NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY	PROGRAM
Technical Report Series	
No. 304	



TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS

STUDIES OF

CHLORENDIC ACID

(CAS NO. 115-28-6)

IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(FEED STUDIES)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM

The National Toxicology Program (NTP), established in 1978, develops and evaluates scientific information about potentially toxic and hazardous chemicals. This knowledge can be used for protecting the health of the American people and for the primary prevention of disease. By bringing together the relevant programs, staff, and resources from the U.S. Public Health Service, DHHS, the National Toxicology Program has centralized and strengthened activities relating to toxicology research, testing and test development/validation efforts, and the dissemination of toxicological information to the public and scientific communities and to the research and regulatory agencies.

The NTP is made up of four charter DHHS agencies: the National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health; the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health; the National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR), Food and Drug Administration; and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control. In July 1981, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Testing Program, NCI, was transferred to the NIEHS.

NTP TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE

TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

(CAS NO. 115-28-6)

IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

(FEED STUDIES)



NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM P.O. Box 12233 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

April 1987

NTP TR 304

NIH Publication No. 87-2560

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

NOTE TO THE READER

These studies are designed and conducted to characterize and evaluate the toxicologic potential, including carcinogenic activity, of selected chemicals in laboratory animals (usually two species, rats and mice). Chemicals selected for testing in the NTP Carcinogenesis Program are chosen primarily on the bases of human exposure, level of production, and chemical structure. Selection per se is not an indicator of a chemical's carcinogenic potential. Negative results, in which the test animals do not have a greater incidence of cancer than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans. The determination of the risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in animals requires a wider analysis which extends beyond the purview of this study.

Five categories of interpretative conclusions were adopted for use in June 1983 in the Technical Reports series to specifically emphasize consistency and the concept of actual evidence of carcinogenicity. For each definitive study result (male rats, female rats, male mice, female mice), one of the following quintet will be selected to describe the findings. These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to either potency or mechanism.

- Clear Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of malignant neoplasms, studies that exhibit a substantially increased incidence of benign neoplasms, or studies that exhibit an increased incidence of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms where each increases with dose.
- Some Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of benign neoplasms, studies that exhibit marginal increases in neoplasms of several organs/tissues, or studies that exhibit a slight increase in uncommon malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Equivocal Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related marginal increase of neoplasms.
- No Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing no chemically related increases in malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Inadequate Study of Carcinogenicity demonstrates that because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations, the studies cannot be interpreted as valid for showing either the presence or absence of a carcinogenic effect.

Additionally, the following concepts (as patterned from the International Agency for Research on Cancer Monographs) have been adopted by the NTP to give further clarification of these issues:

The term *chemical carcinogenesis* generally means the induction by chemicals of neoplasms not usually observed, the earlier induction by chemicals of neoplasms that are commonly observed, or the induction by chemicals of more neoplasms than are generally found. Different mechanisms may be involved in these situations. Etymologically, the term *carcinogenesis* means induction of cancer, that is, of malignant neoplasms; however, the commonly accepted meaning is the induction of various types of neoplasms or of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms. In the Technical Reports, the words *tumor* and *neoplasm* are used interchangeably.

This study was initiated by the National Cancer Institute's Carcinogenesis Bioassay Program, now part of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Toxicology Program. The studies described in this Technical Report have been conducted in compliance with NTP chemical health and safety requirements and must meet or exceed all applicable Federal, state, and local health and safety regulations. All NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies are subjected to a data audit before being presented for peer review.

Although every effort is made to prepare the Technical Reports as accurately as possible, mistakes may occur. Readers are requested to identify any mistakes so that corrective action may be taken. Further, anyone who is aware of related ongoing or published studies not mentioned in this report is encouraged to make this information known to the NTP. Comments and questions about the National Toxicology Program Technical Reports on Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies should be directed to Dr. J.E. Huff, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (919-541-3780).

These NTP Technical Reports are available for sale from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-487-4650). Single copies of this Technical Report are available without charge (and while supplies last) from the NTP Public Information Office, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

	PAGE
ABST	RACT10
CONT	RIBUTORS12
PEER	REVIEW PANEL
SUMM	IARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS
I.	INTRODUCTION
II.	MATERIALS AND METHODS
	PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF CHLORENDIC ACID
	PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMULATED DIETS
	FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	STUDY DESIGN
	SOURCE AND SPECIFICATIONS OF ANIMALS21
	ANIMAL MAINTENANCE
	CLINICAL EXAMINATIONS AND PATHOLOGY24
	STATISTICAL METHODS
III.	RESULTS
	RATS
	FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	BODY WEIGHTS AND CLINICAL SIGNS
	SURVIVAL
	PATHOLOGY AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RESULTS
	MICE
	FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	BODY WEIGHTS AND CLINICAL SIGNS ,
	SURVIVAL
	PATHOLOGY AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RESULTS
IV.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS
v.	REFERENCES

CONTENTS

TABLES

PAGE

TABLE 1	RESULTS OF NCI FEED STUDIES ON HEXACHLORINATED NORBORNENE
	STRUCTURAL ANALOGS OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 2	PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE FEED
	STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 3	SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 4	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE FEED
	STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 5	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY
	FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 6	SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF RATS IN
	THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 7	NUMBERS OF RATS WITH LIVER LESIONS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED
	STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 8	MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED
	STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 9	SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID $\dots 33$
TABLE 10	NUMBERS OF RATS WITH LIVER LESIONS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES
	OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 11	ANALYSIS OF LIVER TUMORS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES
	OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 12	ANALYSIS OF PANCREATIC TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED
	STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 13	ANALYSIS OF LUNG LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY
	OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 14	ANALYSIS OF PREPUTIAL GLAND LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 15	ANALYSIS OF UTERINE TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED
	STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE 16	NUMBERS OF RATS WITH LESIONS OF THE URINARY SYSTEM IN THE TWO-
	YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

TABLES (Continued)

	PAG	E
TABLE 17	ANALYSIS OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR	
	FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID40	I
TABLE 18	ANALYSIS OF ADRENAL GLAND (MEDULLA) TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE	
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID40	ł
TABLE 19	ANALYSIS OF TESTICULAR LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR	
	FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID41	
TABLE 20	ANALYSIS OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE	
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID41	
TABLE 21	SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY	
	FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID	
TABLE 22	SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF MICE IN THE	
	THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID	
TABLE 23	NUMBERS OF MICE WITH LIVER LESIONS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED	
	STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID44	
TABLE 24	MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED	
	STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID45	
TABLE 25	SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID \dots 47	
TABLE 26	NUMBERS OF MICE WITH LIVER LESIONS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES	
	OF CHLORENDIC ACID49	
TABLE 27	ANALYSIS OF LIVER TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED	
	STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID	
TABLE 28	ANALYSIS OF LUNG LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED	
	STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID	
TABLE 29	ANALYSIS OF THYROID GLAND LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR	
	FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID51	
TABLE 30	ANALYSIS OF PITUITARY GLAND LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE	
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID	

FIGURES

PAGE

FIGURE	1	GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING CHLORENDIC ACID
		FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	2	KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING
		CHLORENDIC ACID FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	3	GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING CHLORENDIC ACID
		FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	4	KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING
		CHLORENDIC ACID FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	5	INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF CHLORENDIC ACID (LOT NO. 6287) 165
FIGURE	6	NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF CHLORENDIC ACID
		(LOT NO. 6287)
FIGURE	7	INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF CHLORENDIC ACID (LOT NO. 6745)171
FIGURE	8	NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF CHLORENDIC ACID
		(LOT NO. 6745)

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID63
TABLE A1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE A2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE A3	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE A4	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
APPENDIX B	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE B1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE B2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

APPENDIXES (Continued)

TABLE B3	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE B4	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
APPENDIX C	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS IN
	THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE C1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE
	RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE C2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE
	RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
APPENDIX D	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE IN
	THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE D1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE
	MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE D2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE
	MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID126
APPENDIX E	ANALYSES OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE E1	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID132
TABLE E2	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE E3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID142
TABLE E4	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID145
APPENDIX F	HISTORICAL INCIDENCES OF TUMORS IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE
	RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F1	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF SALIVARY GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N
	RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F2	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN
	MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT

PAGE

APPENDIXES (Continued)

PAGE

TABLE F3	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN MALE F344/N
	RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F4	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PREPUTIAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE
	F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F5	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PANCREATIC ACINAR CELL ADENOMAS IN
	MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F6	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ADRENAL GLAND MEDULLARY TUMORS
	IN MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F7	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TESTICULAR TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS
	RECEIVING NO TREATMENT153
TABLE F8	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN FEMALE
	F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F9	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE
	F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT154
TABLE F10	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE
	F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F11	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF UTERINE TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS
	RECEIVING NO TREATMENT155
TABLE F12	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN MALE B6C3F1
	MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F13	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS
	IN MALE B6C3F ₁ MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F14	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN
	MALE B6C3F ₁ MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F15	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN
	FEMALE B6C3F ₁ MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT157
TABLE F16	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE
	B6C3F ₁ MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT157
APPENDIX G	GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF CHLORENDIC ACID159
TABLE G1	MUTAGENICITY OF CHLORENDIC ACID IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM
TABLE G2	MUTAGENICITY OF CHLORENDIC ACID IN L5178Y MOUSE LYMPHOMA
	CELLS IN THE ABSENCE OF S9161

8

APPENDIXES (Continued)

	PAGE
APPENDIX H	CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF CHLORENDIC ACID
APPENDIX I	PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMULATED DIETS
APPENDIX J	METHODS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS
APPENDIX K	RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS
TABLE K1	RESULTS OF HOMOGENEITY ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE
	THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE K2	RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED
	STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE K3	RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF
	CHLORENDIC ACID
APPENDIX L	SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM
TABLE L1	MURINE VIRUS ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN
	THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
APPENDIX M	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY RATS AND MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE M1	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE M2	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE M3	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
TABLE M4	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
APPENDIX N	INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN
	NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
TABLE N1	INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
TABLE N2	VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
TABLE N3	NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
TABLE N4	CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
APPENDIX O	DISPOSITION AND EXCRETION OF CHLORENDIC ACID IN FISCHER
	344 RATS
APPENDIX P	DATA AUDIT SUMMARY



CHLORENDIC ACID CAS No. 115-28-6

C₉H₄O₄Cl₆

Molecular weight 388.9

1,4,5,6,7,7-hexachloro-5-norbornene-2,3-dicarboxylic acid

ABSTRACT

Chlorendic acid is a chemical intermediate used in the preparation of fire-retardant polyester resins and plasticizers. Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of chlorendic acid (greater than 98% pure) were conducted by administering the chemical in feed to groups of 50 male and 50 female F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice at concentrations of 0, 620, or 1,250 ppm for 103 weeks. The estimated mean daily consumption of chlorendic acid was 27 and 56 mg/kg body weight for low dose and high dose male rats and 39 and 66 mg/kg for low dose and high dose female rats. In mice, the estimated daily consumption was 89 and 185 mg/kg for low dose and high dose males and 100 and 207 mg/kg for low dose and high dose females. These concentrations were selected because higher levels in the 14-day and 13week studies caused decreased mean body weights, more deaths, and increased incidences of liver lesions (rats: centrilobular cytomegaly, mitotic alterations, bile duct hyperplasia; mice: centrilobular cytomegaly, mitotic alterations, coagulative necrosis) relative to control groups.

Survival and feed consumption of dosed male and female rats and mice in the 2-year studies were similar to those of controls. Mean body weights of high dose male and female rats and mice were lower than those of controls. Mean body weights of high dose female rats were 16%-24% lower than those of controls during the second half of the study.

In the 2-year chlorendic acid feed studies, incidences of nonneoplastic lesions of the liver in dosed male rats (cystic degeneration) and dosed female rats (granulomatous inflammation, pigmentation, and bile duct hyperplasia) were increased. The incidences of neoplastic nodules of the liver were significantly increased in dosed male rats (control, 2/50; low dose, 21/50; high dose, 23/50) and high dose female rats (1/50; 3/49; 11/50). The incidence of hepatocellular carcinomas was also increased in high dose female rats (0/50; 3/49; 5/50). In mice, the incidences of nonneoplastic lesions of the liver were increased in dosed males (coagulative necrosis) and high dose females (mitotic alterations). The incidences of hepatocellular carcinomas (9/50; 17/49; 20/50), and hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas (combined) (13/50; 23/49; 27/50) were increased in dosed male mice. Hepatocellular carcinomas metastasized to the lung in 2/50 control, 4/49 low dose, and 7/50 high dose male mice. Hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas or carcinomas (combined) were not significantly increased in female mice (3/50; 7/49; 7/50).

The incidences of acinar cell hyperplasia (0/49; 4/50; 4/50) and acinar cell adenomas (0/49; 4/50; 6/50) of the pancreas were increased in dosed male rats relative to those of controls. Pancreatic acinar cell adenoma is an uncommon neoplasm in untreated control F344/N rats in NTP studies (3/1,667).

In dosed male rats, incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas of the lung (0/50; 3/50; 5/50) were increased. The incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in dosed female mice were also increased (1/50; 5/50; 6/50). Preputial gland carcinomas occurred at a greater incidence in low dose male rats (1/50; 8/50; 4/50) than in controls. An adenoma and a squamous cell papilloma were observed in two low dose male rats. The incidences of sarcomas, fibrosarcomas, or neuro-fibrosarcomas (combined) of the salivary gland (1/50; 2/49; 4/50) were increased in dosed male rats. The incidences in the dosed groups were not significantly different from that in the controls, but these tumors are uncommon in F344/N rats receiving no treatment (3/1,689).

Chlorendic acid was not mutagenic in strains TA100, TA98, TA1535, or TA1537 of Salmonella typhimurium in the presence or absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat or male Syrian hamster liver activation when tested according to the preincubation protocol. Chlorendic acid was mutagenic in the L5178Y/TK^{+/-} mouse lymphoma cell forward assay (in the absence of activation) at a dose resulting in toxicity.

An audit of the experimental data was conducted for the 2-year studies of chlorendic acid. No data discrepancies were found that influenced the final interpretations.

Under the conditions of these 2-year feed studies, there was *clear evidence of carcinogenicity*^{*} of chlorendic acid for male F344/N rats as shown by increased incidences of neoplastic nodules of the liver and acinar cell adenomas of the pancreas. Increased incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas and preputial gland carcinomas may also have been related to the administration of chlorendic acid. There was *clear evidence of carcinogenicity* of chlorendic acid for female F344/N rats as shown by increased incidences of neoplastic nodules and of carcinomas of the liver. There was *clear evidence of carcinogenicity* of chlorendic acid for female F344/N rats as shown by increased incidences of neoplastic nodules and of carcinomas of the liver. There was *clear evidence of carcinogenicity* of chlorendic acid for male $B6C3F_1$ mice as shown by increased incidences of hepatocellular adenomas and of hepatocellular carcinomas. There was *no evidence of carcinogenicity* of chlorendic acid for female $B6C3F_1$ mice acid in the diet at concentrations of 620 or 1,250 ppm for 103 weeks.

^{*}Categories of evidence of carcinogenicity are defined in the Note to the Reader on page 2.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 14.

CONTRIBUTORS

The NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Chlorendic Acid is based on the 13-week studies that began in August 1979 and ended in November 1979 and on the 2-year studies that began in June 1980 and ended in June 1982 at Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc.

National Toxicology Program (Evaluated Experiment, Interpreted Results, and Reported Findings)

John Edgar French, Ph.D., Chemical Manager

Gary A. Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D.W.M. Kluwe, Ph.D.David M. DeMarini, Ph.D.E.E. McConnell, D.V.M.Joseph K. Haseman, Ph.D.G.N. Rao, D.V.M., Ph.D.James Huff, Ph.D.B.A. Schwetz, D.V.M., Ph.D.C.W. Jameson, Ph.D.Raymond W. Tennant, Ph.D.

NTP Pathology Working Group (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report on 1/12/84)

Dawn Goodman, V.M.D. (Chair) Clement Associates Ken Ayers, D.V.M. Burroughs Wellcome Laboratories Scot Eustis, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Kevin Morgan, Ph.D., D.V.M. Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology Henk Solleveld, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Marilyn J. Wolfe, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP)

Principal Contributors at Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. (Conducted Studies and Evaluated Tissues)

H. Rutter, D.V.M. Principal Investigator B. Ulland, D.V.M. Pathologist (for mice) Raymond H. Cox, Ph.D. Toxicologist Karl E. Hepner, Ph.D. Chemist S. Machotka, D.V.M. Pathologist (for rats)

Principal Contributors at Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. (Conducted Pathology Quality Assurance)

Deborah Banas, D.V.M.

Principal Contributors at Carltech Associates, Inc. (Contractor for Technical Report Preparation)

William D. Theriault, Ph.D. Project Manager Abigail C. Jacobs, Ph.D. Senior Scientist John Warner, M.S. Chemist/Statistician

PEER REVIEW PANEL

The members of the Peer Review Panel who evaluated the draft Technical Report on chlorendic acid on August 14, 1985, are listed below. Panel members serve as independent scientists, not as representatives of any institution, company, or governmental agency. In this capacity, Panel members have five major responsibilities: (a) to ascertain that all relevant literature data have been adequately cited and interpreted, (b) to determine if the design and conditions of the NTP studies were appropriate, (c) to ensure that the Technical Report presents the experimental results and conclusions fully and clearly, (d) to judge the significance of the experimental results by scientific criteria, and (e) to assess the evaluation of the evidence of carcinogenicity and other observed toxic responses.

National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors Technical Reports Review Subcommittee

Jerry B. Hook, Ph.D. (Chair) Vice President, Preclinical Research and Development Smith Kline & French Laboratories Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Frederica Perera, Dr.P.H. Division of Environmental Sciences School of Public Health, Columbia University New York, New York James Swenberg, D.V.M., Ph.D. Head, Department of Biochemical Toxicology and Pathobiology Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

Ad Hoc Subcommittee Panel of Experts

John J. Crowley, Ph.D. Division of Public Health Science The Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center Seattle, Washington

Kim Hooper, Ph.D. Hazard Evaluation System and Information Services Department of Health Services State of California Berkeley, California

Thomas C. Jones, D.V.M. Professor, Comparative Pathology New England Regional Primate Research Center Harvard Medical School Southborough, Massachusetts

Richard J. Kociba, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Dow Chemical USA Midland, Michigan

David Kotelchuck, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Environmental Health Science Program Hunter School of Health Sciences New York, New York Franklin E. Mirer, Ph.D.* Director, Health and Safety Department International Union, United Auto Workers, Detroit, Michigan

I.F.H. Purchase, Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Central Toxicology Laboratory Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC Alderley Park, England

Robert A. Scala, Ph.D.* Senior Scientific Advisor, Medicine and Environmental Health Department Research and Environmental Health Division, Exxon Corporation East Millstone, New Jersey

Steven R. Tannenbaum, Ph.D.* Professor, Department of Nutrition and Food Science Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts

Bruce W. Turnbull, Ph.D. Professor and Associate Director College of Engineering Cornell University Ithaca, New York

^{*}Unable to attend

SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

On August 14, 1985, the draft Technical Report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of chlorendic acid received peer review by the National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors' Technical Reports Review Subcommittee and associated Panel of Experts. The review meeting was held in the Conference Center, Building 101, South Campus, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Dr. Purchase, a principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions proposed for male mice (clear evidence of carcinogenicity) and female mice (no evidence of carcinogenicity) but suggested that the conclusion proposed for male and female rats (clear evidence of carcinogenicity) be changed to some evidence of carcinogenicity, since male rats had only benign tumors in the liver and pancreas whereas malignant tumors in the liver were decreased in incidence. In female rats, he suggested that the increased incidence of liver carcinomas was offset by the top dose being greater than the maximum tolerated dose. Dr. J. French, NTP, stated that the conclusions in male and female rats were supported by high incidences of neoplastic nodules of the liver, especially in males, and a significant increase in carcinomas in females. Dr. Purchase said that use of life table analysis for lung adenomas in female mice was not appropriate, since these neoplasms are not life threatening. He thought that the genetic toxicology data were too brief for the general reader.

As a second principal reviewer, Dr. Kotelchuck agreed with the conclusions proposed for male and female rats and male mice but thought that the conclusion for female mice should be equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity because the increase in alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas (combined) was marginal. He said that the statistical trend tests and pairwise comparisons for these tumors were statistically significant, and although the concurrent control incidences were relatively low, the high-dose incidence was about 75% greater than the historical control average incidence.

As a third principal reviewer, Dr. Kociba agreed with the conclusions for male and female mice and female rats but supported Dr. Purchase's rationale for changing the conclusion in male rats to some evidence of carcinogenicity or, preferably, some evidence of benign tumor induction. He noted that both doses selected for the 2-year studies in mice induced necrosis of the liver. Dr. Swenberg commented on the increased emphasis to report metastases of liver tumors to the lungs in mice and urged that this reporting procedure be more standardized.

In further discussion on the strength of evidence for liver tumors in rats, Dr. Perera stated that substantially increased incidences of benign neoplasms support the conclusions as written. Dr. Hooper added that, although the increases in benign liver tumors in female rats were less striking than in males, the significant increases in carcinomas strengthened support for the stated conclusions. Dr. Hook commented that the definitions for the levels of evidence are working guidelines and the Panel should attempt to use these definitions.

Dr. Purchase moved that the conclusions as written for male mice, clear evidence of carcinogenicity, and for female mice, no evidence of carcinogenicity, be accepted. Dr. Swenberg seconded the motion, and it was approved unanimously with nine affirmative votes. Dr. Kotelchuck moved that the conclusion as written for female rats, clear evidence of carcinogenicity, be accepted. Dr. Hooper seconded the motion, and it was approved by eight affirmative votes to one negative vote (Dr. Purchase). Dr. Purchase moved that the conclusion for male rats be changed to some evidence of carcinogenicity. Dr. Kociba seconded the motion, and it was defeated by seven negative votes (Dr. Crowley, Dr. Hooper, Dr. Jones, Dr. Kotelchuck, Dr. Perera, Dr. Swenberg, and Dr. Turnbull) to two affirmative votes (Dr. Kociba and Dr. Purchase). Dr. Hooper then moved that the conclusion as written for male rats, clear evidence of carcinogenicity, be accepted. Dr. Kotelchuck seconded the motion, and it was approved by seven affirmative votes to two negative votes (Dr. Ruchase).

I. INTRODUCTION

Chemical Identification Uses, Production, and Exposure Chemical Disposition General Toxicology Cellular and Genetic Toxicology Carcinogenicity Study Rationale



CHLORENDIC ACID CAS No. 115-28-6

C₉H₄O₄Cl₆

Molecular weight 388.9

1,4,5,6,7,7-hexachloro-5-norbornene-2,3-dicarboxylic acid

Chemical Identification

Chlorendic acid is a hexachloronorbornene compound structurally related to the chlorinated cyclodiene insecticides (heptachlor, chlordane, endosulfan, endrin, and dieldrin) (Murphy, 1980). It is a fine, white, nondusting crystal that is poorly soluble in water and nonpolar organic solvents (benzene, carbon tetrachloride, nhexane) and is readily soluble in more polar organic solvents (methanol, ethanol, and acetone). The acid form loses water in a heated open system; and at temperatures above 200° C, the chemical tends to discolor and forms an anhydride that melts at 230°-235° C. The octanol/ water partition coefficient depends on the pH of the aqueous phase. At pH 7 (neutral), the compound will be predominantly in the ionized form, whereas at an acidic pH, partitioning will be largely of the neutral molecule. Chlorendic acid is very resistant to hydrolytic dechlorination, readily forms salts of a variety of metals, forms esters by heating with or without azeotropic solvent (e.g., chlorobenzene), and readily forms alkyl type polyester resins by reaction with glycols and other polyols (Kirk-Othmer, 1981; USEPA, 1983). Chlorendic acid is classified as a reactive flame retardant; it is chemically incorporated into the polyester and does not migrate or leach out.

Uses, Production, and Exposure

Chlorendic acid and chlorendic anhydride are the principal chemicals used as reactive flame retardants (Kirk-Othmer, 1981). Chlorendic acid and chlorendic anhydride are used primarily as chemical intermediates in the manufacture of corrosion-resistant polyester resins, as intermediates in the manufacture of polymer systems used in oil-modified paints and coatings, and as hardening agents for epoxy resins used in printed circuit boards (USEPA, 1983).

In 1981, manufacture of chlorendic anhydride in the United States was estimated at approximately 7 million pounds $(3.2 \times 10^6 \text{ kg})$ and imports at approximately 140,000 pounds $(6.3 \times 10^4 \text{ kg})$. Chlorendic anhydride is manufactured by reacting hexachlorocyclopentadiene with maleic anhydride in a Diels-Alder condensation; chlorendic acid results from hydrolysis of the anhydride (USEPA, 1983).

Chlorendic anhydride is manufactured in an essentially closed system. Although this procedure would seem to minimize human exposure, there are no published data on the level of occupational exposure to chlorendic anhydride or chlorendic acid. Since both are produced from hexachlorocyclopentadiene, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) guidelines (U.S. Code of Federal Regulations) cover the resulting waste streams. Chlorendic acid and anhydride wastes are therefore controlled.

Chlorendic acid may be released via hydrolytic degradation of polyesters in the environment (soil and water) after disposal. Chlorendic acid is an oxidation product of heptachlor and its metabolites (Cochrane and Forbes, 1974) and endosulfan (Martens, 1972); it could therefore appear in the environment from sources other than direct fugitive emission.

Chlorendic acid has been reported to be present in the leachate of a landfill (New York State Department of Health, 1985). Exposure of workers to chlorendic acid along with other industrial chemicals was investigated in an epidemiologic study (M. Zavon, personal communication to NTP, December 16, 1985).

Chemical Disposition

After oral or intravenous administration of 14Cchlorendic acid (3 mg/kg) to 200-g male F344/N rats, the parent compound was rapidly absorbed. distributed, and metabolized (Decad and Fields, 1982; Appendix O). The major site for deposition of chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity by either route of administration was the liver; more than 50% of the total dose was found in the liver within 15 minutes. Twice as much radioactivity remained in the liver 24 hours after oral administration of chlorendic acid than after intravenous injection. Approximately 75% of the single oral or intravenous dose was excreted as acid-labile conjugates in the feces after biliary excretion within the first 24 hours. Another 25% of the radioactivity was excreted in the feces as the parent compound, and only 3%-6% of the radioactivity was excreted in the urine.

General Toxicology

No published reports were found on the toxicity of chlorendic acid other than the reported oral LD_{50} value in rats (strain, age, and sex unspecified)--1,770 mg/kg of body weight (NIOSH, 1982); this value is greater than those for the structurally related hexachlorinated norbornene insecticides (chlordane, $LD_{50} = 335$ mg/kg; dieldrin, $LD_{50} = 46$ mg/kg; heptachlor, $LD_{50} = 100$ mg/kg) (Murphy, 1980). The rapid absorption, metabolism, and excretion of chlorendic acid after oral administration (Decad and Fields, 1982) suggest that it may have different toxic effects than the hexachlorinated norbornene insecticides, which are metabolized slowly and retained longer (Murphy, 1980).

Cellular and Genetic Toxicology

Chlorendic acid was not mutagenic in strains TA100, TA98, TA1535, or TA1537 of Salmonella typhimurium in the presence or absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat or male Syrian hamster liver S9 when tested according to the preincubation protocol (Appendix G). Chlorendic acid was mutagenic in the $L5178Y/TK^{+/-}$ mouse lymphoma assay in the absence of S9; it was not tested in the presence of S9. The NTP is currently testing chlorendic acid for cytogenetic effects in Chinese hamster ovary cells in vitro. No additional literature references were found on the genetic toxicology of this compound. Chlordane, endosulfan, endrin, and heptachlor were not mutagenic in Salmonella in NTP tests (Haworth et al., 1983).

Carcinogenicity

No published reports were found on the carcinogenicity of chlorendic acid. A series of National Cancer Institute carcinogenesis tests on hexachloronorbornene compounds has been completed (NCI, 1977a,b, 1978a,b). These chemicals were mixed individually in feed and supplied to male and female Osborne-Mendel rats and B6C3F1 mice (10 or 20 matched animals per control group, 50 animals per low or high dose group). Pooled controls (at least 50 animals of the same strain, age and sex) from concurrent tests of other chemicals tested under the same experimental conditions were used for statistical purposes. Animals were fed the study compound for at least 80 weeks and then observed for an additional number of weeks (rats, 24-29 weeks;

mice, 10 weeks) before necropsy and histologic examination. The results indicate that several of these compounds cause hepatocellular carcinomas in male B6C3F₁ mice and some cause hepatocellular carcinomas in female B6C3F₁ mice (Table 1). Follicular cell adenomas of the thyroid gland were associated with chemical administration in male and female Osborne-Mendel rats but not in male or female B6C3F₁ mice.

Study Rationale

Chlorendic acid was studied by the NTP Carcinogenesis Program after being nominated by the National Cancer Institute following a review of flame retardants because of the large production, structure-activity considerations, and the potential for human exposure. The dietary route was chosen to obtain systemic exposure to chlorendic acid.

TABLE 1. RESULTS OF NCI FEED STUDIES ON HEXACHLORINATED NORBORNENE STRUCTURAL ANALOGS OF CHLORENDIC ACID

Chemical	Report No.	Organ Site	<u>Osborne-Mer</u> Male	n <u>del Rats (a)</u> Female	<u> </u>	lice (a) Female
Aldrin	NCI TR 21 (1978a)	(b) Liver	No effect	No effect	3/20, 16/49, 25/45	No effect
Chlordane	NCI TR 8 (1977a)	(b) Liver (c) Thyroid gland	No effect 0/6, 1/34, 6/31	No effect 0/10, 4/43, 6/32	2/18, 16/48, 43/49 No effect	0/19, 3/47, 34/49 No effect
Dieldrin	NCI TR 21 (1978a)	(b) Liver	No effect	No effect	3/18, 12/50, 16/45	No effect
Endrin	NCI TR 12 (1979)	(b) Liver	No effect	No effect	No effect	No effect
Endosulfan	NCI TR 62 (1978b)		Inadequate study	Inadequate study	Inadequate study	Inadequate study
Heptachlor	NCI TR 9 (1977b)	(b) Liver (c) Thyroid gland	No effect No effect	No effect 1/9, 3/43, 14/38	5/19, 11/46, 34/47 No effect	2/10, 3/47, 30/42 No effect

(a) Incidence--control, low dose, high dose

(b) Hepatocellular carcinomas

(c) Follicular cell adenomas of the thyroid gland

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF CHLORENDIC ACID PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMULATED DIETS FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES TWO-YEAR STUDIES Study Design Source and Specifications of Animals Animal Maintenance

Clinical Examinations and Pathology Statistical Methods

PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF CHLORENDIC ACID

Chlorendic acid was obtained in two lots (lot no. 6287 and lot no. 6745) from Hooker Chemical Co. (Niagara Falls, New York). Lot no. 6287 was used for the 14-day studies and 13-week studies, and lot no. 6745 was used for the 2-year studies.

Purity and identity determinations were conducted on both lots (Appendix H). Chemical identity was confirmed by infrared, ultraviolet/ visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. The purity of both lots was determined to be approximately 99% by elemental analysis, water analysis, titration of the two carboxyl groups, thin-layer chromatography, and gas chromatography.

Stability studies monitored by gas chromatography indicated that chlorendic acid was stable on storage for 2 weeks at temperatures up to 60° C (Appendix H). During the study, the chlorendic acid study material was stored at 5° C. Periodic characterization of chlorendic acid by infrared spectroscopy and titration detected no deterioration over the course of the studies (Appendix H).

PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMULATED DIETS

Studies performed at the analytical laboratory demonstrated that homogeneous chlorendic acid formulated diets could be prepared. Stability studies of a 1.000-ppm diet blend demonstrated that the chlorendic acid was stable in feed for 7 days when stored at room temperature (Appendix I). There was an indication that the chlorendic acid was binding with feed ingredients during storage, making it difficult to extract from feed for analysis even when strongly polar extractant solvents were used. Formulated diets were prepared by adding a dry premix (approximately equal amounts of feed and chlorendic acid) to the feed (Table 2). The mixture was then blended for 10-15 minutes. In the 13-week studies, the formulated diets were stored at 5° C for no more than 2 weeks. In the 2-year studies, the formulated diets were stored at 14° C for no longer than 1 week.

Appropriate amount of chemical mixed with a small amount of feed in a Waring [®] blender for 1-2 min; then ground with a mortar and pestle. This premix mixed with 5 kg feed in a Hobart [®] mixing bowl for 5 min; then with 5 more kg feed in a Patterson- Kelly [®] V-blender for 12 min	Chemical and a small amount of feed mixed in a Waring [®] blender for 2 min; then mixed with 5 kg feed in a Hobart [®] mixing bowl for 1 min/kg. This mixture added to the required amount of feed in a Patterson-Kelly [®] Twin-Shell blender (with intensifier bar) and mixed for 1 min/kg
2 wk	1 wk
Air-tight containers at 5° C	14° C
	Appropriate amount of chemical mixed with a small amount of feed in a Waring [®] blender for 1-2 min; then ground with a mortar and pestle. This premix mixed with 5 kg feed in a Hobart [®] mixing bowl for 5 min; then with 5 more kg feed in a Patterson- Kelly [®] V-blender for 12 min 2 wk Air-tight containers at 5° C

 TABLE 2. PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF

 CHLORENDIC ACID

Analyses for chlorendic acid in feed mixtures were performed to confirm that correct concentrations were formulated (Appendix J). The method of analysis involved a methanolic extraction, preparation of the dimethyl derivative of chlorendic acid, and gas chromatography as a quantitation step. Because 3/28 samples analyzed were not within $\pm 10\%$ of the target concentration, it is estimated that approximately 89% of the mixes were formulated within specifications during the 2-year studies (Table 3; Appendix K, Table K2).

FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories and held for approximately 2 weeks before the studies began. Animals were 6-7 weeks old when placed on study. Groups of four or five males and five females were fed diets containing 0, 3,100, 6,200, 12,500, 25,000, or 50,000 ppm chlorendic acid for 14 days. Rats and mice were observed daily and were weighed on days 0, 7, and 14. A necropsy was performed on all animals. Details of animal maintenance are presented in Table 4.

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Thirteen-week studies were conducted to evaluate the cumulative toxic effects of repeated administration of chlorendic acid and to determine the concentrations to be used in the 2-year studies.

Four-week-old male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, observed for 3 weeks,

and assigned to dosed and control groups according to a table of random numbers. Diets containing 0, 620, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, or 10,000 ppm chlorendic acid were fed to groups of 10 rats of each sex. Diets containing 0, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, 10,000, or 20,000 ppm chlorendic acid were fed to groups of 10 mice of each sex.

Animals were housed five per cage. Formulated diets, control diets, and water were available ad libitum. Further experimental details are summarized in Table 4.

Animals were checked twice daily; moribund animals were killed. Feed consumption was measured weekly by cage. Animal weights were recorded weekly. At the end of the 13-week studies, survivors were killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals except those excessively autolyzed or cannibalized. Tissues and groups examined are listed in Table 4.

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Study Design

Diets containing 0, 620, or 1,250 ppm chlorendic acid were fed to groups of 50 male and 50 female rats and 50 male and 50 female mice for 103 weeks.

Source and Specifications of Animals

The male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ (C57BL/6N, female \times C3H/HeN MTV⁻, male) mice used in this study were produced under strict barrier conditions at Charles River Breeding Laboratories under a contract to the NTP

TABLE 3. SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Concentration of in Feed for Tar	of Chlorendic Acid get Concentration	
	620 ppm	1,250 ppm	
Mean (ppm)	621	1,226	
Standard deviation	49.8	78.5	
Coefficient of variation (percent)	8.0	6.4	
Range (ppm)	555-710	1,095-1,380	
Number of samples	14	14	

TABLE 4. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN		
Size of Study Groups Rats5 of each sex; mice4 or 5 of each sex	10 males and 10 females of each species	50 males and 50 females of each species
Doses 0, 3,100, 6,200, 12,500, 25,000, or 50,000 ppm chlorendic acid in the diet	Rats0, 620, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, or 10,000 ppm chlorendic acid in the diet; mice0, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, 10,000 or 20,000 ppm chlorendic acid in the diet	0, 620, or 1,250 ppm chlorendic acid in the diet
Date of First Dose 5/16/79	Rats8/6-8/7/79; mice8/8/79	Rats6/16/80; mice6/5/80
Date of Last Dose 5/30/79	Data not available	Rats6/7/82; mice5/24/82
Duration of Dosing 14 d	13 wk	103 wk
Type and Frequency of Observation Weighed at initiation, after 1 wk, and at termination. Observed daily; observed weekly for clinical signs	Observed 2 × d; body weight, feed con- sumption, and clinical signs recorded 1 × wk	Body weight and feed consumption measured $1 \times wk$ for 91 d and $1 \times mo$ thereafter; observed $2 \times d$
Necropsy and Histologic Examination Necropsy performed on all animals	Necropsy performed on all animals. The following tissues were examined microscopically for control and high dose animals: gross lesions and tissue masses, blood smear, mandibular or mesenteric lymph nodes, salivary glands, sternum including marrow, thyroid gland, parathyroids, small intestine, colon, liver, gallbladder (mice), prostate/testes or ovaries/uterus, lungs and mainstem bronchi, heart, esophagus, stomach, brain, thymus, trachea, pancreas, spleen, kidneys, adrenal glands, urinary bladder, pituitary gland, and mammary gland	The following tissues were examined histologically for all animals: gross lesions, skin, mandibular lymph nodes, mammary gland, salivary glands, sternum including bone marrow, thymus, trachea, lungs and bronchi, heart, thyroid gland, parathyroids, esophagus, stomach, pancreas, small intestine, colon, mesenteric lymph nodes, liver, spleen, kidneys, adrenal glands, urinary bladder, prostate/ testes or ovaries/uterus, brain, pituitary gland, tissue masses or sus- pected tumors, and regional lymph nodes
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINTENA	ANCE	
Strain and Species F344/N rats; B6C3F ₁ mice	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies
Animal Source Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)	Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)	Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)
Study Laboratory Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. (Vienna, VA)	Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. (Vienna, VA)	Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc. (Vienna, VA)
Method of Animal Identification Ear clipping	Ear clipping	Ear tag
Time Held Before Study 2 wk	21 d	Rats25 d; mice14 d

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINTEN	ANCE (Continued)	**************************************
Age When Placed on Study Rats7 wk; mice6 wk	7 wk	8 wk
Age When Killed Rats9 wk; mice8 wk	20 wk	112 wk
Necropsy Dates 5/31/79	Rats11/7-11/8/79; mice11/6-11/8/79	Rats6/14-6/17/82; mice6/4-6/9/82
Method of Animal Distribution Stratified by body weight and assigned to groups such that average cage weights were approximately equal	According to a table of random numbers	Distributed to weight classes and then assigned to study and control groups according to a table of random numbers
Feed Purina Rodent Laboratory Chow 5001 [®] (Ralston Purina, St. Louis, MO); available ad libitum	Same as 14-d studies	NIH 07 Rat and Mouse Ration (Zeigler Bros., Gardeners, PA); available ad libitum
Bedding Heat-treated hardwood chips (Sani- Chips, P. J. Murphy Forest Products, Moonachie, NJ)	Same as 14-d studies	Heat-treated hardwood chips (P. J. Murphy Forest Products, Moonachie, NJ)
Water Available ad libitum	Automatic watering system; available ad libitum	Automatic watering system (Hazleton Systems, Inc., Aberdeen, MD); available ad libitum
Cages Polycarbonate (Hazleton Systems, Inc., Aberdeen, MD)	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies
Cage Filters DuPont Reemay [®] nonwoven fiber sheets (National Paper Co., Baltimore, MD)	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies
Animals per Cage 5	5	Rats and female mice5; male mice5, then 1
Other Chemicals on Study in the Sar None	ne Room None	None
Animal Room Environment Temp74° ± 2° F; humidity45% ± 5%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 10-15 room air changes/h	Temp75° ± 3° F; humidity50% ± 10%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 10-12 room air changes/h	Temp72.2°-75.0° F; humidity40.4%- 57.1%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 10-12 room air changes/h

TABLE 4. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

Carcinogenesis Program. Breeding stock for the foundation colonies at the production facility originated at the National Institutes of Health Repository. Animals shipped for study were progeny of defined microflora-associated parents that were transferred from isolators to barriermaintained rooms. Rats were shipped to the study laboratory at 5 weeks of age, and mice, at 6 weeks. The rats were quarantined at the study facility for 25 days, and the mice, for 14 days. Thereafter, a complete necropsy was performed on five animals of each sex and species to assess their health status. The rats were 57 days old and the mice were 55 days old when placed on study. The health of the animals was monitored during the course of the study according to the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix L).

A quality control skin grafting program has been in effect since early 1978 to monitor the genetic integrity of the inbred mice used to produce the hybrid $B6C3F_1$ study animal. In mid-1981, data were obtained that showed incompatibility between the NIH C3H reference colony and the C3H colony from a Program supplier. In August 1981, inbred parental lines of mice were further tested for genetic integrity via isozyme and protein electophoresis profiles that demonstrate phenotype expressions of known genetic loci.

The C57BL/6 mice were homogeneous at all loci tested. Eighty-five percent of the C3H mice monitored were variant at one to three loci, indicating some heterogeneity in the C3H line from this supplier. Nevertheless, the genome of this line is more homogeneous than that of randomly bred stocks. Male mice from the C3H colony and female mice from the C57BL/6 colony were used as parents for the hybrid B6C3F₁ mice used in these studies. The influence of the potential genetic nonuniformity in the hybrid mice on these results is not known, but results of the studies are not affected because concurrent controls were included in each study.

Animal Maintenance

Rats and female mice were housed five per cage. Male mice were initially housed five per cage but were later housed individually. Feed and water were available ad libitum. Further details of animal maintenance are given in Table 4.

Clinical Examinations and Pathology

All animals were observed twice daily, and clinical signs were recorded once per week. Body weights by cage were recorded once per week for the first 13 weeks of the study and once per month thereafter. Mean body weights were calculated for each group. Moribund animals were killed, as were animals that survived to the end of the study. A necropsy was performed on all animals, including those found dead unless they were excessively autolyzed or cannibalized. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and is not necessarily equal to the number of animals that were placed on study in each group.

Examinations for grossly visible lesions were performed on major tissues or organs. Tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Tissues examined microscopically are listed in Table 4.

When the pathology examination was completed, the slides, individual animal data records, and summary tables were sent to an independent quality assurance laboratory. Individual animal records and tables were compared for accuracy, slides and tissue counts were verified, and histotechnique was evaluated. All tumor diagnoses, all target tissues, and all tissues from a randomly selected 10% of the animals were evaluated by a quality assurance pathologist. Slides of all target tissues and those about which the original and quality assurance pathologists disagreed were submitted to the Chairperson of the Pathology Working Group (PWG) for evaluation. Representative coded slides selected by the Chairperson were reviewed by PWG pathologists, who reached a consensus and compared their findings with the original and quality assurance diagnoses. When diagnostic differences were found, the PWG sent the appropriate slides and comments to the original pathologist for review. This procedure has been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and

Boorman et al. (1985). The final diagnoses represent a consensus of contractor pathologists and the NTP Pathology Working Group. For subsequent evaluations, the diagnosed lesions for each tissue type are combined according to the guidelines of McConnell et al. (1986).

Slides/tissues are generally not evaluated in a blind fashion (i.e., without knowledge of dose group) unless the lesions in question are subtle or unless there is an inconsistent diagnosis of lesions by the laboratory pathologist. Nonneoplastic lesions are not examined routinely by the quality assessment pathologist or PWG unless they are considered part of the toxic effect of the chemical.

Statistical Methods

Data Recording: Data on this experiment were recorded in the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969).

Survival Analyses: The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and is presented in the form of graphs. Animals were censored from the survival analyses at the time they were found dead of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) life table test for a dose-related trend. When significant survival differences were detected, additional analyses using these procedures were carried out to determine the time point at which significant differences in the survival curves were first detected. All reported P values for the survival analysis are two-sided.

Calculation of Incidence: The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions is given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site to the number of animals in which that site was examined. In most instances, the denominators include only those animals for which the site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions (e.g., skin or mammary tumors) prior to histologic sampling, or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the number of animals on which a necropsy was performed.

Analysis of Tumor Incidence: Three statistical methods are used to analyze tumor incidence data. The two that adjust for intercurrent mortality employ the classical method for combining contingency tables developed by Mantel and Haenszel (1959). Tests of significance included pairwise comparisons of high dose and low dose groups with controls and tests for overall doseresponse trends.

For studies in which compound administration has little effect on survival, the results of the three alternative analyses will generally be similar. When differing results are obtained by the three methods, the final interpretation of the data will depend on the extent to which the tumor under consideration is regarded as being the cause of death. Continuity-corrected tests are used in the analysis of tumor incidence, and reported P values are one-sided.

Life Table Analysis--The first method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals dying before the end of the study were "fatal"; i.e., they either directly or indirectly caused the death of the animal. According to this approach, the proportions of tumorbearing animals in the dosed and control groups were compared at each point in time at which an animal died with a tumor of interest. The denominators of these proportions were the total number of animals at risk in each group. These results, including the data from animals killed at the end of the studies, were then combined by the Mantel-Haenszel method to obtain an overall P value. This method of adjusting for intercurrent mortality is the life table method of Cox (1972) and of Tarone (1975). The underlying variable considered by this analysis is time to death due to tumor. If the tumor is rapidly lethal, then time to death due to tumor closely approximates time to tumor onset. In this case,

the life table test also provides a comparison of the time-specific tumor incidences.

Incidental Tumor Analysis--The second method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals that died before the end of the study were "incidental"; i.e., they were merely observed at necropsy in animals dying of an unrelated cause. According to this approach, the proportions of tumor-bearing animals in dosed and control groups were compared in each of five time intervals: weeks 0-52, weeks 53-78, weeks 79-92, week 93 to the week before the terminal-kill period, and the terminal-kill period. The denominators of these proportions were the number of animals on which a necropsy was actually performed during the time interval. The individual time interval comparisons were then combined by the previously described method to obtain a single overall result. (See Haseman, 1984, for the computational details of both methods.)

Unadjusted Analyses--Primarily, survival-adjusted methods are used to evaluate tumor incidence. In addition, the results of the Fisher exact test for pairwise comparisons and the Cochran-Armitage linear trend test (Armitage, 1971; Gart et al., 1979) are given in the appendix containing the analyses of primary tumor incidence. These two tests are based on the overall proportion of tumor-bearing animals and do not adjust for survival differences.

Historical Control Data: Although the concurrent control group is always the first and most appropriate control group used for evaluation, there are certain instances in which historical control data can be helpful in the overall assessment of tumor incidence. Consequently, control tumor incidences from the NTP historical control data base (Haseman et al., 1984, 1985) are included for those tumors appearing to show compound-related effects.

III. RESULTS

RATS

FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

MICE

FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Three male and two female rats that received the 50,000-ppm diet died on day 15 (Table 5). Rats of each sex that received 25,000 or 50,000 ppm appeared hunched and thin. Rats of each sex that received 12,500, 25,000, or 50,000 ppm and females that received 6,200 ppm lost weight during the studies. Males that received 6,200 ppm gained no weight. Females that received 3,100 gained notably less weight than did the controls. No compound-related gross observations were reported, and histologic examinations were not performed.

A maximum concentration of 10,000 ppm was selected for the 13-week studies because of chlorendic acid-related deaths in both sexes at 50,000 ppm and body weight losses in both sexes at 12,500, 25,000, and 50,000 ppm.

TABLE 5. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF RATS IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

		Mean	Body Weights	Final Weight Relative		
Concentration (ppm)	Survival (a)	Initial	Final	Change (b)	to Controls (percent)	
MALE			<u> </u>			
0	5/5	200	227	+27		
3,100	5/5	196	230	+34	101.3	
6,200	5/5	198	198	0	87.2	
12,500	5/5	198	184	-14	81.1	
25,000	5/5	197	137	~ 60	70.4	
50,000	(c) 2/5	200	119	-81	52.4	
FEMALE						
0	5/5	146	162	+ 16		
3,100	5/5	147	153	+6	94.4	
6.200	5/5	148	141	-7	87.0	
12,500	5/5	145	129	-16	79.6	
25.000	5/5	145	104	-41	64.2	
50,000	(c) 3/5	145	93	-52	57.4	

(a) Number surviving/number in group

(b) Mean body weight change of the group

(c) Day of death: all 15

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

All the rats survived to the end of the studies (Table 6). The final mean body weights of male rats that received 2,500 ppm or more chlorendic acid were more than 10% lower than that of the controls. The final mean body weights of female rats that received 1,250 ppm or more chlorendic acid were at least 10% lower than that of the controls. Feed consumption by the 5,000- and 10.000-ppm groups during the first 7 weeks was lower than that of the controls; thereafter, the feed consumption by the 10,000-ppm group was greater than that of the controls. Feed consumption by other groups of dosed rats was generally comparable to that of the controls. There was no evidence of a compound-related effect on physical appearance (except that the high dose group was reported to be thin), behavior, or organs or tissues receiving gross pathologic examination.

Hepatocytomegaly, mitotic alteration of the liver, and bile duct hyperplasia were observed at increased incidences in rats that received 5,000 or 10,000 ppm (Table 7). The degree of severity of bile duct hyperplasia at the two highest concentrations was greater in female rats than in male rats. Mitotic alterations included an increase in both mitotic figures per field and abnormal mitotic figures.

Dose Selection Rationale: A maximum concentration of 1,250 ppm was selected for the 2-year studies because of reductions in mean body weights relative to controls at concentrations of 2,500 ppm and greater in the 13-week studies. The hepatic lesions occurring at 5,000 ppm and 10,000 ppm were not considered to be life threatening but still considered significantly toxic.

Conc.	Survival (a)	<u>Mean B</u> Initial (b)	lody Weigh Final	<u>ts (grams)</u> Change (c)	Final Weight Relative to Controls	Feed sump(l Con- tion (d)
(ppm)					(percent)	Week 7	Week 13
MALE	<u></u>			·····	<u></u>		
0	10/10	150 ± 4	332 ± 6	$+182 \pm 4$		12.1	10.7
620	10/10	164 ± 3	328 ± 4	$+164 \pm 3$	99	11.7	11.4
1,250	10/10	155 ± 4	303 ± 5	+148 ± 2	91	10.8	11.1
2,500	10/10	160 ± 3	297 ± 5	$+137 \pm 4$	89	10.5	10.7
5,000	10/10	154 ± 3	251 ± 5	+97±5	76	9.0	10.8
10,000	10/10	159 ± 2	193 ± 7	$+34 \pm 5$	58	6.4	14.8
FEMALE							
0	10/10	116 ± 3	198 ± 4	$+82 \pm 2$	••	7.6	9.0
620	10/10	113 ± 2	182 ± 2	$+69 \pm 1$	92	6.4	6.7
1.250	10/10	113 ± 2	172 ± 2	$+59 \pm 1$	87	6.5	7.5
2,500	10/10	113 ± 1	162 ± 3	$+49 \pm 3$	82	6.3	6.3
5.000	10/10	117 ± 2	161 ± 2	$+44 \pm 1$	81	6.1	8.8
10,000	10/10	102 ± 5	146 ± 3	$+44 \pm 5$	74	5.3	12.3

TABLE 6. SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

(a) Number surviving/number in group

(b) Initial group body weight \pm standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change of the group \pm standard error of the mean

(d) Grams per animal per day

Concentration (ppm)	Cytomegaly	Mitotic Alteration	Bile Duct Hyperplasia	
MALE				
0	0	0	2	
620	0	0	0	
1.250	Ö	0	0	
2,500	0	0	0	
5,000	10	10	5	
10,000	10	10	9	
FEMALE				
0	0	0	1	
620	Ō	Ó	0	
1.250	Ō	õ	Ō	
2,500	0	1	1	
5,000	6	7	10	
10,000	10	10	10	

TABLE 7. NUMBERS OF RATS WITH LIVER LESIONS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs

The initial weight of the high dose male rats was 4% lower than that of the controls, and the mean body weights of this group were 5%-10% lower throughout the study (Table 8 and Figure 1). Mean body weights of high dose female rats were 10% lower than those of the controls after week 11 and 20% lower after week 57. Mean body weights of low dose female rats were approximately 5% lower than those of the controls by week 10 and 10% lower by week 45. The average daily feed consumption per rat by low dose and high dose rats was 96% and 94% that of the controls for males and 122% and 96% for females (Appendix M, Tables M1 and M2). The average amount of chlorendic acid consumed per day was estimated to be 27 mg/kg and 56 mg/kg for low dose and high dose male rats and 39 mg/kg and 66 mg/kg for low dose and high dose female rats.

There was no evidence of a compound-related effect on physical appearance or behavior.

Weeks <u>Control</u>			620 ppm			1,250 ppm		
on Study	Av. Wt. (grams)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of controls)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of controls)	No. of Survivors
			(g			(Br 0		
MALE								
0	173	50	171	99	50	166	96	50
1	199	50	194	97	50	191	96	50
2	222	50	214	96	50	210	95	50
4	252	50	246	98	50	239	95	50
5	269	50	259	96	50	254	94	50
6	284	50	268	94	50	266	94	50
7	296	50	283	96	50	276	93	50
9	318	50	304	90 98	50	200	93	50
10	323	50	306	95	50	297	92	50
11	342	50	320	94	50	311	91	50
12	346	50	332	96	50	306	88	50
13	355	50 50	332	94	50	319	90	50 50
21	396	50	368	93	50	352	89	50
25	401	50	380	95	50	364	91	50
29	412	49	391	95	50	369	90	50
33	429	49	402	94	50	381	89	50
37	440	49	414	94	50	390	89	50
41	440 446	49	417	94	50	390 401	90	30 49
49	453	49	430	95	50	406	90	49
53	434	49	410	94	50	392	90	49
57	447	49	421	94	50	398	89	49
61	444	48	420	95	50	398	90	49
60	440	41 47	424	95	50	402	90	48
73	447	47	425	95	50	400	90	40
77	445	44	430	97	49	408	92	45
81	435	41	428	98	49	399	92	44
85	437	39	420	96	48	398	91	39
03	431	38 94	420	97 98	40	397	92	30
97	417	31	413	99	37	397	95	28
101	403	27	409	101	34	390	97	25
104	406	23	400	99	32	384	95	25
FEMALE								
0	135	50	133	99	50	132	98	50
1	143	50	143	100	50	140	98	50
2 3	153	50	151	99	50	147	96	50 50
4	166	50	164	99	50	157	95	50
5	174	50	172	99	50	164	94	50
6	179	50	176	98	50	168	94	50
7	183	50 50	180	98	50	172	94	50 50
9	192	50	187	97	50	178	93	50
10	195	49	186	95	50	177	91	50
11	203	49	194	96	50	183	90	50
12	203	49	193	95	50	181	89	50
13	206	49 49	197	90 QK	50 50	165	80 90	50 50
21	226	49	212	94	50	199	88	50
25	229	49	214	93	50	202	88	50
29	234	49	217	93	50	204	87	50
33	241	49	220	91	50	205	85	50
37	250	49	228	91	50	211	84	50
45	264	49	236	89	50	219	83	50
49	271	49	243	90	50	221	82	50
53	271	49	245	90	50	221	82	50
57 61	286	49	253	88 97	50 50	227	79 78	50
65	305	48	272	89	48	237	78	49
69	319	48	281	88	48	247	77	49
73	325	48	283	87	48	251	77	48
77	329	48	289	88	48	250	76	48
81	330	48	286	87	48	253	77	45
89	330 344	40	294	87	40 45	409 267	78	40
93	350	40	302	86	43	271	77	40
97	351	38	302	86	42	274	78	39
101	346	35	306	88	37	273	79	37
		01	900	00	0.0	000	G 4	04

TABLE 8. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIESOF CHLORENDIC ACID



FIGURE 1. GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING CHLORENDIC ACID FOR TWO YEARS

Survival

Estimates of the probabilities for survival of male and female rats fed diets containing chlorendic acid at the concentrations used in these studies and those of controls are shown in Table 9 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 2. No significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of either sex.

Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the significant or noteworthy changes in the incidences of rats with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the liver, pancreas, lung, preputial gland, uterus, salivary gland, urinary system, mammary gland, adrenal gland, testis, and pituitary gland. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A (Tables A1 and A2); Appendix A (Tables A3 and A4) also gives the survival and tumor status for individual male and female rats. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C (Tables C1 and C2). Appendix E (Tables E1 and E2) contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes). Historical incidences of tumors in control animals are listed in Appendix F.

TABLE 9. SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1 ,250 ppm
MALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	26	18	25
Killed at termination	24	30	25
Died during termination period	0	2	0
Survival P values (c)	1.000	0.099	0.944
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	18	14	15
Accidentally killed	1	0	0
Killed at termination	31	34	34
Died during termination period	0	2	1
Survival P values (c)	0.643	0.496	0.718

(a) Terminal-kill period: week 104

(b) Includes animals killed in a moribund condition

(c) The result of the life table trend test is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the controls are in the dosed columns.



FIGURE 2. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING CHLORENDIC ACID FOR TWO YEARS
Liver: Cystic degeneration, focal cellular change, granulomatous inflammation, pigmentation, and bile duct hyperplasia were observed at increased incidences in dosed male or female rats (Table 10). These increases generally occurred in only one sex. Microscopically, cystic degeneration appeared as multiple focal cystic complexes filled with a finely granular eosinophilic material. The dividing septa were not lined by endothelium or other recognizable cell types. Hepatocytes, either single or multiple, were often trapped within the cystic lesion. Small spindle cells resembling fibroblasts were sometimes present in the interstices between individual cystic spaces.

Neoplastic nodules in male and female rats and hepatocellular carcinomas in female rats occurred with significant positive trends (Table 11). The incidences of neoplastic nodules in dosed males and high dose females, and of hepatocellular carcinomas in high dose females, were significantly greater than those in the controls.

Hepatocellular carcinomas present in female rats appeared as large solid nodules with marked compression of the adjacent hepatic parenchyma. Hepatocytes were usually arranged in distorted cords, often resulting in a multinodular pattern within the tumor. The cords were usually one or two cell layers thick in solid areas. The cords were several layers thick in tumors with trabecular patterns and ended abruptly in dilated sinusoids. Hepatocytes in these tumors were markedly enlarged, containing abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and a central round or oval vesicular nucleus with one to four nucleoli. Nuclei were pleomorphic and multiple in some cells. Mitosis was uncommon.

	Concentration (ppm)					
		Male			Female	
Lesion	0	620	1,250	0	620	1,250
Number examined		50	50	50	49	50
Cystic degeneration Granulomatous	13	32	31	1	1	1
inflammation	1	1	1	10	21	20
Pigmentation	1	1	1	1	3	8
Focal cellular change	15	32	20	30	23	28
Bile duct hyperplasia	31	42	41	3	17	40
Neoplastic nodule Hepatocellular	2	21	23	1	3	11
carcinoma	3	5	1	0	3	5

TABLE 10. NUMBERS OF RATS WITH LIVER LESIONS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm (b)	1,250 ppm (b)
MALE			<u></u>
Neoplastic Nodule			
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	21/50 (42%)	23/50 (46%)
Adjusted Rates	8.3%	61.6%	78.6%
Terminal Rates	2/24 (8%)	19/32 (59%)	19/25 (76%)
Week of First Observation	104	97	83
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Hepatocellular Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates	9.5%	15.6%	4.0%
Terminal Rates	1/24 (4%)	5/32 (16%)	1/25 (4%)
Week of First Observation	77	104	104
Life Table Tests	P = 0.244N	P = 0.498	P = 0.304N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.277N	P = 0.371	P = 0.356N
Neoplastic Nodule or Henatocellular (Carcinoma (c)		
Overall Rates	5/50 (10%)	22/50 (44%)	23/50 (46%)
Adjusted Rates	17 396	64 6%	78 6%
Tarminal Rates	3/24 (13%)	20/22 (62%)	19/25 (76%)
Week of First Observation	77	97	83
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.002	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
FEMALE			
Neonlastic Nodule			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	3/49 (6%)	11/50 (22%)
Adjusted Rates	3.9%	8 396	31 496
Terminal Rates	1/31 (394)	3/36 (896)	11/35 (31%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	104
Life Table Tests	P = 0.001	P = 0.359	P = 0.004
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.001	P = 0.359	P = 0.004
Concentular Carcinoma	0/50 (00)	9140 (69)	5/50 (100)
Overall Rates	0/20 (0%)	3/49(0%)	0/0U(IU%) 14 90%
Adjusted Rates Terminal Bates	0.0%	1.0% 9/96 (60L)	14.370
Ierminal Rates	0/31 (0%)	2/30 (0%) 05	0/30 (14%) 104
Week of FIRSU ODSERVATION	B_ 0.000	90 D-0140	104 D=0.044
	P = 0.028	P = 0.140	r = 0.044
incidental lumor l'ests	P=0.023	P=0.133	r = 0.044
Neoplastic Nodule or Hepatocellular (Carcinoma (d)	FUD (10%)	1050 (000)
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	5/49(10%)	16/50 (32%)
Adjusted Rates	3.2%	13.2%	40.7%
Terminal Kates	1/31 (3%)	4/36(11%)	16/35 (46%)
week of First Observation	104	95	104
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P=0.138	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001	P=0.130	r<0.001

TABLE 11. ANALYSIS OF LIVER TUMORS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF
CHLORENDIC ACID (a)

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes).
(b) The equivalent dose in milligrams per kilogram per day is given in Chapter III (Body Weights and Clinical Signs) and Appendix M. (c) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 73/1,719 (4.2% \pm 3.5%) (d) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 48/1,766 (2.7% \pm 3.0%)

Pancreatic Acinus: Focal hyperplasia of the pancreatic acinus was observed in dosed male rats (control, 0/49; low dose, 4/50; high dose, 4/50). Acinar cell adenomas in male rats occurred with a significant positive trend, and the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the controls (Table 12). Acinar cell adenomas were observed in 1/49 low dose and 1/50 high dose female rats.

Microscopically, acinar cell adenomas were large round nodules that often replaced all or a substantial portion of an entire pancreatic lobe. Although these neoplasms were not encapsulated, compression of adjacent pancreatic tissue occurred. Ducts and islets of Langerhans were not present within the nodules. Neoplastic cells were arranged in irregularly shaped acini and tubules with little intervening stroma. These neoplastic cells were larger than normal pancreatic acinar cells with basally located nuclei and abundant apical eosinophilic granular cytoplasm. Mitotic figures were rare. Cells with pyknotic nuclei and cytolysis were seen occasionally. The distinction between adenomas and focal acinar cell hyperplasia was not always clear. These hyperplastic lesions were smaller, with little evidence of compression and, together with adenomas, may represent a spectrum of the same lesion. The criteria used to classify the proliferative exocrine pancreatic lesions have been published (Boorman and Eustis, 1984).

Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas in male rats occurred with a significant positive trend, and the incidence in the high dose group was significantly greater than that in the controls (Table 13). The incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas in female rats were as follows: control, 1/50; low dose, 1/49; high dose, 1/50.

Preputial Gland: The incidence of carcinomas in low dose male rats was significantly greater than those in the controls (Table 14). One adenoma and one squamous cell papilloma were also seen in the low dose group.

TABLE 12. ANALYSIS OF PANCREATIC TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDYOF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Acinar Cell Adenoma (a)			
Overall Rates	0/49 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	11.3%	24.0%
Terminal Rates	0/24 (0%)	3/32 (9%)	6/25 (24%)
Week of First Observation	. ,	88	104
Life Table Tests	P = 0.011	P = 0.104	P = 0.018
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.014	P = 0.082	P=0.018

(a) Historical incidence of a cinar cell neoplasms in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 3/1,667 (0.2% \pm 0.6%)

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Alveolar Epithelial Hyperplasia	······································		
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	9.4%	18.5%
Terminal Rates	0/24 (0%)	3/32 (9%)	3/25 (12%)
Week of First Observation		104	100
Life Table Tests	P = 0.019	P = 0.175	P = 0.036
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.014	P = 0.175	P = 0.021
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carc	inoma (a)		
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	12.5%	18.5%
Terminal Rates	0/24 (0%)	4/32 (13%)	3/25 (12%)
Week of First Observation		104	100
Life Table Tests	P = 0.025	P = 0.104	P = 0.036
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.019	P = 0.104	P = 0.021

TABLE 13. ANALYSIS OF LUNG LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 35/1,723 (2% \pm 2%)

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Carcinoma		·····	
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	8/50 (16%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates	4.2%	22.7%	13.2%
Terminal Rates	1/24 (4%)	6/32 (19%)	2/25 (8%)
Week of First Observation	104	81	82
Life Table Tests	P = 0.194	P = 0.047	P = 0.189
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.198	P=0.035	P = 0.185
Adenoma, Carcinoma, or Squamous (Cell Papilloma (a)		
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	10/50 (20%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates	4.2%	27.8%	13.2%
Terminal Rates	1/24 (4%)	7/32 (22%)	2/25 (8%)
Week of First Observation	104	81	82
Life Table Tests	P = 0.210	P = 0.018	P = 0.189
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.201	P = 0.012	P = 0.185

TABLE 14. ANALYSIS OF PREPUTIAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 105/1,727 (6% \pm 5%)

Uterus/Endometrium: Uterine cysts were observed at increased incidence in high dose female rats (control, 5/50; low dose, 8/49; high dose, 12/50). The incidence of endometrial stromal polyps in low dose female rats was significantly greater than that in the controls by the incidental tumor test (Table 15).

Salivary Gland: Sarcomas, fibrosarcomas, or neurofibrosarcomas (combined) of the salivary gland were observed in 1/50 control, 2/49 low dose, and 4/50 high dose male rats. The salivary gland neoplasms were composed of round, stellate, or spindle cells. The small neoplasms clearly arose in the interstitial tissue of the salivary gland. The large destructive masses appeared to arise from or invade the salivary gland or adjacent tissue. Most tumors contained entrapped remnants of salivary acini or ducts that had undergone dedifferentiation and squamous metaplasia. Most tumors had areas that morphologically resembled fibrosarcomas and were composed of stellate to fusiform spindle cells; the nuclei were elongated to oval, and hyperchromatic nucleoli varied from two to three in number and were prominent. Multinucleated cells were present in some masses. Mitotic figures were common. The amount of cytoplasm varied, and cytoplasmic boundaries were sometimes difficult to distinguish from stroma. Many of these tumors contained poorly formed neovascularized areas. Necrosis of tumor tissue, hemorrhage, and inflammation were common findings. One tumor in this group contained cells that

resembled a neurofibrosarcoma. This mass was composed of interwoven bundles and whorls of elongated, fusiform cells. Often the nuclei of the bundles were parallel to each other in a regimented or palisaded pattern. Other areas showed a looser texture with irregularly arranged cells of plumper fusiform outline and more extracellular space. Mitotic figures were numerous throughout the mass. The incidences in the dosed groups were not significantly different from those in the controls.

Urinary System: Lymphoid hyperplasia was observed in the kidneys of male rats, and calculi (microscopically confirmed) were observed at increased incidence in low dose female rats (Table 16). The incidences of nephropathy in dosed female rats were notably lower than that in the controls. A transitional cell carcinoma was observed in the kidney of 1/50 low dose male rats, and a transitional cell papilloma was observed in the urinary bladder of 1/50 high dose male rats.

Mammary Gland: The incidence of fibroadenomas in high dose female rats was significantly lower than that in the controls (Table 17).

Adrenal Gland (Medulla): Pheochromocytomas and pheochromocytomas or malignant pheochromocytomas (combined) occurred in male rats with significant negative trends, and the incidences in the dosed groups were significantly lower than those in the controls (Table 18).

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm	
Endometrial Stromal Polyp (a)	······································		<u></u>	
Overall Rates	6/50 (12%)	15/49 (31%)	10/50 (20%)	
Adjusted Rates	17.8%	39.1%	27.5%	
Terminal Rates	5/31 (16%)	13/36 (36%)	9/35 (26%)	
Week of First Observation	58	86	88	
Life Table Tests	P = 0.271	P = 0.051	P = 0.276	
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.274	P = 0.040	P = 0.315	

TABLE 15. ANALYSIS OF UTERINE TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDYOF CHLORENDIC ACID

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 383/1,750 (22% \pm 8%)

TABLE 16. NUMBERS OF RATS WITH LESIONS OF THE URINARY SYSTEM IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Male			Female			
Site/Lesion	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm	
Number examined	50	50	50	50	49	50	
Kidney							
Lymphoid hyperplasia	8	19	15	4	1	1	
Nephropathy	35	40	32	24	5	1	
Calculi	0	0	0	0	12	1	
Transitional cell carcinoma	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Urinary bladder							
Transitional cell papilloma	0	0	1	0	0	0	

TABLE 17. ANALYSIS OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm	
Fibroadenoma (a)				
Overall Rates	22/50 (44%)	16/50 (32%)	4/50 (8%)	
Adjusted Rates	58.5%	38.5%	11.4%	
Terminal Rates	16/31 (52%)	11/36 (31%)	4/35 (11%)	
Week of First Observation	87	82	104	
Life Table Tests	P<0.001N	P = 0.081 N	P<0.001N	
Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001N	P = 0.162N	P<0.001N	

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 492/1,772 (28% \pm 10%)

TABLE 18. ANALYSIS OF ADRENAL GLAND (MEDULLA) TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates	25/50 (50%)	17/50 (34%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Rates	72.6%	46.2%	52.6%
Terminal Rates	15/24 (63%)	13/32 (41%)	12/25 (48%)
Week of First Observation	76	88	78
Life Table Tests	P = 0.022N	P = 0.010N	P = 0.034N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.032N	P = 0.017 N	P = 0.048N
Pheochromocytoma, Malignant			
Overall Rates	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Pheochromocytoma or Pheochromocyt	oma, Malignant (a)		
Overall Rates	26/50 (52%)	17/50 (34%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Rates	75.7%	46.2%	52.6%
Terminal Rates	16/24 (67%)	13/32 (41%)	12/25 (48%)
Week of First Observation	76	88	78
Life Table Tests	P = 0.013N	P = 0.005 N	P = 0.021 N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.019N	P = 0.009 N	P = 0.029N

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 358/1,702 (21% \pm 10%)

Testis: Interstitial cell tumors in male rats occurred with a significant negative trend, and the incidences in the dosed groups were significantly lower than that in the controls (Table 19). *Pituitary Gland:* Adenomas and adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in female rats occurred with significant negative trends, and the incidences in the high dose group were significantly lower (by life table analysis) than those in the controls (Table 20).

TABLE 19. ANALYSIS OF TESTICULAR LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Interstitial Cell Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	4/49 (8%)	10/50 (20%)	8/50 (16%)
Interstitial Cell Tumor (a)			
Overall Rates	41/49 (84%)	35/50 (70%)	22/50 (44%)
Adjusted Rates	97.5%	80.9%	61.5%
Terminal Rates	23/24 (96%)	24/32(75%)	12/25 (48%)
Week of First Observation	73	81	64
Life Table Tests	P<0.001N	P = 0.008 N	P = 0.002N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P<0.001N	P = 0.013N	P<0.001N

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 1,511/1,703 (89% \pm 8%)

TABLE 20. ANALYSIS OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Adenoma			······································
Overall Rates	31/50 (62%)	34/50 (68%)	23/50 (46%)
Adjusted Rates	83.3%	76.9%	55.7%
Terminal Rates	25/31 (81%)	26/36 (72%)	17/35 (49%)
Week of First Observation	82	64	82
Life Table Tests	P = 0.027 N	P = 0.498N	P = 0.035N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.060N	P = 0.476	P = 0.083N
Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adenoma or Carcinoma (a)			
Overall Rates	33/50 (66%)	37/50 (74%)	24/50 (48%)
Adjusted Rates	86.4%	80.3%	58.2%
Terminal Rates	26/31 (84%)	27/36 (75%)	18/35 (51%)
Week of First Observation	82	64	82
Life Table Tests	P = 0.018N	P = 0.553N	P = 0.022N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.044N	P = 0.384	P = 0.057 N

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 805/1,704 (47% \pm 11%)

FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Four male mice that received the 50,000-ppm diet died on day 7 (Table 21). Mice that received 50,000 ppm chlorendic acid appeared hunched and thin. Male and female mice that received 50,000 ppm lost weight during the studies; mice that received 6,200 ppm or more gained less weight than did the controls. No compoundrelated gross lesions were observed at necropsy, and histologic examinations were not performed.

A maximum concentration of 20,000 ppm was selected for the 13-week studies because of chlorendic acid-related deaths in males at 50,000 ppm and marked reduction in body weight gains in both sexes at 25,000 ppm and 50,000 ppm in the 14-day studies.

TABLE 21. SURVIVAL AND MEAN BODY WEIGHTS OF MICE IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY FEEDSTUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

		Mean	Body Weights	(grams)	Final Weight Relative
Concentration Survival (a) (ppm)	Initial	Final	Change (b)	to Controls (percent)	
MALE					<u></u>
0	5/5	24	29	+5	
3,100	4/4	24	29	+5	100.0
6,200	5/5	25	28	+3	96.6
12,500	5/5	23	27	+4	93.1
25,000	5/5	24	25	+1	86.2
50,000	(c) 1/5	25	22	-3	75. 9
FEMALE					
0	5/5	17	21	+ 4	
3,100	5/5	17	21	+ 4	100.0
6.200	5/5	17	19	+ 2	90.5
12,500	5/5	17	20	+ 3	95.2
25,000	5/5	17	19	+ 2	90.5
50,000	5/5	17	16	- 1	76.2

(a) Number surviving/number in group

(b) Mean body weight change of the group

(c) Day of death: all 7

THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

All the mice survived to the end of the studies (Table 22). The final mean body weights of all groups of dosed mice were at least 7% lower than those of the controls. Feed consumption was not notably affected by the incorporation of chlorendic acid in feed. Except for the decrease in relative body weight gain, there was no evidence of a compound-related effect on physical appearance, behavior, or development of gross lesions. Compound-related changes were observed microscopically in the liver of male and female mice and included centrilobular cytomegaly, mitotic alteration, and coagulative necrosis (Table 23). Dose Selection Rationale: A maximum concentration of 1,250 ppm was selected for the 2-year studies because potentially life-threatening hepatic effects (necrosis) occurred in males at 10,000 and 20,000 ppm and a marked reduction in body weight gain relative to controls was seen in males at 2,500 ppm or more and in females at 10,000 ppm or more in the 13-week studies. Female mice received chlorendic acid at the same concentrations as did the males in the 2-year studies in order to simplify study performance, although female mice appeared to be less susceptible to the effects of chlorendic acid administration than were male mice during the 13-week studies.

TABLE 22.SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF MICE IN THE
THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

		Mean E	Body Weight	s (grams)	Final Weight Relative	Feed Con-	
Conc. (ppm)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	to Controls (percent)	sump Week 7	<u>tion (d)</u> Week 13
MALE			<u></u>				
0	10/10	28.0 ± 0.7	36.7 ± 1.1	$+8.7 \pm 0.8$		3.6	2.7
1,250	10/10	27.2 ± 0.3	32.9 ± 0.4	$+5.7 \pm 0.5$	89.6	3.3	2.9
2,500	10/10	26.4 ± 0.6	31.2 ± 0.5	$+4.8 \pm 0.5$	85.0	3.2	3.0
5,000	10/10	27.5 ± 0.6	33.2 ± 0.7	$+5.7 \pm 0.3$	90.5	3.8	3.4
10,000	10/10	26.7 ± 0.6	31.5 ± 0.8	$+4.8 \pm 0.3$	85.8	4.1	3.5
20,000	10/10	26.8 ± 0.8	29.9 ± 0.9	$+3.1 \pm 0.7$	81.5	4.1	3.8
FEMALE							
0	10/10	21.3 ± 0.4	28.7 ± 0.9	$+7.4 \pm 0.6$		3.8	3.4
1,250	10/10	20.3 ± 0.4	26.4 ± 0.8	$+6.1 \pm 0.4$	92.0	3.7	3.1
2,500	10/10	21.1 ± 0.4	26.7 ± 0.7	$+5.6 \pm 0.4$	93.0	4.0	3.6
5,000	10/10	21.4 ± 0.6	26.0 ± 0.6	$+4.6 \pm 0.2$	90.6	3.6	3.8
10,000	10/10	21.2 ± 0.3	25.3 ± 0.4	$+4.1 \pm 0.2$	88.2	3.9	3.9
20,000	10/10	21.0 ± 0.4	23.6 ± 0.4	$+2.6 \pm 0.3$	82.2	3.7	3.8

(a) Number surviving/number in group

(b) Initial group body weight \pm standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change of the group \pm standard error of the mean

(d) Grams per animal per day

		Lesion (a)		
Concentration (ppm)	Centrilobular Cytomegaly	Mitotic Alteration	Coagulative Necrosis	
MALE			<u> </u>	
0	0	0	0	
1,250	0	0	2	
2,500	0	0	0	
5,000	0	0	1	
10,000	0	0	5	
20,000	10	7	8	
FEMALE				
0	0	1	0	
1.250	0	0	0	
2,500	Ō	0	0	
5.000	Ō	Ó	0	
10.000	õ	3	Ĩ	
20,000	8	7	1	

TABLE 23. NUMBERS OF MICE WITH LIVER LESIONS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

(a) These lesions were not graded for severity.

TWO-YEAR STUDIES

Body Weights and Clinical Signs

From week 11 to the end of the studies, mean body weights of high dose male mice were 5%-10% lower than those of the controls (Table 24 and Figure 3). Mean body weights of low dose male mice varied from 2% above to 9% below those of the controls throughout the study. Mean body weights of high dose female mice were variable but remained 5%-10% lower than those of the controls throughout most of the study. Mean body weights of low dose female mice varied from 2% above to 7% below those of the controls throughout most of the study. The average daily feed consumption by low dose and high dose male mice was 107% and 109% that of the controls and by low dose and high dose female mice, 102% that of the controls (Appendix M, Tables M3 and M4). The average amount of chlorendic acid consumed per day was estimated to be 89 mg/kg and 185 mg/kg for low dose and high dose male mice and 100 mg/kg and 207 mg/kg for low dose and high dose female mice, based on group feed consumption data.

There was no evidence of a compound-related effect on physical appearance or behavior.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Weeks <u>Control</u>		<u>620 ppm</u>			1,250 ppm			
MALE 0 24.9 50 24.8 100 50 25.0 11 2 27.8 50 27.2 94 50 28.3 1 3 28.3 50 27.1 94 50 28.6 1 4 29.7 50 27.8 94 50 28.6 1 4 29.7 50 29.3 97 50 29.3 1 1 6 30.2 99 50 30.6 1 1 10.1 50 30.6 1 1 9 32.2 50 30.4 94 50 31.4 1 13 32.9 50 31.1 1 13 32.9 50 33.1 1 13 32.9 50 33.1 1 13 13 1 13 32.9 14 53 36.1 55 33.3 1 13 15 17 36.9 36.1 55	on Study	Av. Wt. (grams)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of controls)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of controls)	No. of Survivors
0 24.9 50 24.6 100 50 25.0 11 1 26.3 50 27.2 39 50 28.3 1 3 22.3 50 27.1 96 50 22.6 1 4 29.1 50 27.6 85 50 28.0 1 5 29.2 50 28.5 39.7 50 28.4 1 6 30.6 50 31.0 10.1 50 30.6 11 9 31.6 50 30.4 94 50 33.1 1 10 32.2 50 31.9 39.7 50 33.1 1 113 32.0 50 33.2 37.7 49 34.0 95 48 33.2 5 21 36.6 50 34.3 93 48 33.2 5 5 33 37.2 47 34.4 92	MALE								
1 26 50 267 102 50 28.8 1 2 2776 50 2771 96 50 28.0 1 4 231 50 2776 35 50 28.0 1 5 231 50 28.3 367 50 28.0 1 7 31.3 50 30.2 96 50 30.3 1 9 31.6 50 30.4 94 50 30.5 1 10 32.2 50 30.4 94 50 33.1 1 11 32.4 50 31.3 1	0	24 9	50	24 8	100	50	25 0	100	50
2 276 50 272 98 50 286 1 3 283 50 277 85 50 280 1 4 283 50 277 85 50 280 1 5 290 283 97 50 283 1 7 313 50 302 96 50 306 11 8 306 50 310 101 50 306 11 10 322 50 314 94 50 334 1 13 323 50 313 97 50 313 1 13 323 50 332 95 50 333 1 14 366 50 343 94 49 333 1 5 33 372 47 344 92 46 386 1 5 22 367 48 355 91 45 361 5 5 33 <td>1</td> <td>26 3</td> <td>50</td> <td>26 7</td> <td>102</td> <td>50</td> <td>25 3</td> <td>96</td> <td>50</td>	1	26 3	50	26 7	102	50	25 3	96	50
3 28 3 50 27 1 96 50 28 7 1 4 29 1 50 28 3 96 50 28 4 1 5 29 2 50 28 3 96 50 28 4 1 7 31 3 50 30 2 96 50 30 8 1 9 31 6 50 30 4 94 50 30 6 5 10 32 2 50 30 4 94 50 31 4 1 11 32 4 50 31 8 96 50 31 4 1 12 36 6 50 34 3 96 50 31 4 1 13 32 6 50 34 3 93 48 34 3 39 45 33 2 5 25 35 7 49 34 0 95 48 34 3 39 48 34 3 1 5 33 37 2 47 34 4 92 46 36 6 1 5 34 409	2	278	50	27 2	98	50	26 8	96	50
4 29 1 50 27 6 35 50 28 0 1 5 30 7 50 20 3 397 50 28 4 1 6 30 6 50 30 3 10 101 50 30 3 1 9 31 6 50 30 4 96 50 30 5 1 10 32 2 50 30 4 96 50 31 4 1 13 32 9 50 31 7 96 50 31 4 1 13 32 9 50 31 3 97 50 31 3 1 1 13 32 9 50 33 3 32 46 33 5 1 1 25 35 67 44 34 92 46 33 6 1 5 37 38 9 46 36 4 94 45 36 1 5 44 40 9 46 37 4 94 45 36 1 5 44 40 9 46 37 4 94 45	3	28 3	50	27 1	96	50	26 7	94	50
3 247 30 283 96 30 284 1 6 303 50 203 97 50 203 1 7 303 50 303 10 10 50 308 11 9 316 50 304 96 50 306 11 12 330 50 317 96 50 314 1 13 329 50 319 97 50 331 1 1 21 366 50 332 95 50 331 1 1 23 367 49 340 95 46 361 1 1 44 49 339 44 338 1 <td< td=""><td>4</td><td>29 1</td><td>50</td><td>27 6</td><td>95</td><td>50</td><td>28 0</td><td>96</td><td>50</td></td<>	4	29 1	50	27 6	95	50	28 0	96	50
* 31 5 50 40 2 94 50 33 3 1 8 30 6 50 30 4 101 50 30 5 1 9 31 6 50 30 4 94 50 30 5 1 10 32 2 50 30 4 94 50 31 4 1 11 32 4 50 31 8 98 50 31 4 1 12 33 0 50 31 9 97 50 31 3 1 13 32 9 50 33 3 48 33 2 5 21 36 6 50 34 3 93 48 33 2 5 33 37 2 47 34 4 92 46 36 5 1 5 34 40 8 46 36 4 92 45 36 1 5 37 38 9 46 36 4 92 46 36 0 5 56	D R	297	50	28 5	96	50	28 4	96	50
s 30 6 50 31 0 101 50 33 8 11 9 31 6 50 30 4 96 50 30 6 11 10 32 2 50 30 4 96 50 31 4 12 11 32 4 50 31 7 96 50 31 4 12 13 32 9 50 31 9 97 50 33 1 12 14 36 6 50 33 4 94 49 33 9 12 24 36 6 50 33 4 92 46 36 1 12 36 7 49 34 0 95 48 36 1 14 37 37 7 47 34 4 92 45 36 1 15 44 40 0 46 37 6 92 43 37 1 9 57 41 0 45 38 0 92 43 37 1 9 57	7	30 2	50	293	97	00 50	293	97 97	50
9 31 6 50 30 4 96 50 30 5 1 10 32 2 50 30 4 96 50 31 4 1 11 32 4 50 31 8 98 50 31 4 1 12 33 0 50 31 9 97 50 31 1 1 13 32 9 50 33 2 95 50 33 1 1 21 36 6 50 33 3 94 49 33 9 48 33 2 1 23 357 48 34 3 93 48 34 3 1 1 33 37 2 47 34 4 92 46 35 6 1 4 45 35 3 1 4 41 38 9 46 35 6 91 45 35 3 1 4 44 40 9 46 37 6 92 46 37 6 93 77 7 9<	8	30.6	50	31 0	101	50	30.8	101	50
10 32 2 50 30 4 94 50 30 6 1 11 32 4 50 31 7 96 50 31 4 1 13 32 9 50 33 2 95 50 33 1 5 21 36 6 50 33 2 95 50 33 1 5 22 35 7 49 34 0 95 48 34 3 5 33 37 2 47 34 4 92 46 33 6 5 33 37 2 47 34 4 92 46 33 6 5 41 38 9 46 35 5 91 45 36 1 5 44 40 9 46 37 4 94 45 36 5 5 43 40 9 46 37 4 94 45 37 3 5 55 41 2 45 40 5 96 42 39 5 5 56 41 2 45 40 5 96 42 39 5 5 <td< td=""><td>9</td><td>316</td><td>50</td><td>30 4</td><td>96</td><td>50</td><td>30 5</td><td>97</td><td>50</td></td<>	9	316	50	30 4	96	50	30 5	97	50
11 32 4 50 31 8 98 50 31 4 9 12 33 0 50 31 9 97 50 31 3 9 13 32 9 50 33 1 9 97 50 33 1 9 21 36 6 50 33 3 94 49 33 9 5 25 33 37 48 34 3 93 48 34 3 9 33 37 2 47 34 4 92 46 33 6 5 41 38 9 46 35 6 91 45 36 1 5 45 40 9 46 37 6 92 45 37 3 36 5 45 40 9 46 37 6 92 43 37 0 5 5 45 40 9 46 37 6 92 43 37 0 5 53 41 2 45 40 2 98 42 38 5 97 36 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	10	32 2	50	30 4	94	50	30 6	95	50
12 33 50 31,7 96 50 31,4 1 13 32,9 50 33,2 95 50 33,1 5 21 36,6 50 33,2 95 50 33,1 5 22 33,7 49 34,0 95 48 34,3 5 33 37,2 47 34,4 92 46 33,8 5 33 37,2 47 34,4 92 46 33,8 5 41 38,9 46 35,5 91 45 36,1 5 44 40,9 46 37,4 94 45 35,3 5 43 40,9 46 37,4 94 45 36,0 5 53 41,2 45 40,5 86 42 37,0 5 54 40,0 43,30,0 95 42 38,5 5 5 7,7 9 55 41,2 45 40,5 95 32 37,0 <td< td=""><td>11</td><td>32 4</td><td>50</td><td>31 8</td><td>98</td><td>50</td><td>31 4</td><td>97</td><td>50</td></td<>	11	32 4	50	31 8	98	50	31 4	97	50
13 32.9 50 31.9 97 50 31.3 5 17 350 50 33.1 5 50 33.1 5 21 366 50 34.3 94 49 33.9 5 25 35.7 48 33.3 93 48 33.2 5 233 37.2 47 34.4 92 46 38.6 5 33 37.2 48 32.4 45 38.6 5 41 38.9 46 37.6 92 45 37.3 5 45 40.0 46 37.6 92 43 37.0 5 53 41.2 45 38.0 92 43 37.7 5 54 41.0 45 40.2 98 42 38.5 5 57 41.0 44 40.0 39.4 39.7 9 37.7 9 73 40.4 42 39.4 35.5 37.7 9 37.1 9	12	33 0	50	31 7	96	50	31 4	95	50
17 35 0 33 2 95 50 33 1 6 21 36 50 33 93 48 33 2 5 29 36 7 49 34 92 46 33 2 5 33 37 2 47 34 92 46 33 5 41 38 46 35 5 91 45 36 1 5 45 40 46 374 94 45 37 3 6 5 53 412 45 36 92 43 37 1 6 53 412 45 405 98 42 38 6 6 61 410 42 395 97 39 37 9 37 9 37 9 37 9 37 9 37 9 37 9 37 9 37 9 37 9 37 9 37 <td< td=""><td>13</td><td>32 9</td><td>50</td><td>31 9</td><td>97</td><td>50</td><td>31 3</td><td>95</td><td>50</td></td<>	13	32 9	50	31 9	97	50	31 3	9 5	50
21 36 50 34 3 94 49 33 9 5 25 357 48 34 3 93 48 34 3 5 29 367 48 34 3 93 48 34 3 5 33 372 48 355 91 45 356 5 41 38 9 46 355 91 45 356 5 49 400 46 376 92 45 373 5 49 400 46 376 92 43 377 5 57 410 45 402 98 42 385 5 65 412 45 402 98 42 385 5 67 416 44 400 96 41 372 5 77 408 42 395 37 367 9 85 401 41 380 97 32 370 9 85 401 41	17	35 0	50	33 2	95	50	33 1	95	49
25 35 7 49 34 95 48 33 32 5 29 36 7 48 34 92 46 338 5 37 38 9 46 35 5 91 45 361 5 41 38 9 46 374 94 45 373 5 45 400 46 374 94 45 3860 5 53 412 45 405 98 42 3860 5 561 412 45 405 98 42 3860 5 65 412 45 405 98 42 3860 5 77 410 42 392 397 39 377 5 85 401 41 383 366 35 374 9 38 400 41 380 35 32 370 9 397 394 400 376 33 30 </td <td>21</td> <td>36 6</td> <td>50</td> <td>34 3</td> <td>94</td> <td>49</td> <td>33 9</td> <td>93</td> <td>49</td>	21	36 6	50	34 3	94	49	33 9	93	49
29 367 48 343 93 46 338 1 33 377 389 46 355 91 45 361 1 41 389 46 355 91 45 361 1 44 409 46 376 92 45 373 1 6 49 400 46 374 94 45 360 1 1 57 410 45 402 98 42 380 2 6 61 410 45 402 98 42 385 5 5 65 416 44 400 96 41 371 5 5 77 408 42 395 97 39 377 387 9 85 401 41 380 95 322 370 9 93 390 41 380 95 322 370 9 93 390 41 380 9	25	35 7	49	34 0	95	48	33 2	93	49
33 37 2 47 34 92 46 338 5 37 389 46 364 94 45 353 5 41 389 46 364 94 45 353 5 49 400 46 374 94 45 380 5 53 412 45 380 92 43 370 5 61 410 45 390 95 43 370 5 65 412 45 405 98 42 385 5 66 41 311 45 377 387 5 377 387 5 377 387 5 377 387 5 377 387 5 383 397 5 32 377 9 93 390 41 380 95 32 370 9 93 390 440 376 95 30 371 9 93 360 95 380 90 90<	29	367	48	34 3	93	48	34 3	93	49
37 30 90 40 355 91 45 361 5 41 389 46 376 92 45 373 5 49 400 46 376 92 45 373 5 53 412 45 380 92 43 371 5 57 410 45 402 98 42 380 5 65 416 44 400 96 41 371 5 67 416 44 400 96 41 372 5 73 410 42 395 97 39 377 56 81 401 41 383 96 35 37 367 52 85 401 41 380 95 32 370 9 97 394 40 376 95 30 371 9	33	37 2	47	34 4	92	46	33 8	91	46
41 38 9 40 36 36 36 36 373 5 45 400 46 3774 94 45 370 5 53 410 45 390 95 43 370 5 61 410 45 390 95 43 370 5 61 410 45 402 98 42 385 5 69 416 44 400 98 42 385 5 61 410 42 395 97 39 377 5 77 410 42 394 95 37 367 5 77 408 42 394 95 32 370 9 73 410 42 394 95 32 370 9 93 390 41 380 95 32 370 9 93 390 40 376 95 30 371 9 9	37	389	46	35 5	91	45	361	93	46
\mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{y}_{1} \mathbf{y}_{2} \mathbf{y}_{3} $\mathbf{y}_$	4L 14	30 9	40 40	30 4	34 00	40	333	31 01	40
33 412 45 314 94 43 371 55 53 412 45 390 95 43 3770 56 61 410 45 390 95 43 3770 56 65 412 45 4005 98 422 3865 56 69 416 44 400 986 411 3811 55 77 408 42 395 977 39 3777 56 81 404 422 384 955 377 3667 52 83 400 411 380 977 322 3770 52 97 394 40 376 955 30 3711 99 101 400 38 380 955 29 380 96 102 400 37 363 91 28 367 97 322 <td>40</td> <td>40 9</td> <td>40</td> <td>3/6</td> <td>92</td> <td>40</td> <td>373</td> <td>91</td> <td>40</td>	40	40 9	40	3/6	92	40	373	91	40
2^{-1} 1^{-2} 2^{-2} 3^{-2} 3^{-2} 3^{-2} 3^{-1} 3^{-2} 61 410 45 402 98 42 386 5^{-2} 65 416 44 400 96 41 381 5^{-2} 73 416 44 400 96 41 372 5^{-2} 71 408 42 395 97 39 3777 5^{-2} 81 404 42 394 95 322 370 95 89 400 41 380 97 322 370 95 97 394 40 376 95 30 371 95 97 394 400 376 95 300 371 97 97 394 400 376 393 300 413 380 95 29 3860 95 104 400 37	59	41 9	40	38.0	94 Q9	40	30 0	20 20	44 AA
61 410 45 402 96 42 360 50 65 412 45 400 996 41 381 50 69 410 42 392 966 411 372 56 77 406 422 395 97 396 311 377 56 81 404 422 394 955 377 367 56 93 390 411 380 995 322 3770 99 97 394 400 376 955 300 3711 99 101 400 38 370 933 29 3860 95 104 400 37 363 91 28 367 93 360 198 95 320 185 97 1 204 50 128 360 92 360 224 933 50 224 933	57	41 0	45	39.0	95	49	37.0	90	44
65 41 2 $\overline{15}$ $\overline{10}$ $\overline{5}$ $\overline{96}$ $\overline{42}$ $\overline{30}$ $\overline{5}$ 69 41 6 44 40 0 96 41 $38 1$ $\underline{5}$ 73 41 0 42 39 2 96 41 $38 1$ $\underline{5}$ 81 40 4 42 39 5 97 39 3 377 $\underline{5}$ 81 40 4 42 38 4 95 37 367 $\underline{5}$ 89 40 0 41 38 0 95 32 377 9 93 39 0 41 38 0 95 30 377 9 97 39 4 40 37 6 95 30 377 9 97 39 4 38 0 95 29 38 0 95 101 40 0 38 38 0 95 30 377 93 103 40 0 37 36 3 91 28 367 92 103 40 0 30 16 99	61	41 0	45	40 2	98	42	38.0	93	43
6941 64440 0964138 1557341 04239 2964137 257740 84239 5973937 758140 44238 4953736 758540 14138 0953237 099339 04138 0973237 099739 44037 6953037 1910140 03838 0952938 0910340 03736 3912836 7910440 03736 3912836 7910440 03736 3912836 79221 05019 6935019 395221 05019 6935020 696322 15021 6955021 293523 65022 5955022 495624 05023 2975023 297724 85024 21005023 998125 85022 5955022 495624 05025 5995023 799924 85025 5995025 39	65	41 2	45	40 5	98	42	38 5	93	43
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	69	416	44	40 0	96	41	38 1	92	41
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	73	41 0	42	39 2	96	41	37 2	91	41
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	77	40 8	42	39 5	97	39	377	92	39
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	81	40 4	42	38 4	95	37	36 7	91	39
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	85	40 1	41	38 3	96	35	374	93	35
33 39 0 41 38 0 97 32 37 0 92 97 394 40 37 6 95 30 37 1 9 101 400 38 38 0 95 29 38 0 9 103 400 38 37 0 93 29 36 0 9 104 400 37 36 3 91 28 36 7 9 FEMALE 0 19 0 50 18 6 99 50 18 5 97 1 20 4 50 19 0 93 50 19 8 90 4 22 7 50 21 3 96 50 19 8 90 4 22 7 50 21 6 95 50 21 2 93 6 24 0 50 23 2 97 50 23 2 97 7 24 8 50 24 7 100 50 23 4 99 9 24 8 50 25 5 99 50 26	89	40 0	41	38 0	95	32	37 0	93	34
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	93	39 0	41	38 0	97	32	370	95	33
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	97	394	40	376	95	30	371	94	32
103 400 38 370 333 29 360 9 104 400 37 363 91 28 367 9 FEMALE0 190 50 1685 99 50 1855 97 1 204 50 190 93 50 193 955 2 210 50 196 933 50 206 986 3 221 50 213 966 50 219 933 5 236 50 2225 955 50 212 933 5 236 50 2232 977 50 232 297 7 248 50 2447 1000 50 249 1000 8 240 50 2422 101 50 233 988 11 258 50 2252 101 50 2253 988 11 258 50 2260 966 50 2253 933 13 269 50 2260 977 49 282 933 25 318 50 301 955 477 304 92 37 355 50 328 911 366 477 328 911 33 331 50 319 96 477 369 95 49 374 49 366 997 477 328 911 </td <td>101</td> <td>40.0</td> <td>38</td> <td>38 0</td> <td>95</td> <td>29</td> <td>38.0</td> <td>95</td> <td>30</td>	101	40.0	38	38 0	95	29	38.0	95	30
104 400 37 36.3 91 28 36.7 9 FFEMALE 0 190 50 18.8 99 50 18.5 97 1 204 50 190 93 50 193 95 2 21.0 50 19.6 93 50 20.6 98 3 22.1 50 21.8 96 50 21.2 93 5 23.6 50 22.5 95 50 22.4 95 6 24.0 50 23.2 97 50 23.2 97 7 24.8 50 24.7 100 50 24.9 100 8 24.0 50 24.2 101 50 23.6 95 10 24.8 50 24.2 101 50 24.3 98 11 25.8 50 25.5 99 50 25.3	103	400	38	370	93	29	360	90	29
FEMALE 0 19 0 50 18 8 99 50 18 5 97 1 20 4 50 19 0 93 50 19 3 95 2 21 0 50 19 6 93 50 20 6 98 3 22 1 50 21 3 96 50 19 8 90 4 22 7 50 21 6 95 50 21 2 93 5 23 6 50 22 5 95 50 22 4 95 6 24 0 50 23 2 97 50 23 2 97 7 24 8 50 24 7 100 50 23 7 99 9 24 8 50 24 2 101 50 23 6 95 10 24 9 50 25 2 101 50 24 3 98 11 25 8 50 25 5 99 50 25 1 97 12 27 2 50 26 0 96 50 25 3	104	40 0	31	30 3	91	28	367	92	28
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FEMALE								
1 204 50 190 93 50 193 95 2 210 50 196 933 50 206 986 3 221 50 213 966 50 198 90 4 227 50 216 955 50 212 933 5 236 50 2255 955 50 224 995 6 240 50 2232 977 50 2232 977 7 248 50 2447 1000 50 2449 1000 8 240 50 2442 1011 50 2236 955 10 249 50 2252 1011 50 2236 955 10 249 50 2255 99 50 2251 977 12 272 50 260 96 50 2253 933 13 269 50 2265 999 50 2252 933 25 318 50 296 97 49 282 933 25 318 50 301 95 49 327 103 29 327 50 366 977 477 328 911 33 331 50 319 96 477 364 92 37 355 50 346 977 477 328 911 33 331 50 <td>0</td> <td>19 0</td> <td>50</td> <td>18 8</td> <td>99</td> <td>50</td> <td>18 5</td> <td>97</td> <td>50</td>	0	19 0	50	18 8	99	50	18 5	97	50
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	20 4	50	190	93	50	193	95	50
3 221 50 213 96 50 198 90 4 227 50 216 95 50 212 933 5 236 50 225 95 50 224 957 6 240 50 232 977 50 222 977 7 248 50 2447 100 50 232 977 9 9 248 50 2442 101 50 2337 999 9 248 50 2424 988 50 2256 957 10 249 50 252 101 50 2236 977 12 272 50 260 96 50 2251 977 12 272 50 260 96 50 2252 944 17 285 50 285 100 49 272 95 21 304 50 296 977 49 282 933 25 318 50 301 955 499 3227 1033 29 327 50 319 966 477 304 92 37 355 50 346 977 477 328 911 45 388 49 366 977 477 328 911 45 388 49 366 99 477 343 90 57 390 49 </td <td>2</td> <td>21 0</td> <td>50</td> <td>196</td> <td>93</td> <td>50</td> <td>20 6</td> <td>98</td> <td>50</td>	2	21 0	50	196	93	50	20 6	98	50
4 227 50 216 50 50 212 850 5 236 50 2232 97 50 2322 97 7 248 50 247 100 50 249 100 8 240 50 2422 101 50 2237 99 9 248 50 244 98 50 236 95 10 249 50 2252 101 50 2236 95 10 249 50 2255 99 50 2251 97 12 272 50 260 96 50 2253 933 13 269 50 2260 97 50 2252 944 17 285 50 2285 100 49 272 955 21 304 50 296 97 49 2262 933 25 318 50 301 95 49 327 1033 29 327 50 308 94 48 2266 911 33 331 50 319 96 477 304 92 41 362 50 352 97 477 328 911 45 388 49 366 97 477 328 911 45 383 49 366 97 477 343 900 57 390	3	24 1	50 80	213	90 05	50	190	30 02	40
3 23.5 30 22.5 30 30 22.2 30 6 24.0 50 22.5 977 50 22.2 977 7 24.8 50 24.7 100 50 24.9 100 8 24.0 50 24.2 101 50 23.7 99 9 24.8 50 24.4 98 50 23.6 955 10 24.9 50 25.5 99 50 22.51 977 12 27.2 50 26.0 96 50 22.53 933 13 26.9 50 22.55 99 50 22.52 94 17 28.5 50 22.60 96 50 22.53 933 13 26.9 50 22.60 977 49 28.2 933 25 31.8 50 29.6 977 49 28.2 933 25 31.8 50 30.1 955 49 32.7 1003 29 32.7 50 30.8 94 48 29.6 911 33 33.1 50 31.9 96 477 30.4 92.2 37 35.5 50 34.6 977 477 32.8 911 45 38.8 49 36.8 96 477 34.9 90 41 36.2 50 35.2 977 477 32.8		24 I 99 B	50	21 0	95	50	21 Z 99 A	20 GK	49
724.85024.71005024.9100824.05024.21015023.799924.85024.4985023.6951024.95025.51015024.3981125.85025.5995025.1971227.25026.0965025.2941728.55028.51004927.2952130.45029.6974928.2932531.85030.1954932.71032932.75030.8944829.6913333.15031.9964730.4924136.25035.2974732.8914538.84936.9954734.9904136.25035.2974734.9904538.84936.8964734.3905739.04938.6994736.9956138.94936.8994736.9956538.74938.6994736.9956538.04939.11004736.7947028.94039.110047<	6	24 0	50	23 2	97	50	23 2	97	49
82405024210150237999248502449850236951024950252101502439811258502559950251971227250260965025294172855026097502529417285502969749252932130450296974928293253185030195493271032932750308944829691333315031996473049237355503469747328914538849369954734990453884936598473439057390493869747369956138949386994736995653874938699473699565380493869947369956538049386994736995653804939110047367947028940 </td <td>7</td> <td>24.8</td> <td>50</td> <td>24 7</td> <td>100</td> <td>50</td> <td>24 9</td> <td>100</td> <td>49</td>	7	24.8	50	24 7	100	50	24 9	100	49
924 85024 4985023 6951024 95025 21015024 3981125 85025 5995025 1971227 25026 0965025 3931326 95028 51004927 2952130 45029 6974928 2932531 85030 1954932 71032932 75030 8944829 6913333 15031 99647730 4923735 55034 6974732 8914136 25035 2974732 8914538 84936 5984735 3945338 34936 8964734 3905739 04938 6974736 9956138 94938 6994736 5956538 74938 4994736 9956538 74938 4994736 9956538 74938 4994736 9956538 74938 4994736 9956538 04938 49947 <td< td=""><td>8</td><td>24 0</td><td>50</td><td>24 2</td><td>101</td><td>50</td><td>23 7</td><td>99</td><td>49</td></td<>	8	24 0	50	24 2	101	50	23 7	99	49
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	24 8	50	24 4	98	50	23 6	95	49
112585025599502519712272502609650253931326950260975025294172855028510049272952130450296974928293253185030195492271032932750308944829691333315031996473049237355503529747321904136250352974732891453884936995473499049374493659847353945338349368974736995613894938699473699565387493869947369956939.04939.11004736.7947028.94039.11004736.794	10	24 9	50	25 2	101	50	24 3	98	49
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	25 8	50	25 5	99	50	25 1	97	49
13 269 50 260 97 50 252 94 17 285 50 285 100 49 272 95 21 304 50 296 97 49 282 93 25 31.8 50 30.1 95 49 32.7 103 29 32.7 50 30.8 94 48 29.6 91 33 33.1 50 31.9 96 47 30.4 92 37 35.5 50 34.6 97 47 32.1 90 41 36.2 50 35.2 97 47 32.8 91 45 38.8 49 36.5 98 47 35.3 94 53 38.3 49 36.8 96 47 34.3 90 57 39.0 49 38.0 97 47 36.9 95 61 38.9 49 38.4 99 47 36.9 95 65 38.7 49 38.4 99 47 36.9 95 69 39.0 49 39.1 100 47 36.7 94	12	27 2	50	26 0	96	50	25 3	93	49
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	26 9	50	26 0	97	50	25 2	94	49
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	28 5	50	285	100	49	272	90	49
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	304	50	296	91 05	49	28 2	93 109	49
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	318	50	30 1	95	49	347	Q1	49
37 35 50 34 6 97 47 32 1 90 41 36 2 50 35 2 97 47 32 8 91 45 38 49 36 9 95 47 34 9 90 49 37 4 49 36 5 98 47 35.3 94 53 38.3 49 36.8 96 47 34.3 90 57 39.0 49 38.6 97 47 36.9 95 61 38.9 49 38.6 99 47 36.9 95 65 38.7 49 38.4 99 47 36.9 95 69 39.0 49 39.1 100 47 36.7 94 79 28.9 40 27 20.9 40 27 20.9 40 27	50 29	381	50	308	96	47	30 4	92	49
41 36 2 50 35 2 97 47 32 8 91 45 38 8 49 36 9 95 47 34 9 90 49 37 4 49 36 5 98 47 35 3 94 53 38 3 49 36 5 98 47 35 3 94 57 39 0 49 38 6 96 47 34 3 90 57 39 0 49 38 6 99 47 36 9 95 61 38 9 49 38 6 99 47 36 9 95 65 38 7 49 38 4 99 47 36 9 95 69 39 0 49 39 1 100 47 36 7 94 79 28 9 40 27 09 46 76 96 90	37	35 5	50	34 6	97	47	32.1	90	49
45 38 8 49 36 9 95 47 34 9 90 49 37 4 49 36 5 98 47 35 3 94 53 38 3 49 36 8 96 47 34 3 90 57 39 0 49 38 0 97 47 37 0 95 61 38 9 49 38 6 99 47 36 9 95 65 38 7 49 38 4 99 47 36 9 95 65 38 7 49 38 4 99 47 36 9 95 69 39 0 49 39 1 100 47 36 7 94 79 28 9 40 27 09 46 76 70	41	36 2	50	35 2	97	47	32 8	91	49
49 374 49 365 98 47 353 94 53 383 49 368 96 47 343 90 57 390 49 380 97 47 370 95 61 389 49 386 99 47 369 95 65 387 49 384 99 47 369 95 69 390 49 391 100 47 367 94 72 289 40 27 02 46 75 02	45	38 8	49	36 9	95	47	34 9	90	49
53 38.3 49 36.8 96 47 34.3 90 57 39.0 49 38.0 97 47 37.0 95 61 38.9 49 38.6 99 47 36.6 95 65 38.7 49 38.4 99 47 36.9 95 69 39.0 49 39.1 100 47 36.7 94 73 28.9 40 39.1 02 46 75 02 46 74 36.7 94	49	37 4	49	36 5	98	47	35 3	94	49
57 39.0 49 38.0 97 47 37.0 95 61 38.9 49 38.6 99 47 36.9 95 65 38.7 49 38.4 99 47 36.9 95 69 39.0 49 39.1 100 47 36.7 94 73 28.9 40 27.4 29 46 56 36.7 94	53	38 3	49	36 8	96	47	34 3	90	49
61 38 9 49 38 6 99 47 36 9 95 65 38 7 49 38 4 99 47 36 9 95 69 39 0 49 39 1 100 47 36 7 94 73 28 9 40 27 4 26 46 56 36 7 94	57	39 0	49	38 0	97	47	37 0	95	49
to 38 7 49 38 4 99 47 36 9 95 69 39 0 49 39 1 100 47 36 7 94 79 29 2 40 27 4 20 46 57 6 94	61	38 9	49	38 6	99	47	369	95	49
ີ່ ບອ ວອບ 4ອງ 3ອ່1 100 47 367 94 79 06-0 40 974 00 46 ຄະດີ 00	65	387	49	384	99	47	36 9	90	41
	69	390	49	391	100	47	307	274 0.0	41
ು ಎಂತಿ 437 ರಿ.4 ೨೮೮ 400 ರೆರಿರೆ ೫2 ಇಗೆ ೧೯೫೭ 47 ರಿ.೧ ೩೮ ೧೯೯೧ ೧೯	13	383	49	374	375 109	40 45	30 3 96 9	32 97	41
() 31 31 382 102 45 363 97 91 979 48 982 07 40 944 01	91	370	41 AB	38 2 98 6	102	6 P 0 k	30 J 94 A	371 G1	40 AR
01 010 400 000 91 43 344 91 95 979 15 929 00 40 49 05 0	01 85	310	40	300	91 08	40	044 44 95 A	94	44
89 380 44 370 977 41 3KG 92	89	38.0	44	37 0	97	41	35.0	92	40
93 390 41 370 95 41 350 90	93	39.0	41	37 0	95	41	35 0	90	38
97 393 40 378 96 40 353 90	97	39.3	40	37 8	96	40	35 3	90	37
101 39 0 39 38 0 97 40 36 0 92	101	39 0	39	38 0	97	40	36 0	92	37
103 38 0 39 36 0 95 39 34 0 89	103	38 0	39	36 0	95	39	34 0	89	35
104 376 39 358 95 39 343 91	104	376	39	35 8	95	39	34 3	91	35

TABLE 24. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIESOF CHLORENDIC ACID



FIGURE 3. GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING CHLORENDIC ACID FOR TWO YEARS

Survival

Estimates of the probabilities for survival of male and female mice fed diets containing chlorendic acid at the concentrations used in these studies and those of controls are shown in Table 25 and in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 4. No significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of either sex.

Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the significant or noteworthy changes in the incidences of mice with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the liver, lung, thyroid gland, pituitary gland, and forestomach. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B (Tables B1 and B2); Appendix B (Tables B3 and B4) also gives the survival and tumor status for individual male and female mice. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D (Tables D1 and D2). Appendix E (Tables E3 and E4) contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes). Historical incidences of tumors in control animals are listed in Appendix F.

TABLE 25. SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
MALE (a)		·····	
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	13	20	21
Accidentally killed	0	2	0
Killed at termination	36	26	29
Died during termination period	1	2	0
Survival P values (c)	0.132	0.170	0.146
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	11	10	15
Accidentally killed	0	1	0
Killed at termination	39	39	34
Died during termination period	0	0	1
Survival P values (c)	0.395	0.906	0.464

(a) Terminal-kill period: weeks 104-105

(b) Includes animals killed in a moribund condition

(c) The result of the life table trend test is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the controls are in the dosed columns.



FIGURE 4. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING CHLORENDIC ACID FOR TWO YEARS

Liver: Necrosis was observed at increased incidences in dosed male mice, and mitotic alteration was observed in high dose female mice (Table 26).

Hepatocellular adenomas, hepatocellular carcinomas, and hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in male mice occurred with significant positive trends (Table 27). The incidences of hepatocellular adenomas in high dose males and of hepatocellular carcinomas and hepatocellular carcinomas or adenomas (combined) in dosed males were significantly greater than those in the controls. Metastases to the lung were seen in 2/50 control, 4/49 low dose, and 7/50 high dose male mice. The following incidences of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas (combined) were observed in female mice: control, 3/50; low dose, 7/49; high dose, 7/50.

Hepatocellular adenomas observed in these studies had well-defined borders that compressed adjacent parenchyma. Neoplastic cells were generally basophilic and varied in size from smaller than to equal to normal hepatocytes. Occasional neoplastic cells were observed that were large and eosinophilic. Neoplasms were usually solid, consisted of closely packed cells, and were usually devoid of sinusoids. Infrequently, fatty changes or vacuolation of the cytoplasm was prominent. Occasionally, a trabecular pattern was observed consisting of neoplastic cells one to two cell layers thick with sinusoids separating the cords. In solid tumors, the neoplastic cells varied greatly in size and nuclear morphology. Dilation of the sinusoids with blood, thrombi, and associated necrosis of neoplastic cells were frequent. Trabecular patterns consisted of cords of neoplastic cells several layers thick and often ended abruptly in a sinusoid. Infrequently, a glandular pattern of the tumor architecture was observed.

Hepatocellular carcinomas varied from solid to trabecular to mixed type patterns. In the solid neoplasms, the neoplastic cells varied greatly in size and nuclear morphology. Dilation of the sinusoids with blood, thrombi, and associated necrosis of neoplastic cells were frequent. Trabecular patterns consisted of cords of neoplastic cells several layers thick and often ended abruptly in a sinusoid. Infrequently, a glandular pattern of the tumor architecture was observed, as seen in the adenomas.

			Concentra	tion (ppm)		
		Male			Female	
Lesion	0	620	1,250	0	620	1,250
Number examined	50	49	50	50	49	50
Necrosis	3	12	11	1	3	3
Mitotic alteration	0	0	0	0	0	7
Focal cellular change	3	4	6	1	1	5
Hepatocellular adenoma	5	9	10	2	2	3
Hepatocellular carcinoma	9	17	20	1	5	4

TABLE 26. NUMBERS OF MICE WITH LIVER LESIONS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF
CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control		1,250 ppm (b	
Hepatocellular Adenoma				
Overall Rates	5/50 (10%)	9/49 (18%)	10/50 (20%)	
Adjusted Rates	13.5%	30.1%	33.3%	
Terminal Rates	5/37 (14%)	8/28 (29%)	9/29 (31%)	
Week of First Observation	105	30	102	
Life Table Tests	P = 0.038	P = 0.077	P = 0.047	
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.041	P = 0.081	P=0.050	
Hepatocellular Carcinoma				
Overall Rates	9/50 (18%)	17/49 (35%)	20/50 (40%)	
Adjusted Rates	22.1%	46.5%	51.8%	
Terminal Rates	6/37 (16%)	9/28 (32%)	11/29 (38%)	
Week of First Observation	70	75	60	
Life Table Tests	P = 0.004	P = 0.018	P = 0.005	
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.023	P = 0.084	P=0.038	
Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinon	18 (C)			
Overall Rates	13/50 (26%)	23/49 (47%)	27/50 (54%)	
Adjusted Rates	32.2%	61.4%	70.6%	
Terminal Rates	10/37 (27%)	14/28 (50%)	18/29 (62%)	
Week of First Observation	70	30	60	
Life Table Tests	P<0.001	P = 0.006	P<0.001	
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.003	P = 0.028	P = 0.005	

TABLE 27. ANALYSIS OF LIVER TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (a)

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes).

(b) The equivalent dose in milligrams per kilogram per day is given in Chapter III (Body Weights and Clinical Signs) and Appendix M.

(c) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 540/1,784 (30% \pm 8%)

Lung: Alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas and alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in female mice occurred with significant positive trends (Table 28). The following incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas (combined) were observed in male mice: control, 15/50; low dose, 4/49; high dose, 9/50 (historical incidence in NTP studies: 296/1,780, 17% \pm 8.2%). The incidence in the low dose group was significantly lower (P < 0.025) than that in the controls.

Thyroid Gland: Follicular cell adenomas in male mice occurred with a significant positive trend; the incidence in the high dose group was not significantly greater than that in the controls (Table 29). There were no follicular cell lesions reported in female mice.

TABLE 28. ANALYSIS OF LUNG LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OFCHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Alveolar Epithelial Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	10.3%	10.5%
Terminal Rates	0/39 (0%)	4/39 (10%)	3/35 (9%)
Week of First Observation		104	74
Life Table Tests	P = 0.047	P=0.063	P = 0.054
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.050	P=0.063	P = 0.066
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma			
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)	2/50 (4%)
Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Ca	rcinoma (a)		
Overall Rates	1/50 (2%)	5/50 (10%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates	2.6%	12.8%	16.1%
Terminal Rates	1/39 (3%)	5/39 (13%)	5/35 (14%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	74
Life Table Tests	P=0.034	P = 0.103	P = 0.045
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.037	P = 0.103	P = 0.053

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 122/1,777 (7% \pm 4%)

TABLE 29. ANALYSIS OF THYROID GLAND LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Follicular Cell Hyperplasia	· · · · ·	;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	1/47 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
Follicular Cell Adenoma(a)			
Overall Rates	0/50 (0%)	0/47 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Terminal Rates	0/37 (0%)	0/28 (0%)	2/29 (7%)
Week of First Observation			67
Life Table Tests	P = 0.030	(b)	P=0.093
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.039	(b)	P = 0.120

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies of follicular cell adenoma or carcinoma (combined) (mean \pm SD): 28/1,680 (2% \pm 2%) (b) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the 620-ppm and control groups.

Pituitary Gland: Adenomas in female mice occurred with a significant negative trend, and the incidences in the dosed groups were significantly lower than that in the controls (Table 30). Forestomach: Squamous cell papillomas in female mice occurred with a significant negative trend (control, 3/50; low dose, 0/48; high dose, 0/50); the incidences in the dosed groups were not significantly lower than that in the controls.

TABLE 30. ANALYSIS OF PITUITARY GLAND LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1, 250 ppm
Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	4/48 (8%)	2/47 (4%)	0/50 (0%)
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	12/48 (25%)	4/47 (9%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates	30.8%	10.2%	8.6%
Terminal Rates	12/39 (31%)	3/37 (8%)	3/35 (9%)
Week of First Observation	104	77	104
Life Table Tests	P=0.009N	P = 0.035N	P=0.019N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.008N	P = 0.028N	P=0.019N
Adenoma or Carcinoma (a)			
Overall Rates	13/48 (27%)	4/47 (9%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates	32.4%	10.2%	8.6%
Terminal Rates	12/39 (31%)	3/37 (8%)	3/35 (9%)
Week of First Observation	91	77	104
Life Table Tests	P = 0.005N	P = 0.022N	P=0.012N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.003 N	P = 0.021 N	P = 0.009N

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean \pm SD): 122/1,777 (7% \pm 4%)

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Thirteen-Week Studies Two-Year Studies Mutagenicity Chlorendic acid, a principal chemical intermediate used in the preparation of fire-retardant polyester resins and plasticizers, has been studied in 14-day, 13-week, and 2-year toxicology and carcinogenesis studies. The main findings of these studies indicate that feeding chlorendic acid in the diet results in both nonneoplastic and neoplastic lesions of the liver in male and female F344/N rats and male B6C3F₁ mice. In male rats, administration of chlorendic acid in feed is also associated with an uncommon pancreatic acinar cell lesion and possibly with the occurrence of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas and preputial gland carcinomas.

Thirteen-Week Studies

Thirteen-week studies were conducted by offering feed containing chlorendic acid to male and female F344/N rats (0, 620, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, or 10,000 ppm) and B6C3F₁ mice (0, 1,250, 2,500, 5,000, or 10,000 ppm). Results included decreases in body weights when compared with those of controls (see Tables 6 and 22) and increased incidences of deaths and lesions of the liver when compared with those of controls (see Tables 7 and 23). The liver was the only affected organ identified in these 90-day studies.

The occurrence of liver lesions in rats (centrilobular cytomegaly, mitotic alterations, and bile duct hyperplasia) was dose related (see Table 7). Mitotic alterations included an increase in both normal and abnormal mitotic figures. The incidence and number of mitotic alterations in male rats were slightly greater than those in female rats at the two highest concentrations. The incidence and degree of severity of bile duct hyperplasia were greater in female rats than in male rats at the two highest concentrations. In mice, mitotic alterations were not seen as often as in the rats and did not occur at levels corresponding to those in rats (see Table 23). Cytomegaly of minimal severity was consistently seen in male and female rats at the two highest doses and in male and female mice at the highest dose. The hepatic lesions in male mice were primarily coagulative necrosis. The effect was greater in male mice than in female mice.

Chemical disposition studies (Decad and Fields, 1982; Appendix O) in male F344 rats

demonstrated that the liver is the major site of deposition of chlorendic acid after a single gavage administration. The 13-week feed studies, considered in conjunction with the evidence for disposition and metabolism for chlorendic acid, indicate the liver is a major site for chemical accumulation and toxic injury at the concentrations used. The degree of severity of the hepatic lesions observed in these studies was proportional to the amount of chlorendic acid consumed.

Feed consumption (group means) by the two highest dose groups was lower than that by the controls during the first 7 weeks of the study in both male and female rats but was similar to that of the controls during the last 6 weeks, except at the highest dose at which food consumption exceeded that of the controls (see Table 6). There were no differences in feed consumption values for male and female mice over the course of the study relative to those of controls (see Table 22).

Two-Year Studies

Two-year toxicology and carcinogenesis studies were conducted by offering feed containing chlorendic acid to male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice at 0, 620, or 1,250 ppm for 103 weeks. These concentrations were based on a decrease in mean body weights and on liver lesions observed in the 13-week studies. Higher concentrations of chlorendic acid were not chosen because adverse effects on survival and the health of the animals would be anticipated over the course of the 2-year studies.

In rats, these dietary levels resulted in an estimated average daily consumption of chlorendic acid of 27 and 56 mg/kg for low dose and high dose males and 39 and 66 mg/kg for low dose and high dose females. The estimated consumption was 89 and 188 mg/kg for male mice and 100 and 207 mg/kg for female mice. These exposures to chlorendic acid did not affect survival in either rats or mice (see Tables 9 and 25; Figures 2 and 4). The relatively lower survival of control and high dose male rats compared with that of the low dose group or with historical rates cannot be explained on the basis of available information. The apparent dose-related decrease in mean body weights in dosed female rats was not reflected by lower survival in this group. Mean body weights of male rats and male and female mice varied from 2% above the control values to 11% below (see Tables 8 and 24; Figures 1 and 3).

In the 2-year chlorendic acid feed studies, hepatic lesions were observed in dosed male rats (cystic degeneration) and female rats (granulomatous inflammation, pigmentation, and bile duct hyperplasia) (see Table 10). The incidences of neoplastic nodules of the liver in dosed male rats and high dose female rats and of hepatocellular carcinomas in high dose female rats were greater than those in controls (see Table 11). These results contrast with those of NCI feed studies of other hexachlorinated norbornene analogs (see Table 1) in which no liver effects were observed in male and female Osborne-Mendel rats.

The pathologic diagnosis of neoplastic nodules and hepatocellular carcinomas in male F344/N rats was complicated in those animals with severe leukemic infiltrates. The incidence of leukemia in dosed rats in the current study (male: control, 24/50; low dose, 22/50; high dose, 28/50; female: control, 13/50; low dose, 15/50; high dose, 16/50) did not decrease, although this phenomenon occurred in previous studies that had increases in liver neoplasia (Haseman, 1983). Grossly, livers with hepatocellular neoplasms had multiple red or yellow foci and/or yellow foci and/or one or more tan to brown focal nodules either within the parenchyma or raised above the surface. The size of these nodules ranged from a few millimeters to several centimeters. Animals with an entire nodular liver surface usually had mononuclear cell leukemia. Neoplastic nodules were less difficult to diagnose than were the hepatocellular carcinomas. In all animals with both hepatocellular carcinomas and mononuclear cell leukemia, there was degeneration and atrophy of the centrilobular hepatocytes and hypertrophy of intervening hepatocytes which resulted in a multinodular liver. These effects were usually observed as multiple lesions and were the type most commonly seen in dosed animals. The lesions in dosed animals varied from hyperplastic to neoplastic. Hepatocellular carcinomas present

in female rats appeared as large, solid nodules with marked compression of adjacent hepatic parenchyma, frequently raised from the liver surface.

In the 2-year chlorendic acid feed studies, incidences of nonneoplastic lesions of the liver increased in dosed male mice (coagulative necrosis) and high dose female mice (mitotic alteration) (see Table 26). In dosed male mice, coagulative necrosis occurred both within normal hepatic parenchyma and liver neoplasms. Since necrosis was identified as a liver lesion in the 13-week studies and again as a component associated with hepatocellular neoplasms in the 2-year studies, it is unclear if the lesion in the 2year studies is a direct effect of chlorendic acid feeding or is a secondary effect of neoplasia.

In male mice, the incidences of hepatocellular adenomas and of hepatocellular carcinomas occurred with positive trends. The incidences of hepatocellular adenomas in high dose male mice and of hepatocellular carcinomas in dosed male mice were greater than those in controls. Metastasis to the lungs occurred in male mice in a dose-related manner (control, 2/50; low dose, 4/49; high dose, 7/50; Appendix B, Table B3). The biologic significance of the association between hepatocellular neoplasms in male mice and the feeding of chlorendic acid was strengthened by this metastasis. In dosed female mice, the incidences of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas (combined) were somewhat increased but not significantly different from that in the controls (control, 3/50; low dose, 7/49; high dose, 7/50; Appendix E, Table E4).

Gross observations in mice showed that hepatocellular neoplasms were nodular or multinodular consolidations of one or more liver lobes. These tumors were rounded or nodular and cystic, soft to firm masses varying between 0.5 and 4.5 cm at the greatest diameter. Hepatocellular carcinomas varied from solid to trabecular to mixed type patterns. In these studies, most of the hepatocellular carcinomas were large masses with prominent trabecular patterns.

Previous studies of other hexachlorinated norbornene analogs indicate that several of these compounds cause hepatocellular carcinomas in male $B6C3F_1$ mice and some cause hepatocellular carcinomas in female $B6C3F_1$ mice (NCI, 1977a,b, 1978a,b; see Table 1). The absence of significant effects of chlorendic acid on female $B6C3F_1$ mice in this study may be due to either insufficient exposure concentrations or sex differences in chemical disposition and metabolism and hence, susceptibility. In the chlorendic acid 13-week studies, no great differences in responses were seen between the sexes, and no information is available on chlorendic acid chemical disposition and metabolism in female rats or mice.

Acinar cell adenomas of the pancreas occurred in male rats with a dose-related positive trend (see Table 12). The incidence in high dose male rats was greater than that in controls. These neoplasms were not detected by gross observation. The biologic importance of this lesion is supported by an increase in acinar cell hyperplasia in both dose groups. Acinar cell adenoma of the pancreas is an uncommon neoplasm in NTP historical untreated control male F344/N rats.

Alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas occurred with positive trends in male rats (see Table 13), and the incidences in the high dose male rats were greater than those in the controls. In female mice, the incidence of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas and alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas (combined) occurred with positive trends (see Table 28). In male rats and female mice, this marginal trend in the incidence of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas (combined) is not supported by an increase in alveolar/bronchiolar hyperplasia. In male rats, the incidence of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas may have been related to the administration of chlorendic acid. In female mice, the incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas and alveolar/ bronchiolar adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in the concurrent controls were low compared with the historical control average (Appendix F, Table F15), and hence the biologic significance of the association of these lesions with administration of chlorendic acid is unclear.

Preputial gland carcinomas occurred with a greater incidence in low dose male rats than in the controls (see Table 14). Two other male rats

in the low dose group had either an adenoma or squamous cell papilloma, making the group incidence outside the range of preputial gland neoplasms (0/50 to 8/50) seen in untreated male F344/N rats in NTP studies. This effect may be related to the administration of chlorendic acid. No tumors were found in the clitoral gland of female rats in this study.

Sarcomas, fibrosarcomas, or neurofibrosarcomas (combined) of the salivary gland occurred in male rats at incidences of 1/50 (control), 2/49 (low dose), and 4/50 (high dose). Although the incidence in the high dose group is not statistically significant, these neoplasms are uncommon (3/1,689 in NTP untreated controls). These tumors were morphologically similar to those found in the salivary glands of rats administered methylene chloride (inhalation) (Burek et al., 1984). Fibrosarcomas also occurred in subcutaneous tissue at sites distinct from the salivary gland.

Uterine cysts were observed at an increased incidence in high dose female rats. The incidence of endometrial stromal polyps was marginally greater in low dose female rats than in the controls (see Table 15). There was no dose-response relationship. The incidence of this relatively common lesion in untreated controls has ranged from 4/50 to 18/49. Since an increase was not observed in the high dose group and the low dose incidence falls within this historical range, it is unlikely that this lesion is associated with the feeding of chlorendic acid to F344/N female rats.

Follicular cell adenomas of the thyroid gland occurred in male $B6C3F_1$ mice with a positive trend (see Table 29). There were no significant differences between either dosed group and controls. The marginal trend in the absence of a dose-related increase in epithelial hyperplasia and an incidence that falls within the range of untreated control incidences do not support an association of this lesion with administration of chlorendic acid. In previous studies with hexachlorinated norborenes (see Table 1), follicular cell adenomas were associated with chemical administration in male and female Osborne-Mendel rats but not in male or female $B6C3F_1$ mice. Pheochromocytomas of the adrenal gland and interstitial cell tumors of the testis occurred with significant negative trends in male F344/N rats (see Tables 18 and 19). Mammary gland fibroadenomas in female F344/N rats (see Table 17) and pituitary gland adenomas and adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in female B6C3F₁ mice (see Table 30) all occurred with negative trends. These are common, age-related lesions in these strains. Haseman (1983) showed an association between decreased incidence of these tumors and decreased body weight gain in F344 rats. An effect on body weight gain was also seen in this study.

Mutagenicity

Chlorendic acid was not mutagenic in strains TA100, TA98, TA1535, or TA1537 of Salmonella typhimurium in the presence or absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat or male Syrian hamster liver S9 when tested according to the preincubation protocol (Appendix G). Chlorendic acid was mutagenic in the L5178Y/TK^{+/-} mouse lymphoma cell forward assay in the absence of activation and was not tested in the presence of activation. There was no mutagenic response in the absence of severe toxicity. The toxicity curve was sharp, going from relative total growth of 74% at 1,600 µg/ml to 5% at 1,700 µg/ml. The increase in mutant count and mutant frequency was observed only

at the higher dose; this response was replicated in another experiment. When the only mutagenic response occurs at toxic doses, the question arises of whether the mutagenicity is indirect and not due to the direct interaction of the chemical with DNA. This assay, as performed, does not answer this question. Chlordane, endosulfan, endrin, and heptachlor did not cause mutations in NTP Salmonella mutagenicity tests (Haworth et al., 1983).

Conclusions: Under the conditions of these 2year feed studies, there was clear evidence of carcinogenicity* of chlorendic acid for male F344/N rats as shown by increased incidences of neoplastic nodules of the liver and acinar cell adenomas of the pancreas. Increased incidences of alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas and preputial gland carcinomas may also have been related to the administration of chlorendic acid. There was clear evidence of carcinogenicity of chlorendic acid for female F344/N rats as shown by increased incidences of neoplastic nodules and of carcinomas of the liver. There was clear evidence of carcinogenicity of chlorendic acid for male B6C3F₁ mice as shown by increased incidences of hepatocellular adenomas and of hepatocellular carcinomas. There was no evidence of carcinogenicity of chlorendic acid for female $B6C3F_1$ mice given chlorendic acid in the diet at concentrations of 620 or 1,250 ppm for 103 weeks.

^{*}Categories of evidence of carcinogenicity are defined in the Note to the Reader on page 2.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 14.

Chlorendic Acid, NTP TR 304

V. REFERENCES

V. REFERENCES

1. Armitage, P. (1971) Statistical Methods in Medical Research. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc., pp. 362-365.

2. Berenblum, I., Ed. (1969) Carcinogenicity Testing: A Report of the Panel on Carcinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission of UICC, Vol. 2. Geneva: International Union Against Cancer.

3. Boorman, G.; Eustis, S. (1984) Proliferative lesions of the exocrine pancreas in male F344/N rats. Environ. Health Perspect. 56:213-217.

4. Boorman, G.; Montgomery, C., Jr.; Eustis, S.; Wolfe, M.; McConnell, E.; Hardisty, J. (1985) Quality assurance in pathology for rodent carcinogenicity studies. Milman, H.; Weisburger, E., Eds.: Handbook of Carcinogen Testing. Park Ridge, NJ: Noyes Publications, pp. 345-357.

5. Burek, J.; Nitschke, K.; Bell, T.; Wackerle, D.; Childs, R.; Beyer, J.; Dittenber, D.; Rampy, L.; McKenna, M. (1984) Methylene chloride: A twoyear inhalation toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats and hamsters. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 4:30-47.

6. Clive, D.; Johnson, K.; Spector, J.; Batson, A.; Brown, M. (1979) Validation and characterization of the L5178Y/TK^{+/-} mouse lymphoma mutagen assay system. Mutat. Res. 59:61-108.

7. Cochrane, W.; Forbes, M. (1974) Oxidation products of heptachlor and its metabolite--A chemical study. Chemosphere 3:41-46.

8. Cox, D. (1972) Regression models and life tables. J. R. Stat. Soc. B34:187-220.

9. Decad, G.; Fields, M. (1982) Disposition and excretion of chlorendic acid in Fischer rats. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 9:911-920.

10. Gart, J.; Chu, K.; Tarone, R. (1979) Statistical issues in interpretation of chronic bioassay tests for carcinogenicity. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 62:957-974.

11. Haseman, J. (1983) Patterns of tumor incidence in two-year cancer bioassay feeding studies in Fischer 344 rats. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 3:1-9. 12. Haseman, J. (1984) Statistical issues in the design, analysis and interpretation of animal carcinogenicity studies. Environ. Health Perspect. 58:385-392.

13. Haseman, J.; Huff, J.; Boorman, G. (1984) Use of historical control data in carcinogenicity studies in rodents. Toxicol. Pathol. 12:126-135.

14. Haseman, J.; Huff, J.; Rao, G.; Arnold, J.; Boorman, G.; McConnell, E. (1985) Neoplasms observed in untreated and corn oil gavage control groups of F344/N rats and (C57BL/6N \times C3H/HeN)F₁ (B6C3F₁) mice. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 75:975-984.

15. Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T.; Mortelmans, K.; Speck, W.; Zeiger, E. (1983) Salmonella mutagenicity test results for 250 chemicals. Environ. Mutagen. (Suppl. 1) 5:3-142.

16. Kaplan, E.; Meier, P. (1958) Nonparametric estimation of incomplete observations. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 53:457-481.

17. Kirk-Othmer (1981) Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd ed., Vol. 10. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc., pp. 387-389.

18. Linhart, M.; Cooper, J.; Martin, R.; Page, N.; Peters, J. (1974) Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System. Comp. Biomed. Res. 7:230-248

19. Mantel, N.; Haenszel, W. (1959) Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 22:719-748.

20. Maronpot, R.; Boorman, G. (1982) Interpretation of rodent hepatocellular proliferative alterations and hepatocellular tumors in chemical safety assessment. Toxicol. Pathol. 10:71-80.

21. Martens, R. (1972) Degradation of endosulfan by soil microorganisms. Schriftenr. Ver. Wasser-Boden-Lufthyg. Berlin-Dahlem. 37:167-173.

22. McConnell, E.; Solleveld, H.; Swenberg, J.; Boorman, G. (1986) Guidelines for combining neoplasms for evaluation of rodent carcinogenesis studies. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 76:283-289. 23. Murphy, S. (1980) Pesticides. Doull, J.; Klassen, C.; Amdur, M., Eds.: Casarett and Doull's Toxicology. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company, pp. 380-385.

24. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1976) Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassay in Small Rodents. NCI Technical Report No. 1. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health.

25. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1977a) Bioassay of Chlordane for Possible Carcinogenicity. NCI Technical Report No. 8. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health.

26. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1977b) Bioassay of Heptachlor for Possible Carcinogenicity. NCI Technical Report No. 9. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health.

27. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1978a) Bioassay of Aldrin and Dieldrin for Possible Carcinogenicity. NCI Technical Report No. 21. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health.

28. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1978b) Bioassay of Endosulfan for Possible Carcinogenicity. NCI Technical Report No. 62. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health. 29. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1979) Bioassay of Endrin for Possible Carcinogenicity. NCI Technical Report No. 12. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health.

30. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) (1982) Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances, Vol. 2. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, NIOSH, Cincinnati, OH, p. 866.

31. National Institutes of Health (NIH) (1978) NIH Specification, NIH-11-133f, November 1.

32. New York State Department of Health (1985) Press release, July 30.

33. Sadtler Standard Spectra, IR No. 14020, NMR No. 12020M. Philadelphia: Sadtler Research Laboratories.

34. Tarone, R. (1975) Tests for trend in life table analysis. Biometrika 62:679-682.

35. U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 40 CFR, R261.33f, U130.

36. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (1983) An Overview of the Exposure Potential of Commercial Flame Retardants (Draft Report). EPA Contract No. 68-01-6239, December 30, 1983. USEPA, Washington, DC, pp. 173-191.

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

Chlorendic Acid, NTP TR 304

	Contr	ol	Low Do)se	High D	ose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	·····	<u>.</u>		<u></u>		
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrous histiocytoma, malignant			1	(2%)		
*Skin	(50)	(0~)	(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma	1	(2%)			1	(90)
Basal cell carcinoma	1	(296)	9	(196)	1	(2%) (2%)
Keratoacanthoma	4	(8%)	± + 4	(4.70) (8%)	3	(6%)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	(0,0)	(50)	(0,0)	(50)	(0.0)
Sebaceous adenocarcinoma	((00)		1	(2%)
Sarcoma, NOS					1	(2%)
Fibroma	4	(8%)	†4	(8%)	3	(6%)
Fibrosarcoma			1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Fibrous histiocytoma, malignant			1	(2%)		
Neurofibrosarcoma	1	(2%)				
*Skeletal muscle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrous histiocytoma, malignant	1	(2%)				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma			3	(6%)	5	(10%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma		(00)	1	(2%)		
O-cell carcinoma, metastatic	1	(2%)				
Fibrosarcoma motastatic	1	(270)			3	(696)
Carcinosarcoma metastatic					1	(2.%)
Mesothelioma, metastatic			1	(2%)	-	(=,=,=,
Neurofibrosarcoma, metastatic			1	(2%)		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				····	······································	
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, undiffer type					2	(4%)
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	~ .	(10 ~)	1	(2%)		(202)
Leukemia, mononuclear cell	24	(48%)	22	(44%)	28	(56%)
#Spieen Mossthaliama matastatia	(00)	(994)	(50)		(49)	
#Mandihular lymph node	(50)	(270)	(50)		(50)	
Carcinosarcoma, invasive	(00)		(00)		(00)	(2%)
Neurofibrosarcoma, invasive			1	(2%)	-	(= /)
#Cervical lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)	
C-cell carcinoma, metastatic					1	(2%)
#Renal lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Neurofibrosarcoma, metastatic					1	(2%)
#Thymus	(41)		(39)		(36)	
Thymoma, benign Thymoma, malignant	1	(2%)	1	(3%)		
CIRCUL ATORY SYSTEM			· · · · <u>- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u>		<u> </u>	
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma	(30)		(00)		1	(2%)
#Spleen	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)			1	(2%)

TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High D	ose
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (Continued)					<u></u>	
#Lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic					1	(2%)
*Vertebra	(50)	(0~)	(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
*Hard palate	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma			1	(2%)		
*Tongue	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma	(50)		(40)	(2%)	(50)	
Fibrosercome	(50)	(2%)	(49)	(296)	(50)	(8%)
Carcinosarcoma invesive	1	(270)	1	(270)	4	(2%)
Neurofibrosarcoma			1	(2%)	•	
#Liver	(50)		(50)	(=,0)	(50)	
Neoplastic nodule	2	(4%)	21	(42%)	23	(46%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	3	(6%)	5	(10%)		(2%)
#Pancreas	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Acinar cell adenoma			4	(8%)	6	(12%)
URINARY SYSTEM					·····	<u>_</u>
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Transitional cell carcinoma			1	(2%)		
#Urinary bladder	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Transitional cell papilloma					1	(2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Pituitary	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	10	(0.02)
Adenoma, NOS	15	(30%)	21	(42%)	18	(36%)
#Adrenal	(50)	(19)	(50)		(50)	(10)
Cortical adenoma #Adronal modulla	Z (50)	(4%)	(50)		(50)	(4.70)
Pheochromocytoma	(30)	(50%)	17	(34%)	15	(30%)
Pheochromocytoma, malignant	3	(6%)		(04/0)	10	(00 %)
#Thyroid	(50)	,	(50)		(50)	
Follicular cell adenoma			1	(2%)		
Follicular cell carcinoma	1	(2%)				
C-cell adenoma	10	(20%)	7	(14%)	12	(24%)
C-cell carcinoma	5	(10%)	3	(6%)	3	(6%)
#Parathyroid	(48)		(49)	((48)	(0.21)
Adenoma, NOS	(10)		1	(2%)		(2%)
#Pancreatic islets	(49)	(10)	(50)	(100)	(50)	(190)
Islet cell adenoma	Z	(4%)	5	(10%)	0	(12%)
	4	(8%)	1	(270)	ა 	(0%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
Mammary gland	(50)	(90)	(50)		(50)	
ribroadenoma		(2%)	(50)		(EO)	
Careinama NOS	(50)	(90)	(00)	(16%)	(00)	(896)
Sauamous cell penilloma	1	(270)	8	(10%)	4	(070)
Adenome NOS			1	(2%)		
Auchonia, 1100			1	(470)		

TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)			
#Testis	(49)	(50)	(50)
Interstitial cell tumor	41 (84%)	35 (70%)	22 (44%)
*Scrotum Mesothelioma, invasive	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
#Brain/meninges	(50)	(50)	(50)
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#Cerebrum	(50)	(50)	(50)
Astrocytoma			1 (2%)
#Brain	(50)	(50)	(50)
Granular cell tumor, NOS	(50)	1 (2%)	(50)
Carcinoma, NOS	(30)	(50)	1 (2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*Zymbal gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Carcinoma, NOS	1 (2%)		1 (2%)
Carcinosarcoma			1 (2%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM		······································	
*Mandible	(50)	(50)	(50)
Ameloblastic odontoma	1 (2%)		
BODY CAVITIES			
*Abdominal cavity	(50)	(50)	(50)
Paraganglioma, malignant	1 (2%)		1 (07)
Flbrosarcoma			1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*Tunica vaginalis	(50)	(50)	(50)
Mesothelioma NOS	1 (2%)	(00)	
Mesothelioma, malignant	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS None			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY		,	
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	9	12	10
Moribund sacrifice	17	8	15
Terminal sacrifice	24	30	25

TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Control	Low Dose	High Dose		
TUMOR SUMMARY			<u> </u>		
Total animals with primary tumors**	50	50	50		
Total primary tumors	163	183	178		
Total animals with benign tumors	46	49	40		
Total benign tumors	109	109	95		
Total animals with malignant tumors	38	37	43		
Total malignant tumors	51	52	60		
Total animals with secondary tumors##	3	3	8		
Total secondary tumors	4	4	10		
Total animals with tumors uncertain					
benign or malignant	3	22	23		
Total uncertain tumors	3	22	23		

TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 ** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ † Multiple occurrence of morphology in the same organ; tissue is counted once only.

TABLE A2.	SUMMARY	OF TH	HE INCIDENCE	OF	NEOPLASMS I	IN	FEMALE	RATS	IN	THE	TWO	-YEAR
FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID												

	Control		Low Dose		High Dose		
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	······	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50		
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50		
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM							
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Fibroma	1	(2%)			1	(2%)	
Fibrosarcoma	2	(4%)	1	(2%)			
Fibrous histiocytoma, malignant	1	(2%)					
Fibrous histiocytoma, metastatic	1	(2%)					
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM							
#Lung	(50)		(49)		(50)		
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic	(00)		(-0)		1	(2%)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	ī	(2%)	
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic		-	1	(2%)			
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Malignant lymphoma, undiffer type	(00)		(00)		2	(4%)	
Leukemia, mononuclear cell	13	(26%)	15	(30%)	16	(32%)	
#Mandibular lymph node	(50)	(2010)	(50)	(00%)	(50)	(0-10)	
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)	
Fibrosarcoma, invasive	1	(2%)			-	(=)	
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Malignant lymphoma, undiffer type	1	(2%)					
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				<u> </u>		<u></u>	
#Brain stem	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Angioma	1	(2%)	,				
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)					
#Myocardium	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Neurilemoma	2	(4%)					
#Myocardium/rt. ventr	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Neurilemoma					1	(2%)	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				<u> </u>			
#Salivary gland	(49)		(50)		(50)		
Sarcoma, NOS			2	(4%)			
Fibrosarcoma					1	(2%)	
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(50)		
Neoplastic nodule	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	11	(22%)	
Hepatocellular carcinoma			3	(6%)	5	(10%)	
Fibrous histiocytoma, metastatic	1	(2%)					
#Pancreas	(49)		(49)	((50)		
Acinar cell adenoma			1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
JRINARY SYSTEM None							
€NDOCRINE SYSTEM		<u></u>		<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	
#Pituitary	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Adenoma, NOS	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	
		· · · · · ·		· - · - /	-	/	

	Control		Low Dose		High Dose		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)							
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Carcinoma, NOS	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	
Adenoma, NOS	29	(58%)	32	(64%)	21	(42%)	
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(49)		(50)	,	
Pheochromocytoma	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)	• •	(50)		
Follicular cell adenoma	1	(2%)			2	(4%)	
C-cell adenoma	7	(14%)	10	(20%)	13	(26%)	
C-cell carcinoma	2	(4%)	7	(14%)	2	(4%)	
#Parathyroid	(45)		(47)		(47)		
Adenoma, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
#Pancreatic islets	(49)		(49)		(50)		
Islet cell carcinoma	2	(4%)					
EPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	<u> </u>					<u> </u>	
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Adenoma, NOS	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)	5	(10%)	4	(8%)	
Fibroadenoma	22	(44%)	16	(32%)	4	(8%)	
*Clitoral gland	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Carcinoma, NOS	4	(8%)	5	(10%)	6	(12%)	
Adenoma, NOS					1	(2%)	
#Uterus	(50)		(49)		(50)		
Leiomyosarcoma	1	(2%)					
Endometrial stromal polyp	5	(10%)	15	(31%)	10	(20%)	
Endometrial stromal sarcoma			1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
#Cervix uteri	(50)		(49)		(50)		
Endometrial stromal polyp	1	(2%)					
#Ovary	(50)		(49)		(50)		
Granulosa cell carcinoma	1	(2%)	1	(2%)			
IERVOUS SYSTEM					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	1	(2%)	1	(2%)			
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	
PECIAL SENSE ORGANS				<u> </u>			
*Zymbal gland	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Carcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)	
IUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None	· · · · · · · · ·	******					
ODY CAVITIES							
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Paraganglioma, malignant	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)		
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Leiomyosarcoma, metastatic	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)		
Lowing usar conta, illetastatic	1	(210)					

TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)
	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS *Multiple organs Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY	<u> </u>		
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	10	10	5
Moribund sacrifice	8	6	11
Terminal sacrifice	31	34	34
Accidentally killed, nda	1		
TUMORSUMMARY			
Total animals with primary tumors**	48	48	48
Total primary tumors	110	130	113
Total animals with benign tumors	42	45	37
Total benign tumors	76	84	63
Total animals with malignant tumors	27	25	29
Total malignant tumors	33	43	39
Total animals with secondary tumors##	6	4	2
Total secondary tumors	7	4	3
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
benign or malignant	1	3	11
Total uncertain tumors	1	3	11

TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

ANTMAT	TOT	ता	- N	- OI	- 71			- 71	- 71	- 01	Δ	- <u>A</u>	- AT	- 71	01	0	- 71	- AI	- 70	M		- 81		- 01	- 0
NUMBER	4	1	42	2	0	47	4	25	4	3	4	4	23	05	26	0	02	19	3	1	3	4	20	1	3
WEEKS ON STUDY	025	0 6 1	065	0 7 3	0 7 5	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 8 0	0 8 0	0 8 2	0 8 2	0 8 5	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 8	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 1
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	-						· · · ·												<u> </u>						
Squamous celi papilloma Basai celi carcinoma	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ť	-	٠	*	*	Ŧ	Ŧ	•	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	Ŧ
Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	X +
Lungs and bronchi C cell carcinoma, metastatic Paraganglioma, metastatic	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+			+	-		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bone marrow Spieen	+++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	÷	+++	+++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	+ +	+ +
Hemangrosercome		+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷
Thymus Thymoma, benign	i ÷	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Liver Neoplastic nodule	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	+ N	, N	+ N	м	, N	+ N	л + N	, N	, N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	х + N	, N	+ N	, N	+ N						
Pancreas. Esophagus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	++	++++	++	+ +	+ +	+++	+	+ +	+	+	++++	++++	+++	÷	+++	++	+++	+	+ +
Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+++++	++++	+++++	+ -++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	÷	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	++++	++++
URINARY SYSTEM																· · ·									
Kidney Urinary bladder	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++++	+++	+	+	++	++	++	÷	++	++	++	+	-	+	++	+	++	++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	1	L.	+		X	1		1	x				x	X	x				1		X	x	-		x
Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma	Ŧ	7			Ť	x	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	•	-	Ŧ	Ť	x	x	x	x	*	x	x	x	x	+	x
Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	±	+	X +	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+
Follicular cell carcinoma C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma														x	X X										x
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets	+++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	÷	+++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+	++++	+	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	++
Islet ceil adenoma Islet ceil carcinoma													x				x					x			
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	N	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	 +-	+	N	+	
Fibroadenoma Testis	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	<u>+</u>	÷	÷	÷	+	-	+	÷	+	÷	÷
Prostate Preputa/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS	* N	Ň	* N	n N	N N	N N	* N	N N	* N	х + N	* * N	л + N	ň	N N	Ň	N N	N N	* N	A + N	N	ň	л + N	A + N	× + N	A + N
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Hemangnosarcoma Ameioblastic odontoma	X									••	•••	x													
Muscie Fibrous histiocytoma, melignant	N	+	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	+	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Pentoneum	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Paragangioma, malignant Tunica vagnalis Mesothelioma, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	÷	N	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Laukamia, mononucleer cell Scrotum, NOS Mesotheliona, invasive	N	N	N X	NX	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N X

TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: UNTREATED CONTROL

Tussus Examined Microscopically Required Tussus Not Examined Microscopically Tumor Incidence Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination Animal Missared + WZS

No Tissue Information Submitted Necropey, No Histology Due To Protocol Autolysis Animal Missing No Necropsy Performed

C A M B

	T 87																									
ANIMAL NUMBER	24	3	294	0 6	07	09	1	12	1 3	15	1 6	0 1 7	2	27	2 8	0 2 9	0 3 2	3	3	0 3 6	0 3 7	39	4	0 4 9	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	102	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES									
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Squamous cell papilloma Basal cell carrinoma Kerstozeanthoma Subcutanaeons tussue Fibroma Neurofibrosarcoma	+	+	+	*	* x	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	÷	X +	÷	+	X +	X +	+	+	+	*	+	X +	+	+	1 4 •50 4 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and broach: C cell carcinoma, metastatic Paragandioma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 1
Trachen	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Bone marrow Spieen Mesothelioma, metestatic Hemangonarcoma	+	+ + x	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+++	+++	+	++	+++	+	+	+++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	+	49 50 1
Lymph nodes Thymus Thymoma, benign	‡	-	+	-	-	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+ *	-	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	30 41 1
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary giand Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
Liver Neoplastic nodule Hepatocellular carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ť.	*	+	+	50 2 3
Bile duct Galibiadder & common bile duct Pancreas	+ N +	н н +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	N +	н + +	* *	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	ч 4	+ N +	+ N +	н + +	+ N +	+ N +	* *	* *	* N +	+ N +	+ N +	* *	+ N +	50 *50 49
Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	50 50 48 49
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	++	;	+++	++	++	++	÷	+ +	+	+	+	+++	+	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+	+ +	+	+	+	+ +	50 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carciaoma, NOS Adaaoma, NOS	+	+	+	+ x	+	+ X	+	+ x	+	+	+ X	+ x	+	+	+ x	+	+ X	+	+	+	+ x	+	+ X	+ X	+	50 1 17
Adrenal Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma	+	+	+	+ X	+	+ X	+ x	*	+ X	+ X	+ x	+ X	+ x	+ X	+	+	+ X	+ x	+ x	+ X	+	+	+ X	+ x	x	50 2 25
Pheochromocytoms, melignant Thyroid Foilicular call carcinoma	+	+	X +	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	3 50 1
C-cell adesoma C-cell carcusoma Parathurand	X		X.	X	x.	x		x	x							x		x		_	x	X	x		•	10
Pancreatu ulets Islet cell adenoma Islet cell carcinoma	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ	+	÷ x	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	+	÷ x	÷	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷ x	+	÷	49 2 4
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Fibroadenoma	+	N	+	N	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
Testis Interstitual cell tumor	*	×.	×.	+	×,	×.	x	Ť.	*	*	*	×.	*	×	×.	×.	×	×,	×,	÷.	×	*	x,	Ť.	×.	49 41
Proputis/elitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	N X	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	*50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbai giaad Carmoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
MUSCULOBRELETAL SYSTEM Bone	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	•50
Ameloblastic odoztoma Muscie Fibrous histocytoma, maingnant	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	ż	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Peritonsum	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	•50
raraganguoma, malignant Tunca vagnaha Mesothelioma, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	٠	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemus, mononuclear cell Scrotum, NOS Mesotheboma, invasive	N X	N	N	NX	N	N	NX	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	*50 24 1

 TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: UNTREATED CONTROL

 (Continued)

* Animals Necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	137	1 3 3	1	1 5	1	1 2 4	1	1	1	1	14	1 2 2	1	1	12	1	107	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
WEEKS ON STUDY	07	8	0	088	8	0	9	9	9	9	9	9	97	9	9	0	L 0	1	1	10		1	히	1	1
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	• +	+	+	여 	+	 +	+	ণ 	••1 +	기 +	ہد 	+	+	러 +	+	+	21 +	3 +	• +	4i +	41 +	4) +	4 +	4) +	+
Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	÷	*	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷.	+	†
Alveolarbroacholar carcinoma Masothelioma, metastatic Neurofibrosartoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph podes	÷	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++
Neurofibrosarcoma, invanue Thymus Thymoma, malignant	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Oral cavity Squamous cell papilloma Salivary gland Fibroarcoma	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +
Neurofibrosarcoma Liver Neoplastic nodule Hepatocellular carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	*	+ X	*	+ x x	-	*	+	+
Bile duct Galibiadder & common bile duct Pancreas Acinar cell adenoma	+ N +	+ X +	+N +	+N + X -	* *	*N +	+ N +	+ N +	*N +	+N +	н н н	*N +	+ N +	+N +	+N +	+ N +	*N +	+ N +	+N +	* * *	+ N +	+N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +
Esopangus Stomach Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Transitionai cell carcinoma Urinary bladder	++++	++	+++	+ +	++	++	+++	+	+ x +	+++	++	++	+++	++	+	++	+	+++	++	+	+	+++	+	+	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+ x	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+ x	+	+ x	+	+	+ x	+	*	+	+	+ x	+ x	+	+	+	+	+ x	+ X
Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follurular cell adenoma Ç-cell adenoma	++++	+ +	+ +	+ x +	+ +	+ + x	+ +	+ +	+x +	* * *	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+x +	+ +	+ +	+x +	+ +	+x +	+ +	+ x + x	+ x +	+ +
C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid Adenome, NOS Pancreatic islets	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	++	++	++	+ +
isiet cell adenoma Isiet cell carennoma						X										X	X								x
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Manmary gland Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate	+++++	+ + × +	++×+	+ + X +	+ + × +	+++++	++x+	+ + × +	+ + +	+++++	N + X +	+ + x +	N + +	+ + +	N + X +	+ + +	++×+	+ + x +	+ + X +	++×+	+ + x +	+ + x +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + x +	+ + x +
Preputal/chtoral gland Carcunoma, NOS Squamous cell papilloma Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	NK	N	N	N K	N	N	N K	N	N
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brann Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Granular cell tumor, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+
BODY CAVITIES Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, malignant	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Fibrous histiocytoma, malignant Malig. lymphoma, histiocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Leukemia, mononuclear cell			X		X	X	X	-				X		X		X	X		X				X		

TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: LOW DOSE

	<u> </u>	- 11	- 17	-11	11	- iT	TT	17-	- 17-	-17	- 11			-0	- 17	-17-	-17	-11	-17-	- 11	T	- 11	-17	-11-		
NUMBER	1	17	20	23	25	27	28	29	3	3	32	34	3	3	3	39	4	42	4	4	4	4	4	4	49	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	204	0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	104	1 0 4	04	04	104	104	1 0 4	04	1 0 4	0	104	104	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	104	0 4	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	<u> </u>																									
Skin Batal cell cargnome	+	N	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	•50
Keratoscanthoms	X	N	-											X								XG	Ρ.			4
Fibroma	Ŧ	I.	-	x	Ŧ	+	+	x	+	÷	+	+	+	x.	•	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-50
Fibrosarcoma Fibrous histiocytoma, malignant												x														1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM													,													
Lungs and bronchi	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Aiveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	ł													•			x									i
Mesothelioma, metastatic Neurofibrosarcoma, metastatic	{															x										1
Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	<u> </u>											·····														
Spieen	17	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	50
Lymph nodes Neurofibroserrome, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Thymus Thymome malignent	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	39
	j	<u> </u>																								
Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Oral cavity	N	N	N	N	Ņ	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Squamous cell papilloma Saliyary gland	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	2 49
Fibrosarcoma	} `			X																						1
Neuronbrossrcoma Liver	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ж +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Neoplastic aodule Hapatocaliniar carrinoma			X	x		X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X		X	X				X	X	X	X	21
Bile duct	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Pancreas	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Acinar cell adenoma Esophagus	1 +	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	Ť	+	50
Stomach	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	÷	50
Large intestine	÷	Ŧ	÷	÷	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	÷	Ŧ	÷	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ	50
URINARY SYSTEM																				<u> </u>						
Kidney Transitional cell carrinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	-		<u>+</u>							-				+			4	+		*	+			+	+	50
Carcinoma, NOS	Į T	-	-	_		т 	-		Ŧ	•	Ĺ	_	_	_	-		_		r	•			_	,	•	1
Adenoma, NOS Adrenal	+	X +	X +	X +	+	ж +	X +	+	+	+	ж +	X +	* *	X +	ж +	+	ж +	+	+	+	х +	+	* +	+	+	50
Pheochromocytoma	x						X					X		X	X			X	X	X	1	X				17
Follicular cell adenoma	T I	-	+	Ŧ	+	+	-	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	T	-	Ŧ		Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ť	Ţ	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	1
C-cell adenoma	X		T		T	X							X							X	X	X				3
Parathyroid	+	+	÷	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	`+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	±	49
Adenoma, NOS Pancreatic islets	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	50
Isiet cell adenoma Islet cell carcinoma	X	X																								5
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM																										}
Mammary gland	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Interstitial cell tumor	x	+	x	x	x	x	+	Ť	x	ž	Ŧ	x	x	x		x	x	x	+	Ŧ	+	Ť	x	x	x	35
Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland	, + N	, N	, N	, N	, N	ň	, N	+ N	ň	, N	+ N	, N	, N	+ N	+ N	, N	+ N	Ň	, N	+ N	, N	+ N	Ň	Ň	ň	•50 •50
Carcinoma, NOS	1	2.	2.	X		X		X			X							2.		,						8
Adenoma, NOS]											x														i
NERVOUS SYSTEM	-																									
Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1
Granular cell tumor, NOS													x													1
BODY CAVITIES	1							-					<u> </u>				4	<u> </u>		+				*	+	*50
Mesothelioma, malignant	-	-	-	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	T	Ŧ	Ŧ	~	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	•50
Fibrous histiocytoma, malignant	1								2.									2.	2.			5.				
Leukema, mononuclear cell		X		_		X		X		X	X	X					X	x	X		X		X		x	22

TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)

• Animals Necropsied @: Multiple occurrence of Morphology

ANIMAL NUMBER	2 2 1	217	2 4 0	223	2 2 4	2 3 7	2 1 2	2 0 8	2 1 0	2 4 3	2 0 9	2 0 2	222	2 3 3	2 4 7	2 4 1	232	2 4 6	2 3 1	2 0 5	2 4 8	2 2 8	2 5 0	2 1 8	238
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 4 3	0 6 4	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 6	0 7 8	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 2	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 8	9	0 9 2	0 9 2	0 9 3	9	9	0 9 6	0 9	1 0 0	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Squamous cell carcinoma Basal cell carcinoma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sarcoma Fibrosarcoma Homanosarcoma															x								x		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Carcinosarcoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	x +	X
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Spieen Hemangiosarcoma Lymph nodes	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+
Corcinosarcoma, invasive Hemangiosarcoma, invasive Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic Neurofibrosarcoma, metastatic	+	x	+	x +	•	-	_	-	_	•	_		_	_			_			•	_	_	_		_
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+
Carcinosarcoma, invasive Liver Neoplastic nodule	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	*	+	+	+	÷x	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+
Hepatoceilular carcinoma Bile duct Gailbladder & common bile duct	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	Ň	+ N	+ N	, N	+ N	+ N	+ N								
Actnar cell adenoma Esophagus	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+ +	+	+	++++	+	+	++++	+++	+	+++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+++++
Small intestine Large intestine	+	++	++	+	++	+++	++	+ +	÷ +	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urnary bladder Transitional cell papilloma	+	+ +	+ +	+++	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	++	++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ *	+++	++	+++	+ +	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	:
Pineal Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ñ	N	N	Ñ	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ñ	Ň
Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thurnd		Ţ	Ţ	Ť	-	x	Ţ	Ţ	Ī	Ţ	÷	Ţ	Ī	Ī	-	Ţ	Ţ	Ţ	Ţ	Ţ	ž	Ť	- -	x	Ţ
C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid	+	•	•	•	+	-	•	•	•	+	+	•	•	× +	+	+	×	x +	+	•	+	•	+	+	+
Adenoma, NOS Pancreatte islets Islet ceil adenoma Islet ceil carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis	++	+	N +	+++	N +	+	+	+	++	+	+	N +	++	+++	+++	+++	+	++	++	N +	+++	+	++	+	:
Interstitual cell tumor Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS	+ N	X + N	n N	н М	ň	* N	n N	+ N X	+ N	* N	X + N	n N	X + N	ň	X + N	ň,	X + N	X + N	+ N	X + N	X + N	+ N K	X + N	X + N	* N
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carrinoma, NOS, invasive Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbai gland Carrinoma, NOS Carrinosarroma	×	N	N	+ X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	М	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Fibrosercoma Neurofibrosercoma	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organa, NOS Maing: lymphoma, undiffer type Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N	N							

TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: HIGH DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	2 0 1	2 0 3	2 0 4	2 0 6	2 0 7	2 1 1	2 1 3	2 1 4	2 1 5	2 1 6	2 1 9	2 2 0	2 2 5	228	2 2 7	2 2 9	2 3 0	2 3 4	2 3 5	2 3 6	2 3 9	2 4 2	2 4 4	2 4 5	2 4 9	TOTAL
weeks on Study	104	104	04	104	104	104	04	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	04	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	04	1 0 4	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						-			+	 +	•		4										_			
Squamous cell carcinoma Basal cell carcinoma		Ť	٠	•	X	*	Ŧ			•	•	•			,	Ŧ	Ŧ	•	т	*			Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	
Keratoacanthoma Subruta neous tusue	X	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	¥	÷	+	+	+	+	¥	*50
Sebaceous adenocarcinoma Sarcoma, NOS	,		,	r	·	,								X					•	·						1 I
Fibroma Fibrosarcoma					x							X												X	X	3
Hemangiosarcoma																									X	1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	±	+	50
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic					X					x			A		A									X		3
Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Spiesz Hemangosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Lymph nodes Ç-cell carcinoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	50 1
Carcinosarcoma, invasive Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic							x																			1
Neuronorosercome, metestatic Thymus	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	36
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Fibrona ma	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Liver	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	^	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 50
Neopiastic nodule Hepatocellular carcinoma	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	·	X		·	X		•	23
Bile duct Galibladder & common bile duct	* N	* N	* N	* N	ň,	ň	н М	+ N	n N	* N	+ N	ň,	ň,	ň	* N	* N	ň,	ň,	* N	+ N	ň,	+ N	ň.	ň	+ N	50 *50
Pancreas _Acipar cell adenoma	×	+	+	+	*	Ť.	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	50 6
Esophagus Stomach	+	+	++++	++	+++	+++	÷	+	+++	÷	÷	++	+	+++	++	+++	++	++	+	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	49 50
Small intestine Large intestine	÷	++	+	++	+++	++	+	++	++	÷	++++	+++	++	++++	++	+++	+++	++++	++	+++	++++	++	++++	+++	++++	49 50
URINARY SYSTEM	-				-	-				<u> </u>			-	 								<u> </u>	 +			50
Urinary bladder Transitional cell papilloma	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	50 1
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	+	+	 +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Adenoma, NOS Pineal	N	N	N	XN	XN	N	X	XN	XN	XN	N	N	N	N	N	XN	N	XN	XN	X N	N	XN	X N	XN	XN	19 *50
Carcinoma, NOS Adrenal	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	±	+	X +	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	50
Cortical adenoma Phaochromocytoma		X	x			x	x	x		×.	X					X	x	x		x	X			x		15
C-cell adenoma	Ī	Ť	x	Ŧ	Ť	+	Ţ	Ŧ	x	Ŧ	Ŧ	x	x	+	Ŧ	x	Ţ	x	Ŧ	x	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ť	Ţ	x	12
Parathyroid Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+	+	ż	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	*	*	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 6
Islet cell carcinoma	X																						X		X	3
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	*50
Testis Interstitial cell tumor	x I	×.	x	+	x	+	+	+	+	+	+	x	x	x	x	ž	x	x	+	*	X	+	+	+	*	22
Proputal/elitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	N X	Ň	Ň	Ň	ŇX	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	*50 4
NERVOUS SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Astrocytoma		·						X			·	X					·									1 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland	N	N	N	N	+	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Carcinoma, NOS Carcinosarcoma																										1
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Fibrosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
Neurofibrosarcoma						_																				1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
bialig lymphoma, undiffer type Leukemia, mononutlear cell	x	X	x							x	x	X	x		x	x	X		X					_	x	28

TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 7 5	0 5 2	0 5 6	0 8 5	0 5 4	0 7 0	0 7 1	0 6 0	0 6 3	0 5 9	0 5 5	0 9 6	0 9 3	0 9 0	1 0 0	0 6 6	0 7 8	0 8 6	0 9 2	0 5 1	0 5 3	0 5 7	0 5 8	0 6 1	0 6 2
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 0 9	0 5 8	0 8 2	0 8 2	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 2	1 0 2	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma Fibrosarcoma Fibros histiccytoma, malignant Fibrous histiccytoma, metastatic Hemangiosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchn Alveolarhornchnolar adenoma Trachea	+++	+	+ +	++	++	+ +	++	++	+ +	++	++	++	+ +	++	++	++	+ +	++	+	++	++	+++	++	+++	+++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Fibrosarcoma, invasive Maing, lymphoma, undiffer type Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	++ ++ +	+++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + X +	++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++++++++	++++	++++	+++++++++	+ + + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++	+ + + +	++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + + +	++ ++ +	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Neurlemoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Fibrous histocytoma, metastatic	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	++++	+++	+++	+ + X	+++	+ +	++++	++++	++++
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+2+++++	+ Z + + + + +	+ 2 + + + + + +	+ 2 + + + + + +	+ z + + + + +	+ + + + + + + + +	+2+++++	+ z + + + + +	+ Z + + + + + +	+ 2 + + + + + +	+ z + + + + +	+ z + + + + +	+ Z + + + + +	+ 2 + + + + + +	+ 2 + + + + + +	+z++++	+ z + + + + +	+ z + + + + +	+ z + + + + +	+ z + + + + +	+2 + + + +	+ z + + + + +	+z+++++	+ Z + + + + +	+ Z + + + + +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Phuttary Carcinoma, NOS Adrenai Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Folicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma C-cell adenoma C-cell adenoma C-cell adenoma Barathyroid Adenoma, NOS Pancreatic isleta Islet cell carcinoma	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + +	+ x + + + +	+ + + +	+ X + + + + +	+ x+x+ + + +	+ X + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	* * * * * * * * * *	+ + X +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ x + + +	+ x + + x + + x + + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ x + + + + + +	+ X + +	+ x + + +	+ X+ + +	*x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + X +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adapona, NOS Adaposerupana NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ¥	+	+	+	+	+
Fibroadenoma Preputal/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Uterus Leiomyosarcoma Endometral stromal polyp Ovary Granulosa cell carcinoma	N + +	N + X +	พ + +	N X + +	XN + +	X N + +	N + +	N + +	X N + +	X N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	X N + +	N + X +	N + +	N + +	×N×+ +	N X + +	XN + +	xn + +	N + X +	XN + +	XN + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Angioma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Paraganglioma, malignant Mesentery Leiomyosarcoma, metastatic	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N X	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	ท ท	N N	N N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N

TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: UNTREATED CONTROL

Tissue Examined Microscopically Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically Tumor Incidence Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination Animal Missexed + - XNS

No Tissue Information Submitted Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol Autolysis Animal Missing No Necropsy Performed

C A M B

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 6 4	0 6 5	0 6 7	0 6 8	0 6 9	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 4	0 7 8	0 7 7	0 7 9	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 9	TOTAL
weeks on Study	104	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma Fibrous histiocytoma, malignant Fibrous histiocytoma, metastatic Hemangiosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+ X X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	*50 1 2 1 1 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveoiar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea	++++	++	++	++	+ +	+ X +	+ +	+	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+ +	++	+ +	++	++	+	++	50 1 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Fibrosarcoma, invasive Malig, lymphoma, undiffer type Thymus	++++	+++++++	+++++++	++++++	++++++++	+++x +	++++++	++++++	++++++++	+++++++	++++++++	++++++	+++	+++++++++	* + + + +	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	+++ -	++++	+++++++	+ + + + +	++++++++	+++ +	+ + + +	50 50 50 1 43
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Neurlemoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvery gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Fibrous histiocytoma, metastatic Bile due	+	++++	++++	++++	++++	- +	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++ + X	++++	+++++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	49 50 1 1
Calibiader & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Smail intestine Large intestine	+N + + + + +	+ N + + + + + +	- Z + + + + +	+ Z + + + + + +	+ X + + + + + +	+ Z + + + + +	+ 2 + + + + + +	+ Z + + + + +	+ Z++++ +	+ Z +++++	-X+++++	+ 2 + + + + + +	+ 2 + + + + + +	+ 2 + + + + + +	+ Z + + + + +	+ Z + + + + + +	+ X + + + + + +	+ 2 + + + + + +	+ 2 + + + + + + +	+ Z + + + + +	+ Z + + + + + +	+ Z + + + + + +	+ Z + + + + + +	+Z+++++	+ + + + + + + +	*50 49 50 50 50 50 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Unnary bladder	++	++++	+++	++	+++	+	+	++++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	50 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+ x	+	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ x	+ X	+ x	+ x	+ x	+ X	+ x	+	+ x	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ x	50 2 31
Adrenai Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Folicular cell adenoma Ç-cell adenoma	+ x	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	50 2 50 1 7
C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid Adenoma, NOS Pancreatic islets Islet cell carcinoma	+++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	x - +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ x +	+ +	x + + x	2 45 1 49 2
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenome, NOS Adenocarcinome, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 1
Fibroadenoma Preputal/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Uterus	X N +	N +	XN +	XN +	X N +	N +	N +	X N +	N +	X N +	X N +	X N +	N +	N +	N +	X N +	N +	N +	N +	X N +	N +	N X +	X N +	N +	X N +	22 *50 4 50
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Granulosa cell carcinoma	X +	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	X +	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	6 50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Angioma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Perioneum Paraganglioma, malignant Mesentery Leiomyosarcoma, metastatic	N N	א א	N N	N N	N N	N N	N X N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	N N	*50 1 *50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 13

TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: UNTREATED CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	1 8 5	1 5 1	1 9 9	1 8 2	2 0 0	1 6 1	1 7 0	1 6 6	1 9 7	1 7 2	1 7 9	1 9 6	1 7 8	1 8 0	1 5 2	1 5 3	1 5 4	1 5 5	1 5 6	1 5 7	1 5 8	1 5 9	1 6 0	1 6 2	1 6 3
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 3	0 6 4	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 6	0 9 0	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 2	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Traches	- +	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	+	+	++	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	+	+	++	+ X +	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+ -+ +-	++++	+++-	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++-	+ + + + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+ + + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Sarcoma, NOS Liver	+	++	++	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	++	+ +	+++	+	++	+++	+	+ +	+	++	* *	+++	+	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++
Neoplastic nodule Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	Ñ	+ N N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	X + N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	, N	+ N	X X + N	+ N	+ N
Acnar cell adenoma Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	+	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+++++	+ ++++	+ + + + +	+++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ ++++	+ X + + + +	+ + + + +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	 _	++++	+	+++	++	+	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	<u>+</u>	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++	+ +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carcinoma, NOS Adrenoma, NOS Adrenai Pheochromocytoma Thyroid C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid Adenoma, NOS	+ - + +	+ x + +	+ X + +	+ X + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ x + + + x +	+ X + + +	+ X+ +	+ x + x + + +	* + + +	+ + +	+x + + x+x	+ x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + *	+ X + + + X + + +	+ + + +	+ X + + +	+ X + + +	+ X + + X X +	+ X + + +	+ X + + +	+ + X +	+ X + + X +	+ X + + +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputal/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	X N	X N	N	N	X N	X	N	N	N	X X N	X N	N X	N	X N	X N	X N	N X	X X N	N	N	N	N
Uterus Endometrual stromal polyp Endometrual stromal sarcoma Ovary Granulosa cell carcinoma	-	+	+	+	+ + +	+	+	+	+	+	+ * +	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+ +	+ + +	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N

TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEARFEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: LOW DOSE

TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	6	1	167	1	16	17	17	17	177	177	1	1 8	1	1	1	1 8 7	18	1 8	19	19	19	1 9	19	1 9	1 9	<u> </u>
WEEKS ON STUDY	104	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	104	104	1 0 4		104	1 0 4	104	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	0 1 0 4	104	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	0 4	TOTAL TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49 1 1
HECHATOPOLETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spisen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + + + +	++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	50 50 49 50 46
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Sarcoma, NOS Liver Neoplastic nodule Henetocallular corrigone	* *	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ + x	++	50 2 49 3
Galibiadder & common bile duct Pancreas	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ X +	+ N +	+ N + N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ X +	+ N +	+ X +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ X +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	49 *50 49
Actuar cell adenoma Esophagus Stomach Small nitestine Large intestine	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + + + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	+ + + +	1 50 49 49 49
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++	++	++	++	+	+++	++	++	+++	+ +	 + +	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	 + +	++++	++++	 + +	+	 + +	 + +	49 47
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Carcinoma, NOS Adrenai Pheochromocytoma Thyroid C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid Adenoma, NOS	+ X + + +	+ + + X+	+ + + +	+ x + + +	+ x + + x +	+++++	+ + + +	++++-	+ x+ + x-	+ x+ +x +	+ + + +	+ X + X + + +	+ x + + x +	+ X + + +	+ X + +X +	+ x+ + +	+x + + + +	+ x+x+ +	+ X + + +	+ x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ X + +	+ X+ + +	+ X + + +	+ X + + +	+ X + X + X +	50 3 34 49 3 50 10 7 47 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ x	+	x x	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+ X	*	+	+	+	+	+ x	*50 3 5 16
Preputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp	N +	N + X	Ñ +	N + X	N +	N + X	N +	N +	N +	N +	Ñ +	N +	N +	N + X	N×+	N +	N X + X	N +	N X + X	N +	N + X	N + X	N + X	N +	N +	*50 5 49 15
Endometrial stromal sarcoma Ovary Granulosa cell carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	1 49 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 3
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	*50 15

ANIMAL NUMBER	2 6 2	282	2 6 0	2 9 8	2 9 9	2 7 7	2 7 9	2 8 7	2 8 1	2 9 1	2 5 4	2 5 3	2 7 8	2 5 5	2 7 1	2 5 1	2 5 2	2 5 6	2 5 7	2 5 8	2 5 9	2 6 1	2 6 3	2 6 4	2 6 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 3	0 7 3	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 7 7	0 8 2	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 5	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 1	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Alveoiar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Spleen Lymph nodes	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+	++++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++++	++++	++++	++++
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Thymus	+	+	_	_	X +	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	_	+	+	+	-
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Neurilemoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fibrosarcoma Liver Neoplastic nodule	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	+	+ X	*	+	*
Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+
Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Acinar cell adenoma	N +	N +	N +	¥ +	N +	N +	N +	N + X	N +	N +															
Esophagus Stomach	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+
Small intestine Large intestine	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	++	++	+ +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	+++	++	+++	+	+ +	+	++++	+	+++	+++	÷	++	+	+++	+++	++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++	+++	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenoma, NOS Adrenal	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	X +	X +	+	X +	X +	+	+	X +	+	+	X +	+	X +	+	X +	+	+	+
Thyroid Folligular cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
C cell adenoma C cell carcinoma														X				X	х		X			X	
Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	X											x				X	X					X			-
Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	X N
Adenoma, NOS Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	×	+	+	+	*	+	+
Endometrial stromal sarcoma Ovary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	۲	+	+	÷	+	÷	X +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Carcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbai gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig lymphoma, unduffer type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Leukemia, mononuclear cell		X	X	X							X	X		X			X	X		X	X				

TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: HIGH DOSE

TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	2 6 6	2 6 7	2 6 8	2 6 9	2 7 0	2 7 2	2 7 3	2 7 4	2 7 5	2 7 6	2 8 0	2 8 3	2 8 4	2 8 5	2 8 6	2 8 8	2 8 9	2 9 0	2 9 2	2 9 3	2 9 4	2 9 5	2 9 6	2 9 7	3 0 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic August (hyprophysical and apport	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 I
Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+ + +	50 50 50
Thymus	+	+		+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	33
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Neurilemoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Fibrosarcoma	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
Liver Neoplastic nodule Hepatoceilular carcinoma	+ x	*	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	×	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+ x	+ X	*	+	*	*	+	50 11 5
Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Acinar cail adenoma	N +	+ N +	т Н +	т Н +	т N +	+ +	ň +	+ N +	n +	+ +	ň +	т н +	т N +	+ N +	т N +	т Н +	ч 4	+ N +	т н +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ N +	+ +	+ N +	*50 *50 50
Esophagus Stomach Small intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	++++	++-+	+ + + +	+++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+++++	50 50 49
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urnary bladder	-	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	 + +	+ +	++++	+ + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	 + +	++++	++++	+++	 + +	++++	+ +	50 50 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Phesebomocratoma	+	+	X +	X +	X +	+	÷	X +	+	X +	X +	+	+	X +	+	X +	х +	+ ¥	X +	X +	X + ¥	X +	+	X +	X +	23 50 2
Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C cell adenoma	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	+	+	x x	+	+	+ X	+ x	+ X	+	+ X	+	50 2 13
C cell carcinoma Parathyroid Adenoma, NOS	x x	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	2 47 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	, +	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 3 4
Fibroadenoma Preputal/clitoral gland Carrinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	X N	N	N X	X N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	X N	N	N	N	N X	N	4 *50 6 1
Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Endometrial stromal sarroma	x	+	*	+	+	+	* *	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	50 10 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	 +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland	- <u>_</u>	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	X 	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	•50
Carcinoma, NOS	_																									1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multple organs, NOS Malig lymphoma, undiffer type Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	*50 2 16
	1																									1

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High D	ose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Papilloma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Squamous cell papilloma	1	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
Sarama NOS	(50)		(00)	(10)	(50)	
Fibrome	9	(4%)	2	(4.70) (2%)	1	(2%)
Fibrosarcoma	6	(12%)	7	(14%)	$\hat{7}$	(14%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					<u>, ye</u> la <u>, t , y</u> , <u>, , , , ,</u> ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
#Lung	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	7	(14%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	11	(22%)	2	(4%)	7	(14%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic		(0.27)	1	(2%)		
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)	(0.2)	(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type		(90)	1	(2%)	9	(40)
Malignant lymphoma, nistiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	1 3	(2%)	2	(470)	2	(41%) (9%)
#Spleen	(50)	(0,0)	(49)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	(00)		(10)		1	(2%)
#Lumbar lymph node	(49)		(47)		(50)	()
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	1	(2%)				
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type			1	(2%)		
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			<u> </u>			
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)	(10)		(50)	
#Spleen	(50)		(49)	(296)	(50)	
#Mesenteric lymph node	(49)		(47)	(270)	(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)	(,			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					<u></u>	
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hepatocellular adenoma	5	(10%)	9	(18%)	10	(20%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	9	(18%)	17	(35%)	20	(40%)
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(48)		(49)	
Carcinoma in situ, NOS	(50)		(40)			(2%)
#rorestomach	(50)	(90)	(48)		(49)	
oquanous cen papinoma #Duodenum	(50)	(470)	(47)		(50)	
Adenomatous polyp. NOS	(00)		(=1)		1	(2%)
#Jejunum	(50)		(47)		(50)	
Adenomatous polyp, NOS	1	(2%)				
*Rectum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mucinous cystadenocarcinoma					2	(4%)

	Contr	ol	Low De	ose	High De	Dse
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)			<u> </u>			<u></u>
*Anus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma	1	(2%)				
Adenocarcinoma in adenomatous polyp	1	(2%)				
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Tubular cell adenocarcinoma	1	(2%)				
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic			1	(2%)		
#Urinary bladder	(49)		(48)		(50)	
Transitional cell carcinoma					1	(2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Anterior pituitary	(48)		(47)		(48)	
Adenoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Adrenal	(49)		(47)		(49)	
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic					1	(2%)
Cortical adenoma	2	(4%)				
#Adrenal/capsule	(49)		(47)		(49)	
Adenoma, NOS					1	(2%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(47)		(50)	
Follicular cell adenoma					3	(6%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
#Testis	(49)		(48)		(49)	
Interstitial cell tumor					1	(2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM None	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<u> </u>		*****		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			<u></u>		<u></u>	
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Papillary adenoma	3	(6%)				
Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	2	(4%)	2	(4%)		
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Muscle of neck	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosarcoma, invasive			1	(2%)		
BODY CAVITIES None						
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS	(20)				(50)	
-multiple organs Fibroarrooma motostatio	(50)	(19)	(00)	(90)	(50)	
r ibrosarcoma, metastatic	z	(4170)	1	(470)		

TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

TABLE B1.	SUMMARY (OF THE INCIDENCE	OF NEOPLASMS 1	IN MALE MICE	IN THE TWO-YEAR
		FEED STUDY O	F CHLORENDIC AC	CID (Continued)	

	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY	······································		
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	9	14	11
Moribund sacrifice	5	8	10
Terminal sacrifice	36	26	29
Accidentally killed, NOS		2	
TUMOR SUMMARY			
Total animals with primary tumors**	35	31	39
Total primary tumors	59	47	62
Total animals with benign tumors	21	11	22
Total benign tumors	31	14	24
Total animals with malignant tumors	23	27	27
Total malignant tumors	28	33	38
Total animals with secondary tumors##	5	8	8
- over dramano			•

Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
 # Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

	Contr	ol	Low Do)8e	High D	ose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	<u></u>	50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS	(50)		(50)		1	(2%)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	
			•	(270)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic			1	(2%)		(0.0)
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	1	(90)	4	(8%)	4	(8%)
Aiveolar/oronchiolar carcinoma	T	(470)	Z	(4170)	2	(4170) (90L)
					ا	(270)
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)	(1	(50)		(50)	(0.01)
Malignant lymphoma, undiffer type	2	(4%)		(07)	1	(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	4.	(8%)	1	(2%)	Z	(4.%)
Malignant lymphoma, nistlocycic type	4 6	(470) (1906)	19	(4270) (9404)	4 5	(070)
#Snleen	(50)	(12%)	(48)	(2470)	(50)	(10%)
Malignant lymphoma, undiffer type	1	(2%)	(10)			
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	1	(2%)				
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type					1	(2%)
#Cervical lymph node	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic					1	(2%)
IRCULATORY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma, invasive	(20)		(10)		1	(2%)
#Spleen	(50)		(48)	(90)	(50)	(194)
Hemangiosarcoma #Liver	(50)		1 (40)	(470)	2 (50)	(4970)
Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic	(00)		(40)		1	(2%)
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)		(50)	(. ,
Hemangiosarcoma					1	(2%)
#Uterus	(50)		(48)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma, invasive					1	(2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				····		
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hepatocellular adenoma	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	1	(2%)	5	(10%)	4	(8%)
#Forestomach	(50)	(60)	(48)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilioma	ີ (ສາ)	(0%)	(40)		(40)	
#Cecum Leiomvoma	(00)		(49)	(2%)	(49)	
· · · · ······························						

TABLE B2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

None

	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High De)se
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Pituitary	(48)		(47)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Anterior pituitary	(48)		(47)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS	12	(25%)	4	(9%)	3	(6%)
#Adrenal/capsule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Pheochromocytoma	1	(2%)				
#Pancreatic islets	(50)	(0.41)	(49)		(50)	
Islet cell adenoma	1	(2%)				
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM					·····	
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
Adenocarcinoma, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Papillary cystadenoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Uterus	(50)		(48)		(50)	
Leiomyosarcoma	1	(2%)	,		,	
Endometrial stromal polyp	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
#Ovary	(49)		(47)		(48)	
Cystadenoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Teratoma, NOS					1	(2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM None						
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, invasive					1	(2%)
Papillary adenoma	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None					******	
BODY CAVITIES					• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
*Peritoneum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosarcoma			1	(2%)		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosarcoma, invasive			1	(2%)		
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY						
Animals initially in study	50		50		50	
Natural death	8		9		12	
Moribund sacrifice	3		1		4	
Toursinglassifies	30		39		34	
Terminal sacrifice	00					

TABLE B2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
TUMOR SUMMARY		,	<u></u>
Total animals with primary tumors**	29	32	31
Total primary tumors	44	41	39
Total animals with benign tumors	20	14	14
Total benign tumors	24	15	14
Total animals with malignant tumors	19	23	22
Total malignant tumors	20	26	24
Total animals with secondary tumors##		2	4
Total secondary tumors		2	6
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
benign or malignant			1
Total uncertain tumors			1

TABLE B2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 ** Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 1	0 2 7	0 2 6	0 3 0	0 3 9	0 2 4	0 2 2	0 3 3	0 1 2	0 1 0	0 1 4	0 1 7	0 1 3	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 5	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 1	0 1 5	0 1 6
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 2 1	0 2 5	0 3 1	0 3 6	0 5 2	0 6 9	0 7 0	0 7 1	0 8 3	0 9 6	0 9 7	9 8	1 0 3	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM					·																				
Skin Papilloma, NOS Squamous cell papilloma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma Hemangiosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+ * X	+	+	+ + X	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+ *	+	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	++	+	+	* *	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+ x +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x +	+	+	+ x +	++++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Hemangiosarcoma Thymus	+ + + +	++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	++++	++++-	++++	+++ -	+++ *	+++ -	++++	++++	+ + + + X +	++++	+ + + +	+++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	+++ -	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+++ -	+ + + -
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS	++ ++++ +	-+ +++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ +z+++ +	++ x+++++ +	++	++ ++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ X+++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ X+++++ +	++ ++++ +	++ X ++++ +	++XX+++++ +	++ ++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ ++++ X +	++ +Z+++ +
Large intestine Rectum Squamous cell papilloma Adenocarcinoma in adenomatous polyp	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	n N	+ N	+ N	н И	* N	+ + X	+ N	+ N	+ N	'n	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	ň	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular ceil adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	++++	+ -	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	+ +	++	* * +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenai Cortical adenoma Thyroid Parathyroid	++++++	++++++	++++	+++++	+ + +	- + +	+++++	++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+++-	+++++	+ + + -	+++++	+++	+x+++	+ + + + +	- - +	+++++	+ + + + +	+++++	+ + + +	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate	N + +	N -	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +						
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardeman gland Papillary adenoma Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Malig. lymphoma, histocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: UNTREATED CONTROL

+ - X N S

Tissue Examined Microscopically Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically Tumor Incidence Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination Animal Missexed

No Tissue Information Submitted Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol Autolysis Animal Missing No Necropsy Performed

C A M B

ANIMAL NUMBER	0	0	02	02	0	02	02	0	0	0 3	0 3	03	0	0 3	0	0	04	04	04	04	0	0	04	04	0 5	1
WEEKSON	8	9 	이 -ㅠ	3 	5 -11	8 	9 	1 -11-	2 111-	4 	5	6) - 11	7	8 -11-	0 	1 -11-	2	3	41	5	6 	7	8 -11	9 	0	TOTAL
STUDY	0 5	0 5	0 5	05	Ô 5	0 5	0 5	05	0 5	0 5	0 5	0	0	0 5	0 5	0	0	0 5	0 5	0 5	0	0 5	0 5	0 5	0 5	TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Papilloma, NOS Squamous cell papilloma											X														x	
Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma Hemangiosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	*	+	*50 2 6 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic		X					X		x	x	x	x			X	x	X	x			X		x		X X	2 11 5 1
Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Lymph nodes Fibrosartoma, metastatic	Ŧ	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	÷	Ŧ	÷	÷	÷	+	+	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	49
Hemangiosarcoma Thymus	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	~	-	+	+	1 23
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Liver Hepatocellular adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	* X	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	50 5
Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Pancreas	+	+	÷	÷	Ŧ	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ	÷	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	50
Stomach	++	++	+	++	+	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	++	+	++	+	+	++	+	++	+	++	++	+	50
Squamous cell papilloma Small intestine	+	+	+	±	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Adenomatous polyp, NOS Large intestine	+	+	+	× +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Rectum Squamous cell papilloma Adenoca in adenomatous polyp	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*	*50 1 1
URINARY SYSTEM	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Privitary	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Adenoma, NOS Adrenal	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Cortical adenoma Thyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	* +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Parathyroid		+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	43
Mammery gland Testus Prostate	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + + +	N + +	+ + +	N + +	พ + +	N + +	N + + +	N + +	พ + +	*50 49 49
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardsran gland Papillary adenoma Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	*50 3 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Malig lymphoma, histocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type					x									X	X		X									1 3

TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: UNTREATED CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	1 1 0	1 1 8	1 2 2	1 2 5	1 2 4	1 3 7	1 4 4	1 4 0	1 2 7	1 4 2	1 3 5	1 3 8	1 4 7	1 0 7	1 0 4	1 2 9	1 1 6	1 5 0	1 3 9	1 1 4	1 4 8	1 1 9	1 0 8	1 0 1	1 0 2
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 2 0	0 2 3	0 3 0	0 3 0	0 3 4	0 5 0	0 5 0	0 5 7	0 6 5	0 7 5	0 7 7	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 7	0 8 9	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 9	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 5	1 0 5
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+ x	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+ X X	+ X	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	* x x	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+
Trachea	+	A	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Hemangnosarcoma Lymph nodes Thymus	+ + + +	A A A A	- + - +	++++-	+ + + + + +	++++-	++++-	++++-	++++-	++++-	+ + - +	++++-	++ ++ ++	+ + + + + +	+ + x + + + + +	++++-	+ + + +	++ ++ ++	++++-	++++-	++++-	+ + + +	++++-	+++++	++ ++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	A	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	+++	A A	÷ x	+	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+ + x	+++	++++	+ + x	++ ×	+++	+ + x	+++	+ + X	+ + x	+ + x	+++	+ + x	+ + x	+++	+ + X
Maig. lymphoma, nuclecytic type Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++++++	A N A A A A A A	+++++	+++-+++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	x + x + + + + + +	++++++	+ + + + + + +	++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++++	++++++	++++++	+ 2 + + + + + +	+2++++++	++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Urinary bladder	+++	A +	+ -	+	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	+ +	+ +	++	++	++	+ +	++	++	++	+ +	* *	+++	+++	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Thyroid Parathyroid	++++++	A A A A		++	+++++	- - + -	+++++	+++++	+++-	++++	+++++	+++-	++++-	++++	++++-	+++++	+++++	++++	++++-	++++	+++++	+ + + + +	+++++	+++++	+++-
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testus Prostate	N + +	N + +	N +	N -	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardenan gland Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Fibrosarcoma, invasive	Ņ	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig. lymphoma, histocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N

TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: LOW DOSE

TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: LOW DOSE (Continued)

		- 71					- 1															-				T
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 3	1 0 5	1 0 6	1 0 9	1	12	1 1 3	1	1 1 7	1 2 0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1 2 3	1 2 6	1 2 8	1 3 0	3 1	1 3 2	1 3 3	1 3 4	1 3 6	1 4 1	4 3	1 4 5	1 4 6	1 4 9	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	*	+	*50 2 1 7
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Sarcoma, NOS, metastatic Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Trachea	+ x +	* *	+	+	+	+	+ x +	++	+	* * +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+ x +	49 4 2 2 1 2 48
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Hemangnosarcoma Lymph nodes Thymus	++++-	+++++	+ + + -	++ ++ ++	+++++	+++++	++++-	++ ++	++ ++ ++	+++++	++ ++ ++	+++++	++++-	++++-	+ + + +	++ +-	++++-	+++-	++++++	+ + + +	++ ++ -	+ + + + + +	- + + -	+ + + + + +	+ + + +	47 49 1 47 27
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Malig lymphoma, histocytic type Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	++++++	++ * x ++	++XX ++	++ ++	+ + X + + +	+++++	++X ++	++ x ++	++ X ++	++XX ++	+++++	++ ++	++ * X ++	++++	+++++	-+x ++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+ + x + +	+++++	+ + X X + +	++++	++x ++	48 49 9 17 1 49 *50
Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	· + + +	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	.++++	+++++	++-++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	47 47 48 47 48
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Urinary bladder	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	49 1 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Thyroid Parathyroid	++++++	+ + + + +	+++-	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++-	+ + + + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+++-	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + -	+ + + -	++++	++++	+++++	47 47 47 34
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testia Prostate	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N ++ +	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + + +	*50 48 49
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	*50 2
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Fibrosarcoma, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Fibrosarcoma, metastatic Maing lymphoma, lymphocytic type Maing, lymphoma, histiocytic type	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1 2

ANIMAL NUMBER	2 3 7	2 3 6	2 3 9	2 3 4	2 0 6	2 1 9	2 0 8	2 3 3	2 2 7	2 2 0	2 0 9	2 0 7	2 1 6	2 1 0	2 3 2	2 1 2	2 2 9	2 2 2	2 4 5	2 4 8	2 3 8	2 0 1	2 0 2	2 0 3	2 0 4
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 6	0 3 0	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 4 1	0 4 7	0 6 0	0 6 7	0 6 9	0 7 4	0 7 6	0 8 3	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 7	0 9 0	0 9 7	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 2	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous hissus Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	*	+ X	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and broach Hepatocelluiar carcinoma, metastatic Aiveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Aiveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	++	+	+ X +	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	* * *	+	+	+ X +	+ X X +	+	* *	+	+	+ X +	+	++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Maing lymphoma, histiocytic type Lymph nodes Thymus	+ + + +	++++-	+++++	+ + + +	++ ++ ++	++++-	+ + + +	+++++	++++-	+ + + -	++ ++	+++-	+ + + -	++++-	+ + + +	+++++	++++-	+ + + +	++++-	++++-	+ + + × + -	++++-	+++++	+++++	++++-
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct	+ + +	+++++	++++;	+++++	++ +.	++ +	++ + X+	+++++	++ x+:	++ +.	++++	++ x+	++ +	++ x+	++ + X+	++ + X+	++ + X+	++++	++++	++ + X+	++xx+	++++-	++++	++XX+	++××+
Gailbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Carcinoma in situ, NOS	+ + + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + - + -	++++	N + + + ·	++++	++++	++++ .	++++	++++	Z + + + -	++++	++++	++++	++-+	++++ .	++++	++++	++++	++++ -	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++++
Small intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS Large intestine Rectum Mucinous cystadenocarcinoma	- N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + X	+ + N	+ N	+ + X	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ X + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ + N	+ * N	+ + N	+ * N
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Unnary bladder Transitional cell carcinoma	++++	+++	++	+ +	+ +	++	+	+ + X	+ +	++++	++++	+++	++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Adenoma, NOS	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	-	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Thyroid Folicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ x +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	x + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate	+ + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N - +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + X +	N + +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig lymphoma, histocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N

TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-
YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: HIGH DOSE

TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	2 0 5	2 1 1	2 1 3	2 1 4	2 1 5	2 1 7	2 1 8	2 2 1	2 2 3	2 2 4	2 2 5	2 2 6	2 2 8	2 3 0	2 3 1	2 3 5	2 4 0	2 4 1	2 4 2	2 4 3	2 4 4	2 4 6	2 4 7	2 4 9	2 5 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TUTAL TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 7
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	* *	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+ x	+ X +	+	* *	+	+ x x +	+	+	+	+	* *	50 7 7 3 49
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spisen Malig lymphoma, histiocytic type Lymph nodes Thymus	++++++	++ ++ ++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++ ++ ++	++ ++	++++-	++ ++	+++++	++ ++ ++	++++-	++++-	+ + + -	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	++ + -	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + + +	++++	+++-	+++++	+++++	++++-	++++-	50 50 1 50 29
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Carcinoma in situ, NOS Small untesting	++ X+N+++ +	++X +++++ +	++ x++++ +	++X +++++ +	++ ++++ +	++ x++++ +	++ x+++++ +	++x +z+++ +	++x +++++ +	++ ++++ +	++ +++++ +	++X +++++ +	++x +++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ +++++ +	++ ++++ +	++ x++++ +	++ X++++ +	++ x+N+++x+	++ ++++ +	++ ++++ +	++x +++++ +	++ X++++ +	++ x++++ +	50 50 10 20 50 *50 49 49 49 49 1 50
Adenomatous polyp, NOS Large intestine Rectum Mucinous cystadenocarcinoma	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	1 49 *50 2
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urnary bladder Transitional cell carcinoma	++++	+++	+++	++++	+ +	+ +	+++	++++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+++	++++	+ +	+++	++++	50 50 1
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenai Adenoma, NOS Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Thyroid Folicular cell adenoma	++++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	++ +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ * *	+++++	+ + +	++++	++ + *	+ + +	++	++++	++++	++++++	+ + +	48 49 1 1 50 3
Parathyroid REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ 	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ 	+ N	+ N	+ N	+ N	46 *50
Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	49 1 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig Jymphoma, histocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	*50 2 1

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 6 6	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 9 7	0 6 3	0 8 6	0 6 7	0 9 0	0 9 5	0 7 1	0 8 9	0 5 1	0 5 2	0 5 3	0 5 4	0 5 5	0 5 6	0 5 7	0 5 8	0 5 9	0 6 0	0 6 1	0 6 2	0 6 4	0 6 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 4 4	0 7 5	0 7 6	0 8 0	0 8 4	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 3	0 9 6	0 9 9	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5										
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+ +	+ +	++	++	++	+++	++	+++	++	+ .	++	+++	++	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	++++	++	++	+++	+++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Maing.lymphoma, undiffer type Maing.lymphoma, histocytic type	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+	++++
Lymph nodes Thymus	+	++	++	+	+	+	-	++	+++	+	++	+++	+	+	++	++	++	+ 	++	++	++	+	++	+	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Hepstocellular adenoma Hepstocellular carpingma	+	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+ + x	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	++++
Bile duct Gellbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Small intestine Large intestine	+ +	÷	+ +	A + +	+ +	4 + +	+ +	+ +	+																
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+	+++	+ +	+++	++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	++++	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carrinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	*	+	+	A +	+	+ X	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	+ X	+ X	+
Adenoma, NOS Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Parathyroid	+	+	+	++++	+	+++	++++	+	+	+	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	• •	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++++	+
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Uterus Leiomyosarcoma	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	++	+++	+ +	+++	÷
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardeman gland Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig. lymphoma, undiffer type Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N
Malig. lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type												x	x		x					X					

TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: UNTREATED CONTROL

Tissue Examined Microscopically Required Tissue Not Examined Microscopically Tumor Incidence Necropsy, No Autolysis, No Microscopic Examination Animal Missexed

+ : - : X : N : S :

 :
 No Tissue Information Submitted

 C
 :
 Necropsy, No Histology Due To Protocol

 A
 :
 Autolysis

 M
 :
 Animal Missing

 B
 :
 No Necropsy Performed

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 6 8	0 6 9	0 7 0	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 4	0 7 5	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 5	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 6	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	TOTAL TISSUES TUMORS
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Aiveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+++	++	++	++	* *	++	+++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	+	++	++	50 1 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Malig, lymphoma, undiffer type Malie	++++	++++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	++	+ * X	++	+ +	+++	+++	+ + *	50 50 1
Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	+ +	+ -	<u>+</u>	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ -	+ +	" + +	50 39								
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Saivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma	+ + X	++	++	++	++	++	++++	++++	++	+++	++	+++	++	+ +	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	+	+ +	+ +	++	++	++++	49 50 2
Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas	+++++	++++	+++	+ + +	+ + +	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+ N +	+++	X + + +	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+ + +	+ ++ +	++++	+ + +	1 50 *50 50
Esophagus Stomach Squamous cell papilloma Smail intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	++++	++ +	++++	+ + X +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	++ +	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	50 50 3 49
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	++	++	++++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	50 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Adrenai	+	+ X	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	48 1 12 50
Adenoma, NOS Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Parathyroid	++++	+++	++++	+	++++	++++	+++++	+ +	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++	++	X + +	++	+++	+++	× + + +	+++++	1 1 50 42
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Uterus Leiomyosarcoma	++++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ + X	+++	++++	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ ` +	`+ +	+ +	+ +	*50 50 1
Endometrial stromai polyp Ovary Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	2 49 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardeman gland Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig. lymphoma, undiffer type Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type	N	N	N	Ņ	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	*50 2 4
Malig. lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	x			x						X	x													_		2 6

TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: UNTREATED CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	17	16	18	17	19	192	19	1 9	19	16	1	1	15	15	1	1	1	157	15	16	16	1	1	1	1 6 7
weeks on Study	0	0	3	0	0	0	0 7	8	1 0 8	4 0 9	0 1 0	1	2	3		2	10	1	2	0	10	1	기	1	10
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Osteosarcoma	51 +	ગ +	어 +	91 +	5 +	7	7 +	4 +	8 +	7	3	5 +	54 +	+	5 +	5 +	5 +	5 +	5 +	* X	5 +	5 +	স +	5 +	5 +
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Adeoccarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spiesn Hemangtosarcoma Lymph nodes Thymus CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	+ + + +	• • • •	+ + + - + -	++++	+++-	+++++	+++-	++++-	+++++	+++	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	++++	+++++	++++	++++	· + + +	+++-	++++++	+ + + +	++++	+++++	++x++
Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular adenoma	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ + ¥	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
Bis duct Galibladder & common bie duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine Leiomvoma	++++++	++++++	++	+ X + + + + +	1 X + + + + +	++++++	++++++	+++++++	++++++	:++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+ + + + + + X	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++	+++	+	+	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+ + +	+++	++++	+++	++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+ + +	++++	++	+ +	 +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenai Thyroid Parathyroid	+ +	+ ++-	- ++-	+ +++	+ ++-	+ X + + + +	+ +++	+ + + +	+ +++	+ ++++	+ +++	+ +++	+ +++	+ +++	+ +++	+ X + + +	+++-	+ +++	+++-	+ +++	+++-	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++-
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Papillary cystadenoma, NOS	+	+	N	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Cystadenoma, NOS	+	+ + X	-	+ -	-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ X +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
BODY CAVITIES Pertoneum Fibrosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Fibroarcoma, invasive Malig lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig, iymphoma, histiccytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N

TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARFEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: LOW DOSE

	1-11				-11	- 11	- .			- 			- 		- 11	- 11	<u> </u>								~	
NUMBER	6	69	7	1 7 2	7 3	74	7 5	7 6	77	1 7 9	8	8 1	1 8 2	8 4	8 5	8	8 7	8	8	9 0	9 3	1 9 4	1 9 7	1 9 8	2 0 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	05	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Osteosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarmnoma NOS metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	х +	+	+	X X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	4 2 49
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Spleen Hemanglosarcoma Lymph nodes	+++++	++	+	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	+	++	++	+	++	++	+	++	++	+	++	++	++	++	+ +	48 1 50
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+		+	+	+	-	-	+	+		+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland				+ +	+	+	 +		 +		 +	+			+	+	+			 +	 +	 +	 +	 +	+ +	50
Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	+	÷ x	+	+	+	+	*	÷	*	+	÷ x	÷	+ X	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	49 2 5
Bile duct Gailbladder & common bile duct Pancreas	+ + +	++++	++++	++++	+ + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+ + +	++++	++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+++	49 *50 49
Esophagus Stomach Small intestine	++++++	++++	+ - -	++++	+ + +	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ + +	49 48 48
Large intestine Leiomyoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	‡	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenome NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	-	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
Adrenal Thyroid Parathyroid	++++	++-	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++	++++	++	+++	+++	+++++	+++	++++	+++	+ + +	++++	++-	+ + +	50 49 36
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adanoma, NOS Adanoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	*50 1 1
Papillary cystadenoma, NOS Uterus Endometrial stromal polyn	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Ovary Cystadenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	47
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Fibrosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Fibrosarcoma, invasive Malig, lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig maphoma, histocytic type Maligness tymphoma, mistocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	*50 1 1 2 12
mouth and a the second of the	1		A			4							~			A			**	**						1

TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	2 7 9	2 8 1	2 9 6	2 8 3	2 9 5	2 5 8	2 6 3	2 8 8	2 9 8	2 8 2	2 7 8	2 8 0	2 5 6	2 5 3	2 5 2	2 5 1	2 5 4	2 5 5	2 5 7	2 5 9	2 6 0	2 6 1	2 6 2	2 6 4	2 6 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 0 4	0 6 3	0 6 4	0 7 4	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 5	0 8 7	0 9 0	0 9 0	0 9 5	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM																									
Skin Carcinoma, NOS Hemangiosarcoma, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and brunch: Alveolar/brunchiolar adenoma Alveolar/brunchiolar carcinoma Osteosarcoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	* *	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x +	+	+	* *	+	+	+
DEMATOPOLETIC SYSTEM				·											. <u> </u>								·	<u> </u>	
Bone marrow Spisen Hemanglosarcoma Maligrant lymphome mixed type	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ * x	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + x	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
Lymph nodes Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Thymus	A +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	* -	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ -	+ +	+ +	++	∓ +	+ +	++	+ -	+ +	+ 	+ +	+ +	+ +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	٨	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver	A +	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++		++++	+	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++
Hepatoceilular adenoma Hepatoceilular carcinoma Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic										x	x	x						x							
Bile duct Galibladder & common bile duct	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	+ N	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	n+ N	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	n+ N	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++	++
Pancreas Esophagus	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	<u>+</u>	+++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+	++++	+++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + +
Stomach	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+
Large intestine	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	-	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++	+ +	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+ +	+++	++++	+ +	++++	+ +	++++	+++	+++++	+ +	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adrenal Thyroid	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+	++++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++
Parathyroid	÷	<u> </u>	÷	-	÷	-	÷	+	-	+	÷	÷	÷	-	-	÷	-	÷	-	+	÷	÷	+	+	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	N	+	+	N	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Hemangiosarcoma, invasive	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	*	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Teratoma, NOS	+	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	+	x	Ŧ	-	+	+	Ŧ	-	٣	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ť	Ť	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	- T
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardenan gland Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Mesentery Hemangiosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Maig. lymphoma, undiffer type Malue lymphoma lymphomia type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N Y	N
Maig. lymphoma, histocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type				X			x				x		x			x								-	

TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE
TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID: HIGH DOSE

ANIMAL	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	21	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	~21	2	2	2	2	3	T
NUMBER	6	6 7	6 8	6 9	7	7	7	7	7	7 5	7 6	7 7	8 4	8 5	8	8 7	8 9	9 0	9 1	9 7	9 2	9 3	9 4	9	Ō O	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	104	104	104	04	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	1 0 5	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Carcinoma, NOS Hamargoarcoma, invasive	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Osteosarcoma, metastatic Trachaa	+	+	+	x	+	+	×	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	×	+	+	+	+	4 2 1 50
			+		÷			+			-															
Bone marrow Spieen Hemangiosarcoma	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	49 50 2
Maiignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	<u>+</u>						-		+ 	+ 		<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>		+ 	+	+	+ 	+		+	+	+ 	+	+	38
		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Salvary gland Liver Honstorallular adenoma	+	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + x	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	48 50
Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemangiosarroma, metastatic										X																4
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	‡	+++	+++	++++	+ N	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++	++++	+ +	50 *50
Pancreas Esophagus	+	++++	++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++	50
Stomach	1±	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	50
Large intestine	 	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	49
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladdər	+	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+	+	++	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+++	 + +	+++	++++	++++	50 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	<u> </u>		<u> </u>					<u> </u>		<u> </u>												<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Adenoma, NOS	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	x	x	Ť	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	3
Adrenal Thyroid	+ +	+++	++	++	+	++	++	++++	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	++	++++	++	+++	+++	50
Parathyroid	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	~	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	37
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Hemangiosarcoma, invasive Ovary Teratoma, NOS	+	+	х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	48 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardenan gland Carcinoma, NOS, invasive Papillary adenoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1
BODY CAVITIES Mesentery Hemangiosarcoma	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malig. lymphoma, undiffer type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Malig. lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malig. lymphoma, histocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	x										X						x				_		x	x		2 4 5

TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High D	ose
	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	·					
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)				
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
-Subcutaneous tissue	(00)		(50)	(996)	(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic			î	(2%)		
ESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Trachea	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative					1	(2%)
#Bronchial mucosa	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal			1	(2%)		
#Lung	(50)		(50)	(10)	(50)	(904)
Congestion, NUD Edama NOS			2	(4170) (996)	1	(270) (296)
Hemorrhage			3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Pneumonia, aspiration	1	(2%)	· ·	(0,0)	_	()
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic	3	(6%)	5	(10%)	6	(12%)
Inflammation, chronic focal			5	(10%)	4	(8%)
Inflammation, granulomatous focal	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Alveolar macrophages	1	(2%)	1	(90)	1	(2%)
Metaplasia, osseous	I	(2%)	1	(270)	1	(2%) (2%)
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)
#Bone marrow	(49)	(90)	(50)		(50)	
Atrophy, NOS Myalofibrosia	1	(2%)	1	(29%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, hematopoietic	-	(270)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
#Spleen	(50)		(50)	(=,0)	(49)	(0.07)
Congestion, NOS	2	(4%)	••••			
Fibrosis					1	(2%)
Fibrosis, focal			1	(2%)		
Infarct, acute			1	(2%)		
Infarct, healed			1	(2%)	9	(196)
Atrophy NOS			2	(4270)	4	(4.70)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	1	(2%)	•	(2,0)		
Hematopoiesis	ĩ	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Splenic capsule	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Fibrosis, focal			1	(2%)		
#Splenic follicles	(50)	(00)	(50)		(49)	(90)
Necrosis, NUS #Mandibular lymph node	1	(2%)	(EA)			(270)
# Manaloular lymph hode Hemorrhage	(00)	(2%)	(50)	(2%)	(50)	
Inflammation, supportive	2	(4%)	1	\4 N)		
Abscess, NOS	ĩ	(2%)				
Necrosis, NOS	2	(4%)				
Pigmentation, NOS			1	(2%)		
Erythrophagocytosis			2	(4%)		
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	12	(24%)	16	(32%)	8	(16%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)	5	(10%)	6	(12%)

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High D	DSC
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)				<u></u>		
#Mediastinal lymph node	(50)	i	(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS					1	(2%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	4	(8%)	3	(6%)
Pigmentation, NOS	7	(14%)	4	(8%)	7	(14%)
Erythrophagocytosis	4	(8%)	5	(10%)	6	(12%)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid					1	(2%)
#Hepatic lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)	(0~)
Hemorrhage			(7 0)		1	(2%)
#Pancreatic lymph node	(50)	(07)	(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Necrosis, NUS	1	(2%)	-	(100)		
Humanalagia, ratioulum call	ა	(0%)	ə 1	(10%)		
Hyperplasia, reticulum cen Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)		
#Masontoria lymph pada	(50)		(50)	(4970)	(50)	
Hemorrhage	(50)	(2%)	(50)		(00)	
Negrocia NOS	2	(270)				
Pigmentation NOS	16	(39%)	4	(896)	2	(4%)
Hunarnlasia nlasma coll	10	(02.10)		(3%)	2	(470)
Hyperplasia, plasma cen Hyperplasia, ratioulum cell			Ĩ	(270)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, leuculum cen Hyperplasia, lymphoid			3	(696)	4	(896)
#Renal lymph node	(50)		(50)	(0,2)	(50)	(0,0)
Hemorrhege	(00)		(00)		(00)	(296)
Necrosis NOS	1	(2%)			-	(2,0)
Pigmentation NOS	3	(6%)	1	(296)	1	(296)
Hemosiderosis	Ŭ	(0,0)	•	(2,0)	ĩ	(2%)
Erythrophagocytosis					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)		
#Brachial lymph node	(50)		(50)	(,	(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
#Lung	(50)	. ,	(50)		(50)	
Leukocytosis, NOS	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	26	(52%)	28	(56%)	18	(36%)
#Hepatic sinusoid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Leukocytosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Kidney	(50)	(- · · ·)	(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	8	(16%)	19	(38%)	15	(30%)
#Thymus	(41)		(39)		(36)	
Abscess, NOS					1	(3%)
#Thymic lymphocytes	(41)		(39)		(36)	
Necrosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	·					
#Mandibular lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphangiectasis	10	(20%)	16	(32%)	10	(20%)
#Mediastinal lymph node	(50)	•	(50)		(50)	
Lymphangiectasis			3	(6%)		
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphangiectasis	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	6	(12%)
#Renal lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphangiectasis					1	(2%)
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombus, organized	1	(2%)				
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	(1.0.0)
Myxomatosis, cardiac valve	8	(16%)	13	(26%)	8	(16%)
Inflammation, chronic	-	(4.01)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Fibrosis	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	2	(4170)

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contr	ol	Low Do	996	High Do	Dse
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (Continued)						· · · · · · · ·
#Heart/atrium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombus, organized	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	4	(8%)
Thrombus, canalized	1	(2%)				
Thrombus, fibrin	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Fibrosis			1	(2%)		
#Right atrium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombus, fibrin	(5.0)		1	(2%)	(50)	
#Left ventricle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombus, fibrin	(50)		(50)		1	(2%)
#Myocarcium	(50)	(971)	(50)		(50)	
Initammation, chronic	1	(2%) (CAC)	40	(0.400.)	20	(600)
*Honotic entern	34	(04%)	42	(84%)	30	(00%)
Thrombus fibrin	(50)		(50)		(00)	(296)
Inflammation chronic					1	(2%)
*Sup panc-duod artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2,0)
Inflammation chronic	2	(4%)	(00)		(00)	
*Mesenteric artery	(50)	(4,0)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
#Liver	(50)	(2,2)	(50)		(50)	
Thrombus, organized	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
#Adrenal medulla	(50)	(=)	(50)		(50)	
Thrombus, organized	1	(2%)	((
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	<u></u>			<u> </u>		
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hernia, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Congestion, NOS					1	(2%)
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, suppurative		(0~)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(2%)				(0.0)
Inflammation, granulomatous		(0)		(0.07)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, granulomatous focal	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(00)
Fibrosis, focal	10	(0.07)	10	(000)	1	(2%)
Unolangiolibrosis	12	(24%)	18	(30%)	15	(30%)
Degeneration custic	12	(24%)	30	(10%)	15	(30%)
Negronia facel	10	(20%)	32	(04%)	51	(0270)
Necrosis congulative	3	(470)	4	(8%)	1	(2%)
Infarct, NOS	Ū	(0,0)	-	(0,0)	1	(2%)
Metamorphosis, fatty	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Focal cellular change	15	(30%)	32	(64%)	20	(40%)
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, NOS	2	(4%)			2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, focal			4	(8%)	1	(2%)
Angiectasis	4	(8%)	3	(6%)	4	(8%)
#Liver/centrilobular	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Metamorphosis, fatty	1	(2%)				
#Liver/periportal	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	4	(8%)				
#Bile duct	(50)		(50)	(2.2)	(50)	(0~)
Cyst, NOS	~ -	(00 7)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	31	(62%)	42	(84%)	41	(82%)
#rancreas	(49)		(50)		(00)	(9%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(996)			1	(270)
minia minia civit, chi unit iutai	1					

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High D	Dse
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)					······	
#Pancreatic acinus	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Atrophy, NOS	8	(16%)	7	(14%)	1	(2%)
Atrophy, focal			2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, focal			4	(8%)	4	(8%)
#Esophagus	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Hyperkeratosis			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Gastric mucosa	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Ulcer, perforated					1	(2%)
Acanthosis	(50)		1	(2%)	(50)	
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(50)	(0.01)	(50)	
Ulcer, NUS	9	(496)	1	(2%)	1	(90)
Ulcer abrania	4	(4170)	1	(90)	1	(470)
Necrosig NOS	1	(270)	1	(2%)		
Necrosis focel	5	(10%)	1	(8%)	1	(2%)
#Gastric submucose	(50)		(50)	(0,0)	(50)	(
Edema, NOS	9	(4%)	9	(4%)	1	(2%)
Hemorrhage	-	(4,0)	2	(4%)	•	(1,0)
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(2%)		
Fibrosis	1	(2%)	-	(=,		
#Forestomach	(50)	(=); /	(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	(,		(/		1	(2%)
Ulcer, NOŠ			3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative			2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Ulcer, acute	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
Ulcer, chronic	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
Ulcer, perforated			1	(2%)		
Hyperkeratosis					1	(2%)
Acanthosis	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
#Colon	(49)		(50)		(50)	(0.00)
Parasitism	(3	(6%)	3	(6%)
#Cecum	(49)	(0.07)	(50)		(50)	
Ldema, NUS	1	(2%)				(00)
	1	(90)			4	(8%)
Amulaid NOS	1	(2%)	1	(90)		
			1	(270)		
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)	(0.0)	(50)		(50)	(00)
Uast, NOS	3	(6%)	-	(00)	1	(2%)
Cyst, NOS	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Congestion, NOS	Z	(4%)			Z	(4%)
Initammation, chronic	0 25	(12%)	40	(000)	0 20	(12%)
Nephropatny Nephrosia NOS	30 1	(10%)	40	(80%)	32	(04%)
Inforct couto	1	(270)	1	(270)		
Pigmentation NOS	1	(996)	1	(270)	9	(4%)
#Kidnev/tubule	(50)	12.00)	(50)		(50)	(= //)
Dilatation, NOS	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
Cast, NOS	5	(10%)	3	(6%)	4	(8%)
Degeneration, hyaline	ĩ	(2%)	-		_	
Pigmentation, NOS	11	(22%)	6	(12%)	10	(20%)
Regeneration, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Urinary bladder	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
and the Theorem is the second s					-	

