isoeugenol in feed was unpalatable to both rats and mice and the concentration in feed decreased when stored at room temperature. These effects were attributed to the relatively high vapor pressure of isoeugenol. Furthermore, most previous studies of phenylpropenoid analogs had been conducted by gavage or dosed feed routes of exposure. In commerce, isoeugenol is approximately a 1:7 mixture of *Z* and *E* isomers, so that was the form tested by the NTP.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION

### Isoeugenol

Isoeugenol was obtained from Penta International Corporation (Livingston, NJ) in one lot (60449) that was used in the 3-month and 2-year studies. Identity and purity analyses were performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory, Battelle Columbus Operations (Chemistry Support Services, Columbus, OH) and the study laboratory, Battelle Columbus Operations (Columbus, OH); Karl Fischer titration and elemental analyses were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc. (Knoxville, TN) (Appendix H). Reports on analyses performed in support of the isoeugenol studies are on file at the National Toxicology Program Archives.

The chemical, a yellow liquid, was identified as isoeugenol by the analytical chemistry laboratory using infrared spectral analysis and by both proton and carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. The study laboratory confirmed the identity of the test article by infrared spectroscopy. The purity of lot 60449 was determined by the analytical chemistry and study laboratories using gas chromatography (GC) and by the analytical chemistry laboratory using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Elemental analyses for carbon and hydrogen were in agreement with the theoretical values for isoeugenol. Karl Fischer titration indicated 0.57% water. GC by one system indicated one major peak and four smaller peaks. The major peak, 87% of the total peak area, was determined to be E-isoeugenol, and the second largest peak, 12% of the total peak area, was determined to be Z-isoeugenol. The identity of three minor peaks with a combined area of approximately 1% of the total area was not determined. HPLC indicated one major peak, believed to be the coelution of Z- and E-isoeugenol, and one impurity with an area of 0.5% relative to the total peak area. In agreement with the manufacturer, the overall purity of lot 60449 was determined to be 99% or greater.

To ensure stability, the bulk chemical was stored at or below -20° C, protected from light, in 1-L Teflon<sup>®</sup> bottles. The study laboratory monitored stability during the 3-month and 2-year studies by periodic analysis using GC. No degradation of the bulk chemical was detected. Isoeugenol dimers occur naturally in plants as lignans. They are also formed by photooxidation at or above room temperature when exposed to ultraviolet light (Dellagreca et al., 2008). Isoeugenol dimers exhibit antiinflammatory, potential anticancer, and skin sensitizing activities (Fujisawa et al., 2002; Li and Yang, 2008; Takeyoshi et al., 2008). The initial 99% purity of the isoeugenol used in these NTP studies was monitored by performing periodic GC analysis of the bulk chemical during the 3-month and 2-year studies. The periodic analysis utilized an internal standard to quantify purity, and results were compared with those obtained from concurrent analysis of a reference sample that had been prepared upon receipt and stored at or below -20° C (Appendix H). Accordingly, the series of stability analyses would detect any decrease in isoeugenol purity over time, whether or not isoeugenol dimers or other conversion products themselves were detected. The results of these stability analyses showed that isoeugenol purity remained unchanged.

### Corn Oil

Corn oil was obtained in multiple lots from Spectrum Chemicals and Laboratory Products (Gardena, CA) for use during the 3-month and 2-year studies. The study laboratory determined peroxide levels prior to first use and every 2 months during the studies by potentiometric titration; all peroxide concentrations were below the acceptable limit of 3 mEq/kg.

# PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS OF DOSE FORMULATIONS

The dose formulations were prepared by mixing isoeugenol with corn oil to give the required concentrations (Table H1) and stored at room temperature in amber glass bottles with Teflon<sup>®</sup>-lined lids for up to 35 days. Homogeneity studies of 0.2 and 120 mg/mL formulations and stability studies of the 0.2 mg/mL formulation were performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory using GC on a different lot (46928) of isoeugenol obtained from Penta International Corporation. Homogeneity was confirmed, and the 120 mg/mL dose formulation was found to be suitable for gavage. Stability was confirmed for up to 35 days for dose formulations stored in amber glass bottles with Teflon<sup>®</sup>-lined lids at -20° C, 5° C, and room temperature, as well as for 3 hours under simulated animal room conditions.

Periodic analyses of the dose formulations of isoeugenol were conducted by the study laboratory using GC. During the 3-month studies, the dose formulations were analyzed three times; animal room samples were also analyzed. All 15 dose formulations analyzed for rats and mice were within 10% of the target concentrations; all 15 animal room samples for rats and 14 of 15 for mice were within 10% of the target concentrations (Table H2). During the 2-year studies, the dose formulations were analyzed approximately every 3 months; animal room samples were also analyzed (Table H3). All 27 dose formulations for rats and 27 of 28 for mice were within 10% of the target concentrations. All nine animal room samples analyzed for rats and mice were within 10% of the target concentrations.

# **3-MONTH STUDIES**

The 3-month studies were conducted to evaluate the cumulative toxic effects of repeated exposure to isoeugenol and to determine appropriate doses for the 2-year studies.

Male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice were obtained from Taconic Farms, Inc. (Germantown, NY). On receipt, the rats and mice were 4 to 5 weeks old. Animals were quarantined for 11 to 14 days and were 6 to 7 weeks old on the first day of the studies. Before the studies began, five male and five female rats and mice were randomly selected for parasite evaluation and gross observation for evidence of disease. At 1 month and at

the end of the studies, serologic analyses were performed on five male and five female sentinel rats and mice using the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix J).

Groups of 10 male and 10 female rats and mice were exposed to isoeugenol in corn oil by gavage at doses of 0, 37.5, 75, 150, 300, or 600 mg/kg, 5 days per week for 14 weeks. Additional special study groups of 10 male and 10 female rats received the same doses for 31 days. Feed and water were available *ad libitum*, except special study rats were fasted for 24 hours prior to blood collection on day 31. Rats and female mice were housed five per cage; male mice were housed individually. Animals were weighed and clinical observations were recorded initially, weekly, and at the end of the exposure phase. Details about materials and methods used in the study are summarized in Table 2.

Animals were anesthetized with carbon dioxide, and blood was collected from the retroorbital sinus of special study rats on days 4 and 23 and from core study rats and mice on day 93 for hematology and clinical chemistry (rats only) analysis. Blood samples for hematology analyses were placed in tubes containing potassium EDTA. Erythrocyte, leukocyte, and platelet counts; hemoglobin concentrations; mean cell volume; mean cell hemoglobin; and mean cell hemoglobin concentration were determined using a Cell-Dyn 3500 (Abbott Diagnostics, Abbott Park, IL). Manual hematocrit values were determined by microhematocrit centrifugation techniques. Blood samples for clinical chemistry analyses were placed in tubes containing separator gel and allowed to clot. After clot retraction occurred, the samples were centrifuged, and the serum was aliquoted for assay of serum chemistry analytes using a Hitachi 911 chemistry analyzer (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN). Table 2 lists the parameters measured.

On day 31, blood was collected from the retroorbital sinus of special study rats. Serum was separated and stored at -70° C until analysis. Serum gastrin levels were determined by radioimmunoassay (American Laboratory Products Company, Windham, NH). Following blood collection, special study rats were euthanized with carbon dioxide and the stomach was isolated for pH determination. The duodenum distal to the pylorus was incised; a Model Number PHR-146 pH electrode (Jenco Instruments, Inc., San Diego, CA) was inserted, and a Model Number 6250 pH meter (Jenco Instruments) was used to measure stomach pH. After recording the pH, the stomach was fixed, embedded, and stained for histopathologic examination.

In addition to stomach, liver samples were collected from special study rats, weighed, and stored at -70° C for cytochrome P450 determinations. Microsomal suspensions were prepared using the Pearce method (Pearce et al., 1996). The concentration of protein in each suspension was determined using the microtiter plate method of the Coomassie<sup>®</sup> Plus Protein Assay (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL) with bovine serum albumin as the standard. Cytochrome P450 CYP1A1-associated 7-ethoxyresorufin-O-deethylase (EROD), CYP1A2-associated acetanilide-4-hydroxylase (A4H), and CYP2Bassociated 7-pentoxyresorufin-O-deethylase (PROD) activities were determined in microsomal proteins. Data were reported as pmol/minute per mg microsomal protein (EROD and PROD) or nmol/minute per mg microsomal protein (A4H).

Necropsies were performed on all core study animals. The heart, right kidney, liver, lungs, right testis, and thymus were weighed. Tissues for microscopic examination were fixed and preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin (eyes were fixed in Davidson's solution for up to 72 hours and then transferred to 10% neutral buffered formalin), processed and trimmed, embedded in paraffin, sectioned to a thickness of 4 to 6 µm, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Complete histopathologic examinations were performed on vehicle control and 600 mg/kg rats and mice; tissues were examined to a noeffect level in the remaining dosed groups. Table 2 lists the tissues and organs routinely examined. After a review of the laboratory report and selected histopathology slides by a quality assessment pathologist, the findings and reviewed slides were submitted to the NTP Pathology Working Group (PWG) coordinator for a second independent review. Any inconsistencies in the diagnoses made by the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists were resolved. Final diagnoses for reviewed lesions represent a consensus between the laboratory pathologist, reviewing pathologist(s), and the PWG coordinator. Details of these review procedures have been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and Boorman et al. (1985).

## **2-YEAR STUDIES**

### Study Design

Groups of 50 male and 50 female rats and mice were exposed to isoeugenol in corn oil by gavage at doses of 0, 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg, 5 days per week for 104 (female mice) or 105 (rats and male mice) weeks.

### Source and Specification of Animals

Male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice were obtained from Taconic Farms, Inc. (Germantown, NY), for use in the 2-year studies. On receipt, the rats and mice were approximately 4 weeks old. Rats and mice were quarantined for 11 to 14 days before the beginning of the studies. Five male and five female rats and mice were randomly selected for parasite evaluation and gross observation of disease. Rats and mice were approximately 5 to 7 weeks old at the beginning of the studies. The health of the animals was monitored during the studies according to the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix J).

### Animal Maintenance

Rats were housed three (males) or five (females) per cage, and mice were housed individually (males) or five (females) per cage. Feed and water were available *ad libitum*. Cages were changed at least weekly (male mice) or twice weekly (rats and female mice); racks were changed every 2 weeks. Further details of animal maintenance are given in Table 2. Information on feed composition and contaminants is provided in Appendix I.

### **Clinical Examinations and Pathology**

All animals were observed twice daily. Clinical findings were recorded during week 5, every 4 weeks thereafter, and at the end of the exposure phase. Rats and mice were weighed initially, weekly for the first 13 weeks, every 4 weeks thereafter, and at the end of the exposure phase.

Complete necropsies and microscopic examinations were performed on all rats and mice. At necropsy, all organs and tissues were examined for grossly visible lesions and all major tissues were fixed and preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin (eyes were fixed in Davidson's solution for up to 72 hours and then transferred to 10% neutral buffered formalin), processed and trimmed, embedded in paraffin, sectioned to a thickness of 4 to 6  $\mu$ m, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for microscopic examination. For all paired organs (e.g., adrenal gland, kidney, ovary), samples from each organ were examined. Tissues examined microscopically are listed in Table 2.

Microscopic evaluations were completed by the study laboratory pathologist, and the pathology data were entered into the Toxicology Data Management System. The slides, paraffin blocks, and residual wet tissues were sent to the NTP Archives for inventory, slide/block match, and wet tissue audit. The slides, individual animal data records, and pathology tables were evaluated by an independent quality assessment laboratory. The individual animal records and tables were compared for accuracy; the slide and tissue counts were verified, and the histotechnique was evaluated. For the 2-year studies, a quality assessment pathologist evaluated slides from all tumors and all potential target organs, which included the glandular stomach and nose of rats and mice, pancreas of rats, liver of male rats, forestomach of mice, and kidney of female mice.

The quality assessment report and the reviewed slides were submitted to the NTP Pathology Working Group (PWG) coordinator, who reviewed the selected tissues and addressed any inconsistencies in the diagnoses made by the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists. Representative histopathology slides containing examples of lesions related to chemical administration, examples of disagreements in diagnoses between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists, or lesions of general interest were presented by the coordinator to the PWG for review. The PWG consisted of the quality assessment pathologist and other pathologists experienced in rodent toxicologic pathology. This group examined the tissues without any knowledge of dose groups or previously rendered diagnoses. When the PWG consensus differed from the opinion of the laboratory pathologist, the diagnosis was changed. Final diagnoses for reviewed lesions represent a consensus between the laboratory pathologist, reviewing pathologist(s), and the PWG. Details of these review procedures have been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and Boorman et al. (1985). For subsequent analyses of the pathology data, the decision of whether to evaluate the diagnosed lesions for each tissue type separately or combined was generally based on the guidelines of McConnell et al. (1986).

# TABLE 2 Materials and Methods in the Gavage Studies of Isoeugenol

	3-Month Study	2-Year Studies
Study Laboratory	Battelle Columbus Operations (Columbus, OH)	Battelle Columbus Operations (Columbus, OH)
Strain and Species	F344/N rats B6C3F1 mice	F344/N rats B6C3F1 mice
Animal Source	Taconic Farms, Inc. (Germantown, NY)	Taconic Farms, Inc. (Germantown, NY)
Time Held Before Studies	Rats: 11 (males) or 12 (females) days Mice: 13 (females) or 14 (males) days	Rats: 11 (males) or 12 (females) days Mice: 13 (females) or 14 (males) days
Average Age When Studies Began	6 to 7 weeks	5 to 7 weeks
Date of First Dose	Rats: April 16 (males) or 17 (females), 2001 Mice: April 18 (females) or 19 (males), 2001	Rats: April 22 (males) or 23 (females), 2002 Mice: May 8 (females) or 9 (males), 2002
Duration of Dosing	5 days/week for 14 weeks	5 days/week for 104 (female mice) or 105 (rats and male mice) weeks
Date of Last Dose	Rats: July 16 (males) or 17 (females), 2001 Mice: July 18 (females) or 19 (males), 2001	Rats: April 20 (males) or 22 (females), 2004 Mice: May 4 (females) or 6 (males), 2004
Necropsy Dates	Rats: July 17 (males) or 18 (females), 2001 Mice: July 19 (females) or 20 (males), 2001	Rats: April 19-21 (males) or 21-23 (females), 2004 Mice: May 3-5 (females) or 5-7 (males), 2004
Average Age at Necropsy	9 to 20 weeks 110 weeks	

TABLE 2		
Materials and Methods in	the Gavage Studies	of Isoeugenol

	3-Month Study	2-Year Studies
Size of Study Groups	Rats: 10 males and 10 females (core study) 10 males and 10 females (special study) Mice: 10 males and 10 females	50 males and 50 females
Method of Distribution	Animals were distributed randomly into groups of approximately equal initial mean body weights.	Same as 3-month studies
Animals per Cage	Rats: 5 Mice: 1 (males) or 5 (females)	Rats: 3 (males) or 5 (females) Mice: 1 (males) or 5 (females)
Method of Animal Identification	Tail tattoo	Tail tattoo
Diet	NTP-2000 irradiated wafer or pelleted diet (Zeigler Brothers, Inc., Gardners, PA); available <i>ad libitum</i> (except special study rats fasted 24 hours before day 31 blood collection) changed weekly	Same as 3-month studies
Water	Tap water (Columbus, OH, municipal supply) via automatic watering system (Edstrom Industries, Waterford, WI), available <i>ad libitum</i>	Same as 3-month studies
Cages	Polycarbonate (Lab Products, Inc., Seaford, DE), changed weekly (male mice) or twice weekly (rats and female mice)	Same as 3-month studies
Bedding	Irradiated Sani-Chips <sup>®</sup> (P.J. Murphy Forest Products Corporation, Montville, NJ), changed weekly (male mice) or twice weekly (rats and female mice)	Same as 3-month studies
Rack Filters	Spun-bonded polyester (Snow Filtration Company, Cincinnati, OH), changed every 2 weeks	Same as 3-month studies
Racks	Stainless steel (Lab Products, Inc., Seaford, DE), changed every 2 weeks	Same as 3-month studies
Animal Room Environment	Temperature: $72^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}$ F Relative humidity: $50\% \pm 15\%$ Room fluorescent light: 12 hours/day Room air changes: $\geq 10$ /hour	Temperature: $72^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}$ F Relative humidity: $50\% \pm 15\%$ Room fluorescent light: 12 hours/day Room air changes: $\geq 10$ /hour
Doses	0, 37.5, 75, 150, 300, and 600 mg/kg (dosing volumes = 5 mL/kg body weight for rats or 10 mL/kg for mice)	0, 75, 150, and 300 mg/kg (dosing volumes = 5 mL/kg body weight for rats or 10 mL/kg for mice)
Type and Frequency of Observation	Observed twice daily; animals were weighed initially, then weekly, and at the end of the exposure phase; clinical findings for core study animals were recorded initially, weekly, and at the end of the exposure phase.	Observed twice daily; animals were weighed initially, weekly for the first 13 weeks, every 4 weeks thereafter, and at the end of the exposure phase; clinical findings were recorded during week 5, every 4 weeks thereafter, and at the end of the exposure phase.
Method of Sacrifice	Carbon dioxide asphyxiation	Same as 3-month studies
Necropsy	Necropsies were performed on all animals. Organs weighed were heart, right kidney, liver, lung, right testis, and thymus.	Necropsies were performed on all animals.

	3-Month Study	2-Year Studies
Clinical Pathology	Blood was collected from the retroorbital sinus of clinical pathology rats on days 4 and 23 and from core study rats and mice on day 93 of exposure for hematology and clinical chemistry (rats only). <i>Hematology:</i> hematocrit; hemoglobin; erythrocyte, reticulocyte, nucleated erythrocyte, and platelet counts; mean cell volume; mean cell hemoglobin; mean cell hemoglobin concentration; and leukocyte counts and differentials. <i>Clinical chemistry:</i> urea nitrogen, creatinine, total protein, albumin, alanine aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, creatine kinase, sorbitol dehydrogenase, and bile acids	None
Histopathology	Complete histopathology was performed on core study vehicle control and 600 mg/kg rats and mice. In addition to gross lesions and tissue masses, the following tissues were examined: adrenal gland, bone with marrow, brain, clitoral gland, esophagus, eyes, gallbladder (mice), Harderian gland, heart and aorta, large intestine (cecum, colon, rectum), small intestine (duodenum, jejunum, ileum), kidney, liver, lung (with mainstem bronchus), lymph nodes (mandibular and mesenteric), mammary gland, nose, ovary, pancreas, parathyroid gland, pituitary gland, preputial gland, prostate gland, salivary gland, skin, spleen, stomach (forestomach and glandular), testis (with epididymis and seminal vesicle), thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, urinary bladder, and uterus. Tissues were examined in the remaining dosed groups to a no-effect level.	Complete histopathology was performed on all rats and mice. In addition to gross lesions and tissue masses, the following tissues were examined: adrenal gland, bone with marrow, brain, clitoral gland, esophagus, eyes, gallbladder (mice), Harderian gland, heart and aorta, large intestine (cecum, colon, rectum), small intestine (duodenum, jejunum, ileum), kidney, larynx, liver, lung (with mainstem bronchus), lymph nodes (mandibular and mesenteric), mammary gland, nose, ovary, pancreas, parathyroid gland, pituitary gland, preputial gland, prostate gland, salivary gland, skin, spleen, stomach (forestomach and glandular), testis (with epididymis and seminal vesicle), thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, urinary bladder, and uterus.
Special Study	On day 31, blood was collected from the retroorbital sinus of special study rats for serum gastrin analysis; the stomach was isolated for pH determination, and liver samples were taken for determinations of hepatic tissue mass, microsomal protein, acetanilide-4-hydroxylase, 7- ethoxyresorufin- <i>O</i> -deethylase, and 7-pentoxyresorufin- <i>O</i> - deethylase. Stomachs from all animals were evaluated microscopically. See Appendix K.	None
Toxicokinetic Study	Groups of 21 male and female rats received a single intravenous injection of 17 mg isoeugenol/kg body weight or a single gavage dose of 17, 70, or 140 mg/kg. Groups of 42 male and female mice received a single intravenous injection of 35 mg/kg or a single gavage dose of 35, 70, or 140 mg/kg. After dosing, animals were anesthetized and blood was collected from the retroorbital sinus of rats and by cardiac puncture from mice. Plasma was analyzed using gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (Appendix L).	None

TABLE 2 Materials and Methods in the Gavage Studies of Isoeugenol

### **STATISTICAL METHODS**

### Survival Analyses

The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and is presented in the form of graphs. Animals found dead of other than natural causes or missing were censored from the survival analyses; animals dying from natural causes were not censored. Statistical analyses for possible dose-related effects on survival used Cox's (1972) method for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) life table test to identify dose-related trends. All reported P values for the survival analyses are two sided.

### **Calculation of Incidence**

The incidences of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions are presented in Tables A1, A4, B1, B3, C1, C4, D1, and D4 as the numbers of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site and the numbers of animals with that site examined microscopically. For calculation of statistical significance, the incidences of most neoplasms (Tables A2, B2, C2, and D2) and all nonneoplastic lesions are given as the numbers of animals affected at each site examined microscopically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect neoplasms in certain tissues (e.g., Harderian gland, intestine, mammary gland, and skin) before microscopic evaluation, the denominators consist of the number of animals that had a gross abnormality. When neoplasms had multiple potential sites of occurrence (e.g., leukemia or lymphoma), the denominators consist of the number of animals on which a necropsy was performed. Tables A2, B2, C2, and D2 also give the survival-adjusted neoplasm rate for each group and each site-specific neoplasm. This survival-adjusted rate (based on the Poly-3 method described below) accounts for differential mortality by assigning a reduced risk of neoplasm, proportional to the third power of the fraction of time on study, only to sitespecific, lesion-free animals that do not reach terminal sacrifice.

## Analysis of Neoplastic and Nonneoplastic Lesion Incidences

The Poly-k test (Bailer and Portier, 1988; Portier and Bailer, 1989; Piegorsch and Bailer, 1997) was used to assess neoplasm and nonneoplastic lesion prevalence. This test is a survival-adjusted quantal-response procedure that modifies the Cochran-Armitage linear trend test to take survival differences into account. More

specifically, this method modifies the denominator in the quantal estimate of lesion incidence to approximate more closely the total number of animal years at risk. For analysis of a given site, each animal is assigned a risk weight. This value is one if the animal had a lesion at that site or if it survived until terminal sacrifice; if the animal died prior to terminal sacrifice and did not have a lesion at that site, its risk weight is the fraction of the entire study time that it survived, raised to the kth power.

This method yields a lesion prevalence rate that depends only upon the choice of a shape parameter for a Weibull hazard function describing cumulative lesion incidence over time (Bailer and Portier, 1988). Unless otherwise specified, a value of k=3 was used in the analysis of sitespecific lesions. This value was recommended by Bailer and Portier (1988) following an evaluation of neoplasm onset time distributions for a variety of site-specific neoplasms in control F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice (Portier et al., 1986). Bailer and Portier (1988) showed that the Poly-3 test gave valid results if the true value of k was anywhere in the range from 1 to 5. A further advantage of the Poly-3 method is that it does not require lesion lethality assumptions. Variation introduced by the use of risk weights, which reflect differential mortality, was accommodated by adjusting the variance of the Poly-3 statistic as recommended by Bieler and Williams (1993).

Tests of significance included pairwise comparisons of each dosed group with controls and a test for an overall dose-related trend. Continuity-corrected Poly-3 tests were used in the analysis of lesion incidence, and reported P values are one sided. The significance of lower incidences or decreasing trends in lesions is represented as 1 - P with the letter N added (e.g., P = 0.99 is presented as P = 0.01N).

### Analysis of Continuous Variables

Two approaches were employed to assess the significance of pairwise comparisons between dosed and control groups in the analysis of continuous variables. Organ and body weight data, which historically have approximately normal distributions, were analyzed with the parametric multiple comparison procedures of Dunnett (1955) and Williams (1971, 1972). Hematology, clinical chemistry, serum gastrin, stomach pH, and cytochrome P450 data, which have typically skewed distributions, were analyzed using the nonparametric multiple comparison methods of Shirley (1977) (as modified by Williams, 1986) and Dunn (1964). Jonckheere's test (Jonckheere, 1954) was used to assess the significance of the dose-related trends and to determine whether a trend-sensitive test (Williams' or Shirley's test) was more appropriate for pairwise comparisons than a test that does not assume a monotonic dose-related trend (Dunnett's or Dunn's test). Prior to statistical analysis, extreme values identified by the outlier test of Dixon and Massey (1957) were examined by NTP personnel, and implausible values were eliminated from the analysis.

### Historical Control Data

The concurrent control group represents the most valid comparison to the treated groups and is the only control group analyzed statistically in NTP bioassays. However, historical control data are often helpful in interpreting potential exposure-related effects, particularly for uncommon or rare neoplasm types. For meaningful comparisons, the conditions for studies in the historical database must be generally similar. One significant factor affecting the background incidence of neoplasms at a variety of sites is diet. In 1995, the NTP incorporated a new diet (NTP-2000) that contains less protein and more fiber and fat than the NIH-07 diet previously used in toxicity and carcinogenicity studies (Rao, 1996, 1997). The current NTP historical database contains all studies that use the NTP-2000 diet with histopathology findings completed within the most recent 5-year period. A second potential source of variability is route of administration. In general, the historical database for a given study will include studies using the same route of administration, and the overall incidences of neoplasms for all routes of administration are included for comparison, including the present study.

# **QUALITY ASSURANCE METHODS**

The 3-month and 2-year studies were conducted in compliance with Food and Drug Administration Good Laboratory Practice Regulations (21 CFR, Part 58). In addition, as records from the 2-year studies were submitted to the NTP Archives, the corresponding laboratory reports were audited retrospectively by an independent quality assurance contractor. A separate audit covered completeness and accuracy of the changes to diagnoses incorporated into final pathology tables. This NTP Technical Report was audited before publication. Audit procedures and findings are presented in reports that are on file at NIEHS.

## **GENETIC TOXICOLOGY**

The genetic toxicity of isoeugenol was assessed by testing the ability of the chemical to induce mutations in various strains of *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli*, chromosomal aberrations in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells, and increases in the frequency of micronucleated erythrocytes in mouse peripheral blood. Micronuclei (literally "small nuclei" or Howell-Jolly bodies) are biomarkers of induced structural or numerical chromosomal alterations and are formed when acentric fragments or whole chromosomes fail to incorporate into either of two daughter nuclei during cell division (Schmid, 1975; Heddle *et al.*, 1983). The protocols for these studies and the results are given in Appendix E.

The genetic toxicity studies have evolved from an earlier effort by the NTP to develop a comprehensive database permitting a critical anticipation of a chemical's carcinogenicity in experimental animals based on numerous considerations, including the molecular structure of the chemical and its observed effects in short-term in vitro and in vivo genetic toxicity tests (structure-activity relationships). The short-term tests were originally developed to clarify proposed mechanisms of chemical-induced DNA damage based on the relationship between electrophilicity and mutagenicity (Miller and Miller, 1977) and the somatic mutation theory of cancer (Straus, 1981; Crawford, 1985). However, it should be noted that not all cancers arise through genotoxic mechanisms.

DNA reactivity combined with *Salmonella* mutagenicity is highly correlated with induction of carcinogenicity in multiple species/sexes of rodents and at multiple tissue sites (Ashby and Tennant, 1991). A positive response in the *Salmonella* test was shown to be the most predictive *in vitro* indicator for rodent carcinogenicity (89% of the *Salmonella* mutagens are rodent carcinogens) (Tennant *et al.*, 1987; Zeiger *et al.*, 1990). Additionally, no battery of tests that included the *Salmonella* test improved the predictivity of the *Salmonella* test alone. However, these other tests can provide useful information on the types of DNA and chromosomal damage induced by the chemical under investigation.

The predictivity for carcinogenicity of a positive response in acute *in vivo* bone marrow chromosome aberration or micronucleus tests appears to be less than that in the *Salmonella* test (Shelby *et al.*, 1993; Shelby and Witt, 1995). However, clearly positive results in long-term peripheral blood micronucleus tests have high predictivity for rodent carcinogenicity; a positive result in one sex or negative results in both sexes tested in this assay do not correlate well with either negative or positive results in rodent carcinogenicity studies (Witt *et al.*, 2000). Because of the theoretical and observed associations between induced genetic damage and adverse effects in somatic and germ cells, the determination of *in vivo* genetic effects is important to the overall understanding of the risks associated with exposure to a particular chemical. Most organic chemicals that are identified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer as human carcinogens, other than hormones, are genotoxic. The vast majority of these are detected by both the *Salmonella* assay and rodent bone marrow cytogenetics tests (Shelby, 1988; Shelby and Zeiger, 1990).
## RESULTS

#### **3-MONTH STUDY IN RATS**

Dosing accidents resulted in the early death of one 600 mg/kg male and one 37.5 mg/kg female (Table 3). Decreases in mean body weights and body weight gains of all dosed groups of males were statistically significant compared to those of the vehicle controls; however, only the decrease in the 600 mg/kg group was clearly related to isoeugenol exposure. Mean body weights of dosed females were similar to that of the vehicle control group. No clinical findings related to isoeugenol exposure were observed.

Results from the hematology and clinical chemistry analyses for rats are listed in Table F1. Minor changes occurred throughout the hematology and clinical chemistry variables in the rats. All changes were within physiological normal levels, and in general, there was no evidence of a dose relationship; they were not considered biologically important or toxicologically relevant.

Absolute and relative liver weights were significantly increased in 300 and 600 mg/kg female rats as were kidney weights in 600 mg/kg female rats (Table G1). No other changes in organ weights of male or female rats were related to isoeugenol exposure.

Incidences of minimal atrophy of the olfactory epithelium were increased in all exposed groups and were significantly increased in males administered 150 mg/kg or greater and females administered 300 or 600 mg/kg (Table 4). Minimal to mild atrophy of olfactory nerve

		]	Final Weight <sup>c</sup>		
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival <sup>a</sup>	Initial	Terminal	Change	Relative to Controls (%)
Male					
0	10/10	$98 \pm 3$	$352 \pm 8$	$253 \pm 9$	
37.5	10/10	$95 \pm 2$	$325 \pm 3^{*}$	$229 \pm 3^{*}$	92
75	10/10	$97 \pm 3$	$334 \pm 6^{*}$	$238 \pm 5^{*}$	95
150	10/10	$98 \pm 3$	$336 \pm 7^{*}$	$238 \pm 5^{*}$	95
300	10/10	$96 \pm 3$	$326 \pm 6^{**}$	$230 \pm 7^{*}$	93
600	9/10 <sup>c</sup>	95 ± 3	$307 \pm 7^{**}$	$212 \pm 7^{**}$	87
Female					
0	10/10	$86 \pm 2$	$188 \pm 4$	$102 \pm 5$	
37.5	9/10 <sup>d</sup>	$86 \pm 2$	$188 \pm 3$	$101 \pm 3$	100
75	10/10	$87 \pm 2$	$192 \pm 4$	$106 \pm 4$	102
150	10/10	$87 \pm 2$	$184 \pm 2$	$96 \pm 2$	97
300	10/10	$86 \pm 2$	$193 \pm 3$	$107 \pm 3$	103
600	10/10	$87 \pm 2$	$189 \pm 4$	$103 \pm 4$	100

Survival and Body Weights of Rats in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

\* Significantly different (P≤0.05) from the vehicle control group by Williams' test

\*\* P≤0.01

TABLE 3

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals surviving at 3 months/number initially in group

 $^{b}\;$  Weights and weight changes are given as mean  $\pm$  standard error.

<sup>c</sup> Day 85

<sup>d</sup> Week of death: 4

<sup>e</sup> Week of death: 3

bundles was observed in all exposed groups of males and in females exposed to 150 mg/kg or greater; the incidence was significantly increased in 600 mg/kg females. Olfactory epithelial atrophy was characterized by loss of cilia, altered orientation of affected cells, and decreased numbers of epithelial cells that resulted in thinning of the olfactory epithelium. The regions affected included the ventral nasal septum at Level III and less frequently along the junction of the nasal septum with the dorsal wall of the nasal meatus in the middle nasal section, Level II. In a few males, atrophy of the olfactory epithelium was accompanied by chronic active inflammation. Glands under the affected olfactory epithelium were unremarkable. Atrophy of olfactory nerve bundles was observed in areas beneath the atrophic epithelium. Nerve atrophy with concomitant reductions in the number and size of nerve bundles was considered secondary to the atrophy of the overlying olfactory epithelium.

Incidences of minimal to mild periportal hepatocellular cytoplasmic alteration were significantly increased in females exposed to 300 or 600 mg/kg (Table 4). The liver alteration consisted of decreased eosinophilic cytoplasmic staining with increased microvacuolation and accentuated basophilic granulation of periportal hepatocytes, similar to what is commonly associated with glycogen depletion.

The special study results for serum gastrin and stomach pH assays are listed in Table K1. The only effect attributed to exposure was a small but significant decrease in stomach pH of female rats exposed to 150 mg/kg or greater. Microscopic examination of stomachs from special study rats exposed for 31 days did not show any isoeugenol-related changes (Table K3). Results of cytochrome P450 activities in hepatic microsomes from rats exposed to isoeugenol for 31 days are summarized in Table K2. These parameters were unaltered in exposed females. In male rats, dose-related decreases to 70% of EROD (CYP1A1) activity and to 72% of PROD (CYP2B) activity were observed in groups exposed to 75 mg/kg or greater. Although a small decrease in acetanilide-4-hydroxylase (A4H) (CYP1A2) activity in the 600 mg/kg male group was statistically significant, the response across groups did not correlate with dose.

*Dose Selection Rationale:* Rats administered isoeugenol in corn oil by gavage for 3 months showed minimal toxicity. The olfactory epithelium was the most prominent site of toxicity in both males and females. In females, liver cytoplasmic alterations were noted as well in the 300 and 600 mg/kg groups. These nasal and liver lesions were not considered to affect survival in the subsequent 2-year study; however, the importance of olfaction in rodent feeding behavior increased concern that they might affect body weight gain. Because effects were

TABLE 4

Incidences of Selected Nonneoplastic Lesions in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol in Rats

	Vehicle Control	37.5	mg/kg	75 r	ng/kg	150	mg/kg	300 1	ng/kg	<b>600</b> 1	mg/kg
Male											
Nose <sup>a</sup>	10	10		10		10		10		10	
Olfactory Epithelium, Atrophyb	0	3	$(1.0)^{c}$	3	(1.0)	4*	(1.0)	4*	(1.0)	5*	(2.0)
Nerve, Atrophy	0	1	(1.0)	1	(1.0)	3	(1.0)	2	(1.0)	3	(1.3)
Female											
Nose	10	10		10		10		10		10	
Olfactory Epithelium, Atrophy	0	1	(1.0)	2	(1.0)	2	(1.0)	5*	(1.0)	6**	(1.0)
Nerve, Atrophy	0	0	~ /	0	. /	2	(1.0)	2	(1.0)	5*	(1.0)
Liver	10	10		10		10		10		10	
Periportal, Cytoplasmic Alteratio	n 0	0		0		0		10**	(1.0)	10**	(1.4)

\* Significantly different (P $\leq$ 0.05) from the vehicle control group by the Fisher exact test

\*\* P≤0.01

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals with tissue examined microscopically

<sup>b</sup> Number of animals with lesion

<sup>c</sup> Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: 1 = minimal, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked

generally similar in magnitude in the 300 and 600 mg/kg groups of both sexes, 300 mg/kg was selected as the highest dose for the 2-year study. The dosing regimen for the 2-year study of isoeugenol in rats was 0, 75, 150, and 300 mg/kg.

#### **2-YEAR STUDY IN RATS**

#### Survival

Estimates of 2-year survival probabilities for male and female rats are shown in Table 5 and in the Kaplan-Meier

survival curves (Figure 2). Survival rates of dosed male and female rats were similar to those of vehicle controls.

#### **Body Weights and Clinical Findings**

The mean body weight of 300 mg/kg males was greater than that of the vehicle controls after week 64 of exposure, and their final mean body weight was 9% greater than that of the vehicle controls. The mean body weights of all other exposed groups were similar to those of the vehicle control groups throughout the study (Tables 6 and 7; Figure 3). No clinical findings related to the administration of isoeugenol were observed.

#### TABLE 5

Survival of Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Male				
Animals initially in study	50	50	50	50
Accidental deaths <sup>a</sup>	0	1	0	2
Moribund	14	9	14	11
Natural deaths	1	6	3	7
Animals surviving to study termination	35	34	33	30
Percent probability of survival at end of study <sup>b</sup>	70	69	66	63
Mean survival (days) <sup>c</sup>	702	685	660	676
Survival analysis <sup>d</sup>	P = 0.387	P = 1.000	P = 0.659	P = 0.501
Female				
Animals initially in study	50	50	50	50
Accidental death <sup>a</sup>	0	1	0	0
Moribund	15	8	9	9
Natural deaths	2	6	7	10
Animals surviving to study termination	33	35	34	31
Percent probability of survival at end of study	66	72	68	62
Mean survival (days)	699	674	693	640
Survival analysis	P = 0.360	P = 0.767 N	P = 1.000N	P = 0.523

<sup>a</sup> Censored from survival analyses

<sup>b</sup> Kaplan-Meier determinations

<sup>c</sup> Mean of all deaths (uncensored, censored, and terminal sacrifice).

<sup>d</sup> The result of the life table trend test (Tarone, 1975) is in the vehicle control column, and the result of the life table pairwise comparisons (Cox, 1972) with the vehicle controls are in the dosed group columns. A lower mortality in a dosed group is indicated by N.



FIGURE 2 Kaplan-Meier Survival Curves for Male and Female Rats Administered Isoeugenol by Gavage for 2 Years

Mean Body Weights and Survival of Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

Days	Vehic	ele Control		75 mg/kg			150 mg/k	g		300 mg/kg	Į
on	Av. Wt	. No.of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (% of	No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (% o	f No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (% of	No. of
Study	(g)	Survivors	(g)	controls)	Survivors	(g)	controls)	) Survivors	(g)	controls)	Survivors
1	94	50	94	100	50	94	100	50	94	100	50
8	126	50	127	101	50	126	100	50	126	100	50
15	158	50	161	102	50	159	101	50	159	100	50
22	192	50	196	102	49	195	101	50	194	101	50
29	219	50	223	102	49	221	101	50	217	100	50
36	242	50	246	102	49	244	101	50	241	100	50
43	258	50	262	102	49	261	101	50	256	99	50
50	272	50	276	102	49	272	100	50	270	99	50
57	286	50	289	101	49	284	99	50	282	99	50
64	302	50	304	101	49	299	99	50	297	98	50
71	314	50	317	101	49	310	99	50	310	99	50
78	327	50	330	101	49	323	99	50	324	99	50
85	338	50	341	101	49	336	99	50	332	98	50
113	373	50	377	101	49	371	100	50	371	100	50
141	395	50	396	100	49	390	99	49	388	98	50
169	419	50	422	101	49	419	100	49	417	100	50
197	432	50	436	101	49	434	100	49	433	100	50
225	443	50	444	100	49	445	100	49	453	102	50
253	455	50	456	100	49	461	101	48	462	102	50
281	468	50	465	100	49	470	101	48	472	101	50
309	476	50	475	100	49	480	101	47	483	101	50
337	486	50	484	100	49	491	101	47	492	101	50
365	498	50	493	99	49	503	101	46	506	102	50
393	506	50	501	99	49	506	100	46	507	100	50
421	514	49	509	99	49	514	100	45	515	100	49
449	517	49	510	99	49	518	100	45	528	102	48
477	518	49	513	99	48	521	101	45	531	103	48
505	522	49	510	98	47	520	100	44	536	103	45
533	521	49	513	98	45	521	100	44	541	104	44
561	518	48	510	98	44	523	101	42	542	105	42
589	514	47	502	98	43	515	100	42	538	105	42
617	504	45	499	99	43	517	103	39	535	106	40
645	501	43	494	99	43	514	103	37	539	108	37
673	491	43	492	100	41	508	104	37	535	109	37
701	495	38	492	99	39	502	101	37	541	109	32
	or weeks										
1-13	241		244	101		240	100		239	99	
14-52	438		439	100		440	100		441	101	
53-101	509		503	99		514	101		530	104	

Mean Body Weights and Survival of Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

Days	Vehic	ele Control		75 mg/kg			150 mg/k	g		300 mg/kg	ſ
on	Av. Wt		Av. Wt.	Wt. (% of	No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (% o	f No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (% of	No. of
Study	(g)	Survivors	(g)	controls)	Survivors	(g)	controls)	) Survivors	(g)	controls)	Survivors
1	91	50	91	100	50	90	99	50	90	99	50
8	111	50	110	99	50	109	98	50	110	99	50
15	128	50	127	99	50	126	98	50	128	100	50
22	141	50	140	100	50	139	99	50	142	100	50
29	152	50	152	100	50	152	100	50	152	100	48
36	164	50	162	99	49	161	98	50	162	99	48
43	169	50	169	100	49	168	99	50	168	99	47
50	176	50	175	100	49	173	99	50	173	98	47
57	181	50	181	100	49	179	99	50	179	99	47
64	183	50	183	100	49	182	100	50	182	99	47
71	186	50	190	102	49	188	101	50	187	100	47
78	192	50	193	101	49	192	100	50	192	100	47
85	198	50	198	100	49	197	100	50	196	99	47
113	208	50	209	100	49	207	100	50	208	100	47
141	215	50	216	100	49	215	100	50	214	100	47
169	228	50	228	100	49	224	98	50	223	98	47
197	231	50	233	101	49	229	99	50	230	100	47
225	237	50	239	101	49	235	99	50	237	100	47
253	245	50	246	100	49	243	99	50	242	99	47
281	252	50	253	100	49	249	99	50	246	98	47
309	261	50	260	100	49	256	98	50	254	97	47
337	266	50	268	101	49	265	100	50	261	98	46
365	279	50	279	100	49	274	98	50	271	97	46
393	288	50	287	100	47	286	99	50	278	96	46
421	298	49	298	100	47	297	100	50	289	97	46
449	309	49	307	99	46	305	99	50	298	96	46
477	314	49	310	99	45	312	99	50	303	96	46
505	322	49	318	99	45	318	99	49	313	97	44
533	329	49	323	98	44	323	98	47	318	97	44
561	330	48	325	99	44	326	99	46	320	97	42
589	330	47	323	98	43	324	98	43	320	97	42
617	332	45	322	97	43	328	99	41	320	96	38
645	330	42	326	99	42	328	99	41	320	97	33
673	336	39	329	98	39	334	100	38	325	97	32
701	339	36	336	99	36	335	99	36	328	97	31
	or weeks										
1-13	159		159	100		158	99		158	99	
14-52	238		239	100		236	99		235	99	
53-101	318		314	99		315	99		308	97	



FIGURE 3 Growth Curves for Male and Female Rats Administered Isoeugenol by Gavage for 2 Years

#### Pathology and Statistical Analyses

This section describes the statistically significant or biologically noteworthy changes in the incidences of neoplasms or nonneoplastic lesions of the thymus, mammary gland, skin, nose, liver, pancreas, and testes. Summaries of the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions, statistical analyses of primary neoplasms that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in at least one animal group, and historical incidences for the neoplasms mentioned in this section are presented in Appendix A for male rats and Appendix B for female rats.

*Thymus:* The incidence of thymoma in exposed male rats was not statistically significant compared to vehicle controls, but the trend across all groups was significant (Tables 8 and A1). The incidence of benign or malignant thymoma (combined) in the 300 mg/kg males exceeded the historical range for vehicle controls in corn oil gav-

age studies and for controls by all routes (Tables 8 and A3a). The two thymomas were proliferative lesions consisting of neoplastic epithelial and lymphoid components. One was benign and the other was malignant. The benign neoplasm was a small, circumscribed, nodular mass composed of epithelioid cells forming bundles. These cells had abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm with a tendency toward spindle shapes. There were scattered lymphoid cells and vacuolated cells, probably macrophages. The nuclei of neoplastic cells were oval to round with little chromatin and had a few mitotic figures. The malignant neoplasm was a large invasive epithelial mass that replaced much of the thymus. The predominant neoplastic tissues formed solid sheets and tubules of various sizes. The neoplastic cells varied in appearance from well-differentiated epithelium to pleomorphic and anaplastic forms, often blending into poorly differentiated spindle areas. Numerous mitotic figures were present. Large cystic structures contained cellular debris. The neoplastic tissues extended to the mediastinum.

TABLE 8

Incidences of Thymoma of the Thymus in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Number Examined Microscopically	47	43	49	48
Thymoma, Benign <sup>a</sup>	0	0	0	1
Thymoma, Malignant <sup>b</sup>	0	0	0	1
Thymoma, Benign or Malignant <sup>c</sup>				
Overall rate <sup>d</sup>	0/47 (0%)	0/43 (0%)	0/49 (0%)	2/48 (4%)
Adjusted rate <sup>e</sup>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%
Terminal rate <sup>f</sup>	0/33 (0%)	0/27 (0%)	0/32 (0%)	1/30 (3%)
First incidence (days)	h	_		719
Poly-3 test <sup>g</sup>	P = 0.047	i	_	P = 0.230

<sup>a</sup> Historical incidence for 2-year gavage studies with corn oil vehicle control groups (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation): 0/94; all routes: 2/1,146 (0.2%  $\pm$  0.6%), range 0%-2%

<sup>b</sup> Historical incidence for 2-year corn oil gavage studies: 0/94; all routes: 1/1,146 (0.1% ± 0.4%), range 0%-2%

<sup>c</sup> Historical incidence for 2-year corn oil gavage studies: 0/94; all routes: 3/1,146 (0.3% ± 0.7%), range 0%-2%

<sup>d</sup> Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals with thymus examined microscopically

e Poly-3 estimated neoplasm incidence after adjustment for intercurrent mortality

f Observed incidence at terminal kill

<sup>g</sup> Beneath the vehicle control incidence is the P value associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence is the P value corresponding to pairwise comparison between the vehicle controls and that dosed group. The Poly-3 test accounts for differential mortality in animals that do not reach terminal sacrifice.

<sup>h</sup> Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group

<sup>i</sup> Value of statistic cannot be computed.

Mammary Gland: Rare, malignant carcinomas occurred in two 300 mg/kg male rats (Tables A1 and A2). The trend was statistically significant, no carcinomas occurred in corn oil vehicle controls in the current historical database, and the rate (4%) was equal to the highest rate for controls by all routes  $[8/1, 199 (1\% \pm 1\%),$  range 0%-4%; Table A3b]. These mammary gland carcinomas were not accompanied by increased incidences of adenoma or hyperplasia. In one animal, the mammary gland carcinoma consisted of a single highly cellular mass of neoplastic epithelial cells with small numbers of alveolar structures. Irregular cords of neoplastic cells were invading the adjacent tissues. Numerous mitotic figures were evident. The other animal had several nodules of malignant neoplastic glandular epithelium in multiple glands. The cellular patterns varied from nodule to nodule but generally had irregularly shaped tubular structures formed by multiple layers of densely packed, cuboidal cells. Mitotic figures were frequent. These cells were invading the adjacent tissues.

*Skin:* Keratoacanthoma occurred with a negative trend in male rats, and the incidences were significantly decreased in the 150 and 300 mg/kg groups (0 mg/kg, 7/50; 75 mg/kg, 5/50; 150 mg/kg, 1/50; 300 mg/kg, 1/50; Tables A1 and A2). The incidences in the 150 and 300 mg/kg groups were below the historical range for vehicle controls in corn oil gavage studies [9/100 (9%  $\pm$  7%), range 4%-14%] and at the lower end of the historical range for controls by all routes [71/1,199 (6%  $\pm$  5%), range 0%-20%] (Table A3c). The keratoacanthomas were benign neoplastic proliferations of basal and squamous cells of the epidermis that formed craters or invaginated into the dermis and subcutis. They were often cystic masses filled by layers of keratin and had characteristic thick walls of stratified squamous epithelium.

*Nose:* Incidences of minimal to mild atrophy of the olfactory epithelium and respiratory metaplasia of the olfactory epithelium were increased in 75 mg/kg males and significantly increased in 150 mg/kg males and 300 mg/kg males and females (Tables 9, A4, and B3). Olfactory epithelial atrophy was characterized by decreased numbers of epithelial cells that resulted in thinning of the olfactory epithelium. It primarily affected epithelium lining the dorsal meatus at nasal Level II. Atrophy of the olfactory epithelium was fre-

TABLE	9
-------	---

Incidences of Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Nose in Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Veh	icle Control	75 r	ng/kg	150 1	mg/kg	<b>300</b> 1	mg/kg
Male								
Number Examined Microscopically	50		48		49		49	
Olfactory Epithelium, Atrophya	1	(1.0) <sup>b</sup>	5	(1.2)	9**	(1.0)	13**	(1.0)
Olfactory Epithelium, Metaplasia, Respiratory	4	(1.0)	6	(1.3)	10**	(1.7)	15**	(1.9)
Olfactory Epithelium, Degeneration	1	(1.0)	0		2	(1.0)	6*	(1.2)
Female								
Number Examined Microscopically	50		49		49		49	
Olfactory Epithelium, Atrophy	0		0		0		4*	(1.0)
Olfactory Epithelium, Metaplasia, Respiratory	5	(1.6)	5	(1.8)	9	(1.8)	12*	(1.3)

\* Significantly different (P≤0.05) from the vehicle control group by the the Poly-3 test

\*\* P≤0.01

a Number of animals with lesion

b Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: 1 = minimal, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked

quently associated with atrophy of the olfactory nerves in the adjacent submucosal tissues. This nerve atrophy was considered to be secondary to the loss of sensory neurons in the olfactory epithelium and was not given a separate diagnosis in this study. In respiratory metaplasia of the olfactory epithelium, the normal olfactory epithelium was replaced by ciliated columnar epithelium similar in appearance to normal respiratory epithelium and was observed in the dorsal meatus of Level II and along the ethmoid turbinates of Level III. The incidence of minimal to mild olfactory epithelium degeneration in 300 mg/kg males was also significantly increased. Degeneration of the olfactory epithelium was characterized by disorganization of the layers of sensory neurons and vacuolization of the epithelium.

