

Draft RoC Monograph on Antimony Trioxide

Cancer Studies in Experimental Animals



Amy Wang, PhD Office of the Report on Carcinogens National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

January 24, 2018





One of the RoC listing criteria for reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen

- Sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity from studies in experimental animals
 - Increased incidence of malignant and/or
 - a combination of malignant and benign tumors

(1) in multiple species

or at multiple tissues sites

(2) by multiple routes of exposure, or

(3) to an unusual degree with regard to incidence, site or type of tumor, or age at onset



- What is the level of evidence (sufficient or inadequate) for the carcinogenicity of antimony trioxide in animal studies?
- What are the methodological strengths and limitations of the studies?
- At what tissue sites were tumors observed?
- What role does lung overload play in causing any observed rat lung tumors?



Outline

- Studies included
- Study quality assessment
- Findings

Questions to reviewers



Five inhalation studies meet inclusion criteria





Study qualities (potential bias and sensitivity) were assessed consistently using standard questions



For questions, see Handbook for Preparing RoC Monograph



Study qualities were assessed consistently

Each study was given one level of overall utility in assessing carcinogenicity





All studies have some level of utility





Lung tumors

Benign Malignant Combined

Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma (F) Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma (M and F)

ed Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma or carcinoma (F)

Skin tumors

BenignFibrous histiocytoma (M)CombinedFibrous histiocytoma or fibrosarcoma (M)

Lymphoma

Malignant Lymphoma (F)



Male

Female

♦ Female

35

35

Lung tumors

Benign Malignant Combined

Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma (F)

Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma (M and F)

d Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma or carcinoma (F)





Antimony trioxide concentration	3 mg/m³	10 mg/m ³	30 mg/m ³
Pulmonary overload	Νο	Yes	Yes
Preneoplastic	F, M	F, M	F, M
Benign	F	F	F
Malignant	F, M	F, M	F, M
Combined	F	F	F

- Overload
 - may be from poorly soluble, low intrinsic toxicity particles
- Overload alone does not lead to lung tumors in mice
- Tumors increased at 3 mg/m³ (i.e., below overload threshold)
- Genotoxicity seen in lung (increased DNA damage) and in blood (increased micronucleus)

→ Antimony trioxide has some intrinsic toxicity







Mice Had Increased Incidences of





One of the RoC listing criteria for reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen

- Sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity from studies in experimental animals
 - Increased incidence of malignant and/or
 - a combination of malignant and benign tumors
 - (1) in multiple species

or at multiple tissues sites

(2) by multiple routes of exp

(3) to an unusual degree wit tumor, or age at onset







*M: carcinogenicity in male rats based on multiple factors (see following slides)





*M: carcinogenicity in male rats based on multiple factors (see following slides)



Factors considered in NTP 2017 rat study

- Incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma exceed current and historical controls
- Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma seen in 2/50 male rats at 10 mg/m³
 - Rare tumor: 0/299 in NTP historical control, 2/731 at RCC, 1/1217 at Charles River (total: 3/2247, or 0.13%)
- Adenoma can progress to carcinoma
- Lung tumors in mice
- Some intrinsic toxicity of antimony trioxide: genotoxicity in mice

Antimony trioxide has lung carcinogenicity in rats, even though the increase in incidence was not statistically significant

 \rightarrow Lung overload alone does not explain the lung tumors in rats

Pheochromocytoma of the Adrenal Medulla





One of the RoC listing criteria for reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen

- Sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity from studies in experimental animals
 - Increased incidence of malignant and/or
 - a combination of malignant and benign tumors

(1) in multiple species

or at multiple tissues sites

(2) by multiple routes of exposure, or

(3) to an unusual degree with regard to incidence, site or type of tumor, or age at onset



Increased incidences in malignant tumors or combined tumors (benign or malignant) in two species at multiple tissue sites

Rat		Mouse	
Malignant	Combined	Malignant	Combined
F	М	F, M	F
_	F	_	_
_	-	_	М
_	_	F	_
	R Malignant F _	RatMalignantCombinedFM-F	RatIMalignantMalignantMalignantMalignantMalignantFMF, M-F



- What is the level of evidence (sufficient, limited, or inadequate) for the carcinogenicity of antimony trioxide in animal studies?
 - NTP proposes "sufficient" level of evidence (multiple species, multiple tissue sites)



- What is the level of evidence (sufficient, limited, or inadequate) for the carcinogenicity of antimony trioxide in animal studies?
 - Propose "sufficient"
- What are the methodological strengths and limitations of the studies?





- What is the level of evidence (sufficient, limited, or inadequate) for the carcinogenicity of antimony trioxide in animal studies?
 - Sufficient (multiple species, multiple tissue sites)
- What are the methodological strengths and limitations of the studies?
- At what tissue sites were tumors observed?
 - Lung, skin, whole body (lymphoma), and adrenal gland





- What is the level of evidence (sufficient or inadequate) for the carcinogenicity of antimony trioxide in animal studies?
 - Sufficient (multiple species, multiple tissue sites)
- What are the methodological strengths and limitations of the studies?
- At what tissue sites were tumors observed?
 - Lung, adrenal gland, skin, and lymphoma (whole body)
- What role does lung overload play in causing observed rat lung tumors?
 - Lung tumors are not completely explained by overload (e.g., intrinsic toxicity)



Cancer Studies in Experimental Animals

- Comment on whether the scientific information from cancer studies in experimental animals for antimony trioxide is clear, technically correct, and objectively presented.
 - Identify any information that should be added or deleted.
- Comment on whether the approach and assessment of the utility of the animal carcinogenicity studies (study quality and sensitivity to detect an effect) for informing the cancer evaluation is systematic, transparent, objective, and clearly presented (Sections 5.2, Appendix D).
- Provide any scientific criticisms of NTP's cancer assessment of the experimental animal studies of exposure to antimony trioxide and how findings from the scientific evidence across studies were synthesized (Section 5.3).