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TR595

Page 33/4. Toxicity to humans

The conclusions are ambiguous because some relevant scientific papers were missed:

- **Heuser**, on Reviews on Environmental Health

- **Belpomme** on Reviews on Environmental Health

- In May 2015 ‘some’ 190 worldwide scientists sent an appeal to WHO to sort out the strong evidence of electro hypersensibility (idiopathic environmental intolerance – as WHO names it – ) was related to EMFs and taking urgently their responsibility for recognizing electrohypersensitivity and multiple chemical sensitivity as real diseases, including them in the International Classification of Diseases.
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Carcinogenity – pag 37

Results of Interphone was reported: “**an elevated risk of glioma and acoustic neuroma in the group of the highest decile for exposure (cumulated phone call time)**”

These study’s conclusions should be compared with study’s protocol where considered the ‘normal’ usage 1640 hours/10-years: this mean 30 min/day. This usage, in the recent years, is **extremely lower** compared to smartphones’ use !!!

So, the Interphone conclusions should be rewritten:

**an elevated risk of glioma and acoustic neuroma in the group of the central decile for exposure (cumulated phone call time)**

All of us are in the worst conditions analyzed by Interphone’s researchers.