

National Toxicology Program U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

# **Report on Carcinogens**

Monograph on Haloacetic Acids Found as Water Disinfection By-Products: Appendices

March 2018



This Page Intentionally Left Blank



# Report on Carcinogens Monograph on Haloacetic Acids Found as Water Disinfection By-Products:

# **Appendices**

March 30, 2018

Office of the Report on Carcinogens Division of the National Toxicology Program National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences U.S. Department of Health and Human Services This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# **Table of Contents**

Appendi	ix A: Literature Search Strategy	A-1
A.1	General approach	A-1
A.2.	Standard Searches	A-3
	A.2.1 13 HAAs	A-3
	A.2.2. RoC Cancer String:	A-5
A.3.	Supplementary Searches	A-7
	A.3.1 Disinfection By-products, water disinfection, water treatment:	A-7
	A-3.2 Supplementary Bladder Cancer Search:	A-7
	A.3.4 Mechanism Special search:	A-8
Appendi	ix B: Disposition and Toxicokinetics	B-1
Appendi	ix C: Animal Studies	C-1
Appendi C.1	ix C: Animal Studies Monochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies	C-1
Appendi C.1 C.2	ix C: Animal Studies Monochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Monoiodoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies	C-1 C-1 C-7
Appendi C.1 C.2 C.3	ix C: Animal Studies Monochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Monoiodoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Dichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies	C-1 C-1 C-7 C-9
Appendi C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4	ix C: Animal Studies Monochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Monoiodoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Dichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Dibromoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies	C-1 C-1 C-7 C-9 C-38
Appendi C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5	ix C: Animal Studies Monochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Monoiodoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Dichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Dibromoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Bromochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies	C-1 C-1 C-7 C-7 C-38 C-43
Appendi C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5 C.6	ix C: Animal Studies Monochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Monoiodoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Dichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Dibromoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Bromochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Trichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies	C-1 C-1 C-7 C-7 C-9 C-38 C-43 C-47
Appendi C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5 C.6 C.7	ix C: Animal Studies Monochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Monoiodoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Dichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Dibromoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Bromochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Bromochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Bromodichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies	C-1 C-7 C-7 C-9 C-38 C-43 C-47 C-60
Appendi C.1 C.2 C.3 C.4 C.5 C.6 C.7 C.8	ix C: Animal Studies Monochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Monoiodoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Dichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Dibromoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Bromochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Trichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Bromodichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies Animal studies for haloacetic acids: Results by tumor	C-1 C-1 C-7 C-7 C-9 C-38 C-43 C-47 C-60 C-64

# List of Tables

Table A-1. Major topics searched	A-3
Table A-2. Supplementary searches	A-3
Table B-1. Pharmaco- or toxicokinetic parameters of haloacetic acids in humans	В-2
Table B-2. Toxicokinetic parameters of haloacetic acids in rats	B-4
Table B-3. Toxicokinetic parameters of haloacetic acids in male B6C3F1 mice	B-7
Table C-1a. NTP 1992 (M Mouse): Monochloroacetic acid: Gavage	C-1
Table C-1b. NTP 1992 (F Mouse): Monochloroacetic acid: Gavage	C-2
Table C-1c. NTP 1992 (M Rat): Monochloroacetic acid: Gavage	C-3
Table C-1d. NTP 1992 (F Rat): Monochloroacetic acid: Gavage	C-4
Table C-1e. DeAngelo et al. 1997 (M Rat): Monochloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-5
Table C-2a. Gwynn and Salaman 1953 (NR Mouse): Iodoacetic acid: Dermal	C-7
Table C-3a. DeAngelo et al. 1996 (M Rat [Study 1]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking wat	erC-9
Table C-3b. DeAngelo et al. 1996 (M Rat [Study 2]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking wat	erC-10
Table C-3c. Richmond et al. 1995 (M Rat): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-11
Table C-3d. DeAngelo et al. 1991 (M Mouse [Study 1]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking	water
	C-12
Table C-3e. DeAngelo et al. 1991 (M Mouse [Study 2]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking v	water
	C-13
Table C-3f. DeAngelo et al. 1999 (M Mouse): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-15
Table C-3g. Herren-Freund et al. 1987 (M Mouse): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-16

Table C-3h. Herren-Freund et al. 1987 (M Mouse): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	
(Initiation-promotion)	C-17
Table C-3i. Wood et al. 2015 (M Mouse): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-18
Table C-3j. Wood et al. 2015 (F Mouse): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-19
Table C-3k. Pereira 1996 (F Mouse [Study 1]): Dichloroacetic acid (DCA): Drinking water .	C-20
Table C-31. Pereira 1996 (F Mouse ([Study 2]): Dichloroacetic acid (DCA): Drinking water .	C-21
Table C-3m. Pereira et al. 1997 (F Mouse): Dichloroacetic acid (DCA): Drinking water (I/P)	)
	C-22
Table C-3n. Bull et al. 1990 (M Mouse): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-23
Table C-30. Daniel et al. 1992 (M Mouse): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-25
Table C-3p. NTP 2007b (M & F Mouse (Study 1)): Dichloroacetic acid: Dermal	C-26
Table C-3q. NTP 2007b (M Mouse [Study 2]): Dichloroacetic acid: Dermal	C-27
Table C-3r. NTP 2007b (M Mouse [Study 1]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-28
Table C-3s. NTP 2007b (F Mouse [Study 1]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-29
Table C-3t. NTP 2007b (M Mouse [Study 2]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-30
Table C-3u. NTP 2007b (F Mouse [Study 2]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-32
Table C-3v. NTP 2007b (M Mouse [Study 3]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-33
Table C-3w. NTP 2007b (F Mouse [Study 3]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-34
Table C-3x. NTP 2007b (M Mouse [Study 4]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-35
Table C-3y. NTP 2007b (F Mouse [Study 4]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-36
Table C-4a. NTP 2007a (M Mouse): Dibromoacetic acid: Drinking water	C-38
Table C-4b. NTP 2007a (F Mouse): Dibromoacetic acid: Drinking water	C-39
Table C-4c. NTP 2007a (M Rat): Dibromoacetic acid: Drinking water	C-40
Table C-4d. NTP 2007a (F Rat): Dibromoacetic acid: Drinking water	C-41
Table C-5a. NTP 2009 (M Rat): Bromochloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-43
Table C-5b. NTP 2009 (F Rat): Bromochloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-44
Table C-5c. NTP 2009 (M Mouse): Bromochloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-45
Table C-5d. NTP 2009 (F Mouse): Bromochloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-46
Table C-6a. DeAngelo et al. 1997 (M Rat): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-47
Table C-6b. DeAngelo 2008 (M Mouse [Study 1]): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-48
Table C-6c. DeAngelo 2008 (M Mouse [Study 2]): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-49
Table C-6d. DeAngelo 2008 (M Mouse [Study 3]): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-50
Table C-6e. Herren-Freund et al. 1987 (M Mouse): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-51
Table C-6f. Herren-Freund et al. 1987 (M Mouse): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water (I/P	)
	C-52
Table C-6g. Pereira 1996 (F Mouse [Study 1]): Trichloroacetic acid (TCA): Drinking water.	C-53
Table C-6h. Pereira 1996 (F Mouse [Study 2]): Trichloroacetic acid (TCA): Drinking water.	C-54
Table C-6i. Pereira et al 1997 (F Mouse): TCA: Drinking water (I/P)	C-55
Table C-6j. Bull et al. 1990 (M Mouse): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-56
Table C-6k. Von Tungeln et al. 2002 (M+F Mouse [Study 1]): Trichloroacetic acid: ip inject	ion
	C-57
Table C-6l. Von Tungeln et al. 2002 (M+F Mouse [Study 2]): Trichloroacetic acid: ip injection	ion
	C-58
Table C-7a. NTP 2015 (M Rat): Bromodichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-60
Table C-7b. NTP 2015 (F Rat): Bromodichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-61
Table C-7c. NTP 2015 (M Mouse): Bromodichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-62

Table C-7d. NTP 2015 (F Mouse): Bromodichloroacetic acid: Drinking water	C-63
Table C-8. Liver tumors	C-64
Table C-9. All other tumors	C-91
Table C-10. Transgenic studies	C-104
Table C-11. Initiation-promotion studies	C-110
Table D-1. In vitro and in vivo haloacetic acid-induced oxidative stress	D-2
Table D-2. Summary of genetic toxicology results of haloacetic acids in CEBs	D-3
Table D-3. Mutagenic/genotoxic potency estimates of haloacetic acids in bacteria	D-5
Table D-4. Mutagenic/genotoxic potency estimates of haloacetic acids in mammalian cell	s D-6
Table D-5. Epigenetic effects of haloacetic acids in mouse and rat tissues	D-7
Table D-6. Gene expression studies of di- and trihaloacetic acids in yeast and rodent tissue	e D-9

# List of Figures

Figure A-1. Literature search strategy and review	A-2
Figure D-1. Palmitoyl-CoA oxidation in cultured rat hepatocytes exposed to haload	cetic acids
	<b>D-</b> 11

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# **Appendix A: Literature Search Strategy**

## Introduction

The objective of the literature search approach is to identify published literature that is relevant for evaluating the potential carcinogenicity of the haloacetic acids of interest. As discussed in the Concept Document for haloacetic acids

(<u>https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/about\_ntp/bsc/2016/april/haa\_508.pdf</u>), the monograph relies on the IARC monograph and studies published since the monograph (new studies). The literature search strategy was used to identify new human cancer studies and recent reviews of mechanistic data.

#### A.1 General approach

Database searching encompasses selecting databases and search terms and conducting the searches. Searches of several citation databases are generally conducted using search terms for the individual haloacetic acids, combined with search terms for cancer and/or specific topics, including epidemiological and mechanistic studies. A critical step in the process involves consultation with an information specialist to develop relevant search terms. These terms are used to search bibliographic databases. IARC volume 101 used literature found in PubMed before December 2012, so any searches limited by date sought new information published since 2011. The body of literature for haloacetic acids of interest was searched using narrowing terms for the relevant major topics within the bibliographic databases. The results were then processed in EndNote to remove duplicates before being transferred to <u>Health Assessment Workplace</u> <u>Collaborative (HAWC)</u> for screening. Figure A-1 illustrates the overall approach to the searches and screening and the numbers of citations identified. Table A-1 highlights the general concepts searched and databases consulted. To review all the terms used, please refer to the full search strings below.



Figure A-1. Literature search strategy and review

Торіс	Search Method	Databases searched
Exposure	13 HAAs String AND occur*[tiab]	PubMed
Human Studies	13 HAAs String <b>AND</b> ORoC Epidemiological (Human) Studies Search <b>AND</b> ORoC Cancer Search	PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science
Animal Studies	13 HAAs String <b>AND</b> Experimental Animals Studies Search <b>AND</b> ORoC Cancer Search	PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science
Mechanism and Genetox	13 HAAs String AND ORoC Characteristics of Carcinogens Search	PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science

#### Table A-1. Major topics searched

In addition to the standard search concepts described above, three special targeted searches were run to seek additional detail for the Human Cancer and Mechanistic sections of the monograph. To confirm full coverage on bladder cancer a targeted search was run for that endpoint alone. The primary use for Haloacetic Acids in the treatment and disinfection of water suggests that and research conducted on the subject of water treatment and disinfection methods in general may contain data of interest to the evaluation. A search was conducted to collect human studies referring to the general methods rather than the specific HAAs. Finally, in an effort to categorize the HAAs for possible read-across efforts and specific search was conducted on metabolic and mechanistic concepts thought to be common between some of the HAAs. Table A-2 highlights the general concepts searched and databases consulted for these special searches. To review all the terms used, please refer to the full search strings below in the section <u>Supplementary</u> Searches.

Торіс	Search Method	Databases searched
Bladder Cancer	Supplementary Bladder Cancer Search (See <u>detailed</u> <u>description below</u> )	PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science
Human Studies on water treatment or disinfection	DBPs OR disinfection OR water treatment OR treated water <b>AND</b> ORoC Cancer Search (See <u>detailed description</u> <u>below</u> )	PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science
Read Across	13 HAAs String AND terms for select metabolic concepts (see detailed description below for <u>Mechanism Special</u> <u>Search</u> )	PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science

#### Table A-2. Supplementary searches

#### A.2. Standard Searches

#### A.2.1 13 HAAs

A search of primary and universal terms for the 13 haloacetic acids chemicals and cancer. Limiting terms have been applied to reduce the number of irrelevant results returned that are associated with chemical peel treatments, wart removal methods, and trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitation. This search was used in most cases to characterize the haloacetic acids and was combined with narrowing terms for cancer, animal studies, human epidemiology studies, mechanistic literature (characteristics of carcinogens) and more.

#### PubMed:

((Haloacetic-acid\*[tiab]) OR Dihaloacetic-acid\*[tiab]) OR Trihaloacetic-acid\*[tiab] OR ("dichloroacetic acid" [nm] OR 79-43-6 [rn] OR dichloroacetate [tiab] OR "dichloroacetic acid"[tiab] OR "Bichloracetic acid"[tiab] OR "Dichloracetic acid"[tiab] OR "Dichlorethanoic acid"[tiab] OR "Dichloroethanoic acid"[tiab]) OR ("Trichloroacetic Acid"[mh] OR 76-03-9[rn] OR "Trichloroacetic Acid"[tiab] OR Trichloroacetate[tiab] OR "Trichloracetic acid"[tiab]) OR ("Dibromoacetic acid"[nm] OR 631-64-1[rn] OR dibromoacetate[tiab] OR "Dibromoacetic acid"[tiab]) OR ("tribromoacetic acid"[nm] OR 75-96-7[rn] OR tribromoacetate[tiab] OR "tribromoacetic acid"[tiab]) OR ("Dichlorobromoacetic acid"[tiab] OR Bromodichloroacetate[tiab] OR "bromodichloroacetic acid"[nm] OR bromodichloroaceticacid[tiab] OR 71133-14-7[rn]) OR ("Dibromochloroacetic acid"[tiab] OR 5278-95-5[rn] OR bromochloroacetate[tiab]) OR ("bromochloroacetic acid"[nm] OR 5589-96-8[rn] OR "bromochloroacetic acid"[tiab] OR bromochloroacetate[tiab] OR "Chlorobromoacetic acid"[tiab]) OR (Diiodoacetic-acid[tiab] OR "598-89-0"[tiab] OR Diiodoacetate[tiab]) OR (71815-43-5[rn] OR Bromoiodoacetic-acid[tiab] OR Bromoiodoacetate[tiab]) OR (Chloroiodoacetic-acid[tiab] OR "Chloro(iodo)acetic acid"[tiab] OR 53715-09-6[rn] OR "2-Chloro-2-iodoacetic acid"[tiab] OR "Acetic acid, 2-chloro-2-iodo-"[tiab] OR "chloro-iodoacetic acid"[tiab] OR Chloroiodoacetate[tiab]) OR ("Monochloroacetic acid"[tiab] OR "Monochloracetic acid"[tiab] OR "79-11-8"[rn] OR Chloroacetic-acid[tiab] OR "Chloroacetic acid"[nm] OR "Chloracetic acid"[tiab]) OR (Bromoacetic-acid[tiab] OR Bromoacetate[tiab] OR Monobromoacetic-acid[tiab] OR 79-08-3[rn]) OR ("Iodoacetic acid"[mh] OR 64-69-7[rn] OR Monoiodoacetic-acid[tiab] OR Monoiodoacetate[tiab] OR Monoiodine-acetate[tiab] OR Iodoacetate[tiab] OR Iodoacetic-acid[tiab]) NOT (trichloro-acetic-acid-peel\*[tiab] OR trichloroacetic-acid-peel\*[tiab] OR trichloracetic-acid-peel\*[tiab] OR Trichloroacetic-Acidsolub\* OR Trichloroacetic-Acid-insolub\* OR Trichloroacetic-Acid-precipit\* OR TCA-solub\* OR TCA-insolub\* OR TCA-precipit\* OR anogenital-wart\*[tiab] OR genital-wart\*[tiab] OR "Condylomata Acuminata" [Mh] OR "Human papillomavirus" [tiab] OR "Human papillomavirus 31"[mh] OR "Sexually transmitted diseas\*"[tiab])

#### Web of Science:

(TS=("Haloacetic acid\*" OR "Dihaloacetic acid\*" OR "Trihaloacetic acid\*")) OR (TS=("dichloroacetic acid" OR "79-43-6" OR dichloroacetate OR "dichloroacetic acid" OR "Bichloracetic acid" OR "Dichloracetic acid" OR "Dichlorethanoic acid" OR "Dichloroethanoic acid" OR "76-03-9" OR "Trichloroacetic Acid" OR Trichloroacetate OR "Trichloracetic acid" OR "Dibromoacetic acid" OR "631-64-1" OR dibromoacetate OR "Dibromoacetic acid" OR "tribromoacetic acid" OR "75-96-7" OR tribromoacetate OR "tribromoacetic acid" OR "Dichlorobromoacetic acid" OR Bromodichloroacetate OR "bromodichloroacetic acid" OR "bromodichloroacetic-acid" OR "71133-14-7" OR "Dibromochloroacetic acid" OR "5278-95-5" OR bromochloroacetate OR "bromochloroacetic acid" OR "598-89-0" OR Diiodoacetate OR "T1815-43-5" OR "Bromoiodoacetic acid" OR Bromoiodoacetate OR "Chloroiodoacetic acid" OR "Chloro(iodo)acetic acid" OR "53715-09-6" OR "2-Chloro-2-iodoacetic acid" OR "chloro-iodoacetic acid" OR Chloroiodoacetate)) OR (TS=("Monochloroacetic acid" OR "Monochloracetic acid" OR "79-11-8" OR "Chloroacetic acid" OR "Chloroacetic acid" OR "Chloracetic acid" OR "Iodoacetic acid" OR "64-69-7" OR "Monoiodoacetic acid" OR Monoiodoacetate OR "Monoiodine acetate" OR Iodoacetate OR "Bromoacetic acid" OR Bromoacetate OR "Monobromoacetic acid" OR "79-08-3")) NOT (TS=("trichloro-acetic acid peel\*" OR "trichloroacetic acid peel\*" OR "trichloroacetic acid peel\*" OR "Trichloroacetic-Acid solub\*" OR "Trichloroacetic Acid insolub\*" OR "Trichloroacetic Acid precipit\*" OR "TCA solub\*" OR "TCA insolub\*" OR "TCA precipit\*" OR "anogenital wart\*" OR "genital wart\*" OR "Condylomata Acuminata" OR "Human papillomavirus\*" OR "Sexually transmitted diseas\*"))

#### Scopus:

((TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Haloacetic acid\*" OR "Dihaloacetic acid\*" OR "Trihaloacetic acid\*")) OR (TITLE-ABS-KEY ("dichloroacetic acid" OR "79-43-6" OR dichloroacetate OR "dichloroacetic acid" OR "Bichloracetic acid" OR "Dichloracetic acid" OR "Dichlorethanoic acid" OR "Dichloroethanoic acid" OR "76-03-9" OR "Trichloroacetic Acid" OR trichloroacetate OR "Trichloracetic acid" OR "Dibromoacetic acid" OR "631-64-1" OR dibromoacetate OR "Dibromoacetic acid" OR "tribromoacetic acid" OR "75-96-7" OR tribromoacetate OR "tribromoacetic acid" OR "Dichlorobromoacetic acid" OR bromodichloroacetate OR "bromodichloroacetic acid" OR bromodichloroacetic-acid OR "71133-14-7" OR "Dibromochloroacetic acid" OR "5278-95-5" OR bromochloroacetate OR "bromochloroacetic acid" OR "5589-96-8" OR "bromochloroacetic acid" OR bromochloroacetate OR "Chlorobromoacetic acid")) OR (TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Diiodoacetic acid" OR "598-89-0" OR diiodoacetate OR "594-68-3" OR "71815-43-5" OR "Bromoiodoacetic acid" OR bromoiodoacetate OR "Chloroiodoacetic acid" OR "Chloro(iodo)acetic acid" OR "53715-09-6" OR "2-Chloro-2-iodoacetic acid" OR "chloro-iodoacetic acid" OR chloroiodoacetate)) OR (TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Monochloroacetic acid" OR "Monochloracetic acid" OR "79-11-8" OR "Chloroacetic acid" OR "Chloroacetic acid" OR "Chloracetic acid" OR "Iodoacetic acid" OR "64-69-7" OR "Monoiodoacetic acid" OR monoiodoacetate OR "Monoiodine acetate" OR iodoacetate OR "Bromoacetic acid" OR bromoacetate OR "Monobromoacetic acid" OR "79-08-3"))) AND NOT (TITLE-ABS-KEY("trichloro-acetic acid peel\*" OR "trichloroacetic acid peel\*" OR "trichloracetic acid peel\*" OR "Trichloroacetic-Acid solub\*" OR "Trichloroacetic Acid insolub\*" OR "Trichloroacetic Acid precipit\*" OR "TCA solub\*" OR "TCA insolub\*" OR "TCA precipit\*" OR "anogenital wart\*" OR "genital wart\*" OR "Condylomata Acuminata" OR "Human papillomavirus\*" OR "Sexually transmitted diseas\*"))

#### A.2.2. RoC Cancer String:

The PubMed String is the same as described in the Handbook Appendix (<u>https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/roc/handbook/rochandbookappendix\_508.pdf</u>), however additional options for wildcard use and truncation have allowed the same string to be shortened for WOS and Scopus. The altered strings are presented here.

#### Web of Science:

(TS=(\*broma OR \*bromas OR \*doma OR \*domas OR \*droma OR \*dromas OR \*eoma OR \*eomas OR \*goma OR \*gomas OR \*ioma OR \*iomas OR \*loma OR \*lomas OR \*moma OR \*momas OR \*noma OR \*nomas OR \*omatosis OR \*phoma OR \*phomas OR \*poma OR \*pomas OR \*phroma OR \*phromas OR \*sarcoma OR \*sarcomas OR \*scoma OR \*scomas OR \*thecoma OR \*thecomas OR \*thoma OR \*thomas OR \*toma OR \*tomas OR \*uroma OR \*uromas OR \*xoma OR \*xomas OR \*yoma OR \*yomas OR \*kaemia OR \*kaemia OR \*kemia OR \*kemia OR \*plakia OR \*plakias )) OR (TS=("cancer" OR "cancerous" OR "cancers" OR "carcinogen" OR "carcinogenesis" OR "carcinogenic" OR "carcinogens" OR "carcinoid" OR "carcinomatosis" OR "cocarcinogenesis" OR "metaplasia" OR "anaplasia" OR "neoplasia" OR "neoplasia" OR "neoplasm" OR "neoplasms" OR "neoplastic" OR "tumor" OR "tumorgenesis" OR "tumorgenic" OR "tumorigenesis" OR "tumorigenic" OR "tumorogenesis" OR "tumorogenic" OR "tumors" OR "tumour" OR "tumours" OR "nonhodgkin" OR "nonhodgkins" OR "Hodgkin" OR "hodgkins")) OR (TS=("acrochordon" OR "acrochordons" OR "acrospiroma" OR "acrospiromas" OR "adenomatous" OR "adenosis" OR "Buschke-Lowenstein" OR "chloroma" OR "chloromas" OR "CIN" OR "CLL" OR "dermoid" OR "dysmyelopoiesis" OR "epidermoid" OR "essential thrombocythemia" OR "exostosis" OR "fibroid" OR "fibroids" OR "lymphoproliferation" OR "lymphoproliferations" OR "lymphoproliferative" OR "macroglobulinemia" OR "macroglobulinemias" OR "malignancies" OR "malignancy" OR "malignant" OR "mastocytosis" OR "meigs syndrome" OR "micrometastases" OR "micrometastasis" OR "mycosis fungoides" OR "myelofibrosis" OR "myeloproliferation" OR "myeloproliferations" OR "myeloproliferative" OR "NSCLC" OR "papillomata" OR "papillomatosis" OR "pilomatricoma" OR "pilomatricomas" OR "polyposis" OR "poroma" OR "poromas" OR "premalignant" OR "preneoplastic" OR "seminomatous" OR "sezary syndrome" OR "struma ovarii" OR "waldenstrom" OR "waldenstroms" OR "oncogene fusion" OR "5q syndrome" OR "aberrant crypt foci" OR "Aberrant crypt focus" OR "carney complex" OR "denys drash" OR "leukostasis" OR "zollinger ellison")) OR ((TS=("sentinel lymph node" NOT "biopsy")) OR (TS=("ASCO" NOT "fungi")) OR (TS=("WAGR" AND "syndrome")))

#### Scopus:

(TITLE-ABS (\*broma OR \*bromas OR \*doma OR \*domas OR \*droma OR \*dromas OR \*eoma OR \*eomas OR \*goma OR \*gomas OR \*ioma OR \*iomas OR \*loma OR \*lomas OR \*moma OR \*momas OR \*noma OR \*nomas OR \*omatosis OR \*phoma OR \*phomas OR \*poma OR \*pomas OR \*phroma OR \*phromas OR \*sarcoma OR \*sarcomas OR \*scomas OR \*scomas OR \*thecoma OR \*thecomas OR \*thoma OR \*thomas OR \*tomas OR \*uroma OR \*uromas OR \*thecomas OR \*thoma OR \*thomas OR \*toma OR \*tomas OR \*uroma OR \*uromas OR \*xoma OR \*xomas OR \*yoma OR \*yomas OR \*kaemia OR \*kaemia OR \*uromas OR \*carcinogen" OR \*yoma OR \*yomas OR \*kaemia OR \*kaemia OR \*kemia OR \*kemia OR \*plakia OR \*plakias )) OR (TITLE-ABS ("cancer" OR "cancerous" OR "cancers" OR "carcinogen" OR "carcinogenesis" OR "carcinogenic" OR "carcinogens" OR "neoplasia" OR "neoplasia" OR "neoplasm" OR "neoplasms" OR "neoplastic" OR "tumor "tumorgenesis" OR "tumorgenic" OR "tumorigenesis" OR "tumorigenic" OR "tumorogenesis" OR "tumorogenic" OR "tumors" OR "tumorigenesis" OR "neoplastic" OR "tumorogenesis" OR "tumorogenic" OR "tumors" OR "tumorigenesis" OR "nonhodgkin" OR "nonhodgkins" OR "non-hodgkin" OR "non-hodgkins" OR "Hodgkin" OR "hodgkins")) OR (TITLE-ABS("acrochordon" OR "acrochordons" OR "acrospiroma" OR "acrospiromas" OR "adenomatous" OR "adenosis" OR "Buschke-Lowenstein" OR "chloroma" OR "chloromas" OR "CIN" OR "CLL" OR "dermoid" OR "dysmyelopoiesis" OR "epidermoid" OR "essential thrombocythemia" OR "exostosis" OR "fibroid" OR "fibroids" OR "lymphoproliferation" OR "lymphoproliferations" OR "lymphoproliferative" OR "macroglobulinemia" OR "macroglobulinemias" OR "malignancies" OR "malignancy" OR "malignant" OR "mastocytosis" OR "meigs syndrome" OR "micrometastases" OR "micrometastasis" OR "mycosis fungoides" OR "myelofibrosis" OR "myeloproliferation" OR "myeloproliferations" OR "myeloproliferative" OR "NSCLC" OR "papillomata" OR "papillomatosis" OR pilomatricoma OR pilomatricomas OR polyposis OR poroma OR poromas OR "premalignant" OR "preneoplastic" OR "seminomatous" OR "sezary syndrome" OR "struma ovarii" OR "waldenstrom" OR "Aberrant crypt focus" OR "carney complex" OR "denys drash" OR leukostasis OR "zollinger ellison")) OR ((TITLE-ABS ("ASCO" AND NOT "fungi")) OR (TITLE-ABS ("WAGR" AND "syndrome"))))

#### A.3. Supplementary Searches

#### A.3.1 Disinfection by-products, water disinfection, water treatment:

This search was used to run supplemental cancer searches to capture references for studies evaluating general categories of chemicals that could include the selected HAAs. The search was limited to the years 2011 and forward because the IARC evaluation was used as a source for earlier studies of this type.