*Liver*: Incidences of basophilic focus were significantly decreased in all exposed groups of male rats (Tables 10 and A4), while those of eosinophilic focus decreased significantly in 75 and 150 mg/kg males (Tables 10 and A4). In 300 mg/kg males, incidences of clear cell focus and bile duct hyperplasia also decreased significantly (Tables 10 and A4). These decreases were not present in female rats and have unknown significance. The incidences of mixed cell foci in male groups exposed to isoeugenol were not significantly different from the vehicle control group. Eosinophilic, mixed, basophilic, and clear cell foci consisted of localized areas of hepatocytes with characteristic altered tinctorial properties. Otherwise, the hepatocytes were generally similar in appearance. An eosinophilic focus was composed of cells with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm. A mixed cell focus was composed of a mixture of cells with different staining properties, generally a mixture of eosinophilic cells and clear cells. A basophilic focus consisted of hepatocytes with basophilic cytoplasm, occasionally with basophilic linear (tigroid) intracytoplasmic aggregates. A clear cell focus was composed of cells having clear cytoplasm. Other than tinctorial differences, hepatocytes in foci were generally somewhat larger than hepatocytes in adjacent parenchyma and were arranged in relatively normal lobular patterns. The hepatic cords at the periphery of these foci generally merged imperceptibly with the surrounding normal liver, resulting in an indistinct border and little or no compression of the adjacent liver parenchyma.

Bile duct hyperplasia consisted of multifocal proliferations of small bile ducts within portal areas. These bile ducts were similar in appearance to those seen in portal triads of normal liver lobules. The only distinctive feature was increased numbers of ducts. They consisted of uniform basophilic, flattened to cuboidal epithelium surrounded by scant to moderate amounts of collagenous stroma.

*Other Findings:* Pancreas acinus atrophy occurred with a negative trend (P=0.010) with a significantly decreased incidence in 300 mg/kg males (vehicle control, 22/50; 75 mg/kg, 21/50; 150 mg/kg, 17/50; 300 mg/kg, 10/49; Table A4). Testicular interstitial cell hyperplasia occurred with a negative trend (P=0.045) with a significantly decreased incidence in 300 mg/kg males (7/50, 2/50, 4/50, 1/50; Table A4). The cause of these decreased incidences of pancreas acinus atrophy and testicular interstitial cell hyperplasia was not evident.

TABLE 10

Incidences of Nonneoplastic	Lesions of the Liver in	Male Rats in the 2-Year	Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Number Examined Microscopically	50	50	50	48
Basophilic Focus <sup>a</sup>	43	34*	26**	18**
Clear Cell Focus	27	20	19	14*
Eosinophilic Focus	8	2*	$0^{**}$	2
Bile Duct Hyperplasia	39 (1.5) <sup>b</sup>	39 (1.5)	32 (1.4)	24** (1.2)

\* Significantly different (P≤0.05) from the vehicle control group by the Poly-3 test

\*\* P≤0.01

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals with lesion

<sup>b</sup> Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: 1 = minimal, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked

#### **3-MONTH STUDY IN MICE**

All mice survived to the end of the study (Table 11). Decreases in the mean body weight and body weight gain of 600 mg/kg males were statistically significant compared to the vehicle control group. After 85 days of exposure, mean body weights of 75 and 150 mg/kg females exceeded that of the vehicle control group by 10% and 8%, respectively, while that of 600 mg/kg females was 7% less than vehicle controls; however, the differences were not statistically significant. No clinical findings were associated with exposure to isoeugenol.

The hematology data for mice in the 3-month gavage study of isoeugenol are listed in Table F2. There were no hematological effects in mice exposed to isoeugenol.

Increases in relative liver weights of all male groups administered isoeugenol and absolute liver weights of 300 and 600 mg/kg males correlated with dose and were statistically significant (Table G2). Also, decreases in absolute kidney weights of 150, 300, and 600 mg/kg males and absolute lung weights of 600 mg/kg females were statistically significant and considered related to exposure; however, the differences in liver, kidney, and lung weights were not associated with any microscopic findings.

Incidences of mild to moderate olfactory epithelial atrophy and minimal to mild atrophy of olfactory nerve bundles increased significantly in 600 mg/kg males and females (Table 12). Olfactory epithelial atrophy was characterized by a decrease in the number of cells, resulting in thinning of the olfactory epithelium. The atrophy occurred in the most distal portion of the nasal cavity along the junction of the nasal septum with the dorsal wall of the nasal meatus in Level III. The atrophic epithelium was simple or pseudostratified, ciliated, and columnar and resembled normal respiratory epithelium. Glands within the lamina propria under the affected olfactory epithelium were often slightly dilated. Some of these glands contained secretory material with occasional inflammatory cells and cell debris. Some glands were lined by minimally hyperplastic epithelial cells.

		Ν	Final Weight <sup>c</sup>		
Dose (mg/kg)	Survival <sup>a</sup>	Initial	Terminal	Change	Relative to Controls (%)
Male					
0	10/10	$23.3 \pm 0.3$	$37.7 \pm 0.9$	$14.4 \pm 0.9$	
37.5	10/10	$23.3 \pm 0.3$	$36.0 \pm 1.0$	$12.7 \pm 1.0$	95
75	10/10	$22.9 \pm 0.3$	$36.1 \pm 1.6$	$13.2 \pm 1.5$	96
150	10/10	$23.4 \pm 0.4$	$35.7 \pm 1.1$	$12.3 \pm 1.0$	95
300	10/10	$23.4 \pm 0.3$	$37.1 \pm 1.2$	$13.7 \pm 0.9$	98
600	10/10	$23.2 \pm 0.4$	$33.1 \pm 1.0^{*}$	$9.9\pm0.7^{\ast}$	88
Female					
0	10/10	$17.7 \pm 0.2$	$26.3 \pm 0.6$	$8.6 \pm 0.5$	
37.5	10/10	$18.0 \pm 0.2$	$27.7 \pm 1.1$	$9.7 \pm 0.9$	105
75	10/10	$18.1 \pm 0.2$	$28.8 \pm 0.7$	$10.7 \pm 0.8$	110
150	10/10	$18.6 \pm 0.3^*$	$28.3 \pm 0.9$	$9.7 \pm 0.8$	108
300	10/10	$18.1 \pm 0.2$	$26.9 \pm 0.8$	$8.9 \pm 0.7$	102
600	10/10	$18.1 \pm 0.2$	$24.6 \pm 0.3$	$6.5 \pm 0.4$	93

 TABLE 11

 Survival and Body Weights of Mice in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

\* Significantly different (P≤0.05) from the vehicle control group by Dunnett's test

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals surviving at 3 months/number initially in group

<sup>b</sup> Weights and weight changes are given as mean  $\pm$  standard error.

<sup>c</sup> Day 85

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
Male						
Number Examined Microscopically	10	10	10	10	10	10
Olfactory Epithelium, Atrophya	0	0	0	0	0	$10^{**} (2.0)^{b}$
Nerve, Atrophy	0	0	0	0	0	5* (1.0)
Female						
Number Examined Microscopically	10	10	10	10	10	10
Olfactory Epithelium, Atrophy	0	0	0	0	0	$10^{**}(2.3)$
Nerve, Atrophy	0	0	0	0	0	8* (1.6)

Incidences of Nonneoplastic Lesions in the Nose of Mice in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

\* Significantly different (P $\le$ 0.05) from the vehicle control group by the Fisher exact test

\*\* P≤0.01

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals with lesion

<sup>b</sup> Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: 1 = minimal, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked

Atrophy of olfactory nerves consisted of reductions in the number and size of nerve bundles within the lamina propria beneath areas of atrophic epithelium. The nerve atrophy was considered to be secondary to the loss of sensory neurons in the overlying atrophic olfactory epithelium.

*Dose Selection Rationale:* Mice exposed to isoeugenol in corn oil by gavage for 3 months showed significant decreases in body weights of males and increases in liver weights of the 600 mg/kg group. Although increased incidences of olfactory lesions in 600 mg/kg males and females were moderate, they were statistically significant. These nasal lesions were considered to have no effect on survival in longer exposures; however, the importance of olfaction in rodent feeding behavior increased concern that they might affect weight gain, so 300 mg/kg was selected as the highest dose for the 2-year study. The dosing regimen for the 2-year study of isoeugenol in mice was 0, 75, 150, and 300 mg/kg.

#### **2-YEAR STUDY IN MICE**

#### Survival

Estimates of 2-year survival probabilities for male and female mice are shown in Table 13 and in the Kaplan-Meier survival curves (Figure 4). The survival rate of 300 mg/kg males was significantly decreased compared to that of the vehicle controls. The survival rates of all other exposed groups were similar to those of the vehicle controls.

Twenty-three of the 50 male mice exposed to 300 mg/kg isoeugenol died before terminal sacrifice; 16 were natural deaths and seven were sacrificed in moribund condition. Liver neoplasia was the likely cause of death for 16 of these mice, as follows: 13 had hepatocellular carci-

noma, one had hepatoblastoma, one had hepatocholangiocarcinoma, and one had hepatocellular adenoma. In the 300 mg/kg group of female mice, half of the 16 early deaths occurred between days 553 and 555. Examination of gross observations made at necropsy and histopathologic diagnoses recorded for these eight animals indicated that the likely cause of death for five of them was moderate or marked liver necrosis.

#### Body Weights and Clinical Findings

Mean body weights are shown in Tables 14 and 15 and Figure 5. The mean body weights of 300 mg/kg male and female mice were less than 95% of the vehicle controls after 60 and 28 weeks, respectively, of exposure. No clinical findings related to isoeugenol exposure were observed.

# TABLE 13 Survival of Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Male				
Animals initially in study	50	50	50	50
Moribund	7	10	5	7
Natural deaths	4	2	9	16
Animals surviving to study termination	39	38	36	27
Percent probability of survival at end of study <sup>a</sup>	78	76	72	54
Mean survival (days) <sup>b</sup>	688	698	703	647
Survival analysis <sup>c</sup>	P = 0.004	P = 1.000	P = 0.757	P = 0.019
Female				
Animals initially in study	50	50	50	50
Accidental deaths <sup>d</sup>	2	0	0	1
Missing <sup>d</sup>	1	0	0	0
Moribund	5	7	5	5
Natural deaths	8	4	7	11
Animals surviving to study termination	34	39	38	33
Percent probability of survival at end of study	72	78	76	67
Mean survival (days)	679	707	705	663
Survival analysis	P = 0.317	P = 0.666N	P = 0.794N	P = 0.547

<sup>a</sup> Kaplan-Meier determinations

<sup>b</sup> Mean of all deaths (uncensored, censored, and terminal sacrifice)

<sup>c</sup> The result of the life table trend test (Tarone, 1975) is in the vehicle control column, and the result of the life table pairwise comparison

(Cox, 1972) with the vehicle controls is in the dosed group column. A lower mortality in a dosed group is indicated by N

<sup>d</sup> Censored from survival analysis



FIGURE 4 Kaplan-Meier Survival Curves for Male and Female Mice Administered Isoeugenol by Gavage for 2 Years

Mean Body Weights and Survival of Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

Days	Vehic	ele Control		75 mg/kg			150 mg/k	g		300 mg/kg	ç
on	Av. Wt		Av. Wt.		No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (% o	f No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (% of	No. of
Study	(g)	Survivors	(g)	controls)	Survivors	(g)	controls	) Survivors	(g)	controls)	Survivors
1	23.2	50	23.2	100	50	23.0	100	50	23.1	100	50
8	24.3	50	24.6	101	50	24.4	100	50	24.4	100	50
15	25.5	50	25.7	101	50	25.3	99	50	25.5	100	50
22	26.5	50	26.8	101	50	26.4	100	50	26.5	100	50
29	28.2	50	28.6	102	50	28.2	100	50	28.2	100	50
36	29.8	50	30.0	100	50	29.6	99	50	29.3	98	50
43	31.3	50	31.8	102	50	31.1	99	50	30.7	98	50
50	32.8	50	33.3	102	50	32.6	100	50	32.0	98	50
57	32.6	50	33.7	103	50	32.7	101	50	32.1	99	50
64	35.5	50	36.0	101	50	34.9	99	50	34.0	96	50
71	35.5	50	35.9	101	50	35.1	99	50	34.6	97	50
78	37.1	50	38.1	103	50	37.0	100	50	36.5	98	50
85	37.2	50	38.0	102	50	37.5	101	50	36.6	98	50
113	41.1	50	41.6	101	50	40.4	98	50	39.8	97	50
141	43.9	50	44.6	102	50	43.3	99	50	42.1	96	50
169	46.6	50	46.9	101	50	46.2	99	50	44.6	96	50
197	47.9	50	48.3	101	50	47.9	100	50	45.9	96	50
225	49.8	49	50.1	101	50	49.6	100	50	48.0	96	50
253	50.2	49	50.4	100	50	50.3	100	50	48.9	97	50
281	51.9	49	51.7	100	50	51.8	100	50	50.0	96	50
309	52.3	49	51.9	99	50	52.2	100	50	50.8	97	50
337	53.8	49	53.5	99	50	53.6	100	50	51.8	96	49
365	53.5	49	53.2	100	50	53.9	101	50	51.8	97	49
393	54.5	49	54.2	100	50	55.0	101	50	52.3	96	48
421	54.6	49	54.5	100	50	54.6	100	50	52.7	97	45
449	55.5	49	54.8	99	48	55.1	99	50	52.6	95	44
477	54.8	47	53.6	98	48	54.4	99	50	51.8	95	44
505	56.7	47	55.5	98	47	55.8	98	49	53.0	94	44
533	55.5	46	54.1	98	46	54.1	97	48	51.1	92	40
561	55.9	45	54.4	97	46	54.7	98	47	50.9	91	38
589	55.5	44	53.7	97	46	54.5	98	47	50.3	91	37
617	55.0	42	53.8	98	45	54.2	99	46	49.4	90	35
645	53.9	41	52.6	98	45	52.5	97	44	48.2	90	33
673	54.2	41	53.7	99	42	51.7	95	43	48.2	89	30
701	53.9	39	53.8	100	40	50.7	94	39	48.3	90	29
	or weeks										
1-13	30.7		31.2	102		30.6	100		30.3	99	
14-52	48.6		48.8	100		48.4	99		46.9	96	
53-101	54.9		54.0	98		53.9	98		50.8	93	

Mean Body Weights and Survival of Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

Days	Vehic	ele Control		75 mg/kg			150 mg/k	g		300 mg/kg	
on	Av. Wt		Av. Wt.		No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (% o	f No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (% of	No. of
Study	(g)	Survivors	(g)	controls)	Survivors	(g)	controls)	Survivors	(g)	controls)	Survivors
1	18.6	50	18.7	100	50	18.5	99	50	18.5	99	50
8	19.0	50	19.3	101	50	19.1	100	50	19.3	102	50
15	20.1	50	20.5	102	50	20.1	100	50	20.2	101	50
22	20.9	50	21.6	103	50	21.4	102	50	21.3	102	50
29	22.2	50	22.6	102	50	22.4	101	50	22.5	101	50
36	23.5	50	23.8	101	50	23.7	101	50	23.6	100	50
43	24.8	50	25.2	102	50	24.8	100	50	24.4	98	50
50	25.8	50	26.6	103	50	26.3	102	50	25.5	99	50
57	26.8	50	26.7	100	50	26.3	98	50	26.0	97	50
64	27.6	50	27.5	100	50	27.4	99	50	27.0	98	50
71	28.4	50	28.8	102	50	28.2	99	50	27.7	98	50
78	29.0	50	29.4	101	50	28.7	99	50	28.3	98	50
85	30.2	50	30.3	100	50	29.5	98	50	29.2	97	50
113	33.0	50	33.6	102	50	33.4	101	50	32.8	99	50
141	37.3	50	38.1	102	50	37.6	101	50	37.0	99	50
169	40.5	50	41.1	101	50	39.9	99	50	39.3	97	50
197	40.8	50	42.8	105	50	40.9	100	50	39.4	97	50
225	45.2	50	46.7	103	50	45.0	100	50	42.1	93	50
253	47.0	50	48.7	104	50	47.3	101	50	44.3	94	50
281	50.7	50	52.5	104	50	49.2	97	50	46.6	92	49
309	53.1	48	54.6	103	50	52.0	98	50	48.3	91	49
337	56.3	48	57.9	103	50	54.1	96	49	49.9	89	49
365	57.4	47	58.4	102	50	55.3	96	49	50.6	88	49
393	59.7	47	61.0	102	50	58.5	98	49	52.1	87	48
421	60.0	47	62.6	104	50	59.4	99	49	51.8	86	48
449	62.5	46	63.9	102	50	60.4	97	49	52.9	85	48
477	62.6	45	64.1	102	50	60.7	97	49	53.0	85	48
505	64.8	45	65.8	102	50	63.6	98	49	54.5	84	47
533	65.0	45	65.7	101	49	63.5	98	49	53.9	83	46
561	64.1	45	65.7	102	49	63.7	99	49	54.1	84	36
589	62.5	44	63.6	102	48	60.9	97	47	54.3	87	36
617	63.4	41	62.5	98	45	60.4	95	46	53.9	85	35
645	63.1	40	61.5	97	44	58.8	93	45	53.7	85	35
673	63.0	39	62.0	99	43	60.3	96	44	54.6	87	34
701	61.7	36	60.0	97	40	57.8	94	42	52.8	86	33
	or weeks										
1-13	24.4		24.7	101		24.3	100		24.1	99	
14-52	44.9		46.2	103		44.4	99		42.2	95	
53-101	62.3		62.8	101		60.3	97		53.2	86	



FIGURE 5 Growth Curves for Male and Female Mice Administered Isoeugenol by Gavage for 2 Years

#### Pathology and Statistical Analyses

This section describes the statistically significant or biologically noteworthy changes in the incidences of histiocytic sarcoma and neoplasms and/or nonneoplastic lesions of the liver, nose, kidney, stomach, and spleen. Summaries of the incidences of neoplasms and nonneoplastic lesions, statistical analysis of primary neoplasms that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in at least one animal group, and historical incidences for the neoplasms mentioned in this section are presented in Appendix C for male mice and Appendix D for female mice.

*Liver:* In all exposed male groups, incidences of hepatocellular adenoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma (combined) were significantly greater than those in the vehicle control group; the incidences of multiple hepatocellular adenoma were also significantly increased (Tables 16, C1, and C2). The incidences of these neoplasms exceeded the historical control range for corn oil vehicle control groups, and the incidences of hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma (combined) exceeded the range for controls by all routes (Tables 16 and C3). Hepatocellular adenomas were usually discrete masses having solid growth patterns that caused compression of the surrounding normal hepatic parenchyma. They consisted of hepatocytes having clear, eosinophilic, or basophilic cytoplasm and were sometimes difficult to distinguish from hepatocellular foci. However, the lack of normal lobular architecture and the presence of plates of neoplastic hepatocytes that intersected the surrounding normal liver plates at sharp angles, rather than merging with them as seen in foci, were characteristics used to differentiate adenomas from foci. Hepatocellular carcinomas were large, poorly demarcated masses that generally had irregular borders due to growth into the surrounding normal parenchyma.

Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Liver in Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Male				
Jumber Examined Microscopically	50	50	50	50
Basophilic Focus <sup>a</sup>	5	5	3	7
Clear Cell Focus	16	26*	29**	13
Eosinophilic Focus	8	13	11	5
Hepatocellular Adenoma, Multiple	10	26**	28**	20*
Hepatocellular Adenoma (includes multiple) <sup>b</sup>				
Overall rate <sup>c</sup>	24/50 (48%)	35/50 (70%)	37/50 (74%)	33/50 (66%)
Adjusted rate <sup>d</sup>	53.0%	75.7%	76.9%	77.7%
Terminal rate <sup>e</sup>	22/39 (56%)	31/38 (82%)	29/36 (81%)	23/27 (85%)
First incidence (days)	469	646	491	408
Poly-3 test <sup>f</sup>	P = 0.012	P = 0.015	P = 0.010	P = 0.009
Hepatocellular Carcinoma, Multiple	2	6	6	4
Hepatocellular Carcinoma (includes multiple) <sup>g</sup>				
Overall rate	8/50 (16%)	18/50 (36%)	19/50 (38%)	18/50 (36%)
Adjusted rate	17.4%	37.9%	38.7%	40.4%
Terminal rate	5/39 (13%)	10/38 (26%)	9/36 (25%)	5/27 (19%)
First incidence (days)	469	481	491	385
Poly-3 test	P = 0.027	P = 0.022	P = 0.017	P = 0.012
Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinomah				
Overall rate	28/50 (56%)	43/50 (86%)	43/50 (86%)	43/50 (86%)
Adjusted rate	60.5%	90.0%	86.3%	90.3%
Terminal rate	24/39 (62%)	34/38 (90%)	30/36 (83%)	24/27 (89%)
First incidence (days)	469	481	491	385
Poly-3 test	P < 0.001	P < 0.001	P = 0.003	P < 0.001

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	
Female					
Jumber Examined Microscopically	49	50	49	50	
Necrosis	3 (3.7) <sup>i</sup>	0	1 (3.0)	8 (3.4)	
Hepatocellular Adenoma, multiple	0	3	1	0	
Hepatocellular Adenoma (includes multiple) <sup>j</sup>					
Overall rate	11/49 (22%)	10/50 (20%)	9/49 (18%)	4/50 (8%)	
Adjusted rate	25.7%	20.8%	19.3%	9.9%	
Terminal rate	8/34 (24%)	5/39 (13%)	8/38 (21%)	3/33 (9%)	
First incidence (days)	699	577	693	698	
Poly-3 test	P = 0.048N	P = 0.380N	P = 0.321N	P = 0.053N	
Hepatocellular Carcinoma, multiple	1	0	2	0	
Hepatocellular Carcinoma (includes multiple) <sup>k</sup>	3	8	9	6	
Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma <sup>1</sup>					
Overall rate	13/49 (27%)	16/50 (32%)	15/49 (31%)	9/50 (18%)	
Adjusted rate	29.6%	33.2%	31.9%	22.2%	
Terminal rate	8/34 (24%)	11/39 (28%)	11/38 (29%)	8/33 (24%)	
First incidence (days)	423	577	647	698	
Poly-3 test	P = 0.229N	P = 0.442	P = 0.495	P = 0.297N	

# TABLE 16Incidences of Neoplasms and Nonneoplastic Lesions of the Liver in Micein the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

\* Significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) from the vehicle control group by the Poly-3 test

\*\* P≤0.01

- <sup>a</sup> Number of animals with lesion
- <sup>b</sup> Historical incidence for 2-year gavage studies with corn oil vehicle control groups (mean ± standard deviation): 50/100 (50.0% ± 2.8%), range, 48%-52%; all routes: 544/1,146 (47.5% ± 14.9%), range 14%-72%
- <sup>c</sup> Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals with liver examined microscopically
- <sup>d</sup> Poly-3 estimated neoplasm incidence after adjustment for intercurrent mortality

<sup>e</sup> Observed incidence at terminal kill

- <sup>f</sup> Beneath the vehicle control incidence is the P value associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence is the P value corresponding to pairwise comparison between the vehicle controls and that dosed group. The Poly-3 test accounts for differential mortality in animals that do not reach terminal sacrifice. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by N.
- <sup>g</sup> Historical incidence for 2-year corn oil gavage studies:  $22/100 (22.0\% \pm 8.5\%)$ , range, 16%-28%;
- all routes: 317/1,146 (27.7% ± 9.2%), range 8%-48%
- <sup>h</sup> Historical incidence for 2-year corn oil gavage studies:  $61/100 (61.0\% \pm 7.1\%)$ , range, 56%-66%;
- all routes:  $729/1,146 (63.6\% \pm 15.6\%)$ , range 20%-84%
- <sup>i</sup> Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: 1 = minimal, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked
- <sup>j</sup> Historical incidence for 2-year corn oil gavage studies:  $17/99 (17.2\% \pm 7.4\%)$ , range, 12%-22%;
- all routes: 345/1,245 (27.8% ± 17.0%), range 2%-62%
- <sup>k</sup> Historical incidence for 2-year corn oil gavage studies: 4/99 ( $4.1\% \pm 2.9\%$ ), range, 2%-6%;
- all routes: 131/1,245 (10.5% ± 7.7%), range 0%-28%
- <sup>1</sup> Historical incidence for 2-year corn oil gavage studies: 20/99 (20.3% ± 8.9%), range, 14%-27%; all routes: 419/1,245 (33.7% ± 19.1%), range 8%-64%

The neoplastic hepatocytes often were somewhat atypical in appearance, but the major distinguishing feature of carcinomas was the presence of abnormal patterns of growth. The most common abnormal growth pattern was formation of trabeculae by neoplastic hepatocytes that were three or more cell layers thick, while less commonly the neoplastic cells formed glandular structures or solid masses. Several growth patterns were often seen within a single neoplasm. Areas of hemorrhage or necrosis were sometimes present. Metastases of carcinomas to the lungs occurred in all dosed groups of male mice and in 150 and 300 mg/kg females and were often multiple.

In contrast to the effect in males, incidences of hepatocellular adenoma in females occurred with a negative trend, increases in the incidences of hepatocellular carcinoma were not significant, and incidences of these neoplasms in exposed females individually or combined, were within their respective historical control (all routes) ranges (Tables 16, D2, and D3a). The magnitude of the overall decrease in combined incidences in females is similar to that expected for the observed decreases in body weight (Haseman *et al.*, 1997).

Incidences of clear cell focus were significantly increased in 75 and 150 mg/kg males (Tables 16 and C4). The incidences of eosinophilic foci were also increased in these two groups, but the differences from vehicle controls were not significant. Clear cell foci were irregularly shaped, poorly circumscribed, and had a variable amount of cytoplasmic clear space that is thought to be accumulations of glycogen. The hepatocytes were normal or slightly enlarged in size with centrally located and often condensed nuclei. The affected hepatocytes lacked the discrete cytoplasmic vacuoles of fatty change and formed normal hepatic cords that merged with the surrounding normal hepatocytes. Incidences of basophilic foci were similar for all male groups. Basophilic foci were composed of well-demarcated collections of hepatocytes with abundant, characteristic darkly basophilicstaining cytoplasm. These hepatocytes were arranged in normal hepatic cords that merged with surrounding normal hepatocytes. As with the other types of foci, there was little or no compression of the surrounding normal hepatocytes. Eosinophilic foci consisted of well-demarcated collections of enlarged hepatocytes with abundant dark, homogeneous eosinophilic cytoplasm. These hepatocytes were arranged in normal hepatic cords that merged with the surrounding normal hepatocytes. There was usually little or no compression of the surrounding normal hepatocytes. Foci of altered hepatocytes, hepatocellular adenomas, and hepatocellular carcinomas are thought to represent a continuum.

A positive trend in liver necrosis in females was significant, but the incidence in the 300 mg/kg group was not significant compared to the vehicle control group (Tables 16 and D4). Moderate to marked liver necrosis was the likely cause of death for five of eight female mice in the 300 mg/kg group that died between days 553 and 555. The proximate cause of this liver necrosis was undetermined.

Histiocytic Sarcoma: The positive trend in the incidences of histiocytic sarcoma in females was statistically significant. Histiocytic sarcoma has not been observed in vehicle controls in corn oil gavage studies, and the incidence in the 300 mg/kg group was at the upper end of the historical range for controls by all routes (Tables 17, D2, and D3b). These histiocytic sarcomas were invasive with a variety of distributions in multiple organs, including liver, ovary, uterus, spleen, lung, lymph nodes, kidney, thymus, and bone marrow. Histologically, cells that are characteristic of neoplastic histiocytes were large with relatively abundant, pale eosinophilic cytoplasm. Their nuclei were dark basophilic with round to oval shapes and inconspicuous nucleoli. Variation in the size and shape of some neoplastic cells and high cytoplasmic-to-nuclear ratios were observed. Occasional multinucleated giant cells were present. Fibrosis was usually scant.

Incidences of olfactory epithelial respiratory Nose: metaplasia in all exposed groups, atrophy and hyaline droplet accumulation in all exposed groups of males and in 150 and 300 mg/kg females, and degeneration in 150 and 300 mg/kg males were significantly increased (Tables 18, C4, and D4). Small increases of atrophy and hyaline droplet accumulation were also observed in 75 mg/kg females. The severity of respiratory metaplasia generally increased with increasing dose; severity of the other olfactory lesions were minimal to mild and similar to those of the vehicle controls. Respiratory metaplasia of the olfactory epithelium was characterized by replacement of the olfactory epithelium by ciliated, columnar epithelium that was similar in appearance to normal respiratory epithelium. Metaplastic respiratory epithelium was low columnar rather than tall columnar

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	
Histiocytic Sarcoma <sup>a</sup>					
Overall rate <sup>b</sup>	0/49 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)	
Adjusted rate <sup>c</sup>	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%	9.7%	
Terminal rate <sup>d</sup>	0/34 (0%)	0/39 (0%)	0/38 (0%)	2/33 (6%)	
First incidence (days)	f	605	616	538	
Poly-3 test <sup>e</sup>	P = 0.015	P = 0.519	P = 0.519	P = 0.056	

Incidences of Histiocytic Sarcoma in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

<sup>a</sup> Historical incidence for 2-year gavage studies with

corn oil vehicle control groups (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation): 0/99; all routes: 31/1,249 (2.5%  $\pm$  2.5%), range 0%-8%

<sup>b</sup> Number of animals with neoplasm per number of animals necropsied

<sup>c</sup> Poly-3 estimated neoplasm incidence after adjustment for intercurrent mortality

<sup>d</sup> Observed incidence at terminal kill

<sup>e</sup> Beneath the vehicle control incidence is the P value associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence is the P value corresponding to pairwise comparison between the vehicle controls and that dosed group. The Poly-3 test accounts for differential mortality in animals that do not reach terminal sacrifice.

f Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group

and typically lacked or had fewer goblet cells than the normal respiratory epithelium. Also, in some areas, the metaplastic epithelium was mildly hyperplastic and had mucosal invaginations resulting in the formation of "pseudoglands." Degeneration of the olfactory epithelium was characterized by vacuolation and disorganization of the epithelium with apoptosis, necrosis, and loss of epithelial cells. Olfactory epithelial atrophy consisted of small focal areas, primarily in the epithelium lining of the dorsal meatus in Level III nasal sections and occasionally in ethmoid turbinates. Atrophy of the olfactory epithelium was frequently associated with atrophy of the olfactory nerves in adjacent submucosal tissues. This nerve atrophy was considered to be secondary to loss of sensory neurons in the overlying olfactory epithelium and was not given a separate diagnosis in this study. The atrophic olfactory epithelium was often accompanied by metaplasia to ciliated columnar epithelium. Hyaline droplet accumulation consisted of intracellular, homogenous, eosinophilic globules that distended affected epithelial cells.

The incidences of minimal to moderate hyperplasia of the Bowman's gland were significantly increased in all exposed groups; severities increased with increasing dose (Tables 18, C4, and D4). Hyperplasia of Bowman's glands occurred in the same areas of olfactory epithelium having atrophy and metaplastic changes and consisted of increased numbers of glandular epithelial cells in the lamina adjacent to affected olfactory epithelium. This hyperplasia was accompanied by dilation of affected glands.

*Kidney:* Low incidences of minimal to moderate necrosis of the renal papilla and mild to moderate renal tubule necrosis in 300 mg/kg females were significantly greater than those in the vehicle controls (Tables 18 and D4). Necrosis was observed in all or portions of the renal papilla. These necrotic areas were clearly delineated from the adjacent viable tissue and frequently had infiltration by inflammatory cells and tubular interstitial mineralization. In renal tubule necrosis, portions or all of the renal tubules in the kidney were necrotic with intratubular cell protein debris that sometimes formed tubular casts and had varying degrees of tubular epithelial cell regeneration.

*Stomach:* Low incidences of forestomach squamous hyperplasia, inflammation, and ulceration in male mice increased with increasing dose and were significantly increased in the 300 mg/kg group. Similarly, low incidences of squamous hyperplasia and inflammation in the forestomach of female mice were increased in the 75 mg/kg and 150 mg/kg groups and were significantly increased in the 300 mg/kg group (Tables 18, C4, and

		icle Control	75 m	ıg/kg	150 1	mg/kg	300 1	ng/kg
Male Nose <sup>a</sup>	50		50		50		50	
Olfactory Epithelium, Respiratory Metaplasia <sup>b</sup> Olfactory Epithelium, Degeneration Olfactory Epithelium, Atrophy Olfactory Epithelium, Accumulation, Hyaline Droplet Glands, Hyperplasia	50 4 1 5 0 3	$(1.0)^{c}$ (1.0) (1.2) (1.3)	50 31** 1 13* 6* 34**	(1.3) (1.0) (1.1) (1.2) (1.5)	50 47** 7* 36** 26** 49**	(2.5) (1.1) (1.1) (1.4) (2.7)	50 49** 6* 41** 19** 48**	(2.1) (1.0) (1.3) (1.3) (2.7)
Spleen Cellular Depletion	50 0		50 0		50 0		49 2	(2.0)
Stomach, Forestomach Hyperplasia, Squamous Inflammation Ulcer	50 7 5 1	(1.1) (1.4) (3.0)	49 8 8 4	(2.8) (2.5) (2.5)	50 8 9 4	(2.6) (2.3) (2.8)	49 14* 14* 9**	(2.2) (2.4) (2.7)
Stomach, Glandular Ulcer Inflammation	50 0 0		49 1 1	(2.0) (2.0)	49 4 3	(1.5) (1.0)	44 5* 3	(2.6) (2.0)
Female Kidney Papilla, Necrosis	47 0		50 0		49 1	(2.0)	49 14 <sup>**</sup>	(1.4)
Papilla, Necrosis, Bilateral Renal Tubule, Necrosis	0 0		1 1	(3.0) (2.0)	0	()	4 6*	(2.8) (2.7)
Nose Olfactory Epithelium, Respiratory Metaplasia Olfactory Epithelium, Atrophy Olfactory Epithelium, Accumulation, Hyaline Droplet Glands, Hyperplasia	48 6 3 0 6	(1.0) (1.3) (1.0)	50 37** 8 4 38**	(1.2) (1.0) (1.0) (1.3)	50 49** 36** 18** 49**	(2.0) (1.2) (1.1) (2.4)	50 50** 43** 12** 49**	(2.6) (1.3) (1.3) (3.3)
Spleen Cellular Depletion	48 0		50 0		49 0		50 9**	(2.4)
Stomach, Forestomach Hyperplasia, Squamous Inflammation	48 2 2	(1.5) (1.5)	50 8 8	(2.5) (2.0)	49 5 5	(1.4) (1.6)	50 8* 8*	(2.0) (1.4)
Stomach, Glandular Ulcer Inflammation	46 0 0		48 1 1	(1.0) (1.0)	47 1 1	(2.0) (1.0)	48 7** 6*	(2.1) (1.7)

Incidences of Selected Nonneoplastic Lesions Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

\* Significantly different (P≤0.05) from the vehicle control group by the the Poly-3 test
 \*\* P≤0.01

 a Number of animals with tissue examined microscopically
 b Number of animals with lesion
 c Animals with control and the state of the

<sup>c</sup> Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: 1 = minimal, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked

D4). In males, the three forestomach lesions often were present in the same mouse. Squamous hyperplasia was the result of thickening of the squamous epithelium caused by increased numbers of cell layers, primarily of the prickle cell layer. The affected epithelium was five to six cell layers thick as compared to the three to four cell layers for normal squamous epithelium. Ulcers of the forestomach were observed in male mice only and resulted when minimal to moderate damage of the mucosal surface caused a complete loss of the squamous epithelium and extended through the basement membrane. The margins of the ulcers often had epithelial hyperplasia. Ulcers were usually accompanied by inflammation. Inflammation of the forestomach had variable numbers of mixed inflammatory cells, primarily neutrophils and macrophages with some lymphocytes, and, rarely, eosinophils in the lamina propria; congestion; and various degrees of increased fibrous connective tissue. Inflammation usually occurred concurrently with squamous epithelial hyperplasia.

Low incidences of ulcers of the glandular stomach were statistically significant in 300 mg/kg males and females (Tables 18, C4, and D4). Ulcers of the glandular stomach resulted when minimal to moderate damage to the mucosal surface caused a complete loss of the mucosal epithelium and extended through the basement membrane. Ulcers were usually accompanied by minimal to moderate inflammation, and the incidence of inflammation in 300 mg/kg females was significantly increased.

*Spleen*: In 300 mg/kg female mice, the incidence of splenic cellular depletion was significantly increased (Tables 18 and D4). The lesion consisted of minimal to marked reductions in lymphocytes accompanied by variable decreases in hematopoietic cells. These findings were considered secondary to stress because they occurred in mice that were found dead or sacrificed moribund and seven of the nine female mice with cellular depletion also had liver and/or kidney necrosis.

#### **TOXICOKINETICS**

Single administration toxicokinetic studies of isoeugenol were conducted via intravenous and oral gavage routes in male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (Appendix L). Plasma concentrations of isoeugenol were measured using a validated gas chromatographymass spectrometric method. Secondary peaks, consistent with enterohepatic recirculation, were observed in the terminal phase of the plasma concentration versus time plots for both routes, species, and sexes tested. Their presence precluded precise calculations, so datapoint values were estimated.

Plasma concentration versus time curves from intravenously exposed animals (17 mg/kg for rats and 35 mg/kg for mice in Cremophor<sup>®</sup> EL:ethanol:water 1:1:8) were modeled by applying a biexponential model to the data using a nonlinear least squares fitting program. The data for rats indicated no differences in toxicokinetic parameters estimated for males and females. Data for mice showed differences between sexes, in that  $Cl_{tot}$  and  $AUC_{\infty}$  did not have overlapping 95% confidence intervals. Absorption of isoeugenol following exposure by gavage in corn oil was rapid in both sexes of both species with  $t_{max}$  occurring within 2 to 20 minutes for all dose groups; however, large secondary peaks observed during the terminal phase of elimination precluded more precise determination of half lives and rate constants. With each dose group and species,  $Cl_{tot}$  was greater and AUC<sub>ti-tf</sub> was smaller for males than females. Bioavailability ranged from 11% (males) to 17% (females) in rats and 34% (males) to 36% (females) in mice. Isoeugenol was absorbed rapidly by both species following exposure by gavage in corn oil. However, it was also eliminated from systemic circulation rapidly and extensively. The collective toxicokinetic evidence indicates that the low bioavailability is the result of extensive first-pass metabolism. There was no evidence of saturation in either male or female rats or mice.

#### **GENETIC TOXICOLOGY**

Isoeugenol (3.3 to 2,000 µg/plate) was not active in either of two independent assays for mutagenicity in Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537 and Escherichia coli strain WP2 uvrA conducted with and without exogenous metabolic activation (S9 liver enzymes) (Table E1). Isoeugenol (concentrations up to 200 µg/mL in medium) did not induce chromosomal aberrations in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells, with or without S9 activation (Table E2). In vivo, no increases in the frequencies of micronucleated erythrocytes were seen in peripheral blood samples of male B6C3F1 mice treated with 37.5 to 600 mg/kg isoeugenol by gavage for 3 months; in contrast, results of this test in female mice were judged to be positive, based on a 3.2-fold increase of micronucleated erythrocytes in the 600 mg/kg group and a significant trend (Table E3). No significant changes in the percentage of polychromatic erythrocytes were observed over the dose range tested in either males or females, indicating an absence of exposure-related toxicity to bone marrow.

# **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Isoeugenol is used as a flavor additive to foods and as a fragrance in cosmetics and household cleaning products. In food products, isoeugenol concentrations range from 0.57 ppm in nonalcoholic beverages to 3.27 ppm in frozen dairy products; it may also be added to baked goods, soft candy, gelatins, and puddings. Isoeugenol, as the active ingredient in AQUI-S<sup>®</sup>, is used as a "zero-withdrawal anesthetic" to manage the aquaculture and harvest of finfish and shellfish in Australia, Chile, the Faeroe Islands, Korea, and New Zealand (Schnick, 1999, 2006). The Food and Drug Administration (2006) has added four designations for isoeugenol-AQUI-S<sup>®</sup> to its Animal Drugs for Minor Uses and Minor Species list.

Isoeugenol was selected for toxicity and carcinogenicity evaluations based on high potential for human exposure, general lack of toxicity information, and structural similarity to carcinogenic phenylpropenoid analogs such as methyleugenol and safrole. The National Toxicology Program (NTP) performed 3-month and 2-year studies to characterize the potential toxicity and carcinogenicity of isoeugenol in male and female rats and mice. The highest dose selected for the 2-year studies was 300 mg/kg, based on results from the 3-month study that indicated this would approximate the minimally toxic dose.

Exposure of male and female rats and mice to isoeugenol for 3 months at doses of 37.5, 75, 150, 300, or 600 mg/kg by gavage in corn oil induced slight toxic responses. Survival was unaffected. Body weights of male rats and mice in the 600 mg/kg groups were decreased relative to vehicle controls. No clinical signs were observed, and the only exposure-related organ weight change was an increase in liver weights of 300 and 600 mg/kg male mice and female rats. Minimal to mild atrophy of nasal olfactory epithelium and olfactory nerve bundles was observed in male and female rats and mice. The incidences and severities of these nonneoplastic lesions were slightly greater in rats than in mice, where they occurred only in 600 mg/kg animals. In rats, mild atrophy of olfactory epithelium was observed in about half of the males and females in the 300 and 600 mg/kg groups and males in the 150 mg/kg group.

Secondary atrophy of olfactory nerve bundles was minimal to mild in severity in 600 mg/kg female rats. Minimal to mild periportal hepatocellular cytoplasmic alteration occurred in all female rats exposed to 300 or 600 mg/kg.

Because allylbenzene analogs induce cytochrome P450 enzyme systems (Ioannides et al., 1981; Gardner et al., 1997; Jeurissen et al., 2004, 2006, 2007), P450 enzyme activities were assayed for rats exposed to isoeugenol for 31 days. P450 (CYP) isoenzyme activities for rats exposed to isoeugenol were unchanged in female rats and slightly decreased in males. Decreases of 25% to 30% in CYP1A1 (EROD) and CYP2B1/2 (PROD) activities were statistically significant at the highest dose and exhibited an inverse dose-response trend, indicating that these enzymes were mildly suppressed by isoeugenol. The small magnitude of the changes and the absence of any effect in female rats make it unlikely that the observed decreases in P450 activities have any physiological significance. However, these in vivo results are similar to those obtained in vitro, where isoeugenol and eugenol inhibited EROD and PROD activities in hepatic microsomes by about 12% and 30%, respectively (Zhao and O'Brien, 1996). The methylenedioxybenzene (MDB) compounds safrole, isosafrole, and dihydrosafrole exerted complete inhibition of CYP2B1 (PROD), while isosafrole and dihydrosafrole were better inhibitors of CYP1A1 than safrole. In contrast, induction of rat hepatic microsomes in vitro results in activation of methyleugenol to the putative proximate carcinogen 1-hydroxy-methyleugenol. Hydroxylation is catalyzed by CYP2E1 and probably CYP2C6 in rats (Gardner et al., 1997). When the hepatic microsomes were isolated from rats that were pretreated with methyleugenol, CYP2B (PROD) and 1A2 (A4H) were found to be induced, along with lesser induced CYPs (Gardner et al., 1997). Like methyleugenol, estragole induced an approximately fivefold increase in CYP2Bassociated PROD activity in both male and female rats (NTP, 2010).

Exposure of male and female rats to isoeugenol for 2 years had no effect on survival. Body weights of

exposed groups were approximately equal to or greater than those of vehicle controls; those of 300 mg/kg males and females were 10% and 15% greater, respectively, than those of the vehicle control groups after 2 years. Nonneoplastic lesions in the nose were of low incidence and severity. Two thymic thymomas and two mammary gland carcinomas were observed in 300 mg/kg males; trends in the occurrence of these rare neoplasms were statistically significant, and the thymoma incidence exceeded the historical control range for all routes of exposure. While the low incidence of mammary gland carcinoma had a significant positive trend and exceeded or equaled historical control rates for studies by gavage in corn oil vehicle or by all routes of exposure, its significance was not supported by biological evidence; specifically, it was not accompanied by hyperplasia or adenoma. While these bioassays provide equivocal evidence for carcinogenic activity of isoeugenol in male rats, they provide no indication of carcinogenic activity in female rats. However, the general absence of chronic toxicity indicates that both male and female rats may have tolerated higher doses of isoeugenol.

When male mice were administered isoeugenol for 2 years at doses of 75, 150, and 300 mg/kg, survival in the 300 mg/kg group compared to vehicle controls and the decreasing trend in survival across all groups were statistically significant; liver neoplasms were the likely cause of death for many of the early-death animals. Exposure to isoeugenol had no effect on survival of female mice. Mean body weights of the 300 mg/kg groups were 10% to 15% less than those of the vehicle controls, and those for lower exposed groups were similar to those of vehicle controls. Male mice exhibited significantly increased incidences of hepatocellular adenoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma (combined). The dose-response curves for these neoplasms were flat; for example, hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma (combined) was diagnosed in 86% of the animals in each dosed group and in 56% of the vehicle controls. By comparison, methyleugenol not only caused hepatocellular adenomas and hepatocellular carcinomas in male and female rats and mice, it also caused rare hepatoblastomas in mice and hepatocholangiomas or hepatocholangiocarcinomas in rats (NTP, 2000).

The differences in liver response noted for methyleugenol, an allylbenzene, and isoeugenol, a propenylbenzene (isoallylbenzene), may be governed by competition between two or perhaps more metabolic pathways. Miller et al. (1983) have shown that carcinogenic activity of allylbenzenes such as safrole, methyleugenol, and estragole may be related to phase I hydroxylation of the 1-methylene carbon of the allyl side chain, followed by phase II formation of electrophilic sulfate esters. Unlike the allylbenzenes, propenylbenzene analogs undergo 3-hydroxylation rather 1-hydroxylation; specifically, the 3-hydroxy metabolite of isoeugenol occurs naturally as coniferyl alcohol, a key intermediate in the biosynthesis of phenylpropenoid and lignan compounds (Koeduka et al., 2006, 2008; Dexter et al., 2007). Isomerization of the 3-hydroxy metabolite to the 1-isomer is thermodynamically unfavorable because it breaks the conjugated system into isolated, higher energy phenyl and olefin groups. Although allylic hydroxylation catalyzed by cytochrome P450 may occur with rearrangement (Groves and Subramanian, 1984), the resistance to isomerization presented by propenylbenzenes may prevent formation of the 1-sulfate ester conjugate, the putative ultimate carcinogen formed by metabolism of allylbenzenes. Recent studies show that epoxides of anethole and asarone, both propenylbenzene analogs of isoeugenol, induce hepatomas in B6C3F1 mice (Kim et al., 1999). These results and observations across studies suggest that a different metabolic pathway, perhaps involving epoxidation or formation of a quinonemethide, is responsible for the liver tumors induced by isoeugenol in male mice.

Histiocytic sarcomas were observed in six female mice exposed to 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg isoeugenol for 2 years. Although the incidence in the 300 mg/kg group compared with the vehicle control group was not statistically significant (P=0.056), the positive trend across all groups was significant (P=0.015). Histiocytic sarcomas are highly invasive and were observed at 23 different sites including liver, ovary, uterus, and spleen. Histiocytic sarcoma was not observed in male mice but was diagnosed in one male and one female rat in each of the 75 and 150 mg/kg groups exposed to isoeugenol. In two previous gavage studies using corn oil as the vehicle, the incidences of histiocytic sarcoma were 0/99 in female mice and 1/100 in male rats. In the present study the lesion was not observed in female rats or male mice. The incidence (8%) of histiocytic sarcoma in female mice exposed to 300 mg/kg isoeugenol exceeded the overall historical range for vehicle controls in 2-year gavage studies with corn oil as the vehicle; it was threefold greater than the mean (2.50) but equal to the maximum of the historical range for controls by all routes. The incidence for historical controls from 17 NTP studies where female mice were housed five per cage was Histiocytic sarcomas are classified as 6/1,008. hematopoietic neoplasms of the mononuclear phagocyte system, based upon the morphology of the neoplastic cells and the presence of lysozyme, Mac-2, and mononuclear phagocyte antigens. The specific origin of the neoplastic histiocytic cells is undetermined, but in female mice, the liver, uterus, and vagina often appear to be the primary site (Frith et al., 1993). One or more cell subtypes may give rise to this neoplasm. Kupffer's cells in the liver, bone marrow cells, tissue histiocytes, and circulating macrophages have been proposed. Although the spontaneous incidence of this neoplasm is low in both B6C3F1 mice and F344 rats, it varies among different strains; from 0% in male BALB/c mice to about 5.9% in female C57BL/6 mice and from 0% in female F344 rats to 1.3% in male Sprague-Dawley rats (Chandra and Frith, 1992; Frith et al., 1993).

