

Contract Concept: Genetic Toxicology Testing in Support of NTP

Kristine Witt, MS Genetic Toxicology Group Leader Biomolecular Screening Branch Division of the National Toxicology Program National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

NTP Board of Scientific Counselors Meeting June 18, 2019





Background

- Genetic toxicology tests have been conducted by the NTP using a contract mechanism since 1979.
- Genetic toxicity data contribute to the comprehensive evaluation of compound toxicity and compound mechanism of action.
 - One of 6 basic testing areas required by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2011) in screening chemicals for toxicity
- Conducted through contracts because of facility and personnel requirements
- Although often part of the carcinogenicity assessment of a chemical, genetic damage is implicated in a variety of adverse human health effects:
 - Cancer*
 - Neurodegenerative, neurological conditions*
 - Birth defects
 - Genetic disease, somatic mosaicism
 - Cardiovascular disease*

2



Largest publicly available single repository of genetic toxicology data in the world Data considered authoritative by groups worldwide

Number of studies, 1979 – May 2019

ſ	 – 3070 bacterial mutagenicity (Ames) assays 			
	 852 in vivo rodent micronucleus assays 			
	 105 in vivo rodent comet studies 			
Current Assays	 12 in vitro comet assays 			
	 49 in vitro micronucleus assays 			
	 10 in vivo Pig-a gene mutation assays 			
	– 21 MultiFlow [™] DNA Damage assays			
L.				
Legacy Assays - 1797 legacy assays (e.g., Drosophila, SCE, L5178Ytk+/-)				
Total Assays =	~5900 completed			



- Assist NIEHS, FDA, EPA, and other government scientists in evaluating chemical toxicity and investigating mechanism of action
- All chemicals that enter NTP testing are evaluated for genotoxicity under this contract
- Genotoxicity data are considered in designing NTP testing strategies
- Data used in chemical evaluations by the NTP Office of the Report on Carcinogens and are included in NTP Technical Reports (3-month subchronic and 2-year cancer bioassays)
- Influence international policies in genotoxicity testing and regulation



Primary Current Capabilities

		Assay	Function
In vitro -		bacterial mutation (Ames) assay*	Mutation induction
		micronucleus induction in mammalian cells*	Chromosomal damage, structural and/or numerical
		comet assay in mammalian cells	DNA damage
		MultiFlow [®] DNA Damage assay	High throughput assay to identify genotoxicants and provide MOA for MN induction
		CometChip [®] Platform	High throughput DNA damage assay
In vivo -		peripheral blood micronucleus assay**	Chromosomal damage in erythrocytes, structural and/or numerical
	_	comet assay in rodents ^{#*}	DNA damage in a variety of target tissues (e.g., liver, brain, stomach, colon, lung)
		<i>Pig-a</i> gene mutation assay in rodents [#]	Mutation induction in erythrocyte stem cells
		Evaluation of genotoxicity biomarkers in humans	Translational studies in collaboration with the NIEHS CRU and other clinical centers

[#]integrated into existing animal studies; ideal for human monitoring *OECD TG; required or accepted by regulatory agencies



MultiFlow® DNA Damage Assay

Multiplexed in vitro assay for genotoxicity prediction and mode of action in human TK6 cells



Rapid screening of large sets of compounds: 96-well plate format Multiple biomarkers of activity Automated, flow cytometric scoring

Assay detects 2 key endpoints strongly associated with genotoxicity potential

- Translocation of p53 to nucleus
- Phosphorylation of histone H2AX

Machine learning algorithm characterizes chemical activity

- > Classifies compounds as genotoxic or non-genotoxic can serve as a first pass screen for groups of compounds
- Provides MOA for micronucleus induction (clastogenic v. aneugenic)

Tested a variety of NTP compounds (genotoxic, nongenotoxic, variety of MOAs)

- Good agreement between NTP data and MultiFlow results
- Currently using this assay to provide both genotoxicity and mode of action information on selected groups of NTP compounds

Bryce SM, Bernacki DT, Smith-Roe SL, Witt KL, Bemis, JC, Dertinger SD. Toxicol Sci. 2018; 162(1):146-166.



