CAR	
	BIOASSAY OF (2-CHLOROETHYL) TRIMETHYLAMMONIUM CHLORIDE (CCC) FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY CAS No. 999-81-5
	NCI-CG-TR-158

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health



# BIOASSAY OF

# (2-CHLOROETHYL) TRIMETHYLAMMONIUM CHLORIDE

FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 79-1714

# BIOASSAY OF (2-CHLOROETHYL)TRIMETHYLAMMONIUM CHLORIDE FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

# Carcinogenesis Testing Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health

FOREWORD: This report presents the results of the bioassay of (2-chloroethyl)trimethylammonium chloride conducted for the Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. This is one of a series of experiments designed to determine whether selected chemicals have the capacity to produce cancer in animals. A negative result, in which the test animals do not have a greater incidence of cancer than control animals, does not necessarily mean that the test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of circumstances. A positive result demonstrates that the test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicates that exposure to the chemical is a potential risk to man. The actual determination of the risk to man from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in animals requires a wider analysis.

<u>CONTRIBUTORS</u>: This bioassay of (2-chloroethyl)trimethylammonium chloride was conducted at the NCI Frederick Cancer Research Center (FCRC) (1), Frederick, Maryland, operated for NCI (2) by Litton Bionetics, Inc.

The manager of the bioassay at FCRC was Dr. B. Ulland, the toxicologist was Dr. E. Gordon, and Drs. R. Cardy and D. Creasia compiled the data. Ms. S. Toms was responsible for management of data, Mr. D. Cameron for management of histopathology, Mr. L. Callahan for management of the computer branch, and Mr. R. Cypher for management of the facilities. Mr. A. Butler performed the computer services. Histopathologic evaluations for rats and mice were performed by Dr. D. G. Fairchild (1). The diagnoses included in this report represent his interpretations.

Animal pathology tables and survival tables were compiled at EG&G Mason Research Institute (3). Statistical analyses were performed by Dr. J. R. Joiner (4) and Ms. P. L. Yong (4), using methods selected for the bioassay program by Dr. J. J. Gart (5). The chemicals used in this bioassay were analyzed at FCRC by Dr. W. Zielinsky, and the chemical analyses were reviewed and approved by Dr. W. Lijinsky.

This report was prepared at Tracor Jitco (4) under the direction of NCI. Those responsible for the report at Tracor Jitco were Dr. C. R. Angel, Acting Director of the Bioassay Program; Dr. S. S. Olin, Deputy Director for Science; Dr. J. F. Robens, toxicologist; Dr. R. L. Schueler, pathologist; Dr. G. L. Miller, Ms. L. A. Owen, Ms. M. S. King, and Mr. W. D. Reichardt, bioscience writers; and Dr. E. W. Gunberg, technical editor, assisted by Ms. Y. E. Presley.

The following scientists at NCI were responsible for evaluating the bioassay experiment, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings: Dr. Kenneth C. Chu, Dr. Cipriano Cueto, Jr., Dr. J. Fielding Douglas, Dr. Richard A. Griesemer, Dr. Thomas E. Hamm, Dr. William V. Hartwell, Dr. Morton H. Levitt, Dr. Harry A. Milman, Dr. Thomas W. Orme, Dr. A. R. Patel, Dr. Sherman F. Stinson, Dr. Jerrold M. Ward, and Dr. Carrie E. Whitmire.

- (1) Frederick Cancer Research Center, P.O. Box B, Frederick, Maryland.
- (2) Carcinogenesis Testing Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- (3) EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- (4) Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- (5) Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Biometry Branch, Field Studies and Statistics, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland,

#### SUMMARY

A bioassay of (2-chloroethyl)trimethylammonium chloride for possible carcinogenicity was conducted by administering the test chemical in feed to F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice.

Groups of 50 rats of each sex were administered either 1,500 or 3,000 ppm of the compound for 108 weeks, and 50 mice of each sex were administered 500 or 2,000 ppm for 102 weeks. Matched controls consisted of 20 untreated rats and 20 untreated mice of each sex. All surviving animals were killed at the end of the period of administration of the test chemical.

Mean body weights of dosed rats and mice were lower than those of corresponding controls for part or all of the bioassay, except for the dosed male mice, whose mean body weights were essentially the same as those of the corresponding controls. Survival was not affected significantly in any of the dosed groups of rats or mice and was at least 64% in every dosed or control group of each species at the end of the bioassay. Sufficient numbers of dosed and control rats and mice of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors. Since there was virtually no decrease in mean body weight in dosed male mice and only a slight decrease in female mice, and since there were no other toxic signs and no dose-related mortality, the animals may have been able to tolerate higher doses.

No tumors occurred in the rats or mice of either sex at incidences that could be associated with administration of the test chemical.

It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay, (2-chloroethyl)trimethylammonium chloride was not carcinogenic for F344 rats or B6C3Fl mice of either sex.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
------

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Materials and Methods	3
	A. Chemical	3
	B. Dietary Preparation	3
	C. Animals	4
	D. Animal Maintenance	5
	E. Subchronic Studies	7
	F. Chronic Studies	10
	G. Clinical and Pathologic Examinations	10
	H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses	13
111.	Results - Rats	19
	A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)	19
	B. Survival (Rats)	19
	C. Pathology (Rats)	22
	D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)	23
IV.	Results - Mice	25
	A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)	25
	B. Survival (Mice)	25
	C. Pathology (Mice)	28
	D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)	29
v.	Discussion	31
VI.	Bibliography	35

# APPENDIXES

Appendix A	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Rats Administered CCC in the Diet	37
Table Al	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats Administered CCC in the Diet	39
Table A2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats Administered CCC in the Diet	43

•

# Page

Appendix B	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Mice Administered CCC in the Diet	47
Table Bl	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice Administered CCC in the Diet	49
Table B2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Administered CCC in the Diet	52
Appendix C	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Rats Administered CCC in the Diet	57
Table Cl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Administered CCC in the Diet	59
Table C2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats Administered CCC in the Diet	66
Appendix D	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Mice Administered CCC in the Diet	73
Table Dl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Administered CCC in the Diet	75
Table D2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Administered CCC in the Diet	79
Appendix E	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Rats Administered CCC in the Diet	83
Table El	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Administered CCC in the Diet	85
Table E2	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Administered CCC in the Diet	91
Appendix F	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Mice Administered CCC in the Diet	95

Table Fl Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Administered CCC in the Diet ..... 97 Table F2 Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Administered CCC in the Diet ..... 100 TABLES Table 1 CCC Subchronic Feeding Studies 9 in Rats and Mice ..... Table 2 CCC Chronic Feeding Studies in Rats ..... 11 Table 3 12 CCC Chronic Feeding Studies in Mice ..... FIGURES Figure 1 Growth Curves for Rats Administered CCC in the Diet ..... 20 Figure 2 Survival Curves for Rats Administered CCC in the Diet ..... 21 Figure 3 Growth Curves for Mice Administered CCC in the Diet ..... 26 Figure 4 Survival Curves for Mice Administered 27 CCC in the Diet .....

# Page

#### I. INTRODUCTION

$$CH_{3}$$

$$CICH_{2}CH_{2} - N^{\oplus} - CH_{3} + CI^{\Theta}$$

$$CICH_{2}CH_{2} - N^{\oplus} - CH_{3} + CI^{\Theta}$$

### (2-Chloroethyl) trimethylammonium chloride

(2-Chloroethyl)trimethylammonium chloride (CAS 999-81-5; NCI CO2960) is a plant growth regulator, or dwarfing agent, used on poinsettias and azaleas in the United States (Meister, 1977), and on several food crops, specifically cereal grains, grapes, and pears in Europe (Vettorazzi, 1977; WHO/FAO, 1973). It has been marketed as Cyclocel<sup>®</sup> since 1959, and is known by the common names chlormequat, chlorocholine chloride, or CCC (Spencer, 1973). The term CCC will be used in this report.

The acute oral  $LD_{50}$  of CCC, has been reported to be in the range of 215 to 1,020 mg/kg in mice and 330 to 750 mg/kg in rats (WHO/FAO, 1973). Hennighausen and Tiefenbach (1975) found that 500 mg/kg of CCC given orally killed 21/40 male mice (strain not specified).

Acutely toxic doses of CCC cause lacrimation, salivation, and intestinal motility, and although these signs of toxicity of CCC in mammals resemble those of anticholinesterase agents, the chemical does not inhibit cholinesterase. These effects are produced by stimulation at muscarinic receptors and are partially antagonized by low doses of atropine, a cholinergic blocking agent which specifically blocks muscarinic receptors. Letha1 doses cause respiratory failure that is due to neuromuscular blockage and that is unaffected Ъy atropine treatment (Hennighausen et al., 1974; Hennighausen and Tiefenbach, 1975).

NCI initiated long-term tests with CCC in the early 1960's as part of an effort to assess the carcinogenic potential of chemicals that were of concern to public health because of their industrial importance or widespread use in the environment. In these chronic tests, some animals developed hepatomas, but these could not clearly be associated with the administration of the test chemical (Innes et al., 1969). Because these studies were preliminary, the chemical was selected for study in the Carcinogenesis Testing Program using expanded protocols.

#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### A. Chemical

CCC (C5H13C12N) was obtained as technical-grade nonformulated material from American Cyanamid Co. The material was a yellow-white crystalline solid made by reacting ethylene dichloride with trimethylamine. The compound had a stated technical-grade purity of 97 to 98%. The effluent from highpressure liquid chromatography using a refractive index detector contained three components of which 90% was CCC. Elemental analysis showed 36.4% carbon, 8.5% hydrogen, and 8.2% nitrogen (theoretical: 38.0% carbon, 8.2% hydrogen, and 8.9% nitrogen). The test material had a melting point of  $240^{\circ}$ C with decomposition (literature: 245°C with decomposition).

The CCC was stored at 7°C until used.

# B. Dietary Preparation

Test diets containing CCC were prepared fresh every 1 to 1-1/2 weeks in 6- to 12-kg batches at appropriate doses. A known

weight of the chemical was first mixed with an equal weight of autoclaved Wayne<sup>®</sup> Sterilizable Lab Meal with 4% fat (Allied Mills, Inc., Chicago, Ill.), using a mortar and pestle. The mixing was continued with second and third additions of feed, and final mixing was performed with the remaining quantity of feed for a minimum of 15 minutes in a Patterson-Kelly twin-shell blender with an intensifer bar.

The diets were stored at 7°C in plastic bags until used.

