NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM Technical Report Series No. 315

ANH SERVICES

TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (CAS NO. 2058-46-0) IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE (FEED STUDIES)

> U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

### NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM

The National Toxicology Program (NTP), established in 1978, develops and evaluates scientific information about potentially toxic and hazardous chemicals. This knowledge can be used for protecting the health of the American people and for the primary prevention of disease. By bringing together the relevant programs, staff, and resources from the U.S. Public Health Service, DHHS, the National Toxicology Program has centralized and strengthened activities relating to toxicology research, testing and test development/validation efforts, and the dissemination of toxicological information to the public and scientific communities and to the research and regulatory agencies.

The NTP is made up of four charter DHHS agencies: the National Cancer Institute (NCI), National Institutes of Health; the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health; the National Center for Toxicological Research (NCTR), Food and Drug Administration; and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control. In July 1981, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Testing Program, NCI, was transferred to the NIEHS.

## NTP TECHNICAL REPORT ON THE

# **TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS**

# **STUDIES OF**

# **OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE**

## (CAS NO. 2058-46-0)

## IN F344/N RATS AND B6C3F1 MICE

## (FEED STUDIES)



## NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM P.O. Box 12233 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

January 1987

## NTP TR 315

NIH Publication No. 87-2571

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service National Institutes of Health

#### NOTE TO THE READER

These studies are designed and conducted to characterize and evaluate the toxicologic potential, including carcinogenic activity, of selected chemicals in laboratory animals (usually two species, rats and mice). Chemicals selected for testing in the NTP Carcinogenesis Program are chosen primarily on the bases of human exposure, level of production, and chemical structure. Selection per se is not an indicator of a chemical's carcinogenic potential. Negative results, in which the test animals do not have a greater incidence of cancer than control animals, do not necessarily mean that a test chemical is not a carcinogen, inasmuch as the experiments are conducted under a limited set of conditions. Positive results demonstrate that a test chemical is carcinogenic for animals under the conditions of the test and indicate that exposure to the chemical has the potential for hazard to humans. The determination of the risk to humans from chemicals found to be carcinogenic in animals requires a wider analysis which extends beyond the purview of this study.

Five categories of interpretative conclusions were adopted for use in June 1983 in the Technical Reports series to specifically emphasize consistency and the concept of actual evidence of carcinogenicity. For each definitive study result (male rats, female rats, male mice, female mice), one of the following quintet will be selected to describe the findings. These categories refer to the strength of the experimental evidence and not to either potency or mechanism.

- Clear Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of malignant neoplasms, studies that exhibit a substantially increased incidence of benign neoplasms, or studies that exhibit an increased incidence of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms where each increases with dose.
- Some Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related increased incidence of benign neoplasms, studies that exhibit marginal increases in neoplasms of several organs/tissues, or studies that exhibit a slight increase in uncommon malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Equivocal Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing a chemically related marginal increase of neoplasms.
- No Evidence of Carcinogenicity is demonstrated by studies that are interpreted as showing no chemically related increases in malignant or benign neoplasms.
- Inadequate Study of Carcinogenicity demonstrates that because of major qualitative or quantitative limitations, the studies cannot be interpreted as valid for showing either the presence or absence of a carcinogenic effect.

Additionally, the following concepts (as patterned from the International Agency for Research on Cancer Monographs) have been adopted by the NTP to give further clarification of these issues:

The term *chemical carcinogenesis* generally means the induction by chemicals of neoplasms not usually observed, the earlier induction by chemicals of neoplasms that are commonly observed, or the induction by chemicals of more neoplasms than are generally found. Different mechanisms may be involved in these situations. Etymologically, the term *carcinogenesis* means induction of cancer, that is, of malignant neoplasms; however, the commonly accepted meaning is the induction of various types of neoplasms or of a combination of malignant and benign neoplasms. In the Technical Reports, the words *tumor* and *neoplasm* are used interchangeably.

This study was initiated by the National Cancer Institute's Carcinogenesis Bioassay Program, now part of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Toxicology Program. The studies described in this Technical Report have been conducted in compliance with NTP chemical health and safety requirements and must meet or exceed all applicable Federal, state, and local health and safety regulations. Animal care and use were in accordance with the U.S. Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Animals. All NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies are subjected to a data audit before being presented for peer review.

Although every effort is made to prepare the Technical Reports as accurately as possible, mistakes may occur. Readers are requested to identify any mistakes so that corrective action may be taken. Further, anyone who is aware of related ongoing or published studies not mentioned in this report is encouraged to make this information known to the NTP. Comments and questions about the National Toxicology Program Technical Reports on Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies should be directed to Dr. J.E. Huff, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 (919-541-3780).

These NTP Technical Reports are available for sale from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703-487-4650). Single copies of this Technical Report are available without charge (and while supplies last) from the NTP Public Information Office, National Toxicology Program, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

## CONTENTS

PAGE

ABST	'RACT9
CONT	RIBUTORS
PEER	REVIEW PANEL
SUMN	MARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS
I.	INTRODUCTION
п.	MATERIALS AND METHODS
	PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF OXYTETRACYCLINE
	HYDROCHLORIDE
	PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMULATED DIETS
	FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES23
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	STUDY DESIGN
	SOURCE AND SPECIFICATIONS OF ANIMALS
	ANIMAL MAINTENANCE
	CLINICAL EXAMINATIONS AND PATHOLOGY
	STATISTICAL METHODS
III.	RESULTS
	RATS
	FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	BODY WEIGHTS AND CLINICAL SIGNS
	SURVIVAL
	PATHOLOGY AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RESULTS
	MICE
	FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES
	THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES
	TWO-YEAR STUDIES
	BODY WEIGHTS AND CLINICAL SIGNS
	SURVIVAL
	PATHOLOGY AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RESULTS
IV.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS
v.	REFERENCES

TABLES

TABLE	1	IDENTITY AND SOURCE OF LOTS USED IN THE FEED STUDIES OF
		OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE	2	PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE FEED STUDIES
		OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE23
TABLE	3	SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE
		TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE	4	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE FEED
		STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE	5	SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF RATS IN
		THE FOURTEEN-DAY FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE $\dots 30$
TABLE	6	SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF RATS IN
		THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE . 31
TABLE	7	OXYTETRACYCLINE CONCENTRATION IN BONE OF RATS IN THE
		THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES AS DETERMINED BY A FLUORESCENCE ASSAY . 32
TABLE	8	MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED
		STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE	9	SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE
		HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE	10	ANALYSIS OF ADRENAL GLAND LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
		FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE	11	ANALYSIS OF PITUITARY GLAND LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE
		TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE	12	SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF MICE IN THE
		FOURTEEN-DAY FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE ,
TABLE	13	SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF MICE IN THE
		THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE 40
TABLE	14	OXYTETRACYCLINE CONCENTRATION IN BONE OF MICE IN THE
		THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES AS DETERMINED BY A FLUORESCENCE ASSAY . 41
TABLE	15	MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED
		STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE	16	SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF
		OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

PAGE

## TABLES (Continued)

PAGE

TABLE	17	ANALYSIS OF HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMAS OR CARCINOMAS IN FEMALE MICE
		IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE46
TABLE	18	ANALYSIS OF MALIGNANT LYMPHOMAS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
		FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

## FIGURES

FIGURE	1	GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING OXYTETRACYCLINE
		HYDROCHLORIDE FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	2	KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING
		OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	3	GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING OXYTETRACYCLINE
		HYDROCHLORIDE FOR TWO YEARS
FIGURE	4	KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING
		OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE FOR TWO YEARS45
FIGURE	5	INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF OXYTETRACYCLINE
		HYDROCHLORIDE (LOT NO. 304-G-004)
FIGURE	6	NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF OXYTETRACYCLINE
		HYDROCHLORIDE (LOT NO. 304-G-004) ,
FIGURE	7	INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF OXYTETRACYCLINE
		HYDROCHLORIDE (LOT NO. 69150380)
FIGURE	8	NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF OXYTETRACYCLINE
		HYDROCHLORIDE (LÔT NO. 69150380)

5

## **APPENDIXES**

APPENDIX A	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE A1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE A2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE A3	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE A4	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
APPENDIX B	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE B1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE B2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE B3	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE B4	INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
APPENDIX C	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS IN
	THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE97
TABLE C1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS
	IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE .98
TABLE C2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE
	RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE
	HYDROCHLORIDE
APPENDIX D	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE IN
	THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE 109
TABLE D1	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE
	IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE .110

## **APPENDIXES** (Continued)

PAGE

TABLE D2	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE
	MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE
	HYDROCHLORIDE115
APPENDIX E	ANALYSES OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE E1	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE E2	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE E3	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE E4	ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
APPENDIX F	HISTORICAL INCIDENCES OF TUMORS IN F344/N RATS RECEIVING
	NO TREATMENT
TABLE F1	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ADRENAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE
	F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
TABLE F2	HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE
	F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT
APPENDIX G	GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE G1	MUTAGENICITY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
	IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM
TABLE G2	MUTAGENICITY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE IN L5178Y/TK+/-
	MOUSE LYMPHOMA CELLS IN THE ABSENCE OF S9
TABLE G3	MUTAGENICITY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE IN L5178Y/TK+/~
	MOUSE LYMPHOMA CELLS IN THE PRESENCE OF S9
TABLE G4	INDUCTION OF SISTER-CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER
	OVARY CELLS BY OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE G5	INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER
	OVARY CELLS BY OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
APPENDIX H	CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF OXYTETRACYCLINE
	HYDROCHLORIDE
APPENDIX H	CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

## **APPENDIXES** (Continued)

	PAGE
APPENDIX I	PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMULATED DIETS
APPENDIX J	METHODS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS
APPENDIX K	RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS
TABLE K1	RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE
TABLE K2	RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE174
APPENDIX L	SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM
TABLE LI	MURINE VIRUS ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN
	THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE 177
APPENDIX M	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY RATS AND MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE179
TABLE M1	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE180
TABLE M2	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE RATS IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE181
TABLE M3	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE182
TABLE M4	FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE MICE IN THE
	TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE183
APPENDIX N	INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN
	NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION185
TABLE N1	INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
TABLE N2	VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
TABLE N3	NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
TABLE N4	CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION
APPENDIX O	DATA AUDIT SUMMARY



#### **OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE**

CAS No. 2058-46-0

2-Naphthacenecarboxamide,4(dimethylamino)-1,4,4a,5,5a,6,11,12a-octahydro-3,6,10,12,12apentahydroxy-6-methyl-1,11-dioxo-monohydrochloride

C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>•HCl Molecular weight 496.9

Synonyms: Biosolvomycin; Hydrocyclin; Liquamycin; Otetryn; Oxlopar; 5-Hydroxytetracycline Hydrochloride; Terramycin Hydrochloride; Tetramine; Tetran Hydrochloride

#### ABSTRACT

Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies were conducted on oxytetracycline hydrochloride (greater than 98.8% pure), a broad-spectrum antibiotic. Groups of F344/N rats and B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice were fed diets containing oxytetracycline hydrochloride for a series of 14-day, 13-week, and 2-year studies. In the 14day studies, no compound-related gross pathologic effects were seen in rats or mice (groups of five animals per sex per species) given up to 100,000 ppm in their feed. The final mean body weight of male rats receiving 100,000 ppm in feed was 27% lower than that of the controls. Final mean body weights of mice that received 25,000, 50,000, or 100,000 ppm were lower (male: 11%; 16%; 17%; female: 6%; 5%; 17%) than those of the controls. In the 13-week studies, groups of 10 male and 10 female rats and mice were fed diets containing up to 50,000 ppm in feed, and no chemically related gross or histopathologic effects were observed in mice of either sex or in female rats. In male rats, fatty metamorphosis of minimal severity was diagnosed in the liver of 5/10 animals at 6,300, 12,500, and 50,000 ppm and in 2/10 animals at 3,100 and 25,000 ppm. None was seen in the controls. Oxytetracycline levels in bones of rats and mice (as determined fluorometrically) at the end of the 13-week studies increased with dose, the highest levels (3-10 times background levels) being observed at 50,000 ppm.

The 2-year toxicology and carcinogenesis studies were conducted by administering diets containing 0, 25,000, or 50,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride to groups of 50 male and 50 female rats and diets containing 0, 6,300, or 12,500 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride to groups of 50 male and 50 female mice for 103 weeks. The highest dose selected for rats was considered to be the maximum level that would not affect the nutritional value of dosed feed. The dietary concentrations correspond to the following approximate doses: rats-0, 1,000, or 2,000 mg/kg body weight per day; mice--0, 650, or 1,400 mg/kg per day.

Mean body weights were approximately 5%-8% lower than those of controls in high dose male rats during weeks 4-47, in high dose male mice after week 31, and in high dose female mice after week 26. The mean body weights of dosed female rats and low dose male and female mice were comparable to those of controls. The survival of control male rats was lower than that of the high dose group (22/50 vs 38/50). No significant differences in survival were observed between the remaining groups of rats or between any groups of mice.

Pheochromocytomas of the adrenal gland occurred with positive trends in male rats (control, 10/50; low dose, 18/50; high dose, 24/50), and the incidence in the high dose group was greater than that in the controls. Two additional control males and one additional low dose male had malignant pheochromocytomas. The incidence of adrenal gland medullary hyperplasia was elevated slightly but not significantly in dosed male rats (7/50; 14/50; 9/50).

Adenomas and adenomas or adenocarcinomas (combined) of the pituitary gland in female rats occurred with positive trends, and the incidences in the high dose group were greater than that in the controls (adenomas: 19/50; 17/50; 30/50; adenomas or adenocarcinomas [combined]: 20/50; 24/50; 32/50). The incidence of pituitary gland hyperplasia was slightly decreased in dosed female rats (16/50; 10/50; 11/50).

No compound-related increases in nonneoplastic or neoplastic lesions were observed in male or female mice.

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride was not mutagenic in Salmonella typhimurium strains TA100, TA1535, TA1537, or TA98 in the presence or absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat or male Syrian hamster liver S9 when assayed according to the preincubation protocol. Oxytetracycline hydrochloride was mutagenic in L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> mouse lymphoma cells in the presence but not in the absence of Aroclor 1254-induced male F344 rat liver S9. In cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells, oxytetracycline hydrochloride was weakly positive in inducing sister-chromatid exchanges both with and without Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat liver S9 but did not induce chromosomal aberrations.

An audit of the experimental data was conducted for these 2-year carcinogenesis studies of oxytetracycline hydrochloride. No data discrepancies were found that influenced the final interpretations.

Under the conditions of these 2-year feed studies of oxytetracycline hydrochloride, there was equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity<sup>\*</sup> for male F344/N rats, as indicated by increased incidences of pheochromocytomas of the adrenal gland. There was equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity for female F344/N rats fed diets containing oxytetracycline hydrochloride, as indicated by increased incidences of adenomas of the pituitary gland. There was no evidence of carcinogenicity for male or female B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice fed diets containing 6,300 or 12,500 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride for 2 years.

<sup>\*</sup>Categories of evidence of carcinogenicity are defined in the Note to the Reader on page 2.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 13.

#### CONTRIBUTORS

The NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride is based on the 13-week studies that began in March 1980 and ended in June 1980 and on the 2-year studies that began in November 1980 and ended in November 1982 at Physiological Research Laboratories.

#### National Toxicology Program (Evaluated Experiment, Interpreted Results, and Reported Findings)

Kamal M. Abdo, Ph.D., Chemical Manager

Charles J. Alden, Ph.D. Jack Bishop, Ph.D. Gary A. Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D. Douglas Bristol, Ph.D. Michael Elwell, D.V.M., Ph.D. Scot L. Eustis, D.V.M., Ph.D. Joseph K. Haseman, Ph.D. James Huff, Ph.D. C.W. Jameson, Ph.D. E.E. McConnell, D.V.M. G.N. Rao, D.V.M., Ph.D. B.A. Schwetz, D.V.M., Ph.D. Michael Shelby, Ph.D.

#### NTP Pathology Working Group (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report for Rats on 3/19/85)

Leroy Hall, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Chair) (NTP) Roger Alison, B.V.Sc., M.R.C.V.S. NTP Gary Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Roger Brown, D.V.M. Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. Bhola Gupta, B.V.Sc., Ph.D. (NTP) Paul Hildebrandt, D.V.M. (PATHCO) Robert Kovatch, D.V.M. Pathology Associates, Inc. John Sagartz, D.V.M. Consultant, Manhattan, Kansas Katsuhiko Yoshitomi, D.V.M., Ph.D. NTP Observer

#### NTP Pathology Working Group (Evaluated Slides and Prepared Pathology Report for Mice on 3/21/85)

Robert Sauer, V.M.D. (Chair) (PATHCO) Gary A. Boorman, D.V.M., Ph.D. (NTP) Bhola Gupta, B.V.Sc., Ph.D. (NTP) Joseph Haynes, D.V.M. University of Minnesota Gary Riley, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc.) John Sagartz, D.V.M. Consultant, Manhattan, Kansas Linda Uraih, D.V.M. (NTP)

Principal Contributors at Physiological Research Laboratories (Conducted Studies and Evaluated Tissues)

M. Cowan, M.S., Principal Investigator A. Hall, D.V.M. Pathologist (moribund kills) R. Pieper, M.S., Chemist John Sagartz, D.V.M. Pathologist (rats and mice)

## Experimental Pathology Laboratories, Inc. (Provided Pathology Quality Assurance)

Melvin Hamlin, D.V.M. (for mice) J. Gauchat, Pathology Coordinator Katsuhiko Yoshitomi, D.V.M., Ph.D. (for rats)

### Principal Contributors at Carltech Associates, Inc. (Contractor for Technical Report Preparation)

William D. Theriault, Ph.D. Project Manager Abigail C. Jacobs, Ph.D. Senior Scientist John Warner, M.S. Chemist/Statistician

#### PEER REVIEW PANEL

The members of the Peer Review Panel who evaluated the draft Technical Report on oxytetracycline hydrochloride on December 9, 1985, are listed below. Panel members serve as independent scientists, not as representatives of any institution, company, or governmental agency. In this capacity, Panel members have five major responsibilities: (a) to ascertain that all relevant literature data have been adequately cited and interpreted, (b) to determine if the design and conditions of the NTP studies were appropriate, (c) to ensure that the Technical Report presents the experimental results and conclusions fully and clearly, (d) to judge the significance of the experimental results by scientific criteria, and (e) to assess the evaluation of the evidence of carcinogenicity and other observed toxic responses.

#### National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors Technical Reports Review Subcommittee

Jerry B. Hook, Ph.D. (Chair) Vice President, Preclinical Research and Development Smith Kline & French Laboratories, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Frederica Perera, Dr. P.H. (Principal Reviewer) Division of Environmental Sciences School of Public Health, Columbia University New York, New York James Swenberg, D.V.M., Ph.D. Head, Department of Biochemical Toxicology and Pathobiology Chemical Industry Institute of Toxicology Research Triangle Park, North Carolina

#### Ad Hoc Subcommittee Panel of Experts

John J. Crowley, Ph.D. Division of Public Health Services The Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center Seattle, Washington

Kim Hooper, Ph.D. Hazard Evaluation System and Information Services, Department of Health Services State of California Berkeley, California

Thomas C. Jones, D.V.M. (Principal Reviewer) Professor, Comparative Pathology New England Regional Primate Research Center Harvard Medical School Southborough, Massachusetts

Richard J. Kociba, D.V.M., Ph.D. (Principal Reviewer) Dow Chemical USA Midland, Michigan

David Kotelchuck, Ph.D.\* Environmental Health Science Program Hunter School of Health Sciences New York, New York Franklin E. Mirer, Ph.D. Director, Health and Safety Department International Union, United Auto Workers, Detroit, Michigan

I.F.H. Purchase, B.V.Sc., Ph.D., FRC Path. Central Toxicology Laboratory Imperial Chemical Industries, PLC Alderley Park, England

Robert A. Scala, Ph.D. Senior Scientific Advisor, Medicine and Environmental Health Department Research and Environmental Health Division, Exxon Corporation East Millstone, New Jersey

Steven R. Tannenbaum, Ph.D. Professor, Department of Nutrition and Food Science Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts

Bruce W. Turnbull, Ph.D. Professor and Associate Director College of Engineering Cornell University Ithaca, New York

<sup>\*</sup>Unable to attend

### SUMMARY OF PEER REVIEW COMMENTS ON THE TOXICOLOGY AND CARCINOGENESIS STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

On December 9, 1985, the draft Technical Report on the toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of oxytetracycline hydrochloride received peer review by the National Toxicology Program Board of Scientific Counselors' Technical Reports Review Subcommittee and associated Panel of Experts. The review meeting was held at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

Dr. K. Abdo, NTP, introduced the studies by reviewing the experimental design, results, and proposed conclusions (equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity in rats; no evidence of carcinogenicity in mice).

Dr. Jones, a principal reviewer, agreed with the conclusions as written.

As a second principal reviewer, Dr. Perera did not agree with the conclusions in rats. She stated that in males both a positive trend for pheochromocytomas and significant increases in pheochromocytomas in the high dose group compared with controls provided adequate support for raising the conclusion to some evidence of carcinogenicity. Likewise, in females, a positive trend for pituitary gland neoplasms and a significantly increased incidence of neoplasms in the high dose group compared with controls by the incidental tumor test supported raising the conclusion to some evidence of carcinogenicity. Dr. Abdo explained the rationale for the levels of evidence used. He said that both the adrenal gland and pituitary gland tumors have high and variable spontaneous rates in untreated rats, and, secondly, the increases were considered to be marginal. Also, no increases were observed in the low dose groups. Dr. Turnbull questioned calling the increase in pheochromocytomas in male rats statistically significant as they are common tumors, and the P value was greater than 0.01. Dr. J. Huff, NIEHS, indicated that this marginal increase did not fit the category of no evidence of carcinogenicity.

As a third principal reviewer, Dr. Kociba agreed with the conclusions in mice and with the level of evidence in rats. However, because the conclusions in rats were based on increases in benign tumors, he felt that the conclusions for both sexes should be called equivocal evidence of benign tumor induction. Dr. E. McConnell, NTP, mentioned that pheochromocytomas are benign neoplasms; for the pituitary gland neoplasms, there were 2 adenocarcinomas in the control group versus 10 in the exposed groups. Dr. Huff reminded the Panel that the morphologic type of neoplasms was always given in the conclusion.

In related discussion, Dr. Perera questioned the discounting of statistically significant results (adrenal gland pheochromocytomas in rats) because neither the trend nor the high dose incidence was significant by a newer statistical test, logistic regression analysis. She asked that this decision be better justified here and whenever statistically significant results are downgraded to equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity. Dr. J. Haseman, NIEHS, explained that logistic regression was employed because it does not require the utilization of time intervals and that there was some indication that, for this particular tumor, the survival patterns observed and the specific time intervals used by the incidental tumor test may have unduly influenced the statistical significance. He opined that the increased tumor incidence may have been related to the greater survival in the high dose group (38/50) relative to controls (22/50).

Dr. Jones moved that the Technical Report on oxytetracycline hydrochloride be accepted with the conclusions as written for male and female rats, equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity, and for male and female mice, no evidence of carcinogenicity. Dr. Swenberg seconded the motion, and it was approved by nine affirmative votes to one negative vote (Dr. Turnbull) with one abstention (Dr. Purchase).

Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315 14

## I. INTRODUCTION

Physical and Chemical Properties Production Use Absorption, Distribution, and Excretion Acute Toxicity Chronic Toxicity and Carcinogenicity Reproductive Effects and Teratogenicity Mutagenicity Study Rationale

## I. INTRODUCTION



#### **OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE**

CAS No. 2058-46-0

2-Naphthacenecarboxamide,4(dimethylamino)-1,4,4a,5,5a,6,11,12a-octahydro-3,6,10,12,12apentahydroxy-6-methyl-1,11-dioxo-monohydrochloride

C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>•HCl Molecular weight 496.9

Synonyms: Biosolvomycin; Hydrocyclin; Liquamycin; Otetryn; Oxlopar; 5-Hydroxytetracycline Hydrochloride; Terramycin Hydrochloride; Tetramine; Tetran Hydrochloride

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride, a broad-spectrum antibiotic produced by the actinomycete *Streptomyces rimosus*, exerts antibiotic activity by inhibiting protein synthesis. This inhibition apparently takes place when oxytetracycline binds to 30S ribosomes, preventing aminoacyl tRNA from reaching the mRNA-ribosome complex (Sande and Mandell, 1980).

### **Physical and Chemical Properties**

Recrystallized from water as yellow platelets, oxytetracycline hydrochloride has a melting point of 190°-194° C; it is very soluble in water (1 g/ml), soluble in absolute alcohol (12 mg/ml), and insoluble in ether, petroleum ether, and benzene. Aqueous solutions of oxytetracycline hydrochloride with a pH of 1.0-2.5 are stable for 30 days at 25° C, and those with a pH of 3.0-9.0 are stable for approximately the same time when stored at 5° C. When oxytetracycline hydrochloride crystals were stored at 56° C for 4 months, the potency was reduced by less than 5% (Merck, 1983; Spector, 1957).

#### Production

The 1983 production of tetracycline for all uses was 7.2 million pounds; data on the specific amounts of oxytetracycline hydrochloride produced are not available (USITC, 1984). In 1974,  $1.1 \times 10^5$  kg of oxytetracycline hydrochloride was produced; the major producers were International Rectifier Corp., Rochelle Laboratories, and Pfizer, Inc. (Directory of Chemical Producers, 1977).

#### Use

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride is administered orally and intravenously in humans to treat infectious diseases caused by a wide variety of micro-organisms such as rickettsiae, Mycoplasma pneumoniae, spirochetes, gram-negative bacteria (Pasteurella pestis, Bartonella bacilliformis, Brucella sp.), and gram-positive bacteria (Streptococcus sp., Staphlococcus aureus, Neisseria gonorrhoeae) (Modern Drug Encyclopedia and Therapeutic Index, 1977). Topical

application is recommended only for ophthalmic uses because of the high risk of sensitization (Weinstein, 1970). The oral dose for adults ranges from 1 to 2 g per day in four equal doses. When infections are considered severe, oxytetracycline hydrochloride may be administered intravenously in doses of 1-2 g daily in two equal portions at 12-hour intervals. This antibiotic is available as capsules, tablets, injectable solutions, or syrup and is also sold in combination with other drugs (cortisone, nystatin, polymyxin) as an ophthalmic suspension (5%) or ointment (3%) (Modern Drug Encyclopedia and Therapeutic Index, 1977; PDR, 1980). Adverse effects of oxytetracycline hydrochloride observed in humans include local irritation after intramuscular injection; anorexia, nausea, vomiting, glossitis, dysphagia, and enterocolitis after oral or parenteral administration; and permanent discoloration of the teeth in infants and children under 8 years of age after prolonged use (PDR. 1980).

Injectable preparations of oxytetracycline hydrochloride (200 mg/ml) are administered to beef cattle and nonlactating dairy cows to treat the shipping fever complex associated with Pasteurella sp. and Hemophilus sp., foot rot and diphtheria caused by Spherophorus necrophorus, bacterial scours caused by Escherichia coli, "wooden" tongue caused by Actinobacillus lignieresi, leptospirosis caused by Leptospira pomona, and anthrax caused by Bacillus anthracis. These preparations are also used in swine to treat infectious enteritis and in poultry to treat sacculitis and fowl cholera caused by Mycoplasma gallisepticum and infectious synovitis caused by M. synoviae. The recommended dose is 3-5 mg per pound body weight per day. Oxytetracycline hydrochloride boluses fortified with vitamins A and D and niacin are used to treat scours in calves, dysentery in lambs, and necrotic enteritis in swine. This drug is also used for the treatment of acute/chronic mastitis in lactating dairy cows (Aronson, 1983).

### Absorption, Distribution, and Excretion

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride is incompletely absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract; the amount of absorption in humans is about 60% when administered orally (Fabre et al., 1971).

The percentage of absorbed oxytetracycline hydrochloride seems to be inversely related to the amount administered (Barza and Scheife, 1977). Absorption is decreased in the presence of calcium, magnesium, and iron due to chelation (Banerjee and Chakrabarti, 1976). The amount of oxytetracycline hydrochloride absorbed varies with the age of the subject. Single oral doses of 5 mg/kg were more completely absorbed in 1-dayold chicks than in chickens that were 1 week old; the highest concentrations of oxytetracycline hydrochloride were found in the kidneys and liver and the lowest in the lungs and serum (Black, 1977). The peak plasma concentration occurs soon after administration. In humans, the peak plasma concentration was reached 2-4 hours after a single oral dose and 2.5 hours after repeated dosing (Sande and Mandell, 1980; Green et al., 1976). In mares given an intravenous injection of 5 mg/kg oxytetracycline hydrochloride, the peak plasma concentration was attained in 30 minutes; the chemical was also detected in the synovial and peritoneal fluids. The concentration of oxytetracycline hydrochloride reached a peak of 1,565 µg/ml in the urine 30 minutes after administration (Brown et al., 1981).

The tetracyclines are stored in the reticuloendothelial cells of the liver, spleen, and bone marrow and in the bone, dentine, and enamel of unerupted teeth. They have been detected in the brain, saliva, pleural fluid, semen, prostatic fluid, placenta, and fetal tissue (Weinstein, 1970; Milch et al., 1957). Tetracyclines also have been observed to concentrate and persist in implanted tumor tissue in rats and mice (Rall et al., 1957). Tetracyclines are excreted primarily via the kidney; up to 55% of an oral dose or up to 60% of an intravenous injection is excreted in the urine, and some is excreted in the feces (Sande and Mandell, 1980). Oxytetracycline is excreted in high concentrations by the liver into the bile. The concentration in bile is 6-10 times greater than that in blood (Fabre et al., 1971). The volume of distribution of oxytetracycline hydrochloride is greater than that of body water because it binds to plasma proteins. The volume of distribution in dogs given a single intravenous injection of 5 mg/kg was 2 liters/kg body weight (Baggot et al., 1977). In humans given seven daily oral doses of 500 mg each, the volume of distribution was 4.07 liters/kg (Green et al., 1976).

## **Acute Toxicity**

The acute  $LD_{50}$  values of oxytetracycline hydrochloride were reported to be 7,200 mg/kg (oral) in Swiss mice and less than 4.84 g/kg (intramuscular) in Wistar rats (P'an et al., 1950; Szumigowska et al., 1967).

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (300 g body weight) given 100 mg oxytetracycline hydrochloride by intraperitoneal injection for 14 days showed evidence of renal disease (interstitial infiltration. primarily of lymphocytes) and a loss of body weight (Tarara et al., 1976). A synergistic polyuric effect was seen in female Sprague-Dawley rats administered oxytetracycline hydrochloride (37.5 or 75 mg/kg per day by intraperitoneal injection) and methoxyflurane (1% concentration in air). These rats showed shrinkage of the glomeruli with a widening of the space in Bowman's capsule and deposition of protein in the tubules (Rosenberg and Wahlstrom, 1974). Two dogs (strain not specified) receiving 160 or 240 mg/kg body weight oxytetracycline hydrochloride by intramuscular injection died after 18 or 6 days and exhibited impaired renal functions 1-4 days before death. Histologic examination revealed cloudy swelling of the liver and fatty metamorphosis of the kidney (P'an et al., 1950).

Wistar rats injected intramuscularly with oxytetracycline hydrochloride (300 mg/kg) over an 8-hour period showed severe damage of the epithelium of the small intestine and fatty infiltration of the liver (De Jonge, 1973). Oxytetracycline hydrochloride (0.1 ml of 1% solution) injected intratympanically into albino guinea pigs caused sensory hair cell loss and inflammation of the middle ear mucosa (Parker and James, 1978). An intramuscular injection of 0.6 ml of a 50 mg/ml solution caused necrosis at the site of injection in white Leghorn hens (Blom and Rasmussen, 1976). Reduced bone mineralization occurred in 23-day-old Wistar rats receiving intraperitoneal injections of 2.8 mg in 0.5 ml water every 12 hours for 7 days. Concentrations of calcium and phosphorus in femurs of dosed rats were reduced 22% and 23% when compared with controls; collagen synthesis was not affected (Engesaeter et al., 1980).

#### Chronic Toxicity and Carcinogenicity

No adverse effects were observed on growth rate, feed consumption, and the formed elements of blood when 20 male and 20 female Sprague-Dawley rats were fed diets containing 100 or 1,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride for up to 2 years (Deichmann et al., 1964). The mean survival time for dosed rats was 11% greater than that of the controls. Mammary adenofibromas were observed in 12/17 female rats receiving 100 ppm and in 10/17 female rats receiving 1,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride compared with 1/9 controls. In a second study, groups of 100 male Osborne-Mendel rats fed diets containing 100, 1,000, or 3,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride gained weight more rapidly, had fewer deaths (control, 43%; 3,000 ppm, 13%), and lived longer than the controls (group of 180). No compound-related histopathologic effects were observed at 12, 15, or 18 months. The increased survival in the two studies cited above was thought to be due to the protective action of this antibiotic.

The incidence of liver tumors increased in Sprague-Dawley rats receiving oxytetracycline hydrochloride (1,000 ppm) and nitrite (1,000 ppm) in drinking water as compared with rats receiving oxytetracycline hydrochloride alone (Taylor and Lijinsky, 1975). The incidences were 1/15 for dosed males and 3/15 for dosed females. No liver tumors were observed in rats receiving oxytetracycline hydrochloride alone. Proliferation of Zajdela ascites hepatoma cells grown in adult male Wistar rats weighing about 200 g was arrested by intravenous infusion of 5 mg/kg per day oxytetracycline hydrochloride (van den Bogert et al., 1981).

### **Reproductive Effects and Teratogenicity**

An increase in conception rate was observed in female rats ingesting 2 g/kg oxytetracycline hydrochloride (Elliot and Whitehall, 1957). Fetal litter weight from the exposed dams was elevated, but not significantly. No effect on reproductive performance (sperm volume and morphology, fertility, or hatchability of fertile eggs) was observed in turkeys given diets supplemented with Neomycin Terramycin (220 mg neomycin plus 220 mg oxytetracycline hydrochloride) 1 day out of every 28 days, or 55 mg neomycin plus 55 mg oxytetracycline hydrochloride given continuously (Touchburn and Nestor, 1971).

Litter size and body weights of pups were reduced in litters obtained from albino rat dams injected with 200 mg/kg oxytetracycline hydrochloride (Takayama, 1965). Malformations in fetuses obtained from dosed dams increased by 11%; no malformations were noted in control fetuses. Administration of oxytetracycline hydrochloride to Wistar rats at doses of up to 0.48 g/kg (route unspecified) from the 1st to the 21st day of pregnancy resulted in reduced ossification in the anterior extremities of fetuses and an increase in fetal resorption (Szumigowska-Szrajber and Jeske, 1970, 1973). Daily intramuscular injections (41.5 mg/kg) to rats on days 7 through 18 of gestation had no effect on the number of implantations, the number of live and normal fetuses, the number or percentage of resorptions, or fetal body weight; no macroscopic malformations were observed (Savini et al., 1968).

In studies conducted for the NTP, oxytetracycline hydrochloride was found to be nonteratogenic when administered in corn oil by gavage during the time of organogenesis (gestational days 6-15) at doses of 1,325, 1,670, or 2,100 mg/kg per day to pregnant CD-1 mice and 1,200, 1,350, or 1,500 mg/kg per day to pregnant CD rats (Wolkoski-Tyl et al., 1983; Morrissey et al., 1986). Maternal toxic effects observed included death, reduced body weight, and reduced liver weights.

## Mutagenicity

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride was not mutagenic in Salmonella typhimurium strains TA1535, TA1537, TA1538, TA98, or TA100 with or without metabolic activation (Andrews et al., 1980). However, after nitrosation with nitrous acid, oxytetracycline hydrochloride was mutagenic in all the aforementioned strains except TA1535. Further, in the host-mediated assay with randomly bred male and female Swiss mice, intraperitoneal doses of oxytetracycline

hydrochloride of up to 100 mg/kg or of potassium nitrite at 150 µg/kg were not mutagenic in S. typhimurium strain G46, but a mutagenic response was obtained when the two compounds were tested in combination (Blitek et al., 1983). In the micronucleus test, oxytetracycline hydrochloride administered by gavage to Swiss mice at doses of up to  $2 \times 500 \ \mu g/kg$  produced significant increases in the frequency of micronuclei in bone marrow polychromatic erythrocytes both in the presence and absence of potassium nitrite. However, the investigators speculated that they may have failed to observe a dose-response relationship in these micronucleus tests because of changes in the ratio of erythrocytes to nucleated cells which resulted from bone marrow cytotoxicity associated with kinetically undefined nitrosodimethylamine formation.

In studies performed for the NTP, oxytetracycline hydrochloride at doses of up to 1 µg/plate was not mutagenic in S. typhimurium strains TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and TA98 with or without metabolic activation by Aroclor 1254induced male Sprague-Dawley rat or Syrian hamster liver S9 (Appendix G, Table G1). Oxytetracycline hydrochloride at doses of 100 and 200 µg/ml was mutagenic in L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> mouse lymphoma cells in the presence, but not in the absence, of Aroclor 1254-induced male F344 rat liver S9 (Tables G2 and G3). In cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells, oxytetracycline hydrochloride was weakly positive in inducing sister-chromatid exchanges both with and without Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rat liver S9 but did not induce chromosomal aberrations (Tables G4 and G5).

## **Study Rationale**

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride was nominated for toxicity and carcinogenicity testing by the National Cancer Institute because of extensive human exposure through its use as an antibiotic and because it had been inadequately studied (NCI, 1977). Because of the stability of this compound and because human exposure is usually via the oral route, oxytetracycline hydrochloride was given in feed to both rats and mice.

Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315 20

## **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMULATED DIETS FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES TWO-YEAR STUDIES Study Design Source and Specifications of Animals Animal Maintenance Clinical Examinations and Pathology

**Statistical Methods** 

### PROCUREMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

USP-grade oxytetracycline hydrochloride was obtained in two lots from American Roland Corporation (New York, New York) (Table 1). The supplier provided documentation that both lots conformed to USP specifications (CFR, 1977). Purity and identity analyses were conducted at Midwest Research Institute (Appendix H). The identity of oxytetracycline hydrochloride was confirmed by infrared, ultraviolet/ visible, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. All spectroscopic data were consistent with the structure of oxytetracycline hydrochloride. The purity of both lots of oxytetracycline hydrochloride was determined to be greater than 98% by elemental analysis, water analysis, nonaqueous titration of amines and acidic functional groups, thin-layer chromatography, and high-performance liquid chromatography. Water content of both lots ranged from 0.4% to 1%. Each lot contained an impurity of approximately 0.3%-0.4% which was not identified. Both lots of study material were determined to conform to USP specifications and to contain 100% oxytetracycline hydrochloride when compared with a USP standard by high-performance liquid chromatography.

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride was stable in storage for 2 weeks at 25° C (Appendix H). Oxytetracycline hydrochloride was stored at the study laboratory in the dark at 5° C. Periodic characterization of oxytetracycline hydrochloride by infrared spectroscopy, amine titration, and a ferric chloride potency assay detected no deterioration over the course of the studies.

### PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF FORMULATED DIETS

The homogeneity of a formulated diet mixture was evaluated (Appendix I). Further studies showed that oxytetracycline hydrochloride at 10,000 ppm was stable in feed when stored for 2 weeks at 45° C. The formulated diets were prepared by adding a dry premix of feed and oxytetracycline hydrochloride to the appropriate amount of feed (Table 2). Formulated diets were stored at 25° C for no longer than 14 days. Periodic analysis for oxytetracycline hydrochloride in feed mixtures was performed by the study and analytical chemistry laboratories to determine if the formulated diets contained the correct concentrations of oxytetracycline hydrochloride (Table 3; Appendix J). Because 56/56 mixtures analyzed were within 10% of the target concentration, it is estimated that the feed mixtures were prepared within specifications 100% of the time (Appendix K, Table K1).

HYDROCHLORIDE			
	Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies

TABLE 1. IDENTITY AND SOURCE OF LOTS USED IN THE FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE

	Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Tear Studies
Lot Numbers	304-G-004	304-G-004	304-G-004; 69150380
Date of Initial Use	9/17/79	3/24/80	Lot no. 304-G-004: rats11/17/80; mice11/10/80; lot no. 69150380: NA
Supplier	American Roland Corp. (New York, NY)	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies

#### TABLE 2. PREPARATION AND STORAGE OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies
Preparation	The premix was prepared by weighing a quantity of chemical into a beaker and thoroughly mixing by spatula with weighed amount of feed. This process was repeated three times with additional weighed amounts of feed. The bulk mixing was carried out by mixing the premix with the appropriate amount of feed in a Patterson-Kelly® 8-quart twin-shell blender for 5 min with intensifier bar followed by 10 min mixing without the intensifier bar.	Similar to that of the 14-d studies	Similar to that of the 14-d studies
Maximum Storage Time	14 d	14 d	14 d
Storage Conditions	4° C in the dark	4°C in the dark	25° C

# TABLE 3. SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	Concentrations of Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride in Feed for Target Concentration (ppm)			
	6,300	12,500	25,000	50,000
Mean (ppm)	6,415	12,586	25,093	50,093
Standard deviation	233	440	783	1,450
Coefficient of variation (percent)	3.6	3.5	3.1	2.9
Range (ppm)	6,100-6,800	11,500-13,200	23,400-26,800	48,000-52,300
Number of samples	14	14	14	14

#### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

Four- to five-week old male and female F344/N rats and  $B6C3F_1$  mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories and held for 2 weeks before the studies began.

Groups of five rats and mice of each sex were fed diets containing 0, 6,300, 12,500, 25,000, 50,000, or 100,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride for 14 consecutive days.

Rats and mice were observed twice per day and weighed once per week. Further details on animal maintenance are given in Table 4.

### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

Thirteen-week studies were conducted to evaluate the cumulative toxic effects of repeated exposure to oxytetracycline hydrochloride and to determine the concentrations to be used in the 2-year studies.

Five- to seven-week old male and female F344/N rats and  $B6C3F_1$  mice were obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, observed for 18 days, separated according to weight class, and then assigned to cages according to a table of random numbers. Cages were assigned to exposed and control groups according to another table of random numbers.