Increased incidences of dose-related histiocytic sarcoma have been observed in a few other NTP studies; it served as the sole basis for assigning a level of evidence only for the study of benzophenone in female mice. The incidences of histiocytic sarcoma in female mice exposed to isoeugenol increased with increasing dose and exceeded the historical control range for 2-year corn oil gavage studies but were not statistically significant. Because the incidence of histiocytic sarcoma in the high dose group was not statistically significant relative to the concurrent vehicle controls and was within the historical control range for all routes of exposure, the increased incidence was considered to be equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity.

In the 2-year study in mice, olfactory epithelial atrophy, olfactory epithelial respiratory metaplasia, hyaline droplet accumulation in the olfactory epithelium, and hyperplasia of nasal glands were observed with minimal to mild severity; the incidences of these nonneoplastic lesions increased with increasing dose, indicating they were exposure related. Atrophy of olfactory nerves occurred frequently in submucosal tissues adjacent to atrophic areas of olfactory epithelium. This nerve atrophy was observed in both the 3-month and 2-year studies. It was considered to be secondary to loss of sensory neurons in the overlying olfactory epithelium. Because primary nasal lesions were predominant, olfactory nerve atrophy was not given a separate diagnosis in the 2-year study.

Significant renal lesions occurred in the medulla and cortex of female, but not male, mice exposed to isoeugenol for 2 years. Papillary necrosis of the medulla affected 18 of 49 females in the 300 mg/kg group, including four with bilateral involvement. This incidence of papillary necrosis in mice at 2 years is unusual for NTP studies and is regarded as the result of chronic exposure to isoeugenol. Low incidences of papillary necrosis have been observed to occur spontaneously in both control and exposed groups of mice and rats during many NTP studies; however, only two other NTP chronic toxicity studies have displayed increases like those in mice exposed to isoeugenol. Papillary necrosis was increased significantly in both sexes of high-dose mice, as well as in high-dose female rats exposed by gavage to C.I. Acid Orange 3 for 2 years (NTP, 1988). Papillary necrosis was also increased significantly in male mice exposed to 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol for 3 months by gavage or in feed (Elwell et al., 1989; NTP, 1996). Papillary necrosis in humans has been frequently associated with exposure to analgesics and non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and is reported in animals exposed to these drugs (Bach and Nguyen, 1998; Brix, 2002). For example, papillary necrosis was significantly increased in male and female rats, but not mice, exposed to the NSAID phenylbutazone for 3 months or 2 years (NTP, 1990). Papillary necrosis is also observed in mice, rats, and other animal species exposed to chemicals that are structurally unrelated to analgesics and NSAIDs (Montgomery and Seely, 1990; Bach and Nguyen, 1998). Early sequential changes in the development of papillary necrosis have been investigated in rats and mice (Montgomery and Seely, 1990; Wolf et al., 1992). Medullary ischemia, possibly the result of endothelial cell damage or decreased blood flow, as well as direct toxicity to the medullary interstitial cells have been suggested as possible mechanisms (Bach and Nguyen, 1998; Seely, 1999; Brix, 2002). Less frequent lesions in female mice were necrosis of the tubular epithelium in the renal cortex of the 300 mg/kg group. Renal tubule degeneration and necrosis have been observed concurrent with papillary necrosis after exposure to other chemicals (Wolf et al., 1992; Elwell et al., 1989).

Low incidences of forestomach squamous hyperplasia and inflammation in male and female mice and of forestomach ulcer in male mice were only significantly increased in animals exposed to 300 mg/kg isoeugenol for 2 years. Incidences of glandular stomach ulcer in males and females and inflammation in females were low but significantly increased in 300 mg/kg mice. Nonneoplastic glandular stomach lesions observed in rats and mice exposed to methyleugenol were accompanied by glandular stomach neoplasms (NTP, 2000). Glandular stomach neoplasms induced by methyleugenol are thought to result from the cytotoxic loss of parietal cells, resulting in mucosal atrophy, decreased gastric secretion, increased intragastric pH, and increased serum gastrin. Increased gastric pH and gastrin secretion may stimulate hyperplasia of neuroendocrine cells, ultimately leading to tumor formation. In the NTP studies of methyleugenol and estragole, gastric pH and serum gastrin levels were significantly increased in F344/N rats after 30 days of exposure (NTP, 2000, 2010). Similar to methyleugenol, estragole administration resulted in significantly increased incidences of glandular stomach atrophy (NTP, 2010). In contrast, isoeugenol administration to F344/N rats for 30 days decreased intragastric pH in female rats and had no effect on serum gastrin levels. There was no indication of glandular neuroendocrine hyperplasia or neoplasia in stomachs of animals exposed to isoeugenol.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Under the conditions of these 2-year gavage studies, there was *equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity*\* of isoeugenol in male F344/N rats based on increased incidences of rarely occurring thymoma and mammary gland carcinoma. There was *no evidence of carcinogenic activity* of isoeugenol in female F344/N rats administered 75, 150, or 300 mg/kg. There was *clear evidence of carcinogenic activity* of isoeugenol in male B6C3F1 mice based on increased incidences of hepatocellular adenoma or carcinoma (combined). There was *equivocal evidence of carcinogenic activity* of iso-eugenol in female B6C3F1 mice based on increased incidences of histocytic sarcoma.

Exposure to isoeugenol resulted in nonneoplastic lesions of the nose in male and female rats; of the nose, forestomach, and glandular stomach in male and female mice; and of the kidney in female mice.

<sup>\*</sup> Explanation of Levels of Evidence of Carcinogenic Activity is on page 12. A summary of the Technical Reports Review Subcommittee comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 14.

### REFERENCES

*The Aldrich Library of* <sup>13</sup>*C and* <sup>1</sup>*H FT-NMR Spectra* (1992). (C.J. Pouchert and J. Behnke, Eds.), Vol. 2, p. 278, Spectrum C. Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc., Milwaukee, WI.

*The Aldrich Library of FT-IR Spectra* (1985). 1st ed. (C.J. Pouchert, Ed.), Spectrum 1: 1091 B. Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc., Milwaukee, WI.

American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) (2005). *Guide to Occupational Exposure Values*, p. 82. Cincinnati, OH.

Ashby, J., and Tennant, R.W. (1991). Definitive relationships among chemical structure, carcinogenicity and mutagenicity for 301 chemicals tested by the U.S. NTP. *Mutat. Res.* **257**, 229-306.

Bach, P.H., and Nguyen, T.K. (1998). Renal papillary necrosis-40 years on. *Toxicol. Pathol.* **26**, 73-91.

Badger, D.A., Smith, R.L., Bao, J., Kuester, R.K., and Sipes, I.G. (2002). Disposition and metabolism of isoeugenol in the male Fischer 344 rat. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **40**, 1757-1765.

Bailer, A.J., and Portier, C.J. (1988). Effects of treatment-induced mortality and tumor-induced mortality on tests for carcinogenicity in small samples. *Biometrics* **44**, 417-431.

Bertrand, F., Basketter, D.A., Roberts, D.W., and Lepoittevin, J.-P. (1997). Skin sensitization to eugenol and isoeugenol in mice: Possible metabolic pathways involving *ortho*-quinone and quinone methide intermediates. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **10**, 335-343.

Bieler, G.S., and Williams, R.L. (1993). Ratio estimates, the delta method, and quantal response tests for increased carcinogenicity. *Biometrics* **49**, 793-801.

Boberg, E.W., Miller, E.C., Miller, J.A., Poland, A., and Liem, A. (1983). Strong evidence from studies with brachymorphic mice and pentachlorophenol that 1'-sulf-oxysafrole is the major ultimate electrophilic and carcinogenic metabolite of 1'-hydroxysafrole in mouse liver. *Cancer Res.* **43**, 5163-5173.

Boorman, G.A., Montgomery, C.A., Jr., Eustis, S.L., Wolfe, M.J., McConnell, E.E., and Hardisty, J.F. (1985). Quality assurance in pathology for rodent carcinogenicity studies. In *Handbook of Carcinogen Testing* (H.A. Milman and E.K. Weisburger, Eds.), pp. 345-357. Noyes Publications, Park Ridge, NJ.

Borchert, P., Wislocki, P.G., Miller, J.A., and Miller, E.C. (1973). The metabolism of the naturally occurring hepatocarcinogen safrole to 1'-hydroxysafrole and the electrophilic reactivity of 1'-acetoxysafrole. *Cancer Res.* **33**, 575-589.

Boutin, J.A., Thomassin, J., Siest, G., and Cartier, A. (1985). Heterogeneity of hepatic microsomal UDP-glucuronosyltransferase activities. Conjugations of phenolic and monoterpenoid aglycones in control and induced rats and guinea pigs. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **34**, 2235-2249.

Brix, A.E. (2002). Renal papillary necrosis. *Toxicol. Pathol.* **30**, 672-674.

Buckley, D.A. (2007). Fragrance ingredient labelling in products on sale in the U.K. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **157**, 295-300.

Buckley, D.A., Wakelin, S.H., Seed, P.T., Holloway, D., Rycroft, R.J., White, I.R., and McFadden, J.P. (2000). The frequency of fragrance allergy in a patch test population over a 17-year period. *Brit. J. Dermatol.* **142**, 279-283.

Burkey, J.L., Sauer, J.M., McQueen, C.A., and Sipes, I.G. (2000). Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of methyleugenol and related congeners–A mechanism of activation for methyleugenol. *Mutat. Res.* **453**, 25-33.

Cerveny, L., Krejcikova, A., Marhoul, A., and Ruzika, V. (1987). Isomerization of eugenol to isoeugenol–Kinetic studies. *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.* **33**, 471-476.

Chandra, M., and Frith, C.H. (1992). Spontaneous neoplasms in aged control Fischer 344 rats. *Cancer Lett.* **62**, 49 56. *Chemical Economics Handbook* (1996). Chemical Accession Number: 503.5001P, Document Type: Product Review Aroma Chemicals and the Flavor and Fragrance Industry. SRI International, Menlo Park, CA.

Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 21, Part 58.

Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) **21**, § 172.515. Food Additives Permitted for Direct Addition to Food for Human Consumption [also, Federal Register (1990), pp. 49 and 52].

Cosmetics Directive 2003/15/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 February 2003, amending Council Directive 76/768/EEC on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to cosmetic products. *Official Journal of the European Union*. L 66/26-35. http://www.safecosmetics.org/document.cfm?documentID=97.

Cox, D.R. (1972). Regression models and life-tables. *J. R. Stat. Soc.* **B34**, 187-220.

Crawford, B.D. (1985). Perspectives on the somatic mutation model of carcinogenesis. In Advances in Modern Environmental Toxicology. Mechanisms and Toxicity of Chemical Carcinogens and Mutagens (M.A. Mehlman, W.G. Flamm, and R.J. Lorentzen, Eds.), pp. 13-59. Princeton Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Princeton, NJ.

Curvall, M., Enzell, C.R., and Pettersson, B. (1984). An evaluation of the utility of four in vitro short term tests for predicting the cytotoxicity of individual compounds derived from tobacco smoke. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* **1**, 173-193.

de Groot, A.C., and Frosch, P.J. (1997). Adverse reactions to fragrances. A clinical review. *Contact Dermatitis* **36**, 57-86.

Delaforge, M. (1976). *Advances in Mass Spectroscopy in Biochemistry and Medicine* (A. Frigenio, Ed.), Vol. 11, p. 65. Spectrum Publication, New York.

Delaforge, M., Janiaud, P., Levi, P., and Morizot, J.P. (1980). Biotransformation of allylbenzene analogues in vivo and in vitro through the epoxide-diol pathway. *Xenobiotica* **10**, 737-744.

Dellagreca, M., Iesce, M.R., Previtera, L., Purcaro, R., Rubino, M., and Zarrelli, A. (2008). Lignans by photooxidation of propenyl phenols. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **7**, 28-32. Demole, E., Demole, C., and Enggist, P. (1976). A chemical investigation of the volatile constituents of East Indian sandalwood oil (*Santalumalbum* L.). *Helv. Chim. Acta* **59**, 737-747.

Dexter R., Qualley, A., Kish, C.M., Ma, C.J., Koeduka, T., Nagegowda, D.A., Dudareva, N., Pichersky, E., and Clark, D. (2007). Characterization of a petunia acetyltransferase involved in the biosynthesis of the floral volatile isoeugenol. *Plant J.* **49**, 265-275.

Dixon, W.J., and Massey, F.J., Jr. (1957). *Introduction to Statistical Analysis*, 2nd ed., pp. 276-278, 412. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York.

Douglas, G.R., Nestmann, E.R., Betts, J.L., Mueller, J.C., Lee, E.G.-H., Stich, H.F., San, R.H.C., Brouzes, R.J.P., Chmelauskas, A., Paavila, H.D., and Walden, C.C. (1980). Mutagenic activity in pulp mill effluents. In *Water Chlorination: Environmental Impact & Health Effects* (R.L. Jolley, W.A. Brungs, R.B. Cumming, and V.A. Jacobs, Eds.), pp. 865-880. Ann Arbor Science Publishers, Inc., Ann Arbor, MI.

Dunn, O.J. (1964). Multiple comparisons using rank sums. *Technometrics* **6**, 241-252.

Dunnett, C.W. (1955). A multiple comparison procedure for comparing several treatments with a control. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **50**, 1096-1121.

Elwell, M.R., Dunnick, J.K., Brown, H.R., and Montgomery, C.A. (1989). Kidney and urinary bladder lesions in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice after 13 weeks of 2,2-bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol administration. *Fundam. Appl. Toxicol.* **12**, 480-490.

Florin, I., Rutberg, L., Curvall, M., and Enzell, C.R. (1980). Screening of tobacco smoke constituents for mutagenicity using the Ames' test. *Toxicology* **15**, 219-232.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [available on-line] (2006). Minor Uses Minor Species (MUMS) Drug Designations. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, MD. < http://www.fda.gov/cvm/MUMS DrugDesg.htm>.

Frith, C.H., Ward, J.M., and Chandra, M. (1993). The morphology, immunohistochemistry, and incidence of hematopoietic neoplasms in mice and rats. *Toxicol. Pathol.* **21**, 206-218.

Fujisawa, S., Atsumi, T., Kadoma, Y., and Sakagami, H. (2002). Antioxidant and prooxidant action of eugenol-related compounds and their cytotoxicity. *Toxicology* **177**, 39-54.

Galloway, S.M., Armstrong, M.J., Reuben, C., Colman, S., Brown, B., Cannon, C., Bloom, A.D., Nakamura, F., Ahmed, M., Duk, S., Rimpo, J., Margolin, B.H., Resnick, M.A., Anderson, B., and Zeiger, E. (1987). Chromosome aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in Chinese hamster ovary cells: Evaluations of 108 chemicals. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* **10** (Suppl. 10), 1-175.

Gardner, I., Wakazono, H., Bergin, P., de Waziers, I., Beaune, P., Kenna, J.G., and Caldwell, J. (1997). Cytochrome P450 mediated bioactivation of methyleugenol to 1'-hydroxymethyleugenol in Fischer 344 rat and human liver microsomes. *Carcinogenesis* **18**, 1775-1783.

George, J.D., Price, C.J., Marr, M.C., Myers, C.B., and Jahnke, G.D. (2001). Evaluation of the developmental toxicity of isoeugenol in Sprague-Dawley (CD) rats. *Toxicol. Sci.* **60**, 112-120.

Groves, J.T., and Subramanian, D.V. (1984). Hydroxylation by cytochrome P-450 and metalloporphyrin models. Evidence for allylic rearrangement. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **106**, 2177-2181.

Hagan, E.C., Jenner, P.M., Jones, W.I., Fitzhugh, O.G., Long, E.L., Brouwer, J.G., and Webb, W.K. (1965). Toxic properties of compounds related to safrole. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **7**, 18-24.

Hagan, E.C., Hansen, W.H., Fitzhugh, O.G., Jenner, P.M., Jones, W.I., Taylor, J.M., Long, E.L., Nelson, A.A., and Brouwer, J.B. (1967). Food flavourings and compounds of related structure. II. Subacute and chronic toxicity. *Food Cosmet. Toxicol.* **5**, 141-157.

Haseman, J.K., Young, E., Eustis, S.L., and Hailey, J.R. (1997). Body weight-tumor incidence correlations in long-term rodent carcinogenicity studies. *Toxicol. Pathol.* **25**, 256-263.

Hattori, R., Murake, S., and Yoshida, T. (1978). Chemical composition of the absolute from gardenia flower. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **42**, 1351-1356. Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary (2001). 14th ed. (R.J. Lewis, Sr., Ed.), p. 625. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

Heddle, J.A., Hite, M., Kirkhart, B., Mavournin, K., MacGregor, J.T., Newell, G.W., and Salamone, M.F. (1983). The induction of micronuclei as a measure of genotoxicity. A report of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Gene-Tox Program. *Mutat. Res.* **123**, 61-118.

Hua, D.L., Ma, C., Lin, S., Song, L., Deng, Z., Maomy, Z., Zhang, Z., Yu, B., and Xu, P. (2007). Biotransformation of isoeugenol to vanillin by a newly isolated *Bacillus pumilus* strain: Identification of major metabolites. *J. Biotechnol.* **130**, 463-470.

Human and Environmental Risk Assessment on Ingredients of Household Cleaning Products (HERA) (2005). Isoeugenol, 4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-1-propene-1-yl benzene, CAS 97-54-1; report available at http:// www. heraproject. com / riskassessment. cfm? SUBID=19.

Innes, J.R.M., Ulland, B.M., Valerio, M.G., Petrucelli, L., Fishbein, L., Hart, E.R., Pallotta, A.J., Bates, R.R., Falk, H.L., Gart, J.J., Klein, M., Mitchell, I., and Peters, J. (1969). Bioassay of pesticides and industrial chemicals for tumorigenicity in mice: A preliminary note. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **42**, 1101-1114.

Ioannides, C., Delaforge, M., and Parke, D.V. (1981). Safrole: Its metabolism, carcinogenicity, and interactions with cytochrome P-450. *Food Cosmet. Toxicol.* **19**, 657-666.

Itoh, M. (1982). Sensitization potency of some phenolic compounds with special emphasis on the relationship between chemical structures and allergenicity. *J. Dermatol.* **9**, 223-233.

Jansson T., Curvall, M., Hedin, A., and Enzell, C.R. (1986). In vitro studies of biological effects of cigarette smoke condensate. II. Induction of sister-chromatid exchanges in human lymphocytes by weakly acidic, semivolatile constituents. *Mutat. Res.* **169**, 129-139.

Jenner, P.M., Hagan, E.C., Taylor, J.M., Cook, E.L., and Fitzhugh, O.G. (1964). Food flavorings and compounds of related structures. I. Acute oral toxicity. *Food Cosmet. Toxicol.* **2**, 327-343.

Jeurissen, S.M.F., Bogaards, J.J.P., Awad, H.M., Boersma, M.G., Brand, W., Fiamegos, Y.C., van Beek, T.A., Alink, G.M., Sudhölter, E.J.R., Cnubben, N.H.P., and Rietjens, I.M.C.M. (2004). Human cytochrome P450 enzyme specificity for bioactivation of safrole to the proximate carcinogen 1'-hydroxysafrole. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **17**, 1245-1250.

Jeurissen, S.M.F., Bogaards, J.J.P., Boersma, M.G., ter Horst, J.P.F., Awad, H.M., Fiamegos, Y.C., van Beek, T.A., Alink, G.M., Sudhölter, E.J.R., Cnubben, N.H.P., and Rietjens, I.M.C.M. (2006). Human cytochrome P450 enzymes of importance for the bioactivation of methyleugenol to the proximate carcinogen 1'-hydroxymethyleugenol. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **19**, 111-116.

Jeurissen, S.M.F., Punt, A., Boersma, M.G., Bogaards, J.J.P., Fiamegos, Y.C., Schilter, B., van Bladeren, P.J., Cnubben, N.H.P., and Rietjens, I.M.C.M. (2007). Human cytochrome P450 enzyme specificity for the bioactivation of estragole and related alkenylbenzenes. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **20**, 798-806.

Jimbo, Y., Ishihara, M., Osamura, H., Takano, M., and Ohara, M. (1983). Influence of vehicles on penetration through human epidermis of benzyl alcohol, isoeugenol and methyl isoeugenol. *J. Dermatol.* **10**, 241-250.

Johnson, J.D., Ryan, M.J., Toft, J.D., II, Graves, S.W., Hejtmancik, M.R., Cunningham, M.L., Herbert, R., and Abdo, K.M. (2000). Two-year toxicity and carcinogenicity study of methyleugenol in F344/N rats and B6C3F(1) mice. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **48**, 3620-3632.

Jonckheere, A.R. (1954). A distribution-free *k*-sample test against ordered alternatives. *Biometrika* **41**, 133-145.

Kaplan, E.L., and Meier, P. (1958). Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **53**, 457-481.

Kim, S.G., Liem, A., Stewart, B.C., and Miller, J.A. (1999). New studies on trans-anethole oxide and transasarone oxide. *Carcinogenesis* **20**, 1303-1307.

Kishore, D., and Kannan, S. (2002). Isomerization of eugenol and safrole over MgAl hydrotalcite, a solid base catalyst. *Green Chem.* **4**, 607-610.

Klungsøyr, J., and Scheline, R.R. (1982). Metabolism of isosafrole and dihydrosafrole in the rat. *Biomed. Mass Spectrom.* **9**, 323-329.

Koeduka, T., Fridman, E., Gang, D.R., Vassão, D.G., Jackson, B.L., Kish, C.M., Orlova, I., Spassova, S.M., Lewis, N.G., Noel, J.P., Baiga, T.J., Dudareva, N., and Pichersky, E. (2006). Eugenol and isoeugenol, characteristic aromatic constituents of spices, are biosynthesized via reduction of a coniferyl alcohol ester. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **103**, 10,128-10,133.

Koeduka, T., Louie, G.V., Orlova, I., Kish, C.M., Ibdah, M., Wilkerson, C.G., Bowman, M.E., Baiga, T.J., Noel, J.P., Dudareva, N., and Pichersky, E. (2008). The multiple phenylpropene synthases in both *Clarkia breweri* and *Petunia hybrida* represent two distinct protein lineages. *Plant J.* **54**, 362-374.

Layton, K.A., Wolfe, G.W., Wang, Y., Bishop, J., and Chapin, R.E. (2001). Reproductive effects of isoeugenol in Sprague-Dawley rats when assessed by the continuous breeding protocol. *Toxicologist* **60**, 384 (Abstr.).

Li, F., and Yang, X.W. (2008). Determination of dehydrodiisoeugenol in rat tissues using HPLC method. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* **22**, 1206-1212.

Long, F.L., Nelson, A.A., Fitzhugh, O.G., and Hansen, W.H. (1963). Liver tumors produced in rats by feeding safrole. *Arch. Pathol.* **75**, 594-604.

Louie, G.V., Baiga, T.J., Bowman, M.E., Koeduka, T., Taylor, J.H., Spassova, S.M., Pichersky, E., and Noel, J.P. (2007). Structure and reaction mechanism of basil eugenol synthase. *PLoS ONE* **2**, e993.

Loveless, S.E., Ladics, G.S., Gerberick, G.F., Ryan, C.A., Basketter, D.A., Scholes, E.W., House, R.V., Hilton, J., Dearman, R.J., and Kimber, I. (1996). Further evaluation of the local lymph node assay in the final phase of an international collaborative trial. *Toxicology* **15**, 141-152.

Luo, G., and Guenthner, T.M. (1996). Covalent binding to DNA *in vitro* of 2',3'-oxides derived from allylbenzene analogs. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **24**, 1020-1027.

McConnell, E.E., Solleveld, H.A., Swenberg, J.A., and Boorman, G.A. (1986). Guidelines for combining neoplasms for evaluation of rodent carcinogenesis studies. *JNCI* **76**, 283-289. MacGregor, J.T., Wehr, C.M., Henika, P.R., and Shelby, M.D. (1990). The in vivo erythrocyte micronucleus test: Measurement at steady state increases assay efficiency and permits integration with toxicity studies. *Fundam. Appl. Toxicol.* **14**, 513-522.

Maronpot, R.R., and Boorman, G.A. (1982). Interpretation of rodent hepatocellular proliferative alterations and hepatocellular tumors in chemical safety assessment. *Toxicol. Pathol.* **10**, 71-80.

*The Merck Index* (1989). 11th ed. (S. Budavari, Ed.), p. 813. Merck and Company, Inc., Rahway, NJ.

Miller, E.C., Swanson, A.B., Philips, D.H., Fletcher, T.L., Liem, A., and Miller, J.A. (1983). Structure-activity studies of the carcinogenicities in the mouse and rat of some naturally occurring and synthetic alkylbenzene derivatives related to safrole and estragole. *Cancer Res.* **43**, 1124-1134.

Miller, J.A. (1994a). Recent studies on the metabolic activation of chemical carcinogens. *Cancer Res.* 54, 1879S-1881S.

Miller, J.A. (1994b). Sulfonation in chemical carcinogenesis-History and present status. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **92**, 329-341.

Miller, J.A., and Miller, E.C. (1977). Ultimate chemical carcinogens as reactive mutagenic electrophiles. In *Origins of Human Cancer* (H.H. Hiatt, J.D. Watson, and J.A. Winsten, Eds.), pp. 605-627. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Montgomery, C.A., and Seely, J.C. (1990). Kidney. In *Pathology of the Fischer Rat* (G.A. Boorman, S.L. Eustis, M.R. Elwell, C.A. Montgomery, Jr., and W.F. MacKenzie, Eds.), pp. 127-153. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego.

Mortelmans, K., Haworth, S., Lawlor, T., Speck, W., Tainer, B., and Zeiger, E. (1986). Salmonella mutagenicity tests: II. Results from the testing of 270 chemicals. *Environ. Mutagen.* **8** (Suppl. 7), 1-119.

Motoyoshi, K., Toyoshima, Y., Sato, M., and Yoshimura, M. (1979). Comparative studies on the irritancy of oils and synthetic perfumes to the skin of rabbit, guinea pig, rat, miniature swine and man. *Cosmet. Toilet.* **94**, 41-48. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) (1990). National Occupational Exposure Survey (1981-1983), unpublished provisional data as of July 1, 1990. NIOSH, Cincinnati, OH.

National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1983). Carcinogenesis Studies of Eugenol (CAS No. 97-53-0) in F344/N Rats and  $B6C3F_1$  Mice (Feed Studies). Technical Report Series No. 223. NIH Publication No. 84-1779. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC.

National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1988). Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of C.I. Acid Orange 3 (CAS No. 6373-74-6) in F344/N Rats and  $B6C3F_1$  Mice (Gavage Studies). Technical Report Series No. 335. NIH Publication No. 89-2591. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC.

National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1990). Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Phenylbuta-zone (CAS No. 50-33-9) in F344/N Rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> Mice (Gavage Studies). Technical Report Series No. 367. NIH Publication No. 90-2822. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC.

National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1996). Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of 2,2-Bis(bromomethyl)-1,3-propanediol (FR-1138<sup>®</sup>) (CAS No. 3296-90-0) in F344/N Rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> Mice (Feed Studies). Technical Report Series No. 452. NIH Publication No. 96-3368. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC.

National Toxicology Program (NTP) (1998). Developmental Toxicity Screening Study for Isoeugenol (CAS No. 97-54-1) Administered by Gavage to Sprague-Dawley (CD) Rats on Gestational Days 6 Through 19. National Toxicology Program Technical Report TRP-97-006, August, 1998.

National Toxicology Program (NTP) (2000). Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Methyleugenol (CAS No. 93-15-2) in F344/N Rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> Mice (Gavage Studies). Technical Report Series No. 491. NIH Publication No. 00-3950. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC. National Toxicology Program (NTP) (2002). Isoeugenol (CAS No. 97-54-1): Reproductive Assessment by Continuous Breeding when Administered to Sprague-Dawley Rats by Gavage. NTP Report No. RACB97004 [NTIS No. PB2003-10083]. National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, NC.

National Toxicology Program (NTP) (2010). NTP Technical Report on the 3-Month Toxicity Studies of Estragole (CAS No. 140-67-0) Administered by Gavage to F344/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice. Toxicity Report Series No. 82. NIH Publication No. 09-5966. National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Research Triangle Park, NC (in press).

Nestmann, E.R., and Lee, E.G. (1983). Mutagenicity of constituents of pulp and paper mill effluent in growing cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mutat. Res.* **119**, 273-280.

Newberne, P., Smith, R.L., Doull, J., Goodman, J.I., Munro, I.C., Portoghese, P.S., Wagner, B.M., Well, C.S., Woods, L.A., Adams, T.B., Lucas, C.D., and Ford, R.A. (1999). The FEMA GRAS assessment of trans-anethole used as a flavoring substance. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **37**, 789-811.

Opdyke, D.L (1975). Monographs on fragrance raw materials. *Food Cosmet. Toxicol.* **13** (Suppl.), 683-923.

Pearce, R.E., McIntyre, C.J., Madan, A., Sanzgiri, U., Draper, A.J., Bullock, P.L., Cook, D.C., Burton, L.A., Latham, J., Nevins, C., and Parkinson, A. (1996). Effects of freezing, thawing, and storing human liver microsomes on cytochrome P450 activity. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **331**, 145-169.

Peele, J.D., Jr., and Oswald, E.O. (1977). Metabolism of naturally occurring propenylbenzene derivatives. III. Allylbenzene, propenyl benzene, and related metabolic products. *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* **497**, 598-607.

Pichersky, E., Noel, J.P., and Dudareva, N. (2006). Biosynthesis of plant volatiles: Nature's diversity and ingenuity. *Science* **311**, 808-811.

Piegorsch, W.W., and Bailer, A.J. (1997). *Statistics for Environmental Biology and Toxicology*, Section 6.3.2. Chapman and Hall, London.

Portier, C.J., and Bailer, A.J. (1989). Testing for increased carcinogenicity using a survival-adjusted quantal response test. *Fundam. Appl. Toxicol.* **12**, 731-737.

Portier, C.J., Hedges, J.C., and Hoel, D.G. (1986). Agespecific models of mortality and tumor onset for historical control animals in the National Toxicology Program's carcinogenicity experiments. *Cancer Res.* **46**, 4372-4378.

PubChem [database online] (2006). Available from National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD. <a href="http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>">http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</a>.

Rajakumar, D.V., and Rao, M.N. (1993). Dehydrozingerone and isoeugenol as inhibitors of lipid peroxidation and as free radical scavengers. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **46**, 2067-2072.

Rao, G.N. (1996). New diet (NTP-2000) for rats in the National Toxicology Program toxicity and carcinogenicity studies. *Fundam. Appl. Toxicol.* **32**, 102-108.

Rao, G.N. (1997). New nonpurified diet (NTP-2000) for rodents in the National Toxicology Program's toxicology and carcinogenesis studies. *J. Nutr.* **127**, 842s-846s.

Rastogi, S.C., and Johansen, J.D. (2008). Significant exposures to isoeugenol derivatives in perfumes. *Contact Dermatitis* **58**, 278-281.

*Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences* (1980). 16th ed. (A. Osol, Ed.), p. 1001. Mack Publishing Company, Easton, PA.

Sangster, S.A., Caldwell, J., and Smith, R.L. (1984). Metabolism of anethole. I. Pathways of metabolism in the rat and mouse. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **22**, 695-706.

Sasaki, Y.F., Imanishi, H., Ohta, T., and Shirasu, Y. (1989). Modifying effects of components of plant essence on the induction of sister-chromatid exchanges in cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Mutat. Res.* **226**, 103-110.

Scheline, R.R. (1991). *CRC Handbook of Mammalian Metabolism of Plant Compounds*, pp. 61-84. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

Schmid, W. (1975). The micronucleus test. *Mutat. Res.* **31**, 9-15.

Schnick, R.A. (1999). Use of chemicals in fish management and fish culture. In *Xenobiotics in Fish* (D.J. Smith, W.H. Gingerich, and M.G. Beconi-Barker, Eds.), pp. 1-14. Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York.

Schnick, R.A. (2006). Zero withdrawal anesthetic for all finfish and shellfish: Needs and candidates. *Fisheries* **31**, 122-126.

Seely, J.C. (1999). Kidney. In *Pathology of the Mouse* (R.R. Maronpot, G.A. Boorman, and B.W. Gaul, Eds.), pp. 207-234. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego.

Sekizawa, J., and Shibamoto, T. (1982). Genotoxicity of safrole-related chemicals in microbial test systems. *Mutat. Res.* **101**, 127-140.

Shelby, M.D. (1988). The genetic toxicity of human carcinogens and its implications. *Mutat. Res.* **204**, 3-15.

Shelby, M.D., and Witt, K.L. (1995). Comparison of results from mouse bone marrow chromosome aberration and micronucleus tests. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* **25**, 302-313.

Shelby, M.D., and Zeiger, E. (1990). Activity of human carcinogens in the Salmonella and rodent bone-marrow cytogenetics tests. *Mutat. Res.* **234**, 257-261.

Shelby, M.D., Erexson, G.L., Hook, G.J., and Tice, R.R. (1993). Evaluation of a three-exposure mouse bone marrow micronucleus protocol: Results with 49 chemicals. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* **21**, 160-179.

Shirley, E. (1977). A non-parametric equivalent of Williams' test for contrasting increasing dose levels of a treatment. *Biometrics* **33**, 386-389.

Smith, B.A., Springfield, J.R., and Gutmann, H.R. (1986). Interaction of the synthetic ultimate carcinogens, N-sulfonoxy- and N-acetoxy-2-acetylaminofluorene, and of enzymatically activated N-hydroxy-2-acetylaminofluorene with nucleophiles. *Carcinogenesis* **7**, 405-411. Solheim, E., and Scheline, R.R. (1976). Metabolism of alkenebenzene derivatives in the rat. II. Eugenol and isoeugenol methyl ethers. *Xenobiotica* **6**, 137-150.

Stillwell, W.G., Carman, M.J., Bell, L., and Horning, M.G. (1974). The metabolism of safrole and 2',3'-epoxysafrole in the rat and guinea pig. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2**, 489-498.

Straus, D.S. (1981). Somatic mutation, cellular differentiation, and cancer causation. *JNCI* **67**, 233-241.

Takeyoshi, M., Iida, K., Suzuki, K., and Yamazaki, S. (2008). Skin sensitization potency of isoeugenol and its dimers evaluated by a non-radioisotopic modification of the local lymph node assay and guinea pig maximization test. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* **28**, 530-534.

Tarone, R.E. (1975). Tests for trend in life table analysis. *Biometrika* **62**, 679-682.

Tennant, R.W., Margolin, B.H., Shelby, M.D., Zeiger, E., Haseman, J.K., Spalding, J., Caspary, W., Resnick, M., Stasiewicz, S., Anderson, B., and Minor, R. (1987). Prediction of chemical carcinogenicity in rodents from in vitro genetic toxicity assays. *Science* **236**, 933-941.

Thake, D.C., Iatropoulos, M.J., Hard, G.C., Hotz, K.J., Wang, C.X., Williams, G.M., and Wilson, A.G. (1995). A study of the mechanism of butachlor-associated gastric neoplasms in Sprague-Dawley rats. *Exp. Toxicol. Pathol.* **47**, 107-116.

Thompson, D.C., Thompson, J.A., Sugumaran, M., and Moldéus, P. (1993). Biological and toxicological consequences of quinone methide formation. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **86**, 129-162.

Thompson, D.C., Barhoumi, R., and Burghardt, R.C. (1998). Comparative toxicity of eugenol and its quinone methide metabolite in cultured liver cells using kinetic fluorescence bioassays. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **149**, 55-63.

Thompson, G.R., Booman, K.A., Dorsky, J., Kohrman, K.A., Rothenstein, A.S., Schwoeppe, E.A., Sedlak, R.I., and Steltenkamp, R.J. (1983). Isoeugenol: A survey of consumer patch-test sensitization. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **21**, 735-740.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (1990). Computer Printout (TSCAPP): 1983 Production Statistics for Chemicals in the Nonconfidential File of the Chemical Substances Inventory. Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.

Vesselinovitch, S.D., Rao, K.V.N., and Mihailovich, N. (1979). Transplacental and lactational carcinogenesis by safrole. *Cancer Res.* **39**, 4378-4380.

White, I.R., Johansen, J.D., Arnau, E.G., Lepoittevin, J.P., Rastogi, S., Bruze, M., Andersen, K.E., Frosch, P.J., Goossens, A., and Menné, T. (1999). Isoeugenol is an important contact allergen: Can it be safely replaced with isoeugenol acetate? *Contact Dermatitis* **41**, 272-275.

White, J.M., White, I.R., Glendinning, A., Fleming, J., Jefferies, D., Basketter, D.A., McFadden, J.P., and Buckley, D.A. (2007). Frequency of allergic contact dermatitis to isoeugenol is increasing: A review of 3636 patients tested from 2001 to 2005. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **157**, 580-582.

Wierda, R.L, Fletcher, G, Xu, L., and Dufour, J.P. (2006). Analysis of volatile compounds as spoilage indicators in fresh king salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) during storage using SPME-GC-MS. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **54**, 8480-8490.

Williams, D.A. (1971). A test for differences between treatment means when several dose levels are compared with a zero dose control. *Biometrics* **27**, 103-117.

Williams, D.A. (1972). The comparison of several dose levels with a zero dose control. *Biometrics* **28**, 519-531.

Williams, D.A. (1986). A note on Shirley's nonparametric test for comparing several dose levels with a zerodose control. *Biometrics* **42**, 183-186.

Wiseman, R.W., Miller, E.C., Miller, J.A., and Liem, A. (1987). Structure-activity studies of the hepatocarcinogenicities of alkenylbenzene derivatives related to estragole and safrole on administration to preweanling male C57BL/6J  $\times$  C3H/HeJ F<sub>1</sub> mice. *Cancer Res.* 47, 2275-2283. Witt, K.L., Knapton, A., Wehr, C.M., Hook, G.J., Mirsalis, J., Shelby, M.D., and MacGregor, J.T. (2000). Micronucleated erythrocyte frequency in peripheral blood of  $B6C3F_1$  mice from short-term, prechronic, and chronic studies of the NTP Carcinogenesis Bioassay Program. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* **36**, 163-194.

Wolf, D.C., Turek, J.J., and Carlton, W.W. (1992). Early sequential ultrastructural renal alterations induced by 2-bromoethylamine hydrobromide in the Swiss ICR mouse. *Vet. Pathol.* **29**, 528-535.

World Health Organization (WHO) (2004). WHO Food Additives Series: 52. Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants, hydroxypropenylbenzenes, pp. 389-418. Prepared by the sixty-first meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA). International Programme on Chemical Safety, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.

Wynder, E.L., and Hoffmann, D. (1967). *Tobacco and Tobacco Smoke: Studies in Experimental Carcinogenesis*, p. 389. Academic Press, New York.

Xu, P., Hua, D., and Ma, C. (2007) Microbial transformation of propenylbenzenes for natural flavour production. *Trends Biotechnol.* **25**, 571-576.

Yamada, M., Okada, Y., Yoshida, T., and Nagasawa, T. (2008). Vanillin production using *Escherichia coli* cells over-expressing isoeugenol monooxygenase of *Pseudomonas putida*. *Biotechnol. Lett.* **30**, 665-670.

Zeiger, E., Haseman, J.K., Shelby, M.D., Margolin, B.H., and Tennant, R.W. (1990). Evaluation of four in vitro genetic toxicity tests for predicting rodent carcinogenicity: Confirmation of earlier results with 41 additional chemicals. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* **16** (Suppl. 18), 1-14.

Zhang, Y., Xu, P., Han, S., Yan, H., and Ma, C. (2006). Metabolism of isoeugenol via isoeugenol-diol by a newly isolated strain of *Bacillus subtilis* HS8. *Appl. Micro. Biotech.* **73**, 771-779.

Zhao, Z.S., and O'Brien, P.J. (1996). The prevention of  $CCl_4$ -induced liver necrosis in mice by naturally occurring methylenedioxybenzenes. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharm.* **140**, 411-421.

## APPENDIX A SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE 2-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOEUGENOL

TABLE A1	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	72
TABLE A2	Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	76
TABLE A3a	Historical Incidence of Thymoma in Control Male F344/N Rats	81
TABLE A3b	Historical Incidence of Mammary Gland Carcinoma in Control Male F344/N Rats	81
TABLE A3c	Historical Incidence of Keratoacanthoma of the Skin in Control Male F344/N Rats	82
TABLE A4	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	83

TABLE A1	
----------	--

## Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	g/kg	150 m	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Disposition Summary								
Animals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
Early deaths								
Accidental deaths			1				2	
Moribund	14		9		14		11	
Natural deaths	1		6		3		7	
Survivors								
Terminal sacrifice	35		34		33		30	
Animals examined microscopically	50		50		50		50	
Alimentary System								
Esophagus	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
ntestine large, cecum	(49)		(46)		(50)		(45)	
ntestine large, colon	(50)		(46)		(50)		(47)	
Adenoma								(2%)
Carcinoma					1	(2%)		
ntestine large, rectum	(50)		(46)		(50)		(48)	
ntestine small, duodenum	(50)		(46)		(50)		(45)	
ntestine small, ileum	(50)		(46)		(50)		(45)	
ntestine small, jejunum	(50)		(46)		(50)		(45)	
Leiomyoma	1	(2%)						
Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)		(48)	
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)						
Hepatocellular adenoma	1	(2%)						(4%)
Aesentery	(12)		(18)		(7)		(11)	
Rhabdomyosarcoma, metastatic, skeletal muscle				(6%)				
Dral mucosa	(1)	(1000/)	(0)		(1)	(1000/)	(3)	(220/)
Gingival, squamous cell carcinoma Pharyngeal, squamous cell carcinoma	1	(100%)			1	(100%)	1	(33%) (33%)
ancreas	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	(5570)
Rhabdomyosarcoma, metastatic, skeletal muscle	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Acinus, adenoma	7	(14%)	1		1	(2%)	4	(8%)
Acinus, adenoma, multiple								(2%)
Acinus, carcinoma	1	(2%)						
alivary glands	(50)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Schwannoma malignant							1	(2%)
tomach, forestomach	(50)		(49)		(50)		(49)	
Squamous cell papilloma								(2%)
tomach, glandular	(50)		(46)		(50)		(45)	
Cardiovascular System								
Ieart	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung							1	(2%)

#### TABLE A1

## Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 mg	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Endocrine System								
Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Adenoma							1	(2%)
drenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Pheochromocytoma benign	4	(8%)		(18%)	9	(18%)	7	(14%)
Pheochromocytoma complex		( ( ) )	1	(2%)		(		
Pheochromocytoma malignant Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign	3	· · · ·	1	(20/)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
	1	(2%)		(2%)	(50)		(10)	
slets, pancreatic Adenoma	(50)	(90/)	(49)	(20/)	(50)	(20/)	(49) 3	(60/)
		(8%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(6%)
ituitary gland	(50)	(200/)	(48)	(270/)	(47)	(400/)	(47)	(220/
Pars distalis, adenoma Pars intermedia, adenoma	19	(38%) (2%)	15	(27%)	19	(40%)	15	(32%)
Thyroid gland	(50)	(270)	(49)		(50)		(47)	
Bilateral, C-cell, adenoma	(30)		(49)		(50)		(47)	(2%)
C-cell, adenoma	9	(18%)	12	(24%)	8	(16%)		(270) (11%)
C-cell, adenoma, multiple	,	(10/0)	12	(=)	1	(10%)	5	(11/0
C-cell, carcinoma	6	(12%)	3	(6%)		(6%)	2	(4%)
Follicular cell, adenoma	1	(2%)						
Follicular cell, carcinoma	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
General Body System								
None								
Genital System								
Epididymis	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
reputial gland	(50)		(49)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	4	(8%)	5	(10%)
Carcinoma	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)
Prostate gland	(50)		(49)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma			2	(4%)		(4%)	1	(2%)
Adenoma, multiple				(20)	1	(2%)		
Chemodectoma benign				(2%)				
Seminal vesicle	(50)		(49)		(50)	(20)()	(49)	
Adenoma			(=0)		1	(2%)	(= 0)	
Pestes	(50)	(000/)	(50)	(000/)	(50)	(740/)	(50)	(0.20/
Bilateral, interstitial cell, adenoma Interstitial cell, adenoma	40	(80%) (12%)		(80%) (6%)		(74%) (14%)	41	(82%) (6%)
Hematopoietic System	0	(1270)	2	(070)	7	(1470)	5	(070)
Bone marrow	(50)		(40)		(50)		(50)	
	(50)		(49)		(50)		(50)	
ymph node Deep cervical, carcinoma, metastatic, thyroid gland	(3)	(67%)	(4)		(4)		(4)	
Mediastinal, schwannoma malignant, metastatic, saliva		(0770)					1	(25%)
ymph node, mesenteric	(50)		(48)		(50)		(47)	(_0,0
pleen	(50)		(46)		(50)		(47)	
Hemangiosarcoma		(2%)	(40)		(50)		(47)	
Leiomyoma	1	(270)					1	(2%)
hymus	(47)		(43)		(49)		(48)	()
Thymoma benign	(17)		(15)				(40)	(2%)
							1	(2%)

TABLE A	1
---------	---

## Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 m	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Integumentary System								
Mammary gland	(50)		(49)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma	()		(-)		()			(4%)
Fibroadenoma	4	(8%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)		(2%)
Skin	(50)	· /	(50)	· · ·	(50)		(50)	
Basal cell adenoma	(00)		(00)		(00)			(2%)
Basal cell carcinoma	1	(2%)			2	(4%)		(=,0)
Keratoacanthoma		(14%)	5	(10%)		(2%)	1	(2%)
Squamous cell papilloma		(		(20,0)		(_,,)		(2%)
Sebaceous gland, adenoma	1	(2%)					-	(=, •)
Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma		(10%)	1	(2%)	6	(12%)	2	(4%)
Subcutaneous tissue, fibrosarcoma		(2%)		(2%)	-	(-=, •)	_	()
Subcutaneous tissue, hemangiosarcoma	-	(270)		(270)	2	(4%)		
Subcutaneous tissue, lipoma	2	(4%)			2	(		
Subcutaneous tissue, sarcoma		(2%)	1	(2%)				
	1	(270)	1	(270)				
Musculoskeletal System Bone	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
	(30)		(30)			(49/)	(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma Osteoma			1	(20/)	2	(4%)		
Osteosarcoma	2	(40/)		(2%)				
Vertebra, chordoma	2	(4%)	1	(2%)			1	(20/)
	(0)				(0)			(2%)
Skeletal muscle	(0)		(2)		(0)		(1)	
Rhabdomyosarcoma				(50%)				
Sarcoma			1	(50%)				
Nervous System								
Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Glioma malignant			1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Oligodendroglioma malignant	1	(2%)						
Peripheral nerve	(0)		(1)		(1)		(0)	
Schwannoma malignant	(-)				1	(100%)		
Spinal cord	(0)		(1)		(1)	()	(0)	
	(0)		(1)		(1)		(0)	
Respiratory System								
Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	3		3	(6%)	2	(4%)		(4%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	1	(2%)		(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Carcinoma, metastatic, preputial gland			1	(2%)				
Carcinoma, metastatic, thyroid gland		(4%)						
Carcinoma, metastatic, Zymbal's gland		(2%)						
Hemangiosarcoma		(2%)			1	(2%)		
Osteosarcoma, metastatic, bone		(4%)						
Pheochromocytoma malignant, metastatic, adrenal medulla					1	(2%)		
Sarcoma, metastatic, skin			1	(2%)				
Schwannoma malignant, metastatic, salivary glands							1	(2%)
Nose	(50)		(48)		(49)		(49)	
Pleura	(0)		(0)		(0)		(2)	
Trachea	(50)		(49)		(50)		(48)	

#### TABLE A1

## Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 mg	g/kg	300 mg	g/kg
Special Senses System								
<sup>b</sup> ye	(50)		(46)		(50)		(45)	
Iarderian gland	(50)		(47)		(50)		(45)	
ymbal's gland	(2)		(1)		(0)		(0)	
Carcinoma	2	(100%)	1	(100%)				
Urinary System								
lidney	(50)		(48)		(50)		(49)	
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)						
Transitional epithelium, papilloma			1	(2%)				
rinary bladder	(50)		(48)		(50)		(47)	
Transitional epithelium, papilloma							1	(2%)
Systemic Lesions								
Iultiple organs <sup>b</sup>	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Histiocytic sarcoma			1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Leukemia mononuclear	10	(20%)	11	(22%)	14	· · · ·	7	(14%)
Mesothelioma malignant	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	4	(8%)
Neoplasm Summary								
otal animals with primary neoplasms <sup>c</sup>	50		47		46		50	
Total primary neoplasms	158		131		136		131	
otal animals with benign neoplasms	49		46		46		49	
Total benign neoplasms	118		100		101		102	
otal animals with malignant neoplasms	29		25		27		25	
Total malignant neoplasms	40		31		35		29	
otal animals with metastatic neoplasms	5		3		1		2	
Total metastatic neoplasms	7		4		1		3	

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with neoplasm
 <sup>b</sup> Number of animals with any tissue examined microscopically
 <sup>c</sup> Primary neoplasms: all neoplasms except metastatic neoplasms