#### PubMed:

(Disinfection-ByProduct\*[tiab] OR Disinfection-By-Product\*[tiab]) OR water-disinfect\*[tiab] OR disinfected-water[tiab]) OR ("treated water\*"[tiab] OR "water treatment\*"[tiab])

### Web of Science:

((TS=("Disinfection ByProduct\*" OR "Disinfection By-Product\*" )) OR (TS=(water n/2 disinfect\*))) OR (TS= ("treated water" OR (water NEAR/2 treatment\* )))

### Scopus:

(((TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Disinfection ByProduct\*" OR "Disinfection By-Product\*")) OR (TITLE-ABS-KEY (water W/2 disinfect\*))) OR ((TITLE-ABS-KEY (water W/2 treatment\* OR "treated water")))

### A.3.2 Supplementary bladder cancer search:

While bladder cancer is represented in the RoC Cancer search string, a supplemental search was conducted to collect all bladder cancer literature, in case there were studies that discussed the relevant chemicals in the body of the paper but not in the title abstracts or keyword.

### PubMed:

As the most productive source of medical literature, a broader search was conducted in PubMed than the other two databases. Therefore the search was not limited by any haloacetic acid terms. However, the search was limited to the years 2011 and forward because the IARC evaluation

was used as a source for earlier studies of this type. The other two databases included too many non-medical "bladder" concepts so the HAA terms were needed to focus the search.

(bladder[tiab] OR "Urinary Bladder"[Mh])

AND

**RoC Cancer String** 

## Web of Science:

(TS=(bladder))

# AND

13 HAAs Search (as described above)

## Scopus:

(TITLE-ABS-KEY (bladder\*))

# AND

13 AAs Search (as described <u>above</u>)

## A.3.4 Mechanism special search:

A search of select terms focused on mechanistic and metabolic concepts to help identify viable justifications for grouping chemicals for read-across. The specific concepts search were pyruvate dehydrogenase (excluding <u>dichloroacetic acid terms</u>), Glutathione Transferase Zeta, and cell transformation. These strings were combined with the 13 HAAs string.

# PubMed:

((GAPDH[tiab] OR Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate-Dehydrogenas\*[tiab] OR "Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenases"[mh]) OR (tumorigenic-transformation\*[tiab] OR Celltransformation\*[tiab] OR "Cell Transformation, Neoplastic"[Mh]) OR ("Glutathione Transferase\*"[tiab] OR "Glutathione Transferase"[mh] OR glutathione-S-transferase\*[tiab] OR GST-zeta[tiab] OR GSTz[tiab]))

# Web of Science:

((TS=("Glyceraldehyde 3 Phosphate Dehydrogenas\*\*" OR "GAPDH")) OR (TS=("tumorigenic transformation\*" OR "Cell transformation\*")) OR (TS=("Glutathione Transferase\*" OR "glutathione-S-transferase\*" OR "GST zeta" OR gstz)))

### Scopus:

((TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Glyceraldehyde 3 Phosphate Dehydrogenas\*\*" OR "GAPDH")) OR (TITLE-ABS-KEY ("tumorigenic transformation\*" OR "Cell transformation\*")) OR (TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Glutathione Transferase\*" OR "glutathione-S-transferase\*" OR "GST zeta" OR gstz)))

# **Appendix B: Disposition and Toxicokinetics**

Appendix B contains information supplementing that provided in Section 3 for disposition and toxicokinetics. The three tables below contain information for pharmacokinetic or toxicokinetic parameters of haloacetic acids in humans (Table B-1), toxicokinetic parameters of haloacetic acids in rats (Table B-2), and toxicokinetic parameters of haloacetic acids in B6C3F1 mice (Table B-3).

HAA	Dose, mg/kg (route)	Vd (mL/kg)	AUC (mg/L•h)	AUCPlasmaClearanceComments(mg/L•h)T½(mL/min•kg)Comments		Reference	
DCA	10 (i.v.)	337	14.9	0.34	11.31	Two adults/dose (sex not specified). Data are	Lukas et al. 1980
	20 (i.v.)	190	76.7	0.51	4.55	mean values for two subjects.	
DCA	10 × 5 (i.v.)	260	increased	1.06	1393.8 mg/kg/h	7 men + 4 women, 5 doses administered at 2-h	Curry et al. 1985
	25 × 5 (i.v.)	270	with dose	1.84	1089.1 mg/kg/h	intervals; 3 subjects at low dose, 5 subjects at	
	50 × 5 (i.v.)	340		3.14	881.7 mg/kg/h	mid dose (including one from low dose group)	
		290		3.50	950.2 mg/kg/h	and 4 subjects at high dose. Vd, T <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> and	
		330		6.23	723.8 mg/kg/h	elimination rate constants shown after each	
						dose interval; however, the dose was not specified.	
DCA	50 (i.v.) +	19.9 L	609	2.65	102.1 mL/min	8 men + 4 women; one i.v. and 2 oral doses	Curry et al. 1991
	$50 \times 2$ (oral)					given 4 days apart (randomized)	
DCA	46 (i.v.)	[750]	261	2.3	[5.33]	13 adults (sex not specified) with severe	Krishna et al.
						malaria)	1994
DCA.	50 (i.v.)	323	378	1.8	2.68	4 boys + 4 girls with lactic acidosis from malaria	Krishna <i>et al</i> . 1995
DCA	46 (i.v.)	440	NR	3.4	[2.17]	8  men + 3  women with severe malaria given	Krishna <i>et al</i> .
	$46 \times 2$ (i.v.)			4.4		one dose and 9 subjects given a 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose 12 h	1996
						after 1 <sup>st</sup> . Vd and Cl data after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose were	
						reported as similar to those after the 1 <sup>st</sup> dose.	
DCA	$40 \times 2$ (i.v.)	618	NR	[7.58]	1.0 (paleohepatic)	33 adults (sex not specified) with end-stage	Shangraw and
		618		[4.65]	1.7 (neohepatic)	liver disease and liver transplant. 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose 4 h	Fisher 1996
						after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose; 2-compartment model. No	
						clearance occurred during the anhepatic phase.	
DCA	35 (i.v.)					5 men + 1 women (controls)	Shangraw and
	controls	413	NR	[3.35]	2.14	5  men + 2  women (cirrhosis)	Fisher 1999
	cirrhosis	413		[6.78]	0.78	2-compartment model	
DCA	50 × 2 (i.v.)	0.51 L <sup>a</sup>	1233 <sup>a</sup>	5.94 <sup>a</sup>	[1.02] <sup>a</sup>	66 men + 45 women with lactic acidosis. $2^{nd}$	Henderson et al.
		0.27 L <sup>b</sup>	1863 <sup>b</sup>	18.15 <sup>b</sup>	[0.69] <sup>b</sup>	dose 2h after 1st. Pharmacokinetics in acidosis	1997
		0.27 L <sup>c</sup>	NR	49.37°	[0.33] <sup>c</sup>	patients were complex and differed from those	
						in healthy volunteers. Data for most patients	
						fitted a one or two-compartment model but a	
						few fitted a three-compartment model or none	

Table B-1. Pharmaco- or toxicokinetic parameters of haloacetic acids in humans

HAA	Dose, mg/kg (route)	Vd (mL/kg)	AUC (mg/L∙h)	Plasma T½ (h)	Clearance (mL/min•kg)	Comments	Reference
						of these. Plasma drug clearance tended to decrease as the number of compartments required to fit the data increased.	
DCA	(50 + 50) × 2 (i.v.)	0.29 L <sup>a</sup> 0.28 L <sup>b</sup>	1954 <sup>a</sup> 4306 <sup>b</sup>	8.77 <sup>a</sup> 68.63 <sup>b</sup>	[0.42] <sup>a</sup> [0.28] <sup>b</sup>	15 of the 111 patients mentioned above (sex not specified) received a 2 <sup>nd</sup> treatment. No significant differences compared to patients that received a single treatment but drug clearance tended to decrease with increase in number of drug treatments.	Henderson <i>et al.</i> 1997
DCA	$\frac{\text{Basal study}}{2 \text{ (oral)} + 0.3}$ (i.v) men women $\frac{\text{Chronic study}}{(0.02 \times 14)}$	374 377	212, 755 <sup>d</sup> 243, 935 <sup>d</sup>	0.15 0.16	[29.2] [26.8]	8 men + 8 women: For basal study all subjects consumed HAA-free bottled water for 14 days then given unlabeled DCA in 500 mL bottled water and 5 minutes later given i.v. <sup>13</sup> C-labeled DCA; chronic study used same subjects and began 1 day after the 1 <sup>st</sup> study. Subjects subsequently ingested low concentrations of DCA in drinking water for 14 days and on day	Schultz and Shangraw 2006
	(oral) + 2 (oral) + 0.3 (i.v) men women	377 227	281, 1123 <sup>d</sup> 368, 1453 <sup>d</sup>	0.16 0.17	[22.0] [16.0]	15 repeated protocol of 1 <sup>st</sup> study. Only women were significantly affected by chronic DCA exposure compared to basal study with significantly increased AUC and reduced clearance and Vd	
TCA	3 (oral)	NR	NR	50.6	NR	3 men administered a single oral dose of TCA	Müller <i>et al.</i> 1974
TCA	2.3–73 μg/day (drinking water)	NR	NR	55.2-88.1 (2.3-3.7 d)	NR	8 men + 2 women (elimination T <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> data based on 3 subjects). Monitored TCA levels in tap water and urine during a 12-day study period. Dose range reflects mean intake over 12 days.	Froese <i>et al.</i> 2002

Data in [brackets] indicate unit conversion of data reported in the study.

HAA = haloacetic acid, Vd = apparent volume of distribution, AUC = area under the concentration-time curve, DCA = dichloroacetic acid, TCA = trichloroacetic acid, NR = not reported.

<sup>a</sup>One-compartment model.

<sup>b</sup>Two-compartment model.

<sup>c</sup>Three-compartment model.

<sup>d</sup>AUC values provided for i.v. dose and oral dose, respectively.

Haloacetic acid	Dose/route (μmol/kg)	AUC (μM∙h)	Vd <sub>ss</sub> (mL/kg)	Total body Cl (mL/kg/h)	Renal Cl (mL/kg/h)	Non-renal Cl (mL/kg/h)	t½ (h)	References
Trichloro-								Schultz et al.
Single	500 i.v.	$5406 \pm 144$	$782 \pm 117$	$93 \pm 3.0$	$42.1\pm9.9$	$50.4 \pm 11$	$8.0 \pm 2.4$	1999
Single	[610] oral	$10,000 \pm 600$	485	58	NR	NR	5.8	Larson and Bull
Single	[120] oral	$2530\pm70$	365	36	NR	NR	7.0	1992
Mixture <sup>a</sup>	25 i.v.	$1561 \pm 85$	$287 \pm 23$	$17.1 \pm 1.4$	NR	NR	12.03 ±	Saghir and
GST-ζ-depleted <sup>a</sup>	25 i.v.	$1289 \pm 78$	$200 \pm 10$	$19.7 \pm 1.2$	NR	NR	0.36	Schultz 2005
							$7.49\pm0.15$	
Bromodichloro-								Schultz et al.
Single	500 i.v.	$1856\pm579$	$730\pm138$	$286\pm82$	$89 \pm 2.7$	$197\pm52$	$1.85\pm0.30$	1999
Single	100 i.v.	NR	$573 \pm 179$	$138\pm41$	NR	NR	$3.0\pm0.40$	Saghir and
Single	25 i.v.	NR	$328\pm 62$	$279\pm53.5$	NR	NR	$1.3\pm0.25$	Schultz 2005
Mixture <sup>a</sup>	25 i.v.	291 ± 31	$368 \pm 6.0$	$63.9 \pm 13.0$	NR	NR	$3.49\pm0.14$	
GST-ζ-depleted <sup>a</sup>	25 i.v.	$306 \pm 27$	$308\pm21$	$83.9\pm7.0$	NR	NR	$2.33\pm0.10$	
Chlorodibromo-								Schultz et al.
Single	500 i.v.	$1107 \pm 331$	$636\pm268$	$486 \pm 153$	$182 \pm 58$	$304 \pm 137$	$1.26\pm0.27$	1999
Single	25 i.v.	NR	$264 \pm 45$	$128\pm13$	NR	NR	$1.40\pm0.25$	Saghir and
Mixture <sup>b</sup>	25 i.v.	$246 \pm 22$	$247 \pm 25$	$105\pm8$	NR	NR	$1.55 \pm 0.21$	Schultz 2005
GST-ζ-depleted <sup>b</sup>	25 i.v.	$199 \pm 10$	$281 \pm 12$	$127 \pm 6$	NR	NR	$1.62\pm0.13$	
Tribromo-								Schultz <i>et al</i> .
Single	500 i.v.	$676 \pm 110$	$449 \pm 175$	$754 \pm 116$	$171 \pm 23$	$582 \pm 126$	$0.58 \pm 0.18$	1999
Mixture <sup>b</sup>	25 i.v.	$121 \pm 36$	$278 \pm 51$	$291 \pm 77$	NR	NR	$0.76 \pm 0.03$	Saghir and
GST-ζ-depleted <sup>b</sup>	25 i.v.	$112 \pm 5$	$237 \pm 21$	225 ± 9	NR	NR	$0.85 \pm 0.11$	Schultz 2005

#### Table B-2. Toxicokinetic parameters of haloacetic acids in rats

Haloacetic acid	Dose/route (μmol/kg)	AUC (μM∙h)	Vd <sub>ss</sub> (mL/kg)	Total body Cl (mL/kg/h)	Renal Cl (mL/kg/h)	Non-renal Cl (mL/kg/h)	t½ (h)	References
Dichloro-								Schultz et al. 1999
Single	500 i.v.	$2092 \pm 1821$	$618\pm318$	$267\pm104$	$2.9\pm0.5$	$264\pm103$	$2.4\pm0.80$	Larson and Bull
Single	[770] oral	$750\pm40$	1000	820	NR	NR	0.9	1992
Single	[160] oral	$13 \pm 4$	2400	2900	NR	NR	0.9	Gonzalez-Leon et
Single	[770] i.v.	$[3360\pm1810]$	$618\pm319$	$267\pm105$	$2.9\pm0.5$	$265\pm103$	$2.4\pm0.15$	al. 1997
GST-ζ-depleted	[770] i.v.	$[18,700 \pm 3100]$	$582\pm146$	$42.7\pm8.2$	$8.9\pm3.3$	$33.8\pm4.9$	$10.8\pm2.0$	Saghir and Schultz
Single	[160] i.v.	$[110 \pm 6.6]$	$223\pm111$	$1571 \pm 97$	NR	NR	$0.15\pm0.01$	2002 Saahir and Sahalta
GST-ζ-depleted	[160] i.v.	$[1060 \pm 26]$	$513 \pm 18.5$	$168 \pm 22$	NR	NR	$1.81\pm0.09$	2005
Single	[40] i.v.	$[9.6\pm0.4]$	$415\pm47.2$	$5265\pm636$	NR	NR	$0.08 \pm$	2005
GST-ζ-depleted	[40] i.v.	$[64 \pm 4]$	$392\pm31.4$	$614\pm39$	NR	NR	0.003	
Single	[8] i.v.	$[1.2\pm0.08]$	$508\pm68.6$	$6554\pm356$	NR	NR	$0.50\pm0.03$	
GST-ζ-depleted	[8] i.v.	$[4.7\pm0.16]$	$261 \pm 13.6$	$1640\pm57$	NR	NR	0.07 ±	
Mixture <sup>b</sup>	25 i.v.	$8.8\pm0.09$	$405\pm82.0$	$2980\pm332$	NR	NR	0.001	
GST-ζ-depleted <sup>b</sup>	25 i.v.	$145\pm33$	$668 \pm 128$	$199\pm42$	NR	NR	$0.20 \pm 0.05$	
							$0.15 \pm 0.04$	
							$2.30 \pm 0.29$	
Dichloro-								James et al. 1998
Young (3-4 mo)	[400] oral	$[91 \pm 13]$	$680 \pm 70$	NR	NR	NR	$0.11\pm0.02$	
Young (3-4 mo)	$[400 \times 2]$	$[1,870 \pm 580]$	$390 \pm 140$				$5.4 \pm 0.76$	
Aged (16 mo)	oral	[11,700 ±	$140 \pm 20$				$9.7\pm0.97$	
	$[400 \times 2]$ oral	1920]						
Bromochloro-								Schultz et al.
Single	500 i.v.	$576\pm286$	$881\pm373$	$1,037 \pm 453$	$36.9\pm20.8$	$1014\pm443$	$3.93 \pm 1.5$	1999
Single <sup>c</sup>	[58] i.v.	[16.4; 19.8]	NR	[3510; 2920]	NR	NR	0.10; 0.09	NTP 2009
Single <sup>c</sup>	[58] (oral)	[2.7; 2.8]	NR	[21,240; 20,640]	NR	NR	0.25; 0.21	
Single <sup>c</sup>	[230] (oral)	[94; 137]	NR	[2460; 1670]	NR	NR	0.62; 0.53	
Single <sup>c</sup>	[580] (oral)	[450; 678]	NR	[1280; 852]	NR	NR	0.71; 0.67	
GST-ζ-depleted <sup>c</sup>	[16] (oral)	[26; 2.8]	NR	[6420; 5720]	NR	NR	0.05; 0.07	
GST-ζ-depleted <sup>c</sup>	[160] (oral)	[117; 133]	NR	[1420; 1190]	NR	NR	0.16; 0.11	
GST-ζ-depleted <sup>c</sup>	[320] (oral)	[356; 375]	NR	[940; 850]	NR	NR	0.08; 0.10	

Haloacetic acid	Dose/route (μmol/kg)	AUC (μM∙h)	Vd <sub>ss</sub> (mL/kg)	Total body Cl (mL/kg/h)	Renal Cl (mL/kg/h)	Non-renal Cl (mL/kg/h)	t½ (h)	References
(-)Bromochloro-								Schultz and
Single	520 i.v.	$74.8 \pm 9.0$	$380 \pm 41$	$3712 \pm 140$	$31.4 \pm 9.5$	$3693 \pm 155$	$0.07\pm0.01$	Sylvester 2001
GST-ζ-depleted	520 i.v.	$584 \pm 135$	$417 \pm 139$	$484 \pm 142$	$17.4\pm10.8$	$468 \pm 143$	$0.40\pm0.02$	Saghir and
Mixture <sup>a</sup>	25 i.v.	$1.7 \pm 0.1$	$680\pm103$	$7660 \pm 478$	NR	NR	$0.06\pm0.01$	Schultz 2005
GST-ζ-depleted <sup>a</sup>	25 i.v.	$7.3 \pm 1.1$	$361 \pm 53$	$1997 \pm 42$	NR	NR	$0.19\pm0.03$	NTP 2009
Single <sup>c</sup>	[58] i.v.	[7.6; 4.7]	NR	[7560; 12,400]	NR	NR	NR	
(+)Bromochloro-								Schultz and
Single	520 i.v.	$234 \pm 25$	$587 \pm 104$	$1,248 \pm 132$	$13.2 \pm 3.0$	$1,236 \pm 127$	$0.40\pm0.09$	Sylvester 2001
GST-ζ-depleted	520 i.v.	$487 \pm 119$	$467 \pm 168$	$591 \pm 136$	$13.4 \pm 7.4$	$580 \pm 139$	$0.44\pm0.04$	Saghir and
Mixture <sup>a</sup>	25 i.v.	$7.2\pm0.6$	$393 \pm 34$	$1,773 \pm 184$	NR	NR	$0.19\pm0.01$	Schultz 2005
GST-ζ-depleted <sup>a</sup>	25 i.v.	$28.9\pm3.5$	$246 \pm 25$	$466\pm56$	NR	NR	$0.40\pm0.02$	NTP 2009
Single <sup>c</sup>	[58] i.v.	[13.4; 10.4]	NR	[4310; 5570]	NR	NR	NR	
Dibromo-								Schultz et al.
Single	500 i.v.	$1,120 \pm 362$	$400 \pm 112$	$491 \pm 116$	$12.9 \pm 4.0$	$490 \pm 137$	$0.72\pm0.12$	1999
Mixture <sup>a</sup>	25 i.v.	$2.4\pm0.1$	$987 \pm 142$	$10,540 \pm 312$	NR	NR	$0.08\pm0.01$	Saghir and
GST-ζ-depleted <sup>a</sup>	25 i.v.	$13.2 \pm 2.5$	$599 \pm 68$	$2{,}390\pm71$	NR	NR	$0.22\pm0.02$	Schultz 2005
Single <sup>c</sup>	[115] oral	[36; 50]	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.8; 0.87	NTP 2007a
Single <sup>c</sup>	[230] oral	[95; 121]	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.95; 0.77	
Single <sup>c</sup>	[570] oral	[251; 353]	NR	NR	NR	NR	1.2; 0.98	
Monochloro-	[2400] oral	$[3120 \pm 23]$	NR	$558\pm2.4$	NR	NR	$2.19\pm0.79$	Saghir and
	[110] oral	$[105 \pm 0.8]$	NR	$769\pm3.8$	NR	NR	$1.89\pm0.11$	Rozman 2003
	[790] i.v.	NR	1060	262	154	NR	5.40	Saghir et al. 2001
	[110] i.v.	NR	3033	750	546	NR	3.25	

Data in brackets indicate unit conversions: (dose mg/kg•1000  $\mu$ g/mg)/(MW  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ mol) = dose  $\mu$ moles/kg, (AUC  $\mu$ g/mL•hr •1000 ng/ $\mu$ g)/(MW ng/nmol) = AUC  $\mu$ M•hr], or (Cl mL/min/kg •60 min/h) = Cl mL/kg/h) where MW of trichloroacetic acid = 163.4, dichloroacetic acid = 128.9, bromochloroacetic acid = 173.4, dibromoacetic acid = 217.86, and monochloroacetic acid = 94.5.

Cl = clearance,  $Vd_{ss} = apparent$  steady state volume of distribution,  $t\frac{1}{2} = half$  life of elimination, NR = not reported.

<sup>a</sup>Administered as a mixture containing trichlor-, bromodichloro-, bromochloro-, and dibromoacetic acid.

<sup>b</sup>Administered as a mixture containing chlorodibromo- tribromo- and dichloroacetic acid.

<sup>c</sup> Males; Females

	Dose/route			Total body Cl	Renal Cl	Non-renal		
Haloacetic acid	(mg/ <b>kg</b> )	AUC (μM∙h)	Vd <sub>ss</sub> (mL/kg)	(mL/kg/h)	(mL/kg/n)	(mL/kg/h)	t½ (h)	Reference
Trichloro-								
Control	100 i.v.	$[19,500 \pm 2240]$	$571 \pm 91$	$40.1\pm4.6$	$28.1\pm9.1$	12	$10.0\pm2.0$	Gonzalez-
TCA-pretreat <sup>a</sup>	100 i.v.	$[23,000 \pm 3240]$	$483\pm42$	$37.2\pm5.2$	$22.0\pm3.4$	15	$9.40\pm0.7$	Leon <i>et al</i> .
DCA-pretreat <sup>a</sup>	100 i.v.	$[23,\!100\pm1,\!980]$	$521\pm15$	$34.0\pm3.0$	$20.2\pm1.9$	14	$10.7\pm1.0$	1999
Trichloro-	100 oral	$7180 \pm 210$	555	66	NR	NR	5.8	Larson and
	20 oral	$2020\pm60$	335	55			4.2	Bull 1992
Bromodichloro-	100 i.v.	3127 ± 231	$518 \pm 21$	$156 \pm 10$	3.7	152.3	$2.05 \pm 0.10$	Merdink et al.
	20 i.v.	$709 \pm 255$	$380 \pm 25$	$217\pm76$	0	217	$1.94\pm0.56$	2001
	5 i.v.	$119\pm19$	$383\pm26$	$222\pm33$	0	222	$1.33\pm0.15$	
Dichloro-	100 oral	$30 \pm 0$	32,500	14,300	NR	NR	1.6	Larson and
	20 oral	$8\pm 2$	34,800	16,000			1.5	Bull 1992
Dichloro-								
Control	100 i.v.	$[690 \pm 93]$	$548 \pm 96$	$1188 \pm 147$	$1.61\pm0.69$	1186	$0.35\pm0.1$	Gonzalez-
TCA-pretreat <sup>a</sup>	100 i.v.	$[2310 \pm 396]$	$534 \pm 53$	$387 \pm 100$	$3.13\pm1.8$	384	$1.14\pm0.2$	Leon <i>et al</i> .
DCA-pretreat <sup>a</sup>	100 i.v.	$[950 \pm 39]$	$475\pm26$	$813\pm37$	$2.20\pm0.61$	811	$0.40\pm0.3$	1999
Dichloro-								
Controls <sup>b</sup>	20 i.v.	$[22 \pm 4.7]$	$497 \pm 160$	$7420 \pm 1{,}460$	NR	NR	$0.053 \pm 0.02$	Schultz et al.
6 h	20 i.v.	$[143 \pm 10]$	$437\pm29$	$1085 \pm 179$			$0.30\pm0.04$	2002
16 h	20 i.v.	$[123 \pm 36]$	$691 \pm 27$	$1051\pm204$			$0.33\pm0.03$	
36 h	20 i.v.	$[60 \pm 12]$	$334 \pm 43$	$2408\pm392$			$0.11\pm0.01$	
48 h	20 i.v.	$[32 \pm 5.4]$	$467\pm62$	$4887\pm740$			$0.086 \pm 0.01$	
aged controls <sup>c</sup>	20 i.v.	$[98 \pm 46]$	$459 \pm 160$	$1903\pm850$			$0.23\pm0.09$	
$\leq$ 16 h	20 i.v.	$[75 \pm 2.6]$	$597\pm68$	$2296 \pm 852$			$0.24\pm0.05$	
Dibromo-								NTP 2007a
single <sup>d</sup>	[320] oral	[6.5; 5.6]	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.36; 0.33	
single <sup>d</sup>	[800] oral	[36.9; 34.1]	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.80; 0.67	
single <sup>d</sup>	[1600] oral	[112; 113]	NR	NR	NR	NR	1.75; 1.99	

#### Table B-3. Toxicokinetic parameters of haloacetic acids in male B6C3F1 mice

	Dose/route			Total body Cl	Renal Cl (ml /kg/h)	Non-renal Cl		
Haloacetic acid	(119/119)	AUC (μM∙h)	Vd <sub>ss</sub> (mL/kg)	(mL/kg/h)	(	(mL/kg/h)	t½ (h)	Reference
Bromochloro-								NTP 2009
Single <sup>d</sup>	[580] i.v.	[135; 128]	NR	[4280; 4520]	NR	NR	0.089; 0.062	
Single <sup>d</sup>	[580] (oral)	[25; 14.9]	NR	[23,100; 38,760]	NR	NR	0.15; 0.18	
Single <sup>d</sup>	[1150] (oral)	[134; 74]	NR	[8640; 15;600]	NR	NR	0.25; 0.20	
Single <sup>d</sup>	[2300] (oral)	[445; 331]	NR	[5180; 6960]	NR	NR	0.32; 0.25	
$GST-\zeta$ -depleted <sup>d</sup>	[520] (oral)	[18.6; 134]	NR	[24,900; 4320]	NR	NR	0.10; 0.22	
$GST-\zeta$ -depleted <sup>d</sup>	[1040] (oral)	[271; 327]	NR	[3400; 3530]	NR	NR	0.22; 0.21	
(–)Bromochloro-								NTP 2009
Single <sup>d</sup>	[580] i.v.	[50.8; 54.9]	NR	[11,340; 10;500]	NR	NR	0.03; 0.03	
(+)Bromochloro-								NTP 2009
Single <sup>d</sup>	[580] i.v.	[83.3; 97.1]	NR	[6900; 5930]	NR	NR	0.08; 0.08	

Data in brackets indicate unit conversion: Cl  $\mu$ g/mL•hr •1000 ng/ $\mu$ g)/(MW ng/nmol) = Cl  $\mu$ M•hr] or (AUC  $\mu$ g/mL•hr •1000 ng/ $\mu$ g)/(MW ng/nmol) = AUC  $\mu$ M•hr). Cl = clearance, Vd<sub>ss</sub> = apparent steady state volume of distribution, t<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> = half life of elimination, NR = not reported.

<sup>a</sup>Animals were pretreated with 2 g/L DCA or TCA in drinking water for 14 days then administered a challenge dose of 100 mg/kg of TCA or DCA 16 h later.

<sup>b</sup>8-week old mice exposed to 2 g/L DCA in drinking water for 14 days and i.v. dose administered 6, 16, 36, or 48 h after removal of DCA from drinking water.

