



July 31, 2013

United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

**VETERINARY SERVICES MEMORANDUM NO. 800.116**

Animal and Plant  
Health Inspection  
Service

**TO:** Biologics Licensees, Permittees, and Applicants  
Directors, Center for Veterinary Biologics  
Veterinary Services Leadership Team

Veterinary Services

**FROM:** John R. Clifford /s/ *John R. Clifford*  
Deputy Administrator

Washington, DC  
20250

**SUBJECT:** Animal Safety Testing Exemption

**I. PURPOSE**

This Memorandum provides guidance so licensed firms may request an exemption under title 9, *Code of Federal Regulations* (9 CFR), part 113.4, to animal safety testing as required in section V.B. of the Outline of Production and 9 CFR 113.64, 113.100, 113.200, 113.300, and 113.450. The Center for Veterinary Biologics (CVB) will consider granting an exemption to animal safety testing for specific products with a documented history of acceptable safety results and controlled manufacturing processes that have ensured batch-to-batch consistency and sterility.

**II. BACKGROUND**

Safety testing in animals has been a component of the approval process for each serial of product released for marketing by the CVB since the program's inception. This release testing provides assurance that each serial of product will not have unfavorable results in the target animal. General safety requirements for live bacterial vaccines are described in 9 CFR 113.64, inactivated bacterial products in 9 CFR 113.100, killed virus vaccines in 9 CFR 113.200, live virus vaccines in 9 CFR 113.300, and antibody products in 9 CFR 113.450.

Depending on the type of product, animal safety testing may be conducted in mice (9 CFR 113.33), guinea pigs (9 CFR 113.38), or the host animal, i.e., cat (9 CFR 113.39), dog (9 CFR 113.40), calf (9 CFR 113.41), pig (9 CFR 113.44), sheep (9 CFR 113.45), poultry (9 CFR 113.100(b)(2)), or aquatic species or reptiles (9 CFR 113.100(b)(3)). If the Standard Requirement (stipulated in 9 CFR) for a product does not specify the animal safety test method, section V.B. in the Outline of Production must indicate a method and state the animal species to be used in safety testing. With the number of serials produced by all licensed manufacturers, the number of animals used for safety testing can be substantial.



*Safeguarding American Agriculture*

APHIS is an agency of USDA's Marketing and Regulatory Programs  
An Equal Opportunity Provider and Employer

Federal Relay Service  
(Voice/TTY/ASCII/Spanish)  
1-800-877-8339

## VETERINARY SERVICES MEMORANDUM NO. 800.116

Page 2

Some products may have established historical data documenting product safety and consistency in manufacturing processes, precluding the need for continued animal safety testing. Therefore, a process by which firms could obtain an exemption from animal safety testing is presented below.

USDA's consideration of an exemption to animal safety testing is consistent with the International Cooperation on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Veterinary Products (VICH) Steering Committee's recommendations described in "Testing Harmonization of Criteria to Waive Target Animal Batch Safety Testing for Inactivated Vaccine for Veterinary Use" (TABST; GL50), aimed to minimize the use of target animal safety tests and the principles of reducing, refining, and replacing the use of animals in testing. This document is attached as an Appendix to this Memorandum.

### III. POLICY

- A. The CVB will consider exemption requests for all products with documented consistency in manufacturing processes and product safety. So that the CVB can determine the manageability of the exemption process, firms may initially submit one product exemption request to their Reviewer. Once the necessary submissions and data have been reviewed and accepted for the initial product, the firm will be advised how to submit additional exemption requests.
  1. A submitted report should provide an overall assessment of all aspects of the product's safety performance, including serial release and pharmacovigilance data.
  2. To ensure that products exempt from safety testing in animals perform in the field as expected, the licensee or permittee must maintain detailed pharmacovigilance records for all adverse event reports received for the respective products they produce or distribute.
  3. Outlines of Production containing inadequate production details must be revised and submitted for review before the CVB can consider the exemption.
- B. Suspension of Safety Testing and Reissuance. If a serial is manufactured with a nonconformance to the Outline of Production, the exemption may be suspended. The serial must be tested according to the applicable 9 CFR requirements. Reissuance of the exemption will require adequate information demonstrating that the production process is controlled, including provision of satisfactory safety test results for 10 consecutive serials (as specified under the applicable 9 CFR requirement). In the event of unfavorable pharmacovigilance report updates, an exemption may be suspended.