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High D	Dse
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Pituitary	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	2	(4%)				
Hypertrophy, focal	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)				
Angiectasis			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
#Pituitary intermedia	(50)		(50)	(0.01)	(50)	
Cyst, NOS	(50)		1	(2%)	(50)	
#Anterior pituitary	(50)	(00)	(50)	(497)	(50)	(00)
Cyst, NOS Biburgio Scol	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Fibrosis, local					1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS			0	(69)	1	(2%)
Hypertrophy, local	0	(40)	3	(0%)	1	(270)
hyperplasia, local	2	(4.%)	1	(90)	4	(0%)
Anglectasis #Adrenal	(50)	(270)	(50)	(270)	(50)	(270)
#Adrenal	(50)	(901)	(50)		(50)	
#Advanal/consulo	(50)	(270)	(50)		(50)	
Fibrosis focal	(00)		(00)	(296)	(00)	
# Adronal cortox	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Degeneration cystic	(00)		1	(296)	(00)	
Necrosis NOS	1	(2%)	-	(2,0)		
Metamorphosis, fatty	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Hypertrophy, focal	ĩ	(2%)	1	(2%)	-	(=);;
Hyperplasia, focal	4	(8%)	ī	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)	(0,0)	(50)	(=)	(50)	(
Mineralization	1	(2%)	(00)			
Hyperplasia, focal	2	(4%)			6	(12%)
#Thyroid	(50)	(1))	(50)		(50)	()
Cystic follicles	()		(2)		1	(2%)
Follicular cyst. NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, C-cell	8	(16%)	4	(8%)	5	(10%)
#Parathyroid	(48)	,	(49)		(48)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	19	(40%)	26	(53%)	15	(31%)
Hyperplasia, focal			1	(2%)		
#Pancreatic islets	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, focal					1	(2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Galactocele	1	(2%)	4	(8%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, granulomatous focal					1	(2%)
Fibrosis, focal			1	(2%)		
*Preputial gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation/ducts			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Cystic ducts			1	(2%)		(0~)
Inflammation, chronic			1	(00)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, granulomatous			1	(2%)	1	(90)
Fibrosis #Decide to	(40)		(50)		(50)	(270)
#rrostate	(49)	(40)	(50)		(50)	
Uyst, NUD Edoma, NOS	2	(470)	1	(296)		
Laema, NOO Inflormation surroutive	16	(3306)	1 Q	(1696)	٥	(18%)
Absees NOS	10	(0070)	0	(10%)	3 1	(296)
Auscess, AUG Inflammation acuta/abrania	15	(3196)	ĸ	(10%)	10	(20%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic	10	(01 //)	5	(296)	10	(20,0)
Hypernlasia enithelial	1	(2%)	-	(- /0)	1	(2%)
J Pos Pranta, optimizitat	-	·-···			-	

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THETWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High Dose		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)			<u></u>		<u></u>) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%) (2%)	
*Seminal vesicle	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Inflammation, NOS			1	(2%)			
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)			1	(2%)	
Abscess, NOS			1	(2%)			
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)			
Hyperplasia, epithelial	1	(2%)					
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)					
#Testis	(49)		(50)		(50)		
Degeneration, NOS			1	(2%)	2	(4%)	
Aspermatogenesis	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	
Hypospermatogenesis					1	(2%)	
Hyperplasia, interstitial cell	4	(8%)	10	(20%)	8	(16%)	
#Testis/tubule	(49)		(50)	()	(50)	(10.0)	
Granuloma spermetic	(-0/		(00)		(00)	(2%)	
Degeneration NOS	33	(67%)	91	(1996)	14	(28%)	
Asnermatogenesia	00 17	(35%)	41 19	(9496)	14	(1494)	
* Epididumia	1 ((EO)	(0070)	12	(4470)	(KO)	(14/0)	
Edema interstitic!	(00)	(10)	(00)	(494)	(00)	(90)	
Staatitie	Z 1	(4270) (904)	Z	(4170)	4	(070)	
Juanuus Inflammation chronic	1	(270)				(90)	
Granulama anormatic		(90)		(90)	1	(270)	
Granuoma, spermatic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
	Z	(4%)		(0~)	1	(2%)	
Fibrosis, diffuse	3	(6%)	1	(2%)		(0.0)	
INECTOSIS, IAL	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
NERVOUS SYSTEM							
#Cerebral ventricle	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Dilatation, NOS					1	(2%)	
#Cerebrum	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)			
Necrosis, focal			1	(2%)			
Psammoma bodies					2	(4%)	
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	(,	
Hemorrhage	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	(2-7)		
Gliosis	ĩ	(2%)	-	(=,			
#Cerebellum	(50)	(2.0)	(50)		(50)		
Necrosis, focal	(00)		1	(2%)	(00)		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS	······································						
*Rvo	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Catarant	(00)	(94)	(00)		(00)		
*Fue/retine	(50)	(270)	(50)		(50)		
Degeneration NOS	(00)	(196)	(00)	(196)	(00)	(10%)	
*Eve/crystalling long	(50)	(470)	(50)	(4970)	(50)	(10%)	
Suposhie enterior	(50)		(00)	(90)	(50)		
Cataract	3	(6%)	5	(10%)	5	(10%)	
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None							
BODY CAVITIES				<u> </u>			
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Staatitio	(00)		(50)	(996)	(00)		
*Enicardium	(EA)		(EO)	(270)	(60)		
Inflammation obtaining face)	(00)		(50)		(00)	(296)	
milammacion, cnronic local	120		/FON		1	(470)	
Niesentery	(50)		(50)	(40)	(00)		
INECTORIS INL				a set terms to			

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Control	Low Dose	High Dose
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS		<u></u>	
*Multiple organs	(50)	(50)	(50)
Congestion, NOS		1 (2%)	
Hemorrhage		1 (2%)	
Inflammation, suppurative		• •	1 (2%)
Inflammation, chronic	1 (2%)		
Diaphragm			
Inflammation, pyogranulomatous			1
Degeneration, NOS			1
Adipose tissue			
Fibrosis	2		
Fibrosis 	2		<u></u>

TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THETWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High De)se
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50				50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	7 50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)	((50)		(50)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst	2	(4%) (29%)				
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	(2.10)	(50)		(50)	
Edema, NOS	(00)		1	(2%)		
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
#Lung/bronchiole	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(2%)	(=	
#Lung	(50)	(90)	(49)		(50)	
Congestion NOS	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Edema, NOS	1	(2.10)	U	(0,0)	3	(6%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Pneumonia, aspiration	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(2%)		
Pneumonia, chronic murine	1	(2%)		(0~)	-	(100)
Inflammation, chronic	2	(4%) (2%)	1	(2%)	0 9	(10%)
Alveolar macronhages	3	(270)	1	(270)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium	Ŭ	(0,0)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
#Lung/alveoli	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative Pigmentation, NOS			1 1	(2%) (2%)		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM		· <u></u>		<u> </u>		<u></u>
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)		
#Bone marrow	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Myelofibrosis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		(00)
Hyperplasia, hematopoletic	1	(90)			1	(2%)
#Snleen	(50)	(270)	(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	(00)		1	(2%)		
Fibrosis, focal			1	(2%)		
Necrosis, focal			1	(2%)		(9/1)
Interct, acute Hemosiderosis			1	(996)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, hematopoietic			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)				
Hematopoiesis	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
#Spienic capsule	(50)		(49)	(90)	(50)	
#Lymph node	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
#Mandibular lymph node	(50)	()	(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)				
Edema, peripheral		(90)		(901)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, NOS	1	(470)	1 1	(2%)		
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)	5	(10%)	4	(8%)
Erythrophagocytosis	ĩ	(2%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
Hyperplasia, plasma cell	6	(12%)	4	(8%)	8	(16%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	1	(2%)				

TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control		Low Do)se	High D	Jose	
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	- <u></u>	<u> </u>					
#Mandibular lymph node (Continued)	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	5	(10%)	
#Mediastinal lymph node	(50)	,	(50)		(50)		
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	1	(2%)			
Fibrosis	1	(2%)					
Necrosis, NOS			1	(2%)			
Pigmentation, NOS	3	(6%)	9	(18%)	11	(22%)	
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)					
Erythrophagocytosis	1	(2%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	1	(2%)					
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)					
#Pancreatic lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)			
Inflammation, granulomatous			1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
Pigmentation, NOS			2	(4%)	2	(4%)	
Erythrophagocytosis	1	(2%)			1	(2%)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)			2	(4%)	
Hematopoiesis					1	(2%)	
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	
Inflammation, granulomatous	_				1	(2%)	
Pigmentation, NOS	5	(10%)	1	(2%)			
Hyperplasia, plasma cell			1	(2%)			
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)	
#Renal lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)					
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)					
#Lung	(50)		(49)		(50)		
Leukocytosis, NOS	2	(4%)					
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	26	(52%)	26	(53%)	20	(40%)	
#Lung/alveoli	(50)		(49)		(50)		
Leukocytosis, NOS					1	(2%)	
#Hepatic sinusoid	(50)	(0	(49)		(50)	(0.7)	
Leukocytosis, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)	
#Kidney	(50)	(0~~)	(49)	(0.4)	(50)	(07)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	4	(8%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
#Thymus	(43)		(46)	(0~)	(33)		
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)			
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM							
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Lymphangiectasis			1	(2%)			
#Mandibular lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Lymphangiectasis	6	(12%)	7	(14%)	17	(34%)	
#Mediastinal lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Lymphangiectasis	1	(2%)			(= 0)		
#Pancreatic lymph node	(50)		(50)	(0~)	(50)	(10)	
Lymphangiectasis	(1	(2%)	2	(4%)	
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)		(50)		(50)	(0~)	
Lymphangiectasis	2	(4%)	(50)			(2%)	
#Heart	(50)		(50)	(0.0)	(50)	(0~)	
Myxomatosis, cardiac valve	7	(14%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
Thrombus, fibrin					1	(2%)	
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)	
#Heart/atrium	(50)		(50)	(0))	(50)		
Thrombus, organized		(90)	1	(2%)			
Thrombus, fibrin	1	(2%)	4	(90)	•	(90)	
miammation, cnronic	(EA)			(270)	1 (50)	(470)	
# Myocardium Fibrosia	(00)	(590)	(00)	(50%)	(00)	(2496)	
r idrosis	29	(00%)	25	(30%)	12	(4470)	

TABLE C2.SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN
THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

•

	Contr	Control Low Dose		High Dose		
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (Continued)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u></u>
*Aortic arch	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, granulomatous	í	(2%)	(
*Mesenteric artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
Necrosis, fibrinoid	1	(2%)				
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Lymphangiectasis					1	(2%)
Thrombosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
Thrombus, organized			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Hepatic capsule	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Uterus	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Lymphangiectasis			1	(2%)		
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM				<u> </u>		
*Lip	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematoma, NOS	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
#Salivary gland	(49)	(= ///	(50)		(50)	
Edema, NOS	()		(00)		1	(2%)
Edema, interstitial					ī	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(50)	(= 10)
Hernia, NOS	3	(6%)	4	(8%)	4	(8%)
Congestion, NOS	-	()	3	(6%)		(2.17)
Hemorrhage			4	(8%)		
Inflammation, NOS			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic			2	(4%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal					1	(2%)
Inflammation, granulomatous	9	(18%)	20	(41%)	19	(38%)
Granuloma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, granulomatous focal	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Cholangiofibrosis	2	(4%)	5	(10%)	3	(6%)
Hepatitis, toxic	9	(18%)	4	(8%)	9	(18%)
Degeneration, cystic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, coagulative	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Metamorphosis, fatty	3	(6%)	5	(10%)		
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	8	(16%)
Focal cellular change	30	(60%)	23	(47%)	28	(56%)
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	•	(00)	1	(2%)		
Anglectasis	3	(6%)	(40)		150	
#Liver/periportal	(50)	(190)	(49)		(50)	(19)
Inflammation, chronic	6	(12%)	(40)		2 (FO)	(4%)
# Dile duct	(50)	(90)	(49)		(50)	(99)
Uyst, NUD Humanalasia NOC	1	(2%)	4.77	()=0)	1	(470)
Hanaraa	3	(0%)	17	(30%)	40	(00%)
Trailureas	(49)	(2%)	(49)		(00)	(996)
Hyperplacia nodular	1	(470)	1	(296)	1	(2%)
#Pancroatic acinus	(40)		1 (AQ)	(2.10)	(50)	(20)
Atrophy NOS	(43)	(4%)	(43)		(00)	
Atrophy, focal	3	(6%)			9	(4%)
Hyperplasia, focal	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	ĩ	(2%)
#Pancreas/interstitial tissue	(49)	/	(49)		(50)	/
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
#Esophagus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)	(23)			
Hyperkeratosis	2	(4%)				
#Stomach	(50)		(49)		(50)	
	/		. = 5 7			

TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contr	ol	Low Dose		High De	ose
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Gastric mucosa	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Ulcer, NOS	1	(2%)	,			
Necrosis, focal					1	(2%)
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Ulcer, acute	2	(4%)				
Ulcer, chronic	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Necrosis, NOS					1	(2%)
Necrosis, focal	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
#Gastric submucosa	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Distention	2	(4%)				
Edema, NOS			1	(2%)		
Fibrosis			1	(2%)		
#Forestomach	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Ulcer, NOS	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, suppurative	2	(4%)				
Ulcer, acute	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute/chronic			1	(2%)		
Ulcer, chronic	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperkeratosis	1	(2%)				
Acanthosis	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
#Colon	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Parasitism	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
#Colonic submucosa	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Edema, NOS					2	(4%)
#Cecum	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Edema, NOS	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Necrosis, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Calculus, microscopic examination			12	(24%)	1	(2%)
Cyst, NOS			2	(4%)		
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)	4	(8%)		
Inflammation, chronic	3	(6%)				
Nephropathy	24	(48%)	5	(10%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial			1	(2%)		
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Cast, NOS	3	(6%)	/			
Pigmentation, NOS	15	(30%)	6	(12%)	6	(12%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
#Pituitary	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)				
Angiectasis	2	(4%)			1	(2%)
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	4	(8%)	8	(16%)	5	(10%)
Hemorrhagic cyst	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, focal	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Angiectasis	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Adrenal	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Degeneration, cystic					1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS			1	(2%)		
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	2	(4%)				

TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High D	ose
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)				·····		, <u>1897 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 19</u>
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Metamorphosis, fatty	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization					1	(2%)
Hypertrophy, focal	2	(4%)			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Angiectasis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Necrosis, NOS					1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS		_			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)	3	(6%)		
Angiectasis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Follicular cyst, NOS	1	(2%)			_	
Hyperplasia, C-cell	14	(28%)	9	(18%)	6	(12%)
#Parathyrold	(45)	(000)	(47)	(1	(47)	(4.00)
Hyperplasia, NOS	15	(33%)	8	(17%)	2	(4%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation/ducts	2	(4%)				
Galactocele	21	(42%)	6	(12%)	5	(10%)
Inflammation, chronic	9	(18%)				
Hyperplasia, NOS					2	(4%)
*Clitoral gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Dilatation/ducts	3	(6%)	2	(4%)		
Inflammation, suppurative	3	(6%)				
Abscess, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, granulomatous focal			1	(2%)		
#Uterus	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hydrometra			5	(10%)	5	(10%)
Cyst, NOS					1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute/chronic					1	(2%)
#Cervix uteri	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)				
Epidermal inclusion cyst			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)				
Amyloid, NOS					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	1	(2%)				
Hyperkeratosis	1	(2%)			(2.2)	
#Uterus/endometrium	(50)	(10%)	(49)	(100)	(50)	(000)
Cyst, NUS	5	(10%)	8	(16%)	11	(22%)
Edema, NUS					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(00)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, local			1	(2%)		
#Utorus/myomotrium	(50)		(40)	(270)	(50)	
Edoma NOS	(50)		(45)		(00)	(296)
#Ovarv	(50)		(49)		(50)	(2,0)
Cyst. NOS	(00)		1	(2%)	(00)	
Parovarian cyst	2	(4%)	7	(14%)	5	(10%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Subdural apage	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hamorrhage	(00)	(99)	(00)		(00)	
#Corobrol vontricle	۱ (۳۵۱)	(470)	(50)		(50)	
Dilatation NOS	(50)		(00)	(4%)	(00)	
#Lateral ventricle	(50)		(50)	(*/0)	(50)	
Dilatation, NOS			(00)		1	(2%)

TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contr	ol	Low Dose		High Dose	
NERVOUS SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Gliosis	1	(2%)				
Pigmentation, NOS					1	(2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				* <u></u>		
*Eye/cornea	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	()		1	(2%)		
*Eye/retina	(50)		(50)	((50)	
Degeneration, NOS	3	(6%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)
*Eye/crystalline lens	(50)	、 ,	(50)	(,	(50)	
Synechia, anterior	,		1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Cataract	6	(12%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Skull	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperostosis					2	(4%)
*Sternum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperostosis	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	5	(10%)
BODY CAVITIES						
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	2	(4%)	(,		(
Necrosis, fat	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS	·· ··· ·····	******				
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)				
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)				
Adipose tissue	-					
Hemorrhage	1					
Inflammation, acute/chronic	_		1			
Inflammation, chronic	4		2		1	
Inflammation, chronic focal	-		1		-	
Fibrosis	1		4			
	Ā		6		1	

TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

APPENDIX D

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Contr	ol	Low Do	ose	High D	ose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				·		
*Skin	(50)	(0.21)	(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)				(00)
Cyst, NOS Inflammation, acuta					1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(94)	1	(90)	1	(270)
Abcorg NOS	2	(270)	1	(270)		
Inflammation chronic	4	(4,0)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic focal			1	(270)	1	(2%)
Fibrosis	1	(2%)			•	(270)
Fibrosis, focal	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Necrosis, NOS	-	(=)	ī	(2%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	-	(=,
Hypertrophy, NOS		x ,	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, focal			1	(2%)		
Hyperkeratosis	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Acanthosis	3	(6%)			1	(2%)
Metaplasia, osseous			1	(2%)		
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Abscess, NOS	4	(8%)				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung/bronchiole	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal					1	(2%)
#Lung	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)	6	(12%)	2	(4%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	8	(16%)	4	(8%)	2	(4%)
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)				(901)
Hyperplasia, alveolar epitnellum	(50)	(4%)	(40)		(50)	(8%)
#Lung/alveon Histiocytosis	(50)	(6%)	(49)		(50)	
#Brain	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Leukocytosis NOS	(00)		(+3)		1	(2%)
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2.0)
Leukocytosis, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	(/	
#Bone marrow	(49)		(47)	• • • •	(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS			3	(6%)		
Hyperplasia, hematopoietic			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Myelopoiesis					3	(6%)
#Spleen	(50)		(49)		(50)	(0)
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS	-	(0.27)	1	(2%)		
Angiectasis	1	(2%)	-	(00)	-	(00)
Leukemoid reaction	~	(00)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
nyperplasia, lympnoid	3	(10%)	3	(0%) (2001)	1	(2%)
riematopolesis Mustangiagia	12	(24%)	19	(39%) (497)	13	(20%)
Myelopolesis #Selenie fellielen	(FA)		2	(4%)		
#opienic Iollicles	(00)		(49)	(90)	(00)	
Atrophy, NOS #Submandibular lymph nada	(40)		1 (47)	(470)	(50)	
Myelopoiesis	(** <i>7)</i>		(41)		1	(2%)

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Contr	ol	Low Dose		High Dose	
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Tracheal lymph node	(49)		(47)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid					1	(2%)
#Mediastinal lymph node	(49)		(47)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid					1	(2%)
#Abdominal lymph node	(49)		(47)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute					1	(2%)
Fibrosis	(10)		(1	(2%)
#Hepatic lymph node	(49)	(00)	(47)	(07)	(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(49)	(2%)	(477)	(2%)	(50)	
#rancreatic lymph hode	(49)	(994)	(47)		(50)	
Hyperplasia lymphoid	1	(2%)			1	(296)
#Mesenteric lymph node	(49)		(47)		(50)	(270)
Congestion, NOS	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	(
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
Hemorrhagic cyst	1	(2%)				
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)				
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Angiectasis	2	(4%)				
Erythrophagocytosis	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	5	(10%)
Hematopoiesis					2	(4%)
#Renal lymph node	(49)		(47)	(07)	(50)	
Hyperplasia, focal			ł	(2%)	1	(90)
#Uiaa lumph pada	(40)		(47)		(50)	(270)
Hemorrhago	(43)	(296)	(47)		(30)	
Hyperplasia lymphoid	1	(270)	3	(696)	9	(496)
Hematopoieris	-		0	(0,20)	1	(2.96)
# A villery lymph node	(49)		(47)		(50)	(4,0)
Pigmentation NOS	1	(2%)	(41)		(00)	
Erythronhagocytosis	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	ī	(2%)				
#Inguinal lymph node	(49)		(47)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)				
#Lung	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Leukocytosis, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(20)		2	(4%)		
#Liver	(50)		(49)	(0.0)	(50)	(0.01)
Leukocytosis, NOS		(00)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hematopolesis	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Myelopolesis #Dever's potch	(50)		1	(470)	(50)	
#reyerspace Hyperplasic lymphoid	(00)		(4/)	(196)	(00)	
Hyperplasia, lympnold #Kidney	(50)		Z (AQ)	(+170)	(50)	
# Mulley	(00)		(48 <i>3)</i> 1	(296)	(00)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	14	(28%)	r F	(12%)	10	(20%)
*Epididymis	(50)	(40.00)	(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
Hematopoiesis	-				1	(2%)
#Thymus	(23)		(27)		(29)	
Cyst, NOS					1	(3%)
RCULATORY SYSTEM						<u>.</u>
*Orbital region	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombogia NOS	1	(2%)				

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (Continued) (50) (49) (50) Inflammation, actor focal Degeneration, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic focal Degeneration, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Victor 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Thrombosis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Thrombosis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Thrombosis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Merrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hernis, NOS 2 (4%) (50) (50) Hernis, NOS 2 (4%) (50) (50) Hernis, NOS 2 (4%) (2%) (6%) Hernis, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Hernis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hernis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%)		Contr	ol	Low Do	95 C	High D	DSe
	CIRCULATORY SYSTEM (Continued)					······	<u> </u>
Inflammation, excute focal 1 </th <th>#Myocardium</th> <th>(50)</th> <th></th> <th>(49)</th> <th></th> <th>(50)</th> <th></th>	#Myocardium	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic focal 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Degeneration, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) *Coronary artery (50) (50) (50) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Thrombosis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Liver (50) (49) (50) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hementona, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Abscess, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pribrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metrosis, coagulative 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pribrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metamotiposis, fatty 1	Inflammation, acute focal			1	(2%)		
Degeneration, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) *Coronary artery (50) (50) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) *Liver (50) (49) Thrombosis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) DIGESTIVE SYSTEM * #Salivary glad (49) (46) Inflammation, acute 1 (2%) Inflammation, contic 1 (2%) Hernia, NOS 2 (4%) Congestion, NOS 1 (2%) Hemornhage 1 (2%) Hemornhage 1 (2%) Hemornhage 1 (2%) Pibrosis, focal 1 (2%) Protosis, focal 1 (2%) Protosis, focal 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) Protosis, focal 2 (4%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) Pigmentation, NOS	Inflammation, chronic focal	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Nercois, focal 1 (2%) (50) (50) *Coronary strery (50) (49) (50) *Liver (50) (49) (50) Thrombosis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) *Salivary gland (49) (46) (50) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hernia, NOS 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Congestion, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemotone, chronic 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Hemotone, Stocal 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Hemotone, Stocal 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Hemotone, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pibrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pibrosis, fact 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, cogulative 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pibrosis, fact 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pibrosis, fact 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, fa	Degeneration, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
"Coronary artery (50) (50) (50) (50) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) "Thrombosis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) DIGESTIVE SYSTEM ************************************	Necrosis, focal			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) (49) (50) Thrombosis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) DIGESTIVE SYSTEM 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) DIGESTIVE SYSTEM 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Liver (50) (49) (50) (6%) 1 (2%) Hemotonia, Oxos 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemotonia, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pibrosia, Roal 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosia, fact 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosia, fact 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosia, fatt 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1	Coronary artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
#Liver (50) (49) (00) Thrombosis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) DIGESTIVE SYSTEM #Salivary gland (49) (46) (50) Inflammation, acute 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Harnia, NOS 2 (4%) (49) (50) Hernia, NOS 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Himation, chronic 1 (2%)	Inflammation, chronic		(2%)	(10)		(50)	
Intronuous, NOS I (2%) I (2%) I (2%) DIGESTIVE SYSTEM #Saiivary gland (49) (46) (50) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Mernia, NOS 2 (4%) (6%) (6%) Congestion, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorchage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Hemorchage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Pibrosis, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 10 (20%) Necrosis, RoCl 1 (2%) 10 (20%) 10 (20%) Necrosis, Rocal 2 (4%) 11 (2%) 10 (20%) Necrosis, Rocal 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 10 (20%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 2 (4%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pibrosis, coagulative 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pigmentation,	#Liver Thrombosic NOS	(50)	(94)	(49)	(90)	(50)	(90)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM #Salivary gland (49) (46) (50) Inflammation, chronic I (2%) Inflammation, chronic I (2%) Hematons, focal I (2%) Hernia, NOS (50) (49) (50) Hernia, NOS (1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic I (2%) Hematoma, NOS (1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic I (2%) II (2%)		1	(270)		(270)	1	(2%)
#Salivary gland (49) (46) (50) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) #Liver (50) (49) (50) Hernia, NOS 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Hemotrhage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Hemotrhage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Homotrhage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Abscess, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1	DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
Inflammation, acute 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) #Liver (50) (49) Congestion, NOS 1 (2%) Hemotrhage 1 (2%) Hemotrhage 1 (2%) Hemotrhage 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) Fibrosis, NOS 1 (2%) Pibrosis, focal 2 (4%) Necrosis, NOS 1 (2%) Necrosis, ROS 1 (2%) Necrosis, ROS 1 (2%) Necrosis, ROS 1 (2%) Necrosis, Coagulative 1 (2%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Hepstcytromegaly 2 (4%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) Fluerecternitiobular (50) Necrosis, diffuse 2 (4%) Pigmentation, NOS 1 (2%) #Liverecternitiobular (50) Nocorosis, diffuse 1 (2%) Themotry, focal 1 (2%) Hemotry, focal 1 (2%) <	#Salivary gland	(49)		(46)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) #Liver (50) (49) Hernia, NOS 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Abscess, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Pibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pibrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 10 (20%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) 11 (2%) 10 (20%) Necrosis, coagulative 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) 4 (6%) 3 (6%) Pigmentation, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)		
Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) #Liver (50) (49) (50) Hernia, NOS 2 (4%) (50) Congestion, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Hemorthage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Hemorthage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Hemorthage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) 11 (2%) 10 (20%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hepatocytomegaly 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)
#Liver (50) (49) (50) Hernia, NOS 2 (4%) (50) Congestion, NOS 1 (2%) 3 (6%) Hematoma, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Hematoma, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Abscess, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Inflarmation, chronic 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, fact 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 3 (6%) Pigmentation, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Pigmentation, NOS 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metacost, diffuse 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metacost, diffuse 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metacost, diffuse 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Dilatetion, NOS 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Dilatetion, NOS 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	Necrosis, focal			1	(2%)		
Hernin, NUS 2 (4%) Congestion, NOS 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) Hemotrhage 1 (2%) Abscess, NOS 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Forcosis, NOS 1 (2%) Necrosis, Coagulative 1 (2%) Infarmothange 3 (6%) Necrosis, Coagulative 1 (2%) Infarct, NOS 2 (4%) Figmentation, NOS 2 (4%) Focal cellular change 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Hepatocytomegaly 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Viewer/centrilobular (50) (49) (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Cyst, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	#Liver	(50)	((49)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Hematoma, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Abscess, NOS 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, RoB 1 (2%) 10 (20%) Necrosis, coagulative 1 (2%) 10 (20%) Necrosis, coagulative 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 3 (6%) Pigmentation, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 3 (6%) Focal cellular change 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Hepatocytomegaly 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Plibe duct (50) (49) (50) 1 (2%) Very total 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Plibe duct (50) (49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Objectasis, AOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) <td< td=""><td>Hernia, NOS</td><td>2</td><td>(4%)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Hernia, NOS	2	(4%)				
Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Hemstoma, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Abscess, NOS 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis, focal 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 10 (20%) Necrosis, coagulative 1 (2%) 10 (20%) 10 (20%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angiectasis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, diffuse 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, diffuse 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatt (50) (49) (50) 1 (2%) Pile duct (50) (47)	Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)		(40)	0	(0.22)
nemations, NOS 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Fibrosis, focal 1 (2%) Necrosis, NOS 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) Infarmation, chronic 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) Infart, NOS 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Focal cellular change 3 (6%) Focal cellular change 3 (6%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) #Liver/centrilobular (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) #Liver/centrilobular (50) NoS 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) Tiffammation, chronic 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 1 (2%) Hemorrhage (50) (48) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Metaronyn, NOS 1 (2%) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) Metaronic, chronic 1 (2%) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%)	Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Necrosis, NOS 1 (2%) Necrosis, coagulative 1 (2%) Inflarent, NOS 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Hepatocytomegaly 2 (4%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) #Liver/centrilobular (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) #Bile dott (50) Dilatation, NOS 2 (4%) Cyst, NOS 1 (2%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) Hyperplesia, NOS 1 (2%) Bilatation/ducts 1 (2%) Hemorthage 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%)	Abassa NOS					1	(2%) (AQ)
Fibrosis 1 (2%) Fibrosis, focal 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) Necrosis, coagulative 1 (2%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Focal cellular change 3 (6%) Agictasis 2 (4%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Angicetasis 2 (4%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Bile duct (50) (49) NoS 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 2 (4%) Plancreas (50) (47) Metamorphy, focal 1 (2%) Hemorphage 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) #Pancreaticacinus (50) (48)<	Inflammation chronic			1	(29)	4	(470)
Fibrosis, focal 1 (2%) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) Necrosis, coagulative 1 (2%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Pigmentation, NOS 2 (4%) Flocal cellular change 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Angicetasis 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angicetasis 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pocal cellular change 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Angicetasis 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angicetasis 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Politation, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Dilatation, chronic 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Betation/Aucts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) <td< td=""><td>Fibrosis</td><td></td><td></td><td>i</td><td>(2%)</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Fibrosis			i	(2%)		
Necrosis, NOS 1 (2%) (10) Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) 11 (2%) Necrosis, cosgulative 1 (2%) 10 (20%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 3 (6%) Pigmentation, NOS 2 (4%) 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Hepatocytomegaly 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angicetasis 2 (4%) (4%) (50) (49) (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (2%) #Bile duct (50) (49) (50) 1 (2%) Dilatation, NOS 2 (4%) 3 (6%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) (2%) Dilatation, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) (4%) Bilation/ducts 1	Fibrosis, focal			-	(=,=,	1	(2%)
Necrosis, focal 2 (4%) 11 (22%) 10 (20%) Necrosis, coagulative 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 3 (6%) Pigmentation, NOS 2 (4%) 5 (2%) Focal cellular change 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Hepatocytomegaly 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) 2 (4%) #Liver/centrilobular (50) (49) (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Bile duct (50) (49) (50) (49) Cyst, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 4 (2%) Bilation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Pancreasic acinus (50) (47) (49) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Necrosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
Necrosis, coagulative 1 (2%) Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Pigmentation, NOS 2 (4%) Focal cellular change 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Hepatocytomegaly 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) 2 (4%) #Liver/centrilobular (50) (49) (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Bile duct (50) (49) (50) Dilatation, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Lynopse, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Bilatation/ducts 1 (2%) 3 (6%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, Nocal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammati	Necrosis, focal	2	(4%)	11	(22%)	10	(20%)
Infarct, NOS 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) Pigmentation, NOS 2 (4%) Focal cellular change 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Hepatocytomegaly 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) 2 (4%) #Liver/centrilobular (50) (49) (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Bile duct (50) (49) (50) Dilatation, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Cyst, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 3 (6%) 3 (6%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Metamorphy, NoS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach 50)	Necrosis, coagulative			1	(2%)		
Metamorphosis, fatty 1 (2%) 4 (8%) 3 (6%) Pigmentation, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Focal cellular change 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Hepatocytomegaly 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) 2 (4%) #Liver/centrilobular (50) (49) (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Bile duct (50) (49) (50) Dilatation, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Cyst, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 3 (6%) 4 (2%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) 3 (6%) Hyperkeratosis 1 (2%) 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2	Infarct, NOS			1	(2%)		
Pigmentation, NOS 2 (4%) Focal cellular change 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Hepatocytomegaly 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) #Liver/centrilobular (50) (49) (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Bile duct (50) (49) (50) Dilatation, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Cyst, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 2 (4%) 3 (6%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (48) (49) 1 (2%) #Granchosis	Metamorphosis, fatty	1	(2%)	4	(8%)	3	(6%)
Focal cellular change 3 (6%) 4 (8%) 6 (12%) Hepatocytomegaly 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) #Liver/centrilobular (50) (49) (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Bile duct (50) (49) (50) Dilatation, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Cyst, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 3 (6%) 3 (6%) #Ectopia 1 (2%) 3 (6%) Pollatation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2	Pigmentation, NOS					2	(4%)
Hepatocytomegaly 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Angiectasis 2 (4%) #Liver/centrilobular (50) (49) (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) (50) #Bile duct (50) (49) (50) Dilatation, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Cyst, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 2 (4%) 3 (6%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Matrophy, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (48) (49) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Hyperkeratosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49)	Focal cellular change	3	(6%)	4	(8%)	6	(12%)
Angiectasis 2 (4%) #Liver/centrilobular (50) (49) (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Bile duct (50) (49) (50) Dilatation, NOS 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Cyst, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 3 (6%) 3 (6%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) 2 (4%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Moreosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Myperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Hepatocytomegaly			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Liver/centrilobular (50) (49) (50) Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) #Bile duct (50) (49) (50) Dilatation, NOS 1 (2%) Cyst, NOS 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 2 (4%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) 1 #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) (49) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Moderation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 2	Angiectasis	(50)				2	(4%)
Necrosis, diffuse 1 (2%) #Bile duct (50) (49) (50) Dilatation, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Cyst, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 3 (6%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) 3 (6%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) 2 (4%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Mcerosis, focal 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	#Liver/centrilobular	(50)		(49)		(50)	(0~)
#Bile duct (50) (49) (50) Dilatation, NOS 2 (4%) 1 (2%) Cyst, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 3 (6%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) 2 (4%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) </td <td>Necrosis, diffuse</td> <td>(50)</td> <td></td> <td>(40)</td> <td></td> <td>(50)</td> <td>(2%)</td>	Necrosis, diffuse	(50)		(40)		(50)	(2%)
Dilatation, NOS 1 (2%) Cyst, NOS 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, NOS 3 (6%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) 3 (6%) 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) Model at stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Mecrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Mecrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	# Bile duct Dilatation NOS	(50)		(49)		(50)	(9a)
Cyst, NOS 2 (4%) 2 (4%) Inflammation, chronic 3 (6%) Hyperplasia, NOS 3 (6%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Mecrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Cust NOS					1 9	(496)
Hyperplasia, NOS 2 (9%) 2 (9%) Hyperplasia, NOS 3 (6%) #Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Inflammation chronic			9	(496)	2	(4%)
#Pancreas (50) (47) (49) Ectopia 1 (2%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (47) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Vperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Hyperplasia, NOS			4	(4.0)	3	(6%)
Ectopia 1 (2%) Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) #Pacreatic acinus (50) (47) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%)	#Pancreas	(50)		(47)		(49)	(0,0)
Dilatation/ducts 1 (2%) Hemorrhage 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%)	Ectopia	(11)		1	(2%)		
Hemorrhage 1 (2%) Fibrosis 1 (2%) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Dilatation/ducts					1	(2%)
Fibrosis 1 (2%) Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Necrosis, fat 1 (2%) Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) 2 (4%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 2 (4%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Fibrosis					1	(2%)
Atrophy, focal 1 (2%) #Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Necrosis, fat	1	(2%)				
#Pancreatic acinus (50) (47) (49) Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) 2 (4%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Atrophy, focal	(70)		1	(2%)		
Atrophy, NOS 1 (2%) #Stomach (50) (48) (49) Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	#Pancreatic acinus	(50)		(47)		(49)	(90)
Inflammation, chronic focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) #Glandular stomach (50) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%)	Atrophy, NUS #Stomach	(50)		(49)		1 (AQ)	(470)
Hyperkeratosis 1 (2%) Hyperkeratosis 3 (6%) Acanthosis 1 (2%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%)	Inflammation, chronic focal	(50)	(2%)	(**0)		(43)	(2%)
Acanthosis 1 (2%) 2 (4%) #Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Hyperkeratosis	•	(1 / v /			3	(6%)
#Glandular stomach (50) (48) (49) Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Acanthosis	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Necrosis, focal 1 (2%) Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%)	#Glandular stomach	(50)	,	(48)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, focal 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	Necrosis, focal			(1	(2%)
	Hyperplasia, focal			1	(2%)	1	(2%)

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contr	ol	Low Do	ose	High D	ose
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)			<u></u>	- <u></u>	. <u></u>	
#Forestomach	(50)		(48)		(49)	
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(2%)				
Abscess, NOS					1	(2%)
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, focal					1	(2%)
Hyperkeratosis	3	(6%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)
Acanthosis	6	(12%)			1	(2%)
*Rectum	(50)		(50)	(0.4)	(50)	
Hematoma, NOS	(50)		1	(2%)	(50)	
*Anus	(50)		(50)	(0~)	(50)	
Acanthosis			1	(2%)		
JRINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)				
Hydronephrosis					3	(6%)
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)				
Pyelonephritis, acute					1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute					1	(2%)
Abscess, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	2	(4%)	14	(29%)	6	(12%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	16	(32%)			16	(32%)
Inflammation, chronic diffuse					1	(2%)
Fibrosis		(a a)			1	(2%)
Fibrosis, multifocal	1	(2%)				
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)	-		-	(0.0)
Infarct, NOS	-	(0.22)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Infarct, focal	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, tubular cell	1	(2%)				
#Kidney/cortex	(50)	((49)		(50)	
Uyst, NUS	2	(4%)				
#Kidney/tubule	(50)	(40)	(49)		(50)	
Mineralization	2	(4,%) (9,07)				1901
Udst, NUD Inflommation channing from	1	(270)			1	(2%)
Cutonlamia un avaliantian	2	(4,70) (600%)	~	(1406)	0	(10)
Oyuprasmic vacuolization Hyperplasia focel	30	(0070)	((1470)	Z 1	(4170) (904)
#Kidnow/nolvis	(50)		(40)		1 (50)	(470)
Traney/pervis	(00)	(9%)	(45)	(296)	(00)	
Inflammation chronic	1	(470)	1	(270)		
*IIreter	(50)		(50)	(4 101	(50)	
Dilatation NOS	(00)	(2%)	(50)		(00)	
#Uringry hladder	1 (AQ)	(210)	(48)		(50)	
Distention	1	(296)	(40)		(00)	
Congestion, NOS	1	~~ /0)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation suppurative			1	(2,0)	1	(296)
Inflammation, chronic			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal			1	(2%)	•	(_ / • /
Inflammation, chronic diffuse	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Fibrosis, diffuse	-		-		1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
*Urethra	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial					1	(2%)

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contro	bl	Low Do	se	High D	se	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
#Pituitary	(48)		(47)		(48)		
Congestion, NOS	、		1	(2%)	(- /		
#Anterior pituitary	(48)		(47)		(48)		
Hyperplasia, focal					1	(2%)	
#Adrenal	(49)		(47)		(49)		
Pigmentation, NOS	2	(4%)					
#Adrenal/capsule	(49)		(47)		(49)		
Hyperplasia, focal	11	(22%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	
#Adrenal cortex	(49)		(47)		(49)		
Degeneration, NOS	3	(6%)	1	(2%)			
Atrophy, NOS					1	(2%)	
Hypertrophy, focal	1	(2%)				(0~)	
Hyperplasia, focal	(10)				1	(2%)	
#Adrenal medulla	(49)		(47)	(901)	(49)	(90)	
Hypertrophy, NOS	1	(90)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
Hypertrophy, alluse	1	(2%)			4	(901)	
riyperplasia, local	(50)	(270)	(47)		(50)	(270)	
# Inyrolu Fucharianal seat	(00)	(90)	(47)		(00)	(10)	
Custic follicles	3	(696)			2	(470)	
Hyperplasis, follioular coll	J 9	(0,0)	1	(206)	1	(996)	
#Pancrastic islats	(50)	(470)	(47)	(270)	(49)	(2.0)	
Hyperplasia, focal	(00)	(2%)	(=1)		(43)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Ulcar NOS	(50)	(29)	(50)		(00)		
Inflammation chronic	1	(2%)					
Inflammation, chronic focal	*	(4,20)	1	(2%)			
Necrosis, diffuse			1	(2%)			
Acanthosis			1	(2%)			
*Prepuce	(50)		(50)	(_,,,,,	(50)		
Abscess, NOS	(00)		1	(2%)	(00)		
Inflammation, chronic			2	(4%)			
Necrosis, diffuse			1	(2%)			
Acanthosis			1	(2%)			
*Preputial gland	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Cystic ducts	3	(6%)	5	(10%)	3	(6%)	
Abscess, NOS	7	(14%)	4	(8%)	1	(2%)	
Inflammation, chronic	4	(8%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)		(0.0)	•	(40)	
Hyperkeratosis	5	(10%)	4	(8%)	2	(4%)	
Acanthosis		(2%)	(40)		(50)		
#Prostate	(49)		(49)		(50)	(901)	
Inflammation, suppurative			1	(296)	1	(470)	
Absees NOS			1	(470) (696)	9	(196)	
Inflammation estiva shrania			3	(070)	Z 1	(996)	
Inflammation chronic	1	(296)	1	(294)	1 9	(4%)	
Inflammation, chronic focal	1	(496)	1	(210)	2	(-= /0)	
Inflammation, chronic diffuse	4	(=,0)			1	(2%)	
Grannland spermatic					1	(296)	
Necrosis NOS			1	(2%)	-	(

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Control		Low Do	se	High De	se
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)				<u> </u>		····-
*Seminal vesicle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Distention	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Cyst, NOS	7 ((14%)	6	(12%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute					1	(2%)
Abscess, NOS					3	(6%)
Inflammation, active chronic					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic diffuse	1 ((2%)			1	(2%)
Necrosis, focal					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial					1	(2%)
#Testis	(49)		(48)		(49)	
Mineralization	3	(6%)	(10)		3	(6%)
Spermetocele	0	(0,0)	1	(296)	Ŭ	(0,0)
Degeneration NOS	3 (696)	1	(2%)	3	(696)
Hypospermetogenesis	0	0,0)	2 2	(6%)	0	(0,0)
Hyperplasia interstitial call			5		1	(296)
*Enididumie	(50)		(50)		(50)	(<i>4</i> 70)
Staatitis	(00)		(00)	(996)	(00)	
Judammetica conto			1	(270)		
Initammation, acute			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)	1	(00)
Granuloma, NOS					1	(2%)
Granuloma, spermatic			2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Necrosis, fat			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Nervous System None						
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*Eye/cornea	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic focal			1	(2%)		
Necrosis, focal			1	(2%)		
*Eyelid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)		
Necrosis, focal			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, focal	1 (2%)		, ,		
Acanthosis		,	1	(2%)		
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None						
RODY CAVITIES				<u></u>		
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Abscess, NOS	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
Necrosis, fat			1	(2%)	-	
					·····	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation suppurative	1 (2%)				
minamination, suppli attive						
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			<u></u>			
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY No lesion reported					1	

TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THETWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

	Contro	ol	Low Dose High Dose		ose	
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50 50		50 50		50 50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM		· · ·				
*Skin	(50)		(50)	(0~)	(50)	
Edema, NOS Hemennha za			1	(2%)		
nemorrnage Fibrogia facal	1	(9α)	1	(2%)		
Fibrosis, local Hypertrephy focal	1	(2%)				
Acanthosis	1	(2%)				
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	(270)	(50)		(50)	
Necrosis, fat	2	(4%)	(00)		1	(2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM		·····				
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic			3	(6%)	3	(6%)
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium					1	(2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	4	(8%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
#Bone marrow	(50)	(0~)	(50)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, hematopoietic	1	(2%)	(40)		(50)	
#Spleen	(50)	(00)	(48)	(00)	(50)	
Pigmentation, NUS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(90)
Hyperplasia, reticulum celi	c	(1906)	٥	(10%)	1	(2%)
Hemetonoiesis	14	(1270) (2896)	13	(15%)	15	(30%)
#Mandibular lymph node	(50)	(20%)	(50)	(21 %)	(49)	(30 %)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)	(00)		1	(2%)
#Bronchial lymph node	(50)	(= ///	(50)		(49)	(=)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(***)		,		1	(2%)
#Mediastinal lymph node	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)			2	(4%)
Hematopoiesis	1	(2%)				
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)		(50)		(49)	(0.4)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	6	(12%)			4	(8%)
Hematopolesis	(50)	(2%)	(50)		(40)	
# Menal lymph node Hyperplasia lymphoid	(00)	(6%)	(00)		(45)	(8%)
#Ujec lymph pode	(50)	(070)	(50)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)
#Lung	(50)	(,	(50)	()	(50)	(1.1.)
Leukocytosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)	7	(14%)	9	(18%)
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Leukocytosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Leukocytosis, NOS	1	(2%)	-	(0~)		(0~)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	-		1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hematopoiesis	3	(6%)	10		5	(10%)
#Cecum Hyperplasia, lymphoid	(50)		(49)		(49) 1	(2%)

TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THETWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High D	ose
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)	······································					
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	9	(18%)	9	(18%)	9	(18%)
#Urinary bladder	(49)	. ,	(48)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(4%)			4	(8%)
#Thymus	(39)		(39)		(38)	
Atrophy, NOS					1	(3%)
Angiectasis			1	(3%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	2	(5%)				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM				·		
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Periarteritis					1	(2%)
#Mesenteric lymph node	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Lymphangiectasis	1	(2%)				
#Iliac lymph node	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Lymphangiectasis	1	(2%)				
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS					1	(2%)
#Heart	(50)	(2.2)	(50)		(49)	
Thrombosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	(***)		(10)	
# Myocardium	(50)		(50)	(90)	(49)	
Fibrosis, local	1	(90)	1	(2%)		
Degeneration, NOS	(50)	(270)	(49)	(2%)	(50)	
# Oterus Thrombosis NOS	(00)	(90)	(40)		(30)	(19)
4 Avant	(40)	(270)	(47)		(48)	(4170)
Thromhooid NOS	(43)	(99)	(***)		(40)	(996)
#Thumus	(30)	(270)	(30)		(38)	(270)
Thrombosis, NOS	(09)		1	(3%)	(00)	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			- <u>. · , · ·</u> · ·			
#Salivary gland	(49)		(50)		(48)	
Fibrosis, diffuse	(10)		1	(2%)	(
Atrophy, NOS			1	(2%)		
Atrophy, focal					1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative					1	(2%)
Abscess, NOS					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic focal					1	(2%)
Granuloma, NOS	1	(2%)	4	(8%)	2	(4%)
Fibrosis, focal					1	(2%)
Degeneration, NOS			-	(04)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, NUS	-	(97)	1	(2%)	•	(00)
INECTOSIS, IOCAI	1	(2%)	2	(4%) (9%)	3	(10%) (19 <i>0</i> 4.)
Metamorphosis, latty	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%) (9%)
riginentation, NOS Mitotic alteration	1	(470)	1	(270)	1 7	(470) (1496)
Focal callular change	1	(296)	1	(2%)	5	(10%)
Pleomornhism	1	4 101	1		1	(2%)
Henatocytomegaly					1	(2%)
Angiectasis					3	(6%)
#Hepatic cansule	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Abscess NOS	(00)		()		1	(2%)
#Liver/hepatocytes	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Degeneration, NOS			(1	(2%)
#Bile duct	(50)		(49)		(50)	·
Inflammation, chronic					3	(6%)

TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THETWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contr	ol	Low Do	se	High D	ose
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)		<u></u>				
#Pancreas	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Dilatation/ducts	1	(2%)	()		3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic	_	x =,			1	(2%)
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)			-	(=,
#Pancreatic duct	(50)	(=)	(49)		(50)	
Inflammation. chronic	(00)		(10)		1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS					1	(2%)
#Stomach	(50)		(48)		(50)	(= /0)
Hyperkeratosis	1	(2%)	(10)		(00)	
#Glandular stomach	(50)	(= / • /	(48)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute focal	(,		1	(2%)	(2-27	
Necrosis, focal			ĩ	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, focal	1	(2%)	-	(
#Forestomach	(50)	(= ///	(48)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(2%)	((00)	
Inflammation, chronic focal	-	(=,0)			1	(2%)
Hyperkeratosis	R	(16%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)
Acanthosis	1	(296)	2	(10%)	1	(2%)
		(2 %)	2 	(4 <i>i</i>)	* 	(2 %)
URINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization			1	(2%)		
Hydronephrosis	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Abscess, NOS	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	7	(14%)	5	(10%)	6	(12%)
Inflammation, chronic focal	5	(10%)	5	(10%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic diffuse					3	(6%)
Fibrosis					1	(2%)
Glomerulosclerosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Infarct, NOS			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Infarct, focal					1	(2%)
Amyloidosis	1	(2%)				
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Focal cellular change					1	(2%)
#Kidney/glomerulus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Amyloidosis	(+-)		1	(2%)	(
#Kidnev/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cast. NOS	1	(2%)				
Degeneration, NOS			1	(2%)		
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)				
#Urinary bladder	(49)		(48)		(50)	
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	1	(2%)	-	(= ///)	3	(6%)
					· · · · · · · · · ·	
	(40)		(47)		(EA)	
#Anterior pitultary	(48)	(20)	(47)		(00)	
Uyst, NUD Degregoration NOS	1	(270) (906)				
Degeneration, NOS	1	(470) (904)				
Hyperplasia, NUS	1	(270) (696)	4	(9%)		
Liyperplasia, local	3	(0%)	1	(270)		
Hyperplasia, aufuse	(EA)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
#Aarenal/capsule	(50)	(00)	(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	3	(6%)			^	(40)
riyperplasia, local	4	(8%)			2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, diffuse	2	(4%)			1	(2%)

TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contr	ol	Low De	ose	High D	ose
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Degeneration, NOS	3	(6%)	(00)		1	(2%)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	Ū.	(0.07)	3	(6%)	-	(-,0)
Atrophy, NOS	4	(8%)	•	(0,0)	1	(2%)
Hypertrophy, focal	-	(0.0)			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS					ī	(2%)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2.0)
Pigmentation, NOS			1	(2%)	(00)	
Hypertrophy NOS	1	(2%)	-	(270)		
Hypernlasia focal	•	(470)			9	(196)
#Doriadropal tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	(=,0)
Staatitia	(00)	(90)	(30)		(00)	
Judammetian abaratia	1	(270)				
Initammation, chronic	1	(2%)	(00)		(07)	
#Parathyroid	(42)		(36)	(0.01)	(37)	
Embryonal rest			1	(3%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cystic ducts	1	(2%)	(,		1	(2%)
#Uterus	(50)	.=,	(48)		(50)	(,
Mineralization	1	(2%)	(10)		(00)	
Hydrometra	-	(=)	9	(4%)	1	(296)
Cyst NOS	9	(196)	4	(4,0)	-	(270)
Laflammatian anuta	2 1	(90)	9	(10)	1	(90)
Inflammation, acute		(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(270)
Abscess, NUS	6	(12%)	1	(2%)	5	(10%)
Inflammation, chronic	2	(4%)			2	(4%)
Perforation, inflammatory	-	(2.4)			1	(2%)
Necrosis, focal	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
#Cervix uteri	(50)		(48)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Fibrosis	1	(2%)				
Hyperkeratosis			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Acanthosis	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
#Uterus/endometrium	(50)		(48)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	5	(10%)
Inflammation, acute					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, cystic	38	(76%)	39	(81%)	33	(66%)
#Uterus/myometrium	(50)		(48)	/	(50)	
Hypertrophy, NOS	(00)		(1	(2%)
#Fallopian tube	(50)		(48)		(50)	(,
Abscess NOS	(00)		(40)		1	(296)
#Ovary/narovarian	(49)		(47)		(48)	(2,0)
Aberes NOS	(43)	(2%)	(=/)		(40)	
#Avary	1 (40)	(4.10)	(47)		(49)	
Cyst NOS	(-=3) Q	(18%)	(+ <i>i</i>)	(1796)	(=0)	(27%)
Multilocular evet	9	(10,0)	0	(296)	10	(4170)
Homorthago	1	(20)	1	(496)	9	(19-)
Inflormation acuta	1	(470)	2	(+170) (A06.)	4	(4270)
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)	2	(41%)	~	(100)
ADSCESS, NUS	5	(10%)	1	(2%)	6	(13%)
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Fibrosis					1	(2%)
Degeneration, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS					1	(2%)
Atasaha MOC			4	(90)		

TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Contro	bl	Low Do	se	High Dose		
NERVOUS SYSTEM *Cauda equina Degeneration, NOS	(50)		(50) 1	(2%)	(50)	(50)	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS None							
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				<u> </u>	······		
*Sternum Fibrous osteodystrophy	(50) 10	(20%)	(50) 17	(34%)	(50) 14	(28%)	
BODY CAVITIES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>			
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)	(99)	(50)		
*Abdominal cavity	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)		
Steatitis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
Necrosis, fat			1	(2%)	3	(6%)	
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)			
Abscess, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		_	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS							
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Inflammation, acute	1	(9%)			1	(2%)	
Inflammation chronic diffuse	1	(270) (296)					
Adipose tissue	1	(= /0)					
Abscess, NOS	2		1		1		
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY		<u></u>	<u></u>				
No lesion reported			1				
Auto/necropsy/histo perf	1				1		

TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE
TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