TABLE	A2
-------	----

## Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Adrenal Medulla: Benign Pheochromocytoma				
	5/50 (100/)	10/50 (200/)	0/50 (100/)	7/40 (140/)
Overall rate <sup>a</sup> Adjusted rate <sup>b</sup>	5/50 (10%)	10/50 (20%)	9/50 (18%)	7/49 (14%)
	10.9%	22.6%	21.4%	17.2%
Cerminal rate <sup>c</sup>	4/35 (11%)	9/34 (27%)	6/33 (18%)	6/30 (20%)
First incidence (days)	674	726	607	719
poly-3 test <sup>d</sup>	P = 0.342	P = 0.113	P = 0.148	P = 0.301
Adrenal Medulla: Malignant Pheochromocytoma				
Overall rate	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted rate	6.6%	0.0%	2.4%	2.5%
erminal rate	3/35 (9%)	0/34 (0%)	1/33 (3%)	1/30 (3%)
irst incidence (days)	729 (T)	e	729 (T)	729 (T)
oly-3 test	P = 0.313N	P = 0.124N	P = 0.341N	P = 0.346N
Adrenal Medulla: Benign, Complex, or Malignant	-			
Overall rate	7/50 (14%)	10/50 (20%)	9/50 (18%)	8/49 (16%)
Adjusted rate	15.3%	22.6%	21.4%	19.6%
erminal rate	6/35 (17%)	9/34 (27%)	6/33 (18%)	7/30 (23%)
irst incidence (days)	674	726	607	719
oly-3 test	P = 0.406	P = 0.270	P = 0.324	P = 0.405
Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma				
Overall rate	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted rate	6.6%	6.7%	4.8%	4.8%
erminal rate	2/35 (6%)	2/34 (6%)	1/33 (3%)	2/30 (7%)
irst incidence (days)	715	533	624	729 (T)
oly-3 test	P = 0.412N	P = 0.655	P = 0.541N	P = 0.540N
Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinor	na			
verall rate	4/50 (8%)	5/50 (10%)	3/50 (6%)	4/50 (8%)
djusted rate	8.8%	11.1%	7.2%	9.4%
erminal rate	3/35 (9%)	3/34 (9%)	2/33 (6%)	2/30 (7%)
irst incidence (days)	715	533	624 D 0 5 4001	443
bly-3 test	P = 0.545N	P = 0.491	P = 0.549N	P = 0.605
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma				
verall rate	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
djusted rate	8.8%	6.8%	2.4%	2.4%
erminal rate	2/35 (6%)	3/34 (9%)	1/33 (3%)	0/30 (0%)
irst incidence (days)	688	729 (T)	729 (T)	692
oly-3 test	P = 0.107N	P = 0.518N	P = 0.211N	P = 0.207N
Mammary Gland: Carcinoma				
overall rate	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)
djusted rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
erminal rate	0/35	0/34	0/33	2/30 (7%)
irst incidence (days)				729 (T)
		f		P = 0.218

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg			
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma or Carcinoma							
Overall rate	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)			
Adjusted rate	8.8%	6.8%	2.4%	7.2%			
erminal rate	2/35 (6%)	3/34 (9%)	1/33 (3%)	2/30 (7%)			
irst incidence (days)	688	729 (T)	729 (T)	692			
oly-3 test	P = 0.431N	P = 0.518N	P = 0.211N	P = 0.548N			
Pancreas: Adenoma							
verall rate	7/50 (14%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	5/49 (10%)			
djusted rate	15.4%	2.3%	2.4%	12.1%			
erminal rate	7/35 (20%)	1/34 (3%)	1/33 (3%)	5/30 (17%)			
irst incidence (days)	729 (T)	729 (T)	729 (T)	729 (T)			
oly-3 test	P = 0.497N	P = 0.033N	P = 0.041N	P = 0.450N			
Pancreas: Adenoma or Carcinoma							
verall rate	8/50 (16%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	5/49 (10%)			
djusted rate	17.6%	2.3%	2.4%	12.1%			
erminal rate	8/35 (23%)	1/34 (3%)	1/33 (3%)	5/30 (17%)			
irst incidence (days)	729 (T)	729 (T)	729 (T)	729 (T)			
oly-3 test	P = 0.370N	P = 0.017N	P = 0.023N	P = 0.342N			
Pancreatic Islets: Adenoma							
verall rate	4/50 (8%)	1/49 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	3/49 (6%)			
djusted rate	8.8%	2.3%	2.4%	7.3%			
erminal rate	4/35 (11%)	1/34 (3%)	1/33 (3%)	3/30 (10%)			
irst incidence (days)	729 (T)	729 (T)	729 (T)	729 (T)			
ply-3 test	P = 0.550N	P = 0.194N	P = 0.210N	P = 0.554N			
Pituitary Gland (Pars Distalis): Adenoma							
Overall rate	19/50 (38%)	13/48 (27%)	19/47 (40%)	15/47 (32%)			
djusted rate	40.7%	29.2%	48.2%	36.7%			
erminal rate	13/35 (37%)	7/34 (21%)	15/30 (50%)	12/30 (40%)			
irst incidence (days)	577	477	549	630			
oly-3 test	P = 0.513	P = 0.175N	P = 0.313	P = 0.437N			
Preputial Gland: Adenoma							
verall rate	2/50 (4%)	3/49 (6%)	4/50 (8%)	5/50 (10%)			
djusted rate	4.4%	6.9%	9.6%	12.0%			
erminal rate	2/35 (6%)	3/33 (9%)	3/33 (9%)	5/30 (17%)			
irst incidence (days)	729 (T)	729 (T)	624	729 (T)			
bly-3 test	P = 0.123	P = 0.477	P = 0.297	P = 0.182			
Preputial Gland: Carcinoma							
verall rate	2/50 (4%)	3/49 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)			
djusted rate	4.4%	6.9%	2.4%	9.3%			
erminal rate	1/35 (3%)	2/33 (6%)	0/33 (0%)	1/30 (3%)			
irst incidence (days)	577	568	605	485			

# TABLE A2 Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

TABLE	A2
-------	----

## Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Preputial Gland: Adenoma or Carcinom	18			
verall rate	4/50 (8%)	5/49 (10%)	5/50 (10%)	9/50 (18%)
djusted rate	8.7%	11.4%	11.9%	20.9%
erminal rate	3/35 (9%)	4/33 (12%)	3/33 (9%)	6/30 (20%)
irst incidence (days)	5753 (9%) 577	4/33 (12%) 568	605	485
bly-3 test	P = 0.056	P = 0.468	P = 0.444	P = 0.090
	1 - 0.050	1 - 0.408	1 = 0.444	1 - 0.090
Prostate Gland: Adenoma				1/50/00/0
verall rate	0/50 (0%)	2/49 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
djusted rate	0.0%	4.6%	7.3%	2.4%
rminal rate	0/35 (0%)	1/34 (3%)	3/33 (9%)	1/30 (3%)
rst incidence (days)		710	729 (T)	729 (T)
ly-3 test	P = 0.394	P = 0.227	P = 0.102	P = 0.483
Skin: Keratoacanthoma				
verall rate	7/50 (14%)	5/50 (10%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
ljusted rate	15.3%	11.3%	2.4%	2.4%
erminal rate	5/35 (14%)	4/34 (12%)	1/33 (3%)	1/30 (3%)
rst incidence (days)	681	701	729 (T)	729 (T)
ly-3 test	P = 0.012N	P = 0.402N	P = 0.042N	P = 0.041N
Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Kera	toacanthoma			
verall rate	7/50 (14%)	5/50 (10%)	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)
djusted rate	15.3%	11.3%	2.4%	4.8%
rminal rate	5/35 (14%)	4/34 (12%)	1/33 (3%)	2/30 (7%)
rst incidence (days)	681	701	729 (T)	729 (T)
ly-3 test	P = 0.038N	P = 0.402N	P = 0.042N	P = 0.102N
Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma, Kerato	acanthoma, Basal Cell Adenoma, o	r Basal Cell Carcii	ioma	
verall rate	8/50 (16%)	5/50 (10%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
ljusted rate	17.3%	11.3%	7.3%	7.2%
rminal rate	5/35 (14%)	4/34 (12%)	3/33 (9%)	3/30 (10%)
rst incidence (days)	617	701	729 (T)	729 (T)
ly-3 test	P = 0.084N	P = 0.302N	P = 0.137N	P = 0.133N
Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma, Kerato				
verall rate	9/50 (18%)	5/50 (10%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
djusted rate	19.5%	11.3%	7.3%	7.2%
rminal rate	6/35 (17%)	4/34 (12%)	3/33 (9%)	3/30 (10%)
rst incidence (days)	617	701	729 (T)	729 (T)
ly-3 test	P = 0.051N	P = 0.215N	P = 0.088N	P = 0.084N
Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibroma				
verall rate	5/50 (10%)	1/50 (2%)	6/50 (12%)	2/50 (4%)
ljusted rate	10.9%	2.3%	14.2%	4.8%
rminal rate	4/35 (11%)	1/34 (3%)	2/33 (6%)	1/30 (3%)
		729 (T)	624	719
rst incidence (days)	635	129(1)	024	/1/

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Skin (Subcutaneous Tissue): Fibroma, Fil	brosarcoma, or Sarcoma			
Overall rate	7/50 (14%)	3/50 (6%)	6/50 (12%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted rate	15.1%	6.7%	14.2%	4.8%
Terminal rate	5/35 (14%)	1/34 (3%)	2/33 (6%)	1/30 (3%)
First incidence (days)	607	485	624	719
Poly-3 test	P = 0.136N	P = 0.169N	P = 0.572N	P = 0.105N
Testes: Adenoma				
Overall rate	46/50 (92%)	43/50 (86%)	44/50 (88%)	44/50 (88%)
Adjusted rate	95.4%	92.0%	96.2%	90.1%
erminal rate	35/35 (100%)	33/34 (97%)	33/33 (100%)	27/30 (90%)
irst incidence (days)	556	533	411	415
oly-3 test	P = 0.222N	P = 0.388N	P = 0.644	P = 0.257N
Thyroid Gland (C-Cell): Adenoma				
Overall rate	9/50 (18%)	12/49 (24%)	9/50 (18%)	6/47 (13%)
djusted rate	19.7%	27.5%	21.6%	14.6%
erminal rate	7/35 (20%)	9/34 (27%)	7/33 (21%)	2/30 (7%)
irst incidence (days)	674	648	624	628
oly-3 test	P = 0.228N	P = 0.267	P = 0.517	P = 0.367N
Thyroid Gland (C-Cell): Carcinoma				
Overall rate	6/50 (12%)	3/49 (6%)	3/50 (6%)	2/47 (4%)
djusted rate	13.1%	6.9%	7.2%	5.0%
erminal rate	5/35 (14%)	3/34 (9%)	2/33 (6%)	2/30 (7%)
irst incidence (days)	688	729 (T)	603	729 (T)
oly-3 test	P = 0.142N	P = 0.269N	P = 0.288N	P = 0.178N
Thyroid Gland (C-Cell): Adenoma or Can	rcinoma			
Overall rate	15/50 (30%)	15/49 (31%)	11/50 (22%)	8/47 (17%)
djusted rate	32.7%	34.3%	26.1%	19.5%
erminal rate	12/35 (34%)	12/34 (35%)	8/33 (24%)	4/30 (13%)
irst incidence (days)	674	648	603	628
oly-3 test	P = 0.068N	P = 0.522	P = 0.330N	P = 0.123N
All Organs: Hemangiosarcoma				
Overall rate	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	0/50 (0%)
djusted rate	2.2%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%
erminal rate	0/35 (0%)	0/34 (0%)	3/33 (9%)	0/30 (0%)
irst incidence (days)	607	_	485	
ply-3 test	P = 0.574N	P = 0.508N	P = 0.152	P = 0.519N
All Organs: Mononuclear Leukemia				
overall rate	10/50 (20%)	11/50 (22%)	14/50 (28%)	7/50 (14%)
djusted rate	21.5%	24.5%	32.7%	16.6%
erminal rate	5/35 (14%)	7/34 (21%)	8/33 (24%)	4/30 (13%)
irst incidence (days)	624	648	549	676
oly-3 test	P = 0.363N	P = 0.461	P = 0.169	P = 0.378N

# TABLE A2 Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

TABLE	A2
-------	----

#### Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
All Organs: Malignant Mesothelioma				
Overall rate	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted rate	6.5%	2.3%	4.9%	9.5%
Ferminal rate	2/35 (6%)	1/34 (3%)	2/33 (6%)	3/30 (10%)
First incidence (days)	556	729 (T)	729 (T)	674
Poly-3 test	P = 0.261	P = 0.320N	P = 0.550N	P = 0.449
All Organs: Benign Neoplasms				
Overall rate	49/50 (98%)	46/50 (92%)	46/50 (92%)	49/50 (98%)
Adjusted rate	99.7%	95.7%	99.5%	99.5%
erminal rate	35/35 (100%)	33/34 (97%)	33/33 (100%)	30/30 (100%)
First incidence (days)	556	477	411	415
Poly-3 test	P = 0.447	P = 0.234N	P = 1.000N	P = 1.000N
All Organs: Malignant Neoplasms				
Overall rate	29/50 (58%)	25/50 (50%)	27/50 (54%)	25/50 (50%)
Adjusted rate	58.3%	53.4%	59.2%	54.7%
Terminal rate	16/35 (46%)	16/34 (47%)	16/33 (49%)	13/30 (43%)
First incidence (days)	401	485	411	415
oly-3 test	P = 0.456N	P = 0.389N	P = 0.549	P = 0.441N
All Organs: Benign or Malignant Neoplasms				
Overall rate	50/50 (100%)	47/50 (94%)	46/50 (92%)	50/50 (100%)
Adjusted rate	100.0%	96.3%	99.5%	100.0%
Ferminal rate	35/35 (100%)	33/34 (97%)	33/33 (100%)	30/30 (100%)
irst incidence (days)	401	477	411	415
Poly-3 test	P = 0.446	P = 0.259N	P = 1.000N	

(T) Terminal sacrifice

<sup>a</sup> Number of neoplasm-bearing animals/number of animals examined. Denominator is number of animals examined microscopically for adrenal gland, lung, pancreas, pancreatic islets, pituitary gland, preputial gland, prostate gland, testes, and thyroid gland; for other tissues, denominator is number of animals necropsied.

<sup>b</sup> Poly-3 estimated neoplasm incidence after adjustment for intercurrent mortality

<sup>c</sup> Observed incidence at terminal kill

<sup>d</sup> Beneath the vehicle control incidence is the P value associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence is the P value corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the vehicle controls and that dosed group. The Poly-3 test accounts for the differential mortality in animals that do not reach terminal sacrifice. A negative trend or a lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by N.

<sup>e</sup> Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group

<sup>f</sup> Value of statistic cannot be computed.

#### TABLE A3a Historical Incidence of Thymoma in Control Male F344/N Rats<sup>a</sup>

Study Incidence in Controls						
	Benign	Malignant	Benign or Malignant			
Historical Incidence: Corn Oil Gavage St	tudies					
Beta-myrcene	0/47	0/47	0/47			
Isoeugenol	0/47	0/47	0/47			
Overall Historical Incidence: Corn Oil G	avage Studies					
Total (%)	0/94	0/94	0/94			
Overall Historical Incidence: All Routes						
Total (%)	2/1,146 (0.2%)	1/1,146 (0.1%)	3/1,146 (0.3%)			
Mean $\pm$ standard deviation	$0.2\% \pm 0.6\%$	$0.1\% \pm 0.4\%$	$0.3\% \pm 0.7\%$			
Range	0%-2%	0%-2%	0%-2%			

<sup>a</sup> Data as of October 4, 2007

## TABLE A3b Historical Incidence of Mammary Gland Carcinoma in Control Male F344/N Rats<sup>a</sup>

Study	Incidence in Controls
Historical Incidence: Corn Oil Gavage Studies	
Beta-myrcene	0/50
Isoeugenol	0/50
Overall Historical Incidence: Corn Oil Gavage Studies	
Total (%)	0/100
Overall Historical Incidence: All Routes	
Total (%)	8/1,199 (0.7%)
Mean $\pm$ standard deviation	$0.7\% \pm 1.3\%$
Range	0%-4%

<sup>a</sup> Data as of October 4, 2007

TABLE A3c

#### Historical Incidence of Keratoacanthoma of the Skin in Control Male F344/N Rats<sup>a</sup>

Study	Incidence in Controls	
Historical Incidence: Corn Oil Gavage Studies		
Beta-myrcene	2/50	
Isoeugenol	7/50	
Overall Historical Incidence: Corn Oil Gavage Studies		
Total (%)	9/100 (9.0%)	
Mean $\pm$ standard deviation	$9.0\% \pm 7.1\%$	
Range	4%-14%	
Overall Historical Incidence: All Routes		
Total (%)	71/1,199 (5.9%)	
Mean $\pm$ standard deviation	5.9% ± 5.2%	
Range	0%-20%	

<sup>a</sup> Data as of October 4, 2007

TABLE A4	
----------	--

Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 mg	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Disposition Summary								
Animals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
Early deaths								
Accidental deaths			1				2	
Moribund	14		9		14		11	
Natural deaths	1		6		3		7	
Survivors								
Terminal sacrifice	35		34		33		30	
Animals examined microscopically	50		50		50		50	
Alimentary System								
Esophagus Perforation	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50) 2	(4%)
Intestine large, cecum	(49)		(46)		(50)		(45)	
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)				
Intestine large, colon	(50)		(46)		(50)		(47)	
Parasite metazoan	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(4%)		
Intestine large, rectum	(50)		(46)		(50)		(48)	
Parasite metazoan	4	(8%)	1	(2%)				
ntestine small, duodenum Inflammation, acute	(50)		(46)		(50)		(45) 1	(2%)
ntestine small, ileum	(50)		(46)		(50)		(45)	
Intestine small, jejunum	(50)		(46)		(50)		(45)	
Inflammation, chronic	(00)		(10)		1	(2%)	()	
Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)		(48)	
Angiectasis	(50)	(2%)	(50)		(50)			(4%)
Basophilic focus		(86%)	34	(68%)	26	(52%)		(38%
Clear cell focus	27	(54%)	20	(40%)	19	(38%)	14	(29%)
Degeneration, cystic	4	(8%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	4	(8%)
Eosinophilic focus	8	(16%)	2	· · ·				(4%)
Fatty change	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	_	(4.0.0.()		(2%)				
Hepatodiaphragmatic nodule	5	(10%)	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	3	· · ·
Infarct Malformation			1	(20/)			1	(2%)
Mairormation Mixed cell focus	6	(12%)	4	(2%) (8%)	6	(12%)	r	(4%)
Necrosis		(1270) (2%)	4	(0/0)	0	(12/0)	2	()
Bile duct, hyperplasia		(78%)	39	(78%)	32	(64%)	24	(50%
Centrilobular, necrosis		(4%)		(2%)		(****)		(2%)
Hepatocyte, vacuolization cytoplasmic				, ,				(2%)
Mesentery	(12)		(18)		(7)		(11)	. /
Fat, hemorrhage		(8%)	( )				( -)	
Fat, necrosis		(83%)	17	(94%)	7	(100%)	9	(82%
Dral mucosa	(1)		(0)		(1)		(3)	
ancreas	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Basophilic focus	1	(2%)		(2%)				
Metaplasia, hepatocyte	1							
Acinus, atrophy		(44%)		(42%)		(34%)		(20%
Acinus, hyperplasia	21	(42%)	12	(24%)	13	(26%)	19	(39%
Salivary glands	(50)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hyperplasia	1	(2%)						

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with lesion

TABLE	A4
-------	----

## Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control		75 mg	/kg	150 mg/kg		300 mg/kg	
Alimentary System (continued)								
Stomach, forestomach	(50)		(49)		(50)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, squamous	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	5	(10%)
Inflammation	1	(2%)						
Ulcer		(6%)		(2%)		(8%)		(4%)
tomach, glandular	(50)	(10.1)	(46)	(=0.()	(50)	(10.()	(45)	
Atrophy	2	(4%)	3	(7%)		(4%)	3	(7%)
Inflammation, chronic active Mineralization					1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis			1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(270)
			1	(270)	1	(270)		
Cardiovascular System	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Ieart Cardiomyopathy	(50) 48	(96%)	(50)	(88%)	(50)	(94%)	(50)	(92%)
Thrombosis	48			(4%)	47	(9470)	40	(9270)
Pericardium, inflammation, acute	1	(270)	2	(470)			1	(2%)
								( )
Endocrine System	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Degeneration, cystic		(4%)	(30)		(50)		(49)	
Hyperplasia		(62%)	22	(44%)	21	(42%)	31	(63%)
Hypertrophy		(10%)	1	(2%)		(8%)		· /
Vacuolization cytoplasmic			2	(4%)				
Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Hyperplasia	24	(48%)	18	(36%)	16	(32%)	15	(31%)
slets, pancreatic	(50)		(49)		(50)		(49)	
Hyperplasia	1	(2%)			1	(2%)		
ituitary gland	(50)		(48)		(47)		(47)	
Hemorrhage						( ( ) )	1	(2%)
Pars distalis, angiectasis		(12%)	20	(420/)		(6%)		(4%)
Pars distalis, hyperplasia		(36%)	20	(42%)		(32%)		(34%)
hyroid gland C-cell, hyperplasia	(50) 9	(18%)	(49) 5	(10%)	(50)	(14%)	(47)	(19%)
Follicular cell, hyperplasia		(6%)	3	(6%)	/	(1470)		(1970) $(11%)$
	5	(070)	5	(070)			5	(1170)
General Body System								
Genital System	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Granuloma sperm	(50)			(2%)	(50)		(30)	
Preputial gland	(50)		(49)	(270)	(50)		(50)	
Cyst	(50)		(49)	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia	1	(2%)	2		1	(2%)	4	(8%)
Inflammation, chronic active		. /		(2%)				` '
Prostate gland	(50)		(49)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia		(20%)		(18%)		(30%)		(22%)
Inflammation, chronic active	3	(6%)	1	(2%)			3	(6%)
leminal vesicle	(50)		(49)		(50)		(49)	
Hyperplasia							1	(2%)
estes	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Atrophy	1			(8%)		(6%)		
Interstitial cell, hyperplasia	7	(14%)	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	1	(2%)

#### TABLE A4

## Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

150 m	150 mg/kg		300 mg/kg	
(50)	)	(50)		
1	1 (2%)			
(4)	)	(4)		
1	1 (25%)			
	1 (25%)			
(50)	)	(47)		
(50)		(47)		
	2 (4%)			
1	1 (2%)	2	(4%)	
1	1 (2%)			
	1 (2%)	(40)		
(49)	)	(48)		
(50)	)	(50)		
(50)	)	(50)		
			(4%)	
(50)	)	(50)		
(0)	)	(1)		
(50)	)	(50)		
1	1 (2%)			
(1)	)	(0)		
(1)	)	(0)		
	1 (100%)			
(50)		(50)		
	3 (6%)	1	(2%)	
1	1 (2%)			
	()))	~ ~	(500)	
16	6 (32%)		(50%	
1	1 (2%)		(2%)	
1	1 (270)	1	(2%)	
		1 (2%)		

TABLE	A4
-------	----

## Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 m	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Respiratory System (continued)								
Nose	(50)		(48)		(49)		(49)	
Foreign body	3	(6%)			3	(6%)	4	(8%)
Inflammation, suppurative	12	(24%)	11	(23%)	13	(27%)	12	(24%)
Inflammation, chronic	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Thrombosis					1	(2%)		
Glands, dilatation			2	(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Nasolacrimal duct, cyst					1	(2%)		
Nasolacrimal duct, inflammation							1	(2%)
Olfactory epithelium, accumulation, hyaline droplet	50	(100%)	37	(77%)	42	(86%)	42	(86%)
Olfactory epithelium, atrophy	1		5	(10%)	9	(18%)		(27%)
Olfactory epithelium, degeneration	1	(2%)				(4%)		(12%)
Olfactory epithelium, hyperplasia		· /				· /	1	(2%)
Olfactory epithelium, hyperplasia, basal cell					1	(2%)		
Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, respiratory	4	(8%)	6	(13%)		(20%)	15	(31%)
Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, squamous	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		· /		
Respiratory epithelium, accumulation, hyaline droplet	3		5	(10%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Respiratory epithelium, hyperplasia	12	(24%)	3	(6%)	14	(29%)	8	(16%)
Respiratory epithelium, metaplasia, squamous		(2%)	4	(8%)		(6%)		(10%)
Pleura				· /			(2)	
Inflammation, acute								(100%)
	(50)		(40)		(50)			(10070)
Trachea	(50)		(49)	(20/)	(50)		(48)	
Epithelium, necrosis			1	(2%)				
Special Senses System								
Eye	(50)		(46)		(50)		(45)	
Cataract			1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Retina, atrophy			1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Harderian gland	(50)		(47)		(50)		(45)	
Hyperplasia	1	(2%)		(11%)		(10%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic active	1			()		()		(_, .,
Zymbal's gland	(2)	(-, , ,	(1)		(0)		(0)	
Urinary System								
Kidney	(50)		(48)		(50)		(49)	
Cyst							1	(2%)
Degeneration, mucoid, focal							1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, oncocytic							1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative							1	(2%)
Nephropathy	49	(98%)	46	(96%)	47	(94%)	47	(96%)
Renal tubule, degeneration, hyaline		. /		. /		. /	1	(2%)
Transitional epithelium, hyperplasia			1	(2%)				(4%)
Urinary bladder	(50)		(48)	× /	(50)		(47)	< - 7
Crinary bladdel	(50)		(+0)		(50)		(+/)	

## APPENDIX B SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE 2-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOEUGENOL

TABLE <b>B1</b>	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	88
TABLE B2	Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	
TABLE B3	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	94

TABLE	<b>B1</b>
-------	-----------

## Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 mg	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Disposition Summary								
Animals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
Early deaths								
Accidental death			1					
Moribund	15		8		9		9	
Natural deaths	2		6		7		10	
Survivors Terminal sacrifice	33		35		34		31	
Animals examined microscopically	50		50		50		50	
Alimentary System								
Sophagus	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
							(30)	
ntestine large, colon	(50)		(48)		(47)		(48)	
ntestine large, rectum	(49)		(48)		(47)			
ntestine small, ileum	(49)		(47)		(45)		(45)	
	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Aesentery Schwannoma malignant	(11)		(11)		(11)		(14)	(7%)
Dral mucosa	(0)		(1)		(0)		(1)	(770)
Pharyngeal, squamous cell papilloma	(0)		(1)	(100%)	(0)		(1)	
ancreas	(50)		(49)	()	(48)		(50)	
alivary glands	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
tomach, forestomach	(50)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Stomach, glandular	(50)		(47)		(46)		(47)	
ongue	(0)		(0)		(10)		(0)	
Squamous cell carcinoma	(0)		(0)		1	(100%)	(0)	
Cooth	(0)		(1)		(0)		(0)	
Odontoma				(100%)				
Cardiovascular System								
Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Schwannoma malignant, metastatic, mesentery							1	(2%)
Endocrine System								
Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(47)		(49)	
Adenoma				(2%)				
Adrenal medulla	(50)	(40/)	(50)	((0))	(46)		(49)	(40/)
Pheochromocytoma benign Bilateral, pheochromocytoma benign	2	(4%)		(6%) (2%)			2	(4%)
slets, pancreatic	(50)		(49)	(270)	(47)		(49)	
Adenoma	(50)		(49)		(47)	(2%)	(49)	
arathyroid gland	(47)		(44)		(48)	< · · ·	(44)	
ituitary gland	(50)		(48)		(49)		(49)	
Pars distalis, adenoma		(50%)		(42%)		(41%)		(41%
Pars intermedia, adenoma				<i>,</i>		(2%)		
hyroid gland	(50)		(46)		(46)		(48)	
Bilateral, C-cell, adenoma		( <b>a a</b> <i>t</i> )	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Bilateral, C-cell, carcinoma		(2%)	-	(150/)	-	(1.50/)	-	(100/
C-cell, adenoma C-cell, carcinoma		(14%) (4%)		(15%) (4%)		(15%) (4%)		(10%) (4%)
Follicular cell, adenoma	2	(4/0)		(4%) (2%)	2	(470)	Z	(470)
Follicular cell, carcinoma	1	(2%)	1	(-, 3)	1	(2%)		

Table B1
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 mg	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
General Body System								
None								
Genital System								
Clitoral gland	(48)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Adenoma		(6%)		(6%)		(8%)		(10%)
Carcinoma	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		· /	2	(4%)
Bilateral, carcinoma	1	(2%)						
Dvary	(50)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Cystadenoma			1	(2%)				
Granulosa-theca tumor malignant							1	(2%)
Schwannoma malignant, metastatic, mesentery							1	(2%)
Jterus	(50)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Deciduoma benign	1	(2%)						
Polyp stromal	8	(16%)		(30%)	6	(12%)	5	(10%)
Polyp stromal, multiple			1	(2%)		(00/)		
Sarcoma stromal						(2%)		
Vagina	(1)		(0)		(1)		(0)	
Polyp	1	(100%)						
Hematopoietic System								
Bone marrow	(50)		(49)		(49)		(49)	
Lymph node	(0)		(3)		(3)		(3)	
Lymph node, mesenteric	(50)		(49)		(48)		(49)	
Schwannoma malignant, metastatic, mesentery							1	(2%)
Spleen	(50)		(49)		(49)		(49)	
Гhymus	(47)		(47)		(46)		(49)	
Integumentary System								
Mammary gland	(49)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Carcinoma		(8%)	1	(2%)		(4%)	4	(8%)
Fibroadenoma	17	(35%)	19	(38%)	12	(24%)	13	(27%)
Fibroadenoma, multiple	9	(18%)	9	(18%)	7	(14%)	5	(10%)
Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell carcinoma							1	(2%)
Squamous cell papilloma					1	(2%)		
Subcutaneous tissue, fibroma				(2%)				
Subcutaneous tissue, lipoma			1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Subcutaneous tissue, neural crest tumor						(2%)		
Subcutaneous tissue, sarcoma					1	(2%)		
Musculoskeletal System								
Bone	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Osteosarcoma			1	(2%)				
Nervous System								
Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Astrocytoma malignant					1	(2%)		
Granular cell tumor malignant		(***					1	(2%)
Oligodendroglioma malignant	1	(2%)						

#### Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Respiratory System				
Lung Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Granulosa-theca tumor malignant, metastatic, ovary Schwannoma malignant, metastatic, mesentery	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 4 (8%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
Nose Respiratory epithelium, adenoma	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)	(49)
Pleura	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)
Trachea	(50)	(49)	(48)	(49)
Special Senses System				
Eye	(49)	(46)	(47)	(48)
Zymbal's gland Carcinoma	(0)	(1) 1 (100%)	(0)	(1) 1 (100%)
Urinary System				
Kidney Transitional epithelium, carcinoma	(50)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)	(50)
Urinary bladder Leiomyoma Sarcoma stromal, metastatic, uterus Transitional epithelium, papilloma	(50)	(50)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)
Systemic Lesions				
Multiple organs <sup>b</sup> Histiocytic sarcoma	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
Leukemia mononuclear	8 (16%)	5 (10%)	12 (24%)	8 (16%)
Neoplasm Summary				
Total animals with primary neoplasms <sup>c</sup> Total primary neoplasms	46 93	46 102	46 85	43 83
Total animals with benign neoplasms Total benign neoplasms	41 74	45 89	36 62	40 61
Total animals with malignant neoplasms Total malignant neoplasms	18 19	12 13	21 22	20 22
Total animals with metastatic neoplasms Total metastatic neoplasms			1 1	2 5
Total animals with uncertain neoplasm – benign or malignant Total uncertain neoplasms			1 1	

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with neoplasm
 <sup>b</sup> Number of animals with any tissue examined microscopically
 <sup>c</sup> Primary neoplasms: all neoplasms except metastatic neoplasms

		÷ •	-	
	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Adrenal Medulla: Benign Pheochromocytoma				
Overall rate <sup>a</sup>	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)	0/46 (0%)	2/49 (4%)
Adjusted rate <sup>b</sup>	4.4%	9.3%	0.0%	5.1%
Ferminal rate <sup>c</sup>	1/33 (3%)	3/35 (9%)	0/33 (0%)	1/31 (3%)
First incidence (days)	559	708	e	623
Poly-3 test <sup>d</sup>	P = 0.450N	P = 0.313	P = 0.261N	P = 0.641
Clitoral Gland: Adenoma				
Overall rate	3/48 (6%)	3/50 (6%)	4/49 (8%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted rate	7.0%	7.0%	9.3%	12.7%
erminal rate	3/31 (10%)	3/35 (9%)	4/34 (12%)	5/31 (16%)
irst incidence (days)	730 (T)	730 (T)	730 (T)	730 (T)
oly-3 test	P = 0.209	P = 0.662N	P = 0.506	P = 0.312
Clitoral Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma				
Overall rate	5/48 (10%)	5/50 (10%)	4/49 (8%)	7/50 (14%)
djusted rate	11.7%	11.7%	9.3%	17.7%
Terminal rate	4/31 (13%)	5/35 (14%)	4/34 (12%)	7/31 (23%)
First incidence (days)	713	730 (T)	730 (T)	730 (T)
Poly-3 test	P = 0.262	P = 0.630N	P = 0.495N	P = 0.320
Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma				
Overall rate	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)
adjusted rate	2.2%	4.7%	2.3%	10.1%
erminal rate	1/33 (3%)	2/35 (6%)	1/34 (3%)	4/31 (13%)
irst incidence (days)	730 (T)	730 (T)	730 (T)	730 (T)
oly-3 test	P = 0.091	P = 0.485	P = 0.756	P = 0.142
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma				
Overall rate	26/50 (52%)	28/50 (56%)	19/50 (38%)	18/50 (36%)
djusted rate	56.3%	64.1%	42.4%	43.6%
erminal rate	20/33 (61%)	24/35 (69%)	15/34 (44%)	13/31 (42%)
irst incidence (days)	617	660	529	606
Poly-3 test	P = 0.053N	P = 0.292	P = 0.127N	P = 0.162N
Mammary Gland: Carcinoma				
Overall rate	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted rate	8.9%	2.3%	4.6%	10.0%
Terminal rate	3/33 (9%)	1/35 (3%)	2/34 (6%)	3/31 (10%)
irst incidence (days)	627	730 (T)	730 (T)	623
oly-3 test	P = 0.400	P = 0.194N	P = 0.351N	P = 0.573
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma or Carcinoma				
Overall rate	28/50 (56%)	29/50 (58%)	21/50 (42%)	22/50 (44%)
djusted rate	60.2%	66.3%	46.9%	52.9%
erminal rate	21/33 (64%)	25/35 (71%)	17/34 (50%)	16/31 (52%)
irst incidence (days)	617	660	529	606
oly-3 test	P = 0.148N	P = 0.345	P = 0.138N	P = 0.314N
Pituitary Gland (Pars Distalis): Adenoma				
Overall rate	25/50 (50%)	20/48 (42%)	20/49 (41%)	20/49 (41%)
djusted rate	52.6%	46.2%	43.9%	47.0%
ajastea rate			1 4 10 4 ( 4 10 ( )	10/01 (000/)
	16/33 (49%)	15/35 (43%)	14/34 (41%)	12/31 (39%)
Ferminal rate First incidence (days)	16/33 (49%) 559	15/35 (43%) 389	14/34 (41%) 529	12/31 (39%) 496

# TABLE B2 Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

## Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Thyroid Gland (C-Cell): Adenoma				
Overall rate	7/50 (14%)	8/46 (17%)	7/46 (15%)	6/48 (13%)
Adjusted rate	15.5%	19.6%	17.0%	15.2%
erminal rate	6/33 (18%)	8/35 (23%)	6/34 (18%)	4/31 (13%)
irst incidence (days)	647	730 (T)	660	547
oly-3 test	P = 0.487N	P = 0.417	P = 0.544	P = 0.601N
Thyroid Gland (C-Cell): Carcinoma				
verall rate	3/50 (6%)	2/46 (4%)	2/46 (4%)	2/48 (4%)
djusted rate	6.7%	4.9%	4.9%	5.2%
erminal rate	3/33 (9%)	1/35 (3%)	2/34 (6%)	2/31 (7%)
rst incidence (days)	730 (T)	660	730 (T)	730 (T)
ply-3 test	P = 0.480N	P = 0.539N	P = 0.540N	P = 0.565N
Thyroid Gland (C-Cell): Adenoma or Carcinoma				
verall rate	9/50 (18%)	10/46 (22%)	9/46 (20%)	8/48 (17%)
djusted rate	20.0%	24.3%	21.8%	20.2%
erminal rate	8/33 (24%)	9/35 (26%)	8/34 (24%)	6/31 (19%)
rst incidence (days)	647	660	660	547
oly-3 test	P = 0.515N	P = 0.410	P = 0.521	P = 0.594
Uterus: Stromal Polyp				
verall rate	8/50 (16%)	16/50 (32%)	6/50 (12%)	5/50 (10%)
djusted rate	17.7%	34.8%	13.5%	12.6%
erminal rate	5/33 (15%)	10/35 (29%)	5/34 (15%)	4/31 (13%)
irst incidence (days)	673	389	573	632
bly-3 test	P = 0.104N	P = 0.050	P = 0.402N	P = 0.363N
Uterus: Stromal Polyp or Stromal Sarcoma				
verall rate	8/50 (16%)	16/50 (32%)	7/50 (14%)	5/50 (10%)
djusted rate	17.7%	34.8%	15.6%	12.6%
erminal rate	5/33 (15%)	10/35 (29%)	5/34 (15%)	4/31 (13%)
rst incidence (days)	673	389	529	632
ply-3 test	P = 0.115N	P = 0.050	P = 0.506N	P = 0.363N
All Organs: Mononuclear Leukemia				
verall rate	8/50 (16%)	5/50 (10%)	12/50 (24%)	8/50 (16%)
djusted rate	17.4%	11.6%	25.8%	20.1%
erminal rate	3/33 (9%)	4/35 (11%)	6/34 (18%)	7/31 (23%)
irst incidence (days)	617	671	499	632
bly-3 test	P = 0.268	P = 0.318N	P = 0.232	P = 0.483
All Organs: Benign Neoplasms				
verall rate	41/50 (82%)	45/50 (90%)	36/50 (72%)	40/50 (80%)
djusted rate	83.9%	94.6%	76.5%	91.2%
erminal rate	28/33 (85%)	33/35 (94%)	27/34 (79%)	29/31 (94%)
irst incidence (days)	400	389	529	496
oly-3 test	P = 0.397	P = 0.075	P = 0.248N	P = 0.213

TABLE B	32
---------	----

#### Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
All Organs: Malignant Neoplasms				
Overall rate	18/50 (36%)	12/50 (24%)	21/50 (42%)	20/50 (40%)
djusted rate	38.5%	27.1%	44.1%	47.0%
erminal rate	10/33 (30%)	8/35 (23%)	12/34 (35%)	13/31 (42%)
irst incidence (days)	617	469	499	541
oly-3 test	P = 0.115	P = 0.173N	P = 0.365	P = 0.274
All Organs: Benign or Malignant Neoplasms				
overall rate	46/50 (92%)	46/50 (92%)	46/50 (92%)	43/50 (86%)
djusted rate	92.0%	96.7%	92.0%	95.7%
erminal rate	29/33 (88%)	34/35 (97%)	30/34 (88%)	30/31 (97%)
irst incidence (days)	400	389	499	496
oly-3 test	P = 0.388	P = 0.285	P = 0.642	P = 0.370

(T) Terminal sacrifice

<sup>a</sup> Number of neoplasm-bearing animals/number of animals examined. Denominator is number of animals examined microscopically for adrenal gland, clitoral gland, lung, pituitary gland, and thyroid gland; for other tissues, denominator is number of animals necropsied.

<sup>b</sup> Poly-3 estimated neoplasm incidence after adjustment for intercurrent mortality

<sup>c</sup> Observed incidence at terminal kill

<sup>d</sup> Beneath the vehicle control incidence is the P value associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence is the P value corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the vehicle controls and that dosed group. The Poly-3 test accounts for the differential mortality in animals that do not reach terminal sacrifice. A negative trend or a lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by **N**.

<sup>e</sup> Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group

TABLE	<b>B3</b>
-------	-----------

Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg/kg		150 mg	g/kg	300 mg/kg	
Disposition Summary								
Animals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
Early deaths Accidental death			1					
Moribund	15		8		9		9	
Natural deaths	2		6		7		10	
Survivors								
Terminal sacrifice	33		35		34		31	
Animals examined microscopically	50		50		50		50	
Alimentary System								
Esophagus Perforation	(50)		(50) 1	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
Intestine large, colon	(50)		(48)	(-, *)	(47)		(48)	
Parasite metazoan	(30)	(4%)	(40)	(2%)	(47)	(2%)	(10)	
ntestine large, rectum	(49)	<b>`</b>	(48)		(47)	· /	(48)	
Parasite metazoan	1	(2%)		(2%)	1	(2%)		(4%)
Intestine small, ileum	(49)		(47)		(45)		(45)	
Parasite metazoan	~ /				1	(2%)		
Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Angiectasis					2	(4%)	3	(6%)
Basophilic focus	50	(100%)	49	(98%)		(96%)	46	(92%)
Clear cell focus		(24%)	9	(18%)	9	(18%)	10	(20%)
Degeneration, cystic	1	(2%)	2	(40/)	-	(100/)	-	(100/)
Eosinophilic focus		(4%)	2	(4%)	5	· · ·	5	(10%)
Fatty change Hepatodiaphragmatic nodule	2 8	(4%) (16%)	11	(22%)	1	(2%) (14%)	4	(8%)
Mixed cell focus		(10%)		(14%)		(6%)		(6%)
Necrosis	5	(10/0)	1	(2%)	5	(070)	5	(070)
Bile duct, hyperplasia	3	(6%)		(8%)	4	(8%)	4	(8%)
Hepatocyte, mitotic alteration		<b>`</b>				· /	1	(2%)
Mesentery	(11)		(11)		(11)		(14)	
Fat, hemorrhage	1	(9%)						
Fat, necrosis	11	(100%)	10	(91%)	11	(100%)	13	(93%)
Dral mucosa	(0)		(1)		(0)		(1)	
Pancreas	(50)		(49)		(48)		(50)	
Basophilic focus	1	(2%)						
Acinus, atrophy		(18%)		(8%)	2	(4%)	4	(8%)
Acinus, hyperplasia		(10%)		(4%)				(10%)
Salivary glands	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Stomach, forestomach	(50)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, squamous		(4%)			1	(2%)		(4%)
Inflammation		(2%)			2	(40/)	1	(2%)
Ulcer		(2%)				(4%)		(4%)
Stomach, glandular	(50)	(20/)	(47)	(60/)	(46)		(47)	(60/)
Atrophy Mineralization	1	(2%) (6%)		(6%) (2%)	r	(4%)	3	(6%) (2%)
		(070)	1	(2%)		(+/0)		(2/0)
fongue	(0)		(0)		(1)		(0)	
Footh	(0)		(1)		(0)		(0)	

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with lesion

## Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg/kg		150 mg/kg		300 mg/kg	
Cardiovascular System								
Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cardiomyopathy	41	(82%)	42	(84%)	38	(76%)	39	· · · · · ·
Pericardium, inflammation, granulomatous							1	· /
Pericardium, inflammation, acute			1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Endocrine System								
Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(47)		(49)	
Degeneration, cystic			2	(4%)		(9%)	3	(6%)
Hyperplasia	25		17			(38%)	18	
Hypertrophy	4		3	(6%)		(4%)	2	(4%)
Necrosis	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
Vacuolization cytoplasmic								(2%)
Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(46)		(49)	(1 0 0 ()
Hyperplasia		(10%)		(12%)		(11%)		(10%)
slets, pancreatic	(50)		(49)		(47)		(49)	
Parathyroid gland	(47)		(44)		(48)		(44)	
Hyperplasia	1	(2%)						
Pituitary gland	(50)		(48)		(49)		(49)	
Cyst	1							
Pars distalis, angiectasis		(6%)				(4%)	3	· /
Pars distalis, hyperplasia	17	(34%)	21	(44%)	21	(43%)	20	(41%)
Thyroid gland	(50)		(46)		(46)		(48)	
C-cell, hyperplasia		(18%)			7	(15%)	6	(13%)
Follicular cell, hyperplasia	2	(4%)	2	(4%)				
General Body System								
None								
Genital System								
Clitoral gland	(48)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hyperplasia	7	(15%)		(10%)	5	(10%)	4	· · ·
Inflammation, chronic active			1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Dvary	(50)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Cyst	1	(2%)		(12%)	1	(2%)		(8%)
Jterus	(50)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute		(***			1	(2%)		
Necrosis		(2%)				(20())		
Endometrium, hyperplasia, cystic		(4%)				(2%)		
Vagina	(1)		(0)		(1)	(1000/)	(0)	
Inflammation, suppurative					1	(100%)		
Hematopoietic System								
Bone marrow	(50)	(20/)	(49)	(20)	(49)	(20())	(49)	
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell		(2%)		(2%)	1	(2%)		
_ymph node	(0)		(3)	(220/)	(3)		(3)	(0.00.1)
Deep cervical, ectasia			1	(33%)				(33%)
Deep cervical, hemorrhage								(33%)
.ymph node, mesenteric	(50)		(49)		(48)		(49)	
pleen	(50)		(49)		(49)		(49)	
Hematopoietic cell proliferation			1	(2%)		(4%)	3	
Inflammation, granulomatous					1	(2%)	1	· /
Necrosis								(2%)
Thymus	(47)		(47)		(46)		(49)	
Inflammation, acute							1	(2%)

## Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 m	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Integumentary System								
Mammary gland	(49)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Galactocele							1	(2%)
Hyperplasia	1	(2%)						
Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Musculoskeletal System								
Bone	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperostosis	( )				· · ·	(2%)		
Nervous System								
Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)		
Hydrocephalus	1	(2%)						
Respiratory System								
Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst							1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute	1						3	· · ·
Inflammation, chronic active		(4%)		(8%)		(6%)		(4%)
Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia	17	(34%)	11	(22%)	16	(32%)	14	(28%)
Alveolus, infiltration cellular, histiocyte								(2%)
Bronchiole, hyperplasia	1	(2%)			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Nose	(50)		(49)		(49)		(49)	
Foreign body	1	(2%)						
Inflammation, suppurative	3		5	(10%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic	5	(10%)	4	(8%)			2	(4%)
Thrombosis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Glands, dilatation	2	(4%)					2	(4%)
Glands, hyperplasia			1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Olfactory epithelium, accumulation, hyaline droplet	48	(96%)	36	(73%)	37	(76%)	33	(67%)
Olfactory epithelium, atrophy							4	(8%)
Olfactory epithelium, degeneration					1	(2%)		
Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, respiratory		(10%)	5	(10%)	9	(18%)		(24%)
Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, squamous		(6%)	-	((0))	-	(10)	1	(2%)
Respiratory epithelium, accumulation, hyaline droplet		(8%)		(6%)		(4%)	-	(1
Respiratory epithelium, hyperplasia		(12%)	9	(18%)	4	(8%)		(12%)
Respiratory epithelium, metaplasia, squamous Respiratory epithelium, necrosis	2	(4%)	2	(4%) (2%)			2	(4%)
Pleura	(0)		(0)	(2/0)	(0)		(1)	
Inflammation, suppurative	(0)		(0)		(0)			(100%
· • • •	(50)		(40)		(40)			(1007
Trachea	(50)		(49)		(48)		(49)	(20/)
Inflammation, suppurative							1	(2%)

## Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg/kg		150 mg/kg		300 mg/kg	
Special Senses System								
Eye	(49)		(46)		(47)		(48)	
Cataract					3	(6%)		
Cornea, inflammation, acute			1	(2%)				
Cornea, mineralization	1	(2%)						
Retina, atrophy	1	(2%)			3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Zymbal's gland	(0)		(1)		(0)		(1)	
Urinary System								
Kidney	(50)		(49)		(47)		(50)	
Infarct			1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative							2	(4%)
Nephropathy	41	(82%)	39	(80%)	40	(85%)	40	(80%)
Renal tubule, necrosis	1	(2%)						
Transitional epithelium, hyperplasia	1	(2%)			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Urinary bladder	(50)		(50)		(48)		(49)	
Inflammation, chronic active	( )		( )		( )		1	(2%)

## APPENDIX C SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE 2-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOEUGENOL

TABLE C1	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	100
TABLE C2	Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	103
TABLE C3	Historical Incidence of Hepatocellular Neoplasms in Control Male B6C3F1 Mice	106
TABLE C4	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	107

TABLE	<b>C1</b>
-------	-----------

## Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 mg	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Disposition Summary								
Animals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
Early deaths								
Moribund	7		10		5		7	
Natural deaths	4		2		9		16	
Survivors Terminal sacrifice	39		38		36		27	
Animals examined microscopically	59		50		50		50	
Alimentary System	(10)		(15)		(10)		(20)	
Gallbladder	(44)		(47)		(46)		(38)	
Intestine large, colon Carcinoma	(48)		(49) 1	(2%)	(46)		(46)	
Intestine small, jejunum	(47)		(48)	(270)	(46)		(42)	
Adenoma	(47)		(40)		(40)	(2%)	(42)	
Carcinoma						(2%)		
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)						
Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(20)
Hepatoblastoma	3	(6%)	1	(20/)			1	
Hepatoblastoma, multiple Hepatocellular adenoma	14	(28%)	1 9	(2%) (18%)	9	(18%)	1	(2%) (26%)
Hepatocellular adenoma, multiple		(20%)		(52%)		(56%)		(40%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	6	· · ·		(24%)		(26%)		(28%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple	2	· /		(12%)		(12%)		(8%)
Hepatocholangiocarcinoma	2	(4%)	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Mesentery	(5)		(4)		(4)		(1)	
Hepatoblastoma, metastatic, liver			1	(25%)			1	(100%)
Pancreas	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Hepatocholangiocarcinoma, metastatic, liver	1	(2%)						
Salivary glands	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Stomach, forestomach	(50)		(49)		(50)		(49)	
Squamous cell papilloma				(4%)		(4%)		
Stomach, glandular	(50)		(49)		(49)		(44)	
Cardiovascular System								
Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma			1	(2%)				
Hepatocholangiocarcinoma, metastatic, liver	2	(4%)					1	(2%)
Endocrine System								
Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Subcapsular, adenoma	3	(6%)			3	(6%)		
Adrenal medulla Pheochromocytoma benign	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49) 1	(2%)
Islets, pancreatic	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Pituitary gland	(50)		(48)		(50)		(48)	
Pars intermedia, adenoma	. ,		. /		. /			(2%)
Thyroid gland	(50)		(49)		(50)		(49)	
Follicular cell, adenoma			1	(2%)				
Follicular cell, carcinoma	1	(2%)						