**VETERINARY SERVICES MEMORANDUM NO. 800.116**

Page 3

An exemption may be suspended if production nonconformities discovered during onsite inspection could have a material effect on the product as determined by the Inspection and Compliance staff.

**IV. IMPLEMENTATION/ APPLICABILITY**

This change will be effective 30 days from the date of this Memorandum.

Appendix



International Cooperation on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Veterinary Medicinal Products

**VICH GL50 (BIOLOGICALS: TABST)**  
**February 2013**  
**For Implementation at Step 7 - Final**

# **HARMONISATION OF CRITERIA TO WAIVE TARGET ANIMAL BATCH SAFETY TESTING FOR INACTIVATED VACCINES FOR VETERINARY USE**

---

Adopted at Step 7 of the VICH Process by the VICH Steering Committee  
in February 2013  
for implementation by 28 February 2014.

This Guideline has been developed by the appropriate VICH Expert Working Group and is subject to consultation by the parties, in accordance with the VICH Process. At Step 7 of the Process the final draft is recommended for adoption to the regulatory bodies of the European Union, Japan and USA.

Secretariat : C/O IFAH, rue Defacqz, 1 - B - 1000 Bruxelles (Belgium) - Tel. +32-2-543.75.72, Fax +32-2-543.75.85  
e-mail : [sec@vichsec.org](mailto:sec@vichsec.org) - Website : <http://www.vichsec.org>

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1. <i>Objective of the guideline</i> .....	3
1.1.1. Background.....	3
<b>2. GUIDELINE 4</b>	
2.1. <i>Scope</i> .....	4
2.2. <i>Regional Requirements</i> .....	4
2.2.1. General batch safety testing.....	4
2.2.2. Other relevant requirements .....	6
2.2.2.1. Quality Systems .....	6
2.2.2.2. Pharmacovigilance .....	6
2.3. <i>Data requirements for waiving of target animal batch safety tests</i> .....	6
2.3.1. Introduction .....	6
2.3.1.1. The characteristics of the product and its manufacture.....	7
2.3.1.2. Information available on the current batch safety test .....	7
2.3.1.3. Pharmacovigilance data.....	7
2.3.2. Procedure for waiving the target animal batch safety test .....	7
<b>3. GLOSSARY</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4. REFERENCES</b>	<b>9</b>

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Submission of batch safety test data from target or laboratory animals is a requirement for batch release of immunological veterinary medicinal products (IVMPs) in the regions participating in the VICH<sup>1</sup>. The VICH Steering Committee has decided to aim at harmonization of the batch safety tests across the regions in order to minimize the need to perform separate studies for regulatory authorities of different countries. However, due to the great divergence in requirements between the regions it was concluded to adopt a phased approach with the first step to harmonize the criteria on data requirements for waiving of the target animal batch safety test (TABST) for inactivated vaccines in regions where it is required.

This guideline has been developed under the principle of VICH and will provide unified criteria for government regulatory bodies to accept waivers for TABST. The use of this VICH guideline to support a similar approach for products for local distribution only is strongly encouraged but is up to the discretion of the local regulatory authority. Furthermore, it is not always necessary to follow this guideline when there are scientifically justifiable reasons for using alternative approaches.

Global implementation of TABST waiver reduces the use of animals for routine batch release and should be encouraged.

### 1.1. *Objective of the guideline*

The objective of this guideline is to provide internationally harmonized recommendations for criteria on data requirements to waive target animal batch safety testing of inactivated immunological veterinary medicinal products (IVMPs) in regions where it is required.

#### 1.1.1. *Background*

Most batch safety tests in laboratory and/or target animals on final product can be considered as general safety tests. They apply to a broad group of IVMPs and should provide some assurance that the product will be safe in the target species, i.e. it should reveal “abnormal local or systemic reactions” (European Pharmacopoeia) or “unfavorable reactions attributable to the biological product ...” (Title 9. United States Code of Federal Regulations) or “no abnormal changes” (Minimum Requirements for Veterinary Biological Products under the Pharmaceutical Affairs Law in Japan).