APPENDIX E

ANALYSES OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS AND MICE

IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF

CHLORENDIC ACID

Skin: KeratoacanthomaOverall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $4/50$ (Adjusted Rates (b) 14.6% 11.7% Terminal Rates (c) $2/24$ (8%) $3/321$ Week of First Observation9897Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.418N$ $P = 0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.471N$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.471N$ $P = 0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50$ (8%) $4/50$ (Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $4/50$ (Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 12.5% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24$ (13%) $4/32$ (Week of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.415N$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.424N$ $P = 0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.424N$ $P = 0.63$ Verall Rates (a) $0/50$ (0%) $1/50$ (Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/50$ (0%) $1/50$ (Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/50$ (0%) $1/50$ (Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.062$ P = 0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.062$ P = 0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.313$ P = 0.0Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma $0verall Rates (a)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50$ (8%) $5/50$ (<	ppm 1,250	ppm
Overall Rates (a) 4/50 (8%) 4/50 (Adjusted Rates (b) 14.6% 11.79 Terminal Rates (c) 2/24 (8%) 3/321 Week of First Observation 98 97 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.418N P=0. Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.471N P=0. Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.424N Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0. Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma Overall Rates (a) 4/50 (8%) 4/50 (4%) Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 12.59 Terminal Rates (c) 3/24 (13%) 4/32 (13%) Veek of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0. 4/50 (8%) 1/50 (14%) 1/50 (15%) 1/50 (15%) 1/50 (14%) 1/50 (15		
Adjusted Rates (b)14.6%11.79Terminal Rates (c)2/24 (8%)3/321Week of First Observation9897Life Table Tests (d)P=0.418NP=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.471NP=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.424NP=0.Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.424NP=0.Overall Rates (a)4/50 (8%)4/50 (7%)Adjusted Rates (b)14.4%12.59Terminal Rates (c)3/24 (13%)4/32 (13%)Week of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.421NP=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.415NP=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.421NP=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.424NFisher Exact Test (d)Fisher Exact Test (d)N=0.0%3.1%Overall Rates (a)0/50 (0%)1/50 (2%)Adjusted Rates (b)0.0%3.1%Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.062P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.062P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.060Fisher Exact Test (d)Pisher Exact Test (d)P=0.313P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.193P=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neur	(8%) 3/50 (6	3%)
Terminal Rates (c) $2/24 (8\%)$ $3/32 i$ Week of First Observation9897Life Table Tests (d)P = 0.418NP = 0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P = 0.471NP = 0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P = 0.424NP = 0.Fisher Exact Test (d)P = 0.424NP = 0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma0verall Rates (a)4/50 (8%)4/50 (8%)Adjusted Rates (b)14.4%12.59Terminal Rates (c)3/24 (13%)4/32 (13%)Week of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d)P = 0.415NP = 0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P = 0.424NFisher Exact Test (d)Fisher Exact Test (d)P = 0.424NP = 0.Subcutaneous Tissue: FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a)0/50 (0%)1/50 (13/2)Week of First Observation1041.16Table Tests (d)P = 0.053Line Table Tests (d)P = 0.053P = 0.0.50P = 0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P = 0.062P = 0.0.50Adjusted Rates (b)1.44%15.6%Terminal Rates (c)3/24 (13%)5/32 (13/3)5/32 (13/3)5/32 (13/3)5/32 (13/3)5/32 (13/3)Overall Rates (c)3/24 (13%)5/32 (13/3)P = 0.0.0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (c)1.724 (44%)1.72 (13/2)Overall Rates (c)1.724 (44%)1.72 (13/2)1.72 (13/2)1.72 (13/2)Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcom	% 12.0%	,
Week of First Observation9897Life Table Tests (d)P=0.418NP=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.4711NP=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.424NFisher Exact Test (d)Subcutaneous Tissue: FibromaOverall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $4/50$ (Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $4/50$ ($Adjusted Rates (b)$ 14.4% 12.59 Terminal Rates (c) $3/24$ (13%) $4/32$ ($4/32$ ($4/32$ (Week of First Observation75104116116Life Table Tests (d)P=0.415NP=0.0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.424NP=0.Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.416NP=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma0/50 (0%)1/50 (Adjusted Rates (b)0.0%3.1%1732 (Week of First Observation10410416.6%Life Table Tests (d)P=0.062P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.062P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.060Fisher Exact Test (d)Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma0/24 (0%)5/50 (Adjusted Rates (b)14.4%15.6%Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.313P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.313P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.313P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.313P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.193P=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibros	(9%) 3/25 (1	2%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.418N$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.471N$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.424N$ Pisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.424N$ Pisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.424N$ Week of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.401N$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.401N$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.415N$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.424N$ Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.053$ $P=0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma Overall Rates (c) 0/24 (0%) 1/32(Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.062$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.062$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.062$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.060$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P	104	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.471 N$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.424 N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.424 N$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma $0 Verall Rates (a)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $4/50 ($ Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 12.59 Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $4/32 ($ Week of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.401 N$ P = 0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.424 N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.424 N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.424 N$ Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 ($ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% $0.724 (0\%)$ $132 ($ Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.062$ P = 0.fract Deservation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.062$ P = 0. 0.060 Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.313$ P = 0. $0.750 (8\%)$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $0.724 (13\%)$ 5322 Week of First Observation 75 104 14.4% Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.193$ $P = 0.193$ $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.206$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.193$ $P = 0.193$ <t< td=""><td>.495N P=0.4</td><td>95N</td></t<>	.495N P=0.4	95N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.5$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma $4/50 (8\%) (4/50 (4/50) (3/5) (4/50) (4/50) (1/50) (3/54) (1/50) (3/56) (1/50) (3/56) (1/50) (1/50) (3/56) (1/50) (1/$.542N P=0.5	54N
Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: FibromaOverall Rates (a)A/50 (8%)A/50 (8%) <td< td=""><td></td><td></td></td<>		
Subcutaneous Tissue: FibromaOverall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $4/50 (4\%)$ Overall Rates (b) 14.4% 12.5% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $4/32 (13\%)$ Week of First Observation75 104 Life Table Tests (d)P=0.415NP=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.415NP=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.424NP=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma0/50 (0%) $1/50 (132 (132 (132 (132 (133 (133 (133 (133$.643 P=0.5	00N
Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $4/50$ ($4/50$ ($4/50$)Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 12.59 Terminal Rates (c) $3/24$ (13%) $4/32$ ($4/3\%$)Week of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.401N$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.415N$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.415N$ $P = 0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma $0/50$ (0%) $1/50$ (A Overall Rates (a) $0/50$ (0%) $1/30$ (A Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/24$ (0%) $1/32$ ($Week$ of First ObservationLife Table Tests (d) $P = 0.062$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.062$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.060$ $P = 0.060$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.060$ $P = 0.060$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $3/24$ (13%)Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $5/50$ (A Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24$ (13%) $5/32$ ($Meek$ of First ObservationTo Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50$ (2%)Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/50$ (2%) $1/50$ (2%)Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c)		
Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 12.59 Terminal Rates (c) $3/24$ (13%) $4/324$ (13%)Week of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.401NP=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.415NP=0.Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.424NFisher Exact Test (d)P=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma0/50 (0%)1/50 (Overall Rates (a)0/50 (0%)1/32 (Week of First Observation104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.053P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.062P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.060Fisher Exact Test (d)Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.061P=0.062Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%)Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $5/50$ (Adjusted Rates (b)14.4%15.6%Terminal Rates (c) $3/24$ (13%) $5/32$ (Week of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.309Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.309Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.206P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.206 <td< td=""><td>(8%) 3/50 (6</td><td>;%)</td></td<>	(8%) 3/50 (6	;%)
Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $4/32 ($ Week of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.415NP=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.415NP=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.424NFisher Exact Test (d)Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.424NP=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma0/50 (0%)1/50 (Adjusted Rates (a)0/50 (0%)1/32 (Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.062P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.0662P=0.Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.0660Fisher Exact Test (d)Perminal Rates (a)4/50 (8%)5/50 (Adjusted Rates (b)14.4%15.6%Terminal Rates (c)3/24 (13%)5/32 (Week of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.309Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.309Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.206P=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a)1/50 (2%)Overall Rates (b)4.2%3.1%Terminal Rates (c)1/24 (4%)1/32 (Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.206P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Tes	% 12.0%	
Week of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.401NP=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.415NP=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.415NP=0.Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.424NP=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma0/50 (0%)1/50 (0%)Overall Rates (a)0/50 (0%)1/50 (0%)Adjusted Rates (b)0.0%3.1%Terminal Rates (c)0/24 (0%)1/32 (1%)Week of First Observation104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.063P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.060Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.060Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.313Overall Rates (a)4/50 (8%)5/50 (1%)Adjusted Rates (b)14.4%15.6%Terminal Rates (c)3/24 (13%)5/32 (1%)Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma0/2% (13%)5/32 (1%)Overall Rates (a)1/50 (2%)1/50 (2%)1/50 (2%)Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma0/2% (1/2%)1/50 (2%)Overall Rates (a)1/50 (2%)1/50 (2%)1/50 (2%)Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.206P=0.103P=0.193P=0.193P=0.0Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma0/2% (1/24 (4%)Overall Rates (b)4.2%3.1%Terminal Rates (c)1/24 (4%)1/32 (1/2%)Matter Rates (b)4.2%3	(13%) 3/25(1	2%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.401N$ $P = 0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.415N$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.424N$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/24 (0\%)$ $1/32 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.053$ $P = 0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.062$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.060$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.060$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $5/50 (14.4\%)$ 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $5/32 (14.4\%)$ 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $5/32 (14.4\%)$ 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $5/32 (14.4\%)$ 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $12.4 (13\%)$ $15.0 (14.4\%)$ 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $15/30 (14.4\%)$ 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (13\%)$ $15/30 (14.4\%)$ 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (14.4\%)$ $1/50 (14.4\%)$ $1/50 (15.0\%)$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (15.0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (15.0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (15.0\%)$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (15.0\%)$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$	104	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.415N$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.424N$ $P = 0.$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.424N$ $P = 0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (1)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/24 (0\%)$ $1/32 (1)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.063$ $P = 0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.062$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.060$ $P = 0.062$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.063$ $P = 0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $5/50 (1)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Verek of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.193$ $P = 0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Mued Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.006$ Subcutaneous Tissue:	495N P=04	81N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.424N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.424N$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma $0/50 (0\%)$ Overall Rates (a) 0.0% 0.0% $1/50 (1\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 0.0% $1/32 (1\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.053$ P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.062$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.060$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.060$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.060$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $5/50 (1Adjusted Rates (b)$ 14.4% Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.123$ $P = 0.000$ $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.000$ $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.0000$ $P = 0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.309$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ <td>.610N P=0.4</td> <td>95N</td>	.610N P=0.4	95N
Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a)0/50 (0%)1/50 (Adjusted Rates (b)0.0%3.1%Terminal Rates (c)0/24 (0%)1/32 (Week of First Observation104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.062P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.062P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.060Fisher Exact Test (d)Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.060P=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a)4/50 (8%)Overall Rates (b)14.4%15.6%Terminal Rates (c)3/24 (13%)5/32 (Week of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.309Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a)Overall Rates (a)1/50 (2%)1/50 (2%)Adjusted Rates (b)4.2%3.1%Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.201Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.206P=0.193P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.201Fisher Exact Test (
Fibro Later FisherSubcutaneous Tissue: FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/24 (0\%)$ $1/32 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation104Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.053$ $P=0.062$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.0602$ $P=0.0600$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.0600$ $P=0.0600$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.0600$ $P=0.0600$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $5/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $5/32 (13\%)$ Week of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.1$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.193$ $P=0.132$ Verall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (13\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.200$ $P=0.000$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.200$ $P=0.000$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.200$ $P=0.000$ Verall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fi	643N P-05	00N
Subcutaneous Tissue: FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (1\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/24 (0\%)$ $1/32 (1\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.053$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.062$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.060$ $P=0.060$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.060$ $P=0.060$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $5/50 (16, 3/2) (13\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $5/32 (16, 3/2) (13\%)$ Week of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.153 (150 (2\%))$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (170)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.0.206$ P=0.0 $D=0.206$ $P=0.0.206$ $P=0.0.206$ Vecall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.0.206$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.0$		
Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $1/50 (1/50)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/24 (0\%)$ $1/32 (1/30)$ Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.053$ $P = 0.062$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.062$ $P = 0.062$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.060$ $P = 0.060$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma $0verall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $5/50 (1/3\%)$ Overall Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $5/32 (1/3\%)$ Week of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.113$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.309$ $P = 0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.309$ $P = 0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.193$ $P = 0.113$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (1/32 (1/3))$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.193$ P = 0.193 $P = 0.0193$ $P = 0.0193$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.206$ P = 0.100 $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.1163$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.1163$ Verall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P $		
Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) 0.024 (0%) $1/32$ (lWeek of First Observation104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.053P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.062P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.060Fisher Exact Test (d)Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.060P=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $5/50$ (lAdjusted Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $5/50$ (lAdjusted Rates (b)14.4%15.6%Terminal Rates (c) $3/24$ (13%) $5/32$ (lWeek of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.309Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.31%P=0.Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%) $1/50$ (lAdjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24$ (4%) $1/32$ (lWeek of First Observation104104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.206P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.206P=0.0F=0.193P=0.4Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.206P=0.0F=0.100Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.206P=0.1Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.206Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.206P=0.0F=0.100Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.206Verall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%)<	(2%) 3/50 (6	(%)
Terminal Rates (c) $0/24 (0\%)$ $1/32 (1)$ Week of First Observation104Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.053$ $P = 0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.062$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.060$ $P = 0.060$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.060$ $P = 0.060$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma $0 verall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $5/50 (1)$ Adjusted Rates (b)14.4% 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $5/32 (1)$ Week of First Observation75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.309$ $P = 0.313$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.309$ $P = 0.4$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $0 verall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (1)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $0 verall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $0 verall Rates (a)$ $1/20 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% $7 = 0.933$ Subcutaneous Tiss	10.0%	
Week of First Observation104Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.053$ $P = 0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.062$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.060$ $P = 0.060$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.060$ $P = 0.060$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma $0 \vee erall Rates (a)$ $4/50 (8\%)$ $5/50 (A)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $5/32 (Week of First Observation)$ 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.313$ $P = 0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.309$ $P = 0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.309$ $P = 0.313$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.75$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $O \vee erall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $P = 0.75$ 104 Overall Rates (a) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (3, \%)$ $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (3, \%)$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma,	(3%) 1/25 (4	.%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.053$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.062$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.060$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.060$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma $P=0.$ Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $5/50$ (Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24$ (13%) $5/32$ (Week of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.4$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.4$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50$ (2%)Overall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%) $1/50$ (2%) $1/50$ (2%)Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24$ (4%) $1/32$ (3%)Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.4$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ $P=0.5$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50$ (2%)Notutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50$ (2%)Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.20201$ <td>88</td> <td></td>	88	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.062$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.060$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.060$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma 0 verall Rates (a)Overall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%)Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (b) 14.4% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24$ (13%)Size (d) $P=0.313$ P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.313$ P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.313$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%)Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/24$ (4%)Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.206$ P=0.0 $P=0.206$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%)Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.31\%$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%)Migusted Rates (b) 4.2% Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%)Migusted Rates (b) 4.2% Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% M	.557 P=0.1	19
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.060$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarcoma 0 verall Rates (a) $4/50$ (8%) $5/50$ (A djusted Rates (b)Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24$ (13%) $5/32$ ($Week of First Observation$ 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.1$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.1$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.31\%$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $1/50$ (2%) $1/50$ (2%)Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24$ (4%) $1/32$ ($Week of First Observation$ 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.0$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ $P=0.0201$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma 0 verall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%)Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.0003$ Qverall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%) <td< td=""><td>.557 P=0.1</td><td>20</td></td<>	.557 P=0.1	20
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a)4/50 (8%)5/50 (Adjusted Rates (b)14.4%15.6%Terminal Rates (c)3/24 (13%)5/32 (Week of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.309Fisher Exact Test (d)Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma0verall Rates (a)1/50 (2%)Overall Rates (a)1/50 (2%)1/50 (2%)Adjusted Rates (b)4.2%3.1%Terminal Rates (c)1/24 (4%)1/32 (2%)Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.206P=0.0Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.206P=0.0Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.206P=0.0Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.206P=0.0Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.201Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.0Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a)1/50 (2%)1/50 (2%)Adjusted Rates (b)4.2%3.1%Terminal Rates (c)1/24 (4%)1/32 (2%)Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.093P=0.4Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.093P=0.4		
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or FibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $5/50 (1)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $5/32 (1)$ Week of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.113$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.103$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.403$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.005$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.005$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Gubcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/24 (4\%)$ Overall Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$ </td <td>.500 P=0.1</td> <td>21</td>	.500 P=0.1	21
Overall Rates (a) $4/50 (8\%)$ $5/50 ($ Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $5/32 ($ Week of First Observation 75 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.400$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma 0 verall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.0$ Gochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.0$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.0$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ $P=0.0$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.0$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.0$		
Adjusted Rates (b) 14.4% 15.6% Terminal Rates (c) $3/24$ (13%) $5/32$ (Week of First ObservationWeek of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.313P=0.Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.309P=0.309Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.309P=0.309Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma0verall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%)Overall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%) $1/50$ (2%)Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24$ (4%) $1/32$ (3%)Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.206P=0.0Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.201Fisher Exact Test (d)Fisher Exact Test (d)P=0.201Fisher Exact Test (d)Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a)Overall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%) $1/50$ (2%)Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24$ (4%) $1/32$ (3%)Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.093P=0.0Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.093P=0.0	(10%) 6/50(1	2%)
Terminal Rates (c) $3/24 (13\%)$ $5/32 ($ Week of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.00$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ $P=0.50$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$ P=0.093 $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$	6 21.3%	
Week of First Observation75104Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.193$ $P=0.40$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.40$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ $P=0.50$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$ P=0.093 $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$	(16%) 4/25(1	6%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.309$ $P=0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.0$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ $P=0.5$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$	88	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.313$ $P=0.$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.309$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.193$ P=0.406 $P=0.206$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.206$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Verall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Verall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Incidental Test (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Incidental Test (b) 4.2% Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/24 (4\%)$ Incidental Test (b) 4.2% Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% Implemention Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ Implemention Rates (c)<	.616N P=0.3	80
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.309$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ I/24 (4\%) $1/32 (3\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.193$ P=0.206 $P=0.006$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% Irriminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ I/24 (4\%) $1/32 (3\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ P=0.093 $P=0.093$.563 P=0.3	73
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.193$ $P=0.0$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.0$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ $P=0.0$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (3\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (3\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.0$		
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%) $1/50$ (0Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24$ (4%) $1/32$ (0Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.193$ $P = 0.193$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.40$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.50$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50$ (2%)Overall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%) $1/50$ (2%)Terminal Rates (c) $1/24$ (4%) $1/32$ (3)Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.093$ $P = 0.093$.500 P=0.3	70
Overall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%) $1/50$ (1%)Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24$ (4%) $1/32$ (1%)Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.193$ $P=0.0$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.0$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50$ (2%)Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24$ (4%) $1/24$ (4%) $1/32$ (2%)Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$		
Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24$ (4%) $1/32$ (Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.193$ $P = 0.4$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.00$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.001$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $1/50$ (2%)Overall Rates (a) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24$ (4%) $1/32$ (2%)Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.093$ $P = 0.093$	(2%) 3/50 (6	%)
Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 ()$ Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.193$ $P = 0.1$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.0$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.5$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $0 verall Rates (a)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.093$ $P = 0.093$	10.0%	
Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.193$ $P=0.193$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.206$ $P=0.206$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$	(3%) 1/25 (4	%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.193$ $P = 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.001$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.201$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $0 \text{ verall Rates (a)}$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.093$ $P = 0.093$	88	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.206$ $P = 0.0$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.201$ $P = 0.201$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or Neurofibrosarcoma $0 \text{ verall Rates (a)}$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (2\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.093$ $P = 0.093$.697N P=0.3	04
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.201$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.201$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$	697N P=0.3	09
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.433$ Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a) $1/50 (2\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.093$ $P = 0.093$ $P = 0.093$		
Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma, Fibrosarcoma, or NeurofibrosarcomaOverall Rates (a)1/50 (2%)1/50 (2%)Adjusted Rates (b)4.2%3.1%Terminal Rates (c)1/24 (4%)1/32 (2%)Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.093P=0.093	753 P=0.3	09
Overall Rates (a) 1/50 (2%) 1/50 (1%) Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) 1/24 (4%) 1/32 (1%) Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.093 P=0.093		
Adjusted Rates (b) 4.2% 3.1% Terminal Rates (c) 1/24 (4%) 1/32 (3) Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.093 P=0.093	2%) 4/50(8	%)
Terminal Rates (c) $1/24 (4\%)$ $1/32 (5)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$	13.7%	···•
Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$	3%) 2/25 (8	96)
Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.093$ $P=0.093$	QQ 2000 200 (0 QQ	,
	697N P=01	82
Incidental Cumor Tests (d) $D=0.109$ $D=0.7$	697N P=0.1	85
$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$		00
Uutinan-Armitage Frend fest (d) $Y=0.101$	759 0-01	Q 1

TABLE E1. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarcoma	Fibrosarcoma. or Neu	rofibrosarcoma	·
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	5/50 (10%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	18.5%	15.6%	25.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	A/2A (1796)	5/32 (16%)	5/25 (20%)
Week of First Observation	4/24(1170)	104	88
Life Table Tests (3)	70 D - 0 202	104 D-0 457N	00 D0.202
Life Table Tests (d) $L_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$	P=0.323	P=0.457N	P = 0.393
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.323	P=0.560N	P=0.387
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.318	D	D
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.630	P = 0.380
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	9.4%	18.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/24 (0%)	3/32 (9%)	3/25 (12%)
Week of First Observation		104	100
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.019	P = 0.175	P=0.036
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.014	P = 0.175	P = 0.021
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.023		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
Fisher Exact Test (d)	L - VIV4U	P=0.121	P=0.028
Lung Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adonomo or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (04)	A/50 (994)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Potes (b)	0/00 (0%)	4/00 (8%) 19 50/	19 50 (1070)
rujusten rates (D) Terminal Bates (a)		12.0%	10.070 9/95 (19/1)
Ierminal Rates (c)	0/24 (0%)	4/32 (13%)	3/20 (12%)
week of First Observation	D	104	100
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.025	P = 0.104	P = 0.036
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.019	P = 0.104	P = 0.021
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.029	P = 0.059	P = 0.028
risher Bract rest (u)		1 - 0.005	1 - 0.020
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cel	l Leukemia	00/00/14/01	00/50 (50%)
Overall Rates (a)	24/50 (48%)	22/50 (44%)	28/50 (56%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	55.7%	54.0%	66.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/24 (25%)	14/32 (44%)	12/25 (48%)
Week of First Observation	65	86	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.285	P=0.160N	P=0.330
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.216	P = 0.524N	P=0.193
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.241		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.421N	P=0.274
Salivary Gland: Fibrosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	1/49 (2%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.2%	3.2%	14.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/94 (4%)	1/31 (396)	3/25 (12%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	93
tife Table Toote (d)	D_0 004	107 D-0704N	D-0 194
Lite Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (4)	r = 0.094 D = 0.094	r = 0.7041 D = 0.704N	F - 0.104 D-0.169
	r = 0.084	r = 0.7041	r = 0.102
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	r=0.101	P=0.747	P=0.181
Salivary Gland: Fibrosarcoma or Neurof	ibrosarcoma	9/40 (404)	A/50 (99)
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	2/49 (4%)	4/30 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.2%	6.5%	14.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/24 (4%)	2/31 (6%)	3/25 (12%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	93
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.111	P = 0.590	P=0.184
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.101	P = 0.590	P = 0.162
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.119		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.492	P=0.181

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule		<u> </u>	
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	21/50 (42%)	23/50 (46%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.3%	61.6%	78.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/24 (8%)	19/32 (59%)	19/25 (76%)
Week of First Observation	104	15/52 (05 %) 07	83
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001	1 <0.001	1 (0.001
Fisher Exact Test (d)	r <0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.5%	15.6%	4.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/24 (4%)	5/32 (16%)	1/25 (4%)
Week of First Observation	77	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.244N	P=0.498	P = 0.304N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.277N	P = 0.371	P=0.356N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.269N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.20214	P = 0.357	P = 0.309 N
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule or Hepatocellular	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	22/50 (44%)	23/50 (46%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	17.3%	64.6%	78.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/24 (13%)	20/32 (63%)	19/25 (76%)
Week of First Observation	77	97	83
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.002	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 20.001	P<0.001	P<0.001
Pancreas: Acinar Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/49 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	11.3%	24.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/24 (0%)	3/32 (9%)	6/25 (24%)
Week of First Observation		88	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.011	P = 0.104	P = 0.018
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.014	P = 0.082	P = 0.018
Cochran. Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.015	2 0.002	_ 0.010
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.010	P=0.061	P=0.014
Rancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/49 (4%)	5/50 (10%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.9%	13.5%	22.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/24 (0%)	2/32 (6%)	5/25 (20%)
Week of First Observation	89	89	92
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.101	P = 0.320	P = 0.139
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.118	P = 0.232	P = 0.162
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.113		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.226	P = 0.141
ancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/49 (8%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.0%	3.1%	12.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/24 (13%)	1/32 (3%)	3/25 (12%)
Week of First Observation	93	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.400N	P = 0.109N	P = 0.488N
	D 0 41 F31	D = 0.114N	D-0 500N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.415N	P=0.114N	F -0.00914
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.415N P = 0.406N	P=0.1141	F = 0.3031

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Pancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Adenoma or	Carcinoma		······································
Overall Rates (a)	6/49 (12%)	6/50 (12%)	9/50 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	20.0%	16 4%	34.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/24 (1396)	3/32 (9%)	8/25 (32%)
Week of First Observation	89	89	92
Life Table Tests (d)	P-0 232	P-0 446N	B=0.296
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.252	D=0.520N	P = 0.230
Cochron Armiters Trend Test (d)	D = 0.240	1 = 0.52511	1 = 0.511
Fisher Event Test (d)	F = 0.247	D-0 COEN	D0 202
risher Exact rest(d)		r -0.0001	1 -0.000
Pituitary: Adenoma		00/50 (110)	10/20 (00%)
Overall Rates (a)	17/50 (34%)	22/50 (44%)	19/50 (38%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	52.5%	56.8%	64.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	10/24 (42%)	16/32 (50%)	15/25 (60%)
Week of First Observation	75	74	81
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.402	P = 0.567	P=0.448
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.376	P = 0.316	P=0.440
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.381		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.206	P = 0.418
Pituitary: Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	18/50 (36%)	23/50 (46%)	19/50 (38%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	53.9%	58.0%	64.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	10/24 (42%)	16/32 (50%)	15/25 (60%)
Weak of First Observation	75	74	81
Life Tuble Tests (1)	D=0.490	D-0 579N	D-0 597
Lue lable lesus (d)	P = 0.460	P = 0.572N	P = 0.527
(Jackense Amerikanse (Jacket)	P=0.462	P=0.308	P=0.544
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.462	P = 0.208	P = 0.500
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates (a)	25/50 (50%)	17/50 (34%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	72.6%	46.2%	52.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	15/24 (63%)	13/32 (41%)	12/25 (48%)
Week of First Observation	76	88	78
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.022N	P = 0.010N	P = 0.034N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.032N	P = 0.017N	P = 0.048N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.025N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.078N	P=0.033N
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma, Malignant			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/24 (8%)	0/32 (0%)	0/25 (0%)
Week of First Observation	93	0.02 (0.0)	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.032N	P=0.081N	P = 0.123N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.038N	P = 0.091 N	P = 0.143N
Coobran. Armite as Trand Tast (d)	D-0.00011		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.00011	P = 0.122N	P = 0.122N
Advanale Dhanahunmantana av Dhaat	amaantama Malimaat		
Aarenai: rneocnromocytoma or rheochr Overall Retes (a)	omocytoma, Mangnant	17/50 (34%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Pates (a)	2010U (0270) 7K 7M	1 (/UV (3470) AC 90/	10/00 (3070) KG COL
Aujusted Rates (D)	10.1%	40.470	04.070
Ierminal Kates (c)	16/24 (67%)	13/32 (41%)	12/25 (48%)
week of First Observation	76	88	78
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.013N	P = 0.005N	P = 0.021N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.019N	P = 0.009 N	P = 0.029 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.016N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.053N	P = 0.021 N

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma		es=uµuer (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Overall Rates (a)	10/50 (20%)	7/50 (14%)	12/50 (24%)
Adjusted Rates (h)	36 396	21 9%	41.3%
Tarminal Reter (a)	7/94 (904)	7(29(990)	
Week of First Observation	(/24(2570)	104	9/20 (J0%) 07
week of First Observation	91	104	07 D - 0 499
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.363	P = 0.118N	P = 0.428
incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.404	P = 0.146N	P = 0.468
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.350		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.298N	P = 0.405
Thyroid: C-Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	18.9%	8.5%	12.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/24 (17%)	2/32 (6%)	3/25 (12%)
Week of First Observation	91	95	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0 268N	P = 0.225N	P = 0.340N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.20010	P = 0.22010	P = 0.307N
Cochaon Armite an Marriel Mart (1)	D_0.994N	r - 0.2031	1-0.00111
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	r=0.284N	D-0 of the	D-0.957N
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.357N	P=0.357N
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma			4 10 10 0 (0 0
Overall Rates (a)	15/50 (30%)	10/50 (20%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	52.6%	29.9%	52. 3%
Terminal Rates (c)	11/24 (46%)	9/32 (28%)	12/25 (48%)
Week of First Observation	91	95	87
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.526N	P = 0.040N	P = 0/559N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.480N	P = 0.057N	P = 0.498N
Coobran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P-0 543	1 -0.00111	1 - 0.10011
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.040	P = 0.178N	P=0.586
Preputial Gland: Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	8/50 (16%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rotes (b)	1906 (210)	99 70	13 2%
Mujusleu Nales (D)	1/04 (40)	22.170 C(99.(100/)	0/05 (90)
Terminal Rates (c)	1/24 (4%)	6/32 (19%)	2/20 (8%)
Week of First Observation	104	81	82
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.194	P=0.047	P=0.189
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.198	P = 0.035	P=0.185
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.190		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.015	P=0.181
Preputial Gland: Adenoma, Carcinoma, o	or Squamous Cell Papill	oma	
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	10/50 (20%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.2%	27.8%	13.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/24 (4%)	7/32 (22%)	2/25 (8%)
Week of First Observation	104	81	82
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.910	P = 0.018	P = 0.189
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	D = 0.410	D-0.010	D-0 195
	r = 0.201	F - 0.012	r - 0.100
Cocnran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.206		B 4465
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.004	P=0.181
Testis: Interstitial Cell Tumor			
Overall Rates (a)	41/49 (84%)	35/50 (70%)	22/50 (44%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	97.5%	80.9%	61.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	23/24 (96%)	24/32 (75%)	12/25 (48%)
Week of First Observation	73	81	64
Tife Table Tests (d)		D-0 009NT	P = 0.002N
Line Table Tesus (u) In siden to Tesus Tesus (1)		D = 0.0001	D-0.0021
incidental lumor lests (d)	P<0.001N	r = 0.013 N	r < 0.0011
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001N	D 0.00733	
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.085 N	P<0.001N

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

⁽a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

⁽c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

⁽d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibrosarc	oma		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.7%	2.8%	2.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/31 (6%)	1/36 (3%)	1/35 (3%)
Week of First Observation	91	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.178N	P = 0.262N	P = 0.277N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.207N	P = 0.323N	P = 0.314N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.203N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.309 N	P = 0.309N
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell Le	ukemia		
Overall Rates (a)	13/50 (26%)	15/50 (30%)	16/50 (32%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	32.6%	34.9%	38.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/31 (19%)	9/36 (25%)	10/35 (29%)
Week of First Observation	82	64	73
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.387	P = 0.542	P = 0.425
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.307	P = 0.380	P=0.347
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.292		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.412	P = 0.330
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/49 (6%)	11/50 (22%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3.2%	8.3%	31.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	3/36 (8%)	11/35 (31%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.001	P=0.359	P=0.004
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.001	P = 0.359	P = 0.004
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.301	P = 0.002
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/49 (6%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	7.8%	14.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/31 (0%)	2/36 (6%)	5/35 (14%)
Week of First Observation		95	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.028	P = 0.146	P = 0.044
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.023	P = 0.133	P = 0.044
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.023		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.117	P = 0.028
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule or Hepatocellular (Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	5/49 (10%)	16/50 (32%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3.2%	13.2%	45.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	4/36 (11%)	16/35 (46%)
Week of First Observation	104	95	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.138	P<0.001
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.130	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001	P-0.098	P<0.001
risher Exact Test (d)		r = 0.098	r <0.001
Pituitary: Adenoma	91 /EA (090)	94/50 (090)	99/50 (164)
Uverall Kales (a)	31/50(62%)	34/30 (08%) 76 00	23/3U (40%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	83.3%	10.9%	00.1% 17/05 (40%)
Lerminal Rates (c)	25/31 (81%)	20/30(72%)	1//JJ (47%) 09
week of First Ubservation	82 D 0.00701	64 D - 0 40001	82 D-0.02531
Lite Table Tests (d)	P = 0.027N	P = 0.498N	P = 0.035 N
incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.060 N	P=0.476	P=0.083N
Cocnran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.063 N	D 0.000	D 0 090N
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.338	P = 0.080 N

TABLE E2. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Pituitary: Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.6%	7.7%	2.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	1/36 (3%)	1/35 (3%)
Week of First Observation	96	99	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.371N	P = 0.548	P = 0.475N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.484N	P = 0.495	P = 0.539N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.399N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.500	P=0.500N
Pituitary: Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	33/50 (66%)	37/50 (74%)	24/50 (48%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	86.4%	80.3%	58.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	26/31 (84%)	27/36(75%)	18/35 (51%)
Week of First Observation	82	64	82
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.018N	P = 0.553N	P = 0.022N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.044N	P=0.384	P = 0.057 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.039N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.257	P = 0.053N
Adrenal: Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/49 (6%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.3%	7.9%	5.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	2/36 (6%)	2/35 (6%)
Week of First Observation	87	98	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.557N	P=0.548	P = 0.665N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.565	P = 0.469	P = 0.686
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.593N	P=0.490	P=0.691
Thuroid: C.Cell Adenome			
Overall Rates (a)	7/50 (14%)	10/50 (20%)	13/50 (26%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	20 4%	26.0%	36.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/31 (16%)	8/36 (22%)	12/35 (34%)
Week of First Observation	96	93	101
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.127	P = 0.409	P = 0.160
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.079	P = 0.367	P = 0.107
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.085		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.298	P = 0.105
Fhyroid: C-Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	7/50 (14%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6.5%	18.9%	5.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/31 (6%)	6/36 (17%)	2/35 (6%)
Week of First Observation	104	100	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.511N	P=0.119	P = 0.651N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.542N	P=0.112	P = 0.651 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.573N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.080	P=0.691N
Thyroid: C-Cell Adenoma or Carcinoma			1 5 15 0 10 0 0 0
Overall Rates (a)	9/50(18%)	16/50 (32%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	26.5%	40.8%	41.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	7/31 (23%)	13/36 (36%)	14/35 (40%)
Week of First Observation	96	93	101
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.171	P = 0.162	P = 0.188
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.105	P = 0.128	P = 0.132
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.108		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.083	P=0.12

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	22/50 (44%)	16/50 (32%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	58.5%	38.5%	11.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	16/31 (52%)	11/36 (31%)	4/35 (11%)
Week of First Observation	87	82	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001N	P = 0.081N	P<0.001N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001N	P = 0.162N	P<0.001N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.151N	P<0.001N
Mammary Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3.2%	8.3%	8.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	3/36 (8%)	3/35 (9%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.277	P = 0.359	P = 0.349
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.277	P=0.359	P=0.349
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.240		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.309	P = 0.309
Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	3.2%	12.8%	10.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	3/36 (8%)	2/35 (6%)
Week of First Observation	104	95	63
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.190	P=0.138	P = 0.212
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.171	P = 0.118	P = 0.246
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.160		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.102	P=0.181
Mammary Gland: Adenoma or Fibroader	ioma		
Overall Rates (a)	23/50 (46%)	17/50 (34%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	61.3%	41.0%	20.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	17/31 (55%)	12/36 (33%)	7/35 (20%)
Week of First Observation	87	82	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001N	P = 0.078N	P<0.001N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P<0.001N	P = 0.156N	P<0.001N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P<0.001N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.154N	P<0.001N
Mammary Gland: Adenoma, Fibroadenon	na, or Adenocarcinoma	00/50 / 10/2	10/50 (00%)
Overall Rates (a)	24/5U (48%) 64 00	20/50 (40%)	10/00 (20%) 96 906
Adjusted Rates (b)	04.0% 19/01 (59%)	4(.1%	20.370
Verbal Rates (C)	18/31 (58%)	14/30 (39%)	0/30 (23%) 69
Week of First Observation	07 D-0.001N	04 D-0 145N	03 D=0.001 N
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.001 N	P = 0.145N D = 0.967N	P = 0.001 N
Cookney Amiltone Tests (d)	P = 0.003 N	P = 0.26 (N)	F=0.002N
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.002N	P = 0.273N	P=0.003N
Cliteral Classic Canalassa			
Onoral Giand: Carcinoma		E/ED (1001)	6/50 (1994)
Overall Rates (8)	4/00(8%)	0/00(10%) 1200	0/00 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (D)	11.3%	13.9%	10.0% 5/25 (1494)
Wook of First Observation	4/31 (0%) 99	0/00 (14%) 104	0/00 (14970) QQ
Week OI FIRSLODSERVALION	04 D-0.960	104 D-0 579	P=0 432
Luc Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	r - 0.303 P - 0.900	P=0.510	P = 0.323
Cochran Armitago Trand Test (d)	P-0 200	1 -0.010	0.020
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.009	P = 0.500	P = 0.370
A MARIE MARIE I COU (U)		r 0.000	

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Clitoral Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	5/50 (10%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.3%	13.9%	19.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/31 (6%)	5/36 (14%)	7/35 (17%)
Week of First Observation	82	104	99
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.261	P = 0.578	P=0.323
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.203	P = 0.518	P = 0.229
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.209		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.262
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp			
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	15/49 (31%)	10/50 (20%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	17.8%	39.1%	27.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/31 (16%)	13/36 (36%)	9/35 (26%)
Week of First Observation	58	86	88
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.271	P = 0.051	P = 0.276
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.274	P = 0.040	P = 0.315
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.197		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.021	P=0.207

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	7/50 (14%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	14.9%	19.2%	19.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/37 (11%)	1/28 (4%)	2/2 9 (7%)
Week of First Observation	69	65	47
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.326	P = 0.358	P=0.375
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.515N	P = 0.598N	P = 0.531 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.442		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.500
Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma or Fibro	sarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	9/50 (18%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	14.9%	25.2%	19.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/37 (11%)	3/28 (11%)	2/29 (7%)
Week of First Observation	69	65	47
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.318	P = 0.172	P = 0.375
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.533N	P = 0.377	P = 0.531N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.446		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.288	P = 0.500
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibros	arcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	8/50 (16%)	7/50 (14%)	8/50 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	20.0%	19.2%	21.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/37 (16%)	1/28 (4%)	2/29 (7%)
Week of First Observation	69	65	47
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.410	P = 0.546	P=0.454
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.417N	P = 0.387N	P = 0.441N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.555		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500N	P = 0.607
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarcoma	, or Fibrosarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	8/50 (16%)	9/50 (18%)	8/50 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	20.0%	25.2%	21.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/37 (16%)	3/28 (11%)	2/29 (7%)
Week of First Observation	69	65	47
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.398	P = 0.321	P = 0.454
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.438N	P = 0.576	P = 0.441N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.553N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.607
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	11/50 (22%)	2/49 (4%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	29.7%	6.2%	20.4%
Terminal Kates (c)	11/37 (30%)	1/28 (4%)	4/29 (14%)
week of First Observation	104	84	41
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.286N	P = 0.028N	P = 0.393 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.197N	P = 0.013 N	P=0.298IN
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.155N	P = 0.008N	P=0.218N
Lung Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carsinome			
Overall Retes (a)	5/50 (10%)	9/10 (AQL)	3/50 (6%)
A diversed Bates (b)	0/00 (10%) 19 KM	4/423 (4770) 77 1 06	0,00 (070) 0 1 <i>0</i> 6
Aujusted Rates (D)	13.3% 5/97/14/2	(,170 9/99//11/2)	3.170 1/90 (904)
Ierminal Rates (C)	0/37 (14%) 104	4/40 (1%) 104	1/23 (370)
week of First Udservation	104 D-0.000M	104 D0.040N	3V D-0.499N
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.396N	P = 0.340N	P = 0.4881N D = 0.954N
Contract 1 umor Tests (d)	P = 0.320 IN	P=0.340M	r = 0.30411
Countan-Armitage Trend Test (d)	r=0.270N	D-0.00731	D 0 959N
risner Lxact lest (a)		r = 0.227 N	L = 0.9991

TABLE E3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID
	Control	620 ppm	1 ,250 ppm
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma on	Carcinoma		<u> </u>
Overall Rates (a)	15/50 (30%)	4/49 (8%)	9/50 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	40.5%	13.1%	25.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	15/37 (41%)	3/28 (11%)	5/29 (17%)
Week of First Observation	104	84	41
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.202 N	P = 0.023N	P = 0.291 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.112N	P = 0.012N	P = 0.159N
Cochran. A rmita an Trand Tast (d)	P = 0.081 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.0011	P = 0.005 N	P = 0.121N
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymp	homa, Histiocytic Type		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.7%	9.7%	9.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/37 (3%)	2/28 (7%)	1/29 (3%)
Week of First Observation	104	84	99
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.179	P = 0.227	P=0.233
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.238	P = 0.357	P=0.258
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.240		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.309	P=0.309
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymp	homa, Mixed Type		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.1%	0.0%	2.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/37 (8%)	0/28 (0%)	0/29 (0%)
Week of First Observation	104		83
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.233N	P = 0.174N	P=0.380N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.154N	P = 0.174N	P=0.230N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.178N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.122N	P=0.309N
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All I	Malignant		
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	10.8%	12.0%	11.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/37 (11%)	2/28 (7%)	1/29 (3%)
Week of First Observation	104	81	83
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.455	P=0.510	P=0.522
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.505N	P = 0.553N	P=0.634N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.574		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.643N	P=0.643N
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	9/49 (18%)	10/50 (20%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	13.5%	30.1%	33.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/37 (14%)	8/28 (29%)	9/29 (31%)
Week of First Observation	105	30	102
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.038	P = 0.077	P=0.047
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.041	P = 0.081	P=0.050
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.111		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.185	P=0.131
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma			00 //0 / 10 ···
Overall Rates (a)	9/50 (18%)	17/49 (35%)	20/50 (40%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	22.1%	46.5%	51.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/37 (16%)	9/28 (32%)	11/29 (38%)
Week of First Observation	70	75	60
Lite Table Tests (d)	P = 0.004	P = 0.018	$P \Rightarrow 0.005$
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.023	P = 0.084	P=0.038
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.012	D 0.040	D 0.010
risner Exact Test (d)		P=0.048	P=0.013

TABLE E3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma Overall Rates (a) 13/50 (26%) 23/49 (47%) 27/50 (54%) Adjusted Rates (b) 32.2% 61.4% 70.6% Terminal Rates (c) 10/37 (27%) 14/28 (50%) 18/29 (62%) Week of First Observation 70 30 60 Life Table Tests (d) P<0.001 P=0.006 P<0.001 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.003 P=0.028 P=0.005 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.003 P=0.025 P=0.004 Thyroid: Follicular Cell Adenoma 0/50 (0%) 0/47 (0%) 3/50 (6%) Overall Rates (a) 0.05 0.07% 0.028 (0%) 2/29 (7%) Week of First Observation 67 67 11/6 Table Tests (d) P=0.039 (e) P=0.120 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.038 Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.038 60 Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.038 (e) P=0.121 14/29 (0%) Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma 0/28 (0%) 0/29 (0%) 0/29 (0%) W		Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Overall Rates (a) 13/50 (26%) 23/49 (47%) 27/50 (54%) Adjusted Rates (b) 32.2% 61.4% 70.6% Terminal Rates (c) 10/37 (27%) 14/28 (50%) 18/29 (62%) Week of First Observation 70 30 60 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.001 P=0.006 P<0.001	Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carci	inoma		
Adjusted Rates (b) 32.2% 61.4% 70.6% Terminal Rates (c) $10/37 (27\%)$ $14/28 (50\%)$ $18/29 (62\%)$ Week of First Observation 70 30 60 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.005$ Cochran-Armitage Tend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.005$ Thyroid: Follicular Cell Adenoma $P = 0.00\%$ $0/47 (0\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 0.0% $2/29 (7\%)$ Week of First Observation 67 $1167 $	Overall Rates (a)	13/50 (26%)	23/49 (47%)	27/50 (54%)
Terminal Rates (c) 10/37 (27%) 14/28 (50%) 18/29 (62%) Week of First Observation 70 30 60 Life Table Tests (d) P < 0.001	Adjusted Rates (b)	32.2%	61.4%	70.6%
Week of First Observation 70 30 60 Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.006$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.005$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.025$ $P = 0.004$ Thyroid: Follicular Cell Adenoma $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/47 (0\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/47 (0\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 0.0% 9.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/37 (0\%)$ $0/28 (0\%)$ $2/29 (7\%)$ Week of First Observation 67 67 69 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.030$ (e) $P = 0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038$ 69 $P = 0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038$ 69 $P = 0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038$ 69 $P = 0.120$ Overall Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1%	Terminal Rates (c)	10/37 (27%)	14/28 (50%)	18/29 (62%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P < 0.001$ $P = 0.006$ $P < 0.001$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.005$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.025$ $P = 0.004$ Thyroid: Follicular Cell Adenoma $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/47 (0\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/47 (0\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 0.0% 9.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/37 (0\%)$ $0/28 (0\%)$ $2/29 (7\%)$ Week of First Observation 67 67 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.030$ (e) $P = 0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038$ (e) $P = 0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038$ (e) $P = 0.120$ Voreall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $3/37 (8\%)$ $0/28 (0\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ <	Week of First Observation	70	30	60
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.028$ $P = 0.005$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.003$ $P = 0.025$ $P = 0.004$ Thyroid: Follicular Cell Adenoma $P = 0.03\%$ 0.0% 0.0% $3/50$ (6%) Adjuated Rates (a) 0.050 (0%) 0.0% 9.1% Terminal Rates (c) 0.0% 0.0% 9.1% Terminal Rates (c) 0.0% 0.0% $9.29(7\%)$ Week of First Observation 67 67 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.030$ (e) $P = 0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038$ (e) $P = 0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038$ (e) $P = 0.121$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma (e) $P = 0.121$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $0/50$ (0%) $0/50$ (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $3/37$ (8%) $0/28$ (0%) $0/29$ (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.174N$ $P = 0.167N$	Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001	P = 0.006	P<0.001
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.003 Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.025 P=0.004 Thyroid: Follicular Cell Adenoma $O'50 (0\%)$ $O/47 (0\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 9.1% Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 9.1% Terminal Rates (c) 0.0% 0.0% $0.28 (0\%)$ $2/29 (7\%)$ Week of First Observation 67 67 116 67 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.030 (e) P=0.120 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.038 (e) P=0.121 Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma 0.0% $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Overall Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% $0.28 (0\%)$ $0/22 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.059N$ <	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.003	P = 0.028	P=0.005
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.025$ $P = 0.004$ Thyroid: Follicular Cell Adenoma Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/47 (0\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 0.0% 9.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/37 (0\%)$ $0/28 (0\%)$ $2/29 (7\%)$ Week of First Observation 67 $2/29 (7\%)$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.030$ (e) $P = 0.093$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.039$ (e) $P = 0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038$ Fisher Exact Test (d) 8.1% 0.0% $0/50 (0\%)$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma Overall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $3/37 (8\%)$ $0/28 (0\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Meek of First Observation 104 $P = 0.174N$ $P = 0.167N$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.174N$ $P = 0.167N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.003		
Thyroid: Follicular Cell Adenoma Overall Rates (a) 0/50 (0%) 0/47 (0%) 3/50 (6%) Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 0.0% 9.1% Terminal Rates (c) 0/37 (0%) 0/28 (0%) 2/29 (7%) Week of First Observation 67 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.030 (e) P=0.093 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.039 (e) P=0.120 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.038 Fisher Exact Test (d) (e) P=0.121 Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma (e) P=0.121 Overall Rates (a) 3/50 (6%) 0/50 (0%) 0/50 (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) 3/37 (8%) 0/28 (0%) 0/29 (0%) Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.059N P=0.174N P=0.167N Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.038N F=0.174N P=0.167N Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.059N P=0.174N P=0.167N Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.038N P=0.174N P=0.167N Co	Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.025	P=0.004
Overall Rates (a) $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/47 (0\%)$ $3/50 (6\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 0.0% 9.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/37 (0\%)$ $0/28 (0\%)$ $2/29 (7\%)$ Week of First Observation 67 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.030$ (e) $P = 0.093$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.039$ (e) $P = 0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038$ (e) $P = 0.120$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.038$ (e) $P = 0.121$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ 0.0% Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $3/37 (8\%)$ $0/28 (0\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.174N$ Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.174N$ $P = 0.167N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.174N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038N$ $P = 0.121N$ $P = 0.121N$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% $0.29 (0\%)$ $0.29 (0\%)$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma $0/28 (7\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 104 104	Thyroid: Follicular Cell Adenoma			
Adjusted Rates (b) 0.0% 0.0% 9.1% Terminal Rates (c) $0/37(0\%)$ $0/28(0\%)$ $2/29(7\%)$ Week of First Observation 67 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.030$ (e) $P=0.093$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.039$ (e) $P=0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.038$ (e) $P=0.121$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma (e) $P=0.121$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma $0/50(0\%)$ $0/50(0\%)$ $0/50(0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $3/50(6\%)$ $0/50(0\%)$ $0/29(0\%)$ Meek of First Observation 104 104 116 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.038N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.038N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.038N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Verail Rates (a) $5/50(10\%)$ $2/50(4\%)$ $0/50(0\%)$ </td <td>Overall Rates (a)</td> <td>0/50 (0%)</td> <td>0/47 (0%)</td> <td>3/50 (6%)</td>	Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	0/47 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Terminal Rates (c) 0/37 (0%) 0/28 (0%) 2/29 (7%) Week of First Observation 67 67 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.030 (e) P=0.093 Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.039 (e) P=0.120 Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.038 (e) P=0.121 Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma (e) P=0.121 Overall Rates (a) 3/50 (6%) 0/50 (0%) 0/50 (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) 3/37 (8%) 0/28 (0%) 0/29 (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.059N P=0.174N P=0.167N Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.038N P=0.174N P=0.167N Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.038N P=0.174N P=0.167N Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma 0/50 (0%) 0/50 (0%) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.038N P=0.121N P=0.121N Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma 0/50 (0%) 0/50 (0%) 0/50 (0%) <tr< td=""><td>Adjusted Rates (b)</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>9.1%</td></tr<>	Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Week of First Observation67Life Table Tests (d)P=0.030(e)P=0.093Incidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.039(e)P=0.120Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)P=0.038(e)P=0.121Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma(e)P=0.121Overall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $0/50$ (0%)Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $3/37$ (8%) $0/28$ (0%) $0/29$ (0%)Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.059NP=0.174NP=0.167NIncidental Tumor Tests (d)P=0.059NP=0.174NP=0.167NFisher Exact Test (d)P=0.038NFisher Exact Test (d)P=0.121NHarderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or CystadenomaOverall Rates (a) $5/50$ (10%) $2/50$ (4%) $0/50$ (0%)Adjusted Rates (b)13.5% 7.1% 0.0% 0.28 (7%) $0/29$ (0%)Week of First Observation104104104104Life Table Tests (d)P=0.034NP=0.034NP=0.057N	Terminal Rates (c)	0/37 (0%)	0/28 (0%)	2/29 (7%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.030$ (e) $P=0.093$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.039$ (e) $P=0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.038$ (e) $P=0.120$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.038$ (e) $P=0.121$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma(e) $P=0.121$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $0/50$ (0%) $0/50$ (0%)Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $3/37$ (8%) $0/28$ (0%) $0/29$ (0%)Week of First Observation 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.174N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.038N$ $P=0.121N$ $P=0.121N$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma $O/50$ (0%) $0/50$ (0%) $Adjusted Rates (a)$ $5/50$ (10%) $2/50$ (4%) $0/50$ (0%)Adjusted Rates (a) $5/37$ (14%) $2/28$ (7%) $0/29$ (0%) $V29$ (0%)Week of First Observation 104 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.034N$ $P=0.340N$ $P=0.057N$	Week of First Observation			67
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.039$ (e) $P=0.120$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.038$ (e) $P=0.121$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma(e) $P=0.121$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $0/50$ (0%) $0/50$ (0%)Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $3/37$ (8%) $0/28$ (0%) $0/29$ (0%)Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.038N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.038N$ $P=0.121N$ $P=0.121N$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $5/50$ (10%) $2/50$ (4%) $0/50$ (0%)Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $5/37$ (14%) $2/28$ (7%) $0/29$ (0%)Week of First Observation 104 104 104	Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.030	(e)	P=0.093
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.038$ Fisher Exact Test (d) (e) $P=0.121$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma (e) $P=0.121$ Overall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $0/50$ (0%) $0/50$ (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $3/37$ (8%) $0/28$ (0%) $0/29$ (0%) Week of First Observation 104 P=0.174N $P=0.167N$ Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.038N$ $P=0.121N$ $P=0.121N$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma O $O/50$ (0%) A Overall Rates (a) $5/50$ (10%) $2/50$ (4%) $0/50$ (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Week of First Observation 104 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.034N$ $P=0.057N$	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.039	(e)	P = 0.120
Fisher Exact Test (d) (e) $P=0.121$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma 0/50 (0%) 0/50 (0%) 0/50 (0%) Overall Rates (a) 3/50 (6%) 0/50 (0%) 0/50 (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) 3/37 (8%) 0/28 (0%) 0/29 (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.059N P=0.174N P=0.167N Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.038N P=0.174N P=0.167N Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.038N P=0.121N P=0.121N Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma 0/50 (0%) 0/50 (0%) Adjusted Rates (a) 5/50 (10%) 2/50 (4%) 0/50 (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% 0/29 (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0 034N P=0 057N P=0 057N	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.038		
Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma Overall Rates (a) $3/50$ (6%) $0/50$ (0%) $0/50$ (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $3/37$ (8%) $0/28$ (0%) $0/29$ (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.059N P=0.174N P=0.167N Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.059N P=0.174N P=0.167N Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.038N $P=0.121N$ P=0.121N Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.038N $P=0.121N$ P=0.121N Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma $0/50$ (0%) $0/50$ (0%) Overall Rates (a) $5/50$ (10%) $2/50$ (4%) $0/50$ (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $5/37$ (14%) $2/28$ (7%) $0/29$ (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 104	Fisher Exact Test (d)		(e)	P=0.121
Overall Rates (a) $3/50 (6\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $3/37 (8\%)$ $0/28 (0\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.174N$ $P = 0.167N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.174N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038N$ $P = 0.121N$ $P = 0.167N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.038N$ $P = 0.121N$ $P = 0.121N$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (a) $5/50 (10\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $5/37 (14\%)$ $2/28 (7\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.034N$ $P = 0.057N$	Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma			
Adjusted Rates (b) 8.1% 0.0% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) 3/37 (8%) 0/28 (0%) 0/29 (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.059N P=0.174N P=0.167N Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.059N P=0.174N P=0.167N Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.038N P=0.121N P=0.121N Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.038N P=0.121N P=0.121N Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma 0/50 (0%) 0/50 (0%) Overall Rates (a) 5/50 (10%) 2/50 (4%) 0/50 (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) 5/37 (14%) 2/28 (7%) 0/29 (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.057N	Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Terminal Rates (c) $3/37 (8\%)$ $0/28 (0\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.059N P=0.174N P=0.167N Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.059N P=0.174N P=0.167N Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.038N P=0.121N P=0.121N Fisher Exact Test (d) P=0.038N P=0.121N P=0.121N Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma 0/50 (0%) 0/50 (0%) Overall Rates (a) 5/50 (10%) 2/50 (4%) 0/50 (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) 5/37 (14%) 2/28 (7%) 0/29 (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.034N P=0.057N	Adjusted Rates (b)	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Week of First Observation104Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.174N$ $P = 0.167N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P = 0.059N$ $P = 0.174N$ $P = 0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P = 0.038N$ $P = 0.121N$ $P = 0.121N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.038N$ $P = 0.121N$ $P = 0.121N$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $5/37 (14\%)$ $2/28 (7\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.034N$ $P = 0.340N$ $P = 0.057N$	Terminal Rates (c)	3/37 (8%)	0/28 (0%)	0/29 (0%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.038N$ $P=0.121N$ $P=0.121N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.038N$ $P=0.121N$ $P=0.121N$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $5/37 (14\%)$ $2/28 (7\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.034N$ $P=0.057N$	Week of First Observation	104		
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.174N$ $P=0.167N$ Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.038N$ $P=0.121N$ $P=0.121N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.059N$ $P=0.121N$ $P=0.121N$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma $Overall Rates (a)$ $5/50 (10\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $5/37 (14\%)$ $2/28 (7\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.034N$ $P=0.340N$ $P=0.057N$	Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.059N	P = 0.174N	P = 0.167N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) $P=0.038N$ Fisher Exact Test (d) $P=0.038N$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma $P=0.121N$ Overall Rates (a) $5/50 (10\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $5/37 (14\%)$ $2/28 (7\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.034N$ $P=0.340N$ $P=0.057N$	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.059N	P = 0.174N	P = 0.167N
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.121N$ $P = 0.121N$ Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma $0/50 (0\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Overall Rates (a) $5/50 (10\%)$ $2/50 (4\%)$ $0/50 (0\%)$ Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) $5/37 (14\%)$ $2/28 (7\%)$ $0/29 (0\%)$ Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) $P = 0.034N$ $P = 0.340N$ $P = 0.057N$	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.038N		
Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or Cystadenoma Overall Rates (a) 5/50 (10%) 2/50 (4%) 0/50 (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) 5/37 (14%) 2/28 (7%) 0/29 (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.034N P=0.340N P=0.057N	Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.121N	P = 0.121N
Overall Rates (a) 5/50 (10%) 2/50 (4%) 0/50 (0%) Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) 5/37 (14%) 2/28 (7%) 0/29 (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.034N P=0.057N	Harderian Gland: Papillary Adenoma or	Cystadenoma		
Adjusted Rates (b) 13.5% 7.1% 0.0% Terminal Rates (c) 5/37 (14%) 2/28 (7%) 0/29 (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0 034N P=0 057N	Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	2/50 (4%)	0/50 (0%)
Terminal Rates (c) 5/37 (14%) 2/28 (7%) 0/29 (0%) Week of First Observation 104 104 Life Table Tests (d) P=0.034N P=0.057N	Adjusted Rates (b)	13.5%	7.1%	0.0%
Week of First Observation104104Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.034N$ $P=0.057N$	Terminal Rates (c)	5/37 (14%)	2/28 (7%)	0/29 (0%)
Life Table Tests (d) $P=0.034N$ $P=0.340N$ $P=0.057N$	Week of First Observation	104	104	
	Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.034N	P=0.340N	P = 0.057 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d) P=0.034N P=0.340N P=0.057N	Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.034N	P = 0.340N	P = 0.057 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) P=0.017N	Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.017N		
Fisher Exact Test (d) $P = 0.218N$ $P = 0.028N$	Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.218N	P = 0.028N

TABLE E3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

(e) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the 620-ppm and control groups.