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies			
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN					
Size of Study Groups 5 males and 5 females of each species	10 males and 10 females of each species	50 males and 50 females of each species			
Doses 0, 6,300, 12,500, 25,000, 50,000, or 100,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride in feed	0, 3,100, 6,300, 12,500, 25,000, or 50,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride in feed	<b>Rats0, 25,000</b> , or 50,000 ppm oxytetra- cycline hydrochloride in feed; mice0, 6,300, or 12,500 ppm oxytetra- cycline hydrochloride in feed			
Date of First Dose 9/17/79	3/24/80	Rats11/17/80; mice11/10/80			
Date of Last Dose 9/30/79	6/22/80	Rats11/07/82; mice10/31/82			
<b>Duration of Dosing</b> 14 consecutive d	13 wk	103 wk			
Type and Frequency of Observation Observed $2 \times d$ ; weighed on d 1 and $1 \times wk$ thereafter; feed consumption determined $1 \times wk$	Same as 14-d studies	Observed $2 \times d$ ; weighed on d 1, 1 $\times$ wk for 14 wk, and monthly thereafter; feed consumption determined monthly. Palpation at weighing beginning on wk 41			
Necropsy and Histologic Examination Necropsy performed on all animals; 10% of the animals examined histologically	Necropsy performed on all animals; histologic exam performed on all con- trol animals, all dosed animals dying before the scheduled kill, all animals in the highest dose groups, and all dosed animals in which lesions were found at necropsy. Special studies fluorescence was determined on extracts of the left femur from 5 rats and mice of each sex from the 0-, 3,100-, 12,500-, and 50,000-ppm groups.	Necropsy and histologic examination performed on all animals; the following tissues were examined: gross lesions, skin, mandibular lymph node, mammary gland, salivary gland, thigh muscle, sciatic nerve, sternebrae, vertebrae or femur including marrow, costochondrial junction (rib), oral cavity, thymus, larynx and pharynx, trachea, lungs and bronchi, heart and aorta, thyroid gland, para- thyroids, esophagus, stomach, duodenum, jejunum, tongue, tissue masses and regional lymph nodes, ileum, colon, cecum, rectum, mesenteric lymph nodes, liver, gallbladder (mice), kidneys, adre- nal glands, pancreas, spleen, urinary bladder, seminal vesicles/prostate/testes/ epididymis or ovaries/uterus, nasal cavity and nasal turbinates, brain, pituitary gland, spinal cord, eyes, and preputial or clitoral gland			
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINTENANCE					
Strain and Species F344/N rats; B6C3F <sub>1</sub> mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F1 mice	F344/N rats; B6C3F1 mice			
Animal Source Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Portage, MI)	Charles River Breeding Laboratories (Kingston, NY)	Same as 14-d studies			
<b>Study Laboratory</b> Physiological Research Laboratories	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies			
Method of Animal Identification Ratstail mark; miceear punch	Toe clip	Toe and ear clip			

## TABLE 4. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

# TABLE 4. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MATERIALS AND METHODS IN THE FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

Fourteen-Day Studies	Thirteen-Week Studies	Two-Year Studies		
ANIMALS AND ANIMAL MAINTE	NANCE (Continued)			
Time Held Before Study 14 d	18 d	Rats18 d; mice20 d		
Age When Placed on Study 6-7 wk	Rats7-8 wk; mice7-9 wk	Rats7-8 wk; mice8-9 wk		
Age When Killed 9 wk	Rats20-21 wk; mice20-23 wk	Rats111-112 wk; mice112-113 wk		
Necropsy Dates Rats10/2/79; mice10/3/79	Rats6/23/80-6/25/80; mice6/25/80-6/27/80	Rats11/15/82-11/18/82; mice11/8/82-11/11/82		
Method of Animal Distribution Distributed to weight classes and then assigned to cages according to a table of random numbers; cages assigned to groups according to another table of random numbers	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies		
Feed Rodent Laboratory Chow (Ralston Purina Co., St. Louis, MO)	NIH 07 Rat and Mouse Ration (Zeigler Bros., Gardners, PA); available ad libitum	Same as 13-wk studies		
Bedding Aspen wood chips (Minnesota Saw- dust and Shavings Co., Anoka, MN)	Same as 14-d studies	Aspen wood shavings (Minnesota Sawdust and Shavings Co., Anoka, MN)		
Water Automatic watering system (Edstrom Industries, Waterford, WI); softened to <1 grain/gal with sodium zeolite; filtered through spun polyethylene; available ad libitum	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies		
<b>Cages</b> Polycarbonate (Hazleton Systems, Inc., Aberdeen, MD)	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies		
<b>Cage Filters</b> Reemay <sup>®</sup> spun-bonded polyester filters (Snow Filtration, Cincinnati, OH)	Same as 14-d studies	Same as 14-d studies		
Animals per Cage 5	5	5		
Other Chemicals on Study in the Sa None	None	None		
Animal Room Environment Temp22.2°-24.4° C; hum35%-45%; light 12 h/d; 120 room air changes/h	Temp17.8°-25.0° C; hum40%-60%; light 12 h/d; 120 room air changes/h	Temp23.3° ± 1.1°C; hum50% ± 10%; fluorescent light 12 h/d; 15 room air changes/h		

Groups of 10 rats and 10 mice of each sex were given diets containing 0, 3,100, 6,300, 12,500, 25,000, or 50,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride for 13 weeks. Control diets consisted of NIH 07 Rat and Mouse Ration (Appendix N). Formulated or control diets and water were available ad libitum. Further experimental details are summarized in Table 4.

Animals were observed two times per day; moribund animals were killed. Feed consumption was measured weekly by cage. Individual animal weights were recorded once per week.

At the end of the 13-week studies, survivors were killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals except those excessively autolyzed or cannibalized. Tissues and groups examined are listed in Table 4. The fluorescence of extracts of the left femur of five rats and mice of each sex was determined for the 0-, 3,100-, 12,500-, and 50,000-ppm groups.

## **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

## Study Design

Diets containing 0, 25,000, or 50,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride were fed to groups of 50 rats of each sex for 103 weeks. Diets containing 0, 6,300, or 12,500 ppm were fed to groups of 50 mice of each sex for 103 weeks.

## Source and Specifications of Animals

The male and female F344/N rats and B6C3F1 (C57BL/6N, female,  $\times$  C3H/HeN MTV<sup>-</sup>, male) mice used in these studies were produced under strict barrier conditions at Charles River Breeding Laboratories under a contract to the Carcinogenesis Program. Breeding stock for the foundation colonies at the production facility originated at the National Institutes of Health Repository. Animals shipped for study were progeny of defined microflora-associated parents that were transferred from isolators to barriermaintained rooms. Rats were shipped to the study laboratory at 4-5 weeks of age and mice, at 5-6 weeks of age. The animals were guarantined at the study laboratory for 18 days (rats) or 20 days (mice). Thereafter, a complete necropsy was performed on five animals of each sex and species to assess their health status. The rats were placed on study at 7-8 weeks of age and mice, at 8-9 weeks of age. The health of the animals was monitored during the course of the studies according to the protocols of the NTP Sentinel Animal Program (Appendix L).

A quality control skin grafting program has been in effect since early 1978 to monitor the genetic integrity of the inbred mice used to produce the hybrid  $B6C3F_1$  study animal. In mid-1981, data were obtained that showed incompatibility between the NIH C3H reference colony and the C3H colony from a Program supplier. In August 1981, inbred parental lines of mice were further tested for genetic integrity via isozyme and protein electrophoresis profiles that demonstrate phenotype expressions of known genetic loci.

The C57BL/6 mice were homogeneous at all loci tested. Eighty-five percent of the C3H mice monitored were variant at one to three loci, indicating some heterogeneity in the C3H line from this supplier. Nevertheless, the genome of this line is more homogeneous than that of randomly bred stocks.

Male mice from the C3H colony and female mice from the C57BL/6 colony were used as parents for the hybrid  $B6C3F_1$  mice used in these studies. The influence of the potential genetic nonuniformity in the hybrid mice on these results is not known, but results of the studies are not affected because concurrent controls were included in each study.

#### **Animal Maintenance**

Animals were housed five per cage. Feed and water were available ad libitum. Further details of animal maintence are given in Table 4.

## **Clinical Examinations and Pathology**

All animals were observed two times per day, and clinical signs were recorded once per week. Body weights by cage were recorded once per week for the first 14 weeks of the studies and once per month thereafter. Mean body weights were calculated for each group. Animals found moribund and those surviving to the end of the studies were humanely killed. A necropsy was performed on all animals including those found dead, unless they were excessively autolyzed or cannibalized, missexed, or found missing. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies and is not necessarily equal to the number of animals that were placed on study.

During necropsy, all organs and tissues were examined for grossly visible lesions. Tissues were preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Tissues examined microscopically are listed in Table 4.

When the pathology evaluation was completed, the slides, paraffin blocks, and residual wet tissues were sent to the NTP Archives for inventory, slide/block match, and wet tissue audit. The slides, individual animal data records, and pathology tables were sent to an independent quality assessment laboratory. The individual animal records and tables were compared for accuracy, slides and tissue counts were verified, and histotechnique was evaluated. All tumor diagnoses, all target tissues, and all tissues from a randomly selected 10% of the animals were evaluated by a quality assessment pathologist. The quality assessment report and slides were submitted to the Pathology Working Group (PWG) Chairperson, who reviewed all target tissues and those about which there was a disagreement between the laboratory and quality assessment pathologists.

Representative slides selected by the Chairperson were reviewed by the PWG, which includes the laboratory pathologist, without knowledge of previously rendered diagnoses. When the consensus diagnosis of the PWG differed from that of the laboratory pathologist, the laboratory pathologist was asked to reconsider the original diagnosis. This procedure has been described, in part, by Maronpot and Boorman (1982) and Boorman et al. (1985). The final diagnoses represent a consensus of contractor pathologists and the NTP Pathology Working Group. For subsequent analysis of pathology data, the diagnosed lesions for each tissue type are combined according to the guidelines of McConnell et al. (1986).

Slides/tissues are generally not evaluated in a blind fashion (i.e., without knowledge of dose group) unless the lesions in question are subtle or unless there is an inconsistent diagnosis of lesions by the laboratory pathologist. Nonneoplastic lesions are not examined routinely by the quality assessment pathologist or PWG unless they are considered part of the toxic effect of the chemical.

## **Statistical Methods**

Data Recording: Data on this experiment were recorded in the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design, survival, body weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969).

Survival Analyses: The probability of survival was estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and is presented in the form of graphs. Animals were censored from the survival analyses at the time they were found dead of other than natural causes or were found to be missing; animals dying from natural causes were not censored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival used the method of Cox (1972) for testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975) life table test for a dose-related trend. When significant survival differences were detected, additional analyses using these procedures were carried out to determine the time point at which significant differences in the survival curves were first detected. All reported P values for the survival analysis are two-sided.

Calculation of Incidence: The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions is given as the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site to the number of animals in which that site was examined. In most instances, the denominators include only those animals for which the site was examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination was required to detect lesions (e.g., skin or mammary tumors) prior to histologic sampling, or when lesions could have appeared at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the number of animals on which a necropsy was performed.

Analysis of Tumor Incidence: Three statistical methods are used to analyze tumor incidence data. The two that adjust for intercurrent mortality employ the classical method for combining contingency tables developed by Mantel and Haenszel (1959). Tests of significance included pairwise comparisons of high dose and low dose groups with controls and tests for overall doseresponse trends.

For studies in which compound administration has little effect on survival, the results of the three alternative analyses will generally be similar. When differing results are obtained by the three methods, the final interpretation of the data will depend on the extent to which the tumor under consideration is regarded as being the cause of death. Continuity-corrected tests are used in the analysis of tumor incidence, and reported P values for tumor analyses are onesided.

Life Table Analyses--The first method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals dying before the end of the study were "fatal"; i.e., they either directly or indirectly caused the death of the animal. According to this approach, the proportions of tumorbearing animals in the dosed and control groups were compared at each point in time at which an animal died with a tumor of interest. The denominators of these proportions were the total number of animals at risk in each group. These results, including the data from animals killed at the end of the study, were then combined by the Mantel-Haenszel method to obtain an overall P value. This method of adjusting for intercurrent mortality is the life table method of Cox (1972) and of Tarone (1975). The underlying variable considered by this analysis is time to death due to tumor. If the tumor is rapidly lethal, then time to death due to tumor closely approximates time to tumor onset. In this case,

the life table test also provides a comparison of the time-specific tumor incidences.

Incidental Tumor Analyses--The second method of analysis assumed that all tumors of a given type observed in animals that died before the end of the study were "incidental"; i.e., they were merely observed at necropsy in animals dying of an unrelated cause. According to this approach, the proportions of tumor-bearing animals in dosed and control groups were compared in each of five time intervals: weeks 0-52, weeks 53-78, weeks 79-92, week 93 to the week before the terminal-kill period, and the terminal-kill period. The denominators of these proportions were the number of animals actually examined for tumors during the time interval. The individual time interval comparisons were then combined by the previously described method to obtain a single overall result. (See Haseman, 1984, for the computational details of both methods.) A method for the analysis of incidental tumors based on logistic regression (Dinse and Lagakos, 1983) was also employed as a supplemental test in some instances. This method has the advantage of not requiring time intervals in the statistical evaluation.

Unadjusted Analyses--Primarily, survivaladjusted methods are used to evaluate tumor incidence. In addition, the results of the Fisher exact test for pairwise comparisons and the Cochran-Armitage linear trend test (Armitage, 1971; Gart et al., 1979) are given in the appendix containing the analyses of primary tumor incidence. These two tests are based on the overall proportion of tumor-bearing animals and do not adjust for survival differences.

Historical Control Data: Although the concurrent control group is always the first and most appropriate control group used for evaluation, there are certain instances in which historical control data can be helpful in the overall assessment of tumor incidence. Consequently, control tumor incidences from the NTP historical control data base (Haseman et al., 1984, 1985) are included for those tumors appearing to show compound-related effects.

## **III. RESULTS**

## RATS

## FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

## THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

## **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

## MICE

## FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

## THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

## **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

Body Weights and Clinical Signs Survival Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

## FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

None of the rats died before the end of the studies (Table 5). Feed consumption by male rats that received 100,000 ppm in the diet was 35%lower than that of the controls. The final mean body weight of male rats that received 50,000 ppm or 100,000 ppm was 5% or 27% lower than that of the controls. The final mean body weight of female rats that received 100,000 ppm was 6% lower than that of the controls. No compoundrelated effects were observed at necropsy.

Based on the mean body weight depression observed at the 100,000-ppm concentration in both males and females, concentrations of 0, 3,100, 6,300, 12,500, 25,000, and 50,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride were selected for the 13week studies in rats.

## TABLE 5. SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF RATS IN THE FOURTEEN-DAY FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

		Mean Body Weights (grams)			<b>Final Weight</b>	Feed	
Concentration (ppm)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	Relative to Controls (percent)	Consur (d)	nption (e)
MALE							
0	5/5	$103 \pm 4$	178 ± 5	+75 ± 3		13.6	
6,300	5/5	$107 \pm 3$	$188 \pm 3$	$+81 \pm 3$	106	14.2	104
12,500	5/5	97 ± 2	$172 \pm 4$	$+75 \pm 3$	97	12.3	90
25,000	5/5	$104 \pm 5$	$172 \pm 6$	$+68 \pm 3$	97	12.8	94
50,000	5/5	$103 \pm 2$	$169 \pm 3$	$+66 \pm 3$	95	12.8	94
100,000	5/5	98 ± 3	$130 \pm 3$	$+32 \pm 2$	73	8.8	65
FEMALE							
0	5/5	89 ± 2	125 ± 3	$+36 \pm 1$		9.5	
6,300	5/5	$90 \pm 2$	129 ± 2	$+39 \pm 1$	103	9.5	100
12,500	5/5	$87 \pm 5$	$130 \pm 5$	$+43 \pm 1$	104	11.2	118
25,000	5/5	91 ± 2	$133 \pm 5$	$+42 \pm 3$	106	9.5	100
50,000	5/5	$90 \pm 1$	$127 \pm 3$	$+37 \pm 2$	102	8.8	93
100,000	5/5	$88 \pm 2$	$118 \pm 3$	$+30\pm2$	94	8.2	86

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Initial group mean body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) Grams of feed consumed per animal per day averaged over the 2-week period; not corrected for scatter. The estimated doses of oxytetracycline hydrochloride consumed per day, based on the average feed consumption and the mean of the initial and final body weights, are 604, 1,138, 2,319, 4,706, and 7,719 mg/kg for males and 544, 1,290, 2,109, 4,055, and 7,961 mg/kg for females.

(e) Percent feed consumption relative to controls

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

None of the rats died before the end of the studies (Table 6). Final mean body weights and feed consumption of dosed and control groups were comparable.

Degenerative vacuolization (diagnosed as periacinar fatty metamorphosis) of minimal severity was diagnosed in the liver of 5/10 males at 50,000 ppm, 2/10 males at 25,000 ppm, 5/10 males at 12,500 ppm, 5/10 males at 6,300 ppm, and 2/10 males at 3,100 ppm. Except for those males in the 3,100-ppm group, levels of oxytetracycline hydrochloride in bone as measured by fluorometric analysis generally increased with increase in dose (Table 7).

Dose Selection Rationale: Because oxytetracycline hydrochloride at the concentrations studied did not result in life-threatening toxic effects and because 5% chemical (except for dietary constituents) is considered to be the highest dietary dose that rats and mice can receive without reducing the nutritional value of the diet, concentrations of 0, 25,000, and 50,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride in feed were selected for the 2-year rat studies.

# TABLE 6. SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

		Mean Body Weights (grams)			Final Weight Relative	Feed	
Concentration (ppm)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	to Controls (percent)	Consu (d)	imption (e)
MALE		·		·········	··· — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		
0	10/10	$128 \pm 1$	$322 \pm 6$	$+194 \pm 6$		15.7	
3,100	10/10	$131 \pm 1$	$325\pm8$	$+194 \pm 8$	101	14.6	93
6.300	10/10	129 ± 1	$323 \pm 4$	$+194 \pm 4$	100	14.2	90
12,500	10/10	$152 \pm 1$	$338 \pm 6$	$+186 \pm 7$	105	15.3	97
25,000	10/10	$141 \pm 2$	$327 \pm 8$	$+186 \pm 9$	102	14.8	94
50,000	10/10	$132 \pm 1$	$317 \pm 3$	$+185 \pm 3$	98	15.1	96
FEMALE							
0	10/10	$104 \pm 1$	186 ± 1	$+82 \pm 1$		10.2	
3.100	10/10	$112 \pm 1$	$191 \pm 3$	$+79 \pm 3$	103	10.3	101
6.300	10/10	$106 \pm 1$	$191 \pm 2$	$+85 \pm 2$	103	10.2	100
12.500	10/10	$117 \pm 1$	$202 \pm 3$	$+85 \pm 2$	109	10.9	107
25.000	10/10	$111 \pm 1^{\circ}$	$191 \pm 2$	$+80 \pm 2$	103	10.8	106
50,000	10/10	$115 \pm 1$	$197 \pm 3$	$+82\pm 2$	106	10.9	107

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Initial group mean body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) Grams of feed consumed per animal per day not corrected for scatter; average of weeks 4 and 12. The estimated doses of oxytetracycline hydrochloride consumed per day, based on the average feed consumption and the mean of the initial and final body weights, are 198, 394, 778, 1,576, and 3,352 mg/kg for males and 210, 431, 854, 1,780, and 3,494 mg/kg for females. (e) Percent feed consumption relative to controls

TABLE 7.	<b>OXYTETRACYCLINE CONCENTRATION IN BONE OF RATS IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK</b>
	FEED STUDIES AS DETERMINED BY A FLUORESCENCE ASSAY (a)

Concentration (ppm)	Male (µg/g)	Female (µg/g)	
0	$142 \pm 73.5$	44.7 ± 33.0	
3.100	$135 \pm 42.1$	$154.0 \pm 70.0$	
12,500	$217 \pm 56.5$	(b) $248.0 \pm 47.0$	
50,000	(b) 434 ± 107.0	(b) $452.0 \pm 116.0$	

(a) Micrograms oxytetracycline per gram of bone (left femur) (b) P < 0.01 vs controls

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

#### Body Weights and Clinical Signs

Mean body weights of high dose male rats were 5%-8% lower than those of the controls from week 4 to week 47 (Table 8 and Figure 1). Mean body weights of low dose and high dose female rats were comparable to those of the controls throughout most of the study. The average daily feed consumption by low dose and high dose rats was 102% and 103% that of the controls for males and 106% and 104% for females (Appendix M, Tables M1 and M2). The average amount of oxytetracycline hydrochloride consumed per day was approximately 1,000 or 2,000 mg/kg.

		Control		25,000 ppm			50,000 ppm			
Week on Study	Av. Wt. (grams)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of controls)	No. of Survivors	Av. Wt. (grams)	Wt. (percent of controls)	No. of Survivors		
MALE										
1	135	50	140	104	50	138	102	50		
2	186	50	184	99	50 50	177	95	50 50		
4	240	50	241	102	50	202	95	50		
5	264	50	262	99	50	249	94	50		
6	282	50	278	99	50 50	264	94	50 50		
8	311	50	304	98	50	291	94	50		
9	325	50	317	98	50	302	98	50		
10	335	50	326	97 97	50 50	311	93	50 50		
12	356	50	344	97	50	328	92	50		
13	364	50	352	97	50	338	93	50		
14	372	50 50	358	96	50 50	343	92	50 50		
21	411	50	394	96	50	379	92	50		
26	429	50	417	97	50	401	93	50		
31	425	50	415	98	50 50	399	94	50 50		
39	430	50	429	97	50	418	94	50		
43	450	50	440	98	50	428	95	50		
47	453	50 50	449	99	49 49	432	95 98	50 50		
55	461	50	452	98	48	444	96	50		
60	472	49	454	96	48	448	95	50		
64 68	464 461	47 44	457 455	98 99	47 46	447 447	96 97	50 50		
73	454	44	451	99	46	444	98	50		
77	453	39	454	100	46	450	99	49		
81	448 449	37 35	446	99	40	441	98 98	48		
89	451	34	443	98	41	439	97	46		
95	436	31	438	100	36	434	100	44		
98 102	430 423	27 24	430 426	100	34 30	420 421	98 100	43 38		
FEMALE										
1	114	50	113	99	50	115	101	50		
2	136	50	132	97	50	132	97	50		
3	146	50 50	145	99 99	50	145	99 97	50		
5	168	50	166	99	50	165	98	50		
6	177	50	172	97 97	50	171	97	50		
8	188	50	184	98	50	178	95	50		
9	194	50	189	97	50	184	95	50		
10	199 202	50 50	193	97	50 50	188	94	50 50		
12	202	50	195	95	50	195	95	50		
13	209	50	203	97	50	201	96	50		
14	213 224	-50	203	95	50 50	202 216	95	50 50		
21	224	50	220	98	50	215	96	50		
26	233	50	231	99	50	225	97	50		
31	236	50 50	236	100	50 50	233	99	50 50		
39	243	50	245	101	50	240	99	50		
43	247	50 50	251	102	50	247	100	50		
47 51	268	50	269	100	50	262	38 98	50		
55	275	50	275	100	49	268	97	50		
60 64	289 299	50 50	285 295	99 99	49 49	277 284	96 95	49 49		
68	304	50	302	99	49	291	96	49		
73	311	50	313	101	49	- 300	96	48		
77 81	315 319	50 50	318 318	101	49 48	306 307	97 96	47 47		
85	321	50	318	99	46	306	95	44		
89	323	47	319	99	43	308	95	42		
98	328 327	41 38	321 318	ษช 97	39 36	315 311	96	39 37		
102	325	34	314	97	31	308	95	35		
	<del></del>		. <u> </u>							

#### TABLE 8. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE



FIGURE 1. GROWTH CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE FOR TWO YEARS
#### Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female rats fed diets containing oxytetracycline hydrochloride at the concentrations used in these studies and for controls are shown in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 2. The survival of the control group of male rats was significantly lower than that of the high dose group after week 74 (Table 9). No significant differences were observed between any other groups of either sex.

# Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the significant or note-

worthy changes in the incidences of rats with neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the adrenal gland, pituitary gland, and liver. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A (Tables A1 and A2); Appendix A (Tables A3 and A4) also gives the survival and tumor status for individual male and female rats. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C (Tables C1 and C2). Appendix E (Tables E1 and E2) contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes). Historical incidences of tumors in control animals are listed in Appendix F.

#### TABLE 9. SURVIVAL OF RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	Control	25,000 ppm	50,000 ppm
MALE (a)	- 4 - 1		
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	28	21	12
Killed at termination	22	28	38
Died during termination period	0	1	0
Survival P values (c)	0.001	0.173	0.001
FEMALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	19	22	16
Killed at termination	30	28	34
Died during termination period	1	0	0
Survival P values (c)	0.783	0.612	0.836

(a) Terminal-kill period: week 104

(b) Includes animals killed in a moribund condition

(c) The result of the life table trend test is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the controls are in the dosed columns.



FIGURE 2. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR RATS FED DIETS CONTAINING OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE FOR TWO YEARS

Adrenal Gland: The incidence of adrenal gland medullary hyperplasia in low dose male rats was greater than that in the controls (Table 10). Pheochromocytomas and pheochromocytomas or malignant pheochromocytomas (combined) in male rats occurred with significant positive trends (P < 0.05) by the incidental tumor test, and the incidences in the high dose group were significantly greater (P < 0.05) than those in the controls by the incidental tumor test. The incidences of pheochromocytomas were lower in dosed female rats than in the controls (control, 6/50; low dose, 4/50; high dose, 3/50). Further examination of the male rat data revealed a pattern of survival suggesting that the incidental tumor test may have been unduly affected by the incidence of pheochromocytomas in the 53- to 78-week time interval (control, 1/11; high dose, 1/1). Thus, a method for the analysis of incidental tumors based on logistic regression (Dinse and Lagakos, 1983) was used as a supplemental test. This method of analysis does not require time intervals and indicated no significant (P<0.05) effects for the combined incidence of pheochromocytomas or malignant pheochromocytomas (Table 10).

TABLE 10. ANALYSIS OF ADRENAL GLAND LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (a)

	Control	<b>25,000 ppm</b> (b)	50,000 ppm (b)
Adrenal Medullary Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	7/50 (14%)	14/50 (28%)	9/50 (18%)
Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates	10/50 (20%)	18/50 (36%)	24/50 (48%)
Adjusted Rates	37.2%	51.2%	52.9%
Terminal Rates	6/22 (27%)	12/29 (41%)	17/38 (45%)
Week of First Observation	95	94	77
Life Table Tests	P = 0.161	P = 0.221	P = 0.166
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.014	P = 0.135	P = 0.015
Logistic Regression Analysis	P = 0.027	P = 0.149	P = 0.024
Malignant Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	0/50 (0%)
Pheochromocytoma or Malignant P	heochromocytoma (c)		
Overall Rates	12/50 (24%)	19/50 (38%)	24/50 (48%)
Adjusted Rates	41.0%	52.6%	52.9%
Terminal Rates	6/22 (27%)	12/29 (41%)	17/38 (45%)
Week of First Observation	75	94	77
Life Table Tests	P=0.305	P = 0.314	P = 0.312
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.026	P = 0.163	P = 0.026
Logistic Regression Analysis	P = 0.061	P = 0.211	P = 0.053

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes).

(b) The estimated dose in milligrams per kilogram per day is given in Chapter III (Body Weights and Clinical Signs) and in Appendix M.

(c) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 358/1,702 (21%  $\pm$  10%)

*Pituitary Gland:* Adenomas and adenomas or adenocarcinomas (combined) in female rats occurred with significant positive trends, and the incidences in the high dose group were significantly greater (by the incidental tumor test) than those in the controls (Table 11). The incidence of hyperplasia was slightly decreased in dosed female rats relative to controls.

*Liver:* The incidence of fatty metamorphosis was increased in low dose male rats (control, 8/50; low dose, 16/50; high dose, 7/50). Accessory structures were observed at increased incidences in dosed female rats (control, 2/50; low dose, 7/50; high dose, 9/50).

TABLE 11.	ANALYSIS	<b>OF PITUITARY</b>	GLAND	LESIONS	IN FEMALE	RATS IN	THE	TWO-YEAR	FEED
		STUDY OF (	XYTETH	RACYCLIN	E HYDROCH	ILORIDE			

	Control	25,000 ppm	50,000 ppm
Hyperplasia			
Overall Rates	16/50 (32%)	10/50 (20%)	11/50 (22%)
Adenoma			
Overall Rates	19/50 (38%)	17/50 (34%)	30/50 (60%)
Adjusted Rates	44.9%	52.9%	69.5%
Terminal Rates	9/31 (29%)	13/28 (46%)	21/34 (62%)
Week of First Observation	86	101	57
Life Table Tests	P = 0.050	P = 0.544N	P==0.066
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.012	P = 0.477 N	P=0.013
Adenocarcinoma			
Overall Rates	2/50 (4%)	7/50 (14%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates	5.8%	17.5%	8.4%
Terminal Rates	1/31 (3%)	1/28 (4%)	2/34 (6%)
Week of First Observation	99	83	99
Life Table Tests	P = 0.431	P = 0.075	P = 0.520
Incidental Tumor Tests	P=0.294	P=0.083	P = 0.429
Adenoma or Adenocarcinoma (a)			
Overall Rates	20/50 (40%)	24/50 (48%)	32/50 (64%)
Adjusted Rates	47.4%	62.5%	72.6%
Terminal Rates	10/31 (32%)	14/28 (50%)	22/34 (65%)
Week of First Observation	86	83	57
Life Table Tests	P=0.044	P = 0.202	P=0.051
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.004	P = 0.230	P = 0.007

(a) Historical incidence in NTP studies (mean  $\pm$  SD): 805/1,704 (47%  $\pm$  11%)

#### FOURTEEN-DAY STUDIES

None of the mice died before the end of the studies (Table 12). The final mean body weights of male mice that received 25,000, 50,000, or 100,000 ppm in the diet were 11%-26% lower than that of the controls. The final mean body weight of female mice that received 100,000 ppm was 17% lower than that of the controls. Mice receiving 25,000 ppm or higher lost weight during the studies. During week 1, feed consumption at 50,000 and 100,000 ppm for males and

females and at 25,000 ppm for males was 13%-37% lower than those of the corresponding controls. Rough hair coats were observed for males that received 100,000 ppm. No compoundrelated effects were observed at necropsy.

Based on the reduction in mean body weights of both males and females at 100,000 ppm, concentrations of 0, 3,100, 6,300, 12,500, and 50,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride were selected for the 13-week studies in mice.

### TABLE 12.SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF MICE IN THE<br/>FOURTEEN-DAY FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

		Mean Body Weights (grams)			Final Weight Relative Feed		
Concentration (ppm)	Survival (a)	Initial (b)	Final	Change (c)	to Controls (percent)	(d)	umption (e)
MALE							
0	5/5	$26.2 \pm 0.8$	$28.3 \pm 0.9$	$+2.1 \pm 0.2$		3.2	
6,300	5/5	$24.8 \pm 0.7$	$26.3 \pm 0.7$	$+1.5 \pm 0.5$	92.9	2.7	84
12,500	5/5	$25.9 \pm 0.9$	25.9 ± 0.8	$0.0 \pm 0.5$	91.5	2.7	84
25,000	5/5	$25.3 \pm 0.7$	$25.2 \pm 0.9$	$-0.1 \pm 0.5$	89.0	2.7	84
50,000	5/5	$26.1 \pm 0.8$	$23.7 \pm 0.5$	$-2.4 \pm 0.4$	83.7	2.6	81
100,000	5/5	$25.7 \pm 0.6$	$20.8 \pm 0.5$	$-4.9 \pm 0.4$	73.5	2.2	69
FEMALE							
0	5/5	$20.4 \pm 1.0$	$22.1 \pm 0.9$	$+1.7 \pm 0.4$		3.1	
6,300	5/5	$21.5 \pm 1.0$	$23.5 \pm 0.7$	$+2.0 \pm 0.5$	106.3	3.2	103
12,500	5/5	$20.4 \pm 0.4$	$21.5 \pm 0.4$	$+1.1 \pm 0.2$	97.3	2.8	90
25,000	5/5	$21.0 \pm 0.5$	$20.8 \pm 0.5$	$-0.2 \pm 0.2$	94.1	2.8	90
50,000	5/5	$21.5 \pm 0.2$	$20.9 \pm 0.4$	$-0.6 \pm 0.2$	94.6	3.0	97
100,000	5/5	$22.3 \pm 1.0$	$18.4 \pm 0.5$	$-3.9 \pm 0.9$	83.3	2.5	81

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Initial group mean body weight  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) Grams of feed consumed per animal per day averaged over the 2-week period; not corrected for scatter. The estimated doses of oxytetracycline hydrochloride consumed per day, based on the average feed consumption and the mean of the initial and final body weights, are 653, 1,279, 2,624, 5,120, and 9,247 mg/kg for males and 896, 1,641, 3,349, 6,958, and 12,039 mg/kg for females.

(e) Percent feed consumption relative to controls

#### THIRTEEN-WEEK STUDIES

None of the mice died before the end of the studies (Table 13). The final mean body weights of mice that received 25,000 or 50,000 ppm were 3% or 15% lower than that of the controls for males and 8% or 12% for females. Estimated feed consumption by dosed groups was comparable to that of the controls.

Measurable amounts of oxytetracycline hydrochloride as determined by fluorometric analysis were found in the 3,100-, 12,500-, and 50,000-ppm groups of males and the 50,000-ppm group of females (Table 14); only trace amounts were detected at lower doses in females.

No compound-related clinical signs or gross or microscopic pathologic effects were observed.

Dose Selection Rationale: Because mean body weight gains of mice receiving 25,000 ppm or more oxytetracycline hydrochloride in feed were lower than those of the controls, concentrations of 0, 6,300, and 12,500 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride were selected for the 2-year studies.

### TABLE 13. SURVIVAL, MEAN BODY WEIGHTS, AND FEED CONSUMPTION OF MICE IN THE THIRTEEN-WEEK FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

Concentration Survival (a)		<u>Mean</u> Initial (b)	<u>Mean Body Weights (grams)</u> Initial (b) Final Change (c)		Final Weight Relative to Controls (percent)	Feed <u>Consumption</u> (d) (e)	
MATE							
MALE							
0	10/10	$22.7 \pm 0.4$	$30.5 \pm 0.4$	$+7.8 \pm 0.5$		4.2	
3,100	10/10	$24.1 \pm 0.4$	$32.8 \pm 0.6$	$+8.7 \pm 0.4$	107.5	3.6	86
6,300	10/10	$25.5 \pm 0.4$	$34.0 \pm 0.5$	$+8.5 \pm 0.9$	111.5	3.5	83
12,500	10/10	$20.5 \pm 0.6$	$30.3 \pm 0.7$	$+9.8 \pm 1.0$	99.3	3.8	90
25,000	10/10	$24.7 \pm 0.2$	$29.6 \pm 0.4$	$+4.9 \pm 0.3$	97.0	4.1	98
50,000	10/10	$23.6 \pm 0.3$	$25.8 \pm 0.3$	$+2.2 \pm 0.3$	84.6	4.1	98
FEMALE							
0	10/10	$19.7 \pm 0.2$	$25.6 \pm 0.3$	$+5.9 \pm 0.4$		3.0	
3,100	10/10	$17.0 \pm 0.3$	$23.5 \pm 0.4$	$+6.5 \pm 0.3$	91.8	3.0	100
6,300	10/10	$18.1 \pm 0.3$	$24.4 \pm 0.4$	$+6.3 \pm 0.4$	95.3	2.9	97
12,500	10/10	$19.6 \pm 0.2$	$25.0 \pm 0.2$	$+5.4 \pm 0.3$	97.7	3.3	110
25,000	10/10	$18.6 \pm 0.2$	$23.5 \pm 0.3$	$+4.9 \pm 0.3$	91.8	3.3	110
50,000	10/10	$18.9 \pm 0.3$	$22.4 \pm 0.3$	$+3.5 \pm 0.3$	87.5	3.3	110

(a) Number surviving/number initially in group

(b) Initial group mean body weight ± standard error of the mean

(c) Mean body weight change  $\pm$  standard error of the mean

(d) Grams of feed consumed per animal per day not corrected for scatter; average of weeks 4 and 12. The estimated doses of oxytetracycline hydrochloride consumed per day, based on the average feed consumption and the mean of the initial and final body weights, are 392, 741, 1,845, 3,821, and 8,300 mg/kg for males and 459, 845, 1,850, 3,860, and 7,990 mg/kg for females.

(e) Percent feed consumption relative to controls

Concentration (ppm)	Male (µg/g)	Female (µg/g)	
3,100	44.2	Trace	
12,500	32.9	Trace	
50,000	134.0	38.9	

TABLE 14.	OXYTETRACYCLINE	<b>CONCENTRATION 1</b>	IN BONE C	OF MICE IN 7	THE THIRTEEN-WEEK
	FEED STUDIES	AS DETERMINED I	3Y A FLUC	DRESCENCE	ASSAY (a)

(a) Micrograms oxytetracycline per gram of bone (left femur)

#### **TWO-YEAR STUDIES**

#### **Body Weights and Clinical Signs**

The mean body weights of high dose male mice were 5%-8% lower than those of the controls after week 31 (Table 15 and Figure 3). Mean body weights of low dose and control male mice were comparable throughout the studies. The mean body weights of high dose female mice were 5%-9% lower than those of the controls after week 26. The average daily feed consumption per mouse by low dose and high dose mice was 100% that of the controls for males and 100% and 103% for females (Appendix M, Tables M3 and M4). The average amount of oxytetracycline hydrochloride consumed per day was approximately 650 or 1,400 mg/kg.

Control		ontrol		6,300 ppm			12,500 ppm	
Week	Av. Wt.	No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (percent	No. of	Av. Wt.	Wt. (percent	No. of
on Study	(grams)	Survivors	(grams)	of controls)	Survivors	(grams)	of controls)	Survivors
MALE		<u> </u>			**			
1	25.1	50	25.2	100	50	25.0	100	50
2	26.9 27.6	50 50	27.1 27.2	101	50 50	26.3 26.4	96	50
4	28.4	50	28.0	99	50	27.5	97	50
5	29.6	50	28.5	96	50	27.8	94	50
8 7	30.4	50	30.0	99	50	29.9	96	50
8	31.7	49	31.1	98	50	30.3	96	50
9	31.6	49	31.3	99	50	30.5	97	50
10	32.4	49	32.5	100	50	30.2	96	50
12	32.9	49	32.7	99	50	31.7	96	50
13	33.0	49	33.7	102	50 50	32.6 33.9	99 99	50 50
17	35.4	48	37.2	105	49	34.8	98	49
21	36.9	48	38.0	103	48	36.6	99	48
26	37.7	48	38.6	102	47 AB	36.4	97 04	48 47
35	38.5	48	38.9	101	45	36.9	96	47
39	39.6	48	39.8	101	45	87.7	95	47
44	39.5	48	39.8	101	45	37.8	910 Que	47
52	41.2	47	41.8	101	45	39.3	95	47
56	42.5	46	42.8	101	45	40.1	94	47
61	42.0	46	42.1	100	45	40.3	96 94	47
69	42.3	40	41.4	98	44	39.4	98	46
74	41.4	45	40.4	98	44	39.0	94	44
78	41.8	45	41.4	99	42	39.4	94	44
86	40.4	40 45	39.0	97	42	38.2	95	43
90	40.3	44	38.4	95	41	37.8	94	43
96	38.9	38	37.9	97 97	36	37.3	96	39 97
103	40.3	35 31	38.2	95	33	37.2	92	34
FEMALE								
1	19.7	50 50	19.5	99	50 50	19.7 20 1	100	50 50
3	20.5	50	20.2	99	50	20.0	98	50
4	21.0	50	20.8	99	50	20.5	98	50
5	21.8	50	21.6	99 101	50	21.3	96 100	50 50
7	22.4	50	22.5	100	50	22.2	99	50
8	22.8	50	22.7	100	50	22.6	99	50
9 10	23.2 23.2	50 50	23.2 23.7	100	50	23.3	100	50
11	23.5	50	23.6	100	50	23.4	100	50
12	24.3 95 4	50 50	24.8 25.6	102	50 50	24.2	98	50 50
14	25.7	50	25.7	100	50	25.3	98	50
17	28.0	50	28.2	101	50	26.7	95	50
21	29.0	50 50	29,4	97	50 50	28.8	92	50
31	31.8	50	31.2	98	50	29.1	92	50
35	32.3	50	31.1	96	50	29.5	91	50
39 44	34.Z 34.7	50 49	33.8 33.5	99 97	ວບ 50	32.5	94	50
48	36.3	49	34.7	96	50	\$3.9	98	50
52	37.8	49	36.5	97	50	35.8	95 94	50 KA
50 61	39.4 39.3	48	36.4 38.7	98	50	37.2	95	50
65	39.2	48	37.8	96	50	36.9	94	50
69 74	40.5	48	39.1	97 97	49	38.0 98.0	94 94	50 50
78	40.5 39.8	48	39.0	98	49	\$8.0	95	50
82	39.4	45	38.7	98	49	37.6	95	49
86	39.5 39.6	43	38.4	97 97	49 48	37.3 37 4	34 94	49 48
96	40.2	39	38.5	96	43	38.0	95	43
99	40.2	36	38.4	96	38	37.2	98	41
103	41.3	31	38.8	94	35	38.1	92	36

# TABLE 15. MEAN BODY WEIGHTS AND SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIESOF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE



FIGURE 3. GROWTH CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE FOR TWO YEARS

#### Survival

Estimates of the probabilities of survival for male and female mice fed diets containing oxytetracycline hydrochloride at the concentrations used in these studies and for controls are shown in the Kaplan and Meier curves in Figure 4. No significant differences in survival were observed between any groups of either sex (Table 16).

# Pathology and Statistical Analyses of Results

This section describes the significant or noteworthy changes in the incidences of mice with

neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions of the liver and hematopoietic system. Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B (Tables B1 and B2); Appendix B (Tables B3 and B4) also gives the survival and tumor status for individual male and female mice. Findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D (Tables D1 and D2). Appendix E (Tables E3 and E4) contains the statistical analyses of those primary tumors that occurred with an incidence of at least 5% in one of the three groups. The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes). Historical incidences of tumors in control animals are listed in Appendix F.