<sup>c</sup> 4-week old mice given 2 g/L DCA in drinking water for 56 weeks and i.v. dose administered within 16 h after removal of DCA from the drinking water

<sup>d</sup> Males; Females

# **Appendix C: Animal Studies**

Appendix C contains information on study quality for animal studies in mice and rats exposed to haloacetic acids. Tables C-1a through C-1e report ratings for studies on monochloroacetic acid; Table C-2a for iodoacetic acid; Tables C-3a through C-3z for dichloroacetic acid; Tables C-4a through C-4d for dibromoacetic acid; Tables C-5a through C-5d for bromochloroacetic acid; Tables C-6a through C-6l for trichloroacetic acid; Tables C-7a through C-7d for bromodichloroacetic acid. Table C-8 reports results for liver tumors; Table C-9 for all other tumors; Table C-10 for transgenic studies; and Table C-11 for initiation-promotion studies.

#### C.1 Monochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival and body weight was not significantly decreased. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 20 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.
Confounding	

#### Table C-1a. NTP 1992 (M Mouse): Monochloroacetic acid: Gavage

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Confounding	++ Drinking water contained 44.7 ug/l of dihaloacetic acids and 3.8 ug/l of dibromoacetic acid.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

**Overall utility:** +++. Large numbers of animals per group were used in both sexes and were continuously monitored for disease. Three dose levels spanning a range of 200 fold were used. Lesions and all major organs were histologically evaluated and statistics were clearly reported.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale		
Study design			
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.		
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.		
Historical data	Yes		
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used.		
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50) were used for each group.		
Exposure			
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.		
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival or body weight. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.		
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.		
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 20 fold.		
Outcome			
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.		
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.		
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.		
Confounding			

#### Table C-1b. NTP 1992 (F Mouse): Monochloroacetic acid: Gavage

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Confounding	++ Drinking water contained 44.7 ug/l of dihaloacetic acids and 3.8 ug/l of dibromoacetic acid.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

**Overall utility:** +++. Large numbers of animals per group were used in both sexes and were continuously monitored for disease. Three dose levels spanning a range of 200 fold were used. Lesions and all major organs were histologically evaluated and statistics were clearly reported.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used and the strain is in common use. Rats were more sensitive to monochloroacetic acid non-neoplastic effects, causing death and cardiomyopathy during the short term studies, compared to mice and so their dose levels were much lower.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ The numbers of animals (50 at 104 weeks and 10 at 6 and 15 months) varied considerably for each group depending on the time of sacrifice.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	++ There was a significant decrease in survival, but not body weight. There was no significant increase in neoplasm incidence. Rats were more sensitive to non-neoplastic effects, causing death and cardiomyopathy during the short term studies, compared to mice and so their dose levels were much lower.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	++ Two exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 2 fold, making the detection of a dose response limited.
Outcome	

#### Table C-1c. NTP 1992 (M Rat): Monochloroacetic acid: Gavage

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

**Overall utility:** ++. The study was well conducted to rule out confounding and with a strong power to detect tumor induction. However, only two exposed dose levels were tested, which limit the detection of dose response relationships. Rats were more sensitive to non-neoplastic effects, causing death and cardiomyopathy during the short term studies, compared to mice and so their dose levels were much lower.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used and the strain is in common use. Rats were more sensitive to monochloroacetic acid non-neoplastic effects, causing death and cardiomyopathy during the short term studies, compared to mice and so their dose levels were much lower.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ The numbers of animals (50 at 104 weeks and 10 at 6 and 15 months) varied considerably for each group depending on the time of sacrifice.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.

#### Table C-1d. NTP 1992 (F Rat): Monochloroacetic acid: Gavage

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Dosing regimen	++ There was a significant decrease in survival, but not in body weight. There was no significant increase in neoplasm incidence, but there was a significant increase in preneoplasm incidence. Rats were more sensitive to non-neoplastic effects, causing death and cardiomyopathy during the short term studies, compared to mice and so their dose levels were much lower.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	++ Two exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 2 fold, making the detection of a dose response limited.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

**Overall utility:** ++. The study was well conducted to rule out confounding and with a strong power to detect tumor induction. However, only two exposed dose levels were tested, which limit the detection of dose response relationships. Rats were more sensitive to non-neoplastic effects, causing death and cardiomyopathy during the short term studies, compared to mice and so their dose levels were much lower.

Table C-1e. DeAngelo e	al. 1997 (M Rat):	Monochloroacetic acid:	<b>Drinking water</b>
------------------------	-------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	Not reported.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of sodium chloride at equal molar concentrations as the trichloroacetic acid high dose group were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++
	Moderate numbers of animals (29-32) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++
	Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++
	There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant
	difference in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++
	A near-lifespan duration (104 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++
	Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 100 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++
	Full necropsies with histological evaluations were performed.
Consistency between groups	
	Groups were not reported to have been treated differently.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++
	A hear-mespan duration (104 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	
	The rats were confirmed pathogen free and the chemical purity and stability were confirmed.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++
1 0	Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++
	Lesions were reported, which included liver hyperplasia, adenomas, and carcinomas. The authors felt the hyperplasia were preneoplastic.

**Overall utility:** +++. A well conducted study on almost all aspects, but only involved male rats.

# C.2 Monoiodoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies

Table C-2a. Gwynn and Salaman 195	3 (NR Mouse): lodoacetic acid: Dermal
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	Not reported.
Controls	+++
	A concurrent negative control of acetic acid was used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+ The sex of the mice were not reported. The strain of mouse is unfamiliar.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (12) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	Chemical purity and stability were not reported.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival and body weight was not reported. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	++ A short duration was used (27 weeks), but initiaion/promotion studies are normally short.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+ One exposure level was used. Preliminary tests showed this to be the maximumly tolerated dose without causing crusting or ulceration. dose was the MTD, not to cause crusting or ulceration. [1.4% (average) was calculated by NTP from a reported M/20-M/10 solution, i.e., 1/20 to 1/10 of a molar solution. Based on a MW of 185.95, it comes to 0.92975%-1.8595%, averaging to 1.4%.]
	Vehicle control (acetone): 21 days after 0.15% DMBA, acetone was administered (2/wk x 12wk; 1/wk x 15wk)
	Acetic acid negative control (0.9% acetic acid): 39 days after 0.1% DMBA, 0.9% acetic acid was administered (1/wk x 20 wk). Reported at M/10-M/5 solution, i.e., 1/10 to 1/5 of a molar solution. Based on a MW of 60.05, it comes to 0.6%-1.2%, averaging to 0.9%.
Outcome	
Pathology	+ Not reported and necropsies were not likely to have occurred beyond histological examination of the skin tumors.
Consistency between groups	Not reported.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A short duration was used (30 weeks), but initiation/promotion studies are usually short.
Confounding	

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Confounding	+ Disease surveillance, animal husbandry, or chemical characterization were not reported.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	Not reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Only benign papillomas were reported.

**Overall utility:** +. The chemicals were not characterized and purity wasn't reported. The sex of the animals were not reported and only a single dose level was tested on a very low number of animals per group. Histology of the neoplasms were carried out, but the skin tumors were classified as benign papillomas based on their appearance "macroscopically". Statistical significance was not calculated.

## C.3 Dichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies

#### Table C-3a. DeAngelo et al. 1996 (M Rat [Study 1]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	Not reported.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of sodium chloride at equal molar concentrations as the high dose were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ The exact number of animals was not clearly reported. It appears to have been between 21 to 33 for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There were no significant differences in survival, but the high dose group was sacrificed early because of peripheral neuropathy and body weight was not significantly different. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (100 weeks) was used. The high dose group was stopped at 60 weeks due to peripheral neuropathy and wasn't included in the study results.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	++ Two exposure levels were effectively used that spanned a range of 10 fold. The original high dose was 100 fold higher than the low exposure level, but caused toxicity, requiring dose reduction and were not reported.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only the liver was histologically examined.
Consistency between groups	++ The high dose group had their dose reduced three times due to irreversible peripheral neuropathy and they were all scarified at 60 weeks, while other dose groups were scarified at 100 weeks. They also have 5 rats undergo full necropsies, with histological evaluations of all major organs. However, the high dose group results were not reported.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (100 weeks) was used. The high dose group was stopped at 60 weeks due to peripheral neuropathy and wasn't included in the study results.
Confounding	

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Confounding	++
	Animals started out viral free, but continual disease surveillance wasn't reported. The high dose group was treated differently, but results from that group were not reported.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++
	Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++
	Lesions were reported, which included liver hyperplasia, adenomas, and carcinomas. The authors felt the hyperplasia were preneoplastic.

**Overall utility:** ++. Animals were certified pathogen free, though were not reported to be continuously monitored for disease. Only two exposed dose levels were effectively reported and only males were tested. The duration was near life-span and historical controls were considered during data analysis. However, only liver lesion incidences were reported.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	Not reported.
Controls	+++ Concurrent controls were exposed to deionized water (vehicle). NaCl was omitted from the water of control animals becuase no significant effects such as differences in tumor incidence or altered water consumption was noted in the previous bioassay at 100 weeks exposure.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ The exact number of animals was not clearly reported. It appears to have been between 27 to 28 for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ At sacrifice, the mean body weight of the animals (1.6 g/l) was significantly reduced to 73% of the control value.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (103 weeks exposure period) was used. The high dose group (2.5 g/l) DCA was lowered to 1.5 g/l at eight weeks exposure and to 1.0 g/l at 26 weeks exposure. A mean daily exposure concentration was reported as 1.6 g/l.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+ One exposure level was used, which cause toxicity, requiring dose reduction.
Outcome	

Table C-3b. DeAn	gelo <i>et al.</i> 1996	(M Rat [Stud	v 21): Dichloroac	etic acid: Drinking water
	90.0 0.0.0.000	(	J =]/. =	

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Pathology	++
	No indication that a full necropsy was done.
Consistency between groups	++
	103 wk bioassay grossly evaluated liver, kidneys, spleen, testes, thyroid, stomach, rectum, duodenum, ileum, jejunum, colon, and urinary bladder and microscopically evaluated grossly detected lesions.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++
	A near life-span duration (103 weeks exposure period) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++
	Animals started out viral free, but continual disease surveillance wasn't
	reported. The 100 week and the 103 week DeAngelo 1996 studies were done
	in two different laboratories but were reported in the same publication; it is
	unclear if the same animal care procedures were performed at both locations.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++
	Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++
	Liver lesions were reported, which included liver hyperplasia, adenomas, and
	carcinomas.

**Overall utility:** ++. Animals were certified pathogen free, though were not reported to be continuously monitored for disease. Only one exposed dose level was tested and only males were tested. The duration was near life-span and historical controls were considered during data analysis. However, only liver lesion incidences were reported.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 2 g/l of sodium chloride were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ The number of animals was sufficient (23-29) for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	Chemical purity and stability were not reported.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no clear significant difference in survival and body weight was not reported. There was a non-significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ Near life-span duration (104 weeks) were used.

#### Table C-3c. Richmond et al. 1995 (M Rat): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 50 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only the liver was histologically examined.
Consistency between groups	++ All groups were treated the same except for the last time point for sacrifice was 60 week for the 2.4 g/l group and 104 weeks for all other groups. This was because of toxicity, causing tumors and hind limb paralysis.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ Near life-span and less than near life-span durations (104 weeks) were used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+ Neither disease surveillance, nor chemical purity or characterization was reported. Neither survival nor body weight was clearly reported.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	++ Statistical significance was reported for neoplasm incidence, though no analysis of body weight changes or differences in survival were reported and body weights were not reported at all and survival was not clearly reported, but was estimated by NTP to not have been greatly different.
Combining lesions	+++ Total proliferative lesions were reported which included liver hyperplastic nodules, hepatocellular adenomas, and hepatocellular carcinomas.

**Overall utility:** ++. The chemical and animal husbandry conditions were not characterized and only a low to moderate number of males rats were tested. However, they were tested at three dose levels spanning a 50 fold range and the exposure duration was near-lifespan for all but the high dose group. Survival and body weights were not clearly reported and only the liver was histologically evaluated.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 2 g/l of sodium chloride at equal molar concentrations as the high exposure dose level were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Sufficient numbers of animals (27-30) were used for each group.
Exposure	

Table C-3d. DeAngelo et al. 1991 (M Mouse [Study 1]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water
Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival and there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span durations (60 weeks for the high exposure group and 75 weeks for the other exposure group) were used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 100 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only a select number of organs were examined and only lesions or tissues with lesions were histologically evaluated.
Consistency between groups	++ Different numbers of animals in each treatment group were tested at either 60 weeks or 75 weeks of exposure.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span durations (60 weeks for the high exposure group and 75 weeks for the other exposure group) were used.
Confounding	
Confounding	<sup>++</sup> The chemical was well characterized, but disease surveillance was not reported and different numbers of animals were sacrificed at different times. Further, only a select few organs were involved in the necropsy. The high dose group drank 60% less water than controls near the last third of the study and so the mean daily dose was decreased from 573 mg/kg/day to 387. The medium and low dose had not difference in water intake compared to controls.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Only hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas were combined.

**Overall utility:** +++. Three dose levels, which spanned a 100 fold range were tested in only males for up to 75 weeks. Only a few select organs were necropsied.

## Table C-3e. DeAngelo et al. 1991 (M Mouse [Study 2]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 1.5 g/l of acetic acid at equal molar concentrations as the high exposure dose level were used.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (10-12) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival and there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span durations (60 weeks for the high exposure group and 75 weeks for the other exposure group) were used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+ One exposure level was used.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only a select number of organs were examined and only lesions or tissues with gross lesions were histologically evaluated.
Consistency between groups	+++ Exposed and controls were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span durations (60 weeks for the high exposure group and 75 weeks for the other exposure group) were used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++ The chemical was well characterized, but disease surveillance was not reported. Further, only a select few organs were involved in the necropsy. The water intake was significantly lower than controls (69% of that in controls), though the DCA concentration was 7% higher than expected.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Only hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas were combined.

**Overall utility:** ++. One dose level was tested in a small number of males for 60 weeks. Only a few select organs were necropsied.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Two sets of concurrent vehicle controls were used, one for the 500, 1,000, 2,000, and 3,500 mg/L groups and one started a month later for the 50 mg/L group.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ The numbers of animals (53-16) varied considerably for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was a significant decrease in survival and body weight. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (90-100 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Four exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 7 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only gross lesions were histologically examined and normal tissue from all major organs was only histologically examined in 5 mice of the high dose group.
Consistency between groups	++ Only 5 mice from the high dose group had undergone histological examinations of all major organs, while the other groups only had histological examinations of gross lesions.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (90-100 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++ The mice were initially viral, bacteria, and parasite free, but continual disease surveillance was not reported. All major organs from only 5 mice from the high dose group were histologically evaluated, only gross lesions were histologically evaluated in the other groups.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	Tumor types were not combined.

### Table C-3f. DeAngelo et al. 1999 (M Mouse): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

**Overall utility:** ++. Only male mice, initially confirmed pathogen free, were used with differing numbers per group (as low was 16 in the high dose group). Continual disease surveillance was not reported. Multiple dose levels ranging 100 fold, were tested for a near life-span duration. However, only 5 high dose group mice received histological evaluations of all major organs, with histological evaluations of only gross lesions in the other groups.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	Not reported.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 2 g/l of sodium chloride to match that found in exposed groups and a positive control of phenobarbital at 500 mg/l (positive controls are not reported here) were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Moderate numbers of animals (22-26) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ Survival was not reported and body weight was significantly decreased. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (61 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+ One exposure level was used, so dose response relationships could not be measured.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only the liver was histologically examined.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (61 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ Disease surveillance was not reported, but chemical purity and stability were tested.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Combining lesions	+++
	Tumor types were not combined.

**Overall utility:** ++. The durations was less than near life-span. Only males were tested at a single dose level and only livers were histologically evaluated.

Table C-3h.	Herren-Freund et al	1987 (M Mous	e): Dichloroacetic acid:	Drinking water	(Initiation-promotion)
		<b>`</b>	/		· · · · · ·

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	Not reported.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 2 g/l of sodium chloride to match that found in exposed groups and a positive control of phenobarbital at 500 mg/l (positive controls are not reported here) were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Moderate numbers of animals (22-26) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ Survival was not reported and body weight was significantly decreased. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (61 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+ Two exposure levels were used which spanned a range of 2.5 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only the liver was histologically examined.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (61 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ Disease surveillance was not reported, but chemical purity and stability were tested.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Combining lesions	+++
	Tumor types were not combined.

**Overall utility:** ++. The duration was less than near life-span. Only males were tested at two narrow dose levels and only livers were histologically evaluated.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	Not reported.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used and the strain is in common use.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Moderate numbers of animals (27) were used for each group, though the original number of animals at the start of the study were not reported.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	++ Purity was not reported. Stability had been shown previously in stock drinking water over 8-12 days, while bottles were changed twice a week.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	++ Exposure duration was short (10 weeks), though the observation duration was near life-span (94 weeks).
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 3.5 fold. These were based on previous chronic studies in the same strain of male mice which were estimated to be 20,000-30,000 times greater than those in normal tap water.
Outcome	
Pathology	+ Only livers were examined. Even gross examination of other organs were not reported.
Consistency between groups	+++ Groups were not reported to have been treated differently.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (94 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++ Continual disease surveillance was not reported.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Hepatocellular adenomas, hepatocellular carcinomas, and hepatocellular blastomas were combined as neoplasms which is appropriate.

**Overall utility:** ++. Chemical stability was reported and target concentrations were verified, but purity was not reported. Disease surveillance was not reported. Three dose levels, previously shown to be carcinogenic were used. The exposure duration was short, but the observation duration was near life-span. Only livers were examined during necropsy.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale		
Study design			
Randomization	Not reported.		
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.		
Historical data	No		
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used and the strain is in common use.		
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Moderate numbers of animals (27) were used for each group, though the original number of animals at the start of the study were not reported.		
Exposure			
Chemical characterization	<ul> <li>++</li> <li>Purity was not reported. Stability had been shown previously in stock drinking water over 8-12 days, while bottles were changed twice a week.</li> </ul>		
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.		
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	++ Exposure duration was short (10 weeks), though the observation duration was near life-span (94 weeks).		
Dose-response (sensitivity)	++ Two exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 2 fold. These were based on previous chronic studies in the same strain of male mice which were estimated to be 20,000-30,000 times greater than those in normal tap water.		
Outcome			
Pathology	+ Only livers were examined. Even gross examination of other organs were not reported.		

Րable C-3j. Wood <i>et al.</i> 2015	(F Mouse): Dichloroacetic	acid: Drinking water
-------------------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Consistency between groups	+++
	Groups were not reported to have been treated differently.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++
	A near life-span duration (94 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++
	Continual disease surveillance was not reported.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++
	Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++
	Hepatocellular adenomas, hepatocellular carcinomas, and hepatocellular
	blastomas were combined as neoplasms which is appropriate.

**Overall utility:** ++. Chemical stability was reported and target concentrations were verified, but purity was not reported. Disease surveillance was not reported. Two dose levels, previously shown to be carcinogenic were used. The exposure duration was short, but the observation duration was near life-span. Only livers were examined during necropsy.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale			
Study design				
Randomization	+++ It was not reported if animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups, but they were necropsied blinded and the mice were randomly assigned an ID number, which suggests they were randomly assigned to treatment groups, but it is not known for sure.			
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of sodium chloride at equal molar concentrations as in the high dose group were used.			
Historical data	No			
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only females of non-transgenic animals were used.			
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ The number of animals (15-90) varied considerably for each group.			
Exposure				
Chemical characterization	Chemical purity and stability were not reported.			
Dosing regimen	+++ Survival was not reported, but there was a significant decreased in body weight and a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.			
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (576 days) was used.			
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 10 fold.			

Table C-3k	. Pereira 19	996 (F Mouse	[Study 1]):	Dichloroacetic	acid (DCA):	Drinking water
------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	----------------	-------------	----------------

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale			
Outcome				
Pathology	++ Only the liver was histologically examined.			
Consistency between groups	+++ Groups were not reported to have been treated differently.			
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (576 days) was used.			
Confounding				
Confounding	++ Continual disease surveillance and survival were not reported. The high exposure group had a significant decrease in water consumption.			
Reporting and analysis				
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.			
Combining lesions	+++ Lesions were reported, which included liver foci, adenomas, and carcinomas. The authors felt the foci were preneoplastic.			

**Overall utility:** ++. The chemicals were not characterized, not even purity was reported. Disease surveillance was not continually monitored. A variable number of only females was tested, with only their livers examined histologically. The study duration was near life-span.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale		
Study design			
Randomization	+++ It was not reported if animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups, but they were necropsied blinded and the mice were randomly assigned an ID number, which suggests they were randomly assigned to treatment groups, but it is not known for sure.		
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of sodium chloride at equal molar concentrations as in the high dose group were used.		
Historical data	No		
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only females of non-transgenic animals were used.		
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ The number of animals (15-90) varied considerably for each group.		
Exposure			
Chemical characterization	Chemical purity and stability were not reported.		
Dosing regimen	+++ Survival was not reported, but there was a significant decreased in body weight and a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.		

Table C-3I.	Pereira 1996	(F Mouse	[Study 21)	: Dichloroacetic acid (	(DCA): Drinking water
		(	[		

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale		
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	++		
	A less than life-span duration (360 days) was used.		
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++		
	Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 10 fold.		
Outcome			
Pathology	++		
	Only the liver was histologically examined.		
Consistency between groups	+++		
	Groups were not reported to have been treated differently.		
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++		
	A less than life-span duration (360 days) was used.		
Confounding			
Confounding	++		
	Continual disease surveillance and survival were not reported. The high		
	exposure group had a significant decrease in water consumption.		
Reporting and analysis			
Reporting data and statistics	+++		
	Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.		
Combining lesions	+++		
	Lesions were reported, which included liver foci, adenomas, and carcinomas. The authors felt the foci were preneoplastic.		

**Overall utility:** ++. The chemicals were not characterized, not even purity was reported. Disease surveillance was not continually monitored. A variable number of only females was tested, with only their livers examined histologically. Study duration was less than life-span.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale			
Study design				
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups with initial body weights the same for each group.			
Controls	+++ Concurrent controls were approprieate for an initiation/promotion study in which all groups received the initiator (NMU) and the negative control group was given only the initiator and promotor vehicle.			
Historical data	No			
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only females of non-transgenic animals were used.			
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Small, but sufficient numbers of animals (>20) were used for each group.			
Exposure				
Chemical characterization	+ Chemical purity and stability were not reported.			

Гаble C-3m. Pereira <i>et al.</i> 199	7 (F Mouse): Dichloroacetic acid	(DCA): Drinking water (I/P)
---------------------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale	
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival or body weight and there was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.	
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ Animals were exposed for 44 weeks, starting 4 weeks after a single injection of the initiator at 15 d of age. This is short for carcinogenicity studies, but initiation/promotion studies are normally shorter and tumors were significantly induced.	
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 3 fold.	
Outcome		
Pathology	++ Only the liver was histologically examined.	
Consistency between groups	+++ Groups were not reported to have been treated differently.	
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than near life-span duration (48 weeks) was used, but initiation/promotion studies are normally short.	
Confounding		
Confounding	++ Continual disease surveillance and survival were not reported.	
Reporting and analysis		
Reporting data and statistics	++ Significance levels were reported, but the statistical test was not reported.	
Combining lesions	+++ Lesions were reported, which included liver foci, adenomas, and carcinomas. The authors felt the foci were preneoplastic.	

**Overall utility:** +. The chemicals were not characterized, not even purity was reported. Disease surveillance was not reported. A low number of only females were tested, with only their livers examined histologically. The statistical methods were not reported.

Table	C-3n B	ull et al	1990 (1	M Mouse)	· Dichloroacetic	acid <sup>.</sup> I	)rinking water
lable	0-511. D		1,000	willinguse)	. Dicilior dacelle	aciu. L	minking water

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+ Small numbers of animals (11-24) were used for each group.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	++ Chemical purity and stability were not verified.
Dosing regimen	+++ There were no deaths and body weight was not reported. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (52 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	++ Two exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 2 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+ Only livers from some animals were histologically evaluated. Results only reported overall lesions, which include hyperplasia. Liver lesions that were histologically evaluated were randomly selected and blindly evaluated.
Consistency between groups	+ All untreated control lesions were histologically evaluated, but only some of the exposed groups were evaluated.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (52 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+ Disease surveillance was not reported. Only samples of liver lesions were histologically evaluated and inappropriately reported.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+ Statistical evaluations were rarely reported and incidences that could be significant by Fisher's Exact test (calculated by NTP) were not reported as significant, so NTP calculations were included.
Combining lesions	+++ Only total lesions were adequately reported to allow for quantitation of the results. These included liver hyperplasia, hepatocellular adenoma, and hepatocellular carcinoma, which entail a continuum of the same disease process, however there were 4/73 lesion types that were also included, but were neither hyperplastic nor neoplastic.

**Overall utility:** +. The chemical wasn't characterized, disease surveillance wasn't reported. A low number of mice per group were exposed for a less than near life-span duration and only males had results reported. Only livers were histologically examined. Not all lesions were histologically evaluated, but instead samples of lesions were evaluated. Results were reported so that incidences of specific neoplasms could not be determined, but could be estimated.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Moderate numbers of animals (20-24) were used for each group
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival or body weight. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+ One exposure level was used, which was low compared to other similar studies from the same lab.
Outcome	
Pathology	+ Histological evaluations were only done on liver, kidney, testes, spleen, and gross lesions.
Consistency between groups	++ Only 5 mice from the high dose group had undergone histological examinations of all major organs, while the other groups only had histological examinations of gross lesions.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++ Continual disease surveillance was not reported. Only some mice received a full histological evaluation.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Lesions were reported, which included liver hyperplasia, adenomas, and carcinomas. The authors felt the hyperplasia were preneoplastic.

### Table C-3o. Daniel et al. 1992 (M Mouse): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

**Overall utility:** ++. Continual disease surveillance was not reported. Only males were tested and only at one dose level. Histological evaluations from all major organs only occurred in five

mice per group, with histological evaluations in the other mice only occurring on tissues with gross lesions.

Study utility domain and question	on Rating and rationale	
Study design		
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.	
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 99.9% acetone were used.	
Historical data	No	
Animal model (sensitivity)	+ Both sexes of transgenic animals, that are sensitive to carcinogens with a propensity to develop squamous papillomas or carcinomas of the skin or forestomach, were used. However, the transgenic strain is sensitive to skin injury and will develop papillomas, suggesting it may overestimate a chemical's carcinogenic potential.	
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (10) were used for each group.	
Exposure		
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.	
Dosing regimen	++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was no significant increase in neoplasm incidence, but there was in preneoplasm incidence.	
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	<ul><li>+++</li><li>While the duration was short (39 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.</li></ul>	
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 16 fold.	
Outcome		
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.	
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same except that positive controls underwent a complete necropsy. However, positive controls will not be reported here.	
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ While the duration was short (39 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.	
Confounding		
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.	

Table C-3p. NT	P 2007b (M & F	Mouse [Study 1]):	Dichloroacetic acid: Dermal
----------------	----------------	-------------------	-----------------------------

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor types were not combined.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 99.9% acetone were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+ Both sexes of transgenic animals, that are sensitive to carcinogens with a propensity to develop squamous papillomas or carcinomas of the skin or forestomach, were used. However, the transgenic strain is sensitive to skin injury and will develop papillomas, suggesting it may overestimate a chemical's carcinogenic potential.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (15) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	++ There was no significant difference in survival or body weight. There was no significant increase in neoplasm incidence, but there was a significant increase in preneoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	<ul><li>++</li><li>While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.</li></ul>
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 16 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same except that positive controls underwent a complete necropsy. However, positive controls will not be reported here.