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma	······································		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	10.3%	10.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/39 (0%)	4/39 (10%)	3/35 (9%)
Week of First Observation		104	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.047	P = 0.063	P = 0.054
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.050	P = 0.063	P = 0.066
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.060	1 - 0.000	1 - 0.000
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.000	P = 0.059	P=0.059
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	5/50 (10%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.6%	12.8%	16.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/39 (3%)	5/39 (13%)	5/35 (14%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.034	P = 0.103	P=0.045
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.037	P = 0.103	P=0.053
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.049		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.102	P = 0.056
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lympl	homa, Undifferentiated	Туре	
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.7%	0.0%	2.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/39 (8%)	0/39 (0%)	0/35 (0%)
Week of First Observation	104		102
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.198N	P = 0.121N	P = 0.341 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.199N	P = 0.121N	P=0.336N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.178N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.122N	P = 0.309N
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lympl	noma, Lymphocytic Typ	e	
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.1%	2.5%	5.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/39 (5%)	0/39 (0%)	2/35 (6%)
Week of First Observation	76	103	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.273N	P = 0.191N	P=0.380N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.222N	P = 0.214N	P=0.306N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.241 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.181N	P=0.339N
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lympl	10ma, Histiocytic Type		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.7%	4.5%	9.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/39 (8%)	0/39 (0%)	1/35 (3%)
Week of First Observation	104	69	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.379	P = 0.510N	P=0.456
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.496	P = 0.518N	P=0.533
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.416		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500N	P = 0.500
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	ioma, Mixed Type		
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	12/50 (24%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.4%	30.8%	16.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/39 (15%)	12/39 (31%)	5/35 (14%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	90
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.465	P = 0.091	P=0.544
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.491	P=0.091	P=0.578
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.551N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.096	P = 0.620N

TABLE E4. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All Maligna	ant		
Overall Rates (a)	16/50 (32%)	15/50 (30%)	13/50 (26%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	38.6%	35.5%	31.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	14/39 (36%)	12/39 (31%)	8/35 (23%)
Week of First Observation	76	69	74
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.409N	P = 0.509N	P = 0.448N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.298N	P = 0.534N	P = 0.339N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.292N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.500N	P=0.330N
Circulatory System: Hemangiosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	2.6%	8.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/39 (0%)	1/39 (3%)	2/35 (6%)
Week of First Observation		104	90
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.051	P = 0.500	P = 0.105
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.074	P = 0.500	P = 0.136
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.060		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.121
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	2/ 49 (4%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.1%	5.1%	8.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/39 (5%)	2/39 (5%)	3/35 (9%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.360	P = 0.695	P = 0.450
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.360	P = 0.695	P = 0.450
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.407	P=0.684	P=0.500
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	5/49 (10%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.6%	12.4%	10.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/39 (3%)	4/39 (10%)	2/35 (6%)
Week of First Observation	104	97	87
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.131	P = 0.106	P=0.154
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.183	P=0.090	P=0.215
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.161		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.098	P = 0.181
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	7/49 (14%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	7.7%	17.4%	18.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/39 (8%)	6/39 (15%)	5/35 (14%)
Week of First Observation	104	97	87
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.100	P = 0.161	P = 0.123
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.133	P = 0.143	P = 0.160
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.137	5 4 1 5 1	D 0150
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.151	P=0.159
Forestomach: Squamous Cell Papilloma	0/50 (00)	0/48 (021)	(150 (00))
Uverall Rates (a)	3/30 (6%)	0/48(0%)	0.00
Adjusted Rates (b)	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/39 (8%)	0/38 (0%)	0/30 (0%)
week of First Observation	104 D-0.044N	D_0 1903	B-0141N
Life Table Tests (d)	r = 0.044N	P = 0.126N	r = 0.141N D = 0.141N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	r = 0.0441N $D = 0.020N$	r=0.120M	L - 0'1411
Countain-Armitage Frend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	r = 0.0391N	P=0 199N	P = 0.121N
- ISHUL HAAUV ICOV(U/		A - VIAVII	

TABLE E4. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

	Control	620 ppm	1,250 ppm	
Pituitary: Adenoma				
Overall Rates (a)	12/48 (25%)	4/47 (9%)	3/50 (6%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	30.8%	10.2%	8.6%	
Terminal Rates (c)	12/39 (31%)	3/37 (8%)	3/35 (9%)	
Week of First Observation	104	77	104	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.009N	P=0.035N	P = 0.019N	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.008N	P = 0.028N	P = 0.019N	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.004 N			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.029N	P = 0.009 N	
Pituitary: Adenoma or Carcinoma				
Overall Rates (a)	13/48 (27%)	4/47 (9%)	3/50 (6%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	32.4%	10.2%	8.6%	
Terminal Rates (c)	12/39 (31%)	3/37 (8%)	3/35 (9%)	
Week of First Observation	91	77	104	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.005N	P = 0.022N	P = 0.012N	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.003 N	P = 0.021 N	P=0.009N	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.002N			
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.017N	P = 0.005 N	
Mammary Gland: Adenoma, Papillary Cy	stadenoma, or Adenoca	arcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	7.2%	2.9%	
Terminal Rates (c)	0/39 (0%)	2/39 (5%)	1/35 (3%)	
Week of First Observation		77	104	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.356	P = 0.121	P = 0.478	
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.380	P = 0.162	P = 0.478	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)				
	P = 0.382			
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.382	P=0.121	P = 0.500	
Fisher Exact Test (d) Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp	P=0.382	P=0.121	P = 0.500	
Fisher Exact Test (d) Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp Overall Rates (a)	P=0.382 2/50 (4%)	P=0.121	P=0.500 3/50 (6%)	
Fisher Exact Test (d) Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b)	P=0.382 2/50 (4%) 5.1%	P=0.121 1/48 (2%) 2.4%	P=0.500 3/50 (6%) 6.5%	
Fisher Exact Test (d) Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c)	P=0.382 2/50 (4%) 5.1% 2/39 (5%)	P=0.121 1/48 (2%) 2.4% 0/39 (0%)	P=0.500 3/50 (6%) 6.5% 0/35 (0%)	
Fisher Exact Test (d) Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation	P=0.382 2/50 (4%) 5.1% 2/39 (5%) 104	P=0.121 1/48 (2%) 2.4% 0/39 (0%) 97	P=0.500 3/50 (6%) 6.5% 0/35 (0%) 63	
Fisher Exact Test (d) Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.382 2/50 (4%) 5.1% 2/39 (5%) 104 $P = 0.379$	P = 0.121 $1/48 (2%)$ $2.4%$ $0/39 (0%)$ 97 $P = 0.497N$	P = 0.500 3/50 (6%) 6.5% 0/35 (0%) 63 P = 0.477	
Fisher Exact Test (d) Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.382 2/50 (4%) 5.1% 2/39 (5%) 104 $P = 0.379$ $P = 0.491$	P = 0.121 $1/48 (2%)$ $2.4%$ $0/39 (0%)$ 97 $P = 0.497N$ $P = 0.546N$	P = 0.500 3/50 (6%) 6.5% 0/35 (0%) 63 P = 0.477 P = 0.601	
Fisher Exact Test (d) Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp Overall Rates (a) Adjusted Rates (b) Terminal Rates (c) Week of First Observation Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.382 2/50 (4%) 5.1% 2/39 (5%) 104 $P = 0.379$ $P = 0.491$ $P = 0.399$	P = 0.121 1/48 (2%) 2.4% 0/39 (0%) 97 P = 0.497N P = 0.546N	P = 0.500 3/50 (6%) 6.5% 0/35 (0%) 63 P = 0.477 P = 0.601	

TABLE E4. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidences at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

Chlorendic Acid, NTP TR 304

APPENDIX F

HISTORICAL INCIDENCES OF TUMORS IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT

TABLE F1. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF SALIVARY GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS **RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)**

Incidence in Controls				
	Sarcoma	Fibrosarcoma	Neurofibrosarcoma	
No 2-year studies at Ha	azleton Laboratories America	a, Inc., are included in the l	nistorical data base.	
Overall Historical In	cidence			
TOTAL (b) SD (c)	1/1,689 (0.1%) 0.35%	1/1,689 (0.1%) 0.37%	1/1,689 (0.1%) 0.35%	
Range (d) High Low	1/49 0/89	1/46 0/89	1/49 0/89	

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks; no more than one tumor was observed in any control group.

(b) One mixed tumor, malignant, was also observed. The inclusion of this tumor does not affect the reported range. (c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F2. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS **RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)**

	Incidence in Controls		
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
No 2-year studies at	Hazleton Laboratories America	a, Inc., are included in the	historical data base.
Overall Historical	Incidence		
TOTAL SD(b)	24/1,723 (1.4%) 1 82%	13/1,723 (0.8%) 1 47%	35/1,723 (2.0%) 2.02%
Range (c)	210476		-10-1 /2
High	3/49	3/50	3/49
Low	0/89	0/50	0/50

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F3. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

Incidence in Controls				
	Neoplastic Nodule	Carcinoma	Neoplastic Nodule or Carcinoma	
No 2-year studies at 2	Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc	c., are included in the histo	rical data base.	
Overall Historical	Incidence			
TOTAL SD (b)	61/1,719 (3.5%) 3.34%	12/1,719 (0.7%) 0.98%	73/1,719 (4.2%) 3.45%	
Range (c) High Low	6/49 0/50	1/49 0/90	7/ 49 0/50	

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F4. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PREPUTIAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS **RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)**

	Incidence in Controls		
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
No 2-year studies at	Hazleton Laboratories America, I	nc., are included in the his	torical data base.
Overall Historical	Incidence		
TOTAL SD (d)	(b) 48/1,727 (2.8%) 3.75%	(c) 57/1,727 (3.3%) 2.98%	(b,c) 105/1,727 (6.1%) 4.62%
Range (e)			

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Total includes one papillary adenoma and one cystadenoma.
 (c) Total includes two squamous cell carcinomas, seven adenocarcinomas, and two sebaceous adenocarcinomas.

(d) Standard deviation

(e) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F5. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PANCREATIC ACINAR CELL ADENOMAS IN MALE F344/N
RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

	Incidence in Controls				
No 2-year studies at Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., are included in the historical data base.					
Overall Historical Incidence					
TOTAL SD (c)	(b) 3/1,667 (0.2%) 0.59%				
Range (d) High Low	1/47 0/88				

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) No acinar cell carcinomas have been observed.

(c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F6. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ADRENAL GLAND MEDULLARY TUMORS IN MALE F344/N **RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)**

		Incidence in Controls			
	Pheochromocytoma	Malignant Pheochromocytoma	Pheochromocytoma or Malignant Pheochromocytoma		
No 2-year studies by H	azleton Laboratories America, Inc.	, are included in the histori	cal data base.		
Overall Historical II	ncidence				
TOTAL SD (b)	338/1,702 (19.9%) 9.87%	20/1,702 (1.2%) 1.49%	358/1,702 (21.0%) 9.63%		
Range (c)					
High	20/49	3/48	21/49		
Low	2/50	0/50	3/50		

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks (b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F7. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF TESTICULAR TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

	Incidence of Interstitial Cell Tumors in Controls	
No 2-year studies by Hazleton Lab	poratories America, Inc., are included in the historical data base.	
Overall Historical Incidence		
TOTAL SD (c)	(b) 1,511/1,703 (88.7%) 7.79%	
Range (d) High Low	49/ 50 34/50	

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Includes one malignant interstitial cell tumor

(c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F8. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS **RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)**

	Iı	ncidence in Controls		
	Neoplastic Nodule	Carcinoma	Neoplastic Nodule or Carcinoma	
No 2-year studies at Ha	zleton Laboratories America, Inc.,	, are included in the histo	rical data base.	
Overall Historical In	cidence			
TOTAL SD (b)	46/1,766 (2.6%) 2.77%	3/1,766 (0.2%) 0.75%	48/1,766 (2.7%) 2.99%	
Range (c)				

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks (b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F9. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

	Incidence in Controls		
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
No 2-year studies at H	Iazleton Laboratories America	, Inc., are included in the	historical data base.
Overall Historical	Incidence		
TOTAL SD (b)	7 43 /1,704 (43.6%) 11.71%	62/1,704 (3.6%) 4.24%	805/1,704 (47.2%) 11.01%
Range (c) High Low	33/47 7/39	8/49 0/50	33/47 9/39

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F10. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF MAMMARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATSRECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

		Incidence in Controls			
	Fibroadenoma	Adenocarcinoma	Fibroadenoma or Adenocarcinoma		
No 2-year studies at	t Hazleton Laboratories America	a, Inc., are included in	1 the historical data base.		
Overall Historical	l Incidence				
TOTAL	(b) 492/1 ,772 (27.8%)	(c) 45/1,772 (2.5%)	(b,c) 520/1,772 (29.3%)		
SD (d)	9.61%	2.45%	9.29%		
Range (e)					
High	24/49	4/49	24/49		
Low	5/50	0/50	6/50		

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Total includes 12 adenomas, 6 cystadenomas, 2 papillary cystadenomas, and 4 cystfibroadenomas.

(c) Total includes one squamous cell carcinoma, six papillary adenocarcinomas, and one papillary cystadenocarcinoma. (d) Standard deviation

(e) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F11. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF UTERINE TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

	Incidence of Endometrial Stromal Polyps in Controls					
No 2-year studies at Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., are included in the historical data base.						
Overall Historical Incidence						
TOTAL SD (b)	383/1,750 (21.9%) 7.57%					
Range (c) High Low	18/49 4/50					

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks (b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F12. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF HEPATOCELLULAR TUMORS IN MALE $\rm B6C3F_1$ MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

	Incidence in Controls		
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
No 2-year studies at	Hazleton Laboratories America	Inc., are included in the his	storical data base.
	*	,, •	
Overall Historical	Incidence		
TOTAL	179/1,784 (10.0%)	377/1,784 (21,1%)	540/1,784 (30.3%)
SD(b)	7.36%	6.54%	8.04%
Range (c)			
High	(d) 22/50	16/50	(e) 29/50
Low	0/49	4/50	7/50

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.
(d) Second highest, 9/50

(e) Second highest, 20/50

TABLE F13. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF THYROID GLAND FOLLICULAR CELL TUMORS IN MALE **B6C3F1 MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)**

	Incidence in Controls		
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
No 2-year studies at	Hazleton Laboratories America,	Inc., are included in the h	istorical data base.
Overall Historical	Incidence		
TOTAL SD (c)	(b) 26/1,680 (1.5%) 2.06%	2/1,680 (0.1%) 0.49%	28/1,680 (1.7%) 2.09%
Range (d) High Low	3/42 0/50	1/ 47 0/50	3/42 0/50

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Total includes one papillary adenoma and one cystadenoma.

(c) Standard deviation

(d) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F14. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN MALE B6C3F1 MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

		Incidence in Control	9	
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma	
No 2-year studies at	Hazleton Laboratories America,	Inc., are included in the hi	storical data base.	
Overall Historical	Incidence			
TOTAL SD (b)	215/1,780 (12.1%) 6.80%	87/1,780 (4.9%) 4.06%	296/1,780 (16.6%) 8.22%	
Range (c) High Low	14/50 1/50	8/48 0/50	17/50 1/49	

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks
(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F15. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR TUMORS IN FEMALE B6C3F₁ MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

		Incidence in Control	S	
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma	
No 2-year studies at I	Hazleton Laboratories America	, Inc., are included in the hi	storical data base.	
Overall Historical	Incidence			
TOTAL SD (b)	87/1,777 (4.9%) 3.86%	36/1,777 (2.0%) 1.98%	122/1,777 (6.9%) 4.44%	
Range (c) High Low	7/50 0/50	3/50 0/50	8/50 0/50	

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

TABLE F16. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE $B6C3F_1$ MICE RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)

	Incidence in Controls	1
Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma
azleton Laboratories America,	Inc., are included in the his	torical data base.
ncidence		
(b) 133/1,542 (8.6%) 8.99%	(c) 7/1,542 (0.5%) 1.06%	(b,c) 140/1,542 (9.1%) 8.73%
-	Adenoma azleton Laboratories America, ncidence (b) 133/1,542 (8.6%) 8.99%	Incidence in ControlsAdenomaCarcinomaazleton Laboratories America, Inc., are included in the hisncidence(b) 133/1,542 (8.6%)(c) 7/1,542 (0.5%)8.99%1.06%

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Includes all adenomas diagnosed as NOS, chromophobe, acidophil, or basophil
 (c) Includes adenocarcinomas, NOS, and carcinomas diagnosed as NOS or chromophobe

(d) Standard deviation

(e) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

.

APPENDIX G

GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

		Revertants/plate (a,b)		
Strain	Dose (µg/plate)	- \$9	+ S9 (rat)	+ S9 (hamster)
	0	102 ± 3.5	135 ± 2.2	134 ± 2.0
	100	98 ± 5.0	136 ± 11.3	151 ± 8.7
	333	106 ± 10.1	140 ± 13.3	153 ± 6.4
	1,000	104 ± 6.4	139 ± 2.6	128 ± 0.9
	3,333	92 ± 7.0	137 ± 5.9	134 ± 4.9
	7,690	91 ± 1.2	172 ± 13.3	141 ± 3.4
TA1535	0	10 ± 0.9	9 ± 2.3	13 ± 2.1
	100	10 ± 4.4	11 ± 1.5	13 ± 0.9
	333	13 ± 5.4	10 ± 2.1	12 ± 0.3
	1,000	8 ± 1.8	12 ± 1.5	10 ± 1.2
	3,333	10 ± 0.9	12 ± 3.8	11 ± 0.6
	7,690	10 ± 4.7	9 ± 2.0	13 ± 0.7
TA1537	0	3 ± 0.3	4 ± 1.8	5 ± 1.2
	100	3 ± 1.5	5 ± 1.0	5 ± 1.0
	333	4 ± 1.2	5 ± 1.2	6 ± 0.9
	1,000	4 ± 1.5	3 ± 0.7	6 ± 0.9
	3,333	3 ± 0.7	5 ± 1.2	6 ± 0.9
	7,690	Toxic	4 ± 0.9	4 ± 1.0
TA98	0	20 ± 3.3	26 ± 4.2	56 ± 4.2
	100	15 ± 3.2	24 ± 2.3	70 ± 8.3
	333	15 ± 2.6	31 ± 5.5	61 ± 6.6
	1,000	14 ± 2.0	27 ± 1.9	63 ± 1.7
	3,333	20 ± 5.6	35 ± 2.4	70 ± 10.9
	7,690	13 ± 2.6	29 ± 5.9	63 ± 13.2

TABLE G1. MUTAGENICITY OF CHLORENDIC ACID IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM

(a) The S9 fractions were prepared from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rats and male Syrian hamsters. Cells and study compound or solvent (dimethyl sulfoxide) were incubated for 20 minutes at 37° C in the presence of either S9 or buffer. After the addition of soft agar, the contents of each tube were poured onto minimal medium, and the plates were incubated at 37° C for 48 hours (Haworth et al., 1983). The experiment was performed twice, each in triplicate; because the results were smillar, data from only one experiment are shown.

(b) Mean \pm standard error

Compound	Dose (µg/ml)	Total Mutant Clones	Cloning Efficiency (percent)	Relative Total Growth (percent)	Mutation Frequency (mutants/10 ⁶ cionable cells)
DMSO				·····	
	1%	118	109	16	36
		134	98	15	46
		112	114	16	33
		75	105	13	24
Methylmethan sulfonate	e-				
	15	520	69	34	253
		628	60	33	347
Chlorendic acid	1				
	1.300	96	94	66	34
	-,	109	98	71	37
	1.400	113	119	76	32
	-,	139	105	49	44
	1,500	121	93	6 9	43
	•	131	117	69	37
	1.600	116	91	75	43
	-,	113	113	73	33
	1.700	520	55	4	315
	-,	598	77	Ē	258

TABLE G2. MUTAGENICITY OF CHLORENDIC ACID IN L5178Y MOUSE LYMPHOMA CELLS IN THEABSENCE OF S9 (a)

(a) Experiments were performed twice, and all doses were tested in duplicate, except the solvent control (dimethyl sulfoxide), which was tested in triplicate. Because the results were similar, data from only one experiment are shown. The protocol was basically that of Clive et al. (1979). Cells (6×10^{5} /ml) were treated for 4 hours at 37° C in medium, washed, resuspended in medium, and incubated for 48 hours at 37° C. After expression, 3×10^{6} cells were plated in medium supplemented with trifluorothymidine for selection of cells that were mutant at the thymidine kinase (TK) locus, and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium to determine the percentage of viable cells.

APPENDIX H

CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF

CHLORENDIC ACID

APPENDIX H. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION

I. Identity and Purity Determinations of Chlorendic Acid Performed by the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory

A.	Lot No. 6287		. 6287	<u>Determined</u>	<u>Literature values</u>
	1.	Ph	ysical properties		
		a.	Appearance:	White microcrystalline powder	
		b.	Melting point:	238° C (open capillary, Büchi mp/bp apparatus). Endotherm, 205°-217° C, with shoulder at 197°-205° C; small endotherm, 245°-247° C (Dupont 900 DTA)	208°-210° C (sealed tube). Loses water, melts as the anhydride at 230°-235° C
	2.	Sp	ectral data		
		a.	Infrared		
			Instrument:	Beckman IR-12	
			Phase:	1.5% Potassium bromide pellet	
			Results:	See Figure 5	Consistent with literature spectrum (Sadtler Standard Spectra)
		b.	Ultraviolet/visible		
			Instrument:	Cary 118	
			Solvent:	Methanol	
			Results:	No absorbance from 800- 350 nm. No maximum from 350-230 nm, but an increase in the absorbance toward the solvent cutoff.	No literature reference found. Spectrum consistent with the structure.

B CH-¹

FIGURE 5. INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF CHLORENDIC ACID (LOT NO. 6287)

			Determined	<u>Literature values</u>
	c.	Nuclear magnetic resonance		
		Instrument:	Varian EM-360A	
		Solvent:	Deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide with internal tetramethylsilane	
		Assignments:	See Figure 6	Consistent with literature spectrum. (Sadtler Standard Spectra)
		Chemical shift (δ):	a s, 4.02 ppm b broad singlet, 12.70 ppm	
		Integration ratios:	a 2.00 b 1.54	
3.	Tit	ration:	Titration of two carboxylic acid groups with 0.1N sodium hydroxide, 99.7% \pm 0.3(8)%	
4.	W٤	ater analysis (Karl Fischer):	0.95% ± 0.04(δ)%	

5. Elemental analysis

Element	С	Н	Cl
Theory (T)	27.80	1.04	54.70
Determined (D)	27.35 27.41	0.97 0.99	55.55 55.69
Percent D/T	98.49	94.23	101.68



6. Chromatographic analysis

a. Thin-layer chromatography

Plates: Silica Gel 60 F-254 **Reference standard:** 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxypropionic acid (50 μg) (10 mg/ml methanol) **Amount spotted:** 100 and 300 μg (10 mg/ml methanol) **Visualization:** 254 nm and methyl red acid indicator

System 1: Methanol:acetic acid (98:2)

R_f: 0.63 (origin) R_{st}: 0.86

System 2: Ethyl acetate:formic acid (98:2)

R_f: 0.67 (ultraviolet and methyl red positive) **R**_{st}: 0.95

b. Gas chromatography

Instrument: Varian 3700 Detector: Flame ionization Inlet temperature: 200° C Detector temperature: 270° C Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 70 ml/min Oven temperature program: 50° C for 5 minutes, then 50° to 250° C at 10° C/minute

System 1

Column: 3% SP-2100 on 100/120 Supelcoport, 1.8 m \times 4 mm ID, glass **Samples injected:** Solutions (3 µl) of 1% and 0.5% chlorendic acid in chloroform to quantitate impurities and check for overloading

Results: Major peak and one impurity after the major peak with a relative area of 0.03%

Peak No.	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time Relative to Major Peak	Area (percent of major peak)
1	19.8	1.00	100
2	22.8	1.15	0.03

System 2

Column: 3% OV-17 on 80/100 Supelcoport, 1.8 m \times 4 mm ID, glass **Samples injected:** Solutions (4 µl) of 1% and 0.5% chlorendic acid in dichloromethane to quantitate impurities and check for overloading

Results: Single homogeneous peak with a retention time of 23.4 minutes

7. Conclusions: The results of elemental analysis for carbon was slightly low, for chlorine slightly high, and for hydrogen in agreement with the theoretical value. Titration of two carboxylic acid groups with sodium hydroxide indicated a purity of $99.7\% \pm 0.3(\delta)\%$. Karl Fischer analysis indicated 0.95% water content. Thin-layer chromatography by two systems indicated a single major component. Gas chromatography with a 3% SP-2100 column indicated one impurity after the major peak with a relative area of 0.03%. A second gas chromatographic system with 3% OV-17 indicated a single homogeneous peak. The infrared, ultraviolet/visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were consistent with the structure of chlorendic acid.

APPENDIX H. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION

n			A= 4.5	Determined Literature values	
в.	L0	.ot no. 6745			
	1.	. Appearance:		White microcrystalline powder	
	2.	Sp	ectral data		
		a.	Infrared		
		Instrument:		Perkin-Elmer 283	
		Phase: 1% in potassium		m bromide pellet	
	Results:		Results:	See Figure 7	Consistent with literature spectrum (Sadtler Standard Spectra)
	b. Ultraviolet/visible		Ultraviolet/visible		
	Instrument:		Instrument:	Cary 219	
	Solvent:		Solvent:	Methanol	
	Results:		Results:	No absorbance from 800- 350 nm at 10 mg/ml. No maximum from 350 to 202 nm, but an increase in absorbance toward the solvent cutoff at a concen- tration of 0.0001 mg/ml	No literature reference found. Spectrum consistent with structure.
		c.	Nuclear magnetic resona	ance	
			Instrument:	Varian EM-360A	
			Solvent:	Deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide with internal tetramethylsilane	
			Assignments:	See Figure 8	Consistent with literature spectrum (Sadtler Standard Spectra)
			Chemical shift (δ):	a s, 4.00 ppm b broad singlet, 12.17 ppm	
			Integration ratios:	a 2.00 b 1.72	



FIGURE 7. INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF CHLORENDIC ACID (LOT NO. 6745)



FIGURE 8. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF CHLORENDIC ACID (LOT NO. 6745)

- 3. Titration: Titration of two carboxylic acid groups in deionized water with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide; monitored potentiometrically with a combination pH/mV electrode, $98.8\% \pm 0.2(\delta)\%$
- 4. Water analysis (Karl Fischer): $0.05\% \pm 0.01(\delta)\%$
- 5. Elemental analysis

Element	С	Н	Cl
Theory (T)	27.80	1.04	54.70
Determined (D)	27.73 27.80	1.15 1.16	54.46 54.34
Percent D/T	99.86	111.5	99.45

6. Chromatographic analysis

a. Thin-layer chromatography

Plates: Silica Gel 60-F254, 0.25-mm layer **Reference standard:** 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxypropionic acid, 10 μg (1 μl of a 10 μg/μl solution in methanol) **Amount spotted:** 100 and 300 μg (10 and 30 μl of a 10 μg/μl solution in methanol) **Visualization:** 254 nm and methyl red acid indicator

System 1: Methanol:acetic acid (98:2)

Spot Intensity	R _f	R _{st}	
Major	0.60	0.87	
Slight trace (a)	0.43	0.62	
Reference	0.69		

System 2: Ethyl acetate:formic acid (98:2)

Spot Intensity	R _f	R _{st}	
Major	0.49	0.83	
Trace (a)	Origin		
Reference	0.59		

(a) This impurity spot was not detected until the plates were resprayed 2 days after development.

b. Gas chromatography

Instrument: Varian 3700 Detector: Flame ionization Detector temperature: 270° C Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 70 ml/min Oven temperature program: 50° C for 5 minutes, then 50° to 250° C at 10° C/minute

System 1

Column: 3% SP-2100 on 100/120 Supelcoport, 1.8 m \times 4 mm ID, glass **Inlet temperature:** 200° C **Samples injected:** A 5.0% solution (4 µl) of chlorendic acid in chloroform to quantitate impurities and solutions of 1.0% and 0.5% chlorendic acid in chloroform to quantitate the major peak and check for detector overload

Results: Major peak and one impurity after the major peak with a relative area of 0.02%

Peak No.	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time Relative to Major Peak	Area (percent of major peak)
1	20.1	1.00	100
2	22.6	1.12	0.02

System 2

Column: 3% OV-17 on 80/100 Supelcoport, 1.8 m \times 4 mm ID, glass Inlet temperature: 210° C Samples injected: Solutions (4 µl) of 1.0% and 0.5% chlorendic acid in dichloromethane to quantitate impurities and check for detector overload

Results: Major peak and one impurity before the major peak with a relative area of 0.02%

Peak No.	Retention Time (min)	Retention Time Relative to Major Peak	Area (percent of major peak)
1	22.3	0.97	0.02
2	23.0	1.00	100

7. Conclusions: The results of elemental analysis for carbon, hydrogen, and chlorine were in agreement with the theoretical values. Karl Fischer analysis indicated 0.05% \pm 0.01(δ)% water, compared with 0.95% \pm 0.04(δ)% for lot no. 6287. Titration of two carboxylic acid groups with sodium hydroxide indicated a purity of 98.8% \pm 0.2(δ)%; lot no. 6287 indicated a purity of 99.7% \pm 0.3(δ)%. Thin-layer chromatography indicated a single major spot in each of two systems when developed in the same manner as lot no. 6287, which gave the same results. Treatment of the plates from lot no. 6745 2 days after development indicated a slight trace impurity on one system and a trace impurity on the second system. Gas chromatography with a 3% SP-2100 column indicated one impurity after the major peak with a relative area of 0.02%. One impurity was reported for lot no. 6287 on this column, after the major peak, with a relative area of 0.03%. A second gas chromatographic system with a 3% OV-17 column indicated one impurity before the major peak with an area of 0.02% relative to the major peak area. For lot no. 6287 on this system, there was a single homogeneous peak. The infrared, ultraviolet/visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were consistent with the structure of chlorendic acid and with the spectra obtained for lot no. 6287.

The sample was identified as chlorendic acid by spectroscopy. Water content was $0.05\% \pm 0.01(\delta)\%$ and titration indicated a purity of 98.8% $\pm 0.2(\delta)\%$. Gas chromatography by two systems each indicated one impurity with a relative area of 0.02%. This lot is comparable in purity to lot no. 6287.

II. Chemical Stability Study Performed by the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory

- A. Sample preparation and storage: Samples were stored for 2 weeks at temperatures of -20° , 5°, 25°, and 60° C in glass tubes with Teflon-lined caps.
- **B.** Analytical method: Samples from each storage temperature were analyzed by the following gas chromatographic system. The sample peak areas were compared with the internal standard peak areas, and the percent of sample recovery from each storage temperature was compared with that for the -20° C sample.

Instrument: Varian 3700 Detector: Flame ionization Inlet temperature: 200° C Detector temperature: 270° C Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 70 ml/min Column: 3% OV-17 on 80/100 Supelcoport, 1.8 m × 4 mm ID, glass Oven temperature program: 220° C, isothermal Samples injected: Solutions of 0.5% chlorendic acid from each storage temperature in chloroform containing 0.1% docosane as an internal standard Retention times: Chlorendic acid---4.5 minutes Internal standard (docosane)--2.9 minutes

C. Results

Storage Temperature	Percent Purity	
-20° C	$100.0 \pm 1.5(\delta)$	
5° C	$101.1 \pm 1.5(\delta)$	
25° C	$101.8 \pm 1.5(\delta)$	
60° C	$100.7 \pm 1.5(\delta)$	

D. Conclusion: Chlorendic acid is stable as the bulk chemical, within the limits of experimental error, when stored for 2 weeks at temperatures up to 60° C. This indicates, by extrapolation, that storage of chlorendic acid for up to 24 weeks at room temperature (25° C) would result in no significant decomposition of the material.

III. Chemical Stability Study at the Study Laboratory

A. Analytical method

- 1. Purity determination: Duplicate samples were titrated against sodium hydroxide containing 100 mg of phenolphthalein.
- 2. Identity determination: The infrared absorption spectra of the sample was obtained as potassium bromide disks with a Perkin-Elmer 597.

B. Results

1. Purity

Date of		Percent Chlorendic Acid		
<u>Analysis</u>	Lot No.	Reference	<u>Bulk</u>	
03/07/79	6287	97.52		
05/17/79	6287	97.15	97.22	
09/11/79	6287	97.39	97.24	
01/10/80	6287	97.81	97.42	
05/15/80	6287	97.97		
05/15/80	6745	98.64		
09/24/80	6745	97.69	97.88	
01/16/81	6745	97.35	97.70	
05/81	6745	96.95	97.89	
09/25/81	6745	97.50	97.47	
01/27/82	6745	97.76	97.71	
05/21/82	6745	97.81	97.81	
07/06/82	6745	97.60	97.64	

- 2. Identity: The infrared spectra were consistent with that expected for the structure.
- C. Conclusion: No notable degradation occurred during the studies.

Chlorendic Acid, NTP TR 304
APPENDIX I

PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION

OF FORMULATED DIETS

I. Two-week Stability in Feed

A. Preparation procedure

- 1. **Premix:** Chlorendic acid (1.500 g) was mixed by spatula with about 5 g of feed in a 600ml beaker. More feed was added in 10- to 20-g amounts, with mixing between additions, until the total weight of the premix was 200 g. The concentration of chlorendic acid in the premix was 7,500 ppm.
- 2. Bulk mixing: A 600-g quantity of feed was layered evenly in the bottom of the Patterson-Kelly[®] Twin-shell, 4-quart blender with intensifier bar; then the 200-g premix was added in roughly equal amounts to both sides of the blender. The fine material adhering to the beaker walls was taken up by stirring 100 g of feed in the beaker for a few seconds, and then the feed was added to the blender. After an additional 600 g of feed was layered over the premix, the blender ports were sealed.

Blending was conducted with the intensifier bar turned on for the first 5 minutes and turned off for the next 10 minutes of mixing. At the end of the 15-minute mixing period, approximately 75 g of the blend was sampled from the upper left and right shells and from the bottom discharge port for homogeneity determination, and the remaining feed blend was discharged into a large beaker. The mix was turned several times in the beaker with a spatula; then twelve 20-g samples were weighed into 200-ml centrifuge bottles and sealed with screw caps. Bottles were randomly divided into four sets of three bottles each and were stored for 2 weeks at -20° , 5° , 25° , or 45° C.

B. Analytical procedure

1. Special reagents: Extracting solution--Reagent-grade hydrochloric acid in reagent grade acetonitrile (5:495).

Boron trifluoride-methanol reagent--14% (weight/volume) solution (Pierce Chemical Co., catalog no. 49370).

Hexane--Pesticide quality.

Internal standard solution--25 mg of aldrin dissolved in 200 ml of hexane; then 2 ml was further diluted to 500 ml with hexane. Final concentration was $0.50 \mu g/ml$.

Sodium chloride solution--22% (weight/weight): 22 g of reagent-grade sodium chloride was dissolved in 78 ml of water.

Chlorendic acid matrix standard solution--100 mg of chlorendic acid was dissolved in extracting solution and diluted to 50 ml. A 5-ml aliquot of this solution was further diluted to 50 ml in blank feed extract, prepared by extracting 20 g of feed with 100 ml of solvent as for samples.

2. Extraction and analysis: Samples (20.0 g) and spiked feeds for recovery determinations were extracted with 100 ml of 1% hydrochloric acid in methanol by shaking for 15 minutes on a mechanical shaker. Solids were allowed to settle for a few minutes; then 2ml aliquots of each extract were pipetted into 16×100 mm culture tubes equipped with Teflon®-lined screw caps.

The sample aliquots were evaporated to dryness by warming the tubes in a 60° C water bath under a gentle stream of nitrogen. When the samples were completely dry, 3 ml of boron trifluoride reagent was added to each tube; then the tubes were tightly sealed and heated in a 70° C oven for 40-48 hours.

APPENDIX I. PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION

The reacted solutions were transferred to 50-ml volumetric flasks with methanol and diluted to 50 ml. After a thorough mixing, 2-ml aliquots were pipetted into 30-ml septum vials containing 5 ml of sodium chloride solution and 20 ml of internal standard solution. The vials were immediately sealed and shaken vigorously for 1 minute. The dimethyl chlorendate content of the upper hexane layer was determined by the gas chromatographic system described below.

Instrument: Varian 3700 eqipped with autosampler and CDS-111 integrator Detector: Electron capture, ⁶³Ni Column: 10% SP-2100 on 100/120 Supelcoport, 1.8 m × 2 mm ID, glass Detector temperature: 280° C Injector temperature: 250° C Oven temperature: 221° C, isothermal Volume injected: 4 µl Carrier gas: Nitrogen Retention times: Dimethyl chlorendate--3.8 minutes Aldrin--3.0 minutes

3. Quality control: All analyses were performed by making duplicate injections of triplicate sample extracts and were all related to an internal standard. Results were calculated from electronically measured peak areas by comparison of samples with matrix standards run in triplicate.

4. Results

Storage <u>Temperature</u>	Chlorendic Acid <u>Found in Feed (a) (ppm)</u>	Percent Stability $(-20^{\circ}C = 100\%)$ (b)
20° C	1,040	100 ± 1
5° C	970	93 ± 2
25° C	960	92 ± 4
45° C	930	89 ± 3

(a) Results corrected for a zero-time spiked recovery yield of 97.1% \pm 0.8%. The target concentration of chlorendic acid in feed was 1,000 ppm. Values are the mean of three determinations.

(b) Error values are maximum deviations from the main values.

5. Conclusion: Chlorendic acid blended into feed at a concentration of 1,000 ppm exhibited no loss of stability at -20° C. Recovery of the chemical from feed stored 2 weeks at 5°, 25°, or 45° C was 93%, 92%, or 89% of the -20° C sample, respectively.

II. Homogeneity Analysis

- A. Preparation and analysis: Samples were prepared and analyzed as described in Section I.
- **B.** Quality assurance: Analyses were performed by making duplicate injections of triplicate sample extracts and were related to an internal standard incorporated into each sample solution. Results were corrected for a zero-time spiked recovery of chlorendic acid from feed, determined in triplicate along with the samples. Spiked recovery determinations were prepared as dry spikes (20 g feed + 20 mg chemical), and all results were calculated against a matrix standard solution analyzed along with the samples. Linearity of the detector response was evaluated with derivatized chlorendic acid at concentrations of 1.6, 0.8, and 0.4 µg/ml. The linear coefficient was 0.99732.

C. Results

15-Minute Blend Sampling Location	Chlorendic Acid <u>Found in Feed (a) (ppm)</u>	Percent Recovery (found/target) (b)
Right shell	$1,012 \pm 13$	101.2 ± 1.3
Left shell	978 ± 9	97.8 ± 0.9
Bottom port	$1,006 \pm 15$	100.6 ± 1.5

(a) Results corrected for a zero-time spiked recovery yield of 97.1% \pm 0.8%. The target concentration of chlorendic acid in feed was 1,000 ppm. Values are the mean of three determinations.

(b) Error values are maximum deviations from the mean values and represent the sum of the analytical errors plus feed blend variations.

D. Conclusion: Chlorendic acid was blended into feed at a concentration of 1,000 ppm with a variability of ± 15 ppm from the mean concentration of the blend.

III. Seven-Day Stability at Room Temperature

A. Sample mixing and storage: Dosed feed samples were prepared in triplicate on three different days such that when they were all analyzed on the 7th day of the study, they represented samples that had been stored 2, 4, and 7 days.

On each mixing day, samples were prepared by blending together 50.0 g of feed with 50-mg quantities of chlorendic acid, weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg, in 1,000-ml Erlenmeyer flasks. After the samples were mixed by rotating the flasks at an angle for a few moments, they were stored at room temperature in the dark until they were analyzed.

B. Extraction and analysis: The analytical method used in this study was the same as was used in the 2-week stability study cited in I.B., except that methylation was accomplished with diazomethane instead of boron trifluoride.

Special reagents

Ethereal diazomethane solution--Reagent-grade potassium hydroxide (2.3 g) was dissolved in 2.3 ml of water in a 50-ml Erlenmeyer flask equipped with a Teflon®-lined screw cap. The solution was cooled to room temperature, and 25 ml of ethyl ether was added. The flask was further cooled in an ice bath; then 1.5 g of N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (Aldrich no. 12,994-1) was added in small portions for a few minutes. The flask was capped and shaken vigorously after each addition. The yellow ether layer was decanted into a 30-ml septum vial containing a few potassium hydroxide pellets, and the vial was sealed.

Hydrochloric acid-ethyl acetate solution--5 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid was carefully added and mixed with 5 ml of ethyl acetate.

Samples (50 g in 1-liter Erlenmyer flasks) were extracted with 500 ml of extracting solvent by being shaken for 15 minutes on a mechanical shaker. The feed solids were allowed to settle for a few minutes; then 2-ml aliquots of each extract and the matrix standard were pipetted into individual 16×100 mm screw-cap culture tubes (Corning no. 9826).

The aliquots were evaporated to dryness under a stream of nitrogen while being warmed in a 60° C water bath. When the aliquots were dry, 0.5 ml of methanol and one drop of hydrochloric acid-ethyl acetate solution were added to each tube to dissolve the residue. A 2-ml volume of ethereal diazomethane was then added with mixing, and the solutions were allowed to react for 5 minutes, after which the tubes were placed in a 30° C water bath, and the solvent was evaporated under nitrogen to a volume just under 0.5 ml (to eliminate the ether and excess diazomethane).

The concentrated solutions were diluted with methanol to about 8 ml and then transferred to 50-ml volumetric flasks and diluted to volume with methanol. After mixing, 2-ml aliquots were transferred to 30-ml septum vials containing 5 ml of 22% sodium chloride solution and 20 ml of internal standard solution. The vials were sealed and shaken 1 minute; then the dimethyl chlorendate content of the upper hexane layer was determined by the gas chromatographic system described below.

Instrument: Varian 3700 equipped with autosampler and CDS-111 integrator Column: 10% SP-2100 on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport, 2 mm ID × 1.8 m, glass silanized Detector: Electron capture, ⁶³Ni Detector temperature: 280°C Injector temperature: 250°C Oven temperature: 221°C, isothermal Volume injected: 3 μl Carrier gas: Nitrogen Retention Times: Dimethyl chlorendate--4.3 minutes Aldrin--3.5 minutes

C. Quality control: Analyses were performed in a random order by making duplicate injections on triplicate sample extracts and were related to an internal standard incorporated into each sample solution. Results were corrected for a zero-time spiked recovery of chlorendic acid from feed, determined in triplicate along with the samples.

D. Results

Storage Duration at Room Temperature	Chlorendic Acid <u>Found (a) (ppm)</u>	Average Percent Concentration Ratio <u>(found/target) (b)</u>
2 days	$1,008 \pm 5(b)$	100.8 ± 0.5
4 days	945 ± 11	94.5 ± 1.1
7 days	973 ± 15	97.3 ± 1.5

(a) Corrected for a zero-time spiked recovery yield of 98.3% \pm 1.1% The target concentration of chlorendic acid in feed was 1,000 ppm. Values are the mean of three determinations.

(b) Error values are the maximum deviation from the mean.

E. Discussion: The stability results reported in Section I.B.4 showed some loss of chlorendic acid with time but did not follow a typical temperature profile chemical degradation pattern. A similar pattern was apparent in this study. The triplicate analysis values determined at each sampling time were in close agreement with each other; however, their means were variable and did not follow a well-defined degradation curve.

Based on its structure, chlorendic acid was not expected to be unstable under these mild storage conditions. However, even though a strongly polar solvent (1% hydrochloric acid in acetonitrile) was used to extract the samples, there was a clear tendency to lower recovery of the chemical from feed with time. This phenomenon possibly may be related to some irreversible binding with feed components rather than degradation, which renders the chemical incompletely extractable by solvents after a period of storage.

The data from both studies indicate that quantitative recovery of chlorendic acid from the feed vehicle can only be obtained after 2 days of storage at room temperature or 2 weeks at -20° C.

F. Conclusions: The mean recovery of chlorendic acid from feed dosed at 1,000 ppm was 97.3% \pm 1.5% after 7 days of room temperature storage in the dark. The results from this study and from the 2-week variable temperature study (Section I) suggest that the chemical is probably not degrading but is possibly binding with feed ingredients during storage which renders it incompletely extractable even by strongly polar solvents. Quantitative recovery values were obtained only from samples of the mix stored for 2 days at room temperature or 2 weeks at -20° C.

APPENDIX J

METHODS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS

I. Study Laboratory

Two different derivatization methods were used for the analysis of chlorendic acid. Both of these methods are described below.

A. Procedure (method of 6/9/80): Individual 10-g feed samples were extracted in 50-ml centrifuge tubes with 50 ml of 1% (v/v) aqueous hydrochloric acid in methanol. The samples were shaken for 15 minutes on a mechanical shaker and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 25,000 rpm. Aliquots of 2 ml each were transferred into 5-ml test tubes and dried in a 60° C sand bath. When the samples were totally dry, 3 ml of boron trifluoride reagent was delivered to each tube and sealed tightly. The samples were heated in an oven at 70° C for 48 hours.

The derivatized samples were transferred individually to 50-ml volumetric flasks with methanol and diluted to the mark; further dilutions of about 1:25 with methanol were made depending on the concentration. Aliquots of 0.5 ml were pipetted into 100-ml septum vials containing 5 ml of sodium chloride (22% w/w solution prepared by dissolving 22 g in 78 ml of water) and 20 ml of an internal standard ($0.05 \mu g/ml$ of aldrin in hexane) sealed and shaken for 1 minute before 2- μ l portions of the hexane layer were injected into the gas chromatograph.

All samples and standards were processed under the following conditions:

Instrument: Perkin-Elmer Sigma II, equipped with electron capture detector Column: 3% OV-17 on 100/120 Gas Chrom Q 1.8 m × 4 mm ID, glass Detection: Electron capture, ⁶³Ni Column temperature: 221° C Detector temperature: 350° C Injector temperature: 240° C Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 70 ml/minute Injection volume: 2-4 µl Detection limit: 0.25 ng Retention times: Dimethyl chlorendate--9.6 minutes Aldrin--3.0 minutes for internal standard

B. Procedure (method of 12/5/80): Ten-gram feed samples were weighed in 50-ml centrifuge tubes, in duplicate. Fifty milliliters of 1% (v/v) aqueous hydrochloric acid in methanol was added, and the entire contents were shaken for 15 minutes on a mechanical shaker and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 25,000 rpm. Aliquots (2 ml) were transferred into 5-ml test tubes and dried under a stream of nitrogen in a 60° C sand bath. Three milliliters of boron trifluoride reagent was added, the test tubes were tightly sealed, and the tubes were heated in an oven at 70° C for 48 hours. The samples were transferred to a 50-ml (low concentration) or a 100-ml (high concentration) volumetric flask and diluted to mark. Aliquots (0.5 ml) were pipetted into 100-ml septum vials containing 5 ml of sodium chloride (22% w/w solution) and 20 ml of 0.5 μ g/ml aldrin in hexane. The vials were sealed and shaken for 1 minute.

Gas chromatographic conditions were the same as described in I.A.

II. Analytical Chemistry Laboratory

A. Boron trifluoride procedure

1. Special reagents

Extracting solution--Prepared by mixing 10 ml of reagent-grade hydrochloric acid with approximately 700 ml reagent-grade acetonitrile and diluting to 1 liter with acetonitrile.

Boron trifluoride-methanol reagent, 14% (w/v)--Available from Pierce Chemical Co., catalog no. 49370. Stored tightly stoppered at 5° C and discarded when 2 months old. Sodium chloride solution--22 g reagent-grade sodium chloride dissolved in 78 ml of deionized water

- 2. Preparation of spiked feed standards: Two standard solutions of chlorendic acid were prepared independently in extracting solution. Aliquots (20 ml) of the six standard solutions were pipetted into individual 200-ml centrifuge bottles containing 10 g of undosed feed to make spiked feed standards bracketing the specified concentration range of the referee sample. One 200-ml centrifuge bottle containing 10 g of undosed feed was treated with 20 ml of extracting solution for use as a blank. The spiked feed standards and the feed blank were used immediately in the analysis procedure described below.
- 3. Preparation of dosed feed sample: Triplicate weights of the dosed feed sample (approximately 10 g weighed to the nearest 0.01 g) were transferred to individual 200-ml centrifuge bottles and treated with 20 ml of extracting solution. The samples were analyzed immediately by the procedure described below.
- 4. Analysis: Extracting solution (40 ml) was pipetted into each blank, standard, and dosed feed sample bottle, and the bottles were shaken at maximum stroke for 20 minutes on a wrist-action shaker. After being centrifuged for 10 minutes, 2-ml aliquots of the extracts were pipetted into individual 6-ml septum vials and evaporated to dryness under a gentle stream of nitrogen. Boron triflouride reagent (3 ml) was added to each vial; the vials were then sealed, mixed on a vortex mixer, and heated in a 70° C oven for 40 hours.

The reacted solutions were cooled, quantitatively transferred to 100-ml volumetric flasks, and diluted to volume with methanol. After being mixed thoroughly, 1-ml aliquots were pipetted into 30-ml septum vials containing 5 ml of sodium chloride solution and 20 ml of internal standard solution (aldrin in pesticide-quality hexane, 0.108 μ g/ml). The vials were sealed and shaken vigorously for 1 minute. When the layers separated, the dimethyl chlorendate content of the upper hexane layer was determined by the gas chromatographic system described below.

Instrument: Varian 3700 Gas Chromatograph with Autosampler and Varian CDS 111-C integrator Column: 10% SP-2100 on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport 1.8 m × 2 mm ID, glass, silanized Oven temperature: 220°C, isothermal Detector temperature: 280°C Inlet temperature: 250°C Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 30 ml/minute Injection volume: 4 μl Retention times: Dimethyl chlorendate--6.0 minutes Aldrin--5.0 minutes for internal standard

B. Diazomethane procedure

1. Special reagents

Sodium chloride solution, 22% (w/w)--22 g of reagent-grade sodium chloride was in 78 ml of water.

Ethereal diazomethane solution--Reagent-grade potassium hydroxide (2.3 g) was dissolved in 2.3 ml of water in a 50-ml Erlenmeyer flask equipped with a Teflon[®]-lined screw cap. The solution was cooled to room temperature, and 25 ml of ethyl ether was added. The flask was further cooled in an ice bath; then 1.5 g of N-methyl-N'-nitro-Nnitrosoguanidine (Aldrich no. 12,994-1) was added in small portions over a period of a few minutes. The flask was capped and shaken vigorously after each addition. The yellow ether layer was decanted into a 30-ml septum vial containing a few potassium hydroxide pellets, and the vial was sealed with a Teflon[®]-lined septum.

Hydrochloric acid-ethyl acetate solution--5 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid was carefully added and mixed with 5 ml of ethyl acetate.

2. Analysis: Extracts of the same spiked feed standards, dosed feed samples, and blank feed sample prepared for the boron trifluoride method were used for this analysis. Aliquots (2 ml) of the extracts were pipetted into individual 10-ml septum vials and evaporated to dryness under a gentle stream of nitrogen.