 TABLE 16. SURVIVAL OF MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE

 HYDROCHLORIDE

	Control	6,300 ppm	12,500 ppm
MALE (a)			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	19	17	16
Killed at termination	29	33	33
Died during termination period	2	0	1
Survival P values (c)	0.658	0.957	0.711
FEMALE (a)		*	
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Nonaccidental deaths before termination (b)	19	15	14
Killed at termination	31	34	36
Died during termination period	0	1	0
Survival P values (c)	0.268	0.438	0.315

(a) Terminal-kill period: week 104

(b) Includes animals killed in a moribund condition

(c) The result of the life table trend test is in the control column, and the results of the life table pairwise comparisons with the controls are in the dosed columns.



FIGURE 4. KAPLAN-MEIER SURVIVAL CURVES FOR MICE FED DIETS CONTAINING OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE FOR TWO YEARS

### **III. RESULTS: MICE**

*Liver:* The incidence of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas (combined) in low dose female mice was significantly lower than that in the controls (Table 17). Hematopoietic System: The incidence of lymphomas in low dose male mice was significantly lower than that in the controls (Table 18).

# TABLE 17. ANALYSIS OF HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMAS OR CARCINOMAS IN FEMALE MICE IN<br/>THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (a)

	Control	6,300 ppm (b)	12,500 ppm (b)
Overall Rates	6/50 (12%)	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates	17.6%	0.0%	5.1%
Terminal Rates	4/31 (13%)	0/35 (0%)	1/36 (3%)
Week of First Observation	91		99
Life Table Tests	P = 0.043N	P = 0.013N	P = 0.099N
Incidental Tumor Tests	P = 0.052N	P = 0.018N	P = 0.118N

(a) The statistical analyses used are discussed in Chapter II (Statistical Methods) and Appendix E (footnotes).
(b) The estimated dose in milligrams per kilogram per day is given in Chapter III (Body Weights and Clinical Signs) and in Appendix M.

### TABLE 18. ANALYSIS OF MALIGNANT LYMPHOMAS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	Control	6,300 ppm	12,500 ppm
Overall Rates	8/50 (16%)	1/50 (2%)	8/50 (16%)
Adjusted Rates	22.1%	2.4%	19.1%
Terminal Rates	5/31 (16%)	0/33 (0%)	3/34 (9%)
Week of First Observation	55	91	29
Life Table Tests	P = 0.527N	P = 0.020N	P = 0.562N
Incidental Tumor Test	P = 0.552	P=0.017N	P = 0.597

### **IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

47 Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315

•

The main effects of oxytetracycline hydrochloride in the 14-day feed studies were reductions in mean body weights and feed consumption of rats and mice at 100,000 ppm; males were more sensitive than females. At this concentration, mean body weights relative to controls were reduced by 27% and 6% for male and female rats and by 26% and 17% for male and female mice; the reduction in average daily feed consumption was 35% and 14% for male and female rats and 31% and 19% for male and female mice. No compound-related deaths or gross pathologic changes were observed in any of the dose groups.

In the 13-week studies, mean body weight reductions (greater than 10%) were noted only in mice at 50,000 ppm (male, 15%; female, 12%). Average daily feed consumption of rats and mice receiving oxytetracycline hydrochloride was comparable to that of the controls. No deaths occurred during the studies. The only compoundrelated change observed was fatty metamorphosis in the liver of male rats (Appendix C); the incidences were 5/10 at 50,000 ppm, 2/10 at 25,000 ppm, 5/10 at 12,500 ppm, 5/10 at 6,300 ppm, and 2/10 at 3,100 ppm; the severity was judged to be minimal. In other studies, fatty infiltration was noted in Wistar rats injected intramuscularly with oxytetracycline hydrochloride (300 mg/kg) over an 8-hour period (De Jonge, 1973). Humans receiving large doses of aureomycin (chlorotetracycline) orally or intravenously developed hepatic dysfunction and fatty accumulation in the liver (Lepper, 1951).

The administration of oxytetracycline hydrochloride at concentrations of 6,300 or 12,500 ppm in the diet of mice for 2 years did not result in any significant toxic effect. Mean body weights and survival of dosed mice were similar to those of controls.

The administration of oxytetracycline hydrochloride at concentrations of 25,000 or 50,000 ppm in the diet of rats for 2 years did not adversely affect survival. These doses were considered to be the highest that could be given without affecting the nutritional value of the formulated diet. Survival of high dose male rats (38/50) was greater (P=0.001) than that of the controls (22/50); there was no clear reason for this difference. Thus, this increased survival may have been due to the administration of the antibiotic. In other studies, increased survival was noted in male and female Sprague-Dawley rats fed diets containing 1,000 ppm and in male Osborne-Mendel rats fed diets containing 3,000 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride for 2 years and was thought to be due to the "protective" effect of this antibiotic (Deichmann et al., 1964).

Mean body weights were approximately 5%-8% lower than those of controls in high dose male rats during weeks 4-47, in high dose male mice after week 31, and in high dose female mice after week 26. The mean body weights of dosed female rats and low dose male and female mice were comparable to those of controls.

Low dose male rats had an increased incidence of fatty metmorphosis in the liver (control, 8/50; low dose, 16/50; high dose, 7/50). Although doserelated increases were not seen in this 2-year study, the increase seen in the low dose group could be considered related to the exposure to oxytetracycline hydrochloride, since fatty metamorphosis was observed in male rats receiving this compound in the diet in the 13-week study and in Wistar rats injected intramuscularly with 300 mg/kg (De Jonge, 1973). This effect appears to be species specific, since only rats were affected.

Pheochromocytomas of the adrenal gland occurred with a positive trend in male rats (control, 10/50; low dose, 18/50; high dose, 24/50), and the incidence in the high dose group was greater than that in the controls (see Table 10). The incidence of malignant pheochromocytomas decreased slightly (2/50; 1/50; 0/50). Pheochromocytomas or malignant pheochromocytomas (combined) were observed in male rats with a positive trend by the incidental tumor test, and the incidence in the high dose group was greater than that in the controls. However, neither the trend nor the high dose incidence was statistically significant by logistic regression analysis (P=0.061 and 0.053, respectively), a procedure for incidental tumor analysis that does not require time intervals (Dinse and Lagakos, 1983). The increased incidence of pheochromocytomas in high dose male rats appears to be due in part to the improved survival in this group relative to controls. Since the incidence in the high dose

group was also greater than the control rate in NTP studies (358/1,702, 21%; range, 3/50-21/49, 6%-44%; Appendix F, Table F1), this increase may have been associated with exposure to oxytetracycline hydrochloride. Adrenal gland medullary hyperplasia was elevated slightly but not significantly in dosed male rats (7/50; 14/50; 9/50).

Adenomas or adenocarcinomas (combined) in the pituitary gland of female rats were observed with a positive trend (P<0.05), and the incidence was greater (P<0.05) in the high dose group than in the control group. The incidences were as follows: control, 20/50; low dose, 24/50; high dose, 32/50. Since the incidence in the high dose group was also greater than the control rate in NTP studies (805/1,704, 47%; range, 9/39-33/47, 23%-70%; Table F2), these tumors may have been related to exposure to this antibiotic. The incidence of hyperplasia of the pituitary gland was lower in dosed female rats than in controls (16/50; 10/50; 11/50).

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride (1,000 ppm) and nitrite (1,000 ppm) given in drinking water increased the incidence of liver tumors in Sprague-Dawley rats (Taylor and Lijinsky, 1975). The incidence of liver tumors was not increased in rats receiving oxytetracycline hydrochloride in the present studies, suggesting that nitrosation is essential for induction of liver tumors by this compound.

In male and female mice, no nonneoplastic or neoplastic lesions were considered related to the administration of oxytetracycline hydrochloride.

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride was not mutagenic in Salmonella strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, or TA1537 with or without metabolic activation (Appendix G, Table G1) and did not induce chromosomal aberrations in Chinese hamster ovary cells either with or without metabolic activation (Table G5). The two highest doses of oxytetracycline hydrochloride tested in L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> mouse lymphoma cells induced forward mutations only in the presence of Aroclor 1254-induced male F344 rat liver S9, but the highest dose (200 µg/ml) was highly toxic and the second highest (100 µg/ml) was slightly toxic (Table G3). An increase in the frequency of sister-chromatid exchanges in Chinese hamster ovary cells was observed for all doses of oxytetracycline hydrochloride tested in the presence of S9, and the response increased with increasing dose (Table G4). However, the positive response in the absence of S9 was marginal, and control values, both in the presence and absence of S9, were high. Although studies by Blitek et al. (1983) and Andrews et al. (1980) indicate that oxytetracycline hydrochloride may be nitrosated to a genetically active agent, the mutagenicity of oxytetracycline hydrochloride is considered limited because the relative increase in SCEs was minimal and positive response in the mouse lymphoma assay was observed only at nearly toxic dose levels.

Conclusions: Under the conditions of these 2year feed studies of oxytetracycline hydrochloride, there was equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity\* for male F344/N rats, as indicated by increased incidences of pheochromocytomas of the adrenal gland. There was equivocal evidence of carcinogenicity for female F344/N rats fed diets containing oxytetracycline hydrochloride, as indicated by increased incidences of adenomas of the pituitary gland. There was no evidence of carcinogenicity for male or female B6C3F<sub>1</sub> mice fed diets containing 6,300 or 12,500 ppm oxytetracycline hydrochloride for 2 years.

<sup>\*</sup>Categories of evidence of carcinogenicity are defined in the Note to the Reader on page 2.

A summary of the Peer Review comments and the public discussion on this Technical Report appears on page 13.

Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315 50

### **V. REFERENCES**

### **V. REFERENCES**

1. Andrews, A.; Fornwald, J.; Lijinsky, W. (1980) Nitrosation and mutagenicity of some amine drugs. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 52:237-244.

2. Armitage, P. (1971) Statistical Methods in Medical Research. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 362-365.

3. Aronson, C., Ed. (1983) Veterinary Pharmaceuticals and Biologicals. Edwardsville, KS: Veterinary Medicine Publishing Co.

4. Asleson, G.; Stoel, L.; Newman, E.; Frank, C. (1974) NMR spectra of oxytetracycline: Assignment of additional protons. J. Pharm. Sci. 63:1144.

5. Baggot, J.; Powers, T.; Powers, J.; Kowalski, J.; Kerr, K. (1977) Pharmacokinetics and dosage of oxytetracycline in dogs. Res. Vet. Sci. 24:77-81.

6. Banerjee, S.; Chakrabarti, K. (1976) The transport of tetracyclines across the mouse ileum *in vitro*: the effect of cations and other agents. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 28:133-138.

7. Barza, M.; Scheife, R. (1977) Antimicrobial spectrum, pharmacology, and therapeutic use of antibiotics. IV. Aminoglycosides. J. Maine Med. Assoc. 68:194-210.

8. Berenblum, I., Ed. (1969) Carcinogenicity Testing: A Report of the Panel on Carcinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission of UICC, Vol. 2. Geneva: International Union Against Cancer.

9. Black, W. (1977) A study of pharmacodynamics of oxytetracycline in the chicken. Poult. Sci. 56:1430-1434.

10. Blitek, D.; Pienkowska, K.; Gajcy, H.; Koziorowska, J. (1983) Mutagenicity of oxytetracycline. Mutat. Res. 117:193-199.

11. Blom, L.; Rasmussen, F. (1976) Tissue damage at the injection site after intramuscular injection of drugs in hens. Br. Poult. Sci. 17:1-4.

12. Boorman, G.; Montgomery, C., Jr.; Eustis, S.; Wolfe, M.; McConnell, E.; Hardisty, J. (1985) Quality assurance in pathology for rodent carcinogenicity studies. Milman, H.; Weisburger, E., Eds.: Handbook of Carcinogen Testing. Park Ridge, NJ: Noyes Publications, pp. 345-357.

13. Brown, M.; Stover, S.; Kelly, R.; Farver, T.; Knight, H. (1981) Oxytetracycline hydrochloride in the horse: serum, synovial, peritoneal and urine concentrations after single dose intravenous administration. J. Vet. Pharmacol. Therap. 4:7-10.

14. Clarke, E., Ed. (1969) Isolation and Identification of Drugs. London: The Pharmaceutical Press, p. 463.

15. Clive, D.; Johnson, K.; Spector, J.; Batson, A.; Brown, M. (1979) Validation and characterization of the L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> mouse lymphoma mutagen assay system. Mutat. Res. 59:61-108.

16. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) (1977) Title 21, Food and Drugs, Parts 300-499, 446.65a, April 1. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.

17. Cox, D. (1972) Regression models and life tables. J. R. Stat. Soc. B34:187-220.

18. De Jonge, H. (1973) Toxicity of tetracyclines in rat-small-intestinal epithelium and liver. Biochem. Pharmacol. 22:2659-2677.

19. Deichmann, W.; Bernal, E.; Anderson, W.; Keplinger, M.; Landeen, K.; MacDonald, W. (1964) The chronic oral toxicity of oxytetracycline HCl and tetracycline HCl in the rat, dog and pig. Ind. Med. Surg. 33:787-806.

20. Dinse, G.; Lagakos, S. (1983) Regression analysis of tumor prevalence data. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. C32:236-248.

21. Directory of Chemical Producers--U.S.A. (1977) Menlo Park, CA: Chemical Information Services, SRI International, pp. 1254-1255. 22. Elliott, R.; Whitehall, A. (1957) Placental and mammary transfer of prematures receiving tetracycline. Am. J. Dis. Child. 105:453-461.

23. Engesaeter, L.; Underal, T.; Langeland, N. (1980) Effects of oxytetracycline on mineralization of bone in young rats. Acta Orthop. Scand. 51:459-465.

24. Fabre, J.; Milek, E.; Kalfopoulos, P.; Merier, G. (1971) Kinetics of tetracycline in man. Schweiz. Med. Wschr. 101:593-598.

25. Galloway, S.; Bloom, A.; Resnick, M.; Margolin, B.; Nakamura, F.; Archer, P.; Zeiger, E. (1985) Development of a standard protocol for in vitro cytogenetic testing with Chinese hamster ovary cells: Comparison of results for 22 compounds in two laboratories. Environ. Mutagen. 7:1-51.

26. Gart, J.; Chu, K.; Tarone, R. (1979) Statistical issues in interpretation of chronic bioassay tests for carcinogenicity. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 62:957-974.

27. Green, R.; Brown, J.; Calvert, R. (1976) The disposition of four tetracyclines in normal subjects. Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 10:245-250.

28. Gyanchandi, N.; et al. (1970) Improved thinlayer chromatographic identification of tetracyclines and their degradation products: Application in an epimerization study. J. Pharm. Sci. 59:224-228.

29. Hansen, S. (1973) Titration of tetracyclines in nonaqueous medium with perchloric acid. Arch. Pharm. Chem., Scientific Edition (Copenhagen) 1:89-92.

30. Haseman, J. (1984) Statistical issues in the design, analysis and interpretation of animal carcinogenicity studies. Environ. Health Perspect. 58:385-392.

31. Haseman, J.; Huff, J.; Boorman, G. (1984) Use of historical control data in carcinogenicity studies in rodents. Toxicol. Pathol. 12:126-135. 32. Haseman, J.K.; Huff, J.; Rao, G.N.; Arnold, J.; Boorman, G.A.; McConnell, E.E. (1985) Neoplasms observed in untreated and corn oil gavage control groups of F344/N rats and (C57BL/6N  $\times$  C3H/HeN)F<sub>1</sub> (B6C3F<sub>1</sub>) mice. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 75:975-984.

33. Haworth, S.; Lawlor, T.; Mortelmans, K.; Speck, W.; Zeiger, E. (1983) Salmonella mutagenicity test results for 250 chemicals. Environ. Mutagen. (Suppl. 1) 5:3-142.

34. Kaplan, E.; Meier, P. (1958) Nonparametric estimation of incomplete observations. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 53:457-481.

35. Lepper, M. (1951) Effect of large doses of aureomycin on human liver. Arch. Intern. Med. 88:271-283.

36. Linhart, M.; Cooper, J.; Martin, R.; Page, N.; Peters, J. (1974) Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. Comput. Biomed. Res. 7:230-248.

37. Mantel, N.; Haenszel, W. (1959) Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 22:719-748.

38. Maronpot, R.; Boorman, G. (1982) Interpretation of rodent hepatocellular proliferative alterations and hepatocellular tumors in chemical safety assessment. Toxicol. Pathol. 10:71-80.

39. McConnell, E.; Solleveld, H.; Swenberg, J.; Boorman, G. (1986) Guidelines for combining neoplasms for evaluation of rodent carcinogenesis studies. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 76:283-289.

40. Merck Index (1976) 9th ed., Windholz, M., Ed. Rahway, NJ: Merck and Company, p. 904.

41. Merck Index (1983) 10th ed., Windholz, M., Ed. Rahway, NJ: Merck and Co., pp. 1001, 6846.

42. Milch, R.; Rall, D.; Tobie, J. (1957) Bone localization of the tetracyclines. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 19(1):87-93.

### **V. REFERENCES**

43. Modern Drug Encyclopedia and Therapeutic Index--A Compendium (1977) New York: Yorke Medical Books, Donnelly Publishing Co.

44. Morrissey, R.; Tyl, R.; Price, C.; Ledoux, T.; Reel, J.; Paschke, L.; Marr, M.; Kimmel, C. (1986) The developmental toxicity of orally administered oxytetracycline in rats and mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 7:434-443.

45. NCI Summary of Data for Chemical Selection (Doxycycline, Oxytetracycline, Tetracycline) (NCI) (1977) Prepared for the National Cancer Institute by SRI International.

46. National Cancer Institute (NCI) (1976) Guidelines for Carcinogen Bioassay in Small Rodents. NCI Carcinogenesis Technical Report Series No. 1. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health.

47. National Institutes of Health (NIH) (1978) NIH Specification, NIH-11-133f, November 1.

48. P'an, S.; Reilly, J.; Halley, T.; Richard, G.; Pekich, A.; Pollets, H. (1950) Pharmacology of terramycin. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 99:234-244.

49. Parker, F.; James, G. (1978) The effect of various topical antibiotics and antibacterial agents on the middle and inner ear of the guinea-pig. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 30:236-239.

50. Physicians' Desk Reference (PDR) (1980) Oradell, NJ: Medical Economics Co., pp. 1372-1373.

51. Rall, D.; Loo, T.-L.; Lane, M.; Kelly, M. (1957) Appearance and persistence of fluorescent material in tumor tissue after tetracycline administration. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 19(1):79-85.

52. Regosz, A. (1975) Determination of tetracyclines and some of their semisynthetic derivatives as acids in nonaqueous media. Chem. Abs. 83:152411m. 53. Rosenberg, P.; Wahlstrom, T. (1974) Renal and hepatic toxicity of methoxyflurane in combination with tetracycline or oxytetracycline treatment in rats. Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 34:46-57.

54. Sadtler Standard Spectra. Sadtler Research Institute, Philadelphia, PA, IR No. R-471.

55. Sande, M.; Mandell, G. (1980) Antimicrobial agents. Goodman, A.G.; Goodman, L.; Gilman, A., Eds.: Goodman and Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 6th ed. New York: Macmillan Publishing Co., pp. 1181-1199.

56. Savini, E.; Moulin, M.; Herrou, M. (1968) Effects teratogenes de l'oxytetracycline. Therapie 23:1247-1260.

57. Spector, W., Ed. (1957) Oxytetracycline. Handbook of Toxicology, Vol. 2. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co., pp. 133-139.

58. Stahl, E., Ed. (1969) Thin-Layer Chromatography, 2nd ed. Spray Reagent No. 100. New York: Springer-Verlag, p. 874.

59. Szumigowska-Szrajber, G.; Jeske, J. (1970) Influence of tetracycline base, tetracycline hydrochloride, and oxytetracycline base on development of metatarsal bones in the rat fetus. Acta Pol. Pharm. 27:78-83.

60. Szumigowska-Szrajber, G.; Jeske, J. (1973) Effects of certain tetracyclines on rat productivity. Acta Pol. Pharm. 30:95-101.

61. Szumigowska, G.; Jeske, J.; Kobuszewska-Faryna, M.; Bogumil-Oczkowska, M.; Lincel-Szajdujkis, H.; Lucer, C.; Zaremba, J.; Zielinska, K. (1967) A comparative study on acute and subacute toxicity in rats of oxytetracycline, tetracycline and tetracycline hydrochloride. Acta Pol. Pharm. 24:451-458.

62. Takayama, M. (1965) Teratogenic effects of antibiotics. J. Osaka City Medical Center 14:107-115.

63. Tarara, R.; Hansen, L.; Simon, J. (1976) Effects of repeated administration of oxytetracycline on kidney, liver, and liver mixed-function oxidases in the rat. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 35:321-331.

64. Tarone, R. (1975) Tests for trend in life table analysis. Biometrika 62:679-682.

65. Taylor, H; Lijinsky, W. (1975) Tumor induction in rats by feeding aminopyrine or oxytetracycline with nitrite. Int. J. Cancer 16:211-215.

66. Touchburn, S.; Nestor, K. (1971) Effect of dietary neomycin-terramycin on reproductive performance in turkeys. Poult. Sci. 50:151-155.

67. U.S. International Trade Commission (USITC) (1984) Synthetic Organic Chemicals, U.S. Production and Sales. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office. 68. van den Bogert, C.; Dontje, B.; Wybenga, J.; Kroon, A. (1981) Arrest of *in vivo* proliferation of Zajdela tumor cells by inhibition of mitochondrial protein synthesis. Cancer Res. 41:1943-1947.

69. von Wittenau, M.; Blackwood, R. (1966) Proton magnetic spectra of tetracycline. J. Org. Chem. 31:613.

70. Weinstein, L. (1970) The tetracyclines. Goodman, L.; Gilman, A., Eds.: The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 4th ed. New York: Macmillan Publishing Co., pp. 1240-1244.

71. Wolkoski-Tyl, R.; Jones-Price, C.; Ledoux, T.; Reel, J.; Langhoff-Paschke, L.; Marr, M. (1983) Teratologic Evaluation of Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride in CD Rats. NTIS PB.83182469. Springfield, Virginia: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service.

### **APPENDIX** A

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

TABLE	A1.	SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEA	R
		FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE	

C	ONTH	OL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						······································
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Squamous cell carcinoma	1	(2%)	0	(10)		
Konstanoepithelioma Konstanoepithema			2	(4%)	1	(901)
*Subeuteneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	(270)
Fibroma	(30)	(896)	(00)	(296)	(00)	(4%)
Neurofibroma	*	(0,0)	•	(2,0)	ĩ	(2%)
Neurofibrosarcoma			1	(2%)	ī	(2%)
LESPIRATORY SYSTEM		• · · ·				
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic	1	(2%)	~/			
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	1	(2%)				
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Pheochromocytoma, metastatic	1	(2%)				
IEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM		····		,		
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type			2	(4%)		(007)
Leukemia, mononuclear cell	22	(44%)	22	(44%)	16	(32%)
#Inymus	(48)		(47)	(90)	(50)	
i nymoma, benign			1	(2%)		
SIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	(0~)
Neurofibrosarcoma					1	(2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Salivary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	(07)
NeuroIIbrosarcoma, invasive	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2%)
*Liver Neonlastic nodule	(00)	(12%)	(00)	(10%)	(30)	(14%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	Ū	(12,0)	v	(10%)	2	(4%)
JRINARY SYSTEM None		,,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			<u></u>	
	<del></del>					
#Antonion pituitony	(E0)		(EQ)		(40)	
Adenoma NOS	(00) 90	(40%)	(00) 70	(54%)	(440) 1K	(31%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	20 1	(2%)	41	(0=10)	10	
#Adrenal	(50)	~~~~	(50)		(50)	
Cortical adenoma	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Pheochromocytoma	10	(20%)	18	(36%)	24	(48%)
Pheochromocytoma, malignant	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)		<u></u>	
#Thyroid	(50)	(50)	(50)
C-cell adenoma	2 (4%)	2 (4%)	4 (8%)
C-cell carcinoma	1 (2%)	3 (6%)	3 (6%)
#Pancreatic islets	(50)	(50)	(50)
Islet cell adenoma	2 (4%)	4 (8%)	7 (14%)
Islet cell carcinoma	4 (8%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*Mammary gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Adenoma, NOS		1 (2%)	
*Preputial gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Adenoma, NOS		2 (4%)	••••
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
#Testis	(50)	(50)	(50)
Interstitial cell tumor	41 (82%)	37 (74%)	40 (80%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>
#Brain	(50)	(50)	(50)
Astrocytoma	1 (2%)		
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS	····		
*Harderian gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Adenoma, NOS	(		1 (2%)
*Ear canal	(50)	(50)	(50)
Squamous cell papilloma	1 (2%)		(***
*Zymbal gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Carcinoma, NOS		2 (4%)	
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None			
BODY CAVITIES			
*Pelvis	(50)	(50)	(50)
Sarcoma, NOS		1 (2%)	
*Mesentery	(50)	(50)	(50)
Teratoma, benign			1 (2%)
*Tunica vaginalis	(50)	(50)	(50)
Mesothelioma, NOS		2 (4%)	
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS		<u></u>	
*Multiple organs	(50)	(50)	(50)
Mesothelioma, malignant		1 (2%)	
Foot			
Sarcoma, NOS	1		
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	5	1	1
Moribund sacrifice	23	21	11

# TABLE A1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

TABLE A1.	SUMMARY OF THE	<b>INCIDENCE OF</b>	<b>NEOPLASMS IN</b>	MALE RATS	IN THE TWO-YEAR
	FEED STUDY	OF OXYTETRA(	<b>CYCLINE HYDRO</b>	OCHLORIDE (C	ontinued)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
TUMOR SUMMARY	' <u>'</u>		
Total animals with primary tumors**	50	50	49
Total primary tumors	126	141	133
Total animals with benign tumors	48	48	48
Total benign tumors	84	100	100
Total animals with malignant tumors	33	29	25
Total malignant tumors	36	34	26
Total animals with secondary tumors##	2		1
Total secondary tumors	2		1
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
benign or malignant	6	7	7
Total uncertain tumors	6	7	7

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
\*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

	CONTR	OL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	h dose
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	<u></u>
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	7 50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Keratoacanthoma	1	(2%)				
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	(0~)
Sarcoma, NOS	•	(90)			1	(2%)
i erawma, benign	1	(270)				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)	(00)	(50)	(0~)	(50)	
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Leukemia, mononuclear cell	13	(26%)	9	(18%)	9	(18%)
#lliac lymph node	(49)		(50)		(50)	(001)
#Thumus	(49)		(50)		(50)	(2%)
Nonchromaffin naraganglioma	(43)		(50)		(00)	(2%)
	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> <u>-</u>	<u></u>			
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Neurofibrosarcoma	1	(2%)				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Neoplastic nodule	5	(10%)	4	(8%)	6	(12%)
#Pancreas	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Endometrial stromal sarcoma, metastatic	(50)		(50)		1	(2%)
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)	(99)	(50)	
#Duodenum	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Adenoma, NOS	(00)		1	(2%)	(00)	
IRINARY SYSTEM		·····			<u></u>	
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1	(2%)	<u> </u>		(- ))	
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Transitional cell carcinoma					1	(2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	<u></u>					
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenoma, NOS	19	(38%)	17	(34%)	30	(60%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	2	(4%)	7	(14%)	3	(6%)
#Adrenal	(50)	(1906)	(50)	(100)	(50)	(90)
Unical adenoma #Adrenal cortex	0 (50)	(1470)	5 (50)	(10%)	(50)	(270)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	(00)		1	(2%)	(00)	
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Pheochromocytoma	6	(12%)	4	(8%)	3	(6%)
Ganglioneuroma					1	(2%)

Ganglioneuroma

# TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	CONTR	OL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	)SE HIGI				
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)									
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(50)				
Follicular cell adenoma	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)			
Follicular cell carcinoma	-		1	(2%)	_	<b>1</b> - 1 - <b>1</b>			
C-cell adenoma	6	(1296)	6	(12%)	5	(10%)			
C-cell carcinoma	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)			
#Parathyroid	(41)	(1,0)	(39)	(0.07)	(34)	(-,•,			
Adenoma, NOS	()		(00)		1	(3%)			
#Pancreatic islets	(50)		(50)		(50)	(0,0)			
Islet cell adenoma	2	(4%)	1	(2%)					
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM									
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)				
Adenoma, NOS	1	(296)	(00)		1	(2%)			
Adenocarcinome NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)			
Fibroadenome	1 91	(42%)	15	(30%)	15	(30%)			
*Clitoral gland	(50)		(50)	(30.07	(50)				
Carcinoma NOS	(00)	(69)	(00)	(196)	(00)	(196)			
Adenama NOS	ა ი	(196)	2 E	(1096)	4	(%70) (AQL)			
Auenonia, NOO #Iltorne	Z (EQ)	(**70)	0 (EN)	(1070)	2 (50)	(470)			
πυterus Fudomotriol stature - 1 1	(00)	(200)	(00)	(20%)	(00)	(490)			
Endometrial stromal polyp	15	(30%)	10	(2070)	21	(44270) (60%)			
Endometrial stromal sarcoma	(20)		1	(270)	3	(0%)			
Tutoomo	(00)	(99)	(00)		(00)				
Luteoma	1	(2%)							
NERVOUS SYSTEM									
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)				
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	1	(2%)	3	(6%)					
Astrocytoma					1	(2%)			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS		······							
*Zymbal gland	(50)		(50)		(50)				
Carcinoma, NOS					1	(2%)			
Squamous cell carcinoma					1	(2%)			
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM									
*Skeletal muscle	(50)		(50)		(50)				
Sarcoma, NOS, invasive					1	(2%)			
BODY CAVITIES None					<del></del>				
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS None				. <u></u>					
NIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY	<u>-</u>								
Animals initially in study	50		50		50				
Natural death	2		3		3				
					10				
Moribund sacrifice	18		19		13				

# TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
TUMOR SUMMARY	<u></u>	······································	·······
Total animals with primary tumors**	49	44	49
Total primary tumors	111	96	114
Total animals with benign tumors	43	37	45
Total benign tumors	83	66	82
Total animals with malignant tumors	20	22	22
Total malignant tumors	23	26	26
Total animals with secondary tumors##	1	3	2
Total secondary tumors	1	3	3
Total animals with tumors uncertain			
benign or malignant	5	4	6
Total uncertain tumors	5	4	6

# TABLE A2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. \*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

ANIMAL NUMBER	1 3 4	1 0 9	1 0 1	1 0 3	1 3 3	1 1 0	1 0 4	1 0 5	1 1 3	1 1 6	1 4 1	1 1 9	1 3 6	1 5 0	1 3 2	1 3 9	1 4 5	1 1 5	1 4 3	1 2 7	1 3 5	1 0 8	1 1 7	1 4 6	1 1 4
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 0	0 6 1	0 6 2	6 6	0 6 6	0 6 7	0 7 5	0 7 5	0 7 5	0 7 5	0 7 6	0 7 9	0 7 9	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 6	0 9 0	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 7	1 0 0	1 0 1
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM								 L										 -					 		
Suin Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	т Х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷ x	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Caronome, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/broncholar adenoma Alveolar/broncholar caronoma Pheochromocytoma, metastatic Traches	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	++	+	++	+	++	+	++	+	+++	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM																							·		
Bone marrow Spleen	+++	‡	++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	++++
Lymph nodes Thymus	++++	++++	++	++++	+++	_	+	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++++	++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	<u> </u>									-				-											
Salivary giand Liver Nanjastia nodula	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	+	Ŧ	÷	+	++
Bile duct	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	÷
Gallbiadder & common bile duct Pancreas	N   +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +								
Esophagus	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷
Small intestine	<del>-</del>	Ŧ	÷	+	÷	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	÷	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	÷	÷	+	÷
Large intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	++++	++++	+++	+ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+ +	+++++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	*	+ x	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	*	*	*
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma							_														x		x	x	x
Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid C-cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid	+	+	+	_	_	-	_	-	+	_	+		+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	-	+
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Islet cell carcinoma																				X					
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM																						·			
Mammary gland Testis	‡	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	++	+++	++++	++	++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++
Interstitial cell tumor Prostate	+	+	X	+	X	X	+	X	+	X	x	X	x	X		X	X	÷.	X	X	X	X	+	X	+
Preputial/clitoral gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	Ň	Ń	Ń	Ń	Ń	Ň X	Ņ	Ń	Ń	Ņ	N	Ń	N	Ń	Ń	Ņ	Ň	Ń	Ń	Ń	Ņ	Ń	Ń	Ń	Ň
NERVOUS SYSTEM	<u> </u>			·																			<u> </u>		
Astrocytoma	x	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	۰	+	٣	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Ear Squamous cell papilloma	N	N	N	N	+	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS		N	N	N	N	N		N	N	N	N			N		N	N	N	N	N	N	N		N	N
Leukema, mononuclear cell Foot, NOS Sarcoma, NOS		X	X	14	X	74	14	N	м	14	X	X	ţ,	X	N	X	X	14	X	X	X	X	74	X	X
	1			· · · · ·																				-	

# TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE: UNTREATED CONTROL

Tissue examined microscopically
 Required tissue not examined microscopically
 Tumor incidence
 Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 S Animal missexed

. No tissue information submitted C. Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B: No necropsy performed

TABLE A3.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF MALE	RATS:	UNTREATED C	ONTROL
			(Continued	1)			

ANIMAL NUMBER	1 2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 2	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 4	
	3	6	7	2	7	i	2	8	ō	i	2	4	5	6	8	9	Ö	i	7	8	òl	2	4	8	9	TOTAL
WEEKS ON Study	0	1 0 2	1 0 2	104	1 0 4	104	04	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	04	1 0 4	1 0 4	04	04	1 0 4	TUMORS						
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Squamous cell papilloma Squamous cell carcinoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 1 *50 4
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Carcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	50 1 1
Pheochromocytoma, metastatic Trachea	X +	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	1 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Spleen Lumph poder	1 ±	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	±	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1	+	+	50
Thymus	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	÷	48
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Sahvary gland Liver	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	+ +	+++	+	+++	+++++	50 50
Neoplastic nodule Bile duct	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	х +	+	+	+	۲	х +	+	50
Gallbladder & common bile duct	N	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N	N +	N +	N +	N	N	N	N	N	Ň	N +	N +	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Esophagus	1 +	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	÷	÷	50
Stomach Small intestine	1 ±	+	++++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 50
Large intestine	[ +	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	Ŧ	÷	+	+	÷	+	+	+	÷	÷	50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	   +   +	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+ +	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++++	+++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	50 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	*	* x	+	*	+	+	+	+	* x	* *	+ x	* *	+	+	* *	+	+ X	+	*	+ x	+	+	50 20
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 50
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma			X			x					X			x				X		x	x		x			1 2 10
Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid C-cell adaptma	<b>X</b> +	+	+	+ *	+	+	+	* *	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2
C cell carcinoma Parathymud	1	+	Ĭ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	~	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	1 38
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma Islet cell carcinoma	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	*	÷	÷	÷	÷ x	+	÷ X	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷ x	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	50 2 4
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Testis Interstatiel cell tumor	±	+	+	+	+ *	+ *	+	+	÷	+	*	+	+ *	*	+ *	+	+	+ *	÷	+	+ x	* *	+ x	+ x	* *	50
Prostate Preputial/clitoral gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+ N	+ N	n N	î N	n N	n N	+ N	A + N	A H N	î N	a + N	A H N	A + N	A H N	+ N	A + N	A + N	n N	A H N	+ N	A + N	n N	A + N	n N	+ N	48 *50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Ear Squamous cell papilloma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell Foot, NOS Sarcoma, NOS	N	N X	NX	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	*50 22 1
	I	_	_		_								_		_		_			_						1

\* Animals necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 3 6	0 2 8	0 4 3	0 0 8	0 4 5	0 3 8	0 3 1	0 0 7	0 3 3	0 1 0	0 2 2	0 5 0	0 2 1	0 4 8	0 1 6	0 3 2	0 2 7	0 2 9	0 3 9	0 0 1	0 0 9	0 0 2	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 4 7	0 5 5	0 6 1	0 6 5	0 8 1	0 8 4	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 5	9 5	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Trichoepithelioma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Neurofibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	+	+ *	++	+	++	+	++	+	+	+ +	++	+	++	++
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Trachea	+++	+++	++++	++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+++	++++	++++	++	+++	 + +	+++	++++	+ +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus Thymoma, benign	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	++++-	+ + + + +	+++++	++++-	- + + +	++++	+++++	++-+	+++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	++++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+ + + + <b>X</b>	+++++	+++++	++++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SY STEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Stall intestine Large intestine Large intestine	++ +2+++++	++ +X+++++	++ +N+++++	++ + <b>X</b> ++++	++ +X+++++	++ +Z+++++	++ +Z+++++	++×+×+++++	++ +X+++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +z++++	++ +2+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +X+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +Z+++++	++ +X+++++	++ + <u>x</u> +++++	++×+×+++++++++++	++ +2+++++	++ +Z++++	++ +2+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +z+++++	++ +z+++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+ +	+++	 + +	++++	++	++++	+ + +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	++++++	+ + + + +	** + + ++	+ + + +	+ <b>x</b> + + + +	+ + + +	+ <b>X</b> + + + +	+x+ + ++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+x+ + -+	+ + + +	+++++	+ + * * + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ <b>X</b> + + +	+ + + X+ + ++	+x+ x + -+	+x+ x + x++	+ x + x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+X+ X+ X+ + X++	+ + + X + + + + +	+ + X + +	+ + + ++	+ + x + x + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+X+ + ++	+x+x + + + + + x
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenoma, NOS Testis Interstitual cell tumor Prosputa/clitoral gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarginoma, NOS	+ + N	+ + 7	+ + N	+ X + N	+ + N	+ X + N	+ +N X	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ x + n	+ + N	+ x + N	+ +N	+ x + N	+ X + N	+ x + N	+ x + N	+ X + N	+ x + N	+ X + N	+ X + N	+ X + N + N X
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Sarcoma, NOS Tunica veginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	N X +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothehoma, malignant Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N X	N K	N X	N X	N X X	N	N	N	N

# TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE: LOW DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 6	0 1 1	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	0 2 0	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 2 6	0 3 0	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 7	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 4 2	0 4 4	04	0 4 7	0 4 9	
WEEKS ON STUDY	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM										<u> </u>													·			
Skin Squamous cell papilloma Trichoepithelioma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Neurofibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	* +	+	+	+	+	+	* +	+ X +	+ + X	+ X +	+	+	*50 2 *50 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch1 Trachea	+++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+ +	+ +	++++	+++	++++	++++	+ +	+++++	++++	+ +	50 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus Thymoma, benign	++++	+ + + +	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	* + + + +	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	+++++	+++++	49 50 49 47 1
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Stanach Small intestine	++ +N+++++	++ +X+++++	++ +Z++++	++ +Z++++	++ +2++++	++×+×++++++	++ +Z++++	++ +2+++++	++ +Z++++	++ +Z++++	++ +Z++++	++ +Z++++	++ +Z++++	++ +Z++++	++ +X+++++	++X+N+++++	++ +Z++++	++ +Z++++	++X+N+++++	++ +Z++++	++ +Z++++	++ +Z++++	++ +Z++++	++ +Z++++	++ +X++++	50 50 55 50 *50 50 49 50 50 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urnary bladder	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+ + +	+++	+ + +	50 50 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Corticel adenoma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	* *	**	+ +	+++	+++	+ * +	++	+ x +	++	+++	+ X +	++	* *	* *	* *	* *	+ × +	+ +	+ X +	+ X +	+++	* *	+ X +	* *	50 27 50 2
Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid C-ceil adenoma C-ceil acernoma Parathyroid	+ +	x + +	x + +	x + +	+	x + +	+	x + +	+	+ x +	+	+	x + -	x + -	<b>X</b> + +	+	+	x + +	+	+	+	+	+ -	+	+ X	18 1 50 2 3 36
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+	×	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 4
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenoma, NOS Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate Preputal/chtoral gland Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+ + X + N	+ +x+n	+ + * N	+ + X + N N	+ +x+ N	+ +x+x N	+ +x+ N	+ +x+n	+ + N	+ +x+x	+ +X+NX	+ + * N	+ +x+x	+ + + <b>X</b>	+ +x+n	+ +x+x	+ +x+z	+ +X+N	+ +X+N	+ + <b>x</b> + N	+ + + Z	+ +x+n	+X+X+N	+ + * N	+ +X+N	*50 1 50 37 50 *50 2 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS	+ x	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	* *	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 2
BODY CAVITIES Pentoneum Sarcome, NOS Tunica vaginalis Mesothelioma, NOS	N +	N +	N + X	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N + X	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	И +	*50 1 *50 2
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Mesothelioma, malignant Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Leukema, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N X	*50 1 2 22

# TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)

\* Animals necropsied

| 0<br>6<br>5        | 0<br>5<br>1  | 0<br>9<br>0   | 0<br>5<br>2  | 0<br>6<br>9  | 0<br>5<br>9   | 0<br>7<br>0   | 0<br>6<br>1   | 0<br>7<br>5  
   
  | 0<br>8<br>9  
  | 0<br>9<br>5  
   
  | 0<br>9<br>9   | 0<br>5<br>3  | 0<br>5<br>4   
  | 0<br>5<br>5  
  | 0<br>5<br>6   | 0<br>5<br>7   | 0<br>5<br>8  
  | 0<br>6<br>0  | 0<br>6<br>2   | 0<br>6<br>3   | 0<br>6<br>4   | 0<br>6<br>6   | 0<br>6<br>7  | 0<br>6<br>8   |
|--------------------|--|---|--|--|---|---|---
--
---
--
---
--
---|---|--
--
--
---
---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 0<br>7<br>7        | 0<br>7<br>9  | 0<br>8<br>5   | 0<br>8<br>7  | 0<br>9<br>2  | 0<br>9<br>5   | 0<br>9<br>7   | 0<br>9<br>9   | 0<br>9<br>9  
   
  | 0<br>9<br>9  
  | 1<br>0<br>0  
   
  | 1<br>0<br>1   | 1<br>0<br>4  | 1<br>0<br>4   
  | 1<br>0<br>4  
  | 1<br>0<br>4   | 1<br>0<br>4   | 1<br>0<br>4  
  | 1<br>0<br>4  | 1<br>0<br>4   | 1<br>0<br>4   | 1<br>0<br>4   | 1<br>0<br>4   | 1<br>0<br>4  | 1<br>0<br>4   |
| +++                | +  | ++  | +  | + +  | +   | ++  | ++  | ++   
   
  | +<br>+<br>X  
  | ++   
   
  | +   | ++   | ++  
  | +  
  | +   | ++  | +  
  | ++   | +<br>+  | +<br>+<br>X<br>X  | +   | +   | +  | +<br>+  |
| ++++               | ++   | +++   | +++  | +<br>+   | ++  | +++   | +<br>+  | +++  
   
  | +++  
  | +++  
   
  | +++   | +<br>X<br>+  | ++  
  | +++  
  | +++   | ++  | +<br>+   
  | +++  | +<br>+  | +++   | +++   | +++   | ++   | +++   |
| ++++++             | +++++  | ++++  | ++++   | +++++  | +++++   | ++++  | +++++   | +++++  
   
  | ++++++   
  | +<br>+<br>+<br>+   
   
  | ++++  | ++++++   | +++++   
  | +++++  
  | +++++   | +++++   | +++++  
  | +<br>+<br>+<br>+   | ++++  | +++++   | +++++   | +<br>+<br>+<br>+  | +++++  | +<br>+<br>+<br>+  |
| +                  | +  | +   | +  | +  | +   | +   | +   | +  
   
  | +  
  | +  
   
  | +   | +  | +   
  | +  
  | +   | +   | +  
  | +  | +   | +   | +   | +   | +  | +   |
| ++++++             | ++++   | ++++  | ++++   | *<br>*<br>+  | ++++  | +++++++   | ++++  | ++++   
   
  | +<br>*<br>*  
  | +++++  
   
  | +<br>+  | +<br>+<br>+  | +<br>+<br>+   
  | +++++  
  | +++++   | +<br>+<br>x<br>+  | +<br>*<br>*  
  | +++++  | ++++  | +++++   | ++++  | +++++   | ++++   | ++++  |
| N ++<br>++<br>++   | N+++++   | N+++++  | N+++++   | X+++++   | Z+++++  | X++++   | z+++++  | <b>N</b> +++++   
   
  | Z+++++   
  | Z+++++   
   
  | N+++++  | <b>Z</b> +++++   | <b>X++++</b> +  
  | <b>Z</b> +++++   
  | <b>Z</b> +++++  | <b>X</b> + + + + + +  | Z+++++   
  | N + + + + +  | Z++++   | N+++++  | N+++++  | N++++   | z++++  | <b>N</b> +++++  |
| +++                | +<br>+   | +++   | ++++   | +<br>+   | +<br>+  | +++   | +<br>+  | ++++   
   
  | +++  
  | ++++   
   
  | +<br>+  | ++++   | +<br>+  
  | +<br>+   
  | +++   | ++++  | +<br>+   
  | +++  | +<br>+  | +<br>+  | ++  | +<br>+  | +<br>+   | +<br>+  |
| +<br>X +<br>+<br>+ | ++++++   | - + X+ ++   | +<br>+<br>X<br>+<br>+  | +x+<br>+ + ++  | + + + -+  | + + X X + -+  | + + X+X ++  | +X+ X+ ++  
   
  | + + + ++   
  | + + + -+   
   
  | + + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +   | + + + ++   | + + X + X + + +   
  | +X+ X+ -+  
  | + + + + -+  | + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +   | +X+XX+ ++  
  | +<br>+<br>+<br>+   | + + X + + + +   | + + + + +   | +x+<br>+x+<br>+ + -+  | + + X + + +   | + + x + x - +  | +<br>+<br>+<br>+  |
| ++<br>+<br>N       | ++X+N  | +<br>+<br>+<br>N                                      | N + X + N  | + + X + N  | + +<br>+<br>N   | +++<br>+ +N   | ++ X + N  | ++X+N  
   
  | ++ x + N   
  | X + + X + N  
   
  | + + X + N   | X ++X+N  | N + + N   
  | N + X + N  
  | ++X+N   | + + X + N   | ++X+N  
  | + + X + N  | + + X + N   | + + X + N   | ++<br>++<br>N   | N + X + N   | ++ X + N   | ++ +ZX  |
| +                  | +  | +   | +  | +  | +   | +   | +   | +  
   
  | +  
  | +  
   
  | +   | +  | +   
  | +  
  | +   | +   | +  
  | +  | +   | +   | +   | +   | +  | +   |
| N                  | N  | N   | N  | N  | N   | N   | N   | N  
   
  | N  
  | N  
   
  | N   | N  | N   
  | N  
  | N   | N   | N  
  | N  | N   | N   | N   | N   | N  | N   |
| N                  | N  | N   | N  | N  | N   | N   | N   | N<br>X   
   
  | N  
  | N  
   
  | N   | N  | N   
  | N  
  | N   | N   | N  
  | N  | N   | N   | N   | N   | N  | N   |
| N<br>X             | N<br>X   | N<br>X  | N<br>X   | N  | N   | N<br>X  | N   | N<br>X   
   
  | N<br>X   
  | N<br>X   
   
  | N   | N  | N   
  | N  
  | N   | N   | N<br>X   
  | N  | N   | N   | N<br>X  | N   | N  | N   |
|                    | 0655     07777     +     +     +     +     +     +     N     N       N     N     N     N     N     N     N | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ $ | $\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ $ | 0 $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $7$ $9$ $5$ $7$ $2$ $9$ $7$ $9$ $+$ | 0 $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $7$ $7$ $8$ $8$ $9$ $9$ $7$ $2$ $5$ $+$ | 0 $0$ | 0 $0$ <td>0 <math>0</math> <math>0</math><td>0 <math>0</math> <math>0</math><td>0 <math>0</math> <math>0</math></td><td>30         51         61         61&lt;</td><td>30 <math>51</math> <t< td=""><td>30 <math>51</math> <math>51</math> <math>61</math> <math>51</math> <math>91</math> <math>51</math> <math>71</math> <math>91</math> <t< td=""><td>Si         Si         Si</td><td>Si         Si         Si</td><td>1         0</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td>9         9</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} </math></td></t<></td></t<></td></td></td> | 0 $0$ <td>0 <math>0</math> <math>0</math><td>0 <math>0</math> <math>0</math></td><td>30         51         61         61&lt;</td><td>30 <math>51</math> <t< td=""><td>30 <math>51</math> <math>51</math> <math>61</math> <math>51</math> <math>91</math> <math>51</math> <math>71</math> <math>91</math> <t< td=""><td>Si         Si         Si</td><td>Si         Si         Si</td><td>1         0</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td>9         9</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} </math></td></t<></td></t<></td></td> | 0 $0$ <td>0 <math>0</math> <math>0</math></td> <td>30         51         61         61&lt;</td> <td>30 <math>51</math> <t< td=""><td>30 <math>51</math> <math>51</math> <math>61</math> <math>51</math> <math>91</math> <math>51</math> <math>71</math> <math>91</math> <t< td=""><td>Si         Si         Si</td><td>Si         Si         Si</td><td>1         0</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td>9         9</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} </math></td></t<></td></t<></td> | 0 $0$ | 30         51         61         61< | 30 $51$ <t< td=""><td>30 <math>51</math> <math>51</math> <math>61</math> <math>51</math> <math>91</math> <math>51</math> <math>71</math> <math>91</math> <t< td=""><td>Si         Si         Si</td><td>Si         Si         Si</td><td>1         0</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td>9         9</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} </math></td></t<></td></t<> | 30 $51$ $51$ $61$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $91$ $51$ $71$ $91$ <t< td=""><td>Si         Si         Si</td><td>Si         Si         Si</td><td>1         0</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 </math></td><td>9         9</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} </math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} </math></td></t<> | Si         Si | Si         Si | 1         0 | $ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $ | $ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $ | $ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $ | $ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $ | 9         9 | $ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $ |

#### TABLE A3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE: HIGH DOSE

TABLE A3.	INDIVIDUAL	ANIMAL	TUMOR	PATHOLOGY	OF	MALE	RATS:	HIGH	DOSE

(Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 4	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 8	1 0 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TUTAL TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Squamous cell papilloma Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Fibroma Neurofibrosarcoma	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 1 *50 2 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+ x +	++	++	 + +	+++	+++	++	+++	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	+ +	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	+++	50 2 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	++++	+++++	++++++	++++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+ + + +	++++++	++-+	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	50 50 49 50
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Neurofibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Neurofibrosarcoma, invasive Liver Neoplastic nodule Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X +	+ + +	++++	++++	+ + +	+ + × +	++++	+ + X +	+ + * *	+++	+ + * * +	++++	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+++	+ + * * *	+ + +	++++	+ + +	+++	+ + +	++++	50 1 50 7 2 50
Galloladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	2+++++	2+++++	2+++++	<b>z</b> + + + + +	z++++	2++++	2+++++	2++++	Z+++++	2+++++	z++++	Z++++	z++++	Z++++	N+++++	z++++	<b>Z++++</b>	2+++++	z++++	2+++++	Z++++	z++++	2+++++	2+++++	N+++++	*50 50 50 50 50 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	++	+++	++++	50 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenai Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid C ceil adenoma C ceil adenoma Parcetic uslets Islet cell adenoma	+ + + +	+x+ x+ ++	+ X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + x+ x++	+ + + + + + + X + + + X	+ X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + x + -+	+ + + +	+ + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+x + x + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + × - +	+ <b>X</b> + + - +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+x+ +x+ +x++	+ + X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + X + -+	+ + + +	+ + + +	- + + +	+ + + +	+ <b>X</b> + ++	+X+ X+X ++X	+x + ++x	48 15 50 3 24 50 4 3 33 50 7
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Interstitial cell tumor Prostate Preputal/clitoral gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	++ + + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + <del>x</del> + <del>x</del> + <del>x</del>	+ + + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + + N	++x+N	+ + <del>X</del> + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + X + N	+ + <del>X</del> + N	+ + <del>X</del> + <del>N</del>	+ + X + N	+ + × + N	*50 50 40 50 *50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Mesentery Teratoma, benign	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 16

\* Animals necropsied

ANIMAL NUMBER	14	140	1 1 5	1 1 7	1 0 5	1 4 3	1 5 0	1 1 2	1 9 5	1 0 8	1 1 4	1 2 6	1 2 1	1 3 0	1 1 1	1 0 2	1 4 7	1 1 3	1 4 8	1 0 1	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 6	1 0 7	1 0 9
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 0	9	0 9 0	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 6	0 9 9	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Teratoma, benign	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	+	+	+ +	++	+ X +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea	+++	++	++	+	++	++	++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+ +	++	++	++	++	+ +	++	++	+++	++++	++	+++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	++++++	+++++	+ + + -	++++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Neurofibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++ +Z+++++	++ +X+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +2+++++	++ <b>X</b> + <b>X</b> +++++	++ +2+++++	++ +N+++++	++X+X+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +2+++++	++x+x++++++	++ +2+++++	++x+x++++++	++ +2+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +2+++++	++X+N+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +2+++++	++ +X+++++	++ +N++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Adenocarcinoma, NOS Unnary bladder	+ + +	+++	++	++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+	+++	+ +	* *	++	+ +	+++	+++	++	+ +	+++	++	+++	+++	++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid	+ X + +	++++	++++	+ * + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	+ x + x +	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + *	+xx+ +	+ + X	+x + +	+ + +	* * +	* * + +	++++	+ x + +	+ x + x + x +	+++++	+++++	* * + +
Folirular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma Parceatic silets Islet cell adenoma	X + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	X + +	 +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adaptoma, NOS Adaptoma NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fibradenoma Preputal/clitoral gland Carcnoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	X N	N	N	X N X	N	X N	X N	N	N X	N	X N	N	X N	X N	N	X N	N	N	N	N	N	X N	N	X N	X N
Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Luteoma	+ `+	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ x +	+ +	* *	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	* *	+ * +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	* *	* *	+ +	* *	+ x +	+++
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N

## TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE: UNTREATED CONTROL

+: Tissue examined microscopically
 Required tissue not examined microscopically
 X: Tumor incidence
 Necropy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 S. Animal missexed

: No tissue information submitted C: Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B: No necropsy performed
ANIMAL NUMBER	1	1	ł	1	12	12	1	1	12	12	12	1	1	1	13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 4	1	1 4	T
	ō	6	8	9	ō	2	3	4	5	7	8	9	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	2	4	5	6	9	TOTAL:
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	04	0 4	0 4	0	1 0 4	0 4	0 4	04	04	04	04	0 4	TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM								4					+	+		 +	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	*50
Keratoacanthoma Subcutaneous tissue Teratoma, benign	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 *50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 49
I FACTOR							+										,									
Bone marrow Snleen	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	++++	+++	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+++	50 50
Lymph nodes Thymus	++	+++	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	49 49
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Neurofibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Liver Neoplastic nodule	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	ň	Ň,	, N	, N	, N	, N	, N	+ N	N,	Ň	Ň	N,	Ň	N,	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	N,	Ň,	Ň	Ň	*50
Fancreas Esophagus	++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	÷	+	+	+	Ŧ	÷	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	÷	+	÷	+	÷	Ŧ	÷	+	50
Stomach Small intestine	++++	++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++	+++	++	++++	++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	++	50
Large intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<b>,</b> +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	+	* *	+	+	*	+	+	50 19
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	х +	+	+	+	50
Pheochromocytoma	, î		x		<u>^</u>		<u>,</u>	x					L		Â									ъ	Â	6
Follicular cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	v	Ŧ	x	-	-	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	T V	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	т	1
C-cell carcinoma C-cell carcinoma	Ā						•		<u>^</u>									<u>^</u>	x							2
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	++	+	++	+	+ +	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 2
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland	X N	N	XN	N	X N	X N	X N	N	N	X N	N	X N	N	N	N	Ń	N	X N	N	N	X N	N	X N	N	N	21 *50
Adenoma, NOS	<b>_</b>	L.	<u>^</u>		-	-	X	+	+	+		-	+	<b>.</b>	-	-	Ŧ		1	-		1	+	1	+	2
Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Luteoma	× +	+	+	+	* +	+	+	× +	+	+	+	+	+	× +	+	÷ x	× +	+	х +	+	+	+	+	× +	+	15 50 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasíve	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 13

## TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: UNTREATED CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 2 2	0 4 1	0 3 4	0 3 9	0 1 0	0 2 4	0 2 8	0 4 4	0 1 1	0 0 8	0 2 7	0 2 0	0 0 2	0 0 9	0 3 6	0 3 5	0 4 9	0 0 4	0 4 7	0 1 2	0 1 9	0 2 3	0 0 1	0 0 3	0 0 5
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 5 5	0 7 8	0 8 3	0 8 3	0 8 7	0 8 7	0 8 9	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 3	0 9 3	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 1	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Trachea	+++	++	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+	++	+	++	+	++	+ +	+ +	* *	+++	+++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+ + + + + +	+ + + +	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Sarroma, NOS Small intestine Adenoma, NOS Larce intestine	++ +2+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +X+++ +K+	++ +Z+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++, + <b>Z</b> +++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++++2+++ ++	++X+X+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +Z+++ + +	++ +Z+++ + +	++ +Z+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +Z+++ + +	++ +X+++ +	++X+X+++ + +	++ +Z+++ + +	++ +Z+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +X+++ + +	++ +z+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +Z+++ + +	++ +z+++ + +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++	+ +	++	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	 +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	++++	 + +	+ +	 + +	+++	 + +	++	 	+	 +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal Adenocarcinoma, NOS Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid	++++	++++	+ X +	++++	++++	++++++	+++	+ X +	+ + X +	+++++	+ X +	+ + x +	+ X +	++++	+ X + +	+++	++++	* * +	* * +	* * * *	* * +	+ X +	* * +	++++	+ + X
Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma Parathymid	+	_	_	+		_	+	_	_	+	+		x	_	x	•				, _		_	x	X	
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	÷	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷ X	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	*	+	+	+ x	+	+ x	+ x	N	+	+	+	+ X	+ x	+ x	+ x
Preputial/clitoral gland Cartinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	Ñ	N	N X	N	N X	N	Ñ	Ñ	N	N	N	N	Ñ	Ñ	Ñ	Ñ
Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Endometrial stromal sarcoma Ovary	++	+ +	+ +	+ X +	++	++	++	* *	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+ +	+	* *	* *	* *	+	+ +	* *	+ +	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N X	N X	N	'n	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N

### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE: LOW DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 5	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 2 1	0 2 5	0 2 6	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 1	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 4 0	0 4 2	0 4 3	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 8	0 5 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	104	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES						
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and broachi Alveolar/bronchiclar adenoma Trachea	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	++	50 1 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	50 50 50 50 50
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Sarcoma, NOS Small intestine Adenoma, NOS Larce intestine	++ +2+++ + +	++ +z+++ +	++ +z+++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +2+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +z+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +z+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +2+++ + +	++ +X+++ + +	++ +X+++K+ +	++ +z+++ + +	++***** + + +	++ +2+++ + +	50 50 4 50 *50 50 49 50 1 50 1 50						
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++++	++++	+ +	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++	++++	+++	+	50 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenai Adenocarcinoma, NOS Cortical adenoma	* *	+	* *	+	* *	* *	+	* + x	+	++	* *	+ +	+ X +	+	* *	+ *	+	+ +	* *	* *	+	+	<b>*</b> +	* * *	* +	50 17 7 50 1 5
Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid Pancrastic islets	+ X + +	+	+ + +	+ + +	X + X + +	+ ++	+ X ++	+ XX ++	+ ++	+ + +	+ ++	+ ++	+ -+	+++	+ + +	X + ++	+ ++	+ -+	+ X ++	"+ ++	+ + +	++++	+ ++	+ X++	X + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	4 50 1 6 39 50
Islet cell adenoma REFRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS	   +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS	N	N	N 	N	X N	N	Ň	N	N	N X	X N	X N	N	N	N	N	N	X N	N	N	N	N	N	N	X N	15 *50 2
Adenoma, NOS Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Endometrial stromal sarcoma Ovary	+++	+	x + x + x +	× + +	+	+	x + +	+	+	+	+	+	* *	* *	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	+	+	50 10 1 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Adenocarcinoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 8
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 9

#### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 9 8	0 6 1	0 7 5	0 5 2	0 8 5	0 5 4	0 6 7	0 7 4	0 7 7	1 0 0	0 8 6	0 6 8	0 9 9	0 9 3	0 6 5	0 6 2	0 5 1	0 5 3	0 5 5	0 5 6	0 5 7	0 5 8	0 5 9	0 6 0	0 6 3
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 5 7	0 7 2	0 7 5	0 8 1	0 8 1	0 8 4	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 9	1 0 1	1 0 2	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS	-	• +	· +	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Trachea	-	· +	+++++	+++	+++	+++	 + +	++++	+++	++++	++	 + +	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	 + +	+ +	++++	+ + +	++++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Endometrial stromal sarcoma, metastatic Thymus Nonchromaffin paraganglioma	-     +   +   +	+ + + X +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ ++ +	+ + + +	+ + + +	 ++ +	+ + + +	++++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	* + + +	++++++++	+++++++++	++ ++ +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++	 +++ +	+ + + +	++++++	+++ +++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	-  +	+	+	+	, <b>+</b>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Endometrial stromal sarcoma, metastatic Escophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	- ++ + + N + ++++	++ +N+X+++++	++ +2+ ++++	++ +z+ ++++	++ +2+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++X+Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +2+ ++++	++x+z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +2+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +2+ ++++	++ +z+ ++++								
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Kidney/pelvis Transitional cell carcinoma Urinary bladder	-     +   +   +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	 + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	+ + <b>x</b> +	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+++++	++++++	++ ++ +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenai Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Ganglioneuroma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma C-cell carcinoma Parathyroid Adenoma NOS	+ x + +	+++	+++++	* + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X +	* * + +	+ + + +	+ + *	* * + +	+ + + +	* + + +	+ x + x + x +	* + x + +	* + + *	* + + +	+ + X +	++++	* + + +	+ X + +	+xx+ + +	+++++	* * + +	* + +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	·	+			+	 +	+	+	+		+	+		+		 +									
Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland Carcinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS Uterus	N +	N +	N +	N +	X N +	X N X	X N +	N +	N +	N +	N +	X N +	N +	N +	X N +	N +	N +	N +	X N +	N +	N +	X N X +	N +	N +	X N +
Endometrial stromal polyp Endometrial stromal sarcoma Ovary	X +	X +	+	+	Х +	+	Х +	+	+	+	Х +	Х +	Х +	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	X +	X X +	+	X +	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbai gland Carcinoma, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma	N	N	N	+ X	N	N	N	N	*	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Sarcoma, NOS, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	N	* x	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N
	1		_	_		_	_				_														

#### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE: HIGH DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 6 4	066	0 6 9	070	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 6	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 7	
WEEKS ON STUDY	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TOTAL: TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Traches	+++	+++	+	++++	+++	+++	++++	+	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	50 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Endometrial stromal sarcoma, metasta Thymus Nonchromaffin paraganglioma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++	+++ +	+++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ + + x	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +	++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +	+++++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++ ++++	+++ +	+++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	49 50 50 1 50 1
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Neoplastic nodule Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Endometrial stromal sarcoma, metasta Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine Large intestine	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +2+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +X+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++x+z+ ++++	++**2+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +2+ ++++	++x+z+ ++++	++×+Z+ ++++	++ +z+ ++++	++ +Z+ +++	++ +z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +Z+ ++++	++ +2+ ++++	50 50 6 50 *50 50 1 50 50 50 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Kidney/pelvis Transitional cell carcinoma Urinary bladder	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+++++	++++++	+ + +	+ + +	++ +	+++++	+ + +	++、+	+++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	50 50 1 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenona, NOS Adrenal Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Ganglioneuroma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma C-cell adenoma	+ + + +	<b>*</b> + +	+ + +	++++	* * +	+ + +	+ + +	++++	+ x + x + x +	+ + +	<b>*</b> + +	+ + +	* * + *	+ + +	* * +	+ + + X	+ + + +	++++	+++	+ + +	+ + X +	* + +	<b>*</b> + +	+ * + +	+++	50 30 3 50 1 3 1 50 1 5
C-cell carvinoma Parathyroid Adenoma, NOS	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	-	-		+	-		+	+	+	~-	+	-	*	-		+	+	2 34 1
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary glaud Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	*	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 2
Fibroadenoma Preputial/clitoral gland Carvinoma, NOS Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	X N	N	X N	X N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	X N	N	N	N	N	N	X N	N	N	N	X N	X N	15 *50 2 2
Uterns Endometrial stromal polyp Endometrial stromal sarcoma Overv	+	+	+	+	* *	* *	+	+	+	+	* *	* *	+	+	* *	* *	* *	* *	+	+	* *	+	* +	+	* x x +	50 21 3 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Astrocytoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	 50 1
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Zymbal gland Carcinoma, NOS Squamous cell carcinoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Muscle Sarcoma, NOS, invasive	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Leukemia, mononuclear cell	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N X	N	N	N	*50 9

#### TABLE A4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE RATS: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315 76

#### **APPENDIX B**

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

#### Oxytetracyline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315 78

	CONTR	OL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIGH	I DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50	<u>-</u>	50	<u>.</u>	50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS RECEIPTING HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	¥ 50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM		<u> </u>		. <u></u>		<u></u>
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Squamous cell papilloma	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)	(0~)	(50)	(00)
Sarcoma, NOS	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	1 9	(2%)
Fibrogeneome	2	(4170) (1696)		(070)	2 3	(696)
Osteosarcoma	1	(2%)	Ū	(10%)	Ŭ	(0,2)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM		<u> </u>				<u>.</u>
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic				(0.0)	1	(2%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	8	(16%)	4	(8%)	4	(8%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Pheochromocytoma, metastatic	2 1	(4%) (2%)	6	(12%)	3	(6%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			<u>.</u>			
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, NOS	1	(2%)				(00)
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	2	(4%)	1	(90)	Z	(4,70) (9,0%)
Malignant lympnoma, mixed type	3 (50)	(0%0)	(50)	(270)	(50)	(0%)
#opieen Sorooma NOS	(00)		1	(296)		
#Small intesting	(48)		(47)	(2,0)	(49)	
Malignant lymphome mixed type	(40)	(296)	(41)		(-0)	
#Kidney	(50)	(2,4)	(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	(				1	(2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	(20)	- <u>-</u>	(50)			
Abdominal cavity	(50)	(90)	(90)		(00)	
#Snleen	(50)	(470)	(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma	2	(4%)	(00)			
#Heart/atrium	(50)	(10)	(50)		(50)	
Hemangioma	(00)		()		1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangioma	1	(2%)				
Hemangiosarcoma			1	(2%)		
#Testis	(50)		(50)		(50)	(00)
Hemangioma	<u> </u>	. <u></u>			1	(2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Henstocellular adenome	(00)	(14%)	(00) R	(16%)	6	(12%)
Hepatocellular carcinoma	11	(22%)	9	(18%)	11	(22%)
#Duodenum	(48)	<u></u> ,_,	(47)		(49)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
URINARY SYSTEM None						

## TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEARFEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	<u></u>		
#Adrenal	(50)	(49)	(50)
Cortical adenoma	(50)	2 (4%)	(50)
#Adrenal medulia Pheochromocytome	(50)	(49)	(00)
Pheochromocytoma malignant	2 (4.%) 1 (2%)	5 (10%)	2 (4170)
#Thyroid	(50)	(50)	(50)
Follicular cell adenoma			2 (4%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM None			
NERVOUS SYSTEM None			<u> </u>
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS	······································		
*Harderian gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Adenoma, NOS	1 (2%)		
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM None			
BODY CAVITIES None	· · · · · ·		
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*Multiple organs	(50)	(50)	(50)
Sarcoma, NOS		1 (2%)	
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY		·····	
Animals initially in study	50	50	50
Natural death	6	4	5
Moribund sacrifice	15	13	12
Terminal sacrifice	29	33	33
TUMOR SUMMARY	`		
Total animals with primary tumors**	36	32	33
Total primary tumors	58	50	44
Total animals with benign tumors	17	20	10
Total penign tumors	22	24	10
Total malignant tumors	47	41 26	20
Total animals with secondary tumors##	2	20	1
Total secondary tumors	$\overline{2}$		ī

#### TABLE B1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
\*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
# Number of animals examined microscopically at this site
## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

TABLE B2.	SUMMARY	OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

C	ONTR	OL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						- <u></u>
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Sarcoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
Fibrosarcoma	1	(2%)				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM		·····				
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic			1	(2%)		
Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic	1	(2%)				
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma			2	(4%)		
Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic			1	(2%)		
Granulosa cell carcinoma, metastatic			1	(2%)		
Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	1	(2%)				
Osteosarcoma, unclear primary or metastatic					1	(2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM		<u> </u>				
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, undiffer type	1	(2%)				
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	7	(14%)	8	(16%)	8	(16%)
Lymphocytic leukemia	1	(2%)				
#Spleen	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	1	(2%)				
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Mandibular lymph node	(48)		(46)	(	(49)	
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	(10)		1	(2%)		
#Mesenteric lymph node	(48)		(46)		(49)	(
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type					1	(2%)
#Axillary lymph node	(48)		(46)		(49)	
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic	1	(2%)				
#Duodenum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	(10)		(50)		2	(4%)
#Thymus	(49)	(00)	(50)		(50)	
Inymoma, benign	1	(2%)		(07)		
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	1	(2%) (2%)	T	(2%)		
TRCIII ATORY SYSTEM	<u>.</u>					
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemangioma	(00)		(00)	(296)	(00)	
Hemangiosarcoma			1	(296)		
Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic	1	(2%)	1	(2,0)		
#Spleen	(50)	- /•/	(50)		(50)	
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	(=,0)
Hemangiosarcoma	1	(2%)	(00)		1	(2%)
#Uterus	(50)		(50)		(50)	<u> </u>
Hemangiosarcoma			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Ovary	(44)		(48)		(49)	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

	CONTROL (UNT)	R) LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM		<u></u>	<u></u>
#Liver	(50)	(50)	(50)
Henetocellular adenoma	5 (10%)	(30)	1 (9%)
Henetocellular carcinoma	2 (10%)		1 (20)
#Duodonum	(50)	(50)	(50)
Adenometous polyn NOS	(30)	(00)	(50)
#Colon	(50)	(50)	(50)
Leiomwosercome	(30)	(00)	(00)
#Colonic serosa	(50)	(50)	(50)
Sarcoma NOS invasive	(00)	(00)	1 (296)
			1 (2%)
URINARY SYSTEM			
#Kidney	(50)	(50)	(50)
Tubular cell adenoma	1 (2%)	\/	1 (2%)
Tubular cell adenocarcinoma	1 (2%)		1 (2%)
	• (• ~ / /		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#Anterior pituitary	(50)	(49)	(50)
Adenoma, NOS	13 (26%)	16 (33%)	10 (20%)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	3 (6%)		2 (4%)
#Adrenal	(49)	(50)	(50)
Cortical adenoma		S/	1 (2%)
#Adrenal/capsule	(49)	(50)	(50)
Adenoma, NOS	1 (2%)	x = = /	(/
#Thyroid	(50)	(50)	(49)
Follicular cell adenoma	2 (4%)	2 (496)	1 (296)
Follicular cell carcinoma	2 (= ~)	1 (996)	× (277)
#Pencreatic islats	(50)	(49)	(50)
Islet cell adenoma		(10)	1 (2%)
		······································	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	(50)	(50)	(50)
*Mammary gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
Adenosquamous carcinoma	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
Fibroadenoma	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	
#Uterus	(50)	(50)	(50)
Adenocarcinoma, NOS			1 (2%)
Endometrial stromal polyp	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	
#Ovary	(44)	(48)	(49)
Cystadenoma, NOS	2 (5%)		1 (2%)
Thecoma			1 (2%)
Granulosa cell carcinoma		1 (2%)	
Sarcoma, NOS			1 (2%)
Teratoma, benign			1 (2%)
NERVOIIS SVETEM			<u></u>
#Brain/maninger	(50)	(50)	(50)
		(00)	1 (00)
			1 (270)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Harderian gland	(50)	(50)	(50)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	(50) 4 (8%)	(50) 3 (6%)	(50)

#### TABLE B2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

02

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM *Vertebra Osteosarcoma	(50)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)
BODY CAVITIES None			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS None			
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY Animals initially in study Natural death Moribund sacrifice Terminal sacrifice	50 5 14 31	50 5 11 34	50 2 12 36
TUMOR SUMMARY Total animals with primary tumors** Total primary tumors Total animals with benign tumors Total benign tumors Total animals with malignant tumors Total animals with malignant tumors Total animals with secondary tumors## Total secondary tumors Total animals with tumors uncertain primary or metastatic Total uncertain tumors	43 64 28 34 27 30 4 4	34 50 24 28 21 22 3 3 3	36 50 17 21 25 28 1 1 1 1

#### TABLE B2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically.
 \*\* Primary tumors: all tumors except secondary tumors
 # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

## Secondary tumors: metastatic tumors or tumors invasive into an adjacent organ

ANIMAL NUMBER	1	1	1 3	1	1	1	12	1	1 3 0	1	1 2	1	127	1 3	1	1	1 0	1	12	1	1	1	1	1 0	1 0
WEEKS ON STUDY	0	0 1 5	0 4 6	0 5 5	0 6 7	0 8 6	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 8	0 9 8	100	1 0 1	-1 0 2	1 0 2	104	1 0 4	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Subcutaneous tissue	+++	+++	+ + +	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	N N	+++	 + +	++	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+ + +	 + +	 + +	+ +	+ +	+ +	-, + +	+++
Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Fibrosarcoma Osteosarcoma						x	x		X		X	X X		X		x	x							x	x
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Pheochromocytoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	* x	+	+	+ X	+	*	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bone marrow Spleen Hemangiosarcoma Lymph nodes	+++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + x + +	+++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +-	++++-	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++-	+++++	+++++	+++++
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemaneioma	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	+++	+ + x	+ + X	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	++++	++++	+ + X	+ + X	++	+ + x	+ + X	+++	+++	++++	+++	+ +	+++
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	+ 2 + + + +	+++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++
Large intestine URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+	+  +	+ + + +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Pheochomocytome	• + +	++++	++++	+ +	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++	++	+++	+ + +	+ +	+ + +	+ + +	+++
Pheochromocytoma, malignant Thyroid Parathyroid	+ -	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	X + +	+ +	+	+ -	+ +	+	^ + +	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N ++ +	N + +	N + +	+++	N ++	N + +	+++++	N + + +	N + +	N + +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N.	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE: UNTREATED CONTROL

+: Tissue examined microscopically
 -: Required tissue not examined microscopically
 X: Tumor incidence
 Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination
 S: Animal missexed

No tissue information submitted
 C: Necropsy, no histology due to protocol
 Autolysis
 M: Animal missing
 B: No necropsy performed

ANIMAL NUMBER	1 1 1	1 1 2	1 1 3	1 1 4	1 1 5	1 1 6	1 2 1	1 2 2	1 2 4	1 2 5	1 2 6	1 2 9	1 3 2	1 3 6	1 3 7	1 3 8	1 4 0	1 4 1	1 4 2	1 4 4	1 4 5	1 4 6	1 4 7	1 4 9	1 5 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES TUMORS
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	<u> </u>	 ,				<u> </u>		·													 4					*
Skin Squamous cell papilloma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fubroma Fubrosarcoma Osteosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+ * X	+	+	+ + X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	*50 1 *50 3 2 8 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch: Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Pheochromocytoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+ x +	+	* *	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	* *	+	* *	+	+	+	+++	++	++	++	* *	* *	50 8 2 1 50
UFMATODOTETTO SYSTEM								·			·	-		•				·				-				
Bone marrow Spleen Hemangtosarcoma Lymph nodes	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ -+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + +	++++++	+ + +	+++++	+++++	+ + X + +	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + +	++++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++++	++++++	+ + +	50 50 2 48 47
TEATH TATORA SASTEM		+	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<b>*</b>	+		41
Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	+ + x	+++	+ + *	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + ¥	+ +	+ + ¥	+ + X	+ +	+ + ¥	+ + X	+ * X	+ + ¥	++++	+ + ¥	+ +	50 50 7
Hemangioma Bila Anet	x	+	+	+	÷	+	4	÷	+	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	+	л +	+	+	л +	+	+	+	+	4 +	+	1 50
Gallbladder & common bile duct	I ÷	Ň	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+	+	++	++++	+++	+++	Ň	+	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	Ņ	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	*50 50
Esophagus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Stomacn Small intestine Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	++	+ +	+++	++	+ +	+ + +	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ + +	++	+ +	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ +	+ X +	48 1 50
URINARY SYSTEM	—																									
Kidney Urinary bladder	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 50
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Pheochromocytoma	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ * X	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	50 50 2
Thyroid Parathyroid	+++	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ -	50 29
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Prostate	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	+ + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	 N + +	*50 50 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	~ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderaan gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
BODY CAVITIES Peritoneum Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	*50 1 1 2 3

#### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: UNTREATED CONTROL (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 4 0	0 4 1	0 3 6	0 4 2	0 4 3	04	0 1 5	0 0 2	0 3 0	0 3 5	0 5 0	0 4 9	0 1 7	0 8	0 3 1	0 3 8	0 2 0	0 0 1	0 0 3	0 0 5	0 6	0 0 7	0 9	0 1 0	0 1 1
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 9	0 2 1	0 2 6	0 2 7	0 3 1	0 6 2	0 7 5	0 7 6	0 8 6	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Skin Squamous cell papilloma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ *	++	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ + x	++
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	+	+	+ X +	++	+	* +	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+ X +	* * *	++	+ X +
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Sarcoma, NOS Lymph nodes Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	++ ++ ++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++X++	++ ++ ++	++ ++ ++	++ ++ ++	+ + + + + +	+++++	++ ++ ++	++ ++ ++	+ + + + + +	++ ++ ++	++ ++ ++	++ ++ ++	+ + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemangosarooma	+ +	++++	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+ + x	+ + X	+ + x	+ + X X	++++	+++	+++	+++	+ + x	+ + x	+ + x	+ +	+ + x	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ + X	+++
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Adenocarcinoma, NOS Large intestine	+2+++  +	++++ +	+++++ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+2++++ +	+ N + + + - +	+++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++ +	++++++ +	++++++ +
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+ +	+ +	++	++	++	++++	+++	+	++++	+ +	+++	++++	++++	++++	+ +	+++	++++	+ +	++++	++++	++++	++	++	 + +	 +
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Parathyroid	+ + + -	+ - + -	++++-	++++-	+ + + +	+ + +	-+ + ++	++++	+ + + +	+ + +	++++-	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + -	++ ++ ++	+ * * * *	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + X + -	+ + +	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++	+++++
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Frostate	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Sarcoma, NOS Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	И	N	N

#### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE: LOW DOSE

						~														-21						•
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 2	0 1 3	0 1 4	0 1 6	0 1 8	0 1 9	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 5	0 2 6	0 2 7	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 2	0 3 3	0 3 4	0 3 7	0 3 9	0 4 4	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 7	0 4 8	TOTAL.
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES												
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM														··												
Skin Squamous cell papilloma Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	++	+	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+ + X	+	+	+	+ +	+	+ X +	+ + X	+	+	+ + X	+	+ + X	+ +	+ +	*50 1 *50 1 4 5
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar thronchiolar adenome	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ¥	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+	+	X +	+	л +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ł	+	+	6 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	<u> </u>														- <u> </u>							+			 	50
Spleen Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	+	+	Ŧ	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	50
Lymph nodes Thymus	++	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	49 47
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemangosarcoma	++++	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ + x	++++	+ + x	+++	+ + X	+ + x	+++	+ + X X	+ + X	++++	+ +	+ + X	++++	+ +	+++	+ +	++++	+ +	50 50 8 9
Bile duct	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	F	+	+	50 *50
Pancreas	Ŧ	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	+	÷	÷	÷	+	+	F	÷	+	49
Esophagus Stomach	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	+++	++	+	+++	+++	49 50
Small intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	۲	+	+	47
Large intestine	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	t	+	+	+	+	+	50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	++++	+++	++++	++++	+++++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	++++	+ +	++++	+++	++++	+ +	++++	+++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	50 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal	+++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+	++++	+ + +	+++	++++	++++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+ +	49 49
Cortical adenoma Pheochromocytoma									x		x		x		x	x										2 5
Thyroid Parathyroid	++++	+ -	+	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ 	+	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ -	+	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ -	+	++++	50 25
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM																		N			N			N	NT	***
Mammary giand Testis Prostate	- + +	N + +	N + +	1 + +	м + +	ч + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	+ + +	N + +	1 + +	++	N + +	N + +	1 + +	N + +	+ + +	N + +	+ + +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ + +	50 50 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Sarcoma, NOS Mahgnant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 1

#### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: LOW DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 6 0	0 5 7	0 7 1	0 6 8	0 7 6	0 7 3	0 7 7	0 5 5	0 5 2	0 6 9	0 8 8	0 7 5	0 6 6	0 9 9	0 8 9	0 8 3	0 5 1	0 5 3	0 5 4	0 5 6	0 5 8	0 5 9	0 6 1	0 6 2	0 6 3
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 1 6	0 2 3	0 2 9	0 6 8	0 6 9	0 7 3	0 8 5	0 9 0	0 9 2	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 7	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 2	1 0 4								
INTEQUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fubroma Fubrosarcoma	+	+	+	+ X	+	+ x	*	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Traches	+	+	+	+++	+	+++	+	+	++	* *	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+ X X +	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spieen Lymph nodes Thymus	++++	++++++	+ + + + +	+++++	++++	+ + + +	++++	+++++	+++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	++++	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+++-	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Hemangioma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Bile duct Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++ ++++++	++ ++++++	++ ++++++	++ +++++++	++ ++++++	++X +++++++	++ ++++++	++ ++++++	++ ++++	++ X++++++	++ x+++++++	++ +++++++	++ ++++++	++ +++++++	++ ++++++	++ ++++++	++ ++++++	++ +++++++	++ X+++++++	++X +++++++	++ ++++++	++ X++++++	++ X+++++++	++ ++++++	++* +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Urinary bladder	++	++	+ -	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	* *	+	+ +	+++	++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Folhcular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + -	+++++++	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++++	+ + + +	++ + +	+ + + +	+ + + -	+ + +	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + -	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + + +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Hemangioma Prostate	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N

#### TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE: HIGH DOSE

## TABLE B3. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF MALE MICE: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 6 4	0 6 5	0 6 7	0 7 0	0 7 2	0 7 4	0 7 8	0 7 9	0 8 0	0 8 1	0 8 2	0 8 4	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 9 0	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 7	0 9 8	1 0 0	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Fibroma Fibroma Fibrosarcoma	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	4	+	+	+	*50 1 2 3
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Trachea	+	+	+ X +	+	+ X +	+	++	++	+	+	+ X +	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 4 3 50
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Lymph nodes Thymus	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+++++	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+++++	+++++	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	50 50 50 49
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart Hemangioma	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salvary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++ x+n+++++	++ +++++++	++ ++++++	++ +++++++	++ +++++++	++ +++++++	++ X+++++++	++ +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +++++++	++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++X +++++++	++ X+++++++	++X +++++++	++ ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ +++++++	++ +++++++	++ ++++++	++ +++++++	++ X++++++++	++ +++++++	++X +++++++	++ X+++++++	++ +++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++ X++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	50 50 6 11 50 *50 50 50 50 49 49
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Urinary bladder	+	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	+++	+++	+	++	+	++	+++	+	++	+++	++	++	+++	+ + +	++	+++	+ +	50 1 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adrenal Pheochromocytoma Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+ + + +	+ + + +	++++	+ + + -	+ + + +	++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + X + + +	+ + + -	+ + + +	+ + + + X +	+ + + +	+++++	+ + + +	+ + X + +	+ + + +	+ + + X	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	50 50 2 50 2 50 2 35
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Testis Hemangioma Prostate	N + +	N + +	N + X +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	+ + +	N + +	N + +	N + +	N + ⊦	N + +	N + +	N + +	*50 50 1 50
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 2 4

ANIMAL NUMBER	1 2 4	1 3 6	1 4 2	1 4 3	1 2 6	1 2 7	1 1 4	1 3 8	1 3 9	1 2 2	1 2 5	1 0 6	1 3 4	1 4 9	1 2 1	1 0 5	1 1 8	1 2 3	1 0 8	1 0 1	1 0 2	1 0 3	1 0 4	1 0 7	1 0 9
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 4 3	0 5 3	0 7 8	0 7 8	0 8 2	0 8 5	0 8 6	0 9 1	0 9 2	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	101	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Hemangiosarcoma Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ * X	+++	++	+ + +	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	+ +	+++	++	++++	 + + X	+ +	++	+++	+ +	+++	++++	+++
Lymph nodes Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Thymus Thymoma, benign Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+	 +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	++	+ + +	+ +	+ +	+ 	+ +	+ +	+ +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma	++++	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ + X	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+++	+++
Galibladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Large intestine	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	4+++++++	++++++	+ 2 + + - + +	+++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	+++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++	++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urnary bladder	+	+ x x +	+	++	++	+	++	+	++	++	++	+	+	++	+	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	++	++	++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	*	* x	 x	+
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenai Adenoma, NOS Thyroid	+	+ +	* *	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +														
Foliacular cell adenoma Parathyroid	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	·+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenosquamous carcinoma	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+
rioroscenoma Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp Ovary Cystadenoma, NOS	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ 	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ -	+ + X	+ +
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, undiffer type Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, instocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymphocytic leukemia	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X

#### TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE: UNTREATED CONTROL

+: Tissue examined microscopically -: Required tissue not examined microscopically X: Tumor incidence N: Necropsy, no autolysis, no microscopic examination S: Animal missexed

: No tissue information submitted C: Necropsy, no histology due to protocol A: Autolysis M: Animal missing B: No necropsy performed

ANIMAL NUMBER	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	12	12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 5	Ţ
WEEKS ON	0	ן ד	2	3	5  -1	6  	기 - 파	9  _1	이	8	9  11	이	1  -1	2  _1	3  	5  	וי ד	이 - 11	म - म	4  1 -	5  - 1	6  	7  	8  -11	0	TOTAL
STUDY	4	0 4	0 4	0 4	04	0 4	0 4	0 4	04	0 4	4	0 4	TUMORS													
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Fibrosarcoma Hemangiosarcoma, metastatic	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Hepatocellular carcinoma, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Fibrosarcoma, metastatac	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1 3 1
Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
nematoroience sistem Bone marrow Spleen Hemangiosarcoma Maharat lumphoma historita tura	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	50 50 1
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes	+	+	_	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	48
Squamous cell carcinoma, metastatic Thymus	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+	+	1 49
Thymoma, benign Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type					x															x X						
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	+	+	+	+	+	 +	+	+	 +	+	 +	+	 +	+	+	 +	+	+	 +	+	·	 +	+	+	+	50
Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcnoma Hemagooarcoma	+	÷	+	÷	+ X X	+	÷	+	÷	+ x	+	÷	÷	÷	, x	+ X	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	50 5 2 1
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ N	+ +	50 *50													
Pancreas Esophagus	++	++	++	+ +	++	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	+ +	++	++	++	++	+ +	++	50 50
Stomach Small intestine Large intestine Leiomyosarcoma	+   +   +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+ + +	+++	+ + +	49 50 50 1																	
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma Tubular cell adenocarcinoma Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	++	++	50 1 1 48
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adaptore NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ¥	+	+	+ ¥	+	50
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal	<b>^</b>	+	+	л +	+	+	_	л +	X	+	+	* +	X	X	+	+	+	л +	+	+	^ +	+	+	л +	+	13 3 49
Adenoma, NOS Thyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 50
Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u>x</u>	+	+	-	X +	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	2 35
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adenosquamous carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	*50 1 1
Fibroadenoma Uterus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 50
Ovary Cystadenoma, NOS	*	+	-	+	+	х +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	44 2
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 4
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, undiffer typs Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	*50 1 3 1
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymphocytic leukemia			x				х			X			X								x					7 1

### TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: UNTREATED CONTROL (Continued)

#### TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE: LOW DOSE

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 4	0 2 6	0 3 2	0 4 4	0 1 3	0 2 5	0 2 0	0 1 5	0 0 5	0 3 1	0 4 2	0 4 1	0 3 3	0 4 7	0 0 1	0 0 2	0 0 3	0 0 4	0 0 6	0 0 7	0 0 8	0 0 9	0 1 0	0 1 1	0 1 2
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 6 7	0 8 6	0 8 7	0 8 8	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 5	0 9 6	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 8	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 1	1 0 2	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Hemangioma Hemangioma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Adenocarcinoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic Granulosa cell carcinoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	* * +	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Thymus Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	++ + X + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + - +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SY STEM Salvary gland Liver Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++ +	-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++2++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++2++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	 + +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++	+	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++	++++	++++
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adrenal Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma Follicular cell carcinoma Parathyroid	+ + + -	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ + +	+++++	+ + + + +	+ + +	+ + + +	+ X + +	+++++	+++++++	+ + +	+ + +	++++++	* * + +	+ X + +	+ X + + +	+ + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	++++++	+ X + + +	+ X + + +	+ + + +	+ + +	+ * * + + + +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adenosquamous carcinoma Fibroadenoma Uterus Endometrial stromal polyp	+	+	+	+	+	++	+ + X	* *	+	+	++	+ X +	++	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ + X	+	+
Hemangnosarcoma Ovary Granulosa cell carcinoma Hemangnoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	*	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Hardernan gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N	N	N	N X	N X	N	N X	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 1 6	0 1 7	0 1 8	0 1 9	0 2 1	0 2 2	0 2 3	0 2 4	0 2 7	0 2 8	0 2 9	0 3 0	0 3 4	0 3 5	0 3 6	0 3 7	0 3 8	0 3 9	0 4 0	0 4 3	0 4 5	0 4 6	0 4 8	0 4 9	0 5 0	
WEEKS ON STUDY	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM Subcutaneous tissue Sarcoma, NOS Hemangioma Hemangiosarcoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50 1 1 1
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronch Adenocarchoma, NOS, metastatic Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Alveolar/bronchiolar carcinoma, Adenosquamous carcinoma, metastatic Granulosa cell carcinoma, metastatic Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+ X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ x +	+	+	50 1 2 1 1 50
REMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Soleen	   + +	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++++	++++	 + +	50 50
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Thymus	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	+ +	+ +	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	* *	- +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	 +	+ +	1 46 1 50
Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Bile duct Galibiadder & common bile duct Pancreas Esophagus Stomach Small intestine Adenomatous polyp, NOS Large intestine	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++ +	+++++++ <b>X</b> +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++ +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	-++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	48 50 50 *50 49 48 50 50 1 50
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Urinary bladder	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+ +	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	++	++	+ +	++++	+++++	+ +	50 49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pitutary Adenooma, NOS Adrenal Thyroid Folhcular cell adenoma Folhcular cell carcinoma Parathyroid	++++++	+ + +	+X++++	+ ++++	+++++	+ + x -	+ X + +	+++++++	+ X + + +	+ + X -	+ + + + +	+ + + +	+ X + + +	+ X + + -	+ + +	+++++	+ X + + + +	++++	 ++ +	++++++	+ X + +	+ X + + + + X +	+++++++	* * * + +	+ + +	49 16 50 50 2 1 36
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adenosquamous carcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	*50 2 1
Fioroadenoma Uterus Endometral stromal polyp Hemangoosarcoma Ovary Granulosa cell carcinoma Hemangioma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+ X +	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	1 50 2 1 48 1 1
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS Harderian gland Adenoma, NOS	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 3
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	N X	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50 1 8

## TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: LOW DOSE (Continued)

TABLE B4.	INDIVIDUAL ANI	MAL TUMOR PATH	OLOGY OF FEMAL	E MICE IN THE	<b>TWO-YEAR FEED</b>
	STUDY OI	OXYTETRACYCLI	NE HYDROCHLOR	DE: HIGH DOS	E

ANIMAL NUMBER	0 6 0	0 7 4	0 8 1	1 0 0	0 5 1	0 5 3	0 8 0	0 6 1	0 8 7	0 8 5	0 5 5	0 7 5	0 9 5	0 6 8	0 5 2	0 5 4	0 5 6	0 5 7	0 5 8	0 5 9	0 6 2	0 6 3	0 6 4	0 6 5	0 6 6
WEEKS ON STUDY	0 7 9	0 8 9	0 9 1	0 9 1	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 4	0 9 7	0 9 7	0 9 9	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 2	1 0 4	1 0 4									
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM Lungs and bronchi Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Osteosarcoma unclear primary or metastatic	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+ x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Trachea	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM Bone marrow Spleen Hemangiosarcoma Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	+++	+ +	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	++	+++	++	++	++	++	+ +	+ +	++	++	+++	++	++	++	+ + X
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM		т —	т		т 			т 			+											Ŧ	+		т 
Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM Salivary gland Liver Hepatocellular adenoma Hepatocellular carcinoma Hemangiosarcoma	++++	+ +	+++	++++	+ +	+ +	++++	+	+ +	+ + X	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++++	++++	++++	+ +	++++	+ +	+ + x	+ +
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+ +	++++	+++
Pancreas Esophagus	‡	++	++	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+	++	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+++	+++	+++	++++	+++	++++	+++	+++++	++++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++++
Stomach Small intestine	+++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	+++	++++	++	+++	+ +	+++	+++	++++	+++	++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Large intestine Sarcoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma Tubular cell adenocarcinoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	×	+
			+			+				+			<del>.</del>		-										
Pituitary Adenoma, NOS Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ X	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	*	+
Adrenal Cortical adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Thyroid Follicular cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Parathyroid Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+ +	+ +	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	+	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	+ +
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hemangiosarcoma Ovary Cystadenoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Thecoma Sarcoma, NOS Teratoma, benign								x	x																
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N X	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type	X		x	x	x																X				

																	_									
ANIMAL NUMBER	0 6 7	0 6 9	0 7 0	0 7 1	0 7 2	0 7 3	0 7 6	0 7 7	0 7 8	079	0 8 2	0 8 3	0 8 4	0 8 6	0 8 8	0 8 9	0 9 0	0 9 1	092	0 9 3	0 9 4	0 9 8	0 9 7	0 9 8	0 9 9	TOTAL
WEEKS ON STUDY	104	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	1 0 4	TISSUES
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM					_											<u> </u>	 			+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Alveolar/bronchiolar adenoma Osteosarcoma, unclear prim or metasta Trachea	+	+	• +	+	• +	× +	+	, +	• +	• +	+	•	+	•	• +	•	+	•	、 +	•	+	+	+	+	+	3 1 49
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	ļ																									
Bone marrow Spleen Hemangiosarcoma	+	+ +	+++	++	+ +	+ +	+++	+ +	+ +	+ +	++	++	+ +	+ +	++	+ +	50 50 1									
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Lymph nodes	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1 49
Malignant lymphoma, histiocytic type Thymus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM Heart	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM												 _												 		49
Liver Hepatocellular adenoma	Ŧ	÷	÷	+	+	+	+	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	50
Hepatocellular carcínoma Hemangiosarcoma		x																								
Bile duct Gallbladder & common bile duct	++	++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++	+++	++	++	++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	+++	+++	++	++	++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	*50
Pancreas Esophagus	‡	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	+	++	+	+	÷	+	+	49
Stomach Small intestine	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	· +	++	+.	++	++	++	+	++	50
Malignant lymphoma, mixed type Large intestine Sarcoma, NOS, invasive	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	2 50 1
URINARY SYSTEM Kidney Tubular cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
Urinary bladder	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM Pituitary Adenoma, NOS	+	+ x	+	+	* x	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	* *	*	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 10
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Adrenal	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	2 50
Cortical adenoma Thyroid	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X +	+	+	+	+	+	<u>+</u>	+	49
Follicular cell adenoma Parathyroid	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+	+	+		-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	* +	+	42
Pancreatic islets Islet cell adenoma	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM Mammary gland	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	·+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*50
Adenocarcinoma, NOS Uterus Adenocarcinoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	<b>,</b> +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
Hemangtosarcoma Ovary	±	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	49
Cystadenoma, NOS Thecoma Sarcoma, NOS Teratoma, benign	x	X																								
NERVOUS SYSTEM Brain Sarcoma, NOS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50 1
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM Bone Osteosarcoma	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS Multiple organs, NOS Malignant lymphoma, lymphocytic type	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	*50
Maiignant lymphoma, nistlocytic type Malignant lymphoma, mixed type								л 	x			x					x			x						8

### TABLE B4. INDIVIDUAL ANIMAL TUMOR PATHOLOGY OF FEMALE MICE: HIGH DOSE (Continued)

Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315 96

,

#### APPENDIX C

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

		CONTROL (UNTR)		LOW DOSE		H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50			
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	Y 50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM		*		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Epidermal inclusion cyst	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, active chronic			1	(2%)		
Calcinosis circumscripta		(00)	1	(2%)		
riyperkeratosis *Subautoneous tigguo	(50)	(2%)	(50)	(2%)	(50)	
Abscess, NOS	(30)		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM				·······		
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	,	
Congestion, NOS	7	(14%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Hemorrhage	3	(6%)	5	(10%)	2	(4%)
Bronchopneumonia, acute	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)		( <b>a</b> )	-	
Pneumonia, interstitial chronic	7	(14%)	4	(8%)	3	(6%)
Bronchopneumonia, chronic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, alveolar enithelium			1	(2%)		
Metaplasia, asseous	1	(2%)	4	(2%)		
Histiocytosis	5	(10%)	5	(10%)	4	(8%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM				<u> </u>	= · · ·	
#Bone marrow	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Necrosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
Myelofibrosis	- 1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Mastocytosis			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Spleen	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematoma, NOS	0	(100)	1	(2%)		
Fibrosis Digmontation NOS	26	(12%)	2 20	(4%)	2	(4%)
Figmentation, NOS Hyperplasia, lymphoid	30	(1270)	33	(00%)	34	(08%)
Hematopoiesis	35	(70%)	27	(54%)	33	(66%)
#Splenic capsule	(50)	(,	(50)	(01/0)	(50)	
Fibrosis			1	(2%)		
#Lymph node	(49)		(49)		(49)	
Hemosiderosis			1	(2%)		
#Mandibular lymph node	(49)		(49)		(49)	
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)		· • • •		
Hemosiderosis	3	(6%)	2	(4%)		
riasmacyuosis Hypogenia in the id	3	(1070) (AGG)	3	(0%) (9%)		
Tryperprasta, rymphold #Thoracic lymph node	2 (AQ)	(4970)	1 (0)	(270)	(40)	
Congestion. NOS	(40)	(2%)	. (** <i>0)</i> 1	(296)	(43)	
Hemosiderosis	2	(4%)	1	(- ~)		
#Mesenteric lymph node	(49)		(49)		(49)	
Cyst, NOS			1	(2%)		
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)				
Edema, NOS			1	(2%)		
Pigmentation, NOS	~	(00)	1	(2%)		
memosiderosis Masta esta sis	3	(10%) (10%)	1	(2%)		
Mastocytosis #Soliyowy glond	(EA)	(2%)	(EA)		(EA)	
Mastosytosis	(00)		(00)	(294)	(00)	
Masuuuy wala			1	(470)		

#### TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

CONTR	CONTROL (UNTR)		DOSE	HIGH DOSE	
				(20)	
(50)	(0.0)	(50)		(50)	
1	(2%)	/ A 171		(50)	
(48)	(100)	(4/)	(28%)	(00)	(38%)
19	(40%)	2	(4%)	10	(00%)
i	(2%)	ĩ	(2%)		
				(50)	
(50)		(50)	(22)	(50)	
		1	(2%)	40	(000)
41	(82%)	42	(84%)	48	(90%)
		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
(50)	$(9\mathbf{a})$	(50)	(996)	(00)	(2.%)
1	(270)	1	(270)	1	(2%)
(50)	(470)	(50)		(50)	(= /0)
(50)		1	(2%)	(00)	
(50)		(50)	()	(50)	
(00)		(+ -)		1	(2%)
(50)		(50)		(50)	
2	(4%)				
(50)		(50)		(50)	
1	(2%)				
		1	(2%)		
(50)		(50)	(07)	(50)	
1	(2%)	3	(6%)		
(50)		(50)		(50)	
(00)	(4%)	3	(6%)	6	(12%)
2		ĩ	(2%)		
9	(18%)	7	(14%)	7	(14%)
4	(8%)	3	(6%)	7	(14%)
(50)		(50)		(50)	
1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
1	(2%)			1	(2%)
2	(4%)	-			
2	(4%)	2	(4%)	-	(100)
11	(22%)	2	(4.%) (2.904)	5	(10%)
8	(10%)	10	(3470) (996)	'	(1470)
1	(296)	1	(2%)		
• 1	(2%)	1	(= ///	3	(6%)
31	(62%)	33	(66%)	46	(92%)
1	(2%)				
ī	(2%)				
1	(2%)				
(50)		(50)		(50)	
		1	(2%)		
		1	(2%)		
(50)		(50)		(50)	(80%)
38	(76%)	27	(54%)	38	(76%)
(50)	(000)	(50)	(000)	(50)	(069)
49	(98%)	44	(88%)	48	(90%)
(50)		(50)		(50)	(294)
				1	(2.70)
				1	(270)
	CONTR (50) 1 (48) 19 1 1 (50) 41 (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) 2 (50) 1 (50) 2 (50) 1 (50) 1 (50) 1 (50) 1 (50) 1 (50) 1 1 (50) 1 1 (50) 1 1 (50) 1 1 (50) 1 1 (50) 1 1 (50) 1 1 (50) 1 1 (50) 1 1 (50) (50) 1 1 (50) (50) 1 1 (50) (50) 1 1 (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) (50)	CONTROL (UNTR) (50) 1 (2%) (48) 19 (40%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (50) 41 (82%) (50) 1 (2%) (50) (50) 2 (4%) (50) 1 (2%) (50) 1 (2%) (50) 1 (2%) (50) 1 (2%) (50) 1 (2%) (50) 1 (2%) (50) 1 (2%) 1 (2	CONTROL (UNTR)         LOW $(50)$ $(50)$ $1$ $(2\%)$ $(48)$ $(47)$ $19$ $(40\%)$ $13$ $1$ $(2\%)$ $2$ $1$ $(2\%)$ $1$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $1$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $1$ $(2\%)$ $1$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $1$ $(2\%)$ $1$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $1$ $(2\%)$ $1$ $1$ $(2\%)$ $1$ $(50)$ $(50)$ $1$ $1$ $(2\%)$ $1$ $1$ $(2\%)$ $1$ $1$ $(2\%)$ $1$	CONTROL (UNTR)         LOW DOSE           (50)         (50)           (48)         (47)           19 (40%)         13 (28%)           1 (2%)         2 (4%)           1 (2%)         1 (2%)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           (50)         (50)           1 (2%)         3 (6%)           1 (2%)         3 (6%)           1 (2%)         1 (2%)           1 (2%)         1 (2%)           1 (2%)         1 (2%)           1 (2%)         1 (2%)           1 (2%)         1 (2%)           1 (2%)         1 (2%)           1 (2%)         1 (2%)           1 (2%)         1 (2%) <td< td=""><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></td></td<>	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

## TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONTR	OL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)			·····			
#Pancreatic acinus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Focal cellular change					1	(2%)
Atrophy, NOS	27	(54%)	29	(58%)	33	(66%)
Hyperplasia, NOS			5	(10%)	3	(6%)
#Glandular stomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)				
Degeneration, cystic	32	(64%)	39	(78%)	40	(80%)
#Forestomach	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Ulcer, acute			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, active chronic			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Hyperkeratosis	1	(2%)	_	, ,	1	(2%)
#Colon	(50)		(50)		(50)	()
Hematoma, NOS	,		1	(2%)	(	
Necrosis, ischemic			ī	(2%)		
IRINARY SYSTEM	· · · · · · · · · ·					
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hydronephrosis	(00)		1	(2%)	(00)	
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)	•		1	(2%)
Hemorrhage	2	(4%)			•	(2 / )
Nephropathy	49	(98%)	49	(98%)	49	(98%)
#Kidney/cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	(30%)
Cyst NOS	4	(896)	(00)		(00)	
Infarct healed	•				1	(994)
#Kidney/medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2.70)
Inflammation acute	(00)		(00)		(00)	(90)
#Renal nanilla	(50)		(50)		(50)	(470)
Necrosis congulative			(00)	(296)	(00)	
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(50)	
Mineralization	28	(56%)	18	(36%)	30	(60%)
Necrosis, NOS	20	(00%)	2	(4%)		
Pigmentation, NOS	42	(84%)	44	(88%)	30	(78%)
#Kidney/nelvis	(50)	(0470)	(50)		(50)	(10%)
Hemorrhage	(00)	(696)	(00)	(69)	(00)	(69.)
#Uringry bladder	(50)	(0,0)	(50)	(0%)	(50)	(070)
Calculus gross observation only	(00)		(00)	(90)	(50)	
Calculus, gross observation unity	1	(994)	. 1	(470) (904)	•	(90)
Hemorrhage	1	(470)	1	(470)	1	(470)
Inflammation acuta			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, active showing	•	(90)			1	(2%)
mammation, active chronic	۱ 	(270)				
NDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Pitultary intermedia	(50)	(4~)	(50)		(48)	(1.0.5)
Uyst, NUS	2	(4%)	2	(4%)	6	(13%)
Anglectasis			1	(2%)		
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(50)		(48)	
Uyst, NUS	5	(10%)	4	(8%)	5	(10%)
Multiple cysts					1	(2%)
nemorrhage		(0.0.0)	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, NOS	18	(36%)	14	(28%)	29	(60%)
Angiectasis			1	(2%)		
#Adrenal/capsule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS					1	(2%)

### TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONTR	OL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIGH	H DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)	<u></u>			· · · · · ·	<b></b>	
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Necrosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
Metamorphosis, fatty	28	(56%)	22	(44%)	26	(52%)
Pigmentation, NOS	42	(84%)	36	(72%)	41	(82%)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	8	(16%)	8	(16%)	11	(22%)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
Cytoplasmic vacuolization			1	(2%)		( <b>A - -</b> )
Cytomegaly	_			(6.8.4)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	7	(14%)	14	(28%)	9	(18%)
#Thyroid	(50)	(0.01)	(50)	(90)	(50)	
Embryonal duct cyst	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	0	(10)
Mineralization		(00)	I F	(2%)	4	(4,70)
Cystic follicles	4	(8%)	ə 1	(10%)	· · · ·	(14570)
Inflammation, chronic	•	(00)	1	(2%)	2	(4970)
Pigmentation, NOS	1	(2%)	1 01	(270)	30	(12%)
Hyperplasia, C-cell	30	(12%)	31	(0470) (69L)	59 7	(10%)
Hyperplasia, follicular cell	0 (50)	(10%)	(50)	(0%)	(50)	(1470)
Hyperplasia, NOS	4	(8%)	1	(2%)	(00)	
		·····				
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	(50)		(50)		(50)	
-Mammary gland	(50)		(00)	(296)	(00)	(296)
Humornlogia oustia	20	(40%)	14	(2.8%)	19	(38%)
*Bronuto	(50)	(40 /0)	(50)	(20%)	(50)	(00/0)
Calculus microscopic examination	(00)		1	(2.96)	(00)	
*Dreputiol gland	(50)		(50)	(2,0)	(50)	
Cret NOS	(00)		(00)		1	(296)
Lowerrhage	1	(296)			-	(2.10)
Inflammation suppurstive	-	(2.10)	1	(296)		
Inflammation, suppliative	3	(6%)	10	(20%)	4	(8%)
Inflammation, active chrome	Ū	(0,2)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia NOS			Ŭ	(0,0)	1	(2%)
#Prostate	(48)		(50)		(50)	(=,
Inflammation, suppurative	5	(10%)	6	(12%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, active chronic	18	(38%)	21	(42%)	26	(52%)
*Seminal vesicle	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)	2	(4%)		
Inflammation, active chronic	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	4	(8%)
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Testis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Necrosis, NOS					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, interstitial cell	42	(84%)	36	(72%)	45	(90%)
#Testis/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	32	(64%)	22	(44%)	23	(46%)
Degeneration, NOS	39	(78%)	37	(74%)	39	(78%)
Oligospermia	6	(12%)	2	(4%)	4	(8%)
*Epididymis	(50)	(00)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)			4	(90)
Inflammation, active chronic			120			(2%)
TScrotum	(50)	(10)	(90)		(60)	
Steatitis	2	(41%)			1	(994)
Inflammation, active chronic	-				1	(470)

## TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONTR	OL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSI
NERVOUS SYSTEM						
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hydrocephalus, internal	1	(2%)				
Hemorrhage	5	(10%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
Malacia			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Infarct, NOS			1	(2%)		
Corpora amylacea	0	(40)	1	(2%)		
Atrophy, pressure	Z	(4:%)				
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS						
*Eye	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Retinopathy	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Cataract	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Phthisis bulbi					1	(2%)
*Eye/sclera	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization <b>(1997)</b>			2	(4%)		
*Eye/cornea	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
*Eye/crystalline lens	(50)		(50)	(1~)	(50)	
Cataract	(50)		Z	(4%)	(50)	
"Ear canal	(50)	(00)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute/chronic	1	(2%)				
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM						
*Skull	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(2%)				
*Joint of lower extremity	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Osteoarthritis			1	(2%)		
BODY CAVITIES					<u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u></u>	
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Steatitis	1	(2%)				
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS				<u></u>	······	
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation chronic	6	(12%)	6	(12%)	2	(4%)
			-		-	(100)

#### TABLE C1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

None

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

TABLE C2.	SUMMARY OF THE	<b>INCIDENCE</b> O	F NONNEOPLASTIC	LESIONS IN	FEMALE RATS IN
	THE TWO-YEAR	FEED STUDY	OF OXYTETRACYCL	INE HYDROC	HLORIDE

	CONTR	OL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIGI	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50 50		50 50		50 50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	Y 50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM						
*Skin	(50)	(07)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, active chronic	1	(2%)	1	(99)		
Hunarkaratosis	1	(296)	1	(270)	1	(296)
Acenthosis	1	(2.%)	*	(2,10)	1	(270)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	(2,0)	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, active chronic	(		(+-,		1	(2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						<u></u>
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Congestion, NOS	2	(4%)	-	(10%)	1	(2%)
nemorrnage Bronchonneumonia acute	Z	(4,%)	0 1	(10%)	3	(6%)
Pneumonia interstitial chronic	9	(18%)	9	(18%)	4	(8%)
Bronchopneumonia, chronic	v	(10,0)	Ū	(10,0)	1	(2%)
Cholesterol deposit			1	(2%)	_	()
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium	3	(6%)			2	(4%)
Histiocytosis	12	(24%)	9	(18%)	6	(12%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	-	······································				
#Bone marrow	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Inflammation, active chronic			1	(2%)	1	(90)
Hyperplasia, granulocytic	1	(296)	1	(296)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, redectain cen Hyperplasia, megakaryocytic	1	(2%)	1	$(2 \mathcal{R})$		
#Spleen	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematoma, NOS			2	(4%)		
Fibrosis					1	(2%)
Infarct, NOS					1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS	43	(86%)	45	(90%)	36	(72%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	1	(2%)	40	(000)	10	(000)
Hematopoiesis	42	(84%)	40	(80%)	43	(86%)
#opienic capsule	(50)	(994)	(50)		(00)	
#Splenic follicles	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Atrophy. NOS	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
#Lymph node	(49)		(50)	•	(50)	
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hemosiderosis	1	(2%)				
#Mandibular lymph node	(49)		(50)	(00)	(50)	
Uyst, NOS	c	(1997)	1	(2%)	0	(49)
nemosiderosis Hyperplacia lymphoid	0	(1270) (994)	0	(1270)	2	(4270)
#Thoracic lymph node	(49)	(270)	(50)		(50)	
Hemosiderosis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
# Mesenteric lymph node	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Edema, NOS	1	(2%)			. ,	
Hemosiderosis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)	(0.00)	(50)	(	(50)	(0~)
Hematopolesis	3	(6%)	2	(41%)	3	(6%)

	CONTROL (UNTR)		LOW DOSE		HIGH DOSE	
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)		<del></del>				·····
#Thymus	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Embryonal duct cyst	23	(47%)	14	(28%)	22	(44%)
Congestion, NOS	1	(2%)				
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM		* * **				
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	43	(86%)	47	(94%)	41	(82%)
#Heart/atrium	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS					1	(2%)
*Pulmonary artery	(50)	(0.2)	(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)	(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	(50)		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM					<u></u>	
#Salivary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cystic ducts	7	(14%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute	•	·	2	(4%)	-	<u>,</u>
Inflammation, active chronic			2	(4%)		
Inflammation, chronic	7	(14%)	6	(12%)	8	(16%)
Atrophy, NOS	11	(22%)	9	(18%)	4	(8%)
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Accessory structure	2	(4%)	7	(14%)	9	(18%)
Bile stasis			1	(2%)		
Cyst, NOS			1	(2%)		
Congestion, NOS					1	(2%)
Granuloma, NOS	21	(42%)	16	(32%)	10	(20%)
Necrosis, NOS	6	(12%)	1	(2%)	5	(10%)
Metamorphosis, latty	10	(20%)	8	(16%)	4	(14%)
Nuclear alteration	1	(2%)				
Cytopiasmic vacuolization	1	(2%)	40	(000)	40	(0.07)
Focal cellular change	42	(84%)	40	(92%)	48	(90%)
Honotoevtomogoly	9	(40)	1	(994)	1	(2%)
Regeneration NOS	2	(4%) (9 <i>0</i> _)	1	(2%)		
#Liver/contrilobular	(50)	(270)	(50)	(470)	(50)	
Inflammation scute	(50)		(30)		(50)	(296)
#Liver/nerinortal	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2,0)
Inflammation, chronic	37	(74%)	40	(80%)	37	(74%)
#Bile duct	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	43	(86%)	36	(72%)	38	(76%)
#Pancreas	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Pancreatic acinus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Focal cellular change			1	(2%)		
Atrophy, NOS	33	(66%)	24	(48%)	27	(54%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	3	(6%)
#Glandular stomach	(50)	(00)	(50)		(50)	(00)
	1	(2%) (790)	40	(000)	1	(2%)
Degeneration, cystic	39	(10%)	40	(00%) (90%)	40	(00%)
#Forestomech	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
Ulcer, chronic	(00)	(2%)	(00)		(50)	
Hyperkeratosis	-				1	(2%)
#Gastric fundus	(50)		(50)		(50)	(2.27
Hyperkeratosis	1	(2%)	()		()	
#Colon	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Alexandra Inc.		(97)			. ,	

#### TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

CONTROL (UNTR)		LOW DOSE		HIGH DOSE		
URINARY SYSTEM		. <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u>_</u>				
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS					1	(2%)
Hemorrhage			1	(2%)		
Abscess, NOS					1	(2%)
Nephropathy	49	(98%)	49	(98%)	49	(98%)
Infarct, healed					5	(10%)
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	34	(68%)	35	(70%)	41	(82%)
Necrosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
Pigmentation, NOS	43	(86%)	48	(96%)	42	(84%)
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Calculus, microscopic examination	1	(2%)				
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)		
#Urinary bladder	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Calculus, microscopic examination			1	(2%)		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	- <del>.</del>					
#Pituitary intermedia	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst. NOS	1	(2%)				
#Anterior pituitary	(50)	. ,	(50)		(50)	
Cyst. NOS	32	(64%)	16	(32%)	20	(40%)
Multiple cysts	3	(6%)	6	(12%)	2	(4%)
Hemorrhagic cyst	1	(2%)				
Granuloma, NOS	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, NOS	16	(32%)	10	(20%)	11	(22%)
Angiectasis	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	8	(16%)
#Adrenal	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization					1	(2%)
#Adrenal/capsule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(2%)		
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst. NOS	2	(4%)				
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Metamorphosis, fatty	24	(48%)	16	(32%)	20	(40%)
Pigmentation, NOS	43	(86%)	47	(94%)	40	(80%)
Hypertrophy, NOS		(1-1-)	1	(2%)		(,
Hypertrophy, focal			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	18	(36%)	21	(42%)	22	(44%)
Angiectasis					1	(2%)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	8	(16%)	12	(24%)	6	(12%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Embryonal duct cyst					2	(4%)
Mineralization	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Cystic follicles	6	(12%)	6	(12%)	4	(8%)
Hyperplasia, C-cell	42	(84%)	37	(74%)	37	(74%)
Hyperplasia, follicular cell			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM	- <u></u>					
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization			1	(2%)		
Galactocele			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, cystic	44	(88%)	43	(86%)	44	(88%)

#### TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN<br/>THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONTI	CONTROL (UNTR)		LOW DOSE		HIGH DOSE	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)							
*Clitoral gland	(50)	•	(50)		(50)		
Inflammation, suppurative			(		1	(2%)	
Inflammation, active chronic	2	(4%)	10	(20%)	4	(8%)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)			
Hyperplasia, NOS			1	(2%)			
#Uterus	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Dilatation, NOS	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)	
Hydrometra	1	(2%)	1	(2%)			
Cyst, NOS			1	(2%)			
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)	1	(2%)			
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)			
Decidual alteration, NOS					1	(2%)	
#Uterus/endometrium	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Hyperplasia, cystic	10	(20%)	5	(10%)	7	(14%)	
#Ovary	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Follicular cyst, NOS			1	(2%)			
Parovarian cyst	4	(8%)			1	(2%)	
Angiectasis					1	(2%)	
NERVOUS SYSTEM							
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Hydrocephalus, internal	(00)		1	(2%)	1	(2%)	
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	-		_	(,	
Malacia	3	(6%)			1	(2%)	
Atrophy, pressure	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	ī	(2%)	
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS				. <u></u>			
*Eve	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)			(00)		
Retinopathy	4	(8%)	1	(296)	4	(8%)	
Cataract	4	(8%)	ī	(2%)	4	(8%)	
*Eve/sclera	(50)		(50)		(50)	(0.0)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)	(,		(0		
*Eye/cornea	(50)	,	(50)		(50)		
Inflammation, active chronic	. ,				1	(2%)	
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)	
*Harderian gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	(=)	
Inflammation, chronic	2	(4%)			(,		
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM							
*Femur	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Fibrous osteodystrophy	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)	
BODY CAVITIES	··· , ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	······································		= ~			
*Mediastinum	(50)		(50)		(50)		
Staatitig	(00)		(00)	(94)	(00)		
*Masantary	(50)		(50)	(470)	(50)		
Hemorrhage	(00)	(296)	(00)		(80)		
Stootitis	1	(470) (1096)	0	(69)	0	(60)	
Necrosis fot	5	(1070)	0 1	(070) (994)	3	(070)	
116010818,181			1	(470)			

#### TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN<br/>THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)
### TABLE C2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS IN<br/>THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS *Multiple organs	(50)	(50)	(50)
Inflammation, acute	a (1997)	1 (2%)	F (100)
Pigmentation, Chronic	6 (12%) 6 (12%)	1 (2%)	5 (10%) 7 (14%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	2 (4%)

SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY

None

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315 108

#### **APPENDIX D**

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

5 X 68 C 111

(	CONTI	ROL (UNTR)	LOV	V DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY		)	50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	50	<u> </u>
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50	)	50	l	50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	7 50	)	50	i i	50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM				·····		····
*Skin	(50)	)	(50)		(50)	
Mineralization			1	(2%)		
Epidermal inclusion cyst			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute	1	. (2%)				
Ulcer, acute	1	(2%)				( <b>a</b>
Abscess, NOS	3	(6%)		(0~)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Ulcer, chronic	2	(4%)	1	(2%)		(00)
Hyperkeretesia	1 7	(270) (AQL)	1	(90)	T	(2%)
Metanlasia ossenus	. 4	(4170)	1	(270)	1	(994)
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)		(50)		(50)	(270)
Cyst. NOS	2	(4%)	(00)		(00)	
Steatitis	5	(10%)	4	(8%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, chronic	Ũ	(10,0)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Metaplasia, osseous			1	(2%)		(0,0)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization			1	(2%)		
Atelectasis	1	(2%)				
Congestion, NOS	3	(6%)	5	(10%)	8	(16%)
Hemorrhage	7	(14%)	6	(12%)	8	(16%)
Bronchopneumonia, NOS			2	(4%)		
Inflammation, acute focal	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)		(1.400)	•	(4.00)
Pheumonia, interstitial chronic	3	(6%)	7	(14%)	2	(4%)
Droncnopneumonia, chronic	9	(18%)	3	(6%)	5	(10%)
Unoiesteroi deposit	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Histiocytosis	13	(26%) (12%)	4	(8%) (16%)	8 10	(16%) (20%)
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphocytosis	1	(2%)	(00)	(2%)	(50)	
#Bone marrow	(50)	(2,0)	(50)	(2,2)	(50)	
Congestion, NOS	(00)		(00)		1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, granulocytic	37	(74%)	30	(60%)	36	(72%)
#Spleen	(50)	-	(50)		(50)	
Hematoma, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS	39	(78%)	39	(78%)	28	(56%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	3	(6%)	6	(12%)	4	(8%)
Hematopoiesis	46	(92%)	47	(94%)	47	(94%)
#Splenic capsule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Fibrosis, focal	1	(2%)				
#Lymph node	(48)		(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)			-	(00)
Initammation, active chronic	1	(90)	•	(90)	1	(2%) (1%)
Hyperplasia lymphoid	1	(470) (994)	I	(270)	2	(4270)
113 per plasta, 13 mphoto	r	(470)				

### TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	CONTR	OL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)	·					
#Mandibular lymph node	(48)		(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)
Hemosiderosis	13	(27%)	12	(24%)	16	(32%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)				
#Mesenteric lymph node	(48)		(49)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	2	(4%)				
Hemosiderosis			1	(2%)		
Angiectasis			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell	1	(2%)				
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)	( <b>7 A</b> )	
#Inguinal lymph node	(48)		(49)		(50)	
Mineralization					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid					1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis	7	(14%)	3	(6%)	10	(20%)
#Thyroid	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphocytosis			1	(2%)		
#Thymus	(47)		(47)		(49)	
Cyst, NOS	9	(19%)	5	(11%)	6	(12%)
Hemorrhage					1	(2%)
Necrosis, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)		
ZIRCULATORY SYSTEM						
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Periarteritis	1	(2%)				
*Vertebra	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Periarteritis	1	(2%)				
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	3	(6%)	4	(8%)	3	(6%)
*Mesenteric artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
Thrombus, canalized	1	(2%)				
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Periarteritis	1	(2%)				
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM						
#Salivary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic	24	(48%)	25	(50%)	26	(52%)
Atrophy, NOS	2	(4%)	2	(4%)		
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute	2	(4%)			3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic	5	(10%)	4	(8%)	5	(10%)
Necrosis, coagulative	4	(8%)			5	(10%)
Infarct, focal	1	(2%)				
Metamorphosis, fatty			1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	5	(10%)
Focal cellular change			2	(4%)	-	
Regeneration, NOS					2	(4%)
*Gallbladder	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	- 2	(4%)				
#Pancreas	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Cystic ducts			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic	2	(4%)	4	(8%)	2	(4%)
Focal cellular change			1	(2%)		

# TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONTI	ROL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)					·	
#Pancreatic acinus	(50)	)	(49)		(50)	
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	34	(68%)	35	(71%)	34	(68%)
Atrophy, NOS	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	• •	
Hyperplasia, NOS	2	(4%)	Ŭ	(0,0)	4	(8%)
#Glandular stomach	(50)	(-,0)	(50)		(50)	(0,0)
Mineralization	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	(00)	
Cvst. NOS	- 3	(6%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, acute	3	(6%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, active chronic	1	(296)	•	(2,0)	-	(-= /0/
Degeneration cystic	4	(896)	4	(896)	4	(8%)
Hyperplasia enithelial	2	(6%)		(30)		(AQL)
Motaplacia, epithenai	ມ ເ	$(0, \pi)$	1	(270)	2	(4470)
#Forestowesh	<u>د</u> ۲۵۱	(4170)	(50)		(50)	(270)
#rorestomach	(50)	(00)	(00)		(50)	(0.2)
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)		(	1	(2%)
Ulcer, chronic			1	(2%)	_	
Erosion		( <b>a</b> • · · ·			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, epithelial	1	(2%)				
Hyperkeratosis	1	(2%)				
Acanthosis	1	(2%)				
#Duodenum	(48)		(47)		(49)	
Necrosis, coagulative			1	(2%)		
#Colon	(50)		(50)		(49)	
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)
RINARY SYSTEM	<del></del>					
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hydronenbrosis	(00)		(00)	(90)	(00)	
Congration NOS			1	(270)		(99)
Uswambara	1	(90)			1	(2%)
nemorrnage Destaur built to tat land	1	(2%)				(0.2)
Pyeionephritis, acute/chronic	1	(2%)		(00~)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	27	(54%)	30	(60%)	29	(58%)
Pyelonephritis, chronic		(0.01)			1	(2%)
Nephropathy	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Necrosis, NOS	1	(2%)				
Infarct, focal			1	(2%)		
Metaplasia, osseous			2	(4%)	2	(4%)
#Kidney/cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	2	(4%)			1	(2%)
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	21	(42%)	19	(38%)	16	(32%)
Dilatation, NOS					1	(2%)
Necrosis, NOS	7	(14%)	3	(6%)	Ā	(8%)
Pigmentation, NOS	i	(2%)	•	,		
Regeneration, NOS	34	(68%)	28	(56%)	29	(58%)
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)	(00)		(00)	
*Ureter	(50)	()	(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	(00)		(00)		1	(296)
#Urinary hladder	(50)		(49)		(49)	(270)
Calculus gross observation only	(00)	(996)	(47)		(40)	(90)
Calculus, gross observation only	1	(470) (AQL)	•	(90)	1	(470) (496)
Homorrhage	2	(1970) (1974)	I	(470)	Z	(470)
nemorrnage	1	(2%)		(00)	~	(10)
initammation, active chronic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
initammation, chronic					2	(4%)
Metaplasia, squamous					1	(2%)
*Urethra	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Calculus, microscopic examination	9	(18%)	15	(30%)	6	(12%)
· · · · · · · · ·						-

# TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDE. OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONT	ROL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	h dose
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	·······					
#Anterior pituitary	(50)	)	(49)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	4	(8%)	2	(4%)	2	(4%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	5	(10%)
#Pituitary posterior	(50)	)	(49)		(50)	
Embryonal duct cyst	1	(2%)				
#Adrenal/capsule	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS	43	(86%)	45	(92%)	45	(90%)
#Adrenal cortex	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Accessory structure			1	(2%)	()	
Pigmentation, NOS				(	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	4	(8%)	5	(10%)	6	(12%)
Angiectasis	2	(4%)	•	(10/0)	ĩ	(296)
#Adrenal medulla	(50)		(49)		(50)	(2,0)
Cytoplasmic vacualization	1	(296)	(40)		(00)	
Hyperplasia NOS	3	(696)	0	(1896)	5	(1096)
Angiectasis		(4%)	8	(10,0)	5	(10.0)
#Thyroid	(50)	( = /V)	(50)		(50)	
Embryonal duct evet	(00)		1	(296)	(00)	(29)
Cystic follicles	1.4	(28%)	20	(40%)	10	(270) (270)
Inflammation acute	14	(29%)	20	(-+070)	10	(0/27/0)
Hyperplasia C coll	1	(270)	6	(190)	2	(10%)
Hyperplasia, O-ten Hyperplasia, fallioular call	1	(470)	0	(1270)	0	(10%)
#Denethanid	0 (00)	(10%)		(270)		(2%)
#rarainyroid	(29)	(00)	(20)	(00)	(30)	
Cyst, NUS	1	(3%)	2	(8%)		
#Pancreatic islets	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Cytoplasmic vacuolization			1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, NOS	i	(2%)	1	(2%)		
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Penis	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Calculus, microscopic examination	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
*Prepuce	(50)		(50)		(50)	<b>、</b> ,
Cyst, NOS			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, active chronic	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
Ulcer, chronic	ī	(2%)			2	(4%)
*Preputial gland	(50)	(=)	(50)		(50)	(-,-,
Mineralization	(00)		1	(296)	(00)	
Dilatation/ducts	. 1	(2%)	1	~ ~ / / /		
Inflammation suppuredive	1	(296)	0	(6%)		
Inflammation, active chronic	9	(16%)	11	(2296)	10	(20%)
Inflammation, chronic	Q.	(18%)	<u>a</u>	(18%)	10	(6%)
#Prostate	9 (50)		(50)	(10,0)	(50)	
Hemorrhage	(50)		(00)	(99)	(00)	
Inflammation suppurative	1	(29)	1	(906)		
Inflammation, suppurative	1	(2%)	T	(470)	9	(6%)
Inflammation chronic	1	(470)	1	(994)	0 6	(10%)
*Seminal vesicle	(50)		(50)	(270)	(50)	(++70)
Calculus microsconic examination	(00)	(99)	(00)		(00)	
Inflammation supportion	1	(470)	1	(90)		
Inflammation active shreets	0	(AGL)	1	(470)	•	(60)
Inflammation, active chronic	2	(4170)	•	(90)	3	(0%)
Attacher Jimes		(97)	. <b>1</b>	(270)		
Autopny, alliuse	1	(2%)	/= -			
# Testis	(50)	( <b>A</b> .4)	(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, interstitial cell	3	(6%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
#Testis/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	3	(6%)	7	(14%)	3	(6%)
Cyst, NOS	_				1	(2%)
Degeneration, NOS	21	(42%)	16	(32%)	21	(42%)

## TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONTR	OL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)						
*Epididymis	(50)		(50)		(50)	(07)
Granuloma, spermatic	(50)		(50)		1	(2%)
Necrosis, fat	(00)		(50)	(2%)	(50)	
NERVOUS SYSTEM		·····				
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic					1	(2%)
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	31	(62%)	32	(64%)	23	(46%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)			2	(4%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS None						
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM				······································		<u>.</u>
*Femur	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Necrosis, NOS			1	(2%)		
*Tarsal joint	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Osteoarthritis	2	(4%)	(50)		(70)	
"Skeletal muscle	(50)		(50)	(90)	(50)	
Inflammation, chronic			L	(2%)		
BODY CAVITIES						
*Mesentery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Steatitis	2	(4%)			2	(4%)
Necrosis, fat					1	(2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS					· · · ·	
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic	13	(26%)	12	(24%)	11	(22%)
Amyloidosis	1	(2%)				(0~)
Hyperplasia, NOS					1	(2%)
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY						
No lesion reported			1			

#### TABLE D1. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

\* Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

	CONTR	ROL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	50		50		50	
ANIMALS NECROPSIED	50		50		50	
ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALL	Y 50		50		50	
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			······	<u></u>		
*Skin	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)				
Ulcer, acute					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)				
Erosion					1	(2%)
Hyperkeratosis		÷	2	(4%)		
*Subcutaneous tissue	(50)	(	(50)		(50)	
Sebaceous cyst	2	(4%)				
hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM						
#Lung	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Congestion, NOS	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
Hemorrhage	3	(6%)	6	(12%)		
Pneumonia, interstitial chronic			4	(8%)	2	(4%)
Bronchopneumonia, chronic	6	(12%)	8	(16%)	5	(10%)
Cholesterol deposit	2	(4%)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
Hyperplasia, alveolar epithelium	8	(16%)	9	(18%)	5	(10%)
	8	(16%)	10	(20%)	6	(12%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM						
#Brain/meninges	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphocytosis	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Lymphocytosis			1	(2%)		
*Multiple organs	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)		
*Skin	(50)		(50)	(8-21)	(50)	
Mastocytosis	(20)		1	(2%)	(20)	
# Bone marrow	(50)	(0 ~ )	(50)	(100)	(50)	(1.4.00)
Fibrosis Humonalogio, grouuloeutic	4	(8%) (600)	0	(12%)	7	(14%)
#Spleen	30 (50)	(0070)	20	(00%)	29	(0070)
Inflammation acute	(00)		(00)	(296)	(00)	
Infarct, acute			1	(2%)		
Pigmentation, NOS	46	(92%)	46	(92%)	49	(98%)
Angiectasis		(02,0)	1	(2%)	10	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	10	(20%)	19	(38%)	15	(30%)
Hematopoiesis	46	(92%)	47	(94%)	49	(98%)
#Lymph node	(48)	(==,	(46)	(0 ,	(49)	(00,0)
Hemosiderosis					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			1	(2%)	-	
#Mandibular lymph node	(48)		(46)		(49)	
Hemosiderosis	17	(35%)	20	(43%)	20	(41%)
Erythrophagocytosis					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	4	(8%)	1	(2%)	4	(8%)
Mastocytosis	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
#Thoracic lymph node	(48)		(46)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)				
#Mediastinal lymph node	(48)		(46)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid					1	(2%)

### TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THETWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	CONTI	ROL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM (Continued)						
#Mesenteric lymph node	(48)	)	(46)		(49)	
Hematoma, NOS	<b>x</b> = = -		<b>、</b> -+,		1	(2%)
Hemosiderosis					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell			1	(2%)		<b>C</b> ,
Hyperplasia, lymphoid					2	(4%)
#Inguinal lymph node	(48)	)	(46)		(49)	
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell					1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)	)	(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis	27	(54%)	31	(62%)	26	(52%)
#Liver/periportal	(50)	)	(50)		(50)	
Hematopoiesis	1	(2%)				
#Peyer's patch	(50)	)	(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, lymphoid	1	(2%)				
#Thymus	(49)	1	(50)		(50)	
Embryonal duct cyst	1	(2%)	4	(8%)	4	(8%)
Cyst, NOS	5	(10%)	2	(4%)	5	(10%)
Congestion, NOS					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, reticulum cell					1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, lymphoid			3	(6%)		
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM		······································				
#Heart	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization			1	(2%)	,	
Inflammation, active chronic	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	5	(10%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Periarteritis	2	(4%)		(		<b>,</b> ,
*Pulmonary artery	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	1	(2%)			ςγ	
*Pulmonary vein	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization					1	(2%)
*Ovarian vein	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Thrombosis, NOS					1	(2%)
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM		······				·· =+ = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
#Salivary gland	(50)		.(48)		(49)	
Inflammation, chronic	7	(14%)	17	(35%)	14	(29%)
Hemosiderosis	1	(2%)				<b>、</b> ,
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
#Liver	(50)		(50)		(50)	•
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, active chronic	1	(2%)			3	(6%)
Inflammation, chronic	10	(20%)	17	(34%)	17	(34%)
Peliosis hepatis	1	(2%)				
Necrosis, NOS	4	(8%)	3	(6%)	2	(4%)
Infarct, healed	1	(2%)				
Metamorphosis, fatty	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	3	(6%)
Focal cellular change	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Hepatocytomegaly					1	(2%)
Metaplasia, osseous	1	(2%)				
Regeneration, NOS			2	(4%)	1	(2%)
*Gallbladder	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(2%)			3	(6%)
#Pancreas	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Cystic ducts	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Inflammation, chronic	4	(8%)	6	(12%)	3	(6%)
Atrophy, NOS			2	(4%)	2	(4%)

#### TABLE D2.SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONTI	ROL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	h dose
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM (Continued)			·			
#Pancreatic acinus	(50)	1	(49)		(50)	
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	31	(62%)	35	(7)1%)	39	(78%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	••	(02.0)	1	(2%)	3	(6%)
#Glandular stomach	(49)	n	(50)	(2,0)	(50)	(0,0)
Mineralization	(40)		(00)	(296)	(00)	(296)
Cyst. NOS	3	(6%)	4	(8%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute	Ŭ	(0,0)	-		1	(2%)
Inflammation, active chronic	1	(296)	1	(296)	•	(2,6)
Inflammation, active encome	-	(270)	1	(2 %)	1	(296)
Degeneration cystic	3	(696)	9	(496)	5	(10%)
Hypernlasia enithelial	Ű	(0,0)	4	(4.0)	1	(10 %)
Motoplacia, epithenal				(10)	1	(270)
#Forestemesh	(40)		4	(4.70)	(50)	(4,70)
#rorestomach	(49)	1	(50)	(07)	(50)	
Inflammation, acute			1	(2%)		
inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)		
riyperplasia, epithelial			1	(2%)		
Hyperkeratosis	1	(2%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
#Peyer's patch	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, acute	1	(2%)				
#Duodenum	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, epithelial					1	(2%)
IRINARY SYSTEM						
#Kidney	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Cypet NOS	(00)	(296)	(00)		(00)	
Homorehogo	1	(2.70)				
Hemotrnage	I	(270)	1	(00)		
nematoma, NOS			1	(2%)		(0~~)
Pyelonephritis, acute/chronic		(000)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic	19	(38%)	26	(52%)	23	(46%)
Infarct, healed	1	(2%)				
#Kidney/cortex	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Infarct, healed			1	(2%)		
Metaplasia, osseous	3	(6%)			1	(2%)
#Kidney/glomerulus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Amyloidosis					1	(2%)
#Kidney/tubule	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization			,		2	(4%)
Necrosis, NOS	7	(14%)	11	(22%)	10	(20%)
Metamorphosis, fatty	·		1	(2%)	-•	
Regeneration, NOS	25	(50%)	23	(46%)	32	(64%)
#Kidney/pelvis	(50)	(	(50)		(50)	(v = /v)
Calculus, microsconic examination	(00)	(296)	1	(2.96)	(00)	(2%)
Hemorrhege	L	(2 10)	1	(20)	-	(2%)
#Urinery bleddor	(48)		(40)	(270)	(40)	
Inflammation acuta	(40)		(43)	(90)	(43)	(90)
Inflammation, active			1	(2.6)	L	(470)
Matanlasia squamous			1	(270)		
merapiasia, squainous			1	(470)		
NDOCRINE SYSTEM						
#Anterior pituitary	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS	3	(6%)	2	(4%)	1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, NOS	11	(22%)	4	(8%)	14	(28%)
Hyperplasia, focal		(4%)	1	(2%)		/
Angiectasis	4	\ - /•/	3	(6%)	1	(2.96)
#Adrenal/cansule	(40)		(50)		(50)	
Pigmentation NOS	(43)		(00)	(906)	(00)	
Hunoppionio NOS	40	(1000)	1 F0	(470) (1000)		(100%)
Tryperplasia, NOS	49	(100%)	50	(100%)	50	(100%)

### TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONTR	ROL (UNTR)	LOW	DOSE	HIG	H DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM (Continued)	<u> </u>				1 <b></b>	
#Adrenal cortex	(49)		(50)		(50)	
Cyst, NOS			1	(2%)		
Congestion, NOS			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Inflammation, acute					1	(2%)
Inflammation, chronic			1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Metamorphosis, fatty	2	(4%)	3	(6%)	1	(2%)
Pigmentation, NOS	36	(73%)	30	(60%)	41	(82%)
Cytoplasmic vacuolization	0	(00)		(00)	1	(2%)
hyperplasia, NOS	3	(0%)	1	(2%)	ð	(10%)
Anglectasis #A drevel medulle	(40)		(50)	(270)	(50)	
#Adrenal medulia	(4 <i>3)</i>	(AGL)	(00)	(69)	(00)	
#Thurnoid	(50)	(470)	(50)	(0%)	(49)	
# Inyrolu Embryonel duot ovet	(00)	(196)	(00)	(296)	(43)	(296)
Cystic follicles	2 99	(4.0) (AA96)	20	(40%)	15	(3196)
Inflammation active chronic		(296)	20	(40 %)	10	(496)
Inflammation, active chronic	1	(2%)			1	(2%)
Hyperplasia, C-cell	9	(18%)	9	(18%)	13	(27%)
Hyperplasia, follicular cell	7	(14%)	16	(32%)	10	(20%)
#Thyroid follicle	(50)	(,	(50)	( <b>-</b> )	(49)	
Atrophy, NOS	1	(2%)				
#Parathyroid	(35)		(36)		(42)	
Cyst, NOS	1	(3%)	1	(3%)		
Hyperplasia, NOS	1	(3%)			1	(2%)
<b>#</b> Pancreatic islets	(50)		(49)		(50)	
Hyperplasia, NOS				·	1	(2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM						
*Mammary gland	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Inflammation, chronic	(01)		1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, cystic	12	(24%)	10	(20%)	6	(12%)
#Uterus	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Hydrometra	1	(2%)	3	(6%)	4	(8%)
Hemorrhage	1	(2%)				
Hematoma, organized			1	(2%)		
Inflammation, acute	6	(12%)	9	(18%)	6	(12%)
Abscess, NOS	1	(2%)				
Metaplasia, squamous	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
#Uterus/endometrium	(50)		(50)		(50)	(
Hyperplasia, cystic	47	(94%)	46	(92%)	48	(96%)
#Fallopian tube	(50)		(50)	(00)	(50)	
Inflammation chronic suppurative			(49)	(2%)	(40)	
Follicular cust NOS	(44)	(70)	(40)	(194)	(45)	(1996)
Perovarian cyst	3	(170)	11	(9396)	4	(12.70)
Congestion NOS		(20%)	**	(20,0)	1	(2%)
Hemorrhagic cyst	1	(2%)			-	(=,
Abscess, NOS	ī	(2%)	1	(2%)	2	(4%)
Inflammation, active chronic	1	(2%)				
Inflammation, chronic	1	(2%)	1	(2%)		
Hyperplasia, epithelial					1	(2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM				//	· ·····	
#Brain	(50)		(50)		(50)	
Mineralization	27	(54%)	25	(50%)	30	(60%)
Atrophy, pressure	1	(2%)	1	(2%)	1	(2%)
Metaplasia, osseous					1	(2%)
#Spinal cord	(50)		( = 0 )		(50)	
apinarcoru	(00)		(50)		(00)	

#### TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	CONTROL (UNTR)	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS *Ear Inflammation, suppurative	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)	(50)
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM *Abdominal muscle Inflammation chronic suppurative Abscess, chronic	(50)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
BODY CAVITIES *Abdominal cavity Abscess, chronic *Mesentery Steatitis Inflammation, acute	(50) (50) 3 (6%)	(50) 1 (2%) (50) 3 (6%) 2 (4%)	(50) (50)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS *Multiple organs Inflammation, chronic Adipose tissue Mineralization	(50) 25 (50%) 1	(50) 19 (38%)	(50) 20 (40%)
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY		··· <u>, ti<del>n</del> · ,</u>	

### TABLE D2. SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE<br/>TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

None

• Number of animals receiving complete necropsy examination; all gross lesions including masses examined microscopically. # Number of animals examined microscopically at this site

Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315 120

#### APPENDIX E

# ANALYSES OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	Control	25,000 ppm	50,000 ppm
Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma			·
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.5%	10.3%	2.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/22 (5%)	3/29 (10%)	1/38 (3%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.410N	P = 0.407	P = 0.635N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.410N	P = 0.407	P = 0.635N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.610	1 - 0.401	1 0.0001
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.309	P = 0.753
Skin: Squamous Cell Papilloma or Carci	noma		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6.7%	10.3%	2.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/22 (5%)	3/29 (10%)	1/38 (3%)
Week of First Observation	75	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.228N	P=0.604	P = 0.361N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.346N	P = 0.496	P = 0.581N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.399N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.500 N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)	(e) 2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.3%	2.6%	4.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/22 (5%)	0/29 (0%)	1/38 (3%)
Week of First Observation	66	94	99
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.132N	P = 0.142N	P = 0.185N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.363N	P = 0.231N	P=0.487N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.238N	P=0.181N	P=0.339N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Neuro	fibrosarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.3%	6.0%	7.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/22 (5%)	1/29 (3%)	1/38 (3%)
Week of First Observation	66	94	92
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.243N	P = 0.265 N	P = 0.306N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.552N	P = 0.382N	P = 0.632
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.417N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.339N	P = 0.500N
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cel	l Leukemia		
Overall Rates (a)	22/50 (44%)	22/50 (44%)	16/50 (32%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	57.7%	50.2%	34.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	7/22 (32%)	8/29 (28%)	8/38 (21%)
Week of First Observation	62	55	77
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.010N	P = 0.283N	P = 0.013N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.318N	P = 0.569	P = 0.349N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.131 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.580N	P = 0.152N
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule			
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	5/50 (10%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	22.6%	15.2%	17.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/22 (14%)	3/29 (10%)	6/38 (16%)
Week of First Observation	95	87	99
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.330N	P = 0.345N	P = 0.358N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.508N	P=0.396N	P = 0.538N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.439		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500N	P = 0.500

# TABLE E1. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	Control	25,000 ppm	50,000 ppm
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule or Hepatocellul	ar Carcinoma	······	₩ <u>₩</u>
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	5/50 (10%)	9/50 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	22.6%	15.2%	22.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/22 (14%)	3/29 (10%)	8/38 (21%)
Week of First Observation	95	87	99
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.524N	P = 0.345N	P = 0.530N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.415	P = 0.396N	P = 0.527
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.231		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500N	P = 0.288
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	20/50 (40%)	27/50 (54%)	15/48 (31%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	61.7%	68.1%	36.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	11/22 (50%)	17/29 (59%)	12/37 (32%)
Week of First Observation	67	61	77
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.006N	P = 0.454	P = 0.010N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.137N	P = 0.180	P = 0.171N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.227 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.115	P = 0.244N
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or Adenocarci	noma		
Overall Rates (a)	21/50 (42%)	27/50 (54%)	15/48 (31%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	65.2%	68.1%	36.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	12/22 (55%)	17/29 (59%)	12/37 (32%)
Week of First Observation	67	61	'77
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.003N	P = 0.535	P = 0.005 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.089N	P = 0.247	P = 0.110N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.171N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.158	P = 0.186N
Adrenal Cortex: Cortical Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.5%	6.3%	7.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/22 (5%)	1/29 (3%)	2/38 (5%)
Week of First Observation	102	99	97
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.561N	P = 0.600 N	P = 0.637N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.478	P = 0.645N	P = 0.583
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.406		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.691	P = 0.500
Adrenal Cortex: Adenocarcinoma or Cort	ical Adenoma		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.9%	6.3%	7.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/22 (9%)	1/29 (3%)	2/38 (5%)
Week of First Observation	102	99	97
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.351 N	P = 0.386N	P = 0.413N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.488N	P = 0.425N	P = 0.549N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.588		• ••• •••
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500 N	P = 0.661
Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates (a)	10/50 (20%)	18/50 (36%)	24/50 (48%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	37 994	51 294	59 9%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/99 (970L)	19/90 (A10L)	17/38 (45%)
Week of First Observation	0/44 (4 (70) 95	14/47 (4170) QA	1 (130 (4070) 77
Life Table Tests (d)	90 D-0161	74 D - 0 991	0 D-0166
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	F = 0.101	F = 0.221	P = 0.100
Coobran Armitage Tread Test (d)	$\Gamma = 0.014$	r=0.100	r=0.010
Fisher Freet Test (d)	r = 0.002		B-0.002
risher Exact Test (a)		r=0.059	r=0.003

#### TABLE E1. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	Control	25,000 ppm	50,000 ppm
Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma or M	alignant Pheochromocy	vtoma	
Overall Rates (a)	12/50 (24%)	19/50 (38%)	24/50 (48%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	41.0%	52 6%	52.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/22 (27%)	12/29 (41%)	17/38 (45%)
Week of First Observation	75	QA	17700 (40 %)
Life Table Tests (d)	75 P=0 205	54 D-0 214	D-0919
Incidentel Tumor Tests (d)	F = 0.303	P = 0.314 D = 0.162	P = 0.312
Cochran Armitens Trand Test (d)	P = 0.026	P=0.163	P=0.028
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.009	P=0.097	P=0.011
Chyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (496)	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9 1 <i>%</i>	6.9%	10.0%
Terminal Bates (a)	9.1 % 9/99 (D#)	0.3%	2/29 (901)
Week of First Observation	2/22 (970)	2/29(170)	3/38 (8%)
	104	104	99
	r=0.484	P=0.593N	P=0.597
incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.436	P = 0.593 N	P = 0.527
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.252		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.691	P = 0.339
Chyroid Gland: C-Cell Carcinoma	1 /PA (04)		A 15 A 10
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.2%	9.2%	7.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/22 (0%)	1/29 (3%)	3/38 (8%)
Week of First Observation	102	99	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.435	P=0.391	P = 0.505
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.293	P = 0.326	P = 0.422
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.238		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.200	P = 0.309	P=0.309
Fhyroid Gland: C-Cell Adenoma or Carci	noma		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	5/50 (10%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.9%	15 7%	17 7%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/22 (9%)	2/20 (10%)	6/28 (16%)
Wook of First Observation	109	3/29(10%)	0/38(10%)
Life Toble Tests (d)	D-0 277	99 D-0 500	99 D-0.444
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.377	P = 0.500	P = 0.444
Cashara Amaita Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.249	P=0.453	P=0.337
Cocnran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.122	D 0.077	<b>D A 1 - -</b>
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.357	P = 0.159
Pancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Adenoma	0/50 (477)	A/EO (022)	7/20 (1 47)
Overall Rates (a)	2/30 (4%)	4/50 (8%)	7/50(14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.1%	13.8%	17.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/22 (9%)	4/29 (14%)	6/38 (16%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	100
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.208	P = 0.469	P = 0.271
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.183	P = 0.469	P = 0.228
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.055		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.339	P = 0.080
ancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	0/50 (0%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	16.4%	0.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/22 (14%)	0/29 (0%)	0/38 (0%)
Week of First Observation	95	(• /• /• /	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.005 N	P = 0.037 N	P = 0.019N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.000 M	D-0.041 N	D-0.0101
		E - V.V911	F - V.V4711
Cookean Annitone Trand Trant (1)	D-0.015N	- 0.0	

## TABLE E1. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	Control	25,000 ppm	5 <b>0,000 ppm</b>
Pancreatic Islets: Islet Cell Adenoma or C	arcinoma	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	4/50 (8%)	7/50 (14%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	25.2%	13.8%	17.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	5/22 (23%)	4/29 (14%)	6/38 (16%)
Week of First Observation	95	104	100
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.318N	P = 0.213N	P = 0.342N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.375N	P = 0.221 N	P = 0.428N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.437		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.370N	P = 0.500
Testis: Interstitial Cell Tumor			
Overall Rates (a)	41/50 (82%)	37/50 (74%)	40/50 (80%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	100.0%	85.9%	86.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	22/22 (100%)	23/29 (79%)	32/38 (84%)
Week of First Observation	62	65	79
Life Table Tests (d)	P<0.001N	P = 0.027 N	P<0.001N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.079N	P = 0.085N	P = 0.073N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.451 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.235N	P = 0.500N
Preputial Gland: Adenoma or Adenocarcin	oma		
Overall Rates (a)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	2.2%	9.0%	2.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/22 (0%)	2/29 (7%)	1/38 (3%)
Week of First Observation	67	86	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.462N	P = 0.381	P = 0.688N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.549	P = 0.247	P = 0.652
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.610		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.309	P = 0.753
All Sites: Mesothelioma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/22 (0%)	2/29 (7%)	0/38 (0%)
Week of First Observation		103	
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.489N	P = 0.178	Ð
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.573N	P = 0.156	ថ
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.640		
		D 0 101	(0

#### TABLE E1. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidence at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

(e) A neurofibroma was also observed in one of these animals.

(f) No P value is reported because no tumors were observed in the 50,000-ppm and control groups.

	Control	25,000 ppm	50,000 ppm
Hematopoietic System: Mononuclear Cell	Leukemia		****
Overall Rates (a)	13/50 (26%)	9/50 (18%)	9/50 (18%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	30.8%	22.2%	22.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/31 (1396)	2/28 (7 <i>a</i> L)	5/34 (1594)
Wook of First Observation	4/31 (1370) 97	2/28 (170) EE	0/34 (1070) 7E
Life makin master (1)	0/ D 0 000NI		
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.209 N	P = 0.311N	P = 0.241N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.179N	P = 0.093 N	P = 0.333N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.194N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.235N	P = 0.235N
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule			
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	4/50 (8%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	12.5%	11.9%	17.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	2/28 (7%)	5/34 (15%)
Week of First Observation	90	91	102
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.461	P = 0.540N	P = 0.524
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.353	P = 0.499N	P = 0.392
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.434		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500N	P = 0.500
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma			
Overall Retes (a)	19/50 (39%)	17/50 (24%)	30/50 (60%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	AA QQL	1 (100 (0470) 59 094	69 596
Torminal Rates (a)	9/21 (90.0/_)	04.370 19/98 (ACM)	91/94 (690)
Verhal Rates (C)	9/31 (29%)	13/28 (46%)	21/34 (02%)
week of First Observation	80		57
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.050	P = 0.544N	P = 0.066
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.012	P = 0.477 N	P = 0.013
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.017	D-0419N	D-0.099
risher Exact Test (d)		P=0.418N	P = 0.022
Pituitary Gland: Adenocarcinoma			0/50 (0%)
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	7/50(14%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.8%	17.5%	8.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	1/28 (4%)	2/34 (6%)
Week of First Observation	99	83	99
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.431	P = 0.075	P = 0.520
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.294	P = 0.083	P = 0.429
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.427		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.080	P=0.500
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or Adenocarci	noma		
Overall Rates (a)	20/50 (40%)	24/50 (48%)	32/50 (64%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	47 49	62.5%	72.6%
Terminal Rotes (c)	10/31/3904)	11/98 (5004)	99/3A (654)
Wook of First Observation	10/31 (3 <i>4%)</i> 92	14/20(00%) 09	22/34(0070) E7
WEEK OF FIRST ODSERVATION	00	00 D 0 000	
Lue Table Tests (d)	P=0.044	P = 0.202	P = 0.051
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.004	P = 0.230	P = 0.007
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.011		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.273	P = 0.014
Adrenal Gland: Cortical Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	5/50 (10%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	19.4%	14.5%	2.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/31 (19%)	2/28 (7%)	1/34 (3%)
Week of First Observation	104	91	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.044 N	P = 0.561 N	P=0.043N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	$\mathbf{P} = 0 \ 0 5 2 \mathbf{N}$	P = 0.501N	P = 0.042N
Cashran Armitaga Trand Tast (d)	P = 0.00011		1 0101011

### TABLE E2. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDYOF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	Control	25,000 ppm	50,000 ppm
Adrenal Gland: Adenocarcinoma or Cortical	Adenoma		
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	6/50 (12%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	19.4%	17.8%	2.9%
Torminal Rates (a)	6/31 (1996)	3/28 (1196)	1/34 (3%)
Week of First Observation	104	01	104
Veek of First Observation	104 D-0.049N	51 D_0556	D-0.042N
Life Table Tests $(a)$	P = 0.0401	F = 0.000	P = 0.0431
Incidental lumor lests (d)	P=0.058N	P=0.576	P = 0.043 M
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.055N	D 0 000	D. O OFCOL
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.620	P=0.000N
Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma			
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	16.5%	14.3%	8.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/31 (10%)	4/28 (14%)	2/34 (6%)
Week of First Observation	93	104	101
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.170N	P = 0.425N	P = 0.227N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.219N	P = 0.400N	P = 0.336N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.187N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.20111	P = 0.370N	P = 0.243N
Churroid Glands C. Call Adamama			
Overall Betes (a)	G/ED (1904)	6/50 (1904)	5/50 (10%)
A diveted Potes (b)	0/00 (1270) 17 90	0/00 (1270) 90 004	13 80
Aujusted Rates (D)	1(.070	20.070 2/00 (1001)	10.070 9/94 (004)
Terminal Rates (C)	5/31 (16%)	0/28 (18%) 00	3/34 (9%)
week of First Observation	86	90	22 22
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.396N	P = 0.551	F = 0.462N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.450N	P = 0.565	P = 0.530 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Físher Exact Test (d)	P=0.437N	P = 0.620	P=0.500N
Thyroid Gland: C-Cell Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6.5%	9.7%	5.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/31 (6%)	2/28 (7%)	2/34 (6%)
Week of First Observation	104	99	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.558N	P = 0.458	P = 0.662N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.586N	P = 0.470	P = 0.662N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.594		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.004	P = 0.500	P=0.691
Themeld Clands C Call Adamana an Canalana	-		
Overall Potes (a)	8/K0 (1694)	9/50 (194)	6/50 (1994)
Overall Rales (a)	0/00(1070)	900 (1070) 90 00/-	16 60
Adjusted Rates (D)	24.1%	23.070	10.070
Terminal Kates (C)	7/31 (23%)	1/28 (20%)	4/34 (1 <i>2%)</i>
Week of First Observation	86	96	22 0 0 1021
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.293N	P = 0.413	P = 0.340N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.348N	P = 0.432	P = 0.397 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.339N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.387N
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	21/50 (42%)	15/50 (30%)	15/50 (30%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	52.2%	44.3%	37.5%
Terminal Rates (c)	13/31 (42%)	10/28 (36%)	10/34 (29%)
Week of First Observation	86	93	81
Life Table Tests (d)	D-0119N	P=0.954N	P=0.141N
Lite rable resus (u) Incidental Tumor Moste (3)	F = 0.1141 D = 0.171 N	D-0 102N	D-0 202N
	P = U I / UN	r - v.1301N	F - 0.40011
Continue A maile as There (1)	D_0 199N		

## TABLE E2. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDYOF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	Control	25,000 ppm	50,000 ppm
Mammary Gland: Adenoma or Fibroade	noma		<u></u>
Overall Rates (a)	22/50 (44%)	15/50 (30%)	16/50 (32%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	53.6%	44.3%	40.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	13/31 (42%)	10/28 (36%)	11/34 (32%)
Week of First Observation	86	93	81
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.114N	P = 0.202N	P = 0.144N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.180N	P = 0.141N	P = 0.219N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.125N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.107N	P = 0.151 N
Mammary Gland: Adenoma or Adenocar	cinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.6%	2.4%	8.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/31 (0%)	0/28(0%)	3/34 (9%)
Week of First Observation	99	93	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.418	P = 0.519N	P = 0.525
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.324	P = 0.471N	P = 0.429
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.399	4 - VITI 441	
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.500N	P = 0.500
Mammary Gland: Adenoma. Fibroadenou	ma. or Adenocarcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	22/50 (44%)	16/50 (32%)	17/50 (34%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	53.6%	45.7%	42.7%
Terminal Rates (c)	13/31 (49%)	10/28 (36%)	12/34 (35%)
Wook of First Observation	10/01 (4270) 96	10/40 (30%)	12/04 (0070) Q1
Week of First Observation	00 D_015931	70 D-0 00531	01 D-0109N
Lue lable lests (d)	P=0.158N	P=0.265N	P = 0.188N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.249 N	P=0.190N	P = 0.283 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.175N	P=0.151N	P = 0.206N
Ulitoral Gland: Adenoma	<b>A</b> (#A) · · · · · ·		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	5/50 (10%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5.3%	15.0%	5.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	3/28 (11%)	1/34 (3%)
Week of First Observation	89	89	84
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.577 N	P=0.187	P=0.689
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.559	P = 0.200	P = 0.685
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.583		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	- 3.000	P = 0.218	P=0.691
Clitoral Gland; Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	2/50 (4%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.7%	6.2%	5.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/31 ( <b>6%</b> )	1/28 (4%)	2/34 (6%)
Week of First Observation	95	97	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.383N	P = 0.537N	P = 0.473N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.434N	P = 0.513N	P = 0.514N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.406N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.40011	P = 0.500 N	P = 0.500 N
Clitoral Gland: Adenoma or Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	7/50 (14%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rotes (b)	1270	90 60C	10.90
Terminal Potes (a)	10.170 9/91 (10 <i>m</i> )	4/00 (1 4/2)	10.070 9/94 (00 <sup>-1</sup> )
Week of First Observation	3/31(10%)	4/20(14%)	0/04 (४७%) 04
week of First Udservation	59	89	84 12 0 40033
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.417N	P = 0.326	P = 0.482N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.474N	P = 0.354	P = 0.519N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.436N		-
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.380	P = 0.500N

### TABLE E2. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	Control	25,000 ppm	50,000 ppm
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp			
Overall Rates (a)	15/50 (30%)	10/50 (20%)	21/50 (42%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	41.7%	28.0%	50.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	11/31 (35%)	4/28 (14%)	14/34 (41%)
Week of First Observation	90	89	57
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.176	P = 0.262N	P = 0.218
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.093	P = 0.206N	P = 0.149
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.116		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.178N	P=0.149
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Sarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.0%	2.1%	7.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/31 (0%)	0/28 (0%)	2/34 (6%)
Week of First Observation		83	72
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.066	P = 0.492	P = 0.133
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.105	P = 0.500	P = 0.259
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.060		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.121
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp or S	arcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	15/50 (30%)	11/50 (22%)	22/50 (44%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	41.7%	29.5%	51.4%
Terminal Rates (c)	11/31 (35%)	4/28 (14%)	14/34 (41%)
Week of First Observation	90	83	57
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.134	P = 0.342N	P = 0.169
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.080	P = 0.281N	P = 0.149
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.082		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.247N	P=0.107

#### TABLE E2. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidence at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

(d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

	Control	6,300 ppm	12,500 ppm
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma	,		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (496)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6 5 %	19 10	5.00(49)
Terminal Potes (a)	9/91 (60)	14.170	0,370 0/04 (COL)
Verh of First Observation	2/31 (0%)	4/33 (12%)	2/34 (6%)
Week of First Observation		104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.548N	P = 0.365	P = 0.662N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.548N	P = 0.365	P = 0.662N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.587		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.339	P=0.691
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibrosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	8/50 (16%)	5/50 (10%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	19.0%	12.9%	6.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	2/33 (6%)	0/34 (0%)
Week of First Observation	86	62	68
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.080 N	P = 0.307 N	P = 0.111N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.106N	P = 0.470N	P = 0.137N
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P=0.073N	1 0	1 - 0.10111
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 = 0.01510	P = 0.277 N	P = 0.100 N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma	0/50 /001	1/20/07	1/50/07
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.1%	2.8%	2.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	0/33 (0%)	0/34 (0%)
Week of First Observation	95	98	85
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.203N	P = 0.314N	P = 0.304 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.286N	P = 0.468N	P = 0.379N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.201 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.309N	P=0.309N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Sarcoma or Fibros	sarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	10/50 (20%)	6/50 (12%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	23.9%	15.3%	8.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/31 (6%)	2/33 (6%)	0/34 (0%)
Week of First Observation	2/01 (0 %)	2/00 (0%)	69
L ife Table Tests (d)	D-0 069N	04 D-0 990N	B-0.096N
Incidental Turner Tests (d)	P = 0.002N	P = 0.239 N D = 0.417 N	P = 0.000 N P = 0.101 N
Contract further and Trace d Trace (d)	P = 0.0651N	P=0.417N	P=0.101N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.053 N	D 0.0051	TI-0.07.437
r isner Exact Test (d)		P = 0.207 N	P = 0.074N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma or Fibros	arcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	10/50 (20%)	9/50 (18%)	5/50 (10%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	24.4%	24.2%	12.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/31 (10%)	6/33 (18%)	2/34 (6%)
Week of First Observation	86	62	68
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.109N	P = 0.501 N	P = 0.135N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.142N	P = 0.544	P = 0.168N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.110N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500 N	P = 0.131N
Subcutaneous Tissue: Fibroma, Sarcoma	or Fibrosarcoma		
Overall Rates (a)	12/50 (24%)	10/50 (20%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (h)	20 1 <i>0</i>	96 90	14.9%
Torminal Patos (0)	47.170 4/91 (19 <i>0</i> /)	20.070 6/99 (1.977)	14:470
Terminal Rates (C)	4#/JI(13%)	0/33(18%)	2/34 (0%)
week of First Udservation	80 D0.0001	02 D 0 110)	50
Lue Table Tests (d)	P = 0.082N	P = 0.413N	P = 0.104N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.113N	P = 0.588	P = 0.127 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.079 N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.405N	P≔0.096N

#### TABLE E3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	Control	6,300 ppm	12,500 ppm
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	8/50 (16%)	4/50 (8%)	4/50 (8%)
Adjusted Rates (h)	23.5%	11.5%	11.8%
Terminal Pates (a)	6/31 (19%)	3/33 (9%)	4/34 (12%)
Herminal nates (C)	86	96	104
Week of First Observation	P = 0.103N	P = 0.164N	P = 0.143N
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.10011	P = 0.204 N	P = 0.159N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	D = 0.120 N	1 -0.20 111	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.1201	P = 0.178N	P=0.178N
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Carcinoma			9/50 (69)
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	6/50 (12%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6.5%	17.3%	8.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/31 (6%)	5/33 (15%)	3/34 (9%)
Week of First Observation	104	92	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.468	P = 0.150	P=0.542
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.468	P = 0.152	P = 0.542
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.422		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.134	P == 0.500
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or	Carcinoma	0/60 (100)	C/50 (1994)
Overall Rates (a)	10/50 (20%)	9/50 (18%)	0/00 (1270) 1/1 60/
Adjusted Rates (b)	29.6%	25.3%	17.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	8/31 (26%)	7/33 (21%)	6/34 (18%)
Week of First Observation	86	92	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.132N	P = 0.462N	P = 0.157N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.151N	P = 0.512N	P=0.172N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.174N	P=0.500N	P=0.207N
Manatanaiatia System, Molignant Lymph	oma. Mixed Type		
Council Rotes (a)	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)	4/50 (8%)
Overall Rates (a)	10 5%	2.4%	11.0%
Adjusted Rates (b)	2/21 (6%)	0/33 (0%)	3/34 (9%)
Terminal Rates (c)	55	91	92
Week of First Observation	D-0 560N	P = 0.188N	P = 0.613N
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.500 M	P = 0.166N	P = 0.584N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.530	1 - 0:14414	
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.581N	P = 0.181 N	P=0.643N
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All M	lalignant		0 /
Overall Rates (a)	8/50 (16%)	1/50 (2%)	8/50 (16%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	22.1%	2.4%	13.170
Terminal Rates (c)	5/31 (16%)	0/33 (0%)	3/34 (9%)
Week of First Observation	55	91	29
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.527N	P = 0.020N	P = 0.562N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.552	P = 0.017N	P = 0.597
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.559N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.016N	P = 0.607 N
Circulatory System: Hemangioma or Her	mangiosarcoma	1 (50 (00))	9/50 (494)
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	2/00 (4170) K. 00
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.7%	2.4%	0.370
Terminal Rates (c)	2/31 (6%)	0/33 (0%)	2/34 (0%)
Week of First Observation	95	86	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.382N	P = 0.309N	P = 0.471N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.409N	P = 0.348N	P = 0.507  N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.399N		Th. A #4431
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.309N	P = 0.500N

# TABLE E3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDYOF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	Control	6,300 ppm	12,500 ppm
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma	<u></u>	<u> </u>	
Overali Rates (a)	7/50 (14%)	8/50 (16%)	6/50 (12%)
Adjusted Rates (h)	19.2%	23.1%	16.6%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/31 (13%)	7/33 (21%)	5/34 (15%)
Week of First Observation	86	91	73
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.393 N	P-0 523	P = 0.454N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.393N	P = 0.525	P = 0.454N
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.444N	1 = 0.000	1 -0.40411
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0,44411	P = 0.500	P = 0.500 N
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma			
Overall Rates (a)	11/50 (22%)	9/50 (18%)	11/50 (22%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	29.7%	22.4%	30.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	6/31 (19%)	3/33 (9%)	9/34 (26%)
Week of First Observation	91	75	94
Life Table Tests (d)	P=0.493N	P = 0.401 N	P = 0.528N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.481	P = 0.539N	P=0.535
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.548N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.402N	P = 0.595N
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcino	oma		
Overall Rates (a)	18/50 (36%)	15/50 (30%)	17/50 (34%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	45.2%	37.9%	45.3%
Terminal Rates (c)	10/31 (32%)	9/33 (27%)	14/34 (41%)
Week of First Observation	86	75	73
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.383N	P = 0.330 N	P = 0.416N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.481 N	P = 0.444N	P = 0.521 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.457N	0.1111	1 - 0.02111
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.40111	P=0.336N	P = 0.500N
Advance Clands Descelarions			
Adrenai Giand: Pheochromocytoma	$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}))$	E(40(10%)	9/50 (40)
A diversal Rates (a)	2/30 (4%)	5/49(10%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	0.2%	15,2%	0.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	5/33 (15%)	2/34 (6%)
Week of First Observation		104	104
Lite Table Tests (d)	P = 0.543 N	P = 0.239	P = 0.663 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.574N	P = 0.203	P = 0.680
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.581	<b>_</b>	
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.210	P=0.691
Adrenal Gland: Pheochromocytoma or Ma	lignant Pheochromocy	toma	
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	5/49 (10%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.6%	15.2%	5.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	5/33 (15%)	2/34 (6%)
Week of First Observation	96	104	104
	D-0.291N	P = 0.382	P = 0.467 N
Life Table Tests (d)	r -0.00114		x = 0.40141
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.381 N P = 0.438 N	P = 0.301	P = 0.556N
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Tumor Tests (d) Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.438N P = 0.424N	P = 0.301	P = 0.556N

#### TABLE E3. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

(a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

(b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidence at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

(c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

<sup>(</sup>d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparison between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