Over the last two decades, the relevance of batch safety tests has been questioned by representatives of regulatory authorities and vaccine manufacturers (Sheffield and Knight, 1986; van der Kamp, 1994; Roberts and Lucken, 1996; Zeegers et al., 1997; Pastoret et al., 1997; Cussler 1999; Cussler et al., 2000; AGAATI, 2002; Cooper, 2008). Particularly, the introduction of Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) and Good Laboratory Practice (GLP; OECD 1998) or similar quality systems appropriate to regional requirements into the manufacture of vaccines has greatly increased the

---

<sup>1</sup> Since the start of drafting this guideline, the requirements in Europe have changed. In 2012, the European Pharmacopoeia Commission has decided to progress from the possibility to waive the TABST to the implementation of its complete deletion as of 1<sup>st</sup> April 2013. This VICH guideline does not affect the current requirements in the EU.

consistency of the batches produced and hence their safety and quality. This has also influenced the attitude towards quality control from the traditional batch control for IVMP (based in major parts on *in vivo* testing) towards putting more emphasis on documentation of consistency of production which is mostly based on *in vitro* technologies (Lucken, 2000, Hendriksen et al. 2008, de Mattia et al, 2011).

In reviewing the data requirements in the different VICH regions and comments received at the 21st VICH Steering Committee meeting it became apparent that the approach to the batch safety testing and consequently the test procedures required differ considerably between the regions. This makes harmonization of test requirements and test performance a difficult and time-consuming task.

It was therefore decided as a first step to harmonize the criteria to waive the target animal batch safety tests across the regions and to start with the development of a VICH guideline for inactivated IVMPs.

## 2. GUIDELINE

### 2.1. Scope

This guideline is limited to the criteria on data requirements for waiving target animal batch safety tests (TABST) of inactivated immunological veterinary medicinal products.

### 2.2. Regional Requirements

#### 2.2.1. General batch safety testing

Currently the following testing procedures (Table 1) are required for batch safety testing of inactivated IVMPs covered by this guideline:

Table 1:

VICH region	Requirements	Remarks
Europe: <i>Until 31<sup>st</sup> March 2013</i> - European Pharmacopoeia: General chapter 5.2.9. Safety of batches of veterinary vaccines and immunosera; - General monograph on Vaccines for Veterinary use (0062), and specific monographs  <i>From 1<sup>st</sup> April 2013:</i> The target animal batch safety test is deleted.	target species (2 mammals, 10 fish, 10 birds), 2x dose, recommended route, minimum 14 d observation.	can be waived provided that at least 10 consecutive batches from separate final bulks had been tested and product complies with the test          The General European Pharmacopoeia Monograph 0062 on <i>Vaccines for veterinary use</i> includes the option that "under particular

		circumstances (i.e. significant changes to the manufacturing process, as well as reports of unexpected adverse reactions observed in the field or reports that the final batches do not comply with the former data provided during licensing)” safety tests (not further defined) “may be needed on an ad hoc basis; they are carried out in agreement with or at the request of the competent authority”.
USA: - 9CFR – General requirements for inactivated bacterial vaccines (113.100)	mice (113.33) or <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– if inherently lethal to mice then guinea pig (113.38)</li> <li>– if poultry vaccines then poultry</li> <li>– if fish vaccines or other aquatic species, then fish</li> <li>– if reptilian vaccines then reptiles</li> </ul> 113.38 – 2 guinea pigs, 2 ml im or sc, 7 d observation	
General requirements for killed virus vaccines (113.200)	guinea pigs (113.38) mice (113.33b)  113.38 – 2 guinea pigs, 2 ml im or sc, 7 d observation 113.33a – 8 mice, 0.03 ml ic, 7 d observation; 8 mice, 0.5 ml ip, 7 d observation	not for poultry vaccines
Japan: Minimum Requirements for Veterinary Biological Products under the Pharmaceutical Affairs Law in Japan	a) Target Species Mammalian: 2 to 4 mammals, 1 to 5x dose, approved route, 10 to 14 d observation Birds: 10 birds, 1x dose, approved route, 2 to 5 weeks observation Fish: 15 to 120 fishes, 1x dose, approved route, 2 to 3 weeks observation	



