

The Bovine Corneal Opacity and Permeability (BCOP) Test Method – Validation Status and Appropriate Use



Elizabeth Lipscomb, Ph.D., ILS-Inc., Contractor
Supporting NICEATM

ICCVAM Workshop Series on Best Practices for
Regulatory Safety Testing: Assessing the Potential for
Chemically Induced Eye Injuries

January 19, 2011

William H. Natcher Conference Center
National Institutes of Health

Bethesda, MD

NICEATM-ICCVAM Evaluation of BCOP

- Reviewed available data and information regarding the usefulness and limitations for assessing the ocular hazard potential of chemicals and products
- Determined validation status
 - Accuracy: sensitivity and specificity
 - Reproducibility for identifying ocular corrosives/severe irritants vs. all other hazard categories
 - Scope of substances tested
 - Availability of a standardized test method protocol
- Independent international scientific peer review panel

Overview of BCOP

- Minimum of three bovine corneas are treated with the test substance
 - 20% (w/v) suspension in 0.9% sodium chloride solution as described for solid nonsurfactant test substances
- Minimum of three bovine corneas are treated with the positive control
 - 20% (w/v) imidazole in 0.9% sodium chloride solution as suggested for solid test substances
- Minimum of three bovine corneas are treated with the solvent/vehicle control
 - 0.9% sodium chloride
- Time of exposure for solids: 4 hours
- Endpoints measured: Corneal opacity and permeability

Validation Database

- 211 substances representing a wide range of chemical classes and product categories
- Sufficient *in vivo* data to assign an ocular irritancy classification according to the EPA and GHS classification systems
 - EPA : 187 substances
 - GHS: 187 substances
- Reproducibility data from three validation studies
 - Balls et al. (1995): 5 laboratories
 - Gautheron et al. (1994): 11-12 laboratories
 - Southee (1998): 3 laboratories



Chemical Classes Tested in BCOP

Chemical Class	# of Substances	Chemical Class	# of Substances
Acyl halide	3	Inorganic Salt	6
Alcohol	22	Ketone	12
Aldehyde	1	Lactone	3
Alkali	3	Nitrile compound	1
Aluminum compound	1	Nitro compound	2
Amide	2	Oil	1
Amidine	6	Onium compound	12
Amine	10	Organic Salt	3
Amino Acid	4	Organic Sulfur compound	5
Boron compound	1	Organophosphate	1
Carboxylic Acid	17	Organosilicon compound	1
Ester	12	Phenol	1
Ether/Polyether	9	Polycyclic compound	3
Formulation	69	Terpene	1
Heterocyclic compound	12	Wax	1
Hydrocarbon	18		
Imide	2		

Product Categories Tested in BCOP

Product Category	# of Substances	Product Category	# of Substances
Adhesive	1	Fertilizer	1
Agricultural chemical	2	Flame retardant	1
Antifreeze agent	1	Flavor ingredient	3
Antimicrobial cleaning product	66	Food additive	1
Bactericide/Fungicide/Disinfectant/Germicide	11	Herbicide	3
Beverage	1	Insect repellent	8
Bleach	3	Lubricant/lubricant additive	6
Chelating agent	2	Paint, lacquer, varnish (component)	1
Chemical/synthetic intermediate	28	Pesticide	8
Cleaner	15	Petroleum product	16
Cleanser (personal care)	13	Photographic chemical/developing agent	2
Coupling agent	1	Plant growth regulator	2
Cutting fluid	2	Plasticizer	4
Degreaser	1	Preservative	2
Dessicant	1	Reagent	5
Detergent	11	Shampoo (hair)	14
Drug/Pharmaceutical	17	Soap	3
Dry cleaning preparation	1	Solvent	34
Dye, in manufacture of	3	Surfactant	39
Emulsifier	1	Anionic surfactant	3
Etching and/or electroplating	2	Cationic surfactant	6
Explosive	1	Nonionic surfactant	5
Fabric softener	1	Thermometer fluid	1



Decision Criteria Proposed to Classify BCOP Data

	≥ 55.1 for Severe
Nonlabeled ²	0 - 3
Mild Irritant ³	3.1 - 25
Moderate Irritant ⁴	25.1 - 55
Severe Irritant/Corrosive ¹	≥ 55.1