	Control	6,300 ppm	12,500 ppm
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma		<u></u>	
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.7%	2.9%	6.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/31 (10%)	1/35 (3%)	1/36 (3%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	79
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.535N	P = 0.262N	P = 0.600 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.562N	P = 0.262N	P = 0.632N
Cochran Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.592N	1 -0.2021	
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.00210	P = 0.309N	P = 0.661
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma Ca	rcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.7%	8.6%	6.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/31 (10%)	3/35 (9%)	1/36 (3%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	79
Life Table Tests (d)	P-0517N	P = 0.607 N	P = 0.600 N
Line Table Tests (u) Incidentel Tumor Tests (d)	D = 0.541  N	P = 0.607N	P = 0.632N
Contrast 1 unor 1 ests (a)	F 0.04114 D 0 E 0.4	F = 0.00714	1 - 0.00211
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	r=0.084	B-0.661	D-0661
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.061	P=0.001
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma, All M	Ialignant	10/50 /04/2	16/50 (990)
Overall Rates (a)	17/50 (34%)	12/50 (24%)	10/3U (32%) 9/7 9/4
Adjusted Rates (b)	45.2%	28.9%	37.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	11/31 (35%)	7/35 (20%)	11/36(31%)
Week of First Observation	86	88	79
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.304N	P = 0.127N	P = 0.330N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.369N	P = 0.132N	P = 0.400 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.455N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.189N	P = 0.500N
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	oma. Lymphocytic Type	e	
Overall Rates (a)	4/50 (8%)	2/50 (4%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11.1%	5.2%	5.2%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/31 (6%)	1/35 (3%)	1/36 (3%)
Wook of First Observation	86	98	100
Life Table Tests (d)	P-0 210N	P=0 294N	P = 0.281 N
Life Table Tests (d) Incidental Theman Tests (d)	P = 0.21011	P = 0.334N	P = 0.322N
Contract and the set of the set o	F ~ U.40 (1) D 0 050N	1 -0.00414	1 -0.02211
Cocnran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.252 N	P = 0.339N	P=0.339N
risher Baact rest (u)			
Hematopoietic System: Malignant Lymph	oma, Histiocytic Type	0/50 (0%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	5 7%	0.0%	7.4%
Taminal Potes (a)	1/31 (394)	0/35 (0%)	2/36 (6%)
Week of First Observation	1/01 (070)		79
week of First UdserVation	70 D-0 496	D-0 910N	P=0 559
Life 18Die 16Sts (Q)	r=0.430	Г V.217M D Л 912N	P = 0.002
Incidental lumor lests (d)	$\mathbf{r} = 0.409$	F = 0.210M	r 0.040
Cocnran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.394	P = 0.248N	P=0.500
	Amon Minad Maria		
Hematopoletic System: Malignant Lymph	ioma, mixea Type	10/50 (20%)	11/50 (22%)
Adjusted Dates (b)	10/00 (2070) 90 904	9A 59L	27 196
Agusted Rates (D)	47.070	44.070 CIDE (1774)	2/2C (990L)
Terminal Kates (c)	8/31 (26%)	6/35(17%)	0/30 (4470)
Week of First Observation	28	55	91 91
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.507 N	P = 0.486N	P = 0.551 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.533	P = 0.516N	P = 0.594
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.452		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.599N	P = 0.500

#### TABLE E4. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	Control	6,300 ppm	12,500 ppm
Hematopoietic System: Lymphoma or Leu		·······	<u></u>
Overall Rates (a)	18/50 (36%)	12/50 (24%)	16/50 (32%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	46.3%	28.9%	37 8%
Terminal Rates (c)	11/31 (35%)	7/35 (20%)	11/36 (31%)
Week of First Observation	78	88	79
Life Table Tests (d)	D-0 220N	P = 0.004 N	0 - 0 965 N
Incidental Turner Tests (d)	P = 0.239N	P = 0.0941	P = 0.200 N
Culture American Tests (d)	P=0.349N	P = 0.114 N	P = 0.400 N
Fisher Exact Test (d)	P=0.371N	P=0.138N	P = 0.417N
Circulatory System: Hemangiosarcoma			
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.3%	5.7%	79%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/31 (0%)	2/35 (6%)	2/36 (6%)
Week of First Observation	99	104	100
Life Table Tests (d)	D-0.469	D-0 657N	D-0 559
Line Table Tests (d) In sidents 1 Tunnen Tests (1)	r = 0.402	r=0.0071N	r=0.002
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.414	P = 0.629	12=0.487
Cocnran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.408		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.691 N	P = 0.500
Circulatory System: Hemangioma or Hema	angiosarcoma		6/FA (6~~
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	4.3%	11.4%	7.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	0/31 (0%)	4/35 (11%)	2/36 (6%)
Week of First Observation	82	104	100
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.481	P=0.388	P = 0.552
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.437	P = 0.294	P = 0.487
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.416		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.339	P = 0.500
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma			
Overall Rates (a)	5/50 (10%)	0/50 (0%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	15.6%	0.0%	2.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/31 (13%)	0/35 (0%)	1/36 (3%)
Week of First Observation	101	,	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.025N	P = 0.024N	P = 0.074 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.027N	P = 0.025N	P = 0.078N
Cochran-Armite ge Trend Test (d)	P = 0.037N	1 -0.02011	1 - 0.01011
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 -0.00714	P=0.028N	P = 0.102N
Liver: Hepatocellular Adenoma or Carcino	oma		
Overall Rates (a)	6/50 (12%)	0/50 (0%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	17.6%	0.0%	5.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	4/31 (1396)	0/35 (0%)	1/36 (3%)
Week of First Observation	Q1	0.00 (0.6)	99
Life Table Toste (d)	71 D-0049N	D-0.019N	D = 0.000N
Line Table Tesus (1)	$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{0.0401N}$	r = 0.013	$\mathbf{r} = 0 \cdot 0 0 0 \mathbf{N}$
Incidental Lumor Lests (d)	P = 0.052IN	P=0.018N	P=0.118N
Cocnran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.059N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.013N	P = 0.134N
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma	10/00 /000	10/40 (00%)	10/50/0000
Overall Rates (a)	13/50 (26%)	16/49 (33%)	10/50 (20%)
Adjusted Kates (b)	41.9%	42.9%	25.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	13/31 (42%)	13/34 (38%)	8/36 (22%)
Week of First Observation	104	96	97
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.154N	P = 0.445	P = 0.183N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.163N	P = 0.439	P = 0.190N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.287N		
		<b>D</b> 0.000	<b>B</b>

#### TABLE E4. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

	Control	6,300 ppm	12,500 ppm
Pituitary Gland: Adenocarcinoma	<u> </u>	·····	
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	0/49 (0%)	2/50 (4%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	9.7%	0.0%	4.9%
Terminal Rates (c)	3/31 (10%)	0/34 (0%)	1/36 (3%)
Week of First Observation	104		94
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.337 N	P = 0.105 N	P=0.433N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.346N	P = 0.105N	P = 0.446N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.389N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)	1 - 0.00011	P = 0.125N	P = 0.500 N
Pituitary Gland: Adenoma or Adenocarcino	ma		
Overall Rates (a)	16/50 (32%)	16/49 (33%)	12/50 (24%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	51.6%	42.9%	30.1%
Terminal Rates (c)	16/31 (52%)	13/34 (38%)	9/36 (25%)
Week of First Observation	104	96	94
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.103N	P = 0.452N	P = 0.122N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P=0.109N	P = 0.456N	P = 0.129N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.223N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P=0.558	P = 0.252N
Thyroid Gland: Follicular Cell Adenoma or	Carcinoma		
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	1/49 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6.5%	8.6%	2.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/31 (6%)	3/35 (9%)	1/36 (3%)
Week of First Observation	104	104	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.343N	P = 0.556	P=0.448N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.343N	P = 0.556	P=0.448N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.409N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P=0.508N
Mammary Gland: Adenocarcinoma or Aden	osquamous Carcinon	na	
Overall Rates (a)	2/50 (4%)	3/50 (6%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	6.3%	7.8%	2.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	1/35 (3%)	1/36 (3%)
Week of First Observation	101	96	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.347N	P = 0.557	P = 0.449N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.371N	P=0.549	P = 0.465N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.402N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500	P = 0.500N
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma, Adenocard	cinoma, or Adenosqu	amous Carcinoma	
Overall Rates (a)	3/50 (6%)	4/50 (8%)	1/50 (2%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	8.7%	10.1%	2.8%
Terminal Rates (c)	1/31 (3%)	1/35 (3%)	1/36 (3%)
Week of First Observation	98	96	104
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.211N	P = 0.559	P = 0.265 N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.226N	P = 0.559	P = 0.279 N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d) Fisher Exact Test (d)	P = 0.254N	P = 0.500	P = 0.309N
		1 - 0.000	
Harderian Gland: Adenoma			• ···· •
Overall Kates (a)	4/50 (8%)	3/50 (6%)	0/50 (0%)
Adjusted Rates (b)	11,8%	7.8%	0.0%
Terminal Rates (c)	2/31 (6%)	2/35 (6%)	0/36 (0%)
Week of First Observation	100	94	
Life Table Tests (d)	P = 0.036N	P = 0.440N	P = 0.049N
Incidental Tumor Tests (d)	P = 0.038N	P = 0.440N	P = 0.054N
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test (d)	P = 0.049N		
Fisher Exact Test (d)		P = 0.500N	P = 0.059N

# TABLE E4. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

#### TABLE E4. ANALYSIS OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (Continued)

<sup>(</sup>a) Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at the site

<sup>(</sup>b) Kaplan-Meier estimated tumor incidence at the end of the study after adjusting for intercurrent mortality

<sup>(</sup>c) Observed tumor incidence at terminal kill

<sup>(</sup>d) Beneath the control incidence are the P values associated with the trend test. Beneath the dosed group incidence are the P values corresponding to pairwise comparisons between that dosed group and the controls. The life table analysis regards tumors in animals dying prior to terminal kill as being (directly or indirectly) the cause of death. The incidental tumor test regards these lesions as nonfatal. The Cochran-Armitage and Fisher exact tests compare directly the overall incidence rates. A negative trend or lower incidence in a dosed group is indicated by (N).

#### APPENDIX F

# HISTORICAL INCIDENCES OF TUMORS IN F344/N RATS RECEIVING NO TREATMENT

#### TABLE F1. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF ADRENAL GLAND TUMORS IN MALE F344/N RATS **RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)**

		Incidence in Controls			
	Pheochromocytoma	Pheochromocytoma, Malignant	Pheochromocytoma or Pheochromocytoma, Malignant		
No 2-year studies by I	Physiological Research Laborator	ies are included in the his	torical data base.		
Overall Historical 1	Incidence				
TOTAL SD(b)	338/1,702(19.9%) 9.87%	20/1,702 (1.2%) 1.49%	358/1,702 (21.0%) 9.63%		
Range (c)					
High	20/49	3/48	21/49		
Low	2/50	0/50	3/50		

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Standard deviation

(c) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

#### TABLE F2. HISTORICAL INCIDENCE OF PITUITARY GLAND TUMORS IN FEMALE F344/N RATS **RECEIVING NO TREATMENT (a)**

		Incidence in Controls		
	Adenoma	Carcinoma	Adenoma or Carcinoma	
No 2-year studies by Phy	vsiological Research Laboratories ar	e included in the histo	rical data base.	
<b>Overall Historical Inc</b>	idence			
TOTAL SD(d)	(b) 7 <b>43/1,704</b> (43.6%) 11.71%	(c) 62/1,704 (3.6%) 4.24%	(b,c) 805/1,704 (47.2%) 11.01%	
Range (e) High Low	33/47 7/39	8/49 0/50	33/47 9/39	

(a) Data as of August 3, 1984, for studies of at least 104 weeks

(b) Includes 593 adenomas, NOS, and 150 chromophobe adenomas. No other benign tumors were observed. (c) Includes 51 carcinomas, NOS, and 11 chromophobe carcinomas. No other malignant tumors were observed.

(d) Standard deviation

(e) Range and SD are presented for groups of 35 or more animals.

#### **APPENDIX G**

# GENETIC TOXICOLOGY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

#### 139 Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315

	Dose (µg/plate)	Revertants/plate (a,b)			
Strain		- 89	+ <b>S</b> 9 (rat)	+ <b>S9</b> (hamster)	
TA100	0.000	106 ± 7.2	129 ± 0.6	122 ± 7.8	
	0.003	$142 \pm 8.5$	$128 \pm 2.5$	$99 \pm 3.3$	
	0.010	$122 \pm 10.7$	$130 \pm 8.4$	$120 \pm 9.7$	
	0.030	$102 \pm 13.1$	$124 \pm 5.1$	$134 \pm 2.1$	
	0.100	$113 \pm 6.8$	$103 \pm 10.5$	$134 \pm 2.7$	
	0.300	$105 \pm 4.0$	$128 \pm 11.0$	$122 \pm 7.2$	
	1.000	$61 \pm 1.7$	$78 \pm 4.4$	$74 \pm 1.7$	
TA1535	0.000	$17 \pm 3.5$	$10 \pm 2.8$	$12 \pm 1.8$	
	0.003	$15 \pm 2.1$	$12 \pm 3.9$	$10 \pm 3.1$	
	0.010	$15 \pm 2.6$	8 ± 1.5	$7 \pm 1.7$	
	0.030	$15 \pm 2.3$	$12 \pm 0.6$	$10 \pm 1.7$	
	0.100	$15 \pm 2.8$	$10 \pm 1.2$	9 ± 0.9	
	0.300	$13 \pm 1.5$	9± 0.7	$7 \pm 0.7$	
	1.000	$14 \pm 3.5$	$7 \pm 0.9$	$8\pm0.9$	
TA1537	0.000	$5 \pm 1.0$	6± 0.9	$12 \pm 3.0$	
	0.003	$5 \pm 1.5$	$6 \pm 1.2$	$6 \pm 1.8$	
	0.010	$7 \pm 1.0$	$7 \pm 2.2$	$8 \pm 0.6$	
	0.030	$6 \pm 0.9$	6± 0.7	$8 \pm 2.3$	
	0.100	$3 \pm 0.9$	4 ± 0.0	$4 \pm 0.9$	
	0.300	$7 \pm 1.2$	$6 \pm 1.2$	$6 \pm 0.7$	
	1.000	$4 \pm 0.6$	$7 \pm 1.2$	$7\pm0.9$	
TA98	0.000	$15 \pm 2.6$	$28 \pm 0.7$	$20 \pm 3.5$	
	0.003	$14 \pm 3.1$	$25 \pm 1.5$	$18 \pm 2.2$	
	0.010	$15 \pm 1.5$	$20 \pm 1.8$	$26 \pm 1.5$	
	0.030	$15 \pm 1.5$	$22 \pm 1.7$	$27 \pm 6.1$	
	0.100	$14 \pm 2.2$	$21 \pm 5.5$	$25 \pm 6.4$	
	0.300	$10 \pm 3.1$	$18 \pm 3.8$	$21 \pm 3.2$	
	1.000	$10 \pm 1.5$	$14 \pm 3.2$	$17 \pm 4.4$	

#### TABLE G1. MUTAGENICITY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE IN SALMONELLA TYPHIMURIUM

(a) The S9 fractions were prepared from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rats and male Syrian hamsters. Cells and study compound or solvent (DMSO) were incubated for 20 minutes at 37° C in the presence of either S9 or buffer. After the addition of soft agar, the contents of each tube were poured onto minimal medium, and the plates were incubated at 37° C for 48 hours (Haworth et al., 1983). The experiment was performed twice, each in triplicate; because the results were similar, data from only one experiment are shown.

(b) Mean ± standard error

Concentration (µg/ml)	Total Mutant Clones	Cloning Efficiency (percent)	Relative Total Growth (percent)	Mutation Frequency (mutants/10 <sup>8</sup> cionable cells)
Distilled water				
	116	72.3	98	53
	122	59.7	101	68
Ethyl methanesulfonate				
200.0	433	71.8	73	201
	547	84.5	78	216
Oxytetracycline hydrochloride				
12.5	116	66.2	102	58
	124	69.3	112	60
25.0	113	51.5	95	73
	121	65.8	106	61
50.0	89	52.2	93	57
	90	84.7	141	35
100.0	100	82.2	90	41
	87	51.3	64	56
200.0	108	51.8	65	69
	82	74.0	84	37
400.0	100	54.0	43	62
	95	60.0	34	53
800.0	Toxic			

#### TABLE G2. MUTAGENICITY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE IN L5178Y/TK<sup>+/-</sup> MOUSELYMPHOMA CELLS IN THE ABSENCE OF S9 (a)

(a) Experiments were performed twice, and all doses were tested in duplicate or triplicate. Because the results were similar, data from only one experiment are shown. The protocol was basically that of Clive et al. (1979). Cells ( $6 \times 10^{5}$ /ml) were treated for 4 hours at 37° C in medium, washed, resuspended in medium, and incubated for 48 hours at 37° C. After expression,  $3 \times 10^{6}$  cells were plated in medium supplemented with trifluorothymidine for selection of cells that were mutant at the thymidine kinase (TK) locus, and 600 cells were plated in nonselective medium to determine the percentage of viable cells.

Concentration (µg/ml)	Total Mutant Clones	Cloning Efficiency (percent)	Relative Total Growth (percent)	Mutation Frequency (mutants/10 <sup>6</sup> clonable cells)
Distilled water				
	152	54.2	101	94
	176	64.5	84	91
	181	76.2	94	79
	166	69.8	117	79
Methylcholanthrene				
2.5	712	57.7	40	412
	663	37.8	33	584
Oxytetracycline hydrochlorid	de			
25.0	189	73.3	98	86
	204	69.0	102	99
50.0	201	73.0	66	92
	179	62.2	85	96
100.0	307	71.0	29	144
	238	45.5	34	174
200.0	920	(b) 3.8	(b) 1	8,000
	1,351	(b) 13.0	4	3,464
400.0	Toxic			

#### TABLE G3. MUTAGENICITY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE IN L5178Y/FK<sup>+/-</sup> MOUSE LYMPHOMA CELLS IN THE PRESENCE OF S9 (a)

(a) Experiments were performed twice, and all doses were tested in duplicate except the solvent control (distilled water), which was tested in quadruplicate. Because the results were similar, data from only one experiment are shown. The protocol was basically that of Clive et al. (1979). Cells ( $6 \times 10^{5}$ /ml) were treated for 4 hours at 37° C in medium, washed, resuspended in medium, and incubated for 48 hours at 37° C. After expression,  $3 \times 10^{6}$  cells were plated in medium supplemented with trifluorothymidine for selection of cells that were mutant at the thymidine kinase (TK) locus, and 600 cells were plated in non-selective medium to determine the percentage of viable cells. S9 was prepared from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male F344 rats.

(b) Extreme toxicity
#### TABLE G4. INDUCTION OF SISTER-CHROMATID EXCHANGES IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (a)

<b>– S9</b> (b)		+ <b>S9</b> (c)		
Dose (µg/ml)	SCE/Cell (d)	Dose (µg/ml)	SCE/Cell (d)	
Water (pH 2.86)	10.9	Water (pH 2.86)	13.6	
Oxytetracycline hydrochlorid	9	Oxytetracycline hydrochia	ride	
60	12.9	400	16.0	
70	13.5	500	16.6	
80	12.7	700	17.6	
Mitomycin C		Cyclophosphamide		
0.001	17.7	0.350	18.4	
0.010	56.4	2.000	34.4	

(a) SCE = sister-chromatid exchange

(b) In the absence of S9, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent at 37° C; 2 hours after initiation of treatment, 10  $\mu$ M BrdU was added, and incubation was continued for an additional 22-24 hours. Cells were washed, fresh medium containing BrdU (10  $\mu$ M) and colcemid (0.1  $\mu$ g/ml) was added, and incubation was continued for 2-3 hours (Galloway et al., 1985).

(c) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at  $37^{\circ}$  C. Then cells were washed, and medium containing 10 µM BrdU was added. Cells were incubated for a further 26 hours, with colcemid (0.1 µg/ml) present for the final 2-3 hours. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rats (Galloway et al., 1985).

(d) Cells were collected by mitotic shake-off, treated for 3 minutes with potassium chloride (75 mM), washed twice with fixative, and dropped onto slides and air-dried (Galloway et al., 1985).

## TABLE G5. INDUCTION OF CHROMOSOMAL ABERRATIONS IN CHINESE HAMSTER OVARY CELLS BY OXTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (a)

	- <b>S9</b> (b)	+ <b>S</b> 9 (c)		
Dose (µg/ml)	Abs/100 Cells (percent cells with abs)	Dose (µg/ml)	Abs/100 Cells (percent cells with abs)	
Water (pH 2.86)	6 (6)	Water (pH 2.86)	4 (3)	
Oxvtetracycline hydrod	chloride	Oxytetracycline hydroc	hloride	
80	4(3)	700	5 (5)	
90	5(4)	800	4 (4)	
100	5(4)	900	3 (3)	
Mitomycin C		Cyclophosphamide		
0.050	112 (52)	15.000	88 (58)	
0.000				

(a) Abs = aberrations

(b) In the absence of S9, Chinese hamster ovary cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 8-10 hours at 37° C. Cells were then washed, and fresh medium containing colcemid (0.1 µg/ml) was added. After a further 2-3 hours of incubation, cells were harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained in 6% Giemsa (Galloway et al., 1985).

(c) In the presence of S9, cells were incubated with study compound or solvent for 2 hours at 37°C. Cells were then washed, fresh medium was added, and incubation was continued for 8-10 hours. Colcemid (0.1 µg/ml) was added for the last 2-3 hours of incubation; then cells were harvested by mitotic shake-off, fixed, and stained in 6% Giemsa. S9 was from the liver of Aroclor 1254-induced male Sprague-Dawley rats (Galloway et al., 1985).

Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315 144

### APPENDIX H

# CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

### **APPENDIX H. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

#### I. Identity and Purity Determinations of Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride Performed by the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory

				<u>Determir</u>	led	<u>Literature</u>	Values
<b>A</b> .	Lo	otno	o. 304-G-004				
	1.	Pł	ysical properties				
		а.	Appearance:	Yellow, fi	uffy solid	Yellow plate (Merck Inde	lets k, 1976)
		b.	Melting point:	180° C (de (visual, ca Büchi 510	composes); pillary, )	181°-182° C (decomposes) (Merck Index	) k, 1976)
		c.	Specific rotation:	$[a] \frac{26}{D} : -2$	$202.5^{\circ} \pm 2.0^{\circ}$	$[a] \frac{25}{D} = -196$	6°
				(solvent: ( hydrochlo	).1 N ric acid)	(Merck Index (solvent: 0.1	k, 1976) N
				[a] $\frac{26}{D}$ : -1	<b>96.6°</b> ± 1.2°	nyarochioric	acia)
				(USP stan (solvent: ( hydrochlo	dard) ).1 N ric acid)		
	2.	Sp	ectral data		,		
		<b>a</b> .	Infrared				
			Instrument:	Beckman	IR-12		
			Phase:	1% in pota bromide p	ssium ellet		
			Results:	See Figure	5	Consistent w literature spo (Sadtler Stan Spectra)	ith ectrum Idard
		b.	Ultraviolet/visible				
			Instrument:	Cary 118			
			Solvent:	0.1 N hydr	ochloric acid	0.1 N sulfurio	e acid
			Results:	λ max (nm	) $\varepsilon \times 10^{-4}$	λ max (nm)	$\epsilon  imes 10^{-4}$
				218	$1.44\pm0.02$		
				268	$1.91 \pm 0.01$	269	1.99
				353	$1.39 \pm 0.01$	352 (Clarke, 1969	1.35 ))
				218	$1.33 \pm 0.01$		
				268	$1.76 \pm 0.01$		
				353	$1.29 \pm 0.01$		
				(USP stand	ard)		

.



FIGURE 5. INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (LOT NO. 304-G-004)

		Determined	<u>Literature Values</u>
c.	Nuclear magnetic resonance		
	Instrument:	Varian EM-360A	
	Solvent:	Dimethyl sulfoxide, d <sub>6</sub> with tetramethylsilane internal standard	
	Assignments:	See Figure 6	No literature reference found. Spectrum consistent with structure.
	Chemical shift (8):	a 1.72 b 2.63-3.17 c 3.33-5.00 d 4.68 e 6.74-7.77 f 9.10 g 9.59 h 11.67 i 15.09 j 2.38-2.62 (DMSO)	
	Integration ratios:	a 3.6 b 9.4 c d} 3.9 e 4.4 f 0.9 g 1.0 h 0.9 i 0.9	

#### 3. Titration

a. Acidic functional group: Titration of three acidic protons with 0.1 N sodium methoxide. The compound was dissolved in dimethylformamide (Regosz, 1975).

A purity of 97.5%  $\pm$  0.2( $\delta$ )% was indicated.

**b.** Amine group: Titration of one basic proton with 0.1 N perchloric acid in glacial acetic acid. The compound was dissolved in anhydrous formic acid:glacial acetic acid:1,4-dioxane (1:2:2) (Hansen, 1973).

A purity of 97.8%  $\pm$  0.2( $\delta$ )% was indicated.

### FIGURE 6. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (LOT NO. 304-G-004)







4. Potency by chemical assay: Reaction of the compound with ferric chloride solution, measurement of the absorbance produced at 490 nm, and direct comparison with a USP standard of known potency treated in the same manner (CFR, 1977).

A potency of 1,006  $\pm$  3( $\delta$ ) µg/mg compared with the USP standard quoted at 940 µg/mg.

#### 5. Water analysis (Karl Fischer): $0.98\% \pm 0.08(\delta)\%$

#### 6. Elemental analysis

Element	С	Н	N	0	Cl
Theory (T)	53.18	5.07	5.64	28.98	7.13
Determined (D)	53.01 53.14	5.33 5.24	5.62 5.61	28.34 28.47	7.15 7.09
Percent D/T	99.80	104.24	99.56	98.02	99.86

#### 7. Chromatographic analysis

#### a. Thin-layer chromatography

Reference standard: 4-Hydroxyacetanilide

**Amount spotted:** 5, 40, and 120 µg of compound and 20 µg of reference standard **Visualization:** Ultraviolet at 254 and 356 nm; spray of a solution of boric acid (1 g/100 ml) in concentrated sulfuric acid:water (7:3) (Gyanchandi et al., 1970)

#### System 1

**Plates:** Silanized Silica Gel 60, F-254, 0.25-mm layer thickness, sprayed with 0.1 M aqueous disodium ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid and air dried overnight before use

**Solvent:** *n*-Butanol saturated with water. Manually programmed multiple development.

#### System 2

**Plates:** Cellulose F, 0.1 mm-layer thickness, sprayed with 0.1 M aqueous disodium ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid and air dried overnight before use **Solvent:** Isopropanol:0.1 M disodium ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (1:1) with precipitate filtered before use. Manually programmed multiple development.

System 1			System 2			
Spot <u>Intensity</u>	<u>R</u> f	<u>R</u> st	Spot <u>Intensity</u>	Rf	<u>R</u> st	
Major	0.58	0.72	Major	0.83	0.98	
Trace	0.69	0.85	Reference	0.85	1.00	
Trace	0.38	0.47				
Reference	0.81	1.00				

#### b. High-performance liquid chromatography

#### Instrument system

Pump: Waters 6000A
Programmer: Waters 660
Detector: Waters 440
Injector: Waters U6K
Column: μBondapak C<sub>18</sub>, 300 × 3.9 mm ID
Detection: Ultraviolet, 254 nm
Guard column: CO:PELL ODS, 72 × 2.3 mm ID
Flow rate: 1 ml/min
Solvent system

(A) 1.5 mM tetraammonium ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid in water containing 5% acetic acid (v/v)
(B) Tetrahydrofuran

#### System 1

Solvent program: 5% (B), isocratic Samples injected: 20  $\mu$ l of a 0.6 mg/ml methanolic solution of the compound and 20  $\mu$ l of a 0.7 mg/ml methanolic solution of the USP standard

**Results:** The compound exhibited a major peak preceded by one minor impurity (shoulder). Three trace (relative area < 0.1%) impurities, one preceding and two following the major peak, were also detected. The USP standard exhibited the same minor impurity and the one trace impurity preceding the major peak.

<u>Peak No.</u>	Retention <u>Time (min)</u>	Retention Time Relative to <u>Major Peak</u>	Area (percent of <u>major peak)</u>
1 (shoulder)	7.5	0.89	0.30
2	8.4	1.00	100.0

#### System 2

**Solvent program:** 10% (B), isocratic (for quantitation against a USP standard) **Samples injected:** 25 µl of methanolic solutions of the compound and the USP standard containing acetophenone as an internal standard

**Results:** The results indicated a purity of  $105.4\% \pm 1.6(\delta)\%$  relative to the USP standard by comparison of the areas of the major peaks (normalized with the internal standard area).

### **APPENDIX H. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

8. Conclusions: The results of the elemental analysis for carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and chlorine agreed with theoretical values, but the oxygen value was slightly low. The water content was 0.98%  $\pm$  0.08( $\delta$ )% by Karl Fischer titrimetry. Titrations of acidic functional groups indicated a purity of 97.5%  $\pm$  0.2(8)%. An amino group titration indicated a purity of 97.8%  $\pm$  0.2( $\delta$ )%. The results of a chemical assay for potency indicated a value of 1,006  $\pm$  3( $\delta$ ) µg/mg compared with a USP standard quoted as 940 µg/mg. Thin-layer chromatography detected a major spot and two trace impurities in one system, and only a major spot in the second. A high-performance liquid chromatographic system detected one minor shoulder, relative area of 0.3%, preceding the major peak, and three trace (relative area < 0.1%) impurities in addition to the major peak in the sample. A purity profile of a USP standard material indicated only the minor and trace impurity preceding the major peak. Quantitation by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) indicated a purity of 105.4%  $\pm$  1.6( $\delta$ )% relative to the USP standard. The optical activity was consistent with a literature value. The infrared and ultraviolet/visible spectra were also consistent with the literature. The  $\varepsilon_{max}$  values measured for the material were an average of 8% greater than the  $\varepsilon_{max}$  values for the USP standard material. The nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum was consistent with the structure.

В.

		Determin	ed	<u>Literature V</u>	alues	
Lot n	o. 69150380					
1. P	hysical properties					
8.	Appearance:	Yellow, flu crystalline	iffy, micro- e powder			
ь.	Specific rotation:	[a] <sup>28°</sup> : —:	202.2° ± 0.7°	[a] <sup>25°</sup> : -196	5.6°	
		(solvent: ( hydrochlo	).1 N ric acid)	(Merck Index (solvent: 0.1 hydrochloric	, 1976) N acid)	
2. Sj	pectral data					
а.	Infrared					
	Instrument:	Perkin-El:	mer 283			
	Phase:	1% in pota bromide p	ssium ellet			
	Results:	See Figure	97	Consistent w structure and spectrum (Sadtler Stan Spectra)	ith I literature dard	
b.	Ultraviolet/visible					
	Instrument:	Cary 219				
	Solvent:	0.1 N hydr	0.1 N hydrochloric acid		0.1 N sulfuric acid	
	Results:	λ max (nm	) $\varepsilon \times 10^{-4}$	λ max (nm)	$\epsilon  imes 10^{-4}$	
		354 318 269 217	$\begin{array}{c} 1.367 \pm 0.009 \\ 1.027 \pm 0.003 \\ 1.881 \pm 0.008 \\ 1.410 \pm 0.006 \end{array}$	352 269 (Clarke, 1969	1.35 1.99	
		354 318 269 217	$\begin{array}{c} 1.280 \pm 0.008 \\ 0.966 \pm 0.008 \\ 1.749 \pm 0.009 \\ 1.332 \pm 0.023 \end{array}$	353 276 249 (as the free by	1.496 1.600 1.193	
		(USP stand	dard)	phosphate bu 4.5) (Merck I	ffer, pH ndex, 1976)	



FIGURE 7. INFRARED ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (LOT NO. 69150380)

c.	Nuclear magnetic resonance	<u>Det</u>	ermined		<u>Literature Values</u>
	Instrument:	Vari	an EM-360A		
	Solvent:	Deut sulfo silar	terated dimeth oxide, with tet: he internal sta	nyl- ramethyl- ndard	
	Assignments:	See I	Figure 8		Consistent with structure and literature (Asleson et al., 1974; von Wittenau and Blackwood, 1966)
	Chemical shift (δ):	a b c d e f f g h i j k l m n o p * This that o gratio	broad s broad s broad m broad s broad s unresolved d unresolved d t broad s broad s s s unobserved impurity impurity impurity peak is f acetone. If the n indicated that in	1.78 2.87 3.5-4.1 4.76 5.5-6.8 6.90 $J_{f-h} = J$ 7.05 7.53 9.08 9.60 11.61 15.02 1.00 1.10 2.08* a singlet with a computive solution of the second	g-h = 8Hz chemical shift consistent with he, calculations from the inte- oximately 0.6%.
	Integration ratios:	a b c d e f g h i j k l m o p	3.07 7.14 0.74 0.95 0.95 2.11 1.06 1.06 0.95 0.95  0.1 0.4		

FIGURE 8. NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE SPECTRUM OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (LOT NO. 69150380)



#### 3. Titration

a. Acidic functional group: The sample was dissolved in dimethylformamide and titrated with 0.1 N sodium methoxide in methanol:toluene (1:4). The titration was monitored potentiometrically with a combination electrode (filled with aqueous 4 M potassium chloride).

A purity of 98.8%  $\pm$  0.3( $\delta$ )% (for three equivalents per mole) was indicated.

**b.** Amine group: The sample was dissolved in formic acid:acetic acid:*p*-dioxane (1:2:2) and titrated with 0.1 N perchloric acid in the presence of mercuric acetate. The titration was monitored potentiometrically with a combination electrode.

A purity of 99.5%  $\pm$  0.2( $\delta$ )% was indicated.

4. Visible spectrophotometric assay: The sample was dissolved in 0.1 N hydrochloric acid, and the absorptivity was compared with a USP standard, similarly treated, at 354 nm.

The percent relative absorptivity of the sample (calculated on the dried basis) versus a USP standard was  $93.4\% \pm 0.9(\delta)\%$ . The FDA requires the percent relative absorptivity to be  $92.5\% \pm 4.3\%$  of a similarly treated standard, corrected for potency (CFR, 1977).

5. Potency by chemical assay: Reaction of the compound with ferric chloride solution, measurement of the absorbance produced at 490 nm, and direct comparison with a USP standard of known potency treated in the same manner (CFR, 1977).

A potency of 1,003  $\pm$  7( $\delta$ ) µg/mg of free base compared with the USP standard of 940 µg/mg. The FDA requires a potency of not less than 835 µg of oxytetracycline per milligram, calculated on the dried basis.

- 6. Water analysis (Karl Fischer):  $0.39\% \pm 0.05(\delta)\%$ The FDA requires moisture content to be equal to or less than 2.0% (CFR, 1977).
- 7. Elemental analysis

Element	С	Н	N	Cl
Theory (T)	53.18	5.07	5.64	7.13
Determined (D)	53.13 53.36	5.22 5.25	5.54 5.67	7.17 7.21
Percent D/T	100.1	103.2	99.38	100.8

#### 8. Chromatographic analysis

#### a. Thin-layer chromatography

Plates: MN Cellulose, 0.25 mm layer thickness Reference standard: 10 µl of a 1 mg/ml solution of tryptophan in methanol; oxytetracycline USP reference standard, 30 µl of a 10 mg/ml solution in methanol Amount spotted: 1, 10, and 30 µl of a 10 mg/ml solution in methanol Visualization: Ultraviolet at 254 and 366 nm; 0.5% Fast Blue B salt in water/0.1 N sodium hydroxide (Stahl, 1969)

#### System 1

**Solvent:** 5% aqueous trisodium citrate saturated with *n*-butanol

#### System 2

Solvent: 0.1 M aqueous sodium fluoride

System 1			System 2		
Spot <u>Intensity</u>	Spot <u>R</u> f <u>R</u> st <u>Intensity</u>		Spot <u>Intensity</u>	<u>R</u> f	<u>R</u> st
Oxytetracycline	<u>e</u>				
Slight trace	0.83	1.20	Trace	0.83	1.22
Major	0.75	1.09	Major	0.75	1.10
Minor	0.56	0.81	Minor	0.51	0.75
USP reference					
Slight trace	0.84	1.22	Trace	0.84	1.24
Major	0.75	1.09	Major	0.75	1.10
Tryptophan*	0.69		Tryptophan*	0.68	

 ${}^{\bullet}$  Used for  $R_{st}$  calculations

#### b. High-performance liquid chromatography

#### **Detection of impurities**

Instrument system Pump: Waters M6000A Programmer: Waters 660 Detector: Waters 440 Injector: Waters U6K Column: μBondapak C<sub>18</sub>, 300 × 3.9 mm ID Detection: Ultraviolet, 254 nm Guard column: Whatman CO:PELL ODS, 72 × 2.3 mm ID Flow rate: 1 ml/min Solvent system (A) 1.5 mM tetraammonium ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid in water containing 5% (v/v) acetic acid (B) Tetrahydrofuran Solvent ratio: A:B (95:5) Samples injected: Solution containing 0.786 mg/ml oxytetracycline hydrochloride in methanol filtered into an amber septum vial Volume injected: 20 µl

**Results:** The compound exhibited a major peak and one impurity with an area greater than 0.1% of the major peak area. The impurity eluted at 17.2 minutes and had an area equal to 0.42% of the major peak area. A second impurity eluted on the tail of the major peak but was less than 0.1% of the major peak area. In the original analysis, one impurity (0.3% of the major peak area) was observed on the front of the major peak in lot no. 304-G-004 but was not seen this time.

During the solvent ratio search, no additional impurities with areas > 0.1% of the major peak area were observed when injections of a solution of similar concentration to the one used for the analytical system were made at 100, 80, 60, 40, 20, or 10% B.

<u>Peak No.</u>	Retention <u>Time (min)</u>	Retention Time Relative to <u>Major Peak</u>	Area* (percent of <u>major peak)</u>
1	9.3	1.00	100.0
2	17.2	1.85	0.42

\* Detector response is very dependent upon the absorbance of a substance at the detection wavelength used. The values reported are absolute areas expressed as percentages of the area of the major peak and do not take into account the different  $\varepsilon$  values of the compound and its impurities. Therefore, the areas reported do not necessarily reflect the actual weight percentages of the impurities in the sample.

#### Batch comparison by major peak analysis

Samples of the USP standard and both the previous lot, no. 304-G-004, and present lot, no. 69150380, were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography. Sample peak heights were compared with internal standard peak heights, and the percent oxytetracycline hydrochloride in each batch was calculated relative to the USP standard. The instrumental parameters listed above for detection of impurities were used with the exceptions noted below.

#### Solvent ratio: A:B (85:15)

#### Flow rate: 1.5 ml/min

**Samples injected:** Solutions containing 0.5 mg/ml accurately weighed oxytetracycline hydrochloride and 0.3 mg/ml acetophenone as internal standard in methanol and filtered into an amber septum vial

#### **Retention times**

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride: 4.2 min Acetophenone (internal standard): 8.0 min

### **APPENDIX H. CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION**

#### Results

Sample	Percent Oxytetracycline <u>Normalized to USP Reference</u>
USP oxytetracycline	$100.0 \pm 2.0$
Lot no. 304-G-004	$100.9 \pm 2.0$
Lot no. 69150380	$100.8 \pm 2.0$

9. Conclusions: The results of the elemental analysis for carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and chlorine were in agreement with theoretical values. Thin-layer chromatography, with one system, resolved a major, a minor, and a slight trace spot. The USP reference co-chromatographed with this system exhibited a major spot and a slight trace corresponding to the slight trace observed for the sample. The second thin-layer chromatographic system resolved a major spot and a minor and a trace impurity. The USP reference contained a trace impurity corresponding to the one observed in the sample. High-performance liquid chromatography resolved a major peak and one impurity with a relative area of 0.42%. Major peak comparisons made of the current lot and a USP reference indicated a purity of  $100.8\% \pm 2.0(\delta)\%$  relative to the USP reference.

#### II. Chemical Stability Study Performed by the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory

- A. Sample preparation and storage: Samples of oxytetracycline hydrochloride were stored for 2 weeks in amber vials with Teflon®-lined caps at temperatures of -20°, 5°, 25°, or 60° C.
- **B.** Analytical method: Duplicate samples from each storage temperature were prepared by dissolving approximately 20 mg of the material, accurately weighed, in methanol, adding sufficient acetophenone, the internal standard, to produce a final concentration of 0.17 mg/ml, and diluting to 50 ml with methanol. Aliquots  $(25 \ \mu)$  of these solutions were injected into the following high-performance liquid chromatographic system.

Instrument system Pump: Waters 6000A Programmer: Waters 660 Detector: Waters 440 Injector: Waters U6K Column: μBondapak C<sub>18</sub>, 300 × 3.9 mm ID Detection: Ultraviolet, 254 nm Guard column: CO:PELL ODS, 72 × 2.3 mm ID Flow rate: 1 ml/min Solvent system (A) 1.5 mM tetraammonium ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid in water containing 5% acetic acid (v/v) (B) Tetrahydrofuran Program: 10% B, isocratic (for quantitation against a USP standard)

#### C. Results

	Percent Purity
Storage Temperature	<u>(normalized to – 20° C sample)</u>
– 20° C	100.0
5° C	$100.0 \pm 1.6(\delta)$
25° C	$97.8 \pm 1.6(\delta)$
60° C	$98.7 \pm 1.6(\delta)$

**D.** Conclusions: Oxytetracycline hydrochloride is stable, within the limits of error of the analysis, when stored for 2 weeks at temperatures up to 60° C. However, because of the relatively large error, the possibility of decomposition at temperatures of 25° C or higher cannot be ruled out.

#### III. Chemical Stability Study at the Study Laboratory

#### A. Storage conditions

Bulk chemical: room temperature until 6/1/81, then 5° C Reference:  $-20^{\circ}$  C

#### B. Analytical method

1. Identity determination: Infrared spectrometry Instrument: Perkin-Elmer 283 Phase: 1% in potassium bromide pellet

#### 2. Purity determination

Ultraviolet spectrometry: A solution of 0.250 mg/ml of ferric chloride hexahydrate was prepared. Twenty milligrams of accurately weighed oxytetracycline hydrochloride was dissolved in 10 ml of 0.1 N hydrochloric acid and diluted to 100 ml. Then 10 ml of the ferric chloride hexahydrate solution was added to 10 ml of the oxytetracycline hydrochloric acid solution, and the mixture was allowed to stand for 15 minutes after which the absorbance was read at 490 nm.

Nonaqueous titration: Oxytetracycline hydrochloride (200 mg) was accurately weighed into 25 ml of solvent made up of formic acid:1,4-dioxane (purified on an alumina column and distilled):glacial acetic acid (1:2:2). Then 0.86 mg of mercuric acetate was added for each milligram of oxytetracycline hydrochloride, and the resulting solution was titrated with 0.1 N perchloric acid in glacial acetic acid. The potential of the solution was monitored from 0 to 750 mv.