# C. Animals

Male and female F344 (Fischer) rats and B6C3F1 mice were obtained as 4-week-old weanlings, all within 3 days of the same age, from the NCI Frederick Cancer Research Center animal farm (Frederick, Md.). The animals were housed within the test facility for 2 weeks and then were assigned four rats to a cage and five mice to a cage on a weight basis for each cage of animals of a given species and sex. For use in the chronic study, the male rats were required to weigh 90 to 105 g, averaging at least 100 g; the female rats, 80 to 95 g, averaging at least 90 g; the male mice, 18 to 22 g, averaging at least 19.5 g; and the female mice, 17 to

21 g, averaging at least 18.5 g. Individual animals were identified by ear punch.

# D. Animal Maintenance

The animals were housed in polycarbonate cages (Lab Products, Inc., Garfield, N.J.), 19 x 10-1/2 x 8 inches for the rats and  $11-1/2 \times 7-1/2 \times 5$  inches for the mice. The cages were suspended from aluminum racks (Scientific Cages, Inc., Bryan, Tex.) and were covered by nonwoven polyester-fiber 12-mil-thick filter paper (Hoeltge, Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio). The bedding used was Absorb-dri<sup>®</sup> hardwood chips (Northeastern Products, Inc., Warrenburg, N.Y.). The feed was presterilized Wayne® Sterilizable Lab Meal, provided ad libitum in suspended stainless steel hoppers and replenished at least three times per week. Water, acidified to pH 2.5, was supplied ad libitum from glass Sipper tubes (Lab Products, Inc.) were suspended bottles. through the tops of the cages.

The contaminated bedding was disposed of through an enclosed vacuum line that led to a holding tank from which the bedding was fed periodically into an incinerator. The cages were sanitized twice per week and the feed hoppers twice per month at 82 to

88°C in a tunnel-type cagewasher (Industrial Washing Corp., detergents, Clout<sup>®</sup> N.J.), using the (Pharmacal Mataway, Research Laboratories, Greenwich, Conn.) or Oxford D'Chlor (Oxford Chemicals, Atlanta, Ga.). The glass bottles and sipper tubes were sanitized at 82 to 88°C in a tunnel-type bottle washer (Consolidated Equipment Supply Co., Mercersburg, Pa.) times per week, using a Calgen Commercial Division three detergent (St. Louis, Mo.). The racks for the cages were sanitized at or above 82°C in a rack washer (Consolidated Equipment Supply Co.) once per month, using the Calgen Commercial Division detergent, and the filter paper was changed at the same time.

The animal rooms were maintained at 22 to 24°C and 45 to 55% relative humidity. Incoming air was passed through a filter of 65% efficiency and a bag filter of 95% efficiency at the intake and was expelled without recirculation through a "Z"-type roughing filter of 30% efficiency and a bag system of 90 to 95% efficiency at the exhaust (American Air Filters, Louisville, Ky., Mine Safety Appliances, Pittsburgh, Pa.). The room air was changed 15 times per hour. The air pressure was maintained negative to a clean hallway and positive to a return hallway. provided Fluorescent lighting was automatically on a 12-hour-per-day cycle.

Rats administered CCC and their corresponding controls were housed in the same room as rats on feeding studies of the following chemicals: (CAS 86-06-2) 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (CAS 51-03-6) piperonyl butoxide Mice administered CCC and their corresponding controls were

housed in the same room as mice on feeding studies of the following chemicals:

(CAS 156-62-7) calcium cyanamide (CAS 95-80-7) 2,4 diaminotoluene (CAS 19010-66-3) lead dimethyldithiocarbamate (CAS 86-30-6) N-nitrosodiphenylamine (CAS 88-96-0) phthalamide (CAS 120-62-7) piperonyl sulfoxide (CAS 137-17-7) 2,4,5-trimethylaniline

#### E. Subchronic Studies

Subchronic feeding studies were conducted to estimate the maximum tolerated doses (MTD's) of CCC, on the basis of which two concentrations (referred to in this report as "low" and "high" doses) were selected for administration in the chronic studies. Groups of five rats and five mice of each sex were administered feed containing CCC at one of several doses for 7 weeks followed by 1 week of observation, and groups of five control animals of each species and sex were administered basal diet only. Each animal was weighed twice per week.

Table 1 shows the survival of animals in each dosed group at the end of the course of chemical administration, and the mean body weights of dosed animals at week 7 expressed as percentages of mean body weights of the controls.

At the end of the subchronic studies, all animals were killed using  $CO_2$  and necropsied. Clinical observations exclusive of weight and microscopic examination, showed no dose-related changes for male or female rats dosed at 3,150 or 6,800 ppm nor for male or female mice dosed at 10,000 ppm.

Ten percent depression in body weight was the major criterion for the estimation of MTD's. The doses required to produce this response were determined by the following procedure: first, least squares regressions of mean body weights versus days on study were used to estimate mean body weights of each of the dosed groups at day 49. Next, probits of the percent weights of the dosed groups at day 49 relative to weights of corresponding control groups were plotted against the logarithms of the doses, and least squares regressions fitted to the data were used to estimate the doses required to induce 10% depression in weight.

** • • •	M	lale	Fe	male
Dose (ppm)	Surviv- _al (a)	Mean Weight at Week 7 as % of Control	Surviv- al (a)	Mean Weight at Week 7 as % of Control
RATS				
3,150	5/5	85	5/5	88
4,600	5/5	83	5/5	81
6,800	5/5	79	5/5	68
10,000	4/5	45	4/5	49
14,700	0/5		0/5	
MICE				
1,200	5/5	86	5/5	79
2,500	5/5	78	5/5	85
4,000	5/5	81	5/5	66
5,000	5/5	77	5/5	56
7,000	5/5	74	5/5	68
10,000	5/5	70	5/5	53
20,000	2/5	60	2/5	59

Table 1. CCC Subchronic Feeding Studies in Rats and Mice

(a) Number surviving/number in group.

The low and high doses for rats were set at 1,500 and 3,000 ppm; for mice, 500 and 2,000 ppm.

## F. Chronic Studies

The test groups, doses administered, and durations of the chronic feeding studies are shown in tables 2 and 3.

# G. Clinical and Pathologic Examinations

All animals were observed twice daily. Observations for sick, tumor-bearing, and moribund animals were recorded daily. Clinical examination and palpation for masses were performed each month, and the animals were weighed at least once per month. Moribund animals and animals that survived to the end of the bioassay were killed using CO, and necropsied.

The pathologic evaluation consisted of gross and microscopic examination of major tissues, major organs, and all gross lesions. The tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The following tissues were examined

Table 2.	CCC	Chronic	Feeding	Studies	in	Rats
	000	0	*	Deadred	~ I I	naco

Sex and Test Group	Initial No. of Animals (a)	CCC in Diet (b) (ppm)	Time on Study (weeks)
Male			
Matched-Control	20	0	108
Low-Dose	50	1,500	108
High-Dose	50	3,000	108
Female			
Matched-Control	20	0	108
Low-Dose	50	1,500	108
High-Dose	50	3,000	108

(a) All animals were approximately 6 weeks of age when placed on study.

(b) Test and control diets were provided <u>ad libitum</u> 7 days per week.

Sex and Test	Initial No. of	CCC in Diet (b)	Time on Study
Group	Animals (a)	(ppm)	(weeks)
Male			
Matched-Control	20	0	102
Low-Dose	50	500	102
High-Dose	50	2,000	102
Female			
Matched-Control	20	0	102
Low-Dose	50	500	102
High-Dose	50	2,000	102

Table 3. CCC Chronic Feeding Studies in Mice

(a) All animals were approximately 6 weeks of age when placed on study.

(b) Test and control diets were provided <u>ad libitum</u> 7 days per week.

.

microscopically: skin, lungs and bronchi, trachea, bone marrow (femur), spleen, lymph nodes (mesenteric and submandibular), thymus, heart, salivary glands (parotid, sublingual, and submaxillary), liver, pancreas, esophagus, stomach (glandular and nonglandular), small and large intestine, kidney, urinary bladder, pituitary, adrenal, thyroid, parathyroid, testis, prostate, mammary gland, uterus, ovary, brain (cerebrum and cerebellum), and all tissue masses. Peripheral blood smears also were made for all animals, whenever possible.

Necropsies were also performed on all animals found dead, unless precluded in whole or in part by autolysis or cannibalization. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on study in each group.

# H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Pertinent data on this experiment have been recorded in an automatic data processing system, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, clinical observations, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Data tables were generated for verification of data transcription and for statistical review.

These data were analyzed using the appropriate statistical techniques described in this section. Those analyses of the experimental results that bear on the possibility of carcinogenicity are discussed in the statistical narrative section.

Probabilities of survival were estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report in the form of graphs. Animals were statistically censored as of the time that they died of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not statistically censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) extensions of Cox's methods for testing for a dose-related trend. One-tailed P values have been reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is only reported when its two-tailed P value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions has been given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site is examined (denominator). In most instances, the denominators included only those animals for which that site examined histologically. However, when macroscopic was examination was required to detect lesions prior to histologic sampling (e.g., skin or mammary tumors), or when lesions could sites (e.g., lymphomas), have appeared at multiple the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analyses of tumor incidence is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical developed a significantly higher proportion of tumors than did the control animals. As a part of these analyses, the one-tailed Fisher exact test (Cox, 1970) was used to compare the tumor incidence of a control group with that of a group of dosed animals at each dose level. When results for a number of dosed groups (k) are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction to ensure an overall significance level of 0.05 may be made. The Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966) requires that the P value for any comparison be less than or equal to 0.05/k. In cases where this correction was used, it is discussed in the

narrative section. It is not, however, presented in the tables, where the Fisher exact P values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971), was also used. Under the assumption of a linear trend, this test determines if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the onetailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend is a positive dose relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

A time-adjusted analysis was applied when numerous early deaths resulted from causes that were not associated with the formation of tumors. In this analysis, deaths that occurred before the first tumor was observed were excluded by basing the statistical tests on animals that survived at least 52 weeks, unless a tumor was found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor was found, comparisons were based exclusively on animals that survived at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor was found. Once this reduced set of data was obtained, the standard procedures for analyses of the incidence of tumors (Fisher exact tests, Cochran-Armitage tests, etc.) were followed.

When appropriate, life-table methods were used to analyze the incidence of tumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without an observed tumor were computed as in Saffiotti et al. (1972). The week during which an animal died naturally or was sacrificed was entered as the time point of tumor observation. Cox's methods of comparing these curves were used for two groups; Tarone's extension to testing for linear trend was used for three groups. The statistical tests for the incidence of tumors which used life-table methods were one-tailed and, unless otherwise noted, in the direction of a positive dose relationship. Significant departures from linearity (P less than 0.05, twotailed test) were also noted.