TABLE C1	
Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Iso	eugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 mg	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
General Body System								
None								
Genital System								
Coagulating gland Adenoma	(0)		(1) 1	(100%)	(0)		(0)	
Epididymis	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Preputial gland	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Prostate gland	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Seminal vesicle	(49)		(50)		(50)		(48)	
Testes	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Interstitial cell, adenoma	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Hematopoietic System								
Bone marrow Hemangiosarcoma	(50)		(50) 1	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
Lymph node Bronchial, hepatocholangiocarcinoma, metastatic, liver Pancreatic, hepatoblastoma, metastatic, liver	(1) 1	(100%)	(0)		(2)		(2)	(50%)
Lymph node, mandibular	(49)		(49)		(50)		(47)	()
Lymph node, mesenteric	(48)		(50)		(50)		(48)	
Spleen	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Hemangiosarcoma	( )		1	(2%)	1	(2%)	( )	
Thymus	(48)		(48)		(48)		(42)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung				(2%)				
Hepatocholangiocarcinoma, metastatic, liver	2	(4%)					1	(2%)
Integumentary System								
Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Subcutaneous tissue, fibrosarcoma			1	(2%)				
Subcutaneous tissue, hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)						
Subcutaneous tissue, lipoma			1	(2%)		(20)		
Subcutaneous tissue, sarcoma					1	(2%)		
Musculoskeletal System								
Bone	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma							1	(2%)
Skeletal muscle Hepatocholangiocarcinoma, metastatic, liver	(1) 1	(100%)	(0)		(0)		(0)	
Nervous System								
Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	

TABLE	<b>C1</b>
-------	-----------

#### Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg/kg		150 mg/kg		300 mg/kg	
Respiratory System								
Lung	(50)		(49)		(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma		(10%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	8	(16%
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma, multiple	1	(2%)	_		_			
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	2	(4%)	7	(14%)		(10%)	2	(4%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, multiple			1	(20/)		(4%)		
Carcinoma, metastatic, Harderian gland Hepatoblastoma, metastatic, liver	1	(29/)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver	1	(2%)	10	(20%)	13	(26%)		(2%)
Hepatocholangiocarcinoma, metastatic, liver	2	(4%)	10		15	(2070)	12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Nose		(470)	(50)	(270)	(50)		(50)	(270)
	(50)						. ,	
Pleura	(0)		(1)	(1000/)	(0)		(0)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, metastatic, lung			1	(100%)				
Special Senses System								
Eye	(48)		(48)		(46)		(43)	
Harderian gland	(49)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Adenoma	7	(14%)	4	(8%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
Carcinoma	4	(8%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Bilateral, adenoma	1	(2%)			1	(2%)		
Urinary System								
Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Hepatocholangiocarcinoma, metastatic, liver	1	(2%)						
Renal tubule, adenoma	1	(2%)						
Renal tubule, carcinoma			1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Urinary bladder	(49)		(50)		(50)		(45)	
Systemic Lesions								
Multiple organs <sup>b</sup>	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphoma malignant					3	(6%)	2	(4%)
Neoplasm Summary								
Total animals with primary neoplasms <sup>c</sup>	43		48		46		46	
Total primary neoplasms	67		88		84		74	
Total animals with benign neoplasms	33		37		37		36	
Total benign neoplasms	44		50		49		46	
Fotal animals with malignant neoplasms	20		27		29		28	
Total malignant neoplasms	23		38		35		28	
Fotal animals with metastatic neoplasms	3		14		14		14	
Total metastatic neoplasms	11		15		14		18	

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with neoplasm
 <sup>b</sup> Number of animals with any tissue examined microscopically
 <sup>c</sup> Primary neoplasms: all neoplasms except metastatic neoplasms

TABLE C2
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Adrenal Cortex: Adenoma				
Overall rate <sup>a</sup>	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	0/49 (0%)
Adjusted rate <sup>b</sup>	6.8%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%
Terminal rate <sup>c</sup>	3/39 (8%)	0/38 (0%)	3/36 (8%)	0/27 (0%)
First incidence (days)	728 (T)	e	728 (T)	_ `
Poly-3 test <sup>d</sup>	P = 0.202N	P = 0.115N	P = 0.647N	P = 0.148N
Harderian Gland: Adenoma				
Overall rate	8/50 (16%)	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted rate	18.0%	8.8%	6.5%	7.8%
Terminal rate	7/39 (18%)	4/38 (11%)	3/36 (8%)	3/27 (11%)
First incidence (days)	700	728 (T)	728 (T)	728 (T)
Poly-3 test	P = 0.102N	P = 0.167N	P = 0.089N	P = 0.152N
Harderian Gland: Carcinoma				
Overall rate	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted rate	8.9%	6.5%	4.4%	2.6%
Terminal rate	3/39 (8%)	1/38 (3%)	2/36 (6%)	1/27 (4%)
First incidence (days)	468	441	728 (T)	728 (T)
Poly-3 test	P = 0.148N	P = 0.484N	P = 0.330N	P = 0.233N
Harderian Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma				
Overall rate	12/50 (24%)	7/50 (14%)	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted rate	26.5%	15.1%	10.9%	10.5%
Ferminal rate	10/39 (26%)	5/38 (13%)	5/36 (14%)	4/27 (15%)
First incidence (days)	468	441	728 (T)	728 (T)
Poly-3 test	P = 0.034N	P = 0.135N	P = 0.048N	P = 0.055N
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma				
Overall rate	24/50 (48%)	35/50 (70%)	37/50 (74%)	33/50 (66%)
Adjusted rate	53.0%	75.7%	76.9%	77.7%
Ferminal rate	22/39 (56%)	31/38 (82%)	29/36 (81%)	23/27 (85%)
First incidence (days)	469	646	491	408
Poly-3 test	P = 0.012	P = 0.015	P = 0.010	P = 0.009
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma				
Overall rate	8/50 (16%)	18/50 (36%)	19/50 (38%)	18/50 (36%)
Adjusted rate	17.4%	37.9%	38.7%	40.4%
Terminal rate	5/39 (13%)	10/38 (26%)	9/36 (25%)	5/27 (19%)
First incidence (days)	469	481	491	385
Poly-3 test	P = 0.027	P = 0.022	P = 0.017	P = 0.012
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma				
Overall rate	28/50 (56%)	43/50 (86%)	43/50 (86%)	43/50 (86%)
Adjusted rate	60.5%	90.0%	86.3%	90.3%
Terminal rate	24/39 (62%)	34/38 (90%)	30/36 (83%)	24/27 (89%)
First incidence (days)	469	481	491	385
Poly-3 test	P<0.001	P<0.001	P = 0.003	P<0.001
Liver: Hepatoblastoma				
Overall rate	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted rate	6.7%	2.2%	0.0%	5.2%
Ferminal rate	2/39 (5%)	1/38 (3%)	0/36 (0%)	1/27 (4%)
First incidence (days)	599	728 (T)		640
Poly-3 test	P = 0.449N	P = 0.301N	P = 0.115N	P = 0.568N

## Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma or Hepatoble	astoma			
verall rate	11/50 (22%)	19/50 (38%)	19/50 (38%)	20/50 (40%)
djusted rate	23.7%	40.0%	38.7%	44.6%
erminal rate	7/39 (18%)	11/38 (29%)	9/36 (25%)	6/27 (22%)
irst incidence (days)	469	481	491	385
bly-3 test	P = 0.042	P = 0.068	P = 0.085	P = 0.027
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma, Hepatocellula	r Carcinoma, or Hepatoblas	toma		
verall rate	30/50 (60%)	43/50 (86%)	43/50 (86%)	43/50 (86%)
djusted rate	64.2%	90.0%	86.3%	90.3%
erminal rate	25/39 (64%)	34/38 (90%)	30/36 (83%)	24/27 (89%)
rst incidence (days)	469	481	491	385
bly-3 test	P = 0.003	P = 0.002	P = 0.008	P < 0.001
Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma				
verall rate	6/50 (12%)	5/49 (10%)	2/50 (4%)	8/50 (16%)
djusted rate		11.2%	4.4%	20.4%
ajusted rate	13.4%			
	5/39 (13%)	4/37 (11%)	2/36 (6%)	5/27 (19%)
rst incidence (days)	589 D = 0.254	658 D = 0.505N	728 (T) P = 0.12(N	607
oly-3 test	P = 0.254	P = 0.505N	P = 0.126N	P = 0.284
Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma				
verall rate	2/50 (4%)	7/49 (14%)	7/50 (14%)	2/50 (4%)
ljusted rate	4.5%	15.5%	15.2%	5.2%
erminal rate	2/39 (5%)	4/37 (11%)	6/36 (17%)	2/27 (7%)
rst incidence (days)	728 (T)	510	710	728 (T)
oly-3 test	P = 0.530N	P = 0.083	P = 0.087	P = 0.640
Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma or Carci	noma			
verall rate	7/50 (14%)	12/49 (24%)	9/50 (18%)	10/50 (20%)
djusted rate	15.6%	26.3%	19.6%	25.6%
erminal rate	6/39 (15%)	8/37 (22%)	8/36 (22%)	7/27 (26%)
rst incidence (days)	589	510	710	607
sly-3 test	P = 0.253	P = 0.160	P = 0.412	P = 0.195
All Organs: Hemangiosarcoma				
verall rate	3/50 (6%)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)
djusted rate	6.8%	8.7%	4.4%	2.6%
erminal rate	3/39 (8%)	2/38 (5%)	1/36 (3%)	0/27 (0%)
rst incidence (days)	728 (T)	510	682	408
oly-3 test	P = 0.198N	P = 0.522	P = 0.483N	P = 0.352N
All Organs: Malignant Lymphoma				
verall rate	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)
djusted rate	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	5.2%
erminal rate	0/39 (0%)	0/38 (0%)	1/36 (3%)	2/27 (7%)
rst incidence (days)	0/39 (0/8)		629	728 (T)
		f	049	120(1)

TABLE C2
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
All Organs: Benign Neoplasms				
Overall rate	33/50 (66%)	37/50 (74%)	37/50 (74%)	36/50 (72%)
Adjusted rate	70.9%	80.1%	76.9%	84.0%
Ferminal rate	28/39 (72%)	33/38 (87%)	29/36 (81%)	25/27 (93%)
First incidence (days)	469	646	491	408
Poly-3 test	P = 0.107	P = 0.208	P = 0.329	P = 0.095
All Organs: Malignant Neoplasms				
Overall rate	20/50 (40%)	27/50 (54%)	29/50 (58%)	28/50 (56%)
Adjusted rate	41.5%	54.9%	58.2%	60.9%
Ferminal rate	13/39 (33%)	16/38 (42%)	16/36 (44%)	12/27 (44%)
First incidence (days)	468	441	491	385
Poly-3 test	P = 0.046	P = 0.131	P = 0.071	P = 0.043
All Organs: Benign or Malignant Neoplasms				
Overall rate	43/50 (86%)	48/50 (96%)	46/50 (92%)	46/50 (92%)
Adjusted rate	87.7%	97.5%	92.0%	96.6%
Ferminal rate	33/39 (85%)	37/38 (97%)	32/36 (89%)	27/27 (100%
First incidence (days)	468	441	491	385
Poly-3 test	P = 0.128	P = 0.067	P = 0.355	P = 0.097

(T) Terminal sacrifice

<sup>a</sup> Number of neoplasm-bearing animals/number of animals examined. Denominator is number of animals examined microscopically for adrenal gland, liver, and lung; for other tissues, denominator is number of animals necropsied.

<sup>b</sup> Poly-3 estimated neoplasm incidence after adjustment for intercurrent mortality

<sup>c</sup> Observed incidence at terminal kill

<sup>d</sup> Beneath the vehicle control incidence is the P value associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence is the P value corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the vehicle controls and that dosed group. The Poly-3 test accounts for the differential mortality in animals that do not reach terminal sacrifice. A negative trend or a lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by **N**.

<sup>e</sup> Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group

<sup>f</sup> Value of statistic cannot be computed.
# TABLE C3 Historical Incidence of Hepatocelluar Neoplasms in Control Male B6C3F1 Mice<sup>a</sup>

Study		Incidence in Controls							
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma						
Historical Incidence: Corn Oil Gavage S	tudies								
Beta-myrcene	26/50	14/50	33/50						
Isoeugenol	24/50	8/50	28/50						
Overall Historical Incidence: Corn Oil C	Gavage Studies								
Total (%)	50/100 (50.0%)	22/100 (22.0%)	61/100 (61.0%)						
Mean $\pm$ standard deviation	$50.0\% \pm 2.8\%$	$22.0\% \pm 8.5\%$	$61.0\% \pm 7.1\%$						
Range	48%-52%	16%-28%	56%-66%						
Overall Historical Incidence: All Routes									
Total (%)	544/1,146 (47.5%)	317/1,146 (27.7%)	729/1,146 (63.6%)						
Mean $\pm$ standard deviation	$47.5\% \pm 14.9\%$	$27.7\% \pm 9.2\%$	$63.6\% \pm 15.6\%$						
Range	14%-72%	8%-48%	20%-84%						

<sup>a</sup> Data as of October 4, 2007

TABLE	C4
-------	----

Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 mg	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Disposition Summary								
Animals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
Early deaths								
Moribund	7		10		5		7	
Natural deaths	4		2		9		16	
Survivors Terminal sacrifice	39		38		36		27	
Animals examined microscopically	59		50		50		50	
	50		50		50		50	
Alimentary System								
Gallbladder	(44)		(47)		(46)		(38)	
Hyperplasia							1	(3%)
ntestine large, colon	(48)		(49)		(46)		(46)	
ntestine small, jejunum	(47)		(48)		(46)		(42)	
Necrosis			1	(2%)			1	(20/)
Ulcer	(50)		(50)		(50)		1	(2%)
Liver Angiectasis	(50)		(50)		(50)	(20/)	(50)	
Basophilic focus	5	(10%)	5	(10%)	1 3	(2%) (6%)	7	(14%)
Clear cell focus	16			(52%)	29	(58%)		(26%)
Eosinophilic focus		(16%)		(26%)	11	(22%)		(10%)
Hepatodiaphragmatic nodule	- -	(20,0)		(_*,*)		(4%)	-	()
Infarct	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		<b>、</b> ,		
Necrosis	1	(2%)			2	(4%)	5	(10%)
Aesentery	(5)		(4)		(4)		(1)	
Fat, necrosis	5	(100%)		(75%)		(100%)		
ancreas	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)	
Atrophy		(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia							1	(2%)
Necrosis, fatty					1	(2%)		
Duct, cyst					1	(2%)		
Salivary glands	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Stomach, forestomach	(50)		(49)		(50)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, squamous		(14%)	8	(16%)	8	(16%)	14	· · · · ·
Inflammation	5	(10%)	8	(16%)	9	(18%)	14	(29%)
Metaplasia, hepatocyte		(20/)	1	(2%)		(00/)	0	(100/)
Ulcer		(2%)		(8%)		(8%)		(18%)
Stomach, glandular	(50)		(49)		(49)		(44)	(20/)
Atrophy Hyperplasia					1	(20/)	1	(2%)
Inflammation			1	(2%)	1	(2%) (6%)	2	(7%)
Inflammation, acute			1	(270)		(0%)		(776)
Metaplasia, hepatocyte			1	(2%)	1	(=, •)	-	(0,0)
Mineralization	1	(2%)		× · · ·				
Ulcer			1	(2%)	4	(8%)	5	(11%)

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with lesion

TABLE	<b>C4</b>
-------	-----------

### Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle C	Vehicle Control		75 mg/kg		150 mg/kg		300 mg/kg	
Cardiovascular System									
Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)		
Cardiomyopathy		(18%)	11	(22%)		(28%)	12	(24%)	
Inflammation, suppurative Mineralization		(2%)			1	(2%)	2	(40/)	
Thrombosis		(2%) (2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	
Artery, inflammation, chronic active		(2%)		(4%)	1	(270)	1	(2%)	
Endocrine System									
Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)		
Hyperplasia		(26%)		(32%)		(24%)		(14%)	
Hypertrophy	13	(26%)	13	(26%)	14	(28%)	6	(12%)	
Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)		
Hyperplasia			1	(2%)	2	(4%)			
slets, pancreatic	(50)	((0))	(50)		(50)	(20)	(49)		
Hyperplasia		(6%)			1	(2%)			
Pituitary gland	(50)	(20/)	(48)	((0))	(50)	((0))	(48)		
Pars distalis, hyperplasia		(2%)		(6%)		(6%)			
Fhyroid gland Follicular cell, hyperplasia	(50)		(49) 2	(4%)	(50)		(49) 1	(2%)	
General Body System									
None									
Genital System									
Coagulating gland	(0)		(1)		(0)		(0)		
Epididymis	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)		
Granuloma sperm				(2%)		(***	1	(2%)	
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)	1	(2%)			
Necrosis	(50)		(50)			(2%)	(50)		
Preputial gland Ectasia	(50)	(10%)	(50)	(8%)	(50)	(10%)	(50)	(12%)	
Inflammation, granulomatous	5	(1070)	1	(2%)	5	(1070)	0	(1270	
Prostate gland	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)		
Inflammation, chronic active				(2%)	()		1	(2%)	
Seminal vesicle	(49)		(50)		(50)		(48)		
Dilatation			1	(2%)					
Testes	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)		
Atrophy	1	(2%)		(2%)			4	(8%)	
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(2%)					
Hematopoietic System Bone marrow	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)		
Atrophy	(50)		(50)		(50) 1	(2%)	(50)		
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell						× · · ·	1	(2%)	
Necrosis			1	(2%)				. /	
Lymph node	(1)		(0)		(2)		(2)		
ymph node, mandibular	(49)		(49)		(50)		(47)		
Lymph node, mesenteric	(48)		(50)		(50)		(48)		
spleen	(50)		(50)		(50)		(49)		
Depletion cellular	. /		. /				2	(4%)	
Hematopoietic cell proliferation					1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
Thymus	(48)		(48)		(48)		(42)		

### TABLE C4

### Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg/kg		150 mg/kg		300 mg/kg	
Integumentary System								
Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute Inflammation, chronic active		(2%)		(6%)	1	(2%)		(4%)
Musculoskeletal System								
Bone	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Arthrosis	1	(2%)						
Skeletal muscle	(1)		(0)		(0)		(0)	
Nervous System								
Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, granulomatous Necrosis			2	(4%)			1	(2%)
			2	(470)				
Respiratory System								
Lung Thrombosis	(50)	(2%)	(49)		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia		(2%)	4	(8%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Alveolus, infiltration cellular, histiocyte		()		(2%)		()		(,
Bronchiole, hyperplasia	1	(2%)			1	(2%)		
Nose	(50)		(50)	(	(50)		(50)	
Polyp, inflammatory Glands, hyperplasia	3	(6%)	1	(2%) (68%)	49	(98%)	48	(96%
Olfactory epithelium, accumulation, hyaline droplet	5	(070)		(12%)		(52%)		(38%
Olfactory epithelium, atrophy	5	(10%)	13	(26%)		(72%)		(82%
Olfactory epithelium, degeneration	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	7	(14%)		(12%
Olfactory epithelium, inflammation, granulomatous Olfactory epithelium, metaplasia, squamous					2	(4%)		(2%) (2%)
Olfactory epithelium, respiratory metaplasia	4	(8%)	31	(62%)		(94%)		(98%)
'leura	(0)	()	(1)		(0)		(0)	(
Special Senses System								
Zve	(48)		(48)		(46)		(43)	
Inflammation, acute	( )		1	(2%)				
Cornea, inflammation, chronic active			1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Harderian gland	(49)	((0))	(50)	(00/)	(50)	(00/)	(49)	(00/)
Hyperplasia	3	(6%)	4	(8%)	4	(8%)	4	(8%)
Urinary System								
Kidney	(50)	(20/)	(50)	(20/)	(50)	(40/)	(49)	(20/)
Infarct Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)		(2%) (4%)	2	(4%)		(2%) (4%)
Metaplasia, osseous			2	()	1	(2%)	2	(
Nephropathy		(94%)		(96%)	47	(94%)		(96%
Renal tubule, hyperplasia		(4%)		(4%)	1	(2%)		(4%)
Jrinary bladder	(49)		(50)	(20/)	(50)		(45)	
Inflammation, chronic active			1	(2%)				

# APPENDIX D SUMMARY OF LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE 2-YEAR GAVAGE STUDY OF ISOEUGENOL

TABLE D1	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	112
TABLE D2	Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	115
TABLE D3a	Historical Incidence of Hepatocellular Neoplasmsin Control Female B6C3F1 Mice	118
TABLE D3b	Historical Incidence of Histiocytic Sarcomain Control Female B6C3F1 Mice	118
TABLE D4	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	119

TABLE D	1
---------	---

## Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 m	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Disposition Summary								
Animals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
Early deaths								
Accidental deaths	2		_		_		1	
Moribund Natural deaths	5		7 4		5 7		5 11	
Survivors	0		4		/		11	
Terminal sacrifice	34		39		38		33	
Vissing	1				20		55	
Animals examined microscopically	49		50		50		50	
Alimentary System								
Gallbladder	(42)		(47)		(47)		(40)	
ntestine large, cecum	(46)		(47)		(45)		(42)	
ntestine large, colon	(47)		(47)		(47)		(47)	
ntestine large, rectum	(47)		(48)		(45)		(46)	
ntestine small, duodenum	(42)		(47)		(45)		(40)	
ntestine small, ileum	(45)		(47)		(46)		(44)	
ntestine small, jejunum	(44)		(48)		(45)		(45)	
Liver	(49)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, uncertain primary site			1	(2%)				
Hemangiosarcoma	11	(220/)	2	(4%)	0	(1(0))	4	(00/)
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular adenoma, multiple	11	(22%)	73	(14%) (6%)	8	(16%) (2%)	4	(8%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	2	(4%)		(16%)		(14%)	6	(12%
Hepatocellular carcinoma, multiple		(2%)				(4%)		<b>(</b>
Aesentery	(15)		(14)		(14)		(6)	
Pancreas	(47)		(50)		(47)		(49)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, uncertain primary site			1	(2%)				
Salivary glands	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
stomach, forestomach	(48)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, uncertain primary site	1	(20/)	1	(2%)	5	(100/)	2	(40/)
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell papilloma, multiple	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	5	(10%)		(4%) (2%)
Stomach, glandular	(46)		(48)		(47)		(48)	(270)
Adenoma	· · ·	(2%)	(10)		()		()	
Carcinoma, metastatic, uncertain primary site			1	(2%)				
Cardiovascular System								
Blood vessel	(2)		(1)		(1)		(2)	
Heart	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Endocrine System								
Adrenal cortex Capsule, adenoma	(48)		(50)		(49) 1	(2%)	(50)	
Adrenal medulla	(48)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Pheochromocytoma benign			1	(2%)				
slets, pancreatic	(47)		(50)		(48)		(49)	
ituitary gland	(47)	(00)	(48)		(50)	(00.1)	(50)	
Pars distalis, adenoma	4	(9%)				(8%)	1	(2%)
Thyroid gland Follicular cell, adenoma	(48)		(50)		(49)	(2%)	(50)	

TABLE D	1
---------	---

Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle C	ontrol	75 mg	/kg	150 mg/kg		300 mg/kg		
General Body System									
None									
Genital System									
Clitoral gland Fibrosarcoma	(47)		(49)		(49)		(48) 1	(2%)	
Ovary Cystadenocarcinoma Cystadenoma Granulosa-theca tumor malignant Tubulostromal adenoma Bilateral, cystadenoma		(4%) (2%)		(2%) (2%)	(50) 1 1	(2%) (2%)	(50) 1	(2%)	
Uterus Carcinoma	(48)		(50) 1	(2%)	(50)	(20())	(50)	(20/)	
Hemangiosarcoma Polyp stromal Sarcoma stromal	1	(2%)			1 2	(2%) (4%)		(2%) (2%)	
Hematopoietic System									
Bone marrow Hemangiosarcoma	(48)		(49)		(50)		(50) 1	(2%)	
Lymph node	(4)		(5)		(3)		(2)		
Lymph node, mandibular	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)		
Lymph node, mesenteric Sarcoma	(47)		(49) 1	(2%)	(47)		(50)		
Spleen Hemangiosarcoma		(2%)	(50)		(49)		(50)		
Thymus	(47)		(50)		(49)		(47)		
Integumentary System									
Mammary gland Carcinoma	(48)		(50) 1	(2%)	(50)		(50)		
Skin Subcutaneous tissue, hemangiosarcoma Subcutaneous tissue, sarcoma Subcutaneous tissue, sarcoma, multiple	2	(2%) (4%) (2%)	(50)		(50) 1	(2%)	(50)		
Musculoskeletal System									
Bone Osteosarcoma	(49) 1	(2%)	(50)		(50) 1	(2%)	(50) 1	(2%)	
Skeletal muscle Rhabdomyosarcoma	(0)		(1) 1	(100%)	(0)		(0)		
Nervous System									
Brain	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)		
Peripheral nerve	(2)		(2)		(1)		(0)		

### Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

V		Vehicle Control		75 mg/kg		150 mg/kg		300 mg/kg	
Respiratory System									
Jung	(48)		(50)		(50)		(50)		
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	4	(8%)		(4%)	1	(2%)	1	· · ·	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma				(2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, multiple		(		(2%)					
Carcinoma, metastatic, Harderian gland	1	(2%)		(2%)					
Carcinoma, metastatic, uterus			1	(2%)	(	(120/)	2	((0))	
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic, liver Osteosarcoma, metastatic, bone	1	(29/)				(12%) (2%)	3	(6%)	
Sarcoma, metastatic, Harderian gland	1	(2%)				(2%)			
	(40)		(50)			(270)	(50)		
Nose Consistence materiatica Handarian aland	(48)		(50)		(50)		(50) 1	(2%)	
Carcinoma, metastatic, Harderian gland Mast cell tumor malignant, metastatic, uncertain primary s	ita							(2%)	
Sarcoma, metastatic, Harderian gland	iii.				1	(2%)	1	(270)	
					1	(270)			
Special Senses System									
Eye	(44)		(47)		(46)	(20)	(42)		
Sarcoma, metastatic, Harderian gland					1	(2%)			
larderian gland	(46)		(50)		(49)		(48)		
Adenoma	3	(7%)	3			(4%)		(10%	
Carcinoma	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		(4%)	1	(2%)	
Sarcoma					1	(2%)			
Urinary System									
Kidney	(47)		(50)		(49)		(49)		
Jrinary bladder	(47)		(49)		(47)		(46)		
Systemic Lesions									
Aultiple organs <sup>b</sup>	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)		
Histiocytic sarcoma			. ,	(2%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)	
Lymphoma malignant	11	(22%)	10	(20%)	8	(16%)	7	(14%	
Neoplasm Summary									
otal animals with primary neoplasms <sup>c</sup>	34		33		37		26		
Total primary neoplasms	49		48		54		39		
otal animals with benign neoplasms	20		18		22		13		
Total benign neoplasms	27		18		26		16		
otal animals with malignant neoplasms	21		27		25		19		
Total malignant neoplasms	22		30		28		23		
otal animals with metastatic neoplasms	2		3		8		5		
Total metastatic neoplasms	2		6		10		5		
otal animals with malignant neoplasms of uncertain primary	site		1				1		

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with neoplasm
 <sup>b</sup> Number of animals with any tissue examined microscopically
 <sup>c</sup> Primary neoplasms: all neoplasms except metastatic neoplasms

TABLE D2
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

<i>y y</i> 1	0 v 0						
	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg			
Harderian Gland: Adenoma							
Overall rate <sup>a</sup>	3/49 (6%)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	5/50 (10%)			
Adjusted rate <sup>b</sup>	7.0%	6.5%	4.3%	12.1%			
Ferminal rate <sup>c</sup>	3/34 (9%)	3/39 (8%)	2/38 (5%)	3/33 (9%)			
First incidence (days)	727 (T)	727 (T)	727 (T)	553			
Poly-3 test <sup>d</sup>	P = 0.248	P = 0.622N	P = 0.460N	P = 0.341			
Harderian Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma							
Overall rate	4/49 (8%)	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)	5/50 (10%)			
Adjusted rate	9.4%	10.7%	8.6%	12.1%			
Terminal rate	4/34 (12%)	4/39 (10%)	3/38 (8%)	3/33 (9%)			
First incidence (days)	727 (T)	577	695	553			
Poly-3 test	P = 0.436	P = 0.561	P = 0.592N	P = 0.483			
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma							
Overall rate	11/49 (22%)	10/50 (20%)	9/49 (18%)	4/50 (8%)			
Adjusted rate	25.7%	20.8%	19.3%	9.9%			
Ferminal rate	8/34 (24%)	5/39 (13%)	8/38 (21%)	3/33 (9%)			
First incidence (days)	699	577	693	698			
Poly-3 test	P = 0.048N	P = 0.380N	P = 0.321N	P = 0.053N			
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma							
Overall rate	3/49 (6%)	8/50 (16%)	9/49 (18%)	6/50 (12%)			
Adjusted rate	6.9%	17.2%	19.2%	14.8%			
Ferminal rate	1/34 (3%)	8/39 (21%)	6/38 (16%)	6/33 (18%)			
First incidence (days)	423	727 (T)	647	727 (T)			
Poly-3 test	P = 0.231	P = 0.119	P = 0.077	P = 0.205			
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma							
Overall rate	13/49 (27%)	16/50 (32%)	15/49 (31%)	9/50 (18%)			
Adjusted rate	29.6%	33.2%	31.9%	22.2%			
Terminal rate	8/34 (24%)	11/39 (28%)	11/38 (29%)	8/33 (24%)			
First incidence (days)	423	577	647	698			
Poly-3 test	P = 0.229N	P = 0.442	P = 0.495	P = 0.297N			
Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma							
Overall rate	4/48 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)			
Adjusted rate	9.6%	4.3%	2.2%	2.5%			
Ferminal rate	4/34 (12%)	1/39 (3%)	1/38 (3%)	1/33 (3%)			
First incidence (days)	727 (T)	632	727 (T)	727 (T)			
Poly-3 test	P = 0.115N	P = 0.287N	P = 0.148N	P = 0.188N			
Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Carcinoma							
Overall rate	0/48 (0%)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)			
Adjusted rate	0.0%	4.3%	6.4%	2.5%			
Ferminal rate	0/34 (0%)	1/39 (3%)	2/38 (5%)	1/33 (3%)			
First incidence (days)	e	647	577	727 (T)			
Poly-3 test	P = 0.401	P = 0.263	P = 0.141	P = 0.493			
Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinom	a						
Overall rate	4/48 (8%)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)			
Adjusted rate	9.6%	8.5%	8.5%	4.9%			
Ferminal rate	4/34 (12%)	2/39 (5%)	3/38 (8%)	2/33 (6%)			
First incidence (days)	727 (T)	632	577	727 (T)			
Poly-3 test	P = 0.283N	P = 0.577N	P = 0.577N	P = 0.352N			

TABLE	D2
-------	----

## Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Ovary: Cystadenoma				
Overall rate	3/48 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted rate	7.2%	0.0%	2.2%	2.5%
erminal rate	3/34 (9%)	0/39 (0%)	1/38 (3%)	1/33 (3%)
irst incidence (days)	727 (T)	_ `	727 (T)	727 (T)
bly-3 test	P = 0.303N	P = 0.101N	P = 0.268N	P = 0.317N
Pituitary Gland (Pars Distalis): Adenoma				
verall rate	4/47 (9%)	0/48 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)
djusted rate	9.7%	0.0%	8.6%	2.5%
erminal rate	3/34 (9%)	0/38 (0%)	4/38 (11%)	1/33 (3%)
rst incidence (days)	699	—	727 (T)	727 (T)
ply-3 test	P = 0.284N	P = 0.050N	P = 0.576N	P = 0.184N
Skin: Sarcoma				
verall rate	3/49 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
djusted rate	6.9%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%
erminal rate	0/34 (0%)	0/39 (0%)	0/38 (0%)	0/33 (0%)
rst incidence (days)	342	_	587	_
oly-3 test	P = 0.089N	P = 0.108N	P = 0.280N	P = 0.133N
Stomach (Forestomach): Squamous Cell Papilloma				
verall rate	1/49 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	5/50 (10%)	3/50 (6%)
djusted rate	2.4%	2.2%	10.7%	7.4%
erminal rate	1/34 (3%)	1/39 (3%)	3/38 (8%)	3/33 (9%)
irst incidence (days)	727 (T)	727 (T)	693	727 (T)
bly-3 test	P = 0.126	P = 0.741N	P = 0.124	P = 0.287
All Organs: Histiocytic Sarcoma				
verall rate	0/49 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)
djusted rate	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%	9.7%
erminal rate	0/34 (0%)	0/39 (0%)	0/38 (0%)	2/33 (6%)
rst incidence (days)	_	605	616	538
bly-3 test	P = 0.015	P = 0.519	P = 0.519	P = 0.056
All Organs: Malignant Lymphoma				
verall rate	11/49 (22%)	10/50 (20%)	8/50 (16%)	7/50 (14%)
djusted rate	25.0%	21.0%	17.2%	17.1%
erminal rate	7/34 (21%)	7/39 (18%)	8/38 (21%)	6/33 (18%)
irst incidence (days)	569	518	727 (T)	605
bly-3 test	P = 0.211N	P = 0.419N	P = 0.257N	P = 0.267N
All Organs: Benign Neoplasms				
verall rate	20/49 (41%)	18/50 (36%)	22/50 (44%)	13/50 (26%)
djusted rate	46.7%	37.1%	46.2%	31.3%
erminal rate	17/34 (50%)	12/39 (31%)	19/38 (50%)	10/33 (30%)
irst incidence (days)	699	577	313	553
oly-3 test	P = 0.150N	P = 0.237N	P = 0.567N	P = 0.105N

TABLE D2
Statistical Analysis of Primary Neoplasms in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
All Organs: Malignant Neoplasms				
Overall rate	21/49 (43%)	27/50 (54%)	25/50 (50%)	20/50 (40%)
Adjusted rate	44.7%	54.8%	51.2%	47.7%
erminal rate	10/34 (29%)	19/39 (49%)	16/38 (42%)	16/33 (49%)
irst incidence (days)	342	518	577	538
Poly-3 test	P = 0.505	P = 0.216	P = 0.336	P = 0.472
All Organs: Benign or Malignant Neoplasms				
Overall rate	34/49 (69%)	33/50 (66%)	37/50 (74%)	26/50 (52%)
Adjusted rate	72.3%	66.5%	74.1%	61.2%
erminal rate	22/34 (65%)	24/39 (62%)	26/38 (68%)	21/33 (64%)
irst incidence (days)	342	518	313	538
Poly-3 test	P = 0.218N	P = 0.347N	P = 0.508	P = 0.183N

(T) Terminal sacrifice

<sup>a</sup> Number of neoplasm-bearing animals/number of animals examined. Denominator is number of animals examined microscopically for liver, lung, ovary, and pituitary gland; for other tissues, denominator is number of animals necropsied.

<sup>b</sup> Poly-3 estimated neoplasm incidence after adjustment for intercurrent mortality

<sup>c</sup> Observed incidence at terminal kill

<sup>d</sup> Beneath the vehicle control incidence is the P value associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence is the P value corresponding to pairwise comparisons between the vehicle controls and that dosed group. The Poly-3 test accounts for the differential mortality in animals that do not reach terminal sacrifice. A negative trend or a lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by N.

<sup>e</sup> Not applicable; no neoplasms in animal group

#### TABLE D3a

### Historical Incidence of Hepatocellular Neoplasms in Control Female B6C3F1 Mice<sup>a</sup>

Study		Incidence in Controls					
·	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma				
Historical Incidence: Corn Oil Gavage S	Studies						
Beta-myrcene	6/50	1/50	7/50				
Isoeugenol	11/49	3/49	13/49				
Overall Historical Incidence: Corn Oil O	Gavage Studies						
Total (%)	17/99 (17.2%)	4/99 (4.0%)	20/99 (20.2%)				
Mean $\pm$ standard deviation	$17.2\% \pm 7.4\%$	$4.1\% \pm 2.9\%$	$20.3\% \pm 8.9\%$				
Range	12%-22%	2%-6%	14%-27%				
Overall Historical Incidence: All Routes							
Total (%)	345/1,245 (27.7%)	131/1,245 (10.5%)	419/1,245 (33.7%)				
Mean $\pm$ standard deviation	$27.8\% \pm 17.0\%$	$10.5\% \pm 7.7\%$	33.7% ± 19.1%				
Range	2%-62%	0%-28%	8%-64%				

<sup>a</sup> Data as of October 4, 2007

# TABLE D3b Historical Incidence of Histiocytic Sarcoma in Control Female B6C3F1 Mice<sup>a</sup>

Study	Incidence in Controls				
Historical Incidence: Corn Oil Gavage Studies					
Beta-myrcene	0/50				
Isoeugenol	0/49				
Overall Historical Incidence: Corn Oil Gavage Studies					
Total	0/99				
Overall Historical Incidence: All Routes					
Total (%)	31/1,249 (2.5%)				
Mean $\pm$ standard deviation	$2.5\% \pm 2.5\%$				
Range	0%-8%				

<sup>a</sup> Data as of October 4, 2007

Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle C	ontrol	75 mg	/kg	150 mg	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Disposition Summary								
Animals initially in study	50		50		50		50	
Early deaths								
Accidental deaths	2						1	
Moribund	5		7		5		5	
Natural deaths	8		4		7		11	
Survivors Terminal sacrifice	34		39		38		33	
Missing	1		57		50		55	
Animals examined microscopically	49		50		50		50	
	(۲		50		50		50	
Alimentary System								
Gallbladder	(42)		(47)		(47)	(20)	(40)	
Degeneration, hyaline					1	(2%)		
Intestine large, cecum Inflammation, acute	(46)		(47)		(45)		(42)	(2%)
Necrosis							1	(2%) (2%)
intestine large, colon	(47)		(47)		(47)		(47)	(=, 0)
ntestine large, rectum	(47)		(48)		(45)		(46)	
Necrosis			(10)		(15)		(10)	(2%)
ntestine small, duodenum	(42)		(47)		(45)		(40)	
ntestine small, ileum	(45)		(47)		(46)		(44)	
ntestine small, jejunum	(44)		(48)		(45)		(45)	
Liver	(49)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Amyloid deposition					1	(2%)		
Angiectasis		(4%)	2	(4%)		(4%)		(0.0.()
Basophilic focus Clear cell focus		(10%) (2%)		(8%) (2%)		(16%) (4%)	4	(8%)
Eosinophilic focus		(270)		(270) (10%)		(470)	1	(2%)
Fatty change		(6%)		(2%)		(2%)		(_, .)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	1	(2%)	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic							1	
Mineralization Mixed cell focus	1	(2%)					2	(4%)
Necrosis		(270) (6%)			1	(2%)	8	(16%)
Hepatocyte, mitotic alteration		((,,,)				(2%)	-	()
Aesentery	(15)		(14)		(14)		(6)	
Fat, hemorrhage					1	(7%)		
Fat, necrosis		(93%)	14	(100%)		(93%)		(100%)
ancreas	(47)		(50)		(47)		(49)	
Amyloid deposition				(4%)	1	(20/)		
Atrophy Basophilic focus	1	(2%)		(2%) (4%)		(2%) (2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia	1	(270)	-	(1/0)	1	(270)		(2%)
Duct, cyst	1	(2%)	1	(2%)				
alivary glands	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Necrosis			1	(2%)				
tomach, forestomach	(48)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hyperplasia		(4%)		(16%)		(10%)		(16%)
Inflammation Ulcer		(4%)		(16%)		(10%)		(16%)
UIUI	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	3	(6%)	4	(8%)

<sup>a</sup> Number of animals examined microscopically at the site and the number of animals with lesion

### Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg	/kg	150 m	g/kg	300 mg/kg	
Alimentary System (continued)								
Stomach, glandular Amyloid deposition	(46)		(48) 1	(2%)	(47)		(48)	
Inflammation Mineralization	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	6	(13%)
Ulcer			1	(2%)	1	(2%)	7	(15%)
Cardiovascular System								
Blood vessel Mineralization	(2) 1	(50%)	(1)		(1)		(2) 1	(50%)
Heart	(49)	(40/)	(50)	(4%)	(50)		(50)	(6%)
Cardiomyopathy Inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)	2	(4%) (2%)			32	· · ·
Mineralization	3	(6%)		(4%)	2	(4%)	5	(10%
Thrombosis			1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Endocrine System								
Adrenal cortex	(48)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Amyloid deposition	4	(90/)	1	(2%) (4%)	1	(2%) (8%)	2	(40/)
Hyperplasia Hypertrophy	4	(8%)	2	(4%) (2%)	4	(8%)		(4%) (2%)
Necrosis			1	(270)	5	(070)		(2%)
Vacuolization cytoplasmic	1	(2%)						
Adrenal medulla	(48)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hyperplasia	1	(2%)			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
slets, pancreatic	(47)	(	(50)	(	(48)		(49)	
Hyperplasia	1	(2%)		(2%)	1	(2%)		(4%)
Pituitary gland	(47)	(19%)	(48)	(250/)	(50)	(120/)	(50)	(18%
Pars distalis, hyperplasia Thyroid gland	(48)	(1970)	(50)	(35%)	(49)	(12%)	(50)	(10/0
Follicular cell, hyperplasia		(2%)	(30)		(49)			(2%)
General Body System								
None								
Genital System								
Clitoral gland	(47)		(49)		(49)		(48)	
Dvary	(48)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Angiectasis		(4%)						(2%)
Cyst Thrombosis	5	(10%)		(24%) (2%)	5	(10%)	4	(8%)
Jterus	(48)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Angiectasis		(4%)	3	(6%)		(4%)	. /	
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(2%)				
Thrombosis	24	(500/)	22	(( 10/)		(2%)	- 1	(420/
Endometrium, hyperplasia, cystic	24	(50%)	32	(64%)	19	(38%)	21	(42%)

### Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle	Control	75 mg/kg		150 mg	g/kg	300 mg	/kg
Hematopoietic System								
Bone marrow	(48)		(49)		(50)		(50)	
Necrosis	(1)		1	(2%)				
Lymph node	(4)		(5)		(3)		(2)	
Lymph node, mandibular	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymph node, mesenteric Hyperplasia, lymphoid Inflammation, acute	(47)		(49) 1	(2%)	(47)		(50)	(2%)
Spleen	(48)		(50)		(49)		(50)	
Amyloid deposition	( )				1	(2%)	( )	
Depletion cellular							9	(18%)
Hematopoietic cell proliferation	1	(2%)		(10%)	2	(4%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid Necrosis	1	(20/)	1	(2%)				
		(2%)	(50)		(40)		(17)	
Thymus	(47)		(50)		(49)		(47)	
Integumentary System								
Mammary gland	(48)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia	1	(2%)						
Skin	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)			1	(20/)		
Inflammation, chronic active Necrosis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
		(-, •)		(=, •)				
Musculoskeletal System								
Bone	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Skeletal muscle	(0)		(1)		(0)		(0)	
Nervous System								
Brain	(49)		(50)		(50)		(50)	
Demyelination		(20)	2	(4%)				
Gliosis Necrosis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Peripheral nerve	(2)			(270)	(1)			(270)
Radicular neuropathy	(2)	(50%)	(2)	(50%)	(1)	(100%)	(0)	
	1	(5070)	1	(5070)	1	(10070)		
Respiratory System								
Lung	(48)		(50)	(	(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage				(2%)				
Inflammation, suppurative Mineralization	1	(2%)	1	(2%)				
Thrombosis	1	(270)					1	(2%)
Alveolar epithelium, hyperplasia	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)		. ,
Bronchiole, hyperplasia								(2%)
Bronchiole, necrosis								(2%)
Nose	(48)		(50)		(50)		(50)	(20())
Thrombosis Glands, hyperplasia	Ĺ	(13%)	20	(76%)	40	(98%)	1 49	(2%) (98%)
Olfactory epithelium, accumulation, hyaline droplet	0	(13/0)		(76%)	49 18			(98%)
Olfactory epithelium, accumulation, hyanne diopiet	3	(6%)	8	(16%)		(72%)		(86%)
Olfactory epithelium, degeneration		· /		. /		(2%)		(4%)
Olfactory epithelium, respiratory metaplasia	6	(13%)	37	(74%)	49	(98%)		(100%
Respiratory epithelium, necrosis							1	(2%)

### Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg
Special Senses System				
Eye	(44)	(47)	(46)	(42)
Cataract			1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Degeneration			1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Harderian gland	(46)	(50)	(49)	(48)
Hyperplasia	5 (11%)	5 (10%)	6 (12%)	2 (4%)
Urinary System				
Kidney	(47)	(50)	(49)	(49)
Amyloid deposition	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
Inflammation, suppurative				1 (2%)
Nephropathy	23 (49%)	30 (60%)	22 (45%)	33 (67%)
Bilateral, papilla, necrosis		1 (2%)		4 (8%)
Papilla, mineralization				1 (2%)
Papilla, necrosis			1 (2%)	14 (29%)
Renal tubule, necrosis		1 (2%)		6 (12%)
Urinary bladder	(47)	(49)	(47)	(46)

# APPENDIX E GENETIC TOXICOLOGY

Salmonella t	yphimurium Mutagenicity Test Protocol	124
CHINESE HAM	STER OVARY CELL CYTOGENETICS PROTOCOLS	124
Mouse Peripi	HERAL BLOOD MICRONUCLEUS TEST PROTOCOL	125
EVALUATION P	ROTOCOL	125
RESULTS		126
TABLE E1	Mutagenicity of Isoeugenol in Salmonella typhimurium	127
TABLE E2	Induction of Chromosomal Aberrations in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells by Isoeugenol	129
TABLE E3	Frequency of Micronuclei in Peripheral Blood Erythrocytes of Mice Following Exposure to Isoeugenol by Gavage for 3 Months	

# **GENETIC TOXICOLOGY**

### SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM MUTAGENICITY TEST PROTOCOL

Two independent assays for bacterial mutagenicity were conducted with isoeugenol. The first study was performed as reported by Mortelmans *et al.* (1986). Isoeugenol was sent to the laboratory as a coded aliquot from Radian Corporation (Austin, TX). It was incubated with the *Salmonella typhimurium* tester strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537, either in buffer or S9 mix (metabolic activation enzymes and cofactors from Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat or Syrian hamster liver) for 20 minutes at 37° C. The second assay, conducted with the same lot of isoeugenol tested in the 2-year study, used a slightly modified protocol (activation only with rat liver S9) and also employed *Escherichia coli* strain WP2 *uvrA*/pKM101 as a bacterial tester strain in addition to *S. typhimurium* strains TA98 and TA100. Isoeugenol was sent to the testing laboratory as a coded aliquot. It was incubated with the bacterial tester strains either in buffer or S9 mix (metabolic activation enzymes and cofactors from Aroclor 1254-induced with the bacterial tester strains either in buffer or S9 mix (metabolic activation enzymes and cofactors from Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat livers) for 20 minutes at 37° C. Top agar supplemented with L-histidine and d-biotin was added to the cultures, and the contents of the tubes were mixed and poured onto the surfaces of minimal glucose agar plates. Histidine-independent mutant colonies arising on these plates were counted following incubation for 2 days at 37° C.

Each trial consisted of triplicate plates of concurrent positive and negative controls and of at least five doses of isoeugenol. The high dose was limited by toxicity. All trials were repeated.

In this assay, a positive response is defined as a reproducible, dose-related increase in histidine-independent (revertant) colonies in any one strain/activation combination. An equivocal response is defined as an increase in revertants that is not dose related, is not reproducible, or is not of sufficient magnitude to support a determination of mutagenicity. A negative response is obtained when no increase in revertant colonies is observed following chemical treatment. There is no minimum percentage or fold increase required for a chemical to be judged positive or weakly positive.

## CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELL CYTOGENETICS PROTOCOLS

Testing was performed as reported by Galloway *et al.* (1987). Isoeugenol was sent to the testing laboratory as a coded aliquot. It was tested in cultured Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells for induction of chromosomal aberrations (Abs), both in the presence and absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague Dawley rat liver S9 and cofactor mix. Cultures were handled under gold lights to prevent photolysis of bromodeoxyuridine-substituted DNA. Each test consisted of concurrent solvent and positive controls and of at least three doses of isoeugenol; the high dose was limited by toxicity. A single flask per dose was used.