#### C. Results

1. Identity: All bulk infrared spectra were comparable to the reference spectra and to the spectra supplied by the analytical chemistry laboratory.

#### 2. Purity

#### a. Ultraviolet spectrometry

Date of		Potency of
<u>Analysis</u>	<u>Lot No.</u>	<u>Bulk Sample (µg/mg)</u>
11/7 <del>9</del>	304-G-004	(a) 998
02/80	304-G-004	(a) 1,004
06/80	304-G-004	(a) 1,007
10/80	304-G-004	(b) 997
02/81	304-G-004	(c) 1,006
06/81	304-G-004	(b) 1,009
06/81	69150380	(b) <b>1,020</b>
10/81	69150380	(a) 1,006
02/82	69150380	(a) 991
06/82	69150380	(a) 998
10/82	69150380	(b) 1,024

(a) Result of triplicate analysis
(b) Result of duplicate analysis
(c) Result of quadruplicate analysis

#### b. Nonaqueous titration

Date of		Perce	ent Purity (a)
<u>Analysis</u>	Lot No.	Bulk	Reference
02/81	304-G-004	98.9	98.8
06/81	304-G-004	98.0	97.8
06/81	69150380	98.3	
10/81	69150380	99.9	99.6
02/82	69150380	(b) 100.0	99.6
06/82	69150380	100.5	100.7
10/82	<b>6915</b> 0380	99.7	98.7

(a) Results of duplicate analysis

(b) Result of triplicate analysis

#### D. Conclusion: No notable degradation occurred during the studies.

Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315 164

### **APPENDIX I**

## PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION

### OF FORMULATED DIETS

#### I. Studies Conducted by the Analytical Chemistry Laboratory

#### A. Homogeneity Study

- 1. **Premix:** Oxytetracycline hydrochloride (15.0 g) was transferred to a tared 600-ml beaker and thoroughly mixed by spatula with approximately 15 g of feed. Approximate portions (30-60 g) of additional feed were added and blended in the same manner; then a final portion of feed was incorporated so that the total weight of the premix was 215 g.
- 2. Bulk mixing: A 600-g quantity of feed was layered evenly in the blender; then the premix was added in roughly equal amounts to both sides of the blender. The fine material adhering to the beaker walls was taken up by stirring 100 g of feed in the beaker briefly and adding it to the blender. After an additional 600 g of feed was layered over the premix, the blender ports were sealed.

Blending was conducted with the intensifier bar for the first 5 minutes and without it for the next 10 minutes of mixing. During the mixing operation, the blender shells were periodically given a firm tap with a block of wood to knock loose any feed that may have become packed in the corners of the blender.

At the end of the 15-minute mixing period, approximately 40 g of the feed blend was sampled from the upper left- and right-hand shells and from the bottom discharge port. Triplicate 10.0-g portions of each sample were transferred into 200-ml centrifuge bottles for analysis. The theoretical level of oxytetracycline hydrochloride in the blend was 9.90 mg/g.

3. Extraction and analysis: Samples (10 g) were extracted with 100 ml of acidic methanol solution (1 ml hydrochloric acid/liter methanol) by shaking for 15 minutes on a Burrell Wrist-Action® shaker. The extracts were clarified by centrifuging; then 3-ml aliquots were diluted to 200 ml with acidic methanol solution.

The absorbance of the solutions was measured at 359 nm in 1-cm quartz cells versus acidic methanol on an ultraviolet spectrophotometer. Solutions were protected from light, and all sample readings were corrected before calculating results for the mean absorbance of feed blanks treated as the samples.

4. Quality control: All samples and the feed blanks were analyzed in triplicate. Absorbance readings of the samples were corrected for the mean feed blank absorbance before results were calculated. The spiked feed recovery yield was determined in triplicate at the same concentration as the samples and was applied to the analysis results.

The linearity of the spectrophotometric curve was evaluated with standard solutions of oxytetracycline hydrochloride that were prepared from two separate standard solutions and diluted. All sample results were calculated from the linear regression equation developed from the five standards.

#### 5. Results

Sample Location	Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride in Feed (ppm) (a)	Average Percent Recovery (determined/target × 100) (b,c)
Right	9,890	$99.9\pm0.8$
Left	9,740	$98.4 \pm 1.2$
Bottom	9,680	$97.8\pm1.1$

(a) Corrected for a spiked recovery yield of 95.8%  $\pm$  1%

(b) Target concentration of oxytetracycline hydrochloride in feed was 9,900 ppm.

(c) Error values are maximum deviations of individual assay values from the mean.

6. Conclusion: Oxtetracycline hydrochloride was blended into rodent feed at a concentration of 9,900 ppm with approximately 1% variation in concentration from the mean blend level at three sampling points in the blender.

#### B. Stability study

- 1. Sample mixing and storage: Four 8-oz screw-cap bottles were each filled with about 100 g of the formulated diet prepared as described in Section I.A.2. of this appendix and tightly sealed. Single bottles were stored in the dark for 2 weeks at  $-20^{\circ}$ ,  $5^{\circ}$ ,  $25^{\circ}$ , or  $45^{\circ}$  C.
- 2. Extraction and analysis: Triplicate  $10 \pm 0.01$ -g samples of feed from each storage condition were extracted in 200-ml centrifuge bottles with 100 ml of acidic methanol (5 ml concentrated hydrochloric acid/liter methanol). The samples were shaken for 15 minutes on a Burrell Wrist-Action<sup>®</sup> shaker; then the extracts were clarified by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 2,000 rpm.

A 5-ml aliquot of each extract was mixed with 6 ml of internal-standard solution (50 mg propiophenone/100 ml methanol). After a thorough mixing, a few milliliters of each solution was filtered through a 0.5- $\mu$  Millipore filter and sealed in a 5-ml septum vial. The oxytetracycline hydrochloride content of the solutions was determined by the high-performance liquid chromatographic system described below.

Instrument: Waters Associates Liquid Chromatograph Model ALC202 Column: µBondapak C<sub>18</sub>, 300 mm × 4 mm ID Detector: Ultraviolet, 254 nm Attenuation: 1.0 AUFS Mobile phase: [1.5 mM tetraammonium ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid in water:acetic acid (95:5 v/v)]:[tetrahydrofuran] (88:12) Injection volume: 25 µl Retention time Study chemical: 5.1 min Internal standard: 14.0 min 3. Quality control: Analyses were performed by making single injections of sample extracts prepared in triplicate. Recovery of the chemicals from feed was determined in triplicate with feed spiked at the same concentrations as the samples. Because the spiked recovery yield was  $100.9\% \pm 1.0\%$ , no correction for recovery was applied to the sample results.

Results were calculated from relative response factors (RRF) computed from peak heights of the calibration standards using the following equation:

RRF = <u>milligrams per milliliter study chemical × peak height of internal standard</u> peak height of study chemical × milligrams per millilter of internal standard

Then the milligrams per gram of chemical in the vehicle was calculated as

 $\frac{RRF \times sample peak height \times milligrams per milliliter internal standard \times DF}{peak height of internal standard \times grams of sample}$ 

where DF = dilution factor.

The linearity of the spectrophotometric curve was evaluated with standard solutions of oxytetracycline hydrochloride that were prepared from a weighed standard solution and diluted. All sample results were calculated from the linear regression equation developed from the four standards.

#### 4. Results

Storage <u>Temperature</u>	Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride in Feed (ppm) (a)	Percent Recovered (determined/target × 100) (b)
– 20° C	9,920	$100.2 \pm 2.6$
5° C	9,920	$100.2 \pm 3.5$
25° C	10,090	$101.9 \pm 0.6$
45° C	9,760	$98.7 \pm 2.2$

(a) The target concentration of the chemical in feed was 9,900 ppm. The analytical results were not corrected for recovery because the zero-time spiked recovery yield was 100.9%  $\pm$  1.0%. (b) Error values are maximum deviations from the mean and represent the sum of the analytical error plus variations in the composition of the feed blend.

5. Conclusions: The recovery of oxytetracycline hydrochloride from feed was influenced to some degree by the acidity of the extracting solvent. The samples from the stability study were extracted with 0.5% hydrochloric acid in methanol and exhibited essentially complete recovery of the chemical, whereas the homogeneity samples extracted with 0.1% hydrochloric acid-methanol showed 95.8% recovery. The weaker acid solution was used for the ultraviolet spectrophotometric method because it was found that the feed blank background in the ultraviolet method was directly related to the level of acid in the extracting solution.

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride blended into rodent feed at the 1% concentration exhibited no loss of stablity, within the limits of the mean test error (2.2%), after 2 weeks' storage in the dark at temperatures up to  $45^{\circ}$  C.

#### II. Homogeneity Study Conducted by the Study Laboratory

- A. Preparation: For each concentration, the premix was prepared by weighing a quantity of the bulk chemical, sufficient to prepare a 1-week supply of dosed feed, and quantitatively transferring the weighed chemical to a tared beaker containing approximately 200 g of feed. Another portion of feed was added to adjust the premix weight to 1,000 g. The combined ingredients were thoroughly mixed by spatula.
- **B.** Bulk mixing and sampling: Bulk mixing was performed in a Patterson-Kelly<sup>®</sup> twin-shell stainless steel blender fitted with an intensifier bar. For each formulation the appropriate amount of undosed feed was accurately weighed and transferred in one-fourth amounts to both sides of the blender. The premix was added in roughly equal amounts to both sides of the blender. The fine residue adhering to the beaker was taken up by using the premix beaker to transfer one or two beakers of remaining feed to the blender. The blender ports were sealed, and mixing was conducted with the intensifier bar for the first 5 minutes and without it for the remaining 10 minutes.

Three samples were taken from each of the 3,100-ppm and 50,000-ppm mixtures. About 50 g of subsurface formulation was taken from the upper left- and right-hand ports and from the discharge port of the twin-shell blender. Analyses were performed on duplicate 10-g samples.

C. Analysis: Samples were extracted with 100 ml of acidified methanol solution (1 ml hydrochloric acid/liter of methanol) by shaking for 15 minutes on a Burrell Wrist-Action® shaker. The extracts were clarified by centrifugation at 2,000 rpm for 10 minutes; then appropriate aliquots were volumetrically diluted with acidified methanol solution to yield final concentrations within the range of the standard curve.

The absorbance of the solutions was measured at 359 nm in 1-cm quartz cells versus acidic methanol on a Cary 219 ultraviolet spectrophotometer. Solutions were protected from light, and all sample readings were corrected before calculating results for the mean absorbance of feed blanks diluted as the samples.

**D.** Quality assurance measures: All samples and the feed blanks were analyzed in duplicate. Absorbance readings of the samples (0.367-0.572 AU) were corrected for the mean feed blank absorbance of that corresponding dilution before results were calculated. The spiked feed recovery yield (93.61%  $\pm$  2.41%) was determined in duplicate at the lowest, median, and highest concentrations of the samples and was applied to the analysis results.

The linearity of the spectrophotometric curve was evaluated with standard solutions of oxytetracycline hydrochloride that were prepared from a weighed standard solution and diluted. All sample results were calculated from the linear regression equation developed from the five standards.

Sample <u>Location</u>	Target <u>Concentration (ppm)</u>	Measured <u>Concentration (ppm) (a</u> )	Percent <u>of Target</u>
Upper right	50,000	48,600	97.2
Upper left	50,000	48,600	97.2
Bottom	50,000	49,100	98.2
Batch	50,000	50,100	100.2
Upper right	3,100	3,000	96.8
Upper left	3,100	3,100	100.0
Bottom	3,100	3,000	96.8
Batch	3,100	3,000	96.8

#### E. Results

(a) Results of duplicate analysis

F. Conclusion: The determined concentrations were all within  $\pm 10\%$  of the target values.

### **APPENDIX J**

## METHODS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS

#### I. Study Laboratory

**Procedure:** A 10-g sample of formulated diet was placed in a 250-ml centrifuge bottle and extracted with 100 ml of acidified methanol (1 ml concentrated hydrochloric acid/liter methanol) by shaking for 15 minutes on a Kraft rotary shaker. The samples were centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 10 minutes and diluted 1 ml to 100 ml with acidified methanol, and the sample was analyzed at 359 nm on a DMS-90 ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer.

#### **II.** Analytical Chemistry Laboratory

**A. Preparation of spiked feed standards:** Oxytetracycline hydrochloride is light sensitive. All operations were therefore performed in subdued light with foil-covered or amber glassware.

Two standard solutions of oxytetracycline hydrochloride were prepared independently in extracting solution (1 ml concentrated hydrochloric acid diluted to 1,000 ml with methanol). These solutions were diluted with extracting solution to make four additional standards. Aliquots (10-40 ml) of the six standard solutions were pipetted into individual 200-ml centrifuge bottles containing 5 g of undosed feed to make spiked feed standards bracketing the specified concentration range of the referee sample. One 200-ml centrifuge bottle containing 5 or 10 g of undosed feed was treated with 10-40 ml of extracting solution for use as a blank. The spiked feeds and the feed blank were sealed and allowed to stand overnight at room temperature before being analyzed.

- **B.** Preparation of the referee sample: Triplicate weights of the referee feed sample (approximately 5 or 10 g weighed to the nearest 0.001 g) were transferred to individual 200-ml centrifuge bottles. Extracting solution (10-40 ml) was pipetted into each sample; then the bottles were sealed and allowed to stand overnight at room temperature before analysis by the procedure below.
- C. Analysis: Extracting solution (80 ml) was pipetted into each blank, standard, and referee sample bottle, and the bottles were shaken at maximum stroke for 15 minutes on a wrist-action shaker. After being centrifuged for 10 minutes, an aliquot of each extract was diluted with extracting solution. The absorbance of the soutions was measured at 356 or 358 nm versus methanol in 1-cm quartz cells on a Cary 118 or Cary 219 spectrophotometer.

The amount of oxytetracycline hydrochloride in the referee feed samples was determined from the linear regression equation obtained from the standard data, relating the absorbance of each spiked feed standard and blank sample to the amount of chemical in the respective spiked feed standard.

**D. Quality assurance measures:** The referee feed sample was analyzed in triplicate, and the undosed feed sample was analyzed once. Individually spiked portions of undosed feed (six levels bracketing the specified concentration range of the referee sample) were prepared from two independently weighed standards and were treated as the referee feed samples for obtaining standard curve data.

## APPENDIX K

## **RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS**

Date Mixed	6,300 ppm	12,500 ppm	25,500 ppm	50,000 ppm
11/06/80	6.420	12.000		
11/12/80			25.400	50.000
12/03/80	6.110	12,900	25,900	51,800
12/18/81	6.100	12,500	26.800	50,500
04/01/81	6.320	11,500	24.700	48,100
06/10/81	6,700	12.800	25.100	50,800
07/29/81	6.400	12.300	25.000	50,300
09/23/81	6.500	12.800	25.100	50,200
11/25/81	6.150	12,500	25,400	52,300
12/22/81	6.390	12,400	25,800	52,100
02/24/82	6,170	12,600	24,700	48,700
05/19/82	6,650	12,900	24,600	49,700
07/14/82	6,400	12,900	24,700	50,700
07/28/82	6,800	13,200	24,700	48,100
09/29/82	6,700	12,900	23,400	48,000
Mean (ppm)	6.415	12.586	25.093	50.093
Standard deviation	233	440	784	1.450
Coefficient of variation (percent)	3.6	3.5	3.1	2.9
Range (ppm)	6.100-6.800	11.500-13.200	23.400-26.800	48.000-52.300
Number of samples	14	14	14	14

## TABLE K1. RESULTS OF ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF<br/>OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (a)

(a) Results of duplicate analysis

## TABLE K2. RESULTS OF REFEREE ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

		Determined Concentration (ppm)		
Date Mixed	Target Concentration (ppm)	Study Laboratory (a)	Analytical Laboratory (b)	
12/03/80	6.300	6,100	6.400	
06/10/81	25,000	25,050	24,800	
12/22/81	50,000	52,100	48,700	
05/19/82	12,500	12,950	12,000	
07/28/82	6,300	6,750	5,450	
09/29/82	6,300	6.690	5.680	

(a) Results of duplicate analysis(b) Results of triplicate analysis

## APPENDIX L

## SENTINEL ANIMAL PROGRAM

#### I. Methods

Rodents used in the Carcinogenesis Program of the National Toxicology Program are produced in optimally clean facilities to eliminate potential pathogens that may affect study results. The Sentinel Animal Program is part of the periodic monitoring of animal health that occurs during the toxicologic evaluation of chemical compounds. Under this program, the disease state of the rodents is monitored via viral serology on sera from extra (sentinel) animals in the study rooms. These animals are untreated, and these animals and the study animals are both subject to identical environmental conditions. The sentinel animals come from the same production source and weanling groups as the animals used for the studies of chemical compounds.

Fifteen  $B6C3F_1$  mice and 15 F344/N rats of each sex are selected at the time of randomization and allocation of the animals to the various study groups. Five animals of each designated sentinel group are killed at 6, 12, and 18 months on study. Data from animals surviving 24 months are collected from 5/50 randomly selected control animals of each sex and species. The blood from each animal is collected and clotted, and the serum is separated. The serum is cooled on ice and shipped to Microbiological Associates' Comprehensive Animal Diagnostic Service for determination of the viral antibody titers. The following tests are performed:

	Hemagglutination <u>Inhibition</u>	Complement <u>Fixation</u>	ELISA
Mice	PVM (pneumonia virus of mice) Reo 3 (reovirus type 3) GDVII (Theiler's encephalomyelitis virus) Poly (polyoma virus) MVM (minute virus of mice) Ectro (infectious ectromelia) Sendai	M. Ad. (mouse adenovirus) LCM (lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus) MHV (6 mo)	MHV (mouse hepatitis virus) (12, 18, 24 mo)
Rats	PVM KRV (Kilham rat virus) H-1 (Toolan's H-1 virus) Sendai	RCV (rat coronavirus)	
Resul	ts		

Results are presented in Table L1.

II.

	Interval (months)	No. of Animals	Positive Serologic Reaction for
RATS			
	6		None positive
	12	10/10 10/10	RCV Sendai
	18	2/9	Sendai
	24	5/10	RCV
MICE			
	6		None positive
	12	9/9	Sendai
	18	2/10 9/10	PVM Sendai
	24	5/9 1/10	Sendai GDVII

#### TABLE L1. MURINE VIRUS ANTIBODY DETERMINATIONS FOR RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE (a)

(a) Blood samples were taken from sentinel animals (5/sex) at 6, 12, and 18 months after the start of dosing and from the control animals (5/sex) just before they were killed; samples were sent to Microbiological Associates, Inc. (Bethesda, MD) for the Animal Disease Screening Program.

Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride, NTP TR 315 178
### **APPENDIX M**

# FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY RATS AND MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDIES OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

# TABLE M1. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDYOF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

	Con	trol	<b>25,000</b> ppm			50,000 ppm				
Week	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Low/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	High/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)
3	17	210	17	215	1.0	1,977	16	202	0.9	3,960
7	18	296	18	292	1.0	1,541	17	278	0.9	3,058
14	15	372	15	358	1.0	1,047	15	343	1.0	2,187
17	16	400	17	387	1.1	1,098	16	369	1.0	2,168
21	15	411	16	394	1.1	1,015	15	379	1.0	1,979
26	16	429	17	417	1.1	1,019	17	401	1.1	2,120
31	17	425	16	415	0.9	964	18	3 <b>99</b>	1.1	2,256
35	15	430	16	421	1.1	950	16	404	1.1	1,980
39	17	443	15	429	0. <del>9</del>	874	16	418	0.9	1,914
43	16	450	16	440	1.0	909	18	428	1.1	2,103
47	15	453	14	449	0.9	780	16	432	1.1	1,852
51	15	460	16	451	1.1	887	16	441	1.1	1,814
55	14	461	15	452	1.1	830	15	444	1.1	1,689
60	14	472	15	454	1.1	826	15	448	1.1	1,674
64	14	464	15	457	1.1	821	15	447	1.1	1,678
68	14	461	15	455	1.1	824	15	447	1.1	1,678
73	13	454	13	451	1.0	721	14	444	1.1	1,577
77	14	453	15	454	1.1	826	15	450	1.1	1,667
81	14	448	14	446	1.0	785	14	441	1.0	1,587
85	15	449	14	444	0.9	788	14	439	0.9	1,595
89	14	451	14	443	1.0	7 <b>9</b> 0	15	439	1.1	1,708
95	13	436	14	438	1.1	799	14	434	1,1	1,613
98	13	430	14	430	1.1	814	14	420	1.1	1,667
102	13	423	14	426	1.1	822	14	421	1.1	1,663
Mean	14.9	424	15.2	417	1.0	946	15.4	407	1.0	1,966
SD (d)	1.4		1.3		0.1	276	1.2		0.1	538
CV (e)	9.4		8.6		10.0	29.2	7.8		10.0	27.4

(a) Grams of feed removed from feed hopper per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.

(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(c) Estimated milligrams of oxytetracycline hydrochloride consumed per day per kilogram of body weight

(d) Standard deviation

	Control		25,000 ppm				50.000 ppm			
Week	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Low/ Control(b)	Dose/ Day (c)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	High/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)
3	12	146	11	145	0.9	1,897	11	145	0.9	3,793
7	10	183	10	178	1.0	1,404	9	175	0.9	2,571
14	10	213	10	203	1.0	1,232	10	202	1.0	2,475
17	11	224	11	216	1.0	1,273	11	216	1.0	2,546
21	10	224	11	220	1.1	1,250	10	215	1.0	2,326
26	- 11	233	11	231	1.0	1,190	11	225	1.0	2,444
31	10	236	11	236	1.1	1.165	11	233	1.1	2,361
35	īõ	239	10	238	1.0	1.050	10	234	1.0	2,137
39	10	243	11	245	1.1	1.122	11	240	1.1	2.292
43	11	247	11	251	1.0	1.096	11	247	1.0	2.227
47	11	257	11	258	1.0	1,066	11	252	1.0	2.183
51	11	268	12	269	1.1	1 1 1 5	12	262	1.1	2,290
55	11	275	12	275	1.1	1.091	12	268	1.1	2,239
60	11	289	12	285	1.1	1.053	12	277	1.1	2,166
64	11	299	12	295	1.1	1.017	12	284	1.1	2.113
68	11	304	12	302	1.1	993	12	291	1.1	2.062
73	11	311	12	313	1.1	958	12	300	1.1	2,000
77	11	315	12	318	1.1	943	12	306	1.1	1.961
81	11	319	12	318	1.1	943	12	307	1.1	1.954
85	11	321	12	318	1.1	943	12	306	1.1	1.961
89	11	323	12	319	1.1	940	11	308	1.0	1.786
95	11	328	12	321	1.1	935	12	315	1.1	1.905
98	11	327	12	318	1.1	943	11	311	1.0	1.768
102	10	325	11	314	1.1	876	11	308	1.1	1,786
Mean SD (d)	10.8 0.5	269	11.4	266	1.1 0.1	1,104 214	11.2 0.8	259	1.0 0.1	2,223 409
CV (e)	4.6		6.1		9.1	19.4	7.1		10.0	18.4

# TABLE M2. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE RATS IN THE TWO-YEAR FEEDSTUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

(a) Grams of feed removed from feed hopper per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.

(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(c) Estimated milligrams of oxytetracycline hydrochloride consumed per day per kilogram of body weight

(d) Standard deviation

	Control		trol 6,300 ppm					12,500 ppm			
Week	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Low/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	fligh/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)	
2	4	26.9	4	27.1	1.0	930	4	26.3	1.0	1,901	
6	4	30.4	4	30.0	1.0	840	4	28.8	1.0	1,736	
10	3	32.4	3	32.5	1.0	582	3	31.3	1.0	1,198	
14	4	33.6	4	33. <del>9</del>	1.0	743	4	33.3	1.0	1,502	
17	4	35.4	4	37.2	1.0	677	4	34.8	1.0	1,437	
21	4	36.9	4	38.0	1.0	663	4	36.6	1.0	1,366	
26	4	37.7	5	38.6	1.3	816	5	36.4	1.3	1,717	
31	4	39.2	4	38.6	1.0	653	4	37.0	1.0	1,351	
35	4	38.5	4	38. <del>9</del>	1.0	648	4	36.9	1.0	1,355	
39	4	<b>39</b> .6	4	39.8	1.0	633	4	37.7	1.0	1,326	
44	4	3 <b>9</b> .5	4	39.8	1.0	633	4	37.8	1.0	1,323	
48	4	40.8	4	41.3	1.0	610	4	39.0	1.0	1,282	
52	4	41.2	4	41.8	1.0	603	4	3 <b>9</b> .3	1.0	1,272	
56	4	42.5	4	42.8	1.0	58 <b>9</b>	4	40.1	1.0	1,247	
61	4	42.0	4	42.1	1.0	5 <b>99</b>	4	40.3	1.0	1,241	
65	4	41.8	4	41.4	1.0	609	4	39.5	1.0	1,266	
69	4	42.3	4	41.4	1.0	609	4	39.4	1.0	1,269	
74	4	41.4	4	40.4	1.0	624	4	39.0	1.0	1,282	
78	4	41.8	4	41.4	1.0	609	4	39.4	1.0	1,269	
82	4	41.0	4	40.3	1.0	625	4	39.0	1.0	1,282	
86	4	40.4	4	39.0	1.0	646	4	38.2	1.0	1,309	
90	4	40.3	4	38.4	1.0	656	4	37.8	1.0	1,323	
<del>96</del>	4	38.9	4	37. <b>9</b>	1.0	665	4	37.3	1.0	1,340	
<del>9</del> 9	4	3 <b>9</b> .5	4	38.2	1.0	660	4	37.2	1.0	1,344	
103	4	40.3	4	38.2	1.0	660	4	37.2	1.0	1,344	
Mean	4.0	38.6	4.0	38.4	1.0	663	4.0	36.8	1.0	1,371	
SD(d)	0.2		0.3		0.0	84	0.3		0.0	170	
CV (e)	5.0		7.5		0.0	12.7	7.5		0.0	12.4	

# TABLE M3. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY MALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

(a) Grams of feed removed from feed hopper per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.
(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(c) Estimated milligrams of oxytetracycline hydrochloride consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(d) Standard deviation

	Cor	Control		6,300 ppm				12.500 ppm			
Week	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	Low/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)	Grams Feed/ Day (a)	Body Weight (grams)	High/ Control (b)	Dose/ Day (c)	
2	3	20.6	3	20.6	1.0	917	3	20.1	1.0	1,866	
6	3	22.0	3	22.2	1.0	851	3	21.9	1.0	1,712	
10	3	23.2	3	23.7	1.0	797	3	23.3	1.0	1,609	
14	3	25.7	3	25.7	1.0	735	3	25.3	1.0	1,482	
17	3	28.0	3	28.2	1.0	670	3	26.7	1.0	1,404	
21	3	29.0	3	29.4	1.0	643	3	28.2	1.0	1,330	
26	4	31.4	3	30.4	0.8	622	4	28.8	1.0	1.736	
31	3	31.8	3	31.2	1.0	606	4	29.1	1.3	1,718	
35	3	32.3	3	31.1	1.0	608	3	29.5	1.0	1,271	
39	4	34.2	4	33.8	1.0	746	4	32.1	1.0	1.558	
44	3	34.7	3	33.5	1.0	564	3	32.5	1.0	1.154	
48	š	36.3	3	34.7	1.0	545	3	33.9	1.0	1.106	
52	4	37.8	4	36.5	1.0	690	4	35.8	1.0	1.397	
56	Å	39.4	Ā	38.4	1.0	656	4	37.1	1.0	1.348	
61	3	39.3	3	38.7	1.0	488	4	37.2	1.3	1.344	
65	š	39.2	3	37.8	1.0	500	3	36.9	1.0	1.016	
69	3	40.5	š	39.1	1.0	483	4	38.0	1.3	1.316	
74	ă	40.3	3	39.0	1.0	485	3	38.0	1.0	987	
78	3	39.8	3	39.0	1.0	485	3	38.0	1.0	987	
82	4	39.4	4	38.7	1.0	651	4	37.6	1.0	1,330	
86	4	39.5	4	38.4	1.0	656	4	37.3	1.0	1,340	
90	4	39.6	4	38.3	1.0	658	4	37.4	1.0	1,337	
96	4	40.2	4	38.5	1.0	655	4	38.0	1.0	1.316	
99	4	40.2	4	38.4	1.0	656	4	37.2	1.0	1.344	
103	4	41.3	4	38.8	1.0	649	4	38.1	1.0	1,312	
Mean	3.4	34.6	3.4	33.8	1.0	641	3.5	32.7	1.0	1,373	
3D (d)	0,5		0.5		0.0	113	0.5		0.1	231	
CV (e)	14,7		14.7		0.0	17.6	14.3		10.0	16.8	

#### TABLE M4. FEED AND COMPOUND CONSUMPTION BY FEMALE MICE IN THE TWO-YEAR FEED STUDY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE HYDROCHLORIDE

(a) Grams of feed removed from feed hopper per animal per day. Not corrected for scatter.
(b) Grams of feed per day for the dosed group divided by that for the controls
(c) Estimated milligrams of oxytetracycline hydrochloride consumed per day per kilogram of body weight
(d) Standard deviation

### **APPENDIX N**

# INGREDIENTS, NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, AND CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Meal Diet: September 1980 to October 1982 (Manufactured by Zeigler Bros., Inc., Gardners, PA)

Ingredients (b)	Percent by Weight	
Ground #2 yellow shelled corn	24.50	
Ground hard winter wheat	23.00	
Sovbean meal (49% protein)	12.00	
Fish meal (60% protein)	10.00	
Wheat middlings	10.00	
Dried skim milk	5.00	
Alfalfa meal (dehydrated, 17% protein)	4.00	
Corn gluten meal (60% protein)	3.00	
Soy oil	2.50	
Brewer's dried yeast	2.00	
Dry molasses	1.50	
Dicalcium phosphate	1.25	
Ground limestone	0.50	
Salt	0.50	
Premixes (vitamin and mineral)	0.25	

#### TABLE N1. INGREDIENTS OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

(a) NIH, 1978; NCI, 1976 (b) Ingredients ground to pass through a U.S. Standard Screen No. 16 before being mixed

	Amount	Source
Vitamins		
А	5,500,000 IU	Stabilized vitamin A palmitate or acetate
D <sub>3</sub>	<b>4,600,000</b> IU	D-activated animal sterol
d-a-Tocopheryl aceta	te 20,000 IU	
Riboflavin	3.4 g	
Thiamine	10.0 g	Thiamine mononitrate
Niacin	30.0 g	
d-Pantothenic acid	18.0 g	d-Calcium pantothenate
Folic acid	2.2 g	
Pyridoxine	1.7 g	Pyridoxine hydrochloride
B <sub>12</sub>	4,000 μg	
Biotin	140.0 mg	d-Biotin
K <sub>3</sub>	2.8 g	Menadione activity
Choline	560.0 g	Choline chloride
Minerals		
Iron	120.0 g	Iron sulfate
Manganese	60.0 g	Manganous oxide
Zinc	16.0 g	Zincoxide
Copper	4.0 g	Copper sulfate
Iodine	1.4 g	Calcium iodate
Cobalt	0.4 g	Cobalt carbonate

#### TABLE N2. VITAMINS AND MINERALS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

(a) Per ton (2,000 lb) of finished product

	Mean $\pm$ Standard		
Nutrient	Deviation	Range	No. of Samples
Crude protein (percent by weight)	$24.22 \pm 1.07$	22.6-26.3	24
Crude fat (percent by weight)	$5.09 \pm 0.46$	4.2-6.0	24
Crude fiber (percent by weight)	$3.42 \pm 0.39$	24.4.2	24
Ash (percent by weight)	$6.63 \pm 0.38$	5.97-7.42	24
Essential Amino Acids (percent of t	otal diet)		
Arginine	1 260	1 21-1 31	2
Cystine	0.395	0.39-0.40	2
Glycine	1 175	1 15 1 90	2
Histiding	0.553	0 530 0 576	2
Instante	0.000	0.000-0.070	2
Isoleucine	1.005	1.05 1.00	2
Leucine	1.905	1.80-1.90	2
Lysine	1.250	1.20-1.30	Z
Methionine	0.310	0.306-0.314	2
Phenylalanine	0.967	0.960-0.974	2
Threonine	0.834	0.827-0.840	2
Tryptophan	0.175	0.171-0.178	2
Tyrosine	0.587	0.566-0.607	2
Valine	1.085	1.05-1.12	2
Essential Fatty Acids (percent of to	al diet)		
Linoleic	2.37		1
Linolenic	0.308		1
Arachidonic	0.008		1
litamins			
Vitamin A (IU/kg)	$11,108 \pm 1,093$	9,100-14,000	24
Vitamin D (IU/kg)	6,300		1
a-Tocopherol (ppm)	37.6	31.1-44.0	2
Thiamine (ppm)	$19.0 \pm 2.73$	16.0-26.0	(b) 23
Riboflevin (nnm)	69	61-74	2, 20
Niscin (nnm)	75	65-85	2
Pantothenic acid (nnm)	30.2	29 8-30 5	2
Duridovino (nnm)	79	5699	2 9
Folio acid (nom)	1.4	1 8 9 4	2
Piotin (ppm)	4.1 0.94	1.0~4.4 A 91 A 97	4
Vitemin P (mb)	V.24	U.21-U.27	2
vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (ppb) Choline (ppm)	12.8	10.0-15.0	2 2
Ainerals	U, U I V	0,200 0,200	-
Colour (noncost)	1.05 + 0.15	1 10 1 59	04
Calcium (percent)	1.20 I U.10	1.10-1.03	24
Phosphorus (percent)	$0.99 \pm 0.08$	0.84-1.10	24
Potassium (percent)	0.809	0.772-0.846	2
Chloride (percent)	0.557	0.479-0.635	2
Sodium (percent)	0.304	0.258-0.349	2
Magnesium (percent)	0.172	0.166-0.177	2
Sulfur (percent)	0.278	0.270-0.285	2
Iron (ppm)	418	409-426	2
Manganese (ppm)	90.8	86.0-95.5	2
Zinc (ppm)	55.1	54.2-56.0	$\overline{\overline{2}}$
Copper (npm)	12.68	9.65-15.70	- 2
Indine (nnm)	2.58	1.52-3.64	- 2
Chromium (ppm)	1 86	1 79-1 93	9
Cohalt (nom)	0.57	0 40 0 65	4 9
Conare (ppm)	0.07	V.#J-V.00	4

#### TABLE N3. NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (a)

(a) One or two batches of feed analyzed were manufactured in January and/or April 1983.
(b) One batch (7/22/81) not analyzed for thiamine.

#### TABLE N4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION

Contaminant	Mean ± Standard Deviation	Range	No. of Samples
Arsenic (ppm)	$0.41 \pm 0.15$	0.13-0.93	24
Cadmium (ppm) (a)	< 0.1		24
Lead (ppm)	$1.07 \pm 0.73$	0.27-2.93	24
Mercury (ppm) (a)	< 0.05		24
Selenium (ppm)	$0.29 \pm 0.07$	0.16-0.48	24
Aflatoxins (ppb) (a,b)	<10	<5.0-10.0	24
Nitrate nitrogen (ppm) (c)	$9.18 \pm 4.33$	0.6-18.0	24
Nitrite nitrogen (ppm) (c)	$1.99 \pm 1.30$	0.4-5.3	24
BHA (ppm) (d,e)	$5.10 \pm 4.19$	< 0.4-15.0	24
BHT (ppm) (d)	$3.05 \pm 1.52$	1.2-6.0	24
Aerobic plate count (CFU/g)	$80,604 \pm 48,850$	7,000-210,000	24
Coliform (MPN/g) (f)	$883 \pm 908$	<3-2,400	24
E. coli (MPN/g) (g)	$8.0 \pm 7.91$	<3-23	23
E. coli (MPN/ $\bar{g}$ ) ( $\bar{h}$ )	$13.88 \pm 30.00$	<3-150	24
Total nitrosamines (ppb) (i,j)	$6.69 \pm 5.60$	1.2-18.8	22
Total nitrosamines (ppb) (i,k)	$14.55 \pm 27.15$	1.2-101.6	24
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (i,l)	$5.25 \pm 5.33$	0.6-16.8	22
N-Nitrosodimethylamine (ppb) (i,m)	$13.02 \pm 26.80$	0.6-99	24
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine (ppb)	$1.21 \pm 0.66$	<0.3-2.4	24
Pesticides (ppm)			
a-BHC (a,n)	< 0.01		24
$\beta$ -BHC (a)	< 0.02		24
y-BHC-Lindane (a)	< 0.01		24
δ-BHC (a)	< 0.01		24
Heptachlor (a)	< 0.01		24
Aldrin (a)	< 0.01		24
Heptachlor epoxide (a)	< 0.01		24
DDE (o)	< 0.01	0.05(7/14/81)	24
DDD (a)	< 0.01		24
DDT (a)	< 0.01		24
HCB (a)	< 0.01		24
Mirex (a)	< 0.01	0 12 /9/95/91 . 0 0 (00000)	24
Metnoxychlor (p)	< 0.05	0.13 (8/25/81); 0.6 (6/29/82)	24
Dieldrin (a)	< 0.01		24
Endrin (a) Telodrin (a)	< 0.01		24
Chlordene (a)	<0.01		24
Toyanhane (a)	<01		24
Estimated PCBs (a)	<02		24
Ronnel (a)	< 0.01		24
Ethion (a)	< 0.02		24
Trithion (a)	< 0.05		24
Diazinon (a)	< 0.1		24
Methyl parathion (a)	< 0.02		24
Ethyl parathion (a)	< 0.02		24
Malathion (q)	$0.08 \pm 0.05$	< 0.05-0.25	24
Endosulfan I (a)	< 0.01		24
Endosulfan II (a)	< 0.01		24
Endosulfan sulfate (a)	< 0.03		24

.

#### TABLE N4. CONTAMINANT LEVELS IN NIH 07 RAT AND MOUSE RATION (Continued)

- (a) All values were less than the detection limit, given in the table as the mean.
- (b) Detection limit was reduced from 10 ppb to 5 ppb after 7/81.
- (c) Source of contamination: Alfalfa, grains, and fish meal
- (d) Source of contamination: Soy oil and fish meal
- (e) One batch contained less than 0.5 ppm. The value was <0.04, and it was produced on 4/27/81.
- (f) MPN = most probable number
- (g) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude one value of 150 produced on 8/26/82.

(h) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the high value given in footnote g.

(i) All values were corrected for percent recovery.

(j) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude two very high values of 101.6 and 100.3 ppb for batches produced on 1/26/81 and 4/27/81.

(k) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the very high values given in footnote j.

(1) Mean, standard deviation, and range exclude two very high values of 97.9 and 99 for batches produced on 1/26/81 and 4/27/81.

(m) Mean, standard deviation, and range include the very high values given in footnote l.

- (n) BHC = hexachlorocyclohexane or benzene hexachloride
- (o) There was one observation above the detection limit. The value and the date it was obtained are given under the range. (p) There were two observations above the detection limit. The values and the dates they were obtained are given under the range.
- (q) Ten batches contained more than 0.05 ppm.

### **APPENDIX O**

## DATA AUDIT SUMMARY

The experimental data and laboratory records for the 2-year toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of oxytetracycline hydrochloride in rats and mice were examined for completeness, consistency, and accuracy and for procedures consistent with Good Laboratory Practice regulations. The animal studies were conducted by Physiological Research Laboratories, Minneapolis, Minnesota, under a subcontract with Tracor Jitco, Inc., from the National Cancer Institute from November 1980 to November 1982 and were initiated prior to NTP's requirement for compliance with Good Laboratory Practice regulations in October 1981. The audit was conducted in June and July 1985 and involved the following personnel from Argus Research Laboratories: Jane E. Goeke, Ph.D.; James J. Hills, B.A.; Alan M. Hoberman, Ph.D.; David M. Willett, B.S.; Diana S. Copeland, D.V.M., D.A.C.V.P.; and Carol L. Veigle, HTL. The audit report was approved by the NTP and is on file at the National Toxicology Program, NIEHS, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

For the inlife toxicology portion of the audit, 10% of the study animal records for clinical signs were audited. One hundred percent of the records for animal deaths, moribund and terminal kills, and tissue masses were audited. All records concerning animal receipt, acclimation/quarantine, randomization, identification, body weight, feed consumption, environmental conditions, and sentinel animal data were reviewed. For the analytical chemistry portion of the audit, 100% of the available data was audited. A random 10% sample of the dose calculations was verified. For the pathology portion of this audit, all of the wet tissue bags of both species were counted and all of the control and high dose animals of both species had slides matched with blocks. Wet tissue examinations for untrimmed potential lesions and verification of animal identification were conducted on a random 10% of both rats and mice plus additional animals selected to resolve possible discrepancies between gross observations and microscopic diagnoses. Final pathology tables were correlated with the final report of the laboratory pathologist, corrected pathology tables, Individual Animal Data Records, and Pathology Working Group (PWG) slide review worksheet for a random 10% of the cases.

All data were considered adequate with the following exceptions: dose start and completion dates could not be verified from the available records, and the presence and size of masses were not consistently recorded in the clinical observation and gross necropsy records.

For the analytical chemistry portion of the audit, all data required were present at the archives except the usage dates for formulated diets and the standard curves and ultraviolet absorbance graphs for chemical reanalysis and chemical/vehicle analysis.

All pathology data and materials audited for oxytetracycline hydrochloride were complete and adequate with the following exceptions: the animal identity of 14/56 rats and 19/49 mice could not be verified because some or all of the feet had not been saved with the wet tissue. Tissue alterations suggesting untrimmed potential lesions were found in the residual wet tissues of 24/56 rats and 8/49 mice. In general, these were very minimal tissue alterations that were distributed among dose groups. Histopathologic sampling was judged to be adequate, and these potential lesions were not pursued further. For 14 rats and 6 mice, necropsy observations were made which had no correlating microscopic diagnosis. Lesions were not found on the slides or in the wet tissues. The slide and block match was good. Tissue accountability was poor by NTP standards in one or more of the various dose groups of mice for parathyroid, skin, ovary, gallbladder, and urinary bladder.

In conclusion, the data examined were considered adequate to fulfill the objectives of these studies. Any discrepancies noted were resolved as described or were judged not to affect the conclusions of these studies.