Table C-3q. NTP 2007b (M Mouse [Study 2]): Dichloroacetic acid: Dermal

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++
	While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies,
	it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++
	The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between
	groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++
	Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++
	Tumor types were not combined.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale	
Study design		
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.	
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 99.9% acetone were used.	
Historical data	No	
Animal model (sensitivity)	+ Both sexes of transgenic animals, that are sensitive to carcinogens with a propensity to develop squamous papillomas or carcinomas of the skin or forestomach, were used. However, the transgenic strain is sensitive to skin injury and will develop papillomas, suggesting it may overestimate a chemical's carcinogenic potential.	
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (10) were used for each group.	
Exposure		
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.	
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival and no significant decrease in body weight. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.	
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	<ul><li>+++</li><li>While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.</li></ul>	
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 16 fold.	
Outcome		

Table C-3r. NTP	2007b (M Mouse	[Study 1]): Dichloroad	cetic acid: Drinking water
-----------------	----------------	------------------------	----------------------------

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same except that positive controls underwent a complete necropsy. However, positive controls will not be considered here.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor types were not combined.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 99.9% acetone were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+ Both sexes of transgenic animals, that are sensitive to carcinogens with a propensity to develop squamous papillomas or carcinomas of the skin or forestomach, were used. However, the transgenic strain is sensitive to skin injury and will develop papillomas, suggesting it may overestimate a chemical's carcinogenic potential.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (10) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.

Table C-3s. NTF	2007b (F Mouse	[Study 1]): I	Dichloroacetic acid:	Drinking water
-----------------	----------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Dosing regimen	++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was no significant increase in neoplasm incidence, but there was a significant increase in preneoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 16 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same except that positive controls underwent a complete necropsy. However, positive controls will not be considered here.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor types were not combined.

			D' 11	1.1 B.1.11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1
Table C-3t. NTP 2007b (	(MIMOUSE [ະ	study 2j):	Dichloroacetic ac	a: Drinking water

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 99.9% acetone were used.
Historical data	No

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Animal model (sensitivity)	+ Both sexes of transgenic animals, that are sensitive to carcinogens with a propensity to develop squamous papillomas or carcinomas of the skin or forestomach, were used. However, the transgenic strain is sensitive to skin injury and will develop papillomas, suggesting it may overestimate a chemical's carcinogenic potential.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (15) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+ There was no significant difference in survival and no significant decrease in body weight. There was no significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	<ul><li>++</li><li>While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.</li></ul>
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 16 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same except that positive controls underwent a complete necropsy. However, positive controls will not be reported here.
Study duration (sensitivity)	<ul><li>+++</li><li>While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.</li></ul>
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor types were not combined.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 99.9% acetone were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+ Both sexes of transgenic animals, that are sensitive to carcinogens with a propensity to develop squamous papillomas or carcinomas of the skin or forestomach, were used. However, the transgenic strain is sensitive to skin injury and will develop papillomas, suggesting it may overestimate a chemical's carcinogenic potential.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (15) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	++ There was a significant decrease in survival and body weight. There was no significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	<ul><li>++</li><li>While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.</li></ul>
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 16 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same except that positive controls underwent a complete necropsy. However, positive controls will not be considered here.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.

#### Table C-3u. NTP 2007b (F Mouse [Study 2]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Combining lesions	+++
	Tumor types were not combined.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 99.9% acetone were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+ Both sexes of transgenic animals, that are sensitive to carcinogens with a propensity to develop lymphomas or sarcomas, were used. However, the transgenic strain may overestimate a chemical's carcinogenic potential.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (10) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was no significant difference in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	<ul><li>+++</li><li>While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.</li></ul>
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 16 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same except that positive controls underwent a complete necropsy. However, positive controls will not be considered here.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.
Confounding	

#### Table C-3v. NTP 2007b (M Mouse [Study 3]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor types were not combined.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 99.9% acetone were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+ Both sexes of transgenic animals, that are sensitive to carcinogens with a propensity to develop lymphomas or sarcomas, were used. However, the transgenic strain may overestimate a chemical's carcinogenic potential.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (10) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was no significant difference in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 16 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.

### Table C-3w. NTP 2007b (F Mouse [Study 3]): Dichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Consistency between groups	+++
	All groups were treated the same except that positive controls underwent a complete necropsy. However, positive controls will not be considered here.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++
	While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies,
	it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++
	The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between
	groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++
	Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++
	Tumor types were not combined.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 99.9% acetone were used
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+ Both sexes of transgenic animals, that are sensitive to carcinogens with a propensity to develop lymphomas or sarcomas, were used. However, the transgenic strain may overestimate a chemical's carcinogenic potential.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (15) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was no significant difference in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	<ul><li>++</li><li>While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.</li></ul>

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Dose-response (sensitivity)	
	Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 16 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++
	All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++
	All groups were treated the same except that positive controls underwent a
	complete necropsy. However, positive controls will not be considered here.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++
	While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++
	The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between
	groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++
	Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++
	Tumor types were not combined.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 99.9% acetone were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+ Both sexes of transgenic animals, that are sensitive to carcinogens with a propensity to develop lymphomas or sarcomas, were used. However, the transgenic strain may overestimate a chemical's carcinogenic potential.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Small and insufficient numbers of animals (15) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.

Table C-3v.	NTP 2007b	(F Mouse	[Study 41):	Dichloroacetic ac	id: Drinking water
1 abio 0 0y.	1111 20010	(1 110400		Bioinoi ouootio ut	na. Brinning mator

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Dosing regimen	++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was no significant difference in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	<ul><li>++</li><li>While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.</li></ul>
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 16 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same except that positive controls underwent a complete necropsy. However, positive controls will not be considered here.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ While the duration was short (26 weeks) compared to carcinogenicity studies, it was performed in transgenic mice prone to developing cancer.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor types were not combined.

# C.4 Dibromoacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies

Table C-4a.	NTP 2007a	(M Mouse	): Dibromoacetic acid: Drinking water
		(	

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival and body weight was not significantly decreased. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 20 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++ Drinking water contained 44.7 ug/l of dihaloacetic acids and 3.8 ug/l of dibromoacetic acid.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival or body weight. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 20 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++ Drinking water contained 44.7 ug/l of dihaloacetic acids and 3.8 ug/l of dibromoacetic acid.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

Table C-4b. NTP 2007a (F Mouse): Dibromoacetic acid: Drinking wate
--

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was no significant increase in neoplasm incidence, but was a significant increase in preneoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 20 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++ Drinking water contained 44.7 ug/l of dihaloacetic acids and 3.8 ug/l of dibromoacetic acid.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

Table C-4c.	NTP 2007a	(M Rat):	Dibromoacetic	acid: Drinking	water
-------------	-----------	----------	---------------	----------------	-------

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight. There was no significant increase in neoplasm incidence, but was a significant increase in preneoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 20 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (106 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++ Drinking water contained 44.7 ug/l of dihaloacetic acids and 3.8 ug/l of dibromoacetic acid.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

Table C-4d. NTP 2007a (F Rat): Dibromoacetic acid: Drinking water
---

# C.5 Bromochloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies

Table C-5a.	NTP 2009	(M Rat):	Bromochloroacetic	acid: Drinking water
		(111 1 (01))	Bronnoonnonouootno	aora. Drinning mator

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups with initial body weights the same for each group.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used and the strain is in common use.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight and a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 4 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

**Overall utility:** +++. A very high quality study, with no major concerns.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups with initial body weights the same for each group.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used and the strain is in common use.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight and a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 4 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.
<b>Overall utility:</b> +++. A very	high quality study, with no major concerns.

### Table C-5b. NTP 2009 (F Rat): Bromochloroacetic acid: Drinking water

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups with initial body weights the same for each group.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used and the strain is in common use.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant decrease in body weight and a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 4 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.
<b>Overall utility:</b> +++. A very	high quality study, with no major concerns.

#### Table C-5c. NTP 2009 (M Mouse): Bromochloroacetic acid: Drinking water

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups with initial body weights the same for each group.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used and the strain is in common use.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival or body weight and there was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 4 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.
Overall utility: +++. A very	Tumor combinations were appropriate. Thigh quality study, with no major concerns.

#### Table C-5d. NTP 2009 (F Mouse): Bromochloroacetic acid: Drinking water

# C.6 Trichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies

Table C-6a. DeAngelo et al. 1997 (M Rat): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking wate	۶r
---	----

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	Not reported.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of sodium chloride at equal molar concentrations as the trichloroacetic acid high dose group were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Moderate numbers of animals (29-32) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	++ There was no significant difference in survival, but there was a significant increase in body weight. There was no significant difference in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near-lifespan duration (104 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 100 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ Full necropsies with histological evaluations were performed.
Consistency between groups	+++ Groups were not reported to have been treated differently.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near-lifespan duration (104 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The rats were confirmed pathogen free and the chemical purity and stability were confirmed.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Lesions were reported, which included liver hyperplasia, adenomas, and carcinomas. The authors felt the hyperplasia were preneoplastic.

**Overall utility:** +++. A well conducted study on almost all aspects, but only involved male rats.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 2 g/l of sodium chloride were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used and the strain is in common use.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Moderate numbers of animals (30) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival or body weight and there was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	++ A less than life-span duration (60 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposed dose levels were used, which increased by 10 fold each time, covering a wide dose range.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ A complete necropsy with histological examine was performed, including verification by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	++ All tissues were evaluated from 5 mice of the high dose and negative control groups, while other mice had most organs and all organs with gross lesions histologically evaluated.
Study duration (sensitivity)	++ A less than life-span duration (60 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ Infectious disease detection was used and the vehicle control was appropriate.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Only hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas were combined.

### Table C-6b. DeAngelo 2008 (M Mouse [Study 1]): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

**Overall utility:** +++. Three dose levels were used that spanned a 100 fold range. Most organs were histologically evaluated and evaluations were confirmed by an independent pathologist.
Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 1.5 g/l of neutralized acetic acid in drinking water was used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used and the strain is in common use.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ A large number of animals (42-51) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant difference in survival or body weight and there was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+ Only one exposure level was used.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ A complete necropsy with histological examine was performed, including verification by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All treatment groups appear to have been treated similarly.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ Infectious disease detection was used and husbandry was reported. Vehicle control was appropriate, though not ideal.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Only hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas were combined.

## Table C-6c. DeAngelo 2008 (M Mouse [Study 2]): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

**Overall utility:** ++. Only one dose level was tested, but was given for a near life-span of a large number of animals. Most organs were histologically evaluated and evaluations were confirmed by an independent pathologist.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Mice were randomly assigned to texposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of neutralized drinking water was used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used and the strain is in common use.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (51-53) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	++ There was no significant difference in survival or body weight and there was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence, but the highest level was low compared to the other studies by this author.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	++ Two expose levels were used that spanned a range of 10 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ A complete necropsy with histological examine was performed, including verification by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All exposure groups appear to have been treated similarly.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ Infectious disease detection was used and the vehicle control was appropriate.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Only hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas were combined.

## Table C-6d. DeAngelo 2008 (M Mouse [Study 3]): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

**Overall utility:** ++. Only two dose level were tested, but were low compared to other studies and were given for near a life-span of time to a large number of animals. Most organs were histologically evaluated and evaluations were confirmed by an independent pathologist.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	Not reported.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 2 g/l of sodium chloride to match that found in exposed groups and a positive control of phenobarbital at 500 mg/l (positive controls are not reported here) were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Moderate numbers of animals (22-26) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ Survival was not reported and body weight was significantly decreased. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (61 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+ One exposure level was used, so dose response relationships could not be measured.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only the liver was histologically examined.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (61 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ Disease surveillance was not reported, but chemical purity and stability were tested.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor types were not combined.

## Table C-6e. Herren-Freund et al. 1987 (M Mouse): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

**Overall utility:** ++. The durations was less than near life-span. Only males were tested at a single dose level and only livers were histologically evaluated.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	Not reported.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of 2 g/l of sodium chloride to match that found in exposed groups and a positive control of phenobarbital at 500 mg/l (positive controls are not reported here) were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Moderate numbers of animals (22-26) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ Survival was not reported and body weight was significantly decreased. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (61 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+ Two exposure levels were used which spanned a range of 2.5 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only the liver was histologically examined.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (61 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ Disease surveillance was not reported, but chemical purity and stability were tested.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor types were not combined.

## Table C-6f. Herren-Freund et al. 1987 (M Mouse): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water (I/P)

**Overall utility:** ++. The duration was less than near life-span. Only males were tested at two narrow dose levels and only livers were histologically evaluated.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ It was not reported if animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups, but they were necropsied blinded and the mice were randomly assigned an ID number, which suggests they were randomly assigned to treatment groups, but it is not known for sure.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of sodium chloride at equal molar concentrations as in the high dose group were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only females of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ The number of animals (15-90) varied considerably for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	Chemical purity and stability were not reported.
Dosing regimen	+++ Survival was not reported, but there was a significant decreased in body weight and a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (576 days) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 10 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only the liver was histologically examined.
Consistency between groups	+++ Groups were not reported to have been treated differently.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (576 days) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++ Continual disease surveillance and survival were not reported. The high exposure group had a significant decrease in water consumption.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Lesions were reported, which included liver foci, adenomas, and carcinomas. The authors felt the foci were preneoplastic.
O 11 (114) TTT 1	

## Table C-6g. Pereira 1996 (F Mouse [Study 1]): Trichloroacetic acid (TCA): Drinking water

**Overall utility:** ++. The chemicals were not characterized, not even purity was reported. Disease surveillance was not continually monitored. A variable number of only females were tested, with only their livers examined histologically.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ It was not reported if animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups, but they were necropsied blinded and the mice were randomly assigned an ID number, which suggests they were randomly assigned to treatment groups, but it is not known for sure.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of sodium chloride at equal molar concentrations as in the high dose group were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only females of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ The number of animals (15-90) varied considerably for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	Chemical purity and stability were not reported.
Dosing regimen	+++ Survival was not reported, but there was a significant decreased in body weight and a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	++ A less than life-span duration (360 days) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 10 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only the liver was histologically examined.
Consistency between groups	+++ Groups were not reported to have been treated differently.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (360 days) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	++ Continual disease surveillance and survival were not reported. The high exposure group had a significant decrease in water consumption.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Lesions were reported, which included liver foci, adenomas, and carcinomas. The authors felt the foci were preneoplastic.

## Table C-6h. Pereira 1996 (F Mouse [Study 2]): Trichloroacetic acid (TCA): Drinking water

**Overall utility:** ++. The chemicals were not characterized, not even purity was reported. Disease surveillance was not continually monitored. A variable number of only females were tested, with only their livers examined histologically.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups with initial body weights the same for each group.
Controls	+++ Concurrent controls were approprieate for an initiation/promotion study in which all groups received the initiator (NMU) and the negative control group was given only the initiator and promotor vehicle.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only females of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Small, but sufficient numbers of animals (>20) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	Chemical purity and stability were not reported.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant decrease in survival or body weight and there was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ Animals were exposed for 44 weeks, starting 4 weeks after a single injection of the initiator. This is short for carcinogenicity studies, but initiation/promotion studies are normally shorter and tumors were significantly induced.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 3 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Only the liver was histologically examined.
Consistency between groups	+++ Groups were not reported to have been treated differently.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than near life-span duration (48 weeks) was used, but initiation/promotion studies are normally short.
Confounding	
Confounding	++ Continual disease surveillance and survival were not reported.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	++ Significance levels were reported, but the statistical test was not reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Lesions were reported, which included liver foci, adenomas, and carcinomas. The authors felt the foci were preneoplastic.

### Table C-6i. Pereira et al 1997 (F Mouse): TCA: Drinking water (I/P)

**Overall utility:** +. The chemicals were not characterized, not even purity was reported. Disease surveillance was not reported. A low number of only females were tested, with only their livers examined histologically. The statistical methods were not reported.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	++ Only males of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+ Small numbers of animals (11-24) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	++ Chemical purity and stability were not verified.
Dosing regimen	+++ There were no deaths and body weight was not reported. There was a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (52 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	++ Two exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 2 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+ Only livers from some animals were histologically evaluated. Results only reported overall lesions, which include hyperplasia. Liver lesions that were histologically evaluated were randomly selected and blindly evaluated.
Consistency between groups	+ All untreated control lesions were histologically evaluated, but only some of the exposed groups were.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A less than life-span duration (52 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+ Disease surveillance was not reported. Only samples of liver lesions were histologically evaluated and inappropriately reported.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+ Statistical evaluations were rarely reported and incidences that could be significant by Fisher's Exact test (calculated by NTP) were not reported as significant, so no NTP calculations were included.

Table C-6j. Bull et al. 1990 (M Mouse): Trichloroacetic acid: Drinking water
--

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Combining lesions	++ Only total lesions were adequately reported to allow for quantitation of the results. These included liver hyperplasiic nodues, hepatocellular adenoma, and hepatocellular carcinoma, which entail and continuum of the same disease process, however there were 4/73 lesions types that were also included, but were not hyperplastic or neoplastic.

**Overall utility:** +. The chemical wasn't characterized, disease surveillance wasn't reported. A low number of mice per group were exposed for a less than near life-span duration and only males had results reported. Only livers were histologically examined. Not all lesions were histologically evaluated, but instead samples of lesions were evaluated. Results were reported so that incidences of specific neoplasms could not be determined, but could be estimated.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+ Randomization was not reported. However, survival during the first 28 days of age ranged from 100% to 71% (data not reported). After 28 days of age, surviving mice were allocated to each treatment group, after which survival was 92% or higher.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of DMSO and 4-aminobiphenyl positive controls were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used. Modifying factor is age of animals at study start.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Moderate numbers of animals (22-24) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	Chemical purity and stability were not reported.
Dosing regimen	+ There was no significant difference in survival and body weight was not reported. There was no significant difference in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+ Two doses were administered at the beginning of the study, no additional exposure occurred throughout the study.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	++ Two exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 2 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Necropsies and histological evaluations were stated to have occurred, but only incidences of liver tumors were reported.

Table C-6k. Von Tungeln et al. 2002 (M+F Mouse [Study 1]): Trichloroacetic acid: ip injection

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Consistency between groups	++ Before the mice reached 28 days of age, mortality was as high as 29% and then a set number of the survivors were used for the remainder of the study. No information was reported about the early mortality.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ Duration was near life-span (20 months).
Confounding	
Confounding	++ Mice were reported to be specific pathogen free, but mortality was high before the age of 28 days and this early mortality wasn't reported.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Only hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas were combined.

**Overall utility:** ++. The study used both positive and negative controls, but did not characterize the chemicals and used a small, number of male mice per group. Only two doses were administered at two narrow dose levels, though the duration of observation was almost near life-span. Early mortality wasn't reported.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+ Randomization was not reported. However, survival during the first 28 days of age ranged from 100% to 71% (data not reported). After 28 days of age, surviving mice were allocated to each treatment group, after which survival was 92% or higher.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls of DMSO and 4-aminobiphenyl positive controls were used.
Historical data	No
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used. Modifying factor is age of animals at study start.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	++ Moderate numbers of animals (22-24) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	Chemical purity and stability were not reported.
Dosing regimen	+ There was no significant difference in survival and body weight was not reported. There was no significant difference in neoplasm incidence after exposure of neonatal mouse to TCA.

Table C-6I. Von Tungeln et al. 2002 (M+F Mouse [Study 2]): Trichloroacetic acid: ip injection

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+ Two doses were administered at the beginning of the study, no additional exposure occurred throughout the study.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	++ Two exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 2 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	++ Necropsies and histological evaluations were stated to have occurred, but only incidences of liver tumors were reported.
Consistency between groups	++ Before the mice reached 28 days of age, mortality was as high as 29% and then a set number of the survivors were used for the remainder of the study. No information was reported about the early mortality.
Study duration (sensitivity)	++ Duration was less than life-span (12 months).
Confounding	
Confounding	++ Mice were reported to be specific pathogen free, but mortality was high before the age of 28 days and this early mortality wasn't reported.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Only hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas were combined.

**Overall utility:** ++. The study used both positive and negative controls, but did not characterize the chemicals and used a small, number of male mice per group. Only two doses were administered at two narrow dose levels, though the duration of observation was almost near life-span. Early mortality wasn't reported.

# C.7 Bromodichloroacetic acid: Study quality for animal studies

Table C-7a.	NTP 2015	(M Rat):	Bromodichloroacetic	acid:	Drinking	ı water
		(IIII I Call)	Bronnoulonionouootio	aorai	Dimming	, mator

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups, but were not blinded.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was no significant decrease in survival, but there was a decrease in body weight and a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 4 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups, but were not blinded.
Controls	+++ controls adequate number
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (49-51) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was a significant decrease in survival and body weight and a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 4 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (104 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

## Table C-7b. NTP 2015 (F Rat): Bromodichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups, but were not blinded.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ Large numbers of animals (50-51) were used for each group.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ There was a significant decrease in survival and body weight, with a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 4 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

## Table C-7c. NTP 2015 (M Mouse): Bromodichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

.

Study utility domain and question	Rating and rationale
Study design	
Randomization	+++ Animals were randomly assigned to exposure groups, but were not blinded.
Controls	+++ Concurrent vehicle controls were used.
Historical data	Yes
Animal model (sensitivity)	+++ Both sexes of non-transgenic animals were used.
Statistical power (sensitivity)	+++ There was no significant decrease in survival, but there was a decrease in body weight and a significant increase in neoplasm incidence.
Exposure	
Chemical characterization	+++ Chemical purity and stability were well characterized.
Dosing regimen	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 4 fold.
Exposure duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Dose-response (sensitivity)	+++ Three exposure levels were used that spanned a range of 4 fold.
Outcome	
Pathology	+++ All major organs and gross lesions were histologically evaluated and findings were verified by an independent pathologist.
Consistency between groups	+++ All groups were treated the same.
Study duration (sensitivity)	+++ A near life-span duration (105 weeks) was used.
Confounding	
Confounding	+++ The test agent was well characterized, animals were treated the same between groups, and were continually monitored for infectious diseases.
Reporting and analysis	
Reporting data and statistics	+++ Appropriate statistical analyses were reported.
Combining lesions	+++ Tumor combinations were appropriate.

## Table C-7d. NTP 2015 (F Mouse): Bromodichloroacetic acid: Drinking water

## C.8 Animal studies for haloacetic acids: Results by tumor

Studies in this section are grouped by number of halogen substitutions on the alpha carbon of acetic acid (mono- to di- to tri-haloacetic acids) followed by increasing electrophilicity of the HAA (i..e, chloro- to bromo- to iodoacetic acid; dichloro to dibromoacetic acid; trichloro- to tribromo- to bromodichloroacetic acid). This format follows the text in the monograph. Animals for a given study are grouped by male then female rats first, followed by male then female mice; monochloroacetic acid is the only gavage study and is listed first, followed by drinking water studies.

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
NTP 1992	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: After 104 weeks, the survival of the 30 mg/kg
Animal: Rat F344/N	Animal: Monochloroacetic acid	0	1/53 (2%)	group was lower than controls and there was a significant trend: $27/53*(trend=0.011) = 21/53 = 16/53*(=0.015)$
M 6-7 weeks	Treatment:	15	0/53	<b>Body weight</b> : Body weights were similar to controls during
Study duration:	Gavage	30	1/53 (2%)	the 6 and 15 month interim evaluations as well as the 2 year
104 weeks	0	Liver – Carcinoma	a	- study. - Other comments: No neoplasms were found at the 6 month
	30 mg/kg bw	0	0/53	evaluation and no treatment related neoplasms were found at
5	5 doses/week x 104	15	1/53 (2%)	the 15 month interim evaluation or at the end of the study.
	weeks	30	0/53	Strengths and limitations: The study was well conducted to rule out confounding and with a strong power to detect tumor induction. However, only two exposed dose levels were tested, which limit the detection of dose response relationships. Rats were more sensitive to non-neoplastic effects, causing death and cardiomyopathy during the short term studies, compared to mice and so their dose levels were much lower.
NTP 1992	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: After 104 weeks, the survival of the 30 mg/kg
Animal: Rat F344/N	Monochloroacetic acid	0	1/53 (2%)	group was lower than controls and there was a significant trend: $37/53*(Trend=0.043) = 19/53***(=0.001)$
1xat 1 5 + +/1	J / 10	15	0/53	(-0.001),

### Table C-8. Liver tumors

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
F 6-7 weeks <b>Study duration:</b> 104 weeks	Treatment: Gavage 0 15 30 mg/kg bw 5 doses/week x 104 weeks	30	0/53	26/53*(=0.046) <b>Body weight</b> : Body weights were similar to controls during the 6 and 15 month interim evaluations as well as the 2 year study. Other comments: No neoplasms were found at the 6 month evaluation and no treatment related neoplasms were found at the 15 month interim evaluation or at the end of the study. <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The study was well conducted to rule out confounding and with a strong power to detect tumor induction. However, only two exposed dose levels were tested, which limit the detection of dose response relationships. Rats were more sensitive to non-neoplastic effects, causing death and cardiomyopathy during the short term studies, compared to mice and so their dose levels were much lower
NTP 1992	Agent:	Liver – Adenomaª		Survival: The 100 mg/kg group was lower than controls and
Animal: Mouse B6C3F1	Monochloroacetic acid 99%	0	6/60 (12.7%) 6/59 (14.8%)	there was a significant trend: 46/60***(trend <0.001) - 39/60, 21/60***(<0.001) Rody weight: Dody weights were similar to controls
Study duration:	Gavage	100	1/59 (4.2%)	Strengths and limitations: The study was well conducted to
104 weeks	0 50 100 mg/kg in deionized	Trend p-value: $=0.0.059N$		rule out confounding and with a strong power to detect tume induction. However, only two exposed dose levels were tested, which limit the detection of dose response
		Liver – Carcinoma <sup>a</sup>		
	water	0	6/60 (11.7%)	relationships.
	5 doses/week x 104 weeks	50	2/59 (4.7%)	
		100	5/59 (19.9%)	
		Trend p-value: =0.440N		
		Liver – Adenoma	or carcinoma <sup>a</sup>	-
		0	12/60 (23.6%)	
		50	8/59 (19.1%)	
		100	6/59 (23.3%)	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
		Trend p-value: =	0.082N	
NTP 1992	NTP 1992Agent:Animal:Monochloroacetic acidMonochloroacetic acid00%	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: Survival of the exposed groups were similar to
Animal:		0	1/60 (2%)	controls: 42/60 - 40/60, 44/60
Mouse B6C3F1 99% F 7-8 weeks <b>Treatment</b>	99% <b>Treatment</b> :	50	1/60 (2%)	similar to controls, but after a year the high dose group had
Study duration:	Gavage	100	2/60 (3%)	significantly lower body weight.
104 weeks	0	Liver – Carcinoma	a	<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The study was well conducted to
	100 mg/kg in deionized	0	0/60	induction. However, only two exposed dose levels were
	water	50	1/59 (2%)	tested, which limit the detection of dose response
5 doses/week weeks	5 doses/week x 104 weeks	100	0/60	relationships.
DeAngelo et al. 1997Agent:Animal:Monochloroacetic acid	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: No significant difference in survival:	
	Monochloroacetic acid	0	1/23 (4%)	23/29 - 24/32, 23/32, 25/29
M 28-30 davs	>99% Treatment:	50	2/25 (8%)	after 8 weeks and to 1 g/l after 24 weeks because of
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	0/23	significant differences in body weight gain. The 0.5 g/l group
104 weeks	0+	2,000	1/25 (4%)	had 13% lower body weight than untreated controls.
	500	Liver – Carcinoma		nodules were reported, but were not significantly increased.
	2,000++ mg/L in drinking water ad libitum x 104 weeks + 31-32 mM NaCl (~isomolar to 5,000 mg/L TCA)	0	0/23	They are likely considered part of the continuum towards
		50	0/25	neoplasia as it was reported combined with adenomas and carcinomas as proliferative lesions and other publications by
		500	0/23	this author under similar studies reported that a similar lesion
		2,000	0/25	(large foci of cellular alteration) was only distinguishable
		Liver – Adenoma	or carcinoma	Irom adenomas because the nodules caused compression at less than 80% of it's surface (DeAngelo 2008).
	++ 2,000 mg/L x 8 wk,	0	1/23 (4%)	Other comments: Amount of water consumed was similar
	then 1,500 mg/L to 24 weeks then $1.000$ mg/L :	50	2/25 (8%)	among groups (76.9 ml/kg/d - 70.5, ml/kg/d, 55.6 ml/kg/d, 55.5 ml/kg/d)
	averaging 1,100 mg/L	500	0/23	Strengths and limitations: A well conducted study on almost
throughout the stud	throughout the study	2,000	1/25 (4%)	all aspects, but only involved male rats.

