

Peer Review of Draft NTP Report on Carcinogens for Antimony Trioxide



National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

January 24, 2018

Web-Based Review



Peer Review Format

- Office of Report on Carcinogens staff or support contractor* makes a brief presentation on a section of draft monograph
 - Panelists may ask questions for clarification
- 1st primary reviewer presents their peer review comments and staff/contractor responds [editorial comments do not have to be stated]
 - Format followed by 2nd primary reviewer and then 3rd primary reviewer (if applicable)
- Other panelists present any peer review remarks or ask questions
- Panel has a general discussion
- Chair briefly summarizes primary points
- Vote by verbal acknowledgement on (1) NTP's draft level of evidence for human and animal data and (2) NTP's draft listing recommendations
 - Voting follows the human cancer studies, cancer studies in experimental animals, and overall evaluation sections' presentations and discussions
 - Brief statement for record for any "no" votes or abstentions
 - Chair only votes in case of a tie

*ILS, Inc., support contractor for the Office of Report on Carcinogens



Public Comment Format

- Written comments
 - Chair acknowledges submitted comments
- Oral comments
 - At least 7 minutes is allotted to each speaker, one speaker per organization is permitted. At the discretion of the chair, the time may be extended to 10 minutes.
 - Registered oral comments by phone
 - If desired, panelists may ask a public speaker for clarifications, additional information, or general questions