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Peer Review Format

• Office of Report on Carcinogens staff or support contractor* makes a brief presentation on a section of draft monograph
  – Panelists may ask questions for clarification

• 1st primary reviewer presents their peer review comments and staff/contractor responds [editorial comments do not have to be stated]
  – Format followed by 2nd primary reviewer and then 3rd primary reviewer (if applicable)

• Other panelists present any peer review remarks or ask questions

• Panel has a general discussion

• Chair briefly summarizes primary points

• Vote by verbal acknowledgement on (1) NTP’s draft level of evidence for human and animal data and (2) NTP’s draft listing recommendations
  – Voting follows the human cancer studies, cancer studies in experimental animals, and overall evaluation sections’ presentations and discussions
  – Brief statement for record for any “no” votes or abstentions
  – Chair only votes in case of a tie

*ILS, Inc., support contractor for the Office of Report on Carcinogens
• **Written comments**
  - Chair acknowledges submitted comments

• **Oral comments**
  - At least 7 minutes is allotted to each speaker, one speaker per organization is permitted. At the discretion of the chair, the time may be extended to 10 minutes.
    • Registered oral comments by phone
    • If desired, panelists may ask a public speaker for clarifications, additional information, or general questions