

Giorgio Cinciripini
Rete Noelettrosmog Italia

February 3, 2018

Subject: Peer Review of the Draft NTP Technical Reports on Cell Phone Radiofrequency Radiation TR595

Comment:

TR595

Page. 33/4. Toxicity to humans

The conclusions are ambiguous because some relevant scientific papers were missed:

- Heuser, on Reviews on Environmental Health <https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/reveh.2017.32.issue-3/reveh-2017-0014/reveh-2017-0014.xml> that confirms PECT and SPCET suitable to diagnose electro-hypersensitivity
- Belpomme on Reviews on Environmental Health <https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/reveh.2015.30.issue-4/reveh-2015-0027/reveh-2015-0027.xml?format=INT> where disease biomarkers identify electro-hypersensitivity . Data strongly suggest that EHS can be objectively characterized and routinely diagnosed by commercially available simple tests.
- In May 2015 'some' 190 worldwide scientists sent an appeal to WHO to sort out the strong evidence of electro hypersensitivity (idiopathic environmental intolerance – as WHO names it –) was related to EMFs and taking urgently their responsibility for recognizing electrohypersensitivity and multiple chemical sensitivity as real diseases, including them in the International Classification of Diseases.

Subject: I: Peer Review of the Draft NTP Technical Reports on Cell Phone Radiofrequency Radiation TR595 (2)

Comment:

Carcinogenity – pag 37

Results of Interphone was reported: *“an elevated risk of glioma and acoustic neuroma in the group of the highest decile for exposure (cumulated phone call time) “*

These study's conclusions should be compared with study's protocol where considered the 'normal' usage 1640 hours/10-years: this mean 30 min/day. This usage, in the recent years, is **extremely lower** compared to smartphones' use !!!

So, the Interphone conclusions should be rewritten:

an elevated risk of glioma and acoustic neuroma in the group of the **central decile for exposure (cumulated phone call time) “**

All of us are in the worst conditions analyzed by Interphone's researchers.