The approximate 95 percent confidence interval for the relative risk of each dosed group compared with its control was calculated from the exact interval on the odds ratio (Gart, 1971). The relative risk is defined as  $p_t/p_c$  where  $p_t$  is the true binomial probability of the incidence of a specific type of tumor in a dosed group of animals and  $p_c$  is the true probability of the spontaneous incidence of the same type of tumor in a control group. The hypothesis of equality between the true proportion of a specific tumor in a dosed group and the proportion in a control group corresponds to a relative risk of unity. Values in excess

of unity represent the condition of a larger proportion in the dosed group than in the control.

The lower and upper limits of the confidence interval of the relative risk have been included in the tables of statistical interpretation analyses. The of the limits is that in approximately 95% of a large number of identical experiments, the true ratio of the risk in a dosed group of animals to that in a control group would be within the interval calculated from the experiment. When the lower limit of the confidence interval is greater than one, it can be inferred that a statistically significant result (P less than 0.025 one-tailed test when the control incidence is not zero, P less than 0.050 when the control incidence is zero) has occurred. When the lower limit is less than unity, but the upper limit is greater than unity, the lower limit indicates the absence of a significant result while the upper limit indicates that there is a theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by the test chemical, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

### III. RESULTS - RATS

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)

Mean body weights of dosed male and female rats were lower than those of corresponding controls and were dose related throughout the bioassay, although differences between dosed and control males were slight (figure 1). Other clinical signs, such as corneal opacity and tissue masses, were observed at comparable incidences in dosed and control groups.

# B. Survival (Rats)

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival for male and female rats administered CCC in the diet at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the matched controls, are shown in figure 2. The result of the Tarone test for dose-related trend in mortality is not significant in either sex.

In male rats, 32/50 (64%) of the high-dose group, 37/50 (74%) of the low-dose group, and 14/20 (70%) of the control group lived to



Figure 1. Growth Curves for Rats Administered CCC in the Diet



Figure 2. Survival Curves for Rats Administered CCC in the Diet

the end of the bioassay. In females, 41/50 (82%) of the high-dose group, 35/50 (70%) of the low-dose group, and 13/20 (65%) of the control group lived to the end of the bioassay.

Sufficient numbers of rats of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.

# C. Pathology (Rats)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A, tables Al and A2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C, tables Cl and C2.

From an inspection of the numerical differences in the incidences of leukemia or malignant lymphoma in the female rats (controls 3/20, low-dose 11/50, high-dose 14/50), one could infer an increase in neoplasia in the animals receiving CCC. There was also an apparent dose-related increase in the incidence of islet-cell adenomas of the pancreas of the male rats (controls 0/18, low-dose 1/47, high-dose 7/45). No islet-cell adenomas of the pancreas were seen in any of the female rats.

Several chronic inflammatory, degenerative, or proliferative

lesions frequently seen in aged F344 rats occurred with approximately equal frequency and severity in each sex of the dosed and control animals.

Based on the histopathologic examination, there was no clear evidence of carcinogenicity in F344 rats due to the administration of CCC under the conditions of this bioassay.

### D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)

Tables El and E2 in Appendix E contain the statistical analyses of the incidence of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals of one group and at an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group.

In male rats, the result of the Cochran-Armitage test for positive dose-related trend in the incidence of islet-cell adenoma is significant (P = 0.023), but the results of the Fisher exact test are not significant. The historical records of this laboratory show an incidence of 16/416 (4%) in male controls, with incidences in individual control groups as high as 3/16 (19%) or 2/19 (11%) to as low as 0/20.

The incidences of female rats with lymphoma or leukemia are 3/20 (15%) in the control group, 11/50 (22%) in the low-dose group, and 14/50 (28%) in the high-dose groups. The results of the Cochran-Armitage test and the Fisher exact test are not significant. The historical records of this laboratory show an incidence of 42/420 (10%) in female controls with incidences in individual control groups as high as 4/20 (20%) or 3/20 (15%) to as low as 0/20.

Significant results in the negative direction are observed in the incidence of C-cell tumors of the thyroid in male rats and in the incidence of fibroadenomas of the mammary gland in female rats.

In each of the 95% confidence intervals for relative risk, shown in the tables, the value of one or less than one is included; this indicates the absence of significant positive results. It should also be noted that each of the intervals, except that for the incidence of C-cell tumors of the thyroid in the high-dose male rats, has an upper limit greater than one, indicating the theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by CCC, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.
#### **IV. RESULTS - MICE**

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)

Mean body weights of the dosed male mice were essentially unaffected by administration of the test chemical throughout the bioassay. Mean body weights of the female mice were unaffected during the first 40 weeks, but thereafter were slightly lower than those of the corresponding controls (figure 3). Other clinical signs, such as tissue masses, were observed at comparable incidences in the dosed and control groups.

#### B. Survival (Mice)

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival for male and female mice administered CCC in the diet at the doses of this bioassay, together with those of the matched controls, are shown in figure 4. In male mice, the result of the Tarone test for dose-related trend in mortality is not significant. An indicated departure from linear trend (P =0.014) is observed, because the control animals did not survive as long as the dosed animals. The result of the Cox test between



Figure 3. Growth Curves for Mice Administered CCC in the Diet



Figure 4. Survival Curves for Mice Administered CCC in the Diet

the control and the low-dose groups is significant (P = 0.034), but in the negative direction. In females, the result of the Tarone test is not significant.

In male mice, 42/50 (84%) of the high-dose group, 49/50 (98%) of the low-dose group, and 16/20 (80%) of the control group lived to the end of the bioassay. In females, 46/50 (92%) of the high-dose group, 41/50 (82%) of the low-dose group, and 16/20 (80%) of the control group lived to the end of the bioassay.

Sufficient numbers of mice of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors.

#### C. Pathology (Mice)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B, tables Bl and B2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D, tables Dl and D2.

There was a slightly increased incidence of hemangiomas and hemangiosarcomas in the dosed females (controls 1/20, low-dose 4/50, high-dose 5/50). Several chronic inflammatory, degenerative, or proliferative lesions frequently seen in aged B6C3F1 mice occurred with approximate equal frequency and severity in the dosed and control animals.

Based on the histopathologic examination, there was no clear evidence of carcinogenicity in B6C3F1 mice due to administration of CCC under the conditions of this bioassay.

#### D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)

Tables Fl and F2 in Appendix F contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those primary tumors that occurred in at least two animals of one group and at an incidence of at least 5% in one or more than one group.

In male mice, the result of the Cochran-Armitage test for dose-related trend in the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma is significant (P = 0.036), but the results of the Fisher exact test are not significant. In female mice, a slightly increased incidence of hemangiomas and hemangiosarcomas is not significant.

Significant trends in the negative direction are observed in the

incidences of lymphoma and of cortical adenoma of the adrenal in male mice and of adenoma of the pituitary in females.

In each of the 95% confidence intervals for relative risk, shown in the tables, the value of one is included; this indicates the absence of significant positive results. It should also be noted that each of the intervals has an upper limit greater than one, indicating the theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by CCC, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

#### V. DISCUSSION

Mean body weights of the dosed rats and mice were lower than those of corresponding controls for part or all of the bioassay, except for the dosed male mice, whose mean body weights were essentially unaffected by administration of the test chemical. Survival was not affected significantly in any of the dosed groups of rats or mice and was 64% or greater in both dosed and control groups of each species at the end of the bioassay. Sufficient numbers of rats and mice of each sex were at risk for the development of late-appearing tumors. Since there was virtually no decrease in mean body weight in dosed male mice and only a slight decrease in female mice and since there were no other toxic signs and no dose-related mortality, the mice may have been able to tolerate higher doses.

Islet-cell adenomas of the pancreas occurred in the male rats at incidences that were dose related (P = 0.023), but in direct comparisons the incidences in the individual dosed groups were not significantly higher than those in the control group (controls 0/19, low-dose 2/47, high-dose 7/49). In female rats, lymphoma or leukemia occurred in a higher percentage of dosed than control animals (controls 3/20, or 15%, low-dose 11/50, or

31

22%, and high-dose 14/50, or 28%). The results of the statistical analyses were not, however, significant. Hepatocellular carcinomas occurred in the male mice at incidences that were dose related (P = 0.036), but in direct comparisons the incidences in the individual dosed groups were not significantly higher than that in the control group (controls 7/20, low-dose 13/50, high-dose 23/49). Thus, the occurrence of pancreatic tumors in the dosed male rats, lymphoma or leukemia in the dosed female rats, and liver tumors in the dosed male mice cannot clearly be related to administration of the test chemical. No tumors occurred in the female mice at incidences that were significant either for positive dose-related trend or for greater incidences in dosed groups than in control groups.

In previous long-term feeding studies of CCC, administration of 1,000 ppm for 78 weeks to CFLP mice caused no adverse effect on the survival and only about 6% decrease in body weight gained; an incidence of benign lung tumors of 20/52 in the dosed males was higher than that of 10/51 in the controls, but was considered to be within the normal range under the conditions of the test (WHO/FAO, 1973). In other long-term feeding studies in mice, administration of CCC at 21.5 mg/kg by stomach tube for 4 weeks, then in the diet at 65 ppm for 18 months, to B6C3F1 and B6AKF1 hybrids led to incidences of hepatomas in 5/18 males of each hybrid compared with incidences of 6/257 and 7/240 in the corresponding controls (Innes et al., 1969; WHO/FAO 1973). When rats of unidentified strain were administered 500 or 1,000 ppm CCC in the diet for 2 years, they showed no signs of toxicity or histopathologic abnormalities attributable to the test chemical (WHO/FAO, 1973).

It is concluded that under the conditions of this bioassay, CCC was not carcinogenic for F344 rats or B6C3F1 mice of either sex.

#### VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods</u> in <u>Medical</u> <u>Research</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1971, pp. 362-365.

Berenblum, I., ed., <u>Carcinogenicity</u> <u>Testing</u>, <u>A</u> <u>Report</u> <u>of</u> <u>the</u> <u>Panel</u> <u>on</u> <u>Carcinogenicity</u> <u>of</u> <u>the</u> <u>Cancer</u> <u>Research</u> <u>Commission</u> <u>of</u> <u>the</u> <u>UICC</u>, Vol. 2, International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.

Cox, D. R., Regression models and life tables. <u>J. R. Statist.</u> Soc. <u>B</u> 34(2):187-220, 1972.

Cox, D. R., <u>Analysis of Binary Data</u>, Methuen & Co., Ltd., London, 1970, pp.48-52.

Gart, J. J., The comparison of proportions: A review of significance tests, confidence limits and adjustments for stratification. Rev. Int. Statist. Inst. 39(2):148-169, 1971.