	<p>b) The abnormal toxicity test: guinea pig: 2 guinea pigs, 5 ml ip, 7 d observation mice: 10 mice, 0.5ml ip, 7 to 10 d observation</p> <p>c) Toxicity limit test: mice: 10 mice, 0.5mL ip, 7 d observation guinea pig: 5 guinea pigs, 5mL ip, 7 d observation</p>	
--	---	--

## **2.2.2. Other relevant requirements**

### **2.2.2.1. Quality Systems**

Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) and similar quality systems have been established in VICH countries/regions to cover the manufacture and testing of medicinal products including veterinary medicinal products. These quality systems provide assurance that products placed on the market have been manufactured in a consistent and suitable manner.

### **2.2.2.2. Pharmacovigilance**

The VICH process increasingly includes pharmacovigilance (post-marketing surveillance of medicines) in the veterinary field and the harmonization of the requirements and performance. This provides for early detection of safety problems associated with the inconsistent quality of a vaccine in the field. Thus, pharmacovigilance provides extra information about the product's safety that cannot always be obtained in the TABST.

## **2.3. Data requirements for waiving of target animal batch safety tests**

### **2.3.1. Introduction**

The TABST may be waived by the regulatory authority when a sufficient number of consecutive production batches have been produced and found to comply with the test, thus demonstrating consistency of the manufacturing process.

In general, it is sufficient to evaluate existing information which is available from routine batch quality control and pharmacovigilance data, without the need for any additional supplementary studies. The data which should be presented by the manufacturer to support an application to waive TABST are presented below. However, this should not be taken as an exhaustive list, and in all cases applications for waiving the TABST should be accompanied by a summary of all the data and a conclusion on the assurance of the product's safety being maintained.

In exceptional cases, significant changes to the manufacturing process may require resumption of target animal batch safety testing to re-establish consistency of the safety profile of the product. The occurrence of unexpected adverse events or other pharmacovigilance problems which could be avoided using a TABST may also lead to the resumption of the test. For products with an inherent safety risk, it may be necessary to continue to conduct the TABST on each batch.

### **2.3.1.1. The characteristics of the product and its manufacture**

The manufacturer should demonstrate that the product is manufactured following the quality principles, i.e. the product has been manufactured in a consistent and suitable manner.

For those circumstances when *in vivo* batch tests are conducted in target animals for reasons other than the target animal safety test (e.g. potency tests) and these tests include the collection of safety information (e.g. on mortality), it is recommended that manufacturers use these tests to gain additional data of the safety of the vaccine in the target species.

### **2.3.1.2. Information available on the current batch safety test**

The manufacturer should submit batch protocol data for a sufficient number of consecutive batches to demonstrate that safe and consistent production has been established. Without prejudice to the decision of the competent authority in light of the information available for a given vaccine, test data of 10 consecutive batches is likely to be sufficient for most products. The manufacturer should examine the variability of the local and systemic reactions observed in the TABST results and the nature of these reactions in relation to those observed in any developmental studies submitted in support of the registration or licensure of the product. The manufacturer should provide a summary and discussion of the findings.

The conduct of the TABST shall be in accordance with the regional requirements in operation at the time when the tests were performed. There should be a thorough examination of any batches that have failed the TABST in the time period during which the agreed number of consecutive batches have been tested. This information, along with an explanation as to the reasons for failure, should be submitted to the regulatory authorities.

### **2.3.1.3. Pharmacovigilance data**

A pharmacovigilance system in accordance with the VICH Guidelines, where available, should have been in place over the period during which the batches for which data are submitted were on the market. Safety information from pharmacovigilance and TABST are by nature different but complement each other.

Available pharmacovigilance data to demonstrate the consistent safe performance of the vaccine in the field should be provided using recent Periodic Safety Update Reports for the relevant time period.