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
DeAngelo et al. 1996	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: There were no significant differences in survival in
Animal:	Dichloroacetic acid	0	1/23 (4.4%)	the 0.05 or 0.5 g/l groups. The 5 g/l group rats had
Rat (Study 1) F344	>99%	50	0/26	irreversible peripheral neuropathy and were sacrificed at 60
M 28-30 days (59-79g	<b>I reatment</b> : Drinking water	500	5/20 (17.2%)	<b>Body weight:</b> Body weights did not differ after 100 weeks of
Study duration:	0+	300	5/29 (17.2%)	treatment.
100 weeks	50	Trend p-value: <	0.05	- Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Hyperplastic
	500	Liver – Carcinoma	a	nodules were not significantly increased. They are likely
	5,000++ mg/L in	0	0/23	considered part of the continuum towards neoplasia as it was
	drinking water ad libitum x 100 weeks	50	0/26	reported combined with adenomas and carcinomas as proliferative lesions and other publications by this author
		500	3/29 (10.3%)	under similar studies reported that a similar lesion (large foci
+ 2 g/L NaCl (~isomolar to 5 000 mg/l DCA)	Trend p-value: <	0.05	of cellular alteration) was only distinguishable from	
		Liver – Adenoma or carcinoma		than 80% of it's surface (DeAngelo 2008).
	++ 2,500 mg/L at 9	0	1/23 (4.4%)	Other comments: The exact value for N at the beginning of
	weeks then 2,000 mg/L	50	0/21	the study is not confirmed as the paper didn't clearly report
	mg/L after 52 wks and	500	6/23* (24.1%)	percent incidence was reported and fractional incidence was
after 23 wks tr mg/L after 52 stopped at 60 to peripheral n and wasn't inc the study resul	mg/L after 52 wks and stopped at 60 weeks due to peripheral neuropathy and wasn't included in the study results.	500 Trend p-value: <	6/23* (24.1%) 0.01	percent incidence was reported and fractional incidence was extrapolated from that and the original number of animals per group, however these calculations did not exactly match the percent incidence. All non-hepatic neoplasms were considered spontaneous and not treatment related and included, testicular cancer (97% - 100%, 100%) and leukemia (24% - 20%, 43%). <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : Animals were certified pathogen free, though were not reported to be continuously monitored for disease. Only two exposed dose levels were effectively reported and only males were tested. The duration was near life-span and historical controls were considered during data analysis. However, only liver lesion incidences were reported.

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
DeAngelo et al. 1996	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: There were no significant differences in survival in
Animal:	Dichloroacetic acid	0	0/33	the 0.05 or 0.5 g/l groups. The 5 g/l group rats had
Rat (Study 2) F344 M 28-30 days (59-79g	>99% <b>Treatment</b> :	2,500	3/28 (10.7%)	weeks and were excluded from the study analysis.
bw) Drinking water	Drinking water	Liver – Carcinoma		Body weight: Body weights in the exposed group were
Study duration:	0+	0	1/33 (3%)	significantly less than (73%) those in the untreated control
105 weeks	2,500++ mg/L m deionized water	2,500	6/28* (21.4%)	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Hyperplastic
	ad libitum x 103 weeks	Liver – Adenoma	or carcinoma	nodules were not significantly increased. They are likely
	+ NaCl in the first	0	1/28 (3%)	- considered part of the continuum towards neoplasia as it was
	<ul> <li>+ NaCl in the first</li> <li>experiment had no effect on water consumption or tumor incidence, so was not included here</li> <li>++ 1,500 mg/L at 8 weeks then 1,000 mg/L after 26 weeks due to mild transient neurotoxicity</li> </ul>	2,500	8/27** (28.6%)	<ul> <li>proliferative lesions and other publications by this author under similar studies reported that a similar lesion (large foci of cellular alteration) was only distinguishable from adenomas because the nodules caused compression at less than 80% of it's surface (DeAngelo 2008).</li> <li>Other comments: The exact value for N at the beginning of the study is not confirmed as the paper didn't clearly report them. Water consumption didn't differ among groups. The percent incidence was reported and fractional incidence was extrapolated from that and the original number of animals per group, however these calculations did not exactly match the percent incidence. All non-hepatic neoplasms were considered spontaneous and not treatment related and included, testicular cancer (97% - 100%) and leukemia (9% - 11%).</li> <li>Strengths and limitations: Animals were certified pathogen free, though were not reported to be continuously monitored for disease. Only one exposed dose level was tested and only males were tested. The duration was near life-span and historical controls were considered during data analysis. However, only liver lesion incidences were reported.</li> </ul>

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
design Richmond <i>et al.</i> 1995 Animal: Rat F344 M 28 days Study duration: 104 weeks	Exposure         Agent:         Dichloroacetic acid         Not reported         Treatment:         Drinking water         0+         50         5000         2,400 mg/L in drinking         water (pH 7.0) x 60++ or         104 weeks         + 2,000 mg/L NaCl         ++ high dose (2,400 mg/L) stopped at 60 weeks due to tumors and hind limb paralysis	Dose levels Liver – Adenoma 0 50 500 Liver – Carcinoma 0 50 500 500	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%) 1/23 (4%) 0/26 6/29 (21%) a 0/23 0/26 3/29 (10%)	<b>Comments</b> <b>Survival</b> : Survival wasn't clearly reported, but based on 7 animals sacrificed at 15, 30, 45, and for all but the high dose group, 60 week time points survival was estimated from the 60 animals per group at the beginning of the study to be: 51/60 - 54/60, 57/60, 51/60 <b>Body weight</b> : Not reported. <b>Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions:</b> Hyperplastic nodules were significantly increased at 2,400 mg/L (all high-dose animals were sacrificed at 60 d due to hind limb paralysis and tumors They are likely considered part of the continuum towards neoplasia as it was reported combined with adenomas and carcinomas as proliferative lesions and other publications by this author under similar studies reported that a similar lesion (large foci of cellular alteration) was only distinguishable from adenomas because the nodules caused compression at less than 80% of it's surface (DeAngelo 2008). <b>Interim (60d) values:</b> Adenoma: 0/7, 0/7, 0/7, 7/27 (26%); Carcinoma: 0/7, 0/7, 0/7, 1/27 (4%) <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The chemical and animal husbandry conditions were not characterized and only a low to moderate number of males rats were tested. However, they were tested at three dose levels spanning a 50 fold range and the exposure duration was near-lifespan for all but the high dose group. Survival and body weights were not clearly
DeAngelo <i>et al.</i> 1991	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: No difference.
Animal:	Dichloroacetic acid	0	0/28	<b>Body weight</b> : The high dose group had significantly lower
Mouse (Study 1)>99%B6C3F1TreatmentM 28 daysDrinking w	>99% <b>Treatment</b> :	50	2/29 (7%)	body weight than controls (17% lower, p<0.001). Body weights of the medium and low doses didn't differ from
	Drinking water	500	1/27 (4%)	controls.
<b>Study duration:</b> 60 weeks (high dose), 75	0+ 50	5,000	24/30*** (80%)	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Hyperplastic - nodules were significantly increased at 5,000 mg/L. They are
weeks (low and medium	500	Liver – Carcinoma	a	likely considered part of the continuum towards neoplasia as

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
dose levels)	5,000++ mg/l in distilled	0	2/28 (7%)	it was reported combined with adenomas and carcinomas as
	water (pH 6.8-7.2) ad libitum x 75 weeks	50	6/29 (21%)	proliferative lesions and other publications by this author under similar studies reported that a similar lesion (large foci-
		500	2/27 (7%)	of cellular alteration) was only distinguishable from
	+ 2,000 mg/l NaCl	5,000	25/30*** (83%)	adenomas because the nodules caused compression at less $-$ then $80\%$ of ide surfaces (De America 2008)
	++ Exposure duration	Liver – Adenoma	or carcinoma	Other comments: Only the high dose group was
	was reduced to 60 weeks	0	2/28 (7%)	quantitatively reported (as a percentage - fractional incidence
		50	7/29 (24%)	was back calculated from percent), the other groups were
		500	3/27 (11%)	were scarified at 60 weeks, with the remainder sacrificed at
		5,000	27/30*** (90%)	75 weeks. All high dose animals were sacrificed at 60 weeks. Strengths and limitations: Three dose levels, which spanned a 100 fold range were tested in only males for up to 75 weeks. Only a few select organs were necropsied.
DeAngelo et al. 1991	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: No difference.
Animal: Mouse (Study 2)	Dichloroacetic acid	0	0/10	<b>Body weight</b> : The high dose group had significantly lower body weight than controls (13% lower $p < 0.001$ ). Body
B6C3F1	Treatment:	3,500	12/12*** (100%)	weights of the medium and low doses didn't differ from
M 28 days Study duration: 60 weeks	Drinking water	Liver – Carcinoma	l	controls.
	0+ 3.500 mg/l in distilled	0	0/10	significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Hyperplastic nodules were significantly increased at 3.500 mg/L. They are
	water (pH 6.8-7.2) ad	3,500	8/12*** (67%)	likely considered part of the continuum towards neoplasia
	libitum x 60 weeks	Liver – Adenoma	or carcinoma	it was reported combined with adenomas and carcinomas as
		0	0/10	promerative resions and other publications by this author

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
	+ 1,500 mg/l acetic acid	3,500	12/12*** (100%)	under similar studies reported that a similar lesion (large foci of cellular alteration) was only distinguishable from adenomas because the nodules caused compression at less than 80% of it's surface (DeAngelo 2008). Other comments: Incidences were only reported as a percentage - fractional incidence was back calculated from percent. All animals were sacrificed at 60 weeks. <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : One dose level was tested in a small number of males for 60 weeks. Only a few select organs were necropsied.
DeAngelo <i>et al.</i> 1999	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: Significant decrease in survival at the two highest
Animal:Dichloroacetic acidMouse B6C3F1>99%M 28-30 days (18-21 gTreatment:bw)Drinking waterStudy duration:0+90-100 weeks50+500500	Dichloroacetic acid	0	5/53 (10%)	doses and a significant trend (<0.05): 50/53 - 33/35, 24/25,
	<b>Treatment</b> :	500	5/25 (20%)	Body weight: The high dose group (3.5 g/l) had significantly
	Drinking water	1,000	21/41* (51.4%)	lower body weight after 52 weeks and continued throughout
	0+ 50	2,000	11/25* (42.9%)	the study, while the 2 g/l group was significantly lower after 100 weeks. Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Hyperplastic
	500	3,500	7/16* (45%)	
	1,000	Liver – Carcinoma		nodule multiplicity was significantly increased at all exposed
	2,000 3.500 mg/L in drinking	0	14/53 (26%)	- levels 500 to 3,500 mg/L. They are likely considered part of the continuum towards neoplasia as it was reported
	water (pH 6.9-7.1)	500	12/25 (48%)	combined with adenomas and carcinomas as proliferative
	ad libitum x 90 or 100	1,000	29/41*** (71%)	lesions and other publications by this author under similar
	weeks	2,000	24/25*** (95%)	alteration) was only distinguishable from adenomas because
+ sta the c (10 n grou 26, ± data	<ul> <li>+ started 1 month after the other groups</li> <li>(10 mice from each group were sacrificed at 26, 52, and 78 weeks - data not reported here)</li> </ul>	3,500	16/16*** (100%)	the nodules caused compression at less than 80% of it's surface (DeAngelo 2008). Other comments: Water consumption was lower in the high dose group. The percent incidence was reported and fractional incidence was extrapolated from that and the original number of animals per group, however these calculations did not exactly match the percent incidence.

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
		[Trend p-value <	<0.001]	<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : Only male mice, initially confirmed pathogen free, were used with differing numbers per group (as low was 16 in the high dose group). Continual disease surveillance was not reported. Multiple dose levels ranging 100 fold, were tested for a near life-span duration. However, only 5 high dose group mice received histological evaluations of all major organs, with histological evaluations of only gross lesions in the other groups.
Herren-Freund et al.	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: Not reported.
1987 Animal:	Dichloroacetic acid	0	2/22 (9%)	<b>Body weight</b> : Body weights were significantly decreased $(p < 0.001)$ . Calculations were done by one way analysis of
Mouse B6C3F1	Treatment:	5,000	25/26** (96%)	variance with a Tukey's comparison.
M 4 weeks	Drinking water	Liver – Carcinom	a	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Not reported.
Study duration: 61 weeks	0+ 5,000 mg/l in drinking water (pH 6.5-7.5) ad libitum x 61 weeks	0	0/22	<b>Strengths and limitations:</b> The durations was less than near life-span. Only males were tested at a single dose level and
		5,000	21/26** (81%)	only livers were histologically evaluated.
	+ 2,000 mg/l of NaCl			
Wood et al. 2015	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: Survival was similar in all groups.
Animal:	Dichloroacetic acid	0	5/27 (19%)	<b>Body weight</b> : Body weight of the high dose group, after
Mouse BoC3F1 M 28 days	Treatment:	1,000	13/27 (48%)	compared to controls.
Study duration:	Drinking water	2,000	11/27 (41%)	Other comments: The original number of mice used were
94 weeks	0	3,500	15/26* (58%)	reported as those given a specific dose of DCA and were not differentiated by those given phenobarbital and those that
	2,000	Trend p-value: <0.05		weren't. The incidence denominator was differentiated by co-
	3,500 mg/L deionoized	Liver – Carcinom	a	administration of phenobarbital and only represents those
	water (pH 6.8-7.1) ad libitum x 10 weeks	0	8/27 (30%)	animals given only dichloroacetic acid. Water consumption was decreased at the medium and high dose groups, which
		1,000	8/27 (30%)	limited the daily intake to (target dose mg/kg/d: 0 - 168, 315,
		2,000	6/27 (22%)	429; Measured dose mg/kg/d: 0 - 136, 232, 297), so the high dose was not nearly as high as expected
		3,500	19/26* (73%)	uose was not nearry as mgn as expected.

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
		Trend p-value:	<0.01	Strengths and limitations: Chemical stability was reported
		Liver – Hepatobl	astoma	and target concentrations were verified, but purity was not reported. Disease surveillance was not reported. Three dose
	0	0/27	levels, previously shown to be carcinogenic were used. The	
		1,000	1/27 (4%)	exposure duration was short, but the observation duration
		2,000	0/27	necropsy.
		3,500	0/26	
		Liver – Adenoma hepatoblastoma	ı, carcinoma, and	
		0	12/27 (44%)	
	1,000	15/27 (56%)		
	2,000	14/27 (52%)		
		3,500	24/26** (92%)	
		Trend p-value:	< 0.01	
Wood et al. 2015	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma	l	Survival: Survival was similar in all groups.
Animal: Mouse B6C3E1	Dichloroacetic acid	0	0/27	<b>Body weight</b> : Body weight of the high dose group, after
F 28 days	Treatment:	1,000	9/26** (35%)	compared to controls.
Study duration:	Drinking water	2,000	6/28 (21%)	Other comments: The original number of mice used were
94 weeks	0 1.000	Liver – Carcinom	na	reported as those given a specific dose of DCA and were not differentiated by those given phenoharbital and those that
	2,000 mg/L in	0	0/27	weren't. The incidence denominator was differentiated by co-
	deionoized water (pH	1,000	2/26 (8%)	administration of phenobarbital and only represents those
6.8-7.1) ad libitum x 10 we	o.8-7.1) ad libitum x 10 weeks	2,000	3/28 (11%)	_ was decreased at the medium and high dose groups, which
		Liver – Hepatobl	astoma	limited the daily intake to (target dose mg/kg/d: 0 - 168, 315,
		0	0/27	429; Measured dose mg/kg/d: 0 - 136, 232, 297), so the hig
		1,000	0/26	Strengths and limitations: Chemical stability was reported
	2,000	0/28	and target concentrations were verified, but purity was not	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
	Liver – Adenoma, carcinoma, and hepatoblastoma     reported. Disc levels, previou       0     0/27     exposure dura was near life- necropsy.	Liver – Adenoma, hepatoblastoma	carcinoma, and	reported. Disease surveillance was not reported. Two dose levels, previously shown to be carcinogenic were used. The
		0	0/27	exposure duration was short, but the observation duration
		ecropsy.		
		2,000	9/28** (32%)	
		[Trend p-value: <	<0.01]	
Pereira 1996	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: Not reported
Animal: Mouse (Study 1)	Dichloroacetic acid	0	2/90 (2.2%)	<b>Body weight</b> : The high dose level of DCA was caused
Mouse (Study 1)(DCA)B6C3F1Not reportedF 7-8 weeksTreatment:Study duration:Drinking water576 days0+260++	Not reported	260	3/50 (6%)	high dose of TCA caused it at 51 weeks, with near
	Treatment:	860	7/28* (25%)	significant decreases beyond.
	Drinking water 0+ 260++	2,600 intermittent	3/34 (8.8%)	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The foci of altered hepatocytes were reported combined with neoplasms, but not separately. They are likely considered part of the
	860++	2,600 1	16/19* (84.2%)	continuum towards neoplasia as it was reported combined
	2,600+++	Liver – Carcinoma		with adenomas and carcinomas as proliferative lesions and
	drinking water ad libitum	0	2/90 (2.2%)	reported that a similar lesion (large foci of cellular alteration)
	x 360 days	260	0/50	was only distinguishable from adenomas because the nodules
	$\pm 20 \text{ mmol/L} \text{ NaCl}$	860	1/28 (3.6%)	caused compression at less than 80% of it's surface
	++ Concentrations were	2,600 intermittent	1/34 (2.9%)	Strengths and limitations: The chemicals were not characterized not even purity was reported Disease
	reported at mmol/L and NTP converted them to	2,600	5/19[**] (26.3%)	surveillance was not continually monitored. A variable number of only females was tested, with only their livers
	mg/L based on a mw of 28.942g/mol			examined histologically. The study duration was near life- span.
	+++ intermittent cycles of 24 days on, 48 days off	[Trend p-value: <	<0.001]	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
Pereira 1996 Animal: Mouse (Study 2) B6C3F1 F 7-8 weeks Study duration: 360 days	Agent: Dichloroacetic acid (DCA) Not reported <b>Treatment</b> : Drinking water 0+ 260++ 860++ 2,600+++ 2,600+++ 2,600++ mg/L in drinkign water ad libitum x 360 days + 20 mmol/L NaCl ++ Concentrations were reported at mmol/L and NTP converted them to mg/L based on a mw of 28.942g/mol +++ intermittent cycles of 24 days on, 48 days off	Liver – Adenoma 0 260 860 2,600 intermittent 2,600 Liver – Carcinoma 0 260 860 2,600 intermittent 2,600	1/40 (2.5%) 0/40 3/20 (15%) 0/15 7/20* (35%) a 0/40 0/40 0/20 0/15 1/20 (5%)	<ul> <li>Survival: Not reported</li> <li>Body weight: The high dose level of DCA was caused significant weight loss after 35 weeks and beyond, while the high dose of TCA caused it at 51 weeks, with near significant decreases beyond.</li> <li>Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The foci of altered hepatocytes were reported combined with neoplasms, but not separately. They are likely considered part of the continuum towards neoplasia as it was reported combined with adenomas and carcinomas as proliferative lesions and other publications by this author under similar studies reported that a similar lesion (large foci of cellular alteration) was only distinguishable from adenomas because the nodules caused compression at less than 80% of it's surface (DeAngelo 2008).</li> <li>Strengths and limitations: The chemicals were not characterized, not even purity was reported. Disease surveillance was not continually monitored. A variable number of only females was tested, with only their livers examined histologically. Study duration was less than life-span.</li> </ul>
Bull et al. 1990	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: All mice survived.
Animal: Mouse B6C3F1	Dichloroacetic acid Analytical grade	0	0/2	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: A non- significant increase in hyperplasia was reported.
M 5 weeks <b>Treatment</b> :	1,000	0/1	Strengths and limitations: The chemical wasn't	
Study duration:	Drinking water	2,000	2/10 (20%)	characterized, disease surveillance wasn't reported. A low
J2 WEERS	1,000	Liver – Carcinoma	3	- life-span duration and only males had results reported Only
	2,000 mg/L in drinking	0	0/2	livers were histologically examined. Not all lesions were
		1,000	0/1	histologically evaluated, but instead samples of lesions were

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
	water (pH 6.8-7.2) ad libitum x 52 weeks	2,000	5/10 (50%)	evaluated. Results were reported so that incidences of specific neoplasms could not be determined, but could be estimated.
Daniel <i>et al</i> . 1992 Animal:	Agent: Dichloroacetic acid	Liver – Adenoma	1/20 (50/)	<b>Survival</b> : Survival was not significantly different: 13/10, 10/10 - 16/18, 8/10
Mouse B6C3F1	>95%	0 500	1/20(5%) 10/24**(42%)	<b>Body weight</b> : No significant differences in body weight.
M 28 days Study duration:	Drinking water	Liver – Carcinoma	10/24 (42/0)	_ Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Hyperplastic nodules were not significantly increased. They are likely
104 weeks	0 0	0	2/20 (10%)	considered part of the continuum towards neoplasia as it was reported combined with adenomas and carcinomas as
	500	500	15/24** (63%)	proliferative lesions and other publications by this author
	500 mg/l in distilled	Liver – Adenoma or carcinoma		under similar studies reported that a similar lesion (large foci
	libitum x 104 weeks	0	3/20 (15%)	adenomas because the nodules caused compression at less
Two sets of animals were used as they were born, both groups are the same, just started at different times. Except for the body weights, the two groups were statistically analyzed as one combined group.	500	18/24** (75%)	than 80% of it's surface (DeAngelo 2008). Other comments: All tumors occurred at necropsy and did not cause premature mortality. Both groups of controls and exposed mice were combined into one group each. Fraction incidence was based on surviving animals as the denominator and percent incidence was also reported. <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : Continual disease surveillance was not reported. Only males were tested and only at one dose level. Histological evaluations from all major organs only occurred in five mice per group, with histological evaluations in the other mice only occurring on tissues with gross lesions.	
NTP 2007a Animal:	Agent: Dibromoacetic acid	Liver – Adenoma <sup>a</sup>		<b>Survival</b> : Survival was similar in all groups.
Mouse B6C3F1	>99%	0	18/49 (42%)	<b>Body weight:</b> Body weights were greater in the 50 and 500
M 6 weeks <b>Study duration:</b> 106 weeks	Treatment:	50	37/50**** (78%)	mg/l groups compared to the untreated controls after 85
	Drinking water 0 50	500 1,000	37/50*** <sup>b</sup> (80%) 42/50*** <sup>b</sup> (89%)	weeks. Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Spleen hematopoiesis 18/49 - 20/50, 28/50, 38/50
	500	Trend p-value: <	0.001	Other comments: Water consumption was similar to controls

-

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
	1,000 mg/L of drinking	Liver – Carcinoma	2ª	Onset was reported in days.
	water ad libitum x 106 weeks	0	14/49 (31%)	Strengths and limitations: Large numbers of animals per
	WCCK5	50	9/50 (19%)	monitored for disease. Three dose levels spanning a range of
	Average daily dose: 0 - 4, 45, 87 mg/kg	500	19/50 (41%)	200 fold were used. Lesions and all major organs were
		1,000	26/50*c (55%)	histologically evaluated and statistics were clearly reported.
		Trend p-value: <	0.001	
		Liver – Adenoma	or carcinomaª	
		0	28/49 (61%)	
		50	41/50** <sup>d</sup> (86%)	
		500	42/50*** <sup>d</sup> (88%)	
		1,000	47/50*** <sup>d</sup> (96%)	
	Trend p-value: <	0.001	_	
		Liver – Hepatobla	stomaª	
		0	0/49	
		50	4/50 (9%)	
		500	6/50* (13%)	
		1,000	18/50***e (39%)	
		Trend p-value: <	0.001	_
		Liver – Adenoma, hepatoblastomaª	carcinoma or	
		0	28/49 (61%)	
		50	41/50** (86%)	
		500	43/50*** (90%)	
		1,000	48/50*** (97%)	
		Trend p-value: <	0.001	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
NTP 2007a	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma <sup>a</sup>		Survival: Survival was similar in all groups.
<b>Animal:</b> Mouse B6C3F1	Dibromoacetic acid	0	19/49 (41%)	38/50 - 35/50, 32/50, 32/50 <b>Body weight:</b> Body weights were similar to the untreated
F 6 weeks	Treatment:	50	26/50 (57%)	controls.
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	$32/50^{**f}(70\%)$	Other comments: Water consumption was similar to controls.
106 weeks	0 50	1,000	$35/49^{***f}$ (76%)	Onset was reported in days. Strengths and limitations: Large numbers of animals per
	500	Trend p-value: <	0.001	group were used in both sexes and were continuously
	1,000 mg/L of drinking	Liver – Carcinoma	2 <sup>a</sup>	monitored for disease. Three dose levels spanning a range of
	water ad libitum x 106 weeks	0	3/49 (7%)	<sup>-</sup> 200 fold were used. Lesions and all major organs were histologically evaluated and statistics were clearly reported.
Average daily dose: 0 -		50	3/50 (7%)	
	500	12/50**g (27%)		
	4, 55, 65 mg/kg	1,000	8/49 (18%)	
		Trend p-value: =	0.019	
		Liver – Adenoma or carcinomaª		
		0	22/49 (48%)	-
		50	28/50 (61%)	
		500	37/50*** <sup>h</sup> (80%)	
		1,000	37/49*** <sup>h</sup> (80%)	
		Trend p-value: <	0.001	
		Liver – Hepatobla	stoma	-
		0	1/49 (2%)	-
		50	0/50	
		500	1/50 (2%)	
		1,000	0/49	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
NTP 2009	Agent:	Liver – Adenomaª		Survival: No significant difference:
Animal:	Bromochloroacetic acid	0	2/50 <sup>i</sup> (4.6%)	31/50 - 26/50, 25/50, 29/50
Rat F344/N M 6-7 weeks	96% <b>Treatment</b> :	250	0/50	Body weight: 1,000 mg/l group was 10% less than controls after 69 weeks.
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	3/50 <sup>i</sup> (7.5%)	Strengths and limitations: A very high quality study, with
105 weeks	0	1,000	4/50 <sup>i</sup> (9.5%)	no major concerns.
	250 500			
	1,000 mg/L of drinking			
	water ad libitum x 105			
NTP 2009	Agent <sup>.</sup>	Liver – Adenomaª		Survival: No significant difference:
Animal:	Bromochloroacetic acid	0	0/50	34/50 - 31/50, 37/50, 35/50
Rat F344/N 96%	250	0/50	<b>Body weight</b> : 1,000 mg/l group was <10% of controls. after	
F 6-7 weeks Study duration:	Drinking water	500	0/50	So weeks. Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Lung alveolar
Study duration.Drinking water105 weeks0	0	1 000	3/50 <sup>1</sup> (6.6%)	epithelium hyperplasia occurred at increased incidences.
	250 500 1,000 mg/L of drinking water ad libitum x 105	Trend n-value: -	0.012	Strengths and limitations: A very high quality study, with
		Trend p-value. =	0.012	no major concerns.
	weeks			
NTP 2009	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma <sup>a</sup>		Survival: 38/50 - 35/50, 30/50, 21/50
Mouse B6C3F1	96%	0	27/50 (58.7%)	after 97 weeks.
M 6-7 weeks	Treatment:	250	40/50** <sup>k</sup> (83.6%)	Strengths and limitations: A very high quality study, with
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	40/50** <sup>k</sup> (83.7%)	no major concerns.
105 weeks 0 250 500	250	1,000	31/50 (67.4%)	_
	500	Liver – Carcinoma	la	_
	1,000 mg/L of drinking	0	19/50 (39.6%)	
	water ad libitum x 105 weeks	250	25/50 <sup>1</sup> (52.5%)	
		500	36/50*** <sup>1</sup> (76.9%)	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
		1,000	45/50*** <sup>1</sup> (92.7%)	
		Trend p-value:	< 0.001	
		Liver – Adenoma	a or carcinoma <sup>a</sup>	-
		0	34/50 (70.6%)	_
		250	44/50* <sup>m</sup> (89.7%)	
		500	49/50*** <sup>m</sup> (99.9%)	
		1,000	49/50*** <sup>m</sup> (98.6%)	
		Trend p-value:	< 0.001	
		Liver – Hepatobl	astomaª	_
		0	4/50 (8.8%)	_
		250	11/50* (23.8%)	
		500	28/50*** <sup>n</sup> (61.3%)	
		1,000	34/50*** <sup>n</sup> (73.7%)	
		Trend p-value:	< 0.001	
		Liver – Adenoma hepatoblastoma	a, carcinoma, or	_
		0	21/50 (43.8%)	_
		250	32/50* (66.3%)	
		500	43/50*** (90.7%)	
		1,000	49/50*** (98%)	
		Trend p-value:	< 0.001	
NTP 2009	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma	la	Survival: 36/50 - 42/50, 32/50, 40/50
Animal: Bromochloroacetic acid	0	27/50 (59.4%)	<b>Body weight</b> : No significant difference.	
F 6-7 weeks	Treatment:	250	48/50***° (96%)	no major concerns.
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	44/50**** (90.9%)	-
	0	1,000	46/50**** (95.2%)	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
105 weeks	250 500 1,000 mg/L of drinking water ad libitum x 105 weeks	Trend p-value: <0.001		
		Liver – Carcinoma <sup>a</sup>		
		0	14/50 <sup>p</sup> (31.1%)	
		250	23/50 <sup>p</sup> (48.3%)	
		500	26/50*p (56.1%)	
		1,000	20/50 <sup>p</sup> (42.3%)	
		Liver – Adenoma or carcinoma <sup>a</sup>		
		0	31/50 <sup>q</sup> (67.6%)	
		250	49/50*** <sup>q</sup> (98%)	
		500	46/50*** <sup>q</sup> (94.6%)	
		1,000	46/50*** <sup>q</sup> (95.2%)	
		Trend p-value: «	< 0.001	
DeAngelo et al. (1997)	Agent: Trichloroacetic acid >99% Treatment: Drinking water 0+ 2,500++ mg/L in drinking water (pH 6.9- 7.1) ad libitum x 104 weeks	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: No significant difference in survival:
Animal: Rat F344/N M 28-30 days Study duration: 104 weeks		0	1/23 (4%)	23/29 - 24/32, 19/32, 22/29 <b>Body weight</b> : Body weights were similar among the 0.05 and 0.5 g/l groups, but decreased more than 10% compared to controls in the 5 g/l group. Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Hyperplastic nodules were reported but were not significantly increased
		50	1/24 (4%)	
		500	3/20 (15%)	
		5,000	1/22 (5%)	
		Liver – Carcinoma		They are likely considered part of the continuum towards
		0	0/23	neoplasia as it was reported combined with adenomas and
		50	0/24	this author under similar studies reported that a similar lesion (large foci of cellular alteration) was only distinguishable from adenomas because the nodules caused compression at less than 80% of it's surface (De Angelo 2008)
	+ 31-32 mM NaCl (~isomolar to 5,000 mg/l of TCA)	500	0/20	
		5,000	1/22 (5%)	
		Liver – Adenoma or carcinoma		Other comments: Amount of water consumed was similar
	++ 1,500 mg/L x 8 weeks then 1,000 mg/L	0	1/23 (4%)	among groups (76.9 ml/kg/d - 71.2, ml/kg/d, 70.6 ml/kg/d, 74,2 ml/kg/d).
		50	1/24 (4%)	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
after 24 weeks of significant of in body weight	after 24 weeks, because	500	3/20 (15%)	<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : A well conducted study on almost all aspects, but only involved male rats.
	of significant differences in body weight gain	5,000	1/22 (5%)	
DeAngelo et al. 2008	Agent: Trichloroacetic acid 99% Treatment: Drinking water 0+ 50 500	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: No difference in survival: 30/30 - 27/30, 29/30,
Animal:		0	2/30 (7%)	29/30 <b>Body weight</b> : Not reported. Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Large foci of cellular alteration were significantly increased at 5,000 mg/L (p<005). Large foci of cellular alteration were considered pro paoplastic
B6C3F1		50	4/27 (15%)	
M 28-30 days		500	6/29 (21%)	
Study duration:		5,000	11/29 <sup>r</sup> (38%)	
00 weeks		Liver – Carcinoma		Other comments: Denominators of incidences are based on
	5,000 mg/L in drinking	0	2/30 (7%)	surviving mice. Water consumption decreased in 0.5 and 5.0 g/l groups. <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : Three dose levels were used that spanned a 100 fold range. Most organs were histologically evaluated and evaluations were confirmed by an independent pathological statement.
	water ad libitum x 60 weeks + 2,000 mg/L NaCl	50	1/27 (4%)	
		500	6/29 (21%)	
		5,000	11/29 <sup>r</sup> (38%)	
		Liver – Adenoma or carcinoma		- pathologist.
		0	4/30 (13%)	
		50	4/27 (15%)	
		500	11/29 <sup>r</sup> (38%)	
		5,000	16/29 <sup>r</sup> (55%)	
DeAngelo et al. 2008 Animal: Mouse (Study 2) B6C3F1 M 28-30 days Study duration: 104 weeks	Agent: Trichloroacetic acid 99% Treatment: Drinking water 0+ 4,500 mg/L in drinking water ad libitum x 104 weeks	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: No difference in survival: 34/51 - 30/42
		0	0/25	<b>Body weight</b> : No reported. Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Large foci of cellular alteration were not significantly increased. Large
		4,500	21/36 <sup>r</sup> (59%)	
		Liver – Carcinoma		foci of cellular alteration were considered pre-neoplastic.
		0	3/25 (12%)	Other comments: Denominators of incidences are based on surviving mice. Water consumption decreased in 0.5 and 5.0 g/l groups.
		4,500	28/36 <sup>r</sup> (78%)	
		Liver – Adenoma or carcinoma		Strengths and limitations: Only one dose level was tested,
		0	3/25 (12%)	but was given for a near life-span of a large number of