Hennighausen, G. and Tiefenbach, B., The toxicological and pharmacological properties of chlorocholine chloride. In: <u>Developmental and Genetic Aspects of Drug and Environmental</u> <u>Toxicity. Proceedings of the European Society of Toxicology,</u> <u>Vol. 16, American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1975,</u> pp. 300-302.

G., Hennighausen, Tiefenbach, в., and Dietrich, С., Untersuchungen über toxikologische und pharmakologische und N,N-Dimethy1-(2-Eigenschaften von Chlorcholinchlorid bromåthyl)-hydraziniumbromid. Acta biol. med. germ. 33:89-98, 1974.

Innes, J. R. M., Ulland, B. M., Valerio, M. G., Petrucelli, L., Fishbein, L., Hart, E. R., Pallotta, A. J., Bates, R. R., Falk, H. L., Gart, J. J., Klein, M., Mitchell, I., and Peters, J., Bioassay of pesticides and industrial chemicals for tumorigenicity in mice: a preliminary note. <u>J. Natl. Cancer</u> Inst. 42(6):1101-1114.

Kaplan, E. L., and Meier, P., Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. <u>J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.</u> <u>53</u>:457-481, 1958. Linhart, M. S., Cooper, J. A., Martin, R. L., Page, N. P., and Peters, J. A., Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. <u>Comp.</u> and Biomed. Res. 7:230-248, 1974.

Meister, R. T., ed., Chlormequat chloride. In: <u>1977</u> Farm Chemicals Handbook, Meister Publishing Co., Willoughby, Ohio, 1977, p. D60.

Miller, R. G., Jr., <u>Simultaneous</u> <u>Statistical</u> <u>Inference</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966, pp. 6-10.

Saffiotti, U., Montesano, R., Sellakumar, A. R., Cefis, F., and Kaufman, D. G., Respiratory tract carcinogenesis in hamsters induced by different numbers of administrations of benzo (a) pyrene and ferric oxide. Cancer Res. 32:1073-1081, 1972.

Spencer, E. Y., Chlormequat. In: <u>Guide to the Chemicals Used in</u> <u>Crop Protection</u>, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, 1973, p. 104.

Tarone, R. E., Tests for trend in life table analysis. Biometrika 62:679-682, 1975.

Vettorazzi, G., State of the art of the toxicological evaluation carried out by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Pesticides Residues. III. Miscellaneous pesticides used in agriculture and public health. Residues Review 66:137-184, 1977.

WHO/FAO, Chlormequat. In: 1972 Evaluations of Some Pesticide Residues in Food - The Monographs, World Health Organization, Geneva, 1973, pp.103-130. APPENDIX A

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS

ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

### TABLE A1.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROFSIED	20 20	50 50	50 50
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20	49	50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN	(20)	(50)	(50)
BASAL-CELL TUMOR TRICHOEPITHELIONA	1 (5%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	
FIBROMA	(3,4)	2 (47)	2 (4%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE	(20)	(50)	(50)
SARCOMA, NOS	1 (5%)	4 (05)	1 (2%)
FIBROMA LI POMA	1 (5%)	1 (2%)	3 (6%) 2 (4%)
#LUNG CARCINONA, NOS, METASTATIC ALVEOLAR/ERONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/EFONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA	(20)	(49) 1 (2%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)	(50) 2 (4 <b>%</b> )
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(23)	(50)	(50)
MALIGNANT LYMFHOMA, NOS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TYPE	5 (25%)	5 (10%)	11 (22%
MALIG.LIMPHOMA, UNDIFFER-TIPE MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE	1 (57)	2 (4%)	
MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE MONOCYTIC IEUKEMIA		2 (4%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
*SPLLEN	(20)	(49)	(50) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS			1 (2%)
#THYMUS	(7)	(36)	(43)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
FIBROSARCCMA			1 (2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NO N E			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER HEPATOCELIULAR CARCINOMA	(20) 1 (5%)	(49) 2 (4%)	(50) 2 (4%)
#PANCREAS Acinar-Celi Adenoma	(19)	(47) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
#STOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINCMA	(20)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)
URINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY Tubular-cell Adenoma Liposarccma	(20) 1 (5%)	(49)	(50) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
#KIDNEY/CAPSUIE SARCOMA, NCS, METASTATIC	(20) 1 (5%)	(49)	(50)
#URINARY BLATTER TRANSITICNAL-CELL CARCINOMA	(19)	(49)	(47) 1 (2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
*PITUITARY CHROMOPHOEF ALENOMA CHROMOPHOEF CARCINOMA	(20) 6 (30%)	(49) 11 (22%) 4 (8%)	(47) 16 (34%)
#ADRENAL CARCINCMA, NOS, METASTATIC CORTICAL CARCINOMA	(20)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)
PHEOCHROMCCYTOMA	1 (5%)	3 (6%)	
<pre>#THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL_ADENCMA</pre>	(20)	(48)	(50) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )

### TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

#### TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

MATCHED LOW DOSE HIGH DOSE CONTROL FOLLICULAR-CELL CARCINOMA 2 (4%) 7 (15%) 3 (15%) C-CELL ADENCMA 1 (2%) 1 (2%) C-CELL CARCINOMA CYSTADENCMA, NOS (47) 2 (4%) (49) 7 (14%) (19) **#PANCREATIC ISLETS** ISLET-CELL ADENOMA \_\_\_\_\_ REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (50) \*MAMMARY GLANE (20) (50) 1 (2%) F1BROADENCMA \*PREPUTIAL GLAND (50) (50) (20) ADENOMA, NCS 1 (2%) (20) 17 (85%) (49) #TESTIS (49) 38 (78%) 1 (2%) 42 (86%) INTERSTITIAL-CELL TUMOR LIPOMA \_\_\_\_\_ NERVOUS SYSTEM #BRAIN (20) (49) (49) OSTEOSARCCMA 1 (2%) CLIGODENDRCGLIOMA 1 (2%) SPECIAL SENSE CRGANS NONE MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM NONE BCDY CAVITIES \*BODY CAVITIES (20) (50) (50) 1 (2%) MESOTHELICMA, NOS \*PERITONEUM (20) (50) (50) FIBROSARCCMA 1 (2%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE	
*PLEURA	(20)	(5))	(50)	
CARCINOMA,NOS MESOTHELICMA, NOS	1 (5%) 1 (5%)			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(20)	(50)	(50)	
FIBROSARCCMA			1 (2%)	
MESOTHELICMA, MALIGNANT	1 (5%)			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY				
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	50	50	
NATURAL CEATHƏ	3	11	11	
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	3	2	7	
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE				
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED	<b>A</b> 11			
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	14	37	32	
ANIMAL MISSING				
a INCLUDES AUTCLYZED ANIMALS				
TUMOR SUMMARY				
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*		47	49	
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	41	98	98	
TOTAL ANIMAIS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	18	45	47	
TOTAL BENIGN IUMORS	29	76	72	
	9	47		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	11	17 22	20 25	
	_			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# TOTAL SECCNDARY TUMORS	1	1 2		
TOTAL SACONDART TORORS	,	-		
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-				
EENIGN OR MALIGNANT	1		1	
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	1		1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-				
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC				
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS				
* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE				
# SECGNDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS	OR TUMORS I	NVASIVE INTO AN AL	DJACENT ORGAN	

## TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

### TABLE A2.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STULY ANIMALS NECROFSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20 20 20	50 50 50 50	50 50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN PAPILLCMA, NOS Syuamous CELL CARCINOMA TRICHOEPITHELICMA KERATOACANTHOMA	(20)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
*SUBLUT TISSUF FIBROMA FIBROSARCCMA HEMANGIOSAFCCMA	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#TRACHEA ADENOCARCINCMA, NOS	(20) 1 (5%)	(48)	(49)
#IUNG ADENOCARCINCMA, NOS, METASTATIC ALVEOLAR/EBONCHIOLAR ADENOMA	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%)
EMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE LEUKEMIA,NCS MONOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	(20) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)	(50) 5 (10%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 13 (26 <b>%</b>
* BLOOD LLUKEMIA,NCS LYMPHOCYTIC_LEUKEMIA	(20)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(50)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE A2.	FEMALE RA	TS: NEOPLAS	MS (CONTINUED)	

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#SPLEEN MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS MALIG.LYMFHCMA, HISTIOCYTIC TYPE	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE ADENOCARCINCMA, NOS, METASTATIC	(20) 1 (5%)	(49)	(49)
#THYMUS CARCINOMA,NOS	(12)	(38)	(38) 1 <b>(3%)</b>
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NON E			
LIGESTIVE SYSIEM			
#LIVER HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
#CECUM ADENOMATOUS POLYP, NOS	(18)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
URINARY SYSTEM			
#URINARY BLADDER TRANSITIONAL-CELL CARCINOMA	(19)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
*PITUITARY CARCINOMA,NOS ADENOMA, NCS	(20)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)
CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA CHROMOPHOEF CARCINOMA	5 (25%) 1 (5%)	22 (45%) 2 (4%)	20 (41%) 1 (2%)
#ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENOMA PHEOCHRCMCCYTCMA	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#THYROID CARCINOMA,NOS	(20)	(49)	(49) <u>1 (2%)</u>

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

#### **TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ MATCHED LOW DOSE CONTROL HIGH DOSE \_\_\_\_\_ ADENOMA, NCS 1 (2%) C-CELL ADENCMA C-CELL CARCINOMA 3 (6%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (5%) . -----REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (50) 1 (2%) (50) \*MAMMARY GLANE (20) ADENOMA, NCS CYSTADENCHA, NOS 1 (5%) 1 (5%) 4 (20%) FIBROMA FIBROADENCMA 7 (14%) 2 (4%) (50) 1 (2%) #UTERUS (20) (50) ADENOCARCINCMA, NOS LEIOMYOMA 1 (2%) LEIONYOS AFCOMA 1 (5%) 1 (2%) NERVOUS SYSTEM (50) 1 (2%) (50) #BRAIN (20) CHROMOPHOEE CARCINOMA, INVASIVE CHROMOPHOEE CARCINOMA, METASTATI 1 (2%) ASTROCYTCMA 1 (5%) \_\_\_\_\_ SPECIAL SENSE CRGANS NONE MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM NON r. EODY CAVITIES NONE ALL OTHER SYSTEMS <u>NONE</u> # NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	20	5)	50
NATURAL DEATHD	2	8	7
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	5	7	2
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE			
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED	13	35	41
TERMINAL SACRIFICE Animal Missing	5	33	41
ANTHAL MISSING			
INCLUDES AUTCLYZED ANIMALS			
UMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	13	41	37
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	19	54	55
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS	8	30	27
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	11	37	33
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	6	17	19
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	8	17	22
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS#	1	1	1
TOTAL SECCEDARY TUMORS	2	1	1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-			
BENIGN OR MAIIGNANT			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-			
PRIMARY OB METASTATIC			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE	רוויי עמגרור	IORS	
SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS			INTICENT ODA

#### TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

APPENDIX B

## SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE

### ADIMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

### TABLE B1.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHCIOGICALLY	20 20 20 20	50 50 50	50 49 49
NTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SUBCUT TISSUF HEMANGIOMA	(20)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#IUNG ALVEOLAR/ERCNCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA	(20) 2 (10%) 2 (10%)	(50) 3 (6%) 7 (14%)	(49) 2 (4%) 3 (6%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIGNANT IYMPHOMA, NOS	(23) 3 (15%)	(50) 7 (14%)	(49) 2 (4%)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE HEMANGIOMA MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS	(20)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
<pre>#KIDNEY MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS</pre>	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
#THYMUS THYMOMA, MALIGNANT MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS	(18)	(43) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(44)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NON E			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIV&R HEPATOCELIULAR_CARCINOMA	(20) 7 <u>(35%)</u>	(50) <u>13 (26%)</u>	(49) <u>23_(47</u> 9

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HEMANGIOSAFCCMA			
#ESOPHAGUS PAPILLCMA, NOS	(20) 1 (5%)	(41)	(44)
#STOMACH SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA ADENOMATOUS POLYP, NOS	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%)
RINARY SYSTEM			
NO N E			
NCOCRINE SYSTEM			
#ADRENAL CORTICAL ADENCMA	(20) 2 (1⊍%)	(48) 1 (2%)	(49)
BPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
NONE			
ERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONB			
PECIAL SENSE CRGANS			
NONE			
USCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
ODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			یری این میترمین می ختر ختر می هم بین می این این ا

## TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED) MATCHED LOW DOSE CONTROL HIGH DOSE -----ANIMAL DISPOSITICN SUMMARY ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY 20 5) 50 4 ์ 1 NATURAL DEATHO 7 MORIBUND SACRIFICE . SCHEDULED SACRIFICE ACCIDENTALLY KILLED 1 42 49 TERMINAL SACRIFICE 16 ANIMAL MISSING @ INCLUDES AUICLYZED ANIMALS TUMOR SUMMARY TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS\* 29 29 12 17 38 TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS 32 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS 6 4 3 TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS 5 6 3 28 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS 10 26 TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS 32 12 29 TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# TOTAL SECCEDARY TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-EENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN-PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS \* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SECONDARY TUMORS # SECUNDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACENT ORGAN

### TABLE B2.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE **ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET**

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIAILY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROFSIEC ANIMALS EXAMINEC HISTOPATHCLOGICALLY	20 20 2)	50 50 50 50	50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN HEMANGIOSARCCMA	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
*SUBLUT TISSUE RHABDONYOSARCOMA	(2))	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
HEMANGIOMA HEMANGIOSARCOMA	1 (5%)	1 (2%)	• (2#)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG ALVEOLAR/ERONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAR/ERONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA	(20) 1 (5%)	(49) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS	(2)) 7 (35%)	(50) 8 (16%)	(50) 10 (20%)
* BLOOD L_UKEMIA, NCS	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(50) 2 (4%)
#BON & MARROW HEMANGIOSARCOMA	(19)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
#SPLLEN HEMANGIOSARCCMA MALIGNANT IYMPHOMA, NOS	(19)	(48) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#LUNG MALIGNANT_IYMPHOMA, NOS	(20)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

## TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#LIVER MALIGNANT IYMPHOMA, NOS	(19)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)
*MESENTERY MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%
#KIDNEY MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS	(20)	(49)	(50) 1 (29
*THYMUS MALIGNANT IYMPHOMA, NOS	(16)	(41) 1 (2%)	(46) 1 (2%
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NON E			
CIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#SALIVARY GLAND CYSTADENCEA, NOS	(19)	(47)	(50) 1 (2%
#LIVER H±PATOCEILULAR CARCINOMA	(19) 4 (21%)	(49) 7 (14%)	(50) 4 (89
#DUODENUM HEMANGIOMA	(18)	(45)	(50) 1 (29
URINARY SYSTEM			
NO N E			
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
<pre>#PITUITARY ADENOMA, NCS</pre>	(16) 2 (13%)	(49) 2 (4%)	(48)
#ADRENAL CORTICAL ALENCMA	(19)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)
#THYROID FOLLICULAR-CELL ADENCMA	(19)	(47) 1 (2%)	(5C)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLANE Adenocarcinema, nos	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%
#UTERUS ADENOCARCINCMA, NOS LEIOMYOMA	(20)	(46)	(50) 1 (2% 1 (2%
*OV ARY	(20)	(47)	(50)
CYSTADENCEA, NOS GRANULOSA-CELL TUMOR	1 (5%)	2 (4%)	
PECIAL SENSE CRGANS None			
NONE			
USCULOSKELETAI SYSTEM			
NO N E			
ODY CAVITIES			
*MESENTERY LLICMYOSAFCCMA	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2 <b>%</b>
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS	(20)	(50)	(50)

î

## TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY
 NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

### TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	-
╾╾╾╾ <b>╾</b> ╾╴╾ <sub>┍</sub> ╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╴╆╖╴╼╾┢╼╾┯╦┯╦╦┯═╼ <mark>╤┲</mark> ╝╋╘┢╧╊╘┶╧┢╘╸╋╸╼╾┶╶╷╷┥┚╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴╴	-

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMAL DISFOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHƏ MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULEE SACRIFICE	2) 4	5) 9	50 4
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	16	41	46
R INCLUDES AUTCLYZED ANIMALS			
LUMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	14 18	25 3 J	26 34
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TUTAL BENIGN TUMORS	3 5	5 5	44
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	11 13	19 23	2 4 30
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# TOTAL SECCNDARY TUMORS			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- EENIGN OR MAIIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		2 2	
TOTAL ANIMAIS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN- FRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
PRIMARY TUMCRS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE SECUNDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS (			ADJACENT ORGAN

.

APPENDIX C

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS

ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

57

### TABLE C1.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIAILY IN STUDY	20	50	50
ANIMALS NECROFSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20 20	50 49	50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
*SUBCUT TISSUF H⊥MATOMA, NOS HEMORRHAGIC CYST	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
STEATITIS Flbrosis		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (27)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
*TRACHEA INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC	(20)	(46)	(50) 1 (2%)
#LUNG/BRONCHUS LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	(20) 11 (55%)	(49) 13 (27%)	(50)
#LUNG LYMPHOCYTIC INPLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATICN, INTERSTITIAL INFLAMMATICN, FOCAL GRANULOMATOU	(20)	(49) 24 (49%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 39 (78%) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*BLOOD MONOCYTOSIS	(20)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50)
LEUKOPENIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, NEUTROPHILIC	1 (5%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%)
#BONE MARROW HYPERPLASIA, GRANULOCYTIC	(20)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE	
#SPLEEN	(2))	(49)	(50)	
CONGESTION, NOS	2 (10%)	(43)	2 (4%)	
INFARCT, NCS	- (10M)		1 (2%)	
HEMOSIDERCSIS		3 (6%)	3 (6%)	
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL			1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	1 (5%)			
HEMATOPOIESIS	10 (50%)	29 (59%)	20 (40%)	
MYELOPOIESIS		1 (2%)		
LYMPH NODE	(20)	(49)	(50)	
INFLAMMATICN, NECROTIZING		1 (2%)		
HYPERPLASIA, DIFFUSE	1 (5%)			
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID			1 (2%)	
MANDIBULAR 1. NODE	(20)	(49)	(50)	
CYST, NOS		1 (2%)	2 (4%)	
CONGESTION, NOS			1 (2%)	
EDEMA, NOS			1 (2%)	
HE MOR R HAGE			1 (2%)	
FIBROSIS			1 (2%)	
PERIARTERIIIS			1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	0 ( <b>40%</b> )		1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, DIFFUSE	2 (10%)		10 /0//#	
PLASMACY TOSIS	6 (30%)		12 (24%) 1 (2%)	
ERYTHROPHAGOCYTOSIS Hyperplasia, reticulum cell			1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
MEDIASTINAL L.NCDE	(20)	(49)	(50)	
PIGMENTATICN, NOS	1 (5%)		(30)	
MESENTERIC L. NODE	(20)	(49)	(50)	
CYST, NOS	• •		2 (4%)	
EDEMA, NOS PIGMENTATICN, NOS	1 (5%) 1 (5%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL		1 (2%)		
THYMUS	(7)	(36)	(43)	
CONGESTION, NOS		1 (3%)		
IRCULATORY SYSTEM				
HEART	(20)	(49)	(50)	
THROMBUS, MURAL		1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
TABLE C1	. MALE RATS: NO	INNEOPI ASTIC	<b>LESIONS</b> (	CONTINUED)
----------	-----------------	---------------	------------------	------------
TRUCE OF			FFOIDING /	


	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATICN, SUPPURATIVE	1 (5%)		
FIBROSIS FIBROSIS, FOCAL	11 (55 <b>%</b> )	27 (55%) 16 (33%)	40 (80%)
#HEART/ATRIUM Thrombosis, NCS	(20) 1 (5 <b>%)</b>	(49)	(50)
#MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATICN, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC	(20)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*PULMONARY AFTERY Hypertrophy, Nos	(20)	(50)	(50) 8 (16%
IGESTIVE SYSIEM			
#SALIVARY GLAND INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC	(20)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)
#LIVER	(20)	(49)	(50)
CONGESTION, NOS LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATICN, NECROTIZING	1 (5%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%)
CHOLANGICFIBROSIS CIRRHOSIS, NOS	11 (55%) 2 (10%)	41 (84%)	37 (74%) 3 (6%)
CIRRHOSIS, PORTAL DLGENERATICN, HYDROPIC NECROSIS, NOS		1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%)
NECROSIS, FOCAL Amyloidosis	1 (5%)	5 (10%)	
METANORPHOSIS FATTY LIPOIDOSIS	2 (10%)	9 (18%)	9 (18%) 1 (2%)
BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE Clear-Cell Change	1 (5%)	13 (27%) 2 (4%)	3 (6%) 1 (2%)
MEGALOCYTCSIS LLUKEMOID FEACTION	1 (5%)	5 (10%) 2 (4%)	2 (4%) 1 (2%)
#LIVER/CENTRIIOBULAR DEGENERATICN, HYDROPIC	(20)	(49) 1 (2%)	(50)
#LIVER/HEPATCCYTES Metamorphosis fatty	(20) 1 (5%)	(49)	(50)
#BILL DUCT INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC FOCAL	(20) 1 (5%)	(49)	(50)