### **2.3.2. Procedure for waiving the target animal batch safety test**

A report should provide an overall assessment of the consistency of the product's safety and would include taking into account the number of batches manufactured, the number of years the product has been on the market, the number of doses sold and the frequency and seriousness of any adverse reactions in the target species and any investigations into the likely causes of these events.

### 3. GLOSSARY

**Good Laboratory Practices (GLP):** A standard for the design, conduct, monitoring, recording, auditing, analysis, and reporting of non-clinical studies. Adherence to the standard provides assurance that the data and reported results are complete, correct and accurate, that welfare of the study animals and the safety of the study personnel involved in the study are ensured, and that the environment and the human and animal food chains are protected (OECD, 1998).

**Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP):** Is part of a quality system covering the manufacture and testing of medicinal products including veterinary medicines. GMPs are guidelines that outline the aspects of production and testing that can impact the quality of a product standard assuring the quality of production processes and the production environment during the production of a medicinal product.

**Immunological veterinary medicinal product (IVMP):** Any veterinary medicinal product administered to animals in order to produce active or passive immunity or to diagnose the state of immunity

**Production Batch:** A defined quantity of starting material, packaging material or product processed in one process or series of processes so that it could be expected to be homogeneous.

Note To complete certain stages of manufacture, it may be necessary to divide a batch into a number of sub batches, which are later brought together to form a final homogeneous batch. In the case of continuous manufacture, the batch must correspond to a defined fraction of the production, characterised by its intended homogeneity.

**TABST:** Target Animal Batch Safety Test; Safety test in target animals which is performed as a routine final product batch test for all IVMPs or a product group such as inactivated viral vaccines.

**Target Animal:** The specific animal species, class and breed identified as the animal for which the IVMP is intended for use.

#### 4. REFERENCES

- AGAATI (2002) The Target Animal Safety Test—Is it Still Relevant? *Biologicals* 30, 277–287
- Cooper J (2008). Batch safety testing of veterinary vaccines – potential welfare implications of injection volumes. *ATLA* 36, 685-694
- Cussler (1999) A 4R concept for the safety testing of immunobiologicals. *Dev. Biol. Standard.* 101, 121-126
- Cussler K, van der Kamp MDO & Pössnecker A (2000) Evaluation of the relevance of the target animal safety test. In: *Progress in the Reduction, Refinement and Replacement of Animal Experimentation*, pp. 809-816. Eds M Balls, A-M van Zeller and ME Halder. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science B.V.
- De Mattia et al (2011). The consistency approach for quality control of vaccines e A strategy to improve quality control and implement 3Rs. *Biologicals* 39, 59-65.
- Hendriksen C.F.M. et al. (2008). The consistency approach for the quality control of vaccines. *Biologicals* 36, 73-77
- Lucken R (2000). Eliminating vaccine testing in animals – more action, less talk. *Developments in Animal and Veterinary Sciences* 31, 941-944
- OECD (1998). Document ENV/MC/CHEM(98)17: Principles on Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring  
[http://www.oecd.org/document/63/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_34381\\_2346175\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1,00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/63/0,3343,en_2649_34381_2346175_1_1_1_1,00.html)
- Pastoret PP, Blancou J, Vannier P, Verschueren C. (1997) *Veterinary Vaccinology*. Amsterdam, Elsevier Science B.V.,
- Roberts B, Lucken RN (1996). Reducing the use of the target animal batch safety test for veterinary vaccines. In: Brown F, Cussler K, Hendriksen C (eds) *Replacement, reduction and refinement of animal experiments in the development and control of biological products*. Basel, S. Karger, AG, pp. 97–102.
- Sheffield FW, Knight PA (1986). Round table discussion on abnormal toxicity and safety tests. *Dev. Biol. Standard.* 64, 309
- Van der Kamp M. (1994). Ways of replacing, reducing and refining the use of animals in the quality control of veterinary vaccines. Institute of Animal Science and Health, Lelystad.
- Zeegers JJW, de Vries WF, Remie R. (1997) Reducing the use of animals by abolishment of the safety test as routine batch control test on veterinary vaccines. In: Van Zutphen LFM, Balls M (eds) *Animal Alternatives, Welfare and Ethics*. Amsterdam, Elsevier Science B.V., pp. 1003–1005.