High throughput in vitro comet assay to measure induced DNA damage

- Highly sensitive DNA damage detection platform due to large number of data points
- Suitable for testing large sets of compounds, multiple doses simultaneously
- Rapid throughput and data analysis via customized image and data analysis software



Image courtesy of Robert Sobol, Ph.D. University of South Alabama

Validation study:

- 72 selected NTP compounds screened in TK6 and Jurkat cells
- Good concordance with NTP data

Sykora P, Witt KL, Revanna P, Smith-Roe SL, Dismukes J, Lloyd DG, Engelward BP, Sobol RW. **(2018) Sci Rep, 8(1): 2771.**

Currently using this assay platform to test selected groups of chemicals of interest to NTP

Retain the Current Battery

- Bacterial reverse mutation assays still the gold standard in mutagenicity testing (OECD TG; regulatory acceptance)
- In vivo rodent erythrocyte micronucleus assays in peripheral blood* (OECD TG; regulatory acceptance)
- In vivo rodent comet assays^{*} to measure DNA damage levels in a variety of tissues (OECD TG; regulatory acceptance)
- *In vivo Pig-a* gene mutation assay^{*} in mice and rats (OECD TG in preparation)
- *In vitro* micronucleus in human cell lines (OECD TG 487; regulatory acceptance)
- In vitro comet assays in human cell lines; supportive data, MOA information
- In vitro MultiFlow[™] and CometChip® assays for increased throughput, initial screening for prioritization, and MOA information; supportive data in regulatory submissions
- Continue to develop informative translational studies in humans

The Future of Genetic Toxicology Testing at the NTP: 2020 – 2030

Promising new approaches for enhancing genetic toxicology

- A variety of new molecular and high throughput approaches that hold great promise are currently under development in various laboratories. Examples include:
 - Emerging sequencing technologies (e.g., Duplex Sequencing, ccfDNA)
 - Identification of new biomarkers and gene expression patterns
 - Spheroids of human liver cells (e.g., HepaRG, PHH) for bioactivation replace induced rat liver S9?
- The new contract needs the technical capability to determine if and how to use well-characterized, accepted cutting-edge approaches, should these show clear benefit for adding value to genotoxicity profiling
- Possible benefits offered by new approaches:
 - Additional insight into modes of action
 - Early indications of exposure hazard, before clonal expansion and tumor formation
 - High throughput approaches to screen large sets of compounds for genotoxicity potential



		Assay	Function
	٦ ۲	Bacterial mutation (Ames) assay*	Mutation induction
		Micronucleus induction in mammalian cells*	Chromosomal damage
	In vitro -	MultiFlow [®] DNA Damage assay	High throughput assay to identify genotoxicants, provide MOA for MN induction
		Comet assay in mammalian cells	DNA damage
Current -	l	CometChip [®] Platform	High throughput DNA damage assay
	٦ ا	Erythrocyte micronucleus assay# *	Chromosomal damage
		Comet assay [#] *	DNA damage in a variety of target tissues
	In vivo -	Pig-a assay#	Mutation induction in erythrocyte stem cells
		Evaluation of genotoxicity biomarkers in humans	Translational studies in collaboration with the NIEHS CRU and other clinical centers
		Emerging sequencing technologies	Chemical-induced genomic changes
Potential	Molecular -	New biomarkers, gene expression patterns	Mode of action, human relevance
	l	High throughput assays	Mutation and chromosome damage detection

*OECD TG; required or accepted by regulatory agencies

[#]easily integrated into existing animal studies; ideal for human monitoring



- In reviewing the contract concept, please consider the following:
 - Scientific, technical or program significance of the proposed activity
 - Availability of the technology and other resources necessary to achieve required goals
 - Extent to which there are identified, practical scientific or clinical uses for the anticipated results
 - Where pertinent, adequacy of the methodology to be used in performing the activity
- Vote on whether a contract mechanism is the appropriate mechanism to support the proposed activities.