In the Abs test without S9, cells were incubated in McCoy's 5A medium with isoeugenol for 10 hours; Colcemid was added, and incubation continued for 2 hours. The cells were then harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained with Giemsa. For the Abs test with S9, cells were treated with isoeugenol and S9 for 2 hours, after which the treatment medium was removed and the cells were incubated for 10 hours in fresh medium, with Colcemid present for the final 2 hours. Cells were harvested in the same manner as for the treatment without S9.

Cells were selected for scoring on the basis of good morphology and completeness of karyotype  $(21 \pm 2 \text{ chromosomes})$ . All slides were scored blind, and those from a single test were read by the same person. Two hundred first-division metaphase cells were scored at each dose level. Classes of aberrations included simple (breaks and terminal deletions), complex (rearrangements and translocations), and other (pulverized cells, despiralized chromosomes, and cells containing 10 or more aberrations).

125

Chromosomal aberration data are presented as percentage of cells with aberrations. To arrive at a statistical call for a trial, analyses were conducted on both the dose response curve and individual dose points. For a single trial, a statistically significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) difference for one dose point and a significant trend ( $P \le 0.015$ ) were considered weak evidence for a positive response; significant differences for two or more doses indicated the trial was positive. A positive trend test in the absence of a statistically significant increase at any one dose resulted in an equivocal call (Galloway *et al.*, 1987). Ultimately, the trial calls were based on a consideration of the statistical analyses as well as the biological information available to the reviewers.

### **MOUSE PERIPHERAL BLOOD MICRONUCLEUS TEST PROTOCOL**

A detailed discussion of this assay is presented by MacGregor *et al.* (1990). At the end of the 3-month toxicity study, peripheral blood samples were obtained from male and female B6C3F1 mice. Smears were immediately prepared and fixed in absolute methanol. The methanol-fixed slides were stained with acridine orange and coded. Slides were scanned to determine the frequency of micronuclei in 2,000 normochromatic erythrocytes (NCEs) in each animal per treatment group. In addition, the percentage of polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs) in a population of 1,000 erythrocytes was determined as a measure of bone marrow toxicity.

The results were tabulated as the mean of the pooled results from all animals within a treatment group plus or minus the standard error of the mean. The frequency of micronucleated cells among NCEs was analyzed by a statistical software package that tested for increasing trend over dose groups with a one-tailed Cochran-Armitage trend test, followed by pairwise comparisons between each dosed group and the control group. In the presence of excess binomial variation, as detected by a binomial dispersion test, the binomial variance of the Cochran-Armitage test was adjusted upward in proportion to the excess variation. In the micronucleus test, an individual trial is considered positive if the trend test P value is less than or equal to 0.025 or if the P value for any single dosed group is less than or equal to 0.025 divided by the number of dosed groups. A final call of positive for micronucleus induction is preferably based on reproducibly positive trials (as noted above). Results of the 3-month studies were accepted without repeat tests, because additional test data could not be obtained. Ultimately, the final call is determined by the scientific staff after considering the results of statistical analyses, the reproducibility of any effects observed, and the magnitudes of those effects.

## **EVALUATION PROTOCOL**

These are the basic guidelines for arriving at an overall assay result for assays performed by the National Toxicology Program. Statistical as well as biological factors are considered. For an individual assay, the statistical procedures for data analysis have been described in the preceding protocols. There have been instances, however, in which multiple aliquots of a chemical were tested in the same assay, and different results were obtained among aliquots and/or among laboratories. Results from more than one aliquot or from more than one laboratory are not simply combined into an overall result. Rather, all the data are critically evaluated, particularly with regard to pertinent protocol variations, in determining the weight of evidence for an overall conclusion of chemical activity in an assay. In addition to multiple aliquots, the *in vitro* assays have another variable that must be considered in arriving at an overall test result. *In vitro* assays are conducted with and without exogenous metabolic activation. Results obtained in the absence of activation are not combined with results obtained in the presence of activation; each testing condition is evaluated separately. The summary table in the Abstract of this Technical Report presents a result that represents a scientific judgement of the overall evidence for activity of the chemical in an assay.

### RESULTS

Isoeugenol (3.3 to 2,000 µg/plate) was not active in either of two independent assays for mutagenicity in *S. typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, and TA1537 and *E. coli* strain WP2 *uvrA* conducted with and without exogenous metabolic activation (S9 liver enzymes) (Table E1). Isoeugenol (in medium concentrations up to 200 µg/mL) did not induce chromosomal aberrations in cultured CHO cells, with or without S9 activation (Table E2). *In vivo*, the frequencies of micronucleated erythrocytes were not increased in peripheral blood of male B6C3F1 mice exposed to 37.5 to 600 mg/kg isoeugenol by gavage for 3 months; in contrast, results of this test in female mice were judged to be positive, based on a 3.2-fold increase of micronucleated erythrocytes in the 600 mg/kg group and a significant trend (Table E3). No significant changes in the percentage of PCEs were observed over the dose range tested in either males or females, indicating an absence of treatment-related toxicity to the bone marrow.

			Reverta	Revertants/Plate <sup>b</sup>		
Strain Dose (µg/plate)	-\$9			+10% hamster S9		rat S9
	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 1	Trial 2
Study performed at EG&	&G Mason Research In	nstitute <sup>c</sup>				
<b>TA100</b> 0	$137 \pm 9.0$	$165 \pm 5.0$	$162 \pm 7.0$	156 ± 13.0	$151 \pm 1.0$	$134 \pm 5.0$
3.3	$142 \pm 7.0$	$143 \pm 2.0$				
10	$146 \pm 1.0$	$148 \pm 11.0$	$159 \pm 2.0$	$167 \pm 11.0$	$151 \pm 11.0$	$130 \pm 1.0$
33	$142 \pm 2.0$	$147 \pm 7.0$	$155 \pm 2.0$	$150 \pm 3.0$	$147 \pm 11.0$	$121 \pm 7.0$
100	$147 \pm 3.0$	$161 \pm 3.0$	$137 \pm 4.0$	$165 \pm 5.0$	$140 \pm 4.0$	$124 \pm 5.0$
250		$145 \pm 9.0^{d}$				
333	Toxic		$131 \pm 4.0$	$155 \pm 3.0$	$135 \pm 5.0$	$122 \pm 8.0$
800				Toxic		Toxic
1,000			Toxic		Toxic	
Trial summary	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
Positive control <sup>e</sup>	$1,384 \pm 44.0$	$1,460 \pm 37.0$	$1,415 \pm 50.0$	$974 \pm 16.0$	$1,019 \pm 56.0$	$1,308 \pm 16.0$
<b>TA1535</b> 0	$22 \pm 1.0$	$45 \pm 4.0$	$14 \pm 2.0$	$13 \pm 1.0$	$10 \pm 3.0$	$11 \pm 1.0$
3.3	$22 \pm 4.0$	$35 \pm 4.0$				
10	$21 \pm 2.0$	$39 \pm 1.0$	$9 \pm 1.0$	$13 \pm 3.0$	$9 \pm 2.0$	$12 \pm 2.0$
33	$17 \pm 5.0$	$42 \pm 1.0$	$13 \pm 1.0$	$10 \pm 2.0$	$14 \pm 3.0$	$7 \pm 1.0$
100	$17 \pm 3.0$	$35 \pm 3.0$	$10 \pm 3.0$	$12 \pm 3.0$	$8 \pm 1.0$	$9 \pm 2.0$
250		$22 \pm 1.0^{d}$				
333	Toxic		$10 \pm 1.0$	$9 \pm 1.0$	$8 \pm 1.0$	$11 \pm 3.0$
800				Toxic		Toxic
1,000			Toxic		Toxic	
Trial summary	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
Positive control	$1,\!029\pm45.0$	$1,\!347\pm43.0$	$104 \pm 3.0$	$78 \pm 3.0$	$64 \pm 2.0$	$107\pm6.0$
<b>TA1537</b> 0	$6 \pm 1.0$	$8 \pm 2.0$	$7 \pm 2.0$	$9 \pm 2.0$	$9 \pm 1.0$	$8 \pm 1.0$
3.3	$5 \pm 1.0$	$6 \pm 2.0$	,	,	,	
10	$4 \pm 2.0$	$9 \pm 1.0$	$7 \pm 1.0$	$10 \pm 2.0$	$5 \pm 1.0$	$7 \pm 1.0$
33	$4 \pm 1.0$	$8 \pm 3.0$	$9 \pm 1.0$	$8 \pm 1.0$	$9 \pm 1.0$ $9 \pm 1.0$	$8 \pm 2.0$
100	$4 \pm 1.0$ $6 \pm 1.0$	$5 \pm 1.0$	$10 \pm 1.0$	$7 \pm 1.0$	$6 \pm 0.0$	$8 \pm 3.0$
250	0 ± 1.0	$4 \pm 1.0^{d}$	10 ± 1.0	/ ± 1.0	0 ± 0.0	0 ± 5.0
333	Toxic	1 = 1.0	$9 \pm 1.0$	$9 \pm 2.0$	$9 \pm 1.0$	$6 \pm 1.0$
800	TOXIC		) ± 1.0	Toxic	9 - 1.0	Toxic
1,000			Toxic	Тохіс	Toxic	ТОЛІС
Trial summary	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
Positive control	$274 \pm 80.0$	$559 \pm 19.0$	$117 \pm 7.0$	$74 \pm 3.0$	$93 \pm 8.0$	$105 \pm 5.0$
<b>TA98</b> 0	$20 \pm 4.0$	$18 \pm 2.0$	$20 \pm 2.0$	$33 \pm 1.0$	$23 \pm 4.0$	$35 \pm 1.0$
3.3	$14 \pm 2.0$	$20 \pm 2.0$				
10	$14 \pm 1.0$	$16 \pm 3.0$	$20 \pm 1.0$	$33 \pm 3.0$	$25 \pm 2.0$	$26 \pm 1.0$
33	$16 \pm 1.0$	$16 \pm 1.0$	$24 \pm 1.0$	$28 \pm 1.0$	$23 \pm 1.0$	$34 \pm 4.0$
100	$15 \pm 4.0$	10 = 1.0 $17 \pm 1.0$	$22 \pm 1.0$	$26 \pm 4.0$	$26 \pm 1.0$	$27 \pm 4.0$
250		$15 \pm 3.0^{d}$				
333	$12 \pm 3.0^{d}$		$16 \pm 2.0$	$33 \pm 2.0$	$23 \pm 1.0$	$27 \pm 3.0$
800	12 - 5.0		10 - 2.0	Toxic	20 - 1.0	Toxic
1,000			Toxic	•	Toxic	
Trial summary	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative
Positive control	$1,452 \pm 41.0$	$1,671 \pm 20.0$	$1,008 \pm 33.0$	$1,079 \pm 30.0$	$689 \pm 13.0$	$1,220 \pm 47.0$
	$1,752 \pm 41.0$	1,071 - 20.0	1,000 ± 55.0	1,077 - 50.0	$007 \pm 15.0$	1,220 - 47.0

# TABLE E1 Mutagenicity of Isoeugenol in Salmonella typhimurium<sup>a</sup>

		Revertants/Plate							
Strain	Dose		-89		+10% rat \$9				
(µg/plate)	(µg/plate)	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3		
Study pe	rformed at SITEK	Research Laboratories							
TA100	0	$42 \pm 2.0$	$45 \pm 3.0$		83 ± 12.0	$64 \pm 2.0$	$58 \pm 2.0$		
	10	$49 \pm 3.0$	$56 \pm 8.0$						
	50	$48 \pm 1.0$	$44 \pm 4.0$		$63 \pm 2.0$	$63 \pm 5.0$	$49 \pm 8.0$		
	100	$29 \pm 9.0$	$38 \pm 4.0$		$70 \pm 4.0$	$64 \pm 9.0$	$64 \pm 2.0$		
	250	$29 \pm 5.0$	$36 \pm 4.0$						
	500	$32 \pm 3.0$	$27 \pm 1.0$		$47 \pm 7.0$	$47 \pm 7.0$	$40 \pm 2.0$		
	1,000				$20 \pm 2.0$	$43 \pm 5.0$	$26 \pm 4.0$		
	1,500				$10 \pm 0.0$	$23 \pm 2.0$			
	2,000						Toxic		
Trial sun	nmary	Negative	Negative		Negative	Negative	Negative		
Positive	control	$574 \pm 1.0$	$653 \pm 32.0$		$738 \pm 31.0$	$1,161 \pm 65.0$	$510 \pm 27.0$		
TA98	0	$23 \pm 3.0$	$14 \pm 3.0$	$20 \pm 3.0$	$21 \pm 2.0$	$18 \pm 2.0$	$22 \pm 2.0$		
	10	$35 \pm 3.0$	$15 \pm 3.0$	$19 \pm 2.0$					
	50	$21 \pm 2.0$	$13 \pm 2.0$	$16 \pm 3.0$	$17 \pm 3.0$	$24 \pm 3.0$	$26 \pm 1.0$		
	100	$18 \pm 1.0^{d}$	$12 \pm 3.0$	$12 \pm 3.0$	$17 \pm 4.0$	$22 \pm 5.0$	$27 \pm 1.0$		
	250	$15 \pm 1.0^{d}$	$9 \pm 1.0$	$18 \pm 2.0$					
	500	$9 \pm 1.0^{d}$	$8 \pm 0.0$	Toxic	$23 \pm 4.0$	$22 \pm 4.0$	$27 \pm 2.0$		
	1,000				$16 \pm 3.0$	$23 \pm 2.0$	$27 \pm 2.0$		
	1,500				$6 \pm 1.0$		$9 \pm 7.0$		
	2,000					Toxic			
Trial sun	nmary	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative		
Positive	control	$468 \pm 17.0$	$506 \pm 17.0$	$417\pm31.0$	$1,313 \pm 18.0$	$1,\!008\pm32.0$	$372 \pm 38.0$		
Escheri	ichia coli WP2 u	wrA/pKM101 (Analo	ogous to TA102	2)					
	0	$181 \pm 46.0$	$106 \pm 5.0$	$133 \pm 5.0$	$266 \pm 54.0$	$125 \pm 6.0$	$176 \pm 0.0$		
	10	$115 \pm 3.0$	$124 \pm 2.0$	$96 \pm 23.0$	$170 \pm 7.0$	$157 \pm 6.0$	$192 \pm 4.0$		
	50	$135 \pm 3.0$	$109 \pm 5.0$	$164 \pm 6.0$	$178 \pm 7.0$	$156 \pm 3.0$	$196 \pm 4.0$		
	100	$131 \pm 1.0$	$115 \pm 5.0$	$138 \pm 6.0$	$167 \pm 5.0$	$139 \pm 4.0$	$145 \pm 15.0$		
	500	$93 \pm 18.0$	$116 \pm 6.0$	$135 \pm 4.0$	$141 \pm 7.0$	$95 \pm 6.0$	$133 \pm 11.0$		
	750	$36 \pm 1.0$	$117 \pm 1.0$		$153 \pm 8.0$	$82 \pm 6.0$			
	1,000	$109 \pm 8.0$	$111 \pm 11.0$	Toxic	$110 \pm 2.0$	$55 \pm 10.0$	$21 \pm 3.0$		
Trial sun	nmary	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative		
Positive	control	$1,183 \pm 140.0$	$930 \pm 19.0$	$1,529 \pm 121.0$	$710 \pm 32.0$	$878 \pm 12.0$	$1,204 \pm 24.0$		

#### TABLE E1 Mutagenicity of Isoeugenol in Salmonella typhimurium

<sup>a</sup> 0 µg/plate was the solvent control.
 <sup>b</sup> Revertants are presented as mean ± standard error from three plates.
 <sup>c</sup> The detailed protocol and these data are presented by Mortelmans *et al.* (1986).

<sup>d</sup> Slight toxicity

 <sup>e</sup> The positive controls in the absence of metabolic activation were sodium azide (TA100 and 1535), 9-aminoacridine (TA1537),
 <sup>4</sup>-nitro-o-phenylenediamine (TA98), and methyl methanesulfonate (pKM101). The positive control for metabolic activation with all strains was 2-aminoanthracene.

TABLE 1
---------

Induction of Chromosomal Aberrations in Chinese Hamster Ovary Cells by Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

Compound	Dose (µg/mL)	Total Cells Scored	Number of Aberrations	Aberrations/ Cell	Cells with Aberrations (%)
-89					
Harvest time: 12.0 hours Summary: Negative					
Dimethylsulfoxide <sup>b</sup>		200	2	0.01	1.0
Isoeugenol	50.0 100.0 200.0 300.0 <sup>c</sup> 400.0 <sup>c</sup> 500.0 <sup>c</sup>	200 200 200	1 1 1	0.01 0.01 0.01	0.5 0.5 0.5
					$P = 0.710^{d}$
Mitomycin-C <sup>e</sup>	0.4	25	22	0.88	60.0
+\$9					
Harvest time: 12.0 hours Summary: Negative					
Dimethylsulfoxide		200	4	0.02	2.0
Isoeugenol	150.0 160.0 170.0 180.0 <sup>c</sup> 190.0 <sup>f</sup> 200.0 <sup>f</sup>	200 200 200	4 5 3	0.02 0.03 0.02	2.0 2.5 1.5
					P = 0.588
Cyclophosphamide <sup>e</sup>	20.0	25	23	0.92	48.0

<sup>a</sup> Study was performed at SITEK Research Laboratories. The detailed protocol is presented by Galloway *et al.* (1987).
 <sup>b</sup> Solvent control

<sup>c</sup> No dividing cells
 <sup>d</sup> Significance of percent cells with aberrations tested by the linear regression trend test versus log of the dose

Positive control
 f Cells did not survive.

Compound	Dose (mg/kg)	Number of Mice with Erythrocytes Scored	Micronucleated NCEs/1,000 NCEs <sup>b</sup>	P Value <sup>c</sup>	PCEs (%)
Male					
Corn oil <sup>d</sup>	0	5	$0.90 \pm 0.37$		2.3
Isoeugenol	37.5	5	$1.60 \pm 0.46$	0.0806	2.8
-	75	5	$0.70 \pm 0.25$	0.6915	3.1
	150	5	$0.90 \pm 0.24$	0.5000	2.8
	300	5	$0.30 \pm 0.12$	0.9584	2.5
	600	5	$0.90 \pm 0.19$	0.5000	2.9
			$P = 0.841^{e}$		
Female					
Corn oil	0	8	$0.50 \pm 0.16$		2.8
Isoeugenol	37.5	5	$1.10 \pm 0.19$	0.0408	3.5
-	75	5	$0.20 \pm 0.12$	0.8850	3.1
	150	5	$0.70 \pm 0.30$	0.2568	2.7
	300	5	$1.00 \pm 0.35$	0.0680	3.4
	600	5	$1.60 \pm 0.40$	0.0022	2.4
			P = 0.001		

#### TABLE E3 Frequency of Micronuclei in Peripheral Blood Erythrocytes of Mice Following Exposure to Isoeugenol by Gavage for 3 Months<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Study was performed at SITEK Research Laboratories. The detailed protocol is presented by MacGregor et al. (1990).

NCE = normochromatic erythrocyte; PCE = polychromatic erythrocyte

<sup>b</sup> Mean  $\pm$  standard error <sup>c</sup> Pairwise comparison with the vehicle control; dosed group values are significant at P $\le 0.005$ 

<sup>d</sup> Vehicle control

<sup>e</sup> Significance of micronucleated NCEs/1,000 NCEs tested by the one-tailed trend test; significant at P≤0.025

# APPENDIX F CLINICAL PATHOLOGY RESULTS

TABLE F1	Hematology and Clinical Chemistry Data for Rats	
	in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	132
TABLE F2	Hematology Data for Mice in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	137

Hematology and Clinical Chemistry Data for Rats in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

Day 4 $6.87 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 2           Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.38 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy         Day 23           Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $0.10 \pm 0.0$ Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 23           Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 4           Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 23           Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4           Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4           Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$	trol	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
n         10           Day 4         10           Day 23         9           Week 14         10           Hematocrit (%)         Day 4           Day 23         42.0 ± 0.4           Week 14         45.2 ± 0.4           Hemoglobin (g/dL)         Day 4           Day 23         15.3 ± 0.1           Day 23         15.3 ± 0.1           Day 23         15.3 ± 0.1           Week 14         14.9 ± 0.1           Erythrocytes (10 <sup>6</sup> /µL)         Day 4           Day 23         7.68 ± 0.0           Week 14         8.75 ± 0.0           Reticulocytes (10 <sup>6</sup> /µL)         Day 4           Day 4         0.38 ± 0.0           Day 23         0.29 ± 0.0           Week 14         0.12 ± 0.0           Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy:         Day 4           Day 23         10.56 ± 10           Week 14         10.10 ± 10           Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 4           Day 23         54.8 ± 0.2           Week 14         17.0 ± 0.1           Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 4           Day 23         36.4 ± 0.2           Week 14         32.9 ± 0.2 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>						
n         Day 4         10           Day 23         9           Week 14         10           Hematocrit (%)         Day 4         37.6 $\pm$ 0.3           Day 23         42.0 $\pm$ 0.4           Week 14         45.2 $\pm$ 0.4           Hemoglobin (g/dL)         Day 4         13.2 $\pm$ 0.1           Day 23         15.3 $\pm$ 0.1         Day 23         15.3 $\pm$ 0.1           Day 23         15.3 $\pm$ 0.1         Week 14         14.9 $\pm$ 0.1           Erythrocytes (10 <sup>6</sup> /µL)         Day 4         6.87 $\pm$ 0.0           Day 23         7.68 $\pm$ 0.0         Week 14         8.75 $\pm$ 0.0           Reticulocytes (10 <sup>6</sup> /µL)         Day 4         0.38 $\pm$ 0.0           Day 4         0.38 $\pm$ 0.0         Week 14         0.12 $\pm$ 0.0           Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy:         Day 4         0.00 $\pm$ 0.0           Day 23         10.56 $\pm$ 10         Week 14         10.10 $\pm$ 10           Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 4         54.7 $\pm$ 0.3         Day 23         54.8 $\pm$ 0.2           Week 14         17.0 $\pm$ 0.1         Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 23         36.4 $\pm$ 0.2           Week 14         17.0 $\pm$ 0.1         Meak 14         20.9 $\pm$ 0.2         Week 14						
Day 23         9           Week 14         10           Hematocrit (%)         37.6 ± 0.3           Day 23         42.0 ± 0.4           Week 14         45.2 ± 0.4           Hemoglobin (g/dL)         Day 4           Day 23         15.3 ± 0.1           Week 14         14.9 ± 0.1           Erythrocytes (10 <sup>6</sup> /µL)         Day 4           Day 23         7.68 ± 0.0           Week 14         8.75 ± 0.0           Reticulocytes (10 <sup>6</sup> /µL)         Day 4           Day 23         0.29 ± 0.0           Week 14         0.12 ± 0.0           Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy:         Day 4           Day 23         10.56 ± 10           Week 14         10.10 ± 10           Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 4           Day 23         54.8 ± 0.2           Week 14         17.0 ± 0.1           Mean cell hemoglobin concentration           Day 23         36.4 ± 0.2           Week 14         32.9 ± 0.2           Week 14         32.9 ± 0.2           Week 14         32.9 ± 0.2						
Week 14       10         Hematocrit (%) $37.6 \pm 0.3$ Day 23 $42.0 \pm 0.4$ Week 14 $45.2 \pm 0.4$ Hemoglobin (g/dL)       Day 23         Day 23 $15.3 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $15.3 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $14.9 \pm 0.1$ Erythrocytes ( $10^6/\mu$ L)       Day 4         Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes ( $10^6/\mu$ L)       Day 4         Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy:       Day 4         Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)       Day 4         Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.3$ Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Week 14       <		10	10	10	10	10
Hematocrit (%)       Day 4 $37.6 \pm 0.3$ Day 23 $42.0 \pm 0.4$ Week 14 $45.2 \pm 0.4$ Hemoglobin (g/dL)       Day 23 $15.3 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $15.3 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $14.9 \pm 0.1$ Erythrocytes ( $10^{6}/\mu$ L)       Day 4 $6.87 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes ( $10^{6}/\mu$ L)       Day 4 $0.38 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy       Day 4         Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)       Day 4         Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.3$ Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)       Day 4         Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration       Day 23         Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $12.9 \pm 0.1$ Mea		9	9	9	8	10
Day 4 $37.6 \pm 0.3$ Day 23 $42.0 \pm 0.4$ Week 14 $45.2 \pm 0.4$ Hemoglobin (g/dL)         Day 23           Day 23 $15.3 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $14.9 \pm 0.1$ Erythrocytes ( $10^6/\mu$ L)         Day 4           Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes ( $10^6/\mu$ L)         Day 4           Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy         Day 4           Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy         Day 4           Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 4           Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 4           Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration           Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.$		9	10	9	10	9
Day 23 $42.0 \pm 0.4$ Week 14 $45.2 \pm 0.4$ Hemoglobin (g/dL)Day 4Day 23 $15.3 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $14.9 \pm 0.1$ Erythrocytes ( $10^6/\mu$ L)Day 4Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes ( $10^6/\mu$ L)Day 4 $0.38 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocyDay 4 $0.00 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)Day 4 $35.1 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentrationDay 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)Day 4 $812.4 \pm 31$ Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu$ L)Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$						
Week 14 $45.2 \pm 0.4$ Hemoglobin (g/dL)       Day 4 $13.2 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $15.3 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $14.9 \pm 0.1$ Erythrocytes ( $10^6/\mu$ L)       Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes ( $10^6/\mu$ L)       Day 23         Day 4 $0.38 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy:       Day 4         Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)       Day 4         Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)       Day 4         Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration       Day 23         Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)       Day 4         Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ <t< td=""><td>3</td><td><math>38.0 \pm 0.3</math></td><td><math>38.4 \pm 0.6</math></td><td><math>37.5 \pm 0.3</math></td><td><math>38.5 \pm 0.4</math></td><td><math>37.2 \pm 0.4</math></td></t<>	3	$38.0 \pm 0.3$	$38.4 \pm 0.6$	$37.5 \pm 0.3$	$38.5 \pm 0.4$	$37.2 \pm 0.4$
Hemoglobin (g/dL)         Day 4 $13.2 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $15.3 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $14.9 \pm 0.1$ Erythrocytes ( $10^6/\mu$ L)       Day 23         Day 4 $6.87 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes ( $10^6/\mu$ L)       Day 4         Day 4 $0.38 \pm 0.0$ Day 4 $0.38 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy         Day 4 $0.00 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)       Day 4         Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)       Day 4         Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)       Day 4         Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ <	4	$41.2 \pm 0.4$	$41.0 \pm 0.3$	$42.3 \pm 0.5$	$41.7 \pm 0.3$	$41.9\pm0.4$
Day 4 $13.2 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $15.3 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $14.9 \pm 0.1$ Erythrocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 4           Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 4           Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 23           Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy         Day 4           Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 4           Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 4           Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration           Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 4           Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.$	4	$45.5\pm0.3$	$45.5 \pm 0.3$	$46.0\pm0.4$	$45.8\pm0.4$	$46.2\pm0.6$
Day 23 $15.3 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $14.9 \pm 0.1$ Erythrocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 4 $6.87 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 4 $0.38 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocyDay 4 $0.00 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)Day 4 $19.2 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentrationDay 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 4 $812.4 \pm 31$ Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$						
Week 14 $14.9 \pm 0.1$ Erythrocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 4 $6.87 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 4 $0.38 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy         Day 4 $0.00 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 4 $54.7 \pm 0.3$ Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 4 $19.2 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $22.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 4 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ $12.4 \pm 31$ Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $72.9 \pm 18$ Leukocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$ $20.9 \pm 18$ $10.2 \pm 13$	1	$13.3 \pm 0.1$	$13.4 \pm 0.2$	$13.2 \pm 0.1$	$13.5 \pm 0.1$	$13.1 \pm 0.2$
Erythrocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 4 $6.87 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 4           Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy         Day 4           Day 23 $0.00 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 23           Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.3$ Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 4           Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 23           Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 4           Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 4           Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0$		$14.8\pm0.1$	$14.7 \pm 0.2$	$15.2 \pm 0.1$	$14.9 \pm 0.1$	$14.9\pm0.1$
Day 4 $6.87 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 2           Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.38 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy         Day 23           Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $0.10 \pm 0.0$ Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 23           Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 4           Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 23           Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4           Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4           Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$	1	$15.0 \pm 0.1$	$15.0 \pm 0.1$	$15.2 \pm 0.1$	$15.0 \pm 0.2$	$15.1 \pm 0.2$
Day 23 $7.68 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes ( $10^{6}/\mu$ L)         Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocyt         Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $0.10 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 4 $35.1 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4 $812.4 \pm 31$ Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$ $7.63 \pm 0.2$						
Week 14 $8.75 \pm 0.0$ Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 4 $0.38 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocyticDay 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentrationDay 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$		$6.90\pm0.07$	$7.01\pm0.09$	$6.74 \pm 0.12$	$6.98\pm0.07$	$6.76\pm0.07$
Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$ Day 4 $0.38 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $0.29 \pm 0.0$ Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy         Day 23           Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 23           Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 23           Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 23           Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu L$ )         Day 4           Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu L$ )         Day 4           Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$		$7.49\pm0.06$	$7.52 \pm 0.07$	$7.67 \pm 0.10$	$7.67 \pm 0.07$	$7.73 \pm 0.07$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	09	$8.87\pm0.05$	$8.91 \pm 0.07$	$8.97 \pm 0.08^{*}$	$8.95 \pm 0.07*$	$9.14 \pm 0.10^{**}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} Day 23 & 0.29 \pm 0.0 \\ Week 14 & 0.12 \pm 0.0 \\ Wucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocytes/100 leukocytes/200 leukocytes$						
Week 14 $0.12 \pm 0.0$ Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy         Day 4 $0.00 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.3$ Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4 $812.4 \pm 31$ Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu$ L)           Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$		$0.33 \pm 0.03$	$0.38 \pm 0.02$	$0.45 \pm 0.06$	$0.39 \pm 0.03$	$0.28\pm0.02$
Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocy           Day 4 $0.00 \pm 0.0$ Day 23 $10.56 \pm 10$ Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 23           Day 23 $54.7 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 4           Day 23 $19.2 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 23           Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4           Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4           Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$		$0.33 \pm 0.03$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$	$0.34 \pm 0.03$	$0.29 \pm 0.02$	$0.30 \pm 0.02$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$0.10\pm0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} Day 23 & 10.56 \pm 10 \\ Week 14 & 10.10 \pm 10 \\ Mean cell volume (fL) \\ Day 4 & 54.7 \pm 0.3 \\ Day 23 & 54.8 \pm 0.2 \\ Week 14 & 51.6 \pm 0.1 \\ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg) \\ Day 4 & 19.2 \pm 0.1 \\ Day 23 & 19.9 \pm 0.1 \\ Week 14 & 17.0 \pm 0.1 \\ Week 14 & 17.0 \pm 0.1 \\ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration \\ Day 23 & 36.4 \pm 0.2 \\ Week 14 & 32.9 \pm 0.2 \\ Week 14 & 32.9 \pm 0.2 \\ Platelets (10^3/\mu L) \\ Day 4 & 812.4 \pm 31 \\ Day 23 & 790.1 \pm 13 \\ Week 14 & 729.0 \pm 18 \\ Leukocytes (10^3/\mu L) \\ Day 4 & 7.63 \pm 0.2 \\ Day 23 & 9.84 \pm 0.4 \\ \end{array}$						
Week 14 $10.10 \pm 10$ Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 23 $19.2 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $19.2 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration           Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu$ L)           Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.2$ Day 4           Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$		$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
Mean cell volume (fL)         Day 4 $54.7 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $54.8 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)       Day 23         Day 23 $19.2 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$		$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$7.33 \pm 7.33$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$9.10 \pm 9.10$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.10	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$7.60 \pm 7.60$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$8.80 \pm 8.80$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
$\begin{array}{ccccc} Day 23 & 54.8 \pm 0.2 \\ Week 14 & 51.6 \pm 0.1 \\ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg) \\ Day 4 & 19.2 \pm 0.1 \\ Day 23 & 19.9 \pm 0.1 \\ Week 14 & 17.0 \pm 0.1 \\ Week 14 & 17.0 \pm 0.1 \\ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration \\ Day 4 & 35.1 \pm 0.2 \\ Day 23 & 36.4 \pm 0.2 \\ Week 14 & 32.9 \pm 0.2 \\ Platelets (10^3/\mu L) \\ Day 4 & 812.4 \pm 31 \\ Day 23 & 790.1 \pm 13 \\ Week 14 & 729.0 \pm 18 \\ Leukocytes (10^3/\mu L) \\ Day 4 & 7.63 \pm 0.2 \\ Day 23 & 9.84 \pm 0.4 \\ \end{array}$						
Week 14 $51.6 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)         Day 4 $19.2 \pm 0.1$ Day 23 $19.9 \pm 0.1$ Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$		$55.0 \pm 0.2$	$54.8 \pm 0.2$	$55.7 \pm 0.7$	$55.2 \pm 0.3$	$55.1 \pm 0.3$
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)} \\ \mbox{Day 4} & 19.2 \pm 0.1 \\ \mbox{Day 23} & 19.9 \pm 0.1 \\ \mbox{Week 14} & 17.0 \pm 0.1 \\ \mbox{Mean cell hemoglobin concentration} \\ \mbox{Day 4} & 35.1 \pm 0.2 \\ \mbox{Day 23} & 36.4 \pm 0.2 \\ \mbox{Week 14} & 32.9 \pm 0.2 \\ \mbox{Platelets (10^3/\mu L)} \\ \mbox{Day 4} & 812.4 \pm 31 \\ \mbox{Day 23} & 790.1 \pm 13 \\ \mbox{Week 14} & 729.0 \pm 18 \\ \mbox{Leukocytes (10^3/\mu L)} \\ \mbox{Day 4} & 7.63 \pm 0.2 \\ \mbox{Day 23} & 9.84 \pm 0.4 \\ \end{tabular}$		$55.0 \pm 0.2$	$54.5 \pm 0.2$	$55.1 \pm 0.3$	$54.3 \pm 0.3$	$54.2 \pm 0.2$
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Day \ 4 & 19.2 \pm 0.1 \\ Day \ 23 & 19.9 \pm 0.1 \\ Week \ 14 & 17.0 \pm 0.1 \\ Week \ 14 & 17.0 \pm 0.1 \\ Mean \ cell \ hemoglobin \ concentration \\ Day \ 4 & 35.1 \pm 0.2 \\ Day \ 23 & 36.4 \pm 0.2 \\ Week \ 14 & 32.9 \pm 0.2 \\ \\ Platelets \ (10^3/\mu L) \\ Day \ 4 & 812.4 \pm 31 \\ Day \ 23 & 790.1 \pm 13 \\ Week \ 14 & 729.0 \pm 18 \\ \\ Leukocytes \ (10^3/\mu L) \\ Day \ 4 & 7.63 \pm 0.2 \\ Day \ 23 & 9.84 \pm 0.4 \\ \end{array}$	1	$51.3 \pm 0.2$	$51.1 \pm 0.1^{**}$	$51.2 \pm 0.1^*$	$51.1 \pm 0.1^*$	$50.6 \pm 0.1^{**}$
$\begin{array}{ccccc} Day 23 & 19.9 \pm 0.1 \\ Week 14 & 17.0 \pm 0.1 \\ Week 14 & 17.0 \pm 0.1 \\ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration \\ Day 4 & 35.1 \pm 0.2 \\ Day 23 & 36.4 \pm 0.2 \\ Week 14 & 32.9 \pm 0.2 \\ \end{array}$ Platelets ( $10^{3}/\mu$ L) Day 4 & 812.4 \pm 31 \\ Day 23 & 790.1 \pm 13 \\ Week 14 & 729.0 \pm 18 \\ Leukocytes ( $10^{3}/\mu$ L) \\ Day 4 & 7.63 \pm 0.2 \\ Day 23 & 9.84 \pm 0.4 \\ \end{array}						
Week 14 $17.0 \pm 0.1$ Mean cell hemoglobin concentration         Day 4 $35.1 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.2$ $79.4 \pm 0.2$		$19.3 \pm 0.1$	$19.1 \pm 0.1$	$19.6 \pm 0.3$	$19.3 \pm 0.1$	$19.4 \pm 0.1$
Mean cell hemoglobin concentration           Day 4 $35.1 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $36.4 \pm 0.2$ Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 23           Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu$ L)         Day 4           Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$		$19.8 \pm 0.2$	$19.5 \pm 0.2$	$19.8 \pm 0.2$	$19.4 \pm 0.1$ $16.8 \pm 0.0^{**}$	$19.3 \pm 0.1^{**}$
$\begin{array}{cccc} Day \ 4 & 35.1 \pm 0.2 \\ Day \ 23 & 36.4 \pm 0.2 \\ Week \ 14 & 32.9 \pm 0.2 \\ \end{array}$ Platelets (10 <sup>3</sup> /µL) Day \ 4 & 812.4 \pm 31 \\ Day \ 23 & 790.1 \pm 13 \\ Week \ 14 & 729.0 \pm 18 \\ Leukocytes (10 <sup>3</sup> /µL) \\ Day \ 4 & 7.63 \pm 0.2 \\ Day \ 23 & 9.84 \pm 0.4 \\ \end{array}		$16.9 \pm 0.1$	$16.8 \pm 0.1$	$16.9 \pm 0.0$	$16.8 \pm 0.0$	$16.5 \pm 0.1^{**}$
$\begin{array}{cccccc} & 36.4 \pm 0.2 \\ & Week \ 14 & 32.9 \pm 0.2 \\ \\ Platelets \ (10^3/\mu L) & \\ & Day \ 4 & 812.4 \pm 31 \\ & Day \ 23 & 790.1 \pm 13 \\ \\ & Week \ 14 & 729.0 \pm 18 \\ \\ & Leukocytes \ (10^3/\mu L) & \\ & Day \ 4 & 7.63 \pm 0.2 \\ & Day \ 23 & 9.84 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$		251.02	24.0 + 0.1	25.2 + 0.2	25.0 + 0.2	25.2 + 0.2
Week 14 $32.9 \pm 0.2$ Platelets $(10^3/\mu L)$ $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$		$35.1 \pm 0.2$ $35.9 \pm 0.3$	$34.9 \pm 0.1$ $35.9 \pm 0.3$	$35.2 \pm 0.2$ $35.9 \pm 0.2$	$35.0 \pm 0.2$ $35.8 \pm 0.2$	$35.3 \pm 0.2$ $35.5 \pm 0.2$
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Platelets (10^3/\mu L)} & & & \\ \mbox{Day 4} & & 812.4 \pm 31 \\ \mbox{Day 23} & 790.1 \pm 13 \\ \mbox{Week 14} & 729.0 \pm 18 \\ \mbox{Leukocytes (10^3/\mu L)} & & \\ \mbox{Day 4} & & 7.63 \pm 0.2 \\ \mbox{Day 23} & & 9.84 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$		$33.9 \pm 0.3$ $33.0 \pm 0.2$	$33.0 \pm 0.2$	$33.0 \pm 0.1$	$35.8 \pm 0.2$ $32.8 \pm 0.1$	$33.5 \pm 0.2$ $32.7 \pm 0.1$
$\begin{array}{cccc} Day \ 4 & 812.4 \pm 31 \\ Day \ 23 & 790.1 \pm 13 \\ Week \ 14 & 729.0 \pm 18 \\ Leukocytes \ (10^3/\mu L) & \\ Day \ 4 & 7.63 \pm 0.3 \\ Day \ 23 & 9.84 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$	2	$33.0 \pm 0.2$	$55.0 \pm 0.2$	$33.0 \pm 0.1$	$52.8 \pm 0.1$	$32.7 \pm 0.1$
Day 23 $790.1 \pm 13$ Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu L$ ) $7.63 \pm 0.3$ Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.3$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$	1.4	$799.6 \pm 48.0$	842.7 ± 15.3	884.3 ± 21.7	$908.3 \pm 15.0^{**}$	$902.1 \pm 24.0^{**}$
Week 14 $729.0 \pm 18$ Leukocytes ( $10^3/\mu L$ ) $7.63 \pm 0.3$ Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.3$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$		$799.6 \pm 48.0$ $753.6 \pm 31.8$	$842.7 \pm 15.3$ $751.3 \pm 22.6$	$884.3 \pm 21.7$ $821.9 \pm 13.0$	$908.3 \pm 15.0$ $837.8 \pm 18.3$	$902.1 \pm 24.0$ $831.1 \pm 26.3$
Leukocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$ Day 4 7.63 ± 0.2 Day 23 9.84 ± 0.2		$692.8 \pm 22.2$	$731.3 \pm 22.0$ $718.9 \pm 17.9$	$727.8 \pm 11.5$	$756.5 \pm 8.4$	$754.9 \pm 14.8$
Day 4 $7.63 \pm 0.2$ Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$		072.0 - 22.2	110.7 ± 11.7	727.0 ± 11.0	700.0 ± 0.4	101.7 - 14.0
Day 23 $9.84 \pm 0.4$	37	$7.28 \pm 0.23$	$7.98 \pm 0.51$	$8.18 \pm 0.38$	$7.53 \pm 0.26$	$8.21 \pm 0.41$
5		$10.31 \pm 0.51$	$9.28 \pm 0.51$	$9.07 \pm 0.34$	$9.76 \pm 0.57$	$9.58 \pm 0.58$
Week 14 $8.97 \pm 0.4$		$10.01 \pm 0.01$ $10.02 \pm 0.18$	$9.28 \pm 0.34$ $8.88 \pm 0.47$	$10.12 \pm 0.43$	$8.25 \pm 0.53$	$9.47 \pm 0.36$
Segmented neutrophils $(10^3/\mu L)$		10.02 - 0.10	0.00 - 0.17	10.12 - 0.15	0.20 - 0.00	2 = 0.50
Day 4 $(10 / \mu L)$	08	$0.89 \pm 0.12$	$0.97 \pm 0.10$	$0.91 \pm 0.11$	$0.89 \pm 0.09$	$0.97 \pm 0.07$
Day 23 $1.10 \pm 0.1$		$0.89 \pm 0.12$ $1.25 \pm 0.14$	$0.97 \pm 0.10$ $0.92 \pm 0.09$	$0.91 \pm 0.11$ $0.94 \pm 0.13$	$0.89 \pm 0.09$ $1.13 \pm 0.09$	$0.97 \pm 0.07$ $1.20 \pm 0.16$
Week 14 $1.13 \pm 0.0$		$1.25 \pm 0.14$ $1.11 \pm 0.11$	$1.01 \pm 0.08$	$1.21 \pm 0.12$	$1.06 \pm 0.08$	$1.16 \pm 0.12$

Hematology and Clinical Chemistry Data for Rats in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

Vehic	le Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
Male (continued)						
Hematology (continued)						
n Dev 4	10	10	10	10	10	10
Day 4 Day 23	9	9	9	9	8	10
Week 14	10	9	10	9	10	9
Bands $(10^3/\mu L)$						
	$.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
5	$.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
5	$.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
Lymphocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$						
	$.70 \pm 0.37$	$6.27 \pm 0.19$	$6.91 \pm 0.43$	$7.17 \pm 0.30$	$6.54 \pm 0.24$	$7.10 \pm 0.42$
5	$.51 \pm 0.39$	$8.92 \pm 0.51$	$8.11 \pm 0.50$	$7.95 \pm 0.29$	$8.51 \pm 0.59$	$8.20 \pm 0.46$
	$.50 \pm 0.38$	$8.61\pm0.19$	$7.47\pm0.44$	$8.48\pm0.41$	$6.93 \pm 0.47$	$7.92\pm0.36$
Monocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$						
Day 4 0	$.08 \pm 0.02$	$0.10 \pm 0.02$	$0.06\pm0.02$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	$0.12 \pm 0.03$
Day 23 0	$.19 \pm 0.06$	$0.14 \pm 0.05$	$0.22\pm0.07$	$0.16\pm0.05$	$0.09 \pm 0.03$	$0.15\pm0.03$
	$.29 \pm 0.07$	$0.29\pm0.05$	$0.31 \pm 0.03$	$0.36\pm0.06$	$0.24 \pm 0.03$	$0.33\pm0.07$
Basophils (10 <sup>3</sup> /μL)						
Day 4 0.0	$000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$
-	$000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$
	$000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$
Eosinophils (10 <sup>3</sup> /µL)						
5	$.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.05\pm0.02$	$0.05\pm0.02$	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
2	$.05 \pm 0.02$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$
Week 14 0	$.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.09 \pm 0.04$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.06 \pm 0.03$
Clinical Chemistry						
n D	10	10	10	10	10	10
Day 4	10	10	10	10	10	10
Day 23 Week 14	10 10	10 10	10 10	10 10	10 10	10 9
Week 14	10	10	10	10	10	9
Jrea nitrogen (mg/dL)						
5	$9.1 \pm 0.5$	$10.1 \pm 0.5$	$9.1 \pm 0.6$	$9.3 \pm 0.5$	$9.7 \pm 0.6$	$9.1 \pm 0.6$
5	$4.4 \pm 0.5$	$14.0 \pm 0.4$	$13.3 \pm 0.5$	$13.6 \pm 0.4$	$14.2 \pm 0.6$	$13.7 \pm 0.4$
	$0.7 \pm 0.3$	$10.7 \pm 0.5$	$11.1 \pm 0.3$	$10.6 \pm 0.4$	$11.8 \pm 0.4$	$11.6 \pm 0.6$
Creatinine (mg/dL)				*		
•	$.40 \pm 0.00$	$0.40 \pm 0.00$	$0.40 \pm 0.00$	$0.43 \pm 0.02^*$	$0.41 \pm 0.01$	$0.40 \pm 0.00$
	$.44 \pm 0.02$	$0.43 \pm 0.02$	$0.42 \pm 0.02$	$0.42 \pm 0.01$	$0.44 \pm 0.02$	$0.44 \pm 0.02$
	$.61 \pm 0.01$	$0.60 \pm 0.00$	$0.58 \pm 0.01$	$0.58 \pm 0.01$	$0.60 \pm 0.00$	$0.56 \pm 0.02^{*3}$
Fotal protein (g/dL)	55101	E ( · 0.1	66.01	55.01	50.01	67.01
2	$5.5 \pm 0.1$	$5.6 \pm 0.1$	$5.5 \pm 0.1$	$5.5 \pm 0.1$	$5.8 \pm 0.1$	$5.7 \pm 0.1$
2	$6.3 \pm 0.1$	$6.3 \pm 0.1$ $6.7 \pm 0.1$	$6.1 \pm 0.1$ $6.7 \pm 0.1$	$6.4 \pm 0.1$ 6.7 ± 0.0	$6.4 \pm 0.1$	$6.3 \pm 0.0$ $6.9 \pm 0.1$
	$6.8 \pm 0.1$	$6.7 \pm 0.1$	$6.7 \pm 0.1$	$6.7 \pm 0.0$	$6.9 \pm 0.1$	$6.9 \pm 0.1$
Albumin (g/dL)	$2.0 \pm 0.0$	2.0 + 0.0	20 + 00	28.100	$2.0 \pm 0.1$	$2.0 \pm 0.0$
	$3.9 \pm 0.0$ $4.2 \pm 0.0$	$3.9 \pm 0.0$	$3.9 \pm 0.0$	$3.8 \pm 0.0$	$3.9 \pm 0.1$	$3.9 \pm 0.0$
	$4.2 \pm 0.0$ $4.4 \pm 0.0$	$4.2 \pm 0.0$ $4.3 \pm 0.0$	$4.1 \pm 0.0$ $4.3 \pm 0.0$	$4.3 \pm 0.0$ $4.3 \pm 0.0$	$4.2 \pm 0.0$ $4.5 \pm 0.1$	$4.3 \pm 0.0$ $4.5 \pm 0.0$
		$+.5 \pm 0.0$	4.5 ± 0.0	4.5 ± 0.0	$4.5 \pm 0.1$	$4.3 \pm 0.0$
Alanine aminotransferase (I Day 4	U/L) 84 ± 2	$83 \pm 2$	$77 \pm 2$	$82 \pm 2$	$82 \pm 3$	$86 \pm 2$
Day 4 Day 23	$84 \pm 2$ $44 \pm 1$	$83 \pm 2$ $43 \pm 1$	$77 \pm 2$ $43 \pm 2$	$82 \pm 2$ $49 \pm 1^*$	$82 \pm 3$ $49 \pm 2*$	$80 \pm 2$ $63 \pm 13^{**}$
Week 14	$44 \pm 1$ $48 \pm 3$	$43 \pm 1$ $48 \pm 1$	$43 \pm 2$ $49 \pm 2$	$49 \pm 1$ $45 \pm 1$	$49 \pm 2^{49}$ $47 \pm 1$	$63 \pm 13$ $47 \pm 1$
THE IT	-0-0	$-10 \pm 1$	$\neg \gamma \perp \Delta$	-1 - Cr	$\neg \prime \perp 1$	$\neg / \perp 1$

Hematology and Clinical Chemistry Data for Rats in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

