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• Cell phones, radiofrequency radiation (RFR), and nomination

• Evaluation of state of RFR research

• Selection of exposure system

• Building the exposure facility

• Validation and toxicology studies
Cell phone technology uses non-ionizing radiofrequency radiation (RFR) to communicate between a fixed base station and mobile users.

Cell phones and radiofrequency radiation

- Cell phone technology uses non-ionizing radiofrequency radiation (RFR) to communicate between a fixed base station and mobile users.
- Modulation and encoding schemes convert analog information to digital, compress it, and convert it back again.
- Radiofrequency: 800-1900 MHz
- Two main technologies or modulations used by cell phone networks in US:
  - Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) – Sprint® and Verizon®
  - Global System for Mobile communications (GSM) – AT&T® and T-Mobile®
The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) nominated cell phone radiofrequency radiation emissions for toxicology and carcinogenicity testing in 1999.

- There was widespread, expanding human exposure.
- Little was known about the potential for health effects of long-term exposure.
- Exposure guidelines were based on protection from acute injury from thermal effects.
- Sufficient data from human studies to definitively answer these questions would not be available for many more years.
Rationale for interest in cell phones

• Insufficient human data to conclusively evaluate health effects
  – Epidemiology studies may not have reflected the latency for development of brain tumors

• FDA statement, February 2000:
  – “There is currently insufficient scientific basis for concluding either that wireless communication technologies are safe or that they pose a risk to millions of users.”

• Cell phone usage has steadily increased
  – ~95% of adults in the U.S. own a cell phone (¹Pew Research, 2017)
  – In 2016, there were 395 million active wireless subscriber connections, which exceeds the population of the United States (²CTIA, 2017)

¹http://www.pewinternet.org/fact-sheet/mobile/
Increasing worldwide cell phone usage

GSMA Infographic: https://venturebeat.com/2017/06/13/5-billion-people-now-have-a-mobile-phone-connection-according-to-gsma-data/
• FDA and the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) share regulatory responsibility for RFR

• Cell phone devices are required to meet exposure guidelines of FCC
  – Based on acute injury from thermal effects, and may not be protective against any non-thermal effects of chronic exposures

• FDA has jurisdiction for health-related issues under the 1968 Radiation Control for Health and Safety Act
  – FDA cannot mandate the cell phone industry to provide data on health effects
The early years (1999-2005)

Wrapping Our Heads Around the Issue

• Evaluate existing literature
• Determine what work was already underway
  – Interphone study (humans)
  – PERFORM-A (animals)
• Established collaborative research partnerships with RFR experts
  – National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in Boulder, CO
  – IT’IS Foundation in Zurich, Switzerland
• Study laboratory
  – IIT Research Institute (IITRI) in Chicago, IL
Toxicology studies of RFR

- Main health concern has primarily been brain tumors
  - Gliomas and acoustic neuromas (vestibular schwannomas)

- Large number of biological effects have been reported in cell cultures and in animals
  - Genetic toxicity; immunotoxicity; changes in gene and protein expression, oxidative stress, differentiation, cell proliferation, apoptosis, permeability of the blood brain barrier

- Animal studies have not consistently demonstrated increased incidences of tumors at any site associated with exposure to cell phone RFR in rodents
• Data are conflicting and many studies have design flaws
  – Single and/or inadequate power levels (poor quality studies)
  – Limited exposure duration (hours per day or total number of weeks),
  – Focused only on single organ effects (usually the brain)
  – Not conducted with the same frequencies or types of modulated signals used by cell phones (exposures may not be applicable to cell phone RFR)

• Primary limitations of previous studies were related to the exposure system
Selecting an exposure system

• Many previous animal studies used Ferris-wheel exposure system
  – Restrained animals
  – Short duration of daily exposures

• NTP set out to design an appropriate exposure system that addressed the limitations of existing animal exposure systems

Study design considerations and criteria

- Exposures to begin *in utero*
- Unrestrained and individually-housed animals
- Exposure to a uniform field
- For a minimum of 6 hr/day
- Maximum power levels at which animals capable of thermoregulation
- Intermittent exposure
- Frequencies and modulations that reflect those in use
  - 900 MHz and 1900MHz
  - CDMA (IS-95) and GSM modulations
- Evaluate effects of RFR in all tissues
  - Dose-response up to maximally tolerated exposures
Reverberation chamber exposure system

- Reverberation chambers were suggested by National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

- Test feasibility of reverberation chambers exposure system
  - Conducted via interagency agreement with NIST

- Determined important parameters for the development of reverberation chambers

- Demonstrated field uniformity

- Demonstrated specific absorption rate (SAR) uniformity
What are reverberation chambers?

- Large shielded room with excitation antennae and paddle to create a homogeneous electromagnetic environment
- Field exposure is from all directions, all polarizations
- Field variations occur over time and space; average field is uniform over a large volume
- Field distributions can be well characterized and monitored
• NIST research complementary to computer-based dosimetric modelling conducted by IT’IS Foundation (Zurich, Switzerland)

• Model **whole-body** average specific absorption rates (SAR) and **organ-specific** SAR
  – Evaluation of selected frequencies (900 and 1900 MHz)

• Considerable difference in the whole-body averaged absorption efficiency of the mouse at 900 and rat at 1900 MHz
  – Greatest uniformity of RFR absorption for rats at 900 and mice at 1900 MHz

• IT’IS Foundation built and tested a prototype chamber based on the technical parameters obtained and optimized in the NIST studies
The NTP exposure facility at IITRI

- Constructed 21 reverberation chambers in Switzerland
- Separate chamber for each power level (SAR) for each modulation (GSM and CDMA)
  - To accommodate **GSM: low, med, high; CDMA: low, med, high; control chamber** (without any RFR signals), 7 chambers were required
  - Due to marked weight differences between adult male and female rats, separate chambers were required for male rats and female rats
- Installed chambers at **IIT Research Institute** (IITRI) in Chicago, IL

*Each sex/species had a common control chamber for both GSM and CDMA modulations*
Final RFR exposure facility at IITRI
Inside of the reverberation chambers
• NIST conducted third-party validation of the exposures in the chambers
  – Measured actual exposure levels in chambers
  – Homogeneity throughout chamber volume

• NIST confirmed the measurements for chamber RFR reported by the exposure system
  – NIST verified these measurements again prior to the initiation of the 2-year studies and after the termination of the 2-year studies

• All environmental and exposure parameters were recorded and monitored by both IITRI (Chicago, IL) and IT’IS (Zurich, Switzerland) throughout all studies
Cell phone RFR research program

- Three-phase toxicology and carcinogenicity studies in Harlan Sprague Dawley rats and B6C3F₁ mice
  - 5-day thermal pilot studies at SARs of 4-12 W/kg in young and aged rats and mice and pregnant rats (10 studies)
  - 28-day prechronic toxicology studies
  - 2-year toxicology and carcinogenicity studies

- In all studies, daily exposure to RFR in reverberation chambers for 9 hrs 10 min (18 hrs 20 min per day in 10 min on/10 min off cycles)
  - Rats exposed to GSM- or CDMA-modulated signals at 900 MHz
  - Mice exposed to GSM- and CDMA-modulated signals at 1900 MHz
• Three Manuscripts
  – Capstick et al. (2017) – Exposure system
  – Gong et al. (2017) – Dosimetry
  – Wyde et al. (2018) – Thermal pilot studies

• Partial findings report (2016): 2-year neoplastic findings in brain and heart
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