¹EPA Category I; GHS Category 1

²EPA Category IV; GHS Not Labeled

³EPA Category III; GHS Category 2B

⁴EPA Category II; GHS Category 2A

BCOP Test Method Accuracy: Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants¹

Severe ≥55.1	No.	Accuracy		Sensitivity		Specificity		False Positive Rate		False Negative Rate	
		%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
EPA	187	79	147/187	84	53/63	76	95/124	24	29/124	16	10/63
GHS	187	79	148/187	85	55/65	76	93/122	24	29/122	15	10/65

¹ EPA = Cat I vs. Cat II/III/IV, GHS = Cat 1 vs. Cat 2A/2B/NC

BCOP Interlaboratory Reproducibility

- Extent of agreement between testing laboratories when identifying ocular corrosives and severe irritants

% Agreement Among 19-20 Labs	EPA	GHS
100% (all substances)	71% (90/127)	72% (91/127)
≥73% (all substances)	89% 113/127)	88% (112/127)
100% (only corrosive/severe irritant)	75% (15/20)	78% (21/27)
≥75% (only corrosive/severe irritant)	90% (18/20)	93% (25/27)

ICCVAM Test Method Recommendations for BCOP: Usefulness and Limitations

Usefulness


- Can be used for identification of ocular corrosives and severe irritants (EPA Category I, GHS Category 1) in appropriate circumstances and with certain limitations

Limitations

- Alcohols: 53% (8/15) to 56% (9/16) false positives¹
- Ketones: 40% (4/10) false positives
- Solids: 42% (5/12) to 50% (5/10) false negatives

¹ False negative rates ranged from 67% (2/3) to 100% (2/2)

ICCVAM-Recommended BCOP Protocol¹



¹Available at http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/docs/ocutox_docs/OTeval/appD.pdf

ICCVAM Test Method Recommendations: Future Studies

- Additional optimization studies/evaluations to improve the correct classification of mild and moderate ocular irritants and substances not labeled as irritants
- Further evaluate the usefulness and limitations of using histopathological evaluation of the corneal tissue when BCOP is conducted

BCOP International Acceptance

- OECD TG 437: Bovine Corneal Opacity and Permeability Test Method for Identifying Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants
 - Adopted September 7, 2009
 - Available at: http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-437-bovine-corneal-opacity-and-permeability-test-method-for-identifying-ocular-corrosives-and-severe-irritants_9789264076303-en
 - Based on ICCVAM-recommended BCOP protocol
 - Expected to result in broader use of BCOP, which will further reduce and refine animal use for ocular safety assessments while ensuring human safety

2010 ICCVAM Evaluation of BCOP

- In 2010, ICCVAM also evaluated BCOP for identifying nonsevere irritants and substances not labeled as irritants
- However, ICCVAM concluded that BCOP is **not** recommended as a screening test to distinguish substances not labeled as irritants from all other hazard categories
- ICCVAM also concluded that BCOP is **not** recommended to identify moderate and mild ocular irritants as defined by the EPA and GHS classification systems



Acknowledgements

- ICCVAM and NICEATM gratefully acknowledge the following individuals and institutions for submitting data to NICEATM for the BCOP evaluation

<p>Luann Potts Tom Truszkowski Access Business Group</p>	<p>Johnson Diversey, Inc. John Hamilton, Ph.D. Sarah Willems, B.S.</p>
<p>Carol Eisenmann, Ph.D. Cosmetics, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association</p>	<p>Freddy Van Goethem, Ph.D. Philippe Vanparys, Ph.D. Johnson and Johnson Pharmaceutical Research and Development – A Division of Janssen Pharmaceutical N.V.</p>
<p>Chantra Eskes, Ph.D. ECVAM</p>	<p>Christine Van den Berghe, Ph.D. L'Oreal</p>
<p>James Freeman, Ph.D. ExxonMobil Biomedical Sciences</p>	<p>Joseph Sina, Ph.D. Merck</p>
<p>Rodger Curren, Ph.D. John Harbell, Ph.D. (until March 2006) Institute for In Vitro Sciences, Inc.</p>	<p>Nicolle Cuellar, M.S. Judith Swanson, B.S./B.A. S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc./JohnsonDiversey, Inc.</p>



Additional Acknowledgements

- ICCVAM
- ICCVAM Interagency Ocular Toxicity Working Group
- ICCVAM Independent Scientific Peer Review Panel
- NICEATM Staff