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments	
	+ 1.5 g/L of neutralized acetic acid	4,500	32/36 <sup>r</sup> (89%)	animals. Most organs were histologically evaluated and evaluations were confirmed by an independent pathologist.	
DeAngelo et al. 2008	Agent: Trichloroacetic acid 99% Treatment: Drinking water 0 50 500 mg/L in neutralized drinking water ad libitum x 104 weeks	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: No difference in survival: 34/51 - 29/53, 27/51	
Animal:		0	9/42 (21%)	<b>Body weight</b> : No reported. Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Large foci of cellular alteration were significantly increased at 5,00 mg/L (p<005). Large foci of cellular alteration were considered	
B6C3F1		50	8/35 (23%)		
M 28-30 days		500	19/37 <sup>r</sup> (51%)		
Study duration:		Liver – Carcinoma		pre-neoplastic.	
104 weeks		0	23/42 (55%)	Strengths and limitations: Only two dose level were tested, but were low compared to other studies and were	
		50	14/35 (40%)		
		500	29/37 <sup>r</sup> (78%)		
		[Trend p value: <0.01]		given for near a life-span of time to a large number of animals. Most organs were histologically evaluated and evaluations were confirmed by an independent pathologist.	
		Liver – Adenoma or carcinoma			
		0	27/42 (64%)		
		50	20/35 (57%)		
		500	32/37 <sup>r</sup> (87%)		
Herren-Freund <i>et al.</i> 1987 Animal: Mouse B6C3F1 M 4 weeks Study duration: 61 weeks	Agent: Trichloroacetic acid >99% Treatment: Drinking water 0+ 5,000 mg/L in drinking water (pH 6.5-7.5) ad libitum x 61 weeks	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: Not reported.	
		0	2/22 (9%)	<b>Body weight</b> : Body weights were significantly decreased	
		5,000	8/22** (36%)	variance with a Tukey's comparison.	
		Liver – Carcinoma		Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Not reported.	
		0	0/22	<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The durations was less than near life-span. Only males were tested at a single dose level and only livers were histologically evaluated.	
		5,000	7/22** (32%)		

+ 2,000 mg/L NaCl

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
DesignExposurePereira 1996Agent: Trichloroacetic acidMouse (Study 1)(TCA)B6C3F1Not reportedF 7-8 weeksTreatment: Drinking waterStudy duration:Drinking water576 days0+ 330++ 1,100++ 3,300++ mg/L in filtered and deionized water, pH 6.5-7.5 ad libitum x 576 days+ 20.0 mmol/L NaCl++ Concentrations were reported as mmol/L and calculated to mg/L based on a mw of 163.3869 g/mol	Agent: Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) Not reported <b>Treatment</b> : Drinking water 0+ 330++	Liver – Adenoma 0 330 1,100 3,300 Liver – Carcinoma	2/90 (2.2%) 4/53 (7.6%) 3/27 (11.1%) 7/18* (38.9%)	Survival: Not reported Body weight: The high dose level of DCA was caused significant weight loss after 35 weeks and beyond, while the high dose of TCA caused it at 51 weeks, with near significant decreases beyond. Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The foci of altered hepatocytes were reported combined with neoplasms, but not separately. They are likely considered part of the
	0 330 1,100 3,300 [Trend p-value: <	2/90 (2.2%) 0/53 5/27** (18.5%) 5/18** (27.8%)	continuum towards neoplasia as it was reported combined with adenomas and carcinomas as proliferative lesions and other publications by this author under similar studies reported that a similar lesion (large foci of cellular alteratio was only distinguishable from adenomas because the nodul caused compression at less than 80% of it's surface (DeAngelo 2008). <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The chemicals were not characterized, not even purity was reported. Disease surveillance was not continually monitored. A variable number of only females were tested, with only their livers examined histologically.	
Pereira 1996 Animal: Mouse (Study 2) B6C3F1 F 7-8 weeks	Agent: Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) Not reported <b>Treatment</b> :	Liver – Adenoma 0 330 1,100	1/40 (2.5%) 3/40 (7.5%) 3/19 (15.8%)	Survival: Not reported Body weight: The high dose level of DCA was caused significant weight loss after 35 weeks and beyond, while the high dose of TCA caused it at 51 weeks, with near significant decreases beyond.
Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
-----------------------------	--	-------------------	---------------------------	--
Study duration: 360 days	Drinking water 0+ 330++ 1,100++ 3,300++mg/L in filtered and deionized water, pH 6.5-7.5 ad libitum x 360 days + 20 mmol/L NaCl ++ Concentrations were reported as mmol/L and were calculated to mg/L based on a mw of 163.3869g/mol	3,300	2/20 (10%)	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The foci of altered hepatocytes were reported combined with neoplasms, but not separately. They are likely considered part of the continuum towards neoplasia as it was reported combined with adenomas and carcinomas as proliferative lesions and other publications by this author under similar studies reported that a similar lesion (large foci of cellular alteration) was only distinguishable from adenomas because the nodules caused compression at less than 80% of it's surface (DeAngelo 2008). <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The chemicals were not characterized, not even purity was reported. Disease surveillance was not continually monitored. A variable number of only females were tested, with only their livers examined histologically.
		Liver – Carcinoma		_
		0	0/40	
		330	0/40	
		1,100	0/19	
		3,300	5/20* (25%)	
Bull <i>et al.</i> 1990	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: All mice survived.
Animal: Mouse B6C3F1	Analytical grade	0	0/2	significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: A non- significant increase in hyperplasia was reported.
M 5 weeks	Treatment:	1,000	2/5 (40%)	Strengths and limitations: The chemical wasn't
Study duration:	Drinking water	2,000	1/11 (9%)	characterized, disease surveillance wasn't reported. A low
J2 WEEKS	1,000	Liver – Carcinom	a	_ life-span duration and only males had results reported. Only
	2,000 mg/L in drinking	0	0/2	livers were histologically examined. Not all lesions were
	water (pH 6.8-7.2) ad libitum x 52 wk	1,000	2/5 (40%)	histologically evaluated, but instead samples of lesions were evaluated. Results were reported so that incidences of
	ndhum x 32 WK	2,000	4/11 (36.4%)	specific neoplasms could not be determined, but could be estimated.

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
Von Tungeln et al. 2002	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: One mouse died after the age of 28 days in the 20
Animal:	Trichloroacetic acid	0	0/23	month vehicle control group. All other groups had no
Mouse (Study 1) B6C3E1	Purity not reported	1,000	4/23 (17%)	mortality after 28 days of age. Body weight: Not reported
M 8 days (neonatal)	ip injection	Liver – Carcinoma	1	Other comments: Early mortality, before 28 days of age was
Study duration:	0+	0	0/23	not reported, but was as high as 29% in some groups (which
20 months	1,000 nmol	1,000	1/23 (4%)	may have included testing of other chemicals). <b>Strengths and limitations:</b> The study used both positive and
	1/3 of the dose was	Liver – Adenoma	or carcinoma	negative controls, but did not characterize the chemicals and
	injected at age 8 days and $2/2$ at age 15 days	0	0/23	- used a small, number of male mice per group. Only two
	and 2/5 at age 15 days	1,000	5/23[*] (22%)	the duration of observation was almost near life-span. Early
+ DMSO	+ DMSO			mortality wasn't reported.
Von Tungeln et al. 2002	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: One mouse died after the age of 28 days in the 20
Animal: Mouse (Study 1)	Trichloroacetic acid	0	0/23	month vehicle control group. All other groups had no mortality after 28 days of are
B6C3F1	Treatment:	1,000	0/23	<b>Body weight</b> : Not reported.
F 8 days (neonatal)	ip injection	Liver – Carcinoma		Other comments: Early mortality, before 28 days of age was
Study duration:	0+ 1,000 nmol 1/3 of the dose was	0	0/23	not reported, but was as high as 29% in some groups (which may have included testing of other chemicals)
20 months		1,000	0/23	<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The study used both positive and
		Liver – Adenoma or carcinoma		negative controls, but did not characterize the chemicals and
	and 2/3 at age 15 days	0	0/23	doses were administered at two narrow dose levels, though
		1,000	0/23	the duration of observation was almost near life-span. Early
	+ DMSO			mortality wasn't reported.
Von Tungeln <i>et al.</i> 2002	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		<b>Survival</b> : One mouse died after the age of 28 days in the 20
Animal: Mouse (Study 2) B6C3F1	Purity not reported	0	0/24	month vehicle control group. All other groups had no mortality after 28 days of age.
	Treatment:	2,000	4/24 (17%)	<b>_ Body weight</b> : Not reported.
M 8 days (neonatal)	ip injection	Liver – Carcinoma	1	Other comments: Early mortality, before 28 days of age was
Study duration:	0+ 2.000 nmol	0	0/24	not reported, but was as high as 29% in some groups (which may have included testing of other chemicals)
	-,	2,000	0/24	Strengths and limitations: The study used both positive and

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
12 months	3/7 of the dose was	Liver – Adenoma	or carcinoma	negative controls, but did not characterize the chemicals and
	injected at age 8 days and $4/7$ at age 15 days	0	0/24	used a small, number of male mice per group. Only two doses were administered at two narrow dose levels, though
	and 177 at ugo 15 duys.	2,000	4/24 (17%)	the duration of observation was almost near life-span. Early
	+ DMSO			mortality wasn't reported.
Von Tungeln <i>et al.</i> 2002	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: One mouse died after the age of 28 days in the 20
Animal: Mouse (Study 2)	Purity not reported	0	0/24	month vehicle control group. All other groups had no mortality after 28 days of age.
B6C3F1	Treatment:	2,000	0/24	Body weight: Not reported.
F 8 days (neonatal) Study duration:	1p injection 0+	Liver – Carcinom	a	- Other comments: Early mortality, before 28 days of age was not reported, but was as high as 29% in some groups (which
12 months	2,000 nmol	0	0/24	may have included testing of other chemicals).
	3/7 of the dose was	2,000	0/24	<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The study used both positive and negative controls but did not characterize the chemicals and
	injected at age 8 days and 4/7 at age 15 days.	Liver – Adenoma or carcinoma		used a small, number of male mice per group. Only two
		0	0/24	doses were administered at two narrow dose levels, though
	+ DMSO	2,000	0/24	mortality wasn't reported.
NTP 2015	Agent:	Liver – Adenomaª		Survival: Significant decrease in survival.
Animal: Mouse B6C3E1/N	Bromodichloroacetic	0	39/50 (87%)	<b>Body weight</b> : Significant decreased in body weight after 57 weeks at 1,000 mg/l and after 73 weeks at 500 mg/l
M 5-6 wk	97%	250	41/50 (90%)	Strengths and limitations: Well reported and designed
Study duration:	Treatment:	500	42/49 (91%)	study, with a large number of animals of both sexes exposed
105 wk	Drinking water 0	1,000	40/51 (91%)	for near life-span at three exposure levels.
	250 500 1,000 mg/L of drinking water ad libitum x 105 weeks	Liver – Carcinom	aª	
		0	12/50 (29%)	
		250	22/50* (50%)	
		500	27/49***t (66%)	
		1,000	39/51***t (87%)	
		Trend p-value: <	<0.001	
		Liver – Adenoma or carcinomaª		

-

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
		0	42/50 (91%)	
		250	47/50 (98%)	
		500	46/49 (97%)	
		1,000	48/51 (98%)	
		Liver – Hepatobla	istomaª	
		0	4/50 (10%)	-
		250	24/50*** <sup>u</sup> (54%)	
		500	40/49*** <sup>u</sup> (87%)	
		1,000	34/51*** <sup>u</sup> (78%)	
		Trend p-value: <	< 0.001	
		Liver – Adenoma hepatoblastomaª	, carcinoma, or	
		0	42/50 (91%)	
		250	50/50* (100%)	
		500	48/49 (98%)	
		1,000	49/51* (99%)	
		Trend p-value: =	=0.036	
		Liver – Hemangiosarcomaª		
		0	1/50 (3%)	
		250	4/50 (10%)	
		500	2/49 (6%)	
		1,000	4/51 (12%)	
NTP 2015 Ag	gent:	Liver – Adenoma	a	Survival: No effect on survival.
Animal: Bi	romodichloroacetic	0	33/49 <sup>v</sup> (75%)	30/50 - 33/50, 29/50, 27/50 Body weight: Significant decrease in body weight after 73
F 5-6 wk 97	7%	250	42/50* <sup>v</sup> (91%)	weeks at 1,000 mg/l and after 89 weeks at 250 mg/L.
Study duration: The	reatment:	500	42/49* <sup>v</sup> (93%)	Strengths and limitations: Well reported and designed

-

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
105 wk	Drinking water	1,000	44/50**v (93%)	study, with a large number of animals of both sexes exposed
	0 250	Trend p-value: =	=0.009	for near life-span at three exposure levels.
	500	Liver – Carcinom	aª	-
	1,000 mg/L of drinking	0	9/49 (21%)	-
	water ad libitum x 105 weeks	250	17/50 <sup>w</sup> (38%)	
		500	22/49**x (50%)	
		1,000	26/50***x (59%)	
		Trend p-value: «	< 0.001	
		Liver – Adenoma	or carcinoma <sup>a</sup>	_
		0	36/49 (81.1%)	
		250	44/50* (93.7%)	
		500	43/49* (94.7%)	
		1,000	46/50* (95.5%)	
		Trend p-value: =0.013		-
		Liver – Hepatoblastoma <sup>a</sup>		
		0	0/49	
		250	1/50 (2%)	
		500	4/49 (9%)	
		1,000	6/50* <sup>y</sup> (14%)	
		Trend p-value: =	=0.003	- -
		Liver – Hemangio	osarcomaª	
		0	2/49 (5%)	
		250	4/50 (9%)	
		500	4/49 (9%)	
		1,000	8/50* (19%)	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
		Trend p-value: =	0.026	

\* < 0.05; \*\* < 0.01; \*\*\* < 0.001 *P*-value.

[] = *P*-value calculated by NTP using Fisher's Exact Test for pair-wise compairsons or Cochran-Armitage Trend Test.

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted percent incidence based on Poly-3 estimated neoplasm incidence after adjustment for intercurrent mortality.

<sup>b</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 84/197 (range 34%–63%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 490/1,506 (range 12%–63%). <sup>c</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 57/197 (range 18%–42%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 344/1,506 (range 8%–46%). <sup>d</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 122/197 (range 48%–85%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 745/1,506 (range 0%–45%). <sup>e</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 11/197 (range 0%–13%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 22/1,506 (range 0%–13%). <sup>f</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 93/248 (range 18%–61%): exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 312/1,549 (range 6%–61%). <sup>g</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 28/248 (range 4%–26%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 128/1,549 (range 0%–26%). <sup>h</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 110/248 (range 20%–63%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 408/1,549 (range 8%–63%). <sup>i</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 4/300 (range 0%–4%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 10/1,199 (range 0%–4%).

<sup>k</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 140/247 (range 37%–72%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 544/1,146 (range 14%–72%). <sup>l</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 91/247 (range 28%–48%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 317/1,146 (range 8%–48%). <sup>m</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 182/247 (range 57%–85%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 729/1,146 (range 20%–85%). <sup>n</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 28/247 (range 0%–34%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 43/1,146 (range 0%–34%). <sup>o</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 133/297 (range 29%–61%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 345/1,245 (range 0%–62%). <sup>p</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 51/297 (range 6%–28%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 131/1,245 (range 0%–28%). <sup>q</sup>Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 158/297 (range 35%–63%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 419/1,245 (range 8%–64%). <sup>r</sup>P < 0.03.

 $^{\rm s}P = 0.054.$ 

Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 38/100 (range 24%–52%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 348/949 (range 22%–56%). "Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 10/100 (range 8%–12%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 40/949 (range 0%–12%). "Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 71/98 (range 67%–78%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 378/948 (range 14%–78%). "Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 20/98 (range 18%–22%).

\*Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 20/98 (range 18%–22%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 152/948 (range 4%–46%). \*Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 10/98 (range 8%–12%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 40/948 (range 0%–12%).

Table C-9. All other tumors

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
NTP (1992)	Agent:	All organs – Tum	or NOS	Survival: The 100 mg/kg group was lower than controls and
Animal:	Monochloroacetic acid	0	None	there was a significant trend: $46/60^{***}$ (trend <0.001) -
Mouse B6C3F1 M 7-8 weeks	99% Treatment	50	None	39/60, 21/60***(<0.001) <b>Body weight</b> : Body weights were similar to controls
M 7-8 weeks Treatment: Study duration: Gavage 104 weeks 0 50 100 mg/kg bw in deionized water 5 doses/week x 104 weeks	100	None	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The incident of forestomach pre-neoplasia (squamous cell hyperplasia) was significantly increased at 100 mg/kg. The incidence of nasal cavity pre-neoplasia (olfactory epithelium metaplasia) was not significantly increased. Metaplasia was from olfactory epithelium to ciliated columnar respiratory epithelium. <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The study was well conducted rule out confounding and with a strong power to detect tur induction. However, only two exposed dose levels were tested, which limit the detection of dose response relationships.	
NTP (1992)	Agent:	All organs – Tum	or NOS	Survival: Survival of the exposed groups were similar to
Animal: Mouse B6C3F1	Monochloroacetic acid	0	None	controls: 42/60 - 40/60, 44/60 <b>Body weight:</b> Body weights of the low dose group were
F 7-8 weeks	Treatment:	50	None	similar to controls, but after a year the high dose group had
Study duration: 104 weeks	Gavage 0 50 100 mg/kg bw in deionized water 5 doses/week x 104 weeks	100	None	significantly lower body weight. Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The incidences of pre-neoplasia in the forestomach (squamous cell hyperplasia) and nasal cavity were significantly increased at 100 mg/kg. Hyperplasia included diffuse, foca and multifocal lesions. Metaplasia was from olfactory epithelium to ciliated columnar respiratory epithelium. <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The study was well conducted rule out confounding and with a strong power to detect tun induction. However, only two exposed dose levels were tested, which limit the detection of dose response relationships.

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
NTP 2007a	Agent:	Whole body – Malignant mesotheliomaª		Survival: Survival was similar in all groups.
Animal:	Dibromoacetic acid	0	3/50 (7%)	34/50 - 24/50, 30/50, 28/50 <b>Pody weight:</b> Pody weights were lower in the 500 (after 57)
M 6 weeks	>99% Treatment:	50	1/50 (2%)	weeks) and 1,000 (after 29 weeks) mg/l groups compared to
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	0/50	the untreated controls.
106 weeks	0 50	1,000	10/50*b (23%)	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Liver cystic degeneration (3/50 - 9/50* 11/50* 15/50**)
	500	Trend p-value: <	0.001	Other comments: Water consumption was reduced in the
	1,000 mg/L of drinking	Whole body – Mo	nonuclear cell leukemia <sup>a</sup>	- 1,000 mg/l group after 2 years.
	106 weeks	0	17/50 <sup>c</sup> (37%)	group were used in both sexes and were continuously
	Mars 1.11 1	50	31/50**° (66%)	monitored for disease. Three dose levels spanning a range of
Mean daily o 20, 40 mg/kg	Nean daily doses $(0 - 2, 20, 40 \text{ mg/kg bw})$	500	24/50° (56%)	histologically evaluated and statistics were clearly reported.
	, , , , ,	1,000	13/50 (30%)	
		Lung – Adenoma	a	
		0	2/50 (4.6%)	-
		50	0/50	
		500	4/50 (10.1%)	
		1,000	2/50 (4.9%)	
		Lung – Adenoma	or carcinoma <sup>a</sup>	
		0	2/50 (4.6%)	-
		50	1/50 (2.4%)	
		500	5/50 (12.6%)	
		1,000	2/50 (4.9%)	
NTP 2007a	Agent:	Whole body – Ma	lignant mesothelioma	Survival: Survival was similar in all groups.
Animal: Rat E344/N	Dibromoacetic acid	0	0/50	34/50 - 39/50, 35/50, 32/50 <b>Body weight:</b> Body weights were lower in the 1,000 (after
F 6 weeks	Treatment:	50	0/50	49 weeks) mg/l groups compared to the untreated controls.
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	1/50 (2%)	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: Significant

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
106 weeks	0	1,000	0/50	increases in the incidence of lung per-neoplasia (alveolar
	50 500	Whole body – Mo	nonuclear cell leukemiaª	epithelium hyperplasia) occurred at 500 and 1,000 mg/L. Kidney penbropathy (18/50 - 32/50** 37/50** 40/50**)
	1,000 mg/L of drinking	0	11/50 (24%)	Other comments: Water consumption was reduced in the
	water (pH 5) ad libitum x	50	13/50 (27%)	1,000 mg/l group after 2 years.
	106 weeks	500	16/50 <sup>d</sup> (35%)	Strengths and limitations: Large numbers of animals per group were used in both sexes and were continuously
	Mean daily doses (0 - 2,	1,000	22/50*d (47%)	monitored for disease. Three dose levels spanning a range of
	25, 45 mg/kg bw)	Trend p-value: =	=0.006	200 fold were used. Lesions and all major organs were
		Lung – Adenoma	or carcinoma	- instologically evaluated and statistics were clearly reported.
		0	2/50 (4%)	
		50	3/50 (8%)	
		500	2/50 (4%)	
		1,000	5/50 <sup>e</sup> (10%)	
NTP 2007a	Agent: Dibromoacetic acid	Lung – Adenomaª		Survival: Survival was similar in all groups.
Animal: Mouse B6C3F1		0	7/49 (16%)	31/50 - 38/50, 34/50, 31/50 <b>Body weight:</b> Body weights were greater in the 50 and 500
M 6 weeks	Treatment:	50	5/50 (11%)	mg/l groups compared to the untreated controls after 85
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	17/50*f (38%)	weeks.
106 weeks	0 50 500 1,000 mg/L of drinking water (pH 5) ad libitum x 106 weeks	1,000	12/50 <sup>g</sup> (27%)	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The incidence of lung pre-neoplasia (Alveolar epithelium hyperplasia) was
		Trend p-value: =	=0.019	not significantly increased compared to controls. Spleen hematopoiesis occurred at an significant increased incidences
		Lung – Carcinom	a	
		0	5/49 (10%)	Other comments: Water consumption was similar to controls.
		50	8/50 <sup>h</sup> (16%)	Strengths and limitations: Large numbers of animals per
	Average daily dose: 0 -	500	8/50 <sup>h</sup> (16%)	group were used in both sexes and were continuously
	4, 45, 87 mg/kg	1,000	7/50 <sup>h</sup> (14%)	_ 200 fold were used. Lesions and all major organs were
		Lung – Adenoma	or carcinoma <sup>a</sup>	histologically evaluated and statistics were clearly reported.
		0	12/49 <sup>i</sup> (28%)	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
		50	12/50 (26%)	
		500	22/50* <sup>j</sup> (49%)	
		1,000	17/50 <sup>i</sup> (37%)	
NTP 2007a	Agent:	Lung – Adenoma	la	Survival: Survival was similar in all groups.
Animal:	Dibromoacetic acid	0	1/50 (2%)	38/50 - 35/50, 32/50, 32/50
F 6 weeks	>99% <b>Treatment</b> :	50	3/50 (7%)	<b>Body weight</b> : Body weights were similar to the untreated controls.
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	3/50 (7%)	Other comments: Water consumption was similar to controls.
106 weeks	0	1,000	6/50 <sup>k</sup> (13%)	<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : Large numbers of animals per
	500	Trend p-value:	= 0.044	monitored for disease. Three dose levels spanning a range of
	1,000 mg/L of drinking	Lung – Carcinoma		200 fold were used. Lesions and all major organs were
	water (pH 5) ad libitum x	0	1/50 (2%)	- histologically evaluated and statistics were clearly reported.
		50	2/50 (4%)	
	Average daily dose: 0 -	500	2/50 (4%)	
	4, 35, 65 mg/kg	1,000	2/50 (4%)	
		Lung – Adenoma or carcinoma <sup>a</sup>		
		0	2/50 (4%)	-
		50	5/50 (11%)	
		500	5/50 (11%)	
		1,000	7/50 <sup>1</sup> (15%)	
NTP 2009	Agent:	Mammary gland	– Fibroadenoma	Survival: No significant difference:
Animal:BrRat F344/N96M 6-7 weeksTr	Bromochloroacetic acid	0	3/50 (6%)	31/50 - 26/50, 25/50, 29/50
	96% <b>Treatment</b> :	250	4/50 (8%)	after 69 weeks.
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	3/50 (6%)	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The incidence
105 weeks	0 250	1,000	4/50 (8%)	of lung pre-neoplasia (alveolar epithelium hyperplasia) was
	500	Lung – Adenoma	a or carcinoma <sup>a</sup>	Strengths and limitations: A very high quality study, with