TABLE C1. MALE P	ATS: NONNEOPLA	ASTIC LESIONS (	CONTINUED)

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HYPERPIASIA, NCS	1 (5%)		
#PANLREAS	(19)	(47)	(49)
CONGESTION, NCS	()	(,	1 (2%)
FIBROSIS			3 (6%)
FIBROSIS, ECCAL	1 (5%)	4 (9%)	3 (6%)
PLRIARTERIJIS	1 (5%)		1 (2%)
ATROPHY, NCS	1 (5%)	6 (13%)	3 (6%)
#PANCREATIC ACINUS	(19)	(47)	(49)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL	• •	3 (6%)	•••
HYPERPLASIA, NOS			1 (2%)
#STOMACH	(20)	(49)	(50)
ULCER, NOS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
ULCER, FCCAL	1 (5%)		
INFLAMMATICN, SUPPURATIVE			1 (2%)
INFLAMMATICN, NECROTIZING		1 (2%)	
INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE		1 (2%)	
GRANULOMA, FOREIGN BOLY		1 (2%)	
ULCER, PERFORATED			1 (2%)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL			1 (2%)
#GASIRIC MUCCSA	(20)	(49)	(5C)
DILATATION, NOS			1 (2%)
#ILEUM	(19)	(49)	(49)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL			1 (2%)
RINARY SYSTEM			
# KI DN EY	(20)	(49)	(50)
CAST, NOS	13 (65%)	42 (86%)	38 (76%)
PYELONEPHRITIS SUPPURATIVE			2 (4%)
ABSCESS, NCS	1 (5%)	1 (2%)	
INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC	A	43 (88%)	40 (80%)
INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC FOCAL	9 (45%)		
INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC DIFFUSE	1 (5%)	4 ( ) # ;	
INFLAMMATICN, PYOGRANULOMATOUS		1 (2%)	4 10M
PERIARTERITIS	4 / 11 11 1		1 (2%)
DEGENERATICN, HYALINE HYPERPLASIA, 1UBULAR CELL	1 (5%)		1 (2%)
	(20)	(40)	
#KIDNEY/TUBULE	(20)	(49) 1 (24)	(50)
METAMORPHCSIS FATTY		<u> </u>	

TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLA	ASTIC LESIONS (	(CONTINUED)
--------------------------------	-----------------	-------------

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
PIGMENTATICN, NOS HEMOSIDERCSIS	3 (15%)	1 (2%)	
#URINARY ELACIER CALCULUS, NOS INFLAMMATICN, NECROTIZING INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC FCCAL	(19)	(49) 1 (2%)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITJITARY CYST, NOS ANGIECTASIS	(20) 2 (10%) 2 (10%)	(49)	(47) 4 (9%) 1 (2%)
#ADRENAL LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR N∠CROSIS, FOCAL	(20) 1 (5%)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)
MLTAMORPHCSIS FATTY ANGIECTASIS	1 (5%) 4 (20%)	2 (4%) 18 (37%)	28 <b>(</b> 56%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX METAMORPHOSIS FATTY	(20)	(49) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%)
#ADRENAL MEDUILA Hyperplasia, nodular	(20) 1 (5%)	(49)	(50)
#THYROID HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL	(20)	(48) 4 (8%)	(50) 1 (2%)
<pre>#PANCREATIC ISLETS     HYPERPLASIA, NOS</pre>	(19) 1 (5%)	(47)	(49) 1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLANE DILATATICN/DUCTS CYST, NOS	(2))	(50) 8 (16%)	(50) 12 (24%) 1 (2%)
*PREPUTIAL GLAND CYST, NOS	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
#PROSTATE INFLAMMATICN, SUPPURATIVE	(9) <u>1 (11%)</u>	(37) 2_(5%)	(47) <u>2_(4%)</u> _

	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE FIBROSIS HYPERPIASIA, NODULAR HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1 (11%)	1 (3%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)
*SEMINAL VESICLE INFLAMMATICN, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE FIBROSIS, FOCAL	(20)	(50) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
<pre>#TESTIS     GRANULOMA, SPERMATIC     CYTOMEGALY     ATROPHY, NCS     ASPERMATOGENESIS</pre>	(20) 1 (5%) 1 (5%)	(49) 1 (2%) 10 (20%) 1 (2%)	(45) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 13 (27%
#TESTIS/TUBULE Mineralization Digeneraticn, Hyaline	(20) 1 (5%)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)
*EPIDIDYMIS INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC FIBROSIS, DIFFUSE NECROSIS, FAT	(20)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
ERVOUS SYSTEM			
#CEREBRUM Abscess, NCS	(20)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)
<pre># BRAIN H DROCEPHALUS, NOS HYDROCEPHALUS, INTERNAL HEMORRHAGE NECROSIS, NOS</pre>	(20)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)
# ER AL N/THAL AMUS HEMORR BAGE	(20)	(49)	(49) 1 (2%)
*SPINAL CORD HEMORRHAGE NECROSIS, NOS	(20)	(50)	(5C) 1 (2%) <u>1 (2%</u> )

	MATCHED CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
SPECIAL SENSE CRGANS			
*EYE Cataract	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(5C)
NUSCULOSKELETAI SYSTEM			
*SKELETAL MUSCLE INFLAMMATICN, FOCAL	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(50)
BODY CAVITIES			
*ABDOMINAL CAVITY STEATITIS	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
*PERITONEUM INFLAMMATICN, NOS INFLAMMATICN, SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATICN, GRANULCMATOUS	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*MESENTERY STEATITIS PERIARTERITIS NECROSIS, FAT	(20)	(50) 1 (2%) 6 (12%) 1 (2%)	(50)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS LEUKEMOID FEACTION	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
SPECIAL MORPHCIOGY SUMMARY			
AUTO/NECROFSY/NO HISTO		1	

#### TABLE C2.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIAILY IN STUDY	20	50	50
ANIMALS NECROFSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20	50 50	50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN	(20)	(50)	(50)
EPIDERMAL INCLUSION CYST INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC SUPPURATIV		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
·			
*SUBCUT TISSUE Necrosis, Fat	(20)	(50)	(5C) 1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS			1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#TR ACHEA	(20)	(48)	(49)
INFLAMMATICN, NOS			4 (8%)
#LUNG/BRONCHUS	(20)	(50)	(49)
LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	18 (90%)		
#LUNG	(20)	(5))	(49)
HEMORRHAGE LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR		42 (84%)	1 (2%) 42 (86%)
INFLAMMATICN, INTERSTITIAL		2 (4%)	42 (00%)
INFLAMMATICN, FOCAL GRANULCMATOU		1 (2%)	
CHOLESTERCL DEPOSIT		1 (2%)	
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*BLOOD	(20)	(50)	(50)
CYTOPLASMIC VACUOLIZATION	1 (5%)		
CYTOMEGALY Llukocytosis, nos	1 (5%)		1 (2%)
LEUKOCITOSIS, NOS LEUKOCITOSIS, NEUTROPHILIC	2 (10%)		r (27a)
LYMPHOCYTCSIS		1 (2%)	

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
LEUKOPENIA, NCS		1 (2%)	
ERYTHROBLASTOSIS HYPERPLASIA, NEUTROPHILIC	1 (5%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	2 (4%)
#SPLLEN	(20)	(50)	(50)
CONGESTION, NOS	(20)	1 (2%)	(30)
INFARCT, NCS	1 (5%)		
HEMOSIDERCSIS	5 (25%)	39 (78%)	34 (68)
HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL		1 (2%)	3 (6%)
HEMATOPOIESIS	14 (70%)	38 (76%)	36 (72)
#LYMPH NODE	(20)	(49)	(49)
CONGESTION, NCS	• •	1 (2%)	• •
PIGMENTATION, NOS		1 (2%)	
PLASMACYICSIS		1 (2%)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		1 (2%)	
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE	(20)	(49)	(49)
CYST, NOS	• •		2 (4%)
CONGESTICN, NOS	1 (5%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
EDEMA, NOS		1 (2%)	
PIGMENTATICN, NOS			1 (2%)
HEMOSIDEFCSIS		4 (0.4)	1 (2%)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	11 (550)	1 (2%)	20 10 1
PLASMACYICSIS	11 (55%)	14 (29%) 2 (4%)	20 (41)
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		2 (4%)	
#MEDIASTINAL L.NODE	(20)	(49)	(49)
CONGESTION, NOS	1 (5%)	1 (2%)	
PIGMENTATICN, NOS	1 (5%)	20 (41%)	5 (10)
#MESLNTERIC L. NODE	(20)	(49)	(49)
CONGESTION, NOS		2 (4%)	
EDEMA, NOS		1 (2%)	
PIGMENTATION, NOS		4 (8%)	
HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		1 (2%)	
#THYMUS	(12)	(38)	(38)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS		1 (3%)	
IRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART	(20)	(50)	(49)
FIBROSIS		41 (82%)	37.1761

#### TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

		CHED TROL	LOW D	DSE	HIGH	DOSE
FIBROSIS, FOCAL	13	(65%)				
MLTAMORPHOSIS FATTY HLMOSIDEFCSIS	1	(5%)	1	(2%)		
#HEART/ATRIUM THROMBOSIS, NOS	(20)		(50) 1	(2%)	(49)	
*PULMONARY ARIERY HYPERTROPHY, NOS	(20)		(50)		(50) 1	(2%)
IGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#SALIVARY GIAND CYSTIC DUCIS	(19)		(50)		(49) 1	(2%)
INFLAMMATICN, NOS FIBROSIS, FOCAL			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
<pre>#LIVER CONGESTICN, NOS</pre>	(20)		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
INFLAMMATICN, SUPPURATIVE	1	(5%)		(27)		
GRANULCMA, NOS				(4%)		
INFLAMMATICN, FOCAL GRANULOMATOU				(2%)		
FIBROSIS, FOCAL Nodule	1	(5%)	1	(2%)		
CHOLANGIOFIBROSIS		(30%)	25	(50%)	24	(48%
METAMORPHOSIS FATTY		(5%)		(6%)		(12%
BASOPHILIC CYTO CHANGE		(70%)		(80%)		(76%
CLEAR-CELL CHANGE				(6%)		
MEGALOCYTCSIS			6	(12%)	3	(6%)
HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR	1	(5%)				
#LIVER/CENTRIIOBULAR	(20)		(50)		(50)	
METANORPHCSIS FATTY		(5%)	(50)		(0,0)	
#EILE DUCT	(20)		(5))		(50)	
INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC		(5%)				
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	1	(5%)				
#PANCREAS	(19)		(50)		(50)	
FIBROSIS			6	(12%)	3	(6%)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL	2	(11%)	1	(2%)		
FIBROSIS, CIFFUSE			1	(29)	1	(2%)
PERIARTERITIS <u>ATROPHY, NCS</u>	2	(11%)		(2%) (8%)	2	(4%)

#### TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
#STOMACH	(19)	(50)	(50)
CYST, NOS Ulcer, Nos		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE	1 (5%)		(27)
<pre>#SMALL INTESTINE INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE/CHRCNIC</pre>	(18)	(49)	(50) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
#COLON NEMATODIASIS	(18)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
URINARY SYSTEM			
# KI DN EY	(20)	(50)	(50)
CAST, NOS Hydronephrosis	10 (50%) 1 (5%)	22 (44%)	18 (36%
PYELONEPHEITIS, NOS	(34)		1 (2%)
INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC	2 (10%)	26 (52%)	28 (56%
INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC FOCAL PIGMENTATION, NOS	12 (60%)		1 (28)
HEMOSIDERCSIS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#KIDNEY/TUBULE	(20)	(50)	(50)
PIGMENTATICN, NOS	()	1 (2%)	(,
#KIDNEY/PELVIS	(20)	(50)	(50)
CALCULUS, NOS		1 (2%)	
#URINARY BLADDER	(19)	(50)	(50)
HEMORRHAGE		1 (2%)	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY	(20)	(49)	(49)
CYST, NOS	2 (10%)	3 (6%)	16 (33%
HEMORRHAGE Hemorrhagic Cyst	1 (5%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
ANGIECTASIS	2 (10%)		
# ADR EN AL	(20)	(50)	(50)
FIBROSIS NECROSIS, CORTICAL		1 (2%)	1 (2%)

## TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

TABLE C2.	FEMALE	<b>RATS:</b>	NONNEOPL	ASTIC	LESIONS	(CONTINUED)

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
MLTAMORPHOSIS FATTY HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR ANGIECTASIS	7 (35%)	3 (6%) 2 (4%) 28 (56%)	1 (2%) 26 (52%)
#ADRENAL CORTEX MINERALIZATION CYST, NOS CUNGESTICN, NOS MLTAMORPHOSIS FATTY HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(20)	(50) 1 (2%) · 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(5 J) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)
#ADRENAL MECUILA ANGIECTASIS	(20)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
#THYKOID INFLAMMATICN, NOS HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL	(20) 2 (10%)	(49) 8 (16%)	(49) 1 (2%) 1C (20%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMAARY GLANI DILATATICN/DUCIS CYST, NOS ANGIECTASIS	(20) 8 (40%) 1 (5%)	(50) 31 (62%)	(50) 2C (40%) 1 (2%)
*MAMMARY DUCI RETENTION OF CONTENT	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
#UTERUS PYOMETRA N&CROSIS, FAT POLYP, INFIAMMATORY	(20) 2 (10%)	(50) 4 (8%)	(5C) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 6 (12系)
#CERVIX UTERI F⊥BROSIS	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
#UTERUS/ENDCMETRIUM CYST, NOS MULTILOCUIAR CYST	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(5C) 2 (4%)
#OVARY CYST, NOS <u>CORPUS LUIEUM CYST</u>	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50) 3 (6%) <u>1 (2%)</u>

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

.

TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)	TABLE C2	. FEMALE RATS:	NONNEOPLASTIC	LESIONS (CONTINUED)
--	----------	----------------	---------------	---------------------

\_\_\_\_\_\_ MATCHED CONTROL LOW DOSE HIGH DOSE \_\_\_\_\_ 17 (85%) CORPUS LUTEUM 41 (82%) 43 (86%) (50) (50) 2 (4%) #OVARY/FOLLICLE \_\_\_\_\_ NERVOUS SYSTEM (50) 1 (2%) #CERLBRAL VENTRICLE (20) (50) HL MORR HAGE (50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (20) (50) #BRAIN HYDROCEPHALUS, NOS HYDROCEPHALUS, INTERNAL 1 (2%) HE MORR HAGE \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ SPECIAL SENSE CRGANS NONE MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM NONE EODY CAVITIES (50) 1 (2%) \*MEDIASTINUM (20) (50)PERIARTERITIS (20) 1 (5%) 1 (5%) \*MESLNTERY (50) (50) FIBROSIS NECROSIS, FAT \_\_\_\_\_ . ALL OTHER SYSTEMS ADIPOSE TISSUE NECROSIS, FAT 1 \_\_\_\_\_ SPECIAL MORPHCIOGY SUMMARY NO LESION FEPORTED 1 \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ # NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

APPENDIX D

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

# TABLE D1.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIAILY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20 20 20	50 50 50	50 49 49
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN ABSCESS, NCS	(20)	(50)	(49) 2 (4%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#IUNG LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATICN, INTERSTITIAL		(50) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*BLOOD LLUKOPENIA, NOS	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(49)
#BONE MARROW HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL	(20)	(50)	(49) 2 (4%)
#SPLLEN HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(20) 1 (5%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(48) 5 (1)% 1 (2%)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE CONGESTICN, NOS INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC FIBROSIS PLASMACYICSIS	(20) 9 (45%)	(50) 15 (30%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(49) 17 (35%
MEGAKARYCCYTOSIS HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID		6 (12%) 2 (4%)	1 (2%) 8 (16% 1 (2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#MYOLARDIUM INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC_FCCAL	(19)	(50)	(49) 1 (2%)

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#SALIVARY GLAND FIBROSIS, FOCAL	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(49)
<pre>#LIVER INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC FOCAL NECROSIS, FOCAL</pre>	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(49) 2 (4%)
INFARCT, NCS MLTAMORPHCSIS FATTY ANGIECTASIS	1 (5%) 4 (20%)	4 (8%) 1 (2%)	6 (12%)
#FANCREAS METAMORPHOSIS FATTY ATROPHY, FCCAI	(20)	(48) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(4 8)
#FANCREATIC ACINUS ATROPHY, NCS	(20)	(48) 2 (4%)	(48)
#STOMACH INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE FOCAL	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
#PEYERS PATCH Hyperplasia, reticulum cell Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(20)	(59) 2 (4%)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#DUCJENUM DIVERTICULCSIS	(20)	(50)	(48) 1 (2%)
URINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY	(20)	(50)	(49)
HYDRONEPHRCSIS LYMPHOCYIIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR	2 (10%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%)	7 (14%)
INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC FOCAL	1 (5%)	2 (4%)	3 (6%) 2 (4%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITJITARY CYST, NOS	(18) 1 (6%)	(48)	(49) 1 (2%)
#THYROID FIBROSIS, FOCAL	(19)	(47)	(47) 1_(2%)

#### TABLE D1. MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ATROPHY, NCS Hyperplasia, Follicular-Cell	1 (5%)		1 (2%
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND INFLAMMATICN, NOS	(2))	(50)	(49) 1 (2%
*SEMINAL VESICLE Dilataticn, NCS	(20)	(50) 2 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%
#TESTIS ATROPHY, FCCAL	(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(49)
ERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
PECIAL SENSE CRGANS			
NONE			·
USCULOSKELETAI SYSTEM			
NONE			
ODY CAVITIES			
*MESLNTERY NLCROSIS, FAT	(20) 2 (10%)	(50) 1 (2%)	(49)
LL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
PECIAL MORPHCIOGY SUMMARY			

#### TABLE D1. MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

TABLE D1	MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)	

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
AUIC/NECROFSY/HISIO PERF AJTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY			1 1
# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXA * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED	MINED MICROSCOPI	CALLY	

#### TABLE D2.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIAILY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	20 20 20	50 50 50 50	50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SUBLUT TISSUE HEMORRHAGIC CYST	(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATCRY INFILTR INFLAMMATICN, INTERSTITIAL HEMOSIDERCSIS	(20) 4 (23%)	(49) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)	(50) 5 (10% 1 (2%)
HEMATUPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*BLOOD Hyperplasia, neutrophilic	(2))	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
#SPLLEN HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC HIPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL HYPERPLASIA, IYMPHOID	(19) 6 (32%)	(48) 1 (2%) 7 (15%)	(50) 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)
#LYMPH NODE HEMORRHAGIC CYST PLASMACYICSIS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(19)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)
#MANDIBULAR L. NODE CONGESTICN, NCS HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	(19) 1 (5%)	(47) 1 (2%)	(5C) 1 (2%)
#MESLNTERIC I. NODE CONGESTION, NOS	(19)	(47) 2 (4%)	(50) 1 (2%)

#### TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HEMORRHAGIC CYST HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID	2 (11%)	1 (2%) 4 (9%)	-1 (2%) 3 (6%) 2 (4%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NON E			
CIGESTIVE -SYSTEM			
#LIVER LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE FOCAL ABSCESS, NCS INFLAMMATICN, FOCAL GRANULCMATOU	(19) 4 (21%) 1 (5%)	(49) 10 (2)%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(50) 5 (1)%
NLCROSIS, FOCAL METAMORPHOSIS FATTY ANGIECTASIS / HYPERPLASIA, HEMATOPOIETIC MYELOPOIESIS	2 (11%)	2 (4%) 5 (10%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#PANCREAS ANGIECTASIS	(19)	(46)	(47) 1 (2%)
#STCMACH INFLAMMATICN, ACUTE FOCAL	(19) 1 (5%)	(46)	(49)
#SMALL INTESTINAL SUB DIVERTICULCSIS	(18)	(45) 1 (2%)	(50)
<pre>#PEYERS PATCH     HYPERPLASIA, RETICULUM CELL     HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID</pre>	(18) 1 (6%)	(45) 1 (2%)	(50)
JRINARY SYSTEM			
<pre>#KIDNEY HYDRONEPERCSIS LYMPHOCYTIC INFLAMMATORY INFILTR INFLAMMATICN, INTERSTITIAL INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC FOCAL</pre>	1 (5%)	(49) 10 (20%)	(50) 2 (4%) 4 (8%) <u>3 (6%)</u>

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATICN, CHRONIC DIFFUSE			1 (2%)
ENCOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY HEMORRHAGIC CYST	(16)	(49) 1 (2%)	(48)
#ADRENAL HYPERPLASIA, NODULAR	(19) 1 (5%)	(49)	(50)
#ADR_NAL CORTEX METAMORPECSIS FATTY	(19) 1 (5%)	(49)	(50)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
#UTERUS HEMORRHAGIC CYST POLYP, INFLAMMATORY	(20) 1 (5%)	(46) 1 (2%)	(50)
#UTERUS/ENDCMETRIUM DILATATICN, NOS	(20) 6 (30%)	(46) 2) (43%)	(50) 20 (4)%)
#OVARY MINERALIZATION CYST, NOS HEMORHAGIC CYST FIBROSIS NECROSIS, FAT	(20) 2 (10%) 1 (5%) 2 (10%)	(47) 1 (2%) 11 (23%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 13 (26%) 2 (4%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
SPECIAL SENSE CRGANS			
MUSCULOSKELETAI SYSTEM			
# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAM * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED	INED MICROSCOP	ICALLY .	

# TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

-

MATCHED Control	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
(20)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
(20)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(5C)
(20) 4 (20%)	(50) 6 (12%)	(50) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)
(20) 1 (5%)	(50)	(50)
	,	3
	CONTROL (20) (20) (20) 4 (20%)	CONTROL         LOW DOSE           (20) $(50)$ 1 $(2\%)$ (20) $(50)$ 1 $(2\%)$ (20) $(50)$ 1 $(2\%)$ (20) $(50)$ 4 $(20\%)$ (20) $(50)$

\_\_\_\_\_

## TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

APPENDIX E

# ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS

# ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Integumentary System: Fibroma (b)	1/20(5)	1/50(2)	5/50(10)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.400	2.000
Lower Limit		0.005	0.249
Upper Limit		30.802	92.596
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	108	108	95
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar			<u></u>
Carcinoma or Adenoma (b)	0/20(0)	4/49(8)	2/50(4)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit		0.394	0.123
Upper Limit		Infinite	Infinte
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		108	108

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma			
or Leukemia (b)	6/20(30)	10/50(20)	13/50(26)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.667	0.867
Lower Limit		0.264	0.372
Upper Limit		1.989	2.463
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	108	82	92
Pituitary: Chromophobe			
Carcinoma (b)	0/20(0)	4/49(8)	0/47(0)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	
Departure From Linear Trend (e)	P = 0.022		
Relative Risk (f)		Infinite	
Lower Limit		0.394	
Upper Limit	•	Infinite	
		108	

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Pituitary: Chromophobe			
Carcinoma or Adenoma (b)	6/20(30)	15/49(31)	16/47(34)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.020	1.135
Lower Limit		0.455	0.514
Upper Limit		2.828	3.101
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	108	108	70
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma (b)	1/20(5)	3/49(6)	0/50(0)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.224	0.000
Lower Limit		0.108	0.000
Upper Limit		62.958	7.475
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	105	108	

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Thyroid: Follicular-cell			
Carcinoma or Adenoma (b)	0/20(0)	0/48(0)	3/50(6)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.		N.S.
Relative Risk (f)			Infinite
Lower Limit			0.250
Upper Limit			Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			99
Thyroid C-cell Carcinoma or			
Adenoma (b)	3/20(15)	8/48(17)	0/50(0)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.011 (N)	N.S.	P = 0.021 (N)
Relative Risk (f)		1.111	0.000
Lower Limit		0.308	0.000
Upper Limit		6.043	0.659
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	108	105	

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Pancreatic Islets: Islet-			
cell Adenoma (b)	0/19(0)	2/47(4)	7/49(14)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.023	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		Infinite	Infinite
Lower Limit		0.124	0.787
Upper Limit		Infinite	Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		108	108
Testis: Interstitial-cell			
Tumor (b)	17/20(85)	42/49(86)	38/49(78)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.008	0.912
Lower Limit		0.840	0.755
Upper Limit		1.337	1.265
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	94	83	86

(continued)

- (a) Dosed groups received 1,500 or 3,000 ppm.
- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.
- (e) The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.
- (f) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

	Matched	Low	High
Fopography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System: Leukemia			
or Lymphoma (b)	3/20(15)	11/50(22)	14/50(28)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.467	1.867
Lower Limit		0.450	0.609
Upper Limit		7.594	9.359
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	58	89	89
Pituitary: Chromophobe			
Carcinoma or Adenoma (b)	6/20(30)	24/49(49)	21/49(43)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.633	1.429
Lower Limit		0.799	0.683
Upper Limit		4.204	3.757
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	108	78	99

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Thyroid: C-cell Carcinoma			
or Adenoma (b)	1/20(5)	4/49(8)	2/49(4)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.633	0.816
Lower Limit		0.179	0.046
Upper Limit		78.704	47.195
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	108	78	108
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma (a)	4/20(20)	7/50(14)	2/50(4)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.027 (N)	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.700	0.200
Lower Limit		0.207	0.020
Upper Limit		2.994	1.297
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	92	65	108

#### (continued)

- (a) Dosed groups received 1,500 or 3,000 ppm.
- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.
- (e) The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.
- (f) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

APPENDIX F

.

# ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MICE ADMINISTERED CCC IN THE DIET

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar			
Carcinoma (b)	2/20(10)	7/50(14)	3/49(6)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.400	0.612
Lower Limit		0.303	0.078
Upper Limit		13.138	6.996
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	80	102	102
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar			
Carcinoma or Adenoma (b)	4/20(20)	9/50(18)	5/49(10)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.900	0.510
Lower Limit		0.294	0.126
Upper Limit		3.660	2.367
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	80	102	102

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma (b)	3/20(15)	10/50(20)	2/49(4)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.019 (N)	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.333	0.272
Lower Limit		0.398	0.025
Upper Limit		7.002	2.233
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	93	68	94
Liver: Hepatocellular			
Carcinoma (b)	7/20(35)	13/50(26)	23/49(47)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.036	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.743	1.341
Lower Limit		0.338	0.693
Upper Limit		1.927	3.159
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	72	102	82

Table Fl. Analyses of The Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Administered CCC in The Diet (a)

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Adrenal: Cortical adenoma (b)	2/20(10)	1/48(2)	0/49(0)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.048 (N)	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.208	0.000
Lower Limit		0.004	0.000
Upper Limit		3.830	1.372
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	102	102	

(a) Dosed groups received 500 or 2,000 ppm.

(continued)

- (b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).
- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.
- (e) The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.
- (f) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	Control	Dose	Dose
Lung: Alveloar/Bronchiolar			
Carcinoma or Adenoma (b)	1/20(5)	3/49(6)	2/50(4)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.224	0.800
Lower Limit		0.108	0.045
Upper Limit		62.958	46.273
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	101	102	102
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma		- <u></u>	
or Leukemia (b)	7/20(35)	10/50(20)	15/50(30)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.571	0.857
Lower Limit		0.239	0.405
Upper Limit		1.560	2.169
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	74	85	85

•

100

.

(continued)	Matched	Low	High
Topography: Morphology	<u>Control</u>	Dose	Dose
All Sites: Hemangioma or			
Hemangiosarcoma (b)	1/20(5)	4/50(8)	5/50(10)
? Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		1.600	2.000
Lower Limit		0.175	0.249
Upper Limit		77.169	92.596
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	102	81	102
Liver: Hepatocellular			
Carcinoma (b)	4/19(21)	7/49(14)	4/50(8)
P Values (c,d)	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f)		0.679	0.380
Lower Limit		0.202	0.081
Upper Limit		2.892	1.880
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	102	102	102

(continued)			
Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Pituitary: Adenoma, NOS(b)	2/16(13)	2/49(4)	0/48(0)
P Values (c,d)	P = 0.031 (N)	N.S.	N.S.
Relative Risk (f) Lower Limit Upper Limit		0.327 0.026 4.287	0.000 0.000 1.118
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	102	102	

(a) Dosed groups received 500 or 2,000 ppm.

(b) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (percent).

- (c) Beneath the incidence of tumors in the control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a dosed group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that dosed group with the matched-control group when P is less than 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.
- (d) A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a dosed group than in a control group.
- (e) The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P is less than 0.05 for any comparison.
- (f) The 95 percent confidence interval of the relative risk between each dosed group and the control group.

Review of the Bioassay of (2-Chloroethyl) Trimethylammonium Chloride\* for Carcinogenicity by the Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens

December 13, 1978

The Clearinghouse on Environmental Carcinogens was established in May, 1976, in compliance with DHEW Committee Regulations and the Provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The purpose of the Clearinghouse is to advise the Director of the National Cancer Institute on the Institute's bioassay program to identify and evaluate chemical carcinogens in the environment to which humans may be exposed. The members of the Clearinghouse have been drawn from academia, industry, organized labor, public interest groups, and State health officials. Members have been selected on the basis of their experience in carcinogenesis or related fields and, collectively, provide expertise in chemistry, biochemistry, biostatistics, toxicology, pathology, and epidemiology. Representatives of various Governmental agencies participate as ad hoc members. The Data Evaluation/Risk Assessment Subgroup of the Clearinghouse is charged with the responsibility of providing a peer review of reports prepared on NCI-sponsored bioassays of chemicals studied for carcinogenicity. It is in this context that the below critique is given on the bioassay of (2-Chloroethyl) Trimethylammonium Chloride.

The reviewer for the report on the bioassay of (2-Chloroethyl) Trimethylammonium Chloride agreed with the conclusion that the compound was not carcinogenic under the conditions of test. After a brief description of the experimental design, he noted the lack of data on the stability and content of the compound in the diet mix and the inadequate number of matched controls. He opined that these shortcomings probably did not affect the conclusion reached. Based on the results of the bioassay, the reviewer said that (2-Chloroethyl) Trimethylammonium Chloride would not appear to pose a carcinogenic hazard to human beings.

A discussion ensued on the possible significance of the lung infiltrates observed among treated rats. A Program staff pathologist mentioned that the finding was common in aged rats, although different nomenclature may be used to report it.

It was moved that the report on the bioassay of (2-Chloroethyl) Trimethylammonium Chloride be accepted as written. The motion was seconded and approved without objection. Clearinghouse Members Present:

Arnold L. Brown (Chairman), University of Wisconsin Medical School Joseph Highland, Environmental Defense Fund William Lijinsky, Frederick Cancer Research Center Henry Pitot, University of Wisconsin Medical Center Verne A. Ray, Pfizer Medical Research Laboratory Verald K. Rowe, Dow Chemical USA Michael Shimkin, University of California at San Diego Louise Strong, University of Texas Health Sciences Center Kenneth Wilcox, Michigan State Health Department

☆U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1979-281-217/3013

<sup>\*</sup> Subsequent to this review, changes may have been made in the bioassay report either as a result of the review or other reasons. Thus, certain comments and criticisms reflected in the review may no longer be appropriate.

DHEW Publication (NIH) No. 79-1714