The residues were dissolved in 0.5 ml of methanol containing one drop of hydrochloric acid-ethyl acetate solution. A 2-ml volume of ethereal diazomethane was added with mixing, and the solutions were allowed to react for 5 minutes. At the end of the 5-minute period, the vials were placed in a 30° C water bath and the solvent was evaporated under nitrogen to a volume just under 0.5 ml (to eliminate the ether and excess diazomethane).

The concentrated solutions were diluted with methanol to about 8 ml, transferred to 100ml volumetric flasks, and diluted to volume with methanol. After the solutions were mixed, 1-ml aliquots were transferred to 30-ml septum vials containing 5 ml of 22% sodium chloride solution and 20 ml of internal standard solution (aldrin in pesticide quality hexane, 0.108 μ g/ml). The vials were sealed and shaken 1 minute, and then the dimethyl chlorendate content of the upper hexane layer was determined by the gas chromatographic system described below. Instrument: Varian 3700 Gas Chromatograph with Autosampler and Varian CDS 111-C integrator Column: 10% SP-2100 on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport 1.8 m × 2 mm ID, glass, silanized Detection: Electron capture, ⁶³Ni Oven temperature: 220° C, isothermal Detector temperature: 280° C Inlet temperature: 250° C Carrier gas: Nitrogen, 30 ml/minute Injection volume: 2.7 μl Retention times: Dimethyl chlorendate--6.1 minutes Aldrin--5.0 minutes for internal standard

C. Quality assurance measures: The same quality assurance measures were followed for both the boron trifluoride and the diazomethane methods.

The dosed feed sample was analyzed in triplicate, and the undosed feed sample was analyzed once. Individually spiked portions of undosed feed (six concentrations bracketing the specified concentration of the dosed feed sample) were prepared from two independently weighed standards and were used to obtain standard data. Three injections of each standard and sample were made into the gas chromatograph in a random order. All determinations were related to an internal standard incorporated into the sample solutions.

Chlorendic Acid, NTP TR 304

APPENDIX K

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS

Blender	Concentration in Fee	of Chlorendic Acid d (ppm)	Determined as a
Location	Target	Determined	Percent of Target
Тор	620	562	90.6
Middle Bottom		586 560	94.5 90.3
Тор	1,250	1,240	99.2
Middle Bottom		1,187 1,168	95.0 93.4
Тор	2,500	2,305	92.2
Middle Bottom		2,346 2,281	93.8 91.2
Тор	5,000	4,699	94.0
Middle Bottom		4,761 4,728	95.2 94.6
Тор	10,000	9,330	93.3
Middle Bottom		9,219 9,020	92.2 90.3
Тор	20,000	20,760	103.8
Middle Bottom		20,700 19,250	103.5 96.3

TABLE K1. RESULTS OF HOMOGENEITY ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE
THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID (a)

(a) Results of duplicate analysis

Date Mixed	620 ppm	1,250 ppm	
		1,165	
06/25/80	580		
06/30/80	575		
07/02/80	600	1,235	
07/14/80		(b) 1,095	
08/11/80	560		
09/29/80		1,165	
11/24/80	630	1,200	
01/05/81	680	1.315	
02/16/81	625	1.270	
04/20/81	710	1.290	
08/17/81	595	1.215	
10/19/81	(c) 555	1.180	
10/28/81	(d) 565		
12/14/81	620	1.310	
02/08/82	610	1.140	
03/29/82	(b) 705	1.380	
05/17/82	645	1,205	
Mean (ppm)	621	1,226	
Standard deviation	49.8	78.5	
Coefficient of variation (percent)	8.0	6.4	
Range (ppm)	555-710	1,095-1,380	
Number of samples	14	14	

TABLE K2. RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID (a)

(a) Results of duplicate analysis

(b) Out of specification. Mix was used in the study.
(c) Originally analyzed out of specification. Value presented is the corrected concentration. Mix not used in the study.

(d) Remix. Not included in the mean.

TABLE K3. RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

		Determined Concentration (ppm)					
Date Mixed	Target Concentration (ppm)	Study Laboratory	Analytical Laboratory				
07/02/80	620	600	(a) 585				
01/05/81	1,250	1,315	(a) 1,190				
08/17/81	1,250	1,215	(b,c) 1,020				
12/14/81	1,250	1,310	(a) 1,090				
		,	(b) 1,150				
05/17/82	620	640	(a,d) 537				

(a) Boron trifluoride methylation procedure(b) Diazomethane methylation procedure

(c) Analyzed 24 days after mixing; chemical irreversibly bound to feed.

(d) Analyzed 25 days after mixing; chemical irreversibly bound to feed.

APPENDIX L

SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

I. Methods

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program are produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect study results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via viral serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the study rooms. These animals are untreated, and these animals and the study animals are both subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals come from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Fifteen $B6C3F_1$ mice and 15 F344/N rats of each sex are selected at the time of randomization and allocation of the animals to the various study groups. Five animals of each designated sentinel group are killed at 6, 12, and 18 months on study. Data from animals surviving 24 months are collected from 5/50 randomly selected control animals of each sex and species. The blood from each animal is collected and clotted, and the serum is separated. The serum is cooled on ice and shipped to Microbiological Associates' Comprehensive Animal Diagnostic Service for determination of the viral antibody titers. The following tests are performed:

	Hemagglutination <u>Inhibition</u>	Complement <u>Fixation</u>	ELISA
Mice	PVM (pneumonia virus of mice) Reo 3 (reovirus type 3) GDVII (Theiler's encephalomyelitis virus) Poly (polyoma virus) MVM (minute virus of mice) Ectro (infectious ectromelia) Sendai (12, 18, 24 mo)	M.Ad. (mouse adenovirus) LCM (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus) Sendai (6 mo) MHV (6, 12 mo)	MHV (mouse hepatitis virus) (18, 24 mo)
Rats	PVM KRV (Kilham rat virus) H-1 (Toolan's H-1 virus) Sendai (6, 12, 18 mo)	RCV (rat coronavirus) Sendai (24 mo)	

II. Results

Results are presented in Table L1.

	Interval (months)	No. of Animals	Positive Serologic Reaction for
RATS	an a		
	6		None positive
	12	10/10	RCV
	18		None positive
	24	3/10 1/7 4/10	KRV Sendai RCV
MICE			
	6	1/7 2/ 4	GDVII MHV
	12		None positive
	18		None positive
	24		None positive

TABLE L1. MURINE VIRUS ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID (a)

(a) Blood samples were taken from sentinel animals at 6, 12, and 18 months after the start of dosing and from the control animals just before they were killed; samples were sent to Microbiological Associates (Bethesda, MD) for the Animal Disease Screening Program.

APPENDIX M

FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Co	ntrol		62	620 ppm 1.250 pp			1,250 ppm		
Week	Grams Feed/ Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	Low/ Control (a)	Dose/ Day (b)	Grams Feed/ Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	High/ Control (a)	Dose/ Day (b)
1	14	199	14	194	1.0	45	14	191	1.0	92
2	16	222	15	214	0.9	43	15	210	0.9	89
3	15	23 9	14	231	0.9	38	14	227	0.9	77
4	16	252	15	246	0.9	38	16	239	1.0	84
5	15	269	14	259	0.9	34	15	254	1.0	74
6	16	284	15	268	0.9	35	16	266	1.0	75
7	16	296	15	283	0.9	33	15	276	0.9	68
8	16	305	15	292	0.9	32	16	285	1.0	70
9	16	318	16	304	1.0	33	16	294	1.0	68
10	17	323	17	306	1.0	34	16	297	0.9	67
11	17	342	16	320	0.9	31	15	311	0.9	60
12	17	346	16	332	0.9	30	16	306	0.9	65
13	17	355	15	332	0.9	28	15	319	0.9	59
17	16	379	16	359	1.0	28	16	345	1.0	58
21	16	396	15	368	0.9	25	15	352	0.9	53
25	13	401	15	380	1.2	24	14	364	1.1	48
29	16	412	15	391	0.9	24	15	369	0.9	51
33	17	429	16	402	0.9	25	15	381	0.9	49
37	15	440	15	414	1.0	22	15	3 9 0	1.0	48
41	15	443	14	417	0. 9	21	14	395	0. 9	44
45	15	446	15	421	1.0	22	15	401	1.0	47
49	16	453	15	430	0.9	22	14	406	0.9	43
53	16	434	15	410	0.9	23	15	392	0.9	48
57	15	447	13	421	0.9	19	14	398	0.9	44
61	15	444	15	420	1.0	22	15	398	1.0	47
65	17	445	16	424	0. 9	23	16	402	0.9	50
69	15	449	14	422	0.9	21	15	406	1.0	46
73	14	447	14	425	1.0	20	14	402	1.0	44
77	15	445	16	430	1.1	23	15	408	1.0	46
81	16	435	15	428	0.9	22	15	399	0.9	47
85	15	437	14	420	0.9	21	14	398	0.9	44
89	15	437	16	426	1.1	23	15	397	1.0	47
93	18	427	14	417	0.8	21	15	392	0.8	48
97	13	417	14	413	1.1	21	15	397	1.2	47
101	18	403	15	409	0.8	23	15	390	0.8	48
104	15	406	15	400	1.0	23	6	384	0.4	20
an	15.7	378	15.0	362	1.0	27	14.8	346	0.9	56
) (d)	1.2		0.8		0.1	7	1.6		0.1	15
/ (e)	7.6		5.3		10.0	25. 9	10.8		11.1	26.8

TABLE M1. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

(a) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(b) Estimated milligrams of chlorendic acid consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(c) Grams of feed removed from feed hopper per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.
(d) Standard deviation

(e) Coefficient of variation = (standard deviation/mean) \times 100

	Co	ntrol	620 ppm				1,250 ppm				
Week	Grams Feed/ Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	Low/ Control (a)	Dose/ Day (b)	Grams Feed/ Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	High/ Control (a)	Dose/ Day (b)	
1	10	143	14	143	1.4	61	10	140	1.0	89	
2	12	153	13	151	1.1	53	11	147	0.9	94	
3	10	159	16	158	1.6	63	10	152	1.0	82	
4	11	166	14	164	1.3	53	11	157	1.0	88	
5	11	174	13	172	1.2	47	10	164	0.9	76	
6	11	179	14	176	1.3	49	11	168	1.0	82	
7	10	183	14	180	1.4	48	10	172	1.0	73	
8	11	187	14	183	1.3	47	11	174	1.0	79	
9	10	192	15	187	1.5	50	11	178	1.1	77	
10	12	195	12	186	1.0	40	11	177	0.9	78	
11	11	203	14	194	1.3	45	10	183	0.9	68	
12	11	203	14	193	1.3	45	11	181	1.0	76	
13	11	206	14	197	1.3	44	10	185	0.9	68	
17	11	219	14	207	1.3	42	10	194	0.9	64	
21	11	226	14	212	1.3	41	10	199	0.9	63	
25	10	229	14	214	1.4	41	12	202	1.2	74	
29	11	234	14	217	1.3	40	10	204	0.9	61	
33	11	241	13	220	1.2	37	10	205	0.9	61	
37	11	250	14	228	1.3	38	10	211	0.9	59	
41	11	256	15	233	1.4	40	10	214	0.9	58	
40	11	204	14	200	1.3	31	11	219	1.0	57 57	
47	10	271	14	240	1.0	20	10	221	0.5	69	
55	10	271	10	240	1.5	30	10	241	1.1	55	
61	12	200	14	200	1.0	23	10	221	1.0	65	
01	12	290	14	200	1.2	22	12	202	1.0	63	
00	10	303	14	474 901	1.1	04 91	12	201	0.9	56	
72	12	313	14	201	1.2	21	11	44/ 951	0.9	55	
10	12	320	14	400 990	1.2	20	11	251	0.9	55	
01	12	329	14	209	1.2	30	12	250	0.5	50	
95	10	330	13	200	1.0	20	11	250	0.5	53	
80	13	344	15	274 300	1.2	31	12	267	1.0	61	
93	12	350	13	309	1 1	27	11	271	0.9	51	
97	13	351	12	302	09	25	11	274	0.8	50	
101	12	346	14	306	19	28	11	273	0.9	50	
104	12	346	15	303	1.3	31	14	290	1.2	60	
an	11.4	252	13.9	230	1.2	39	10.9	211	1.0	66	
) (d)	0.9		0.9		0.1	10	0.9		0.1	12	
/ (e)	7.9		6.5		8.3	25.6	8.3		10.0	18.2	

TABLE M2. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

(a) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(b) Estimated milligrams of chlorendic acid consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(c) Grams of feed removed from feed hopper per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.
(d) Standard deviation

(e) Coefficient of variation = (standard deviation/mean) \times 100

	Control			62	0 ppm		1.250 ppm				
Week	Grams Feed/ C Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	Low/ Control (a)	Dose/ Day (b)	Grams Feed/ Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	High/ Control (a)	Dose/ Day (b)	
1	5	26.3	5	26.7	1.0	116	6	25.3	1.2	296	
2	5	27.8	5	27.2	1.0	114	5	26.8	1.0	233	
3	5	28.3	5	27.1	1.0	114	5	26.7	1.0	234	
4	7	29.1	7	27.6	1.0	157	7	28.0	1.0	313	
5	5	29.7	6	28.5	1.2	131	6	28.4	1.2	264	
6	5	30.2	6	29.3	1.2	127	6	29.3	1.2	256	
7	5	31.3	ě	30.2	1.2	123	6	30.3	1.2	248	
8	5	30.6	5	31.0	1.0	100	5	30.8	1.0	203	
9	5	31.6	5	30.4	1.0	102	5	30.5	1.0	205	
10	5	32.2	5	30.4	1.0	102	5	30.6	1.0	204	
11	5	32.4	6	31.8	1.2	117	Ğ	31.4	1.2	239	
12	5	33.0	4	31.7	0.8	78	4	31.4	0.8	159	
13	Ă	32.9	4	31.9	1.0	78	4	31.3	1.0	160	
17	4	35.0	4	33.2	1.0	75	Ā	33.1	1.0	151	
21	5	36.6	6	34.3	1 2	108	5	33.9	1.0	184	
25	Å	35.7	4	34.0	1.0	73	4	33 2	1.0	151	
20	4	967	4	24.2	1.0	79		34.3	1.0	146	
22	4	37.9	4	34.4	1.0	79	4	33.8	1.0	140	
00 97	4	37.4	4	04.4	1.0	70	4	26 1	1.0	140	
31	4	30.7	4	30.0	1.0	70	4	00.1	1.0	100	
41	4	38.9	4	30.4	1.0	68	4	30.3	1.0	144	
40	4	40.9	4	37.0	1.0	00	4	37.3	1.0	134	
49	4	40.0	5	37,4	1.3	83	5	36.0	1.3	174	
03	4	41.2	7	38.0	1.8	114	7	37.1	1.8	236	
01	4	41.0	5	39.0	1.3	19	5	37.0	1.3	109	
60	4	41.Z	4	40.5	1.0	61	4	38.5	1.0	130	
69	4	41.6	4	40.0	1.0	62	4	38.1	1.0	131	
73	4	41.0	5	39.2	1.3	79	4	37.2	1.0	134	
77	4	40.8	4	39.5	1.0	63	5	37.7	1.3	166	
81	5	40.4	4	38.4	0.8	65	5	36.7	1.0	170	
85	4	40.1	4	38.3	1.0	65	5	37.4	1.3	167	
89	4	40.0	4	38.0	1.0	65	5	37.0	1.3	169	
97	4	39.4	4	37.6	1.0	66	4	37.1	1.0	135	
101	3	40.0	4	38.0	1.3	65	4	38.0	1.3	132	
103	4	40.0	4	37.0	1.0	67	4	36.0	1.0	139	
104	5	40.0	6	36.3	1.2	102	6	36.7	1.2	204	
lean	4.5	36.1	4.8	34.3	1.1	89	4.9	33.7	1.1	185	
D (d)	0.7		0.9		0.2	25	0.9		0.2	50	
V (e)	15.6		18.8		18.2	28.1	18.4		18.2	27.0	

TABLE M3. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

(a) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(b) Estimated milligrams of chlorendic acid consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(c) Grams of feed removed from feed hopper per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.

(d) Standard deviation

(e) Coefficient of variation = (standard deviation/mean) \times 100

TABLE M4. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF CHLORENDIC ACID

	Co	ntrol		62	0 ppm		1,250 ppm			
Week	Grams Feed/ Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	Low/ Control (a)	Dose/ Day (b)	Grams Feed/ Day (c)	Body Weight (grams)	High/ Control (a)	Dose/ Day (b)
1	5	20.4	5	19.0	1.0	163	6	19.3	1.2	389
2	6	21.0	5	19.6	0.8	158	6	20.6	1.0	364
3	5	22.1	6	21.3	1.2	175	5	19.8	1.0	316
4	7	22.7	7	21.6	1.0	201	6	21.2	0.9	354
5	5	23.6	6	22.5	1.2	165	6	22.4	1.2	335
6	6	24.0	6	23.2	1.0	160	6	23.2	1.0	323
7	6	24.8	6	24.7	1.0	151	6	24.9	1.0	301
8	5	24.0	5	24.2	1.0	128	5	23.7	1.0	264
9	5	24.8	5	24.4	1.0	127	5	23.6	1.0	265
10	5	24.9	ě	25.2	1.2	148	5	24.3	1.0	257
11	5	25.8	ő	25.5	12	146	6	25.1	12	299
12	4	20.0	4	20.0	1.0	95	4	25.3	1.0	198
13	4	26.9	4	20.0	1.0	95	4	25.0	1.0	199
17	4	20.5	4	20.0	1.0	87	-	97.9	1.0	190
21	4	20.0		20.0	1.0	81	4	21.2	1.0	177
21	-	91.9	4	20.0	1.0	89	4	20.4	1.0	153
20		397		20.2	1.0	92 91	4	206	1.0	169
29	4	32.7	4	210	1.0	79	4	29.0	1.0	164
27	4	95.1 95.5	4	01.0 91.C	1.0	79	4	20.4	1.0	156
37	4	30.0	4	04.0 05.0	1.0	70	4	04.1	1.0	150
41	4	30.2	4	30.4	1.0	10	4	04.0	1.0	102
45	4	38.8	4	36.9	1.0	67	4	34.9	1.0	143
49	3	37.4	4	36.5	1.3	68	4	35.3	1.3	142
53	4	38.3	4	36.8	1.0	67	5	34.3	1.3	182
57	4	39.0	4	38.0	1.0	65	4	37.0	1.0	135
65	4	38.7	4	38.4	1.0	65	4	36.9	1.0	136
69	4	39.0	4	39.1	1.0	63	4	36.7	1.0	136
73	4	38.3	4	37.4	1.0	66	4	35.3	1.0	142
77	4	37.6	4	38.2	1.0	65	4	36.3	1.0	138
81	5	37.8	4	36.6	0.8	68	5	34.4	1.0	182
85	4	37.2	4	36.3	1.0	68	4	35.0	1.0	143
89	4	38.0	4	37.0	1.0	67	5	35.0	1.3	179
97	4	39.3	4	37.8	1.0	66	4	35.3	1.0	142
101	4	39.0	4	38.0	1.0	65	3	36.0	0.8	104
103	4	38.0	4	36.0	1.0	69	4	34.0	1.0	147
104	6	37.6	6	35.8	1.0	104	5	34.3	0.8	182
Mean	4.5	31.8	4.6	30.9	1.0	100	4.6	29.8	1.0	207
SD (d)	0.9		0.9		0.1	42	0.8		0.1	80
CV (e)	20.0		19.6		10.0	42.0	17.4		10.0	38.6

(a) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(b) Estimated milligrams of chlorendic acid consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(c) Grams of feed removed from feed hopper per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.

(d) Standard deviation (e) Coefficient of variation = (standard deviation/mean) \times 100

APPENDIX N

INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Meal Diet: June 1980 to July 1982 (Manufactured by Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA)

TABLE N1. INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

Ingredients (b)	Percent by Weight		
Ground #2 yellow shelled corn	24.50		
Ground hard winter wheat	23.00		
Soybean meal (49% protein)	12.00		
Fish meal (60% protein)	10.00		
Wheat middlings	10.00		
Dried skim milk	5.00		
Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17% protein)	4.00		
Corn gluten meal (60% protein)	3.00		
Soy oil	2.50		
Brewer's dried yeast	2.00		
Dry molasses	1.50		
Dicalcium phosphate	1.25		
Ground limestone	0.50		
Salt	0.50		
Premixes (vitamin and mineral)	0.25		

(a) NIH, 1978; NCI, 1976

(b) Ingredients ground to pass through a U.S. Standard Screen No. 16 before being mixed

TABLE N2. VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

Amount		Source	
Vitamins			·
Α	5,500,000 IU	Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate	
D ₃ <i>d</i> -a-Tocopheryl acetate Riboflavin	4,600,000 IU 20,000 IU 3 4 g	D-activated animal sterol	
Thiamine Niacin	10.0 g 30.0 g	Thiamine mononitrate	
<i>d</i> -Pantothenic acid Folic acid	18.0 g 2.2 g	d-Calcium pantothenate	
Pyridoxine B ₁₂	1.7 g 4,000 μg	Pyridoxine hydrochloride	
Biotin K ₃ Chaling	140.0 mg 2.8 g	<i>d</i> -Biotin Menadione activity	
Choilne Minerals	560.0 g	Choline chloride	
Iron	190.0 a	Iron culfato	
Manganese	60.0 g	Manganous oxide	
Zinc	16.0 g	Zinc oxide	
Copper	4.0 g	Copper sulfate	
Iodine	1.4 g	Calcium iodate	
Cobalt	0.4 g	Cobalt carbonate	

(a) Per ton (2,000 lb) of finished product

TABLE N3. NUT	RIENT COMP	DSITION OF	NIH 07	RAT AND	MOUSE	RATION	(a)
---------------	------------	-------------------	--------	---------	-------	--------	-----

Nutrient	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	Number of Samples
Crude protein (percent by weight)	24.20 ± 1.00	22.6-26.3	24
Crude fat (percent by weight)	5.02 ± 0.46	4.2-6.0	24
Crude fiber (percent by weight)	3.48 ± 0.41	2.4-4.3	24
Ash (percent by weight)	6.66 ± 0.41	5.97-7.42	24
Essential Amino Acids (percent of	total diet)		
Arginine	1.260	1.21-1.31	2
Cystine	0.395	0.39-0.40	2
Glycine	1.175	1.15-1.20	2
Histidine	0.553	0.530-0.576	2
Isoleucine	0.908	0.881-0.934	2
Leucine	1.905	1.85-1.96	2
Lysine	1.250	1.20-1.30	2
Methionine	0.310	0.306-0.314	2
Phenylaianine	0.967	0.960-0.974	2
Truntonhou	0.834	0.827-0.840	2
Trypcopnan	0.175	0.1/1-0.1/8	2
Valine	1 085	1 05-1 12	2
Essential Fatty Acids (percent of to	otal diet)	1.00 1.12	-
Linglaig	9.97		1
Linolenic	0.308		1
Arachidonic	0.008		1
Vitaming	0.000		-
V Italiilis			
Vitamin A (IU/kg) Vitamin D (IU/kg)	$11,087 \pm 1,723$ 6 300	7,200-17,000	24 1
a-Tocopherol (nnm)	37.6	31 1-44 0	2
Thiamine (ppm)	18.8 ± 0.36	7.4-26.0	(b) 23
Riboflavin (ppm)	6.9	6.1-7.4	2
Niacin (ppm)	75	65-85	2
Pantothenic acid (ppm)	30.2	29.8-30.5	2
Pyridoxine (ppm)	7.2	5.6-8.8	2
Folic acid (ppm)	2.1	1.8-2.4	2
Biotin (ppm)	0.24	0.21-0.27	2
Vitamin B ₁₂ (ppb)	12.8	10.6-15.0	2
Choline (ppm)	3,315	3,200-3,430	2
Minerals			
Calcium (percent)	1.27 ± 0.19	0.81-1.6	24
Phosphorus (percent)	1.00 ± 0.08	0.84-1.10	24
Potassium (percent)	0.809	0.772-0.846	2
Chloride (percent)	0.557	0.479-0.635	z
Sodium (percent)	0.304	0.258-0.349	2
Sulfur (percent)	0.172	0.100-0.177	2
Iron (nnm)	418	409.426	2
Manganese (nnm)	90.8	86.0-95.5	2
Zinc (ppm)	55.1	54.2-56.0	$\tilde{2}$
Copper (ppm)	12.68	9.65-15.70	2
Iodine (ppm)	2.58	1.52-3.64	2
Chromium (ppm)	1.86	1.79-1.93	2
Cobalt (ppm)	0.57	0.49-0.65	2

(a) One or two batches of feed analyzed were manufactured in January and/or April 1983.
(b) One batch (7/22/81) not analyzed for thiamine.

TABLE N4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Contaminant	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	Number of Samples
Arsenic (ppm)	0.39 ± 0.17	0.13-0.93	24
Cadmium (ppm) (a)	<0.1		24
Lead (ppm)	1.09 ± 0.72	0.33-2.93	24
Mercury (ppm) (a)	0.05		24
Selenium (ppm)	0.30 ± 0.07	0.16-0.48	24
Aflatoxins(ppb)(a,b)	<10		24
Nitrate nitrogen (ppm) (c)	8.50 ± 4.39	0.6-18.0	24
Nitrite nitrogen (ppm) (c)	2.05 ± 1.28	0.4-5.3	24
BHA (ppm) (d,e)	3.68 ± 2.71	0.4-11.0	24
BHT (ppm) (d)	2.65 ± 1.13	1.2-4.9	24
Aerobic plate count $(CFU/g)(f)$	70,729 ± 49,351	7,000-210,000	21
Coliform (MPN/g) (g)	731 ± 880	<3-2,400	24
E. coli (MPN/g)	7.50 ± 7.68	<3-23	24
Total nitrosamines (ppb) (h,i)	7.24 ± 6.70	1.8-24.5	22
Total nitrosamines (ppb) (h,i)	17.03 ± 28.20	1.8-101.6	24
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (h.k)	5.55 ± 6.07	0.7-20.0	22
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (h.l)	13.29 ± 26.86	0.7-99	24
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine (ppb)	1.32 ± 0.81	0.3-3.5	24
Pesticides (ppm)			
a-BHC (a.m)	<0.01		24
β-BHC (a)	< 0.02		24
y-BHC-Lindane (a)	< 0.01		24
δ-BHC (a)	< 0.01		24
Heptachlor (a)	< 0.01		24
Aldrin (a)	< 0.01		24
Heptachlor epoxide (a)	< 0.01		24
DDE (n)	< 0.01	0.05 (7/14/81)	24
DDD(a)	< 0.01		24
DDT (a)	<0.01		24
HCB (a)	< 0.01		24
Mirex (a)	<0.01		24
Methoxychlor (n)	< 0.05	0.13 (8/25/81)	24
Dieldrin (a)	< 0.01		24
Endrin (a)	< 0.01		24
Telodrin (a)	<0.01		24
Chlordane (a)	<0.05		24
Toxapnene (a)	< 0.1		24
Bonnel(a)	< 0.2		24
Fithion (a)	< 0.01		24
Trithion (a)	<0.02		24
Diaginon (a)			24
Methyl nerathion (a)	<0.02		24
Ethyl parathion (a)	<0.02		24
Malathian (a)	0.08 + 0.05	< 0.05-0.25	24
Endoeulfan I (a)	< 0.00	S0.00-0.40	24
Endosulfan II (a)	< 0.01		$\frac{-1}{24}$
Endosulfan sulfate (a)	< 0.03		24

TABLE N4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (Continued)

(a) All values were less than the detection limit, given in the table as the mean.

(b) Detection limit was reduced from 10 ppb to 5 ppb after 7/81.
(c) Source of contamination: alfalfa, grains, and fish meal

(d) Source of contamination: Soy oil and fish meal

(e) Two batches contained less than 0.5 ppm.

(f) CFU = colony-forming unit
 (g) MPN = most probable number

(h) All values were corrected for percent recovery.

(i) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude two very high values of 101.6 and 100.3 ppb for batches produced on 1/26/81 and 4/27/81.

(j) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the very high values given in footnote i.

(k) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude two very high values of 97.9 and 99 ppb for batches produced on 1/26/81 and 4/27/81.

(1) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the high values given in footnote k.

(m) BHC = hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride

(n) One observation was above the detection limit. The value and the date it was obtained are listed under the range.

(o) Nine batches contained more than 0.05 ppm.

Chlorendic Acid, NTP TR 304

APPENDIX O

DISPOSITION AND EXCRETION OF CHLORENDIC ACID

IN FISCHER 344 RATS

(Gary M. Decad and Minerva T. Fields,

Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health 9:911-920, 1982; reproduced with the permission of Hemisphere Publishing Corp., New York, NY)

DISPOSITION AND EXCRETION OF CHLORENDIC ACID IN FISCHER 344 RATS

Gary M. Decad, Minerva T. Fields

National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, and National Toxicology Program, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

The absorption, distribution, and excretion of a highly chlorinated dicarboxylic acid, chlorendic acid, was studied in the male Fischer 344 rat. [14 C]Chlorendic acid was absorbed after an oral dose of 7.7 µmol per kilogram of body weight. The distribution in various tissues was similar whether the treatment was by the oral or the intravenous route. The major site of [14 C]chlorendic acid deposition was the liver, with smaller amounts found in the blood, muscle, skin, and kidneys. Chlorendic acid-derived radloactivity was excreted primarily through the bile and into the feces. The urine contained less than 6% of the total dose. Within 1 d, more than 75% of the total dose was excreted in the feces, primarily as metabolites. Radloactivity in the liver was also primarily metabolites of chlorendic acid. Thus, chlorendic acid was absorbed, metabolized, and excreted primarily in the feces as metabolites. The rapid metabolism and biliary excretion of chlorendic acid contrast with observations for the closely related lipophilic compounds aldrin and dieldrin.

INTRODUCTION

1,4,5,6,7,7-Hexachlorendo-5-norbornene-2,3-dicarboxylic acid (chlorendic acid) is used as a fire retardant in unsaturated polyester fibers and has been suggested for fireproofing polymers of chlorethylene, styrene, and urethan (NTP, 1980). Approximately 1.5 million kilograms of chlorendic acid are produced yearly. There are no data on its fate in laboratory animals, humans, or the environment.

Chlorendic acid is structurally related to the highly chlorinated insecticide aldrin and its environmentally persistent degradation product dieldrin (IARC, 1975). Unlike aldrin or dieldrin, chlorendic acid contains two carboxylic acid groups and is thus a polar representative of a highly chlorinated class of compounds. It was of interest to study the fate of radiolabeled chlorendic acid in the rat after a single oral dose or injection. The distribution in body tissues, excretion, and metabolism were also determined.

METHODS

Male adult Fischer 344 rats weighing 176-215 g were used. They were purchased from Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Wilmington,

Requests for reprints should be sent to G. M. Decad, IBM Corporation, H60/282, 5600 Cottle Road, San Jose, California 95193 (present address).

911

Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, 9:911-920, 1982 Copyright © 1982 by Hemisphere Publishing Corporation 0090-4108/82/050911-10\$2.25

Chlorendic Acid, NTP TR 304

G. M. DECAD AND M. T. FIELDS

Mass.), housed under a 12-h light cycle for at least 1 wk before use, and fed Purina Rat Chow and offered water *ad libitum*.

[U-14 C] Chlorendic acid (12 mCi/mmol) was purchased from Pathfinder Laboratories (St. Louis, Mo.). Radiochemical purity was determined by radio-gas-liquid chromatography on 3% QF-1 by Dr. Phillip Albro, Laboratory of Environmental Chemistry, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. The radiolabeled compound was ≥99% radiochemically pure. The dose solution was made up by dissolving $[{}^{14}C]$ chlorendic acid in a 1:1 mixture of Emulfor, a polyoxyethylated vegetable oil (GAF Corp., New York), and ethanol along with unlabeled chlorendic acid (K & K Laboratories, Irvine, Calif), ≥99% pure by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), determined by Dr. Phillip Albro. Distilled water was then added to give a final chlorendic acid concentration of 3.0 mg per milliliter of the mixture of Emulfor, ethanol, and water (1:1:8 by volume). [¹⁴C]Chlorendic acid solution was injected iv into the tail vein of rats (3 mg/kg, 7.7 μ mol/kg, 11 μ Ci/kg, 1 ml/kg), which were held for 15 min to 7 d, after which they were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. For absorption studies, rats received the same dose as in the iv study by oral intubation and were sacrificed by cervical dislocation after 1 d. All injections and intubations were made between 9 and 10 a.m.

Three animals were exsanguinated by cardiac puncture at each time point, dissected immediately, and the tissues weighed and stored in a freezer until they could be prepared for analysis by oxidation to ¹⁴CO₂ in a Packard model 306B biological oxidizer (Packard Instrument Co., Downers Grove, III.). Recovery of ${}^{14}CO_2$ radioactivity was determined and corrected for quenching in a Beckman model LS8100 liquid scintillation system (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, Calif.). In each case, a section of the tail injection site with 0.5 cm² of surrounding tissue was removed and the residual radioactivity determined. When the injection site contained as much as 5% of the [¹⁴C]chlorendic acid dose, the animal was discarded and another treated for the respective time period. Approximately 5% of the animals were discarded. Most of the tissue samples were finely minced before oxidation. However, blood (0.2 ml drawn with a heparinized syringe from the heart), adipose tissue (50 mg perirenal), and skin (100 mg portion from the ears) were oxidized directly. The skin weight and weight of adipose tissue depots of Fisher 344 rats are 16 and 11% of body weight, respectively (Brinbaum et al., 1980). Estimates of blood volume and muscle weight, 8 and 50% of body weight, respectively, were based on literature values for rats (Matthews and Anderson, 1975).

Animals held for 1 d or longer were housed in individual metabolism cages with food and water *ad libitum*; feces and urine were collected daily. The feces were air-dried, weighed, and ground into a powder

912

DISPOSITION OF CHLORENDIC ACID

with mortar and pestle; two 100-mg samples of each daily collection were oxidized. [14 C]Chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity in the urine was quantified by determining the volume of each urine collection and counting two 0.1-ml samples directly into Aquasol (New England Nuclear Corp., Boston, Mass.). Liquid scintillation counting efficiencies were determined by use of an appropriate [14 C]chlorendic acid standard and corrected for quench in all cases.

Bile duct cannulation experiments were carried out by first anesthetizing rats with pentobarbital (Matthews and Anderson, 1975). The common bile duct was cannulated with PE-10 tubing and bile collected at timed intervals for 6 h. Excretion in bile was determined by counting duplicate $10-\mu$ I samples for each time point in 10 mI Aquasol in a liquid scintillation spectrometer.

Radioactivity was extracted from 6-g samples of liver, 2 g from each of three 1-d animals, with organic solvents before and after acid hydrolysis (Matthews and Anderson, 1975). Tissue extracts were concentrated to 10 ml by rotary evaporation under vacuum and further concentrated under N₂. Concentrated extracts were chromatographed as a band on 20 X 20 cm silica gel G thin-layer plates (Analtech, Inc., Newark, Del.) for 15 cm. The solvent systems used were (1) *n*-butanol, acetic acid, and water (12:3:5 by volume) and (2) ethyl acetate and acetic acid (9:1 by volume). An authentic standard of [¹⁴C] chlorendic acid ($R_f = 0.69$ in both solvent systems) was chromatographed on the same plate with each tissue extract. After chromatography, the silica gel was scraped from the plates in 1-cm bands, placed into liquid scintillation vials, shaken vigorously with 20 ml Aquasol, and counted.

Bile samples were analyzed by thin-layer chromatography with the solvent systems described above both before and after hydrolysis in 1 N HCl at 90°C for 1 h. Bile samples were also treated with β glucuronidase or aryl sulfatase and then a portion of the unextracted sample was subjected to thin-layer chromatography as described above. Approximately 20 μ l bile containing approximately 30,000 cpm was incubated at 37°C for 17 h in 0.1 M acetate buffer, pH 5.0, containing 200 U/ml β -glucuronidase (bovine liver, type B10, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.) or 30 U/ml aryl sulfatase (abalone entrails, type VIII, Sigma Chemical Co.).

Feces were extracted in a Soxhlet apparatus (Matthews and Anderson, 1975) and the extracts analyzed by thin-layer chromatography as described above. Urine was analyzed after extraction with ether (Matthews and Anderson, 1975).

Tissue distribution data were analyzed by a nonlinear regression analyses computer program (Morales et al., 1979) based on the exponential decay curves. The number of exponential terms was determined by best fit. Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD, $n \ge 3$.
Tissue	Percent of dose per gram of tissue $(n > 3 \text{ animals})$								
	15 min	30 min	1 h	3 h	7 h	1 d			
Blood	1.19 ± 0.17	1.13 ± 0.65	1.21 ± 0.15	0.24 ± 0.17	0.03 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.006			
Liver	6.87 ± 0.71	4.85 ± 1.19	3.68 ± 0.18	1.39 ± 0.18	0.522 ± 0.033	0.206 ± 0.122			
Kidney	3.23 ± 0.588	2.08 ± 0.175	1.46 ± 0.183	0.340 ± 0.040	0.075 ± 0.014	0.019 ± 0.014			
Thymus	0.141 ± 0.098	0.432 ± 0.423	0.089 ± 0.019	0.014 ± 0.009	0	0			
Adrenals	9.99 ± 5.85	6.70 ± 1.65	3.69 ± 1.83	0.350 ± 0.606	0	0			
Spleen	0,480 ± 0.062	0.500 ± 0.07	0.212 ± 0.032	0.286 ± 0.440	0.008 ± 0.013	0			
Testes	0.085 ± 0.015	0.499 ± 0.720	0.060 ± 0.004	0.022 ± 0.004	0	0			
Lungs	0.508 ± 0.125	0.750 ± 0.684	0.212 ± 0.032	0.078 ± 0.055	0.010 ± 0.006	0			
Small intestine	0.438 ± 0.196	1.00 ± 1.64	7.99 ± 3.57	1.14 ± 0.751	0.266 ± 0.149	0.010 ± 0.018			
Contents	0.301 ± 0.170	3.55 ± 1.65	10.49 ± 2.04	19.6 ± 11.25	2.49 ± 1.90	0.122 ± 0.039			
Large intestine	0.213 ± 0.112	0.081 ± 0.016	0.177 ± 0.112	0.592 ± 0.292	1.14 ± 0.315	0.035 ± 0.019			
Contents	0.227 ± 0.192	0.128 ± 0.027	0.028 ± 0.016	4.95 ± 1.18	26.7 ± 9.53	1.76 ± 1.19			
Skin	0.386 ± 0.081	0.280 ± 0.037	0.183 ± 0.066	0.020 ± 0.001	0	0			
Brain	0.079 ± 0.049	0.045 ± 0.013	0.020 ± 0.024	0	0.002 ± 0.003	0			
Adipose	0.004 ± 0.003	0.004 ± 0.007	0.003 ± 0.001	0	0	0			
Muscle	0.161 ± 0.028	0.091 ± 0.008	0.058 ± 0.011	0.004 ± 0.004	0	0			
Heart	0.352 ± 0.054	0.228 ± 0.081	0.142 ± 0.043	0.036 ± 0.014	0.009 ± 0.015	0			

TABLE 1. Specific Activity of Chlorendic Acid-derived Radioactivity in Tissues of the Rat after iv Administration

DISPOSITION OF CHLORENDIC ACID

915

RESULTS

Intravenous Administration

The dose of $[{}^{14}C]$ chlorendic acid (7.7 μ mol/kg) was sufficient to allow accurate determination of tissue concentrations and caused no overt signs of toxicity. Major organs and tissues were analyzed for radioactive content at selected time points after chlorendic acid administration. Liver, blood, muscle, skin, and kidneys were the most important depots for chlorendic acid, especially at early time points (Table 1). Since the organ with the greatest amount of radioactivity was the liver, the nature of the radioactivity in this tissue was determined after 1 h and 1 d by extraction and thin-layer chromatography. The total radioactivity extracted from liver was 66.7%. Only 4% of the total radioactivity was present as chlorendic acid. Additional radioactivity was released (62.6%) after acid treatment; this was mostly (85%) [14 C]chlorendic acid. The balance of radioactivity (33.3%) was associated with liver and could not be extracted; this was apparently an artifact of the procedure since this radioactivity was obviously readily cleared by the intact animal (see below). Radioactivity associated with the other tissue depots was insufficient for analysis.

The major organ deposition site of chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity



FIGURE 1. Percent of total chlorendic acid dose in liver versus time. Animals were given 7.7 μ mol/kg 14 C] chlorendic acid iv. Each point represents the mean \pm SD for three animals. The line is the computer-drawn one-component exponential decay curve.

G. M. DECAD AND M. T. FIELDS

at early times after injection was the liver. More than 50% of the total dose was found in this organ within 15 min (Fig. 1). Radioactivity was rapidly removed from the liver, and by 7 h less than 4% of the dose remained. The loss of radioactivity from the liver can be described by a single-component exponential computer-fitted decay curve (Fig. 1). The half-life of chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity in the liver was 1.19 h. Radioactivity removed from the liver was found primarily in bile (see below).

Another major compartment for radioactivity at early time points was the blood. At 15 min more than 16% and by 1 h nearly 20% of the total dose was found in blood (Fig. 2). Thereafter the radioactivity declined exponentially, and by 7 h less than 0.5% of the dose could be detected in the blood. The half-life of chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity in the blood was 0.84 h.

Muscle tissue of rats accounts for a large percentage of the body weight and was another major depot for radioactivity at early time points (Table 1). At 15 min, 14% of the dose was located in this tissue, followed by a rapid single-component exponential decay, with less than 6% of the dose remaining at 1 h. The half-life of chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity in muscle tissue was 0.57 h. Slightly more than 10% of the total dose was found in the skin at 15 min, and it



FIGURE 2. Percent of total chlorendic acid dose in blood versus time. Animals were given 7.7 μ mol/kg [¹⁴C] chlorendic acid iv. Each point represents the mean ± SD for three animals. The line from 1 to 7 h is the computer-drawn one-component exponential decay curve.

916

DISPOSITION OF CHLORENDIC ACID

followed a single-component exponential decay with a half-life of 0.87 h. At 15 min less than 5% of the total dose could be detected in the kidneys, and the amount decreased exponentially thereafter with a half-life of 0.62 h (Table 1).

In addition, radioactivity was measured in thymus, adrenals, spleen, testes, lungs, small and large intestines and their contents, brain, perirenal adipose tissue, and heart (Table 1). The thymus, spleen, testes, lungs, skin, brain, adipose tissue, muscle, and heart did not account for a significant portion of the total dose; on a specific activity basis (percent of dose per gram of tissue), the amount never exceeded one-tenth that observed for liver (Table 1). At early time points, the liver had a high specific activity; at 15 min it was approximately equivalent to 0.11 μ mol/g. The adrenals had a greater specific activity than the liver at early time points, but the concentration of chlorendic acid in the adrenals became undetectable within 7 h. The kidneys also showed a high specific activity at the early time points, followed by blood from 15 min to 1 h.

At 15 min the small and large intestines and their respective contents contained small amounts of radioactivity (Table 1). However, from 30 min to 7 h, the highest specific activity of all tissues was found in the contents of the small and large intestines. This increase at later time points was associated with removal of radioactivity from the liver into the bile.

Oral Administration

Since exposure to chlorendic acid is more likely to occur by ingestion, it was of interest to observe the absorption and distribution of chlorendic acid after oral administration. Three animals were each given an oral dose of 3.0 mg/kg (7.7 μ mol/kg), held in individual metabolism cages for 1 d, sacrificed, and the tissues and excreta assayed for radioactivity and metabolites as described in Methods. These data are compared with data from three animals that received similar iv doses and treatment in Table 2. Total recovery of administered radioactivity was more than 90% in each instance. The animals given the oral dose had slightly more of the dose associated with the liver and less with the blood than the animals given an iv dose. By 1 d, most of the radioactivity was excreted in the feces and a substantial portion remained in the large intestines of both treatment groups. Analysis of the radioactivity revealed predominance of metabolites of chlorendic acid (see below). Comparable percentages of the dose were excreted in the urine of both treatment groups. There was also no detectable difference in the percentages of the dose found in kidneys in both treatment groups. Other tissues examined at this time period, including muscle, skin, and adipose tissue, had no detectable radioactivity.

G. M. DECAD AND M. T. FIELDS

	Percent of total dose $(n > 3 \text{ animals})$			
Tissue	Orai	Intravenous		
Blood	0.033 ± 0.014	0.524 ± 0.026		
Liver	1.08 ± 0.035	0.524 ± 0.026		
Kidney	0.018 ± 0.008	0.021 ± 0.016		
Small intestine	0.188 ± 0.137	0.036 ± 0.062		
Contents	0.460 ± 0.194	0.266 ± 0.157		
Large intestine	1.16 ± 0.394	0.070 ± 0.035		
Contents	12.7 ± 2.76	5.57 ± 2.93		
Feces	73.00 ± 5.93	77.80 ± 13.10		
Urine	2.98 ± 1.35	5.94 ± 2.14		

TABLE 2.	Distribution o	f Radioactivity	1	d after	Administration	of
[14 C] Chlo	rendic Acid					

Excretion

Excretion of $[{}^{14}C]$ chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity was analyzed by daily collection of urine and feces from individual animals held for 1 d or longer after treatment (Table 2). The major route of excretion of chlorendic acid was the feces, and approximately 78% of the dose was excreted in the first 24 h (Table 2). Most of the urinary excretion also occurred within the first 24 h, and less than 0.1% of the dose appeared in the urine on subsequent days (data not shown). Thus, by the first day, more than 73% of the total dose was recovered in the excreta (Table 2). Since the feces were the major



FIGURE 3. Cumulative excretion of chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity in bile. Samples were collected after iv administration of 7.7 μ mol/kg [¹⁴C]chlorendic acid into the femoral vein. Each point represents the mean ± SD for three animals.

DISPOSITION OF CHLORENDIC ACID

route of elimination, excretion of radioactivity through the bile was studied. As shown in Fig. 3, 65% of an iv dose of $[{}^{14}C]$ chlorendic acidderived radioactivity was excreted in the bile within 5 h. This is in close agreement with the fecal excretion data shown in Table 2, suggesting that most of the $[{}^{14}C]$ chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity in bile was excreted in the feces.

The [¹⁴C] chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity in the urine, bile, and feces was examined by extraction and thin-layer chromatography. In the urine, 72% of the radioactivity appeared to represent parent compound. The remainder of the radioactivity was released after acid hydrolysis and then cochromatographed with parent compound, suggesting the presence of conjugates. Similar extraction and analysis of bile collected at time points from 15 min to 5 h indicated that about 20% of the total radioactivity cochromatographed with parent compound. Approximately 25% of the radioactivity extracted from bile chromatographed with an R_f of 0.19 in ethyl acetate and acetic acid (9:1); the remaining radioactivity was at the origin. After acid hydrolysis, all the radioactivity cochromatographed with the parent compound in both systems ($R_f = 0.69$), indicating the presence of conjugates. Treatment of unhydrolyzed bile with β -glucuronidase or aryl sulfatase did not alter the chromatographic results.

Feces were sequentially extracted with hexane, methylene chloride, and acetone before and after acid hydrolysis; only 34% of the radioactivity in feces could be extracted before acid hydrolysis. After acid hydrolysis, 31% was extracted by hexane. Analysis of this extract by thin-layer chromatography in ethyl acetate and acetic acid (9:1) indicated that 81% of the radioactivity cochromatographed with the parent compound, 7% had an R_f of 0.19, and the remainder was located at the origin. The results suggest that most of the radioactivity excreted in bile, and subsequently in feces, represented metabolites of chlorendic acid.

DISCUSSION

This study was performed to ascertain the absorption, distribution, and excretion of chlorendic acid in rats in order to evaluate its potential for bioaccumulation compared to that of the nonpolar halogenated hydrocarbons aldrin and dieldrin. The data showed that orally administered chlorendic acid was absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract. Chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity was initially distributed to the blood, liver, muscle, skin, and kidney and did not accumulate in adipose tissue, as previously observed for dieldrin (1ARC, 1974). Distribution to the tissues was apparently not influenced by route of exposure. Most of the dose was located in the liver. Essentially 96% of the tissue burden may be either acid-labile conjugates of G. M. DECAD AND M. T. FIELDS

chlorendic acid or chlorendic acid bound to tissue which required acid for release.

Chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity was rapidly excreted, primarily by the feces, with only 3-6% in the urine. Radioactivity in the urine was primarily parent compound; the remainder was most likely conjugates. In contrast, most of the radioactivity in the bile was conjugates of chlorendic acid and only about 20% was parent compound. After acid hydrolysis, extraction of chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity from bile was nearly complete; however, after acid hydrolysis of feces, less than one-third of the chlorendic acid-derived radioactivity could be extracted. Data for control extractions of chlorendic acid-spiked feces showed approximately 90% extraction of chlorendic acid.

Chlorendic acid was not stored in any of the tissues examined but was rapidly excreted in the bile; active tubular excretion of this chemical by the kidneys apparently had a relatively minor role in its clearance, in contrast to observations for other organic acids (Pitts, 1979). This result also contrasts with similar studies of the structurally related lipophilic insecticides, aldrin and its metabolite, dieldrin. Dieldrin was shown to be present in the environment and bioaccumulated in adipose tissue, liver, brain, and muscle of mammals, birds, fish and invertebrates (IARC, 1974). It accumulates in the food chain and was detected in human milk and adipose tissue (IARC, 1974). [14 C]Aldrin was converted to dieldrin after oral administration to male rats, and the dieldrin was stored in adipose tissue (IARC, 1975).

The polarity of chlorendic acid and the ability of the rat to metabolize it and rapidly clear it from the body may explain the lack of storage of this compound or its metabolites in adipose or other lipophilic tissues. Chlorendic acid is an amphipathic molecule with a hydrophilic dicarboxylic acid portion. Apparently the hydrophilic portion of the molecule facilitates its metabolism and excretion.

REFERENCES

- Birnbaum, L. D., Decad, G. M., and Matthews, H. B. 1980. Disposition and excretion of 2,3,7,8tetrachlorodibenzofuran in the rat. *Tox/col. Appl. Pharmacol.* 55:342-352.
- IARC. 1974. Monographs on the Chemical Evaluation of Carcinogen Risk to Man: Some Organochlorine Pesticides, pp. 125-156. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer.
- IARC. 1975. Monographs on the Chemical Evaluation of Carcinogen Risk to Man: Some Organochlorine Pesticides, pp. 25-38. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer.
- Matthews, H. B. and Anderson, M. W. 1975. The distribution and excretion of 2,3,5,2', 4', 5'pentachlorobiphenyl in the rat. Drug Metab. Dispos. 3:211-219.
- Morales, N. M., Tuey, D. B., Colburn, W. A., and Matthews, H. B. 1979. Pharmacokinetics of multiple oral doses of selected polychlorinated biphenyl in mice. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 48:397-407.
- NTP. 1980. NTP (National Toxicology Program) Executive Summary. Jefferson, Ark.: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, National Center for Toxicological Research.
- Pitts, R. F. 1979. *Physiology of the Kidney and Body Fluids*, 3d ed., pp. 141-148. Chicago, Ill.: Year Book Medical.

Received June 30, 1981 Accepted October 9, 1981

APPENDIX P

DATA AUDIT SUMMARY

The experimental data, records, and pathology materials from the NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of chlorendic acid in F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice (feed studies) were examined for completeness, consistency, and accuracy and for procedures consistent with Good Laboratory Practice requirements. These studies were performed at Hazleton Laboratories America, Inc., Vienna, Virginia, under a subcontract with Tracor Jitco, Inc., from the National Cancer Institute from June 1980 to June 1982 and were initiated before the requirement of compliance to Good Laboratory Practice standards by the NTP in October 1981. The audit was conducted at Dynamac Corp., Rockville, Maryland, and at the NTP Archives, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. The audit involved the following Dynamac personnel: F. Garner, D.V.M.; L. Keifer, Ph.D.; J. Konz, M.S.P.H.; C. Sexsmith, B.S.; and E. Zurek. M. Shoaf (Pathology Associates, Inc.) also participated.

The complete audit has been reviewed and approved by NTP personnel and is on file at NIEHS, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. The audit consisted of an indepth review of the data and pathology materials collected during the conduct of the studies as well as a review of the correspondence. The review of the inlife toxicology data involved examination of 100% of the records on animal receipt and husbandry, mortality, environmental conditions, and dosing and examination of body weight and clinical observation data for 10% of the animals. In the review of the chemistry data, all of the records associated with receipt, initial analysis, and stability testing by Midwest Research Institite were examined. In addition, records pertaining to receipt, use, bulk chemical analysis, and diet preparation and analysis by the laboratory were examined. The audit of the pathology materials included review of 100% of the Individual Animal Data Records (IADRs) for correlation between gross and microscopic diagnoses and clerical errors, examination of the wet tissues of 10% of the animals for unidentified lesions and correct identification, correlation of slides and tissue blocks for all control and high dose groups, and verification of the reported pathologic effects for a 10% sample of the animals. A draft of the NTP Technical Report was available for validation.

Review of the toxicology data indicated that temperature and humidity readings outside the range specified in the protocol were recorded frequently during several months of the studies. Temperatures were above the 66°-74° F range for an average of 9 days each month for 21 months of the studies. The highest recorded temperature was 81° F, and the lowest was 66° F. The relative humidity was below 40% for an average of 8 days each month for 21 months and above 60% for an average of 8 days each month for 21 months. No relationship was found between the periods of poor environmental control and mortality. Clinical observations were consistent or followed a logical progression over the audited period of the studies. Group mean body weights and feed consumption values were recalculated and validated, except for feed consumption values in the low dose female rats.

A complete review of the available analytical chemistry data found that the study material was received and used in the preparation of formulated diets according to the required protocols. Laboratory reports and raw data indicated that the study material and formulated diets were reanalyzed as required.

The review and audit of the pathology materials indicated some discrepancies between gross and microscopic diagnoses, especially in mice, and several untrimmed lesions in the wet tissues. In rats and mice, the majority of these discrepancies involved potential nonneoplastic lesions in target organs or potential tumors in nontarget organs. A post audit tissue review of these discrepancies resulted in additional diagnoses in the liver of rats and mice, which are included in the Technical Report. Examination of wet tissues indicated that 27 rats and 14 mice had ear tags that matched the inlife ani-mal numbers recorded on the bag labels. Positive identification was not possible in 16/43 rats and 23/37 mice because of missing ears or ear tags; no discrepancies were found in the examination of the wet tissues and the inlife study records, indicating little likelihood of errors regarding animal identification.

Overall, the audit identified no problems that would reduce confidence in the data reported. Although some problems and discrepancies were identified, these were adequately resolved or were determined not to affect the outcome of the studies. In conclusion, the data examined in this audit are considered adequate to support the conclusions presented in the Technical Report.