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
	1,000 mg/L of drinking water x 105 weeks	0	3/50 (7%)	no major concerns.
		250	1/50 (2.5%)	
		500	0/50	
		1,000	3/50 (7.1%)	
		Pancreatic islets	– Adenomaª	-
		0	3/50 (7%)	-
		250	4/50 (9.4%)	
		500	9/50* (21.6%)	
		1,000	3/50 (7.1%)	
		All organs – Malignant mesotheliomaª		-
		0	1/50 (2.3%)	-
		250	5/50 (11.7%)	
		500	10/50** (23.7%)	
		1,000	6/50 (14%)	
		Large intestine – Adenoma <sup>a</sup>		-
		0	0/50	-
		250	2/50 (4.8%)	
		500	0/50	
		1,000	4/50 (9.5%)	
		Trend p-value:	=0.031	
NTP 2009	Agent:	Mammary gland	– Fibroadenomaª	Survival: No significant difference:
Animal:	Bromochloroacetic acid	0	43/50 (92%)	34/50 - 31/50, 37/50, 35/50 <b>Body weight:</b> 1,000 mg/l group was <10% of controls often
F 6-7 weeks	Treatment:	250	43/50 (90%)	85 weeks.
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	47/50 (96.9%)	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The incidence
	0	1.000	46/50 (96.9%)	of lung pre-neoplasia (alveolar epithelium hyperplasia) was

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
105 weeks	250 500	Mammary gland - only)ª	- Fibroadenoma (multiple	significantly increased at 1,000 mg/L. <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : A very high quality study, with
	1,000 mg/L of drinking	0	22/50 (44%)	no major concerns.
	water ad nonum x 105 weeks	250	24/50 (48%)	
		500	43/50** (86%)	
		1,000	38/50** (76%)	
		Lung – Adenoma	or carcinoma	
		0	1/50 (2%)	
		250	1/50 (2%)	
		500	2/50 (4%)	
		1,000	2/50 (4%)	
		Pancreatic islets – Adenoma <sup>a</sup>		
		0	3/49 (7%)	
		250	1/50 (2.3%)	
		500	1/50 (2.2%)	
		1,000	2/50 (4.4%)	
		Large intestine – Adenoma <sup>a</sup>		
		0	0/50	
		250	0/50	
		500	3/50 (6.6%)	
		1,000	7/50** (15.5%)	
		Trend p-value: <	0.001	
NTP 2009	Agent:	Harderian gland -	- Adenomaª	Survival: 38/50 - 35/50, 30/50, 21/50
Animal: Mouse B6C3F1	Bromochloroacetic acid	0	5/50 (11.1%)	<b>Body weight:</b> 1,000 mg/l group was 12% lower than controls after 97 weeks
M 6-7 weeks	Treatment:	250	9/50 (20%)	Strengths and limitations: A very high quality study, with
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	9/50 (20.7%)	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
105 weeks	0 250 500 1,000 mg/L of drinking water ad libitum x 105 weeks	1,000	8/50 (18.5%)	no major concerns.
NTP 2009	Agent:	Harderian gland -	- Adenomaª	Survival: 36/50 - 42/50, 32/50, 40/50
Animal:	Bromochloroacetic acid	0	1/50 (2.2%)	Body weight: No significant difference.
F 6-7 weeks	<b>Treatment</b> :	250	7/50* (14.5%)	gland focal hyperplasia was also significantly increased in
Study duration:	Drinking water	500	1/50 (2.2%)	the 250 mg/l group.
105 weeks 250 500 1,000 mg/L of drinkin water ad libitum x 10 weeks	0 250 500 1,000 mg/L of drinking water ad libitum x 105 weeks	1,000	7/50* (14.7%)	Other comments: The significance was possibly found because of the low incidence of the untreated controls, which were at the bottom end of the historical control range. <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : A very high quality study, with no major concerns.
NTP 2015	Agent:	Whole body – Ma	lignant mesothelioma <sup>a</sup>	Survival: No effect on survival.
Animal: Rat E3/4/NTac	Bromodichloroacetic	0	1/50 (3%)	19/50 - 21/50, 25/50, 19/50 <b>Body weight:</b> Significant decrease of body weight after 89
M 5-6 wk	97%	250	12/50*** (28%)	weeks with 1,000 mg/l, associated with a 10% in water
Study duration:	<b>Treatment</b> : Drinking water 0 250	500	18/50*** (41%)	consumption.
105 wk		1,000	37/50*** (78%)	Other comments: Large intestine includes cecum, colon, and rectum
		Trend p-value: <	<0.001	Strengths and limitations: Well reported and designed
	500 1,000 mg/L of drinking	Mammary gland -	- Fibroadenomaª	study, with a large number of animals of both sexes exposed
	water ad libitum x 105	0	0/50	for hear me-span at mree exposure levels.
	weeks	250	2/50 (5%)	
		500	3/50 (7%)	
		1,000	1/50 (3%)	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
		Brain – Glioma or oligodendroglioma (original evaluation and extended evaluations)ª		
		0	1/50 (3%)	
		250	1/50 (3%)	
		500	4/50 (10%)	
		1,000	3/50 (8%)	
		Skin – Fibromaª		
		0	4/50 (10%)	
		250	6/50 (15%)	
		500	10/50 (23%)	
		1,000	15/50** (36%)	
		Trend p-value:	< 0.001	
		Skin – Keratoacanthomaª		
		0	7/50 (17%)	
		250	3/50 (8%)	
		500	10/50 (23%)	
		1,000	15/50* (37%)	
		Trend p-value:	=0.003	
		Skin – Squamou	s cell papillomaª	
		0	3/50 (8%)	
		250	1/50 (3%)	
		500	0/50	
		1,000	1/50 (3%)	
		Skin – Basal cell	adenomaª	
		0	0/50	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
		250	0/50	
		500	4/50 (9%)	
		1,000	4/50 (10%)	
		Trend p-value: =	=0.012	
		Skin – Squamous keratoacanthoma adenoma, basal o carcinoma, or sq	s cell papilloma, a, sebaceous gland cell adenoma, basal cell uamous cell carcinomaª	
		0	9/50 (22%)	
		250	7/50 (17%)	
		500	15/50 (34%)	
		1,000	21/50** (50%)	
		Trend p-value: <	< 0.001	_
		Large intestine -	Adenoma	_
		0	0/50	
		250	0/50	
		500	2/50 (4%)	
		1,000	2/50 (4%)	_
		Oral cavity – Squ squamous cell ca	amous cell papilloma or arcinomaª	
		0	1/50 (3%)	
		250	0/50	
		500	3/50 (7%)	
		1,000	3/50 (8%)	
NTP 2015 Animal: Rat F344/NTac	Agent: Bromodichloroacetic acid	Brain – Glioma or oligodendroglioma (original evaluation and extended evaluations)ª		<b>Survival</b> : Survival significantly decrease for the 500 and 1,000 mg/l groups and there was a significant negative trend of survival with exposure level
F 5-6 wk	>97%	0	1/50 (2.2%)	34/50 - 26/50, 7/50***, 2/50***

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
Study duration:	Treatment:	250	0/50	Body weight: Significant body weight loss compared to
up to 104 wk	Drinking water	500	3/50 (9%)	controls (10% lower than control) from 1,000 mg/l after 13 weeks and (20% lower than control) after 52 weeks. Water
	250	1000	1/50 (3.5%)	consumption was decreased durign the first year, but similar
	500	Mammary gland -	- Fibroadenomaª	to controls during the second year. Body weight loss was not
	1000 mg/L of drinking water ad libitum x 104	0	28/50 (60.1%)	Other comments: Large intestine includes the colon and
	weeks	250	47/50*** (96.6%)	rectum. The cecum was not reported, suggesting an
		500	47/50*** (99.1%)	incidence of zero.
		1000	39/50*** (89.6%)	study, with a large number of animals of both sexes exposed
		Trend p-value: <	< 0.001	for near life-span at three exposure levels.
		Mammary gland -	- Adenoma	
		0	1/50 (2%)	
		250	2/50 (4%)	
		500	3/50 (6%)	
		1000	1/50 (2%)	
		Mammary gland -	- Carcinoma <sup>a</sup>	
		0	0/50	
		250	1/50 (2.3%)	
		500	3/50 (9.1%)	
		1000	8/50*** (25.8%)	
		Trend p-value: <	< 0.001	
		Mammary gland – Adenoma or carcinoma		-
		0	1/50 (2%)	
		250	3/50 (6%)	
		500	6/50* (12%)	
		1000	9/50** (18%)	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
		Mammary gland fibroadenomaª	– Adenoma, carcinoma, or	
		0	28/50 (60.1%)	
		250	47/50*** (96.6%)	
		500	48/50*** (99.4%)	
		1000	42/50*** (92.5%)	
		Trend p-value:	< 0.001	
		Skin – Fibroma <sup>a</sup>		
		0	2/50 (4.4%)	
		250	0/50	
		500	3/50 (8.9%)	
		1000	2/50 (6.9%)	
		Skin – Basal cel	adenoma	
		0	0/50	
		250	0/50	
		500	0/50	
		1000	1/50 (2%)	
		Large intestine -	- Adenomaª	
		0	1/50 (2.2%)	
		250	0/50	
		500	1/50 (3.1%)	
		1000	2/50 (7%)	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
			amous cell papilloma or arcinomaª	
		0	0/50	-
		250	2/50 (4.6%)	
		500	1/50 (3.1%)	
		1000	2/50 (6.9%)	
NTP 2015	Agent	Harderian gland	– Adenomaª	Survival: Significant decrease in survival
Animal: Mouse B6C3F1/N	Bromodichloroacetic	0	6/50 (15%)	weeks at 1,000 mg/l and after 73 weeks at 500 mg/l
M 5-6 wk	97%	250	11/50 (26%)	Strengths and limitations: Well reported and designed
Study duration	Treatment Drinking water	500	14/49* (38%)	study, with a large number of animals of both sexes
105 WK		1,000	19/51*** (49%)	
	250	Trend p-value: <0.001		-
	500	Harderian gland – Carcinomaª		
	1,000 mg/L of drinking water ad libitum x 105	0	0/50	-
	weeks	250	0/50	
		500	0/49	
		1,000	3/51 (9%)	
		Trend p-value: =0.008		
		Harderian gland	– Adenoma or carcinomaª	-
		0	6/50 (15%)	-
		250	11/50 (26%)	
		500	14/49* (38%)	
		1,000	20/51*** (51%)	
		Trend p-value: <0.001		
NTP 2015	Agent:	Harderian gland	– Adenoma or carcinoma <sup>a</sup>	Survival: No significant change in survival.
Animal:	Bromodichloroacetic	0	5/50 (12%)	<b>Body weight</b> : Significant decrease in body weight after 73

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
Mouse B6C3F1/N	acid	250	4/50 (9%)	weeks at 1,000 mg/l and after 89 weeks at 250 mg/L.
F 5-6 wk Study duration:	97% <b>Treatment:</b>	500	7/50 (16%)	<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : Well reported and designed study, with a large number of animals of both sexes exposed
105 wk	Drinking water 0 250 500 1,000 mg/L of drinking water ad libitum x 105 weeks	1,000	6/50 (14%)	for near life-span at three exposure levels.

\* < 0.05, \*\* < 0.01, \*\*\* < 0.001 p-value

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted percent incidence based on Poly-3 estimated neoplasm incidence after adjustment for intercurrent mortality.

<sup>b</sup> Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 15/250 (range 0%–12%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 57/1,459 (range 0%–12%).

<sup>c</sup> Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 79/250 (range 26%–34%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 622/1,459 (range 22–68%).

<sup>d</sup> Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 47/200 (range 20%–30%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 383/1,459 (range 12–52%). <sup>e</sup> Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 8/200 (range 2%–6%).

Exceeds instorical controls from drinking water studies: 8/200 (range 2%-6%).

<sup>f</sup> Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 26/199 (range 6%–20%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 258/1,507 (range 4–28%).

<sup>g</sup> Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 26/199 (range 6%–20%).

<sup>h</sup> Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 16/199 (range 6%–10%).

<sup>i</sup> Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 41/199 (range 12%-26%).

<sup>j</sup> Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 41/199 (range 12%–26%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 385/1,507 (range 12–44%).

<sup>k</sup> Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 13/250 (range 2%–12%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 80/1,552 (range 0%–12%).

<sup>1</sup> Exceeds historical controls from drinking water studies: 16/250 (range 2%–12%); exceeds historical controls from studies of all routes: 117/1,552 (range 0%–14%).

## Table C-10. Transgenic studies

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
NTP 2007bAgent:Animal:Dichloroacetic acidMouse (Study 1) FVB98.5%-99%Tg.AC hemizygousTreatment:(FVB/N-TgN(v-Ha- ras)Led)Dermalo0M 6 weeks31.25Study duration:12539 weeks500 mg/kg bw inWater:Acetone (1:2) (j 6-8)5 doses/week x 39 week	Agent: Dichloroacetic acid 98.5%-99% Treatment:	Skin – Squamous 0 31.25	o cell papilloma 0/10 0/10	<b>Survival</b> : Survival was similar to untreated controls: 9/10 - 6/10, 8/10, 7/10 <b>Body weight</b> : Body weights were significantly lower in 31.25 mg/kg group after 22 weeks. 500 mg/kg after 21
	Dermal 0 31.25 125 500 mg/kg bw in Water:Acetone (1:2) (pH 6-8) 5 doses/week x 39 weeks	125 500	2/10 (20%) 8/10** (80%)	weeks, and 125 mg/kg temporarily was lower from weeks to 38, but were the same as controls by the end of the stud Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The incider of pre-neoplasia of the skin (epidermis hyperplasia) was significantly increased at 125 and 500 mg/kg. Other comments: Only reporting neoplasms at the site of application. <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The study was well conducted except for a low number of transgenic animals per group, which may overestimate carcinogenic potential. The abilit to translate hazards in this model to non-transgenic mice i limited.
NTP 2007b Animal: Mouse (Study 1) FVB Tg.AC hemizygous (FVB/N-TgN(v-Ha- ras)Led) F 6 weeks Study duration: 39 weeks	Agent: Dichloroacetic acid 98.5%-99% <b>Treatment:</b> Dermal 0 31.25 125 500 mg/kg bw in Water:Acetone (1:2) (pH 6-8) 5 doses/week x 39 weeks	Skin – Squamous 0 31.25 125 500	0/10 0/10 0/10 6/10** (60%)	<ul> <li>Survival: Survival was similar to untreated controls: 8/10 - 5/10, 6/10, 8/10</li> <li>Body weight: Body weights of 31.25 and 125 mg/kg groups were greater than controls at the end of the study, and 500 mg/kg were greater after 17 weeks.</li> <li>Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The incidence of pre-neoplasia of the skin (epidermis hyperplasia) was significantly increased at 500 mg/kg.</li> <li>Other comments: Only reporting neoplasms at the site of application.</li> <li>Strengths and limitations: The study was well conducted except for a low number of transgenic animals per group, which may overestimate carcinogenic potential. The ability to translate hazards in this model to non-transgenic mice is limited.</li> </ul>

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
NTP 2007b	Agent:	Skin – Squamous	cell papilloma	Survival: Survival was similar to untreated controls: 13/15 -
Animal: Dichloroacetic acid	0	0/15	14/15, 14/15, 12/15 <b>Body weight:</b> Body weights were similar to untreated	
Tg.AC hemizygous	Treatment:	31.25	0/15	controls.
(FVB/N-TgN(v-Ha-	Dermal	125	1/15 (7%)	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The incidence
M 6 weeks	31.25	500	2/15 (13%)	significantly increased at 125 and 500 mg/kg. The severity
Study duration:	125			grades of the hyperplasia in all cases were minimal.
26 weeks	S00 mg/kg bw in Water:Acetone (1:2) (pH			other comments: Only reporting neoplasms at the site of application.
	6-8)			Strengths and limitations: The study was well conducted
	5 doses/week x 26 weeks			except for a low number of transgenic animals per group, which may overestimate carcinogenic potential. The ability
				to translate hazards in this model to non-transgenic mice is
				limited.
NTP 2007b Animal:	Agent: Dichloroacetic acid 98.5%-99%	Skin – Squamous cell papilloma		<b>Survival</b> : Survival was similar to untreated controls: 11/15 - 12/15 14/15 15/15
Mouse (Study 2) FVB		0	0/15	<b>Body weight</b> : Body weights were similar to untreated
Tg.AC hemizygous	Treatment:	31.25	0/15	controls.
(FVB/N-TgN(v-Ha- ras)Led)	Dermal 0	125	0/15	Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The incidence of pre-neoplasia of the skin (epidermis hyperplasia) was
F 6 weeks	31.25	500	2/15 (13%)	significantly increased at 125 and 500 mg/kg. The severity
Study duration:	125 500 mg/hg hav in			grades of the hyperplasia in all cases were minimal.
20 weeks	Water: Acetone (1:2) (pH			application.
	6-8)			Strengths and limitations: The study was well conducted
	5 doses/week x 26 weeks			except for a low number of transgenic animals per group,
				to translate hazards in this model to non-transgenic mice is
				limited.
NTP 2007b	Agent:	Lung – Adenoma		<b>Survival:</b> Survival was similar to untreated controls: 9/10 -
Animal: Mouse (Study 1) FVB	Dichloroacetic acid	0	1/10 (10%)	9/10, 10/10, 10/10 <b>Body weight:</b> Body weights were significantly greater than
Mouse (Study 1) I'VD	/0·J /0 <sup>-</sup> ////0	500	2/10 (20%)	bouy weight. Douy weights were significantly greater than

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
Tg.AC hemizygous Trea	Treatment:	1,000	7/10** (70%)	untreated controls at 500 mg/l after 17 weeks and 1,000 mg/l
(FVB/N-TgN(v-Ha- ras)Led)	Drinking water	2,000	3/10 (30%)	after 21 weeks. Other comments: Water consumption at 2 000 mg/l was less
M 6 weeks	500	Forestomach – S	Squamous cell papilloma	than controls.
Study duration:	1,000	0	5/10 (50%)	Strengths and limitations: The study was well conducted
41 weeks	2,000 mg/L in drinking water	500	9/10 (90%)	which may overestimate carcinogenic potential. The ability
	ad libitum x 26 weeks	1,000	6/10 (60%)	to translate hazards in this model to non-transgenic mice is
		2,000	7/10 (70%)	limited.
NTP 2007bAgent:Animal:Dichloroacetic acidMouse (Study 1) FVB98.5%-99%Tg.AC hemizygousTreatment:(FVB/N-TgN(v-Ha-Drinking water	Lung – Adenoma		Survival: Survival was similar to untreated controls: 7/10 -	
	Dichloroacetic acid	0	0/10	9/10, 7/10, 8/10 <b>Body weight:</b> Body weights of 1 000 and 2 000 mg/l were
	Treatment:	500	0/10	significantly lower than untreated controls after 15 and 15
	Drinking water	1,000	0/10	weeks respectively.
ras)Led) F 6 weeks	0 500	2,000	2/10 (20%)	Other comments: Water consumption at 2,000 mg/l was less than controls.
Study duration:	1,000	Forestomach – S	Squamous cell papilloma	Strengths and limitations: The study was well conducted
41 weeks	2,000 mg/L in drinking	0	6/10 (60%)	except for a low number of transgenic animals per group,
	ad libitum x 26 weeks	500	7/10 (70%)	to translate hazards in this model to non-transgenic mice is
		1,000	7/10 (70%)	limited.
		2,000	6/10 (60%)	
		Forestomach – S (multiple only)	Squamous cell papilloma	
		0	1/10 (10%)	-
		500	6/10* (60%)	
		1,000	4/10 (40%)	
		2,000	4/10 (40%)	

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
NTP 2007b	Agent:	Lung – Carcinom	a	Survival: Survival was similar to untreated controls: 14/15 -
Animal:	Dichloroacetic acid	0	0/15	13/15, 11/15, 14/15
Tg.AC hemizygous	98.5%-99% Treatment:	500	0/15	untreated controls at 500 mg/l after 17 weeks and 1.000 mg/l
(FVB/N-TgN(v-Ha-	Drinking water	1,000	1/15 (7%)	after 21 weeks.
ras)Led)	0	2.000	0/15	Other comments: Water consumption at 2,000 mg/l was less
M 6 weeks Study duration:	500	<b>y</b> -  -  -		than controls. Strengths and limitations: The study was well conducted
26 weeks	2,000 mg/L in drinking			except for a low number of transgenic animals per group,
	water			which may overestimate carcinogenic potential. The ability
	ad libitum x 26 weeks			to translate hazards in this model to non-transgenic mice is
NTP 2007b	A gont.	Lung – Carcinom		Survival: Survival was significantly lower than untreated
Animal:	Dichloroacetic acid		0/15	controls at 500 and 2,000 mg/l: $15/15 - 8/15^*(=0.009)$ ,
Mouse (Study 2) FVB	98.5%-99%	0 500	0/15	13/15, 10/15*(=0.05)
Tg.AC hemizygous	Treatment:	500	1/15 (7%)	Body weight: Body weights of 1,000 and 2,000 mg/l were
(FVB/N-1gN(V-Ha- ras)Led)	Drinking water	1,000	0/15	significantly lower than untreated controls after 15 and 15 weeks respectively
F 6 weeks	500	2,000	1/15 (7%)	Other comments: Water consumption at 2,000 mg/l was less
Study duration:	1,000			than controls.
26 weeks	2,000 mg/L in drinking			<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The study was well conducted
	ad libitum x 26 weeks			which may overestimate carcinogenic potential. The ability
				to translate hazards in this model to non-transgenic mice is
				limited.
NTP 2007b	Agent:	Lung – Adenoma	or carcinoma	Survival: Survival was similar to untreated controls: 9/10 -
Animal: Mouse (Study 3) p53	Dichloroacetic acid	0	0/10	10/10, 9/10, 10/10 <b>Body weight:</b> Body weights of 500, 1,000, and 2,000 mg/l
Haploinsufficient	Treatment:	500	0/10	were significantly lower than untreated controls after 4, 3,
M 6 weeks	Drinking water	1,000	0/10	and 1 weeks respectively.

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
Study duration: 41 weeks	0 500 1,000 2,000 mg/L in drinking water ad libitum x 26 weeks	2,000	0/10	Other comments: Water consumption at 1,000 and 2,000 mg/l were less than controls. <b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The study was well conducted except for a low number of transgenic animals per group, which may overestimate carcinogenic potential. The ability to translate hazards in this model to non-transgenic mice is limited.
NTP 2007b	Agent:	Lung – Adenoma	a or carcinoma	Survival: Survival was similar to untreated controls: 10/10 -
Animal: Mouse (Study 3) p53 Haploinsufficient F 6 weeks Study duration: 41 weeks	Dichloroacetic acid 98.5%-99% <b>Treatment</b> : Drinking water 0 500 1,000 2,000 mg/L in drinking water ad libitum x 26 weeks	0 500 1,000 2,000	0/10 0/10 0/10 0/10	<ul> <li>9/10, 10/10, 9/10</li> <li>Body weight: Body weights of 500, 1,000 and 2,000 mg/l were significantly lower than untreated controls after 27, 9, and 9 weeks respectively.</li> <li>Other comments: Water consumption at 1,000 and 2,000 mg/l were less than controls.</li> <li>Strengths and limitations: The study was well conducted except for a low number of transgenic animals per group, which may overestimate carcinogenic potential. The ability to translate hazards in this model to non-transgenic mice is limited.</li> </ul>
NTP 2007b Animal:	Agent: Dichloroacetic acid	Lung – Adenoma	a or carcinoma	<b>Survival</b> : Survival was similar to untreated controls: 15/15 - 15/15, 15/15, 15/15
Mouse (Study 4) p53 Haploinsufficient M 6 weeks Study duration: 26 weeks	98.5%-99% <b>Treatment</b> : Drinking water 0 500 1,000 2,000 mg/L in drinking water ad libitum x 26 weeks	0 500 1,000 2,000	0/15 0/15 0/15 0/15	<ul> <li>Body weight: Body weights of 1,000 and 2,000 mg/l were significantly lower than untreated controls after 4 and 2 weeks respectively.</li> <li>Other comments: Water consumption at 1,000 and 2,000 mg/l were less than controls.</li> <li>Strengths and limitations: The study was well conducted except for a low number of transgenic animals per group, which may overestimate carcinogenic potential. The ability to translate hazards in this model to non-transgenic mice is limited.</li> </ul>

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments			
NTP 2007b	Agent:	Lung – Adenoma	or carcinoma	Survival: Survival was similar to untreated controls: 15/15 -			
Animal: Mouse (Study 4) p53	Dichloroacetic acid	0	0/15	15/15, 14/15, 14/15 - <b>Body weight:</b> Body weights of 1,000 and 2,000 mg/l were			
Haploinsufficient	<b>Treatment</b> :	500	0/15	significantly lower than untreated controls after 11 and 10			
F 6 weeks	Drinking water	1,000	0/15	weeks respectively.			
Study duration: 26 weeks	0 500	2,000	0/15	Other comments: Water consumption at 1,000 and 2,000 mg/l were less than controls.			
	1,000 2,000 mg/L in drinking water			<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The study was well conducted except for a low number of transgenic animals per group, which may overestimate carcinogenic potential. The ability			
	au nonum x 26 weeks			to translate nazards in this model to non-transgenic mice is limited.			

\* *P* < 0.05; \*\* *P* < 0.01.