Ve	hicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
Male (continued)						
Clinical Chemistry (	continued)					
n						
Day 4	10	10	10	10	10	10
Day 23	10	10	10	10	10	10
Week 14	10	10	10	10	10	9
Alkaline phosphatase (II	U/L)					
Day 4	$882 \pm 14$	$843 \pm 17$	$852 \pm 16$	$899 \pm 19$	$830 \pm 20$	$865 \pm 33$
Day 23	$635 \pm 12$	$640 \pm 14$	$620 \pm 14$	$654 \pm 24$	$641 \pm 13$	$654 \pm 20$
Week 14	$248 \pm 6$	$263 \pm 5$	$257 \pm 6$	$246 \pm 5$	$252 \pm 8$	$238 \pm 6$
Creatine kinase (IU/L)						
Day 4	$337 \pm 28$	$337 \pm 30$	$351 \pm 41$	$245 \pm 23$	$298 \pm 27$	$346 \pm 45$
Day 23	$500 \pm 67$	$477\pm47$	$388 \pm 38$	$374 \pm 46$	$529 \pm 131$	$463\pm87$
Week 14	$114 \pm 14$	$155 \pm 22$	$110 \pm 19$	$129 \pm 51$	$90 \pm 15$	$116 \pm 21$
Sorbitol dehydrogenase	(IU/L)					
Day 4	$17 \pm 1$	$18 \pm 1$	$17 \pm 0$	$17 \pm 1$	$18 \pm 1$	$17 \pm 1$
Day 23	$23 \pm 2^{b}$	$24 \pm 1$	$24 \pm 2$	$24 \pm 1$	$24 \pm 1$	$38 \pm 14$
Week 14	$20 \pm 2$	$18 \pm 1$	$18 \pm 1$	$17 \pm 1$	$14 \pm 0^{**}$	$14 \pm 1^{**}$
Bile acids (µmol/L)						
Day 4	$31.7 \pm 3.0$	$24.2 \pm 1.3$	$29.4 \pm 2.5$	$32.2 \pm 2.1$	$29.4 \pm 2.4$	$38.1 \pm 4.2$
Day 23	$19.5 \pm 1.1$	$18.3 \pm 1.3$	$21.1 \pm 1.5$	$23.4 \pm 1.6$	$30.4 \pm 3.8^*$	$33.0 \pm 3.0^{**}$
Week 14	$18.2 \pm 2.5$	$18.7 \pm 2.5$	$23.0 \pm 3.2$	$26.7 \pm 3.5$	$28.8 \pm 3.5$	$27.1 \pm 3.3$
Female						
Hematology						
n Day 4	10	10	10	10	9	10
Day 23	10	10	9	10	10	10
Week 14	10	9	9	10	10	10
Hematocrit (%)	10 6 . 0 5	11.0 - 0.1	10.1 . 0.1	41.4.0.7	20.6.00	20.0.1.0.4
Day 4	$40.6 \pm 0.5$	$41.0 \pm 0.4$	$40.1 \pm 0.4$	$41.4 \pm 0.5$	$39.6 \pm 0.8$	$39.9 \pm 0.4$
Day 23 Week 14	$42.5 \pm 0.5$	$42.6 \pm 0.4$	$43.2 \pm 0.6$	$42.7 \pm 0.5$ $44.6 \pm 0.4$	$43.3 \pm 0.4$	$43.0 \pm 0.3$
	$43.7 \pm 0.3$	$44.8 \pm 0.4$	$44.5 \pm 0.5$	$44.0 \pm 0.4$	$44.7 \pm 0.3$	$44.6 \pm 0.5$
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	142 + 0.2	144 + 0.2	141 + 01	14 ( + 0.2	12.0 + 0.2	140 - 02
Day 4	$14.2 \pm 0.2$	$14.4 \pm 0.2$	$14.1 \pm 0.1$	$14.6 \pm 0.2$	$13.9 \pm 0.3$	$14.0 \pm 0.2$
Day 23 Week 14	$15.2 \pm 0.2$ $14.6 \pm 0.1$	$15.2 \pm 0.1$ $14.9 \pm 0.2$	$15.4 \pm 0.2$ $14.8 \pm 0.2$	$15.3 \pm 0.2$ $14.9 \pm 0.1$	$15.5 \pm 0.1$ $14.8 \pm 0.1$	$15.3 \pm 0.1$ $14.6 \pm 0.1$
,	$14.0 \pm 0.1$	$14.9 \pm 0.2$	$14.0 \pm 0.2$	$14.9 \pm 0.1$	$14.0 \pm 0.1$	$14.0 \pm 0.1$
Erythrocytes (10 <sup>6</sup> /µL) Day 4	$7.27 \pm 0.00$	7 42 . 0.00	$7.24 \pm 0.07$	$7.52 \pm 0.10$	$7.14 \pm 0.14$	$7.20 \pm 0.00$
5	$7.27 \pm 0.09$ $7.76 \pm 0.09$	$7.43 \pm 0.08$ $7.76 \pm 0.08$	$7.24 \pm 0.07$ $7.80 \pm 0.12$	$7.52 \pm 0.10$ $7.81 \pm 0.00$	$7.14 \pm 0.14$ $7.01 \pm 0.07$	$7.29 \pm 0.06$ $7.80 \pm 0.08$
Day 23 Week 14	$7.76 \pm 0.09$ $8.10 \pm 0.07$	$7.76 \pm 0.08$ $8.27 \pm 0.08$	$7.89 \pm 0.12$ $8.23 \pm 0.10$	$7.81 \pm 0.09$ $8.27 \pm 0.07$	$7.91 \pm 0.07$ $8.29 \pm 0.05$	$7.89 \pm 0.08$ $8.26 \pm 0.08$
	$0.10 \pm 0.07$	0.27 ± 0.00	$0.23 \pm 0.10$	$0.27 \pm 0.07$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$	$0.20 \pm 0.08$
Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$	$0.25 \pm 0.03$	$0.27 \pm 0.01$	$0.27 \pm 0.02$	$0.26 \pm 0.02$	$0.32 \pm 0.02$	$0.27 \pm 0.02$
Day 4 Day 23	$0.25 \pm 0.03$ $0.21 \pm 0.01$	$0.27 \pm 0.01$ $0.22 \pm 0.03$	$0.27 \pm 0.02$ $0.18 \pm 0.01$	$0.26 \pm 0.02$ $0.19 \pm 0.01$	$0.32 \pm 0.02$ $0.23 \pm 0.03$	$0.27 \pm 0.02$ $0.21 \pm 0.01$
Week 14	$0.21 \pm 0.01$ $0.10 \pm 0.01$	$0.22 \pm 0.03$ $0.12 \pm 0.01$	$0.18 \pm 0.01$ $0.12 \pm 0.02$	$0.19 \pm 0.01$ $0.14 \pm 0.01*$	$0.23 \pm 0.03$ $0.12 \pm 0.01$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$ $0.13 \pm 0.01$
Nucleated erythrocytes/1		$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.02$	0.17 - 0.01	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	0.15 - 0.01
Day 4	100  leukocytes $19.20 \pm 19.20$	$12.20 \pm 12.20$	$14.90 \pm 9.95$	$27.60 \pm 14.48$	$15.78 \pm 15.78$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
Day 4 Day 23	$19.20 \pm 19.20$ $0.00 \pm 0.00$	$12.20 \pm 12.20$ $0.00 \pm 0.00$	$14.90 \pm 9.93$ 11.56 ± 11.56	$27.00 \pm 14.48$ $22.30 \pm 14.93$	$15.78 \pm 15.78$ $0.00 \pm 0.00$	$10.40 \pm 10.40$
Week 14	$0.00 \pm 0.00$ $0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$ $0.00 \pm 0.00$	$11.30 \pm 11.30$ $0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$ $0.00 \pm 0.00$	$10.40 \pm 10.40$ $0.00 \pm 0.00$
WOOK IT	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	$0.00 \pm 0.00$

Hematology and Clinical Chemistry Data for Rats in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
Female (continu	ued)					
Hematology (con	ntinued)					
n	,					
Day 4	10	10	10	10	9	10
Day 23	10	10	9	10	10	10
Week 14	10	9	9	10	10	10
Mean cell volume (	(fI )					
Day 4	$55.9 \pm 0.2$	$55.1 \pm 0.2^*$	$55.3 \pm 0.2$	$55.1 \pm 0.2^*$	$55.5 \pm 0.2$	$54.8 \pm 0.3^*$
Day 23	$53.9 \pm 0.2$ $54.8 \pm 0.2$	$53.1 \pm 0.2$ $54.9 \pm 0.1$	$53.3 \pm 0.2$ $54.8 \pm 0.2$	$53.1 \pm 0.2$ $54.7 \pm 0.3$	$53.5 \pm 0.2$ 54.7 ± 0.2	$54.4 \pm 0.2$
Week 14	$54.8 \pm 0.2$ $53.9 \pm 0.1$	$54.9 \pm 0.1$ $54.1 \pm 0.1$	$54.8 \pm 0.2$ $54.1 \pm 0.1$	$54.7 \pm 0.3$ $53.9 \pm 0.1$	$54.7 \pm 0.2$ $53.9 \pm 0.2$	$54.4 \pm 0.2$ $54.0 \pm 0.2$
		54.1 ± 0.1	54.1 ± 0.1	$55.7 \pm 0.1$	$55.7 \pm 0.2$	54.0 ± 0.2
Mean cell hemoglo		$10.4 \pm 0.2$	10.5 + 0.1	$10.4 \pm 0.1$	$10.5 \pm 0.1$	$19.2 \pm 0.1^*$
Day 4 Day 23	$19.6 \pm 0.1$ $19.6 \pm 0.1$	$19.4 \pm 0.2$ $19.6 \pm 0.1$	$19.5 \pm 0.1$ $19.6 \pm 0.1$	$19.4 \pm 0.1$ $19.6 \pm 0.1$	$19.5 \pm 0.1$ $19.6 \pm 0.1$	$19.2 \pm 0.1$ $19.4 \pm 0.1$
Week 14	$19.0 \pm 0.1$ $18.1 \pm 0.1$	$19.0 \pm 0.1$ $18.0 \pm 0.1$	$19.0 \pm 0.1$ $18.0 \pm 0.1$	$19.0 \pm 0.1$ $18.0 \pm 0.1$	$19.0 \pm 0.1$ $17.9 \pm 0.0^*$	$19.4 \pm 0.1$ $17.7 \pm 0.1^{**}$
		$10.0 \pm 0.1$	$10.0 \pm 0.1$	$10.0 \pm 0.1$	17.7 ± 0.0	$1/./\pm 0.1$
	bin concentration $(g/dL)$	25.2 + 0.2	251 0 1	251 0 1	25.2 + 0.2	251 0 2
Day 4	$35.0 \pm 0.2$	$35.2 \pm 0.2$	$35.1 \pm 0.1$	$35.1 \pm 0.1$	$35.2 \pm 0.2$	$35.1 \pm 0.2$
Day 23	$35.8 \pm 0.2$	$35.6 \pm 0.2$	$35.7 \pm 0.1$	$35.9 \pm 0.1$	$35.8 \pm 0.2$	$35.6 \pm 0.2$
Week 14	$33.5 \pm 0.1$	$33.2 \pm 0.1$	$33.3 \pm 0.1$	$33.4 \pm 0.1$	$33.2 \pm 0.1^*$	$32.8 \pm 0.1^{**}$
Platelets $(10^3/\mu L)$			h			
Day 4	$800.7 \pm 25.3$	$717.8 \pm 31.0$	$813.9 \pm 19.6^{b}$	$755.1 \pm 34.7$	823.6 ± 33.0	$762.6 \pm 24.5$
Day 23	$768.7 \pm 12.2$	$782.1 \pm 25.6$	$762.0 \pm 23.4$	$783.9 \pm 18.8$	$747.6 \pm 36.7$	$800.6 \pm 19.4$
Week 14	$745.1 \pm 9.9$	$738.7 \pm 15.6$	$740.0 \pm 15.9$	$769.7 \pm 14.7$	$747.2 \pm 23.0$	$715.1 \pm 14.1$
Leukocytes (10 <sup>3</sup> /µI						
Day 4	$9.46 \pm 0.46$	$10.00 \pm 0.57$	$8.56 \pm 0.53$	$10.22 \pm 0.59$	$8.86 \pm 0.57$	$10.38\pm0.64$
Day 23	$11.07 \pm 0.51$	$9.48 \pm 0.23$	$10.36 \pm 0.41$	$10.19 \pm 0.44$	$9.84 \pm 0.50$	$10.46 \pm 0.41$
Week 14	$8.79 \pm 0.61$	$8.78 \pm 0.62$	$8.42 \pm 0.55$	$8.20\pm0.80$	$9.29 \pm 0.61$	$9.13 \pm 0.63$
Segmented neutrop	hils $(10^3/\mu L)$					
Day 4	$0.97 \pm 0.12$	$1.08 \pm 0.18$	$0.88 \pm 0.13$	$1.07\pm0.08$	$1.02 \pm 0.11$	$1.18\pm0.14$
Day 23	$0.90 \pm 0.13$	$0.90\pm0.08$	$1.03 \pm 0.13$	$1.08 \pm 0.13$	$0.80\pm0.08$	$1.08\pm0.10$
Week 14	$1.22 \pm 0.15$	$1.02 \pm 0.11$	$0.92 \pm 0.11$	$1.37 \pm 0.19$	$0.94 \pm 0.12$	$1.02\pm0.15$
Bands $(10^3/\mu L)$						
Day 4	$0.00 \pm 0.00$					
Day 23	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$
Week 14	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$
Lymphocytes (10 <sup>3</sup> /	uL)					
Day 4	$8.32 \pm 0.37$	$8.75 \pm 0.48$	$7.57 \pm 0.47$	$9.02 \pm 0.57$	$7.71 \pm 0.47$	$8.94 \pm 0.62$
Day 23	$9.96 \pm 0.52$	$8.35 \pm 0.24$	$9.20 \pm 0.44$	$8.90 \pm 0.34$	$8.82 \pm 0.52$	$9.18 \pm 0.41$
Week 14	$7.12 \pm 0.61$	$7.33 \pm 0.49$	$7.12 \pm 0.53$	$6.36 \pm 0.70$	$7.94 \pm 0.54$	$7.71 \pm 0.51$
Monocytes (10 <sup>3</sup> /µL						
Day 4	$0.15 \pm 0.02$	$0.13 \pm 0.04$	$0.07 \pm 0.03$	$0.13 \pm 0.05$	$0.10 \pm 0.04$	$0.19 \pm 0.04$
Day 23	$0.15 \pm 0.02$ $0.15 \pm 0.02$	$0.13 \pm 0.04$ $0.17 \pm 0.04$	$0.07 \pm 0.03$ $0.09 \pm 0.02$	$0.13 \pm 0.03$ $0.13 \pm 0.04$	$0.16 \pm 0.04$ $0.16 \pm 0.03$	$0.15 \pm 0.04$ $0.15 \pm 0.03$
Week 14	$0.13 \pm 0.02$ $0.37 \pm 0.06$	$0.17 \pm 0.04$ $0.36 \pm 0.07$	$0.09 \pm 0.02$ $0.29 \pm 0.04$	$0.15 \pm 0.04$ $0.35 \pm 0.05$	$0.35 \pm 0.03$	$0.15 \pm 0.05$ $0.35 \pm 0.04$
Basophils $(10^3/\mu L)$		0.00 - 0.07	0.27 - 0.01	0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.04
Day 4	$0.000 \pm 0.000$					
Day 4 Day 23	$0.000 \pm 0.000$ $0.000 \pm 0.000$					
Week 14	$0.000 \pm 0.000$ $0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$				
		$0.000 \pm 0.000$				
Eosinophils (10 <sup>3</sup> /µI		0.04 + 0.02	0.02 + 0.02	0.01 + 0.01	0.02 + 0.02	0.07 + 0.02
Day 4	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.07 \pm 0.02$
Day 23	$0.05 \pm 0.03$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.07 \pm 0.03$	$0.07 \pm 0.03$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$
Week 14	$0.09 \pm 0.02$	$0.07 \pm 0.03$	$0.09 \pm 0.02$	$0.13 \pm 0.04$	$0.06\pm0.02$	$0.06\pm0.02$

Hematology and Clinical Chemistry Data for Rats in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
Female (continue	d)					
Clinical Chemistr	·y					
n Day 4	10	10	10	10	10	10
Day 23	10	10	10	10	10	10
Week 14	10	9	9	10	10	10
Urea nitrogen (mg/dl	D					
Day 4	$9.3 \pm 0.5$	$9.9 \pm 0.4$	$9.4 \pm 0.6$	$10.8 \pm 0.7$	$10.2 \pm 0.9$	$8.7 \pm 0.4$
Day 23	$14.4 \pm 0.5$	$14.5 \pm 0.5$	$14.8 \pm 0.6$	$12.8 \pm 0.4$	$13.0 \pm 0.6$	$12.8 \pm 0.7$
Week 14	$11.4 \pm 0.7$	$12.4 \pm 0.4$	$11.3 \pm 0.6$	12.0 = 0.1 $11.7 \pm 0.3$	$11.9 \pm 0.4$	$12.3 \pm 0.5$
Creatinine (mg/dL)		12= 0.1	11.0 - 0.0			12.0 - 0.0
Day 4	$0.47 \pm 0.02$	$0.47 \pm 0.02$	$0.48 \pm 0.01$	$0.48 \pm 0.01$	$0.46 \pm 0.02$	$0.44 \pm 0.02$
Day 23	$0.43 \pm 0.02$	$0.47 \pm 0.02$ $0.42 \pm 0.01$	$0.43 \pm 0.01$ $0.43 \pm 0.02$	$0.43 \pm 0.01$ $0.43 \pm 0.02$	$0.43 \pm 0.02$	$0.44 \pm 0.02$ $0.42 \pm 0.01$
Week 14	$0.49 \pm 0.02$ $0.60 \pm 0.02$	$0.42 \pm 0.01$ $0.61 \pm 0.01$	$0.45 \pm 0.02$ $0.56 \pm 0.02$	$0.49 \pm 0.02$ $0.60 \pm 0.02$	$0.49 \pm 0.02$ $0.59 \pm 0.01$	$0.42 \pm 0.01$ $0.57 \pm 0.02$
Fotal protein (g/dL)	$0.00 \pm 0.02$	0.01 ± 0.01	0.50 ± 0.02	$0.00 \pm 0.02$	0.07 ± 0.01	0.57 ± 0.02
Day 4	$5.8 \pm 0.0$	$5.8 \pm 0.0$	$5.7 \pm 0.1$	$5.8 \pm 0.1$	$5.8 \pm 0.1$	$5.8 \pm 0.1$
Day 4 Day 23	$5.8 \pm 0.0$ $6.2 \pm 0.1$	$5.8 \pm 0.0$ $6.3 \pm 0.1$	$5.7 \pm 0.1$ $6.2 \pm 0.1$	$5.8 \pm 0.1$ $6.1 \pm 0.0$	$5.8 \pm 0.1$ $6.3 \pm 0.1$	$5.8 \pm 0.1$ $6.3 \pm 0.0$
Week 14	$6.7 \pm 0.1$	$6.7 \pm 0.1$	$6.2 \pm 0.1$ $6.8 \pm 0.1$	$6.8 \pm 0.1$	$6.6 \pm 0.1$	$6.6 \pm 0.1$
	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.0 \pm 0.1$
Albumin (g/dL)	4.1 + 0.0	12	4.1 + 0.0	4.1 + 0.1	4.1 + 0.1	4.1 . 0.0
Day 4	$4.1 \pm 0.0$	$4.2 \pm 0.0$	$4.1 \pm 0.0$	$4.1 \pm 0.1$	$4.1 \pm 0.1$	$4.1 \pm 0.0$
Day 23	$4.4 \pm 0.0$	$4.4 \pm 0.0$	$4.4 \pm 0.0$	$4.3 \pm 0.0$	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$4.4 \pm 0.0$
Week 14	$4.7 \pm 0.1$	$4.7 \pm 0.0$	$4.8 \pm 0.1$	$4.7 \pm 0.0$	$4.7 \pm 0.0$	$4.7 \pm 0.1$
Alanine aminotransfe				*		
Day 4	$76 \pm 2$	$71 \pm 3$	$70 \pm 2$	$68 \pm 1^{*}$	$73 \pm 2$	81 ± 5
Day 23	$35 \pm 1$	36 ± 1	$34 \pm 1$	$34 \pm 1$	$41 \pm 2^{*}$	$41 \pm 2^*$
Week 14	$47 \pm 2$	$53 \pm 2$	$42 \pm 2$	$43 \pm 3$	$45 \pm 1$	$45 \pm 1$
Alkaline phosphatase	e (IU/L)					
Day 4	$689 \pm 18$	$663 \pm 22$	$660 \pm 21$	$667 \pm 10$	$694 \pm 16$	$699 \pm 21$
Day 23	$446 \pm 8$	$468 \pm 12$	$457 \pm 8$	$473 \pm 10$	$498 \pm 16^{**}$	$501 \pm 13^{**}$
Week 14	$222 \pm 5$	$233 \pm 4$	$222 \pm 9$	$204 \pm 12$	$210 \pm 6$	$202 \pm 8$
Creatine kinase (IU/I	L)					
Day 4	$535 \pm 53$	$479 \pm 57$	$445 \pm 45$	$462 \pm 53$	$612 \pm 93$	$509 \pm 91$
Day 23	$369 \pm 54$	$263 \pm 41$	$508 \pm 103$	$378 \pm 24$	$388 \pm 76$	$388 \pm 57$
Week 14	$173 \pm 36$	$315 \pm 101$	$240 \pm 80$	$199 \pm 27$	$241 \pm 38$	$220 \pm 42$
Sorbitol dehydrogena	ase (IU/L)					
Day 4	$20 \pm 1$	$21 \pm 1$	$20 \pm 1$	$20 \pm 1$	$19 \pm 1$	$20 \pm 1$
Day 23	$16 \pm 0$	$18 \pm 1$	$19 \pm 1$	$18 \pm 1$	$19 \pm 1$ $18 \pm 1$	$18 \pm 1$
Week 14	$13 \pm 1$	$18 \pm 1^{*}$	$13 \pm 1$	$14 \pm 1$	$14 \pm 1$	$16 \pm 1$
Bile acids (µmol/L)				-	-	
Day 4	$17.1 \pm 1.3$	$17.4 \pm 1.4$	$16.3 \pm 0.7$	$17.9 \pm 1.2$	$17.9 \pm 1.8$	$26.3 \pm 3.5$
Day 23	$24.7 \pm 3.2$	$14.5 \pm 2.5$	$10.5 \pm 0.7$ $11.4 \pm 1.1$	$17.9 \pm 1.2$ $21.8 \pm 3.3$	$17.9 \pm 1.0$ $25.0 \pm 2.4$	$31.5 \pm 4.9$
Week 14	$24.7 \pm 5.2$ $20.4 \pm 2.3$	$14.5 \pm 2.5$ $27.8 \pm 3.2$	$21.6 \pm 2.3$	$21.8 \pm 3.3$ $20.3 \pm 3.3$	$23.3 \pm 3.1$	$24.2 \pm 3.3$

\* Significantly different (P≤0.05) from the vehicle control group by Dunn's or Shirley's test \*\*  $P{\le}0.01$ 

<sup>a</sup> Data are given as mean  $\pm$  standard error. Statistical tests were performed on unrounded data. <sup>b</sup> n = 9

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
Male						
n	10	10	10	10	10	10
Hematocrit (%)	$50.5 \pm 0.6$	$49.0 \pm 0.7$	$51.3 \pm 1.0$	$51.5 \pm 0.9$	$50.3 \pm 1.2$	$50.4 \pm 1.1$
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	$16.2 \pm 0.2$	$15.7 \pm 0.2$	$16.5 \pm 0.4$	$16.6 \pm 0.3$	$16.1 \pm 0.4$	$16.2 \pm 0.4$
Erythrocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$	$10.84 \pm 0.14$	$10.51 \pm 0.16$	$10.94 \pm 0.22$	$11.05 \pm 0.23$	$10.78 \pm 0.27$	$10.87 \pm 0.25$
Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$	$0.23 \pm 0.03$	$0.19 \pm 0.02$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$0.26 \pm 0.02$	$0.23 \pm 0.02$	$0.24 \pm 0.02$
Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocytes	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
Mean cell volume (fL)	$46.5 \pm 0.2$	$46.7 \pm 0.1$	$46.8 \pm 0.1$	$46.7 \pm 0.2$	$46.7 \pm 0.1$	$46.3 \pm 0.1$
Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)	$15.0 \pm 0.1$	$15.0 \pm 0.1$	$15.0 \pm 0.1$	$15.1 \pm 0.1$	$15.0 \pm 0.1$	$14.9 \pm 0.0$
Mean cell hemoglobin concentration (g/dL)	$32.2 \pm 0.1$	$32.1 \pm 0.1$	$32.1 \pm 0.1$	$32.3 \pm 0.1$	$32.0 \pm 0.1$	$32.2 \pm 0.1$
Platelets $(10^3/\mu L)$	$710.5 \pm 33.8$	$726.6 \pm 41.3$	$670.6 \pm 35.0$	713.6 ± 38.8	$804.9 \pm 44.9$	777.5 ± 47.7
Leukocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$	$2.98 \pm 0.36$	$3.02 \pm 0.36$	$3.15 \pm 0.33$	$2.75 \pm 0.26$	$2.87 \pm 0.32$	$2.81 \pm 0.31$
Segmented neutrophils $(10^3/\mu L)$	$0.42 \pm 0.08$	$0.37 \pm 0.04$	$0.37 \pm 0.03$	$0.34 \pm 0.04$	$0.46 \pm 0.05$	$0.41 \pm 0.06$
Bands $(10^3/\mu L)$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$
Lymphocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$	$2.47 \pm 0.29$	$2.54 \pm 0.35$	$2.70 \pm 0.31$	$2.34 \pm 0.26$	$2.34 \pm 0.29$	$2.34 \pm 0.27$
Monocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
Basophils $(10^3/\mu L)$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$
Eosinophils $(10^3/\mu L)$	$0.06\pm0.02$	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.04\pm0.02$	$0.04\pm0.01$	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.03\pm0.01$
Female						
n	10	10	10	9	10	10
Hematocrit (%)	$49.9\pm0.7$	$50.3\pm0.7$	$49.0\pm0.6$	$50.2 \pm 0.6$	$49.8\pm0.5$	$48.9\pm0.6$
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	$16.4 \pm 0.2$	$16.4 \pm 0.2$	$16.1 \pm 0.2$	$16.4 \pm 0.2$	$16.2 \pm 0.2$	$16.0 \pm 0.2$
Erythrocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$	$10.63 \pm 0.18$	$10.75\pm0.16$	$10.51\pm0.12$	$10.69\pm0.13$	$10.61\pm0.10$	$10.43\pm0.13$
Reticulocytes $(10^6/\mu L)$	$0.31 \pm 0.02$	$0.29\pm0.03$	$0.30\pm0.02$	$0.28\pm0.02$	$0.32\pm0.02$	$0.29\pm0.03$
Nucleated erythrocytes/100 leukocytes	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$
Mean cell volume (fL)	$46.9\pm0.2$	$46.8\pm0.2$	$46.7\pm0.1$	$47.0\pm0.1$	$46.9\pm0.1$	$46.8\pm0.1$
Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)	$15.5 \pm 0.1$	$15.3 \pm 0.1$	$15.4 \pm 0.1$	$15.4 \pm 0.1$	$15.3 \pm 0.1$	$15.3 \pm 0.1$
Mean cell hemoglobin concentration (g/dL)	$32.9 \pm 0.1$	$32.6 \pm 0.1$	$32.9 \pm 0.1$	$32.7 \pm 0.1$	$32.6 \pm 0.1$	$32.7 \pm 0.1$
Platelets $(10^3/\mu L)$	$663.1 \pm 45.7$	$625.5 \pm 34.4$	$642.5\pm30.6$	$622.0\pm40.2$	$624.0\pm28.3$	$739.3 \pm 37.0$
Leukocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$	$4.17 \pm 0.28$	$3.74 \pm 0.27$	$3.21 \pm 0.24^{*}$	$3.41 \pm 0.23$	$3.48\pm0.26$	$3.64 \pm 0.15$
Segmented neutrophils $(10^3/\mu L)$	$0.44 \pm 0.05$	$0.39\pm0.05$	$0.29 \pm 0.04$	$0.37\pm0.06$	$0.39\pm0.08$	$0.41 \pm 0.04$
Bands $(10^3/\mu L)$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$
Lymphocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$	$3.62 \pm 0.22$	$3.27 \pm 0.24$	$2.84 \pm 0.20^{*}$	$3.00 \pm 0.20$	$3.05 \pm 0.20$	$3.15 \pm 0.11$
Monocytes $(10^3/\mu L)$	$0.08 \pm 0.04$	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$
Basophils $(10^3/\mu L)$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$	$0.000\pm0.000$	$0.000 \pm 0.000$
Eosinophils $(10^3/\mu L)$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$

TABLE F2
lematology Data for Mice in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol <sup>a</sup>

\* Significantly different (P $\le$ 0.05) from the vehicle control group by Dunn's test <sup>a</sup> Data are given as mean  $\pm$  standard error. Statistical tests were performed on unrounded data.

# APPENDIX G ORGAN WEIGHTS AND ORGAN-WEIGHT-TO-BODY-WEIGHT RATIOS

TABLE G1	Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Rats in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	140
TABLE G2	Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Mice in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol	141

TABLE G1

Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Rats in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
Male						
n	10	10	10	10	10	9
Necropsy body wt	$360 \pm 8$	$333 \pm 3^{*}$	$343 \pm 5^{*}$	$342 \pm 7^{*}$	$334 \pm 6^{**}$	$313 \pm 7^{**}$
Heart						
Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 1.035 \pm 0.028 \\ 2.874 \pm 0.050 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.970 \pm 0.022 \\ 2.912 \pm 0.059 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.000 \pm 0.021 \\ 2.917 \pm 0.054 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.997 \pm 0.031 \\ 2.917 \pm 0.054 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.007 \pm 0.024 \\ 3.020 \pm 0.064 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.108 \pm 0.166 \\ 3.562 \pm 0.561 \end{array}$
R. Kidney						
Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 1.155 \pm 0.072 \\ 3.207 \pm 0.194 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.049 \pm 0.024 \\ 3.151 \pm 0.071 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.053 \pm 0.023 \\ 3.070 \pm 0.050 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.113 \pm 0.029 \\ 3.260 \pm 0.049 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.153 \pm 0.029 \\ 3.455 \pm 0.068 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.130 \pm 0.039 \\ 3.607 \pm 0.073^{**} \end{array}$
Liver						
Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 13.04 \pm 0.44 \\ 36.140 \pm 0.627 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.81 \pm 0.19 \\ 38.474 \pm 0.515^* \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.84 \pm 0.32 \\ 37.404 \pm 0.561 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.01 \pm 0.48 \\ 38.006 \pm 0.695^* \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.73 \pm 0.42 \\ 41.070 \pm 0.712^{**} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.81 \pm 0.43 \\ 40.897 \pm 0.676^{**} \end{array}$
Lung						
Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 1.874 \pm 0.097 \\ 5.220 \pm 0.282 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.849 \pm 0.105 \\ 5.543 \pm 0.287 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.647 \pm 0.067 \\ 4.807 \pm 0.196 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.747 \pm 0.063 \\ 5.132 \pm 0.211 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.813 \pm 0.099 \\ 5.433 \pm 0.294 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.498 \pm 0.040^{*} \\ 4.798 \pm 0.125 \end{array}$
R. Testis						
Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 1.469 \pm 0.046 \\ 4.076 \pm 0.091 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.370 \pm 0.038 \\ 4.115 \pm 0.114 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.446 \pm 0.020 \\ 4.218 \pm 0.033 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.449 \pm 0.035 \\ 4.243 \pm 0.043 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.420 \pm 0.028 \\ 4.254 \pm 0.050 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 1.415 \pm 0.014 \\ 4.536 \pm 0.088^{**} \end{array}$
Thymus						
Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 0.375 \pm 0.023 \\ 1.039 \pm 0.052 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.323 \pm 0.013^{*} \\ 0.970 \pm 0.038 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.329 \pm 0.006 \\ 0.959 \pm 0.020 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.296 \pm 0.013^{**} \\ 0.868 \pm 0.032^{*} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.331 \pm 0.012 \\ 0.993 \pm 0.041 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.312 \pm 0.013^{*} \\ 0.999 \pm 0.039 \end{array}$
Female						
n	10	9	9	10	10	10
Necropsy body wt	$193 \pm 4$	$195 \pm 4$	$197 \pm 4$	$187 \pm 3$	199 ± 3	$193 \pm 4$
Heart						
Absolute	$0.647\pm0.015$	$0.638\pm0.010$	$0.626\pm0.013$	$0.618\pm0.015$	$0.657\pm0.012$	$0.632\pm0.015$
Relative	$3.355 \pm 0.083$	$3.289 \pm 0.080$	$3.185 \pm 0.058$	$3.314 \pm 0.091$	$3.301 \pm 0.035$	$3.271 \pm 0.049$
R. Kidney	0.607 - 0.010	0.664 - 0.010		0.000 + 0.010	0.672 . 0.007	0.000.0010**
Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 0.627 \pm 0.018 \\ 3.251 \pm 0.069 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.664 \pm 0.019 \\ 3.412 \pm 0.067 \end{array}$	$0.662 \pm 0.018$ $3.366 \pm 0.054$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.639 \pm 0.013 \\ 3.422 \pm 0.061 \end{array}$	$0.673 \pm 0.007$ $3.387 \pm 0.035$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.696 \pm 0.018^{**} \\ 3.596 \pm 0.043^{**} \end{array}$
	5.251 ± 0.007	5.412 - 0.007	5.500 ± 0.054	$5.422 \pm 0.001$	5.507 ± 0.055	5.570 ± 0.045
Liver Absolute	$6.524 \pm 0.168$	$6.657 \pm 0.147$	$6.443 \pm 0.222$	$6.327 \pm 0.116$	7.150 ± 0.186*	$7.459 \pm 0.257^{**}$
Relative	$33.817 \pm 0.714$	$34.263 \pm 0.776$	$32.682 \pm 0.599$	$33.892 \pm 0.698$	$35.901 \pm 0.543*$	$38.513 \pm 0.797^{**}$
Lung						
Absolute	$1.105 \pm 0.048$	$1.208 \pm 0.055$	$1.097 \pm 0.069$	$1.051 \pm 0.036$	$1.247 \pm 0.080$	$1.041 \pm 0.031$
Relative	$5.730 \pm 0.239$	$6.228 \pm 0.302$	$5.577 \pm 0.319$	$5.635 \pm 0.213$	$6.278 \pm 0.420$	$5.388 \pm 0.129$
Thymus	0.040			0.000	0.044 - 0.007	0.016 0.005
Absolute Relative	$0.248 \pm 0.017$ $1.283 \pm 0.079$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.227 \pm 0.010 \\ 1.173 \pm 0.055 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.263 \pm 0.011 \\ 1.335 \pm 0.034 \end{array}$	$0.236 \pm 0.008$ $1.260 \pm 0.029$	$0.244 \pm 0.007$ $1.224 \pm 0.030$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.246 \pm 0.008 \\ 1.272 \pm 0.023 \end{array}$

\* Significantly different (P $\le$ 0.05) from the vehicle control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test \*\* P $\le$ 0.01

<sup>a</sup> Organ weights (absolute weights) and body weights are given in grams;

organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios (relative weights) are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean ± standard error).

TABLE G	2
---------	---

Organ Weights and Organ-Weight-to-Body-Weight Ratios for Mice in the 3-Month Gavage Study of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
n	10	10	10	10	10	10
Male						
Necropsy body wt	$39.3 \pm 0.9$	$37.5 \pm 1.0$	37.6 ± 1.6	37.0 ± 1.2	37.8 ± 1.2	33.7 ± 1.1**
Heart Absolute Relative	$0.164 \pm 0.006$ $4.174 \pm 0.146$	$0.173 \pm 0.009$ $4.656 \pm 0.272$	$0.166 \pm 0.009$ $4.406 \pm 0.147$	$0.165 \pm 0.010$ $4.458 \pm 0.231$	$0.170 \pm 0.011$ $4.561 \pm 0.370$	$0.152 \pm 0.008$ $4.499 \pm 0.176$
R. Kidney Absolute Relative	$0.296 \pm 0.006$ $7.559 \pm 0.148$	$0.287 \pm 0.006$ $7.691 \pm 0.208$	$0.285 \pm 0.005$ $7.662 \pm 0.248$	$0.273 \pm 0.007^{*}$ $7.399 \pm 0.118$	$0.274 \pm 0.004^{*}$ $7.311 \pm 0.218$	$0.268 \pm 0.008^{**}$ $7.976 \pm 0.164$
Liver Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 1.569 \pm 0.044 \\ 40.009 \pm 0.880 \end{array}$	$1.655 \pm 0.047$ $44.248 \pm 1.115^{**}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.707 \pm 0.059 \\ 45.609 \pm 0.998^{**} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.735 \pm 0.063 \\ 46.816 \pm 0.727^{**} \end{array}$	$1.908 \pm 0.075^{**}$ $50.570 \pm 1.217^{**}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.794 \pm 0.064^{**} \\ 53.238 \pm 0.851^{**} \end{array}$
Lung Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 0.295 \pm 0.014 \\ 7.512 \pm 0.334 \end{array}$	$0.276 \pm 0.018$ $7.394 \pm 0.513$	$0.295 \pm 0.021$ $7.965 \pm 0.694$	$0.295 \pm 0.014$ $7.984 \pm 0.314$	$0.270 \pm 0.020$ $7.224 \pm 0.634$	$0.286 \pm 0.019$ $8.588 \pm 0.658$
R. Testis Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 0.120 \pm 0.002 \\ 3.051 \pm 0.061 \end{array}$	$0.119 \pm 0.002$ $3.198 \pm 0.128$	$0.119 \pm 0.003$ $3.197 \pm 0.147$	$0.117 \pm 0.003$ $3.181 \pm 0.067$	$0.116 \pm 0.001$ $3.120 \pm 0.128$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.115 \pm 0.002 \\ 3.423 \pm 0.102 \end{array}$
Thymus Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 0.050 \pm 0.003 \\ 1.272 \pm 0.057 \end{array}$	$0.053 \pm 0.003$ $1.404 \pm 0.075$	$0.052 \pm 0.003$ $1.396 \pm 0.077$	$0.049 \pm 0.004$ $1.332 \pm 0.103$	$0.051 \pm 0.004$ $1.339 \pm 0.063$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.040 \pm 0.002 \\ 1.180 \pm 0.051 \end{array}$
Female						
Necropsy body wt	$26.9 \pm 0.7$	28.3 ± 1.1	29.5 ± 1.1	$29.1 \pm 0.9$	$27.8 \pm 0.9$	$24.6 \pm 0.5$
Heart Absolute Relative	$0.132 \pm 0.003$ $4.929 \pm 0.102$	$0.130 \pm 0.004$ $4.646 \pm 0.254$	$0.138 \pm 0.006$ $4.721 \pm 0.229$	$0.133 \pm 0.003$ $4.595 \pm 0.129$	$0.126 \pm 0.003$ $4.570 \pm 0.124$	$0.118 \pm 0.003$ $4.794 \pm 0.161$
R. Kidney Absolute Relative	$0.172 \pm 0.004$ $6.401 \pm 0.148$	$0.166 \pm 0.004$ $5.917 \pm 0.163$	$0.166 \pm 0.004$ $5.695 \pm 0.194*$	$0.180 \pm 0.004$ $6.240 \pm 0.249$	$0.166 \pm 0.004$ $6.007 \pm 0.168$	$0.164 \pm 0.004$ $6.652 \pm 0.191$
Liver Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 1.185 \pm 0.035 \\ 44.016 \pm 0.618 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.136 \pm 0.032 \\ 40.389 \pm 1.033 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.235 \pm 0.042 \\ 41.977 \pm 0.829 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.278 \pm 0.022 \\ 44.151 \pm 0.923 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.212 \pm 0.033 \\ 43.766 \pm 0.702 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.187 \pm 0.024 \\ 48.254 \pm 0.948^{**} \end{array}$
Lung Absolute Relative	$0.274 \pm 0.013$ $10.287 \pm 0.619$	$0.293 \pm 0.009$ $10.538 \pm 0.595$	$0.270 \pm 0.013$ $9.287 \pm 0.593$	$0.286 \pm 0.010$ $9.878 \pm 0.336$	$0.253 \pm 0.011$ $9.218 \pm 0.528$	$0.224 \pm 0.012^{**}$ $9.117 \pm 0.475$
Thymus Absolute Relative	$\begin{array}{c} 0.052 \pm 0.002 \\ 1.916 \pm 0.055 \end{array}$	$0.053 \pm 0.003$ $1.851 \pm 0.036$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.052 \pm 0.004 \\ 1.771 \pm 0.094 \end{array}$	$0.056 \pm 0.002$ $1.928 \pm 0.055$	$0.049 \pm 0.002$ $1.776 \pm 0.073$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.044 \pm 0.003 \\ 1.782 \pm 0.097 \end{array}$

\* Significantly different (P $\leq$ 0.05) from the vehicle control group by Williams' or Dunnett's test

\*\* P≤0.01

<sup>a</sup> Organ weights (absolute weights) and body weights are given in grams;

organ-weight-to-body-weight ratios (relative weights) are given as mg organ weight/g body weight (mean ± standard error).
## APPENDIX H CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND DOSE FORMULATION STUDIES

PROCUREMENT A	AND CHARACTERIZATION	144
PREPARATION AN	ND ANALYSIS OF DOSE FORMULATIONS	145
FIGURE H1	Infrared Absorption Spectrum of Isoeugenol	146
FIGURE H2	Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectrum of Isoeugenol	147
FIGURE H3	Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectrum of Isoeugenol	148
TABLE H1	Preparation and Storage of Dose Formulations in the 3-Month and 2-Year Gavage Studies of Isoeugenol	149
TABLE H2	Results of Analyses of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 3-Month Gavage Studies of Isoeugenol	150
TABLE H3	Results of Analyses of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Studies of Isoeugenol	152

## CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION AND DOSE FORMULATION STUDIES

#### **PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION**

#### Isoeugenol

Isoeugenol was obtained from Penta International Corporation (Livingston, NJ) in one lot (60449) that was used in the 3-month and 2-year studies. Identity and purity analyses were performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory at Battelle Columbus Operations (Chemistry Support Services, Columbus, OH) and the study laboratory at Battelle Columbus Operations (Columbus, OH); Karl Fischer titration and elemental analyses were performed by Galbraith Laboratories, Inc. (Knoxville, TN). Reports on analyses performed in support of the isoeugenol studies are on file at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

Lot 60449 of the chemical, a yellow liquid, was identified as isoeugenol by the analytical chemistry laboratory using infrared (IR) spectral analysis and by both proton and carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. The study laboratory confirmed the identity of the test article by IR spectroscopy. All spectra were consistent with literature spectra (*Aldrich*, 1985, 1992), frozen reference spectra, and a previously reported spectrum from the same lot of isoeugenol. The NMR spectra indicated that lot 60449 was approximately a 1:7 mixture of *Z*-:*E*-isoeugenol (Figures H1, H2, and H3).

The purity of lot 60449 was determined by the analytical chemistry laboratory using gas chromatography (GC) by system A and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Hewlett Packard (Palo Alto, CA) instrument with a Luna<sup>®</sup> C18 column (150 mm × 4.6 mm, 5-µm particle size; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA), and a mobile phase of A: 50:50:1 acetonitrile:Milli-Q<sup>®</sup> Water:glacial acetic acid and B: 90:10:0.1 acetonitrile:Milli-Q<sup>®</sup> Water:glacial acetic acid and B: 90:10:0.1 acetonitrile:Milli-Q<sup>®</sup> Water:glacial acetic acid, held at 100% A for 20 minutes, then linear to 100% B in 5 minutes, held at 100% B for 20 minutes, then linear to 100% A in 5 minutes, held at 100% A for 15 minutes; the flow rate was 0.7 mL/minute, and ultraviolet detection at 230 nm was used. The study laboratory determined purity using GC by system B.

- A) Hewlett-Packard gas chromatograph, a RTX-5 (15 m × 0.53 mm ID, 1.0-μm film thickness) column (Restek, Bellefonte, PA), flame ionization detection, helium as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 5 mL/minute, and an oven temperature program of 50° C for 3 minutes, then 10° C/minute to 300° C, then held for 2 minutes
- B) Hewlett-Packard gas chromatograph, a Restek Stabilwax<sup>®</sup> Crossbond<sup>®</sup> (30 m × 0.25 mm ID, 0.25-μm film thickness) column, flame ionization detection, helium as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 3 mL/minute, and an oven temperature program of 80° C for 2 minutes, then 20° C/minute to 240° C, then held for 9 minutes

For lot 60449, Karl Fischer titration indicated 0.57% water. Elemental analyses for carbon and hydrogen were in agreement with the theoretical values for isoeugenol. GC using system A indicated one major peak and four smaller peaks. The major peak, 87% of the total peak area, was determined to be *E*-isoeugenol, and the second largest peak, 12% of the total peak area, was determined to be *Z*-isoeugenol. The identity of three minor peaks with a combined area of approximately 1% of the total area was not determined. GC using system B yielded purity estimates of 101.7% and 99.2% relative to a frozen reference sample of the same lot. HPLC indicated one major peak, believed to be the coelution of *Z*- and *E*-isoeugenol, and one impurity with an area of 0.5% relative to the total peak area. The overall purity of lot 60449 was determined to be 99% or greater.

To ensure stability, the bulk chemical was stored at or less than  $-20^{\circ}$  C, protected from light, in 1-L Teflon<sup>®</sup> bottles. The study laboratory monitored stability during the 3-month and 2-year studies by periodic analyses using GC by system B. No degradation of the bulk chemical was detected.

#### Corn Oil

Corn oil was obtained in multiple lots from Spectrum Chemicals and Laboratory Products (Gardena, CA) and was used as the vehicle during the 3-month and 2-year studies. The study laboratory analyzed peroxide levels prior to use and every 2 months during the studies using potentiometric titration; all peroxide concentrations were less than the acceptable limit of 3 mEq/kg.

#### **PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS OF DOSE FORMULATIONS**

The dose formulations were prepared by mixing isoeugenol with corn oil to give the required concentrations (Table H1). The dose formulations were stored at room temperature in amber glass bottles with Teflon<sup>®</sup>-lined lids for up to 35 days.

Homogeneity studies of 0.2 and 120 mg/mL dose formulations and stability studies of a 0.2 mg/mL dose formulation were performed by the analytical chemistry laboratory using GC by system B on a different lot (46928) of isoeugenol obtained from Penta International Corporation. Homogeneity was confirmed, and the 120 mg/mL dose formulation was found to be suitable for gavage. Stability was confirmed for up to 35 days for dose formulations stored in amber glass bottles with Teflon<sup>®</sup>-lined lids at -20° C, 5° C, and room temperature and for 3 hours under simulated animal room conditions.

Periodic analyses of the dose formulations of isoeugenol were conducted by the study laboratory using GC by system B. During the 3-month studies, the dose formulations were analyzed three times; animal room samples were also analyzed. All 15 dose formulations for rats and mice were within 10% of the target concentrations; all 15 animal room samples analyzed for rats and 14 of 15 for mice were within 10% of the target concentrations (Table H2). During the 2-year studies, the dose formulations were analyzed approximately every 3 months; animal room samples were also analyzed (Table H3). All 27 dose formulations for rats and 27 of 28 for mice were within 10% of the target concentrations. The 7.5 mg/mL dose formulation prepared for mice on December 19, 2002, was determined to be 13% below the target concentration after being administered to animals for 4 days; use of this batch was discontinued, and an acceptable 7.5 mg/mL dose formulation was subsequently prepared and used. All nine animal room samples analyzed for rats and mice were within 10% of the target concentrations.



FIGURE H1 Infrared Absorption Spectrum of Isoeugenol



FIGURE H2 Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectrum of Isoeugenol



FIGURE H3 Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectrum of Isoeugenol

#### TABLE H1

#### Preparation and Storage of Dose Formulations in the 3-Month and 2-Year Gavage Studies of Isoeugenol

#### Preparation

The appropriate amounts of isoeugenol and corn oil were placed in a glass mixing container, capped, and thoroughly mixed with a paint shaker for approximately 5 minutes. Dose formulations were prepared approximately monthly during the 3-month and 2-year studies.

