

# Peer Review of the Draft NTP Technical Reports on Cell Phone Radiofrequency Radiation

Non-Technical Public Comments: Received March 12, 2018

Name, Affiliation	Comment
<p><b>Margie Alfonso</b> Private Citizen</p>	<p><a href="http://ehtrust.org/key-issues/cell-phoneswireless/cell-phone-survivors/#!mg_id=6362/Mobile%20Phone%20Cancer%20Danger%20Today%20Tonight">http://ehtrust.org/key-issues/cell-phoneswireless/cell-phone-survivors/#!mg_id=6362/Mobile%20Phone%20Cancer%20Danger%20Today%20Tonight</a></p> <p>Anthony B. Miller M.D. advisor to the World Health Organization has clearly stated, "The evidence indicating wireless is carcinogenic has increased and can no longer be ignored" RF microwave radiation emitted from ALL wireless device- such as signale emitted by cell phones other wireless, cordless and sensor devices, and wireless networks fully meerts criteriatio be classified as a Group 1 human carcinngen.</p> <p>Margie Alfonso [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>
<p><b>Stephen Dahl</b> Private Citizen</p>	<p>Here is my commentary to the NTP Peer Review Panel regarding the cell phone study. Attached in Word. Thank you, Stephen Dahl [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p> <p>Comment to NTP Peer Review Panel regarding Cell Phone Study</p> <p>"I thus learnt my first great lesson in the inquiry into these obscure fields of knowledge, never to accept the disbelief of great men or their accusations of imposture or of imbecility, as of any weight when opposed to the repeated observation of facts by other men, admittedly sane and honest. The whole history of science shows us that whenever the educated and scientific men of any age have denied the facts of other investigators on a priori grounds of absurdity or impossibility, the deniers have always been wrong." --Alfred Russel Wallace (Charles Darwin's contemporary who also released his own theory of Natural Selection with Darwin)</p> <p>The National Toxicology Project study is indeed a complicated one regarding the effects of cell phone radiation on rats. The male rats exposed to cell phone radiation had a higher incidence of brain and heart cancer than the control group. This leads me to believe that the past science on this subject, especially that which was industry-funded, has tended to favor the idea that cell phone radiation, and all non-ionizing radiation, is harmless. By simply altering seemingly insignificant variables, different outcomes can be reached. The fact that this study has shown an increase in cancer in rats—which are the subjects we see as being ethically sound upon which to perform our tests—should give us pause. Considering the great value of this technology to society, in the trillions of dollars, and with its good and bad implications to society (such as helping early responders, or conversely, in distracting automobile drivers), we should see this as a wake-up call. How on earth can we go forward with 5G and the Internet of Things, if simple 2G and 3G cell phone radiation is causing rats to have tumors? How can we allow our standards protecting our people to be, as the American Academy of Pediatrics suggests, out-of-date and</p>

	<p>meaningless? This study shows that, given the harm—cancer—produced, the tech industry (the greatest source of wealth on earth), needs to halt increasing the magnitude of radiation and find which frequencies and doses would be safer for people. We need more studies on rats, and things we can test independently, such as human sperm and eggs. How can we continue the present societal experiment on our population, where we are the guinea pigs?</p>
<p><b>Maggi Garloff</b> Private Citizen</p>	<p>To whom it may concern:</p> <p>I am one of the human guinea pigs who has been made [REDACTED] when exposed to RF radiation from cell phones and wireless routers. In 2009 I first identified these devices as the cause for my and [REDACTED]. Since that time, I have read and studied existing, peer reviewed and published information about the toxicity of non-ionizing radiation (info such as that included in the BioInitiative Report <a href="http://www.bioinitiative.org">http://www.bioinitiative.org</a> - I assume you have read this material also.)</p> <p>I applaud your study, but It seems to me obvious our country needs to eliminate public exposure to RF technology <b>UNTIL IT IS PROVEN SAFE.</b></p> <p>Please put the strength of your organization behind an all out effort to protect the citizens of our nation.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>Maggi Garloff [REDACTED]</p> <p>Maggi Garloff [REDACTED] [REDACTED]</p>