Peer Review of Draft NTP Report on Carcinogens for Antimony Trioxide

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
January 24, 2018
Web-Based Review
Peer Review Format

- Office of Report on Carcinogens staff or support contractor* makes a brief presentation on a section of draft monograph
  - Panelists may ask questions for clarification

- 1st primary reviewer presents their peer review comments and staff/contractor responds [editorial comments do not have to be stated]
  - Format followed by 2nd primary reviewer and then 3rd primary reviewer (if applicable)

- Other panelists present any peer review remarks or ask questions

- Panel has a general discussion

- Chair briefly summarizes primary points

- Vote by verbal acknowledgement on (1) NTP’s draft level of evidence for human and animal data and (2) NTP’s draft listing recommendations
  - Voting follows the human cancer studies, cancer studies in experimental animals, and overall evaluation sections’ presentations and discussions
  - Brief statement for record for any “no” votes or abstentions
  - Chair only votes in case of a tie

*ILS, Inc., support contractor for the Office of Report on Carcinogens
Public Comment Format

• Written comments
  – Chair acknowledges submitted comments

• Oral comments
  – At least 7 minutes is allotted to each speaker, one speaker per organization is permitted. At the discretion of the chair, the time may be extended to 10 minutes.
    • Registered oral comments by phone
    • If desired, panelists may ask a public speaker for clarifications, additional information, or general questions