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Peer Review Format

• NTP or NCTR staff present a brief overview of the overall CLARITY-BPA program, and the findings and interpretations from the CLARITY-BPA Core Study
  – Opportunity for questions of clarification from panel to NTP or NCTR staff

• Identification of written public comments and presentation of oral public comments (phone and in-person)
  – 5 min/speaker; 1 speaker/organization; multiple speakers share time
  – Opportunity for questions by panel to public speaker

• Each reviewer presents their peer review comments for their assigned question on the draft report
  – NTP or NCTR staff responds to reviewer’s comments

• Additional comments and general discussion by panel
  – NTP or NCTR staff responds to comments

• Panel votes on draft NTP interpretations
  – Chair asks for a motion and a second to the motion
  – Panel votes by show of hands
  – Individuals who vote no or abstain state “why” for the record
Public Comment Format

• Written comments
  – Chair acknowledges submitted comments

• Oral comments
  – 5 minutes per registered speaker, 1 speaker per organization.
  – Speakers introduce themselves, state their affiliation, and whether they are speaking on behalf of anyone
    • In-person speakers are asked to go to the podium.
  – If desired, panelists may ask a public speaker for clarifications, additional information, or general questions.