Acronym Soup Recipe for a Deoxynivalenol Probabilistic Risk Assessment

Workshop: Advancing Quantitative Analysis in Human Health Assessments through Probabilistic Methods 7-Oct-2024

> Weihsueh A. Chiu, PhD Texas A&M University



Acknowledgments

- Final WHO/IPCS Author Group
 - Bernard Bottex, EFSA representative
 - David Bussard, U.S. EPA
 - Weihsueh Chiu, formerly U.S. EPA
 - George Fotakis, ECHA representative
 - Andy Hart, FERA, UK
 - Dale Hattis, Clark University, USA
 - Matthias Herzler, BfR, Germany
 - Kathy Hughes, IPCS
 - Wout Slob, RIVM, Netherlands
 - Theo Vermeire, RIVM, Netherlands
 - Carolyn Vickers, IPCS
- Other colleagues
 - Frederic Bois, INERIS
 - Kenny Crump, consultant
 - Gary Ginsberg, Conn. DEPH
 - Greg Paoli, RSI
 - Woody Setzer, U.S. EPA
 - Lauren Zeise, California EPA



- Centre of Excellence in Mycotoxicology and Public Health, Ghent University
 - Marthe De Boevre, PhD (Prof. Dr.)
 - Sarah De Saeger, PhD (Prof. Dr.)
 - Lia Visintin (trainee)
- DreamTech LLC and Indiana University
 - Kan Shao, PhD (Prof. Dr.)
- Texas A&M University
 - Ivan Rusyn, PhD (Prof. Dr.)
 - Fabian Grimm, PhD (now at Clariant)
 - En-Hsuan Lu, PhD (trainee, starting at MN PCA)
 - Suji Jang, PhD (trainee, now at ExxonMobil)
- NIH Funding
 - Texas A&M Superfund Research Program (P42 ES027704)
 - Texas A&M Center for Environmental Health (P30 ES029067)
 - Small Business Technology Transfer Grant (R42 ES032642)





SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH

INDIANA UNIVERSITY Bloomington







Background: Deoxynivalenol (DON)

- Mycotoxin found in cereals (aka vomitoxin)
- Animal experiments show multiple adverse effects, e.g.:
 - Body weight in mice (chronic feeding)
 - Prenatal development in mice (oral gavage)
 - Fertility in male rats (28 d oral gavage test)



Multiple studies report exposures exceeding the EFSA Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI) of 1 µg/kg-d



Source: TOXNET/ChemID plus

Limitations of the Existing TDI

TDI:

The tolerable daily intake (TDI) is an estimate of the amount of a substance in food or drinking water which is not added deliberately (e.g. contaminants) and which can be consumed over a lifetime <u>without presenting an</u> <u>appreciable risk</u> to health.

> "without presenting" = ? "appreciable risk" = ?



BMDL of 0.11 mg/kg bw per day for 5% reduced body weight

- Interspecies factor assumed to be conservative, but unclear by how much.
- Intraspecies factor assumed to be conservative, but unclear by how much.
- <u>Percent of population covered</u> is unspecified, so unclear how protective.
- Assumed to be conservative and protective, but unclear by <u>how much</u>
- Exposure ≤ TDI <u>assumed</u> to be "safe enough," but <u>how safe</u> is "safe enough"?
- Exposure > TDI <u>might</u> not be "safe enough," but <u>degree of risk unknown.</u>



Traditional Reference Value Determination Process



The tolerable daily intake (TDI) is an estimate of the amount of a substance in food or drinking water which is not added deliberately (e.g. contaminants) and which can be consumed over a lifetime without presenting an appreciable risk to health.



WHO/IPCS Framework based on Concept of Target Human Dose: HD_M^I



Target Human Dose (HD_M^I) has a more precise definition than the TDI

TDI:

The tolerable daily intake (TDI) is an estimate of the amount of a substance in food or drinking water which is not added deliberately (e.g. contaminants) and which can be consumed over a lifetime <u>without presenting an</u> <u>appreciable risk</u> to health.