## Table C-11. Initiation-promotion studies

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments					
Gwynn and Salaman	Agent:	Skin – Papilloma		Survival: Only two of the exposed mice died.					
(1953)	Monoiodoacetic acid	0 (acetone)	1/12 (8.3%)	Body weight: Not reported.					
Animal: Mouse Stock albino "S"	Not reported Treatment:	0 (acetic acid)	1/16 (6.25%)	<b>Strengths and limitations:</b> The chemicals were not characterized and purity wasn't reported. The sex of the					
strain	Dermal	1.4	8/10[ <sup>@@</sup> ][ <sup>##</sup> ] (80%)	animals were not reported and only a single dose level was					
NR NR	Initiator:			tested on a very low number of animals per group. Histology					
Study duration:	9,10-dimethyl-1,2-			of the neoplasms were carried out, but the skin tumors were					
30 weeks	benzanthracene (DMBA)			classified as benign papillomas based on their appearance					
	0.15% in 3 ml			calculated.					
	Promotor:								
	acetone:								
	start 21 days after								
	DMBA								
	1.4% (2/wk x 12wk, then								
Herren-Freund <i>et al</i>	Agent:	l iver – Adenoma		Survival. Not reported					
(1987)	Dichloroacetic acid		2/22 (9%)	<b>Body weight</b> : Body weights were significantly decreased					
Animal:	>99%	0/5 000	2/22(9/0)	(p<0.001). Calculations were done by one-way analysis of					
Mouse B6C3F1	Treatment:	0/3,000	$23/20^{++}(90\%)$	variance with a Tukey's comparison.					
Study duration:	Initiator:	2.5/0	1/22 (5%)	life-span. Only males were tested at two narrow dose levels					
61 weeks	Ethylnitrosourea (ENU):	2.5/2,000	22/29** (76%)	and only livers were histologically evaluated.					
	ip injection at 15 days	2.5/5,000	31/32** (97%)	_					
	old	Liver – Carcinoma	1	_					
	0+ 2.5 μg/g bw	0/0	0/22						
	100	0/5,000	21/26** (81%)						
	Promotor:	2.5/0	1/22 (5%)						
	DCA. III utilikilig water	2.5/2,000	19/29** (66%)						

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
	at 4 weeks old 0++ 2,000 5,000 mg/L in drinking water (pH 6.5-7.5) x 61 weeks + 2 µg/g bw of 0.1 M sodium acetate ++ 2,000 mg/l of sodium chloride	2.5/5,000	25/32** (72%)	
Pereira 1997AgeAnimal:DicMouse B6C3F1NRF Initiator: 15 d;TrePromotor: 6 wksDri	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: No significant difference in survival: 29/30, 19/20,
	Dichloroacetic acid	0 DCA/TCA	None	17/20, 29/30 (# of mice at scarified/# of mice as the start of promotion)
	Treatment:	7.8 DCA	None	<b>Body weight</b> : There was a decrease in body weight of less
	Drinking water Initiator: Methylnitrosourea (MNU): single ip dose	15.6 DCA	None	than 10% of the control weight in the groups receiving TCA
Study duration: 50 wks		25 DCA	None	and top two highest levels of DCA. - Significantly increased pre-neoplastic lesions: The liver foci
		Liver – Carcinoma	3	of altered hepatocytes were distinguished from adenomas by
	25 mg/kg bw in sterile	0 DCA/TCA	0/29	compression at less than 80% of it's boarder. This suggests
	sanne	7.8 DCA	0/17	these pre-neoplastic lesions was significantly increased at 25
	Promotor:	15.6 DCA	0/19	mmol/L of DCA.
	DCA: in drinking water, ad libitum x 44 weeks, starting 4 weeks after MNU 0 25.0 15.6 7.8 mmol/L in filtered and deionized water, pH 6.5-7.5	25 DCA	3/29 (10.3%)	<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The chemicals were not characterized, not even purity was reported. Disease surveillance was not reported. A low number of only females were tested, with only their livers examined histologically. The statistical methods were not reported.

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments					
Herren-Freund et al.	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: Not reported.					
(1987)	Trichloroacetic acid	0/0	2/22 (9%)	<b>Body weight</b> : Body weights were significantly decreased					
Animai: Mouse B6C3F1	>99% Treatment:	0/5,000	8/22** (36%)	(p<0.001). Calculations were done by one-way analysis of variance with a Tukey's comparison.					
M 15 days	Drinking water	2.5/0	1/22 (5%)	<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The duration was less than near					
Study duration:	Initiator:	2.5/2.000	11/33** (33%)	life-span. Only males were tested at two narrow dose levels					
of weeks	ip injection at 15 days	2.5/5.000	6/23** (26%)	and only livers were instologically evaluated.					
	old	10/0	9/23 (39%)						
	0+ 2 5	10/5 000	11/28(39%)						
	10 μg/g bw	Liver - Carcinoma	11/20 (37/0)	-					
			0/22	-					
	Promotor:	0/0	0/22						
	TCA: in drinking water $(pH 6 5 7 5)$ at 4 weeks	0/5,000	1/22** (32%)						
	old	2.5/0	1/22 (5%)						
	0++	2.5/2,000	16/33** (48%)						
	2,000 5,000 mg/L in drinking	2.5/5,000	11/23** (48%)						
	water (pH 6.5-7.5) x 61	10/0	9/23 (39%)						
	weeks	10/5,000	15/28 (54%)						
	+ 2 μg/g bw of 0.1 M sodium acetate ++ 2,000 mg/L NaCl								
Pereira 1997	Agent:	Liver – Adenoma		Survival: No significant difference in survival: 29/30, 20/20,					
Animal:	Trichloroacetic acid	0 DCA/TCA	None	29/30 (# of mice at scarified/# of mice as the start of					
Mouse B6C3F1 F Initiator: 15 d	vendor, but not purity given	6 TCA	None	<b>Body weight</b> : There was a decrease in body weight of less					
Promotor: 6 wks	Treatment:	25 TCA	None	than 10% of the control weight in the groups receiving TCA					
Study duration:	Drinking water	Liver – Carcinoma	3	and top two highest levels of DCA.					
	Methylnitrosourea	0 DCA/TCA	0/29	of altered hepatocytes were distinguished from adenomas by					

Reference and study design	Exposure	Dose levels	Tumor incidence (n/N) (%)	Comments
50 wks	(MNU): single ip dose in	6 TCA	0/20	compression at less than 80% of it's boarder. This suggests
	25 mg/kg	25 TCA	4/29 (13.8%)	that it was considered pre-neoplastic. The multiplicity of these pre-neoplastic lesions was not significantly increased over controls.
	Promotor: TCA: in drinking water ad libitum x 44 weeks, starting 4 wk after MNU 0 25.0 6.0 mmol/L in filtered and deionized water, pH 6.5-7.5			<b>Strengths and limitations</b> : The chemicals were not characterized, not even purity was reported. Disease surveillance was not reported. A low number of only females were tested, with only their livers examined histologically. The statistical methods were not reported.

\*\* < 0.01 (compared to the control group without a promotor), <sup>@@</sup> <0.01 (compared to acetone), <sup>##</sup> < 0.001 (compared to acetic acid) p-value

[] = p-value calculated by NTP using Fisher's Exact Test.

# Appendix D: Oxidative stress and genotoxic potency data for haloacetic acids

The three tables on the following pages contain data discussed in Section 5.3 "Induces oxidative stress" and Section 5.4 "Is genotoxic and/or alters DNA repair" for haloacetic acids. Data are reported for *in vitro* and *in vivo* haloacetic acid-induced oxidative stress (Table D-1), Mutagenic/genotoxic potency estimates of haloacetic acids in bacteria (Table D-2), and Mutagenic/genotoxic potency estimates of haloacetic acids in mammalian cells (Table D-3)

#### Table D-1. In vitro and in vivo haloacetic acid-induced oxidative stress

Test system (notency	Monohaloacetic acids			Dihaloacetic acids				Trihaloacetic acids					
measurement, units)	СА	ВА	IA	DCA	DBA	BCA	CIA	BIA	TCA	ТВА	BDCA	CDBA	Reference
AREc32: human breast cancer cell line (MCF7) (1/mM, IR = 1.5)	3.7	192	278	0.17	8.3	7.1	45.5	38.5	_	2.3	0.5	0.2	Stalter <i>et al.</i> 2016
ARE-bla: human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (HepG2) (1/mM, IR = 1.5)	4.0	90.9	196	0.06	4.0	2.2	10	18.9	_	1.5	0.25	0.46	Stalter <i>et al</i> . 2016
ARE-bla: human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (HepG2) (1/mM, IR = 1.5)	14	141	256										Procházka et al. 2015
ARE-bla: human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (HepG2) (1/mM, IR = 1.5)	116	510	1,010										Pals <i>et al</i> . 2013
Lipid peroxidation; male mice, single 300 mg/kg oral dose TBARS (nmol/g liver, wet wt)				129	250 <sup>a</sup>	290ª			67		240ª		Larson and Bull 1992, Austin <i>et al.</i> 1996
Oxidative DNA damage; male mice, single 300 mg/kg oral dose (8-OHdG/10 <sup>5</sup> dG liver)				1.4ª	2.9ª	2.9ª			1.2ª		1.7ª		Austin <i>et al</i> . 1996
Oxidative DNA damage; male mice, 21 day water– 0.5 and 2 g/L (8-OHdG/10 <sup>5</sup> dG liver)				_	1.4ª 1.8ª	1.2ª 1.6ª							Parrish <i>et al.</i> 1996

CA = chloro-, BA = bromo-, IA = iodo-, DCA = dichloro-, DBA = dibromo-, BCA = bromochloro-, CIA = chloroiodo-, BIA = bromoiodo-, TCA = trichloro-, TBA = tribromo-, BDCA = bromodichloro-, CDBA = chlorodibromoacetic acid, mM = millimolar, IR = induction ratio, TBARS = thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances, 8-OHdG = 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, - = negative, blank cell = not tested.

a Values estimated from figures using WebPlot Digitizer @ http://arohatgi.info/WebPlotDigitizer/app/.

Haloacetic acid	Study type	Results	URL
Chloro-	Ames	_	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02485-0003-0000-4
Chloro-	Ames	_	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02485-0004-0000-5
Chloro-	Mammalian cell cytogenetics (CA)	_	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02485-0002-0000-3
Chloro-	Mammalian cell cytogenetics (SCE)	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02485-0001-0000-2
Chloro-	Mammalian cell mutagenicity	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02485-0010-0000-2
Chloro-	Drosophila germ cell mutagenicity	Е	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02485-0009-0000-0
Bromo-	Ames	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-01737-0001-0000-1
Bromo-	Ames	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-01737-0002-0000-2
Iodo-	Ames	Е	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02289-0001-0000-4
Iodo-	Ames	(+)	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02289-0002-0000-5
Iodo-	Drosophila germ cell mutagenicity	_	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02289-0003-0000-6
Dichloro-	Ames	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02007-0008-0000-9
Dichloro-	Ames	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02007-0009-0000-0
Dichloro-	Male mice (micronucleus)	_	https://tools.nighs.nih.gov/achs2/ntp.Vious/2studyNumber-002.02007.0004.0000.5
	Female mice (micronucleus)	_	<u>https://tools.mens.nm.gov/cebsj/htp/riews/?study14umber=002-02007-0004-0000-5</u>
Dichloro-	Male mice (micronucleus)	_	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02007-0006-0000-7
	Female mice (micronucleus)	-	
Dichloro-	Male mice (micronucleus)	-	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02007-0007-0000-8
	Female mice (micronucleus)	_	
Dichloro-	Male mice (micronucleus)	-	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02007-0005-0000-6
D'I	Female mice (micronucleus)	+	
Dibromo-	Ames	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-01996-0006-0000-3
Dibromo-	Male mice (micronucleus)	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-01996-0005-0000-2
Duomoshlous	Among	-	
Bromochloro-	Ames	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-01740-0005-0000-9
Bromochloro-	Ames	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-01740-0006-0000-0

Table D-2. Summary of genetic toxicology results of haloacetic acids in CEBs

Haloacetic acid	Study type	Results	URL
Bromochloro-	Male mice (micronucleus)	_	https://tools.nichs.nik.com/ocks2/ntrWiews/2studyNumber_002.01740.0004.0000.8
	Female mice (micronucleus)	_	1111000000000000000000000000000000000
Trichloro-	Ames	_	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02942-0001-0000-0
Tribromo-	Ames	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-02933-0002-0000-1
Bromodichloro-	Ames	+	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-01742-0018-0000-5
Bromodichloro-	Ames	(+)	https://tools.niehs.nih.gov/cebs3/ntpViews/?studyNumber=002-01742-0004-0000-0
Bromodichloro-	Male mice (micronucleus)	_	http://tl
	Female mice (micronucleus)	-	$\frac{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{\text{nttps://toois.niens.nin.gov/cebss/ntpviews/?study.number=002-01/42-0003-0000-9}{nttps://toois.niens.ni$

- = negative, (+) = weak positive, + = positive, E = equivocal

Test system (notency	Monol	haloacetic	acids	Dihaloacetic acids					Trihaloacetic acids				
measurement, units)	CA	ВА	IA	DCA	DBA	BCA	CIA	BIA	ТСА	ТВА	BDCA	CDBA	Reference
SOS-umuC: TA1535/pSK1002													Stalter et al.
- S9 (1/M, IR = 1.5)	a	_a	_a	_	2,564	2,941	5,263	9,091	_	142,860	9,091	9,091	2016
+ S9 (1/M, IR = 1.5)	a	_a	_a	_	1,493	1,064	2,083	11,490	_	13,890	455	625	
SOS-umuC: TA1535/pSK1002													Zhang <i>et al</i> .
- S9 (1/M, IR = 2)	(+)	1,107	8,696	83	89				(+)				2016
- S9 (1/M, IR = 1.5)	60 <sup>b</sup>	2,400 <sup>b</sup>	15,400 <sup>b</sup>	180 <sup>b</sup>	760 <sup>b</sup>				60 <sup>b</sup>				
SOS-umuC: TA1535/pSK1002													Ono et al. 1991
<ul> <li>– S9 (β-galactosidase activity)<sup>c</sup></li> </ul>	_			neg					0.72				
+ S9 (β-galactosidase activity) <sup>c</sup>	_			1.5					1.18				
Ames preincubation: TA100													Plewa et al.
– S9 (revertants/µmol)													2000, Plewa et
	27	5,465	14,129		148					_			al. 2004b
Ames preincubation: TA100													Kargalioglu et
<ul> <li>S9 (revertants/µmol)<sup>d</sup></li> </ul>	44	6,588		36	183				_	-			al. 2002
+ S9 (revertants/µmol) <sup>d</sup>	63	2,642		13	165				_	-			
Ames preincubation: TA98													Kargalioglu et
- S9 (revertants/µmol) <sup>d</sup>	6	351		2	16				_	-			al. 2002
+ S9 (revertants/µmol) <sup>d</sup>	_	179		_	12				_	_			
Ames preincubation: RSJ100													Kargalioglu et
- S9 (revertants/µmol) <sup>d</sup>	_	_		17	_				_	-			al. 2002
+ S9 (revertants/ $\mu$ mol) <sup>d</sup>	_	_		_	_				_	_			
SOS chromotest: E. coli PQ37													Giller et al.
- S9 (1/(mg/mL), IR = 1.5)	_	-		2	5				_	1.3			1997
+ S9 (1/(mg/mL), IR = 1.5)	_	_		_	10				_	10			
Ames fluctuation: TA100													Giller et al.
- S9 (1/[mg/mL])	-	_		10	100				0.57	0.5			1997
+ S9 (1/[mg/mL])	_	50		0.67	33				0.33	0.2			

Table D-3. Mutagenic/genotoxic potency estimates of haloacetic acids in bacteria

CA = chloro-, BA = bromo-, IA = iodo-, DCA = dichloro-, DBA = dibromo-, BCA = bromochloro-, CIA = chloroiodo-, BIA = bromoiodo-, TCA = trichloro-, TBA = tribromo-, BDCA = bromodichloro-, CDBA = chlorodibromoacetic acid, M = molar, IR = induction ratio, -S9 = without metabolic activation, +S9 = with metabolic activation, - = negative, (+) = weak positive but no potency value reported, blank cell = not tested.

<sup>a</sup> Likely a false negative due to cytotoxicity.

<sup>b</sup> Potency values at an induction ratio of 1.5 were estimated from figures using WebPlot Digitizer @ http://arohatgi.info/WebPlotDigitizer/app/.

<sup>c</sup> Calculated as [(A-B)/B] where A = the  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity of the test agent and B is the baseline activity (<0.5, negative; >0.5-1.0, weak positive; >1.0-2.0, positive).

### Table D-4. Mutagenic/genotoxic potency estimates of haloacetic acids in mammalian cells

	Mon	ohaloacetic a	acids Dihaloacetic acids										
Test system (potency measurement, units)	СА	ВА	IA	DCA	DBA	BCA	CIA or (DIA)	BIA	ТСА	ТВА	BDCA	CDBA	Reference
p53-bla: human colon carcinoma cell line HCT-116 (1/M, IR = 1.5)	5,882	105,260	212,770	-	3,846	4,348	9,091	9,091	_	_	_	_	Stalter <i>et al.</i> 2016
SCGE: CHO AS52 cells LGC (1/M) GP (1/M)	3,333 2,439	76,920 58,820	200,000 114,900	_	1,333 556	333 333	(1,000) (500)	400 313	_	333 400	_	71 71	Plewa <i>et al.</i> 2002, Plewa <i>et al.</i> 2004b, Richardson <i>et al.</i> 2008, Plewa <i>et al.</i> 2010
SCGE: CHO AS52 cells (tail moment units/µmol)		68,900			887					254			Plewa <i>et al.</i> 2000
HGPRT mutations: CHO-K1 cells (mutant frequency/mM)	8.7	14.6	835.9	2.8	66.2				_				Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2010
SCGE assay: HepG2 cells MEC (1/µM)	_	10	100	0.1	1				0.01				Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2012
p53-bla: human colon carcinoma cell line HCT-116 (1/M, IR = 1.5)	10,000	98,039	156,250										Procházka <i>et al.</i> 2015
SCGE: human lymphocytes GP (1/mM)	1.2	83	96										Escobar-Hoyos et al. 2013
Mitotic index: human lymphocytes EC <sub>50</sub> (1/mM)	1.4	12.7	20.3										Escobar-Hoyos <i>et al.</i> 2013
SCGE: human small intestine epithelial cells $EC_{50}$ (1/mM)	0.29	17.7	45.7										Attene-Ramos et al. 2010

CA= chloro-, BA = bromo-, IA = iodo-, DCA = dichloro-, DBA = dibromo-, BCA = bromochloro-, CIA = chloroiodo-, DIA = diiodo-, BIA = bromoiodo-, TCA = trichloro-, TBA = tribromo-, BDCA = bromodichloro-, CDBA = chlorodibromoacetic acid, M = molar, IR = induction ratio, SCGE = single cell gel electrophoresis or comet assay, – = negative, LGC = lowest genotoxic concentration,

GP = genotoxic potency (calculated using regression analysis as the midpoint of the curve within the concentration range that expressed above 70% cell viability), MEC = minimum effective concentration, EC50 = effective concentration that reduced the mitotic index by 50% or induced average SCGE damage of 50% tail DNA, blank cell = not tested.

				Hypomethylation	1		
Species (sex)	Tissue	НАА	Conc (g/L)	DNA (% reduction) <sup>a</sup>	Genes	Comments	Reference
Mice (M,F)	Kidney	DCA TCA	3.2 4.0	40 65	с-тус	Mice treated for 7 days. Unlike the liver, effects in kidney only in males. <i>c-myc</i> hypomethylation prevented by treatment with methionine.	Tao <i>et al.</i> 2005
Mice (M) Rats (M)	Kidney	DBA	1–2	40–60 45–56	с-тус	High dose caused reduction at 7 days and 28 days; at low dose, significant reduction only after 28 days.	Tao <i>et al</i> . 2005
Mice (F) Rats (M)	Liver	DBA	1–2	45–70 33–52	c-myc, IGF-II	Both doses caused significant reduction after 7 and 28 days. mRNA expression of <i>c-myc</i> and IGF-II genes significantly increased in mice and c-myc expression increased in rats.	Tao <i>et al.</i> 2004a
Mice (F)	Liver Liver tumors	DCA TCA	2.6 <sup>b</sup> 3.3 <sup>b</sup>	27–85 27–85	IGF-11	Hypomethylation status in DCA- and TCA-promoted liver tumors that were initiated by MNU and in normal liver. Both compounds caused the same reduction in liver and liver tumor DNA (estimated from a figure) but there was significantly greater reduction in liver tumors compared to normal liver tissue. 79.3% of 28 CpG sites in the promoter region of the IGF-II gene were methylated in control mouse liver compared to 46.4% and 58% in normal liver and 8.7% and 10.7% in liver tumors of DCA- and TCA-treated mice, respectively. IGF-II expression was increased 4.5- to 5.1-fold in tumors compared to normal liver.	Tao <i>et al</i> . 2004b
Mice (F)	Liver	DCA TCA	500 mg/kg 500 mg/kg	NR	c-jun, c-myc	Single gavage dose administered daily for 5 days. Treatment with methionine prevented hypomethylation.	Tao <i>et al</i> . 2000a
Mice (F)	Liver Liver tumors	DCA TCA	2.6 <sup>b</sup> 3.3 <sup>b</sup>	NR	c-jun, c-myc	mRNA expression and protein levels of <i>c-myc</i> and <i>c-jun</i> were increased in DCA- and TCA-promoted liver tumors but not normal tissue. DNA methyltransferase (MTase) activity increased in liver tumors promoted by DCA and TCA (greater effect for DCA) but decreased in normal liver. Increased MTase activity is associated with silencing tumor suppressor genes.	Tao <i>et al.</i> 2000b

Table D-5. Epigenetic effects of haloacetic acids in mouse and rat tissues
				Hypomethylatio	ylation		
Species (sex)	Tissue	НАА	Conc (g/L)	DNA (% reduction)ª	Genes	Comments	Reference
Mice (F)	Liver Liver tumors	DCA TCA	3.2 <sup>b</sup> 4.0 <sup>b</sup>	36° 40–51°	NR	Hypomethylation status was measured in DCA- and TCA-promoted liver tumors that were initiated by MNU and in normal liver. Hypomethylation in normal liver was observed after 11 days but not after 44 weeks. Methylation status in adenomas also returned to normal with termination of DCA exposure 1 week prior to sacrifice but not with TCA.	Tao <i>et al</i> . 1998
Mice (F)	Liver Liver tumors	DCA	3.2	55	NR	Mice sacrificed after 8 or 44 weeks of exposure. Methionine treatment prevented hypomethylation, reduced DCA-induced tumor multiplicity, and slowed the progression of foci to tumors. (Hypomethylation estimated from a figure in the paper with results after 8-weeks exposure.)	Pereira <i>et al.</i> 2004a
Mice (F)	Liver Kidney	DCA TCA	500 mg/kg 500 mg/kg	NR	с-тус	Single gavage dose administered daily for 5 days. Both DCA and TCA induced hypomethylation and expression of <i>c-myc</i> in liver. Co-administration of chloroform prevented DCA- but not TCA-induced hypomethylation. In a second experiment, chronic administration of TCA in the drinking water promoted kidney tumors. DCA also promoted kidney tumors when co-administered with chloroform.	Pereira <i>et al.</i> 2001
Mice (F)	Liver Kidney Bladder	DCA TCA	500 mg/kg 500 mg/kg	NR	с-тус	Hypomethylation of the promoter region of <i>c-myc</i> in liver, kidney, and urinary bladder occurred after 72 and 96 hours (but not at earlier time points) after a single gavage dose of either DCA or TCA. Enhanced cell proliferation in the liver also reported after 72 and 96 hours.	Ge et al. 2001

HAA = haloacetic acid, DCA = dichloroacetic acid, DBA = dibromoacetic acid, TCA = trichloroacetic acid, MNU = *N*-methyl-*N*-nitrosourea, IGF-II = insulin-like growth factor-II, NR = not reported. <sup>a</sup>% Reduction in 5-methylcytosine compared to control DNA.

<sup>b</sup> Converted from 20 mmol/L or 25 mmol/L, MW DCA = 128.9, TCA = 163.4, administered in drinking water for 44 to 46 weeks beginning at 6 weeks of age.

<sup>c</sup> Reduction in liver tumors compared to normal liver tissue from the same animal. TCA promoted both adenomas and carcinomas, DCA only adenomas.

Table D-6. Gene exp	pression studies of di- a	nd trihaloacetic acids in	yeast and rodent tissue
---------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------

Haloacetic acid	Test system	Results	Reference
Dichloro-	Mouse normal liver (4 wk treatment) and liver tumors induced by dichloroacetic acid after 93 weeks	<b>Normal liver:</b> 15 genes differentially expressed (14 were suppressed) in the functional categories of cell growth, tissue remodeling, apoptosis, cancer progression, fatty acid metabolism, and xenobiotic metabolism.	Thai <i>et al.</i> 2003
		pattern as in normal liver from exposed mice.	
Dichloro-	Mouse normal liver (4 wk treatment) and liver tumors induced by dichloroacetic acid after 93 weeks	<b>Normal liver:</b> Six differentially expressed genes (5 were suppressed; one gene was induced) involved in fatty acid metabolism, tissue remodeling and tumor invasion. No altered genes identified that are involved in genotoxicity pathways.	Thai <i>et al.</i> 2001
		<b>Hepatocellular carcinomas:</b> Four genes showed similar expression pattern as in normal liver from exposed mice.	
Dichloro-	Rat normal liver (i.p. injection)	One gene with 50% homology to a mouse fibroblast growth factor mRNA identified and might be involved in hepatocellular proliferation and DNA synthesis.	Choi and Park 1996
Dichloro- Trichloro-	Mouse liver tumors (chronic exposure)	<b>Hyperplastic nodules and hepatocellular carcinomas:</b> Increased expression of <i>c</i> - <i>myc</i> and <i>c</i> - <i>H</i> - <i>ras</i> compared to surrounding tissue or controls in both preneoplastic nodules and carcinomas. <i>Myc</i> expression was similar in DCA-induced nodules and carcinomas. However, <i>myc</i> expression in TCA-induced tumors was significantly higher than in DCA-induced nodules and tumors and appeared to be related to the early progression of TCA-induced tumors to a malignant state. <i>c</i> - <i>H</i> - <i>ras</i> expression was higher in carcinomas from both treatments than in hyperplastic nodules or normal liver, thus, was closely associated with malignancy.	Nelson <i>et al.</i> 1990
Trichloro-	Green-fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged yeast reporter strains	Activated DNA repair pathways suggested strong base damage, mismatches and double-strand breaks. Damage was consistent with oxidative DNA damage.	Lan <i>et al</i> . 2016

Haloacetic acid	Test system	Results	Reference
Bromodichloro-	Mouse: normal liver tissue and tumors (chronic exposure)	<b>Nontumor liver tissue from treated mice</b> : Altered oncogenic, metabolic, and hepatic function-related pathways. The top differentially expressed genes were involved in cell growth and proliferation, neoplasia, and transcriptional regulation.	NTP 2015
		<b>Hepatocellular carcinomas</b> : Dysregulated metabolic and cancer-related pathways including cell movement, growth, development, and proliferation; cancer signaling, fatty acid metabolism, cell cycle regulation, apoptosis signaling, upregulation of oncogenes, and downregulation of tumor suppressor genes.	
		<b>Hepatoblastomas:</b> Markedly different from adjacent hepatocellular carcinomas and normal liver with upregulation of oncogenic signaling pathways (especially <i>Wnt/Ctnnb1</i> -pathway related genes), reduced hepatic metabolic function, upregulation of stem/pluripotent progenitor cell genes and stem cell-related target genes, and upregulation of genomic imprinting genes.	
Bromodichloro-	Rat: normal mammary tissue and tumors (chronic exposure)	<b>Mammary adenocarcinomas:</b> Eight genes significantly upregulated. Five associated with $Tgf\beta$ pathway signaling, including its effects on matrix remodeling, mammary gland cancer progression, angiogenesis, tumor invasion, and metastasis.	NTP 2015
Bromochloro-	Rat: peritoneal mesothelioma (chronic exposure)	<b>Mesotheliomas</b> : 169 cancer-related genes differentially expressed and were categorized into binding activity, cell growth and proliferation, cell cycle progression, apoptosis, invasion, and metastasis. Important carcinogenic pathways involved in rat peritoneal mesothelioma development included insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), p38 MAPK, Wnt/β-catenin, and integrin signaling and are similar to mesotheliomas in humans.	Kim <i>et al</i> . 2006
Bromochloro-	Mouse sperm (daily treatment for 14 days)	<b>Testes-expressed genes:</b> 40 genes with altered expression involved in cell communication and adhesion, cell cycle and cell proliferation, metabolism, signal transduction, stress response, spermatogenesis, and male fertility.	Tully <i>et al.</i> 2005



Figure D-1. Palmitoyl-CoA oxidation in cultured rat hepatocytes exposed to haloacetic acids

Source: Walgren *et al.* 2004 (used by permission from Elsevier Ireland Ltd., License No. 4061470820696). A = monohaloacetic acids: monoiodo- (MIA), monobromo- (MBA), and monochloroacetic acid (MCA); B = dihaloacetic acids: dibromo- (DBA) and dichloroacetic acid (DCA); C = trihaloacetic acids: trichloro- (TCA) and tribromoacetic acid (TBA). This Page Intentionally Left Blank



National Toxicology Program National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences National Institutes of Health P.O. Box 12233, MD K2-14 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709