Peer Review Format

- NTP staff/contractor makes a brief presentation on one of the draft reports
  - Panelists may ask questions for clarification
- Each assigned reviewer presents their peer review comments [editorial comments do not need to be stated]
  - NTP staff/contractor responds to reviewers’ comments
- Additional comments and general discussion by the panel
  - NTP staff/contractor responds to comments
Panel votes on NTP conclusion

• Chair calls for motion and 2\textsuperscript{nd} to motion
  – Panel members vote by show of hands
  – Brief statement for record for any “no” votes or abstentions
  – Chair only votes in case of a tie
Written comments
  - Chair acknowledges there are no submitted comments

Oral comments
  - Chair acknowledges there are no submitted oral comments
The Technical Reports Peer-Review Panel is charged to:

1. Review and evaluate the scientific and technical elements of each study and its presentation.

2. Determine whether each study’s experimental design, conduct, and findings support the NTP’s conclusions regarding the prenatal developmental toxicity of the substance tested.