

Integrated Approaches for Testing and Assessment for Developmental Neurotoxicity Organophosphorus flame retardants: A Case Study

Mamta Behl, Ph.D., DABT

ICCVAM Public Forum May 21st, 2020





- IATAs integral component of ICCVAM; various applications
 - Skin Sensitization, Endocrine disruption
- Expansion of Biological Space for IATAs
- OECD DNT Expert Group developing a guidance document using IATAs for DNT that can be used for regulatory decision-making
 - Recent Meeting held in April 2020
- NTP developing a DNT IATA case study for the OECD guidance document
 - Efforts could feed into ICCVAM



- Introduction
- Purpose
- Chemical tested
- End-points
- Hypothesis
- Approaches used

- Findings & Interpretation
- Relevance to human exposure
- Application of IATA
- Uncertainties
- Conclusion

Today: Flame Retardants as a case example of a DNT IATA for hazard characterization and prioritization





How Toxic Flame Retardants Travel Into You





- Projected increase in exposure & use of organophosphate flame retardants (OPFRs) following:
 - Voluntary phase-out of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (BDEs)
 - CPSC petition to ban organohalogens in 2017; NAS report generated in response in 2019
- Concerns for DNT in infants and toddlers- car seats; mouthing
- Lack of toxicity data on hazard characterization & risk assessment
 - Regrettable substitutes?



- Projected increase in exposure
- 20-50 compounds in class including commercial and isomeric mixtures
- Cannot test our way through all combinations using traditional animal guideline studies
- Need strategy to prioritize compounds for further in-depth hazard characterization



R = aryl or alkyl



- Screen compounds for prioritization for further testing
- Hazard ID/ characterization
- Timely dissemination of information



Chemicals in IATA



**representative isomer in mixture is shown as structure*



NTPs DNT Screening Battery



2-D in vitro assays + 3-D neurospheres + Zebrafish



Applying our capabilities in deliberate, integrated and complementary ways.





Hypothesis

The replacement aromatic OPFRs are less active(toxic) than the phased-out BDEs and hence are currently being used as substitutes



Comparison of OPFRs with phased-out compounds (2D + Behavior)



Novel replacements show comparable activity to phased-out compounds

Data publicly available on DNT-DIVER https://sandbox.ntp.niehs.nih.gov/neurotox/

Comparison of OPFRs with phased-out compounds (3D Neurospheres)





Relevance to Human Exposures



Blum et al., (2019) Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 6, 638–649



- NTP conducting guideline DNT studies on 2 representative compounds to compare findings in the battery with *in vivo* studies
- Evaluating novel short-term behavioral screens that may replace DNT Guideline studies
 - Minimal experimenter interference, automated, social housing
 - Applying principles of artificial intelligence



- IATA being used to prioritize compounds for further in vivo testing
 - Collectively discussing what else is required for prediction
- Assumptions of in vitro & alternative animal models
 - Kinetics, metabolism, internal dose, absence of BBB, genetic diversity, gender
- Data analysis pipeline can influence results
- Assumptions in IVIVE modeling
 - Assumptions in clearance; BBB

What is the alternative approach for timely regulation and protection of susceptible populations?



• Generate data for hazard characterization in a timely manner



Neurotoxicology and Teratology Volume 52, Part B, November–December 2015, Pages 181-193



Use of alternative assays to identify and prioritize organophosphorus flame retardants for potential developmental and neurotoxicity *****

Mamta Behl ª 🎘 🖾, Jui-Hua Hsieh ^d, Timothy J. Shafer ^b, William R. Mundy ^b, Julie R. Rice ª, Windy A. Boyd ª, Jonathan H. Freedman ^c, E. Sidney Hunter III ^b, Kimberly A. Jarema ^b, Stephanie Padilla ^b, Raymond R. Tice ^a



This is an open access article published under an ACS AuthorChoice <u>License</u>, which permits copying and redistribution of the article or any adaptations for non-commercial purposes.



Review

Cite This: Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. XXXX, XXX, XXX, XXX-XXX

pubs.acs.org/journal/estlcu

Organophosphate Ester Flame Retardants: Are They a Regrettable Substitution for Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers?

Arlene Blum,^{†,‡} Mamta Behl,[§] Linda S. Birnbaum,[∥] Miriam L. Diamond,[⊥][®] Allison Phillips,[●] Veena Singla,[#] Nisha S. Sipes,[§][®] Heather M. Stapleton,[@][®] and Marta Venier^{*,∇}[®]

• Use for prioritizing compounds for further testing



- IATA demonstrates how a battery may be used for prioritization, timely data dissemination, and (depending on the user) decision-making
- Appears that the *in vitro* activity for some of the OPFRs (i.e., TDCIPP and TPHP) is comparable to that of the phased-out BDEs (e.g., BDE-47) and lies within the range of human exposure (TPHP)
- The *in vitro* activity appears to be at levels comparable to the *in vivo* BMCs (PODs) for some compounds (e.g., TDCIPP)
 - Data exist only for select compounds
- Important to consider IATAs to provide rapid and timely relevant information for human health protection especially for sensitive populations
 - Complement time and cost intensive animal studies



- Jui-Hua Hsieh, NTP
- Fritsche Lab, IUF
- Magdalini Sachana, OECD
- Tim Shafer, USEPA
- Nisha Sipes, NTP

Thanks to other NTP staff and Global Collaborators!



Thank you for your attention!