**Chemical Lot Number** 60449

Maximum Storage Time 35 days

**Storage Conditions** Stored in amber glass bottles with Teflon<sup>®</sup>-lined lids at room temperature

#### Study Laboratory

Battelle Columbus Operations (Columbus, OH)

-1

-10

-1

-3

+1

-2

Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Target Concentration (mg/mL)	Determined Concentration <sup>a</sup> (mg/mL)	Difference from Target (%)
Rats				
April 3, 2001	April 5-6, 2001	7.5	7.710	+3
•	• ·	15	15.07	+1
		30	29.75	-1
		60	61.54	+3
		120	125.7	+5
	May 8-9, 2001 <sup>b</sup>	7.5	7.199	-4
	5	15	14.85	-1
		30	29.13	-3
		60	58.37	-3
		120	115.8	-4
April 30, 2001	May 1-2, 2001	7.5	7.346	-2
1 /	5	15	14.74	-2
		30	29.91	0
		60	59.68	-1
		120	118.1	-2
	June 5-6, 2001 <sup>b</sup>	7.5	7.293	-3
	,	15	14.83	-1
		30	29.54	-2
		60	59.73	-1
		120	117.7	-2
June 18, 2001	June 21-22, 2001	7.5	7.032	-6
*	*	15	15.39	+3
		30	29.82	-1
		60	61.81	+3

120

7.5

15

30

60

120

July 19-20, 2001<sup>b</sup>

119.1

6.780

14.81

29.14

60.67

118.1

#### TABLE H2

Results of Analyses of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 3-Month Gavage Studies of Isoeugenol

TABLE H2
Results of Analyses of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 3-Month Gavage Studies
of Isoeugenol

Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Target Concentration (mg/mL)	Determined Concentration (mg/mL)	Difference from Target (%)
Mice				
April 3, 2001	April 5-6, 2001	3.75	3.715	-1
		7.5	7.710	+3
		15	15.07	+1
		30	29.75	-1
		60	61.54	+3
	May 8-9, 2001 <sup>b</sup>	3.75	3.613	-4
		7.5	7.446	-1
		15	14.83	-1
		30	29.03	-3
		60	58.04	-3
April 30, 2001	May 1-2, 2001	3.75	3.787	+1
		7.5	7.346	-2
		15	14.74	-2
		30	29.91	0
		60	59.68	-1
	June 5-6, 2001 <sup>b</sup>	3.75	3.577	-5
		7.5	7.242	-3
		15	14.58	-3
		30	29.19	-3
		60	59.36	-1
une 18, 2001	June 21-22, 2001	3.75	3.768	+1
		7.5	7.032	-6
		15	15.39	+3
		30	29.82	-1
		60	61.81	+3
	July 19-20, 2001 <sup>b</sup>	3.75	3.331	-11
		7.5	6.776	-10
		15	14.95	0
		30	28.94	-4
		60	60.55	+1

<sup>a</sup> Results of duplicate analyses. For rats, dosing volume = 5 mL/kg; 7.5 mg/mL = 37.5 mg/kg, 15 mg/mL = 75 mg/kg, 30 mg/mL = 150 mg/kg, 60 mg/mL = 300 mg/kg, 120 mg/mL = 600 mg/kg. For mice, dosing volume = 10 mL/kg; 3.75 mg/mL = 37.5 mg/kg, 7.5 mg/ml = 75 mg/kg, 15 mg/mL = 150 mg/kg, 30 mg/mL = 300 mg/kg, 60 mg/mL = 600 mg/kg.
<sup>b</sup> Animal room samples

# TABLE H3 Results of Analyses of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Studies of Isoeugenol

Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Target Concentration (mg/mL)	Determined Concentration <sup>a</sup> (mg/mL)	Difference from Target (%)
Rats				
April 10, 2002	April 12-13, 2002	15	14.81	-1
1 /	1	30	29.93	0
		60	60.32	+1
	May 15-16, 2002 <sup>b</sup>	15	16.45	+10
	5	30	32.63	+9
		60	65.76	+10
July 2, 2002	July 8-10, 2002	15	15.23	2
tury 2, 2002	<i>vary</i> 0 10, 2002	30	29.85	-1
		60	60.65	+1
September 26, 2002	September 27-30, 2002	15	15.75	+5
······································	~-r	30	31.21	+4
		60	64.03	+7
December 19, 2002	December 20-21, 2002	15	14.69	-2
		30	30.52	+2
		60	61.70	+3
	January 23-24, 2003 <sup>b</sup>	15	14.65	-2
	······································	30	29.85	-1
		60	60.10	0
March 13, 2003	March 18-19, 2003	15	15.59	+4
		30	31.48	+5
		60	64.33	+7
June 5, 2003	June 9-10, 2003	15	15.63	+4
,	,	30	30.97	+3
		60	61.66	+3
August 28, 2003	August 29-30, 2003	15	15.86	+6
0		30	31.58	+5
		60	63.84	+6
	October 9-10, 2003 <sup>b</sup>	15	14.50	-3
	,	30	29.10	-3
		60	59.65	-1
November 20, 2003	November 26-27, 2003	15	15.13	+1
*	,	30	30.35	+1
		60	61.48	+3
February 12, 2004	February 13-14, 2004	15	14.08	6
<u> </u>	- /	30	28.81	-4
		60	59.20	-1

# TABLE H3 Results of Analyses of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Studies of Isoeugenol

Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	Target Concentration (mg/mL)	Determined Concentration (mg/mL)	Difference from Target (%)
Mice				
April 10, 2002	April 12-13, 2002	7.5 15 30	7.157 14.81 29.93	-5 -1 0
	May 15-16, 2002 <sup>b</sup>	7.5 15 30	7.728 15.26 32.26	+3 +2 +8
July 2, 2002	July 8-10, 2002	7.5 15 30	7.505 15.23 29.85	0 +2 -1
September 26, 2002	September 27-30, 2002	7.5 15 30	7.507 15.75 31.21	0 +5 +4
December 19, 2002	December 20-21, 2002	7.5 15 30	6.517 <sup>c</sup> 14.69 30.52	-13 -2 +2
	January 23-24, 2003 <sup>b</sup>	15 30	14.53 29.55	3 2
January 7, 2003	January 7, 2003	7.5	7.239 <sup>d</sup>	-4
	January 23-24, 2003 <sup>b</sup>	7.5	7.134	-5
March 13, 2003	March 18-19, 2003	7.5 15 30	7.352 15.59 31.48	-2 +4 +5
June 5, 2003	June 9-10, 2003	7.5 15 30	7.901 15.63 30.97	+5 +4 +3
August 28, 2003	August 29-30, 2003	7.5 15 30	7.806 15.86 31.58	+4 +6 +5
	October 9-10, 2003 <sup>b</sup>	7.5 15 30	7.203 14.60 29.58	4 3 1

#### TABLE H3 Results of Analyses of Dose Formulations Administered to Rats and Mice in the 2-Year Gavage Studies of Isoeugenol

Date Analyzed	Target Concentration (mg/mL)	Determined Concentration (mg/mL)	Difference from Target (%)
November 26-27, 2003	7.5	7.716	+3
	15 30	15.13 30.35	+1 +1
February 13-14, 2004	7.5	6.778	-10
	15 30	14.08 28.81	-6 -4
	November 26-27, 2003	Date AnalyzedConcentration (mg/mL)November 26-27, 20037.51530February 13-14, 20047.5	Date Analyzed         Concentration (mg/mL)         Concentration (mg/mL)           November 26-27, 2003         7.5         7.716           15         15.13         30           Solution         30.35         6.778           15         15         14.08

<sup>a</sup> Results of duplicate analyses. For rats, dosing volume = 5 mL/kg; 15 mg/mL = 75 mg/kg, 30 mg/mL = 150 mg/kg, 60 mg/mL = 300 mg/kg. For mice, dosing volume = 10 mL/kg; 7.5 mg/mL = 75 mg/kg, 15 mg/mL = 150 mg/kg, 30 mg/mL = 300 mg/kg.

<sup>b</sup> Animal room samples
 <sup>c</sup> Remixed; used in study for 4 days (January 2, 3, 6, and 7, 2003)

<sup>d</sup> Results of remix

## APPENDIX I INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NTP-2000 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

TABLE I1	Ingredients of NTP-2000 Rat and Mouse Ration	156
TABLE I2	Vitamins and Minerals in NTP-2000 Rat and Mouse Ration	156
TABLE I3	Nutrient Composition of NTP-2000 Rat and Mouse Ration	157
TABLE I4	Contaminant Levels in NTP-2000 Rat and Mouse Ration	158

Ingredients	Percent by Weight	
Ground hard winter wheat	22.26	
Ground #2 yellow shelled corn	22.18	
Wheat middlings	15.0	
Oat hulls	8.5	
Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17% protein)	7.5	
Purified cellulose	5.5	
Soybean meal (49% protein)	5.0	
Fish meal (60% protein)	4.0	
Corn oil (without preservatives)	3.0	
Soy oil (without preservatives)	3.0	
Dried brewer's yeast	1.0	
Calcium carbonate (USP)	0.9	
Vitamin premix <sup>a</sup>	0.5	
Mineral premix <sup>b</sup>	0.5	
Calcium phosphate, dibasic (USP)	0.4	
Sodium chloride	0.3	
Choline chloride (70% choline)	0.26	
Methionine	0.2	

#### TABLE I1 Ingredients of NTP-2000 Rat and Mouse Ration

<sup>a</sup> Wheat middlings as carrier<sup>b</sup> Calcium carbonate as carrier

	Amount	Source
Vitamins		
А	4,000 IU	Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate
D	1,000 IU	D-activated animal sterol
K	1.0 mg	Menadione sodium bisulfite complex
α-Tocopheryl acetate	100 IU	
Niacin	23 mg	
Folic acid	1.1 mg	
d-Pantothenic acid	10 mg	d-Calcium pantothenate
Riboflavin	3.3 mg	
Thiamine	4 mg	Thiamine mononitrate
B <sub>12</sub>	52 µg	
Pyridoxine	6.3 mg	Pyridoxine hydrochloride
Biotin	0.2 mg	<i>d</i> -Biotin
Minerals		
Magnesium	514 mg	Magnesium oxide
Iron	35 mg	Iron sulfate
Zinc	12 mg	Zinc oxide
Manganese	10 mg	Manganese oxide
Copper	2.0 mg	Copper sulfate
Iodine	0.2 mg	Calcium iodate
Chromium	0.2 mg	Chromium acetate

#### TABLE I2 Vitamins and Minerals in NTP-2000 Rat and Mouse Ration<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Per kg of finished product

TABLE I3
Nutrient Composition of NTP-2000 Rat and Mouse Ration

Nutrient	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	Number of Samples
Protein (% by weight)	$14.8 \pm 0.45$	13.9 - 15.7	25
Crude Fat (% by weight)	$8.0 \pm 0.27$	7.4 - 8.6	25
Crude Fiber (% by weight)	$9.0 \pm 0.39$	8.4 - 9.9	25
Ash (% by weight)	$5.0 \pm 0.25$	4.4 - 5.6	25
Asii (70 by weight)	5.0 ± 0.25	4.4 - 5.0	23
Amino Acids (% of total diet)			
Arginine	$0.750 \pm 0.048$	0.670 - 0.850	15
Cystine	$0.225 \pm 0.025$	0.150 - 0.250	15
Glycine	$0.701 \pm 0.039$	0.620 - 0.750	15
Histidine	$0.365 \pm 0.090$	0.310 - 0.680	15
Isoleucine	$0.533 \pm 0.038$	0.430 - 0.590	15
Leucine	$1.077 \pm 0.059$	0.960 - 1.150	15
Lysine	$0.703 \pm 0.125$	0.310 - 0.830	15
Methionine	$0.402 \pm 0.049$	0.260 - 0.460	15
Phenylalanine	$0.615 \pm 0.035$	0.540 - 0.660	15
Threonine	$0.492 \pm 0.040$	0.430 - 0.590	15
Tryptophan	$0.135 \pm 0.018$	0.110 - 0.160	15
Tyrosine	$0.378 \pm 0.048$	0.280 - 0.460	15
Valine	$0.658 \pm 0.043$	0.550 - 0.710	15
Essential Fatty Acids (% of tota	l diet)		
Linoleic	$3.90 \pm 0.256$	3.49 - 4.54	15
Linolenic	$0.30 \pm 0.035$	0.21 - 0.35	15
Vitamins			
Vitamin A (IU/kg)	$4,951 \pm 114$	3,400 - 8,900	25
Vitamin D (IU/kg)	1,000 <sup>a</sup>	5,100 0,500	
α-Tocopherol (ppm)	$84.2 \pm 16.60$	52.0 - 110.0	15
Thiamine (ppm) <sup>b</sup>	$8.6 \pm 3.66$	5.9 - 25.2	25
Riboflavin (ppm)	$6.8 \pm 2.11$	4.20 - 11.20	15
Niacin (ppm)	$79.0 \pm 10.50$	66.4 - 98.2	15
Pantothenic acid (ppm)	$23.9 \pm 3.73$	17.4 - 29.8	15
Pyridoxine (ppm) <sup>b</sup>	$9.21 \pm 2.20$	6.4 - 13.7	15
Folic acid (ppm)	$1.75 \pm 0.54$	1.20 - 3.27	15
Biotin (ppm)	$0.332 \pm 0.12$	0.225 - 0.704	15
Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb)	$60.5 \pm 46.5$	18.3 - 174.0	15
Choline (ppm) <sup>b</sup>	$3,064 \pm 270$	2,700 - 3,790	15
Minorala			
Minerals	$0.977 \pm 0.049$	0.873 - 1.150	25
Calcium (%)	$0.977 \pm 0.048$ 0.593 + 0.026		
Phosphorus (%)	$0.593 \pm 0.026$	0.549 - 0.641	25
Potassium (%)	$0.665 \pm 0.023$	0.626 - 0.694	15
Chloride (%)	$0.376 \pm 0.041$	0.300 - 0.474	15
Sodium (%)	$0.191 \pm 0.017$	0.160 - 0.222	15
Magnesium (%)	$0.201 \pm 0.009$	0.185 - 0.217	15
Sulfur (%)	$0.170 \pm 0.029$	0.116 - 0.209	15
Iron (ppm)	$182 \pm 46.7$	135 - 311	15
Manganese (ppm)	54.1 ± 7.89	42.1 - 73.1	15
Zinc (ppm)	$55.0 \pm 9.55$	43.3 - 78.5	15
Copper (ppm)	$6.65 \pm 1.790$	3.21 - 10.50	15
Iodine (ppm)	$0.512 \pm 0.221$	0.233 - 0.972	15
Chromium (ppm)	$0.604 \pm 0.253$	0.330 - 1.380	14
Cobalt (ppm)	$0.25 \pm 0.074$	0.20 - 0.47	14

<sup>a</sup> From formulation
 <sup>b</sup> As hydrochloride (thiamine and pyridoxine) or chloride (choline)

	Mean ± Standard Deviation <sup>b</sup>	Range	Number of Samples
Contaminants			
Arsenic (ppm)	$0.39 \pm 0.154$	0.14 - 0.50	25
Cadmium (ppm)	$0.06 \pm 0.023$	0.04 - 0.10	25
Lead (ppm)	$0.08 \pm 0.030$	0.05 - 0.17	25
Mercury (ppm)	< 0.02		25
Selenium (ppm)	$0.19 \pm 0.029$	0.14 - 0.23	25
Aflatoxins (ppb)	<5.00		25
Nitrate nitrogen (ppm) <sup>c</sup>	$14.6 \pm 3.54$	10.00 - 23.2	25
Nitrite nitrogen (ppm) <sup>c</sup>	<0.61		25
BHA (ppm) <sup>d</sup>	<1.0		25
BHT (ppm) <sup>d</sup>	<1.0		25
Aerobic plate count (CFU/g)	$26 \pm 70$	10 - 360	25
Coliform (MPN/g)	$3.0 \pm 0.0$	3.0 - 3.0	25
Escherichia coli (MPN/g)	<10		25
Salmonella (MPN/g)	Negative		25
Total nitrosoamines (ppb) <sup>e</sup>	$3.9 \pm 1.65$	2.3 - 8.4	25
<i>N</i> -Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) <sup>e</sup>	$2.5 \pm 1.50$	1.1 - 6.9	25
<i>N</i> -Nitrosopyrrolidine (ppb) <sup>e</sup>	$1.5 \pm 0.54$	0.9 - 3.1	25
Pesticides (ppm)			
α-BHC	<0.01		25
β-BHC	<0.02		25
γ-BHC	<0.01		25
δ-BHC	<0.01		25
Heptachlor	<0.01		25
Aldrin	<0.01		25
Heptachlor epoxide	<0.01		25
DDE	<0.01		25
DDD	<0.01		25
DDT	<0.01		25
НСВ	<0.01		25
Mirex	<0.01		25
Methoxychlor	<0.05		25
Dieldrin	<0.01		25
Endrin	<0.01		25
Telodrin	<0.01		25
Chlordane	<0.05		25
Toxaphene	<0.10		25
Estimated PCBs	<0.20		25
Ronnel	<0.01		25
Ethion	<0.02		25
Trithion	<0.05		25
Diazinon	<0.10		25
Methyl chlorpyrifos	$0.093 \pm 0.067$	0.020 - 0.259	25
Methyl parathion	<0.02	0.020 0.207	25
Ethyl parathion	<0.02		25
Malathion	$0.306 \pm 0.475$	0.020 - 1.850	25
Endosulfan 1	<0.01	0.020 1.000	25
Endosulfan 2	<0.01		25
Endosulfane Sulfate	<0.01		25

TABLE I4 Contaminant Levels in NTP-2000 Rat and Mouse Ration<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> All samples were irradiated. CFU = colony-forming units; MPN = most probable number; BHC = hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride
 <sup>b</sup> For values less than the limit of detection, the detection limit is given as the mean.
 <sup>c</sup> Sources of contamination: alfalfa, grains, and fish meal

<sup>d</sup> Sources of contamination: solve of and fish meal
 <sup>e</sup> All values were corrected for percent recovery.

## APPENDIX J SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

Methods	160
Results	161

### SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

#### **Methods**

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program are produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect study results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the study rooms. These animals and the study animals are subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals come from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Serum samples were collected from randomly selected animals, five male (three male rats were sampled at 18 months in the 2-year studies) and five female sentinel rats and mice for each study. Serum samples were collected at 1 month and at the end of the 3-month studies and at 1, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months (300 mg/kg male and female rats and mice) for the 2-year studies. Blood from each animal was collected and allowed to clot, and the serum was separated; fecal samples were collected from five male and five female mice at 18 months in the 2-year studies. Samples were processed appropriately and sent to BioReliance (Rockville, MD) for determination of antibody titers. The laboratory serology methods and viral agents for which testing was performed are tabulated below; the times at which blood was collected during the studies are also listed.

Method and Test	Time of Collection	
Rats		
3-Month Study		
ELISA		
Mycoplasma arthritidis	3 months	
Mycoplasma pulmonis	3 months	
PVM (pneumonia virus of mice)	1 and 3 months	
RCV/SDA (rat coronavirus/sialodacryoadenitis virus)	1 and 3 months	
Sendai	1 and 3 months	
Immunofluorescence Assay		
M. arthritidis	3 months	
Parvovirus	1 and 3 months	
2-Year Study		
ELISA		
M. arthritidis	24 months	
M. pulmonis	24 months	
PVM	1, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months	
RCV/SDA	1, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months	
Sendai	1, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months	
Immunofluorescence Assay		
Parvovirus	1, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months	

Method	and	Test
--------	-----	------

#### Mice

#### **3-Month Study**

ELISA Ectromelia virus EDIM (epizootic diarrhea of infant mice) GDVII (mouse encephalomyelitis virus) LCM (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus) Mouse adenoma virus-FL MHV (mouse hepatitis virus) *M. arthritidis* M. pulmonis PVM Reovirus 3 Sendai Immunofluorescence Assay LCM MCMV (mouse cytomegalovirus) Parvovirus 2-Year Study **ELISA** Ectromelia virus EDIM **GDVII** LCM Mouse adenoma virus-FL MHV M. arthritidis M. pulmonis **PVM** Reovirus 3 Sendai Immunofluorescence Assay **EDIM GDVII** Mouse adenoma virus-FL MCMV MHV **PVM** Parvovirus Polymerase Chain Reaction Helicobacter species

#### RESULTS

All results were negative.

Time of Collection

1 and 3 months 3 months 3 months 1 and 3 months 1 and 3 months 1 and 3 months 1 month 3 months 1 and 3 months 1, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months 24 months 24 months 1, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months 1, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months 1, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months 18 months 24 months 18 months 24 months 18 months 18 months 1, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months

18 months

## APPENDIX K SPECIAL STUDY

TABLE K1	Serum Gastrin Concentrations and Stomach pH in Rats	
	Administered Isoeugenol by Gavage for 31 Days	164
TABLE K2	Liver Results for Rats Administered Isoeugenol by Gavage for 31 Days	164
TABLE K3	Incidences of Glandular Stomach Lesions in Rats Administered Isoeugenol by Gavage for 31 Days	165

TABLE	<b>K1</b>
-------	-----------

Serum Gastrin Concentrations and Stomach pH in Rats Administered Isoeugenol by Gavage for 31 Days<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
n	10	10	10	10	10	10
Male						
Serum gastrin (pg/mL)	$213.4 \pm 20.6$	$301.3 \pm 73.4$	$215.5 \pm 29.5$	$244.0\pm24.2$	$286.2 \pm 21.4$	$210.4 \pm 18.1$
Stomach pH	$3.16\pm0.26$	$2.79\pm0.09$	$2.96\pm0.15$	$2.80\pm0.12$	$2.58\pm0.10$	$2.96\pm0.24$
Female						
Serum gastrin (pg/mL)	213.4 ± 25.7	$220.3 \pm 26.8$	$205.6 \pm 18.1$	$242.7 \pm 48.2$	$289.2 \pm 48.4$	$219.8 \pm 29.3$
Stomach pH	$4.90\pm0.30$	$4.38\pm0.30$	$4.28\pm0.35$	$3.72 \pm 0.31^{**}$	$3.70 \pm 0.15^{**}$	$3.53 \pm 0.09^{**}$

\*\* Significantly different (P $\le$ 0.01) from the vehicle control group by Shirley's test <sup>a</sup> Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error.

#### TABLE K2 Liver Results for Rats Administered Isoeugenol by Gavage for 31 Days<sup>a</sup>

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
n	10	10	10	10	10	10
Male						
Acetanilid	le-4-hydroxylase (A4H)	(nmole/minute per mg	g microsomal protein)			
	$0.550\pm0.024$	$0.490\pm0.028$	$0.519\pm0.031$	$0.524\pm0.028$	$0.591 \pm 0.028$	$0.438 \pm 0.009^{**}$
7-Ethoxyr	esorufin-O-deethylase (E	EROD) (pmole/minute	e per mg microsomal pro	otein)		
	$42.03 \pm 2.150$	$36.44 \pm 2.090$	$33.16 \pm 1.600^{**}$	$34.20 \pm 1.060^{**}$	$36.30 \pm 1.570^{*}$	$29.27 \pm 1.130^{**}$
7-Pentoxy	resorufin-O-deethylase (	PROD) (pmole/minut	e per mg microsomal p	rotein)		
	$10.449 \pm 0.434$	$9.681 \pm 0.480$	$8.634 \pm 0.332^{**}$	$8.013 \pm 0.262^{**}$	$7.919 \pm 0.358^{**}$	$7.565 \pm 0.279^{**}$
Female						
Acetanilid	le-4-hydroxylase (A4H)	(nmole/minute per mg	g microsomal protein)			
	$0.507\pm0.017$	$0.519\pm0.021$	$0.458\pm0.017$	$0.479\pm0.030$	$0.510\pm0.024$	$0.551\pm0.034$
7-Ethoxyr	esorufin-O-deethylase (E	EROD) (pmole/minute	e per mg microsomal pro	otein)		
	$34.70\pm1.930$	$36.71 \pm 1.990$	$37.16\pm2.030$	$32.92 \pm 1.310$	$38.72 \pm 1.840$	$40.65\pm2.300$
7-Pentoxy	resorufin-O-deethylase (	PROD) (pmole/minut	e per mg microsomal p	rotein)		
	$3.734 \pm 0.213$	$4.494\pm0.237$	$4.767\pm0.296$	$3.786 \pm 0.157$	$3.959 \pm 0.182$	$4.393\pm0.246$

\* Significantly different (P<0.05) from the vehicle control group by Dunn's or Shirley's test \*\*  $P{\leq}0.01$ 

 $^a~$  Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error.

	Vehicle Control	37.5 mg/kg	75 mg/kg	150 mg/kg	300 mg/kg	600 mg/kg
Male						
Cyst, epithelium	0	0	0	0	0	0
Necrosis, epithelium	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inflammation, chronic	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female						
Cyst, epithelium	0	0	1 (1.0) <sup>a</sup>	0	0	0
Necrosis, epithelium	0	0	1 (1.0)	0	0	0
Inflammation, chronic	0	0	0	0	0	1 (1.0)

TABLE K3	
Incidences of Glandular Stomach Lesions in Rats Administered Isoeugenol by Gavage for 31 Days	

<sup>a</sup> Average severity grade of lesions in affected animals: 1 = minimal, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = marked

## APPENDIX L SINGLE-DOSE TOXICOKINETIC STUDIES IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

INTRODUCTION		168
MATERIALS AN	d Methods	168
Toxicokinetio	cs	169
RESULTS		170
Reference		171
TABLE L1	Materials and Methods in the Single-Dose Toxicokinetic Studies of Isoeugenol	172
FIGURE L1	Plasma Concentrations of Isoeugenol (IEG) in F344/N Rats after a Single Intravenous Injection of 17 mg/kg Isoeugenol	174
TABLE L2	Toxicokinetic Parameter Estimates in F344/N Rats after a Single Intravenous Injection of 17 mg/kg Isoeugenol	174
FIGURE L2	Plasma Concentrations of Isoeugenol (IEG) in F344/N Rats after a Single Gavage Dose of 17, 70, or 140 mg/kg Isoeugenol	175
TABLE L3	Toxicokinetic Parameter Estimates in F344/N Rats after a Single Gavage Dose of Isoeugenol	175
FIGURE L3	Plasma Concentrations of Isoeugenol (IEG) in B6C3F1 Mice after a Single Intravenous Injection of 35 mg/kg Isoeugenol	176
TABLE L4	Toxicokinetic Parameter Estimates in B6C3F1 Mice after a Single Intravenous Injection of 35 mg/kg Isoeugenol	176
FIGURE L4	Plasma Concentrations of Isoeugenol (IEG) in B6C3F1 Mice after a Single Gavage Dose of 35, 70, or 140 mg/kg Isoeugenol	177
TABLE L5	Toxicokinetic Parameter Estimates in B6C3F1 Mice after a Single Gavage Dose of Isoeugenol	177

## SINGLE-DOSE TOXICOKINETIC STUDIES IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

#### INTRODUCTION

Single-dose toxicokinetic studies of isoeugenol were designed to estimate toxicokinetic parameters for the determination of concentration of isoeugenol in F344/N rat and B6C3F1 mouse plasma, establish basic toxicokinetic parameters, and determine the extent of bioavailability to improve the usefulness of toxicity study results in risk assessment. Male and female rats received a single intravenous injection of 17 mg isoeugenol/kg body weight or a single gavage dose of 17, 70, or 140 mg/kg. Male and female mice received a single intravenous injection of 35 mg/kg or a single gavage dose of 35, 70, or 140 mg/kg. Postdose plasma samples were analyzed for isoeugenol concentrations, and the results were used to calculate toxicokinetic parameters.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Isoeugenol (Lot #55130) was obtained from Penta Manufacturing Company (Livingston, NJ) and stored at room temperature. The material was analyzed for identity using infrared and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy; analyses confirmed the material as isoeugenol. Karl-Fischer titrimetry indicated that the test article contained 0.46% water. The material was analyzed for purity using gas chromatography, high performance liquid chromatography, and elemental analysis; the test article was determined to be approximately 99% pure. The analytical systems used were similar to those described in Appendix H.

On the day of dosing, groups of 21 male and female F344/N nonfasted rats were approximately 13 weeks old and ranged in weight from 204 to 276 g and from 149 to 169 g, respectively; groups of 42 male and female B6C3F1 nonfasted mice were approximately 13 weeks old and ranged in weight from 25.9 to 32.4 g and from 20.2 to 27.1 g, respectively. Intravenous doses were administered through jugular vein catheters implanted by the animal supplier (Charles River Laboratories, Raleigh, NC) and were formulated in Cremophor<sup>®</sup> EL:ethanol:water (1:1:8; v/v/v). Gavage doses were formulated in corn oil. All dose formulations were prepared gravimetrically and analyzed pre- and postadministration to assure that they were within 10% of target concentrations. Additional details of the study design and animal maintenance are summarized in Table L1.

After dosing, animals were anesthetized with approximately 70%  $CO_2$  (30%  $O_2$ ), and blood was collected from the retroorbital sinus of rats and by cardiac puncture from mice at the times listed in Table L1. Three rats and three mice of each dose group were bled at each time point, with the exception of the last time point for male mice, where only two animals were available. Up to 2 mL of blood were collected. Rats were bled a second time with a minimum of 1 hour of recovery time. Blood samples were collected into glass tubes containing EDTA anticoagulant and mixed gently, and plasma was separated within 60 minutes of collection by centrifugation. The plasma was stored at  $-70^{\circ}$  C until analyzed. Following final blood collection, animals were sacrificed under 100%  $CO_2$ .

For analysis, plasma samples were thawed to room temperature and 100  $\mu$ L of sample were combined with 1.0 mL of ethyl acetate solution containing the internal standard methyleugenol at 0.50  $\mu$ g/mL. Following vortexing for 15 seconds and a 2-minute settling time, samples were vortexed again for 15 seconds and then centrifuged for approximately 1 minute to facilitate separation of the organic and aqueous phases. The organic phase was transferred to another vial, and 1  $\mu$ L of the organic layer was analyzed by gas chromatography with mass spectrometric detection. Chromatography was performed on a DB-WAXetr column (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA) with an oven program of 45° C for 1.0 minute, then 30° C/minute to 200° C, then 10° C/minute to 250° C, and then held for 1 minute. The mass spectrometer was operated in selected ion monitoring mode for *m/z* 164 (100 ms dwell) for isoeugenol and *m/z* 178 (100 ms dwell) for methyleugenol. Sample concentrations of isoeugenol were

calculated using linear regression analysis of data weighted  $1/x^2$  relating the peak area response ratio of instrument response to calibration standards prepared in blank F344/N rat plasma.

The analytical method for determining isoeugenol in plasma samples was validated with a range of 0.015 to 32 µg isoeugenol/mL plasma. The limit of detection for the method was 0.0009 µg/mL plasma; the limit of quantitation was 0.0031 µg/mL plasma, and the experimental limit of quantitation (ELOQ) was 0.015 µg/mL plasma. Precision, based on the standard deviation of spiked plasma samples, was less than or equal to 5.1%, and accuracy was within 10%, also based on analysis of spiked plasma samples.

#### **TOXICOKINETICS**

Toxicokinetic parameter estimates following intravenous administration were derived only from those plasma isoeugenol measurements that were above the ELOQ. Toxicokinetic parameters were determined by fitting the following equation to the data collected in the intravenous phase of the study, using a nonlinear least-squares fitting program (SAS PROC NLIN; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC):

$$C(t) = A_0 e^{-\alpha t} + B_0 e^{-\beta t}$$

where C(t) is the plasma concentration of isoeugenol at any postadministration time (t),  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the rate constants (per minute) obtained from the fit, and  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are the intercepts on the ordinate (concentration) axis of the extrapolated initial and terminal phases, respectively. Estimates for these values, with the asymptotic standard errors and approximate 95% confidence intervals, were obtained directly from the model. The elimination half-lives for the initial and terminal phases of the concentration versus time profiles were calculated as  $\ln 2/\alpha$  and  $\ln 2/\beta$ , respectively. The maximum plasma isoeugenol concentration ( $C_0$ ) was assumed to occur at t = 0 and was calculated as  $A_0 + B_0$ .

The area under the curve  $(AUC_T)$  was estimated to the last sampling time point (T) using the trapezoidal rule:

$$AUC_T = \sum \frac{C_{n-1} + C_n}{2} \times (t_n - t_{n-1})$$

where  $C_{n-1}$  and  $C_n$  are the plasma isoeugenol concentrations measured at two consecutive time points,  $t_{n-1}$  and  $t_n$ , respectively.

The area under the curve extrapolated to infinity  $(AUC_{\infty})$  was estimated using  $C_0$  and the following equation:

$$AUC_{\infty} = AUC_T + \frac{C_T}{\beta}$$

where  $C_T$  is the plasma isoeugenol concentration measured at T and  $\beta$  is the rate constant for the terminal elimination phase.

For the gavage data, the time  $(t_{max})$  at which the maximum plasma isoeugenol concentration  $(C_{max})$  occurred was determined empirically from plots of the data.  $AUC_T$  was calculated from the observed plasma isoeugenol concentrations using the trapezoidal rule described above.  $AUC_{\infty}$  was calculated using extrapolations to time t = 0 minutes assuming an initial concentration of 0 µg isoeugenol/mL plasma and infinite time  $(t = \infty)$  using  $\beta$  derived from intravenous dosing.

Total clearance  $(Cl_{tot})$  determined from intravenous dosing was calculated using the following equation:

$$Cl_{tot} = Dose / AUC_{\infty}$$

The apparent clearance (Cl<sub>app</sub>) determined from gavage dosing was calculated using the following equation:

$$Cl_{ann} = Dose / AUC_{\infty}$$

The apparent volume of distribution  $(V_{app})$  following intravenous dosing was calculated using the following equation:

$$V_{app} = Cl_{tot} / \beta$$

Absolute bioavailability was expressed as the fraction (F) of the oral dose that reached the systemic circulation and was calculated using the following equation:

$$F = \frac{Dose_{intravenous} \times AUC_{\infty(gavage)}}{Dose_{gavage} \times AUC_{\infty(intravenous)}}$$

#### RESULTS

#### Isoeugenol Toxicokinetics in F344/N Rats

Plasma isoeugenol concentration-versus-time profiles following intravenous administration to male and female rats were fit well by a biexponential model, exhibiting a rapid initial and slower terminal elimination phase that included a minor secondary peak (Figure L1). Toxicokinetic parameters estimated from these data are presented in Table L2.

 $C_{max}$  and  $C_0$  (simultaneous for an intravenous study) occurred in the earliest samples taken (2 minutes) and were not significantly different between the sexes. No observable sex differences were observed for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $t_{1/2\alpha}$ ,  $t_{1/2\beta}$ ,  $AUC_{\infty}$ ,  $Cl_{tot}$ , or  $V_{app}$ . There was evidence for extensive distribution to extravascular tissues and/or high tissue uptake/binding following isoeugenol administration because the  $V_{app}$  values greatly exceeded the total body water volume of 0.668 L/kg (Davies and Morris, 1993).

Following gavage administration, the plasma isoeugenol concentration-versus-time profiles were characterized by a rapid absorption phase that occurred within 20 minutes postdosing (Figure L2). Since there were only one to three time points during this absorption phase, further characterization of absorption could not be made from these profiles. The terminal elimination phase generally exhibited at least one secondary peak, and these secondary peaks were observed up to approximately 360 minutes with a tendency toward later times with increasing dose. As a result of the secondary peaks, the typical exponential model was not adequate to define the gavage data and model-independent toxicokinetic parameters were estimated (Table L3).

Absorption of isoeugenol was rapid in both sexes of rats following gavage administration as revealed by  $t_{max}$  values within 20 minutes (Table L3). Based on  $C_{max}$  values, there was an apparent trend towards increasing plasma isoeugenol concentrations with increasing dose. However, due to rapid changes in isoeugenol concentrations during the early absorption/desorption phase,  $AUC_{\infty}$  values provide a more appropriate measure of the amount of isoeugenol absorbed.  $AUC_{\infty}$  values increased supraproportionately with dose for both sexes (Figure L2 and Table L3).  $Cl_{app}$  values decreased with dose and were greater (approximately two times) in the 17 mg/kg groups than in the 140 mg/kg groups, independent of sex. Within each dose group,  $Cl_{app}$  was significantly greater (1.5 to 1.9 times) in males than females. Absolute bioavailability (± standard error), based on intravenous and gavage doses of 17 mg isoeugenol/kg, was significantly greater in females than males, with values of  $17\% \pm 2\%$  and  $11\% \pm 2\%$ , respectively. Because calculations were based on gavage data using  $AUC_{ti-tf}$  instead of  $AUC_{\infty}$ , these volumes may slightly underestimate absolute bioavailability.

#### Isoeugenol Toxicokinetics in B6C3F1 Mice

Plasma isoeugenol concentration-versus-time profiles following intravenous administration to male and female mice were fit well by a biexponential model, exhibiting a rapid initial and slower terminal elimination phase that included a minor secondary peak (Figure L3). Toxicokinetic parameters estimated from these data are presented in Table L4.

 $C_{max}$  occurred in the earliest samples taken (2 minutes), and neither  $C_{max}$  nor  $C_0$  values were significantly different between the sexes. No significant sex differences were discovered for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $t_{1/2\alpha}$ , or  $t_{1/2\beta}$ .  $AUC_{\infty}$  values were significantly greater (1.4 times) in females than males, leading to significantly greater  $Cl_{tot}$  in males.  $V_{app}$  values were not significantly different between the sexes. There was evidence for extensive distribution to extravascular tissues and/or high tissue uptake/binding following isoeugenol administration because the  $V_{app}$  values greatly exceeded the total body water volume of 0.725 L/kg (Davies and Morris, 1993).

The plasma isoeugenol concentration-versus-time profiles following gavage administration of isoeugenol to mice were characterized by a rapid absorption phase that occurred within 20 minutes postdosing (Figure L4). Similar to the rat data, further characterization of absorption could not be made from these profiles. The terminal elimination phase generally exhibited at least one secondary peak in the plasma concentration-versus-time profiles. Following the initial absorption phase, secondary peaks were observed up to approximately 240 minutes with a tendency toward later times with increasing dose. As with the rat data, only a limited set of model-independent toxicokinetic parameters were estimated (Table L5).

Absorption of isoeugenol was rapid in both sexes of mice following gavage administration as revealed by  $t_{max}$  values within 20 minutes (Table L5). Based on  $C_{max}$  values, there was an apparent trend towards increasing plasma isoeugenol concentrations with increasing dose. However,  $AUC_{\infty}$  values provide a more appropriate measure of the amount of isoeugenol absorbed. A notable sex difference was observed regarding the relationship between dose-normalized  $AUC_{\infty}$  values and isoeugenol dose. For male mice, dose-normalized  $AUC_{\infty}$  values decreased slightly with increasing dose, whereas for female mice, there was no significant change in the dose-normalized  $AUC_{\infty}$  values, indicating a proportionate increase in  $AUC_{\infty}$  values with dose.  $Cl_{app}$  values increased only marginally with dose for males but did not change significantly with dose for females. Within each dose group,  $Cl_{app}$  was significantly greater in males than females. Absolute bioavailability (± standard error), based on intravenous and gavage doses of 35 mg isoeugenol/kg, was not significantly different between the sexes with values of  $34\% \pm 4\%$  and  $36\% \pm 3\%$  for males and females, respectively. Because calculations were based on gavage data using  $AUC_{ti-ff}$  instead of  $AUC_{\infty}$ , these volumes may slightly underestimate absolute bioavailability.

#### Reference

Davies, B., and Morris, T. (1993). Physiological parameters in laboratory animals and humans. *Pharm. Res.* **10**, 1093-1095.

#### TABLE L1

Materials and Methods in the Single-Dose Toxicokinetic Studies of Isoeugenol

	Intravenous Studies	Gavage Studies
Study Laboratory	Battelle Toxicology Northwest (Richland, WA)	Battelle Toxicology Northwest (Richland, WA)
Strain and Species	F344/N rats B6C3F1 mice	F344/N rats B6C3F1 mice
Animal Source	Charles River Laboratories (Raleigh, NC)	Charles River Laboratories (Raleigh, NC)
Time Held     1 (males) or 4 (females) days       Before Studies		Rats: 13 to 19 days Mice: 13 to 20 days
Average Age When Studies Began	13 to 14 weeks	13 to 14 weeks
Date of First Dose	Rats: January 7 (males) or 10 (females), 2000 Mice: January 14 (males) or 17 (females), 2000	Rats: December 1, 2, or 7, 1999 Mice: December 13, 15, or 17 (males) or 14, 16, or 20 (females), 1999
Doses	Rats: 17 mg/kg Mice: 35 mg/kg	Rats: 17, 70, or 140 mg/kg Mice: 35, 70, or 140 mg/kg
Vehicle	Cremophor <sup>®</sup> EL:ethanol:water (1:1:8; v/v/v)	Corn oil
Dosing Volume       Rats: 2 mL/kg         Mice: 4 mL/kg       Doses were delivered as a bolus injection within approximately 60 seconds, followed by approximately 0.5 mL (rats) or 0.2 mL (mice) of heparinized saline solution (10 units/mL).		Rats: 5 mL/kg Mice: 10 mL/kg
Type of Observation	Animals were weighed the morning of dosing for calculation of the dosing volume.	Animals were weighed the day prior to dosing for calculation of the dosing volume.
Size of Study Groups	Rats: 21 males and 21 females Mice: 42 males and 42 females	Rats: 21 males and 21 females Mice: 42 males and 42 females
Method of Distribution	Animals were distributed randomly into groups of approximately equal initial mean body weights.	Same as intravenous studies
Diet	NTP-2000 irradiated pelleted diet (Zeigler Brothers, Inc., Gardners, PA); available <i>ad libitum</i>	Same as intravenous studies
Water       Tap water (Richland, WA, municipal supply) via automatic watering system (Edstrom Industries, Waterford, WI); available ad libitum		Same as intravenous studies
Cages	Polycarbonate solid-bottom with slotted feeders, (Hazleton Systems, Inc., Aberdeen, MD)	Wire-mesh units with slotted feeders, (Hazleton Systems, Inc., Aberdeen, MD)
Animal Room Environment	Temperature: $72^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}$ F Relative humidity: $50\% \pm 15\%$ Room fluorescent light: 12 hours/day Room air changes: 14/hour	Temperature: $72^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}$ F Relative humidity: $50\% \pm 15\%$ Room fluorescent light: 12 hours/day Room air changes: 14/hour

TABLE L1
Materials and Methods in the Single-Dose Toxicokinetic Studies of Isoeugenol

	Intravenous Studies	Gavage Studies
Postdosing Blood Sample Collection Times	2, 5, 10, 20, 30, and 45 minutes and 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, and 6 hours	<ul> <li>17 mg/kg (rats only): 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, and 45 minutes and 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8 hours</li> <li>35 mg/kg (mice only): 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, and 45 minutes and 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, and 6 hours</li> <li>70 mg/kg: 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, and 45 minutes and 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8 hours</li> <li>140 mg/kg: 2, 5, 10, 20, and 30 minutes and 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, and 10 hours</li> </ul>
Analyte	Plasma isoeugenol concentration	Plasma isoeugenol concentration



FIGURE L1 Plasma Concentrations of Isoeugenol (IEG) in F344/N Rats after a Single Intravenous Injection of 17 mg/kg Isoeugenol

n = up to three plasma samples per time point

 TABLE L2

 Toxicokinetic Parameter Estimates in F344/N Rats after a Single Intravenous Injection of 17 mg/kg Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

Parameter	Male	Female
C <sub>0</sub> (μg/mL)	10.5 ± 1.2	$10.6 \pm 0.9$
$\alpha$ (minute <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.0869 \pm 0.0064$	$0.0920 \pm 0.0052$
$_{1/2\alpha}$ (minute)	$7.98 \pm 0.59$	$7.54 \pm 0.42$
$\beta$ (minute <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.0100 \pm 0.0009$	$0.00872 \pm 0.00044$
$_{1/2\beta}$ (minute)	$69.1 \pm 6.2$	$79.5 \pm 4.1$
$UC_{\infty}$ (µg·minute/mL)	$155 \pm 3$	$162 \pm 3$
<i>UC<sub>w</sub>/Dose</i> [(µg·minute/mL)/(mg/kg)]	$9.09 \pm 0.18$	$9.54 \pm 0.17$
Cl <sub>tot</sub> (mL/minute·kg)	$110 \pm 2$	$105 \pm 2$
V <sub>app</sub> (L/kg)	$11.0 \pm 1.0$	$12.0 \pm 0.7$

<sup>a</sup> Values are reported as the mean  $\pm$  standard error.  $C_0$  = estimated plasma concentration of isoeugenol at time zero;  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  = elimination rate constants for the initial and terminal phases of the plasma elimination curve, respectively;  $t_{V2\alpha}$  and  $t_{V2\beta}$  = elimination half-lives for the initial and terminal phases of the plasma elimination curve, respectively;  $AUC_{\infty}$  = area under the curve extrapolated to infinity;  $Cl_{tot}$  = total clearance;  $V_{app}$  = apparent volume of distribution.



FIGURE L2 Plasma Concentrations of Isoeugenol (IEG) in F344/N Rats after a Single Gavage Dose of 17, 70, or 140 mg/kg Isoeugenol n = up to three plasma samples per time point

 TABLE L3

 Toxicokinetic Parameter Estimates in F344/N Rats after a Single Gavage Dose of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	17 mg/kg	70 mg/kg	140 mg/kg
Male			
$C_{max}$ (µg/mL)	$0.192 \pm 0.022$	$1.02 \pm 0.41$	$2.06 \pm 0.73$
$t_{max}$ (minute)	10	20	20
$AUC_{ti-tf}(\mu g \cdot minute/mL)$	$16.0 \pm 1.1$	$92.7 \pm 8.0$	$280 \pm 19$
AUC <sub>ti-tf</sub> /Dose [(µg·minute/mL)/(mg/kg)]	$0.941 \pm 0.066$	$1.32 \pm 0.11$	$2.00 \pm 0.14$
$Cl_{app}$ (mL/minute · kg)	$1062 \pm 74$	$755 \pm 65$	$499 \pm 35$
Female			
$C_{max}$ (µg/mL)	$0.364 \pm 0.103$	$1.82 \pm 0.88$	$5.91 \pm 2.28$
t <sub>max</sub> (minute)	10	2	5
$AUC_{ti-tf}(\mu g \cdot minute/mL)$	30.1 ±2.8	$154 \pm 10$	$413\pm16$
$AUC_{ti-tf}/Dose [(\mu g \cdot minute/mL)/(mg/kg)]$	$1.77 \pm 0.17$	$2.20 \pm 0.14$	$2.95 \pm 0.12$
$Cl_{ann}$ (mL/minute · kg)	$564 \pm 53$	$455 \pm 29$	$339 \pm 13$

<sup>a</sup> All values except those for *tmax* are reported as the mean  $\pm$  standard error.  $C_{max}$  = maximum plasma isoeugenol concentration;  $t_{max}$  = time at which *Cmax* was observed;  $AUC_{ti-tf}$  = area under the curve from initial to final measured time;  $Cl_{app}$  = apparent clearance.



FIGURE L3 Plasma Concentrations of Isoeugenol (IEG) in B6C3F1 Mice after a Single Intravenous Injection of 35 mg/kg Isoeugenol

n = up to three plasma samples per time point

 TABLE L4

 Toxicokinetic Parameter Estimates in B6C3F1 Mice after a Single Intravenous Injection of 35 mg/kg Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

Parameter	Male	Female
, 0 (μg/mL)	17.1 ± 3.0	18.0 ± 2.5
$\alpha$ (minute <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.0872 \pm 0.0068$	$0.0666 \pm 0.0045$
$_{1/2\alpha}$ (minute)	$7.95 \pm 0.62$	$10.4 \pm 0.7$
$\beta$ (minute <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.00587 \pm 0.00162$	$0.00679 \pm 0.00131$
$_{1/2\beta}$ (minute)	$118 \pm 33$	$102 \pm 20$
$UC_{\infty}$ (µg·minute/mL)	$237 \pm 7$	$323 \pm 5$
$UC_{\infty}/Dose [(\mu g \cdot minute/mL)/(mg/kg)]$	$6.76 \pm 0.21$	$9.23 \pm 0.13$
$Cl_{tot}$ (mL/minute · kg)	$148 \pm 5$	$108 \pm 2$
/ <sub>app</sub> (L/kg)	$25.2 \pm 7.0$	$16.0 \pm 3.1$

a Values are reported as the mean  $\pm$  standard error.  $C_0$  = estimated plasma concentration of isoeugenol at time zero;  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  = elimination rate constants for the initial and terminal phases of the plasma elimination curve, respectively;  $t_{V2\alpha}$  and  $t_{V2\beta}$  = elimination half-lives for the initial and terminal phases of the plasma elimination curve, respectively;  $AUC_{\infty}$  = area under the curve extrapolated to infinity;  $Cl_{tot}$  = total clearance;  $V_{app}$  = apparent volume of distribution.



FIGURE L4 Plasma Concentrations of Isoeugenol (IEG) in B6C3F1 Mice after a Single Gavage Dose of 35, 70, or 140 mg/kg Isoeugenol n = up to three plasma samples per time point

 TABLE L5

 Toxicokinetic Parameter Estimates in B6C3F1 Mice after a Single Gavage Dose of Isoeugenol<sup>a</sup>

	17 mg/kg	70 mg/kg	140 mg/kg
Male			
$C_{max}$ (µg/mL)	$1.13 \pm 0.18$	$1.27 \pm 0.13$	$1.91 \pm 0.14$
$t_{max}$ (minute)	20	10	20
$AUC_{ti-tf}(\mu g \cdot minute/mL)$	$67 \pm 3.1$	$118 \pm 5$	$203 \pm 15$
AUC <sub>ti-tf</sub> /Dose [(µg·minute/mL)/(mg/kg)]	$1.91 \pm 0.09$	$1.68 \pm 0.07$	$1.45 \pm 0.11$
$Cl_{app}$ (mL/minute · kg)	$522 \pm 24$	$595 \pm 26$	$690 \pm 50$
Female			
$C_{max}$ (µg/mL)	$1.94 \pm 0.17$	$2.54 \pm 0.17$	$3.99 \pm 2.10$
$t_{max}$ (minute)	10	20	5
$AUC_{ti-tf}(\mu g \cdot minute/mL)$	$101 \pm 4$	$207 \pm 11$	$400 \pm 36$
$AUC_{ti-tf}/Dose [(\mu g \cdot minute/mL)/(mg/kg)]$	$2.87 \pm 0.12$	$2.96 \pm 0.16$	$2.86\pm0.26$
$Cl_{app}$ (mL/minute · kg)	$348 \pm 14$	$338 \pm 18$	$350 \pm 31$

a All values except those for  $t_{max}$  are reported as the mean  $\pm$  standard error.  $C_{max}$  = maximum plasma isoeugenol concentration;  $t_{max}$  = time at which  $C_{max}$  was observed;  $AUC_{ti-tf}$  = area under the curve from initial to final measured time;  $Cl_{app}$  = apparent clearance.



### National Toxicology Program National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences National Institutes of Health P.O. Box 12233, MD K2-05 Durham, NC 27709 Tel: 984-287-3211 ntpwebrequest@niehs.nih.gov

https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov

ISSN 2378-8925