TDI should be viewed as an "approximation" of the HD_M!



Probabilistic TDI:

90% confidence interval

→ A statistical lower confidence limit on the human dose that at which a fraction I of
→ the population shows an effect of
→ magnitude (or severity) M or greater (for the critical effect considered).



Benchmark Dose has a more precise definition than the NOAEL

Deja vu all over again...

NOAEL:

Greatest concentration or amount of a substance, found by experiment or observation, that causes no adverse alteration ...of the target organism distinguishable from those observed in normal (control) organisms of the same species and strain under the same defined conditions of exposure.

NOAEL should be viewed as an "approximation" of the BMD!



BMDL:

A statistical lower confidence limit on the dose that produces a predetermined
change in response rate of an adverse effect (called the benchmark response or BMR) compared to background.



WHO/IPCS 2018 Case Study





Can we reduce ~40-fold uncertainty with chemicalspecific data?

- Deterministic factors for inter- and intra-species differences replaced by default distributions from WHO/IPCS (2018)
- ProbTDI about 2-fold lower than EFSA TDI
- Confidence interval of HD_M⁻¹ extends from 2-fold below to 20-fold above the EFSA TDI – suggesting EFSA TDI is conservative, but not at 95% coverage.









Results

EFSA (2017)	WHO/IPCS (2018)		Lu et al. (2023)	
Point	HD _M I	HD _M I	Blood BE _M I	Urine BE _M ^I (24 hr)
estimates	Median [90% CI]	Median [90% CI]	Median [90% CI]	Median [90% CI]
	HD _{M=05} ^{I=1%} =	HD _{M=05} ^{I=1%} =	BE _{M-05} ^{I=1%} =	$BE_{M=05}^{I=1\%} =$
1 µg/kg-d	2.92 [0.44 – 19	5.48 [1.37 – 23.81]	0.53 [0.17 – 1.62]	3.93 [0.98 – 16.37]
	µg/kg-d	µg/kg-d	µg/L	µg/kg-d
	ProbTDI =	ProbTDI =	ProbBE =	ProbBE =
	0.44 µg/kg-d	1.37 µg/kg-d	0.17 μg/L	0.98 μg/kg-d

- By <u>coincidence</u>, ProbTDI and EFSA TDI are about the same.
- Was all the effort to use probabilistic and chemical-specific methods a waste? NO!
 - Based on data rather than assumptions
 - Chemical-specific data reduced uncertainty from 40-fold to between 9.5- and 17-fold-fold.
 - When exposures are above the TDI (like for DON) the probabilistic methodology provides a means for more accurate risk characterization.



Beyond the TDI: Estimating Individual and Population Risks



Comparing population HBM exposure distributions with TDI <u>overestimates</u> risk because TDI (including Prob TDI) is a <u>conservative</u> estimate for a <u>sensitive</u> individual, and <u>neglects TK uncertainty &</u> <u>variability</u> in converting biomonitoring data to dose.





Full Monte Carlo simulation for Individual Margin of Exposure (IMOE) comparing <u>individual</u> HBM exposures and BE-based HD_M⁻¹ values gives <u>more accurate estimates</u> of fraction of population at risk (with confidence intervals for uncertainty).

Beyond the TDI: Estimating Individual and Population Risks



Comparing population HBM exposure distributions with TDI <u>overestimates</u> risk because TDI (including Prob TDI) is a <u>conservative</u> estimate for a <u>sensitive</u> individual, and <u>neglects TK uncertainty &</u> <u>variability</u> in converting biomonitoring data to dose.

Full Monte Carlo simulation for Individual Margin of Exposure (IMOE) comparing <u>individual</u> HBM exposures and BE-based HD_M^{-1} values gives <u>more accurate estimates</u> of fraction of population at risk of effects > M (with confidence intervals for uncertainty).

Acronym Recipe Soup for Probabilistic Risk Assessment

